## **Appendix E: Evidence Tables**

NICE's original guidance on Type 2 diabetes in adults was published in 2015. It was updated in 2016, 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2022. See the NICE website for the guideline recommendations and the evidence reviews for these updates. This appendix preserves information for areas of the guideline that have not been updated since 2015.

### **Appendix E: Evidence Tables**

# E.1 Review question 1: Which pharmacological blood glucose lowering therapies should be used to control blood glucose levels in people with type 2 diabetes?

### E.1.1 Initial therapy

Table 1:	Abbatecola et al. (2006)	
Table 2:	Alba et al. (2013)	
Table 3:	Arjona et al. (2013)	8
Table 4:	Aronoff et al. (2000)	11
Table 5:	Aschner et al. (2006)	22
Table 6:	Aschner et al. (2010)	25
Table 7:	Barnett et al. (2012)	30
Table 8:	Barzilai et al. (2011)	32
Table 9:	Bautista et al. (2003)	35
Table 10:	Birkeland et al. (1994)	38
Table 11:	Bosi et al. (2009)	41
Table 12:	Braun D,Schonherr (1996)	45
Table 13:	Bruce et al. (2006)	47
Table 14:	Buchanan et al. (1988)	49
Table 15:	Campbell et al. (1994)	51
Table 16:	Chan et al. (1998)	53
Table 17:	Charbonnel et al. (2005)	55
Table 18:	Chiasson & (2001)	
Table 19:	Chiasson JL, Josse RG, Hunt JA, Palmason C, Rodger NW, Ross (1994)	60
Table 20:	Collier A, Watson HH, Patrick AW, Ludlam (1989)	
Table 21:	Coniff et al. (1995)	63
Table 22:	Coniff et al. (1995)	67
Table 23:	Damsbo et al. (1998)	72
Table 24:	DeFronzo & (1995)	73
Table 25:	Dejager et al. (2007)	75
Table 26:	Del et al. (2003)	84
Table 27:	Delgado et al. (2002)	86
Table 28:	Derosa et al. (2003)	87
Table 29:	Derosa et al. (2011)	90
Table 30:	Ebeling et al. (2001)	93
Table 31:	Erdem et al. (2008)	96
Table 32:	Erem et al. (2014)	98
Table 33:	Esposito et al. (2011)	102
Table 34:	Esteghamati et al. (2014)	105
Table 35:	Fang et al. (2014)	107
Table 36:	Ferrannini et al. (2013)	109
Table 37:	Fischer et al. (1998)	112
Table 38:	Foley & (2009)	
Table 39:	Fonseca et al. (2013)	121
Table 40:	Formoso et al. (2008)	124
Table 41:	Gao et al. (2008)	
Table 42:	Genovese et al. (2013)	
Table 43:	Goke (2002)	

Table 44:	Goke et al. (2008)	134
Table 45:	Goldstein et al. (2007)	135
Table 46:	H+¤llsten et al. (2002)	154
Table 47:	Haak et al. (2012)	155
Table 48:	Hanefeld et al. (2007)	167
Table 49:	Hermann et al. (1994)	
Table 50:	Herz et al. (2003)	
Table 51:	Hoffmann & (1994)	
Table 52:	Hoffmann & (1997)	
Table 53:	Holman et al. (1999)	
Table 54:	Horton et al. (2000)	
Table 55:	Hotta et al. (1993)	
Table 56:	Iwamoto et al. (2010)	
Table 57:	Jain et al. (2006)	
Table 57:	· · ·	
Table 56.	Johnston et al. (1998)	
	Josse et al. (2003)	
Table 60:	Jovanovic et al. (2000)	
Table 61:	Kato et al. (2009)	
Table 62:	Kawamori et al. (2012)	
Table 63:	Kikuchi et al. (2009)	
Table 64:	Kikuchi et al. (2012)	
Table 65:	Kirkman et al. (2006)	
Table 66:	Kovacevic I, Profozic V, Skrabalo Z, Cabrijan T, Zjacic-Rotkvic V, Goldoni (1997)	
Table 67:	Lawrence et al. (2004)	
Table 68:	Lee & (1998)	
Table 69:	Madsbad et al. (2001)	
Table 70:	Madsbad et al. (2004)	
Table 71:	Marbury et al. (1999)	254
Table 72:	Mather et al. (2001)	257
Table 73:	Mohan et al. (2009)	259
Table 74:	Moses et al. (2001)	263
Table 75:	Nakamura et al. (2004)	265
Table 76:	Nonaka et al. (2008)	267
Table 77:	Pan et al. (2008)	270
Table 78:	Pan et al. (2012)	
Table 79:	Pavo et al. (2003)	276
Table 80:	Pi-Sunyer et al. (2007)	
Table 81:	Pratley et al. (2006)	
Table 82:	Raz et al. (2006)	
Table 84:	Ristic et al. (2005)	
Table 85:	Roden et al. (2013)	
Table 86:	Rosenstock et al. (2007)	
Table 87:	Rosenthal & (2002)	
Table 88:	Saleem et al. (2011)	
Table 89:	Salman S,Salman F,Satman I,Yilmaz Y,Ozer E,Sengul (2001)	
Table 90:	Santeusanio F, Ventura MM, Contadini S, Compagnucci P, Moriconi V, Zaccarini	
(1993)	317	
(1993) Table 91:	Santilli et al. (2010)	221
Table 91.		
	Scherbaum et al. (2002)	
Table 93:	Scherbaum et al. (2008)	
Table 94:	Schernthaner et al. (2004)	
Table 95:	Schernthaner et al. (2004)	
Table 96:	Schwartz et al. (2006)	
Table 97:	Schweizer et al. (2007)	
Table 98:	Schweizer et al. (2009)	
Table 99:	Scott et al. (1999)	359

Table 100	: Scott et al. (2007)	360
Table 101	: Segal et al. (1997)	377
Table 102	: Shah et al. (2011)	378
Table 103	Shihara et al. (2011)	381
Table 104	: Taslimi et al. (2013)	383
Table 105	: Teramoto et al. (2007)	385
Table 106	: Tessier et al. (1999)	388
Table 107	: Tosi et al. (2003)	389
Table 108	: Uehara et al. (2001)	391
Table 109	: Viberti et al. (2002)	393
Table 110	: Wang et al. (2013)	396
Table 111	: Watanabe et al. (2005)	398
Table 112	: Yamanouchi et al. (2005)	401
Table 113	: Yang et al. (2014)	405
	: Yoon et al. (2011)	
	· · · ·	

Table 1: Ab	batecola et al. (2006)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Italy  Authors' conclusions: Exaggerated postprandial glucose excursions are associated with a derangement of both global, executive and attention functioning. A tighter PPG control may prevent cognitive decline in older diabetic individuals  Source of funding: finded by the Second University of Naples  Comments: parallel, randomised open-study. No details of randomisation methods and allocation concealment reported. Initially all statistical analysis were made by a physician who was unaware of patient treatment categorisation
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 156 Inclusion criteria: older adults (aged 60-78 years) with type 2 diabetes volunteered for the study who had not previously undergone antidiabetic drug treatment. Enrolled participants were considered poorly controlled with diet/exercise alone.  Exclusion criteria: All participants were selected after exclusion of severe macro and microangiopathy, CHD, heart failure, medium/severe hypertension, cancer, COPD, upper limb paresis or paralysis and dementia  Pre-randomisation phase: there was a 3 week pre trial period when titration adjustments were made and study protocol started at time 0 (after titration period). Graphs indicate that baseline measurements were taken at time 0 (change from baseline is 52 weeks)
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: N/A
Lifestyle advice	all patients were instructed to maintain their degree of physical activity and to consume the same diet (which was individualised at the beginning of the study) throughout the study period
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 55 Length of titration period (wks): 3 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: at time 0, study protocol began with a full diabetic ambulatory control and was repeated on a monthly basis
Arms	(1) Repaglinide N: 77 Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): - Treatment(s): repaglinide (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Minimum dose (mg/d): 2 Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: doses initially started with 1 mg twice daily. All agents were given up to 30 minutes before daily meals. To achieve target glycaemic control, titration adjustments were made acording to WHO recommendations over an initial 3 week period prior to the beginning of the study protocol

### (2) Glibenclamide

N: 79

Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): -

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 5 Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: Glibenclamide was initally started with 2.5 mg twice daily. All agents were given up to 30 minutes before daily meals. To achieve target glycaemic control, titration adjustments were made acording to WHO recommendations over an initial

3 week period prior to the beginning of the study protocol

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

12 (15.6%) patients in the repaglinide group and 16 (20%) in the glibenclamide group discontinued the study Outcomes not reported in this evidence table include intima-media thickness and measures of cognitive performance

Analyses were performed according to ITT principle, this included all patients regardless of adherence, noncompliance and withdrawal.

Hypoglycaemic events were not reported in the study

### Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (major hypoglycaemic events were considered events having severe CNS symptoms consistent with hypoglycaemia in which the subject was unable to treat him/herself, blood glucose level readings were <3 mmol/l or reversal of symptoms by food intake)

### Baseline characteristics

			Re	paglinide		Glib	enclamide		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	77		74.5 (SD 2.5)	79		74.3 (SD 2.3)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	77	38	(49.4%)	79	38	(48.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	77		1.3 (SD 0.6)	79		1.1 (SD 0.4)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0mo	Continuous	77		7.3 (SD 0.8)	79		7.2 (SD 0.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	77		8.9 (SD 0.4)	79		9 (SD 0.3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	77		27.1 (SD 0.2)	79		26.7 (SD 0.4)		
Lipids:									
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	77		5 (SD 0.4)	79		5.1 (SD 0.3)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	77		1.25 (SD 0.07)	79		1.26 (SD 0.08)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	Continuous	77		1.98 (SD 0.16)	79		1.95 (SD 0.18)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	77		3.16 (SD 0.5)	79		3.14 (SD 0.41)		

			Repaglinide			Slibe			
			k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3moa	Continuous	77		6.825 (SD 0.877)	79		6.94 (SD 1.33)		
HbA1c (%) – 6moa	Continuous	77		6.755 (SD 0.877)	79		6.9 (SD 1.78)		
HbA1c (%) – 12moa	Continuous	77		6.55 (SD 0.877)	79		6.7 (SD 1.78)		NS

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3moa	Continuous	77		8.1 (SD 1.75)	79		7.85 (SD 1.33)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6moa	Continuous	77		7.85 (SD 1.75)	79		7.45 (SD 1.33)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12moa	Continuous	77		7.4 (SD 1.75)	79		6.95 (SD 1.78)	NS	
Hypoglycaemic events:  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12mo	Dichotomous	77			79			NR	
·	Dichotomous			(15.6%)	79	16	(20.3%)		
<sup>a</sup> Data extracted from graph; SD calculate	ed from assume	ed SI	E						
For the primary efficacy endpoints (Hba1c and cognition composite score) the change from baseline to the last visit of the maintenance period was evaluated by ANOVA. In these analyses the last last observation carried over method was used. The effects of the antidiabetic agents during the course of 4 follow-up visits was analysed by repeated measures ANOVA. Data were analysed for the changeover time during the course of the various visits (within group comparisons)a nd for differences between the 2 groups as regards these time related changes, that is for the interaction between drug and time. ANCOVA allowed adjustments for metabolic parameters.									

### Table 2: Alba et al. (2013)

pa et al. (2013)
Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: - Authors' conclusions: - Source of funding: - Comments: -
Total number of patients: 211 Inclusion criteria: Adults (30-65 years) diagnosed with T2DM in the previous 5 years Drug naïve with HbA1c >= 7% and <=10% or on antihyperglycaemic monotherapy or lose dose combination therapy with HbA1c >= 6.5% and <=9% Exclusion criteria: - Pre-randomisation phase: 1 week screening period 8 week washout period: 6 week diet/exercise and washout if discontinuing antihyperglycaemic medication + 2 weeks single blind run in period
Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: 8 week washout period: 6 week diet/exercise and washout if discontinuing antihyperglycaemic medication + 2 weeks single blind run in period
6 week wash out period consisting of diet/exercise (details not provided)
Total follow-up (wks): 21 Length of titration period (wks): - Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Outcomes assessed at baseline and 12 weeks post-treatment
(1) Sitagliptin 100mg N: 52 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56 Comments: 1 week screening period, followed by 6 week diet/exercise period (and wash out if discontinuing previous AHAs), followed by 2 weeks single blind placebo run in, then a 12 week double blind treatment period

Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):100

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Once daily before morning meal

### (2) Pioglitazone 30mg

N: 54

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56

Comments: 1 week screening period, followed by 6 week diet/exercise period (and wash out if discontinuing previous AHAs), followed by 2 weeks single blind placebo run in, then a 12 week double blind treatment

period

Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):30

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Once daily before morning meal

### (3) Placebo

N: 53

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56

Comments: 1 week screening period, followed by 6 week diet/exercise period (and wash out if discontinuing previous AHAs), followed by 2 weeks single blind placebo run in, then a 12 week double blind treatment

period

Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Once daily before morning meal

### **Outcomes**

### General

Data from 4th arm in trial receiving sitagliptin plus pioglitazone were not extracted as it does not provide any relevant comparisons

### Baseline characteristics

		S	itagl	liptin 100mg	Pioglitazone 30mg				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years) – 0wk	Continuous	52		54.6 (SD 7.6)	54		53.4 (SD 7.8)		
Sex (n male) – 0wk	Dichotomous	52	28	(53.8%)	54	23	(42.6%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs) – 0wk	Continuous	52		2.4 (SD 1.6)	54		2.4 (SD 1.4)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	52		7.7 (SD 0.8)	54		7.9 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	52		9.6 (SD 2.1)	54		9.6 (SD 2.4)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	52		30.8 (SD 4.6)	54		31.4 (SD 5.3)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	52		85.7 (SD 14.1)	54		86.6 (SD 17.5)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve) – 0wk	Dichotomous	52	17	(32.7%)	54	18	(33.3%)		

		S	itag	liptin 100mg	Placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years) – 0wk	Continuous	52		54.6 (SD 7.6)	53		53.3 (SD 7.7)		
Sex (n male) – 0wk	Dichotomous	52	28	(53.8%)	53	32	(60.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs) – 0wk	Continuous	52		2.4 (SD 1.6)	53		2.3 (SD 1.6)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	52		7.7 (SD 0.8)	53		8 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	52		9.6 (SD 2.1)	53		10 (SD 2.7)		

Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	52		30.8 (SD 4.6)	53		30.1 (SD 5.2)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	52		85.7 (SD 14.1)	53		83.6 (SD 16.7)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve) – 0wk	Dichotomous	52	17	(32.7%)	53	17	(32.1%)

		Pi	Pioglitazone 30mg Placeb				Placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years) – 0wk	Continuous	54		53.4 (SD 7.8)	53		53.3 (SD 7.7)		
Sex (n male) – 0wk	Dichotomous	54	23	(42.6%)	53	32	(60.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs) – 0wk	Continuous	54		2.4 (SD 1.4)	53		2.3 (SD 1.6)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	54		7.9 (SD 0.9)	53		8 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	54		9.6 (SD 2.4)	53		10 (SD 2.7)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	54		31.4 (SD 5.3)	53		30.1 (SD 5.2)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	54		86.6 (SD 17.5)	53		83.6 (SD 16.7)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve) – 0wk	Dichotomous	54	18	(33.3%)	53	17	(32.1%)		

		,		agliptin 00mg	P				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	52	0	(0.0%)	54	2	(3.7%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	52	1	(1.9%)	54	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	52	6	(11.5%)	54	2	(3.7%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	52	3	(5.8%)	54	0	(0.0%)		

		Sitagliptin 100mg		Placebo					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	52			53			MD=-0.790 (CI: - 1.080, -0.500)	а
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	52			53			MD=-1.500 (CI: - 2.200, -0.800)	а
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	52	0	(0.0%)	53	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	52	1	(1.9%)	53	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	52	6	(11.5%)	53	5	(9.4%)		

inuous	Pic N 54	30	itazone Omg mean			cebo mean	Δ MD=-0.560 (CI: - 0.850, -0.270) MD=-1.900 (CI: - 2.600, -1.200)	r a
inuous	<b>N</b> 54	30	Omg	<b>N</b> 53			MD=-0.560 (CI: - 0.850, -0.270) MD=-1.900 (CI: -	á
inuous	54	k	mean	53	k	mean	MD=-0.560 (CI: - 0.850, -0.270) MD=-1.900 (CI: -	á
inuous							0.850, -0.270) MD=-1.900 (CI: -	
	54			53				
								-
otomous	54	2	(3.7%)	53	0	(0.0%)		
otomous	54	0	(0.0%)	53	0	(0.0%)		
otomous	54	2	(3.7%)	53	5	(9.4%)		
otomous	54	0	(0.0%)	53	2	(3.8%)		
				· · · · ·	· · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	otomous 54 2 (3.7%) 53 5 (9.4%) otomous 54 0 (0.0%) 53 2 (3.8%)

Table 3: Arjona et al. (2013)

10.010 01 111	jona et al. (2013)
General	Phase:  monotherapy dual therapy triple therapy insulin monotherapy insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: -  Authors' conclusions: -  Source of funding: -  Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 423 Inclusion criteria: Adults (at least 30 years old) with T2DM and moderate to severe chronic renal insufficiency (eGFR < 50ml/min/1.73m2 using Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation) not requiring dialysis for study duration and HbA1c between 7 and 9% inclusive  Exclusion criteria: -  Pre-randomisation phase: 1 week screening period, up to 14 week wash out period comprising diet/exercise and OADs wash out period and 2 week single blind placebo run in period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Up to 14 week wash out period comprising diet/exercise and OADs wash out period and 2 week single blind placebo run in period  Patients not on AHAs for at least 12 weeks at screening with HbA1c between 7 and 9%: 2 week single blind placebo run in period  Patients not on AHAs for at least 12 weeks at screening with HbA1c >9%: 6 week diet/exercise wash out period  Patients on non-thiazolidinediones AHAs at screening with HbA1c between 7 and 9%: 8 week diet/exercise wash out period  Patients on thiazolidinediones AHAs at screening with HbA1c between 7 and 9%: 10 week diet/exercise wash out period  Patients on non-thiazolidinediones AHAs at screening with HbA1c 6.5 to <7%: 8-12 week diet/exercise wash out period  Patients on thiazolidinediones AHAs at screening with HbA1c 6.5 to <7%: 10-14 week diet/exercise wash out period

	period							
	All patients received 2 week single blind placebo run in period							
Lifestyle advice	All patients received diet and counselling advice throughout the study (details not reported except that recommendations were based on ADA and appropriate for patients with renal insufficiency)							
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 54							
	Length of titration period (wks): - Length of maintenance period (wks): 54							
	Frequency of monitoring appointments: Assessments were undertaken every 6 weeks							
Arms	(1) Sitagliptin 25mg/50mg + placebo							
	N: 211							
	Treatment duration (wks): 54 Washout period (d): 98							
	Comments: Up to 14 week wash out period comprising diet/exercise and OADs wash out period and 2 week single blind placebo run in period							
	Patients not on AHAs for at least 12 weeks at screening with HbA1c between 7 and 9%: 2 week single blind placebo run in period							
	Patients not on AHAs for at least 12 weeks at screening with HbA1c >9%: 6 week diet/exercise wash out period							
	Patients on non-thiazolidinediones AHAs at screening with HbA1c between 7 and 9%: 8 week diet/exercise wash out period							
	Patients on thiazolidinediones AHAs at screening with HbA1c between 7 and 9%: 10 week diet/exercise							
	wash out period Patients on non-thiazolidinediones AHAs at screening with HbA1c 6.5 to <7%: 8-12 week diet/exercise wash							
	out period							
	Patients on thiazolidinediones AHAs at screening with HbA1c 6.5 to <7%: 10-14 week diet/exercise wash out period							
	All patients received 2 week single blind placebo run in period							
	Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose							
	Set dose (mg/d):25 Maximum dose (mg/d): 50							
	Frequency of dosing: once a day							
	Details of dosing regimen: Patients with moderate renal insufficiency received 50mg per day (2 tablets per day)							
	Patients with severe renal insufficiency received 25mg per day (1 tablet per day)							
	Dose was changed from 50mg to 25mg per day for patients whose renal status changed from moderate to severe during the study.							
	(2) Glipizide flexi dose							
	N: 212 Treatment duration (wks): 54							
	Washout period (d): 98							
	Comments: Up to 14 week wash out period comprising diet/exercise and OADs wash out period and 2 week single blind placebo run in period							
	Patients not on AHAs for at least 12 weeks at screening with HbA1c between 7 and 9%: 2 week single blind							
	placebo run in period Patients not on AHAs for at least 12 weeks at screening with HbA1c >9%: 6 week diet/exercise wash out							
	period  Patients on non-thiazolidinadianas AHAs at screening with HhA1s between 7 and 0%: 8 week dist/eversion							
	Patients on non-thiazolidinediones AHAs at screening with HbA1c between 7 and 9%: 8 week diet/exercise wash out period							
	Patients on thiazolidinediones AHAs at screening with HbA1c between 7 and 9%: 10 week diet/exercise wash out period							
	Patients on non-thiazolidinediones AHAs at screening with HbA1c 6.5 to <7%: 8-12 week diet/exercise wash out period							
	Patients on thiazolidinediones AHAs at screening with HbA1c 6.5 to <7%: 10-14 week diet/exercise wash out period							
	All patients received 2 week single blind placebo run in period  Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)							
	Minimum dose (mg/d): 2.5 Maximum dose (mg/d): 10							
	Frequency of dosing: once a day  Details of dosing regimen: Administered at a starting dose of 2.5mg day prior to the morning meal and electively titrated to a maximum of 20mg day as considered appropriate by the investgator based on the patient's glycaemic control							
Outcomes	General  All officers and sofety analyses included data up to the point of initiation of rescue medication							
	All efficacy and safety analyses included data up to the point of initiation of rescue medication.							

seline aracteristics			Sitagliptin 25mg/50mg + placebo			Glipizide flexi dose				
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	PP Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	135		64.8 (SD 10.6)	142		64.3 (SD 9.2)		
	Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	135	80	· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	142	78	(54.9%)		
	Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	135		10.7 (SD 7.5)	142		10.1 (SD 7.8)		
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 54wka	Mean change	135		-0.8 (SD 0.593)	142		-0.6 (SD 1.22)		
	HbA1c (%) – 54wk	Continuous	135		7.8 (SD 0.7)	142		7.8 (SD 0.7)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 54wka	Mean change	136		-17.5 (SD 41.7)	142		-24.6 (SD 42)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 54wk	Continuous	135		148.1 (SD 40.1)	142		143.9 (SD 35.7)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	135		26.5 (SD 4.8)	142		27 (SD 4.8)		
	Weight (kg) – 0wkb	Continuous	135		74.7936 (SD 13.5)	142		76.2048 (SD 13.5)		
	Weight (kg) – 12wkc	Mean change	135		-0.4 (SD 2.32)	142		0.4 (SD 1.79)		
	Weight (kg) – 12wk	Continuous	135		68 (SD 14.8)	142		70.2 (SD 15.9)		
	Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:  Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	135	41	(30.4%)	142	49	(34.5%)		
	<sup>a</sup> Least squares mean reported, SD <sup>b</sup> estimated from BMI assuming me <sup>c</sup> Data extracted from graphs; least	an height of 1.6	8m			ted SI	Ē			
esults			Si	tagl	iptin 25mg/50mg + placebo	g Glipizide flexi dose				
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Hypoglycaemic events: Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 54wk	Dichotomou	s 21	0 1	3 (6.2%)	212	36	(17.0%)		
	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 54wk	Dichotomou						(19.8%)		
	· ·							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Results			Sitagliptin 25mg/50mg + placebo			Glipizide flexi dose				
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Hypoglycaemic events: Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 54wk	Dichotomous	210	13	(6.2%)	212	36	(17.0%)		
	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 54wk	Dichotomous	211	47	(22.3%)	212	42	(19.8%)		
	Dropout due to AEs – 54wka	Dichotomous	211	16	(7.6%)	212	18	(8.5%)		
	PP Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Mean change	135		-0.56 (SD 0.813)	142		-0.56 (SD 0.834)		
	HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Mean change	135		-0.67 (SD 0.813)	142		-0.55 (SD 0.834)		
	HbA1c (%) – 54wkc	Mean change	135		-0.8 (SD 0.593)	142		-0.6 (SD 1.22)		
	HbA1c (%) – 54wk	Continuous	135		7.8 (SD 0.7)	142		7.8 (SD 0.7)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Mean change	135		-1.9 (SD 1.74)	142		-1.39 (SD 1.79)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Mean change	135		-0.92 (SD 2.09)	142		-1.17 (SD 2.14)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 54wkc	Mean change	136		-17.5 (SD 41.7)	142		-24.6 (SD 42)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 54wk	Continuous	135		148.1 (SD 40.1)	142		143.9 (SD 35.7)		
	Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wkb	Mean change	135		-0.4 (SD 2.32)	142		0.4 (SD 1.79)		

Table 4: Aronoff et al. (2000)

Table 4. Al	onoff et al. (2000)
General	Phase:  monotherapy dual therapy triple therapy insulin monotherapy insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: USA  Authors' conclusions: Pioglitazone monotherapy significantly improves Hba1c and FBG while producing beneficial effects on serum lipids in patients with type 2 diabetes with no evidence of drug induced hepatoxicity  Source of funding: Takeda  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 408 Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes, with Hba1c >=7% FBG>=140 mg/dl and fasting c-peptide >1 ng/ml Exclusion criteria: patients with chronic insulin use, history of ketoacidosisunstable or rapidly progressive diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy or neuropathy, impaired liver or renal function, patients with myocardial infarction, TIA or cerebrovascular event within 6 months were excluded Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 6 to 8 week single blind washout phase
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: patients who were receiving antidiabetic medicine were required to discontinue at the start of the washout period (8 weeks before starting treatment)
Lifestyle advice	There were no required modifications of current dietary regimens during the study
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 26 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 26 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients were seen every 2 weeks for the first 6 weeks and every 4 weeks for the remaining 20 weeks
Arms	(1) Placebo N: 79 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 56 Comments: patients who were receiving antidiabetic medicine were required to discontinue at the start of the washout period (8 weeks before starting treatment) Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) (2) Pioglitazone (15 mg) N: 81 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 56 Comments: patients who were receiving antidiabetic medicine were required to discontinue at the start of the washout period (8 weeks before starting treatment)

Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):15

Details of dosing regimen: 15mg/d

### (3) Pioglitazone (30 mg)

N: 87

Treatment duration (wks): 26

Washout period (d): 56

Comments: patients who were receiving antidiabetic medicine were required to discontinue at the start of the washout period (8 weeks before starting treatment)

Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):30

Details of dosing regimen: 30 mg/day

### (4) Pioglitazone (45 mg)

N: 80

Treatment duration (wks): 26

Washout period (d): 56

Comments: patients who were receiving antidiabetic medicine were required to discontinue at the start of the washout period (8 weeks before starting treatment)

Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):45

Details of dosing regimen: 45 mg/day

### (5) Pioglitazone (7.5mg)

N: 81

Treatment duration (wks): 26

Washout period (d): 56

Comments: patients who were receiving antidiabetic medicine were required to discontinue at the start of the washout period (8 weeks before starting treatment)

Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):7.5

Details of dosing regimen: 7.5mg/day

### (6) Any pioglitazone

N: 329

Treatment duration (wks): 26

Washout period (d): 56

Comments: patients who were receiving antidiabetic medicine were required to discontinue at the start of the washout period (8 weeks before starting treatment)

Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose

Details of dosing regimen: 7.5, 15, 30 or 45mg/d

### Outcomes

### General

Total dropouts not reported

### Baseline characteristics

			All study	participants
		N	k	mean
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	329		54
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	329	191a	(58.1%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	329		b
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	329		b
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	329		С
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	329		С

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> NR; estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

				Placebo	Pi				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	79		10.4 (SD 2)	81		10.2 (SD 1.8)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> NR

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	79	14.87955 (SD 3.91)	81	14.8185 (SD 3.97)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	79	90.4 (SD 13.1)	81	91.2 (SD 16.2)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	79	5.808156 (SD 1.25)	81	5.6892 (SD 1.27)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	79	1.078362 (SD 0.285)	81	1.044744 (SD 0.289)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	79	2.967012 (SD 3.45)	81	3.204102 (SD 3.5)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	79	3.589368 (SD 1.04)	81	3.410934 (SD 1.08)

			Placebo		Pioglitazone (30 mg)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	79		10.4 (SD 2)	87		10.2 (SD 1.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)  – 0wk	Continuous	79		14.87955 (SD 3.91)	87		14.9517 (SD 4)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	79		90.4 (SD 13.1)	87		90.3 (SD 14.7)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	79		5.808156 (SD 1.25)	87		5.759022 (SD 1.28)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	79		1.078362 (SD 0.285)	87		1.055088 (SD 0.292)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	79		2.967012 (SD 3.45)	87		2.947819 (SD 3.52)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	79		3.589368 (SD 1.04)	87		3.506616 (SD 1.04)		

		Placebo		Pioglitazone (45 mg)					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	79		10.4 (SD 2)	80		10.3 (SD 1.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)  – 0wk	Continuous	79		14.87955 (SD 3.91)	80		15.29025 (SD 4)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	79		90.4 (SD 13.1)	80		90.8 (SD 14)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	79		5.808156 (SD 1.25)	80		5.526282 (SD 1.28)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	79		1.078362 (SD 0.285)	80		1.052502 (SD 0.289)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	79		2.967012 (SD 3.45)	80		2.932013 (SD 3.52)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	79		3.589368 (SD 1.04)	80		3.279048 (SD 1.06)		

Pi	og	litazone (15 mg)	Р	iog	litazone (30 mg)			
N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	81	10.2 (SD 1.8)	87	10.2 (SD 1.9)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	81	14.8185 (SD 3.97)	87	14.9517 (SD 4)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	81	91.2 (SD 16.2)	87	90.3 (SD 14.7)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	81	5.6892 (SD 1.27)	87	5.759022 (SD 1.28)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	81	1.044744 (SD 0.289)	87	1.055088 (SD 0.292)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	81	3.204102 (SD 3.5)	87	2.947819 (SD 3.52)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	81	3.410934 (SD 1.08)	87	3.506616 (SD 1.04)

		Pioglitazone (15 mg)			Pioglitazone (45 mg)				
		N	N k mean		N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	81		10.2 (SD 1.8)	80		10.3 (SD 1.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	81		14.8185 (SD 3.97)	80		15.29025 (SD 4)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	81		91.2 (SD 16.2)	80		90.8 (SD 14)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	81		5.6892 (SD 1.27)	80		5.526282 (SD 1.28)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	81		1.044744 (SD 0.289)	80		1.052502 (SD 0.289)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	81		3.204102 (SD 3.5)	80		2.932013 (SD 3.52)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	81		3.410934 (SD 1.08)	80		3.279048 (SD 1.06)		

		Pioglitazone (15 mg)			Pioglitazone (7.5mg)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	81		10.2 (SD 1.8)	81		10 (SD 1.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	81		14.8185 (SD 3.97)	81		14.6076 (SD 3.95)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	81		91.2 (SD 16.2)	81		93.5 (SD 14.3)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	81		5.6892 (SD 1.27)	81		5.54697 (SD 1.26)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	81		1.044744 (SD 0.289)	81		1.04733 (SD 0.289)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	81		3.204102 (SD 3.5)	81		3.60151 (SD 3.48)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	81		3.410934 (SD 1.08)	81		3.178194 (SD 1.05)		

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	87		10.2 (SD 1.9)	80		10.3 (SD 1.9)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)  – 0wk	Continuous	87		14.9517 (SD 4)	80		15.29025 (SD 4)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	87		90.3 (SD 14.7)	80		90.8 (SD 14)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	87		5.759022 (SD 1.28)	80		5.526282 (SD 1.28)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	87		1.055088 (SD 0.292)	80		1.052502 (SD 0.289)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	87		2.947819 (SD 3.52)	80		2.932013 (SD 3.52)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	87		3.506616 (SD 1.04)	80		3.279048 (SD 1.06)

		Pi	iog	litazone (45 mg)	Pioglitazone (7.5mg)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	80		10.3 (SD 1.9)	81		10 (SD 1.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	80		15.29025 (SD 4)	81		14.6076 (SD 3.95)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	80		90.8 (SD 14)	81		93.5 (SD 14.3)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	80		5.526282 (SD 1.28)	81		5.54697 (SD 1.26)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	80		1.052502 (SD 0.289)	81		1.04733 (SD 0.289)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	80		2.932013 (SD 3.52)	81		3.60151 (SD 3.48)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	80		3.279048 (SD 1.06)	81		3.178194 (SD 1.05)		

		An	y piog	litazone
		N	k	mean
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 26wk	Dichotomous	329	4	(1.2%)
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 26wk	Dichotomous	329	250	(76.0%)
cardiovascular AE – 26wk	Dichotomous	329	12	(3.6%)
Edema peripheral – 26wk	Dichotomous	329	12a	(3.6%)
Headache – 26wk	Dichotomous	329	41a	(12.5%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 26wk <sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in	Dichotomous text)	329	50	(15.2%)

		Placebo		Pioglitazone (15 mg)					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	79		0.7 (SD 0.889)	81		-0.12 (SD 0.99)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	79		0.7 (SD 1.51)	81		-0.3 (SD 1.51)		

HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	79		11.1 (SD 2.31)	81		9.9 (SD 2.43)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 14wka	Mean change	79		0.6105 (SD 2.47)	81		-1.8315 (SD 2.5)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	79		0.5217 (SD 3.4)	81		-1.6428 (SD 3.38)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wkb	Mean change	79		-1.3 (SD 3.2)	79		1.3 (SD 2.97)
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 26wkc	Dichotomous	79	2	(2.5%)	81	3	(3.7%)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	79		5.984004 (SD 1.2)	81		5.852118 (SD 1.16)
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	79		0.113784 (SD 0.356)	81		0.118956 (SD 0.363)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	79		1.145598 (SD 0.287)	81		1.174044 (SD 0.277)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	79		0.209466 (SD 0.467)	81		0.364626 (SD 0.477)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	79		0.054192 (SD 0.472)	81		-0.10161 (SD 0.482)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	79		2.852983 (SD 2.08)	81		2.55154 (SD 2.05)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	79		0.124128 (SD 0.602)	81		0.186192 (SD 0.621)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	79		3.669534 (SD 1.17)	81		3.573852 (SD 1.05)
Aranoff (2000) & drug naive Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	25		0.45 (SD 1)	26		-0.6 (SD 1.02)
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	25		0.6 (SD 1.45)	26		-0.8 (SD 1.43)
Aranoff (2000) & previous OADs							
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	54		0.8 (SD 0.882)	53		0 (SD 0.946)
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	54		0.8 (SD 1.47)	53		-0.1 (SD 1.48)

a extracted from graph
b SD calculated from reported SE
c approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

				Placebo	Pioglitazone (30 mg)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	79		0.7 (SD 0.889)	87		-0.12 (SD 1.03)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	79		0.7 (SD 1.51)	87		-0.3 (SD 1.57)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	79		11.1 (SD 2.31)	87		9.9 (SD 2.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 14wka	Mean change	79		0.6105 (SD 2.47)	87		-2.22 (SD 2.59)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	79		0.5217 (SD 3.4)	87		-1.7649 (SD 16.5)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wkb	Mean change	79		-1.3 (SD 3.2)	87		1.3 (SD 3.54)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	79	2c	(2.5%)	87	4d	(4.6%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	79		5.984004 (SD 1.2)	87		5.88315 (SD 1.3)		
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	79		0.113784 (SD 0.356)	87		0.085338 (SD 0.371)		

HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	79	1.145598 (SD 0.287)	87	1.1637 (SD 0.302)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	79	0.209466 (SD 0.467)	87	0.315492 (SD 0.492)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	79	0.054192 (SD 0.472)	87	-0.108384 (SD 0.49)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	79	2.852983 (SD 2.08)	87	2.542508 (SD 2.61)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	79	0.124128 (SD 0.602)	87	0.134472 (SD 0.596)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	79	3.669534 (SD 1.17)	87	3.604884 (SD 1.23)
Aranoff (2000) & drug naive Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	25	0.45 (SD 1)	26	-0.6 (SD 1.02)
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	25	0.6 (SD 1.45)	26	-0.6 (SD 1.48)
Aranoff (2000) & previous OADs Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	54	0.8 (SD 0.882)	58	0.1 (SD 1.07)
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	54	0.8 (SD 1.47)	58	0 (SD 1.45)

a extracted from graph
b SD calculated from reported SE
c approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
d approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

				Placebo	Pi	ogl	itazone (45 mg)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	79		0.7 (SD 0.889)	80		-0.6 (SD 1.07)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	79		0.7 (SD 1.51)	80		-0.9 (SD 1.57)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	79		11.1 (SD 2.31)	80		9.4 (SD 2.59)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 14wka	Mean change	79		0.6105 (SD 2.47)	80		-3.219 (SD 2.98)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	79		0.5217 (SD 3.4)	80		-3.10245 (SD 3.31)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wkb	Mean change	79		-1.3 (SD 3.2)	79		2.8 (SD 3.49)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	79	2c	(2.5%)	80	4	(5.0%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	79		5.984004 (SD 1.2)	80		5.849532 (SD 1.22)		
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	79		0.113784 (SD 0.356)	80		0.165504 (SD 0.368)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	79		1.145598 (SD 0.287)	80		1.236108 (SD 0.361)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	79		0.209466 (SD 0.467)	80		0.493926 (SD 0.479)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	79		0.054192 (SD 0.472)	80		-0.104997 (SD 0.486)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	79		2.852983 (SD 2.08)	80		2.471381 (SD 1.44)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	79		0.124128 (SD 0.602)	80		0.15516 (SD 0.622)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	79		3.669534 (SD 1.17)	80		3.50403 (SD 1.02)		

Aranoff (2000) & drug naive Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	25	0.45 (SD 1)	21	-1.45 (SD 1.15)
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	25	0.6 (SD 1.45)	21	-1.9 (SD 1.51)
Aranoff (2000) & previous OADs Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	54	0.8 (SD 0.882)	55	-0.35 (SD 1.04)
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	54	0.8 (SD 1.47)	55	-0.6 (SD 1.48)

a extracted from graph
b SD calculated from reported SE
c approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

		Pi	ogli	tazone (15 mg)	Pi	ogli	tazone (30 mg)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	81		-0.12 (SD 0.99)	87		-0.12 (SD 1.03)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	81		-0.3 (SD 1.51)	87		-0.3 (SD 1.57)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	81		9.9 (SD 2.43)	87		9.9 (SD 2.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 14wka	Mean change	81		-1.8315 (SD 2.5)	87		-2.22 (SD 2.59)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	81		-1.6428 (SD 3.38)	87		-1.7649 (SD 16.5)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wkb	Mean change	79		1.3 (SD 2.97)	87		1.3 (SD 3.54)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	81	3с	(3.7%)	87	4d	(4.6%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	81		5.852118 (SD 1.16)	87		5.88315 (SD 1.3)		
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	81		0.118956 (SD 0.363)	87		0.085338 (SD 0.371)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	81		1.174044 (SD 0.277)	87		1.1637 (SD 0.302)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	81		0.364626 (SD 0.477)	87		0.315492 (SD 0.492)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	81		-0.10161 (SD 0.482)	87		-0.108384 (SD 0.49)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	81		2.55154 (SD 2.05)	87		2.542508 (SD 2.61)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	81		0.186192 (SD 0.621)	87		0.134472 (SD 0.596)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	81		3.573852 (SD 1.05)	87		3.604884 (SD 1.23)		
Aranoff (2000) & drug naive Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	26		-0.6 (SD 1.02)	26		-0.6 (SD 1.02)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	26		-0.8 (SD 1.43)	26		-0.6 (SD 1.48)		
Aranoff (2000) & previous OADs Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	53		0 (SD 0.946)	58		0.1 (SD 1.07)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	53		-0.1 (SD 1.48)	58		0 (SD 1.45)		

a extracted from graph
b SD calculated from reported SE
c approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
d approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

		Pi	oali	tazone (15 mg)	Pi	oal	itazone (45 mg)		
		N	k	mean	N	Ť	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	81		-0.12 (SD 0.99)	80		-0.6 (SD 1.07)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	81		-0.3 (SD 1.51)	80		-0.9 (SD 1.57)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	81		9.9 (SD 2.43)	80		9.4 (SD 2.59)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 14wka	Mean change	81		-1.8315 (SD 2.5)	80		-3.219 (SD 2.98)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	81		-1.6428 (SD 3.38)	80		-3.10245 (SD 3.31)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wkb	Mean change	79		1.3 (SD 2.97)	79		2.8 (SD 3.49)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	81	3с	(3.7%)	80	4	(5.0%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	81		5.852118 (SD 1.16)	80		5.849532 (SD 1.22)		
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	81		0.118956 (SD 0.363)	80		0.165504 (SD 0.368)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	81		1.174044 (SD 0.277)	80		1.236108 (SD 0.361)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	81		0.364626 (SD 0.477)	80		0.493926 (SD 0.479)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	81		-0.10161 (SD 0.482)	80		-0.104997 (SD 0.486)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	81		2.55154 (SD 2.05)	80		2.471381 (SD 1.44)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	81		0.186192 (SD 0.621)	80		0.15516 (SD 0.622)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	81		3.573852 (SD 1.05)	80		3.50403 (SD 1.02)		
Aranoff (2000) & drug naive Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	26		-0.6 (SD 1.02)	21		-1.45 (SD 1.15)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	26		-0.8 (SD 1.43)	21		-1.9 (SD 1.51)		
Aranoff (2000) & previous OADs Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	53		0 (SD 0.946)	55		-0.35 (SD 1.04)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	53		-0.1 (SD 1.48)	55		-0.6 (SD 1.48)		

a extracted from graph
b SD calculated from reported SE
c approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

		Pi	ogl	litazone (15 mg)	Pioglitazone (7.5mg)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	81		-0.12 (SD 0.99)	81		0.25 (SD 0.9)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	81		-0.3 (SD 1.51)	81		0.2 (SD 1.52)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	81		9.9 (SD 2.43)	81		10.2 (SD 2.25)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 14wka	Mean change	81		-1.8315 (SD 2.5)	81		-1.11 (SD 2.5)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	81		-1.6428 (SD 3.38)	81		-1.00455 (SD 3.36)		

Body weight:	Mean						
Weight (kg) – 26wkb	change	79		1.3 (SD 2.97)	81		-0.6 (SD 2.61)
Dropouts:							
Dropout due to AEs – 26wkc	Dichotomous	81	3	(3.7%)	81	2	(2.5%)
Lipids:				5.852118 (SD			5.593518 (SD
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	81		1.16)	81		1.17)
	Mean			0.118956 (SD			0.059478 (SD
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	change	81		0.363)	81		0.363)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	81		1.174044 (SD 0.277)	81		1.122324 (SD 0.305)
	Mean			0.364626 (SD			0.204294 (SD
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	change	81		0.477)	81		0.477)
	Mean			-0.10161 (SD			0.100481 (SD
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	change	81		0.482)	81		0.481)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	81		2.55154 (SD 2.05)	81		2.981689 (SD 3.36)
	Mean			0.186192 (SD			0.02586 (SD
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	change	81		0.621)	81		0.621)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	81		3.573852 (SD 1.05)	81		3.289392 (SD 0.856)
Aranoff (2000) & drug naive							
Blood glucose:	Mean						
HbA1c (%) – 14wka	change	26		-0.6 (SD 1.02)	27		0.07 (SD 1.04)
	Mean						
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	change	26		-0.8 (SD 1.43)	27		0 (SD 1.45)
Aranoff (2000) & previous OADs							
Blood glucose:	Mean						
HbA1c (%) – 14wka	change	53		0 (SD 0.946)	53		0.4 (SD 1.09)
	Mean						
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	change	53		-0.1 (SD 1.48)	53		0.3 (SD 1.46)

a extracted from graph
b SD calculated from reported SE
c approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

		Pi	ogli	tazone (30 mg)	Pi	ogl	litazone (45 mg)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	87		-0.12 (SD 1.03)	80		-0.6 (SD 1.07)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	87		-0.3 (SD 1.57)	80		-0.9 (SD 1.57)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	87		9.9 (SD 2.7)	80		9.4 (SD 2.59)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 14wka	Mean change	87		-2.22 (SD 2.59)	80		-3.219 (SD 2.98)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	87		-1.7649 (SD 16.5)	80		-3.10245 (SD 3.31)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wkb	Mean change	87		1.3 (SD 3.54)	79		2.8 (SD 3.49)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	87	4c	(4.6%)	80	4	(5.0%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	87		5.88315 (SD 1.3)	80		5.849532 (SD 1.22)		
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	87		0.085338 (SD 0.371)	80		0.165504 (SD 0.368)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	87		1.1637 (SD 0.302)	80		1.236108 (SD 0.361)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	87		0.315492 (SD 0.492)	80		0.493926 (SD 0.479)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	87		-0.108384 (SD 0.49)	80		-0.104997 (SD 0.486)		

Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	87	2.542508 (SD 2.61)	80	2.471381 (SD 1.44)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	87	0.134472 (SD 0.596)	80	0.15516 (SD 0.622)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	87	3.604884 (SD 1.23)	80	3.50403 (SD 1.02)
Aranoff (2000) & drug naive Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	26	-0.6 (SD 1.02)	21	-1.45 (SD 1.15)
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	26	-0.6 (SD 1.48)	21	-1.9 (SD 1.51)
Aranoff (2000) & previous OADs Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	58	0.1 (SD 1.07)	55	-0.35 (SD 1.04)
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	58	0 (SD 1.45)	55	-0.6 (SD 1.48)

a extracted from graph
b SD calculated from reported SE
capproximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

		Pi	ogl	litazone (45 mg)	Р	iogli	tazone (7.5mg)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	80		-0.6 (SD 1.07)	81		0.25 (SD 0.9)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	80		-0.9 (SD 1.57)	81		0.2 (SD 1.52)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	80		9.4 (SD 2.59)	81		10.2 (SD 2.25)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 14wka	Mean change	80		-3.219 (SD 2.98)	81		-1.11 (SD 2.5)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	80		-3.10245 (SD 3.31)	81		-1.00455 (SD 3.36)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wkb	Mean change	79		2.8 (SD 3.49)	81		-0.6 (SD 2.61)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	80	4	(5.0%)	81	2c	(2.5%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	80		5.849532 (SD 1.22)	81		5.593518 (SD 1.17)		
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	80		0.165504 (SD 0.368)	81		0.059478 (SD 0.363)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	80		1.236108 (SD 0.361)	81		1.122324 (SD 0.305)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	80		0.493926 (SD 0.479)	81		0.204294 (SD 0.477)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	80		-0.104997 (SD 0.486)	81		0.100481 (SD 0.481)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	80		2.471381 (SD 1.44)	81		2.981689 (SD 3.36)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	80		0.15516 (SD 0.622)	81		0.02586 (SD 0.621)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	80		3.50403 (SD 1.02)	81		3.289392 (SD 0.856)		
Aranoff (2000) & drug naive Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	21		-1.45 (SD 1.15)	27		0.07 (SD 1.04)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	21		-1.9 (SD 1.51)	27		0 (SD 1.45)		
Aranoff (2000) & previous OADs Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wka	Mean change	55		-0.35 (SD 1.04)	53		0.4 (SD 1.09)		

HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	55	-0.6 (SD 1.48)	53	0.3 (SD 1.46)	
<sup>a</sup> extracted from graph <sup>b</sup> SD calculated from reported SE <sup>c</sup> approximated to nearest integer (pe	rcentages only	prese	ented in text)			

### Table 5: Aschner et al. (2006)

Table 5: As	chner et al. (2006)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Australia, South America, Europe including UK, New Zealand, Hong Kong and other countries  Authors' conclusions: In this 24-week study, once-daily sitagliptin monotherapy improved glycemic control in the fasting and postprandial states, improved measures of beta-cell function, and was well tolerated in patients with type 2 diabetes.  Source of funding: sponsored by Merck  Comments: multinational, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 741 Inclusion criteria: Patients, 18–75 years of age, on and not on an OHA were eligible.  Exclusion criteria: Patients with type 1 diabetes, unstable cardiac disease, significant renal impairment, or elevated (more than twofold the upper limit of normal) alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, or creatine phosphokinase were excluded.  Pre-randomisation phase: At screening, patients with an A1C of 7–10% and not on an OHA for >=8 weeks were eligible to directly enter a 2-week single-blind placebo run-in period; patients with A1C >10% and not on an OHA entered a run-in period of up to 6 weeks; patients with an A1C of 6–10% and on an OHA discontinued the agent and entered a wash-out period of 6–10 weeks (8–12 weeks for those on thiazolidinediones). If A1C was 7–10% after the wash-out period, patients were eligible to enter the placebo run-in period. Patients with adequate compliance (>=75%) during the placebo run-in period underwent baseline evaluation and were randomised
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: washout of 6-10 weeks (8-12 weeks for pioglitazone)
Lifestyle advice	Patients received counseling on exercise and a weight-maintenance diet consistent with American Diabetes Association recommendations throughout the study
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Not reported
Arms	(1) Sitagliptin 100 mg N: 238 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 84 Comments: Max washout was 12 weeks (for thiazolidinediones) Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: During the study, patients not meeting progressively stricter glycemic goals were provided rescue therapy (metformin) until study completion. Glycemic rescue criteria were FPG >15.0 mmol/l (270 mg/dl) between randomization (day 1) and week 6, FPG >13.3 mmol/l (240 mg/dl) after week 6 through week 12, or FPG >11.1 mmol/l (200 mg/dl) after week 12 through week 24.
	N: 253

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 84

Comments: Max washout was 12 weeks (for thiazolidinediones)

Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) – fixed-dose Frequency of dosing: once a day

### **Outcomes**

### General

Only data from 2/3 arms were extracted as one arm related to sitagliptin 200 mg which is above the recommended dose in the SPC. Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include Insulin, c-peptides, insulin/glucose AUC ratio and measures of insulin resistance

Efficacy analyses were based on the allpatients- treated (APT) population, consisting of all randomized patients who received at least one dose of study treatment and who had both a baseline and at least one postbaseline measurement. Safety and tolerability were assessed

in patients who received at least one dose of study medication by review of safety parameters. To avoid the confounding influence of rescue therapy on efficacy comparisons, data collected after initiation of rescue therapy were treated as missing.

14.7% patients in placebo and 12.2% in sitagliptin 100 mg discontinued

### Baseline characteristics

		Sit	aglip	tin 100 mg	Placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	238		53.4 (SD 9.5)	253		54.3 (SD 10.1)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	238	136	(57.1%)	253	130	(51.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	238		4.3 (SD 4.9)	253		4.6 (SD 4.7)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	238	122	(51.3%)	253	127	(50.2%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	238	10	(4.2%)	253	16	(6.3%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	238	32	(13.4%)	253	34	(13.4%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	238	58	(24.4%)	253	64	(25.3%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	238	16	(6.7%)	253	12	(4.7%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	238		8 (SD 0.9)	253		8 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	238		9.5 (SD 2.4)	253		9.8 (SD 2.3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	238		30.3 (SD 5.2)	253		30.8 (SD 5.5)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	238		85 (SD 18.4)	253		85 (SD 18.1)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	238	124	(52.1%)	253	129	(51.0%)		

		Sitagliptin mg				Plac	ebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	229		7.43 (SD 0.757)	244		8.2 (SD 1.09)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	229		-0.61 (SD 1)	244		0.18 (SD 0.956)		<=0.001
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	229		7.4 (SD 0.757)	244		8.2 (SD 1.25)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wkb	Dichotomous	229	94	(41.0%)	244	41	(16.8%)		

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	234		-0.7 (SD 2.34)	247		0.3 (SD 2.41)	<=0.001
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	201		-2.7 (SD 3.62)	204		-0.1 (SD 3.64)	<=0.001
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkc	Mean change	238		-0.2 (SD 3.09)	253		-1.1 (SD 3.18)	4-0.001
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous		2	(0.8%)	253	2	(0.8%)	d
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous			(2.1%)	253		(1.2%)	NS
Any adverse event(s) –	Dichotomous		157	(66.0%)	253	167	(66.0%)	
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous		12	(5.0%)	253		(3.6%)	
Serious AE drug related – 24wk	Dichotomous			(0.8%)	253	1	(0.4%)	
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous		23	(9.7%)	253	19	(7.5%)	
Arthralgia – 24wk	Dichotomous		3	(1.3%)	253	7	(2.8%)	
				,			,	
Back pain – 24wk	Dichotomous		4	(1.7%)	253	11	(4.3%)	
Cough – 24wk	Dichotomous			(2.5%)	253	8	(3.2%)	
Dizziness – 24wk	Dichotomous	238	3	(1.3%)	253	4	(1.6%)	
Fatigue – 24wk	Dichotomous	238	3	(1.3%)	253	5	(2.0%)	
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	238	39	(16.4%)	253	29	(11.5%)	d
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	238	11	(4.6%)	253	6	(2.4%)	NS
GI: vomiting – 24wk	Dichotomous	238	3	(1.3%)	253	3	(1.2%)	NS
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	238	5	(2.1%)	253	4	(1.6%)	NS
GI: constipation – 24wk	Dichotomous	238	9	(3.8%)	253	3	(1.2%)	d
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	238	11	(4.6%)	253	12	(4.7%)	
Hyperglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	238	5	(2.1%)	253	5	(2.0%)	
Hypertension – 24wk	Dichotomous	238	6	(2.5%)	253	5	(2.0%)	
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous		21	(8.8%)	253	22	(8.7%)	
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous		17	(7.1%)	253	12	(4.7%)	
Pain (extremity) – 24wk	Dichotomous			(1.3%)	253		(2.4%)	
Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 24wk	Dichotomous			(0.8%)	253		(2.4%)	
Temperature/influenza – 24wk	Dichotomous		11	(4.6%)	253		(4.7%)	
UTI – 24wk	Dichotomous	238	5	(2.1%)	253	7	(2.8%)	
Dropouts:				,			,	
Total dropouts – 24wke	Dichotomous	238	29	(12.2%)	253	37	(14.6%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 24wke	Dichotomous	238	5	(2.1%)	253	5	(2.0%)	
drop out due to drug related AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	238	1	(0.4%)	253	2	(0.8%)	
drop out due to SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	238	3	(1.3%)	253	3	(1.2%)	
drop out due to drug related SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	238	0	(0.0%)	253	1	(0.4%)	
Other medication:  Taking rescue medication  – 24wk	Dichotomous	238	21	(8.8%)	253	52	(20.6%)	<0.001

Baseline Hba1c <8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	130	253	MD=-0.590 (CI: -0.825, -0.355)	a				
baseline Hba1c >=9% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	62	253	MD=-0.800 (CI: -1.133, -0.467)	а				
Baseline Hba1c 8% to <9% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	MD=-1.510 (CI: -1.902, -1.118) a								
b approximated to nearest int SD calculated from reported not reported	<ul> <li>a estimated from graph</li> <li>b approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)</li> <li>c SD calculated from reported SE</li> </ul>								
from baseline at week 24, wi handled using the last observable. The between group difference squares mean change (or pe	ANCOVA was used to compare treatment groups for continuous efficacy parameters, focusing on change from baseline at week 24, with baseline values and prior OHA status as covariates. Missing data were handled using the last observation carried forward meth  The between group differences for efficacy end points were assessed by testing the difference in the least-squares mean change (or percentage of change) from baseline at week 24. The proportion of patients achieving A1C <7% was compared among								
groups using a logistic regres GI events were not reported									

### Table 6: Aschner et al. (2010)

Tubic 0. 7	schner et al. (2010)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: conducted at 113 sites in 23 countries  Authors' conclusions: in this 24 week monotherapy study, sitagliptin was non-inferior to metformin in improving Hba1c in treatment naïve patients with type 2 diabetes. Although both treatments were generally well tolerated, a lower incidence of gastrointestinal related adverse events were observed with sitagliptin  Source of funding: funded by Merck & Co  Comments: Multinational, double-blind randomsied active control study-randomisation using computer generated allocation schedule but no details of allocation concealment or blinding reported. ECG read by technicians blinded to treatment assignment.
Number and characteristic s of patients	Total number of patients: 1050 Inclusion criteria: Men and women with type 2 diabetes (18-78 years of age) who were treatment naïve (i.e.not taking an antihyperglycaemic agent for at least 16 weeks prior to study entry) with Hba1c 6.5-9.0% were eligible to participate.  Exclusion criteria: type 1 diabetes, FPG<120 mg/dl or >250 mg/dl, unstable cardiac disease, significant renal impairment, elevated aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, or creatinine phosphokinase or tryglycerides >600 mg/dl were excluded. Patients were discontinued for lack of efficacy based on progressively stricter glycaemic criteria: from randomisation to week 6 FPG>270 (15 mmol/l); from >week 6 to week 12 FPG>240 mg/dl (13.3 mmol/l); and from >week 12 to week 24 FPG>210 mg/dl (11.7 mmol/l)  Pre-randomisation phase: 2-week placebo run-in period before randomisation. Baseline measurements were taken after placebo run-in period. Assumed titration occurs during maintenance period.
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Drug naïve was defined as those not receiving AHAs 16 weeks prior to enrollment. No specific details provided regarding proportion on individuals who were previously on AHAs.  2 week placebo run in period
Lifestyle advice	patients were expected to follow a recommended regimen of diet and exercise for the duration of the study
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 26

Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24

Frequency of monitoring appointments: No details reported

### **Arms**

### (1) Sitagliptin

N: 528

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):100

Frequency of dosing: once a day

### (2) Metformin

N: 522

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – forced titration

Minimum dose (mg/d): 1000 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2000

Participants achieving full dose (n): 386

Frequency of dosing: variable

Details of dosing regimen: Metformin 500 mg (or matching placebo) was intiated at a dose of one tablet daily and uptitrated to two 500 mg tablets twice daily (1000 mg bid) over a maximum 5 week period. Down titration of metformin was permitted for intolerance to a minimum allowed dose of 1000 mg/day. The mean dose of metformin after week 6 in the PP population was 1903 mg/day. During the course of the study. 96.4% of patients in the PP population reached a maximum dose of metformin of 2000 mg, and 88% of patients in the

PP population were on the maximum dose of 2000 mg at week 24

#### **Outcomes**

### General

Per protocol population (PP) consisted of patients who completed the study and did not have any reasons for exclusion from this population, including absence of baseline or on-treatment data at the week 24 visit or major protocol violations [sitagliptin n=455 and metformin n=439]. The primary efficacy analysis used the PP population. Additional efficacy analyses for Hba1c were performed on the full analysis set (FAS) cohort that consisted of all randomised patients who had received at least one dose of the study treatment and who had both a baseline and at leats one postbaseline efficacy measurement. Missing values were imputed using the last observation carried forward approach.

The safety analysis was based on 24 week results for the all patients as treated (ApaT) population, which consisted of all randomised patients who received at least one dose of study medication

Outcomes not reported in this evidence table include fasting insulin, proinsulin, HOMA-IR, HOMA-beta, proinsulin/insulin ratio, non HDL cholesterol

61 (12%) patients in sitagliptin and 75 (14%) in metformin group discontinued the study

# Baseline characteristic s

			Sit	tagliptin		Ме	etformin		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
PP Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	455		56.3 (SD 10.7)	439		55.7 (SD 10.3)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	455	217	(47.7%)	439	194	(44.2%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	455		2.6 (SD 3.9)	439		2.1 (SD 3.5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	455		7.2 (SD 0.7)	439		7.2 (SD 0.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	455		7.9032 (SD 1.77)	439		7.87545 (SD 1.84)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	455		30.7 (SD 4.7)	439		30.9 (SD 4.9)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	455		86.64768 (SD 13.3)	439		87.21216 (SD 13.8)		

<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	455			439			MD=0.14 0 (CI: 0.060, 0.220)	a
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomou s	455			439			MD=- 7.100 (CI: -12.900, - 1.300)	b
Hba1c <6.5% – 24wk	Dichotomou s	455			439			MD=- 5.600 (CI: -11.800, 0.600)	С
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	455			439			MD=0.44 4 (CI: 0.250, 0.638)	d
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	458			446			0.000)	<0.001
Hypoglycaemic events: Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomou s	528	9	(1.7%)	522	17 e	(3.3%)		
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 24wkf	Count	8358 0	17		8139 6	23			
Adverse events: Gl: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomou s	528	6	(1.1%)	522	16	(3.1%)	MD=- 1.900 (CI: -3.900, 0.100)	g
Any adverse event(s)  – 24wkh	Dichotomou s	528	19 8	(37.5%)	522	21 5	(41.2%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomou s	528	10	(1.9%)	522	8	(1.5%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomou s	528	31	(5.9%)	522	87	(16.7%)		
Arthralgia – 24wk	Dichotomou s	528	5	(0.9%)	522	5	(1.0%)	MD=0.00 0 (CI: - 1.400, 1.400)	i
Back pain – 24wk	Dichotomou s	528	9	(1.7%)	522	9	(1.7%)	MD=0.00 0 (CI: - 1.700, 1.700)	j
Bronchitis – 24wk	Dichotomou s	528	4	(0.8%)	522	7	(1.3%)	MD=- 0.600 (CI: -2.100, 0.900)	k
Cough — 24wk	Dichotomou s	528	1	(0.2%)	522	8	(1.5%)	MD=- 1.300 (CI: -2.800, 0.200)	I
Death – 24wk	Dichotomou s	528		(0.2%)	522	0	(0.0%)		
	Dichotomou							MD=0.70 0 (CI: - 0.800,	
Dizziness – 24wk	Dichotomou	528	9	(1.7%)	522	5	(1.0%)	2.200) MD=- 1.200 (CI: -2.600,	n
Dyspepsia – 24wk Fatigue – 24wk	Dichotomou s	528 528	6	(1.1%)	522 522	6	(1.3%)	0.200) MD=0.00 0 (CI: - 1.500, 1.500)	p

Dichotomou s	528	61 q	(11.6%)	522	10 8	(20.7%)	MD=- 9.100 (CI: -13.600, - 4.600)	r
Dichotomou s	528	19	(3.6%)	522	57	(10.9%)	MD=- 7.300 (CI: -10.600, - 4.000)	<0.001 s
Dichotomou							MD=- 1.000 (CI: -2.400,	t
Dichotomou s							MD=- 1.700 (CI: -4.000,	u
Dichotomou							MD=- 1.000 (CI: -2.700,	V
Dichotomou							MD=0.70 0 (CI: - 0.800,	n
Dichotomou							MD=0.00 0 (CI: - 2.300,	w
Dichotomou							MD=1.50 0 (CI: 0.000,	x
Dichotomou							MD=- 1.200 (CI: -2.900,	y
Dichotomou							MD=- 1.400 (CI: -3.400,	Z
Dichotomou							MD=- 0.800 (CI: -2.000,	aa
Dichotomou							MD=0.90 0 (CI: - 0.300,	bb
Dichotomou							MD=- 1.000 (CI: -2.200,	
Dichotomou							MD=- 1.900 (CI: -3.700, -	CC
Dichotomou s	528	61	(11.6%)	522	75	(14.4%)	0.100)	dd
Dichotomou s	528	9	(1.7%)	522	19	(3.6%)		
Dichotomou s	528	3	(0.6%)	522	12	(2.3%)		
Dichotomou s	528	3	(0.6%)	522	3	(0.6%)		
Dichotomou s	528	10	(1.9%)	522	1	(0.2%)		
	Dichotomou s  Dichotomou s	Dichotomou s 528  Dichotomou s 528	s         528         q           Dichotomou s         528         19           Dichotomou s         528         2           Dichotomou s         528         11           Dichotomou s         528         6           Dichotomou s         528         9           Dichotomou s         528         17           Dichotomou s         528         12           Dichotomou s         528         5           Dichotomou s         528         10           Dichotomou s         528         1           Dichotomou s         528         7           Dichotomou s         528         61           Dichotomou s         528         9           Dichotomou s         528         3           Dichotomou s         528         3           Dichotomou s         528         3           Dichotomou s         528         3	S         528         q         (11.6%)           Dichotomou s         528         19         (3.6%)           Dichotomou s         528         2         (0.4%)           Dichotomou s         528         11         (2.1%)           Dichotomou s         528         6         (1.1%)           Dichotomou s         528         9         (1.7%)           Dichotomou s         528         17         (3.2%)           Dichotomou s         528         12         (2.3%)           Dichotomou s         528         5         (0.9%)           Dichotomou s         528         10         (1.9%)           Dichotomou s         528         7         (1.3%)           Dichotomou s         528         7         (1.3%)           Dichotomou s         528         61         (11.6%)           Dichotomou s         528         61         (11.6%)           Dichotomou s         528         3         (0.6%)           Dichotomou s         528         3         (0.6%)	S         528         q         (11.6%)         522           Dichotomou s         528         19         (3.6%)         522           Dichotomou s         528         2         (0.4%)         522           Dichotomou s         528         11         (2.1%)         522           Dichotomou s         528         6         (1.1%)         522           Dichotomou s         528         9         (1.7%)         522           Dichotomou s         528         17         (3.2%)         522           Dichotomou s         528         12         (2.3%)         522           Dichotomou s         528         10         (1.9%)         522           Dichotomou s         528         1         (0.2%)         522           Dichotomou s         528         7         (1.3%)         522           Dichotomou s         528         0         (0.0%)         522           Dichotomou s         528         3         (0.6%)         522           Dichotomou s         528         3         (0.6%)         522           Dichotomou s         528         3         (0.6%)         522           Dichotomou s	S         528         q         (11.6%)         522         8           Dichotomou s         528         19         (3.6%)         522         57           Dichotomou s         528         2         (0.4%)         522         7           Dichotomou s         528         11         (2.1%)         522         20           Dichotomou s         528         6         (1.1%)         522         11           Dichotomou s         528         9         (1.7%)         522         5           Dichotomou s         528         17         (3.2%)         522         17           Dichotomou s         528         12         (2.3%)         522         4           Dichotomou s         528         10         (1.9%)         522         17           Dichotomou s         528         1         (0.2%)         522         17           Dichotomou s         528         7         (1.3%)         522         5           Dichotomou s         528         7         (1.3%)         522         5           Dichotomou s         528         3         (0.6%)         522         13           Dichotomou s         52	S         528         q         (11.6%)         522         8         (20.7%)           Dichotomou s         528         19         (3.6%)         522         57         (10.9%)           Dichotomou s         528         2         (0.4%)         522         7         (1.3%)           Dichotomou s         528         11         (2.1%)         522         20         (3.8%)           Dichotomou s         528         6         (1.1%)         522         11         (2.1%)           Dichotomou s         528         9         (1.7%)         522         5         (1.0%)           Dichotomou s         528         17         (3.2%)         522         17         (3.3%)           Dichotomou s         528         12         (2.3%)         522         17         (3.3%)           Dichotomou s         528         10         (1.9%)         522         11         (2.1%)           Dichotomou s         528         1         (0.2%)         522         5         (1.0%)           Dichotomou s         528         7         (1.3%)         522         5         (1.0%)           Dichotomou s         528         0         (0.	Dichotomou Service   Ser

Lipids: Total cholesterol	Mean	4EE			420			MD=0.08 5 (CI: 0.023,	00
(mmol/l) – 24wk	change	455			439			0.147) MD=- 0.021 (CI:	ee
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	455			439			-0.078, 0.036)	ff
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	455			439			MD=- 0.043 (CI: -0.093, 0.007)	gg
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	455			439			MD=0.22 5 (CI: 0.106, 0.344)	hh
PP	3.							,	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkii	Continuous	455		6.78 (SD 0.213)	439		6.76 (SD 0.21)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	455		6.8 (SD 0.7)	439		6.7 (SD 0.6)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	455		-0.43 (SD 0.544)	439		-0.57 (SD 0.535)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wkq	Dichotomou s	455	15 5	(34.1%)	439	33 4	(76.1%)		
Hba1c <6.5% – 24wkq	Dichotomou s	455	15 5	(34.1%)	439	17 1	(39.0%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkii	Continuous	455		7.271286 (SD 0.592)	439		6.827238 (SD 0.581)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	455		-0.63825 (SD 1.45)	439		-1.0767 (SD 1.48)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	446		7.26495 (SD 1.75)	435		6.8154 (SD 1.54)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	458		-0.6 (SD 3.26)	446		-1.9 (SD 3.23)		
	onange	.00		· · · · ·			0.20)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	455		0.14223 (SD 0.478)	439		0.056892 (SD 0.498)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	455		0.160332 (SD 0.422)	439		0.18102 (SD 0.442)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	455		med: - 0.041773j j	439		med: - 0.013548k k		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	455		0.289632 (SD 0.901)	439		0.06465 (SD 0.912)		
Compliance: Compliance – 24wkll	Continuous	455		98.6	439		98.6		
Baseline Hba1c <7%									
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkii	Mean change	199		-0.2 (SD 0.423)	182		-0.26 (SD 0.405)		
baseline Hba1c between 7 and 8%	3-			-,			,		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkii	Mean change	182		-0.4 (SD 0.675)	184		-0.62 (SD 0.543)		
Baseline Hba1c >=8	Ŭ			,			,		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkii	Mean change	74		-1.14 (SD 0.86)	73		-1.25 (SD 1.03)		
<sup>a</sup> 95% CI 0.06 to 0.21	Silarigo			3.50)	, 5		1.00)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> 95% CI 0.06 to 0.21 <sup>b</sup> difference in proportions 95% CI -12.9 to -1.2% <sup>c</sup> differnce in proportions 95% CI -11.8 to 0.8%

```
d 95% CI 4.5 to 11.4
<sup>e</sup> 2 in sitagliptin group required medical assistance
f (Used in the analysis); Patient days estimated assuming dropouts halfway through the study
<sup>g</sup> 95% CI -3.9 to -0.2%
<sup>h</sup> one or more AE
 95% CI -1.4 to 1.4%
 95% CI -1.7 to 1.7%
k 95% CI -2.1 to 0.8%
 95% CI -2.8 to -0.2%
<sup>m</sup> lung cancer and not due to study drug
<sup>n</sup> 95% CI -0.8 to 2.3%
° 95% CI -2.6 to -0.0%
<sup>p</sup> 95% CI -1.5 to 1.4%
<sup>q</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
 95% CI -13.6 to -4.7%
s 95% CI -10.6 to -4.2%
<sup>t</sup> 95% CI -2.4 to 0.2%
<sup>u</sup> 95% CI -4.0 to 0.3%
<sup>v</sup> 95% CI -2.7 to 0.6%
w 95% CI -2.3 to 2.2%
x 95% CI -0.0 to 3.2%
<sup>y</sup> 95% CI -2.9 to 0.4%
<sup>z</sup> 95% CI -3.4 to 0.6%
<sup>aa</sup> 95% CI -2.0 to 0.3%
bb 95% CI -0.3 to 2.4%
<sup>cc</sup> 95% CI -2.2 to -0.1%
<sup>dd</sup> 95% CI -3.7 to -0.4%
ee 95% CI 0.9 to 5.8
ff 95% CI -3.0 to 1.4
<sup>gg</sup> 95% CI -8.2 to 0.5 (median)
<sup>hh</sup> 95% CI 4.1 to 13.3
ii estimated from graph
<sup>jj</sup> 95% CI -7.2 to -0.2
kk 95% CI -5.2 to 2.7
"mean %
Least squares mean change (LS) were estimated using ANCOVA model with terms for treatment and baseline
Hba1c. Other efficcy endpoints were also analysed for the PP poulation using the same ANCOVA model. A
non-paramateric approach was used for triglycerides, within treatment effects were estimated using medians.
Between treatment differences in clinical AEs of interest (hypoglycaemia and GI events) were evaluated using
Fisher's exact test and Wilson score method respectively. For triglycerides, between treatment effects were estimated using the Hodges-Lehmann estimate with a 95% CI based on Wilcoxon's rank sum test.
```

Table 7: Barnett et al. (2012)

	` ,
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: -  Country: -  Authors' conclusions: -  Source of funding: -  Comments: Study include participants for whome metformin was not indicated
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 227 Inclusion criteria: Adults (18-80 years) with T2DM, were treatment naïve with HbA1c 7-10% or had previously received oral antidiabetic medication with HbA1c 6.5-9%, ineligible for metformin therapy and had a BMI <=40kg/m2 Treatment naïve = no previous glucose lowering medication or washout period of at least 10 weeks Exclusion criteria: -
Previous glucose- lowering	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: 2 to 6 weeks

	Patients previously on OAD had 4 weeks was Treatment naïve patients had 2 weeks ope			-		•	oel pla	aceb	o treatment		
Lifestyle advice	Not reported										
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): - Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: 2 - 34 week extension trial) Stage 1: linagliptin vs placebo (18 weeks) Stage 2: linagliptin vs placebo/glimepiride ( Stage 2 data not extracted as groups are no	Assessi 34 week	s exter					ge 1)	), 52 weeks (S	stag	ļe
Arms	(1) Linagliptin N: 151 Treatment duration (wks): 18 Washout period (d): 42 Comments: Linagliptin dose = 5mg once a 44.9% had received OADs Washout period variable depending on pre 6 weeks washout During Stage 1 (first 18 weeks), 17 people Treatment(s): Linagliptin (Oral) – fixed- Set dose (mg/d):5 Frequency of dosing: one (2) Placebo N: 76 Treatment duration (wks): 18 Washout period (d): 42 Comments: 48% had received OAD's Washout period variable depending on pre 6 weeks washout During Stage 1 (first 18 weeks), 13 people	vious OA received dose ce a day	l rescue	e med	dicat	ion (mean d	ays =	43)			
	Treatment(s): Placebo Frequency of dosing: one		l rescue	e med	dicat	ion (mean d	ays =	: 39)			) =
Outcomes	Treatment(s): Placebo		rescue	e med	dicat	ion (mean d	ays =	: 39)			) =
Outcomes  Baseline characteristics	Treatment(s): Placebo		l rescue	e med		agliptin	ays =	,	lacebo		) =
Baseline	Treatment(s): Placebo Frequency of dosing: one		rescue	N N		·	ays =	,		Δ	p
Baseline	Treatment(s): Placebo Frequency of dosing: one  Demographics:	ce a day		N	Lin	agliptin mean 56.4 (SD	N	P	Placebo mean 56.7 (SD	Δ	
Baseline	Treatment(s): Placebo Frequency of dosing: one		uous		Lin k	agliptin mean 56.4 (SD 10.6)		P	rlacebo mean 56.7 (SD 9.7)	Δ	
Baseline	Treatment(s): Placebo Frequency of dosing: one  Demographics: Age (years) – 0wk	ce a day	uous omous	<b>N</b> 151	Lin k	agliptin mean 56.4 (SD 10.6)	<b>N</b> 76	P k	rlacebo mean 56.7 (SD 9.7)	Δ	
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) – 0wk Sex (n male) – 0wk Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continu	uous omous uous	N 151 151 151	Lin k	agliptin mean 56.4 (SD 10.6) (36.4%) 8.1 (SD 1) 183 (SD	N 76 76	P k	Mean 56.7 (SD 9.7) (43.4%) 8.1 (SD 0.9) 181 (SD	Δ	
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) – 0wk Sex (n male) – 0wk Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk  Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 0wk	Continu	uous omous uous	N 151 151	Lin k	agliptin mean 56.4 (SD 10.6) (36.4%) 8.1 (SD 1) 183 (SD 46)	N 76 76	P k	Mean 56.7 (SD 9.7) (43.4%) 8.1 (SD 0.9)	Δ	
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) – 0wk Sex (n male) – 0wk Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continu	uous omous uous uous	N 151 151 151	Lin k	agliptin mean 56.4 (SD 10.6) (36.4%) 8.1 (SD 1) 183 (SD	N 76 76	P k	Mean 56.7 (SD 9.7) (43.4%) 8.1 (SD 0.9) 181 (SD	Δ	
Baseline	Treatment(s): Placebo Frequency of dosing: one  Demographics: Age (years) – 0wk Sex (n male) – 0wk  Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk  Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 0wk  Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continu Continu Continu Continu	uous omous uous uous	N 151 151 151 151	Lin k	agliptin mean 56.4 (SD 10.6) (36.4%) 8.1 (SD 1) 183 (SD 46) 29.1 (SD 5.6) 77 (SD	N 76 76 76 76	P k	Placebo mean 56.7 (SD 9.7) (43.4%) 8.1 (SD 0.9) 181 (SD 45) 30.2 (SD 5) 80.9 (SD	Δ	
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) – 0wk Sex (n male) – 0wk Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk  Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 0wk Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk  Weight (kg) – 0wk  Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:	Continu Continu Continu Continu Continu Continu	uous omous uous uous uous	N 151 151 151 151 151	Lin k	agliptin mean 56.4 (SD 10.6) (36.4%) 8.1 (SD 1) 183 (SD 46) 29.1 (SD 5.6) 77 (SD 18.8)	N 76 76 76 76 76	P k 333	Mean 56.7 (SD 9.7) (43.4%) 8.1 (SD 0.9) 181 (SD 45) 30.2 (SD 5) 80.9 (SD 19.1)	Δ	
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) – 0wk Sex (n male) – 0wk Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk  Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 0wk Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk  Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop	Continu Continu Continu Continu Continu Continu	uous omous uous uous uous	N 151 151 151 151 151 147	Lin k 55	agliptin mean 56.4 (SD 10.6) (36.4%) 8.1 (SD 1) 183 (SD 46) 29.1 (SD 5.6) 77 (SD 18.8) (55.1%)	N 76 76 76 76 76	P k 333	Mean  56.7 (SD 9.7)  (43.4%)  8.1 (SD 0.9)  181 (SD 45)  30.2 (SD 5)  80.9 (SD 19.1)  (52.1%)	Δ	
Baseline characteristics	Demographics: Age (years) – 0wk Sex (n male) – 0wk Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk  Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 0wk Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk  Weight (kg) – 0wk  Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:	Continu Continu Continu Continu Continu Continu	uous omous uous uous uous	N 151 151 151 151 151	Lin k 55	agliptin mean 56.4 (SD 10.6) (36.4%) 8.1 (SD 1) 183 (SD 46) 29.1 (SD 5.6) 77 (SD 18.8) (55.1%)	N 76 76 76 76 76	P k 333	Mean 56.7 (SD 9.7) (43.4%) 8.1 (SD 0.9) 181 (SD 45) 30.2 (SD 5) 80.9 (SD 19.1)	Δ	

Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 18wk	Count	18144	2		8820	0		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 18wka	Dichotomous	151	14	(9.3%)	76	12	(15.8%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 18wk	Dichotomous	151	1b	(0.7%)	76	0a	(0.0%)	
Randomised set/treated set Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkc	Mean change	129		-0.56667 (SD -0.757)	57		0.24444 (SD 1.07)	
<sup>a</sup> Data taken from flow diagram <sup>b</sup> Data taken from flow diagram. Discrepancy with data in Table <sup>c</sup> Estimated from graph. SD estimated from SE								

Table 8: Barzilai et al. (2011)

General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: USA  Authors' conclusions: In this study, sitagliptin treatment significantly and rapidly improved glycaemic measures and was well tolerated in patients aged 65 years and older with type 2 diabetes  Source of funding: funded by Merck & Co  Comments: Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. A computer generated schedule was used for randomisation sequence but details of allocation concealment and blinding were not reported
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 206 Inclusion criteria: Eligible patients were of either gender, community dwelling, at least 65 years of age, and had type 2 diabetes that was inadeqautely controlled by diet and exercise alone (Hba1c 7-10%). Patients on oral antihyperglycaemic agent (AHA) monotherapy or low-dose combination therapy at the time of screening were eligible to participate if Hba1c following washout of therapy was between 7 and 10%  Exclusion criteria: Patients who received insulin or exenatide within 8 weeks prior to screening were excluded. Also excluded were patients with type 1 diabetes, active liver disease, a recent change in cardiovascular status (such as ACS) or an estimated creatinine clearance (eCrCl) <35 ml/min. Following randomisation, patients were discontinued if they did not meet progressively lower limits for FPG: <=270 mg/dl through week 6, <=240 mg/dl after week 6 through week 12 and <=200 mg/dl thereafter  Pre-randomisation phase: Randomisation was preceded by an 8-10 week period of AHA washout and /or adjustment of blood pressure, lipid altering, or thyroid medication that included the 2-week placebo (single blind) run-in period. Patients who required no washout or adjustment of medications entered directly into the 2 week placebo run-in period approx 1 week after screening. For patients who had taken AHA therapy other than Thiazolidnedione within 8 weeks prior to screening, the washout period was 6 weeks. For patients who had taken a Thiazolidinedione within 8 weeks prior to screening, the duration of the washout/dose adjustment period was 8 weeks. Thus the combined washout/dose adjustment and placebo run-in periods were 8 weeks in those who discontinued other AHA therapies and 10 weeks in patients who discontinued therapy with a thiazolidinedione. To qualify for randomisation, patients were required to have a Hba1c between 7 and 10% at the time of entry into the placebo run-in periodand a fingerstick fasting blood glucose <=260 mg/dl upon completion of the run-in period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: washout varied between 8-10 weeks (see pre-randomisation for more details of washout)
Lifestyle advice	Not reported
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 34 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Physical examinations were performed at the screening visit, the

randomisation visit and the final study visit (week 24). No further details reported.

### Arms

### (1) Sitagliptin

N: 102

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 70

Comments: Washout 6-8 weeks and 2 week placebo run-in period

Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):100

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Compliance: compliance was assessed by counting the remaining tablets

Details of dosing regimen: patients took 2 tablets of 50 mg sitagliptin (100 mg/day) once daily if their current eCrCl was >=50 and one tablet if their eCrCl was <50 and >=30 ml/min. It was prespecified that a decline to <30 ml/min would result in discontinuation

### (2) Placebo

N: 104

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 70

Comments: Washout 6-8 weeks and 2 week placebo run-in period

Treatment(s): Placebo

### **Outcomes**

### General

Efficacy outcomes were analysed using populations defined for each endpoint as the set of all randomised patients who received at least one dose of study medication and had both a baseline measurement and at least one post-randomisation measurement of the respective end-point. Safety and tolerability were analysed using all randomised patients who received at least one dose of the double-blind study medication (placebo n=104, sitagliptin n=102)

Outcomes not reported in this evidence table include fasting insulin, proinsulin to insulin ratio, c-peptide, HOMA-beta, HOMA-IR, QUICKI, 1,5-anhydroglucitol. Analyses from pre-specified subgroups were only extracted if measures of dispersion were reported

47 (45%) in placebo group and 32 (31%) in sitagliptin group discontinued the study

### Baseline characteristics

			Sit	agliptin					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	102		71.6 (SD 6.1)	104		72.1 (SD 6)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	102	48	(47.1%)	104	49	(47.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	102		7.2 (SD 7.3)	104		7 (SD 7.5)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	102	76	(74.5%)	104	86	(82.7%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	102	10	(9.8%)	104	9	(8.7%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	102	3	(2.9%)	104	3	(2.9%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	102	9	(8.8%)	104	6	(5.8%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	102	4	(3.9%)	104	0	(0.0%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	102		7.8 (SD 0.8)	104		7.8 (SD 0.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	102		9.768 (SD 2.72)	104		9.3795 (SD 2.11)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	102		30.8 (SD 5.9)	104		31.1 (SD 7.2)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	102		85.6 (SD 16.6)	104		85.8 (SD 16.5)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:  Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous	102	59	(57.8%)	104	64	(61.5%)		

R	es	iul	ts

		Sita	gliptin	Placebo					
	N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	101		7.47 (SD 0.502)	91		8.06 (SD 0.763)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	101		-0.5 (SD 1.03)	91		0.2 (SD 0.973)		0.043b
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	101		-0.5 (SD 1.03)	91		0.2 (SD 0.973)	MD=-0.700 (CI: -0.900, - 0.500)	<0.001
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	101		7.51 (SD 0.703)	91		8.12 (SD 0.954)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	101	35	(34.7%)	91	14	(15.4%)		<0.001c
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Continuous	101		8.658936 (SD 1.67)	91		9.71355 (SD 2.12)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wka	Continuous	101		8.88096 (SD 1.95)	91		10.102092 (SD 2.65)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	96		-0.888 (SD 3.61)	88		0.6105 (SD 3.72)	MD=-1.499 (CI: -2.220, - 0.777)	<0.001
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	74		-2.886 (SD 5.36)	68		0.4995 (SD 4.9)	MD=-3.386 (CI: -4.551, - 2.220)	<0.001
0.5-h postprandial (mmol/l) – 24wkd	Continuous								
self-monitored BG level (mg/dl) – 24wk	Mean change	59		-25.7 (SD 33.3)	62		-2.1 (SD 34.5)	MD=-23.500 (CI: -32.600, -14.400)	<0.001
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	101		-1.1	91		-1.7e		0.299
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	0	(0.0%)	104	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	100	_		104	0			
Any adverse event(s)	Dichotomous	102	U	(0.0%)	104	U	(0.0%)	MD=-6.800 (CI: -20.000,	
<ul><li>– 24wk</li><li>Any serious adverse</li></ul>	Dichotomous	102	47	(46.1%)	104	55	(52.9%)	6.400) MD=-6.600 (CI: -15.200,	
event(s) – 24wk Serious AE drug	Dichotomous	102	7	(6.9%)	104	14	(13.5%)	2.000)	
related – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	0	(0.0%)	104	0	(0.0%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	11	(10.8%)	104	9	(8.7%)	MD=2.100 (CI: -6.300, 10.500)	
Bone fracture – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	0	(0.0%)	104	2	(1.9%)		f
cardiovascular AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	2	(2.0%)	104	6	(5.8%)		NS
confusion – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	0	(0.0%)	104	4	(3.8%)	MD=-3.800 (CI: -9.500, 1.900)	
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous			(1.0%)	104		(1.0%)	MD=0.000 (CI: -4.300, 4.300)	
GI: vomiting – 24wk	Dichotomous			(0.0%)	104		(1.0%)	MD=-1.000 (CI: -5.200, 3.200)	
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous			(2.0%)	104		(0.0%)	MD=2.000 (CI: -1.960, 5.960)	
GI: constipation – 24wk	Dichotomous			(4.9%)	104		(0.0%)	MD=4.900 (CI: 0.400, 9.400)	

Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	3	(2.9%)	104	5	(4.8%)	MD=-1.900 (CI: -8.100, 4.300)	
Laboratory adverse events – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	3	(2.9%)	104	2	(1.9%)		f
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	4	(3.9%)	104	3	(2.9%)	MD=1.000 (CI: -4.700, 6.700)	
Pain (extremity) – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	5	(4.9%)	104	3	(2.9%)	MD=2.000 (CI: -3.900, 7.900)	
Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	4	(3.9%)	104	2	(1.9%)	MD=2.000 (CI: -3.400, 7.400)	
UTI – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	4	(3.9%)	104	6	(5.8%)	MD=-1.800 (CI: -8.500, 4.900)	
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	32	(31.4%)	104	47	(45.2%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	5	(4.9%)	104	3	(2.9%)	MD=2.000 (CI: -3.900, 7.900)	
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Count	102			104				0.068g
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	11	(10.8%)	104	18	(17.3%)		
Baseline Hba1c <8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	68		-0.4 (SD 0.841)	64		0.2 (SD 1.22)	MD=-0.500 (CI: -0.800, - 0.200)	
baseline Hba1c >=8 to <9%	_							MD=-0.900	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	20		-0.6 (SD 0.913)	22		0.4 (SD 0.957)	(CI: -1.400, - 0.400)	
baseline Hba1c >=9% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - 24wk	Mean change	13		-0.8 (SD 0.92)	5		0.8 (SD 0.799)	MD=-1.600 (CI: -2.400, - 0.800)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph

Least squares mean (LS-mean) changes from baseline in continuous efficacy variables and between group differences were evaluated using an ANCOVA model. This model included terms for treatment, prior AHA status (on or not on AHA therapy within 8 weeks prior to screening visit), age and baseline eCrCl. When endpoint data was missing, last measurements were carried forward. For AEs with an incidence of 4 or more patients in either group, between group differences were estimated with 95% CI using Wilson score method. Between group comparisons of five prespecified AEs were assessed using Fisher's exact test. Estimates for adverse events are difference in percentage.

Table 9: Bautista et al. (2003)

General	Phase:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> for interaction of treatment by hba1c subgroup

on other details reported graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> No SD reported

not reported

g Kaplan-Meier

Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: USA (7 centres in California)

Authors' conclusions: The results indicate that once daily glimpiride plus diet/exercise was effective in Mexican Americans with type 2 diabetes whose disease was inadequately controlled with diet/exercise alone. It appeared to be well tolerated in the population studied. More weight gain was seen with glimepiride compared with placebo.

Source of funding: funded by a research grant from Aventis Pharmaceuticals

Comments: Multicentre, randomised, double-blind placebo controlled trial but no details of randomisation

methods, allocation concelament or blinding

#### Number and characteristics of patients

Total number of patients: 70

Inclusion criteria: Mexican American men and women aged 35-80 years were eligible to participate if they had uncontrolled type 2 diabetes, with an FPG level between 120 and 225 mg/dl and a Hba1c value of 8 to 10.5%. Patients must have attempted glycaemic control for at least 3 months with diet/exercise alone.

Exclusion criteria: A history of pharmacologic therapy for diabetes during the previous 3 months or over 6 months use of continuous or intermittent insulin therapy precluded participation. Additional exclusion criteria included clinically relevant medical or psychological condition, participation in an investigational drug study within 1 month of study entry and pregnancy or lactation. Patients were withdrawn from the study for severe hypoglycaemia

Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 3 to 14 day screening period before randomisation. It has been assumed that baseline measurements were taken at the screening period and the endpoint measures were taken at approximately 16 weeks.

#### **Previous** alucoselowering therapy

Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin

Details of washout period: no AHAs in the previous 3 months

#### Lifestyle advice

continued diet and exercise

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 16

Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 14

Frequency of monitoring appointments: No details reported

#### Arms

#### (1) Glimepiride

N: 48

Treatment duration (wks): 14 Washout period (d): 0

Sulfonylurea (Oral) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Treatment(s):

Minimum dose (mg/d): 1 Maximum dose (mg/d): 4

Participants achieving full dose (n): 25 Frequency of dosing: once a day

Compliance: perecnt compliance with study medication was estimated by the number of dispensed versus returned capsules in each administration interval

Details of dosing regimen: 1 mg once daily. All patients were instructed to take 1 capsule with breakfast or the first meal after study visits that required overnight fasting. Titration of glimepiride from 1 mg/day (level 1) to 2 mg/day (level 2) and 4 mg/day (level 3) was permitted at clinic visits 3 to 5 in patients with FPG level >120 mg/dl. In patients taking glimpiride for 91 and 120 days, maintenance doses were 1, 2 and 4mg once daily in 8%, 13% and 60% respectively (assumed ITT population used of 42 in glimpiride group)

#### (2) placebo

N: 22

Treatment duration (wks): 14 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s):

Placebo (Oral)

Frequency of dosing: once a day Compliance: see glimepiride

Details of dosing regimen: Placebo was titrated accordingly for blinding purposes

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

All analyses were performed in the ITT population comprising of all randomised patients who received study medication and had >=1 pretreatment and postrandomisation assessment. For variables that did not include analysis of change from baseline, the ITT analysis inluded all randomised patients in whom study medication exposure occurred and a postrandomisation assessment was recorded. A per protocol population consisting of ITT patients without any major protocol violations was used in a supportive analysis of the primary efficacy variable.

7 (15%) patient in the glimpiride and 7 (32%) patients in placebo group did not complete the study Outcomes not reported in this evidence table include fasting insulinfibrogen and PAI-1 levels

### **Blood glucose**

Hba1c <=8% (excellent Hba1c defined as <7% and good Hba1c defined as 7-8%)

#### Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (severe hypoglycaemia was defined as requiring assistance from another person for symptoms consistent with hypoglycaemia in conjunction with a blood glucose level <50 mg/dl or prompt recovery after oral carbohydrate, IV glucose or glucagon administration. Hypoglycaemia was also classed as severe if >=1 criterion for reporting a serious adverse event form was met (e.g. death, immediately life threatening))

# Baseline characteristics

		А	ll study	participants
		N	k	mean
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Metformin	Dichotomous	70	10a	(14.3%)
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	70	13a	(18.6%)
Other	Dichotomous	70	2a	(2.9%)
Insulin therapy	Dichotomous	70	3a	(4.3%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

			GI	imepiride			placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years) a	Continuous	48		48.4 (SD 11.7)	22		50.7 (SD 10)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	48	27	(56.3%)	22	11	(50.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs) a	Continuous	48		4.2 (SD 5.8)	22		5.7 (SD 8.4)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	48		10 (SD 1.8)	22		10.5 (SD 2.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	48		12.21 (SD 3.88)	22		12.432 (SD 4.16)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	48		83.3 (SD 17)	22		76.3 (SD 18.5)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> paper reports SE but these are assumed to be SD

### Results

		(	Glime	piride		pla	cebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Mean change	48		-2.3 (SD 0.3)	22		-0.7 (SD 0.3)	MD=-1.800 (CI: -2.584, -1.016)	<0.001b
HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Mean change	48		-2.3 (SD 0.3)	22		-0.7 (SD 0.3)	MD=-2.100 (CI: -2.884, -1.316)	<0.001c
Hba1c <=8% - 16wk	Dichotomous	42	37	(88.1%)	18	8	(44.4%)		<0.001
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wka	Continuous	39		9.768 (SD 2.77)	15		11.8215 (SD 4.94)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	48			22			MD=-2.592 (CI: -4.408, -0.775)	0.007d
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wke	Continuous	42		2.3	18		-2.1		
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Mean change	42			18			MD=4.800 (CI: 2.644, 6.956)	<0.001f
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 16wk	Dichotomous	48	0	(0.0%)	22	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 16wk	Dichotomous	48	27	(56.3%)	22	13	(59.1%)		

Any serious adverse event(s) – 16wk Headache – 16wk	Dichotomous Dichotomous			(2.1%) (8.3%)	22		(4.5%) (9.1%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous			(14.6%)			(31.8%)		
Compliance: Compliance – 16wk	Dichotomous	48	45g	(93.8%)	22	20h	(90.9%)		
<sup>a</sup> SE estimated from graph <sup>b</sup> 95% CI -2.6 to -1.0 for ITT <sup>c</sup> per protocol analysis <sup>d</sup> 95% CI -80 to -13 <sup>e</sup> No SD reported <sup>f</sup> 95% CI 2.6 to 7.0 <sup>g</sup> approximated to nearest in (percentages only presented) <sup>h</sup> approximated to nearest in	teger (percenta I in text)						approxima	ated to nearest in	nteger
Assumed SE reported in gra Changes in Hba1c, FPG and with treatment group and stu analysed and percent deviat values were not reported for	d body weight fudy site as fixed tion from 100%	d ma usir	in eff	ects and b	oáse	line t	he covaria	ite. Compliance v	was

Table 10: Birkeland et al. (1994)

Table 10. Dil	keland et al. (1994)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: unclear but assumed Sweden  Authors' conclusions: Both glipizide and glyburide may achieve and maintain glycaemic reduction and stinulation of insulin secretion during long-term treatment. However, these agents do not prevent the gradual increase in overall glycaemia that develops over time in NIDDM patients  Source of funding: Supported by grant from Farmitalia Carlo Erba and Sweedish National Corporation of Pharmacies  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 46 Inclusion criteria: patients with NIDDM, who were non-pharmacologically treated, Hba1c between 7 and 11% Exclusion criteria: severe intercurrent illness or signs of chronic cardiac, hepatic, pulmonary or renal disease
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: -
Lifestyle advice	During the run-in period there was renewed ADA nutritional recommendations
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 64 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 64 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
Arms	(1) Glibenclamide N: 15 Treatment duration (wks): 64 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Treatment naïve Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Mean dose (mg/d): 5.5 Minimum dose (mg/d): 1.75

Details of dosing regimen: dose was adjusted weekly to achieve FBG <8.0 mmol/l and

Hba1c <7.5%

### (2) Placebo

N: 16

Treatment duration (wks): 60 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Treatment naïve Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

(3) Glipizide

N: 15

Treatment duration (wks): 60 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Treatment naïve

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Mean dose (mg/d): 9.4

Details of dosing regimen: Glipizide, po. One tablet (2.5 mg/day), adjusted weekly by adding 1 tablet at the time to achieved target. Max dose was 6 tablets/day (4 before breakfast + 2 before dinner). The mean doses of glipizide were 5 and 9.4 mg/day at 3 and

15 months respectively.

#### (4) Any sulfonylurea

N: 30

Treatment duration (wks): -Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Treatment naïve

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Details of dosing regimen: glibenclamide, po. One tablet (1.75 mg) in the morning, dose adjusted weekly by adding 1 tablet at the time. Max 6 tablets a day (4 before breakfast, 2 before dinner). Mean doses of glibenclamide at 3 and 15 months were 2.6 and 5.5 mg/day

respectively.

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Total dropouts not reported

# Baseline characteristics

		All study participants							
		N	k	mean					
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	46		59 (SD 7)					
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	46	22	(47.8%)					
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	46		26.4 (SD 3.9)					
Weight (kg)	Continuous	46		74.51136 (SD 11.00736) a					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		G	Glibenclamide			Placebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0moa	Continuous	15		8.3 (SD 1.4)	16		8.05 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	15		9.5 (SD 2.4)	16		9 (SD 2.4)		

a estimated from graph

		G	Glibenclamide				Glipizide		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0moa	Continuous	15		8.3 (SD 1.4)	15		7.9 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	15		9.5 (SD 2.4)	15		10.1 (SD 2.7)		

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2015

					Placebo		(	Glipizide		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0moa	Continuous	16	6	` ,	15		7.9 (SD 1)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo a estimated from graph	Continuous	16	5	9 (SD 2.4)	15		10.1 (SD 2.7)		
Results			(	Glil	penclamide			Placebo		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose:									
	HbA1c (%) – 3moa		15		7.1 (SD 0.9)	16		8.1 (SD 1)		
	HbA1c (%) – 6moa	Continuous	15		7.35 (SD 0.9)	16		8.1 (SD 1)		
	HbA1c (%) – 12moa	Continuous	15		7.3 (SD 1.3)	16		8.5 (SD 0.95)		
	HbA1c (%) – 15mo	Continuous	15		7.55 (SD 1.4) a	16		8.6 (SD 1)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12mo		15		7.7 (SD 1.7)	16		9.8 (SD 3.1)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 64mo	Continuous	15		8.4 (SD 3.1)	16		10.1 (SD 3)		
	<sup>a</sup> estimated from graph									
				Glil	benclamide			Glipizide		
			N	b	mean	N	k	mean	_	р
			IN	^	IIIeaii	IN	, n	illean	Δ	þ
	Blood glucose:	Continuous	15		71 (SD 0.0)	15		7.2 (SD.0.0)		
	HbA1c (%) – 3moa  HbA1c (%) – 6moa	Continuous			7.1 (SD 0.9) 7.35 (SD 0.9)	15		7.2 (SD 0.9) 7.5 (SD 1.1)		
	HbA1c (%) – 12moa	Continuous			7.3 (SD 1.3)	15		7.6 (SD 0.7)		
	HbA1c (%) – 15moa	Continuous	15		7.55 (SD 1.4)	15		7.75 (SD 0.8)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12mo	Continuous	15		7.7 (SD 1.7)	15		9.1 (SD 2.1)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 64mo	Continuous	15		8.4 (SD 3.1)	15		9.3 (SD 2.4)		
	<sup>a</sup> estimated from graph									
				Glil	benclamide	Α	ny	sulfonylurea		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	15		7.1 (SD 0.9) a	30		7.6 (SD 1.1) b		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 64mo a estimated from graph	Continuous	15		8.4 (SD 3.1)	30		8.9 (SD 2.8) c		
	from cochrane review from cochrane									
				F	Placebo		(	Glipizide		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose:									
	HbA1c (%) – 3moa	Continuous	16		8.1 (SD 1)	15		7.2 (SD 0.9)		
	HbA1c (%) - 6moa	Continuous	16		8.1 (SD 1)	15		7.5 (SD 1.1)		
	HbA1c (%) – 12moa	Continuous	16		8.5 (SD 0.95)	15		7.6 (SD 0.7)		

Continuous	16	8.6 (SD 1)	15	7.75 (SD 0.8) a
Continuous	16	9.8 (SD 3.1)	15	9.1 (SD 2.1)
Continuous	16	10.1 (SD 3)	15	9.3 (SD 2.4)
		Placebo	Δn	y sulfonylurea
	Continuous	Continuous 16 Continuous 16 Continuous 16	Continuous 16 9.8 (SD 3.1)	Continuous 16 9.8 (SD 3.1) 15 Continuous 16 10.1 (SD 3) 15

			Ρ	lacebo	Ar	ıy:	sulfonylurea			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p	)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	16		8.1 (SD 1) a	30		7.6 (SD 1.1) b			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 64mo	Continuous	16		10.1 (SD 3)	30		8.9 (SD 2.8) c			
Hypoglycaemic events:  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 64mo	Dichotomous	16	0	(0.0%)	30	0	(0.0%)			
Dropout due to AEs – 64mo	Dichotomous	16	4	(25.0%)	30	2	(6.7%)			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> from cochrane

			Glipizide				sulfonylurea		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	15		7.2 (SD 0.9) a	30		7.6 (SD 1.1) b		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 64mo	Continuous	15		9.3 (SD 2.4)	30		8.9 (SD 2.8) c		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph

### Table 11: Bosi et al. (2009)

#### General Phase: ☑ monotherapy □ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: over 250 centres in USA, Canada, Europe, South America and India Authors' conclusions: In treatment naïve patients, combinations of vildagliptin and both high dose and low dose metformin provide superior efficacy to monotherapy treatments with a comparable overall tolerability profile and low risk og hypoglycaemia. The potential dose sparing effect of adding vildagliptin to low-dose metformin in preference to the uptitration of metformin may allow patients to achieve equivalent or superior Hba1c lowering without the GI tolerability issues associated with higher odses of metformin Source of funding: Supported by Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation Comments: double-blind, randomised, active-controlled study-no details of randomisation methods, allocation concealment or blinding Number and Total number of patients: 1179 characteristics Inclusion criteria: patients diagnosed with type 2 diabetes for >= 4 weeks were screened and assessed for of patients eligibility. Male and female (non-fertile or using a medically approved birth control method), treatment naïve patients aged 18-78 years with a BMI 22-40 kg/m2, FPG <15 mmol/l and Hba1c 7.5-11% could participate Exclusion criteria: pregnant or lactating women, patients with a history of type 1 diabetes, diabetes resulting from pancreatic injury, secondary forms of diabetes or acute metabolic diabetic complications within

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> from cochrane review

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> from cochrane review

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> from cochrane

the past 6 months. Other exclusion criteria were evidence of significant diabetic complications, acute infections and other concurrent medication that might have affected interpretation of efficacy and safety data, myocardial infarction, coronary artery bypass surgery, unstable angina or stroke within the past 6 months, congestive heart failure requiring pharamcological treatment, ECG abnormalities, liver disease, chronic insulin treatment within the past 6 months, involvement in a previous vildagliptin or other DPP-4 inhibitor trial, use of investigational drugs within 30 days of visit 1, alanine aminotransferase or aspartate aminotransferase >2 times the upper limit of the normal range (ULN), total bilirubin > 2 times ULN or direct bilirunbin >ULN, clinically significantrenal dysfunction or any other clinically significant laboratory abnormalities. Patients who met all the inclusion criteria execpt for glycaemic parameters could participate in a 24 week open label, single arm substudy (data not extracted) Pre-randomisation phase: titrtaion periods for metformin were part of the main treatment period **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or glucoseinsulin lowering Details of washout period: No details provided but inclusion criteria allowed individuals with previous AHA therapy provided none were taken at least 12 weeks prior to enrollment Lifestyle advice no details reported Total follow-up (wks): 24 Follow-up Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: The primary efficacy variable (hba1c) was assessed at weeks -2, 0 (baseline), 2, 4, 6, 12, 18 and 24 Arms (1) Metformin monotherapy (1000 mg bid) N: 294 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Patients should not have received AHA in previous 12 weeks to enrollment Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) - forced titration Set dose (mg/d):2000 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: To mimic clinical practice and avoid potential gastrointestinal Aes metformin was initiated at 500 mg once daily and increased to achieve optimal glycaemic control in 500 mg increments at weeks 2, 4 and 6 (2) Vildagliptin monotherapy (50mg bid) N: 300 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Patients should not have received AHA in previous 12 weeks to enrollment Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100 Frequency of dosing: twice a day Outcomes General The safety population included all patients who received at least one dose of study drug and had at least one post-baseline safety assessment. The ITT population included patients who received at least one dose of the study drug and had at least one post-bseline primary efficacy variable assessment. The primary analysis was based on the ITT population. The last observation carried forward method was usesd for patients missing a week 24 assessment. Discontinuation rates were 11.9% for vildagliptin + high dose metformin, 15.5% for vildagliptin + low dose metformin, 18.3% for vildagliptin and 16.7% for metformin Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include data relating to an open label, single arm substudy where patients did not achieve glycaemic paramaters and were given vildagliptin + high dose metformin (100 mg od + 1000 mg tid). All other outcomes were extracted. Data from 2 arms have not been extracted as they are dose comparisons of 2 OADs (outside protocol for first intensification) Hypoglycaemic events Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (severe hypoglycaemia (episode required hospitalisation or assistance of a third party) were also recorded) symptomatic (confirmed) (Hypoglycaemic events (symptoms suggestive of hypoglycaemia and confirmed by self-monitored plasma glucose <56 mg/dl (3.1 mmol/l)) **Baseline** All study participants characteristics Ν mean

Demographics:				
Age (years)	Continuous	1179		52.8 (SD 10.65)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	1179	684	(58.0%)
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	1179		24.34 (SD 36.91)
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	1179	867	(73.5%)
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	1179	48	(4.1%)
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	1179	122	(10.3%)
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	1179	113	(9.6%)
Ethnicity-Other – wk	Dichotomous	1179	29	(2.5%)
Blood glucose:				
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – wk	Continuous	1179		10.44 (SD 2.85)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – wk	Continuous	1179		10.44 (SD 2.85)
Body weight:				24.27 (22.4.72)
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	1179		31.25 (SD 4.76)
Weight (kg) – wk	Continuous	1179		88.25 (SD 17.68)
Weight (kg) – wk	Continuous	1179		88.25 (SD 17.68)
ITT				
Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – wk	Continuous	1179		8.65 (SD 0.1)
HbA1c (%) – wk	Continuous	1179		8.65 (SD 0.1)

		Met		in monotherapy 00 mg bid)	me		dagliptin erapy (50mg bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	294		52.4 (SD 10.7)	300		53.5 (SD 11)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	294	171	(58.2%)	300	180	(60.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	294		26.26 (SD 39.9)	300		25.48 (SD 39.8)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	294	212	(72.1%)	300	225	(75.0%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	294	14	(4.8%)	300	8	(2.7%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	294	30	(10.2%)	300	30	(10.0%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	294	27	(9.2%)	300	31	(10.3%)		
Ethnicity-Other – 0wk	Dichotomous	294	11	(3.7%)	300	6	(2.0%)		
Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	294		10.48 (SD 2.77)	300		10.32 (SD 3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	294		31.31 (SD 4.58)	300		31.26 (SD 4.82)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	294		88.43 (SD 17.4)	300		87.84 (SD 17.9)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	294		8.62 (SD 0.93)	300		8.68 (SD 1.02)		

Results				thera	ormin py (1000 mg id)		other	gliptin apy (50mg d)		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	294			300				а

HbA1c reduction >=1% – 0wk	Dichotomous	294			300			а
HbA1c reduction >=1% – 24wk	Dichotomous	285	175	(61.4%)	287	158	(55.1%)	
Hba1c reduction >=0.7% – 0wk	Dichotomous	294			300			а
Hba1c reduction >=0.7% – 24wk	Dichotomous	285	205	(71.9%)	287	188	(65.5%)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 0wk	Dichotomous	294			300			а
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 24wk	Dichotomous	285	76	(26.7%)	286	71	(24.8%)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	294			300			а
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	294		-1.92 (SD 2.23)	300		-1.26 (SD 2.25)	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	294			300			а
3 ( 3)	Mean			-1.62 (SD			-0.59 (SD	
Weight (kg) – 24wkb	change	294		3.77)	300		3.81)	
Hypoglycaemic events: symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Count	45276	2		45780	2	С	
Dropouts:	Diehetemeus	204	400	(16.70/)	200	EE	(40.20/)	
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	294 294		(16.7%)	300	55 7	(18.3%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous Dichotomous		13 2	(4.4%)	300		(2.3%)	
drop out due to SAE – 24wkd  Drop out due to unsatisfactory	Dicholomous	294	2	(0.7%)	300	4	(1.3%)	
effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	294	5	(1.7%)	300	12	(4.0%)	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wke	Continuous	285		7.33 (SD 1.35)	287		7.5 (SD 1.36)	
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	285		-1.4 (SD 1.01)	287		-1.1 (SD 1.02)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	283	123		285	114	(40.0%)	
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 0wk	Dichotomous	294			300			а
Safety population								
Hypoglycaemic events:  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	292	1	(0.3%)	297	0	(0.0%)	
Adverse events:	Dionotomodo	202	•	(0.070)	201		(0.070)	
GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	292	17	(5.8%)	297	7	(2.4%)	
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	292	175	(59.9%)	297	153	(51.5%)	
Any serious adverse event(s)								
– 24wk	Dichotomous		12	(4.1%)	297	4d	(1.3%)	
Asthenia – 24wk	Dichotomous		4	(1.4%)	297	4	(1.3%)	
Back pain – 24wk	Dichotomous		11	(3.8%)	297	6	(2.0%)	
Cough – 24wk	Dichotomous		9	(3.1%)	297	8	(2.7%)	
Dizziness – 24wk  Dyspepsia – 24wk	Dichotomous		12	(4.1%)	297	8	(2.7%)	
Fatigue – 24wk	Dichotomous Dichotomous		5 15	(1.7%)	297 297	3 6	(1.0%)	
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous		32	(11.0%)	297	7	(2.0%)	
GI: vomiting – 24wk	Dichotomous		7	(2.4%)	297	1	(0.3%)	
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous		10	(3.4%)	297	6	(2.0%)	
GI: constipation – 24wk	Dichotomous		5	(1.7%)	297	10	(3.4%)	
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	292	13	(4.5%)	297	16	(5.4%)	
Hypertension – 24wk	Dichotomous		10	(3.4%)	297	7	(2.4%)	
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous		8	(2.7%)	297	10	(3.4%)	
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	292	14	(4.8%)	297	11	(3.4%)	
Pain (extremity) – 24wk	Dichotomous		7	(2.4%)	297	5	(3.7%)	
i aiii (OxuGiiiity) — 24WK	אטוווטוטווטעט	232	'	(4.770)	231	J	(1.1 /0)	

Baseline Hba1c <=8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	90		-0.8 (SD 0.854)	94		-0.8 (SD 1.07)
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	90	46	(51.1%)	94	60	(63.8%)
Hba1c>9.0% Blood glucose: HbA1c reduction >=1% - 24wk	Dichotomous	86	73	(84.9%)	98	65	(66.3%)
Hba1c>=10% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change			-2.6f			-1.5g
a not reported b SD calculated from reported SE c (Used in the analysis) d approximated to nearest integer e estimated from graph; SD calcula total n for subgroup not reported g total n for subgroup not reported	ated from repor SE 0.26	nly pres ted SE	sented	d in text)			
Change from baseline in Hba1c was classification variables and baseline mean. To control for multiple type was used. Between-group compar	ne Hba1c as co 1 errors, the m	variate. in test a	Data nd Ho	are presented ochberg's mult	l as leas iple test	st squ ing st	ares adjusted ep-up procedure

## Table 12: Braun D, Schonherr (1996)

Table 12: Br	aun D,Schonherr (1996)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Germany  Authors' conclusions: The anti-hyperglycaemic action of acarbose is sufficiemt to justify its use as a first line drug for patients with type 2 diabetes not adequately controlled by diet alone  Source of funding: Unclear  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 152 Inclusion criteria: Patients with type 2 diabetes who are not adequatly controlled by diet alone, with diabetes for at least 2 months, FBG >=7.8 mmol/l, Hba1c >=8% and <=13% Exclusion criteria: Previous treatemnt with OADs or insulin, severe impairment of hepatic or renal function, severe intestinal disorders Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 4 week run-in period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: 4 week run in period; all diet controlled only
Lifestyle advice	-
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
Arms	(1) Placebo N: 44 Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): - Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

#### (2) Acarbose

N: 42

Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): -

Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):300

Details of dosing regimen: Braun (1996)-acarbose, week 1-2 50 mg TID, week 3-24 100

mg TID

Campbell (1998)- acarbose 100 mg TID

Chan (1998)-acarbose, week 1-4 50 mg TID, week 5-24 100 mg TID

Coniff (1995b)- acarbose 100 mg TID. The dosage was titrated at 2 week intervals

depending on drug assignment Fischer (1998)-acarbose 25 mg TID

Gentile (1999)-acarbose, week 1 50 mg TID, week 2-12 100 mg TID

Hanefeld (1991)-acarbose 100 mg TID

Hoffman (1990)-acarbose, week 1-4 50 mg TID, week 5-25 100 mg TID (for one patient

dose reduced to 100 mg BID)

Hoffman (1994)-acarbose 100 mg TID Hoffman (1997)-acarbose 100 mg TID

Holman (1999)-acarbose, 50 mg once, BID & TID at two-week intervals; 4 months after

start dosage increased in 3 weeks period with 50 mg per step to 100 mg TID

Hotta (1993)- acarbose 100 mg TID

Meneilly (2000)-acarbose, week 1: 50 mg once daily, week 2: 50 mg BID, week 3: 50 mg TID, week 4-52 titrated upward to 100 mg TID when post-load blood glucose > 12 mmol/l,

downtitrated in case of intolerance

Rosenthal (2002)-acarbose, 50 mg TID, uptitrated to 100 mg TID

Salman (2001)- acarbose, week 1 to 4 every week 50 mg increase to 100 mg BID, week 4-24 100 mg TID, dose reduced to 100 mg BID in case of adverse events

Santeusanio (1993)-acarbose 100 mg TID

Scott (1999)- acarbose, week 1-2 50 mg TID, wk 3-16 100 mg TID, dose reduced to 50 mg

TID in case of adverse events

Spengler (1992)-acarbose, week 1-2 50 mg TID, week 3-24 100 mg TID

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Dropouts (total and due to adverse events) not reported

# Baseline characteristics

			F	Placebo		Α	carbose		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	44		61 [rng 42– 74]	42		60 [rng 47– 75]		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	44	24	(54.5%)	42	26	(61.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	44		17 [rng 3– 60]	42		16 [rng 3–48]		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	44		9.9 (SD 1.5)	42		10 (SD 1.5)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	44		10 (SD 2.2)	42		9.9 (SD 2.2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	44		26 [rng 22– 31]	42		26 [rng 20– 32]		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	44		76 (SD 8.6)	42		76.3 (SD 10.1)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	44		6.2 (SD 0.9)	42		6.2 (SD 1.2)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	44		1.2 (SD 0.5)	42		1.1 (SD 0.3)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	44		2.1 (SD 0.6)	42		2.1 (SD 0.5)		

			P	lacebo		Α	carbose		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Continuous	44		9 (SD 2)	42		8 (SD 2)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	44		8.9 (SD 2.6)	42		7.5 (SD 2.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wka	Continuous	44		9.5 (SD 2.1)	42		8 (SD 1.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	44		8.9 (SD 2.6)	42		7.5 (SD 2.1)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wka	Continuous	44		76 (SD 7.1)	42		76 (SD 9)		
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	44		76 (SD 7.9)	42		75.3 (SD 9.6)		
Adverse events: Gl: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	44	1	(2.3%)	42	0	(0.0%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	44		5.9 (SD 1)	42		5.3 (SD 0.8)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	44		1.3 (SD 0.4)	42		1.2 (SD 0.3)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	44		2 (SD 0.5)	42		1.9 (SD 0.5)		
Safety population Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wkb	Dichotomous	57	4	(7.0%)	55	21	(38.2%)		
a estimated from graph b No of patients									

## **Table 13: Bruce et al. (2006)**

Tubic Tot Bi	uce et al. (2000)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: unclear  Authors' conclusions: In this exploratory study the administration of metformin-glibenclamide combination tablets to patients hyperglycaemic despite treatment with diet and exercise was associated with an increased second-phase insulin response, compared with glibenclamide alone.  Source of funding: Funded by a grant from Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals  Comments: double-blind, randomised, multicentre, 3 arm trial. Matching placebos were used in a triple-dummy design but no other details of randomisation methods or allocation concealment
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 50 Inclusion criteria: Patients were men or women aged 20-75 years, with a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes within the previous 5 years, and Hba1c >6.7% but <=9.5% on diet and exercise. Subjects were either drug naïve or id not receive any antihyperglycaemia therapy during the 8 weeks prior to screening.  Exclusion criteria: patients were excluded for BMI >40 kg/m2, symptomatic diabetes (marked polyuria and polydipsia with >10% weight loss within 3 months prior to screening), history of chronic insulin use, renal dysfunction, morbid cardiovascular events within 6 months of screening, or other signiifcant renal, hepatic, cardiac or psychiatric disease. Women of child-bearing potential were required to practice a reliable method of contraception  Pre-randomisation phase: A 1 week lead in on a eucaloric, weight maintaining diet was followed by randomisation.
Previous	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening

glucose- lowering therapy	<b>Details of washout period:</b> No details provided but inclusion criteria stipulated that any participants with AHA must have stopped at least 8 weeks prior to enrollment
Lifestyle advice	At enrolment patients received instructions on miantaining a eucaloric diet for the duration of the study.
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 20 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 20 Frequency of monitoring appointments: no details reported
Arms	(1) Metformin  N: 15  Treatment duration (wks): 20  Washout period (d): 0  Comments: Subjects were either drug naïve or id not receive any antihyperglycaemia therapy during the 8 weeks prior to screening  Treatment(s):  Metformin (Oral)  Mean dose (mg/d): 1500  Minimum dose (mg/d): 500  Maximum dose (mg/d): 2000  Frequency of dosing: variable  Compliance: Compliance was assessed by interveiw with the participant, review of the study medication that was dispensed and returned, and via a pharmokinetic sample collected during week 2 of the trial.  Details of dosing regimen: metformin 500 mg tablets were used. Patients started treatment with one tablet of study therapy daily, with the morning meal. The dosage could be increased by a single tablet at weeks 2, 4, 8, 12 or 16 if the self-monitored mean blood glucose (measured 3-5 days before a study visit) was >=7 mmol/l. In addition, study therapy could be titrated at weeks 12 or 16 if Hba1c >7%. The maximum permitted dose of study treatment was four tablets per day. Dosages of more than one tablet/day were divided between the morning and evening meals. Study treatment was reduced by one tablet if fasting blood glucose was <2.8 mmol/l with symptoms suggestive of hypoglycaemia. Patients with documented hypoglycaemia on one tablet/day were withdrawn form the study  (2) Glibenclamide  N: 17  Treatment duration (wks): 20  Washout period (d): 0  Comments: Subjects were either drug naïve or id not receive any antihyperglycaemia therapy during the 8 weeks prior to screening  Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Mean dose (mg/d): 5.6  Minimum dose (mg/d): 5.5
Outcomes	Minimum dose (mg/d): 2.5 Maximum dose (mg/d): 10 Frequency of dosing: variable Details of dosing regimen: Glibenclamide 2.5 mg tablets were used. Patients started treatment with one tablet of study therapy daily, with the morning meal. The dosage could be increased by a single tablet at weeks 2, 4, 8, 12 or 16 if the self-monitored mean blood glucose (measured 3-5 days before a study visit) was >=7 mmol/l. In addition, study therapy could be titrated at weeks 12 or 16 if Hba1c >7%. The maximum permitted dose of study treatment was four tablets per day. Dosages of more than one tablet/day were divided between the morning and evening meals. Study treatment was reduced by one tablet if fasting blood glucose was <2.8 mmol/l with symptoms suggestive of hypoglycaemia in the absence of environmental factors known to contribute to hypoglycaemia. Patients with documented hypoglycaemia on one tablet/day were withdrawn form the study  General  Due to the exploratory nature of this study, no adjustments were made for pair-wise comparisons The primary outcome was the change in second phase insulin response after 20 weeks of double-blind treatment. Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include insulin, C-peptide, insulin sensitivity
	measures and glcose turnover during the OGTT  1 (7%) patient in the metformin group and 2 (12%) in the glibenclamide group disontinued the study prematurely  Data from the third trial arm was not extracted (combination of metformin and glibenclamide) as this comparison was outside the protocol.

	51 (SD 8) 9 (170.6%) 2.4 (SD 1.6) 8 (SD 1.3) 9.8 (SD 2.7) 36 (SD 4)	Δ
313.3%) 17 29 .7 (SD 2.2) 17 .6 (SD 1) 17 .6 (SD 2.6) 17 .7 (SD 2.6) 17 .8 (SD 6) 17	9 (170.6%) 2.4 (SD 1.6) 8 (SD 1.3) 9.8 (SD 2.7) 36 (SD 4)  penclamide k mean -0.7 (SD 1.12) -1.8 (SD	Δ
313.3%) 17 29 .7 (SD 2.2) 17 .6 (SD 1) 17 .6 (SD 2.6) 17 .7 (SD 2.6) 17 .8 (SD 6) 17	9 (170.6%) 2.4 (SD 1.6) 8 (SD 1.3) 9.8 (SD 2.7) 36 (SD 4)  penclamide k mean -0.7 (SD 1.12) -1.8 (SD	Δ
7 (SD 2.2) 17  6 (SD 1) 17  6 (SD 2.6) 17  3 (SD 6) 17  rmin Glib  nean N  0.24 (SD .31) 15  1.5 (SD	2.4 (SD 1.6)  8 (SD 1.3)  9.8 (SD 2.7)  36 (SD 4)  penclamide  k mean  -0.7 (SD 1.12)  -1.8 (SD	Δ
.6 (SD 1) 17 .6 (SD 2.6) 17  3 (SD 6) 17  rmin Glib nean N  0.24 (SD .31) 15 1.5 (SD	8 (SD 1.3) 9.8 (SD 2.7) 36 (SD 4) 36 (SD 4) benclamide k mean -0.7 (SD 1.12) -1.8 (SD	Δ
rmin Glib nean N 0.24 (SD 0.31) 15 1.5 (SD	9.8 (SD 2.7) 36 (SD 4)  penclamide k mean -0.7 (SD 1.12) -1.8 (SD	Δ
rmin Glib nean N 0.24 (SD .31) 15 1.5 (SD	36 (SD 4)  Denclamide  k mean  -0.7 (SD 1.12)  -1.8 (SD	Δ
rmin Glib nean N 0.24 (SD .31) 15 1.5 (SD	Denclamide  k mean -0.7 (SD 1.12) -1.8 (SD	Δ
nean N 0.24 (SD .31) 15 1.5 (SD	-0.7 (SD 1.12) -1.8 (SD	Δ
nean N 0.24 (SD .31) 15 1.5 (SD	-0.7 (SD 1.12) -1.8 (SD	Δ
0.24 (SD .31) 15 1.5 (SD	-0.7 (SD 1.12) -1.8 (SD	Δ
.31) 15 1.5 (SD	1.12) -1.8 (SD	
,	,	
,,	2.02)	
2240	13	
0.0%) 17	5 (29.4%)	
86.7%) 17	11 (64.7%)	
0.0%) 17	0 (0.0%)	
53.3%) 17	4 (23.5%)	
6.7%) 17	2 (11.8%)	
0.0%) 17	1 (5.9%)	
0 5 6	1.0%) 17 3.3%) 17 5.7%) 17	0.0%) 17 0 (0.0%) 13.3%) 17 4 (23.5%) 15.7%) 17 2 (11.8%) 10.0%) 17 1 (5.9%)

## Table 14: Buchanan et al. (1988)

General	Phase:
	☑ monotherapy
	☐ dual therapy
	☐ triple therapy
	☐ insulin monotherapy
	□ insulin+oral
	Parallel / crossover: Parallel
	Country: UK
	<b>Authors' conclusions:</b> The study suggests that acarbose was not an effective substitute for sulfonylureas in non-obese type 2 diabetes uncontrolled by diet alone
	Source of funding: Bayer UK
	Comments: Double-blind

values were reported for the outcomes extracted

characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 20 Inclusion criteria: Non-obese patients with carbohydrate/low fat diet and a sulfonylurea Exclusion criteria: Pregnant patients, had diabetogenic effect	a (which had be	en	pre	viously withdrav	vn)			now	n
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking gluco insulin  Details of washout period: patients were withdrawn) and maintained on diet alone for	previously taki	ng si	ulfo	onylurea (this ha			_	d/or	
Lifestyle advice	No dietary modifications were introduced (a	already on low	fat d	iet)	)					
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 16 Frequency of monitoring appointments:	-								
Arms	(1) Placebo N: 9 Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Treatment naïve Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) (2) Acarbose N: 11 Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Treatment naïve Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – fixed-oral	dose								
Outcomes	Set dose (mg/d):300 Frequency of dosing: twice Details of dosing regimer 12: 200-100-100 mg, were were instructed to reduce General  Extractable dropout (total, due to adverse expression of the set	n: Acarbose, wek 13-16 200-1 e the dosage of	00-2 aca	200 irbo	mg, in case of ose to that which	adv	ers	se effects patien		9-
	Frequency of dosing: twic Details of dosing regimer 12: 200-100-100 mg, wer were instructed to reduce	n: Acarbose, wek 13-16 200-1 e the dosage of	00-2 aca	200 irbo	mg, in case of ose to that which ted.	adv	ers	se effects patien d be tolerated.		9-
Outcomes  Baseline characteristics	Frequency of dosing: twice Details of dosing regimer 12: 200-100-100 mg, were instructed to reduce General	n: Acarbose, wek 13-16 200-1 e the dosage of	00-2 aca	200 irbo	mg, in case of ose to that which ed.	adv	ers	se effects patiend be tolerated.  Acarbose	its	
Baseline	Frequency of dosing: twice Details of dosing regimer 12: 200-100-100 mg, were instructed to reduce were instructed to reduce General  Extractable dropout (total, due to adverse extractable dropout)	n: Acarbose, wek 13-16 200-1 e the dosage of	00-2 aca	200 irbo	mg, in case of ose to that which ted.	adv	ers	se effects patien d be tolerated.		
Baseline	Frequency of dosing: twice Details of dosing regimer 12: 200-100-100 mg, were instructed to reduce General	n: Acarbose, wek 13-16 200-1 e the dosage of	00-2 aca	200 irbo	mg, in case of ose to that which ed.	adv	ers	se effects patiend be tolerated.  Acarbose	its	
Baseline	Frequency of dosing: twice Details of dosing regimer 12: 200-100-100 mg, were instructed to reduce were instructed to reduce the structable dropout (total, due to adverse expenses to adverse expenses to adverse to adverse the structable dropout (total, due to adverse expenses to adverse to adverse to adverse the structable dropout (total, due to adverse to adve	n: Acarbose, week 13-16 200-1 e the dosage of events) data no	00-2 aca t rep	200 arbo	red.  Placebo mean  57.6 (SD 8.2) (72.7%)	adv n co	ers	Acarbose mean  60.1 (SD 6.8) (66.7%)	its	
Baseline	Frequency of dosing: twice Details of dosing regimer 12: 200-100-100 mg, were were instructed to reduce were were instructed to reduce were were instructed to reduce were were also were al	n: Acarbose, week 13-16 200-1 e the dosage of events) data no  Continuous  Dichotomous	00-2 aca t rep  N  11	200 arbo	red.  Placebo mean  57.6 (SD 8.2) (72.7%) 50.6 (SD	<b>N</b> 9	ers ould	Acarbose mean  60.1 (SD 6.8) (66.7%) 44.9 (SD	its	
Baseline	Frequency of dosing: twice Details of dosing regimer 12: 200-100-100 mg, were were instructed to reduce were were instructed to reduce were were instructed to reduce were were also were also were also were also were were also were were also were al	n: Acarbose, week 13-16 200-1 e the dosage of events) data no Continuous Dichotomous Continuous	00-2 aca t rep  N  11 11	200 arbo	end.  Placebo  mean  57.6 (SD 8.2) (72.7%) 50.6 (SD 30.1)	N 9 9	ers ould	Acarbose mean 60.1 (SD 6.8) (66.7%) 44.9 (SD 28.6)	its	
Baseline	Frequency of dosing: twice Details of dosing regimer 12: 200-100-100 mg, were were instructed to reduce were were instructed to reduce were were instructed to reduce were were also were also were were also were also were were also were were also were also were were also were were also were also were were also were al	n: Acarbose, week 13-16 200-1 e the dosage of events) data no Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous	00-2 aca t rep  N  11 11	200 arbo	red.  Placebo  mean  57.6 (SD 8.2) (72.7%) 50.6 (SD 30.1)  10.6 (SD 2.8)	N 9 9	ers ould	Acarbose mean  60.1 (SD 6.8) (66.7%) 44.9 (SD 28.6)  11.3 (SD 2.7)	its	
Baseline	Frequency of dosing: twice Details of dosing regimer 12: 200-100-100 mg, were were instructed to reduce were were instructed to reduce were were were instructed to reduce were were were were were were were we	n: Acarbose, week 13-16 200-1 e the dosage of events) data no Continuous Dichotomous Continuous	00-2 aca t rep  N  11 11	200 arbo	end.  Placebo  mean  57.6 (SD 8.2) (72.7%) 50.6 (SD 30.1)	N 9 9	ers ould	Acarbose mean 60.1 (SD 6.8) (66.7%) 44.9 (SD 28.6)	its	
Baseline	Frequency of dosing: twice Details of dosing regimer 12: 200-100-100 mg, were were instructed to reduce were were instructed to reduce were were instructed to reduce were were also were also were were also were also were were also were were also were also were were also were were also were also were were also were al	n: Acarbose, week 13-16 200-1 e the dosage of events) data no Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous	00-2 aca t rep  N  11 11	200 arbo	red.  Placebo  mean  57.6 (SD 8.2) (72.7%) 50.6 (SD 30.1)  10.6 (SD 2.8)	N 9 9	ers ould	Acarbose mean  60.1 (SD 6.8) (66.7%) 44.9 (SD 28.6)  11.3 (SD 2.7)	its	
Baseline	Frequency of dosing: twice Details of dosing regimer 12: 200-100-100 mg, were were instructed to reduce were were were were were were were we	n: Acarbose, week 13-16 200-1 e the dosage of events) data no Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	00-2 aca t rep  N 11 11 11 11	200 arbo	mg, in case of ose to that which seed.  Placebo mean  57.6 (SD 8.2) (72.7%) 50.6 (SD 30.1)  10.6 (SD 2.8) 11.6 (SD 3.9)  69.5 (SD 9.9)	9 9 9	ers ould	Acarbose mean  60.1 (SD 6.8) (66.7%) 44.9 (SD 28.6)  11.3 (SD 2.7) 10.5 (SD 3.3) 73.4 (SD 9.3)	its	
Baseline	Frequency of dosing: twice Details of dosing regimer 12: 200-100-100 mg, were were instructed to reduce were were were were were were were we	n: Acarbose, week 13-16 200-1 e the dosage of events) data no Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	00-2 aca t rep  N 11 11 11 11 11	200 arbo	rmg, in case of ose to that which sed.  Placebo mean  57.6 (SD 8.2) (72.7%) 50.6 (SD 30.1)  10.6 (SD 2.8) 11.6 (SD 3.9)  69.5 (SD 9.9)	9 9 9 9	ers ould	Acarbose mean  60.1 (SD 6.8) (66.7%) 44.9 (SD 28.6)  11.3 (SD 2.7) 10.5 (SD 3.3) 73.4 (SD 9.3) 7.3 (SD 1.1)	its	
Baseline	Frequency of dosing: twice Details of dosing regimer 12: 200-100-100 mg, were were instructed to reduce were were were were were were were we	n: Acarbose, week 13-16 200-1 e the dosage of events) data no Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	00-2 aca t rep  N 11 11 11 11	200 arbo	mg, in case of ose to that which seed.  Placebo mean  57.6 (SD 8.2) (72.7%) 50.6 (SD 30.1)  10.6 (SD 2.8) 11.6 (SD 3.9)  69.5 (SD 9.9)	9 9 9	ers ould	Acarbose mean  60.1 (SD 6.8) (66.7%) 44.9 (SD 28.6)  11.3 (SD 2.7) 10.5 (SD 3.3) 73.4 (SD 9.3)	its	
Baseline	Frequency of dosing: twice Details of dosing regimer 12: 200-100-100 mg, were were instructed to reduce were were were were were were were we	n: Acarbose, week 13-16 200-1 e the dosage of events) data no Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	00-2 aca t rep  N 11 11 11 11 11	200 arbo	rmg, in case of ose to that which sed.  Placebo mean  57.6 (SD 8.2) (72.7%) 50.6 (SD 30.1)  10.6 (SD 2.8) 11.6 (SD 3.9)  69.5 (SD 9.9)	9 9 9 9	ers ould	Acarbose mean  60.1 (SD 6.8) (66.7%) 44.9 (SD 28.6)  11.3 (SD 2.7) 10.5 (SD 3.3) 73.4 (SD 9.3) 7.3 (SD 1.1)	its	

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	11	12.2 (SD 4)	9	12.4 (SD 3.6)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	11	10.9 (SD 5)	9	11.4 (SD 3.7)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	11	67.2 (SD 9.8)	9	70.2 (SD 8.6)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	11	6.8 (SD 1.8)	9	7.2 (SD 1.1)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	11	1.7 (SD 1.9)	9	1.7 (SD 0.5)

## Table 15: Campbell et al. (1994)

Table 15. Ca	mpbell et al. (1994)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Unclear but assumed UK  Authors' conclusions: In conclusion, metformin gave better glycaemic control than glipizide, with weight loss rather than weight gain in obese type 2 patients  Source of funding: Unclear  Comments: Open label
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 48 Inclusion criteria: Patients uncontrolled by diet with no evidence of cardiac failure. Exclusion criteria: Unclear
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: -
Lifestyle advice	-
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients were seen at 2,4,8,12,24,36 and 52 weeks
Arms	(1) Metformin N: 24  Treatment duration (wks): 52  Washout period (d): 0  Comments: All treatment naïve  Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Mean dose (mg/d): 1.8  Maximum dose (mg/d): 3000  Details of dosing regimen: Metformin was started at 500mg BID with total daily dose increased in increments of 500 mg at each visit to a maximum of 3000 mg if FBG >8  mmol/l. The dose was reduced if FBG <=4 mmol/l. The mean dose at 24 weeks was 1.7 g and at 52 weeks was 1.8 g.  (2) Sulfonylurea  N: 24  Treatment duration (wks): 52  Washout period (d): 0  Comments: All treatment naïve  Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 5

Maximum dose (mg/d): 30

Maximum dose (mg/d): 30

Details of dosing regimen: Glipizide was started at 5 mg od and increased in increments of 5 mg to a maximum divided dose of 30 mg if FBG > 8 mmol/l.

#### **Outcomes**

#### **Baseline** characteristics

				Metformin			Sulfonylurea		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	24		57 (SD 10)	24		57 (SD 9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	24	8	(33.3%)	24	8	(33.3%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	24		2.3 (SD 3.4)	24		2.8 (SD 3.9)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	24		11.46 (SD 1.92)	24		11.75 (SD 2.11)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	24		11.2 (SD 2.8)	24		12.2 (SD 3.3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	24		29.6 (SD 5.6)	24		31.2 (SD 6.6)		
Weight (kg) - 0wk	Continuous	24		78.2 (SD 15.7)	24		82.2 (SD 16.8)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	24		0.1686072 (SD 0.0328)	24		0.1652454 (SD 0.0359)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	24		0.0237912 (SD 0.0075)	24		0.0240498 (SD 0.00569)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	24		0.0242735 (SD 0.0166)	24		0.0232574 (SD 0.00779)		

### Results

			N	letformin		Sulfonylurea			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	24		-2.27	24		-3.04		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	24		9.15 (SD 0.98)	24		8.85 (SD 0.98)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Continuous	24		8.85 (SD 0.98)	24		8.9 (SD 1.47)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Mean change	24		-2.7	24		-3.09		
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	24		8.64 (SD 1.21)	24		9.72 (SD 1.91)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wka	Mean change	24		-2.57	24		-1.93		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	24		-4.11	24		-4.37		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Continuous	24		7.15 (SD 1.47)	24		7.8 (SD 2.45)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Continuous	24		7 (SD 1.47)	24		8.3 (SD 2.94)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wka	Mean change	24		-4.25	24		-3.89		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	24		7.1 (SD 1.47) b	24		9.23 (SD 3.69)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	24		7.11 (SD 1.28)	24		9.2 (SD 3.43) b		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	24		7.11 (SD 1.28)	24		9.23 (SD 3.69)		

ontinuous	24		7.1 (SD 1.47)	24		9.2 (SD 3.43)
lean hange	24		-4	24		-2.8
lean						
hange	24		-2.1	24		1.04
lean	24		2.10	24		1.04 (SD 2.2) b
	24			24		1.04 (SD
hange	24		2.45)	24		2.2)
lean hange	24		-2.1 (SD 2.45) b	24		1.04a
lean hange	24		-2.33a	24		2.56 (SD 2.45) b
lean hange	24		-2.33 (SD 2.94) b	24		2.56a
lean hange	24		-2.33 (SD 2.94)	24		2.56 (SD 2.45)
lean						
nange	24		-2.33	24		2.56
ontinuous	24		76 (SD 12.3)	24		84.6 (SD 21.7)
lean hange	24		-1.97 (SD 3.43)	24		2.62 (SD 4.41)
ichotomous	24	0	(0.0%)	24	0	(0.0%)
ichotomous	24	0	(0.0%)	24	0	(0.0%)
ontinuous	24		6.56 (SD 1.36)	24		6.31 (SD 1.2)
ontinuous	24		6.56 (SD 1.53)	24		6.97 (SD 1.3)
ontinuous	24		0.95 (SD 0.31)	24		0.94 (SD 0.26)
ontinuous	24		0.95 (SD 0.29)	24		0.93 (SD 0.22)
ontinuous	24		1.94 (SD 0.94)	24		2.14 (SD 1.01)
ontinuous	24		2.28 (SD 1.84)	24		2.31 (SD 1.22)
ontinuous	24		4.73 (SD 1.08)	24		4.41 (SD 0.99)
			4.58 (SD			4.99 (SD
The first the fi	ean lange lean lange l	ean lange 24 entinuous 24	ean ange 24 entinuous 24	ean lange	ean lange	ean lange

## Table 16: Chan et al. (1998)

1 41.010	<u> </u>
General	Phase:
	☑ monotherapy
	□ dual therapy
	☐ triple therapy
	☐ insulin monotherapy
	☐ insulin+oral

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD not reported in table <sup>b</sup> estimated from graph <sup>c</sup> SD calculated from SE extracted from graph

Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: Hong Kong, Taiwan, Philippines, Korea, Singapore, Malaysia Authors' conclusions: Acarbose 100 mg tid was an effective, safe and well tolerated therapy in Asian type 2 diabetic patients with dietary failure. In some patients with gastrointestinal symptoms, a lower dose may be necessarv Source of funding: Bayer Comments: Double-blind Number and Total number of patients: 126 characteristics Inclusion criteria: Patients with type 2 diabetes for at least 3 months, aged between 35 and 70 years, a of patients stable BMI <35 kg/m2 and Hba1c 7-10% Exclusion criteria: Previous treatment with antidiabetic drugs, history of significant gastrointestinal, cardiovascular or renal disease **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening alucose-Details of washout period: People on AHA were excluded lowering therapy Lifestyle advice Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 30 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: 6 week screening period prior to randomisation. Patients were followed up at weeks 10,16 and 24. Arms (1) Placebo N: 63 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: AHA naïve Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) (2) Acarbose N: 63 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: AHA naïve Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):300 Frequency of dosing: three times a day Details of dosing regimen: Acarbose, week 1-4 50 mg TID, week 5-24 100 mg TID **Outcomes** 

Baseline characteristics		Placebo		Placebo	Acarbose					
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	63		54 (SD 10)	63		52.8 (SD 10.2)		
	Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	63	32	(50.8%)	63	32	(50.8%)		
	Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	63		2.1 (SD 3.4)	63		2.7 (SD 3.5)		
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	63		8.6 (SD 1.1)	63		8.2 (SD 1)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	63		8.3 (SD 2.2)	63		8.4 (SD 1.8)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	63		25.6 (SD 3.8)	63		25.4 (SD 3.9)		
	Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	63		65.4 (SD 13.3)	63		64.1 (SD 10)		

Results

Placebo

Δр

Acarbose

Dropouts:							
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	63	6	(9.5%)	63	11	(17.5%)
ІТТ							
Blood glucose:							7.2 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Continuous	62		8 (SD 1.31)	59		0.999)
HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Mean change	62		-0.27 (SD 1.1)	59		-0.7 (SD 1.21)
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	62		8 (SD 1.31)	59		7.2 (SD 0.999)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	62		0.41 (SD 2)	59		-0.37 (SD 1.5)
Body weight:	Mean	60		0.04 (SD	50		-0.52 (SD
BMI (kg/m2) – 24wkc	change Mean	62		0.7) 0.16 (SD	59		1.6) -1.31 (SD
Weight (kg) – 24wkc	change	62		1.9)	59		4.5)
Blood pressure:							,
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 12wk	Mean change	62		2.9 (SD 12.4)	59		2.73 (SD 12
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 12wk	Mean change	62		0.67 (SD 8.72)	59		0.6 (SD 8.9
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	62		-0.04 (SD 5.4)	59		0.13 (SD 0.
Total Cholesterol (Hillion) – 24wk	Mean	02		-0.03 (SD	39		-0.02 (SD
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	change	62		0.3)	59		0.3)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	62		-0.06 (SD 1.2)	59		-0.05 (SD 0.5)
riigiyoonace (minon) 2 mi	Mean	62		-0.02 (SD 0.7)	59		0.15 (SD 0.8

Table 17: Charbonnel et al. (2005)

General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Australia, Europe, Canada, South Africa  Authors' conclusions: The pioglitazone based regimens resulted in improved insulin sensitivity and more favourable insulin sensitiy related lipid profiles compared with gliclazide based therapy  Source of funding: Employees of Takeda or Eli Lilly are authors  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: - Inclusion criteria: - Exclusion criteria: -
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? - Details of washout period: -
Lifestyle advice	-

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): -

Length of titration period (wks): -Length of maintenance period (wks): -Frequency of monitoring appointments: -

#### Arms

#### (1) Pioglitazone

N: 624

Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): -

Treatment(s): Pioglitazone

Details of dosing regimen: Charbonnel (20050-pioglitazone, po., starting daily dose was 15 mg for 4 weeks (weeks 0 to 4), increased to 30 mg daily for the next 4 weeks (weeks 4 to 8), and, finally, to 45 mg daily for the subsequent 8 weeks (weeks 8 to 16) on the basis of tolerability. The dose of study drug was increased at each time point during titration unless the patient had not tolerated the previous dose or the investigator considered the patient at risk of experiencing hypoglycaemia or other tolerability issues should the dose of study

drug be further increased.

Forst (2005)-pioglitazone, po., 45 mg in the morning Nakamura (2004)-pioglitazone, po., 15 mg/day

#### (2) Gliclazide

N: 626

Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): -

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – forced titration

Maximum dose (mg/d): 320 Frequency of dosing: twice a day

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

See Tan (2005) for study details and 2 year follow-up data

# Baseline characteristics

			Piog	litazone		Gli	clazide		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 4wk	Continuous	624		8.7 (SD 1)	626		8.7 (SD 1.1)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	624		31.7 (SD 6)	626		30.6 (SD 5.1)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	624		89.47008 (SD 16.9)	626		86.36544 (SD 14.4)		
Weight (kg) – 4wk	Continuous	624		90.7 (SD 18.6)	626		88.1 (SD 16.9)		
2-year follow-up (reported in Tan et al. 2005) Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	270		57 (SD 9.8)	297		56 (SD 9.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	270	171	(63.3%)	297	182	(61.3%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	270		2.7 (SD 3.5)	297		2.9 (SD 3.8)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	270	253	(93.7%)	297	275	(92.6%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	270	17	(6.3%)	297	22	(7.4%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wkb	Continuous	270		8.6 (SD 1.03)	297		8.74 (SD 1.08)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wkc	Continuous	270		10.9 (SD 1.64)	297		11.21 (SD 1.72)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	270		32 (SD 6.4)	297		31 (SD 5.6)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	270		91.7 (SD 19.9)	297		89.2 (SD 18.2)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

 $^{\mbox{\tiny c}}$  estimated from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> SD calculated from standard errors estimated from graph

1	[/i}{/s}									
			Р	ioglit	azone	9	Glicla	zide		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	624		7.125 (SD 1.12)	626		6.875 (SD 1.12)		
	HbA1c (%) – 52wkb	Continuous	624		7.2 (SD 1.12)	626		7.3 (SD 1.12)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	624		8.7 (SD 3.5)	626		9.2 (SD 3)		
	Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wkc	Continuous	624		92.4 (SD 5)	626		91.4 (SD 5)		
	Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 52wk	Dichotomous	624	22	(3.5%)	626	63	(10.1%)		
	Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wk	Dichotomous			(0.0%)	626		(0.2%)		
	Adverse events: GI: nausea – 52wk	Dichotomous	620	27	(4.4%)	618	32	(5.2%)		
	Any adverse event(s) – 52wk	Dichotomous	624	468	(75.0%)	626	444	(70.9%)		
	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous	624	477	(76.4%)	626	499	(79.7%)		
	Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	624	38	(6.1%)	626	39	(6.2%)		
	2-year follow-up (reported in Tan et al. 2005) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 104wkd	Continuous	261		7.35 (SD 1.21)	289		7.78 (SD 1.28)		
	HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Mean change	270			297			MD=-0.450 (CI: -0.666, - 0.234)	<0.05
	HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 104wkf	Dichotomous	261	111	(42.5%)	289	81	(28.0%)		<0.00
ı.	Hba1c <8% - 104wk	Dichotomous	270	129	(47.8%)	297	110			<0.00
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wkh	Continuous	261		8.6 (SD 1.62)	289		8.23 (SD 1.36)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkh	Continuous	261		8.3 (SD 1.62)	289		8.3 (SD 1.7)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wkh	Continuous	261		8.55 (SD 1.62)	289		9.2 (SD 1.7)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wkh	Continuous	261		8.7 (SD 1.62)	289		9.55 (SD 1.53)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Mean change	270			297			MD=-0.830 (CI: -1.261, - 0.399)	<0.0
	Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wkh	Continuous	261		92 (SD 1.62)	289		92 (SD 1.7)		
	Weight (kg) – 24wkh	Continuous	261		92.1 (SD 1.62)	289		92.1 (SD 1.7)		
	2 , 2				04.0			00.0		

Weight (kg) - 52wkh

Weight (kg) - 104wkj

261

146

Continuous

Continuous

94.2 (SD 1.62)

95.6

(SD 6.79) 289

127

92.8 (SD 1.7)

93.4

(SD 7.14)

<0.001

Weight (kg) – 104wk{i}j	Continuous	261		95.6 (SD 6.79)	289		93.4 (SD 7.14)		<0.001
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	270	33	(12.2%)	297	25	(8.4%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 104wk	Dichotomous	270	45	(16.7%)	297	86	(29.0%)		
drop out due to headache – 104wk	Dichotomous	270	0	(0.0%)	297	3	(1.0%)		
drop out due to increased weight – 104wk	Dichotomous	270	6	(2.2%)	297	1	(0.3%)		
(s)(i)a(ii) Estimated from graph b SD extracted from graph c estimated from graph; SD of Mean estimated from graph 95% CI -0.66 to -0.23, spectrat least one post-baseline r log-rank test of difference b estimated from graph 95% CI -1.26 to -0.39, spectra	n. SD calculate cific p-value no neasurement petween Kaplar cific p-value no	d fror t repo	orted er cur		ers es	timate	ed from gr	aph	

# **Table 18: Chiasson & (2001)**

10.010 101 011	183501 & (2001)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Unclear  Authors' conclusions: In type 2 diabetic patients, miglitol in combination with metformin gives greater glycaemic improvement than metformin monotherapy  Source of funding: Bayer and Sanofi-Synthelabo  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 324 Inclusion criteria: Patients with type 2 diabetes, > 40 years in whom diabetes was inadeqautely controlled by diet alone (Hba1c between 7.2 and 9.5%) Exclusion criteria: Type 1 diabetes, major diseases, recent cardiovascular events, history of lactic acidosis Pre-randomisation phase: Single blind 8 week placebo run-in period. Those without adequate control were randomised
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Patients taking either metformin or sulfonylurea discontinued this before taking part
Lifestyle advice	All patients were seen by a dietitian before the run-in period and were advised on eating a well-balanced, weight-reducing diet. They were also advised regarding exercise (walking 20-30 mins at least 3 times per week)
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 36 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 36 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients were seen at weeks 4,8,12,16,20,28 and 36
Arms	(1) Placebo

N: 83

Treatment duration (wks): 36 Washout period (d): 56

 $Comments: Patients \ taking \ either \ metformin \ or \ sulfonylurea \ discontinued \ this \ before \ taking \ part. \ 8 \ week$ 

placebo run-in period Treatment(s): Placebo

(2) Metformin

N: 83

Treatment duration (wks): 36 Washout period (d): 56

Comments: Patients taking either metformin or sulfonylurea discontinued this before taking part. 8 week

placebo run-in period

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):1500

Frequency of dosing: three times a day

Details of dosing regimen: 500 mg/8h (i.e. 1500 mg/day)

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Data for 2 trial arms were not extracted (miglitol and miglitol plus metformin).

Total dropouts not reported

# Baseline characteristics

			ı	Placebo	Metformin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	83		57.7 (SD 9.9)	83		57.9 (SD 8.6)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	83	56	(67.5%)	83	61	(73.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	83		5.1 (SD 4.9)	83		7.5 (SD 7.4)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	83		8.1 (SD 0.7)	83		8.2 (SD 0.9)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	83		31.1 (SD 4.4)	83		30.7 (SD 5.1)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	83		88.6 (SD 14.1)	83		89 (SD 17.8)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	83	48	(57.8%)	83	55	(66.3%)		

### Results

				Placebo		N	<b>l</b> letformin		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 36wk	Dichotomous	83	7	(8.4%)	83	8	(9.6%)		
Adverse events:									
GI: nausea – 36wk	Dichotomous	83	2	(2.4%)	83	14	(16.9%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 36wk	Dichotomous	83	71	(85.5%)	83	78	(94.0%)		
Dyspepsia – 36wk	Dichotomous	83	2	(2.4%)	83	7	(8.4%)		
Flatulence – 36wk	Dichotomous	83	12	(14.5%)	83	24	(28.9%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 36wk	Dichotomous	83	29	(34.9%)	83	50	(60.2%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 36wk	Dichotomous	83	9	(10.8%)	83	23	(27.7%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 36wk	Dichotomous	83	2	(2.4%)	83	5	(6.0%)		
GI: constipation – 36wk	Dichotomous	83	5	(6.0%)	83	7	(8.4%)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 36wk	Dichotomous	83	2	(2.4%)	83	5	(6.0%)		

ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 36wka	Mean change	82	0.38 (SD 1.09)	81	-0.85 (SD 1.18)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 36wk	Continuous	82	-0.0499554 (SD 2.86)	81	-1.1323224 (SD 2.55)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 36wka	Continuous	82	-0.69 (SD 2.44)	81	-0.79 (SD 2.97)
<sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE					

Table 19: Chiasson JL, Josse RG, Hunt JA, Palmason C, Rodger NW, Ross (1994)

Tubic Tol Cli	idsson JL, Josse RG, Hunt JA, Faimason C, Rouger NW, Ross (1994)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Canada  Authors' conclusions: Acarbose impproved long-term glycaemic control in patients with NIDDM regardless of concomitant antidiabetic medication  Source of funding: Miles Canada  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 354 Inclusion criteria: Patients with NIDDM for at least 6 months, patients may have been taking OAD or insulin at study entry (subgroup analyses for patients on diet alone were extracted only) with Hba1c >7% (>6.5% in those treated with diet alone). Patients with hypertension were included if their BP was well controlled with medication  Exclusion criteria: Patients receiving therapy with beta-blockers or thiazide diuretics, and all patients with documented gastrointestinal disease
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: Some patients continued taking their pre-existing OADs but these data were not extracted  Only data from patients who were solely on diet therapy were extracted
Lifestyle advice	All patients were placed on a weight maintaining diet
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
Arms	(1) Placebo N: 39 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Data only extracted for those treatment naïve Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) (2) Acarbose N: 38 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Data only extracted for those treatment naïve Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Minimum dose (mg/d): 150 Maximum dose (mg/d): 600 Frequency of dosing: three times a day Details of dosing regimen: Acarbose 50, 100 or 200 mg (max) TID, dose adjusted according to blood glucose values and / or tolerance, main target to achieve a postprandial

Outcomes	General Data were only extracted for tho	se treatme	nt naïve (su	ıbgro	up a	analyses)						
Baseline characteristics						All study	ici	pants				
				N	k	mean						
	Demographics: Age (years)	Contin	uous	77		57.2 (SD 9.6524	4608	26	1)			
	Sex (n male)	Dichot	omous	77	48	(62.3%)						
	Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Contin	uous	77		5.2 (SD 5.2649)	7863	24	.)			
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Contin	uous	77		6.7 (SD 0.2)						
	HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Contin	uous	77		6.7 (SD 0.2)						
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Contin	uous	77		29 (SD 2.63248931621764)						
	<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming	mean neigi	11 01 1.00111			Placebo			Acarbose			
				N	k	mean	N		mean	Δ		
	Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mm	ol/l) – 0wk	Continuou				<b>N</b>	k		Δ		
Results		ol/l) – 0wk	Continuou			mean 10.3 (SD		k	mean 10.7 (SD	Δ		
Results		ol/l) – Owk	Continuou		7	mean 10.3 (SD 3.04)		k	mean 10.7 (SD 2.74)	Δ		
Results			Continuou Mean change	us 37	k	mean 10.3 (SD 3.04)	30	k	mean 10.7 (SD 2.74) Acarbose			
Results	Fasting plasma glucose (mm		Mean	N	k	mean 10.3 (SD 3.04)  Placebo mean	30 N	k	mean 10.7 (SD 2.74)  Acarbose mean -0.45 (SD 1.4) -0.9 (SD 1.4)			
Results	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka HbA1c (%) – 26wka HbA1c (%) – 52wkb		Mean change Mean	N 33	k	mean 10.3 (SD 3.04)  Placebo mean 0.1 (SD 1.24) -0.15 (SD	30 N 30	k	mean 10.7 (SD 2.74)  Acarbose (mean -0.45 (SD 1.4)			
Results	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wka	ol/l) –	Mean change Mean change Mean	N 33 33 33	k k	mean 10.3 (SD 3.04)  Placebo mean 0.1 (SD 1.24) -0.15 (SD 0.931)	30 N 30 30	k	mean 10.7 (SD 2.74)  Acarbose mean -0.45 (SD 1.4) -0.9 (SD 1.4) -0.5 (SD			

### Table 20: Collier A, Watson HH, Patrick AW, Ludlam (1989)

		•	,	. ,	
General	Phase:				
	☐ dual therapy☐ triple therapy				
	☐ insulin monothe	erapy			
	☐ insulin+oral				

Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: Unclear but assumed UK Authors' conclusions: Metformin and gliclazide were equally effective in the glycaemic control of noninsulin dependent diabetes, and there was no difference in the platelet variables measured in the two groups. Source of funding: Lipha Pharmaceuticals Comments: Open label Number and Total number of patients: 24 characteristics Inclusion criteria: Patients were newly diagnosed, non-obese, type 2 diabetes patients. All patients had of patients Hba1c >9% after the dietary period. No patients had retinopathy and none were taking medication at entry into the study Exclusion criteria: Unclear Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 3-6 week dietary run-in period prior to randomisation. Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening **Previous** glucose-Details of washout period: Unclear whether patients were AHA naïve lowering therapy Lifestyle advice Patients were instructed to have a diet low in refined carbohydrates content, moderate in fibre and low in saturated fat Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: patients were studied at baseline and 6 months Arms (1) Metformin N: 12 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 42 Comments: Patients had run in between 3 and 6 weeks. States that none were on medication at enrollment but unclear whether all were AHA naïve Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) Minimum dose (mg/d): 1500 Maximum dose (mg/d): 3000 Details of dosing regimen: 1.5 to 3 g/day (median 2g/day) (2) Sulfonylurea N: 12 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 42 Comments: Patients had run in between 3 and 6 weeks. States that none were on medication at enrollment but unclear whether all were AHA naïve Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) Minimum dose (mg/d): 80 Maximum dose (mg/d): 240 Details of dosing regimen: gliclazide 80-240 mg/day (median 160 mg/day) **Outcomes** General Dropouts were not reported **Baseline** Metformin Sulfonylurea characteristics N k mean N k mean Δр Demographics: 53.1 (SD 55.5 (SD Age (years) Continuous 12 12 5.1) 5.1)Sex (n male) Dichotomous 12 6 (50.0%) 12 6 (50.0%) Blood glucose: 12.1 (SD 11.7 (SD Continuous 12 12 HbA1c (%) – 0wk 2.4) 1.5) 11.8 (SD 12.2 (SD Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) - 0wk Continuous 12 12 2.4)3.1) Body weight: 24.3 (SD 23.1 (SD Continuous 12 12 BMI (kg/m2) - 0wk 1.4) 1.3) Lipids: Mean 6.5 (SD 0.9) 12 12 7 (SD 0.7) Total cholesterol (mmol/l) - 0wk change

	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	12		1.6 (SD 0.5)	12		1.9 (SD 0.6)		
Results			N	letformin	Sulfonylurea					
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	12		7.4 (SD 0.8)	12		7 (SD 0.8)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	12		7.5 (SD 1.7)	12		6.4 (SD 1.5)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 24wk	Continuous	12		24.5 (SD 1.6)	12		23.6 (SD 1.4)		
	Hypoglycaemic events: minor hypoglycaemic events – 24wk	Dichotomous	12	0	(0.0%)	12	2	(16.7%)		
	Adverse events: Gl: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	12	3	(25.0%)	12	0	(0.0%)		
	Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	12		5.8 (SD 0.7)	12		6.3 (SD 0.8)		
	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	12		1.3 (SD 0.4)	12		1.6 (SD 0.5)		

# **Table 21: Coniff et al. (1995)**

General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: USA Authors' conclusions: Acarbose was effective and well controlled in the treatment of NIDDM. Control of glycaemia was significantly better with acarbose compared with diet alone. Acarbose plus tolbutamide was superior to tolbutamide alone Source of funding: Miles Inc Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 290 Inclusion criteria: Patients with NIDDM, at least 18 years old, with a stable bodyweight and FBG>=140 mg/dl on diet alone (patients on sulfonylureas and insulins had medications discontinued at least 4 weeks prior to enrollment)  Exclusion criteria: Significant diseases or conditions, gastrointestinal disease, severely and poorly controlled diabetes, impaired hepatic and/or renal function  Pre-randomisation phase: 6 week run in period where participants were on diet alone
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Patients on AHA discontinued medication 4 weeks prior to enrollment 6 week wash out period where patients were on diet only
Lifestyle advice	All patients were advised to follow a standard diabetic diet
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 36 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24

**Frequency of monitoring appointments:** Patients were measured for Hba1c at weeks 6,12,18 and 24 (there was also a 6 week follow-up after double-blind treatment period)

6 week run in, 24 week treatment, 6 week follow up

#### **Arms**

#### (1) Acarbose (200 mg TID)

N: 67

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 42

Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):600

Frequency of dosing: three times a day

Details of dosing regimen: Acarbose 200 mg TID with meals

### (2) sulfonylurea (Tolbutamide)

N: 66

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 42

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 250 Maximum dose (mg/d): 1000

Frequency of dosing: three times a day

Details of dosing regimen: Tolbutamide, started at 250 mg TID and was individually

adjusted in steps of 250 mg TID if 1 hour PPG >=200 mg/dl

### **(3) Placebo** N: 62

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 42 Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Data not available on numbers originally randomised

# Baseline characteristics

		Ac		se (200 mg ID)					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years) a	Continuous	67		56.2	66		55.4		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	67	26b	(38.8%)	66	37	(56.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs) a	Continuous	67		5.1	66		5.6		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	67		6.88	66		6.95		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) a	Continuous	67		219	66		217		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	67		29.7	66		29.5		
Weight (kg) - 0wka	Continuous	67		81.6	66		84.8		
Height (cm) a	Continuous	67		166.1	66		169.8		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD not reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

		Aca	ırbose	(200 mg TID)					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years) a	Continuous	67		56.2	62		56.3		
Sex (n male) b	Dichotomous	67	26	(38.8%)	62	32	(51.6%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs) a	Continuous	67		5.1	62		5.5		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	67		6.88	62		7.1		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) a	Continuous	67		219	62		227		

Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	67	29.7	62	29.9	
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	67	81.6	62	85.8	
Height (cm) a	Continuous	67	166.1	62	169.5	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD not reported <sup>b</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

		sulfonylurea (Tolbutamide)			Plac	ebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years) a	Continuous	66		55.4	62		56.3		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	66	37	(56.1%)	62	32b	(51.6%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs) a	Continuous	66		5.6	62		5.5		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	66		6.95	62		7.1		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) a	Continuous	66		217	62		227		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	66		29.5	62		29.9		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	66		84.8	62		85.8		
Height (cm) a	Continuous	66		169.8	62		169.5		

### Results

		Ac	arbo	ose (200 mg TID)			lfonylurea lbutamide)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	74		-0.52 (SD 0.688)	71		-0.77 (SD 0.758)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	65		-0.54 (SD 1.05)	67		-0.99 (SD 1.04)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	67		-1.11 (SD 3.17)	66		-2.02 (SD 3.13)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	74		-0.95 (SD 1.72)	71		1.1 (SD 2.02)		
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	66		-1.42 (SD 2.84)	66		1.84 (SD 2.76)		
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wkb	Dichotomous	74	6	(8.1%)	71	11	(15.5%)		
Adverse events:									
GI: nausea – 24wkc	Dichotomous			(8.1%)	71		(9.9%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 24wkc	Dichotomous	74	67	(90.5%)	71	42	(59.2%)		
Death (disease related) – 24wkc	Dichotomous	67	0	(0.0%)	66	1	(1.5%)		
Death – 24wkc	Dichotomous	67	0	(0.0%)	66	1	(1.5%)		
Flatulence – 24wkc	Dichotomous	74	59	(79.7%)	71	24	(33.8%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wkc	Dichotomous	74	59	(79.7%)	71	24	(33.8%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wkc	Dichotomous	74	20	(27.0%)	71	4	(5.6%)		
GI: vomiting – 24wkc	Dichotomous	74	2	(2.7%)	71	2	(2.8%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	64		-0.21 (SD 0.79)	61		0.05 (SD 0.77)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	58		0.07 (SD 0.23)	54		0.08 (SD 0.23)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	64		-0.49 (SD 1.87)	61		-0.03 (SD 1.84)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD not reported <sup>b</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

	Mean		-0.09 (SD		-0.1 (SD
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	change	48	0.67)	47	0.68)

		Acarbose (200 mg TID)				P	lacebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	74		-0.52 (SD 0.688)	72		0.14 (SD 0.764)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	65		-0.54 (SD 1.05)	62		0.04 (SD 1.02)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	67		-1.11 (SD 3.17)	62		0.12 (SD 3.24)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	74		-0.95 (SD 1.72)	72		-0.8 (SD 2.12)		
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	66		-1.42 (SD 2.84)	62		-1.4 (SD 2.91)		
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients)  – 24wkb	Dichotomous	74	6	(8.1%)	72	4	(5.6%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wkc	Dichotomous	74	6	(8.1%)	72	2	(2.8%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 24wkc	Dichotomous	74	67	(90.5%)	72	31	(43.1%)		
Death – 24wkc	Dichotomous	67	0	(0.0%)	62	0	(0.0%)		
Flatulence – 24wkc	Dichotomous	74	59	(79.7%)	72	25	(34.7%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wkc	Dichotomous	74	59	(79.7%)	72	25	(34.7%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wkc	Dichotomous	74	20	(27.0%)	72	4	(5.6%)		
GI: vomiting – 24wkc	Dichotomous	74	2	(2.7%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	64		-0.21 (SD 0.79)	58		-0.13 (SD 0.8)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	58		0.07 (SD 0.23)	51		0.06 (SD 0.24)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	64		-0.49 (SD 1.87)	58		-0.31 (SD 1.9)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	48		-0.09 (SD 0.67)	45		-0.25 (SD 0.69)		

			sulfonylurea (Tolbutamide) Placebo						
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	71		-0.77 (SD 0.758)	72		0.14 (SD 0.764)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	67		-0.99 (SD 1.04)	62		0.04 (SD 1.02)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	66		-2.02 (SD 3.13)	62		0.12 (SD 3.24)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	71		1.1 (SD 2.02)	72		-0.8 (SD 2.12)		
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	66		1.84 (SD 2.76)	62		-1.4 (SD 2.91)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wkb	Dichotomous	71	11	(15.5%)	72	4	(5.6%)		

a estimated from graph
b Assumed group numbers same as safety analysis
c No of patients

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph
<sup>b</sup> Assumed group numbers same as safety analysis
<sup>c</sup> No of patients

Adverse events:							
GI: nausea – 24wkc	Dichotomous	71	7	(9.9%)	72	2	(2.8%)
Any adverse event(s) – 24wkc	Dichotomous	71	42	(59.2%)	72	31	(43.1%)
Death – 24wkc	Dichotomous	66	1	(1.5%)	62	0	(0.0%)
Flatulence – 24wkc	Dichotomous	71	24	(33.8%)	72	25	(34.7%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wkc	Dichotomous	71	24	(33.8%)	72	25	(34.7%)
GI: diarrhoea – 24wkc	Dichotomous	71	4	(5.6%)	72	4	(5.6%)
GI: vomiting – 24wkc	Dichotomous	71	2	(2.8%)	72	0	(0.0%)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	61		0.05 (SD 0.77)	58		-0.13 (SD 0.8)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	54		0.08 (SD 0.23)	51		0.06 (SD 0.24)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	61		-0.03 (SD 1.84)	58		-0.31 (SD 1.9)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	47		-0.1 (SD 0.68)	45		-0.25 (SD 0.69)
<ul> <li><sup>a</sup> estimated from graph</li> <li><sup>b</sup> Assumed group numbers same as safety</li> <li><sup>c</sup> No of patients</li> </ul>	analysis						

# **Table 22: Coniff et al. (1995)**

	1111 et al. (1333)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Unclear but assumed USA  Authors' conclusions: Acarbose at doses of 100, 200 and 300 mg administered three times daily for 16 weeks significantly reduced Hba1c levels and postprandial hyperglycaemia. Treatment with acarbose is safe and effective adjunct to dietary therapy for the treatmetn of NIDDM  Source of funding: Unclear but some authors from Bayer  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 290 Inclusion criteria: patients over 30 years of age with NIDDM for at least 3 months, patients could have previously been treated with sulfonylureas if these had been discontinued at least 6 weeks before screening visit and if the patients had achieved stable fasting glucose levels  Exclusion criteria: significant disease or condition that is likely to alter the course of diabetes, documented gastrointestinal disease, severe and poorly controlled diabetes, therapy with insulin, impaired liver or kidney function  Pre-randomisation phase: there was a 4 week placebo run-in phase
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: there was a 4 week placebo run-in phase but participants were also required to be AHA free at least 6 weeks prior to screening
Lifestyle advice	During the run-in phase patients were maintained on a diet recommended by the ADA
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 22 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 16 Frequency of monitoring appointments: 2 week screening, 4 week placebo run in, 16 week treatment phase. There were 9 visits in total (including screening and run-in period)

#### **Arms**

#### (1) Placebo

N: 73

Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 28

Comments: 4 week placebo run-in Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) (2) Acarbose (100 mg TID)

N: 73

Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 28

Comments: 4 week placebo run-in

Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):300 Details of dosing regimen: Acarbose 100 mg TID. The dosage was titrated at 2 week

intervals depending on drug assignment

### (3) Acarbose (200 mg TID)

N: 72

Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 28

Comments: 4 week placebo run-in

Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):600

Details of dosing regimen: Acarbose, week 1-2 100 mg TID, week 3-16 200 mg TID. The

dosage was titrated at 2 week intervals depending on drug assignment

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Data from 3/4 arms have been extracted in this evidence table (data relating to acarbose 300 mg TID or 900 mg/day is over the maximum licensed dose)

Total dropouts not reported

# Baseline characteristics

			Pla	acebo	Ac	arbo	ose (100 mg TID)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Safety population									
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	73		55	73		54		
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	73	41	(56.2%)	73	40	(54.8%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	73		5	73		6		
Body weight:									
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	73		31	73		30		
Weight (kg) – 0wkb	Continuous	73		87.4944	73		84.672		
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	73		91	73		87		
Height (cm)	Continuous	73		170	73		169		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop									
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	62		8.67	57		8.69		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	62		202.5	57		202.3		
Body weight:									
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	64		32	58		31		
Weight (kg) – 0wkb	Continuous	64		90.3168	58		87.4944		
Weight (kg) – 16wkc	Mean change	62		-0.37	57		-0.19		
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	64		93	58		86		
Height (cm)	Continuous	64		171	58		168		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	62		5.368536	57		5.593518		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	62		2.274935	57		2.312192		
a approximated to nearest integer (percentage	ges only presente	ed in	tex	t)					

approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text

<sup>b</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

 $^{\rm c}$  from full paper; SD not reported

		Placebo				Acarbose (200 mg TID)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	
Safety population										
Demographics:										
Age (years)	Continuous	73		55	72		57			
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	73	41	(56.2%)	72	42	(58.3%)			
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	73		5	72		5			
Body weight:										
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	73		31	72		31			
Weight (kg) – 0wkb	Continuous	73		87.4944	72		87.4944			
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	73		91	72		90			
Height (cm)	Continuous	73		170	72		171			
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose:										
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	62		8.67	54		8.96			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	62		202.5	54		238			
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	64		32	54		31			
Weight (kg) – 0wkb	Continuous	64		90.3168	54		87.4944			
Weight (kg) – 16wkc	Mean change	62		-0.37	54		-0.8			
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	64		93	54		89			
Height (cm)	Continuous	64		171	54		170			
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	62		5.368536	54		5.4306			
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	62		2.274935	54		2.13381			

a approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) b estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m from full paper; SD not reported

		Acarbose (100 mg TID)			Acarbose (200 mg TID)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Safety population Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	73		54	72		57		
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	73	40	(54.8%)	72	42	(58.3%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	73		6	72		5		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	73		30	72		31		
Weight (kg) – 0wkb	Continuous	73		84.672	72		87.4944		
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	73		87	72		90		
Height (cm)	Continuous	73		169	72		171		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	57		8.69	54		8.96		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	57		202.3	54		238		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	58		31	54		31		
Weight (kg) – 0wkb	Continuous	58		87.4944	54		87.4944		

Weight (kg) – 16wkc	Mean change	57	-0.19	54	-0.8
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	58	86	54	89
Height (cm)	Continuous	58	168	54	170
Lipids:					
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	57	5.593518	54	5.4306
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	57	2.312192	54	2.13381

approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m from full paper; SD not reported

#### Results

		Placebo		Acarbose (100 mg TID)					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Safety population Adverse events: GI: nausea – 16wk	Dichotomous	73	0	(0.0%)	73	4	(5.5%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 16wk	Dichotomous		59	,	73	70	(95.9%)		
Flatulence – 16wk	Dichotomous		18	,		56	(76.7%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 16wk	Dichotomous		7	(9.6%)	73	26	(35.6%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 16wk	Dichotomous		4	(5.5%)		13	(17.8%)		
Headache – 16wk	Dichotomous		5	(6.8%)		11	(15.1%)		
Dropouts:				(0.07.7)			(121170)		
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	73	За	(4.1%)	73	12b	(16.4%)		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkc	Mean change	62		0.31 (SD 1.02)	57		-0.48 (SD 0.981)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wkd	Mean change	62		1.0989	57		-0.406815		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wkd	Mean change	62		-0.37	57		-0.19		
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	64		93	58		86		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wkd	Mean change	62		- 0.0537888	57		0.0843036		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 16wkd	Mean change	62		0.2987334	57		0.1026261		

		Placebo			Acarbose (200 mg TID)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Safety population Adverse events: GI: nausea – 16wk	Dichotomous	73	0	(0.0%)	72	6	(8.3%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 16wk	Dichotomous	73	59	(80.8%)	72	69	(95.8%)		
Flatulence – 16wk	Dichotomous	73	18	(24.7%)	71	62	(87.3%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 16wk	Dichotomous	73	7	(9.6%)	72	24	(33.3%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 16wk	Dichotomous	73	4	(5.5%)	72	11	(15.3%)		
Headache – 16wk	Dichotomous	73	5	(6.8%)	72	3	(4.2%)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	73	За	(4.1%)	72	15b	(20.8%)		

a approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
b approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
c from full paper; SD estimated from graph; ANCOVA with baseline Hba1c
d from full paper; SD not reported

Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkc	Mean change	62	0.31 (SD 1.02)	54	-0.4 (SD 1.1)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wkd	Mean change	62	1.0989	54	-1.060605
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wkd	Mean change	62	-0.37	54	-0.8
Weight (kg) - 16wk	Continuous	64	93	54	89
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wkd	Mean change	62	-0.0537888	54	0.0069822
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 16wkd	Mean change	62	0.2987334	54	-0.0944973

		Acarbose (100 mg TID)			Acarbose (200 mg TID)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Safety population Adverse events: GI: nausea – 16wk	Dichotomous	73	4	(5.5%)	72	6	(8.3%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 16wk	Dichotomous	73	70	(95.9%)	72	69	(95.8%)		
Flatulence – 16wk	Dichotomous	73	56	(76.7%)	71	62	(87.3%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 16wk	Dichotomous	73	26	(35.6%)	72	24	(33.3%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 16wk	Dichotomous	73	13	(17.8%)	72	11	(15.3%)		
Headache – 16wk	Dichotomous	73	11	(15.1%)	72	3	(4.2%)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 16wka	Dichotomous	73	12	(16.4%)	72	15	(20.8%)		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkb	Mean change	57		-0.48 (SD 0.981)	54		-0.4 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wkc	Mean change	57		-0.406815	54		-1.060605		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wkc	Mean change	57		-0.19	54		-0.8		
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	58		86	54		89		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wkc	Mean change	57		0.0843036	54		0.0069822		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 16wkc	Mean change	57		0.1026261	54		-0.0944973		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

b from full paper; SD estimated from graph; ANCOVA with baseline Hba1c

data from the cochrane review were not consistent with data from the full study so cochrane data has not been extracted

approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
b approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
c from full paper; SD estimated from graph; ANCOVA with baseline Hba1c
d from full paper; SD not reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> from full paper; SD not reported

# **Table 23: Damsbo et al. (1998)**

Table 23: Da	msbo et al. (	1998)									
General	metformin to that blood glucose red	ver: Parallel rk sions: Metformin and d of a hypocaloric diet in duction is obtained by w ng: Lipha Pharmaceutic	improving insulin eight loss	stim	nula	ated glucose uti	lisat	tior	n is marginal whe		
Number and characteristics of patients	drugs previously  Exclusion criter	patients: 18 a: Obese patients newly a: Patients had no sign epatic or cardiac functio	ificant concurrent								С
Previous glucose- lowering therapy		previously taking glu out period: All never red				? All treatment	nai	ve/	no OADs at scre	een	ing
Lifestyle advice	Not reported										
Follow-up	Length of maint	wks): 12 on period (wks): 0 enance period (wks): 2 onitoring appointment		at ba	ase	line, 1, 2 and 3	mo	nth	s		
Arms	(1) Placebo N: 9 Treatment duration Washout period (Comments: All A Treatment(s): (2) Metformin N: 9 Treatment duration Washout period (Comments: All A Treatment(s):	d): 0  HA naïve Placebo  on (wks): 12 d): 0	1000 : 3000 ien: 1 to 3 g/day. <sup>-</sup> a maximum of 3 g	The	ini a n	tial dose of 1 g					nts
Outcomes  Baseline											
characteristics				N	l.	Placebo mean	N		Metformin mean	Δ	n
	Demographics:				ļ.,	53 [rng 40–		.,	51 [rng 40–	_	۲
	Age (years)		Continuous	9		63]	9		60]		
	Sex (n male)		Dichotomous	9	6	(66.7%)	9	7	(77.8%)		
	Blood glucose:	hwka	Continuous	0		0.0 (SD 3.0)	0		0.5 (90.4.0)		
	HbA1c (%) – (	wka a glucose (mmol/l) –	Continuous	9		9.9 (SD 3.9)	9		9.5 (SD 1.8)		
	Owka	2 gidoose (iiiii0l/l) –	Continuous	9		10.9 (SD 2.7)	9		12.7 (SD 3.6)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) –	Owka	Continuous	9		31 0 (SD 4 E)	0		31 6 (SD 3 6)		
	Weight (kg) –		Continuous	9		31.9 (SD 4.5) 93.6 (SD 8.4)	9		31.6 (SD 3.6) 101.2 (SD 12)		
	weight (kg) -	J TV I CA	Continuous	J		JJ.U (JD 0.4)	9		101.2 (30 12)		

	<sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE											
Results	Placebo Metformin											
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р		
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	9		6.6 (SD 1.5)	9		6.7 (SD 0.9)				
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Continuous	9		8 (SD 2.4)	9		8.1 (SD 3)				
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 12wka	Continuous	9		29.7 (SD 4.5)	9		29.6 (SD 3.3)				
	Weight (kg) – 12wka	Continuous	9		87.5 (SD 9.6)	9		94.7 (SD 10.5)				
	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	9	0	(0.0%)	9	0	(0.0%)				
	<sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE											

## **Table 24: DeFronzo & (1995)**

Tubic 2 ii Bo	F101120 & (1995)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: USA  Authors' conclusions: Metformin monotherapy is well tolerated and improves glycaemic control and lipid concentration in patients with NIDDM whose diabetes is poorly controlled with diet or sulfonylurea alone  Source of funding: Lipha pharamceuticals  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 289 Inclusion criteria: obese patients Exclusion criteria: - Pre-randomisation phase: Diet alone for 8 weeks prior to randomisation
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Unclear whether patients were all AHA naïve as patients who previously had insulin within the 6 months prior to enrollment were excluded
Lifestyle advice	Patients were instructed in a hypocaloric diet, which they were told to follow for 8 weeks before undergoing randomisation
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 37 Length of titration period (wks): 5 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: 8 week pre-randomisation and 29 week treatment period. Measurements taken at weeks 9, 21 and 29
Arms	(1) Placebo N: 146 Treatment duration (wks): 29 Washout period (d): 56 Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) (2) Metformin N: 143

Treatment duration (wks): 29 Washout period (d): 56

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 850

Maximum dose (mg/d): 2550
Participants achieving full dose (n): 122
Details of dosing regimen: 850 to 2550 mg/day. At the end of the 5 week titration phase 78% were taking maximal doses (2550 mg/day) and 85% eventually took this dose. Doses

were titrated as long as FBG >140 mg/dl and side effects were tolerable

### **Outcomes**

### **Baseline** characteristics

			F	Placebo		etformin			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:				,			/		
Age (years) a	Continuous	146		53 (SD 12.1)	143		53 (SD 12)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	146	62	(42.5%)	143	62	(43.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs) a	Continuous	146		6 (SD 7.25)	143		6 (SD 5.98)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	146		8.2 (SD 2.42)	143		8.4 (SD 1.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	146		13.209 (SD 4.02)	143		13.3755 (SD 3.32)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) a	Continuous	146		29.2 (SD 3.62)	143		29.9 (SD 3.59)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	146		92.2 (SD 14.5)	143		94.4 (SD 13.2)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	146		5.48232 (SD 1.25)	143		5.45646 (SD 0.928)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	146		1.06026 (SD 0.312)	143		1.00854 (SD 0.309)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	146		2.08865 (SD 1.23)	143		2.35961 (SD 2.03)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	146		3.56868 (SD 0.937)	143		3.51696 (SD 0.928)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

			ı	Placebo		M	etformin		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 13wka	Mean change	146		0.15 (SD 0.242)	143		-1.3 (SD 0.598)		
HbA1c (%) – 29wkb	Mean change	146		0.4 (SD 1.2)	143		-1.4 (SD 1.19)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 13wka	Mean change	146		0.13875 (SD 1.68)	143		-3.0525 (SD 1.66)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 29wkb	Continuous	146		13.7 (SD 3.62)	143		10.6 (SD 3.58)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 29wkb	Mean change	146		0.3 (SD 3.62)	143		-2.9 (SD 3.59)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 29wkc	Mean change	146		-1.1 (SD 2.41)	143		-0.6 (SD 3.58)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 29wk	Dichotomous	146	41	(28.1%)	143	31	(21.7%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 29wk	Dichotomous	146	2	(1.4%)	143	14	(9.8%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 29wk	Dichotomous	146	18	(12.3%)	143	2	(1.4%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 29wkc	Mean change	146		0.02586 (SD 0.0233)	143		-0.28446 (SD 0.023)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 29wkc	Mean change	146		-0.02586 (SD 0.00776)	143		0.02586 (SD 0.0075)		

Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 29wkc	Mean change	146	0.06774 (SD 0.0105)	143	-0.19193 (SD 0.0177)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 29wkc	Mean change	146	-0.05172 (SD 0.0155)	143	-0.28446 (SD 0.023)
<ul> <li><sup>a</sup> estimated from graph; unclear erro</li> <li><sup>b</sup> SD calculated from reported SE</li> <li><sup>c</sup> reported in full paper</li> </ul>	or bars				

# Table 25: Dejager et al. (2007)

Tubic Zei Be	Jager et al. (2007)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: 134 centres in USA, Russia and Tunisia  Authors' conclusions: In conclusion, vildagliptin monotherapy decreases Hba1c in drug naïve patients without weight gain and is well tolerated with minimal hypoglycaemia  Source of funding: funded by Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation  Comments: 24 week, double-blind, parallel group study. No details of randomisation procedure, blinding or allocation concealment
Number and characteristics of patients	Inclusion criteria: patients: 632 Inclusion criteria: patients who were diagnosed with type 2 diabetes and who had Hba1c 7.5 to 10.0% at the screening visit while receiving no pharmacologic treatment. Patients who had taken no OAD for at least 12 weeks prior to screening and no OAD for >3 consecutive months at any time in the past were considered to be representative of a drug naïve population. Male and female patients aged 18-80 years, inclusive, with a BMI 22-45 kg/m2 and with a FPG <15 mmol/l were eligible to participate  Exclusion criteria: history of type 1 or secondary diabetes, acute metabolic complications within the past 6 months, MI, unstable angina or coronary artery bypass surgery within the previous 6 months. CHF and liver disease also precluded participation. Patients with any of the followig laboratory abnormalities were also excluded: ALT or AST greater then 3 times the upper normal limit, direct bilirubin >1.3 times the ULN, serum creatinine >2.5 mg/dl, clinically abnormal TSH, or fasting triglycerides >700 mg/dl. During the study patients were discontinued due to unsatisfactory therapeutic effect if they experienced an FPG>15 mmol/l confirmed by repeat measurement in the absence of intercurrent illness, or symptoms of worsening hyperglycaemia in the absence of intercurrent illness, or other incidental circumstances potentially causing deterioration of glucose control. A patients could also be discontinued due to UTE without meeting these pre-specified criteria based soley on the investigators judgement.  Pre-randomisation phase: Each patient attended one screening visit (week 2) during which inclusion/exclusion criteria were assessed. Eligible patients were randomised at visit 2 (baseline)
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Drug naïve defined as those not previously taking AHA in the 12 weeks prior to enrollment
Lifestyle advice	no details reported
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: efficacy and tolerability were assessed during 5 additional visits at weeks 4, 8, 12, 16 and 24 of active treatment
Arms	(1) Vildagliptin (50 mg qd) N: 163 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):50 Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: no other details reported

### (2) Vildagliptin (50mg bid)

N: 152

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):100

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: no other details reported

### (3) Vildagliptin (100 mg qd)

N: 157

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):100

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: no other details reported

## (4) placebo

N: 160

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: No details reported

### **Outcomes**

#### General

The primary ITT population (n=380) consists of all randomised patients who had a screening Hba1c >=7.4%, received at least one dose of the study medication and had a baseline as well as at least one post-baseline Hba1c value. The ITT population (n=594) includes all patients in the primary ITT population as well as those patients who were inapproriately randomised (having baseline Hba1c <7.4%) and those without a baseline Hba1c value. The safety population (n=625) consists of all patients who received at least one dose of study drug and had at least one post-baseline safety assessment. Last observation carried forward method was used for patients who discontinued early. Efficacy analyses were performed with the primary ITT population. 33 (20.2%) patients in 50 mg qd, 24 (15.8%) in 50mg bid, 23 (14.6%) in 100 mg qd and 41 (25.6%) in placebo group discontinued the study.

All outcomes have been extracted in this evidence table

### Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Severe hypoglycaemia was defined as any episode requiring the assistance of another party)

symptomatic (confirmed) (hypoglycaemia was defined as symptoms suggestive of low blood glucose confirmed by self monitored blood glucose (SMBG) <3.1 mmol/l.)

# Baseline characteristics

		Vild	agli	ptin (50 mg qd)	Vild	agli	ptin (50mg bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	163	76	(46.6%)	152	66	(43.4%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	163	10	(6.1%)	152	9	(5.9%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	163	14	(8.6%)	152	12	(7.9%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	163	4	(2.5%)	152	3	(2.0%)		
ITT (primary) Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	104		55.3 (SD 11.4)	90		52.8 (SD 9.6)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	104	43	(41.3%)	90	42	(46.7%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	104		2.1 (SD 3.6)	90		2.1 (SD 3.3)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	104		8.2 (SD 0.8)	90		8.6 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	104		9.8 (SD 2.4)	90		10.1 (SD 2.2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	104		32.9 (SD 6)	90		33.3 (SD 4.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	104		92.85696 (SD 16.9)	90		93.98592 (SD 13.5)		
<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming me	ean height of 1.6	88m							

		Vild	agli	ptin (50 mg qd)	Vil				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	163	76	(46.6%)	157	70	(44.6%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	163	10	(6.1%)	157	4	(2.5%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	163	14	(8.6%)	157	14	(8.9%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	163	4	(2.5%)	157	4	(2.5%)		
ITT (primary) Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	104		55.3 (SD 11.4)	92		53.6 (SD 10.8)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	104	43	(41.3%)	92	49	(53.3%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	104		2.1 (SD 3.6)	92		2.4 (SD 4.2)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	104		8.2 (SD 0.8)	92		8.4 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	104		9.8 (SD 2.4)	92		9.9 (SD 2.3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	104		32.9 (SD 6)	92		32.4 (SD 6.1)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	104		92.85696 (SD 16.9)	92		91.44576 (SD 17.2)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Vild	Vildagliptin (50 mg qd)				olacebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	163	76	(46.6%)	160	65	(40.6%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	163	10	(6.1%)	160	12	(7.5%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	163	14	(8.6%)	160	11	(6.9%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	163	4	(2.5%)	160	6	(3.8%)		
ITT (primary) Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	104		55.3 (SD 11.4)	94		52.2 (SD 11.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	104	43	(41.3%)	94	45	(47.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	104		2.1 (SD 3.6)	94		1.6 (SD 2.5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	104		8.2 (SD 0.8)	94		8.4 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	104		9.8 (SD 2.4)	94		9.9 (SD 2.5)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	104		32.9 (SD 6)	94		32.6 (SD 5.6)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	104		92.85696 (SD 16.9)	94		92.01024 (SD 15.8)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Vild	agliį	otin (50mg bid)	Vildagliptin (100 mg qd)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	152	66	(43.4%)	157	70	(44.6%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	152	9	(5.9%)	157	4	(2.5%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	152	12	(7.9%)	157	14	(8.9%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	152	3	(2.0%)	157	4	(2.5%)		

ITT (primary) Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	90		52.8 (SD 9.6)	92		53.6 (SD 10.8)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	90	42	(46.7%)	92	49	(53.3%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	90		2.1 (SD 3.3)	92		2.4 (SD 4.2)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	90		8.6 (SD 0.8)	92		8.4 (SD 0.8)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	90		10.1 (SD 2.2)	92		9.9 (SD 2.3)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	90		33.3 (SD 4.8)	92		32.4 (SD 6.1)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	90		93.98592 (SD 13.5)	92		91.44576 (SD 17.2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Vild	agli	ptin (50mg bid)		ı	olacebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	152	66	(43.4%)	160	65	(40.6%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	152	9	(5.9%)	160	12	(7.5%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	152	12	(7.9%)	160	11	(6.9%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	152	3	(2.0%)	160	6	(3.8%)		
ITT (primary) Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	90		52.8 (SD 9.6)	94		52.2 (SD 11.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	90	42	(46.7%)	94	45	(47.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	90		2.1 (SD 3.3)	94		1.6 (SD 2.5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	90		8.6 (SD 0.8)	94		8.4 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	90		10.1 (SD 2.2)	94		9.9 (SD 2.5)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	90		33.3 (SD 4.8)	94		32.6 (SD 5.6)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	90		93.98592 (SD 13.5)	94		92.01024 (SD 15.8)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Vil	liptin (100 mg qd)	placebo					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	157	70	(44.6%)	160	65	(40.6%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	157	4	(2.5%)	160	12	(7.5%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	157	14	(8.9%)	160	11	(6.9%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	157	4	(2.5%)	160	6	(3.8%)		
ITT (primary)									
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	92		53.6 (SD 10.8)	94		52.2 (SD 11.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	92	49	(53.3%)	94	45	(47.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	92		2.4 (SD 4.2)	94		1.6 (SD 2.5)		
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	92		8.4 (SD 0.8)	94		8.4 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	92		9.9 (SD 2.3)	94		9.9 (SD 2.5)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	92		32.4 (SD 6.1)	94		32.6 (SD 5.6)		

	Weight (kg) – 0wka Co	ontinuous 92 height of 1.68m		91.445  7.2)	576 (SD 94	ı		.01024 (SD .8)		
Results			Vil		ptin (50 mg qd)	Vil		iptin (50mg bid)		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Dropouts:									
	Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	163	33	(20.2%)	152	24	(15.8%)		
	Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	163	3	(1.8%)	152	2	(1.3%)		
	Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect 24wk	ct – Dichotomous	163	6	(3.7%)	152	6	(3.9%)		
	ITT (primary) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Mean change	104		-0.8 (SD 1.02)	90		-0.8 (SD 0.949)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Mean change	104		-1	90		-0.8		
	Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wka	Mean change	104		-1.8 (SD 4.08)	90		-0.3 (SD 3.79)		
	Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wkb	Mean change	104		-1.4	90		-4.1		
	Safety population Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	2	(1.2%)	151	0	(0.0%)		
	Adverse events:									
	GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous			(1.9%)	151		(2.6%)		
	Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous		108	,	151		(62.3%)		
	Any serious adverse event(s) – 24v				(4.9%)	151		(4.0%)		
	Any serious adverse event(s) – 24v				(4.9%)	151		(4.6%)		
	Any serious adverse event(s) – 24v				(5.6%)	151		(4.0%)		
	Any serious adverse event(s) – 24v Study drug-related adverse event –		102	9	(5.6%)	151	1	(4.6%)		
	24wk	Dichotomous	162	18	(11.1%)	151	13	(8.6%)		
	GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	3	(1.9%)	151	6	(4.0%)		
	Baseline Hba1c >8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	56		-0.8 (SD 0.748)	58		-1.3 (SD 1.52)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	56		-1 (SD 2.99)	58		-1.3 (SD 3.05)		
	<sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE <sup>b</sup> No SDs reported	Ü						,		
			Vilo		tin (50 mg  d)	Vild		otin (100 mg qd)		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Dropouts:						_			
	Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	163		(20.2%)	157		(14.6%)		
	Dropout due to AEs – 24wk  Drop out due to unsatisfactory effec		163		(1.8%)	157		(3.8%)		
	- 24wk	Dichotomous	163	6	(3.7%)	157	2	(1.3%)		
	ITT (primary) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Mean change	104		-0.8 (SD 1.02)	92		-0.9 (SD 0.959)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Mean change	104		-1	92		-0.8		

Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wka	Mean change	104		-1.8 (SD 4.08)	92		-0.8 (SD 3.84)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wkb	Mean change	104		-1.4	92		-3
Safety population Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	2	(1.2%)	155	1	(0.6%)
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	3	(1.9%)	155	2	(1.3%)
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	108	(66.7%)	155	111	(71.6%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	8	(4.9%)	155	3	(1.9%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	8	(4.9%)	155	7	(4.5%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	9	(5.6%)	155	3	(1.9%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	9	(5.6%)	155	7	(4.5%)
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	18	(11.1%)	155	17	(11.0%)
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	3	(1.9%)	155	2	(1.3%)
Baseline Hba1c >8%							
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	56		-0.8 (SD 0.748)	51		-1.4 (SD 1.43)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	56		-1 (SD 2.99)	51		-1.5 (SD 2.86)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE <sup>b</sup> No SDs reported

		Vilo	dagli mg	ptin (50 qd)		plac	ebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	163			160				0.021
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	163	33	(20.2%)	160	41	(25.6%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	163	3	(1.8%)	160	6	(3.8%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	163	6	(3.7%)	160	15	(9.4%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	152			149			MD=-0.500 (CI: -0.696, - 0.304)	<0.001
ITT (primary) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Mean change	104		-0.8 (SD 1.02)	94		-0.3 (SD 0.97)	MD=-0.500 (CI: -0.892, - 0.108)	0.006
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Mean change	104		-1	94		-0.1		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wka	Mean change	104		-1.8 (SD 4.08)	94		-1.4 (SD 3.88)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wkb	Mean change	104		-1.4	94		-1.5		
Safety population Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	2	(1.2%)	157	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	3	(1.9%)	157	6	(3.8%)		

Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	108	(66.7%)	157	97	(61.8%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	8	(4.9%)	157	5	(3.2%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	8	(4.9%)	157	9	(5.7%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	9	(5.6%)	157	5	(3.2%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	9	(5.6%)	157	9	(5.7%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	18	(11.1%)	157	19	(12.1%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	162	3	(1.9%)	157	5	(3.2%)		
>=3 months since diagnosis Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	63			55			MD=-0.800 (CI: -1.192, - 0.408)	<0.001
Baseline Hba1c >8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	56		-0.8 (SD 0.748)	56		С		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	56		-1 (SD 2.99)	56		d		

a SD calculated from reported SE No SDs reported ront reported in text of not reported

		Vilo	_	ptin (50mg bid)	Vildagliptin (100 mg qd)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous			(15.8%)	157		(14.6%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	152	2	(1.3%)	157	6	(3.8%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	152	6	(3.9%)	157	2	(1.3%)		
ITT (primary) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Mean change	90		-0.8 (SD 0.949)	92		-0.9 (SD 0.959)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Mean change	90		-0.8	92		-0.8		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wka	Mean change	90		-0.3 (SD 3.79)	92		-0.8 (SD 3.84)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wkb	Mean change	90		-4.1	92		-3		
Safety population Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	0	(0.0%)	155	1	(0.6%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	4	(2.6%)	155	2	(1.3%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	94	(62.3%)	155	111	(71.6%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	6	(4.0%)	155	3	(1.9%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	6	(4.0%)	155	7	(4.5%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	7	(4.6%)	155	3	(1.9%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	7	(4.6%)	155	7	(4.5%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	13	(8.6%)	155	17	(11.0%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	6	(4.0%)	155	2	(1.3%)		

Baseline Hba1c >8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - 24wk	Mean change	58	-1.3 (SD 1.52)	51	-1.4 (SD 1.43)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	58	-1.3 (SD 3.05)	51	-1.5 (SD 2.86)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE <sup>b</sup> No SDs reported

				gliptin g bid)		plac	ebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	152			160				0.093
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	152	24	(15.8%)	160	41	(25.6%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	152	2	(1.3%)	160	6	(3.8%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	152	6	(3.9%)	160	15	(9.4%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	143			149			MD=-0.600 (CI: -0.796, -0.404)	<0.001
ITT (primary) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Mean change	90		-0.8 (SD 0.949)	94		-0.3 (SD 0.97)	MD=-0.500 (CI: -0.892, -0.108)	0.006
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Mean change	90		-0.8	94		-0.1		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wka	Mean change	90		-0.3 (SD 3.79)	94		-1.4 (SD 3.88)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wkb	Mean change	90		-4.1	94		-1.5		
Safety population Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	0	(0.0%)	157	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: Gl: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	4	(2.6%)	157	6	(3.8%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	94	(62.3%)	157	97	(61.8%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	6	(4.0%)	157	5	(3.2%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	6	(4.0%)	157	9	(5.7%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	7	(4.6%)	157	5	(3.2%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	7	(4.6%)	157	9	(5.7%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	13	(8.6%)	157	19	(12.1%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	151	6	(4.0%)	157	5	(3.2%)		
>=3 months since diagnosis									
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	54			55			MD=-0.700 (CI: -1.092, -0.308)	0.003
Baseline Hba1c >8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	58		-1.3 (SD 1.52)	56		С		

			-1.3			
Fasting plasma glucose	Mean		(SD			
(mmol/l) – 24wk	change	58	3.05)	56	d	

a SD calculated from reported SE No SDs reported or not reported in text of not reported

		Vild	laglip mg	otin (100 qd)		plac	ebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose:									
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	157			160				0.058
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	157	23	(14.6%)	160	41	(25.6%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	157	6	(3.8%)	160	6	(3.8%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	157	2	(1.3%)	160	15	(9.4%)		
ITT	Dichotomous	137	_	(1.570)	100	13	(3.470)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	150			149			MD=-0.600 (CI: -0.796, - 0.404)	<0.001
ITT (primary) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Mean change	92		-0.9 (SD 0.959)	94		-0.3 (SD 0.97)	MD=-0.600 (CI: -0.992, - 0.208)	0.001
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Mean change	92		-0.8	94		-0.1		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wka	Mean change	92		-0.8 (SD 3.84)	94		-1.4 (SD 3.88)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wkb	Mean change	92		-3	94		-1.5		
Safety population									
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	155	1	(0.6%)	157	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: Gl: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	155	2	(1.3%)	157	6	(3.8%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	155	111	(71.6%)	157	97	(61.8%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	155	3	(1.9%)	157	5	(3.2%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	155	3	(1.9%)	157		(5.7%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	155	7	(4.5%)	157		(3.2%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	155		(4.5%)	157		(5.7%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	155	17	(11.0%)	157	19	(12.1%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	155	2	(1.3%)	157	5	(3.2%)		
>=3 months since diagnosis								MD=-0.900	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	59			55			(CI: -1.292, - 0.508)	<0.001
Baseline Hba1c >8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	51		-1.4 (SD 1.43)	56		С		

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	51	-1.5 (SD 2.86)	56	d	
<ul> <li><sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported</li> <li><sup>b</sup> No SDs reported</li> <li><sup>c</sup> not reported in text</li> <li><sup>d</sup> not reported</li> </ul>	SE					
Change from baseline in prim treatment and pooled center a						

## Table 26: Del et al. (2003)

Table 26: De	l et al. (2003)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: International (France, Italy and Netherlands)  Authors' conclusions: Benofluorex significantly reduces Hba1c and FBG when compared with placebo, has a good safety profile and has relatively less potency compared to metformin although the non-inferiority test was significant  Source of funding: IRIS (Institut de Recherches Internationales Servier)  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 722 Inclusion criteria: Patients with type 2 diabetes aged 35-70 years with FBG 7.8-16.7 mmol/l on diet alone or 7.8-11.1 mmol/l ni patients receiving an oral agent and a BMI between 25-40 kg/m2 Exclusion criteria: - Pre-randomisation phase: 2 month placebo run-in with strict diet plan. Patients with FBG between 7.8-13.9 mmol/l and/or Hba1c 7.5-10% and compliance with placebo 80% or over were eligible for randomisation
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: At inclusion, patients stopped oral therapy and entered a 2 month placebo controlled run-in period + diet
Lifestyle advice	Strict diet plan during the run-in period. During the study patients met a dietitian on a regular basis
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 29 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 29 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients were measured after 17 and 29 weeks
Arms	(1) Placebo N: 144 Treatment duration (wks): 29 Washout period (d): 60 Comments: At inclusion, patients stopped oral therapy and entered a 2 month placebo controlled run-in period + diet Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) (2) Metformin N: 284 Treatment duration (wks): 29 Washout period (d): 60 Comments: At inclusion, patients stopped oral therapy and entered a 2 month placebo controlled run-in period + diet Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Mean dose (mg/d): 2125 Minimum dose (mg/d): 2550 Details of dosing regimen: 5 week dose finding

Outcomes	General Data from third trial arm not extracted	(benfluorex).										
Baseline characteristics					Pla	acebo	Metformin					
			N	k	<	mean	N	k	mean		Δ	р
	Demographics:	o .:				50 (OD 0)	00.4		50 (OD 0	.,		
	Age (years) Sex (n male)	Continuous Dichotomous	14	4 4 9		56 (SD 9) (63.2%)	284 284	68	56 (SD 9 (23.9%)	9)		
	Blood glucose:	Dictiolorious	14	7 3		7.43 (SD	204	00	7.79 (SE	,		
	HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	14	4		1.43 (3D 1.48)	284		1.61)	,		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	14	4		9.74 (SD 2.28)	284		10.15 (S 2.47)	D		
	Body weight:					29.9 (SD			29.7 (SE	4.2)		
	BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	14	4		3.9) 84.5 (SD	284		82.6 (SE	`		
	Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	14	4		14.8)	284		14.6)	,		
	Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	14	1		5.26 (SD 0.94)	284		5.38 (SE 0.96)	)		
	HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	14			1.15 (SD 0.29)	284		1.14 (SE	0.3)		
		Mean				1.56 (SD			1.82 (SE			
	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	change	14	4		0.99)	284		1.15)			
	Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:											
	Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous				,			(43.0%)			
	Oral antidiabetic medication <sup>a</sup> Data extracted from baseline charact	Dichotomous							(57.0%)	202 O	) 7\	
	Data extracted from baseline charact	ensucs (slight i	TICO	nsis	sten	icy in otner ta	bie i.e	3. 29	.o ratrier tr	nan z	9.7)	
Results						Placebo		,	Metformin			
				N	k		N		k mean			_
	Blood glucose:			IN						20	Δ	Р
	HbA1c (%) – 29wk	Continuous	s	144	4	7.91 (SD 1.86)	2	84	6.77 (\$ 1.34)	รบ		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 29wk	Continuous	s	144	4	10.13 (SD 3.11)		84	8.16 (§ 1.9)	SD		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 29wk	Continuous	s	144	4	29.6 (SD	4) 2	84	29.5 (§ 4.3)	SD		
	Weight (kg) – 29wk	Continuous	S	144	4	83.5 (SD 14.5)	2	84	81.9 (§ 15)	SD		
	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 29wk	Dichotomo	NIS.	144	4 3	6 (25.0%)	2	84	45 (15.8%	6)		
	Dropout due to AEs – 29wk	Dichotomo		144					16 (5.6%)	,		
	Drop out due to unsatisfactory effecture – 29wk	t				5 (10.4%)		84				
	Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 29wk	Mean change		144		5.44 (SD 1.04)	2	84	5.2 (SI 0.93)			
	HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 29wk	Mean change		144	4	1.18 (SD 0.3)	2	84	1.23 (§ 0.35)	SD		
	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 29wk	Mean change		144	4	1.83 (SD 1.71)	2	84	1.65 (\$ 1.02)	SD		

# Table 27: Delgado et al. (2002)

Phase:	Table 27: De	lgado et al.	(2002)					
characteristics of patients  Inclusion criteria: selected from those belonging to the outpatient pool of authors division. Included patie with type 2 diabetes (ADA definition), with stable body weight as well as capillary blood glucose readings? 2 months prior to study enrollment. All patients had received 2 week education programme.  Exclusion criteria: patients with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes, presence of micro or macrovasular complications, treatment with insulin and/or other oral hypoglycaemic agents, dyslipidemia, hypertension any drug known to affect insulin resistence/secretion  Pre-randomisation phase: 2 week in hospital education programme.  Titration was part of the maintenance period  Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs an insulin lovering therapy  therapy  Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs an insulin lovering therapy  whether any had history of AHAs  Ill participants had received a two week in-hospital therapeutic education programme aimed at improving diabetes management skills, dietary knowledge and weight stabilisation strategies  Follow-up  Total follow-up (wks): 16  Length of titration period (wks): 2  Longth of maintenance period (wks): 14  Frequency of monitoring appointments: No details reported  Arms  (1) Acarbose  N: 9  Treatment duration (wks): 16  Washout period (d): 0  Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – forced titration Minimum dose (mg/d): 50  Maximum dose (mg/d): 50  Maximum dose (mg/d): 100  Details of dosing regimen: patients received 50mg acarbose once daily for 2 weeks their 100 mg (2 tablets daily) for remaining 14 weeks  (2) Placebo  N: 8  Treatment duration (wks): 16  Washout period (d): 0  Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) – forced titration  Details of dosing regimen: patients received placebo (1 tablet daily) for two weeks then placebo (2 tablets daily) for remaining 14 weeks  Outcomes ont extracted in this evidence tble include fat mass, lean mass, insulin resiste	General	☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monoth ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crosso Country: Switze Authors' conclu presenting with g blood glucose, a Source of fundi	over: Parallel  Irland/Spain  Isions: Our results show that treatment w good glucose control without any other ass carbose improves both insulin sensitivity a ing: Unclear but may not have received fu	sociated treatment. By and secretion anding from pharmaceu	decreasing postprandial			
Insulin   Details of washout period: Althought it states that 'patients were well controlled with diet alone', unclear whether any had history of AHAs	characteristics	Inclusion criter with type 2 diabe 2 months prior to Exclusion criter complications, tr any drug known Pre-randomisation	ia: selected from those belonging to the operes (ADA definition), with stable body weight of study enrollment. All patients had receive ria: patients with uncontrolled type 2 diabeteatment with insulin and/or other oral hypoto affect insulin resistence/secretion on phase: 2 week in hospital education pro-	ght as well as capillary ed 2 week education p etes, presence of micro oglycaemic agents, dy	v blood glucose readings to rogramme.  To or macrovasular	for		
Total follow-up (wks): 16 Length of titration period (wks): 2 Length of maintenance period (wks): 14 Frequency of monitoring appointments: No details reported  Arms  (1) Acarbose N: 9 Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – forced titration Minimum dose (mg/d): 50 Maximum dose (mg/d): 100 Details of dosing regimen: patients received 50mg acarbose once daily for 2 weeks there 100 mg (2 tablets daily) for remaining 14 weeks  (2) Placebo N: 8 Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) – forced titration Details of dosing regimen: patients received placebo (1 tablet daily) for two weeks then placebo (2 tablets daily) for remaining 14 weeks  Outcomes  General Outcomes ot extracted in this evidence tible include fat mass, lean mass, insulin resistence measures, fa oxidation, carbohydrate oxidation and c-peptide. Fasting blood glucose following Ravens triple infusion was also not extracted. Drop outs not reported so assumed all completed study No details of ITT analysis reported	glucose- lowering	insulin  Details of wash	out period: Althought it states that 'patier					
Length of titration period (wks): 2 Length of maintenance period (wks): 14 Frequency of monitoring appointments: No details reported  (1) Acarbose N: 9 Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – forced titration Minimum dose (mg/d): 50 Maximum dose (mg/d): 100 Details of dosing regimen: patients received 50mg acarbose once daily for 2 weeks there 100 mg (2 tablets daily) for remaining 14 weeks  (2) Placebo N: 8 Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) – forced titration Details of dosing regimen: patients received placebo (1 tablet daily) for two weeks then placebo (2 tablets daily) for remaining 14 weeks  Outcomes  General Outcomes not extracted in this evidence tble include fat mass, lean mass, insulin resistence measures, fa oxidation, carbohydrate oxidation and c-peptide. Fasting blood glucose following Ravens triple infusion was also not extracted. Drop outs not reported so assumed all completed study No details of ITT analysis reported	Lifestyle advice							
N: 9 Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – forced titration Minimum dose (mg/d): 50 Maximum dose (mg/d): 100 Details of dosing regimen: patients received 50mg acarbose once daily for 2 weeks their 100 mg (2 tablets daily) for remaining 14 weeks  (2) Placebo N: 8 Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) – forced titration Details of dosing regimen: patients received placebo (1 tablet daily) for two weeks then placebo (2 tablets daily) for remaining 14 weeks  Outcomes  General Outcomes not extracted in this evidence tble include fat mass, lean mass, insulin resistence measures, fa oxidation, carbohydrate oxidation and c-peptide. Fasting blood glucose following Ravens triple infusion walso not extracted. Drop outs not reported so assumed all completed study No details of ITT analysis reported	Follow-up	Length of titrati	on period (wks): 2 tenance period (wks): 14	orted				
Outcomes not extracted in this evidence tble include fat mass, lean mass, insulin resistence measures, fa oxidation, carbohydrate oxidation and c-peptide. Fasting blood glucose following Ravens triple infusion wa also not extracted.  Drop outs not reported so assumed all completed study  No details of ITT analysis reported	Arms	N: 9 Treatment durati Washout period Treatment(s):  (2) Placebo N: 8 Treatment durati Washout period	(d): 0 Acarbose (Oral) – forced titration Minimum dose (mg/d): 50 Maximum dose (mg/d): 100 Details of dosing regimen: patients recei 100 mg (2 tablets daily) for remaining 14 on (wks): 16 (d): 0 Placebo (Oral) – forced titration Details of dosing regimen: patients recei	weeks	·			
Baseline Acarbasa Blacaba A	Outcomes	Outcomes not ex oxidation, carbol also not extracte Drop outs not re	nydrate oxidation and c-peptide. Fasting b d. ported so assumed all completed study					
characteristics				Acarbose	Placebo Δ	р		

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean
Demographics: Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	9	6	(66.7%)	8	3	(37.5%)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	9		6.8 (SD 1.5)	8		7.5 (SD 1.7)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	9		7.8 (SD 3.6)	8		7.5 (SD 1.7)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wka	Continuous	9		36.1 (SD 4.5)	8		34.4 (SD 7.92)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	9		103.8 (SD 8.7)	8		90.6 (SD 25.2)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	9		142 (SD 9)	8		149 (SD 11.3)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	9		84 (SD 9)	8		91 (SD 8.49)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	9		5.2 (SD 1.2)	8		5.4 (SD 0.849)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	9		1.1 (SD 0.3)	8		1.1 (SD 0.283)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	9		2 (SD 0.9)	8		2.6 (SD 2.55)
<sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE							
			Α	carbose		F	Placebo
		N	k	mean I	N I	k	mean $\Delta$
Blood glucose:							7.5 (SD

Results				,	Acarbose			Placebo		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Continuous	9		6.7 (SD 0.9)	8		7.5 (SD 3.11)		NS
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wka	Continuous	9		7.3 (SD 2.1)	8		8.4 (SD 1.98)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 16wka	Continuous	9		36.3 (SD 4.8)	8		34.5 (SD 7.35)		b
	Weight (kg) – 16wka	Continuous	9		104.6 (SD 8.7)	8		91.1 (SD 24.3)		b
	Adverse events: Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 16wk	Dichotomous	9	7	(77.8%)	8	Ос	(0.0%)		b
	Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 16wk	Continuous	9		135 (SD 18)	8		143 (SD 12.7)		b
	Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 16wk	Continuous	9		84 (SD 6)	8		89 (SD 9.9)		b
	Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	9		5.4 (SD 0.9)	8		5.9 (SD 1.13)		b
	HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	9		1.1 (SD 0.3)	8		1.2 (SD 0)		b
	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	9		1.8 (SD 0.6)	8		2.6 (SD 1.7)		b

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

SD converted from SE

Data analysed using a two-way ANOVA

**Table 28: Derosa et al. (2003)** 

General	Phase:	
	☑ monotherapy	

b not reported c assumed 0 although this is not explicitly reported

	□ dual therapy □ triple therapy □ insulin monotherapy □ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Italy  Authors' conclusions: Repaglinide and glimpiride improved glycaemic control and reduced levels of other metabolic parameters of interest in this population of patients with type 2 diabetes  Source of funding: Unclear  Comments: Randomised, double-blind, placebo controlled trial. Randomisation codes were prepared by a statistician and placed in envelopes, the statistician subsequently carried out randomisation by drawing envelopes. Blinding was maintained through the use of identical numbered bottles prepared by the hospital pharmacy
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 132 Inclusion criteria: patients with a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes for 6 months or longer were eligible for participation. Eligible participants were to be non-smokers with normal blood pressure, no coronary heart disease and normal renal function (serum creatinine <1.5 mg/dl). Patients were receiving no anti diabetic medication at the time of enrollment and had not achieved satisfactory glycaemic control (Hba1c <7%) with diet and exercise. All patients had LDL cholesterol >=100 mg/dl and thus were at increased risk of vascular disease. Patients were taking no hypoglycaemic drugs, dieuretics, beta blockers or thyroxin Exclusion criteria: see inclusion criteria for details  Pre-randomisation phase: After an initial 4 week placebo washout period, patients were randomised
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Unclear whether all participants were completely naïve. Patients were not on OADs at enrollment
Lifestyle advice	Patients were on diet and exercise at enrollment (it was recommended that patients ride a stationary bicycle for at least 30 minutes 3 to 4 times per week). Standardised meals based on a controlled energy diet were used. Patients were instructed to maintain this diet throughout the study. As part of a behaviour-modification programme, patients kept food diaries and had tri-monthly visits with a dietician.
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 64 Length of titration period (wks): 8 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Outcomes were measured at the end of the placebo washout period (baseline) and at 6 and 12 months during active treatment
Arms	(1) Repaglinide  N: 66  Treatment duration (wks): 60  Washout period (d): 28  Comments: 4 week placebo washout period  Treatment(s): repaglinide (Oral)  Mean dose (mg/d): 2.5  Minimum dose (mg/d): 1  Compliance: assessed by counting the number of tablets returned  Details of dosing regimen: started on 1 mg/day. This dose was optimised over an 8 week titration period  (2) Glimepiride  N: 66  Treatment duration (wks): 60  Washout period (d): 28  Comments: 4 week placebo washout period  Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral)  Mean dose (mg/d): 3  Minimum dose (mg/d): 1  Details of dosing regimen: glimpiride 1 mg/day. The dose of the study drug was optimised over an 8 week titration period
Outcomes	General 4 (6%) patients in the glimepiride group and 4 (6%) in the repaglinide group discontinued the study Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include fasting insulin, lipoprotein, PAI-1, homocysteine, fibrinogen and apolipoprotein No details of ITT analysis reported

Baseline
characteristics

		Repaglinide			GI	limepiride			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	62		10.767 (SD 1.66)	62		10.434 (SD 1.78)		
Body weight: Height (cm) a	Continuous	62		171 (SD 5)	62		172 (SD 6)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	62		129 (SD 4)	62		128 (SD 5)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	62		85 (SD 4)	62		85 (SD 3)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	62		5.5599 (SD 0.983)	62		5.6892 (SD 1.11)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	62		1.11198 (SD 0.181)	62		1.13784 (SD 0.129)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	62		1.72737 (SD 0.361)	62		1.9193 (SD 0.406)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	62		3.59454 (SD 0.569)	62		3.67212 (SD 0.621)		
PP Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	62		56 (SD 9)	62		54 (SD 10)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	62	31	(50.0%)	62	30	(48.4%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	62		8 (SD 1.1)	62		7.8 (SD 1.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	62		8.769 (SD 1.22)	62		9.102 (SD 0.999)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	62		26.1 (SD 1.2)	62		26.4 (SD 1)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	62		76.4 (SD 5.2)	62		77.1 (SD 5.9)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Assumed metres reported in table

		Repaglinide			G	limepiride			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 60wk	Continuous	62			62				NS
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 60wk	Continuous	62			62				NS
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 60wk	Mean change	62		-2.109 (SD 5.35)	62		-2.1645 (SD 8.25)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 60wk	Continuous	62		120 (SD 24)	62		125 (SD 19)		
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 60wk	Mean change	62		-2.553 (SD 4.01)	62		-1.1655 (SD 6.02)		
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 60wk	Continuous	62			62				<0.05
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 60wk	Continuous	62			62				NS
Weight (kg) – 60wk	Continuous	62			62				NS
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 60wk	Dichotomous	66	4	(6.1%)	66	4	(6.1%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 60wk	Dichotomous	66	0	(0.0%)	66	2	(3.0%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 60wk	Dichotomous	62	3	(4.8%)	62	2	(3.2%)		NR
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 34wk	Continuous	62		131 (SD 3)	62		130 (SD 4)		

Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 60wk	Continuous	62	128 (SD 5)	62	129 (SD 4)	N
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 34wk	Continuous	62	84 (SD 5)	62	86 (SD 4)	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 34wk	Continuous	62	85 (SD 5)	62	86 (SD 4)	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 60wk	Continuous	62		62		١
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 34wk	Continuous	62	5.172 (SD 1.03)	62	5.5599 (SD 1.03)	
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 60wk	Continuous	62	5.09442 (SD 0.931)	62	5.19786 (SD 0.828)	N
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 34wk	Continuous	62	1.13784 (SD 0.155)	62	1.1637 (SD 0.129)	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 60wk	Continuous	62	1.1637 (SD 0.181)	62	1.11198 (SD 0.155)	١
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 34wk	Continuous	62	1.60318 (SD 0.418)	62	1.85156 (SD 0.395)	
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 60wk	Continuous	62	1.52415 (SD 0.406)	62	1.74995 (SD 0.44)	١
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 34wk	Continuous	62	3.46524 (SD 0.672)	62	3.6204 (SD 0.698)	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 60wk	Continuous	62	3.41352 (SD 0.465)	62	3.51696 (SD 0.646)	١
PP Blood glucose:						
HbA1c (%) – 60wk  HbA1c (%) – 60wka	Continuous Mean change	62	6.8 (SD 0.8) -1.2	62	6.7 (SD 0.9) -1.1	
Body weight:	change	02	-1.2	02	-1.1	
BMI (kg/m2) – 34wk	Continuous	62	25.7 (SD 1.1)	62	26.1 (SD 1.1)	
BMI (kg/m2) – 60wk	Continuous	62	26.2 (SD 0.8)	62	25.9 (SD 1.2)	
Weight (kg) – 34wk	Continuous	62	75.8 (SD 5)	62	76.8 (SD 5.6)	
Weight (kg) - 60wk	Continuous implausible an	62	76.5 (SD 5.3)	62	76.6 (SD 5.3)	

One way ANOVA was used to compare baseline data and to assess significance within and between groups. One sample t-tests were used to compared values obtained before and after treatment, two sample t-tests were used for between group comparisons.

## **Table 29: Derosa et al. (2011)**

General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel
	Country: Italy  Authors' conclusions: Acarbose was more effective than placebo in reducing the post-OFL peaks of the various parameters including the inflammatory markers, after 7 months of therapy  Source of funding: Authors certify no affliation with or financial involvement in any organisation with a direct financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript
	<b>Comments:</b> Multicentre, randomised, double-blind controlled study. Both drugs were supplied as identical, opaque, white capsules in coded bottles to ensure blinding. Randomisation was done using a drawing of envelopes containing randomisation codes prepared by a statistician. A copy of the code was provided to the responsible person performing statistical analysis. The code was only broken after databse lock.
Number and	Total number of patients: 188

## characteristics Inclusion criteria: caucasian type 2 diabetic patients aged 18 years and over, of either sex and with of patients controlled diabetes (Hba1c<7%) in therapy with diet and physical activity. Exclusion criteria: history of ketoacidosis or unstable or rapidly progressive retinopathy, nephropathy, or neuropathy, impaired hepatic function, impaired renal function, or severe anaemia. Patients with serious cardiovascular disease or cerebrovascular conditions within 6 months before study enrollment were also excluded. Patients with gastrointestinal disorders or intolerance to acarbose were excluded. Women who were pregnant or breastfeeding or of childbearning potential and not taking adequate contraceptive precautions were also excluded. Pre-randomisation phase: assumed titration period was included as part of the maintenance period Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening **Previous** alucose-Details of washout period: All patients were only treated with diet and exercise lowering therapy participants began a controlled-energy diet based on ADA recommendations. Standard diet advice was Lifestyle advice given by a dietician and/or specialist doctor, who periodically provided instruction on dietary intake recording procedures as part of a behaviour modification program and then later used the subjects food diaries for counselling. Individuals were also encouraged to increase their physical activity by walking briskly for 20 to 30 minutes, 3-5 times a week, or by cycle. Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 28 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 28 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Does not specify length of titration period BMI, Hba1c, FPG, PPG, lipids and BP were evalauted at baseline and after 1, 2 and 7 months **Arms** (1) acarbose N: 96 Treatment duration (wks): 28 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) - forced titration Set dose (mg/d):300 Frequency of dosing: three times a day Compliance: assessed by counting the number of pills returned at the time of specified Details of dosing regimen: acarbose 50mg three times a day. After the first month acarbose was titrated to 100 mg three times a day. (2) placebo N: 92 Treatment duration (wks): -Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) Frequency of dosing: three times a day Details of dosing regimen: placebo three times daily **Outcomes** General ITT analysis was conducted in patients who had received >= 1 dose of study medication and had a subsequent efficacy observation. Patients were included in the tolerability analysis sif they had received >= 1 dose of trial medication and had undergone subsequent tolerability observation. Outcomes not reported in this evidence table include oral fat load, insulin resistance measures, c-reactive protein, interlukin-6 and other biochemical markers. 8 (9%) patients in acarbose and 5 (6%) in placebo group discontinued the study **Baseline** All study participants characteristics Ν mean Body weight: 188 169 (SD 5) Height (cm) Continuous acarbose placebo k mean k mean Δр

Demographics: Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	96	47	(49.0%)	92	45	(48.9%)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	96		6.8 (SD 0.6)	92		6.7 (SD 0.5)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	96		8.214 (SD 0.944)	92		8.103 (SD 0.832)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	96		26.6 (SD 0.8)	92		26.8 (SD 0.9)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	96		75.1 (SD 7.7)	92		76.5 (SD 8.2)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	96		132 (SD 5)	92		134 (SD 7)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	96		87 (SD 4)	92		89 (SD 5)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	96		4.7841 (SD 0.207)	92		4.86168 (SD 0.259)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	96		1.13784 (SD 0.155)	92		1.11198 (SD 0.129)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	96		1.90801 (SD 0.474)	92		1.84027 (SD 0.429)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	96		2.81874 (SD 0.181)	92		2.92218 (SD 0.207)

		а	carbose			placebo			
	N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	
Continuous	88		5.7 (SD 0.1)	87		6.4 (SD 0.3)		<0.01	
Continuous	88		6.6045 (SD 0.388)	87		7.3815 (SD 0.555)		<0.05	
Continuous	88		8.436 (SD 0.333)	87		9.2685 (SD 0.444)		<0.05	
Continuous	88		24.5 (SD 0.2)	87		25 (SD 0.3)		NS	
Continuous	88		69.2 (SD 5.8)	87		71.4 (SD 6.1)		NS	
Dichotomous	88	0	(0.0%)	87	0	(0.0%)		а	
Dichotomous	96	8	(8.3%)	92	5	(5.4%)			
Dichotomous	88	8	(9.1%)	87	1	(1.1%)		а	
Continuous	88		131 (SD 4)	87		134 (SD 7)		NS	
Continuous	88		86 (SD 3)	87		87 (SD 4)		NS	
Continuous	88		4.44792 (SD 0.129)	87		4.70652 (SD 0.181)		<0.05	
Continuous	88		1.1637 (SD 0.181)	87		1.08612 (SD 0.103)		NS	
Continuous	88		1.34351 (SD 0.181)	87		1.49028 (SD 0.237)		<0.05	
Continuous	88		2.66358 (SD 0.155)	87		2.92218 (SD 0.207)		<0.05	
	Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	Continuous 88 Continuous 88 Continuous 88 Continuous 88 Continuous 88 Dichotomous 96 Dichotomous 88 Continuous 88 Continuous 88 Continuous 88 Continuous 88 Continuous 88 Continuous 88	N   k	Continuous 88 5.7 (SD 0.1)  Continuous 88 0.388)  Continuous 88 24.5 (SD 0.2)  Continuous 88 69.2 (SD 5.8)  Dichotomous 88 0 (0.0%)  Dichotomous 88 (8.3%)  Dichotomous 88 8 (9.1%)  Continuous 88 86 (SD 3)  Continuous 88 86 (SD 3)  Continuous 88 1.31 (SD 4)  Continuous 88 1.34351 (SD 0.181)  Continuous 88 1.34351 (SD 0.181)  Continuous 88 0.181)	N         k         mean         N           Continuous         88         5.7 (SD 0.1)         87           Continuous         88         6.6045 (SD 0.388)         87           Continuous         88         8.436 (SD 0.333)         87           Continuous         88         24.5 (SD 0.2)         87           Continuous         88         69.2 (SD 5.8)         87           Dichotomous         88         0 (0.0%)         87           Dichotomous         96         8 (8.3%)         92           Dichotomous         88         (9.1%)         87           Continuous         88         131 (SD 4)         87           Continuous         88         86 (SD 3)         87           Continuous         88         4.44792 (SD 0.129)         87           Continuous         88         0.129)         87           Continuous         88         1.34351 (SD 0.181)         87           Continuous         88         0.181)         87           Continuous         88         0.181)         87	N         k         mean         N         k           Continuous         88         5.7 (SD 0.1)         87           Continuous         88         6.6045 (SD 0.388)         87           Continuous         88         8.436 (SD 0.333)         87           Continuous         88         24.5 (SD 0.2)         87           Continuous         88         69.2 (SD 5.8)         87           Dichotomous         88         (0.0%)         87         0           Dichotomous         96         8 (8.3%)         92         5           Dichotomous         88         (9.1%)         87         1           Continuous         88         131 (SD 4)         87         87           Continuous         88         86 (SD 3)         87         88           Continuous         88         4.44792 (SD 0.129)         87         1.1637 (SD 0.181)         87           Continuous         88         1.34351 (SD 0.181)         87         2.66358 (SD         87	N         k         mean         N         k         mean           Continuous         88         5.7 (SD 0.1)         87         6.4 (SD 0.3)           Continuous         88         6.6045 (SD 0.388)         87         7.3815 (SD 0.555)           Continuous         88         8.436 (SD 0.333)         9.2685 (SD 0.444)           Continuous         88         24.5 (SD 0.2)         87         25 (SD 0.3)           Continuous         88         69.2 (SD 5.8)         87         71.4 (SD 6.1)           Dichotomous         88         69.2 (SD 5.8)         87         7 1.4 (SD 6.1)           Dichotomous         88         (9.1%)         87         1 (1.1%)           Continuous         88         (9.1%)         87         1 (1.1%)           Continuous         88         (SD 3)         87         87 (SD 4)           Continuous         88         86 (SD 3)         87         87 (SD 4)           4.44792 (SD 0.181)         1.08612 (SD 0.181)         1.08612 (SD 0.103)           Continuous         88         0.181)         87         0.103)           Continuous         88         0.181)         87         0.237)           Continuous         88 <t< td=""><td>N         k         mean         N         k         mean         Δ           Continuous         88         5.7 (SD 0.1)         87         6.4 (SD 0.3)         7.3815 (SD 0.555)           Continuous         88         6.6045 (SD 0.388)         87         7.3815 (SD 0.555)         9.2685 (SD 0.555)           Continuous         88         8.436 (SD 0.333)         9.2685 (SD 0.444)         9.2685 (SD 0.444)           Continuous         88         24.5 (SD 0.2)         87         25 (SD 0.3)         71.4 (SD 6.1)           Dichotomous         88         69.2 (SD 5.8)         87         71.4 (SD 6.1)         71.4 (SD 6.1)           Dichotomous         88         (9.1%)         87         0 (0.0%)         0.0%)           Dichotomous         88         (9.1%)         87         1 (1.1%)           Continuous         88         (9.1%)         87         1 (1.1%)           Continuous         88         86 (SD 3)         87         87 (SD 4)           Continuous         88         86 (SD 3)         87         87 (SD 4)           4.70652 (SD 0.181)         0.181)         87         0.181)           Continuous         88         0.181)         87         0.103)      &lt;</td><td>N         k         mean         N         k         mean         Δ         p           Continuous         88         5.7 (SD 0.1)         87         6.4 (SD 0.3)         &lt;0.01</td>           Continuous         88         6.6045 (SD 0.388)         87         7.3815 (SD 0.555)         &lt;0.05</t<>	N         k         mean         N         k         mean         Δ           Continuous         88         5.7 (SD 0.1)         87         6.4 (SD 0.3)         7.3815 (SD 0.555)           Continuous         88         6.6045 (SD 0.388)         87         7.3815 (SD 0.555)         9.2685 (SD 0.555)           Continuous         88         8.436 (SD 0.333)         9.2685 (SD 0.444)         9.2685 (SD 0.444)           Continuous         88         24.5 (SD 0.2)         87         25 (SD 0.3)         71.4 (SD 6.1)           Dichotomous         88         69.2 (SD 5.8)         87         71.4 (SD 6.1)         71.4 (SD 6.1)           Dichotomous         88         (9.1%)         87         0 (0.0%)         0.0%)           Dichotomous         88         (9.1%)         87         1 (1.1%)           Continuous         88         (9.1%)         87         1 (1.1%)           Continuous         88         86 (SD 3)         87         87 (SD 4)           Continuous         88         86 (SD 3)         87         87 (SD 4)           4.70652 (SD 0.181)         0.181)         87         0.181)           Continuous         88         0.181)         87         0.103)      <	N         k         mean         N         k         mean         Δ         p           Continuous         88         5.7 (SD 0.1)         87         6.4 (SD 0.3)         <0.01

Continuous variables were tested using a two-way repeated measures ANOVA to assess overall differences in post-prandial responses. Intervention effects were adjusted for additional potential confounders (sex,

smoking) using ANCOVA. ANOVA was also used to assess the significance within and between groups. The statistical significance of the independent effects of traetment on the other variables was determined using ANCOVA taking the baseline level of each parameter as a covariate.

## Table 30: Ebeling et al. (2001)

Tubio oci Eb	eling et al. (2001)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Helsinki  Authors' conclusions: Inflammatory factors and complement activation are associated in patients with type 2 diabetes  Source of funding: Takeda and Maud Kuistila Foundation  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 29 Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes, treated with either diet alone or diet and one oral medication, BMI >=25 kg/m2, aged between 35 and 70 years, Hba1c >=7.5%, FBG >=7.8 mmol/l Exclusion criteria: No details reported Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 4 week period on diet alone before randomisation
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: There was a 4 week period on diet alone before randomisation
Lifestyle advice	Details not reported
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 26 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 26 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients visited the outpatients clinic at 2-6 weekly intervals
Arms	(1) Pioglitazone (30 mg)  N: 9  Treatment duration (wks): 26  Washout period (d): 28  Comments: There was a 4 week period on diet alone  Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Set dose (mg/d): 30  Maximum dose (mg/d): 45  Frequency of dosing: once a day  Details of dosing regimen: 30 mg/day od but if after 9 weeks Hba1c had not reduced by 0.3% pioglitazone was increased to 45mg/day. Dose increased for 4/9
	(2) Glibenclamide (2.5 mg/day) N: 10 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 28 Comments: There was a 4 week period on diet alone Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Minimum dose (mg/d): 2.5  Maximum dose (mg/d): 5  Frequency of dosing: once a day  Details of dosing regimen: 2.5 mg/day od but if after 9 weeks Hba1c had not reduced by 0.3% glibenclamide was doubled to 5mg/day. Dose not increased for any of the patients receiving glibenclamide  (3) Placebo N: 10  Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 28 Comments: There was a 4 week period on diet alone

	Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)												
Outcomes	General Dropouts not explicitly reported												
Baseline characteristics							All stu	ıdy	pa	rtic	ipants		
Characteristics				N	k	(	mean						
	Demographics: Age (years)	Cont	inuous	29			55.2 (SD 9.6	932	96	652	284211) a		
	Sex (n male)	Dich	otomous	29	2	21	(72.4%)				,		
	Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Cont	inuous	29			5.9 (SD 7.00	071	42	492	27486) a		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Cont	inuous	29			30.9 (SD 4.3	081	31	845	57076) a		
	BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Cont	inuous	29			30.9 (SD 4.3	081	31	845	57076) a		
	Weight (kg)	Cont	inuous	29			87.21216 (SI	D 12	2.1	592	2713213251) b		
	<sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE <sup>b</sup> SD calculated from reported SE; e	estima	ited from BM				ng mean heigh tazone (30 mg)			ber	nclamide (2.5 mg/day)		
				N	k	n	nean	N	k		nean	Δ	p
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka		Continuous	9		9	.1 (SD 0.9)	10		8.	9 (SD 0.9)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) 0wka	) —	Continuous	9		1	0.9 (SD 1.8)	10		11	1.6 (SD 1.58)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wka		Continuous	9		3	0.5 (SD 3.9)	10		30	0.2 (SD 5.38)		
	Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk		Continuous	9		0	.18 (SD .66)	10		5.	37 (SD 0.569)		
	HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk		Continuous	9		0	.19 (SD .21)	10		1.	17 (SD 0.19)		
	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk a SD calculated from reported SE		Continuous	9			.34 (SD .41)	10		2.	05 (SD 1.11)		
					F	Pio	glitazone (30 mg)				Placebo		
					N	k	mean		N	k	mean	Δ	p
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka		Continuo	us	9		9.1 (SD 0.9)		10		8.6 (SD 0.6)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) 0wka	) —	Continuo	us	9		10.9 (SD 1.8	)	10		11.3 (SD 1.58)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wka		Continuo	us	9		30.5 (SD 3.9	)	10		31.9 (SD 4.74)		
	Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk		Continuo	us	9		5.18 (SD 0.66)		10		5.2 (SD 0.949)		
	HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk		Continuo	us	9		1.19 (SD 0.21)		10		1.22 (SD 0.253)		
	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk <sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE		Continuo	us	9		2.34 (SD 1.41)		10		2.19 (SD 1.11)		
					Gli		nclamide (2.5 mg/day)	5			Placebo	Δ	p

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	10		8.9 (SD 0.9)	10		8.6 (SD 0.6)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	10		11.6 (SD 1.58)	10		11.3 (SD 1.58)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wka	Continuous	10		30.2 (SD 5.38)	10		31.9 (SD 4.74)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	10		5.37 (SD 0.569)	10		5.2 (SD 0.949)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	10		1.17 (SD 0.19)	10		1.22 (SD 0.253)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	10		2.05 (SD 1.11)	10		2.19 (SD 1.11)

## <sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

		F	Pio	glitazone (30 mg)	(	Glil	penclamide (2.5 mg/day)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	8		8 (SD 1.5)	10		7.7 (SD 0.63)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wka	Continuous	9		9.5 (SD 2.4)	10		10.1 (SD 1.9)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 26wka	Continuous	9		31.4 (SD 4.5)	10		31 (SD 5.06)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	9		5.83 (SD 0.63)	10		5.74 (SD 0.854)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	9		1.41 (SD 0.24)	10		1.15 (SD 0.221)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	9		1.97 (SD 0.87)	10		2.29 (SD 1.64)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

		F	Pio	glitazone (30 mg)			Placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	8		8 (SD 1.5)	10		8.4 (SD 0.95)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wka	Continuous	9		9.5 (SD 2.4)	10		9.9 (SD 0.949)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 26wka	Continuous	9		31.4 (SD 4.5)	10		31.3 (SD 4.11)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	9		5.83 (SD 0.63)	10		5.82 (SD 1.08)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	9		1.41 (SD 0.24)	10		1.25 (SD 0.285)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	9		1.97 (SD 0.87)	10		2.48 (SD 1.08)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

		G	Slib	enclamide (2.5 mg/day)			Placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	10		7.7 (SD 0.63)	10		8.4 (SD 0.95)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wka	Continuous	10		10.1 (SD 1.9)	10		9.9 (SD 0.949)		

Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 26wka	Continuous	10	31 (SD 5.06)	10	31.3 (SD 4.11)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	10	5.74 (SD 0.854)	10	5.82 (SD 1.08)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	10	1.15 (SD 0.221)	10	1.25 (SD 0.285)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	10	2.29 (SD 1.64)	10	2.48 (SD 1.08)
<sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE					

Table 31: Erdem et al. (2008)

Table 31. El	dem et al. (2008)
General	Phase:  monotherapy dual therapy triple therapy insulin monotherapy misulin monotherap
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 53 Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes were recruited from the outpatient department of internal medicine, Gulhane school of medicine. Patients were included if they were aged between 30 and 70 years with BMI <35 kg/m2. Described as treatment naïve but no details provided  Exclusion criteria: patients should have no other illnesses including liver failure, renal failure, heart failure or other chronic disease as determined by history, physical exmaination and screening tests  Pre-randomisation phase: Assumed titration occurred during the maintenance period.
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: States that all are treatment naïve but did not provide any details
Lifestyle advice	Along with medication, all patients were allocated to treatment with an intensive lifestyle intervention with modification (no further details reported).
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 12 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: 6 visits were applied to all patients (anthopometric measures and laboratory tests were performed for all patients at baseline and the end of the 12th week)
Arms	(1) Pioglitazone N: 26 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – forced titration Minimum dose (mg/d): 15 Maximum dose (mg/d): 45 Details of dosing regimen: pioglitazone treatment was initiated with 15 mg/day and could be increased up to 45 mg/day in increments of 15 mg. The dose of medication was tittrated during on-therapy visits, based on failure to achieve a glycaemic target of mean daily glucose less than or equal to 110 mg/dl (this was calculated from daily patient-measured glucose levels 3 days prior to on-therapy visits). The dose was increased to the maximum tolerated dose unless the glycaemic target was achieved or there was a tolerability issue at

the current dose level.

## (2) Metformin

N: 27

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – forced titration

Minimum dose (mg/d): 1000 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2000

Details of dosing regimen: Metformin treatment was started with a dose of 1000 mg/day and could be increased up to 2000 mg/day in increments of 500 mg. The dose of medication was titrated based on failure to achieve a glycaemic target (see pioglitazone for details). The dose was increased to the maximum tolerated dose unless the glycaemic

target was achieved or there was a tolerability issue at the current dose level.

### **Outcomes**

### General

5 (19%) patients in the pioglitazone group and 4 (15%) in metformin group discontinued the study. Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include insulin, HOMA-IR, adiponectin and visfatin ITT analysis not mentioned. Analysis has been conducted in those patients who completed.

# Baseline characteristics

			F	Pioglitazone			Metformin		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	21		54.9 (SD 7.8)	23		55.09 (SD 9.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	21	8	(38.1%)	23	9	(39.1%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	21		6.34 (SD 1.2)	23		6.74 (SD 1.3)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	21		7.1151 (SD 1.3)	23		7.4148 (SD 2.03)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	21		30.41 (SD 4.2)	23		31.41 (SD 3.8)		
Waist circumference (cms) – 0wk	Continuous	21		103.57 (SD 8.2)	23		103.6 (SD 7)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) - 0wk	Continuous	21		130.95 (SD 9.4)	23		130.23 (SD 9.7)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	21		82.61 (SD 4.4)	23		82.14 (SD 6.4)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	21		5.6250672 (SD 1.28)	23		5.373708 (SD 0.76)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	21		1.2423144 (SD 0.243)	23		1.2492966 (SD 0.339)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	21		2.0767955 (SD 1.19)	23		1.8747045 (SD 0.924)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	21		3.4305876 (SD 0.921)	23		3.4243812 (SD 0.799)		

			Pioglitazone				Metformin		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	21		5.6 (SD 0.7)	23		6.15 (SD 0.53)		NS
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	21		6.2493 (SD 0.855)	23		6.1605 (SD 0.899)		NS
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Continuous	21		30.33 (SD 4.4)	23		29.41 (SD 3.05)		0.002
Waist circumference (cms) – 12wk	Continuous	21		100.76 (SD 7.1)	23		98.45 (SD 8.9)		NS

Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	26	5	(10.2%)	27	1	(14.8%)	
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 12wk	Continuous	21	3	129.04 (SD 9.4)	23	4	124.25 (SD 12.5)	0.01
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 12wk	Continuous	21		81.1 (SD 6.3)	23		77.5 (SD 6.2)	0.004
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	21		5.6250672 (SD 1.28)	23		4.894005 (SD 0.613)	0.001
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	21		1.377045 (SD 0.277)	23		1.2767082 (SD 0.305)	0.01
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	21		1.8315767 (SD 0.955)	23		1.6940645 (SD 0.76)	NR
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	21		3.3261132 (SD 0.789)	23		2.9110602 (SD 0.721)	<0.001
Measurement of within group cha								
sample t-test. Two-related-sample changes were tested with chi-squ								n group

Table 32: Erem et al. (2014)

14610 021 211	eni et al. (2014)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: - Authors' conclusions: - Source of funding: - Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 60 Inclusion criteria: Adults (30 to 70 years) with newly diagnosed uncontrolled T2DM who were OAD naïve Exclusion criteria: -
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: All were OAD naïve
Lifestyle advice	Diabetes education and individualised diet and physical activity advice which was monitored at each visit.
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): 8 Length of maintenance period (wks): 44 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Drug titration: 4 to 8 weeks Total maintenance period including titration: 12 months (examined every 2 to 4 weeks) Assessments at baseline, 3, 6 and 12 months
Arms	(1) Gliclazide MR N: 19 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (modified release) (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Set dose (mg/d):30 Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Starting dose 30mg/d

Increased to 60-120mg/d as needed

(2) Metformin

N: 19

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Set dose (mg/d):500

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Starting dose 500mg/d

Increased to 2x1000mg/d at 1-2 week intervals if no side effects were observed

(3) Pioglitazone

N: 19

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Set dose (mg/d):15

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Starting dose 15mg/d

Increased to 45mg/d as needed

## **Outcomes**

# Baseline characteristics

		Gliclazide MR				
		N	mean			
ITT Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	19		55 (SD 8.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	19	7	(36.8%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0mo	Continuous	19		8.26 (SD 1.65)		
HbA1c (%) – 0mo	Continuous	19		8.26 (SD 1.65)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	19		172.2 (SD 55.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	19		172.2 (SD 55.2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	19		32.72 (SD 3.86)		
Weight (kg) – 0mo	Continuous	19		90.06 (SD 18.1)		
Weight (kg) – 0mo	Continuous	19		90.06 (SD 18.1)		
Height (cm)	Continuous	19		165.7 (SD 9.4)		

			Metformin				
		N	mean				
ITT Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	19		52.2 (SD 10.5)			
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	19	6	(31.6%)			
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0mo	Continuous	19		7.62 (SD 1.06)			
HbA1c (%) – 0mo	Continuous	19		7.62 (SD 1.06)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	19		172.68 (SD 37.6)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	19		172.68 (SD 37.6)			
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	19		33.56 (SD 4.56)			
Weight (kg) – 0mo	Continuous	19		87.5 (SD 12.9)			
Weight (kg) – 0mo	Continuous	19		87.5 (SD 12.9)			

Height (cm)	Continuous	19		161.5 (SD 8.9)				
		Pioglitazone						
		N k mean						
ІТТ								
Demographics:								
Age (years)	Continuous	19		52.5 (SD 5.2)				
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	19	5	(26.3%)				
Blood glucose:								
HbA1c (%) – 0mo	Continuous	19		8.03 (SD 1.7)				
HbA1c (%) – 0mo	Continuous	19		8.03 (SD 1.7)				
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	19		165.7 (SD 34.2)				
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	19		165.7 (SD 34.2)				
Body weight:								
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	19		31.31 (SD 4.69)				
Weight (kg) – 0mo	Continuous	19		81.93 (SD 13.4)				
Weight (kg) – 0mo	Continuous	19		81.93 (SD 13.4)				
Height (cm)	Continuous	19		161.6 (SD 7.7)				

		Gliclazide MR				
		N k mean				
Dropouts:						
Total dropouts – 12mo	Dichotomous	20	1	(5.0%)		
ITT						
Blood glucose:						
HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	19		6.93 (SD 0.9)		
HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	19		6.93 (SD 0.9)		
HbA1c (%) – 6mo	Continuous	19		6.92 (SD 0.6)		
HbA1c (%) – 6mo	Continuous	19		6.92 (SD 0.6)		
HbA1c (%) – 12mo	Continuous	19		6.98 (SD 0.5)		
HbA1c (%) – 12mo	Continuous	19		6.98 (SD 0.5)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	19		123.8 (SD 23.3)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	19		123.8 (SD 23.3)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 6mo	Continuous	19		122.9 (SD 31.6)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 6mo	Continuous	19		122.9 (SD 31.6)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 12mo	Continuous	19		109 (SD 13.4)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 12mo	Continuous	19		109 (SD 13.4)		
Body weight:						
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	19		89.41 (SD 18.5)		
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	19		89.41 (SD 18.5)		
Weight (kg) – 6mo	Continuous	19		88.72 (SD 19.7)		
Weight (kg) – 6mo	Continuous	19		88.72 (SD 19.7)		
Weight (kg) – 12mo	Continuous	19		91 (SD 26.2)		
Weight (kg) – 12mo	Continuous	19		91 (SD 26.2)		
Hypoglycaemic events:						
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12mo	Dichotomous	19	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events:						
GI: nausea – 12mo	Dichotomous	19	0	(0.0%)		

				Metformin
		N	k	mean
Dropouts:				
Total dropouts – 12mo	Dichotomous	20	1	(5.0%)
Iπ				
Blood glucose:	Onethorne	40		0.07 (00.4.0)
HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	19		6.67 (SD 1.2)
HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	19		6.67 (SD 1.2)
HbA1c (%) – 6mo	Continuous	19		6.42 (SD 0.71)
HbA1c (%) – 6mo	Continuous	19		6.42 (SD 0.71)
HbA1c (%) – 12mo	Continuous	19		6.4 (SD 0.7)
HbA1c (%) – 12mo	Continuous	19		6.4 (SD 0.7)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	19		114.6 (SD 25.9)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	19		114.6 (SD 25.9)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 6mo	Continuous	19		119.3 (SD 28.2)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 6mo	Continuous	19		119.3 (SD 28.2)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 12mo	Continuous	19		113.45 (SD 19.6)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 12mo	Continuous	19		113.45 (SD 19.6)
Body weight:				
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	19		85.9 (SD 12.6)
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	19		85.9 (SD 12.6)
Weight (kg) – 6mo	Continuous	19		84.7 (SD 12.4)
Weight (kg) – 6mo	Continuous	19		84.7 (SD 12.4)
Weight (kg) – 12mo	Continuous	19		83.4 (SD 13.3)
Weight (kg) – 12mo	Continuous	19		83.4 (SD 13.3)
Weight (kg) – 12mo	Continuous	19		83.4 (SD 13.3)
Weight (kg) – 12mo	Continuous	19		83.4 (SD 13.3)
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12mo	Dichotomous	19	0	(0.0%)
Adverse events: Gl: nausea – 12mo	Dichotomous	19	0	(0.0%)

			Pioglitazone		
		N	k	mean	
Dropouts:					
Total dropouts – 12mo	Dichotomous	20	1	(5.0%)	
ITT					
Blood glucose:					
HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	19		6.9 (SD 1.1)	
HbA1c (%) - 3mo	Continuous	19		6.9 (SD 1.1)	
HbA1c (%) – 6mo	Continuous	19		6.85 (SD 1.15)	
HbA1c (%) – 6mo	Continuous	19		6.85 (SD 1.15)	
HbA1c (%) – 12mo	Continuous	19		6.46 (SD 0.56)	
HbA1c (%) – 12mo	Continuous	19		6.46 (SD 0.56)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	19		119.4 (SD 32.1)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	19		119.4 (SD 32.1)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 6mo	Continuous	19		121.2 (SD 25.6)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 6mo	Continuous	19		121.2 (SD 25.6)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 12mo	Continuous	19		105.11 (SD 20.4)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 12mo	Continuous	19		105.11 (SD 20.4)	

Body weight:				
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	19		78.79 (SD 13.8)
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	19		78.79 (SD 13.8)
Weight (kg) – 6mo	Continuous	19		77.33 (SD 14.3)
Weight (kg) – 6mo	Continuous	19		77.33 (SD 14.3)
Weight (kg) – 12mo	Continuous	19		76.8 (SD 14.7)
Weight (kg) – 12mo	Continuous	19		76.8 (SD 14.7)
Weight (kg) – 12mo	Continuous	19		76.8 (SD 14.7)
Weight (kg) – 12mo	Continuous	19		76.8 (SD 14.7)
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12mo	Dichotomous	19	0	(0.0%)
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 12mo	Dichotomous	19	0	(0.0%)

## Table 33: Esposito et al. (2011)

General	
	Phase:  monotherapy dual therapy triple therapy insulin monotherapy insulin monotherapy insulin-toral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Italy  Authors' conclusions: Compared with metformin, pioglitazone treatment improved the imbalance between endothelial damage and repair capacity and led to more favourable changes in coronary risk factors in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes  Source of funding: Supported by the Second University of Naples and by an unconditional resaerch grant from Takeda Italia Farmaceutici  Comments: Prospective, randomised, double-blind study. Patients were randomly assigned using a computer generated random number sequence (simple randomisation). Allocation was concealed in sealed study folders that were held in a central, secure location until after informed consent was obtained. The study medication was identified by pack numbers. Investigators and all study staff were blinded to treatment assignment until all study results were collected.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 110 Inclusion criteria: men and women with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes according to the ADA criteria who have never been treated with antihyperglycaemic drugs from the clinical practice sof the trial investigators. Patients were included if they were aged 30-75 years, BMI >25 kg/m2 and Hba1c between 7 and 10%. Participants had no evidence of participation in weight-reduction programmes and a stable weight in the past 6 months  Exclusion criteria: pregnancy or breastfeeding, use of any investigational drug in the previous 3 months, use of agents affecting glycaemic control, acute disease or infection, recent (within 3 months) cardiovascular events or surgery, immunological disorders and any condition that may compromise adherence to the study. Patients were also excluded if they had positive antibodies to glutamate decarboxylase or C-peptide levels less than 0.25 pmol and patients with abnormal laboratory test results including liver enzyme levels greater than 3 times the upper limit of normal and serum creatinine levels greater than 123.8 μmol/l Pre-randomisation phase: see follow-up for details of forced titration and maintenance period lengths
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: All AHA naïve and newly diagnosed
Lifestyle advice	participants were requested to adhere to pre-study lifestyle and dietary habits throughout the study
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 8

Length of maintenance period (wks): 16

Frequency of monitoring appointments: no details reported

#### **Arms**

#### (1) Pioglitazone

N: 55

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – forced titration

Minimum dose (mg/d): 15 Maximum dose (mg/d): 45

Participants achieving full dose (n): 40 Frequency of dosing: once a day

Compliance: study drug adherence was assessed at each study visit by pill count and calculated as percentage of pills taken. The adherence rates were 94.5% for pioglitazone. Details of dosing regimen: 15 mg (once daily) titrated to 30-45 mg (once daily). Dose levels were increased or maintained at 4, 6 and 8 weeks according to tolerability. The dose reached at week 8 was fixed for the rest of the study. At the end of the titration period 15 (27.2%) were on 30 mg/day and 40 (72.3%) were on 45 mg/day

## (2) Metformin

N: 55

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – forced titration

Minimum dose (mg/d): 1000 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2000 Participants achieving full dose (n): 35 Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Compliance: The adherence rate for metformin was 92.7%

Details of dosing regimen: 500 mg twice daily, titrated to 1000 mg twice daily. Dose levels were increased or maintained at 4, 6 and 8 weeks according to tolerability. The dose reached at week 8 was fixed for the rest of the study. At the end of the titration period 20

(36.3%) were on 1500 mg/day and 35 (63.6%) were on 2000 mg/day

### **Outcomes**

#### General

The primary outcome was the level of circulating EMPs. Data were analysed by intention-to-treat The main outcome was the level of circulating EMPs (endothelial microparticles). Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include EMPs, adiponectin, HOMA insulin sensitivity, C-reactive protein level and flow mediated dilation.

4 (7%) patients in each group discontinued

# Baseline characteristics

		Pioglitazone			Metformin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	55		54.2 (SD 6.1)	55		54.9 (SD 6.6)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	55	30	(54.5%)	55	28	(50.9%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	55		8 (SD 1)	55		8.1 (SD 1)		
Plasma glucose level (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	55		8.2 (SD 1.3)	55		8.5 (SD 1.3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	55		28.9 (SD 3.5)	55		29.1 (SD 3.3)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	55		84.5 (SD 8.6)	55		83.5 (SD 8.5)		
Waist circumference (cms) - 0wk	Continuous	55		95 (SD 7.5)	55		96 (SD 7.3)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	55		134 (SD 10)	55		135 (SD 11)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	55		86 (SD 7)	55		85 (SD 7)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	55		5.2 (SD 0.8)	55		5.1 (SD 0.7)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	55		1.1 (SD 0.2)	55		1.05 (SD 0.2)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	55		1.7 (SD 0.5)	55		1.7 (SD 0.5)		

Other medication:							
Anti-hypertensive	Dichotomous	55	15	(27.3%)	55	13	(23.6%)
Lipid-lowering medication	Dichotomous	55	11	(20.0%)	55	13	(23.6%)

		Pioglitazone		ı	Vletf	ormin			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	55		-0.9 (SD 0.5)	55		-0.9 (SD 0.5)	MD=0.000 (CI: - 0.300, 0.300)	NS
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wk	Dichotomous	55	30	(54.5%)	55	28	(50.9%)	MD=3.700 (CI: - 2.100, 9.500)	NS
Plasma glucose level (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	55		-1.9 (SD 1)	55		-2 (SD 1.1)	MD=0.100 (CI: - 0.300, 0.500)	NS
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 24wk	Mean change	55		0.5 (SD 0.4)	55		-0.1 (SD 0.1)	MD=0.600 (CI: 0.100, 1.100)	NR
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	55		1.4 (SD 0.9)	55		-0.2 (SD 0.2)	MD=1.600 (CI: 0.500, 2.700)	<0.05
Waist circumference (cms) – 24wk	Mean change	55		0.4 (SD 0.6)	55		-0.1 (SD 0.3)	MD=0.500 (CI: - 0.300, 1.300)	NR
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wka	Dichotomous	55	9	(16.4%)	55	12	(21.8%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	55	0	(0.0%)	55	0	(0.0%)		
Bone fracture – 24wk	Dichotomous	55	0	(0.0%)	55	0	(0.0%)		
cardiovascular AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	55	0	(0.0%)	55	0	(0.0%)		
Death – 24wk	Dichotomous	55	0	(0.0%)	55	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	55	4	(7.3%)	55	4	(7.3%)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wk	Mean change	55		-3 (SD 3)	55		-2 (SD 3)	MD=-1.000 (CI: - 2.000, 0.000)	NS
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wk	Mean change	55		-2 (SD 2)	55		-2 (SD 2)	MD=0.000 (CI: - 2.000, 2.000)	NS
BP <130/80 (mmHg) – 24wk	Dichotomous	55	33	(60.0%)	55	30	(54.5%)	MD=5.400 (CI: - 3.100, 13.900)	NS
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	55		-0.08 (SD 0.1)	55		0.05 (SD 0.07)	MD=-0.130 (CI: - 0.350, 0.090)	NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	55		0.1 (SD 0.1)	55		0 (SD 0.1)	MD=0.100 (CI: 0.020, 0.180)	<0.05
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	55		-0.25 (SD 0.19)	55		-0.03 (SD 0.1)	MD=-0.220 (CI: - 0.400, -0.040)	<0.05
LDL cholesterol <2.6 mmol/l – 24wk a at least one event	Dichotomous	55	22	(40.0%)	55	24	(43.6%)	MD=-3.500 (CI: - 10.100, 3.100)	NS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> at least one event

Study variables were compared using a test based on values at the end of follow-up and a t-test based on differences from baseline. Fishers exact test was used to compare categorical safety variables

# Table 34: Esteghamati et al. (2014)

Table 34: ES	tegnamati et	al. (2014)						
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: - Authors' conclusions: - Source of funding: - Comments: -							
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of Inclusion criteriantihyperglycaen Exclusion criter	<ul> <li>Adults with newly diagnosed nic medications</li> </ul>	T2DM, not currently o	r prev	riousl	y taking oral		
Previous glucose- lowering therapy		s previously taking glucose-lout period: Participants were to				-		
Lifestyle advice	-							
Follow-up	Length of maint	(wks): - on period (wks): - enance period (wks): - onitoring appointments: -						
Arms	(1) Pioglitazone N: 55  Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 0  Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose							
Outcomes								
Baseline characteristics						Pioglitazone		
Characteristics				N	k	mean		
	PP Demographics: Age (years) – Sex (n male) - Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 7	- wk	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous	39 39 42	14	51.25 (SD 7.84) (35.9%) 8.0982 (SD 1.50942)		
		HbA1c (%) – 12wk Continuous				8.0982 (SD 1.50942)		
	Fasting plasm	a glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	42		9.4 (SD 2.09)		
	Fasting plasm	a glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	42		9.4 (SD 2.09)		
	Body weight:							
	BMI (kg/m2) –		Continuous	42		30.12 (SD 4.47)		
	BMI (kg/m2) –		Continuous	42		30.12 (SD 4.47)		
	Weight (kg) -	12wk	Continuous	42		77.01 (SD 13.61)		

	Weight (kg) – 12wk	Contin	uous	5	4	42 77.01 (SD 13.61)			
								Metformin	
						N	k	mean	
	PP								
	Demographics:					00		50.00 ( <b>05</b> .0.40)	
	Age (years) – wk Sex (n male) – wk		Continuo		39	20	50.03 (SD 9.13) (51.3%)		
	Blood glucose:		DICTIOIOI	nous	•	39	20	(31.370)	
	HbA1c (%) – 12wk		Continuo	ous		39		8.18968 (SD 1.170944)	
	HbA1c (%) – 12wk		Continuo		39		8.18968 (SD 1.170944)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk		Continuous			39		9.92 (SD 2.94)	
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk		Continuo	ous		39		9.92 (SD 2.94)	
	Body weight:							20.04 (05. : :=)	
	BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk		Continuo			39		28.81 (SD 4.45)	
	BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk		Continuo			39		28.81 (SD 4.45)	
	Weight (kg) – 12wk Weight (kg) – 12wk		Continuo			39		74.34 (SD 12.81) 74.34 (SD 12.81)	
	Weight (kg) – 12wk		Continue	Jus		39		74.34 (3D 12.01)	
Results								Pioglitazone	
				N	K	mean			
	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous 55 5				(0.19/)			
	PP	Dictio	torrious	33	J	(3.17	70)		
	Blood glucose:								
	HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean	change	42		-0.72	22692	2 (SD 1.30068210650654) a	
	HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean	change	42		-0.72	-0.722692 (SD 1.30068210650654) a		
	HbA1c (%) – 12wk			42		7.36636 (SD 1.619196)			
	HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous		42		7.36636 (SD 1.619196)			
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous Continuous		42		7.64 (SD 1.68) 7.64 (SD 1.68)			
		asting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk Mean		42 42		-1.76 (SD 2.41378961407189) a			
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk			42		-1.76 (SD 2.41378961407189) a			
	Body weight:	IVICALI	change	72		1.70	(00	2.41010301401103) a	
	BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Conti	nuous	42		30.3	7 (SE	0 4.71)	
	BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Conti	nuous	42		30.3	7 (SE	0 4.71)	
	BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Mean	change	42		0.25	(SD	1.5871) a	
	BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Mean	change	42		0.25	(SD	1.5871) a	
	Weight (kg) – 12wk		nuous	42			•	D 14.73)	
	Weight (kg) – 12wk		change	42				3.38916) a	
	Weight (kg) – 12wk	change 42			0.18 (SD 3.38916) a				
	Weight (kg) – 12wk  a SD calculated from reported 95% CI	nuous 42			77.74 (SD 14.73)				
	CD dalodiated from reported 30% of								
								Metformin	
					N	k n	nean		
	Dropouts:								
	Total dropouts – 12wk	Dic	hotomou	s	43	2 (4	4.7%	)	

PP			
Blood glucose:			
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	39	7.36636 (SD 1.41794)
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	39	-0.832468 (SD 1.0201648344)
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	39	-0.832468 (SD 1.0201648344)
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	39	7.36636 (SD 1.41794)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	39	7.78 (SD 1.33)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	39	7.78 (SD 1.33)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	39	-2.14 (SD 2.676) a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	39	-2.14 (SD 2.676) a
Body weight:			
BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Mean change	39	0.07 (SD 0.876211) a
BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Mean change	39	0.07 (SD 0.876211) a
BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Continuous	39	28.88 (SD 4.36)
BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Continuous	39	28.88 (SD 4.36)
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Continuous	39	74.49 (SD 12.31)
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	39	0.15 (SD 2.262218663) a
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	39	0.15 (SD 2.262218663) a
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Continuous	39	74.49 (SD 12.31)
<sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported 95% CI			
OD calculated from reported 3370 Of			

## Table 35: Fang et al. (2014)

1 abic 55.1 a	ing et al. (2014)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: - Authors' conclusions: - Source of funding: - Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 60 Inclusion criteria: Chinese adults newly diagnosed with T2DM (within the previous 6 months), naïve to OADs Exclusion criteria: -
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: All were OAD naïve people
Lifestyle advice	Received diet and exercise advice (recommended calorie intake on 25-35kcal/kg per day and aerobic activity for 30 minutes 5 times per week)
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 15 Length of titration period (wks): 3 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: 8 visits over the 15 week period Assessments at baseline and 15 weeks
Arms	(1) Metformin N: 20

Treatment duration (wks): 15 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):1500

Frequency of dosing: three times a day
Details of dosing regimen: 500mg 3 times per day taken with meals

# (2) Repaglinide

N: 40

Treatment duration (wks): 15 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): repaglinide (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

> Minimum dose (mg/d): 0.5 Maximum dose (mg/d): 6

Frequency of dosing: three times a day
Details of dosing regimen: Taken before meals

#### **Outcomes**

#### **Baseline** characteristics

			Metformin						
		N	k	mean					
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	20		49.7 (SD 10)					
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	20	16	(80.0%)					
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	20		0.4 (SD 0.4)					
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 15wk	Continuous	20		7.9 (SD 1.6)					
HbA1c (%) – 15wk	Continuous	20		7.9 (SD 1.6)					
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk	Continuous	20		9.1 (SD 2.6)					
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk	Continuous	20		9.1 (SD 2.6)					
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	20		25.1 (SD 3.1)					
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	20		70.84224 (SD 8.74944) a					
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	20		70.84224 (SD 8.74944) a					
Weight (kg) – 15wk	Continuous	20		70.7 (SD 11.2)					
Weight (kg) – 15wk	Continuous	20		70.7 (SD 11.2)					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Repaglinide				
		N	k	mean		
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	40		46.4 (SD 10.6)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	40	21	(52.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	40		0.8 (SD 1.3)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 15wk	Continuous	40		8 (SD 1.5)		
HbA1c (%) – 15wk	Continuous	40		8 (SD 1.5)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk	Continuous	40		8.4 (SD 1.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk	Continuous	40		8.4 (SD 1.9)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	40		26.2 (SD 3.5)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	40		73.94688 (SD 9.8784) a		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	40		73.94688 (SD 9.8784) a		
Weight (kg) – 15wk	Continuous	40		70.5 (SD 12)		
Weight (kg) – 15wk	Continuous	40		70.5 (SD 12)		

estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

			M	Metformin	
		N	k	mean	
Blood glucose:					
HbA1c (%) – 15wk	Mean change	20		-1.6 (SD 1.5)	
HbA1c (%) – 15wk	Mean change	20		-1.6 (SD 1.5)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk	Mean change	20		-2.1 (SD 1.7)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) - 15wk	Mean change	20		-2.1 (SD 1.7)	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 15wk	Mean change	20		-3 (SD 2.4)	
Weight (kg) – 15wk	Mean change	20		-3 (SD 2.4)	
Hypoglycaemic events:	ca.i. ciia.i.gc			0 (02 2)	
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 15wk	Count	2100	0	а	
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 15wk	Dichotomous	20	0	(0.0%)	
Dropouts:				(= , - )	
Total dropouts – 15wk	Dichotomous	20	0	(0.0%)	
dused in the analysis)			Rep	aglinide	
<sup>a</sup> (Used in the analysis)		N.			
		N	Rep k	aglinide mean	
Blood glucose:				mean	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 15wk	Mean change	40		mean -1.8 (SD 1.5	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 15wk HbA1c (%) – 15wk	Mean change	40 40		mean -1.8 (SD 1.5	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 15wk HbA1c (%) – 15wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk	Mean change Mean change	40		-1.8 (SD 1.5 -1.8 (SD 1.5 -1.7 (SD 1.7	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 15wk HbA1c (%) – 15wk	Mean change	40 40		-1.8 (SD 1.5 -1.8 (SD 1.5 -1.7 (SD 1.7	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 15wk HbA1c (%) – 15wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk Body weight:	Mean change Mean change Mean change	40 40 40 40		mean -1.8 (SD 1.5 -1.8 (SD 1.5 -1.7 (SD 1.7 -1.7 (SD 1.7	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 15wk HbA1c (%) – 15wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk Body weight: Weight (kg) – 15wk	Mean change Mean change Mean change Mean change	40 40 40 40 40		mean -1.8 (SD 1.5 -1.8 (SD 1.5 -1.7 (SD 1.7 -1.7 (SD 1.7 0 (SD 3.3)	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 15wk HbA1c (%) – 15wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk Body weight: Weight (kg) – 15wk Weight (kg) – 15wk	Mean change Mean change Mean change	40 40 40 40		mean -1.8 (SD 1.5 -1.8 (SD 1.5 -1.7 (SD 1.7 -1.7 (SD 1.7	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 15wk HbA1c (%) – 15wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk Body weight: Weight (kg) – 15wk Weight (kg) – 15wk Hypoglycaemic events:	Mean change Mean change Mean change Mean change Mean change	40 40 40 40 40 40	k	mean -1.8 (SD 1.5 -1.8 (SD 1.5 -1.7 (SD 1.7 -1.7 (SD 1.7 0 (SD 3.3) 0 (SD 3.3)	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 15wk HbA1c (%) – 15wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk Body weight: Weight (kg) – 15wk Weight (kg) – 15wk Hypoglycaemic events: Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 15wk	Mean change Mean change Mean change Mean change Mean change Count	40 40 40 40 40 40 4147.5	k 10	mean -1.8 (SD 1.5 -1.8 (SD 1.5 -1.7 (SD 1.7 -1.7 (SD 1.7 0 (SD 3.3) 0 (SD 3.3)	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 15wk HbA1c (%) – 15wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk Body weight: Weight (kg) – 15wk Weight (kg) – 15wk Hypoglycaemic events: Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 15wk Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 15wk	Mean change Mean change Mean change Mean change Mean change	40 40 40 40 40 40	k	mean  -1.8 (SD 1.5  -1.8 (SD 1.5  -1.7 (SD 1.7  -1.7 (SD 1.7  0 (SD 3.3)  0 (SD 3.3)	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 15wk HbA1c (%) – 15wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk Body weight: Weight (kg) – 15wk Weight (kg) – 15wk Hypoglycaemic events: Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 15wk	Mean change Mean change Mean change Mean change Mean change Count	40 40 40 40 40 40 4147.5	k 10	mean -1.8 (SD 1.5 -1.8 (SD 1.5 -1.7 (SD 1.7 -1.7 (SD 1.7 0 (SD 3.3) 0 (SD 3.3)	

# Table 36: Ferrannini et al. (2013)

General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: - Authors' conclusions: - Source of funding: - Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 408 Inclusion criteria: Adults between 18 and 79 years inclusive, diagnosed with T2DM with BMI no more than 40kg/m2. Patients either did not have any antidiabetic medication at least 10 weeks prior to enrolment or

	screening.  Exclusion crite	ria: -	•			_P-1 or insulin) at least 10 weeks prior to					
	Pre-randomisation	on phase: 6 week wash out p	period: 4 weeks	was	sh o	ut and 2 weeks placebo run-in					
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	insulin  Details of wash  Patients previous period for plus 2	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: up to 6 weeks in total  Patients previously treated with a stable OAD for at least 10 weeks prior to enrolment: 4 week washout period for plus 2 week open label placebo run in period  Patients not using OADs at least 10 weeks prior to enrolment: 2 week open label placebo run in period									
Lifestyle advice	Not reported	Not reported									
Follow-up	Length of titrati Length of main Frequency of m Assessments co	Cotal follow-up (wks): 18.8  Length of titration period (wks): 0  Length of maintenance period (wks): 12  Frequency of monitoring appointments: 7 appointments over 18.8 weeks.  Assessments conducted at baseline (after 6 week wash out period), 12 weeks (efficacy) and 4-11 days ollowing end of 12 week treatment period (safety)									
Arms	(1) Metformin										
Outcomes	(2) Placebo N: 82 Treatment durati Washout period Treatment(s):  General Data not extracto Data reported at	(d): 42 In-label metformin group Metformin (Oral) – flexible- Set dose (mg/d):1000 Mean dose (mg/d): 1567 Frequency of dosing: twice Details of dosing regimen: If FBG >6.1mmol/I, dose w dose Mean final dose 1668mg p  ion (wks): 12 (d): 42 Placebo (Oral) Frequency of dosing: once Details of dosing regimen:	e a day 500mg twice a vas increased to ver day e a day two tablets once iflozin 5, 10 and Il patients who	day 100 ce a	with 000mg day	g twice daily or up to maximum tolerated					
	imputed for miss		ny arug aose wi	ına	Dase	eline fibate measurement) with LOCF					
Baseline characteristics						Metformin					
				N	k	mean					
	Demographics:										
	Age (years) (ı	nedian)	Continuous	80		58 [rng 34–73]					
	Sex (n male)		Dichotomous	80	39	(48.8%)					
	Blood glucose:										
	HbA1c (%) –		Continuous	80		8.1 (SD 0.9)					
	HbA1c (%) –	na glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	80		8.1 (SD 0.9) 9.8 (SD 2.4)					
		na glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	80		9.8 (SD 2.4)					
	Body weight:	ia giacoco (iliillo)ij – 12WK	Johnhadas	30		0.0 (35 2.7)					
	BMI (kg/m2) (	(median)	Continuous	80		28.6 [rng 18.7–40.6]					
	144 : 144 1	- · · · · · ·									
	Weight (kg) –	· 0wk (median)	Continuous	80		80.72064 [rng 52.77888–114.58944] a 80.72064 [rng 52.77888–114.58944] a					

Weight (kg) - 12wk (median)	Continuous	80	81.1 [rng 42–126]
Weight (kg) - 12wk (median)	Continuous	80	81.1 [rng 42–126]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Placebo					
		N	k	mean			
Demographics:							
Age (years) (median)	Continuous	82		58 [rng 28–80]			
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	82	45	(54.9%)			
Blood glucose:							
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	82		7.8 (SD 0.8)			
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	82		7.8 (SD 0.8)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	82		9.5 (SD 2.2)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	82		9.5 (SD 2.2)			
Body weight:							
BMI (kg/m2) (median)	Continuous	82		28.8 [rng 20.7–39.6]			
Weight (kg) – 0wk (median)	Continuous	82		81.28512 [rng 58.42368–111.76704] a			
Weight (kg) – 0wk (median)	Continuous	82		81.28512 [rng 58.42368–111.76704] a			
Weight (kg) – 12wk (median)	Continuous	82		82.2 [rng 49–152.3]			
Weight (kg) – 12wk (median)	Continuous	82		82.2 [rng 49–152.3]			
<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height	of 1.68m						

## Results

			Metformin						
		N	k	mean					
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	80		-0.7 (SD 1.00396733599245) a					
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	80		-0.7 (SD 1.00396733599245) a					
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	80		-1.66 (SD 2.05356955089364) a					
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	80		-1.66 (SD 2.05356955089364) a					
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	80		-1.32 (SD 2.37301370325487) a					
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	80		-1.32 (SD 2.37301370325487) a					
Hypoglycaemic events: Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 12wk	Dichotomous	80	1	(1.3%)					
Adverse events: Gl: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	80	3	(3.8%)					
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	80	6b	(7.5%)					
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	80	3b	(3.8%)					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported 95% CI <sup>b</sup> Data taken from NCT00789035 (clinicaltrials.gov)

				Placebo
		N	k	mean
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	82		0.1 (SD 0.924035871022646) a
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	82		0.1 (SD 0.924035871022646) a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	82		0.06 (SD 2.03287891624982) a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	82		0.06 (SD 2.03287891624982) a
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	82		-0.75 (SD 2.35629147110775) a
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	82		-0.75 (SD 2.35629147110775) a

Hypoglycaemic events: Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 12wk	Dichotomous	82	1	(1.2%)
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	82	0	(0.0%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	82	6b	(7.3%)
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk <sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported 95% CI <sup>b</sup> Data taken from NCT00789035 (clinicaltrials.g	Dichotomous	82	0b	(0.0%)

## Table 37: Fischer et al. (1998)

Table 37. Fis	scher et al. (1998)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: 7 countries (Austria, Croatia, Germany, Hungary, Italy)  Authors' conclusions: Acarbose was well tolerated in the dose range of 25 mg tid to 200 mg tid. We could not find a clear relationship between the dose of acarbose and the frequency of gastrointestinal events  Source of funding: Unclear  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 495 Inclusion criteria: patients aged 35-70 years and insufficiently treated with diet alone, Hba1c 6.5-9%, diabetes duration between 6 and 60 months, stable bodyweight and BMI <=35 kg/m2. All were AHA naïve Exclusion criteria: Any significant disease or conditions, acute derangement of metabolic control Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 6 week placebo run in pre-treatment period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: All were AHA naïve
Lifestyle advice	During the screening phase, patients were reinforced with dietary advice by a trained dietitian
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 30 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Every 2 weeks for first 10 weeks, and then every 4 weeks
Arms	(1) Placebo N: 97 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 42 Comments: treatment naïve Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) (2) Acarbose (25 mg TID) N: 102 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 42 Comments: treatment naïve Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):75 Frequency of dosing: three times a day Details of dosing regimen: Acarbose 25 mg TID (3) Acarbose (50 mg TID) N: 99

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 42 Comments: treatment naïve

Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):150

Details of dosing regimen: Acarbose 50 mg TID

### (4) Acarbose (100 mg tid)

N: 99

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 42 Comments: treatment naïve

Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):300

Details of dosing regimen: Acarbose 100 mg tid

Dose increased in stepwise fashion, 50mg t.i.d. in first 2 weeks

### (5) Acarbose (200 mg tid)

N: 98

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 42 Comments: treatment naïve

Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):600

Frequency of dosing: three times a day

Details of dosing regimen: Acarbose 200 mg TID

Dose increased in stepwise fashion, 100mg t.i.d. in first 2 weeks

#### **Outcomes**

# Baseline characteristics

		Placebo			Acarbose (25 mg TID)				
		N	N k mean			k	mean	Δ	р
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop									
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	81		52.7 (SD 8.7)	86		58.5 (SD 8.4)		
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	81	43	(53.1%)	86	46	(53.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	81		med: 24	86		med: 26		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wkb	Continuous	81		7.26 (SD 1.09)	86		7.42 (SD 1.09)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wkb	Continuous	81		9.16 (SD 1.23)	86		9.33 (SD 1.24)		

a estimated from reported percentages; approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> geometric mean/SD

			Pla	acebo	Acarbose (50 mg TID)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop									
Demographics:				52.7 (SD			55.5 (SD		
Age (years)	Continuous	81		8.7)	88		9.6)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	81	43a	(53.1%)	88	43b	(48.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	81		med: 24	88		med: 20		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wkc	Continuous	81		7.26 (SD 1.09)	88		7.52 (SD 1.09)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wkc	Continuous	81		9.16 (SD 1.23)	88		9.33 (SD 1.22)		

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from reported percentages; approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
 <sup>b</sup> estimated from reported percentages; approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

<sup>c</sup> geometric mean/SD

			P	lacebo	Acarbose (100 mg tid)				
		N	N k mean		N	k	mean	Δ	р
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	81		52.7 (SD 8.7)	78		56.8 (SD 9.4)		
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	81	43	(53.1%)	78	46	(59.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	81		med: 24	78		med: 17		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wkb	Continuous	81		7.26 (SD 1.09)	78		7.43 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wkb	Continuous	81		9.16 (SD 1.23)	78		9.21 (SD 1.19)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from reported percentages; approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

h		100
_	geometric mean	/SD

		A		ose (25 mg TID)	Acarbose (50 mg TID)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	86		58.5 (SD 8.4)	88		55.5 (SD 9.6)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	86	46a	(53.5%)	88	43b	(48.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	86		med: 26	88		med: 20		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wkc	Continuous	86		7.42 (SD 1.09)	88		7.52 (SD 1.09)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wkc	Continuous	86		9.33 (SD 1.24)	88		9.33 (SD 1.22)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from reported percentages; approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) <sup>b</sup> estimated from reported percentages; approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)  $^{\circ}$  geometric mean/SD

		Ad	arb	ose (25 mg TID)	Acarbose (100 mg tid)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	86		58.5 (SD 8.4)	78		56.8 (SD 9.4)		
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	86	46	(53.5%)	78	46	(59.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	86		med: 26	78		med: 17		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wkb	Continuous	86		7.42 (SD 1.09)	78		7.43 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wkb	Continuous	86		9.33 (SD 1.24)	78		9.21 (SD 1.19)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from reported percentages; approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) <sup>b</sup> geometric mean/SD

Ac	arb	ose (25 mg TID)	Ac	Acarbose (200 mg tid)				
N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	

Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	86		58.5 (SD 8.4)	87		59.4 (SD 8.6)
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	86	46	(53.5%)	87	44	(50.6%)
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	86		med: 26	87		med: 21
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wkb	Continuous	86		7.42 (SD 1.09)	87		7.51 (SD 1.1)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wkb	Continuous	86		9.33 (SD 1.24)	87		9.27 (SD 1.23)

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from reported percentages; approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
 <sup>b</sup> geometric mean/SD

		A		ose (50 mg TID)	Acarbose (100 mg tid)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	88		55.5 (SD 9.6)	78		56.8 (SD 9.4)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	88	43a	(48.9%)	78	46b	(59.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	88		med: 20	78		med: 17		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wkc	Continuous	88		7.52 (SD 1.09)	78		7.43 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wkc	Continuous	88		9.33 (SD 1.22)	78		9.21 (SD 1.19)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from reported percentages; approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text);

b estimated from reported percentages; approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

c geometric mean/SD

		Ac	arbo	ose (100 mg tid)	Acarbose (200 mg tid)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	78		56.8 (SD 9.4)	87		59.4 (SD 8.6)		
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	78	46	(59.0%)	87	44	(50.6%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	78		med: 17	87		med: 21		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wkb	Continuous	78		7.43 (SD 1.1)	87		7.51 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wkb	Continuous	78		9.21 (SD 1.19)	87		9.27 (SD 1.23)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from reported percentages; approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) <sup>b</sup> geometric mean/SD

Э.	_	_		4	_

			Pla	acebo	Acarbose (25 mg TID)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	81	0	(0.0%)	86	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	97	11	(11.3%)	102	10	(9.8%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	97	0	(0.0%)	102	1	(1.0%)		

Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	81	7.64 (SD 1.18)	86	7.21 (SD 1.17)
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	81	7.83 (SD 1.21)	86	7.37 (SD 1.17)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Percentage change from baseline	81	-0.4	86	-4.3
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkc	Mean change	81	-0.3	86	-0.5

a geometric mean and SD b error bars not reported c SD not reported

			Pla	acebo	Acarbose (50 mg TID)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	81	0	(0.0%)	88	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	97	11	(11.3%)	99	5	(5.1%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	97	0	(0.0%)	99	1	(1.0%)		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	81		7.64 (SD 1.18)	88		7.02 (SD 1.17)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	81		7.83 (SD 1.21)	88		7.08 (SD 1.18)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Percentage change from baseline	81		-0.4	88		-11.8		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkc	Mean change	81		-0.3	88		-0.4		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> geometric mean and SD <sup>b</sup> error bars not reported <sup>c</sup> SD not reported

		Placebo				Acarbose (100 mg tid)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	81	0	(0.0%)	78	0	(0.0%)			
Dropouts:										
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	97	11	(11.3%)	99	11	(11.1%)			
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	97	0	(0.0%)	99	6	(6.1%)			
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	81		7.64 (SD 1.18)	78		6.95 (SD 1.19)			
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	81		7.83 (SD 1.21)	78		6.98 (SD 1.2)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Percentage change from baseline	81		-0.4	78		-15			
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkc	Mean change	81		-0.3	78		-0.7			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> geometric mean and SD <sup>b</sup> error bars not reported <sup>c</sup> SD not reported

		Aca		se (25 mg ID)	Acarbose (50 mg TID)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	86	0	(0.0%)	88	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	10	(9.8%)	99	5	(5.1%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	1	(1.0%)	99	1	(1.0%)		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	86		7.21 (SD 1.17)	88		7.02 (SD 1.17)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	86		7.37 (SD 1.17)	88		7.08 (SD 1.18)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Percentage change from baseline	86		-4.3	88		-11.8		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkc	Mean change	86		-0.5	88		-0.4		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> geometric mean and SD <sup>b</sup> error bars not reported <sup>c</sup> SD not reported

		Aca		se (25 mg ID)	Acarbose (100 mg tid)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	86	0	(0.0%)	78	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	10	(9.8%)	99	11	(11.1%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	1	(1.0%)	99	6	(6.1%)		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	86		7.21 (SD 1.17)	78		6.95 (SD 1.19)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	86		7.37 (SD 1.17)	78		6.98 (SD 1.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Percentage change from baseline	86		-4.3	78		-15		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkc	Mean change	86		-0.5	78		-0.7		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> geometric mean and SD <sup>b</sup> error bars not reported <sup>c</sup> SD not reported

		Aca		se (25 mg ID)	Acarbose (200 mg tid)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	86	0	(0.0%)	87	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	10	(9.8%)	98	6	(6.1%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	102	1	(1.0%)	98	3	(3.1%)		

Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	86	7.21 (SD 1.17)	87	6.85 (SD 1.19)
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	86	7.37 (SD 1.17)	87	6.79 (SD 1.19)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Percentage change from baseline	86	-4.3	87	-7.5
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkc	Mean change	86	-0.5	87	-0.8

a geometric mean and SD b error bars not reported c SD not reported

		A		rbose (50 ng TID)	A				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	88	0	(0.0%)	78	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	99	5	(5.1%)	99	11	(11.1%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	99	1	(1.0%)	99	6	(6.1%)		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	88		7.02 (SD 1.17)	78		6.95 (SD 1.19)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	88		7.08 (SD 1.18)	78		6.98 (SD 1.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Percentage change from baseline	88		-11.8	78		-15		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkc	Mean change	88		-0.4	78		-0.7		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> geometric mean and SD <sup>b</sup> error bars not reported <sup>c</sup> SD not reported

		A		ose (100 g tid)	Acarbose (200 mg tid)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	78	0	(0.0%)	87	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	99	11	(11.1%)	98	6	(6.1%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	99	6	(6.1%)	98	3	(3.1%)		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	78		6.95 (SD 1.19)	87		6.85 (SD 1.19)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	78		6.98 (SD 1.2)	87		6.79 (SD 1.19)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Percentage change from baseline	78		-15	87		-7.5		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkc	Mean change	78		-0.7	87		-0.8		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> geometric mean and SD <sup>b</sup> error bars not reported <sup>c</sup> SD not reported

Outcomes reported in cochrane not used (results not found in full paper)

# Table 38: Foley & (2009)

General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: 16 countries including Europe, Latin America and South Africa  Authors' conclusions: Although the hypothesis of noninferiority to gliclazide was not bourne out statistically, the reductions in Hba1c were similar over a two year period and vildagliptin had significant benefits in terms of less weight gain and less hypoglycaemia  Source of funding: Author from Novartis  Comments: Multicenter, double-blind, randomised, active controlled study
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 1092 Inclusion criteria: Male and female patients aged >=18 years with type 2 diabetes, BMI 22-45 kg/m2, Hba1c 7.5-11% (on no pharamcological therapy in previous 12 weeks) and FPG <15 mmol/l. Patients who had taken no OAD for at least 12 weeks prioir to screening and no OAD for >3 consecutive months at any time in the past were considered to be representative of a drug naïve population  Exclusion criteria: Patients who were pregnant or lactating, had a history of type 1 diabetes, Pancreatic injury, secondary forms of diabetes, symptomatic autonomic neuropathy, acute infections, CHF or ECG abnormalities, cirrhosis or chronic active hepatitis were excluded.  Pre-randomisation phase: 12 week titration is assumed to form part of the maintenance period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Drug naïve defined as not on OAD for at least 12 weeks prior to screening and no history of OAD for 3 consecutive months
Lifestyle advice	No details reported
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 104 Length of titration period (wks): 12 Length of maintenance period (wks): 104 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
Arms	(1) Vildagliptin (50 mg bid) N: 546 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Drug naïve defined as not on OAD for at least 12 weeks prior to screening and no history of OAD for 3 consecutive months  Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100 Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: Vildagliptin 50 mg bid. There were no dose adjustments with vildagliptin at any time.  (2) Gliclazide N: 546 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Drug naïve defined as not on OAD for at least 12 weeks prior to screening and no history of OAD for 3 consecutive months  Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Mean dose (mg/d): 209 Minimum dose (mg/d): 320 Details of dosing regimen: Patients received 80 mg gliclazide at baseline and were titrated to the next dose level of 160 mg after 4 weeks, 240 mg after 8 weeks and 320 mg after 12 weeks if FPG >7 mmol/l and titration was not contraindicated in the investigators opinion

due to the risk of hypoglycaemia. The dose could be adjusted downwards to no less than 80 mg at any time if there were three grade 1 hypoglycaemic events per week. Metformin could be prescribed as rescue medication in addition to blinded study medication according to clinical judgement at or after week 24 for patients who had reached gilclazide 320 mg daily or placebo and had unsatisfactory therapeutic effect as defined by confirmed FPG>13.3 mmol/l or symptoms of worsening hyperglycaemia or these patients were discontinued to received treatment.

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

137/546 patients (25.1%) from the vildagliptin group and 144/546 (26.4%) in the gliclazide group discontinued from the study.

162 patients in the vildagliptin group and 113 patients in gliclazide group received rescue medication. Outcomes not extracted in this evidemce table include proinsulin, insulin and proinsulin/insulin ratio. Efficacy analyses were conducted in PP population (unclear how many patients in each group). The randomised population consists of all patients as randomised and was utilised for safety assessments. The ITT population comprised all patients with baseline value, who received at least one dose of trial medication, and had at least one post baseline assessment. The per protocol population is a subset of the ITT population which excluded major protocol violations (1. treatment for at least 96 weeks with no rescue medication, no protocol deviation and a valid assessment within 7 days of the last dose of study drug; 2. completed at least 24 weeks of treatment with no rescue medication and started rescue medication at or after week 24 with a valid Hba1c measurement within 7 days and no major protocol violations before rescue therapy; 3. 24 weeks of treatment without rescue medication, who discontinued with a valid Hba1c assessment and no major protocol violations before rescue therapy.)

Efficacy assessments while on rescue medication were not considered.

Safety population included all randomised patients receiving at least one dose of the study drug. These included individuals who received rescue medication and therefore data were not extracted for these outcomes.

### Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Grade 2 hypoglycaemia was defined as requiring the assistance of another party)

symptomatic (confirmed) (Grade 1 hypoglycaemia was defined as symptoms suggestive of low blood glucose confirmed by self-monitored blood glucose value <3.1 mmol/l and does not require assistance from another party)

# Baseline characteristics

		Vil		ptin (50 mg bid)		Gli	clazide		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	546		55.2 (SD 10.6)	546		54.3 (SD 10.4)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	546	321	(58.8%)	546	288	(52.7%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	546		2.4 (SD 4.3)	546		1.9 (SD 3.1)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	546	405	(74.2%)	546	401	(73.4%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	546	82	(15.0%)	546	82	(15.0%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	546	59	(10.8%)	546	63	(11.5%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	546		8.6 (SD 1)	546		8.69 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	546		10.8 (SD 2.9)	546		10.8 (SD 2.9)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	546		30.6 (SD 5)	546		30.8 (SD 5.5)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	546		84.2 (SD 16.3)	546		84.3 (SD 17.6)		
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	546		101.9 (SD 11.5)	546		101.3 (SD 12.6)		
PP									
Blood glucose:				8.525 (SD					
HbA1c (%) – 4wka	Continuous	409		1.01)	402		8.7 (SD 1)		
<sup>a</sup> Extracted from graph; SD calculated	d from SE; assu	ımed	PP ar	e completors t	rom t	rial flo	w chart		

Extracted from graph; SD calculated from SE; assumed PP are completors from trial flow chart

 Vildagliptin (50 mg bid)
 Gliclazide
 Δ
 p

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean		
PP Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	409		7.4 (SD 1.01)	402		7.225 (SD 1.34)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	409		7.35 (SD 1.35)	402		7.075 (SD 1.34)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wka	Continuous	409		7.325 (SD 1.68)	402		7.21 (SD 2)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wka	Continuous	409		7.825 (SD 2.02)	402		7.81 (SD 2)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Mean change	546			546			MD=0.130 (CI: - 0.060, 0.320)	NSb
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wkc	Mean change	546		-0.2 (SD 4.04)	546		-0.7 (SD 4.01)	MD=0.500	<0.02
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wkc	Mean change	409		-0.2 (SD 4.04)	402		-0.7 (SD 4.01)	MD=0.500	<0.02
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 104wkd	Mean change	546		0.8 (SD 4.04)	546		1.6 (SD 4.01)		<0.01
Weight (kg) – 104wkd	Mean change	409		0.8 (SD 4.04)	402		1.6 (SD 4.01)		<0.01
Baseline Hba1c <=8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 104wkb	Continuous	0		0 (SD 0)	0		0 (SD 0)		
Hba1c>9.0% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 104wkb	Continuous	0		-0.93 (SD 0)	0		-1.38 (SD 0)		
<sup>a</sup> Extracted from graph; SD control of unclear denominator consummed SE reported, assumed PP are completors	ımed PP are o	compl	etc				•	m trial flow chart	

Hba1c was analysed using ANCOVA model with treatment and pooled centre as classification variables and baseline Hba1c as the covariate. P-values for between group differences for adverse events were not reported

# Table 39: Fonseca et al. (2013)

	113000 01 01. (2010)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: - Authors' conclusions: - Source of funding: - Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 138 Inclusion criteria: Adults (18 years and over) diagnosed with T2DM for at least 6 months, HbA1c between 7 and 9.5% and BMI between 20 and 45kg/m2. Patients were either AHA naïve or receiving up to 2 OADs (with <50% of the maximum dose for each component)  Exclusion criteria: - Pre-randomisation phase: 8 week wash out period: 6 week wash out period (for people on previous OADs) and 2 week single blind placebo run in (for all patients)

Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-insulin  Details of washout period: 8 week wash out period 2 week single blind placeho run in (for all period).	period: 6 week wash									
	and 2 week single blind placebo run in (for all patients)  All patients were on a stable exercise and diet programme										
Lifestyle advice	All patients were on a stable exercise and diet programme										
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 20 Length of titration period (wks): - Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Assessments undertaken at baseline (following 2 week single blind placebo run in), Week 1, 2, 4, 8 and 12										
Arms	(1) Metformin N: 69 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56 Comments: 29/69 (42%) with completely treatm Metformin started at 1000mg/day and increased Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – flexible-do Minimum dose (mg/d): 1000 Maximum dose (mg/d): 1500 Details of dosing regimen: Staweeks  (2) Placebo N: 69 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56 Comments: 29/69 (42%) with completely treatm Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)	d to 1500mg/day afte se (dose-adjusted) arting dose of 1000m									
Outcomes	General Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprag	gliflozin at 12.5, 50, ′	150 aı	nd 30	00mg.						
Outcomes Baseline					I.						
	Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprag										
Baseline	Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprage Total dropouts were not explicitly stated per group Demographics:  Age (years)	continuous	N 69	k	Metformin mean 53.1 (SD 11.7)						
Baseline	Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprage Total dropouts were not explicitly stated per ground dropouts.  Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male)	Continuous Dichotomous	N 69 69	k	Metformin mean 53.1 (SD 11.7) (58.0%)						
3aseline	Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprage Total dropouts were not explicitly stated per ground Demographics:  Age (years)  Sex (n male)  Duration of diabetes (yrs)	continuous	N 69	k	Metformin mean 53.1 (SD 11.7)						
3aseline	Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprage Total dropouts were not explicitly stated per ground Demographics:  Age (years)  Sex (n male)  Duration of diabetes (yrs)  Blood glucose:	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous	N 69 69 69	k	Metformin  mean  53.1 (SD 11.7) (58.0%) 4.13 (SD 4.71)						
Baseline	Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprage Total dropouts were not explicitly stated per ground Demographics:  Age (years)  Sex (n male)  Duration of diabetes (yrs)  Blood glucose:  HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous	N 69 69 69	k	Metformin  mean  53.1 (SD 11.7) (58.0%) 4.13 (SD 4.71)  8.03 (SD 0.9)						
3aseline	Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprage Total dropouts were not explicitly stated per ground Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of diabetes (yrs) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 69 69 69 69	k	Metformin  mean  53.1 (SD 11.7) (58.0%) 4.13 (SD 4.71)  8.03 (SD 0.9)  8.03 (SD 0.9)						
Baseline	Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprage Total dropouts were not explicitly stated per ground products.  Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of diabetes (yrs)  Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk HbA1c (%) – 12wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 69 69 69 69 69	k	Metformin  mean  53.1 (SD 11.7) (58.0%)  4.13 (SD 4.71)  8.03 (SD 0.9)  8.03 (SD 0.9)  9.42 (SD 2.78)						
Baseline	Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprage Total dropouts were not explicitly stated per ground Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of diabetes (yrs) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 69 69 69 69	k	Metformin  mean  53.1 (SD 11.7) (58.0%) 4.13 (SD 4.71)  8.03 (SD 0.9)  8.03 (SD 0.9)						
Baseline	Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprage Total dropouts were not explicitly stated per gro  Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of diabetes (yrs) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk HbA1c (%) – 12wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 69 69 69 69 69	k	Metformin  mean  53.1 (SD 11.7) (58.0%)  4.13 (SD 4.71)  8.03 (SD 0.9)  8.03 (SD 0.9)  9.42 (SD 2.78)						
Baseline	Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprage Total dropouts were not explicitly stated per ground product of the state of the st	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 69 69 69 69 69	k	Metformin  mean  53.1 (SD 11.7) (58.0%) 4.13 (SD 4.71)  8.03 (SD 0.9) 8.03 (SD 0.9) 9.42 (SD 2.78) 9.42 (SD 2.78)						
3aseline	Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprage Total dropouts were not explicitly stated per ground products.  Age (years)  Sex (n male)  Duration of diabetes (yrs)  Blood glucose:  HbA1c (%) – 12wk  HbA1c (%) – 12wk  Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk  Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk  Body weight:  BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 69 69 69 69 69 69 69	k	Metformin  mean  53.1 (SD 11.7) (58.0%) 4.13 (SD 4.71)  8.03 (SD 0.9) 8.03 (SD 0.9) 9.42 (SD 2.78) 9.42 (SD 2.78) 29.8 (SD 5.5)						
3aseline	Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprage Total dropouts were not explicitly stated per ground product of the state of the st	Continuous	N 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69	k	Metformin  mean  53.1 (SD 11.7) (58.0%) 4.13 (SD 4.71)  8.03 (SD 0.9) 8.03 (SD 0.9) 9.42 (SD 2.78) 9.42 (SD 2.78)  29.8 (SD 5.5) 84.10752 (SD 15.5232) a						
3aseline	Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprage Total dropouts were not explicitly stated per ground products.  Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of diabetes (yrs)  Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk HbA1c (%) – 12wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) Weight (kg) – 0wk Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	N 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69	k	Metformin  mean  53.1 (SD 11.7) (58.0%) 4.13 (SD 4.71)  8.03 (SD 0.9) 8.03 (SD 0.9) 9.42 (SD 2.78) 9.42 (SD 2.78)  29.8 (SD 5.5) 84.10752 (SD 15.5232) a 84.10752 (SD 15.5232) a						
Baseline	Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprage Total dropouts were not explicitly stated per gro  Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of diabetes (yrs) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk HbA1c (%) – 12wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) Weight (kg) – 0wk Weight (kg) – 0wk Weight (kg) – 12wk	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous	N 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69	k	Metformin  mean  53.1 (SD 11.7) (58.0%) 4.13 (SD 4.71)  8.03 (SD 0.9) 8.03 (SD 0.9) 9.42 (SD 2.78) 9.42 (SD 2.78)  29.8 (SD 5.5) 84.10752 (SD 15.5232) a 84.1 (SD 21.8)  84.1 (SD 21.8)						
Baseline	Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprage Total dropouts were not explicitly stated per ground products.  Age (years)  Sex (n male)  Duration of diabetes (yrs)  Blood glucose:  HbA1c (%) – 12wk  HbA1c (%) – 12wk  Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk  Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk  Body weight:  BMI (kg/m2)  Weight (kg) – 0wk  Weight (kg) – 0wk  Weight (kg) – 12wk  Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:  Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous	N 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69	k 40	Metformin  mean  53.1 (SD 11.7) (58.0%)  4.13 (SD 4.71)  8.03 (SD 0.9)  8.03 (SD 0.9)  9.42 (SD 2.78)  9.42 (SD 2.78)  29.8 (SD 5.5)  84.10752 (SD 15.5232) a  84.1 (SD 21.8)  84.1 (SD 21.8)  (42.0%)						
Baseline	Data not reported for 4 trial arms receiving iprage Total dropouts were not explicitly stated per ground products.  Age (years)  Sex (n male)  Duration of diabetes (yrs)  Blood glucose:  HbA1c (%) – 12wk  HbA1c (%) – 12wk  Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk  Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk  Body weight:  BMI (kg/m2)  Weight (kg) – 0wk  Weight (kg) – 0wk  Weight (kg) – 12wk  Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:  Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous	N 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69	k 40	Metformin  mean  53.1 (SD 11.7) (58.0%) 4.13 (SD 4.71)  8.03 (SD 0.9) 8.03 (SD 0.9) 9.42 (SD 2.78) 9.42 (SD 2.78)  29.8 (SD 5.5) 84.10752 (SD 15.5232) a 84.1 (SD 21.8)  84.1 (SD 21.8)						

Demographics:	Cantinuana	00		F2 4 (OD 0 7)
Age (years)	Continuous	69		53.4 (SD 9.7)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	69	32	(46.4%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	69		4.64 (SD 5.93)
Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	69		7.84 (SD 0.78)
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	69		7.84 (SD 0.78)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	69		9.03 (SD 2.49)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	69		9.03 (SD 2.49)
Body weight:				
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	69		30.9 (SD 5.5)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	69		87.21216 (SD 15.5232) a
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	69		87.21216 (SD 15.5232) a
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Continuous	69		81.8 (SD 17.6)
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Continuous	69		81.8 (SD 17.6)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:				
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	69	29	(42.0%)
<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68	3m			

### Results

		Metformin			
		N	k	mean	
Blood glucose:					
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	69		-0.42 (SD 0.825) a	
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	69		-0.42 (SD 0.825) a	
Body weight:					
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	69		-0.8 (SD 2.4) a	
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	69		-0.8 (SD 2.4) a	
Hypoglycaemic events:					
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 12wk	Dichotomous	69	0	(0.0%)	
Dropouts:					
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	69	1b	(1.4%)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Data extracted from graph <sup>b</sup> Data only represent dropouts due to drug related adverse events

		Placebo				
		N	k	mean		
Blood glucose:						
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	69		0.26 (SD 0.8) a		
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	69		0.26 (SD 0.8) a		
Body weight:						
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	69		-0.9 (SD 2.05) a		
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	69		-0.9 (SD 2.05) a		
Hypoglycaemic events:						
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 12wk	Dichotomous	69	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts:						
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	69	1b	(1.4%)		
·	Dichotomous	69	1b	(1.4%)		

 $<sup>^{\</sup>it a}$  Data extracted from graph  $^{\it b}$  Data only represent dropouts due to drug related adverse events

## Table 40: Formoso et al. (2008)

Table 40.1 Of	moso et al.	(2006)		
	antioxidant funct Source of fundi ECFP6 funding	•	e 2 diabetes nent grants. This resear	rch was also supported by
characteristics of patients	patients were rec smokers and nor <b>Exclusion criter</b> function sof any	f patients: 26  a: newly diagnosed (diagnosis of diabeterization of the percent o	rersity Diabetes Clinic. An naïve ration of urinary albumin	All patients were non-
		s previously taking glucose-lowering out period: States participants are OAD		-
	All patients were requirement)	instructed to follow a moderately hypoca	aloric diet (-20% of esti	mated daily energy
	Length of main	(wks): 12 on period (wks): 0 tenance period (wks): 12 onitoring appointments: Patients were ent and monthly thereafter	e seen in the clinic ever	y 2 weeks during the first
	(1) Metformin N: 13 Treatment durati Washout period Treatment(s):  (2) Gliclazide N: 13 Treatment durati Washout period Treatment(s):	(d): 0  Metformin (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-Minimum dose (mg/d): 850  Maximum dose (mg/d): 2550  Details of dosing regimen: Metformin wuptitrated as needed on the basis of holevels, so as to achieve good glycaemic Hba1c <=7%) up to a maximum of 255  on (wks): 12	vas started at the dose of the control (fasting plasm of mg/day for metforming se-adjusted)  as started at 80 mg/day blood glucose profiles g plasma glucose <7 m	cose profiles and Hba1c la glucose <7 mmol/l and/or l.  v. Drugs were uptitrated as and Hba1c levels, so as to
	dehydro-TXB2 a Assumed no dro	stracted in this evidence table include pla nd insulin resistance p-outs as not reported and analysis cond analysis reported		urinary 8-iso-PGF, urinary 11-
Baseline			Metformin	

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	13		58.8 (SD 1.32)	13		57.2 (SD 1.56)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	13	6	(46.2%)	13	7	(53.8%)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	13		8.6 (SD 0.04)	13		8 (SD 0.03)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	13		8.8 (SD 0.7)	13		9 (SD 0.6)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	13		33.4 (SD 1.22)	13		30.9 (SD 1.05)
Waist circumference (cms) – 0wk	Continuous	13		102 (SD 1.24)	13		100.8 (SD 2.2)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	13		130 (SD 5)	13		125 (SD 6)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	13		80 (SD 3)	13		80 (SD 4)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	13		4.5 (SD 0.2)	13		4.8 (SD 0.2)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	13		1 (SD 0.09)	13		1.1 (SD 0.04)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	13		1.4 (SD 0.1)	13		1.4 (SD 0.1)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk <sup>a</sup> Reported as SD	Continuous	13		2.8 (SD 0.2)	13		3 (SD 0.2)

		Metformin			Gliclazide				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	13		6.4 (SD 0.004)	13		6.6 (SD 0.003)		NS
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	13		7.1 (SD 0.5)	13		7 (SD 0.5)		NS
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Continuous	13		31.9 (SD 1.36)	13		31 (SD 1.08)		NS
Waist circumference (cms) – 12wk	Continuous	13		99.3 (SD 1.45)	13		101.4 (SD 2.8)		NS
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 12wk	Continuous	13		130 (SD 7)	13		125 (SD 7)		NS
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 12wk	Continuous	13		80 (SD 6)	13		80 (SD 3)		NS
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous			4.6 (SD 0.1) 1 (SD 0.08)	13		4.6 (SD 0.2) 1 (SD 0.07)		NS NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous			1.5 (SD 0.1)	13		1.3 (SD 0.1)		NS
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk <sup>a</sup> Reported as SD	Continuous	13		2.9 (SD 0.1)	13		3 (SD 0.2)		NS

Changes in variables of interest after therapy within treatment groups were analysed by Student's paired t-test.

Table 41: Gao et al. (2008)

General	Phase:
	<ul><li>✓ monotherapy</li><li>☐ dual therapy</li><li>☐ triple therapy</li></ul>

☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: China Authors' conclusions: The effects of once daily extended release metformin (MXR) on chronic glycaemia, BMI, lipid profiles, insulin resistance and islet function are comparable with that of thrice daily immediate release metformin (MIR) in an oriental population Source of funding: supported by grants from the National 973 Program of China, the Natural Science Foundation of Beijing and the National natural Science Foundation of China. The study received partial financial support from Beijing Wanhui Double-Crane Pharmaceutical Co Comments: randomised, open label, active controlled trial Number and Total number of patients: 150 characteristics Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes in outpatients clinics were enrolled in this study. Inclusion of patients criteria were 30-70 years of age, BMI 19-35 kg/m2, fasting plasma glucose <=13 mmol/l, Hba1c <=9.5% and treatment with diet alone or monotherapy with immediate release metformin (MIR) or alpha-glucosidase inhibitor. Exclusion criteria: Patents were required to be free of diabetic symptoms, diabetic ketoacidosis, and nonketotic hyperosmolar coma, renal dysfunction, hepatic dysfunction and severe heart disease. In addition, patients receiving insulin therapy, sulfonylureas, glinides or thiazolidinediones and pregnant or breastfeeding women were also excluded. Patients were required to achieve <=1.67 mmol/l in the change of fasting plasma glucose within 2 consecutive weeks or were not eligible after the run-in period for randomisation. After the initial 2 weeks of therapy with either MXR or MIR, the patients withdrew from the study if FPG were >13.0 or <3.5 mmol/l Pre-randomisation phase: During 2 weeks of run-in period, all patients received MIR (500 mg thrice daily) after each meal and the previous antihyperglycaemic, if any, was discontinued. **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or glucoselowering Details of washout period: see baseline characteristics for details. There was a 2 week run-in period where therapy previous antihyperglycaemic agents were discontinued. Lifestyle advice Physical exercise and diet control were unchanged during the study period in both groups Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 14 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: No details reported Arms (1) Metformin extended release (MXR) N: 75 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 14 Comments: 2 week run-in period where patients discontinue previous OHAs and start metformin immediate Treatment(s): Metformin (modified release) (Oral) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):1500 Frequency of dosing: once a day Compliance: the tablets left in blisters and boxes were counted and compliance was defined as the proportion of tablets taken. The total percentage of compliance was 97.2% in the MXR group Details of dosing regimen: 1500 mg given once daily after dinner (2) Metformin immediate release (MIR) N: 75 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 14 Comments: 2 week run-in period where patients discontinue previous OHAs and start metformin immediate release Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):1500 Frequency of dosing: three times a day Compliance: the tablets left in blisters and boxes were counted and compliance was defined as the proportion of tablets taken. The total percentage of compliance was 93.8% in the MIR group Details of dosing regimen: continued with 500 mg given thrice daily after meals. **Outcomes** 6 (8%) patients in the MXR and 4 (5%) in the MIR groups discontinued the study Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include area under the curve (AUC) of plasma glucose and insulin, fasting and post-prandial insulin levels and measures of insulin resistance

	ITT analysis not reported										
Baseline characteristics			N	Metformin extended release (MXR)							
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean		Δ	р
	Demographics:	o .:			55.7 (00.00)			50 5 (00 0 4)			
	Age (years) Sex (n male)	Continuous Dichotomous	75 75	20	55.7 (SD 8.8) (50.7%)	75	37	53.5 (SD 8.1) (49.3%)			
	Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	75	30	3.2 (SD 2.7)	75		3.8 (SD 3.3)			
	Blood glucose:	Continuous	73		3.2 (3D 2.1)	7.3		3.6 (3D 3.3)			
	HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	69		6.5 (SD 1.1)	71		6.4 (SD 0.9)			
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	69		6.8 (SD 1.3)	71		6.9 (SD 1.4)			
	2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	69		10.1 (SD 3.1)	71		10 (SD 2.8)			
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	69		26.4 (SD 3.2)	71		26.4 (SD 2.8)			
	Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	75		125.1 (SD 14.5)	75		122.7 (SD 13	.7)		
	Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	75		78.6 (SD 8.8)	75		78.7 (SD 8.9)			
	Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	69		5.1 (SD 0.9)	71		5 (SD 0.8)			
	HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	69		1.4 (SD 0.5)	71		1.5 (SD 0.8)			
	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	69		1.9 (SD 1.4)	71		2 (SD 1.2)			
	LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	69		3.1 (SD 0.8)	71		3 (SD 0.7)			
	Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:	D'abatanana	75	00	(00.00()	7.	40	(04.00()			
	Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous			,			(21.3%)			
	Metformin	Dichotomous			,	75		,			
	Acarbose Other medication:	Dichotomous	75	12	(16.0%)	75	7	(9.3%)			
	ACE inhibitor/ARB	Dichotomous	75	9	(12.0%)	75	10	(13.3%)			
	Calcilm channel blocker	Dichotomous	75	5	(6.7%)	75	10	(13.3%)			
	Other hypertension treatment	Dichotomous	75	20	(26.7%)	75	16	(21.3%)			
Results			M		rmin extended ease (MXR)			iin immediate ase (MIR)			
			N	k	mean		κ	mean	Δ	р	
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	69		6.2 (SD 0.8)	71		6.1 (SD 0.8)		0.7	3
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	69		7 (SD 1.3)	71		6.7 (SD 1.1)		<0.	01
	2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	69		11 (SD 3.1)	71		9.7 (SD 2.6)		0.0	02
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Continuous	69		25.9 (SD 3)	71		25.9 (SD 2.7)		>0.	05
	Adverse events: GI: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	69	0	(0.0%)	71	1	(1.4%)		NR	
	Dizziness – 12wk	Dichotomous			(4.3%)	71 (		(0.0%)		NR	
	Flatulence – 12wk	Dichotomous			(1.4%)	71		(1.4%)		NR	
	1 12 12			-	7	-		/			

Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 12wk	Dichotomous	69	3	(4.3%)	71	8	(11.3%)	0.12
GI: diarrhoea – 12wk	Dichotomous	69	0	(0.0%)	71	4	(5.6%)	NR
GI: abdominal pain – 12wk	Dichotomous	69	2	(2.9%)	71	2	(2.8%)	NR
Dropouts:								
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	75	1	(1.3%)	75	2	(2.7%)	NR
Lipids:								
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	75			75			>0.05
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	69		5.2 (SD 1.2)	71		5 (SD 0.8)	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	75			75			>0.05
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	69		1.4 (SD 0.4)	71		1.4 (SD 0.3)	
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	75			75			>0.05
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	69		2.1 (SD 1.6)	71		1.9 (SD 1.5)	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	69		3 (SD 0.9)	71		3 (SD 0.7)	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	75			75			>0.05
Compliance:								
Compliance – 12wk	Dichotomous			,	71	67a	(94.4%)	NR
<sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer	(percentages of	only	pres	ented in text)				
Two tailed paired Student's t-test groups. Please note that baseline from the baseline period in the an which are reported as baseline da Whitney test was used to compar groups was tested using Pearson.	values for BMI alysis (which m ta) and denom e values betwe	, Hb nay h inato en g	a1c nave ors h	FPG, PPG and differed slightly ave been amen	all li to th ded	pid m ne end accor	easures were ex d of run-in charac dingly. ANOVA o	tracted teristics or Mann-

# Table 42: Genovese et al. (2013)

General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: - Authors' conclusions: - Source of funding: - Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 58 Inclusion criteria: Adults (35 to 75 years) with T2DM, HbA1c <=9%, no antihyperglycaemic medication in previous 3 months Exclusion criteria: - Pre-randomisation phase: up to 1 week run in period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: up to 1 week run-in period  Patients were excluded if they had antihyperglycaemic medication within 3 months of study enrolment  Not clearly stated whether patients were on previous medication

Lifestyle advice	Length of mair	o (wks): 17 tion period (wks): - ntenance period (wks): 16 nonitoring appointments: 5 visit	s at start and end of run i	in perio	d, an	d at weeks 4, 8 an
Arms	glycaemic responder (s):  (2) Pioglitazon N: 29 Treatment dura Washout period	I (d): 0  If (d): 0  If ormin dose = 850mg/day uptitrationse  Metformin (Oral) – flexible-dose  Minimum dose (mg/d): 850  Maximum dose (mg/d): 2550  Details of dosing regimen: Start three times a day depending or e  Ition (wks): 16  I (d): 0  glitazone dose = 30mg/day uptitrate  Pioglitazone (Oral) – flexible-do  Minimum dose (mg/d): 30	e (dose-adjusted)  ing dose = 850mg/day up glycaemic response	ptitrated	d to 8	50mg/day twice o
		Maximum dose (mg/d): 45 Frequency of dosing: once a da Details of dosing regimen: Start glycaemic response		titrated	to 45	mg/day dependino
Outcomes		Frequency of dosing: once a da Details of dosing regimen: Start		titrated	to 45	mg/day depending
Baseline		Frequency of dosing: once a da Details of dosing regimen: Start		titrated	to 45	mg/day depending  Metformin
		Frequency of dosing: once a da Details of dosing regimen: Start		titrated	to 45	
Baseline	Demographics Age (years) Sex (n male)	Frequency of dosing: once a da Details of dosing regimen: Start glycaemic response				Metformin
Baseline	Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of c	Frequency of dosing: once a da Details of dosing regimen: Start glycaemic response  : diabetes (yrs)	ing dose = 30mg/day upt	N 29	k	Metformin mean 56.4 (SD 7.9)
aseline	Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of c	Frequency of dosing: once a da Details of dosing regimen: Start glycaemic response  : liabetes (yrs)	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous	N 29 29 29	k	Metformin mean 56.4 (SD 7.9) (65.5%) 3.9 (SD 2.2)
aseline	Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of c Blood glucose: HbA1c (%)	Frequency of dosing: once a da Details of dosing regimen: Start glycaemic response  : diabetes (yrs)	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous	N 29 29 29 29	k	Metformin mean  56.4 (SD 7.9) (65.5%) 3.9 (SD 2.2) 6.8 (SD 0.7)
Baseline	Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of c Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - HbA1c (%) -	Frequency of dosing: once a da Details of dosing regimen: Start glycaemic response  liabetes (yrs)  -16wk -16wk	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous	N 29 29 29	k	Metformin mean  56.4 (SD 7.9) (65.5%) 3.9 (SD 2.2) 6.8 (SD 0.7) 6.8 (SD 0.7)
Baseline	Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of c Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - HbA1c (%) - Fasting plass	Frequency of dosing: once a da Details of dosing regimen: Start glycaemic response  : diabetes (yrs)	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 29 29 29 29 29	k	Metformin mean  56.4 (SD 7.9) (65.5%) 3.9 (SD 2.2) 6.8 (SD 0.7)
Baseline	Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of c Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - HbA1c (%) - Fasting plass	Frequency of dosing: once a da Details of dosing regimen: Start glycaemic response  : diabetes (yrs) - 16wk - 16wk ma glucose (mg/dl) – 16wk	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	k	Metformin mean  56.4 (SD 7.9) (65.5%) 3.9 (SD 2.2)  6.8 (SD 0.7) 6.8 (SD 0.7) 146 (SD 46)
aseline	Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of co Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - HbA1c (%) - Fasting plass Fasting plass Body weight:	Frequency of dosing: once a da Details of dosing regimen: Start glycaemic response  : diabetes (yrs) - 16wk - 16wk ma glucose (mg/dl) – 16wk	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	k	Metformin mean  56.4 (SD 7.9) (65.5%) 3.9 (SD 2.2) 6.8 (SD 0.7) 6.8 (SD 0.7) 146 (SD 46) 146 (SD 46)
Baseline	Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of complete Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - HbA1c (%) - Fasting plass Fasting plass Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Frequency of dosing: once a da Details of dosing regimen: Start glycaemic response  : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	k	Metformin mean  56.4 (SD 7.9) (65.5%) 3.9 (SD 2.2)  6.8 (SD 0.7) 6.8 (SD 0.7) 146 (SD 46) 146 (SD 46) 31.7 (SD 3.6)
Baseline	Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of complete the sex (n male) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - HbA1c (%) - Fasting plast Fasting plast Fasting plast Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) Weight (kg) ITT Blood glucose:	Frequency of dosing: once a da Details of dosing regimen: Start glycaemic response  liabetes (yrs)  16wk 16wk ma glucose (mg/dl) – 16wk ma glucose (mg/dl) – 16wk	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous	N 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	k	Metformin mean  56.4 (SD 7.9) (65.5%) 3.9 (SD 2.2) 6.8 (SD 0.7) 6.8 (SD 0.7) 146 (SD 46) 146 (SD 46) 31.7 (SD 3.6) 87.8 (SD 11.5)
Baseline	Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of complete the sex (n male) Blood glucoses: HbA1c (%) — Fasting plass Fasting plass Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) Weight (kg) ITT Blood glucoses: HbA1c (%) — HbA1c (%) — Fasting plass	Frequency of dosing: once a da Details of dosing regimen: Start glycaemic response  liabetes (yrs)  16wk 16wk ma glucose (mg/dl) – 16wk ma glucose (mg/dl) – 16wk	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous	N 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 26	k	Metformin mean  56.4 (SD 7.9) (65.5%) 3.9 (SD 2.2)  6.8 (SD 0.7) 6.8 (SD 0.7) 146 (SD 46) 146 (SD 46) 31.7 (SD 3.6) 87.8 (SD 11.5)

Demographics: Age (years) Pioglitazone

59.1 (SD 6.8)

mean

N k

29

Continuous

Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	29	14	(48.3%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	29		4.4 (SD 3.2)
Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	29		6.9 (SD 0.8)
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	29		6.9 (SD 0.8)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 16wk	Continuous	29		152 (SD 38)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 16wk	Continuous	29		152 (SD 38)
Body weight:				
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	29		31.1 (SD 3.2)
Weight (kg)	Continuous	29		84.1 (SD 12.5)
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	24		6.9 (SD 0.9)
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	24		6.9 (SD 0.9)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 16wk	Continuous	24		153 (SD 40)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 16wk	Continuous	24		153 (SD 40)

## Results

		Metformin		
		N	k	mean
Hypoglycaemic events:				
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 16wk	Count	3080	0	а
Dropouts:				
Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	29	3	(10.3%)
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	29	2	(6.9%)
ІП				
Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	26		6.5 (SD 0.7)
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	26		6.5 (SD 0.7)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 16wk	Continuous	26		135 (SD 48)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 16wk	Continuous	26		135 (SD 48)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Patient days estimated assuming dropouts occurred halfway through the study

		Pioglitazone				
		N k mean				
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 16wk	Count	2968	4	a		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	29	5	(17.2%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	29	4	(13.8%)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	24		6.5 (SD 0.8)		
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	24		6.5 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 16wk	Continuous	24		126 (SD 25)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 16wk	Continuous	24		126 (SD 25)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Patient days estimated assuming dropouts occurred halfway through the study

# Table 43: Goke (2002)

14510 45. 00	(2002)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Germany  Authors' conclusions: six months of pioglitazone treatment decreased insulin resistance and improved glycaemic control to a significantly greater extent than acarbose treatment. Pioglitazone was also associated with a significantly improved lipid profile, suggesting a reduction in risk of coronary heart disease  Source of funding: funded by Takeda Pharma (principle investigator was a member of the advisory board for Takeda)  Comments: randomised, parallel group, open-label trial. Randomisation was computerised and telephone based, the method was stratified for gender, two BMI class and study centre in blocks of 4
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 265 Inclusion criteria: patients were either newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes or had previous treatment with oral antihyperglycaemics, but in this case patients had to stop the administration of oral agents at least 2 months prior to starting the study. Patients had diabetes that was not well controlled with Hba1c levels between 7.5 and 11.5% and fasting blood glucose levels >= 140 mg/dl and they had a BMI between 25 and 43 kg/m2.  Exclusion criteria: patients were not included if they were insulin dependent, required other specific antidiabetic drugs, had a history of ketoacidosis, had any disease causing malabsorption or digestive problems, had a history of heart disease, hematological disease or HIV infection or had evidence of liver, kidney or bone marrow impairment.  Patients were discontinued from the study if Hba1c levels were >11.5% or FBG >250 mg/dl for more than 3 months, if clinical complications of diabetes occurred or if adverse events including clinically relevant changes in laboratory parameters occurred.  Pre-randomisation phase: all patients underwent a 1 week run-in period with dietary advice. There was a 3 week titration period for acarbose (this was assumed to be part of the 26 week maintenance period).
Previous	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or
glucose- lowering therapy	insulin  Details of washout period: patients were either newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes or had previous treatment with oral antihyperglycaemics, but in this case patients had to stop the administration of oral agents at least 2 months prior to starting the study. In addition patients had a 1 week run in period where they were given diet therapy
Lifestyle advice	During the 1 week run-in patients had to follow a disease and body weight-oriented dietary regimen. The aim of the individualised dietary advice was the supply of appropriate calories and nutrients as well as body weight reduction in those with obesity. Patients were asked to continue with the recommneded diet and to maintain other aspects of their lifestyle throughout the remainder of the study.
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 27 Length of titration period (wks): 3 Length of maintenance period (wks): 26 Frequency of monitoring appointments: patients attended clinic visits at 4 weekly intervals during the study.
Arms	(1) Pioglitazone  N: 129  Treatment duration (wks): 26  Washout period (d): 7  Comments: OADs were stopped at least 2 months before starting the study  Treatment(s):  Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):45 Frequency of dosing: once a day Compliance: compliance was determined by investigators from return of empty blister packs or unused tablets Details of dosing regimen: pioglitazone was administered orally once daily, before or after breakfast, at a dose of 45 mg/day  (2) Acarbose  N: 136  Treatment duration (wks): 26  Washout period (d): 7  Comments: OADs were stopped at least 2 months before starting the study  Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – forced titration Minimum dose (mg/d): 50 Maximum dose (mg/d): 300

Frequency of dosing: three times a day

Compliance: compliance was determined by investigators from return of empty blister packs or unused tablets

Details of dosing regimen: Patients assigned to acarbose started with 50 mg once daily for tolerability reasons and were titrated over a period of 3 weeks up to 300 mg/day administered orally as 3 equal doses with main meals

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

At the end of 26 week study, patients receiving pioglitazone could continue with the same treatment while those receiving acarbose could start taking pioglitazone in addition to acarbose for a further 38 week extension period (data not extracted in this evidence table). Other outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include fasting insulin, HOMA insulin resistance, c-peptides and VLDL-cholesterol

Statistical analyses were carried out on an ITT basis that included all patients who took at least one dose of study medication and used last observation carried forward where evaluations were missing. For Hba1c a per protocol analysis was also evaluated. This did not include patients who discontinued therapy or who had major protocol violations.

19 (14.7%) patients in the pioglitazone group and 39 (28.7%) in the acarbose group discontinued the study.

# Baseline characteristics

		Pioglitazone			Acarbose				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	129		58.9 (SD 9.1)	136		58.8 (SD 9.1)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	129	69	(53.5%)	136	74	(54.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	129		57 (SD 55.4)	136		59.1 (SD 50.3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	129		30.9 (SD 5.3)	136		30.8 (SD 4.4)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	129		87.21216 (SD 15)	136		86.92992 (SD 12.4)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	129		145.2 (SD 19)	136		141.5 (SD 18.8)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	129		84.8 (SD 10.6)	136		84.4 (SD 11.2)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:									
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous	129	60	(46.5%)	136	65	(47.8%)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	129		8.98 (SD 1.2)	136		9.03 (SD 1.32)		
PP Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	75		8.94 (SD 1.09)	55		8.64 (SD 1.06)		
Pre-study diet alone (i.e. drug naive) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	69		8.99 (SD 1.16)	70		8.85 (SD 1.22)		
Pre-study oral antidiabetics (i.e. not drug naive) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	60		8.98 (SD 1.26)	65		9.23 (SD 1.4)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

### Results

		1	Piog	litazone		Acarbose			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Mean change	129		-1.18	136		-0.5		

ITT								
Blood glucose:	Mean							
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	change	129			136			<0.001
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	129		7.82 (SD 1.95)	136		8.55 (SD 1.96)	
HbA1c <= 6.5% – 26wk	Dichotomous	129	40	(31.0%)	136	18b	(13.2%)	<0.001
Hba1c <=7%, Hba1c decrease >=0.6% or decrease FPG >=30mg/dl – 26wkb	Dichotomous	129	104	,	136		(57.4%)	<0.001
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	129		-3.130755 (SD 4.08)	136		-1.25097 (SD 3.66)	<0.001
Body weight:	Mean	120		1.23 (SD	126		-2.09 (SD	-0.001
Weight (kg) – 26wk	change	129		5.42)	136		3.58)	<0.001
Adverse events:  Any serious adverse event(s) – 26wk	Dichotomous	129	0	(0.0%)	136	0	(0.0%)	
Study drug-related adverse event – 26wk	Dichotomous	129	13	(10.1%)	136	54c	(39.7%)	
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	129	19	(14.7%)	136	39	(28.7%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	129	1	(0.8%)	136	5	(3.7%)	
Blood pressure:				, ,			·	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wk	Mean change	129		-5.6 (SD 17.7)	136		0.4 (SD 18.4)	<0.001
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wk	Mean change	129		-3 (SD 11.3)	136		-1.2 (SD 11.4)	0.078
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	129		- 0.0858552 (SD 0.828)	136		- 0.0235326 (SD 0.703)	0.403
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	129		0.201708 (SD 0.264)	136		-0.020688 (SD 0.623)	<0.001
Zowi	Mean	120		-0.802719	100		-0.430149	40.001
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	change	129		(SD 2.08)	136		(SD 1.93)	0.001
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean	129		0.01293 (SD 0.794)	136		0.1179216 (SD 0.714)	0.124
PP	onango	120		0.754)	100		0.7 14)	0.124
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	75		6.93 (SD 1.16)	55		7.43 (SD 1.37)	
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Mean change	75		-2.3	55		-1.21	<0.001
Pre-study diet alone (i.e. drug naive)								
Blood glucose:				7.27 (SD			7.94 (SD	
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	69		1.75)	70		1.85)	
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Mean change	69		-1.72	70		-0.98	<0.001
Pre-study oral antidiabetics (i.e. not drug naive)								
Blood glucose:	Mean							
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	change	60		-0.52	65		-0.02	0.009
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	60		8.46 (SD 1.99)	65		9.21 (SD 1.88)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph; SE not reported

Differences between the two treatment groups were determined using Wilcoxon rank sum tests for parallel groups (one-sided). Between group comparison p-values were not reported for adverse events

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) <sup>c</sup> mainly abdominal distension/flatulence

# Table 44: Goke et al. (2008)

while weight loss was observed with metformin. However, metformin was associated with significantly worse gastrointestinal tolerability  Source of funding: see Schweizer (2007)  Comments: -  Total number of patients: 463 Inclusion criteria: Patients who completed the core phase (see Schweizer 2007) and agreed to participate in the extension  Exclusion criteria: see Schweizer (2007)  Previous glucose- glucosering therapy  Lifestyle advice  See Schweizer (2007)  Total follow-up (wks): 104 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 104 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients attended four additional visits at weeks 64, 76, 88 and 104  Arms  (1) Vildagliptin  N: 305 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (0): 0 Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (0rai) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/gl): 100 Frequency of dosing: variable Details of dosing regimen: dose was given as equally divided doses. Picglitazone was added to the bilinded study drug from the first visit of the extension as rescue medication for patients with confirmed FPGs-10 mmol/l according to the investigators clinical judegement and prescribing guidelines.  (2) Metformin  N: 158 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (0): 0 Treatment (g): 0 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (0): 0 Treatment of the bilinded study drug from the first visit of the extension as rescue medication for patients with confirmed FPGs-10 mmol/l according to the investigators clinical judegement and prescribing guidelines.  (2) Metformin  N: 158 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (0): 0 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (0): 0 Treatment of the bilinded study drug from the first visit of the extension as rescue medication for patients with confirmed FPGs-10 mmol/l according to the investigators clinical judegement and prescribing guidelines.  (2) Metformin  N: 158 Treatment of the bilinded study drug from the first visit of the extension and the didea of the patients of dosing regimen. dose was given as equally divided d	Table 44: Go	oke et al. (2008)
characteristics of patients  In Inclusion criteria: Patients who completed the core phase (see Schweizer 2007) and agreed to participate in the extension Exclusion criteria: see Schweizer (2007) Pre-randomisation phase: see Schweizer (2007)  Previous glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening plucose-lowering therapy  Lifestyle advice  see Schweizer (2007)  Total follow-up (wks): 104 Length of titration period (wks): 104 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients attended four additional visits at weeks 64, 76, 88 and 104  Arms  (1) Vildagliptin N: 305 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d): 100 Frequency of dosing: variable Details of dosing regimen: dose was given as equally divided doses. Ploglitazone was added to the blinded study drug from the first visit of the extension as rescue medication for patients with confirmed FPG>10 mmol/i according to the investigators clinical judegement and prescribing guidelines.  (2) Metformin N: 158 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):2000 Frequency of dosing: variable Details of dosing regimen: dose was given as equally divided doses. Rescue therapy as vildagliptin group.  Outcomes  General The extension ITT comprised all patients who received at least one dose of extension trial medication and had at least one Hba1c measurement during an extension visit. The primray efficacy variable was obtained from ANCOVA with treatment and pooled centre as classification variables and baseline Hba1c as covariate The endpoint was the last available post week 52 assessment obtained before or at the start of rescue medication or up to the last scheduled visit for those not on rescue medication. The extension stady population consisted of all patients who received at least one dose of extension study drug and had at least one post-week 52 assessment obtained before or at the start of rescue medication. The ext	General	☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: see Schweizer (2007)  Authors' conclusions: Both vildagliptin and metformin monotherapy provided clinically meaningful decreases in Hba1c over 2 years in drug naïve patients with type 2 diabetes. Vildagliptin was weight neutral, while weight loss was observed with metformin. However, metformin was associated with significantly worse gastrointestinal tolerability  Source of funding: see Schweizer (2007)
Lifestyle advice  Follow-up  Lifestyle advice  Follow-up  Total follow-up (wks): 104 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 104 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients attended four additional visits at weeks 64, 76, 88 and 104  Arms  (1) Vildagliptin N: 305 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100 Frequency of dosing: variable Details of dosing regimen: dose was given as equally divided doses. Pioglitazone was added to the blinded study drug from the first visit of the extension as rescue medication for patients with confirmed PFOs-10 mmolf according to the investigators clinical judegement and prescribing guidelines.  (2) Metformin N: 158 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):2000 Frequency of dosing: variable Details of dosing regimen: dose was given as equally divided doses. Rescue therapy as vildagliptin group.  Outcomes  General The extension ITT comprised all patients who received at least one dose of extension trial medication and had at least one Hba1c measurement during an extension visit. The primray efficacy variable was obtained from ANCOVA with treatment and pooled centre as classification variables and baseline Hba1c as covariate The endpoint was the last available post week 52 assessment obtained before or at the start of rescue medication or up to the last scheduled visit for those not on rescue medication. The extension safety population consisted of all patients who received at leat one dose of extension study drug and had at least one post-week 52 assessment. Events that coccurred after the start of rescue medication were not	characteristics	Inclusion criteria: Patients who completed the core phase (see Schweizer 2007) and agreed to participate in the extension  Exclusion criteria: see Schweizer (2007)
Total follow-up (wks): 104 Length of titration period (wks): 104 Frequency of maintenance period (wks): 104 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients attended four additional visits at weeks 64, 76, 88 and 104  Arms  (1) Vildagliptin N: 305 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100 Frequency of dosing: variable Details of dosing regimen: dose was given as equally divided doses. Pioglitazone was added to the blinded study drug from the first visit of the extension as rescue medication for patients with confirmed FPG-310 mmol/l according to the investigators clinical judegement and prescribing guidelines.  (2) Metformin N: 158 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):2000 Frequency of dosing: variable Details of dosing regimen: dose was given as equally divided doses. Rescue therapy as vildagliptin group.  Outcomes  General The extension ITT comprised all patients who received at least one dose of extension trial medication and had at least one Hba1c measurement during an extension visit. The primray efficacy variable was obtained from ANCOVA with treatment and pooled centre as classification variables and baseline Hba1c as covariate The endpoint was the last available post week 52 assessment obtained before or at the start of rescue medication or up to the last scheduled visit for those not on rescue medication. The extension safety population consisted of all patients who received at leat one dose of extension study drug and had at least one post-week 52 safety assessment. Events that occurred after the start of rescue medication were not	glucose- lowering	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: N/A
Length of titration period (wks): 104 Frequency of maintenance period (wks): 104 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients attended four additional visits at weeks 64, 76, 88 and 104  Arms  (1) Vildagliptin N: 305 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100 Frequency of dosing; variable Details of dosing regimen: dose was given as equally divided doses. Pioglitazone was added to the blinded study drug from the first visit of the extension as rescue medication for patients with confirmed FPG-10 mmol/l according to the investigators clinical judegement and prescribing guidelines.  (2) Metformin N: 158 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):2000 Frequency of dosing; variable Details of dosing regimen: dose was given as equally divided doses. Rescue therapy as vildagliptin group.  Outcomes  General The extension ITT comprised all patients who received at least one dose of extension trial medication and had at least one Hba1c measurement during an extension visit. The primray efficacy variable was obtained from ANCOVA with treatment and pooled centre as classification variables and baseline Hba1c as covariate The endpoint was the last available post week 52 assessment obtained before or at the start of rescue medication or up to the last scheduled visit for those not on rescue medication. The extension safety population consisted of all patients who received at leat on edose of extension study drug and had at least one post-week 52 safety assessment. Events that occurred after the start of rescue medication were not ones that of rescue medication were not	Lifestyle advice	see Schweizer (2007)
N: 305  Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100 Frequency of dosing: variable Details of dosing regimen: dose was given as equally divided doses. Pioglitazone was added to the blinded study drug from the first visit of the extension as rescue medication for patients with confirmed FPG>10 mmol/l according to the investigators clinical judegement and prescribing guidelines.  (2) Metformin N: 158 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):2000 Frequency of dosing: variable Details of dosing regimen: dose was given as equally divided doses. Rescue therapy as vildagliptin group.  Outcomes  General The extension ITT comprised all patients who received at least one dose of extension trial medication and had at least one Hba1c measurement during an extension visit. The primray efficacy variable was obtained from ANCOVA with treatment and pooled centre as classification variables and baseline Hba1c as covariate The endpoint was the last available post week 52 assessment obtained before or at the start of rescue medication or up to the last scheduled visit for those not on rescue medication. The extension safety population consisted of all patients who received at leat one dose of extension study drug and had at least one post-week 52 safety assessment. Events that occurred after the start of rescue medication were not	Follow-up	Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 104 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients attended four additional visits at weeks 64, 76, 88 and
The extension ITT comprised all patients who received at least one dose of extension trial medication and had at least one Hba1c measurement during an extension visit. The primray efficacy variable was obtained from ANCOVA with treatment and pooled centre as classification variables and baseline Hba1c as covariate The endpoint was the last available post week 52 assessment obtained before or at the start of rescue medication or up to the last scheduled visit for those not on rescue medication. The extension safety population consisted of all patients who received at leat one dose of extension study drug and had at least one post-week 52 safety assessment. Events that occurred after the start of rescue medication were not	Arms	N: 305 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100 Frequency of dosing: variable Details of dosing regimen: dose was given as equally divided doses. Pioglitazone was added to the blinded study drug from the first visit of the extension as rescue medication for patients with confirmed FPG>10 mmol/l according to the investigators clinical judegement and prescribing guidelines.  (2) Metformin N: 158 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):2000 Frequency of dosing: variable Details of dosing regimen: dose was given as equally divided doses. Rescue therapy as
	Outcomes	The extension ITT comprised all patients who received at least one dose of extension trial medication and had at least one Hba1c measurement during an extension visit. The primray efficacy variable was obtained from ANCOVA with treatment and pooled centre as classification variables and baseline Hba1c as covariate. The endpoint was the last available post week 52 assessment obtained before or at the start of rescue medication or up to the last scheduled visit for those not on rescue medication. The extension safety population consisted of all patients who received at leat one dose of extension study drug and had at least one post-week 52 safety assessment. Events that occurred after the start of rescue medication were not

Baseline characteristics	
Results	
	Assumed mean change values report SE rather than SD although paper reports SD

# Table 45: Goldstein et al. (2007)

General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Includes Australia, South America, Europe, South Africa, USA  Authors' conclusions: The initial combination of sitagliptin and metformin provided substantial and additive glycemic improvement and was generally well tolerated in patients with type 2 diabetes.  Source of funding: Funded by Merck and company  Comments: This was a multinational, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 1091 Inclusion criteria: Patients with type 2 diabetes, 18–78 years of age, who were either on or not on an OHA at the screening visit were eligible to participate.  Exclusion criteria: Those with type 1 diabetes, unstable cardiac disease, significant renal impairment), or elevated (more than twofold the upper limit of normal) alanine aminotransferase or aspartate aminotransferase were excluded.  Patients who met nonglycemic eligibility criteria but who had an A1C >11% or a fasting glucose value >280 mg/dl after the run-in period were not eligible for randomisation.  Pre-randomisation phase: At screening, patients with an A1C of 7.5–11% and not on an OHA for >=8 weeks were eligible to directly enter a 2-week, single-blind, placebo run-in period. Patients with A1C >11% and not on an OHA entered a diet and exercise run-in period of up to 6 weeks; and patients on an OHA with an A1C of 7–10.5% had the agent(s) discontinued and entered a wash-off period of 6–10 weeks (8–12 weeks for those on thiazolidinediones). After the wash-off/run-in period, patients with an A1C of 7.5–11% entered a 2-
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	week, single-blind, placebo run-in period. All patients with adequate compliance (>=75% as assessed by tablet counts) during the placebo run-in period had baseline assessments and were randomised  Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Inclusion criterion that patients not be on AHA at least 8 weeks prior to enrollment  Variable placebo run in period depending on previous AHA: ranged from 2 to 14 weeks (thiazolidinediones)
Lifestyle advice	Patients received counseling on diet and exercise consistent with American Diabetes Association recommendations throughout the study
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 38 Length of titration period (wks): 4 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Includes up to 14 week wash out/placebo run in period and 24 week treatment
Arms	(1) Sitagliptin (100mg qd) N: 179 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 98 Comments: Max of 14 week washout/placebo run in period (for thiazolidinedione) Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: Doses of study medication were administered before the morning and evening meals. Patients randomised to the sitagliptin 100 mg q.d. treatment group were administered two 50-mg tablets once daily before the morning meal. During the active treatment period, patients not meeting progressively stricter glycemic goals were

provided glycemic rescue therapy (glibenclamide) until study completion. The glycemic rescue criteria were fasting plasma glucose (FPG) >270 mg/dl between randomisation (day 1) and week 6, FPG >240 mg/dl after weeks 6–12, and FPG >200 mg/dl after weeks 12–24. Study investigators were responsible for titration of the sulfonylurea rescue medication

#### (2) Metformin (500 mg bid)

N: 182

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 98

Comments: Max of 14 week washout/placebo run in period (for thiazolidinedione)

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):1000

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: 500 mg bid. To reduce gastrointestinal intolerance associated

with metformin, a brief period of uptitration was implemented. For patients

randomised to receive metformin monotherapy (500 or 1,000 mg b.i.d.), therapy was started at metformin 500 mg q.d. and increased in a blinded manner by increments of 500

mg per week to achieve a stable dose of either metformin 500 or 1,000 mg b.i.d.

During the active treatment period, patients not meeting progressively stricter glycemic goals were provided glycemic rescue therapy (glibenclamide) until study completion. The glycemic rescue criteria were fasting plasma glucose (FPG) >270 mg/dl between randomisation (day 1) and week 6, FPG >240 mg/dl after weeks 6–12, and FPG >200 mg/dl after weeks 12–24. Study investigators were responsible for titration of the

sulfonylurea rescue medication

#### (3) Metformin (1000 mg bid)

N: 182

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 98

Comments: Max of 14 week washout/placebo run in period (for thiazolidinedione)

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):2000

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: 1000 mg given bid. To reduce gastrointestinal intolerance associated with metformin, a brief period of uptitration was implemented. For patients randomised to receive metformin monotherapy (500 or 1,000 mg b.i.d.), therapy was started at metformin 500 mg q.d. and increased in a blinded manner by increments of 500 mg per week to achieve a stable dose of either metformin 500 or 1,000 mg b.i.d.

During the active treatment period, patients not meeting progressively stricter glycemic goals were provided glycemic rescue therapy (glibenclamide) until study completion. The glycemic rescue criteria were fasting plasma glucose (FPG) >270 mg/dl between randomisation (day 1) and week 6, FPG >240 mg/dl after weeks 6–12, and FPG >200 mg/dl after weeks 12–24. Study investigators were responsible for titration of the

sulfonylurea rescue medication

### (4) Placebo

N: 176

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 98

Comments: Max of 14 week washout/placebo run in period (for thiazolidinedione)

Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: During the active treatment period, patients not meeting progressively stricter glycemic goals were provided glycemic rescue therapy

(glibenclamide) until study completion. The glycemic rescue criteria were fasting plasma glucose (FPG) >270 mg/dl between randomisation (day 1) and week 6, FPG >240 mg/dl after weeks 6–12, and FPG >200 mg/dl after weeks 12–24. Study investigators were

responsible for titration of the sulfonylurea rescue medication

### **Outcomes**

### General

Data was not extracted from the open label cohort. Outcomes not extracted include fasting proinsulin, measures of insulin resistance, insulin, c-peptide, insulin AUC/glucose AUC ratios. Data not extracted from 2 trial arms (combination groups as they are dose comparisons which are outside the scope of this review). 49/176 (28%) in placebo group, 37/179 (21%) in sitagliptin 100 mg, 29/182 (16%) in metformin 500 mg bid, 26/182 (14%) metformin 1000 mg bid discontinued the study

Efficacy analyses were based on the allpatients- treated (APT) population, consisting of all randomised patients who received at least one dose of study treatment and who had both a baseline and at least one postbaseline measurement. Safety and tolerability were assessed

in patients who received at least one dose of study medication by review of safety parameters. Missing data were handled using the last-observation-carriedforward method. To avoid the confounding influence of glycemic rescue therapy on efficacy comparisons, efficacy data collected after initiation of rescue therapy were treated as missing. In addition, data on body weight change, hypoglycaemia incidence and GI adverse events excluded data obtained after rescue therapy initiation.

57/176 in placebo, 38/179 in sitagliptin 100mg, 31/182 in metformin 500 mg bid and 21/182 in metformin

1000 mg bid required rescue medication

Following the initial 24 week study, participants not on rescue medication continued on a 30 week extension study (data at 54 weeks) and subsequently a 50 week extension study (data at 104 weeks). Participants in the placebo group were switched to metformin in the extension study at 24 weeks, therefore data were not extracted for this group at subsequent time points.

# Baseline characteristics

		Sitagliptin (100mg qd)			Me	min (500 ı bid)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	179		53.3 (SD 10.2)	182		53.4 (SD 10.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	179	93	(52.0%)	182	89	(48.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	179		4.4 (SD 4.6)	182		4.5 (SD 3.9)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	179	93	(52.0%)	182	87	(47.8%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	179	11	(6.1%)	182	12	(6.6%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	179	6	(3.4%)	182	14	(7.7%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	179	52	(29.1%)	182	55	(30.2%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	179	17	(9.5%)	182	14	(7.7%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	179		8.9 (SD 1)	182		8.9 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	179		11.1888 (SD 2.74)	182		11.37195 (SD 2.8)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	179		31.2 (SD 5.9)	182		32.1 (SD 6.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	179		88.05888 (SD 16.7)	182		90.59904 (SD 19.2)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	179	91	(50.8%)	182	91	(50.0%)		
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous	179	88	(49.2%)	182	91	(50.0%)		
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams- Herman et al. 2010) - Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Demographics:				54.1 (SD			55.9 (SD		
Age (years)	Continuous	52		9.1)	65		8.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	52	30	(57.7%)	65	30	(46.2%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	52		3.7 (SD 4.9)	65		4 (SD 3.9)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	52		8.5 (SD 0.9)	65		8.6 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	52		9.89565 (SD 2.05)	65		10.0122 (SD 2.26)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	52		30.3 (SD 5.5)	65		32.2 (SD 6.9)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	52		85.51872 (SD 15.5)	65		90.88128 (SD 19.5)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	47		4.923744 (SD 1.06)	59		4.962534 (SD 1.19)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	45		1.070604 (SD 0.197)	59		1.101636 (SD 0.222)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	47		med: 1.71608 (SD 0.546)	59		med: 2.13381 (SD 1.07)		

LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	45		2.968728 (SD 0.869)	59		2.774778 (SD 0.773)
1-year follow up APT (reported in Ref 869)							
Demographics:				53.5 (SD			53.7 (SD
Age (years)	Continuous	106		9.1)	122		9.9)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	106	55	(51.9%)	122	58	(47.5%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	106		3.9 (SD 4.6)	122		4.1 (SD 3.8)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 6wk	Continuous	106		8.7 (SD 1)	122		8.7 (SD 1)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 3wk	Continuous	106		183 (SD 39)	122		189 (SD 41)
Body weight:							32 (SD
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	106		31 (SD 6)	122		7)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	106		87.4944 (SD 16.9)	122		90.3168 (SD 19.8)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

				gliptin mg qd)	Ме		nin (1000 bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	179		53.3 (SD 10.2)	182		53.2 (SD 9.6)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	179	93	(52.0%)	182	82	(45.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	179		4.4 (SD 4.6)	182		4.4 (SD 4.4)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	179	93	(52.0%)	182	106	(58.2%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	179	11	(6.1%)	182	9	(4.9%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	179	6	(3.4%)	182	10	(5.5%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	179	52	(29.1%)	182	39	(21.4%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	179	17	(9.5%)	182	18	(9.9%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	179		8.9 (SD 1)	182		8.7 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	179		11.1888 (SD 2.74)	182		10.989 (SD 2.63)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	179		31.2 (SD 5.9)	182		32.2 (SD 7.1)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	179		88.05888 (SD 16.7)	182		90.88128 (SD 20)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	179	91	(50.8%)	182	90	(49.5%)		
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous	179	88	(49.2%)	182	92	(50.5%)		
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams- Herman et al. 2010) - Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	52		54.1 (SD 9.1)	88		54.3 (SD 9.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	52	30	(57.7%)	88	39	(44.3%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	52		3.7 (SD 4.9)	88		3.9 (SD 4)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	52		8.5 (SD 0.9)	88		8.5 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	52		9.89565 (SD 2.05)	88		10.30635 (SD 2.5)		

Body weight:				30.3 (SD			31.9 (SD
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	52		5.5)	88		7.1)
				85.51872			90.03456
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	52		(SD 15.5)	88		(SD 20)
Lipids:				4.923744			4.877196 (SD
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	47		(SD 1.06)	83		1.09)
				1.070604			1.158528
				(SD			(SD
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	45		0.197)	83		0.287)
				med:			
				1.71608 (SD			med: 1.70479
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	47		0.546)	83		(SD 1.2)
				2.968728			2.743746
LDI abalastaval (mmalli) Oudi	Cantinuaua	45		(SD	00		(SD
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	45		0.869)	82		0.918)
1-year follow up APT (reported in Ref 869)							
Demographics:				53.5 (SD			54.2 (SD
Age (years)	Continuous	106		9.1)	137		9.5)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	106	55	(51.9%)	137	62	(45.3%)
				3.9 (SD			4.1 (SD
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	106		4.6)	137		4)
Blood glucose:				8.7 (SD			8.5 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 6wk	Continuous	106		1)	137		0.8)
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		400		183 (SD	407		188 (SD
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 3wk	Continuous	106		39)	137		43)
Body weight:	Continuous	100		04 (CD 0)	407		32 (SD
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	106		31 (SD 6)	137		7)
				87.4944			90.3168 (SD
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	106		(SD 16.9)	137		19.8)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Sita	glip	tin (100mg qd)		F	Placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	179		53.3 (SD 10.2)	176		53.6 (SD 10)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	179	93	(52.0%)	176	93	(52.8%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	179		4.4 (SD 4.6)	176		4.6 (SD 4.9)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	179	93	(52.0%)	176	81	(46.0%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	179	11	(6.1%)	176	17	(9.7%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	179	6	(3.4%)	176	12	(6.8%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	179	52	(29.1%)	176	47	(26.7%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	179	17	(9.5%)	176	19	(10.8%)		
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	179		8.9 (SD 1)	176		8.7 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	179		11.1888 (SD 2.74)	176		10.9335 (SD 2.61)		
Body weight:									
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	179		31.2 (SD 5.9)	176		32.5 (SD 6.7)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	179		88.05888 (SD 16.7)	176		91.728 (SD 18.9)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:									
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	179	91	(50.8%)	176	88	(50.0%)		
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous	179	88	(49.2%)	176	88	(50.0%)		
<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mea	an height of 1.6	8m							

		Ме		min (500 ı bid)	Ме		nin (1000 bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	182		53.4 (SD 10.2)	182		53.2 (SD 9.6)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	182	89	(48.9%)	182	82	(45.1%)		
	0 4	400		4.5 (SD	400		4.4 (SD		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)  Ethnicity-White	Continuous	182 182	07	3.9)	182	106	(59.29/)		
Ethnicity-Writte  Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous Dichotomous	182	12	,	182		(58.2%) (4.9%)		
Ethnicity-Black Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	182	14	(7.7%)	182		(5.5%)		
Ethnicity-Asian  Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	182		(30.2%)	182		(21.4%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous			(7.7%)	182		(9.9%)		
Blood glucose:	Dioriotornous	102		8.9 (SD	102	10	,		
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	182		1)	182		8.7 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	182		11.37195 (SD 2.8)	182		10.989 (SD 2.63)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	182		32.1 (SD 6.8)	182		32.2 (SD 7.1)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	182		90.59904 (SD 19.2)	182		90.88128 (SD 20)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:									
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	182	91	(50.0%)	182	90	(49.5%)		
Oral antidiabetic medication  2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) - Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Demographics:  Age (years)	Dichotomous	182	91	(50.0%) 55.9 (SD 8.9)	182	92	54.3 (SD 9.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous		30	,	88	39	(44.3%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	65		4 (SD 3.9)	88		3.9 (SD 4)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	65		8.6 (SD 0.9)	88		8.5 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	65		10.0122 (SD 2.26)	88		10.30635 (SD 2.5)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	65		32.2 (SD 6.9)	88		31.9 (SD 7.1)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	65		90.88128 (SD 19.5)	88		90.03456 (SD 20)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	59		4.962534 (SD 1.19)	83		4.877196 (SD 1.09)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	59		1.101636 (SD 0.222)	83		1.158528 (SD 0.287)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	59		med: 2.13381 (SD 1.07)	83		med: 1.70479 (SD 1.2)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	59		2.774778 (SD 0.773)	82		2.743746 (SD 0.918)		

1-year follow up APT (reported in Ref 869)							
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	122		53.7 (SD 9.9)	137		54.2 (SD 9.5)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	122	58	(47.5%)	137	62	(45.3%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	122		4.1 (SD 3.8)	137		4.1 (SD 4)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 6wk	Continuous	122		8.7 (SD 1)	137		8.5 (SD 0.8)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 3wk	Continuous	122		189 (SD 41)	137		188 (SD 43)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	122		32 (SD 7)	137		32 (SD 7)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	122		90.3168 (SD 19.8)	137		90.3168 (SD 19.8)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Meti	orm	in (500 mg bid)		F	Placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	182		53.4 (SD 10.2)	176		53.6 (SD 10)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	182	89	(48.9%)	176	93	(52.8%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	182		4.5 (SD 3.9)	176		4.6 (SD 4.9)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	182	87	(47.8%)	176	81	(46.0%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	182	12	(6.6%)	176	17	(9.7%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	182	14	(7.7%)	176	12	(6.8%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	182	55	(30.2%)	176	47	(26.7%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	182	14	(7.7%)	176	19	(10.8%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	182		8.9 (SD 1)	176		8.7 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	182		11.37195 (SD 2.8)	176		10.9335 (SD 2.61)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	182		32.1 (SD 6.8)	176		32.5 (SD 6.7)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	182		90.59904 (SD 19.2)	176		91.728 (SD 18.9)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:									
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	182	91	(50.0%)	176	88	(50.0%)		
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous	182	91	(50.0%)	176	88	(50.0%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Metformin (1000 mg bid)							
		N	k mean		N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	182		53.2 (SD 9.6)	176		53.6 (SD 10)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	182	82	(45.1%)	176	93	(52.8%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	182		4.4 (SD 4.4)	176		4.6 (SD 4.9)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	182	106	(58.2%)	176	81	(46.0%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	182	9	(4.9%)	176	17	(9.7%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	182	10	(5.5%)	176	12	(6.8%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	182	39	(21.4%)	176	47	(26.7%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	182	18	(9.9%)	176	19	(10.8%)		

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	182		8.7 (SD 0.9)	176		8.7 (SD 1)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	182		10.989 (SD 2.63)	176		10.9335 (SD 2.61)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	182		32.2 (SD 7.1)	176		32.5 (SD 6.7)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	182		90.88128 (SD 20)	176		91.728 (SD 18.9)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	182	90	(49.5%)	176	88	(50.0%)
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous	-		(50.5%)	176	88	(50.0%)
2				, ,			, ,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Sit		tin (100mg qd)	Met		in (500 mg oid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	175		8.2 (SD 0.661)	178		8.07 (SD 0.667)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	175		8.18 (SD 1.45)	178		8.04 (SD 1.36)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Mean change	175		-0.66 (SD 1.16)	178		-0.82 (SD 1.1)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	175	35	(20.0%)	178	41	(23.0%)		
Hba1c <6.5% – 24wk	Dichotomous	175	18	(10.3%)	178	16	(9.0%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkc	Mean change	178		-1.11012 (SD 1.48)	179		-1.554168 (SD 1.49)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	178		10.1798004 (SD 3.04)	179		9.7579548 (SD 3.11)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	178		-0.97125 (SD 2.5)	179		-1.51515 (SD 2.56)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	136		-2.88045 (SD 3.43)	141		-2.9637 (SD 3.43)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	1	(0.6%)	182	1	(0.5%)		
Adverse events:									
GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	2	(1.1%)	182	5	(2.7%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	96	(53.6%)	182	101	(55.5%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	9	(5.0%)	182	4	(2.2%)		
Serious AE drug related – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	182	0	(0.0%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	12	(6.7%)	182	21	(11.5%)		
Death – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	182	0	(0.0%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	27	(15.1%)	182	29	(15.9%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	5	(2.8%)	182	9	(4.9%)		
GI: vomiting – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	182	0	(0.0%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	6	(3.4%)	182	5	(2.7%)		
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	37	(20.7%)	182	29	(15.9%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	8	(4.5%)	182	4	(2.2%)		
drop out due to drug related AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	182	2	(1.1%)		
drop out due to SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	4	(2.2%)	182	2	(1.1%)		
drop out due to drug related SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	182	0	(0.0%)		

dropout due to laboratory AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	2	(1.1%)	182	0	(0.0%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	3	(1.7%)	182	5	(2.7%)
Other medication: Taking rescue medication – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	38	(21.2%)	182	31	(17.0%)
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	114	(63.7%)	182	102	(56.0%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	37	(20.7%)	182		(15.9%)
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) - Safety population Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no							
patients) – 104wk Adverse events:	Dichotomous	179	2	(1.1%)	182	3	(1.6%)
GI: nausea – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	2	(1.1%)	182	6	(3.3%)
Any adverse event(s) – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	108	(60.3%)	182	117	(64.3%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	13	(7.3%)	182	7	(3.8%)
Serious AE drug related – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	182	0	(0.0%)
Study drug-related adverse event – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	17	(9.5%)	182	27	(14.8%)
Death – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	182	1	(0.5%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	37	(20.7%)	182	38	(20.9%)
GI: diarrhoea – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	8	(4.5%)	182	14	(7.7%)
GI: vomiting – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	1	(0.6%)	182	0	(0.0%)
GI: abdominal pain – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	9	(5.0%)	182	7	(3.8%)
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	14	(7.8%)	182	12	(6.6%)
drop out due to drug related AE –	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	182	2	(1.1%)
drop out due to SAE – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	-	(2.2%)	182		(2.7%)
drop out due to drug related SAE –				(=:= / - /			(=1174)
104wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	182	0	(0.0%)
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) - Baseline Hba1c <8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - 104wkc	Mean change	17		-0.46 (SD 0.618)	20		-0.41 (SD 0.447)
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) - baseline Hba1c >=8 to <9% Blood glucose:				-0.99 (SD			-1.05 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 104wkc	Mean change	19		0.872)	24		0.931)
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) - baseline Hba1c >=9%							
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 104wkc	Mean change	14		-2.2 (SD 0.673)	20		-1.84 (SD 0.805)
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) - Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop	J.						,
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Continuous	50		7.4 (SD 0.7)	64		7.5 (SD 0.7)
HbA1c (%) – 104wkb	Mean change	50		-1.2 (SD 0.722)	64		-1.1 (SD 0.816)

HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 104wk	Dichotomous	50	16	(32.0%)	64	18	(29.10/.)
	DIGIOGOTIOUS	50	10	,	04	10	(28.1%)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 104wk	Continuous	50		156 (SD 36.4)	64		141.3 (SD 30.3)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 104wkb	Mean change	50		-26.8 (SD 33.9)	64		-41.4 (SD 34.3)
Body weight:				0.5 (SD			-0.8 (SD
Weight (kg) – 104wkb	Mean change	50		4.33)	59		4.31)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	Percentage change from baseline	47		0.015516 (SD 0.457)	59		-0.031032 (SD 0.457)
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	47		4.93926 (SD 1.19)	59		4.804788 (SD 0.954)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	Percentage change from baseline	45		0.16809 (SD 0.478)	59		0.201708 (SD 0.476)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	45		1.143012 (SD 0.253)	59		1.18956 (SD 0.287)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 104wk	Percentage change from baseline	47	d	med: 0.012419	59	е	med: 0.029354
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	47		med: 1.74995 (SD 0.82)	59		med: 2.00962 (SD 1.13)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	Percentage change from baseline	45		-0.054306 (SD 0.805)	59		-0.124128 (SD 0.811)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	45		2.823912 (SD 0.954)	59		2.622204 (SD 0.809)
1-year follow up (reported in Ref 869) Dropouts:							
Total dropouts – 54wk	Dichotomous	179	57	(31.8%)	182	56	(30.8%)
Dropout due to AEs – 54wk	Dichotomous	179	12	(6.7%)	182	9	(4.9%)
1-year follow up APT (reported in Ref 869) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 54wk	Continuous	106		7.8 (SD 1.2)	117		7.7 (SD 0.9)
HbA1c (%) – 54wkb	Mean change	106		-0.8 (SD 1.05)	117		-1 (SD 1.1)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 54wkb	Mean change	106		-16 (SD 37.8)	117		-29 (SD 38.1)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 54wk	Continuous	106		171.2 (SD 44.2)	117		159.8 (SD 40.9)
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 54wk	Dichotomous	179	2	(1.1%)	182	2	(1.1%)
Adverse events: Gl: nausea – 54wk	Dichotomous	179	2	(1.1%)	182	6	(3.3%)

a estimated from graph; least square means, SD calculated from SE b SD calculated from reported 95% Cl c stimated from graph d 95% Cl -14.3 to 16.5 e 95% Cl -7.4 to 12.7

		Sit	aglip	otin (100mg qd)	Metformin (1000 mg bid)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	175		8.2 (SD 0.661)	177		7.85 (SD 0.665)		

HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Mean change	175		-0.66 (SD 1.16)	177		-1.13 (SD 1.1)
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	175		8.18 (SD 1.45)	177		7.58 (SD 1.27)
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	175	35	(20.0%)	177	68	(38.4%)
Hba1c <6.5% - 24wk	Dichotomous	175	18	(10.3%)	177	36	(20.3%)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkc	Mean change	178		-1.11012 (SD 1.48)	179		1.720686 (SD 1.49)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	178		10.1798004 (SD 3.04)	179		9.380514 (SD 3.6)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	178		-0.97125 (SD 2.5)	179		-1.62615 (SD 2.52)
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	136		-2.88045 (SD 3.43)	138		-4.329 (SD 3.43)
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	1	(0.6%)	182	2	(1.1%)
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	2	(1.1%)	182	15	(8.2%)
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	96	(53.6%)	182	113	(62.1%)
Any serious adverse event(s) –	Diahatom	470	0	(F 00()	400	_	(4.40()
24wk	Dichotomous	179	9	(5.0%)	182		(1.1%)
Serious AE drug related – 24wk Study drug-related adverse event –	Dichotomous	179		(0.0%)	182		(0.0%)
24wk	Dichotomous	179	12	(6.7%)	182		(16.5%)
Death – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	182	0	(0.0%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	27	(15.1%)	182	46	(25.3%)
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	5	(2.8%)	182	19	(10.4%)
GI: vomiting – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	182	2	(1.1%)
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	6	(3.4%)	182	9	(4.9%)
Dropouts:							
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	179		(20.7%)	182		(14.3%)
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	8	(4.5%)	182	5	(2.7%)
drop out due to drug related AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	182	5	(2.7%)
drop out due to SAE - 24wk	Dichotomous	179	4	(2.2%)	182	0	(0.0%)
drop out due to drug related SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	182	0	(0.0%)
dropout due to laboratory AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	2	(1.1%)	182	0	(0.0%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	3	(1.7%)	182	3	(1.6%)
Other medication: Taking rescue medication – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	38	(21.2%)	182	21	(11.5%)
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous		114		182		(47.8%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	37	(20.7%)	182	20	(11.0%)
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) - Safety population Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no				(4.46)			(0.001)
patients) – 104wk Adverse events:	Dichotomous	179	2	(1.1%)	182	4	(2.2%)
GI: nausea – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	2	(1.1%)	182	19	(10.4%)

Any adverse event(s) – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	108	(60.3%)	182	135	(74.2%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	13	(7.3%)	182	9	(4.9%)
Serious AE drug related – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	182	0	(0.0%)
Study drug-related adverse event – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	17	(9.5%)	182	35	(19.2%)
Death – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	182		(0.0%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) –				()			(* * * * * )
104wk	Dichotomous	179	37	(20.7%)	182	60	(33.0%)
GI: diarrhoea – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	8	(4.5%)	182	23	(12.6%)
GI: vomiting – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	1	(0.6%)	182		(4.4%)
GI: abdominal pain – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	9	(5.0%)	182	12	(6.6%)
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	14	(7.8%)	182	13	(7.1%)
drop out due to drug related AE – 104wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	182	5	(2.7%)
drop out due to SAE – 104wk	Dichotomous	179		(2.2%)	182		(0.5%)
drop out due to drug related SAE –		Ť		/			, ,
104wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	182	0	(0.0%)
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) - Baseline Hba1c <8%							
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 104wkc	Mean change	17		-0.46 (SD 0.618)	27		-0.8 (SD 0.52)
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) - baseline Hba1c >=8 to <9% Blood glucose:	Sango						-1.05
HbA1c (%) – 104wkc	Mean change	19		-0.99 (SD 0.872)	34		(SD 1.11)
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) - baseline Hba1c >=9%							-2.02
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 104wkc	Mean change	14		-2.2 (SD 0.673)	26		(SD 0.918)
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) - Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose:				-1.2 (SD			-1.3 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 104wkb	Mean change	50		0.722)	87		0.952)
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Continuous	50		7.4 (SD 0.7)	87		7.2 (SD 0.9)
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 104wk	Dichotomous	50	16	(32.0%)	87	39d	(44.8%)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 104wkb	Mean change	50		-26.8 (SD 33.9)	87		-43.2 (SD 33.8)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 104wk	Continuous	50		156 (SD 36.4)	87		140.4 (SD 40)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	Percentage change from baseline	47		0.015516 (SD 0.457)	83		0.015516 (SD 0.446)
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	47		4.93926 (SD 1.19)	83		4.86168 (SD 1.19)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	Percentage change from baseline	45		0.16809 (SD 0.478)	83		0.243084 (SD 0.469)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	45		1.143012 (SD 0.253)	83		1.249038 (SD 0.321)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 104wk	Percentage change from baseline	47	е	med: 0.012419	83	f	med: - 0.029354

Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	47		med: 1.74995 (SD 0.82)	83		med: 1.90801 (SD 1.3)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	Percentage change from baseline	45		-0.054306 (SD 0.805)	82		0.02586 (SD 0.812)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	45		2.823912 (SD 0.954)	82		2.645478 (SD 0.962)
1-year follow up (reported in Ref 869) Dropouts:	Dichotomous	170	E-7	(24.90/)	100	46	(25.29())
Total dropouts – 54wk		179		(31.8%)	182		(25.3%)
Dropout due to AEs – 54wk	Dichotomous	179	12	(6.7%)	182	11	(6.0%)
1-year follow up APT (reported in Ref 869)							
Blood glucose:				7.8 (SD			7.3 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 54wk	Continuous	106		1.2)	134		1) `
HbA1c (%) – 54wkb	Mean change	106		-0.8 (SD 1.05)	134		-1.3 (SD 1.18)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 54wkb	Mean change	106		-16 (SD 37.8)	134		-39.6 (SD 37.8)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 54wk	Continuous	106		171.2 (SD 44.2)	134		148.9 (SD 40.5)
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 54wk	Dichotomous	179	2	(1.1%)	182	2	(1.1%)
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 54wk	Dichotomous	179	2	(1.1%)	182	18	(9.9%)

		Sita		otin (100mg qd)		PI	acebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	175		8.2 (SD 0.661)	165		8.95 (SD 0.771)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	175		8.18 (SD 1.45)	165		8.88 (SD 1.47)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Mean change	175		-0.66 (SD 1.16)	165		0.17 (SD 1.15)	MD=- 0.830 (CI: -1.060, - 0.600)	<=0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	175	35	(20.0%)	165	15	(9.1%)		<0.001
Hba1c <6.5% – 24wk	Dichotomous	175	18	(10.3%)	165	4	(2.4%)		<=0.005
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	178		10.1798004 (SD 3.04)	169		11.3010216 (SD 3.39)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkc	Mean change	178		-1.11012 (SD 1.48)	169		0.333036 (SD 1.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	178		-0.97125 (SD 2.5)	169		0.3219 (SD 2.55)	MD=- 1.293 (CI: -1.820, - 0.766)	<=0.001

a estimated from graph; least square means, SD calculated from SE D Calculated from reported 95% CI c estimated from graph approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) 95% CI -14.3 to 16.5 55% CI -13.7 to 8.4

								145	
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	136		-2.88045 (SD 3.43)	129		0.01665 (SD 3.44)	MD=- 2.897 (CI: -3.724, - 2.070)	<=0.001
Hypoglycaemic events:									
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	1	(0.6%)	176	1	(0.6%)		
Adverse events:									
GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	2	(1.1%)	176	2	(1.1%)		
Any adverse event(s)  – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	96	(53.6%)	176	89	(50.6%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	9	(5.0%)	176	10	(5.7%)		
Serious AE drug related – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	176	1	(0.6%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	12	(6.7%)	176	17	(9.7%)		
Death – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	176	1	(0.6%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	27	(15.1%)	176	19	(10.8%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	5	(2.8%)	176	7	(4.0%)		
GI: vomiting – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	176	1	(0.6%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	6	(3.4%)	176	4	(2.3%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	37	(20.7%)	176	49	(27.8%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	8	(4.5%)	176	9	(5.1%)		
drop out due to drug related AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	176	2	(1.1%)		
drop out due to SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	4	(2.2%)	176	5	(2.8%)		
drop out due to drug related SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	0	(0.0%)	176	1	(0.6%)		
dropout due to laboratory AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	2	(1.1%)	176	2	(1.1%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	3	(1.7%)	176	12	(6.8%)		
Other medication: Taking rescue medication – 24wk	Dichotomous	179	38	(21.2%)	176	57	(32.4%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph; least square means, SD calculated from SE <sup>b</sup> SD calculated from reported 95% CI <sup>c</sup> estimated from graph

		Metformin (500 mg bid)			Metformin (1000 mg bid)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	178		8.07 (SD 0.667)	177		7.85 (SD 0.665)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Mean change	178		-0.82 (SD 1.1)	177		-1.13 (SD 1.1)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	178		8.04 (SD 1.36)	177		7.58 (SD 1.27)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	178	41	(23.0%)	177	68	(38.4%)		
Hba1c <6.5% - 24wk	Dichotomous	178	16	(9.0%)	177	36	(20.3%)		

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkc	Mean change	179		-1.554168 (SD 1.49)	179		1.720686 (SD 1.49)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	179		9.7579548 (SD 3.11)	179		9.380514 (SD 3.6)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	179		-1.51515 (SD 2.56)	179		-1.62615 (SD 2.52)
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	141		-2.9637 (SD 3.43)	138		-4.329 (SD 3.43)
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	1	(0.5%)	182	2	(1.1%)
Adverse events:							
GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	5	(2.7%)	182	15	(8.2%)
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	101	(55.5%)	182	113	(62.1%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	4	(2.2%)	182	2	(1.1%)
Serious AE drug related – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	182	0	(0.0%)
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	21	(11.5%)	182	30	(16.5%)
Death – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	182	0	(0.0%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	29	(15.9%)	182	46	(25.3%)
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	9	(4.9%)	182	19	(10.4%)
GI: vomiting – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	182	2	(1.1%)
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	5	(2.7%)	182		(4.9%)
Dropouts:	Dichotomous	182			182		
Total dropouts – 24wk  Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	182		(15.9%)	182		(14.3%)
•	Dicholomous	102	4	(2.2%)	102	5	(2.7%)
drop out due to drug related AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	2	(1.1%)	182	5	(2.7%)
drop out due to SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	2	(1.1%)	182	0	(0.0%)
drop out due to drug related SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	182	0	(0.0%)
dropout due to laboratory AE - 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	182	0	(0.0%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	5	(2.7%)	182	3	(1.6%)
Other medication:							
Taking rescue medication – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	31	(17.0%)	182	21	(11.5%)
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) Dropouts:							
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	102	(56.0%)	182	87	(47.8%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 104wk	Dichotomous	182	29	(15.9%)	182	20	(11.0%)
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) - Safety population							
Hypoglycaemic events:							
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 104wk	Dichotomous	182	3	(1.6%)	182	4	(2.2%)
Adverse events:				(0.0-::			
GI: nausea – 104wk	Dichotomous	182		(3.3%)	182		(10.4%)
Any adverse event(s) – 104wk	Dichotomous	182	117	(64.3%)	182	135	(74.2%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 104wk	Dichotomous	182	7	(3.8%)	182	9	(4.9%)
Serious AE drug related – 104wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	182	0	(0.0%)
Study drug-related adverse event – 104wk	Dichotomous	182	27	(14.8%)	182	35	(19.2%)

Death – 104wk	Dichotomous	182	1	(0.5%)	182	0	(0.0%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 104wk	Dichotomous	182	38	(20.9%)	182	60	(33.0%)
GI: diarrhoea – 104wk	Dichotomous	182	14	(7.7%)	182	23	(12.6%)
GI: vomiting – 104wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	182	8	(4.4%)
GI: abdominal pain – 104wk	Dichotomous	182	7	(3.8%)	182	12	(6.6%)
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	182	12	(6.6%)	182	13	(7.1%)
drop out due to drug related AE –	Dictiotoffious	102	12	(0.070)	102	10	(7.170)
104wk	Dichotomous	182		(1.1%)	182		(2.7%)
drop out due to SAE – 104wk	Dichotomous	182	5	(2.7%)	182	1	(0.5%)
drop out due to drug related SAE – 104wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	182	0	(0.0%)
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) - Baseline Hba1c <8%							
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 104wkc	Mean change	20		-0.41 (SD 0.447)	27		-0.8 (SD 0.52)
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) - baseline Hba1c >=8 to <9%							-1.05
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 104wkc	Mean change	24		-1.05 (SD 0.931)	34		(SD 1.11)
2-year follow-up (reported in Williams-Herman et al. 2010) -	mean enange			0.00.17			,
baseline Hba1c >=9% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - 104wkc	Mean change	20		-1.84 (SD 0.805)	26		-2.02 (SD 0.918)
2-year follow-up (reported in	Mean change	20		0.603)	20		0.916)
Williams-Herman et al. 2010) - Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose:	Magnahanga	64		-1.1 (SD	07		-1.3 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 104wkb	Mean change	64		0.816) 7.5 (SD	87		0.952) 7.2 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Continuous	64	40	(20, 40()	87	204	0.9)
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 104wk	Dichotomous	64	18	(28.1%)	87	39d	` /
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 104wkb	Mean change	64		-41.4 (SD 34.3)	87		-43.2 (SD 33.8)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 104wk	Continuous	64		141.3 (SD 30.3)	87		140.4 (SD 40)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	Percentage change from baseline	59		-0.031032 (SD 0.457)	83		0.015516 (SD 0.446)
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	59		4.804788 (SD 0.954)	83		4.86168 (SD 1.19)
,	Percentage change from			0.201708 (SD			0.243084 (SD
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	baseline	59		0.476) 1.18956	83		0.469) 1.249038
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	59		(SD 0.287)	83		(SD 0.321)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 104wk	Percentage change from baseline	59	е	med: 0.029354	83	f	med: - 0.029354
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	59		med: 2.00962 (SD 1.13)	83		med: 1.90801 (SD 1.3)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	Percentage change from baseline	59		-0.124128 (SD 0.811)	82		0.02586 (SD 0.812)

LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	59		2.622204 (SD 0.809)	82		2.645478 (SD 0.962)
1-year follow up (reported in Ref 869)				,			,
Dropouts:							
Total dropouts – 54wk	Dichotomous	182	56	(30.8%)	182	46	(25.3%)
Dropout due to AEs – 54wk	Dichotomous	182	9	(4.9%)	182	11	(6.0%)
1-year follow up APT (reported in Ref 869) Blood glucose:				7.7 (SD			7.3 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 54wk	Continuous	117		0.9)	134		1) `
HbA1c (%) – 54wkb	Mean change	117		-1 (SD 1.1)	134		-1.3 (SD 1.18)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 54wkb	Mean change	117		-29 (SD 38.1)	134		-39.6 (SD 37.8)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 54wk	Continuous	117		159.8 (SD 40.9)	134		148.9 (SD 40.5)
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 54wk	Dichotomous	182	2	(1.1%)	182	2	(1.1%)
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 54wk	Dichotomous	182	6	(3.3%)	182	18	(9.9%)

		Met		in (500 mg oid)		PI	acebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	178		8.07 (SD 0.667)	165		8.95 (SD 0.771)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	178		8.04 (SD 1.36)	165		8.88 (SD 1.47)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Mean change	178		-0.82 (SD 1.1)	165		0.17 (SD 1.15)	MD=- 0.990 (CI: -1.220, - 0.760)	<=0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	178	41	(23.0%)	165	15	(9.1%)		<0.001
Hba1c <6.5% - 24wk	Dichotomous	178	16	(9.0%)	165	4	(2.4%)		<=0.005
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	179		9.7579548 (SD 3.11)	169		11.3010216 (SD 3.39)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkc	Mean change	179		-1.554168 (SD 1.49)	169		0.333036 (SD 1.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	179		-1.51515 (SD 2.56)	169		0.3219 (SD 2.55)	MD=- 1.837 (CI: -2.370, - 1.304)	<=0.001
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	141		-2.9637 (SD 3.43)	129		0.01665 (SD 3.44)	MD=- 2.980 (CI: -3.802, - 2.159)	<=0.001
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	1	(0.5%)	176	1	(0.6%)		

a estimated from graph; least square means, SD calculated from SE SD calculated from reported 95% CI estimated from graph approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) 95% CI -7.4 to 12.7 f 95% CI -13.7 to 8.4

Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	5	(2.7%)	176	2	(1.1%)
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	101	(55.5%)	176	89	(50.6%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	4	(2.2%)	176	10	(5.7%)
Serious AE drug related – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	176	1	(0.6%)
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	21	(11.5%)	176	17	(9.7%)
Death – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	176	1	(0.6%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	29	(15.9%)	176	19	(10.8%)
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	9	(4.9%)	176	7	(4.0%)
GI: vomiting – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	176	1	(0.6%)
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	5	(2.7%)	176	4	(2.3%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	29	(15.9%)	176	49	(27.8%)
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	4	(2.2%)	176	9	(5.1%)
drop out due to drug related AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	2	(1.1%)	176	2	(1.1%)
drop out due to SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	2	(1.1%)	176	5	(2.8%)
drop out due to drug related SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	176	1	(0.6%)
dropout due to laboratory AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	176	2	(1.1%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	5	(2.7%)	176	12	(6.8%)
Other medication: Taking rescue medication – 24wk  estimated from graph: le	Dichotomous					57	(32.4%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph; least square means, SD calculated from SE <sup>b</sup> SD calculated from reported 95% CI <sup>c</sup> estimated from graph

		Ме		nin (1000 bid)		PI	acebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	177		7.85 (SD 0.665)	165		8.95 (SD 0.771)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	177		7.58 (SD 1.27)	165		8.88 (SD 1.47)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Mean change	177		-1.13 (SD 1.1)	165		0.17 (SD 1.15)	MD=-1.300 (CI: -1.530, -1.070)	<=0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	177	68	(38.4%)	165	15	(9.1%)		<0.001
Hba1c <6.5% - 24wk	Dichotomous	177	36	(20.3%)	165	4	(2.4%)		<=0.005
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	179		9.380514 (SD 3.6)	169		11.3010216 (SD 3.39)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkc	Mean change	179		1.720686 (SD 1.49)	169		0.333036 (SD 1.8)		

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	179		-1.62615 (SD 2.52)	169		0.3219 (SD 2.55)	MD=-1.948 (CI: -2.475, -1.421)	<=0.001
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	138		-4.329 (SD 3.43)	129		0.01665 (SD 3.44)	MD=-4.346 (CI: -5.167, -3.524)	<=0.001
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	2	(1.1%)	176	1	(0.6%)		
Adverse events:									
GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	15	(8.2%)	176	2	(1.1%)		
Any adverse event(s)  – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	113	(62.1%)	176	89	(50.6%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	2	(1.1%)	176	10	(5.7%)		
Serious AE drug related – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	176	1	(0.6%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	30	(16.5%)	176	17	(9.7%)		
Death – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	176	1	(0.6%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	46	(25.3%)	176	19	(10.8%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	19	(10.4%)	176	7	(4.0%)		
GI: vomiting – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	2	(1.1%)	176	1	(0.6%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	9	(4.9%)	176	4	(2.3%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	26	(14.3%)	176	49	(27.8%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	5	(2.7%)	176	9	(5.1%)		
drop out due to drug related AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	5	(2.7%)	176	2	(1.1%)		
drop out due to SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	176	5	(2.8%)		
drop out due to drug related SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	176	1	(0.6%)		
dropout due to laboratory AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	176	2	(1.1%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	3	(1.6%)	176	12	(6.8%)		
Other medication: Taking rescue medication – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	21	(11.5%)	176	57	(32.4%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph; least square means, SD calculated from SE

The primary analyses focused on the efficacy response to the coadministration of sitagliptin and metformin compared with placebo and the respective monotherapies. An ANCOVA model compared treatment groups for continuous efficacy parameters, focusing on change from baseline at week 24 with baseline values and prior OHA status as covariates. The between-group differences for efficacy end points were assessed by testing the difference in the least-squares mean change (or percent change) from baseline at week 24. The proportion of patients achieving A1C <7 or <6.5% was compared

among groups using a logistic regression analysis. P-values for between group comparisons for adverse events were not reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> SD calculated from reported 95% CI

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> estimated from graph

## Table 46: H+¤llsten et al. (2002)

General												
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: Finland Authors' conclusions: Results sugges: Source of funding: Academy of Finland GlaxoSmithKline Comments: -	•								e		
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 45 Inclusion criteria: patients newly diagn treated, AHA naïve Exclusion criteria: patients were exclude previous or present abnormal hepatic or treatment Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 4	ded if FBG <6.1 r renal function, u	mmo se of	l/l or ant	>11.0 mmol/l, idiabetic medic	BP v atior	vas ı, oı	>160/100 mi	- mHg			
Previous glucose- lowering therapy		-randomisation phase: There was a 4 week runin period with written diet instructions  y participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening tails of washout period: All were AHA naïve										
Lifestyle advice	written diet instructions were given as pa	art of the run-in p	erioc	ı								
Follow-up		otal follow-up (wks): 26										
Arms	(1) Placebo N: 14 Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): - Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) (2) Metformin N: 16											
	Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): - Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixe Set dose (mg/d):2000 Details of dosing regin bid thereafter.		formi	in w	as given 500 m	g bio	d fo	r 2 weeks the	en 1 (	g		
Outcomes	Washout period (d): -  Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixe  Set dose (mg/d):2000  Details of dosing regin		form	in w	as given 500 m	g bio	d fo	r 2 weeks the	en 1 (	g		
Outcomes Baseline characteristics	Washout period (d): -  Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixe  Set dose (mg/d):2000  Details of dosing regin		form		as given 500 m	g bio		r 2 weeks the	en 1 (	g		
Baseline	Washout period (d): -  Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixe  Set dose (mg/d):2000  Details of dosing regin		form			g bio	M			<b>p</b>		
Baseline	Washout period (d): -  Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixe Set dose (mg/d):2000 Details of dosing regin bid thereafter.  PP  Demographics: Age (years) a		<b>N</b>	F k	Placebo mean 57.7 (SD 7.11)	<b>N</b>	M k	detformin mean 57.8 (SD 7.93)				
Baseline	Washout period (d): -  Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixe Set dose (mg/d):2000 Details of dosing regin bid thereafter.  PP  Demographics: Age (years) a  Sex (n male)	nen: 1 g bid. Met	<b>N</b>	F k	Placebo mean 57.7 (SD 7.11) (71.4%)	<b>N</b>	M k	fetformin mean 57.8 (SD 7.93) (61.5%)				
Baseline	Washout period (d): -  Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixe Set dose (mg/d):2000 Details of dosing regin bid thereafter.  PP  Demographics: Age (years) a  Sex (n male)  Blood glucose:	Continuous Dichotomous	N 14 14	F k	Placebo mean 57.7 (SD 7.11) (71.4%) 6.3 (SD	N 13 13	M k	etformin mean  57.8 (SD 7.93) (61.5%) 6.9 (SD				
Baseline	Washout period (d): -  Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixe Set dose (mg/d):2000 Details of dosing regin bid thereafter.  PP  Demographics: Age (years) a  Sex (n male)	nen: 1 g bid. Met	<b>N</b>	F k	Placebo mean 57.7 (SD 7.11) (71.4%)	<b>N</b>	M k 8	fetformin mean 57.8 (SD 7.93) (61.5%)				
Baseline	Washout period (d): -  Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixe Set dose (mg/d):2000 Details of dosing regin bid thereafter.  PP  Demographics: Age (years) a  Sex (n male)  Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka  Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) –	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous	N 14 14	F k	Placebo mean  57.7 (SD 7.11) (71.4%) 6.3 (SD 0.374)	N 13 13 13	M k	57.8 (SD 7.93) (61.5%) 6.9 (SD 0.721)				

	Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	14		147.2 (SD 12)	13		145 (SD 14.8)		
	Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	14		85.1 (SD 8.61)	13		91.4 (SD 9.01)		
	<sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE									
Results					Placebo		ı	Metformin		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	14	0	(0.0%)	16	3	(18.8%)		
	Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	14	0	(0.0%)	16	1	(6.3%)		
	PP Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	14		6.1 (SD 0.37)	13		6.2 (SD 0.72)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wka	Continuous	14		7.2 (SD 1.12)	13		6.8 (SD 1.08)		
	Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wka	Continuous	14		88.4 (SD 9.35)	13		86.8 (SD 10.4)		
	Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wk	Continuous	14		144.4 (SD 14.2)	13		141.8 (SD 14.4)		
	Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wk	Continuous	14		85.4 (SD 8.97)	13		85.5 (SD 9.37)		
	<sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE									

## Table 47: Haak et al. (2012)

	` ´
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: 14 countries  Authors' conclusions: Initial combination therapy with linagliptin plus metformin was superior to metformin monotherapy in improving glycaemic control, with a similar safety and tolerability profile, no weight gain and a low risk of hypoglycaemia  Source of funding: Boehringer Ingelheim is the study sponsor  Comments: Multicentre, double blind, randomised, placebo controlled, international, phase 3 clinical trial
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 791 Inclusion criteria: 18-80 years of age, with a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes and BMI <=40 kg/m2. Patients were either treatment naïve or had been treated with not more than one OAD (unchanged from 10 weeks prior to enrolment). At initial screening Hba1c had to be >=7% to <=10.5% for patients undergoing washout of previous drug therapy and Hba1c >=7.5 to <11% for treatment naïve patients, treatment at the start of the placebo run-in period had to be >=7.5% to <11%.  Exclusion criteria: Patients were excluded if they had received previous treatment with rosiglitazone, pioglitazone, GLP-1 analogue, insulin or anti-obesity drugin the previous 3 months, were receiving treatment with systemic steroids or had a change in the dosage of thyroid in the previous 6 weeks, had undergone gastric bypass, had experienced MI or TIA in the previous 6 months, had unstable or acute congestive heart failure, had renal or hepatic dysfunction, known hypersensitivity or allergy to linagliptin, metformin or placebo, had a history of alcohol or drug abuse in the previous 3 months, had acute or chronic metabolic acidosis, women who were pre-menopausal, nursing or pregnant.  Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 4 week drug washout period (for those pretreated with one OAD) followed by a 2 week placebo run-in period before double-blind treatment.

Previous glucose-	Any participant	ts previously taking glucose-lowering t	herapy? some on oral	hypoglycaemic drugs	and/or
lowering therapy		out period: 4 week drug washout period run-in period	(for those pretreated v	vith one OAD) followed	by a
Lifestyle advice	No details repor	ted			
Follow-up	Length of main Frequency of n treatment. Only	tenance period (wks): 2 tenance period (wks): 24 nonitoring appointments: 4 week wash those receiving metformin 1000mg bid un y visits were scheduled screening, start of	derwent a 2 week forc	ed titration period.	
Arms	(1) Linagliptin ( N: 142 Treatment durat Washout period Treatment(s):	ion (wks): - (d): - Linagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose			
		Set dose (mg/d):5 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: The use of re thiazolidinediones or insulin) was permi (weeks 0-24) and was administered onl glucose determination, performed on a hyperglycaemia had to be met: during v randomly determined glucose level >22 a FPG>11.1 mmol/l or a random blood	tted during the random y if a patients had FPG different day. The follo risits 3-6 the patient ha .2 mmol/l; alternatively glucose >22.2 mmol/l.	ised treatment period confirmed by a secon wing criteria for d a FPG>13.3 mmol/l of during visits 6-8 patier	or nt had
	(2) Metformin (	criteria were discontinued for lack of eff 500 mg bid)	icacy.		
	N: 144 Treatment durat	ion (wks): -			
	Washout period Treatment(s):	(d): - Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):1000			
	(3) Metformin (	Frequency of dosing: twice a day 1000 mg bid)			
	N: 147 Treatment durat Washout period				
	Treatment(s):	Metformin (Oral) – forced titration Set dose (mg/d):2000 Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: Patients ranunderwent a forced titration phase of 2 increased gradually from 500 to 1000 m	weeks during which the		) mg
	(4) Placebo N: 72 Treatment durat Washout period Treatment(s):				
Outcomes	General Data from the or	pen-label arm contained patients with a ba	aseline Hba1c >11% w	ho were not elicible fo	
	randomisation, t extracted from 2 were undertaken The primary and received at least during treatment therapy was initi 21/142 (14.8%)	ben-label arm contained patients with a baseline territory and therefore these have not been extracted in a trial arms (dual therapy with linagliptin plansisms are conducted in the full analysis at one dose of study medication and had the toology approach was used to replace atted were not used in the LOCF and obserpatients in the linagliptin group, 17/144 (1 formin 1000 mg bid and 18/72 (25%) in plansishers.	n this evidence table. Dust metformin in which included rando ba1c measured at bas missing data and value erved case analyses.  1.8%) in metformin 500	pata have also not been metformin dose comparations and at least once as obtained after rescu	n arisons ad e
Baseline characteristics			Linagliptin (5 mg qd)	Metformin (500 mg bid)	Δр

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	142		56.2 (SD 10.8)	144		52.9 (SD 10.4)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	142	80	,	144	82	(56.9%)
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	142	97	(68.3%)	144	93	(64.6%)
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	142	0	(0.0%)	144	0	(0.0%)
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	142	45	(31.7%)	144	51	(35.4%)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	142		29 (SD 4.7)	144		28.9 (SD 4.8)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	142		79.1 (SD 17.3)	144		79.9 (SD 18.4)
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	135		8.7 (SD 1)	141		8.7 (SD 0.9)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	135		10.8 (SD 2.8)	141		10.6 (SD 2.6)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	135	61	(45.2%)	141	69	(48.9%)

		Lin	_	ptin (5 mg qd)	Meti		in (1000 mg bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	142		56.2 (SD 10.8)	147		55.2 (SD 10.6)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	142	80	(56.3%)	147	78	(53.1%)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	142	97	(68.3%)	147	95	(64.6%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	142	0	(0.0%)	147	2	(1.4%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	142	45	(31.7%)	147	50	(34.0%)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	142		29 (SD 4.7)	147		29.5 (SD 5.3)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	142		79.1 (SD 17.3)	147		80 (SD 18.5)		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop									
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	135		8.7 (SD 1)	138		8.5 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	135		10.8 (SD 2.8)	138		10.7 (SD 2.9)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	135	61	(45.2%)	138	67	(48.6%)		

		Lir	_	iptin (5 mg qd)	Placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	142		56.2 (SD 10.8)	72		55.7 (SD 11)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	142	80	(56.3%)	72	36	(50.0%)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	142	97	(68.3%)	72	46	(63.9%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	142	0	(0.0%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	142	45	(31.7%)	72	26	(36.1%)		

Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	142		29 (SD 4.7)	72		28.6 (SD 5.2)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	142		79.1 (SD 17.3)	72		76.8 (SD 17.5)
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop							
Blood glucose:							
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	135		8.7 (SD 1)	65		8.7 (SD 1)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	135		10.8 (SD 2.8)	65		11.3 (SD 2.8)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	135	61	(45.2%)	65	32	(49.2%)

		Met		nin (500 mg bid)	Meti		in (1000 mg bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:				52.9 (SD			55.2 (SD		
Age (years)	Continuous	144		10.4)	147		10.6)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	144	82	(56.9%)	147	78	(53.1%)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	144	93	(64.6%)	147	95	(64.6%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	144	0	(0.0%)	147	2	(1.4%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	144	51	(35.4%)	147	50	(34.0%)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	144		28.9 (SD 4.8)	147		29.5 (SD 5.3)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	144		79.9 (SD 18.4)	147		80 (SD 18.5)		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop									
Blood glucose:				8.7 (SD			8.5 (SD		
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	141		0.9)	138		0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	141		10.6 (SD 2.6)	138		10.7 (SD 2.9)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	141	69	(48.9%)	138	67	(48.6%)		

		Met		nin (500 mg bid)		P	lacebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	144		52.9 (SD 10.4)	72		55.7 (SD 11)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	144	82	(56.9%)	72	36	(50.0%)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	144	93	(64.6%)	72	46	(63.9%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	144	0	(0.0%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	144	51	(35.4%)	72	26	(36.1%)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	144		28.9 (SD 4.8)	72		28.6 (SD 5.2)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	144		79.9 (SD 18.4)	72		76.8 (SD 17.5)		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	141		8.7 (SD 0.9)	65		8.7 (SD 1)		

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	141		10.6 (SD 2.6)	65		11.3 (SD 2.8)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:							
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	141	69	(48.9%)	65	32	(49.2%)

		Meti		nin (1000 mg bid)		P	lacebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	147		55.2 (SD 10.6)	72		55.7 (SD 11)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	147	78	(53.1%)	72	36	(50.0%)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	147	95	(64.6%)	72	46	(63.9%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	147	2	(1.4%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	147	50	(34.0%)	72	26	(36.1%)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	147		29.5 (SD 5.3)	72		28.6 (SD 5.2)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	147		80 (SD 18.5)	72		76.8 (SD 17.5)		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	138		8.5 (SD 0.9)	65		8.7 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	138		10.7 (SD 2.9)	65		11.3 (SD 2.8)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	138	67	(48.6%)	65	32	(49.2%)		

### Results

		Lin	_	iptin (5 mg qd)	Met		nin (500 mg bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients)  – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	0	(0.0%)	144	2	(1.4%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	0	(0.0%)	144	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	1	(0.7%)	144	0	(0.0%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	80	(56.3%)	144	75	(52.1%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	15	(10.6%)	144	14	(9.7%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	17	(12.0%)	144	14	(9.7%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	5	(3.5%)	144	3	(2.1%)		
GI: vomiting – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	2	(1.4%)	144	0	(0.0%)		
GI: gastritis – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	1	(0.7%)	144	1	(0.7%)		
GI: gatroenteritis – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	3	(2.1%)	144	2	(1.4%)		
GI: constipation – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	2	(1.4%)	144	3	(2.1%)		
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	6	(4.2%)	144	7	(4.9%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	1	(0.7%)	144	6	(4.2%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	8	(5.6%)	144	4	(2.8%)		
paresthesia – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	1	(0.7%)	144	3	(2.1%)		
Temperature/influenza – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	6	(4.2%)	144	7	(4.9%)		

UTI – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	2	(1.4%)	144	4	(2.8%)
Study drug exposure – 24wk	Continuous	142		158.4	144		а
Dropouts:							
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	21	(14.8%)	144	17	(11.8%)
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	6	(4.2%)	144	4	(2.8%)
drop out due to SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	3	(2.1%)	144	3	(2.1%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	3	(2.1%)	144	4	(2.8%)
Other medication:							
Taking rescue medication – 24wkb	Dichotomous	142	16	(11.3%)	144	19	(13.2%)
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Continuous	135		8.2 (SD 1.16)	141		8 (SD 1.19)
HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Mean change	135		-0.5 (SD 1.16)	141		-0.6 (SD 1.19)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Mean change	134		-0.5 (SD 2.32)	136		-0.9 (SD 2.33)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Continuous	134		10.3 (SD 2.32)	136		9.9 (SD 2.33)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkd	Continuous	112		0.2 (SD 3.17)	117		-0.7 (SD 3.24)
Pre-study diet alone (i.e. drug naive)							
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wke	Mean change	61		-0.65 (SD 0.703)	69		-1.05 (SD 0.581)
HbA1c (%) – 24wke	Mean change	61		-0.7 (SD 0.547)	69		-1.1 (SD 0.581)
Pre-study oral antidiabetics (i.e. not drug naive) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wke	Mean change	74		-0.2 (SD 0.602)	72		-0.2 (SD 0.594)
HbA1c (%) – 24wke	Mean change	74		-0.25 (SD 0.43)	72		-0.25 (SD 0.424)
Baseline Hba1c <8.5% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkf	Continuous	66		-0.4 (SD 0.894)	68		-0.75 (SD 0.99)
Baseline Hba1c <=8.5% and <11% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkf	Continuous	69		-0.8 (SD 0.997)	73		-0.78 (SD 1.03)

		Lin	_	ptin (5 mg qd)	Мє		min (1000 g bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	0	(0.0%)	147	5	(3.4%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	0	(0.0%)	147	1	(0.7%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	1	(0.7%)	147	5	(3.4%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	80	(56.3%)	147	74	(50.3%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	15	(10.6%)	147	13	(8.8%)		

a 160 days in metformin monotherapy group
b approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
C SD calculated from reported SE
from online supplement; SD calculated from reported SE
Full analysis set, extracted from graph
f estimated from graph

Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	17	(12.0%)	147	23	(15.6%)
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	5	(3.5%)	147	8	(5.4%)
GI: vomiting – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	2	(1.4%)	147	1	(0.7%)
GI: gastritis – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	1	(0.7%)	147	0	(0.0%)
GI: gatroenteritis – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	3	(2.1%)	147	1	(0.7%)
GI: constipation – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	2	(1.4%)	147	0	(0.0%)
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	6	(4.2%)	147	3	(2.0%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	1	(0.7%)	147	0	(0.0%)
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	8	(5.6%)	147	4	(2.7%)
paresthesia – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	1	(0.7%)	147	1	(0.7%)
Temperature/influenza – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	6	(4.2%)	147	5	(3.4%)
UTI – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	2	(1.4%)	147	3	(2.0%)
Study drug exposure – 24wk	Continuous	142		158.4	147		a
Dropouts:							
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	21	(14.8%)	147	21	(14.3%)
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	6	(4.2%)	147	6	(4.1%)
drop out due to SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	3	(2.1%)	147	6	(4.1%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	3	(2.1%)	147	2	(1.4%)
Other medication:							
Taking rescue medication – 24wkb	Dichotomous	142	16	(11.3%)	147	12	(8.2%)
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose:				8.2 (SD			7.6 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Continuous	135		1.16)	138		1.17)
HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Mean change	135		-0.5 (SD 1.16)	138		-1.1 (SD 1.17)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Mean change	134		-0.5 (SD 2.32)	132		-1.8 (SD 2.3)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Continuous	134		10.3 (SD 2.32)	132		9 (SD 2.3)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkd	Continuous	112		0.2 (SD 3.17)	122		-0.5 (SD 3.31)
Pre-study diet alone (i.e. drug naive)							
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wke	Mean change	61		-0.65 (SD 0.703)	67		-1.28 (SD 0.573)
HbA1c (%) – 24wke	Mean change	61		-0.7 (SD 0.547)	67		-1.45 (SD 0.819)
Pre-study oral antidiabetics (i.e. not drug naive)							
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wke	Mean change	74		-0.2 (SD 0.602)	71		-0.65 (SD 0.506)
HbA1c (%) – 24wke	Mean change	74		-0.25 (SD 0.43)	71		-0.79 (SD 0.421)
Baseline Hba1c <8.5%							
Blood glucose:				-0.4 (SD			-1 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 24wkf	Continuous	66		0.894)	74		1.03)
Baseline Hba1c <=8.5% and <11% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkf	Continuous	69		-0.8 (SD 0.997)	64		-1.39 (SD 1.04)
<sup>a</sup> 160 days in metformin monotherapy grou				•			

a 160 days in metformin monotherapy group
b approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
C SD calculated from reported SE
from online supplement; SD calculated from reported SE
Full analysis set, extracted from graph
setimated from graph

		Lina	aglipt qc	in (5 mg I)		Placebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	0	(0.0%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	0	(0.0%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	1	(0.7%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	80	(56.3%)	72	39	(54.2%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	15	(10.6%)	72	10	(13.9%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous			(12.0%)		10	(13.9%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	5	(3.5%)	72	2	(2.8%)		
GI: vomiting – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	2	(1.4%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
GI: gastritis – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	1	(0.7%)	72	2	(2.8%)		
GI: gatroenteritis – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	3	(2.1%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
GI: constipation - 24wk	Dichotomous	142	2	(1.4%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	6	(4.2%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	1	(0.7%)	72	2	(2.8%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	8	(5.6%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
paresthesia – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	1	(0.7%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Temperature/influenza – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	6	(4.2%)	72	3	(4.2%)		
UTI – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	2	(1.4%)	72	2	(2.8%)		
Study drug exposure – 24wk	Continuous	142		158.4	72		а		
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	21	(14.8%)	72	18	(25.0%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	6	(4.2%)	72	3	(4.2%)		
drop out due to SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	3	(2.1%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	142	3	(2.1%)	72	6	(8.3%)		
Other medication: Taking rescue medication				(=:://)			(5.57.5)		
– 24wk	Dichotomous	142	16b		72	а			
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose:	Mean			-0.5 (SD			0.1 (SD	MD=-0.600 (CI: -0.796, -	
HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	change	135		1.16)	65		0.806)	0.404)	<0.0001
HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Continuous	135		8.2 (SD 1.16)	65		8.8 (SD 0.806)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Mean change	134		-0.5 (SD 2.32)	61		0.6 (SD 2.34)	MD=-1.000 (CI: -1.784, - 0.216)	0.0033
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Continuous	134		10.3 (SD 2.32)	61		11.4 (SD 2.34)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkd	Continuous	112		0.2 (SD 3.17)	43		-0.7 (SD 2.62)		

Weight (kg) – 24wkd	Continuous	142	0.2 (SD 3.17)	72	-0.7 (SD 2.62)	
Pre-study diet alone (i.e. drug naive) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wke	Mean change	61	-0.65 (SD 0.703)	32	-0.15 (SD 0.622)	
HbA1c (%) – 24wke	Mean change	61	-0.7 (SD 0.547)	32	-0.23 (SD 0.679)	
Pre-study oral antidiabetics (i.e. not drug naive) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wke	Mean change	74	-0.2 (SD 0.602)	33	0.45 (SD 0.574)	
HbA1c (%) – 24wke	Mean change	74	-0.25 (SD 0.43)	33	0.4 (SD 0.574)	

		Met		nin (500 mg bid)	Me		min (1000 g bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	2	(1.4%)	147	5	(3.4%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	0	(0.0%)	147	1	(0.7%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	0	(0.0%)	147	5	(3.4%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	75	(52.1%)	147	74	(50.3%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	14	(9.7%)	147	13	(8.8%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	14	(9.7%)	147	23	(15.6%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	3	(2.1%)	147	8	(5.4%)		
GI: vomiting – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	0	(0.0%)	147	1	(0.7%)		
GI: gastritis – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	1	(0.7%)	147	0	(0.0%)		
GI: gatroenteritis – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	2	(1.4%)	147	1	(0.7%)		
GI: constipation – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	3	(2.1%)	147	0	(0.0%)		
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	7	(4.9%)	147	3	(2.0%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	6	(4.2%)	147	0	(0.0%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	4	(2.8%)	147	4	(2.7%)		
paresthesia – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	3	(2.1%)	147	1	(0.7%)		
Temperature/influenza – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	7	(4.9%)	147	5	(3.4%)		
UTI – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	4	(2.8%)	147	3	(2.0%)		
Study drug exposure – 24wka	Continuous	144			147				
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	17	(11.8%)	147	21	(14.3%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	4	(2.8%)	147	6	(4.1%)		
drop out due to SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	3	(2.1%)	147	6	(4.1%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	4	(2.8%)	147	2	(1.4%)		
Other medication: Taking rescue medication – 24wkb	Dichotomous	144	19	(13.2%)	147	12	(8.2%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> NR
<sup>b</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
<sup>c</sup> SD calculated from reported SE
<sup>d</sup> from online supplement; SD calculated from reported SE
<sup>e</sup> Full analysis set, extracted from graph

Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop					
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Continuous	141	8 (SD 1.19)	138	7.6 (SD 1.17)
HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Mean change	141	-0.6 (SD 1.19)	138	-1.1 (SD 1.17)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Mean change	136	-0.9 (SD 2.33)	132	-1.8 (SD 2.3)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Continuous	136	9.9 (SD 2.33)	132	9 (SD 2.3)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkd	Continuous	117	-0.7 (SD 3.24)	122	-0.5 (SD 3.31)
Pre-study diet alone (i.e. drug naive)					
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wke	Mean change	69	-1.05 (SD 0.581)	67	-1.28 (SD 0.573)
HbA1c (%) – 24wke	Mean change	69	-1.1 (SD 0.581)	67	-1.45 (SD 0.819)
Pre-study oral antidiabetics (i.e. not drug naive)					
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wke	Mean change	72	-0.2 (SD 0.594)	71	-0.65 (SD 0.506)
HbA1c (%) – 24wke	Mean change	72	-0.25 (SD 0.424)	71	-0.79 (SD 0.421)
Baseline Hba1c <8.5%					
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkf	Continuous	68	-0.75 (SD 0.99)	74	-1 (SD 1.03)
Baseline Hba1c <=8.5% and <11% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkf	Continuous	73	-0.78 (SD 1.03)	64	-1.39 (SD 1.04)
a 100 days in most area in magazine and at the area in a	Continuous	13	1.00)	J-T	1.04)

		Metformin (500 mg bid)			Pla	cebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	2	(1.4%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	0	(0.0%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	0	(0.0%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	75	(52.1%)	72	39	(54.2%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	14	(9.7%)	72	10	(13.9%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	14	(9.7%)	72	10	(13.9%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	3	(2.1%)	72	2	(2.8%)		
GI: vomiting – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	0	(0.0%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
GI: gastritis – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	1	(0.7%)	72	2	(2.8%)		
GI: gatroenteritis – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	2	(1.4%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
GI: constipation – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	3	(2.1%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	7	(4.9%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	6	(4.2%)	72	2	(2.8%)		

a 160 days in metformin monotherapy group
b approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
c SD calculated from reported SE
from online supplement; SD calculated from reported SE
Full analysis set, extracted from graph
f estimated from graph

Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	111	1	(2.8%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
paresthesia – 24wk	Dichotomous			(2.0%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Temperature/influenza –	סוטוטוטוטוטט	144	J	(4.170)	12	<u> </u>	(1.77/0)		
24wk	Dichotomous	144	7	(4.9%)	72	3	(4.2%)		
UTI – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	4	(2.8%)	72	2	(2.8%)		
Study drug exposure – 24wk	Continuous	144		а	72		b		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	17	(11.8%)	72	18	(25.0%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	4	(2.8%)		3	(4.2%)		
drop out due to SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	3	(2.1%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	4	(2.8%)	72	6	(8.3%)		
Other medication: Taking rescue medication – 24wk	Dichotomous	144	19c		72	b			
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkd	Mean change	141		-0.6 (SD 1.19)	65		0.1 (SD 0.806)	MD=-0.800 (CI: -0.996, - 0.604)	<0.0001
HbA1c (%) – 24wkd	Continuous	141		8 (SD 1.19)	65		8.8 (SD 0.806)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkd	Mean change	136		-0.9 (SD 2.33)	61		0.6 (SD 2.34)	MD=-1.400 (CI: -2.184, - 0.616)	<0.0001
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkd	Continuous	136		9.9 (SD 2.33)	61		11.4 (SD 2.34)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wke	Continuous	117		-0.7 (SD 3.24)	43		-0.7 (SD 2.62)		
Weight (kg) – 24wke	Continuous	144		-0.7 (SD 3.24)	72		-0.7 (SD 2.62)		
Pre-study diet alone (i.e. drug naive)				-1.05			-0.15		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkf	Mean change	69		(SD 0.581)	32		(SD 0.622)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkf	Mean change	69		-1.1 (SD 0.581)	32		-0.23 (SD 0.679)		
Pre-study oral antidiabetics (i.e. not drug naive)				-0.2			0.45		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkf	Mean change	72		(SD 0.594)	33		(SD 0.574)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkf	Mean change	72		-0.25 (SD 0.424)	33		0.4 (SD 0.574)		

Met	Metformin (1000 mg bid)				cebo		
N	N k mean		N	k	mean	Δ	р

a 160 days in metformin monotherapy group
b NR
c approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
d SD calculated from reported SE
from online supplement; SD calculated from reported SE
f Full analysis set, extracted from graph

Hypoglycaemic events:									
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	5	(3.4%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Major/severe									
hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	1	(0.7%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events:									
GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	5	(3.4%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	74	(50.3%)	72	39	(54.2%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	13	(8.8%)	72	10	(13.9%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	23	(15.6%)	72	10	(13.9%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	8	(5.4%)	72	2	(2.8%)		
GI: vomiting – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	1	(0.7%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
GI: gastritis – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	0	(0.0%)	72	2	(2.8%)		
GI: gatroenteritis – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	1	(0.7%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
GI: constipation – 24wk	Dichotomous		0	(0.0%)	72		(1.4%)		
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous		3	(2.0%)	72		(1.4%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous			(0.0%)	72		(2.8%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	4	(2.7%)	72		(1.4%)		
			-	· ·			` ,		
paresthesia – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	1	(0.7%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Temperature/influenza – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	5	(3.4%)	72	3	(4.2%)		
UTI – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	3	(2.0%)	72	2	(2.8%)		
Study drug exposure – 24wk	Continuous	147		а	72		b		
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	21	(14.3%)	72	18	(25.0%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	6	(4.1%)	72	3	(4.2%)		
drop out due to SAE – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	6	(4.1%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	2	(1.4%)	72	6	(8.3%)		
Other medication:				,			. ,		
Taking rescue medication – 24wk	Dichotomous	147	12c		72	b			
Full analysis set (FAS) or									
efficacy analysis pop				-1.1				MD=-1.200	
Blood glucose:	Mean			(SD			0.1 (SD	(CI: -1.396, -	
HbA1c (%) – 24wkd	change	138		1.17)	65		0.806)	1.004)	<0.0001
HbA1c (%) – 24wkd	Continuous	138		7.6 (SD 1.17)	65		8.8 (SD 0.806)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkd	Mean change	132		-1.8 (SD 2.3)	61		0.6 (SD 2.34)	MD=-2.300 (CI: -3.084, - 1.516)	<0.0001
Fasting plasma glucose	ŭ			9 (SD			11.4 (SD	,	
(mmol/l) – 24wkd	Continuous	132		2.3)	61		2.34)		
Body weight:				-0.5 (SD			-0.7 (SD		
Weight (kg) – 24wke	Continuous	122		3.31)	43		2.62)		
Weight (kg) – 24wke	Continuous	147		-0.5 (SD 3.31)	72		-0.7 (SD 2.62)		

Pre-study diet alone (i.e. drug naive) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkf	Mean change	67	-1.28 (SD 0.573)	32	-0.15 (SD 0.622)	
HbA1c (%) – 24wkf	Mean change	67	-1.45 (SD 0.819)	32	-0.23 (SD 0.679)	
Pre-study oral antidiabetics (i.e. not drug naive) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkf	Mean change	71	-0.65 (SD 0.506)	33	0.45 (SD 0.574)	
HbA1c (%) – 24wkf	Mean change	71	-0.79 (SD 0.421)	33	0.4 (SD 0.574)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> 160 days in metformin monotherapy group <sup>b</sup> NR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> Full analysis set, extracted from graph

					P	lac	ebo		
		N	k	mean	n N k mean Δ		Δ	р	
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	140			65			MD=-1.700 (CI: - 1.896, -1.504)	<0.0001
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	136			61			MD=-3.300 (CI: - 4.084, -2.516)	<0.0001
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	143			72				

The primary efficacy analysis was an ANCOVA in the randomised full analysis set. The model included effects adjusted for baseline Hba1c, prior OAD and treatment. Hypoglycaemic events were analysed using logistic regression and kaplan-Meier analysis. P-values for between group comparisons for adverse events were not reported.

#### Table 48. Hanefold et al. (2007)

Table 46. Ha	nefeld et al. (2007)
General	Phase:  monotherapy dual therapy triple therapy insulin monotherapy insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: multinational  Authors' conclusions: sitagliptin monotherapy improved indices of glycaemic control compared to placebo and was generally well-tolerated in patients with type 2 diabetes. The glycaemic response to treatment with sitagliptin 100 mg/day was similar between sitagliptin 100 mg once daily and 50 mg twice daily dose regimens  Source of funding: sponsored by Merck & Co  Comments: multinational double-blind, randomised, placebo controlled, parallel group dose range finding study. Study blinding was maintained using a double dummy technique with all patients taking study medications twice daily
Number and	Total number of patients: 555

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{c}}$  approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> from online supplement; SD calculated from reported SE

# characteristics of patients

Inclusion criteria: Male and female patients 21-75 years of age with type 2 diabetes either currently on OHA monotherapy (except thiazolidinediones) with Hba1c >= 6% and <=9% or not currently on an OHA with Hba1c >=6.5% and <10% were eligible to participate.

**Exclusion criteria:** patients with type 1 diabetes, unstable cardiac disease, or elevated ALT, AST or creatinine phosphokinase (CPK) were excluded

Pre-randomisation phase: at screening, patients not on an OHA with a Hba1c >=6.5% to <10% entered a diet and exercise run-in period of 2-6 weeks. Patients on OHA monotherapy with a Hba1c >=6% to <=9% had their OHA discontinued and then enetered a diet, exercise and drug washoff run-in period of 6 weeks. If Hba1c was >=6.5 and <10% and FPG was >=130 mg/dl and <=240 mg/dl after the diet and exercise (and for patients stopping an OHA , wash off) run-in period, patients entered a 2 week, single blind placebo run-in. Following this placebo run-in eligible patients had baseline measurements and then were randomised to treatment groups

#### Previous glucoselowering therapy

Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin

**Details of washout period:** Patients on OHA monotherapy with a Hba1c >=6% to <=9% had their OHA discontinued and then enetered a diet, exercise and drug washoff run-in period of 6 weeks (see prerandomisation phase for more details)

#### Lifestyle advice

patients received counseling on diet and exercise consistent with ADA recommendations at study entry and throughout the study

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 22

Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12

**Frequency of monitoring appointments:** Up to 8 weeks washout/placebo run-in period followed by 12 weeks of treatment.

All glucose based efficacy parameters were collected at screening, at week -2, at randomisation (baseline), and at weeks 2, 4, 6, 8 and 12 whereas fasting lipids were measured at screening, at randomisation and week 12. Adverse events were collected by telephone for 14 days following completion of treatment.

#### Arms

#### (1) Sitagliptin (25mg qd)

N: 111

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56

Comments: 6 week washout period and 2 week placebo run-in

Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):25

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Compliance: treatment compliance assessed by returned tablet count

Details of dosing regimen: Study blinding was maintained using a double dummy

technique with all patients taking study medications twice daily

#### (2) Sitagliptin (50mg qd)

N: 112

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56

Comments: 6 week washout period and 2 week placebo run-in

Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):50

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Compliance: treatment compliance assessed by returned tablet count

Details of dosing regimen: Study blinding was maintained using a double dummy

technique with all patients taking study medications twice daily

#### (3) Sitagliptin (100mg qd)

N: 110

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56

Comments: 6 week washout period and 2 week placebo run-in

Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):100

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Compliance: treatment compliance assessed by returned tablet count

Details of dosing regimen: Study blinding was maintained using a double dummy

technique with all patients taking study medications twice daily

#### (4) Sitagliptin (50mg bid)

N: 111

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56

Comments: 6 week washout period and 2 week placebo run-in

Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):100

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Compliance: treatment compliance assessed by returned tablet count

Details of dosing regimen: Study blinding was maintained using a double dummy

technique with all patients taking study medications twice daily

### (5) placebo

N: 111

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56

Comments: 6 week washout period and 2 week placebo run-in

Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) - fixed-dose

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Compliance: treatment compliance assessed by returned tablet count

Details of dosing regimen: Study blinding was maintained using a double dummy

technique with all patients taking study medications twice daily

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Efficacy analyses were based on the all patients treated (APT) population, consisting of all randomised patients who received at least one dose of study drug and who had both a baseline (randomisation visit) and at least oe post-randomisation measurement. Missing values were estimated by using the last observation carried forward method.

30 (27%) patients in placebo, 15 (13.6%) in 25 mg qd, 6 (5.5%) in 50 mg qd, 18 (16.4%) in 100 mg qd and 11 (9.9%) in 50 mg bid discontinued the study

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include mean daily glucose, fasting insulin, HOMA-beta, HOMA-IR, QUICKI and FFA

# Baseline characteristics

		Sita	aglip	otin (25mg qd)	Sita	aglip	otin (50mg qd)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	111		55.1 (SD 9.6)	112		55.3 (SD 10.3)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	111	57	(51.4%)	112	51	(45.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	111		3.6 (SD 3.4)	112		3.3 (SD 3.9)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	111	98	(88.3%)	112	96	(85.7%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	111	4	(3.6%)	112	9	(8.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	111	1	(0.9%)	112	0	(0.0%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	111	8	(7.2%)	112	7	(6.3%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	111		7.7 (SD 0.9)	112		7.6 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	111		9.69585 (SD 2.56)	112		9.4572 (SD 2.1)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	111		31.9 (SD 4.8)	112		31.6 (SD 4.9)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	111		90.03456 (SD 13.5)	112		89.18784 (SD 13.8)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:									
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	111	41	(36.9%)	112	39	(34.8%)		
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous		70	(63.1%)	112	73	(65.2%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Sit	aglip	otin (25mg qd)	Sitagliptin (100mg qd)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	111		55.1 (SD 9.6)	110		56 (SD 7.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	111	57	(51.4%)	110	61	(55.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	111		3.6 (SD 3.4)	110		3.6 (SD 3.9)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	111	98	(88.3%)	110	97	(88.2%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	111	4	(3.6%)	110	6	(5.5%)		

Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	111	1	(0.9%)	110	0	(0.0%)
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	111	8	(7.2%)	110	7	(6.4%)
Blood glucose:							
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	111		7.7 (SD 0.9)	110		7.8 (SD 0.9)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	111		9.69585 (SD 2.56)	110		9.85125 (SD 2.3)
Body weight:							
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	111		31.9 (SD 4.8)	110		31.6 (SD 5.8)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	111		90.03456 (SD 13.5)	110		89.18784 (SD 16.4)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:							
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	111	41	(36.9%)	110	41	(37.3%)
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous	111	70	(63.1%)	110	69	(62.7%)
a actimated from PMI accuming ma		٠					

estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Sita	aglip	otin (25mg qd)	Sita	aglip	otin (50mg bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	111		55.1 (SD 9.6)	111		55.2 (SD 9.5)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	111	57	(51.4%)	111	49	(44.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	111		3.6 (SD 3.4)	111		4.5 (SD 5.9)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	111	98	(88.3%)	111	90	(81.1%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	111	4	(3.6%)	111	7	(6.3%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	111	1	(0.9%)	111	1	(0.9%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	111	8	(7.2%)	111	13	(11.7%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	111		7.7 (SD 0.9)	111		7.8 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	111		9.69585 (SD 2.56)	111		9.6681 (SD 2.37)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	111		31.9 (SD 4.8)	111		32.7 (SD 4.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	111		90.03456 (SD 13.5)	111		92.29248 (SD 13.5)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:									
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	111	41	(36.9%)	111	38	(34.2%)		
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous		70	(63.1%)	111	73	(65.8%)		
<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming me	an height of 1.6	8m							

		Sita	aglip	otin (25mg qd)	placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	111		55.1 (SD 9.6)	111		55.9 (SD 9.3)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	111	57	(51.4%)	111	70	(63.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	111		3.6 (SD 3.4)	111		3.3 (SD 3.4)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	111	98	(88.3%)	111	87	(78.4%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	111	4	(3.6%)	111	8	(7.2%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	111	1	(0.9%)	111	1	(0.9%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	111	8	(7.2%)	111	15	(13.5%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	111		7.7 (SD 0.9)	111		7.6 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	111		9.69585 (SD 2.56)	111		9.8013 (SD 2.37)		

Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	111		31.9 (SD 4.8)	111		31.4 (SD 5.1)	
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	111		90.03456 (SD 13.5)	111		88.62336 (SD 14.4)	
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:								
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	111	41	(36.9%)	111	39	(35.1%)	
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous	111	70	(63.1%)	111	72	(64.9%)	
<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m								

		Sita	aglip	otin (50mg qd)	Sita	glip	tin (100mg qd)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	112		55.3 (SD 10.3)	110		56 (SD 7.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	112	51	(45.5%)	110	61	(55.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	112		3.3 (SD 3.9)	110		3.6 (SD 3.9)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	112	96	(85.7%)	110	97	(88.2%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	112	9	(8.0%)	110	6	(5.5%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	112	0	(0.0%)	110	0	(0.0%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	112	7	(6.3%)	110	7	(6.4%)		
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	112		7.6 (SD 1)	110		7.8 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	112		9.4572 (SD 2.1)	110		9.85125 (SD 2.3)		
Body weight:									
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	112		31.6 (SD 4.9)	110		31.6 (SD 5.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	112		89.18784 (SD 13.8)	110		89.18784 (SD 16.4)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:									
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	112	39	(34.8%)	110	41	(37.3%)		
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous		73	(65.2%)	110	69	(62.7%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Sita	aglip	otin (50mg qd)	Sita	aglip	otin (50mg bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	112		55.3 (SD 10.3)	111		55.2 (SD 9.5)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	112	51	(45.5%)	111	49	(44.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	112		3.3 (SD 3.9)	111		4.5 (SD 5.9)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	112	96	(85.7%)	111	90	(81.1%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	112	9	(8.0%)	111	7	(6.3%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	112	0	(0.0%)	111	1	(0.9%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	112	7	(6.3%)	111	13	(11.7%)		
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	112		7.6 (SD 1)	111		7.8 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	112		9.4572 (SD 2.1)	111		9.6681 (SD 2.37)		
Body weight:									
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	112		31.6 (SD 4.9)	111		32.7 (SD 4.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	112		89.18784 (SD 13.8)	111		92.29248 (SD 13.5)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:									
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	112	39	(34.8%)	111	38	(34.2%)		
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous	112	73	(65.2%)	111	73	(65.8%)		

		Sitagliptin (50mg qd)					olacebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	112		55.3 (SD 10.3)	111		55.9 (SD 9.3)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	112	51	(45.5%)	111	70	(63.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	112		3.3 (SD 3.9)	111		3.3 (SD 3.4)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	112	96	(85.7%)	111	87	(78.4%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	112	9	(8.0%)	111	8	(7.2%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	112	0	(0.0%)	111	1	(0.9%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	112	7	(6.3%)	111	15	(13.5%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	112		7.6 (SD 1)	111		7.6 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	112		9.4572 (SD 2.1)	111		9.8013 (SD 2.37)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	112		31.6 (SD 4.9)	111		31.4 (SD 5.1)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	112		89.18784 (SD 13.8)	111		88.62336 (SD 14.4)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	112	39	(34.8%)	111	39	(35.1%)		
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous			` ,	111		(64.9%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Sita	glip	tin (100mg qd)	Sita	glip	tin (50mg bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	110		56 (SD 7.9)	111		55.2 (SD 9.5)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	110	61	(55.5%)	111	49	(44.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	110		3.6 (SD 3.9)	111		4.5 (SD 5.9)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	110	97	(88.2%)	111	90	(81.1%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	110	6	(5.5%)	111	7	(6.3%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	111	1	(0.9%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	110	7	(6.4%)	111	13	(11.7%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	110		7.8 (SD 0.9)	111		7.8 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	110		9.85125 (SD 2.3)	111		9.6681 (SD 2.37)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	110		31.6 (SD 5.8)	111		32.7 (SD 4.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	110		89.18784 (SD 16.4)	111		92.29248 (SD 13.5)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	110	41	(37.3%)	111	38	(34.2%)		
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous		69	(62.7%)	111	73	(65.8%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Sita	Sitagliptin (100mg qd)			placebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	110		56 (SD 7.9)	111		55.9 (SD 9.3)		

Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	110	61	(55.5%)	111	70	(63.1%)	
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	110		3.6 (SD 3.9)	111		3.3 (SD 3.4)	
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	110	97	(88.2%)	111	87	(78.4%)	
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	110	6	(5.5%)	111	8	(7.2%)	
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	111	1	(0.9%)	
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	110	7	(6.4%)	111	15	(13.5%)	
Blood glucose:								
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	110		7.8 (SD 0.9)	111		7.6 (SD 0.9)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	110		9.85125 (SD 2.3)	111		9.8013 (SD 2.37)	
Body weight:								
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	110		31.6 (SD 5.8)	111		31.4 (SD 5.1)	
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	110		89.18784 (SD 16.4)	111		88.62336 (SD 14.4)	
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:								
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	110	41	(37.3%)	111	39	(35.1%)	
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous	110	69	(62.7%)	111	72	(64.9%)	
a .:								

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Sita	glip	otin (50mg bid)		F	olacebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	111		55.2 (SD 9.5)	111		55.9 (SD 9.3)			
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	111	49	(44.1%)	111	70	(63.1%)			
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	111		4.5 (SD 5.9)	111		3.3 (SD 3.4)			
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	111	90	(81.1%)	111	87	(78.4%)			
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	111	7	(6.3%)	111	8	(7.2%)			
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	111	1	(0.9%)	111	1	(0.9%)			
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	111	13	(11.7%)	111	15	(13.5%)			
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	111		7.8 (SD 0.9)	111		7.6 (SD 0.9)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	111		9.6681 (SD 2.37)	111		9.8013 (SD 2.37)			
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	111		32.7 (SD 4.8)	111		31.4 (SD 5.1)			
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	111		92.29248 (SD 13.5)	111		88.62336 (SD 14.4)			
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:										
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	111	38	(34.2%)	111	39	(35.1%)			
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous		73	(65.8%)	111	72	(64.9%)			
<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m										

Results				Sitagliptin (25mg qd)				Sitagliptin (50mg qd)			
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	107		-0.28 (SD 0.753)	107		-0.44 (SD 0.756)			
	HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	107		7.47 (SD 1.3)	107		7.22 (SD 1.02)			
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	108		-0.59385 (SD 1.85)	108		-0.8547 (SD 1.85)			
	Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	1	(0.9%)	110	1	(0.9%)			

Adverse events:							
Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	49	(44.5%)	110	50	(45.5%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	1	(0.9%)	110	4	(3.6%)
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	110	0	(0.0%)
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	12	(10.9%)	110	11	(10.0%)
Death – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	110	0	(0.0%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	13	(11.8%)	110	10	(9.1%)
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	2	(1.8%)	110	2	(1.8%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	15	(13.5%)	112	6	(5.4%)
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	2	(1.8%)	112	0	(0.0%)
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	2	(1.8%)	112	0	(0.0%)
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	0	(0.0%)	112	0	(0.0%)
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	0	(0.0%)	112	0	(0.0%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	8	(7.2%)	112	2	(1.8%)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	105		0.03879 (SD 0.324)	106		0.028446 (SD 0.326)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	105		0.082752 (SD 0.352)	106		0.16809 (SD 0.367)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	105		-0.044031 (SD 0.431)	106		-0.089191 (SD 0.439)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	105		0.126714 (SD 0.541)	106		0.074994 (SD 0.53)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported 95% CI

		Sita	ıglip	otin (25mg qd)	Sitagliptin (100mg qd)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	107		-0.28 (SD 0.753)	106		-0.44 (SD 0.749)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	107		7.47 (SD 1.3)	106		7.38 (SD 1.11)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	108		-0.59385 (SD 1.85)	108		-0.9435 (SD 1.82)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	1	(0.9%)	110	2	(1.8%)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	49	(44.5%)	110	51	(46.4%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	1	(0.9%)	110	3	(2.7%)		
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	110	0	(0.0%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	12	(10.9%)	110	11	(10.0%)		
Death – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	110	0	(0.0%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	13	(11.8%)	110	10	(9.1%)		
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	2	(1.8%)	110	4	(3.6%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	15	(13.5%)	110	18	(16.4%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	2	(1.8%)	110	5	(4.5%)		
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	2	(1.8%)	110	1	(0.9%)		

drop out due to SAE - 12wk	Dichotomous	111	0	(0.0%)	110	2	(1.8%)
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	0	(0.0%)	110	0	(0.0%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	8	(7.2%)	110	9	(8.2%)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	105		0.03879 (SD 0.324)	104		0.098268 (SD 0.336)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	105		0.082752 (SD 0.352)	104		0.139644 (SD 0.363)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	105		-0.044031 (SD 0.431)	104		0.009032 (SD 0.435)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	105		0.126714 (SD 0.541)	104		0.191364 (SD 0.538)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported 95% CI

		Sita	glip	tin (25mg qd)	Sita	glip	tin (50mg bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	107		-0.28 (SD 0.753)	108		-0.43 (SD 0.699)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	107		7.47 (SD 1.3)	108		7.41 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	108		-0.59385 (SD 1.85)	108		-0.76035 (SD 1.85)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	1	(0.9%)	111	1	(0.9%)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	49	(44.5%)	111	51	(45.9%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	1	(0.9%)	111	3	(2.7%)		
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	111	1	(0.9%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	12	(10.9%)	111	16	(14.4%)		
Death – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	111	0	(0.0%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	13	(11.8%)	111	9	(8.1%)		
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	2	(1.8%)	111	4	(3.6%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	15	(13.5%)	111	11	(9.9%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	2	(1.8%)	111	2	(1.8%)		
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	2	(1.8%)	111	1	(0.9%)		
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	0	(0.0%)	111	1	(0.9%)		
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	0	(0.0%)	111	1	(0.9%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	8	(7.2%)	111	2	(1.8%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	105		0.03879 (SD 0.324)	103		0.054306 (SD 0.335)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	105		0.082752 (SD 0.352)	103		0.080166 (SD 0.362)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	105		-0.044031 (SD 0.431)	103		-0.019193 (SD 0.438)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	105		0.126714 (SD 0.541)	103		0.160332 (SD 0.549)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported 95% CI

Sitagliptin (25mg qd)	placebo	Δ	р
-----------------------	---------	---	---

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	107		-0.28 (SD 0.753)	107		0.12 (SD 0.753)	MD=-0.390 (CI: -0.590, - 0.190)	<0.001b
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	107		7.47 (SD 1.3)	107		7.76 (SD 1.11)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	108		-0.59385 (SD 1.85)	108		0.0111 (SD 1.85)	MD=-0.611 (CI: -1.099, - 0.122)	<0.05b
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wk	Continuous	111			111				С
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	1	(0.9%)	111	0	(0.0%)		С
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	49	(44.5%)	111	38	(34.2%)		С
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	1	(0.9%)	111	2	(1.8%)		С
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	111	0	(0.0%)		С
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	12	(10.9%)	111	10	(9.0%)		С
Death – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	111	0	(0.0%)		С
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	13	(11.8%)	111	15	(13.5%)		С
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	110		(1.8%)	111	3	(2.7%)		С
Dropouts:	Bioriotomous	110	-	(1.070)			(2.1 70)		U
Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	15	(13.5%)	111	30	(27.0%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	2	(1.8%)	111	4	(3.6%)		С
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	2	(1.8%)	111	3	(2.7%)		С
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	0	(0.0%)	111	1	(0.9%)		С
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	0	(0.0%)	111	0	(0.0%)		С
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	8	(7.2%)	111	9	(8.1%)		С
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	105		0.03879 (SD 0.324)	103		0.002586 (SD 0.321)	MD=0.041 (CI: -0.047, 0.129)	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	105		0.082752 (SD 0.352)	103		0.028446 (SD 0.362)	MD=0.054 (CI: -0.041, 0.150)	
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	105		- 0.044031 (SD 0.431)	103		0.058708 (SD 0.433)	MD=-0.103 (CI: -0.220, 0.015)	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	105		0.126714 (SD 0.541)	103		- 0.010344 (SD 0.536)	MD=0.137 (CI: -0.010, 0.284)	
<ul> <li>SD calculated from repo</li> <li>from trend test for sitagli</li> <li>not reported</li> </ul>		ebo							

Sitagliptin (100mg qd) Δр Sitagliptin (50mg qd)

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	107		-0.44 (SD 0.756)	106		-0.44 (SD 0.749)
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	107		7.22 (SD 1.02)	106		7.38 (SD 1.11)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	108		-0.8547 (SD 1.85)	108		-0.9435 (SD 1.82)
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	1	(0.9%)	110	2	(1.8%)
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	50	(45.5%)	110	51	(46.4%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	4	(3.6%)	110	3	(2.7%)
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	110	0	(0.0%)
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	11	(10.0%)	110	11	(10.0%)
Death – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	110	0	(0.0%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	10	(9.1%)	110	10	(9.1%)
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	2	(1.8%)	110	4	(3.6%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	112	6	(5.4%)	110	18	(16.4%)
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	112	0	(0.0%)	110	5	(4.5%)
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	112	0	(0.0%)	110	1	(0.9%)
drop out due to SAE - 12wk	Dichotomous	112	0	(0.0%)	110	2	(1.8%)
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	112	0	(0.0%)	110	0	(0.0%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	112	2	(1.8%)	110	9	(8.2%)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	106		0.028446 (SD 0.326)	104		0.098268 (SD 0.336)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	106		0.16809 (SD 0.367)	104		0.139644 (SD 0.363)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	106		-0.089191 (SD 0.439)	104		0.009032 (SD 0.435)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	106		0.074994 (SD 0.53)	104		0.191364 (SD 0.538)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported 95% CI

		Sita	glip	tin (50mg qd)	Sitagliptin (50mg bid)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	107		-0.44 (SD 0.756)	108		-0.43 (SD 0.699)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	107		7.22 (SD 1.02)	108		7.41 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	108		-0.8547 (SD 1.85)	108		-0.76035 (SD 1.85)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	1	(0.9%)	111	1	(0.9%)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	50	(45.5%)	111	51	(45.9%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	4	(3.6%)	111	3	(2.7%)		
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	111	1	(0.9%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	11	(10.0%)	111	16	(14.4%)		b

Death – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	111	0	(0.0%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	10	(9.1%)	111	9	(8.1%)
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	2	(1.8%)	111	4	(3.6%)
Dropouts:							
Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	112	6	(5.4%)	111	11	(9.9%)
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	112	0	(0.0%)	111	2	(1.8%)
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	112	0	(0.0%)	111	1	(0.9%)
drop out due to SAE - 12wk	Dichotomous	112	0	(0.0%)	111	1	(0.9%)
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	112	0	(0.0%)	111	1	(0.9%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	112	2	(1.8%)	111	2	(1.8%)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	106		0.028446 (SD 0.326)	103		0.054306 (SD 0.335)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	106		0.16809 (SD 0.367)	103		0.080166 (SD 0.362)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	106		-0.089191 (SD 0.439)	103		-0.019193 (SD 0.438)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	106		0.074994 (SD 0.53)	103		0.160332 (SD 0.549)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported 95% CI <sup>b</sup> not reported

		Sita	•	tin (50mg qd)		pla	cebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	107		-0.44 (SD 0.756)	107		0.12 (SD 0.753)	MD=-0.550 (CI: -0.750, - 0.350)	<0.001b
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	107		7.22 (SD 1.02)	107		7.76 (SD 1.11)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	108		-0.8547 (SD 1.85)	108		0.0111 (SD 1.85)	MD=-0.866 (CI: -1.354, - 0.377)	<0.001b
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wk	Continuous	112			111				С
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	1	(0.9%)	111	0	(0.0%)		С
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	50	(45.5%)	111	38	(34.2%)		С
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	4	(3.6%)	111	2	(1.8%)		
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	111	0	(0.0%)		С
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	11	(10.0%)	111	10	(9.0%)		С
Death – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	111	0	(0.0%)		С
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	10	(9.1%)	111	15	(13.5%)		С
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	2	(1.8%)	111	3	(2.7%)		С
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	112	6	(5.4%)	111	30	(27.0%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	112	0	(0.0%)	111	4	(3.6%)		С

drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	112	0	(0.0%)	111	3	(2.7%)		С
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	112	0	(0.0%)	111	1	(0.9%)		С
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	112	0	(0.0%)	111	0	(0.0%)		С
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	112	2	(1.8%)	111	9	(8.1%)		С
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	106		0.028446 (SD 0.326)	103		- 0.002586 (SD 0.321)	MD=0.031 (CI: -0.057, 0.119)	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	106		0.16809 (SD 0.367)	103		0.028446 (SD 0.362)	MD=0.137 (CI: 0.041, 0.233)	
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	106		- 0.089191 (SD 0.439)	103		0.058708 (SD 0.433)	MD=-0.149 (CI: -0.265, - 0.033)	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	106		0.074994 (SD 0.53)	103		- 0.010344 (SD 0.536)	MD=0.085 (CI: -0.059, 0.230)	
Hba1c>9.0% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	0			0			MD=-1.150 (CI: -2.270, - 0.030)	d

		Sit	Sitagliptin (100mg qd)			Sitagliptin (50mg bid)			
		N 106 108 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	106		-0.44 (SD 0.749)	108		-0.43 (SD 0.699)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	106		7.38 (SD 1.11)	108		7.41 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	108		-0.9435 (SD 1.82)	108		-0.76035 (SD 1.85)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	2	(1.8%)	111	1	(0.9%)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	51	(46.4%)	111	51	(45.9%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	3	(2.7%)	111	3	(2.7%)		
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	111	1	(0.9%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	11	(10.0%)	111	16	(14.4%)		
Death – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	111	0	(0.0%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	10	(9.1%)	111	9	(8.1%)		
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	4	(3.6%)	111	4	(3.6%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	18	(16.4%)	111	11	(9.9%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	5	(4.5%)	111	2	(1.8%)		
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	1	(0.9%)	111	1	(0.9%)		
drop out due to SAE - 12wk	Dichotomous	110	2	(1.8%)	111	1	(0.9%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported 95% CI <sup>b</sup> from trend test for sitagliptin qd vs. placebo <sup>c</sup> not reported <sup>d</sup> 95% CI -2.27 to -0.03

drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	111	1	(0.9%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	9	(8.2%)	111	2	(1.8%)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	104		0.098268 (SD 0.336)	103		0.054306 (SD 0.335)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	104		0.139644 (SD 0.363)	103		0.080166 (SD 0.362)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	104		0.009032 (SD 0.435)	103		-0.019193 (SD 0.438)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	104		0.191364 (SD 0.538)	103		0.160332 (SD 0.549)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported 95% CI

		Sitagliptin (100mg qd)			pla	cebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	106		-0.44 (SD 0.749)	107		0.12 (SD 0.753)	MD=-0.560 (CI: -0.750, - 0.370)	<0.001b
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	106		7.38 (SD 1.11)	107		7.76 (SD 1.11)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	108		-0.9435 (SD 1.82)	108		0.0111 (SD 1.85)	MD=-0.955 (CI: -1.443, - 0.466)	<0.001b
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wk	Continuous	110			111				С
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	2	(1.8%)	111	0	(0.0%)		С
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	51	(46.4%)	111	38	(34.2%)		С
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	3	(2.7%)	111	2	(1.8%)		С
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	111	0	(0.0%)		С
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	11	(10.0%)	111	10	(9.0%)		С
Death – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	111	0	(0.0%)		С
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	10	(9.1%)	111	15	(13.5%)		С
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	4	(3.6%)	111	3	(2.7%)		С
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	18	(16.4%)	111	30	(27.0%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	5	(4.5%)	111	4	(3.6%)		С
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	1	(0.9%)	111	3	(2.7%)		С
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	2	(1.8%)	111	1	(0.9%)		С
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	0	(0.0%)	111	0	(0.0%)		С
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	110	9	(8.2%)	111	9	(8.1%)		С
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	104		0.098268 (SD 0.336)	103		- 0.002586 (SD 0.321)	MD=0.101 (CI: 0.010, 0.191)	

HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	104	0.139644 (SD 0.363)	103	0.028446 (SD 0.362)	MD=0.111 (CI: 0.013, 0.209)	
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	104	0.009032 (SD 0.435)	103	0.058708 (SD 0.433)	MD=-0.050 (CI: -0.168, 0.069)	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	104	0.191364 (SD 0.538)	103	0.010344 (SD 0.536)	MD=0.202 (CI: 0.054, 0.349)	
Hba1c>9.0% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	0		0		MD=-1.180 (CI: -2.260, - 0.100)	d

		Sita		tin (50mg pid)		pla	cebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	108		-0.43 (SD 0.699)	107		0.12 (SD 0.753)	MD=-0.540 (CI: -0.740, - 0.340)	<0.001b
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	108		7.41 (SD 1.1)	107		7.76 (SD 1.11)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	108		-0.76035 (SD 1.85)	108		0.0111 (SD 1.85)	MD=-0.777 (CI: -1.265, - 0.289)	<0.05b
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wk	Continuous	111			111				С
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	1	(0.9%)	111	0	(0.0%)		С
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	51	(45.9%)	111	38	(34.2%)		С
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	3	(2.7%)	111	2	(1.8%)		С
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	1	(0.9%)	111	0	(0.0%)		С
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	16	(14.4%)	111	10	(9.0%)		С
Death – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	0	(0.0%)	111	0	(0.0%)		С
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	9	(8.1%)	111	15	(13.5%)		С
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	4	(3.6%)	111	3	(2.7%)		С
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	11	(9.9%)	111	30	(27.0%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	2	(1.8%)	111	4	(3.6%)		С
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	1	(0.9%)	111	3	(2.7%)		С
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	1	(0.9%)	111	1	(0.9%)		С
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	1	(0.9%)	111	0	(0.0%)		С
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	111	2	(1.8%)	111	9	(8.1%)		С

a SD calculated from reported 95% CI from trend test for sitagliptin qd vs. placebo not reported 95% CI -2.26 to -0.09

Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	103	0.054306 (SD 0.335)	103	- 0.002586 (SD 0.321)	MD=0.054 (CI: -0.034, 0.142)	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	103	0.080166 (SD 0.362)	103	0.028446 (SD 0.362)	MD=0.049 (CI: -0.047, 0.145)	
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	103	- 0.019193 (SD 0.438)	103	0.058708 (SD 0.433)	MD=-0.078 (CI: -0.196, 0.041)	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	103	0.160332 (SD 0.549)	103	- 0.010344 (SD 0.536)	MD=0.171 (CI: 0.023, 0.318)	
<sup>a</sup> SD calculated from repo <sup>b</sup> from pairwise compariso <sup>c</sup> not reported		d vs. plac	ebo				
Change in baseline in printerms for treatment, prior were assessed using a stoweeks. The same ANCOV endpoints. Change from p 12.	OHA status an ep-down trend 'A model was u	d baselin test. Cha ised to ev	e Hba1c as on the second part of	covariates seline is l een treatr	s. The dose _S change f nent differer	response relation rom baseline at nces in other effi	onships 12 icacy

Table 49: Hermann et al. (1994)

	maini et al. (1334)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Unclear but assumed Sweden  Authors' conclusions: Dose-effect titrated treatment with either metformin or glyburide promotes equal degrees of glycaemic control  Source of funding: Lipha Pharmaceuticals  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 38 Inclusion criteria: Patients with NIDDM with FBG >=6.87 mmol/l Exclusion criteria: conditions requiring insulin treatment and contraindications to drugs Pre-randomisation phase: 6 week dietary treatment and a run-in of 2 weeks with dietary treatment and single-blind placebo
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Those on oral therapy had this withdrawn 2-3 weeks before inclusion
Lifestyle advice	There was a 8 week dietary treatment period prior to randomisation
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 26 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 26 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients had a wash out period of up to 6 weeks followed by a 2 week placebo run in period. After this, doses were titrated between 2 and 12 weeks, after which treatment was maintained at maximal dose for 6 months. Data reported at 2, 4 and 6 of maintenance period.
Arms	(1) Metformin N: 38 Treatment duration (wks): 26

Washout period (d): 0

Comments: Those taking oral agents stopped this before inclusion

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 1000 Maximum dose (mg/d): 3000

Details of dosing regimen: 1 to 3 g/day. Dose titration was performed during weeks 2-12 with escalation visits every 2 weeks if FBG<6.7 mmol/l. Dose level 1 was 1 g and dose

level 3 was 3 g.

#### (2) Sulfonylurea

N: 34

Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: Those taking oral agents stopped this before inclusion

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 3.5 Maximum dose (mg/d): 10.5

Details of dosing regimen: Glyburide 3.5 to 10.5 mg/day micronized tablets (3.5 mg micronized tablets=5 mg non micronized). Dose level 1 was 3.5 mg and dose level 3 was

10.5 mg

#### Outcomes

#### General

Data not extracted from third trial arm (combination of metformin and glyburide).

Patients were randomised to monotherapy (metformin and glyburide) and doses were escalated through 6 levels. From dose levels 1 to 3, the individual drug doses were increased. From dose levels 4 to 6, single therapy became dual therapy. Only data from dose levels up to 3 have been extracted.

### Baseline characteristics

N 38 38 38	k	mean  150 (SD 12.3)  85 (SD 12.3)  5.38 (SD	<b>N</b> 34 34	k	mean  141 (SD 17.5)  84 (SD 5.83)	Δ	р
38		85 (SD 12.3) 5.38 (SD			,		
		5.38 (SD	34		84 (SD 5 83)		
38		,			0.1 (02 0.00)		
		1.54)	34		5.66 (SD 0.991)		
38		0.81 (SD 0.37)	34		0.89 (SD 0.292)		
38		2.02 (SD 1.29)	34		2.01 (SD 2.16)		
38		3.66 (SD 1.54)	34		3.93 (SD 0.816)		
19		6.9 (SD 1.31)	19		6.7 (SD 1.31)		
19		6.9 (SD 1.31)	19		5.3 (SD 0.436)		
19		5.8 (SD 0.872)	19		6.7 (SD 1.31)		
19		5.8 (SD 0.872)	19		5.3 (SD 0.436)		
19		-0.9 (SD 0.872)	19		-1.3 (SD 0.872)		
19		9.3 (SD 1.74)	19		8.6 (SD 1.74)		
19		78.6 (SD 12.6)	19		82.6 (SD 11.8)		
	38 38 19 19 19 19	38 38 38 19 19 19 19	38 0.81 (SD 0.37)  2.02 (SD 1.29)  3.66 (SD 1.54)  19 6.9 (SD 1.31)  19 6.9 (SD 1.31)  19 6.9 (SD 1.31)  19 5.8 (SD 0.872)  19 0.872)  19 0.872)  19 9.3 (SD 1.74)  78.6 (SD	38	38	38	38

SD calculated from reported SE

Metformin Sulfonylurea	Metformin		
N k mean N k mean Δ p	N	Δр	

B 1 111							
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wka	Mean change	38		-0.8 (SD 3.08)	34		2.4 (SD 6.12)
Hypoglycaemic events: minor hypoglycaemic events – 28wk	Dichotomous	34	12	(35.3%)	38	8	(21.1%)
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 28wk	Dichotomous			(0.0%)	38	0	(0.0%)
Adverse events:	Bioriotomodo			(0.070)	00		(0.070)
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	38	32	(84.2%)	34	26	(76.5%)
cardiovascular AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	38	2	(5.3%)	34	3	(8.8%)
cardiac: MI – 28wk	Dichotomous	34	2	(5.9%)	38	0	(0.0%)
CV death – 28wk	Dichotomous	34	1	(2.9%)	38	0	(0.0%)
Death – 28wk	Dichotomous	34	1	(2.9%)	38	0	(0.0%)
Dizziness – 24wk	Dichotomous	38	6	(15.8%)	34	5	(14.7%)
Dyspepsia – 24wk	Dichotomous	38	4	(10.5%)	34	2	(5.9%)
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	38	19		34	0	(0.0%)
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	38	7	(18.4%)	34	2	(5.9%)
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	38	4	(10.5%)	34	1	(2.9%)
increased sweating – 24wk	Dichotomous	38	5	(13.2%)	34	5	(14.7%)
metabolism and nutritional disorders – 24wk	Dichotomous	38	12	(31.6%)	34	13	(38.2%)
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders – 24wk	Dichotomous	38	1	(2.6%)	34	1	(2.9%)
Nervous system disorders – 24wk	Dichotomous			(21.1%)	34		(38.2%)
Skin reaction – 24wk	Dichotomous			(15.8%)	34		(17.6%)
Tremor – 24wk	Dichotomous			(5.3%)	34		(38.2%)
Dropouts:	Dichotomous	30		(3.376)	54	13	(30.270)
Dropout due to AEs – 28wk	Dichotomous	34	3	(8.8%)	38	9	(23.7%)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wk	Mean change	38		2.4 (SD 15.4)	34		-1 (SD 11.7)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wk	Mean change	38		0.6 (SD 7.39)	34		0.5 (SD 6.99)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean	38		-0.18 (SD	34		0.13 (SD
Total Cholesterol (Illinol/I) – 24wk	change Mean	30		0.55) 0.02 (SD	34		0.75) 0.03 (SD
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	change	38		0.12)	34		0.11)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	38		0.09 (SD 0.86)	34		0.08 (SD 0.75)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	38		-0.15 (SD 0.43)	34		0.12 (SD 0.52)
Monotherapy maintained	change	30		0.40)	04		0.02)
Blood glucose:				6.9 (SD			5.3 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Continuous	19		1.31)	19		0.436)
HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Continuous	19		5.8 (SD 0.872)	19		6.7 (SD 1.31)
HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Continuous	19		5.8 (SD 0.872)	19		5.3 (SD 0.436)
HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Mean change	19		-0.9 (SD 0.872)	19		-1.3 (SD 0.872)
HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Continuous	19		6.9 (SD 1.31)	19		6.7 (SD 1.31)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Mean	19		-2 (SD	19		-2.1 (SD
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	change			1.74) 6.9 (SD			2.18) 6.6 (SD
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Continuous	19		1.31)	19		0.872)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkb	Mean change	19		-0.8 (SD 2.18)	19		2.8 (SD 3.05)
				78.8 (SD			86.2 (SD
Weight (kg) – 24wkb	Continuous	19		12.6)	19		14.4)
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	38	8	(21.1%)	34	12	(35.3%)

Adverse events:  GI: nausea – 24wk  a estimated from graph b SD calculated from reported SE	Dichotomous	38 9	(23.7%)	34	3	(8.8%)	

### Table 50: Herz et al. (2003)

. 4.5.5 55.716	erz et al. (2003)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Canada and Spain  Authors' conclusions: pioglitazone 30 and 45 mg produced significant improvements in Hba1c, insulin sensitivity and lipid profile in OAM naïve patients with type 2 diabetes with suboptimal glycaemic control and mild dyslipidemia  Source of funding: sponsored by Eli Lilly and Company  Comments: randomised, double-blind placebo controlled trial, no details on methods of randomisation, allocation concealment or blinding
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 297 Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes that was not controlled by diet and exercise who have had no previous treatment with insulin or OAMs. At screening Hba1c values for eligible patients were >=6.5% and <=9.8%, generally indicative of mild to moderate hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes.  Exclusion criteria: patients were excluded for the following reasons: cardiac disease with marked limitation of functional capacity, serum TGs >500 mg/dl ot total cholesterol >300 mg/dl, serum creatinine>=1.8 mg/dl, renal transplantor current renal dialysis, serum ALT or AST >2.5 times the upper limit of normal, clinical signs or symptoms of liver disease, hemoglobin below the lower limit of normal, HIV infection, treatment with systemic corticosteroids (excluding topical and inhaled) within the previous 4 weeks, BMI <=25 kg/m2, signs or symptoms of substance abuse or life expectancy <3 years  Pre-randomisation phase: there was a placebo lead in period in which all patients received placebo once daily for 3-5 weeks before being randomised to treatment period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: All AHA naïve 3-5 week placebo run in period
Lifestyle advice	patients were asked to maintain a consistent diet and exercise regimen throughout the study
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 21
	Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 16 Frequency of monitoring appointments: 3-5 week placebo run in followed by 16 week treatment phase
Arms	Length of maintenance period (wks): 16

N: 99

Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 35 Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

The primary time point for efficacy anlyses was study endpoint, defined as the last double blind visit at which data were collected. Data are reported for the ITT population, all randomised patients who received at least one dose of study medication and had both a baseline measurement and at least one measurement during the treatment period. Data describing least squares mean (LSM) changes from baseline to endpoint are expressed as percent absolute units.

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include insulin sensitivity measures, fasting insulin and lipid paramters (as units of measurement were not reported)

11 (11%) patients in placebo group, 7 (7%) in 30 mg group and 7 (7%) in 45 mg group discontinued the study

#### Hypoglycaemic events

All hypoglycaemic events (no events) (Hypoglycaemic events were defined by either of the following: (1) a sign or symptom pf hypoglycaemia recorded in the patient diary or (2) an SMBG <=50 mg/dl regardless of the presence of hypoglycaemic signs or symptoms)

### Baseline characteristics

		Р	iogl	itazone (30 mg)	Pioglitazone (45 mg)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	99		59 (SD 11)	99		58.1 (SD 11)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	99	59	(59.6%)	99	52	(52.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	99		22.5 (SD 38.3)	99		20.1 (SD 43.4)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	99	97	(98.0%)	99	93	(93.9%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	99	1	(1.0%)	99	3	(3.0%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	99	1	(1.0%)	99	3	(3.0%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	95		7.5 (SD 0.877)	96		7.6 (SD 0.784)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	99		31.7 (SD 4.6)	99		30.8 (SD 5.1)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	99		86.6 (SD 15.9)	99		84.1 (SD 16.8)		
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wkb	Continuous	99		1.14	99		1.13		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wkb	Continuous	99		1.91	99		1.99		
triglycerides <1.7 mmol/l – 0wk	Dichotomous	95	50	(52.6%)	96	50	(52.1%)		
HDL >1.15 (men) or >1.40 (women) – 0wk	Dichotomous	95	22	(23.2%)	96	27	(28.1%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from SE extracted from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> SDs not reported

		Pioglitazone (30 mg)			Placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	99		59 (SD 11)	99		58 (SD 10.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous		59	(59.6%)	99	49	(49.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	99		22.5 (SD 38.3)	99		17.4 (SD 29.4)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	99	97	(98.0%)	99	96	(97.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	99	1	(1.0%)	99	3	(3.0%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	99	1	(1.0%)	99	0	(0.0%)		

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	95		7.5 (SD 0.877)	96		7.5 (SD 0.294)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	99		31.7 (SD 4.6)	99		31.7 (SD 4.5)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	99		86.6 (SD 15.9)	99		86.3 (SD 17.4)
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wkb	Continuous	99		1.14	99		1.2
Triglycerides (mmol/l) - 0wkb	Continuous	99		1.91	99		1.72
triglycerides <1.7 mmol/l - 0wk	Dichotomous	95	50	(52.6%)	97	54	(55.7%)
HDL >1.15 (men) or >1.40 (women) – 0wk	Dichotomous	95	22	(23.2%)	97	35	(36.1%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from SE extracted from graph <sup>b</sup> SDs not reported

		Pioglitazone (45 mg)				P	lacebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	99		58.1 (SD 11)	99		58 (SD 10.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	99	52	(52.5%)	99	49	(49.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	99		20.1 (SD 43.4)	99		17.4 (SD 29.4)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	99	93	(93.9%)	99	96	(97.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	99	3	(3.0%)	99	3	(3.0%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	99	3	(3.0%)	99	0	(0.0%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	96		7.6 (SD 0.784)	96		7.5 (SD 0.294)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	99		30.8 (SD 5.1)	99		31.7 (SD 4.5)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	99		84.1 (SD 16.8)	99		86.3 (SD 17.4)		
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wkb	Continuous	99		1.13	99		1.2		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wkb	Continuous	99		1.99	99		1.72		
triglycerides <1.7 mmol/l – 0wk	Dichotomous	96	50	(52.1%)	97	54	(55.7%)		
HDL >1.15 (men) or >1.40 (women) –	Dichotomous	96	27	(28.1%)	97	35	(36.1%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from SE extracted from graph <sup>b</sup> SDs not reported

		Р	-	azone (30 mg)	Pioglitazone (45 mg)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Mean change	95		-0.8	96		-0.9		
HbA1c (%) – 16wkb	Continuous	95		6.7 (SD 0.585)	96		6.7 (SD 0.764)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 16wk	Dichotomous	99	67	(67.7%)	99	66	(66.7%)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wkc	Mean change	99		0.35	99		0.82		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 16wk	Dichotomous	99			99				NS
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 16wk	Dichotomous	95	10d	(10.5%)	96	11e	(11.5%)		

Adverse events:								
Any adverse event(s) – 16wk	Dichotomous	99	63	(63.6%)	99	79	(79.8%)	NS
Arthralgia – 16wk	Dichotomous	99	3	(3.0%)	99	10	(10.1%)	NS
Edema peripheral – 16wkd	Dichotomous	99	14	(14.1%)	99	16	(16.2%)	NS
Dropouts:								
Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	99	7	(7.1%)	99	7	(7.1%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	99	2	(2.0%)	99	2	(2.0%)	NR
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 16wk	Dichotomous	99	1	(1.0%)	99	0	(0.0%)	NR
Lipids:	Mean						0.4 (SD	
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wkf	change	95		4 (SD 19.5)	96		17.6)	NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wkf	Mean change	95		16.4 (SD 22.4)	96		19.5 (SD 21.6)	NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 16wkf	Mean change	95		-6 (SD 44.8)	96		-16.5 (SD 45.1)	NS
	Mean			6.8 (SD			2.3 (SD	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wkf	change	95		28.3)	96		28.4)	NS
triglycerides <1.7 mmol/l – 16wk	Dichotomous	95	57	(60.0%)	96	68	(70.8%)	NR
HDL >1.15 (men) or >1.40 (women) - 16wk	Dichotomous	95	50	(52.6%)	96	54	(56.3%)	NR
baseline Hba1c >=6.5% to <7% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkg	Mean change	33		-0.28 (SD 0.689)	30		-0.38 (SD 0.493)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 16wk	Dichotomous	33	31	(93.9%)	30	28	(93.3%)	
baseline Hba1c >=7% to <8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkg	Mean change	37		-0.77 (SD 0.608)	36		-0.77 (SD 0.42)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 16wk	Dichotomous	37	29	(78.4%)	36	26	(72.2%)	
baseline Hba1c >=8% to <=9.8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkg	Mean change	25		-1.4 (SD 0.75)	30		-1.5 (SD 0.767)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 16wk	Dichotomous	25	7	(28.0%)	30	12	(40.0%)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD not reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> SD calculated from SE extracted from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> No SDs reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> estimated fom graph <sup>g</sup> estimated from graph

Pioglitazone (30 mg) Placebo Ν k N k Δр mean mean Blood glucose: Mean change HbA1c (%) - 16wka 95 -0.8 96 -0.2 < 0.001 Mean HbA1c (%) - 16wka change 99 -0.8 99 -0.2 < 0.001 7.3 (SD 6.7 (SD HbA1c (%) - 16wkb Continuous 95 0.585) 96 0.588) HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 16wkDichotomous 99 67 (67.7%)99 41 (41.4%)< 0.001 Body weight: Mean Weight (kg) - 16wkc change 0.35 99 -1.58 < 0.001 Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) - 16wk Dichotomous 99 99 NS All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) - 16wk Dichotomous 99 10d (10.5%) 99 11e (11.5%) NS All hypoglycaemic events (no NS patients) - 16wk Dichotomous 95 10d (10.5%) 96 11e (11.5%)

Adverse events:								
Any adverse event(s) – 16wk	Dichotomous	99	63	(63.6%)	99	68	(68.7%)	NS
Arthralgia – 16wk	Dichotomous	99	3	(3.0%)	99	2	(2.0%)	NS
Edema peripheral – 16wkd	Dichotomous	99	14	(14.1%)	99	16	(16.2%)	NS
Dropouts:								
Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	99	7	(7.1%)	99	11	(11.1%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	99	2	(2.0%)	99	1	(1.0%)	NR
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 16wk	Dichotomous	99	1	(1.0%)	99	5	(5.1%)	NR
Lipids:	Mean	95		4 (SD	97		2 (SD	NS
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wkf	change Mean	95		19.5)	97		16.7)	INO
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wkf	change	95		16.4 (SD 22.4)	97		9.1 (SD 19.7)	0.028
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 16wkf	Mean change	95		-6 (SD 44.8)	97		1 (SD 39.4)	NS
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wkf	Mean change	95		6.8 (SD 28.3)	96		3.5 (SD 23.5)	NS
triglycerides <1.7 mmol/l – 16wk	Dichotomous	95	57	(60.0%)	97	55	(56.7%)	NR
HDL >1.15 (men) or >1.40 (women) – 16wk	Dichotomous	95	50	(52.6%)	97	44	(45.4%)	NR
baseline Hba1c >=6.5% to <7%								
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkg	Mean change	33		-0.28 (SD 0.689)	29		-0.01 (SD 0.592)	NS
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 16wk	Dichotomous	33	31	(93.9%)	29	25	(86.2%)	
baseline Hba1c >=7% to <8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkg	Mean change	37		-0.77 (SD 0.608)	42		-0.2 (SD 0.648)	<0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 16wk	Dichotomous	37	29	(78.4%)	42	15	(35.7%)	0.002
baseline Hba1c >=8% to <=9.8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkg	Mean change	25		-1.4 (SD 0.75)	25		-0.26 (SD 0.8)	<0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 16wk	Dichotomous	25	7	(28.0%)	25	1	(4.0%)	
a op								

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD not reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> SD calculated from SE extracted from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> No SDs reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> estimated fom graph <sup>g</sup> estimated from graph

Pioglitazone (45 mg) **Placebo** k N k mean Δр mean Blood glucose: Mean HbA1c (%) - 16wka 96 -0.9 -0.2 < 0.001 change Mean HbA1c (%) - 16wka change 99 -0.9 -0.2 < 0.001 6.7 (SD 7.3 (SD HbA1c (%) - 16wkb Continuous 96 0.764)96 0.588) Dichotomous 99 66 (66.7%) HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 16wk0.001 99 41 (41.4%) Body weight: Mean Weight (kg) - 16wkc change 0.82 -1.58 < 0.001 Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) - 16wkd Dichotomous 96 11 (11.5%) 96 11 (11.5%) NS All hypoglycaemic events (no NS patients) - 16wkd Dichotomous 99 11 (11.5%) 99 11 (11.5%) Adverse events: NS Dichotomous 99 79 (79.8%) 99 68 (68.7%) Any adverse event(s) – 16wk

Arthralgia – 16wk	Dichotomous	99	10	(10.1%)	99	2	(2.0%)	0.017
Edema peripheral – 16wke	Dichotomous	99	16	(16.2%)	99	16	(16.2%)	NS
Dropouts:								
Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	99	7	(7.1%)	99	11	(11.1%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	99	2	(2.0%)	99	1	(1.0%)	NR
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 16wk	Dichotomous	99	0	(0.0%)	99	5	(5.1%)	NR
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wkf	Mean change	96		0.4 (SD 17.6)	97		2 (SD 16.7)	NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wkf	Mean change	96		19.5 (SD 21.6)	97		9.1 (SD 19.7)	<0.001
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 16wkf	Mean change	96		-16.5 (SD 45.1)	97		1 (SD 39.4)	0.007
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wkf	Mean change	96		2.3 (SD 28.4)	96		3.5 (SD 23.5)	NS
triglycerides <1.7 mmol/l – 16wk	Dichotomous	96	68	(70.8%)	97	55	(56.7%)	NR
HDL >1.15 (men) or >1.40 (women) - 16wk	Dichotomous	96	54	(56.3%)	97	44	(45.4%)	NR
baseline Hba1c >=6.5% to <7%								
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkg	Mean change	30		-0.38 (SD 0.493)	29		-0.01 (SD 0.592)	NS
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 16wk	Dichotomous	30	28	(93.3%)	29	25	(86.2%)	
baseline Hba1c >=7% to <8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkg	Mean change	36		-0.77 (SD 0.42)	42		-0.2 (SD 0.648)	<0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 16wk	Dichotomous	36	26	(72.2%)	42	15	(35.7%)	0.01
baseline Hba1c >=8% to <=9.8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkg	Mean change	30		-1.5 (SD 0.767)	25		-0.26 (SD 0.8)	<0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 16wk	Dichotomous	30	12	(40.0%)	25	1	(4.0%)	0.03

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD not reported

Continuous measures were assssed by a fixed effects ANCOVA, using last observation carried forward. The model included treatment, investigator and baseline value as covariates. Pairwise t-tests between pioglitazone and placebo were calculated from LSM values derived from the model and were adjusted for multiplicity using Hochberg's method. Differences among treatments for additional categorical variables were analysed using chi-squared

#### **Table 51: Hoffmann & (1994)**

#### General Phase: ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: Germany

Authors' conclusions: Acarbose and glibenclamide are effectiev drugs for monotherapy of NIDDM patients when diet alone fails. Because postprandial insulin increase has been shown to be associated with increased risk for cardiovascular disease, acarbose, which lowers PP increase, may be superior to to glibenclamide, which elevates postprandial insulin increase

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> SD calculated from SE extracted from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> No SDs reported approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

f estimated fom graph

g estimated from graph

Source of funding: Unclear

Comments: Signle-blind (to allow investigators to adjust glibenclamide)

Number and characteristics	Total number of patients: 96 Inclusion criteria: Patients with NIDDN				<u> </u>	35-	70 y	ears with diabet	es f	or			
of patients	at least 3 months and BMI <=35 kg/m2 Exclusion criteria: AST>=50 U/I, ALT	>=50 U/L, tumo	ors, į	oreg	nancy								
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking g Details of washout period: NIDDM ind		_						eer	ing			
Lifestyle advice	No details reported												
Follow-up	. ,												
Arms	(1) Placebo N: 30 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: AHA naïve Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) (2) Glibenclamide N: 27 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: AHA naïve Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) — Mean dose (mg/d): 4 Minimum dose (mg/d) Maximum dose (mg/d) Maximum dose (mg/d) Details of dosing regi day  (3) Acarbose N: 28 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: AHA naïve Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) — fixe Set dose (mg/d):300 Frequency of dosing: Details of dosing regi	.3 ): 3.5 d): 7 men: Glibencla ed-dose three times a c	mide	3.5 e	5 mg administer		divid	dually 1-3 times	per				
Outcomes													
Baseline characteristics				ı	Placebo		Glik	penclamide					
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р			
	Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	30	40	56.9 (SD 6.7)	27	40	59.5 (SD 5.7)					
	Sex (n male)  Duration of diabetes (months)	Dichotomous	30	40	(133.3%) 12.1 (SD 10.8)	27	48	(177.8%) 17.6 (SD 13.1)					
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	30		8.29 (SD 0.37)	27		8.3 (SD 0.37)					
	Adverse events: liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 0wk	Continuous	30		14.7	27		12.6					
	Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 0wk <sup>a</sup> LS mean and SD	Continuous	30		10.8	27		9.7					

Placebo

Acarbose

Δр

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	30		56.9 (SD 6.7)	28		58.8 (SD 6.9)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	30	40	(133.3%)	28	46	(164.3%)
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	30		12.1 (SD 10.8)	28		12.7 (SD 10.8)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	30		8.29 (SD 0.37)	28		8.29 (SD 0.42)
Adverse events: liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 0wk	Continuous	30		14.7	28		13.7
Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 0wk	Continuous	30		10.8	28		b

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> LS mean and SD <sup>b</sup> NR

			Glik	enclamide		A	Acarbose		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	27		59.5 (SD 5.7)	28		58.8 (SD 6.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	27	48	(177.8%)	28	46	(164.3%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	27		17.6 (SD 13.1)	28		12.7 (SD 10.8)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	27		8.3 (SD 0.37)	28		8.29 (SD 0.42)		
Adverse events: liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 0wk	Continuous	27		12.6	28		13.7		
Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 0wk	Continuous	27		9.7	28		b		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> LS mean and SD <sup>b</sup> NR

			ı	Placebo	C	Slik	oenclamide		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	30		8.23 (SD 0.363)	27		7.55 (SD 0.345)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	30		8.42 (SD 0.335)	27		7.5 (SD 0.292)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	30		0.16 (SD 0.39)	27		-0.76 (SD 0.39)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	30		0.16 (SD 0.67)	27		-1.25 (SD 0.89)		
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	30	0	(0.0%)	27	2	(7.4%)		
Adverse events: liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 24wk	Continuous	30		13.1	27		14.8		
Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 24wk	Continuous	30		9.7	27		12.4		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	30	0	(0.0%)	27	0	(0.0%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	30		0.01 (SD 1.67)	27		-0.18 (SD 1.61)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	30		0.15 (SD 0.64)	27		-0.07 (SD 0.68)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	30		-0.28 (SD 0.95)	27		-0.43 (SD 1.36)		
<sup>a</sup> estimated from graph; 95% CI converted									

		Placebo		Placebo	Acarbose				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	30		8.23 (SD 0.363)	28		7.55 (SD 0.405)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	30		8.42 (SD 0.335)	28		7.3 (SD 0.324)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	30		0.16 (SD 0.39)	28		-0.98 (SD 0.45)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	30		0.16 (SD 0.67)	28		-1.2 (SD 0.89)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	30	0	(0.0%)	28	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 24wk	Continuous	30		13.1	28		11.4		
Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 24wk	Continuous	30		9.7	28		b		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	30	0	(0.0%)	28	0	(0.0%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	30		0.01 (SD 1.67)	28		-0.59 (SD 1.34)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	30		0.15 (SD 0.64)	28		0.09 (SD 0.44)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	30		-0.28 (SD 0.95)	28		-0.58 (SD 1.21)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph; 95% CI converted <sup>b</sup> NR

		G	Slik	enclamide		Δ	carbose		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	27		7.55 (SD 0.345)	28		7.55 (SD 0.405)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	27		7.5 (SD 0.292)	28		7.3 (SD 0.324)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	27		-0.76 (SD 0.39)	28		-0.98 (SD 0.45)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	27		-1.25 (SD 0.89)	28		-1.2 (SD 0.89)		
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	27	2	(7.4%)	28	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 24wk	Continuous	27		14.8	28		11.4		
Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 24wk	Continuous	27		12.4	28		b		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	27	0	(0.0%)	28	0	(0.0%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	27		-0.18 (SD 1.61)	28		-0.59 (SD 1.34)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	27		-0.07 (SD 0.68)	28		0.09 (SD 0.44)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	27		-0.43 (SD 1.36)	28		-0.58 (SD 1.21)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph; 95% CI converted <sup>b</sup> NR

### **Table 52: Hoffmann & (1997)**

General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Germany  Authors' conclusions: Acarbose and m with NIDDM. With respect to plasma lipid superior to metformin  Source of funding: Unclear  Comments: Double-blind for acarbose/p	profile, especi	ally l	HDL	and LDL chol	este				
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 96 Inclusion criteria: patients with NIDDM on diet alone with Hba1c 7-11%, aged 35 to 70 years, diagnosis of diabetes for at least 3 months, stable bodyweight and BMI <=35 kg/m2 Exclusion criteria: Biochemical liver or renal dysfuntion, serious acute disease, congestive heart failure									
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: All AHA naïve									
Lifestyle advice	atients were to receive a diet tailored to individual energy requirements. Individual dietary enforcement was ade at screening and during the study									
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients visited the centres every 6 weeks									
Arms	(1) Acarbose N: 31 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): - Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – fixed Set dose (mg/d):300 Details of dosing regime (2) Metformin N: 31 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): - Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixed Set dose (mg/d):1700 Details of dosing regime (3) Placebo N: 32 Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): - Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)	en: 3x100mg/d								
Outcomes  Baseline										
characteristics			N	Ac k	mean	N	Me k	etformin mean	_	р
	Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	31		58.9 (SD 9.4)	31		55.9 (SD 7.8)		
	Sex (n male)	Dichotomous		6a	(19.4%)	31	14b	(45.2%)		
	Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	31		36.9 (SD 27.2)	31		25 (SD 17.4)		

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	31	9.6 (SD 0.9)	31	9.7 (SD 0.9)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	31	9.1 (SD 0.7)	31	8.8 (SD 0.6)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	31	26.4 (SD 2.7)	31	27.4 (SD 2.2)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	31	73.9 (SD 10.3)	31	79 (SD 8.8)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	31	6.3 (SD 1.23)	31	5.74 (SD 1.1)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	31	1.42 (SD 0.37)	31	1.61 (SD 0.56)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	31	1.68 (SD 0.86)	31	1.41 (SD 0.91)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	31	4.08 (SD 1.23)	31	3.59 (SD 1.06)
LDL/HDL ratio – 0wk	Continuous	31	3.15 (SD 1.62)	31	2.56 (SD 1.17)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
<sup>b</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

			A	carbose		Р	lacebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	31		58.9 (SD 9.4)	32		60.2 (SD 8.6)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	31	6a	(19.4%)	32	12b	(37.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	31		36.9 (SD 27.2)	32		43.2 (SD 33.9)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	31		9.6 (SD 0.9)	32		9.4 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	31		9.1 (SD 0.7)	32		8.7 (SD 0.6)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	31		26.4 (SD 2.7)	32		26.3 (SD 2.2)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	31		73.9 (SD 10.3)	32		74.9 (SD 9.7)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	31		6.3 (SD 1.23)	32		5.9 (SD 1.08)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	31		1.42 (SD 0.37)	32		1.54 (SD 0.43)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	31		1.68 (SD 0.86)	32		1.6 (SD 0.83)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	31		4.08 (SD 1.23)	32		3.62 (SD 1.27)		
LDL/HDL ratio – 0wk	Continuous	31		3.15 (SD 1.62)	32		2.63 (SD 1.41)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
<sup>b</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

			Metformin Placebo						
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	31		55.9 (SD 7.8)	32		60.2 (SD 8.6)		
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	31	14	(45.2%)	32	12	(37.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	31		25 (SD 17.4)	32		43.2 (SD 33.9)		

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	31	9.7 (SD 0.9)	32	9.4 (SD 0.9)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	31	8.8 (SD 0.6)	32	8.7 (SD 0.6)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	31	27.4 (SD 2.2)	32	26.3 (SD 2.2)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	31	79 (SD 8.8)	32	74.9 (SD 9.7)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	31	5.74 (SD 1.1)	32	5.9 (SD 1.08)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	31	1.61 (SD 0.56)	32	1.54 (SD 0.43)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	31	1.41 (SD 0.91)	32	1.6 (SD 0.83)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	31	3.59 (SD 1.06)	32	3.62 (SD 1.27)
LDL/HDL ratio – 0wk	Continuous	31	2.56 (SD 1.17)	32	2.63 (SD 1.41)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

				Acarbose			Metformin		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	31		8.7 (SD 0.909)	31		8.95 (SD 0.71)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	31		8.5 (SD 0.909)	31		8.8 (SD 0.852)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wka	Continuous	31		7.881852 (SD 0.631)	31		7.937358 (SD 0.473)		
Body weight:									
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	31		73.1 (SD 10.2)	31		78.5 (SD 8.4)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	31	3	(9.7%)	31	1	(3.2%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	31		5.41 (SD 1.04)	31		5.83 (SD 0.85)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	31		1.65 (SD 0.61)	31		1.61 (SD 0.54)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	31		1.23 (SD 0.62)	31		1.28 (SD 0.6)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	31		3.19 (SD 0.96)	31		3.64 (SD 0.98)		
LDL/HDL ratio – 24wk	Continuous	31		2.31 (SD 1.38)	31		2.56 (SD 1.09)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph; converted 95% CI

				Acarbose		F	Placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	31		8.7 (SD 0.909)	32		9.5 (SD 0.866)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	31		8.5 (SD 0.909)	32		9.7 (SD 0.895)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	31		7.881852 (SD 0.631) a	32		b		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	31		73.1 (SD 10.2)	32		75.1 (SD 9.5)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	31	3	(9.7%)	32	1	(3.1%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	31		5.41 (SD 1.04)	32		5.83 (SD 1.21)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	31		1.65 (SD 0.61)	32		1.39 (SD 0.33)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	31		1.23 (SD 0.62)	32		1.46 (SD 0.61)		

LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	31	3.19 (SD 0.96)	32	3.8 (SD 1.12)
LDL/HDL ratio – 24wk	Continuous	31	2.31 (SD 1.38)	32	3.01 (SD 1.5)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph; converted 95% CI <sup>b</sup> unclear on graph

				Metformin		F	Placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	31		8.95 (SD 0.71)	32		9.5 (SD 0.866)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	31		8.8 (SD 0.852)	32		9.7 (SD 0.895)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	31		7.937358 (SD 0.473) a	32		b		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	31		78.5 (SD 8.4)	32		75.1 (SD 9.5)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	31	1	(3.2%)	32	1	(3.1%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	31		5.83 (SD 0.85)	32		5.83 (SD 1.21)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	31		1.61 (SD 0.54)	32		1.39 (SD 0.33)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	31		1.28 (SD 0.6)	32		1.46 (SD 0.61)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	31		3.64 (SD 0.98)	32		3.8 (SD 1.12)		
LDL/HDL ratio – 24wk	Continuous	31		2.56 (SD 1.09)	32		3.01 (SD 1.5)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph; converted 95% CI <sup>b</sup> unclear on graph

#### **Table 53: Holman et al. (1999)**

General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy
	□ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel
	Country: UK (from UKPDS trial)  Authors' conclusions: Acarbose significantly improved glycaemic control over 3 years in patients with established type 2 diabetes, irrespective of concomitant therapy for diabetes. Careful titration of acarbose is needed in view of the increased noncompliance rate seen secondary to the known side effects  Source of funding: Supported by Bayer UK  Comments: Double-blind placebo controlled trial
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 222 Inclusion criteria: Unclear (see full UKPDS paper or appropriate cochrane review for details) Exclusion criteria: Unclear (see full UKPDS paper or appropriate cochrane review for details)
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Data from subgroup analyses of people on diet alone were extracted

Lifestyle advice	-											
Follow-up	Total follow-up (v Length of titration Length of mainte Frequency of mo	n period (wks nance period	d (wk			ents we	ere s	seen at 4 m	onthly intervals			
Arms	Washout period (d Comments: Only s Treatment(s): (2) Acarbose (100 N: 115 Treatment duration Washout period (d Comments: Only s Treatment(s):	N: 107  Treatment duration (wks): 156  Washout period (d): 0  Comments: Only subgroup analyses in patients on diet alone were extracted  Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)  (2) Acarbose (100mg TID)  N: 115  Treatment duration (wks): 157  Washout period (d): 0  Comments: Only subgroup analyses in patients on diet alone were extracted										
Outcomes	General  Data were only exi were limited to bet								ne at baseline (i.e. drug naïve) endpoint.	. These		
Baseline characteristics												
Results			Р	lac	ebo	Acarl	oose TI	e (100mg D)				
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р		
	ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3yr	Continuous	107			115			MD=-0.200 (CI: -0.680, 0.280)	0.4a		
	PP Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3yr	Continuous	73			49			MD=-0.610 (CI: -1.310, 0.090)	0.092a		
	<sup>a</sup> SE calculated fro	m reported 9	5% C	I								
	Baseline details no	ot reported for	· patie	ents	s on die	t alone						

### Table 54: Horton et al. (2000)

	•
General	Phase:
	☑ monotherapy
	□ dual therapy
	☐ triple therapy
	□ insulin monotherapy
	□ insulin+oral
	Parallel / crossover: Parallel
	Country: USA
	<b>Authors' conclusions:</b> Nateglinide and metformin monotherapy each improved overall glycaemic control but by different mechanisms. Nateglinide decreased mealtime glucose excursions, whereas metformin

primarily affected FPG. In combination, nateglinide and metformin had complementary effects, improving Hba1c, FPG and postprandial hyperglycaemia

Source of funding: financial support from Novartis

**Comments:** prospective, double blind randomised placebo-controlled study. Randomisation method involved a computerised system and a double-dummy technique was used to maintain double-blinding (patients in the monoptherapy groups received their assigned active treatment plus identical placebo for the alternative therapy, whereas patients in the placebo group received both dummy nateglinide and metformin tablets. All patients therefore received 6 tablets each day).

# Number and characteristics of patients

#### Total number of patients: 701

**Inclusion criteria:** patients were included in the study if they were aged 30 years and over and had been diagnosed with type 2 diabetes for at least 3 months. BMI was required to be 20-35 kg/m2

**Exclusion criteria:** type 1 diabetes, secondary forms of diabetes, a history of significant diabetic complications or renal impairment

Pre-randomisation phase: patients underwent a 4 week washout period, all patients had to have been treated with diet alone (all OHAs discontinued) during the 4 week washout period before enrollment in the 4 week single blind placebo run-in period. Patients with Hba1c levels between 6.8% and 11% during run-in and with an FPG <=15 mmol/l were able to proceed to treatment randomisation.

#### Previous glucoselowering therapy

Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin

**Details of washout period:** all OHAs were discontinued for at least 4 weeks before the 4 week placebo runin period (trial does not report proportions of patients taking OHAs before this time but separate subgroup analysis of previously drug naïve patients are reported in Horton 2004)

#### Lifestyle advice

patients were expected to maintain a constant diet throughout both phases of the study

#### Follow-up

#### Total follow-up (wks): 28

Length of titration period (wks): 0

Length of maintenance period (wks): 24

Frequency of monitoring appointments: clinic visits were scheduled for -4 and -2 during the run-in period, at randomisation (week 0) and weeks 4, 8, 12, 16 and 24 during treatment

#### Arms

#### (1) Metformin

N: 178

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 56

Comments: Patients were on diet and exercise for 4 weeks before starting a 4 week single-blind placebo run

in

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – forced titration

Maximum dose (mg/d): 1500

Frequency of dosing: three times a day

Details of dosing regimen: metformin was titrated according to the approved package

labeling to 500 mg (immediately after the start of three main meals)

#### (2) Placebo

N: 172

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 56

Comments: Patients were on diet and exercise for 4 weeks before starting a 4 week single-blind placebo run

in

Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) – fixed-dose

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

the ITT population was used for assessment of change from baseline in Hba1c at week 24 and for all secondary efficacy variables, using the last observation carried forward method for patients who did not complete the study.

Only 2/4 arms have been extracted into this evidence table, data relating to the nateglinide monotherapy arm was not extracted (as this is not licensed for monotherapy) and data relating to the combined nateglinide plus metformin arm were not extracted. All outcomes except area under the curve (AUC) measurements were extracted.

45 (25%) patients in the metformin arm and 66 (38%) patients in the placebo group discontinued the study See Horton (2004) for outcomes

#### Hypoglycaemic events

suspected hypoglycaemia was determined by self-monitoring of blood glucose, patients were taught how to recognise, treat and monitor hypoglycaemia and were instructed to note all symptomatic and asymptomatic events in a study diary. All suspected symptomatic hypoglycaemic events were recorded as adverse events, even in the absence of a confimatory blood glucose measurement

symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia (symptoms suggestive of hypoglycaemia)

confirmed hypoglycaemia (confirmed hypoglycaemia was defined as symptoms consistent with

hypoglycaemia confirmed by a blood glucose measurement <=2.8 mmol/l)

### Baseline characteristics

		Metformin				ıcebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	178		56.8 (SD 10.9)	172		59.6 (SD 10.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	178	121	(68.0%)	172	104	(60.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	178		4.5 (SD 5.5)	172		4.6 (SD 4.7)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	178	141	(79.2%)	172	135	(78.5%)		
Ethnicity-African American	Dichotomous	178	17	(9.6%)	172	29	(16.9%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	178	4	(2.2%)	172	1	(0.6%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	178	16	(9.0%)	172	7	(4.1%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	178		8.4 (SD 1.2)	172		8.3 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178		11 (SD 2.6)	172		10.7 (SD 2.3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	178		29.6 (SD 4.3)	172		29.2 (SD 3.9)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	178		83.54304 (SD 12.1)	172		82.41408 (SD 11)		
treatment-naive (reported in Horton et al. 2004)									
Demographics: Age (years) b	Continuous	104		55.4 (SD 11.2)	104		59 (SD 11.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	104	70	(67.3%)	104	67	(64.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	104		3.7 (SD 4.08)	104		4.2 (SD 4.08)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	98		8.3 (SD 1.02)	98		8.2 (SD 1.02)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	101		10 (SD 2.04)	99		10.4 (SD 1.99)		
2h postprandial glucose excursion (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	80		2.3 (SD 1.79)	77		2.1 (SD 1.75)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	104		29.9 (SD 4.08)	104		29.5 (SD 4.08)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	104		84.38976 (SD 11.5)	104		83.2608 (SD 11.5)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m <sup>b</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

		ı	Metf	ormin		Pla	cebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	178			172			MD=- 1.200	<0.0001
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	178			172				<0.0001
Hypoglycaemic events:  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	178			172				NR
symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	178			172				NR
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	178			172				NR
cardiovascular AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	178			172				NR
Death – 24wk	Dichotomous	178			172				NR
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	178			172				NR

GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178			172				NR
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	45	(25.3%)	172	66	(38.4%)		
Dropout due to AEs - 24wk	Dichotomous	178	12	(6.7%)	172	9	(5.2%)		
baseline Hba1c >=9.5% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	0			0			MD=- 1.500	а
treatment-naive (reported in Horton et al. 2004)									
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Mean change	98		-0.8 (SD 0.99)	98		0.5 (SD 0.99)		<0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wkc	Dichotomous	98	40	(40.8%)	98	17	(17.3%)		NR
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	101		-1.2 (SD 3.01) b	99		0.27 (SD 2.98) d		<0.001
2h postprandial glucose excursion (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	80		-1 (SD 1.79)	77		-0.5 (SD 1.75)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	104		е	104		-0.2 (SD 4.08) b		NR
Hypoglycaemic events: symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	11	(10.6%)	104	3	(2.9%)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	1	(1.0%)	104	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	10	(9.6%)	104	4	(3.8%)		
Back pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	5	(4.8%)	104	7	(6.7%)		
Chest pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	3	(2.9%)	104	4	(3.8%)		
Cough – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	4	(3.8%)	104	3	(2.9%)		
Dizziness – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	4	(3.8%)	104	4	(3.8%)		
Dyspepsia – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	8	(7.7%)	104	4	(3.8%)		
Fatigue – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	6	(5.8%)	104	2	(1.9%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	21	(20.2%)	104	7	(6.7%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	7	(6.7%)	104	5	(4.8%)		
GI: constipation – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	6	(5.8%)	104	3	(2.9%)		
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	4	(3.8%)	104	12	(11.5%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	11	(10.6%)	104	14	(13.5%)		
Palpitations – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	0	(0.0%)	104	0	(0.0%)		
pharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	2	(1.9%)	104	1	(1.0%)		
Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	3	(2.9%)	104	7	(6.7%)		
Temperature/influenza – 24wk	Dichotomous	104	9	(8.7%)	104	4	(3.8%)		
9									

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> No of patients for subgroup not reported

See Horton (2004) for outcomes

An ANCOVA model that included effects for treatment, centre, baseline measure, treatment by centre interaction and treatment by baseline interaction was used to compare the effects of the four treatment groups

#### Table 55: Hotta et al. (1993)

General

Phase:

b SD calculated from reported SE approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) estimated from graph

e not reported

	<ul> <li>☑ monotherapy</li> <li>☐ dual therapy</li> <li>☐ triple therapy</li> <li>☐ insulin monotherapy</li> <li>☐ insulin+oral</li> <li>Parallel / crossover: Parallel</li> <li>Country: Unclear but assumed Japan</li> <li>Authors' conclusions: The present study demonstrated that initial combination therapy with netwglinide and metformin substantially reduces both fasting and postprandial glucose and is a safe and effective means of achieving therapeutic targets in drug naïve patients with type 2 diabetes</li> <li>Source of funding: Unclear</li> <li>Comments: Double-blind, placebo controlled trial</li> </ul>
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 37 Inclusion criteria: Patients with NIDDM, aged 35 to 75 years, without serious complications and who had 2 hour postprandial blood glucose 11.2 mmol/l or higher after diet as sole treatment for at least 2-3 months Exclusion criteria: No details reported Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 4 week placebo controlled run-in period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: All AHA naïve
Lifestyle advice	Details not reported
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 28 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: HbA1c levels were determined at weeks 0, 8, 16 and 24
Arms	(1) Placebo N: 18 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 28 Comments: All AHA naïve Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) (2) Acarbose (100mg TID) N: 19 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 28 Comments: All AHA naïve Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):300 Frequency of dosing: three times a day Details of dosing regimen: Acarbose 100 mg TID
Outcomes	General Overall, data from 24 trials have been extracted into this evidence table. Data was not extracted for the following RCTs for the reasons provided below;  1) Campbell (1998) was abstract only and insufficient data for meta-analysis  2) Calle-Pascual (1996)-letter only  3) Haffner (1997)-unclear previous OADs  4) Drent (2002), Johnston (1998a), Johnston (1998b), Pagano (1995)-comparisons with miglitol  5) Hillebrand (1987)-paper was not found as there was an incorrect citation  6) Holmes (2001) comparison with nateglinide  7) Kawamori (2003) comparisons with miglitol and voglibose  8) Rybka (1999) paper not available from British library  9) Takami (2002) comparison with voglibose  10) Hoffman (1990)-Not in English  11) Kovacevic (1997)-unclear definition of basic principles of care  12) Van der Laar (2004a)-newly diagnosed diabetes but no mention of previous OADs or if treatment naïve  13) Zheng (1995)-Not in English  Data for the following RCTs have been extracted elsewhere within this review question; Hoffman (1997)

## Baseline characteristics

		Placebo			Acarbose (100mg TID)				
		N k mean		N	k	mean	Δ	р	
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	18		47.9 [rng 31– 67]	19		49.8 [rng 31– 66]		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	18	14	(77.8%)	19	14	(73.7%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	18		4.8 [rng 0.7– 11]	19		4.6 [rng 0.3– 14]		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	13		10.3 (SD 1.44)	16		11.1 (SD 2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	15		8.8 (SD 2.32)	16		8.9 (SD 2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	18		22.9 [rng 18– 26.8]	19		23.5 [rng 20– 28.3]		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	18		60.8 (SD 9.76)	19		60.7 (SD 6.97)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	13		5.1 (SD 0.721)	16		5.5 (SD 1.2)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	13		1.2 (SD 0.361)	16		1.2 (SD 0.4)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk <sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE	Continuous	13		1.7 (SD 0.721)	16		1.5 (SD 0.8)		

		Placebo			Acarbose (100mg TID)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Continuous	18		10.5 (SD 2.55)	19		10.1 (SD 1.74)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	13		-0.42 (SD 1.3)	16		-1.38 (SD 1.75)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Continuous	13		9.9 (SD 1.8)	16		9.7 (SD 2.4)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wka	Continuous	18		8.9 (SD 2.12)	19		8.2 (SD 1.96)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	13		-0.04 (SD 1.29)	16		-0.71 (SD 1.85)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	18		8.7 (SD 2.55)	19		8.2 (SD 1.74) a		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	18		8.7 (SD 2.55)	19		8.2 (SD 2.18)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	18		8.8 (SD 1.91) a	19		8.2 (SD 2.18)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wka	Continuous	18		8.8 (SD 1.91)	19		8.2 (SD 1.74)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	15		-0.82 (SD 1.09)	16		-0.81 (SD 3.22)		
Weight (kg) – 24wkb	Continuous	18		60 (SD 8.91)	19		59.9 (SD 6.97)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	18	11	(61.1%)	19	15	(78.9%)		
Flatulence – 24wk	Dichotomous	18	7	(38.9%)	19	10	(52.6%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	18	1	(5.6%)	19	1	(5.3%)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	18	0	(0.0%)	19	1	(5.3%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	13		0.12 (SD 0.57)	16		0.04 (SD 0.68)		
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	13		5.2 (SD 1.27)	16		5.5 (SD 1.31)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	12		0.15 (SD 0.17)	16		0.06 (SD 0.18)		

HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	12	1.3 (SD 0.424)	16	1.3 (SD 0.436)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	13	0.15 (SD 0.58)	16	-0.18 (SD 0.55)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	13	1.8 (SD 0.849)	16	1.3 (SD 0.872)
<sup>a</sup> estimated from graph; ANCOVA <sup>b</sup> SD calculated from reported SE					

#### Table 56: Iwamoto et al. (2010)

Table 56: lwa	amoto et al. (2010)
General	Phase:  monotherapy dual therapy triple therapy insulin monotherapy insulin monotherapy insulin-toral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Japan  Authors' conclusions: Treatement with sitagliptin for 12 weeks provided significant and clinically meaningful reductions in Hba1c, FPG and 2-h PPG across the dose range studies and was generally well tolerated in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes  Source of funding: Supported by Merck Research Laboratories and Banyu Pharmaceutical company  Comments: Phase II, randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled, parallel-arm study. Patients were allocated to treatment assignment using an allocation schedule created by a Japanese third party vendor that packaged the clinical supplies and provided them to the clinical site. Numbered containers were used to implement allocation and each patient was assigned the next number in the sequence upon being enrolled. All study personnel, including investigators, study site personnel, patients, monitors, and central laboratory personnel, remained blinded to treatment allocation throughout the study; the code was revealed to the researchers only after case report forms were completed, the database was locked, and statistical analysis plans were finalised.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 363  Inclusion criteria: Male and female Japanese patients aged 20 to 75 years with type 2 diabetes were eligible to participate if they had inadequate glycemic control and, at screening, were either taking an oral anti-hyperglycemic agent (OHA) or had not taken an OHA for at least 8 weeks. At screening/Visit 1, the HbArt cinclusion criteria ranged from =6.5% to <10% for patients not on an OHA (for at least 8 weeks) and =6% to =9% for patients on OHA monotherapy (within 8 weeks prior to Visit 1)  Exclusion criteria: Patients with type 1 diabetes, history of diabetic ketoacidosis, serum C-peptide =0.7 ng/dL at screening, treatment with insulin, PPAR-gamma agonist (pioglitazone) or any combination OHA therapy in the 8 weeks prior to screening, unstable cardiac disease, active liver or gallbladder disease, inadequately controlled hypertension (systolic or diastolic blood pressure >160 mm Hg or >100 mm Hg, respectively), elevated serum creatinine (>1.3 mg/dL in men and >1.2 mg/dL in women), and elevations >2-fold the upper limit of normal (ULN) in alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and/or aspartate aminotransferase (AST) were excluded.  Pre-randomisation phase: The study included a screening diet/ exercise run-in period of up to 8 weeks, including a 2-week single-blind placebo run-in period. At Visit 2, patients who had been on a diet and exercise program for at least 6 weeks and who had not taken an OHA for at least 6 weeks were eligible to directly enter the placebo run-in period. All other patients underwent a 6-week diet and exercise program (and wash-off period for patients on OHA at screening) prior to entering the placebo run-in period. This design ensured that patients received =8 weeks of diet and exercise therapy without OHA treatment before randomization. Patients with an HbA1c =6.5 and <10% and a fasting plasma glucose (FPG) =270 mg/dL upon entering the placebo run-in period and with =75% compliance with the single-blind placebo were randomised
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All other patients underwent a 6-week diet and exercise program (and wash-off period for patients on OHA at screening) prior to entering the placebo run-in period
Lifestyle advice	patients received diet and exercise therapy during the active treatment phase
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 22

Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12

**Frequency of monitoring appointments:** Up to 8 week wash out period, 12 week treatment period and safety telephone follow up on adverse events 14 days later

HbA1c, FPG were measured at baseline (i.e., Week 0 prior to the first dose of study medication) and at various time points throughout the study

#### **Arms**

#### (1) Sitagliptin (25 mg)

N: 80

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56

Comments: There was an 8 week period consisting of diet/exercise and placebo run-in

Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):25

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: administered once daily before breakfast for 12 weeks

#### (2) Sitagliptin (50 mg)

N: 72

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56

Comments: There was an 8 week period consisting of diet/exercise and placebo run-in

Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):50

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: administered once daily before breakfast for 12 weeks

#### (3) Sitagliptin (100 mg)

N: 70

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56

Comments: There was an 8 week period consisting of diet/exercise and placebo run-in

Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: administered once daily before breakfast for 12 weeks

#### (4) Placebo

N: 73

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56

Comments: There was an 8 week period consisting of diet/exercise and placebo run-in

Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) – fixed-dose

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: administered once daily before breakfast for 12 weeks

#### Outcomes

#### General

Efficacy analyses were performed on the full analysis set (FAS) consisting of all randomized patients who received at least one dose of study drug and who had valid measurements both at baseline and at least one post-treatment measurement. Missing data were handled using the last observation carried forward method. Safety analyses were performed on the all-patients- as-treated population (APaT) consisting of all patients who took at least one dose of study drug.

One arm relating to sitagliptin (200 mg qd) was not extracted in this evidence table as this dose is over the recommended dose in the summary product characteristics. Outcomes not extracted include glycosated albumin, HOMA-beta, area under the curve measures, insulinogenic index, 1.5-anhydroglucitol. 3 (3.8%) patients in 25 mg, 1 (1.4%) in the 50 mg, 2 (2.9%) in the 100 mg and 5 (6.8%) in the placebo groups discontinued the study.

#### Hypoglycaemic events

All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) (Hypoglycaemia was assessed by the study site investigator through reviewing patient self-reports of signs and symptoms of hypoglycaemia. A fingerstick BG determination concurrent with the episode was not required to assess an episode as hypoglycaemia, although investigators could include the measurement if it was available in their assessment of the episode)

### Baseline characteristics

#### Error! Not a valid filename.

#### Results

#### Error! Not a valid filename.

The primary efficacy endpoint, the change from baseline in HbA1c at Week 12, was analyzed using an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) model with erms for treatment, prior OHA use, and baseline HbA1c value as covariates. The between-group differences (relative to placebo) and associated 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for efficacy endpoints were assessed by testing the differences in the least squares (LS) mean changes

from baseline at Week 12. A stepwise linear contrast test based on the ANCOVA model was used to examine the dose-response relationship for placebo and the sitagliptin 25-, 50-, 100-, and 200-mg groups. For overall AEs, drug-related AEs, hypoglycemia, and selected gastrointestinal-related AEs (i.e., nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea), the comparisons with placebo were conducted by Fisher's exact test, and betweengroup differences (relative to placebo) and associated 95% CIs were reported.

#### Table 57: Jain et al. (2006)

Tubic Circu	in et al. (2000)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Multicentre (assumed USA)  Authors' conclusions: with long-term treatment, both glyburide and pioglitazone resulted in comparable glycaemic control; however pioglitazone was associated with less hypoglycaemia and fewer withdrawals due to lack of efficacy or adverse events  Source of funding: Sponsored by Takeda Pharmaceuticals and supported by grants from Eli Lilly and Merck and Co and GlaxoSmithKline  Comments: randomised, multicentre, double-blind, active comparator trial but no details of randomisation methods, allocation concealment or blinding
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 502 Inclusion criteria: patients with recently diagnosed type 2 diabetes (<=2 years) unsuccessfully treated with diet and exercise. Patients were treatment naïve men and non pregnant, non lactating women 18-80 years olf age, from the US or Puerto Rico were eligible for enrollment. Treatment naïve was defined as documented type 2 diabetes of less than 2 years duration and not treated with the drugs listed below. At screening patients were required to have Hba1c between 7.5 and 11.5%, fasting C-peptide of i.0 ng/ml or greater and fasting plasma glucose level above 120 mg/dl  Exclusion criteria: any patient whose treatment had previously failed due to lack of efficacy or signs of intolerance, or who had recently (less than 3 months) undergone treatment with rosiglitazone, pioglitaznoe or troglitazone could not participate in the study. Additional exclusion criteria included previous drug or alcohol abuse, previous treatment with any meglitinide analog, alpha-glucosidase inhibitor, metformin, insulin or sulfonylurea treatment for 3 months or more, use of hydrochlorothiazide greater than 25 mg/day, glucocorticoids, steroid joint injections, niacin greater than 250 mg/day, or anti diabetic agents other than the study drugs listed during the trial, concurrent participation or enrollment in another investigational study, serum creatinine above 1.5 mg/dl for men and above 1.4 mg/dl for women, greater than 1+ dipstick proteinuria or equivalent, anemia with hemoglobin levels below 12 g/dl in men and below 10 g/dl in women, diastolic blood pressure above 100 mmHg or systolic blood pressure above 180 mmHg, BMI <20 kg/m2 or >40 kg/m2, ALT level more than 1.5 times the upper limit of normal or active liver disease or jaundice, or triglyceride level greater than 500 mg/dl. Patients were also excluded if they had a chronic condition expected to require recurrent glucocorticoid use, New York Heart Sssociation class III or IV heart failure, an acure cardiovascular event within 6 months before screening i
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Exclusion criteria included time limited previous use of AHAs. Unclear whether all participants were completely drug naïve.
Lifestyle advice	no details reported
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 56 Length of titration period (wks): 16 Length of maintenance period (wks): 40 Frequency of monitoring appointments: no details reported
Arms	(1) Pioglitazone N: 251 Treatment duration (wks): 56 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Median dose (mg/d): 45

Minimum dose (mg/d): 15 Maximum dose (mg/d): 45 Frequency of dosing: once a day

Compliance: mean treatment compliance was 96.8% (assumed this is overall as not

reported by treatment group)

Details of dosing regimen: Starting dose 15 mg/day

16 week titration period: increased by 15mg/d every 4 weeks to a maximum dose of 45mg/d depending on glucose control (FBG maintained between 69 and 141 mg/dl)

After 16 week titration period, patients began 40 week double blind traetment in which the

optimal study drug dosage was maintained for each patient.

During the 40 week maintenance period, the range of mean  $\pm$  SD daily dose at each visit was  $34.9 \pm 11.64$  to  $37.6 \pm 11.30$  mg for pioglitazone. The median daily dose at each visit was 45mg for pioglitazone

#### (2) Glyburide

N: 251

Treatment duration (wks): 56 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Median dose (mg/d): 10 Minimum dose (mg/d): 5 Maximum dose (mg/d): 15 Frequency of dosing: once a day

Compliance: mean treatment compliance was 96.8% (assumed this is overall as not

reported by treatment group)

Details of dosing regimen: Starting dose: 5 mg/d glyburide

16 week titration period: increased by 5mg/d every 4 weeks to a maximum of 15mg/d depending on glucose control (FBG levels maintained between 69 and 141 mg/dl)

After 16 week titration period, patients began 40 week double blind traetment in which the optimal study drug dosage was maintained for each patient

During the 40 week maintenance period, the range of mean  $\pm$  SD daily dose at each visit was  $9.9 \pm 4.33$  to  $10.5 \pm 4.31$  mg for glyburide. The median daily dose at each visit was 10

mg for glyburide

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

All analyses were performed using ITT population defined as any randomly assigned patients receiving one or more doses of study drug. The last observation carried forward was used for missing values ibn analysing the difference from baseline to each measure after baseline.

123 (49%) in glyburide and 117 (47%) in the pioglitazone group did not complete the study All outcomes were extracted in this evidence table

#### Hypoglycaemic events

All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) (a hypoglycaemic event was reported if a patients had 2 or more usual symptoms of hypoglycaemic concurrently, one symptom before ingesting a glucose- or lactose-containing substance, or a blood glucose level below 60mg/dl (with home monitoring) or below 70 mg/dl (with clinical laboratory tests))

### Baseline characteristics

		Pioglitazone				yburide			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	251		52.1 (SD 11.3)	251		52.1 (SD 12.4)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	251	133	(53.0%)	251	141	(56.2%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	251		9.6 (SD 13.8)	251		9.4 (SD 15.2)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	251	153	(61.0%)	251	165	(65.7%)		
Ethnicity-African American	Dichotomous	251	40	(15.9%)	251	34	(13.5%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	251	4	(1.6%)	251	0	(0.0%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	251	52	(20.7%)	251	50	(19.9%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	251	2	(0.8%)	251	2	(0.8%)		
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	251		9.2 (SD 1.2)	251		9.2 (SD 1.26)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl)	Continuous	251		189.4 (SD 51.5)	251		183.8 (SD 53.8)		
Body weight:									
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	251		32.5 (SD 5.75)	251		32.8 (SD 5.71)		
Weight (kg) - 0wk	Continuous	251		93.9 (SD 19.7)	251		94.3 (SD 20)		

Waist/hip ratio	Continuous	251	0.93 (SD 0.073)	251	0.94 (SD 0.075)
Height (cm)	Continuous	251	169.9 (SD 10)	251	169.5 (SD 10.7)

		Pic	glita	zone	G	lybur	ide		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Mean change	251		-2.04 (SD 1.19)	251		-2.45 (SD 1.19)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Mean change	251		-2.22 (SD 1.19)	251		-2.34 (SD 1.19)		
HbA1c (%) – 32wkb	Mean change	251		-2.16	251		-2.24		
HbA1c (%) – 40wkb	Mean change	251		-2.13	251		2.2		
HbA1c (%) – 48wkb	Mean change	251		-2.12	251		-2.06		
HbA1c (%) – 56wka	Mean change	251		-2.07 (SD 1.19)	251		-2.02 (SD 1.58)	MD=- 0.050	0.669
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 56wk	Dichotomous	148	102	(68.9%)	148	99	(66.9%)		NR
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 56wk	Mean change	251		3.66 (SD 6.14)	251		1.95 (SD 5.35)		<0.001
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 56wk	Dichotomous	251			251				NR
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 56wkc	Count	75460	24		74284	176			
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	11	(4.4%)	251	61	(24.3%)		<0.001
recurrent hypoglycaemia – 56wkd	Dichotomous	251	6	(2.4%)	251	33	(13.1%)		0.0001
Adverse events:  Any adverse event(s) – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	205	(81.7%)	251	209	(83.3%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	23	(9.2%)	251	22	(8.8%)		NR
Study drug-related adverse event – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	69	(27.5%)	251	92	(36.7%)		NR
Arthralgia – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	13	(5.2%)	251	19	(7.6%)		NR
Back pain – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	12	(4.8%)	251	18	(7.2%)		NR
Bone fracture – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	0	(0.0%)	251	2	(0.8%)		NR
Bronchitis – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	19	(7.6%)	251	8	(3.2%)		NR
cardiovascular AE – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	11	(4.4%)	251	22	(8.8%)		0.0478
cardiac: serious event – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	3	(1.2%)	251	8	(3.2%)		NR
cardiac: CAD – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	0	(0.0%)	251	4	(1.6%)		NR
cardiac: CHF – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	1	(0.4%)	251	1	(0.4%)		NR
cardiac: MI – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	2	(0.8%)	251	2	(0.8%)		NR
cancer – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	0	(0.0%)	251	2	(0.8%)		NR
Chest pain – 56wk	Dichotomous		1	(0.4%)	251	2	(0.8%)		NR
Death – 56wk	Dichotomous		0	(0.0%)	251	2	(0.8%)		NR
Edema peripheral – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	14	(5.6%)	251	8	(3.2%)		NR
GI: diarrhoea – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	15	(6.0%)	251	16	(6.4%)		NR

	Headache – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	19	(7.6%)	251	22	(8.8%)	NR
	Infection (upper airway or other common) – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	32	(12.7%)	251	31	(12.4%)	NR
	liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 56wk	Dichotomous	209	9	(4.3%)	206	25	(12.1%)	0.008
	pain (limbs) – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	10	(4.0%)	251	14	(5.6%)	NR
	Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	15	(6.0%)	251	24	(9.6%)	NR
	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	117	(46.6%)	251	123	(49.0%)	
	Dropout due to AEs – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	14	(5.6%)	251	25	(10.0%)	0.067
	Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	18	(7.2%)	251	29	(11.6%)	0.092
	Dropout due to hypoglycaemia – 56wk	Dichotomous	251	0	(0.0%)	251	9	(3.6%)	0.004
a b c	SD estimated from SE extracted graph (Used in the analysis); Patient of >= 2 events	0 .	assumi	ng dro	opout occ	urred ha	ilfway	through the stud	dy
Categoric data treatment comparisons were performed by using a Cochran-Mantel-Haenzel test controlling for pooled centre. The primary efficacy measure was analysed using a two way ANCOVA with terms for treatment and centre as the main effects, baseline as a covariate and treatment by centre interaction term									

#### Table 58: Johnston et al. (1998)

Table 58: Jo	nnston et al. (1998)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: USA  Authors' conclusions: Treatment with miglitol offers the elderly type 2 diabetic patient significant reductions in daylong glycaemia as measured by Hba1c. The greater Hba1c reductions with once daily glyburide occurred at a cost of significant increases in weight, insulin levels and the incidence of clinical and subclinical hypoglycaemia.  Source of funding: Bayer employees were involved in the conduct and analyiss of the trial  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 184  Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes, aged 60 years and older, treated with diet alone for at least 12 weeks before randomisation, Hba1c betw een 6.5 and 10%, FBG >140 mg/dl 2 weeks before randomisation  Exclusion criteria: unable to understand and comply with diet and glucose monitoring guidelines, serious illness that prevents them from completing the study  Pre-randomisation phase: 6 week placebo run-in period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Patients were on sulfonylurea prior to screening but were required to have been only on diet treatment at least 12 weeks prior to randomisation  6 week single-blind run in period where participants were given placebo and diet advice to facilitate weight reduction (1lb/week) in overweight individuals
Lifestyle advice	During the placebo run-in period patients received instruction in an ADA approved diet aimed at facilitating 1lb/week weight reduction in overweight individuals (monitored via diaries at baseline, 6 months and 1 year)
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 56 Length of titration period (wks): 40 Length of maintenance period (wks): 56

Frequency of monitoring appointments: 17 visits over the entire trial period starting from screening to 56

Length of titration period variable but up to 40 weeks

#### **Arms**

#### (1) Glyburide

N: 104

Treatment duration (wks): 56 Washout period (d): 42

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Mean dose (mg/d): 8.9 Minimum dose (mg/d): 1.25 Maximum dose (mg/d): 20

Details of dosing regimen: glyburide 20 mg once daily (morning), the dosing began at 1.25

mg/day and was stepped up & individually titrated: every 2 weeks increase:

2.5/5/7.5/10/15/20 mg. In general, doses were increased if FPG>140 mg/dl and decreased

if there was a risk of hypoglycaemia

Study visits were every 2 weeks to faciliate dose titration

Median glyburide dose at end of study for efficacy population was 3.75mg/day and for the

safety population 5mg/d

#### (2) Placebo

N: 101

Treatment duration (wks): 56 Washout period (d): 42 Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Data from 2/4 arms were extracted in this evidence table (arms relating to miglitol were not extracted as it was not included within the scope)

### Baseline characteristics

		Glyburide				P	lacebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop									
Demographics: Age (years) a	Continuous	92		67.7 (SD 5.75)	92		68.5 (SD 5.75)		
Sex (n male) b	Dichotomous	92	54	(58.7%)	92	61	(66.3%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs) a	Continuous	92		7.2 (SD 7.67)	92		7 (SD 7.67)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	92		8.4 (SD 1.02)	92		8.4 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 0wka	Continuous	92		195 (SD 41.8)	92		195 (SD 45.2)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Sulfonylureab	Dichotomous	92	56	(60.9%)	92	55	(59.8%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Least square mean; SD estimated from reported SE

			Glyburide Place		yburide Plac		Placebo		cebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 56wka	Mean change	104		2.3	101		-1.1				
Hypoglycaemic events: Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 56wkb	Dichotomous	104	48	(46.2%)	101	8	(7.9%)				
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 56wkb	Dichotomous	104	6	(5.8%)	101	5	(5.0%)				
cardiovascular AE – 56wkb	Dichotomous	101	29	(28.7%)	101	22	(21.8%)				
Edema peripheral – 56wkb	Dichotomous	104	11	(10.6%)	104	6	(5.8%)				
Flatulence – 56wkb	Dichotomous	104	14	(13.5%)	101	14	(13.9%)				
GI: diarrhoea – 56wk	Dichotomous	104	12c	(11.5%)	101	11b	(10.9%)				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

Dropouts: Total dropouts – 56wk	Dichotomous	104	12	(11.5%)	101	9	(8.9%)
Dropout due to AEs – 56wkb	Dichotomous			(5.8%)	101		(5.9%)
Lipids: Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 56wka	Mean change	104		0.01129	101		0.0114029
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 56wk	Mean change	104		0.01129a	101	4	
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 56wka	Mean change	92		-0.93	92		-0.01
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 56wka	Continuous	92		-30.5	92		1
<sup>a</sup> SD not reported <sup>b</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percenta <sup>c</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percenta (percentages only presented in text)	ages only prese ages only prese	ented	in tex	ct) t); approxin	nated	to ne	earest integer

#### Table 59: Josse et al. (2003)

sse et al. (2003)
Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Canada  Authors' conclusions: -  Source of funding: Bayer  Comments: -
Total number of patients: 192 Inclusion criteria: Elderly patients aged >65 years with type 2 diabetes (no further details reported) treated on diet alone, >35kg/m2 Exclusion criteria: patients taking insulin therapy or oral agents, major debilitating disease, documented gastrointestinal, Hba1c <110 or >150% of upper limit of normal (6.4%), recent major cardiovascular event or abnormal liver or renal function Pre-randomisation phase: 6 week run in period (no further details provided)
Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? -  Details of washout period: Unclear whether all patients had no history of AHA use; patients at time of enrollment were not on insulin or AHA and states in abstract that they were treated on diet alone. There was a 6 week run in period but no details were provided.
Patients were advised to adjust dietary intake to ensure total caloric intake and nutrient composition was similar throughout the study
Total follow-up (wks): 58 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients seen every 6 weeks
(1) Acarbose N: 93 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 42 Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Minimum dose (mg/d): 50 Maximum dose (mg/d): 300

Frequency of dosing: three times a day
Details of dosing regimen: Acarbose, week 1: 50 mg once daily at supper, week 2: 50 mg
BID at breakfast and supper, week 3: 50 mg TID with meals, week 4-52 titrated upward to
100 mg TID when post-load blood glucose > 12 mmol/l, downtitrated to 50mg TID in case of intolerance

(2) Placebo

N: 99

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 42 Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

#### **Outcomes**

#### **Baseline** characteristics

				Acarbose
		N	k	mean
Demographics:				
Age (years)	Continuous	93		69.7 (SD 4.82182538049648) a
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	93	65	(69.9%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	93		5.8 (SD 6.75055553269507) a
Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	93		7.4 (SD 0.964365076099296) a
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	93		7.4 (SD 0.964365076099296) a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	93		8.4 (SD 1.92873015219859) a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	93		8.4 (SD 1.92873015219859) a
Body weight:				
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	93		28.3 (SD 3.85746030439718) a
Weight (kg)	Continuous	93		79.4 (SD 12.5367459892908) a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

			Placebo					
		N	k	mean				
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	99		70.3 (SD 4.9749371855331) a				
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	99	60	(60.6%)				
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	99		4.8 (SD 4.9749371855331) a				
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	99		7.3 (SD 0.99498743710662) a				
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	99		7.3 (SD 0.99498743710662) a				
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	99		8.5 (SD 1.98997487421324) a				
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	99		8.5 (SD 1.98997487421324) a				
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	99		28.6 (SD 3.97994974842648) a				
Weight (kg)	Continuous	99		81.3 (SD 15.9197989937059) a				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

			Acarbose						
		N	k	mean					
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	93		-0.3 (SD 0.964365076099296) a					
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	93		-0.3 (SD 0.964365076099296) a					
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	93		-0.3 (SD 1.92873015219859) a					
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	93		-0.3 (SD 1.92873015219859) a					
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 52wk	Dichotomous	93	0	(0.0%)					

Adverse events: GI: nausea – 52wk	Dichotomous	93	10	(10.8%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous	93	13	(14.0%)
Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	93	10	(10.8%)

			Placebo	
		N	k	mean
Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	99		0.3 (SD 0.99498743710662) a
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	99		0.3 (SD 0.99498743710662) a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	99		0.4 (SD 1.98997487421324) a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	99		0.4 (SD 1.98997487421324) a
Hypoglycaemic events:				
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 52wk	Dichotomous	99	0	(0.0%)
Adverse events:				
GI: nausea – 52wk	Dichotomous	99	3	(3.0%)
Dropouts:				
Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous	99	5	(5.1%)
Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	99	3	(3.0%)
<sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE				

#### Table 60: Jovanovic et al. (2000)

# General Phase: ✓ monotherapy

- ☐ dual therapy
  ☐ triple therapy
- ☐ insulin monotherapy
- ☐ insulin+oral

Parallel / crossover: Parallel

Country: USA

**Authors' conclusions:** Repaglinide was well tolerated in a preprandial fixed dose regimen of 1 mg or 4 mg, assigned without adjustment for clinical parameters

Source of funding: supported by Novo Nordisk Pharmaceuticals

**Comments:** multicenter, double blind placebo controlled trial. Randomly assigned in blocks of five (1 to placebo, 2 to each repaglinide group). No other details relating to method of randomisation or allocation concealment. Blinding was achieved by encapsulation of 2 tablets (0.5 mg, 2 mg or 0 mg repaglinide) in each capsule of study medication to produce uniform appearance of all doses administered.

# Number and characteristics of patients

#### Total number of patients: 361

Inclusion criteria: aged 40-75 years, with type 2 diabetes for at least 6 months and were using an OHA or following a diet and exercise program. Enrolled patients had a body weight between 90% and 150% of that considered ideal. Patients who had not been previously treated with an OHA (naïve) had Hba1c levels >6.5% before enrollment, OHA treated patients had Hba1c levels <12%. Patients who had previously received OHAs were not enrolled unless their FPG levels increased by at least 25 mg/dl in the 2 weeks following discontinuation of their previous treatment.

**Exclusion criteria:** history of chronic insulin treatment, sevre uncontrolled hypertension, cardiac disorders, elevated serum creatinine levels (>1.6 g/l), elevated liver transaminase levels, previous exposure to repaglinide or concurrent therapy with corticosteroids. Any patient having 2 consecutive FPG values in excess of 350 mg/dl could be discontinued from the study for lack of efficacy at the discretion of the investigator

Pre-randomisation phase: patients discontinued existing OHA treatment and entered a 2 week washout period

Evidence tables **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or alucoselowering Details of washout period: 2 week washout period therapy Lifestyle advice No details reported Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 26 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: patients returned to the clinic at weeks 2, 6, 12, 18 and 24. Arms (1) Repaglinide (1mg) N: 140 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 14 Treatment(s): repaglinide (Oral) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):1 Frequency of dosing: three times a day Details of dosing regimen: study medication was administered preprandially (15 mins before the three principal daily meals) (2) Repaglinide (4mg) N: 146 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 14 Treatment(s): repaglinide (Oral) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):4 Frequency of dosing: three times a day Details of dosing regimen: study medication was administered preprandially (15 mins before the three principal daily meals) (3) placebo N: 75

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 14

Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) - fixed-dose

Frequency of dosing: three times a day

Details of dosing regimen: study medication was administered preprandially (15 mins

before the three principal daily meals)

#### **Outcomes** General

Safety analyses included all patients who received at least one dose of the study medication. Efficacy was assessed using the ITT population.

45 (60%) patients in placebo group, 32 (23%) in 1 mg group and 45 (31%) in 4 mg group discontinued the

All outcomes were extracted in this evidence table

Only data from drug naïve subgroup used in analyses as inadequate washout period applied (2 weeks)

#### Hypoglycaemic events

hypoglycaemic events relate to subjective symptoms that could be hypoglycaemia (eg. Sweating, strong hunger, dizziness, tremor), even when unconfirmed by blood glucose measurement. Subjective symptoms or blood glucose value <45 mg/dl were all classified as hypoglycaemic events of mild to moderate severity.

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Severe hypoglycaemic events were defined as sevrely impaired consciousness caused by hypoglycaemia, which required the assistance of another person and hospitalisation.)

#### **Baseline** characteristics

		Repaglinide (1mg)			Repaglinide (4mg)				
			k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	140		57.9	146		57.6		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	140	96	(68.6%)	146	87	(59.6%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	140		6.6 (SD 6.5)	146		6.3 (SD 5.6)		
Ethnicity-African American	Dichotomous	140	14	(10.0%)	146	18	(12.3%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	140	0	(0.0%)	146	2	(1.4%)		

Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	140	19	(13.6%)	146	16	(11.0%)
Ethnicity-European	Dichotomous	140	107	(76.4%)	146	110	(75.3%)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	140		8.9 (SD 1.9)	146		8.7 (SD 1.7)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 2wk	Continuous	140		250 (SD 78)	146		241 (SD 70)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	140		29.4 (SD 4.5)	146		29.5 (SD 4.4)
Diabetic complications: Retinopathy	Dichotomous	140	9	(6.4%)	146	8	(5.5%)
Nephropathy	Dichotomous	140	2	(1.4%)	146	2	(1.4%)
Neuropathy	Dichotomous	140	31	(22.1%)	146	28	(19.2%)
Macroangiopathy	Dichotomous	140	15	(10.7%)	146	7	(4.8%)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	140	39	(27.9%)	146	40	(27.4%)
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	140	89	(63.6%)	146	83	(56.8%)
Combination therapy	Dichotomous	140	6	(4.3%)	146	16	(11.0%)
Other than sulphonylurea	Dichotomous	140	6	(4.3%)	146	7	(4.8%)

		Repaglinide (1mg)			placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	140		57.9	75		58.5		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	140	96	(68.6%)	75	49	(65.3%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	140		6.6 (SD 6.5)	75		6.8 (SD 6.6)		
Ethnicity-African American	Dichotomous	140	14	(10.0%)	75	11	(14.7%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	140	0	(0.0%)	75	1	(1.3%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	140	19	(13.6%)	75	12	(16.0%)		
Ethnicity-European	Dichotomous	140	107	(76.4%)	75	51	(68.0%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	140		8.9 (SD 1.9)	75		8.6 (SD 1.4)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 2wk	Continuous	140		250 (SD 78)	75		246 (SD 60)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	140		29.4 (SD 4.5)	75		29.8 (SD 4.3)		
Diabetic complications: Retinopathy	Dichotomous	140	9	(6.4%)	75	6	(8.0%)		
Nephropathy	Dichotomous	140	2	(1.4%)	75	3	(4.0%)		
Neuropathy	Dichotomous	140	31	(22.1%)	75	17	(22.7%)		
Macroangiopathy	Dichotomous	140	15	(10.7%)	75	4	(5.3%)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:									
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	140	39	(27.9%)	75	17	(22.7%)		
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	140	89	(63.6%)	75	46	(61.3%)		
Combination therapy	Dichotomous	140	6	(4.3%)	75	10	(13.3%)		
Other than sulphonylurea	Dichotomous	140	6	(4.3%)	75	2	(2.7%)		

Demographics:	0	4.40		F7.0	7.		50.5
Age (years)	Continuous	146		57.6	75		58.5
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	146	87	(59.6%)	75	49	(65.3%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	146		6.3 (SD 5.6)	75		6.8 (SD 6.6)
Ethnicity-African American	Dichotomous	146	18	(12.3%)	75	11	(14.7%)
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	146	2	(1.4%)	75	1	(1.3%)
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	146	16	(11.0%)	75	12	(16.0%)
Ethnicity-European	Dichotomous	146	110	(75.3%)	75	51	(68.0%)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	146		8.7 (SD 1.7)	75		8.6 (SD 1.4)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 2wk	Continuous	146		241 (SD 70)	75		246 (SD 60)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	146		29.5 (SD 4.4)	75		29.8 (SD 4.3)
Diabetic complications: Retinopathy	Dichotomous	146	8	(5.5%)	75	6	(8.0%)
Nephropathy	Dichotomous	146	2	(1.4%)	75	3	(4.0%)
Neuropathy	Dichotomous	146	28	(19.2%)	75	17	(22.7%)
Macroangiopathy	Dichotomous	146	7	(4.8%)	75	4	(5.3%)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:							
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	146	40	(27.4%)	75	17	(22.7%)
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	146	83	(56.8%)	75	46	(61.3%)
Combination therapy	Dichotomous	146	16	(11.0%)	75	10	(13.3%)
Other than sulphonylurea	Dichotomous	146	7	(4.8%)	75	2	(2.7%)

#### Results

		Rep	aglin	ide (1mg)	Rep	aglin	ide (4mg)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	136		-0.7 (SD 1.75)	145		-0.7 (SD 1.81)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Mean change	136		-0.65 (SD 1.75)	145		-0.7 (SD 1.81)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	129	41	(31.8%)	134	43	(32.1%)		
Hba1c <8% – 24wk	Dichotomous	129	65	(50.4%)	134	70	(52.2%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 12wka	Mean change	135		-60 (SD 63.9)	143		-58 (SD 41.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 24wka	Mean change	135		-47 (SD 63.9)	143		-49 (SD 71.7)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	38	(27.1%)	146	51	(34.9%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	0	(0.0%)	146	0	(0.0%)		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wkb	Dichotomous	140	0	(0.0%)	146	2	(1.4%)		
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 24wkc	Dichotomous	140	30	(21.4%)	146	47	(32.2%)		
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 24wkd	Dichotomous	140	13	(9.3%)	146	16	(11.0%)		
asymptomatic (confirmed) – 24wkb	Dichotomous	140	1	(0.7%)	146	1	(0.7%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wke	Dichotomous	140	7	(5.0%)	146	7	(4.8%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	108	(77.1%)	146	117	(80.1%)		
Arthralgia – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	10	(7.1%)	146	9	(6.2%)		
Back pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	10	(7.1%)	146	9	(6.2%)		
cardiovascular AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	13	(9.3%)	146	20	(13.7%)		
Chest pain – 24wkf	Dichotomous	140	4	(2.9%)	146	4	(2.7%)		

Dizziness – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	3	(2.1%)	146	14	(9.6%)
Fatigue – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	9	(6.4%)	146	8	(5.5%)
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	8	(5.7%)	146	8	(5.5%)
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	16	(11.4%)	146	21	(14.4%)
Hyperglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	2	(1.4%)	146	3	(2.1%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	18	(12.9%)	146	19	(13.0%)
Pain (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	7	(5.0%)	146	5	(3.4%)
paresthesia – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	8	(5.7%)	146	2	(1.4%)
Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	11	(7.9%)	146	7	(4.8%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wke	Dichotomous	140	32	(22.9%)	146	45	(30.8%)
Dropout due to AEs – 24wke	Dichotomous	140	9	(6.4%)	146	12	(8.2%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	11	(7.9%)	146	17	(11.6%)
Dropout due to hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	1	(0.7%)	146	2	(1.4%)
Pre-study diet alone (i.e. drug naive) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkg	Mean change	38		-1.45 (SD 1.23)	40		-2 (SD 1.58)
HbA1c (%) – 24wkg	Mean change	38		-1.35 (SD 1.85)	40		-1.93 (SD 1.58)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 12wkg	Mean change	37		-36 (SD 63.9)	39		-53 (SD 62.4)
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 24wkg	Mean change	37		-27 (SD 66.9)	39		-48 (SD 62.4)
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wkh	Dichotomous	39	15	(38.5%)	40	22	(55.0%)
Pre-study oral antidiabetics (i.e. not drug naive) Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	101	23	(22.8%)	106	29	(27.4%)
30		~=					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Data extracted from graph; SD calculated from assumed SE <sup>b</sup> BG confirmed <45 mg/dl

		Rep	aglin	ide (1mg)		pla	cebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	136		-0.7 (SD 1.75)	74		1.25 (SD 2.15)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Mean change	135		-0.65 (SD 1.75)	74		1.3 (SD 3.01)		<0.001
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Mean change	136		-0.65 (SD 1.75)	74		1.3 (SD 3.01)		<0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	129	41		75	b			
Hba1c <8% - 24wk	Dichotomous	129	65		75	b			
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 12wka	Mean change	135		-60 (SD 63.9)	74		-2 (SD 73.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 24wk	Mean change	135		-47 (SD 63.9) a	74		6 (SD 90.3) c		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> BG >=45 mg/dl

d no BG reading
DO NOT USE - inadequate washout period for total population
approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
(Used in the analysis); Data extracted from graph; SD calculated from assumed SE (Used in the analysis)

Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no								
patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	38	(27.1%)	75	8	(10.7%)	
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	0	(0.0%)	75	0	(0.0%)	
symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wkd	Dichotomous	140	0	(0.0%)	75	0	(0.0%)	
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 24wke	Dichotomous	140	30	(21.4%)	75	2	(2.7%)	
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 24wkf	Dichotomous	140	13	(9.3%)	75	5	(6.7%)	
asymptomatic (confirmed) – 24wkd	Dichotomous	140	1	(0.7%)	75	1	(1.3%)	
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wkg	Dichotomous	140	7	(5.0%)	75	2	(2.7%)	
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	108	(77.1%)	75	53	(70.7%)	
Arthralgia – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	10	(7.1%)	75	4	(5.3%)	
Back pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	10	(7.1%)	75	4	(5.3%)	
cardiovascular AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	13	(9.3%)	75	6	(8.0%)	0.807
Chest pain – 24wkh	Dichotomous	140	4	(2.9%)	75	1	(1.3%)	
Dizziness – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	3	(2.1%)	75	7	(9.3%)	
Fatigue – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	9	(6.4%)	75	7	(9.3%)	
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	8	(5.7%)	75	1	(1.3%)	
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	16	(11.4%)	75	6	(8.0%)	
Hyperglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	2	(1.4%)	75	6	(8.0%)	
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	18	(12.9%)	75	3	(4.0%)	
Pain (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	7	(5.0%)	75	8	(10.7%)	
paresthesia – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	8	(5.7%)	75	2	(2.7%)	
Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	11	(7.9%)	75	0	(0.0%)	
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wkg	Dichotomous	140	32	(22.9%)	75	45	(60.0%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 24wkg	Dichotomous	140	9	(6.4%)	75	12	(16.0%)	
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	11	(7.9%)	75	23	(30.7%)	
Dropout due to hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	140	1	(0.7%)	75	0	(0.0%)	
Pre-study diet alone (i.e. drug naive) Blood glucose:	Mean			-1.45 (SD			0.63 (SD	
HbA1c (%) – 12wki	change	38		1.23)	17		1.65)	
HbA1c (%) – 24wki	Mean change	38		-1.35 (SD 1.85)	17		1 (SD 2.68)	NRj
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 12wki	Mean change	37		-36 (SD 63.9)	17		-8 (SD 66)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 24wki	Mean change	37		-27 (SD 66.9)	17		8.5 (SD 78.3)	
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wkk	Dichotomous	39	15	(38.5%)	17	1	(5.9%)	
Pre-study oral antidiabetics (i.e. not drug naive) Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous		23	(22.8%)	58	7	(12.1%)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Data extracted from graph; SD calculated from assumed SE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> not reported

 $<sup>^{</sup>c}$  Data extracted from graph (inconsistency in reported value in text); SD calculated from assumed SE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> BG confirmed <45 mg/dl

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> BG >=45 mg/dl

f no BG reading

DO NOT USE - inadequate washout period for total population

DO NOT USE - inadequate washout period for total population

h approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

(Used in the analysis); Data extracted from graph; SD calculated from assumed SE

changes from screening p<0.001 (Used in the analysis)

		Rep	aglin	ide (4mg)		pla	cebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	145		-0.7 (SD 1.81)	74		1.25 (SD 2.15)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Mean change	143		-0.7 (SD 1.81)	74		1.3 (SD 3.01)		<0.00
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Mean change	145		-0.7 (SD 1.81)	74		1.3 (SD 3.01)		<0.00
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	134	43		75	b			
Hba1c <8% – 24wk	Dichotomous	134	70		75	b			
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 12wka	Mean change	143		-58 (SD 41.9)	74		-2 (SD 73.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 24wk	Mean change	143		-49 (SD 71.7) a	74		6 (SD 90.3) c		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	51	(34.9%)	75	8	(10.7%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	0	(0.0%)	75	0	(0.0%)		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wkd	Dichotomous	146	2	(1.4%)	75	0	(0.0%)		
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 24wke	Dichotomous	146	47	(32.2%)	75	2	(2.7%)		
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 24wkf	Dichotomous	146	16	(11.0%)	75	5	(6.7%)		
asymptomatic (confirmed) - 24wkd	Dichotomous	146	1	(0.7%)	75	1	(1.3%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wkg	Dichotomous	146	7	(4.8%)	75	2	(2.7%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	117	(80.1%)	75	53	(70.7%)		
Arthralgia – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	9	(6.2%)	75	4	(5.3%)		
Back pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	9	(6.2%)	75	4	(5.3%)		
cardiovascular AE – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	20	(13.7%)	75	6	(8.0%)		0.273
Chest pain – 24wkh	Dichotomous	146	4	(2.7%)	75	1	(1.3%)		
Dizziness – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	14	(9.6%)	75	7	(9.3%)		
Fatigue – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	8	(5.5%)	75	7	(9.3%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	8	(5.5%)	75	1	(1.3%)		
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	21	(14.4%)	75	6	(8.0%)		
Hyperglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	3	(2.1%)	75	6	(8.0%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	19	(13.0%)	75	3	(4.0%)		
Pain (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	5	(3.4%)	75	8	(10.7%)		
paresthesia – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	2	(1.4%)	75	2	(2.7%)		
Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	7	(4.8%)	75	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wkg	Dichotomous	146	45	(30.8%)	75	45	(60.0%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wkg	Dichotomous	146	12	(8.2%)	75	12	(16.0%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	17	(11.6%)	75	23	(30.7%)		
Dropout due to hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	2	(1.4%)	75	0	(0.0%)		
Pre-study diet alone (i.e. drug naive) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wki	Mean	40		-2 (SD	17		0.63 (SD		
1 IDA 10 (70) — 12WKI	change	40		1.58) -1.93 (SD	17		1.65) 1 (SD		
HbA1c (%) – 24wki	change	40		1.58)	17		2.68)		NR
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 12wki	Mean change	39		-53 (SD 62.4)	17		-8 (SD 66)		

Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 24wki	Mean change	39		-48 (SD 62.4)	17		8.5 (SD 78.3)
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wkj	Dichotomous	40	22	(55.0%)	17	1	(5.9%)
Pre-study oral antidiabetics (i.e. not drug naive) Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	106	29	(27.4%)	58	7	(12.1%)
<sup>a</sup> Data extracted from graph; SD calculated not reported <sup>c</sup> Data extracted from graph (inconsistency BG confirmed <45 mg/dl <sup>e</sup> BG >=45 mg/dl <sup>f</sup> no BG reading <sup>g</sup> DO NOT USE - inadequate washout perinapproximated to nearest integer (percenticulated in the analysis); Data extracted from Justicial (Used in the analysis)	d from assumed in reported va od for total pop ages only pres	d SE lue in oulation	text); on I in te	; SD calcula	ated	fron	
Between treatment comparisons were mad ANOVA with treatment and center included comparison for other adverse events (inclu	d in the model a	as fixe	ed eff	ect. P-value	es fo		

#### Table 61: Kato et al. (2009)

Table of . Na	ito et al. (2009)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Japan  Authors' conclusions: Using a low dose regimen, pioglitazone significantly improved blood pressure and hepatic function and may be more effective than metformin to reduce risk factors in Japanese diabetic patients with metabolic syndrome at preventing atherosclerosis  Source of funding: Unclear  Comments: Random assignment was performed by opening sealed envelopes with sequential numbers at the time of allocation.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 50 Inclusion criteria: Japanese patients recently diagnosed with type 2 diabetes associated with metabolic syndrome (all patients had fatty liver). Patients who had no history of treatment with oral antinhyperglycaemic drugs, antihyperlipidemic drugs or antihypertensive drugs were studies. All patients were on continuous dietary and exercise therapy following intiation of the analysis for not less than 3 months, including a one month pre-treatment baseline measurement period (observation period)  Exclusion criteria: patients with diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy or neuropathy whose condition was unstable or underwent sudden progression were excluded from the study. Furthermore, those patients with liver dysfunction, renal dysfunction or anaemia were also excluded. In addition, patients with myocardial infarction, angina, congestive heart failure or a history of cerebrovascular disease were also excluded Pre-randomisation phase: After one month of dietary and exercise therapy, the subjects were randomly assigned to 12 weeks of therapy
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: All AHA naïve
Lifestyle advice	All patients were on continuous dietary and exercise therapy following intiation of the analysis for not less than 3 months, including a one month pre-treatment baseline measurement period (observation period). Patients were instructed to keep their total energy intake within 1200-1800 kcal, the fat ratio of caloric intake to <25-30% and to do 150 min or more of exercise per week. Patients were instructed to reduce their caloric intake to less than the regular caloric intake of the study population (200-2500 kcal/day) from the observation

period through the end of the study period. During the study period, dietary and exercise therapy were continued. All patients received nutritional instruction from a national registered dietician at the monthly visits from the observation period through the end of the study period. Adherence to the dietary and exercise therapy was 84% (21/25) in pioglitazone group and 80% (20/25) in the metformin group.

#### Follow-up (wks): 16

Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12

**Frequency of monitoring appointments:** Over the 12 week treatment period, consultations and tests were performed monthly

#### Arms (1) Pioglitazone

N: 25

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):15

Details of dosing regimen: No other details reported

#### (2) Metformin

N: 25

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):500

Details of dosing regimen: No other details reported

#### Outcomes

#### General

No dropout details were reported and it was assumed that no patients from either group discontinued the study.

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include measures of insulin resistence, heart rate and liver enzymes (ALT and AST)

			Pic	glitazone		IV	letformin		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	25		51.4 (SD 15.2)	25		58.6 (SD 12.4)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	25	12	(48.0%)	25	14	(56.0%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	25		7.4 (SD 1.8)	25		7.1 (SD 1.4)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	25		7.3 (SD 1.4)	25		7.6 (SD 1.4)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	25		28.4 (SD 6.4)	25		27.5 (SD 3.4)		
Waist circumference (cms) – 0wk	Continuous	25		95.6 (SD 10.8)	25		95.4 (SD 7.9)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	25		133.5 (SD 19)	25		131.2 (SD 12.4)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	25		78.9 (SD 9.3)	25		77.5 (SD 5.7)		
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	25		1.48 (SD 0.5)	25		1.4 (SD 0.31)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	25		1.61 (SD 1.08)	25		1.63 (SD 0.69)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	25		3.26 (SD 0.74)	25		3.46 (SD 0.83)		

- 10g-man - 1 p	Results	Pioglitazone	Metformin	Δр	)
-----------------	---------	--------------	-----------	----	---

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Percentage change from baseline	25			25			>0.05
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	25		6.3 (SD 1.2)	25		6.3 (SD 0.9)	2 0.00
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Percentage change from baseline	25		,	25		0.0)	>0.05
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Percentage change from baseline	25		-5 (SD - 15.3)	25		-5.8 (SD - 16.1)	7 0.00
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	25		6.9 (SD 1.4)	25		7.1 (SD 1.3)	
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Percentage change from baseline	25		,	25			>0.05
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	25		10.5 (SD 3.9)	25		12.8 (SD 3.6)	
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Percentage change from baseline	25		-17.4 (SD -65.1)	25		-14.3 (SD -56.1)	
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Percentage change from baseline	25			25			<0.01
BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Continuous	25		28.5 (SD 6.9)	25		26.6 (SD 3.5)	
BMI (kg/m2) – 12wka	Percentage change from baseline	25		0.2 (SD 4.08)	25		-3.3 (SD 3.06)	
Waist circumference (cms) – 0wk	Percentage change from baseline	25			25			>0.05
Waist circumference (cms) – 12wk	Percentage change from baseline	25		-0.5 (SD 0.765)	25		-2.7 (SD - 8.93)	
Waist circumference (cms) – 12wk	Continuous	25		95.1 (SD 11.7)	25		93.1 (SD 9.3)	
Adverse events: cardiovascular AE – 0wk	Dichotomous	25			25			NR
cardiovascular AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	25	0	(0.0%)	25	0	(0.0%)	
Edema peripheral – 0wk	Dichotomous	25			25			NR
Edema peripheral – 12wk	Dichotomous	25	2	(8.0%)	25	0	(0.0%)	
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Percentage change from baseline	25			25			<0.05
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 12wk	Continuous	25		126.9 (SD 17.2)	25		131.7 (SD 15.7)	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 12wk	Percentage change from baseline	25		-4.7 (SD - 18.9)	25		0.5 (SD 10.7)	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Percentage change from baseline	25			25			>0.05
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 12wk	Continuous	25		75 (SD 8.4)	25		76.4 (SD 8.2)	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 12wk	Percentage change from baseline	25		4.6 (SD 6.12)	25		1.3 (SD 8.16)	
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Percentage change from baseline	25			25			>0.05
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Percentage change from baseline	25		-18.2 (SD -70.9)	25		-10.9 (SD -41.6)	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	25		1.72 (SD 0.54)	25		1.55 (SD 0.37)	
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Percentage change from baseline	25			25			>0.05
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Percentage change from baseline	25		-5.4 (SD - 0.765)	25		-0.7 (SD 35.7)	
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	25		1.41 (SD 0.75)	25		1.51 (SD 0.84)	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Percentage change from baseline	25			25			>0.05

LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Percentage change from baseline	25	-2.1 (SD 18.1)	25	0 (SD 18.1)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	25	3.27 (SD 0.68)	25	3.42 (SD 0.87)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported 95% CI

For statistical evaluation, the paired t-test was used for pre and post treatment values. Change from baseline (%) to final value (last observation carried forward). Treatments were compared using adjusted mean ratios from baseline (pioglitazone/metformin) with baseline values as covariate data. For comparisons of data between the pigglitazone group and the metformin group, 95% confidence intervals were presented. In the testing of rates of change in therapeutic effects between the two groups, for items without equality of variance, Welch's test was used, while for items with equality of variance, the unpaired t-teat was used.

Table 62: Ka	wamori et al. (2012)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin horal  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Japan  Authors' conclusions: Linagliptin showed superior glucose-lowering efficacy and comparable safety and tolerability to both placebo and voglibose in Japanese patients with typen 2 diabetes  Source of funding: supported by Boehringer Ingelheim  Comments: This is a randomized, double-blind study with both a placebo- and an active-controlled parallel group. Randomization will be based on the Zelen rule and follow a predefined allocation ratio of 2:2:2:1 (linagliptin 5 mg: linagliptin 10 mg: voglibose: placebo). Allocation to treatment groups is balanced for the potentially confounding effects of patient background and baseline characteristics, HbA1c (stratified into two categories; < 8.5% and = 8.5%), the number of pre-study use of antidiabetic drugs, gender and study site. Treatment allocation is conducted by a sponsor-independent contractor. Following informed consent, the investigator completes a registration form that is sent to the allocation center. The registration form includes data on the subjects' inclusion and exclusion criteria. After receiving the registration form, the allocation center sends a registration report to the investigator. The investigator confirms that the patient satisfies the study criteria and sends a second registration form to the allocation center once the 4-week washout period is completed.
Number and characteristics	and sends a second registration form to the allocation center once the 4-week washout period is completed. The allocation center randomizes the patient according to the predefined criteria above and this information is provided to the investigator. Randomization is done once at the enrollment of study. An independent external clinical event committee conducted blinded ajudication of any suspected cardiovascular or cerebrovascular AEs.  Total number of patients: 561  Inclusion criteria: The study enrolled male and non-pregnant female patients with type 2 diabetes aged 20-
of patients	80 years with a BMI <=40 kg/m2 and inadequate glycaemic control (Hba1c 7-10% in those previously untreated with OADs or Hba1c 7-9% at screening and 7-10% after washout in those already receiving one or two OADs for >=10 weeks)  Exclusion criteria: fasting plasma glucose >13.3 mmol/l during washout or placebo run-in, myocardial infarction, stroke or TIA within the previous 6 months, impaired hepatic function, treatment with a glitazone, insulin or anti-obesity drugs within the previous 3 months or any investigational agent within the previous 2 months and/or a history of known intolerance, allergy or hypersensitivity to voglibose or any other concomitant drugs  Pre-randomisation phase: there was a 2 week washout phase for patients who previously received one or two OADs and this was followed by a 2 week placebo run-in period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: See baseline characteristics for details. There was a 2 week washout phase (for those previously on OADs) and 2 week placebo run-in (for all individuals including those who were AHA naïve)
Lifestyle advice	no details reported
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 16 Length of titration period (wks): 0

Length of maintenance period (wks): 12

Frequency of monitoring appointments: no details reported

#### **Arms**

#### (1) Linagliptin 5 mg

N: 159

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 28

Comments: washout period of 2 weeks and placebo run-in period of 2 weeks

Treatment(s): Linagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):5

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: no further details reported

#### (2) Placebo

N: 80

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 28

Comments: washout period of 2 weeks and placebo run-in period of 2 weeks

Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) – fixed-dose

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: at week 12, patients receiving placebo were randomised to

linagliptin 5 mg or 10 mg (data not extracted)

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Data from 2/4 of the arms in this study were not extracted into this evidence table: Linaglitpin 10 mg (as this is over the recommended dose of 5 mg specified in the summary product characteristics) and voglibose (as this is not licensed in the UK). In addition, only 12 week data from the Linagliptin 5 mg and placebo arms have been extracted as following 12 weeks, patients in the placebo arm were randomised to linagliptin 5 mg or 10 mg. Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include fasting insulin and measures of insulin resistance, and glycated albumin

Efficacy analyses at week 12 were performed using the full analysis set (all randomised patients who had baseline and >=1 dose of study medication). Safety analyses at week 12 were performed on the treated set (all randomised patients who receieved >=1 dose of study medication).

A total of 3 (1.9%) patients in linagliptin arm and 6 (7.5%) in the placebo arm discontinued the study

#### **Quality of life**

Satisfaction (measures using Diabetes Treatment satisfaction Questionnaire (DTSQ))

			Linaç	gliptin 5 mg		ı	Placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	159		60.3 (SD 9.4)	80		59.7 (SD 8.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	159	111	(69.8%)	80	57	(71.3%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	159		8.07 (SD 0.66)	80		7.95 (SD 0.67)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	159		9.1 (SD 1.8)	80		9 (SD 1.7)		
Body weight:									
BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	159		24.6 (SD 4)	80		24.3 (SD 3.4)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	159		69.43104 (SD 11.3)	80		68.58432 (SD 9.6)		
Diabetic complications:									
Microvascular	Dichotomous	159	57	(35.8%)	80	28	(35.0%)		
Macrovascular	Dichotomous	159	77	(48.4%)	80	36	(45.0%)		
metabolic syndrome	Dichotomous	159	59	(37.1%)	80	31	(38.8%)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:  Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	159	87	(54.7%)	80	43	(53.8%)		
any monotherapy (1 previous OAD)	Dichotomous	159	58	(36.5%)	80	29	(36.3%)		
any dual therapy (2 previous OAD)	Dichotomous	159	14	(8.8%)	80	8	(10.0%)		
Renal function: eGFR	Continuous	159		113.9 (SD 24.9)	80		111.4 (SD 26.3)		

	-									
	<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assum	ing mean heigh	nt of 1	.68r	n					
esults			Lin	agli	ptin 5 mg		Pla	acebo		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	159		-0.24 (SD 0.757)	80		0.63 (SD 0.716)	MD=-0.870 (CI: -1.040, - 0.700)	<0.0001
	HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	159		7.58 (SD 1.01)	80		8.34 (SD 1.25)		
	HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 12wk	Dichotomous	159	42	(26.4%)	80	8	(10.0%)		0.0038
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	159		-0.7 (SD 1.26)	80		0.4 (SD 0.894)	MD=-1.100 (CI: -1.400, - 0.800)	<0.0001
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Continuous	159		8.2 (SD 1.26)	80		9.3 (SD 1.79)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Mean change	159		-0.02 (SD 0.63)	80		-0.13 (SD 0.537)	MD=0.110 (CI: -0.030, 0.250)	>0.05
	Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	159		-0.06 (SD 1.51)	80		-0.39 (SD 1.43)	MD=0.330 (CI: -0.040, 0.700)	>0.05

159

Dichotomous 159 0

0 (SD

2.77)

(0.0%)

80

80 0

2.59)

(0.0%)

-0.5 (SD

MD=0.500

(CI: -0.160,

1.160)

>0.05

Waist circumference

Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) -

Any adverse event(s) -

(cms) - 12wk

12wk Adverse events: Mean

change

drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	159	1	(0.6%)	80	0	(0.0%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	159		0.118956 (SD 0.62)	80		- 0.072408 (SD 0.625)	MD=-0.047 (CI: -0.197, 0.103)	>0.05
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	159		- 0.033618 (SD 0.196)	80		- 0.036204 (SD 0.208)	MD=0.003 (CI: -0.047, 0.052)	>0.05
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	159		- 0.046289 (SD 0.954)	80		- 0.118545 (SD 0.929)	MD=0.073 (CI: -0.154, 0.300)	>0.05
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	159		- 0.111198 (SD 0.554)	80		-0.02586 (SD 0.532)	MD=-0.083 (CI: -0.215, 0.049)	>0.05
Quality of life: Satisfaction – 12wk	Mean change	159		3 (SD 7.57)	80		1.9 (SD 7.16)	MD=1.100 (CI: -0.700, 2.900)	>0.05
Pre-study diet alone (i.e. drug naive) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Mean change	87		-0.75	43		-0.16	MD=-0.590 (CI: -0.790, - 0.390)	С
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Mean change	87		-0.75	43		-0.16	MD=-0.590 (CI: -0.790, - 0.390)	
Baseline Hba1c >=8 Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - 12wk	Mean change	88						MD=-1.090 (CI: -1.345, - 0.835)	<0.0001d
<= 1 years since diagnosis Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - 12wk	Mean change	19			7			MD=-0.660 (CI: -1.230, - 0.090)	
eGFR >=90 ml/min Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - 12wk	Mean change	135						MD=-0.890 (CI: -1.090, - 0.690)	d
eGFR 60 to <90 ml/min Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	20						MD=-0.750 (CI: -1.200, - 0.300)	d

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

ANCOVA with baseline value as covariate and treatment and previous OAD thetrapy as varaibles was used to evaluate changes in continuous efficacy endpoints. Last observation carried forward was used to impute missing data for Hba1c, FPG, body weight. BMI and wasit circumference. Fisher's exact test was used to evaluate changes in categorical efficacy endpoints. P-values for between group comparisons for adverse events were not reported

#### Table 63: Kikuchi et al. (2009)

# General Phase: ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> SD not reported

 $<sup>^{\</sup>circ}$  SD calculated from reported 95% CI

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> number of patients in placebo not reported

Country: Japan Authors' conclusions: Vildagliptin 50mg bid was considered to be the most effective and tolerated dose, and therefore can be considered the recommended clinical dose for Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes Source of funding: funded by Novartis Pharma Comments: multi-centre randomised, double blind, placebo controlled trial with no details of randomisation, allocation concelament or blinding methods Number and Total number of patients: 291 characteristics Inclusion criteria: patients diagnosed with type 2 diabetes, inadequately controlled on diet and exercise. of patients Male and female outpatients (aged 20-75 years) with a BMI 20-35 kg/m2, Hba1c 6.5-10% and fasting plasma glucose <270 mg/dl were eligible to participate. Patients were required to be without OAD treatment and should be on dietary therapy (essential) and/or exercise for at least 8 weeks before run-in visit Exclusion criteria: history of type 1 or secondary forms of diabetes, acute metabolic diabetic complications, MI, unstable angina or coronary artery bypass surgery within the previous 6 months. CHF and liver disease also precluded participation. Patients with any of the following laboratory abnormalities were also excluded: ALT, AST and total bilirubin greater than two times the upper limit of normal, serum creatinine >2.0 mg/dl, clinically signifiaent thyroid stimulating hormone levels or fasting tryglyerides >700 mg/dl Pre-randomisation phase: there was a 2 week run-in phase followed by 12 weeks of active treatment. Assumed baseline was after the 2 week run-in phase as illustrated in the reported graphs **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or glucoselowering Details of washout period: Unclear whether all patients were AHA naïve therapy Lifestyle advice patients were instructed to maintain their prior diet, exercise program and daily routine during the trial Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 14 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Efficacy and tolerability were assessed during 4 visits at weeks 0, 2, 4, 8 and 12 of treatment Arms (1) Vildagliptin (10 mg bid) N: 71 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 14 Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):20 Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: vildagliptin 10 mg (bid) was taken 30 minutes before breakfast and an evening meal (2) Vildagliptin (25 mg bid) N: 72 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 14 Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):50 Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: vildagliptin 25 mg (bid) was taken 30 minutes before breakfast and an evening meal (3) Vildagliptin (50 mg bid) Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 14 Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100 Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: vildagliptin 50 mg (bid) was taken 30 minutes before breakfast and an evening meal (4) placebo N: 72 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 14 Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) - fixed-dose Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: placebo was taken bid (5) Vildagliptin (10, 25 and 50mg bid) N: 219

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 14

Comments: Combined individual vildagliptin groups

Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: Variable doses

#### Outcomes

#### General

Primary and secondary analyses were performed in the full analysis set (FAS) population which was defined as all randomised patients excluding those with GCP violations, untreated patients, and those whose efficacy data (after randomisation) were not available.

6 (3%) in the vildagliptin groups and 6 (8%) in the placebo groups discontinued. 3 patients were discontinued due to unsatisfactory therapeutic effects and 5 for adverse events

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include glucose, insulin and glucagon

		V	ilda	gliptin (10 mg bid)	Vildagliptin (25 mg bid)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	71		58.9 (SD 8.6)	72		57.8 (SD 8.5)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	71	52	(73.2%)	72	46	(63.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	71		4.5 (SD 4.2)	72		4.7 (SD 4.5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	71		7.4 (SD 0.8)	72		7.4 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	71		8.94105 (SD 1.94)	72		9.0243 (SD 1.82)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	71		15.8952 (SD 5.61)	72		14.76855 (SD 6.5)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	71		24.4 (SD 2.7)	72		24.3 (SD 2.5)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	71		64.2 (SD 10.4)	72		63.6 (SD 9.7)		
BMI >25 kg/m2	Dichotomous	71	21	(29.6%)	72	17	(23.6%)		

		V	ilda	gliptin (10 mg bid)	Vildagliptin (50 mg bid)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	71		58.9 (SD 8.6)	76		58.8 (SD 8.6)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	71	52	(73.2%)	76	51	(67.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	71		4.5 (SD 4.2)	76		4.7 (SD 4.3)		
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	71		7.4 (SD 0.8)	76		7.4 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	71		8.94105 (SD 1.94)	76		8.9133 (SD 1.85)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	71		15.8952 (SD 5.61)	76		15.7731 (SD 5.42)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	71		24.4 (SD 2.7)	76		24.3 (SD 2.8)		
, ,				, ,			, ,		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	71		64.2 (SD 10.4)			62.7 (SD 9.3)		
BMI >25 kg/m2	Dichotomous	71	21	(29.6%)	76	23	(30.3%)		

	Vildagliptin (10 mg bid)	placebo	Δр
--	-----------------------------	---------	----

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean
Demographics:							
Age (years)	Continuous	71		58.9 (SD 8.6)	72		60.4 (SD 8.1)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	71	52	(73.2%)	52	46	(88.5%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	71		4.5 (SD 4.2)	72		7.1 (SD 5.5)
Blood glucose:							
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	71		7.4 (SD 0.8)	72		7.4 (SD 0.8)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	71		8.94105 (SD 1.94)	72		9.00765 (SD 1.66)
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	71		15.8952 (SD 5.61)	72		15.8175 (SD 4.94)
Body weight:							
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	71		24.4 (SD 2.7)	72		24.6 (SD 3.1)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	71		64.2 (SD 10.4)	72		63.8 (SD 10.1)
BMI >25 kg/m2	Dichotomous	71	21	(29.6%)	72	25	(34.7%)

		V	ilda	gliptin (25 mg bid)	V	ilda	gliptin (50 mg bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	72		57.8 (SD 8.5)	76		58.8 (SD 8.6)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	72	46	(63.9%)	76	51	(67.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	72		4.7 (SD 4.5)	76		4.7 (SD 4.3)		
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	72		7.4 (SD 0.9)	76		7.4 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	72		9.0243 (SD 1.82)	76		8.9133 (SD 1.85)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	72		14.76855 (SD 6.5)	76		15.7731 (SD 5.42)		
Body weight:									
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	72		24.3 (SD 2.5)	76		24.3 (SD 2.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	72		63.6 (SD 9.7)	76		62.7 (SD 9.3)		
BMI >25 kg/m2	Dichotomous	72	17	(23.6%)	76	23	(30.3%)		

		V	ilda	gliptin (25 mg bid)	placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	72		57.8 (SD 8.5)	72		60.4 (SD 8.1)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	72	46	(63.9%)	52	46	(88.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	72		4.7 (SD 4.5)	72		7.1 (SD 5.5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	72		7.4 (SD 0.9)	72		7.4 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	72		9.0243 (SD 1.82)	72		9.00765 (SD 1.66)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l)  – 0wk	Continuous	72		14.76855 (SD 6.5)	72		15.8175 (SD 4.94)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	72		24.3 (SD 2.5)	72		24.6 (SD 3.1)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	72		63.6 (SD 9.7)	72		63.8 (SD 10.1)		
BMI >25 kg/m2	Dichotomous	72	17	(23.6%)	72	25	(34.7%)		

		٧	ilda	gliptin (50 mg bid)	placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	76		58.8 (SD 8.6)	72		60.4 (SD 8.1)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	76	51	(67.1%)	52	46	(88.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	76		4.7 (SD 4.3)	72		7.1 (SD 5.5)		
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	76		7.4 (SD 0.8)	72		7.4 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	76		8.9133 (SD 1.85)	72		9.00765 (SD 1.66)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l)  – 0wk	Continuous	76		15.7731 (SD 5.42)	72		15.8175 (SD 4.94)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	76		24.3 (SD 2.8)	72		24.6 (SD 3.1)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	76		62.7 (SD 9.3)	72		63.8 (SD 10.1)		
BMI >25 kg/m2	Dichotomous	76	23	(30.3%)	72	25	(34.7%)		

#### Results

		Vildaglip	otin (10,	25 and 50mg bid)
		N	k	mean
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	219	6	(2.7%)

		Vi	ldag	gliptin (10 mg bid)	Vi	gliptin (25 mg bid)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	71		-0.53 (SD 0.758)	72		-0.67 (SD 0.764)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	71		-0.61605 (SD 0.889)	72		-0.78255 (SD 0.989)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Mean change	18		-3.46875 (SD 4.77)	19		-3.20235 (SD 4.71)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wkc	Mean change	71		-0.2 (SD 12.6)	72		0.2 (SD 11)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	3	(4.2%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	0	(0.0%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	44	(62.0%)	72	45	(62.5%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	0	(0.0%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Death – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	0	(0.0%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
URT inflammation – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	3	(4.2%)	72	4	(5.6%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	0	(0.0%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	14	(19.7%)	72	12	(16.7%)		
pruritus – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	1	(1.4%)	72	4	(5.6%)		

Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 12wkd	Dichotomous	71	1	(1.4%)	72	1	(1.4%)
Baseline Hba1c >=8 Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - 12wk	Mean change	0		-0.6 (SD 0) e	0		-0.9 (SD 0) f
BMI 22-25 kg/m2 Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkc	Mean change	50		-0.6 (SD 0.707)	55		-0.7 (SD 0.742)

		٧		gliptin (10 ng bid)	V		gliptin (50 g bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	71		-0.53 (SD 0.758)	76		-0.92 (SD 0.785)	MD=- 0.400	<0.0001
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	71		-0.61605 (SD 0.889)	76		-1.37085 (SD 1.06)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Mean change	18		-3.46875 (SD 4.77)	21		-3.44655 (SD 4.55)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wkc	Mean change	71		-0.2 (SD 12.6)	76		0.5 (SD 12.2)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	3	(4.2%)	76	2	(2.6%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	0	(0.0%)	76	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	44	(62.0%)	76	47	(61.8%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	0	(0.0%)	76	0	(0.0%)		
Death – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	0	(0.0%)	76	0	(0.0%)		
URT inflammation – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	3	(4.2%)	76	0	(0.0%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	0	(0.0%)	76	0	(0.0%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	14	(19.7%)	76	15	(19.7%)		
pruritus – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	1	(1.4%)	76	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 12wkd	Dichotomous	71	1	(1.4%)	76	1	(1.3%)		
Baseline Hba1c >=8									
Blood glucose:	Mean			-0.6 (SD 0)			-1.3 (SD		
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	change	0		е	0		0) f		
BMI 22-25 kg/m2									
Blood glucose:	Mean	ΕO		-0.6 (SD	E2		-0.9 (SD		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkc	change	50		0.707)	53		0.728)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> SE 0.1%

Vildagliptin (10 mg bid)	placebo	Δ	p
-----------------------------	---------	---	---

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SE estimated from graph <sup>b</sup> in patients who took meal test <sup>c</sup> assumed SE reported in paper and converted

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Inconsistency in reported text (states overall 5 people dropped out due to adverse events across all trial arms)

\* SE 0.2% sample size based on baseline Hba1c not reported

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SE estimated from graph
 <sup>b</sup> in patients who took meal test
 <sup>c</sup> assumed SE reported in paper and converted
 <sup>d</sup> Inconsistency in reported text (states overall 5 people dropped out due to adverse events across all trial arms)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> SE 0.2% sample size based on baseline Hba1c not reported

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	71		-0.53 (SD 0.758)	72		0.28 (SD 0.849)	MD=-0.800 (CI: -0.996, - 0.604)	<0.001
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	71		-0.61605 (SD 0.889)	72		0.1332 (SD 0.942)		<0.001
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Mean change	18		-3.46875 (SD 4.77)	20		0.20535 (SD 4.52)		<0.001
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wkc	Mean change	71		-0.2 (SD 12.6)	72		-0.5 (SD 9.33)		NS
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	3	(4.2%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	0	(0.0%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events:  Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	44	(62.0%)	72	53	(73.6%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	0	(0.0%)	72	2	(2.8%)		
Death – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	0	(0.0%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
URT inflammation – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	3	(4.2%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	0	(0.0%)	72	4	(5.6%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	14	(19.7%)	72	18	(25.0%)		
pruritus – 12wk	Dichotomous	71	1	(1.4%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 12wkd	Dichotomous	71	1	(1.4%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Baseline Hba1c >=8 Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	0		-0.6 (SD 0) e	0		0.7 (SD 0) f		
BMI 22-25 kg/m2 Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkc	Mean change	50		-0.6 (SD 0.707)	47		0.3 (SD 0.686)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SE estimated from graph

		Vil	dag	liptin (25 mg bid)	٧		gliptin (50 ng bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	72		-0.67 (SD 0.764)	76		-0.92 (SD 0.785)	MD=- 0.200	0.01
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	72		-0.78255 (SD 0.989)	76		-1.37085 (SD 1.06)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Mean change	19		-3.20235 (SD 4.71)	21		-3.44655 (SD 4.55)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wkc	Mean change	72		0.2 (SD 11)	76		0.5 (SD 12.2)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	0	(0.0%)	76	2	(2.6%)		

b in patients who took meal test
c assumed SE reported in paper and converted
d Inconsistency in reported text (states overall 5 people dropped out due to adverse events across all trial arms)

° SE 0.2% sample size based on baseline Hba1c not reported

f SE 0.3%

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	0	(0.0%)	76	0	(0.0%)
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	45	(62.5%)	76	47	(61.8%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	1	(1.4%)	76	0	(0.0%)
Death – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	0	(0.0%)	76	0	(0.0%)
URT inflammation – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	4	(5.6%)	76	0	(0.0%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	1	(1.4%)	76	0	(0.0%)
Nasopharyngitis – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	12	(16.7%)	76	15	(19.7%)
pruritus – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	4	(5.6%)	76	0	(0.0%)
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 12wkd	Dichotomous	72	1	(1.4%)	76	1	(1.3%)
Baseline Hba1c >=8 Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - 12wk	Mean change	0		-0.9 (SD 0) e	0		-1.3 (SD 0)
BMI 22-25 kg/m2 Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkc	Mean change	55		-0.7 (SD 0.742)	53		-0.9 (SD 0.728)

		Vildagliptin (25 mg bid)				pla	cebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	72		-0.67 (SD 0.764)	72		0.28 (SD 0.849)	MD=-1.000 (CI: -1.196, - 0.804)	<0.001	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	72		-0.78255 (SD 0.989)	72		0.1332 (SD 0.942)		<0.001	
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Mean change	19		-3.20235 (SD 4.71)	20		0.20535 (SD 4.52)		<0.001	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wkc	Mean change	72		0.2 (SD 11)	72		-0.5 (SD 9.33)		NS	
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	0	(0.0%)	72	1	(1.4%)			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	0	(0.0%)	72	0	(0.0%)			
Adverse events:  Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	45	(62.5%)	72	53	(73.6%)			
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	1	(1.4%)	72	2	(2.8%)			
Death – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	0	(0.0%)	72	0	(0.0%)			
URT inflammation – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	4	(5.6%)	72	1	(1.4%)			
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	1	(1.4%)	72	4	(5.6%)			
Nasopharyngitis – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	12	(16.7%)	72	18	(25.0%)			
pruritus – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	4	(5.6%)	72	0	(0.0%)			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SE estimated from graph
<sup>b</sup> in patients who took meal test
<sup>c</sup> assumed SE reported in paper and converted
<sup>d</sup> Inconsistency in reported text (states overall 5 people dropped out due to adverse events across all trial arms) <sup>e</sup> SE 0.2% <sup>f</sup> SE 0.1%

Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 12wkd	Dichotomous	72	1	(1.4%)	72	1	(1.4%)	
Baseline Hba1c >=8 Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - 12wk	Mean change	0		-0.9 (SD 0) e	0		0.7 (SD 0) f	
BMI 22-25 kg/m2 Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkc	Mean change	55		-0.7 (SD 0.742)	47		0.3 (SD 0.686)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SE estimated from graph

		Vildagliptin (50 mg bid)		pla	icebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	76		-0.92 (SD 0.785)	72		0.28 (SD 0.849)	MD=-1.200 (CI: -1.396, - 1.004)	<0.001
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	76		-1.37085 (SD 1.06)	72		0.1332 (SD 0.942)		<0.001
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Mean change	21		-3.44655 (SD 4.55)	20		0.20535 (SD 4.52)		<0.001
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wkc	Mean change	76		0.5 (SD 12.2)	72		-0.5 (SD 9.33)		NS
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	76	2	(2.6%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wk	Dichotomous	76	0	(0.0%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events:  Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	76	47	(61.8%)	72	53	(73.6%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	76	0	(0.0%)	72	2	(2.8%)		
Death – 12wk	Dichotomous	76	0	(0.0%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
URT inflammation – 12wk	Dichotomous	76	0	(0.0%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 12wk	Dichotomous	76	0	(0.0%)	72	4	(5.6%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 12wk	Dichotomous	76	15	(19.7%)	72	18	(25.0%)		
pruritus – 12wk	Dichotomous	76	0	(0.0%)	72	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 12wkd	Dichotomous	76	1	(1.3%)	72	1	(1.4%)		
Baseline Hba1c >=8 Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	0		-1.3 (SD 0) e	0		0.7 (SD 0) f		
BMI 22-25 kg/m2 Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkc	Mean change	53		-0.9 (SD 0.728)	47		0.3 (SD 0.686)		

b in patients who took meal test
c assumed SE reported in paper and converted
d Inconsistency in reported text (states overall 5 people dropped out due to adverse events across all trial arms) <sup>e</sup> SE 0.2% <sup>f</sup> SE 0.3%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SE estimated from graph
<sup>b</sup> in patients who took meal test
<sup>c</sup> assumed SE reported in paper and converted
<sup>d</sup> Inconsistency in reported text (states overall 5 people dropped out due to adverse events across all trial arms) <sup>e</sup> SE 0.1%

<sup>f</sup> SE 0.3%
Changes from baseline in the primary and secondary endpoints were analysed using an ANCOVA model with treatment and region as a factor and baseline Hba1c as the covariate. Adjusted (least squares) mean changes reported. P-values from between group compari

#### Table 64: Kikuchi et al. (2012)

Tubic 04. Itil	Ruchi et al. (2012)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: -  Authors' conclusions: -  Source of funding: -  Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 213 Inclusion criteria: Drug naïve Japanese adults (20-75 years) with T2DM, HbA1c >=7.4% Exclusion criteria: -
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: No wash out. All patients were drug naïve.
Lifestyle advice	Not reported
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 34 Length of titration period (wks): 17 Length of maintenance period (wks): 28 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
Arms	(1) Pioglitizone N: 159 Treatment duration (wks): 28 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Starting dose of 15mg/d At week 4, uptitrated to 30mg/d if no adverse events occurred At week 17, uptitrated to 45mg/d if HbA1c >=6.5% at week 16 and no adverse events occurred; otherwise maintained at 30mg/d No reduction in dose of study drug was permitted Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – forced titration Minimum dose (mg/d): 15 Maximum dose (mg/d): 45 Details of dosing regimen: Starting dose of 15mg/d At week 4, uptitrated to 30mg/d if no adverse events occurred At week 17, uptitrated to 45mg/d if HbA1c >=6.5% at week 16 and no adverse events occurred; otherwise maintained at 30mg/d No reduction in dose of study drug was permitted  (2) Placebo N: 54 Treatment duration (wks): 28 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)
Outcomes	General  Data were not extracted from the third trial arm receiving rosiglitazone.

	Missing data were impu	ted using LO0	CF											
Baseline characteristics						Р	iogl	itizo	one		F	Placebo		
					N	k	me	ean		N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Demographics:		0		450		50	<b>(C</b> F	10.0	<b>5</b> 4		52.0 (CD 40)		
	Age (years)		Contin					•	10.3)	54		53.9 (SD 10)		
	Sex (n male)	, ,	Dichot			99	· `	2.3%	,		33	(61.1%)		
	Duration of diabetes	(yrs)	Contin	nuous	159		4.2	2 (SI	O 4.5)	54		4.2 (SD 3.9)		
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 28wk		Continuous		159		8.8	3 (SI	O 1.3)	54		9 (SD 1.4)		
	Fasting plasma gluco 0wk	ose (mg/dl) –	Contin	nuous	159		18	4.5 (	(SD 43.8)	54		190.8 (SD 46.6)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)		Continuous 159 24.9 (SD 3.5)			54		25 (SD 3.6)						
	Weight (kg) – 0wka		Contin	70.2777				54		70.56 (SD 10.2)				
Results			Piogliti				Placebo							
			N	k ı	mean		N	k	mean	Δ				р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Mean change	159		-0.91 (S 1.01)	D	54		0.21 (SD 1.1)					
	HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	159				54				D=-1 966	.260 (CI: -1.554 )	4,	
	HbA1c (%) – 28wk	Continuous	159		8.8 (SD 1.3)		54		9 (SD 1.4)		D=-1 320	.640 (CI: -1.960 )	Э,	b
	Body weight: Weight (kg) – 28wk	Continuous	159		2.8 (SD 2.1)		54		-1 (SD 1.7)					
	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 28wk	Dichotomou	s 159	22	(13.8%)	)	54	11	(20.4%)					
	Dropout due to AEs – 28wk	Dichotomou					54		(1.9%)					
	<sup>a</sup> Data extracted from gr <sup>b</sup> SEM calculated from r	aph, assumed eported 95%	d mista CI	kenly	reporte	d as	SD	and	d not SE					

#### Table 65: Kirkman et al. (2006)

General Phase:
<ul> <li>☑ monotherapy</li> <li>☐ dual therapy</li> <li>☐ triple therapy</li> <li>☐ insulin monotherapy</li> <li>☐ insulin+oral</li> <li>Parallel / crossover: Parallel</li> <li>Country: USA</li> <li>Authors' conclusions: Ameliorating postprandial hyperglycemia did not appear to delay progression of early type 2 diabetes. Factors other than postprandial hyperglycemia may be greater determinants of progression of diabetes</li> <li>Source of funding: supported by an investigator-initiated grant from Bayer with additional support from the National Institutes of Health</li> <li>Comments: Blinded randomisation was stratified by site and randomisation to a hyperglycaemic clamp procedure. Detailed methods of randomisation and allocation concealment not reported</li> </ul>

# Number and characteristics of patients

#### Total number of patients: 239

Inclusion criteria: Individuals at least 25 years old with obesity, a history of gestational diabetes or a family history of diabetes were targeted. These participants were screened for diabetes and those with a 2-h postload plasma glucose =200 mg/dl (11.1 mmol/l) and FPG <140 mg/dl were considered to have early diabetes and were screened for enrollment.

**Exclusion criteria:** BMI<24 kg/m2, cancer within 5 years, infectious disease including HIV infection, a cardiac event within the previous 6 month, uncontrolled hypertension or hypertension that could not be controlled with agents other than beta blockersor thiazide diuretics, elevated aspartate aminotransferase, serum creatinine >1.4 mg/dl in men or 1.3 mg/dl in women, fasting plasma triglycerides >600 mg/dl despite treatment, any significant disease or medication that could interfere with medication tolerance or with outcomes, suspected inability to adhere to the protocol or inability to give informed consent

Pre-randomisation phase: For screening details see inclusion criteria. Within 6 weeks of the qualifying OGTT, participants were admitted for a 2-day study initiation visit. All participants had a medical history and physical examination before randomisation.

# Previous glucose-lowering therapy

Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: All diagnosed with early diabetes

#### Lifestyle advice

A registered dietitian counseled subjects on an appropriate diet for type 2 diabetes. Subjects whose FPG was >=140 mg/dl had intensified dietary counseling. No further details about lifestyle advice was reported.

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 260

Length of titration period (wks): -

Length of maintenance period (wks): 260

**Frequency of monitoring appointments:** Within 6 weeks of the qualifying OGTT, subjects were admitted for a 2 day study initiation visit (including medical history and physical exam). Participants visited the study clinic at 3 month intervals and had FPG measured, among other measurements. Annually, each subject completed a visit at which multiple measures were done (OGTT, history, and physical examination, seven-field fundus photographs, electrocardiogram, and laboratory tests for renal and hepatic function). At years 1 and 2, Meal Profile Studies (MPS) and hyperglycemic clamps were repeated. Hba1c was measured every 6 months, total and HDL cholesterol and triglycerides were measured annually

#### Arms

#### (1) Acarbose

N: 120

Treatment duration (wks): 260 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: Participants were newly diagnosed therefore assumed to be treatment naïve (no washout period necessary). Treatment duration was not specifically reported but assumed to last the duration of the study (i.e. 5 years)

Treatment(s): Ac

Acarbose (Oral) – forced titration Minimum dose (mg/d): 25

Maximum dose (mg/d): 300 Participants achieving full dose (n): 99

Frequency of dosing: three times a day

Compliance: Compliance was assessed by pill counts. Mean pill consumption was 79.5%

of prescribed dose in the acarbose group at year 1 and 79% at year 5  $\,$ 

Details of dosing regimen: Initiated at a dose of 25 mg once daily with the evening meal, then titrated at weekly intervals by 25mg daily to the maximum dose of 100mg t.i.d with meals. The study drug was down-titrated as needed in participants who complained of gastrointestinal side effects. Efforts were made to reach a daily dosage of at least 50mg t.i.d.

After study titration 91% of participants receiving Acarbose had achieved the full dose

#### (2) Placebo

N: 119

Treatment duration (wks): 260

Washout period (d): 0

Comments: Participants were newly diagnosed therefore assumed to be treatment naïve (no washout period necessary). Treatment duration was not specifically reported but assumed to last the duration of the study (i.e. 5 years)

Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

Participants achieving full dose (n): 107

Compliance: Mean pill consumption was 84.6% of prescribed dose in the placebo group at

year 1 and 83.8% at year 5.

Details of dosing regimen: Identical placebo given.

After study titration 97% of participants receiving placebo had achieved the full dose

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Meal Profile Studies, hyperglycaemic clamp, insulin sensitivity, proinsulin and insulin resistance were also measured but are not reported in this evidence table. The primary outcome was development of frank

hyperglycaemia (two consecutive quarterly FPG >=140 mg/dl)

219 participants completed the initiation visit, received the study drug, returned for at least one follow up visit and are included in the intention to treat analysis. Over the 5 year trial, 95 participants terminated follow-up prematurely (53 in the acarbose group and 42 in the placebo group).

			Α	II study participants
		N	k	mean
Demographics:				
Age (years) – yr	Continuous	219		53.7 (SD 11.4)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	219	74	(33.8%)
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	219	171	(78.1%)
Ethnicity-African American	Dichotomous	219	41	(18.7%)
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	219	3	(1.4%)
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	219	4	(1.8%)
Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – yr	Continuous	219		6.34 (SD 0.64)
HbA1c (%) – yr	Continuous	219		6.34 (SD 0.64)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – yr	Continuous	219		6.7377 (SD 0.76035)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – yr	Continuous	219		6.7377 (SD 0.76035)
2-h plasma glucose (mg/dl) – yr	Continuous	219		236.2 (SD 31)
2-h plasma glucose (mg/dl) – yr	Continuous	219		236.2 (SD 31)
Body weight:				
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	219		35.2 (SD 7.1)
Weight (kg)	Continuous	219		98.6 (SD 21.2)
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	219		104.648 (SD 12.954)
Blood pressure:				
Diagnosis of hypertension	Dichotomous	219	107	(48.9%)
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	219		132.6 (SD 17.3)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	219		75.4 (SD 11)
Lipids:				
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	219		5.0427 (SD 1.000782)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	219		0.993024 (SD 0.222396)
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	Continuous	219		2.177841 (SD 1.15158)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	219		3.054066 (SD 0.933546)
Diabetic complications:				
Retinopathy	Dichotomous	219	25	(11.4%)
Microalbuminuria	Dichotomous	219	6	(2.7%)
Sensory neuropathy	Dichotomous	219	33	(15.1%)

			Ac	arbose	Placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years) – 0yr	Continuous	109		53.7 (SD 11)	110		53.7 (SD 11.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	109	36	(33.0%)	110	38	(34.5%)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	109	84	(77.1%)	110	87	(79.1%)		
Ethnicity-African American	Dichotomous	109	21	(19.3%)	110	20	(18.2%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	109	2	(1.8%)	110	1	(0.9%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	109	2	(1.8%)	110	2	(1.8%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0yr	Continuous	106		6.35 (SD 0.64)	106		6.32 (SD 0.62)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0yr	Continuous	109		6.77655 (SD 0.771)	110		6.7044 (SD 0.744)		

2 h mlasmas mlusaas (mm/dll) - Our	Cantinuana	400		236.5 (SD	440		235.8 (SD	
2-h plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 0yr	Continuous	109		31.8)	110		30.4)	
Peak postprandial glucose, first meal (mmol/l) – 0yr	Continuous	108		10.5339 (SD 1.85)	110		10.51725 (SD 1.69)	
Peak postprandial glucose, second meal (mmol/l) – 0yr	Continuous	108		7.8588 (SD 1.53)	110		7.81995 (SD 1.42)	
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	109		35.1 (SD 7.2)	110		35.2 (SD 7.1)	
Weight (kg)	Continuous	109		97.4 (SD 19.1)	110		99.9 (SD 23.1)	
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	109		104.648 (SD 14.2)	110		104.648 (SD 11.7)	
Blood pressure:								
Diagnosis of hypertension	Dichotomous	109	54	(49.5%)	110	53	(48.2%)	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	109		133.3 (SD 16.4)	110		132 (SD 18.2)	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	109		75.6 (SD 11.1)	110		75.2 (SD 11)	
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	109		5.045286 (SD 0.967)	110		5.0427 (SD 1.03)	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	109		0.987852 (SD 0.217)	110		0.99561 (SD 0.228)	
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	Continuous	109		2.207195 (SD 1.29)	110		2.148487 (SD 1.01)	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	109		3.043722 (SD 0.936)	110		3.061824 (SD 0.934)	
Diabetic complications:								
Retinopathy	Dichotomous	109	11	(10.1%)	110	14	(12.7%)	
Microalbuminuria	Dichotomous	109	3	(2.8%)	110	3	(2.7%)	
Sensory neuropathy	Dichotomous	109	15	(13.8%)	110	18	(16.4%)	

D	00		40
к		ш	ш

			Aca	arbose	Placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 1yr	Continuous	81		6.16 (SD 0.74)	76		6.22 (SD 0.6)		>0.05a
HbA1c (%) – 2yr	Continuous	63		6.02 (SD 0.49)	63		6.26 (SD 0.62)		>0.05a
HbA1c (%) – 3yr	Continuous	45		6.17 (SD 0.6)	49		6.24 (SD 0.56)		>0.05a
HbA1c (%) – 4yr	Continuous	41		6.44 (SD 0.72)	40		6.4 (SD 0.64)		>0.05a
HbA1c (%) – 5yr	Continuous	15		6.03 (SD 0.71)	16		6.41 (SD 0.89)		>0.05a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 1yrb	Continuous	74		6.34365 (SD 0.899)	78		6.4491 (SD 0.932)		>0.05a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 2yrb	Continuous	60		6.25485 (SD 0.938)	59		6.48795 (SD 0.871)		>0.05a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3yrb	Continuous	50		6.42135 (SD 0.832)	52		6.4047 (SD 0.727)		>0.05a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 4yrb	Continuous	39		6.9042 (SD 1.12)	45		6.5601 (SD 1.01)		>0.05a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 5yrb	Continuous	20		6.8598 (SD 1.1)	29		6.88755 (SD 1.27)		>0.05a
2-h plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 1yrb	Continuous	74		202.9 (SD 45.5)	78		211.9 (SD 50.4)		>0.05a

2-h plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 2yrb	Continuous	60		201.1 (SD 47.2)	59		204.3 (SD 45.2)	>0.05a
2-h plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 3yrb	Continuous	50		202.3 (SD 50.1)	52		206 (SD 51.7)	>0.05a
2-h plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 4yrb	Continuous	39		224.6 (SD 61.4)	45		223.1 (SD 52.4)	>0.05a
2-h plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 5yrb	Continuous	20		222.2 (SD 35.7)	29		237 (SD 55.4)	>0.05a
Peak postprandial glucose, first meal (mmol/l) – 1yr	Continuous	81		9.1353 (SD 1.59)	82		10.18425 (SD 1.65)	<0.01a
Peak postprandial glucose, first meal (mmol/l) – 2yr	Continuous	63		9.14085 (SD 1.39)	62		10.2231 (SD 1.65)	<0.01a
Peak postprandial glucose, second meal (mmol/l) – 1yr	Continuous	81		6.78765 (SD 0.938)	82		7.57575 (SD 1.18)	<0.01a
Peak postprandial glucose, second meal (mmol/l) – 2yr	Continuous	63		6.6378 (SD 0.805)	61		7.4037 (SD 0.988)	<0.01a
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 5yrc	Dichotomous	120	64	(53.3%)	119	51	(42.9%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 5yrc	Dichotomous	120	13	(10.8%)	119	5	(4.2%)	d
Dropout due to AEs – 5yrc	Dichotomous	109	13	(10.8%)	110	5	(4.2%)	d
<ul> <li>Repeated measures ANOVA and rand</li> <li>in OGTT</li> <li>From online supplementary data</li> <li>not reported</li> </ul>	lom effects mo	odels						
Kaplan-Meier product limit estimation was frank fasting hyperglycaemia (not extract effects models were used to analyse oth multiple tests)	cted in this evid	dence	tab	le). Řepeate	d me	asu	res ANOVA and	d random

Table 66: Kovacevic I, Profozic V, Skrabalo Z, Cabrijan T, Zjacic-Rotkvic V, Goldoni (1997)

Tubic 90: Ito	vacevic i,Froiozic v,Skrabaio z,Cabrijan i,Zjacic-Kotkvic v,Goldoni (1997)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Unclear (assumed Croatia)  Authors' conclusions: Therapeutic use of acarbose and glibenclamide significantly improved glucose metabolism in patients with NIDDM inadequately treated with basic principles of care; BPT (assumed diet and lifestyle)  Source of funding: Unclear  Comments: Glibenclamide (single blind) placebo (double-blind)
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 102 Inclusion criteria: patients with NIDDM for at least 3 months, Hba1c 7-11%, aged 35-70 years and with stable bodyweight (not >35 BMI)  Exclusion criteria: patients with severe liver disease, kidney disease, other severe diseases, pregnancy
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: Patients were controlled on basic principles of treatment alone (assumed diet/exercise), NIDDM
Lifestyle advice	patients were uncontrolled on basic principles of treatment alone (assumed to be diet/exercise) and received diet therapy 6 weeks prior to randomisation which was maintained with drug allocation
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 30

Length of titration period (wks): 0

Length of maintenance period (wks): 24

Frequency of monitoring appointments: 6 week pre-randomisation where patients were on diet therapy 6 visits (1 pre-randomisation and 5 at week 0, 6, 12, 18 and 24)

#### **Arms**

#### (1) Acarbose

N: 34

Treatment duration (wks): 24

Washout period (d): 0

Comments: Treatment naïve (assumed) - had 6 week of diet therapy prior to randomisation

Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):300

Frequency of dosing: three times a day

Details of dosing regimen: 100 mg tid with main meals

#### (2) Glibenclamide

N: 34

Treatment duration (wks): 24

Washout period (d): 0

Comments: Treatment naïve (assumed) - had 6 week of diet therapy prior to randomisation

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 3.5 Maximum dose (mg/d): 10.5

Details of dosing regimen: Administered before breakfast and dinner

#### (3) Placebo

N: 34

Treatment duration (wks): 24

Washout period (d): 0

Comments: Treatment naïve (assumed) - had 6 week of diet therapy prior to randomisation

Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

1/33 in acarbose, 1/33 in glibenclamide and 6/31 placebo patients discontinued the study

			All study participants					
		N	k	mean				
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	97		57.54 (SD 8.08)				
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	97	47	(48.5%)				
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	97		4.5a				
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	97		28.73 (SD 2.83)				
Weight (kg)	Continuous	97		81.087552 (SD 7.987392) b				
a SD not reported								

SD not reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Acarbose				Glibenclamide			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	33		8.3 (SD 0.7)	33		9 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	33		11.7 (SD 3.11)	33		13.9 (SD 4.2)		

				Acarbose	Placebo				
	N		k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	33		8.3 (SD 0.7)	31		8.3 (SD 1.09)		

			Gli	benclamide		Placebo		
		N		mean	N	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	33		9 (SD 1)	31	8.3 (SD 1.09)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	33		13.9 (SD 4.2)	31	11.9 (SD 3.3)		

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk Continuous 33 11.7 (SD 3.11) 31 11.9 (SD 3.3)

#### Results

			Ad	carbose	G				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	33		7.6 (SD 0.9)	33		7.4 (SD 1.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	33		9.5 (SD 2)	33		9.9 (SD 2.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	33		9.8 (SD 2.2)	33		9.9 (SD 2.7)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	33	18	(54.5%)	33	5	(15.2%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	34	1	(2.9%)	34	1	(2.9%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	34	0	(0.0%)	34	0	(0.0%)		

			Ac	arbose					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	33		7.6 (SD 0.9)	31		8.5 (SD 1.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	33		9.5 (SD 2)	31		11.7 (SD 3)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	33		9.8 (SD 2.2)	31		11.2 (SD 3.55)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	33	18	(54.5%)	31	5	(16.1%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	34	1	(2.9%)	34	3	(8.8%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	34	0	(0.0%)	34	3	(8.8%)		

		Glibenclamide				Placebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	33		7.4 (SD 1.2)	31		8.5 (SD 1.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	33		9.9 (SD 2.7)	31		11.7 (SD 3)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	33		9.9 (SD 2.7)	31		11.2 (SD 3.55)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	33	5	(15.2%)	31	5	(16.1%)		

Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	34	1	(2.9%)	34	3	(8.8%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	34	0	(0.0%)	34	3	(8.8%)		
Assumed SDs reported for all continuous outcomes									

#### Table 67: Lawrence et al. (2004)

Table 07. La	wrence et al. (2004)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Unclear but assumed UK  Authors' conclusions: For the same improvement in glycaemic control, pioglitazone and metformin produce favourable changes in HDL and LDL subfractions compared with gliclazide in overweight type 2 diabetic patients  Source of funding: Takeda  Comments: Open label trial
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 64 Inclusion criteria: patients with diet treated type 2 diabetes, Hba1c >7% or <7.5% for those on low dose oral therapy with BMI>27 kg/m2 Exclusion criteria: diet treated patients with a Hba1c >10%, current liipid-lowering therapy, previously intolerant to study medicationsrecent myocardial infarction, contrindication to study medications, uncontrolled angina or hypertension Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 3 month run-in phase on diet alone
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: There was a 3 month run-in phase on diet alone
Lifestyle advice	Diet treatment (no details provided)
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 36 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients had a 3 month run in phase of diet therapy only and were seen 6 weeks pre-randomisation and at weeks 0 (baseline), 4, 8,12,18 and 24
Arms	(1) Pioglitazone (30 mg) N: 21 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 90 Comments: There was a 3 month run-in phase on diet alone Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):30 Minimum dose (mg/d): 45 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: Pioglitazone 30 mg od (up to 45 mg od). If FBG>7 mmol/l treatment was uptitrated to a max of 45 mg od. 13 patients were uptitrated to 45 mg/day  (2) Metformin N: 21 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 90 Comments: There was a 3 month run-in phase on diet alone

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 1000 Maximum dose (mg/d): 3000

Details of dosing regimen: Metformin 500 mg bid (up to 1g tds). If FBG>7 mmol/l treatment

was uptitrated to a max of 1 g tds. 11 patients were uptitrated to 3 g/day

(3) Gliclazide

N: 22

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 90

Comments: There was a 3 month run-in phase on diet alone

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 80 Maximum dose (mg/d): 320

Details of dosing regimen: Gliclazide 80 mg od (up to 160 mg bid). If FBG>7 mmol/l treatment was uptitrated to a max of 160 mg bd. 5 patients were titrated to 320 mg/day.

#### Outcomes

			Pio	glitazone (30 mg)	Metformin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	20		60.4 (SD 7.5)	20		59.5 (SD 9.3)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	20	14	(70.0%)	20	12	(60.0%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	20		7.43 (SD 0.9)	20		8.04 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	20		9.45 (SD 2.1)	20		9.77 (SD 2.3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	20		med: 30.6 [rng 29.4– 35.2]	20		med: 29.2 [rng 28.1– 31.6]		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	20		med: 86.36544 [rng 82.97856–99.34848]	20		med: 82.41408 [rng 79.30944–89.18784]		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	21		5.4 (SD 0.77)	21		5.63 (SD 0.73)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	21		1.28 (SD 0.3)	21		1.26 (SD 0.24)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	21		2.29 (SD 1.68)	21		2.28 (SD 1.24)		
TC/HDL ratio – 0wk	Continuous	21		4.5 (SD 1.3)	21		4.62 (SD 1.01)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous	20	12	(60.0%)	20	14	(70.0%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

			Pic	glitazone (30 mg)		Gliclazide			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	20		60.4 (SD 7.5)	20		63.5 (SD 11.4)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	20	14	(70.0%)	20	13	(65.0%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	20		7.43 (SD 0.9)	20		7.85 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	20		9.45 (SD 2.1)	20		10.1 (SD 2.1)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	20		med: 30.6 [rng 29.4– 35.2]	20		med: 28.7 [rng 28.3–34.4]		

Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	20		med: 86.36544 [rng 82.97856–99.34848]	20		med: 81.00288 [rng 79.87392–97.09056]	
Lipids: Total cholesterol								
(mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	21		5.4 (SD 0.77)	22		5.35 (SD 0.83)	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	21		1.28 (SD 0.3)	22		1.3 (SD 0.25)	
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	21		2.29 (SD 1.68)	22		1.77 (SD 1.05)	
TC/HDL ratio – 0wk	Continuous	21		4.5 (SD 1.3)	22		4.46 (SD 1.17)	
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:								
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous	20	12	(60.0%)	20	15	(75.0%)	
a antimontant frame DMI and				4 00				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

				Metformin			Gliclazide		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	20		59.5 (SD 9.3)	20		63.5 (SD 11.4)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	20	12	(60.0%)	20	13	(65.0%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	20		8.04 (SD 0.9)	20		7.85 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	20		9.77 (SD 2.3)	20		10.1 (SD 2.1)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	20		med: 29.2 [rng 28.1– 31.6]	20		med: 28.7 [rng 28.3–34.4]		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	20		med: 82.41408 [rng 79.30944–89.18784]	20		med: 81.00288 [rng 79.87392–97.09056]		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	21		5.63 (SD 0.73)	22		5.35 (SD 0.83)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	21		1.26 (SD 0.24)	22		1.3 (SD 0.25)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	21		2.28 (SD 1.24)	22		1.77 (SD 1.05)		
TC/HDL ratio – 0wk	Continuous	21		4.62 (SD 1.01)	22		4.46 (SD 1.17)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous			,	20	15	(75.0%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

Results				Pi	oglitazone (30 mg)	one (30 mg) Metformin		Metformin		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	20		6.62 (SD 0.5)	20		6.9 (SD 0.5)		
	HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	20		-0.81 (SD 0.63)	20		-1.12 (SD 0.84)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	20		6.8 (SD 1.1)	20		7.3 (SD 1)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 24wka	Mean change	20		1.5	20		-0.6		
	BMI (kg/m2) – 24wk	Continuous	20		med: 32.1 [rng 29.8– 37]	20		med: 28.6 [rng 27.3– 30.4]		

Weight (kg) – 24wkb	Mean change	20		4.2336	20		-1.69344
Weight (kg) – 24wkc	Continuous	20		med: 90.59904 [rng 84.10752–104.4288]	20		med: 80.72064 [rng 77.05152–85.80096]
Adverse events: Edema peripheral – 24wk	Dichotomous	20	1	(5.0%)	20	0	(0.0%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	21	1	(4.8%)	21	1	(4.8%)
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	21	1	(4.8%)	21	1	(4.8%)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	20		5.44 (SD 0.82)	20		5.27 (SD 0.91)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	20		1.36 (SD 0.29)	20		1.21 (SD 0.22)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) - 24wk	Continuous	20		2 (SD 1.3)	20		1.98 (SD 1.29)
TC/HDL ratio - 24wk	Continuous	20		4.23 (SD 1.19)	20		4.49 (SD 1.05)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Not in paper, was this calculated?

			Pi	oglitazone (30 mg)	Gliclazide				
		N	k	mean	N k mean		mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	20		6.62 (SD 0.5)	20		6.64 (SD 0.5)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	20		-0.81 (SD 0.63)	20		-1.21 (SD 0.82)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	20		6.8 (SD 1.1)	20		7.4 (SD 1.4)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 24wka	Mean change	20		1.5	20		1.9		
BMI (kg/m2) – 24wk	Continuous	20		med: 32.1 [rng 29.8– 37]	20		med: 30.6 [rng 28– 35.7]		
Weight (kg) – 24wkb	Mean change	20		4.2336	20		5.36256		
Weight (kg) – 24wkc	Continuous	20		med: 90.59904 [rng 84.10752–104.4288]	20		med: 86.36544 [rng 79.0272–100.75968]		
Adverse events: Edema peripheral – 24wk	Dichotomous	20	1	(5.0%)	20	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	21	1	(4.8%)	22	2	(9.1%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	21	1	(4.8%)	22	2	(9.1%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	20		5.44 (SD 0.82)	20		5.13 (SD 0.91)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	20		1.36 (SD 0.29)	20		1.24 (SD 0.26)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	20		2 (SD 1.3)	20		1.9 (SD 1.06)		
TC/HDL ratio – 24wk	Continuous	20		4.23 (SD 1.19)	20		4.34 (SD 1.7)		

Not in paper, was this calculated?

Not in paper, was this calculated?; estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Not in paper, was this calculated?
<sup>b</sup> Not in paper, was this calculated?; estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m
<sup>c</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

				Metformin	Gliclazide				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	20		6.9 (SD 0.5)	20		6.64 (SD 0.5)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	20		-1.12 (SD 0.84)	20		-1.21 (SD 0.82)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	20		7.3 (SD 1)	20		7.4 (SD 1.4)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 24wka	Mean change	20		-0.6	20		1.9		
BMI (kg/m2) – 24wk	Continuous	20		med: 28.6 [rng 27.3–30.4]	20		med: 30.6 [rng 28– 35.7]		
Weight (kg) – 24wkb	Mean change	20		-1.69344	20		5.36256		
Weight (kg) – 24wkc	Continuous	20		med: 80.72064 [rng 77.05152–85.80096]	20		med: 86.36544 [rng 79.0272–100.75968]		
Adverse events: Edema peripheral – 24wk	Dichotomous	20	0	(0.0%)	20	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	21	1	(4.8%)	22	2	(9.1%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	21	1	(4.8%)	22	2	(9.1%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	20		5.27 (SD 0.91)	20		5.13 (SD 0.91)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	20		1.21 (SD 0.22)	20		1.24 (SD 0.26)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	20		1.98 (SD 1.29)	20		1.9 (SD 1.06)		
TC/HDL ratio – 24wk	Continuous	20		4.49 (SD 1.05)	20		4.34 (SD 1.7)		

#### Table 68: Lee & (1998)

General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: USA  Authors' conclusions: The results indicate that metformin decreases calorie intake in a dose-dependent manner and leads to a reduction in bodyweight in NIDDM patients with obesity  Source of funding: Unclear  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	<b>Total number of patients:</b> 48 <b>Inclusion criteria:</b> diet treated NIDDM women with obesity who failed to lose more than 1.5 kg of weight per month over the 3 preceeding months after being instructed to reduce their caloric intake by 30% were entered into the study

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Not in paper, was this calculated?
<sup>b</sup> Not in paper, was this calculated?; estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m
<sup>c</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

	Exclusion criteria: Major medical illness, cardiac, renal or hepatic disorder Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 4 week single blind placebo lead in period													
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Details of washout period: Unclear his	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: Unclear history of AHA for patients but were on diet therapy for 3 months prior to enrollment and had a 4 week placebo run in period												
Lifestyle advice	patients were put onto an ADA diet													
Follow-up	Frequency of monitoring appointment weeks after starting treatment and then expenses the starting treatment and the starting trea	Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: All had a 4 week placebo run in period. Subjects returned 2 weeks after starting treatment and then every 4 weeks for 24 weeks. After 24 week treatment, participants were monitored for 6 weeks with placebo treatment												
Arms	(1) Placebo N: 24 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 28 Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)													
Outcomes														
Baseline characteristics					Placebo	Metformin								
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р				
	Demographics: Age (years) a	Continuous	24		59 (SD 14.7)	24		61 (SD 9.8)						
	Duration of diabetes (yrs) a	Continuous			3 (SD 9.8)	24		4 (SD 4.9)						
	Blood glucose:				, ,			,						
	HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	24		8.1 (SD 0.98)	24		8.3 (SD 0.98)						
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	24		8.5 (SD 1.96)	24		8.9 (SD 3.92)						
	Body weight:				- ()			- ()						
	BMI (kg/m2) a	Continuous	24		39.6 (SD 5.88)	24		40 (SD 8.82)						
	Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	24		108.6 (SD 18.6)	24		112.8 (SD 33.3)						
	<sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE													
Results					Placebo			Metformin						
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р				
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	24	1	8.3 (SD 0.98)	24		7.4 (SD 0.98)						
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wka	Continuous	24	1	7.9 (SD 3.92)	24		6.7 (SD 1.96)						
	Body weight:	Continuous			1.9 (SD 3.92)			107.5 (SD						
	Weight (kg) – 12wka	Continuous	24	1	16.7)	24		32.8)						
	Weight (kg) – 24wka	Continuous	24	1	108.8 (SD 16.7)	24		103.5 (SD 32.3)						
	Weight (kg) – 24wka	Mean change	24	1	-1 (SD 0.49)	24		-8.8 (SD 1.47)						

	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk <sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported \$	Dichotomous	24 8	(33.3%)	24	8	(33.3%)	
--	---	-------------	------	---------	----	---	---------	--

#### Table 69: Madsbad et al. (2001)

Table 09. IVI	idsbad et al. (2001)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Scandinavia  Authors' conclusions: Repaglinide is shown to be an effective and safe treatment of patients with type 2 diabetes, and is better than glipizide in controlling Hba1c and FBG levels overall and in treatment naïve patients  Source of funding: not reported  Comments: Multicentre, multinational, double-blind asymmetrically randomised parallel group study. No methods of randomisation or allocation concealment reported
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 256 Inclusion criteria: People with type 2 diabetes who were diet or OHA treated, aged 40-75 years with BMI >=21 and <=35kg/m2, Hba1c 6.5% or above and 10% or lower were eligible Exclusion criteria: serum creatinine 140 µmol/l, signs of liver disease, proliferative retinopathy, severe uncontrolled hypertension (SBP>200 mmHg or DBP>110mmHg), were pregnant or were using corticosteroids Pre-randomisation phase: Initial screening visit the week prior to randomisation included medical history and physical examination. (Please note that graphs indicate that the baseline is before titration period therefore follow-up months has been relabelled to 14 months)
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Participants were randomised following cessation of any previous antidiabetic medication (unclear but seems to be 1 week)
Lifestyle advice	No details reported
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 58 Length of titration period (wks): 6 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Seems that patients stopped previous OADs 1 week prior to randomisation.  After three 2 week titration visits, the participant entered a 12 month maintenance period with visits to the outpatient clinic after 1 month and subsequently every 3 months.
Arms	(1) Repaglinide N: 175 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 7 Comments: patients stopped taking previous oral antidiabetic (seems to be 1 week prior to randomisation) Treatment(s): repaglinide (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Minimum dose (mg/d): 1.5 Maximum dose (mg/d): 12 Frequency of dosing: three times a day Compliance: No details reported Details of dosing regimen: There were 4 dose levels in both groups: Dose level 1 was 0.5mg with meals, Dose level 2 was 1mg with meals, Dose level 3 was 2mg with meals and Dose level 4 was 4 mg with meals. Participants who were previously treated with OHAs and had a FBG>9.0 mmol/l on their previous treatment started on dose level 2, otherwise all participants started at dose level 1. Patients with FBG>7.8 mmol/l (representing poor glycaemic control by the guidelines used) and clinically significant hypoglycaemia had their dose increased to the next level. If FBG<4.4 mmol/l or if the

participant experienced clinically significant hypoglycaemia, the dose was reduced by one level. All participants were required to have 3 main meals daily. At the end of the trial across all participants, 52% were taking dose level 4 and this distribution did not differ significantly between the two treatment groups.

#### (2) Glipizide

N: 81

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 7

Comments: patients stopped taking previous oral antidiabetic (seems to be 1 week prior to randomisation)

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 5 Maximum dose (mg/d): 15 Frequency of dosing: variable Compliance: No details reported

Details of dosing regimen: Dose level 1 was 5mg before breakfast, Dose level 2 was 7.5 mg before breakfast, Dose level 3 was 10 mg before breakfast and Dose level 4 was 10 mg before breakfast and 5 mg before dinner. Placebo tablets were used in this group for

lunch and dinner.

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

35 (20%) patients in the repaglinide group and 23 (28.4%) in the glipizide group discontinued the study. Outcomes not reported in this evidence table include fasting insulin and fasting C-peptide

The ITT population was used for efficacy endpoint analyses.

Unclear reporting but it seems that patients were randomised 1 week after cessation of previous OADs. Population comprised 12% who were treatment naïve (23 in repaglinide and 7 in glipizide). Therefore only data for treatment naïve subgroup were extracted.

#### Hypoglycaemic events

#### No specific definitions relating to hypoglycaemia were reported

confirmed hypoglycaemia (BG <2.5 mmol/l)

			Rep	aglinide		G	lipizide		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	175		60.2 (SD 8.1)	81		62 (SD 8.8)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	175	107	(61.1%)	81	52	(64.2%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	175		8.1 (SD 6)	81		7 (SD 4.9)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	175		7.3 (SD 1.2)	81		7.2 (SD 1.4)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	175		11 (SD 3)	81		10.8 (SD 2.7)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	175		28 (SD 3.6)	81		28 (SD 3.5)		
Weight (kg) – 0mo	Continuous	175		82.9 (SD 13.4)	81		83.6 (SD 14.5)		
Diabetic complications: Retinopathy	Dichotomous	175	18	(10.3%)	81	6	(7.4%)		
Nephropathy	Dichotomous	175	10	(5.7%)	81	2	(2.5%)		
Neuropathy	Dichotomous	175	17	(9.7%)	81	9	(11.1%)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:	<b>5</b> : 1. <i>t</i>	4		(40.40()	0.4	_	(0.00()		
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous			(13.1%)	81		(8.6%)		
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous		108	,	81		,		
Other	Dichotomous	175	8	(4.6%)	81	-	(6.2%)		
Combination therapy	Dichotomous	175	36	(20.6%)	81	16	(19.8%)		

F	Repa	ag	linide	G	lip	izide		
N	N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wk	Mean change	175		81		MD=-0.590 (CI: - 0.970, -0.210)	<0.05a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 14wk	Mean change	175		7		MD=-0.900 (CI: - 1.700, -0.100)	<0.05b
Body weight:							
Weight (kg) – 14mo	Continuous	175		81			С
Hypoglycaemic events: minor hypoglycaemic events – 14mo	Dichotomous	175		81			С
minor hypoglycaemic events – 14mo	Dichotomous	175		81		RR=0.802 (CI: 0.450, 1.431)	0.576d
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 14mo	Dichotomous	175		81			С
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 14mo	Dichotomous	175		81			С
Adverse events: Study drug-related adverse event – 14mo	Dichotomous	175		81			С
Dropouts:							
Dropout due to AEs – 14mo	Dichotomous	175		81			С
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 14mo	Dichotomous	175		81			С
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 14mo	Mean change	175		81		MD=-0.070 (CI: - 0.266, 0.126)	>0.05e
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 14mo	Mean change	175		81		MD=-0.030 (CI: - 0.030, -0.030)	>0.05e
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 14mo	Mean change	175		81		MD=0.000 (CI: - 0.392, 0.392)	>0.05e
Pre-study diet alone (i.e. drug naive) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wk	Mean change	23		7			<0.05e
HbA1c (%) – 58wkf	Mean change	23	-1.5	7	-0.3		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 14wk	Mean change	23		7			<0.05e
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 58wkf	Continuous	23	-2.4	7	1		
Pre-study oral antidiabetics (i.e. not drug naive) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wk	Mean change	152		74			<0.05e
Pre-study Sulfonylurea alone Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14wk	Mean change	108		53		MD=0.700	<0.05e

Baseline characteristics of the intention to treat population. Change from baseline data was analysed using ANOVA with treatment, centre and treatment by centre interaction as fixed effects. Changes from baseline for lipid levels and laboratory tests were analysed using a t-test.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD reported
<sup>b</sup> ANOVA
<sup>c</sup> not reported
<sup>d</sup> chi-square test (Yates's correction) (calculated by reviewer)

e student's t-test

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> No SDs reported

#### Table 70: Madsbad et al. (2004)

Table 70: Ma	adsbad et al. (2004)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Scandinavia and UK  Authors' conclusions: Patients treated with glimepiride had decreased Hba1c and fasting blood glucose, but slightly increased body weight. No safety issues were raised for liraglutide, observed adverse events were mild and transient  Source of funding: Sponsored by Novo Nordisk which established the protocol in conjunction with an academic committee that was responsible for data review and this article  Comments: Multicentre, double-blind, randomised, parallel group, dosage-response study in six treatment arms. In addition, an open label sulfonylurea arm was included as a reference group. No details of randomisation or allocation concealment methods reported. The trial was double-blind for the 5 dose levels of Liraglutide and placebo and open label for glimepiride. The blinding was kept until database release.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 193 Inclusion criteria: men and women aged 30 years and over with type 2 diabetes, BMI less than or equal to 40kg/m2, currently being treated with diet or an OHA and had a HbA1c level less than or equal to 9.5% on OHA or 7.5 to 10% on diet alone.  Exclusion criteria: liver or renal disease, heart failure, unstable angina, myocardial infarction within the previous 12 months, concomitant treatment with thiazolidinediones or other investigational drugs, other significant condition likely to affect a patients diabetes and or ability to complete the trial, women who are pregnant, breast feeding or not using an adequate method of contraception. Patients were withdrawn from the trial if fasting plasma glucose was >15 mmol/l  Pre-randomisation phase: Fasting blood glucose had to be 6-13 mmol/l at randomisation, patients were withdrawn from the trial if fasting plasma glucose was >15 mmol/l
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Current treatment with oral antidiabetic medication was discontinued at screening and patients entered a 4 week washout period
Lifestyle advice	Patients were instructed to maintain their diet, exercise program and daily routines during the course of the trial
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 12 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Each patient was seen on 7 occasions: screening, baseline, after 1, 4, 8 and 12 weeks of treatment and at follow-up
Arms	(1) Glimepiride N: 27 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 30 Comments: there was a 4 week washout phase Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Mean dose (mg/d): 2.7 Minimum dose (mg/d): 1 Frequency of dosing: variable Compliance: compliance was assessed by drug accountability and plasma concentration measures Details of dosing regimen: Glimepiride was supplied as 1 and 2 mg tablets, with the dosage adjusted according to glycaemic control during the first 4 weeks, with the aim to achieve a fasting plasma glucose <7 mmol/l  (2) Placebo N: 29 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 30 Comments: there was a 4 week washout phase Treatment(s): Placebo (Subcutaneous) – fixed-dose Frequency of dosing: once a day Compliance: see liraglutide 0.6 mg Details of dosing regimen: placebo was administered in the morning before breakfast.

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Only data from 2/7 arms were extracted in this evidence table as liraglutide is not currently licensed for monotherapy. Outcomes reported in the trial but not reported as part of this evidence table includes proinsulin-to-insulin ratio, beta cell function, fasting insulin, fasting C-peptide, fasting glucagon 5 (17%) patients in the placebo group and 1 (3.7%) patient in the glimepiride group did not complete the study

All analyses were performed on the ITT population (all patients who received at least one dose of a trial drug) which was n=26 in glimepiride and n=29 in placebo group

#### Hypoglycaemic events

confirmed hypoglycaemia (blood glucose <2.8 mmol/l)

#### Adverse events

Any adverse event(s) (Based on spontaneous reporting of adverse events)

# Baseline characteristics

			GI	imepiride			Placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
ITT Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	26		57 (SD 9.2)	29		57 (SD 9.4)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	26	16	(61.5%)	29	20	(69.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	26		3.8 (SD 3.4)	29		3.4 (SD 2.9)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	26		7.8 (SD 0.9)	29		7.4 (SD 1.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	26		10.6 (SD 2.4)	29		9.7 (SD 2.9)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	26		30.2 (SD 4.6)	29		30.3 (SD 4.2)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	26		85.23648 (SD 13)	29		85.51872 (SD 11.9)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:									
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	26	7	(26.9%)	29	4	(13.8%)		
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous		19	(73.1%)	29	25	(86.2%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

#### Results

		Glimepiride			Pla	cebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	26			29			MD=- 0.740	0.0001a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	26			29			MD=- 2.600	<0.0001a
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wk	Continuous	26			29			MD=0.940	0.0622a
Hypoglycaemic events: symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 12wk	Dichotomous	26			29				NR
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 12wk	Dichotomous	26			29				NR
Adverse events: Gl: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	26			29				NR
Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	26	9	(34.6%)	29	16	(55.2%)		NR
GI: diarrhoea – 12wk	Dichotomous	26	0	(0.0%)	29	0	(0.0%)		NR
GI: vomiting – 12wk	Dichotomous	26	1	(3.8%)	29	0	(0.0%)		NR
GI: constipation – 12wk	Dichotomous	26	0	(0.0%)	29	0	(0.0%)		NR
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	27	1	(3.7%)	29	5	(17.2%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	27	0	(0.0%)	29	0	(0.0%)		NR

Dichotomous	27	0	(0.0%)	29	2	(40.20/)		
			` '	20	J	(10.3%)		NR
Continuous	26		7.2	29		7.3		
Continuous	26		8.6	29		9.5		
Mean change	26		0.73	29		0		
(	Continuous Mean	Continuous 26 Mean	Continuous 26 Mean	Continuous 26 8.6 Mean	Continuous 26 8.6 29 Mean	Continuous 26 8.6 29 Mean	Continuous 26 8.6 29 9.5  Mean	Continuous 26 8.6 29 9.5  Mean

a mixed effect model

Approximately two thirds of the GI events reported during liraglutide treatment were resolved within 1-3 days. Blood glucose outcomes and weight were analysed in a mixed effects model with treatment, visit, centre as fixed effects and patient as random effect. The interaction term, baseline Hba1c by visit was included in the model as a covariate. Adjusted endpoint levels at 12 week follow-up were calculated for all treatment groups using this model. Blood glucose outcomes and weight were analysed in a mixed effects model with treatment, visit, centre as fixed effects and patient as random effect. The interaction term, baseline Hba1c by visit was included in the model as a covariate. Adjusted endpoint levels at 12 week follow-up were calculated for all treatment groups using this model.

#### **Table 71: Marbury et al. (1999)**

Phase:	Table 71: Ma	arbury et al. (1999)
characteristics of patients  Inclusion criteria: 37-75 years old, BMI 20-40 kg/m2 with type 2 diabetes for at least 6 months and had been treated either by prescribed diet and exercise therapy or another OHA  Exclusion criteria: chronic insulin use, severe uncontrolled hypertension, cardiac disorders, proliferative retinopathy and elevated serum creatinine (>1.6 mg/dl), aspartate aminotransferase (>120 U/l) or alanine aminotransferase (>195 U/l). Patients with known contraindications to glyburide and those who had received repaglinide or systemic corticosteroids previously were also excluded.  Pre-randomisation phase: The titration phase was separate to the 12 month maintenance phase therefore follow-up for endpoint outcomes have been relabelled to 14 month (changes in efficacy outcomes were from screening/first-dose baseline to treatment endpoint were measured)  Previous glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: patients discontinued OHAs on the morning of the first post randomisation visit  Througout the study patients ate three meals per day  Total follow-up (wks): 60  Length of titration period (wks): 8  Length of maintenance period (wks): 52  Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients visited the clinic after an overnight fast every 10-14 days during dose adjustment and every 2 months during the maintenance period.	General	
glucose- lowering therapy  Lifestyle advice  Througout the study patients ate three meals per day  Total follow-up (wks): 60 Length of titration period (wks): 8 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients visited the clinic after an overnight fast every 10-14 days during dose adjustment and every 2 months during the maintenance period.	characteristics	Inclusion criteria: 37-75 years old, BMI 20-40 kg/m2 with type 2 diabetes for at least 6 months and had been treated either by prescribed diet and exercise therapy or another OHA  Exclusion criteria: chronic insulin use, severe uncontrolled hypertension, cardiac disorders, proliferative retinopathy and elevated serum creatinine (>1.6 mg/dl), aspartate aminotransferase (>120 U/l) or alanine aminotransferase (>195 U/l). Patients with known contraindications to glyburide and those who had received repaglinide or systemic corticosteroids previously were also excluded.  Pre-randomisation phase: The titration phase was separate to the 12 month maintenance phase therefore follow-up for endpoint outcomes have been relabelled to 14 month (changes in efficacy outcomes were from
Follow-up  Total follow-up (wks): 60 Length of titration period (wks): 8 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients visited the clinic after an overnight fast every 10-14 days during dose adjustment and every 2 months during the maintenance period.	glucose- lowering	insulin
Length of titration period (wks): 8 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients visited the clinic after an overnight fast every 10-14 days during dose adjustment and every 2 months during the maintenance period.	Lifestyle advice	Througout the study patients ate three meals per day
Arms (1) Repaglinide	Follow-up	Length of titration period (wks): 8 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients visited the clinic after an overnight fast every 10-14 days
	Arms	(1) Repaglinide

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> estimated from graph, no SDs reported

N: 383

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: patients discontinued OHAs on the morning of the first post randomisation visit. No details of washout period. Only data from AHA naïve people extracted

Treatment(s): repaglinide (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 1.5 Maximum dose (mg/d): 12

Participants achieving full dose (n): 184 Frequency of dosing: three times a day

Details of dosing regimen: During weeks 1-8 doses of each drug were titrated towards a target FPG range of 80-140 mg/dl. Repaglinide was started at 0.5mg preprandially (with 3 daily meals) and adjusted in increments of 1,2 or 4 mg. Patients with an FPG>160 mg/dl who had previously taken any OHA other than repaglinide could begin the study at a higher dose (1 mg repaglinide). The final adjusted dose was maintained for 12 months, but the dose could be reduced for patients <80 mg/dl or with hypoglycaemic events judged clinically unacceptable.

55% of patients received the maximum dose allowed and 61% received the study drug for more than 12 months

#### (2) Glyburide

N: 193

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: patients discontinued OHAs on the morning of the first post randomisation visit. No details of washout period. Only data from AHA naïve people extracted

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 2.5 Maximum dose (mg/d): 15

Participants achieving full dose (n): 88

Frequency of dosing: variable

Details of dosing regimen: Glyburide administered according to dosing schedules at time of study. Glyburide 2.5 mg was given once daily before breakfast with two identical placebo tablets before lunch and before dinner. The dose was increased as necessary to 5, 10 or 15 mg daily (administered as 10 mg before breakfast and 5 mg before dinner). Patients with FPG>160 mg/dl who had previously taken OHA could start at a higher dose 5 mg of glyburide if the investigator deemed it necessary. The final adjusted dose was maintained for 12 months, but the dose could be reduced for patients <80 mg/dl or with hypoglycaemic events judged clinically unacceptable.

65% received the study drug for more than 12 months and 52% received the maximum dose allowed.

#### Outcomes

#### General

Outcomes including fasting insulin, C-peptide and fibrogen are reported in the trial but are not included in this evidence table.

146 (40%) participants in repaglinide group and 67 (37%) in glyburide group did not complete the study. The primary analysis for evaluating efficacy was based on each participant's last treatment evaluation (last observation carried forward).

As there was no washout period, only data from AHA naïve people were extracted.

#### Hypoglycaemic events

All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) (Patients were instructed to report all hypoglycaemic events and if possible to record their blood glucose value at the time of the event. Mild to moderate hypoglycaemic events were defined as symptoms of sweating, strong hunger, dizziness, tremors and/or a blood glucose level <45 mg/dl. Severe hypoglycaemia was characterised as severely impaired consiousness requiring assistance from a third party, medical care or hospitalisation.)

			Rep	aglinide		GI	yburide		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	362		58.3 (SD 9.4)	182		58.7 (SD 9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	362	242	(66.9%)	182	120	(65.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	362		7.2 (SD 6.2)	182		8.3 (SD 6.8)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	362	279	(77.1%)	182	144	(79.1%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	362	33	(9.1%)	182	16	(8.8%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	362	50	(13.8%)	182	22	(12.1%)		

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0mo	Continuous	362		8.7 (SD 1.7)	182		8.9 (SD 1.6)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	362		11.1555 (SD 3.4)	182		11.3775 (SD 3.26)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	362		29.4 (SD 3.7)	181		29.1 (SD 3.7)
Weight (kg) – 0moa	Continuous	362		82.97856 (SD 10.4)	181		82.13184 (SD 10.4)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:	D'abatana an	000	47	(40.00()	400	00	(40.00()
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	362	47	(13.0%)	182	23	(12.6%)
Oral antidiabetic medication	Dichotomous	362	315	(87.0%)	182	159	(87.4%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		R	ера	aglinide	(	Эly	buride		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 14mo	Mean change	338			171			MD=-0.020 (CI: -0.276, 0.236)	0.874a
HbA1c (%) – 14mo	Mean change	338			171			MD=-0.020 (CI: -0.270, 0.230)	>0.05b
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 14mo	Mean change	327			166			MD=0.172 (CI: - 0.391, 0.735)	>0.05b
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 14mo	Dichotomous	383			193			OR=0.768 (CI: 0.488, 1.208)	0.305c
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 14mo	Dichotomous	383			193				d
Adverse events:  Any adverse event(s) – 14mo	Dichotomous	383			193				d
Any adverse event(s) – 14mo	Dichotomous	383			193			OR=1.090 (CI: 0.745, 1.596)	0.728c
Any serious adverse event(s) – 14mo	Dichotomous	383			193				d
Any serious adverse event(s) – 14mo	Dichotomous	383			193			OR=1.710 (CI: 0.874, 3.347)	0.154c
cardiovascular AE – 14mo	Dichotomous	362			182				d
Death – 14mo	Dichotomous	383			193				d
Dizziness – 14mo	Dichotomous	383			193				d
Dizziness – 14mo	Dichotomous	383			193			OR=0.922 (CI: 0.336, 2.531)	0.918c
Headache – 14mo	Dichotomous	383			193			OR=1.427 (CI: 0.506, 4.020)	0.669c
Headache – 14mo	Dichotomous	383			193				d
Hyperglycaemia – 14mo	Dichotomous	383			193			OR=0.802 (CI: 0.259, 2.486)	0.932c
Hyperglycaemia – 14mo	Dichotomous	383			193				d
Increased appetite – 14mo	Dichotomous	383			193			OR=11.948 (CI: 0.700, 203.829)	0.061c
Increased appetite – 14mo	Dichotomous	383			193				d
Tremor – 14mo	Dichotomous	383			193			OR=1.533 (CI: 0.549, 4.281)	0.562c
Tremor – 14mo	Dichotomous	383			193				d

Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 14mo	Dichotomous	383		193			d
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 14mo	Dichotomous	362		182			d
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 14mo	Mean change	362		182		MD=0.030 (CI: - 0.116, 0.177)	>0.05e
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 14mo	Mean change	362		182		MD=-0.018 (CI: -0.056, 0.020)	>0.05e
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 14mo	Mean change	362		182		MD=0.147 (CI: - 0.353, 0.647)	>0.05e
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 14mo	Continuous	338		171		MD=0.038 (CI: - 0.091, 0.168)	>0.05e
Pre-study diet alone (i.e. drug naive)						, ,	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3mof	Continuous	45	7.7 (SD 0.0671)	21	8.2 (SD 0.0458)		
HbA1c (%) – 5mof	Continuous	45	7.6 (SD 0.0671)	21	8 (SD 0.0458)		
HbA1c (%) – 14mog	Mean change	45	-1.3 (SD 1.41)	21	-1.1 (SD 1.47)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3moh	Continuous	45		21			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 5mof	Continuous	45	9.658044 (SD 2.98)	21	9.658044 (SD 3.31)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 14mo	Mean change	43	-1.7205 (SD 0.0322)	21	-0.03885 (SD 3.08)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 3mof	Mean change	45	1.2 (SD 2.35)	21	1.8 (SD 3.44)		
Weight (kg) – 5mof	Mean change	45	1.95 (SD 2.35)	21	2.85 (SD 3.21)		
Weight (kg) – 14moi	Mean change	45	2.45 (SD 4.02)	21	3.64 (SD 4.81)		
Pre-study oral antidiabetics (i.e. not drug naive)							
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 14mo	Mean change	293		150			>0.05e
a student's t-test (calculated b paired t test chi-square test (Yates's cod not reported two sample t test setimated from graph SD calculated from SE estimated from SE estima	rrection) (calcu		reviewer)				

**Table 72: Mather et al. (2001)** 

General	Phase:
	☑ monotherapy
	☐ dual therapy
	☐ triple therapy
	□ insulin monotherapy
	□ insulin+oral

two sample t-test was used to assess treatment difference in change from baseline data

Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: Canada Authors' conclusions: Metformin treatment improved both insulin resistance and endothelial function, with a strong statistucal link between these variables Source of funding: Dr Howard MacEwan Diabetes Center Research Fund Comments: Unclear if double-blind Number and Total number of patients: 43 characteristics Inclusion criteria: Patients with stable weight and diet controlled type 2 diabetes of patients Exclusion criteria: patients with known coronary or peripheral vascular disease, hypertension, hypercholesterolemiaknown diabetic retinopathy, renal or hepatic impairment **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening glucose-Details of washout period: Unclear. States that diet controlled T2DM included but no details on history of lowering **AHAs** therapy Lifestyle advice No details reported Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 12 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -Arms (1) Placebo N: 15 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Stated diet treated T2DM Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) (2) Metformin N: 28 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Stated diet treated T2DM Metformin (Oral) - fixed-dose Treatment(s): Set dose (mg/d):1000 Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: Metformin given 500 mg bid **Outcomes** General Overall, data from 14 trials have been extracted into this evidence table. Data was not extracted for the following RCTs for the reasons provided below; 1) Amador-Licona (2000)-Previous OADs not reported 2) Chiasson (2001b)-comparison with miglitol Horton (2000b)-comparison with nateglinide (not recommended for monotherapy) 4) Inzucchi (1998) comparison with troglitazone 5) Hallsten (2002b) comparison with rosiglitazone 6) UKPDSa as there were no dosing details reported 7) Charpentier (2001)-termed monotherapy but unclear whether OADs were discontinued and unclear 8) Grant (1996)-unclear whether OADs were discontinued 9) Tamez (1997b), Teupe (1991) and UKPDS (met vs. conventional) as these were not placebo controlled trials 10) Fanghanel (1996) comparison with insulin monotherapy (this comparison was not prioritised for inclusion) 11) Noury (1991)-unclear if previous OADs were washed off or discontinued 12) Dalzell (1986)-abstract only 13) DeFronzo (1995a)-some patients were taking sulfonylurea and these patients were switched (unclear if any assigned to metforin were washed off treatment duration was <12 months) Data for the following RCTs have been extracted elsewhere within this review question; Goldstein (2003), Uehara (1999), Moses (1999), Chiasson (2001a), Pavo (2003) NB: DeFronzo (1995b) relates to results from protocol 1 from the full paper **Baseline** Placebo Metformin characteristics mean k mean Δр

Demographics: Age (years) a	Continuous	15		54.8 (SD 10.1)	29		50.7 (SD 9.69)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	15	11	(73.3%)	29	15	(51.7%)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	15		7.2 (SD 1.94)	29		6.8 (SD 1.08)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	15		7.43 (SD 2.13)	29		7 (SD 1.24)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wka	Continuous	15		33.1 (SD 7.36)	29		32.1 (SD 7)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	15		124 (SD 15.5)	29		126 (SD 10.8)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	15		78 (SD 3.87)	29		80 (SD 5.39)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	15		4.59 (SD 0.852)	29		5.38 (SD 0.969)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	15		1.18 (SD 0.31)	29		1.15 (SD 0.323)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	15		2.68 (SD 0.775)	29		3.36 (SD 0.808)

#### SD calculated from reported SE

Results					Placebo		Metformin			
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	15		6.9 (SD 1.16)	29		6.5 (SD 1.08)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Continuous	15		7.39 (SD 2.63)	29		6.5 (SD 1.08)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 12wka	Continuous	15		33 (SD 8.91)	29		32.1 (SD 7)		
	Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	15		4.64 (SD 0.87)	29		5.41 (SD 0.82)		
	HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	15		1.19 (SD 0.32)	29		1.2 (SD 0.36)		
	LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	15		2.8 (SD 0.83)	29		3.37 (SD 0.56)		
	<sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE									

#### Table 73: Mohan et al. (2009)

#### General Phase: ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: China, Korea and India Authors' conclusions: In this study, sitagliptin monotherapy for 18 weeks significantly improved glycaemic control and was well-tolerated in patients with type 2 diabetes from China, India and Korea Source of funding: Sponsored by Merck & Co Inc (some staff were previous employees and may have held stock in the company) Comments: Randomisation using computer generated schedule, drugs were supplied in coded containers and all patient care personnel, patients, lab staffand clinical sponsor's clinical monitor and associated statistical and scientific collaborators remained blinded to treatment allocations until study was complete and the database was locked.

# Number and characteristics of patients

Total number of patients: 530

Inclusion criteria: aged 18 years and over with a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes within the previous 5 years. At the time of screening, patients were required to have a Hba1c >=7.5% and <=11%

**Exclusion criteria:** patients who had received pioglitazone, rosiglitazone or insulin within the previous 12 weeks, were pregnant or breast feeding, people with type 1 diabetes, unstable cardiac disease or moderate to severe renal insufficiency were excluded. Patients were discontinued during the maintenance phase if FPG >15 mmol/l in weeks 0-8, FPG>13.3 mmol/l in weeks 6-12 or FPG>11.1 mmol/l in weeks 12-18 Pre-randomisation phase: Before randomisation patients followed a diet and exercise run-in period of 3-6

weeks (3 weeks for patients who had not received antihyperglycaemic agent [AHA] within the previous 3 months and 6 weeks for those who had discontinued AHA therapy at screening or within 3 months prior). This was followed by a 2 week single-blind placebo run-in period before randomisation. Eligibility to enter the 2 week single-blind placebo run-in was contingent on having Hba1c between 7.5 and 11%. At the end of the placebo run-in eligibility depended on having FPG between 7.2 and 15.6 mmol/l and treatment compliance to single blind placebo of 75% and over based on tablet counts.

#### Previous glucoselowering therapy

Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin

**Details of washout period:** 3 weeks washout for drug naïve patients and 6 weeks for those discontinuing therapy at screening or within the 3 months prior, followed by a 2 week single blind placebo run in period

#### Lifestyle advice

no details reported but diet and exercise formed part of the run-in phase

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 26

Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 18

Frequency of monitoring appointments: no details reported

#### Arms

#### (1) Sitagliptin

N: 352

Treatment duration (wks): 18 Washout period (d): 56

Comments: washout periods varied depending on previous AHA (6 weeks for those discontinuing therapy and 3 weeks for thode not receiving AHA within the previous 3 months). There was also a 2 week placebo run-in period.

Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):100

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Compliance: Patients with low compliance during the placebo run-in were not eligible for the maintenance phase. The rate of treatment compliance was the same in both groups

(98%)

Details of dosing regimen: no other details reported

#### (2) Placebo

N: 178

Treatment duration (wks): 18 Washout period (d): 56

Comments: washout periods varied depending on previous AHA (6 weeks for those discontinuing therapy and 3 weeks for thode not receiving AHA within the previous 3 months)

Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: image matched placebo was given

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Outcomes not reported in this evidence table include fasting insulin, proinsulin, c-peptide, HOMA-Beta, HOMA-IR, QUICKI, postprandial insulin, postprandial c-peptide, insulinogenic index, insulin sensitivity 45 (25%) in the placebo group and 46 (13%) in sitagliptin arm discontinued the study

Efficacy outcomes were analysed using the full analysis set (FAS) populations defined for each endpoint as the set of randomised patients who had received at least one dose of the study medication and had a baseline measurement with at least one post randomisation measurement. Missing data were handled using the method of last observation carried forward (LOCF). Safety and tolerability were anlaysed using the all-patients treated population composed of all randomised patients who had received as least one dose of the study medication.

			Sita	gliptin		Pla	acebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	352		50.9 (SD 9.3)	178		50.9 (SD 9.3)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	352	200	(56.8%)	178	106	(59.6%)		

Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	352		2.1 (SD 1.7)	178		1.9 (SD 1.6)
Blood glucose:							
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	339		8.7 (SD 1)	169		8.7 (SD 1.1)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	339		10.5 (SD 2.4)	169		10.5 (SD 2.5)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	352		25.1 (SD 3.4)	178		24.9 (SD 3.4)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	352		66.8 (SD 10.2)	178		66.6 (SD 11.4)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:							
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	352	132	(37.5%)	178	58	(32.6%)
China Demographics: Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	163		2.1 (SD 1.8)	82		1.7 (SD 1.6)
Blood glucose:	Continuous	100		2.1 (00 1.0)	02		1.7 (00 1.0)
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	163		8.6 (SD 1)	82		8.6 (SD 1)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:  Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	163	60	(36.8%)	82	31	(37.8%)
India	Dichotomous	103	00	(30.070)	02	31	(37.070)
Demographics:							
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	127		1.8 (SD 1.5)	63		1.7 (SD 1.6)
Blood glucose:				- (			(= -,
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	127		9 (SD 1.1)	63		9 (SD 1.2)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:	B	407	50	(44.70()	00	0.4	(00.00)
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	127	53	(41.7%)	63	21	(33.3%)
Korea  Demographics:  Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	62		2.6 (SD 1.6)	33		2.9 (SD 1.5)
Blood glucose:	Continuous	02		2.0 (00 1.0)	33		2.3 (30 1.3)
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	62		8.5 (SD 0.9)	33		8.6 (SD 0.9)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:				(22.22()			(10.00)
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	62	19	(30.6%)	33	6	(18.2%)

#### Results

		s	itag	liptin		Plac	cebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	339		8 (SD 0.368)	169		8.94 (SD 0.91)		
HbA1c (%) – 18wkb	Mean change	339		-0.7 (SD 0.939)	169		0.3 (SD 1.33)	MD=-1.000 (CI: -1.200, - 0.800)	<0.001c
HbA1c (%) – 18wkb	Continuous	339		8 (SD 1.3)	169		9.1 (SD 1.6)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 18wk	Dichotomous	339	70	(20.6%)	169	9	(5.3%)		<0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 18wk	Dichotomous	339	70	(20.6%)	169	9	(5.3%)	OR=6.600 (CI: 2.005, 21.731)	d
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Continuous	339		9.1 (SD 1.66)	169		10.5 (SD 1.3)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 18wkb	Mean change	339		-1.4 (SD 2.82)	169		0.3 (SD 2.65)	MD=-1.700 (CI: -2.100, - 1.300)	<0.001c

2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 18wk	Continuous	352			178			MD=-3.100 (CI: -3.800, - 2.400)	<0.001c
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 18wk	Continuous	352			178				е
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 18wkb	Mean change	297		-3.5 (SD 3.52)	131		-0.4 (SD 3.5)		
1-h postprandial gluocse (mmol/l) – 18wkb	Continuous	352			178				е
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 18wk	Continuous	352			178				е
Weight (kg) – 18wkf	Mean change	352		0.6 (SD 1.88)	178		0 (SD 2.67)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 18wk	Dichotomous	352	0	(0.0%)	178	0	(0.0%)		е
Adverse events:	Diahataman	252		(0.00()	470	_	(0.00()		
GI: nausea – 18wk Any adverse event(s) –	Dichotomous	352	U	(0.0%)	178	0	(0.0%)		е
18wk	Dichotomous	352	82	(23.3%)	178	27	(15.2%)		е
Any serious adverse event(s) – 18wk	Dichotomous	352	6	(1.7%)	178	2	(1.1%)		е
Serious AE drug related – 18wk	Dichotomous	352	1	(0.3%)	178	1	(0.6%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 18wk	Dichotomous	352	10	(2.8%)	178	3	(1.7%)		
Death – 18wk	Dichotomous	352	1	(0.3%)	178	0	(0.0%)		е
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 18wk	Dichotomous	352	18	(5.1%)	178	1	(0.6%)		е
GI: diarrhoea – 18wk	Dichotomous	352	0	(0.0%)	178	0	(0.0%)		е
GI: vomiting – 18wk	Dichotomous	352	0	(0.0%)	178	0	(0.0%)		е
GI: abdominal pain – 18wk	Dichotomous	352	3	(0.9%)	178	0	(0.0%)		е
Laboratory adverse event drug related – 18wkg	Dichotomous	352	9	(2.6%)	178	3	(1.7%)		
Laboratory adverse events – 18wkg	Dichotomous	352	23	(6.5%)	178	12	(6.7%)		
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 18wk	Dichotomous	352	46	(13.1%)	178	45	(25.3%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 18wk	Dichotomous	352	6	(1.7%)	178	4	(2.2%)		е
drop out due to drug related AE – 18wk	Dichotomous	352	2	(0.6%)	178	1	(0.6%)		
drop out due to SAE – 18wk	Dichotomous	352	3	(0.9%)	178	2	(1.1%)		
drop out due to drug related SAE – 18wk	Dichotomous	352	1	(0.3%)	178	1	(0.6%)		
China Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 18wkb	Mean change	158		-0.9 (SD 0.641)	79		-0.2 (SD 0.924)	MD=-0.700 (CI: -0.900, - 0.500)	<0.001c
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 18wkb	Mean change	158		-1 (SD 1.92)	79		0 (SD 1.81)	MD=-1.000 (CI: -1.500, - 0.500)	<0.001c
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 18wk	Continuous	144			66			MD=-2.800 (CI: -3.500, - 2.100)	<0.001c
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 18wkb	Mean change	144		-3.5 (SD 3.06)	66		-0.7 (SD 2.9)	·	

India Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 18wkb	Mean change	119	-0.6 (SD 1.15)	59	0.7 (SD 0.81)	MD=-1.400 (CI: -1.700, - 1.100)	h
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 18wkb	Mean change	119	-1.8 (SD 2.78)	59	0.3 (SD 2.35)	MD=-2.200 (CI: -2.900, - 1.500)	h
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 18wkb	Mean change	100	-3.3 (SD 3.57)	41	-0.5 (SD 3.59)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 18wk	Continuous	100		41		MD=-2.800 (CI: -4.000, - 1.600)	h
Korea Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 18wkb	Mean change	62	-0.8 (SD 1.21)	31	0.6 (SD 1.17)	MD=-1.400 (CI: -1.900, - 0.900)	h
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 18wkb	Mean change	62	-1.9 (SD 2.41)	31	1.1 (SD 2.27)	MD=-3.000 (CI: -4.000, - 2.000)	h
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 18wkb	Mean change	53	-4.2 (SD 3.71)	24	0.8 (SD 3.5)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 18wk	Continuous	53		24		MD=-5.000 (CI: -6.800, - 3.200)	h
Hba1c>=10% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 18wk	Mean change	0		0		MD=-1.400 (CI: -2.000, - 0.800)	i
<ul> <li><sup>a</sup> estimated from graph</li> <li><sup>b</sup> [DO NOT USE - OUTSIDE</li> <li><sup>c</sup> ANCOVA between group di</li> <li><sup>d</sup> Fishers exact test (CI using</li> <li><sup>e</sup> not reported</li> </ul>	fference						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>g</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) h prespecified p-values not determined for this subgroup

<sup>f</sup> [DO NOT USE - OUTSIDE TIME RANGE]; SD calculated from reported SE

ANCOVA was used to compare treatment groups for continuous efficacy parameters, focusing on change from baseline at week 18 with covariates including treatment allocation, baseline value, prior AHA status and nationality. The between group differences for efficacy endpoints were assessed by testing the difference least-square mean (LS-mean) change from baseline at week 18. Between group differences in the incidence of hypoglycaemia and pre-specified gastrointestinal AEs were analysed using Fishers exact test.

#### Table 74: Moses et al. (2001)

General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: 13 countries  Authors' conclusions: Mealtime dosing with repaglinide is effective in improving overall glycemic control in type 2 diabetic patients for which control is suboptimal using diet alone. Patients are able to vary their meal pattern from a conventional regimen of three meals daily without compromising control or increasing the risk of adverse events  Source of funding: Novo Nordisk  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 408 Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes, at least 40 years of age, treated by diet alone with no history of previous AHAs

total n=72 but no arm specific numbers reported

Exclusion criteria: Patients who had previously received oral antidiabetic agents were excluded, as were patients withhepatic disease, significant cardiovascular

disease (including severe uncontrolled hypertension), or other diabetic complications indicative of a late disease state. Patients whose HbA1c deteriorated by >=1% during the study were withdrawn

#### **Previous** glucoselowering therapy

Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: All AHA naïve

#### Lifestyle advice

No details provided

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 16

Length of titration period (wks): 4 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12

Frequency of monitoring appointments: Assessments occurred at baseline and after 4, 12 and 16 weeks

#### **Arms**

#### (1) Repaglinide

N: 260

Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: AHA naïve

Treatment(s): repaglinide (Oral) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

> Minimum dose (mg/d): 1 Maximum dose (mg/d): 4

Frequency of dosing: three times a day

Details of dosing regimen: Repaglinide 0.5 mg at mealtimes. In each case, one tablet was taken immediately before each main meal, in accordance with the dietary pattern of the individual patient (two to four times daily). If a meal was skipped or postponed, the trial

medication for that meal was also

skipped or postponed, and if a meal was added, trial medication was also added. Patients on repaglinide initially received a prandial dose of 0.5 mg, with the dose being doubled

after 4 weeks if FPG exceeded 7.8 mmol/l.

#### (2) placebo

N: 134

Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: AHA naïve Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

All calculations of efficacy were performed on an intention-to-treat population, defined as all patients who were randomised and exposed to at least one dose of trial medication and who yielded data from at least one visit after treatment initiation. Safety analyses were based on the population of patients randomised and exposed to at least one dose of trial medication. Where observations were missing, the last observation for that patient was used for analysis (last observation carried forward).

The study was completed by 316/408 patients: 219/260 in the repaglinide group and 97/134 in the placebo group. Withdrawal was significantly more frequent in the placebo group than in the repaglinide group (P = 0.013), the principal reason being ineffective therapy

			Repa	aglinide		pl	acebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	260		57.5 (SD 9)	134		57.4 (SD 8.6)		
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	260	139	(53.5%)	134	77	(57.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	260		2.99 (SD 4.58)	134		3.07 (SD 4.69)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wkb	Continuous	260		7.8 (SD 1.8)	134		7.6 (SD 1.5)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wkb	Continuous	260		9.9 (SD 3.1)	134		9.6 (SD 2.7)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	260		30 (SD 5)	134		30.9 (SD 5.5)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	260		84 (SD 16.1)	134		86.6 (SD 16.7)		

Diabetic complications: Retinopathy	Dichotomous	260	1	(0.4%)	134	0	(0.0%)
Nephropathy	Dichotomous	260	2	(0.8%)	134	0	(0.0%)
Neuropathy	Dichotomous	260	0	(0.0%)	134	0	(0.0%)
Macroangiopathy	Dichotomous	260	0	(0.0%)	134	0	(0.0%)
<sup>a</sup> Calculated from reported percentages <sup>b</sup> Reported as SE, but assumed SD							

#### Results

			Repa	aglinide		pla	icebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	۱ ۷	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Mean change	260		-1.14	134		-0.15			
HbA1c (%) – 16wkb	Continuous	260		6.59 (SD 1.61)	134		7.39 (SD 2.32)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wkb	Continuous	260		8.05 (SD 3.22)	134		9.05 (SD 4.63)			
Hypoglycaemic events: minor hypoglycaemic events – 16wkc	Dichotomous	260	44	(16.9%)	134	4	(3.0%)			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wk	Dichotomous	260	3d		134	е				
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 16wk	Dichotomous	260	75f	(28.8%)	134	40g	(29.9%)			
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	270	51	(18.9%)	138	41	(29.7%)			
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	270	9	(3.3%)	138	2	(1.4%)			
Study completers/observed cases Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wkb	Continuous	219		84.6 (SD 13.3)	97		86.7 (SD 14.8)			

a mean change; SD not reported b estimated from graph

#### Table 75: Nakamura et al. (2004)

General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Japan  Authors' conclusions: Pioglitazone but not glibenclamide or voglibose, appears to be effective in reducing UAE, IMT and PWV in normotemsive type 2 diabetes patients with microalbuminuria  Source of funding: Unclear  Comments: Unclear blinding
Number and characteristics	Total number of patients: 45 Inclusion criteria: type 2 diabetes and microalbuminuria treated witrh diet alone, Hba1c>6.5%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

No of patients; reported there were no events that required hospitalisation or IV glucose/glucagon NR; reported there were no events that required hospitalisation or IV glucose/glucagon

f approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); excluding hypos g approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); excluding hypos; approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

of patients	<b>Exclusion criteria:</b> patients with landship non-diabetic renal disease	ketoacidosis, maliç	ınan	су,	he	art disease, ce	rebro	vas	cular disease, l	iver	or
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taki  Details of washout period: State		_							creer	ning
Lifestyle advice	No details reported										
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): Length of maintenance period ( Frequency of monitoring appoir	<b>wks):</b> 52	mer	nts	we	re taken at bas	eline,	6 a	and 12 months		
Arms	Set dose (mg/d)	ral) – fixed-dose 0:30 ral) – fixed-dose	lami	de	5 n	ng/day					
Outcomes											
Baseline characteristics				F	Pio	glitazone		Gli	benclamide		
			N	k	m	nean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Demographics:										
	Age (years)	Continuous	15			6.5 (SD 12)	15		55 (SD 11.5)		
	Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	15	9	+ `	60.0%)	15	8	(53.3%)		
	Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	15		1	7.5 (SD 4.5)	15		17 (SD 4.8)		
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	15		7.	.9 (SD 1.3)	15		7.8 (SD 1.4)		
					Pi	oglitazone		Gli	benclamide		
Results											р
Results			N	1	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	-
Results	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous		<b>1</b>	k	mean 6.8 (SD 1.2)	<b>N</b>	k	mean 6.7 (SD 1.3)	Δ	
Résults		Continuous Continuous	1		k			k		Δ	•
Results	HbA1c (%) – 26wk		1	15 15		6.8 (SD 1.2)	15		6.7 (SD 1.3)	Δ	
Results	HbA1c (%) – 26wk HbA1c (%) – 52wk Dropouts:	Continuous	1 1	15 15	0	6.8 (SD 1.2) 6.2 (SD 1)	15 15	0	6.7 (SD 1.3) 6.3 (SD 1.1)		

#### **Table 76: Nonaka et al. (2008)**

Table 76: No	pnaka et al. (2008)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Japan  Authors' conclusions: In this study, once daily sitagliptin 100 mg for 12 weeks improved fasting and postprandial glycaemic control and was generally well tolerated in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes  Source of funding: Study was sponsored by Banyu Pharmaceutical Co Ltd (a subsidary of Merck & Co the manufacturer of sitagliptin)  Comments: Randomised, double-blind, placebo controlled study. Patients were randomised using a computer generated allocation schedule. Laboratory technicians were blinded to treatment group.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 152 Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes aged 20 to 69 years were eligible if they were not on treatment with an oral antihyperglycaemic agent (OHA) or only on a single OHA over the 8 weeks prior to screening. Patients with a Hba1c level >= 6.5% and <10% and a fasting blood glucose >=126 and <=240 mg/dl were eligible to participate  Exclusion criteria: type 1 diabetes, any treatment with insulin or pioglitazone in the 8 weeks prior to screening, unstable cardiac disease, elevated serum creatinine (>1.3 mg/dl) in men and >1.2mg/dl in women), and elevations over 2-fold the upper limit of normal in either alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), or creatinr phosphokinase (CPK)  Pre-randomisation phase: Patients who had not been on diet and exercise therapy for at least 6 weeks underwent a 6 week program of diet and exercise and then entered a 2 week single-blind, placebo run-in period. Patients who had been taking an OHA underwent a 6 week washout and then entered the placebo run-in period. Patients who had already had at least 6 weeks of diet and exercise therapy without any OHA entered directly into the placebo run-in period. Patients with a Hba1c >=6.5% and <10% and an FPG >= 126 and <=240 mg/dl were eligible to eneter the placebo run-in period. Patients with adequate compliance at the end of the placebo run-in period were randomised
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: There was a 6-week washout period for patients previously taking OHAs (see pre-randomisation details) plus a 2 week single blind placebo run in
Lifestyle advice	Patients received counselling regarding exercise and diet therapy at every visit throughout the study
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 20 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: No details reported related to the frequency of monitoring but at each visit, investigators patients diaries and confirmed adverse experiences and compliance
Arms	(1) Sitagliptin N: 76 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56 Comments: 6 week washout period and 2 week placebo run-in period Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100 Frequency of dosing: once a day Compliance: Assessed by tablet count Details of dosing regimen: No further details of dosing regime reported  (2) Placebo N: 76 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56 Comments: 6 week washout period and 2 week placebo run-in period Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) Compliance: as sitagliptin arm Details of dosing regimen: Details not reported but assumed same as sitagliptin arm to maintain blinding
Outcomes	General Outcomes not reported in this evidence table include fasting insulin, C-peptide, HOMA-IR, HOMA-beta, insulinogenic index, glucose total AUC, insulin total AUC and c-peptide AUC. Subgroup analyses by OHA

status, baseline Hba1c, FPG, gender, age, BMI and known duration of diabetes were specified but were not explicitly reported therefoer were not extracted.

2 patients in the sitagliptin arm and 8 patients in the placebo arm did not complete the study

Primary analysis conducted on all-patients-treated set, which was the population of randomised patients who had taken at least one dose of the study drug and had at least one post-randomisation measurement. The last observation carried forward method was used to impute missing values. The safety evaluation was conducted on all patients who took at least one dose of the study drug.

#### Adverse events

Study drug-related adverse event (Two clinical adverse experiences that were considered to be drug-related with sitagliptin were gastritis and hypoesthesia. Three events were considered for placebo (exfoliative dermatitis with cellulitis, decreased blood pressure and headache))

			A	I study participants
		N	k	mean
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	151		55.3 (SD 8.3)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	151	95	(62.9%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	151		4 (SD 4.4)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – wk	Continuous	151		7.6 (SD 0.9)
HbA1c (%) – wk	Continuous	151		7.6 (SD 0.9)
Hba1c <=8%	Dichotomous	151	106	(70.2%)
Hba1c >8%	Dichotomous	151	45	(29.8%)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – wk	Continuous	151		9.07425 (SD 1.8648)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – wk	Continuous	151		9.07425 (SD 1.8648)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	151		25.2 (SD 3.4)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	151		71.12448 (SD 9.59616) a
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	151	65	(43.0%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

			5	Sitagliptin			Placebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	۱	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	75		55.6 (SD 8.6)	76		55 (SD 8)			
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	75	45	(60.0%)	76	50	(65.8%)			
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	75		4 (SD 4.1)	76		4.1 (SD 4.6)			
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	75		7.54 (SD 0.85)	75		7.69 (SD 0.86)			
Hba1c <=8%	Dichotomous	75	53	(70.7%)	76	53	(69.7%)			
Hba1c >8%	Dichotomous	75	22	(29.3%)	76	23	(30.3%)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	75		9.0576 (SD 2.01)	76		9.0909 (SD 1.72)			
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	75		25.2 (SD 3.5)	76		25.1 (SD 3.2)			
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	75		71.12448 (SD 9.88)	76		70.84224 (SD 9.03)			
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:										
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous		36	(48.0%)	76	29	(38.2%)			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

			Sitagliptin		Plac	cebo		
1	N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	75		-0.65 (SD 0.663)	75		0.41 (SD 0.663)	MD=-1.050 (CI: -1.270, -0.830)	<0.001b
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	75		6.9 (SD 1)	75		8.09 (SD 1.04)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 12wkc	Dichotomous	75	44	(58.7%)	75	11	(14.7%)		<0.001d
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 12wkc	Dichotomous	75	26	(34.7%)	75	4	(5.3%)		<0.001d
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	75		-1.24875 (SD 1.71)	75		0.5217 (SD 1.35)	MD=-1.770 (CI: -2.203, -1.338)	<0.001b
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	43		-3.84615 (SD 2.97)	32		0.666 (SD 4.57)	MD=-4.512 (CI: -5.872, -3.152)	<0.001b
1-h postprandial gluocse (mmol/l) – 12wke	Continuous	75			76				
0.5-h postprandial (mmol/l) – 12wke	Continuous	75			32				
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	75		-0.1 (SD 1.33)	76		-0.7 (SD 1.33)	MD=0.700 (CI: 0.200, 1.200)	<0.01b
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	75	0	(0.0%)	76	0	(0.0%)		>0.05
Adverse events:  Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	75	44	(58.7%)	76	49	(64.5%)		>0.05
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	75	1f	(1.3%)	76	3g	(3.9%)		>0.05
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	75	2	(2.7%)	76	3	(3.9%)		>0.05
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 12wk	Dichotomous	75	16	(21.3%)	76	13	(17.1%)		>0.05
Laboratory adverse events – 12wk	Dichotomous	75	9	(12.0%)	76	15c	(19.7%)		>0.05
Nervous system disorders – 12wk	Dichotomous	75	8	(10.7%)	76	5	(6.6%)		>0.05
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	76	3	(3.9%)	76	9	(11.8%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	76	0	(0.0%)	76	3	(3.9%)		h
dropout due to laboratory AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	76	0	(0.0%)	76	1	(1.3%)		
la ==									

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported 95% CI; least squares mean

The between group comparison of change in Hba1c from baseline to 12 weeks was performed using an ANCOVA model in which treatment was the factor and baseline Hba1c was the covariate. Between group comparisons for secondary endpoints were performed using an ANCOVA model similar to the one described for Hba1c. Between group differences in the incidence of hypoglycaemia were performed using Fisher's exact test. For adverse events, the incidence and 95% CI were calculated for each treatment group, and the between group difference and 95% CI of the difference were calculated.

 $<sup>^{</sup>c}$  approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

a not reported assumed fishers exact

e graph f overdose

g MI, overdose, dermatitis with cellulitis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> not reported

#### Table 77: Pan et al. (2008)

Table 77: Pa	n et al. (2008)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: conducted in China, Romania and Spain  Authors' conclusions: Vildagliptin is effective and well tolerated in patients with Type 2 diabetes, demonstrating similar glycaemic reductions to acarbose, but with better tolerability  Source of funding: Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation  Comments: 24 week, double-blind, randomised, active controlled parallel group trial. No details of blinding, randomisation methods and allocation concelament reported
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 661 Inclusion criteria: patients with T2DM and HbA1c between 7.5 and 11.0% at the screening visit while receiving no OGLA. Patients who had taken no OGLA for at least 12 weeks prior to screening, and no OGLA for > 3 consecutive months at any time in the past, were considered to be representative of a drug-naive population. Male and female (non-fertile or of childbearing potential using a medically approved birth-control method) patients aged  =18 years, with a body mass index (BMI) 20–40 kg/m2 (inclusive) and with fasting plasma glucose (FPG) < 15.0 mmol/l were eligible to participate.  Exclusion criteria: history of Type 1 or secondary forms of diabetes, acute metabolic diabetic complications within the past 6 months, myocardial infarction, unstable angina or coronary artery bypass surgery within the previous 6 months. Congestive heart failure, New York Heart Association Class III or IV and liver disease (such as cirrhosis or chronic active hepatitis) or chronic intestinal disorders also precluded participation. Patients with any of the following laboratory abnormalities were also excluded: ALT or AST > 3 times the ULN; direct bilirubin > 1.3 times the ULN; serum creatinine levels > 220 µmol/l; TSH outside normal range; fasting triglycerides > 7.9 mmol/l.  Pre-randomisation phase: Eligible patients were randomized at visit 2 (week 0, baseline)
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Inclusion: patients not on OADs at least 12 weeks prior to enrollment
Lifestyle advice	No details reported
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 26 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Screening visits - 2 weeks prior to randomisation. Efficacy and tolerability were assessed during four additional visits, at weeks 4, 12, 16 and 24 of active treatment.
Arms	(1) Vildagliptin N: 441 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Inclusion: patients not on OADs at least 12 weeks prior to enrollment Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100 Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: 50 mg given twice daily  (2) Acarbose N: 220 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Inclusion: patients not on OADs at least 12 weeks prior to enrollment Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Maximum dose (mg/d): 300 Details of dosing regimen: acarbose up to 300 mg daily (given in three divided doses). No other details reported but assumed flexible-dose regime
Outcomes	General  All main outcome are reported in this evidence table  42 patients in vildagliptin group and 28 patients in the acarbose group did not complete the study.  The ITT population included last observation carried forward for patients who discontinued prematurely. The ITT population for Hba1c was reported as 389 in vildagliptin and 187 in acarbose at week 24 (the

randomised population was used where outcome specific denominators were not reported) **Hypoglycaemic events** 

All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) (Hypoglycaemia was defined as symptoms suggestive of low blood glucose confirmed by SMBG measurement < 3.1 mmol/l plasma glucose equivalent.)

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Severe hypoglycaemia was defined as any episode requiring the assistance of another party.)

# Baseline characteristics

			Vilo	dagliptin		Ac	arbose		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	441		51.8 (SD 10.1)	220		51.9 (SD 10.3)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	441	265	(60.1%)	220	139	(63.2%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	441		1.2 (SD 2.4)	220		1.3 (SD 2.4)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	441	42	(9.5%)	220	18	(8.2%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	441	399	(90.5%)	220	202	(91.8%)		
Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	441		10 (SD 2.4)	220		10.2 (SD 2.5)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	441		26.4 (SD 3.6)	220		25.8 (SD 3.5)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	441		74.51136 (SD 10.2)	220		72.81792 (SD 9.88)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk a estimated from BMI assuming m	Continuous	441		8.6 (SD 0.9)	220		8.6 (SD 1)		

Results

		Vildagliptin			Acarl	ose			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	389			187			MD=-0.100 (CI: -0.296, 0.096)	0.307a
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wk	Dichotomous	427	198	(46.4%)	215	101	(47.0%)		b
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 24wk	Dichotomous	431	139	(32.3%)	215	76	(35.3%)		b
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Mean change	441		-1.2 (SD 2.1)	220		-1.5 (SD 2.97)	MD=0.300 (CI: -0.092, 0.692)	0.112a
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkc	Mean change	441		-0.4 (SD 2.1)	220		-1.7 (SD 2.97)	MD=1.300 (CI: 0.908, 1.692)	<0.001a
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	441			220				b
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	441			220				b
Adverse events:  Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	440			220				NS
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	440			220				NS
Death – 24wk	Dichotomous	440			220				b
Dizziness – 24wk	Dichotomous	440			220				NS
Fatigue – 24wk	Dichotomous	440			220				NS
Flatulence – 24wk	Dichotomous	440			220				NS

Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	440			220			<0.001d
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	440			220			NS
GI: abdominal distension – 24wk	Dichotomous	440			220			NS
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	440			220			NS
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous				220			NS
Palpitations – 24wk	Dichotomous				220			NS
Dropouts:	2.0							
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	441	42	(9.5%)	220	28	(12.7%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	441	11	(2.5%)	220	7	(3.2%)	NS
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect –								
24wk	Dichotomous	441	6	(1.4%)	220	8	(3.6%)	b
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wke	Continuous	389		7.25 (SD 0.394)	187		7.31 (SD 0.957)	
HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Mean change	431		-1.4 (SD 1.97)	216		-1.3 (SD 1.37)	
Safety population Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	440	0	(0.0%)	220	0	(0.0%)	
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	440	0	(0.0%)	220	0	(0.0%)	
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	440	154	(35.0%)	220	113	(51.4%)	
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous			(1.6%)	220		(0.9%)	
Death – 24wk	Dichotomous	440	0	(0.0%)	220	0	(0.0%)	
Dizziness – 24wk	Dichotomous	440	17	(3.9%)	220	9	(4.1%)	
Fatigue – 24wk	Dichotomous	440	4	(0.9%)	220	5	(2.3%)	
Flatulence – 24wk	Dichotomous	440	11	(2.5%)	220	26	(11.8%)	
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	440	54	(12.3%)	220	56	(25.5%)	
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	440	11	(2.5%)	220	6	(2.7%)	
GI: abdominal distension – 24wk	Dichotomous	440	12	(2.7%)	220	20	(9.1%)	
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	440	15	(2.40/)	220	11	(5.00/\	
	Dichotomous Dichotomous	440	15 18	(3.4%)	220 220	11	(5.0%)	
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk Palpitations – 24wk	Dichotomous		16	(4.1%)	220		(6.4%) (1.4%)	
BMI >=30kg/m2	Dionotornous	- <del></del> -U	10	,	220	,	, ,	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Mean change	61		-1.2 (SD 1.56)	22		-1.3 (SD 0.938)	
BMI <30.0 kg/m2	, J			-1.5			-1.3	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Mean change	379		(SD 1.95)	198		(SD 1.41)	
<3 months since diagnosis Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Mean change	258		-1.8 (SD 1.61)	131		-1.7 (SD 1.14)	0.565f

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Mean change	258	-1.5 (SD 1.61)	131	-1.7 (SD 1.14)	0.319f
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkc	Mean change	258	-0.6 (SD 3.21)	131	-1.8 (SD 3.43)	<0.001f
>=3 months since diagnosis Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Mean change	173	-0.9 (SD 1.32)	85	-0.7 (SD 1.84)	0.211f
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Mean change	173	-0.8 (SD 2.63)	85	-1.1 (SD 2.77)	0.286f
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkc	Mean change	173	-0.2 (SD 2.63)	85	-1.6 (SD 2.77)	<0.001f
Hba1c>9.0% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Mean change	147	-2 (SD 1.21)	63	-2.1 (SD 1.59)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD reported

AM? in primary and secondary end-points were analysed using an ANCOVA model with treatment and pooled centre as the classification variables and baseline value as the covariate. Several criteria were prespecified to classify patients as responders to treatment. The percentage of patients (i) achieving end-point HbA1c < 7.0%, (ii) achieving end-point HbA1c ? 6.5%, (iii) experiencing a reduction of HbA1c ? 1.0%, (iv) experiencing a reduction of HbA1c ? 0.5% and (vi) meeting at least one of the aforementioned criteria in the two treatment groups were each compared by Chi-squared tests.

#### Table 78: Pan et al. (2012)

#### General

#### Phase:

- ☑ monotherapy
- ☐ dual therapy
- ☐ triple therapy
- ☐ insulin monotherapy
- □ insulin+oral

Parallel / crossover: Parallel

Country: China, India, the Phillippines and South Korea

Authors' conclusions: Saxagliptin improved glycaemic control and was well tolerated in drug naïve Asian

patients with type 2 diabetes

Source of funding: Funded by Astra Zeneca and Bristol-Myers Squibb

**Comments:** 24 week, multicentre, randomised, parallel group, double blind, placebo controlled, phase 3 study. Computer generated allocation sequence was used. To maintain blinding, study drug packaging and tablets were identical

tablets were identical

# Number and characteristics of patients

Total number of patients: 568

**Inclusion criteria:** Men and non-pregnant, non-breast feeding women aged 18 years and over with type 2 diabetes who were drug naïve. Patients were considered drug naïve if they had never received medications for type 2 diabetes or had received such for <6 months since diagnosis. In addition, patients should not have received drug therapy for >3 consecutive days or 7 non-consecutive days during the 8 weeks prior to enrollment. Patients were required to have fasting c-peptide >0.33 nmol/l and Hba1c 7.2 to 10% at lead-in and 7.0-10% at randomisation.

**Exclusion criteria:** Type 1 diabetes, history of diabetic ketoacidosis or hyperosmolar non-ketonic coma, symptoms of poorly controlled diabetes, significant cardiovascular history within 6 months of visit. Patients with a history of haemoglobinopathies, unstable or rapidly progressing renal disease based on clinical judgement, autoimmune skin disorder, GI surgery that could alter drug absorption, a history of drug or alcohol abuse in the past year, any clinically significant abnormality that may compromise study participation were also excluded. Patients with serum creatinine >=1.4 mg/dl (women) or >=1.5 mg/dl. Those who

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> not reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> assumed SE reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> assumed chi squared, no other details reported

estimated from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> No other details reported

received insulin therapy within 1 year of enrollment or previous treatment with any DPP-4 inhibitor were not included Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 4 week, single-blind lead in period before the 24 week double blind treatment period. Visit 4 (start of the double blind treatment period) was baseline (week 0) and patients were randomised at this visit. **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or glucoseowering Details of washout period: 4 week run in (details not provided) therapy Lifestyle advice Patients were given specific counselling on dietary and lifestyle modifications according to usual clinical routine during the lead-in period. This was reinforced during the treatment period to last study visit. Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 28 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: No details reported **Arms** (1) Saxagliptin (5mg) N: 284 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Saxagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):5 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: Rescue therapy with open label metformin was permitted during the treatment period for unacceptable fasting plasma glucose (FPG): if FPG>13.3 mmol/l at visits 6 or 7, if FPG >12.2 mmol/l at visit 8 or FPG>11.1 mmol/l at visits 9, 10 or 11. If a follow-up visit within 3-5 days confirmed FPG was elevated, the patient was started on metformin 500 mg once daily and the dose was titrated at the discretion of the investigator to a maximum of 2000 mg/day (2) Placebo N: 284 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) - fixed-dose Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: as saxagliptin group

#### Outcomes

#### General

The efficacy analysis set included all randomised patients who had received at least one dose of study medication, had baseline data, and had data for at least one post-baseline efficacy endpoint, data on or after rescue medication were excluded. Primary and secondary efficacy analyses were conducted on the full analysis set using LOCF for patients who discontinued early or received rescue medication. Missing efficacy data were replaced by post-dose data, but before rescue medication. The primary safety analysis was conducted on data from randomised patients who received at least one dose of study medication and excluded data collected on or after the use of rescue medication.

22/284 (7.7%) patients in the saxagliptin group and 36/284 (12.7%) in placebo group discontinued the study Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include postprandial blood glucose levels, fasting insulin, c-peptide, glucagon and measures of insulin resistance

#### Hypoglycaemic events

All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) (Reported hypoglycaemia was defined as signs and symptoms consistent with hypoglycaemia with or without a documented glucose measurement)

minor hypoglycaemic events (No specific definition)

symptomatic (confirmed) (Events with associated symptoms of hypoglycaemia and a documented plasma glucose <=2.8 mmol/l)

moderate hypoglycaemia (No specific definition)

		Saxagliptin (5mg)				Placebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	284		51.2 (SD 10)	284		51.6 (SD 10.3)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	284	160	(56.3%)	284	155	(54.6%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	284		0.8 (SD 1.4)	284		1.2 (SD 2.6)		

Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	284	9.1 (SD 2.1)	284	9.1 (SD 2.5)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	284	25.9 (SD 3.4)	284	25.9 (SD 3.7)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	284	69.2 (SD 11.4)	284	69.2 (SD 12.4)
Waist circumference (cms) – 0wk	Continuous	284	89.9 (SD 0.6)	284	90.6 (SD 0.6)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	284	5 (SD 0.06)	284	5 (SD 0.07)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	284	1.2 (SD 0.02)	284	1.1 (SD 0.02)
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	Mean change	284	1.9 (SD 0.08)	284	2.1 (SD 0.11)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	284	3 (SD 0.05)	284	2.9 (SD 0.06)
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	284	8.1 (SD 0.8)	284	8.2 (SD 0.8)

#### Results

		Sax	aglipt	in (5mg)		Plac	ebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	284			284			MD=- 0.500 (CI: -0.650, - 0.350)	<0.0001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wk	Dichotomous	284			284				<0.0001
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	284			284				<0.0001
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 24wk	Mean change	284			284				NR
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	284			284				NR
Waist circumference (cms) – 24wk	Mean change	284			284				NR
Other medication: Taking rescue medication – 24wk	Dichotomous	284	14	(4.9%)	284	27	(9.5%)		
Safety population Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	284	5a	(1.8%)	284	2b	(0.7%)		
minor hypoglycaemic events – 24wkc	Dichotomous	284			284				
symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	284	0	(0.0%)	284	0	(0.0%)		
moderate hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	284	С		284	1			
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	284	124	(43.7%)	284	102	(35.9%)		
Serious AE drug related – 24wk	Dichotomous	284	8	(2.8%)	284	4	(1.4%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	284	23	(8.1%)	284	11	(3.9%)		
Cough – 24wk	Dichotomous	284	7	(2.5%)	284	5	(1.8%)		

Death – 24wk	Dichotomous	284	1	(0.4%)	284	0	(0.0%)	
Dizziness – 24wk	Dichotomous	284	7	(2.5%)	284	7	(2.5%)	
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	284	9	(3.2%)	284	4	(1.4%)	
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	284	4	(1.4%)	284	7	(2.5%)	
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	284	16	(5.6%)	284	21	(7.4%)	
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	284	9	(3.2%)	284	8	(2.8%)	
Temperature/influenza – 24wk	Dichotomous	284	2	(0.7%)	284	6	(2.1%)	
UTI – 24wk	Dichotomous	284	6	(2.1%)	284	11	(3.9%)	
Study drug exposure – 24wkd	Continuous	284		156	284		147	
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	284	3	(1.1%)	284	3	(1.1%)	
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wke	Mean change	277		-0.89 (SD 0.666)	274		-0.32 (SD 0.662)	
HbA1c (%) – 24wkf	Mean change	277		-0.953 (SD 1.04)	274		-0.415 (SD 1.02)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wk	Dichotomous	277	127g	(45.8%)	274	79h	(28.8%)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wki	Mean change	280		-0.9 (SD 3.51)	279		-0.17 (SD 3.84)	
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 24wkj	Mean change	284		-0.12	284		-0.43	
Weight (kg) – 24wkj	Mean change	284		-0.32	284		-1.14	
Waist circumference (cms) – 24wk	Mean change	284		0.35k	284		-0.2j	

Changes in baseline to week 24 were assesed using ANCOVA with treatment and country as fixed main effects and baseline as the covariate. The proportion of patients achieving Hba1c<7% at week 24 was analysed using Fisher's exact test. AE data were summarised descriptively.

#### Table 79: Pavo et al. (2003)

General Phase: ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel

a approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
 b approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) unclear no of events per group

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> days

e estimated from graph

estimated from graph; SD calculated from SE

g assumed same denominator as Hba1c (%); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

assumed same denominator as Hba1c (%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> adjusted mean change, SE estimated from graph

unclear denominator, no SE reported

k unclear denominator, no SE reported

Country: Russia and Hungary Authors' conclusions: The more pronounced improvement in indicators of insulin sensitivity by pioglitazone as compared with metformin monotherapy in patients recently diagnosed with type 2 diabetes who are Oam naïve may be of interest for further clinical evaluation Source of funding: Unclear Comments: Double-blind Number and Total number of patients: 205 characteristics Inclusion criteria: Patients with recently diagnosed type 2 diabetes with Hba1c 7.5 to 11% and were at least of patients 40 years old. Exclusion criteria: patients with a history of lactic acidosis, liver disease, congestive heart failure, impaired kidney or liver function, BMI <25 kg/m2 or >40 kg/m2, cancer or use of OAMs Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 3-5 week lead in period with placebo before randomisation. There was also an 8 week titration period before the maintenance period. **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening alucose-Details of washout period: 4 week placebo run in period, all OAD naïve lowering therapy Lifestyle advice Patients also received diabetes education and individualised dietary and physical activity Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 36 Length of titration period (wks): 8 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: 4 week placebo run in Arms (1) Metformin N: 100 Treatment duration (wks): 32 Washout period (d): 28 Comments: OAD naïve Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Mean dose (mg/d): 2292 Minimum dose (mg/d): 850 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2550 Details of dosing regimen: 850 mg/day titrated to max 2550 mg/day (forced uptitration at visit 2 and uptitration if FBG>=7 mmol/l at visit 3) (2) Pioglitazone N: 105 Treatment duration (wks): 32 Washout period (d): 28 Comments: OAD naïve Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Mean dose (mg/d): 41.5 Minimum dose (mg/d): 30 Maximum dose (mg/d): 45 Details of dosing regimen: 30 mg/day titrated to max 45 mg/day **Outcomes Baseline** Metformin Pioglitazone characteristics Δр Ν mean Ν mean Demographics: Continuous 100 55.8 (SD 8.4) 105 54.2 (SD 9.1) Age (years) 100 56 (56.0%) Sex (n male) Dichotomous 105 46a (43.8%) Duration of diabetes (months) 5.6 (SD 3.8) Continuous 100 6.3 (SD 3.9) 105 Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - 0wk Continuous 100 8.6 105 8.6 Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) Continuous 100 31.1 (SD 4.4) 105 31.3 (SD 4.2) Weight (kg) - 0wk Continuous 100 88.9 (SD 15.9) 105 86.6 (SD 15.6) <sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

			Met	formin		Piog	glitazone		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	100		7.3 (SD 0.7)	105		7.55 (SD 1.02)		
HbA1c (%) – 32wka	Continuous	100		7.45 (SD 1)	105		7.52 (SD 1.02)		
HbA1c (%) – 32wkb	Mean change	100		-1.5	105		-1.3		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wka	Continuous	100		9.25 (SD 2)	105		9.4 (SD 2.56)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wka	Continuous	100		9.25 (SD 2)	105		9.1 (SD 2.05)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 32wkb	Mean change	100		-2.8	105		-3		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 32wka	Continuous	100		9.5 (SD 2)	105		8.95 (SD 2.05)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 32wkc	Mean change	100		-2.4 (SD 4)	105		0.7 (SD 4.1)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 32wkd	Dichotomous	100	51	(51.0%)	105	49	(46.7%)		
Edema peripheral – 32wk	Dichotomous	100		(4.0%)	105	13	(12.4%)		
liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 32w	/k Continuous	100		1.2 (SD 16)	105		-6.8 (SD 16.4)		
Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 32wk	Continuous	100		0.7 (SD 9)	105		-2.2 (SD 9.22)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 32wk	Dichotomous	100	9	(9.0%)	105	5	(4.8%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 32wk	Dichotomous	100	0	(0.0%)	105	2	(1.9%)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 32wk	Continuous	100		-6.7 (SD 12)	105		-6.2 (SD 12.3)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 32wk	Continuous	100		-3.9 (SD 6)	105		-3.9 (SD 6.15)		
a estimated from graph b SD not reported C SD calculated from reported SE d approximated to nearest integer (per	centages only pres	sented	d in t	ext)					

### Table 80: Pi-Sunyer et al. (2007)

General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: conducted at 98 centres in the US (88), India (4) and Slovakia (6)  Authors' conclusions: Vildagliptin is effective and well-tolerated in drug-naïve patienrs with type 2 diabetes and 100 mg vildagliptin provides similar clinical benefit whether given as single or in divided doses  Source of funding: Funded by Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation  Comments: 24-week, double-blind, randomised trial but details of randomisation, blinding or allocation
Number and characteristics	Total number of patients: 354 Inclusion criteria: patients diagnosed with type 2 diabetes with a Hba1c between 7.5% and 10% at the

#### of patients screening visit while receiving no pharmacological treatment. Patients who had taken no oral antidiabetic drug (OAD) for at least 12 weeks prior to screening and no OAD for over 3 consecutive months at any time in the past were considered to be representative of a drug naïve population. Male and female (non-fertile or of child bearing potential using a medically approved birth control method), aged 18-80 years inclusive with a BMI 22-45 kg/m2 and with FPG <15 mmol/l were eligible to participate Exclusion criteria: history of type 1 or secondary forms of diabetes, acute metabolic diabetic complications, myocardial infarction, unstable angina, or coronary artery bypass surgery within the previous 6 months. Congestive heart failure (NYHA class III or IV), and liver disease also precluded participation. Patients with any of the following laboratory abnormalities were also excluded: ALT or AST greater than three times the upper limit of normal (ULN), direct bilirubin >1.3 times the ULN, serum creatinine levels >220 µmol/l, clinically significant abnormal thyroid stimulating hormone or fasting triglycerides (TG) >7.9 mmol/l Pre-randomisation phase: Each patient attended one screening visit (week -2) during which inclusion/exclusion criteria were assessed. Eligible patients were randomised as visit 2 (baseline) week 0 **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or glucoselowering Details of washout period: Drug naïve defined as those not taking any OADs at least 12 weeks prior to therapy screening and no history of 3 consecutive months of OADs No details of lifestyle advice reported Lifestyle advice Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 26 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Efficacy and tolerability were assessed during 5 additional visits at weeks 4, 8, 12, 16 and 24 of treatment (1) Vildagliptin (50mg b.i.d) Arms N: 83 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 14 Comments: 2 week screening period (no details provided) Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100 Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: Vildagliptin 50mg bid (2) Vildagliptin (100 mg qd) N: 91 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 14 Comments: 2 week screening period (no details provided) Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: vildagliptin 100 mg qd (3) Placebo N: 92 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 14 Comments: 2 week screening period (no details provided) Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: No details reported but assumed taken orally to maintain blindina (4) Vildagliptin 50 mg qd N: 88 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 14 Comments: 2 week screening period (no details provided) Vildagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose Treatment(s): Set dose (mg/d):50 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: vildagliptin 50 mg qd Outcomes General NB: 50mg vildagliptin qd is only recommended in patients taking sulfonylurea and those with end stage renal disease). Outcomes not reported in this evidence table include lipid parameters (data not shown in full ITT population defined as those who received at least one dose of study medication and had a baseline and at least one post baseline asssesment using last observation carried forward (LOCF) for patients who

discontinued early

23.9% discontinued in the vildagliptin 50 mg qd group, 19.3% in the vildagliptin 50 mg bid, 16.5% in vildagliptin 100 mg qd group and 31.5% in placebo group. Of these 3 (3.6%), 5 (5.5%) and 14 (15.2%) were due to unsatisfactory therapeutic effect in the 50 mg b.i.d, 100 mg qd and placebo groups respectively

#### Blood glucose

HbA1c (%) (The primary efficacy variable was the change from baseline in hba1c at study endpoint in the ITT population)

#### Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Severe hypoglycaemia was defined as any episode requiring the assistance of another party)

symptomatic (confirmed) (Confirmed hypoglycaemia was defined as symptoms suggestive of low blood glucose confirmed by self-monitored blood glucose (SMBG) measureemtn <3.1 mmol/l plasma glucose equivalent.)

asymptomatic (confirmed) (Instances of SMBG <3.1 without accompanying symptoms were recorded as asymptomatic low blood glucose.)

		Vi	ldaç	gliptin (50mg b.i.d)	Vildagliptin (100 mg qd)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	83		50.2 (SD 12.7)	91		52 (SD 11.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	83	47	(56.6%)	91	49	(53.8%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	83		2.4 (SD 3.2)	91		2.1 (SD 2.9)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	83	44	(53.0%)	91	53	(58.2%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	83	5	(6.0%)	91	11	(12.1%)		
Ethnicity-Asian (Indian subcontinent)	Dichotomous	83	15	(18.1%)	91	15	(16.5%)		
Ethnicity-Asian (non-Indian subcontinent)	Dichotomous	83	1	(1.2%)	91	1	(1.1%)		
Blood glucose: Hba1c <=8%	Dichotomous	83	38	(45.8%)	91	33	(36.3%)		
Hba1c >8%	Dichotomous	83	45	(54.2%)	91	58	(63.7%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	79		10.9 (SD 3.56)	89		10 (SD 2.83)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	83		32.2 (SD 6)	91		31.9 (SD 5)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	79		90.4 (SD 18.7)	89		90.5 (SD 19.8)		
Height (cm)	Continuous	83		167 (SD 9.2)	91		168 (SD 10.5)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	79		8.4 (SD 0.9)	89		8.3 (SD 0.8)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

		Vildagliptin (50mg b.i.d)				ı	Placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	83		50.2 (SD 12.7)	92		52 (SD 12)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	83	47	(56.6%)	92	50	(54.3%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	83		2.4 (SD 3.2)	92		2.5 (SD 3.7)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	83	44	(53.0%)	92	47	(51.1%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	83	5	(6.0%)	92	12	(13.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian (Indian subcontinent)	Dichotomous	83	15	(18.1%)	92	15	(16.3%)		
Ethnicity-Asian (non-Indian subcontinent)	Dichotomous	83	1	(1.2%)	92	1	(1.1%)		
Blood glucose: Hba1c <=8%	Dichotomous	83	38	(45.8%)	92	38	(41.3%)		

Hba1c >8%	Dichotomous	83	45	(54.2%)	92	54	(58.7%)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	79		10.9 (SD 3.56)	88		10.7 (SD 2.81)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	83		32.2 (SD 6)	92		32.7 (SD 6.4)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	79		90.4 (SD 18.7)	88		92.6 (SD 23.5)
Height (cm)	Continuous	83		167 (SD 9.2)	92		168.1 (SD 10.8)
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	79		8.4 (SD 0.9)	88		8.5 (SD 0.8)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

		Vi	ldaç	gliptin (50mg b.i.d)	Vildagliptin 50 mg qd				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	83		50.2 (SD 12.7)	88		50.6 (SD 10.4)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	83	47	(56.6%)	88	49	(55.7%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	83		2.4 (SD 3.2)	88		1.8 (SD 2.7)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	83	44	(53.0%)	88	48	(54.5%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	83	5	(6.0%)	88	7	(8.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian (Indian subcontinent)	Dichotomous	83	15	(18.1%)	88	14	(15.9%)		
Ethnicity-Asian (non-Indian subcontinent)	Dichotomous	83	1	(1.2%)	88	3	(3.4%)		
Blood glucose: Hba1c <=8%	Dichotomous	83	38	(45.8%)	88	35	(39.8%)		
Hba1c >8%	Dichotomous	83	45	(54.2%)	88	53	(60.2%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	79		10.9 (SD 3.56)	84		10.4 (SD 2.75)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	83		32.2 (SD 6)	88		31.9 (SD 5.4)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	79		90.4 (SD 18.7)	84		90.4 (SD 22)		
Height (cm)	Continuous	83		167 (SD 9.2)	88		167.5 (SD 11.9)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	79		8.4 (SD 0.9)	84		8.3 (SD 0.917)		

 $<sup>^{\</sup>it a}$  SD calculated from reported SE

		Vil	dagl	iptin (100 mg qd)		Placebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	91		52 (SD 11.7)	92		52 (SD 12)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	91	49	(53.8%)	92	50	(54.3%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	91		2.1 (SD 2.9)	92		2.5 (SD 3.7)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	91	53	(58.2%)	92	47	(51.1%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	91	11	(12.1%)	92	12	(13.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian (Indian subcontinent)	Dichotomous	91	15	(16.5%)	92	15	(16.3%)		
Ethnicity-Asian (non-Indian subcontinent)	Dichotomous	91	1	(1.1%)	92	1	(1.1%)		
Blood glucose: Hba1c <=8%	Dichotomous	91	33	(36.3%)	92	38	(41.3%)		

Hba1c >8%	Dichotomous	91	58	(63.7%)	92	54	(58.7%)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	89		10 (SD 2.83)	88		10.7 (SD 2.81)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	91		31.9 (SD 5)	92		32.7 (SD 6.4)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	89		90.5 (SD 19.8)	88		92.6 (SD 23.5)
Height (cm)	Continuous	91		168 (SD 10.5)	92		168.1 (SD 10.8)
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	89		8.3 (SD 0.8)	88		8.5 (SD 0.8)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

		Vil	dagl	liptin (100 mg qd)	Vi	ildag	gliptin 50 mg qd		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	91		52 (SD 11.7)	88		50.6 (SD 10.4)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	91	49	(53.8%)	88	49	(55.7%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	91		2.1 (SD 2.9)	88		1.8 (SD 2.7)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	91	53	(58.2%)	88	48	(54.5%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	91	11	(12.1%)	88	7	(8.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian (Indian subcontinent)	Dichotomous	91	15	(16.5%)	88	14	(15.9%)		
Ethnicity-Asian (non-Indian subcontinent)	Dichotomous	91	1	(1.1%)	88	3	(3.4%)		
Blood glucose: Hba1c <=8%	Dichotomous	91	33	(36.3%)	88	35	(39.8%)		
Hba1c >8%	Dichotomous	91	58	(63.7%)	88	53	(60.2%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	89		10 (SD 2.83)	84		10.4 (SD 2.75)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	91		31.9 (SD 5)	88		31.9 (SD 5.4)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	89		90.5 (SD 19.8)	84		90.4 (SD 22)		
Height (cm)	Continuous	91		168 (SD 10.5)	88		167.5 (SD 11.9)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	89		8.3 (SD 0.8)	84		8.3 (SD 0.917)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

			F	Placebo	Vildagliptin 50 mg qd				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	92		52 (SD 12)	88		50.6 (SD 10.4)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	92	50	(54.3%)	88	49	(55.7%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	92		2.5 (SD 3.7)	88		1.8 (SD 2.7)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	92	47	(51.1%)	88	48	(54.5%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	92	12	(13.0%)	88	7	(8.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian (Indian subcontinent)	Dichotomous	92	15	(16.3%)	88	14	(15.9%)		
Ethnicity-Asian (non-Indian subcontinent)	Dichotomous	92	1	(1.1%)	88	3	(3.4%)		
Blood glucose: Hba1c <=8%	Dichotomous	92	38	(41.3%)	88	35	(39.8%)		

Hba1c >8%	Dichotomous	92	54	(58.7%)	88	53	(60.2%)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	88		10.7 (SD 2.81)	84		10.4 (SD 2.75)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	92		32.7 (SD 6.4)	88		31.9 (SD 5.4)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	88		92.6 (SD 23.5)	84		90.4 (SD 22)
Height (cm)	Continuous	92		168.1 (SD 10.8)	88		167.5 (SD 11.9)
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	88		8.5 (SD 0.8)	84		8.3 (SD 0.917)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

		Vile		iptin (50mg b.i.d)	V		liptin (100 g qd)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wka	Dichotomous	79	24	(30.4%)	89	35	(39.3%)		
Hypoglycaemic events: symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	0	(0.0%)	91	0	(0.0%)		
asymptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	0	(0.0%)	91	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	48	(57.8%)	91	54	(59.3%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	3	(3.6%)	91	7	(7.7%)		
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	4	(4.8%)	91	5	(5.5%)		
Hypertension – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	6	(7.2%)	91	1	(1.1%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	8	(9.6%)	91	10	(11.0%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	4	(4.8%)	91	12	(13.2%)		
Pain (extremity) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	2	(2.4%)	91	5	(5.5%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	16	(19.3%)	91	15	(16.5%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	0	(0.0%)	91	1	(1.1%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	3	(3.6%)	91	5	(5.5%)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkb	Continuous	79		7.4 (SD 0.889)	89		7.35 (SD 0.943)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Mean change	79		-0.7 (SD 0.911)	89		-0.8 (SD 0.954)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkd	Continuous	79		7.7 (SD 1.78)	89		7.5 (SD 0.943)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkd	Continuous	79		9.6 (SD 2.67)	89		9 (SD 2.83)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Mean change	79		-1.2 (SD 2.67)	89		-1.1 (SD 2.83)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkd	Continuous	79		90.5 (SD 18.7)	89		90.2 (SD 20.8)		
Weight (kg) – 24wkc	Mean change	79		0 (SD 3.56)	89		-0.4 (SD 2.83)		
Baseline Hba1c <=8% Blood glucose: HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous			nut)	33	19a			

a approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
b estimated from graph
c adjusted mean change; SD calculated from reported SE
SD calculated from reported SE
not reported

				gliptin g b.i.d)		Pla	cebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	79			88			MD=-0.700 (CI: -1.092, -0.308)	<0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	79	24a	(30.4%)	88	12	(13.6%)		<0.01b
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	79			88			MD=-1.300 (CI: -2.084, -0.516)	0.001
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	79			88			MD=1.400 (CI: 0.420, 2.380)	0.005
Hypoglycaemic events: symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	0	(0.0%)	92	0	(0.0%)		
asymptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	0	(0.0%)	92	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events:  Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	48	(57.8%)	92	53	(57.6%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	3	(3.6%)	92	1	(1.1%)		
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	4	(4.8%)	92	2	(2.2%)		
Hypertension – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	6	(7.2%)	92	2	(2.2%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	8	(9.6%)	92	9	(9.8%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	4	(4.8%)	92		(3.3%)		
Pain (extremity) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	2	(2.4%)	92	8	(8.7%)		
Dropouts:	D'abataman	00	40	(40.00()	00	00	(04 50()		
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous Dichotomous	83	16 0	(19.3%)	92 92		(31.5%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk  Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous			(3.6%)			(3.3%)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkc	Continuous	79	J	7.4 (SD 0.889)	88	1-7	8.08 (SD 1.13)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkd	Mean change	79		-0.7 (SD 0.911)	88		0 (SD 0.959)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wke	Continuous	79		7.7 (SD 1.78)	88		8.4 (SD 1.88)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkd	Mean change	79		-1.2 (SD 2.67)	88		0.1 (SD 2.81)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wke	Continuous	79		9.6 (SD 2.67)	88		10.7 (SD 3.75)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wke	Continuous	79		90.5 (SD 18.7)	88		91.1 (SD 22.5)		
Weight (kg) – 24wkd	Mean change	79		0 (SD 3.56)	88		-1.4 (SD 3.75)		
Baseline Hba1c <=8%									
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	0			0			MD=-0.700	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wk	Dichotomous	38	f		38	7a			

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2015

		Vil		ptin (50mg o.i.d)	Vil	dagl	liptin 50 mg qd		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wk	Dichotomous	79	24a		88	b			
Hypoglycaemic events: symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	0	(0.0%)	86	0	(0.0%)		
asymptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	0	(0.0%)	86	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events:									
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	48	(57.8%)	86	48	(55.8%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	3	(3.6%)	88	0	(0.0%)		
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	4	(4.8%)	86	8	(9.3%)		
Hypertension – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	6	(7.2%)	86	1	(1.2%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	8	(9.6%)	86	5	(5.8%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	4	(4.8%)	86	3	(3.5%)		
Pain (extremity) – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	2	(2.4%)	86	4	(4.7%)		
Dropouts:				,			,		
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	16	(19.3%)	88	21	(23.9%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	0	(0.0%)	88	1	(1.1%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	83	3	(3.6%)	88	9	(10.2%)		
ІТТ									
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkc	Continuous	79		7.4 (SD 0.889)	84		7.6 (SD 1.1)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkd	Mean change	79		-0.7 (SD 0.911)	88		-0.5 (SD 0.938)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wke	Continuous	79		7.7 (SD 1.78)	84		7.9 (SD 1.83)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wke	Continuous	79		9.6 (SD 2.67)	84		9.9 (SD 3.67)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkd	Mean change	79		-1.2 (SD 2.67)	88		-0.5 (SD 2.81)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wke	Continuous	79		90.5 (SD 18.7)	84		90.1 (SD 22)		
Weight (kg) – 24wkd	Mean change	79		0 (SD 3.56)	84		-0.4 (SD 3.75)		
Baseline Hba1c <=8%	3-	Ť		,			- /		
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wkb	Dichotomous	38			35				

a approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
b not reported
c estimated from graph

		Vile		ptin (100 qd)		Pla	cebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	89			88			MD=-0.900 (CI: -1.292, - 0.508)	<0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	89	35a	(39.3%)	88	12	(13.6%)		<0.001b

 $<sup>^</sup>c$  estimated from graph  $^d$  adjusted mean change; SD calculated from reported SE  $^e$  SD calculated from reported SE  $^f$  not reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> adjusted mean change; SD calculated from reported SE <sup>e</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	89			88			MD=-1.300 (CI: -2.084, - 0.516)	0.001
Body weight:		-			-			,	0.001
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	89			88			MD=1.000 (CI: 0.020, 1.980)	0.033
Hypoglycaemic events: symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	0	(0.0%)	92	0	(0.0%)	,,	
asymptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	0	(0.0%)	92	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events:									
GI: nausea – 24wkc	Dichotomous	91	1	(1.1%)	92	0	(0.0%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	54	(59.3%)	92	53	(57.6%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	7	(7.7%)	92	1	(1.1%)		
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	5	(5.5%)	92	2	(2.2%)		
Hypertension – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	1	(1.1%)	92	2	(2.2%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	10	(11.0%)	92	9	(9.8%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	12	(13.2%)	92	3	(3.3%)		
Pain (extremity) – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	5	(5.5%)	92	8	(8.7%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	15	(16.5%)	92	29	(31.5%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	1	(1.1%)	92	3	(3.3%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	5	(5.5%)	92	14	(15.2%)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkd	Continuous	89		7.35 (SD 0.943)	88		8.08 (SD 1.13)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wke	Mean change	89		-0.8 (SD 0.954)	88		0 (SD 0.959)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkf	Continuous	89		7.5 (SD 0.943)	88		8.4 (SD 1.88)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wke	Mean change	89		-1.1 (SD 2.83)	88		0.1 (SD 2.81)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkf	Continuous	89		9 (SD 2.83)	88		10.7 (SD 3.75)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkf	Continuous	89		90.2 (SD 20.8)	88		91.1 (SD 22.5)		
Woight (kg) 24 wks	Mean	90		-0.4 (SD	o o		-1.4 (SD		
Weight (kg) – 24wke  Baseline Hba1c <=8%	change	89		2.83)	88		3.75)		
Blood glucose:  HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	89			88			MD=-0.900	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wka	Dichotomous			(57.6%)			(18.4%)		
a approximated to nearest integrated	ger (nercentage	20	aly pr	scantad in	tov	+\			

a approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
b No further details reported
c Estimated from reported percentages
d estimated from graph
adjusted mean change; SD calculated from reported SE
SD calculated from reported SE

Vildagliptin (100 mg qd)	Vildagliptin 50 mg qd	Δ	р

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean
Blood glucose:							
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wk	Dichotomous	89	35a		88	b	
Hypoglycaemic events:							
symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	0	(0.0%)	86	0	(0.0%)
asymptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	0	(0.0%)	86	0	(0.0%)
Adverse events:							
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	54	(59.3%)	86	48	(55.8%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	7	(7.7%)	88	0	(0.0%)
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	5	(5.5%)	86	8	(9.3%)
Hypertension – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	1	(1.1%)	86	1	(1.2%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	10	(11.0%)	86	5	(5.8%)
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	12	(13.2%)	86	3	(3.5%)
Pain (extremity) – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	5	(5.5%)	86	4	(4.7%)
Dropouts:							
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	15	(16.5%)	88	21	(23.9%)
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	1	(1.1%)	88	1	(1.1%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	91	5	(5.5%)	88	9	(10.2%)
ІТТ							
Blood glucose:				7.35 (SD			7.6 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 16wkc	Continuous	89		0.943)	84		1.1)
HbA1c (%) – 24wkd	Mean change	89		-0.8 (SD 0.954)	88		-0.5 (SD 0.938)
HbA1c (%) – 24wke	Continuous	89		7.5 (SD 0.943)	84		7.9 (SD 1.83)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wke	Continuous	89		9 (SD 2.83)	84		9.9 (SD 3.67)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkd	Mean change	89		-1.1 (SD 2.83)	88		-0.5 (SD 2.81)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wke	Continuous	89		90.2 (SD 20.8)	84		90.1 (SD 22)
vveigitt (kg) – 24vvke		OS		,	04		· ·
Weight (kg) – 24wkd	Mean change	89		-0.4 (SD 2.83)	84		-0.4 (SD 3.75)
Baseline Hba1c <=8%							
Blood glucose:							
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	33	19a		35	b	

a approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
b not reported
c estimated from graph
d adjusted mean change; SD calculated from reported SE
SD calculated from reported SE

		Placebo			Vil	_	liptin 50 g qd		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wk	Dichotomous	88	12		88	а			
Hypoglycaemic events: symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	92	0	(0.0%)	86	0	(0.0%)		
asymptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	92	0	(0.0%)	86	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	92	53	(57.6%)	86	48	(55.8%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	92	1	(1.1%)	88	0	(0.0%)		

Hoodoobo 24wk	Dichotomous	02	2	(2.20/)	96	0	(0.20/)		
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	92		(2.2%)	86		(9.3%)		
Hypertension – 24wk	Dichotomous	92	2	(2.2%)	86	1	(1.2%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	92	9	(9.8%)	86	5	(5.8%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	92	3	(3.3%)	86	3	(3.5%)		
Pain (extremity) – 24wk	Dichotomous	92	8	(8.7%)	86	4	(4.7%)		
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	92	29	(31.5%)	88	21	(23.9%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	92	3	(3.3%)	88	1	(1.1%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	92	14	(15.2%)	88	9	(10.2%)		
ITT				8.08					
Blood glucose:				(SD			7.6 (SD		
HbA1c (%) – 16wkb	Continuous	88		ì.13)	84		1.1)`		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Mean change	88		0 (SD 0.959)	88		-0.5 (SD 0.938)		
· ·				8.4 (SD			7.9 (SD		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkd	Continuous	88		1.88)	84		1.83)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Mean change	88		0.1 (SD 2.81)	88		-0.5 (SD 2.81)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkd	Continuous	88		10.7 (SD 3.75)	84		9.9 (SD 3.67)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkc	Mean change	88		-1.4 (SD 3.75)	84		-0.4 (SD 3.75)		
Weight (kg) – 24wkd	Continuous	88		91.1 (SD 22.5)	84		90.1 (SD 22)		
Baseline Hba1c <=8%				-,			(- )		
Blood glucose: HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wk	Dichotomous	38	7e		35	а			
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	88			88				0.011
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	88			88				0.101
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	88			88			MD=1.100 (CI: 0.120, 2.080)	0.027
Baseline Hba1c <=8%									
Blood glucose:	Mean								
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	change	84			88			MD=-0.800	
a not reported									

Changes from baseline in primary and secondary variables were analysed using an ANCOVA model with traetment and pooled centre as the classification variables and baseline as the covariate. Multiple testing was adjusted for using Hochberg's multiple testing step-up procedure.

Table 81: Pratley et al. (2006)

	2000)
General	Phase:
	☑ monotherapy □ dual therapy

a not reported
b estimated from graph
c adjusted mean change; SD calculated from reported SE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

e approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: The study was conducted in fifteen centers in South America and Mexico. Authors' conclusions: monotherapy with vildagliptin is well tolerated and improves glycemic control in diettreated subjects with type 2 diabetes. Concomitant improvements in beta-cell function were also observed. Subjects with higher baseline HbA1c levels showed greater response. Source of funding: supported by a grant from Novartis Pharmaceuticals Comments: Report double-blind, randomised trial but no details of randomisation, blinding or allocation concealment reported. Number and Total number of patients: 100 characteristics Inclusion criteria: Subjects were aged at least 30 years and had a BMI between 20 and 40 kg/m2 inclusive, of patients type 2 diabetes that had been treated with diet only for at least eight weeks prior to enrolment, and agreed to maintain prior diet and exercise habits for the duration of the study Exclusion criteria: Subjects with a history of type 1 or secondary forms of diabetes, significant diabetic complications, clinically significant cardiovascular abnormalities, liver disease, acromegaly, asthma, major gastrointestinal surgery, or major skin allergies were excluded from the study. Subjects with fasting triglyceride levels above 4.5 mmol/l were excluded, as were those treated with corticosteroids or sodium channel blockers within the previous three months, or any investigational drug within the previous four weeks. Subjects receiving treatment with warfarin or dicoumarin derivatives or digoxin were also excluded; subjects receiving thyroid hormone replacement could only be included if the dose had remained stable for at least three months prior to entry Subjects were excluded if fasting plasma glucose (FPG) was less than 6.1 mmol/l or more than 15 mmol/l at week -4 or week -2, if ALT, AST or alkaline phosphatase was more than twice the upper limit of normal (ULN), bilirubin was more than 1.3 times the ULN, hematocrit was less than 37% or serum creatinine was more than 220 mol/l, or if TSH was abnorm Any clinically significant laboratory abnormalities or physical exam findings precluded randomization, as did any change of body weight of more than 5% between week -4 and week 0. Pre-randomisation phase: A four-week placebo run-in period preceded randomization during which the following inclusion/exclusion criteria were assessed. The mean (week ± 4 and week ± 2) HbA1c was to lie between 6.8 and 11.0 %. Also see exclusion criteria for exclusions during placebo run-in period **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or alucoseinsulin lowering Details of washout period: Treated with diet only for at least 8 weeks prior to enrolment therapy Lifestyle advice prior diet and exercise habits were maintained for the duration of the study Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 16 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Fasting plasma levels of glucose and lipids were measured at weeks 1, 2, 4, 8, and 12 Arms (1) Vildagliptin N: 72 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):50 Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: Vildagliptin 25 mg bid. Both study drugs were taken 30 minutes before breakfast and dinner (2) Placebo N: 28 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) - fixed-dose Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: placebo bid. Both study drugs were taken 30 minutes before breakfast and dinner **Outcomes** General 7 (10%) patients in the vildagliptin group and 2 (7%) in placebo group discontinued the study Outcomes not reported in this evidence table include insulin profiles, measures of insulin resistence such as HOMA-B, c-peptides

The ITT population was used to analyse the primary outcome variable with the last observation carried forward

### Hypoglycaemic events

symptomatic (confirmed) (An episode of hypoglycemia was defined as symptoms consistent with hypoglycemia accompanied by a glucose measurement less than or equal to 3.1 mmol/)

# Baseline characteristics

			Vi	ldagliptin		Placebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	70		56.9 (SD 9.4)	28		52.8 (SD 10)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	70	28	(40.0%)	28	14	(50.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	70		4.6 (SD 5.6)	28		3.5 (SD 5.7)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	70	33	(47.1%)	28	13	(46.4%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	70	2	(2.9%)	28	0	(0.0%)		
Ethnicity-Oriental	Dichotomous	70	1	(1.4%)	28	0	(0.0%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	70	34	(48.6%)	28	15	(53.6%)		
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	70		8 (SD 0.9)	28		8.1 (SD 1.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)  – 0wk	Continuous	70		9.4 (SD 1.8)	28		10.1 (SD 3.2)		
Body weight:									
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	70		30 (SD 4.5)	28		29.9 (SD 4.1)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	70		84.672 (SD 12.7)	28		84.38976 (SD 11.6)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		٧	'ilda	gliptin	Placebo		cebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	70		-0.6 (SD 0.837)	28		0 (SD 1.06)	MD=-0.600 (CI: - 0.992, -0.208)	0.0012
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	70		-0.9 (SD 1.67)	28		0.2 (SD 1.59)	MD=-1.100 (CI: - 1.884, -0.316)	0.0043
4h-post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Mean change	63		-1.7 (SD 2.38)	26		0.2 (SD 2.04)	MD=-1.910 (CI: - 2.890, -0.930)	<0.0001
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	70			28			MD=0.500 (CI: - 0.480, 1.480)	NS
Hypoglycaemic events: symptomatic (confirmed) – 12wk	Dichotomous	70	1	(1.4%)	28	0	(0.0%)		С
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	70	1	(1.4%)	28	1	(3.6%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 12wkd	Dichotomous	70	39	(55.7%)	28	20	(71.4%)		С
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	70	0	(0.0%)	28	0	(0.0%)		С
Anxiety – 12wk	Dichotomous	70	1	(1.4%)	28	2	(7.1%)		С
Chest pain – 12wk	Dichotomous	70	1	(1.4%)	28	3	(10.7%)		С
Death – 12wk	Dichotomous	70	0	(0.0%)	28	0	(0.0%)		С
Dizziness – 12wk	Dichotomous	70	6	(8.6%)	28	0	(0.0%)		С
Fatigue – 12wk	Dichotomous	70	0	(0.0%)	28	0	(0.0%)		С
GI: abdominal pain – 12wk	Dichotomous	70	3	(4.3%)	28	2	(7.1%)		С
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	70	5	(7.1%)	28	1	(3.6%)		С

Dropouts:										
Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	7	(9.7%)	28	2	(7.1%)			
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	2	(2.8%)	28	0	(0.0%)		С	
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	72	2	(2.8%)	28	0	(SD 0.529)			
baseline Hba1c between 7 and 8%				-0.5						
Blood glucose:	Mean			(SD			0.2 (SD			
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	change	35		0.592)	9		0.6)	MD=-0.700	е	
baseline Hba1c between 8 and 9.5%										
Blood glucose:	Mean			-1 (SD			0.1 (SD			
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	change	24		0.98)	11		0.995)	MD=-1.200	е	
adjusted mean change from baseline; SD calculated from reported SE badjusted mean change from baseline not reported at least one AE Other details not reported										
baseline characteristics of ITT population. Data were analyzed using an ANCOVA model including terms for treatment, baseline value, pooled center, and treatment by baseline interaction.										

## Table 82: Raz et al. (2006)

Table 82: Raz	t et al. (2006)
	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy □ dual therapy □ triple therapy □ insulin monotherapy □ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Multinational (including Canada, Germany, Israel, New Zealand, Hungary, Poland, USA)  Authors' conclusions: sitagliptin significantly improved glycaemic control and was well tolerated in patients with type 2 diabetes who had inadeqaute glycaemci control on exercise and diet  Source of funding: Merck Research Laboratories  Comments: Double-blind
characteristics of patients	Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes, aged 18-75 years, who were either treatment naïve or were taking monotherapy or low dose combination therapy and could be taken off their OHAs during the run-in period were eligible  Exclusion criteria: type 1 diabetes, insulin therapy, significant hepatic or renal disease, BMI <20 kg/m2 or >43 kg/m2  Pre-randomisation phase: There was a run-in period of diet and exercise for up to 12 weeks based on prior therapy and Hba1c at study start (patients who were not current taking an OHA directly entered the 2 week placebo run-in period
glucose-	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: patients discontinued OHA therapy and underwent a 12 week washout period
Lifestyle advice	patients received counselling on a diet consistent with ADA recommendations
· .	Total follow-up (wks): 30  Length of titration period (wks): 0  Length of maintenance period (wks): 18  Frequency of monitoring appointments: Up to 12 week wash out period (including 2 week single blind placebo run in)
Arms	(1) Sitagliptin (100 mg)

N: 205

Treatment duration (wks): 18 Washout period (d): 84

Comments: There was a washout period of up to 12 weeks

Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):100

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: sitagliptin 100 mg. Patients not meeting target glycaemic limits were provided with rescue therapy (metformin) if FBG>15 mmol/l until week 6, FBG>13.3

mmol/l from week 6-12 or FBG >11.1 mmol/l week 12-18.

### (2) Placebo

N: 110

Treatment duration (wks): 18 Washout period (d): 84

Comments: There was a washout period of up to 12 weeks

Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Data from 2/3 arms were exatrcted in this evidence table (sitagliptin 200 mg is over the licensed recommended dose in the SPC and was not included).

Analysis of efficacy data was conducted on data collected prior to rescue therapy.

# Baseline characteristics

		Sit	aglipt	tin (100 mg)		lacebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	205		54.5 (SD 10)	110		55.5 (SD 10.1)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	205	110	(53.7%)	110	69	(62.7%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	205		4.5 (SD 4.3)	110		4.7 (SD 5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	205		8 (SD 0.8)	110		8 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	205		10 (SD 2.4)	110		10.2 (SD 2.7)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	205		31.8 (SD 5.3)	110		32.5 (SD 5.2)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	205		89.7 (SD 19.1)	110		92.8 (SD 18.8)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	205	87	(42.4%)	110	40	(36.4%)		

			glipt	tin (100 mg)	Placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	205		7.58 (SD 1)	110		8.17 (SD 1.26)		
HbA1c (%) – 18wka	Continuous	205		7.58 (SD 1.15)	110		8.21 (SD 1.35)		
HbA1c (%) – 18wka	Mean change	193		-0.48 (SD 0.92)	103		0.12 (SD 0.91)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	205		9.3 (SD 1.72)	110		10.72 (SD 2.52)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 18wka	Continuous	205		9.3 (SD 3)	110		10.6 (SD 3.3)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 18wka	Mean change	205		-0.7 (SD 2.93)	110		0.4 (SD 7.49)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 18wka	Mean change	193		-0.6 (SD 2.8)	103		-0.7 (SD 3.1)		

Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients)  – 18wk	Dichotomous	205	3	(1.5%)	110	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: Gl: nausea – 18wk	Dichotomous	205	2	(1.0%)	110	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 18wkb	Dichotomous	205	17	(8.3%)	110	19	(17.3%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 18wkc	Dichotomous	205	1	(0.5%)	110	4	(3.6%)		
<sup>a</sup> [DO NOT USE - OUTSIDE TIME RANGE] <sup>b</sup> Data extracted from online supplement trial flow diagram <sup>c</sup> Data extracted from online supplement trial flow diagram rather than Table									
Safety data from Raz (2006) were not extr therapy	acted as this m	ay ha	ive i	ncluded patie	nts o	n me	etformin rescue		

**Table 83: Ristic et al. (2005)** 

Table 65: KIS	stic et al. (2005)
General	Phase:  monotherapy dual therapy triple therapy insulin monotherapy misulin monotherapy misulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: conducted in 86 centres in USA and 5 centres in Russia  Authors' conclusions: Vildagliptin at 50 and 100 mg (qd) was effective in reducing Hba1c levels compared with placebo in patients with type 2 diabetes. Vildagliptin at doses up to 100 mg (qd) appeared safe and well tolerated  Source of funding: Not reported bu author works at Novartis Pharmaceuticals  Comments: Double-blind randomised trial, details of randomisation, blinding and allocation concelament not reported
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 279 Inclusion criteria: male and female patients with type 2 diabetes. During the run in phase, inclusion criteria were evaluated for mean Hba1c levels between 6.8% and 10%, FPG between 6.1 and 15 mmol/l, serum creatinine <220 µmol/l, bilirubin < 1.3 times the upper limit of normal (ULN), serum levels of liver enzymes <2 times the ULN and BMI of 20-42 kg/m2  Exclusion criteria: patients with abnormal thyroid stimulating hormone, type 1 diabetes, acute metabolic diabetic complications, history of myocardial infarction, clinically significant cardiovascular abnormalities, pancreatitis, parotitis, acromegaly, asthma or major skin allergies, liver disease or previous gastrointestinal surgery were excluded. Additional exclusion criteria were traetment with oral antidiabetic drugs or sodium channel blockers within the previous 12 weeks, combination oral oral antidiabetic therapy or insulin treatment within 6 months prior to study and treatment with systemic corticosteroids, throid hormone replacement, warfarin, dicoumarin or digoxin  Pre-randomisation phase: there was a 4 week placebo run-in period followed by a 12 week maintenance phase (when randomisation occurs)
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: not reported
Lifestyle advice	No details were reported
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 16 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: patients were treated on an outpatient basis and attended clinic visits at screening, at baseline when they were randomised to treatment groups and at the 12 week endpoint
Arms	(1) Vildagliptin 100mg qd N: 63 Treatment duration (wks): 12

Washout period (d): 28

Comments: No explicit details on proportion of people with history of AHAs

Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):100

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: For all patients treated once daily, the study drug was taken 30

mins prior to breakfast

### (2) placebo

N: 58

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 28

Comments: No explicit details on proportion of people with history of AHAs

Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: Details not reported but for those treated once daily, drug was taken was taken 30 mins prior to breakfast. For those treated twice daily, drug was taken

at breakfast and dinner

#### (3) Vildagliptin 25 mg bid

N: 51

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 28

Comments: No explicit details on proportion of people with history of AHAs

Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):50

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: For all patients treated once daily, the study drug was taken 30

mins prior to breakfast. 25 mg given bid

#### (4) Vildagliptin 25 mg qd

N: 54

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 28

Comments: No explicit details on proportion of people with history of AHAs

Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):25

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: For all patients treated once daily, the study drug was taken 30

mins prior to breakfast. Vildagliptin was given 25 mg qd.

### (5) Vildagliptin 50 mg qd

N: 53

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 28

Comments: No explicit details on proportion of people with history of AHAs

Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):50

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: For all patients treated once daily, the study drug was taken 30

mins prior to breakfast. Vildagliptin was given 50 mg qd.

#### Outcomes

#### General

NB: 50 mg vildalgiptin daily are only recommended when used with sulfonylurea or in patients with end-stage renal disease. Outcomes not reported include postprandial insulin, HOMA-B and HOMA-R

Details of drop outs not reported

All analyses performed using ITT population

#### Hypoglycaemic events

symptomatic (confirmed) (plasma glucose <3.7 mmol/l)

# Baseline characteristics

		Vi	ldag	liptin 100mg qd		ŗ	olacebo		
		N k mean				k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	63		56.2 (SD 10.1)	58		54.6 (SD 10.6)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	63	35	(55.6%)	58	33	(56.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	63		3.03 (SD 4.22)	58		2.28 (SD 2.99)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	63	47	(74.6%)	58	51	(87.9%)		

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	63	7.64 (SD 0.75)	58	7.76 (SD 0.83)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	63	9.25 (SD 1.85)	58	9.23 (SD 1.94)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	63	31.1 (SD 4.01)	58	31.6 (SD 4.41)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	63	91.5 (SD 17.5)	58	92 (SD 16)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

		Vildagliptin 100mg qd			V				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	63		56.2 (SD 10.1)	51		55.6 (SD 10.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	63	35	(55.6%)	51	24	(47.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	63		3.03 (SD 4.22)	51		3.28 (SD 3.81)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	63	47	(74.6%)	51	41	(80.4%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	63		7.64 (SD 0.75)	51		7.64 (SD 0.69)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	63		9.25 (SD 1.85)	51		9.18 (SD 2.07)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	63		31.1 (SD 4.01)	51		30.9 (SD 5.23)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	63		91.5 (SD 17.5)	51		89.4 (SD 20)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

		Vi	ldaç	gliptin 100mg qd	Vildagliptin 25 mg qd				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	63		56.2 (SD 10.1)	54		57.4 (SD 10.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	63	35	(55.6%)	54	34	(63.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	63		3.03 (SD 4.22)	54		3.1 (SD 5.16)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	63	47	(74.6%)	54	43	(79.6%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	63		7.64 (SD 0.75)	54		7.73 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	63		9.25 (SD 1.85)	54		9.43 (SD 2.29)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	63		31.1 (SD 4.01)	54		31.1 (SD 3.89)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	63		91.5 (SD 17.5)	54		91.1 (SD 14.7)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

			ı	olacebo	Vildagliptin 25 mg bid					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	58		54.6 (SD 10.6)	51		55.6 (SD 10.9)			
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	58	33	(56.9%)	51	24	(47.1%)			
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	58		2.28 (SD 2.99)	51		3.28 (SD 3.81)			

Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	58	51	(87.9%)	51	41	(80.4%)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	58		7.76 (SD 0.83)	51		7.64 (SD 0.69)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	58		9.23 (SD 1.94)	51		9.18 (SD 2.07)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	58		31.6 (SD 4.41)	51		30.9 (SD 5.23)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	58		92 (SD 16)	51		89.4 (SD 20)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

			ı	olacebo	Vi				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	58		54.6 (SD 10.6)	54		57.4 (SD 10.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	58	33	(56.9%)	54	34	(63.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	58		2.28 (SD 2.99)	54		3.1 (SD 5.16)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	58	51	(87.9%)	54	43	(79.6%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	58		7.76 (SD 0.83)	54		7.73 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	58		9.23 (SD 1.94)	54		9.43 (SD 2.29)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	58		31.6 (SD 4.41)	54		31.1 (SD 3.89)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	58		92 (SD 16)	54		91.1 (SD 14.7)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

			ı	olacebo	Vi					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	)
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	58		54.6 (SD 10.6)	53		57 (SD 10.2)			
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	58	33	(56.9%)	53	26	(49.1%)			
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	58		2.28 (SD 2.99)	53		2.71 (SD 3.24)			
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	58	51	(87.9%)	53	41	(77.4%)			
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	58		7.76 (SD 0.83)	53		7.7 (SD 0.82)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	58		9.23 (SD 1.94)	53		9.22 (SD 2.09)			
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	58		31.6 (SD 4.41)	53		31 (SD 3.9)			
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	58		92 (SD 16)	53		87.9 (SD 16.7)			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

		Vildagliptin 25 mg bid			Vi				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	51		55.6 (SD 10.9)	54		57.4 (SD 10.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	51	24	(47.1%)	54	34	(63.0%)		

Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	51		3.28 (SD 3.81)	54		3.1 (SD 5.16)
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	51	41	(80.4%)	54	43	(79.6%)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	51		7.64 (SD 0.69)	54		7.73 (SD 0.8)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	51		9.18 (SD 2.07)	54		9.43 (SD 2.29)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	51		30.9 (SD 5.23)	54		31.1 (SD 3.89)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	51		89.4 (SD 20)	54		91.1 (SD 14.7)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

		Vildagliptin 25 mg qd				Vildagliptin 50 mg qd			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	54		57.4 (SD 10.2)	53		57 (SD 10.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	54	34	(63.0%)	53	26	(49.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	54		3.1 (SD 5.16)	53		2.71 (SD 3.24)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	54	43	(79.6%)	53	41	(77.4%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	54		7.73 (SD 0.8)	53		7.7 (SD 0.82)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	54		9.43 (SD 2.29)	53		9.22 (SD 2.09)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	54		31.1 (SD 3.89)	53		31 (SD 3.9)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	54		91.1 (SD 14.7)	53		87.9 (SD 16.7)		
<sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE									

				gliptin ng qd		pla	cebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	60		-0.53 (SD 0.775)	55		-0.13 (SD 0.742)	MD=-0.400 (CI: -0.674, -0.126)	0.004b
HbA1c reduction >=1% - 12wk	Dichotomous	60	12	(20.0%)	55	6	(10.9%)		NR
HbA1c reduction >=0.5% - 12wk	Dichotomous	60	32	(53.3%)	55	15	(27.3%)		NR
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	62		-0.95 (SD 1.81)	55		-0.41 (SD 1.78)	MD=-0.540 (CI: -1.187, 0.107)	0.099b
4h-post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	33		-1.5 (SD 3.02)	25		-0.61 (SD 3.2)	MD=-0.890 (CI: -1.929, 0.149)	0.095b
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	61		-0.07 (SD 2.42)	55		-0.73 (SD 2.45)	MD=0.670 (CI: - 0.192, 1.532)	0.132b
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	63	5	(8.1%)	58	3	(5.4%)		NR
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	5	(8.1%)	56	3	(5.4%)		NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	0	(0.0%)	56	0	(0.0%)		NR

symptomatic (confirmed) – 12wk	Dichotomous	63	1	(1.6%)	58	0	(0.0%)		NR
Adverse events:									
GI: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	2	(3.2%)	56	3	(5.4%)		NR
Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	35	(56.5%)	56	33	(58.9%)		NR
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	1	(1.6%)	56	3	(5.4%)		NR
Cough – 12wk	Dichotomous	63	0	(0.0%)	58	1	(1.7%)		NR
Dizziness – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	4	(6.5%)	56	2	(3.6%)		NR
Dyspepsia – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	4	(6.5%)	56	2	(3.6%)		NR
Edema peripheral – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	3	(4.8%)	56	2	(3.6%)		NR
GI: diarrhoea – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	0	(0.0%)	56	3	(5.4%)		NR
GI: constipation – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	2	(3.2%)	56	0	(0.0%)		NR
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	8	(12.9%)	56	4	(7.1%)		NR
Nasopharyngitis – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	5	(8.1%)	56	5	(8.9%)		NR
Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 12wk	Dichotomous	63	0	(0.0%)	58	3	(5.2%)		NR
Temperature/influenza – 12wk	Dichotomous	63	0	(0.0%)	58	2	(3.4%)		NR
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs –									
12wk	Dichotomous	62	2	(3.2%)	56	3	(5.4%)		NR
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	0			0			MD=-0.040	0.004c
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wkd	Mean change							MD=-0.040	0.004c
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wkd	Mean change	0			0			MD=-0.040	0.004c
Baseline Hba1c >=7% Blood glucose: HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 12wke	Dichotomous	48	22	(45.8%)	48	11	(22.9%)		NR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE
<sup>b</sup> ANCOVA
<sup>c</sup> no other details reported
<sup>d</sup> not reported
<sup>e</sup> in patients with baseline Hba1c >=7% and endpoint measurement

		Vil	dag	liptin 100mg qd	g Vildagliptin 25 mg bid				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	60		-0.53 (SD 0.775)	51		-0.31 (SD 0.786)		
HbA1c reduction >=1% - 12wk	Dichotomous	60	12	(20.0%)	51	6	(11.8%)		
HbA1c reduction >=0.5% - 12wk	Dichotomous	60	32	(53.3%)	51	18	(35.3%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	62		-0.95 (SD 1.81)	51		-0.44 (SD 1.79)		
4h-post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	33		-1.5 (SD 3.02)	51		-1.03 (SD 2.86)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	61		-0.07 (SD 2.42)	51		0.06 (SD 2.36)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	5	(8.1%)	51	3	(5.9%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	0	(0.0%)	51	0	(0.0%)		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 12wk	Dichotomous	63	1	(1.6%)	51	1	(2.0%)		

Adverse events:							
GI: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	2	(3.2%)	51	0	(0.0%)
Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	35	(56.5%)	51	28	(54.9%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	1	(1.6%)	51	1	(2.0%)
Cough – 12wk	Dichotomous	63	0	(0.0%)	51	2	(3.9%)
Dizziness – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	4	(6.5%)	51	2	(3.9%)
Dyspepsia – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	4	(6.5%)	51	1	(2.0%)
Edema peripheral – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	3	(4.8%)	51	3	(5.9%)
GI: diarrhoea – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	0	(0.0%)	51	2	(3.9%)
GI: constipation – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	2	(3.2%)	51	1	(2.0%)
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	8	(12.9%)	51	3	(5.9%)
Nasopharyngitis – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	5	(8.1%)	51	4	(7.8%)
Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 12wk	Dichotomous	63	0	(0.0%)	51	1	(2.0%)
Temperature/influenza – 12wk	Dichotomous	63	0	(0.0%)	51	4	(7.8%)
Dropouts:							
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	2	(3.2%)	51	4	(7.8%)
Lipids:	Mean						
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wkb	change						
Baseline Hba1c >=7%							
Blood glucose:							
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 12wkc	Dichotomous	48	22	(45.8%)	51	18	(35.3%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE
<sup>b</sup> not reported
<sup>c</sup> in patients with baseline Hba1c >=7% and endpoint measurement

		Vil	Vildagliptin 100mg qd			ldag	liptin 25 mg qd		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	60		-0.53 (SD 0.775)	54		-0.27 (SD 0.735)		
HbA1c reduction >=1% - 12wk	Dichotomous	60	12	(20.0%)	54	6	(11.1%)		
HbA1c reduction >=0.5% - 12wk	Dichotomous	60	32	(53.3%)	54	17	(31.5%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	62		-0.95 (SD 1.81)	54		-0.3 (SD 1.76)		
4h-post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	33		-1.5 (SD 3.02)	54		-1.5 (SD 2.94)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	61		-0.07 (SD 2.42)	54		-0.55 (SD 2.35)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	5	(8.1%)	54	4	(7.4%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	0	(0.0%)	54	0	(0.0%)		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 12wk	Dichotomous	63	1	(1.6%)	54	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	2	(3.2%)	54	1	(1.9%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	35	(56.5%)	54	32	(59.3%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	1	(1.6%)	54	0	(0.0%)		
Cough – 12wk	Dichotomous	63	0	(0.0%)	54	4	(7.4%)		
Dizziness – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	4	(6.5%)	54	1	(1.9%)		
Dyspepsia – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	4	(6.5%)	54	0	(0.0%)		
Edema peripheral – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	3	(4.8%)	54	0	(0.0%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	0	(0.0%)	54	3	(5.6%)		
GI: constipation – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	2	(3.2%)	54	0	(0.0%)		
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	8	(12.9%)	54	3	(5.6%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	5	(8.1%)	54	3	(5.6%)		

Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 12wk	Dichotomous	63	0	(0.0%)	54	0	(0.0%)	
Temperature/influenza – 12wk	Dichotomous	63	0	(0.0%)	54	0	(0.0%)	
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	62	2	(3.2%)	54	2	(3.7%)	
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Mean change							
Baseline Hba1c >=7%  Blood glucose:  HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 12wkc	Dichotomous	48	22	(45.8%)	54	13	(24.1%)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE
<sup>b</sup> not reported
<sup>c</sup> in patients with baseline Hba1c >=7% and endpoint measurement

		placebo			Vi	_	liptin 25 g bid		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	55		-0.13 (SD 0.742)	51		-0.31 (SD 0.786)	MD=-0.180 (CI: -0.474, 0.114)	0.216
HbA1c reduction >=1% - 12wk	Dichotomous	55	6	(10.9%)	51	6	(11.8%)		NR
HbA1c reduction >=0.5% – 12wk	Dichotomous	55	15	(27.3%)	51	18	(35.3%)		NR
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	55		-0.41 (SD 1.78)	51		-0.44 (SD 1.79)	MD=-0.330 (CI: -1.016, 0.356)	0.919
4h-post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	25		-0.61 (SD 3.2)	51		-1.03 (SD 2.86)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	55		-0.73 (SD 2.45)	51		0.06 (SD 2.36)	MD=0.790 (CI: - 0.112, 1.692)	0.088
Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	58		-0.73 (SD 2.45)	51		0.06 (SD 2.36)	MD=0.790 (CI: - 0.112, 1.692)	0.088
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	58	3	(5.4%)	51	3	(5.9%)		NR
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	3	(5.4%)	51	3	(5.9%)		NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	0	(0.0%)	51	0	(0.0%)		NR
symptomatic (confirmed) – 12wk	Dichotomous	58	0	(0.0%)	51	1	(2.0%)		NR
Adverse events: Gl: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	3	(5.4%)	51	0	(0.0%)		NR
Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	33	(58.9%)	51	28	(54.9%)		NR
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	3	(5.4%)	51	1	(2.0%)		NR
Cough – 12wk	Dichotomous	58	1	(1.7%)	51	2	(3.9%)		NR
Dizziness – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	2	(3.6%)	51	2	(3.9%)		NR
Dyspepsia – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	2	(3.6%)	51	1	(2.0%)		NR
Edema peripheral – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	2	(3.6%)	51	3	(5.9%)		NR
GI: diarrhoea – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	3	(5.4%)	51	2	(3.9%)		NR
GI: constipation – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	0	(0.0%)	51	1	(2.0%)		NR
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	4	(7.1%)	51	3	(5.9%)		NR
Nasopharyngitis – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	5	(8.9%)	51	4	(7.8%)		NR

Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 12wk	Dichotomous	58	3	(5.2%)	51	1	(2.0%)		NR
Temperature/influenza – 12wk	Dichotomous	58	2	(3.4%)	51	4	(7.8%)		NR
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	3	(5.4%)	51	4	(7.8%)		NR
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	58			51				NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Mean change								NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Mean change	58			51				NS
Baseline Hba1c >=7% Blood glucose: HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 12wkc	Dichotomous	48	11	(22.9%)	51	18	(35.3%)		NR
Blood glucose: 4h-post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	51			25			MD=-0.420 (CI: -1.518, 0.678)	0.459

a SD calculated from reported SE not reported
in patients with baseline Hba1c >=7% and endpoint measurement

		placebo			Vi		liptin 25 g qd		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	55		-0.13 (SD 0.742)	54		-0.27 (SD 0.735)	MD=-0.420 (CI: - 0.714, -0.126)	0.337
HbA1c reduction >=1% – 12wk	Dichotomous	55	6	(10.9%)	54	6	(11.1%)		NR
HbA1c reduction >=0.5% – 12wk	Dichotomous	55	15	(27.3%)	54	17	(31.5%)		NR
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	55		-0.41 (SD 1.78)	54		-0.3 (SD 1.76)	MD=0.110 (CI: - 0.556, 0.776)	0.759
4h-post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	25		-0.61 (SD 3.2)	54		-1.5 (SD 2.94)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	55		-0.73 (SD 2.45)	54		-0.55 (SD 2.35)	MD=0.180 (CI: - 0.722, 1.082)	0.696
Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	58		-0.73 (SD 2.45)	54		-0.55 (SD 2.35)	MD=0.180 (CI: - 0.722, 1.082)	0.696
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	58	3	(5.4%)	54	4	(7.4%)		NR
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	3	(5.4%)	54	4	(7.4%)		NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	0	(0.0%)	54	0	(0.0%)		NR
symptomatic (confirmed) – 12wk	Dichotomous	58	0	(0.0%)	54	0	(0.0%)		NR
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	3	(5.4%)	54	1	(1.9%)		NR
Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	33	(58.9%)	54	32	(59.3%)		NR
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	3	(5.4%)	54	0	(0.0%)		NR
Cough – 12wk	Dichotomous	58	1	(1.7%)	54	4	(7.4%)		NR

Dizziness – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	2	(3.6%)	54	1	(1.9%)		NR
Dyspepsia – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	2	(3.6%)	54	0	(0.0%)		NR
Edema peripheral – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	2	(3.6%)	54	0	(0.0%)		NR
GI: diarrhoea – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	3	(5.4%)	54	3	(5.6%)		NR
GI: constipation – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	0	(0.0%)	54	0	(0.0%)		NR
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	4	(7.1%)	54	3	(5.6%)		NR
Nasopharyngitis – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	5	(8.9%)	54	3	(5.6%)		NR
Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 12wk	Dichotomous	58	3	(5.2%)	54	0	(0.0%)		NR
Temperature/influenza – 12wk	Dichotomous	58	2	(3.4%)	54	0	(0.0%)		NR
Dropouts:									
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	3	(5.4%)	54	2	(3.7%)		NR
Lipids:									
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	58			54				NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Mean change								NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Mean change	58			54				NS
Baseline Hba1c >=7%									
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 12wkc	Dichotomous	48	11	(22.9%)	54	13	(24.1%)		NR
Blood glucose:									
4h-post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	54			25			MD=-0.890 (CI: - 1.988, 0.208)	0.116

a SD calculated from reported SE not reported
in patients with baseline Hba1c >=7% and endpoint measurement

			placebo			_	liptin 50 g qd		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	55		-0.13 (SD 0.742)	52		-0.56 (SD 0.728)	MD=-0.430 (CI: - 0.704, -0.156)	0.003
HbA1c reduction >=1% – 12wk	Dichotomous	55	6	(10.9%)	52	13	(25.0%)		NR
HbA1c reduction >=0.5% – 12wk	Dichotomous	55	15	(27.3%)	52	27	(51.9%)		NR
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	55		-0.41 (SD 1.78)	53		-0.97 (SD 1.82)	MD=-0.570 (CI: - 1.236, 0.096)	0.103
4h-post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	25		-0.61 (SD 3.2)	53		-2 (SD 2.91)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	55		-0.73 (SD 2.45)	53		0.04 (SD 2.4)	MD=0.770 (CI: - 0.132, 1.672)	0.094
Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	58		-0.73 (SD 2.45)	53		0.04 (SD 2.4)	MD=0.770 (CI: - 0.132, 1.672)	0.094
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	58	3	(5.4%)	53	2	(3.8%)		NR
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	3	(5.4%)	53	2	(3.8%)		NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	0	(0.0%)	53	0	(0.0%)		NR

symptomatic (confirmed) – 12wk	Dichotomous	58	0	(0.0%)	53	0	(0.0%)		NR
Adverse events:									
GI: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	3	(5.4%)	53	2	(3.8%)		NR
Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	33	(58.9%)	53	31	(58.5%)		NR
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	3	(5.4%)	53	0	(0.0%)		NR
Cough – 12wk	Dichotomous	58	1	(1.7%)	53	0	(0.0%)		NR
Dizziness – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	2	(3.6%)	53	1	(1.9%)		NR
Dyspepsia – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	2	(3.6%)	53	1	(1.9%)		NR
Edema peripheral – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	2	(3.6%)	53	2	(3.8%)		NR
GI: diarrhoea – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	3	(5.4%)	53	0	(0.0%)		NR
GI: constipation – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	0	(0.0%)	53	3	(5.7%)		NR
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	4	(7.1%)	53	1	(1.9%)		NR
Nasopharyngitis – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	5	(8.9%)	53	3	(5.7%)		NR
Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 12wk	Dichotomous	58	3	(5.2%)	53	0	(0.0%)		NR
Temperature/influenza – 12wk	Dichotomous	58	2	(3.4%)	53	0	(0.0%)		NR
Dropouts:									
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	56	3	(5.4%)	53	3	(5.7%)		NR
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	58			53				NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Mean change								NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Mean change	58			53				NS
Baseline Hba1c >=7%									
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 12wkc	Dichotomous	48	11	(22.9%)	53	16	(30.2%)		NR
Blood glucose:									
4h-post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	53			25			MD=-1.390 (CI: - 2.448, -0.332)	0.012

a SD calculated from reported SE not reported
in patients with baseline Hba1c >=7% and endpoint measurement

		Vi	ldag	liptin 25 mg bid	Vi	Vildagliptin 25 mg qd			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	51		-0.31 (SD 0.786)	54		-0.27 (SD 0.735)		
HbA1c reduction >=1% - 12wk	Dichotomous	51	6	(11.8%)	54	6	(11.1%)		
HbA1c reduction >=0.5% - 12wk	Dichotomous	51	18	(35.3%)	54	17	(31.5%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	51		-0.44 (SD 1.79)	54		-0.3 (SD 1.76)		
4h-post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	51		-1.03 (SD 2.86)	54		-1.5 (SD 2.94)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	51		0.06 (SD 2.36)	54		-0.55 (SD 2.35)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	51	3	(5.9%)	54	4	(7.4%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wk	Dichotomous	51	0	(0.0%)	54	0	(0.0%)		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 12wk	Dichotomous	51	1	(2.0%)	54	0	(0.0%)		

Adverse events:							
GI: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	51	0	(0.0%)	54	1	(1.9%)
Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	51	28	(54.9%)	54	32	(59.3%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	51	1	(2.0%)	54	0	(0.0%)
Cough – 12wk	Dichotomous	51	2	(3.9%)	54	4	(7.4%)
Dizziness – 12wk	Dichotomous	51	2	(3.9%)	54	1	(1.9%)
Dyspepsia – 12wk	Dichotomous	51	1	(2.0%)	54	0	(0.0%)
Edema peripheral – 12wk	Dichotomous	51	3	(5.9%)	54	0	(0.0%)
GI: diarrhoea – 12wk	Dichotomous	51	2	(3.9%)	54	3	(5.6%)
GI: constipation – 12wk	Dichotomous	51	1	(2.0%)	54	0	(0.0%)
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	51	3	(5.9%)	54	3	(5.6%)
Nasopharyngitis – 12wk	Dichotomous	51	4	(7.8%)	54	3	(5.6%)
Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 12wk	Dichotomous	51	1	(2.0%)	54	0	(0.0%)
Temperature/influenza – 12wk	Dichotomous	51	4	(7.8%)	54	0	(0.0%)
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	51	4	(7.8%)	54	2	(3.7%)
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Mean change	0.		(1.070)	0.	_	(6.176)
Blood glucose:	Dishatama	E1	10	(25.20/)	E A	12	(24.40()
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 12wkc	Dichotomous	51	18	(35.3%)	54	13	(24.1%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE
<sup>b</sup> not reported
<sup>c</sup> in patients with baseline Hba1c >=7% and endpoint measurement

		Vi	Vildagliptin 25 mg qd			ldag	liptin 50 mg qd		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	54		-0.27 (SD 0.735)	52		-0.56 (SD 0.728)		
HbA1c reduction >=1% - 12wk	Dichotomous	54	6	(11.1%)	52	13	(25.0%)		
HbA1c reduction >=0.5% - 12wk	Dichotomous	54	17	(31.5%)	52	27	(51.9%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	54		-0.3 (SD 1.76)	53		-0.97 (SD 1.82)		
4h-post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	54		-1.5 (SD 2.94)	53		-2 (SD 2.91)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	54		-0.55 (SD 2.35)	53		0.04 (SD 2.4)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	54	4	(7.4%)	53	2	(3.8%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wk	Dichotomous	54	0	(0.0%)	53	0	(0.0%)		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 12wk	Dichotomous	54	0	(0.0%)	53	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	54	1	(1.9%)	53	2	(3.8%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	54	32	(59.3%)	53	31	(58.5%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	54	0	(0.0%)	53	0	(0.0%)		
Cough – 12wk	Dichotomous	54	4	(7.4%)	53	0	(0.0%)		
Dizziness – 12wk	Dichotomous	54	1	(1.9%)	53	1	(1.9%)		
Dyspepsia – 12wk	Dichotomous	54	0	(0.0%)	53	1	(1.9%)		
Edema peripheral – 12wk	Dichotomous	54	0	(0.0%)	53	2	(3.8%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 12wk	Dichotomous	54	3	(5.6%)	53	0	(0.0%)		
GI: constipation – 12wk	Dichotomous	54	0	(0.0%)	53	3	(5.7%)		
Headache – 12wk	Dichotomous	54	3	(5.6%)	53	1	(1.9%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 12wk	Dichotomous	54	3	(5.6%)	53	3	(5.7%)		

Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 12wk	Dichotomous	54	0	(0.0%)	53	0	(0.0%)	
Temperature/influenza – 12wk	Dichotomous	54	0	(0.0%)	53	0	(0.0%)	
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	54	2	(3.7%)	53	3	(5.7%)	
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Mean change							
Baseline Hba1c >=7%  Blood glucose:  HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 12wkc	Dichotomous	54	13	(24.1%)	53	16	(30.2%)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 12wkc Dichotomous   54   13   (24.1%)   53   16   (30.2%)  a SD calculated from reported SE b not reported c in patients with baseline Hba1c >=7% and endpoint measurement								
ANCOVA model inclusing terms for treatment, baseline Hba1c, pooled centre and treatment by baseline Hba1c interaction was used to compare the change from baseline of each parameter between vildagliptin and placebo treatment groups								

# Table 84: Roden et al. (2013)

Table 84: Ro	oden et al. (2013)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Belgium, Canada, China, Germany, India, Ireland, Japan, Switzerland, USA  Authors' conclusions: -  Source of funding: Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly  Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 899 Inclusion criteria: Adults with T2DM, BMI <=45kg/m2 not on AHA at least 12 weeks prior to enrollment, HbA1c between 7 and 10%, despite diet and exercise regimen Exclusion criteria: - Pre-randomisation phase: 2 week open label placebo run in
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: 2 weeks open label placebo run in. Unclear what proportion of participants were truly AHA naïve but no AHA was allowed at least 12 weeks prior to enrollment
Lifestyle advice	Diet and exercise local recommendations
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 26 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: 2 week open label placebo run in phase followed by 24 week treatment period
Arms	(1) Placebo N: 228 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 14 Comments: Patients were not on AHA at least 12 weeks prior to enrollment Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) (2) Sitagliptin 100mg N: 223 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 14 Comments: Patients were not on AHA at least 12 weeks prior to enrollment Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):100

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: 100mg once a day

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

33/228 on placebo and 8/223 on sitagliptin received rescue medication.

Efficacy data after the start of rescue medication was excluded and missing data were imputed using LOCF. However, safety data included all patients receiving at least one dose of the study drug. Data from empagliflozin groups were not extracted as this drug is outside the scope of the review.

Data on dropouts (total and due to adverse events), nausea and hypoglycaemia were not extracted as these populations included those on rescue medication.

# Baseline characteristics

	Placebo							
		N	k	mean				
Demographics:								
Age (years)	Continuous	228		54.9 (SD 10.9)				
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	228	123	(53.9%)				
Blood glucose:								
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	228		7.91 (SD 0.78)				
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	228		7.91 (SD 0.78)				
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	228		8.59 (SD 1.99)				
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	228		8.59 (SD 1.99)				
Body weight:								
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	228		28.7 (SD 6.2)				
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	228		81.00288 (SD 17.49888) a				
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	228		81.00288 (SD 17.49888) a				
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	228		78.2 (SD 19.9)				
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	228		78.2 (SD 19.9)				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

			Sitagliptin 100mg			
		N	k	mean		
Demographics:						
Age (years)	Continuous	223		55.1 (SD 9.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	223	141	(63.2%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	223		7.85 (SD 0.79)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	223		7.85 (SD 0.79)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	223		8.16 (SD 1.6)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	223		8.16 (SD 1.6)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	223		28.2 (SD 5.2)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	223		79.59168 (SD 14.67648) a		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	223		79.59168 (SD 14.67648) a		
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	223		79.3 (SD 20.4)		
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	223		79.3 (SD 20.4)		
a estimated from BMI assuming mean height of	1.68m					

			Placebo						
				mean					
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	186		7.8857 (SD 0.662646892110492) a					
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	186		7.8857 (SD 0.662646892110492) a					

HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	228	0.08 (SD 0.847445967814222) b
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	228	0.08 (SD 0.847445967814222) b
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	228	7.98 (SD 1.07856759539992) b
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	228	7.98 (SD 1.07856759539992) b
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	228	0.65 (SD 1.61785139309988) b
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	228	0.65 (SD 1.61785139309988) b
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	228	-0.33 (SD 2.61937844597123) b
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	228	-0.33 (SD 2.61937844597123) b
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	228	77.9 (SD 19.6453383447843) b
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	228	77.9 (SD 19.6453383447843) b

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Estimated from graph, SD calculated from 95% CI <sup>b</sup> SD calculated from reported 95% CI

		Sitagliptin 100mg		
		N	k	mean
Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	213		7.219 (SD 0.567335140313015) a
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	213		7.219 (SD 0.567335140313015) a
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	223		-0.66 (SD 0.76191116984083) b
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	223		-0.66 (SD 0.76191116984083) b
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	223		7.2 (SD 0.914293403808997) b
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	223		7.2 (SD 0.914293403808997) b
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	223		-0.38 (SD 1.67620457364983) b
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	223		-0.38 (SD 1.67620457364983) b
Body weight:				
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	223		0.18 (SD 2.59049797745882) b
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	223		0.18 (SD 2.59049797745882) b
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	223		79.48 (SD 20.5716015857024) b
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	223		79.48 (SD 20.5716015857024) b

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Estimated from graph, SD calculated from 95% CI <sup>b</sup> SD calculated from reported 95% CI

# Table 85: Rosenstock et al. (2007)

General	Phase:
	☐ dual therapy
	☐ triple therapy
	□ insulin monotherapy □ insulin+oral
	Parallel / crossover: Parallel
	Country: USA, Europe and Asia
	Authors' conclusions: First-line treatment with vildagliptin/pioglitazone combination in patients with type 2 diabetes provides better glycaemic control than either monotherapy component yet has minimal risk of hypoglycaemia and a tolerability profile comparable with component monotherapy
	Source of funding: Funded by Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation
	<b>Comments:</b> 24 week, double-blind, randomised, active controlled, parallel group study. Treatment blinding was maintained with a double-dummy technique
Number and	Total number of patients: 607
characteristics of patients	<b>Inclusion criteria:</b> enrolled patients diagnosed with type 2 diabetes with a Hba1c between 7.5 and 11% at screening while receiving no pharmacological treatment for at least 12 weeks prior to screening and no OAD

for more than three consecutive months at any time in the past. Male and female patients aged 18-80 years, body mass index (BMI) range of 22–45 kg/m2 and with FPG <15 mmol/l were eligible to participate **Exclusion criteria:** Patients were excluded if they had a history of type 1 or secondary forms of diabetes, acute metabolic diabetic complications, myocardial infarction, unstable angina or coronary artery bypass surgery within the previous 6 months, congestive heart failure, liver disease such as cirrhosis or chronic active hepatitis, or any contraindications and warnings according to the country-specific label for pioglitazone. Patients with any of the following laboratory abnormalities were also excluded: alanine aminotransferase or aspartate aminotransferase >2.5 times the upper limit of normal (ULN); direct bilirubin >1.3 times the ULN; serum creatinine levels >220 mmol/l, clinically significant abnormal TSH or fasting

Previous glucoselowering therapy Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin

**Details of washout period:** patients had received no pharmacological treatment for at least 12 weeks prior to screening

Lifestyle advice

no details reported

Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24

Frequency of monitoring appointments: no details reported

Arms

#### (1) Vildagliptin (100 mg qd)

triglycerides (TGs) >7.9 mmol/l.

N: 154

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):100

Frequency of dosing: once a day

#### (2) Pioglitazone (30 mg qd)

N: 161

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):30

Frequency of dosing: once a day

**(3) -** N: 0

Treatment duration (wks): -Washout period (d): -Treatment(s):

Outcomes

#### General

The ITT population (n=592) comprised all patients who received at least one dose of study medication and for whom a baseline and at least one post baseline efficacy assessment was available.

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include beta cell function. Data from 2 trial arms not extracted as they compare dosages for combination therapy (outside scope of review).

28 (17.4%) patients in the pioglitazone group, 19 (12.8%) in the vilda/pio (100/30 mg) group, 29 (20.1%) in the vilda/pio (50/15 mg) and 18 (11.7%) discontinued the study

# Baseline characteristics

			dagl	liptin (100 mg qd)	Piog				
		N	N k mean		N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	154		51.4 (SD 10.8)	161		52.4 (SD 10.3)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	154	98	(63.6%)	161	103	(64.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	154		1.9 (SD 3.1)	161		2.2 (SD 3.3)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	154	60	(39.0%)	161	71	(44.1%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	154	70	(45.5%)	161	69	(42.9%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	154	17	(11.0%)	161	14	(8.7%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	154	7	(4.5%)	161	7	(4.3%)		

Blood glucose:  HbA1c (%) – 0wk  Fasting plasma glucose  Continuous	154	8.6 (SD 1)	161	8.7 (SD 1)
Fasting plasma glucose	154			
(mmol/l) – 0wk Continuous		10.6 (SD 2.7)	161	10.5 (SD 3.1)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) Continuous	154	29.4 (SD 5.8)	161	28.9 (SD 5.5)
Weight (kg) – 0wka Continuous	154	82.97856 (SD 16.4)	161	81.56736 (SD 15.5)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – Mean change	154	5.4 (SD 0.1)	161	5.3 (SD 0.1)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – Mean change	154	1.09 (SD 0.03)	161	1.13 (SD 0.03)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk Mean change	154	2.5 (SD 0.1)	161	2.3 (SD 0.1)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk change	154	3.2 (SD 0.1)	161	3.2 (SD 0.1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Vile	_	liptin (100 g qd)	Pioglitazone (30 mg qd)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: peak glucose excursion (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change			-1.9a			-1.2b		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	154	18	(11.7%)	161	28	(17.4%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	154	4	(2.6%)	161	9	(5.6%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	154	1	(0.6%)	161	4	(2.5%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	146		-1.7 (SD 15.7)	155		2.5 (SD 14.9)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	147		7.7 (SD 21.8)	151		17.5 (SD 24.6)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	146		-5.8 (SD 37.5)	155		-13.4 (SD 34.9)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	138		-0.4 (SD 24.7)	146		9.1 (SD 41.1)		
ITT									
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkc	Continuous	150		7.45 (SD 0.98)	157		7.25 (SD 0.251)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Continuous	150		7.45 (SD 1.1)	157		7.15 (SD 0.376)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkd	Mean change	150		-1.1 (SD 1.22)	157		-1.4 (SD 1.25)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wke	Dichotomous	150	64	(42.7%)	157	67	(42.7%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wkc	Continuous	150		9.5 (SD 2.33)	157		8.4 (SD 1.63)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Continuous	150		9.2 (SD 1.84)	157		8.4 (SD 1.63)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkd	Mean change	150		-1.3 (SD 2.45)	157		-1.9 (SD 2.51)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wkd	Mean change	154		0.2 (SD 3.72)	161		1.5 (SD 3.81)		
Safety population									
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients)  – 24wk	Dichotomous	153	1f	(0.7%)	161	1	(0.6%)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	153	79	(51.6%)	161	83	(51.6%)		

Asthenia – 24wk	Dichotomous	153	3	(2.0%)	161	2	(1.2%)
Dizziness – 24wk	Dichotomous	153	9	(5.9%)	161	8	(5.0%)
Edema peripheral – 24wk	Dichotomous	153	8	(5.2%)	161	15	(9.3%)
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	153	5	(3.3%)	161	5	(3.1%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wk	Dichotomous	153	6	(3.9%)	161	7	(4.3%)
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	153	4	(2.6%)	161	6	(3.7%)
Hba1c>9.0%							
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	48		-1.5 (SD 1.39)	55		-1.8 (SD 1.48)

		Vildag	•	in (100 mg d)					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	154			148				<0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	154			148				<0.001
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	154			148				>0.05a
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	154			148				>0.05a
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	146			144				>0.05a
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	147			140				>0.05a
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	146			144				>0.05a
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	138			129				>0.05a
Hba1c>9.0% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	48			56				>0.05a

assumed NS as no	t reported in text
------------------	--------------------

		Vildag	Vildagliptin (100 mg qd)						
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	154			144				>0.05a
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	154			144				>0.05a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	154			144				>0.05a
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	154			144				>0.05a
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	146			136				>0.05a
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	147			133				>0.05a
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	146			136				>0.05a
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	138			122				>0.05a

BDATC (76) – 24WK

SE 0.4

SE 0.3

Concern extra extra

Hba1c>9.0%						
Blood glucose:	Mean					
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	change	48		51		>0.05a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> assumed NS as not reported in text

		•	_	azone g qd)					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	161			148				<0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	161			148				<0.001
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	161			148				<0.001
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	161			148			MD=0.700 (CI: - 0.280, 1.680)	>0.05
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	155			144				0.001
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	151			140				0.058
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	155			144				0.252
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	146			129				0.033
Hba1c>9.0% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	55			56				<0.001

		Piogl		one (30 mg d)					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	161			144				0.039
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	161			144				>0.05a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	161			144				0.022
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	161			144				>0.05a
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	155			136				0.448
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	151			133				0.009
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	155			136				0.073
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	146			122				0.164
Hba1c>9.0% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	55			51				>0.05a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> assumed NS as not reported in text

Changes from baseline in primary and secondary endpoints were analysed using an analysis of variance (ANCOVA) model, with treatment and pooled centre as the classification variables and baseline value as the covariate. Chi-square tests were performed to compare the percentage of patients achieving ADA target HbA1c level at endpoint. Meal test was conducted in a subset of patients (approx 19%) but total number from

each group not reported. The primary comparisons were made to test for superiority of the vildagliptin/pioglitazone combination (100/30 mg q.d.) to pioglitazone monotherapy. Secondary comparisons were made to test for superiority of the vildagliptin/pioglitazone combination (100/30 mg q.d.) to vildagliptin monotherapy and for superiority of the lowdose combination (50/15 mg q.d.) to pioglitazone (30 mg q.d.) monotherapy. It is assumed that other comparisons were not completed. Repeated measures ANCOVAs were performed to determine the significance of the between-group differences in HbA1c

## **Table 86: Rosenthal & (2002)**

	senthal & (2002)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy □ dual therapy □ triple therapy □ insulin monotherapy □ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Germany  Authors' conclusions: Acarbose may be useful in lowering BP in ceratin patients with type 2 diabetes, particularly those with isolated systolic hypertension  Source of funding: Bayer  Comments: Unclear blinding
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 76 Inclusion criteria: Patients aged 40-75 years with type 2 diabetes (included patients were obese with mild hypertension) Exclusion criteria: patients with myocardial infarction within the last 3 months, type 1 diabetes, severe liver disease, those with hyper or hypothyroidism Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 4 week washout phase where oral antidiabetics and antihypertensive agents were discontinued
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Unclear what treatments patients were taking at baseline but OADs were washed off during a 4 week period
Lifestyle advice	Lifestyle modification was allowed (no further details reported)
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 30 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 26 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Assessments undertaken at baseline, 3 and 6 months treatment
Arms	(1) Glibenclamide  N: 37  Treatment duration (wks): 26  Washout period (d): 28  Comments: Unclear what treatments patients were taking at baseline but OADs were washed off during a 4 week period  Treatment(s):  Sulfonylurea (Oral)  Mean dose (mg/d): 5.1  Minimum dose (mg/d): 1.75  Maximum dose (mg/d): 10.5  Details of dosing regimen: Glibenclamide was titrated from a starting dose of 1.75 mg/day od to a maximum of 10.5 mg/day  (2) Acarbose  N: 39  Treatment duration (wks): 26  Washout period (d): 28  Comments: Unclear what treatments patients were taking at baseline but OADs were washed off during a 4 week period  Treatment(s):  Acarbose (Oral) – fixed-dose  Minimum dose (mg/d): 150  Maximum dose (mg/d): 300  Frequency of dosing: three times a day  Details of dosing regimen: Acarbose 50 mg TID, uptitrated to 100 mg TID

#### **Outcomes** General Unclear if analysis conducted in ITT, analysis or completer set (no details reported). **Baseline** Glibenclamide Acarbose characteristics k mean Ν k mean Ν Δр Demographics: Continuous 37 57.7 (SD 10.5) 39 57.4 (SD 8.6) Age (years) Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) -8.6025 (SD 7.548 (SD Continuous 37 39 2.44) 0wk 2.61) Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) Continuous 37 28.8 (SD 4.3) 39 29.1 (SD 4.3) Continuous 37 82.8 (SD 13.8) 39 84.7 (SD 15.1) Weight (kg) - 0wk Continuous 37 169.5 (SD 10.6) 170.4 (SD 10) Height (cm) 39 Blood pressure: 134.9 (SD Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) Continuous 31 138.5 (SD 14.1) 32 11.6) Continuous 37 85.9 (SD 6.2) Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) 39 84.2 (SD 6.2) Lipids: 5.965902 (SD 5.717646 (SD Total cholesterol (mmol/l) - 0wk Continuous 31 0.959)32 1.2) 1.20249 (SD 1.205076 (SD HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) - 0wk Continuous 31 0.322)32 0.438)1.972363 (SD 2.171067 (SD Triglycerides (mmol/l) - 0wk Continuous 31 1.41) 32 1.21) Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - 0wk Continuous 31 7.2 (SD 1.7) 32 7 (SD 1.4) **Results** Glibenclamide Acarbose Ν k mean Ν k mean Δр Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk Dichotomous 37 0 (0.0%) 39 0 (0.0%) Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) - 24wka Dichotomous 37 2 (5.4%) 39 8 (20.5%) Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: 7.2 (SD 1.7) 7 (SD 1.4) HbA1c (%) - 24wk Continuous 31 32 Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) -7.7145 (SD 6.8265 (SD Continuous 31 1.94)32 24wk 1.83) Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) -Mean 31 -0.9 (SD 2.5) 32 -0.7 (SD 2.4) 24wk change Body weight: 82.2 (SD Continuous 31 83 (SD 12.6) 32 Weight (kg) - 24wk 13.5) Lipids: Mean Total cholesterol (mmol/l) - 24wkb change 31 -0.001293 32 -0.00059478 Mean HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) - 24wkb 0.00152574 32 -0.02205858 change 31 <sup>a</sup> No of patients <sup>b</sup> SD not reported

## **Table 87: Saleem et al. (2011)**

Table 87: Sa	leem et al. (2	2011)												
General	Phase:  monotherapy dual therapy iniple therapy insulin monoth insulin+oral Parallel / crosso Country: Pakista Authors' conclu Source of fundin Comments:	over: Parallel an usions: -												
Number and characteristics of patients	Inclusion criteri and on no other t	otal number of patients: 100 clusion criteria: Adults (30-70 years) newly diagnosed with T2DM, uncontrolled after diet and exercise and on no other treatment occurrence criteria: -												
Previous glucose- lowering therapy		ny participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening etails of washout period: None reported. All patients not on any treatment												
Lifestyle advice	Not reported													
Follow-up	Length of titration Length of maint Frequency of m	Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): - Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Follow up visits every 2 weeks. Assessments at baseline, 6 and 12 months for HbA1c												
Arms	Washout period (Comments: Repart 4.27mg/day) Treatment(s):  (2) Glibenclamic N: 50 Treatment duration Washout period (Mashout	N: 50  Treatment duration (wks): 52  Washout period (d): 0  Comments: Repaglinide up to three timees a day before meals adjusted to blood glucose level (mean dose = 4.27mg/day)  Treatment(s): repaglinide (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Mean dose (mg/d): 4.27  Frequency of dosing: variable  Details of dosing regimen: given preprandially up to 3 times per day, dosage was adjusted according to blood glucose level.  (2) Glibenclamide  N: 50  Treatment duration (wks): 52  Washout period (d): -  Comments: Glibenclamide given once or twice daily adjusted for blood glucose level (Mean dose = 8.8mg/day)												
Outcomes														
Baseline characteristics					R	epaglinide		Gli	ibenclamide					
3				N I	<	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р			
	Demographics: Age (years)		Continuous	50		46.6 (SD 10.5)	50		45.8 (SD 8.8)					
	Sex (n male)		Dichotomous	50 ′	16	(32.0%)	50	10	(20.0%)					

				N	k mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Results					Repaglinide		GI	ibenclamide		
	<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mea	an height of 1.6	8m							
	Height (cm)	Continuous	50	1	54 (SD 50)	50		160 (SD 50)		
	Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous	50	6	5.8 (SD 9.4)	50		72.2 (SD 16.5)		
	Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous	50	6	5.8 (SD 9.4)	50		72.7 (SD 17.4)		
	Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous	50		6 (SD 9.4)	50		72.2 (SD 16.5)		
	Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous	50	6	6 (SD 9.4)	50		72.7 (SD 17.4)		
	Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	50		6.48704 (SD 88)	50		85.80096 (SD 15.8)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	50	2	7.1 (SD 3.5)	50		30.4 (SD 5.6)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk	Continuous	50	1:	24 (SD 26)	50		116 (SD 18)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk	Continuous	50	1:	24 (SD 26)	50		140 (SD 56)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk	Continuous	50	1	71 (SD 53)	50		116 (SD 18)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk	Continuous	50	1	71 (SD 53)	50		140 (SD 56)		
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	50	9	9 (SD 1.6)	50		10.2 (SD 1.6)		

Results				Re	paglinide	Glibenc		benclamide		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	50		9.3 (SD 1.6)	50		9.8 (SD 1.6)		
	HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	50		8.8 (SD 1.7)	50		9.4 (SD 1.5)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk	Continuous	50		171 (SD 53)	50		140 (SD 56)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk	Continuous	50		171 (SD 53)	50		116 (SD 18)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk	Continuous	50		124 (SD 26)	50		140 (SD 56)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk	Continuous	50		124 (SD 26)	50		116 (SD 18)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk	Continuous	50		106 (SD 11)	50		105 (SD 12.7)		
	Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous	50		66 (SD 9.4)	50		72.7 (SD 17.4)		
	Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous	50		66 (SD 9.4)	50		72.2 (SD 16.5)		
	Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous	50		65.8 (SD 9.4)	50		72.7 (SD 17.4)		
	Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous	50		65.8 (SD 9.4)	50		72.2 (SD 16.5)		
	Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	50		66 (SD 8.8)	50		71.7 (SD 15.2)		

# Table 88: Salman S,Salman F,Satman I,Yilmaz Y,Ozer E,Sengul (2001)

General	Phase:
	☑ monotherapy
	□ dual therapy
	□ triple therapy
	□ insulin monotherapy
	□ insulin+oral
	Parallel / crossover: Parallel
	Country: Turkey
	<b>Authors' conclusions:</b> The results of the study demonstrate that acarbose and gliclazide were reasonably effective in improving metabolic control in patients insufficiently controlled with diet alone, and both

	treatments were Source of fundi Comments: Ope	ng: Bayer										
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 72 Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes for at least 3 months, aged 35-70 years, previously treated with diet alone, BMI <=35 kg/m2, stable body weight, Hba1c 8-10%  Exclusion criteria: hypersensitivity to acarbose or gliclazide, significant disease or condition, ketonuria or other indication of severe and poorly controlled diabetes, impaired liver or kidney function  Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 4 week, placebo controlled run-in period											
Previous glucose- lowering therapy		s previously taking gloout period: 4 week pla	_	the	rapy	/? All treatmen	t nai	ve/ r	no OADs at scr	eeni	ing	
Lifestyle advice	No details provid	led										
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 28 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: 4 week placebo run in. Patients attended the clinic at weeks 0, 4, 8, 12, 18 and 24											
Arms	(1) Gliclazide N: 30 Treatment durati Washout period Comments: AHA Treatment(s):  (2) Acarbose N: 27 Treatment durati Washout period Comments: AHA Treatment(s):	(d): 28 naïve Sulfonylurea (Oral) – 1 Minimum dose (mg/d) Maximum dose (mg/d) Details of dosing regir After this dose was income (wks): 24 (d): 28	: 80 ): 160 nen: Gliclazide voreased up to 80 ed-dose : 50 ): 300 three times a danen: Acarbose, v	y y y weel	start bid	ed with doses of depending on the depending on the depending on the dependence of th	neta	bolid	c control			
Outcomes												
Baseline					G	liclazide		A	carbose			
characteristics				N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	
	Demographics: Age (years)		Continuous	30		56.1 (SD 8.7)	27		52.6 (SD 9.1)			
	Sex (n male)		Dichotomous	30	16	(53.3%)	27	17	(63.0%)			
	Duration of di	abetes (yrs)	Continuous	30		4.7 (SD 5.6)	27		4.2 (SD 3.4)			
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) –	0wk	Continuous	30		8.7 (SD 0.6)	27		8.9 (SD 0.7)			
	0wk	na glucose (mmol/l) –	Continuous	30		9.66 (SD 2)	27		9.88 (SD 2.39)			
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) -		Continuous	30		29.2 (SD 2.8)	27		30.2 (SD 3.8)			
	0wk	l pressure (mmHg) –	Continuous	30		141 (SD 23)	27		144 (SD 24)			
	Diastolic bloo 0wk	d pressure (mmHg) –	Continuous	30		84 (SD 12)	27		86 (SD 12)			

Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	30	5.9 (SD 1.06)	27	5.74 (SD 1.24)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	30	1.09 (SD 0.31)	27	1.11 (SD 0.23)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	30	2.33 (SD 1.09)	27	2.31 (SD 1.7)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	30	3.81 (SD 0.86)	27	3.68 (SD 1.22)

			G	Bliclazide		Α	carbose	
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	30		6.5 (SD 0.9)	27		7.1 (SD 1.6)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	30		7.04 (SD 1.33)	27		7.88 (SD 1.89)	
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 24wk	Continuous	30		29.4 (SD 2.8)	27		29.7 (SD 4)	
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	30	3	(10.0%)	27	0	(0.0%)	
Adverse events: Gl: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	30	0	(0.0%)	27	1	(3.7%)	
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	30	6	(20.0%)	27	9	(33.3%)	
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wk	Continuous	30		138 (SD 18)	27		144 (SD 17)	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wk	Continuous	30		82 (SD 9)	27		85 (SD 9)	
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	30		5.59 (SD 1.03)	27		5.33 (SD 1.03)	
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	30		-0.31 (SD 1.13)	27		-0.4 (SD 0.8)	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	30		0.04 (SD 0.28)	27		0.06 (SD 0.3)	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	30		1.14 (SD 0.28)	27		1.16 (SD 0.26)	
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	30		-0.12 (SD 0.83)	27		-0.43 (SD 1.22)	
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	30		2.21 (SD 0.92)	27		1.88 (SD 0.88)	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	30		3.4 (SD 0.86)	27		3.37 (SD 0.96)	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	30		-0.42 (SD 0.94)	27		-0.33 (SD 0.78)	

Table 89: Santeusanio F, Ventura MM, Contadini S, Compagnucci P, Moriconi V, Zaccarini (1993)

•	(1000)
General	Phase:
	<ul><li>✓ monotherapy</li><li>☐ dual therapy</li><li>☐ triple therapy</li></ul>

	☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Unclear but assumed Italy  Authors' conclusions: The results of the with diet alone, even at doses of 50 mg gastrointestinal effects  Source of funding: Unclear  Comments: Double-blind									ed
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 84  Inclusion criteria: patients with NIDDM for at least 6 months, who no longer had satisfactory metabolic control (Hba1c between 6 and 11%, BMI <35 kg/m2, FBG>140 mg/dl, stable body weight in the previous 3 months, treatment with diet alone for at least 2 months and no previous treatment with insulin or oral agents Exclusion criteria: existing gastrointestinal disease, severe or poorly controlled diabetes  Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 4 week placebo controlled run-in period									
Previous glucose- lowering therapy		Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: AHA naïve, 4 week placebo run-in period								
Lifestyle advice	an isocaloric diet was received during th	e run-in period	to m	aint	ain a stable bo	ody v	weig	ht		
Follow-up	,	Total follow-up (wks): 20								
Arms										
Outcomes										
Baseline characteristics			A	carl	oose (50mg TID)			Placebo		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Demographics: Age (years) a	Continuous	18		58.9 (SD 9.76)	23		55.5 (SD 2.4)		
	Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	18	10	(55.6%)	23	16	(69.6%)		
	Duration of diabetes (yrs) a	Continuous	18		3.85 (SD 2.97)	23		3.87 (SD 4.32)		

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	18	7.07 (SD 0.849)	23	7.22 (SD 0.911)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	18	9.6015 (SD 1.98)	23	10.0455 (SD 0.494)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 16wka	Continuous	18	27.8 (SD 2.97)	23	29.4 (SD 3.36)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

		Acarbose (50mg TID)				Acarbose (100 mg tid)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Demographics: Age (years) a	Continuous	18		58.9 (SD 9.76)	23		53.8 (SD 11)			
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	18	10	(55.6%)	23	15	(65.2%)			
Duration of diabetes (yrs) a	Continuous	18		3.85 (SD 2.97)	23		5.05 (SD 4.8)			
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	18		7.07 (SD 0.849)	23		7.15 (SD 0.844)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	18		9.6015 (SD 1.98)	23		10.3785 (SD 2.26)			
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 16wk	Continuous	18		27.8 (SD 2.97) a	23		28.6 (SD 3.8) b			

BMI (kg/m2) – 16wk <sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE <sup>b</sup> Assumed SD reported

			Placebo			Acarbose (100 mg tid)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop  Demographics:  Age (years) a	Continuous	23		55.5 (SD 2.4)	23		53.8 (SD 11)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	23	16	(69.6%)	23	15	(65.2%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs) a	Continuous	23		3.87 (SD 4.32)	23		5.05 (SD 4.8)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	23		7.22 (SD 0.911)	23		7.15 (SD 0.844)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	23		10.0455 (SD 0.494)	23		10.3785 (SD 2.26)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 16wk	Continuous	23		29.4 (SD 3.36) a	23		28.6 (SD 3.8) b		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE <sup>b</sup> Assumed SD reported

		Acarbose (50mg TID)					Placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	N k mean		Δ	р
Adverse events:  Any adverse event(s) – 16wk	Dichotomous	18	9	(50.0%)	23	9	(39.1%)		
Flatulence – 16wk	Dichotomous	18	8	(44.4%)	23	7	(30.4%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 16wk	Dichotomous	18	0	(0.0%)	23	0	(0.0%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 16wk	Dichotomous	18	4	(22.2%)	23	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	28	2	(7.1%)	29	1	(3.4%)		

Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	18	-0.03 (SD 0.81)	22	0.06 (SD 0.91)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	17	-0.05 (SD 0.31)	21	0.04 (SD 0.29)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	17	0.09 (SD 1)	20	-0.04 (SD 1.4)
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop					
Blood glucose:			6.47 (SD		
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	18	0.933)	23	7.52 (SD 1.1)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	18	8.3805 (SD 2.52)	23	10.4007 (SD 2.26)

		A	car	rbose (50mg TID)	Acarbose (100 mg tid)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Adverse events:									
Any adverse event(s) – 16wk	Dichotomous	18	9	(50.0%)	23	17	(73.9%)		
Flatulence – 16wk	Dichotomous	18	8	(44.4%)	23	14	(60.9%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 16wk	Dichotomous	18	0	(0.0%)	23	1	(4.3%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 16wk	Dichotomous	18	4	(22.2%)	23	3	(13.0%)		
Dropouts:									
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	28	2	(7.1%)	27	4	(14.8%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	18		-0.03 (SD 0.81)	22		0.05 (SD 1.01)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	17		-0.05 (SD 0.31)	22		0.02 (SD 0.3)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	17		0.09 (SD 1)	21		0.22 (SD 1.03)		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop									
Blood glucose:				6.47 (SD			6.41 (SD		
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	18		0.933)	23		1.15)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	18		8.3805 (SD 2.52)	23		9.0798 (SD 1.81)		

				Placebo	Acarbose (100 mg tid)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 16wk	Dichotomous	23	9	(39.1%)	23	17	(73.9%)		
Flatulence – 16wk	Dichotomous	23	7	(30.4%)	23	14	(60.9%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 16wk	Dichotomous	23	0	(0.0%)	23	1	(4.3%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 16wk	Dichotomous	23	0	(0.0%)	23	3	(13.0%)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	29	1	(3.4%)	27	4	(14.8%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	22		0.06 (SD 0.91)	22		0.05 (SD 1.01)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	21		0.04 (SD 0.29)	22		0.02 (SD 0.3)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	20		-0.04 (SD 1.4)	21		0.22 (SD 1.03)		

Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	23	7.52 (SD 1.1)	23	6.41 (SD 1.15)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	23	10.4007 (SD 2.26)	23	9.0798 (SD 1.81)

## Table 90: Santilli et al. (2010)

Table 90: 5a	ntilli et al. (2010)
General	Phase:  monotherapy dual therapy triple therapy insulin monotherapy insulin monotherapy insulin-toral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Italy  Authors' conclusions: Postprandial hyperglycaemia is associated with enhanced lipid peroxidation and platelet activation in early type 2 diabetes. A moderate decrease in PPG achieved with acarbose causes time-dependent downregulation of these phenomena, suggesting a causal link between early metabolic abnormalities and platelet activation in this setting  Source of funding: supported by an unrestricted grant from Bayer (the funding source had no role in design, conduct, data analysis or reporting of the study  Comments: Double-blind randomised trial. Randomisation list was generated by the trial statistician with blocks of 6 participants and number were stored in opaque envelopes and opened by the enrolling clinic staff at the time of enrollment. Patients and all investigators were blind to treatment allocation
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 48  Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes (according to ADA criteria), in an early stage as defined by a known disease suration <=6 months, presenting at the time of recruitment with Hba1c values <=7% in the absence of any treatment affecting glycaemic control, no previous AHA  Exclusion criteria: smoking, evidence of clinically significant hepatic, renal, cardiac or pulmonary insufficiency, history of malignant neoplasms (diagnosed and treated within the last 5 years), type 1 diabetes, microvasular complications (nephropathy, detected by persistent microalbuminuria between 30 and 300 mg/24 hour in at least two of three consecutive 24 hour urine collections), macrovasular complications, pregnancy or lactation, history of malabsorption or regular daily consumption of alchohol. Patients requiring chronic non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug therapy or low dose aspirin were also excluded. All patients with documented gastrointestinal disease and those taking medications likely to alter gut motility or absoption were also excluded. Patents with arterial hypertension or hypercholesterolemia were included if well controlled with stable drug therapy  Pre-randomisation phase: The study included a 3 week baseline period during which patients were not treated with any drugs that could interfere with glucose metabolism. 3 week baseline period followed by 4 week titration (assumed to be part of treatment period)
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: All AHA naïve, 3 week run in
Lifestyle advice	At randomisation, patients were instructed to follow a low-fat and low-caloric diet (-20% of estimated daily energyexpenditure) and were encouraged to exercise regularly. These instructions were reinforced at each visit
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 23 Length of titration period (wks): 4 Length of maintenance period (wks): 16 Frequency of monitoring appointments: No details reported
Arms	(1) Acarbose N: 27 Treatment duration (wks): 20

Washout period (d): 21 Comments: Drug naïve

Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):300 Minimum dose (mg/d): 50 Maximum dose (mg/d): 300

Participants achieving full dose (n): 23 Frequency of dosing: three times a day

Compliance: drug compliance was verified by pill counts

Details of dosing regimen: Acarbose was titrated over a period of 4 weeks according to the following schedule: 50 mg once daily for the first 7 days (at dinner time), 50 mg twice daily (lunch and dinner) for the following 7 days, 50 mg three times daily (at breakfast, lunch and dinner) for another week and finally 100 mg three times daily. Down titration to 50 mg three times daily for those who could not tolerate 100 mg three times daily was allowed in order to minimise the rate of drop out. During the treatment period, each subject received the randomised treatment every day with the first bite of each meal. After titration 94% of subjects receiveing acarbose had received the full dose of 100 mg three times daily. In 3 patients, the drug was down-titrated to 50 mg twice daily during the last 4 weeks due to

gastrointestinal intolerance.

#### (2) Placebo

N: 27

Treatment duration (wks): 20 Washout period (d): 21 Comments: Drug naïve Treatment(s): Placebo

Compliance: drug compliance was verified by pill counts

Details of dosing regimen: No details reported

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

The primary endpoint in this study was urinary 11-dehydro-TXB2 excretion rate at 20 weeks (not extracted in this evidence table). Other outcomes not extracted in this table include MAGE, plasma P-selectin, urinary 8-iso-PGF, plasma CD40L, serum CRP and plasma ADMA.

4 (15%) patients in the acarbose and 2 patients (7%) in the placebo group did not complete the study. Data collected from samples on the first and third weeks before randomisation were averaged to obtain baseline values

# Baseline characteristics

		Acarbose		Placebo					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	25		med: 62 [rng 51–68]	23		med: 61 [rng 54-65]		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	25	14	(56.0%)	23	12	(52.2%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	25		med: 6.7 [rng 6.5–7]	23		med: 6.5 [rng 6.1– 6.8]		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	25		med: 6.985785 [rng 6.4269–7.5924]	23		med: 7.0374 [rng 6.7599–7.8144]		
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	25		med: 9.9234 [rng 6.82095–11.5329]	23		med: 8.9244 [rng 7.04295–10.0344]		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	25		med: 27 [rng 24.6– 31.2]	23		med: 26.7 [rng 23.7–30.1]		
Waist/hip ratio	Continuous	25		med: 0.98 [rng 0.94– 1.03]	23		med: 0.96 [rng 0.88- 0.99]		
Blood pressure: Diagnosis of hypertension	Dichotomous	25	15	(60.0%)	23	13	(56.5%)		
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	25		med: 130 [rng 120– 135]	23		med: 130 [rng 120– 146]		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	25		med: 80 [rng 71–87]	23		med: 79 [rng 70–80]		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		med: 4.962534 [rng 3.928134–5.841774]	23		med: 5.531454 [rng 4.497054–5.738334]		

HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		med: 1.290414 [rng 1.135254–1.497294]	23		med: 1.393854 [rng 1.212834–1.600734]
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		med: 1.220449 [rng 0.949489–1.718338]	23		med: 1.231739 [rng 1.028519–1.695758]
Other medication: Anti-hypertensive	Dichotomous	25	15	(60.0%)	23	13	(56.5%)

		п	

		Acarbose				F	Placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 8wka	Mean change	25		-0.018 (SD 0.125)	23		-0.002 (SD 0.115)	MD=-0.016 (CI: -0.030, -0.002)	0.027b
HbA1c (%) – 20wka	Mean change	25		-0.026 (SD 0.15)	23		0.02 (SD 0.134)	MD=-0.028 (CI: -0.044, -0.012)	0.027c
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 4wka	Mean change	25		-0.0002775 (SD 0.0139)	23		-0.0004995 (SD 0.0138)	MD=0.000 (CI: -0.001, 0.002)	0.80d
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 8wka	Mean change	25		-0.0012765 (SD 0.0139)	23		-0.0000555 (SD 0.0138)	MD=-0.001 (CI: -0.003, 0.000)	0.15e
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkf	Mean change	25		-0.0008325 (SD 0.0153)	23		-0.0002775 (SD 0.0149)	MD=-0.001 (CI: -0.002, 0.001)	0.53g
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wkf	Mean change	25		-0.0019425 (SD 0.0153)	23		0.0000555 (SD 0.0149)	MD=-0.002 (CI: -0.004, 0.000)	0.028h
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 20wka	Mean change	25		-0.0018315 (SD 0.0153)	23		-0.0001665 (SD 0.0149)	MD=-0.002 (CI: -0.003, 0.000)	0.058i
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 4wka	Mean change	25		-0.0049395 (SD 0.0272)	23		-0.0012765 (SD 0.0266)	MD=-0.004 (CI: -0.007, -0.001)	0.021j
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 8wka	Mean change	25		-0.003996 (SD 0.0275)	23		-0.001443 (SD 0.0266)	MD=-0.003 (CI: -0.006, 0.000)	0.011k
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkf	Mean change	25		-0.0053835 (SD 0.0275)	23		-0.0030525 (SD 0.0266)	MD=-0.002 (CI: -0.005, 0.001)	0.15l
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wkf	Mean change	25		-0.003885 (SD 0.0272)	23		-0.0030525 (SD 0.0266)	MD=-0.001 (CI: -0.004, 0.002)	0.62m
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 20wka	Mean change	25		-0.00555 (SD 0.0272)	23		-0.000222 (SD 0.024)	MD=-0.005 (CI: -0.008, -0.003)	0.001n
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 20wka	Mean change	25		-0.003 (SD 0.15)	23		-0.007 (SD 0.0959)	MD=0.004 (CI: -0.120, 0.128)	0.600
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 20wk	Dichotomous	27	2	(7.4%)	27	4	(14.8%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 20wk	Dichotomous		2	(7.4%)	27	2	(7.4%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> [DO NOT USE - OUTSIDE TIME RANGE] <sup>b</sup> 95% CI -0.03 to -0.02 <sup>c</sup> 95% CI -0.001 to -0.021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> 95% CI -0.026 to 0.033

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> 95% CI -0.052 to 0.008

Log-transformed mean change

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>g</sup> 95% CI -0.041 to 0.021 <sup>h</sup> 95% CI -0.067 to -0.004

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>95% CI -0.062 to 0.001

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 95% CI -0.12 to -0.01 <sup>k</sup> 95% CI -0.1 to 0.01

<sup>95%</sup> CI -0.099 to 0.015

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>m</sup> 95% CI -0.073 to 0.44 <sup>n</sup> 95% CI -0.15 to -0.043

° 95% CI -0.12 to 0.21
Comparisons of baseline data between the groups were performed by chi-squared statistics, Fisher exact tests, unpaired Student's t-tests or Mann-Whitney U-tests. Linear mixed-effects model for repeated measures over time was used for the primary analysis (and other continuous variables) with 11-dehdro-TXB2 excretion rate as the dependent variable, week of visit, study groupand time-by-group interaction as fixed effects, and patients and error as random effects. Least-sqaures estimates of treatment differences and standard errors were obtained from the mixed effect model

# Table 91: Scherbaum et al. (2002)

Table 91. 30	herbaum et al. (2002)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Germany  Authors' conclusions: The results indicate that pioglitazone monotherapy together with dietary control is both effective and safe in patients with type 2 diabetes  Source of funding: Takeda  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 233 Inclusion criteria: Patients with type 2 diabetes, aged 35 to 70 years, BMI between 25 and 35 kg/m2, Hba1c between 7.5 and 12% and FBG between 140 and 300 mg/dl (<=250 mg/dl at end of washout period) Exclusion criteria: patients with type 1 diabetes, secondary failure to treatment with sulfonylurea or requirement for other antidiabetic treatment, history of ketoacidosis, pancreatitis, liver disease, heart failure, myocardial infarction Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 10 week placebo washout phase
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Unclear previous OAD therapy but there was a 10 week placebo washout where previous therapy was discontinued
Lifestyle advice	Patients were required to follow a disease and bodyweight oriented diet throughout the study period
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 26 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 26 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Hba1c values were obtained at weeks 4,8,12,18,22 and 26
Arms	(1) Pioglitazone (15 mg)  N: 83  Treatment duration (wks): 26  Washout period (d): 70  Comments: Unclear previous OAD therapy but there was a 10 week placebo washout where previous therapy was discontinued  Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose  Set dose (mg/d):15  Frequency of dosing: once a day  Details of dosing regimen: 15 mg/day od  (2) Pioglitazone (30 mg)  N: 72  Treatment duration (wks): 26  Washout period (d): 70  Comments: Unclear previous OAD therapy but there was a 10 week placebo washout where previous therapy was discontinued  Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose  Set dose (mg/d):30  Frequency of dosing: once a day  Details of dosing regimen: 30 mg/day od

# (3) Placebo

N: 78

Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 70

Comments: Unclear previous OAD therapy but there was a 10 week placebo washout where previous

therapy was discontinued
Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

#### **Outcomes**

# Baseline characteristics

		Pi	ogli	tazone (15 mg)	Pi	ogli	tazone (30 mg)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	89		58	78		59.6		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	89	56	(62.9%)	78	32	(41.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	89		5.4	78		4.6		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	89		9.33 (SD 1.18)	78		9.06 (SD 1.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	89		13.02585 (SD 2.31)	78		12.32655 (SD 3.61)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	89		29.9	78		29.3		
Weight (kg) - 0wka	Continuous	89		84.38976	78		82.69632		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Pi	ogli	tazone (15 mg)			Placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	89		58	84		59.1		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	89	56	(62.9%)	84	47	(56.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	89		5.4	84		5.6		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	89		9.33 (SD 1.18)	84		8.75 (SD 1.06)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	89		13.02585 (SD 2.31)	84		11.4774 (SD 2.57)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	89		29.9	84		29.2		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	89		84.38976	84		82.41408		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Pi	iogli	tazone (30 mg)			Placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	78		59.6	84		59.1		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	78	32	(41.0%)	84	47	(56.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	78		4.6	84		5.6		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	78		9.06 (SD 1.2)	84		8.75 (SD 1.06)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	78		12.32655 (SD 3.61)	84		11.4774 (SD 2.57)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	78		29.3	84		29.2		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	78		82.69632	84		82.41408		
<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean	n height of 1.68	m							

# Results

		Pi	ogli	tazone (15 mg)	Pic	gli	tazone (30 mg)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	89		7.99 (SD 0.95)	78		7.78 (SD 1.18)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	89		-0.92 (SD 1.5)	78		-1.05 (SD 1.25)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	89		10.5783 (SD 2.36)	78		9.97335 (SD 2.81)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	89		-1.90365 (SD 2.82)	78		-1.998 (SD 3.47)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	89		0.3	78		0.8		
Adverse events: Any serious adverse event(s) – 26wk	Dichotomous	89	1	(1.1%)	78	0	(0.0%)		
Back pain – 26wk	Dichotomous	89	0	(0.0%)	78	3	(3.8%)		
Bronchitis – 26wk	Dichotomous	89	3	(3.4%)	78	3	(3.8%)		
Edema peripheral – 26wk	Dichotomous	89	0	(0.0%)	78	2	(2.6%)		
Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 26wka	Continuous	89		0	78		1		
Temperature/influenza – 26wk	Dichotomous	89	2	(2.2%)	78	7	(9.0%)		
UTI – 26wk	Dichotomous	89	2	(2.2%)	78	2	(2.6%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	83	22	(26.5%)	72	8	(11.1%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 26wkb	Dichotomous	89	2	(2.2%)	78	0	(0.0%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> >3 times ULN

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Inconsistent with information provided in Study population

		Pi	ogli	tazone (15 mg)			Placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	89		7.99 (SD 0.95)	84		8.29 (SD 1.05)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	89		-0.92 (SD 1.5)	84		-0.34 (SD 0.98)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	89		10.5783 (SD 2.36)	84		11.1444 (SD 2.12)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	89		-1.90365 (SD 2.82)	84		0.1332 (SD 2.57)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	89		0.3	84		-1.1		
Adverse events:  Any serious adverse event(s) – 26wk	Dichotomous	89	1	(1.1%)	84	4	(4.8%)		
Back pain – 26wk	Dichotomous	89	0	(0.0%)	84	4	(4.8%)		
Bronchitis – 26wk	Dichotomous	89	3	(3.4%)	84	5	(6.0%)		
Edema peripheral – 26wk	Dichotomous	89	0	(0.0%)	84	0	(0.0%)		
Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 26wka	Continuous	89		0	84		1		
Temperature/influenza – 26wk	Dichotomous	89	2	(2.2%)	84	7	(8.3%)		
UTI – 26wk	Dichotomous	89	2	(2.2%)	84	4	(4.8%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	83	22	(26.5%)	78	19	(24.4%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 26wkb	Dichotomous	89	2	(2.2%)	84	2	(2.4%)		

 $<sup>^{\</sup>it a}$  >3 times ULN  $^{\it b}$  Inconsistent with information provided in Study population

Pioglitazone (30 mg)	Placebo	Δ	р	

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean
Blood glucose:							
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	78		7.78 (SD 1.18)	84		8.29 (SD 1.05)
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	78		-1.05 (SD 1.25)	84		-0.34 (SD 0.98)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	78		9.97335 (SD 2.81)	84		11.1444 (SD 2.12)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	78		-1.998 (SD 3.47)	84		0.1332 (SD 2.57)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	78		0.8	84		-1.1
Adverse events:  Any serious adverse event(s) – 26wk	Dichotomous	78	0	(0.0%)	84	4	(4.8%)
Back pain – 26wk	Dichotomous	78	3	(3.8%)	84	4	(4.8%)
Bronchitis – 26wk	Dichotomous	78	3	(3.8%)	84	5	(6.0%)
Edema peripheral – 26wk	Dichotomous	78	2	(2.6%)	84	0	(0.0%)
Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 26wka	Continuous	78		1	84		1
Temperature/influenza – 26wk	Dichotomous	78	7	(9.0%)	84	7	(8.3%)
UTI – 26wk	Dichotomous	78	2	(2.6%)	84	4	(4.8%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	72	8	(11.1%)	78	19	(24.4%)
Dropout due to AEs – 26wkb	Dichotomous	78	0	(0.0%)	84	2	(2.4%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> >3 times ULN

# Table 92: Scherbaum et al. (2008)

#### General Phase: ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy □ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: 69 sites in Finland (3), France (4), Germany (42), Romania (5), Spain (7) and Sweden (8) Authors' conclusions: In drug naïve patients with mild hyperglycaemia, relative to placebo, 52 week treatment with vildagliptin 50 mg (q.d) significantly decreases Hba1c, FPG and PPG and improved beta cell function without weight gain or hypoglycaemia **Source of funding:** Funded by Novartis Pharmaceticals Comments: Multicentre, double-blind, randomised placebo controlled trial but no details reported about method of randomisation, blinding and allocation concealment **Number and** Total number of patients: 306 characteristics Inclusion criteria: drug naïve patients aged 18 years and over who were diagnosed with type 2 diabetes at of patients least 8 weeks previously and who had a Hba1c between 6.2 and 7.5% at the screening visit (upper limit of 7% for centres in Finland and Spain). Patients who had taken no oral antidiabetic drug (OAD) for at least 12 weeks prior to screening and no OAD for more than three consecutive months at any time in the past was considered to be representative of a drug naïve population. Male and female (non-fertile or of child-bearing potential using a medically approved birth control method) patients with a BMI of 22-45 kg/m2 inclusive, were eligble to participate Exclusion criteria: history of type 1 diabetes or secondary forms of diabetes, acute metabolic diabetic complications within the past 6 months or evidence of significant diabetic complications. A history of cardiac arrhythmia, congestive heart failure or liver disease also precluded participation, as did any significant laboratory abnormalities

Pre-randomisation phase: Each patient attended one screening visit (week 2) during which inclusion/exclusion criteria were assessed. Eligible patients were randomised at visit 2 (baseline)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Inconsistent with information provided in Study population

lowering therapy	insulin  Details of washout period: Drug naïve screening and no history of 3 consecutive		se no				•	· ·		d/oı
Lifestyle advice	Patients received individualised lifestyle and total colric intake, follow a healthy d	<b>.</b> .					_	•	g fa	į
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 58 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): Frequency of monitoring appointmen visits at weeks 4, 12, 16, 24, 32, 40 and treatment-free period (i.e. washout)	ts: Efficacy and								
Arms	(1) Vildagliptin N: 156 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: There was a post intervention were drug naïve Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fix Set dose (mg/d):50 Frequency of dosing: Details of dosing regin (2) Placebo N: 150 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: There was a post intervention were drug naïve Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) Details of dosing regin	eed-dose once a day nen: No further on washout. No	detai wash	ls rep	orted vas necessary	/ pre-i	interv	ention as pa	atien	ts
Outcomes	General Outcomes not extracted in this evidence Hba1c >6.5% were not extracted as nur			ell fur	adaa la add					
	glycaemic measures following the 4-wee There were 23 (15%) drop outs in the vi Not reported that ITT analysis was carrie	ek washout peri Idagliptin group	od at	ach a 56 w	irm were not i eeks were no	eport t extra	ed in acted.	the full pape		nd
Baseline characteristics	glycaemic measures following the 4-wee There were 23 (15%) drop outs in the vi	ek washout peri Idagliptin group	od at	ach a 56 w 19 (13	irm were not i eeks were no	eport t extra	ed in acted. group	the full pape		nd
Baseline characteristics	glycaemic measures following the 4-wee There were 23 (15%) drop outs in the vi	ek washout peri Idagliptin group	od at	ach a 56 w 19 (13	arm were not a eeks were no 3%) in the pla	eport t extra	ed in acted. group	the full pape	er ar	p
	glycaemic measures following the 4-wee There were 23 (15%) drop outs in the vi Not reported that ITT analysis was carrie  Demographics: Age (years)	ek washout peri Idagliptin group ed out Continuous	od at and n	vilda	agliptin mean 63.3 (SD 10.2)	report t extra cebo	ed in acted. group	cebo mean 62.8 (SD 11)	er ar	
	glycaemic measures following the 4-wee There were 23 (15%) drop outs in the vi Not reported that ITT analysis was carried the control of the	ek washout peri Idagliptin group ed out	od at and n	vilda	agliptin mean 63.3 (SD	report t extra cebo	ed in acted. group	cebo mean 62.8 (SD	er ar	
	glycaemic measures following the 4-wee There were 23 (15%) drop outs in the vi Not reported that ITT analysis was carrie  Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male)	ek washout perildagliptin group ed out  Continuous  Dichotomous	N 156 156	vilda	agliptin  mean 63.3 (SD 10.2) (59.6%) 2.5 (SD	N 150 150	ed in acted. group	mean 62.8 (SD 11) (59.3%) 2.7 (SD	er ar	
	glycaemic measures following the 4-wee There were 23 (15%) drop outs in the vi Not reported that ITT analysis was carrie  Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male)  Duration of diabetes (yrs)	ek washout perildagliptin grouped out  Continuous Dichotomous Continuous	N 156 156 156	ach a 56 wm 19 (13 Vilda k 93	agliptin mean 63.3 (SD 10.2) (59.6%) 2.5 (SD 2.9)	N 150 150	ed in acted. group  Pla  k  89	mean 62.8 (SD 11) (59.3%) 2.7 (SD 3.2)	er ar	
	glycaemic measures following the 4-wee There were 23 (15%) drop outs in the vi Not reported that ITT analysis was carrie  Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male)  Duration of diabetes (yrs) Ethnicity-White	ek washout perildagliptin grouped out  Continuous Dichotomous Continuous	N 156 156 156	ach a 56 wm 19 (13 Vilda k 93	agliptin mean 63.3 (SD 10.2) (59.6%) 2.5 (SD 2.9) (99.4%)	N 150 150 150	ed in acted. group  Pla  k  89	mean 62.8 (SD 11) (59.3%) 2.7 (SD 3.2) (99.3%)	er ar	
	glycaemic measures following the 4-wee There were 23 (15%) drop outs in the vi Not reported that ITT analysis was carrie  Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male)  Duration of diabetes (yrs) Ethnicity-White Ethnicity-Other Blood glucose:	Continuous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous	N 156 156 156	ach a 56 wm 19 (13 Vilda k 93	agliptin mean 63.3 (SD 10.2) (59.6%) 2.5 (SD 2.9) (99.4%) (0.6%) 6.7 (SD	N 150 150 150	ed in acted. group  Pla  k  89	mean 62.8 (SD 11) (59.3%) 2.7 (SD 3.2) (99.3%) (0.7%) 6.8 (SD	er ar	
	glycaemic measures following the 4-wee There were 23 (15%) drop outs in the vi Not reported that ITT analysis was carried Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male)  Duration of diabetes (yrs) Ethnicity-White Ethnicity-Other Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) –	continuous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 156 156 156 156	ach a 56 wm 19 (13 Vilda k 93	agliptin  mean 63.3 (SD 10.2) (59.6%) 2.5 (SD 2.9) (99.4%) (0.6%) 6.7 (SD 0.4) 7.1 (SD	N 150 150 150 150	ed in acted. group  Pla  k  89	mean 62.8 (SD 11) (59.3%) 2.7 (SD 3.2) (99.3%) (0.7%) 6.8 (SD 0.4) 7.2 (SD	er ar	
	glycaemic measures following the 4-wee There were 23 (15%) drop outs in the vi Not reported that ITT analysis was carried.  Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male)  Duration of diabetes (yrs) Ethnicity-White Ethnicity-Other Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk 2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) –	Continuous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 156 156 156 156 156	ach a 56 wm 19 (13 Vilda k 93	agliptin  mean 63.3 (SD 10.2) (59.6%) 2.5 (SD 2.9) (99.4%) (0.6%) 6.7 (SD 0.4) 7.1 (SD 1.2)	N 150 150 150 150 150	ed in acted. group  Pla  k  89	mean 62.8 (SD 11) (59.3%) 2.7 (SD 3.2) (99.3%) (0.7%) 6.8 (SD 0.4) 7.2 (SD 1.2) 9.3 (SD	er ar	

Weight (kg) – 0wk 
<sup>a</sup> SE assumed to be reported in text

Results			٧	'ildag	liptin		Plac	ebo		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Continuous	138		6.45 (SD 0.47)	131		6.82 (SD 0.687)		
	HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	138		6.37 (SD 0.47)	131		6.75 (SD 0.572)		
	HbA1c (%) – 52wka	Continuous	138		6.48 (SD 0.587)	131		6.86 (SD 0.88)		
	HbA1c (%) – 52wkb	Mean change	138		-0.2 (SD 1.25)	131		0.1 (SD 1.22)	MD=- 0.300 (CI: -0.496, - 0.104)	<0.001
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	156			150			MD=- 0.400 (CI: -0.792, - 0.008)	0.032
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wkb	Mean change	153		0.2 (SD 1.25)	149		0.5 (SD 1.22)		
	Peak prandial glucose excursion – 52wk	Mean change	156			150			MD=- 0.500 (CI: -0.892, - 0.108)	0.016
	2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 52wka	Mean change	137		-0.49 (SD 3.28)	133		0.45 (SD 3.23)	MD=- 0.900 (CI: -1.684, - 0.116)	0.012
	Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wkc	Mean change	153		-0.5 (SD 3.75)	149		-0.2 (SD 3.67)	MD=- 0.300 (CI: -1.084, 0.484)	0.444
	Hypoglycaemic events: symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wkd	Dichotomous	156	0	(0.0%)	150	1	(0.7%)		
	Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 56wke	Dichotomous	156	114	(73.1%)	150	109	(72.7%)		
	Any serious adverse event(s) – 56wkd	Dichotomous	156	13	(8.3%)	150	13	(8.7%)		
	Any serious adverse event(s) – 56wkd	Dichotomous	156	13	(8.3%)	150	9	(6.0%)		
	Any serious adverse event(s) – 56wkd	Dichotomous	156	10	(6.4%)	150	13	(8.7%)		
	Any serious adverse event(s) – 56wkd	Dichotomous	156	10	(6.4%)	150	9	(6.0%)		
	Back pain – 56wkd	Dichotomous	156		(5.8%)	150		(4.0%)		
	Bronchitis – 56wkd	Dichotomous	156		(3.2%)	150		(7.3%)		
	Dizziness – 56wkd	Dichotomous	156		(5.1%)	150		(3.3%)		
	Headache – 56wkd	Dichotomous	156	9	(5.8%)	150	6	(4.0%)		
	Nasopharyngitis – 56wkd	Dichotomous	156	16	(10.3%)	150	13	(8.7%)		
	Osteoarthritis – 56wkd	Dichotomous	156	8	(5.1%)	150	2	(1.3%)		
	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 108wk	Dichotomous	156	98	(62.8%)	150	100	(66.7%)		
	Dropout due to AEs –							,		0.07001
	56wkd	Dichotomous	156	14	(9.0%)	150	р	(4.0%)	MD=-	0.0789f
	BMI >=30kg/m2 Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - 52wk	Mean change	72			70			0.300 (CI: -0.496, - 0.104)	0.044

BMI <30.0 kg/m2 Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	84			80			MD=- 0.300 (CI: -0.496, - 0.104)	0.002
Age >=65 years Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - 52wk	Mean change	71			73			MD=- 0.400 (CI: -0.596, - 0.204)	0.005
Age <65 years Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	85			77			MD=- 0.300 (CI: -0.496, - 0.104)	0.009
2-year follow-up (reported in Scherbaum et al. 2008a) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	153			149				<0.001g
HbA1c (%) – 108wkh	Mean change	67		-0.1 (SD 0.819)	61		0.5 (SD 0.781)		<0.001g
HbA1c (%) – 108wka	Continuous	67		6.54 (SD 0.491)	61		7.05 (SD 0.859)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	153			149				0.227g
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 108wka	Continuous	67		6.9 (SD 1.64)	61		7.8 (SD 1.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 108wkh	Mean change	67		0.6 (SD 2.46)	61		1.2 (SD 2.34)		0.181g
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	68			63				NR
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 108wka	Continuous	68		8.55 (SD 2.47)	63		10.7 (SD 3.17)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 108wkh	Mean change	68		-1.1 (SD 4.12)	63		-0.3 (SD 3.17)	MD=- 0.800 (CI: -2.172, 0.572)	0.203
Hypoglycaemic events: symptomatic (confirmed) – 108wk	Dichotomous	156	0	(0.0%)	150	2	(1.3%)	,	
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 108wk	Dichotomous	68	57	(83.8%)	63	56	(88.9%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 108wk	Dichotomous	68	14	(20.6%)	63	12	(19.0%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 108wk	Dichotomous	68	6	(8.8%)	63	4	(6.3%)		
Arthralgia – 108wk	Dichotomous	68	9	(13.2%)	63	4	(6.3%)		
Back pain – 108wk	Dichotomous	68	11	(16.2%)	63	3	(4.8%)		
Bronchitis – 108wk	Dichotomous	68	8	(11.8%)	63	11	(17.5%)		
Death – 108wk	Dichotomous	68	0	(0.0%)	63	0	(0.0%)		
GI: gastritis – 108wk	Dichotomous	68	5	(7.4%)	63	2	(3.2%)		
GI: gatroenteritis – 108wk	Dichotomous		2	(2.9%)	63	4	(6.3%)		
Headache – 108wk	Dichotomous		5	(7.4%)	63	1	(1.6%)		
Hypertension – 108wk	Dichotomous	68	6	(8.8%)	63	2	(3.2%)		
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders – 108wk	Dichotomous	68	4	(5.9%)	63	4	(6.3%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 108wk	Dichotomous		8	(11.8%)	63	6	(9.5%)		
Osteoarthritis – 108wk	Dichotomous	68	9	(13.2%)	63	2	(3.2%)		
Skin reaction – 108wk	Dichotomous	68	5	(7.4%)	63	3	(4.8%)		

Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 108wk	Dichotomous	156	18	(11.5%)	150	10	(6.7%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 108wk	Dichotomous	68	3	(4.4%)	63	14	(22.2%)
<ul> <li>estimated from graph</li> <li>SE assumed to be reported in</li> <li>SE assumed to be reported (a</li> <li>(assume ITT population)</li> <li>number of patients experienci</li> <li>No further details reported ass</li> <li>No other details reported</li> <li>assumed ITT population and s</li> </ul>	nssume ITT por ng one or more sume ANCOVA	oulation	on)				
Last observation carried forward was used to assess change from baseline in Hba1c for patients who discontinued early. Adjusted mean changes reported. Change from baseline in primary and secondary variables were analysed using ANCOVA model with treatment and pooled centre as classification variables and baseline as the covariate. P-values for between group comparisons of adverse events were not reported							

# Table 93: Schernthaner et al. (2004)

Table 93: Sc	hernthaner et al. (2004)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: European countries (France, Latvia, Romania, Germany, Sweden, Lithuania, Hungary, Austria, Bulgaria, Ireland, Greece, Czech Republic)  Authors' conclusions: Hba1c reduction is similar after pioglitazone and metformin monotherapies, but differences in FPG, plasma lipids and adverse effects between the two compounds may influence decision-making in individual prescribers  Source of funding: not reported  Comments: parallel group, double-blind study. Patients randomised centrally using block randomisation. A computer-generated list was administered centrally via a telephone randomisation and resupply service. The study medication was identified by pack numbers.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 1199 Inclusion criteria: patients aged 35-75 years, with type 2 diabetes inadequately treated with diet alone were randomised. Male and female patients were eligible if they had a Hba1c between 7.5% and 11% with stable or worsening glycaemic control for at least 3 months  Exclusion criteria: prior use of glucose-lowering pharamcotherapy and specific contraindications to either drug. Corticosteroids and beta-blockers were permitted if treatment commenced at least 4 weeks before screening. At study entry, antihypertensive agents, except thiazides, were allowed dependent on clinical need
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: N/A (treatment naïve)
Lifestyle advice	patients were instructed to adhere to a disease and weight-oriented diet throughout the study. Dietary advice was given at baseline with the target of body weight normalisation and supply of individually appropraite calories and nutrients. If body weight increased by more than 5% at any stage or Hba1c increased to greater than 9% after completed dose titration, patients were given additional intensive dietary counseling
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): 12 Length of maintenance period (wks): 40 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Hba1c was measured at baseline and every 4-8 weeks up to week 52. Mean Hba1c values at week 0 (or last available pretreatment value within the previous 2 weeks) were taken as baseline.
Arms	(1) Pioglitazone

N: 597

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – forced titration

> Mean dose (mg/d): 43 Minimum dose (mg/d): 30 Maximum dose (mg/d): 45

Participants achieving full dose (n): 475

Frequency of dosing: variable

Details of dosing regimen: up to 45 mg pioglitazone with metformin placebo once daily. Patients started with 30 mg and doses were increased, maintained or decreased at 4, 8 and 12 weeks according to tolerability. The dose reached at week 12 was fixed for the rest of the study. The goal of forced titration was to reach the individual maximum tolerated dose as quickly as possible.

85.9% of patients reached the maximum dose of pioglitazone.

#### (2) Metformin

N: 597

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) - forced titration

> Mean dose (mg/d): 2124 Minimum dose (mg/d): 850 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2550

Participants achieving full dose (n): 339

Frequency of dosing: variable

Details of dosing regimen: 850 mg metformin with pioglitazone placebo. Patients started with 850 mg and doses were increased, maintained or decreased at 4, 8 and 12 weeks according to tolerability. The dose reached at week 12 was fixed for the rest of the study. The goal of forced titration was to reach the individual maximum tolerated dose as quickly

as possible.

61.6% of patients reached the maximum dose of metformin.

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Analysis of the primary efficacy end point was performed on an intention to treat (ITT) last observation carried forward basis using an analysis of covariance model with the factor treatment group and the covariant baseline HbA1c (single slope model).

98 (16%) in pioglitazone and 96 (16%) of patients in metformin group withdrew from the study. Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include fasting insulin, c-peptide, split proinsulin, blood pressure (not enough data to extract)

#### **Baseline** characteristics

		Pioglitazone			Metformin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	597		57 (SD 9.4)	597		56 (SD 9.3)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	597	314	(52.6%)	597	345	(57.8%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	597		3.4 (SD 4.3)	597		3.1 (SD 3.8)		
Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	597		11.3997 (SD 2.71)	597		11.2998 (SD 2.78)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	597		31.2 (SD 4.9)	597		31.4 (SD 5.2)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	597		88.2 (SD 15.5)	597		89.7 (SD 16.6)		
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	588		1.13	588		1.13		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	588		2.64	588		2.61		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous	588		3.56	588		3.56		
TC/HDL ratio – 0wka	Continuous	588		5.34	588		5.34		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	597		8.7 (SD 1)	597		8.7 (SD 1)		

2	
a no SD	reported

,	0	0	••	ı	٠.
•	C	Э	u		Ŀ.

		Pioglitazone			Metf	ormin			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Body weight:									
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	597			597				а
Adverse events:									
GI: nausea – 0wk	Dichotomous	597			597				а
GI: nausea – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	14	(2.3%)	597	25	(4.2%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 0wk	Dichotomous	597			597				а
Any adverse event(s) – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	316	(52.9%)	597	346	(58.0%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 0wk	Dichotomous	597			597				а
Any serious adverse event(s) – 52wkb	Dichotomous	597	29	(4.9%)	597	44	(7.4%)		
Arthralgia – 0wk	Dichotomous	597		(,	597		(,		а
Arthralgia – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	9	(1.5%)	597	12	(2.0%)		
Back pain – 0wk	Dichotomous	597		()	597		()		а
Back pain – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	14	(2.3%)	597	17	(2.8%)		
Bronchitis – 0wk	Dichotomous	597		(=== /0)	597		(=== /0)		а
Bronchitis – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	11	(1.8%)	597	14	(2.3%)		
cardiovascular AE – 0wk	Dichotomous	597		()	597		(=.070)		а
cardiovascular AE – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	22	(3.7%)	597	23b	(3.9%)		
Death – 0wk	Dichotomous	597		(0.770)	597	200	(0.070)		а
Death – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	3	(0.5%)	597	2	(0.3%)		
Dizziness – 0wk	Dichotomous	597		(0.070)	597	_	(0.070)		а
Dizziness – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	14	(2.3%)	597	11	(1.8%)		
Edema peripheral – 0wk	Dichotomous	597		(2.070)	597		(1.070)		а
Edema peripheral – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	27	(4.5%)	597	10	(1.7%)		u
Fatigue – 0wk	Dichotomous	597	21	(4.576)	597	10	(1.770)		а
Fatigue – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	8	(1.3%)	597	12	(2.0%)		a
GI: diarrhoea – 0wk	Dichotomous	597	O	(1.576)	597	12	(2.070)		а
GI: diarrhoea – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	19	(3.2%)	597	66	(11.1%)		a
Headache – 0wk	Dichotomous	597	13	(3.270)	597	00	(11.170)		а
Headache – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	26	(4.4%)	597	14	(2.3%)		u
Hypertension – 0wk	Dichotomous	597	20	(7.770)	597	17	(2.070)		а
Hypertension – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	15	(2.5%)	597	17	(2.8%)		u
liver function/liver enzymes – 0wk	Dichotomous	597	13	(2.070)	597	17	(2.070)		а
liver function/liver enzymes – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	0	(0.0%)	597	0	(1.5%)		<u> </u>
Nasopharyngitis – 0wk			U	(0.070)		J	(1.5%)		2
Nasopharyngitis – owk Nasopharyngitis – 52wk	Dichotomous	597 597	25	(4 20/.)	597 597	19	(3.20/.)		а
pharyngitis – 0wk	Dichotomous Dichotomous	597	23	(4.2%)	597	13	(3.2%)		3
pharyngitis – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	15	(2.5%)	597	o	(1.5%)		а
Temperature/influenza –	DICHOLOHIOUS	397	13	(2.070)	597	J	(1.5%)		
0wk	Dichotomous	597			597				а
Temperature/influenza – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	14	(2.3%)	597	22	(3.7%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	98	(16.4%)	597	96	(16.1%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 0wk	Dichotomous	597			597				а

Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	42	(7.0%)	597	39	(6.5%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – Owk	Dichotomous	597			597				а
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 52wk	Dichotomous	597	15	(2.5%)	597	10	(1.7%)		
ITT	Dioriotomous	007	10	(2.070)	007	10	(1.770)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkc	Continuous	588		7.7 (SD 1.05)	588		7.45 (SD 1)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Continuous	588		7.15 (SD 1.1)	588		7 (SD 0.9)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	588		7.28	588		7.18		
11DA10 (70) - 32WK	Continuous	300		-	300				
HbA1c (%) – 52wkd	Mean change	588		-1.41 (SD 0.97)	588		-1.5 (SD 0.97)		е
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkc	Continuous	588		9.102984 (SD 2.5)	588		9.15849 (SD 2.22)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Continuous	588		8.88096 (SD 2.22)	588		8.769948 (SD 2.22)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	588		-2.4975 (SD 0.899)	588		-2.1978 (SD 0.899)	MD=- 0.300	0.016
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wkf	Mean change	588		1.9	588		-2.5		
Lipids:  HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)  – 52wkg	Continuous	588		1.29	588		1.21		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wkf	Mean change	588		0.16	588		0.08		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Percentage change from baseline	597			597				<0.001
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wkg	Continuous	588		2.03	588		2.31		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wkf	Mean change	588		-0.61	588		-0.3		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Percentage change from baseline	597			597				<0.001
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Percentage change from baseline	597			597				<0.001
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wkg	Continuous	588		3.83	588		3.44		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) - 52wkf	Mean change	588		0.27	588		-0.12		
TC/HDL ratio – 52wk	Percentage change from baseline	597			597				0.98
TC/HDL ratio – 52wkg	Continuous	588		4.92	588		4.92		
PP Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	506		-1.55 (SD 0.9)	516		-1.63 (SD 0.909)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	506		-2.5974 (SD 0.899)	516		-2.3199 (SD 0.899)		
a not reported									

a not reported
b approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

approximated to nearest integer (
cestimated from graph
SD calculated from reported SE
90% CI -0.01 to 0.19 at week 52
No SDs reported
SD not reported

The primary variable, HbA1c, was analyzed by a one-sided t test. Treatment effects on secondary efficacy parameters were analyzed using an analysis of covariance model similar to the primary model for HbA1c, with baseline as covariate. Two-sided t tests were used to compare treatments using 95% CIs and alpha set at 5%. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize changes in body weight, demographics, baseline characteristics, and AEs

# Table 94: Schernthaner et al. (2004)

1 able 34. 3C	hernthaner et al. (2004)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Austria, Belgium, the Czech Republic, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland, Slovakia, Spain, and the United Kingdom were involved.  Authors' conclusions: This study provides new insights into therapeutic strategies using sulphonylureas. It shows that gliclazide MR is at least as effective as limepiride, either as monotherapy or in combination. The safety of gliclazide MR was significantly better, demonstrating approximately 50% fewer confirmed hypoglycaemic episodes in comparison with glimepiride.  Source of funding: supported by a grant from the Institut de Recherches Internationales Servier Comments: Double-blind trial. Tablets were masked in capsules. It was checked that the blinding method used capsules did not modify the dissolution kinetics of the tablets.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 845 Inclusion criteria: type 2 diabetic patients (according to World Health Organization criteria), >35 years old, treated for at least 3 months with diet alone or in combination with metformin or an a-glucosidase inhibitor (acarbose or miglitol), with glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) between 6.9% to 11.5%, and able to perform home blood glucose monitoring.  Exclusion criteria: current treatment with insulin-secreting agents or thiazolidinediones, contraindication to study drugs, no effective contraception in women with child-bearing potential, elevated transaminases more than threefold the upper normal range or calculated creatinine clearance (CCI) using the Cockroft formula Pre-randomisation phase: titration period assumed to form part of the maintenance period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Those on current treatment (metformin or alpha glucosidase inhibitor) continued taking medications at a stable dose and were not washed off (subgroup analyses were reported by baseline OAD). Combinations with alpha glucosidase inhibitor were not extracted
Lifestyle advice	no details reported
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 27 Length of titration period (wks): 9 Length of maintenance period (wks): 18 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Visits were scheduled every 9 weeks following titration
Arms	(1) Gliclazide MR N: 129 Treatment duration (wks): 27 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (modified release) (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Mean dose (mg/d): 76.2 Minimum dose (mg/d): 120 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: The four dosages of gliclazide MR, from 30 to 120 mg daily currently recommended in European countries were used. Capsules were taken once daily, just before or during breakfast as follows (gliclazide MR/glimepiride): dose 1 (30 mg or 1 mg); dose 2 (60 mg or 2 mg); dose 3 (90 mg or 3 mg); dose 4 (120 mg or 4 mg); and dose 5 (120 mg or 6 mg). Patients started double-blind medication with the lowest dose of gliclazide MR 30 mg. During the titration period, the dose of study medication could be increased every 3 weeks up to dose 4 until metabolic control was achieved [therapeutic

goal defined as fasting plasma glucose (FPG) between 5 and 7.8 mmol/l].

Throughout the study, the dose could be decreased in case of hypoglycaemia according to the investigator's judgement or more than 3 episodes within 1 month.

The method in which the final doses were distributed was similar in the gliclazide MR/glimepiride groups: dose 1 (32%/32-8%); dose 2 18%/19-2%); dose 3 (14-2%/16-9%); dose 4 (15-5%/14-3%), and dose 5 (20-3%/16-8%). Mean (± SD) final daily dosages were 76-2 (38-1) mg for gliclazide MR and 2-9 (1-8) mg for glimepiride for the whole study population (these data do not refer to monotherapy or dual therapy specifically)

#### (2) Glimepiride

N: 150

Treatment duration (wks): 27 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Mean dose (mg/d): 2.9 Minimum dose (mg/d): 1 Maximum dose (mg/d): 6 Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: The five dosages of glimepiride, from 1 to 6 mg daily, currently recommended in European countries were used. Capsules were taken once

daily, just before or during breakfast as follows (gliclazide MR/glimepiride): dose 1 (30 mg or 1 mg); dose 2 (60 mg or 2 mg); dose 3 (90 mg or 3 mg); dose 4 (120 mg or 4 mg); and dose 5 (120 mg or 6 mg). Patients started double-blind medication with the lowest dose of glimepiride 1 mg. During the titration period, the dose of study medication could be increased every 3 weeks up to dose 4 until metabolic control was achieved [therapeutic goal defined as fasting plasma glucose (FPG) between 5 and 7·8 mmol/l].

Throughout the study, the dose could be decreased in case of hypoglycaemia according to the investigator's judgement or more than 3 pisodes within 1 month.

The method in which the final doses were distributed was similar in the gliclazide MR/glimepiride groups: dose 1 (32%/32·8%); dose 2 18%/19·2%); dose 3 (14·2%/16·9%); dose 4 (15·5%/14·3%), and dose 5 (20·3%/16·8%). Mean ( $\pm$  SD) final daily dosages were 76·2 (38·1) mg for gliclazide MR and 2·9 (1·8) mg for glimepiride for the whole study population (these data do not refer to monotherapy or dual therapy specifically)

#### (3) Any gliclazide MR

N: 405

Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): -

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (modified release) (Oral)

## (4) Any Glimepiride

N: 440

Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): -

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral)

## Outcomes

## General

Only pre-specified subgroup analyses by treatment regimen (i.e. monotherapy or in combination with metformin) were extracted in this evidence table. Data relating to alpha-glucosidase inhibitor + study drug were not extracted as this included either acarbose or miglitol. Therefore outcomes not extracted included FPG.

All efficacy analyses were performed on the intention-totreat population, defined as all patients exposed to study medication with one baseline and at least one postbaseline efficacy evaluation on treatment, and the per-protocol population defined as completed patients without deviation

interfering with primary efficacy criterion. Safety analyses were performed on all patients who were exposed to at least one dose of study medication. Final values for withdrawn patients corresponded to the final values on treatment (final observation on treatment carried forward).

67 patients withdrew from the study (35 gliclazide MR/32 glimepiride)

Data from 2 trial arms not extracted (dual therapy for first intensification, as patients were on monotherapy and there was no washout period)

# Baseline characteristics

	Any Glimepiride					
		N	k	mean		
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	440		60.6 (SD 10.5)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	440	52	(11.8%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	440		5.8 (SD 5.8)		

Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	440		10.1 (SD 2.6)
Body weight:				,
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	440		30.6 (SD 4.9)
Weight (kg)	Continuous	440		83.8 (SD 16)
Blood pressure:				
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	440		137 (SD 14)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	440		81 (SD 8)
Diabetic complications:				
Microvascular	Dichotomous	440	11	(2.5%)
Macrovascular	Dichotomous	440	21	(4.8%)
Other medication:				
Anti-hypertensive	Dichotomous	440	62	(14.1%)
Lipid-lowering medication	Dichotomous	440	33	(7.5%)
ITT				
Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	440		8.2 (SD 1)
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	440		8.2 (SD 1)

#### **Results**

		Gliclazide MR			Glimepiride				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 27wk	Continuous	129			150				а
Hypoglycaemic events: confirmed hypoglycaemia – 27wk	Dichotomous	129			150				NS
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 27wk	Mean change	129		-1.3 (SD 1.1)	150		-1.2 (SD 1)		
Safety population Hypoglycaemic events: confirmed hypoglycaemia – 27wk	Dichotomous	133	7	(5.3%)	156	15	(9.6%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> not reported for subgroups

For efficacy analyses, covariance analysis on the last value including the baseline value as covariate and country and concomitant antidiabetic treatment as factors was used. Changes from baseline to last value were analyzed in each treatment group using a paired Student's t-test. Changes from baseline were tested in each treatment group using one-way analysis of variance for repeated measures on time factor and completed by a Dunnett t-test (baseline as reference). For hypoglycaemic episodes, the percentage of patients reporting at least one episode and the distribution of the number of episodes were compared between treatment groups using Fisher's exact test. The time of occurrence of the first event was compared between the two treatment groups using a model for survival curves (Kaplan–Meier estimator) and Wilcoxon test. Baseline characteristics refer to the whole study population which includes patients on both monotherapy and combination therapyFor efficacy analyses, covariance analysis on the last value including the baseline value as covariate and country and concomitant antidiabetic treatment as factors was used

# Table 95: Schwartz et al. (2006)

. 45.0 00.	2011 and (2000)
General	Phase:
	✓ monotherapy  □ dual therapy
	□ triple therapy
	☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral
	Parallel / crossover: Parallel

Country: USA

**Authors' conclusions:** Once- or twice-daily extended-release metformin was as safe and effective as twice-daily immediate-release metformin and provided continued glycemic control for up to 24 weeks of treatment.

Source of funding: Financial support provided by Depomed

Comments: A randomized, doubleblind, active-controlled, fixed-dose trial

# Number and characteristics of patients

#### Total number of patients: 750

Inclusion criteria: The trial enrolled male and female outpatients, 18–79 years of age, with type 2 diabetes. Patients were either drug naïve (with newly diagnosed diabetes or treated with diet and exercise only) or had received prior drug therapy (monotherapy with oral hypoglycemic agents ther than metformin up to the maximum dose allowed, metformin monotherapy up to 2,000 mg/day, or metformin up to 1,500 mg/day with sulfonylurea up to one-half the maximum allowed dose). Inclusion criteria (determined at the screening visit) included HbA1c levels 7.0–12.0% (drugnaive patients) or 6.5–10.0% (prior drug therapy patients), fasting plasma glucose (FPG) levels 120–400 mg/dl (drug-naive

patients) or 120–250 mg/dl (prior drug therapy patients), C-peptide levels >1.0 ng/ml, BMI 22–50 kg/m2, and a negative pregnancy test for female patients.

**Exclusion criteria:** Patients were excluded from the study if they were receiving insulin, systemic corticosteroids, nicotinic acid, or isoniazid; had a history of background retinopathy, symptomatic autonomic neuropathy, or unstable angina; had chronic gastroparesis or chronic severe gastrointestinal

symptoms, a history of gastric or duodenal ulcers, abdominal surgery within 1 year, or active gastrointestinal disease within 2 years; had any uncontrolled or untreated cardiovascular, hepatic, pulmonary, renal, or neurological system conditions; or had serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dl (male patients) or >1.4 mg/dl (female patients) or proteinuria.

Patients were also excluded for lack of efficacy (defined as fasting blood glucose >250 mg/dl for 1 week or >300 mg/dl for 3 days)

Pre-randomisation phase: there was a washout period (of current OHAs) of 6 weeks followed by titrtaion of 2-3 weeks (this was assumed to form part of the total treatment duration of 24 weeks)

# Previous glucoselowering therapy

Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin

**Details of washout period:** Patients were either drug naïve (with newly diagnosed diabetes or treated with diet and exercise only) or had received prior drug therapy (monotherapy with oral hypoglycemic agents other than metformin up to the maximum dose allowed, metformin monotherapy up to 2,000 mg/day, or metformin up to 1,500 mg/day with sulfonylurea up to one-half the maximum allowed dose). There was a 6 week washout period

#### Lifestyle advice

no details reported

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 30

Length of titration period (wks): 3 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24

**Frequency of monitoring appointments:** There was a 6 week washout period in this trial. Patients were evaluated every 1–2 weeks during the screening and washout periods, weekly for the first 4 weeks of treatment and then every 4 weeks until the end of study.

#### Arms

## (1) Extended release metformin 1500mg (qd)

N: 178

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 42

Treatment(s): Metformin (modified release) (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):1500

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: all patients began metformin dosing at 1,000 mg q.d., which was titrated to their assigned dose over 2–3 weeks and continued at that dose for a total treatment duration of 24 weeks. All study drugs and placebos were taken after a meal.

1500mg was given once daily.

# (2) Extended release metformin 1500mg (twice daily)

N: 182

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 42

Treatment(s): Metformin (modified release) (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):1500

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: all patients began metformin dosing at 1,000 mg q.d., which was titrated to their assigned dose over 2–3 weeks and continued at that dose for a total treatment duration of 24 weeks. All study drugs and placebos were taken after a meal. 1500 mg was given twice daily (500 mg in morning and 1000 mg in the evening)

#### (3) Extended release metformin 2000mg (qd)

N: 172

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 42

Treatment(s): Metformin (modified release) (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):2000

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: all patients began metformin dosing at 1,000 mg q.d., which was titrated to their assigned dose over 2–3 weeks and continued at that dose for a total treatment duration of 24 weeks. All study drugs and placebos were taken after a meal.

2000 mg was given once daily.

#### (4) Immediate release metformin 1500 mg (twice daily)

N: 174

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 42

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):1500

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: all patients began metformin dosing at 1,000 mg q.d., which was titrated to their assigned dose over 2–3 weeks and continued at that dose for a total treatment duration of 24 weeks. All study drugs and placebos were taken after a meal. 1500 mg of immediate release metformin was given twice daily (500 mg in the morning

and 1000 mg in the evening)

#### (5) Any extended release

N: 532

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 42

Treatment(s): Metformin (modified release)

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Efficacy and safety analyses were performed using an intent-to-treat population (n=706), defined as all randomly assigned patients who received a study drug and had available efficacy data. Adverse event analyses included all patients who received at least one dose of study drug.

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include fructosamine. Data for Hba1c levels from subgroup analyses were not extracted as no baseline measurements or SDs were reported.

The paper reports that 706/750 randomly assigned were included in ITT population and 529 patients completed the study per protocol. No further details relating to drop outs per trial arm are reported.

# Baseline characteristics

			Α	II study participants
		N	k	mean
Demographics:				
Age (years)	Continuous	706		54 (SD 11.8)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	706	380	(53.8%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	706		4.2 (SD 4.8)
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	706	441	(62.5%)
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	706	93	(13.2%)
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	706	16	(2.3%)
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	706	143	(20.3%)
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	706	13	(1.8%)
Body weight:				
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	706		33.5 (SD 6.6)
Weight (kg)	Continuous	706		94.5504 (SD 18.62784) a
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:				
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	706	338	(47.9%)
Metformin	Dichotomous	706	175	(24.8%)
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	706	111	(15.7%)
Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	706	59	(8.4%)
Other	Dichotomous	706	4	(0.6%)
a estimated from BMI assuming mean height	of 1 68m			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

Extended release metf metformin 1500mg (qd)

Extended release metformin 1500mg (twice daily)

Δр

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean
Demographics:							
Age (years)	Continuous	178		54 (SD 11.4)	182		54 (SD 11.8)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	178	83	(46.6%)	182	111	(61.0%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	178		3.9 (SD 4.5)	182		4.5 (SD 4.9)
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	178	107	(60.1%)	182	116	(63.7%)
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	178	30	(16.9%)	182	18	(9.9%)
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	178	5	(2.8%)	182	5	(2.7%)
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	178	32	(18.0%)	182	38	(20.9%)
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	178	4	(2.2%)	182	5	(2.7%)
Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178		10.545 (SD 7.33)	172		10.68375 (SD 7.21)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	178		33.4 (SD 6.6)	182		33 (SD 6.3)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178		5.368536 (SD 2.52)	182		5.293542 (SD 2.41)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178		1.17663 (SD 0.656)	182		1.17663 (SD 0.628)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) - 0wk	Continuous	178		2.244452 (SD 4.41)	182		2.24671 (SD 4.22)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178		3.188538 (SD 2.17)	182		3.16785 (SD 2.06)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	178	81	(45.5%)	182	86	(47.3%)
Metformin	Dichotomous	178	43	(24.2%)	182	44	(24.2%)
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	178	29	(16.3%)	182	30	(16.5%)
Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	178	20	(11.2%)	182	12	(6.6%)
Other	Dichotomous	178	1	(0.6%)	182	2	(1.1%)
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	178		8.22 (SD 3.34)	182		8.5 (SD 3.24)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

		Extended release metformin 1500mg (qd)			me				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	178		54 (SD 11.4)	172		55 (SD 11.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	178	83	(46.6%)	172	91	(52.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	178		3.9 (SD 4.5)	172		3.9 (SD 4.3)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	178	107	(60.1%)	172	107	(62.2%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	178	30	(16.9%)	172	23	(13.4%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	178	5	(2.8%)	172	3	(1.7%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	178	32	(18.0%)	172	36	(20.9%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	178	4	(2.2%)	172	3	(1.7%)		
Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178		10.545 (SD 7.33)	172		10.20645 (SD 7.21)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	178		33.4 (SD 6.6)	172		33.7 (SD 6.6)		

Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178		5.368536 (SD 2.52)	172		5.373708 (SD 2.44)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178		1.17663 (SD 0.656)	172		1.153356 (SD 0.644)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178		2.244452 (SD 4.41)	172		2.440898 (SD 4.34)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) - 0wk	Continuous	178		3.188538 (SD 2.17)	172		3.222156 (SD 2)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	178	81	(45.5%)	172	84	(48.8%)
Metformin	Dichotomous	178	43	(24.2%)	172	45	(26.2%)
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	178	29	(16.3%)	172	22	(12.8%)
Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	178	20	(11.2%)	172	17	(9.9%)
Other	Dichotomous	178	1	(0.6%)	172	0	(0.0%)
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	178		8.22 (SD 3.34)	172		8.26 (SD 3.15)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

				nded release nin 1500mg (qd)	me		ediate release nin 1500 mg (twice daily)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	178		54 (SD 11.4)	174		54 (SD 12.5)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	178	83	(46.6%)	174	95	(54.6%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	178		3.9 (SD 4.5)	174		4.4 (SD 5.4)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	178	107	(60.1%)	174	111	(63.8%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	178	30	(16.9%)	174	22	(12.6%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	178	5	(2.8%)	174	3	(1.7%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	178	32	(18.0%)	174	37	(21.3%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	178	4	(2.2%)	174	1	(0.6%)		
Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178		10.545 (SD 7.33)	174		10.90575 (SD 8.2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	178		33.4 (SD 6.6)	174		33.8 (SD 6.8)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178		5.368536 (SD 2.52)	174		5.241822 (SD 2.49)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178		1.17663 (SD 0.656)	174		1.140426 (SD 0.648)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178		2.244452 (SD 4.41)	174		2.09994 (SD 4.36)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178		3.188538 (SD 2.17)	174		3.165264 (SD 2.01)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	178	81	(45.5%)	174	87	(50.0%)		
Metformin	Dichotomous	178	43	(24.2%)	174	43	(24.7%)		
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	178	29	(16.3%)	174	30	(17.2%)		
Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	178	20	(11.2%)	174	10	(5.7%)		

Other	Dichotomous	178	1	(0.6%)	174	1	(0.6%)
ITT							
Blood glucose:							
HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	178		8.22 (SD 3.34)	174		8.7 (SD 3.3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

		me		ended release nin 1500mg (twice daily)			nded release nin 2000mg (qd)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	182		54 (SD 11.8)	172		55 (SD 11.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	182	111	(61.0%)	172	91	(52.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	182		4.5 (SD 4.9)	172		3.9 (SD 4.3)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	182	116	(63.7%)	172	107	(62.2%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	182	18	(9.9%)	172	23	(13.4%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	182	5	(2.7%)	172	3	(1.7%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	182	38	(20.9%)	172	36	(20.9%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	182	5	(2.7%)	172	3	(1.7%)		
Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	172		10.68375 (SD 7.21)	172		10.20645 (SD 7.21)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	182		33 (SD 6.3)	172		33.7 (SD 6.6)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	182		5.293542 (SD 2.41)	172		5.373708 (SD 2.44)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	182		1.17663 (SD 0.628)	172		1.153356 (SD 0.644)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	182		2.24671 (SD 4.22)	172		2.440898 (SD 4.34)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	182		3.16785 (SD 2.06)	172		3.222156 (SD 2)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	182	86	(47.3%)	172	84	(48.8%)		
Metformin	Dichotomous	182		(24.2%)	172	45	(26.2%)		
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	182		(16.5%)	172	22	(12.8%)		
Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	182		(6.6%)	172	17	(9.9%)		
Other	Dichotomous	182	2	(1.1%)	172	0	(0.0%)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	182		8.5 (SD 3.24)	172		8.26 (SD 3.15)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

		me	nded release in 1500mg (twice daily)	Immediate release metformin 1500 mg (twice daily)					
		N k mean				k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	182		54 (SD 11.8)	174		54 (SD 12.5)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	182	111	(61.0%)	174	95	(54.6%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	182		4.5 (SD 4.9)	174		4.4 (SD 5.4)		

Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	182	116	(63.7%)	174	111	(63.8%)
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	182	18	(9.9%)	174	22	(12.6%)
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	182	5	(2.7%)	174	3	(1.7%)
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	182	38	(20.9%)	174	37	(21.3%)
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	182	5	(2.7%)	174	1	(0.6%)
Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	172		10.68375 (SD 7.21)	174		10.90575 (SD 8.2)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	182		33 (SD 6.3)	174		33.8 (SD 6.8)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	182		5.293542 (SD 2.41)	174		5.241822 (SD 2.49)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	182		1.17663 (SD 0.628)	174		1.140426 (SD 0.648)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	182		2.24671 (SD 4.22)	174		2.09994 (SD 4.36)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	182		3.16785 (SD 2.06)	174		3.165264 (SD 2.01)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	182	86	(47.3%)	174	87	(50.0%)
Metformin	Dichotomous	182	44	(24.2%)	174	43	(24.7%)
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	182	30	(16.5%)	174	30	(17.2%)
Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	182	12	(6.6%)	174	10	(5.7%)
Other	Dichotomous	182	2	(1.1%)	174	1	(0.6%)
Blood glucose:  HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	182		8.5 (SD 3.24)	174		8.7 (SD 3.3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

				nded release nin 2000mg (qd)	me				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	172		55 (SD 11.7)	174		54 (SD 12.5)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous		91	(52.9%)	174	95	(54.6%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	172		3.9 (SD 4.3)	174		4.4 (SD 5.4)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	172	107	(62.2%)	174	111	(63.8%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	172	23	(13.4%)	174	22	(12.6%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	172	3	(1.7%)	174	3	(1.7%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	172	36	(20.9%)	174	37	(21.3%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	172	3	(1.7%)	174	1	(0.6%)		
Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	172		10.20645 (SD 7.21)	174		10.90575 (SD 8.2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	172		33.7 (SD 6.6)	174		33.8 (SD 6.8)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	172		5.373708 (SD 2.44)	174		5.241822 (SD 2.49)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	172		1.153356 (SD 0.644)	174		1.140426 (SD 0.648)		

Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	172		2.440898 (SD 4.34)	174		2.09994 (SD 4.36)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	172		3.222156 (SD 2)	174		3.165264 (SD 2.01)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	172	84	(48.8%)	174	87	(50.0%)
Metformin	Dichotomous	172	45	(26.2%)	174	43	(24.7%)
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	172	22	(12.8%)	174	30	(17.2%)
Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	172	17	(9.9%)	174	10	(5.7%)
Other	Dichotomous	172	0	(0.0%)	174	1	(0.6%)
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	172		8.26 (SD 3.15)	174		8.7 (SD 3.3)
<sup>a</sup> SD calculated from report	ted SE						

Results

		Extended release metformin 1500mg (qd)					nded release in 1500mg (twice daily)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Adverse events:				(2.20()			(= =o()		
GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous		17	(9.6%)	182		(7.7%)		
Dyspepsia – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	9	(5.1%)	182	5	(2.7%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wka	Dichotomous	178	33	(18.5%)	182	28	(15.4%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	12a	(6.7%)	182	33	(18.1%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	25	(14.0%)	182	15a	(8.2%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	25	(14.0%)	182	33	(18.1%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wka	Dichotomous	178	12	(6.7%)	182	15	(8.2%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	9	(5.1%)	182	6	(3.3%)		
Dropouts: drop out due to diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	1b	(0.6%)	182	0	(0.0%)		
drop out due to nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	0	(0.0%)	182	0	(0.0%)		
drop out due to other GI event – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	1	(0.6%)	182	1	(0.5%)		
ITT									
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Continuous	178		7.62 (SD 1.6)	182		7.6 (SD 1.62)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkd	Continuous	178		8.5692 (SD 3.26)	182		8.94105 (SD 3.29)		
Dropouts:  Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wke	Dichotomous	178		·	182		•		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wkf	Continuous	178		5.435772 (SD 1.59)	182		5.089248 (SD 1.5)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wkg	Continuous	178		1.230936 (SD 0.242)	182		1.210248 (SD 0.244)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wkg	Continuous	178		2.29187 (SD 1.84)	182		2.271548 (SD 1.84)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wkh	Continuous	178		2.904078 (SD 0.863)	182		2.901492 (SD 0.872)		
<sup>a</sup> during dosing at 1000 mg q	d								

b Overall Dropouts due to AE not reported
SD calculated from reported SE; total N=673

d total N=686

g total N=642 <sup>h</sup> total N=594

				nded release nin 1500mg (qd)			nded release nin 2000mg (qd)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	17	(9.6%)	172	14	(8.1%)		
Dyspepsia – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	9	(5.1%)	172	8	(4.7%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wka	Dichotomous	178	33	(18.5%)	172	35	(20.3%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	12a	(6.7%)	172	27	(15.7%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	25	(14.0%)	172	15a	(8.7%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	25	(14.0%)	172	27	(15.7%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wka	Dichotomous	178	12	(6.7%)	172	15	(8.7%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	9	(5.1%)	172	4	(2.3%)		
Dropouts:									
drop out due to diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	1b	(0.6%)	172	0	(0.0%)		
drop out due to nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	0	(0.0%)	172	0	(0.0%)		
drop out due to other GI event – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	1	(0.6%)	172	0	(0.0%)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Continuous	178		7.62 (SD 1.6)	172		7.29 (SD 1.57)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkd	Continuous	178		8.5692 (SD 3.26)	172		8.3805 (SD 3.28)		
Dropouts: Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	e		172	3			
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wkf	Continuous	178		5.435772 (SD 1.59)	172		4.838406 (SD 1.53)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wkg	Continuous	178		1.230936 (SD 0.242)	172		1.225764 (SD 0.237)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wkg	Continuous	178		2.29187 (SD 1.84)	172		2.334772 (SD 1.82)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wkh	Continuous	178		2.904078 (SD 0.863)	172		2.854944 (SD 0.848)		
8 -1									

g total N=642 h total N=594

		_		nded release nin 1500mg (qd)	met				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	17	(9.6%)	174	19	(10.9%)		

e not reported total N=645

a during dosing at 1000 mg qd
b Overall Dropouts due to AE not reported
C SD calculated from reported SE; total N=673

d total N=686

e not reported total N=645

Dyspepsia – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	9	(5.1%)	174	10	(5.7%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wka	Dichotomous	178	33	(18.5%)	174	33	(19.0%)
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	12a	(6.7%)	174	25	(14.4%)
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	25	(14.0%)	174	18a	(10.3%)
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	25	(14.0%)	174	25	(14.4%)
GI: diarrhoea – 24wka	Dichotomous	178	12	(6.7%)	174	18	(10.3%)
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	9	(5.1%)	174	4	(2.3%)
Dropouts: drop out due to diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	1b	(0.6%)	174	2	(1.1%)
drop out due to nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	0	(0.0%)	174	3	(1.7%)
drop out due to other GI event – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	1	(0.6%)	174	2	(1.1%)
ITT							
Blood glucose:							
HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Continuous	178		7.62 (SD 1.6)	174		7.65 (SD 1.58)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkd	Continuous	178		8.5692 (SD 3.26)	174		8.92995 (SD 3.29)
Dropouts: Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	178	е		174	14	
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wkf	Continuous	178		5.435772 (SD 1.59)	174		5.09442 (SD 1.54)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wkg	Continuous	178		1.230936 (SD 0.242)	174		1.210248 (SD 0.239)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wkg	Continuous	178		2.29187 (SD 1.84)	174		2.051393 (SD 1.82)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) - 24wkh	Continuous	178		2.904078 (SD 0.863)	174		3.048894 (SD 0.853)

g total N=642 h total N=594

		Extended release metformin 1500mg (twice daily)			me				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	14	(7.7%)	172	14	(8.1%)		
Dyspepsia – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	5	(2.7%)	172	8	(4.7%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wka	Dichotomous	182	28	(15.4%)	172	35	(20.3%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	15a	(8.2%)	172	27	(15.7%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	33	(18.1%)	172	15a	(8.7%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	33	(18.1%)	172	27	(15.7%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wka	Dichotomous	182	15	(8.2%)	172	15	(8.7%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	6	(3.3%)	172	4	(2.3%)		
Dropouts: drop out due to diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	172	0	(0.0%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> during dosing at 1000 mg qd <sup>b</sup> Overall Dropouts due to AE not reported <sup>c</sup> SD calculated from reported SE; total N=673 <sup>d</sup> total N=686

e not reported total N=645

drop out due to nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	172	0	(0.0%)
drop out due to other GI event – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	1	(0.5%)	172	0	(0.0%)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Continuous	182		7.6 (SD 1.62)	172		7.29 (SD 1.57)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Continuous	182		8.94105 (SD 3.29)	172		8.3805 (SD 3.28)
Dropouts: Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	d		172	3	
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) - 24wke	Continuous	182		5.089248 (SD 1.5)	172		4.838406 (SD 1.53)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wkf	Continuous	182		1.210248 (SD 0.244)	172		1.225764 (SD 0.237)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wkf	Continuous	182		2.271548 (SD 1.84)	172		2.334772 (SD 1.82)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wkg	Continuous	182		2.901492 (SD 0.872)	172		2.854944 (SD 0.848)

		met		nded release n 1500mg (twice daily)	met				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Adverse events: Gl: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	14	(7.7%)	174	19	(10.9%)		
Dyspepsia – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	5	(2.7%)	174	10	(5.7%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wka	Dichotomous	182	28	(15.4%)	174	33	(19.0%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	15a	(8.2%)	174	25	(14.4%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	33	(18.1%)	174	18a	(10.3%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	33	(18.1%)	174	25	(14.4%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wka	Dichotomous	182	15	(8.2%)	174	18	(10.3%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	6	(3.3%)	174	4	(2.3%)		
Dropouts: drop out due to diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	174	2	(1.1%)		
drop out due to nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	0	(0.0%)	174	3	(1.7%)		
drop out due to other GI event – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	1	(0.5%)	174	2	(1.1%)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Continuous	182		7.6 (SD 1.62)	174		7.65 (SD 1.58)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Continuous	182		8.94105 (SD 3.29)	174		8.92995 (SD 3.29)		
Dropouts: Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	182	d		174	14			

a during dosing at 1000 mg qd
b SD calculated from reported SE; total N=673
c total N=686
d not reported
total N=645
total N=642
g total N=594

Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wke	Continuous	182	5.089248 (SD 1.5)	174	5.09442 (SD 1.54)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wkf	Continuous	182	1.210248 (SD 0.244)	174	1.210248 (SD 0.239)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wkf	Continuous	182	2.271548 (SD 1.84)	174	2.051393 (SD 1.82)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wkg	Continuous	182	2.901492 (SD 0.872)	174	3.048894 (SD 0.853)

				ded release n 2000mg (qd)		netfo	diate release rmin 1500 mg vice daily)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	172	14	(8.1%)	174	19	(10.9%)		
Dyspepsia – 24wk	Dichotomous	172	8	(4.7%)	174	10	(5.7%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wka	Dichotomous	172	35	(20.3%)	174	33	(19.0%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	172	15a	(8.7%)	174	25	(14.4%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	172	27	(15.7%)	174	18a	·		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	172	27	(15.7%)	174	25	(14.4%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wka	Dichotomous	172	15	(8.7%)	174	18	(10.3%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	172	4	(2.3%)	174	4	(2.3%)		
Dropouts: Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 0wk	Dichotomous	178			174				0.007
drop out due to diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	172	0	(0.0%)	174	2	(1.1%)		
drop out due to nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	172	0	(0.0%)	174	3	(1.7%)		
drop out due to other GI event – 24wk	Dichotomous	172	0	(0.0%)	174	2	(1.1%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Continuous	172		7.29 (SD 1.57)	174		7.65 (SD 1.58)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Continuous	172		8.3805 (SD 3.28)	174		8.92995 (SD 3.29)		
Dropouts: Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	172	3	(1.7%)	174	14	(8.0%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wkd	Continuous	172		4.838406 (SD 1.53)	174		5.09442 (SD 1.54)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wke	Continuous	172		1.225764 (SD 0.237)	174		1.210248 (SD 0.239)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wke	Continuous	172		2.334772 (SD 1.82)	174		2.051393 (SD 1.82)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wkf	Continuous	172		2.854944 (SD 0.848)	174		3.048894 (SD 0.853)		

a during dosing at 1000 mg qd
b SD calculated from reported SE; total N=673
c total N=686
d not reported
total N=645
total N=642
g total N=594

- <sup>a</sup> during dosing at 1000 mg qd
- <sup>b</sup> SD calculated from reported SE; total N=673
- c total N=686
- d total N=645
- e total N=642
- f total N=594

				ease metformin twice daily)			ny nded ase		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Mean change	178			174				0.013a
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	178			174				0.013a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178			174				0.051a
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 0wk	Dichotomous	178			174				0.050b
Dyspepsia – 0wk	Dichotomous	178			174				>0.05a
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 0wk	Dichotomous	178			174				>0.05a
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 0wk	Dichotomous	178			174				>0.05b
GI: diarrhoea – 0wk	Dichotomous	178			174				>0.05a
GI: diarrhoea – 0wk	Dichotomous	178			174				>0.05b
GI: abdominal pain – 0wk	Dichotomous	172			174				>0.05a
Dropouts: drop out due to diarrhoea - 0wk	Dichotomous	178			174				>0.05a
drop out due to diarrhoea – 0wk	Dichotomous	178			174				>0.05a
drop out due to nausea – 0wk	Dichotomous	178			174				>0.05a
drop out due to nausea – 0wk	Dichotomous	178			174				>0.05a
drop out due to other GI event – 0wk	Dichotomous	178			174				>0.05a
drop out due to other GI event – 0wk	Dichotomous	178			174				>0.05a
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178			174				0.005a
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178			174				0.506a
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178			174				0.030a
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	178			174				0.015a

<sup>a</sup> p-value relates to overall comparisons among treatment groups

The primary efficacy parameter, mean change in A1C concentration from baseline to end point, was analyzed using an ANCOVA parallel model that included treatment, center, and stratification factor as fixed factors and baseline A1C as a covariate. The least squares estimate of the mean change from baseline for each treatment and its 95% CI were calculated. Continuous secondary efficacy parameters were analyzed using an ANCOVA model that included treatment, center, treatment-by-center interaction, and stratification factor as fixed factors and the baseline measurement as a covariate. Data for continuous variables are from ANOVA or ANCOVA (see intra-arm data for more details). For the primary efficacy parameter a two-sided 98.4% CI of the pairwise mean difference between each extended-release metformin treatment and immediate-release metformin (extended-release metformin - immediate- release metformin) in mean change from baseline to end point for A1C levels was constructed. Fisher's exact test was used to compare the incidence of adverse events among treatment groups. Categorical secondary efficacy parameters were analyzed using a two-sided Fisher's exact test for overall comparison among treatment groups. In addition, a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> during dosing at 1000 mg qd, p-value relates to overall comparisons among treatment groups

two-sample Z test on proportions was performed. The difference between a proportion and its 95% CI was determined. NB: overall comparisons among treatment groups have been extracted as relating to extended release vs. immediate release as reported in the statistical methods section. However these p-values may relate to trend effects across treatment groups.

# Table 96: Schweizer et al. (2007)

1 able 96: 50	hweizer et al. (2007)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: 183 centres in 10 countries in the Americas and Europe  Authors' conclusions: A clinically meaningful decrease in HbA1c that was sustained throughout a 1-year treatment in drug-naïve patients with Type 2 DM was seen with both metformin and vildagliptin monotherapy  Source of funding: Funded by Novartis. The concept, design, data analysis and writeup of this study were by Novartis  Comments: double-blind, randomised trial but no details reported relating to methods of randomisation, allocation concealment and blinding
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 780  Inclusion criteria: The study enrolled patients with Type 2 DM and who had an HbA1c of 7.5–11.0% at the screening visit while receiving no drug treatment. Patients who had taken no oral glucose-lowering agents for at least 12 weeks prior to screening and no oral glucose lowering agents for more than three consecutive months at any time in the past were considered to be drug naïve. Male and female patients (non-fertile or of childbearing potential using a medically approved birth control method) aged 18–78 years, inclusive, with fasting plasma glucose (FPG) < 15 mmol/l were eligible to participate.  Exclusion criteria: history of Type 1 or secondary forms of diabetes, acute metabolic diabetic complications within the past 6 months, congestive heart failure requiring pharmacological pharmacological treatment, or myocardial infarction, unstable angina, or coronary artery bypass surgery within the previous 6 months. Liver disease such as cirrhosis or chronic active hepatitis also precluded participation, as did renal disease or renal dysfunction suggested by elevated serum creatinine levels, in accordance with prescribing guidelines for metformin. Patients with any of the following laboratory abnormalities were also excluded: ALT or AST greater than three times the upper limit of normal (ULN), direct bilirubin greater than 1.3 times the ULN, clinically significant abnormal TSH or fasting triglycerides > 7.9 mmol/l. During the study, patients discontinued due to 'unsatisfactory therapeutic effect' if FPG > 15 mmol/l (or 13.3 mmol/l in Argentina) confirmed by a repeat measurement in the absence  of intercurrent illness, or they had symptoms of worsening hyperglycaemia in the absence of intercurrent illness or other incidental circumstances potentially causing deterioration of glucose control. A patient could also be withdrawn as a result of an unsatisfactory therapeutic effect solely on the investigator's judgement Pre-randomisation phase: Each patient attended one screening visit (week
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: N/A
Lifestyle advice	No details reported
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Efficacy and tolerability were assessed during seven additional visits, at weeks 4, 12, 16, 24, 32, 40 and 52 of active treatment
Arms	(1) Vildagliptin N: 526 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Drug naïve (no OADs for at least 12 weeks prior to screening) Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100

Frequency of dosing: variable Compliance: no details reported

Details of dosing regimen: dose was given as equally divided doses

## (2) Metformin

N: 254

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: Drug naïve (no OADs for at least 12 weeks prior to screening)

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):2000 Mean dose (mg/d): 1988 Frequency of dosing: variable Compliance: no details reported

Details of dosing regimen: dose was given as equally divided doses

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

The randomized population comprised all patients randomized (n=780). The safety population consisted of all patients who received at least one dose of study medication and who had at least one post-baseline safety assessment (n=771). The intent-totreat (ITT) population comprised all patients who received at least one dose of study medication and had at least one postbaseline HbA1c assessment (n=760). The primary efficacy variable was the change from baseline in HbA1c at study end point in the ITT population using last observation carried forward for patients who discontinued early.

All outcomes were extracted in this evidence table.

148 (28%) in vildagliptin group and 63 (25%) in metformin group did not complete the study.

#### Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Severe hypoglycaemia was defined as any episode requiring the assistance of another party.)

symptomatic (confirmed) (Hypoglycaemia was defined as symptoms suggestive of low blood glucose confirmed by self-monitored blood glucose measurement < 3.1 mmol/l plasma glucose equivalent)

# Baseline characteristics

		All study participants						
		N	k	mean				
2-year follow-up (reported in Goke et al. 2005) Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	463		54 (SD 11)				
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	463		2.4 (SD 3.4)				
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	463		32.7 (SD 5.7)				
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	463		92.29248 (SD 16.08768) a				
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	463		92.29248 (SD 16.08768) a				

estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Vildagliptin				formin			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	526		52.8 (SD 11.7)	254		53.6 (SD 10.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	526	278	(52.9%)	254	146	(57.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	526		med: 1.05a	254		med: 1.03b		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	526	357	(67.9%)	254	177	(69.7%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	526	42	(8.0%)	254	13	(5.1%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	526	104	(19.8%)	254	55	(21.7%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	526	23	(4.4%)	254	9	(3.5%)		
Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) - 0wk	Continuous	526		10.5 (SD 2.9)	254		10.5 (SD 2.9)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	526		32.4 (SD 5.7)	254		32.5 (SD 5.7)		
Weight (kg) – 0wkc	Continuous	526		91.44576 (SD 16.1)	254		91.728 (SD 16.1)		

Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	526	133 (SD 14)	254	133 (SD 16)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	526	82 (SD 8)	254	82 (SD 9)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	526	5.3 (SD 1.1)	254	5.2 (SD 1.1)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	526	1.2 (SD 0.2)	254	1.2 (SD 0.3)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	526	2.4 (SD 1.8)	254	2.4 (SD 1.6)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	526	3.1 (SD 0.9)	254	3.1 (SD 0.9)
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	526	8.7 (SD 1.1)	254	8.7 (SD 1.1)
2-year follow-up (reported in Goke et al. 2005) Body weight:					95.7 (SD
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	305	93.1 (SD 1.3)	158	1.6)

Results

		'	Vildagliptin			Metfo	rmin		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Continuous	511		7.5 (SD 0.904)	249		7.25 (SD 0.316)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	511		7.35 (SD 0.904)	249		7.2 (SD 0.158)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wkb	Continuous	511		7.5 (SD 1.33)	249		7.14 (SD 1.26)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	526			254				<0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254				d
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	526			254				<0.001
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wk	Mean change	526			254				<0.001
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254				d
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254				d
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254				f
Any adverse event(s) – 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254				<0.001
Any serious adverse event(s) – 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254				d
Back pain – 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254				d
Death – 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254				d
Dizziness – 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254				d
Dyspepsia – 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254				d
Flatulence – 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254				f
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254				<0.00

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> IQR 3.54 <sup>b</sup> 3.28 <sup>c</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

GI: diarrhoea – 52wk	Dichotomous				254			f
GI: vomiting – 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254			f
GI: abdominal pain – 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254			f
GI: constipation – 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254			f
Headache – 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254			d
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254			d
Nasopharyngitis – 52wk	Dichotomous	526			254			d
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	526	19	(3.6%)	254	16	(6.3%)	d
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 52wk	Dichotomous	526	35	(6.7%)	254	3	(1.2%)	d
drop out due to other GI event – 52wkg	Dichotomous	526	4	(0.8%)	254	11	(4.3%)	d
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) - 52wk	Mean change	526			254			>0.05h
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	526			254			>0.05i
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	526			254			>0.05h
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) - 52wk	Mean change	526			254			>0.05h
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wkj	Mean change	511		-1 (SD 2.26)	249		-1.4 (SD 1.58)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 52wk	Dichotomous	511	179g	(35.0%)	249	112	(45.0%)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	511		-0.9 (SD 2.29)	249		-1.9 (SD 3.19)	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wkj	Mean change	511		0.3 (SD 4.59)	249		-1.9 (SD 4.78)	
Lipids:	onange	011		,	2-10		· ·	
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wkk	Mean change	526		-2.4 (SD 18.3)	254		-2.7 (SD 17.5)	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wkk	Mean change	511		2.6 (SD 18.3)	249		4.6 (SD 17.5)	
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wkk	Mean change	511		5.3 (SD 57.3)	249		3.9 (SD 54.2)	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wkk	Mean change	511		-2.8 (SD 27.1)	249		-4.4 (SD 26.8)	
Safety population Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wk	Dichotomous	510	0	(0.0%)	252	0	(0.0%)	
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous		31	(0.6%)	252		(0.4%)	
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous		3g	(0.6%)	252		(0.4%)	
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous		31	(0.6%)	252		(0.4%)	
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wkg	Dichotomous		3	(0.6%)	252		(0.4%)	
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 52wk	Dichotomous	519	17g	(3.3%)	252	26m	(10.3%)	

Any adverse event(s) – 52wk	Dichotomous	519	364	(70.1%)	252	190	(75.4%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 52wkg	Dichotomous	519	35	(6.7%)	252	13	(5.2%)		
Back pain – 52wk	Dichotomous	519	27	(5.2%)	252	9	(3.6%)		
Death – 52wk	Dichotomous	519	2	(0.4%)	252	2	(0.8%)		
Dizziness – 52wk	Dichotomous	519	25	(4.8%)	252	15	(6.0%)		
Dyspepsia – 52wk	Dichotomous	519	6	(1.2%)	252	12	(4.8%)		
Flatulence – 52wk	Dichotomous	519	5	(1.0%)	252	10	(4.0%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 52wk	Dichotomous	519	113	(21.8%)	252	110	(43.7%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 52wk	Dichotomous	519	31	(6.0%)	252	66	(26.2%)		
GI: vomiting – 52wk	Dichotomous	519	11	(2.1%)	252	11	(4.4%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 52wk	Dichotomous	519	12	(2.3%)	252	18	(7.1%)		
GI: constipation – 52wk	Dichotomous		25	(4.8%)	252	5	(2.0%)		
Headache – 52wk	Dichotomous		52	` ′		18	(7.1%)		
Infection (upper airway or	טוטוטוטוטוטטט	518	JZ	(10.0%)	202	10	(1.1/0)		
other common) – 52wk	Dichotomous		27	(5.2%)	252	15	(6.0%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 52wk	Dichotomous	519	50	(9.6%)	252	24	(9.5%)		
Baseline Hba1c <=8%									
Blood glucose:	Mean	180		-0.6	79		-0.7		
HbA1c (%) – 52wkn  Baseline Hba1c >8%	change	160		-0.6	79		-0.7		
Blood glucose:	Mana								
HbA1c (%) – 52wkn	Mean change	331		-1.1	170		-1.7		
2-year follow-up (reported in Goke et al. 2005)	onango	001						MD=0.510	
Blood glucose:	Mean							(CI: 0.250,	
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	change	305			158			0.770)	<0.001
Body weight:	Mean								
Weight (kg) - 104wk	change	305			158				<0.001
Adverse events:  Any adverse event(s) – 104wk	Dichotomous	305			158				NR
Serious AE drug related – 104wk	Dichotomous	305			158				NR
Study drug-related adverse event – 104wk	Dichotomous				158				NR
Death – 104wk	Dichotomous	305			158				NR
Gastrointestinal disorders		500			.50				
(any) – 104wk	Dichotomous	305			158				<0.001
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous	526	266	(50.6%)	254	112	(44.1%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	305			158				NR
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 104wk	Dichotomous	305			158				NR
Other medication: Taking rescue medication									
- 104wk	Dichotomous	305	116	(38.0%)	158	43g	(27.2%)		NR
2-year follow-up (reported in Goke et al. 2005) - ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - 104wko	Mean change	305		-1.5 (SD 1.75)	158		-1 (SD 1.26)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 104wkp	Mean change	305		0.5 (SD 6.99)	158		-2.5 (SD 6.28)		

2-year follow-up (reported in Goke et al. 2005) - Safety population Hypoglycaemic events: symptomatic (confirmed) - 104wk	Dichotomous	304	1	(0.3%)	158	0	(0.0%)
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 104wk	Dichotomous	304	9q	(3.0%)	158	15r	(9.5%)
Any adverse event(s) – 104wk	Dichotomous	305	250	(82.0%)	158	138	(87.3%)
Serious AE drug related – 104wk	Dichotomous	305	27	(8.9%)	158	11	(7.0%)
Study drug-related adverse event – 104wk	Dichotomous	305	42	(13.8%)	158	42	(26.6%)
Death – 104wk	Dichotomous	305	1	(0.3%)	158	1	(0.6%)
Flatulence – 104wk	Dichotomous	305	3	(1.0%)	158	8	(5.1%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 104wk	Dichotomous	305	76	(24.9%)	158	72	(45.6%)
GI: diarrhoea – 104wk	Dichotomous	305	19g	(6.2%)	158	45	(28.5%)
GI: abdominal pain – 104wk	Dichotomous	305	7	(2.3%)	158	11	(7.0%)
Nasopharyngitis – 104wk	Dichotomous	305	48g	(15.7%)	158	19	(12.0%)
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	304	5	(1.6%)	158	3	(1.9%)
<sup>a</sup> estimated from graph; SD ca <sup>c</sup> mean difference not reported			5				

Changes from baseline in primary and secondary end points were analysed using an ANCOVA model, with treatment and pooled centre as the classification variables, and baseline HbA1c as the covariate. SE's assumed to be reported and have been converted to SDs. Adverse events relate to number of people.

# Table 97: Schweizer et al. (2009)

#### General Phase: ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy □ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: conducted in 113 centres in 14 countries in Europe, the Americas and Asia Authors' conclusions: Vildagliptin is as effective and well-tolerated treatment option in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes, demonstrating similar improvement in glycaemic control as metformin, with superior GI

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> not reported

e mean difference not reported

no statistical comparison

g approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

h assumed p>0.05 as no significant differences in lipid parameters

assumed p>0.05 as no significant differences in lipid parameter

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>j</sup> SD calculated from reported assumed SE

adjusted mean change from baseline (%)

approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

calculated from reported percentages

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>n</sup> no SD reported

 $<sup>^</sup>o$  assumed SE reported for mean change, although paper reports as SD

p assumed SE reported for mean change

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>q</sup> (used in analysis) approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

<sup>(</sup>used in analysis) calculated from reported percentages

tolerability Source of funding: funded by Norvartis Pharmaceutical Corporation Comments: Independent cardiovascular and cerebrovascular committee reviewed all occurences of CCV events in a blinded fashion. Report double-blind, randomised active-controlled, parallel group trial but no details of randomisation methods, allocation concelament and blinding. Number and Total number of patients: 335 characteristics Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes aged >=65 years with a Hba1c of 7-9% at screening. of patients Patients who had taken no oral blood glucose lowering agnets for at least 12 weeks prior to screening and no oral glucose-lowering agents for more than three consecutive months at any time in the past were considered to be drug naïve. Male and female patients with FPG<15 mmol/l and with BMI 22-40 kg/m2 were eligible to participate. Exclusion criteria: history of type 1 or secondary forms of diabetes, acute metabolic diabetic complications within the past 6 months, congestive heart failure requiring pharmacological treatment or myocardial infarction, unstable angina or stroke or coronary artery bypass surgery within the past 6 months. Liver disease such as cirrhosis or chronic active hepatitis also precluded participation, as did renal disease or renal dysfunction suggested by elevated serum sreatinine levels, in accordance with country-specific prescribing guidelines for metformin Pre-randomisation phase: 2 week screening phase, titration phase not explicitly reported apart from information in arm details, unclear if this is before and separate from the 24 week active treatment (assumed to be included in maintenance phase) **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening glucose-Details of washout period: N/A (drug naïve-see inclusion criteria for definition) lowering therapy Lifestyle advice No details reported Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Hba1c, FPG and body weight were measured at screening and at weeks 0 (baseline), 4, 12, 16 and 24 Arms (1) Vildagliptin N: 169 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: Dose adjustments of study medication were not allowed (2) Metformin N: 166 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Metformin (Oral) - forced titration Treatment(s): Set dose (mg/d):1500 Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: metformin was titrated (started at 500 mg/day with weekly increase of 500 mg) to 1500 mg daily (given as divided doses 1000 mg in morning and 500 mg in evening) for 24 weeks of active treatment. Dose adjustments were not alllowed; patients who were unable to tolerate 1500 mg daily metformin were to be discontinued from the study General **Outcomes** The safety population consisted of all patients who received at least one dose of study medication and who had at least one post baseline safety assessment (n=332). The ITT population comprised all patients who received at least one dose of study medication and had at least one post-baseline Hba1c assessment (n=323)Outcomes relating to ALT and AST and bilirubin (liver function) have not been extracted in this evidence table. 27 (16%) in vildagliptin group and 26 (15.7%) in metformin group discontinued the study Hypoglycaemic events Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (severe hypoglycaemia was defined as any episode requiring the assistance by another party) symptomatic (confirmed) (hypoglycaemia was defined as symptoms suggestive of low blood glucose confirmed by self-monitored blood glucose measurement <3.1 mmol/l plasma equivalent)

# Baseline characteristics

			Vile	dagliptin	Metformin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	169		71.6 (SD 5.2)	166		70.2 (SD 5.1)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	169	75	(44.4%)	166	88	(53.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	169		2.9 (SD 4.2)	166		3 (SD 4.7)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	169	123	(72.8%)	166	117	(70.5%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	169	32	(18.9%)	166	36	(21.7%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	169	13	(7.7%)	166	10	(6.0%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	169	1	(0.6%)	166	3	(1.8%)		
Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	169		9.2 (SD 2.2)	166		9.2 (SD 2.2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	169		29.8 (SD 4.4)	166		29.4 (SD 4.6)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	169		84.10752 (SD 12.4)	166		82.97856 (SD 13)		
Renal function: normalb	Dichotomous	169	65	(38.5%)	166	72	(43.4%)		
mild renal insufficiencyc	Dichotomous	169	102	(60.4%)	166	90	(54.2%)		
moderate renal insufficiencyd	Dichotomous	169	2	(1.2%)	166	4	(2.4%)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	169		7.8 (SD 0.6)	166		7.7 (SD 0.6)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m <sup>b</sup> GFR >80 <sup>c</sup> GFR >=50 to <80 <sup>d</sup> GFR >=30 to <50

## Results

		Vildagliptin		ı	Metfo	rmin			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	169			166			MD=0.110 (CI: 0.080, 0.140)	0.258a
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wkb	Dichotomous	167	82	(49.1%)	165	101	(61.2%)		0.036c
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 24wkb	Dichotomous	167	47	(28.1%)	165	50	(30.3%)		0.649c
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	167		-0.78 (SD 1.55)	165		-1.27 (SD 1.54)		0.006c
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	167		-0.45 (SD 2.58)	165		-1.25 (SD 2.44)		0.004c
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	169		-0.45 (SD 2.58)	166		-1.25 (SD 2.44)		0.004c
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	169			166				NR
symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	169			166				NS
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	169			166				NS
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	169			166				NS
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	169			166				NS
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	169			166				NS

Death – 24wk	Dichotomous	169			166			NR
Dizziness – 24wk	Dichotomous	169			166			NS
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	169			166			0.028c
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	169			166			NS
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	169			166			NS
Hypertension – 24wk	Dichotomous	169			166			NS
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	169			166			NS
Dropouts:								
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	169	27	(16.0%)	166	26	(15.7%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	169			166			NS
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	169			166			NR
Diabetic complications:								
cardiovascular or	5:1.	465			465			N.D.
cerebrovascular – 24wk	Dichotomous	169			166			NR
ITT				-0.64			-0.75	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	159		(SD 0.91)	161		(SD 0.902)	
Safety population								
Hypoglycaemic events:  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event –								
24wk	Dichotomous	167	0	(0.0%)	165	0	(0.0%)	
symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	167	0	(0.0%)	165	2	(1.2%)	
Adverse events:								
GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	167	5	(3.0%)	165	9	(5.5%)	
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	167	74	(44.3%)	165	83	(50.3%)	
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	167	5	(3.0%)	165	6	(3.6%)	
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wk	Dichotomous	167	14	(8.4%)	165	33	(20.0%)	
Death – 24wk	Dichotomous	167	1d	(0.6%)	165	0	(0.0%)	
Dizziness – 24wk	Dichotomous	167	7	(4.2%)	165	4	(2.4%)	
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	167	25	(15.0%)	165	41	(24.8%)	
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	167	5	(3.0%)	165	22	(13.3%)	
Headache – 24wk	Dichotomous	167	6	(3.6%)	165	3	(1.8%)	
Hypertension – 24wk	Dichotomous	167	6	(3.6%)	165	7	(4.2%)	
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	167	8	(4.8%)	165	9	(5.5%)	
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	160	6	(3.6%)	166	13	(7.8%)	
Drop out due to	טוטוטוטוטוטט	108	U	(3.070)	100	13	(1.070)	
unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	169	1	(0.6%)	166	2	(1.2%)	
Diabetic complications:								
cardiovascular or cerebrovascular – 24wk	Dichotomous	167	2	(1.2%)	165	2	(1.2%)	
Baseline Hba1c >8%								
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wke	Mean change	55		-0.93	45		-1.02	
a 02% CI =0 08 to 0 50								

a 95% CI -0.08 to 0.29
b approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
c no other details reported
d not thought to be study drug related
e No SD reported

The last observation carried forward method was used for patients who discontinued early. Adjusted mean changes from baseline in primary and secondary end-points were analysed using ANCOVA model with treatment and pooled centre as the classification variables and baseline Hba1c as the covariate. Adverse events relate to the number of people. The primary efficacy variable was assessed using the ITT population-assumed that all analyses were carried out on ITT population. Assumed SEs reported in text which have been converted to SD in this evidence table

# Table 98: Scott et al. (1999)

1 able 50. 00	ott et al. (1999)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Australia and New Zealand  Authors' conclusions: These data show that acarbose reduces FBG and triglyceride levels, lowers Hba1c and limits the glycaemic and insulin response to food.  Source of funding: Unclear  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 105 Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes, <70 years of age, with diabetes duration between 3-60 months, BMI between 25-35 kg/m2, FBG <10 mmol/l and Hba1c >6% but not >11% Exclusion criteria: No details reported Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 6 week run-in period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: If used these were withdrawn
Lifestyle advice	All patients received diet instructions conforming to current recommendations for type 2 diabetes
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 16 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 16 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients were seen at weeks 4,8,12 and 16
Arms	(1) Placebo N: 52 Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 42 Comments: There was a 6 week placebo run-in Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral) (2) Acarbose N: 53 Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 42 Comments: There was a 6 week placebo run-in Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):300 Frequency of dosing: three times a day Details of dosing regimen: Acarbose, week 1-2 50 mg TID, wk 3-16 100 mg TID, dose reduced to 50 mg TID in case of adverse events
Outcomes	
Baseline characteristics	Placebo Acarbose
	N k mean N k mean Δ p

Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	52		57 (SD 8)	53		56 (SD 9)
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	52	34	(65.4%)	53	33	(62.3%)
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	52		26 (SD 17)	53		21 (SD 15)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	52		6.89 (SD 0.85)	53		7 (SD 0.87)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	52		7.71 (SD 1.46)	53		8.32 (SD 1.78)
Body weight: Weight (kg)	Continuous	52		84 (SD 12)	53		91 (SD 13)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	52		5.86 (SD 1.21)	53		5.8 (SD 1.37)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	52		0.99 (SD 0.31)	53		1.05 (SD 0.26)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	52		2.08 (SD 1.53)	53		1.83 (SD 1.73)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

			F	Placebo	Acarbose				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	52		7.14 (SD 1.19)	53		6.86 (SD 0.79)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	52		8.61 (SD 2.32)	53		7.86 (SD 1.83)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 16wk	Dichotomous	52	49	(94.2%)	53	51	(96.2%)		
Flatulence – 16wk	Dichotomous	52	19	(36.5%)	53	49	(92.5%)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	52	4	(7.7%)	53	4	(7.5%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	52		6.14 (SD 1.17)	53		5.76 (SD 1.17)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	52		1.05 (SD 0.32)	53		1.05 (SD 0.25)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	52		2.23 (SD 1.6)	53		1.68 (SD 1.71)		
PP									
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Mean change	42		0.25 (SD 1.2)	41		-0.14 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	42		0.9 (SD 2.2)	41		-0.46 (SD 2)		

## Table 99: Scott et al. (2007)

General	Phase:
	☑ monotherapy
	☐ dual therapy
	☐ triple therapy
	□ insulin monotherapy
	□ insulin+oral
	Parallel / crossover: Parallel
	Country: multinational

Authors' conclusions: In summary, in this study sitaglitptin improved glycaemic control, with 50 mg bid being the most effective dose, and was generally well tolerated in patients with type 2 diabetes Source of funding: sponsored by Merck & Co Comments: Multinational, double-blind, randomised placebo and active controlled parallel group dose range finding study. Randomised based on computer generated random allocation schedule, and study medication described as matching (no other details relating to blinding or allocation concealment). Number and Total number of patients: 743 characteristics Inclusion criteria: male and female patients 21-75 years of age with type 2 diabetes, either currently on of patients OHA monotherapy (except thiazolidinediones) with Hba1c >=6% and <=9% or not currently on an OHA with Hba1c >=6.5% and <10% were eligible to participate. Exclusion criteria: type 1 diabetes, unstable cardiac disease, active liver or gall blader disease, creatinine clearance <60ml/min or elevated ALT, AST or creatinine phosphokinase Pre-randomisation phase: patients not on an OHA with a Hba1c >=6.5% to <10% entered a diet and exercise period of 2-6 weeks. Patients on OHA monotherapy with Hba1c >=6% to <=9% had their OHA discontinued and enetered a diet and exercise period of 6 weeks. If Hba1c was >=6.5 and <10% and FPG was >=7.22 mmol/l and <=13.32 mmol/l after the exercise and diet run-in period, patients were eligible to be randomised after completing a 2 week single blind placebo run-in period. Tittration period for glipizide formed part of the maintenance period Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or **Previous** glucoselowering Details of washout period: diet and exercise period 2-6 weeks followed by a 2 week single blind, placebo therapy run-in period (see pre-randomisation phase for more details) Lifestyle advice patients received counselling on diet and exercise consistent with ADA recommendations Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 20 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -(1) sitagliptin (5mg bid) Arms N: 125 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56 Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: patients were instructed to take their study medication (sitagliptin or matching placebo and glipizide or matching placebo) twice daily, prior to the morning and evening meals (2) Sitagliptin (12.5 mg bid) N: 123 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56 Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: patients were instructed to take their study medication (sitagliptin or matching placebo and glipizide or matching placebo) twice daily, prior to the morning and evening meals (3) Sitagliptin (25 mg bid) N: 123 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56 Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: patients were instructed to take their study medication (sitagliptin or matching placebo and glipizide or matching placebo) twice daily, prior to the morning and evening meals (4) Sitagliptin (50 mg bid) N: 124 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56 Treatment(s): Sitagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: patients were instructed to take their study medication (sitagliptin or matching placebo and glipizide or matching placebo) twice daily, prior to the morning and evening meals (5) glipizide

N: 123

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 5 Maximum dose (mg/d): 20 Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: the mean daily glucose (MDG) was used to determine whether the glipizide dose was to be uptitrated. At 2 week intervals over the first 6 weeks of treatment, glipizide was uptitrated by 5 mg/day if all the following criteria were met: if MDG >8.88 mmol/l, all fingerstick glucose from the week prior were >5.55 mmol/l and there were no episodes of hypoglycaemia prior to the visit. If patients experienced unexplained hypoglycaemia at any time during the study, glipizide was downtitrated to 5 mg/day and held there for the remainder of the study. If patients continue to experience hypoglycaemic episodes following down titration to 5 mg/day they were discontinued from the study

#### (6) placebo

N: 125

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 56

Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: patients were instructed to take their study medication (sitagliptin or matching placebo and glipizide or matching placebo) twice daily, prior to the

morning and evening meals

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Efficacy analyses were based on the all-patients treated population, consisting of all randomiosed patients who received at least one dose of study drug and who had both a baseline (randomisation visit) and at least one post-randomisation measurement. Missing data were handles using the last observation carried forward method.

17 (13.6%) patients in the placebo group, 18 (14.4%) in 5mg, 7 (5.7%) in 12.5mg, 15 (12.2%) in 25mg, 12 (9.7%) in 50mg and 23 (18.7%) in glipizide groups discontinued the study.

Outcomes not reported in this evidence table include mean daily glucose, fasting insulin, HOMA-beta, HOMA-IR, QUICKI, area under the curve measures, FFA

#### Hypoglycaemic events

All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) (hypoglycaemia was assessed by investigators through reviewing daily glucose logs and patient reported self-report signs an symptoms of hypoglycaemia)

# Baseline characteristics

N	sitagliptin (5mg bid)  N k mean			Sitagliptin (12.5 mg bid)			
	٠,	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
125		55.1 (SD 9.5)	123		56.2 (SD 9)		
ıs 125	62	(49.6%)	123	59	(48.0%)		
125		4.3 (SD 4.1)	123		4.9 (SD 5)		
ıs 125	86	(68.8%)	123	78	(63.4%)		
ıs 125	8	(6.4%)	123	6	(4.9%)		
ıs 125	7	(5.6%)	123	6	(4.9%)		
ıs 125	24	(19.2%)	123	33	(26.8%)		
125		7.9 (SD 1)	123		7.9 (SD 0.9)		
125		9.5 (SD 2.2)	123		9.4 (SD 2)		
125		30.8 (SD 5.1)	123		30.5 (SD 5)		
		86.92992 (SD 14.4)	123		86.0832 (SD 14.1)		
	125 us 125 us 125 us 125 us 125 us 125 125 125 125 125 125	125   125   125   125   125   125   125   125   125   125   125   125   125   125   125   125   125   125   125   125	125   55.1 (SD 9.5)  US 125   62 (49.6%)  125   4.3 (SD 4.1)  US 125   86 (68.8%)  US 125   7 (5.6%)  US 125   7 (5.6%)  US 125   7.9 (SD 1)  125   9.5 (SD 2.2)  125   30.8 (SD 5.1)  86.92992 (SD 14.4)	125   55.1 (SD 9.5)   123 us 125   62 (49.6%)   123 us 125   4.3 (SD 4.1)   123 us 125   86 (68.8%)   123 us 125   8 (6.4%)   123 us 125   7 (5.6%)   123 us 125   24 (19.2%)   123 125   7.9 (SD 1)   123 125   9.5 (SD 2.2)   123 125   30.8 (SD 5.1)   123 86.92992 (SD 14.4)   123	125   55.1 (SD 9.5)   123    125   62 (49.6%)   123   59    125   4.3 (SD 4.1)   123    125   86 (68.8%)   123   78    125   8 (6.4%)   123   6    125   7 (5.6%)   123   6    125   24 (19.2%)   123   33    125   7.9 (SD 1)   123    125   9.5 (SD 2.2)   123    125   30.8 (SD 5.1)   123    86.92992 (SD 14.4)   123	125   55.1 (SD 9.5)   123   56.2 (SD 9)    125   62 (49.6%)   123   59 (48.0%)    125   4.3 (SD 4.1)   123   78 (63.4%)    125   86 (68.8%)   123   78 (63.4%)    125   8 (6.4%)   123   6 (4.9%)    125   7 (5.6%)   123   6 (4.9%)    125   24 (19.2%)   123   33 (26.8%)    125   7.9 (SD 1)   123   7.9 (SD 0.9)    126   125   9.5 (SD 2.2)   123   9.4 (SD 2)    126   30.8 (SD 5.1)   123   30.5 (SD 5)    126   86.92992 (SD	125 55.1 (SD 9.5) 123 56.2 (SD 9)  us 125 62 (49.6%) 123 59 (48.0%)  125 4.3 (SD 4.1) 123 4.9 (SD 5)  us 125 86 (68.8%) 123 78 (63.4%)  us 125 8 (6.4%) 123 6 (4.9%)  us 125 7 (5.6%) 123 6 (4.9%)  us 125 24 (19.2%) 123 33 (26.8%)  125 7.9 (SD 1) 123 7.9 (SD 0.9)  125 9.5 (SD 2.2) 123 9.4 (SD 2)  125 30.8 (SD 5.1) 123 30.5 (SD 5)  86.92992 (SD 14.4) 123 86.0832 (SD 14.1)

estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

si	tagli	ptin (5mg bid)	Sita				
N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р

Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	125		55.1 (SD 9.5)	123		55.6 (SD 9)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	125	62	(49.6%)	123	71	(57.7%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	125		4.3 (SD 4.1)	123		5 (SD 5.2)
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	125	86	(68.8%)	123	75	(61.0%)
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	125	8	(6.4%)	123	11	(8.9%)
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	125	7	(5.6%)	123	6	(4.9%)
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	125	24	(19.2%)	123	31	(25.2%)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	125		7.9 (SD 1)	123		7.9 (SD 0.9)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	125		9.5 (SD 2.2)	123		9.6 (SD 2.2)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	125		30.8 (SD 5.1)	123		31.4 (SD 6.9)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	125		86.92992 (SD 14.4)	123		88.62336 (SD 19.5)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		sita	agliį	otin (5mg bid)	Sitagliptin (50 mg bid)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	125		55.1 (SD 9.5)	124		55.1 (SD 9.8)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	125	62	(49.6%)	124	65	(52.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	125		4.3 (SD 4.1)	124		4.2 (SD 4)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	125	86	(68.8%)	124	86	(69.4%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	125	8	(6.4%)	124	6	(4.8%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	125	7	(5.6%)	124	3	(2.4%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	125	24	(19.2%)	124	29	(23.4%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	125		7.9 (SD 1)	124		7.8 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	125		9.5 (SD 2.2)	124		9.4 (SD 2.2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	125		30.8 (SD 5.1)	124		30.4 (SD 4.9)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	125		86.92992 (SD 14.4)	124		85.80096 (SD 13.8)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		sit	sitagliptin (5mg bid)			placebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:				(05)			(05)		
Age (years)	Continuous	125		55.1 (SD 9.5)	125		55.3 (SD 9.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	125	62	(49.6%)	125	78	(62.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	125		4.3 (SD 4.1)	125		4.8 (SD 4.7)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	125	86	(68.8%)	125	83	(66.4%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	125	8	(6.4%)	125	10	(8.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	125	7	(5.6%)	125	3	(2.4%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	125	24	(19.2%)	125	29	(23.2%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	125		7.9 (SD 1)	125		7.9 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	125		9.5 (SD 2.2)	125		9.6 (SD 2.5)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	125		30.8 (SD 5.1)	125		31.6 (SD 5.8)		

Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	125	86.92992 (SD 14.4)	125	89.18784 (SD 16.4)	
2			,		,	

<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Sit	Sitagliptin (12.5 mg bid)			Sitagliptin (25 mg bid)			
		N	k	mean	N k		mean		р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	123		56.2 (SD 9)	123		55.6 (SD 9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	123	59	(48.0%)	123	71	(57.7%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	123		4.9 (SD 5)	123		5 (SD 5.2)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	123	78	(63.4%)	123	75	(61.0%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	123	6	(4.9%)	123	11	(8.9%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	123	6	(4.9%)	123	6	(4.9%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	123	33	(26.8%)	123	31	(25.2%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	123		7.9 (SD 0.9)	123		7.9 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	123		9.4 (SD 2)	123		9.6 (SD 2.2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	123		30.5 (SD 5)	123		31.4 (SD 6.9)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	123		86.0832 (SD 14.1)	123		88.62336 (SD 19.5)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Sit	Sitagliptin (12.5 mg bid)			Sitagliptin (50 mg bid)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	123		56.2 (SD 9)	124		55.1 (SD 9.8)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	123	59	(48.0%)	124	65	(52.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	123		4.9 (SD 5)	124		4.2 (SD 4)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	123	78	(63.4%)	124	86	(69.4%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	123	6	(4.9%)	124	6	(4.8%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	123	6	(4.9%)	124	3	(2.4%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	123	33	(26.8%)	124	29	(23.4%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	123		7.9 (SD 0.9)	124		7.8 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	123		9.4 (SD 2)	124		9.4 (SD 2.2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	123		30.5 (SD 5)	124		30.4 (SD 4.9)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	123		86.0832 (SD 14.1)	124		85.80096 (SD 13.8)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Sitagliptin (12.5 mg bid)				lipizide			
1		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	123		56.2 (SD 9)	123		54.7 (SD 10.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	123	59	(48.0%)	123	70	(56.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	123		4.9 (SD 5)	123		4.7 (SD 4.2)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	123	78	(63.4%)	123	75	(61.0%)		

Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	123	6	(4.9%)	123	4	(3.3%)
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	123	6	(4.9%)	123	6	(4.9%)
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	123	33	(26.8%)	123	38	(30.9%)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	123		7.9 (SD 0.9)	123		7.9 (SD 1)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	123		9.4 (SD 2)	123		9.5 (SD 2.2)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	123		30.5 (SD 5)	123		30.6 (SD 5.3)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	123		86.0832 (SD 14.1)	123		86.36544 (SD 15)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Sitagliptin (12.5 mg bid)			placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	123		56.2 (SD 9)	125		55.3 (SD 9.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	123	59	(48.0%)	125	78	(62.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	123		4.9 (SD 5)	125		4.8 (SD 4.7)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	123	78	(63.4%)	125	83	(66.4%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	123	6	(4.9%)	125	10	(8.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	123	6	(4.9%)	125	3	(2.4%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	123	33	(26.8%)	125	29	(23.2%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	123		7.9 (SD 0.9)	125		7.9 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	123		9.4 (SD 2)	125		9.6 (SD 2.5)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	123		30.5 (SD 5)	125		31.6 (SD 5.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	123		86.0832 (SD 14.1)	125		89.18784 (SD 16.4)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Sita	glip	tin (25 mg bid)	Sita	glip	tin (50 mg bid)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	.	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	123		55.6 (SD 9)	124		55.1 (SD 9.8)			
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	123	71	(57.7%)	124	65	(52.4%)			
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	123		5 (SD 5.2)	124		4.2 (SD 4)			
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	123	75	(61.0%)	124	86	(69.4%)			
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	123	11	(8.9%)	124	6	(4.8%)			
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	123	6	(4.9%)	124	3	(2.4%)			
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	123	31	(25.2%)	124	29	(23.4%)			
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	123		7.9 (SD 0.9)	124		7.8 (SD 1)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	123		9.6 (SD 2.2)	124		9.4 (SD 2.2)			
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	123		31.4 (SD 6.9)	124		30.4 (SD 4.9)			
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	123		88.62336 (SD 19.5)	124		85.80096 (SD 13.8)			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Sita	glip	tin (25 mg bid)		olacebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	123		55.6 (SD 9)	125		55.3 (SD 9.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	123	71	(57.7%)	125	78	(62.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	123		5 (SD 5.2)	125		4.8 (SD 4.7)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	123	75	(61.0%)	125	83	(66.4%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	123	11	(8.9%)	125	10	(8.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	123	6	(4.9%)	125	3	(2.4%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	123	31	(25.2%)	125	29	(23.2%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	123		7.9 (SD 0.9)	125		7.9 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	123		9.6 (SD 2.2)	125		9.6 (SD 2.5)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	123		31.4 (SD 6.9)	125		31.6 (SD 5.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	123		88.62336 (SD 19.5)	125		89.18784 (SD 16.4)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Sita	glip	tin (50 mg bid)		g	lipizide		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	124		55.1 (SD 9.8)	123		54.7 (SD 10.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	124	65	(52.4%)	123	70	(56.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	124		4.2 (SD 4)	123		4.7 (SD 4.2)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	124	86	(69.4%)	123	75	(61.0%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	124	6	(4.8%)	123	4	(3.3%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	124	3	(2.4%)	123	6	(4.9%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	124	29	(23.4%)	123	38	(30.9%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	124		7.8 (SD 1)	123		7.9 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	124		9.4 (SD 2.2)	123		9.5 (SD 2.2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	124		30.4 (SD 4.9)	123		30.6 (SD 5.3)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	124		85.80096 (SD 13.8)	123		86.36544 (SD 15)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Sita	Sitagliptin (50 mg bid			placebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	124		55.1 (SD 9.8)	125		55.3 (SD 9.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	124	65	` ′	125	78	` ′		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	124		4.2 (SD 4)	125		4.8 (SD 4.7)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	124	86	(69.4%)	125	83	(66.4%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	124	6	(4.8%)	125	10	(8.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	124	3	(2.4%)	125	3	(2.4%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	124	29	(23.4%)	125	29	(23.2%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	124		7.8 (SD 1)	125		7.9 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	124		9.4 (SD 2.2)	125		9.6 (SD 2.5)		

Body weight:					
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	124	30.4 (SD 4.9)	125	31.6 (SD 5.8)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	124	85.80096 (SD 13.8)	125	89.18784 (SD 16.4)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

			g	lipizide	placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	123		54.7 (SD 10.7)	125		55.3 (SD 9.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	123	70	(56.9%)	125	78	(62.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	123		4.7 (SD 4.2)	125		4.8 (SD 4.7)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	123	75	(61.0%)	125	83	(66.4%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	123	4	(3.3%)	125	10	(8.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	123	6	(4.9%)	125	3	(2.4%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	123	38	(30.9%)	125	29	(23.2%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	123		7.9 (SD 1)	125		7.9 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	123		9.5 (SD 2.2)	125		9.6 (SD 2.5)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	123		30.6 (SD 5.3)	125		31.6 (SD 5.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	123		86.36544 (SD 15)	125		89.18784 (SD 16.4)		
<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mea									

#### Results

		sitagliptin (5mg bid)			Sita		tin (12.5 mg bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	122		-0.15 (SD 0.789)	122		-0.41 (SD 0.789)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	124		-0.04 (SD 1.99)	123		-0.72 (SD 1.98)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	44		-1.63 (SD 3.22)	39		-1.83 (SD 3.22)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	0	(0.0%)	123	5	(4.1%)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	68	(54.4%)	123	67	(54.5%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	4	(3.2%)	123	2	(1.6%)		
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	0	(0.0%)	123	0	(0.0%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	11	(8.9%)	123	20	(16.3%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	18	(14.4%)	123	7	(5.7%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	2	(1.6%)	123	3	(2.4%)		
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	1	(0.8%)	123	3	(2.4%)		
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	0	(0.0%)	123	0	(0.0%)		
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	0	(0.0%)	123	0	(0.0%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	7	(5.6%)	123	2	(1.6%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	120		1.9 (SD 13.4)	121		1 (SD 12.9)		

HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	120	1.1 (SD 12.3)	121	3 (SD 12.3)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	120	9.8 (SD 38.6)	121	-0.5 (SD 38.2)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	120	1.7 (SD 20.5)	121	3 (SD 20.9)

		sita	glipt	in (5mg bid)	Sitagliptin (25 mg bid)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	122		-0.15 (SD 0.789)	120		-0.43 (SD 0.727)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	124		-0.04 (SD 1.99)	121		-0.72 (SD 2.02)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	44		-1.63 (SD 3.22)	28		-2.2 (SD 4.4)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	0	(0.0%)	123	5	(4.1%)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	68	(54.4%)	123	76	(61.8%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk  Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	4	(34.4%)	123	1	(0.8%)		
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	125		(0.0%)	123		(0.0%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous			(8.9%)	123		(13.8%)		
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	18	(14.4%)	123	15	(12.2%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	2	(1.6%)	123	1	(0.8%)		
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	1	(0.8%)	123	1	(0.8%)		
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	0	(0.0%)	123	0	(0.0%)		
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	0	(0.0%)	123	0	(0.0%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	7	(5.6%)	123	8	(6.5%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	120		1.9 (SD 13.4)	117		1.2 (SD 13.2)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	120		1.1 (SD 12.3)	117		4.1 (SD 12.7)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	120		9.8 (SD 38.6)	117		4.9 (SD 38.6)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	120		1.7 (SD 20.5)	117		1.9 (SD 20.9)		

		sitagliptin (5mg bid)				agli	ptin (50 mg bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	122		-0.15 (SD 0.789)	121		-0.54 (SD 0.786)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	124		-0.04 (SD 1.99)	122		-1.01 (SD 1.97)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	44		-1.63 (SD 3.22)	40		-2.69 (SD 3.23)		

Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	0	(0.0%)	124	2	(1.6%)
Adverse events:							
Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	68	(54.4%)	124	73	(58.9%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	4	(3.2%)	124	3	(2.4%)
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	0	(0.0%)	124	0	(0.0%)
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	11	(8.9%)	122	15	(12.3%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	18	(14.4%)	124	12	(9.7%)
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	2	(1.6%)	124	3	(2.4%)
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	1	(0.8%)	124	1	(0.8%)
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	0	(0.0%)	124	0	(0.0%)
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	0	(0.0%)	124	0	(0.0%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	7	(5.6%)	124	1	(0.8%)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	120		1.9 (SD 13.4)	119		3.4 (SD 13.4)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	120		1.1 (SD 12.3)	119		4.6 (SD 12.8)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	120		9.8 (SD 38.6)	119		3.6 (SD 38.4)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	120		1.7 (SD 20.5)	119		5.5 (SD 21)

		sitagliptin (5mg							
			bi	d)		plac	ebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	122		-0.15 (SD 0.789)	121		0.23 (SD 0.73)	MD=-0.380 (CI: -0.580, -0.180)	<0.001a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	124		-0.04 (SD 1.99)	123		0.44 (SD 1.98)	MD=-0.480 (CI: -0.970, 0.010)	0.051a
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	125			125				b
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	44		-1.63 (SD 3.22)	38		0.31 (SD 3.21)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	125			125			MD=0.100 (CI: -0.500, 0.700)	>0.1
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	0	(0.0%)	125	3	(2.4%)		b
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	68	(54.4%)	125	67	(53.6%)		b
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	4	(3.2%)	125	4	(3.2%)		b
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	0	(0.0%)	125	0	(0.0%)		b
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	11	(8.9%)	125	12	(9.6%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	18	(14.4%)	125	17	(13.6%)		

Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	2	(1.6%)	125	1	(0.8%)		b
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	1	(0.8%)	125	0	(0.0%)		b
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	0	(0.0%)	125	0	(0.0%)		b
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	0	(0.0%)	125	0	(0.0%)		b
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	125	7	(5.6%)	125	9	(7.2%)		b
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	120		1.9 (SD 13.4)	117		1.6 (SD 12.7)	MD=0.200 (CI: -3.900, 4.300)	NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	120		1.1 (SD 12.3)	117		0.6 (SD 12.7)	MD=0.500 (CI: -2.700, 3.700)	NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	120		9.8 (SD 38.6)	117		13.9 (SD 38.6)	MD=-4.100 (CI: -13.800, 5.600)	NS
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	120		1.7 (SD 20.5)	117		0.9 (SD 21.7)	MD=0.900 (CI: -4.300, 6.100)	NS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p-value is trend test for sitagliptin dose vs. placebo not reported

		Sita		tin (12.5 mg bid)	Sit	agli	ptin (25 mg bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	122		-0.41 (SD 0.789)	120		-0.43 (SD 0.727)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	123		-0.72 (SD 1.98)	121		-0.72 (SD 2.02)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	39		-1.83 (SD 3.22)	28		-2.2 (SD 4.4)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	5	(4.1%)	123	5	(4.1%)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	67	(54.5%)	123	76	(61.8%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	2	(1.6%)	123	1	(0.8%)		
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	123	0	(0.0%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	20	(16.3%)	123	17	(13.8%)		
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	7	(5.7%)	123	15	(12.2%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	3	(2.4%)	123	1	(0.8%)		
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	3	(2.4%)	123	1	(0.8%)		
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	123	0	(0.0%)		
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	123	0	(0.0%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	2	(1.6%)	123	8	(6.5%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		1 (SD 12.9)	117		1.2 (SD 13.2)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		3 (SD 12.3)	117		4.1 (SD 12.7)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		-0.5 (SD 38.2)	117		4.9 (SD 38.6)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		3 (SD 20.9)	117		1.9 (SD 20.9)		

		Sita	glip	tin (12.5 mg bid)	Sit		ptin (50 mg bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	122		-0.41 (SD 0.789)	121		-0.54 (SD 0.786)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	123		-0.72 (SD 1.98)	122		-1.01 (SD 1.97)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	39		-1.83 (SD 3.22)	40		-2.69 (SD 3.23)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	5	(4.1%)	124	2	(1.6%)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	67	(54.5%)	124	73	(58.9%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123		(1.6%)	124	3	(2.4%)		
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	123		(0.0%)	124		(0.0%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous			(16.3%)	122	15	,		
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	7	(5.7%)	124	12	(9.7%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	3	(2.4%)	124	3	(2.4%)		
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	3	(2.4%)	124	1	(0.8%)		
drop out due to SAE - 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	124	0	(0.0%)		
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	124	0	(0.0%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	2	(1.6%)	124	1	(0.8%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		1 (SD 12.9)	119		3.4 (SD 13.4)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		3 (SD 12.3)	119		4.6 (SD 12.8)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		-0.5 (SD 38.2)	119		3.6 (SD 38.4)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		3 (SD 20.9)	119		5.5 (SD 21)		

		Sitagliptin (12.5 mg bid)				glipizide			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	122		-0.41 (SD 0.789)	119		-0.76 (SD 0.779)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	123		-0.72 (SD 1.98)	121		-1.38 (SD 1.96)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	39		-1.83 (SD 3.22)	32		-3.69 (SD 3.2)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	5	(4.1%)	123	21	(17.1%)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	67	(54.5%)	123	77	(62.6%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	2	(1.6%)	123	6	(4.9%)		
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	123	0	(0.0%)		

Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	20	(16.3%)	123	34	(27.6%)
Dropouts:							
Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	7	(5.7%)	123	23	(18.7%)
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	3	(2.4%)	123	7	(5.7%)
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	3	(2.4%)	123	4	(3.3%)
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	123	3	(2.4%)
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	123	0	(0.0%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	2	(1.6%)	123	2	(1.6%)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		1 (SD 12.9)	112		1.8 (SD 13.5)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		3 (SD 12.3)	112		2.8 (SD 13)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		-0.5 (SD 38.2)	112		7 (SD 38.3)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		3 (SD 20.9)	112		2 (SD 21.5)

				tin (12.5 bid)		plac	ebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	122		-0.41 (SD 0.789)	121		0.23 (SD 0.73)	MD=-0.640 (CI: -0.840, -0.440)	<0.001a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	123		-0.72 (SD 1.98)	123		0.44 (SD 1.98)	MD=-1.160 (CI: -1.650, -0.670)	<0.001a
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	123			125				b
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	39		-1.83 (SD 3.22)	38		0.31 (SD 3.21)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	123			125			MD=0.100 (CI: - 0.500, 0.700)	>0.1
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	5	(4.1%)	125	3	(2.4%)		b
Adverse events:  Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	67	(54.5%)	125	67	(53.6%)		b
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	2	(1.6%)	125	4	(3.2%)		b
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	125	0	(0.0%)		b
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	20	(16.3%)	125	12	(9.6%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	7	(5.7%)	125	17	(13.6%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	3	(2.4%)	125	1	(0.8%)		b
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	3	(2.4%)	125	0	(0.0%)		b
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	125	0	(0.0%)		b

drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	125	0	(0.0%)		b
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	2	(1.6%)	125	9	(7.2%)		b
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		1 (SD 12.9)	117		1.6 (SD 12.7)	MD=-0.600 (CI: -3.900, 2.700)	NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		3 (SD 12.3)	117		0.6 (SD 12.7)	MD=2.400 (CI: - 0.800, 5.600)	NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		-0.5 (SD 38.2)	117		13.9 (SD 38.6)	MD=-14.400 (CI: -24.100, - 4.700)	<0.05
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		3 (SD 20.9)	117		0.9 (SD 21.7)	MD=2.100 (CI: - 3.100, 7.300)	NS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p-value is trend test for sitagliptin dose vs. placebo <sup>b</sup> not reported

		Sit	-	ptin (25 mg bid)	Sit		ptin (50 mg bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	120		-0.43 (SD 0.727)	121		-0.54 (SD 0.786)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		-0.72 (SD 2.02)	122		-1.01 (SD 1.97)		
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	28		-2.2 (SD 4.4)	40		-2.69 (SD 3.23)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	5	(4.1%)	124	2	(1.6%)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	76	(61.8%)	124	73	(58.9%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	1	(0.8%)	124	3	(2.4%)		
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	124	0	(0.0%)		
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	17	(13.8%)	122	15	(12.3%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	15	(12.2%)	124	12	(9.7%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	1	(0.8%)	124	3	(2.4%)		
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	1	(0.8%)	124	1	(0.8%)		
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	124	0	(0.0%)		
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	124	0	(0.0%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	8	(6.5%)	124	1	(0.8%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	117		1.2 (SD 13.2)	119		3.4 (SD 13.4)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	117		4.1 (SD 12.7)	119		4.6 (SD 12.8)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	117		4.9 (SD 38.6)	119		3.6 (SD 38.4)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	117		1.9 (SD 20.9)	119		5.5 (SD 21)		

Sitagliptin (25 mg bid) placebo	Δ	p
------------------------------------	---	---

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	120		-0.43 (SD 0.727)	121		0.23 (SD 0.73)	MD=-0.660 (CI: -0.850, -0.470)	<0.001a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		-0.72 (SD 2.02)	123		0.44 (SD 1.98)	MD=-1.160 (CI: -1.660, -0.660)	<0.001a
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	123			125				b
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	28		-2.2 (SD 4.4)	38		0.31 (SD 3.21)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	123			125			MD=0.300 (CI: -0.200, 0.800)	>0.1
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	5	(4.1%)	125	3	(2.4%)		b
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	76	(61.8%)	125	67	(53.6%)		b
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	1	(0.8%)	125	4	(3.2%)		b
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	125	0	(0.0%)		b
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	17	(13.8%)	125	12	(9.6%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	15	(12.2%)	125	17	(13.6%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	1	(0.8%)	125	1	(0.8%)		b
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	1	(0.8%)	125	0	(0.0%)		b
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	125	0	(0.0%)		b
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	125	0	(0.0%)		b
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	8	(6.5%)	125	9	(7.2%)		b
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	117		1.2 (SD 13.2)	117		1.6 (SD 12.7)	MD=-0.400 (CI: -3.800, 3.000)	NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	117		4.1 (SD 12.7)	117		0.6 (SD 12.7)	MD=3.500 (CI: 0.200, 6.800)	<0.05
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	117		4.9 (SD 38.6)	117		13.9 (SD 38.6)	MD=-9.000 (CI: -18.800, 0.800)	<0.05
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	117		1.9 (SD 20.9)	117		0.9 (SD 21.7)	MD=1.000 (CI: -4.200, 6.200)	NS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p-value is trend test for sitagliptin dose vs. placebo <sup>b</sup> not reported

		Sit	agli	ptin (50 mg bid)		gl	lipizide		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	121		-0.54 (SD 0.786)	119		-0.76 (SD 0.779)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	122		-1.01 (SD 1.97)	121		-1.38 (SD 1.96)		

2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	40		-2.69 (SD 3.23)	32		-3.69 (SD 3.2)
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	2	(1.6%)	123	21	(17.1%)
Adverse events:							
Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	73	(58.9%)	123	77	(62.6%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	3	(2.4%)	123	6	(4.9%)
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	0	(0.0%)	123	0	(0.0%)
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	122	15	(12.3%)	123	34	(27.6%)
Dropouts:							
Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	12	(9.7%)	123	23	(18.7%)
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	3	(2.4%)	123	7	(5.7%)
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	1	(0.8%)	123	4	(3.3%)
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	0	(0.0%)	123	3	(2.4%)
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	0	(0.0%)	123	0	(0.0%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	1	(0.8%)	123	2	(1.6%)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	119		3.4 (SD 13.4)	112		1.8 (SD 13.5)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	119		4.6 (SD 12.8)	112		2.8 (SD 13)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	119		3.6 (SD 38.4)	112		7 (SD 38.3)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	119		5.5 (SD 21)	112		2 (SD 21.5)

				ptin (50 bid)		plac	ebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	121		-0.54 (SD 0.786)	121		0.23 (SD 0.73)	MD=-0.770 (CI: -0.960, -0.580)	<0.001a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	122		-1.01 (SD 1.97)	123		0.44 (SD 1.98)	MD=-1.450 (CI: -1.940, -0.960)	<0.001a
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	124			125				b
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	40		-2.69 (SD 3.23)	38		0.31 (SD 3.21)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	124			125			MD=0.400 (CI: - 0.200, 1.000)	>0.1
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	2	(1.6%)	125	3	(2.4%)		b
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	73	(58.9%)	125	67	(53.6%)		b
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	3	(2.4%)	125	4	(3.2%)		b
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	0	(0.0%)	125	0	(0.0%)		b
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	122	15	(12.3%)	125	12	(9.6%)		

Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	12	(9.7%)	125	17	(13.6%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	3	(2.4%)	125	1	(0.8%)		b
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	1	(0.8%)	125	0	(0.0%)		b
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	0	(0.0%)	125	0	(0.0%)		b
drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	0	(0.0%)	125	0	(0.0%)		b
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	124	1	(0.8%)	125	9	(7.2%)		b
Lipids:									
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	119		3.4 (SD 13.4)	117		1.6 (SD 12.7)	MD=1.700 (CI: - 1.600, 5.000)	NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	119		4.6 (SD 12.8)	117		0.6 (SD 12.7)	MD=3.900 (CI: 0.700, 7.100)	<0.05
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	119		3.6 (SD 38.4)	117		13.9 (SD 38.6)	MD=-10.300 (CI: -20.100, - 0.500)	<0.05
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) - 12wk	Mean change	119		5.5 (SD 21)	117		0.9 (SD 21.7)	MD=4.700 (CI: - 0.600, 10.000)	NS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p-value is trend test for sitagliptin dose vs. placebo <sup>b</sup> not reported

			glip	izide		plac	ebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	119		-0.76 (SD 0.779)	121		0.23 (SD 0.73)	MD=-1.000 (CI: - 1.190, -0.810)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	121		-1.38 (SD 1.96)	123		0.44 (SD 1.98)	MD=-1.820 (CI: - 2.310, -1.330)	
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	123			125				а
2-h post prandial glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	32		-3.69 (SD 3.2)	38		0.31 (SD 3.21)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	123			125			MD=1.300 (CI: 0.800, 1.800)	
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	21	(17.1%)	125	3	(2.4%)		а
Adverse events:  Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	77	(62.6%)	125	67	(53.6%)		а
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	6	(4.9%)	125	4	(3.2%)		а
Serious AE drug related – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	125	0	(0.0%)		а
Study drug-related adverse event – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	34	(27.6%)	125	12	(9.6%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	23	(18.7%)	125	17	(13.6%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	7	(5.7%)	125	1	(0.8%)		а
drop out due to drug related AE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	4	(3.3%)	125	0	(0.0%)		а
drop out due to SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	3	(2.4%)	125	0	(0.0%)		а

drop out due to drug related SAE – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	0	(0.0%)	125	0	(0.0%)		а
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 12wk	Dichotomous	123	2	(1.6%)	125	9	(7.2%)		а
	Mean change	112		1.8 (SD 13.5)	117		1.6 (SD 12.7)	MD=0.100 (CI: - 3.300, 3.500)	NS
	Mean change	112		2.8 (SD 13)	117		0.6 (SD 12.7)	MD=2.100 (CI: - 1.100, 5.300)	NS
	Mean change	112		7 (SD 38.3)	117		13.9 (SD 38.6)	MD=-6.900 (CI: - 16.800, 3.000)	NS
	Mean change	112		2 (SD 21.5)	117		0.9 (SD 21.7)	MD=1.100 (CI: - 4.200, 6.400)	NS
<sup>a</sup> not reported									
LS mean change from baseline treatment groups for continuous baseline values and prior OHA were assessed by testing the di	s efficacy parai status as cova	meter riates	s, fo	cusing on e between	chanç grou	ge fi p dif	om basel ferences	ine at week 12, with (relative to placebo	1

Table 100: Segal et al. (1997)

General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Austria, Germany, Israel and Czech Republic  Authors' conclusions: Miglitol monotherapy is effective and safe in NIDDM patients. Compared with glibenclamide, it reduced Hba1c less effectively and caused moe gastrointestinal effects. On the other hand, glibenclamide tended to cause hypoglycaemia, hyperinsulinemia and weight gain, which are not desirable in patients with NIDDM  Source of funding: Employees of Bayer were involved  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 79 Inclusion criteria: patients with NIDDM of at least 3 months duration, stable bodyweight on diet alone, no other diabetes medication in previous 3 months, hba1c between 7.5 and 9.5% Exclusion criteria: Major illness Pre-randomisation phase: there was a 4 week placebo run-in period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: -
Lifestyle advice	-
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: outcomes were determined at the onset, middle and end of the treatment period
Arms	(1) sulfonylurea (glibenclamide) N: 37 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: treatment naïve

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Mean dose (mg/d): 3.6

Details of dosing regimen: Glibenclamide 3.5 mg once or twice daily. The dose could be doubled after 4 weeks if hyperglycaemia was not acceptable, it did not allow dose lowering, therefore those with symptomatic hypoglycaemia had to drop out of the study.

The mean daily dose in the last 3 months was 3.6 mg.

(2) Placebo

N: 42

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: treatment naïve Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Data were extracted from 2/3 of the arms (data for miglitol were not extracted as this drug was not included as part of the scope)

# Baseline characteristics

				onylurea enclamide)		Pla	cebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	37		56	42		59		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	37	23	(62.2%)	42	24	(57.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs) a	Continuous	37			42				
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	37		7.96	42		8.25		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	37		9.6015	42		9.546		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> NR

#### Results

				onylurea nclamide)		Pla	cebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	37		-1.01a	42		b		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wka	Mean change	37		-0.777	42		0.7215		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	69	13	(18.8%)	65	14	(21.5%)		
Flatulence – 24wk	Dichotomous	69	6	(8.7%)	65	3	(4.6%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	69	2	(2.9%)	65	1	(1.5%)		
liver function/liver enzymes - 24wk	Dichotomous	69	1	(1.4%)	65	2	(3.1%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	61	11	(18.0%)	64	6	(9.4%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	69	2	(2.9%)	65	1	(1.5%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD not reported

Table 101: Shah et al. (2011)

General Phase:

☑ monotherapy

General Phase:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> NR

	☐ dual therapy☐ triple therapy☐ insulin monoth☐ insulin+oral☐ drarallel / crossocountry: - Authors' conclu Cource of fundin Comments: -	ver: Parallel					
characteristics of patients	Fotal number of nclusion criteriand exercise Exclusion criter	a: Adults (30 to 65 years) with	n newly diagnos	ed T2DM not o	on insul	lin, un	ncontrolled by diet
glucose-		s previously taking glucose out period: None. All patients	_				
		t to maintain weight (55-60% nabits, physical activity and go			0% prot	tein) a	and motivated to
L L	ength of maint	(wks): 52 on period (wks): - enance period (wks): 52 onitoring appointments: Ev	ery 2 week				
T V C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	ng/day) Freatment(s):  2) Glibenclamic N: 100 Freatment duration Washout period (	d): 0  regalinide given up to three time  repaglinide (Oral) – flexible-of  Minimum dose (mg/d): 1.5  Maximum dose (mg/d): 6  Frequency of dosing: three to  Details of dosing regimen: So  uptitrated to a maximum of 2  le  on (wks): 52	dose (dose-adjuitmes a day of a distring dose of 2 mg 3 times per adjusted for blooding the district of the di	0.5mg 3 times day based on	per day blood ( vel (me	/ befoglucos	ore meals and se levels ose = 8.8 mg/day)
Outcomes  Baseline							
characteristics							epaglinide
_					N	k	mean
	Demographics:		0	atinuo::a	100		46 (SD 40 4)
	Age (years) Sex (n male)			ntinuous hotomous	100	31	46 (SD 10.1) (31.0%)
	Blood glucose:		DIC	ilotoriious	100	JI	(31.070)
	Ū	a glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk	Cor	ntinuous	100		161 (SD 53)
		a glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk		ntinuous	100		161 (SD 53)
	Body weight:						
	BMI (kg/m2)		Cor	ntinuous	100		27.2 (SD 3.2)
	Weight (kg) -			ntinuous	100		66.8 (SD 9.5)
	Weight (kg) -	EQuil.	Cor	ntinuous	100		66.8 (SD 9.5)

	Llaight (age)	Cti	400		450 (CD 50)
	Height (cm)	Continuous	100		150 (SD 50)
				_	libenclamide
			N	k	mean
	Demographics:				
	Age (years)	Continuous	100		45.2 (SD 8.2)
	Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	100	20	(20.0%)
	Blood glucose:				
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk	Continuous	100		140 (SD 50)
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk	Continuous	100		140 (SD 50)
	Body weight:				
	BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	100		30.2 (SD 5.5)
	Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	100		72.5 (SD 17.3)
	Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	100		72.5 (SD 17.3)
	Height (cm)	Continuous	100		150 (SD 50)
Results					Repaglinide
			N		k mean
	Blood glucose:				
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk	Continuous	10	0	120 (SD 26)
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk	Continuous	10	0	120 (SD 26)
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk	Continuous	10	0	105 (SD 11)
	Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk	Continuous	10	0	105 (SD 11)
	Body weight:				
	Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous	10	0	66 (SD 9.5)
	Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous	10	00	66 (SD 9.5)
	Weight (kg) – 26wk Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous Continuous	10		66 (SD 9.5) 65 (SD 8.7)
	Weight (kg) – 52wk			00	65 (SD 8.7)
	- · · · ·	Continuous	10	00	
	Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	10	00	65 (SD 8.7)
	Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	10	00	65 (SD 8.7)
	Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	10	00	65 (SD 8.7) 65 (SD 8.7)
	Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	10	00	65 (SD 8.7)
	Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	10	00	65 (SD 8.7) 65 (SD 8.7) libenclamide
	Weight (kg) – 52wk Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	10	00 00 <b>G</b>	65 (SD 8.7) 65 (SD 8.7) libenclamide
	Weight (kg) – 52wk Weight (kg) – 52wk  Blood glucose:	Continuous	10	00 00 <b>G</b>	65 (SD 8.7) 65 (SD 8.7) libenclamide mean
	Weight (kg) – 52wk  Weight (kg) – 52wk  Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk	Continuous Continuous	10 10	00 00 <b>G</b>	65 (SD 8.7) 65 (SD 8.7) libenclamide mean
	Weight (kg) – 52wk  Weight (kg) – 52wk  Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk  Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk	Continuous Continuous Continuous	100 100	00 00 <b>G</b>	65 (SD 8.7) 65 (SD 8.7) libenclamide mean 110 (SD 18) 110 (SD 18)
	Weight (kg) – 52wk  Weight (kg) – 52wk  Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk	Continuous  Continuous  Continuous  Continuous  Continuous  Continuous	N 100 100 100	00 00 <b>G</b>	65 (SD 8.7) 65 (SD 8.7) libenclamide mean 110 (SD 18) 110 (SD 18) 103 (SD 12.7)
	Weight (kg) – 52wk  Weight (kg) – 52wk  Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk	Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	100 100 100	00 00 <b>G</b>	65 (SD 8.7) 65 (SD 8.7) libenclamide mean 110 (SD 18) 110 (SD 18)
	Weight (kg) – 52wk  Weight (kg) – 52wk  Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk Body weight:	Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 100 100 100 100	00 00 <b>G</b>	65 (SD 8.7) 65 (SD 8.7) libenclamide mean 110 (SD 18) 110 (SD 18) 103 (SD 12.7) 103 (SD 12.7)
	Weight (kg) – 52wk  Weight (kg) – 52wk  Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 100 100 100 100 100	00 00 <b>G</b>	65 (SD 8.7) 65 (SD 8.7) libenclamide mean 110 (SD 18) 110 (SD 18) 103 (SD 12.7) 103 (SD 12.7) 72.6 (SD 16.6)
	Weight (kg) – 52wk  Weight (kg) – 52wk  Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk  Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk  Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wk  Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 100 100 100 100 100 100	00 00 <b>G</b>	65 (SD 8.7) 65 (SD 8.7) libenclamide mean 110 (SD 18) 110 (SD 18) 103 (SD 12.7) 103 (SD 12.7) 72.6 (SD 16.6) 72.6 (SD 16.6)
	Weight (kg) – 52wk  Weight (kg) – 52wk  Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk  Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wk  Weight (kg) – 26wk  Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	N 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	00 00 <b>G</b>	65 (SD 8.7) 65 (SD 8.7) libenclamide mean 110 (SD 18) 110 (SD 18) 103 (SD 12.7) 103 (SD 12.7) 72.6 (SD 16.6) 72.7 (SD 15.3)
	Weight (kg) – 52wk  Weight (kg) – 52wk  Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk  Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk  Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wk  Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 100 100 100 100 100 100	00 00 <b>G</b>	65 (SD 8.7) 65 (SD 8.7) libenclamide mean 110 (SD 18) 110 (SD 18) 103 (SD 12.7) 103 (SD 12.7) 72.6 (SD 16.6) 72.6 (SD 16.6)
	Weight (kg) – 52wk  Weight (kg) – 52wk  Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk  Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wk  Weight (kg) – 26wk  Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	N 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	00 00 <b>G</b>	65 (SD 8.7) 65 (SD 8.7) libenclamide mean 110 (SD 18) 110 (SD 18) 103 (SD 12.7) 103 (SD 12.7) 72.6 (SD 16.6) 72.7 (SD 15.3)
	Weight (kg) – 52wk  Weight (kg) – 52wk  Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk  Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wk  Weight (kg) – 26wk  Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	N 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	00 00 <b>G</b>	65 (SD 8.7) 65 (SD 8.7) libenclamide mean 110 (SD 18) 110 (SD 18) 103 (SD 12.7) 103 (SD 12.7) 72.6 (SD 16.6) 72.7 (SD 15.3)
	Weight (kg) – 52wk  Weight (kg) – 52wk  Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) – 52wk  Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wk  Weight (kg) – 26wk  Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	N 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	00 00 <b>G</b>	65 (SD 8.7) 65 (SD 8.7) libenclamide mean 110 (SD 18) 110 (SD 18) 103 (SD 12.7) 103 (SD 12.7) 72.6 (SD 16.6) 72.7 (SD 15.3)

#### **Table 102:** Shihara et al. (2011)

#### General Phase: ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy □ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy □ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: Japan Authors' conclusions: there was no statistically significant difference between glimepiride and pioglitazone with respect to glycaemic control and both agents were well tolerated. Glimepiride significantly lowered total cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol, whereas pioglitazone increased HDL-cholesterol Source of funding: Funded by a grant from Sanofi Aventis Comments: non-blinded, randomised parallel group trial. Randomisation was carried out by a central registration method but no further details of method of randomisation and allocation concealment reported Number and Total number of patients: 191 characteristics Inclusion criteria: outpatients of either sex with type 2 diabetes aged 30-75 years who were committed to a of patients stable dietary and exercise regimen for > 1 month before randomisation were eligible for recruitment. Hba1c had to be 6.9 to <10.4% 1 month before and at randomisation, with an absolute Hba1c differences <1% Exclusion criteria: type 1 diabetes, use of insulin or any oral hypoglycaemic agent in the month before randomisation, heart failure or history of heart failure, any serious intercurrent complication involving the heart, kidney, liver, pancreas or other organs or haematological condition. All patients had to be suffciiently competent to give consent to participate in the study, and capable of reading, understanding and signing the informed consent form for study participation Pre-randomisation phase: Titration period not explicitly reported as separate from maintenance period therefore assumed no separate additional titration period **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening glucose-Details of washout period: N/A (drug naïve) lowerina therapy Patients continued their stable pre-enrollment dietary and exercise regimen throughout the study. Adherence Lifestyle advice to dietary and exercise therapy was categorised as 'strictly followed', 'sometimes followed' or 'not followed' at each monthly visit Total follow-up (wks): 26 Follow-up Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 26 Frequency of monitoring appointments: 6 month follow up. Patients attended morning clinic visits at baseline (month 0), 2 weeks (month 0.5) and each month thereafter (months 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) Arms (1) Pioglitazone N: 96 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Mean dose (mg/d): 23.24 Minimum dose (mg/d): 15 Maximum dose (mg/d): 45 Compliance: adherence to test diabetic therapy was determined from returned tablet counts as 'excellent' (90-100% compliance), 'good' (70-89%), 'fair' (50-69%) and 'poor' Details of dosing regimen: The starting dose of pioglitazone was 15 mg/day which could be increased to a maximum of 45 and 30 mg/day in men and women respectively, in order to achieve morning blood glucose level <120 mg/dl. The dosage of glimepiride or pioglitazone could be decreased according to the supervising physician's judgement if morning fasting blood glucose was < 80 mg/dl. Doses were titrated according to morning fasting blood glucose measured at scheduled clinic visits. The mean daily dose at month 6 was 23.24 ± 11.40 mg (2) glimepiride N: 95 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0 Sulfonylurea (Oral) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Treatment(s): Mean dose (mg/d): 1.51

Minimum dose (mg/d): 0.5 Maximum dose (mg/d): 6

Details of dosing regimen: Patients could receive either glimepiride or gliclazide but in practice al patients received glimepiride. The starting dose was 0.5 mg/day for patients with Hba1c >=6.9 to <7.4% and 1 mg/day for those with Hba1c >=7.4 to <10.4%. The dose could be increased to a maximum of 6mg/day in order to achieve morning fasting blood glucose <120 mg/dl. At month 6 the mean daily dose was 1.51 ± 1.27 mg

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Anaalyses were carried out using the safety population under the headings 'study population' and 'safety' in the results, whereas analyses under the other headings were carried out using the efficacy population. The safety population included all patients intially randomised to glimepiride (n=95) and pioglitazone (n=96), whereas the efficacy population included 86 in the glimpiride group and 91 in the pioglitazone group.

9 patients in glimpiride group and 5 in pioglitazone group did not complete the study.

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include brain natriuretic peptide, insulin resistance (HOMA-R), beta cell-function and fasting insulin.

#### Hypoglycaemic events

confirmed hypoglycaemia (Definitions of hypoglycaemia were not specifically reported or defined as an outcome but results of the number of patients experiencing blood glucose concentrations <60 mg/dl were presented as part of the safety analysis)

# Baseline characteristics

			Pioglitazone			glimepiride			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	96		56.8 (SD 10.3)	95		57.7 (SD 10.4)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	96	65	(67.7%)	95	62	(65.3%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	96		4.1 (SD 4.3)	95		6 (SD 8.2)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	96		7.8 (SD 0.9)	95		7.8 (SD 0.9)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	96		24.5 (SD 4.3)	95		24.6 (SD 3.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	96		65.5 (SD 14.6)	95		65.6 (SD 12.5)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	96		5.31423 (SD 0.988)	95		5.36595 (SD 1.01)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	96		1.365408 (SD 0.354)	95		1.533498 (SD 0.595)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	96		1.85156 (SD 1.27)	95		1.465442 (SD 0.772)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	96		3.185952 (SD 0.843)	95		3.27129 (SD 0.944)		

#### Results

			Pic	glitazone		gli	mepiride		р
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 13wk	Mean change	83			80				0.022a
HbA1c (%) - 13wkb	Continuous	83		7.3 (SD 1)	80		6.9 (SD 0.7)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wkc	Mean change	88		-0.86 (SD 0.98)	85		-0.98 (SD 0.72)		0.31d
Hba1c <6.9% - 26wk	Dichotomous	88	50	(56.8%)	85	52	(61.2%)		0.64e
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	91		-0.69375 (SD 2.65)	86		-1.1766 (SD 1.87)		0.17d
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 26wk	Continuous	91		24.9 (SD 4.3)	86		24.8 (SD 3.6)		
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous	91		66.2 (SD 14.4)	86		66.4 (SD 11.7)		

Hypoglycaemic events: confirmed hypoglycaemia – 0wk	Dichotomous	96			95			NS
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 26wk	Dichotomous	96	5	(5.2%)	95	7	(7.4%)	
Adverse events:  Any adverse event(s) – 26wk	Dichotomous	96	4	(4.2%)	95	1	(1.1%)	
Any serious adverse event(s) – 26wk	Dichotomous	96	0	(0.0%)	95	0	(0.0%)	
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	96	5	(5.2%)	95	9	(9.5%)	
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	79		0.020688 (SD 0.851)	72		-0.299976 (SD 0.838)	0.022d
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	82		0.108612 (SD 0.225)	81		-0.100854 (SD 0.535)	0.0013d
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	84		-0.268702 (SD 0.84)	82		-0.059837 (SD 0.562)	0.063d
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	72		-0.018102 (SD 0.768)	70		-0.27153 (SD 0.786)	0.053d
Compliance: Compliance – 26wkf	Dichotomous	91	88	(96.7%)	86	82	(95.3%)	
adherence to diet – 26wk	Dichotomous	91	83f	(91.2%)	86	77g	(89.5%)	
adherence to exercise – 26wk	Dichotomous	91	76f	(83.5%)	86	74g	(86.0%)	
<sup>a</sup> ANOVA-no further details repo <sup>b</sup> Hba1c at 3 months from text <sup>c</sup> estimated from graph <sup>d</sup> ANOVA-no further details repo <sup>e</sup> chi-squared <sup>f</sup> median %, approximated to ne <sup>g</sup> median %	orted				ted i	n text		
Intra-group comparison of data comparisons were analysed by comparisons of adverse events	one-way ANO\	/A o						o

Table 103: Taslimi et al. (2013)

General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Iran  Authors' conclusions: -  Source of funding: -  Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 60 Inclusion criteria: 60 adults with established diagnosis of T2DM after the age of 30, all drug naïve and had not previously been treated with metformin or pioglitazone.  Exclusion criteria: -
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: All were drug naïve

Lifestyle advice	Not reported						
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 12 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Baseline and 3 months						
Arms	(2) Pioglitazono N: 30 Treatment durat Washout period	(d): 0 formin dose = 500mg twice daily Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):1000 Frequency of dosing: twice a Details of dosing regimen: 50	day				
	Treatment(s):	Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-do Set dose (mg/d):30 Details of dosing regimen: 30		sing no	t repo	orted	
Outcomes		Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-do Set dose (mg/d):30		osing no	t repo	orted	
Baseline		Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-do Set dose (mg/d):30		osing no	t repo	orted Metformin	
Baseline		Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-do Set dose (mg/d):30		osing no	t repo		
Baseline	Demographics:	Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-do Set dose (mg/d):30 Details of dosing regimen: 30	Omg daily - frequency of do	N 30	k	Metformin mean 51 (SD 10)	
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male)	Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-do Set dose (mg/d):30 Details of dosing regimen: 30	Omg daily - frequency of do  Continuous  Dichotomous	N 30 30		Metformin mean 51 (SD 10) (50.0%)	
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of d	Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-do Set dose (mg/d):30 Details of dosing regimen: 30	Omg daily - frequency of do	N 30	k	Metformin mean 51 (SD 10)	
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of d Blood glucose:	Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-do Set dose (mg/d):30 Details of dosing regimen: 30 iabetes (months)	Omg daily - frequency of do  Continuous  Dichotomous	N 30 30	k	Metformin mean 51 (SD 10) (50.0%) 24 (SD 18)	
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of d	Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-do Set dose (mg/d):30 Details of dosing regimen: 30 iabetes (months)	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous	N 30 30 30 30	k	Metformin mean 51 (SD 10) (50.0%)	
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of d Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) — HbA1c (%) —	Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-do Set dose (mg/d):30 Details of dosing regimen: 30 iabetes (months)	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 30 30 30 30	k	Metformin mean  51 (SD 10) (50.0%) 24 (SD 18)  8.4 (SD 1.5)	
Outcomes Baseline characteristics	Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of d Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) — HbA1c (%) — Fasting plasr	Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-do Set dose (mg/d):30 Details of dosing regimen: 30 iabetes (months)	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 30 30 30 30 30	k	Metformin mean  51 (SD 10) (50.0%) 24 (SD 18)  8.4 (SD 1.5)  8.4 (SD 1.5)	
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of d Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) — HbA1c (%) — Fasting plasr	Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-do Set dose (mg/d):30 Details of dosing regimen: 30 iabetes (months) 3mo 3mo na glucose (g/dl) – 3mo na glucose (g/dl) – 3mo	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N 30 30 30 30 30 30	k	Metformin mean  51 (SD 10) (50.0%) 24 (SD 18)  8.4 (SD 1.5) 8.4 (SD 1.5) 186 (SD 67)	

			Pioglitazone				
		N	N k mean				
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	30		56 (SD 11)			
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	30	13	(43.3%)			
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	30		36 (SD 10)			
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	30		8.2 (SD 1.8)			
HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	30		8.2 (SD 1.8)			
Fasting plasma glucose (g/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	30		178 (SD 65)			
Fasting plasma glucose (g/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	30		178 (SD 65)			

Continuous

Continuous

Continuous

30

30

30

28.7 (SD 5.6)

76.8 (SD 12.5)

76.8 (SD 12.5)

BMI (kg/m2) - 3mo

Weight (kg) - 3mo

Weight (kg) - 3mo

Body weight:			
BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	30	28.4 (SD 4)
BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	30	28.4 (SD 4)
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	30	73.8 (SD 13.1)
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	30	73.8 (SD 13.1)

#### Results

		Metformin		
		N	k	mean
Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	30		7 (SD 1.4)
HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	30		7 (SD 1.4)
Fasting plasma glucose (g/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	30		135 (SD 48)
Fasting plasma glucose (g/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	30		135 (SD 48)
Body weight:				
BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	30		28.7 (SD 5.5)
BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	30		28.7 (SD 5.5)
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	30		76.5 (SD 12.3)
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	30		76.5 (SD 12.3)
Dropouts:				
Total dropouts – 3mo	Dichotomous	30	0	(0.0%)
Dropout due to AEs – 3mo	Dichotomous	30	0	(0.0%)

			Pioglitazone	
		N	k	mean
Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	30		7.3 (SD 1.6)
HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	30		7.3 (SD 1.6)
Fasting plasma glucose (g/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	30		142 (SD 53)
Fasting plasma glucose (g/dl) – 3mo	Continuous	30		142 (SD 53)
Body weight:				
BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	30		29 (SD 4.1)
BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	30		29 (SD 4.1)
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	30		75.5 (SD 13.7)
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	30		75.5 (SD 13.7)
Dropouts:				
Total dropouts – 3mo	Dichotomous	30	0	(0.0%)
Dropout due to AEs – 3mo	Dichotomous	30	0	(0.0%)

Table 104: Teramoto et al. (2007)

General Phase:

☑ monotherapy
☐ dual therapy

☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy □ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: 18 centres in Japan Authors' conclusions: Glibenclamide reduced FPG levels through increased insulin secretion. Pioglitazone and glibenclamide are well tolerated. Pioglitazone improved dyslipidemia related to insulin resistance, whereas glibenclamide enhanced insulin secreation with only a minor effct on lipid control in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes Source of funding: Unclear Comments: Report a randomised, multicentre, non-blinded parallel group study but no details of randomisation methods or allocation concelament reported Number and Total number of patients: 92 characteristics Inclusion criteria: had received dietary and exercise instructions, without anti-diabetic and hypolipidemic of patients agents, had FPG >= 140 mg/d, HDL levels <=80 mg/dl and tryglyceride levels between 150 and 500 mg/dl. Exclusion criteria: patients taking any medications known to affect glucose metabolism, history of ketoacidosis, unstable progressive diabetic coma or pre-coma condition, impaired liver function, kidney function, abnormal lipid metabolism, history of allergy to thiazolidinediones and/or sulfonylurea, tumor therapy, alcohol abuse, myocardial infarction or cerebrovascular dysfuction and those receiving insulin because of a severe infection Pre-randomisation phase: No details reported (titration relating to blood glucose levels were included as part of maintenance period) **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening glucose-Details of washout period: N/A (drug naïve) lowering therapy Lifestyle advice No details reported Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: No details reported Arms (1) Pioglitazone N: 46 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: drug naïve Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Minimum dose (mg/d): 15 Maximum dose (mg/d): 30 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: 15 mg given once daily. When patients had FPG >=126 mg/dl after eight weeks of traetment, the dose was increased during weeks 9 to 24 to 30 mg (once daily) (2) Glibenclamide N: 46 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: drug naïve Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Minimum dose (mg/d): 1.25 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2.5 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: Patients started on 1.25 mg in weeks 0-8. If patients had FPG >=126 mg/dl after 8 weeks of treatment, the dose was increased to 2.5 mg (once daily) in weeks 9 to 24 **Outcomes** The primary endpoint was a change from the baseline lipid parameters (TG and HDL cholesterol). Outcomes not reported in this evidence table include Lipoprotein lipase protein, ratio of visceral to subcutaneous fat volumes, Lipoprotein size, fasting insulin and HOMA resistance. 7 (15%) in pioglitazone and 5 (11%) in glibenclamide groups did not complete the study Use of ITT population not reported

# Baseline characteristics

			Pioglitazone			Glibenclamide			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	46		57 (SD 10.7)	46		56.4 (SD 10.5)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	46	33	(71.7%)	46	35	(76.1%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	46		8.01 (SD 1.29)	45		8.36 (SD 1.29)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	46		24.7 (SD 3.4)	46		25.2 (SD 4.8)		
Weight (kg)	Continuous	46		64.8 (SD 9.9)	46		67.7 (SD 14.5)		

#### Results

		Pioglitazone			Gli	benclamide			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Mean change	46			45				<0.05a
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	46		-0.8 (SD 1.14)	45		-1.43 (SD 1.09)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	46		-2.12565 (SD 3.17)	45		-2.84715 (SD 2.58)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 0wk	Dichotomous	46			46				NS
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	46	30	(65.2%)	46	32	(69.6%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	46	7	(15.2%)	46	5	(10.9%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 0wk	Dichotomous	46			46				b
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	46	1	(2.2%)	46	3	(6.5%)		
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	46			45				<0.05a
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wkc	Mean change	46		-0.01293 (SD 0.155)	45		0.100854 (SD 0.178)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	46		0.098268 (SD 0.212)	45		-0.031032 (SD 0.163)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	46			45				<0.05a
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 16wkc	Mean change	46		-0.1129 (SD 1.69)	45		-0.4516 (SD 1.64)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	46		-0.651433 (SD 1.26)	45		0.082417 (SD 1.27)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	46			46				NS
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wkc	Mean change	46		0.02586 (SD 0.465)	45		0.10344 (SD 0.453)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	46		0.223689 (SD 0.607)	45		-0.0338766 (SD 0.645)		

a no other details reported b not reported c extracted from graph

The difference of change values was compared using the two sample t-test

#### Table 105: Tessier et al. (1999)

Table 105:	Tessier et al. (1999)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Canada  Authors' conclusions: Despite different mechanisms of action, gliclazide and metformin demonstrated comparable levels of efficacy and complementary effects on lipid peroxidation markers  Source of funding: Unclear  Comments: Open label
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 36 Inclusion criteria: Patients with type 2 diabetes Exclusion criteria: No acute cardiovascular or neurological events in prior 6 months. Patients treated with thiazide, beta-blockers, steroids or insulin or those previously exposed to gliclazide or metformin were excluded
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Unclear if on therapy before study but oral hypoglycaemic medication was withdrawn at least 30 days before randomisation
Lifestyle advice	Patients met with a dietitian for assessment 28 days and 14 days before the baseline visit
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: patients were measured at weeks 6,12,18 and 24
Arms	(1) Metformin N: 18 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Oral therapy was stopped Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) Minimum dose (mg/d): 750 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2550 Details of dosing regimen: 750 to 2550 mg/day divided into 3 doses. The dose was gradually titrated to achieve acceptable glycaemic control.  (2) Gliclazide N: 18 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Oral therapy was stopped Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) Minimum dose (mg/d): 80 Maximum dose (mg/d): 320 Details of dosing regimen: Gliclazide 80 to 320 mg/day divided into 2 doses.
Outcomes	

Outcomes										
Baseline characteristics				N	Metformin		(	Gliclazide		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	18		59.1 (SD 7.1)	18		59.3 (SD 7.3)		
	Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	18	15	(83.3%)	18	10	(55.6%)		
	Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	18		5.4 (SD 6.5)	18		4.7 (SD 6.1)		
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	18		7.1 (SD 1.7)	18		7.8 (SD 1.8)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	18		9.1 (SD 3.5)	18		11.3 (SD 3.1)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	18		29.3 (SD 3)	18		28.6 (SD 4)		

	Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	18	84.9 (SD 11.1)	18	81.9 (SD 16.3)
	Lipids:					
	Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	18	5.4 (SD 1.2)	18	4.8 (SD 0.8)
	HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	18	1 (SD 0.3)	18	1.3 (SD 0.7)
	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	18	3.7 (SD 5.8)	18	1.9 (SD 0.9)
	LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	18	3.1 (SD 0.9)	18	2.8 (SD 0.7)
Pesults						

esults				М	etformin		G	liclazide		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	18		6.3 (SD 1.1)	18		6.8 (SD 1.5)		
	HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	18		6.1 (SD 0.7)	18		6.8 (SD 1.6)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (any) – 24wka	Continuous	18		6.4 (SD 1.1)	18		8 (SD 3.1)		
	Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wk	Continuous	18		83 (SD 11.2)	18		80.9 (SD 17.1)		
	Weight (kg) – 24wka	Continuous	18		82.3 (SD 11.6)	18		81.5 (SD 17.2)		
	Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	18	3	(16.7%)	18	8	(44.4%)		
	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	20	2	(10.0%)	19	1	(5.3%)		
	Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	20	1	(5.0%)	19	1	(5.3%)		
	Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wka	Continuous	18		5.3 (SD 1)	18		4.7 (SD 0.9)		
	HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) - 24wka	Continuous	18		1.1 (SD 0.3)	18		1.2 (SD 0.4)		
	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wka	Continuous	18		2.3 (SD 1.3)	18		1.8 (SD 0.9)		
	LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wka	Continuous	18		3.1 (SD 0.8)	18		2.7 (SD 0.9)		
	LDL/HDL ratio – 24wk	Continuous	18		2.8 (SD 0.8)	18		2.25 (SD 1)		
	<sup>a</sup> open label trial									

<b>Table 106:</b>	Tosi et al. (2003)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Crossover  Country: Italy  Authors' conclusions: In conclusion, combination treatment with metformin and sulfonylurea is more effective than these drugs alone in improving glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes, while also allowing a reduced dosage of each drug  Source of funding: supported by a grant from Italian Ministry of University and Scientific and Technological Research  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 88 Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes, FBG>140mg/dl and Hba1c>=6.3% Exclusion criteria: patients treated with insulin, ketonuria, concurrent medical illness, severe diabetic complications, severe cardiovasculat, hepatic, renal, respiratory or pancreatic diseases

	Pre-randomisation phase	: 4 week run-in	perio	od						
Previous	Any participants previo	usly taking glu	icos	e-lo	wering therapy? som	e on	oral	hypoglycaemic drugs	and	l/or
glucose- lowering therapy	insulin  Details of washout perio	od: previous tre	atme	ents	were discontinued and	d the	re wa	as a 4 week run-in peri	iod	
_ifestyle advice	patients were instructed t	o follow a diet, v	which	h wa	s individualised and k	ept c	onst	ant		
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 2 Length of titration perio Length of maintenance Frequency of monitorin	d (wks): 0 period (wks): 2								
Arms	Mean of Minimu Maximu Details consist breakfa and 2 t treated placebe patient: Hba1ca (2) Metformin N: 19 Treatment duration (wks) Washout period (d): 30 Comments: 4 week run-ir Treatment(s): Metform Set dos Minimu Maximu Details before daily (b) before dinner) The me	n period rlurea (Oral) lose (mg/d): 8.6 m dose (mg/d): 8.6 m dose (mg/d) um dose (mg/d) of dosing regim ing of glibenclar ist and before d ablets 3 times d with glibenclam b. The mean do is (15 when they is =6% and FBG  i. 26 in period ini (Oral) – flex is (mg/d): 1530 in dose (mg/d) of dosing regim lunch, consisting efore breakfast dinner), and 2 ts. Therefore sche	5 : 15 nen: (mide a since a si	5 mr), 2 (before alone as 8 e on Company of the com	g. The subsequent stetablets twice daily (be treakfast, before like, the last 2 steps were. 66 (SD 2.4) mg/d and combination treatmer lydl  (2003)-metformin, poormin 500 mg. The subsequence dinner), 2 tablets twimes daily (before brease steps were 500, 1.1)	The bsequivice a akfass	star uent daily star vent daily st, be 2.00	ting dose was 1 tablet to achie to test and before dinner to active drug + 1 tablet to achie to the test and before din achie to the test and before din achie to ach	efore er), grc et c 25 eve	oup of
Outcomes	General First treatment period out period before cross-over.	comes were ex	tracte	ed fr	om this cross-over tria	ıl as t	there	e was an unclear wash	out	
Baseline characteristics					Glibenclamide			Metformin		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Demographics:	Continuous	11		57.2 (SD.7.2)	20		57 9 (SD 7 4)		
	Age (years) Sex (n male)	Continuous	41	20	57.3 (SD 7.2)	39	22	57.8 (SD 7.4) (59.0%)		
	Duration of diabetes	Dichotomous	41	20	(68.3%)	39	23	(59.0%)		
	(yrs)	Continuous	41		med: 10.4 [rng 3.7– 15.5]	39		med: 9.9 [rng 4-14]		
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	41		med: 8.2 [rng 7.2– 9.1]	39		med: 7.8 [rng 7–8.7]		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	41		med: 13.2645 [rng 10.2675–15.3735]	39		med: 12.2655 [rng 10.212–14.5965]		
	Body weight:				-			·		

Body weight:

BMI (kg/m2) - 0wk

26.9 (SD 2.5)

41

39

27 (SD 2.9)

Continuous

	Weight (kg) – 0wka Continuous  a estimated from BMI assuming mean he	41 75.922 eight of 1.68m	256 (\$	SD	7.06) 39	76	6.20	048 (SD 8.18)		
Results			G	iil	benclamide		N	Metformin		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	20		-0.5 (SD 1.3)	19		-0.5 (SD 1.1)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	20		-3.1 (SD 2.4)	19		-2.8 (SD 2.9)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 26wk	Mean change	20		0.27 (SD 0.88)	19		-0.51 (SD 0.83)		
	Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	20		0.8 (SD 2.7)	19		-2.3 (SD 2.4)		
	Baseline characteristics are reported for therapy). Data for adverse events were routcomes were extracted from cochrane	not extracted as t							atio	า

#### Table 107: Uehara et al. (2001)

Table 107:	Uehara et al. (2001)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Brazil  Authors' conclusions: Reductions in both the insulin levels and the resistance index reinforced metformin capacity to improve the peripheral sensitivity. Moreover, suc benefits were not accompanied by any hypotensive effects. Since leptin levels were affected neither by metformin per se nor by the induced insulinaemia reduction, our data support the role of body weight as the major determinant of circulating leptin levels  Source of funding: Unclear  Comments: Double-blind, randomised, placebo controlled trial but no details of randomisation, allocation concealment or blinding
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 26 Inclusion criteria: mild to moderate hypertensive patients aged 40-65 years, with BMI >27 kg/m2 and type 2 diabetes were initially recruited from the clinic of diabetes and hypertension of the federal university of Sao Paulo. Diabetic patients should be treated with diet alone or, if on a sulfonylurea, the agnet was discontinued at least 8 weeks before entering the study. Eligible patients were those with fasting glycaemia between 6.5 and 15.5 mmol/l and diastolic BP <105 mmHg.  Exclusion criteria: No patient suffered from any other disease apart from diabetes, hypertension and mild dyslipidemia: none took any medication other than calcuim channel blocker. 10 patients were not included due to contraindicated use of biguaindes (heart and peripheral vascular disease, renal and hepatic insufficencies, alcohol abuse and lactic acidosis history) or unstable glycaemic control or elevated BP despite the use of calcium channel blocker. Treatment was discontinued prematurely if FPG remained >15.5 mmol/l for two consecutive visits  Pre-randomisation phase: There was an initial 4 week placebo run-in period where compliance was assessed, followed by a 12 week treatment period. Patients received placebo twice a day until randomisation. It has been assumed there was no separate titration period and this was carried out during the 12 week maintenance period and baseline measurements carried out at beginning of maintenance (including titration).
Previous glucose- lowering	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: If on sulfonylurea, the agent was discontinued at least 8 weeks before entering the study
iowering	the study

therapy										
Lifestyle advice	patients were all advised about normo	ocaloric diet a	nd e	xer	cise in compliance	with	ı Al	DA recommendati	ons	
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 16 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks Frequency of monitoring appointm		ils re	ерс	orted					
Arms	<b>(1) Metfomrin</b> N: 11									
	Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 30 Comments: 4 week placebo run-in									
	Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – f	flexible-dose (	dose	e-a	djusted)					
	Minimum dose (mg									
	Maximum dose (mo Frequency of dosin									
	Compliance: Patien	t compliance	was	ev	aluated by tablet o	ount	ing	at each vist and		
	considered adequa Details of dosing re		min	50	) ma twice daily.	Patio	nte	were seen 4 and	8	
	weeks after random	nisation for the	ir m	edi	cation dosage to b	e ac	iis Ijus	ted based on capi	illary	/
	glycaemia. Adjustm					e >6	.5 r	nmol/l until a maxi	imur	Υ
	dose of four tablets (2) Placebo	a uay (z y ua	iiy, a	ıl V	eek oj					
	N: 11									
	Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 30 Comments: 4 week placebo run-in									
	Treatment(s): Placebo (Oral)									
	Details of dosing re blinding	gimen: No det	ails	rep	oorted but assume	d ora	al to	maintain double-	•	
Outcomes	General									
	Outcomes not extracted in this evider		de ir	nsu	lin, proinsulin, lept	in, a	ldo	sterone, noradren	aline	Э,
	adrenaline, dopamine, heart rate and 2 patients from placebo and 2 from m	-	o did	l no	ot complete the stu	ıdv				
	No details reported about ITT analysis		Julu	1110	or complete the str	iuy				
	, ,									
Baseline characteristics					Metfomrin			Placebo		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	
	Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	11		57.2 (SD 4.3)	11		57.5 (SD 6.7)		
	Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous			36.6 (SD 23.8)	11		45.5 (SD 43.1)		
	Blood glucose:	Continuous	· ·		23.0 (22 20.0)	+ ' '		.5.5 (55 40.1)		
	HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	11		5.3 (SD 1.5)	11		6.7 (SD 3)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk				8.54 (SD 1.71)	11		11.1 (SD 4.2)		
	Rody weight:				· ,			, ,		

				Metfomrin			Placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	11		57.2 (SD 4.3)	11		57.5 (SD 6.7)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	11		36.6 (SD 23.8)	11		45.5 (SD 43.1)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	11		5.3 (SD 1.5)	11		6.7 (SD 3)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	11		8.54 (SD 1.71)	11		11.1 (SD 4.2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	11		29.2 (SD 2.1)	11		30.1 (SD 2.5)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	11		82.41408 (SD 5.93)	11		84.95424 (SD 7.06)		
Blood pressure: Duration of hypertension (months)	Continuous	11		85 (SD 70.7)	11		57.6 (SD 57.9)		
Office SBP – 0wk	Continuous	11		143.6 (SD 15.7)	11		142.3 (SD 14.7)		
Office DBP – 0wk	Continuous	11		86.8 (SD 8.1)	11		87.3 (SD 8.2)		
ambulatory SBP - 0wk	Continuous	11		131 (SD 15.6)	11		127.4 (SD 8)		
ambulatory DBP - 0wk	Continuous	11		79.8 (SD 7.4)	11		80.5 (SD 9.1)		
Nocturnal SBP – 0wk	Continuous	11		123 (SD 15.8)	11		119.8 (SD 13.1)		
Nocturnal DBP – 0wk	Continuous	11		71.1 (SD 9.7)	11		70.8 (SD 10)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	11		5.76 (SD 1.44)	11		5.97 (SD 0.9)		

	HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous 11	1 '	1.26	S (SD 0.51) 1	1	1	.15 (SD 0.32)		
	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous 11	1 '	1.71	I (SD 0.68) 1	1	2	.06 (SD 1.35)		
	LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk a estimated from BMI assuming mean	Continuous 11 height of 1.68m	(	3.7	(SD 1.34) 1	1	3	.96 (SD 0.81)		
Results				ľ	Metfomrin		Placebo			
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	ı
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	11		4.6 (SD 0.9)	11		5.9 (SD 2.6)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	11		7.54 (SD 1.33)	11		10.6 (SD 4.16)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Continuous	11		29 (SD 2.5)	11		29.9 (SD 2.6)		
	Weight (kg) – 12wk	Continuous	11		69.5 (SD 10.9)	11		73 (SD 8.8)		
	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomou	ıs 13	2	(15.4%)	13	2	(15.4%)		
	Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomou	s 11	0	(0.0%)	11	0	(0.0%)		
	Blood pressure: Office SBP – 12wk	Continuous	11		132.3 (SD 17.2)	11		133.6 (SD 14.3)		
	Office DBP – 12wk	Continuous	11		82.7 (SD 6.5)	11		87.3 (SD 6.5)		
	ambulatory SBP – 12wk	Continuous	11		130.4 (SD 16.6)	11		128.5 (SD 7.5)		
	ambulatory DBP – 12wk	Continuous	11		80.9 (SD 9.8)	11		80.8 (SD 8.4)		
	Nocturnal SBP – 12wk	Continuous	11		124 (SD 17.5)	11		123.4 (SD 12.2)		
	Nocturnal DBP – 12wk	Continuous	11		73.6 (SD 11.1)	11		73.4 (SD 9.5)		
	Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	11		5.81 (SD 1)	11		5.76 (SD 0.67)		
	Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	11			11				
	HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	11		1.44 (SD 0.63)	11		1.3 (SD 0.36)		
	HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	11			11				
	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	11		1.7 (SD 0.82)	11		1.7 (SD 0.82)		
	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	11			11				
	LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	11			11				
	LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	11		3.6 (SD 0.9)	11		3.67 (SD 0.89)		
	a not reported  A parametric and non-parametric test	were used to con	mnare	va	riables between	aro	ıns	s (unnaired t-tes	t or	

### Table 108: Viberti et al. (2002)

General	Phase:
	☐ dual therapy
	☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy
	a modification of the control of the

☐ insulin+oral

Parallel / crossover: Parallel

Country: North America, Canada and Europe

**Authors' conclusions:** ADOPT will provide data on the effect of mechanistically differing treatment options on metabolic control, beta-cell function and markers of macrovascular disease risk in type 2 diabetes

Source of funding: SmithKline Beecham

Comments: Double-blind

# Number and characteristics of patients

Total number of patients: 2895

Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes, aged between 30 and 75 years, FBG 126 to 180 mg/dl on

diet alone

**Exclusion criteria:** clinically significant hepatic disease, renal impairement, history of lactic acidosis,

unstable or severe angina, known congestive heart failure or uncontrolled hypertension

Pre-randomisation phase: there was a 4 week placebo run-in phase

# Previous glucose-lowering therapy

Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: -

#### Lifestyle advice

During the run-in period diet and exercise recommendations were reinforced

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 208
Length of titration period (wks): Length of maintenance period (wks): Frequency of monitoring appointments: -

#### Arms

#### (1) Glyburide

N: 1441

Treatment duration (wks): 208 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Treatment naïve

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 2.5 Maximum dose (mg/d): 15

Details of dosing regimen: glibenclamide was given initially as 2.5 mg, then up to 15 mg  $\,$ 

/day given as 7.5 mg twice daily if FPG>140 mg/dl

#### (2) Metformin

N: 1454

Treatment duration (wks): 208 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Treatment naïve

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 500 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2000

Details of dosing regimen: metformin was given initially as 500 mg, then up to 2 g (1 g

twice a day)

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Data from 2/3 arms were extracted (one arm related to rosiglitazone which is not included as part of the scope). Data from Khan (2006) is reported here.

# Baseline characteristics

			Gly	buride		formin			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	1441		56.4 (SD 10.2)	1454		57.9 (SD 9.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	1441	836	(58.0%)	1454	864	(59.4%)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	1441		32.2 (SD 6.3)	1454		32.1 (SD 6.1)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	1441		92 (SD 20)	1454		91.6 (SD 18.7)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	1441		133 (SD 15)	1454		133 (SD 15)		

	Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)  a wide SD reported	Continuous	1441	7	9 (SD 9)	1454	8	80 (SD 9)		
Results			Glyburide		Metformi		ormin			
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	1441		6.5 (SD 0.38)	1454		6.7 (SD 0.381)		
	HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	1441		6.5 (SD 0.38)	1454		7.1 (SD 0.381)		
	HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	1441		7.38 (SD 0.759)	1454		6.7 (SD 0.381)		
	HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	1441		7.38 (SD 0.759)	1454		7.1 (SD 0.381)		
	HbA1c (%) – 52wka	Continuous	1441		6.65 (SD 0.949)	1454		6.65 (SD 0.953)		
	HbA1c (%) – 104wka	Continuous	1441		6.88 (SD 0.949)	1454		6.8 (SD 0.953)		
	HbA1c (%) – 156wka	Continuous	1441		7.1 (SD 0.38)	1454		6.94 (SD 0.381)		
	HbA1c (%) – 208wk	Mean change	1310		0.07 (SD 1.1)	1352		-0.2 (SD 1.1)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wka	Continuous	1441		6.993756 (SD 2.11)	1454		7.382298 (SD 1.06)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wka	Continuous	1441		7.271286 (SD 1.05)	1454		7.326792 (SD 2.12)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wka	Continuous	1441		7.659828 (SD 2.11)	1454		7.49331 (SD 1.06)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 156wka	Continuous	1441		7.937358 (SD 2.11)	1454		7.659828 (SD 1.06)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 208wk	Mean change	1334		-0.09 (SD 2.3)	1394		-0.5 (SD 2)		
	Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wka	Continuous	1441		93 (SD 3.8)	1454		89.9 (SD 3.81)		
	Weight (kg) - 52wka	Continuous	1441		93.3 (SD 6.33)	1454		89.33 (SD 6.36)		
	Weight (kg) – 104wka	Continuous	1441		93.3 (SD 6.34)	1454		89.167 (SD 6.37)		
	Weight (kg) – 156wka	Continuous	1441		93.2 (SD 3.8)	1454		89 (SD 3.81)		
	Weight (kg) – 208wka	Continuous	1441		93 (SD 3.8)	1454		88.8 (SD 3.81)		
	Weight (kg) – 208wk	Mean change	1441		1.6 (SD 11.6)	1454		-2.9 (SD 10.7)		
	Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 208wk	Dichotomous	1441	557	(38.7%)	1454	168	(11.6%)		
	Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 208wk	Dichotomous	1441	8	(0.6%)	1454	1	(0.1%)		
	Adverse events: GI: nausea – 208wk	Dichotomous	1441	99	(6.9%)	1454	170	(11.7%)		
	Any adverse event(s) – 208wk	Dichotomous			(91.7%)		1341	,		
	Any serious adverse event(s) – 208wk	Dichotomous	1441	308	(21.4%)	1454	331	(22.8%)		
	cardiovascular AE – 208wk	Dichotomous			(2.8%)	1454		(4.0%)		
	cardiac: MI – 208wkb	Dichotomous	1441	15	(1.0%)	1454	21	(1.4%)		
	cancer – 208wk	Dichotomous	1441	55	(3.8%)	1454		(3.4%)		
	CV death – 208wk	Dichotomous	1441	8	(0.6%)	1454	4	(0.3%)		
	Death – 208wk	Dichotomous	1441	31	(2.2%)	1454	31	(2.1%)		
	Edema peripheral – 208wkc	Dichotomous	1441	123	(8.5%)	1454	104	(7.2%)		

Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 208wk	Dichotomous	1441	316	(21.9%)	1454	557	(38.3%)
GI: diarrhoea – 208wk	Dichotomous	1441	142	(9.9%)	1454	345	(23.7%)
GI: vomiting – 208wk	Dichotomous	1441	45	(3.1%)	1454	84	(5.8%)
GI: discomfort – 208wk	Dichotomous	1441	163	(11.3%)	1454	224	(15.4%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 208wk	Dichotomous	1441	634	(44.0%)	1454	551	(37.9%)
Dropout due to AEs – 208wk	Dichotomous	1441	215	(14.9%)	1454	178	(12.2%)
Diabetic complications: Peripheral revascularisation – 208wk	Dichotomous	1441	31	(2.2%)	1454	27	(1.9%)
a estimated from graph; N included non-fatal not specified as peripheral	for analysis und	clear					

Table 109: Wang et al. (20
----------------------------

· ,
Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: -  Country: -  Authors' conclusions: -  Source of funding: -  Comments: -
Total number of patients: 90 Inclusion criteria: Drug naïve adults newly diagnosed with T2DM, HbA1c between 7 and 10% Exclusion criteria: BMI <18.5 or >35kg/m2, obvious dyslipidaemia, previous AHA or on medication known to affect carbohydrate homeostasis or lipid levels Pre-randomisation phase: 4 weeks of diet therapy (~30kcal/kg ideal body weight per day)
Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: All were drug naïve
-
Total follow-up (wks): - Length of titration period (wks): - Length of maintenance period (wks): - Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
(1) Gliclazide N: 30 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: initiated dose according to blood glucose levels and maximum dose = 120mg/day Mean (SD) drug dose at baseline: 48 (14.9) Mean (SD) drug dose at 6 months: 61 (14.7) Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Maximum dose (mg/d): 120 Details of dosing regimen: The initiated dose of each drug was according to the level of blood glucose  (2) Metformin

N: 29

Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: initiated dose according to blood glucose levels and maximum dose = 1700mg/day

Mean (SD) drug dose at baseline: 1000 (330) Mean (SD) drug dose at 6 months: 1230 (430)

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Maximum dose (mg/d): 1700

Details of dosing regimen: The initiated dose was according to the level of blood glucose

## (3) Acarbose

N: 27

Treatment duration (wks): 26

Washout period (d): -

Comments: initiated dose according to blood glucose levels and maximum dose = 300mg/day

Mean (SD) drug dose at baseline: 138 (23.4) Mean (SD) drug dose at 6 months: 181.5 (73.6)

Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Maximum dose (mg/d): 300

Details of dosing regimen: The initiated dose was according to the level of blood glucose

#### **Outcomes**

			G	Bliclazide	Metformin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	30		55.89 (SD 10.2)	29		54 (SD 10.3)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	30	21	(70.0%)	29	18	(62.1%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26mo	Continuous	30		8.4 (SD 0.93)	29		8.07 (SD 0.77)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	30		8.82 (SD 1.74)	29		8.24 (SD 1.23)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 0mo	Continuous	30		70.4 (SD 11.7)	29		71.6 (SD 12.7)		
Height (cm)	Continuous	30		167.4 (SD 7.8)	29		165.2 (SD 8.5)		

		Gliclazide				Α	carbose		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	30		55.89 (SD 10.2)	27		54.7 (SD 8.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	30	21	(70.0%)	27	18	(66.7%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26mo	Continuous	30		8.4 (SD 0.93)	27		8.06 (SD 0.82)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	30		8.82 (SD 1.74)	27		8.86 (SD 1.61)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 0mo	Continuous	30		70.4 (SD 11.7)	27		70.4 (SD 11.7)		
Height (cm)	Continuous	30		167.4 (SD 7.8)	27		167 (SD 8.8)		

Metformin Acarbose	Metformin		
N k mean N k mean Δ p	k	N	

Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	29		54 (SD 10.3)	27		54.7 (SD 8.9)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	29	18	(62.1%)	27	18	(66.7%)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26mo	Continuous	29		8.07 (SD 0.77)	27		8.06 (SD 0.82)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	29		8.24 (SD 1.23)	27		8.86 (SD 1.61)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 0mo	Continuous	29		71.6 (SD 12.7)	27		70.4 (SD 11.7)
Height (cm)	Continuous	29		165.2 (SD 8.5)	27		167 (SD 8.8)

### Results

		Gliclazide				Metformin			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 6mo	Continuous	30		6.46 (SD 0.51)	29		6.37 (SD 0.48)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo	Continuous	30		6.59 (SD 1.09)	29		6.16 (SD 0.98)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 6mo	Continuous	30		71.2 (SD 11)	29		68.4 (SD 12.2)		

			C	Gliclazide		,	Acarbose		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 6mo	Continuous	30		6.46 (SD 0.51)	27		6.44 (SD 0.34)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo	Continuous	30		6.59 (SD 1.09)	27		6.36 (SD 0.64)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 6mo	Continuous	30		71.2 (SD 11)	27		70 (SD 12.1)		

			N	/letformin		,	Acarbose		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 6mo	Continuous	29		6.37 (SD 0.48)	27		6.44 (SD 0.34)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo	Continuous	29		6.16 (SD 0.98)	27		6.36 (SD 0.64)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 6mo	Continuous	29		68.4 (SD 12.2)	27		70 (SD 12.1)		

Table 110: Watanabe et al. (2005)

General	Phase:
Octional	i ilasc.

Number and characteristics of patients  Previous glucose-lowering therapy	Source of funding: Unclear Comments: Unclear if double-blind Total number of patients: 30 Inclusion criteria: patients with uni Exclusion criteria: Patients with ki	dual therapy triple therapy insulin monotherapy insulin monotherapy insulin+oral rallel / crossover: Parallel buntry: Japan ithors' conclusions: The findings suggest that pioglitazone has anti-arteriosclerotic effects furce of funding: Unclear furments: Unclear if double-blinded tal number of patients: 30 clusion criteria: patients with untreated type 2 diabetes, Hba1c between 6.5 to 8.0% clusion criteria: Patients with kidney disease by participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening								ning
Lifestyle advice										
Follow-up										
Arms	Set dose (mg/d):  Minimum dose (m Details of dosing administered thro  (2) Glibenclamide  N: 14  Treatment duration (wks): 26  Washout period (d): 0  Comments: Treatment naïve  Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Ora Mean dose (mg/d Minimum dose (mg/d Maximum dose (mg/d)	reatment duration (wks): 26  reatment duration (wks): 26  reatments: Treatment naïve  reatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral)  Set dose (mg/d):17.3  Minimum dose (mg/d): 15  Details of dosing regimen: Pioglitazone started at 15 mg/day. The same dose was administered throughout the treatment period.  C) Glibenclamide  14  reatment duration (wks): 26  reatment duration (wks): 26  reatments: Treatment naïve								
Outcomes										
Baseline characteristics				Р	ioglitazone		Gli	benclamide		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Demographics:	Continue	4.5		62.0 (20.40.0)	4.5		GE 1 (CD 0.4)		
	Age (years) Sex (n male) a	Continuous Dichotomous	15 15	11	62.9 (SD 10.3) (73.3%)	15 15	12	65.1 (SD 8.1) (80.0%)		
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	15		6.9 (SD 0.2)	15		7.2 (SD 0.5)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	15		7.3704 (SD 1.37)	15		8.19735 (SD 1.73)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	15		24.4 (SD 4.4)	15		24.7 (SD 3.7)		
	Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	15		129.5 (SD 11.9)	15		119.2 (SD 30.1)		

Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	15	82.3 (SD 9)	15	85 (SD 7.8)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	15	4.998738 (SD 1.06)	15	4.998738 (SD 0.796)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	15	1.450746 (SD 0.458)	15	1.696416 (SD 1.19)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	15	1.617857 (SD 0.893)	15	1.401089 (SD 0.624)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	15	2.62479 (SD 0.727)	15	2.834256 (SD 0.44)
<sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (p	ercentages on	ly presei	nted in text)		

approximated to mearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

_	_	_		-1	
к	е	s	L	ш	ш

			Pi	oglitazone		Gli	benclamide		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Percentage change from baseline	15		-11.1 (SD 4.3)	15		-11.5 (SD 5.6)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	15		6.1 (SD 0.33)	15		6.3 (SD 0.4)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Percentage change from baseline	15		-0.64935 (SD 0.466)	15		-0.5439 (SD 0.644)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	15		6.4824 (SD 1.22)	15		7.2705 (SD 1.1)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 26wk	Percentage change from baseline	15		0.3 (SD 2)	15		-3 (SD 12.4)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 26wk	Continuous	15		24.5 (SD 4.6)	15		24.1 (SD 5.3)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 26wk	Mean change	15		0.1	15		-0.6		
Adverse events: Edema peripheral – 26wk	Dichotomous	15	2		15	а			
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	15	2	(13.3%)	15	1	(6.7%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	15	2	(13.3%)	15	1	(6.7%)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wk	Continuous	15		125.8 (SD 17.7)	15		119.2 (SD 30.1)		
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wk	Percentage change from baseline	15		-3 (SD 9.8)	15		-7.4 (SD 24.3)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wk	Continuous	15		73.8 (SD 24.8)	15		84.1 (SD 7.5)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wk	Percentage change from baseline	15		-11.6 (SD 26.8)	15		-0.9 (SD 6.2)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Percentage change from baseline	15		0.049134 (SD 0.23)	15		-0.028446 (SD 0.321)		
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	15		5.0427 (SD 0.915)	15		4.910814 (SD 0.82)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Percentage change from baseline	15		0.19395 (SD 0.222)	15		-0.10344 (SD 0.427)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	15		1.546428 (SD 0.445)	15		1.613664 (SD 1.2)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Percentage change from baseline	15		-0.233703 (SD 0.312)	15		0.162576 (SD 0.504)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	15		1.160612 (SD 0.445)	15		1.547859 (SD 0.899)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Percentage change from baseline	15		0.23274 (SD 0.442)	15		0.11637 (SD 0.398)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	15		2.836842 (SD 0.853)	15		2.919594 (SD 0.724)		
<sup>a</sup> NR									

rabic i i i railialloacili ct al. (2000)	<b>Table 111:</b>	Yamanouchi et al. (	(2005)	1
--	-------------------	---------------------	--------	---

Phase:	<b>Table 111:</b>	Yamanouchi et al. (2005)
Inclusion criteria: patients with short duration of type 2 diabetes controlled with diet alone for at elast 3 months, ibata to = 27%, FBG = 2.778 mmol/l, BMI between 22-35 kg/m2	General	<ul> <li>☑ monotherapy</li> <li>☐ dual therapy</li> <li>☐ triple therapy</li> <li>☐ insulin monotherapy</li> <li>☐ insulin+oral</li> <li>Parallel / crossover: Parallel</li> <li>Country: Japan</li> <li>Authors' conclusions: Pioglitazone, glimepiride and metformin are equally effective in reducing blood glucose in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes. However, their specific characteristics, such as rapid action on blood glucose levels of glimepiride and the favourable action on FBG and FFA of pioglitazone should be considered when choosing an appropraite agent</li> <li>Source of funding: Unclear</li> </ul>
Details of washout period: -  Follow-up  Lifestyle advice  Total follow-up (wks): 52     Length of titration period (wks): 0     Length of maintenance period (wks): 52     Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients were examined every month for 12 months  Arms  (1) Metformin N: 39     Treatment duration (wks): 52     Washout period (d): 0     Comments: all drug naïve     Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose     Set dose (mg/d):750     Details of dosing regimen: 750 mg/day  (2) Pioglitazone N: 38     Treatment duration (wks): 52     Washout period (d): 0     Comments: all drug naïve  Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral)     Minimum dose (mg/d): 30     Maximum dose (mg/d): 45     Details of dosing regimen: 30-45 mg/day  (3) Glimepiride N: 37     Treatment duration (wks): 52     Washout period (d): 0     Comments: all drug naïve  Treatment duration (wks): 52     Washout period (d): 0     Comments: all drug naïve  Treatment duration (wks): 52     Washout period (d): 0     Comments: all drug naïve  Treatment duration (wks): 52     Washout period (d): 0     Comments: all drug naïve  Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral)     Minimum dose (mg/d): 1     Maximum dose (mg/d): 2	characteristics	Inclusion criteria: patients with short duration of type 2 diabetes controlled with diet alone for at elast 3 months, hba1c >=7%, FBG >=7.78 mmol/l, BMI between 22-35 kg/m2  Exclusion criteria: patients with unstable or rapidly progressive diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy, neuropathy, liver dysfuntion, impaired kidney function, anaemia, myocardial infarction, angina, congestive
Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients were examined every month for 12 months  (1) Metformin N: 39 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):750 Details of dosing regimen: 750 mg/day  (2) Pioglitazone N: 38 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) Minimum dose (mg/d): 30 Maximum dose (mg/d): 45 Details of dosing regimen: 30-45 mg/day  (3) Glimepiride N: 37 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve Treatment (dration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) Minimum dose (mg/d): 1 Maximum dose (mg/d): 1 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2	glucose- lowering	
Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients were examined every month for 12 months  (1) Metformin N: 39 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):750 Details of dosing regimen: 750 mg/day  (2) Pioglitazone N: 38 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) Minimum dose (mg/d): 45 Details of dosing regimen: 30-45 mg/day  (3) Glimepiride N: 37 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) Minimum dose (mg/d): 1 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2	Lifestyle advice	-
N: 39 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):750 Details of dosing regimen: 750 mg/day  (2) Pioglitazone N: 38 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) Minimum dose (mg/d): 30 Maximum dose (mg/d): 45 Details of dosing regimen: 30-45 mg/day  (3) Glimepiride N: 37 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) Minimum dose (mg/d): 1 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2	Follow-up	Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52
Outcomes		N: 39 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve  Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):750 Details of dosing regimen: 750 mg/day  (2) Pioglitazone  N: 38 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve  Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) Minimum dose (mg/d): 45 Details of dosing regimen: 30-45 mg/day  (3) Glimepiride  N: 37 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve  Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: 37 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all drug naïve  Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) Minimum dose (mg/d): 1 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2

		Metformin			Pioglitazone				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	39		54.7 (SD 9.8)	38		55.2 (SD 9.2)		
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	39	20	(51.3%)	38	18	(47.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	39		3 (SD 2.5)	38		3.2 (SD 2.1)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	39		9.9 (SD 0.7)	38		10.2 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	39		11.82 (SD 1.69)	38		11.97 (SD 1.9)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	39		26.2 (SD 3.8)	38		25.8 (SD 4.2)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	39		143.3 (SD 18.8)	38		142.8 (SD 17.1)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	39		86.3 (SD 10.1)	38		85.3 (SD 9.8)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	39		5.7 (SD 0.36)	38		5.77 (SD 0.57)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	39		1.33 (SD 0.09)	38		1.38 (SD 0.12)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	39		2.31 (SD 1.14)	38		2.47 (SD 1.26)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

			Metformin		Glimepiride				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	39		54.7 (SD 9.8)	37		55.6 (SD 9.3)		
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	39	20	(51.3%)	37	19	(51.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	39		3 (SD 2.5)	37		3.3 (SD 2.6)		
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	39		9.9 (SD 0.7)	37		9.8 (SD 0.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	39		11.82 (SD 1.69)	37		12.05 (SD 1.64)		
Body weight:									
BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	39		26.2 (SD 3.8)	37		25.6 (SD 3.5)		
Blood pressure:									
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	39		143.3 (SD 18.8)	37		141.3 (SD 21.3)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	39		86.3 (SD 10.1)	37		84.9 (SD 7.7)		
Lipids:							5.89 (SD		
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	39		5.7 (SD 0.36)	37		0.49)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	39		1.33 (SD 0.09)	37		1.35 (SD 0.11)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	39		2.31 (SD 1.14)	37		2.63 (SD 1.37)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

			Pioglitazone			Glimepiride			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	38		55.2 (SD 9.2)	37		55.6 (SD 9.3)		
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	38	18	(47.4%)	37	19	(51.4%)		

Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	38	3.2 (SD 2.1)	37	3.3 (SD 2.6)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	38	10.2 (SD 0.8)	37	9.8 (SD 0.7)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	38	11.97 (SD 1.9)	37	12.05 (SD 1.64)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	38	25.8 (SD 4.2)	37	25.6 (SD 3.5)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	38	142.8 (SD 17.1)	37	141.3 (SD 21.3)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	38	85.3 (SD 9.8)	37	84.9 (SD 7.7)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	38	5.77 (SD 0.57)	37	5.89 (SD 0.49)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	38	1.38 (SD 0.12)	37	1.35 (SD 0.11)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	38	2.47 (SD 1.26)	37	2.63 (SD 1.37)
<sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentage)	entages only pr	esented	in text)		

### Results

			Metformin			Pioglitazone			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	39		8.1 (SD 0.9)	38		8.8 (SD 0.88)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	39		8 (SD 0.6)	38		8 (SD 0.7)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	39		7.8 (SD 1)	38		7.9 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	39		9.03 (SD 2.01)	38		7.93 (SD 2.25)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 52wk	Continuous	39		25.5 (SD 4.2)	38		26.7 (SD 3.9)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 52wk	Mean change	39		-0.7	38		0.9		
Adverse events:									
Edema peripheral – 52wk	Dichotomous	39	b		38	4			
liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 52wk	Dichotomous	39	0	(0.0%)	38	0	(0.0%)		
Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 52wk	Dichotomous	39	0	(0.0%)	38	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous	39	2	(5.1%)	38	3	(7.9%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	39	0	(0.0%)	38	2	(5.3%)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 52wk	Continuous	39		138 (SD 14.8)	38		137.5 (SD 19.5)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 52wk	Continuous	39		82.7 (SD 8.7)	38		80.5 (SD 7.8)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	39		5.52 (SD 0.54)	38		5.54 (SD 0.76)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	39		1.32 (SD 0.12)	38		1.49 (SD 0.09)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	39		2.22 (SD 1.06)	38		2.08 (SD 1.08)		

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm a}$  extracted from graph; some unclear error bars  $^{\rm b}$  NR

			N	letformin	Glimepiride				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	39		8.1 (SD 0.9)	37		7.7 (SD 0.65)		

HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	39		8 (SD 0.6)	37		7.8 (SD 0.73)
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	39		7.8 (SD 1)	37		7.7 (SD 0.9)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	39		9.03 (SD 2.01)	37		8.79 (SD 1.78)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 52wk	Continuous	39		25.5 (SD 4.2)	37		25.4 (SD 4)
BMI (kg/m2) – 52wk	Mean change	39		-0.7	37		-0.2
Adverse events: Edema peripheral – 52wkb	Dichotomous	39			37		
liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 52wk	Dichotomous	39	0	(0.0%)	37	0	(0.0%)
Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 52wk	Dichotomous	39	0	(0.0%)	37	0	(0.0%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous	39	2	(5.1%)	37	3	(8.1%)
Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	39	0	(0.0%)	37	0	(0.0%)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 52wk	Continuous	39		138 (SD 14.8)	37		137.2 (SD 16.3)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 52wk	Continuous	39		82.7 (SD 8.7)	37		80.1 (SD 8.3)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	39		5.52 (SD 0.54)	37		5.7 (SD 0.72)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	39		1.32 (SD 0.12)	37		1.34 (SD 0.11)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	39		2.22 (SD 1.06)	37		2.58 (SD 1.26)

 $<sup>^{\</sup>it a}$  extracted from graph; some unclear error bars  $^{\it b}$  NR

		Pioglitazone			limepiride				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	38		8.8 (SD 0.88)	37		7.7 (SD 0.65)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	38		8 (SD 0.7)	37		7.8 (SD 0.73)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	38		7.9 (SD 1)	37		7.7 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	38		7.93 (SD 2.25)	37		8.79 (SD 1.78)		
Body weight:									
BMI (kg/m2) – 52wk	Continuous	38		26.7 (SD 3.9)	37		25.4 (SD 4)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 52wk	Mean change	38		0.9	37		-0.2		
Adverse events:									
Edema peripheral – 52wk	Dichotomous	38	4		37	b			
liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 52wk	Dichotomous	38	0	(0.0%)	37	0	(0.0%)		
Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 52wk	Dichotomous	38	0	(0.0%)	37	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous	38	3	(7.9%)	37	3	(8.1%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	38	2	(5.3%)	37	0	(0.0%)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 52wk	Continuous	38		137.5 (SD 19.5)	37		137.2 (SD 16.3)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 52wk	Continuous	38		80.5 (SD 7.8)	37		80.1 (SD 8.3)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	38		5.54 (SD 0.76)	37		5.7 (SD 0.72)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	38		1.49 (SD 0.09)	37		1.34 (SD 0.11)		

Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk ਼ੈ extracted from graph; some unclear erro	Continuous	38	2.08 (SD 1.08)	37	2.58 (SD 1.26)
<sup>a</sup> extracted from graph; some unclear erro <sup>b</sup> NR	r bars				

Table 112: Yang et al. (2014)

Table 112:	Yang et al. (2014)
General	Phase:  ☑ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: - Authors' conclusions: - Source of funding: - Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 788 Inclusion criteria: Adults (30-70 years) newly diagnosed with T2DM in previous 12 months, either previously never had any OAD or had received OAD for 1 month at least 3 months prior to study enrollment, HbA1c between 7 and 10%, FBG <=11.1mmol/L and BMI between 19 and 30 kg/m2 Exclusion criteria: - Pre-randomisation phase: 4 week screening and run in phase to provide education on lifestyle modification
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: 4 week screening and run in phase to provide education on lifestyle modification Patients were either OAD naïve or did not have any OADs 3 months prior to enrollment Proportion of participants who were truly drug naïve not provided
Lifestyle advice	Details not reported. Patients recorded in questionnaires dietary intake the day prior to study visits.
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 48 Length of titration period (wks): 4 Length of maintenance period (wks): 48 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Every 2 weeks or every 4 weeks after Week 4. Assessments at baseline, 24 and 48 weeks Only data at 24 weeks reported
Arms	(1) Acarbose N: 393 Treatment duration (wks): 48 Washout period (d): 28 Comments: Rescue medication permitted after 24 weeks, 5 patients received insulin rescue medication Treatment(s): Acarbose (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)
Outcomes	General Only data reported at 24 weeks were extracted as participants received rescue medication after this time

point with no adjustment to subsequent analyses
---

## Baseline characteristics

			Acarbose			
		N	k	mean		
ITT Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	361		50.6 (SD 9.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	361	219	(60.7%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	361		0.22 (SD 0.22)		
Blood glucose:  HbA1c (%) – 24wk  HbA1c (%) – 24wk  Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous Continuous Continuous	361 361 361		7.49 (SD 1.26) 7.49 (SD 1.26) 8.27 (SD 1.5)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	361		8.27 (SD 1.5)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	361		25.5 (SD 2.7)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	361		71.9712 (SD 7.62048) a		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	361		71.9712 (SD 7.62048) a		
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	361		70.1 (SD 10.5)		
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	361		70.1 (SD 10.5)		

<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

				Metformin
		N	k	mean
ITT Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	350		50.2 (SD 9.3)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	350	211	(60.3%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	350		0.26 (SD 0.25)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	350		7.59 (SD 1.22)
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	350		7.59 (SD 1.22)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	350		8.44 (SD 1.42)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	350		8.44 (SD 1.42)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	350		25.7 (SD 2.6)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	350		72.53568 (SD 7.33824) a
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	350		72.53568 (SD 7.33824) a
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	350		70.7 (SD 10.6)
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	350		70.7 (SD 10.6)
<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.	68m			

. . . . . . lu -

sults				Acarbose	
			N	k	mean
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	360		-1.17 (SD 0.677643379035049) a
	HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	360		-1.17 (SD 0.677643379035049) a
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	360		-1.35 (SD 1.25848056106509) a
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	360		-1.35 (SD 1.25848056106509) a
	Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	360		-2.55 (SD 3.19460450116523) a
	Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	360		-2.55 (SD 3.19460450116523) a

Dropouts:				
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	393	42	(10.7%)
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	393	9	(2.3%)
<sup>a</sup> Least square means reported; SD calculated	d from reported 9	5% C	I	
				Metformin
		N	k	mean
Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	350		-1.19 (SD 0.763617579973441) a
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	350		-1.19 (SD 0.763617579973441)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	350		-1.74 (SD 1.33633076495352) a
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	350		-1.74 (SD 1.33633076495352) a
Body weight:				
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	350		-1.88 (SD 3.24537471488712) a
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	350		-1.88 (SD 3.24537471488712) a
Dropouts:				
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	395	48	(12.2%)
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	395	11	(2.8%)
<sup>a</sup> Least square means reported; SD calculated	d from reported 9	5% C	l	

## Table 113: Yoon et al. (2011)

General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Korea  Authors' conclusions: The efficacy of glimepiride, metformin, and rosiglitazone as antidiabetic monotherapies in drug-naïve Korean type 2 diabetic patients was similar in the three groups, with no statistical difference. This study is the first randomized controlled trial to evaluate the efficacy of commonly-used oral hypoglycemic agents in Korean type 2 diabetic patients  Source of funding: supported by grants from the Korean Diabetes Association  Comments: multicenter, randomized, double-blind trial
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 349 Inclusion criteria: Eligible study participants were between the ages of 30 and 65 years, with HbA1c levels ranging from 6.5% to 9.5%. None of the subjects had ever taken an oral hypoglycemic agent.  Exclusion criteria: Glucocorticoid users, pregnant women, patients who had clinically significant liver disease (AST, ALT>2.5 x upper normal limit), significant renal disease (serum creatinine>1.5 mg/dL in men,>1.4 mg/dL in women), a history of lactic acidosis, a history of unstable angina or severe angina pectoris, a history of or treatment for congestive heart failure, or contraindications to metformin or sulfonylurea treatment were excluded Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 4 week lifestyle intervention before study drugs were prescribed
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: 4 week lifestyle intervention prior to study drug administration
Lifestyle advice	During the lifestyle intervention period, we provided individualized education to each study subject, according to current, recommended guidelines for medical nutritional treatment. We also recommended that each subject perform at least 150 minutes per week of moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity, provided exercise was not contraindicated. Trained dietitians or diabetic nurse specialists provided the education and made these recommendations.

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 52

Length of titration period (wks): 0

Length of maintenance period (wks): 48

**Frequency of monitoring appointments:** Participants were examined every 8 weeks for 48 weeks from the start of the randomization period

#### **Arms**

#### (1) Glimepiride

N: 118

Treatment duration (wks): 48 Washout period (d): 28

Treatment(s): Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Mean dose (mg/d): 4.5

Participants achieving full dose (n): 35

Frequency of dosing: variable

Compliance: To evaluate the study participants' medication compliance indirectly, we checked the number of remaining doses at each visit. The ratio of the remaining number of doses at the present visit to the number of doses prescribed at the previous visit was calculated. Subjects with a ratio greater than 30% were excluded in the outcome analysis,

since their compliance rate was presumed to be less than 70%.

Details of dosing regimen: According to the results of HbA1c (<6.5% or =6.5%) and drug tolerability check that was performed at each visit, we performed scheduled up-titration of the study drugs. For glimepiride level 1 was 2 mg od, level 2 was 2 mg bid, level 3 was 4

mg od and 2 mg od and level 4 was 4 mg bid.

#### (2) Metformin

N: 114

Treatment duration (wks): 48 Washout period (d): 28

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Mean dose (mg/d): 1234.2

Participants achieving full dose (n): 32 Frequency of dosing: variable Compliance: as glimepiride

Details of dosing regimen: According to the results of HbA1c (<6.5% or =6.5%) and drug tolerability check that was performed at each visit, we performed scheduled up-titration of the study drugs. For metformin, level 1 was 500 mg od, level 2 was 500 mg bid, level 3

was 1000 mg od and 500 mg od and level 4 was 1000 mg bid.

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

We used an intention-to-treat analysis method in analysing our data. The last observation carried forward (LOCF) method was used to fill in missing values at a later point in the study.

Data from 2/3 arms have been extracted in this evidence table as rosiglitazone is not licensed for use in the UK. All outcomes were extracted.

36/118 (30.5%) patients in the glimepiride and 43/114 (37.7%) in metformin group discontinued the study.

#### Hypoglycaemic events

All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) (Hypoglycemia was defined as the presence of typical adrenergic or neuroglycopenic symptoms and signs, regardless of the data for self-monitoring of blood glucose.)

			Glimepiride			ı	Metformin		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	118		50.8 (SD 8.9)	114		51.8 (SD 8.5)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	118	66	(55.9%)	114	66	(57.9%)		
Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	118		8.0475 (SD 1.78)	114		8.3805 (SD 1.8)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	118		25.5 (SD 3.1)	114		25.7 (SD 3.2)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	118		67.9 (SD 10.9)	114		68.9 (SD 11.1)		
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	118		87 (SD 7.8)	114		88.7 (SD 7.2)		
Hip circumference (cm)	Continuous	118		96.2 (SD 5.6)	114		97.5 (SD 6.1)		
Waist/hip ratio	Continuous	118		0.91 (SD 0.05)	114		0.91 (SD 0.05)		

Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	118		126.3 (SD 12.8)	114		128.2 (SD 12.4)	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	118		78.4 (SD 8.7)	114		79.8 (SD 8.6)	
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	118		4.918572 (SD 1.07)	114		4.830648 (SD 0.887)	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	118		med: 1.1637 (SD 0.31)	114		med: 1.11198 (SD 0.336)	
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	Continuous	118		med: 1.43383 (SD 1.29)	114		med: 1.62576 (SD 1.12)	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	118		2.867874 (SD 1.09)	114		2.74116 (SD 0.871)	
TC/HDL ratio	Continuous	118		med: 4.03 (SD 1.61)	114		med: 4.18 (SD 1.52)	
TG/HDL ratio	Continuous	118		med: 2.85 (SD 2.79)	114		med: 3.18 (SD 2.89)	
Other medication: Anti-hypertensive	Dichotomous	118	34	(28.8%)	114	33	(28.9%)	
Lipid-lowering medication	Dichotomous	118	35	(29.7%)	114	33	(28.9%)	
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	118		7.8 (SD 0.736)	114		7.9 (SD 0.842)	
<sup>a</sup> SD estimated from graph								

Results

		Glimepiride		Metformin						
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p	
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 48wk	Dichotomous	118	36	(30.5%)	114	43	(37.7%)			
Dropout due to AEs – 48wk	Dichotomous			(8.5%)	114		(7.9%)			
ITT	Dionotomodo	110		(0.070)			(1.070)			
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Continuous	118		6.75 (SD 0.652)	114		7.1 (SD 0.534)			
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	118		6.73 (SD 0.435)	114		6.95 (SD 0.427)			
HbA1c (%) – 48wk	Mean change	118		-0.89 (SD 0.76)	114		-0.92 (SD 0.96)			
HbA1c (%) – 48wkb	Continuous	118		6.9 (SD 0.734)	114		7 (SD 0.736)		0.62c	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 48wk	Dichotomous	118	77	(65.3%)	114	67	(58.8%)		0.51c	
Hba1c <6.5% – 48wk	Dichotomous	118	43	(36.4%)	114	28	(24.6%)		0.14c	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wka	Continuous	118		7.1595 (SD 1.21)	114		7.52025 (SD 1.19)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wka	Continuous	118		7.0485 (SD 1.21)	114		7.548 (SD 1.19)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 48wka	Continuous	118		7.104 (SD 1.21)	114		7.2705 (SD 1.19)		0.52c	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wka	Continuous	118		68.3 (SD 9.78)	114		68.3 (SD 9.61)			
Weight (kg) – 24wka	Continuous	118		69 (SD 8.69)	114		68 (SD 9.61)			
Weight (kg) – 48wk	Mean change	118		1.4	114		-1.1			
Weight (kg) – 48wkd	Continuous	118		69.5 (SD 10.9)	114		68 (SD 10.7)		NR	
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 48wk	Dichotomous	118	23	(19.5%)	114	4	(3.5%)		0.00	

Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 48wk	Dichotomous	118	53	(44.9%)	114	32	(28.1%)	NR
Chest pain – 48wk	Dichotomous	118	3	(2.5%)	114	7	(6.1%)	NS
Edema peripheral – 48wk	Dichotomous	118	8	(6.8%)	114	1	(0.9%)	0.04
GI: diarrhoea – 48wk	Dichotomous	118	4	(3.4%)	114	10	(8.8%)	0.03
GI: discomfort – 48wk	Dichotomous	118	10	(8.5%)	114	10	(8.8%)	NS
liver function/liver enzymes – 48wk	Dichotomous	118	5	(4.2%)	114	0	(0.0%)	NS

For comparisons of data among the three treatment groups, a repeated measured ANOVA test was used. For nonparametric statistical analysis, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used. Wilcoxon's signed rank test was used for comparison of pre- and post-treatment values. For analysis of differences in the frequency of adverse events, the chi-square test and Fisher's exact test were used

### E.1.2 First intensification

Table 1:	Arechavaleta et al. (2010)	411
Table 2:	Bergenstal et al. (2010)	414
Table 3:	Bolli et al. (2008)	421
Table 4:	Brady et al. (2014)	425
Table 5:	Chawla et al. (2013)	427
Table 6:	Derosa et al. (2007)	429
Table 7:	Derosa et al. (2009)	431
Table 8:	Derosa et al. (2010)	438
Table 9:	Derosa et al. (2011)	440
Table 10:	Derosa et al. (2011)	
Table 11:	Ferrannini et al. (2009)	446
Table 12:	Filozof & (2010)	450
Table 13:	Forst et al. (2010)	454
Table 14:	Gallwitz et al. (2012)	459
Table 15:	Gallwitz et al. (2012)	463
Table 16:	Gerich et al. (2005)	466
Table 17:	Goke et al. (2010)	471
Table 18:	Hanefeld et al. (2004)	476
Table 19:	Hermansen et al. (2007)	480
Table 20:	Jeon & (2011)	482
Table 21:	Maffioli et al. (2013)	484
Table 22:	Matthews et al. (2005)	487
Table 23:	Nauck et al. (2007)	490
Table 24:	Nauck et al. (2009)	498
Table 25:	Papathanassiou et al. (2009)	515
Table 26:	Pfutzner et al. (2011)	517
Table 27:	Pratley et al. (2010)	519
Table 28:	Ristic et al. (2006)	536
Table 29:	Rosenstock et al. (2013)	540
Table 30:	Srivastava et al. (2012)	542
Table 31:	Umpierrez et al. (2006)	544
Table 32:	van der et al. (2009)	
Table 33:	Wang et al. (2011)	
Table 34:	Yang et al. (2011)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph <sup>b</sup> SD estimated from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> P-value across all 3 groups (data for rosiglitazone not shown in this evidence table)

d estimated from graph; assumed SE

Table 1: Arechavaleta et al. (2010)

Table I. Al	echavaleta et al. (2010)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy
	☑ dual therapy □ triple therapy
	☐ insulin monotherapy
	□ insulin + oral
	Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country Multinational (unclear which Countries participated)
	Country: Multinational (unclear which Countries participated)  Authors' conclusions: In patients with type 2 diabetes and inadequate glycaemic control on metformin
	monotherapy, the addition of sitagliptin or glimepiride led to similar improvement in glycaemic control after 30 weeks. Sitagliptin was generally well tolerated. Compared to treatment with glimepiride, treatment with sitagliptin was associated with a lower risk of hypoglycaemia and with weight loss versus weight gain
	Source of funding: Funded by Merck Sharp & Dohme, and authors of paper are Merck employees
	<b>Comments:</b> This was a multinational, double-blind, randomized, parallel group, non-inferiority study. Patients were randomsied using a concealed computer-generated allocation schedule
Number and	Total number of patients: 1034
characteristics of patients	Inclusion criteria: Patients =18 years of age, with type 2 diabetes and with inadequate glycaemic control (defined as HbA1c = 6.5 and =9.0%) while on a stable dose of metformin (=1500 mg/day) as well as diet and exercise for at least 12 weeks prior to the screening visit, were eligible  Exclusion criteria: history of type 1 diabetes, used any AHA besides metformin within 12 weeks of the
	screening visit, had renal function impairment prohibiting the use of metformin or had a fasting fingerstick glucose of <6.1 or >13.3 mmol/l at randomisation  Pre-randomisation phase: a 2-week, placebo run-in period
Dravious	
Previous glucose-	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin
lowering therapy	Details of washout period: All taking metformin monotherapy for 12 weeks before screening
Lifestyle advice	Patients received counselling on exercise and a diet consistent with American Diabetes Association recommendations throughout the study
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 30
	Length of titration period (wks): 0
	Length of maintenance period (wks): 30 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
	rrequency of monitoring appointments.
Arms	(1) Metformin + sitagliptin N: 516
	Treatment duration (wks): 30 Washout period (d): -
	Comments: All patients were already on a stable dose of metformin (at least 1500mg/day)
	Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose
	Minimum dose (mg/d): 1500
	(b) Sitagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100
	Details of dosing regimen: Patients in the sitagliptin group were treated with sitagliptin 100 mg daily and matching glimepiride placebo
	(2) Metformin + glimepiride
	N: 519
	Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): -
	Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)
	Minimum dose (mg/d): 1500
	(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)
	Mean dose (mg/d): 2.1 Minimum dose (mg/d): 1
	Maximum dose (mg/d): 6
	Details of dosing regimen: patients in the glimepiride group received a matching sitagliptin placebo tablet and started glimepiride 1 mg/day. The glimepiride dose could be up-titrated
	during the first 18 weeks of the treatment period to a maximum dose of 6 mg/day, based
	on patient's self-administered blood glucose monitoring, as considered appropriate by the investigator following their usual practice. In the event of up-titration, glimepiride or matching placebo was increased to 2 mg and then further increased in 1- or 2-mg
	increments. At any time during the study, glimepiride could be down-titrated to prevent

#### recurrent hypoglycaemic events

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Patients were to be discontinued from the study if they experienced repeated episodes of unexplained hypoglycaemia as defined by fasting plasma glucose (FPG) orfingerstick glucose <2.78 mmol/l with or without the symptoms of hypoglycaemia or <3.89 mmol/l with symptoms of hypoglycaemia. In addition, patients were to be discontinued from the study if they failed to meet prespecified, progressively stricter glycaemic

control criteria. From randomization through week 12, patients were discontinued if FPG was consistently >13.33 mmol/l on at least 4 mg daily glimepiride/glimepiride placebo for at least 2 weeks; after week 12, patients were discontinued if their FPG was consistently >11.10 mmol/l on at least 4 mg daily glimepiride/glimepiride placebo for at least 2 weeks.

The primary analysis was conducted in the per-protocol (PP) population, defined as those patients with a baseline measurement, a measurement at

week 30, and with no major protocol violations (drug compliance <85%, use of prohibited medications, change of metformin dose or incorrect administration of double-blind study medication) identified prior to unblinding the data. To assess the robustness for the results in the PP population, analyses of HbA1c and FPG were also performed in the full

analysis set (FAS), defined as all randomized patients who took at least one dose of study medication and had both a baseline measurement and at least one postbaseline measurement of the respective efficacy outcome

#### Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (hypoglycaemia requiring the medical or non-medical assistance of others, or accompanied by the symptoms of neuroglycaemia.)

symptomatic (confirmed) (1) symptomatic hypoglycaemia accompanied by a fingerstick blood glucose measurement =3.9 mmol/l and

2) symptomatic hypoglycaemia accompanied by a fingerstick blood glucose measurement =2.8 mmol/l) Symptomatic hypoglycaemia (symptomatic hypoglycaemia, whether or not blood glucose values were documented)

				ormin + gliptin	Metformin + glimepiride				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years) – 0wk	Continuous	516		56.3 (SD 9.7)	519		56.2 (SD 10.1)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	516	284	(55.0%)	519	279	(53.8%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	516		6.8 (SD 4.6)	519		6.7 (SD 4.8)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	516		7.5 (SD 0.7)	519		7.5 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	516		8 (SD 1.8)	519		8.1 (SD 1.9)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	516		29.7 (SD 4.5)	519		30.2 (SD 4.4)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	516		80.6 (SD 15.2)	519		82 (SD 16.7)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	509		7.5 (SD 0.7)	509		7.51 (SD 0.76)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	509		8 (SD 1.9)	509		8.2 (SD 2)		
PP									
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	443		7.48 (SD 0.68)	436		7.49 (SD 0.74)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	446		7.9 (SD 1.8)	444		8 (SD 1.9)		

Results Metfo sitag		Δ	р
---------------------	--	---	---

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 30wk	Dichotomous	516	36	(7.0%)	518		(22.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 30wk	Dichotomous	516	48	(9.3%)	519	51	(9.8%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 30wk	Mean change	509		-0.46 (SD 0.921)	509		-0.52 (SD 0.921)	MD=0.070	a
HbA1c (%) – 30wk	Continuous	509		7.09 (SD 0.902) b	509		7.02 (SD 0.92)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 30wk	Mean change	509		-0.8 (SD 2.3)	509		-0.9 (SD 2.3)	MD=0.100	С
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 30wk	Continuous	509		7.3 (SD 1.9)	509		7.3 (SD 2)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 30wk	Mean difference over whole trial period	516			518			MD=- 15.000	d
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 30wk	Time-to-event	516			518				<0.001e
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 30wk	Time-to-event	516			518				<0.001f
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 30wk	Time-to-event	516			518				0.02g
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 30wkh	Count	103441	73		103441	460			
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 30wk	Time-to-event	516			518				<0.001i
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 30wk	Dichotomous	516	244	(47.3%)	518	291	(56.2%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 30wk	Mean difference over whole trial period	516			518			MD=- 8.900	j
Serious AE drug related – 30wk	Mean difference over whole trial period	516			518			MD=0.200	
Serious AE drug related – 30wk	Dichotomous	516	1	(0.2%)	518	0	(0.0%)		
Death – 30wk	Mean difference over whole trial period	516			518			MD=- 0.200	ı
Death – 30wk	Dichotomous	516	0	(0.0%)	518	1	(0.2%)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 30wk	Mean difference over whole trial period	516		/	518		,	MD=1.600	m

Dropout due to AEs – 30wkn	Dichotomous	516	18	(3.5%)	518	4	(0.8%)		
PP									
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wko	Continuous	446		7.05 (SD 0.422)	444		6.95 (SD 1.05)		
HbA1c (%) – 30wk	Continuous	443		7.04 (SD 0.83)	436		6.98 (SD 0.89)		
HbA1c (%) – 30wk	Mean change	443			436			MD=0.070	р
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 30wk	Continuous	446		7.2 (SD 1.7)	444		7.1 (SD 1.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 30wk	Mean change	446			463			MD=0.200	q
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wko	Mean change	446		-0.5 (SD 2.11)	444		0.525 (SD 1.05)		
Weight (kg) – 30wk	Continuous	443			463			MD=- 2.000	<0.001
Weight (kg) – 30wk	Mean change	446		-0.52 (SD 3.17)	444		1.15 (SD 4.21)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (any) – 30wk	Percentage change from baseline	443			463			MD=- 0.800	r
HDL cholesterol (any) – 30wk	Percentage change from baseline	443			463			MD=3.500	s
LDL cholesterol (any) – 30wk	Percentage change from baseline	443			463			MD=2.300	t
<sup>a</sup> CI= -0.02 to 0.16 (m <sup>b</sup> SD calculated from r <sup>c</sup> CI= -0.1 to 0.3 (mea <sup>d</sup> % CI= -19.3 to -10.9 <sup>e</sup> 95% CI= 0.014 - 0.2 <sup>f</sup> 95% CI= 0.03 to 0.15 <sup>g</sup> 95% CI= 0.028 - 0.7 symptoms <sup>h</sup> (Used in the analysis <sup>f</sup> 95% CI= 0.048 - 0.18	reported SE n difference in ch 17 fingerstick blo 56) accompanied 33 requiring med s); Person days 6	nange fro ood gluco I by finge lical or no	m bas se 2.8 rstick on- me	seline) Smmol blood glud edical assi reported p	istance, person y	or acc ears	ompanied	by neurolog	ical

analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with treatment, baseline HbA1c, and country as covariates was carried out.

## Table 2: Bergenstal et al. (2010)

	= : :
General	Phase:
	□ monotherapy
	☑ dual therapy
	☐ triple therapy
	□ insulin monotherapy
	□ insulin + oral
	Parallel / crossover: Parallel

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>k</sup> % CI= -0.5 to 1.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>% CI= -1.1 to 0.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>m</sup> % CI= 0.3 to 3.2

 $<sup>^{</sup>n}$  Dropouts due to adverse events, serious adverse events and reactions (including hypoglycaemia) <sup>o</sup> graph <sup>p</sup> Cl= -0.03 to 0.16 (mean difference in change from baseline) <sup>q</sup> Cl= -0.1 to 0.4 (mean difference in change from baseline)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>s</sup> % CI= 0.6 to 6.5 (mean difference in change from baseline)

 $<sup>^</sup>t$ % CI= -1.9 to 6.5 (mean difference in change from baseline)

Country: USA, India, Mexico Authors' conclusions: The goal of many clinicians who manage diabetes is to achieve optimum glucose control alongside weight loss and a minimum number of hypoglycaemic episodes. Addition of exenatide once weekly to metformin achieved this goal more often than did addition of maximum daily doses of either sitagliptin or pioglitazone Source of funding: Amylin Pharmaceuticals and Eli Lilly. Comments: Data from extension is available but has not been extracted. Extension involved all patients stopping sitaglipting or pioglitazone at 26 weeks and switched to 2mg exenatide once weekly (open label) in addition to metformin. No washout of previous drugs is stated. Number and Total number of patients: 491 characteristics Inclusion criteria: aged 18 and older with type two diabetes treated with a stable dose of metformin for at of patients least 2 months before screening. HbA1c 7.1 to 11.0%, BMI 25 to 45kg/m2. Exclusion criteria: Women who were pregnant **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or glucoseinsulin lowering Details of washout period: All on metformin therapy Lifestyle advice Not stated Total follow-up (wks): 26 Follow-up Length of titration period (wks): -Length of maintenance period (wks): -Frequency of monitoring appointments: 4, 6, 10, 14, 18, 22, 26 weeks (1) Metformin + exenatide + placebo **Arms** N: 160 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Mean dose (mg/d): 1504 (b) Exenatide (once weekly) (Subcutaneous) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):2 Frequency of dosing: once weekly Details of dosing regimen: 2mg once per week (2) Metformin + Sitagliptin + placebo N: 166 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): (a) Metformin (Oral) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Treatment(s): Mean dose (mg/d): 1583 (b) Sitagliptin (Oral) Set dose (mg/d):100 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: 100mg once daily (3) Metformin + pioglitazone + placebo N: 165 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Mean dose (mg/d): 1480 (b) Pioglitazone (Oral) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):45 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: 45mg once per day **Outcomes** Baseline Metformin + Metformin + characteristics exenatide + placebo Sitagliptin + placebo mean mean Δр Demographics: Age (years) Continuous 160 52 (SD 10) 166 52 (SD 11) Sex (n male) Dichotomous 160 89 (55.6%) 166 86 (51.8%)

Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	160		6 (SD 5)	166		5 (SD 4)
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	160	53	(33.1%)	166	50	(30.1%)
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	160	19	(11.9%)	166	20	(12.0%)
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	160	37	(23.1%)	166	42	(25.3%)
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	160	50	(31.3%)	166	49	(29.5%)
Ethincity-American Indian or Alaska native	Dichotomous	160	0	(0.0%)	166	3	(1.8%)
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	160	1	(0.6%)	166	2	(1.2%)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	160		8.6 (SD 1.2)	166		8.5 (SD 1.2)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	160		9.2 (SD 2.9)	166		9.1 (SD 2.5)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	160		32 (SD 5)	166		32 (SD 5)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	160		89 (SD 20)	166		87 (SD 20)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	160		4.5 (SD 1)	166		4.6 (SD 1.1)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	160		1.1 (SD 0.2)	166		1.1 (SD 0.3)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	160		1.9 (SD 1.1)	166		1.9 (SD 1.3)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	160		2.7 (SD 0.8)	166		2.7 (SD 0.9)
Hba1c <=9.0% or <9% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	102		7.8 (SD 1.01)	106		7.7 (SD 1.03)
baseline Hba1c >=9% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	58		9.9 (SD 0.762)	60		9.8 (SD 0.775)

		exe		Metformin + atide + placebo			tformin + cone + placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	160		52 (SD 10)	165		53 (SD 10)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	160	89	(55.6%)	165	79	(47.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	160		6 (SD 5)	165		6 (SD 5)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	160	53	(33.1%)	165	65	(39.4%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	160	19	(11.9%)	165	13	(7.9%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	160	37	(23.1%)	165	40	(24.2%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	160	50	(31.3%)	165	44	(26.7%)		
Ethincity-American Indian or Alaska native	Dichotomous	160	0	(0.0%)	165	0	(0.0%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	160	1	(0.6%)	165	3	(1.8%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	160		8.6 (SD 1.2)	165		8.5 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	160		9.2 (SD 2.9)	165		9.1 (SD 2.4)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	160		32 (SD 5)	165		32 (SD 6)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	160		89 (SD 20)	165		88 (SD 20)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	160		4.5 (SD 1)	165		4.9 (SD 1.1)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	160		1.1 (SD 0.2)	165		1.1 (SD 0.3)		

Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	160	1.9 (SD 1.1)	165	2.2 (SD 1.3)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	160	2.7 (SD 0.8)	165	2.9 (SD 1)
Hba1c <=9.0% or <9% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	102	7.8 (SD 1.01)	109	7.8 (SD 1.04)
baseline Hba1c >=9% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	58	9.9 (SD 0.762)	56	9.7 (SD 0.748)

		Metformin + Sitagliptin + placebo			Metformin + pioglitazone + placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	166		52 (SD 11)	165		53 (SD 10)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	166	86	(51.8%)	165	79	(47.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	166		5 (SD 4)	165		6 (SD 5)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	166	50	(30.1%)	165	65	(39.4%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	166	20	(12.0%)	165	13	(7.9%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	166	42	(25.3%)	165	40	(24.2%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	166	49	(29.5%)	165	44	(26.7%)		
Ethincity-American Indian or Alaska native	Dichotomous	166	3	(1.8%)	165	0	(0.0%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	166	2	(1.2%)	165	3	(1.8%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	166		8.5 (SD 1.2)	165		8.5 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	166		9.1 (SD 2.5)	165		9.1 (SD 2.4)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	166		32 (SD 5)	165		32 (SD 6)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	166		87 (SD 20)	165		88 (SD 20)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	166		4.6 (SD 1.1)	165		4.9 (SD 1.1)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	166		1.1 (SD 0.3)	165		1.1 (SD 0.3)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	166		1.9 (SD 1.3)	165		2.2 (SD 1.3)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	166		2.7 (SD 0.9)	165		2.9 (SD 1)		
Hba1c <=9.0% or <9% Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	106		7.7 (SD 1.03)	109		7.8 (SD 1.04)		
baseline Hba1c >=9% Blood glucose:				9.8 (SD			9.7 (SD		
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	60		0.775)	56		0.748)		

 Results
 Metformin + Sitagliptin + placebo

 N
 k
 mean
 N
 k
 mean
 Δ
 p

Blood glucose:							
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 14wka	Mean change	160		-2 (SD 2.53)	166		-0.7 (SD 2.58)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	160		-1.8 (SD 2.58)	166		-0.9 (SD 2.63)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 14wka	Mean change	160		-1.9 (SD 2.53)	166		-0.7 (SD 2.58)
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	160		-2.3 (SD 3.87)	166		-0.8 (SD 3.94)
Hypoglycaemic events:	_						
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 26wkb	Count	26117	2		28210	9	
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	2	(1.3%)	166	5	(3.0%)
Adverse events:							
GI: nausea – 26wkc	Count	26117	62		28210	22	
GI: nausea – 26wkd	Dichotomous	160	38	(23.8%)	166	16	(9.6%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	4	(2.5%)	166	5	(3.0%)
Edema peripheral – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	2	(1.3%)	166	5	(3.0%)
Fatigue – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	9	(5.6%)	166	0	(0.0%)
GI: diarrhoea – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	29	(18.1%)	166	16	(9.6%)
GI: vomiting – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	18	(10.1%)	166	4	(2.4%)
GI: constipation – 26wk		160	9	(5.6%)	166	3	(1.8%)
Headache – 26wk	Dichotomous	160		` '	166		` '
	Dichotomous	160	15	(9.4%)	100	15	(9.0%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	6	(3.8%)	166	15	(9.0%)
pruritus – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	8	(5.0%)	166	8	(4.8%)
Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	5	(3.1%)	166	2	(1.2%)
UTI – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	10	(6.3%)	166	9	(5.4%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	33	(20.6%)	166	22	(13.3%)
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	11	(6.9%)	166	5	(3.0%)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 14wka	Mean change	160		-4.2 (SD 12.6)	166		-1.8 (SD 12.9)
Systolic blood pressure		.00		-4 (SD	.00		0 (SD
(mmHg) – 26wka	Mean change	160		12.6)	166		12.9)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wka	Mean change	160		-0.1 (SD 1.01)	166		0.8 (SD 1.03)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wka	Mean change	160		0.4 (SD 0.253)	166		0.4 (SD 0.258)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wka	Percentage change from baseline	160		-4 (SD 37.9)	166		-4 (SD 38.7)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wka	Mean change	160		-0.2 (SD 1.01)	166		0.4 (SD 0.644)
Hba1c <=9.0% or <9% Blood glucose:				-1.1 (SD			-0.5 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	102		1.29)	106		1.97)
baseline Hba1c >=9%	Ü			,			,
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	58		-2 (SD 1.55)	60		-1.3 (SD 1.58)
<sup>a</sup> graph							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> graph <sup>b</sup> (Used in the analysis); Patient days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study <sup>c</sup> Patient days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study <sup>d</sup> (Used in the analysis)

	ng plasma glucose			min + - placebo			rmin + e + placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 14wka	Mean change	160		-2 (SD 2.53)	165		-1 (SD 1.28)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	160		-1.8 (SD 2.58)	165		-1.5 (SD 2.62)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 14wka	Mean change	160		-1.9 (SD 2.53)	165		1.5 (SD 2.57)		
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	160		-2.3 (SD 3.87)	165		2.8 (SD 3.93)		
Hypoglycaemic events: Minor (confirmed)				,			,		
hypoglycaemia – 26wkb Minor (confirmed)	Count	26117	2		26936	1			
hypoglycaemia – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	2	(1.3%)	165	1	(0.6%)		
Adverse events: Gl: nausea – 26wkc	Count	26117	62		26936	9			
GI: nausea – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	38d	(23.8%)	165	8	(4.8%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	4	(2.5%)	165	10	(6.1%)		
Edema peripheral – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	2	(1.3%)	165	13	(7.9%)		
Fatigue – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	9	(5.6%)	165	5	(3.0%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	29	(18.1%)	165	12d	,		
GI: vomiting – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	18	(11.3%)	165	5	(3.0%)		
GI: constipation – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	9	(5.6%)	165	2	(1.2%)		
Headache – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	15	,	165	7	,		
	Dictiolofficus	100	15	(9.4%)	100	,	(4.2%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	6	(3.8%)	165	17	(10.3%)		
pruritus – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	8	(5.0%)	165	2	(1.2%)		
Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	5	(3.1%)	165	11	(6.7%)		
UTI – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	10	(6.3%)	165	6	(3.6%)		
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	33	(20.6%)	165	34	(20.6%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	160	11	(6.9%)	165	6	(3.6%)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 14wka	Mean change	160		-4.2 (SD 12.6)	165		-2.2 (SD 12.8)		
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wka	Mean change	160		-4 (SD 12.6)	165		-2 (SD 12.8)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) - 26wka	Mean change	160		-0.1 (SD 1.01)	165		0.16 (SD 1.03)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wka	Mean change	160		0.4 (SD 0.253)	165		0.16 (SD 0.257)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wka	Percentage change from baseline	160		-4 (SD 37.9)	165		-15 (SD 38.5)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wka	Mean change	160		-0.2 (SD 1.01)	165		0.4 (SD 0.642)		
Hba1c <=9.0% or <9% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	102		-1.1 (SD 1.29)	109		-0.9 (SD 1.31)		
baseline Hba1c >=9% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	58		-2 (SD 1.55)	56		-1.5 (SD 1.53)		

 $^b$  (Used in the analysis); Patient days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study  $^c$  Patient days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study  $^d$  (Used in the analysis)

				min + + placebo			ormin + ne + placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose:									
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 14wka	Mean change	166		-0.7 (SD 2.58)	165		-1 (SD 1.28)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	166		-0.9 (SD 2.63)	165		-1.5 (SD 2.62)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 14wka	Mean change	166		-0.7 (SD 2.58)	165		1.5 (SD 2.57)		
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	166		-0.8 (SD 3.94)	165		2.8 (SD 3.93)		
Hypoglycaemic events: Minor (confirmed)	Count	20240	0		26026	4			
hypoglycaemia – 26wkb Minor (confirmed)	Count	28210			26936	1			
hypoglycaemia – 26wk Adverse events:	Dichotomous	166	5	(3.0%)	165	1	(0.6%)		
GI: nausea – 26wkc	Count	28210	22		26936	9			
GI: nausea – 26wk	Dichotomous	166	16d	(9.6%)	165	8	(4.8%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 26wk	Dichotomous	166	5	(3.0%)	165	10	(6.1%)		
Edema peripheral – 26wk	Dichotomous	166	5	(3.0%)	165	13	(7.9%)		
Fatigue – 26wk	Dichotomous	166	0	(0.0%)	165	5	(3.0%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 26wk	Dichotomous	166	16	(9.6%)	165	12d	(7.3%)		
GI: vomiting – 26wk	Dichotomous	166	4	(2.4%)	165	5	(3.0%)		
GI: constipation – 26wk	Dichotomous	166	3	(1.8%)	165	2	(1.2%)		
Headache – 26wk	Dichotomous	166	15	(9.0%)	165	7	(4.2%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 26wk	Dichotomous	166	15	(9.0%)	165	17	(10.3%)		
pruritus – 26wk	Dichotomous	166	8	(4.8%)	165	2	(1.2%)		
Sinusitis or sinus abnormality – 26wk	Dichotomous	166	2	(1.2%)	165	11	(6.7%)		
UTI – 26wk	Dichotomous	166	9	(5.4%)	165	6	(3.6%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	166	22	(13.3%)	165	34	(20.6%)		
Dropout due to AEs –	Dichotomous	166	5		165	6			
26wk Blood pressure:	Dichotomous	166	5	(3.0%)	165	6	(3.6%)		
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 14wka	Mean change	166		-1.8 (SD 12.9)	165		-2.2 (SD 12.8)		
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wka	Mean change	166		0 (SD 12.9)	165		-2 (SD 12.8)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wka	Mean change	166		0.8 (SD 1.03)	165		0.16 (SD 1.03)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wka	Mean change	166		0.4 (SD 0.258)	165		0.16 (SD 0.257)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wka	Percentage change from baseline	166		-4 (SD 38.7)	165		-15 (SD 38.5)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wka	Mean change	166		0.4 (SD 0.644)	165		0.4 (SD 0.642)		
Hba1c <=9.0% or <9%	J			,			,		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	106		-0.5 (SD 1.97)	109		-0.9 (SD 1.31)		

baseline Hba1c >=9% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	60		-1.3 (SD 1.58)	56		-1.5 (SD 1.53)	
<ul> <li><sup>a</sup> graph</li> <li><sup>b</sup> (Used in the analysis); Patier</li> <li><sup>c</sup> Patient days estimated assur</li> <li><sup>d</sup> (Used in the analysis)</li> </ul>	nt days estimated as ming dropout halfway	suming d / through	Iropou the s	ut halfway th study	rough th	ie stu	dy	

## **Table 3: Bolli et al. (2008)**

Table 3: E	Bolli et al. (2008)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy  ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Germany, UK, USA, Spain, Italy, Switzerland, Austria, South Africa and Australia  Authors' conclusions: When added to metformin, vildagliptin demonstrates favourable safety and tolerability over 1 year. Vildagliptin provided additional Hba1c lowering to that achieved with metformin alone and comparable to that achieved with pioglitazone, with only pioglitazone causing weight gain  Source of funding: Novartis  Comments: Double-blind randomised controlled trial.
Number and characteristic s of patients	Total number of patients: 576 Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes with a Hba1c 7.5-11% while receiving a stable dose of metformin >=1500 mg/day. Patients were aged 18-77 years, BMI of 22-45 kg/m2 and with a FBG <15 mmol/l Exclusion criteria: history of type 1 diabetes or secondary forms of diabetes, acute metabolic diabetic complications, cardiovascular disease within the previous 6 months, liver disease and laboratory abnormalities
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All patients were taking metformin monotherapy at baseline and this was continued
Lifestyle advice	-
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Efficacy and tolerability were assessed at 4,12,16,24,32,40,52
Arms	(1) Vildagliptin + Metformin  N: 295  Treatment duration (wks): 52  Washout period (d): 0  Comments: All patients were taking metformin monotherapy at baseline and this was continued  Treatment(s): (a) Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d): 100 Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: 50 mg vildagliptin given twice daily (b) Metformin (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 2032 Details of dosing regimen: At baseline the mean metformin dose was 2020mg with no significant difference in dose  (2) Pioglitazone + metformin  N: 281  Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0  Comments: All patients were taking metformin monotherapy at baseline and this was continued  Treatment(s): (a) Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d): 30 Frequency of dosing: once a day (b) Metformin (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 2008

Details of dosing regimen: At baseline the mean metformin dose was 2020mg with no significant difference in dose

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

The primary efficacy variable was change in Hba1c in the PP population using LOCF for patients who discontinued early. The ITT population was used in the extension trial and is defined as all randomised patients that received at least one dose of study drug and had at least one post baseline assessment. However, the denominators for the ITT analysis were unclear but have been assumed to be the randomised population in this evidence table.

33/295 (11.2%) patients in the vildagliptin + metformin 37/281 (13.2%) in the pioglitazone + metformin group discontinued the study at 24 weeks

Outcomes from the extension trial (Bolli 2009) are also reported in this evidence table. Outcomes from subgroup analyses were extracted only when denominators were clearly reported. All outcomes except lipid levels were extracted (units of measurement were unclear).

#### Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (severe hypoglycaemia was defined as any episode requiring the assistance of another party)

symptomatic (confirmed) (confirmed hypoglycaemia was defined as symptoms suggestive of low blood glucose confirmed by SMBG <3.1 mmol/l)

# Baseline characteristic s

				agliptin + etformin			llitazone + etformin		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	295		56.3 (SD 9.3)	281		57 (SD 9.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	295	182	(61.7%)	281	180	(64.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	295		6.4 (SD 4.9)	281		6.4 (SD 5.2)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	295		8.4 (SD 1)	281		8.4 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	295		10.9 (SD 2.6)	281		11 (SD 2.7)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	295		32.2 (SD 5.6)	281		32.1 (SD 5.1) a		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	295		91.8 (SD 18.5)	281		91.2 (SD 16.9) a		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 4wkb	Continuous	295		8.425 (SD 0.859)	280		8.425 (SD 0.837)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> No separate data reported for ITT population

#### Results

			dagli <sub>l</sub> letfor	ptin + min		glitaz			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c reduction >=1% – 24wka	Dichotomou s	295	14 1	(47.8%	281	142	(50.5%		
Hba1c reduction >=0.7% – 24wk	Dichotomou s	295	18 2	(61.7% )	281	179 a	(63.7% )		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wka	Dichotomou s	295	80	(27.1%	281	101	(35.9%		
HbA1c <= 6.5% – 24wka	Dichotomou s	295	58	(19.7% )	281	50	(17.8% )		
Hypoglycaemic events:  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomou s	295	b		281	0			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wk	Dichotomou s	295	0	(0.0%)	281	0c	(0.0%)		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wkd	Count	4678 8	3		4410 0	0			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Data estimated from graphs; SD calculated from estimated SE

	D: 1 /								
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wkc	Dichotomou s	295	1	(0.3%)	281	1	(0.4%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wke	Dichotomou s	295	33	(11.2%	281	37	(13.2%		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wkf	Dichotomou s	295	8	(2.7%)	281	9	(3.2%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomou s	295	8	(2.7%)	281	8	(2.8%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 52wkb	Dichotomou s	295			281				
ITT				7.50			7.50		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkg	Continuous	295		7.52 (SD 0.859)	280		7.52 (SD 0.837)		
				7.52 (SD			7.42 (SD		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkg	Continuous	295		1.03)	280		0.837)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	295		-0.6 (SD 0.964)	280		-0.6 (SD 1.45)		
11DA10 (70) — 32WK	onango	200		0.004)	200		-1.6		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	295		-1 (SD 2.63)	280		(SD 2.99)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wkh	Mean change	295		0.2 (SD 3.44)	280		2.6 (SD 5.02)		
PP				-0.88				MD=0.10	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	264		(SD 0.859) i	246		-0.98 (SD 1.01)	0 (CI: - 0.050, 0.250)	
	Mean			-0.88 (SD 0.859)			-0.98 (SD	MD=0.10 0 (CI: - 0.050,	
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	change	295		i	281		1.01)	0.250)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wk	Dichotomou s	295			281				0.03
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	264		-1.4 (SD 1.72)	246		-2.1 (SD 1.68)	MD=0.70 0 (CI: 0.300, 1.100)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean	295		-1.4 (SD	281		-2.1 (SD 1.68)	MD=0.70 0 (CI: 0.300, 1.100)	
(IIIIII0I/I) — 24WK	change	290		1.72) 91.3	201		1.00)	1.100)	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	264		(SD 16.2)	246		93 (SD 15.7)		
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	295		0.3 (SD 3.44)	281		1.9 (SD 3.35)	MD=- 1.600 (CI: - 2.188, - 1.012)	<0.00
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	264		0.3 (SD 3.44)	246		1.9 (SD 3.35)	MD=- 1.600 (CI: - 2.188, - 1.012)	<0.00
Safety population				/			,	/	
Adverse events:	Dichotomou								
GI: nausea – 24wkb	S	295			280				
GI: nausea – 52wkj	Dichotomou s	295	10	(3.4%)	280	5	(1.8%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomou s	295	17 7	(60.0%	280	158	(56.4% )		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomou s	295	6	(2.0%)	280	13	(4.6%)		

<b>5</b>						
Dichotomou s	295	12	(4.1%)	280	25	(8.9%)
Dichotomou s	295	10	(3.4%)	280	3	(1.1%)
Dichotomou s	295	15	(5.1%)	280	15	(5.4%)
Dichotomou s	295	4	(1.4%)	280	10	(3.6%)
Dichotomou	295	0		280	0	(0.0%)
Dichotomou			,			(2.5%)
Dichotomou			,			
Dichotomou	295	15	(5.1%)	280	11	(3.9%)
S	295			280		
S	295	8	(2.7%)	280	3	(1.1%)
Dichotomou s	295	26	(8.8%)	280	17	(6.1%)
Dichotomou s	295	32	(10.8%	280	31	(11.1%)
Dichotomou s	295			280		
Dichotomou	295	59	(20.0%	280	41a	(14.6%
Dichotomou			(3.4%)			(2.9%)
Dichotomou s	295	14	,	280	14	(5.0%)
Dichotomou s	295			280		
Dichotomou s	295	10	(3.4%)	280	4	(1.4%)
Dichotomou s	295	9	(3.1%)	280	3	(1.1%)
Dichotomou s	295	10	(3.4%)	280	4	(1.4%)
Dichotomou s	295	16	(5.4%)	280	14	(5.0%)
Dichotomou s	295	19	(6.4%)	280	17	(6.1%)
Dichotomou	295	12	(4.1%)	280	13	(4.6%)
Dichotomou						(7.1%)
Dichotomou		10	(0.470)		20	(/0)
Dichotomou		5	(1.7%)		3a	(1.1%)
Dichotomou						
Dichotomou			, ,			(2.1%)
J	290		,	200	U	` '
Mean change	176		-0.4 (SD 1.33)	172		-0.8 (SD 1.31)
Continuous	158		0.2 (SD 3.98)	151		2.1 (SD 3.69)
	Dichotomous	S 295 Dichotomou s 295	s         295         12           Dichotomous         295         10           Dichotomous         295         15           Dichotomous         295         4           Dichotomous         295         0           Dichotomous         295         14           Dichotomous         295         15           Dichotomous         295         8           Dichotomous         295         8           Dichotomous         295         32           Dichotomous         295         32           Dichotomous         295         59           Dichotomous         295         59           Dichotomous         295         10           Dichotomous         295         12           Dichotomous         295         12           Dichotomous         295         5	s         295         12         (4.1%)           Dichotomou s         295         10         (3.4%)           Dichotomou s         295         15         (5.1%)           Dichotomou s         295         4         (1.4%)           Dichotomou s         295         0         (0.0%)           Dichotomou s         295         14         (4.7%)           Dichotomou s         295         15         (5.1%)           Dichotomou s         295         26         (8.8%)           Dichotomou s         295         32         )           Dichotomou s         295         10         (3.4%)           Dichotomou s         295         10         (5.4%)           Dichotomo	s         295         12         (4.1%)         280           Dichotomou s         295         10         (3.4%)         280           Dichotomou s         295         15         (5.1%)         280           Dichotomou s         295         4         (1.4%)         280           Dichotomou s         295         0         (0.0%)         280           Dichotomou s         295         14         (4.7%)         280           Dichotomou s         295         15         (5.1%)         280           Dichotomou s         295         8         (2.7%)         280           Dichotomou s         295         26         (8.8%)         280           Dichotomou s         295         32         )         280           Dichotomou s         295         32         )         280           Dichotomou s         295         59         )         280           Dichotomou s         295         10         (3.4%)         280           Dichotomou s         295         10         (3.4%)         280           Dichotomou s         295         10         (3.4%)         280           Dichotomou s         <	S

Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	295		281		MD=- 1.800 (CI: - 2.584, - 1.016)	<0.00
Weight (kg) – 52wkg	Mean change	176	0.29 (SD 2.65)	172	3.25 (SD 5.25)		
Baseline Hba1c >8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	171	-0.7 (SD 1.31)	162	-0.8 (SD 1.27)		
Hba1c>9.0% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	73	-1.5 (SD 1.71)	69	-1.5 (SD 1.66)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	73	-1.1 (SD 1.71)	69	-0.9 (SD 1.66)		
BMI>=35 Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	82	-0.4 (SD 0.906)	76	-0.7 (SD 0.872)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	73	0.1 (SD 4.27)	70	2.6 (SD 4.18)		
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	295		281		MD=- 2.500 (CI: - 3.872, - 1.128)	<0.00
Weight (kg) – 52wkg	Mean change	82	-0.1 (SD 4.44)	76	3.9 (SD 4.79)		

Adjusted mean changes from baseline to endpoint were analysed using an ANCOVA with treatment and pooled centre as the classification variables and baseline as covariate. P-values for adverse events were not reported.

**Table 4: Brady et al. (2014)** 

General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy  ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: -  Authors' conclusions: -  Source of funding: -  Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 99 Inclusion criteria: Adults (>/= 18 years) with T2DM intending to fast during Ramadan for at least 10 days; on stable dose of metformin (monotherapy or first intensification with a sulfonylurea or pioglitazone) Exclusion criteria: -
Previous glucose- lowering	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: 14 days run-in period (dose titration)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> No of patients

d (Used in the analysis); Patient days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study propouts at 52 weeks not reported

Data not reported at 52 weeks

g estimated from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> Data estimated from graph, SD calculated from estimated SE

assumed SE was 0.05 not 0.5 as reported

Estimated from reported percentages

therapy		dication except for metform a sulfonylurea or liraglutid		ed or sv	vitche	d at baselir	ne depe	endir	ng on					
Lifestyle advice	-													
Follow-up	Length of titrat Length of main	otal follow-up (wks): 12 ength of titration period (wks): 2 ength of maintenance period (wks): - requency of monitoring appointments: -												
Arms	N: 52													
	Washout period Comments: Indipre-study therap	(d): 14 viduals on a pre-study regir by, had pioglitazone switche	ed to a sulphon	ylurea.	Those						е			
	Treatment(s):	(a) Metformin (Oral)												
			n: No details pr	lo details provided										
		Details of dosing regimen: Patients on sulfonylurea at baseline continued the drug post- randomisation with advice to use the dose once daily in the evening. Patients on twice daily dose were advised to administer half the previous morning dose in the morning and half in the evening.												
		f maintenance period (wks): - zy of monitoring appointments: - rmin + Sulphonylurea  It duration (wks): 15 period (d): 14 Is: Individuals on a pre-study regime of metformin + pioglitazone were, if randomised to stay on therapy, had pioglitazone switched to a sulphonylurea. Those randomised to liraglutide who were y taking a sulphonylurea had this discontinued at baseline.  It(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)  Details of dosing regimen: No details provided (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral)  Details of dosing regimen: Patients on sulfonylurea at baseline continued the drug post-randomisation with advice to use the dose once daily in the evening. Patients on twice daily dose were advised to administer half the previous morning dose in the morning and half in the evening.  Patients starting a sulfonylurea or switching from pioglitazone were administered gliclizic or glimepiride with evening doses, titrated up over 2 to 4 weeks  The sulfonylureas used were: gliclizide (n=44), glimepiride (n=4) and glibenclamide (n=1 The mean starting and maximum doses were different for patients on mono- or dual therapy (metformin plus sulfonylurea) at baseline.  Irmin + Liraglutide  It duration (wks): 15 period (d): 14 Is: Individuals on a pre-study regime of metformin + pioglitazone were, if randomised to stay on therapy, had pioglitazone switched to a sulphonylurea. Those randomised to liraglutide who were taking a sulphonylurea had this discontinued at baseline.  It(s): (a) Metformin  Details of dosing regimen: No details provided (b) Liraglutide (Subcutaneous) – forced titration Set dose (mg/d):0.6  Frequency of dosing: once a day  Details of dosing regimen: Administered on starting evening dose of 0.6mg/day and titra to 1.2mg/day for the rest of the study								le				
		The sulfonylureas used was the mean starting and m	vere: gliclizide aximum doses	(n=44), were c	glime lifferer	piride (n=4	) and g			n=1	).			
	• •	half in the evening.  Patients starting a sulfonylurea or switching from pioglitazone were administered gliclizide or glimepiride with evening doses, titrated up over 2 to 4 weeks  The sulfonylureas used were: gliclizide (n=44), glimepiride (n=4) and glibenclamide (n=1). The mean starting and maximum doses were different for patients on mono- or dual therapy (metformin plus sulfonylurea) at baseline.  Dermin + Liraglutide  Int duration (wks): 15  Reperiod (d): 14  Intest Individuals on a pre-study regime of metformin + pioglitazone were, if randomised to stay on a therapy, had pioglitazone switched to a sulphonylurea. Those randomised to liraglutide who were by taking a sulphonylurea had this discontinued at baseline.  Int(s): (a) Metformin  Details of dosing regimen: No details provided												
	N: 47	ormin + Liraglutide  ant duration (wks): 15 a period (d): 14												
	Washout period Comments: Indi pre-study therap	(d): 14 viduals on a pre-study regir by, had pioglitazone switche	ed to a sulphon	ylurea.	Those						е			
	Treatment(s):	(a) Metformin Details of dosing regimer (b) Liraglutide (Subcutan Set dose (mg/d):0.6 Frequency of dosing: one Details of dosing regimer	n: No details pr eous) – forced ce a day n: Administered	ovided titratior	)	evening dos	se of 0.	6mg	/day and t	itra	tec			
Outcomes	Dropouts Dropout due to a period for dose		ollected 2 week	s prior	to Rar	nadan follo	wed by	' a 2	week run	in				
Baseline characteristics														
Results						min + nylurea			min + utide					
				N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р			
		I hypoglycaemic events (no events) – Count ajor/severe hypoglycaemic event – bwk  Dichotom			127	а	3871	32	b					
	14wk	Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 14wk Dichotomo verse events:	Dichotomous	52	0	(0.0%)	47	0	(0.0%)					
	Adverse events GI: nausea –	14wk Dichotom  Iverse events:  GI: nausea – 14wk Dichotom  opouts:				(0.0%)	47	0	(0.0%)					
	Dropouts:	e _ 1/1wk	Dichotomous	52	14	(26 00/)	17	15	(31 00/)					
		s – 14wk to AEs – 14wk	Dichotomous		14	(26.9%)	47 47	15	(31.9%)					
		nalysis); estimated from una		-		, ,								

way through study. When 2 outliers are removed, number of events decreases to 63 <sup>b</sup> (Used in the analysis); estimated from incidence rates per year and assuming dropouts at half-way through study

### Table 5: Chawla et al. (2013)

Table 5: Ch	awla et al. (2013)								
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy  ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: India Authors' conclusions: Sitagliconcluded that sitagliptin as al Source of funding: None stat	n add on to me							
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 52 Inclusion criteria: Patients of either sex aged 18 years and older with type two diabetes, on metformin monotherapy of at least 1500mg per day for at least 1 month, with HbA1c 7.5 to 11% and fasting plasma glucose of at least greater than or equal to 140mg/dl  Exclusion criteria: Patients with type one diabetes, fasting plasma glucose greater than 270mg/dl, HbA1c greater than 11%, severe cardiovascular diseases, alanine transaminase/aspartate transaminase more than three times normal, or direct bilirubin more than 1.3 times normal, or kidney diseases (serum creatinine more than 1.5 mg/dl).							an	
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously insulin  Details of washout period: A					•			
Lifestyle advice	not stated								
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 16 Length of titration period (w Length of maintenance perion Frequency of monitoring ap	od (wks): -	weeks	, 12 weeks, 16 weeks	8				
Arms	Minimum do (b) Sitaglipti Set dose (m  (2) Metformin + pioglitazone N: 25 Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): - Treatment(s): (a) Metform Minimum do	in – fixed-dose ose (mg/d): 150 one – fixed-dos	00						
Outcomes									
Baseline characteristics			Met	ormin + sitagliptin	Me	etfor	rmin + pioglitazone		
			N k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	25	49.48 (SD 9.71)	25		52.2 (SD 9.51)		

Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	25	15	(60.0%)	25	14	(56.0%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	25		4.107 (SD 3.72)	25		4.458 (SD 3.63)
Blood glucose:							
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	25		8.076 (SD 0.722)	25		8.228 (SD 0.822)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		9.4415706 (SD 1.44)	25		9.8190114 (SD 1.74)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		8.35476312 (SD 1.45)	25		8.13273912 (SD 1.28)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		8.35476312 (SD 1.45)	25		9.8190114 (SD 1.74)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		9.4415706 (SD 1.44)	25		8.13273912 (SD 1.28)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	25		29.035 (SD 4.97)	25		28.707 (SD 3.73)
Weight (kg) a	Mean change	25		-0.58	25		0.9
Weight (kg)	Continuous	25		72.1 (SD 13.8)	25		72.68 (SD 10.8)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		4.996152 (SD 1.12)	25		5.2785432 (SD 1.1)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		1.1295648 (SD 0.141)	25		1.1409432 (SD 0.157)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		1.1295648 (SD 0.141)	25		5.0664912 (SD 1.45)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		4.6330776 (SD 2.03)	25		1.1409432 (SD 0.157)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		4.6330776 (SD 2.03)	25		5.0664912 (SD 1.45)
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	Mean change	25		-0.1129 (SD 0.112)	25		-0.200962 (SD 0.103)
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		0.4800508 (SD 0.0623)	25		0.4633416 (SD 0.0642)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		2.9739 (SD 1.11)	25		3.20664 (SD 1.03)
<sup>a</sup> SD not reported							

Results	_					
result	•	^	0		ı	+ c
	`	c	Э	u	ı	

		Metformin + sitagliptin			Metformin + pioglitazone				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Mean change	25		-0.656 (SD 0.21)	25		-0.748 (SD 0.35)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7%	Dichotomous	25	6	(24.0%)	25	7	(28.0%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		9.4415706 (SD 1.44)	25		9.8190114 (SD 1.74)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		9.4415706 (SD 1.44)	25		8.13273912 (SD 1.28)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		8.35476312 (SD 1.45)	25		9.8190114 (SD 1.74)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		8.35476312 (SD 1.45)	25		8.13273912 (SD 1.28)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) a	Mean change	25		-0.58	25		0.9		
Weight (kg)	Continuous	25		72.1 (SD 13.8)	25		72.68 (SD 10.8)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 16wk	Dichotomous	25	1	(4.0%)	25	0	(0.0%)		
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		4.6330776 (SD 2.03)	25		5.0664912 (SD 1.45)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		4.6330776 (SD 2.03)	25		1.1409432 (SD 0.157)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	25		1.1295648 (SD 0.141)	25		5.0664912 (SD 1.45)		

HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	25	1.1295648 (SD 0.141)	25	1.1409432 (SD 0.157)
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	Continuous	25	0.4800508 (SD 0.0623)	25	0.4633416 (SD 0.0642)
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	Mean change	25	-0.1129 (SD 0.112)	25	-0.200962 (SD 0.103)
<sup>a</sup> SD not reported					
Adverse events are reported, b	out are unclear	and so	have not been extrac	ted.	

## Table 6: Derosa et al. (2007)

Table 6: De	rosa et al. (2007)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Italy  Authors' conclusions: Nateglinide appears to improve glycemic control as well as the levels of some prothrombotic parameters compared to glibenclamide when administered in combination with metformin.  Source of funding: Unclear funding  Comments: multicenter, double-blind, randomized, controlled, parallel-group trial. Patients were randomized using envelopes containing randomization  codes prepared by a statistician. A copy of the randomization code was provided only to the person responsible for performing the statistical analysis. The code was only broken after database lock, but could have been broken for individual patients in cases of emergency, such as hospitalization or suspicion of a serious adverse event. Nateglinide, glibenclamide and metformin were supplied as identical, opaque, white capsules in coded bottles to ensure the double-blind status of the study.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 248  Inclusion criteria: Caucasian patients aged >=18 of either sex were eligible for inclusion in the study if they had: 1) type 2 diabetes mellitus according to the American Diabetes Association (ADA) criteria (duration, >=6 months), 2) poor glycemic control (Hba1cl, >7.0%), 3) hypertension according to the World Health Organization criteria (systolic/diastolic blood pressure, >=130/>=85 mm Hg) and 4) they were overweight (body mass index [BMI], 25.0-28.0 kg/m2)  Exclusion criteria: Patients were excluded if they had a history of ketoacidosis or had unstable or rapidly progressive diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy, or neuropathy; impaired hepatic function (defined as plasma aminotransferase and/or gamma-glutamyltransferase level higher than the upper limit of normal [ULN] for age and sex), impaired renal function (defined as serum creatinine level higher than the ULN for age and sex), or severe anemia. Patients with serious cardiovascular disease (CVD) (eg, New York Heart Association class I-IV congestive heart failure or a history of myocardial infarction or stroke) or cerebrovascular conditions within 6 months before study enrollment also were excluded. Women who were pregnant or breastfeeding or of childbearing potential and not taking adequate contraceptive precautions were also excluded
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: Assumed all patients were drug naïve (wording was unclear in full paper but referred to naïve patients)
Lifestyle advice	At baseline, patients began a controlled-energy dietbased on ADA recommendations. Each center's standard diet advice was given by a dietitian and/or specialist physician. Every two weeks dietitians and/or specialists provided instruction on dietary intake-recording procedures as part of a behavior-modification program and then from month one they used the patients' food diaries for counseling. During the study, behavior-modification sessions on weight-loss strategies were given to individual patients at baseline, one at 6 months, and four with all patients at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months. Individuals were also encouraged to increase their physical activity by walking briskly or riding a stationary bicycle for 20 to 30 min, 3 to 5 times per week. The recommended changes in physical activity throughout the study were not assessed.
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): 26 Length of maintenance period (wks): 26 Frequency of monitoring appointments: values were assessed at screening, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months
Arms	(1) Nateglinide + metformin

N: 119

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: All assumed to be drug naïve before the study Treatment(s): (a) nateglinide (Oral) – forced titration

> Mean dose (mg/d): 300 Minimum dose (mg/d): 180 Maximum dose (mg/d): 360

Compliance: Medication compliance was assessed by the investigators by counting the

number of pills returned at the time of specified clinic visits

Details of dosing regimen: patients were titrated to nateglinide (starting dose 180 mg/day). Metformin (starting dose 1,500 mg/day) was added in each arm independently of the

glycemic control after 1 month of run-in (b) Metformin (Oral) – forced titration

Mean dose (mg/d): 2500 Minimum dose (mg/d): 1500 Maximum dose (mg/d): 3000

#### (2) Glibenclamide + metformin

N: 114

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: All assumed to be drug naïve before the study Treatment(s): (a) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):15 Mean dose (mg/d): 12.5 Minimum dose (mg/d): 7.5 Maximum dose (mg/d): 15

Compliance: Medication compliance was assessed by the investigators by counting the

number of pills returned at the time of specified clinic visits

Details of dosing regimen: patients were titrated to glibenclamide (starting dose 7.5 mg/day). Metformin (starting dose 1,500 mg/day) was added in each arm independently of

the glycemic control after 1 month of run-in (b) Metformin (Oral) – forced titration

Mean dose (mg/d): 2500 Minimum dose (mg/d): 1500 Maximum dose (mg/d): 3000

#### Outcomes

#### General

An intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis was conducted in patients who had received >=1 dose of study medication and had a subsequent efficacy observation. Patients were included in the tolerability analysis if they had received >=1 dose of trial medication after randomization and had undergone a subsequent tolerability observation.

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include measures of insulin resistance, fibrogen and other biochemical measures.

10/124 (8%) patients in the glibenclamide group and 5/124 (4%) in the nateglinide group discontinued the study.

		Nateglinide + metformin			Glibenclamide + metformin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	119		55 (SD 5)	114		56 (SD 4)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	119	58	(48.7%)	114	58	(50.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	119		5 (SD 2)	114		4 (SD 2)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	119		8.1 (SD 1)	114		8.2 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	119		9.657 (SD 1.17)	114		9.8235 (SD 1.33)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	119		26.4 (SD 1.4)	114		26.5 (SD 1.5)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	119		136.8 (SD 4.4)	114		137.4 (SD 4.6)		

Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	119	87.3 (SD 3.8)	114	88.1 (SD 3.5)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	119	5.06856 (SD 0.465)	114	4.99098 (SD 0.44)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	119	1.08612 (SD 0.129)	114	1.08612 (SD 0.129)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	119	1.76124 (SD 0.452)	114	1.81769 (SD 0.474)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	119	3.12906 (SD 0.336)	114	3.07734 (SD 0.31)

Results			Nateglinide + metformin		Glibenclamide + metformin					
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	119		6.4 (SD 0.4)	114		7.3 (SD 0.6)		<0.05
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	119		7.659828 (SD 0.944)	114		7.548816 (SD 0.833)		NS
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Continuous	119		26.2 (SD 1.2)	114		26.5 (SD 1.5)		
	BMI (kg/m2) – 26wk	Continuous	119		26.6 (SD 1.3)	114		26.7 (SD 1.6)		
	BMI (kg/m2) – 52wk	Continuous	119			114				NS
	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 52wka	Dichotomous	124	5	(4.0%)	124	10	(8.1%)		
	Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 38wk	Continuous	119		136.1 (SD 3.9)	114		135.7 (SD 4)		
	Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 52wk	Continuous	119		134.5 (SD 3.6)	114		135.4 (SD 3.8)		NS
	Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wk	Continuous	119		86.1 (SD 3.5)	114		88.3 (SD 3.6)		
	Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 52wk	Continuous	119			114				NS
	Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	119			114				NS
	HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	119			114				NS
	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	119		1.6935 (SD 0.418)	114		1.77253 (SD 0.452)		
	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	119			114				NS
	LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	119			114				NS
	<sup>a</sup> Dropouts due to adverse events	not explicitly pr	rovide	d						

## Table 7: Derosa et al. (2009)

General	Phase:
	✓ monotherapy
	☑ dual therapy
	☐ triple therapy
	□ insulin monotherapy
	□ insulin + oral

effects of treatments on the other variables was determined using ANCOVA.

The null hypothesis was tested using analysis of variance and analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) models. The statistical significance of the independent

Parallel / crossover: Parallel

Country: Italy

**Authors' conclusions:** Pioglitazone-metformin based therapeutic control is associated with the most quantitatively relvant improvement in insulin resistance related parameters, whereas the sulfonylurea-metformin inclu8ding protocol has less relevant effects

**Source of funding:** not reported (the authors report no affiliation with or financial involvement in any organisation or entity with a direct financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript)

**Comments:** Multicenter, double-blind, randomised, controlled trial. Randomisation was done using a drawing of envelopes containing randmoisation codes prepared by a statistician. A copy of the code was provided only to the responsible person performing statistical analysis. The code was only broken after database lock, but could be broken in cases of emergency. The treatments were supplied as matching opaque white capsules in coded bottles to ensure the double-blind status of the study.

# Number and characteristics of patients

#### Total number of patients: 271

Inclusion criteria: White patients, at least 18 years of age of either sex with type 2 diabetes according to the European Society of Cardiology abd the European Association for the Study of Diabetes guidelines criteria who were naïve and with poor glycaemic control (Hba1c >6.5%) and were overweight (BMI>=25 and <30 kg/m2) were enrolled

**Exclusion criteria:** history of ketoacidosis, unstable or rapidly progressing diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy or neuropathy, impaired hepatic function, impaired renal function, severe anaemia, patients with serious cardiovascular disease or cerebrovascular conditions within 6 months before study enrollment were also excluded

Pre-randomisation phase: patients underwent a euglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamp and followed by a 3 month period in which patients were randomised and titrated

# Previous glucose-lowering therapy

Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: States that patients were naïve but no details provided

#### Lifestyle advice

at baseline, patients began a controlled-energy diet (approx 600 kcal daily deficit) based on ADA recommendations. Each centre's standard diet advice was given by a dietitican and/or specialist physician. Dieticians and/or specialists each month for the first 3 months provided instruction on dietary intake, recording procedures as part of a behaviour modification program, and then from month 3 used the patients food diaries for counseling. During the study, behaviour-modification sessions on weight-loss strategies were given to individual patients at baseline and then every 3 months until the end of the trial. Individuals were also encouraged to increase their physical activity by walking briskly or riding a stationary bike for 20 to 30 minutes, 3-5 times per week.

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 65

Length of titration period (wks): 13 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52

**Frequency of monitoring appointments:** Anthropometric and metabolic measurements were assessed at baseline, after 3 months and after 12 months

#### Arms

#### (1) Pioglitazone (15 mg)

N: 69

Treatment duration (wks): 65 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Pioglitazone (Oral) – forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):45 Minimum dose (mg/d): 15 Maximum dose (mg/d): 45 Frequency of dosing: once a day

Compliance: medication compliance was assessed by counting the number of pills

returned at the time of specified clinic visits

Details of dosing regimen: Patients were assigned to receive pioglitazone 15 mg once a day, after lunch. After enrollment, every month for 3 months, the treatment was titrated to 30 mg then 45 mg (forced titration independent of glycaemic control) and patients were

then followed for 12 months

#### (2) Metformin (1000 mg)

N: 67

Treatment duration (wks): 65 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): Metformin (Oral) – forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):3000 Minimum dose (mg/d): 1000 Maximum dose (mg/d): 3000 Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Compliance: medication compliance was assessed by counting the number of pills

returned at the time of specified clinic visits

Details of dosing regimen: Patients were assigned to receive metformin 1000 mg/day, as 500 mg twice a day after lunch and dinner. After enrollment, every month for 3 months, the treatment was titrated to 2000 mg then 3000 mg (forced titration independent of glycaemic control) and patients were then followed for 12 months

#### (3) Pioglitazone + metformin (15/850 mg)

N: 69

Treatment duration (wks): 65 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Pioglitazone (Oral) – forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):45 Minimum dose (mg/d): 15 Maximum dose (mg/d): 45 Frequency of dosing: once a day

Compliance: medication compliance was assessed by counting the number of pills

returned at the time of specified clinic visits

Details of dosing regimen: Patients were assigned to receive pioglitazone 15 mg once a day, after lunch. After enrollment, every month for 3 months, the treatment was titrated to 30 mg then 45 mg (forced titration independent of glycaemic control) and patients were

then followed for 12 months
(b) Metformin (Oral) – forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):2550 Minimum dose (mg/d): 850 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2550 Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Patients were assigned to receive metformin 850 mg once a day, after lunch. After enrollment, every month for 3 months, the treatment was titrated to 1700 mg then 2550 mg (forced titration independent of glycaemic control) and patients were then followed for 12 months

#### (4) Glimepiride + metformin (2/850mg)

N: 66

Treatment duration (wks): 65 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):6 Minimum dose (mg/d): 2 Maximum dose (mg/d): 6 Frequency of dosing: once a day

Compliance: medication compliance was assessed by counting the number of pills

returned at the time of specified clinic visits

Details of dosing regimen: Patients were assigned to receive glimepiride 2 mg once a day, after lunch. After enrollment, every month for 3 months, the treatment was titrated to 4 mg then 6 mg (forced titration independent of glycaemic control) and patients were then

followed for 12 months

(b) Metformin (Oral) – forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):850

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Patients were assigned to receive metformin 850 mg once a

day, after lunch and patients were then followed for 12 months

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

An ITT analysis was conducted in patients who had received at least one dose of the study medication and had a subsequent efficacy observation. Patients were included in the safety analysis if they had received 1 dose of trial medication after randomisation and had a subsequent safety observation.

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include fasting and postprandial insulin, glucose infusion rate and total glucose requirement.

240 patients completed the study

Total dropouts per group not clearly stated and therefore not extracted.

## Baseline characteristics

		Pioglitazone (15 mg)				Metformin (1000 mg)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	69		54 (SD 6)	67		55 (SD 5)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	69	32	(46.4%)	67	34	(50.7%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0mo	Continuous	69		9.2 (SD 1.3)	67		9.1 (SD 1.2)		

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	69	9.102 (SD 1.55)	67	8.9355 (SD 1.5)
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	69	10.9335 (SD 2.28)	67	10.656 (SD 2.11)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0mo	Continuous	69	27.5 (SD 1.7)	67	27.2 (SD 1.5)
Weight (kg)	Continuous	69	76.7 (SD 5.3)	67	77.7 (SD 5.9)
Height (cm)	Continuous	69	167 (SD 3)	67	169 (SD 5)

		F	Piog	litazone (15 mg)	Pioglitazone + metformin (15/850 mg)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	69		54 (SD 6)	69		57 (SD 7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	69	32	(46.4%)	69	34	(49.3%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0mo	Continuous	69		9.2 (SD 1.3)	69		9.3 (SD 1.4)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	69		9.102 (SD 1.55)	69		9.2685 (SD 1.61)		
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	69		10.9335 (SD 2.28)	69		10.989 (SD 2.33)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0mo	Continuous	69		27.5 (SD 1.7)	69		27.4 (SD 1.6)		
Weight (kg)	Continuous	69		76.7 (SD 5.3)	69		76.4 (SD 5.1)		
Height (cm)	Continuous	69		167 (SD 3)	69		167 (SD 4)		

		F	Piog	litazone (15 mg)	Glimepiride + metformin (2/850mg)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	69		54 (SD 6)	66		57.7 (SD 7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	69	32	(46.4%)	66	32	(48.5%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0mo	Continuous	69		9.2 (SD 1.3)	66		9 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	69		9.102 (SD 1.55)	66		9.324 (SD 1.66)		
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	69		10.9335 (SD 2.28)	66		11.0445 (SD 2.44)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0mo	Continuous	69		27.5 (SD 1.7)	66		27.1 (SD 1.4)		
Weight (kg)	Continuous	69		76.7 (SD 5.3)	66		77.4 (SD 5.8)		
Height (cm)	Continuous	69		167 (SD 3)	66		169 (SD 5)		

		Metformin (1000 mg)		Pioglitazone + metformin (15/850 mg)					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	67		55 (SD 5)	69		57 (SD 7)		

Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	67	34	(50.7%)	69	34	(49.3%)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0mo	Continuous	67		9.1 (SD 1.2)	69		9.3 (SD 1.4)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	67		8.9355 (SD 1.5)	69		9.2685 (SD 1.61)
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	67		10.656 (SD 2.11)	69		10.989 (SD 2.33)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0mo	Continuous	67		27.2 (SD 1.5)	69		27.4 (SD 1.6)
Weight (kg)	Continuous	67		77.7 (SD 5.9)	69		76.4 (SD 5.1)
Height (cm)	Continuous	67		169 (SD 5)	69		167 (SD 4)

		N	letfo	ormin (1000 mg)	Glimepiride + metformin (2/850mg)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:				,,					
Age (years)	Continuous	67		55 (SD 5)	66		57.7 (SD 7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	67	34	(50.7%)	66	32	(48.5%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0mo	Continuous	67		9.1 (SD 1.2)	66		9 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	67		8.9355 (SD 1.5)	66		9.324 (SD 1.66)		
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	67		10.656 (SD 2.11)	66		11.0445 (SD 2.44)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0mo	Continuous	67		27.2 (SD 1.5)	66		27.1 (SD 1.4)		
Weight (kg)	Continuous	67		77.7 (SD 5.9)	66		77.4 (SD 5.8)		
Height (cm)	Continuous	67		169 (SD 5)	66		169 (SD 5)		

		me	Pioglitazone + metformin (15/850 mg)			Glimepiride + metformin (2/850mg)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	69		57 (SD 7)	66		57.7 (SD 7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	69	34	(49.3%)	66	32	(48.5%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0mo	Continuous	69		9.3 (SD 1.4)	66		9 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	69		9.2685 (SD 1.61)	66		9.324 (SD 1.66)		
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	69		10.989 (SD 2.33)	66		11.0445 (SD 2.44)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0mo	Continuous	69		27.4 (SD 1.6)	66		27.1 (SD 1.4)		
Weight (kg)	Continuous	69		76.4 (SD 5.1)	66		77.4 (SD 5.8)		
Height (cm)	Continuous	69		167 (SD 4)	66		169 (SD 5)		

Results	Pioglitazone (15 mg)	Metformin (1000 mg)	Δ	p

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	69		8.8 (SD 1.1)	67		8.6 (SD 0.9)	
HbA1c (%) – 15moa	Continuous	69		8.2 (SD 0.7)	67		7.9 (SD 0.5)	NS
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	69		8.658 (SD 1.28)	67		8.4915 (SD 1.22)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15moa	Continuous	69		8.3805 (SD 1.17)	67		8.214 (SD 1.05)	NS
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15moa	Continuous	69		10.1565 (SD 1.83)	67		9.4905 (SD 1.66)	<0.05
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	69		27.7 (SD 1.8)	67		27 (SD 1.4)	
BMI (kg/m2) - 15moa	Continuous	69		28.1 (SD 2)	67		26.7 (SD 1.2)	<0.05
Weight (kg) b	Continuous	69		77.25 (SD 5.02)	67		77.11 (SD 4)	
Weight (kg) c	Continuous	69		78.37 (SD 5.58)	67		76.25787 (SD 3.43)	
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 15moa	Dichotomous	69	3	(4.3%)	67	5	(7.5%)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> [do not use - outside time range]
<sup>b</sup> estimated using BMI and mean baseline height data
<sup>c</sup> [do not use - outside time range] estimated using BMI and mean baseline height data

		Pioglitazone (15 mg)		m		Pioglitazone + ormin (15/850 mg)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	69		8.8 (SD 1.1)	69		8.1 (SD 0.6)		
HbA1c (%) – 15moa	Continuous	69		8.2 (SD 0.7)	69		7.2 (SD 0.3)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	69		8.658 (SD 1.28)	69		8.325 (SD 1.11)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15moa	Continuous	69		8.3805 (SD 1.17)	69		7.7145 (SD 0.555)		
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15moa	Continuous	69		10.1565 (SD 1.83)	69		8.991 (SD 1.55)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	69		27.7 (SD 1.8)	69		27.2 (SD 1.5)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 15moa	Continuous	69		28.1 (SD 2)	69		26.9 (SD 1.3)		
Weight (kg) b	Continuous	69		77.25 (SD 5.02)	69		75.85808 (SD 4.18)		
Weight (kg) c	Continuous	69		78.37 (SD 5.58)	69		75.02 (SD 3.63)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 15moa	Dichotomous	69	3	(4.3%)	69	2	(2.9%)		

a [do not use - outside time range]
b estimated using BMI and mean baseline height data
c [do not use - outside time range] estimated using BMI and mean baseline height data

		P	Pioglitazone (15 mg)			Glimepiride + metformin (2/850mg)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	69		8.8 (SD 1.1)	66		8.5 (SD 0.8)		
HbA1c (%) – 15moa	Continuous	69		8.2 (SD 0.7)	66		7.8 (SD 0.4)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	69		8.658 (SD 1.28)	66		8.436 (SD 1.17)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15moa	Continuous	69		8.3805 (SD 1.17)	66		8.0475 (SD 0.888)		

Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15moa	Continuous	69		10.1565 (SD 1.83)	66		9.3795 (SD 1.66)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	69		27.7 (SD 1.8)	66		27.8 (SD 1.9)
BMI (kg/m2) – 15moa	Continuous	69		28.1 (SD 2)	66		28.4 (SD 2.2)
Weight (kg) b	Continuous	69		77.25 (SD 5.02)	66		79.39958 (SD 5.43)
Weight (kg) c	Continuous	69		78.37 (SD 5.58)	66		81.11 (SD 6.28)
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 15moa	Dichotomous	69	3	(4.3%)	66	3	(4.5%)

a [do not use - outside time range]
b estimated using BMI and mean baseline height data
c [do not use - outside time range] estimated using BMI and mean baseline height data

		N	letf	ormin (1000 mg)	m		Pioglitazone + ormin (15/850 mg)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	.	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	67		8.6 (SD 0.9)	69		8.1 (SD 0.6)			
HbA1c (%) – 15moa	Continuous	67		7.9 (SD 0.5)	69		7.2 (SD 0.3)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	67		8.4915 (SD 1.22)	69		8.325 (SD 1.11)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15moa	Continuous	67		8.214 (SD 1.05)	69		7.7145 (SD 0.555)			
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15moa	Continuous	67		9.4905 (SD 1.66)	69		8.991 (SD 1.55)			
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	67		27 (SD 1.4)	69		27.2 (SD 1.5)			
BMI (kg/m2) – 15moa	Continuous	67		26.7 (SD 1.2)	69		26.9 (SD 1.3)			
Weight (kg) b	Continuous	67		77.11 (SD 4)	69		75.85808 (SD 4.18)			
Weight (kg) c	Continuous	67		76.25787 (SD 3.43)	69		75.02 (SD 3.63)			
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 15moa	Dichotomous	67	5	(7.5%)	69	2	(2.9%)			

a [do not use - outside time range]
b estimated using BMI and mean baseline height data
c [do not use - outside time range] estimated using BMI and mean baseline height data

		N	leti	formin (1000 mg)	Glimepiride + metformin (2/850mg)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	67		8.6 (SD 0.9)	66		8.5 (SD 0.8)		
HbA1c (%) – 15moa	Continuous	67		7.9 (SD 0.5)	66		7.8 (SD 0.4)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	67		8.4915 (SD 1.22)	66		8.436 (SD 1.17)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15moa	Continuous	67		8.214 (SD 1.05)	66		8.0475 (SD 0.888)		
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15moa	Continuous	67		9.4905 (SD 1.66)	66		9.3795 (SD 1.66)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	67		27 (SD 1.4)	66		27.8 (SD 1.9)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 15moa	Continuous	67		26.7 (SD 1.2)	66		28.4 (SD 2.2)		
Weight (kg) b	Continuous	67		77.11 (SD 4)	66		79.39958 (SD 5.43)		

Weight (kg) c	Continuous	67		76.25787 (SD 3.43)	66		81.11 (SD 6.28)
Dropouts:							
Dropout due to AEs – 15moa	Dichotomous	67	5	(7.5%)	66	3	(4.5%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> [do not use - outside time range]

 $<sup>^{\</sup>circ}$  [do not use - outside time range] estimated using BMI and mean baseline height data

		n		ioglitazone + formin (15/850 mg)	me		Glimepiride + formin (2/850mg)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	69		8.1 (SD 0.6)	66		8.5 (SD 0.8)		
HbA1c (%) – 15moa	Continuous	69		7.2 (SD 0.3)	66		7.8 (SD 0.4)		NS
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	69		8.325 (SD 1.11)	66		8.436 (SD 1.17)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15moa	Continuous	69		7.7145 (SD 0.555)	66		8.0475 (SD 0.888)		NS
Postprandial plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15moa	Continuous	69		8.991 (SD 1.55)	66		9.3795 (SD 1.66)		NS
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	69		27.2 (SD 1.5)	66		27.8 (SD 1.9)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 15moa	Continuous	69		26.9 (SD 1.3)	66		28.4 (SD 2.2)		<0.05
Weight (kg) b	Continuous	69		75.85808 (SD 4.18)	66		79.39958 (SD 5.43)		
Weight (kg) c	Continuous	69		75.02 (SD 3.63)	66		81.11 (SD 6.28)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 15moa	Dichotomous	69	2	(2.9%)	66	3	(4.5%)		

a [do not use - outside time range]

Repeated measures ANOVA and ANCOVA models were used to assess the null hypothesis. The statistical significance of the independent effects of treatment on the other parameters was determined by ANCOVA. A one sample t test was used to compare values obtained before and after treatment administration. Two sample t-tests were used for between group comparisons. The Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons was also carried out.

#### Table 8: Derosa et al. (2010)

of patients

#### General Phase: □ monotherapy ☑ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy □ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: Italy Authors' conclusions: The addition of both sitagliptin or metformin to pioglitazone gave an improvement of Hba1c, FBG and PPG but metformin also led to a decrease of bodyweight and to a faster and better improvement of insulin resistance and inflammatory state parameters, even if sitagliptin produced a better beta-cell function **Source of funding:** Funding from the University of Pavia Comments: Multicenter, double blind, randomised trial. Patients were randomized using envelopes containing randomization codes prepared by a statistician. A copy of the randomization code was provided only to the person responsible for performing the statistical analysis. The code was only broken after database lock, but could have been broken for individual patients in cases of emergency. were supplied as identical, opaque, white capsules in coded bottles to ensure the double-blind status of the study. Number and Total number of patients: 151 characteristics Inclusion criteria: patients with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes (Hba1c >7.5%) in therapy with pioglitazone. All

patients were not well controlled with diet, physical activity and pioglitazone at the dose 30 mg/day

b estimated using BMI and mean baseline height data

b estimated using BMI and mean baseline height data

 $<sup>^{</sup>c}$  [do not use - outside time range] estimated using BMI and mean baseline height data

	<b>Exclusion criteria:</b> history of ketoa or neuropathy, impaired hepatic fundisease or cerebrovascular conditio	ction, impaired	rena	al fur	nction, severe ar						y
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking insulin  Details of washout period: all taking			_			pogl	ycaemic drugs an	d/or	•	
Lifestyle advice	Each center's standard diet advice of dietitians and/or specialists provided modification program and then from study, behavior-modification session one at 6 months, and four with all paincrease their physical activity by war per week.	d instruction on month one the ns on weight-loatients at 3, 6, 9	dieta y us ss st 9, an	ary i ed tl rate d 12	ntake-recording ne patients' food gies were given months. Individ	proced diarion to including the diagram of the diag	edur ies fo divid were	es as part of a be or counseling. Dur ual patients at bas e also encouraged	havi ring selir d to	th ne,	е
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (w Frequency of monitoring appoint	<b>ks):</b> 52	nes i	epo	rted at 3,6,9 and	l 12 r	nont	hs			
Arms	(1) Pioglitazone + sitagliptin N: 75 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all taking pioglitazone m Treatment(s): (a) Pioglitazone (0 Set dose (mg/d):3 Frequency of dosi (b) Sitagliptin (Ora Set dose (mg/d):1 Frequency of dosi (2) Pioglitazone + metformin N: 76 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all taking pioglitazone m Treatment(s): (a) Pioglitazone (0 Set dose (mg/d):3 Frequency of dosi Details of dosing to the properties of the properties o	Oral) – fixed-do 10 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	base y j pio	eline	zone given bid						
Outcomes	General An intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis we medication and had a subsequent ethey had received >=1 dose of trial retolerability observation. Outcomes not extracted in this evide biochemical measures. 6/75 in the sitagliptin group and 8/76	fficacy observa medication afte ence table inclu	ition. r ran ide r	Pat idom	ients were includization and had sures of insulin r	ded in unde esista	n the ergoi ance	e tolerability analys ne a subsequent		f	
Baseline characteristics					glitazone + sitagliptin			oglitazone + metformin			
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p	)
	Demographics:										
	Age (years)	Continuous	75		57 (SD 5)	76		58 (SD 6)			
	Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	75	37	(49.3%)	76	39	(51.3%)			
	Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	75		5 (SD 2)	76		6 (SD 3)			
	Blood glucose:	Cantin	75		0.5 (00.0.6)	70		0.4 (00.00)			

HbA1c (%) - 0wk

Continuous 75 8.5 (SD 0.9) 76

8.4 (SD 0.8)

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	75	7.937358 (SD 1.05)	76	7.881852 (SD 0.999)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	75	27.9 (SD 1.5)	76	27.7 (SD 1.3)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	75	78.7 (SD 6.2)	76	77.3 (SD 5.4)

#### Results

				glitazone + itagliptin			oglitazone + metformin		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	75		8.2 (SD 0.7)	76		8 (SD 0.6)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	75		7.7 (SD 0.5)	76		7.8 (SD 0.5)		
HbA1c (%) – 38wk	Continuous	75		7.4 (SD 0.4)	76		7.3 (SD 0.4)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	75		7.1 (SD 0.3)	76		7 (SD 0.2)		NS
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	69		7.1 (SD 0.3)	68		7 (SD 0.2)		NS
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	75		7.715334 (SD 0.944)	76		7.604322 (SD 0.888)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	75		7.382298 (SD 0.833)	76		7.271286 (SD 0.777)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 38wk	Continuous	75		7.104768 (SD 0.722)	76		6.93825 (SD 0.666)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	75		6.827238 (SD 0.611)	76		6.66072 (SD 0.555)		NS
Body weight:									
BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Continuous	75		27.7 (SD 1.3)	76		27.5 (SD 1.2)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 26wk	Continuous	75		27.5 (SD 1.2)	76		27.2 (SD 0.9)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 38wk	Continuous	75		27.4 (SD 1.1)	76		26.9 (SD 0.8)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 52wk	Continuous	75		27.3 (SD 1)	76		26.7 (SD 0.7)		<0.05
BMI (kg/m2) – 52wk	Continuous	69		27.3 (SD 1)	68		26.7 (SD 0.7)		<0.05
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Continuous	75		78.2 (SD 6)	76		76.7 (SD 5)		
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous	75		77.6 (SD 5.7)	76		75.9 (SD 4.7)		
Weight (kg) – 38wk	Continuous	75		77.3 (SD 5.4)	76		75 (SD 4.3)		
Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	69		77.1 (SD 5.2)	68		74.5 (SD 4.1)		<0.05
Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	75		77.1 (SD 5.2)	76		74.5 (SD 4.1)		<0.05
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous	75	6	(8.0%)	76	8	(10.5%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	75	4a	(5.3%)	76	7	(9.2%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Adverse events included hypoglycaemia (n=2)

The statistical significance of the independent effects of treatments on the other variables was determined using ANCOVA. A one-sample t test was used to compare values obtained before and after treatment administration; two-sample t tests were used for between-group comparisons. The Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons also was carried out.

#### Table 9: Derosa et al. (2011)

# | Phase: | monotherapy | | dual therapy | | triple therapy | | insulin monotherapy | | insulin + oral | | Parallel / crossover: Parallel | | Country: Italy | | Authors' conclusions: Both treatments gave a similar improvement of glycemic control, without any differences between the two groups. Only exenatide gave a decrease of BMI, insulin resistance parameters such as fasting plasma insulin, HOMA-IR, and adiponectin and a decrease of inflammatory parameters such

as tumor necrosis factor-alpha, and high sensitivity-C reactive protein. Furthermore, the values obtained with exenatide were significantly better than the values recorded with glimepiride. We can conclude that exenatide was better than glimepiride in improving insulin resistance and inflammatory state. Furthermore, adiponectin increase, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha reduction seem to be related to weight loss obtained with exenatide. Source of funding: Authors stated there was no affiliation or financial involvement with any organisation or entity with a direct financial interest in the subject matter. Comments: -Number and Total number of patients: 111 characteristics Inclusion criteria: Caucasian type two diabetes patients aged 18 years and older of either sex with poor of patients glycaemic control (expressed as HbA1c >8%) and over weight (BMI >= 25 and <30kg/m2). They were taking metformin at various doses and were intolerant to metformin at the highest doses (1500 to 3000mg/day) Exclusion criteria: History of ketoacidosis or unatable/rapidly progressive diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy, neuropathy; impaired hepatic function, renal function function or severe anaemia; Serious CVD or cerebrovascular conditions within 6 months before study enrolment; women who were pregnant, breast feeding, or of child bearning potential and not taking adequate contraceptive precautions. Pre-randomisation phase: None **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or glucoseinsulin lowering **Details of washout period:** None, all continued on baseline metformin. therapy Lifestyle advice Patients began a controlled energy diet (600kcal daily deficit) based on AHA recommendations that included 50% of calories from carbohydrate, 30% from fat, 20% from proteins, max cholesterol 300mg/day, 35g/day Standard diet advice was given by a dietician and/or specialist doctor. Dietician and/or specialist doctor periodically provided instruction on dietary intake recording procedures as part of a behaviour modification programme, and then later used the patients food diaries for counselling. Individuals were also encouraged to increase their physical activity by walking briskly for 20 to 30 mins, 3 to 5 times per week, or by cycling. Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): -Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: baseline, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months (1) Metformin + Exenatide Arms N: 57 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): Treatment(s): (a) Metformin Details of dosing regimen: Patients were on various doses (1000 to 2000 mg/day) and were intolerant to 2500 to 3000mg/day doses. (b) Exenatide (Subcutaneous) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):20 Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: initially 5 micrograms were given twice a day and titrated after 1 month to 10 micrograms twice a day (2) Metformin + Glimepiride N: 54 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): Treatment(s): Details of dosing regimen: Patients were on various doses (1000 to 2000 mg/day) and were intolerant to 2500 to 3000mg/day doses. (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):6 Frequency of dosing: three times a day Details of dosing regimen: Initially, 1mg was given three times a day, then after 1 month it was titrated up to 2mg three times a day **Outcomes** General every patient who had received at least one dose of the study medication underwent a tolerability observation to exclude the presence of acute adverse reactions. Intention to treat analysus was conducted in patients who had received one or more doses of study medication, did not show any acute adverse reactions, and had a subsequent efficacy observation.

eline acteristics			Metformin + Exenatide				letformin + Blimepiride			
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	57		56 (SD 7)	54		55 (SD 6)		
	Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	57	28	(49.1%)	54	26	(48.1%)		
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	57		8.7 (SD 0.7)	54		8.8 (SD 0.8)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	57		8.103876 (SD 0.944)	54		6.327684 (SD 0.888)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	57		28.4 (SD 1.3)	54		28.5 (SD 1.4)		
	Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	57		80.2 (SD 7.5)	54		81.4 (SD 8.1)		

D	^	ò		ı	٠	ċ
П	t	Э	u	ı	ι	э

			ľ	Metformin + Exenatide		-	Metformin + Glimepiride		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	55		8.1 (SD 0.6)	52		8.4 (SD 0.7)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	54		7.9 (SD 0.5)	50		8.1 (SD 0.6)		
HbA1c (%) – 39wk	Continuous	52		7.7 (SD 0.4)	50		7.6 (SD 0.4)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	52		7.5 (SD 0.3)	49		7.4 (SD 0.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	55		7.715334 (SD 0.722)	52		7.604322 (SD 0.666)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	54		7.271286 (SD 0.611)	50		7.160274 (SD 0.555)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 39wk	Continuous	52		6.93825 (SD 0.5)	50		6.827238 (SD 0.444)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	52		6.605214 (SD 0.444)	49		6.438696 (SD 0.389)		
Body weight:	Cantinuana			20 (CD 4 2)	50		20.0 (CD 4.5)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Continuous	55		28 (SD 1.2)	52		28.6 (SD 1.5)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 24wk	Continuous	54		27.5 (SD 1.1)	50		28.5 (SD 1.4)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 39wk	Continuous	52		26.9 (SD 1)	50		28.8 (SD 1.6)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 52wk	Continuous	52		26.6 (SD 0.9)	49		28.2 (SD 1.3)		
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Continuous	55		79 (SD 7.3)	52		81.7 (SD 8.3)		
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	54		77.6 (SD 7)	50		81.4 (SD 8.2)		
Weight (kg) – 39wk	Continuous	52		75.9 (SD 6.7)	50		82.3 (SD 8.7)		
Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	52		75.1 (SD 6.5)	49		80.5 (SD 7.7)		
Dropouts:				(5.50()		_	(2.22)		
Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous			` ,	54		,		
Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	57	4	(7.0%)	54	4	(7.4%)		

#### **Table 10: Derosa et al. (2011)**

General	Phase:
	□ monotherapy ☑ dual therapy □ triple therapy

☐ insulin monotherapy □ insulin + oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: Italy Authors' conclusions: Pioglitazone was better than glibenclamide in mitigating the variations of lipid components and inflammation parameters in TTD patients. Source of funding: Not stated Comments: -Number and Total number of patients: 201 characteristics Inclusion criteria: caucasian type 2 diabetic patients aged =>18 years according to the ESC and EASD of patients guidelines criteria, and with uncontrolled tyoe 2 diabetes (HbA1c >7%) in therapy with diet, physical activiey and metformin (mean dose= 1700 mg/day) Exclusion criteria: History of ketoacididosis or unstable/rapidly progressive diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy or neuropathy; imapired hepatic function, impaired renal function, or severe anaemia; patients with severe cardiovascular disease, cardiac failure, history of cardiac failure, MI, stroke, or cerebrovascular conditions within 6 months of study enrollment; post-menopause women with a history of osteoporosis (for the increased risk of distal upper limb or distal lower limb fractures reported with pioglitazone); women pregnant or breastfeeding, or of childbearing potential and not taking adequate contraceptive precautions were also excluded. Pre-randomisation phase: not stated **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or glucoselowering Details of washout period: All continued baseline therapy during trial (assumed metformin monotherapy) therapy Lifestyle advice Participants began a controlled energy diet (600kcal day deficit) based on AHA recommendations that included 50% of calories from carbohydrates, 30% from fat, and 20% from proteins, with a maximum cholesterol content of 300mg/day and 35g/day fibre. Standard diet advice was given by a dietician and/or specialist doctor. Dietician and/or specialist doctor periodically provided instruction on dietary intake recording procedures as part of a behaviour modification programme and then later used participants food diaries for counselling. Individuals were also encouraged to increase their physical activity by walking briskly for 20 to 30 mins 3-5 times per week, or by cycle. Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): -Length of maintenance period (wks): -Frequency of monitoring appointments: 3, 6, 9, 12 months Arms (1) Metformin + Pioglitzone N: 99 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Mean dose (mg/d): 1700 (b) Pioglitazone (Oral) - forced titration Set dose (mg/d):45 Details of dosing regimen: Forced titration every three months for 12 months. Given before the meal. During the first three months pioglitazone was given before lunch, during the second three months before lunch and dinner, and for the remainder of the trial was given before breakfast lunch and dinner. (2) Metformin + Glibenclamide N: 95 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Mean dose (mg/d): 1700 (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) - forced titration Set dose (mg/d):15 Details of dosing regimen: Forced titration every three months for 12 months. Given before the meal. During the first three months glibenclamide was given before lunch, during the second three months before lunch and dinner, and for the remainder of the trial was given before breakfast lunch and dinner. **Outcomes** General ITT analysis was conducted in all patients who had received => 1 dose of trial medicationand had subsequnet efficacy observation. Tolerability analysis was conducted in all patients who received =>1 dose of trial medication and had

undergone a subsequent tolerability of	observation.
--	--------------

# Baseline characteristics

				etformin + oglitzone			etformin + benclamide		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	102	51	(50.0%)	99	51	(51.5%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0mo	Continuous	102		7.4 (SD 1.1)	99		7.5 (SD 1.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0mo	Continuous	102		7.937358 (SD 0.888)	99		7.826346 (SD 0.833)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	100		27.6 (SD 2.2)	98		28.5 (SD 3.4)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	100		27.6 (SD 2.2)	99		28.2 (SD 3.1)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	102		27.8 (SD 2.4)	98		28.5 (SD 3.4)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	102		27.8 (SD 2.4)	99		28.2 (SD 3.1)		
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	102		79.6 (SD 8.9)	99		78.9 (SD 8.3)		
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	100		79.1 (SD 8.6)	98		79.5 (SD 8.7)		
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	100		79.1 (SD 8.6)	99		78.9 (SD 8.3)		
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	102		79.6 (SD 8.9)	98		79.5 (SD 8.7)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	100		4.93926 (SD 0.491)	99		4.93926 (SD 0.491)		
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	102		5.01684 (SD 0.569)	98		4.9134 (SD 0.465)		
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	102		5.01684 (SD 0.569)	99		4.93926 (SD 0.491)		
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	100		4.93926 (SD 0.491)	98		4.9134 (SD 0.465)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	100		1.24128 (SD 0.233)	98		1.13784 (SD 0.155)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	100		1.24128 (SD 0.233)	99		1.13784 (SD 0.155)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	102		1.18956 (SD 0.207)	98		1.13784 (SD 0.155)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	102		1.18956 (SD 0.207)	99		1.13784 (SD 0.155)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	102		2.99976 (SD 0.207)	99		2.9739 (SD 0.207)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	100		2.94804 (SD 0.181)	98		2.94804 (SD 0.181)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	100		2.94804 (SD 0.181)	99		2.9739 (SD 0.207)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	102		2.99976 (SD 0.207)	98		2.94804 (SD 0.181)		

#### Results

		Metformin + Pioglitzone			Metformin + Glibenclamide				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	100		7.2 (SD 1)	98		7.1 (SD 0.9)		
HbA1c (%) – 6mo	Continuous	100		7 (SD 0.8)	95		7 (SD 0.8)		
HbA1c (%) – 9mo	Continuous	99		6.8 (SD 0.6)	95		6.9 (SD 0.7)		
HbA1c (%) – 12mo	Continuous	99		6.4 (SD 0.3)	95		6.7 (SD 0.5)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	100		7.49331 (SD 0.611)	98		7.326792 (SD 0.722)		

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo	Continuous	100		6.827238 (SD 0.555)	95		6.93825 (SD 0.666)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 9mo	Continuous	99		6.38319 (SD 0.389)	95		6.549708 (SD 0.555)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12mo	Continuous	99		5.384082 (SD 0.333)	95		5.883636 (SD 0.5)
Body weight:							
BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	102		27.8 (SD 2.4)	99		28.2 (SD 3.1)
BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	100		27.6 (SD 2.2)	99		28.2 (SD 3.1)
BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	102		27.8 (SD 2.4)	98		28.5 (SD 3.4)
BMI (kg/m2) – 3mo	Continuous	100		27.6 (SD 2.2)	98		28.5 (SD 3.4)
BMI (kg/m2) – 6mo	Continuous	100		27.9 (SD 2.6)	95		28.6 (SD 3.6)
BMI (kg/m2) – 9mo	Continuous	99		28 (SD 2.8)	95		28.9 (SD 3.9)
BMI (kg/m2) – 12mo	Continuous	99		28.1 (SD 2.9)	95		28.7 (SD 3.7)
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	102		79.6 (SD 8.9)	99		78.9 (SD 8.3)
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	102		79.6 (SD 8.9)	98		79.5 (SD 8.7)
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	100		79.1 (SD 8.6)	99		78.9 (SD 8.3)
Weight (kg) – 3mo	Continuous	100		79.1 (SD 8.6)	98		79.5 (SD 8.7)
Weight (kg) – 6mo	Continuous	100		79.8 (SD 9.1)	95		79.9 (SD 9.2)
Weight (kg) – 9mo	Continuous	99		80.1 (SD 9.3)	95		80.6 (SD 9.7)
Weight (kg) – 12mo	Continuous	99		80.4 (SD 9.5)	95		80.1 (SD 9.3)
Dropouts:							
Total dropouts – 12mo	Dichotomous	102	3	(2.9%)	99	4	(4.0%)
Dropout due to AEs – 12mo	Dichotomous	102	2	(2.0%)	99	3	(3.0%)
Dropout due to hypoglycaemia – 12mo	Dichotomous	102	0	(0.0%)	99	3	(3.0%)
drop out due to diarrhoea – 12mo	Dichotomous	102	1	(1.0%)	99	0	(0.0%)
drop out due to nausea – 12mo	Dichotomous	102	1	(1.0%)	99	0	(0.0%)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	100		4.93926 (SD 0.491)	99		4.93926 (SD 0.491)
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	102		5.01684 (SD 0.569)	98		4.9134 (SD 0.465)
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	102		5.01684 (SD 0.569)	99		4.93926 (SD 0.491)
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	100		4.93926 (SD 0.491)	98		4.9134 (SD 0.465)
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 6mo	Continuous	100		4.86168 (SD 0.414)	95		4.99098 (SD 0.543)
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 9mo	Continuous	99		4.75824 (SD 0.336)	95		5.01684 (SD 0.569)
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12mo	Continuous	99		4.68066 (SD 0.259)	95		4.88754 (SD 0.44)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	102		1.18956 (SD 0.207)	98		1.13784 (SD 0.155)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	102		1.18956 (SD 0.207)	99		1.13784 (SD 0.155)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	100		1.24128 (SD 0.233)	99		1.13784 (SD 0.155)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	100		1.24128 (SD 0.233)	98		1.13784 (SD 0.155)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 6mo	Continuous	100		1.24128 (SD 0.233)	95		1.11198 (SD 0.129)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) - 9mo	Continuous	99		1.26714 (SD 0.259)	95		1.13784 (SD 0.155)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12mo	Continuous	99		1.293 (SD 0.31)	95		1.11198 (SD 0.129)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	100		2.94804 (SD 0.181)	98		2.94804 (SD 0.181)

LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	100	2.94804 (SD 0.181)	99	2.9739 (SD 0.207)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	102	2.99976 (SD 0.207)	98	2.94804 (SD 0.181)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	102	2.99976 (SD 0.207)	99	2.9739 (SD 0.207)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 6mo	Continuous	100	2.89632 (SD 0.155)	95	2.9739 (SD 0.207)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 9mo	Continuous	99	2.8446 (SD 0.129)	95	2.99976 (SD 0.207)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12mo	Continuous	99	2.7153 (SD 0.103)	95	2.92218 (SD 0.181)

#### Table 11: Ferrannini et al. (2009)

Table 11. Fe	rrannini et al. (2009)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy  ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Argentina, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Egypt, Colombia, Germany, Greece, Guatemala, Hong Kong, Israel, Italy, Lithuania, The Netherlands, Peru, South Africa, Spain, Turkey, UK, USA  Authors' conclusions: When metformin alone fails to maintain sufficient glycaemic control, the addition of vildagliptin provides comparable efficacy to that of glimepiride after 52 weeks and displays a favourable AE profile, with no weight gain and a significant reduction in hypoglycaemia compared with glimepiride  Source of funding: Novartis Pharmaceuticals  Comments: multicentre, randomized, double-blind, active-controlled study.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 2789 Inclusion criteria: Male and female patients (non-fertile or using a medically approved birth control method) with T2DM and HbA1c of 6.5–8.5%, who had received metformin for >=3 months and were on a stable dose of >=1500 mg daily for a minimum of >=4 weeks prior to visit 1, were aged 18–73 years and had a body mass index (BMI) of 22–45 kg/m2 were eligible to participate  Exclusion criteria: Patients with a history of type 1 diabetes or secondary forms of diabetes were excluded, as were those who had experienced acute metabolic diabetic complications in the past 6 months, acute infections that might affect blood glucose control in the 4 weeks prior to visit 1, serious cardiac conditions or clinically significant liver or renal disease
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All taking metformin monotherapy at study start and this continued
Lifestyle advice	-
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 104 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 104 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Further visits were scheduled at weeks 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, 32, 40, 46, 52 and 104
Arms	(1) Metformin + vildagliptin N: 1396 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: All on metformin monotherapy at study start Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 1904 Details of dosing regimen: Dose remained unchanged

(b) Vildagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):100

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: vildagliptin (50 mg twice daily)

#### (2) Metformin + glimepiride

N: 1393

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: All on metformin monotherapy at study start

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Mean dose (mg/d): 1893

Details of dosing regimen: Dose remained unchanged (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 2 Maximum dose (mg/d): 6

Details of dosing regimen: glimepiride (starting dose 2 mg/day). Glimepiride/matched control could be up-titrated (to a maximum of 6 mg/day) at weeks 4, 8 or any later visit if FPG exceeded 6.2 mmol/l or down-titrated in cases of recurrent hypoglycaemia. After

week 24, rescue medication (pioglitazone) could

be prescribed if patients reached the highest tolerated glimepiride dose/matched control

and whose HbA1c was >8.0%

#### **Outcomes**

The primary analysis was based on the per protocol (PP) population with last available post-randomization assessment before rescue medication initiation, up to and including week 52 using the last observation carried forward).

There were 2789 randomized patients included in this interim analysis.

The safety (SAF) population comprised patients who received at least one dose of study drug and had at least one post-baseline safety assessment, up to and including the week 52 visit. The PP population included patients in any of the following categories: (i) completed at least 48 weeks of treatmentwithout taking rescue medication and without major protocol violation; (ii) began rescue medication owing to lack of efficacy after 24 weeks of treatment (as per protocol) without major protocol violation; and (iii) discontinued the study owing to lack of efficacy (as per protocol) without major protocol violation. The intent-to- treat (ITT) population was made up of patients included in the RAN population who received at least one dose of studydrug andhadat least one post-baseline assessment of

the primary efficacy variable HbA1c

Adverse event outcomes including hypoglycaemia were not extracted in this evidence table as this included patients who were taking rescue therapy.

222/1396 (16%) in the vildagliptin group and 275/1393 (19.7%) in the glimepiride group discontinued the

5.1% in the vildagliptin arm and 3.7% in the gilmepiride arm were using rescue therapy

#### Hypoglycaemic events

Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia (Hypoglycaemic events (symptoms suggestive of hypoglycaemia and confirmed by self monitoring plasma glucose <3.1 mmol/l) and severe hypoglycaemia (any episode requiring the assistance of another party).)

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (severe hypoglycaemia was defined as any episode requiring the assistance of another party)

symptomatic (confirmed) (Hypoglycaemic events (defined as symptoms suggestive of hypoglycaemia and confirmed by self-monitored plasma glucose <3.1 mmol/l))

confirmed hypoglycaemia (Hypoglycaemic events (symptoms suggestive of hypoglycaemia and confirmed by self monitoring plasma glucose <3.1 mmol/l) and severe hypoglycaemia (any episode requiring the assistance of another party).)

moderate hypoglycaemia (Hypoglycaemic events (symptoms suggestive of hypoglycaemia and confirmed by self monitoring plasma glucose <3.1 mmol/l) and severe hypoglycaemia (any episode requiring the assistance of another party).)

#### **Baseline** characteristics

		Metformin + vildagliptin			Metformin + glimepiride				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	1396		57.5 (SD 9.06)	1393		57.46 (SD 9.28)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	1396	737	(52.8%)	1393	753	(54.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	1396		5.71 (SD 5.18)	1393		5.75 (SD 5.03)		

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	1396		7.31 (SD 0.64)	1393		7.3 (SD 0.65)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	1396		9.16 (SD 2.29)	1393		9.16 (SD 2.23)	
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	1396		31.8 (SD 5.27)	1393		31.69 (SD 5.25)	
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	1396		89.75232 (SD 14.9)	1393		89.441856 (SD 14.8)	
PP								
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	1118		7.33 (SD 0.956)	1072		7.367 (SD 1.24)	
2-year follow-up (reported in Matthews et al. 2010)								
Demographics:				57.5 (SD			57.5 (SD	
Age (years)	Continuous	1562		9.07)	1556		9.19)	
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	1562	829	(53.1%)	1556	838	(53.9%)	
				5.7 (SD			(,	
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	1562		5.2)	1556		5.7 (SD 5)	
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	1562	1364	(87.3%)	1556	1343	(86.3%)	
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	1562	18	(1.2%)	1556	19	(1.2%)	
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	1562	44	(2.8%)	1556	46	(3.0%)	
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	1562	129	(8.3%)	1556	133	(8.5%)	
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	1562	7	(0.4%)	1556	15	(1.0%)	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	1562		7.3 (SD 0.7)	1556		7.3 (SD 0.7)	
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	1562		31.9 (SD 5.3)	1556		31.7 (SD 5.3)	
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	1562		89.5 (SD 18.1)	1556		88.9 (SD 17.8)	
2-year follow-up (reported in Matthews et al. 2010) - PP								
Body weight:	Mean			-0.3 (SD			1.2 (SD	
Weight (kg) – 104wkc	change	850		2.92)	881		2.97)	
Weight (kg) – 104wkd	Continuous	850		89.4 (SD 14.6)	881		88.8 (SD 14.8)	

О	~~		40
к	E5	ш	ш

			letforn ildagli			etforr limep			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	1396			1393				а
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 52wk	Dichotomous	1396			1393				0.006b
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	1396			1393				NS
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wk	Mean change	1396			1393			MD=- 1.790 (CI: -2.104, - 1.476)	<0.001
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous	1396	222	(15.9%)	1393	275	(19.7%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	1396	67	(4.8%)	1393	107	(7.7%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 52wk	Dichotomous	1396	17	(1.2%)	1393	15	(1.1%)		

a estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m Estimated from graphs, SD calculated from estimated SE SD calculated from SE SD estimated from SE

PP									
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkc	Continuous	1118		6.8 (SD 0.669)	1072		6.6 (SD 0.655)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkc	Continuous	1118		6.78 (SD 0.669)	1072		6.63 (SD 0.655)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wkd	Mean change	1118		-0.44 (SD 0.669)	1072		-0.53 (SD 0.655)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 52wk	Dichotomous	1118	605e	(54.1%)	1072	595	(55.5%)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 52wk	Dichotomous	1118	569b	(50.9%)	1072	595	(55.5%)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 52wk	Dichotomous	1118	569b	(50.9%)	1072	475f	(44.3%)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 52wk	Dichotomous	1118	605e	(54.1%)	1072	475f	(44.3%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	1118		-1.01 (SD 2.01)	1072		-1.14 (SD 1.96)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wkd	Mean change	1118		-0.23 (SD 3.68)	1072		1.56 (SD 3.93)		
2-year follow-up (reported in Matthews et al. 2010) Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 104wkg	Dichotomous	1562	569	(36.4%)	1556	604	(38.8%)		
2-year follow-up (reported in Matthews et al. 2010) - ITT Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs –									
104wkh	Dichotomous	1562	123	(7.9%)	1556	160	(10.3%)		
2-year follow-up (reported in Matthews et al. 2010) - PP Blood glucose:	Mana								
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Mean change	850			881			MD=0.300	i
HbA1c (%) – 104wkj	Mean change	850		-0.1 (SD 0)	881		-0.1 (SD 0)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 0wk	Mean change	850			881				k
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 104wk	Dichotomous	850	314	(36.9%)	881	337	(38.3%)		
Hba1c <6.5% – 0wk	Mean change	850			881				0.0041
Hba1c <6.5% - 104wk	Dichotomous	850	201	(23.6%)	881	226	(25.7%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	850			881				0.0061
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wkm	Mean change	850		-0.5 (SD 2.92)	881		-0.7 (SD 2.97)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 0wk	Mean change	850			881			MD=1.500 (CI: 1.108, 1.892)	<0.001
Weight (kg) – 104wkn	Continuous	850		89.4 (SD 14.6)	881		88.8 (SD 14.8)		
Weight (kg) – 104wkd	Mean change	850		-0.3 (SD 2.92)	881		1.2 (SD 2.97)		

Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	850			881				<0.001
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	850			881				<0.001
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	850			881				0.039
2-year follow-up (reported in Matthews et al. 2010) - Baseline Hba1c >=7%									
Blood glucose: Composite end point (HbA1c <7, no hypo, no weight gain) – 104wk	Dichotomous	1036	309	(29.8%)	980	190	(19.4%)		
									on
	rimary and secondary endpoint changes from baseline were assessed using an analysis of covariance nodel (ANCOVA; classification variables: treatment and pooled centre; covariate: baseline value).								

#### Table 12: Filozof & (2010)

Table 12.1 II	0201 & (2010)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Not reported (assumed Europe)  Authors' conclusions: In patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with metformin, addition of vildagliptin provided similar Hba1c lowering efficacy compared with gliclazide after 52 weeks of treatment. Although both treatments were well tolerated, vildagliptin treated patients had fewer hypoglycaemia events and did not gain weight.  Source of funding: Novartis  Comments: Double-blind trial (using double-dummy approach)
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 1007 Inclusion criteria: Male and female patients aged 18-78 years with type 2 diabetes and Hba1c 7.5 to 11%, who had received metformin for at least 3 months and were on a stable dose of >=1500 mg daily for >=4 weeks prior to visit 1  Exclusion criteria: type 1 diabetes, secondary forms of diabetes and patients experiencing acute metabolic diabetic complicationswithin the past 6 months, serious cardiac conditions, clinically significant renal or liver disease
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All patients were taking metformin monotherapy and this continued during the treatment period
Lifestyle advice	-
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 52

Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52

Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients were followed up at 4,8,12,16,20,24,32,40 and 52 weeks

#### Arms

#### (1) Metformin + vildagliptin

N: 513

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: All on metformin monotherapy which was continued

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: Stable dose of >=1500 mg/day

(b) Vildagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):100

Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: 50 mg bid

#### (2) Metformin + gliclazide

N: 494

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: All on metformin monotherapy which was continued

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

> Details of dosing regimen: Stable dose of >=1500 mg/day (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 80 Maximum dose (mg/d): 320

Details of dosing regimen: Gliclazide had to be uptitrated from a starting dose of 80 mg/day to a max 320 mg/day if FPG>7 mmol/l or fasting blood glucose was >6.36.3 based on the fasting finger stick measurement performed at the study centre. Patients were uptitrated to

the next dose level at week 4 (160 mg), week 8 (240 mg) and week 12 (320 mg)

#### **Outcomes**

ITT population consisted of randomised patients who had received at least one dose of the study drug and had a baseline and at least one post-baseline assessment. The PP population included patients in the ITT population with more than 24 weeks of treatment, with no major protocol violations and who underwent the final valid assessment of Hba1c within 7 days after the last dose of study drug and either (i) completed more than 48 weeks of treatment or (ii) had <48 weeks of treatment but discontinued due to unsatisfactory response. The safety population included patients who received at least one dose of the study drug and at least one postbaseline safety assessment. LOCF method was used.

106/513 (20.7%) patients in the vildalgiptin arm and 82/494 (16.6%) in the gliclazide group discontinued the

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include beta cell function and other insulin resistance parameters

#### Hypoglycaemic events

symptomatic (confirmed) (Hypoglycaemic events were defined as sypmtoms suggestive of hypoglycaemia and confirmed with a BG <3.1 mmol/l)

#### Baseline characteristics

				formin + agliptin		ormin + clazide			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	513		59.2 (SD 9.9)	494		59.7 (SD 10.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	513	268	(52.2%)	494	256	(51.8%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	512		6.4 (SD 5.1)	494		6.8 (SD 5.3)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	513		8.5 (SD 1)	494		8.5 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	513		10.8 (SD 2.8)	494		10.6 (SD 2.8)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	513		31.2 (SD 5)	494		30.8 (SD 5)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	511		85.7 (SD 16.6)	494		84.2 (SD 17.9)		

 PP
 Blood glucose:
 8.425 (SD
 8.45 (SD

 HbA1c (%) – 4wka
 Continuous
 386
 0.98)
 393
 0.99)

<sup>a</sup> Estimated from graph; SD estimated from assumed reported SE

#### Results

			tform dagli <sub>l</sub>			tform liclazi			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	513			494			MD=0.210 (CI: - 0.160, 0.580)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 52wk	Dichotomous	513			494				NS
Hba1c <6.5% – 52wk	Dichotomous	513			494				0.041
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	513			494				0.257
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	513			494				<0.001
Hypoglycaemic events: symptomatic									
(confirmed) – 52wk Dropouts: Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous		106	(20.7%)	494	82	(16.6%)		
Dropout due to AEs  – 52wk	Dichotomous		33	(6.4%)	494	22	(4.5%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 52wk	Dichotomous	513	22	(4.3%)	494	13	(2.6%)		
Men Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	197		-0.8 (SD	200		-0.94 (SD 1.27)		
Women Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	189		-0.82 (SD 1.24)	193		-0.8 (SD 1.25)		
PP Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Continuous	386		7.45 (SD 0.786)	393		7.3 (SD 0.793)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	386		7.42 (SD 0.786)	393		7.26 (SD 0.595)		
HbA1c (%) – 32wka	Continuous	386		7.57 (SD 0.786)	393		7.55 (SD 0.793)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wkb	Mean change	386		-0.81 (SD 1.18)	393		-0.85 (SD 1.19)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 52wkc	Dichotomous	386	114	(29.5%)	393	125	(31.8%)		
Hba1c <6.5% – 52wk	Dichotomous	386	59c	(15.3%)	393	83	(21.1%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	386		-1.31 (SD 2.75)	393		-1.52 (SD 2.78)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wkd	Mean change	386		0.08	393		1.36		

Safety population Hypoglycaemic								
events:								
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wke	Count	167440	6		164892	11		
Adverse events:								
Any adverse event(s) – 52wkf	Dichotomous	510	315	(61.8%)	493	302	(61.3%)	
Asthenia – 52wk	Dichotomous	510	11	(2.2%)	493	24	(4.9%)	
Bronchitis – 52wk	Dichotomous	510	10	(2.0%)	493	20	(4.1%)	
Death – 52wk	Dichotomous	510	1	(0.2%)	493	1	(0.2%)	
Fatigue – 52wk	Dichotomous	510	10	(2.0%)	493	20	(4.1%)	
GI: diarrhoea – 52wk	Dichotomous	510	26	(5.1%)	493	27	(5.5%)	
Headache – 52wk	Dichotomous	510	16	(3.1%)	493	28	(5.7%)	
Nasopharyngitis – 52wk	Dichotomous	510	32	(6.3%)	493	28	(5.7%)	
Pain (extremity) – 52wk	Dichotomous	510	14	(2.7%)	493	22	(4.5%)	
Tremor – 52wk	Dichotomous	510	9	(1.8%)	493	24	(4.9%)	
BMI >=30kg/m2				0.77			-0.86	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	200		-0.77 (SD 1.13)	200		(SD 1.13)	
BMI <30.0 kg/m2	Change	200		,	200		,	
Blood glucose:	Mean			-0.85 (SD			-0.88 (SD	
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	change	186		1.09)	193		1.39)	
Baseline Hba1c								
<=8%				-0.47			-0.54	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	157		(SD 0.752)	148		(SD 0.973)	
Baseline Hba1c >=8	change	107		,	140		,	
Blood glucose:	Mean			-1.05 (SD			-1.07 (SD	
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	change	229		1.21)	245		1.41)	
Hba1c <=9.0% or <9%				0.04			0.00	
Blood glucose:	Mean			-0.64 (SD			-0.62 (SD	
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	change	295		1.03)	293		1.2)	
Hba1c>9.0%				-1.38			-1.59	
Blood glucose:	Mean			(SD	400		(SD	
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	change	91		1.34)	100		1.1)	
Age >=65 years	N4			-0.98			-0.91	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wkg	Mean change	143		(SD 1.08)	159		(SD 1.39)	
Age <65 years		0					,	
Blood glucose:	Mean			-0.71 (SD			-0.84 (SD	
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	change	243		1.09)	234		1.22)	
BMI>=35				-0.85			-0.65	
Blood glucose:	Mean			(SD			(SD	
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	change	81		1.17)	67		1.23)	

a estimated from graph

ANCOVA was used to assess the primary and secondary efficacy variablesbased on the PP population. Treatment and pooled centre were used as variables and baseline value as a covariate. No p-values were reported for adverse events.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> SD estimated from SE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> SD not reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Patient days calculated from overall randomised population as information on dropout rates for safety population not provided. Assumed dropout occurred halfway through the study

assumed no of events; approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) SD calculated from reported SE

#### Table 13: Forst et al. (2010)

#### General Phase: □ monotherapy ☑ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy □ insulin + oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: 45 centres in Uk (19), Germany (17), France (5), Slovakia (5), Ukraine (5), Sweden (4) Authors' conclusions: The addition of linagliptin to ongoing metformin treatment in patients with Type 2 diabetes was well tolerated and resulted in significant and clinically relevant improvements in glycaemic control, with 5 mg linagliptin being the most effective dose Source of funding: Authors are employees of Boehringer Ingelheim, the sponsors of the study Comments: Linagliptin 10mg was used in one arm of the trial. This has not been extracted or analysed since this dose is not licensed. The placebo arm of the trial has also not been extracted since patients in this group were on metformin alone + placebo. Thus, 2 out of the 5 randomised arms are used. Patients were withdrawn from the study if they had FPG >13.3mmol/l (measured on 2 separate days) at any visit; if they showed clinical signs of severe hypoglycaemia or a blood glucose level <2/5mmol/l; if their dose of metformin changed; if they received concommitant drugs that interfered with study medication. Number and Total number of patients: 333 characteristics Inclusion criteria: Males and females with type two diabetes for at least 3 months, aged 21 to 75 years with of patients a BMI of 25 to 40kg/m2. All patients had inadequate glycaemic control despite having been treated previously with metformin and one other oral hypoglycaemic aget (other than roziglitazone or pioglitazone). Antidiabetic therapy had to be unchanged for 10 weeks prior to screening. For patients on metformin combination therapy inadequate glycaemic control was defined as HbA1c 7 to 9% For patients on metformin monotherapy inadequate glycaemic control was defined as 7.5 to 10% Exclusion criteria: Plasma glucose concentrations of >13.3mmol/l measured on two separate days; treated with roziglitazone or pioglitazone within 6 months, or with insulin within 3 months; clinically relevant cardiovascular disease, myocardial infarction, stoke, TIA, within 6 months before enrolment; one or more specified clinical laboratory abnormalities. Pre-randomisation phase: Patients already receiving metformin monotherapy entered a 2 week open label Patients already taking metformin combination therapy entered a 6 week period where the other OHA was no longer administrated, with an open label run in phase for the last two weeks of this period. On completion of the run in phase, patients with HbA1c 7.5 to 10% were randomised to one of the 5 treatment options **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin alucoseowering Details of washout period: Participants on metformin monotherapy continued with baseline therapy therapy Participants on metformion combination therapy entered a 6 week washout period where metformin was maintained but other OHAs were discontinued for the duration of the trial. Lifestyle advice Not stated Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 14 Length of titration period (wks): -Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: 2,4,8,12,14 weeks Arms (1) Metformin + linagliptin 1mg N: 65 Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 6 Comments: Patients on metformin monotherapy entered 2 week open label run in Patients on metformin combination therapy entered 6 week washout, and open label metformin monotherapy for last two weeks of this period. Treatment(s): Mean dose (mg/d): 1500 Details of dosing regimen: Unclear, patients continued the dose of metformin that they received at enrolment throughout the entire study. (b) Linagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):1 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: Linagliptin 1mg daily in the morning with 150ml water, within 30 mins of finishing breakfast

#### (2) Metformin + linagliptin 5mg

N: 66

Treatment duration (wks): 12 Washout period (d): 6

Comments: Patients on metformin monotherapy entered 2 week open label run in

Patients on metformin combination therapy entered 6 week washout, and open label metformin monotherapy for last two weeks of this period.

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin

Mean dose (mg/d): 1500

Details of dosing regimen: Unclear, patients continued the dose of metformin that they

received at enrolment throughout the entire study.

(b) Linagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):5

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Linagliptin 5mg daily in the morning with 150ml water, within 30

mins of finishing breakfast

#### (3) Metformin + Glimepiride

N: 65

Treatment duration (wks): 12

Washout period (d): 6

Comments: Patients on metformin monotherapy entered 2 week open label run in

Patients on metformin combination therapy entered 6 week washout, and open label metformin monotherapy for last two weeks of this period.

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin

Mean dose (mg/d): 1500

Details of dosing regimen: Unclear, patients continued the dose of metformin that they

received at enrolment throughout the entire study.

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 1

Details of dosing regimen: Glimepiride waas taken immediately before or during breakfast. Patients took 1mg for 4 weeks. After this dosing was at the investigators discretion.

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Primary analysis was done on the ITT set- All randomised patients with at least baseline data and one adequate measurement of HbA1c following at least one day of randomised treatment.

PP set was created for sensitivity analysis

Treated set was used for safety analysis- all patients who were dispensed study medication and had taken at least one dose of investigational treatment.

### Baseline characteristics

				etformin + gliptin 1mg	Metformin + linagliptin 5mg				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	65		59.2 (SD 8.4)	66		59.6 (SD 9.8)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	65	36	(55.4%)	66	37	(56.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	65		6.9 (SD 5.9)	66		7.3 (SD 7.5)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	65	64	(98.5%)	66	66	(100.0%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	65	0	(0.0%)	66	0	(0.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	65	1	(1.5%)	66	0	(0.0%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	65		8.2 (SD 0.7)	66		8.5 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	65		10.1 (SD 2.3)	66		10.5 (SD 2.4)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	65		32.3 (SD 4.3)	66		90.7 (SD 14.2)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	65		92.5 (SD 16.9)	66		90.7 (SD 14.2)		

				etformin + agliptin 1mg			tformin + mepiride		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	65		59.2 (SD 8.4)	65		59.4 (SD 9.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	65	36	(55.4%)	65	41	(63.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	65		6.9 (SD 5.9)	65		6.7 (SD 5.9)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	65	64	(98.5%)	65	64	(98.5%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	65	0	(0.0%)	65	0	(0.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	65	1	(1.5%)	65	1	(1.5%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	65		8.2 (SD 0.7)	65		8.2 (SD 0.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	65		10.1 (SD 2.3)	65		10 (SD 2.2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	65		32.3 (SD 4.3)	65		31.5 (SD 4.2)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	65		92.5 (SD 16.9)	65		90.5 (SD 15)		

				etformin + Igliptin 5mg			tformin + mepiride		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	66		59.6 (SD 9.8)	65		59.4 (SD 9.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	66	37	(56.1%)	65	41	(63.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	66		7.3 (SD 7.5)	65		6.7 (SD 5.9)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	66	66	(100.0%)	65	64	(98.5%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	66	0	(0.0%)	65	0	(0.0%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	66	0	(0.0%)	65	1	(1.5%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	66		8.5 (SD 0.8)	65		8.2 (SD 0.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	66		10.5 (SD 2.4)	65		10 (SD 2.2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	66		90.7 (SD 14.2)	65		31.5 (SD 4.2)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	66		90.7 (SD 14.2)	65		90.5 (SD 15)		

Results

		ı		tformin + gliptin 1mg	ı		tformin + gliptin 5mg		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	64		-0.14 (SD 0.92)	62		-0.75 (SD 1.08) a		
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	64		-0.14 (SD 0.92)	62		-0.5 (SD 0.81)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	64		-0.39 (SD 1.1)	62		-0.75 (SD 1.08)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	64		-0.39 (SD 1.1) a	62		-0.5 (SD 0.81)		
HbA1c reduction >=1% - 12wk	Dichotomous	64	18	(28.1%)	62	9	(14.5%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	62		-0.36 (SD 1.18)	64		-1.22 (SD 1.2)		

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	64		-0.17 (SD 1.6) b	62		-1.93 (SD 1.57)
end point HbA1c <=7% - 12wk	Dichotomous	64	10	(15.6%)	62	9	(14.5%)
Body weight:	Mean						
Weight (kg) – 12wkc	change	64		0.57	62		-0.15
Hypoglycaemic events:							
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients)	Dishatamana	0.5		(0.00()	00	_	(0.00()
- 12wk	Dichotomous	65	U	(0.0%)	66	U	(0.0%)
Adverse events:  GI: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	0	(0.0%)	66	1	(6.1%)
Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous			(38.5%)	66		(48.5%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous			(4.6%)	66	1	(1.5%)
Cough – 12wk	Dichotomous	65		(1.5%)	66	2	(3.0%)
Dyspepsia – 12wk	Dichotomous			(0.0%)	66	1	(1.5%)
Fatigue – 12wk	Dichotomous			(1.5%)	66	1	(1.5%)
GI: diarrhoea – 12wk	Dichotomous	65		(1.5%)	66		(3.0%)
GI: constipation – 12wk	Dichotomous	65		,	66		
Infection (upper airway or other	טוטוטוטוטוטוט	00	0	(0.0%)	00	'	(1.5%)
common) – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	1	(1.5%)	66	0	(0.0%)
Nasopharyngitis – 12wk	Dichotomous	65		(6.2%)	66		(7.6%)
Pain (muscoskeletal) – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	0	(0.0%)	66		(3.0%)
UTI – 12wk	Dichotomous			(0.0%)	66		(0.0%)
Dropouts:	2.0	-		(0.070)			(6.676)
Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	13	(20.0%)	66	10	(15.2%)
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	65		(7.7%)	66	3	(4.5%)
Men				, , ,			(,
Blood glucose:	Mean			-0.26 (SD			-0.42 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	change	36		0.95)	33		0.77)
Women							
Blood glucose:	Mean			0.01 (SD			-0.58 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	change	28		0.87)	29		0.86)
BMI <30.0 kg/m2							
Blood glucose:	Mean			-0.26 (SD			-0.64 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	change	23		0.78)	22		0.63)
Baseline Hba1c <8%							
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Mean change	27		0.15 (SD 1.04)	21		-0.12 (SD 0.8)
baseline Hba1c >=8 to <9%	change	21		1.04)	21		0.0)
Blood glucose:	Mean			-0.48 (SD			-0.58 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	change	26		0.61)	26		0.73)
Hba1c>9.0%							
Blood glucose:	Mean			-0.05 (SD			-0.87 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	change	11		1.02) `	15		0.8)
Age >=65 years							
Blood glucose:	Mean			-0.18 (SD			-0.51 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	change	24		0.86)	20		0.95)
Age <65 years							
Blood glucose:	Mean	40		-0.12 (SD	12		-0.49 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wk <b>BMI&gt;=35</b>	change	40		0.96)	42		0.75)
Blood glucose:	Mean			0.04 (SD			-0.58 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	change	19		0.04 (SD 1.14)	17		-0.58 (SD 0.75)
BMI 30-35				,			·
Blood glucose:	Mean			-0.18 (SD			-0.3 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	change	22		0.85)	23		0.98)
<sup>a</sup> mean placebo corrected change in HbA1	С						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> mean placebo corrected change in HbA1c <sup>b</sup> Mean placebo corrected change from baseline <sup>c</sup> no dispersion reported

		li		ormin + iptin 1mg	-	ormin + epiride			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	0	(0.0%)	65	3	(4.6%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	0	(0.0%)	65	0	(0.0%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	25	(38.5%)	65	29	(44.6%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	3	(4.6%)	65	1	(1.5%)		
Cough – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	1	(1.5%)	65	0	(0.0%)		
Dyspepsia – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	0	(0.0%)	65	2	(3.1%)		
Fatigue – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	1	(1.5%)	65	0	(0.0%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	1	(1.5%)	65	3	(4.6%)		
GI: constipation – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	0	(0.0%)	65	1	(1.5%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	1	(1.5%)	65	1	(1.5%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	4	(6.2%)	65	4	(6.2%)		
Pain (muscoskeletal) – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	0	(0.0%)	65	0	(0.0%)		
UTI – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	0	(0.0%)	65	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	13	(20.0%)	65	4	(6.2%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	65	5	(7.7%)	65	3	(4.6%)		

				ormin + ptin 5mg			ormin + epiride		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wk	Dichotomous	66	0	(0.0%)	65	3	(4.6%)		
Adverse events: Gl: nausea – 12wk	Dichotomous	66	4	(6.1%)	65	0	(0.0%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	66	32	(48.5%)	65	29	(44.6%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 12wk	Dichotomous	66	1	(1.5%)	65	1	(1.5%)		
Cough – 12wk	Dichotomous	66	2	(3.0%)	65	0	(0.0%)		
Dyspepsia – 12wk	Dichotomous	66	1	(1.5%)	65	2	(3.1%)		
Fatigue – 12wk	Dichotomous	66	1	(1.5%)	65	0	(0.0%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 12wk	Dichotomous	66	2	(3.0%)	65	3	(4.6%)		
GI: constipation – 12wk	Dichotomous	66	1	(1.5%)	65	1	(1.5%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common)  – 12wk	Dichotomous	66	0	(0.0%)	65	1	(1.5%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 12wk	Dichotomous	66	5	(7.6%)	65	4	(6.2%)		
Pain (muscoskeletal) – 12wk	Dichotomous	66	2	(3.0%)	65	0	(0.0%)		
UTI – 12wk	Dichotomous	66	0	(0.0%)	65	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	66	10	(15.2%)	65	4	(6.2%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	66	3	(4.5%)	65	3	(4.6%)		

No blood glucose measures available for the glimepiride arm.

#### Table 14: Gallwitz et al. (2012)

14515 111 0	Sallwitz et al. (2012)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: 14 countries (Austria, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Mexico, Poland, Spain, Switzerland, and the UK) Authors' conclusions: These findings provide evidence for the benefi ts of exenatide versus glimepiride for control of glycaemic deterioration in patients with type-2 diabetes inadequately controlled by metformin alone Source of funding: Eli Lilly and Amylin Pharmaceuticals Comments: open-label, randomised controlled European Exenatide (EUREXA) trial. We used a computer-generated randomisation sequence to randomly assign patients.
Number and characteristic s of patients	<b>Total number of patients:</b> 1029 <b>Inclusion criteria:</b> Eligible participants had type 2 diabetes; were overweight to obese (body-mass index [BMI] =25 kg/m² to <40 kg/m²); aged 18–85 years; had been on stable, maximum tolerated doses of metformin; and had developed suboptimum glycaemic control, defined by a glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) concentration of 6.5% and more or 9.0% and less <b>Exclusion criteria:</b> contraindications for metformin or glimepiride, according to the product-specific label; active or untreated malignancy or remission for less than  5 years; evidence of renal or liver disease or dysfunction; haemoglobinopathy or clinically signifi cant chronic anaemia; active proliferative retinopathy or macular oedema; or severe gastrointestinal disease. Excluded drugs were those aff ecting gastrointestinal motility, chronic systemic gluco corticoids, prescription drugs to promote weight loss in the past 3 months, and treatment for more than 2 weeks in the past 3 months with insulin, thiazolidinediones, a-glucosidase inhibitors, sulphonylureas, or meglitinides
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Al patients were treated with metformin alone at baseline (this was continued during the study period)
Lifestyle advice	-
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 156 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 156 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Maximum of 3 year follow-up
Arms	(1) Metformin + exenatide N: 515 Treatment duration (wks): 156 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all continued pre-study metformin Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 1956 (b) Exenatide (Subcutaneous) Set dose (mg/d): 20 Mean dose (mg/d): 17.35 Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: Exenatide was injected subcutaneously within 60 min before breakfast and evening meals, starting at 5 µg twice daily for 4 weeks, followed by 10 µg twice daily for the remaining study period. If patients had daily episodes of nausea for more than 1 week, the 10 µg dose was reduced to 5 µg twice daily and could be increased again after nausea subsided.  (2) Metformin + glimepiride N: 514 Treatment duration (wks): 156 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all continued pre-study metformin Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 1889 (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 1 Details of dosing regimen: The recommended starting dose for patients in the glimepiride group was 1 mg per day, given once daily immediately before breakfast. Attending

physicians established the glimepiride dose as per their usual practice, and investigators were instructed to adjust the dose every 4 weeks, according to tolerability, up to the maximum tolerated dose in accordance with the country specific summary of product characteristics

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

The primary outcome was time to inadequate glycaemic control, defined as an HbA1c concentration of more than 9% after the first 3 months of treatment, or more than 7% at two consecutive visits 3 months apart after the first 6 months.

We defined treatment failure in line with recommendations of diabetes associations and the known timecourse of changes in HbA1c concentration,

and allowed quick identification of patients with poor glycaemic control who needed alternative treatment. Because the primary outcome was a time-to-event measure, we regarded a study period of 2–3 years as appropriate. Patients who had treatment failure were discontinued, but could enrol in an extension study to examine further treatment options

Analyses were by intention to treat with the caveat that only randomly assigned patients receiving at least one dose of study treatment, and with baseline and at least one post-baseline HbA1c measurement were included. We analysed the as-treated population according to treatment

actually received and included only patients with at least 6 months' follow-up for HbA1c. Ssafety analyses were based on all patients who received

study drug

174/515 (33.8%) patients in the exenatide group and 128/514 (25%) in glimepiride group discontinued the study

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include measures of insulin resistance, outcomes from an OGTT test

# Baseline characteristic

		Meti	formi	n + exenatide		formin + nepiride			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	515		56 (SD 10)	514		56 (SD 9.1)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	515	272	(52.8%)	514	252	(49.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	515		5.8 (SD 4.8)	514		5.5 (SD 4.3)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	515		7.5 (SD 0.7)	514		7.4 (SD 0.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	515		8.9 (SD 2.3)	514		8.6 (SD 1.9)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	515		32.6 (SD 4.2)	514		32.3 (SD 3.9)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	515		92.8 (SD 16.7)	514		91.1 (SD 14.8)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	515		132.8 (SD 15.7)	514		133.4 (SD 15.1)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	515		80.4 (SD 9.4)	514		79.8 (SD 9.9)		

#### Results

		Metformin + exenatide				etform imepir			
		N k mean N		N	k	mean	Δ	р	
Adverse events: Study drug exposure – 0wk		515			514				NR
Study drug exposure – 156wka	Continuous	515		101.9 (SD 73.8)	514		113.1 (SD 70.9)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 156wk	Dichotomou s	515	174	(33.8%	514	128	(24.9%		

Dropout due to AEs	Dichotomou								
Dropout due to AEs  – 0wk	S	515			514				0.001
Dropout due to AEs – 156wk	Dichotomou s	515	49	(9.5%)	514	17	(3.3%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 156wk	Dichotomou s	515	8	(1.6%)	514	11	(2.1%)		
Blood pressure: Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	515			514				NR
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	490		6.8 (SD 0.904)	487		6.75 (SD 0.676)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wkb	Continuous	490		6.75 (SD 0.678)	487		6.78 (SD 0.901)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wkc	Continuous	331		6.84 (SD 0.791)	371		6.93 (SD 1.33)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wkc	Continuous	230		6.93 (SD 0.774)	264		7.12 (SD 0.788)		
HbA1c (%) – 156wkc	Continuous	182		7.08 (SD 0.757)	197		7.23 (SD 0.752)		
HbA1c (%) – 156wkc	Continuous	515		7.08 (SD 0.757)	514		7.23 (SD 0.752)		
HbA1c (%) – 182wkc	Continuous	138		7.14 (SD 1.36)	124		7.37 (SD 1.01)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 156wk	Dichotomou s	515	218	(44.5%	514	150	(30.8%		<0.0001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 156wk	Dichotomou s	490	218	(44.5% )	487	150	(30.8%		<0.0001
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 156wk	Dichotomou s	490	140	(28.6%	487	87	(17.9%		0.0001
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 156wk	Dichotomou s	515	140	(28.6%	514	87	(17.9%		0.0001
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 156wk	Continuous	515			514				<0.0001
Weight (kg) – 156wk	Mean change	490		-3.32 (SD 5.45)	487		1.15 (SD 4.18)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 156wkd	Mean change	490		-1.9	487		1.1		
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 156wk	Continuous	515			514			MD=- 5.200 (CI: -7.600, - 2.800)	<0.0001

Safety population									
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 156wk{(Used in the analysis); Patient days estimated from overall randomised population for which dropout rates are provided; assumed dropouts occurred halfway through the study. Events calculated from reported episo	Count	46737 6	194 6		49140 0	716 2			
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 156wk	Dichotomou s	515			514			RaR=0.29 0 (CI: 198.957, 0.000)	<0.0001
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 156wke	Dichotomou s	511	186	(36.4%	508	338	(66.5%		<0.0001
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 156wke	Dichotomou s	515	186	(36.4%	514	338	(66.5% )		<0.0001
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 156wk	Dichotomou s	515	1	(0.2%)	514	0	(0.0%)		NS
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	1	(0.2%)	508	0	(0.0%)		NS
symptomatic (confirmed) – 156wkf	Dichotomou s	515	102	(20.0%	514	240	(47.2% )		<0.0001 f
symptomatic (confirmed) – 156wkf	Dichotomou s	511	102	(20.0%	508	240	(47.2% )		<0.0001 f
symptomatic (confirmed) – 156wkg	Dichotomou s	515	34	(6.7%)	514	63	(12.4% )		<0.0001 f
symptomatic (confirmed) – 156wkg	Dichotomou s	511	34	(6.7%)	508	63	(12.4%		<0.0001 f
symptomatic (confirmed) – 156wk	Dichotomou s	515	34g	(6.7%)	514	240f	(47.2% )		<0.0001 f
symptomatic (confirmed) – 156wk	Dichotomou s	515	102f	(20.0%)	514	63g	(12.4%		<0.0001 f
symptomatic (confirmed) – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	34g	(6.7%)	508	240f	(47.2%		<0.0001 f
symptomatic (confirmed) – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	102f	(20.0%	508	63g	(12.4%		<0.0001 f
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	53	(10.4% )	508	82	(16.1% )		0.007
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 156wk	Dichotomou s	515	53	(10.4%	514	82	(16.1% )		0.007
Non-nocturnal (day) – 156wk	Dichotomou s	515	178	(34.8%	514	333	(65.6% )		<0.0001
Non-nocturnal (day) – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	178	(34.8%	508	333	(65.6% )		<0.0001
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	147	(28.8%	508	11	(2.2%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	73	(14.3% )	508	68	(13.4% )		
Arthralgia – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	21	(4.1%)	508	42	(8.3%)		

Back pain – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	52	(10.2%	508	54	(10.6%
Bronchitis – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	34	(6.7%)	508	31	(6.1%)
Death – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	5	(1.0%)	508	5	(1.0%)
Dyspepsia – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	26	(5.1%)	508	21	(4.1%)
GI: diarrhoea – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	62	(12.1%	508	33	(6.5%)
GI: vomiting – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	44	(8.6%)	508	12	(2.4%)
Headache – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	56	(11.0%	508	48	(9.4%)
Nasopharyngitis – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	96	(18.8%	508	93	(18.3%
pharyngitis – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	26	(5.1%)	508	21	(4.1%)
Temperature/influenz a – 156wk	Dichotomou s	511	55	(10.8%	508	35	(6.9%)

a mean weeks treatment time

We used a mixed model repeated measures analysis for continuous variables, with terms for visit, treatment, and interaction, and included the baseline value as a covariate. We included only visits with more than 25% of originally enrolled patients and made no imputations for missing data. Least-squares means with 95% CI were derived from the model for 1, 2, and 3 years (visits eight, 12, and 16). Analyses of covariance (ANCOVA), including terms for treatment, baseline HbA1c stratum, and baseline values were done for changes from baseline to treatment failure or other endpoint. For secondary outcomes not identified at each study visit, we used last observation carried forward to account for missing values. Percentages of patients with adverse events after treatment, and those who had hypoglycaemia, were compared between treatment groups with Pearson's ?² test. P-values for adverse events were not reported.

#### Table 15: Gallwitz et al. (2012) General Phase: □ monotherapy ☑ dual therapy □ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: 16 countries (Bulgaria, Denmark, France, Germany, Hong Kong, Hungary, India, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, South Africa, Sweden, the UK, and the USA) Authors' conclusions: The results of this long-term randomised active-controlled trial advance the clinical evidence and comparative eff ectiveness bases for treatment options available to patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. The findings could improve decision making for clinical treatment when metformin alone is insufficient. Source of funding: Boehringer Ingelheim Comments: randomised, double-blind, parallel-group with double-dummy approach. Assignment used a central interactive voice or web response system with randomisation codes generated by the study sponsor. Study investigators and participants were masked to treatment assignment for the duration of the trial. Number and Total number of patients: 1552 characteristics Inclusion criteria: Eligible study participants were aged 18-80 years, had type 2 diabetes, were receiving of patients metformin at a stable dose of 1500 mg/day or more (or a maximum tolerated dose less than 1500 mg/day) alone or with one other oral antidiabetic drug, and had HbA1c 6·5–10·0% (on metformin alone) or 6·0–9·0% (on metformin and one additional oral antidiabetic drug) and a body-mass index (BMI) of 40 kg/m² or less

irrespective of ethnicity

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> estimated from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> estimated from graph; SD calculated from 95% CI

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> No SD reported

e at least one episode

f BG<3.9 mmol/l

g BG<2.8 mmol/l

Exclusion criteria: diagnoses of myocardial infarction, stroke, or transient ischaemic attack in the 6 months before screening, impaired hepatic function at screening, and treatment with rosiglitazone, pioglitazone, a glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) analogue or agonist, insulin, or an antiobesity drug in the 3 months before screening

Pre-randomisation phase: Participants receiving metformin monotherapy entered a 2-week open-label placebo run-in period. Those receiving metformin and one additional oral antidiabetic drug entered a 6-week washout period followed by the 2-week open-label placebo run-in. By the start of the placebo run-in, the HbA1c inclusion criterion was 6-5-10-0% for all participants

#### **Previous** alucoselowering therapy

Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or

Details of washout period: All taking metformin (either as monotherapy or in combination with other OADs) at baseline. Patients who were taking metformin in combination were washed off other OADs before the treatment period started.

#### Lifestyle advice

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 113 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 104 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -

#### Arms

#### (1) Metformin + linagliptin

N: 776

Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: only patients on combination therapy at baseline were washed off OADs (except for metformin)

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: Metformin dose was unchanged throughout the study. 92% in

Linagliptin group were receiving >=1500 mg of metformin at baseline

(b) Linagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):5

Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: 5 mg once daily

#### (2) Metformin + glimepiride

N: 775

Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: only patients on combination therapy at baseline were washed off OADs (except for metformin)

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

> Details of dosing regimen: Metformin dose was unchanged throughout the study. 94% in glimepiride group were receiving >=1500 mg of metformin at baseline

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Mean dose (mg/d): 2.45

Details of dosing regimen: (initially 1 mg once daily) added to ongoing metformin. After the starting dose of 1 mg once daily, glimepiride was uptitrated stepwise in 1 mg increments up to a maximum of 4 mg once daily, at 4-week intervals during the fi rst 12 weeks of treatment. Glimepiride was uptitrated by investigators if the patients' self-monitored fasting plasma glucose (FPG) values were greater than 6.1 mmol/L. At any time, glimepiride could

be downtitrated to prevent recurrent hypoglycaemic events.

#### **Outcomes**

Rescue treatment (pioglitazone) could be started during the trial if a participant had a confirmed FPG higher than 13.3 mmol/L at any visit or HbA1c higher than 8.5% from week 28 to week 104. If participants did not meet these prespecified glycaemic control criteria despite rescue

treatment, they discontinued participation in the trial. Patients included in the completers cohort were those who met glycaemic targets (i.e. were not given rescue medication)

Outcome data was extracted in this evidence table from the completers cohort only. Post-hoc analyses from Gallwitz (2013) are also reported in this table as analyses were reported from the completers cohort. 189/776 (24.4%) patients in the linagliptin group and 171/775 (22.1%) in the glimepiride group discontinued

#### **Blood glucose**

the study

HbA1c < 7% or <=7% (This was a composite endpoint of the proportion of patients achieving a Hba1c <7% after 2 years of treatment without body weight gain (defined as >1 kg increase from baseline) and without investigator defined hypos (BG <3.9 mmol/l or needing assistance from another person to administer resuscitative action intended to increase plasma glucose levels)

Baseline
characteristics

	Metformin + linagliptin				Metformin + glimepiride				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:  Metformina	Dichotomous	776	706	(91.0%)	775	711	(91.7%)		
Metforminb	Dichotomous	776	58	(7.5%)	775	44	(5.7%)		
Metformin	Dichotomous	776	706a	(91.0%)	775	44b	(5.7%)		
Metformin	Dichotomous	776	58b	(7.5%)	775	711a	(91.7%)		
Study completers/observed cases Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	233		60.4 (SD 8.4)	271		60.7 (SD 9.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	233	120	(51.5%)	271	178	(65.7%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	233		7.2 (SD 0.6)	271		7.3 (SD 0.6)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	233		7.99 (SD 1.46)	271		8.47 (SD 1.86)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	233		29.8 (SD 4.6)	271		30.8 (SD 4.6)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	233		83.8 (SD 16.9)	271		88 (SD 16.6)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:  Combination therapy	Dichotomous	233	44	(18.9%)	271	49c	(18.1%)		
any monotherapy (1 previous OAD)	Dichotomous	233	189	(81.1%)	271	222	(81.9%)		

#### Results

			letfor linagl	min + iptin	Metformin + glimepiride				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous	776	189	(24.4%)	775	171	(22.1%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	776	61	(7.9%)	775	90	(11.6%)		
Study completers/observed cases Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Continuous	233		6.62 (SD 0.305)	271		6.5 (SD 0.165)		
HbA1c (%) – 28wka	Continuous	233		6.62 (SD 0.305)	271		6.48 (SD 0.165)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wkb	Continuous	233		6.53 (SD 0.534)	271		6.53 (SD 0.494)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wkc	Mean change	233		-0.56 (SD 0.458)	271		-0.63 (SD 0.494)	MD=0.080 (CI: 0.002, 0.158)	0.0468
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 104wkd	Dichotomous	233	126	(54.1%)	271	62	(22.9%)	OR=3.860 (CI: 9.620, 1.549)	<0.0001
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	233		-1 (SD 1.53)	271		0.4 (SD 1.65)		
Weight (kg) – 28wka	Mean change	233		-1.2 (SD 3.05)	271		1.05 (SD 3.29)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> dose >=1500 mg
<sup>b</sup> dose <1500 mg
<sup>c</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

Weight (kg) – 52wkb	Mean change	233		-1.65 (SD 3.82)	271		0.95 (SD 3.29)			
Weight (kg) – 104wkb	Mean change	233		-2.06 (SD 3.21)	271		0.98 (SD 3.79)	MD=-3.040 (CI: -3.830, - 2.250)	<0.0001	
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 104wk	Dichotomous	233	14	(6.0%)	271	114e	(42.1%)		NR	
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 104wk	Dichotomous	233	0	(0.0%)	271	1	(0.4%)		NR	
<sup>a</sup> estimated from graph <sup>b</sup> estimated from graph, SD estimated from SE <sup>c</sup> adjusted for baseline hba1c, treatment and number of previous oral antidiabetic drugs. SD estimated from SE <sup>d</sup> without hypo or weight gain <sup>e</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)										
, ,	Hba1c and body weight were evaluated in the completers cohort with available data (OC) using ANCOVA models that adjusted for treatment, baseline Hba1c and previous OADs									

#### **Table 16: Gerich et al. (2005)**

Table 16: Ge	erich et al. (2005)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy  ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: - Authors' conclusions: - Source of funding: - Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 428 Inclusion criteria: Drug naïve adults (18-77 years) with T2DM Exclusion criteria: - Pre-randomisation phase: 2 week screening period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: -
Lifestyle advice	Not reported
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 104 Length of titration period (wks): 16 Length of maintenance period (wks): 88 Frequency of monitoring appointments: After 2 week screening period, patients randomised for 4 weeks of fixed dose followed by a 12 week titration period and 88 week monitoring period. Baseline, weeks 20, 28, 40, 52, 64, 76, 88, 96 and 104
Arms	(1) Metformin + nateglinide N: 219 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral)

#### **Outcomes**

# Baseline characteristics

			Me	tformin + nateglinide
		N	k	mean
ІТТ				
Demographics:				
Age (years)	Continuous	208		52.6 (SD 11.6)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	208	106	(51.0%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	208		1.5 (SD 2.9)
Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	208		8.4 (SD 1.2)
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	208		8.4 (SD 1.2)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	208		10 (SD 2.5)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	208		10 (SD 2.5)
Body weight:				
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	208		33.3 (SD 6)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	208		93.98592 (SD 16.9344) a
aged >=65 (reported in Schwarz et al. 2008)				
Demographics:				
Age (years)	Continuous	33		70.1 (SD 2.9)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	33	17	(51.5%)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	33	17	(51.5%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	33		1.7 (SD 3.7)
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	33	26	(78.8%)
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	33	3	(9.1%)
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	33	4	(12.1%)
Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	33		7.8 (SD 0.9)
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	33		7.8 (SD 0.9)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	33		8.714442 (SD 1.776192)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	33		8.714442 (SD 1.776192)
Body weight:				
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	33		30.4 (SD 4.9)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	33		85.80096 (SD 13.82976) a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

			M	etformin + glyburide
		N	k	mean
Ιπ				
Demographics:				
Age (years)	Continuous	198		53.5 (SD 11.6)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	198	95	(48.0%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	198		2 (SD 4.3)
Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	198		8.3 (SD 1.1)
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	198		8.3 (SD 1.1)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	198		9.9 (SD 2.3)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	198		9.9 (SD 2.3)
Body weight:				
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	198		33.5 (SD 5.6)

44) a
216)
216)
64) a
210

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m
 <sup>b</sup> reported as SD 8 in paper but assumed typo

		Metformin + nateglinide				
		N	k	mean		
Dropouts:						
Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous	219	78	(35.6%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	219	27	(12.3%)		
ІТТ						
Blood glucose:						
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	208		6.65 (SD 1.44) a		
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	208		6.65 (SD 1.44) a		
HbA1c (%) – 28wk	Continuous	208		6.5 (SD 1.44) a		
HbA1c (%) – 28wk	Continuous	208		6.5 (SD 1.44) a		
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	208		6.65 (SD 1.44) a		
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	208		6.65 (SD 1.44) a		
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Mean change	208		-1.2 (SD 1.44) b		
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Mean change	208		-1.2 (SD 1.44) b		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	208		-2.2 (SD 2.88) b		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	208		-2.2 (SD 2.88) b		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Mean change	208		-1.6 (SD 2.88) b		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Mean change	208		-1.6 (SD 2.88) b		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 104wk	Mean change	208		-0.4 (SD 5.7688) b		
Hypoglycaemic events:				()		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 104wk	Dichotomous	208	17c	(8.2%)		
aged >=65 (reported in Schwarz et al. 2008)						
Blood glucose:						
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	35		6.25 (SD 0.295803989154981)		
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	35		6.25 (SD 0.295803989154981)		
HbA1c (%) – 28wk	Continuous	35		6.2 (SD 0.51)		
HbA1c (%) – 28wk	Continuous	35		6.2 (SD 0.51)		

HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	33		-1.4 (SD 1.1489) d
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	33		6.3 (SD 0.57) d
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	33		-1.4 (SD 1.1489) d
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	33		6.3 (SD 0.57) d
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Mean change	33		-1.2 (SD 0.57) e
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Mean change	33		-1.2 (SD 0.57) e
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Continuous	33		6.3 (SD 0.57) d
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Continuous	33		6.3 (SD 0.57) d
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 104wk	Dichotomous	20	14	(70.0%)
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 104wk	Dichotomous	20	12	(60.0%)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	35		6.216672 (SD 6.56755848881455E-02)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	35		6.216672 (SD 6.56755848881455E-02)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	33		6.771732 (SD 1.5942884712937)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	33		6.771732 (SD 1.5942884712937)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Mean change	33		-1.443156 (SD 1.91314616555244)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Mean change	33		-1.443156 (SD 1.91314616555244)
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) –  104wk	Dichotomous	35	1f	(2.9%)
Adverse events:				
GI: nausea – 104wk	Dichotomous	35	5	(14.3%)
Bronchitis – 104wk	Dichotomous	35	3	(8.6%)
Dyspepsia – 104wk	Dichotomous	35	1	(2.9%)
Edema peripheral – 104wk	Dichotomous	35	5	(14.3%)
Fatigue – 104wk	Dichotomous	35	8	(22.9%)
GI: diarrhoea – 104wk	Dichotomous	35	8	(22.9%)
GI: constipation – 104wk	Dichotomous	35	4	(11.4%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 104wk	Dichotomous	35	1	(2.9%)
Nasopharyngitis – 104wk	Dichotomous	35	3	(8.6%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous	35	14	(40.0%)
Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous		10	(28.6%)
a SD estimated from reported SE in graph	Dictionologi	55	10	(20.070)

				Metformin + glyburide
		N	k	mean
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous	209	87	(41.6%)
Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	209	28	(13.4%)
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	198		6.4 (SD 1.407) a
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	198		6.4 (SD 1.407) a
HbA1c (%) – 28wk	Continuous	198		6.3 (SD 1.407) a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD estimated from reported SE in graph <sup>b</sup> SD estimated from reported SE <sup>c</sup> Estimated from reported percentages <sup>d</sup> SD estimated from assumed SE <sup>e</sup> assumed SE reported in text and converted <sup>f</sup> mild

HbA1c (%) – 28wk	Continuous	198		6.3 (SD 1.407) a
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	198		6.5 (SD 1.407) a
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	198		6.5 (SD 1.407) a
	Mean			(05
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	change	198		-1.5 (SD 1.41) b
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Mean change	198		-1.5 (SD 1.41) b
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	198		-2.8 (SD 2.81) b
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	198		-2.8 (SD 2.81) b
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Mean change	198		-2.4 (SD 2.81) b
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Mean change	198		-2.4 (SD 2.81) b
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 104wk	Mean change	198		0.8 (SD 7.0356) b
Hypoglycaemic events: symptomatic (confirmed) – 104wk	Dichotomous	198	35c	(17.7%)
aged >=65 (reported in Schwarz et al. 2008) Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	40		6.35 (SD 0.316227766016838)
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	40		6.35 (SD 0.316227766016838)
HbA1c (%) – 28wk	Continuous	40		6.15 (SD 0.3)
HbA1c (%) – 28wk	Continuous	40		6.15 (SD 0.3)
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	33		6.3 (SD 0.57) d
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	33		6.3 (SD 0.57) d
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	33		-1.4 (SD 0.5744) d
LIb A4 o /0/ )	Mean	22		1.4 (SD 0.5744) d
HbA1c (%) – 52wk HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Cantinuous	33		-1.4 (SD 0.5744) d
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Continuous	33		6.525 (SD 0.8616) e 6.525 (SD 0.8616) e
11DATC (%) = 104WK	Mean	33		0.323 (3D 0.8010) e
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	change	33		-1.2 (SD 1.15) f
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Mean change	33		-1.2 (SD 1.15) f
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 104wk	Dichotomous	20	13	(65.0%)
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 104wk	Dichotomous	20	8	(40.0%)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	40		6.494202 (SD 3.51050767610612E-02)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	40		6.494202 (SD 3.51050767610612E-02)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	33		6.605214 (SD 0.956573082776219)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	33		6.605214 (SD 0.956573082776219)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Mean change	33		-1.998216 (SD 1.91314616555244)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Mean change	33		-1.998216 (SD 1.91314616555244)
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 104wk	Dichotomous	40	8g	(20.0%)
Adverse events:				
GI: nausea – 104wk	Dichotomous	40	5	(12.5%)
Bronchitis – 104wk	Dichotomous	40	4	(10.0%)
Dyspepsia – 104wk	Dichotomous	40	5	(12.5%)
Edema peripheral – 104wk				(12.5%)

Fatigue – 104wk	Dichotomous	40	3	(7.5%)
GI: diarrhoea – 104wk	Dichotomous	40	8	(20.0%)
GI: constipation – 104wk	Dichotomous	40	5	(12.5%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 104wk	Dichotomous	40	5	(12.5%)
Nasopharyngitis – 104wk	Dichotomous	40	7	(17.5%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous	40	19	(47.5%)
Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	40	11	(27.5%)
<ul> <li><sup>a</sup> SD estimated from reported SE in graph</li> <li><sup>b</sup> SD estimated from reported SE</li> <li><sup>c</sup> Estimated from reported percentages</li> <li><sup>d</sup> SD estimated from assumed SE</li> <li><sup>e</sup> SD estimated from SE in graph</li> <li><sup>f</sup> assumed SE reported in text and converted</li> <li><sup>g</sup> four mild, 3 moderate, 1 severe (requiring assistance)</li> </ul>	istance from an	other	· party	<i>(</i> )

# Table 17: Goke et al. (2010)

Table 17. Gu	ke et al. (2010)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: International (unclear which countries)  Authors' conclusions: lower risk of hypoglycaemia and reduced body weight were observed with saxagliptin vs. glipizide. No other clinically significant differences were observed between groups in safety profile. No significant between-group differences were observed for reductions in glycaemic parameters. After week 24, a smaller weekly rise in HbA1c was observed with saxagliptin vs. glipizide as add-on therapy to metformin.  Source of funding: Funded, designed and supervised by Bristol-Myers Squibb and AstraZenec  Comments: 52 week (with 52 week extension), international, multicentre, double-blind trial. Randomised using an interactive we-response system using a balanced block randomisation schedule. Blinding was ensuring using a double dummy approach
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: - Inclusion criteria: aged >=18 years with HbA1c > 6.5–10% and had been taking a stable dose of metformin monotherapy >=1500 mg/day for at least 8 weeks before enrolment.  Exclusion criteria: type 1 diabetes, history of ketoacidosis, insulin therapy within one year of enrolment, treatment with thiazolidinedione within the 12 weeks prior to enrolment, previous DPP-4 treatment, congestive heart failure, significant CV history within the past 6 monthsactive liver disease and/or significant abnormal liver function or any clinically significant laboratory abnormality at screening  The following prespecified, progressively more stringent glycaemic control criteria were applied: FPG> 270 mg/dl (15.0 mmol/l) at week 3; FPG  > 240 mg/dl (13.3 mmol/l) at week 12; > 220 mg/dl (12.2 mmol/l) at week 18; or > 200 mg/dl (11.1 mmol/l) at week 24; HbA1c > 8% at weeks 30 or 39; > 7.5% at weeks 52, 65, or 78; or > 7% at week 91.  Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 2 week, single blind, placebo controlled run in period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All patients were taking metformin monotherapy at study entry
Lifestyle advice	the lead in period included advice on diet and exercise
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): - Length of maintenance period (wks): - Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
Arms	(1) Metformin + saxagliptin

N: 428

Treatment duration (wks): 52

Washout period (d):

Comments: All patients were on a stable dose of metformin to begin with and had a 2 week single blind placebo controlled lead in period that included advice on diet and exercise

Treatment(s): (a) I

(a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Minimum dose (mg/d): 1500

Details of dosing regimen: All patients received open label metformin at 1500, 2000, 2500 or 3000 mg daily based on individual metformin dose at enrolment for the duration of the study, the dose remained stable throughout the study

(b) Saxagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):5

#### (2) Metformin + glipizide

N: 430

Treatment duration (wks): 52

Washout period (d):

Comments: All patients were on a stable dose of metformin to begin with and had a 2 week single blind placebo controlled lead in period that included advice on diet and exercise

Treatment(s):

(a) Metformin (Oral)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 1500

Details of dosing regimen: All patients received open label metformin at 1500, 2000, 2500 or 3000 mg daily based on individual metformin dose at enrolment for the duration of the study, the dose remained stable throughout the study

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) - forced titration

Minimum dose (mg/d): 5 Maximum dose (mg/d): 20

Details of dosing regimen: glipizide titrated from 5 to 20 mg/day. Glipizide titration was carried out in 3-week intervals from 5 mg/day to 10 mg/day, from 10 mg/day to 15 mg/day and from 15 mg/day to 20 mg/day. Titration was to optimal effect (FPG <= 110 mg/dl) ormaximum tolerable dose, as decided by the investigator. Furthermore, glipizide could be downtitrated once at a subsequent visit if hypoglycaemic events occurred.

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Safety and tolerability were analysed using descriptive statistics in all patients who took >=1 dose of study drug. Efficacy variables were analysed in the full analysis set, defined as all randomised patients who received >=1 dose of randomised study drug and had >=1 non-missing baseline and >=1 postbaseline efficacy data assessment. The primary efficacy analysis was conducted on a PP analysis set which included patients who completed the 52 week traetment period, and had both a baseline and week 52 Hba1c measurement and no significant protocol deviations.

#### Hypoglycaemic events

symptomatic (confirmed) (Confirmed hypoglycaemia was defined as a finger-stick glucose value <=50 mg/dl with associated symptoms)

				formin + cagliptin	Metformin + glipizide				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years) – 0wk	Continuous	428		57.5 (SD 10.3)	430		57.6 (SD 10.4)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	428	212	(49.5%)	430	232	(54.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	428		5.5 (SD 4.5)	430		5.4 (SD 4.7)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	428		7.7 (SD 0.9)	430		7.7 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	428		9.047478 (SD 2.29)	430		8.936466 (SD 2.18)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	428		31.5 (SD 5.7)	430		31.3 (SD 6.17)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	428		88.7 (SD 18.6)	430		88.6 (SD 19.6)		

Results	Metformin +			
	saxagliptin	Metformin + glipizide	Δ	р

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) - 52wk	Mean change	293		-0.74 (SD 0.565)	293		-0.8 (SD 0.856)	MD=0.060 (CI: - 0.044, 0.164)	
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	428		-0.74 (SD 0.565)	430		-0.8 (SD 0.856)	MD=0.060 (CI: - 0.044, 0.164)	
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Mean change	423		-0.41 (SD 0.826) a	423		-0.35 (SD 0.826)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Continuous	426			426			MD=- 0.050 (CI: -0.168, 0.068)	b
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 0wk	Dichotomous	428			430			MD=- 5.200	С
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 0wk	Dichotomous				430			MD=1.700 (CI: - 4.800, 8.200)	
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 52wk	Dichotomous	428	152	(35.5%)	430	145	(33.7%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean	428	102	- 0.499554 (SD 1.84)	430	110	-0.888096 (SD 1.84)	MD=0.333 (CI: 0.094, 0.572)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	426			426			MD=- 0.150 (CI: -0.454, 0.155)	d
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wke	Mean change	420		- 0.693825 (SD 2.18)	420		- 0.5439588 (SD 2.29)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wkf	Mean change	428		-1.1	430		1.1	MD=- 2.200 (CI: -2.700, - 1.700)	<0.001
Weight (kg) – 104wk	Mean change	424		-1.5 (SD 4.12) e	426		1.3 (SD 4.13)	,	
Weight (kg) – 104wk	Continuous	426		4.12) 0	426		4.10)	MD=- 2.800 (CI: -3.388, - 2.212)	g
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 52wkh	Count	134680	19		136682	750			
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 104wki	Count	215852			210028				
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous	428	2j	(0.5%)	430	66k	(15.3%)		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous	428	0k	(0.0%)	430	2831	(65.8%)		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous	428	0m	(0.0%)	430	146j	(34.0%)		

symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous	428	0m	(0.0%)	430	151n	(35.1%)
symptomatic (confirmed) –							
52wk	Dichotomous	428	0m	(0.0%)	430	2831	(65.8%)
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous	428	0m	(0.0%)	430	66k	(15.3%)
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous	128	0k	(0.0%)	430	146j	(34.0%)
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous		0k	(0.0%)	430	•	(35.1%)
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous		5l		430		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wkl				(1.2%)		146j	(34.0%)
	Dichotomous	420	5	(1.2%)	430	283	(65.8%)
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wkj	Dichotomous	428	2	(0.5%)	430	146	(34.0%)
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wkn	Dichotomous	428	3	(0.7%)	430	151	(35.1%)
symptomatic				(51175)			(001170)
(confirmed) – 52wkk	Dichotomous	428	0	(0.0%)	430	66	(15.3%)
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wkm	Dichotomous	428	0	(0.0%)	430	38	(8.8%)
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous	428	51	(1.2%)	430	66k	(15.3%)
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous	428	2j	(0.5%)	430	2831	(65.8%)
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous	428	5l	(1.2%)	430	151n	(35.1%)
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous	428	0k	(0.0%)	430	38m	(8.8%)
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous	428	3n	(0.7%)	430	66k	(15.3%)
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous	428	3n	(0.7%)	430	38m	(8.8%)
symptomatic (confirmed) –							
52wk	Dichotomous	428	3n	(0.7%)	430	2831	(65.8%)
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous	428	3n	(0.7%)	430	146j	(34.0%)
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous	428	2 <u>j</u>	(0.5%)	430	38m	(8.8%)
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous		2j	(0.5%)	430		(35.1%)
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous		5l	(1.2%)	430	38m	(8.8%)

symptomatic									
(confirmed) – 104wko	Dichotomous	428	15	(3.5%)	430	165	(38.4%)		
symptomatic				()			(		
(confirmed) –	D'abataman	400	0.4	(5.00()	400	405-	(00.40/)		
104wk	Dichotomous	428	24p	(5.6%)	430	1650	(38.4%)		
symptomatic (confirmed) –									
104wkp	Dichotomous	428	24	(5.6%)	430	896	(208.4%)		
symptomatic (confirmed) –									
104wk	Dichotomous	428	150	(3.5%)	430	896p	(208.4%)		
symptomatic									
(unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia									
– 52wkq	Dichotomous	428	9	(2.1%)	430	104	(24.2%)		
Adverse events:									
Any adverse event(s) – 52wk	Dichotomous	128	260	(60.7%)	430	293	(68.1%)		
Any adverse	Dictiolorious	420	200	(00.7 78)	430	293	(00.178)		
event(s) -				,			<b>/=</b>		
104wk	Dichotomous	428	287	(67.1%)	430	312	(72.6%)		
Any serious adverse									
event(s) - 52wk	Dichotomous	428	39	(9.1%)	430	32	(7.4%)		
Any serious adverse									
event(s) –									
104wk	Dichotomous	428	54	(12.6%)	430	55	(12.8%)		
Death – 52wk	Dichotomous	428	2	(0.5%)	430	2	(0.5%)		
Death – 104wk	Dichotomous	428	4	(0.9%)	430	2	(0.5%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 52wk	Dichotomous	428	22	(5.1%)	430	16	(3.7%)		
GI: diarrhoea –				,			,		
104wk	Dichotomous	428	25	(5.8%)	430	17	(4.0%)		
Hypertension – 104wk	Dichotomous	428	19	(4.4%)	430	30	(7.0%)		
Infection (upper				,			,		
airway or other									
common) – 104wk	Dichotomous	428	25	(5.8%)	430	16	(3.7%)		
Nasopharyngitis									
– 52wk	Dichotomous	428	41	(9.6%)	430	37	(8.6%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 104wk	Dichotomous	428	46	(10.7%)	430	41	(9.5%)		
Dropouts:		.==		(1217/0)			(,		
Total dropouts							(0=		
– 52wk	Dichotomous	428	116	(27.1%)	430	109	(25.3%)		
Total dropouts  – 104wk	Dichotomous	428	263	(61.4%)	430	283	(65.8%)		
Dropout due to				,			,		
AEs – 52wkr	Dichotomous	428	26	(6.1%)	430	27	(6.3%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 104wkr	Dichotomous	428	32	(7.5%)	430	36	(8.4%)		
Baseline Hba1c		-		,	-		,		
>=7%								MD=0.120	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) –	Mean			-0.65 (SD			-0.77 (SD	(CI: - 0.017,	
52wk	change	324		0.882)	320		0.894)	0.017,	
				0.5-				MD=0.120	
HbA1c (%) -	Mean			-0.65 (SD			-0.77 (SD	(CI: - 0.017,	
52wk	change	428		0.882)	430		0.894)	0.257)	
HbA1c < 7% or	Dichotomous	224	120	(42 60/)	220	152	(47 00/\		
<=7% – 52wk	Dichotomous	3 <b>2</b> 4	138	(42.6%)	320	153	(47.8%)		

HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 104wk	Dichotomous	324	75	(23.1%)	320	73	(22.8%)		
a adjusted mean b 95% CI= -0.17 to 0 $^{\circ}$ CI= -12.9 to 2.5 d 95% CI= -8.1 to 2. $^{\circ}$ adjusted f SE estimated from g 95% CI= -3.3 to -2 h (Used in the analystic) $^{\circ}$ >3.5 to <3.9 mmo $^{\circ}$ <=2.8 mmol/l, no e $^{\circ}$ >2.8 to <3.5, no ev $^{\circ}$ <=2.8 mmol/l, no e $^{\circ}$ patients over entire $^{\circ}$ potents over entire $^{\circ}$ no fingerstick obta f Data included drop	graph .2 sis); reviewer esis) l/l, reviewer esvents; reviewer atients events; reviewer et rial- finger stictined; reveiwer	timated p estimated r estimate ick glucose k glucose estimated	atient d pation ed pa se <5 e <50	t days ent days tient days 0mg/dl with mg/dl with					
Paper ID 700132 re glipizide group, and During the entire 10 compared with 9.1% Efficacy analyses w analyse changes in treatment group, ba events were analyse	79.2% of total 4 week study p 5 of patients in ere conducted HbA1c, FPG a seline value, tii	events in period, no the glipiz using AN nd weigh me and ti	the spatie ide grand ide g	saxaglipting ents in the stroup (95%) A. A mixed a baseline of treatment	group) oc saxagliptir CI for diffe model fo of the initia group. P	curred or group erence or repeat al stud roportion	during the 5 o had confirm = -12.2% to ated measure y to week 10 ons reporting	2 week studned hypoglyde-6.6%). es was used 4, with terms hypoglycae	y period. caemia to s for

# Table 18: Hanefeld et al. (2004)

Table 10.118	inereid et al. (2004)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy  ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Europe (Hungary, Finland, U.K., Slovak Republic, Belgium, Estonia, Lithuania, Denmark, Italy, Greece, Sweden, and the Netherlands) and Canada  Authors' conclusions: Clinically equivalent improvements in glycemic control were observed for both combinations. Compared with metformin plus SU, addition of pioglitazone to SU resulted in a reduction of the urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio, a small but significant rise in LDL cholesterol, and significantly greater improvements in triglyceride levels and HDL cholesterol levels. Metformin plus SU was associated with a significant reduction in LDL cholesterol. SU plus pioglitazone is an effective and well-tolerated combination regimen that may provide additional beneficial effects for patients with type 2 diabetes  Source of funding: Takeda and Eli Lilly  Comments: multicenter, randomized, double-blind, parallel group study
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 639 Inclusion criteria: Male and female patients aged 35-75 years with type 2 diabetes inadequately managed with SU therapy alone (at >=50% of the maximal recommended dose or at the maximal tolerated dose for >=3 months) and with stable or worsening glycemic control for >=3 months were eligible if their HbA1c was between 7.5 and 11.0% and their fasting C-peptide was >=1.5 ng/ml at screening  Exclusion criteria: type 1 diabetes or ketoacidosis; a history of myocardial infarction, transient ischemic attacks, or stroke in the previous 6 months; symptomatic heart failure; malabsorption or pancreatitis; familial polyposis coli; malignant disease in the previous 10 years; a history of or states associated with lactic acidosis or hypoxemia; or substance abuse. Female patients had to be postmenopausal, sterilized, or using satisfactory contraception, and pregnant or breast-feeding women were excluded. Previous treatment with metformin, pioglitazone, or other TZDs was not permitted.
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All patients were taking sulfonylurea monotherapy at study entry  -

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 104

Length of titration period (wks): 12 Length of maintenance period (wks): 92

Frequency of monitoring appointments: HbA1c, FPG, and insulin levels were measured at baseline and at weeks 4, 8, 12, 16, 24, 32, 42, and 52

#### Arms

#### (1) Pioglitazone + sulfonylurea

N: 0

Treatment duration (wks): 104

Washout period (d): 0

Comments: No washout as patients were taking sulfonylurea at baseline and this was continued throughout

the study

Treatment(s): (a) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – forced titration

Details of dosing regimen: Patients also received SU at their prestudy dose, and increases were not permitted. The SU dose could be down titrated only if the patient experienced symptomatic hypoglycemia with an increase to the original dose at the next visit, where possible.

(b) Pioglitazone (Oral) - forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):37 Maximum dose (mg/d): 45 Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Patients started with pioglitazone 15 mg o.d.and dose levels were increased at weeks 4, 8, and 12. Cessation of titration or down titration

was permitted only on the basis of tolerability issues, including actual hypoglycemia or increased risk of hypoglycemia. Patients continued to the next dose level unless the investigator considered that the increase could put them at risk of hypoglycemia (increase postponed for

one visit from week 4 to week 8 or the week 8 dose was maintained for the rest of the study), if the patient reported symptomatic hypoglycemia (one-step reduction followed by an increase at the following visit, if possible), or if the patient experienced adverse events that required dose reduction (one-step reduction at week 8 or 12 with no further down titration).

The maximal tolerated doses of pioglitazone or metformin established at week 12 remained unchanged throughout the 104 study period

#### (2) Metformin + sulfonylurea

N: 0

Treatment duration (wks): 104

Washout period (d): 0

Comments: No washout as patients were taking sulfonylurea at baseline and this was continued throughout the study

Treatment(s):

(a) Sulfonylurea (Oral) - forced titration

Details of dosing regimen: Patients also received SU at their prestudy dose, and increases were not permitted. The SU dose could be down titrated only if the patient experienced symptomatic hypoglycemia with an increase to the original dose at the next visit, where possible.

(b) Metformin (Oral) - forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):2081 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2550 Details of dosing regimen: Patients received either pioglitazone up to

Patients received metformin 850 mg with pioglitazone placebo up to three times daily (maximal dose of 2,550 mg metformin). Patients started with metformin 850 mg o.d., and dose levels were increased at weeks 4, 8, and 12.

#### **Outcomes**

### General

An intent-to-treat (ITT) analysis with last observation carried forward was used to assess efficacy. The ITT population included all patients who had received at least one dose of study medication and had HbA1c recorded at baseline and at least once after baseline. All patients who had received at least one dose of study medication were included in the safety analysis

2 year data from Seufery (2008)/Charbonnel (2005) are also reported in this evidence table. Because down titration of study medication was not permitted after week 12, adverse events thought to be drug related after this point were managed by temporary interruption of study medication or permanent discontinuation

		tazone + nylurea			ormin + onylurea			
N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	

Demographics:							
Age (years)	Continuous	319		60 (SD 9)	320		60 (SD 8)
Age (years)	Continuous	319		60 (SD 8.8)	320		60 (SD 8)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	319	171	(53.6%)	320	175	(54.7%)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	319	171	(53.6%)	320	176	(55.0%)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	319	172a	(53.9%)	320	175	(54.7%)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	319	172a	(53.9%)	320	176	(55.0%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	319		7 (SD 6)	320		7.1 (SD 5.6)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	319		7 (SD 5.6)	320		7 (SD 6)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	319		7 (SD 5.6)	320		7.1 (SD 5.6)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	319		7 (SD 6)	320		7 (SD 6)
Blood glucose:				()			(= = )
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	319		8.8 (SD 1)	320		8.8 (SD 1)
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	319		8.82 (SD 0.98)	320		8.8 (SD 0.97)
115/110 (70)	Continuous	010		8.82 (SD	020		0.07)
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	319		0.98)	320		8.8 (SD 1)
							8.8 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	319		8.8 (SD 1)	320		0.97)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)  – 0wk	Continuous	319		11.8 (SD 2.7)	320		12 (SD 2.9)
Body weight:				30.2 (SD			
BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	319		4.4)	320		30 (SD 4.6)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	319		85.3 (SD 15.1)	320		84.9 (SD 14.5)
Waist circumference (cms) – 0wk	Continuous	319		103 (SD 10.9)	320		103 (SD 11)
Adverse events: Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 0wkb	Continuous	319		30 (SD 15.6)	320		30 (SD 15.6)
Lipids:				1.09 (SD			1.11 (SD
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	319		0.24)	320		0.27)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	319		2.47 (SD 1.69)	320		2.38 (SD 1.72)
, ,				3.57 (SD			3.58 (SD
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	319		0.86)	320		0.92)
TC/HDL ratio – 0wk	Continuous	319		5.45 (SD 1.47)	320		5.38 (SD 1.53)
Renal function: albumin:creatinine ratio – 0wk	Continuous	319		0.07 (SD 0.25)	320		0.11 (SD 0.56)

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm a}$  approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)  $^{\rm b}$  Alanine aminotransferase (units/l)

R	e	s	u	ı	ts
		_			-

		Pioglitazone + sulfonylurea			Metformin + sulfonylurea				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Mean change	319		-1.25 (SD 0.893)	320		-1.6 (SD 0.894)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Mean change	319		-1.39 (SD 0.893)	320		-1.7 (SD 0.894)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	319		-1.2 (SD 1.02) b	320		-1.36 (SD 1.02) c		
HbA1c (%) – 52wkd	Continuous	319		7.61 (SD 1.07)	320		7.45 (SD 1.07)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wkb	Mean change	319		-1.03 (SD 1.25)	320		-1.16 (SD 1.79)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 52wk	Dichotomous	319	124e	(38.9%)	320	128	(40.0%)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 104wke	Dichotomous	319	96	(30.1%)	320	91	(28.4%)		

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wka	Mean change	319		-3 (SD 1.79)	320		-3.1 (SD 1.79)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wka	Mean change	319		-2.8 (SD 1.61)	320		-3 (SD 1.79)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wka	Mean change	319		-2.2 (SD 1.43)	320		-2.35 (SD 2.68)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	319		9.7 (SD 0.15)	320		9.6 (SD 0.15)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wka	Mean change	319		-2 (SD 1.79)	320		-1.9 (SD 2.68)
Body weight:	Mean			, , ,			
Weight (kg) – 52wkf	change	319		2.8	320		-1
Weight (kg) – 104wk	Mean change	319		3.2 (SD 4.7)	320		-1.7 (SD 4.5)
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 52wk	Dichotomous	319	34e	(10.7%)	320	46	(14.4%)
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 104wkg	Dichotomous	319	36	(11.3%)	320	50	(15.6%)
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wk	Dichotomous	319	0	(0.0%)	320	0	(0.0%)
Adverse events:							
Any adverse event(s) – 52wk	Dichotomous	319	191	(59.9%)	320	198	(61.9%)
Any adverse event(s) – 104wk	Dichotomous	319	217	(68.0%)	320	224	(70.0%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 52wk	Dichotomous	319	21	(6.6%)	320	31	(9.7%)
Arthralgia – 104wk	Dichotomous	319	17	(5.3%)	320	13	(4.1%)
Back pain – 104wk	Dichotomous	319	10	(3.1%)	320	16	(5.0%)
cardiovascular AE – 52wke	Dichotomous	319	10	(3.1%)	320	13	(4.1%)
cardiac: CHF – 104wk	Dichotomous	319	2	(0.6%)	320	3	(0.9%)
Edema peripheral – 52wk	Dichotomous	320	22	(6.9%)	319	5e	(1.6%)
Edema peripheral – 104wk	Dichotomous	319	34	(10.7%)	320	9	(2.8%)
Edema peripheral – 104wk	Dichotomous	319	26	(8.2%)	320	9	(2.8%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 52wk	Dichotomous	319	39	(12.2%)	320	75h	(23.4%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 104wk	Dichotomous	319	20	(6.3%)	320	62	(19.4%)
GI: diarrhoea – 52wk	Dichotomous	319	8	(2.5%)	320	40	(12.5%)
GI: diarrhoea – 104wk	Dichotomous	319	11	(3.4%)	320	46	(14.4%)
Hypertension – 104wk	Dichotomous	319	21	(6.6%)	320	30	(9.4%)
Infection (upper airway or other							
common) – 104wk	Dichotomous	319	5	(1.6%)	320	7	(2.2%)
Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 52wk	Continuous	319		26 (SD 14.2)	320		28 (SD 15.1)
Temperature/influenza – 104wk	Dichotomous	319	9	(2.8%)	320	14	(4.4%)
Dropouts:	B. 1	a		(07.00:	00-		(04.00()
Total dropouts – 104wki	Dichotomous			(27.6%)	320		(21.9%)
Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous			(6.3%)	320		(5.9%)
Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	319	26	(8.2%)	320	32	(10.0%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 104wk	Dichotomous	319	25	(7.8%)	320	12	(3.8%)
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	319		1.25 (SD 0.01)	320		1.19 (SD 0.01)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	319		2.01 (SD 0.06)	320		2.15 (SD 0.06)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	319		3.66 (SD 0.04)	320		3.41 (SD 0.04)
TC/HDL ratio – 52wk	Continuous	319		4.86 (SD 0.05)	320		4.87 (SD 0.05)
Renal function: albumin:creatinine ratio – 52wk	Continuous	319		0.09 (SD 0.01)	320		0.09 (SD 0.01)
albumin.ordalimie ralio – 52WK	Johnnous	313		0.01)	J20		0.01)

a estimated from graph b SD estimated from reported SE in graph c SE estimated from graph d SD estimated from reported SE e approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) f EXCLUDE unless details of dosing for S g (Used in the analysis) h approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) / Dropouts at 52 weeks were unclear and therefore have not been extracted
Statistical analysis of the primary efficacy variable (change in HbA1c from baseline to week 52) was performed using an ANCOVA model with the factor "treatment" and the baseline value as a continuous covariate.

# Table 19: Hermansen et al. (2007)

Table 19: He	rmansen et al. (2007)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Multinational- participating countries not stated  Authors' conclusions: Sitagliptin 100mg once daily improved glycaemic control and beta cell function in patients with TTD who had inadequate glycaemic control with gimepiride or glimepiride plus metformin. The addition of sitagliptin was well tolerated, with a modest increase in hypoglycaemia and body weight consistent with glimepiride therapy and the observed degree of glycaemic improvement.  Source of funding: Funded by Merck & co  Comments: Only dual therapy arms were extracted, triple therapy arms are available.  Patients were given pioglitazone as rescue therapy- once rescue therapy was given the patients were removed from efficacy analyses.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 441  Inclusion criteria: men and wommen aged 18 to 75 years with TTD. Patients were already taking glimepiride alone (at any dose) or in combination with Metformin (at any dose); were taking another OHA in mono, dual or triple therapy; or no OHAs over the prior 8 weeks.  Exclusion criteria: History of type 1 diabetes; treated with insulin within 8 weeks of the screening visit; renal dysfunction; hisotry of hypersensitivity, intolerance or a contraindication to the use of glimepiride, sulfonylurea agents, metformin or pioglitazone.  Pre-randomisation phase: No OHAs at baseline with HbA1c >=9%: Discontinued previous therapy and switched to glimepiride alone or glimepiride + metformin (unclear what does were given) and entered a 4 week titration period, then a dose stabilization run in period of 10 weeks. If HbA1c was =>7.5% and <=10.5% after this run in period, patients then entered a 2 week single blind placebo run in period.  OHA monotherapy at baseline with HbA1c >=7.5%  Discontinued previous therapy and switched to glimepiride alone or glimepiride + metformin (unclear what does were given) and entered a 4 week titration period, then a dose stabilization run in period of 10 weeks. If HbA1c was =>7.5% and <=10.5% after this run in period, patients then entered a 2 week single blind placebo run in period.  OHA dual or triple therapy at baseline with HbA1c >=6.5% and <=10.5%:  Discontinued previous therapy and switched to glimepiride alone or glimepiride + metformin (unclear what does were given) and entered a 4 week titration period, then a dose stabilization run in period of 10 weeks. If HbA1c was =>7.5% and <=10.5% after this run in period, patients then entered a 2 week single blind placebo run in period.  Already on glimepiride (alone or with metformin 1500mg to 300mg) with HbA1c >=7.5% and <=10.5%: Therapy was maintained and patients entered a 2 week single blind placebo run in.  Patients were then randomised to receive additional sitagliptin or placebo in addition to glimepiride o
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Abstract states that there was a screening and drug wash off period, a stablization period and a placebo run in peiod.  Patients on anything other than glimepiride or metformin discontinued their previous drugs.  Patients not on any OHAs started taking glimepiride alone or in combo with metformin.

#### Lifestyle advice

Abstract states that there was a diet/exercise runin but this is not described in further detail in the paper.

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 4

Length of maintenance period (wks): -

Frequency of monitoring appointments: 24 weeks study + up to 16 weeks run in (4 weeks titration, 10 weeks stabilization, 2 weeks placebo run in)

Unclear how often monitoring appointments took place. Text states 6, 12 ans 24 weeks.

#### **Arms**

#### (1) Gimepiride + metformin + placebo

N: 113

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): -

Treatment(s): (a) Sulfonylurea

Minimum dose (mg/d): 4 Maximum dose (mg/d): 8

Details of dosing regimen: Not stated

(b) Metformin (Oral) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 1500 Maximum dose (mg/d): 3000 Details of dosing regimen: Not stated

#### (2) Glimepiride + sitagliptin

N: 106

Treatment duration (wks): 24

Washout period (d): -

Treatment(s): (a) Sulfonylurea – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 4 Maximum dose (mg/d): 8

Details of dosing regimen: Not stated

(b) Sitagliptin – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100

Details of dosing regimen: Not stated

### **Outcomes**

### General

During the study period, patients not meeting specific, progressively lower glycaemic goals were provided with open lable rescue medication (pioglitazone) until the completion of the study period. Patients remained in the study to provide additional safety data but were discontinued if they were on rescue therapy for at least 4 weeks and had an FPG consistently >200mg/dl.

- Patients receiving rescue therapy were not included in the efficacy analyses and have been extracted.
- Patients rceiving rescue therapy were included in the safety data so this has NOT been extracted. Data is also available for the Glimepiride + metformin + sitagliptin arm but this has not been extracted as this is triple therapy

		me	nepiride + nin + placebo	Glimepiride + sitagliptin					
			k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	113		57.7 (SD 8.9)	106		54.4 (SD 10.3)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	113	59	(52.2%)	106	56	(52.8%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	113		10.6 (SD 6.8)	106		7.2 (SD 5)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	113	81	(71.7%)	106	61	(57.5%)		
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	113	9	(8.0%)	106	7	(6.6%)		
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	113	13	(11.5%)	106	6	(5.7%)		
Ethnicity-Hispanic	Dichotomous	113	7	(6.2%)	106	26	(24.5%)		
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	113	3	(2.7%)	106	6	(5.7%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	113		8.26 (SD 0.68)	106		8.42 (SD 0.79)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	113		9.9022704 (SD 2.36)	106		10.1353956 (SD 1.84)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	113		30.7 (SD 6.2)	106		31 (SD 6.7)		

	Weight (kg)	Continuous	113		86.7 (SD 21.1)	106		85.8 (SD 22.5)		
Results			me		nepiride + min + placebo	Glin	nepi	iride + sitagliptin		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	105		0.3 (SD 0.868)	102		-0.3 (SD 0.946)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	105		0.7160274 (SD 2.38)	102		-0.04884528 (SD 2.6)		
	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	113	21	(18.6%)	106	23	(21.7%)		
	Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	113	2	(1.8%)	106	4	(3.8%)		
	Adverse events data available bu	t not extacted si	nce da	ata i	nclude participa	ants tre	eate	d with rescue ther	ару	

# Table 20: Jeon & (2011)

Table 20: Je	on & (2011)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy  ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Korea  Authors' conclusions: Vildagliptin-metformin treatment provided blood glucose control efficacy comparable to that of glimepiride-metformin treatment and resulted in better adverse event profiles with lower risks of hypoglycemia and weight gain  Source of funding: supported by research grant from Chungbuk National University  Comments: randomized, open-label, trial
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 106 Inclusion criteria: Type 2 diabetic patients with HbA1c levels greater than 7.0% who were naïve or were receiving monotherapy with oral hypoglycemic agents such as glimepiride (2 to 4 mg) or metformin (500 to 1,000 mg) for less than six months prior to the visit were eligible to participate  Exclusion criteria: Patients with a history of diabetic ketoacidosis, clinically significant liver or renal disease, congestive heart failure requiring pharmacological treatment, coronary artery percutaneous intervention or unstable angina within the past six months, and those over 80 years of age were excluded. Patients with serum creatinine >133 μmol/L, alanine aminotransferase or aspartate aminotransferase >3 times the upper limit of normal and total bilirubin >34 μmol/L were also excluded  Pre-randomisation phase: All the patients who received previous medications had to undergo a wash-out period of at least two weeks
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? some on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All the patients who received previous medications had to undergo a wash-out period of at least two weeks (some were naïve)
Lifestyle advice	-
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 32 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 32 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Measurements were taken at week 0, 4, 12, 24, and 32
Arms	(1) Metformin + vildagliptin

N: 54

Treatment duration (wks): 32 Washout period (d): 14

Comments: wash off period of at least 2 weeks

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):1000

Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: 500 mg bid (b) Vildagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):100

Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: 50 mg bid

# (2) Metformin + glimepiride

N: 52

Treatment duration (wks): 32 Washout period (d): 14

Comments: wash off period of at least 2 weeks

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):1000

Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: 500 mg bid (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):4

Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: 2 mg bid

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

No details of ITT analysis reported (assumed PP analysis carried out). Patients who discontinued medication due to adverse events were not included in the final analysis

1/52 (1.9%) patients in the sulfonylurea group and 3/54 (5.6%) in the vildagliptin group discontinued the study

#### Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Severe hypoglycemia was defined in the patients with transient dysfunction of

the central nervous system who were unable to treat themselves)

confirmed hypoglycaemia (Hypoglycemia was defined as a finger stick glucose concentration of less than 3.9 mmol/L without loss of consciousness.)

# Baseline characteristics

		Metformin + vildagliptin			Metformin + glimepiride				
		N	N k mean			k	mean	Δ	р
PP Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	51		53.51 (SD 10.4)	51		55.38 (SD 11)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	51	35	(68.6%)	51	31	(60.8%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	51		5.89 (SD 1.64)	51		5.92 (SD 1.74)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	51		8.01 (SD 1.2)	51		8.13 (SD 0.86)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	51		8.78 (SD 2.32)	51		9.34 (SD 2.14)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	51		22.69 (SD 7.75)	51		23.07 (SD 4.24)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	51		64.040256 (SD 21.9)	51		65.112768 (SD 12)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

Blood glucose:								
HbA1c (%) – 32wk	Continuous	54			52			0.855
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 32wk	Dichotomous	54			52			NR
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 32wk	Continuous	54			52			0.508
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 32wk	Continuous	54			52			<0.05
Hypoglycaemic events:  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event  – 32wk	Dichotomous	54			52			NR
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 32wk	Dichotomous	54			52			< 0.05
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 32wk	Dichotomous	51	3	(5.9%)	51	1	(2.0%)	
PP				(51575)			(=:070)	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 32wka	Mean change	51		-0.94	51		-1	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 32wkb	Dichotomous	51	26	(51.0%)	51	29	(56.9%)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 32wk	Mean change	51		-1.54 (SD 2.41)	51		-2.16 (SD 2.51)	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 32wk	Mean change	51		0.23 (SD 0.69)	51		2.35 (SD 1.21)	
Hypoglycaemic events:  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event  – 32wkc	Dichotomous	51	0	(0.0%)	51	0	(0.0%)	
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 32wkd	Dichotomous	51	1	(2.0%)	51	10	(19.6%)	
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 32wk	Dichotomous	51	1	(2.0%)	51	0	(0.0%)	
Any adverse event(s) – 32wk	Dichotomous	51	5	(9.8%)	51	10	(19.6%)	
Any serious adverse event(s) – 32wk	Dichotomous	51	0	(0.0%)	51	1	(2.0%)	
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 32wk	Dichotomous	51	4	(7.8%)	51	0	(0.0%)	
GI: diarrhoea – 32wk	Dichotomous	51	1	(2.0%)	51	0	(0.0%)	
GI: vomiting – 32wk	Dichotomous	51	2	(3.9%)	51	0	(0.0%)	
GI: abdominal pain – 32wk	Dichotomous	51	0	(0.0%)	51	0	(0.0%)	
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 32wk	Dichotomous	51	3	(5.9%)	51	1	(2.0%)	
<ul> <li>SD estimated from graph</li> <li>approximated to nearest integer (perce one patient in the trail required medical (Used in the analysis)</li> </ul>	entages only pr	eser	nted	in text)				
The means and frequencies of variables	s were evaluate	d us	sing	Student's t-te	st ar	nd th	ne chi squared	test,

### 

respectively. P-values were not reported for between group comparisons of adverse events

	prepared by a s statistical analys	tatistician. A copy of sis	the code was p	orovi	ded	only to the resp	onsibl	le in	dividual carrying o	out	
Number and characteristics of patients	(Hba1c >8%) ar Exclusion crite neuropathy, pat heart disease, v contraindication Pre-randomisati	of patients: 170 ria: type 2 diabetes, and overweight or obe ria: history of ketoace ients with impaired recommen who were press to pioglitazone on phase: There was a add on therapy	se (BMI 25-34. cidosis, rapidly enal function or gnant or breas	9 kg prog mus tfeed	/m2) ress scle ding	with hepatic stessive diabetic retire toxicity, type 1 coor who might be	eatosi nopatl liabet come	s hy, r es, p e pre	nephropathy, patients with valvu gnant, patients wi	ular	rol
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participant insulin Details of wash	ts previously taking	g glucose-low	ering	g the	erapy? all on ora	al hyp	ogly	rcaemic drugs and	d/or	
Lifestyle advice		ready following a cou uraged to increase th ng									
Follow-up	Length of main	(wks): 38 ion period (wks): 1 itenance period (wk nonitoring appointr	<b>(s):</b> 26	eters	wer	e measured at b	aselii	ne a	nd after 6 months		
Arms	(1) Metformin 4 N: 84 Treatment durat Washout period Treatment(s):  (2) Metformin 4 N: 86 Treatment durat Washout period Treatment(s):	(d): 0  (a) Metformin (Ora Set dose (mg/d):2 Details of dosing r was continued  (b) Sulfonylurea (C Set dose (mg/d):1 Frequency of dosi Details of dosing r pioglitazone  ion (wks): 26	550 regimen: patien  Dral) – fixed-do  ong: twice a day regimen: gliben  al) – fixed-dose  550 ng: three times regimen: patien  Dral) – fixed-dose  ong: twice a day	a dats we	ide :	5 mg was given	bid fo	or 6 r	months		
Outcomes	show any acute Data were extra	s carried out in patie adverse reaction an cted for the first 6 m the glibenclamide gr	d had undergo onth period onl	ne a y (be	sub efore	sequent efficacy rosuvastatin wa	obse as add	ervat ded	ion. onto both arms)		is
Baseline characteristics						etformin + benclamide			letformin + ioglitazone		
	Demographics			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Age (years) Sex (n male)		Continuous Dichotomous	84 84	42	61.4 (SD 5.6) (50.0%)	86 86	41	62.8 (SD 6.3) (47.7%)		

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	84	8.198828 (SD 1.45)	86	8.400084 (SD 1.24)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	84	7.8 (SD 0.7)	86	7.9 (SD 0.9)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	84	30.2 (SD 2.9)	86	30 (SD 3)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	84	83.1 (SD 8.8)	86	83.5 (SD 9)
Adverse events: liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 0wk	Continuous	84	59 (SD 13)	86	57 (SD 12)
Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 0wk	Continuous	84	53 (SD 10)	86	51 (SD 9)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	84	5.4 (SD 0.3)	86	5.4 (SD 0.6)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	84	1.2 (SD 0.2)	86	1.1 (SD 0.2)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	84	1.7 (SD 0.4)	86	1.7 (SD 0.4)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) - 0wk	Continuous	84	3.8 (SD 0.4)	86	3.9 (SD 0.4)

		Metformin + glibenclamide					letformin + ioglitazone		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous			` '	86		(3.5%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	84	2	(2.4%)	86	1	(1.2%)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	82		7.402952 (SD 1.75)	83		7.796316 (SD 1.45)		<0.05
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	82		7.402952 (SD 1.75)	83		7.796316 (SD 1.45)		NS
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	84		7.402952 (SD 1.75)	86		7.796316 (SD 1.45)		<0.05
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	84		7.402952 (SD 1.75)	86		7.796316 (SD 1.45)		NS
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	82		6.7 (SD 0.4)	83		7.5 (SD 0.7)		<0.05
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	84		6.7 (SD 0.4)	86		7.5 (SD 0.7)		<0.05
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 26wk	Continuous	82		30.4 (SD 3.1)	83		30.3 (SD 3.2)		NS
BMI (kg/m2) – 26wk	Continuous	84		30.4 (SD 3.1)	86		30.3 (SD 3.2)		NS
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous	84		83.6 (SD 8.9)	86		84.4 (SD 9.2)		NS
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous	82		83.6 (SD 8.9)	83		84.4 (SD 9.2)		NS
Adverse events: liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 26wk	Continuous	82		57 (SD 12)	83		54 (SD 11)		
liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 26wk	Dichotomous	84			86				NS
Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 26wk	Continuous	84		50 (SD 9)	86		49 (SD 8)		NS
Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 26wk	Continuous	82		50 (SD 9)	83		49 (SD 8)		NS
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	84			86				NS
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	82		5.2 (SD 0.5)	83		4.9 (SD 0.4)		

HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk (	Continuous	82	1.2 (SD 0.2)	83	1.2 (SD 0.2)	
	Mean change	84		86		NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	82	1.6 (SD 0.3)	83	1.5 (SD 0.3)	
	Mean change	84		86		NS
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	82	3.7 (SD 0.3)	83	3.4 (SD 0.3)	
	Mean change	84		86		<0.0
Continuous variables were tested usin	ng two way AN	ICOV/	4			

Table 22: Ma	itthews et al. (2005)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy  ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: 9 European countries and Australia  Authors' conclusions: Compared to established combinations of metformin plus gliclazide, this study indicates potential benefits of addition of pioglitazone to metformin in terms of improvements in microalbuminuria and specific abnormalities associated with diabetic dyslipidemia  Source of funding: Takeda and Eli Lilly  Comments: Double-blind, double-dummy trial. Patients were randomised using block randomisation via a central telephone system (QTONE)
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 630 Inclusion criteria: Male and female patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately managed with metformin or sulphonylurea monotherapy (at =50% of the maximum recommended dose or at the maximum tolerated dose for =3 months) were eligible for entry into the study. Inclusion criteria were: (1) age 35–75 years (inclusive); (2) HbA1c 7.5–11.0% (inclusive); (3) fasting C-peptide levels =0.50 nmol/l (1.5 ng/ml); and (4) stable or worsening glycaemic control for =3 months prior to screening  Exclusion criteria: type 1 diabetes, ketoacidosis, symptomatic heart failure, acute malabsorption or chronic pancreatitis, familial polyposis coli, malignant disease in the previous 10 years, substance abuse or myocardial infarction, transient ischaemic attacks or stroke in the previous 6 months, or were pregnant. Patients previously treated with insulin, gliclazide, pioglitazone or other sulphonylureas or TZDs were not eligible for entry
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All patients were taking metformin monotherapy and this was continued throughout the study
Lifestyle advice	Dietary advice was given at baseline with the target of body weight normalisation. Patients were instructed to adhere to a disease and body weight oriented diet for the entire course of the study. If body weight increased by more than 5% during treatment or Hba1c increased to greater than 9% after completed dose titration, patients were given further intensive dietary advice
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 104 Length of titration period (wks): 16 Length of maintenance period (wks): 88 Frequency of monitoring appointments: HbA1c, FPG, and insulin levels were measured at baseline and at weeks 4, 8, 12, 16, 24, 32, 42, and 52
Arms	(1) Metformin + pioglitazone N: 317 Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: No wash off as metformin monotherapy (at study entry) was continued Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Mean dose (mg/d): 1726

Details of dosing regimen: No change in metformin dose from the pre-study level was allowed at any stage of the study

(b) Pioglitazone (Oral) - forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):45 Mean dose (mg/d): 39

Participants achieving full dose (n): 222 Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: During a 16-week forced-titration phase, the pioglitazone dose was increased in a step-wise manner to 30 and 45 mg. Cessation of titration or down titration was only permitted on the basis of tolerability issues, including actual hypoglycaemia or increased risk of hypoglycaemia. Patients continued to the next dose level, unless the investigaor considered that the increase could put them at risk of hypoglycaemia, or the patient reported symptomatic hypoglycaemia. The dose achieved at week 16 was maintained for the remaining study.

At the end of week 16, 70% of patients had been titrated to the maximum dose of pioglitazone (45 mg od)

#### (2) Metformin + gliclazide

N: 313

Treatment duration (wks): 104

Washout period (d): 0

Comments: No wash off as metformin monotherapy (at study entry) was continued

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Mean dose (mg/d): 1705

Details of dosing regimen: No change in metformin dose from the pre-study level was

allowed at any stage of the study
(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):320 Mean dose (mg/d): 212

Participants achieving full dose (n): 103 Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: During a 16-week forced-titration phase, the sulfonylurea dose was increased in a step-wise manner to 160 mg, 240 mg (160 and 80 mg) and 320 mg

(160 mg twice daily), according to tolerability.

At the end of week 16, 33% of patients had been titrated to the maximum dose of gliclazide

(320 mg/day)

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

An intent-to-treat (ITT) analysis was used to assess efficacy. The ITT population included all patients who had received at least one dose of study medication and had HbA1c recorded at baseline and at least once after baseline. All patients who had received at least one dose of study medication were included in the safety analysis

2 year outcomes from Charbonnel (2005)/Seufert (2008) are also reported in this evidence table. At year 2, 84/317 (26.5%) paients in the pioglitazone group and 75/313 (24%) in the gliclazide arm discontinued the study

				ormin + litazone	Metformin + gliclazide				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	317		56 (SD 9.2)	313		57 (SD 9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	317	161	(50.8%)	313	154	(49.2%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	317		5.8 (SD 5.1)	313		5.5 (SD 5.1)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	317		8.71 (SD 1)	313		8.53 (SD 0.89)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	317		11.8 (SD 3.1)	313		11.3 (SD 2.6)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	317		32.6 (SD 5)	313		32.6 (SD 5.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	317		91.8 (SD 16.2)	313		92.7 (SD 17.4)		
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	317		107 (SD 12)	313		106 (SD 12.8)		

Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	317	5.64 (SD 1.14)	313	5.58 (SD 1.15)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	317	1.1 (SD 0.25)	313	1.09 (SD 0.23)
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	Mean change	317	2.9 (SD 1.94)	313	2.78 (SD 1.89)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	317	3.34 (SD 0.98)	313	3.28 (SD 0.93)
Renal function: albumin:creatinine ratio	Continuous	317	0.06 (SD 0.14)	313	0.05 (SD 0.16)

		Metformin + pioglitazone			ı	Metfor glicla			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Mean change	317		-0.98 (SD 0.712)	313		-1.45 (SD 0.531)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Mean change	317		-1.15 (SD 0.89)	313		-1.39 (SD 0.885)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wkb	Mean change	317		-0.99 (SD 1.6)	313		-1.01 (SD 1.59)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wkc	Mean change	317		-0.89 (SD 1.07)	313		-0.77 (SD 0.761)		0.2
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 104wk	Dichotomous	317	97	(30.6%)	313	79d	(25.2%)		0.128
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wka	Mean change	317		-2.3 (SD 2.14)	313		-2.4 (SD 2.12)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wka	Mean change	317		-2.3 (SD 1.6)	313		-2.25 (SD 1.59)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wka	Mean change	317		-2.1 (SD 2.31)	313		-1.6 (SD 2.12)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	317			313				NS
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wka	Mean change	317		-1.8 (SD 2.14)	313		-1.1 (SD 2.12)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 104wk	Mean change	317		2.3 (SD 5.3)	313		1.1 (SD 4.6)		
Weight (kg) – 104wk	Continuous	317			313				NR
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 52wk	Dichotomous	317	4	(1.3%)	313	35	(11.2%)		
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 104wk	Dichotomous	317	7	(2.2%)	313	36	(11.5%)		NR
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 52wk	Dichotomous	317	176e	(55.5%)	313	628f	(200.6%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 52wk	Dichotomous	317	533f	(168.1%)	313	182e	(58.1%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 52wkf	Dichotomous	317	533	(168.1%)	313	628	(200.6%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 52wke	Dichotomous	317	176	(55.5%)	313	182	(58.1%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 104wk	Dichotomous	317	207	(65.3%)	313	214	(68.4%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 52wkf	Dichotomous	317	17	(5.4%)	313	27	(8.6%)		
Arthralgia – 104wk	Dichotomous	317	9	(2.8%)	313	19	(6.1%)		
Back pain – 104wk	Dichotomous	317	14	(4.4%)	313	23	(7.3%)		
cardiac: CHF – 104wk	Dichotomous	317	5	(1.6%)	313	2	(0.6%)		
Edema peripheral – 52wk	Dichotomous	317	20	(6.3%)	313	7	(2.2%)		

Edema peripheral – 104wk	Dichotomous	317	21	(6.6%)	313	6	(1.9%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 104wk	Dichotomous	317	12	(3.8%)	313	16	(5.1%)
GI: diarrhoea – 104wk	Dichotomous	317	5	(1.6%)	313	13	(4.2%)
Hypertension – 104wk	Dichotomous	317	25	(7.9%)	313	38	(12.1%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 104wk	Dichotomous	317	16	(5.0%)	313	20	(6.4%)
Temperature/influenza – 104wk	Dichotomous	317	16	(5.0%)	313	10	(3.2%)
Study drug exposure – 104wkg	Continuous	317		11	313		11
Dropouts:							
Total dropouts – 52wkh	Dichotomous	317	56	(17.7%)	313	42	(13.4%)
Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous	317	84	(26.5%)	313	75	(24.0%)
Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	317	13	(4.1%)	313	14	(4.5%)
Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	317	22	(6.9%)	313	19	(6.1%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 104wk	Dichotomous	317	12	(3.8%)	313	17	(5.4%)
a actimated from graph							

estimated from graph

Statistical analysis of the primary efficacy variable (change in HbA1c from baseline to week 52) was performed using an ANCOVA model with the factor "treatment" and the baseline value as a continuous covariate. P-values for between group comparisons for adverse events were not reported.

#### Table 23: Nauck et al. (2007) General Phase: □ monotherapy ☑ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: Australia, Austria, Belgium, South America, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Hong Kong, Hungary, Italy, Malaysia, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, USA, South Africa, Spain, Taiwan etc. Authors' conclusions: In this study, the addition of sitagliptin compared with glipizide provided similar HbA1c-lowering efficacy over 52 weeks in patients on ongoing metformin therapy. Sitagliptin was generally well tolerated, with a lower risk of hypoglycaemia relative to glipizide and with weight loss compared with weight gain with glipizide Source of funding: funded by Merck & Co Comments: multinational, randomized, parallel group, non-inferiority study with an active-controlled, doubleblind treatment period Number and Total number of patients: 1172 characteristics Inclusion criteria: Men and women (age 18-78 years) with type 2 diabetes who were not currently on an of patients OHA, were taking any OHA in monotherapy or were taking metformin in combination with another OHA were potentially eligible to participate in the study if they all met screening criteria

Exclusion criteria: history of type 1 diabetes, insulin use within 8 weeks of screening, renal function

a fasting plasma glucose (FPG) (or a fasting fingerstick glucose) at or just prior to randomization >15.0

Patients were discontinued for lack of efficacy based on progressively stricter glycaemic criteria: from randomization through Week 6 for patients on two tablets (5-mg tablets) of glipizide/glipizide placebo for at least 2 weeks, FPG > 14.4 mmol/l (270 mg/dl); from Week6 through Week12 for patients on maximal dose (four 5-mg tablets) of glipizide/glipizide placebo for at least 2 weeks, FPG > 13.3 mmol/l (240 mg/dl); from Week 12 through Week 18 for patients on maximal dose of glipizide/glipizide placebo for at least 2 weeks, FPG >12.2 mmol/l (220 mg/dl); from Week 18 through Week 30, FPG>11.1 mmol/l (220 mg/dl) and from

mmol/l (270 mg/dl). Other treatments for hyperglycaemia were prohibited during the study.

Week 30 toWeek 52, HbA1c > 8.0%.

impairment inconsistent with the use of metformin or

b estimated from graph; SD estimated from SE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> SD estimated from SE in graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> No patients

No of events

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>g</sup> mean duration of treatment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> estimated from percentages provided

Pre-randomisation phase: Patients who were already on metformin >=1500 mg/day and had an HbA1c >=6.5 and <=10% directly entered a 2-week placebo
run-in period and were eligible to be randomised. Patients not currently on an OHA, patients on an OHA other than metformin monotherapy at a dose >=1500 mg/day or patients on metformin in combination with another OHA entered a metformin monotherapy treatment titration and dose-stable period of at least 8 weeks. Patients with an HbA1c >=6.5 and <=10% after the metformin dose-stable period entered a 2-week

Previous glucoselowering therapy Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin

**Details of washout period:** Patients were put onto a metformin monotherapy run-in period before randomisation. Ar screening they were naïve, on monotherapy or on combination therapy

Lifestyle advice

Patients received counselling on exercise and a diet consistent with American Diabetes Association recommendations throughout the study

Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 114
Length of titration period (wks): 8
Length of maintenance period (wks): 104
Frequency of monitoring appointments: -

Arms

### (1) Metformin + sitagliptin

N: 588

Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0

single-blind placebo run-in period.

Comments: All on a metformin monotherapy run-in period before randomisation to sitagliptin or sulfonylurea

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 1500

Details of dosing regimen: Patients had a metformin monotherapy titration period before

randomisation

(b) Sitagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):100

Frequency of dosing: once a day

#### (2) Metformin + glipizide

N: 584

Treatment duration (wks): -

Washout period (d): 0

Comments: All on a metformin monotherapy run-in period before randomisation to sitagliptin or sulfonylurea

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 1500

Details of dosing regimen: Patients had a metformin monotherapy titration period before

randomisation

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Mean dose (mg/d): 9.2 Maximum dose (mg/d): 20

Participants achieving full dose (n): 93

Details of dosing regimen: After the starting dose of 5 mg/day, glipizide was uptitrated according to protocol-specified criteria to a potential maximum dose of 20 mg/day. In 3-week intervals during the first 18 weeks of treatment, glipizide was uptitrated if premeal fingerstick glucose values were >6.1 mmol/l (110 mg/dl). At the investigator's discretion, uptitration of glipizide was withheld if the investigator considered that uptitration would place the patient at risk for hypoglycaemia. At any time during the study, glipizide could be downtitrated to prevent recurrent hypoglycaemic events.

At the end of 2 years, approximately 16% of the PP population received the max dose of 20 mg/day

Outcomes

#### General

The primary efficacy analysis assessed whether the study treatments were non-inferior with regard to the HbA1c change from baseline at Week 52 using a per-protocol (PP) approach. The PP population consists of patients who completed all 52 weeks of treatment and did not have any reasons for exclusion from this population, including no baseline data, no treatment data at Week 52 or major protocol violations. Additional efficacy analyses were based on the all patients—treated (APT) population that consisted of all randomized patients who received at least one dose of study treatment and who had both a baseline and at least one post-baseline measurement; missing values in the APT analysis were handled by the last observation carried forward approach.

After 52 weeks 202/588 (34%) patients in the sitagliptin group and 172/584 (29.5%) in the glipizide group discontinued the study. After 104 weeks 129/588 (21.3%) in the sitagliptin group and 137/584 (23.5%) in the glipizide group discontinued the study.

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include several measures of insulin resistance

2 year data from the extension trial (Seck 2010) are also reported in this evidence table and pre-specified analyses relating to hypoglycaemia reported in Krobot (1012) are also reported here.

#### Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (An event that required medical assistance) confirmed hypoglycaemia (BG<3.9 mmol/l)

# Baseline characteristics

		Met	formi	n + sitagliptin	Me	tform	nin + glipizide		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	588		56.8 (SD 9.3)	584		56.6 (SD 9.8)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	588	336	(57.1%)	584	358	(61.3%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	588		6.5 (SD 6.1)	584		6.2 (SD 5.4)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	588		31.2 (SD 5)	584		31.3 (SD 5.2)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	588		89.5 (SD 17.4)	584		89.7 (SD 17.5)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:									
Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	588	25	(4.3%)	584	28	(4.8%)		
any monotherapy (1 previous OAD)	Dichotomous	588	386	(65.6%)	584	397	(68.0%)		
any dual therapy (2 previous OAD)	Dichotomous	588	177	(30.1%)	584	159	(27.2%)		
PP									
Demographics: Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	382		5.8 (SD 5.7)	411		5.7 (SD 4.9)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	382		7.3 (SD 0.6)	411		7.3 (SD 0.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	382		8.75 (SD 1.87)	411		8.84 (SD 2.14)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	382		30.9 (SD 4.8)	411		31.3 (SD 5)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	382		87.21216 (SD 13.5)	411		88.34112 (SD 14.1)		
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Continuous	382		88.5 (SD 16.8)	411		90.3 (SD 16.5)		
PP (2 year) Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 6wk	Continuous	248		7.3 (SD 0.64)	256		7.31 (SD 0.74)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Metfo	rmin +	sitagliptin	Metf	ormin	+ glipizide		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	588		-1.1 (SD 1.7)	584		0.9 (SD 1.69)		
Weight (kg) – 24wka	Mean change	588		-1.3 (SD 3.64)	584		1.2 (SD 3.62)		
Weight (kg) – 52wkb	Mean change	588		-1.5 (SD 6.8)	584		1.1 (SD 6.78)		
Weight (kg) – 104wk	Mean change	576		-1.6 (SD 8.57)	559		0.7 (SD 8.44)		
Waist circumference (cms) – 52wk	Mean change	588		-1.4 (SD 5.8)	584		0.7 (SD 6)		

Hypoglycaemic events:									
All hypoglycaemic events (no									
events) – 52wkc	Count	177268	50		181272	657			
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 104wkc	Count	306852	57		312676	805			
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 52wk	Dichotomous	588	29	(4.9%)	584	187	(32.0%)		
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 104wk	Dichotomous	588	31	(5.3%)	584	199	(34.1%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wk	Dichotomous	588	2d	(0.3%)	584	7	(1.2%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wk	Dichotomous	588	1	(0.2%)	584	7	(1.2%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wk	Count	588			584			HR=0.080 (CI: 54.885, 0.000)	<0.00
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wk	Dichotomous	588	1	(0.2%)	584	22d	(3.8%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wkd	Dichotomous	588	2	(0.3%)	584	22	(3.8%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 104wk	Dichotomous	588	1	(0.2%)	584	9	(1.5%)		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wkd	Dichotomous	588	31	(5.3%)	584	448	(76.7%)		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Count	588			584			HR=0.050 (CI: 399.655, 0.000)	<0.00
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wke	Dichotomous	43	31	(72.1%)	598	435	(72.7%)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 104wk	Dichotomous	49	35f	(71.4%)	726	242g	(33.3%)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 104wkf	Dichotomous	49	35	(71.4%)	726	554	(76.3%)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 104wkg	Dichotomous	49	14	(28.6%)	726	242	(33.3%)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 104wkh	Dichotomous	49	4	(8.2%)	726	61	(8.4%)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 104wk	Dichotomous	49	4h	(8.2%)	726	554f	(76.3%)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 104wk	Dichotomous	49	35f	(71.4%)	726	61h	(8.4%)		

confirmed hypoglycaemia – 104wk	Dichotomous	49	14g	(28.6%)	726	61h	(8.4%)
confirmed hypoglycaemia –							
104wk confirmed	Dichotomous	49	14g	(28.6%)	726	554f	(76.3%)
hypoglycaemia – 104wk	Dichotomous	49	4h	(8.2%)	726	242g	(33.3%)
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 52wk	Dichotomous	588	15	(2.6%)	584	16	(2.7%)
Any adverse event(s) – 52wk	Dichotomous	588	419	(71.3%)	584	444	(76.0%)
Any adverse event(s) – 104wk	Dichotomous	588	452	(76.9%)		480	(82.2%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 52wk	Dichotomous	588	43	(7.3%)	584	44	(7.5%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 104wk	Dichotomous	588	64	(10.9%)	584	73	(12.5%)
Study drug- related adverse event – 52wk	Dichotomous	588	85	(14.5%)	584	177	(30.3%)
Chest pain – 104wk	Dichotomous	588	11	(1.9%)	584	4	(0.7%)
Cough – 104wk	Dichotomous	588	23	(3.9%)	584	32	(5.5%)
Death – 52wk	Dichotomous	588	1	(0.2%)	584	2	(0.3%)
Death – 104wk	Dichotomous	588	1	(0.2%)	584	8	(1.4%)
Dizziness – 104wk	Dichotomous	588	26	(4.4%)	584	19	(3.3%)
Dyspepsia – 104wk	Dichotomous	588	11	(1.9%)	584	20	(3.4%)
Edema peripheral – 104wk	Diahatamaya	588	13	(2.2%)	E94	22	(2.99/)
	Dichotomous Dichotomous			,	584		(3.8%)
Fatigue – 104wk GI: diarrhoea – 52wk	Dichotomous		34	(3.1%)	584	32	(1.9%)
GI: vomiting – 52wk	Dichotomous	588	5		584	9	
GI: abdominal pain – 52wk	Dichotomous		16	(0.9%)	584	12	(2.1%)
Hypoesthesia –	Dichotomous		1	(0.2%)	584	10	(1.7%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 104wk	Dichotomous	588	73	(12.4%)		79	(13.5%)
liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 52wk	Mean change	588		-1.3 (SD 11.9)	584		0.9 (SD 8.2)
Liver enzymes: AST (U/I) – 52wk	Mean change	588		-0.4 (SD 6.1)	584		0.7 (SD 6.3)
Nasopharyngitis – 104wk	Dichotomous	588	71	(12.1%)	584	61	(10.4%)
Osteoarthritis – 104wk	Dichotomous	588	18	(3.1%)	584	8	(1.4%)
Pain (extremity) – 104wk	Dichotomous	588	21	(3.6%)	584	9	(1.5%)

Sinusitis or sinus abnormality –	B. 1	500	0.5	(4.424)	<b>50</b>	1.5	(0.45)		
104wk Skin reaction –	Dichotomous		26	(4.4%)	584	18	(3.1%)		
104wk	Dichotomous	588	9	(1.5%)	584	3	(0.5%)		
UTI – 104wk	Dichotomous	588	44	(7.5%)	584	25	(4.3%)		
Study drug exposure – 52wki	Continuous	588		297.1	584		287.5		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous	588	200	(34.0%)	584	172	(29.5%)		
Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous	588	333	(56.6%)	584	320	(54.8%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 52wkj	Dichotomous	588	24	(4.1%)	584	29	(5.0%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	588	32	(5.4%)	584	38j	(6.5%)		
drop out due to SAE – 52wkj	Dichotomous	588	6	(1.0%)	584	7	(1.2%)		
drop out due to SAE – 104wkj	Dichotomous	588	11	(1.9%)	584	15	(2.6%)		
Men Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wkd	Dichotomous	336	1	(0.3%)	358	5	(1.4%)		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Count	336			358			HR=0.060 (CI: 123.270, 0.000)	<0.001
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wkd	Dichotomous	336	14	(4.2%)	358	206	(57.5%)		
Women Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wkd	Dichotomous	252	1	(0.4%)	226	17	(7.5%)		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wk	Count	252			226			HR=0.040 (CI: 319.724, 0.000)	<0.001
symptomatic (confirmed) – 52wkd	Dichotomous	252	17	(6.7%)	226	242	(107.1%)		
PP Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	382		6.73 (SD 0.195)	411		6.62 (SD 0.203)		
HbA1c (%) – 30wka	Continuous	382		6.6 (SD 0.195)	411		6.55 (SD 0.203)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wkb	Mean change	588		-0.67 (SD 0.798)	584		-0.67 (SD 0.827)	MD=- 0.001 (CI: -0.090, 0.088)	
HbA1c (%) – 52wkb	Mean change	382		-0.67 (SD 0.798)	411		-0.67 (SD 0.827)	MD=- 0.001 (CI: -0.090, 0.088)	
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	382		6.84 (SD 0.66)	411		6.86 (SD 0.69)		

HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 52wk	Dichotomous	588	240	(62.8%)	584	242	(58.9%)	MD=3.900 (CI: - 2.800, 10.600)	k
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 52wk	Dichotomous		240	(62.8%)		242	(58.9%)	MD=3.900 (CI: - 2.800, 10.600)	k
Hba1c <6.5% –	Dichotomous	588	111	(29.1%)		119	(29.0%)	MD=- 0.100 (CI: -6.400, 6.200)	k
JZWKI	Dictiotomous	300		(23.170)	304	113	(23.070)	MD=-	K
Hba1c <6.5% – 52wkl	Dichotomous	382	111	(29.1%)	411	119	(29.0%)	0.100 (CI: -6.400, 6.200)	k
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Continuous	382		7.55 (SD 0.977)	411		7.45 (SD 1.01)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 30wka	Continuous	382		7.5 (SD 0.977)	411		7.5 (SD 1.01)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	382		-0.56 (SD 2.49)	407		-0.42 (SD 2.57)	MD=- 0.140 (CI: -0.380, 0.100)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	382		8.04 (SD 1.84)	407		8.22 (SD 2.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	588		-0.56 (SD 2.49)	584		-0.42 (SD 2.57)	MD=- 0.140 (CI: -0.380, 0.100)	
Randomised set/treated set									
Blood glucose: HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 52wk	Dichotomous	588	300m	(52.1%)	584	2851	(51.0%)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 52wk	Dichotomous			(52.1%)		2851	(51.0%)		
Hba1c <6.5% – 52wk	Dichotomous	576	138m	(24.0%)	559	1401	(25.0%)		
Hba1c <6.5% – 52wk	Dichotomous	588	138m	(24.0%)	584	1401	(25.0%)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	588			584			MD=- 2.500 (CI: -3.100, - 1.900)	
Weight (kg) – 104wk	Continuous	588			584			MD=- 2.300 (CI: -3.000, - 1.600)	
Baseline Hba1c									
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wkn	Mean change	112		-0.26 (SD 0.212)	117		-0.14 (SD 0.541)		
baseline Hba1c >=7% to <8% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wkn	Mean change	167		-0.53 (SD 0.388)	179		-0.59 (SD 0.535)		
baseline Hba1c >=8 to <9%	3 -			,			-,		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wkn	Mean change	82		-1.13 (SD 0.634)	82		-1.11 (SD 0.724)		

baseline Hba1c >=9%									
Blood glucose:				-1.68			-1.76		
HbA1c (%) –	Mean			(SD			(SD		
52wko	change	21		0.733)	33		0.747)		
111 A 4 (0()							-1.76		
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	21		-0.94p	33		(SD 0.747) o		
OZWK	onango			-1.68	00		0.1 11 / 0		
				(SD					
HbA1c (%) –	Mean	04		0.733)	20		4.04=		
52wk	change	21		0	33		-1.31p		
HbA1c (%) – 52wkp	Mean change	21		-0.94	33		-1.31		
Age >=65 years	3								
Hypoglycaemic									
events:									
Major/severe hypoglycaemic									
event – 52wkd	Dichotomous	120	0	(0.0%)	123	3	(2.4%)		
								HR=0.020	
symptomatic								(CI:	
(confirmed) – 52wk	Count	120			123			621.947, 0.000)	<0.001
symptomatic	Count	120			120			0.000)	<b>\0.001</b>
(confirmed) –									
52wkd	Dichotomous	120	4	(3.3%)	123	132	(107.3%)		
Age <65 years									
Hypoglycaemic events:									
Major/severe									
hypoglycaemic									
event – 52wkd	Dichotomous	468	2	(0.4%)	461	19	(4.1%)		
symptomatic (confirmed) –									
52wkd	Dichotomous	468	27	(5.8%)	461	316	(68.5%)		
				,			,	HR=0.060	
symptomatic								(CI:	
(confirmed) – 52wk	Count	468			461			216.638, 0.000)	<0.001
PP (2 year)	Count	100			101			,	40.001
Blood glucose:				-0.54			-0.51	MD=- 0.030 (CI:	
HbA1c (%) –	Mean			(SD			(SD	-0.130,	
104wkb	change	588		0.763)	584		0.735)	0.070)	
				0.54			0.54	MD=-	
HbA1c (%) –	Mean			-0.54 (SD			-0.51 (SD	0.030 (CI: -0.130,	
104wkb	change	248		0.763)	256		0.735)	0.070)	
				6.77					
HbA1c (%) – 104wk	Continuous	249		(SD	256		6.8 (SD		
104wk HbA1c < 7% or	Continuous	248		0.58)	256		0.59)		
<=7% – 104wk	Dichotomous	248	157	(63.3%)	256	151	(59.0%)		
Hba1c <6.5% -				,			,		
104wk	Dichotomous	248			256				
Factor of								MD=-	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)								0.100 (CI: -0.400,	
– 104wk	Continuous	588			584			0.200)	
Fasting plasma				-1.1					
glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Mean	240		(SD	256		-1 (SD		
	change	248		2.41)	256		2.45)		
APT (2 year) Blood glucose:									
HbA1c < 7% or									
<=7% – 104wk	Dichotomous	576	242	(42.0%)	559	218	(39.0%)		
<sup>a</sup> estimated from grap	oh								

<sup>b</sup> SD estimated from 95% CI  $^{\it c}$  (Used in the analysis); reviewer estimated patient days <sup>d</sup> numerator is total number of events <sup>e</sup> denominator is number of episodes with BG levels obtained <sup>f</sup> BG <3.9 mmol/l; denominator is number of episodes with BG levels obtained <sup>g</sup> BG <3.3 mmol/l; denominator is number of episodes with BG levels obtained <sup>h</sup> BG <2.8 mmol/l; denominator is number of episodes with BG levels obtained days Inconsistencies in reported data in trial flow diagram and safety table. Data derived from safety table difference in proportion approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) m approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) PP; SD estimated from graph ° PP <sup>p</sup> No SDs reported ANCOVA was used to compare the treatment groups for efficacy endpoints, focusing on change from baseline at Week 52, with baseline values and prior OHA status as covariates. The proportion of patients achieving an HbA1c < 7 or <6.5% was compared between treatments using a logistic regression analysis. Subgroup analyses for the primary efficacy endpoint. P-values for adverse events were not reported. For hypoglycaemia Log-log regression was used to assess the absolute and relative risk og hypoglycaemic events on a given day in relation to patient factors. Covariates that varied within patient were the most recent Hba1c value and time (days since randomisation). Absolute risks were estimated using population averaged generalised estimating equations (GEE). All analyses were clustered on patient.

# Table 24: Nauck et al. (2009)

Tubic 24. Ita	duck et al. (2009)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy  ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: 21 countries participated.  Authors' conclusions: In subjects with type 2 diabetes, once-daily liraglutide induced similar glycemic control, reduced body weight, and lowered the occurrence of hypoglycemia compared with glimepiride, when both had background therapy of metformin.  Source of funding: Novo Nordisk  Comments: Double-blind
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 1091 Inclusion criteria: People with type 2 diabetes, aged 18 to 80 years, HbA1c between 7 and 11% (monotherapy) or 7 and 10% (combination therapy), BMI <=40kg/m2 Exclusion criteria: Used insulin within 3 months (except short term treatment) Pre-randomisation phase: Randomisation occurred after a 3 week forced metformin titration period (dose inclreased up to 2000mg/day- 100mg morning, 1000mg evening). This was followed by a 3 week metformin maintenance period. People already on metformin at baseline could go through a modified titration period or adviance straight to the maintenance period.
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Not provided- unclear if baseline drugs were stopped (except metformin) but have assumed they were. On monotherapy or combination therapy at screening
Lifestyle advice	Not stated
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 26 Length of titration period (wks): 3 Length of maintenance period (wks): 23 Frequency of monitoring appointments: 8, 12, 18, 26
Arms	(1) Metformin + Liraglutide 0.6 + placebo N: 242 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): - Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):2000

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: 1000 mg given twice a day

Metformin could be decreased to a minimum of 1500mg/day in the case of unacceptable hypoglycaemia or other adverse events, but had to be maintained between 1500 and 200mg/day during the maintenace period.

(b) Liraglutide (Subcutaneous) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):0.6

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Injected once daily at any time of the day in the upper arm, abdomen or thigh. Subjects were encouraged to inject at the same time each day

#### (2) Metformin + liraglutide 1.2mg + placebo

N: 241

Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): -

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):2000

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: 1000 mg given twice a day

Metformin could be decreased to a minimum of 1500mg/day in the case of unacceptable hypoglycaemia or other adverse events, but had to be maintained between 1500 and

200mg/day during the maintenace period. (b) Liraglutide (Subcutaneous) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):1.2

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Participants were titrated up to 1.2 in increments of 0.6mg each

week

Injected once daily at any time of the day in the upper arm, abdomen or thigh. Subjects

were encouraged to inject at the same time each day

#### (3) Metformin + liraglutide 1.8mg + placebo

N: 242

Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): -

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):2000

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: 1000 mg given twice a day

Metformin could be decreased to a minimum of 1500mg/day in the case of unacceptable hypoglycaemia or other adverse events, but had to be maintained between 1500 and 200mg/day during the maintenace period.

(b) Liraglutide (Subcutaneous) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):1.8

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Participants were titrated up to 1.8 in increments of 0.6mg each

week

Injected once daily at any time of the day in the upper arm, abdomen or thigh. Subjects were encouraged to inject at the same time each day

#### (4) Metformin + glimepiride + placebo

N: 244

Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): -

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):2000

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: 1000 mg given twice a day

Metformin could be decreased to a minimum of 1500mg/day in the case of unacceptable hypoglycaemia or other adverse events, but had to be maintained between 1500 and

200mg/day during the maintenace period. (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):4

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Titrated up to 4mg with 1, 2 3 and 4 mg doses at weeks 1, 2

and 3.

Taken once daily in the morning.

### **Outcomes**

### General

The analysis of efficacy end points were based on ITT- defined as subjects who were exposed to at least one dose of trial product and had one postbaseline measurement of the parameter.

Each end point was analysed using ANCOVA eith treatment, country and previous antidiabetic treatment as

Missing data were imputed as the last observation carried forward.

5 arms are available but only 4 are extracted, since one of the arms is monotherapy (Metformin + liraglutide placebo + glimepiride placebo).

		Metformin + Liraglutide 0.6 + placebo			Ме		nin + liraglutide ng + placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years) – 0wk	Continuous	242		56 (SD 11)	241		57 (SD 9)		
Sex (n male) – 0wk	Dichotomous	242	150	(62.0%)	241	130	(53.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs) – 0wk	Continuous	242		7 (SD 5)	241		7 (SD 5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	242		8.4 (SD 0.9)	241		8.3 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	242		10.2 (SD 2.4)	241		9.9 (SD 2.3)		
Body weight:									
BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	242		30.5 (SD 4.8)	241		31.1 (SD 4.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	242		86.0832 (SD 13.5)	241		87.77664 (SD 13.5)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	242		131 (SD 14)	241		132 (SD 14)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	242		80 (SD 8)	241		80 (SD 10)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:									
Metformin – 0wk	Dichotomous	242	70	(28.9%)	241	78	(32.4%)		
Sulfonylurea – 0wk	Dichotomous	242	9	(3.7%)	241	12	(5.0%)		
Combination therapy – 0wk	Dichotomous	242	161	(66.5%)	241	150	(62.2%)		
Repaglinide – 0wk	Dichotomous	242	2	(0.8%)	241	1	(0.4%)		
Baseline montherapy Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	81		8.3 (SD 0.9)	91		8.3 (SD 1.19)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	81		7.15 (SD 0.9)	91		7.055 (SD 0.873)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	81		7.15 (SD 0.9)	91		8.3 (SD 1.19)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	81		8.3 (SD 0.9)	91		7.055 (SD 0.873)		
Baseline combination therapy									
Blood glucose:	Continuous	161		7 05 (SD 4 46)	150		7 FE (SD 4 99)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	161		7.95 (SD 1.16)	150 150		7.55 (SD 1.22)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	161		7.95 (SD 1.16)	150		8.3 (SD 1.22) 7.55 (SD 1.22)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb				8.45 (SD 1.27)			, ,		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	161		8.45 (SD 1.27)	150		8.3 (SD 1.22)		

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m
 <sup>b</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported 2xSEM

		Met		in + Liraglutide + placebo	Metformin + liraglutide 1.8mg + placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years) – 0wk	Continuous	242		56 (SD 11)	242		57 (SD 9)		
Sex (n male) – 0wk	Dichotomous	242	150	(62.0%)	242	143	(59.1%)		

Duration of diabetes (yrs) – 0wk	Continuous	242		7 (SD 5)	242		8 (SD 5)
Blood glucose:	0	0.40		0.4 (00.00)	0.40		0.4 (00.4)
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	242		8.4 (SD 0.9)	242		8.4 (SD 1)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	242		10.2 (SD 2.4)	242		10.1 (SD 2.3)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	242		30.5 (SD 4.8)	242		30.9 (SD 4.6)
Divir (kg/m²) – owk	Continuous	242		, ,	242		, ,
Weight (kg) - 0wka	Continuous	242		86.0832 (SD 13.5)	242		87.21216 (SD 13)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	242		131 (SD 14)	242		131 (SD 14)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	242		80 (SD 8)	242		79 (SD 8)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Metformin – 0wk	Dichotomous	242	70	(28.9%)	242	72	(29.8%)
Sulfonylurea – 0wk	Dichotomous		9	(3.7%)	242		(4.5%)
				,			` '
Combination therapy – 0wk	Dichotomous			(,		159	(65.7%)
Repaglinide – 0wk	Dichotomous	242	2	(0.8%)	242	0	(0.0%)
Baseline montherapy							
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	81		8.3 (SD 0.9)	83		8.25 (SD 1.14)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	81		7.15 (SD 0.9)	83		6.905 (SD 1.14)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	81		7.15 (SD 0.9)	83		8.25 (SD 1.14)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	81		8.3 (SD 0.9)	83		6.905 (SD 1.14)
Baseline combination therapy							
Blood glucose:							
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	161		7.95 (SD 1.16)	159		7.65 (SD 1.26)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	161		7.95 (SD 1.16)	159		8.405 (SD 1.26)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	161		8.45 (SD 1.27)	159		7.65 (SD 1.26)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	161		8.45 (SD 1.27)	159		8.405 (SD 1.26)
a - Character of Consens DNAL	and the second s						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m
<sup>b</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported 2xSEM

		Metformin + Liraglutide 0.6 + placebo			Metformin + glimepiride + placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years) – 0wk	Continuous	242		56 (SD 11)	244		57 (SD 9)		
Sex (n male) – 0wk	Dichotomous	242	150	(62.0%)	244	139	(57.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs) – 0wk	Continuous	242		7 (SD 5)	244		8 (SD 5)		
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	242		8.4 (SD 0.9)	244		8.4 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	242		10.2 (SD 2.4)	244		10 (SD 2.6)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	242		30.5 (SD 4.8)	244		31.2 (SD 4.6)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	242		86.0832 (SD 13.5)	244		88.05888 (SD 13)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	242		131 (SD 14)	244		132 (SD 16)		

B: 4 P 11 1							
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	242		80 (SD 8)	244		80 (SD 8)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Metformin – 0wk	Dichotomous	242	70	(28.9%)	244	82	(33.6%)
Sulfonylurea – 0wk	Dichotomous	242	9	(3.7%)	244	7	(2.9%)
Combination therapy – 0wk	Dichotomous	242	161	(66.5%)	244	155	(63.5%)
Repaglinide – 0wk	Dichotomous	242	2	(0.8%)	244	0	(0.0%)
Baseline montherapy Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	81		8.3 (SD 0.9)	89		8.15 (SD 0.943)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	81		7.15 (SD 0.9)	89		6.9 (SD 1.18)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	81		7.15 (SD 0.9)	89		8.15 (SD 0.943)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	81		8.3 (SD 0.9)	89		6.9 (SD 1.18)
Baseline combination therapy Blood glucose:	Continuous	164		7.05 (80.4.40)	155		7.7 (CD 4.05)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	161		7.95 (SD 1.16)	155		7.7 (SD 1.25)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	161		7.95 (SD 1.16)	155		8.55 (SD 1.25)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	161		8.45 (SD 1.27)	155		7.7 (SD 1.25)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	161		8.45 (SD 1.27)	155		8.55 (SD 1.25)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m <sup>b</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported 2xSEM

		Metformin + liraglutide 1.2mg + placebo			Metformin + liraglutide 1.8mg + placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years) – 0wk	Continuous	241		57 (SD 9)	242		57 (SD 9)		
Sex (n male) – 0wk	Dichotomous	241	130	(53.9%)	242	143	(59.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)  – 0wk	Continuous	241		7 (SD 5)	242		8 (SD 5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	241		8.3 (SD 1)	242		8.4 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	241		9.9 (SD 2.3)	242		10.1 (SD 2.3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	241		31.1 (SD 4.8)	242		30.9 (SD 4.6)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	241		87.77664 (SD 13.5)	242		87.21216 (SD 13)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	241		132 (SD 14)	242		131 (SD 14)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	241		80 (SD 10)	242		79 (SD 8)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Metformin – 0wk	Dichotomous	241	78	(32.4%)	242	72	(29.8%)		
Sulfonylurea – 0wk	Dichotomous	241	12	(5.0%)	242	11	(4.5%)		
Combination therapy – 0wk	Dichotomous	241	150	(62.2%)	242	159	(65.7%)		
Repaglinide – 0wk	Dichotomous	241	1	(0.4%)	242	0	(0.0%)		
Baseline montherapy Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	91		8.3 (SD 1.19)	83		8.25 (SD 1.14)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	91		7.055 (SD 0.873)	83		6.905 (SD 1.14)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	91		7.055 (SD 0.873)	83		8.25 (SD 1.14)		

HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	91	8.3 (SD 1.19)	83	6.905 (SD 1.14)
Baseline combination therapy					
Blood glucose:					
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	150	7.55 (SD 1.22)	159	7.65 (SD 1.26)
					8.405 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	150	7.55 (SD 1.22)	159	1.26)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	150	8.3 (SD 1.22)	159	7.65 (SD 1.26)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	150	8.3 (SD 1.22)	159	8.405 (SD 1.26)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m <sup>b</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported 2xSEM

		Metformin + liraglutide 1.2mg + placebo			Metformin + glimepiride + placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years) – 0wk	Continuous	241		57 (SD 9)	244		57 (SD 9)		
Sex (n male) – 0wk	Dichotomous	241	130	(53.9%)	244	139	(57.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs) – 0wk	Continuous	241		7 (SD 5)	244		8 (SD 5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	241		8.3 (SD 1)	244		8.4 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	241		9.9 (SD 2.3)	244		10 (SD 2.6)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	241		31.1 (SD 4.8)	244		31.2 (SD 4.6)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	241		87.77664 (SD 13.5)	244		88.05888 (SD 13)		
Blood pressure:									
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	241		132 (SD 14)	244		132 (SD 16)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	241		80 (SD 10)	244		80 (SD 8)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:									
Metformin – 0wk	Dichotomous	241	78	(32.4%)	244	82	(33.6%)		
Sulfonylurea – 0wk	Dichotomous	241	12	(5.0%)	244	7	(2.9%)		
Combination therapy – 0wk	Dichotomous	241	150	(62.2%)	244	155	(63.5%)		
Repaglinide – 0wk	Dichotomous	241	1	(0.4%)	244	0	(0.0%)		
Baseline montherapy Blood glucose:							8.15 (SD		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	91		8.3 (SD 1.19)	89		0.943)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	91		7.055 (SD 0.873)	89		6.9 (SD 1.18)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	91		7.055 (SD 0.873)	89		8.15 (SD 0.943)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	91		8.3 (SD 1.19)	89		6.9 (SD 1.18)		
Baseline combination therapy									
Blood glucose:	0 1	450		7.55 (00.4.00)	455		7.7 (00.4.05)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	150		7.55 (SD 1.22)	155		7.7 (SD 1.25)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	150		7.55 (SD 1.22)	155		8.55 (SD 1.25)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	150		8.3 (SD 1.22)	155		7.7 (SD 1.25)		
							8.55 (SD		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb  a estimated from BMI assuming	Continuous mean height of	150 of 1.68	3m	8.3 (SD 1.22)	155		1.25)		

b Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported 2xSEM

				in + liraglutide g + placebo	Met		n + glimepiride placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years) – 0wk	Continuous	242		57 (SD 9)	244		57 (SD 9)		
Sex (n male) – 0wk	Dichotomous	242	143	(59.1%)	244	139	(57.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs) – 0wk	Continuous	242		8 (SD 5)	244		8 (SD 5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	242		8.4 (SD 1)	244		8.4 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	242		10.1 (SD 2.3)	244		10 (SD 2.6)		
Body weight:									
BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	242		30.9 (SD 4.6)	244		31.2 (SD 4.6)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	242		87.21216 (SD 13)	244		88.05888 (SD 13)		
Blood pressure:									
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	242		131 (SD 14)	244		132 (SD 16)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	242		79 (SD 8)	244		80 (SD 8)		
Previous blood glucose									
lowering drugs:  Metformin – 0wk	Dichotomous	2/12	72	(29.8%)	244	82	(33.6%)		
Sulfonylurea – 0wk	Dichotomous			(4.5%)	244		(2.9%)		
Combination therapy – 0wk	Dichotomous			(65.7%)		155	(63.5%)		
Repaglinide – 0wk	Dichotomous			(0.0%)	244		(0.0%)		
Baseline montherapy	Dichotomous	242	U	(0.070)	244	U	(0.078)		
Blood glucose:							8.15 (SD		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	83		8.25 (SD 1.14)	89		0.943)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	83		6.905 (SD 1.14)	89		6.9 (SD 1.18)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	83		6.905 (SD 1.14)	89		8.15 (SD 0.943)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	83		8.25 (SD 1.14)	89		6.9 (SD 1.18)		
Baseline combination therapy									
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	159		7.65 (SD 1.26)	155		7.7 (SD 1.25)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	159		7.65 (SD 1.26)	155		8.55 (SD 1.25)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	159		8.405 (SD 1.26)	155		7.7 (SD 1.25)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	159		8.405 (SD 1.26)	155		8.55 (SD 1.25)		

#### Results

			ragi	formin + utide 0.6 + acebo	Metformin + liraglutide 1.2mg + placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	242		7.6 (SD 1.26)	241		7.3 (SD 0.776)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	242		-0.7 (SD 1.56)	241		-1 (SD 1.55)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	242		7.75 (SD 1.26)	241		7.6 (SD 1.55)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m <sup>b</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported 2xSEM

Continuous	242		7.85 (SD 1.56)	241		7.6 (SD 1.16)
Mean change	242		-0.4 (SD 1.56)	241		-0.6 (SD 1.55)
Continuous	242		8.07 (SD 1.56)	241		7.8 (SD 1.55)
Dichotomous	242	68	(28.1%)	241	85	(35.3%)
Dichotomous	242	27	(11.2%)	241	48	(19.9%)
Continuous	242		8.8 (SD 3.11) a	241		8 (SD 3.1) c
Continuous	242		9.1 (SD 2.5)	241		8.5 (SD 2.6)
Continuous	242		8.9 (SD 3.11) a	241		8.5 (SD 3.1) c
Continuous	242		9.4 (SD 2.7)	241		8.9 (SD 2.8)
Dichotomous	242	32	(13.2%)	241	56	(23.2%)
			86.9 (SD			87 (SD
Continuous	242		15.6)	241		15.5)
Mean change	242		-1.8 (SD 3.11)	241		-2.6 (SD 3.1)
Continuous	242		86 (SD 15.6)	241		86.7 (SD 15.5)
Continuous	242		85.75 (SD 15.6)	241		86 (SD 15.5)
Continuous	242		86 (SD 15.6)	241		86 (SD 15.5)
Mean change	242		-2.1 (SD 4.67)	241		-3 (SD 5.43)
Dichotomous	242	30	(12.4%)	241	42	(17.4%)
Dichotomous	242	10	(4.1%)	241	13	(5.4%)
Dichotomous	242	8	(3.3%)	241	6	(2.5%)
Dichotomous	242	12	(5.0%)	241	6	(2.5%)
Dichotomous	242	31	,		27	(11.2%)
		19	,		18	(7.5%)
			(4.5%)	241		(3.3%)
Dichotomous	242	26	(10.7%)	241	34	(14.1%)
Dichotomous	242	11	(4.5%)	241	13	(5.4%)
Dichotomous	242	11	(4.5%)	241	15	(6.2%)
Dichotomous	242	28	(11.6%)	241	42	(17.4%)
			(21.9%)			(24.5%)
			(17.8%)	241	36	(14.9%)
			(18.6%)	241	59	(24.5%)
Dichotomous	242	13	(5.4%)	241	9	(3.7%)
Dichotomous	242	34	(14.0%)	241	44	(18.3%)
Dichotomous	242	111	(45.9%)	241	104	(43.2%)
Dichotomous	242	11	(4.5%)	241	23	(9.5%)
Dichotomous	242	19	(7.9%)	241	8	(3.3%)
Mean change	242		0.2 (SD 14)	241		-2.5 (SD 14)
Mean			0.4 (SD			-0.8 (SD
	Mean change  Continuous Dichotomous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Dichotomous Dichotomous Continuous Mean change Continuous Mean change Dichotomous	Mean change 242  Continuous 242  Dichotomous 242  Continuous 242  Dichotomous 242	Mean change 242  Continuous 242 68 Dichotomous 242 27  Continuous 242  Continuous 242  Continuous 242  Continuous 242  Dichotomous 242  Dichotomous 242  Continuous 242  Mean change 242  Continuous 242  Continuous 242  Continuous 242  Mean change 242  Dichotomous 242  Dichotomous 242  Dichotomous 242  Dichotomous 242  Dichotomous 242 10 Dichotomous 242 12 Dichotomous 242 12 Dichotomous 242 11 Dichotomous 242 13 Dichotomous 242 11 Dichotomous 242 13 Dichotomous 242 11 Dichotomous 242 13 Dichotomous 242 11	Continuous         242         1.56)           Mean change         242         -0.4 (SD 1.56)           Continuous         242         8.07 (SD 1.56)           Dichotomous         242 68 (28.1%)           Dichotomous         242 27 (11.2%)           Continuous         242 3.11) a           Continuous         242 2.5)           Continuous         242 2.5)           Continuous         242 3.11) a           Continuous         242 3.2 (13.2%)           Continuous         242 3.2 (13.2%)           Continuous         242 3.2 (13.2%)           Continuous         242 3.2 (13.2%)           Continuous         242 3.11           Continuous         242 3.11           Continuous         242 3.15.6)	Continuous         242         1.56)         241           Mean change         242         -0.4 (SD 1.56)         241           Continuous         242         8.07 (SD 1.56)         241           Dichotomous         242         68         (28.1%)         241           Dichotomous         242         27         (11.2%)         241           Continuous         242         3.11) a         241           Dichotomous         242         3.11) a         241           Continuous         242         3.27)         241           Continuous         242         3.241         241           Continuous         242         3.11)         241           Continuous         242         3.15,6)         241           Continuous         242 <td>Continuous         242         1.56)         241           Mean change         242         -0.4 (SD 1.56)         241           Continuous         242         8.07 (SD 1.56)         241           Dichotomous         242 68         (28.1%)         241         85           Dichotomous         242 27 (11.2%)         241         48           Boichotomous         242 27 (11.2%)         241         48           Continuous         242 32 (13.2%)         241         241           Continuous         242 32 (13.2%)         241         241           Continuous         242 32 (13.2%)         241         56           Continuous         242 32 (13.6%)         241         42<!--</td--></td>	Continuous         242         1.56)         241           Mean change         242         -0.4 (SD 1.56)         241           Continuous         242         8.07 (SD 1.56)         241           Dichotomous         242 68         (28.1%)         241         85           Dichotomous         242 27 (11.2%)         241         48           Boichotomous         242 27 (11.2%)         241         48           Continuous         242 32 (13.2%)         241         241           Continuous         242 32 (13.2%)         241         241           Continuous         242 32 (13.2%)         241         56           Continuous         242 32 (13.6%)         241         42 </td

Baseline montherapy							
Blood glucose:				7.15 (SD			7.055 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	81		0.9)	91		0.873)
				8.3 (SD			8.3 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	81		0.9)	91		1.19)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	81		8.3 (SD 0.9)	91		7.055 (SD 0.873)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	81		7.15 (SD 0.9)	91		8.3 (SD 1.19)
HbA1c (%) – 26wkd	Continuous	81		7.35 (SD 1.12)	91		7.15 (SD 0.954)
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 26wk	Dichotomous	81	35	(43.2%)	91	48	(52.7%)
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 26wk	Dichotomous	81	17	(21.0%)	91	29	(31.9%)
Baseline combination therapy							
Blood glucose:				7.95 (SD			7.55 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	161		1.16)	150		1.22)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	161		8.45 (SD 1.27)	150		8.3 (SD 1.22)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	161		7.95 (SD 1.16)	150		8.3 (SD 1.22)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	161		8.45 (SD 1.27)	150		7.55 (SD 1.22)
				8.05 (SD			7.65 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 26wkd	Continuous	161		1.37)	150		1.33)
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 26wk	Dichotomous	161	33	(20.5%)	150	37	(24.7%)
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 26wk	Dichotomous	161	10	(6.2%)	150	10	(12.7%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported SE
<sup>b</sup> SD estimated from SE
<sup>c</sup> Graph
<sup>d</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported 2xSEM

			raglu	ormin + utide 0.6 + acebo	lira	ormin + de 1.8mg + acebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	242		7.6 (SD 1.26)	242		7.35 (SD 1.26)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	242		-0.7 (SD 1.56)	242		-1 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	242		7.75 (SD 1.26)	242		7.6 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wka	Continuous	242		7.85 (SD 1.56)	242		7.65 (SD 1.17)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wkb	Mean change	242		-0.4 (SD 1.56)	242		-0.6 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wka	Continuous	242		8.07 (SD 1.56)	242		7.82 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 26wk	Dichotomous	242	68	(28.1%)	242	103	(42.6%)		
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 26wk	Dichotomous	242	27	(11.2%)	242	60	(24.8%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	242		8.8 (SD 3.11) a	242		8.2 (SD 1.56) c		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	242		9.1 (SD 2.5)	242		8.5 (SD 2.4)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	242		8.9 (SD 3.11) a	242		8.6 (SD 3.11) c		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	242		9.4 (SD 2.7)	242		9 (SD 2.5)		
Composite end point (HbA1c <7, no hypo, no weight gain) – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	32	(13.2%)	242	62	(25.6%)		

Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wkc	Continuous	242		86.9 (SD 15.6)	242		85.5 (SD 15.6)
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	242		-1.8 (SD 3.11)	242		-2.8 (SD 3.11)
Weight (kg) – 26wkc	Continuous	242		86 (SD 15.6)	242		85 (SD 15.6)
Weight (kg) – 52wka	Continuous	242		85.75 (SD 15.6)	242		85 (SD 15.6)
Weight (kg) – 104wkc	Continuous	242		86 (SD 15.6)	242		85.5 (SD 15.6)
Weight (kg) – 104wkb	Mean change	242		-2.1 (SD 4.67)	242		-2.9 (SD 5.44)
Adverse events:							
GI: nausea – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	30	(12.4%)	242	52	(21.5%)
Bronchitis – 104wk	Dichotomous			(4.1%)	242		(5.0%)
Cough – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	8	(3.3%)	242	2	(0.8%)
Dyspepsia – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	12	(5.0%)	242	22	(9.1%)
GI: diarrhoea – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	31	(12.8%)	242	40	(16.5%)
GI: vomiting – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	19	(7.9%)	242	24	(9.9%)
GI: gastritis – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	11	(4.5%)	242	13	(5.4%)
Headache – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	26	(10.7%)	242	35	(14.5%)
Hypertension – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	11	(4.5%)	242	11	(4.5%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	11	(4.5%)	242	15	(6.2%)
metabolism and nutritional disorders – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	28	(11.6%)	242	34	(14.0%)
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	53	(21.9%)	242	57	(23.6%)
Nasopharyngitis – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	43	(17.8%)	242	36	(14.9%)
Nervous system disorders – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	45	(18.6%)	242	61	(25.2%)
renal or urinary disorder – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	13	(5.4%)	242	12	(5.0%)
Dropouts:							
Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous			(14.0%)	242	51	(21.1%)
Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous			(45.9%)		124	` '
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	242	11	(4.5%)	242	29	(12.0%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 26wk	Dichotomous	242	19	(7.9%)	242	13	(5.4%)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 104wk	Mean change	242		0.2 (SD 14)	242		-2 (SD 14)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 104wk	Mean change	242		0.4 (SD 9.33)	242		-0.5 (SD 9.33)
Baseline montherapy							
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	81		7.15 (SD 0.9)	83		6.905 (SD 1.14)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	81		8.3 (SD 0.9)	83		8.25 (SD 1.14)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	81		8.3 (SD 0.9)	83		6.905 (SD 1.14)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	81		7.15 (SD 0.9)	83		8.25 (SD 1.14)
HbA1c (%) – 26wkd	Continuous	81		7.35 (SD 1.12)	83		6.95 (SD 1.14)
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 26wk	Dichotomous	81	35	(43.2%)	83	55	(66.3%)
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 26wk	Dichotomous	81	17	(21.0%)	83	32	(38.6%)
Baseline combination therapy							
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	161		7.95 (SD 1.16)	159		7.65 (SD 1.26)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	161		8.45 (SD 1.27)	159		8.405 (SD 1.26)

HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	161		7.95 (SD 1.16)	159		8.405 (SD 1.26)	
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	161		8.45 (SD 1.27)	159		7.65 (SD 1.26)	
HbA1c (%) – 26wkd	Continuous	161		8.05 (SD 1.37)	159		7.75 (SD 1.26)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 26wk	Dichotomous	161	33	(20.5%)	159	48	(30.2%)	
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 26wk	Dichotomous	161	10	(6.2%)	159	28	(17.6%)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported SE
<sup>b</sup> SD estimated from SE
<sup>c</sup> Graph
<sup>d</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported 2xSEM

		Li	raglu	ormin + itide 0.6 + acebo		glime	ormin + piride + cebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	242		7.6 (SD 1.26)	244		7.4 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	242		-0.7 (SD 1.56)	244		0.1 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	242		7.75 (SD 1.26)	244		7.7 (SD 1.27)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wka	Continuous	242		7.85 (SD 1.56)	244		7.7 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wkb	Mean change	242		-0.4 (SD 1.56)	244		-0.5 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wka	Continuous	242		8.07 (SD 1.56)	244		7.9 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 26wk	Dichotomous	242	68	(28.1%)	244	89	(36.5%)		
HbA1c <= 6.5% – 26wk	Dichotomous	242	27	(11.2%)	244	54	(22.1%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	242		8.8 (SD 3.11) a	244		8.5 (SD 3.12) c		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	242		9.1 (SD 2.5)	244		8.9 (SD 2.5)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Continuous	242		8.9 (SD 3.11) a	244		8.9 (SD 3.12) c		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	242		9.4 (SD 2.7)	244		9.5 (SD 2.8)		
Composite end point (HbA1c <7, no hypo, no weight gain) – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	32	(13.2%)	244	16	(6.6%)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wkc	Continuous	242		86.9 (SD 15.6)	244		89.5 (SD 15.6)		
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	242		-1.8 (SD 3.11)	244		1 (SD 3.12)		
Weight (kg) – 26wkc	Continuous	242		86 (SD 15.6)	244		90 (SD 15.6)		
Weight (kg) – 52wka	Continuous	242		85.75 (SD 15.6)	244		90 (SD 15.6)		
Weight (kg) – 104wkc	Continuous	242		86 (SD 15.6)	244		90 (SD 15.6)		
Weight (kg) – 104wkb	Mean change	242		-2.1 (SD 4.67)	244		0.7 (SD 4.68)		
Adverse events:									
GI: nausea – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	30	(12.4%)	244	10	(4.1%)		
Bronchitis – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	10	(4.1%)	244	15	(6.1%)		
Cough – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	8	(3.3%)	244	13	(5.3%)		
Dyspepsia – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	12	(5.0%)	244	7	(2.9%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	31	(12.8%)	244	14	(5.7%)		
GI: vomiting – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	19	(7.9%)	244	1	(0.4%)		

GI: gastritis – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	11	(4.5%)	244	4	(1.6%)
Headache – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	26	(10.7%)	244	32	(13.1%)
Hypertension – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	11	(4.5%)	244	12	(4.9%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	11	(4.5%)	244	12	(4.9%)
metabolism and nutritional disorders  – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	28	(11.6%)	244	25	(10.2%)
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	53	(21.9%)	244	68	(27.9%)
Nasopharyngitis – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	43	(17.8%)	244	49	(20.1%)
Nervous system disorders – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	45	(18.6%)	244	54	(22.1%)
renal or urinary disorder – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	13	(5.4%)	244	14	(5.7%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	242	34	(14.0%)	244	34	(13.9%)
Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	111	(45.9%)	244	131	(53.7%)
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	242	11	(4.5%)	244	8	(3.3%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 26wk	Dichotomous	242	19	(7.9%)	244	9	(3.7%)
Blood pressure:							
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 104wk	Mean change	242		0.2 (SD 14)	244		0.3 (SD 14.1)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 104wk	Mean change	242		0.4 (SD 9.33)	244		-0 (SD 9.37)
Baseline montherapy							
Blood glucose:				7.15 (SD			6.9 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	81		0.9)	89		1.18)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	81		8.3 (SD 0.9)	89		8.15 (SD 0.943)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	81		8.3 (SD 0.9)	89		6.9 (SD 1.18)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	81		7.15 (SD 0.9)	89		8.15 (SD 0.943)
HbA1c (%) – 26wkd	Continuous	81		7.35 (SD 1.12)	89		7.05 (SD 0.943)
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 26wk	Dichotomous	81	35	(43.2%)	89	50	(56.2%)
HbA1c <= 6.5% – 26wk	Dichotomous	81	17	(21.0%)	89	32	(36.0%)
Baseline combination therapy							
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	161		7.95 (SD 1.16)	155		7.7 (SD 1.25)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	161		8.45 (SD 1.27)	155		8.55 (SD 1.25)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	161		7.95 (SD 1.16)	155		8.55 (SD 1.25)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	161		8.45 (SD 1.27)	155		7.7 (SD 1.25)
HbA1c (%) – 26wkd	Continuous	161		8.05 (SD 1.37)	155		7.8 (SD 1.25)
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 26wk	Dichotomous	161	33	(20.5%)	155	39	(25.2%)
HbA1c <= 6.5% – 26wk	Dichotomous			(6.2%)	155	22	(14.2%)
a Data actimated from graphs SD actima		4 I C	_				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported SE
<sup>b</sup> SD estimated from SE
<sup>c</sup> Graph
<sup>d</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported 2xSEM

lira	agluti	formin + ide 1.2mg + acebo	Metformin + liraglutide 1.8mg + placebo				
N k mean		N	k	mean	Δ	р	

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	241		7.3 (SD 0.776)	242		7.35 (SD 1.26)
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	241		-1 (SD 1.55)	242		-1 (SD 1.56)
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	241		7.6 (SD 1.55)	242		7.6 (SD 1.56)
HbA1c (%) – 52wka	Continuous	241		7.6 (SD 1.16)	242		7.65 (SD 1.17)
HbA1c (%) – 104wkb	Mean change	241		-0.6 (SD 1.55)	242		-0.6 (SD 1.56)
HbA1c (%) – 104wka	Continuous	241		7.8 (SD 1.55)	242		7.82 (SD 1.56)
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 26wk	Dichotomous	241	85	(35.3%)	242	103	· ·
HbA1c <= 6.5% – 26wk	Dichotomous		48	(19.9%)	242		(24.8%)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkc	Continuous	241	10	8 (SD 3.1)	242	00	8.2 (SD 1.56)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) –				,			8.5 (SD
26wk Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) –	Continuous	241		8.5 (SD 2.6)	242		2.4) 8.6 (SD
52wkc	Continuous	241		8.5 (SD 3.1)	242		3.11)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	241		8.9 (SD 2.8)	242		9 (SD 2.5)
Composite end point (HbA1c <7, no hypo, no weight gain) – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	56	(23.2%)	242	62	(25.6%)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wkc	Continuous	241		87 (SD 15.5)	242		85.5 (SD 15.6)
5 ( 5)	Mean			-2.6 (SD			-2.8 (SD
Weight (kg) – 26wk	change	241		3.1)	242		3.11)
Weight (kg) – 26wkc	Continuous	241		86.7 (SD 15.5)	242		85 (SD 15.6)
Weight (kg) – 52wka	Continuous	241		86 (SD 15.5)	242		85 (SD 15.6)
Weight (kg) – 104wkc	Continuous	241		86 (SD 15.5)	242		85.5 (SD 15.6)
Weight (kg) – 104wkb	Mean change	241		-3 (SD 5.43)	242		-2.9 (SD 5.44)
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	42	(17.4%)	242	52	(21.5%)
Bronchitis – 104wk	Dichotomous			(5.4%)	242		(5.0%)
Cough – 104wk	Dichotomous		6	(2.5%)	242		(0.8%)
Dyspepsia – 104wk	Dichotomous		6	(2.5%)	242		(9.1%)
GI: diarrhoea – 104wk	Dichotomous		27	(11.2%)	242		(16.5%)
GI: vomiting – 104wk	Dichotomous		18	(7.5%)	242		(9.9%)
GI: gastritis – 104wk	Dichotomous		8	(3.3%)	242		(5.4%)
Headache – 104wk	Dichotomous		34	(14.1%)	242		(14.5%)
Hypertension – 104wk	Dichotomous		13	(5.4%)	242		
Infection (upper airway or other							(4.5%)
common) – 104wk metabolism and nutritional	Dichotomous		15	(6.2%)	242		(6.2%)
disorders – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	42	(17.4%)	242	34	(14.0%)
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders – 104wk	Dichotomous		59	(24.5%)	242		(23.6%)
Nasopharyngitis – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	36	(14.9%)	242	36	(14.9%)
Nervous system disorders – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	59	(24.5%)	242	61	(25.2%)
renal or urinary disorder – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	9	(3.7%)	242	12	(5.0%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	241	44	(18.3%)	242	51	(21.1%)
Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous		104	` '		124	(51.2%)
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous		23	(9.5%)	242		(12.0%)
210pout 440 to /120 - 20WK	21011010111003	71		(0.070)	_72		(.2.070)

Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 26wk	Dichotomous	241	8	(3.3%)	242	13	(5.4%)
Blood pressure:							
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) –	Mean						
104wk	change	241		-2.5 (SD 14)	242		-2 (SD 14)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 104wk	Mean change	241		-0.8 (SD 9.31)	242		-0.5 (SD 9.33)
Baseline montherapy							
Blood glucose:				7.055 (SD			6.905 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	91		0.873)	83		1.14)
				8.3 (SD			8.25 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	91		1.19)	83		1.14)
				8.3 (SD			6.905 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	91		1.19)	83		1.14)
				7.055 (SD			8.25 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	91		0.873)	83		1.14)
Llb A 1 o (0/ ) 2 Couled	Continuous	91		7.15 (SD	0.2		6.95 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 26wkd			40	0.954)	83		1.14)
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 26wk	Dichotomous	91	48	(52.7%)	83	55	(66.3%)
HbA1c <= 6.5% – 26wk	Dichotomous	91	29	(31.9%)	83	32	(38.6%)
Baseline combination therapy							
Blood glucose:				7.55 (SD			7.65 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	150		1.22)	159		1.26)
				8.3 (SD			8.405 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	150		1.22)	159		1.26)
LIb A4 a (0/) 40 mind	Camtinus	450		7.55 (SD	450		8.405 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	150		1.22)	159		1.26)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	150		8.3 (SD 1.22)	159		7.65 (SD 1.26)
110/110 (70) — 12WKU	Continuous	130		· ·	109		
HbA1c (%) – 26wkd	Continuous	150		7.65 (SD 1.33)	159		7.75 (SD 1.26)
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 26wk	Dichotomous	150	37	(24.7%)	159	48	(30.2%)
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 26wk	Dichotomous	150	19	(12.7%)	159	28	(17.6%)
				` '			, ,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported SE
<sup>b</sup> SD estimated from SE
<sup>c</sup> Graph
<sup>d</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported 2xSEM

		Metformin + liraglutide 1.2mg + placebo			ormin + piride + cebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	241		7.3 (SD 0.776)	244		7.4 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	241		-1 (SD 1.55)	244		0.1 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	241		7.6 (SD 1.55)	244		7.7 (SD 1.27)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wka	Continuous	241		7.6 (SD 1.16)	244		7.7 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wkb	Mean change	241		-0.6 (SD 1.55)	244		-0.5 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wka	Continuous	241		7.8 (SD 1.55)	244		7.9 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 26wk	Dichotomous	241	85	(35.3%)	244	89	(36.5%)		
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 26wk	Dichotomous	241	48	(19.9%)	244	54	(22.1%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkc	Continuous	241		8 (SD 3.1)	244		8.5 (SD 3.12)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	241		8.5 (SD 2.6)	244		8.9 (SD 2.5)		

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wkc	Continuous	241		8.5 (SD 3.1)	244		8.9 (SD 3.12)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	241		8.9 (SD 2.8)	244		9.5 (SD 2.8)
Composite end point (HbA1c <7, no hypo, no weight gain) – 104wk	Dichotomous	2/11	56	(23.2%)	244	16	(6.6%)
Body weight:	Dichotomous	241	30	,	244	10	· /
Weight (kg) – 12wkc	Continuous	241		87 (SD 15.5)	244		89.5 (SD 15.6)
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	241		-2.6 (SD 3.1)	244		1 (SD 3.12)
Weight (kg) – 26wkc	Continuous	241		86.7 (SD 15.5)	244		90 (SD 15.6)
Weight (kg) – 52wka	Continuous	241		86 (SD 15.5)	244		90 (SD 15.6)
Weight (kg) – 104wkc	Continuous	241		86 (SD 15.5)	244		90 (SD 15.6)
Weight (kg) – 104wkb	Mean change	241		-3 (SD 5.43)	244		0.7 (SD 4.68)
Adverse events:							
GI: nausea – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	42	(17.4%)	244	10	(4.1%)
Bronchitis – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	13	(5.4%)	244	15	(6.1%)
Cough – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	6	(2.5%)	244	13	(5.3%)
Dyspepsia – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	6	(2.5%)	244	7	(2.9%)
GI: diarrhoea – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	27	(11.2%)	244	14	(5.7%)
GI: vomiting – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	18	(7.5%)	244	1	(0.4%)
GI: gastritis – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	8	(3.3%)	244	4	(1.6%)
Headache – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	34	(14.1%)	244	32	(13.1%)
Hypertension – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	13	(5.4%)	244	12	(4.9%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	15	(6.2%)	244	12	(4.9%)
metabolism and nutritional disorders – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	42	(17.4%)	244	25	(10.2%)
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	59	(24.5%)	244	68	(27.9%)
Nasopharyngitis – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	36	(14.9%)	244	49	(20.1%)
Nervous system disorders – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	59	(24.5%)	244	54	(22.1%)
renal or urinary disorder – 104wk	Dichotomous	241	9	(3.7%)	244	14	(5.7%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	241	44	(18.3%)	244	34	(13.9%)
Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous		104	(43.2%)	244		(53.7%)
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous		23	(9.5%)	244		(3.3%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 26wk	Dichotomous			(3.3%)	244		(3.7%)
Blood pressure:				, ,			, ,
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 104wk	Mean change	241		-2.5 (SD 14)	244		0.3 (SD 14.1)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 104wk	Mean change	241		-0.8 (SD 9.31)	244		-0 (SD 9.37)
Baseline montherapy							
Blood glucose:				7.055 (SD			6.9 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	91		0.873)	89		1.18)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	91		8.3 (SD 1.19)	89		8.15 (SD 0.943)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	91		8.3 (SD 1.19)	89		6.9 (SD 1.18)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	91		7.055 (SD 0.873)	89		8.15 (SD 0.943)
HbA1c (%) – 26wkd	Continuous	91		7.15 (SD 0.954)	89		7.05 (SD 0.943)
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 26wk	Dichotomous	91	48	(52.7%)	89	50	(56.2%)

HbA1c <= 6.5% - 26wk	Dichotomous	91	29	(31.9%)	89	32	(36.0%)
Baseline combination therapy Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	150		7.55 (SD 1.22)	155		7.7 (SD 1.25)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	150		8.3 (SD 1.22)	155		8.55 (SD 1.25)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	150		7.55 (SD 1.22)	155		8.55 (SD 1.25)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	150		8.3 (SD 1.22)	155		7.7 (SD 1.25)
HbA1c (%) – 26wkd	Continuous	150		7.65 (SD 1.33)	155		7.8 (SD 1.25)
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 26wk	Dichotomous	150	37	(24.7%)	155	39	(25.2%)
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 26wk	Dichotomous	150	19	(12.7%)	155	22	(14.2%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported SE
<sup>b</sup> SD estimated from SE
<sup>c</sup> Graph
<sup>d</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported 2xSEM

		Metformin + liraglutide 1.8mg + placebo				glime	ormin + piride + icebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	242		7.35 (SD 1.26)	244		7.4 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	242		-1 (SD 1.56)	244		0.1 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	242		7.6 (SD 1.56)	244		7.7 (SD 1.27)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wka	Continuous	242		7.65 (SD 1.17)	244		7.7 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wkb	Mean change	242		-0.6 (SD 1.56)	244		-0.5 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wka	Continuous	242		7.82 (SD 1.56)	244		7.9 (SD 1.56)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 26wk	Dichotomous	242	103	(42.6%)	244	89	(36.5%)		
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 26wk	Dichotomous	242	60	(24.8%)	244	54	(22.1%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkc	Continuous	242		8.2 (SD 1.56)	244		8.5 (SD 3.12)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Continuous	242		8.5 (SD 2.4)	244		8.9 (SD 2.5)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wkc	Continuous	242		8.6 (SD 3.11)	244		8.9 (SD 3.12)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	242		9 (SD 2.5)	244		9.5 (SD 2.8)		
Composite end point (HbA1c <7, no hypo, no weight gain) – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	62	(25.6%)	244	16	(6.6%)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wkc	Continuous	242		85.5 (SD 15.6)	244		89.5 (SD 15.6)		
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	242		-2.8 (SD 3.11)	244		1 (SD 3.12)		
Weight (kg) – 26wkc	Continuous	242		85 (SD 15.6)	244		90 (SD 15.6)		
Weight (kg) – 52wka	Continuous	242		85 (SD 15.6)	244		90 (SD 15.6)		
Weight (kg) – 104wkc	Continuous	242		85.5 (SD 15.6)	244		90 (SD 15.6)		
Weight (kg) – 104wkb	Mean change	242		-2.9 (SD 5.44)	244		0.7 (SD 4.68)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	52	(21.5%)	244	10	(4.1%)		

Bronchitis – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	12	(5.0%)	244	15	(6.1%)
Cough – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	2	(0.8%)	244	13	(5.3%)
Dyspepsia – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	22	(9.1%)	244	7	(2.9%)
GI: diarrhoea – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	40	(16.5%)	244	14	(5.7%)
GI: vomiting – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	24	(9.9%)	244	1	(0.4%)
GI: gastritis – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	13	(5.4%)	244	4	(1.6%)
Headache – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	35	(14.5%)	244	32	(13.1%)
Hypertension – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	11	(4.5%)	244	12	(4.9%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	15	(6.2%)	244	12	(4.9%)
metabolism and nutritional disorders  – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	34	(14.0%)	244	25	(10.2%)
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	57	(23.6%)	244	68	(27.9%)
Nasopharyngitis – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	36	(14.9%)	244	49	(20.1%)
Nervous system disorders – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	61	(25.2%)	244	54	(22.1%)
renal or urinary disorder – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	12	(5.0%)	244	14	(5.7%)
Dropouts:							
Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	242	51	(21.1%)	244	34	(13.9%)
Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous	242	124	(51.2%)	244	131	(53.7%)
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	242	29	(12.0%)	244	8	(3.3%)
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 26wk	Dichotomous	242	13	(5.4%)	244	9	(3.7%)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 104wk	Mean change	242		-2 (SD 14)	244		0.3 (SD 14.1)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 104wk	Mean change	242		-0.5 (SD 9.33)	244		-0 (SD 9.37)
Baseline montherapy							
Blood glucose:				6.905 (SD			6.9 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	83		1.14)	89		1.18)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	83		8.25 (SD 1.14)	89		8.15 (SD 0.943)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	83		8.25 (SD 1.14)	89		6.9 (SD 1.18)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	83		6.905 (SD 1.14)	89		8.15 (SD 0.943)
HbA1c (%) – 26wkd	Continuous	83		6.95 (SD 1.14)	89		7.05 (SD 0.943)
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 26wk	Dichotomous	83	55	(66.3%)	89	50	(56.2%)
HbA1c <= 6.5% – 26wk	Dichotomous	83	32	(38.6%)	89	32	(36.0%)
Baseline combination therapy							
Blood glucose:	Cantharra	450		7.65 (SD	455		7.7 (SD
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	159		1.26)	155		1.25)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	159		8.405 (SD 1.26)	155		8.55 (SD 1.25)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	159		7.65 (SD 1.26)	155		8.55 (SD 1.25)
HbA1c (%) – 12wkd	Continuous	159		8.405 (SD 1.26)	155		7.7 (SD 1.25)
HbA1c (%) – 26wkd	Continuous	159		7.75 (SD 1.26)	155		7.8 (SD 1.25)
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 26wk	Dichotomous	159	48	(30.2%)	155	39	(25.2%)
HbA1c <= 6.5% – 26wk	Dichotomous			(17.6%)	155	22	(14.2%)
<sup>a</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estim	ated from repor	rted S	E				

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported SE
 <sup>b</sup> SD estimated from SE
 <sup>c</sup> Graph
 <sup>d</sup> Data estimated from graphs. SD estimated from reported 2xSEM

### Table 25: Papathanassiou et al. (2009)

Table 25: Pa	pathanassiou et al. (2009)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Greece  Authors' conclusions: In patients with type 2 diabetes already on metformin, addition of pioglitazone as compared with glimepiride, improved endothelial function despite similar glycaemic control. The improvement in endothelial function was mainly due to a reduction in insulin resistance.  Source of funding: Funded in part by Michaelidion Cardiac Center, University of Ioannina Greece  Comments: Open label, randomised trial
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 28 Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes not optimally controlled on metformin monotherapy, treated for the the last 6 months prior to the study, Hba1c >6.5% and normal liver and renal function  Exclusion criteria: cardiovascular disease, chronic heart failure, liver or renal disease, anameia, thyroid dysfunction, and new onset of a ny new medications within the previous 8 weeks
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All taking metformin monotherapy at study entry
Lifestyle advice	Patients were asked to maintain the same diet and level of physical activiity throughout the study
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 26 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 26 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Clinical assessments were performed at 1,3 and 6 months
Arms	(1) Metformin + pioglitazone N: 14 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: All patients continued pre-study metformin (no details of dosing) Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: Metformin was continued (no details of dosing) (b) Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):30 Frequency of dosing: once a day  (2) Metformin + glimepiride N: 14 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: All patients continued pre-study metformin (no details of dosing) Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: Metformin was continued (no details of dosing) (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):4 Frequency of dosing: once a day
Outcomes	General ITT analysis carried out Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include measures of insulin resistance, heart rate, flow mediated dilation, nitrate mediated dilation No patients discontinued the study
Baseline characteristics	Metformin + Metformin + pioglitazone glimepiride Δ p

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean
Demographics:							
Age (years)	Continuous	14		62.8 (SD 7.2)	14		63.6 (SD 7.3)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	14	3	(21.4%)	14	3	(21.4%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	14		5.3 (SD 3.6)	14		5.3 (SD 6.5)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	14		7.7 (SD 0.7)	14		7.4 (SD 0.8)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	14		8.7 (SD 2.5)	14		8.2 (SD 1.4)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	14		33.9 (SD 7)	14		31.9 (SD 5.5)
Weight (kg) - 0wk	Continuous	14		85.9 (SD 18.7)	14		81.4 (SD 15.3)
Waist circumference (cms) – 0wk	Continuous	14		111.2 (SD 13.3)	14		110.1 (SD 11.4)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	14		149.5 (SD 14.3)	14		152.4 (SD 16.6)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	14		82.5 (SD 6.9)	14		77.3 (SD 10.6)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	14		5.8 (SD 1)	14		5.4 (SD 1)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	14		1.3 (SD 0.3)	14		1.5 (SD 0.3)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	14		1.7 (SD 0.5)	14		2 (SD 1.4)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	14		3.7 (SD 0.9)	14		3 (SD 1)

ъ	_	_		14	_
К	е	s	u	ш	S

				letformin + ioglitazone			etformin + limepiride		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	14		-0.6 (SD 0.85)	14		-0.56 (SD 0.57)		NS
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	14		7.1 (SD 0.8)	14		6.9 (SD 0.5)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	14		-1.07 (SD 2.18)	14		-0.42 (SD 1.16)		NS
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 26wk	Continuous	14		34.1 (SD 7.3)	14		32 (SD 5.1)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 26wk	Mean change	14		0.23 (SD 0.82)	14		0.15 (SD 1.5)		NS
Weight (kg) – 26wka	Mean change	14		0.649152 (SD 2.31)	14		0.42336 (SD 4.23)		
Weight (kg) - 26wk	Continuous	14		86.4 (SD 19.2)	14		82 (SD 15.4)		NS
Waist circumference (cms) – 26wk	Mean change	14		-1.86 (SD 1.88)	14		1.86 (SD 3.11)		0.002
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	14	0	(0.0%)	14	0	(0.0%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	14	0	(0.0%)	14	0	(0.0%)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wk	Mean change	14		-8.67 (SD 19)	14		-11.08 (SD 20)		NS
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wk	Mean change	14		-2.52 (SD 6.48)	14		0.22 (SD 11.3)		NS
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	14		0.06 (SD 0.85)	14		0.24 (SD 0.73)		NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	14		0.14 (SD 0.2)	14		-0.07 (SD 0.22)		0.036

Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	14	0.01 (SD 0.33)	14	0.25 (SD 0.53)	NS					
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	14	-0.09 (SD 0.79)	14	0.19 (SD 0.61)	NS					
<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m											
Chi squared and unpaired t-tests were used to compare categorical and continuous variables between the two groups at baseline. To assess changes from baseline to follow up, the paired t-test was used. Analysis of data was based on ITT analysis of repeated measures ANOVA adjusting for confounding factors (baseline clinical and demographic characteristics with a statistical difference of p<0.2 between groups) was carried											

Table 26: Pf	utzner et al. (2011)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy  ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Germany  Authors' conclusions: With comparable glycemic control, the fixed PM combination was more efficacious on HDL cholesterol improvement than the GM combination. Additional positive effects were observed for biomarkers of lipid metabolism, b-cell function, activity of the visceral adipose tissue, and chronic systemic inflammation  Source of funding: Unclear but some authors employees of Takeda  Comments: prospective, comparative, randomized, double-blind, parallel, two-arm multicenter trial.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 305 Inclusion criteria: The trial population consisted of male and female individuals with type 2 diabetes, 18–75 years old, pretreated with metformin as monotherapy in an individually maximal tolerated dosage with baseline values for hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) of >=6.5% and dyslipidemia defined as HDL cholesterol <=1.03 mmol/L (40 mg/dL) and/or triglyceride >=1.7 mmL (150 mg/dL)  Exclusion criteria: type 1 diabetes mellitus, hypersensitivity to the study drugs or to drugs with similar chemical structures, history of severe or multiple allergies, a history of significant cardiovascular respiratory, gastrointestinal, hepatic, neurological, psychiatric, and/or hematological disease, and pretreatment with antidiabetes therapy other than metformin within the last 3 months.
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All patients were taking metformin monotherapy at study start and this continued during the treatment period
Lifestyle advice	
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
Arms	(1) Metformin + pioglitazone N: 146 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: All patients were on metformin monotherapy and this continued during the treatment period Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):1700 Frequency of dosing: twice a day (b) Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):30 Frequency of dosing: twice a day  (2) Metformin + glimepiride N: 142 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: All patients were on metformin monotherapy and this continued during the treatment period

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):1700

Frequency of dosing: twice a day (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):2

Frequency of dosing: once a day

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

All randomised patients who received at least one dose of study medication were included in the "all patients treated" analysis set. Thus, patients with HDL cholesterol values measured at baseline and at least once post-baseline were included into the full analysis set. All analyses of safety data were performed for the "all patients treated" analysis set; all

analyses of efficacy were performed for the full analysis set. Missing data were accounted for by means of the last observation- carried-forward approach.

61 patients (32 vs. 29) discontinued the study

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include measures of insulin resistance

## Baseline characteristics

		Metformin + pioglitazone			Metformin + glimepiride				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	146		59 (SD 10)	142		59 (SD 10)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	146	96	(65.8%)	142	91	(64.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	146		6.2 (SD 5.4)	142		5.9 (SD 4.8)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	146		7.3 (SD 0.9)	142		7.3 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	146		8.8 (SD 2.3)	142		8.6 (SD 2.3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	146		32.6 (SD 4.9)	142		32.5 (SD 5.3)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	146		96.2 (SD 17.5)	142		94.1 (SD 18)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	146		138 (SD 15)	142		137 (SD 13)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	146		82 (SD 9)	142		82 (SD 7)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	146		5.18 (SD 1.24)	142		5.05 (SD 1.22)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	146		1.19 (SD 0.27)	142		1.2 (SD 0.26)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	146		2.49 (SD 1.48)	142		2.36 (SD 1.45)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	146		2.8 (SD 0.9)	142		2.69 (SD 0.81)		

#### Results

			Metformin + pioglitazone			Metformin + glimepiride			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	139		6.5 (SD 0.9)	136		6.3 (SD 0.8)		NS
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	146		6.5 (SD 0.9)	142		6.3 (SD 0.8)		NS
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	139		-0.8 (SD 0.9)	136		-1 (SD 0.9)		

				-1.2				
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	146		(SD 2.1)	142		-1.2 (SD 2.2)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	146		7.6 (SD 2.2)	142		7.4 (SD 1.9)	NS
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	146		96.9 (SD 17.8)	142		94.8 (SD 18.2)	NS
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 24wka	Count	23352	2		22764	5		
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	2	(1.4%)	142	5	(3.5%)	NR
Adverse events: Edema peripheral – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	8	(5.5%)	142	4	(2.8%)	NR
Edema peripheral – 24wk	Dichotomous	146	8	(5.5%)	141	4	(2.8%)	NR
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wkb	Dichotomous	155	32	(20.6%)	150	29		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	155	13b	(8.4%)	150	7c	( )	
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wk	Continuous	146	100	135 (SD 14)	142	70	137 (SD 15)	NS
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wk	Continuous	146		81 (SD 8)	142		81 (SD 9)	NS
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	146		5.37 (SD 1.31)	142		5.23 (SD 1.2)	
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	146			142			NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	146		0.08 (SD 0.25)	142		-0.01 (SD 0.28)	<0.01
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	146		1.27 (SD 0.27)	142		1.2 (SD 0.25)	
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	146		-0.47 (SD 1.3)	142		-0.19 (SD 1.39)	NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	146		2.02 (SD 1.17)	142		2.17 (SD 1.29)	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	146		0.25 (SD 0.9)	142		0.29 (SD 0.66)	NS
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	146		3.05 (SD 1.03)	142		2.97 (SD 0.88)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> (Used in the analysis); Patient days estimated assuming dropout occurred halfway through trial <sup>b</sup> Data derived from clinicaltrials.gov NCT00770653

The confirmatory inferential statistical evaluation of the primary target parameter "mean change of HDL cholesterol after 24 weeks of treatment in each treatment group" was performed with the same test procedure as used for sample size calculation (i.e., the one-sided Student's t test) using the parameter estimates of a general model for analysis of covariance, with fixed effect factor for treatment group and with the baseline HDL cholesterol value as covariate

#### Table 27: Pratley et al. (2010)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
General	Phase:
	□ monotherapy ☑ dual therapy □ triple therapy

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm c}$  Adverse events include hypoglycaemia. Data derived from clinicaltrials.gov NCT00770653

☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: 11 European countries (Croatia, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, and UK), the USA, and Canada Authors' conclusions: Liraglutide was superior to sitagliptin for reduction of HbA1c, and was well tolerated with minimum risk of hypoglycaemia. These findings support the use of liraglutide as an eff ective GLP-1 agent to add to metformin Source of funding: Novo Nordisk Comments: randomisation sequence was computer-generated, Consecutive allocation of the randomisation code to individual participants was concealed by use of a telephone-based (interactive voice response system) or web-based randomisation system. The study was open-label, but data were masked from the statistician until database release. Number and Total number of patients: 665 characteristic Inclusion criteria: aged 18-80 years, had type 2 diabetes mellitus, had glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c) of s of patients 7.5-10.0%, had a body-mass index of 45.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or lower, and had been treated with metformin (=1500 mg daily) for 3 months or longer. Exclusion criteria: previous treatment with any antihyperglycaemic drug apart from metformin within 3 months of the trial; recurrent major hypoglycaemia or hypoglycaemic unawareness; present use of any drug except metformin that could affect glucose; contraindication to trial drugs; impaired renal or hepatic function; clinically significant cardiovascular disease; or cancer participants who did not tolerate trial treatment doses were withdrawn **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or glucoselowering Details of washout period: All treated with metformin monotherapy at study entry therapy Lifestyle **NOT STATED** advice Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: **Arms** (1) Metformin + Liraglutide (1.2mg) N: 225 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: All on metformin montherapy at baseline which continued throughout the study Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: background treatment with metformin remained stable (b) Liraglutide (Subcutaneous) - fixed-dose Set dose (ma/d):1.2 Details of dosing regimen: started at 0.6 mg/day and escalated by 0.6 mg/week to the allocated dose; injection was done subcutaneously with a pen device. (2) Metformin + Liraglutide (1.8mg) N: 221 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: All on metformin montherapy at baseline which continued throughout the study Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: background treatment with metformin remained stable (b) Liraglutide (Subcutaneous) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):1.8 (3) Metformin + sitaglitin N: 219 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: All on metformin montherapy at baseline which continued throughout the study Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: background treatment with metformin remained stable (b) Sitagliptin (Oral) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):100 Details of dosing regimen: Sitagliptin was started and maintained at 100 mg/day.

#### Outcomes

#### General

Primary efficacy analyses were done on the full analysis set (randomised

participants who were exposed to at least one dose of trial drug and with at least one HbA1c measurement taken after baseline) with missing values imputed by last observation carried forward, and on the per-protocol set

At week 26, 52/225 (23%) patients in liraglutide 1.2mg group, 27/221 (12.2%) in liraglutide 1.8 mg and 25/219 (11.4%) in sitagliptin group discontinued the study. At week 52, 20/155 (8.9%) in liraglutide 1.2mg group, 26/176 (11.8%) in liraglutide 1.8mg group and 15/166 (6.8%) in sitagliptin group.

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include several measures of beta-cell function

Data from the 52 week extension trial are also reported in this evidence table Pratley (2011). Therefore data for adverse events were only extracted at the final study endpoint (52 weeks)

#### **Blood glucose**

HbA1c < 7% or <=7% (there was a composite endpoint Hba1c < 7% with no weight gain and no confirmed major or minor hypoglycaemia)

#### Hypoglycaemic events

Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia (Minor hypoglycaemic episodes (plasma glucose <3·1 mmol/L) were self-treated)

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (for major episodes, third-party assistance was needed, irrespective of glucose concentrations, and these episodes were recorded as adverse events)

# Baseline characteristic

		Li		formin + tide (1.2mg)	Metformin + Liraglutide (1.8mg)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	225		55.9 (SD 9.6)	221		55 (SD 9.1)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	225	116	(51.6%)	221	116	(52.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	225		6 (SD 4.5)	221		6.4 (SD 5.4)		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	225		8.4 (SD 0.8)	221		8.4 (SD 0.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	225		10.1 (SD 2.4)	221		9.9 (SD 2.4)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	225		32.6 (SD 5.2)	221		33.1 (SD 5.1)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	225		93.7 (SD 18.4)	221		94.6 (SD 18.1)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	225		131.2 (SD 14.4)	221		133.4 (SD 14.5)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	225		80.3 (SD 9)	221		81.5 (SD 8.5)		

		Li		formin + tide (1.2mg)	Metformin + sitaglitin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	225		55.9 (SD 9.6)	219		55 (SD 9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	225	116	(51.6%)	219	120	(54.8%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	225		6 (SD 4.5)	219		6.3 (SD 5.4)		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	225		8.4 (SD 0.8)	219		8.5 (SD 0.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	225		10.1 (SD 2.4)	219		10 (SD 2)		

Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	225	32.6 (SD 5.2)	219	32.6 (SD 5.4)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	225	93.7 (SD 18.4)	219	93.1 (SD 18.9)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	225	131.2 (SD 14.4)	219	132.1 (SD 14.8)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	225	80.3 (SD 9)	219	81.9 (SD 9.1)

		Li		formin + tide (1.8mg)		ormin + nglitin			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	221		55 (SD 9.1)	219		55 (SD 9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	221	116	(52.5%)	219	120	(54.8%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	221		6.4 (SD 5.4)	219		6.3 (SD 5.4)		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	221		8.4 (SD 0.7)	219		8.5 (SD 0.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	221		9.9 (SD 2.4)	219		10 (SD 2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	221		33.1 (SD 5.1)	219		32.6 (SD 5.4)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	221		94.6 (SD 18.1)	219		93.1 (SD 18.9)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	221		133.4 (SD 14.5)	219		132.1 (SD 14.8)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	221		81.5 (SD 8.5)	219		81.9 (SD 9.1)		

esults			Metformin + Liraglutide (1.2mg)				letform glutide	in + (1.8mg)		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	225	56	(24.9%)	221	30	(13.6%)		
	Total dropouts – 52wka	Dichotomous	225	90	(40.0%)	221	71	(32.1%)		
	Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	225	14	(6.2%)	221	15	(6.8%)		
	Dropout due to AEs – 52wka	Dichotomous	225	19	(8.4%)	221	25	(11.3%)		
	Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	221		7.12 (SD 1.19)	218		7.01 (SD 1.18)		
	HbA1c (%) – 26wkb	Continuous	221		7.23 (SD 1.09)	218		7 (SD 1.33)		
	HbA1c (%) – 26wkb	Mean change	221		-1.24 (SD 0.995)	218		-1.5 (SD 0.986)		

	Mean			-1.29 (SD			-1.51 (SD	
HbA1c (%) - 52wkc	change	221		1.06)	218		1.05)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 26wkb	Dichotomous	221	95	(43.0%)	218	120	(55.0%)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 52wk	Dichotomous	221	111d	(50.2%)	218	109e	(50.0%)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 52wke	Dichotomous	221	85	(38.5%)	218	109	(50.0%)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 52wk	Dichotomous	221	85e	(38.5%)	218	138	(63.3%)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% -	Dichotomous	221	111d	(50.2%)	218	138	(63.3%)	
Hba1c <6.5% – 26wkf	Dichotomous		50	(22.6%)		76	(34.9%)	
Hba1c <6.5% - 52wk	Dichotomous		54d	(24.4%)		88	(40.4%)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Continuous	221		8.1 (SD 1.64)	218		7.95 (SD 2.95)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkb	Continuous	221		8.1 (SD 1.64)	218		9.15 (SD 2.95)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	221		-1.87 (SD 2.22)	218		-2.14 (SD 2.2)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wkb	Continuous	221		8.3 (SD 2.68)	218		7.9 (SD 2.81)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	221		-1.71 (SD 2.5)	218		-2.04 (SD 2.49)	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wkb	Mean change	221		-2.6 (SD 2.97)	218		-2.65 (SD 5.91)	
Weight (kg) – 26wkb	Mean change	221		-2.7 (SD 5.95)	218		-3.3 (SD 7.38)	
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	221		-2.86 (SD 4.06)	218		-3.38 (SD 4.02)	
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	221		-2.86 (SD 4.06)	218		-3.3 (SD 7.38) b	
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	221		-2.7 (SD 5.95) b	218		-3.38 (SD 4.02)	
Weight (kg) – 52wkc	Mean change	221		-2.78 (SD 4.63)	221		-3.68 (SD 4.6)	0.03
Weight (kg) – 52wkc	Mean change	221		-2.78 (SD 4.63)	218		-3.68 (SD 4.6)	0.03
Waist circumference (cms) – 26wk	Mean change	221		-2.69 (SD 5.28)	218		-2.63 (SD 5.31)	
Waist circumference (cms) – 52wk	Mean change	221		-2.36 (SD 5.61)	218		-3.02 (SD 5.57)	
Waist/hip ratio – 26wk	Mean change	221		-0.01 (SD 0)	218		-0.01 (SD 0.0758)	
Hypoglycaemic events: Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 26wk	Dichotomous	221	0.178g	(0.1%)	218	11h	(5.0%)	
Minor (confirmed)	2.00.0.11003	'	5.17 Og	(3.170)	2.10		(3.070)	
hypoglycaemia – 26wk	Dichotomous	221	12h	(5.4%)	218	0.37g	(0.2%)	

Minor (confirmed)							
hypoglycaemia – 26wkh	Dichotomous	221	12	(5.4%)	218	11	(5.0%)
Minor (confirmed)	Dionotomodo			(0.170)	210		(0.070)
hypoglycaemia – 26wkg	Dichotomous	221	0.178	(0.1%)	218	0.37	(0.2%)
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 26wki	Count	35854	17		37492	38	
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 52wkj	Count	65520	26		67522	68	
Major/severe							
hypoglycaemic event – 26wk	Dichotomous	221	1	(0.5%)	218	0	(0.0%)
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wk	Dichotomous	221	0	(0.0%)	218	0	(0.0%)
Adverse events:							
GI: nausea – 26wk	Dichotomous	221	46	(20.8%)	218	59	(27.1%)
GI: nausea – 52wk	Dichotomous	221	48	(21.7%)	218	60	(27.5%)
Any adverse event(s) – 26wk	Dichotomous	221	146	(66.1%)	218	159	(72.9%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 26wk	Dichotomous	221	6	(2.7%)	218	6	(2.8%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 52wk	Dichotomous	221	10	(4.5%)	218	13	(6.0%)
cardiovascular AE – 52wkk	Dichotomous	221	2	(0.9%)	218	1	(0.5%)
Death – 26wk	Dichotomous	221	0	(0.0%)	218	1	(0.5%)
Death – 52wkk	Dichotomous	221	0	(0.0%)	218	1	(0.5%)
Dyspepsia – 26wk	Dichotomous	221	7	(3.2%)	218	14	(6.4%)
Dyspepsia – 52wk	Dichotomous	221	8	(3.6%)	218	15	(6.9%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 26wk	Dichotomous	221	73	(33.0%)	218	88	(40.4%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 52wkk	Dichotomous	221	4	(1.8%)	218	5	(2.3%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 52wk	Dichotomous	221	4k	(1.8%)	218	94	(43.1%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 52wk	Dichotomous	221	80	(36.2%)	218	94	(43.1%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 52wk	Dichotomous		80	(36.2%)		5k	(2.3%)
GI: diarrhoea – 26wk	Dichotomous	221	16	(7.2%)	218	25	(11.5%)
GI: diarrhoea – 52wk	Dichotomous	221	20	(9.0%)	218	27	(12.4%)
GI: vomiting – 26wk	Dichotomous	221	17	(7.7%)	218	21	(9.6%)
GI: vomiting – 52wk	Dichotomous	221	18	(8.1%)	218	23	(10.6%)
GI: constipation – 26wk	Dichotomous	221	10	(4.5%)	218	11	(5.0%)
GI: constipation – 52wk	Dichotomous	221	10	(4.5%)	218	13	(6.0%)
Headache – 26wk	Dichotomous	221	20	(9.0%)	218	25	(11.5%)
Headache – 52wk	Dichotomous	221	21	(9.5%)	218	29	(13.3%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 26wk	Dichotomous	221	62	(28.1%)	218	11	(0.5%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 26wk	Dichotomous		11	(0.5%)	218	59	(27.1%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 26wkl	Dichotomous	221	1	(0.5%)	218	1	(0.5%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 26wk	Dichotomous	221	62	(28.1%)	218	59	(27.1%)

Dichotomous	221	3	(1.4%)	218	3	(1.4%)
Dichotomous	221	74	(33.5%)	218	77	(35.3%)
Dionotomodo		• •	(00.070)	210		(00.070)
Diobotomous	224	74	(22 50/)	210	214	(1.4%)
Dichotomous	221	74	(33.376)	210	JK	(1.470)
Dichotomous	221	3k	(1.4%)	218	77	(35.3%)
		26	, ,		32m	(14.7%)
Dichotomous	221	31m	· /		26	(11.9%)
Dichotomous	221	31	(14.0%)	218	32	(14.7%)
Dichotomous	221	26	(11.8%)	218	26	(11.9%)
Dichotomous	221	21	(0.9%)	218	45	(20.6%)
Dictiotorious	221	21	(0.570)	210	70	(20.070)
Dichotomous	221	39	(17.6%)	218	11	(0.5%)
Dichotomous	221	30	(17.6%)	218	45	(20.6%)
Dictiotorious	221	33	(17.070)	210	70	(20.070)
Dichotomous	221	2	(0.9%)	218	1	(0.5%)
Dichotomous	221	3	(1.4%)	218	1	(0.5%)
Dichotomous	221	21	(9.5%)	218	28	(12.8%)
Dichotomous	221	27	(12.2%)	218	32	(14.7%)
Dichotomous	221	0	(0.0%)	218	0	(0.0%)
Dichotomous	221	40	(18.1%)	218	48	(22.0%)
Dichotomous	221	40	(18.1%)	218	0k	(0.0%)
Dichotomous	221	0k	(0.0%)	218	48	(22.0%)
2.000011000		•	(5.570)			(-2.070)
Dichotomous	221	0	(0.0%)	218	0	(0.0%)
Dichotomous	221	0	(0.0%)	218	0	(0.0%)
		22	(10.0%)	218	20	(9.2%)
Dichotomous	221	16	(7.2%)	218	20	(9.2%)
Dichotomous	221	16	(7.2%)	218	12	(5.5%)
Dichotomous	221	22	(10.0%)	218	12	(5.5%)
Dichotomous	221	13	(5.9%)	218	2	(0.9%)
Dichotomous	221	13	(5.9%)	218	4	(1.8%)
Dichotomous	221	16	(7.2%)	218	15	(6.9%)
			-0.55			-0.72
Mean change	221		(SD 13.4)	218		(SD 13.3)
			-0.37			-2.55
Mean change	221		(SD 13.8)	218		(SD 13.6)
	Dichotomous	change 221 Mean	Dichotomous         221         74           Dichotomous         221         74           Dichotomous         221         3k           Dichotomous         221         26           Dichotomous         221         31m           Dichotomous         221         26           Dichotomous         221         26           Dichotomous         221         26           Dichotomous         221         21           Dichotomous         221         39           Dichotomous         221         39           Dichotomous         221         2           Dichotomous         221         2           Dichotomous         221         2           Dichotomous         221         21           Dichotomous         221         40           Dichotomous         221         40           Dichotomous         221         0           Dichotomous         221         0           Dichotomous         221         0           Dichotomous         221         16           Dichotomous         221         16           Dichotomous         221         13	Dichotomous         221         74         (33.5%)           Dichotomous         221         74         (33.5%)           Dichotomous         221         3k         (1.4%)           Dichotomous         221         26         (11.8%)           Dichotomous         221         31         (14.0%)           Dichotomous         221         26         (11.8%)           Dichotomous         221         26         (11.8%)           Dichotomous         221         29         (17.6%)           Dichotomous         221         39         (17.6%)           Dichotomous         221         39         (17.6%)           Dichotomous         221         2         (0.9%)           Dichotomous         221         21         (9.5%)           Dichotomous         221         27         (12.2%)           Dichotomous         221         27         (12.2%)           Dichotomous         221         27         (12.2%)           Dichotomous         221         40         (18.1%)           Dichotomous         221         40         (18.1%)           Dichotomous         221         0         (0.0%) <td>Dichotomous         221         74         (33.5%)         218           Dichotomous         221         74         (33.5%)         218           Dichotomous         221         3k         (1.4%)         218           Dichotomous         221         26         (11.8%)         218           Dichotomous         221         31m         (14.0%)         218           Dichotomous         221         31         (14.0%)         218           Dichotomous         221         26         (11.8%)         218           Dichotomous         221         21         (0.9%)         218           Dichotomous         221         39         (17.6%)         218           Dichotomous         221         39         (17.6%)         218           Dichotomous         221         3         (1.4%)         218           Dichotomous         221         3         (1.4%)         218           Dichotomous         221         27         (12.2%)         218           Dichotomous         221         27         (12.2%)         218           Dichotomous         221         40         (18.1%)         218           Dic</td> <td>Dichotomous         221         74         (33.5%)         218         77           Dichotomous         221         74         (33.5%)         218         3k           Dichotomous         221         3k         (1.4%)         218         32m           Dichotomous         221         26         (11.8%)         218         32m           Dichotomous         221         31m         (14.0%)         218         32           Dichotomous         221         26         (11.8%)         218         32           Dichotomous         221         26         (11.8%)         218         32           Dichotomous         221         21         (0.9%)         218         45           Dichotomous         221         39         (17.6%)         218         45           Dichotomous         221         39         (17.6%)         218         45           Dichotomous         221         39         (17.6%)         218         1           Dichotomous         221         30         (17.6%)         218         1           Dichotomous         221         21         (9.5%)         218         28           Dichotomou</td>	Dichotomous         221         74         (33.5%)         218           Dichotomous         221         74         (33.5%)         218           Dichotomous         221         3k         (1.4%)         218           Dichotomous         221         26         (11.8%)         218           Dichotomous         221         31m         (14.0%)         218           Dichotomous         221         31         (14.0%)         218           Dichotomous         221         26         (11.8%)         218           Dichotomous         221         21         (0.9%)         218           Dichotomous         221         39         (17.6%)         218           Dichotomous         221         39         (17.6%)         218           Dichotomous         221         3         (1.4%)         218           Dichotomous         221         3         (1.4%)         218           Dichotomous         221         27         (12.2%)         218           Dichotomous         221         27         (12.2%)         218           Dichotomous         221         40         (18.1%)         218           Dic	Dichotomous         221         74         (33.5%)         218         77           Dichotomous         221         74         (33.5%)         218         3k           Dichotomous         221         3k         (1.4%)         218         32m           Dichotomous         221         26         (11.8%)         218         32m           Dichotomous         221         31m         (14.0%)         218         32           Dichotomous         221         26         (11.8%)         218         32           Dichotomous         221         26         (11.8%)         218         32           Dichotomous         221         21         (0.9%)         218         45           Dichotomous         221         39         (17.6%)         218         45           Dichotomous         221         39         (17.6%)         218         45           Dichotomous         221         39         (17.6%)         218         1           Dichotomous         221         30         (17.6%)         218         1           Dichotomous         221         21         (9.5%)         218         28           Dichotomou

Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wk	Mean change	221	-0.71 (SD 8.95)	218	0.07 (SD 8.87)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 52wk	Mean change	221	-0.53 (SD 8.5)	218	-0.87 (SD 8.44)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	221	-0.03 (SD 0.842)	218	-0.17 (SD 0.834)		
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	221	-0.01 (SD 0.91)	218	-0.09 (SD 0.904)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	221	0 (SD 0.153)	218	0 (SD 0.152)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	221	0.01 (SD 0.152)	218	0.02 (SD 0.151)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	221	-0.19 (SD 1.45)	218	-0.43 (SD 1.37)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	221	-0.1 (SD 1.52)	218	-0.32 (SD 1.51)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	221	0.08 (SD 0.689)	218	0.05 (SD 0.683)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	221	0.09 (SD 0.758)	218	0.09 (SD 0.753)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 52wk	Dichotomous	218		225		OR=1.560 (CI: 21.016, 0.116)	0.03

severe general disorder and administration site

		Metformin + Liraglutide (1.2mg)			Metformin + sitaglitin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomou s	225	56	(24.9%	219	25	(11.4%		
Total dropouts – 52wka	Dichotomou s	225	90	(40.0%	219	68	(31.1%		
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomou s	225	14	(6.2%)	219	4	(1.8%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 52wka	Dichotomou s	225	19	(8.4%)	219	7	(3.2%)		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	221		7.12 (SD 1.19)	219		7.5 (SD 1.18)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> (Used in the analysis)
<sup>b</sup> estimated from graph
<sup>c</sup> SD estimated from 95% CI

d approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
composite (no weight gain or hypos); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
estimated from graph; approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

g episodes per patient year

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> No patients

Event rate (events/patient year) and patient days calculated assuming dropouts occurred halfway through the study were used to calculate number of events

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> (Used in the analysis); Adjusted event rate (events/patient years with outliers removed) and patient days calculated assuming dropouts occurred halfway through the study were used to calculate number of events \*SAE

HbA1c (%) – 26wkb	Continuous	221		7.23 (SD 1.09)	219		7.6 (SD 1.48)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wkb	Mean change	221		-1.24 (SD 0.995)	219		-0.9 (SD 0.982)	MD=- 0.340 (CI: -0.510, - 0.170)	
HbA1c (%) – 52wkc	Mean change	221		-1.29 (SD 1.06)	219		-0.88 (SD 1.06)	MD=- 0.400 (CI: -0.590, - 0.210)	<0.0001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	95b	(43.0%	219	48d	(21.9%	OR=2.75 0 (CI: 10.916, 0.693)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	111e	(50.2%	219	41f	(18.7%	OR=2.80 0 (CI: 9.340, 0.839)	<0.0001 g
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 52wkf	Dichotomou s	221	85	(38.5%	219	41	(18.7%	OR=2.80 0 (CI: 9.340, 0.839)	<0.0001 g
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 52wke	Dichotomou s	221	111	(50.2%	219	59	(26.9%	OR=2.80 0 (CI: 9.340, 0.839)	<0.0001 g
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	85f	(38.5%	219	59e	(26.9%	OR=2.80 0 (CI: 9.340, 0.839)	<0.0001 g
Hba1c <6.5% – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	50d	(22.6%	219	24b	(11.0%	OR=2.11 0 (CI: 10.366, 0.429)	
Hba1c <6.5% – 52wke	Dichotomou s	221	54	(24.4%	219	37	(16.9%		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	221		-1.87 (SD 2.22)	219		-0.83 (SD 2.27)	MD=- 1.040 (CI: -1.430, - 0.650)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wkb	Continuous	221		8.3 (SD 2.68)	219		9.2 (SD 3.26)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	221		-1.71 (SD 2.5)	219		-0.59 (SD 2.49)	MD=- 1.130 (CI: -1.570, - 0.690)	<0.0001
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wkb	Mean change	221		-2.6 (SD 2.97)	219		-0.55 (SD 2.96)		
Weight (kg) – 26wkb	Mean change	221		-2.7 (SD 5.95)	219		-0.8 (SD 4.88)	MD=- 1.900 (CI: -2.610, - 1.190)	
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	221		-2.86 (SD 4.06)	219		-0.96 (SD 4.08)	MD=- 1.900 (CI: -2.610, - 1.190)	
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	221		-2.86 (SD 4.06)	219		-0.8 (SD 4.88) b	MD=- 1.900 (CI: -2.610, - 1.190)	
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	221		-2.7 (SD 5.95) b	219		-0.96 (SD 4.08)	MD=- 1.900 (CI: -2.610, - 1.190)	

	Moon			-2.78			-1.16 (SD	MD=- 1.620 (CI:	
Weight (kg) – 52wkc	Mean change	221		(SD 4.63)	219		4.61)	-2.430, - 0.810)	<0.0001
Waist circumference (cms) – 26wk	Mean change	221		-2.69 (SD 5.28)	219		-1.12 (SD 5.29)	MD=- 1.570 (CI: -2.500, - 0.640)	0.001
Waist circumference (cms) – 52wk	Mean change	221		-2.36 (SD 5.61)	219		-1.23 (SD 5.59)	MD=- 1.130 (CI: -2.120, - 0.140)	0.03
Waist/hip ratio – 26wk	Mean change	221		-0.01 (SD 0)	219		0 (SD 0.0755	MD=0.00 0 (CI: - 0.010, 0.010)	0.87
Hypoglycaemic events: Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 26wkh	Count	3585 4	17	(52.5)	3758	11	,		
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 26wki	Dichotomou s	221	0.178	(0.1%)	219	0.106	(0.0%)		
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	12j	(5.4%)	219	0.106 i	(0.0%)		
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	0.178 i	(0.1%)	219	10j	(4.6%)		
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 26wkj	Dichotomou s	221	12	(5.4%)	219	10	(4.6%)		
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 52wkk	Count	6552 0	26		6734 0	25			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	1	(0.5%)	219	0	(0.0%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	0	(0.0%)	219	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	46	(20.8%	219	10	(4.6%)		
GI: nausea – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	48	(21.7%	219	12	(5.5%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	146	(66.1%	219	127	(58.0%		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	6	(2.7%)	219	4	(1.8%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	10	(4.5%)	219	12	(5.5%)		
cardiovascular AE – 52wkl	Dichotomou s	221	2	(0.9%)	219	1	(0.5%)		
Death – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	0	(0.0%)	219	1	(0.5%)		
Death – 52wkl	Dichotomou s	221	0	(0.0%)	219	2	(0.9%)		
Dyspepsia – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	7	(3.2%)	219	5	(2.3%)		
Dyspepsia – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	8	(3.6%)	219	5	(2.3%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	73	(33.0%	219	46	(21.0%		

Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	80	(36.2%	219	52	(23.7%
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	80	(36.2%	219	41	(1.8%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 52wkl	Dichotomou s	221	4	(1.8%)	219	4	(1.8%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	41	(1.8%)	219	52	(23.7%
GI: diarrhoea – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	16	(7.2%)	219	10	(4.6%)
GI: diarrhoea – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	20	(9.0%)	219	14	(6.4%)
GI: vomiting – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	17	(7.7%)	219	9	(4.1%)
GI: vomiting – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	18	(8.1%)	219	11	(5.0%)
GI: constipation – 26wk	Dichotomou	221	10	(4.5%)	219	6	(2.7%)
GI: constipation – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	10	(4.5%)	219	8	(3.7%)
Headache – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	20	(9.0%)	219	22	(10.0%
Headache – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	21	(9.5%)	219	27	(12.3%
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 26wkm	Dichotomou s	221	1	(0.5%)	219	1	(0.5%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	62	(28.1%	219	1m	(0.5%)
Infection (upper airway or other	Dichotomou	221	02	(28.1%	213	11111	(28.8%
common) – 26wk Infection (upper	S	221	62	)	219	63	)
airway or other common) – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	1m	(0.5%)	219	63	(28.8%
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 52wkl	Dichotomou s	221	3	(1.4%)	219	3	(1.4%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	74	(33.5%	219	75	(34.2%
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	74	(33.5%	219	31	(1.4%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	31	(1.4%)	219	75	(34.2%
Injection site – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	26	(11.8%	219	8	(3.7%)
Injection site – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	31n	(14.0%	219	8	(3.7%)
Injection site – 26wkn	Dichotomou s	221	31	(14.0%	219	13	(5.9%)
Injection site – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	26	(11.8%	219	13n	(5.9%)
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	39	(17.6%	219	45	(20.5%
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	39	(17.6%	219	1m	(0.5%)

Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders – 26wkm	Dichotomou s	221	2	(0.9%)	219	1	(0.5%)		
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	2m	(0.9%)	219	45	(20.5%		
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders – 52wkl	Dichotomou s	221	3	(1.4%)	219	1	(0.5%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	21	(9.5%)	219	26	(11.9%		
Nasopharyngitis – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	27	(12.2%	219	31	(14.2% )		
Nervous system disorders – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	40	(18.1% )	219	21	(0.9%)		
Nervous system disorders – 52wkl	Dichotomou s	221	0	(0.0%)	219	2	(0.9%)		
Nervous system disorders – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	40	(18.1%	219	44	(20.1%		
Nervous system disorders – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	Ol	(0.0%)	219	44	(20.1%		
renal or urinary disorder – 26wkm	Dichotomou s	221	0	(0.0%)	219	1	(0.5%)		
renal or urinary disorder – 52wkl	Dichotomou s	221	0	(0.0%)	219	1	(0.5%)		
Skin reaction – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	22	(10.0%	219	22	(10.0%		
Skin reaction – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	16	(7.2%)	219	12	(5.5%)		
Skin reaction – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	16	(7.2%)	219	22	(10.0%		
Skin reaction – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	22	(10.0%	219	12	(5.5%)		
Temperature/influenz a – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	13	(5.9%)	219	5	(2.3%)		
Temperature/influenz a – 52wk	Dichotomou s	221	13	(5.9%)	219	8	(3.7%)		
Vascular disorder – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	16	(7.2%)	219	10	(4.6%)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wk	Mean change	221		-0.55 (SD 13.4)	219		-0.94 (SD 13.2)	MD=0.39 0 (CI: - 1.960, 2.740)	0.75
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 52wk	Mean change	221		-0.37 (SD 13.8)	219		-1.03 (SD 13.7)	MD=0.66 0 (CI: - 1.790, 3.110)	0.6
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wk	Mean change	221		-0.71 (SD 8.95)	219		-1.78 (SD 8.83)	MD=1.07 0 (CI: - 0.500, 2.640)	0.18
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 52wk	Mean change	221		-0.53 (SD 8.5)	219		-1.47 (SD 8.46)	MD=0.94 0 (CI: - 0.570, 2.450)	0.22
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	221		-0.03 (SD 0.842)	219		-0.02 (SD 0.831)	MD=- 0.010 (CI: -0.160, 0.140)	0.85
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	221		-0.01 (SD 0.91)	219		0.03 (SD 1.36)	MD=- 0.040 (CI: -0.200, 0.120)	0.61

HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	221	0 (SD 0.153)	219	0 (SD 0.151)	MD=0.00 0 (CI: - 0.030, 0.030)	0.95
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	221	0.01 (SD 0.152)	219	0.01 (SD 0.151)	MD=0.00 0 (CI: - 0.030, 0.030)	0.92
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	221	-0.19 (SD 1.45)	219	-0.4 (SD 1.36)	MD=0.21 0 (CI: - 0.040, 0.460)	0.096
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	221	-0.1 (SD 1.52)	219	-0.23 (SD 1.51)	MD=0.12 0 (CI: - 0.130, 0.370)	0.34
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	221	0.08 (SD 0.689)	219	0.13 (SD 0.68)	MD=- 0.050 (CI: -0.170, 0.070)	0.44
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	221	0.09 (SD 0.758)	219	0.17 (SD 0.755)	MD=- 0.080 (CI: -0.200, 0.040)	0.25

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> (Used in the analysis)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>n</sup> general disorder and administration site

		Metformin + Liraglutide (1.8mg			Metformin + sitaglitin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	30	(13.6%	219	25	(11.4%		
Total dropouts – 52wka	Dichotomou s	221	71	(32.1%	219	68	(31.1%		
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomou s	221	15	(6.8%)	219	4	(1.8%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 52wka	Dichotomou s	221	25	(11.3%	219	7	(3.2%)		
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	218		7.01 (SD 1.18)	219		7.5 (SD 1.18)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wkb	Continuous	218		7 (SD 1.33)	219		7.6 (SD 1.48)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wkb	Mean change	218		-1.5 (SD 0.986)	219		-0.9 (SD 0.982)	MD=- 0.600 (CI: -0.770, - 0.430)	

b estimated from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> SD estimated from 95% CI

d estimated from graph; approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

e approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

f composite (no weight gain or hypos); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

g composite endpoint (no weight gain or hypoglycaemia)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> Event rate (events/patient year) and patient days calculated assuming dropouts occurred halfway through the study were used to calculate number of events

episodes per patient year

No patients

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>k</sup> (Used in the analysis); Adjusted event rate (events/patient years with outliers removed) and patient days calculated assuming dropouts occurred halfway through the study were used to calculate number of events <sup>1</sup> SAE

m severe

								MD	
HbA1c (%) – 52wkc	Mean change	218		-1.51 (SD 1.05)	219		-0.88 (SD 1.06)	MD=- 0.630 (CI: -0.810, - 0.450)	<0.0001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	120 b	(55.0%	219	48d	(21.9%	OR=4.50 0 (CI: 6.698, 3.023)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	109 e	(50.0%	219	59f	(26.9%	OR=4.37 0 (CI: 6.272, 3.045)	<0.0001 g
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 52wke	Dichotomou s	218	109	(50.0%	219	41	(18.7%	OR=4.37 0 (CI: 6.272, 3.045)	<0.0001 g
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	138	(63.3%	219	59f	(26.9%	OR=4.37 0 (CI: 6.272, 3.045)	<0.0001 g
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	138	(63.3%	219	41e	(18.7%	OR=4.37 0 (CI: 6.272, 3.045)	<0.0001 g
Hba1c <6.5% – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	76d	(34.9%	219	24b	(11.0%	OR=4.25 0 (CI: 5.617, 3.216)	
Hba1c <6.5% – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	88	(40.4%	219	37f	(16.9%		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	218		-2.14 (SD 2.2)	219		-0.83 (SD 2.27)	MD=- 1.310 (CI: -1.700, - 0.920)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wkb	Continuous	218		7.9 (SD 2.81)	219		9.2 (SD 3.26)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	218		-2.04 (SD 2.49)	219		-0.59 (SD 2.49)	MD=- 1.450 (CI: -1.890, - 1.010)	<0.0001
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wkb	Mean change	218		-2.65 (SD 5.91)	219		-0.55 (SD 2.96)		
Weight (kg) – 26wkb	Mean change	218		-3.3 (SD 7.38)	219		-0.8 (SD 4.88)	MD=- 2.420 (CI: -3.140, - 1.700)	
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	218		-3.38 (SD 4.02)	219		-0.96 (SD 4.08)	MD=- 2.420 (CI: -3.140, - 1.700)	
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	218		-3.38 (SD 4.02)	219		-0.8 (SD 4.88) b	MD=- 2.420 (CI: -3.140, - 1.700)	
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	218		-3.3 (SD 7.38) b	219		-0.96 (SD 4.08)	MD=- 2.420 (CI: -3.140, - 1.700)	
Weight (kg) – 52wkc	Mean change	218		-3.68 (SD 4.6)	219		-1.16 (SD 4.61)	MD=- 2.530 (CI: -3.330, - 1.730)	<0.0001
Waist circumference (cms) – 26wk	Mean change	218		-2.63 (SD 5.31)	219		-1.12 (SD 5.29)	MD=- 1.500 (CI: -2.440, - 0.560)	0.002

Waist circumference	Mean			-3.02 (SD			-1.23 (SD	MD=- 1.790 (CI: -2.780, -	
(cms) – 52wk	change	218		-0.01	219		5.59)	0.800) MD=0.00 0 (CI: -	<0.001
Waist/hip ratio – 26wk	Mean change	218		(SD 0.0758)	219		0 (SD 0.0755)	0.010,	0.3
Hypoglycaemic events: Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 26wkh	Count	3749 2	38		3758 3	11			
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 26wki	Dichotomou s	218	0.37	(0.2%)	219	0.106	(0.0%)		
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	11j	(5.0%)	219	0.106 i	(0.0%)		
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	0.37i	(0.2%)	219	10j	(4.6%)		
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 26wkj	Dichotomou s	218	11	(5.0%)	219	10	(4.6%)		
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 52wkk	Count	6752 2	68		6734 0	25			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	0	(0.0%)	219	0	(0.0%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	0	(0.0%)	219	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	59	(27.1%	219	10	(4.6%)		
GI: nausea – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	60	(27.5%)	219	12	(5.5%)		
Any adverse event(s) – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	159	(72.9% )	219	127	(58.0%		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	6	(2.8%)	219	4	(1.8%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	13	(6.0%)	219	12	(5.5%)		
cardiovascular AE – 52wkl	Dichotomou s	218	1	(0.5%)	219	1	(0.5%)		
Death – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	1	(0.5%)	219	1	(0.5%)		
Death – 52wkl	Dichotomou s	218	1	(0.5%)	219	2	(0.9%)		
Dyspepsia – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	14	(6.4%)	219	5	(2.3%)		
Dyspepsia – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	15	(6.9%)	219	5	(2.3%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	88	(40.4% )	219	46	(21.0%		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	94	(43.1% )	219	52	(23.7%		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	94	(43.1%	219	41	(1.8%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 52wkl	Dichotomou s	218	5	(2.3%)	219	4	(1.8%)		

Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	51	(2.3%)	219	52	(23.7%
GI: diarrhoea – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	25	(11.5%)	219	10	(4.6%)
GI: diarrhoea – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	27	(12.4%	219	14	(6.4%)
GI: vomiting – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	21	(9.6%)	219	9	(4.1%)
_	Dichotomou	040	00	(10.6%	240	44	(5.00()
GI: vomiting – 52wk GI: constipation – 26wk	S Dichotomou s	218	11	(5.0%)	219	6	(5.0%)
GI: constipation – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	13	(6.0%)	219	8	(3.7%)
Headache – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	25	(11.5%	219	22	(10.0%
Headache – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	29	(13.3%	219	27	(12.3%
Infection (upper airway or other	Dichotomou	210	23	,	213	LI	,
common) – 26wkm	S	218	1	(0.5%)	219	1	(0.5%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	59	(27.1%	219	1m	(0.5%)
Infection (upper airway or other	Dichotomou			(27.1%			(28.8%
common) – 26wk Infection (upper	S	218	59	)	219	63	)
airway or other common) – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	1m	(0.5%)	219	63	(28.8%
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 52wkl	Dichotomou s	218	3	(1.4%)	219	3	(1.4%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	77	(35.3%	219	75	(34.2%
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	77	(35.3%	219	31	(1.4%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	31	(1.4%)	219	75	(34.2%
	Dichotomou			(11.9%			(2.70()
Injection site – 26wk	S Dichotomou	218	26	(14.7%	219	8	(3.7%)
Injection site – 26wk	S Dichotomou s	218	32n 32	(14.7%	219	13	(5.9%)
,	Dichotomou			(11.9%			
Injection site – 26wk  Musculoskeletal and connective tissue	S	218	26	(20.6%	219	13n	(5.9%)
disorders – 26wk  Musculoskeletal and	S	218	45	)	219	45	)
connective tissue disorders – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	45	(20.6%	219	1m	(0.5%)
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders – 26wkm	Dichotomou s	218	1	(0.5%)	219	1	(0.5%)
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	1m	(0.5%)	219	45	(20.5%
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders – 52wkl	Dichotomou s	218	1	(0.5%)	219	1	(0.5%)

Nasopharyngitis – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	28	(12.8%	219	26	(11.9% )		
Nasopharyngitis – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	32	(14.7%	219	31	(14.2%		
Nervous system disorders – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	48	(22.0%	219	21	(0.9%)		
Nervous system disorders – 52wkl	Dichotomou s	218	0	(0.0%)	219	2	(0.9%)		
Nervous system disorders – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	48	(22.0%	219	44	(20.1%		
Nervous system disorders – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	OI	(0.0%)	219	44	(20.1%		
renal or urinary disorder – 26wkm	Dichotomou s	218	0	(0.0%)	219	1	(0.5%)		
renal or urinary disorder – 52wkl	Dichotomou s	218	0	(0.0%)	219	1	(0.5%)		
Skin reaction – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	20	(9.2%)	219	22	(10.0% )		
Skin reaction – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	12	(5.5%)	219	12	(5.5%)		
Skin reaction – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	12	(5.5%)	219	22	(10.0%		
Skin reaction – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	20	(9.2%)	219	12	(5.5%)		
Temperature/influenz a – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	2	(0.9%)	219	5	(2.3%)		
Temperature/influenz a – 52wk	Dichotomou s	218	4	(1.8%)	219	8	(3.7%)		
Vascular disorder – 26wk	Dichotomou s	218	15	(6.9%)	219	10	(4.6%)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) –	Mean	240		-0.72 (SD	240		-0.94 (SD	MD=0.22 0 (CI: - 2.120,	0.05
26wk	change	218		13.3)	219		13.2)	2.560) MD=-	0.85
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 52wk	Mean change	218		-2.55 (SD 13.6)	219		-1.03 (SD 13.7)	1.530 (CI: -3.970, 0.910)	0.22
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wk	Mean change	218		0.07 (SD 8.87)	219		-1.78 (SD 8.83)	MD=1.85 0 (CI: 0.280, 3.420)	0.02
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 52wk	Mean change	218		-0.87 (SD 8.44)	219		-1.47 (SD 8.46)	MD=0.60 0 (CI: - 0.900, 2.100)	0.43
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	218		-0.17 (SD 0.834)	219		-0.02 (SD 0.831)	MD=- 0.160 (CI: -0.300, - 0.020)	0.03
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	218		-0.09 (SD 0.904)	219		0.03 (SD 1.36)	MD=- 0.120 (CI: -0.280, 0.040)	0.12
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	218		0 (SD 0.152)	219		0 (SD 0.151)	MD=0.00 0 (CI: - 0.030, 0.030)	0.92
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	218		0.02 (SD 0.151)	219		0.01 (SD 0.151)	MD=0.01 0 (CI: - 0.020, 0.040)	0.53
Triglycerides (mmol/l) - 26wk	Mean change	218		-0.43 (SD 1.37)	219		-0.4 (SD 1.36)	MD=- 0.030 (CI: -0.280, 0.220)	0.80

Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	218	-0.32 (SD 1.51)	219	(	-0.23 (SD 1.51)	MD=- 0.090 (CI: -0.340, 0.160)	0.49
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	218	0.05 (SD 0.683)	219	(	0.13 (SD 0.68)	MD=- 0.080 (CI: -0.200, 0.040)	0.21
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	218	0.09 (SD 0.753)	219	(	0.17 (SD 0.755)	MD=- 0.080 (CI: -0.210, 0.050)	0.23

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> (Used in the analysis)

Most endpoints were assessed by ANCOVA, with treatment and country as fixed eff ects, and baseline measure as a covariate. We used logistic regression to compare the proportions of participants achieving HbA1c targets and the composite endpoint, and generate odds ratios (ORs). Treatment was a fixed effect, and baseline. HbA1c was a covariate, with baseline weight as an additional covariate for the composite endpoint only.

Safety analyses were done on data from all patients who had been exposed to at least one dose of trial drug. Hypoglycaemic episodes were analysed by a general linear model with treatment as a fixed effect. P-values are not reported for adverse events

#### Table 28: Ristic et al. (2006)

#### General Phase: □ monotherapy ☑ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy □ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: five countries (France, Italy, Canada, Spain and Austria) Authors' conclusions: No significant difference was seen between nateglinide plus metformin and gliclazide plus metformin in terms of HbA1c . However, the nateglinide combination demonstrated better postprandial glucose control Source of funding: Novartis Comments: double-blind, double-dummy, parallel group, randomized study carried out over a period of 24 weeks. Randomization to treatment was by a computer-generated schedule via an interactive voiceresponding system that assigned randomization on a study-centre basis with a block size of 4. Number and Total number of patients: 262 characteristics Inclusion criteria: Patients were eligible if they had Type 2 diabetes for a minimum of 6 months and had of patients received metformin monotherapy for at least 3 months; the patients also had to be on a minimum metformin dose of 1000 mg per day continuously for at least 2 months prior to study entry, but remain inadequately controlled by medication, diet and physical exercise. Other inclusion criteria were a baseline HbA1c 6.8-9.0%, and a body mass index (BMI) between 20 and 35 kg/m2. In the 8 weeks preceding the study, and throughout the study, patients remained on their individual maximally tolerated dose of metformin. Exclusion criteria: No details reported **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or glucoselowering Details of washout period: All patients received metformin monotherapy at study and this was continued

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> estimated from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> SD estimated from 95% CI

destimated from graph; approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> composite (no weight gain or hypos); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) <sup>f</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

g composite endpoint (no weight gain or hypoglycaemia)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> Event rate (events/patient year) and patient days calculated assuming dropouts occurred halfway through the study were used to calculate number of events

episodes per patient year

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>j</sup> No patients

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>k</sup> (Used in the analysis); Adjusted event rate (events/patient years with outliers removed) and patient days calculated assuming dropouts occurred halfway through the study were used to calculate number of events <sup>1</sup> SAE

m severe

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>n</sup> general disorder and administration site

	throughout the t	riai									
Lifestyle advice	-										
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -										
Arms	(1) Metformin + nateglinide N: 133 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 1921 (b) nateglinide (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Minimum dose (mg/d): 540 Participants achieving full dose (n): 79 Details of dosing regimen: Treatment regimens of nateglinide and gliclazide were started at the lowest levels (60 mg three times a day before meals and 80 mg once per day, respectively) and were titrated to the next dose level on a monthly basis up to a maximum of 180 mg before meals and 240 mg per day, respectively, during the first 3 months. Dose levels of study medication were increased if the fasting plasma glucose (FPG) level was > 7 mmol/l, if the patient had not experienced any confirmed hypoglycaemic events (symptomatic and/or asymptomatic events with plasma glucose concentration = 4.0 mmol/l) and if the patient had not experienced more than three hypoglycaemic events in the past month.  59.4% were titrated up to the highest dose level (180 mg tid)  (2) Metformin + gliclazide N: 129 Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 1812 (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Minimum dose (mg/d): 240 Details of dosing regimen: 35.7% were titrated up to the highest dose level (240 mg/day)										
Outcomes  Baseline characteristics	randomized patirandomized patirandomized patirandomized patirandomized patirandomized patirandomized weeks, study 52 week outcom Hypoglycaemid confirmed hypoglycaemid was considered value obtained a = 4.0 mmol/l (correto blood glucose	glycaemia (An even as confirmed if the at the time of the ev- esponding evalue of = 3.6 mm ogical impairment the	ne post-baseline seline safety ass ne nateglinide grion study Ristic t self-monitored pent was	effica sessm roup a (2007)	ent. nd 2 a are a glu ia w able	evaluation, and s 20/129 in the glid e also reported in acose	lazide this e	grouvide	up discontinue ence table e. an episode	ed th	
						Ī.		-			
	Demographics:			N	k	mean	N	k	mean 61.6 (SD	Δ	р

Sex (n male)

Dichotomous 133 72 (54.1%)

129 65 (50.4%)

Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	133	7.16 (SD 6.3)	129	6.7 (SD 5.55)				
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	133	7.67 (SD 0.59)	129	7.6 (SD 0.58)				
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	133	8.95 (SD 1.49)	129	8.73 (SD 1.48)				
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	133	28.5 (SD 3.5)	129	29.5 (SD 3.6)				
Weight (kg)	Continuous	133		129					
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	129	7.66 (SD 0.59)	118	7.57 (SD 0.57)				
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	129	8.49 (SD 1.49)	118	8.65 (SD 1.49)				
Data from 52 week extension Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	112	7.65 (SD 0.6)	101	7.55 (SD 0.57)				
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	112	9.04 (SD 1.53)	101	8.54 (SD 1.45)				
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	112	28.6 (SD 3.5)	101	30 (SD 3.2)				
Weight (kg) a	Continuous	112	80.72064 (SD 9.88)	101	84.672 (SD 9.03)				
<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m									

Results

			Metformin + nateglinide			etforn liclaz			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c reduction >=0.5% – 0wk	Dichotomous	133			129				NR
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 0wk	Dichotomous	133			129				NR
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 24wka	Count	21252	110		19992	188			
Adverse events: Asthenia – 0wk	Dichotomous	133			129				NR
Death – 0wk	Dichotomous	133			129				NR
Tremor – 0wk	Dichotomous	133			129				NR
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	133	13	(9.8%)	129	20	(15.5%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 0wk	Dichotomous	133			129				NR
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	130	2	(1.5%)	126	8	(6.3%)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	133		-0.41 (SD 0.909)	129		-0.57 (SD 0.869)	MD=0.170 (CI: -0.026, 0.366)	0.099
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	129		-0.41 (SD 0.909)	118		-0.57 (SD 0.869)	MD=0.170 (CI: -0.026, 0.366)	0.099
HbA1c reduction >=0.5% - 24wk	Dichotomous	129	63	(48.8%)	118	58	(49.2%)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	129	45	(34.9%)	118	55	(46.6%)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 52wk	Dichotomous	110	44	(40.0%)	99	47	(47.5%)		

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	129		-0.63 (SD 1.93)	118		-0.82 (SD 1.96)	MD=0.190 (CI: -0.222, 0.602)	0.375
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	133		-0.63 (SD 1.93)	129		-0.82 (SD 1.96)	MD=0.190 (CI: -0.222, 0.602)	0.375
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	110			99			MD=0.490 (CI: -0.078, 1.058)	0.096
Safety population									
Hypoglycaemic events:									
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	130	13b	(10.0%)	126	32	(25.4%)		
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	130	32	(24.6%)	126	17b	(13.5%)		
	Dichotomous	130	32	(24.070)	120	170	(13.376)		
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	130	32	(24.6%)	126	32	(25.4%)		
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wkb	Dichotomous	130	13	(10.0%)	126	17	(13.5%)		
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 52wk	Dichotomous	112	19c	(17.0%)	101	16d	(15.8%)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 24wk				(9.2%)	126	28f	(22.2%)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	130	28f	(21.5%)	126	16e	(12.7%)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 24wkf	Dichotomous	130	28	(21.5%)	126	28	(22.2%)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 24wke	Dichotomous	130	12	(9.2%)	126	16	(12.7%)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wk	Dichotomous	112	7e	(6.3%)	101	15	(14.9%)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wk	Dichotomous	112	17	(15.2%)	101	7e	(6.9%)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wke	Dichotomous	112	7	(6.3%)	101	7	(6.9%)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wk	Dichotomous		17	(15.2%)	101	15	(14.9%)		
Adverse events:									
Death – 24wk	Dichotomous	130	0	(0.0%)	126	0	(0.0%)		
Data from 52 week extension				7.53			7.35		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wkg	Continuous	110		(SD 1.13)	99		(SD 1.3)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wkh	Mean change	110		-0.2 (SD 2.31)	99		-0.69 (SD 2.29)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 52wki	Dichotomous	112	4	(3.6%)	101	3	(3.0%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 52wki	Dichotomous		1	(0.9%)	101	2	(2.0%)		
<sup>a</sup> (Used in the analysis); Pa	atient days estir	nated a	ssumi	ina dropoi	uts occu	irred h	nalfway th	rough the stud	lv and

a (Used in the analysis); Patient days estimated assuming dropouts occurred halfway through the study and event rates derived from reported event rate (events/100 patients/month)
 b Patients with >=3 events suggestive of hypo

at least one event suggestive of hypo
at least one event suggestive of hypo
patients with >= 3 events confirmed as hypoglycaemia
No patients

 $<sup>^{\</sup>it g}$  SD estimated from assumed SE in graph

<sup>h</sup> SD estimated from SE <sup>i</sup> (Used in the analysis)
An ANCOVA model was used to test the null hypothesis that nateglinide plus metformin combination therapy was as effective as gliclazide plus metformin combination therapy. The primary ANCOVA model included effects for treatment, study centre, baseline HbA1c and treatment by baseline HbA1c interaction

# Table 29: Rosenstock et al. (2013)

Table 29: Ro	senstock et al. (2013)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy  ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Multinational- 122 centres across 18 countries (Argentina, Austria, Brazil, Colombia, Denmark, Finland, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Italy the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Puerto Rico, Russian Federation, Spain, Sweden, USA)  Authors' conclusions: Add on lixisenatide once daily in TTD inadequately controlled with metformin demonstrated noninferior improvements in HbA1c with slightly lower mean weight loss, lower incidence of hypoglycaemia, and better gastrointestinal tolerability compared with exenatide twice daily.  Source of funding: The study was funded by Sanofi, the manufacturer of lixisenatide and were responsible for study design, protocol, statistical analysis plans, analysis and reporting of results  Comments: Open label
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 639 Inclusion criteria: men and women aged 21 to 84 years with TTD receiving 1500mg/d metformin or more, with HbA1c 7-10% Exclusion criteria: Use of oral or injectable glucose lowering agents other than metformin within 3 months before the time of screening; FPG >13.9mmol/l, history of unexplained pancreatitis, chronic pancreatitis, pancreatectomy, stomach/gastric surgery, inflammatory bowel disease; history of metabolic acidosis including diabetic ketoacidosis within 1 year before screening, history within the previous 6 months of MI, stroke or heart failure requiring hospitalisation; clinically relevant history of gastrointesitnal disease, with prolonged nausea and vomiting during the previous 6 months.  Pre-randomisation phase: Not stated. Screening period lasted 2 weeks. Unclear what this involved
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All patients were on metformin which was continued.
Lifestyle advice	not stated
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 2 Length of maintenance period (wks): 22 Frequency of monitoring appointments: 24 week study followed by a 52 week extension Stepwise dose increases were used lixisenitide: 10microg for 1 week, 15 microg for 1 week, 20microg thereafter Exenatide: 10migrog for 4 weeks, 20microg thereafter
Arms	(1) Metformin + Lixisenatide N: 318 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): - Treatment(s): (a) Metformin – fixed-dose

N: 316

Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): -

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin – fixed-dose

Mean dose (mg/d): 2058 Minimum dose (mg/d): 1500 (b) Exenatide – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d): 0.02 Minimum dose (mg/d): 0.01 Maximum dose (mg/d): 0.02 Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: Exenatide 5microg was given twice dialy for 4 weeks, and then

10migrog twice daily.

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

All efficacy parameters were assessed in a modified ITT population- all randomised participants who received at least one dose of open label investigational prodict and had both a baseline assessment and one post baseline assessment for any primary or secondary efficacy variables. The primary efficacy end point was change in HbA1c. The secondary efficacy measures were percentage of participants achieving HbA1c <7% or <=6.5%, changes in FPG and changes in body weight.

Safety population comprised participants exposed to one dose of the investigational product

The primary end point was analysed using ANCOVA with treatment group, screening strata for HbA1c and BMI, and country as fixed effects.

LOCF was used to handle missing data or early discontinuation from the study.

## Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Defined as symptomatic hypoglycaemia in which the subject required the assistance of another person and that was associated with either plasma glucose level <2.0 mmol/l or if no plasma glucose measurement was available, prompt recovery with intravenous glucose, glucagon or oral carbohydrate administered by a 3rd party.)

Symptomatic hypoglycaemia (symptoms consistent with hypoglycaemia, with accompanying blood glucose <3.3 mmol/l and/or prompt recovery with oral carbohydrate, glucagon or intravenous glucose.)

# Baseline characteristics

				etformin + kisenatide	Met	for	min + exenatide		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	318		57.3 (SD 9.2)	316		57.6 (SD 10.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	318			316				
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	318		6.8 (SD 5.5)	316		6.8 (SD 4.9)		
Ethnicity-White	Dichotomous	318			316				
Ethnicity-Black	Dichotomous	318			316				
Ethnicity-Asian	Dichotomous	318			316				
Ethnicity-Other	Dichotomous	318			316				
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	318		8.03 (SD 0.8)	316		8.02 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	318		9.6913476 (SD 2)	316		9.6913476 (SD 2.3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	318		33.7 (SD 6.3)	316		33.5 (SD 6.5)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	318		94 (SD 19.6)	316		96.1 (SD 22.5)		

### **Results**

		-		rmin + natide	Metfo	rmin ·	+ exenatide		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	315		7.25 (SD 0.887)	315		7 (SD 0.887)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	318		-0.79 (SD 0.892)	316		-0.96 (SD 0.889)		

Continuous	318		7.17 (SD 0.96)	316		7.01 (SD 0.88)	MD=0.170	b
Dichotomous	318	154	(48.4%)	316	157	(49.7%)		
Dichotomous	318	91	,			,		
Continuous	315		8.5 (SD 1.77)	315		8.3 (SD 1.77)		
Continuous	315		8.3925072 (SD 2)	315		8.1926856 (SD 2.1)	MD=0.230	С
Mean change	315		-2 (SD 1.77)	315		-2.5 (SD 1.77)		
Continuous	315		91.7 (SD 18.9)	315		92.9 (SD 22.3)	MD=1.020	d
Mean change	315		-2.96 (SD 4.08)	315		-3.98 (SD 4.08)		
Dichotomous	318	0	(0.0%)	316	0	(0.0%)		
Count	49980	8		49308	48			
Dichotomous	318	8	(2.5%)	316	25	(7.9%)		
Dichotomous	318	78	(24.5%)	316	111	(35.1%)		
Dichotomous	318	221	(69.5%)	316	228	(72.2%)		
Dichotomous	318	9	(2.8%)	316	7	(2.2%)		
Dichotomous	318	1	(0.3%)	316	1	(0.3%)		
Dichotomous	318	137	(43.1%)	316	160	(50.6%)		
Dichotomous	318	33	(10.4%)	316	42	(13.3%)		
Dichotomous	318	32	(10.1%)	316	42	(13.3%)		
Dichotomous	318	41	(12.9%)	316	45	(14.2%)		
Dichotomous	318	33	(10.4%)	316	41	(13.0%)		
	Dichotomous Dichotomous Continuous Mean change Continuous Mean change  Dichotomous	Dichotomous 318 Dichotomous 318 Continuous 315 Continuous 315 Mean change 315 Continuous 315 Mean change 315 Dichotomous 318	Dichotomous         318         154           Dichotomous         318         91           Continuous         315           Mean change         315           Continuous         315           Mean change         315           Dichotomous         318           Dichotomous         318           Dichotomous         318           Bichotomous         318           Dichotomous         318           Dichotomous         318           Dichotomous         318           1         Dichotomous           318         33           Dichotomous         318           32         316           Dichotomous         318           318         32	Continuous         318         0.96)           Dichotomous         318         154         (48.4%)           Dichotomous         318         91         (28.6%)           Continuous         315         8.5 (SD           L.77)         8.3925072         (SD 2)           Mean change         -2 (SD 1.77)         -2 (SD 1.77)           Continuous         315         91.7 (SD 18.9)           Mean change         -2.96 (SD 4.08)           Dichotomous         318         0           Count         49980         8           Dichotomous         318         8           Dichotomous         318         8           Dichotomous         318         221           Dichotomous         318         1           Dichotomous         318         1           Dichotomous         318         137           Dichotomous         318         33           Dichotomous         318         32           Dichotomous         318         32           Dichotomous         318         31           Dichotomous         318         31           Dichotomous         318         31 <td>Continuous         318         0.96)         316           Dichotomous         318         154         (48.4%)         316           Dichotomous         318         91         (28.6%)         316           Continuous         315         8.5 (SD         315           Continuous         315         8.3925072 (SD 2)         315           Mean change         315         -2 (SD         1.77)         315           Continuous         315         91.7 (SD         18.9)         315           Mean change         315         -2.96 (SD         4.08)         315           Dichotomous         318         0         (0.0%)         316           Count         49980         8         49308           Dichotomous         318         8         (2.5%)         316           Dichotomous         318         78         (24.5%)         316           Dichotomous         318         221         (69.5%)         316           Dichotomous         318         1         (0.3%)         316           Dichotomous         318         137         (43.1%)         316           Dichotomous         318         33         (10.4</td> <td>Continuous         318         0.96)         316           Dichotomous         318         154         (48.4%)         316         157           Dichotomous         318         91         (28.6%)         316         111           Continuous         315         8.5 (SD 1.77)         315           Continuous         315         8.3925072 (SD 2)         315           Mean change         315         -2 (SD 1.77)         315           Continuous         315         91.7 (SD 18.9)         315           Mean change         315         -2.96 (SD 4.08)         315           Dichotomous         318         0         (0.0%)         316         0           Count         49980         8         49308         48           Dichotomous         318         8         (2.5%)         316         25           Dichotomous         318         7         316         111           Dichotomous         318         221         (69.5%)         316         11           Dichotomous         318         1         (0.3%)         316         1           Dichotomous         318         137         (43.1%)         316         42<!--</td--><td>Continuous         318         0.96)         316         0.88)           Dichotomous         318         154         (48.4%)         316         157         (49.7%)           Dichotomous         318         91         (28.6%)         316         111         (35.1%)           Continuous         315         1.77)         315         11.77)         11.77)           Continuous         315         8.3925072 (SD 2)         315         8.1926856 (SD 2.1)           Mean change         315         1.77)         315         1.77)           Continuous         315         91.7 (SD 1.77)         315         22.3)           Mean change         315         -2.96 (SD 2.3)         -3.98 (SD 2.3)           Mean change         315         4.08)         315         -3.98 (SD 4.08)           Dichotomous         318         0 (0.0%)         316         0 (0.0%)           Count         49980         8         49308         48           Dichotomous         318         8 (24.5%)         316         25 (7.9%)           Dichotomous         318         78 (24.5%)         316         111 (35.1%)           Dichotomous         318         1 (0.3%)         316</td><td>Continuous         318         0.96)         316         0.88)         MD=0.170           Dichotomous         318         154         (48.4%)         316         157         (49.7%)           Dichotomous         318         91         (28.6%)         316         111         (35.1%)           Continuous         315         8.5 (SD 2)         315         8.1926856 (SD 2.1)         MD=0.230           Mean change         315         -2 (SD 1.77)         315         -2.5 (SD 2.1)         MD=0.230           Mean change         315         1.77)         315         22.5 (SD 2.1)         MD=0.230           Mean change         315         18.9)         315         22.3)         MD=1.020           Mean change         315         -2.96 (SD 4.08)         315         -3.98 (SD 4.08)           Dichotomous         318         0 (0.0%)         316         0 (0.0%)           Dichotomous         318         8 (2.5%)         316         25 (7.9%)           Dichotomous         318         7 (2.2%)         316         111 (35.1%)           Dichotomous         318         1 (69.5%)         316         228 (72.2%)         22.6           Dichotomous         318         1 (0.3%</td></td>	Continuous         318         0.96)         316           Dichotomous         318         154         (48.4%)         316           Dichotomous         318         91         (28.6%)         316           Continuous         315         8.5 (SD         315           Continuous         315         8.3925072 (SD 2)         315           Mean change         315         -2 (SD         1.77)         315           Continuous         315         91.7 (SD         18.9)         315           Mean change         315         -2.96 (SD         4.08)         315           Dichotomous         318         0         (0.0%)         316           Count         49980         8         49308           Dichotomous         318         8         (2.5%)         316           Dichotomous         318         78         (24.5%)         316           Dichotomous         318         221         (69.5%)         316           Dichotomous         318         1         (0.3%)         316           Dichotomous         318         137         (43.1%)         316           Dichotomous         318         33         (10.4	Continuous         318         0.96)         316           Dichotomous         318         154         (48.4%)         316         157           Dichotomous         318         91         (28.6%)         316         111           Continuous         315         8.5 (SD 1.77)         315           Continuous         315         8.3925072 (SD 2)         315           Mean change         315         -2 (SD 1.77)         315           Continuous         315         91.7 (SD 18.9)         315           Mean change         315         -2.96 (SD 4.08)         315           Dichotomous         318         0         (0.0%)         316         0           Count         49980         8         49308         48           Dichotomous         318         8         (2.5%)         316         25           Dichotomous         318         7         316         111           Dichotomous         318         221         (69.5%)         316         11           Dichotomous         318         1         (0.3%)         316         1           Dichotomous         318         137         (43.1%)         316         42 </td <td>Continuous         318         0.96)         316         0.88)           Dichotomous         318         154         (48.4%)         316         157         (49.7%)           Dichotomous         318         91         (28.6%)         316         111         (35.1%)           Continuous         315         1.77)         315         11.77)         11.77)           Continuous         315         8.3925072 (SD 2)         315         8.1926856 (SD 2.1)           Mean change         315         1.77)         315         1.77)           Continuous         315         91.7 (SD 1.77)         315         22.3)           Mean change         315         -2.96 (SD 2.3)         -3.98 (SD 2.3)           Mean change         315         4.08)         315         -3.98 (SD 4.08)           Dichotomous         318         0 (0.0%)         316         0 (0.0%)           Count         49980         8         49308         48           Dichotomous         318         8 (24.5%)         316         25 (7.9%)           Dichotomous         318         78 (24.5%)         316         111 (35.1%)           Dichotomous         318         1 (0.3%)         316</td> <td>Continuous         318         0.96)         316         0.88)         MD=0.170           Dichotomous         318         154         (48.4%)         316         157         (49.7%)           Dichotomous         318         91         (28.6%)         316         111         (35.1%)           Continuous         315         8.5 (SD 2)         315         8.1926856 (SD 2.1)         MD=0.230           Mean change         315         -2 (SD 1.77)         315         -2.5 (SD 2.1)         MD=0.230           Mean change         315         1.77)         315         22.5 (SD 2.1)         MD=0.230           Mean change         315         18.9)         315         22.3)         MD=1.020           Mean change         315         -2.96 (SD 4.08)         315         -3.98 (SD 4.08)           Dichotomous         318         0 (0.0%)         316         0 (0.0%)           Dichotomous         318         8 (2.5%)         316         25 (7.9%)           Dichotomous         318         7 (2.2%)         316         111 (35.1%)           Dichotomous         318         1 (69.5%)         316         228 (72.2%)         22.6           Dichotomous         318         1 (0.3%</td>	Continuous         318         0.96)         316         0.88)           Dichotomous         318         154         (48.4%)         316         157         (49.7%)           Dichotomous         318         91         (28.6%)         316         111         (35.1%)           Continuous         315         1.77)         315         11.77)         11.77)           Continuous         315         8.3925072 (SD 2)         315         8.1926856 (SD 2.1)           Mean change         315         1.77)         315         1.77)           Continuous         315         91.7 (SD 1.77)         315         22.3)           Mean change         315         -2.96 (SD 2.3)         -3.98 (SD 2.3)           Mean change         315         4.08)         315         -3.98 (SD 4.08)           Dichotomous         318         0 (0.0%)         316         0 (0.0%)           Count         49980         8         49308         48           Dichotomous         318         8 (24.5%)         316         25 (7.9%)           Dichotomous         318         78 (24.5%)         316         111 (35.1%)           Dichotomous         318         1 (0.3%)         316	Continuous         318         0.96)         316         0.88)         MD=0.170           Dichotomous         318         154         (48.4%)         316         157         (49.7%)           Dichotomous         318         91         (28.6%)         316         111         (35.1%)           Continuous         315         8.5 (SD 2)         315         8.1926856 (SD 2.1)         MD=0.230           Mean change         315         -2 (SD 1.77)         315         -2.5 (SD 2.1)         MD=0.230           Mean change         315         1.77)         315         22.5 (SD 2.1)         MD=0.230           Mean change         315         18.9)         315         22.3)         MD=1.020           Mean change         315         -2.96 (SD 4.08)         315         -3.98 (SD 4.08)           Dichotomous         318         0 (0.0%)         316         0 (0.0%)           Dichotomous         318         8 (2.5%)         316         25 (7.9%)           Dichotomous         318         7 (2.2%)         316         111 (35.1%)           Dichotomous         318         1 (69.5%)         316         228 (72.2%)         22.6           Dichotomous         318         1 (0.3%

# Table 30: Srivastava et al. (2012)

## General Phase: ☐ monotherapy ☑ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: India Authors' conclusions: In this study addition of sitagliptin and glimepiride to metformin monotherapy, produced significan improvement in glycaemic control. Benefits were more with glimepiride in comparison to sitagliptin. Sitagliptin was well tolerated, with lower risk of hypoglycaemia than glimepiride, and produced weight loss as compared to weight gain with glimepiride.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> graph <sup>b</sup> LS mean change 95% CI= 0.033 to 0.297 COMMON CIT SEED CHANGE 95% CI= -0.052 to 0.522 <sup>c</sup> LS mean change 95% CI= -0.052 to 0.522

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> LS mean change 95% CI= 0.456 to 1.581

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> (Used in the analysis); Patient days estimated assuming dropouts occurred halfway through the study

number of patients

	Source of funding: Unclear Comments: Subjectes were allo	cated randomly	by c	on	nputer generated ran	dom	ทเ	ımber table.		
Number and characteristics of patients	antidiabetic agent at least for the <b>Exclusion criteria:</b> Patients with	Total number of patients: 50  nclusion criteria: Patients with type 2 diabetes, aged 18 years and over, who were using only metformin as antidiabetic agent at least for the last 3 months and with inadequate glycaemic control (Hba1c >7 and <10%)  Exclusion criteria: Patients with type 1 diabetes, evidence of cardiac failure, evidence of hepatic or renal insufficiency or other terminal illness were excluded								
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously ta insulin  Details of washout period: All continued									
Lifestyle advice										
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 18 Length of titration period (wks Length of maintenance period Frequency of monitoring appo	(wks): 18								
Arms	no other antihy (b) Sitagliptin of Minimum dose Maximum dose Maximum dose Details of dosi adjusted after permitted dose (2) Metformin + glimepiride N: 25 Treatment duration (wks): 18 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): (a) Metformin Details of dosi no other antihy (b) Sulfonylure Details of dosi	ng regimen: Do: yperglyacemic a (Oral) – flexible- e (mg/d): 50 e (mg/d): 200 ng regimen: Sta every 4 weeks i e was 200 mg/di (Oral) ng regimen: Do: yperglyacemic a ea (Oral) ng regimen: Sta	gent dose rrting f glyc ay	wa (d do ae	ose-adjusted) use of sitagliptin was emic control was not i	50/11 reac nsta ay. I	00 hed	mg per day. Doses d. The maximum throughout the study	we	nd
Outcomes	General Details of statistical analysis wer as age and sex. Patients who discontinued the st All outcomes apart from postpra	udy were not re	porte	ed					S SI	uch
Baseline characteristics			Me	etfo	ormin + sitagliptin			Metformin + glimepiride		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	25		8.28 (SD 0.42)	25		8.248 (SD 0.57)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wka	Continuous			10.229977824 (SD 0.62)	25		9.922030536 (SD 0.74)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous			25.265 (SD 2.52)	25		26.487 (SD 3.97)		
	<sup>a</sup> converted from mg/dl to mmol/l									

Results				_	Metformin + sitagliptin			Metformin + glimepiride		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	25		7.784 (SD 0.42)	25		7.48 (SD 0.4)		
	HbA1c (%) – 18wka	Continuous	25		7.644 (SD 0.42)	25		7.076 (SD 0.36)		<0.001
	HbA1c (%) – 18wka	Mean change	25		-0.636 (SD 0.99)	25		-1.172 (SD 0.25)		
	HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 18wk	Dichotomous	25	3	(12.0%)	25	9	(36.0%)		NR
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Continuous	25		9.545477832 (SD 0.61)	25		8.69668008 (SD 0.68)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 18wka	Continuous	25		9.370300896 (SD 0.59)	25		8.26595352 (SD 0.61)		<0.001
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 18wka	Mean change	25		-0.859676928 (SD 0.13)	25		-1.65574398 (SD 0.25)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/dl) – 12wka	Continuous	25		25.239 (SD 2.52)	25		26.498 (SD 3.97)		
	BMI (kg/dl) – 18wka	Continuous	25		25.226 (SD 2.52)	25		26.506 (SD 3.97)		<0.01
	BMI (kg/dl) – 18wka	Mean change	25		-0.039 (SD 0.58)	25		0.184 (SD 0.6)		
	<sup>a</sup> assumed SD's reported but this	is unclear								
	Assumed SD are reported									

# Table 31: Umpierrez et al. (2006)

Tubio o II o II	ipierrez et al. (2000)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy  ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Multicentre in USA  Authors' conclusions: In patients with type two diabetes inadequately controlled on metformin monotherapy, add on glimepiride or pioglitazone results in similar overall improvements in glycaemic control. Compared with pioglitazone, glimepiride is associated with faster glycaemic control, lower total and LDL cholesterol levels and reduced short term healthcare costs.  Source of funding: Sanofi Aventis  Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 203 Inclusion criteria: Males and females aged 18 to 79 years with a diagnosis of TTD for at least 6 months, and who were taking stable doses of metformin 1 to 2.5 g/day, or extended release metformin 0.5 to 2g/day as their only OAD for at least 2 months prior to the study. All participants had BMI=>24, HbA1c 7/5 to 10%, FPG 126 to 235mg/dl, and evidence of insulin secretory capacity as defined by fasting Cpeptide concentration =>0.27nmol/l during the stabilization period.  Exclusion criteria: People treated with insulin, thiazolidinediones or sulfonylurea within 3 months prior to study enrolment; history of substance abuse; severe hypoglycaemia; acute metabolic complications; clinically significant abnormal baseline laboratory haemotology, blood chemistry or urinalysis values.  Pre-randomisation phase: The study included a 2 week stabilisation period in subjects treated with metformin or modified metformin
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All were on metformin or modified release metformin and continued these throughout the trial

Follow-up	Total follow-up ( Length of titration	•	: 0															
	Length of mainte	th of maintenance period (wks): 26																
	Frequency of monitoring appointments: 6, 12, 20, 26, 28 weeks																	
Arms	<b>(1) Metformin + Glimepiride</b> N: 96																	
	N: 96 Treatment duration	on (wke): 28																
	Washout period (																	
	Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose  Mean dose (mg/d): 1.47																	
	Details of dosing regimen: Mean doses of metformin remained stable Baseline= 1.47 g/da																	
	Endpoint= 1.49g/d																	
	Metformin and modified metformin were available (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – forced titration																	
	(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – forced titration  Minimum dose (mg/d): 2																	
	Maximum dose (mg/d): 8																	
	Frequency of dosing: once a day  Details of dosing regimen: Starting dose was 2mg and increased during weeks 1 to 6 to a																	
	maximum of 8mg day, or until the mean of 3 daily self monitored blood glucose values w <120mg/dl/																	
	(2) Metformin +	Ŭ																
	N: 107	· ·																
	Treatment duration																	
	, ,	Washout period (d): 0  Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose																
	rrodamoria(o).	Mean dose (mg																
		Details of dosin Endpont= 1.57		s of	met	formin remained	stable	duri	ng trial Baselie=1	.54	g/							
		Metformin and modified metformin were available.																
	(b) Pioglitazone (Oral) – forced titration																	
	Minimum dose (mg/d): 30 Maximum dose (mg/d): 45																	
	Maximum dose (mg/d): 45 Frequency of dosing: once a day																	
	Details of dosing regimen: Dose was started at 30mg day and was increased to a maximum of 45mg/d at week 12 if mean self monitored blood glucose over the prior 3 days																	
		Details of dosin	ig regimen: Dos	e wa						0 -1								
		Details of dosin	ig regimen: Dos img/d at week 1	e wa 2 if i	mea					3 d	la							
		Details of dosin maximum of 45	ig regimen: Dos img/d at week 1	e wa 2 if i	mea					3 d	la							
Outcomes	General	Details of dosin maximum of 45	ig regimen: Dos img/d at week 1	e wa 2 if i	mea					3 d	lay							
Outcomes	Analysis was don	Details of dosin maximum of 45 was >120mg/dl	ng regimen: Dos img/d at week 1 or HbA1c was tion- defined as	e wa 2 if i >= 8	mea 3% rand	n self monitored l	olood g	luco	ose over the prior		la							
Outcomes		Details of dosin maximum of 45 was >120mg/dl	ng regimen: Dos img/d at week 1 or HbA1c was tion- defined as	e wa 2 if i >= 8	mea 3% rand	n self monitored l	olood g	luco	ose over the prior		lay							
Outcomes Baseline	Analysis was don	Details of dosin maximum of 45 was >120mg/dl	ng regimen: Dos img/d at week 1 or HbA1c was tion- defined as	e wa 2 if i >= 8	mea 3% rand esul	n self monitored l omised subjects of t while on treatme	olood g	ok a	ose over the prior		lay							
	Analysis was don	Details of dosin maximum of 45 was >120mg/dl	ng regimen: Dos img/d at week 1 or HbA1c was tion- defined as	e wa 2 if i >= 8	mea 3% and esul	n self monitored l	olood g	ok a	ose over the prior		lay							
Baseline	Analysis was don	Details of dosin maximum of 45 was >120mg/dl	ng regimen: Dos img/d at week 1 or HbA1c was tion- defined as	e wa 2 if i >= 8	mea 3% and esul	n self monitored l omised subjects of t while on treatment	olood g	ok a	etformin +									
Baseline	Analysis was don study medications  Demographics:	Details of dosin maximum of 45 was >120mg/dl	ng regimen: Dos img/d at week 1 or HbA1c was tion- defined as at least one HbA	e wa 2 if i = 8 >= 8 all r	mea 3% rand esul	omised subjects t while on treatmet letformin + Blimepiride mean	who to ent.	ok a	etformin + oglitazone mean	of								
Baseline	Analysis was don study medications  Demographics: Age (years)	Details of dosin maximum of 45 was >120mg/dl	ng regimen: Dos img/d at week 1 or HbA1c was tion- defined as at least one HbA	e wa 2 if i >= 8 all i c r	mea 3% rand esul M	omised subjects t while on treatment the self-months of the self-month	who to ent.	M Pi k	etformin + oglitazone mean  55.7 (SD 9.7)	of								
Baseline	Analysis was don study medications  Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male)	Details of dosin maximum of 45 was >120mg/dl	g regimen: Dos img/d at week 1 or HbA1c was tion- defined as at least one HbA  Continuous Dichotomous	e wa 2 if i >= 8 all r 1 c r	mea 3% rand esul M	omised subjects of twhile on treatments  letformin + Glimepiride  mean  51.6 (SD 11.8) (55.2%)	who to ent.	M Pi k	etformin + oglitazone mean  55.7 (SD 9.7) (52.3%)	of								
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of dia	Details of dosin maximum of 45 was >120mg/dl	g regimen: Dos img/d at week 1 or HbA1c was tion- defined as at least one HbA  Continuous Dichotomous Continuous	e wa 2 if i >= 8 all i 1 c r	rand esul	omised subjects to while on treatment to the subjects of the subject of	who to ent.  N 107 107	ok a  M Pi k	etformin + oglitazone mean  55.7 (SD 9.7) (52.3%) 5.9 (SD 6.1)	of								
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of dia Ethnicity-White	Details of dosin maximum of 45 was >120mg/dl	g regimen: Dos img/d at week 1 or HbA1c was tion- defined as at least one HbA  Continuous Dichotomous Continuous	e wa 2 if i = 8	mea 3% rand esul <b>M</b> C	omised subjects to while on treatment to the subjects of the subject of the subjec	who to ent.  N 107 107 107	ok a  M Pi k	etformin + oglitazone mean  55.7 (SD 9.7) (52.3%) 5.9 (SD 6.1) (78.5%)	of								
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of dia Ethnicity-White	Details of dosin maximum of 45 was >120mg/dl le on ITT popula s and who had a lebetes (yrs)	continuous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous	e wa 2 if i = 8	mea 3% rand esul <b>k</b> 53 76	omised subjects t while on treatment the subjects to while on treatment the subjects of the subject of the subjec	who to ent.  N 107 107 107 107	ok a M Pi k 56 84 17	etformin + oglitazone mean  55.7 (SD 9.7) (52.3%) 5.9 (SD 6.1) (78.5%) (15.9%)	of								
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of dia Ethnicity-White Ethnicity-Asiar	Details of dosin maximum of 45 was >120mg/dl  de on ITT populars and who had a sand who had a sa	continuous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous	e wa 2 if i = 8 2 if i = 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	mea 3%	omised subjects of twhile on treatments the subjects of twhile on treatments of twhile on the subjects of twhile on the subjects of twhile on the subjects of twhile on treatments of the subject of twhile on th	who to ent.  N 107 107 107 107 107	ok a MPi k 56 84 17 4	etformin + oglitazone mean  55.7 (SD 9.7) (52.3%) 5.9 (SD 6.1) (78.5%) (15.9%) (3.7%)	of								
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of dia Ethnicity-White Ethnicity-Asiar Ethnicity-Hispa	Details of dosin maximum of 45 was >120mg/dl  the on ITT popular and who had a sand who had a sa	continuous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous	e wa 2 if i = 8 3 all i c r N 96 96 96 96 96 96	mea 3% cand esul M C k 53 76 13 1 5	omised subjects to while on treatment to the subjects of the subject of t	who to ent.  N 107 107 107 107 107	ok a MPi k 56 84 17 4 2	etformin + oglitazone mean  55.7 (SD 9.7) (52.3%) 5.9 (SD 6.1) (78.5%) (15.9%) (3.7%) (1.9%)	of								
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of dia Ethnicity-White Ethnicity-Black Ethnicity-Hispa Ethnicity-Hispa Ethnicity-Othe	Details of dosin maximum of 45 was >120mg/dl  the on ITT popular and who had a sand who had a sa	continuous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous	e wa 2 if i = 8 3 all i c r N 96 96 96 96 96 96	mea 3% cand esul M C k 53 76 13 1 5	omised subjects of twhile on treatments the subjects of twhile on treatments of twhile on the subjects of twhile on the subjects of twhile on the subjects of twhile on treatments of the subject of twhile on th	who to ent.  N 107 107 107 107 107	ok a MPi k 56 84 17 4 2	etformin + oglitazone mean  55.7 (SD 9.7) (52.3%) 5.9 (SD 6.1) (78.5%) (15.9%) (3.7%)	of								
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of dia Ethnicity-White Ethnicity-Black Ethnicity-Hispa Ethnicity-Othe Blood glucose:	Details of dosin maximum of 45 was >120mg/dl  de on ITT popula s and who had a sand who had a sa	continuous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous	e wa 2 if i = 8 3 all i c r N 96 96 96 96 96 96	mea 3% cand esul M C k 53 76 13 1 5	omised subjects t while on treatment the subjects to while on treatment to subject to the subject to while on treatment to subject to while on treatment to subject to while on treatment to subject t	who to ent.  N 107 107 107 107 107	ok a MPi k 56 84 17 4 2	etformin + oglitazone mean  55.7 (SD 9.7) (52.3%) 5.9 (SD 6.1) (78.5%) (15.9%) (3.7%) (1.9%)	of								
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of dia Ethnicity-White Ethnicity-Black Ethnicity-Hispa Ethnicity-Hispa Ethnicity-Othe	Details of dosin maximum of 45 was >120mg/dl was >120mg/dl was >120mg/dl was >120mg/dl was >120mg/dl was >120mg/dl	continuous Dichotomous	e wa 2 if i = 8 3 all r1c r N 96 96 96 96 96 96	mea 3% cand esul M C k 53 76 13 1 5	omised subjects to while on treatment to the subjects of the subject of t	who to ent.  N 107 107 107 107 107 107	ok a MPi k 56 84 17 4 2	etformin + oglitazone mean  55.7 (SD 9.7) (52.3%) 5.9 (SD 6.1) (78.5%) (15.9%) (3.7%) (1.9%)	of								
Baseline	Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of dia Ethnicity-White Ethnicity-Black Ethnicity-Hispa Ethnicity-Othe Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0	Details of dosin maximum of 45 was >120mg/dl	continuous Dichotomous	e wa 2 if i = 8 3 all r1c r N 96 96 96 96 96 96	mea 3% cand esul M C k 53 76 13 1 5	omised subjects of twhile on treatments the subject of the subject o	who to ent.  N 107 107 107 107 107 107	ok a MPi k 56 84 17 4 2	etformin + oglitazone mean  55.7 (SD 9.7) (52.3%) 5.9 (SD 6.1) (78.5%) (15.9%) (3.7%) (1.9%) (0.0%)	of								

Body weight:

BMI (kg/m2) - 0wk

Continuous

96

33.81 (SD 6.62)

34.54 (SD 6.68) 107

Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	96	97.485696 (SD 18.9)	107	95.425344 (SD 18.7)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	96	5.047872 (SD 1.07)	107	4.996152 (SD 1.01)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	96	1.132668 (SD 0.33)	107	1.109394 (SD 0.264)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	96	1.048841 (SD 0.64)	107	1.272383 (SD 1.15)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	96	2.92218 (SD 0.988)	107	2.80581 (SD 0.824)
<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming m	ean height of 1	.68m			

# Results

				tformin + mepiride			tformin + glitazone		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	96		-1.3 (SD 0.754)	107		-1.23 (SD 0.755)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 26wk	Dichotomous	96	54	(56.3%)	107	59	(55.1%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	96		-1.8927546 (SD 1.97)	107		-2.2035882 (SD 1.96)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 26wk	Mean change	96		0.57 (SD 1.37)	107		0.69 (SD 1.34)		
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	96		1.74 (SD 4.02)	107		1.85 (SD 3.93)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 26wka	Dichotomous	96	32	(33.3%)	107	1	(0.9%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 26wk	Dichotomous	96	0	(0.0%)	107	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events:  Any serious adverse event(s) – 26wk	Dichotomous	96	7	(7.3%)	107	7	(6.5%)		
Edema peripheral – 26wk	Dichotomous	96	1	(1.0%)	107	4	(3.7%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	101	13	(12.9%)	109	16	(14.7%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	101	1	(1.0%)	109	4	(3.7%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	93		-0.09051 (SD 0.803)	107		0.315492 (SD 0.8)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	93		-0.015516 (SD 0.175)	105		0.124128 (SD 0.177)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	96		-0.047418 (SD 0.781)	107		-0.160318 (SD 0.767)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	87		-0.002586 (SD 0.692)	93		0.21981 (SD 0.701)		
<sup>a</sup> (Used in the analysis)									

## Table 32: van der et al. (2009)

. 45.0 02.	Table 621 van der et an (2000)								
General	Phase:								
	□ monotherapy								
	☑ dual therapy								
	☐ triple therapy								
	☐ insulin monotherapy								
	☐ insulin + oral								
	Parallel / crossover: Parallel								

Country: The Netherlands Authors' conclusions: in TTD patients, pioglitazone was associated with improvement in some measures of left ventricular diastolic function, myocarial glucose uptake, and whole body insulin sensitivity. The functional changes were not associated with miocardial substrate and high-energy phosphate metabolism. Source of funding: The investigator initiated study was supported by Eli Lilly which has a partnership with Takeda, the manufacturer of pioglitazone Comments: -Total number of patients: 78 characteristics Inclusion criteria: Men with uncomplicated TTD aged 45 to 65 years were eligible for inclusion. Inclusion of patients cirteria were a glycohemoglobin level of 6.5% to 8.5% at screening, BMI of 25 to 32 kg/m2, and blood pressure not exceeding 150/85 mm/Hg (with or without the use of antihypertesnives). Exclusion criteria: Clinically significant hisotry or complaints of cardiovascular disease, liver disease or diabetes related complications; prior use of thiazolidinediones. Pre-randomisation phase: Participants underwent a 2 step screening procedure that consisted of medical history, physical examination, ECG, Ewing tests to exclude autonomic neuropathy, and fasting blood and urine analysis (screening visit 1), as well as dobutamine stress echocardiography the exclude cardiac ischaemia or arrythmias (screening visit 2). After successful screening participants entered a 10 week run in period during which their previous blood glucose lowering medication (metofrin mono 39.8%, sulfonylurea mono 25.6%, met + sulf combo 34.6%) were washed out. They were transferred onto glimepiride monotherapy which was titrated until a stable dose was reached during the 8 weeks before randomisation. **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or alucoseinsulin lowering Details of washout period: All baseline therapy was washed out and replaced with glimepiride during a 8therapy 10 week screening period. Lifestyle advice Not stated Total follow-up (wks): 24 Follow-up Length of titration period (wks): -Length of maintenance period (wks): -Frequency of monitoring appointments: -Arms (1) Glimepiride + Pioglitazone + placebo N: 39 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): (a) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Treatment(s): Details of dosing regimen: Glimepiride was titrated until a stable dose was reached during the 8 weeks before randomisation. If recurrent hypoglycaemia occurred glimepeiride dose was lowered in a step wise fashion to levels of non occurrence. Glimepiride dose adjustment was required in 4 patients in this gorup (b) Pioglitazone (Oral) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):30 Minimum dose (mg/d): 15 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: 15mg was give once daily, then titrated to 30mg once daily after 2 weeks Back titration of pioglitazone 15mg daily was made if persistent study related side effects occurred (2) Glimepiride + metformin + placebo Treatment duration (wks): -Washout period (d): Treatment(s): (a) Sulfonvlurea Details of dosing regimen: Glimepiride was titrated until a stable dose was reached during the 8 weeks before randomisation. If recurrent hypoglycaemia occurred glimepeiride dose was lowered in a step wise fashion to levels of non occurrence. Glimepiride dose adjustment was required in 3 patients (b) Metformin (Oral) - fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):1000 Minimum dose (mg/d): 500 Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: Metformin was given twice a day in 500mg doses, that was titrated to 100mg doses Back titration to metformin 500mg twice daily was made if persistent study related side

	effects occurred 2 patients required metformin back titration.									
Outcomes										
Baseline characteristics		Glimepiride + Pioglitazone + placebo				Glimepiride + metformin + placebo				
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean		р
Demographics: Age (years)	C	Continuous	39		56.8 (SD 6.24)	39		56.4 (SD 5.62)		
Sex (n male)	С	Dichotomous	39	39	(100.0%)	39	39	(100.0%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	C	Continuous	39		7.1 (SD 1.25)	39		7.1 (SD 0.624)		
Fasting plasma glue (mmol/l) – 0wk		Continuous	39		med: 8.4 [rng 7.2–10.3]	39		med: 8.2 [rng 6.8–9.1]		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	C	Continuous	39		28.2 (SD 3.12)	39		29.3 (SD 3.75)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	C	Continuous	39		91 (SD 12.5)	39		92 (SD 12.5)		
Waist circumferenc	e (cms)	Continuous	39		103.8 (SD 9.37)	39		104.9 (SD 11.2)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood press (mmHg) – 0wk		Continuous	39		130 (SD 12.5)	39		126 (SD 12.5)		
Diastolic blood pres (mmHg) – 0wk		Continuous	39		77 (SD 6.24)	39		74 (SD 6.24)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (m	,	`antinuous	20		4.E. (SD 0.624)	20		4.0 (SD 4.25)		
0wk HDL cholesterol (m		Continuous	39		4.5 (SD 0.624) med: 1.07 [rng	39		4.9 (SD 1.25) med: 1.13 [rng		
Owk	,	Continuous	39		0.94–1.28]	39		0.9–1.42]		
Triglycerides (mmo		Continuous	39		med: 1.4 [rng 1– 2.2]	39		med: 1.5 [rng 0.9–2.1]		
LDL cholesterol (mi 0wk		Continuous	39		2.5 (SD 0.624)	39		2.6 (SD 1.25)		
Results					Glimepiride + Pioglitazone + placebo	Gli	mep	oiride + metformin + placebo		
			١	1 1	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk		Continuous	3	4	6.5 (SD 0.583)	37		6.3 (SD 0.608)		
Fasting plasma glue (mmol/l) – 24wk	cose	Continuous	3	4	med: 7.6 [rng 6.7–9.4]	37		med: 6.8 [rng 5.8– 7.4]		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk		Continuous	3	4	94 (SD 11.7)	37		92 (SD 18.2)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24	wk	Dichotomou	us 3	9 5	5 (12.8%)	39	2	(5.1%)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood press (mmHg) – 24wk	sure	Continuous	3	4	125 (SD 11.7)	37		121 (SD 12.2)		
Diastolic blood pres (mmHg) – 24wk	sure	Continuous	3	4	74 (SD 5.83)	37		73 (SD 6.08)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (m 24wk	ımol/l) –	Continuous		4	4.6 (SD 1.17)	37		4.5 (SD 1.22)		
HDL cholesterol (m 24wk	mol/l) –	Continuous		4	med: 1.23 [rng 0.99–1.46]	37		med: 1.02 [rng 0.86–1.26]		

Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	34	med: 1.4 [rng 0.9–2.3]	37	med: 1.7 [rng 0.9– 2.3]
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	34	2.5 (SD 0.583)	37	2.6 (SD 1.22)

Table 33: Wa	ang et al. (2011)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy  ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Taiwan  Authors' conclusions: -  Source of funding: -  Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 55 Inclusion criteria: Adults (aged 30-70 years) with T2DM, on 1 or 2 OADs for at least 3 months and HbA1c between 7 and 11% Exclusion criteria: - Pre-randomisation phase: 8 weeks of metformin monotherapy (1500mg/d)
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: 8 weeks of metformin monotherapy (1500mg/d)
Lifestyle advice	Details not reported
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): - Length of maintenance period (wks): 16 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Data reported at baseline and post-treatment 8 weeks monotherapy, 16 weeks add on of acarbose or glibenclamide
Arms	(1) Metformin + Acarbose N: 29 Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Patients received 8 weeks of metformin monotherapy, followed by 16 weeks of add on acarbose Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d): 1500 (b) Acarbose (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Minimum dose (mg/d): 300 Frequency of dosing: three times a day Details of dosing regimen: First 4 weeks: 50mg 3x per day Next 12 weeks: 100mg 3x per day  (2) Metformin + Glibenclamide N: 26 Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Patients received 8 weeks of metformin monotherapy, followed by 16 weeks of add on glibenclamide Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d): 1500 (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Minimum dose (mg/d): 7.5

Maximum dose (mg/d): 15 Frequency of dosing: three times a day Details of dosing regimen: First 4 weeks: 2.5mg 3x per day

Next 12 weeks: 5mg 3x per day

## Outcomes

## **Baseline** characteristics

			Metformin + Acarbose				
		N	N k mean				
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	28		52.8 (SD 8.2)			
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	28	15	(53.6%)			
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	28		7.6			
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	28		8.2 (SD 0.8)			
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	28		8.2 (SD 0.8)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	28		8.2 (SD 1.2)			
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	28		25.9 (SD 3)			
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	28		73.10016 (SD 8.4672) a			
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	28		73.10016 (SD 8.4672) a			
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	28		69.8 (SD 9.9)			
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	28		69.8 (SD 9.9)			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Metformin + Glibenclamide				
		N	mean			
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	23		54.7 (SD 8.3)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	23	10	(43.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	23		6		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous Continuous	23 23		8.6 (SD 1.6) 8.6 (SD 1.6)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	23		9 (SD 3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	23		25.3 (SD 3.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	23		71.40672 (SD 10.72512) a		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	23		71.40672 (SD 10.72512) a		
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	23		66 (SD 15.4)		
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	23		66 (SD 15.4)		

estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

# Results

		Metformin + Acarbose		
		N	k	mean
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 16wk	Dichotomous	29	0	(0.0%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	29	1	(3.4%)
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	29	0	(0.0%)

Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose:			
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	28	7.5 (SD 0.8)
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	28	7.5 (SD 0.8)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	28	68.3 (SD 10.4)
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	28	68.3 (SD 10.4)

	Metformin + Glibenclamide		
	N	k	mean
Dichotomous	26	6	(23.1%)
Dichotomous	26	3	(11.5%)
Dichotomous	26	1	(3.8%)
Continuous	23		7.4 (SD 1.2)
Continuous	23		7.4 (SD 1.2)
Continuous	23		66.8 (SD 16.3)
Continuous	23		66.8 (SD 16.3)
	Dichotomous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous	N  Dichotomous 26  Dichotomous 26  Dichotomous 26  Continuous 23  Continuous 23  Continuous 23	N k  Dichotomous 26 6  Dichotomous 26 3  Dichotomous 26 1  Continuous 23  Continuous 23  Continuous 23

# Table 34: Yang et al. (2011)

Table 34: Ya	ing et al. (2011)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin + oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: China (17 sites), South Korea (10 sites), India (24 sites)  Authors' conclusions: In asian subjects with TTD, once daily liraglutide led to improvement in glycaemic control similar to that with glimepiride but with less frequent major and minor hypoglycaemia. Liraglutide also induced significant weight loss and reduced SBP and was generally well tolerated. The most frequent reported AE was transient nausea. The effects of liraglutide in this Asian population is comparable to the effects seen in caucasian, African-American and Hispanic populations in global liraglutide phase 3 trials.  Source of funding: Novo Nordisk  Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 929 Inclusion criteria: People with TTD treated with one or more oral antidiabetic drugs for at least 3 months, aged 18 to 80 (18 to 75 for Chinese patients) with HbA1c between 7 and 11% for people on monotherapy, and between 7 and 10% for people on combination therapy, with a BMI< or = 45kg/m2 Exclusion criteria: People treated with insulin within the last 3 months Pre-randomisation phase: Patients discontinued their pretrial OADs except metformin and entered a 3 week run in with forced escalation of metformin to 2000mg/day, followed by another 3 week metformin maintenance period.  After completing the maintenance period, patients with FPG between 7 and 12.8mmol/l were randomised to the study medication.  After randomisation metformin could, at the discretion of the investigator, be decreased to a minimum of 1500mg in case of unacceptable gastrointestinal adverse events. If doses less than 1500mg were required, the patient was withdrawn from the trial.

Previous	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or
glucose- lowering therapy	insulin  Details of washout period: Discontinued all drugs except metformin
Lifestyle advice	Not stated
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 16 Length of titration period (wks): - Length of maintenance period (wks): - Frequency of monitoring appointments: 14, 16 weels
Arms	(1) Metformin + Liraglutide 0.6mg + Placebo N: 231 Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): - Treatment(s): (a) Metformin – forced titration Set dose (mg/d): 2000 (b) Liraglutide (Subcutaneous) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d): 500 (c) Liraglutide (Subcutaneous) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d): 6 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: Injected once daily at any time of day. Participants also received placebo glimepiride tablets  (2) Metformin + Liraglutide 1.2mg + placebo N: 233 Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): - Treatment(s): (a) Metformin – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d): 1500 (b) Liraglutide (Subcutaneous) – forced titration Set dose (mg/d): 1500 (c) Liraglutide (Subcutaneous) – forced titration Set dose (mg/d): 1500 (d) Liraglutide (Subcutaneous) – forced titration Set dose (mg/d): 1500 (e) Liraglutide (Subcutaneous) – forced titration Set dose (mg/d): 1500 (f) Liraglutide (Subcutaneous) – forced titration Set dose (mg/d): 1500 (g) Metformin + liraglutide 1.8mg + placebo N: 233 Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): - Treatment (s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d): 2000 Minimum dose (mg/d): 1500 (b) Liraglutide (Subcutaneous) – forced titration Set dose (mg/d): 138 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: Daily injection at any time of day All patients in this arm were started on 0.6mg per day, and this was increased to target 1.8 in weekly steps of 0.6mg per day per day. Participants also received placebo glimepiride tablets  (4) Metformin + glimepiride 4mg + placebo N: 231 Treatment (s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d): 1.00 (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – forced titration Set dose (mg/d): 1.00 (c) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – forced titration Set dose (mg/d): 1.00 (d) Metformin + glimepiride 4mg + placebo N: 231 Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): - Treatment duration (wds): - Treatment dose (mg/d): 1.00 (d) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d): 1.00 (e) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – forced titration Set dose (

_										
Outcomes										
Baseline characteristics			Metformin + Liraglutide 0.6mg + Placebo			Metformin + Liraglutide 1.2mg + placebo				
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Demographics:									
	Age (years)	Continuous	231		53.5 (SD 9.5)	233		53.5 (SD 9.6)		
	Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	231	125	,	233	128	(54.9%)		
	Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	231		7.4 (SD 5.4)	233		7.5 (SD 5.3)		
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	231		8.5 (SD 1.1)	233		8.6 (SD 1.1)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	231		9.8 (SD 2.4)	233		9.5 (SD 2.2)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	231		25.9 (SD 4.2)	233		25.4 (SD 3.7)		
	Weight (kg) - 0wk	Continuous	231		68.6 (SD 11.6)	233		67.4 (SD 11.3)		
	Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	231		126 (SD 14.3)	233		127 (SD 14)		
	Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: any monotherapy (1 previous OAD)	Dichotomous	231	72	(31.2%)	233	74	(31.8%)		
	any dual therapy (2									
	previous OAD)	Dichotomous	231	159	(68.8%)	233	159	(68.2%)		
			Metformin + Liraglutide 0.6mg + Placebo			Metformin + liraglutide 1.8mg + placebo				
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	231		53.5 (SD 9.5)	233		52.7 (SD 9.1)		
	Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	231	125	(54.1%)	233	126	(54.1%)		
	Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	231		7.4 (SD 5.4)	233		7.2 (SD 5.2)		
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	231		8.5 (SD 1.1)	233		8.6 (SD 1.1)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	231		9.8 (SD 2.4)	233		9.9 (SD 2.5)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	231		25.9 (SD 4.2)	233		25.8 (SD 3.8)		
	Weight (kg) - 0wk	Continuous	231		68.6 (SD 11.6)	233		68.2 (SD 11.9)		
	Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	231		126 (SD 14.3)	233		126 (SD 14.1)		
	Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: any monotherapy (1 previous OAD)	Dichotomous	231	72	(31.2%)	233	75	(32.2%)		
	any dual therapy (2 previous OAD)	Dichotomous	231	159	(68.8%)	233	159	(68.2%)		
			Ме		nin + Liraglutide ng + Placebo	Met		in + glimepiride g + placebo		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р

Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	231		53.5 (SD 9.5)	231		53.6 (SD 9.7)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	231	125	(54.1%)	231	135	(58.4%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	231		7.4 (SD 5.4)	231		7.8 (SD 6.1)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	231		8.5 (SD 1.1)	231		8.5 (SD 1.1)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	231		9.8 (SD 2.4)	231		9.6 (SD 2.4)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	231		25.9 (SD 4.2)	231		25.3 (SD 3.7)
Weight (kg) - 0wk	Continuous	231		68.6 (SD 11.6)	231		68.2 (SD 11.9)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	231		126 (SD 14.3)	231		126 (SD 14.9)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: any monotherapy (1 previous OAD)	Dichotomous	231	72	(31.2%)	231	68	(29.4%)
any dual therapy (2 previous OAD)	Dichotomous	231	159	(68.8%)	231	163	(70.6%)

		Metformin + Liraglutide 1.2mg + placebo			Met				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	233		53.5 (SD 9.6)	233		52.7 (SD 9.1)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	233	128	(54.9%)	233	126	(54.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	233		7.5 (SD 5.3)	233		7.2 (SD 5.2)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	233		8.6 (SD 1.1)	233		8.6 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	233		9.5 (SD 2.2)	233		9.9 (SD 2.5)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	233		25.4 (SD 3.7)	233		25.8 (SD 3.8)		
Weight (kg) - 0wk	Continuous	233		67.4 (SD 11.3)	233		68.2 (SD 11.9)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	233		127 (SD 14)	233		126 (SD 14.1)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: any monotherapy (1 previous OAD)	Dichotomous	233	74	(31.8%)	233	75	(32.2%)		
any dual therapy (2 previous OAD)	Dichotomous	233	159	(68.2%)	233	159	(68.2%)		

		Metformin + Liraglutide 1.2mg + placebo			Met				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	233		53.5 (SD 9.6)	231		53.6 (SD 9.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	233	128	(54.9%)	231	135	(58.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	233		7.5 (SD 5.3)	231		7.8 (SD 6.1)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	233		8.6 (SD 1.1)	231		8.5 (SD 1.1)		

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	233		9.5 (SD 2.2)	231		9.6 (SD 2.4)	
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	233		25.4 (SD 3.7)	231		25.3 (SD 3.7)	
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	233		67.4 (SD 11.3)	231		68.2 (SD 11.9)	
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	233		127 (SD 14)	231		126 (SD 14.9)	
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: any monotherapy (1 previous OAD)	Dichotomous	233	74	(31.8%)	231	68	(29.4%)	
any dual therapy (2 previous OAD)	Dichotomous	233	159	(68.2%)	231	163	(70.6%)	

		Metformin + lirag 1.8mg + place			Met		n + glimepiride j + placebo		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	233		52.7 (SD 9.1)	231		53.6 (SD 9.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	233	126	(54.1%)	231	135	(58.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	233		7.2 (SD 5.2)	231		7.8 (SD 6.1)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	233		8.6 (SD 1.1)	231		8.5 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	233		9.9 (SD 2.5)	231		9.6 (SD 2.4)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	233		25.8 (SD 3.8)	231		25.3 (SD 3.7)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	233		68.2 (SD 11.9)	231		68.2 (SD 11.9)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	233		126 (SD 14.1)	231		126 (SD 14.9)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: any monotherapy (1 previous OAD)	Dichotomous	233	75	(32.2%)	231	68	(29.4%)		
any dual therapy (2 previous OAD)	Dichotomous	233	159	(68.2%)	231	163	(70.6%)		

ĸ	es	su	Iτ	S

		Metformin + Liraglutide 0.6mg + Placebo			Metformin + Liraglutide 1.2mg + placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	231		7.65a	233		7.4b		
HbA1c (%) – 16wkc	Mean change	231		-1.14 (SD 1.16)	233		-1.36 (SD 1.09)		
Composite end point (HbA1c <7, no hypo, no weight gain) – 16wk	Dichotomous	231	67d	(29.0%)	233	91e	(39.1%)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Mean change	231		-1.8 (SD 2.2)	233		-2.3 (SD 2.4)		
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wk	Dichotomous	231	0	(0.0%)	233	0	(0.0%)		

Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 16wkf	Count	24248	12		23520	11		
Adverse events: Pancreatitis – 16wk	Dichotomous	231	0	(0.0%)	233	0	(0.0%)	
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	231	29	(12.6%)	233	46	(19.7%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	231	9	(3.9%)	233	22	(9.4%)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> (Used in the analysis); Patient days estimated assuming dropouts occurred halfway through the study, event rates (events/subject year) estimated from graph and used to calculate number of events

		Metformin + Liraglutide 0.6mg + Placebo			Metformin + liraglutide 1.8mg + placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	231		7.65a	234		7.25b		
HbA1c (%) – 16wkc	Mean change	231		-1.14 (SD 1.16)	234		-1.45 (SD 1.17)		
Composite end point (HbA1c <7, no hypo, no weight gain) – 16wk	Dichotomous	231	67d	(29.0%)	234	96e	(41.0%)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Mean change	231		-1.8 (SD 2.2)	234		-2.4 (SD 2.6)		
Hypoglycaemic events:  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wk	Dichotomous	231	0	(0.0%)	234	0	(0.0%)		
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 16wkf	Count	24248	12		22960	9			
Adverse events: Pancreatitis – 16wk	Dichotomous	231	0	(0.0%)	234	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	231	29	(12.6%)	234	58	(24.8%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	231	9	(3.9%)	234	30	(12.8%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> 0.1 (value defined as as 1.96 x standard error) read from graph

<sup>(</sup>Used in the analysis); Patient days estimated assuming dropouts occurred halfway through the study, event rates (events/subject year) estimated from graph and used to calculate number of events

		Metformin + Liraglutide 0.6mg + Placebo			Metformin + glimepiride 4mg + placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	231		7.65a	231		7.4b		
HbA1c (%) – 16wkc	Mean change	231		-1.14 (SD 1.16)	231		-1.39 (SD 1.16)		
Composite end point (HbA1c <7, no hypo, no weight gain) – 16wk	Dichotomous	231	67d	(29.0%)	231	39e	(16.9%)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Mean change	231		-1.8 (SD 2.2)	231		0.08 (SD 2.33) c		
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wk	Dichotomous	231	0	(0.0%)	231	2	(0.9%)		

 $<sup>^</sup>a$  0.1 (value defined as as 1.96 x standard error) read from graph  $^b$  0.08 (value defined as as 1.96 x standard error) read from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> SD estimated from SE in graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> 29%

e 39%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> 0.05 (value defined as as 1.96 x standard error) read from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> SD estimated from SE in graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> 29%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> 41%

Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 16wkf	Count	24248	12		24976	84	
Adverse events: Pancreatitis – 16wk	Dichotomous	231	0	(0.0%)	231	0	(0.0%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	231	29	(12.6%)	231	16	(6.9%)
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	231	9	(3.9%)	231	3	(1.3%)

 $<sup>^{\</sup>it f}$  (Used in the analysis); Patient days estimated assuming dropouts occurred halfway through the study, event rates (events/subject year) estimated from graph and used to calculate number of events

		Metformin + Liraglutide 1.2mg + placebo			Metformin + liraglutide 1.8mg + placebo				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	233		7.4a	234		7.25b		
HbA1c (%) – 16wkc	Mean change	233		-1.36 (SD 1.09)	234		-1.45 (SD 1.17)		
Composite end point (HbA1c <7, no hypo, no weight gain) – 16wk	Dichotomous	233	91d	(39.1%)	234	96e	(41.0%)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Mean change	233		-2.3 (SD 2.4)	234		-2.4 (SD 2.6)		
Hypoglycaemic events: minor hypoglycaemic events – 16wk	Dichotomous	233	Of	(0.0%)	234	4g	(1.7%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wk	Dichotomous	233	0	(0.0%)	234	0	(0.0%)		
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 16wkh	Count	23520	11		22960	9			
Adverse events: Pancreatitis – 16wk	Dichotomous	233	0	(0.0%)	234	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	233	46	(19.7%)	234	58	(24.8%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	233	22	(9.4%)	234	30	(12.8%)		

<sup>1.7%

1.7%

(</sup>Used in the analysis); Patient days estimated assuming dropouts occurred halfway through the study,

(Used in the analysis); Patient days estimated from graph and used to calculate number of events

		Lirag	Metformin + Liraglutide 1.2mg + placebo			etforn epirid place			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	233		7.4	231		7.4		
HbA1c (%) – 16wkb	Mean change	233		-1.36 (SD 1.09)	231		-1.39 (SD 1.16)		
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	233			231			MD=0.030	С

 $<sup>^</sup>a$  0.1 (value defined as as 1.96 x standard error) read from graph  $^b$  0.08 (value defined as as 1.96 x standard error) read from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> SD estimated from SE in graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> 29%

 $<sup>^</sup>a$  0.08 (value defined as as 1.96 x standard error) read from graph  $^b$  0.05 (value defined as as 1.96 x standard error) read from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> SD estimated from SE in graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> 39% <sup>e</sup> 41%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> 0%

Composite end point (HbA1c <7, no hypo, no weight gain) – 16wk	Dichotomous	233	91d	(39.1%)	231	39e	(16.9%)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Mean change	233		-2.3 (SD 2.4)	231		0.08 (SD 2.33) b
Hypoglycaemic events: minor hypoglycaemic events – 16wk	Dichotomous	233	Of	(0.0%)	231	44g	(19.0%)
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wk	Dichotomous	233	0	(0.0%)	231	2	(0.9%)
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 16wkh	Count	23520	11		24976	84	
Adverse events: Pancreatitis – 16wk	Dichotomous	233	0	(0.0%)	231	0	(0.0%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	233	46	(19.7%)	231	16	(6.9%)
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	233	22	(9.4%)	231	3	(1.3%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> 0.08 (value defined as as 1.96 x standard error) read from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> (Used in the analysis); Patient days estimated assuming dropouts occurred halfway through the study, event rates (events/subject year) estimated from graph and used to calculate number of events

				min + 1.8mg + ebo		min + le 4mg + ebo			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	234		7.25a	231		7.4b		
HbA1c (%) – 16wkc	Mean change	234		-1.45 (SD 1.17)	231		-1.39 (SD 1.16)		
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	233			231			MD=- 0.060	d
Composite end point (HbA1c <7, no hypo, no weight gain) – 16wk	Dichotomous	234	96e	(41.0%)	231	39f	(16.9%)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Mean change	234		-2.4 (SD 2.6)	231		0.08 (SD 2.33) c		
Hypoglycaemic events: minor hypoglycaemic events – 16wk	Dichotomous	234	4g	(1.7%)	231	44h	(19.0%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wk	Dichotomous	234	0	(0.0%)	231	2	(0.9%)		
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 16wki	Count	22960	9		24976	84			
Adverse events: Pancreatitis – 16wk	Dichotomous	234	0	(0.0%)	231	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	234	58	(24.8%)	231	16	(6.9%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous		30	(12.8%)	231	3	(1.3%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> SD estimated from SE in graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Cl= -0.14 to 0.20 <sup>d</sup> 39%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> 17% <sup>f</sup> 0% <sup>g</sup> 19%

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm a}$  0.05 (value defined as as 1.96 x standard error) read from graph  $^{\rm b}$  0.08 (value defined as as 1.96 x standard error) read from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> SD estimated from SE in graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Cl= -0.23 to 0.11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> 41%

f 17% g 1.7%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> 19%



'(Used in the analysis); Patient days estimated assuming dropouts occurred halfway through the study, event rates (events/subject year) estimated from graph and used to calculate number of events

# E.1.3 Second intensification

Table 1:	Aljabri et al. (2004)	560
Table 2:	Bergenstal et al. (2009)	563
Table 3:	Civera et al. (2008)	569
Table 4:	Derosa et al. (2009)	573
Table 5:	Derosa et al. (2010)	576
Table 6:	Diamant et al. (2010)	
Table 7:	Duran et al. (2009)	581
Table 8:	Eliaschewitz et al. (2006)	
Table 9:	Fritsche A,Schweitzer MA,Haring (2003)	587
Table 10:	Furlong et al. (2002)	593
Table 11:	Furlong et al. (2003)	
Table 12:	Goudswaard et al. (2004)	598
Table 13:	Gram et al. (2011)	601
Table 14:	Haak et al. (2005)	609
Table 15:	Hartemann-Heurtier et al. (2009)	612
Table 16:	Heine et al. (2005)	614
Table 17:	Heise et al. (2011)	619
Table 18:	Janka et al. (2005)	624
Table 19:	Kilo et al. (2003)	629
Table 20:	Kokic et al. (2010)	635
Table 21:	Kvapil et al. (2006)	638
Table 22:	Liu et al. (2013)	
Table 23:	Lund et al. (2009)	
Table 24:	Malone et al. (2004)	
Table 25:	Malone et al. (2005)	
Table 26:	Meneghini et al. (2013)	
Table 27:	Milicevic et al. (2009)	
Table 28:	Nauck et al. (2007)	
Table 29:	Olsson & (2002)	
Table 30:	Pan et al. (2007)	
Table 31:	Park et al. (2014)	
Table 32:	Raz et al. (2005)	
Table 33:	Riddle & (1998)	
Table 34:	Riddle et al. (1992)	
Table 35:	Robbins et al. (2007)	679
Table 36:	Russell-Jones et al. (2009)	682
Table 37:	Shanmugasundar et al. (2012)	
Table 38:	Stehouwer et al. (2003)	
Table 39:	Ushakova et al. (2007)	
Table 40:	Yki-Jarvinen et al. (2006)	694
Table 41:	Yki-Ja݈rvinen et al. (1999)	
Table 42:	Zinman et al. (2011)	708

# Table 1: Aljabri et al. (2004)

	ljabri et al. (2004)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Canada  Authors' conclusions: Adding pioglitazone or bedtime insulin for 16 weeks improved glycaemic control in type 2 diabetic patients with secondary oral agent failure. Pioglitazone was associated with less hypoglycaemia and improved HDL cholesterol levels  Source of funding: Elli Lilly  Comments: Open label trial, randomisation using comouter-generated random numbers placed in opaque, sealed envelopes
Number and characteristic s of patients	Total number of patients: 62 Inclusion criteria: Patients were 30-85 years old, had type 2 diabetes for more than 1 year and were taking maximally tolerated doses of an insulin secretagogue and metformin. All had received diabetes education and were performing SMBG. Their most recent Hba1c was >8% while undergoing stable diabetes treatment for >12 weeks  Exclusion criteria: Previous use of insulin or thiazolidinedione, heart failure, myocardial infarction or stroke in the past 6 months, liver disease, serum creatinine >2 mg/dl, proliferative retinopathy, current glucocorticoid use, excess alcohol. Patients could be withdrawn if they had blood glucose levels that were considered dangerous, had intolerable side effects, or did not comply with the protocol  Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 2 week run-in phase (to determine if patients would comply with the study requirements)
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All taking metformin and sulfonylurea at study entry and these were continued
Lifestyle advice	-
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 16 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 16 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients presented at weeks 4, 8 and 16
Arms	N: 30 Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Continued previous metformin and sulfonylurea Treatment(s): (a) Pioglitazone (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Mean dose (mg/d): 45 Details of dosing regimen: The goal in both groups was to achieve a FBG <108 mg/dl (same as the intensive arm in UKPDS). Pioglitazone was started at 30 mg/day in addition to their other hypoglycaemic agents. If FBG levels were not consistently <108 mg/dl after 4 weeks, the dose was increased to 45 mg/day. (b) Metformin (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 2050 (c) Sulfonylurea (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 20  (2) NPH insulin + metformin + sulfonylurea N: 28 Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Continued previous metformin and sulfonylurea Treatment(s): (a) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Mean dose (mg/d): 31 Details of dosing regimen: Insulin patients were started on a dose of 0.3 units/kg in addition to their hypoglycaemic agents. They were instructed on how to increase the dose to achieve a FBG <108 mg/dl and were contacted weekly for help with insulin adjustment. (b) Metformin (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 2210 (c) Sulfonylurea (Oral)

	Mean do	se (m	ng/d): 20																	
Outcomes	General Outcomes not extracted in 1/31 (3.2%) patients in piog No ITT analysis conducted Hypoglycaemic events Major/severe hypoglycaem in loss of consciousness or confirmed hypoglycaemia (	glitazo	one group ent (Sever iring assis	and 3 e hyp tance	3/3 <sup>2</sup> ogl	1 (9. lyca r tre	7%) in the emia was atment)	insulir	grou				·		ltin	g				
Baseline characteristic s	Pioglitazone + metformin + sulfonylurea + sulfonylurea												in							
5				ı	N	k	mean			N	k	me	ean		Δ	р				
	Demographics: Age (years)		Continuou	ıs 3	30		59 (SD 9)	)		28		57	(SD 14)							
	Sex (n male)		Dichotomo			18	(60.0%)			28	17		).7%)							
	Duration of diabetes (yrs	s)	Continuou	ıs 3	30		9 (SD 6)		:	28		11	(SD 8)							
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk		Continuou	ıs 3	30		9.7 (SD 1	.5)	:	28		10.	1 (SD 1.4)							
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk		Continuou	ıs 3	30		10.21310 2.72)	4 (SD	:	28		11. 2.8	212212 (SD 9)							
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)		Continuou	ıs 3	30		26 (SD 9)	)	:	28		25	(SD 6)							
	Weight (kg) – 0wk		Continuous		30		85.1 (SD	5.1 (SD 32)		28		86.	.5 (SD 22.4)							
	Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk		Continuou	ıs 3	30		139 (SD :	22)		28		138	8 (SD 22)							
	Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk		Continuou	ıs 3	30		75 (SD 1	3)	:	28		76	(SD 12)							
	Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/ 0wk	,	Continuou	ıs 3	30		5.14614 (	(SD 1.1	1)	28		5.4	0474 (SD 1.2	9)						
	HDL cholesterol (mmol/l 0wk	′	Continuou	Continuou	Continuou	Continuou	Continuou	ıs (	30		1.293 (SI	0.388	3)	28			1198 (SD 207)			
	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk		Continuou	ıs 3	30		2.61928 (	(SD 2.7	72)	28		2.6	1928 (SD 1.3	1)						
	LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) 0wk	,	Continuou	ıs 3	30		2.89632 (	(SD 1.0	)1)	28		3.2	20664 (SD 1.1	1)						
	Renal function: serum creatinine		Continuou	ıs 3	30		0.8 (SD 0	).3)	:	28		0.8	(SD 0.3)							
	Microalbumin:creatinine 0wk		Continuou	ıs 3	30		58.3 (SD	91.9)	:	28		178	8 (SD 493)							
Results				r	me	tfor	zone + min + /lurea	n	PH in netfor ulfon	rmi	n +									
			N	k		mean	N	k	m	ean		Δ	р							
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Mea		30			-1.9 (SD 1.5)	28			.3 (S 5)	SD	MD=0.400 (CI: -0.400, 1.200)	0.3	2					
	HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 16wk	Dich s	notomou	30	7		(23.3%)	28	6	(2	1.49	<b>%</b> )		0.8	6					
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mea		30				28					MD=1.388 (CI: -0.056, 2.831)	0.0	7					

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	30		2.88631 2 (SD 2.72)	28		4.27396 2 (SD 2.89)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Mean change	30		2.6 (SD 4.3)	28		2.5 (SD 2.8)	MD=0.100 (CI: -1.200, 1.400)	0.97
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wk	Dichotomou s	30	0	(0.0%)	28	0	(0.0%)		NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wkb	Count	341 6	0		330 4	0			
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wk	Dichotomou s	30	11c	(36.7%)	28	79 d	(282.1%)	MD=31.00 0 (CI: 5.000, 57.000)	0.02
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wk	Dichotomou s	30	11c	(36.7%)	28	79 d	(282.1%)	MD=1.500 (CI: 0.800, 2.200)	<0.001 e
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wk	Dichotomou s	30	34 d	(113.3%)	28	19c	(67.9%)	MD=1.500 (CI: 0.800, 2.200)	<0.001 e
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wk	Dichotomou s	30	34 d	(113.3%)	28	19c	(67.9%)	MD=31.00 0 (CI: 5.000, 57.000)	0.02
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wkd	Dichotomou s	30	34	(113.3%)	28	79	(282.1%)	MD=1.500 (CI: 0.800, 2.200)	<0.001 e
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wkd	Dichotomou s	30	34	(113.3%)	28	79	(282.1%)	MD=31.00 0 (CI: 5.000, 57.000)	0.02
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wkf	Count	341 6	34	,	322 0	79	,	,	
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wkc	Dichotomou s	30	11	(36.7%)	28	19	(67.9%)	MD=1.500 (CI: 0.800, 2.200)	<0.001 e
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wkc	Dichotomou s	30	11	(36.7%)	28	19	(67.9%)	MD=31.00 0 (CI: 5.000, 57.000)	0.02
Adverse events: Injection site – 16wk	Dichotomou s	30	0g	(0.0%)	28	5	(17.9%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomou s	31	1	(3.2%)	31	3	(9.7%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomou s	31	0	(0.0%)	31	0	(0.0%)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 16wk	Mean change	30		-8 (SD 22)	28		-6 (SD 21)	MD=-2.000 (CI: - 14.000, 10.000)	0.72
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 16wk	Mean change	30		-5 (SD 10)	28		-1 (SD 10)	MD=-4.000 (CI: -9.000, 1.000)	0.14
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	30		0.20688 (SD 1.11)	28		-0.31032 (SD 1.11)	MD=0.000	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	30		0.10344 (SD 0.207)	28		0 (SD 0.103)	MD=0.103 (CI: 0.000, 0.207)	0.02
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	30		-0.9032 (SD 1.72)	28		-0.71127 (SD 1.11)	MD=0.203 (CI: -0.305, 0.711)	0.62

LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	30		-0.20688 (SD 0.931)	28		-0.10344 (SD 1.01)	MD=0.103 (CI: -0.310, 0.517)	0.69			
Renal function: Microalbumin:creatinin e – 16wk	Mean change	30			28			MD=32.70 0 (CI: - 8.800, 74.200)	0.13			
Microalbumin:creatinin e – 16wk	Continuous	30		-25.6 (SD 63.6)	28		-58.3 (SD 91.9)					
a trial reports SD b No of patients; person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through trial c No patients d No events (reported as total SMBG measurements indicating hypo) total measurements indicating hypos No of events; person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through trial where data not provided Pioglitazone taken orally												
Unpaired two-tailed t tests were used for between group comparisons of continuous variables and paired t tests were used for within group comparisons												

Table 2: Bergenstal et al. (2009)

Table 2: Be	ergenstal et al. (2009)
General	Phase:   monotherapy   dual therapy   triple therapy   insulin monotherapy   insulin monotherapy   insulin+oral   Parallel / crossover: Parallel   Country: USA   Authors' conclusions: BIAsp 30 was more efficacious in helping patients with high baseline Hba1c achieve glycaemic goals.   Source of funding: Novo Nordisk   Comments: randomisation performed centrally using a telephone interactive voice response system andor interactive web based system
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 372 Inclusion criteria: type 2 diabetes for >6 months, aged 18-80 years, Hba1c >=8%, were insulin naïve and had received therapy with metformin (al least 1500 mg/day) and a sulfonylurea (at least half the max dose) for 3 months before screening  Exclusion criteria: Significant cardiac disease within 12 months prior to the study, hepatic or renal insufficiency, use of thiazolidinediones, alpha glucosidase inhibitors or meglitinides within the 6 months prior to the study or were receiving a weight reducing diet
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All taking metformin and sulfonylurea- doses were fixed during study (no washout phase)
Lifestyle advice	Participants were asked not to make any significant dietary or exercise modifications for the purpose of weight loss during the trial
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Visits occurred at weeks 1,2,3,4,5,8,12,16,20 and 24
Arms	(1) Metformin + sulfonylurea + exenatide N: 124 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: Doses were remained fixed (no further details reported)

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: Doses were remained fixed (no further details reported)

(c) Exenatide (Subcutaneous) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):10

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: exenatide was started at 5  $\mu g$  bid for 4 weeks and 10  $\mu g$  bid thereafter

## (2) Metformin + sulfonylurea +BIAsp 30 qd

N: 124

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: Doses were remained fixed (no further details reported)

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: Doses were remained fixed (no further details reported)

(c) Biphasic insulin aspart (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Mean dose (mg/d): 44.9 Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: insulin therapy wa started with 12 U before supper. Patients were instructed to adjust their insulin dose every 3-4 days based on titration algorithm. Dose titration was based on the average SMBG results for the 3 days preceeding the visit,

unless hypoglycaemia occurred.

Final daily insulin dose was 44.9 ± 27.1 U/day (pre-supper)

### (3) Metformin +BIAsp 30 bid

N: 124

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: Doses were remained fixed (no further details reported)

(b) Biphasic insulin aspart (Subcutaneous) - fixed-dose

Mean dose (mg/d): 96.1

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: patients started insulin therapy with 12 U were divided equally between pre-breakfast and pre-supper. Patients were instructed to adjust their insulin dose every 3-4 days based on an insulin titration algorithm. Dose titration was based on the average SMBG results for the 3 days preceeding the visit, unless hypoglycaemia occurred. Total daily dose could not be increased by more than 10 U at any time. After visit 2 doses were titrated weekly for the first 12 weeks and then every 2 weeks thereafter according to the titration algorithm.

Final daily insulin dose was 96.1 ± 42.2 U/day (pre-supper)

### Outcomes

### General

Per protocol population (PP), defined as participants who completed the study without protocol violations, were used to evaluate the primary efficacy analysis. The ITT population, defined as participants who were exposed to at least one dose of study medication and had one post-dosing and post-baseline primary efficacy measurement, was used to evaluate primary and secondary analyses. The safety population comprised all participants randomised.

29.8% of patients in exenatide group, 16.1% in BIAsp 30 qd group and 19.4% in the BIAsp 30 bid group discontinued the study

Outcomes not reported in this evidence table include SMBG

### Hypoglycaemic events

Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia (Minor hypoglycaemia was defined as any symptom of hypoglycaemia with a confirmed blood glucose meter reading (3.1 mmol/l) or any asymptomatic reading <3.1 mmol/l which was handled by the participant themselves)

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Major hypoglycaemia was defined as symptoms associated with a BG reading <3.1 mmol/l and requiring third party assistance)

# Baseline characteristics

				letformin + lurea + exenatide	Met				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	124		52.5 (SD 10.6)	124		51.8 (SD 10.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	124	60	(48.4%)	124	60	(48.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	124		8.6 (SD 5.9)	124		8.4 (SD 6.3)		

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	124	10.2 (SD 1.52)	124	10.1 (SD 1.79)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	124	11.711766 (SD 3.77)	124	10.934682 (SD 3.72)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	124	34.2 (SD 7.1)	124	33.7 (SD 7.1)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	124	96.6 (SD 24)	124	96.9 (SD 25)

		Met		nin + sulfonylurea + exenatide	Met				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	124		52.5 (SD 10.6)	124		53.4 (SD 9.96)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	124	60	(48.4%)	124	59	(47.6%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	124		8.6 (SD 5.9)	124		9.9 (SD 5.6)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	124		10.2 (SD 1.52)	124		10.3 (SD 1.92)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	124		11.711766 (SD 3.77)	124		11.156706 (SD 4.16)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	124		34.2 (SD 7.1)	124		33.5 (SD 7.4)		
Weight (kg) - 0wk	Continuous	124		96.6 (SD 24)	124		93.8 (SD 24)		

		Me	Metformin + sulfonylurea +BIAsp 30 qd				Metformin +BIAsp 30 bid			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	124		51.8 (SD 10.9)	124		53.4 (SD 9.96)			
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	124	60	(48.4%)	124	59	(47.6%)			
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	124		8.4 (SD 6.3)	124		9.9 (SD 5.6)			
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	124		10.1 (SD 1.79)	124		10.3 (SD 1.92)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	124		10.934682 (SD 3.72)	124		11.156706 (SD 4.16)			
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	124		33.7 (SD 7.1)	124		33.5 (SD 7.4)			
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	124		96.9 (SD 25)	124		93.8 (SD 24)			

## Results

		sulfony		ormin + ylurea + su natide		Metformin + sulfonylurea +BIAsp 30 qd			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	124			124			MD=- 0.670 (CI: - 0.990, - 0.350)	<0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	124			124				NS
HbA1c <= 6.5% – 24wk	Dichotomous	124			124				NS

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Continuous	124		9.71355 (SD 2.47)	124		7.937358 (SD 1.24)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Mean change	124		- 1.1878284 (SD 0.332)	124		- 2.9085144 (SD 0.32)	0.0002
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	124		-1.9 (SD 3.8)	124		2.8 (SD 3.6)	
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	124		94.92 (SD 23.7)	124		99.2 (SD 24.1)	NR
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	124	36c	(29.0%)	124	69d	(55.6%)	
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 24wke	Count	17724	62		19152	212		<0.0001
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 24wke	Count	124	62		124	212		<0.0001
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 24wkf	Continuous	124		1.28	124		4.02	
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkc	Dichotomous	124	0	(0.0%)	124	4	(3.2%)	
Adverse events: Gl: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	124	36	(29.0%)	124	11g	(8.9%)	
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wkh	Dichotomous	124			124			
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	124	37	(29.8%)	124	20	(16.1%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	124	9	(7.3%)	124	1	(0.8%)	
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	124	4	(3.2%)	124	1	(0.8%)	
Dropout due to hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	124	0	(0.0%)	124	0	(0.0%)	
PP Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) –				8.05 (SD			7.7 (SD	
16wki	Continuous Mean	87		0.933) -1.75 (SD	104		0.102) -2.34 (SD	
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	change	87		1.57) 8.46 (SD	104		1.51) 7.75 (SD	
HbA1c (%) – 24wk HbA1c < 7% or	Continuous	87	00	(22.22()	104	00	1.09)	
<=7% – 24wkg HbA1c <= 6.5% –	Dichotomous	114	23	(20.2%)	116	30	(25.9%)	
24wk	Dichotomous	114	9g	(7.9%)	116	14	(12.1%)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph <sup>b</sup> converted from mg/dl (SD reported)

<sup>°</sup> No of patients of approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); No of patients of Person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through trial and no of events calculated using reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> events per patient year; No 95% confidence interval reported

g approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) NR

<sup>i</sup> estimated from graph	(SE converted)	)							
		su		min + lurea + atide	Metfo	rmin bi	+BIAsp 30 d		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	124			124			MD=- 0.910 (CI: - 1.230, - 0.590)	<0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	124			124				0.006
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 24wk	Dichotomous				124				0.0004
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Continuous	124		9.71355 (SD 2.47)	124		7.21578 (SD 1.24)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Mean change	124		- 1.1878284 (SD 0.332)	124		- 3.4802262 (SD 0.315)		<0.0001
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	124		-1.9 (SD 3.8)	124		4.1 (SD 5.4)		
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	124		94.92 (SD 23.7)	124		97.75 (SD 24)		NR
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	124	36c	(29.0%)	124	76d	(61.3%)		
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 24wke	Count	17724	62		18816	271			<0.0001
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 24wke	Count	124	62		124	271			<0.0001
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 24wkf	Continuous	124		1.28	124		5.25		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkc	Dichotomous		0	(0.0%)	124	6	(4.8%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous		36	(29.0%)	124		(8.1%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	124	h		124	2c			
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous		37	(29.8%)	124	24	(19.4%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous		9	(7.3%)	124	6	(4.8%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous		4	(3.2%)	124	0	(0.0%)		
Dropout due to hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	124	0	(0.0%)	124	0	(0.0%)		
PP Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wki	Continuous	87		8.05 (SD 0.933)	99		7.4 (SD 0.0995)		

HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	87		-1.75 (SD 1.57)	99		-2.76 (SD 1.79)	
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	87		8.46 (SD 1.72)	99		7.61 (SD 1.37)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wkj	Dichotomous	114	23	(20.2%)	120	44	(36.7%)	
HbA1c <= 6.5% – 24wk	Dichotomous	114	9j	(7.9%)	120	30	(25.0%)	

j approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

				sulfonylurea p 30 qd	Metfo		ı +BIAsp 30 bid		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Continuous	124		7.937358 (SD 1.24)	124		7.21578 (SD 1.24)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkb	Mean change	124		-2.9085144 (SD 0.32)	124		-3.4802262 (SD 0.315)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	124		2.8 (SD 3.6)	124		4.1 (SD 5.4)		
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	124		99.2 (SD 24.1)	124		97.75 (SD 24)		
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wkc	Dichotomous	124	69	(55.6%)	124	76	(61.3%)		
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 24wkd	Count	19152	212		18816	271			
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 24wke	Continuous	124		4.02	124		5.25		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkf	Dichotomous	124	4	(3.2%)	124	6	(4.8%)		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 24wk	Dichotomous	124	11g	(8.9%)	124	10h	(8.1%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	124	i		124	2f			
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	124	20	(16.1%)	124	24	(19.4%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	124	1	(0.8%)	124	6	(4.8%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 24wk	Dichotomous	124	1	(0.8%)	124	0	(0.0%)		
Dropout due to hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	124	0	(0.0%)	124	0	(0.0%)		
PP									
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkj	Continuous	104		7.7 (SD 0.102)	99		7.4 (SD 0.0995)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	104		-2.34 (SD 1.51)	99		-2.76 (SD 1.79)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	104		7.75 (SD 1.09)	99		7.61 (SD 1.37)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wkg	Dichotomous	116	30	(25.9%)	120	44	(36.7%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph <sup>b</sup> converted from mg/dl (SD reported)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> No of patients <sup>d</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); No of patients <sup>e</sup> Person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through trial and no of events calculated using reported rate
f events per patient year; No 95% confidence interval reported
g approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text);

estimated from graph (SE converted)

HbA1c <= 6.5% – 24wk	Dichotomous	116	14	(12.1%)	120	30	(25.0%)	
a estimated from graph b converted from mg/dl (SD reports approximated to nearest integer of Person days estimated assum rate e events per patient year; No 95 No of patients approximated to nearest integer approximated to nearest integer in NR estimated from graph (SE converse)	orted) er (percentages ing dropout hal % confidence i er (percentages er (percentages	s only pr fway thr nterval r	esent ough eport	ted in text); No trial and no of ed ted in text)	of patie	ents		ported
Assumed PP analyses were cor Missing data were imputed usin end of the study as the depende group comparisons. Baseline va to assess chievement of Hba1c used to analyse hypoglycaemic	g LOCF. A line ent variable and alues were inclu goals and log-	ar statis d treatmo uded in t	tical r ent as the m	nodel with the sthe fixed factorical to the fixed factorical as a coval	change or was u riate. Fi	in hba used to schers	o perform bet s exact test w	ween as used

Table 3: Civera et al. (2008)

Table 3. Civ	vera et al. (2008)
General	Phase:  monotherapy dual therapy firple therapy insulin monotherapy insulin monotherapy insulin monotherapy insulin monotherapy risulin monotherap
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 37 Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes, with secondary failure of combined OAD for at least 3 months. Patients were between 40 and 70 years with over 3 years evolution and Hba1c >8% Exclusion criteria: pregnancy, BMI >40 kg/m2, renal or hepatic failure, pulmonary or cardiac disease which would contrindicate the use of metformin or intolerance and any severe systemic disease
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All were having secondary failure on combined OAD but other OADs were stopped
Lifestyle advice	Patients were instructed not to modify their diet or life habits despite the insulin treatment during the entire study
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: There were 7 visits during the 6 month study
Arms	(1) Repaglinide + metformin + NPH insulin N: 12 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: All remaining OADs were stopped Treatment(s): (a) repaglinide (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):12 Frequency of dosing: three times a day Details of dosing regimen: 2 mg before meals. This was increased to 4 mg at week 12

before the meal at which point postprandial glucose levels were

(b) Metformin (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):1700

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: metformin 850 mg was given after breakfast and dinner

(c) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: NPH insulin was given before dinner. The initial insulin dose in the baseline visit was calculated by multiplying bodyweight in kg by 0.2 IU/day for a single injection and by 0.3 IU/day for two injections. The insulin doses were modified in visits 2,3 and 4 according to criterion of the endocrinologist in charge according to the self-tests and hypoglycaemia, but no specific algorithm was used. The main objective was to obtain basal blood glucose <110 mg/dl and <120 mg/dl before dinner for the group taking two doses of NPH

## (2) Metformin + NPH insulin

N: 12

Treatment duration (wks): 24

Washout period (d): 0

Comments: All remaining OADs were stopped
Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):1700

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: metfomin 850 mg after breakfast and dinner (b) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Details of dosing regimen: NPH given before dinner

## (3) NPH insulin

N: 13

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: All remaining OADs were stopped

Treatment(s): NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: NPH given before breakfast and dinner

### **Outcomes**

### General

Outcomes not extracted into this evidence table inculde SMBG levels

No details of drop outs were reported No details of ITT analysis reported

### Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (required assistance from another person)

confirmed hypoglycaemia (Only hypoglycaemia defined by BG <60 mg/dl and the presence of typical clinical values to prevent subjectivity in identifying symptoms were reported)

# Baseline characteristics

		Rep	agl	inide + metformin + NPH insulin	min + NPH Metformin + NPH insulin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	12		60.3 (SD 7.7)	12		61.6 (SD 9.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	12	6	(50.0%)	12	7	(58.3%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	12		8 (SD 5.7)	12		7.9 (SD 3.3)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	12		9.6 (SD 0.6)	12		9.6 (SD 0.7)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	12		30.6 (SD 4.8)	12		27.9 (SD 3.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	12		75.4 (SD 13.4)	12		74.7 (SD 8)		
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	12		102.3 (SD 10.3)	12		99.4 (SD 8)		
Waist/hip ratio	Continuous	12		0.97 (SD 0.1)	12		0.97 (SD 0.05)		

		Repaglinide + metformin + NPH insulin				NI			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	12		60.3 (SD 7.7)	13		61.8 (SD 10.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	12	6	(50.0%)	13	7	(53.8%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	12		8 (SD 5.7)	13		11.1 (SD 6.7)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	12		9.6 (SD 0.6)	13		9.8 (SD 1.1)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	12		30.6 (SD 4.8)	13		27.4 (SD 4.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	12		75.4 (SD 13.4)	13		68.8 (SD 14.7)		
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	12		102.3 (SD 10.3)	13		96.3 (SD 14)		
Waist/hip ratio	Continuous	12		0.97 (SD 0.1)	13		0.98 (SD 0.09)		

		Me	letformin + NPH insulin			1	NPH insulin		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	12		61.6 (SD 9.2)	13		61.8 (SD 10.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	12	7	(58.3%)	13	7	(53.8%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	12		7.9 (SD 3.3)	13		11.1 (SD 6.7)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	12		9.6 (SD 0.7)	13		9.8 (SD 1.1)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	12		27.9 (SD 3.8)	13		27.4 (SD 4.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	12		74.7 (SD 8)	13		68.8 (SD 14.7)		
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	12		99.4 (SD 8)	13		96.3 (SD 14)		
Waist/hip ratio	Continuous	12		0.97 (SD 0.05)	13		0.98 (SD 0.09)		

Results				etfo	aglinide + rmin + NPH nsulin	Metfo		nin + NPH sulin		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	12		7.2 (SD 0.7)	12		8.8 (SD 1)		
	HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	12		-2.4 (SD 1.1)	12		-0.7 (SD 1.2)		0.01
	HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	12	4	(33.3%)	12	1	(8.3%)		NR
	Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	12		78.3 (SD 14.7)	12		76.1 (SD 8)		
	Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	12		2.9 (SD 2.8)	12		1.7 (SD 2.6)		NS
	Hypoglycaemic events:  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wka	Dichotomous	12	0	(0.0%)	12	0	(0.0%)		NR
	Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Count	2016	0		2016	0			

confirmed hypoglycaemia – 24wkb	Count	2016	10		2016	6		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 24wkc	Continuous	12		0.8 (SD 1.48)	12		0.5 (SD 0.93)	
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	12			12			NS
Dropouts:								
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	12	1	(8.3%)	12	0	(0.0%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	12	1	(8.3%)	12	0	(0.0%)	
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 24wk	Continuous	12		18.2 (SD 5.3)	12		21.4 (SD 5.3)	<0.001
Total daily dose (U/kg) – 24wk	Continuous	12		0.23 (SD 0.06)	12		0.28 (SD 0.07)	<0.001

		Repaglinide + metformin + NPH insulin			NPH insulin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	12		7.2 (SD 0.7)	13		8.4 (SD 1.2)		NS
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	12		-2.4 (SD 1.1)	13		-1.4 (SD 1.6)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wk	Dichotomous	12	4	(33.3%)	13	2a	(15.4%)		NR
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	12		78.3 (SD 14.7)	13		73.3 (SD 15.6)		NS
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	12		2.9 (SD 2.8)	13		3 (SD 2.8)		
Hypoglycaemic events:  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkb	Dichotomous	12	0	(0.0%)	13	0	(0.0%)		NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Count	2016	0		2184	0			
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 24wkc	Count	2016	10		2184	12			
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 24wkd	Continuous	12		0.8 (SD 1.48)	13		0.9 (SD 0.29)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	12			13				NSe
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	12	1	(8.3%)	13	1	(7.7%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	12	1	(8.3%)	13	0	(0.0%)		
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 24wk	Continuous	12		18.2 (SD 5.3)	13		39.2 (SD 12.9)		<0.001
Total daily dose (U/kg) – 24wk		12	sen	0.23 (SD 0.06)	13	ted t	0.55 (SD 0.19)	nte	<0.001

approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
<sup>b</sup> No of patients

e across all groups

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> No of patients
<sup>b</sup> patient day estimated assuming no drop outs and no events calculated using mean number of hypos in each treatment group
<sup>c</sup> hypoglycaemia per patient (mean SD)

c patients calculated using mean number of hypos in each treatment group dhypoglycaemia per patient (mean SD)

Blood glucose:							8.4 (SD	
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	12		8.8 (SD 1)	13		1.2)	
111.44 (94) 94 1	Mean	40		-0.7 (SD	40		-1.4 (SD	NO
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	change	12		1.2)	13		1.6)	NS
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wk	Dichotomous	12	1	(8.3%)	13	2a	(15.4%)	NR
Body weight:				76.1 (SD			73.3 (SD	
Weight (kg) - 24wk	Continuous	12		8)	13		15.6)	NS
	Mean			1.7 (SD			3 (SD	
Weight (kg) – 24wk	change	12		2.6)	13		2.8)	
Hypoglycaemic events:								
Major/severe hypoglycaemic								
event – 24wkb	Dichotomous	12	0	(0.0%)	13	0	(0.0%)	NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic						_		
event – 24wk	Count	2016	0		2184	0		
confirmed hypoglycaemia –	0	0040			0404	40		
24wkd	Count	2016	6		2184	12		
confirmed hypoglycaemia –	Cantinua	40		0.5 (SD	40		0.9 (SD	
24wke	Continuous	12		0.93)	13		0.29)	
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	12			13			NS
Dropouts:								
Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	12	0	(0.0%)	13	1	(7.7%)	
Dropout due to AEs - 24wk	Dichotomous	12	0	(0.0%)	13	0	(0.0%)	
Insulin:				21.4 (SD			39.2 (SD	
Total daily dose (U) – 24wk	Continuous	12		5.3)	13		12.9)	<0.0
				0.28 (SD			0.55 (SD	
Total daily dose (U/kg) - 24wk	Continuous	12		0.07)	13		0.19)	<0.0

approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text); approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

b No of patients

Comparisons between pairs of treatment was carried out by Mann-Whitney test (quantitative variables) or chi squared for qualitative variables using a corrected significance levelfor three contrasts (Bonferroni's correction, p<0.017)

## Table 4: Derosa et al. (2009)

	100d of all (2000)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Crossover
	Country: Italy  Authors' conclusions: In addition to having a similar effect to repaglinide on PPG, acarbose appeared to have a more comprehensive positive effect on glucose metabolism compared with repaglinide in this relatively small sample of type 2 diabetic patients when used as add on therapy to sulfonylureas and metformin
	Source of funding: Funding from the University of Pavia  Comments: Multicentre, double-blind, cross over trial. Randomisation was carried out using envelopes containing randomisation codes prepared by a statistician. A copy of the code was provided to the person responsible for data analysis. Study drugs were supplied as matching opaque capsules in coded bottles.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 103 Inclusion criteria: type 2 diabetes for at least 6 months, did not have glycaemia control with diet and oral agents such as sulfonlyurea and metformin (Hba1c >=6.5% and PPG >=160 mg/dl) Exclusion criteria: Patients with a history of ketoacidosis, unstable or rapidly progressive diabetic

on patients of patients of no events of no events and no events calculated using mean number of hypos in each treatment group bypoglycaemia per patient (mean SD)

retinopathy, nephropathy, neuropathy, impaired hepatic function, impaired renal function, severe anaemia and patients with senious cardiovascular or deren brouscular confidence with 6 months before study enrollment. Women who were pregnant, breastfeeding or were of child bearing age and no taking adequate contraceptive precautions were also excluded Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 4 week run-in period during which metformin and sulfonylurea were taken.  Previous glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin.  Details of washout period: patients were being treated with sulfonylurea + metformin combination therapy therapy?  Eifestyle advice Participants began a controlled energy diet based on ADA recommendations. Standard diet advice was given by a dietician and/or specialist doctor.  Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): 27  Length of titration period (wks): 12  Frequency of monitoring appointments: There was a 4 week run-in period during which metformin and sulfonylurea were taken. After the treatment cross over, drugs were directly administered at the maximum dosage from the beginning of the 16th week, since patients were already compensated for on-going treatment.  Arms (1) Monformin + sulfonylurea + repaglinide  N 51  Treatment duration (wks): 27  Washout period (di): 0  Comments: No specific washout period before cross-over was reported  Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)  Mean dose (mg/di): 2500  (b) Sulfonylura (Oral)  Details of dosing regimen: Doses of sulfonylurea at start of the study were: Gibiuride 10 ± 2.5  Gibicacide 180 ± 40  Gilmepiride 5 ± 1  (c) repaglinide (Cral) – forced titration  Set dose (mg/di): 200  Comments: No specific washout period before cross-over was reported  Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)  Details of dosing regimen: Doses of sulfonylurea at start of the study were: Gibiuride 12.5 ± 2.5  Gibicacide 180 ± 40  Gilmepiride 5 ± 1  (c) Acanoses (Oral) – forced titration  Set dose (mg/di): 200  Frequency of dosing: treve times a day  Details of dosing r											
Insulin   Details of washout period: patients were being treated with sulfonylurea + metformin combination therapy		and patients with serious cardiovascular or cerebrovascular conditions within 6 months before study enrollment. Women who were pregnant, breastfeeding or were of child bearing age and no taking adequate contraceptive precautions were also excluded  Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 4 week run-in period during which metformin and sulfonylurea were									
Follow-up  Total follow-up (wks): 27 Length of titration period (wks): 15 Length of maintenance period (wks): 15 Length of maintenance period (wks): 15 Length of maintenance period (wks): 15 Frequency of monitoring appointments: There was a 4 week run-in period during which metformin and sulforylurea were taken. After the treatment cross over, drugs were directly administered at the maximum disage from the beginning of the 16th week, since patients were already compensated for on-going treatment.  Arms  (1) Metformin + sulfonylurea + repaglinide N: 51 Treatment duration (wks): 27 Washout period (di: 0 Comments: No specific washout period before cross-over was reported Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 2500 (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: Doses of sulfonylurea at start of the study were: Gliburide 10 ± 2.5 Glibizatide 10 ± 40 Glimepiride 5 ± 1 (c) repaglinide (Oral) – forced titration Set dose (mg/d): 6 Details of dosing regimen: 6 mg/day (2 mg three times a day)  (2) Metformin + sulfonylurea a-carbose N: 52 Treatment duration (wks): 27 Washout period (di: 0 Comments: No specific washout period before cross-over was reported Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 2000 (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: Doses of sulfonylurea at start of the study were: Gliburide 12.5 ± 2.5 Gliclazide 160 ± 80 Glimepiride 5 ± 1 (c) Acarbose (Oral) – forced titration Set dose (mg/d): 300 Frequency of dosing: three times a day Details of dosing regimen: 100 mg given three times a day  Outcomes  General  An ITT analysis was conducted in patients who had received >=1 dose of the study and had subsequent efficacy observation. Patients were included in the safety analysis if they had received >=1 dose of trial medication and had undergone subsequent tolerability observation. Outcomes were only extracted for week 17 (i.e. the first cross-over period) 7 patients did not complete the study (Si52 in the acarbose group and 2/51 in the repaglinide group)	glucose- lowering	insulin									
Length of titration period (wks): 15 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: There was a 4 week run-in period during which metformin and sulfory/lurea were taken. After the treatment cross over, drugs were directly administered at the maximum dosage from the beginning of the 16th week, since patients were already compensated for on-going treatment.  41) Metformin + sulfonyturea + repaglinide N: 51 Treatment duration (wks): 27 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: No specific washout period before cross-over was reported Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 2500 (b) Sulfonyturea (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: Doses of sulfonyturea at start of the study were: Gilburide 10 ± 2.5 Gilciazide 160 ± 40 Gilmepiride 5 ± 1 (c) repaglinide (Oral) − forced titration Set dose (mg/d): 5 Details of dosing regimen: 6 mg/day (2 mg three times a day)  (2) Metformin + sulfonyturea +acarbose N: 52 Treatment duration (wks): 27 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: No specific washout period before cross-over was reported Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 2000 (b) Sulfonyturea (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: Doses of sulfonyturea at start of the study were: Gilburide 12.5 ± 2.5 Gilciazide 160 ± 80 Gilmepiride 5 ± 1 (c) Acarbose (Oral) − forced titration Set dose (mg/d): 300 Frequency of dosing: three times a day Details of dosing regimen: 100 mg given three times a day  Outcomes  General  An ITT analysis was conducted in patients who had received >=1 dose of the study and had subsequent efficacy observation. Patients were included in the safety analysis if they had received >=1 dose of trial medication and had undergone subsequent tolerability observation. Outcomes were only extracted for week 17 (i.e. the first cross-over period) 7 patients did not complete the study (5/52 in the acarbose group and 2/51 in the repaglinide group)	Lifestyle advice										
N: 51 Treatment duration (wks): 27 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: No specific washout period before cross-over was reported Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 2500 (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: Doses of sulfonylurea at start of the study were: Gliburide 10 ± 2.5 Gliclazide 160 ± 40 Glimepiride 5 ± 1 (c) repaglinide (Oral) − forced titration Set dose (mg/d): 6 Details of dosing regimen: 6 mg/day (2 mg three times a day)  (2) Metformin + sulfonylurea +acarbose N: 52 Treatment duration (wks): 27 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: No specific washout period before cross-over was reported Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 2000 (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: Doses of sulfonylurea at start of the study were: Gliburide 12.5 ± 2.5 Gliclazide 160 ± 80 Glimepiride 5 ± 1 (c) Acarbose (Oral) − forced titration Set dose (mg/d): 300 Frequency of dosing: three times a day Details of dosing regimen: 100 mg given three times a day Details of dosing regimen: 100 mg given three times a day Details of doservation. Patients were included in the safety analysis if they had received >=1 dose of the study and had subsequent efficacy observation. Patients were included in the safety analysis if they had received >=1 dose of trial medication and had undergone subsequent tolerability observation. Outcomes were only extracted for week 17 (i.e. the first cross-over period) 7 patients did not complete the study (5/52 in the acarbose group and 2/51 in the repaglinide proup)	Follow-up	Length of titration period (wks): 15 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: There was a 4 week run-in period during which metformin and sulfonylurea were taken. After the treatment cross over, drugs were directly administered at the maximum dosage from the beginning of the 16th week, since patients were already compensated for on-going									
An ITT analysis was conducted in patients who had received >=1 dose of the study and had subsequent efficacy observation. Patients were included in the safety analysis if they had received >=1 dose of trial medication and had undergone subsequent tolerability observation.  Outcomes were only extracted for week 17 (i.e. the first cross-over period) 7 patients did not complete the study (5/52 in the acarbose group and 2/51 in the repaglinide group)  Baseline characteristics  Metformin + sulfonylurea + sulfonylurea + sulfonylurea + sulfonylurea + acarbose	Arms	N: 51 Treatment durat Washout period Comments: No: Treatment(s):  (2) Metformin + N: 52 Treatment durat Washout period Comments: No:	tion (wks): 27  (d): 0 specific washout period before (a) Metformin (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 2500 (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: I Gliburide 10 ± 2.5 Gliclazide 160 ± 40 Glimepiride 5 ± 1 (c) repaglinide (Oral) – force Set dose (mg/d):6 Details of dosing regimen: I sulfonylurea +acarbose  tion (wks): 27  (d): 0 specific washout period before (a) Metformin (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 2000 (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: I Gliburide 12.5 ± 2.5 Gliclazide 160 ± 80 Glimepiride 5 ± 1 (c) Acarbose (Oral) – forced Set dose (mg/d):300 Frequency of dosing: three	e cross- Doses o  ed titration e cross- Doses o  d titration times a	f sulfonylurea at start on y (2 mg three times a over was reported f sulfonylurea at start	day)					
characteristics    Metrormin + Sulfonylurea   Metrormin + Sulfonylurea + Accarbose	Outcomes	An ITT analysis efficacy observa medication and Outcomes were	ation. Patients were included in had undergone subsequent to only extracted for week 17 (i.e.	n the sa olerabilit .e. the fi	fety analysis if they h y observation. st cross-over period)	ad received >=1 dose of	trial				
					+ repaglinide	sulfonylurea +acarbo		р			

Continuous	51		53 (SD 9)	52		55 (SD 11)
Dichotomous	51	25	(49.0%)	52	24	(46.2%)
Continuous	51		3.3 (SD 1.5)	52		3.7 (SD 1.9)
Continuous	E1		o (SD 0 o)	50		8.2 (SD 0.9)
Continuous	51		,	52		, ,
Continuous	51		7.548816 (SD 0.444)	52		7.77084 (SD 0.555)
Continuous	51		27.2 (SD 0.9)	52		26.7 (SD 0.7)
Continuous	51		74.8 (SD 7.5)	52		73.7 (SD 7)
Continuous	51		135 (SD 5)	52		136 (SD 6)
Continuous	51		90 (SD 5)	52		88 (SD 3)
Continuous	51		5.35302 (SD 0.414)	52		5.19786 (SD 0.31)
Continuous	51		1.08612 (SD 0.181)	52		1.0344 (SD 0.129)
Continuous	51		1.5806 (SD 0.361)	52		1.50157 (SD 0.294)
Continuous	51		3.54282 (SD 0.259)	52		3.46524 (SD 0.181)
	Dichotomous Continuous	Dichotomous 51 Continuous 51	Dichotomous 51 25 Continuous 51	Dichotomous         51         25         (49.0%)           Continuous         51         3.3 (SD 1.5)           Continuous         51         8 (SD 0.8)           Continuous         51         7.548816 (SD 0.444)           Continuous         51         27.2 (SD 0.9)           Continuous         51         74.8 (SD 7.5)           Continuous         51         135 (SD 5)           Continuous         51         90 (SD 5)           Continuous         51         1.08612 (SD 0.181)           Continuous         51         1.5806 (SD 0.361)           3.54282 (SD         3.54282 (SD	Dichotomous         51         25         (49.0%)         52           Continuous         51         3.3 (SD 1.5)         52           Continuous         51         8 (SD 0.8)         52           Continuous         51         7.548816 (SD 0.444)         52           Continuous         51         27.2 (SD 0.9)         52           Continuous         51         74.8 (SD 7.5)         52           Continuous         51         135 (SD 5)         52           Continuous         51         90 (SD 5)         52           Continuous         51         5.35302 (SD 0.414)         52           Continuous         51         1.08612 (SD 0.181)         52           Continuous         51         1.5806 (SD 0.361)         52           Continuous         51         1.5806 (SD 0.361)         52	Dichotomous         51         25         (49.0%)         52         24           Continuous         51         3.3 (SD 1.5)         52           Continuous         51         8 (SD 0.8)         52           Continuous         51         7.548816 (SD 0.444)         52           Continuous         51         27.2 (SD 0.9)         52           Continuous         51         74.8 (SD 7.5)         52           Continuous         51         135 (SD 5)         52           Continuous         51         90 (SD 5)         52           Continuous         51         5.35302 (SD 0.414)         52           Continuous         51         1.08612 (SD 0.181)         52           Continuous         51         1.5806 (SD 0.361)         52           Continuous         51         1.5806 (SD 0.361)         52

		Metformin + sulfonylurea + repaglinide		sul	Metformin + sulfonylurea +acarbose				
				mean	N	k	k mean		р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 17wk	Continuous	51			52				NS
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 17wk	Continuous	51			52				NS
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 17wk	Continuous	51			52				NS
Weight (kg) – 17wk	Continuous	51			52				NS
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 15wk	Dichotomous	51	1	(2.0%)	52	3	(5.8%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 15wk	Dichotomous	51	1	(2.0%)	52	2	(3.8%)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 17wk	Continuous	51			52				NS
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 17wk	Continuous	51			52				NS
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 17wk	Continuous	51			52				NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 17wk	Continuous	51			52				NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 17wk	Continuous	51			52				NS
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 17wk	Continuous	51			52				NS
ITT									
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 15wk	Continuous	50		6.9 (SD 0.4)	49		6.8 (SD 0.3)		

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 15wk	Continuous	50	6.827238 (SD 0.222)	49	6.93825 (SD 0.278)			
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 15wk	Continuous	50	28.1 (SD 1.3)	49	25.6 (SD 0.4)			
Weight (kg) – 15wk	Continuous	50	76.5 (SD 8.2)	49	72.3 (SD 6.5)			
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 15wk	Continuous	50	134 (SD 4)	49	135 (SD 5)			
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 15wk	Continuous	50	90 (SD 5)	49	88 (SD 3)			
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 15wk	Continuous	50	5.12028 (SD 0.259)	49	5.01684 (SD 0.207)			
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 15wk	Continuous	50	1.11198 (SD 0.207)	49	1.11198 (SD 0.207)			
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 15wk	Continuous	50	1.44512 (SD 0.26)	49	1.4677 (SD 0.271)			
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 15wk	Continuous	50	3.33594 (SD 0.129)	49	3.2325 (SD 0.103)			
As there was no washout period, only data from the first cross-over were extracted ANOVA and ANCOVA were used to test whether change from baseline in outcomes at the end of the 15 and 27 weeks differed between repaglinide and acarbose.								

Table 5: Derosa et al. (2010)

Table 3. De	rosa et al. (2010)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Italy  Authors' conclusions: Pioglitazone reduces the inflammatory response to a glucose challenge more than acarbose in type diabetic patients, already treated with maximal doses of sulfonylurea and metformin  Source of funding: Unclear funding  Comments: Multicentre trial. Randomisation by drawing envelopes containing randomisation codes prepared by statistician.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 473 Inclusion criteria: Eligible patients were taking sulfonlyureas and metformin at various dosages, caucasian type 2 diabetic patients aged 18 years or over, with poor glycaemic control (Hba1c >6.5%). Patients were undergoing dietary control and exercise and were taking oral hypoglycaemic agents. No patients were treated with insulin.  Exclusion criteria: history of ketoacidosis, unstable or rapidly progressive diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy, neuropathy, impaired hepatic function, impaired renal function, severe anaemia and patients with serious cardiovascular or cerebrovascular conditions within 6 months before study enrollment. Women who were pregnant, breastfeeding or were of child bearing age and no taking adequate contraceptive precautions were also excluded  Pre-randomisation phase: 3 month run-in on metformin and sulfonylurea therapy
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Eligible patients were taking sulfonlyureas and metformin at various dosages (see arm details)
Lifestyle advice	Patients began a controlled eneregy diet based on ADA recommendations. Each centres standard diet advice was given by a dietitian, who provided instruction on dietary intake-recording as part of a behaviour modification program each monthduring the titration period and then every 3 months. Patients were also

		crease phys	sical activity by w	/alkin	g bri	skly or riding a sta	tionary	bicy	cle for 20-30 mins	3-5	5
	times per week.										
Follow-up	Total follow-up  Length of titrati	` '	w <b>ks)</b> · 12								
	Length of maint		•								
	Frequency of m months and at st	_	ppointments: A	ssess	smei	nts were made at s	start of	itrat	ion, after 3 months	s, 6	
Arms	(1) Metformin + N: 175	sulfonylure	ea + pioglitazon	е							
	Treatment duration Washout period		<b>;</b>								
	Treatment(s):	(a) Metforn	nin (Oral)								
		Mean dose	e (mg/d): 1500								
		. ,	rlurea (Oral)								
		Gliburide 1		mean	aos	se of sulfonylureas	were:				
		Gliclazide									
		Glimepiride	e 5 ± 1								
			zone (Oral) – foi	rced t	itrati	on					
		Set dose (i	mg/d):45 of dosing: three	times	sac	lav					
		Details of	dosing regimen:	Titrate	ed u	p to 45 mg/day, th					
	(2) Metformin +			n glyc	aem	ic control unless s	ide effe	cts \	were unacceptable		
	N: 175	Sullollylule	a Tacai Dose								
	Treatment durati Washout period		<b>:</b>								
	Treatment(s):	(a) Metform	` '								
			e (mg/d): 2000 rlurea (Oral)								
		• •	` '	mean	dos	se of sulfonylureas	were:				
		Gliburide 1									
		Gliclazide									
		Glimepiride	e 4 ± 1 se (Oral) – force	d titra	tion						
		Set dose (	` '	a titio							
									ced upwards over 3 were unacceptable		
Outcomes	General	vae conduct	ed in nationta w	ho ho	d ro	ceived > -1 doos o	f tha at	ıd.	and had subseque	nŧ	
	,		•					,	and had subseque ceived >=1 dose of		al
	medication and h	U	•		,						
	Outcomes not ex glucose, c-reactive						n resist	ance	e, postprandial bloo	od	
	,	• ′	• '	,		•	9 (22%	) in t	he acarbose group	)	
Baseline characteristics				Met		nin + sulfonylure			letformin +		
						pioglitazone		_	durea +acarbose		
	Domographica			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Demographics: Age (years)		Continuous	175		55 (SD 8)	175		57 (SD 6)		
	Sex (n male)		Dichotomous	-	86	(49.1%)	175	87	, ,		
	Blood glucose:					,					
	HbA1c (%) – (	Owk	Continuous	175		7.9 (SD 0.5)	175		8 (SD 0.6)		
	Fasting plasm (mmol/l) – 0wl		Continuous	175		7.437804 (SD 0.722)	175		7.326792 (SD 0.666)		
	Body weight:	O I.	Continuous	175		26 18 (SD 0.6)	175		26 07 (SD 0.8)		

BMI (kg/m2) - 0wk

Weight (kg) - 0wk

175

175

26.18 (SD 0.6)

74.9 (SD 7)

175

175

26.97 (SD 0.8)

75.6 (SD 7.4)

Continuous

Continuous

Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	175	133 (SD 5)	175	135 (SD 6)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	175	86 (SD 4)	175	85 (SD 3)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) - 0wk	Continuous	175	5.0427 (SD 0.207)	175	5.06856 (SD 0.233)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	175	1.13784 (SD 0.129)	175	1.11198 (SD 0.129)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	175	1.30964 (SD 0.294)	175	1.3548 (SD 0.316)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	175	3.25836 (SD 0.233)	175	3.28422 (SD 0.259)

			sul	etformin + fonylurea + oglitazone		su	Metformin + sulfonylurea +acarbose		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	175		7.1 (SD 0.3)	175		7.5 (SD 0.4)		
HbA1c (%) – 38wka	Continuous	175		6.5 (SD 0.2)	175		7.1 (SD 0.3)		<0.05
HbA1c (%) – 38wka	Continuous	138		6.5 (SD 0.2)	136		7.1 (SD 0.3)		<0.05
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	175		6.993756 (SD 0.555)	175		7.160274 (SD 0.611)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 38wk	Continuous	138		6.549708 (SD 0.389)	136		6.827238 (SD 0.5)		NS
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 38wk	Continuous	175		6.549708 (SD 0.389)	175		6.827238 (SD 0.5)		NS
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Continuous	175		26.85 (SD 0.7)	175		26.57 (SD 0.7)		
BMI (kg/m2) – 38wk	Continuous	175		27.13 (SD 0.8)	175		26.14 (SD 0.6)		<0.05
BMI (kg/m2) – 38wk	Continuous	138		27.13 (SD 0.8)	136		26.14 (SD 0.6)		<0.05
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Continuous	175		75.8 (SD 7.5)	175		75 (SD 7.2)		
Weight (kg) – 38wk	Continuous	138		76.3 (SD 7.8)	136		74.4 (SD 6.6)		<0.05
Weight (kg) – 38wk	Continuous	175		76.3 (SD 7.8)	175		74.4 (SD 6.6)		<0.05
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 38wk	Dichotomous	175	37	(21.1%)	175	39	(22.3%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 38wkb	Dichotomous	175	16	(9.1%)	175	16	(9.1%)		
Dropout due to hypoglycaemia – 38wk	Dichotomous	175	7	(4.0%)	175	5	(2.9%)		
drop out due to other GI event – 38wkc	Dichotomous	175	7	(4.0%)	175	7	(4.0%)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 12wk	Continuous	175		132 (SD 5)	175		134 (SD 6)		
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 38wk	Continuous	175		131 (SD 4)	175		134 (SD 6)		NS
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 38wk	Continuous	138		131 (SD 4)	136		134 (SD 6)		NS
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 12wk	Continuous	175		85 (SD 4)	175		85 (SD 3)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 38wk	Continuous	175		83 (SD 3)	175		84 (SD 4)		NS
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 38wk	Continuous	138		83 (SD 3)	136		84 (SD 4)		NS

Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) - 12wk	Continuous	175	4.96512 (SD 0.207)	175	5.12028 (SD 0.259)	
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)  – 38wk	Continuous	138	4.9134 (SD 0.181)	136	5.0427 (SD 0.233)	NS
Total cholesterol (mmol/l) - 38wk	Continuous	175	4.9134 (SD 0.181)	175	5.0427 (SD 0.233)	NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	175	1.1637 (SD 0.129)	175	1.11198 (SD 0.129)	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) - 38wk	Continuous	138	1.18956 (SD 0.181)	136	1.13784 (SD 0.129)	NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 38wk	Continuous	175	1.18956 (SD 0.181)	175	1.13784 (SD 0.129)	NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	175	1.23061 (SD 0.26)	175	1.33222 (SD 0.305)	
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 38wk	Continuous	138	1.09513 (SD 0.215)	136	1.2419 (SD 0.237)	NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 38wk	Continuous	175	1.09513 (SD 0.215)	175	1.2419 (SD 0.237)	NS
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	175	3.2325 (SD 0.207)	175	3.31008 (SD 0.259)	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) - 38wk	Continuous	138	3.18078 (SD 0.155)	136	3.28422 (SD 0.233)	NS
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) - 38wk	Continuous	175	3.18078 (SD 0.155)	175	3.28422 (SD 0.233)	NS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Any AE including hypo and GI event

Baseline values were exatracted from the beginning of titration. SD is reported.

Continuous variables were compared using ANOVA. Intervention effects were adjusted for additional potential confounders using ANCOVA. Where data was not normally distributed, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used.

# Table 6: Diamant et al. (2010)

#### General Phase: □ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☑ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: USA, Europe, Russia, Australia, Korea, Taiwan and Mexico Authors' conclusions: Once weekly exenatide is an important therapeutic option for patients for whom risk of hypoglycaemia, weight loss and convenience are particular concerns Source of funding: Amylin Pharmaceuticals and Eli Lily Comments: Open label study. Random assignment was achieved with a computer-generated randomisation sequence thatwas administered by the sponsor via an automated voice response system. Study participants and clinical investigators were not masked to treatment assignment, but investigators analysing data were Number and Total number of patients: 456 characteristics Inclusion criteria: type 2 diabetes aged 18 years and over with suboptimum glycaemic control despite of patients maximum tolerated doses of metformin and sulfonylurea for 3 months or longer. Hba1c between 7.1 and 11%, BMI between 25 and 45 kg/m2 and a stable bodyweight for 3 months or more. Participants had to have been treated with a stable dose of metformin of 1500 mg or more per day for 8 or more weeks before Exclusion criteria: more than 3 episodes of major hypoglycaemia within 6 months of screening, treatment within 4 weeks with systemic glucocorticoids, treatment for longer than 2 weeks with insulin,

pramlintide within 3 months of screening

thiazolidinediones, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, meglitinides, exenatide twice a day formulation, DPP-4s or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> GI event

Previous glucose- lowering	insulin	ts previously taking glue				•		-	d/or				
therapy	analyses were e	analyses were extracted for those taking metformin + sulfonylurea											
Lifestyle advice	-												
Follow-up	Length of titrat Length of main	Fotal follow-up (wks): 84  Length of titration period (wks): 0  Length of maintenance period (wks): 84  Frequency of monitoring appointments: -											
Arms	(1) Metformin + N: 233	- sulfonylurea + exenatio	de (on	ce we	ekly)								
	Treatment durat Washout period												
	Treatment(s):	(a) Metformin (Oral)  Details of dosing regime  (b) Sulfareduras (Oral)	en: Pr	e-study	doses of metformin	and s	sulfon	ylurea not report	:ed				
		<ul> <li>(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral)</li> <li>Details of dosing regimen: Pre-study doses of metformin and sulfonylurea not reported</li> <li>(c) Exenatide (once weekly) (Subcutaneous) – fixed-dose</li> <li>Set dose (mg/d):2</li> </ul>											
	(0) 11 (5)	Set dose (mg/d):2 Frequency of dosing: once weekly Details of dosing regimen: 2mg dose was injected into abdominal subcutaneous tissue at randomisation and once a week (within 2 days of date of first injection) thereafter											
	N: 223 Treatment durat		gıargıı	те									
	Treatment(s):	Washout period (d): 0  Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)  Details of dosing regimen: Patients continued their stable metformin dosing until week 26 (no dosing reported)  (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral)  (c) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Details of dosing regimen: The 26-week treatment duration allowed for implementation of the INITIATE (Initiate Insulin by Aggressive Titration and Education) dosing algorithm for											
		insulin glargine. Patient fastingblood glucose co doses to achieve a targ asked to adhere to titra titration. Insulin glargine	s start incent et glud tion ta	ed instrations cose of rgets;	ulin glargine treatme every morning, and f 4·0–5·5 mmol/L. Pa however, there was	nt with were atients no cer	n 10 lĺ instru and i ntral s	U per day, meas acted to adjust ins investigators were supervision to end	ured sulin re force				
Outcomes	were extracted. outcomes for thi	were reported in patients on No outcomes from the original straight is time point were extracted en changes at 48 weeks of	iginal 2 ed. Fo	26 wee the ex	k trial reported outco tension study (Diam	omes i nant 20	in this 012),	way and so no data collected af	fter ar				
	arm discontinue Hypoglycaemic	cevents								1			
	sign or symptom selftreated by th	<ul> <li>d) hypoglycaemia (defined n, associated with concurrate patient or resolved inder roglycaemic event (Majo</li> </ul>	ent blo	ood glu ntly.)	icose lower than 3.0	mmo	I/L, th	at was either					
	resulting in loss documented blo	of consciousness or seize od glucose lower than 3-0 ent in consciousness or be	ure that mmo	t show I/L nec	ed prompt recovery	after	admi	nistration of gluc	ose, o				
		nconfirmed) hypoglycaem ed by the patient, but not											
Baseline characteristics					n + sulfonylurea + le (once weekly)	su	lfony	etformin + lurea + insulin glargine					
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	ΔΙ	р			

Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	233		58 (SD 10)	223		58 (SD 9)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	233		8 (SD 6)	223		7.8 (SD 6)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%)	Continuous	233		8.3 (SD 1.1)	223		8.3 (SD 1)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	233		9.9 (SD 2.5)	223		9.7 (SD 2.7)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	233		32 (SD 5)	223		32 (SD 5)
Weight (kg)	Continuous	233		91.2 (SD 18.6)	223		90.6 (SD 16.4)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Metformin	Dichotomous	233	164	(70.4%)	223	157	(70.4%)
Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	233	69	(29.6%)	223	66	(29.6%)

Results			Metformin + sulfonylurea + exenatide (once weekly)				Met sulfo nsuli			
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Metformin + sulfonylurea Hypoglycaemic events: Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 84wka	Dichotomous	69	17	(24.6%)	66	36	(54.5%)		<0.001
	Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 84wk	Dichotomous	69	0	(0.0%)	66	0	(0.0%)		b
	symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 84wka	Dichotomous	69	25	(36.2%)	66	37	(56.1%)		0.025
	Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 84wka	Dichotomous	69	9	(13.0%)	66	31	(47.0%)		<0.001
	<sup>a</sup> Data extracted from table in I <sup>b</sup> NR	Diamant (2012)	; No d	of pati	ents					

Table 7: Duran et al. (2009)

	· /
General	Phase:  □ monotherapy □ dual therapy □ triple therapy □ insulin monotherapy □ insulin+oral
	Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: Turkey Authors' conclusions: Repaglinide and acarbose were equally effective when combined with insulin glargine for obese type 2 diabetic patients controlled inadequately with OAD alone. Furthermore acarbose seems to have advantages over repaglinide concerning weight gain and severe hypoglycaemic attacks
	Source of funding: Not reported  Comments: Single-centre, open labelled, randomised trial
Number and characteristics	Total number of patients: 40 Inclusion criteria: type 2 diabetes, 18-65 years with a BMI 27-35 kg/m2, FBG>=7.7 mmol/l, Hba1c >=9%

of patients	•	I OAD combination therapy			nongy lastatisms			anala un accesar		
	hepatic impairm	ria: type 1 or gestational diabet ent, heart failure, renal impairme	es, p ent, :	reg alco	nancy, iactation, nyp hol abuse, gastric st	urge	ry, I	emia unawareness, lactose intolerance,	, tak	ing
	any medications	that may affect glycaemic cont	rol a	nd a	llergy to trial medica	ation				J
	Pre-randomisati	on phase: There was a 2 week	run-i	n pe	eriod and 13 week m	aint	ena	ince period		
Previous glucose-	Any participant insulin	ts previously taking glucose-l	owe	ring	therapy? all on ora	al hy	pog	llycaemic drugs and	d/or	
lowering therapy	in period all part	<b>nout period:</b> All taking maximal icipants continued taking their paid of the run-in period despite Oation	revi	ous	OADs. Patients were	e inc	lud	ed if they had FBG		
Lifestyle advice	-									
Follow-up	Length of main Frequency of n	o (wks): 13 ion period (wks): 0 itenance period (wks): 13 inonitoring appointments: Pationed about dietary adaption an				and	4 a	t monthly intervals	whe	ere
Arms	N: 20 Treatment durat Washout period	(d): 0								
	Comments: Pati Treatment(s):	ients were randomised after disc (a) Insulin glargine (Subcutan Mean dose (mg/d): 0.34 Frequency of dosing: once a of Details of dosing regimen: ins mmol/l. Insulin dose was incre	eous day ulin	s) – glar	flexible-dose (dose-	adju	sted arg	et for FBG was 4.4		,
		mmol/l. If over 10 mmol/l, 8 ex decrement was offered. Titrat Insulin dose was 0.34 ± 0.12	ions	wer			<4.4	1 mmol/l, a 2 unit		
		(b) repaglinide (Oral) – fixed-o								
		Set dose (mg/d):6 Frequency of dosing: three tin Details of dosing regimen: 2 n			у					
	(2) Insulin glar	gine + acarbose	g	-						
	N: 18 Treatment durat Washout period									
	•	ients were randomised after disc								
	Treatment(s):	(a) Insulin glargine (Subcutan Mean dose (mg/d): 0.32 Frequency of dosing: once a comparison of Details of dosing regimen: Se Insulin dose was 0.32 ± 0.17 (b) Acarbose (Oral) – fixed-do	day e ins IU/k	sulin						
		Set dose (mg/d):300 Frequency of dosing: three tin Details of dosing regimen: 100			у					
Outcomes	2 patients in the	extracted in this evidence table in insulin + acarbose group withdom Γ analysis (assumed analysis co	ew f	rom	the study		iles	).		
	symptomatic h Major/severe hy	ypoglycaemia was defined as poglycaemic event (Severe hyp quiring third party assistance)						2 mmol/I with or with	nout	
Baseline characteristics				Ins	ulin glargine + repaglinide		Ins	ulin glargine + acarbose		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
										-

Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	20		53.5 (SD 5.9)	18		55.1 (SD 7.2)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	20	12	(60.0%)	18	8	(44.4%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	20		10.8 (SD 5.4)	18		9.6 (SD 4.5)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	20		10.9 (SD 1.4)	18		11 (SD 1.4)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	20		11.9 (SD 2.7)	18		11.1 (SD 2.5)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	20		30.5 (SD 2.6)	18		30.5 (SD 2.6)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	20		86.0832 (SD 7.34)	18		86.0832 (SD 7.34)
Blood pressure:							
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	20		131 (SD 15.5)	18		132.2 (SD 19.8)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	20		79 (SD 9.1)	18		80 (SD 10.8)
Insulin: Total daily dose (U/kg) – 0wk		20		0.34 (SD 0.12)	18		0.32 (SD 0.17)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

				glargine + glinide			glargine + rbose		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	20			18				NS
HbA1c (%) – 13wk	Continuous	20		7.7 (SD 1.1)	18		8.1 (SD 1.4)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	20			18				NR
Weight (kg) – 13wk	Mean change	20		2.9 (SD 4.2)	18		0.8 (SD 3.2)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 0wk	Dichotomous	20			18				NR
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 13wka	Count	1820	14		1729	14			
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 13wkb	Continuous	20		0.68 (SD 0.76)	18		0.77 (SD 1.12)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 0wk	Dichotomous	20			18				NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 13wkc	Dichotomous	20	2	(10.0%)	18	0	(0.0%)		
Adverse events: Flatulence – 0wk	Dichotomous	20			18				NR
Flatulence – 13wk	Dichotomous	20	3	(15.0%)	18	12	(66.7%)		
Injection site – 0wk	Dichotomous	20			18				NR
Injection site – 13wk	Dichotomous	20	5	(25.0%)	18	4	(22.2%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 13wk	Dichotomous	20	0	(0.0%)	20	2	(10.0%)		
Insulin: Total daily dose (U/kg) – 0wk	Continuous	20			18				NS
Total daily dose (U/kg) – 13wk <sup>a</sup> Person davs estimated assuming dro	Continuous	20	etud	0.33 (SD 0.13)	18	ralcı	0.36 (SD 0.18)	mes	an

Person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through study and no of events calculated using mean hypos per month
<sup>b</sup> episodes per month
<sup>c</sup> No of patients

Kruskal wallis and Mann Whitney U tests were used for statistical analysis. Wilcoxon test was used for intragroup comparisons, chi squared and Fischers test was used to compare categorical data.

Table 8: Eliaschewitz et al. (2006)

Table 6. En	aschewitz et al. (2006)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: 56 centres in Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Guatemala, Mexico, Paraguay, Peru, Uruguay and Venezuela  Authors' conclusions: In patients with type 2 diabetes, inadequately controlled on OADs, once daily insulin glargine + glimepiride is effective in improving metabolic control with a reduced incidence of nocturnal hypoglycaemia compared with NPH insulin  Source of funding: Study was supported by Sanofi-Aventis  Comments: Open label, randomised, multicentre trial
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 481  Inclusion criteria: men and women aged <=75 years with a BMI <=35 kg/m2 were enrolled if they had type 2 diabetes and had failed to achieve adequate control on OADs (Hba1c >=7.5% and <=10.5%). Patients were required to have been receiveing OADs (any sulfonylureas or a combination of sulfonylureas with other OADs) for at least 6 months. The previous doses were required to have been at least equivalent to glimepiride 3 mg. Patients also had to be willing to receive tight antidiabetic therapy  Exclusion criteria: previous treatment with any insulin in the 3 months before the study, pregnant or breastfeeding, likely to require treatment with drugs not permitted by the study protocol (e.g. beta blockers), had been enrolled in a previous study, had received the study drug within 3 months of the study or had a history of alchohol abuse  Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 4 week screening phase and a 24 week treatment period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: During screening, patients stayed on their previous OAD until confirmtaion of meeting study inclusion criteria at which point they were switched to glimepiride
Lifestyle advice	-
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 28 Length of titration period (wks): 6 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
Arms	(1) Insulin glargine +glimepiride N: 231 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: During screening, patients stayed on their previous OAD until confirmtaion of meeting study inclusion criteria at which point they were switched to glimepiride Treatment(s):  (a) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Mean dose (mg/d): 16.2 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: Bedtime injection. Insulin doses were titrated during the first 6 weeks of treatment to achieve FBG <= 5.5 mmol/l (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):4 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: 4 mg od this dose was kept stable throughout the study  (2) Insulin NPH +glimepiride N: 250 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s):  (a) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Mean dose (mg/d): 14.9

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: see insulin glargine + glimepiride for dosing details

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):4

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: 4 mg od this dose was kept stable throughout the study

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include satisfaction

13/231 (5.6%) patients in the glargine group and 6/250 (2.4%) in the NPH group discontinued the study Analyses were conducted in the full analysis population which included all randomised patients who received at least one dose of the study medication and had at least one primary or secondary efficacy outcome value recorded during the treatment phase. The per protocol analysis included all patients from the full set except those with major protocol deviations. The safety population included all patients randomised who received at least one dose of study medication.

#### Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (severe events were defined as symptoms consistent with hypoglycaemia requiring assistance from another person and associated with BG <2.8 mmol/l or with prompt recovery after oral carbohydrate or IV glucose/glucagons))

Symptomatic hypoglycaemia (symptomatic events were categorised as mild (BG 2.8-4.2 mmol/l), moderate (BG <2.8 mmol/l) or severe. Symptomatic confirmed events were those associated with FBG <=4.2 mmol/l) Nocturnal (symptomatic) (symptomatic nocturnal hypoglycaemia was defined as an event that occurred while the patient was asleep between bedtime and getting up in the morning)

# Baseline characteristics

		I		lin glargine imepiride			ulin NPH mepiride		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	231		56.1 (SD 9.9)	250		57.1 (SD 9.6)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	231	99	(42.9%)	250	95	(38.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	231		10.3 (SD 6.4)	250		10.8 (SD 6.4)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	231		9.1 (SD 1)	250		9.2 (SD 0.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	231		11.4 (SD 3.2)	250		10.7 (SD 3.1)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	231		27.3 (SD 3.7)	250		27.2 (SD 4)		
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 0wk	Continuous	231		16.2 (SD 8.3)	250		14.9 (SD 7.2)		

		Insulin glargine +glimepiride					NPH piride		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	231		7.65 (SD 1.3)	250		7.78 (SD 1.29)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	231		-1.38 (SD 1.32)	250		-1.44 (SD 1.33)	MD=- 0.047 (CI: -0.267, 0.173)	а
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	231		-1.38 (SD 1.32)	250		-1.44 (SD 1.33)	MD=- 0.029 (CI: -0.245, 0.187)	b
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	231		6.4164936 (SD 2)	250		6.632967 (SD 2.45)		NS

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wkc	Continuous	231		6.4 (SD 2)	250		6.6 (SD 2.5)		NS
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	231		6.4 (SD 2) c	250		6.632967 (SD 2.45)		NS
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	231		-4.8 (SD 3.7)	250		-4.1 (SD 3.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	231		6.4164936 (SD 2)	250		6.6 (SD 2.5) c		NS
Hba1c<=7.5% - 24wk	Dichotomous	231	115	(49.8%)	250	118			NS
FBG <=100 mg/dl – 24wk	Dichotomous	231	96	(41.6%)	250	98	(39.2%)		NS
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkd	Continuous	231		0.1	250		0.2		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wke	Dichotomous	231	6	(2.6%)	250	11	(4.4%)	RR=1.020 (CI: 3682.845, 0.000)	0.303
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkf	Count	37716	10	,	41496	23	,	,	
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia –	D: 1	204	100	(50.00()	0.50	4	(00.00()	RR=1.270 (CI: 77.869,	0.040
24wke Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 24wkf	Dichotomous	37716		(52.8%)	250 41496	157	(62.8%)	0.021)	0.042
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 24wkd	Continuous	231	011	5	250	010	7.2		
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 24wkd	Continuous	231		0.8	250		2.3		
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 24wke	Dichotomous	221	39	(16.9%)	250	75	(30.0%)	RR=1.190 (CI: 283.867, 0.005)	<0.01
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 24wkf	Count	37716		(10.978)	41496		(30.078)	0.003)	<b>VO.01</b>
Nocturnal (symptomatic) – 24wkd	Continuous	231		1.1	250		3.1		
Nocturnal (symptomatic) – 24wke	Dichotomous	221	47	(20.3%)	250	87	(34.8%)	RR=1.220 (CI: 248.768, 0.006)	<0.001
Nocturnal (symptomatic) – 24wkf	Count	37716	114	(20.3%)	41496	353	(34.070)	0.000)	<u.uu1< td=""></u.uu1<>
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wkg	Dichotomous		137	(59.3%)	250	150	(60.0%)		NR
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wkg	Dichotomous		10	(4.3%)	250	10	(4.0%)		NR
Study drug-related adverse event – 24wkg	Dichotomous	231	39	(16.9%)	250	31	(12.4%)		NR

Injection site – 24wk	Dichotomous	231	19	(8.2%)	250	17	(6.8%)		NR	
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	231	13	(5.6%)	250	6	(2.4%)			
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	231	2	(0.9%)	250	0	(0.0%)			
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 24wk	Continuous	231		32.6 (SD 17)	250		31.2 (SD 16.4)		NS	
a per protocol analysis b full analysis population c reported in paper d events per patient year; no SD reported No of patients person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through trial and no of events calculated using reported rates No patients										
The primary analysis (Hba1c) was anlysed using ANCOVA with treatment and country as fixed effects and baseline values as a covariate. Catergorical variables were analysed using Cochran-Mantel-Haenzel tests. P-values for between group comparisons for adverse events were not reported.										

Table 9: Fritsche A, Schweitzer MA, Haring (2003)

General	Phase:
	□ monotherapy □ dual therapy □ triple therapy □ insulin monotherapy □ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: 13 European countries  Authors' conclusions: The risk for nocturnal hypoglycemia was lower with glimepiride in combination with morning and bedtime insulin glargine than with glimepiride in combination with bedtime NPH insulin in patients with type 2 diabetes. Morning insulin glargine provided better glycemic control than did bedtime insulin glargine or bedtime NPH insulin  Source of funding: Aventis Pharma  Comments: open-label, randomized, controlled, multinational, multicenter, parallel-group clinical trial. The randomisation schedule generated by the sponsor, eligible patients were linked sequentially to treatment codes allocated at random.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 700 Inclusion criteria: Criteria for study inclusion were as follows: 1) type 2 diabetes, 2) age younger than 75 years, 3) body mass index less than 35 kg/m2, and 4) previous oral therapy with any sulfonylurea as monotherapy or in combination with metformin or acarbose. Furthermore, the fasting blood glucose level had to be 6.7 mmol/L or greater, and the HbA1c level had to be between 7.5% and 10.5% Exclusion criteria: Main exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) pregnancy or breast-feeding, 2) pretreatment with insulin or any investigational drugs within the previous 3 months, or 3) presence of any clinically relevant somatic or mental diseases.  Pre-randomisation phase: Patients with type 2 diabetes who did not achieve good metabolic control while receiving oral antidiabetic drugs had their oral agents replaced by 3 mg of glimepiride for 4 weeks and were then randomly assigned
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Before starting the study patients discontinued use of their previous oral antidiabetic drug treatment and received 3 mg of glimepiride in the morning
Lifestyle advice	-
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 28 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24

**Frequency of monitoring appointments:** During the treatment phase, patients visited the investigation sites 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16, 20, and 24 weeks after randomisation.

#### Arms

### (1) NPH insulin (bedtime) + glimepiride

N: 234

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: Before starting the study patients discontinued use of their previous oral antidiabetic drug treatment and received 3 mg of glimepiride in the morning

Treatment(s):

(a) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Details of dosing regimen: When combination therapy was initiated, insulin glargine or NPH insulin was injected subcutaneously once daily. The insulin dose for the first day of the treatment phase was calculated according to the formula of Holman and Turner. During the treatment phase, the insulin dose was titrated every visit by using a predefined regimen: If the fasting blood glucose level was greater than 5.6, 6.7, 7.8, or 8.9 mmol/L (>100, 120, 140, 160 mg/dL) for at least 1 of 2 consecutive days before the visit with no hypoglycemia, the insulin dose was increased by 2, 4, 6, or 8 units, respectively

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):3

Details of dosing regimen: Doses of glimepiride remained unchanged throughout the study.

#### (2) Insulin glargine (bedtime) + glimepiride

N: 229

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Details of dosing regimen: see NPH + glimepiride for details of dosing

(b) Sulfonylurea (Subcutaneous) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):3

Details of dosing regimen: Doses of glimepiride remained unchanged throughout the study.

#### (3) Insulin glargine (morning) + glimepiride

N: 237

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Details of dosing regimen: see NPH + glimepiride for details of dosing

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):3

Details of dosing regimen: Doses of glimepiride remained unchanged throughout the study.

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include diurnal glucose profiles

Analyses were completed in the ITT (full analysis) set

2/234 in the NPH group, 2/229 in the bedtime glargine and 1/237 were not included in the full analysis set. 27/234, 17/229 and 11/237 discontinued the intervention.

Total drop out rates have not been extracted as they do not correlate with the attrition rates due to adverse events.

#### Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Severe hypoglycemia was defined as an event with symptoms consistent with hypoglycemia that required the assistance of another person and that was associated with a blood glucose level less than 2.8 mmol/L or that was followed by prompt recovery after oral carbohydrate, intravenous glucose, or glucagon administration.)

Symptomatic hypoglycaemia (Hypoglycemia was defined as symptomatic or asymptomatic (blood glucose level < 4.2 mmol/L [<75mg/dL]))

Nocturnal hypoglycaemia (Nocturnal hypoglycemia was defined as hypoglycemia that occurs while the patient is asleep—between bedtime after the evening injection and before the patient awakes in the morning)

# Baseline characteristics

		NPI		ulin (bedtime) imepiride	Insulin glargine (bedtime) + glimepiride				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Demographics:				(0.7.0)			20 (07 2)		
Age (years)	Continuous	232		62 (SD 9)	227		60 (SD 9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	232	119	(51.3%)	227	132	(58.1%)		

Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	232	med: 9.3 [rng 1–39]	227	med: 8.2 [rng 1–51]
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	232	9.1 (SD 1.1)	227	9.1 (SD 1)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	232	12.2 (SD 3.2)	227	12 (SD 2.9)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	232	28.9 (SD 3.9)	227	28.7 (SD 3.9)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	232	81 (SD 14.9)	227	82.1 (SD 13.6)
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 0wk	Continuous	232	19 (SD 11)	227	20 (SD 11)

		NPH		ılin (bedtime) + mepiride	I	n glargine orning) + nepiride			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Demographics:  Age (years)	Continuous	232		62 (SD 9)	236		61 (SD 9)		
	Dichotomous		110	, ,		122	` ,		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	232	119	,	230	122	,		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	232		med: 9.3 [rng 1–39]	236		med: 9 [rng 0–38]		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	232		9.1 (SD 1.1)	236		9.1 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	232		12.2 (SD 3.2)	236		12.1 (SD 3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	232		28.9 (SD 3.9)	236		28.6 (SD 4.5)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	232		81 (SD 14.9)	236		80.7 (SD 15.8)		
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 0wk	Continuous	232		19 (SD 11)	236		19 (SD 11)		

		(be		lin glargine e) + glimepiride	Insulin glargine (morning) + glimepiride				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	227		60 (SD 9)	236		61 (SD 9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	227	132	(58.1%)	236	122	(51.7%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	227		med: 8.2 [rng 1–51]	236		med: 9 [rng 0– 38]		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	227		9.1 (SD 1)	236		9.1 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	227		12 (SD 2.9)	236		12.1 (SD 3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	227		28.7 (SD 3.9)	236		28.6 (SD 4.5)		
Weight (kg) - 0wk	Continuous	227		82.1 (SD 13.6)	236		80.7 (SD 15.8)		
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 0wk	Continuous	227		20 (SD 11)	236		19 (SD 11)		

Results			(I	oedtii	nsulin me) + piride	(	bedti	glargine me) + piride		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p
	Blood glucose: Hba1c<=7.5% - 24wk	Dichotomous	234			229				0.022a
	Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 24wk	Dichotomous	234			229				>0.2a
	Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	234			229				>0.2a
	Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Count	39144	6		38304	13			
	Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	234			229				0.002a
	Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	234			229				<0.001a
	Adverse events:  Any adverse event(s) – 24wkb	Count	39144	423		38304	414			
	Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	232		-0.84 (SD 1.09)	227		-0.96 (SD 1.08)		
	HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	232		8.3 (SD 1.3)	227		8.1 (SD 1.3)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	232		6.9 (SD 1.9)	227		6.8 (SD 1.9)		
	Hba1c<=7.5% - 24wk	Dichotomous	232	74	(31.9%)	227	75	(33.0%)		
	Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	232		2.9 (SD 4.3)	227		3.7 (SD 3.6)		
	Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wkc	Dichotomous	232	173	(74.6%)	227	155	(68.3%)		
	Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkc	Dichotomous	232	6	(2.6%)	227	4	(1.8%)		
	Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkd	Continuous	232		12.2	227		3.8		
	Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 24wkc	Dichotomous	232	135	(58.2%)	227	98	(43.2%)		
	Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 24wkc	Dichotomous	232	89	(38.4%)	227	52	(22.9%)		
	Adverse events:  Any adverse event(s) – 24wke	Dichotomous	232	423	(182.3%)	227	414	(182.4%)		
	Death – 24wkc	Dichotomous	232	1	(0.4%)	227	2	(0.9%)		
	Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous		7	(3.0%)	227	4	(1.8%)		
	Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 24wk	Continuous	232		37 (SD 22)	227		39 (SD 21)		
	<ul> <li>a p-value overall</li> <li>b No of events, reviewer estim</li> <li>c No of patients</li> </ul>	ated person tin	ne at ris	k						

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2015

 $^{\it d}$  per 100 person years; No 95% CI reported  $^{\rm e}$  No of events

		(b	PH in edtin limep		(n	ılin g norni limep			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	234			237			MD=0.400 (CI: 0.230, 0.570)	<0.001
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	234			237				>0.2a
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	234			237				NSa
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Count	39144	6		39732	4			
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wkb	Count	39144	423		39732	403			
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 24wk	Mean change	234			237				0.06a
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop				-0.84					
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	232		(SD 1.09)	236		-1.24 (SD 1.1)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	232		8.3 (SD 1.3)	236		7.8 (SD 1.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	232		6.9 (SD 1.9)	236		7 (SD 1.9)		
Hba1c<=7.5% – 24wk	Dichotomous	232	74	(31.9%)	236	102	(43.2%)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	232		2.9 (SD 4.3)	236		3.9 (SD 4.5)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic									
events (no patients) – 24wkc	Dichotomous	232	173	(74.6%)	236	175	(74.2%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkc	Dichotomous	232	6	(2.6%)	236	5	(2.1%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkd	Continuous	232		12.2	236		5.5		
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 24wkc	Dichotomous	232	135	(58.2%)	236	133	(56.4%)		
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 24wkc	Dichotomous	232	89	(38.4%)	236	39	(16.5%)		
Adverse events:									
Any adverse event(s) – 24wke	Dichotomous	232	423	(182.3%)	236	403	(170.8%)		
Death – 24wkc	Dichotomous		1	(0.4%)	236	0	(0.0%)		

Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs - 24wk	Dichotomous	232	7	(3.0%)	236	5	(2.1%)	
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) - 24wk	Continuous	232		37 (SD 22)	236		40 (SD 24)	

		(k	ulin g edtin limep		(n	ılin g norni limep			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	229			237			MD=0.280 (CI: 0.077, 0.483)	0.008
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Count	38304	13		39732	4			
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wka	Count	38304	414		39732	403			
Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	227		-0.96 (SD 1.08)	236		-1.24 (SD 1.1)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	227		8.1 (SD 1.3)	236		7.8 (SD 1.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	227		6.8 (SD 1.9)	236		7 (SD 1.9)		
Hba1c<=7.5% – 24wk	Dichotomous	227	75	(33.0%)	236	102	(43.2%)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	227		3.7 (SD 3.6)	236		3.9 (SD 4.5)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wkb	Dichotomous	227	155	(68.3%)	236	175	(74.2%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkb	Dichotomous	227	4	(1.8%)	236	5	(2.1%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkc	Continuous	227		3.8	236		5.5		
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 24wkb	Dichotomous	227	98	(43.2%)	236	133	(56.4%)		
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 24wkb	Dichotomous	227	52	(22.9%)	236	39	(16.5%)		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wkd	Dichotomous	227	414	(182.4%)	236	403	(170.8%)		
Death – 24wkb	Dichotomous	227	2	(0.9%)	236	0	(0.0%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> p-value overall
<sup>b</sup> No of events, reviewer estimated person time at risk
<sup>c</sup> No of patients
<sup>d</sup> per 100 person years; No 95% CI reported
<sup>e</sup> No of events

Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs - 24wk	Dichotomous	227	4	(1.8%)	236	5	(2.1%)		
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 24wk	Continuous	227		39 (SD 21)	236		40 (SD 24)		
<ul> <li><sup>a</sup> No of events, reviewer</li> <li><sup>b</sup> No of patients</li> <li><sup>c</sup> per 100 person years;</li> <li><sup>d</sup> No of events</li> </ul>	·		at ris	sk					
An analysis of covariance were fixed effects, and hanalyzed for treatment of for adverse events were	HbA1c baseline differences by u	values	were	covariates	. Catego	oric se	econdary va	ariables were	,

Table 10: Fu	rlong et al. (2002)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: UK  Authors' conclusions: Combined with bedtime NPH insulin, metformin provides superior glycemic control to repaglinide with less weight gain and improved diabetes treatment satisfaction  Source of funding: supported by an unrestricted educational grant from Novo Nordisk Pharmaceuticals  Comments: single center open-label randomized parallel group study. Subjects were then individually randomized by way of concealed random numbers in sequenced envelopes
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 80 Inclusion criteria: Men and women >18 years of age with type 2 diabetes treated with 850 or 1,000 mg t.i.d. (maximum tolerated dose) metformin combined with bedtime NPH insulin, were included  Exclusion criteria: type 1 diabetes, pregnancy or lactation, hypoglycemic unawareness, recurrent severe hypoglycemia (four or more episodes in the previous year), hepatic impairment, renal impairment, decompensated heart failure, unstable angina, known or suspected allergy to any trial medications, or a known or suspected history of alcohol or drug abuse. Subjects were also excluded if they were taking other medications likely to affect glycemic control or drugs known to interact with trial medication Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 4 week run-in (screening) period where patients continued their previous OAD therapy unchanged
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Patients included were treated with 850 or 1,000 mg t.i.d. (maximum tolerated dose) metformin combined with bedtime NPH insulin. The use of other oral hypoglycemic agents (including sulfonylureas or thiazolidinediones) was not permitted
Lifestyle advice	All subjects received dietetic and lifestyle advice regarding hypoglycemia prevention
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 13 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 13 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Subjects were seen by the trial investigator at 2, 4, 6, and 13 weeks after randomization
Arms	(1) Metformin + NPH insulin (bedtime) N: 41 Treatment duration (wks): 13 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Mean dose (mg/d): 2824

Details of dosing regimen: Metformin was administered with meals and dose was unchanged

(b) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: the bedtime insulin dose was increased to >=0.5 units/kg body wt (providing no risk of hypoglycemia as judged by the study coordinator) and

subsequently increased after 1 week to >=0.7 units/kg providing no (risk of) hypoglycemi. Insulin doses were then titrated at the clinician's discretion at each subsequent visit (with increments typically between 4 and 20 units), aiming for a target FBG of 4.0–6.0 mmol/l.

#### (2) Repaglinide +NPH insulin (bedtime)

N: 39

Treatment duration (wks): 13 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) repaglinide (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):12

Frequency of dosing: three times a day

Details of dosing regimen: 4 mg tid, administered 15 mins preprandially (b) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: see metformin + insulin for dosing details

#### **Outcomes**

#### Genera

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include satisfaction and wellbeing measures and 7 point blood glucose profiles (SMBG).

All data were summarized using an intention-to-treat analysis (all subjects

who received at least one dose of the study medication and returned for at least one visit after randomization.) The end point was defined as the last measurement available during the treatment phase 2/41 in the metformin + insulin group and 3/39 in the repaglinide + insulin group discontinued the study

#### Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Severe hypoglycemia was defined as that requiring third-party assistance)

confirmed hypoglycaemia (Hypoglycemia was defined as a blood glucose reading <3.5 mmol/l with or without symptoms.)

Nocturnal hypoglycaemia (Nocturnal hypoglycemia was defined as that occurring while the subject was asleep between bedtime after the injection of insulin and before prebreakfast blood glucose determination.)

# Baseline characteristics

				tformin + NPH ulin (bedtime)			oaglinide +NPH sulin (bedtime)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	41		61.6 (SD 10.2)	39		57.4 (SD 9.99)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	41	15	(36.6%)	39	24	(61.5%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	41		med: 120 [rng 9- 306]	39		med: 120 [rng 10- 240]		
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	41		8.4 (SD 1.28)	39		8.1 (SD 1.25)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	41		7.6 (SD 2.56)	39		7.6 (SD 3.12)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	41		33 (SD 4.48)	39		33.7 (SD 6.24)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	41		91.2 (SD 17.9)	39		97.5 (SD 21.9)		
Insulin: Total daily dose (U/kg) – 0wka	Continuous	41		0.47 (SD 0.192)	39		0.5 (SD 0.187)		

<sup>a</sup> assumed daily

		nin + NPH (bedtime)			de +NPH pedtime)		
N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р

Continuous	41		8.1 (SD 1.34)	39		8.6 (SD 1.31)	0.005
Continuous	41		6.6 (SD 1.28)	39		7.9 (SD 2.5)	0.04
Mean change	41		0.9 (SD 2.56)	39		2.7 (SD 2.5)	
Continuous	41		,	39			0.002
Count	3640	0		3412.5	0		
Dichotomous	41	0	(0.0%)	39	0	(0.0%)	NR
Count	3609	64		3381	38		
Continuous	41		1.56 (SD 2.56)	39		0.97 (SD 1.62)	NS
Dichotomous	41	22f	(53.7%)	39	16g	(41.0%)	
Dichotomous	41	0	(0.0%)	39	5	(12.8%)	
Count	3640	0		3412.5	5		
Count	3640	43		3412.5	50		
Dichotomous	41	43	(104.9%)	39	50	(128.2%)	NR
Count	3640	0		3412.5	5		
Dichotomous	41	0	(0.0%)	39	5	(12.8%)	NR
Dichotomous	41	2	(4.9%)	39	3	(7.7%)	
Continuous	41			39			NS
Mean change	41		0.19 (SD 0.192)	39		0.21 (SD 0.187)	
	Continuous Mean change Continuous  Count Dichotomous Count Continuous Dichotomous Count Count Count Dichotomous Count Dichotomous Count Dichotomous Count Dichotomous Count Dichotomous Count Dichotomous Mean	Continuous 41  Mean change 41  Continuous 41  Count 3640  Dichotomous 41  Count 3609  Continuous 41  Dichotomous 41  Count 3640  Count 3640  Dichotomous 41  Count 3640	Continuous         41           Mean change         41           Continuous         41           Count         3640         0           Dichotomous         41         0           Count         3609         64           Continuous         41         22f           Dichotomous         41         0           Count         3640         0           Count         3640         43           Dichotomous         41         43           Count         3640         0           Dichotomous         41         0           Dichotomous         41         2           Continuous         41         2	Continuous         41         1.34)           Continuous         41         1.28)           Mean change         41         0.9 (SD 2.56)           Continuous         41         0           Count         3640 0         0           Dichotomous         41 0 (0.0%)           Count         3609 64         1.56 (SD 2.56)           Dichotomous         41 22f (53.7%)           Dichotomous         41 0 (0.0%)           Count         3640 0           Count         3640 43           Dichotomous         41 43 (104.9%)           Count         3640 0           Dichotomous         41 0 (0.0%)           Dichotomous         41 2 (4.9%)           Continuous         41 2 (4.9%)	Continuous         41         1.34)         39           Continuous         41         1.28)         39           Mean change         41         0.9 (SD 2.56)         39           Continuous         41         39           Count         3640         0         3412.5           Dichotomous         41         0 (0.0%)         39           Count         3609         64         3381           Continuous         41         22f (53.7%)         39           Dichotomous         41         22f (53.7%)         39           Dichotomous         41         0 (0.0%)         39           Count         3640         0         3412.5           Dichotomous         41         43 (104.9%)         39           Count         3640         0         3412.5           Dichotomous         41         0 (0.0%)         39           Dichotomous         41         0 (0.0%)         39           Continuous         41         2 (4.9%)         39           Continuous         41         2 (4.9%)         39	Continuous         41         1.34)         39           Continuous         41         1.28)         39           Mean change         41         0.9 (SD 2.56)         39           Continuous         41         39         39           Count         3640         0         3412.5         0           Dichotomous         41         0         (0.0%)         39         0           Count         3609         64         3381         38           Continuous         41         22f (53.7%)         39         16g           Dichotomous         41         0         (0.0%)         39         5           Count         3640         0         3412.5         5           Count         3640         43         3412.5         5           Count         3640         0         3412.5         5           Dichotomous         41         43         (104.9%)         39         5           Dichotomous         41         0         (0.0%)         39         5           Dichotomous         41         0         (0.0%)         39         5           Dichotomous         41         0	Continuous         41         1.34)         39         1.31)           Continuous         41         6.6 (SD 1.28)         39         7.9 (SD 2.5)           Mean change         41         0.9 (SD 2.56)         39         2.7 (SD 2.5)           Continuous         41         39         0         0.00%)           Count         3640         0         3412.5         0           Dichotomous         41         0         (0.0%)         39         0         (0.0%)           Count         3609         64         3381         38         38         38         0.97 (SD 1.62)         0.97 (SD 1.62)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SE estimated from graph

For parametric data, withinpatient comparisons were made using paired two-tailed t tests and betweengroup comparisons two-tailed unpaired t

tests. For nonparametric data, within withinpatient comparisons were made using Wilcoxon's signed-rank test; betweengroup comparisons were made using the Mann-Whitney U test. The chi squared test was used for analyzing proportions of discontinuous variables.

Table 11: Furlong et al. (2003)

General □ monotherapy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> SD calculated from reported SE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> person days estimated assuming dropout halfway during trial <sup>d</sup> person days estimated assuming dropout halfway during trial or data where available and no of events calculated using reported rate

mean no episodes per patient (assumed that SE reported and converted to SD)

No patients (data presented as number of patients free from hypoglycaemia, assumed total sample)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> No patients (data presented as number of patients free from hypoglycaemia, assumed total sample)

h No of events

	☐ dual therapy ☑ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: UK
	Authors' conclusions: Over 13 weeks, both repglinide and gliclazide, when combined with bedtime NPH insulin produce similar significant improvements in glycaemic control (-1%) and similar weight gain Source of funding: supported by an unrestricted educational grant from Novo Nordisk Pharmaceuticals Comments: single center open-label randomized parallel group study. Subjects were then individually randomized by way of concealed random numbers in sequenced envelopes
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 80 Inclusion criteria: Men and women >18 years of age with type 2 diabetes and inadequate glycaemic control despite maximal oral therapy  Exclusion criteria: type 1 diabetes, pregnancy or lactation, hypoglycemic unawareness, recurrent severe hypoglycemia (four or more episodes in the previous year), hepatic impairment, renal impairment, decompensated heart failure, unstable angina, known or suspected allergy to any trial medications, or a known or suspected history of alcohol or drug abuse. Subjects were also excluded if they were taking other medications likely to affect glycemic control or drugs known to interact with trial medication  Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 4 week run-in (screening) period where patients continued their
Previous glucose-	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin
lowering therapy	<b>Details of washout period:</b> All participants had inadequate control on maximal oral therapy (these were discontinued when assigned to treatment groups)
Lifestyle advice	-
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 13 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 13 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Participants were seen at 2,4,6 and 13 weeks after randomisation
Arms	(1) Gliclazide + NPH insulin (bedtime)  N: 39  Treatment duration (wks): 13  Washout period (d): 0  Comments: All OADs were discontinued when assigned to treatment groups  Treatment(s): (a) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – fixed-dose  Set dose (mg/d):320  Frequency of dosing: twice a day  Details of dosing regimen: Administered 15 mins preprandially. All participants received their OHA in maximum dose  (b) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Frequency of dosing: once a day  Details of dosing regimen: Bedtime insulin was started at a dose of 0.5 U/kg (provising no risk of hypoglycaemia judged by the co-ordinator) then increased after 1 week to 0.7 units/kg providing no (risk of) hypoglycemi. Insulin doses were then titrated at the clinician's discretion at each subsequent visit (with increments typically between 4 and 20 units), aiming for a target FBG of 4.0–6.0 mmol/l.  (2) Repaglinide + NPH insulin (bedtime)  N: 41  Treatment duration (wks): 13  Washout period (d): 0  Comments: All OADs were discontinued when assigned to treatment groups  Treatment(s): (a) repaglinide (Oral) – fixed-dose  Set dose (mg/d):12  Details of dosing regimen: 4 mg tid. All participants received their OHA in maximum dose (b) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Details of dosing regimen: Bedtime insulin (see sulfonylurea + insulin for dosing details)
Outcomes	General Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include satisfaction and wellbeing measures and 7 point blood glucose profiles (SMBG). All data were summarized using an intention-to-treat analysis (all subjects who received at least one dose of the study medication and returned for at least one visit after

randomization.) The end point was defined as the last measurement available during the treatment phase 0/39 patients in the insulin + gliclazide group and 6/41 in the insulin + repaglinide group discontinued the study

### Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Severe hypoglycemia was defined as that requiring third-party assistance)

confirmed hypoglycaemia (Hypoglycemia was defined as a blood glucose reading <3.5 mmol/l with or without symptoms.)

Nocturnal hypoglycaemia (Nocturnal hypoglycemia was defined as that occurring while the subject was asleep between bedtime after the injection of insulin and before prebreakfast blood glucose determination.)

# Baseline characteristics

				clazide + NPH ulin (bedtime)			oaglinide + NPH sulin (bedtime)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	39		59 (SD 12.5)	41		59 (SD 12.8)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	39	21	(53.8%)	41	21	(51.2%)		
Duration of diabetes (months)	Continuous	39		med: 97 [rng 5- 301]	41		med: 85 [rng 7– 241]		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	39		9.2 (SD 1.87)	41		9.4 (SD 1.92)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	39		10.1 (SD 2.5)	41		10 (SD 3.2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	39		31.5 (SD 4.37)	41		31.9 (SD 7.68)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	39		90.8 (SD 17.5)	41		91.4 (SD 24.3)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	39	7	(17.9%)	41	8	(19.5%)		
Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	39	30	(76.9%)	41	27	(65.9%)		
Sulfonylurea + rosiglitazone	Dichotomous	39	0	(0.0%)	41	1	(2.4%)		

				ide + NPH (bedtime)			inide + NPH n (bedtime)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 13wka	Continuous	39		8.2 (SD 1.31)	41		8.5 (SD 1.6)		NS
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 13wka	Continuous	39		6.7 (SD 1.56)	41		7.1 (SD 1.6)		NS
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 13wkb	Mean change	39		4.1 (SD 3.12)	41		3.4 (SD 2.56)		
Weight (kg) – 13wk	Continuous	39			41				NS
Hypoglycaemic events:  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 13wkc	Dichotomous	39	1	(2.6%)	41	0	(0.0%)		NR
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 13wkd	Continuous	39		2.95 (SD 5.12)	41		2.3 (SD 3.33)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 13wke	Dichotomous	39	20	(51.3%)	41	25	(61.0%)		NR
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 13wk	Count	3549	115		3458	94			
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 13wk	Count	3549	25		3458	17			
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 13wkf	Dichotomous	39	8	(20.5%)	41	8	(19.5%)		NR

Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 13wkg	Dichotomous	39	38	(97.4%)	41	32	(78.0%)	NR
Any adverse event(s) – 13wk	Count	3549	38		3458	32		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 13wkg	Dichotomous	39	4	(10.3%)	41	2	(4.9%)	NR
Any serious adverse event(s) – 13wk	Count	3549	4		3458	2		
Dropouts:								
Total dropouts – 13wk	Dichotomous	39	0	(0.0%)	41	6	(14.6%)	
Insulin: Total daily dose (U/kg) – 13wkh <sup>a</sup> Assumed SE estimated from gra <sup>b</sup> SD calculated from SE <sup>c</sup> No of patients		39		0.54 (SD 0.187)	41		0.51 (SD 0.192)	
<ul> <li><sup>d</sup> SD calculated from assumed rejections</li> <li><sup>e</sup> No of patients (data presented a approximated to nearest integer of No of events</li> <li><sup>h</sup> assumed daily</li> </ul>	as number of pa	atients	free f	from hypoglyca	emia, a	assu	s per patient med total sample	e)
Error bars in graph not labelled but assumed to be SE For parametric data, withinpatient comparisons were made using paired two-tailed t tests and betweengroup comparisons two-tailed unpaired t tests. For nonparametric data, within withinpatient comparisons were made using Wilcoxon's signed-rank test; betweengroup comparisons were made using the Mann-Whitney U test. The chi squared test was used for analyzing proportions of discontinuous variables.								

Table 12. GC	oudswaard et al. (2004)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Netherlands  Authors' conclusions: Bedtime NPH insulin added to maximal therapy with sulfonylurea and metformin is an effective, simple, well-tolerated approach for patients with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes  Source of funding: Unclear  Comments: This was an open-label, parallel group trial. Randomisation was performed by a telephone call to an independent trial center that used a computer-generated random assignment.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 69 Inclusion criteria: younger than 76 years, had HbA1c =7.0% despite treatment with both sulfonylurea and metformin in maximally tolerated dosages, were willing to start insulin therapy, and were deemed by their family physician to be candidates for more tight glycemic control.  Exclusion criteria: Exclusion criteria were severe comorbidity (ie, an illness that surpasses the impact of diabetes or was associated with a short life expectancy) and insufficient understanding of spoken Dutch to follow instructions.
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: all taking metformin and sulfonylurea at study start
Lifestyle advice	After randomization, patients were referred to the diabetes nurse of their family practice to receive usual education for patients starting on insulin therapy. This included information on diabetes (eg, symptoms of hypoglycemia) and dietary counseling
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 52 Length of titration period (wks): 0

Length of maintenance period (wks): 52

**Frequency of monitoring appointments:** Practice visits with the diabetes nurse or the family physician (according to local policy) were scheduled for 3, 6, 9, and 12 months after start of treatment.

#### **Arms**

#### (1) Metformin + sulfonylurea + NPH bedtime (insulin combination group)

N: 33

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: Patients continued current treatment with metformin and sulfonylurea (no further details reported)

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: Patients continued current treatment with metformin and sulfonylurea (no further details reported)

(c) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: NPH insulin at bedtime (Insulatard; Novo Nordisk, Copenhagen, Denmark) was given in addition to current treatment with sulfonylurea and metformin (insulin combination [IC] group). Insulin therapy was initiated with 8 IU before bedtime in the IC group.Insulin dosages were adjusted

twice weekly by telephone contact with the diabetes nurse (adjusting phase), aiming for a target fasting blood glucose of 4.0-7.0~mmol/L and

a target postprandial glucose of 4.0–10.0 mmol/L. When these targets were achieved and had proved stable, the insulin dose was fixed. Treatment failure was declared for patients in the IC group if glucose targets were not reached with a maximum daily dose of 40 IU NPH insulin.

### (2) NPH 70/30 (insulin monotherapy group, IM)

N: 31

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): NPH insulin mix 70/30 (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: Insulin therapy was initiated with 12 and 6 IU before breakfast

and dinner in the IM group. In the IM group, no ceiling was set for the insulin

dose, but treatment was declared a failure when patients were switched to other treatment

regimens due to unsatisfactory diurnal blood glucose profiles.

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

In the IC group, 8 patients (24%) experienced a treatment failure because glucose targets were not reached with a daily dose of 40 IU NPH

insulin.

Analyses were based on intention to treat, and missing data were fitted by the last observation-carried-forward principle. Last available measurements were used for patients reaching a study end point before 12 months of follow-up.

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include satisfaction and other quality of life measures 5/69 patients did not start the intervention (no further details reported)

# Baseline characteristics

				n + sulfonylurea + NPH sulin combination group)	n				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	33		58.6 (SD 8.6)	31		58.3 (SD 11.3)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	33	18a	(54.5%)	31	13	(41.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	33		7.2 (SD 3.9)	31		7.7 (SD 4.8)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	33		8.3 (SD 0.9)	31		8.8 (SD 1.5)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	33		33.2 (SD 6.4)	31		28.5 (SD 3.8)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	33		96.3 (SD 19.4)	31		81 (SD 14.3)		

<sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

							(insulin py group, )		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Mean change	33			31			MD=0.140 (CI: -0.720, 1.000)	NS
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	33		-0.8 (SD 1.3)	31		-1.2 (SD 1.2)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	33		7.6 (SD 1.3)	31		7.6 (SD 1.1)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 0wk	Dichotomous	33			31				NS
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 52wk	Dichotomous	33	12a	(36.4%)	31	13	(41.9%)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 0wk	Mean change	33			31			MD=3.000 (CI: 0.680, 5.320)	0.01
Weight (kg) – 52wk	Mean change	33		1.3 (SD 3.9)	31		4.2 (SD 4.3)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 0wk	Dichotomous	33			31				0.02
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 52wkb	Count	12012	89		11284	133			
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 52wkc	Continuous	33		2.7 (SD 5.2)	31		4.3 (SD 4.3)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wkd	Dichotomous	33	0	(0.0%)	31	1	(3.2%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wk	Dichotomous	33	0e	(0.0%)	31	1d	(3.2%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wke	Dichotomous	33	0	(0.0%)	31	2	(6.5%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wkf	Count	12012	0		11284	2			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wk	Dichotomous	33	0d	(0.0%)	31	2e	(6.5%)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wkc	Continuous	33		2.4 (SD 5.2)	31		2.7 (SD 3.5)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wkb	Count	12012	79		11284	84			
Insulin: Total daily dose (U/kg) – 0wk	Continuous	33			31				NR
Total daily dose (U/kg) – 52wk	Continuous	33	00.00	0.27 (SD 0.13)	31 toyt)		0.86 (SD 0.37)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
<sup>b</sup> person days estimated assuming no dropouts and no of events calculated using reported mean number of episodes
<sup>c</sup> mean no events per patient
<sup>d</sup> No of patients

<sup>e</sup> No of events <sup>f</sup> person days estimated assuming no dropouts
Outcome measurements were compared between the 2 intervention groups by either analyses of covariance (ANCOVA) adjusting for baseline values,12 unpaired t tests, or Mann-Whitney U test.

# Table 13: Gram et al. (2011)

Tubic 15. Of	alli et al. (2011)									
General	Phase:									
	□ monotherapy									
	☐ dual therapy ☑ triple therapy									
	☐ insulin monotherapy									
	□ insulin+oral									
	Parallel / crossover: Parallel									
	Country: Denmark  Authors' conclusions: Insulin treatment of postprandial hyperglycemia results in lower A1C than treatment of fasting hyperglycemia, at the expense of higher body weight and hypoglycemic episodes. However, insulin therapy has to be combined with treatment of both peripheral and liver insulin resistance to normalize blood glucose, and in this case, the insulin regimen is less important.  Source of funding: The counties of southern Denmark and the Danish Medical Research Council are acknowledged for financial support. Novo Nordisk is acknowledged for supplying insulin and financial support for data measurement and statistical expertises and Claus Smith Vilian is acknowledged for providing blinded.									
	for data management and statistical expertise, and GlaxoSmithKline is acknowledged for providing blinded tablets containing rosiglitazone/placebo and metformin/placebo									
	<b>Comments:</b> Statistical analysis was performed by an independent statistician. The statistical analysis plan was completed before the database was locked and unblinded. The randomization code was developed by an independent statistician using a computer random number generator to select random blocks of eight. Randomization to insulin type was open, whereas allocation to other treatments was double-blinded.									
Number and	Total number of patients: 371									
characteristics of patients	Inclusion criteria: BMI >25 kg/m2 and fasting plasma C-peptide >300 pmol/l, treatment for at least 3 months with stable doses of oral antidiabetic medications									
	and/or insulin, and A1C>7.0%. Prior insulin treatment could be any insulin regimen, but most subjects were treated with long-acting insulin									
	<b>Exclusion criteria:</b> congestive heart failure, impaired renal function, and known intolerance to metformin or rosiglitazone and/or treatment with glitazones <30 days before randomisation.									
Previous	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or									
glucose-	insulin									
lowering therapy	Details of washout period: all prioir OADs were stopped prior to starting the intervention									
Lifestyle advice	-									
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 104 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 104 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Hba1c was measured every 3 months									
Arms	(1) Metformin + NPH insulin									
	N: 45 Treatment duration (wks): 104									
	Washout period (d): 0									
	Treatment(s):  (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose  Set dose (mg/d):2000  Frequency of dosing: twice a day  Details of dosing regimen: Metformin or placebo was given from the start of the study as one tablet of 500 mg twice daily during the first 4 weeks succeeded by two tablets twice									
	daily.									
	(b) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)									
	Mean dose (mg/d): 80.1 Frequency of dosing: once a day									
	Details of dosing regimen: received a starting dose of 12 IU at bedtime. Patients already on insulin received 50% of their prior total daily dose. In a treat-to-target algorithm, insulin dose was increased by 2 IU if FBG was >5.6 mmol/l, 4 IU if FBG was >8.mmol/l, and 6 IU if									

FBG was >12.0mmol/l on 3 consecutive days until FBG was <=5.5 mmol/l and A1C was

<6.5%, provided no unacceptable hypoglycemic episodes.

### (2) Metformin + insulin aspart

N: 45

Treatment duration (wks): 104 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):2000

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: see insulin NPH + metformin

(b) Insulin aspart (short acting) (Subcutaneous) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Mean dose (mg/d): 61.2

Frequency of dosing: three times a day

Details of dosing regimen: received a starting dose of 4 IU just before each main meal. Patients already on insulin received 50% of their prior total daily dose divided into three doses. In a treat-to-target algorithm, insulin dose at each meal was increased by 1 IU if postprandial blood glucose was >=7.5 mmol/l, 2 IU if postprandial blood glucose was >=9.0 mmol/l, 3 IU if postprandialblood glucose was >=11.0mmol/l on 3 consecutive days until postprandial blood glucose was<7.5 mmol/land A1C was<6.5%, provided no limiting hypoglycemic episodes

(3) NPH insulin

N: 46

Treatment duration (wks): 104

Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Details of dosing regimen: See metformin + NPH for dosing details

(4) Insulin aspart

N: 48

Treatment duration (wks): 104

Washout period (d): 0

Insulin aspart (short acting) (Subcutaneous) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Treatment(s):

Details of dosing regimen: See metformin + aspart for dosing details

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Statistical analysis was on an intention-totreat basis and last observation carried forward. A per-protocol analysis for the primary end point was also performed.

Only data from 4/8 arms were extracted as these involved rosiglitazone treatment.

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include SMBG and adverse events (these were not reported for each treatment group)

8/45 (18%) in metformin + NPH group and 7/45 (15.5%) in insulin aspart + metformin group discontinued the study

# Hypoglycaemic events

symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia (mild hypoglycaemia (blood glucose <2.8 mmol/l and symptoms consistent with hypoglycemia))

Moderate/severe (moderate hypoglycaemia (blood glucose <2.8 mmol/l with or without symptoms) and serious hypoglycemia was defined as any hypoglycemic episode requiring assistance)

#### **Baseline** characteristics

		Metformin + NPH insulin			Metformin + insulin aspart				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	45		55.4 (SD 8.5)	45		56.1 (SD 8.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	45	26	(57.8%)	45	28	(62.2%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	45		8.2 (SD 4)	45		8.7 (SD 4.5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	45		8.9 (SD 1.2)	45		8.5 (SD 1.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	45		11.3 (SD 2.8)	45		10 (SD 2.3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	45		35.7 (SD 6.4)	45		33.7 (SD 6.1)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	45		105.1 (SD 17.7)	45		100.5 (SD 17.9)		

Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:  Metformin	Dichotomous	45	38a	(84.4%)	45	31	(68.9%)	
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous			,	-		(28.9%)	
Insulin therapy	Dichotomous	45	14	(31.1%)	45	24a	(53.3%)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

		Metformin + NPH insulin			NPH insulin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	45		55.4 (SD 8.5)	46		55.8 (SD 7.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	45	26	(57.8%)	46	33	(71.7%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	45		8.2 (SD 4)	46		7.3 (SD 4.3)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	45		8.9 (SD 1.2)	46		8.7 (SD 1.3)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	45		11.3 (SD 2.8)	46		11.2 (SD 2.6)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	45		35.7 (SD 6.4)	46		34 (SD 6)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	45		105.1 (SD 17.7)	46		100.2 (SD 19.8)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:	Diabatana	45	20	(0.4.40/.)	40	20	(70.20()		
Metformina	Dichotomous			(84.4%)	46	36	(78.3%)		
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	45	26	(57.8%)	46	30	(65.2%)		
Insulin therapy	Dichotomous	45	14	(31.1%)	46	13a	(28.3%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

		Metformin + NPH insulin			Insulin aspart				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	45		55.4 (SD 8.5)	48		57.1 (SD 8.5)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	45	26	(57.8%)	48	23	(47.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	45		8.2 (SD 4)	48		9.1 (SD 5.5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	45		8.9 (SD 1.2)	48		8.5 (SD 1.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	45		11.3 (SD 2.8)	48		10.7 (SD 2.5)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	45		35.7 (SD 6.4)	48		33.7 (SD 5)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	45		105.1 (SD 17.7)	48		98.3 (SD 16.6)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:	<b>D</b> : 1 .	4-		(0.4.40()	40	0.4	(70.00()		
Metformin	Dichotomous			(84.4%)			(70.8%)		
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous			(57.8%)			(47.9%)		
Insulin therapy	Dichotomous	45	14	(31.1%)	48	23	(47.9%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

М	etfo	ormin + insulin aspart		NF	PH insulin		
N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р

Demographics:							55.8 (SD
Age (years)	Continuous	45		56.1 (SD 8.2)	46		7.7)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	45	28	(62.2%)	46	33	(71.7%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	45		8.7 (SD 4.5)	46		7.3 (SD 4.3)
Blood glucose:							
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	45		8.5 (SD 1.2)	46		8.7 (SD 1.3)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	45		10 (SD 2.3)	46		11.2 (SD 2.6)
Body weight:							
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	45		33.7 (SD 6.1)	46		34 (SD 6)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	45		100.5 (SD 17.9)	46		100.2 (SD 19.8)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:							
Metformin	Dichotomous	45	31	(68.9%)	46	36a	(78.3%)
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	45	13	(28.9%)	46	30	(65.2%)
Insulin therapya	Dichotomous	45	24	(53.3%)	46	13	(28.3%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

		N		min + insulin aspart	Insulin aspart				
		N k mean		mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	45		56.1 (SD 8.2)	48		57.1 (SD 8.5)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	45	28	(62.2%)	48	23	(47.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	45		8.7 (SD 4.5)	48		9.1 (SD 5.5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	45		8.5 (SD 1.2)	48		8.5 (SD 1.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	45		10 (SD 2.3)	48		10.7 (SD 2.5)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	45		33.7 (SD 6.1)	48		33.7 (SD 5)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	45		100.5 (SD 17.9)	48		98.3 (SD 16.6)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:  Metformin	Dichotomous	45	31	(68.9%)	48	34	(70.8%)		
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous			(28.9%)	48		(47.9%)		
Insulin therapy	Dichotomous			` ′	48		(47.9%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

			NPH insulin			Insu	ılin aspart		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	46		55.8 (SD 7.7)	48		57.1 (SD 8.5)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	46	33	(71.7%)	48	23	(47.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	46		7.3 (SD 4.3)	48		9.1 (SD 5.5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	46		8.7 (SD 1.3)	48		8.5 (SD 1.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	46		11.2 (SD 2.6)	48		10.7 (SD 2.5)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	46		34 (SD 6)	48		33.7 (SD 5)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	46		100.2 (SD 19.8)	48		98.3 (SD 16.6)		

Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:									
Metformin	Dichotomous	46	36a	(78.3%)	48	34	(70.8%)		
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	46	30	(65.2%)	48	23	(47.9%)		
Insulin therapy	Dichotomous	46	13a	(28.3%)	48	23	(47.9%)		
approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)									

		Metformin + NPH insulin			Metformin + insulin aspart				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	45		8.1 (SD 0.939)	45		7.3 (SD 0.671)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	45		7.4 (SD 0.671)	45		6.9 (SD 0.671)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wka	Continuous	45		7.5 (SD 0.738)	45		7.2 (SD 0.671)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wkb	Continuous	45		7.6 (SD 1.3)	45		7.3 (SD 1.1)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 104wk	Dichotomous	45	19c	(42.2%)	45	23	(51.1%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	45		6.6 (SD 2)	45		9.6 (SD 3.1)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 104wk	Continuous	45		108 (SD 19.6)	45		104.4 (SD 19.2)		
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 104wk	Dichotomous	45	33	(73.3%)	45	36	(80.0%)		
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 52wkd	Continuous	45		1 (SD 2.7)	45		9.1 (SD 10.5)		
Moderate/severe – 52wkd	Continuous	45		0.3 (SD 1.1)	45		2.9 (SD 4.9)		
Moderate/severe – 104wkd	Continuous	45		0.1 (SD 0.4)	45		1.5 (SD 2.6)		
Nocturnal (mild) – 52wke	Continuous	45		2.8 (SD 4.8)	45		1.4 (SD 3.1)		
Nocturnal (mild) – 104wke	Continuous	45		1.5 (SD 2.8)	45		0.7 (SD 3)		
Nocturnal (moderate/severe) – 52wke	Continuous	45		0.4 (SD 1.2)	45		0.2 (SD 0.6)		
Nocturnal (moderate/severe) – 104wke	Continuous	45		0.5 (SD 1.3)	45		0.2 (SD 0.7)		
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous	45	8	(17.8%)	45	7	(15.6%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	45	5	(11.1%)	45	2	(4.4%)		

		Me		rmin + NPH nsulin	NPH insulin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	45		8.1 (SD 0.939)	46		8.5 (SD 1.15)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	45		7.4 (SD 0.671)	46		8.3 (SD 1.22)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wka	Continuous	45		7.5 (SD 0.738)	46		8.2 (SD 1.29)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph; SE converted
<sup>b</sup> SD reported
<sup>c</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
<sup>d</sup> daytime; number per person per year
<sup>e</sup> number per person per year

HbA1c (%) – 104wkb	Continuous	45		7.6 (SD 1.3)	46		8.3 (SD 1.4)
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 104wkc	Dichotomous	45	19	(42.2%)	46	9	(19.6%)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	45		6.6 (SD 2)	46		7.3 (SD 2.5)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 104wk	Continuous	45		108 (SD 19.6)	46		105.5 (SD 20.2)
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 104wk	Dichotomous	45	33	(73.3%)	46	35	(76.1%)
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 52wkd	Continuous	45		1 (SD 2.7)	46		2.3 (SD 8.2)
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 104wkd	Continuous	45		1.1 (SD 2.9)	46		2.6 (SD 8.1)
Moderate/severe – 52wkd	Continuous	45		0.3 (SD 1.1)	46		0.4 (SD 1.4)
Moderate/severe – 104wkd	Continuous	45		0.1 (SD 0.4)	46		0.3 (SD 0.9)
Nocturnal (mild) – 52wke	Continuous	45		2.8 (SD 4.8)	46		2.8 (SD 6.1)
Nocturnal (mild) – 104wke	Continuous	45		1.5 (SD 2.8)	46		2.8 (SD 4.5)
Nocturnal (moderate/severe) – 52wke	Continuous	45		0.4 (SD 1.2)	46		0.5 (SD 1.9)
Nocturnal (moderate/severe) – 104wke	Continuous	45		0.5 (SD 1.3)	46		1.1 (SD 2.9)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous	45	8	(17.8%)	46	6	(13.0%)
Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	45	5	(11.1%)	46	0	(0.0%)

		Metformin + NPH insulin			ı	nsu	lin aspart		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	45		8.1 (SD 0.939)	48		7.5 (SD 0.693)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	45		7.4 (SD 0.671)	48		7.6 (SD 0.693)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wka	Continuous	45		7.5 (SD 0.738)	48		7.8 (SD 0.693)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wkb	Continuous	45		7.6 (SD 1.3)	48		7.9 (SD 1.2)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 104wkc	Dichotomous	45	19	(42.2%)	48	12	(25.0%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	45		6.6 (SD 2)	48		12.2 (SD 3.9)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 104wk	Continuous	45		108 (SD 19.6)	48		104.4 (SD 18.3)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 104wk	Dichotomous	45	33	(73.3%)	48	43	(89.6%)		
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 52wkd	Continuous	45		1 (SD 2.7)	48		10.1 (SD 12.2)		
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 104wkd	Continuous	45		1.1 (SD 2.9)	48		7.2 (SD 9.7)		
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 104wkd	Continuous	45		1.1 (SD 2.9)	45		4.1 (SD 5.5)		

a estimated from graph; SE converted
b SD reported
approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
d daytime; number per person per year
number per person per year

Moderate/severe – 52wkd	Continuous	45		0.3 (SD 1.1)	48		3.1 (SD 6.3)
Moderate/severe – 104wkd	Continuous	45		0.1 (SD 0.4)	48		2.5 (SD 4.4)
Nocturnal (mild) – 52wke	Continuous	45		2.8 (SD 4.8)	48		1.4 (SD 3.1)
Nocturnal (mild) – 104wke	Continuous	45		1.5 (SD 2.8)	48		0.5 (SD 2)
Nocturnal (moderate/severe) – 52wke	Continuous	45		0.4 (SD 1.2)	48		0.3 (SD 1.2)
Nocturnal (moderate/severe) – 104wke	Continuous	45		0.5 (SD 1.3)	48		0.2 (SD 0.6)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous	45	8	(17.8%)	48	15	(31.3%)
Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	45	5	(11.1%)	48	3	(6.3%)

		Metformin + insulin aspart			NPH	l insulin			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	45		7.3 (SD 0.671)	46		8.5 (SD 1.15)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	45		6.9 (SD 0.671)	46		8.3 (SD 1.22)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wka	Continuous	45		7.2 (SD 0.671)	46		8.2 (SD 1.29)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wkb	Continuous	45		7.3 (SD 1.1)	46		8.3 (SD 1.4)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 104wk	Dichotomous	45	23	(51.1%)	46	9с	(19.6%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	45		9.6 (SD 3.1)	46		7.3 (SD 2.5)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 104wk	Continuous	45		104.4 (SD 19.2)	46		105.5 (SD 20.2)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 104wk	Dichotomous	45	36	(80.0%)	46	35	(76.1%)		
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 52wkd	Continuous	45		9.1 (SD 10.5)	46		2.3 (SD 8.2)		
Moderate/severe – 52wkd	Continuous	45		2.9 (SD 4.9)	46		0.4 (SD 1.4)		
Moderate/severe – 104wkd	Continuous	45		1.5 (SD 2.6)	46		0.3 (SD 0.9)		
Nocturnal (mild) – 52wke	Continuous	45		1.4 (SD 3.1)	46		2.8 (SD 6.1)		
Nocturnal (mild) – 104wke	Continuous	45		0.7 (SD 3)	46		2.8 (SD 4.5)		
Nocturnal (moderate/severe) – 52wke	Continuous	45		0.2 (SD 0.6)	46		0.5 (SD 1.9)		
Nocturnal (moderate/severe) – 104wke	Continuous	45		0.2 (SD 0.7)	46		1.1 (SD 2.9)		
Dropouts:									
Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous	45	7	(15.6%)	46	6	(13.0%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	45	2	(4.4%)	46	0	(0.0%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph; SE converted <sup>b</sup> SD reported

a estimated from graph; SE converted
b SD reported
c approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
d daytime; number per person per year
number per person per year

c approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) d daytime; number per person per year number per person per year

		Metformin + insulin aspart			Insulin aspart				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	45		7.3 (SD 0.671)	48		7.5 (SD 0.693)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	45		6.9 (SD 0.671)	48		7.6 (SD 0.693)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wka	Continuous	45		7.2 (SD 0.671)	48		7.8 (SD 0.693)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wkb	Continuous	45		7.3 (SD 1.1)	48		7.9 (SD 1.2)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 104wk	Dichotomous	45	23	(51.1%)	48	12c	(25.0%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	45		9.6 (SD 3.1)	48		12.2 (SD 3.9)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 104wk	Continuous	45		104.4 (SD 19.2)	48		104.4 (SD 18.3)		
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 104wk	Dichotomous	45	36	(80.0%)	48	43	(89.6%)		
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 52wkd	Continuous	45		9.1 (SD 10.5)	48		10.1 (SD 12.2)		
Moderate/severe – 52wkd	Continuous	45		2.9 (SD 4.9)	48		3.1 (SD 6.3)		
Moderate/severe – 104wkd	Continuous	45		1.5 (SD 2.6)	48		2.5 (SD 4.4)		
Nocturnal (mild) – 52wke	Continuous	45		1.4 (SD 3.1)	48		1.4 (SD 3.1)		
Nocturnal (mild) – 104wke	Continuous	45		0.7 (SD 3)	48		0.5 (SD 2)		
Nocturnal (moderate/severe) – 52wke	Continuous	45		0.2 (SD 0.6)	48		0.3 (SD 1.2)		
Nocturnal (moderate/severe) – 104wke	Continuous	45		0.2 (SD 0.7)	48		0.2 (SD 0.6)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous	45	7	(15.6%)	48	15	(31.3%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	45	2	(4.4%)	48	3	(6.3%)		

		NPH insulin			Insulin aspart				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	46		8.5 (SD 1.15)	48		7.5 (SD 0.693)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	46		8.3 (SD 1.22)	48		7.6 (SD 0.693)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wka	Continuous	46		8.2 (SD 1.29)	48		7.8 (SD 0.693)		
HbA1c (%) – 104wkb	Continuous	46		8.3 (SD 1.4)	48		7.9 (SD 1.2)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 104wkc	Dichotomous	46	9	(19.6%)	48	12	(25.0%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 104wk	Continuous	46		7.3 (SD 2.5)	48		12.2 (SD 3.9)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 104wk	Continuous	46		105.5 (SD 20.2)	48		104.4 (SD 18.3)		

a estimated from graph; SE converted
b SD reported
c approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)
d daytime; number per person per year
number per person per year

Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 104wk	Dichotomous	46	35	(76.1%)	48	43	(89.6%)
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 52wkd	Continuous	46		2.3 (SD 8.2)	48		10.1 (SD 12.2)
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 104wkd	Continuous	46		2.6 (SD 8.1)	48		7.2 (SD 9.7)
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 104wkd	Continuous	46		2.6 (SD 8.1)	45		4.1 (SD 5.5)
Moderate/severe – 52wkd	Continuous	46		0.4 (SD 1.4)	48		3.1 (SD 6.3)
Moderate/severe – 104wkd	Continuous	46		0.3 (SD 0.9)	48		2.5 (SD 4.4)
Nocturnal (mild) – 52wke	Continuous	46		2.8 (SD 6.1)	48		1.4 (SD 3.1)
Nocturnal (mild) – 104wke	Continuous	46		2.8 (SD 4.5)	48		0.5 (SD 2)
Nocturnal (moderate/severe) – 52wke	Continuous	46		0.5 (SD 1.9)	48		0.3 (SD 1.2)
Nocturnal (moderate/severe) – 104wke	Continuous	46		1.1 (SD 2.9)	48		0.2 (SD 0.6)
Dropouts:	<b>5</b>			(10.00()			(24.204)
Total dropouts – 104wk	Dichotomous	46	6	(13.0%)	48	15	(31.3%)
Dropout due to AEs – 104wk	Dichotomous	46	0	(0.0%)	48	3	(6.3%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph; SE converted

The efficacy analysis (A1C) was performed by ANCOVA on changes from baseline to the mean of A1C for 12–24 months (inclusive) with the three treatments and center as fixed main effects and baseline A1C value as a covariate. The patient was a random effect in the model. First-order interactions and each of the following baseline covariates were also included as fixed effects in the statistical model: fasting plasma C-peptide, interaction between fasting plasma C-peptide and treatment, and previous insulin use. Treatment differences in the number of patients with A1C <7.0% were tested using logistic linear regression, with the three treatments and their interactions included in the model. Hypoglycemic

episodes were analyzed using a generalized linear model based on the negative binomial distribution. The number of patients experiencing at least one

hypoglycemic episode was compared with the groups using the Fisher exact test. P-values for all comparison groups were not reported.

### Table 14: Haak et al. (2005)

General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: five European countries  Authors' conclusions: Patients with type 2 diabetes, treated for 26 weeks with insulin detemir plus insulin aspart at mealtimes, experienced comparable glycaemic control but significantly lower within-subject variability and less weight gain compared to patients treated with NPH insulin and insulin aspart. Insulin detemir was well tolerated and had a similar safety profile to NPH insulin.  Source of funding: Unclear  Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 505 Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes of >=12 months duration, aged>=35 years, HbA1c <=12.0% and who had received insulin treatment for at least 2 months (basal insulin dose >=30% of the total daily

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> SD reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> daytime; number per person per year

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> number per person per year

	insulin dose) we	ere included in the trial							
		eria: Patients were excluded if they have		2 months of the trial, were					
	pregnant or breast feeding, suffered from proliferative retinopathy, uncontrolled hypertension, recurrent major hypoglycaemia, impaired renal or hepatic function,								
		is, or if they received a total daily bas							
Previous glucose-	Any participan insulin	ts previously taking glucose-lower	ing therapy? all on oral h	ypoglycaemic drugs and/or					
lowering therapy	<b>Details of washout period:</b> All taking insulin monotherapy at study start (no OADs were taken in the previous 2 months)								
Lifestyle advice									
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 29								
	•	ion period (wks): 0							
	_	ntenance period (wks): 26							
	Frequency of r	nonitoring appointments: -							
Arms	(1) Insulin dete	mir + aspart							
	Treatment dura	tion (wks): 26							
	Washout period	(d): 0							
		taking insulin monotherapy at study s		t 1\)					
	Treatment(s):	<ul><li>(a) Insulin detemir (Subcutaneous)</li><li>Details of dosing regimen: Patients</li></ul>	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		prior to the trial received twice-daily							
		randomisation. All other patients, in	ncluding those receiving b	iphasic (premixed insulin) prior					
		to the trial, received a once-daily b basal insulin doses were optimised							
		values. Patients randomised to ins							
		insulin dose.During the trial, patien							
		prebreakfast, 4–7 mmol/l; nocturna after meal), <10 mmol/l. If a patient							
		basal insulin regimen (either with in							
		escalations were inadvisable, they	were transferred to a twic						
		with appropriate dose adjustments		/ L					
		(b) Insulin aspart (short acting) (Su Frequency of dosing: three times a	•	se (dose-adjusted)					
		Details of dosing regimen: For all p prior to each main meal	•	administered immediately					
	(2) Insulin NPH + aspart								
	N: 164								
	Treatment dura	` '							
	Washout period	(d): 0 taking insulin monotherapy at study s	tart						
	Treatment(s):	(a) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) –		ed)					
		Details of dosing regimen: Patients	` `	<b>,</b>					
		basal insulin dose.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
		(b) Insulin aspart (short acting) (Su	bcutaneous) – flexible-dos	se (dose-adjusted)					
Outcomes	General								
	All analyses were based on the intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis set, which included all patients randomised and exposed to at least one dose of study drug.								
	and exposed to at least one dose of study drug.  26/341 (7.6%) patients in the detemir group and 8/164 (4.9%) in the NPH group discontinued the study.								
	Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include 9 point glucose profiles								
	Hypoglycaemic events								
	Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia (defined as minor if blood glucose concentration was <2.8 mmol/l but no assistance was required)								
	Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Hypoglycaemic episodes were classified as major when a subject was unable to treat him/herself)								
	symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia (defined as symptoms only if the episode was not confirmed by a blood glucose measurement)								
	Nocturnal hypoglycaemia (Nocturnal hypoglycaemia was defined as any hypoglycaemic episode that occurred between the hours of 23:00 and 06:00)								
Baseline characteristics			Insulin detemir + aspart	Insulin NPH + aspart Δ p					

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	341		60.6 (SD 8.7)	164		60 (SD 8.4)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous		165	,	164	93	` ′
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	341		12.9 (SD 7.4)	164		13.7 (SD 8)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	341		7.9 (SD 1.3)	164		7.8 (SD 1.3)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	341		10.1 (SD 3.32)	164		10.4 (SD 3.42)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	341		30.1 (SD 5)	164		31.1 (SD 5.8)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	341		85.7 (SD 14.9)	164		89.3 (SD 17.5)
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) a	Continuous	341		33.6 (SD 19.2)	164		34.8 (SD 19.9)
Total daily dose (U) b	Continuous	341		27.8 (SD 14.7)	164		28 (SD 15.4)
Total daily dose (U)	Continuous	341		33.6 (SD 19.2) a	164		28 (SD 15.4) b
Total daily dose (U)	Continuous	341		27.8 (SD 14.7) b	164		34.8 (SD 19.9) a

		Insulin detemir + aspart		Insulin NPH + aspart					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	341		7.6 (SD 1.77)	164		7.5 (SD 1.24)	MD=0.160 (CI: 0.003, 0.317)	
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	315		7.6 (SD 1.77)	155		7.5 (SD 1.24)	MD=0.160 (CI: 0.003, 0.317)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wkb	Continuous	341		9.7 (SD 3.69)	164		9.6 (SD 3.84)	MD=0.110 (CI: - 0.400, 0.620)	NS
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous	341			164			MD=- 0.790 (CI: -1.440, - 0.140)	0.017c
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	341		1	164		1.8		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 26wkd	Dichotomous	341	1218	(357.2%)	164	708	(431.7%)	RR=0.840 (CI: 29.306, 0.024)	0.48
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 26wke	Count	59696	1218		29120	708			
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 26wkf	Dichotomous	341	152	(44.6%)	164	80	(48.8%)		
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 26wkg	Dichotomous	341			164				NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 26wkg	Dichotomous	341			164				NR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> bolus <sup>b</sup> basal

symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 26wkg	Dichotomous	341			164				NR
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 26wk	Dichotomous	341	166h	(48.7%)	164	38f	(23.2%)	RR=1.020 (CI: 16.752, 0.062)	0.95
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 26wk	Dichotomous	341	52f	(15.2%)	164	80h	(48.8%)	RR=1.020 (CI: 16.752, 0.062)	0.95
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 26wkh	Dichotomous	341	166	(48.7%)	164	80	(48.8%)	RR=1.020 (CI: 16.752, 0.062)	0.95
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 26wkf	Dichotomous	341	52	(15.2%)	164	38	(23.2%)	RR=1.020 (CI: 16.752, 0.062)	0.95
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 26wke	Count	59696	166		29120	80			
Adverse events:  Any serious adverse event(s) – 26wki	Dichotomous	341	0	(0.0%)	164	1	(0.6%)		NR
Death – 26wk	Dichotomous	341	1	(0.3%)	164	0	(0.0%)		NR
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	241	26	(10.8%)	164	8	(4.9%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from SE

The primary endpoint, HbA1c (%) after 26 weeks of treatment, was evaluated by an analysis of variance (ANOVA) model, with treatment and country as fixed effects and covariate adjustment for baseline values. Change in body weight (both with and without adjustment for change in HbA1c concentration) and FPG levels following 26 weeks of treatment were analysed using a similar ANOVA model to that used for the primary endpoint. To estimate the relative risk of hypoglycaemia, all hypoglycaemic episodes occurring during the maintenance period were analysed as recurrent events using a gamma frailty model with treatment group as covariate. Nocturnal hypoglycaemic episodes were analysed separately

# Table 15: Hartemann-Heurtier et al. (2009)

# Phase: ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☑ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: France Authors' conclusions: 24 week treatment with pioglitazone or bed-time insulin has a similar impact on intra-abdominal fat mass and systemic low-grade inflammation Source of funding: Public funds from Assistance Publique des Hopitaux de Paris Comments: Randomisation was made by reference to a statistical series whose details were unknown to the investigators and were contained in a set of sealed envelopes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> unclear if this was conducted in ITT population

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> adjusted for baseline weight

d No of events

e person day estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> No of patients

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>g</sup> Not reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> No episodes

i related to study drug; no of events

Number and characteristics of patients	and treated with Exclusion criter	ia: type 2 dia maximally to ria: prior use	betes aged 18- lerated and sta of insulin or gli	ble do tazone	se es,	s of sulfonylurea use of other affe	and me	etfo yca	1c between 7.5 and 9. rmin for >=6 months emic control agents, a ade 2, haemaglobin <	ASA	ιT	il
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	insulin	out period:							poglycaemic drugs an	nd/oi	r	
Lifestyle advice	-	medomin										
Follow-up	Total follow-up	(wks): 24										
. Ciloti up	Length of titrati Length of main Frequency of m	ion period (v tenance per	iod (wks): 24	Data w	/as	s measured at er	ırollmen	t an	nd at 24 weeks			
Arms	(1) Metformin + N: 14	sulfonylure	a + pioglitazor	ne								
	Treatment durati Washout period	(d): 0										
	Treatment(s):	(a) Metform Details of o were not re	losing regimen:	Metfo	rm	nin and sulfonylu	rea wer	e cc	ontiuned but specific c	lose	s	
		Details of d		Metfo	rm	nin and sulfonylu	rea wer	e co	ontiuned but specific o	dose	s	
		were not reported  (c) Pioglitazone (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Details of dosing regimen: At the follow up visits (2 and 4 months) pioglitazone was increased from 30 to 45 mg/day if Hba1c had not decreased by at least 1%, in the presence of lower limb oedema, the investigator could decrease the dose to 15 mg/day.										
	(2) Metformin +		•			_	receive	d 45	5 mg and 7% received	15	m	g
	N: 13	Sunonylare	u mumum m			•						
	Treatment durati Washout period											
	Treatment(s):	(a) Metform Details of covere not re (b) Sulfony Details of co	losing regimen: ported lurea (Oral) losing regimen:			·			ontiuned but specific o			
		Mean dose Frequency	sulin (Subcutan (mg/d): 0.3 of dosing: once	a day	y	flexible-dose (do	ŕ		d) 2 IU/kg/day at bedtim	o fo		
			Patients were o						s dosage changes. Ta			
Outcomes	serum inflammat	tion markers, ach group wit	ferritin			·	,		iponectin concentration			
Baseline characteristics					su	letformin + Ifonylurea + ioglitazone			rmin + sulfonylurea man NPH insulin			
				N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	ı	)
	Demographics:								(05 :=)			
	Age (years)		Continuous	14	0	62 (SD 10)	13	7	58 (SD 10)			
	Sex (n male)  Duration of di	ahetes (vrs)	Dichotomous Continuous	14	9	(64.3%) 12 (SD 4.5)	13 13	7	(53.8%) 12 (SD 6)			
	Daration of di	aboles (yis)	Johnnous	17		12 (00 4.0)	13		12 (00 0)			

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	14	8.3 (SD 0.5)	13	8.6 (SD 0.5)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	14	8.5 (SD 2.2)	13	9.5 (SD 2.6)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	14	30 (SD 5)	13	32 (SD 4)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	14	85 (SD 13)	13	90 (SD 14)
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	14	106 (SD 12)	13	110 (SD 11)

		Metformin + sulfonylurea + pioglitazone			Metformin + sulfonylurea +human NPH insulin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Mean change	14		-1.2 (SD 0.7)	13		-1.6 (SD 0.5)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	14		7.1 (SD 0.6)	13		7 (SD 0.5)		NS
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wka	Mean change	14		-0.02 (SD 0.08)	13		-0.11 (SD 0.06)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	14		7.7 (SD 2.2)	13		5.5 (SD 1.6)		<0.01
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wka	Mean change	14		3.7 (SD 3.5)	13		2.4 (SD 1.7)		
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	14		89 (SD 15)	13		92 (SD 14)		NS
Hypoglycaemic events: Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	14	6	(42.9%)	13	10	(76.9%)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	14	1b	(7.1%)	14	1c	(7.1%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	14	1	(7.1%)	14	1	(7.1%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD reported

Comparisons between baseline and final parameter values were performed using sign rank test and comparisons between groups were assessed using Fisher's exact test for qualitative variables and two sample Wilcoxon tests for quantitative ones

# **Table 16: Heine et al. (2005)**

# General Phase: □ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☑ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: 13 countries Authors' conclusions: Exenatide and insulin glargine achieved similar improvements in overall glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes that was suboptimally controlled with oral combination therapy. Exenatide was associated with weight reduction and had a higher incidence of gastrointestinal adverse effects than insulin glargine Source of funding: Eli Lilly and Amylin Pharmaceuticals Comments: Open label trial. Central randomisation table was generated by the sponsor and administrered by an automated interactive voice response system

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2015

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Person dropped out because of weight gain after 4 months (data included in analysis)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Person dropped out because of hypoglycaemia (data not included in analysis)

# Number and characteristics of patients

# Total number of patients: 551

Inclusion criteria: 30 to 75 years and were treated with stable and maximally effective doses of metformin and a sulfonylurea for at least 3 months before screening. Hba1c level from 7 to 10%, BMI from 25 to 45 kg/m2, a history of stable body weight

Exclusion criteria: participated in a research study within 30 days before screening, had more than 3 episodes of severe hypoglycaemia within 6 months, therapy for skin cancer, had cardiac disease, serum creatinine >135 µmol/L for men or >110 µmol/L for women, signs and symptoms of liver disease, long term systemic glucocorticoid therapy, use of prescription drugs for weight loss, treated with insulin within 3 months, thiazolidinediones within 4 months, alpha glucosidase within 3 months or meglitinides within 3 months before screening

## **Previous** glucoselowering therapy

Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or

Details of washout period: All patients were treated with stable and maximally effective dose sof metformin and sulfonylurea for at least 3 months prior to screening

# Lifestyle advice

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 26

Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 26

Frequency of monitoring appointments: Hba1c was measured at screening, week 12 and week 26

#### Arms

## (1) Metformin + sulfonylurea + exenatide

N: 282

Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s):

(a) Metformin (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: Metformin doses were fixed at pre-study levels unless the patient experienced hypoglycaemia

(b) Sulfonvlurea (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: Sulfonylurea doses were fixed at pre-study levels unless the patient experienced hypoglycaemia, in which case a 50% dose reduction was recommended in sulfonylurea.

(c) Exenatide (Subcutaneous) - forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):10

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: Exenatide (before morning and evening meal) was added to current therapy. For 4 weeks 5 µg bid was given and then this was increased to 10 µg for the remainder of the study

# (2) Metformin + sulfonylurea + insulin glargine

N: 267

Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s):

(a) Metformin (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: Metformin doses were fixed at pre-study levels unless the patient experienced hypoglycaemia

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: Sulfonylurea doses were fixed at pre-study levels unless the patient experienced hypoglycaemia, in which case a 50% dose reduction was

recommended in sulfonylurea.

(c) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Mean dose (mg/d): 25

Details of dosing regimen: Insulin glargine was started at 10 U/d, then using a fixed dose algorithm to adjust the dose, patients self-titrated the dose in 2 U increments every 3 days

to achieve FBG <5.6 mmol/l on daily glucose monitoring. At week 26 the average dose of insulin glargine was 25.0 U/d

## **Outcomes**

## General

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include SMBG, postprandial BG

54 (19%) in the exenatide group and 25 (9.4%) in the insulin glargine group were withdrawn from the study ITT analysis was defined as any patient who had at least 1 postbaseline measurement of Hba1c. The PP analysis was defined as patients who had at least 12 weeks exposure to study medication, had no violations at screening and did not discontinue the study

Data from Matyjaszek-Matuszek (2013) for patients from poland as a subgroup of the overall study population are also included in this evidence table

# Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (hypoglycaemia episode in which the patient required assistance from

another person and had a blood glucose < 2.8 mmol/L or had promptly recovered after oral carbohydrate or glucagon injection or IV glucose)

Symptomatic hypoglycaemia (BG <3.4 mmol/L or hypoglycaemia accompanied by symptoms such as sweating, shaking, pounding heart or confusion)

# Baseline characteristics

		Metformin + sulfonylurea + exenatide			Metformin + sulfonylurea + insulin glargine				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	282		59.8 (SD 8.8)	267		58 (SD 9.5)		
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	282	155	(55.0%)	267	151	(56.6%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	282		9.9 (SD 6)	267		9.2 (SD 5.7)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	282		8.2 (SD 1)	267		8.3 (SD 1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	282		10.1 (SD 2.6)	267		10.4 (SD 2.9)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	282		31.4 (SD 4.4)	267		31.3 (SD 4.6)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	282		87.5 (SD 16.9)	267		88.3 (SD 17.9)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

		Metfor sulfony exen		ırea +	Metforn sulfonyli insulin gl		ırea +		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 0wk	Count	282			267				а
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 26wkb	Continuous	282		7.3	267		6.3		
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 26wkc	Count	46410	928		46319	799			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 0wk	Count	282			267				d
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 26wke	Dichotomous	282	4	(1.4%)	267	4	(1.5%)		
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 26wkd	Dichotomous	282			267				
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 0wk	Count	282			267				а
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 26wkc	Count	46410	115		46501	307			
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 26wkb	Continuous	282		0.9	267		2.4		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 0wk	Dichotomous	282			267				<0.001
GI: nausea – 26wkf	Dichotomous	282	161	(57.1%)	267	23	(8.6%)		
Asthenia – 0wk	Dichotomous	282			267				NS
Asthenia – 26wkf	Dichotomous	282	6	(2.1%)	267	7	(2.6%)		
Arthralgia – 0wk	Dichotomous	282			267				NS

Arthralgia – 26wkf	Dichotomous	282	9	(3.2%)	267	10	(3.7%)		
Back pain – 26wkf	Dichotomous		17	(6.0%)	267	8	(3.0%)		
Bronchitis – 0wk	Dichotomous			(0.070)	267		(0.070)		NS
Bronchitis – 26wkf	Dichotomous		5	(1.8%)	267	7	(2.6%)		110
Chest pain – 0wk	Dichotomous			(1.070)	267		(2.070)		NS
Chest pain – 26wkf	Dichotomous		6	(2.1%)	267	3	(1.1%)		
Cough – 0wk	Dichotomous	282		(=::/0)	267		(11170)		NS
Cough – 26wkf	Dichotomous		11	(3.9%)	267	8	(3.0%)		
Dizziness – 0wk	Dichotomous			()	267		(,		NS
Dizziness – 26wkf	Dichotomous		15	(5.3%)	267	6	(2.2%)		
Dyspepsia – 0wk	Dichotomous			()	267		( 11)		0.011
Dyspepsia – 26wkf	Dichotomous	282	10	(3.5%)	267	1	(0.4%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 0wk	Dichotomous			()	267		()		0.006
GI: diarrhoea – 26wkf	Dichotomous		24	(8.5%)	267	8	(3.0%)		
GI: vomiting – 0wk	Dichotomous			()	267		(,		<0.001
GI: vomiting – 26wkf	Dichotomous		49	(17.4%)	267	10	(3.7%)		
GI: abdominal pain –				(****,0)			(511 75)		
0wk	Dichotomous	282			267				0.012
GI: abdominal pain – 26wkf	Dichotomous	282	12	(4.3%)	267	2	(0.7%)		
GI: constipation – 0wk	Dichotomous	282		,	267		,		0.011
GI: constipation –									
26wkf	Dichotomous	282	10	(3.5%)	267	1	(0.4%)		
Headache – 0wk	Dichotomous	282			267				NS
Headache – 26wkf	Dichotomous	282	25	(8.9%)	267	23	(8.6%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 0wk	Dichotomous	282			267				NS
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 26wkf	Dichotomous	282	15	(5.3%)	267	13	(4.9%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 0wk	Dichotomous	282			267				NS
Nasopharyngitis – 26wkf	Dichotomous	282	22	(7.8%)	267	24	(9.0%)		
Pain (extremity) – 0wk	Dichotomous	282			267				NS
Pain (extremity) – 26wkf	Dichotomous	282	11	(3.9%)	267	8	(3.0%)		
Rash – 0wk	Dichotomous			()	267		()		NS
Rash – 26wkf	Dichotomous		3	(1.1%)	267	6	(2.2%)		
Temperature/influenza – 0wk	Dichotomous			(***,**)	267		(=:=/5)		NS
Temperature/influenza – 26wkf	Dichotomous		7	(2.5%)	267	15	(5.6%)		
UTI – 0wk	Dichotomous	282	i i	(=.570)	267		(3.370)		NS
UTI – 26wkf	Dichotomous		7	(2.5%)	267	3	(1.1%)		
Dropouts:  Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous		54	(19.1%)	267	25	(9.4%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous		27	(9.6%)	267	23	(9.4%)		
TT	PICHOLOHIOUS	202	21	(3.070)	201		(0.7 /0)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkg	Mean change	275		-1.24	260		-1.08	MD=-0.162 (CI: -0.301, -0.023)	
HbA1c (%) – 26wkh	Mean change	275		-1.11	260		-1.11	MD=0.017 (CI: -0.123, 0.157)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wkg	Mean change	275		-1.4	260		-2.9	MD=1.500 (CI: 1.100, 1.900)	

				4.4					
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wki	Mean change	275		-1.4 (SD 1.66)	260		1.3 (SD 0.806)		
Weight (lea) 00 odi	Mean	075		-2.3 (SD	000		1.8 (SD	MD=-4.100 (CI: -4.600,	
Weight (kg) – 26wki	change	275		3.65)	260		3.55)	-3.600)	
Poland Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Mean change	40		-0.72 (SD 0.759)	40		-0.64 (SD 0.759)	MD=-0.070 (CI: -0.403, 0.263)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 26wkj	Dichotomous	40	17	(42.5%)	40	15	(37.5%)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 26wk	Mean change	40		-0.56 (SD 2.53)	40		-1.43 (SD 2.47)	MD=0.870 (CI: -0.240, 1.980)	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	40		-1.9 (SD 3.04)	40		1.6 (SD 3.04)	MD=-3.500 (CI: -4.833, -2.167)	
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 26wk	Count	40			40				0.995
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 26wkk	Continuous	40		0.11	40		0.1		
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 26wk	Count	40			40				0.175
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 26wkk	Continuous	40		0	40		0.1		
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 26wkl	Dichotomous	40	22	(55.0%)	40	1	(2.5%)		
GI: nausea – 26wke	Dichotomous	40	17	(42.5%)	40	1	(2.5%)		
GI: nausea – 26wk	Dichotomous	40	221	(55.0%)	40	1e	(2.5%)		
GI: nausea – 26wk	Dichotomous	40	17e	(42.5%)	40	11	(2.5%)		
GI: vomiting – 26wk	Dichotomous	40	51	(12.5%)	40	0e	(0.0%)		
GI: vomiting – 26wkl	Dichotomous	40	5	(12.5%)	40	0	(0.0%)		
GI: vomiting – 26wke	Dichotomous	40	4	(10.0%)	40	0	(0.0%)		
GI: vomiting – 26wk	Dichotomous	40	4e	(10.0%)		Ol	(0.0%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 26wk	Dichotomous		31	(7.5%)	40	0e	(0.0%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 26wk	Dichotomous	40	3e	(7.5%)	40	Ol	(0.0%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 26wkl	Dichotomous	40	3	(7.5%)	40	0	(0.0%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 26wke	Dichotomous	40	3	(7.5%)	40	0	(0.0%)		
Headache – 26wk	Dichotomous		4e	(10.0%)	40	21	(5.0%)		
Headache – 26wk	Dichotomous		81	(20.0%)		2e	(5.0%)		
Headache – 26wke	Dichotomous		4	(10.0%)	40	2	(5.0%)		
Headache – 26wkl	Dichotomous	40	8	(20.0%)	40	2	(5.0%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 26wkl	Dichotomous	40	2	(5.0%)	40	0	(0.0%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 26wk	Dichotomous	40	2e	(5.0%)	40	Ol	(0.0%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 26wk	Dichotomous	40	21	(5.0%)	40	0e	(0.0%)		
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 26wke	Dichotomous	40	2	(5.0%)	40	0	(0.0%)		

Nasopharyngitis – 26wk	Dichotomous	40	41	(10.0%)	40	2e	(5.0%)	
Nasopharyngitis – 26wk	Dichotomous	40	4e	(10.0%)	40	21	(5.0%)	
Nasopharyngitis – 26wkl	Dichotomous	40	4	(10.0%)	40	2	(5.0%)	
Nasopharyngitis – 26wke	Dichotomous	40	4	(10.0%)	40	2	(5.0%)	
<sup>a</sup> events per patient year								

Unclear graphs for Hba1c with unclear SEs and unclear denominators fpr per protocol analyses, therefore these were not extracted.

Mixed effect model repeated measures (MMRM) was used for the primary analyses. The model included change in Hba1c as the dependent variable, treatment, week of visit, treatment by week interaction, baseline value Hba1c and country as fixed effects and patient and error as random effects. There was no imputation of missing data. Categorical data were analysed using Fishers exact test to compare treatments.

# Table 17: Heise et al. (2011)

	130 Ct al. (2011)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: five European countries (France, Germany, Norway, Romania, and Spain)  Authors' conclusions: In this proof-of-concept trial, once-daily IDegAsp was safe, well tolerated, and provided comparable overall glycemic control to insulin glargine at similar low rates of hypoglycemia, but better postdinner plasma glucose control  Source of funding: Novo Nordisk  Comments: open-label, randomized, controlled 16-week trial. Randomization was carried out using a telephone- or web-based randomization system, with subjects stratified according to pretrial OAD treatment
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 178  Inclusion criteria: Adults with type 2 diabetes were enrolled if they were 18–75 years of age, had an A1C of 7–11%, and had a BMI of 25–37 kg/m2. Subjects had to be insulin-naïve (no previous insulin treatment or insulin treatment for <=14 days in the 3 months prior to trial), and had to be treated with up to two OADs in the 2 months prior to trial at stable maximum doses or at least half maximum allowed doses  Exclusion criteria: been treated with thiazolidinediones in the 3 months preceding the trial. Other exclusion criteria included cardiac disease within 12 months of the trial, severe hypertension (systolic blood pressure >=180 mmHg or sitting diastolic blood pressure >=100 mmHg), recurrent severe hypoglycemia or hypoglycemia unawareness, use of drugs likely to affect glycemia, impaired hepatic function, pregnancy, and breast-feeding  Pre-randomisation phase: eligible subjects discontinued their pretrial OAD treatment and underwent a 2-week forced metformin titration period followed by a 1-week metformin maintenance period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All patients had to be treated with up to 2 OADs in the two months prior to trial. Patients were then required to undergo metformin forced titration for 2 weeks
Lifestyle advice	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> events per patient year; 95% CI not reported

 $<sup>^{</sup>c}$  Person days estimated assuming dropouts halfway through the trial and no of events calculated using reported rates

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> NR

e no patients

No of patients

g SE not reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> percentage points; SE not reported estimated from graph; SE converted

j approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

events/patient/30 days; 95% CI not reported

no events

## Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 18

Length of titration period (wks): 2

Length of maintenance period (wks): 16 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -

#### **Arms**

#### (1) Metformin + insulin glargine

N: 60

Treatment duration (wks): 16

Washout period (d): 14

Comments: 2 week forced titration of metformin while discontinuing all other drugs

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) - forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):2000

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: 2-week forced metformin titration period (dose increased up to 2,000 mg/day: 1,000 mg each at breakfast and the evening meal) followed by a 1-week metformin maintenance period. Subjects taking metformin at enrollment could undergo a modified titration period or advance directly to the metformin maintenance period. Metformin could be decreased to aminimum of 1,500 mg/day in the case of unacceptable

hypoglycemia

or other adverse events. Subjects were eligible for randomization provided the maximum dailymetformin dose (2,000 mg) or maximum tolerated dose (1,500 mg) remained unchanged in the maintenance period and the median prebreakfast self-measured plasma glucose (SMPG) value (measured on the 3 days prior to randomization) was >=7.5 mmol/L (135 mg/dL).

(b) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Mean dose (mg/d): 0.45

Details of dosing regimen: The insulin starting dose was 10 units administered in the abdomen (IDegAsp, AF) or thigh (IGlar) before the evening meal. IDegAsp and AF were administered using a 3 mL FlexPen device; Iglar was administered using a 3 mL Optiset device. Based on SMPG levels before breakfast

(lowest FPG value from 3 consecutive days), insulin doses were individually titrated once a week throughout the trial (by clinic or telephone contacts) aiming at an FPG level of 4.0-6.0 mmol/L (72- 108 mg/dL)

# (2) Metformin + insulin (degludec 70% apart 30%)

N: 59

Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 14

Comments: 2 week forced titration of metformin while discontinuing all other drugs

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) - forced titration

Set dose (ma/d):2000

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: see arm 1 for details

(b) Insulin degludec/aspart mix (Subcutaneous) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Mean dose (mg/d): 0.38

Details of dosing regimen: see arm 1 for dosing information

## (3) Metformin + insulin (55% degludec 45% aspart)

N: 59

Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 14

Comments: 2 week forced titration of metformin while discontinuing all other drugs

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) - forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):2000

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: see arm 1 for details

(b) Insulin degludec/aspart mix (Subcutaneous) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Mean dose (mg/d): 0.36

Details of dosing regimen: see arm 1 for details of dosing

# **Outcomes**

## General

Outcomes not extracted in this eveidence table include SMBG levels and postprandial blood glucose. 5 (8%) patients in the glargine group, 4 (7%) in the degludec 70/aspart 30 group and 6 (10%) in the degludec 55/aspart 45 group discontinued the study

The statistical evaluation of A1C, FPG, and 2-h postprandial plasma glucose increment was based on all randomized subjects following the intention-to-treat principle. Missing values for A1C and FPG were imputed using last observation carried forward.

		Metformin + insulin glargine			Metformin + insulin (degludec 70% apart 30%)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	60		58.4 (SD 8.4)	59		58.7 (SD 8.8)		
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	60	44	(73.3%)	59	37	(62.7%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	60		8.5 (SD 4.8)	59		9.1 (SD 8)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	60		8.4 (SD 1.3)	59		8.3 (SD 1.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	60		12.1 (SD 3.5)	59		11.1 (SD 3.3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	60		30.5 (SD 3.5)	59		30.2 (SD 3.4)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	60		86.8 (SD 11.3)	59		85.1 (SD 11.7)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	60	30	(50.0%)	59	28	(47.5%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

		iı	Metformin + insulin glargine			Metformin + insulin (55% degludec 45% aspart)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	60		58.4 (SD 8.4)	59		60.2 (SD 8.2)		
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	60	44	(73.3%)	59	34	(57.6%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	60		8.5 (SD 4.8)	59		9.5 (SD 5.8)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	60		8.4 (SD 1.3)	59		8.6 (SD 1.5)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	60		12.1 (SD 3.5)	59		11.5 (SD 3.2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	60		30.5 (SD 3.5)	59		30.3 (SD 4.3)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	60		86.8 (SD 11.3)	59		83.9 (SD 15.7)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:	D'abatanana	00	00	(50.00()	50	00	(40.00()		
Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous		30	(50.0%)	59	29	(49.2%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

				ormin + insulin ec 70% apart 30%)	Me				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	59		58.7 (SD 8.8)	59		60.2 (SD 8.2)		
Sex (n male) a	Dichotomous	59	37	(62.7%)	59	34	(57.6%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	59		9.1 (SD 8)	59		9.5 (SD 5.8)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	59		8.3 (SD 1.2)	59		8.6 (SD 1.5)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	59		11.1 (SD 3.3)	59		11.5 (SD 3.2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	59		30.2 (SD 3.4)	59		30.3 (SD 4.3)		
Weight (kg) - 0wk	Continuous	59		85.1 (SD 11.7)	59		83.9 (SD 15.7)		

Mean change   60   1.1)   59   -1.3 (SD 1)   (CI: -0.410)   -5.1   MD=-0.130		6)	(49.2%	9 29		in text)				Dichotomous nteger (percent	Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:  Metformin + Sulfonylurea  approximated to nearest in	
Blood glucose:				c 70% a	lude							Results
HbA1c (%) - 16wk	р	Δ		mean	k	N	mean	k	N			
HbA1c (%) = 16wk			1)	7 (SD 1		59			60	Continuous	•	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) = 16wk   Continuous   60   3.9   59   -4.3 (SD 3.5)   0.770)		MD=-0.110 (CI: -0.410, 0.190)	D 1)	-1.3 (SI		59	(SD		60		HbA1c (%) – 16wk	
Mean		MD=-0.130 (CI: -1.030, 0.770)	D 3.5)	-4.3 (SI		59	(SD		60			
Body weight:   Weight (kg) = 16wk   Change   60   3.2   59   -0.4 (SD 2.3)						59	7 (SD		60	Continuous		
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event			,	·		50	-0.1 (SD		60		Body weight:	
Major/severe   hypoglycaemic event   Count   6440   0   6384   0     0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0			D 2.3)	,	0		,	0		-	Hypoglycaemic events:  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event –	
hypoglycaemia				(0.0%)			(0.0%)				Major/severe hypoglycaemic event –	
hypoglycaemia				1.2		59	0.7		60	Continuous	hypoglycaemia –	
hypoglycaemia – 16wkc Count 6440 3 6384 1  Adverse events: GI: nausea – 16wk Dichotomous 60 0 (0.0%) 59 0 (0.0%)  Any serious adverse event(s) – 16wkd Dichotomous 60 0 (0.0%) 59 2 (3.4%)  Any serious adverse event(s) – 16wka Count 6440 0 6384 2  Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk Dichotomous 60 5 (8.3%) 59 4 (6.8%)  Dropout due to AEs – 16wk Dichotomous 60 0 (0.0%) 59 1 (1.7%)  Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 16wk Dichotomous 60 2 (3.3%) 59 0 (0.0%)  a person days estimated using reported rates for confirmed hypos events per patient year; 95% CI not reported reviewer estimated patient days (episodes)					20	6384		12	6440	Count	hypoglycaemia –	
GI: nausea – 16wk Dichotomous 60 0 (0.0%) 59 0 (0.0%)  Any serious adverse event(s) – 16wkd Dichotomous 60 0 (0.0%) 59 2 (3.4%)  Any serious adverse event(s) – 16wka Count 6440 0 6384 2  Dropouts:  Total dropouts – 16wk Dichotomous 60 5 (8.3%) 59 4 (6.8%)  Dropout due to AEs – 16wk Dichotomous 60 0 (0.0%) 59 1 (1.7%)  Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 16wk Dichotomous 60 2 (3.3%) 59 0 (0.0%)  a person days estimated using reported rates for confirmed hypos events per patient year; 95% CI not reported a reviewer estimated patient days (episodes)					1	6384		3	6440	Count	hypoglycaemia –	
Any serious adverse event(s) – 16wkd Dichotomous 60 0 (0.0%) 59 2 (3.4%)  Any serious adverse event(s) – 16wka Count 6440 0 6384 2  Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk Dichotomous 60 5 (8.3%) 59 4 (6.8%)  Dropout due to AEs – 16wk Dichotomous 60 0 (0.0%) 59 1 (1.7%)  Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 16wk Dichotomous 60 2 (3.3%) 59 0 (0.0%)  a person days estimated using reported rates for confirmed hypos events per patient year; 95% CI not reported a reviewer estimated patient days (episodes)				(0.00/)	0	50	(0,00/)	0	60	Dichotomous		
event(s) – 16wka Count 6440 0 6384 2  Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk Dichotomous 60 5 (8.3%) 59 4 (6.8%)  Dropout due to AEs – 16wk Dichotomous 60 0 (0.0%) 59 1 (1.7%)  Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 16wk Dichotomous 60 2 (3.3%) 59 0 (0.0%)  a person days estimated using reported rates for confirmed hypos events per patient year; 95% CI not reported c reviewer estimated patient days (episodes)							,				Any serious adverse	
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk Dichotomous 60 5 (8.3%) 59 4 (6.8%)  Dropout due to AEs – 16wk Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 16wk Dichotomous 60 2 (3.3%) 59 0 (0.0%)  Person days estimated using reported rates for confirmed hypos events per patient year; 95% CI not reported Previewer estimated patient days (episodes)					2	6384		0	6440	Count	•	
16wk Dichotomous 60 0 (0.0%) 59 1 (1.7%)  Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect — 16wk Dichotomous 60 2 (3.3%) 59 0 (0.0%)  a person days estimated using reported rates for confirmed hypos events per patient year; 95% CI not reported c reviewer estimated patient days (episodes)				(6.8%)			(8.3%)				Dropouts:	
unsatisfactory effect – 16wk Dichotomous 60 2 (3.3%) 59 0 (0.0%)  a person days estimated using reported rates for confirmed hypos b events per patient year; 95% CI not reported c reviewer estimated patient days (episodes)				(1.7%)	1	59	(0.0%)	0	60	Dichotomous		
b events per patient year; 95% Cl not reported c reviewer estimated patient days (episodes)				(0.0%)	0	59	(3.3%)	2	60	Dichotomous	unsatisfactory effect –	
								confi	ted	5% Cl not repor	<sup>b</sup> events per patient year; 9: <sup>c</sup> reviewer estimated patien	
Metformin + Metformin + insulin (55% insulin glargine degludec 45% aspart)												
N k mean N k mean	Δр	n	mear	k	N	an	k me	N				

			7.1 (SD			
Continuous	60			59		7.2 (SD 1)
Mean change	60		-1.3 (SD 1.1)	59		-1.5 (SD 1.4)
Mean change	60		-5.1 (SD 3.9)	59		-4.1 (SD 3.1)
Continuous	60		7 (SD 2.5)	59		7.4 (SD 2.8)
Mean change	60		-0.1 (SD 3.2)	59		0.3 (SD 2.2)
Dichotomous	60	0	(0.0%)	59	0	(0.0%)
Count	6440	0		6272	0	
Continuous	60		0.7	59		2.4
Count	6440	12		6272	41	
Count	6440	3		6272	27	
Dichotomous	60	0	(0.0%)	59	1	(1.7%)
Dichotomous	60	0	(0.0%)	59	1	(1.7%)
Count	6440	0		6272	1	
Dichotomous	60	5	(8.3%)	59	6	(10.2%)
Dichotomous	60	0	(0.0%)	59	0	(0.0%)
Dichotomous	60	2	(3.3%)	59	0	(0.0%)
	change Mean change Continuous Mean change  Dichotomous Count Count Count Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous Dichotomous	Mean change 60  Mean change 60  Continuous 60  Mean change 60  Dichotomous 60  Count 6440  Count 6440  Count 6440  Dichotomous 60  Dichotomous 60  Count 6440  Dichotomous 60	Mean change 60  Mean change 60  Continuous 60  Mean change 60  Dichotomous 60 0  Count 6440 0  Count 6440 12  Count 6440 3  Dichotomous 60 0  Dichotomous 60 0  Dichotomous 60 5  Dichotomous 60 0	Continuous         60         1.3)           Mean change         60         1.3)           Mean change         60         1.1)           Mean change         60         3.9)           Continuous         60         7 (SD 2.5)           Mean change         60         -0.1 (SD 3.2)           Dichotomous         60         0 (0.0%)           Count         6440         0           Count         6440         12           Count         6440         3           Dichotomous         60         0 (0.0%)           Dichotomous         60         0 (0.0%)           Count         6440         0           Dichotomous         60         5 (8.3%)           Dichotomous         60         0 (0.0%)	Continuous         60         1.3)         59           Mean change         60         1.3)         59           Mean change         60         1.1)         59           Mean change         60         3.9)         59           Continuous         60         7 (SD 2.5)         59           Mean change         60         -0.1 (SD 3.2)         59           Dichotomous         60         0 (0.0%)         59           Count         6440         0         6272           Count         6440         12         6272           Count         6440         3         6272           Dichotomous         60         0 (0.0%)         59           Count         6440         0         6272           Dichotomous         60         0 (0.0%)         59           Count         6440         0         6272           Dichotomous         60         5 (8.3%)         59           Dichotomous         60         0 (0.0%)         59	Continuous         60         1.3)         59           Mean change         60         1.1)         59           Mean change         60         1.1)         59           Mean change         60         3.9)         59           Continuous         60         7 (SD 2.5)         59           Mean change         60         -0.1 (SD 3.2)         59           Dichotomous         60         0 (0.0%)         59         0           Count         6440         0         6272         0           Count         6440         12         6272         41           Count         6440         3         6272         27           Dichotomous         60         0 (0.0%)         59         1           Dichotomous         60         0 (0.0%)         59         1           Count         6440         0         6272         1           Dichotomous         60         5 (8.3%)         59         6           Dichotomous         60         0 (0.0%)         59         0

a person days estimated using reported rates for confirmed hypos bevents per patient year; 95% CI not reported reviewer estimated patient days (episodes) No of events

			ude	in + insulin c 70% apart 0%)		de	in + insulin gludec 45% spart)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	59		7 (SD 1)	59		7.2 (SD 1)		
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Mean change	59		-1.3 (SD 1)	59		-1.5 (SD 1.4)	MD=0.080 (CI: -0.220, 0.380)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	59		-4.3 (SD 3.5)	59		-4.1 (SD 3.1)	MD=0.640 (CI: -0.250, 1.530)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	59		6.8 (SD 2.5)	59		7.4 (SD 2.8)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Mean change	59		-0.4 (SD 2.3)	59		0.3 (SD 2.2)		
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wk	Dichotomous	59	0	(0.0%)	59	0	(0.0%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wka	Count	6384	0		6272	0			

confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wkb	Continuous	59		1.2	59		2.4		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wkc	Count	6384	20		6272	41			
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 16wkc	Count	6384	1		6272	27			
Adverse events: GI: nausea – 16wk	Dichotomous	59	0	(0.0%)	59	1	(1.7%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 16wkd	Dichotomous	59	2	(3.4%)	59	1	(1.7%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 16wka	Count	6384	2		6272	1			
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	59	4	(6.8%)	59	6	(10.2%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	59	1	(1.7%)	59	0	(0.0%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 16wk	Dichotomous	59	0	(0.0%)	59	0	(0.0%)		
<ul> <li>a person days estimated using reported rates for confirmed hypos</li> <li>b events per patient year; 95% CI not reported</li> <li>c reviewer estimated patient days (episodes)</li> <li>d No of events</li> </ul>									
Treatment differences in A1C and FPG values after 16 weeks of treatment were estimated by a linear model, in which the estimates were adjusted by country, sex, OAD therapy at screening, age, and baseline values. P-values for between group comparisons were not reported for adverse events, drop outs, body weight and hypoglycaemia									

# Table 18: Janka et al. (2005)

Table To: Ja	nka et al. (2005)
General	Phase:    monotherapy
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 364 Inclusion criteria: Male or female patients aged 35–75 years with a type 2 diabetes duration of at least 1 year and treated with a stable dose of sulfonylurea and metformin for at least 1 month were enrolled. Further inclusion criteria included BMI <=35 kg/m2, HbA1c levels between 7.5 and 10.5%, and fasting blood glucose (FBG) levels >=120 mg/dl (>=6.7 mmol/l)  Exclusion criteria: any additional use of other oral blood glucose—lowering agents, prior use of insulin exceeding 3 days, and a history of ketoacidosis  Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 1- to 4-week screening phase and a 24-week treatment phase
Previous glucose- lowering	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All treated with metformin and sulfonylurea and this was continued in one arm

therapy	and discontinued in the other arm								
Lifestyle advice	-								
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -								
Arms	(1) Insulin glargine + metformin + glimepiride N: 177 Treetment duration (utle): 24								
	Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Taking metformin + sulfonylurea at study start Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)								
	Mean dose (mg/d): 1895  Details of dosing regimen: Metformin (>=850 mg) during the study was provided and taken at the same dose as before study entry. The dosage of both metformin and sulfoylurea remained unchanged throughout the study.								
	Mean 1922 mg ± 475.8 for subgroup of insulin naïve older adults (b) Sulfonylurea (Oral)								
	Mean dose (mg/d): 3.4  Details of dosing regimen: Previous sulfonylurea therapies were replaced with 3 or 4 mg glimepiride during the screening phase.								
	Mean 3.4 mg ± 0.5 mg for subgroup of insulin naïve older adults (c) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)								
	Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: insulin glargine was given once daily in the morning in combination with glimepiride and metformin (glargine plus OAD). The starting dose fo insulin glargine was 10 IU (mean 9.9 IU) in the morning and, for premixed insulin, 10 I before breakfast and 10 IU before dinner. These starting doses could be lowered if considered clinically necessary by the investigator. Insulin doses were adjusted by a f titration regimen calling for weekly adjustments for 8 weeks and at 2-week intervals thereafter for both groups, according to daily self-monitored capillary whole blood gluc For both groups, the FBG target was 100 mg/dl (5.6 mmol/l), and the before dinner bl- glucose target for the 70/30 group was 100 mg/dl (5.6 mmol/l), with a stepwise increa insulin depending on the blood glucose values								
	(2) NPH insulin N: 187								
	Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Taking metformin + sulfonylurea at study start								
	Treatment(s):  NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Details of dosing regimen: human premixed insulin (30% regular, 70% NPH insulin) to be administered twice daily (before breakfast and dinner), while glimepiride and metformin were discontinued (70/30). The starting dose for insulin glargine was 10 IU in the morning and, for premixed insulin, 10 IU (mean 10.3 IU) before breakfast and 10 IU (mean 10.3 IU) before dinner. Target values before dinner for the 70/30 group was 100 mg/dl (5.6 mmol/l), with a stepwise increase of insulin depending on the blood glucose values								
Outcomes	General Statistical analyses were performed on the intent-to-treat population, defined as randomised patients who received at least one injection of insulin.								
	7/177 (4%) patients on glargine plus metformin + sulfonylurea and 28/187 (15%) patients on 70/30 premixed insulin discontinued the study  Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include 24 hours blood glucose levels. Outcomes reported in Janka (2007) relating to subgroup analyses for insulin naive older adults are also reported in this evidence								
	table.  Hypoglycaemic events  Hypoglycemia was confirmed by blood glucose <60 mg/dl  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Severe hypoglycemia was defined as an event with symptoms consistent with hypoglycemia during which the person required the assistance of another person and which was associated with a blood glucose level <36 mg/dl and/or with recovery after oral carbohydrate, intravenous glucose, or glucagon administration)								
Baseline characteristics	Insulin glargine + metformin + glimepiride NPH insulin Δ p								

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	177		60.9 (SD 8.7)	187		60.4 (SD 9.1)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	177	108	(61.0%)	187	107a	(57.2%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	177		9.9 (SD 7.3)	187		9.9 (SD 6.4)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	177		8.85 (SD 0.98)	187		8.83 (SD 0.87)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	177		9.5 (SD 1.9)	187		9.6 (SD 2.1)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	177		29.5 (SD 3.6)	187		29.6 (SD 3.6)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	177		85.1 (SD 14.7)	187		84.6 (SD 14.2)
Age >=65 years Blood glucose: Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	67		9.2 (SD 1.8)	63		9.5 (SD 2.2)
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 0wk	Continuous	67		9.8 (SD 2)	63		10.3 (SD 2.8) b

a approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text) pre-breakfast dose

		m	argine + min + piride	NF	PH ins	sulin			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	177		7.35 (SD 0.9)	187		7.85 (SD 0.85)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wkb	Mean change	177		-1.64 (SD 0.92)	187		-1.31 (SD 0.94)	MD=- 0.340 (CI: -0.520, - 0.160)	0.0003
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	177		7.15 (SD 0.9)	187		7.49 (SD 1.09)		
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	177	87c	(49.2%)	187	53d	(28.3%)		0.0596
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	177	81d	(45.8%)	187	73	(39.0%)		0.0596
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	177	87c	(49.2%)	187	73	(39.0%)		0.0596
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wkd	Dichotomous	177	81	(45.8%)	187	53	(28.3%)		0.0596
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wke	Continuous	177			187			MD=- 0.900 (CI: -1.300, - 0.500)	<0.0001
FBG <=100 mg/dl – 24wk	Dichotomous	177	56	(31.6%)	187	28	(15.0%)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	177		1.4 (SD 3.4)	187		2.1 (SD 4.2)		
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	177			187				0.0805
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 24wk	Dichotomous	177	109	(61.6%)	187	127	(67.9%)		

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	177			187				0.0702
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkf	Continuous	177		0	187		0.05		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkg	Count	29148	0		29064	1	0.00		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wkg	Count	29148			29064				
symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wkf	Continuous	177		2.62	187		5.73		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	177			187				0.0009
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 24wkg	Count	29148	326		29064	788			
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 24wkf	Continuous	177		4.07	187		9.87		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	177			187				<0.0001
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	177			187				0.0449
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 24wkf	Continuous	177		0.51	187		1.04		
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 24wkg	Count	29148	41		29064	83			
Adverse events:									
Any adverse event(s) – 24wkh	Dichotomous	177	89	(50.3%)	187	92	(49.2%)		NR
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	177	7	(4.0%)	187	28	(15.0%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	177	1	(0.6%)	187	6	(3.2%)		NR
Insulin: Total daily dose (U)	Continuous	177		20.2	107		64.5		ND
– 24wk	Continuous	177		28.2	187		64.5	MD=-	NR
Age >=65 years Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wki	Mean change	67		-1.9 (SD 0.835)	63		-1.4 (SD 1.01)	0.460 (CI: -0.750, - 0.170)	0.003
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	67	41	(61.2%)	63	19j	(30.2%)		0.006j
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wkj	Dichotomous	67	37	(55.2%)	63	19	(30.2%)		0.006j
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	67	18k	(26.9%)	63	19j	(30.2%)		0.006j
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wk	Dichotomous	67	18k	(26.9%)	63	24	(38.1%)		0.006j
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	67	37j	(55.2%)	63	5k	(7.9%)		0.006j
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	67	41	(61.2%)	63	24	(38.1%)		0.006j
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	67	41	(61.2%)	63	5k	(7.9%)		0.006j
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wkk	Dichotomous	67	18	(26.9%)	63	5	(7.9%)		0.006j
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wk	Dichotomous	67	37j	(55.2%)	63	24	(38.1%)		0.006j

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	67		6.1 (SD 1.4)	63		7.2 (SD 2.2)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) –	Mean			1.4)			2.2)	0.000
24wk Body weight:	change	67			63			0.002
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	67			63			0.17
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	67		1.3 (SD 3)	63		2.2 (SD 3.9)	
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 24wkl	Count	11172	171		10416	160		
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 24wk	Dichotomous	67			63			0.01
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 24wkm	Continuous	67		5.59	63		11.39	
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous			5.50	36			0.21
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkm	Continuous	67		0	63		0.09	0.21
symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous			U	63		0.09	0.06
symptomatic (confirmed) – 24wkm	Continuous	67		2.22	63		5.01	0.00
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	67			63			0.008
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 24wkm	Continuous	67		3.68	63		9.09	
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 24wk	Dichotomous	67			63			0.26
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 24wkm	Continuous	67		0.39	63		0.71	
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	67	32	(47.8%)	63	27	(42.9%)	
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	67	11n	(16.4%)	63	4h	(6.3%)	
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	67	9h	(13.4%)	63	8n	(12.7%)	
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wkn	Dichotomous	67	11	(16.4%)	63	8	(12.7%)	
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wkh	Dichotomous	67	9	(13.4%)	63	4	(6.3%)	
Nervous system disorders – 24wk	Dichotomous	67	4h	(6.0%)	63	18n	(28.6%)	
Nervous system disorders – 24wk	Dichotomous	67	6n	(9.0%)	63	10h	(15.9%)	
Nervous system disorders – 24wkn	Dichotomous	67	6	(9.0%)	63	18	(28.6%)	
Nervous system disorders – 24wkh	Dichotomous	67	4	(6.0%)	63	10	(15.9%)	

Respiratory disorders – 24wk	Dichotomous	67	8	(11.9%)	63	8	(12.7%)		
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	67	1	(1.5%)	63	2	(3.2%)		
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 24wk	Continuous	67		24.4 (SD 10.3)	63		34.9 (SD 20.3) o		
a estimated from graph b adjusted change; SD ca c approximated to neares without confirmed noctor No SDs reported f mean events per patien person days estimated rates b No of patients SD calculated from 95% without nocturnal hypog without confirmed hypog person days estimated event rates/patient year per patient year; 95% of No of events c pre-breakfast dose	st integer (perceurnal hypo; app t year; 95% CI assuming drop to CI llycaemia glycaemia assuming dropo	entages roximate not repo out half	ed to orted way tl	nearest inte	eger (pe	of ev	ents calcu	lated using r	reported
ANCOVAs were perform treatment groups. Adjust secondary variables were	ed means and	corresp	ondin	g two-sided	95% C	ls we			

Table 19: Kilo et al. (2003)

	o et al. (2003)
General	Phase:  monotherapy dual therapy triple therapy insulin monotherapy insulin monotherapy insulin therapy risulin therapy risulin therapy risulin therapy risulin therapy risulin therapy using once daily injections of insulin biphasic aspart 70/3, biphasic human insulin 70/30 or NPH in combination with metformin  Source of funding: Novo Nordisk Comments: Open label trial
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 140 Inclusion criteria: Men or women, aged 18 years aand older with type 2 diabetes and a body weight <=100 kg and BMI <=40 kg/m2. patients were naïve to insulin treatment and had inadquate glycaemic control (Hba1c >=7.5%) on a regimen of >= 3 months of metformin as monotherapy or in combination with a sulfonylurea or repaglinide  Exclusion criteria: significantly impaired hepatic or renal function or significant cardiac disease within 12 months  Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 4 week run-in period in which patients received metformin as monotherapy followed by 12 weeks of combination therapy. During the 4 week run-in, patients were treated with 500 to 2550 mg/day of metfomin divided into one of three doses. The dose was adjusted during the first 3 weeks to achieve and maintain a FBG 90-126 mg/dl or the maximally tolerated dose or a maximum daily dose of 2550 mg. The patients metformin dose was not changed after the fourth week of the run-in period, unless a dose reduction was necessary for clinical reasons. At the end of the run in period, patients who were not able to achieve FBG target of 90-126 mg/dl on metformin only were randomised to one of three insulin treatment regimens
Previous glucose- lowering	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All taking metformin monotherapy or combination therapy (metformin

therapy	monotherapy was used during the run-in period)
Lifestyle advice	-
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 16 Length of titration period (wks): 4 Length of maintenance period (wks): 8 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Blood samples were collected at baseline and at weeks 1,2,4,8,and 12
Arms	(1) Metformin + biphasic insulin aspart od (70% protaminated aspart/30% soluble aspart)  N: 46  Treatment duration (wks): 12  Washout period (d): 0  Comments: All taking metformin monotherapy or combination therapy (metformin monotherapy was used during the run-in period)  Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)  Mean dose (mg/d): 2200  Details of dosing regimen: Metformin doses from the run-in period were maintained (this was approx 2200 mg in each group)  (b) Biphasic insulin aspart (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Minimum dose (mg/d): 0.16  Frequency of dosing: once a day  Details of dosing regimen: The starting insulin dose was 0.16 U/kg for each insulin formulation. During the first 4 weeks of treatment, the insulin dosage was adjusted by 2-6  U to achieve FBG 90-126 mg/dl. The dose adjustments were based on twice weekly SMBG assessments. After the dose adjustment period, the insulin dose was maintained for the remaining 8 weeks of the study.  (2) Metformin + NPH (od)  N: 47  Treatment duration (wks): 12  Washout period (d): 0  Comments: All taking metformin monotherapy or combination therapy (metformin monotherapy was used during the run-in period)  Mean dose (mg/d): 2200  Details of dosing regimen: Metformin doses from the run-in period were maintained this was approx 2200 mg in each group)  (b) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Minimum dose (mg/d): 0.16  Frequency of dosing: once a day  (3) Metformin + biphasic human insulin od (70% protaminated human insulin 30% soluble human insulin)  Treatment (s): (a) Metformin (Oral)  Mean dose (mg/d): 2200  Details of dosing regimen: Metformin doses from the run-in period were maintained this was approx 2200 mg in each group)  (b) NPH insulin min 70/30 (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Frequency of dosing: once a day
Outcomes	General  4/46 patients in the metformin + biphasic aspart group, 4/47 in the metformin + NPH group and 1/47 in the biphasic human insulin group discontinued the study  No details of ITT analysis reported.  The LOCF method was used to calculate end of study results for blood glucose and Hba1c values  Hypoglycaemic events  Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia (Events were classed as minor if patients had symptoms of hypoglycaemia with a BG<50 mg/dl which the patient handled themselves)  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Events were classed as major if patients had BG <50 mg/dl with severe CNS symptoms and the patient was unable to treat themselves)  symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia (Events were classed as symptomatic only where there were symptoms of hypoglycaemia but this was not confirmed by a BG measurement)

				min + biphasic insulin aspart 1% protaminated aspart/30% soluble aspart)	М	etfo	rmin + NPH (od)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	46		57.2 (SD 12.1)	47		55.1 (SD 12.6)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	46	25	(54.3%)	47	19	(40.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	46		10.4 (SD 8.6)	47		10.7 (SD 7.3)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	46		9.5 (SD 1.8)	47		9.5 (SD 1.6)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	46		13.4213508 (SD 4.15)	47		13.4713062 (SD 3.87)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	46		30.4 (SD 4.4)	47		30.4 (SD 3.9)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	46		85.80096 (SD 12.4)	47		85.80096 (SD 11)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Metformin	Dichotomous	46	10	(21.7%)	47	14	(29.8%)		
Combination therapy	Dichotomous	46	36	(78.3%)	47	33	(70.2%)		
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 0wk	Continuous	46		12	47		12		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Metformin + biphasic insulin aspart od (70% protaminated aspart/30% soluble aspart)				Metformin + biphasic human insulin od (70% protaminated human insulin 30% soluble human insulin)					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р		
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	46		57.2 (SD 12.1)	47		55.4 (SD 11)				
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	46	25	(54.3%)	47	29	(61.7%)				
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	46		10.4 (SD 8.6)	47		8.4 (SD 4.9)				
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	46		9.5 (SD 1.8)	47		9.3 (SD 1.4)				
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	46		13.4213508 (SD 4.15)	47		12.6109632 (SD 3.73)				
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	46		30.4 (SD 4.4)	47		30.6 (SD 4.3)				
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	46		85.80096 (SD 12.4)	47		86.36544 (SD 12.1)				
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Metformin	Dichotomous	46	10	(21.7%)	47	14	(29.8%)				
Combination therapy	Dichotomous	46	36	(78.3%)	47	33	(70.2%)				
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 0wk a estimated from BM		46 ean	heia	12 ht of 1 68m	47		12				

		M	etfo	rmin + NPH (od)			nin + biphasic human insulin od otaminated human insulin 30% soluble human insulin)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	47		55.1 (SD 12.6)	47		55.4 (SD 11)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	47	19	(40.4%)	47	29	(61.7%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	47		10.7 (SD 7.3)	47		8.4 (SD 4.9)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	47		9.5 (SD 1.6)	47		9.3 (SD 1.4)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	47		13.4713062 (SD 3.87)	47		12.6109632 (SD 3.73)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	47		30.4 (SD 3.9)	47		30.6 (SD 4.3)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	47		85.80096 (SD 11)	47		86.36544 (SD 12.1)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Metformin	Dichotomous	47	14	(29.8%)	47	14	(29.8%)		
Combination therapy	Dichotomous	47	33	(70.2%)	47	33	(70.2%)		
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 0wk	Continuous	47		12	47		12		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

D	_	ò	٠.	ı	40
n	C	Э	u	ı	16

		as	spar	ormin + biphasic insulin t od (70% protaminated art/30% soluble aspart)	ı		ormin + H (od)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	46		8.3 (SD 1.36) a	47		-1.2b		NSc
HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	46		8.3 (SD 1.36)	47		8.25 (SD 1.03)		NSc
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	46		-1.3	47		-1.2		NSc
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	46		-1.3b	47		8.25 (SD 1.03) a		NSc
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	46			47				NSc
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	46		-4.16295 (SD 4.01)	47		5.051046 (SD 4)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wkb	Continuous	46		0.7	47		0.1		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wkd	Dichotomous	46	20	(43.5%)	47	13	(27.7%)		0.245e
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 12wkd	Dichotomous	46	11	(23.9%)	47	6	(12.8%)		NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wkd	Dichotomous	46	0	(0.0%)	47	0	(0.0%)		NR

symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 12wkd	Dichotomous	46	13	(28.3%)	47	10	(21.3%)	NR
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 12wkd	Dichotomous	46	7	(15.2%)	47	11	(23.4%)	NR
Dropouts:								
Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous	46	4	(8.7%)	47	4	(8.5%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	46	2	(4.3%)	47	0	(0.0%)	
Insulin:								
Total daily dose (U) – 12wk	Continuous	46		26 (SD 13.6)	47		28 (SD 15.8)	NR
FBG <126 mg/dl								
Hypoglycaemic events:								
All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wkd	Dichotomous	9	4	(44.4%)	9	2	(22.2%)	
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 12wkd	Dichotomous	q	3	(33.3%)	9	1	(11.1%)	
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 12wkd	Dichotomous	_	3	(33.3%)	9	2	(22.2%)	
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 12wkd	Dichotomous	9	0	(0.0%)	9	1	(11.1%)	

		ir	ısuli otan	ormin + biphasic in aspart od (70% ninated aspart/30% oluble aspart)	Me ins				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	46		8.3 (SD 1.36) a	47		-1.1b		
HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	46		8.3 (SD 1.36)	47		8.2 (SD 0.754)		
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	46		-1.3	47		-1.1		
HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	46		-1.3b	47		8.2 (SD 0.754) a		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	46		-4.16295 (SD 4.01)	47		-3.496878 (SD 4.78)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wkb	Continuous	46		0.7	47		1		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wkc	Dichotomous	46	20	(43.5%)	47	15	(31.9%)		
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 12wkc	Dichotomous	46	11	(23.9%)	47	9	(19.1%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wkc	Dichotomous	46	0	(0.0%)	47	0	(0.0%)		

a estimated from graph
b No details of dispersion
c at any time point across groups
d No of patients
across treatment groups

Dichotomous	46	13	(28.3%)	47	11	(23.4%)
Dichotomous	46	7	(15.2%)	47	11	(23.4%)
Dichotomous	46	4	(8.7%)	47	1	(2.1%)
Dichotomous	46	2	(4.3%)	47	0	(0.0%)
Continuous	46		26 (SD 13.6)	47		29 (SD 16.2)
Dichotomous	9	4	(44.4%)	8	4	(50.0%)
Dichotomous	9	3	(33.3%)	8	2	(25.0%)
Dichotomous	9	3	(33.3%)	8	3	(37.5%)
Dichotomous	9	0	(0.0%)	8	3	(37.5%)
	Dichotomous Dichotomous Continuous Dichotomous Dichotomous	Dichotomous 46  Dichotomous 46	Dichotomous 46 4  Dichotomous 46 2  Continuous 46  Dichotomous 9 4  Dichotomous 9 3  Dichotomous 9 3	Dichotomous       46       7       (15.2%)         Dichotomous       46       4       (8.7%)         Dichotomous       46       2       (4.3%)         Continuous       46       26 (SD 13.6)         Dichotomous       9       4       (44.4%)         Dichotomous       9       3       (33.3%)         Dichotomous       9       3       (33.3%)	Dichotomous       46       7       (15.2%)       47         Dichotomous       46       4       (8.7%)       47         Dichotomous       46       2       (4.3%)       47         Continuous       46       26 (SD 13.6)       47         Dichotomous       9       4       (44.4%)       8         Dichotomous       9       3       (33.3%)       8         Dichotomous       9       3       (33.3%)       8	Dichotomous       46       7       (15.2%)       47       11         Dichotomous       46       4       (8.7%)       47       1         Dichotomous       46       2       (4.3%)       47       0         Continuous       46       26 (SD 13.6)       47         Dichotomous       9       4       (44.4%)       8       4         Dichotomous       9       3       (33.3%)       8       2         Dichotomous       9       3       (33.3%)       8       3

		ı		ormin + H (od)		(70%	nin + biphasic human insulin 5 protaminated human insulin 6 soluble human insulin)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	47		8.25 (SD 1.03) a	47		-1.1b		
HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	47		8.25 (SD 1.03)	47		8.2 (SD 0.754)		
HbA1c (%) - 12wkb	Continuous	47		-1.2	47		-1.1		
HbA1c (%) - 12wk	Continuous	47		-1.2b	47		8.2 (SD 0.754) a		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	47		5.051046 (SD 4)	47		-3.496878 (SD 4.78)		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wkb	Continuous	47		0.1	47		1		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wkc	Dichotomous	47	13	(27.7%)	47	15	(31.9%)		
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 12wkc	Dichotomous	47	6	(12.8%)	47	9	(19.1%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wkc	Dichotomous	47	0	(0.0%)	47	0	(0.0%)		
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 12wkc	Dichotomous	47	10	(21.3%)	47	11	(23.4%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from graph <sup>b</sup> No details of dispersion <sup>c</sup> No of patients

Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 12wkc	Dichotomous	47	11	(23.4%)	47	11	(23.4%)
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 12wk	Dichotomous			(8.5%)	47		(2.1%)
Dropout due to AEs – 12wk	Dichotomous	47	0	(0.0%)	47	0	(0.0%)
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 12wk	Continuous	47		28 (SD 15.8)	47		29 (SD 16.2)
FBG <126 mg/dl Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no patients) – 12wkc	Dichotomous	9	2	(22.2%)	8	4	(50.0%)
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 12wkc	Dichotomous	9	1	(11.1%)	8	2	(25.0%)
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 12wkc	Dichotomous	9	2	(22.2%)	8	3	(37.5%)
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 12wkc	Dichotomous	9	1	(11.1%)	8	3	(37.5%)
<ul> <li>a estimated from graph</li> <li>b No details of dispersion</li> <li>c No of patients</li> </ul>							
Values for Hba1c, FBG and as the covariate and treatment					sing A	ANCO	DVA with the baseline measurement

# Table 20: Kokic et al. (2010)

Table 20. No	okic et al. (2010)
General	Phase:  monotherapy dual therapy triple therapy insulin monotherapy insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: - Authors' conclusions: - Source of funding: - Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 237 Inclusion criteria: Adults with T2DM between 5 and 15 years, HbA1c >=7.5%, BMI >=23, with no renal or liver dysfunction Exclusion criteria: - Pre-randomisation phase: Unclear randomisation method
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? -  Details of washout period: Not stated. Unclear whether patients had previous AHA
Lifestyle advice	Not reported
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 26 Length of titration period (wks): - Length of maintenance period (wks): 26

# Frequency of monitoring appointments: Assessments at baseline, 3 and 6 months

#### **Arms**

# (1) Glimepiride + Metformin + Acarbose

N: 79

Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Sulfonylurea (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: No dose regimen details provided

(b) Metformin (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: No dose regimen details provided

(c) Acarbose (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: No dose regimen details provided

# (2) Biphasic insulin (regular/NPH 30/70) + NPH

N: 79

Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Biphasic human insulin (Subcutaneous)

Details of dosing regimen: 2 doses - no other details provided

(b) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous)

Details of dosing regimen: 1 dose at bedtime

# (3) Insulin lispro + Metformin

N: 79

Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Insulin lispro (Subcutaneous)

Details of dosing regimen: 3 doses before meals - no details provided

(b) Metformin (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: 2 doses before meals - no details provided

# **Outcomes**

		Glimepiride + Metformin + Acarbo			
		N	k	mean	
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	79		64.1 (SD 10.3)	
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	79	38	(48.1%)	
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	79		8.14 (SD 4.67)	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	79		8.9 (SD 1.9)	
HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	79		8.9 (SD 1.9)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	79		9.1 (SD 2.8)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	79		9.1 (SD 2.8)	
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	79		28.9 (SD 4.3)	
Weight (kg)	Continuous	79		81.56736 (SD 12.13632) a	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Biphasic insulin (regular/NPH 30/70) + NI				
		N	k	mean		
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	79		66 (SD 12.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	79	27	(34.2%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	79		10.03 (SD 6.2)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	79		10.2 (SD 2.1)		
HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	79		10.2 (SD 2.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	79		11.2 (SD 3.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	79		11.2 (SD 3.7)		

Body weight:			
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	79	28.5 (SD 3.5)
Weight (kg)	Continuous	79	80.4384 (SD 9.8784) a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Insulin lispro + Metformin			
		N	k	mean	
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	79		64.2 (SD 8.4)	
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	79	32	(40.5%)	
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	79		9.45 (SD 3.6)	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	79		9.5 (SD 2)	
HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	79		9.5 (SD 2)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	79		11.1 (SD 3.4)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	79		11.1 (SD 3.4)	
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	79		28.9 (SD 3.5)	
Weight (kg)	Continuous	79		81.56736 (SD 9.8784) a	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Glimepir	etformin + Acarbose	
		N	k	mean
Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	79		8 (SD 1.4)
HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	79		8 (SD 1.4)
HbA1c (%) – 6mo	Continuous	79		7.6 (SD 0.9)
HbA1c (%) – 6mo	Continuous	79		7.6 (SD 0.9)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	79		8.6 (SD 2)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	79		8.6 (SD 2)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo	Continuous	79		7.9 (SD 0.9)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo	Continuous	79		7.9 (SD 0.9)
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 6mo	Count	14378	18	

		Biphasic	insulir	n (regular/NPH 30/70) + NPH
		N	k	mean
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	79		8.5 (SD 1.3)
HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	79		8.5 (SD 1.3)
HbA1c (%) – 6mo	Continuous	79		8 (SD 0.9)
HbA1c (%) – 6mo	Continuous	79		8 (SD 0.9)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	79		9.3 (SD 2.7)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	79		9.3 (SD 2.7)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo	Continuous	79		8.2 (SD 2)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo	Continuous	79		8.2 (SD 2)
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 6mo	Count	14378	25	

N   k   mean			Insuli	n lisp	pro + Metformin		
HbA1c (%) – 3mo       Continuous       79       7.5 (SD 1.1)         HbA1c (%) – 3mo       Continuous       79       7.5 (SD 1.1)         HbA1c (%) – 6mo       Continuous       79       6.9 (SD 0.7)         HbA1c (%) – 6mo       Continuous       79       6.9 (SD 0.7)         Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo       Continuous       79       8.5 (SD 2)         Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo       Continuous       79       7.7 (SD 1.3)         Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo       Continuous       79       7.7 (SD 1.3)         Hypoglycaemic events:       Hypoglycaemic events:			N	k	mean		
HbA1c (%) - 3mo         Continuous         79         7.5 (SD 1.1)           HbA1c (%) - 6mo         Continuous         79         6.9 (SD 0.7)           HbA1c (%) - 6mo         Continuous         79         6.9 (SD 0.7)           Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) - 3mo         Continuous         79         8.5 (SD 2)           Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) - 3mo         Continuous         79         8.5 (SD 2)           Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) - 6mo         Continuous         79         7.7 (SD 1.3)           Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) - 6mo         Continuous         79         7.7 (SD 1.3)           Hypoglycaemic events:	Blood glucose:						
HbA1c (%) – 6mo Continuous 79 6.9 (SD 0.7) HbA1c (%) – 6mo Continuous 79 6.9 (SD 0.7) Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo Continuous 79 8.5 (SD 2) Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo Continuous 79 8.5 (SD 2) Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo Continuous 79 7.7 (SD 1.3) Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo Continuous 79 7.7 (SD 1.3) Hypoglycaemic events:	HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	79		7.5 (SD 1.1)		
HbA1c (%) – 6mo Continuous 79 6.9 (SD 0.7) Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo Continuous 79 8.5 (SD 2) Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo Continuous 79 8.5 (SD 2) Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo Continuous 79 7.7 (SD 1.3) Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo Continuous 79 7.7 (SD 1.3) Hypoglycaemic events:	HbA1c (%) – 3mo	Continuous	79		7.5 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo  Continuous  79  8.5 (SD 2)  Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo  Continuous  79  8.5 (SD 2)  Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo  Continuous  79  7.7 (SD 1.3)  Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo  Continuous  79  7.7 (SD 1.3)  Hypoglycaemic events:	HbA1c (%) – 6mo	Continuous	79		6.9 (SD 0.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo  Continuous  79  8.5 (SD 2)  Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo  Continuous  79  7.7 (SD 1.3)  Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo  Continuous  79  7.7 (SD 1.3)  Hypoglycaemic events:	HbA1c (%) – 6mo	Continuous	79		6.9 (SD 0.7)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo  Continuous 79 7.7 (SD 1.3)  Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo  Continuous 79 7.7 (SD 1.3)  Hypoglycaemic events:	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	79		8.5 (SD 2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo Continuous 79 7.7 (SD 1.3) Hypoglycaemic events:	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 3mo	Continuous	79		8.5 (SD 2)		
Hypoglycaemic events:	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 6mo	Continuous	79		7.7 (SD 1.3)		
,, ,,	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) - 6mo	Continuous	79		7.7 (SD 1.3)		
	,, 0,	Count	14378	10			

# **Table 21: Kvapil et al. (2006)**

Tubio 211111	apii et aii (2000)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: 11 countries (Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, France, Greece, Hungary, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Russia, Spain)  Authors' conclusions: BIAsp 30 added to metformin could be an appropriate therapeutic option for achieving good glycaemic control, compared with the addition of a second oral agent, particularly where Hba1c >=9%  Source of funding: Unclear  Comments: Multinational, open-label, parallel group trial. Randomisation was carried out using a telephone system, which automatically assigns treatment according to a pre-defined randomisation list.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 341 Inclusion criteria: Patients had been receiving at least 850 mg metformin per day for at least one month (no further details reported) Exclusion criteria: significant medical problems such as proliferative retinopathy, impaired hepatic or renal function, recurrent severe hypoglycaemia, cardiac disease, anaemia or change in dose of medications known to interfere with glucose metabolism
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All taking metformin at study start
Lifestyle advice	-
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 16 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 16 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Blood glucose profiles were obtained at weeks 1,2,4,8,12 and 16
Arms	(1) BIAsp 30 alone N: 107 Treatment duration (wks): -

Washout period (d): -

Treatment(s): Biphasic insulin aspart (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Details of dosing regimen: The intial daily dose of BIAsp 30 was 0.3 U/kg body weight per day. Half the dose was injected immediately before breakfast and the other was injected immediately before the main evening meal. Total daily doses were titrated every 1-7 days in steps of 2-4 U per injection. The breakfast dose was adjusted on the basis of post-breakfast and pre-dinner blood glucose values (target range 5-8 mmol/l) while the evening dose was adjusted according to post-dinner, night time and pre-breakfast values (target range 5-8 mmol/l).

# (2) Metformin + BIAsp 30

N: 108

Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): -

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Mean dose (mg/d): 1660 Minimum dose (mg/d): 500 Maximum dose (mg/d): 3000

Details of dosing regimen: The mean total daily matromin dose was maintained at pre-trial dosing levels throughout the trial, approx. 1660 mg (range 500-3000mg). Metformin is usually titrated on the basis of individual maximum tolerated or maximum effective doses;

the mean doses used int his trial were within the typically prescribed range. (b) Biphasic insulin aspart (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Details of dosing regimen: The intial daily odse of BIAsp 30 was 0.2 U/kg body weight per

day. See BIAsp 30 alone for titration details

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Outcomes for 2/3 of the arms have been extracted in this evidence table. The arm relating to metformin + sulfonylurea was not used as it is outside the decision space for second intensification.

All analyses were based on the ITT dataset or subgroups of the ITT population. Adverse events were reported for the safety population (exposed patients), which was the same as the ITT population.

6/111 (5.4%) patients in the BIAsp alone group and 11/116 (9.5%) in the BIAsp + metformin group doscontinued the study

Outcomes not reported in this evidence table include 8 point BG profile

# Hypoglycaemic events

Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia (Symptoms consistent with hypoglycaemia, confirmed with BG levels <2.8 mmol/l and handled by the patient, or any asymptomatic event with BG <2.8 mmol/l)

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (requiring assistance, BG <2.8 mmol/l and requiring food intake or IV glucose)

## Adverse events

Any adverse event(s) (An undesirable event that occurred during the trial)

Any serious adverse event(s) (Events causing or threatening to cause death or resulting in significant hospitalisation or incapacity)

		BIAsp 30 alone			Metformin + BIAsp 30				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	107		55.2 (SD 10.3)	108		56.4 (SD 9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	107	50	(46.7%)	108	53	(49.1%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	107		8.2 (SD 7.1)	108		6.7 (SD 5.7)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	107		9.6 (SD 1.5)	108		9.3 (SD 1.3)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	107		30.9 (SD 4.5)	108		30.4 (SD 4)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	107		87.3 (SD 16.5)	108		85.1 (SD 15.1)		
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	107		1.2 (SD 0.3)	108		1.2 (SD 0.3)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	107		2.6 (SD 2.5)	108		2.8 (SD 2.4)		
Insulin: Total daily dose (U/kg) – 0wk	Continuous	107		0.3	108		0.2		

		BIAs	p 30 al	one	Metform	in + Bl	Asp 30		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wka	Mean change	107		1.6	108		0.8		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 16wkb	Count	11648	62		11480	64			
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 16wkb	Count	12108.11	20		12675.68	23			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wkb	Count	12108.11	0		12675.68	0			
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 16wkb	Count	12108.11	44		12675.68	44			
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	107	6	(5.6%)	108	11	(10.2%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkc	Continuous	107		8.05 (SD 2.28)	108		7.5 (SD 2.08)		
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Mean change	107			108			MD=- 0.390 (CI: -0.684, - 0.096)	<0.01
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wkd	Mean change	107			108			MD=- 0.800 (CI: -1.604, 0.004)	0.051
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 16wke	Continuous		0.037			0.039			
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 16wkf	Dichotomous	107	10	(9.3%)	108	13	(12.0%)		d
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 16wkg	Dichotomous	107	20	(18.7%)	108	23	(21.3%)		d
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 16wk	Dichotomous	107	20g	(18.7%)	108	13f	(12.0%)		d
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 16wk	Dichotomous	107	10f	(9.3%)	108	23g	(21.3%)		d
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wkf	Dichotomous	107	0	(0.0%)	108	0	(0.0%)		d

symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 16wk	Dichotomous	107	44g	(41.1%)	108	22f	(20.4%)		d
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 16wkg	Dichotomous	107	44	(41.1%)	108	44	(40.7%)		d
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 16wkf	Dichotomous	107	22	(20.6%)	108	22	(20.4%)		d
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 16wk	Dichotomous	107	22f	(20.6%)	108	44g	(40.7%)		d
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 16wk	Dichotomous	107	45f	(42.1%)	108	33h	(30.6%)		d
Any serious adverse event(s) – 16wki	Dichotomous	107			108				d
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	107	1	(0.9%)	108	2	(1.9%)		d
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/I) – 16wkd	Mean change	107			108			MD=0.010 (CI: - 0.049, 0.069)	NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 16wkd	Mean change	107			108			MD=0.230 (CI: - 0.044, 0.504)	NS
Insulin: Total daily dose (U/kg) – 16wk	Continuous	107		0.51	108		0.3		d
Hba1c <=9.0% or <9% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Mean change	107			108			MD=- 0.420 (CI: -0.812, - 0.028)	<0.05
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Mean change	107			108			MD=0.310 (CI: - 0.846, 1.466)	NS
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	107			108			MD=0.000 (CI: - 0.098, 0.098)	NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	107			108			MD=0.090 (CI: - 0.302, 0.482)	NS
baseline Hba1c >=9% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Mean change	107			108			MD=- 0.390 (CI: -0.802, 0.022)	NS
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Mean change	107			108			MD=- 1.540 (CI: -2.657, - 0.423)	<0.01

Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	107		108		MD=0.000 (CI: - 0.059, 0.059)	NS
Triglyceride (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	107		108		MD=0.220 (CI: - 0.172, 0.612)	NS
b person days SE assumed NR e events per pa f No patients g No of events	<ul> <li><sup>a</sup> No details of dispersion</li> <li><sup>b</sup> person days calculated using rate reported for any hypo (assuming sum of minor and symptomatic hypos)</li> <li><sup>c</sup> SE assumed and estimated from graph, used to calculate SD</li> <li><sup>d</sup> NR</li> <li><sup>e</sup> events per patient per week; minor and symptoms only; reviewer estimated patient days at risk</li> <li><sup>f</sup> No patients</li> <li><sup>g</sup> No of events</li> <li><sup>h</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)</li> </ul>						
value at baseli ANOVA includ	Hba1c was analysed using ANOVA with treatment regimen and country as fixed effects, and with Hba1c value at baseline as a covariate. Other continuous variables were analysed using a repeated measures ANOVA including treatment regimen, visit, country and baseline value as a covariate. Hypoglycaemia events were analysed using a log linear Poisson regression model, with treatment and country as factors.						

Table 22: Lit	u et al. (2013)
General	Phase:  monotherapy dual therapy iriple therapy insulin monotherapy insulin monotherapy insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Taiwan  Authors' conclusions: Pioglitazone and sitaglitin achieved similar improvements in overall glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with metformin and a sulfonylurea. However, there were some difefrences in terms of FBG, hs-CRP, lipids, body weight and Aes.  Source of funding: Supported by Mackay Memorial Hospital  Comments: Open label trial. Randomisation was performed using an interactive voice response system
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 120 Inclusion criteria: Males and females with type 2 diabetes (>20 years) who are taking stable doses of metformin (>=1500 mg/day) and a sulfonylurea (>= maximal dose, modified release gliclazide 60 to 120 mg daily or glimepiride 4 to 8mg daily) for at least 10 weeks prior to screening and had inadeqaute glycaemic control (Hba1c >=7 and <11%)  Exclusion criteria: Type 1 diabetes, insulin use within 12 weeks, contraindications to pioglitazone or sitagliptin, impaired renal function, ALT or AST above the normal limit, current or planned pregnancy or lactation.  Withdrawal criteria included pregnancy, Hba1c >11% after the first 12 weeks of treatment, ALT or AST >3 times the ULN, AEs unacceptable to the patient or serioud Aes (e.g. hepatic failure)
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All patients were taking metformin and sulfonylurea at study entry
Lifestyle advice	Patients were instructed to continue the same lifestyle (including diet and exercise) they had maintained prior to study entry
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
Arms	(1) Metformin + sulfonylurea + pioglitazone

N: 60

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: All patients were taking metformin + sulfonylurea at study entry

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Mean dose (mg/d): 1713

Details of dosing regimen: No dose adjustments were made to metformin or sulfonylurea

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 6.5

Details of dosing regimen: No dose adjustments were made to metformin or sulfonylurea

Mean dose of glimepiride  $6.5 \pm 1.3$ Mean dose of gliclazide  $90 \pm 30$ (c) Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):30

# (2) Metformin + sulfonylurea + sitagliptin

N: 60

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: All patients were taking metformin + sulfonylurea at study entry

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Mean dose (mg/d): 1717

Details of dosing regimen: No dose adjustments were made to metformin or sulfonylurea

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 6.5

Details of dosing regimen: No dose adjustments were made to metformin or sulfonylurea

Mean dose of glimepiride  $6.5 \pm 1.5$ Mean dose of gliclazide  $95 \pm 29.5$ (c) Sitagliptin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):100

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

An ITT analysis with LOCF was used to assess efficacy. The ITT population included all patients who had received at least one dose of the study medication and had Hba1c recorded at baseline and at least once after baseline.

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include measures of insulin resistance, fasting insulin 8/60 (13.3%) patients in the pioglitazone group and 6/60 (10%) in the sitagliptin group duiscontinued the study

		Metformin + sulfonylurea + pioglitazone			Metformin + sulfonylurea + sitagliptin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	60		58.1 (SD 8.3)	60		60.1 (SD 8.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	60	23	(38.3%)	60	22	(36.7%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	60		7.8 (SD 3.9)	60		7.8 (SD 4.3)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	60		8.54 (SD 0.97)	60		8.27 (SD 0.86)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	60		10.1242944 (SD 2.11)	60		9.8134608 (SD 2.59)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	60		25.7 (SD 3.7)	60		26.6 (SD 4.6)		
Weight (kg) - 0wk	Continuous	60		65.4 (SD 10.4)	60		69.4 (SD 13.6)		
Adverse events: liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 0wk	Continuous	60		28.5 (SD 15.5)	60		34.2 (SD 17.5)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	60		128 (SD 11.4)	60		127.9 (SD 11)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	60		72.9 (SD 6.6)	60		72.3 (SD 8.2)		

Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) - 0wk	Continuous	60	5.019426 (SD 0.861)	60	4.509984 (SD 0.804)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	60	1.109394 (SD 0.31)	60	1.093878 (SD 0.318)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	60	1.850431 (SD 0.828)	60	1.543343 (SD 0.833)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	60	2.87046 (SD 0.809)	60	2.645478 (SD 0.654)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:					
Metformin	Continuous	60	1713 (SD 247)	60	1717 (SD 246)
Sulfonylureaa	Continuous	60	6.5 (SD 1.3)	60	6.5 (SD 1.5)
<sup>a</sup> glimepiride (mg/day)					

		Metformin + sulfonylurea + pioglitazone			Metformin + sulfonylurea + sitagliptin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	60			60				0.17
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wk	Dichotomous	60			60				NR
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	60			60				0.024
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	60			60				<0.001
Hypoglycaemic events: minor hypoglycaemic events – 24wk	Dichotomous	60			60				NS
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	60			60				NR
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	60			60				NS
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wk	Dichotomous	60			60				NR
Edema peripheral – 24wk	Dichotomous	60			60				<0.001
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wk	Dichotomous	60			60				0.035
GI: nausea/vomiting – 24wk	Dichotomous	60			60				NR
GI: diarrhoea – 24wk	Dichotomous	60			60				NR
GI: abdominal pain – 24wk	Dichotomous	60			60				NR
GI: constipation – 24wk	Dichotomous	60			60				NR
liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 24wk	Mean change	60			60				0.20
Nasopharyngitis – 24wk	Dichotomous	60			60				NS
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	60	8	(13.3%)	60	6	(10.0%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	60			60				NR
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wk	Mean change	60			60				0.65
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wk	Mean change	60			60				0.06

Liniala.								
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	60			60			0.11
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) - 24wk	Mean change	60			60			0.003
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	60			60			0.025
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	60			60			0.14
Men								
Blood glucose:	Mean						-1.11 (SD	
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	change	23		-0.65 (SD 0.815)	22		0.844)	
Women Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	36		-1.11 (SD 0.9)	38		-0.48 (SD 0.863)	
ITT	onango	00		1.11 (02 0.0)	00		0.000)	
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Mean change	59		-0.61 (SD 0.538)	60		-0.58 (SD 0.542)	
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	59		-0.94 (SD 0.922)	60		-0.71 (SD 0.93)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% -								
24wk	Dichotomous	59	17	,	60	17	,	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wka	Mean change	59		-1.998216 (SD 1.49)	60		-1.443156 (SD 1.72)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	59		-1.9871148 (SD 1.71)	60		-1.2655368 (SD 1.72)	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	59		1.34 (SD 2.46)	60		-0.26 (SD 2.48)	
Hypoglycaemic events:				,			, ,	
minor hypoglycaemic events – 24wkb	Dichotomous	59	5	(8.5%)	60	6	(10.0%)	
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkb	Dichotomous	59	0	(0.0%)	60	0	(0.0%)	
Adverse events:				,			,	
Any adverse event(s) – 24wkb	Dichotomous	59	31	(52.5%)	60	26	(43.3%)	
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wkb	Dichotomous	59	0	(0.0%)	60	0	(0.0%)	
Edema peripheral – 24wkb	Dichotomous	59	16	(27.1%)	60	0	(0.0%)	
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 24wkb	Dichotomous	59	4	(6.8%)	60	12	(20.0%)	
GI: nausea/vomiting – 24wkb	Dichotomous	59	2	(3.4%)	60	6	(10.0%)	
GI: diarrhoea – 24wkb	Dichotomous	59	0	(0.0%)	60	4	(6.7%)	
GI: abdominal pain – 24wkb	Dichotomous	59	3	(5.1%)	60	4	(6.7%)	
GI: constipation – 24wkb	Dichotomous	59	1	(1.7%)	60	1	(1.7%)	
liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 24wk	Mean change	59		-4.5 (SD 18.4)	60		0 (SD 18.6)	
Nasopharyngitis – 24wkb	Dichotomous	59	11	(18.6%)	60	12	(20.0%)	
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	59	2	(3.4%)	60	1	(1.7%)	
Blood pressure:	Dionotornous	00	_	(0.770)	00		( /0/	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wk	Mean change	59		-0.5 (SD 6.91)	60		0 (SD 6.97)	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wk	Mean change	59		-0.8 (SD 4.61)	60		0.9 (SD 4.65)	

Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) - 24wk	Mean change	59	0.256014 (SD 0.795)	60	0.015516 (SD 0.781)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) - 24wk	Mean change	59	0.162918 (SD 0.238)	60	0.033618 (SD 0.24)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	59	-0.269831 (SD 0.815)	60	0.071127 (SD 0.813)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	59	0.170676 (SD 0.735)	60	-0.031032 (SD 0.741)
<59 years Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	29	-0.91 (SD 0.915)	29	-0.6 (SD 0.915)
>=59 years Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	30	-0.97 (SD 0.876)	31	-0.82 (SD 0.891)
<7 years diabetes duration Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	26	-1.1 (SD 0.918)	28	-0.91 (SD 0.9)
>=7 years diabetes duration Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	33	-0.81 (SD 0.862)	32	-0.53 (SD 0.905)
BMI <25.5 Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	29	-0.81 (SD 0.915)	32	-0.86 (SD 0.962)
BMI>=25.5 Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	31	-1.07 (SD 0.891)	32	-0.58 (SD 0.905)
< max dose of sulfonylurea Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	37	-0.98 (SD 0.791)		-0.89 (SD 0.804)
on max dose sulfonylurea Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	22	-0.85 (SD 0.985)		-0.51 (SD 0.987)
< Hba1c 8.2% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	23	-0.62 (SD 0.767)	33	-0.43 (SD 0.747)
>=Hba1c 8.2% Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	36	-1.22 (SD 1.02)	27	-0.94 (SD 0.987)
<fbg 170mg="" dl<br="">Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk</fbg>	Mean change	27	-0.94 (SD 0.8)	32	-0.77 (SD 0.792)
>=FBG 170mg/dl Blood glucose:	Mean change	32	-0.94 (SD 0.962)	20	-0.64 (SD 1.01)

Treatment groups were compared using ANCOVA with treatment and baseline value at covariates. Chi squared or Fisher's exact test were used for dichotomous parameters.

Table 23: Lund et al. (2009)

General Phase:

	□ monotherapy □ dual therapy □ triple therapy □ insulin monotherapy □ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Denmark  Authors' conclusions: In non-obese patients with type 2 diabetes and poor glycaemic regulation on oral hypoglycaemic agents, overall glycaemic regulation with insulin incombination with metformin was equivalent to that with insulin plus repaglinide. Weight gain seemed less with insulin plus metformin than with insulin plus repaglinide  Source of funding: Novo Nordisk and the Clinical Development Foundation at Steno Diabetes Center cosponsored the study financially  Comments: single centre, prospective, randomised, double blind, double dummy, parallel trial. Active and placebo tablets were identical in appearance, taste, and smell. Random allocation was centrally performed in blocks of three and four, stratified by baseline levels of HbA1c and BMI.						
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 101  Inclusion criteria: Type 2 diabetes mellitus, defined as age at onset of diabetes =40 years; fasting serum peptide =300 pmol/l or a non-fasting or glugacon stimulated serum C peptide =600 pmol/l (measured eithe during the screening or run-in period); and no history of persistent ketonuria or of ketoacidosis, BMI =27, Insulin naive patients: HbA1c =6.5% after a minimum of four months' treatment on oral hypoglycaemic agents as monotherapy or combination therapy, Insulin treated patients: HbA1c <9.5% at ongoing insulin therapy  Exclusion criteria: Type 1 diabetes mellitus or secondary diabetes mellitus, Weight loss of more than 5.0 during the 6 months before enrolment, HbA1c <6.5% at baseline, BMI >27 at baseline, Contraindications for the use of the study drugs (for example, clinical signs of heart, kidney, or liver failure), Coexisting serious medical conditions, HbA1c >10.5% at two separate visits with =1 month interval a minimum of four months after initiation of the randomised study drugs  Pre-randomisation phase: After the screening period, patients entered a four month run-in period. All patier received combination therapy with metformin (1000 mg twice a day) plus repaglinide (2 mg three times a day) and stopped prior glucose lowering treatments. Doses were adjusted by forced titration to reach maximum tolerated doses.						
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: During the run-in period patients received metformin + repaglinide and stopped all other treatments						
Lifestyle advice	Patients were asked not to make any lifestyle modifications during the trial.						
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 68 Length of titration period (wks): 16 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -						
Arms	(1) Metformin + Biphasic insulin aspart (70/30)  N: 52  Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): 0  Treatment(s): (a) Biphasic insulin aspart (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Frequency of dosing: variable Details of dosing regimen: The starting dose of insulin was six units injected before dinner. Patients self adjusted insulin dose every third day according to a predefined algorithm, aiming for a fasting plasma glucose concentration of 4.0-6.0 mmol/l. The target HbA1c concentration was less than 6.5%. If glycaemic targets were not reached, patients intensified to two or three insulin injections a day at three, six, or nine months using prespecified criteria. Doses were reduced if adverse events with possible relation to either of the study medications occurred. Once adverse events had resolved, drug dose was increased again; if adverse events recurred, the lower dose was continued (b) Metformin (Oral) – forced titration Set dose (mg/d): 2000 Mean dose (mg/d): 2000 Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: Maximum dose metformin 1000 mg twice a day (total daily dose: 2000 mg)  (2) Biphasic insulin aspart (70/30) + repaglinide N: 49  Treatment duration (wks): - Washout period (d): 0						

Treatment(s): (a) Biphasic insulin aspart (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: variable

Details of dosing regimen: see metfomin + insulin group for dosing details

(b) repaglinide (Oral) - forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):6 Mean dose (mg/d): 5.2 Maximum dose (mg/d): 6

Frequency of dosing: three times a day

Details of dosing regimen: The maximum dose of repaglinide was 2 mg three times a day

(total daily dose: 6 mg)

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

For the primary outcome, the randomised population was analysed on an intention to treat basis, with last observation carried forward for missing values at the end of treatment. For HbA1c, the last observation was carried forward only if both measurements were missing at the end of treatment. Only values obtained a minimum of three months after randomisation were used for last observation carried forward (one patient).

1 (1.9%) patient in the met + insulin group and 3 (6.1%) patients in the insulin + repaglinide group discontinued the study

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include SMBG levels

#### **Baseline** characteristics

		Metformin + Biphasic insulin aspart (70/30)		Biphasic insulin aspart (70/30) + repaglinide					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:									
Age (years)	Continuous	52		63 (SD 7.8)	49		63.7 (SD 7.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	52	31	(59.6%)	49	31	(63.3%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	52		med: 8 [rng 1-30]	49		med: 12 [rng 2-25]		
Blood glucose:									
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	52		7.8 (SD 0.97)	49		7.82 (SD 1.23)		
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	52		7.8 (SD 0.97)	49		8.07 (SD 1.49) a		
HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	52		8.15 (SD 1.32) a	49		7.82 (SD 1.23)		
HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	52		8.15 (SD 1.32)	49		8.07 (SD 1.49)		
Body weight:									
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	52		24.53 (SD 2.33)	49		24.88 (SD 2.45)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	52		72.82 (SD 11.4)	49		73.84 (SD 10.6)		
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	52		92.21 (SD 8.83)	49		92.39 (SD 8.83)		
Hip circumference (cm)	Continuous	52		96.31 (SD 5.89)	49		96.96 (SD 5.81)		
Waist/hip ratio	Continuous	52		0.96 (SD 0.07)	49		0.95 (SD 0.07)		
Diabetic complications:									
Retinopathyb	Dichotomous	52	2	(3.8%)	49	3	(6.1%)		
Neuropathy	Dichotomous	52	42	(80.8%)	49	43	(87.8%)		
Macroangiopathy	Dichotomous	52	19	(36.5%)	49	16	(32.7%)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Diet alone (i.e. drug naïve)	Dichotomous	52	1	(1.9%)	49	0	(0.0%)		
Oral antidiabetic medicationc	Dichotomous			,	49		(59.2%)		
Insulin therapy	Dichotomous	52	19	(36.5%)	49	20	(40.8%)		
Oral agents (any use)	Dichotomous	52	45	(86.5%)	49	38	(77.6%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> before treatment (used in analysis)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> proliferative <sup>c</sup> oral agents only

,	_	_		i	۔ء
۲	е	5	u	ı	เธ

	Biphasic insulin		
Metformin + Biphasic	aspart (70/30) +		
insulin aspart (70/30)	repaglinide	Δ	р

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 13wka	Continuous	52		7.6 (SD 1.08)	49		7.5 (SD 1.05)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wka	Continuous	52		6.95 (SD 0.721)	49		7.1 (SD 0.7)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	52		6.72 (SD 0.66)	49		6.9 (SD 0.68)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wkb	Mean change	52		-1.42 (SD 0.649)	49		-1.23 (SD 0.7)	MD=-0.180 (CI: -0.450, 0.090)	0.177
Hba1c <6.5% – 52wk	Dichotomous	52	22	(42.3%)	49	14	(28.6%)	,	0.169
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 13wka	Continuous	52		73.5 (SD 12.3)	49		76 (SD 11.9)		
Weight (kg) – 26wka	Continuous	52		74.5 (SD 13.7)	49		77.5 (SD 14)		
Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	52		74.45 (SD 12.3)	49		77.66 (SD 12.1)		
Weight (kg) – 52wkb	Mean change	52		2.22 (SD 3.89)	49		4.73 (SD 3.99)	MD=-2.510 (CI: -4.070, -0.950)	0.002
Hypoglycaemic events: minor hypoglycaemic events – 52wk	Dichotomous	52		,	49			RR=0.780 (Cl: 44.148, 0.014)	NS
minor hypoglycaemic events – 52wk	Count	19522	1233		17312	1408		0.014)	140
minor hypoglycaemic events – 52wkc	Continuous	52		23.1	49		29.7		
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 52wkc	Continuous	52		8.9	49		8.8		
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 52wk	Count	19522	475		17312	417			
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 52wk	Dichotomous	52			49			RR=1.010 (CI: 23.912, 0.043)	NS
Minor (unconfirmed) – 52wk	Dichotomous	52			49			RR=0.680 (CI: 33.099, 0.014)	NS
Minor (unconfirmed) – 52wk	Count	19522	758		17312	991			
Minor (unconfirmed) – 52wkc	Continuous	52		14.2	49		20.9		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wk	Dichotomous	52			49			RR=0.440 (CI: 16.337, 0.012)	NS
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wk	Count	19522	5		17312	10			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wkc	Continuous	52		0.1	49		0.2		
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wk	Dichotomous				49			RR=0.770 (CI: 47.212, 0.013)	NS

Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wk	Count	19477	1238		17310	1418			
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wkc	Continuous	52		23.2	49		29.9		
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 52wk	Dichotomous	52			49			RR=0.880 (CI: 18.018, 0.043)	NS
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 52wk	Count	19522	211		17312	212			
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 52wkc	Continuous	52		3.9	49		4.5		
Adverse events: Any serious adverse event(s) – 52wk	Dichotomous	52	8	(15.4%)	49	13	(26.5%)		NR
Lactic acidosis – 52wk	Dichotomous	52	0	(0.0%)	49	0	(0.0%)		
Study drug exposure – 52wkd	Continuous	52		1771	49		5.2		NR
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous	52	1	(1.9%)	49	3	(6.1%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	52	0	(0.0%)	49	2	(4.1%)		
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 52wk	Mean change	52			49			MD=1.170 (CI: 0.900, 1.440)	0.233
Total daily dose (U) – 52wk	Continuous	52		32.96 [rng 9– 84]	49		28.25 [rng 6– 108]		

estimated from graph, assumed SE reported

An analysis of covariance model was developed for the primary outcome, with patient as the random effect, treatment (metformin plus insulin

or repaglinide plus insulin) as the fixed effect, and baseline levels as the covariate. Hypoglycaemia was analysed by a Poisson regression model adjusted for overdispersion and exposure time. Categorical data were analysed either as odds ratios by logistic regression model, with treatment type as the fixed effect and baseline as the covariate, or as proportions by Wilcoxon rank sum test.

#### Table 24: Malone et al. (2004)

#### General Phase: □ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☑ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Crossover Country: USA Authors' conclusions: In this study population, mix 75/25 plus metformin was associated with lower Hba1c than insulin glargine plus metformin, smaller rise in ppBG after breakfast and dinner, and higher proportion of patients achieving Hba1c <=7%, with a slight increase in overall (but not nocturnal) hypoglycaemia Source of funding: Authors employed by Elli Lilly Comments: Opan label, cross-over trial. Computer generated randomisation table.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> SE converted

 $<sup>^{</sup>c}$  rate per patient years of exposure; no 95% CI reported  $^{d}$  mg/day

#### Number and Total number of patients: 105 characteristics Inclusion criteria: patients aged 30-80 years with type 2 diabetes, with a BMI <=40 kg/m2. Patients had to of patients demonstrate inadequate glycaemic control (Hba1c 1.3-2 times the upper limit of normal) within 30 days of the study while using >=1 OAD without insulin for at least 30 days before the start of the study Exclusion criteria: Not reported Pre-randomisation phase: There was a lead in period of 8 ± 2 weeks in which patients received NPH od at bedtime and metformin titrated to >=1500 but <=2550 mg/day in 2 or 3 daily doses. **Previous** Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or glucoseowering Details of washout period: All patients were taking at least one OAD at inclusion and these were therapy discontinued (apart from metformin) Lifestyle advice Total follow-up (wks): 42 Follow-up Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 32 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients returned to the study center for monthly assessments Arms (1) Insulin lispro mix 75/25 (tid) + metformin Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: patients stopped all OADs apart from metformin during the lead-in period (8-10 weeks). It was unclear whether there was a washout period before cross-over. Treatment(s): (a) Insulin lispro mix 75/25 (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Frequency of dosing: twice a day Details of dosing regimen: Insulin lispro mix 75/25 was given before breakfast and dinner. Insulin doses were adjusted to achieve target FBG values 90-126 mg/dl and a 2h PPBG 144-180 ma/dl (b) Metformin (Oral) Minimum dose (mg/d): 1500 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2550 Frequency of dosing: variable (2) Insulin glargine (od) + metformin Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: patients stopped all OADs apart from metformin during the lead-in period (8-10 weeks). It was unclear whether there was a washout period before cross-over. Treatment(s): (a) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: Insulin glargine was given at bedtime and targets were as insulin lispro mix group except there was no PPBG target due to the long action profile of glargine (b) Metformin (Oral) Minimum dose (mg/d): 1500 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2250 Frequency of dosing: variable **Outcomes** General Only Hba1c measures at week 16 (i.e. after the first treatment period and before crossover) were extracted in this evidence table. Outcomes not extracted include FBG, SMBG, hypoglycaemia, body weight as these were not reported for the first treatment period. 5 patients in each group discontinued from the study but were included as part of the efficacy analyses. 29 patients had potentially expired drugs in their possession at the time of the study 67/95 who completed the study had no expired drug in their posession and were included in efficacy analysis. All analyses were based on the ITT population, which included all randomised patients who received at least one dose of insulin. The LOCF method was used for missing values. **Baseline** Insulin lispro mix 75/25 Insulin glargine (od) + characteristics (tid) + metformin metformin mean k mean Δр Demographics:

Age (years)

52

54.5 (SD 11.4)

53

55.3 (SD 9.5)

Continuous

	Sex (n male)	I	Dich	otomou	s 52	33	(63.5%)	53	33	(62.3%)		
	Duration of diabe		Con	tinuous	52		8.1 (SD 5.8)	53		9.8 (SD 7.4)		
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wł	ς (	Con	tinuous	52		8.7 (SD 1.3)	53		8.7 (SD 1.3)		
	Fasting plasma g		Con	tinuous	52		8.3370012 (SD 2.48)	53		8.6200818 (SD 2.78)		
	Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)		Con	tinuous	52		30.1 (SD 5)	53		31.7 (SD 5.7)		
	Weight (kg)		Con	tinuous	52		88.5 (SD 16.1)	53		94.4 (SD 19.8)		
Results				Inst	ilin lis		mix 75/25 (tid) + formin	Ins		glargine (od) + netformin		
Results							formin		r		Δ	р
Results	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Continue	ous	N	k m	met ean	formin I		r c m	netformin	Δ	р
Results	HbA1c (%) –			<b>N</b> 52	k m	met ean	formin I	N I	r c m	netformin nean	Δ	р

## **Table 25: Malone et al. (2005)**

Table 25. IVI	nione et al. (2005)
General	Phase:    monotherapy   dual therapy   triple therapy   insulin monotherapy   insulin insulin insulin insulin or insulin or insulin and oral agent combination therapy, treatment with a twice daily insulin lispro mixture plus metformin, which targets both post prandial and pre-meal BG, provided clinically significant improvements in Hba1c, significantly reduced post prandial BG after each meal and reduced nocturnal hypoglycaemia as compared with once-daily glargine plus metformin.  Source of funding: Authors employed by Eli Lilly   Comments: Multicentre, randomised, open label cross over trial.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 97 Inclusion criteria: patients aged 30-75 years with type 2 diabetes, with inadequate glycaemic control using NPH once or twice daily alone or in combination with an oral antidiabetic agent, or a once daily human insulin mixture with an oral agent for at least 30 days before study entry  Exclusion criteria: used a glitazone within 30 days prior to study entry  Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 6 week lead in period before randomisation-this was to stablise patients on a common insulin regimen.
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All were taking insulin alone or in combination at study entry, during the lead in period all patients were required to use NPH once daily at bedtime and metformin two or three times daily. All other OADs were discontinued. Patients whose daily metformin dose was outside the acceptable therapeutic range (1500-2550 mg) were discontinued.
Lifestyle advice	Patients were given no special dietary instructions, but were expected to follow their usual diabetic diets

	during the trial
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 32 Length of titration period (wks): 4 Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
Arms	(1) Insulin lispro mixture (bid) + metformin

N: 50

Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: OADs apart from metformin discontinued before study started. It was unclear whether there was a washout period before cross-over.

Treatment(s):

(a) Insulin lispro mix 75/25 (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: The dose of insulin lispro mixture or glargine was adjusted by the investigators throughout the study in an attempt to achieve targets for fasting and premeal BG of 5-7 mmol/l. During treatment with insulin lispro mixture + metformin, an additional BG target for 2h post prandial BG of 8-10 mmol/l was established. The recommended initial dose of insulin glargine was equal to or greater than the final dose of

bedtime NPH during the lead-in period.

(b) Metformin (Oral) Minimum dose (mg/d): 1500 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2550

Details of dosing regimen: 1500-2550 mg per day

#### (2) Insulin glargine (od) + metformin

N: 47

Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: OADs apart from metformin discontinued before study started. It was unclear whether there was a washout period before cross-over.

Treatment(s):

(a) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: See insulin lispro + metformin for dosing details. The recommended initial dose of insulin glargine was equal to or greater than the final dose of

bedtime NPH during the lead-in period

(b) Metformin (Oral) Minimum dose (mg/d): 1500 Maximum dose (mg/d): 2550

#### **Outcomes**

Only Hba1c measures were extracted in this evidence table as this was the only measure reported for the first treatment period (before cross-over). Other measures not extracted include FBG, hypoglycaemia, body weight, SMBG and adverse events

All analyses were based on the ITT population, which included all randomised patients who received insulin. The LOCF method was used for missing values.

84/97 completed the study (3/50 [6%] in lispro mixture group and 10/47 [21%] in glargine group)

#### **Baseline** characteristics

		Ins		lispro mixture (bid) + metformin	Ins		glargine (od) + netformin		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	50		59.18 (SD 8.58)	47		59.63 (SD 8.03)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	50	25	(50.0%)	47	18	(38.3%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	50		13.52 (SD 8.18)	47		11.9 (SD 6.27)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	50		8.5 (SD 0.95)	47		8.48 (SD 0.8)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	50		8.63 (SD 2.93)	47		8.21 (SD 2.09)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	50		29.41 (SD 4.57)	47		29.64 (SD 5.16)		
Weight (kg)	Continuous	50		77.82 (SD 13.6)	47		77.21 (SD 15.9)		

	Previous blood glud lowering drugs: Sulfonylurea		Dichot	omo	ous 50 14 (28.0%)		4	7 16 (34.0%)		
Results			ln	suli	in lispro mixture (bid) + metformin	ı	nsı	ulin glargine (od) + metformin		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wka	Continuous	50		8.05 (SD 0.919)	47		7.59 (SD 1.03)		
	<sup>a</sup> SD calculated from	estimated SE	M fro							
	effects. Statistical are each patient during e	alyses were peach period of	erfori	med ross	rere used to evaluate both c I using the endpoint value do s over study using Grizzle mactors included in the analys	efine etho	d as	the last value observ	ed f	

### Table 26: Meneghini et al. (2013)

Table 20. Me	enegnini et al. (2013)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: - Authors' conclusions: - Source of funding: - Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 457 Inclusion criteria: - Exclusion criteria: -
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: No wash out period
Lifestyle advice	-
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): - Length of titration period (wks): - Length of maintenance period (wks): - Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
Arms	(1) Metformin + Insulin Detemir  N: 228  Treatment duration (wks): 26  Washout period (d): -  Comments: Participants (72.5%) who were on meformin + OAD at baseline discontinued the non-metformin OAD  Treatment(s): (a) Insulin detemir (Subcutaneous) – forced titration  Set dose (mg/d):10  Mean dose (mg/d): 57  Frequency of dosing: once a day  Details of dosing regimen: Mean (SD) determir dose at study end was 57 (30)U  corresponding to a mean (SD) total insulin dose per kg per body weight of 0.70 (0.34)U/kg

(b) Metformin (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Minimum dose (mg/d): 1500

#### (2) Metformin + Insulin Glargine

N: 229

Treatment duration (wks): 26

Washout period (d): -

Comments: Participants (72.8%) who were on meformin + OAD at baseline discontinued the non-metformin

OAD

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

(b) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous) - forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):10

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Mean (SD) glargine dose at end of study was 51 (26) U, corresponding to mean (SD) total insulin dose per kg body weight of 0.61 (0.28)U/kg

#### Outcomes

# Baseline characteristics

			Metformi	n + Insulin Detemir
		N	k	mean
Demographics:				
Age (years)	Continuous	226		57.3 (SD 10.2)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	226	129a	(57.1%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	226		8 (SD 5.6)
Blood glucose:				
HbA1c (%) – wk	Continuous	226		7.96 (SD 0.62)
HbA1c (%) – wk	Continuous	226		7.96 (SD 0.62)
Body weight:				
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	226		28.9 (SD 4)
Weight (kg) – wk	Continuous	226		82.8 (SD 17.2)
Weight (kg) – wk	Continuous	226		82.8 (SD 17.2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Estimated from reported percentages

		Metformin + Insulin Glargine							
		N	mean						
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	227		57.3 (SD 10.3)					
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	227	127a	(55.9%)					
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	227		8.4 (SD 6.6)					
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – wk	Continuous	227		7.86 (SD 0.58)					
HbA1c (%) – wk	Continuous	227		7.86 (SD 0.58)					
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	227		29.1 (SD 3.9)					
Weight (kg) – wk	Continuous	227		81.7 (SD 16.2)					
Weight (kg) – wk	Continuous	227		81.7 (SD 16.2)					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Estimated from reported percentages

#### **Results**

		Metformin + Insulin Detemir					
	N k mean						
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	226		7.48 (SD 0.91) a			
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	226		7.48 (SD 0.91) a			
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	226		-0.49 (SD 3.3) b			
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	226		-0.49 (SD 3.3) b			

Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 26wk	Count	37644	329	С
Dropouts:				
Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	228	38	(16.7%)
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	228	5	(2.2%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Data adjusted for baseline HbA1c, previous OAD and country <sup>b</sup> Assumed data from full analysis set <sup>c</sup> Patient days estimated from event rate and number of events

		Metformin + Insulin Glargin				
		N	k	mean		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	227		7.13 (SD 0.72) a		
HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	227		7.13 (SD 0.72) a		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	227		1 (SD 3.1) b		
Weight (kg) – 26wk	Mean change	227		1 (SD 3.1) b		
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 26wk	Count	37824	457	С		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	229	41	(17.9%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	229	3	(1.3%)		

# Table 27: Milicevic et al. (2009)

General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: Bulgaria, Croatia, Hungary, Israel, Romania, Slovakia and Turkey Authors' conclusions: Treatment with lispro mix 50/25 compared with glibenclamide + NPH in patients with inadequate control on combined OAMs yielded better postprandal and overall glycaemic control with a higher rate of hypoglycaemia Source of funding: Eli Lilly Comments: Randomised (block randomisation), open label trial. Randomisation lists were computer generated and permitted approximately equal numbers to each of the treatment arms.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 135 Inclusion criteria: Patients with type 2 diabetes who were treated with OAMs for at least 6 months before study entry and were on maximally tolerated doses of glibenclamide and metformin during at least the 6 week period preceding visit 1. Patients were aged between 40 and 80 years, with BMI between 25-32 kg/m2 Exclusion criteria: type 1 diabetes, if any other serious disease were present, had advanced forms of diabetic complications. Patients were not included if their treatment during the last month before the study included insulin or OAM other than glibenclamide and metformin or if BG values were in the optimal range. Pre-randomisation phase: Patients continued metformin and sulfonlyurea during the lead in period
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All patients were taking metformin + sulfonylurea

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Data adjusted for baseline HbA1c, previous OAD and country
 <sup>b</sup> Assumed data from full analysis set
 <sup>c</sup> Patient days estimated from event rate and number of events

#### Lifestyle advice

During visit 2, patients also receievd consultation on diet

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0

Length of maintenance period (wks): 24

**Frequency of monitoring appointments:** Visits were scheduled at 2 and 4 weeks, followed by regular visits every 4 weeks

#### Arms

#### (1) Lispro mix 50 (am) + lispro mix 25 (pm)

N: 68

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: Both metformin + sulfonylurea was stopped in this arm

Treatment(s): Insulin lispro mix 50 and mix 25 (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Details of dosing regimen: Patients received lispro mix 50 immediately before breakfast and lispro mix 25 immediately before dinner. Insulin doses were adjusted at visit 2 and at every visit based on SMBG and target BG <6.7 mmol/l for fasting BG and <8 mmol/l for 2

hour postprandial BG. An initial dose of 0.3-0.5 units/kg body weight/day was

recommended in the lispro mix group, followed by dose adjustments by the investigator to

# achieve the BG targets (2) Glibenclamide + NPH (pm)

N: 67

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: Metformin was stopped in this arm Treatment(s): (a) Sulfonylurea (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 15.2

Details of dosing regimen: Patents continued on maximally tolerated dose glibenclamide. The maximum daily dose of glibenclamide was defined as >=15 mg but not exceeding the

maximum stated in the package in each country

(b) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: NPH was given at bedtime. An initial dose of 0.15 to 0.2 units/kg body weight/day was recommended in the glibenclamide/NPH group, followed by dose

adjustments by the investigator to achieve the BG targets

#### Outcomes

#### General

LOCF method was used, which included all data from all patients according to treatment received. 4/68 (5.9%) patients in the lispro mix group and 12/67 (17.9%) in the glibenclamide + NPH group discontinued the study

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include SMBG levels including blood glucose profiles

#### Hypoglycaemic events

All hypoglycaemic events (no events) (defined as a SMBG measurement <3 mmol/l or any time hypoglycaemic symptoms were felt by the patient or observed by another person)

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (defined if assisstance by another person was required)

# Baseline characteristics

		Li	Lispro mix 50 (am) + lispro mix 25 (pm)			Glibenclamide + NPH (pm)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	68		58.3 (SD 7.9)	67		56.4 (SD 8.3)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	68	21	(30.9%)	67	22	(32.8%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	68		9.12 (SD 6.18)	67		9.16 (SD 6.64)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	68		9.7 (SD 1.7)	67		9.6 (SD 1.8)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	68		28 (SD 2.3)	67		27.9 (SD 2.1)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	68		75.3 (SD 10)	67		76.5 (SD 9.8)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	68		139.2 (SD 17.4)	67		140 (SD 18.1)		

	Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Continuous	68	83.3 (8	SD 9.4)	67		82.2 (SD 8	3.2)	
Results			Lispro mix 50 (am) + lispro mix 25 (pm)				lamide + (pm)			
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	68		8.42 (SD 1.4)	67		9.16 (SD 1.5)		0.01
	HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	68		-1.3 (SD 2)	67		-0.5 (SD 1.6)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Mean change	68		0.87 (SD 4.15)	67		-1.37 (SD 3.52)		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	68			67				0.417
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	68		-0.76 (SD 3.64)	67		-0.24 (SD 3.49)		
	Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	68		0.65 (SD 2.46)	67		0.59 (SD 1.66)		
	Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	68		1.42 (SD 3.52)	67		1.2 (SD 2.5)		
	Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	68			67				NS
	Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (nevents) – 12wka	o Continuous	68		0.48 (SD 0.99)	67		0.14 (SD 0.27)		
	All hypoglycaemic events (nevents) – 24wk	o Dichotomo	us 68			67				0.037
	All hypoglycaemic events (n events) – 24wka	o Continuous	68		0.37 (SD 0.7)	67		0.09 (SD 0.17)		
	All hypoglycaemic events (n events) – 24wkb	o Count	10080	124		10248	31			
	Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkc	Dichotomou	us 68	2	(2.9%)	67	0	(0.0%)		NR
	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomou	uc 60	16	(22 F0/)	67	12	(17 00/)		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				,			(17.9%)		
	Dropout due to AEs – 24wk Insulin:	Dichotomo	us 00	1	(1.5%) 44.1 (SD	67	5	(7.5%) 21 (SD		
	Total daily dose (U) – 24wk	Continuous			19.9)	67		9.4)		NR
	<ul> <li>episodes per patient per 30 da</li> <li>person days estimated assum</li> <li>of all hypos reported</li> <li>assumed no of patients</li> </ul>	ays; assumed S iing dropout half	SD reporte fway thro	ed ugh th	e study and	no of eve	ents	calculated	usir	ng rate
	A two way ANOVA model that it reatment by country interaction							as used. W	her	е

#### **Table 28: Nauck et al. (2007)**

	·
General	Phase:
	□ monotherapy
	□ dual therapy
	☑ triple therapy
	□ insulin monotherapy
	□ insulin+oral
	Parallel / crossover: Parallel

Country: 13 countries

Authors' conclusions: Exenatide treatment resulted in HbA1c reduction similar to biphasic insulin aspart and provided better postprandial glycaemic control, making it a

potential alternative for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Treatment with biphasic insulin aspart was associated with weight gain and lower risk of adverse gastrointestinal events

Source of funding: Authors employed with Eli Lilly

Comments: Computer-generated randomisation table administered by the sponsor via an automated voice response system was used.

#### Number and characteristics of patients

Total number of patients: 505

Inclusion criteria: Eligible patients were between 30 and 75 years of age and had suboptimal glycaemic control despite receiving optimally effective metformin and sulfonylurea therapy for at least 3 months. Inclusion criteria included, at the time of screening, HbA1c levels =7.0 and =11.0%, a BMI =25 and =40 kg/m2, and a history of stable body weight (=10% variation for =3 months).

Exclusion criteria: had had more than three episodes of severe hypoglycaemia within 6 months prior to screening; had used any prescription drug to promote weight loss within 3 months; or had been treated with insulin, thiazolidinediones, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors or meglitinides for longer than 2 weeks within 3 months.

#### **Previous** alucoselowering therapy

Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin

Details of washout period: All on metformin and sulfonylurea. Patients entering this study maintained optimally effective prestudy metformin and sulfonylurea dosages; if

hypoglycaemia events occurred, investigators reduced the sulfonylurea dose by approximately 50% for patients on exenatide or adapted the insulin dose for patients on insulin.

#### Lifestyle advice

No details reported

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 52

Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 52

Frequency of monitoring appointments: HbA1c levels were measured at screening, randomisation (baseline, week 0), and at weeks 12, 16, 28, 40 and 52 (or early discontinuation)

#### Arms

#### (1) Metformin + sulfonylurea + Exenatide

N: 253

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: no details of dosing of pre-existing metformin

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: no details of dosing of pre-existing sulfonylurea

(c) Exenatide (Subcutaneous) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):10

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: Patients assigned to the exenatide group used a multi-use pen to subcutaneously inject (within 15 min before morning and evening meals) a fixed dose of  $5~\mu g$  twice daily for 4 weeks and 10  $\mu g$  twice daily for the remainder of the study. If frequent nausea developed (daily

episodes for >1 week duration), patients had the option to decrease their dose to  $5 \mu g$ 

#### (2) Metformin + sulfonylurea + biphasic insulin aspart (30% aspart)

N: 248

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s):

(a) Metformin (Oral)

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral)

(c) Biphasic insulin aspart (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Mean dose (mg/d): 24.4 Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: Patients in the comparator group subcutaneously injected premixed insulin before the morning and evening meals. Investigators chose

the starting insulin dose for patients following randomisation, and contacted patients at regular intervals to discuss glycaemic control. A forced titration schedule was not used in this trial. Investigators were instructed to adjust insulin doses to achieve an optimal balance between glycaemic control and risk of hypoglycaemia as dictated by best clinical

practice. Multiple options were available to guide intensification

of insulin therapy including: (1) ongoing analysis of the patient's diary and home glucose monitoring results; and (2) a titration guideline outlining minimum targets for fasting glucose (<7 mmol/l [126 mg/dl]) and 2-h postprandial glucose (<10 mmol/l [180 mg/dl].

Patients were were encouraged to optimise glucose control by titrating insulin doses as high as clinically possible.

The mean dose of premixed insulin increased from 15.7±9.5 U/day at week 2 to 24.4±15.6 U/day atweek 52

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

intention-to-treat (ITT) sample, defined as patients who received at least one dose of study medication and had at least one post-baseline measurement of HbA1c; and (2) a per-protocol sample, defined as patients who had at least 12 weeks of exposure to study medication and no violations of screening criteria or discontinuation criteria.

54 (21%) of patients in the exenatide group and 25 (10%) in insulin group withdrew from the study Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include beta cell function, insulin sensitivity, postprandial and self-monitored blood glucose levels

#### Hypoglycaemic events

The severity (mild, moderate or severe) and timing (nocturnal or daytime) of each hypoglycaemic event and whether it could be attributed to

#### therapy (yes or no) were assessed by the investigator

All hypoglycaemic events (no events) (A hypoglycaemic episode was defined as any time a patient experienced a sign or symptom of hypoglycaemia or noted a blood glucose level <3.4 mmol/l (60 mg/dl) during selfmonitoring, whether or not this level was associated with signs, symptoms or treatment)

Motformin .

# Baseline characteristics

	Metformin + sulfonylurea + Exenatide		ylurea +	bip					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
ITT Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	253		59 (SD 9)	248		58 (SD 9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	253	134	(53.0%)	248	122a	(49.2%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	253		9.8 (SD 6.3)	248		10 (SD 6.2)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	253		8.6 (SD 1)	248		8.6 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	253		11 (SD 2.7)	248		11.3 (SD 2.8)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	253		30.6 (SD 4)	248		30.2 (SD 4.2)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	253		85.5 (SD 15.7)	248		83.4 (SD 15.6)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	253		138 (SD 16)	248		136 (SD 15)		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	253		81 (SD 10)	248		80 (SD 10)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	253		5.1 (SD 1)	248		5 (SD 1)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	253		1.2 (SD 0.3)	248		1.2 (SD 0.3)		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	253		3 (SD 0.9)	248		2.9 (SD 0.9)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

#### **Results**

Metformin + sulfonylurea + Exenatide Metformin + sulfonylurea + biphasic insulin aspart (30% aspart) Δ

Motformin i sulfonyluroa i

Δ р

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean		
Hypoglycaemic events:									
All hypoglycaemic									
events (no events) – 52wka	Count	82264	1059		85722	1315			
Major/severe									
hypoglycaemic event – 52wk	Count	82264	0		85722	0			
Nocturnal									
hypoglycaemia – 52wkb	Count	82264	136		85722	259			
Dropouts:	- Count	0220.			00.22				
Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous	253	54	(21.3%)	248	25	(10.1%)		
ITT				7.35					
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wkc	Continuous	253		(SD 0.159)	248		7.5 (SD 0.787)		
				7.45			,		
HbA1c (%) – 28wkc	Continuous	253		(SD 0.795)	248		7.6 (SD 0.787)		
1.57.1.5 (70) ZOWINO	25			00)			5 57 )	MD=-	
				-1.04			-0.89	0.150 (CI: -	
	Mean			(SD			(SD	Ò.320,	
HbA1c (%) – 52wkd	change	253		1.11)	248		0.945)	0.020) MD=-	0.067
								0.100	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) –	Mean			-1.8 (SD			-1.7 (SD	(CI: - 0.600,	
52wke	change	253		3.18)	248		3.15)	0.400)	0.689
Body weight:	Mean			-1.85 (SD			1.2 (SD		
Weight (kg) – 16wkc	change	253		1.59)	248		1.57)		
	Maan			-2.2 (SD			10/00		
Weight (kg) – 28wkc	Mean change	253		(SD 1.59)	248		1.9 (SD 1.57)		
								MD=-	
				-2.5				5.400 (CI: -	
Weight (kg) – 52wkd	Mean change	253		(SD 3.18)	248		2.9 (SD 3.15)	5.900, - 4.900)	<0.001
Hypoglycaemic events:	onango	200		0.10)	240		0.10)	1.000)	40.001
All hypoglycaemic				05			(05		
events (no events) – 52wkf	Continuous	253		4.7 (SD 11.1)	248		5.6 (SD 11)		
Major/severe				,					
hypoglycaemic event – 52wkg	Dichotomous	253	0	(0.0%)	248	0	(0.0%)		
Nocturnal			-	(01070)		-	(515,5)		
hypoglycaemia – 52wkh	Continuous	253		0.6 (SD 3.18)	248		1.1 (SD 3.15)		
Nocturnal	o o minuo uo			3.13)			3.13)		
hypoglycaemia – 52wkg	Dichotomous	0	44	(17.4%)	0	62	(25.0%)		NSi
Nocturnal	Dictiotoffious	U		(17.470)	U	02	(20.070)		1401
hypoglycaemia –	Diebetemeus	252	4.4	(47.40/)	240	60	(DE 00/)		NIC:
52wkg Adverse events:	Dichotomous	203	44	(17.4%)	248	62	(25.0%)		NSi
GI: nausea – 52wkg	Dichotomous	253	84	(33.2%)	248	1	(0.4%)		
Any serious adverse	Dichotomous	252	10	(7 E0/ \	240	11	(4 40/)		
event(s) – 52wkg Study drug-related	Dichotomous	203	19	(7.5%)	248	11	(4.4%)		
adverse event –	Diaherte	050	470	(70.00()	0.40	400	(40.00()		
52wkg Arthralgia – 52wkg	Dichotomous Dichotomous		179 6	(70.8%) (2.4%)	248 248	123	(49.6%) (1.6%)		
Back pain – 52wkg	Dichotomous		11	(4.3%)	248	10	(4.0%)		
Saon pain Ozwing	21011010111003	_50	• •	( 0 /0)	_ 10		( 70)		

Bronchitis – 52wkg	Dichotomous	253	6	(2.4%)	248	6	(2.4%)
cardiovascular AE – 52wkg	Dichotomous	253	10	(4.0%)	248	5	(2.0%)
Death – 52wkg	Dichotomous	253	2	(0.8%)	248	1	(0.4%)
Dyspepsia – 52wkg	Dichotomous	253	7	(2.8%)	248	1	(0.4%)
GI: diarrhoea – 52wkg	Dichotomous	253	24	(9.5%)	248	5	(2.0%)
GI: vomiting – 52wkg	Dichotomous	253	38	(15.0%)	248	8	(3.2%)
Headache – 52wkg	Dichotomous	253	12	(4.7%)	248	13	(5.2%)
Hypertension – 52wkg	Dichotomous	253	5	(2.0%)	248	7	(2.8%)
Injection site – 52wkg	Dichotomous	253	4	(1.6%)	248	1	(0.4%)
Nasopharyngitis – 52wkg	Dichotomous	253	28	(11.1%)	248	24	(9.7%)
Pain (extremity) – 52wkg	Dichotomous	253	6	(2.4%)	248	8	(3.2%)
Temperature/influenza – 52wkg	Dichotomous	253	18	(7.1%)	248	16	(6.5%)
Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	253	20	(7.9%)	248	0	(0.0%)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 52wk	Mean change	253		-5 (SD 15)	248		1 (SD 16)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 52wk	Mean change	253		-2 (SD 10)	248		1 (SD 10)
PP Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wkd	Mean change	222		-1.04 (SD 1.04)	224		-0.89 (SD 0.894)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 52wkd	Mean change	222		-1.8 (SD 2.99)	224		-1.7 (SD 2.99)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wkd	Mean change	222		-2.5 (SD 2.98)	224		2.9 (SD 2.99)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> person days estimated assuming drop out half way through study and number of episodes calculated from reported rate (least squares means)

Primary efficacy analyses were based on a mixed model repeated measures (MMRM) analysis of covariance with HbA1c as the dependent variable and treatment, baseline HbA1c, country, week of visit, and treatment-by-week interaction as fixed effects, and patient and error as random effects. All post-baseline measurements of the change in HbA1c were included in the analysis with no imputations of missing data. Fisher's exact tests were used for comparisons based on categorical variables (e.g. adverse events, incidence of hypoglycaemia). P-values for between group comparisons for adverse events, hypoglycaemia and blood pressure were not reported.

#### Table 29: Olsson & (2002)

General Phase:

□ monotherapy
□ dual therapy
□ triple therapy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> person days estimated assuming drop out half way through study and number of episodes calculated from reported rate

 $<sup>^{</sup>c}$  estimated from graph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> SD calculated from SEM

e serum glucose

events per patient year (least squares means); SE reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>g</sup> No of patients

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> events per patient year; SE reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> adjusted for baseline Hba1c and country

		Parallel : Both treatm ne NPH and d			oved glycaemic control to the Ifonlyurea gave a very small i				er a	ı 6			
Number and characteristics of patients		ients with type 7.0 to 10.0%	s). Pa		es who were referred from pr s had been treated with oral a				g to	)			
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	insulin  Details of washout pe	eriod: all patie	ents v	vere	-lowering therapy? all on or with oral agents (sulfonylurea vithheld throughout the study	,, ,	-	J					
Lifestyle advice	Patients had already participated in the regular diabetes programme with education on diet, exercise and SMBG												
Follow-up	Length of titration pe Length of maintenand	Total follow-up (wks): 24  Length of titration period (wks): 0  Length of maintenance period (wks): 24  Frequency of monitoring appointments: -											
Arms	N: 8  Treatment duration (whe Washout period (d): 0  Comments: Previous treatment(s): NPH  Freq Deta were  (2) Sulfonylurea + NP N: 8  Treatment duration (whe Washout period (d): 0  Comments: Previous treatment during the stuent	Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Previous treatments included sulfonlyurea alone or combined with metformin. Patient in twice daily insulin group did not take any oral agents. Metformin was withheld during the study  Treatment(s):  NPH insulin mix 70/30 (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Frequency of dosing: twice a day  Details of dosing regimen: Insulin was given before breakfast and dinner. Target BG levels were preprandial 4-7 mmol/l and postprandial <10 mmol/l  (2) Sulfonylurea + NPH (bedtime)  N: 8  Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Previous treatments included sulfonlyurea alone or combined with metformin. Metformin was withheld during the study											
Outcomes	<b>General</b> No details reported of I	TT analysis o	r whe	ether	there were any discontinuation	ons.							
Baseline characteristics					ed combination (70% NPH 3 r human insulin) of insulin			ılfonylurea + PH (bedtime)					
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р			
	Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	8		63 (SD 8.49)	8		61 (SD 8.49)					
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	8		8.3 (SD 0.849)	8		8.3 (SD 0.849)					
	Body weight:	Continuous	J		0.0 (00 0.040)	0		25.1 (SD					
	BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous			24.3 (SD 2.83)	8		3.39)					
	Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	ð		71.7 (SD 11.3)	8		70.8 (SD 13)					

Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/I) – 0wk	Mean change	8	6.6 (SD 1.53)	8	5.4 (SD 0.962)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	8	1.2 (SD 0.368)	8	1.1 (SD 0.283)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	8	2.6 (SD 1.64)	8	2.2 (SD 2.43)
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 0wk	Continuous	8	45.8 (SD 11.9)	8	29.4 (SD 15.3)
Total daily dose (U/kg) – 0wk	Continuous	8	0.61 (SD 0.198)	8	0.33 (SD 0.141)

•	C	Э	u	L	L	•

		Prefixed combination (70% NPH 30% regular human insulin) of insulin BID					ylurea + pedtime)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	8		7 (SD 0.566)	8		6.8 (SD 1.41)		NS
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 24wk	Continuous	8		26.2 (SD 3.11)	8		25.7 (SD 3.68)		NR
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	8		4.6 (SD 2.4)	8		1.8 (SD 2.83)		
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	8		77.6 (SD 12.4)	8		72.7 (SD 14.4)		
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	8		5.8 (SD 2.69)	8		1.9 (SD 2.83)		<0.02
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wka	Count	1344	0		1344	0			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wkb	Dichotomous	8	0	(0.0%)	8	0	(0.0%)		NR
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	8	0	(0.0%)	8	0	(0.0%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	8		6.6 (SD 1.98)	8		5.4 (SD 0.764)		NR
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	8		1.2 (SD 0.368)	8		1.3 (SD 0.566)		NR
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Mean change	8		2.3 (SD 1.98)	8		1.8 (SD 1.9)		NR
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 24wk	Continuous	8		45.8 (SD 11.9)	8		29.4 (SD 15.3)		NR
Total daily dose (U/kg) – 24wk	Continuous	8		0.61 (SD 0.198)	8		0.33 (SD 0.141)		NR

a person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through trial b No patients

Differences between baseline and 24 weeks were tested with two tailed Student's t-test for paired data

# Table 30: Pan et al. (2007)

General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Multi-national  Authors' conclusions: The results confirm earlier reports that insulin glargine provides superior glycaemic control with less hypoglycaemia and demonstrates that these benefits are consistent between different ethnicities.  Source of funding: Supported by a grant from sanofi-aventis  Comments: Open label, Parallel group trial
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 448 Inclusion criteria: Insulin naïve Asian patients aged between 40 and 80 years with type 2 diabetes who were poorly controlled on OHA therapy for >= 3 months prior to study entry (previous doses of sulfonylurea were equal to or greater than equivalent doses of 3mg glimepiride). Further inclusion criteria were BMI 20-35 kg/m2, Hba1c >=7.5 and <=10.5% and FBG >120 mg/dl.  Exclusion criteria: Pregnancy, a history of ketoacidosis, and a liklihood of requiring treatmetn with drugs prohibited in the study protocol (e.g. beta blockers)  Pre-randomisation phase: During the screening phase patients oral treatment was standardised to 3 mg glimepiride
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: During the screening phase patients oral treatment was standardised to 3 mg glimepiride
Lifestyle advice	No details reported
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 24 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: Patients visited the site 2,4,6,8,12,16,20 and 24 weeks after randomisation
Arms	(1) Sulfonylurea + Insulin glargine N: 220 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): (a) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d):3 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: Sulfonlyurea was standardised to 3 mg during screening and was given once daily in the morning. The dose remained fixed throughout the study (b) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Mean dose (mg/d): 9.6
	Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: Once daily insulin glargine was given at bedtime. Doses were titrated according to a target FBG <=120 mg/dl. The pre defined regimen started insulin doses at 0.15 U/Kg/day and titrated the dose, at the discretion of the investigator, upwards by 2U every 3 days until the target FBG was achieved.  (2) Sulfonylurea + NPH insulin N: 223 Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): (a) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – fixed-dose Set dose (mg/d): 3 Details of dosing regimen: see insulin glargine arm for dosing details (b) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Mean dose (mg/d): 9.8 Frequency of dosing: once a day Details of dosing regimen: see insulin glargine arm for dosing details

were assessed in the safety analysis

5 patients withdrew from the study

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include SMBG and postprandial blood glucose.

Attrition rates have not been reported due to inconsistencies in numbers.

#### Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (an event with symptoms consistent with hypoglycaemia, associated with a BG level <50 mg/dl (<2.8 mmol/l) or with prompt recovery after oral carbohydrate, IV glucose or glucagon administration and the requirement of third party assistance)

Nocturnal hypoglycaemia (hypoglycaemia that occurred while the patient was asleep, after the evening insulin and before getting up in the morning)

# Baseline characteristics

		Sulfonylurea + Insulin glargine			Sulfonylurea + NPH insulin				
		N	k mean N		N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	220		55.6 (SD 8.4)	223		56.6 (SD 8.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	220	89	(40.5%)	223	99	(44.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	220		10.3 (SD 6.3)	223		10 (SD 5.4)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	220		9.02 (SD 0.88)	223		9.05 (SD 0.84)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	220		24.8 (SD 3.1)	223		25.1 (SD 3.3)		
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 0wk	Continuous	220		9.6 (SD 1.5)	223		9.8 (SD 1.9)		

#### Results

		Sulfonylurea + Insulin glargine		Sulfo	nylure insul	a + NPH in			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	220		7.9 (SD 1.16)	223		8.13 (SD 1.19)	MD=0.220 (CI: 0.020, 0.420)	0.0319
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Mean change	220		-1.1	223		-0.92		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	220		6.494202 (SD 1.39)	223		6.605214 (SD 1.44)		NR
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wka	Mean change	220		- 5.883636	223		- 5.772624		
Hba1c<7.5% – 24wkb	Dichotomous	220	84	(38.2%)	223	68	(30.5%)		NS
FBG <=120 mg/dl – 24wk	Dichotomous	220	137	(62.3%)	223	131	(58.7%)		NS
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 24wkc	Continuous	220		1.4	223		1.29		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 24wk	Dichotomous	220			223				<0.004
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 24wkd	Count	36960	682		37464	1019			

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wke	Count	35112	5		35616	28		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wk	Dichotomous	220			223			<0.03
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	220			223			<0.0003
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 24wke	Count	35112	515		35616	908		
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	220			223			<0.001
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 24wke	Count	35112	221		35616	620		
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wkf	Dichotomous	220	120	(54.5%)	223	130	(58.3%)	
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wkf	Dichotomous	220	10	(4.5%)	223	12	(5.4%)	
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 24wk	Continuous	220		32.1 (SD 17.6)	223		21.8 (SD 18.9)	NR
<sup>a</sup> SD not reported <sup>b</sup> approximated to neal <sup>c</sup> No height data report <sup>d</sup> No of events; patient <sup>e</sup> No of events <sup>f</sup> No patients	rest integer (pe	ercentage on dispe	rsion	ly presente		·)	/	
ANCOVA was perform								

effects and the corresponding baseline value as a covariate. Catergoric secondary variables were analysed for treatment differences by using Cochran-Mantel-Haenzel tests stratified by country. No p-values were reported for between group comparisons for adverse events.

Table 31: Park et al. (2014)

Table 31.1 a	ik et al. (2014)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: - Authors' conclusions: - Source of funding: - Comments: -
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 99 Inclusion criteria: - Exclusion criteria: -
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? All treatment naive/ no OADs at screening Details of washout period: 4 week screening / titration phase
Lifestyle advice	-

Follow-up Total follow-up (wks): -

Length of titration period (wks): -Length of maintenance period (wks): -Frequency of monitoring appointments: -

#### **Arms**

#### (1) Metformin + Insulin Glargine

N: 33

Treatment duration (wks): 28

Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):1500

(b) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous) - forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):10

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Mean (SD) glargine dose increased from 12.2 (2.7) IU to 29.5

(13.3) IU

#### (2) Glimepiride + Insulin Glargine

N: 34

Treatment duration (wks): 28 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous) – forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):10

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Mean insulin doses increased from 11.8 (2.0) IU to 27.2 (14.2)

IU

(b) Sulfonylurea – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):4

#### (3) Metformin + Glimepiride + Insulin Glargine

N: 32

Treatment duration (wks): 28 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous) – forced titration

Set dose (mg/d):10

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Mean (SD) glargine doses increased from 12.5 (2.5) IU to 20.1

(10.3) IU

(b) Metformin (Oral) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):1500 (c) Sulfonylurea – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):4

#### **Outcomes**

# Baseline characteristics

	N		
		k	mean
Continuous	33		55.8 (SD 10.5)
Dichotomous	33	20	(60.6%)
Continuous	33		11.3 (SD 6.4)
Continuous	33		8.5 (SD 0.9) a
Continuous	33		8.5 (SD 0.9) a
Continuous	33		25.1 (SD 3.6) b
Continuous	33		70.84224 (SD 10.16064) c
Continuous	33		89.4 (SD 10.4)
	Dichotomous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous	Dichotomous 33 Continuous 33	Dichotomous 33 20 Continuous 33

Data taken from text (mean of 8.4 is reported in table)

Glimepiride + Insulin Glargine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> No height data provided

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> No height data provided; estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		N	k	mean
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	34		57.3 (SD 9.2)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	34	20	(58.8%)
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	34		13 (SD 8)
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – wk	Continuous	34		8.4 (SD 1)
HbA1c (%) – wk	Continuous	34		8.4 (SD 1)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	34		25.6 (SD 2.7) a
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	34		72.25344 (SD 7.62048) b
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	34		89.7 (SD 6.4)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> No height data provided <sup>b</sup> No height data provided; estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		Metformin + Glimepiride + Insulin Glargine				
		N	k	mean		
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	32		56.8 (SD 10.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	32	23	(71.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	32		11.7 (SD 5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – wk	Continuous	32		8.7 (SD 0.9)		
HbA1c (%) – wk	Continuous	32		8.7 (SD 0.9)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	32		25.2 (SD 2.7) a		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	32		71.12448 (SD 7.62048) b		
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	32		90.7 (SD 6.9)		

#### Results

		Metformin + Insulin Glargine				
		N	k	mean		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 28wk	Continuous	33		7.7 (SD 0.8)		
HbA1c (%) – 28wk	Continuous	33		7.7 (SD 0.8)		
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 28wk	Count	5698	64	а		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 28wk	Dichotomous	33	7	(21.2%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 28wk	Dichotomous	33	0	(0.0%)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> patient days calculated from event rate and number of events

		Glime	+ Insulin Glargine	
		N	k	mean
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 28wk	Continuous	34		7.7 (SD 1.3)
HbA1c (%) – 28wk	Continuous	34		7.7 (SD 1.3)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 28wk	Mean change	34		1.26 (SD 2.6)
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 28wk	Count	5978	95	а

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> No height data provided
 <sup>b</sup> No height data provided; estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

Dropouts:					
Total dropouts – 28wk	Dicho	tomous	34	7	(20.6%)
Dropout due to AEs – 28wk	Dicho	tomous	34	0	(0.0%)
<sup>a</sup> patient days calculated from event rate and num	nber of events	•			
	tformin	min + Glimepiride + Insuliı Glargine			
		N	k	mea	an
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 28wk	Continuous	32		7.3	(SD 0.6)
HbA1c (%) – 28wk	Continuous	32		7.3	(SD 0.6)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 28wk	Mean change	32		1.38	3 (SD 2.97)
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 28wk	Count	5940	83	а	
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 28wk	Dichotomous	s 32	8	(25.	0%)
Dropout due to AEs – 28wk	Dichotomous	32	0	(0.0	1%)
· ·	Dichotomous	32		- `	

#### Table 32: Raz et al. (2005)

Table 32. Ita	2 et al. (2005)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: 8 countries (Canada, Croatia, Poland, Israel, Hong Kong, Thailand, Australia, South Africa)  Authors' conclusions: BIAsp 30 plus pioglitazone provided an efficacious and well-tolerated treatment alternative to glibenclamide + pioglitazone or BIAsp 30 alone in this population of patients who previously were not well controlled on glibenclamide  Source of funding: Novo Nordisk  Comments: Multinational, multicentre, open label trial
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 283 Inclusion criteria: Patients with type 2 diabetes, aged >=18 years with a BMI <=40 kg/m2, who were treated with sulfonylurea therapy (monotherapy or combination therapy) for >= 3 months before screening and had insufficient glycaemic control (Hba1c 7.4 to 14.7%). It was unclear whether patients were on maximum or stable dose of SU at baseline  Exclusion criteria: patients with significant disease likely to affect trial or health outcomes were excluded
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All patients were taking sulfonylurea (either alone or in combination) but patients discontinued their current (SU) therapy (monotherapy or combination) when administration of trial products started.
Lifestyle advice	-
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 18 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 18 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
Arms	(1) BIAsp 30 monotherapy

N: 97

Treatment duration (wks): 18 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: Patients discontinued current therapy before starting the intervention therapy

Treatment(s): Biphasic insulin aspart (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Details of dosing regimen: For patients taking BIAsp 30, insulin was injected immediately before breakfast and before dinner. It was intiiated at a dose of 0.3 IU/kg per day. In both groups, BIAsp 30 was titrated individually by patients using SMBG to achieve a target

fasting BG level 5 to 8 mmol/l.

#### (2) BIAsp 30 + pioglitazone

N: 93

Treatment duration (wks): 18 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: Patients discontinued current therapy before starting the intervention therapy

Treatment(s): (a) Biphasic insulin aspart (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Details of dosing regimen: Insulin was intiiated at a dose of  $0.2 \, \text{IU/kg}$  per day. A lower dose of BIAsp 30 was initiated in patients combining insulin with pioglitazone to limit the

number of hypoglycaemic events (b) Pioglitazone (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):30

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: The recommended starting dose for pioglitazone is 15-30 mg once daily. Patients took 30 mg OD either with or immediately after breakfast. The dose

remained unchanged throughout the trial

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Data from 2/3 arms were extracted into this evidence table. The arm examining glibenclamide + pioglitazone therapy was not included as part of the decision space for second intensification, therefore this data was not extracted.

Efficacy and safety endpoints were evaluated using ITT population (all patients exposed to the trial drug). 5 patients who had been receiving repaglinide at baseline instaed of sulfonylurea were randomised and are included as part of the ITT population

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include SMBG profiles

8/97 (8.2%) patients in the BIAsp 30 group and 7/93 (7.5%) in the BIAsp + pioglitazone group discontinued the study

#### Hypoglycaemic events

Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia (a minor episode was considered to have occurred when a BG <50 mg/dl and the patient handled th event without assistance from others)

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (defined as an event where a patient is unable to self-traet, when BG levels fall below 50 mg/dl, or when symptoms remitted after administrat)

symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia (symptomatic episodes occurred when hypoglycaemic symptoms were present but not confirmed with a BG measurement and assistance from others was not required)

# Baseline characteristics

			BIAsp 30 monotherapy			BIAsp 30 + pioglitazone				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	97		55.2 (SD 9.1)	93		56.7 (SD 10.5)			
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	97	63	(64.9%)	93	49	(52.7%)			
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	97		10 (SD 5.8)	93		9.2 (SD 5.3)			
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	97		9.5 (SD 1.3)	93		9.6 (SD 1.3)			
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	97		29.5 (SD 4.9)	93		29.4 (SD 4.6)			
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	97		83.2608 (SD 13.8)	93		82.97856 (SD 13)			
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:  Metforminb	Dichotomous	97	78	(80.4%)	93	78	(83.9%)			
Meglitinideb	Dichotomous			(1.0%)	93		(3.2%)			
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous			` '	93		,			
Insulin: Total daily dose (U/kg) – 0wk	Continuous	97		0.3	93		0.2			

#### Results

		BIAsp 30	) mon	otherapy	E pi				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 18wk	Continuous	97		9 (SD 1.3)	93		8.4 (SD 1.2)		NR
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 18wka	Continuous	97		- 0.888096	93		- 1.720686		NR
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 18wka	Continuous	97		2.2	93		4		NR
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 18wkb	Count	11718	221		11277	134			
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 18wkc	Continuous	97		0.132	93		0.083		
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 18wkd	Count	11560.61	47		10964	15			
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 18wke	Dichotomous	97	15	(15.5%)	93	11	(11.8%)		NR
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 18wkf	Dichotomous	97	47	(48.5%)	93	15	(16.1%)		NR
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 18wk	Dichotomous	97	47f	(48.5%)	93	11e	(11.8%)		NR
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 18wk	Dichotomous	97	15e	(15.5%)	93	15f	(16.1%)		NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 18wk	Dichotomous	97	0	(0.0%)	93	0	(0.0%)		NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 18wkd	Count	11560.61	0		10964	0			
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 18wkd	Count	11560.61	171		10964	115			
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 18wk	Dichotomous	97	171f	(176.3%)	93	32e	(34.4%)		NR
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 18wk	Dichotomous	97	39e	(40.2%)	93	115f	(123.7%)		NR
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 18wke	Dichotomous	97	39	(40.2%)	93	32	(34.4%)		NR
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 18wkf	Dichotomous	97	171	(176.3%)	93	115	(123.7%)		NR
Adverse events:  Any serious adverse event(s) – 18wk	Dichotomous	97	2	(2.1%)	93	0	(0.0%)		NR
Edema peripheral – 18wk	Dichotomous	97	0	(0.0%)	93	6g	(6.5%)		NR
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 18wk	Dichotomous	97	8	(8.2%)	93	7	(7.5%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 18wk	Dichotomous		2	(2.1%)	93	1	(1.1%)		NR
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 18wk	Dichotomous		4	(4.1%)	93	2	(2.2%)		NR

 $<sup>^{\</sup>it a}$  estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m  $^{\it b}$  additional drugs taken with sulfonylurea

Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 18wk	Continuous	97	5.27544	93	5.48232	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 18wk	Continuous	97	0.07758 (SD 0.0259)	93	0.10344 (SD 0.0259)	<=0.001
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 18wk	Continuous	97	1.78382 (SD 0.994)	93	1.68221 (SD 0.994)	NR
Insulin: Total daily dose (U/kg) – 18wk	Mean change	97		93		0.002
Total daily dose (U/kg) – 18wk	Continuous	97	0.7	93	0.5	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD not reported

An ANOVA including treatment and country as fixed effects and with covariate adjustment for baseilne was applied. Hypoglycaemic episodes were analysed by log-linear Poisson regression model, including traetment and country as factors and duration of exposure as an offset. Increase in insulin dose was compared between treatment groups with the Wilcoxon-Mann Whitney test. P-values for comparisons between the insulin groups and the glibenclamide + pioglitazone (data not extracted) are njot reported here.

#### Table 33: Riddle & (1998)

#### General Phase: □ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☑ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy □ insulin+oral Parallel / crossover: Parallel Country: USA Authors' conclusions: Injection of 70/30 insulin before supper safely restored glycemic control of type 2 diabetes not controlled by glimepiride alone. Control was restored more rapidly and with less injected insulin when glimepiride was continued Source of funding: supported financially by Hoechst Marion Roussel Pharmaceuticals Comments: Double-blind trial Number and Total number of patients: 145 characteristics Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes who had successfully used a sulfonylurea for at least 6 of patients months but were not subsequently well controlled with full dosage. They were between 45 and 70 years old and weighed between 130 and 170% of desirable weight at entry. Exclusion criteria: pregnancy or nursing; duration of diabetes >15 years; history of ketoacidosis, autoimmune disease, or major systemic illness other than diabetes; allergy or intolerance to sulfonylureas; use of glucocorticoid agents, phenytoin, nicotinic acid, sympathomimetics, phenothiazines, or isoniazid; serum creatinine or serum alanine aminotransferase >1.5 times the upper limit of normal; and fasting serum C-peptide < 0.4 pmol/ml. Pre-randomisation phase: Eligible subjects discontinued their previous hypoglycemic therapy and were given glimepiride alone at doses titrated up to 8 mg twice daily for 8 weeks. The initial dosage was 8 mg before breakfast. FPG was tested at weekly intervals, and if the value was >150 mg/dl (8.3 mmol/1), the dosage was increased incrementally to 12 mg once daily, 16 mg once daily, and finally to 8 mg before breakfast and before supper after 3 weeks of treatment. If the FPG was <150 mg/dl on two consecutive visits, the patient was dropped from the study. Subjects were also ineligible to continue if, after 2 weeks of treatment with 8 mg glimepiride twice

8 mg twice daily to the end of the 8-week open-label period.

daily, their FPG was <180 mg/dl (10 mmol/1) or >300 mg/dl (16.7 mmol/1). Those continuing treatment took

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> person days calculated using rate reported for all hypos; assumed all events are sum of minor and symptomatic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> episodes per patient week; 95% CI not reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> person days calculated using rate reported for all hypos

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> No of patients

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> No episodes

g approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

Previous	Any participants previously	y taking glucos	se-lo	wer	ing therapy? all on ora	al hy	pogl	ycaemic drugs and	d/or	•
glucose- lowering therapy	insulin  Details of washout period: therapy was discontinued be					ntry	but p	orevious hypoglyca	aem	nic
Lifestyle advice	-									
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 32									
	Length of titration period (	•								
	Length of maintenance per Frequency of monitoring a	` '	Subic	octo	visited the clinic weekly	, dur	ina t	ha anan lahal nha		ot.
	baseline and 2 and 4 weeks of the 24-week treatment per	into the random								
Arms	(1) Glimepiride + insulin (7) N: 72	0% NPH 30% re	gula	ar in	sulin)					
	Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0	ļ								
	Comments: Patients were all discontinued before starting				tudy entry but previous	hypo	oglyd	caemic therapy wa	ıs	
	( ) ( )	rlurea (Oral) – fiz	xed-	dose	•					
	Set dose (i Details of c and suppe	dosing regimen:	cont	tinue	ed with glimepiride 8 m	g twi	ce d	aily, before breakf	ast	
	(b) NPH in	sulin mix 70/30	`		aneous) – flexible-dose	`		• '		
					ects started 70/30 (70%) re supper. The initial de					
	weeks. Aft	er that, the dosa	age v	vas 1	titrated upward accordi	ng to	FB(	G measurements t	take	en
	mmol/1) fo	r 2 consecutive	days	s, the	eased by 10 U weekly o en 5 U weekly until FB0	3 wa	s <=	-120 mg/dl (6.7 mr	mol/	
					BG was consistently 10 as maintained. Small of				20	
	permitted t	o curtail sympto			esting hypoglycemia		,,,,,	o oou		
	(2) Insulin (70% NPH 30% r N: 73	egular insulin)								
	Treatment duration (wks): 24	ļ								
	Washout period (d): 0 Comments: Patients were all	taking sulfonylı	ıroa	at c	tudy entry but previous	hyn	alv	caemic therapy wa		
	discontinued before starting				lady entry but previous	пурс	Jgiy	caemic merapy wa	ıs	
	` '	•			ous) – flexible-dose (do		-			
	Details of (	dosing regimen:	See	glin	nepiride + insulin group	tpr (	deta	IIS		
Outcomes	General		/=	0 (0)	24)					
	11/73 (15%) patients in the in study.	nsulin group and	12/7	2 (3	%) in the glimepiride +	ınsul	ın gı	roup discontinued	the	
	Details of ITT analysis not re	ported (all patie	nts v	vith I	baseline and postbasel	ine c	lata	were included in the	ne	
	analysis) Outcomes not extracted in the	is evidence tabl	e ind	clude	e serum insulin and c-p	eptic	de			
						<b>Op</b>				
Baseline characteristics					piride + insulin (70% 30% regular insulin)	In		n (70% NPH 30% gular insulin)		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Demographics:									H
	Age (years)	Continuous	72		58 (SD 8)	73		58 (SD 8)		
	Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	72	45	(62.5%)	73	40	(54.8%)		
	Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	72		7 (SD 4)	73		7 (SD 4)		
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	72		9.7	73		9.9		
	Body weight:	Continuous	12		J.1	13		J. <del>J</del>		
	PMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	72		22.2 (SD 4.4)	72		22.7 (CD E 4)		

BMI (kg/m2)

Weight (kg) - 0wka

72

72

32.2 (SD 4.4)

90.88128 (SD 12.4)

73

73

33.7 (SD 5.4)

95.11488 (SD 15.2)

Continuous

Continuous

Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	70	9.7 (SD 1.3)	62	9.8 (SD 1.3)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	70	13.9 (SD 2.5)	62	14.5 (SD 2.3)
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	70	93.9 (SD 15.9)	62	99.2 (SD 20.8)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	70	132 (SD 16)	62	137 (SD 17)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	70	80 (SD 8)	62	81 (SD 9)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) - 0wk	Continuous	70	5.89608 (SD 1.24)	62	5.6892 (SD 1.14)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	70	1.21542 (SD 0.233)	62	1.1637 (SD 0.284)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	70	3.1612 (SD 3.33)	62	3.11604 (SD 2.05)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	70	3.41352 (SD 0.931)	62	3.20664 (SD 0.957)
<sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assumin	g mean height	of 1.68r	n		

п	_	_	. 1	4.

			Glimepiride + insulin (70% NPH 30% regular insulin)			nsuli % re		Δp	
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	72		-2.2 (SD 1)	73		-2.1 (SD 1)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	72			73				NS
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	72			73				NR
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	72			73				NS
Hypoglycaemic events:  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wka	Dichotomous	72	0	(0.0%)	73	0	(0.0%)		NR
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	72	37b	(51.4%)	73	27a	(37.0%)		<0.05
moderate hypoglycaemia – 24wka	Dichotomous	72	8	(11.1%)	73	11	(15.1%)		NS
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wkb	Dichotomous	72	66	(91.7%)	73	66	(90.4%)		NR
Any serious adverse event(s) – 24wka	Dichotomous	72	5	(6.9%)	73	3	(4.1%)		NR
Death – 24wk	Dichotomous	72	0	(0.0%)	73	0	(0.0%)		NR
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	72	2	(2.8%)	73	11	(15.1%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	72	0	(0.0%)	73	3	(4.1%)		
Dropout due to hypoglycaemia – 24wk	Dichotomous	72	0	(0.0%)	73	0	(0.0%)		NR
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wk	Continuous	72			73				NS
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wk	Continuous	72			73				NS

_							
	Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l)		70				No
	– 24wk	Continuous	72		73		NS
	HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	72		73		<0.05
	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	72		73		NS
	LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	72		73		NS
	Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 24wk	Continuous	72	49	73	78	<0.001
	Full analysis set (FAS) or efficacy analysis pop Blood glucose:						
	HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	70	7.6 (SD 0.8)	62	7.7 (SD 1)	
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wkc	Continuous	70	145 (SD 25.1)	62	150 (SD 23.6)	
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	70	7.6 (SD 1.8)	62	7.6 (SD 2.2)	
	Body weight:					103.2 (SD	
	Weight (kg) - 24wk	Continuous	70	98.2 (SD 16.5)	62	20.3)	
	Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wk	Continuous	70	134 (SD 16)	62	135 (SD 17)	
	Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 24wk	Continuous	70	80 (SD 9)	62	80 (SD 8)	
	Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) - 24wk	Continuous	70	5.61162 (SD 1.11)	62	5.50818 (SD 0.905)	
	HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	70	1.24128 (SD 0.259)	62	1.293 (SD 0.31)	
	Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	70	2.32574 (SD 1.98)	62	2.05478 (SD 1.05)	
	LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	70	3.3618 (SD 0.802)	62	3.25836 (SD 0.828)	
	0						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> No of patients

An analysis of variance model was used to evaluate change of HbAlc from baseline to end point and change of FPG from baseline to week 2. The Mantel-Haenszel test and Wilcoxons signed-rank test were used to examine between-treatment difference and change from baseline, respectively.

#### **Table 34: Riddle et al. (1992)**

# ## Phase: | monotherapy | dual therapy | triple therapy | insulin monotherapy | insulin monotherapy | insulin monotherapy | insulin+oral | | Parallel / crossover: Parallel | | Country: Unclear but assumed USA | | Authors' conclusions: The data support combined therapy of NPH mixed insulin with sulfonylurea as one option for treating obese people with type 2 diabetes who are no longer responsive to oral therapy alone | | Source of funding: Supported in part by a research grant from Hoechst-Roussel Pharmaceuticals | | Comments: Randomised, double masked, placebo controlled design. Patients were randomised by assigment of study number to a treatment code determined by the manufacturer of the study drug.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> No of patients; approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

c estimated from graph

# Number and characteristics of patients

#### Total number of patients: 21

**Inclusion criteria:** Ambulatory patients were studied. All patients had type 2 diabetes (gradual onset after the age of 40 years) for at least one year duration and were obese with BMI ranging from 29.1 to 43.1 kg/m2 (mean 36 kg/m2). All patients previously used a sulfonylurea but reported no longer having adequate glycaemic control

**Exclusion criteria:** No patients had used corticosteroids, estrogens, thyroid hormone, adrenergic blockers or diuretics in the month prior to study entry

Pre-randomisation phase: Prior sulfonylurea treatment was discontinued and all patients took 20 mg glyburide daily (10 mg before breakfast and 10 mg before supper) for 3 weeks. All patients had FPG averaging >7.8 mmol/l during this baseline period.

# Previous glucose-lowering therapy

Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin

**Details of washout period:** All patients were taking sulfonylurea but this was discontinued and standarised before randomisation

#### Lifestyle advice

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 16

Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 16 Frequency of monitoring appointments:

#### Arms

#### (1) Insulin (NPH 70% regular 30%)

N: 10

Treatment duration (wks): 16

Washout period (d): 0

Comments: All patients standardised sulfonylurea therapy before starting the study

Treatment(s): NPH insulin mix 70/30 (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: All patients took insulin daily before supper. The insulin used was Novolin 70/30 (human 70% NPH 30%). The dose of insulin was adjusted weekly using SMBG values. This started with 30 units daily, increased by 20 units for fasting SMBG >10 mmol/l, 10 units for SMBG 6.7 to 7.8 mmol/l and 5 units for SMBG 5.6 to 6.7 mmol/l. No increases were made when hypoglycaemic symptoms were reported or when SMBG

averaged <=5.6 mmol/l

#### (2) Insulin (NPH 70%, regular 30%) + glyburide

N: 11

Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: All patients standardised sulfonylurea therapy before starting the study

Treatment(s): (a) NPH insulin mix 70/30 (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: See insulin monotherapy group for dosing details

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):20

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: 10 mg glyburide was given twice daily

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Drop outs and ITT analysis not reported (all assumed to be included in analysis).

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include c-peptide, free fatty acid and free insulin.

#### Hypoglycaemic events

All hypoglycaemic events (no events) (No definitions reported)

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Hypoglycaemic causing impaired consciousness or the need for parenteral glucose or glucagon)

# Baseline characteristics

			Insulin (NPH 70% regular 30%)			Insulin (NPH 70%, regular 30%) + glyburide				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	10		52 (SD 22.1)	11		55 (SD 6.63)			
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	10		4 (SD 3.16)	11		6 (SD 3.32)			

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wka	Continuous	10	10.5	11	11.4
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	10	12.5 (SD 3.48)	11	12.5 (SD 2.98)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	10	36.1 (SD 4.74)	11	35.8 (SD 2.98)
Weight (kg) – 0wkb	Continuous	10	101.88864 (SD 13.4)	11	101.04192 (SD 8.42)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	10	5.4 (SD 1.26)	11	6.2 (SD 1.66)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	10	1.2 (SD 0.632)	11	1.1 (SD 0.332)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	10	3.1 (SD 1.9)	11	2.8 (SD 1.33)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	10	3.1 (SD 0.632)	11	3.7 (SD 1.33)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from follow-up and change \*\*\* to check \*\*\* <sup>b</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

#### Results

		Insulin (NPH 70% regular 30%)			Insulin (NPH 70%, regular 30%) + glyburide				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	10		9.7 (SD 0.632)	11		10.1 (SD 0.663) a		
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Mean change	10		-0.8 (SD 0.632) a	11		-1.3 (SD 0.332)		<0.05
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wka	Mean change	10		3.3 (SD 3.79)	11		4.9 (SD 3.32)		NS
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 16wkb	Count	1120	69		1232	97			
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 16wkc	Continuous	10		6.9 (SD 6.64)	11		8.8 (SD 6.63)		
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 16wk	Dichotomous	10			11				NS
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wkd	Count	1120	0		1232	0			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wk	Dichotomous	10	0	(0.0%)	11	0	(0.0%)		NR
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	10	0	(0.0%)	11	0	(0.0%)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	10		5.3 (SD 0.632)	11		6 (SD 1.33)		NS
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	10		1.2 (SD 0.316)	11		1.3 (SD 0.332)		NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	10		2.5 (SD 1.26)	11		2 (SD 0.995)		NS
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	10		3.3 (SD 0.949)	11		3.8 (SD 0.995)		NS
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 16wk	Continuous	10		101 (SD 41.1)	11		50 (SD 16.6)		NR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> SD calculated from SEM

Statistical comparisons were made using unpaired or paired t-tests as appropriate.

b person days estmiated assuming dropout halfway through the trial and no of events calculated using mean no hypos

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> person days estmiated assuming dropout halfway through the trial

## Table 35: Robbins et al. (2007)

Table 35: Ro	bbins et al. (2007)
General	Phase:  monotherapy dual therapy firple therapy insulin monotherapy insulin monotherapy insulin monotherapy insulin-toral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Australia, Greece, India, The Netherlands, Poland, Puerto Rico and USA  Authors' conclusions: In these patients with type 2 diabetes, meal time lispro mix (50/50) + metformin was associated with lower overall Hba1c and preprandial BG and PPBG levels with similar nocturnal hypoglycaemia and less glycaemic variability compared with insulin glargine + metformin  Source of funding: -  Comments: Open label, parallel group trial. Stratified randomisation through a central interactive telephone system
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 315 Inclusion criteria: Male and female patients with type 2 diabetes aged 35-75 years, with an Hba1c 6.5 to 11.0% and current use of metformin and/or a sulfonylurea with a stable dose of 0 to 2 daily insulin injections over the previous 3 months were eligible for inclusion. <b>Exclusion criteria:</b> patients receiving continuous SC insulin infusion, >=3 daily insulin injections, or a total daily insulin dose >2.0 U/kg, or who had a change in the type or dose of lipid altering medications or thiazolidinedione up to 3 months before the study, fasting triclycerides >4.5 mmol/l, serum creatinine >134 $\mu$ mol/L (men) or >109 $\mu$ mol/L (women) and/or clinical signs of liver disease. Women of child bearing age were required to have a negative pregnancy test result before lead in and to use an effective method of contraception throughout the study  Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 6 ± 2 week lead in period was used to allow patients who were insulin naïve time to adjust to injecting insulin and to adjust their insulin doses, and to allow those who were metformin-naïve time to increase their doses to the maximally tolerated daily dosage of 1000 to 2000 mg in 2 divided doses.
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: Patients had current use of metformin and/or a sulfonylurea with a stable dose of 0 to 2 daily insulin injections over the previous 3 months were eligible for inclusion. Other OAMs were discontinued
Lifestyle advice	Patients received verbal and written instructions about appropriate diet and exercise
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 32 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 24 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
Arms	(1) Metformin + insulin lispro mix (50/50)  N: 158  Treatment duration (wks): 24  Washout period (d): 0  Treatment(s):  (a) Metformin (Oral) – forced titration  Frequency of dosing: twice a day  Details of dosing regimen: There was a 6 ± 2 week lead in period was used to allow patients who were insulin naïve time to adjust to injecting insulin and to adjust their insulin doses, and to allow those who were metformin-naïve time to increase their doses to the maximally tolerated daily dosage of 1000 to 2000 mg in 2 divided doses.  (b) Insulin lispro mix 50/50 (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Frequency of dosing: three times a day  Details of dosing regimen: Investigators were asked to adjust doses of both insulins as needed throughout the study, using a dose-titration algorithm as a guidance to achieve target BG levels (FBG <6.7 mmol/l) LM 50/50 was also titrated to a target 2 hour PPBG concentration <8 mmol/l. Insulin was started with 80% of total daily LM 75/25 dose with one third injected at each meal in patients receiving LM 50/50 and the entire dose injected at bedtime in patients receiving glargine. Ideally dose optimisation occurred within 8 weeks after randomisation. Patients receiving LM 50/50 + metformin were unable to reach the FBG target could be switched to pre-supper LM 75/25 to increase the basal insulin component.  LM 50/50 in insulin pens TID before meals plus metformin BID

#### (2) Metformin + insulin glargine

N: 159

Treatment duration (wks): 24 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: As LM 50/50 group

(b) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: QD at bedtime. See LM 50/50 for details of dosing.

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Analyses were performed on data from randomised patients wgo received >=1 dose of the study drug (ITT). LOCF was used for patients who discontinued before week 24.

15 (9.5%) patients in the LM 50/50 group and 22 (13.8%) in the glargine group discontinued the study Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include self monitored BG and PPBG

#### Hypoglycaemic events

All hypoglycaemic events (no events) (All episodes when a patient experienced, or another person observed, signs and symptoms of hypoglycaemia or when BG measured <3.5 mmol/L)

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (episodes requiring the assistance of another person and with BG <2.9 mmol/L or prompt recovery from symptoms after ingsting oral carbohydrate, IV glucose or glucagon)

Nocturnal hypoglycaemia (episodes occurring after bedtime and before awakening)

# Baseline characteristics

		Metformin + insulin lispro mix (50/50)			Metformin + insulin glargine				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
ITT Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	157		57.4 (SD 9.2)	158		58.1 (SD 8.9)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	157	79	(50.3%)	158	78	(49.4%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	157		11.3 (SD 5.8)	158		12.5 (SD 6.8)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	157		7.8 (SD 0.9)	158		7.8 (SD 1)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	157		32.1 (SD 6.3)	158		32 (SD 6)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	157		89.1 (SD 20.4)	158		88.1 (SD 19)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	Continuous	157		4.9 (SD 1)	158		4.8 (SD 1)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	Continuous	157		1.6 (SD 0.9)	158		1.6 (SD 0.8)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Metformin	Dichotomous	157	99	(63.1%)	158	85	(53.8%)		
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	157	10	(6.4%)	158	16	(10.1%)		
Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	157	45	(28.7%)	158	54	(34.2%)		
Other	Dichotomous	157	3	(1.9%)	158	3	(1.9%)		
Insulin therapya	Continuous	157		0.6 (SD 0.3)	158		0.6 (SD 0.3)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> total daily dose (U/kg) after lead-in

#### Results

		Metformin + insulin lispro mix (50/50)		Metformin + insulin glargine					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Mean change	157			158				<0.001
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% - 24wk	Dichotomous	157			158				0.005
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 24wk	Dichotomous	157			158				0.001

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	157			158			<0.001
Body weight:								
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	157			158			<0.001
Hypoglycaemic events:  All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 24wka	Count	25116	586		24696	247		
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 24wk	Dichotomous		000		158			0.07
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 24wkb	Count	25284	180		24864	266		
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia –			100			200		
24wk	Dichotomous	157			158			NS
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 24wk	Dichotomous	157	15	(9.6%)	158	22	(13.9%)	
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 24wk	Continuous	157			158			<0.001
Total daily dose (U/kg) – 24wk	Continuous	157			158			<0.001
ITT								
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkc	Continuous	157			158			
				7.1 (SD			7.5 (SD	
HbA1c (%) – 24wk	Continuous	157		0.9)	158		0.9)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 24wk	Dichotomous	157	85	(54.1%)	158	58	(36.7%)	
HbA1c <= 6.5% – 24wk	Dichotomous	157	46	(29.3%)	158	21	(13.3%)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 24wk	Continuous	157		8.1 (SD 1.8)	158		6.5 (SD 1.6)	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 24wk	Continuous	157		90 (SD 20.5)	158		87.6 (SD 19.3)	
Weight (kg) – 24wk	Mean change	157		1.2 (SD 3.2)	158		-0.5 (SD 2.8)	
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no				0.8 (SD			0.5 (SD	
events) – 24wkd	Continuous	157		1.4)	158		1)	
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 24wke	Dichotomous	157	3	(1.9%)	158	2	(1.3%)	
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 24wkd	Continuous	157		0.2 (SD 0.7)	158		0.3 (SD 0.6)	
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 24wkf	Dichotomous	157	111	(70.7%)	158	95	(60.1%)	
Any serious adverse event(s)				,			, ,	
– 24wkf	Dichotomous		11	(7.0%)	158	5	(3.2%)	
Arthralgia – 24wkf	Dichotomous		8	(5.1%)	158	0	(0.0%)	
Back pain – 24wkf	Dichotomous		9	(5.7%)	158	0	(0.0%)	
GI: diarrhoea – 24wkf	Dichotomous		10	(6.4%)	158	9	(5.7%)	
Headache – 24wkf	Dichotomous	15/	10	(6.4%)	158	10	(6.3%)	
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 24wkf	Dichotomous	157	14	(8.9%)	158	11	(7.0%)	
Nasopharyngitis – 24wkf	Dichotomous	157	14	(8.9%)	158	11	(7.0%)	
Pain (extremity) – 24wkf	Dichotomous	157	9	(5.7%)	158	0	(0.0%)	
Dropouts:								
Dropout due to AEs – 24wk	Dichotomous	157	5	(3.2%)	158	1	(0.6%)	
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 24wk	Continuous	157		65.3 (SD 37.2)	158		54.9 (SD 36.7)	
Total daily dose (U/kg) –				0.7 (SD			0.6 (SD	
24wk <sup>a</sup> person days estimated assuming	Continuous dropout half w	157 vay thro	ugh tr	0.3)	158 f events	calcu	0.3) lated using	reported
rates (episodes per patient per 30		,			2.0.10		2 23119	F 2.1.00

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2015

b person days estimated assuming dropout half way through trial and no of events calculated using reported rates c error bars in graph not symmetrical d no episodes per patient per 30 days No patients f No of patients
Categorical data were analysed using chi-squared. Hypoglycaemia incidence and Hba1c targets were analysed using Fishers exact test. Mean data were analysed using type III ANCOVA with treatment and country as fixed effects and baseline value as covariate. An LOCF analysis was performed, with adjustments for missing values for variables measured at least twice. P-values for adverse events were not reported.

# Table 36: Russell-Jones et al. (2009)

Phase:	Tubic co. Ite	133011 VOITES CT 411. (2003)
characteristics of patients  Inclusion criteria: 18–80 years old, with type 2 diabetes treated with oral glucose-lowering drugs (OGLAs) (94–95% combination therapy) for at least 3 months before screening. Criteria included HbA1c level of 7.5–10% if on OGLA monotherapy or 7–10% if on OGLA combination therapy, and BMI=45kg/m2  Exclusion criteria: used insulin within 3 months prior to the trial (except for short-term treatment for intercurrent illness); had impaired hepatic or renal function, clinically significant cardiovascular disease, proliferative retinopathy or maculopathy, hypertension (=180/100 mmHg) or cancer; were pregnant; experienced recurrent hypoglycaemia or hypoglycaemia unawareness, or used any drugs except for OGLAs that could affect blood glucose levels  Pre-randomisation phase: Patients were randomised if they met the inclusion criteria, had received glimepinde (4 mg) and metformin (2 g) treatment for at least 3 weeks and had a fasting plasma glucose (FPG) between 7.5 and 12.8 mmol/l after the 6 week run-in.  Previous glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: patients who were not adequately controlled on metformin + sulfonlyurea were included and this combination was standardised during the 6 week run-in period  No details reported  Follow-up  Total follow-up (wks): 32  Length of titration period (wks): 6  Length of maintenance period (wks): 26  Frequency of monitoring appointments: 9 visits in total  Arms  (1) Metformin + sulfonylurea + liraglutide  N: 230  Treatment duration (wks): 26  Washout period (d): 0  Treatment (s): (a) Metformin (Oral)  Details of dosing regimen: During the run-in period there was forced metformin and glimepiride dose escalation over 3 weeks followed by a 3 week maintenance period.  Participants already on 2 g metformin and sulfonylurea therapy could proceed directly to	General	□ monotherapy □ dual therapy □ triple therapy □ insulin monotherapy □ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: 17 countries  Authors' conclusions: In conclusion, this 26 week trial demonstrated that the once-daily human GLP-1 analogue liraglutide added to combination therapy with metformin and glimepiride in patients with type 2 diabetes resulted in statistically significant superior glycaemic control compared with insulin glargine, but the difference was within the predefined non-inferiority margin of 0.4 percentage points  Source of funding: Novo Nordisk  Comments: Open label trial. Randomisation (using a telephone or web-based randomisation system). Investigators, participants and study monitors were blinded to the treatment status of the liraglutide and
insulin  Details of washout period: patients who were not adequately controlled on metformin + sulfonlyurea were included and this combination was standardised during the 6 week run-in period  Lifestyle advice  No details reported  Total follow-up (wks): 32 Length of titration period (wks): 6 Length of maintenance period (wks): 26 Frequency of monitoring appointments: 9 visits in total  Arms  (1) Metformin + sulfonylurea + liraglutide N: 230 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: During the run-in period there was forced metformin and glimepiride dose escalation over 3 weeks followed by a 3 week maintenance period. Participants already on 2 g metformin and sulfonylurea therapy could proceed directly to	characteristics	Inclusion criteria: 18–80 years old, with type 2 diabetes treated with oral glucose-lowering drugs (OGLAs) (94–95% combination therapy) for at least 3 months before screening. Criteria included HbA1c level of 7.5–10% if on OGLA monotherapy or 7–10% if on OGLA combination therapy, and BMI=45kg/m2  Exclusion criteria: used insulin within 3 months prior to the trial (except for short-term treatment for intercurrent illness); had impaired hepatic or renal function, clinically significant cardiovascular disease, proliferative retinopathy or maculopathy, hypertension (=180/100 mmHg) or cancer; were pregnant; experienced recurrent hypoglycaemia or hypoglycaemia unawareness, or used any drugs except for OGLAs that could affect blood glucose levels  Pre-randomisation phase: Patients were randomised if they met the inclusion criteria, had received glimepiride (4 mg) and metformin (2 g) treatment for at least 3 weeks and had a fasting plasma glucose
Follow-up  Total follow-up (wks): 32 Length of titration period (wks): 6 Length of maintenance period (wks): 26 Frequency of monitoring appointments: 9 visits in total  (1) Metformin + sulfonylurea + liraglutide N: 230 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: During the run-in period there was forced metformin and glimepiride dose escalation over 3 weeks followed by a 3 week maintenance period. Participants already on 2 g metformin and sulfonylurea therapy could proceed directly to	glucose- lowering	insulin  Details of washout period: patients who were not adequately controlled on metformin + sulfonlyurea were
Length of titration period (wks): 6 Length of maintenance period (wks): 26 Frequency of monitoring appointments: 9 visits in total  (1) Metformin + sulfonylurea + liraglutide N: 230 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: During the run-in period there was forced metformin and glimepiride dose escalation over 3 weeks followed by a 3 week maintenance period. Participants already on 2 g metformin and sulfonylurea therapy could proceed directly to	Lifestyle advice	No details reported
N: 230 Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0 Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) Details of dosing regimen: During the run-in period there was forced metformin and glimepiride dose escalation over 3 weeks followed by a 3 week maintenance period. Participants already on 2 g metformin and sulfonylurea therapy could proceed directly to	Follow-up	Length of titration period (wks): 6 Length of maintenance period (wks): 26
	Arms	N: 230  Treatment duration (wks): 26  Washout period (d): 0  Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)  Details of dosing regimen: During the run-in period there was forced metformin and glimepiride dose escalation over 3 weeks followed by a 3 week maintenance period.  Participants already on 2 g metformin and sulfonylurea therapy could proceed directly to

investigator. During the dose-escalation period, doses of metformin and glimepiride were increased by up to 2 g/day and 4 mg/day, respectively.

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 3.4

Details of dosing regimen: see metformin for details

(c) Liraglutide (Subcutaneous) - fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):1.8

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: After randomisation, patients in the liraglutide group underwent a 2 week dose escalation, starting at 0.6 mg once daily with weekly increments of 0.6 mg, reaching a final daily dose of 1.8 mg by the end of the second week; daily placebo injections were matched for volume. After the 2 week dose-escalation period the liraglutide dose was fixed for 24 weeks. Trial medication was administered by subcutaneous injection in the abdomen, thigh or upper arm using a pre-filled pen device

#### (2) Metformin + sulfonylurea +insulin glargine

N: 232

Treatment duration (wks): 26 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Details of dosing regimen: During the run-in period there was forced metformin and glimepiride dose escalation over 3 weeks followed by a 3 week maintenance period. Participants already on 2 g metformin and sulfonylurea therapy could proceed directly to the maintenance regimen at the discretion of the

investigator. During the dose-escalation period, doses of metformin and glimepiride were increased by up to 2 g/day and 4 mg/day, respectively.

(b) Sulfonylurea (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 3.6

Details of dosing regimen: see metformin for details

(c) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous)

Mean dose (mg/d): 24

Details of dosing regimen: Insulin was titrated by patients following instruction by the investigator according to a specific and widely adopted dosing algorithm for insulin glargine based on fasting concentration of blood glucose (adapted from A Trial comparing Lantus Algorithms to achieve Normal blood glucose Targets in patients with Uncontrolled blood Sugar [AT-LANTUS]). The starting dose of insulin glargine was numerically equivalent to the highest FPG value in mmol/l over the previous 7 days. During the first 8 weeks of treatment, the dose was titrated twice weekly by the participant, based on self-measured FPG, aiming for a target value of FPG=5.5 mmol/l. After 8 weeks of treatment, the frequency of monitoring and titration was at the investigator's discretion, but at minimum the insulin glargine dose was adjusted at the 12 and 18 week visits. The investigator reviewed the doses and these could be changed at his/her discretion.

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

The data were analysed for the intent-to-treat population, defined as patients who were exposed to at least one dose of trial product(s) after randomisation. For the primary endpoint, HbA1c, the statistical analysis was also performed without the last observation carried forward on the perprotocol population.

10% of patients in the liraglutide group, 16% in the placebo group and 6% in the insulin group withdrew from the study.

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include beta cell function, postprandial blood glucose

#### Hypoglycaemic events

#### hypoglycaemic episodes based on symptoms and PG (<3.1 mmol/l).

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Episodes requiring third-party medical assistance were classified as major)

# Baseline characteristics

			Metformin + sulfonylurea + liraglutide			Metformin + sulfonylurea +insulin glargine				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	230		57.6 (SD 9.5)	232		57.5 (SD 10.5)			
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	230	131	(57.0%)	232	139a	(59.9%)			
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	230		9.2 (SD 5.8)	232		9.7 (SD 6.4)			
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	230		8.3 (SD 0.9)	232		8.2 (SD 0.9)			

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	230	9.1 (SD 2.1)	232	9.1 (SD 2)
Body weight:					
BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	230	30.4 (SD 5.3)	232	30.3 (SD 5.3)
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	230	85.5 (SD 19.4)	232	85 (SD 17.9)
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	230	135 (SD 15)	232	133 (SD 14.7)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 0wk	Continuous	230	80.8 (SD 9.1)	232	80.5 (SD 8)

<sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

		Metformin + sulfonylurea + liraglutide			su	etforn Ifonyl ulin g			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 26wk	Continuous	230			232			MD=- 0.240 (CI: -0.390, - 0.090)	0.0015
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 26wk	Continuous	230			232			MD=- 3.430 (CI: -4.000, - 2.860)	<0.0001
Hypoglycaemic events: minor hypoglycaemic events – 26wka	Count	39767	131		19110	68			
minor hypoglycaemic events – 26wkb	Continuous	230	1.2		232	1.3			
minor hypoglycaemic events – 26wkc	Dichotomous	230	63	(27.4%)	232	67	(28.9%)		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 26wka	Count	39767	7		19110	0			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 26wkb	Continuous	230	0.06		232	0			
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 26wkd	Count	39767	109		41041	202			
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 26wkb	Continuous	230	1		232	1.8			
Adverse events:									
GI: nausea – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	32	(13.9%)	232	3	(1.3%)		
GI: nausea – 26wkf	Count	39767	41		41041	3			
Any serious adverse event(s) – 26wkg	Dichotomous	230	9	(3.9%)	232	16	(6.9%)		
Arthralgia – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	4	(1.7%)	232	6	(2.6%)		
Back pain – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	7	(3.0%)	232	8	(3.4%)		
Dizziness – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	3	(1.3%)	232	1	(0.4%)		
Dyspepsia – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	15	(6.5%)	232	4	(1.7%)		
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	87	(37.8%)	232	18	(7.8%)		
GI: diarrhoea – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	23	(10.0%)	232	3	(1.3%)		
GI: vomiting – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	15	(6.5%)	232	1	(0.4%)		
GI: abdominal pain – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	10	(4.3%)	232	2	(0.9%)		
GI: gastritis – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	3	(1.3%)	232	1	(0.4%)		

GI: gatroenteritis – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	3	(1.3%)	232	3	(1.3%)		
GI: discomfort – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	3	(1.3%)	232	1	(0.4%)		
GI: abdominal distension – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	3	(1.3%)	232	1	(0.4%)		
GI: constipation – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	5	(2.2%)	232	2	(0.9%)		
Headache – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	22	(9.6%)	232	13	(5.6%)		
liver function/liver enzymes – 26wk	Dichotomous	230	3e		232	h			
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	22	(9.6%)	232	34	(14.7%)		
Nasopharyngitis – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	21	(9.1%)	232	26	(11.2%)		
Pancreatitis – 26wke	Dichotomous	230	0	(0.0%)	232	0	(0.0%)		
Dropouts:			-	(=== /0)		-	(=== /0)		
Total dropouts – 26wk	Dichotomous	230	23	(10.0%)	232	13	(5.6%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 26wk	Dichotomous	220	11	(4.8%)	232	5	(2.2%)		
Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect –	Dictioloffious	230	11	(4.076)	232	3	(2.270)		
26wk	Dichotomous	230	2	(0.9%)	232	1	(0.4%)		
Blood pressure: Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wki	Mean change	230		-4	232		0.54	MD=- 4.510 (CI: -6.820, - 2.200)	0.0001
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) – 26wkh	Continuous	230			232				NS
Diabetic complications: Retinopathy – 26wk	Dichotomous	230	2	(0.9%)	232	4	(1.7%)		
ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wkj	Continuous	230		6.75 (SD 1.82)	232		7.1 (SD 1.5)		
				-1.33			-1.09		
HbA1c (%) – 26wkk	Mean change	230		(SD 1.36)	232		(SD 1.37)		
HbA1c (%) – 26wkk  Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkl		230		(SD	232		(SD		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) –	change			(SD 1.36) 7.35 (SD			(SD 1.37) 7.1 (SD		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wkl Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) –	change  Continuous	230		(SD 1.36) 7.35 (SD 1.52) 7.6 (SD	232		(SD 1.37) 7.1 (SD 3.05) 7.3 (SD		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> person years estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study and no of events calculated using reported rates

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> events per patient per year

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> FBG<3.1 mmol/l during treatment period; No of patients

d person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study and no of events calculated using reported rates No of patients

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> Number of events; person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study

g approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> NR

SD not reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>j</sup> SD calculated from SE estimated from graph SD calculated from reported SEM

estimated from graph (assumed SD as not otherwise noted)

Each endpoint was analysed using an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) model with treatment, pre-treatment and country as fixed effects and baseline as the covariate. Missing baseline values were not imputed; that is, participants without a baseline value were excluded from the primary analysis. Post-baseline missing values were replaced using last observation carried. The proportion of participants achieving HbA1c targets was compared using a logistic regression model with treatment as fixed effect and baseline HbA1c as a covariate. Hypoglycaemic episodes were analysed using a generalised linear model including treatment and country as fixed effects. Other safety data were compared by descriptive statistics.

# Table 37: Shanmugasundar et al. (2012)

i abie 31. 311	aninugasundar et al. (2012)
General	Phase:
	□ monotherapy □ dual therapy
	☑ triple therapy
	□ insulin monotherapy □ insulin+oral
	Parallel / crossover: Parallel
	Country: India
	<b>Authors' conclusions:</b> The thrice daily biphasic human insulin regimen is non-inferior to the basal bolus insulin analogue regimen in terms of efficacy and safety in patients with poorly controlled type 2 diabetes.
	Howevere, these data require further substantiation in large long term prospective studies
	Source of funding: Unclear funding
	Comments: Open label, randomised trial
Number and	Total number of patients: 54
characteristics of patients	Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes who were inadequately controlled while receiving biphasic
or patients	human insulin (BHI 30/70) bid, metformin 2g/day and pioglitazone 30 mg/day were recruited, with Hba1c >7%, single time insulin dose >25 units and having post-lunch and/or pre dinner hyperglycaemia
	<b>Exclusion criteria:</b> Patients with deranged liver function tests, serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dl, decompensated
	heart failure/unstable angina/MI within 6 months, untreated proliferative retinopathy, pregnancy, any other acute co-morbid illness, drug or alcohol dependence
	·
Previous glucose-	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin
lowering	<b>Details of washout period:</b> All patients were on biphasic insulin + metformin + pioglitazone at study entry
therapy	
Lifestyle advice	Lifestyle modifications were reinforced
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 12
	Length of titration period (wks): 0
	Length of maintenance period (wks): 12 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
Arms	(1) BHI (biphasic human insulin) + metformin + pioglitazone N: 27
	Treatment duration (wks): 12
	Washout period (d): 0 Comments: all on biphasic insulin + metformin + pioglitazone at study entry
	Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)
	Set dose (mg/d):2000
	Details of dosing regimen: continued metformin + pioglitazone
	(b) Pioglitazone (Oral) Set dose (mg/d):30
	Details of dosing regimen: continued metformin + pioglitazone
	(c) Biphasic human insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)
	Details of dosing regimen: Mixtard 30/70 was administered 30 mins before each meal. The
	total daily dose was distributed in 40/20/40 ratio (breakfast/lunch/dinner). Doses were adjusted throughout the treatment period targeting ranges FBG 80-110 mg/dl, 2h PPG
	<140 mg/dl
	(2) BB (basal bolus insulin-mealtime aspart + bedtime detemir) + metformin + pioglitazone N: 27
	Treatment duration (wks): 12
	Washout period (d): 0
	Comments: all on biphasic insulin + metformin + pioglitazone at study entry

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral)

Set dose (mg/d):2000

Details of dosing regimen: continued metformin + pioglitazone

(b) Pioglitazone (Oral) Mean dose (mg/d): 30

Details of dosing regimen: continued metformin + pioglitazone

(c) Insulin (long acting + short acting) (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Details of dosing regimen: In the basal bolus regimen, aspart was injected immediately before breakfast, lunch and dinner, while determir was administered at bedtime. The total daily dose was split between aspart (60%) and determir (40%). Doses were adjusted throughout the treatment period targeting ranges FBG 80-110 mg/dl, 2h PPG <140 mg/dl

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

Outcomes not reported in this evidence table include costs

2/27 patients in each group discontinued the study and not included in analysis.

Study completers were analysed

# Hypoglycaemic events

Nocturnal hypoglycaemia (occurred between 10pm and 6am)

# Baseline characteristics

			sulir	oiphasic human a) + metformin + dioglitazone		ispa	al bolus insulin-mealtime rt + bedtime detemir) + formin + pioglitazone		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Study completers/observed cases Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	25		53.9 (SD 8.1)	25		53.8 (SD 9.5)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	25	10	(40.0%)	25	15	(60.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	25		14.1 (SD 5.1)	25		13.2 (SD 6.4)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	25		9 (SD 0.9)	25		9.4 (SD 1.3)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	25		9.2528502 (SD 3.54)	25		10.3574196 (SD 4.48)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	25		29.2 (SD 4.8)	25		31.25 (SD 4.7)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	25		82.41408 (SD 13.5)	25		88.2 (SD 13.3)		
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	25		101.1 (SD 9.13)	25		107.2 (SD 10.5)		
Insulin: Total daily dose (U)	Continuous	25		58.9 (SD 7.01)	25		60.2 (SD 6.75)		
Total daily dose (U/kg) – 0wk	Continuous	25		0.8 (SD 0.15)	25		0.72 (SD 0.1)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		BHI (biphasic human insulin) + metformin + pioglitazone				(ba Itim tem p			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wk	Continuous	27			27				NS
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	27			27				NR
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Mean change	27			27				NR

Weight (kg) - 12wk	Mean change	27			27			NS
Hypoglycaemic events:								
hypoglycaemic events – 12wk	Dichotomous	27			27			NS
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wk	Dichotomous	27			27			NS
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 12wk	Dichotomous	27			27			0.03
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 12wk	Dichotomous	27			27			NS
Insulin: Total daily dose (U/kg) – 12wk	Mean change	27			27			<0.0
Study completers/observed cases Blood glucose:	Mean							
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	change	25		-1.1 (SD 0.5)	25		-1.2 (SD 0.6)	
HbA1c (%) – 12wkb	Continuous	25		7.9 (SD 0.8)	25		8.2 (SD 1)	
HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 12wk	Dichotomous	25	4	(16.0%)	25	4	(16.0%)	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 12wk	Continuous	25		5.7004662 (SD 1.04)	25		6.2000202 (SD 0.76)	
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 12wk	Mean change	25		0.58 (SD 0.61)	25		0.62 (SD 0.6)	
Weight (kg) - 12wk	Continuous	25		76 (SD 11.6)	25		86.9 (SD 14.4)	
Weight (kg) – 12wk	Mean change	25		1.45 (SD 0.33)	25		1.38 (SD 0.34)	
Hypoglycaemic events: minor hypoglycaemic events – 12wk	Count	2100	53	,	2100	37	,	
minor hypoglycaemic events – 12wkc	Continuous	25		9.2	25		6.4	
minor hypoglycaemic events – 12wkd	Dichotomous	25	20	(80.0%)	25	15	(60.0%)	
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wk	Count	2100	2		2100	3	·	
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wkc	Continuous	25		0.35	25		0.52	
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 12wkd	Dichotomous		2	(8.0%)	25	3	(12.0%)	
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 12wk	Count	2100		,	2100	16	,	
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 12wk	Count	2100			2100	13		
Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 12wkc	Continuous	25		2.6	25		2.3	

Nocturnal hypoglycaemia – 12wkd	Dichotomous	25	12	(48.0%)	25	8	(32.0%)			
Insulin: Total daily dose (U/kg) – 12wk	Continuous	25		0.94 (SD 0.21)	25		1.18 (SD 0.36)			
Total daily dose (U/kg) – 12wk	Mean change	25		-0.15 (SD 0.21)	25		-0.46 (SD 0.32)			
a post hoc analysis b assumed SD reported c episodes per patient year; no 95% CI reported d No patients										
For normally distributed continuous data, students t-test for two groups was used. For time related comparison paired t-test or wilcoxon signed rank test were applied. Categorical variables were compared using chi square or fishers exact test.										

Table 38: Sto	ehouwer et al. (2003)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☑ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Unclear but assumed The Netherlands  Authors' conclusions: The glimepiride + NPH insulin treatment resulted in a higher Hba1c level as compared to the other regimens. In the clinical setting of this multicentre study, good glycaemic control was only achieved in a minority of the patients, irrespective of the applied regimen  Source of funding: Aventis  Comments: Open label trial. A central office carried out randomisation
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 261 Inclusion criteria: obese patients with type 2 diabetes with secondary failure to oral blood glucose lowering agents (metformin + sulfonylurea). Hba1c >7% with diet and oral hypoglycaemic drugs (at least 3 tablets of sulfonylurea and 1 g metformin), aged 40-70 years and BMI 25-40 kg/m2.  Exclusion criteria: Details not reported  Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 12 week run-in phase in which patients received a combination of glimepiride and metformin (500 mg bid). The glimepiride was titrated to 6 mg targeting a FBG below 7.4 mmol/l. patients with Hba1c >6.5% at the end of the run-in phase were randomly assigned
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All patients were taking metformin + sulfonylurea at study entry. Metformin was stopped in all arms.
Lifestyle advice	Patients were seen by a diabetologist and diabetes nurse educator every 3 months. The dietary advice was focused on healthy nutrition. Seevre energy restriction was discouraged.
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 51 Length of titration period (wks): 0 Length of maintenance period (wks): 39 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
Arms	(1) Glimepiride + NPH (bedtime) N: 86 Treatment duration (wks): 36 Washout period (d): 0 Comments: patients were taking metformin + glimepiride at study entry (metformin was stopped in all arms). No additional medication that might inflence glycaemia was prescribed in the therapy groups. Treatment(s): (a) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Details of dosing regimen: The dose of glimepiride achieved during the run-in phase was assumed to be maintained through the treatment phase.

(b) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: Glycaemic targets were 4-7.4 mmol/l for FBG and 4-10 mmol/l for postprandial levels. The therapeutic aim was a Hba1c <=6.5%. The insulin dose was adjusted twice a week in steps of 2 to 4 IU until targets were achieved.

# (2) NPH insulin (bid)

N: 88

Treatment duration (wks): 39

Washout period (d): 0

Comments: patients were taking metformin + glimepiride at study entry (metformin was stopped in all arms). No additional medication that might inflence glycaemia was prescribed in the therapy groups.

Treatment(s): NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

#### **Outcomes**

#### General

No details of drop outs reported

No details of ITT analysis

All outcomes were extracted in this evidence table

## Hypoglycaemic events

minor hypoglycaemic events (Symptoms of mild hypoglycaemic events include sweating, trembling, excessive hunger, fatigue and/or measured BG <3.5 mmol/L.)

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Events requiring the assistance of another person)

# Baseline characteristics

			Glim	nepiride + NPH (bedtime)	ı	NPH	insulin (bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	86		57.6 (SD 8.4)	88		58.4 (SD 7.3)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	86	45	(52.3%)	88	43	(48.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	86		8.1 (SD 4.9)	88		7.9 (SD 5.2)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	86		9.4 (SD 1.4)	88		9.4 (SD 1.4)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 0wk	Continuous	86		29.8 (SD 3.7)	88		29.2 (SD 3.2)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	86		86 (SD 13.9)	88		82.1 (SD 11.6)		

			epirid bedti	e + NPH me)	NPH	insul	in (bid)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 36wk	Continuous	86		8.9 (SD 1.2)	88		8.3 (SD 1)		<0.001
HbA1c <= 6.5% - 36wk	Dichotomous	86	1	(1.2%)	88	3	(3.4%)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2) – 36wk	Continuous	86			88				NS
Weight (kg) – 36wk	Continuous	86		89.8 (SD 14.5)	88		87.5 (SD 13.1)		NS
Hypoglycaemic events: minor hypoglycaemic events – 36wka	Count	21672	253		22176	355			
minor hypoglycaemic events – 36wkb	Dichotomous	86	53	(61.6%)	88	63	(71.6%)		NS
minor hypoglycaemic events – 36wkc	Continuous	86		0.35	88		0.48		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 36wkd	Count	23478	0		24024	0			

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 36wk	Dichotomous	86	0	(0.0%)	88	0	(0.0%)	NS				
Dropouts:												
Total dropouts – 36wk	Dichotomous	86	0	(0.0%)	88	0	(0.0%)					
Insulin:  Total daily dose (U) – 36wk  Continuous  86  3.9)  88  2.9)  NS  a person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study and no events calculated using reported rates  b No of patients												
c events per patient month; 95% CI not reported d person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study												
Differences between groups for Hba1c over time were analysed using repeated measures ANOVA. Using multivariate analyses for baseline values, the changes were adjusted for gender and age. Differences in the number of patients with hypoglycaemic events between groups were analysed using chi sqaured and incidences were compared using ANOVA.												

# Table 39: Ushakova et al. (2007)

Table 39: US	hakova et al. (2007)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Russian Federation  Authors' conclusions: In these patients with type 2 diabetes that was poorly controlled by OADs, BIAsp30  TID and BIAsp 30 BID plus metformin were associated with significantly greater reductions in Hba1c and postprandial BG compared with OADs alone. The insulin regimens were associated with significantly more weight gain than OADs alone. There were no differences in rates of hypoglycaemia between the insulin regimens  Source of funding: Novo Nordisk  Comments: Randomised, open label, parallel-group trial. Randomisation was carried out using sealed codes, prepared by blocks.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 308 Inclusion criteria: The study population consisted of insulin naïve men and women with type 2 diabetes who had been receiving treatment with >=1 OAD for at least 6 months. They were aged 40-70 years and had a BMI <= 35.0 kg/m2 and a Hba1c >=8.0% Exclusion criteria: patients with significant medical problems or using medication known to interfere with glucose metabolism were excluded, as were pregnant or breastfeeding women.
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All patients were taking >=1 OAD (some were on monotherapy and some were on dual therapy), these current treatments were discontinued in the insulin arms
Lifestyle advice	
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 16 Length of titration period (wks): 8 Length of maintenance period (wks): 8 Frequency of monitoring appointments: -
Arms	(1) BIAsp 30 BID then TID  N: 104  Treatment duration (wks): 16  Washout period (d): 0  Comments: Current OAD treatments were discontinued in both insulin arms  Treatment(s): Biphasic insulin aspart (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Frequency of dosing: three times a day  Details of dosing regimen: Treatment began the day after randomisation. During the first 2

weeks of the titration period, BIAsp 30 was receievd BID, started at a total daily dose of 0.3 to 0.5 U/kg body weight, with half given at breakfast and half at the evening meal. After 2 weeks, the investigator divided the total daily insulin dose into 3 injections given before each meal. After week 8, no further titration of insulin or OADs were allowed for the remaining 8 weeks except as required for daily glucose control. The target for all three treatment groups was achievement of premeal/bedtime BG 4.4 to 7.0 mmol/l and postprandial BG 4.4 to 9.0 mmol/l

### (2) BIAsp 30 BID + metformin

N: 100

Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0

Comments: Current OAD treatments were discontinued in both insulin arms

Treatment(s): (a) Biphasic insulin aspart (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted) Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: Treatment began the day after randomisation. During the first 2 weeks of the titration period, BIAsp 30 was received BID, started at a total daily dose of 0.3 to 0.5 U/kg body weight, with half given at breakfast and half at the evening meal. After 2 weeks, patients continued treatment with the addition of metformin.

(b) Metformin (Oral) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Maximum dose (mg/d): 2000

Details of dosing regimen: Metformin was added to insulin as 500 mg once or twice daily, or 850 mg once daily. The metformin dose was adjusted and titrated based on SMBG and individual tolerance. Metformin could be given up to three times daily, but the final total daily dose not to exceed 2000 mg

# Outcomes

#### General

Data from 2/3 arms have been extracted into this evidence table. Data from the OAD arm has not been extracted as this mixed treatment was not part of the decision space for second intensification. Outcomes not exatracted into this evidence table include SMBG profiles, treatment satisfaction and quality of life.

Efficacy measures were evaluated using data from all randomised patients who provided postbaseline efficacy data (ITT analysis). The safety population included all randomised patients who received at least 1 or a partial dose of the study drug. LOCF method was used to impute missing data.

4/104 (3.8%) patients in BIAsp TID group and 5/100 (5%) in the BIAsp + metformin group discontinued the study

## Hypoglycaemic events

Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia (defined as a confirmed BG reading <3.1 mmol/l, with or without symptoms, that was handled by the patient)

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (defined as one that required the help of another person in association with a BG reading <3.1 mmol/l or symptoms that reveresed after ingestion of food or administration of glucagon/IV glucose)

symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia (defined as symptoms considered related to hypoglycaemia but not confirmed by BG measurement)

# Baseline characteristics

		BI	Asp	30 BID then TID	BIAsp 30 BID + metformin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics:	Continuous	104		E9 (CD 6 44)	100		58.4 (SD		
Age (years)		104		58 (SD 6.41)	100		6.44)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	104	17	(16.3%)	100	27	(27.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	104		9.9 (SD 6.2)	100		8.4 (SD 5.65)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	104		10.4 (SD 1.44)	100		10.4 (SD 1.69)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	104		29.8 (SD 3.5)	100		29.2 (SD 3.77)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	104		79.3 (SD 11.8)	100		78.4 (SD 13)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs:  Metformin	Dichotomous	104	0	(0.0%)	100	0	(0.0%)		
Meglitinide	Dichotomous	104	4	(3.8%)	100	2	(2.0%)		
Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	104	58	(55.8%)	100	53			
Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	104	42	(40.4%)	100	42	(42.0%)		

Results			BIAsp	30 I	BID then			BID + rmin		
			N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
	Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	104			100			MD=0.200 (CI: -0.108, 0.508)	NR
	HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 16wk	Dichotomous	104			100				NS
	Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	104			100				NR
	Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 16wk	Dichotomous	104			100				NR
	All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 16wk	Count	11424	23	а	10920	21	b		
	Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 16wkc	Count	11424	4		10920	9			
	Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 16wk	Dichotomous	104			100				NR
	Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wk	Dichotomous	104			100				NR
	Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wkc	Count	11424	0		10920	0			
	symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 16wk	Dichotomous	104			100				NR
	Adverse events:									
	Any adverse event(s) – 16wk	Dichotomous	104			100				NR
	Any serious adverse event(s) – 16wk	Dichotomous	104			100				NR
	Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 16wk	Dichotomous	104			100				NR
	Infection (upper airway or other common) – 16wk	Dichotomous	104			100				NR
	Nervous system disorders – 16wk	Dichotomous	104			100				NR
	Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	104	4	(3.8%)	100	5	(5.0%)		
	Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	104		,	100				NR
	Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 16wk	Dichotomous	104			100				NR
	Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 16wk	Continuous	104			100				NR
	ITT Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Mean change	100		-2.9 (SD 1.5)	100		-3 (SD 1.6)		
	HbA1c < 7% or <=7% – 16wk	Dichotomous	100	42	(42.0%)	100	45	(45.0%)		
	Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wkd	Mean change	100		1.71	100		1.5		
	Dropouts: Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	100	1	(1.0%)	100	1	(1.0%)		
	Drop out due to unsatisfactory effect – 16wk	Dichotomous	100	0	(0.0%)	100	1	(1.0%)		

Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 16wk	Continuous	100		55.5 (SD 16.2)	100		44.8 (SD 12.6)
Safety population Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 16wke	Continuous	102		0.73	100		0.69
Minor (confirmed) hypoglycaemia – 16wkf	Dichotomous	102	4	(3.9%)	100	9	(9.0%)
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wkf	Dichotomous	102	0	(0.0%)	100	0	(0.0%)
symptomatic (unconfirmed) hypoglycaemia – 16wkg	Dichotomous	102	28	(27.5%)	100	28	(28.0%)
Adverse events:  Any adverse event(s) – 16wkg	Dichotomous	102	35	(34.3%)	100	25	(25.0%)
Any serious adverse event(s) – 16wkg	Dichotomous	102	0	(0.0%)	100	0	(0.0%)
Gastrointestinal disorders (any) – 16wkg	Dichotomous	102	4	(3.9%)	100	4	(4.0%)
Infection (upper airway or other common) – 16wkg	Dichotomous	102	12	(11.8%)	100	12	(12.0%)
Nervous system disorders – 16wkg	Dichotomous	102	7	(6.9%)	100	6	(6.0%)
a patient days estimated using 1 b patient days estimated assum c person days estimated by revi d SD not reported e episodes per patient year; 95% f No of episodes	ing dropout hal ewer (assumin	lfway th g drop	roug	h the stud	lv .		
Efficacy endpoints were analyse	ed using ANCC	OVA wit	h adj	justment f	or base	line	Hba1c and centre

Table 40: Yk	i-Jarvinen et al. (2006)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: Finland and UK  Authors' conclusions: Good glycaemic control can be achieved with both insulin glargin + metformin and NPH insulin + metformin. Use of glargine + metformin reduces symptomatic hypoglycaemia during the first 12 weeks and dinnertime hyperglycaemia compared with NPH + metformin  Source of funding: Supported by grants from the Academy of Finland and Aventis  Comments: Mulicentre, open, randomised trial. Randomisation using minimisation of differences
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 110 Inclusion criteria: Male or female patients aged 35-75 years with type 2 diabetes who have been treated with a stable dose (any dose) of sulfonylurea and metformin (.=1.5g) or with metformin alone for at least 3 months prior to screening. Further criteria included BMI 20-40 kg/m2, Hba1c >=8%, a mean FBG >=7 mmol/l and fasting c peptide >=0.33 mmol/l  Exclusion criteria: use of other oral antihyperglycaemic agents, prior use of insulin, positive GAD antibodies or history of ketoacidosis, abnormal safety laboratory tests including liver enzymes, current or past history of alcohol or drug abuse, night shift work, pregnancy, treatment with any investigational drug in the 2 months before study entry, use of drugs likely to interfere with glucose control, clinically relevant major systemic disease and mental health conditions that prevent participants from understanding the nature of the study Pre-randomisation phase: there was a 4 week run-in period

Previous glucose- lowering therapy	insulin  Details of wash	y participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or ulin tails of washout period: Patients were included if they were on metformin + sulfonylurea or metformin ne. At baseline the sulfonylurea was discontinued.  other (except for education relating to SMBG) education or lifestyle advice was recommended to be given												
Lifestyle advice		•			ion c	or lifestyle advice	was	reco	ommended to be	e giv	ven			
Follow-up	Length of main	(wks): 40 on period (wks): 0 enance period (wks onitoring appointm	•			·								
Arms	N: 61 Treatment durati Washout period Comments: Sulfo Treatment(s):  (2) Insulin NPH N: 49 Treatment durati Washout period	Freatment duration (wks): 36  Washout period (d): 0  Comments: Sulfonylurea was discontinued and metformin was continued  Freatment(s): (a) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)  Mean dose (mg/d): 68  Details of dosing regimen: Insulin therapy was started if FBG still >7 mmol/l. Patients were taught to inject either glargine or NPH using the Optipen pro 1. The initial bedtime insulin dose was 10IU for all patients who were using metformin alone and 20IU if the patient had used both sulfonylurea and metformin previously. The goal was to achieve FBG 4-5.5 mmol/l in both groups. Patients were taught to increase their insulin dose by 2IU if FBG>5.5 mmol/l and by 4IU if FBG>10 mmol/l on three consecutive mornings.  (b) Metformin (Oral)  Mean dose (mg/d): 2280  (2) Insulin NPH + metformin  N: 49  Freatment duration (wks): 36  Washout period (d): 0  Comments: Sulfonylurea was discontinued and metformin was continued												
Outcomes  Baseline	1 (1.6%) patient <b>Hypoglycaemic</b> Major/severe hypolyduring which the mmol/l or prompo	stracted in this evider in the glargine group events oglycaemic event (d participant required i recovery after oral of lycaemia (defined as	and 1 (2%) in the defined as an exassisstance from the arbohydrate, IV.	the November went mar glu	with nother	group discontinue symptoms consis er person and was	tent	with socia	n hypoglycaemia					
characteristics				N		mean	N		mean	_	р			
	Demographics: Age (years) Sex (n male) Duration of di Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) –	abetes (yrs) Owkb	Continuous Dichotomous Continuous Continuous	61 61 61		56 (SD 7.81) (62.3%) 9 (SD 7.81) 9.13 (SD 0.781)	49 49 49		57 (SD 7) (65.3%) 9 (SD 7) 9.26 (SD 0.7)					
	Fasting plasm  Body weight:	a glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	61		13 (SD 2.34)	49		12.9 (SD 5.6)					

BMI (kg/m2)

Weight (kg) - 0wk

Continuous

Continuous

61

31.3 (SD 5.47) 49

49

92 (SD 18.7)

32 (SD 5.6) 94.4 (SD 18.2)

Adverse events: liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 0wk	Continuous	61		44 (SD 27.3)	49		40 (SD 24.5)
Lipids: HDL cholesterol (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	61		1.18 (SD 0.312)	49		1.18 (SD 0.28)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	61		2.3 (SD 1.56)	49		2.5 (SD 1.4)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l) - 0wk	Continuous	61		2.8 (SD 0.781)	49		2.9 (SD 0.7)
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Sulfonylureaa	Dichotomous	61	48	(78.7%)	49	42	(85.7%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> approximated to nearest integer (percentages only presented in text)

			in gla	argine + min		ulin N etfor	NPH + min		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 12wka	Continuous	61		8 (SD 0.391)	49		8.05 (SD 0.35)		
HbA1c (%) – 24wka	Continuous	61		7.3 (SD 0.391)	49		7.3 (SD 0.35)		
HbA1c (%) – 36wkb	Continuous	61		7.14 (SD 0.937)	49		7.16 (SD 0.98)		NS
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 12wka	Mean change	61		1.1 (SD 1.56)	49		1.4 (SD 1.4)		
Weight (kg) – 24wka	Mean change	61		2 (SD 2.34)	49		2.6 (SD 2.8)		
Weight (kg) – 36wk	Mean change	61		2.6 (SD 4.69)	49		3.5 (SD 4.9)		NS
Hypoglycaemic events: All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 36wk	Dichotomous	61			49				NR
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 36wk	Count	15246	226		12222	268			
All hypoglycaemic events (no events) – 36wkc	Continuous	61		5.4	49		8		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 36wk	Count	15246	0		12222	0			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 36wk	Dichotomous	61	0	(0.0%)	49	0	(0.0%)		NR
symptomatic (confirmed) – 36wk	Dichotomous	61			49				NS
symptomatic (confirmed) – 36wk	Count	15246	209		12222	259			
symptomatic (confirmed) – 36wkc	Continuous	61		5	49		7.7		
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 36wkd	Dichotomous	61			49				0.12
Adverse events: Any adverse event(s) – 36wke	Dichotomous	61	33	(54.1%)	49	24	(49.0%)		
Any serious adverse event(s) – 36wke	Dichotomous	61	1	(1.6%)	49	4	(8.2%)		
liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 12wka	Continuous	61		36 (SD 19.5)	49		31 (SD 10.5)		
liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 24wka	Continuous	61		36 (SD 27.3)	49		29 (SD 10.5)		
liver enzymes: abnormal ALT – 36wka	Continuous	61		34 (SD 19.5)	49		30 (SD 24.5)		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 36wk	Dichotomous	61	1	(1.6%)	49	1	(2.0%)		

b from text not table data

Dropout due to AEs – 36wk	Dichotomous	61	1	(1.6%)	49	1	(2.0%)	
	Mean change	61		1.24 (SD 0.312)	49		1.25 (SD 0.28)	
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 12wka	Continuous	61		1.58 (SD 0.625)	49		1.58 (SD 0.56)	
riglycerides (mmol/l) – 24wka	Continuous	61		1.7 (SD 0.625)	49		1.8 (SD 0.7)	
	Mean change	61		1.6 (SD 0.781)	49		1.8 (SD 0.7)	
	Mean change	61		2.8 (SD 0.781)	49		2.9 (SD 0.7)	
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 36wk	Continuous	61		68 (SD 39.1)	49		70 (SD 42)	
a estimated from graph b SD calculated from assumed SE mean episodes per patient year d NR No of patients								

Table 41: Yki-JaÝ rvinen et al. (1999)

Table 41: YK	i-JaY^rvinen et al. (1999)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: four trial centers (unclear but assumed to be Finland)  Authors' conclusions: Combination therapy with bedtime insulin plus metformin prevents weight gain. This regimen also seems superior to other bedtime insulin regimens with respect to improvement in glycemic control and frequency of hypoglycemia  Source of funding: Academy of Finland. Funding authorities had no role in analysis  Comments: Randomisation was carried out using minimisation of differences. Partially blinded trial.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 96 Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes whose disease was inadequately controlled with sulfonylurea therapy alone. Patients were aged 40 to 70 years, body mass index less than 35 kg/m2, fasting blood glucose level greater than 8 mmol/L [>144 mg/dL], duration of diabetes more than 3 years, previous oral therapy with either glipizide (>15 mg/d) or glyburide (>10 mg/d), and fasting serum C-peptide level more than 0.33 nmol/L  Exclusion criteria: congestive heart failure, myocardial infarction, or stroke in the past 6 months; epilepsy or other severe disease; liver disease, serum creatinine concentration greater than 120 mmol/L [1.36 mg/dL], or macroalbuminuria; proliferative retinopathy or severe maculopathy; previous insulin therapy for more than 2 weeks; excessive alcohol consumption (>20 g/d); and night work  Pre-randomisation phase: There was a 6 week run-in period (The purpose of the run-in period was to ensure that the patients were able to accurately perform home glucose monitoring and that patients who still responded to conventional therapy would not be unnecessarily treated with insulin.)
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: All patients were taking sulfonylurea alone at study entry
Lifestyle advice	A similar educational program was used in all participating centers. The patients were not instructed to change their diet (except for treatment of hypoglycemia) or exercise habits because of insulin therapy.
Follow-up	Total follow-up (wks): 58 Length of titration period (wks): 0

Length of maintenance period (wks): 52

Frequency of monitoring appointments: Follow-up visits took place at 3 and 6 weeks and every 3 months for 1 year

#### Arms

## (1) intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin + glyburide

N: 22

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):10.5

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Compliance: Compliance, monitored through pill counting, was more than 95% for patients

who completed the study.

Details of dosing regimen: glyburide 10.5 mg was given as one 3.5-mg tablet before breakfast and two 3.5-mg tablets before dinner. Doses of oral agents remained

the same

(b) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: All patients in each group injected intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin, 100 IU/mL at 9 p.m. Insulin therapy was started if the fasting glucose level still exceeded 8 mmol/L (144 mg/dL). The initial bedtime insulin dosage (measured in IU/d) was equal to the fasting blood

glucose level (measured in mmol/L). increase the dose by 4 IU/d if the fasting glucose level exceeds 8 mmol/L on three consecutive measurements and by 2 IU/d if the fasting glucose level exceeds 6 mmol/L (108 mg/dL) on three measurements. The goal was to decrease the

fasting glucose level to less than 6 mmol/L, which was predicted to decrease the hemoglobin A1c value to less than 7.5%

## (2) intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin + metformin

N: 19

Treatment duration (wks): 52

Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):2000

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: metformin 2 g was given as two 500-mg tablets before breakfast and two 500-mg tablets before dinner, and three tablets (one before breakfast and two

before dinner)

(b) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: See insulin + glyburide for details

#### (3) intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin + glyburide + metformin

N: 23

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Sulfonylurea (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):10.5

Frequency of dosing: twice a day (b) Metformin (Oral) – fixed-dose

Set dose (mg/d):2000

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

(c) NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: See insulin + glyburide for details

### (4) intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin

N: 24

Treatment duration (wks): 52 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): NPH insulin (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: A second injection of neutral human isophane insulin before

breakfast was added

#### **Outcomes**

## General

No details of ITT analysis reported (analysis carried out in those who completed study)

Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include SMBG

88/96 patients completed the study (8 discontinued-5 in insulin + metformin, 1 in insulin + metformin +

# glyburide, 2 in insulin + glyburide)

# Baseline characteristics

				ediate acting neutral n isophane insulin + glyburide		intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin + metformin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	22		61 (SD 9.38)	19		57 (SD 8.72)			
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	22	13	(59.1%)	19	11	(57.9%)			
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	22		9.8 (SD 1.41)	19		9.8 (SD 1.74)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	22		11.7 (SD 2.35)	19		12.3 (SD 2.18)			
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	22		29.7 (SD 4.69)	19		28.9 (SD 4.79)			
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	22		83.82528 (SD 13.2)	19		81.56736 (SD 13.5)			
Waist/hip ratio – 0wk	Continuous	22		0.93 (SD 0.0938)	19		0.93 (SD 0.0872)			
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	22		5.7 (SD 0.938)	19		5.9 (SD 1.31)			
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	22		1.1 (SD 0.469)	19		1.2 (SD 0.436)			
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	22		2.7 (SD 2.35)	19		2.4 (SD 1.74)			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin + glyburide				intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin + glyburide + metformin					
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р		
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	22		61 (SD 9.38)	23		55 (SD 9.59)				
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	22	13	(59.1%)	23	14	(60.9%)				
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	22		9.8 (SD 1.41)	23		9.9 (SD 1.44)				
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	22		11.7 (SD 2.35)	23		11.5 (SD 2.88)				
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	22		29.7 (SD 4.69)	23		29.5 (SD 4.32)				
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	22		83.82528 (SD 13.2)	23		83.2608 (SD 12.2)				
Waist/hip ratio – 0wk	Continuous	22		0.93 (SD 0.0938)	23		0.95 (SD 0.0959)				
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	22		5.7 (SD 0.938)	23		5.8 (SD 0.959)				
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	22		1.1 (SD 0.469)	23		1.1 (SD 0.48)				
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	22		2.7 (SD 2.35)	23		2.3 (SD 0.959)				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		N	k	mean	N	k	mean
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	22		61 (SD 9.38)	24		58 (SD 9.8)
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	22	13	(59.1%)	24	16	` ′
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	22		9.8 (SD 1.41)	24		10.1 (SD 1.96)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	22		11.7 (SD 2.35)	24		12.1 (SD 2.45)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	22		29.7 (SD 4.69)	24		28.5 (SD 5.39)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	22		83.82528 (SD 13.2)	24		80.4384 (SD 15.2)
Waist/hip ratio – 0wk	Continuous	22		0.93 (SD 0.0938)	24		0.94 (SD 0.098)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	22		5.7 (SD 0.938)	24		5.8 (SD 1.47)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	22		1.1 (SD 0.469)	24		1.2 (SD 0.49)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	22		2.7 (SD 2.35)	24		2.6 (SD 2.45)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

				ediate acting neutral n isophane insulin + metformin		numa	nediate acting neutral n isophane insulin + puride + metformin		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	19		57 (SD 8.72)	23		55 (SD 9.59)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	19	11	(57.9%)	23	14	(60.9%)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	19		9.8 (SD 1.74)	23		9.9 (SD 1.44)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	19		12.3 (SD 2.18)	23		11.5 (SD 2.88)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	19		28.9 (SD 4.79)	23		29.5 (SD 4.32)		
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	19		81.56736 (SD 13.5)	23		83.2608 (SD 12.2)		
Waist/hip ratio – 0wk	Continuous	19		0.93 (SD 0.0872)	23		0.95 (SD 0.0959)		
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	19		5.9 (SD 1.31)	23		5.8 (SD 0.959)		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	19		1.2 (SD 0.436)	23		1.1 (SD 0.48)		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	19		2.4 (SD 1.74)	23		2.3 (SD 0.959)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin + metformin				intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin				
		N k mean			N	k	mean	Δ	р	
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	19		57 (SD 8.72)	24		58 (SD 9.8)			
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	19	11	(57.9%)	24	16	(66.7%)			

Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	19	9.8 (SD 1.74)	24	10.1 (SD 1.96)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	19	12.3 (SD 2.18)	24	12.1 (SD 2.45)
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	19	28.9 (SD 4.79)	24	28.5 (SD 5.39)
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	19	81.56736 (SD 13.5)	24	80.4384 (SD 15.2)
Waist/hip ratio – 0wk	Continuous	19	0.93 (SD 0.0872)	24	0.94 (SD 0.098)
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	19	5.9 (SD 1.31)	24	5.8 (SD 1.47)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	19	1.2 (SD 0.436)	24	1.2 (SD 0.49)
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	19	2.4 (SD 1.74)	24	2.6 (SD 2.45)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

			intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin + glyburide + metformin				intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р	
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	23		55 (SD 9.59)	24		58 (SD 9.8)			
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	23	14	(60.9%)	24	16	(66.7%)			
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	23		9.9 (SD 1.44)	24		10.1 (SD 1.96)			
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l)	Continuous	23		11.5 (SD 2.88)	24		12.1 (SD 2.45)			
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	23		29.5 (SD 4.32)	24		28.5 (SD 5.39)			
Weight (kg) – 0wka	Continuous	23		83.2608 (SD 12.2)	24		80.4384 (SD 15.2)			
Waist/hip ratio – 0wk	Continuous	23		0.95 (SD 0.0959)	24		0.94 (SD 0.098)			
Lipids: Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	23		5.8 (SD 0.959)	24		5.8 (SD 1.47)			
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	Mean change	23		1.1 (SD 0.48)	24		1.2 (SD 0.49)			
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 0wk	Mean change	23		2.3 (SD 0.959)	24		2.6 (SD 2.45)			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> estimated from BMI assuming mean height of 1.68m

		intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin + glyburide			intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin + metformin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wka	Count	8372	0		7826	0			
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wkb	Count	8372	68		7826	25			
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous	24	2c	(8.3%)	24	5	(20.8%)		

Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	24	1	(4.2%)	24	4	(16.7%)	
Study completers/observed cases Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	22			19			<0.05
11DA1C (76) = 32WK		22			19			<0.03
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	22		-1.8d	19		-2.5 (SD 1.74)	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	22			19			<0.01
Weight (kg) – 52wk	Mean change	22		3.9 (SD 3.28)	19		0.9 (SD 5.23)	
Waist/hip ratio – 52wk	Continuous	22			19			<0.05
Waist/hip ratio – 52wk	Mean change	20		0.012 (SD 0.0281)	14		-0.002 (SD 0.0305)	
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wke	Dichotomous	22	0	(0.0%)	19	0	(0.0%)	NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wke	Dichotomous	20	0	(0.0%)	14	0	(0.0%)	NR
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wk	Dichotomous	22			19			NR
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wkf	Continuous	22		3.4 (SD 4.69)	19		1.8 (SD 1.74)	
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wke	Dichotomous	22	20	(0.6%)	19	14	(0.4%)	<0.01
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wke	Dichotomous	3184	20	(0.6%)	3350	14	(0.4%)	<0.01
Lipids: Triglycerides (mmol/l) - 52wk	Mean change	20		-0.8 (SD 1.41)	14		-0.7 (SD 1.31)	NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	22		-0.8 (SD 1.41)	19		-0.7 (SD 1.31)	NS
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 52wk	Continuous	22		24 (SD 14.1)	19		36 (SD 39.2)	<0.01
Total daily dose (U) – 52wk	Continuous	20		24 (SD 14.1)	14		36 (SD 39.2)	<0.01

		intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin + glyburide			intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin + glyburide + metformin				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wka	Count	8372	0		8554	0			

a person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study
b person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study and no of events calculated using reported mean symptomatic events
c 5
d estimated from graph; no SE reported
no of episodes
mean no episodes per patient

Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wkb	Count	8372	68		8554	73		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous	24	2c	(8.3%)	24	1	(4.2%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	24	1	(4.2%)	24	1	(4.2%)	
Study completers/observed cases								
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	22			23			NS
HbA1c (%) – 52wkd	Mean change	22		-1.8	23		-2.1	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	22			23			NS
Weight (kg) – 52wk	Mean change	22		3.9 (SD 3.28)	23		3.6 (SD 3.84)	
Waist/hip ratio – 52wk	Continuous	22			23			NR
Waist/hip ratio – 52wk	Mean change	20		0.012 (SD 0.0281)	22		0.008 (SD 0.0192)	
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wke	Dichotomous	22	0	(0.0%)	23	0	(0.0%)	NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wke	Dichotomous	20	0	(0.0%)	22	0	(0.0%)	NR
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wk	Dichotomous	22			23			NR
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wkf	Continuous	22		3.4 (SD 4.69)	23		3.3 (SD 7.67)	
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wke	Dichotomous	22	20	(0.6%)	23	22	(0.6%)	NS
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wke	Dichotomous	3184	20	(0.6%)	3954	22	(0.6%)	NS
Lipids: Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	20		-0.8 (SD 1.41)	22		-0.4 (SD 0.959)	NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) - 52wk	Mean change	22		-0.8 (SD 1.41)	23		-0.4 (SD 0.959)	NS
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 52wk	Continuous	22		24 (SD 14.1)	23		20 (SD 14.4)	NR
Total daily dose (U) – 52wk	Continuous	20		24 (SD 14.1)	22		20 (SD 14.4)	NR

intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin + glyburide				intermediate acting neutral human isophane insulin			
N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study <sup>b</sup> person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study and no of events calculated using reported mean symptomatic events

5

d estimated from graph; no SE reported
no of episodes
mean no episodes per patient

Hypoglycaemic events:  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wka	Count	8372	0		8736	0		
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wkb	Count	8372	68		8736	94		
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous	24	2c	(8.3%)	24	0	(0.0%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	24	1	(4.2%)	24	0	(0.0%)	
Study completers/observed cases Blood glucose:	Continuous	22			24			NS
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean	22			24			INO
HbA1c (%) – 52wkd	change	22		-1.8	24		-1.9	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	22			24			NS
Weight (kg) – 52wk	Mean change	22		3.9 (SD 3.28)	24		4.6 (SD 4.9)	
Waist/hip ratio – 52wk	Continuous	22			24			NR
Waist/hip ratio – 52wk	Mean change	20		0.012 (SD 0.0281)	24		0.016 (SD 0.0343)	
Hypoglycaemic events:  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wke	Dichotomous	22	0	(0.0%)	24	0	(0.0%)	NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wke	Dichotomous	20	0	(0.0%)	24	0	(0.0%)	NR
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wk	Dichotomous	22			24			NR
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wkf	Continuous	22		3.4 (SD 4.69)	24		3.9 (SD 7.84)	
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wke	Dichotomous	22	20	(0.6%)	24	24	(0.6%)	<0.01
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wke	Dichotomous	3184	20	(0.6%)	3936	24	(0.6%)	<0.01
Lipids: Triglycerides (mmol/l) - 52wk	Mean change	20		-0.8 (SD 1.41)	24		-0.9 (SD 1.47)	NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	22		-0.8 (SD 1.41)	24		-0.9 (SD 1.47)	NS
Insulin:								
Total daily dose (U) – 52wk	Continuous	22		24 (SD 14.1)	24		24 (SD 14.7)	NR
Total daily dose (U) – 52wk	Continuous	20		24 (SD 14.1)	24		24 (SD 14.7)	NR

a person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study
b person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study and no of events calculated using reported mean symptomatic events
5
d estimated from graph; no SE reported
no of episodes
f mean no episodes per patient

		1	neut opha	ediate acting tral human ane insulin + etformin	huma	an is	ate acting neutral ophane insulin + de + metformin		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wka	Count	7826	0		8554	0			
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wkb	Count	7826	25		8554	73			
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous	24	5	(20.8%)	24	1	(4.2%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	24	4	(16.7%)	24	1	(4.2%)		
Study completers/observed cases Blood glucose:	Dionotomodo			(10.176)			(1.276)		
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	19			23				NS
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	19		-2.5 (SD 1.74)	23		-2.1c		
Body weight:	Continuous	10			22				-0.01
Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous Mean	19		0.0 (00 5.00)	23		0.0 (00.004)		<0.01
Weight (kg) – 52wk Waist/hip ratio –	change	19		0.9 (SD 5.23)	23		3.6 (SD 3.84)		
52wk	Continuous	19		0.000 (00	23		0.000 (00		<0.05
Waist/hip ratio – 52wk	Mean change	14		-0.002 (SD 0.0305)	22		0.008 (SD 0.0192)		
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event	5:1.	40		(0.00()	00		(0.00()		NE
– 52wkd Major/severe	Dichotomous	19	0	(0.0%)	23	0	(0.0%)		NR
hypoglycaemic event – 52wkd	Dichotomous	14	0	(0.0%)	22	0	(0.0%)		NR
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia –									
52wk Symptomatic	Dichotomous	19			23				NR
hypoglycaemia – 52wke	Continuous	19		1.8 (SD 1.74)	23		3.3 (SD 7.67)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wkd	Dichotomous	19	14	(0.4%)	23	22	(0.6%)		<0.05
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wkd	Dichotomous	3350	14	(0.4%)	3954	22	(0.6%)		<0.05
Lipids: Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	14		-0.7 (SD 1.31)	22		-0.4 (SD 0.959)		NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	19		-0.7 (SD 1.31)	23		-0.4 (SD 0.959)		NS
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 52wk	Continuous	19		36 (SD 39.2)	23		20 (SD 14.4)		<0.01
Total daily dose (U) – 52wk	Continuous	14		36 (SD 39.2)	22		20 (SD 14.4)		<0.01
<sup>a</sup> person days estimated		out hal	lfwa		dy		, ,		

		intern	an is	ate acting neutral sophane insulin + netformin	n	eutr	diate acting al human ane insulin		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wka	Count	7826	0		8736	0			
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wkb	Count	7826	25		8736	94			
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous	24	5	(20.8%)	24	0	(0.0%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	24	4	(16.7%)	24	0	(0.0%)		
Study completers/observed cases Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	19			24				<0.05
HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Mean change	19		-2.5 (SD 1.74)	24		-1.9c		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	19			24				<0.01
Weight (kg) – 52wk	Mean change	19		0.9 (SD 5.23)	24		4.6 (SD 4.9)		<b>VO.01</b>
Waist/hip ratio – 52wk	Continuous	19			24				<0.05
Waist/hip ratio – 52wk	Mean change	14		-0.002 (SD 0.0305)	24		0.016 (SD 0.0343)		
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wkd	Dichotomous	19	0	(0.0%)	24	0	(0.0%)		NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wkd	Dichotomous	14	0	(0.0%)	24	0	(0.0%)		NR
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wk	Dichotomous	19			24				<0.05
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wke	Continuous	19		1.8 (SD 1.74)	24		3.9 (SD 7.84)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wkd	Dichotomous	19	14	(0.4%)	24	24	(0.6%)		NS
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wkd	Dichotomous	3350	14	(0.4%)	3936	24	(0.6%)		NS
Lipids:				,			,		
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	14		-0.7 (SD 1.31)	24		-0.9 (SD 1.47)		NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	19		-0.7 (SD 1.31)	24		-0.9 (SD 1.47)		NS
Insulin: Total daily dose (U) – 52wk	Continuous	19		36 (SD 39.2)	24		24 (SD 14.7)		<0.01
Total daily dose (U) – 52wk	Continuous	14		36 (SD 39.2)	24		24 (SD 14.7)		<0.01

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study and no of events calculated using reported mean symptomatic events
<sup>c</sup> estimated from graph; no SE reported
<sup>d</sup> no of episodes
<sup>e</sup> mean no episodes per patient

e mean no episodes per patient

		hum	an is	iate acting neutral sophane insulin + ide + metformin	ne	eutr	diate acting al human ane insulin		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wka	Count	8554	0		8736	0			
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wkb	Count	8554	73		8736	94			
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 52wk	Dichotomous	24	1	(4.2%)	24	0	(0.0%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 52wk	Dichotomous	24	1	(4.2%)	24	0	(0.0%)		
Study completers/observed cases Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 52wk	Continuous	23			24				NS
HbA1c (%) – 52wkc	Mean change	23		-2.1	24		-1.9		
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 52wk	Continuous	23			24				NS
Weight (kg) – 52wk	Mean change	23		3.6 (SD 3.84)	24		4.6 (SD 4.9)		
Waist/hip ratio – 52wk	Continuous	23			24				NR
Waist/hip ratio – 52wk	Mean change	22		0.008 (SD 0.0192)	24		0.016 (SD 0.0343)		
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wkd	Dichotomous	23	0	(0.0%)	24	0	(0.0%)		NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 52wkd	Dichotomous	22	0	(0.0%)	24	0	(0.0%)		NR
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wk	Dichotomous	23			24				NR
Symptomatic hypoglycaemia – 52wke	Continuous	23		3.3 (SD 7.67)	24		3.9 (SD 7.84)		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wkd	Dichotomous	23	22	(0.6%)	24	24	(0.6%)		<0.05
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 52wkd	Dichotomous	3954		(0.6%)	3936	24	(0.6%)		<0.05
Lipids: Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	22		-0.4 (SD 0.959)	24		-0.9 (SD 1.47)		NS
Triglycerides (mmol/l) – 52wk	Mean change	23		-0.4 (SD 0.959)	24		-0.9 (SD 1.47)		NS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study
<sup>b</sup> person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study and no of events calculated using reported mean symptomatic events
<sup>c</sup> estimated from graph; no SE reported
<sup>d</sup> no of episodes
<sup>e</sup> mean polypicodes per patient

	nsulin: Total daily dose (U) – 52wk	Continuous	23		20 (SD	14.4)	24	24 (SD 14.7)	NR		
	Total daily dose (U) – 52wk	Continuous	22		20 (SD	14.4)	24	24 (SD 14.7)	NR		
<sup>b</sup> p rep <sup>c</sup> e <sup>d</sup> n	<sup>a</sup> person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study  person days estimated assuming dropout halfway through the study and no of events calculated using reported mean symptomatic events  c estimated from graph; no SE reported  d no of episodes  e mean no episodes per patient										
	omparison of normally de e 12-month treatment pe		bles bet	wee	n the gr	oups (in pati	ents who	completed the tria	l) during		
wa (sy	the 12-month treatment period was performed by using analysis of variance for repeated measures. For comparison of means when the variance was not normally distributed (symptomatic hypoglycemic episodes), the Kruskal–Wallis test was used. Frequencies of hypoglycemia among the groups were compared by using the chi-square test.										

Table 42: Zir	nman et al. (2011)
General	Phase:  ☐ monotherapy ☐ dual therapy ☐ triple therapy ☐ insulin monotherapy ☐ insulin+oral  Parallel / crossover: Parallel  Country: 28 clinics in four countries (Canada, India, South Africa, and the USA)  Authors' conclusions: Insulin degludec provides comparable glycaemic control to insulin glargine without additional adverse events and might reduce dosing frequency due to its ultra-long action profile  Source of funding: sponsored by Novo Nordisk  Comments: 16-week, randomised, open-label, parallel group trial. Block randomisation was computergenerated and done by use of an interactive voice and web-based system. Participants were stratified according to previous oral antidiabetic drug treatment. Investigators were masked to data until database release from the statistician
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 245 Inclusion criteria: Men and women diagnosed with type 2 diabetes for at least 3 months and who were aged 18–75 years with an HbA1C of 7·0–11·0% and a bodymass index of 23–42 kg/m² were eligible for enrolment. Before trial entry, participants had to be insulin-naïve and have been treated with one or two oral antidiabetic drugs (metformin, a-glucosidase inhibitors, sulphonylurea, or meglitindes) for more than 2 months at stable half-maximum to maximum allowed doses.  Exclusion criteria: treated with thiazolidinediones, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors, or other interventions that could interfere with glucose metabolism within 3 months of the start of the trial. Patients were excluded if they had contraindications to metformin, substantial medical issues, a history of recurrent hypoglycaemia, or unawareness of hypoglycaemia. Women who were breastfeeding or pregnant were also excluded  Pre-randomisation phase: Before randomisation, eligible participants discontinued their pretrial oral antidiabetic drug treatment and underwent a 2-week forced metformin-titration period (dose increased to 2000 mg per day; 1000 mg at breakfast and evening meal), which was followed up by a 1-week metformin maintenance period. Patients were eligible for randomisation if the maximum metformin dose (2000 mg) or maximum-tolerated dose (1500 mg) per day remained unchanged in the maintenance period, and if the median before-breakfast selfmonitored blood glucose value (measured on 3 consecutive days immediately before randomisation) was 7-5 mmol/L or more.
Previous glucose- lowering therapy	Any participants previously taking glucose-lowering therapy? all on oral hypoglycaemic drugs and/or insulin  Details of washout period: eligible participants discontinued their pretrial oral antidiabetic drug treatment and underwent a 2-week forced metformin-titration period
Lifestyle advice	-

#### Follow-up

Total follow-up (wks): 16

Length of titration period (wks): 3

Length of maintenance period (wks): 16
Frequency of monitoring appointments: -

#### **Arms**

#### (1) Metformin + insulin degludec (3 times weekly)

N: 62

Treatment duration (wks): 16

Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s):

(a) Metformin (Oral) – forced titration

Frequency of dosing: twice a day

Details of dosing regimen: Before randomisation, eligible participants discontinued their pretrial oral antidiabetic drug treatment and underwent a 2-week forced metformin-titration period (dose increased to 2000 mg per day; 1000 mg at breakfast and evening meal), which was followed up by a 1-week

metformin maintenance period. Patients were eligible for randomisation if the maximum metformin dose (2000 mg) or maximum-tolerated dose (1500 mg) per day remained unchanged in the maintenance period, and if the median before-breakfast selfmonitored blood glucose value (measured on 3 consecutive days immediately before randomisation) was 7.5 mmol/L or more.

(b) Insulin degludec (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: three times weekly

Details of dosing regimen: insulin degludec was given three times a week (900 nmol/mL formulation, dosed in the evening on Monday, Wednesday, and Friday). For the three doses a week group, the starting dose was double that of the once a day group B dose (ie, 20 U or 180 nmol). On the basis of concentrations of selfmonitored blood glucose before breakfast (lowest value from 3 consecutive days), insulin doses were individually titrated once a week throughout the trial (by clinic or telephone contacts), aiming at a fasting glucose concentration of 4-0–6-0 mmol/L.

#### (2) Metformin + insulin degludec (once daily group A)

N: 60

Treatment duration (wks): 16

Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s):

(a) Metformin (Oral) - forced titration

(b) Insulin degludec (Subcutaneous) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: insulin degludec group A (600 nmol/mL formulation) once a day.

The starting dose for all participants who were randomly allocated to once a day treatments was 10 U per injection (60 nmol for insulin degludec group A, 60 nmol for the insulin glargine group, and 90 nmol for insulin degludec group B). On the basis of concentrations of self monitored blood glucose before breakfast (lowest value from 3 consecutive days), insulin doses were individually titrated once a week throughout the trial (by clinic or telephone contacts), aiming at a fasting glucose concentration of 4·0–6·0

mmol/L.

### (3) Metformin + insulin degludec (once daily group B)

N: 61

Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – forced titration

(b) Insulin degludec (Subcutaneous) – flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: insulin degludec group B (900 nmol/mL formulation) once a day.

See group A for details of titration

## (4) Metformin + insulin glargine (once daily)

N: 62

Treatment duration (wks): 16 Washout period (d): 0

Treatment(s): (a) Metformin (Oral) – forced titration

(b) Insulin glargine (Subcutaneous) - flexible-dose (dose-adjusted)

Frequency of dosing: once a day

Details of dosing regimen: insulin glargine (600 nmol/mL formulation) once a day. See

group A for details of titration

#### Outcomes

#### General

All randomly allocated participants were included in the statistical assessment of HbA1C, fasting plasma glucose, bodyweight, and hypoglycaemic episodes, which was done on an intention-to-treat basis. Missing values for HbA1C, fasting plasma glucose, and body weight were imputed with the method of last observation carried forward

4 patients in arm one, 9 patients in arm 2, 9 patients in arm 3 and 6 patients in arm 4 discontinued the study Outcomes not extracted in this evidence table include postprandial BG levels

## Hypoglycaemic events

Major/severe hypoglycaemic event (Hypoglycaemia was classifi ed as severe if assistance from another person was required)

confirmed hypoglycaemia (confirmed if a plasma glucose measurement of less than 3-1 mmol/L was reported irrespective of symptoms or classification as severe)

Nocturnal (confirmed) (nocturnal if time of onset was between 2300 h and 0559 h (inclusive).)

# Baseline characteristics

				formin + insulin pludec (3 times weekly)	Metformin + insulin degludec (once daily group A)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	62		54.4 (SD 8.8)	60		55.3 (SD 8.7)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	62	28	(45.2%)	60	33	(55.0%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	62		6.6 (SD 5.4)	60		7.3 (SD 5.2)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	62		8.8 (SD 1.1)	60		8.6 (SD 1.2)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	62		10.7 (SD 3.5)	60		9.9 (SD 3.2)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	62		29.7 (SD 5.3)	60		29.5 (SD 5.1)		
Weight (kg) – 0wk	Continuous	62		78.8 (SD 20.8)	60		78.6 (SD 17)		
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	62		101.1 (SD 14.8)	60		100.6 (SD 11.3)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	62	36	(58.1%)	60	35	(58.3%)		
Insulin: Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 0wk	Continuous	62		1.2 (SD 0.3)	60		0.9 (SD 0.2)		

		Metformin + insulin degludec (3 times weekly)				Metformin + insulin degludec (once daily group B)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	62		54.4 (SD 8.8)	61		53.9 (SD 8.5)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	62	28	(45.2%)	61	39	(63.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	62		6.6 (SD 5.4)	61		7.2 (SD 4.4)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	62		8.8 (SD 1.1)	61		8.8 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	62		10.7 (SD 3.5)	61		10.6 (SD 3.6)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	62		29.7 (SD 5.3)	61		29.5 (SD 4.8)		
Weight (kg) - 0wk	Continuous	62		78.8 (SD 20.8)	61		81.2 (SD 21)		
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	62		101.1 (SD 14.8)	61		103.1 (SD 13.3)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	62	36	(58.1%)	61	35	(57.4%)		

Insulin:						
Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 0wk	Continuous	62	1.2 (SD 0.3)	61	1.4 (SD 0.4)	

		Metformin + insulin degludec (3 times weekly)				Metformin + insulin glargine (once daily)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	62		54.4 (SD 8.8)	62		53.1 (SD 10.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	62	28	(45.2%)	62	37	(59.7%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	62		6.6 (SD 5.4)	62		6.7 (SD 5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	62		8.8 (SD 1.1)	62		8.7 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	62		10.7 (SD 3.5)	62		9.8 (SD 3.1)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	62		29.7 (SD 5.3)	62		29.4 (SD 5.3)		
Weight (kg) - 0wk	Continuous	62		78.8 (SD 20.8)	62		79.3 (SD 18.6)		
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	62		101.1 (SD 14.8)	62		101.3 (SD 13.1)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	62	36	(58.1%)	62	35	(56.5%)		
Insulin: Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 0wk	Continuous	62		1.2 (SD 0.3)	62		0.9 (SD 0.2)		

				formin + insulin udec (once daily group A)			formin + insulin udec (once daily group B)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	60		55.3 (SD 8.7)	61		53.9 (SD 8.5)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	60	33	(55.0%)	61	39	(63.9%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	60		7.3 (SD 5.2)	61		7.2 (SD 4.4)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	60		8.6 (SD 1.2)	61		8.8 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	60		9.9 (SD 3.2)	61		10.6 (SD 3.6)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	60		29.5 (SD 5.1)	61		29.5 (SD 4.8)		
Weight (kg) - 0wk	Continuous	60		78.6 (SD 17)	61		81.2 (SD 21)		
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	60		100.6 (SD 11.3)	61		103.1 (SD 13.3)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	60	35	(58.3%)	61	35	(57.4%)		
Insulin: Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 0wk	Continuous	60		0.9 (SD 0.2)	61		1.4 (SD 0.4)		

		Metformin + insulin degludec (once daily group A)				Metformin + insulin glargine (once daily)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	60		55.3 (SD 8.7)	62		53.1 (SD 10.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	60	33	(55.0%)	62	37	(59.7%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	60		7.3 (SD 5.2)	62		6.7 (SD 5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	60		8.6 (SD 1.2)	62		8.7 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	60		9.9 (SD 3.2)	62		9.8 (SD 3.1)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	60		29.5 (SD 5.1)	62		29.4 (SD 5.3)		
Weight (kg) - 0wk	Continuous	60		78.6 (SD 17)	62		79.3 (SD 18.6)		
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	60		100.6 (SD 11.3)	62		101.3 (SD 13.1)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	60	35	(58.3%)	62	35	(56.5%)		
Insulin: Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 0wk	Continuous	60		0.9 (SD 0.2)	62		0.9 (SD 0.2)		

		Metformin + insulin degludec (once daily grou B)			Metformin + insulin glargine (once daily)				
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Demographics: Age (years)	Continuous	61		53.9 (SD 8.5)	62		53.1 (SD 10.2)		
Sex (n male)	Dichotomous	61	39	(63.9%)	62	37	(59.7%)		
Duration of diabetes (yrs)	Continuous	61		7.2 (SD 4.4)	62		6.7 (SD 5)		
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 0wk	Continuous	61		8.8 (SD 1.1)	62		8.7 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 0wk	Continuous	61		10.6 (SD 3.6)	62		9.8 (SD 3.1)		
Body weight: BMI (kg/m2)	Continuous	61		29.5 (SD 4.8)	62		29.4 (SD 5.3)		
Weight (kg) - 0wk	Continuous	61		81.2 (SD 21)	62		79.3 (SD 18.6)		
Waist circumference (cms)	Continuous	61		103.1 (SD 13.3)	62		101.3 (SD 13.1)		
Previous blood glucose lowering drugs: Metformin + Sulfonylurea	Dichotomous	61	35	(57.4%)	62	35	(56.5%)		
Insulin: Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 0wk	Continuous	61		1.4 (SD 0.4)	62		0.9 (SD 0.2)		

		weekly)			uded	in + insulin c (once daily oup A)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	62		7.3 (SD 1.1)	60		7.4 (SD 1)	MD=0.080 (CI: -0.230, 0.390)	
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Mean change	62		-1.5 (SD 1.1)	60		-1.3 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	62		-4.2 (SD 3.5)	60		-3.6 (SD 3.3)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	62		6.5 (SD 2.4)	60		6.3 (SD 2.1)	MD=-0.130 (CI: -1.010, 0.750)	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Mean change	62		0.1 (SD 2.6)	60		0 (SD 1.9)		
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	62		78.9 (SD 20.9)	60		78.6 (SD 17.1)	MD=-0.050 (CI: -0.860, 0.760)	
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic									
event – 16wka Major/severe	Dichotomous	62	1	(1.6%)	60	0	(0.0%)		NR
hypoglycaemic event – 16wk	Count	6720	1		6216	0			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wkb	Continuous	62		0.1	60		0		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wka	Dichotomous	62	13	(21.0%)	60	3	(5.0%)		NR
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wkb	Continuous	62		0.7	60		0.2		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wk	Count	6720	13		6216	3			
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wkb	Continuous	62		2.3	60		0.6		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wka	Dichotomous	62	41	(66.1%)	60	10	(16.7%)	RaR=0.380 (CI: 23.299, 0.006)	
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wk	Count	6720	41		6216	10			
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wk	Count	6720	4		6216	2			
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wkb	Continuous	62		0.2	60		0.1		
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wka	Dichotomous	62	4	(6.5%)	60	2	(3.3%)		NR
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	62	4	(6.5%)	60	9	(15.0%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	62	0	(0.0%)	60	0	(0.0%)		
Insulin: Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 16wk	Mean change	62		2.2 (SD 1.7)	60		2.2 (SD 1.5)		

Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 16wk Continuous 3.4 (SD 1.7) 60 3.1 (SD 1.5) NR 62

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> No of episodes <sup>b</sup> events per patient year of exposure; 95% CI not reported

			in + insulin ec (3 times eekly)		uded	nin + insulin c (once daily oup B)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	62		7.3 (SD 1.1)	61		7.5 (SD 1.1)	MD=0.190 (CI: -0.120, 0.500)	
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Mean change	62		-1.5 (SD 1.1)	61		-1.3 (SD 1.1)	.,,	
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	62		-4.2 (SD 3.5)	61		-4.2 (SD 4.3)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	62		6.5 (SD 2.4)	61		6.4 (SD 3.2)	MD=-0.230 (CI: -1.120, 0.660)	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Mean change	62		0.1 (SD 2.6)	61		0.7 (SD 2.5)		
Majaht (lan) 40la	Cantinua	00		78.9 (SD	64		82.2 (SD	MD=0.600 (CI:	
Weight (kg) – 16wk  Hypoglycaemic events:  Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wk	Continuous	62 6720	1	20.9)	6328	0	21.7)	-0.230, 1.430)	
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wka	Continuous	62		0.1	61		0		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wkb	Dichotomous	62	1	(1.6%)	61	0	(0.0%)		NR
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wkb	Dichotomous	62	13	(21.0%)	61	7	(11.5%)		NR
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wka	Continuous	62		0.7	61		0.4		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wk	Count	6720	13		6328	7			
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wkb	Dichotomous	62	41	(66.1%)	61	15	(0.2%)	RaR=0.460 (Cl: 20.850, 0.010)	
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wka	Continuous	62		2.3	61		0.9		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wkb	Dichotomous	62	41	(66.1%)	6328	15	(0.2%)	RaR=0.460 (CI: 20.850, 0.010)	
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wk	Count	6720	41		6328				
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wk	Count	6720	4		6328	2			
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wka	Continuous	62		0.2	61		0.1		
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wkb	Dichotomous	62	4	(6.5%)	61	1	(1.6%)		NR

Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	62	4	(6.5%)	61	9	(14.8%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	62	0	(0.0%)	61	1	(1.6%)	
Insulin: Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 16wk	Mean change	62		2.2 (SD 1.7)	61		3 (SD 2)	
Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 16wk	Continuous	62		3.4 (SD 1.7)	61		4.5 (SD 1.9)	NR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> events per patient year of exposure; 95% CI not reported <sup>b</sup> No of episodes

		Metformin + insulin degludec (3 times weekly)					in + insulin (once daily)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	62		7.3 (SD 1.1)	62		7.2 (SD 0.9)	MD=0.080 (CI: -0.230, 0.390)	
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Mean change	62		-1.5 (SD 1.1)	62		-1.5 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	62		-4.2 (SD 3.5)	62		-3.4 (SD 2.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	62		6.5 (SD 2.4)	62		6.4 (SD 2.6)	MD=0.040 (CI: -0.840, 0.920)	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Mean change	62		0.1 (SD 2.6)	62		-0.3 (SD 2.4)		
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	62		78.9 (SD 20.9)	62		79.1 (SD 18.7)	MD=0.410 (CI: -0.410, 1.230)	
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wka	Dichotomous	62	1	(1.6%)	62	0	(0.0%)		NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wk	Count	6720	1		6608	0			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wkb	Continuous	62		0.1	62		0		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wka	Dichotomous	62	13	(21.0%)	62	12	(19.4%)		NR
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wkb	Continuous	62		0.7	62		0.7		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wk	Count	6720	13		6608	12			
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wkb	Continuous	62		2.3	62		1.1		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wka	Dichotomous	62	41	(66.1%)	62	20	(32.3%)	RaR=1.170 (CI: 8.561, 0.160)	
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wk	Count	6720	41		6608		·		
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wk	Count	6720	4		6608	0			
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wkb	Continuous	62		0.2	62		0		
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wka	Dichotomous	62	4	(6.5%)	62	0	(0.0%)		NR

Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	62	4	(6.5%)	62	6	(9.7%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	62	0	(0.0%)	62	1	(1.6%)	
Insulin: Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 16wk	Mean change	62		2.2 (SD 1.7)	62		2.4 (SD 1.6)	
Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 16wk	Continuous	62		3.4 (SD 1.7)	62		3.3 (SD 1.6)	NR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> No of episodes <sup>b</sup> events per patient year of exposure; 95% CI not reported

			in + insulin c (once daily oup A)		ıded	in + insulin c (once daily oup B)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	p
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	60		7.4 (SD 1)	61		7.5 (SD 1.1)	MD=0.110 (CI: -0.210, 0.430)	
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Mean change	60		-1.3 (SD 1.1)	61		-1.3 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	60		-3.6 (SD 3.3)	61		-4.2 (SD 4.3)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	60		6.3 (SD 2.1)	61		6.4 (SD 3.2)	MD=-0.110 (CI: -1.010, 0.790)	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Mean change	60		0 (SD 1.9)	61		0.7 (SD 2.5)		
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	60		78.6 (SD 17.1)	61		82.2 (SD 21.7)	MD=0.640 (CI: -0.200, 1.480)	
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wk	Count	6216	0		6328	0			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wka	Continuous	60		0	61		0		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wkb	Dichotomous	60	0	(0.0%)	61	0	(0.0%)		NR
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wkb	Dichotomous	60	3	(5.0%)	61	7	(11.5%)		NR
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wka	Continuous	60		0.2	61		0.4		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wk	Count	6216	3		6328	7			
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wkb	Dichotomous	60	10	(16.7%)	61	15	(0.2%)	RaR=1.220 (CI: 6.731, 0.221)	
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wka	Continuous	60		0.6	61		0.9		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wkb	Dichotomous	60	10	(16.7%)	6328	15	(0.2%)	RaR=1.220 (CI: 6.731, 0.221)	
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wk	Count	6216	10		6328	15			

Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wk	Count	6216	2		6328	2		
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wka	Continuous	60		0.1	61		0.1	
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wkb	Dichotomous	60	2	(3.3%)	61	1	(1.6%)	NR
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	60	9	(15.0%)	61	9	(14.8%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	60	0	(0.0%)	61	1	(1.6%)	
Insulin: Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 16wk	Mean change	60		2.2 (SD 1.5)	61		3 (SD 2)	
Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 16wk	Continuous	60		3.1 (SD 1.5)	61		4.5 (SD 1.9)	NR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> events per patient year of exposure; 95% CI not reported <sup>b</sup> No of episodes

			nin + insulin c (once daily oup A)		argiı	n + insulin ne (once aily)			
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	60		7.4 (SD 1)	62		7.2 (SD 0.9)	MD=0.170 (CI: -0.150, 0.490)	
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Mean change	60		-1.3 (SD 1.1)	62		-1.5 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	60		-3.6 (SD 3.3)	62		-3.4 (SD 2.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	60		6.3 (SD 2.1)	62		6.4 (SD 2.6)	MD=-0.090 (CI: -0.970, 0.790)	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Mean change	60		0 (SD 1.9)	62		-0.3 (SD 2.4)		
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	60		78.6 (SD 17.1)	62		79.1 (SD 18.7)	MD=0.360 (CI: -0.460, 1.180)	
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wka	Dichotomous	60	0	(0.0%)	62	0	(0.0%)		NR
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wk	Count	6216	0		6608	0			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wkb	Continuous	60		0	62		0		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wka	Dichotomous	60	3	(5.0%)	62	12	(19.4%)		NR
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wkb	Continuous	60		0.2	62		0.7		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wk	Count	6216	3		6608	12			
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wkb	Continuous	60		0.6	62		1.1		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wka	Dichotomous	60	10	(16.7%)	62	20	(32.3%)	RaR=0.440 (CI: 18.618, 0.010)	

confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wk	Count	6216	10		6608	20		
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wk	Count	6216	2		6608	0		
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wkb	Continuous	60		0.1	62		0	
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wka	Dichotomous	60	2	(3.3%)	62	0	(0.0%)	NR
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	60	9	(15.0%)	62	6	(9.7%)	
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	60	0	(0.0%)	62	1	(1.6%)	
Insulin: Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 16wk	Mean change	60		2.2 (SD 1.5)	62		2.4 (SD 1.6)	
Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 16wk	Continuous	60		3.1 (SD 1.5)	62		3.3 (SD 1.6)	NR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> No of episodes <sup>b</sup> events per patient year of exposure; 95% CI not reported

		Metformin + insu degludec (once d group B)				argir	n + insulin ne (once aily)		
		N	k	mean	N	k	mean	Δ	р
Blood glucose: HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Continuous	61		7.5 (SD 1.1)	62		7.2 (SD 0.9)	MD=0.280 (CI: -0.040, 0.600)	
HbA1c (%) – 16wk	Mean change	61		-1.3 (SD 1.1)	62		-1.5 (SD 1.1)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Mean change	61		-4.2 (SD 4.3)	62		-3.4 (SD 2.9)		
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) – 16wk	Continuous	61		6.4 (SD 3.2)	62		6.4 (SD 2.6)	MD=-0.200 (CI: -1.080, 0.680)	
Body weight: Weight (kg) – 16wk	Mean change	61		0.7 (SD 2.5)	62		-0.3 (SD 2.4)		
Weight (kg) – 16wk	Continuous	61		82.2 (SD 21.7)	62		79.1 (SD 18.7)	MD=1.000 (CI: 0.170, 1.830)	<0.05
Hypoglycaemic events: Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wk	Count	6328	0		6608	0			
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wka	Continuous	61		0	62		0		
Major/severe hypoglycaemic event – 16wkb	Dichotomous	61	0	(0.0%)	62	0	(0.0%)		NR
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wkb	Dichotomous	61	7	(11.5%)	62	12	(19.4%)		NR
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wka	Continuous	61		0.4	62		0.7		
symptomatic (confirmed) – 16wk	Count	6328	7		6608	12			
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wkb	Dichotomous	61	15	(0.2%)	62	20	(32.3%)	RaR=0.540 (CI: 15.805, 0.018)	

confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wka	Continuous	61		0.9	62		1.1		
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wkb	Dichotomous	6328	15	(0.2%)	62	20	(32.3%)	RaR=0.540 (CI: 15.805, 0.018)	
confirmed hypoglycaemia – 16wk	Count	6328	15		6608	20			
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wk	Count	6328	2		6608	0			
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wka	Continuous	61		0.1	62		0		
Nocturnal (confirmed) – 16wkb	Dichotomous	61	1	(1.6%)	62	0	(0.0%)		NR
Dropouts: Total dropouts – 16wk	Dichotomous	61	9	(14.8%)	62	6	(9.7%)		
Dropout due to AEs – 16wk	Dichotomous	61	1	(1.6%)	62	1	(1.6%)		
Insulin: Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 16wk	Mean change	61		3 (SD 2)	62		2.4 (SD 1.6)		
Insulin dose per week (U/kg) – 16wk		61		4.5 (SD 1.9)	62		3.3 (SD 1.6)		NR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> events per patient year of exposure; 95% CI not reported

Treatment differences in HbA1C, fasting plasma glucose, and bodyweight after 16 weeks of treatment were estimated by analysis of variance (ANOVA), which was adjusted by country, sex, age, and HbA1C (and fasting plasma glucose or bodyweight for these estimates) at randomisation and

by oral antidiabetic drug treatment at screening. Estimates of rate ratio of hypoglycaemic episodes during the exposure to trial insulin were made by a

negative binomial regression model, in which the number of episodes per patient-year of exposure (events per patient-year) was adjusted by country, sex, age, and HbA1C at randomisation and by oral antidiabetic drug treatment at screening.16 The proportion of participants having at least one confirmed hypoglycaemic episode was estimated with a logistic-regression model (which was not prespecified in the protocol), expressing the difference between treatments in terms of odds ratios and adjusted for the characteristics as previously mentioned.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> No of episodes

## E.2 Review question 2: What are the serious adverse effects of long-term use of pharmacological interventions to control blood glucose in people with type 2 diabetes?

### **RCTs**

### Gallwitz (2012)

Stu	dy		
cha	ract	eris	tics

Country: 16 countries (Bulgaria, Denmark, France, Germany, Hong Kong, Hungary, India, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Norway,

Poland, South Africa, Sweden, UK, USA)

Funding: Boehringer Ingelheim

Study design: Randomised controlled trial

Number of participants: 1552 Duration of follow up: 2 years

**Method of identifying participants**: People aged 18 to 90 with type two diabetes, receiving metformin at a stable dose of 1500mg/day or more alone or with one other oral antidiabetic drug, with HbA1c 6.0 to 10 %, and BMI less than 40kg/m2 or less. Patients were excluded for the following reasons: diagnosis of MI, stroke, TIA 6 months before screening, impaired hepatic function at screening, treatment with rosiglitazone, pioglitazone, GLP-1 analogue or agonist, insulin or an antiobesity drug in the 3 months before screening.

Participants receiving metformin monotherapy had a 2 week open label placebo run in period.

Participants receiving metformin plus additional oral antidiabetics entered a 6 week washout period followed by a 2 week open label placebo run in period.

Participants with HbA1c between 6.5 and 10% at the end of the placebo run in were randomly assigned in a double bind fashion to the treatment arms. Patients were randomly assigned by computer generated random sequence via a voice or web response system to linagliptin (5mg) or glimepiride (1 to 4 mg) orally once daily.

## Patient characteristics

	Linagliptin	Glimepiride
	n= 766	n= 775
Sex	male= 60%	male=61%
Age	mean= 59.8 years	mean= 59.8 years
ВМІ	mean= 30.2	mean= 30.3
Duration of diabetes	1 year or less= 7%	1 year or less= 8%
	>1 year, less than 5 years= 41%	>1 year, less than 5 years= 39%
	>5 years= 52%	>5 years= 54%
Pharmacological therapy	Mono= 70%	Mono= 71%
	Dual= 30%	Dual= 29%

		Triple= <19	%	Triple= <1%		
	Duration of use	-		-		
	Baseline HBa1c	7.7		7.7		
	Fasting blood glucose	1		-		
Summary of baseline characteristics	Demographic and clinical characteristics were well balanced between groups. Cardiovascular risk factors were well balanced between groups. Most participants received other treatments in additional to the study drugs e.g. antihypertensive drugs, lipid lowering drugs, aspirin, and the prescription patterns were similar between groups.					
Results	Outcome		RR (95% CI)			
	All cause mortality (all judged unrelated to study drug)	All cause mortality (all judged to be unrelated to study drug)		e between groups		
	Major cardiovascular events		0.46 (0.23 to 0.91)			
	CV death		1.00 (0.14 to 7.07)			
	MI		0.60 (0.22 to 1.64)	)		
	Stroke		0.27 (0.08 to 0.97)			
	Admission due to unstable angina		1.00 (0.20 to 4.93)			
Authors conclusions	Linagliptin was associated with fe	ewer major o	ardiovascular events tha	n glimepiride.		
Quality						

### **Holman (1999) - UKPDS**

Study	Country: UK
characteristics	Funding: Bayer UK is thanked for their support
	Study design: RCT
	Number of participants: subgroup of 1946 patients enrolled in the UKPDS
	Duration of follow up: 3 years
	<b>Method of identifying participants</b> : Patients attending UKPDS clinics between May and September 1994 who agreed to take part in this study investigating the addition of Acarbose to the UKPDS randomised therapy. Patients were seen in UKPDS clinics at four monthly intervals.
	Randomisation was performed centrally, and the study was conducted in a double blind fashion. Patients were randomised to acarbose or placebo. Patients took 50mg for the first week, 100mg for the 2 <sup>nd</sup> week and 150 mg thereafter (if tolerated and in the

	absence of side effects). At 4 n day.	nonths, patients were a	sked to increase their dose in a s	similar fashioi
Patient		Acarbose	Placebo	
haracteristics		n= 973	n=973	
	Sex	-	-	
	Age	60	60	
	ВМІ			
	Duration of diabetes	7.9 years	8.0 years	
Summary of paseline	Duration of use  Baseline HBa1c  Fasting blood glucose  Data not reported. Nod discuss	Diet alone = 14% Sulfonylurea alone= Metformin alone= 6 Insulin alone= 20% Sulfonylurea + metf Sulfonylurea + insu Multiple insulin= 14 - 8.7 7.9 sed by authors in text. 0	% formin= 16% lin= 4%	
haracteristics Results		,	95% confidence interval	
	Any diabetes related end po	<b>Dint</b> 1.00 (0.81 to 1.	23)	
Authors conclusions	No significant differences were	seen in frequency of n	najor clinical events.	

### Quality **UKPDS (1998)** Study Study design: Randomised controlled trial characteristics Country: UK Funding: UK Medical Research Council, British Diabetic Association, UK Department of Health, US National Eye Institute, US National Institute of Diabetes Digestive and Kidney Disease, British Heart Foundation, Wellcome Trust, Charles Wolfson Charitable Trust, Cloth Workers Foundation, Health Promotion Research Trust, Alan and Babette Sainsbury Trust, Oxford University Medical Research Fund Committee, Novo-Nordisk, Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Hoechst, Lilly, Lipha, Farmitalia Carlo Erba, Boehringer Mannheim, Becton Dickinson, Owen Mumford, Securicor, Kodak, Cortecs Diagnostics, Galxo Wellcome, Smith Kline Beecham, Pfizer, Zeneca, Pharmacia and Upiohn, Roche Number of participants: 4209 **Duration of follow up: 10 years** Method of identifying participants: Between 1977 and 1991 general practitioners in the catchment area of the 23 participating hospitals were asked to refer all patients with newly diagnosed diabetes aged 25 to 65 years. Patients generally attended a study clinic within 2 weeks of referral. Patients with FPG greater than 6mmol/L on two mornings 1 to 3 weeks apart were eligible for the study. Exclusion criteria were: ketonuria >3mmol/L, serum creatinine greater than 175 umol/L, MI in previous year, current angina or heart failure, more than one vascular event, retinopathy requiring laser treatment, malignant hypertension, uncorrected endocrine disorder, occupation that precluded insulin (HGV driver), severe concurrent illness that would limit life or require systemic treatment, inadequate understanding, unwillingness to participate in study. Patients had a 3 month dietary run in where they attended monthly clinics and were seen by a physician and dietician. After the run in period, mean FPG was calculated from measurements on 3 days over 2 weeks. Patients were included if their mean FPG was between 6 and 15 mmol/L. Patients were stratified according to their weight and were randomly allocated to conventional therapy (diet) or intensive therapy (sulphonylurea or insulin). Overweight patients also had the possibility of receiving metformin. Of the overweight participants, 342 received metformin. Randomisation was achieved by centrally produced computer generated therapy allocations in sealed opaque envelopes that were opened in sequence. The trial was open once patients were randomised. The Hypertension in Diabetes Study, and the Acarbose study (Holman 1999) took a samples from this population **Patient** All centres 15 centres All centres characteristics (Any intensive vs (comparing (comparing all intensive conventional) chlorpropamide, vs conventional +/glibenclamide, insulin metformin in obese n=3867 vs conventional) patients)

n= 3041

n= 1704

Sex	Male=61%	Male=62%	Male= 46%
Age	53.3	54	53
ВМІ	27.5	27.2	31.4
Duration of diabetes	newly diagnosed	newly diagnosed	newly diagnosed
Pharmacological therapy	Conventional= 1138 Intensive= 2729	Conventional= 896 Insulin= 911 Chlorpromide= 619 Glibenclamide= 615	Conventional= 411 Metformin= 342 Insulin= 409 Chlorpromide= 265 Glibenclamide= 277
Duration of use	-	-	
Baseline HBa1c	7.08	6.2	
Fasting blood glucose	8.0	8.0	

## Summary of baseline characteristics

No tests for differences between groups are reported. Not described by authors in the text.

### Results

All patients with newly diagnosed type two diabetes were randomised to conventional therapy (diet, n= 1138) or intensive treatment (chlorpropamide or glibenclamide n=1573, insulin n=1157, or metformin for overweight patients only n=342)

	Relative risk (95% CI)								
		All patients (overweight and not overweight)							
Outcome	Any intensive vs conventional	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Any diabetes related end point	0.88 (0.79 to 0.99)	0.93 (0.79 to 1.99)	0.82 (0.69 to 0.97)	0.87 (0.75 to 1.01)					
Diabetes related deaths	0.90 (0.73 to 1.11)	0.92 (0.68 to 1.23)	0.92 (0.69 to 1.24)	0.90 (0.69 to 1.18)					
All cause mortality	0.94 (0.80 to 1.10)	1.02 (0.82 to 1.27)	0.91 (0.73 to 1.15)	0.93 (0.76 to 1.14)					
Any MI	0.84 (0.71 to 1.00)	0.87 (0.68 to 1.12)	0.78 (0.60 to 1.01)	0.87 (0.70 to 1.09)					
Fatal MI	0.94 (0.68 to 1.30)	0.99 (0.64 to 1.56)	0.82 (0.51 to 1.33)	0.95 (0.63 to 1.43)					
Non Fatal MI	0.79 (0.58 to 1.09)	0.87(0.56 to 1.36)	0.74 (0.46 to 1.19)	0.81 (0.54 to 1.22)					

Any Stroke	1.11 (0.81 to 1.51)	1.01 (0.65 to 1.58)	1.38 (0.92 to 2.08)	0.86 (0.57 to 1.13)
Fatal stroke	1.17 (0.54 to 2.54)	1.06 (0.34 to 3.30)	1.90 (0.71 to 5.09)	1.13 (0.41 to 3.12)
Non fatal stroke	1.07 (0.68 to 1.69)	0.99 (0.52 to 1.91)	1.30 (0.71 to 2.38)	0.76 (0.41 to 1.43)
Amputation or death from PVD	0.65 (0.36 to 1.18)	0.47 (0.17 to 1.28)	0.48 (0.17 to 1.31)	1.08 (0.54 to 2.17)
Microvascular	0.75 (0.60 to 0.93)	0.86 (0.63 to 1.17)	0.66 (0.47 to 0.93)	0.70 (0.52 to 0.93)
Sudden death	0.54 (0.24 to 1.21)	0.57 (0.16 to 1.97)	0.67 (0.21 to 2.16)	0.58 (0.19 to 1.70)
Heart failure	0.91 (0.54 to 1.52)	0.92 (0.44 to 1.93)	1.20 (0.61 to 2.39)	0.78 (0.39 to 1.55)
Angina	1.02 (0.71 to 1.46)	1.46 (0.91 to 2.36)	0.84 (0.48 to 1.47)	1.20 (0.76 to 1.89)

**Diabetes end point**= sudden death, death from hyper- or hypo- glycaemia, MI, angina, heart failure, stroke, renal failure, amputation of at least 1 digit, vitreous haemorrhage, retinal photocoagulation, blindness in one eye, cataract extraction

Patients randomised to sulfonylurea (overweight and non-overweight who were treated with maximum doses of sulfonylurea and had FPG of 6.1 to 15 mmol/l without signs of hyperglycaemia were randomised to early addition of metformin (n=269)or continued sulfonylurea (n=268)

	Relative risk (95% CI) All patients (overweight and not overweight) who were originally randomised to sulfonylurea
Outcome	Sulfonylurea alone vs sulfonylurea plus metformin
Any diabetes related end point	RR=1.04 (0.77 to 1.42)
Diabetes related death	RR=1.96 (1.02 to 3.75)
All cause mortality	RR=1.60 (1.02 to 2.52)
MI	RR=1.09 (0.67 to 1.78)
Stroke	RR=1.21 (0.58 to 2.55)
Peripheral vascular disease	RR=2.12 (0.19 to 23.3)
Microvascular disease	RR=0.84 (0.43 to 1.66)

**Diabetes end point**= sudden death, death from hyper- or hypo- glycaemia, MI, angina, heart failure, stroke, renal failure, amputation of at least 1 digit, vitreous haemorrhage, retinal photogoagulation, blindness in one eye, cataract extraction **Diabetes related death**= death from MI, stroke, PVD, renal disease, hyper- or hypo- glycaemia

Subgroup analysis of overweight patients who were randomised to conventional treatment (n=411), metformin (n=342),
sulfonvlurea (n=542: 265 chlorpropamide, 277 glibencalmide) or insulin (n=409)

		Relative Risk (95% CI) Overweight only		
Outcome	metformin vs conventional	Any intensive vs conventional		
Any diabetes related end point	0.68 (0.53 to 0.87)	0.93 (0.77 to 1.12)		
Diabetes related deaths	0.58 (0.37 to 0.91)	0.80 (0.58 to 1.11)		
All cause mortality	0.64 (0.45 to 0.91)	0.92 (0.71 to 1.18)		
Any MI	0.61 (0.41 to 0.89)	0.79 (0.60 to 1.05)		
Any Stroke	0.59 (0.29 to 1.18)	1.14 (0.70 to 1.84)		
PVD	0.74 (0.26 to 2.09)	0.56 (0.24 to 1.33)		
Microvascular	0.71 (0.43 to 1.19)	0.84 (0.57 to 1.24)		

**Diabetes end point**= sudden death, death from hyper- or hypo- glycaemia, MI, angina, heart failure, stroke, renal failure, amputation of at least 1 digit, vitreous haemorrhage, retinal photogoagulation, blindness in one eye, cataract extraction **Diabetes related death**= death from MI, stroke, PVD, renal disease, hyper- or hypo- glycaemia

## Authors conclusions

OVERALL: Intensive blood glucose control in T2D by either sulphonylureas or insulin substantially decreases the risk of microvascular complications, but not macrovascular disease. None of the individual drugs had an adverse effect on cardiovascular outcomes.

OVERWEIGHT PATIENTS ONLY: Intensive glucose control with metformin appears to decrease the risk of diabetes related end points in overweight diabetic patients.

### Quality

### **COHORT**

### **DIGAMI/ Aas (2009)**

## Study characteristics

Country: Unclear- possibly Norway, Sweden & Demark

**Funding**: Research grants from the Norwegian Foundation for Health and Rehabilitation and The Norwegian Diabetes Association, The Swedish Heart-Lung foundation, AFA insurance, The King Gustav V and Queen Victoria foundation, the Swedish Medical Research council, The Swedish Diabetes Association. Unconditional grants from Aventis Sweden and Novo Nordisk Denmark

Study design: Prospective cohort of a subgroup of randomised controlled trial participants.

Number of participants: 865

Duration of follow up: up to 3 years

**Method of identifying participants**: Participants had been recruited into the DIGAMI 2 randomised controlled trial, which randomised patients with T2D and suspected MI to insulin regimens (24h insulin glucose infusion followed by a multidose regimen of subcutaneous insulin aiming for strict glycaemic control, or 24 hour glucose infusion followed by conventional management) or local conventional management strategies (authors do not describe what this could entail).

For the purpose of this subgroup analysis, the patients were regrouped according to the glucose lowering treatment they actually received during the first year of follow up. Patients who died, withdrew, changed glucose lowering treatment, or who lacked detailed treatment information were excluded from this subgroup analysis. The primary objective was to investigate whether insulin induced weight gain was accompanied by an increase in non fatal reinfarction, stroke, and/or increased CV mortality.

## Patient characteristics

	No OHA/insulin during 1 <sup>st</sup> year of follow up	OHA during 1 <sup>st</sup> year of follow up	Insulin during 1 <sup>st</sup> year of follow up	Continued insulin during 1 <sup>st</sup> year of follow up
Sex	male= 74%	male= 71%	male= 69%	male= 68%
Age	67.4	66.4	65	67
ВМІ	-	-	-	-
Duration of diabetes	1.1	5.8	6.2	13.3
Pharmacological therapy	n=99	n=250	n=245	n=271
Duration of use	-	-	-	-
Baseline HBa1c	7.0	7.2	7.3	7.4
Fasting blood glucose	-	-	-	-

## Summary of baseline characteristics

Patients without pharmacological therapy had shorter duration of diabetes, lower blood glucose and a lower BMI than patients in the other groups.

Patients who previously were treated with insulin and continued with insulin had longer duration of diabetes and higher CVD score, used lipid lowering drugs more frequently, and had lower levels of cholesterol. There was also a higher percentage of patients using CV drugs than in the other groups.

#### **Results**

	Outcome	Comparator	Hazard ratio and 95% confidence interval
CV death Patier		Patients who continued insulin compared to all other groups	HR= 2.38, CI= 1.34 to 4.22
	Reinfarction	New users of insulin compared to all other groups	HR= 2.49, CI= 1.23 to 5.03

Authors
conclusions

Initiation of insulin treatment after MI was associated with a significant increase in weight and incidence of reinfarction. The increased weight did not explain the increased rate of reinfarction.

#### Quality

### Bruno (1999 & 2003)

## Study characteristics

Country: Italy

**Funding**: This work was partially supported by AIRC (Associazione Italiana per la Ricera sul Cancro) and MURST (Ministero della Universita e della Ricerca Scientifica e Technologica Italy.

Study design: prospective cohort Number of participants: 1967 Duration of follow up: 7 years

**Method of identifying participants**: Patients were identified in 1988 in a prevalence survey of diabetic patients drawn from diabetic clinics, GPs, hospital discharges, prescriptions, sale records of agent strips and syringes.

Diagnosis of diabetes was verified with the collaboration of general practitioners using criteria defined by the National Diabetes Data Group. Patients were visited during their periodic appointments either at the diabetes clinic or at the GP office.

In 1995 a mortality follow up study was conducted. Mortality information was obtained from the demographical files of towns of patients residence or death

## Patient characteristics

	Baseline characteristics
Sex	-
Age	Males= mean 64 (SD 10.6)
	Females= mean 68.4 (SD 10.7)
ВМІ	-
Duration of diabetes	Mean=8.5 (SD= 7) years
Pharmacological therapy	Diet= 10.3%
	Oral drugs alone= 76.2%
	Insulin alone= 10.0%
	Oral + insulin= 3.5%
Duration of use	-
Baseline HBa1c	-
Fasting blood glucose	8.5 (2.6 mmol/l

Summary of
baseline
characteristics

There were no differences between the sexes for mortality rates, but higher mortality was associated with increasing age. There were more deaths from ischaemic and cerebrovascular diseases but no increase in mortality from either malignant neoplasms or other causes of death.

#### **Results**

	Adjusted Relative Risk and 95% confidence intervals			ervals
7 year outcomes	Diet	Sulphonylureas	Sulphonylureas + biguanides	Insulin
Any cause mortality	Ref	1.14 (0.82 to 1.58)	1.13 (0.79 to 1.62)	1.71 (1.18 to 2.48)
Cardiovascular mortality	Ref	1.02 (0.64 to 1.63)	1.04 (0.62 to 1.75)	1.35 (0.79 to 2.32)
Ischaemic heart mortality	Ref	1.63 (0.64 to 1.14)	2.49 (0.96 to 6.50)	2.95 (1.07 to 8.10)
Cerebrovascular mortality	Ref	1.09 (0.52 to 2.32)	0.91 (0.39 to 2.12)	1.00 (0.41 to 2.45)

Relative risk of all cause mortality were estimated by means of multivariate poisson regression. Sex, 5 year age group, calendar period (10 years groups), duration of diabetes (10 year groups), and referring physician, antidiabetic treatment, hypertension, fasting plasma glucose (tertiles), BMI, and smoking status were included in the model.

	Adjusted relative risk and 95% confidence intervals			
10 year outcomes	Diet	Oral drugs	Insulin	
Chronic renal failure	Ref	1.40 (0.55 to 3.59)	2.26 (0.82 to 6.19	
Cox multiple regression analysis was performed, and adjusted for age, sex and attained time of follow				

Cox multiple regression analysis was performed, and adjusted for age, sex and attained time of follow up

## **Authors** conclusions

Antidiabetic treatment was an important predictor of ischaemic heart disease with and almost 3 times higher risk of death in people treated with insulin, and 2.5 times higher in people treated with a combination of oral hypoglycaemic drugs. No effect of antidiabetic treatment on cerebrovascular disease was found.

Diabetic medication was not a significant predictor of end stage renal disease.

### Quality

Fisman (2001)					
Study characteristics	Country: Israel Funding: Not stated Study design: Prospective coh Number of participants: 2275 Duration of follow up: Mean= Method of identifying particip another trial (Bezafibrate infarct reference group.	7.7 years (range= 6.2 to	on comprised 12402	patients screened 275 diabetics and 9	for participation but not i 9047 non diabetics which
Patient		Diet	Glyburide	Metformin	Combined
characteristics	Sex	Male= 76%	Male= 76%	Male= 66%	Male=66%
	Age	Mean= 60.3	Mean= 59.8	Mean= 59.5	Mean= 60.7
	ВМІ	Mean= 27	Mean= 27	Mean= 29	Mean= 27
	Duration of diabetes	-	-	-	-
	Pharmacological therapy	n=990	n=953	n=79	n=253
	Duration of use	-	-	-	-
	Baseline HBa1c	-	-	-	-
	Fasting blood glucose	-	-	-	-
Summary of paseline characteristics	No significant differences between the groups were found, except for weight (higher in metformin group) BMI (higher i group), gender (majority were men), glucose (higher in combined group), triglycerides (higher in combined group).				
Results	Outcome	HR (95% CI)	Adjustment		
	All cause mortality (Combine compared to diet)	ed 1.53 (1.20 to 1.96)	age, gender, glucose, total cholesterol, triglycerides, previous MI, functional class, hypertension, peripheral vascular disease, previous cerebrovascular accident, angina, smoking BMI, beta blockers, antiplatelet drugs		class, ease, ingina,
	All cause mortality (Glyburid compared to diet)	e 1.21 (1.02 to 1.44)	Adjusted for signif weight, BMI, gluco	icant variables only ose, triglycerides)	/ (gender,

All cause mortality (Metformin compared to diet)

1.19 (0.76 to 1.84)

	All cause mortality (Combined compared to diet)	1.53 (1.20 to 1.95)	
Authors conclusions	Monotherapy with either glyburide or metformin in diabetic patients with CAD yielded a similar outcome and was associated with a modest increase in mortality. Time related mortality was markedly increased when a combined glyburide/metformin treatment was used.		
Quality			

### Henricsson (1997)

Stu	dy		
cha	ract	erist	ics

Country: Sweden

**Funding**: Supported by the Gorthon, Zoega and Segerfalk Foundations, Helsingborg, Foreningen Synskadades Vanner I Kristiansands Ian, HSF, Jarnhardts Foundation, Research funds at Malmo University Hospital and Kronprinsessan Margaretas

Arbetsnamnd for Synskadade. **Study design**: Prospective cohort **Number of participants**: 1378 **Duration of follow up**: 3.1 years

**Method of identifying participants**: Cohort of patients identified from a retinopathy screening programme which is offered to all diabetic patients aged 30 and older. Examination is performed at diagnosis of diabetes and every 2 years thereafter. (70% of the known diabetic population are estimated to participate in the screening programme.

Patients who were aged 40+ at diagnosis of diabetes, who participated in screening and who were examined between 1990 and 1995 were included. 2414 patient met this criteria, but only 1036 patients were examined only once and were excluded from the study leaving 1378 for inclusion

## Patient characteristics

study, leaving 1376 for inclusion.				
	Oral treatment or diet alone	Changed to insulin during treatment	Insulin	
Sex	Male= 513	Male= 99	Male= 168	
	Female= 356	Female= 75	Female= 166	
Age (at diagnosis)	Mean= 56.9 (SD= 8.8)	Mean= 54.6 (SD=7.6)	Mean= 52.3 (SD= 9.2)	
ВМІ	-	-	-	
Duration of diabetes	Mean= 5.3 (SD= 5.2)	Mean= 8.6 (SD= 6.3)	Mean= 11.5 (SD= 7.7)	
Pharmacological therapy	N=871	N=174	N=333	
Duration of use	-	-	-	
Baseline HBa1c	-	-	-	
Fasting blood glucose	-	-	-	

Results	Insulin treatment per se was not an independent risk factor for retine	Cox multivariate analysis was adjusted for differences in age, sex, and duration of diabetes.  Insulin treatment per se was not an independent risk factor for retinopathy progression  Relative risk of retinopathy progression to 3 or more levels was RR= 0.98 (0.98 to 1.19) for insulin in comparison to non-insulin			
	users.	RR (95% CI)	Adjustments		
	Risk of progression of retinopathy 3 or more levels in those who changed from orals to insulin compared to those who remained on orals	RR= 2.0 (1.7 to 2.3)	adjusted for age, sex and duration of diabetes		
	Risk of progression of retinopathy 3 or more levels in those who changed from orals to insulin compared to those who remained on orals	RR=1.6 (1.3 to 1.9)	adjusted for age, sex and duration of diabetes , HbA1c during study		
	Risk of progression of retinopathy 3 or more levels in patients on insulin with HbA1c above the median compared to patients on insulin with HbA1c below the median	RR=1.5 (1.1 to 2.1)	adjusted for age, sex, duration of diabetes		
	Risk of progression of retinopathy 3 or more levels in patients with any retinopathy at baseline compared to those with no retinopathy at baseline	RR=1.6 (1.1 to 2.0)	adjusted for age, sex, duration of diabetes		
	Risk of blindness and visual impairment due to retinopathy in those who changed from orals to insulin	RR=2.7 (1.8 to 4.0)	unclear if adjusted or not		
uthors onclusions	Change of treatment form oral drugs to insulin was associated with increased risk of blindness/visual impairment.	a 100% increased risk of ret	inopathy progression and a 3 fo		
luality					

### **ZODIAC/Landman (2010)**

ZODIAC/Landmar	n (2010)		
Study characteristics	GPs in their care of type 2 diabeted in Baseline data were collected in	s ants: Part of the ZODIAC study etic patients. Patients with very s 1998 and 1999. Laboratory and	which involved hospital based diabetes specialist nurses assisting short life expectancy or insufficient cognitive abilities were excluded physical assessment data were collected annually. In 2009 life ed by hospitals and general practitioners.
Patient		Baseline characteristics	
characteristics	Sex	Female= 57.6%	
	Age	Mean= 67.8	
	ВМІ	28.9	
	Duration of diabetes	Mean= 6.0 years	
	Pharmacological therapy	insulin= 16.5% Sulfonylurea= 55% Diet only= 13%	
	Duration of use	-	
	Baseline HBa1c	7.5	
	Fasting blood glucose	-	
Summary of baseline characteristics			BMI, HbA1c and eGFR – all were significantly higher among asulin use were significantly lower in metformin users than non
Results	Outcome	HR (95%CI)	Adjustments
	Cancer mortality (metformin compared to no metformin)	0.43 (0.23 to 0.80)	Adjusted by model 1 (all 13 variables measured at baseline- age, sex, diabetes duration, smoking, BMI, systolic blood pressure, HbA1c, eGFR, cholesterol to HDL ratio, Albumin to creatinine ration, macrovascular complications, insulin use, sulfonylurea use, diet only))
	Cancer mortality (metformin compared to no metformin)	0.46 (0.26 to 0.83)	Adjusted by model 2 (Factors directly related to cancer mortality- age, sex, BMI, insulin use, sulfonylurea use)
	Cancer mortality	0.69 (0.36 to 1.34)	presume unadjusted but this is not clear in the paper

	(sulfonylurea- unclear what this was compared to)		
	Cancer mortality (insulin – unclear what this was compared to )	0.70 (0.36 to 1.34)	presume unadjusted but this is not clear in the paper
	Total mortality (metformin compared to no metformin)	0.94 (0.73 to 1.22)	adjusted for all covariates
	Cardiovascular mortality (metformin compared to no metformin)	2.27 (1.36 to 3.78)	adjusted for all covariates
	All other causes of death (metformin compared to no metformin)	0.97 (0.72 to 1.30)	adjusted for all covariates
	The HR for metformin as a cordecreased by 42% for every 1		mortality was 0.58 (0.36 to 0.93). The hazard for cancer mortality
Authors conclusions			cer mortality. The use of metformin was associated with a lower cancer tive effect of metformin on cancer.
Quality			

# E.3 Review question 3: What are the optimal target values for HbA1c, fasting blood glucose and post prandial blood glucose in people with type 2 diabetes?

### **Evidence Table 1: (Zoungas et al ADVANCE, 2012, Diabetologia)**

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Zoungas et al 2012 – Association of HbA <sub>1c</sub> levels with vascular complications and death in patients with type 2 diabetes: evidence of glycaemic thresholds							
Study type & aim	This post hoc analysis aimed to quantify macrovascular, microvascular and mortality risks associated with HbA <sub>1c</sub> level in a contemporary cohort with established T2D							
Number and	Total number of patients:							
Characteristics of	11,140 participants randomised	d; 5571 to inten	sive glucose co	ontrol; 5569 to	standard gluco	se control		
patients	11,086 included in the observa	itional analysis a	after the exclus	sion of 54 partic	cipants where I	⊣bA₁c at baseli	ine were not av	/ailable
Monitoring information and definitions	Glycaemic exposure: glycaer 12mths for each individual.	mic levels were	assessed as th	ne mean HbA <sub>10</sub>	of measureme	ents taken at b	aseline, 6mths	and every
Intervention								
Comparator								
Length of follow up	ADVANCE study, average dura	ation of treatme	nt and follow-u	p; 4.5yrs				
Outcomes	The average HbA <sub>1c</sub> was the me			each measurer	ment for the inc	dividual by the	time intervals b	oetween
measures and effect sizes	measurements during follow-up  Mean age: 66±6 years	p and prior to th	e iirst event.					
	Baseline:							
	Mean HbA1c at baseline was 7	7.5% (SD 1.6%)						
	Risks of major vascular outc	omes and mor	tality:					
	The mean HbA <sub>1c</sub> of participants	s was 7.1% (SD	1.1%), range	4.6% to 14.8%				
	Unadjusted and adjusted haz below specified knots	zard ratio (95%	CI) of adverse	e outcomes as	ssociated with	per 1% highe	er mean HbA <sub>1</sub>	above and
		Overall				Intensive glucose control	Standard glucose control	
		Unadjusted	P value	Adjusted*	P value	Adjusted*	Adjusted*	P value

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Zoungas et al 2012 – Association of HbA <sub>1c</sub> levels with vascular complications and death in patients with type 2 diabetes: evidence of glycaemic thresholds					diabetes:			
	Endpoints	HbA <sub>1c</sub> knots#							(intensive vs standard)
	Macrovascular events#	Below 7.0	1.07 (0.92, 1.26)	0.4117	1.02 (0.86, 1.21)	0.8310	1.13 (0.89, 1.43)	0.82 (0.65, 1.04)	0.7362
		Above 7.0	1.43 (1.35, 1.51)	<0.0001	1.38 (1.30, 1.47)	<0.0001	1.58 (1.43, 1.75)	1.31 (1.21, 1.42)	0.0974
	Microvascular events#	Below 6.5	1.06 (0.79, 1.42)	0.7012	1.02 (0.76, 1.39)	0.8744	1.06 (0.69, 1.63)	0.82 (0.54, 1.25)	0.9016
		Above 6.5	1.58 (1.51, 1.65)	<0.0001	1.40 (1.33, 1.47)	<0.0001	1.72 (1.59, 1.87)	1.26 (1.18, 1.35)	<0.0001
	All-cause death#	Below 7.0	1.04 (0.88, 1.23)	0.6246	1.01 (0.85, 1.21)	0.9158	1.12 (0.87, 1.44)	0.81 (0.64, 1.04)	0.9008
		Above 7.0	1.42 (1.34, 1.51)	<0.0001	1.38 (1.29, 1.48)	<0.0001	1.67 (1.50, 1.86)	1.29 (1.18, 1.41)	0.0080
	*adjusted for age, SBP, mean triacyl hypertension, hist diabetes (# using estimates a and death, and 6.0%	glycerol, mea ory of macrov and bootstraps	n LDL-C, mea ascular diseas for the quadratic	n HDL-C, mean e, history of mean	an BMI, and the nicrovascular dis	additional basesease, smoking	eline covariates g, drinking, ECo was in 6.5% and	s of currently tr G abnormality, 7.0% for macro	eated duration of
Funding									
Authors' conclusion	7.0% and microva	those with type 2 diabetes, HbA <sub>1c</sub> levels were associated with lower risks of macrovascular events and death down to a threshold of 0% and microvascular events down to a threshold of 6.5%. There was no evidence of lower risks below these levels but neither was the ere clear evidence of harm							
Comments									

### Evidence Table 2: (Adler et al 1999, American Heart Journal)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)		Adler et al 1999 – Hyperglycaemia and hyperinsulinemia at diagnosis of diabetes and their association with subsequent cardiovascular disease in the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS 47)						
Study type & aim	To address the association between relative hyperglycaemia and hyperinsuminaemia, at diagnosis of type 2 diabetes, as they relate to subsequent ischaemic heart disease and stroke							
Number and Characteristics of patients	Total number of patients:  UKPDS 5102 patients (25-65yrs, newly diagnosed T2D)  Current analysis 5,063 white, south Asian and Afro-Caribbean participants							
Monitoring information and definitions	Associations between HbA1c a	Measurements taken at diagnosis and after 3-4mths of diet therapy  Associations between HbA1c and fasting plasma glucose at diagnosis and after run-in period  Multivariate model of HbA1c after dietary run-in						
Intervention								
Comparator								
Length of follow up	Median follow-up 10.0 to 10.3y	Median follow-up 10.0 to 10.3yrs						
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Outcomes:  During follow-up 694/5063 (14%) had fatal/non-fatal MI, 315/5063 (6%) developed angina, 254/5063 (5%) had fatal/non-fatal stroke							
	Fasting plasma glucose	Fatal/non-fatal MI (HR 95% CI)	Newly diagnosed angina (HR 95% CI)	Fatal/non-fatal stroke (HR 95% CI)				
	At diagnosis mmol/L	N=694 (5045 in analysis)	N=315 (5036 in analysis)	N=253 (5040 in analysis)				
	≤9.7	1.0	1.0	1.0				
	>9.7 to ≤13.4	1.1 (0.9, 1.4)	1.3 (1.0, 1.7)	1.3 (0.9, 1.7)				
	>13.4	1.3 (1.1, 1.6)	1.2 (0.9, 1.5)	1.3 (1.0, 1.8)				
	After dietary run-in	N=694 (5059 in analysis)	N=315 (5050 in analysis)	N=254 (5054 in analysis)				

## Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)

Adler et al 1999 – Hyperglycaemia and hyperinsulinemia at diagnosis of diabetes and their association with subsequent cardiovascular disease in the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS 47)

≤7.2	1.0	1.0	1.0
>7.2 to ≤9.8	1.2 (1.0, 1.4)	1.1 (0.8, 1.5)	1.1 (0.8, 1.5)
>9.8	1.5 (1.2, 1.8)	1.3 (1.0, 1.7)	1.4 (1.0, 1.9)

HbA1c	Fatal/non-fatal MI (HR 95% CI)	Newly diagnosed angina (HR 95% CI)	Fatal/non-fatal stroke (HR 95% CI)
At diagnosis	N=611	N=288	N=211
	(4582 in analysis)	(4574 in analysis)	(4578 in analysis)
≤8.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
>8.0 to ≤10.2	1.2 (1.0, 1.5)	1.4 (1.1, 1.9)	1.1 (0.8, 1.6)
>10.2	1.4 (1.1, 1.7)	1.2 (0.8, 1.6)	1.0 (0.7, 1.4)
After dietary run-in	N=569	N=278	N=207
	(4315 in analysis)	(4307 in analysis)	(4103 in analysis)
≤6.3	1.0	1.0	1.0
>6.3 to ≤7.6	1.2 (1.0, 1.5)	1.5 (1.1, 2.0)	1.1 (0.8, 1.5)
>7.6	1.5 (1.2, 1.9)	1.7 (1.3, 2.3)	1.1 (0.8, 1.6)

Multivariate analysis (controlled for age at diagnosis, sex, ethnicity, smoking at diagnosis, fitness, social class, total cholesterol, triglyceride, HDL cholesterol, BMI, DBP, history of MI)

HbA1c	Fatal/non-fatal MI (HR 95% CI)	Newly diagnosed angina (HR 95% CI)	Fatal/non-fatal stroke (HR 95% CI)
After dietary run-in	N=492	N=251	N=170
	(3845 in analysis)	(3836 in analysis)	(3670 in analysis)
≤6.3	1.0	1.0	1.0
>6.3 to ≤7.6	1.2 (0.9, 1.5)	1.5 (1.1, 2.0)	1.2 (0.8, 1.7)
>7.6	1.5 (1.2, 1.8)	1.6 (1.1, 2.1)	1.1 (0.7, 1.6)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Adler et al 1999 – Hyperglycaemia and hyperinsulinemia at diagnosis of diabetes and their association with subsequent cardiovascular disease in the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS 47)
Funding	
Authors' conclusion	Hyperglycaemia at diagnosis and after dietary run-in period was associated with an increased risk of ischaemic heart disease, no effect with stroke was observed
Comments	Multivariate models developed for both end points (hyperinsulinemia data not included in this evidence table)

### **Evidence Table 3: (Adler et al 2002, Diabetes Care)**

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Adler et al 2002 – UKPDS 59: Hyperglycaemia and other potentially modifiable risk factors for peripheral vascular disease in Type 2 Diabetes
Study type & aim	Using UKPDS data to identify risk factors measured shortly after diagnosis of T2D for the development of PVD at 6yrs after diabetes UK
Number and Characteristics of patients	<b>Total number of patients:</b> 4,987/5,063 white, south Asian and Afro-Caribbean participants had data for PVD measurement at diagnosis 3,834 who did not have PVD re-examined at 6yrs (those not followed were older (52.6 vs 51.9yrs), higher SBP (138vs 2134mmHg), white (86 vs 81%), more likely to smoke (35 vs 30%)) 2,398/3,834 had data on all of the risk factors for the model (did not differ on age, sex, ethnicity compared to those where complete data were not available)
Monitoring information and definitions	PVD: Diagnostic criteria; any two of – ankle-arm index <0.8, absence of both dorsal pedis and posterior tibial pulses in at least one leg, intermittent claudication Incident PVD defined as PVD at 6yrs in those without PVD at diagnosis  Potential risk factors chosen from risk factors for PVD in the general population (included age, sex, ethnic group, HbA1c, fasting plasma insulin, height, BMI, SBP, DBP)
Intervention	
Comparator	
Length of follow up	Assessed at diagnosis and every 3yrs up to 18yrs after diagnosis of diabetes  Mean follow-up 8.9yrs
Outcomes measures and	At diagnosis 58/4,987, 1.2%, (95%CI 0.9, 1.5) had PVD, at 18yrs this was 12.5% (95%CI 3.8, 21.2)

Bibliographic
reference (Ref ID)
effect sizes

Adler et al 2002 – UKPDS 59: Hyperglycaemia and other potentially modifiable risk factors for peripheral vascular disease in Type 2 Diabetes

Characteristics measured at baseline in those with/without PVD at 6yrs after diagnosis, univariate associations between potential risk factors and incident PVD:

Potential risk factor	PVD at 6yrs but not a	t diagnosis (SD)	P value
	Yes	No	
	61	2,337	
Age (yrs)	57.6	60.0	<0.001
Sex (%, male/female)	57	60	0.67
Race (% white/south Asian/Afro-Caribbean)	84/11/5	82/11/7	0.79, 0.48
Height (cm)	166.6 (9)	167.8 (10)	0.35
BMI	26.7 (4.2)	27.6 (5.06)	0.17
SBP	145 (22)	134 (19)	<0.001
DBP	83 (10)	82 (10)	0.39
HbA1c (%)	7.9 (1.8)	7.2 (1.8)	<0.001
Fasting plasma insulin (mU/L)	13.0 (7.0-23.6)	11.9 (6.7-21.2)	0.22
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	5.5 (1.0)	5.3 (1.1)	0.12
LDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	3.7 (1.0)	3.5 (1.1)	0.013
HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.0 (0.2)	1.1 (0.2)	0.011
Triglyceride (mmol/L)	1.7 (1.0-2.8)	1.5 (0.9-2.5)	0.057
Haemoglobin	14.9 (1.4)	15.0 (1.4)	0.58
White blood cell count (1000cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )	7.2 (1.8)	6.9 (1.9)	0.24
ESR (upper quartile)	40%	25%	0.008
Albuminuria	16.2%	6.6%	0.003
Biothesiometer reading (volts)	15.7 (9.4-26.3)	12.2 (7.4-20.2)	<0.001
Retinopathy	49%	35%	0.021
Former cardiovascular disease	13%	4%	<0.001
Erectile dysfunction	9%	6%	0.57
Alcohol	72%	76%	0.49
Aspirin use (current)	18%	19%	0.83
Exercise (% sedentary/moderate/active/fit)	28/33/36/3	21/34/41/4	0.58

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Adler et al 2002 – UKPDS 59: H Type 2 Diabetes	yperglycaemia and other potentially	modifiable risk factors	for peripheral vascular diseas			
	Smoking (% current/former/neve	er) 53/26/21	29/36/35	<0.001			
	Factors entered into SBP, HbA1c, retinopathy, history of cardiovascular disease, smoking, increased total and LDL cholesterol, triglyceride, albuminuria, vibration perception threshold, ESR, decreased HDL)  Outcomes of the multivariate model of incident PVD at 6yrs, based on 61 (of 2,398) participants:						
		Comparison	Odds ratio	95%CI			
	Age	Each year older at diagnosis of diabe	tes 1.10	1.05, 1.15			
	HbA1c	Each 1% increase	1.28	1.12, 1.46			
	SBP	Each 10mmHg increase	1.25	1.10, 1.43			
	HDL	Each 0.1mmol/L decrease	1.22	1.07, 1.39			
	Former smoking	Never smoked	0.80	0.37, 1.72			
	Current smoking	Never smoked	2.90	1.46, 5.73			
	Cardiovascular disease	None	3.00	1.30, 6.70			
	Retinopathy	Presence of retinopathy	1.64	0.97, 2.78			
	Peripheral sensory neuropathy	Doubling of voltage threshold	1.31	0.89, 1.93			
	Increased age, increased HbA1c, increased SBP, lower HDL cholesterol, previous cardiovascular disease and smoking were independent risk factors for PVD at 6yrs						
unding	Grant from the Wellcome Trust						
Authors' conclusion	Hyperglycaemia (as well as smok	ing dyslipidaemia and BP) are potentia	Illy modifiable risk factors	for the development of PVD			
Comments	Logistic regression used to evaluate the association between potential risk factors and PVD and for interactions with HbA1c						

### **Evidence Table 4: (Drechsler et al 2009, Circulation)**

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Drechsler et al 2009 – Glycaemic control and cardiovascular events in diabetic hemodialysis patients
Study type & aim	To investigate the association of HbA1c with the risk of sudden cardiac death, MI, stroke, combined cardiovascular events (CVE),

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Drechsler et al 200	09 – Glycaemic	control and cardiovaso	cular events in	diabetic hemodialvsi	s patients	
	death resulting from				,		
	(4D study – prospe Germany	ctive RCT, doubl	e-blind placebo trial)				
Number and Characteristics of patients	Total number of p		ance haemodialysis; data	from the Germa	an Diabetes Dialysis S	Study (4D study)	
	Mean age 65.7yrs	(SD 8.3yrs), 54%	s, recruited between Ma male s%), no significant differe			o groups	
Monitoring information and definitions	combined cardiova For the analysis in	of death resulting scular events; C\ this paper, suddense mortality were	en cardiac death, MI (fata e all chosen to be separa	al and non-fatal)	, stroke (fatal and non-	-fatal), CVE, dea	th from congestive
Intervention	Atorvastatin 20mg	(n=619)					
Comparator	Placebo (n=636)	,					
Length of follow up	Median 4yr follow-u	qı					
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	During follow-up 46 (fatal or non-fatal),  Baseline HbA1c, r	59 reached the pr 103 had a stroke risk of sudden d sudden cardiac	,	617 died (160 s	udden cardiac death),	41 died of CHF,	
	Model	HbA1c					
		≤6% (n=404)	>6% to ≤8% (n=664)	P value	>8% (n=187)	P value	

## Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)

### Drechsler et al 2009 - Glycaemic control and cardiovascular events in diabetic hemodialysis patients

	HR	HR (95% CI)		HR (95% CI)	
Crude	1	1.69 (1.14, 2.49)	0.008	2.14 (1.33, 3.44)	0.002
Adjusted*	1	1.82 (1.20, 2.77)	0.005	2.25 (1.32, 3.81)	0.003
Adjusted plus CAD and CHF	1	1.85 (1.22, 2.81)	0.004	2.26 (1.33, 3.85)	0.003

### HbA1c as a continuous variable:

Absolute rates of sudden death, MI, stroke, primary end point, all-cause mortality, HF death and mortality except for sudden death (95% CI per unit increase in HbA1c):

	Sudden death	MI (fatal/non- fatal)	Stroke (fatal/non- fatal)	Primary end point	All-cause mortality	Heart failure death	Mortality except for sudden death
Events	160	200	103	469	617	41	457
Time, person-yrs	3555	3368	3465	3287	3555	3555	3555
Incidence rate/100 person-yrs	4.5	5.9	3.0	14.3	17.4	1.2	12.9
HbA1c crude HR	1.18	0.98	1.13	1.08	1.08	1.14	1.05
(95%CI)	(1.05, 1.32)	(0.87, 1.09)	(0.98, 1.31)	(1.01, 1.16)	(1.02, 1.15)	(0.91, 1.43)	(0.98, 1.13)
HbA1c adjusted*	1.21	0.94	1.11	1.09	1.09	1.30	1.04
HR (95%CI)	(1.06, 1.38)	(0.83, 1.07)	(0.93, 1.32)	(1.01, 1.18)	(1.02, 1.17)	(1.00, 1.68)	(0.96, 1.13)

When investigated as a continuous variable the HR to sudden cardiac death increased by 18% per unit (1% increase in HbA1c) (Additional analysis using HbA1c quartiles ( $\leq$ 5.8%, >5.8 to  $\leq$ 6.6%, >6.6 to  $\leq$ 7.4%, >7.4%) showed similar results)

### Baseline HbA1c and risk of MI, stroke, primary end point, death resulting from heart failure:

Model and HbA1c	Sudden death		MI (fatal and non-fatal)		Stroke (fatal and non-fatal)		Primary end point	
	HR (95%CI)	P value	HR (95%CI)	P value	HR (95%CI)	P value	HR (95%CI)	P value
Crude								
≤6	1		1		1		1	
>6 to ≤8	1.69	0.008	1.04	0.814	1.58	0.058	1.29	0.019

	(1.14, 2.49)		(0.77, 1.41)		(0.98, 2.54)		(1.04, 1.59)	
>8	2.14	0.002	0.80	0.358	1.74	0.070	1.32	0.056
	(1.33, 3.44)		(0.50, 1.28)		(0.96, 3.18)		(0.99, 1.75)	
Adjusted								_
≤6	1		1		1		1	
>6 to ≤8	1.85	0.004	0.94	0.707	1.56	0.093	1.31	0.018
	(1.22, 2.81)		(0.68, 1.30)		(0.93, 2.62)		(1.05, 1.65)	
>8	2.26	0.003	0.77	0.299	1.67	0.142	1.37	0.050
	(1.33, 3.85)		(0.47, 1.26)		(0.84, 3.30)		(1.00, 1.87)	
							1	
Model and HbA1c	All-cause mo	rtality	Heart failure	death	Mortality exce death	ept for sudden		
	HR 95%CI)	P value	HR (95%CI)	P value	HR (95%CI)	P value		
Crude								
≤6	1		1		1			
>6 to ≤8	1.29	0.006	1.34	0.427	1.19	0.098		
	(1.07, 1.55)		(0.65, 2.75)		(0.97, 1.47)			
>8	1.31	0.033	1.44	0.452	1.10	0.543		
	(1.02, 1.68)		(0.56, 3.71)		(0.82, 1.47)			
Adjusted								
≤6	1		1		1			
>6 to ≤8	1.34	0.004	1.53	0.288	1.19	0.117		
	(1.10, 1.63)		(0.70, 3.33)		(0.96, 1.50)			
>8	1.34	0.039	2.12	0.155	1.10	0.546		
	(1.02, 1.76)		(0.75, 5.98)		(0.80, 1.52)			
			ed higher 37% risk d for CVE except		his was explained	d mainly by the	impact of HbA1	c on sud

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Drechsler et al 2009 – Glycaemic control and cardiovascular events in diabetic hemodialysis patients Forschungsgeneinschaft
Authors' conclusion	Poor glycaemic control was strongly associated with sudden cardiac death in those with T2D having haemodialysis, which accounted for increased cardiovascular events and mortality. MI was not affected. Whether interventions achieving tight glycaemic control decrease sudden death requires further evaluation
Comments	Absolute (incidence) rates calculated as the number of events occurring per 100 person-years of follow-up *Cox regression analysis adjusted for the confounders; age, sex, atorvastatin, SBP, duration of T2D, time of dialysis, smoking status, BMI, LDL cholesterol, triglycerides, albumin, haemoglobin, calcium, phosphate, C-reactive protein)
	To test robustness of results divided into quartiles of HbA1c at baseline and repeated analysis on the effect of glycaemic control. All analyses repeated in the placebo group only to eliminate any potential influence of atorvastatin

### **Evidence Table 5: (Eeg-Olofsson et al 2010, Journal of Internal Medicine)**

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Eeg-Olofsson et al 2010 – New aspects of HbA1c as a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases in type 2 diabetes: an observational study from the Swedish National Diabetes Register (NDR)
Study type & aim	To determine the association between glycaemic control and CHD, stroke, CVD, and total mortality in patients with T2D treated in everyday clinical practice (using data from the Swedish National Diabetes Register)  Cohort study  Sweden
Number and Characteristics of patients	Total number of patients:  18,334 patients with T2D  Included: Reporting to the NDR is not mandatory, but all hospital diabetes outpatient clinics and primary healthcare are encouraged to do so Age 30-79yrs, 18% had a history of CVD Baseline HbA1c 5.0 to 10.9% Divided into two subgroups;  - according to median diabetes duration (≤7yrs, n=10,016; >7yrs, n=8,318)  - history of CVD (history, n=3276; no history, n=15,058)  - those with HbA1c between 6.0 to 8.9%, n=14,211 (6.0 to 6.9%, n=4841; 7.0 to 7.9%, n=5660; 8.0 to 8.9%, n=3710)  - those treated with OHAs or insulin at baseline, n=14,509 (OHAs alone, n=6687; insulin alone or in combination with OHAs, n=7822)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Eeg-Olofsson et al 2010 – observational study from				seases in type 2 dia	betes: an
	Baseline characteristics by	HbA1c group:				
		All patients in the study	Baseline HabA1c 6.0-6.9%	Baseline HabA1c 7.0-7.9%	Baseline HabA1c 8.0-8.9%	P value
	Numbers	18,334	4841	5660	3710	
	HbA1c baseline, %	7.6 (1.2)	6.5 (0.3)	7.5 (0.3)	8.5 (0.3)	<0.001
	Age, yrs	64 (10)	64 (10)	64 (10)	63 (9)	<0.01
	Duration, yrs	8 (7)	6 (7)	9 (7)	10 (7)	<0.001
	BMI	28.8 (5)	28.6 (5)	28.7 (5)	29.0 (5)	<0.001
	SBP	148 (19)	148 (19)	148 (19)	148 (19)	NS
	Male	56.7	58.8	56.7	56.0	<0.05
	Smokers	14.2	13.4	13.8	14.7	NS
	Antihypertensives	53.8	53.8	54.2	53.9	NS
	Lipid-lowering drugs	15.6	14.8	16.5	16.8	<0.05
	Albuminuria	23.2	19.7	23.4	26.6	<0.001
	History of CVD - CHD - Stroke	13.6 5.9				
	History of CHF	6.4	5.5	6.3	7.2	<0.01
	Diet alone	20.9	35.9	13.7	7.6	<0.001
	OHA alone	36.5	37.9	43.3	34.5	<0.001
	OHA and insulin	12.9	6.2	13.0	19.2	<0.001
	Insulin alone	29.7	20.0	30.0	38.8	<0.001
Ionitoring nformation and efinitions	Patients followed from base  End points:					
4 4	Fatal or non-fatal CHD, fata	l or non-fatal stroke, fa	ital or non-fatal CVD,	total mortality		
ntervention						

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)		et al 2010 – New asp study from the Swed				ular diseases	in type 2 diabetes:	an		
Comparator										
Length of follow up	•	Data reported based on annual clinical visits Followed from 1997/1998 until 2003								
Outcomes measures and effect sizes		r mean diabetes durat onger disease duration								
	Events, HbA1c in all patients:									
	HR (95%CI) per 1% unit increase in baseline HbA1c and updated mean HbA1c									
		d hazard ratios were a				oking status, S	BP, antihypertensiv	e or lipid		
	lowering drug to	reatment, albuminuria	>20µgmin-ˈ	and hypoglyca	emic treatment					
	6-vr mean rates	s (%) of outcomes:								
	Outcome	Groups	No. of	Event rate	Baseline HbA1c	P value	Mean HbA1c	P value		
	Gutoome	Croups	events	Mean (SD)	HR (95%CI)	1 Value	HR (95%CI)	1 Value		
	Fatal/non- fatal CHD	All patients	2623	16.6 (10.1)	1.11 (1.07, 1.15)	<0.001	1.13 (1.09, 1.17)	<0.001		
		Duration ≤7yrs	1111	12.9 (8.2)	1.09 (1.03, 1.15)	0.003	1.09 (1.03, 1.15)	0.005		
		Duration >7yrs	1512	21.3 (10.4)	1.11 (1.06, 1.16)	<0.001	1.15 (1.10, 1.21)	<0.001		
		Previous CHD	854	30.1 (10.0)	1.08 (1.02, 1.15)	0.01	1.10 (1.03, 1.17)	0.005		
		No previous CHD	1769	13.8 (8.5)	1.12 (1.07, 1.16)	<0.001	1.15 (1.10, 1.20)	<0.001		
	Fatal/non- fatal stroke	All patients	1574	10.4 (7.1)	1.08 (1.03, 1.13)	0.002	1.09 (1.04, 1.14)	<0.001		
		Duration ≤7yrs	657	8.1 (6.3)	1.06 (0.98, 1.14)	0.1	1.05 (0.97, 1.13)	0.2		
		Duration >7yrs	917	13.1 (10.1)	1.07 (1.01, 1.14)	0.03	1.10 (1.03, 1.17)	0.003		
		Previous CHD	519	19.6 (8.4)	1.11 (1.03, 1.20)	0.01	1.13 (1.04, 1.23)	0.004		
		No previous CHD	1055	8.4 (6.0)	1.06 (1.00, 1.12)	0.04	1.07 (1.01, 1.13)	0.03		
	Fatal/non- fatal CVD	All patients	3823	23.9 (13.8)	1.10 (1.07, 1.13)	<0.001	1.11 (1.08, 1.15)	<0.001		
		Duration ≤7yrs	1625	18.9 (11.9)	1.08 (1.03, 1.13)	0.001	1.07 (1.02, 1.12)	0.009		
		Duration >7yrs	2198	30.0 (13.7)	1.10 (1.06, 1.14)	<0.001	1.13 (1.09, 1.18)	<0.001		
		Previous CHD	1235	42.3 (13.1)	1.10 (1.05, 1.16)	<0.001	1.11 (1.05, 1.17)	<0.001		

## Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)

## Eeg-Olofsson et al 2010 – New aspects of HbA1c as a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases in type 2 diabetes: an observational study from the Swedish National Diabetes Register (NDR)

	No previous CHD	2588	19.8 (11.9)	1.09 (1.06, 1.13)	<0.001	1.11 (1.07, 1.16)	<0.001
Fatal CVD	All patients	1456	9.4 (9.2)	1.10 (1.05, 1.16)	<0.001	1.12 (1.07, 1.18)	<0.001
	Duration ≤7yrs	529	6.1 (6.8)	1.14 (1.05, 1.24)	0.001	1.12 (1.03, 1.22)	0.008
	Duration >7yrs	927	13.4 (10.7)	1.07 (1.01, 1.14)	0.02	1.11 (1.05, 1.19)	<0.001
	Previous CHD	656	23.6 (13.6)	1.09 (1.01, 1.17)	0.02	1.13 (1.05, 1.21)	0.002
	No previous CHD	800	6.3 (6.6)	1.11 (1.04, 1.19)	0.001	1.11 (1.04, 1.19)	0.002
Total mortality	All patients	1902	12.1 (11.8)	1.09 (1.05, 1.14)	<0.001	1.10 (1.05, 1.15)	<0.001
	Duration ≤7yrs	715	8.3 (9.0)	1.13 (1.05, 1.21)	<0.001	1.08 (1.00, 1.16)	0.04
	Duration >7yrs	1187	16.7 (12.4)	1.07 (1.01, 1.13)	0.01	1.11 (1.05, 1.17)	<0.001
	Previous CHD	787	27.7 (15.7)	1.08 (1.01, 1.15)	0.02	1.11 (1.03, 1.18)	0.004
	No previous CHD	1115	8.7 (9.2)	1.10 (1.04, 1.16)	<0.001	1.09 (1.03, 1.16)	0.003

### Baseline HbA1c;

Divided into subgroups; 6.0 to 6.9%, 7.0 to 7.9%, 8.0 to 8.9%

6-yr rates of CHD, CVD and total mortality in three patient subgroups of baseline HbA1c, with HR for these outcomes

Outcome	HbA1c interval (mean)	Patients/No. of events	Kaplan-Meier 6-yr rate (%)#	Cox model Mean (SD)	Ratio	Cox regression HR (95%CI)	P value
Fatal/non-	6.0-6.9% (6.5)	4841/559	13.6	13.9 (9.2)	1.0	1.0	
fatal CHD	7.0-7.9% (7.5)	5660/845	17.0	17.3 (11.1)*	1.24	1.25 (1.11, 1.39)	<0.001
	8.0-8.9% (8.5)	3710/625	19.7	19.9 (13.2)*	1.43	1.36 (1.20, 1.55)	<0.001
Fatal/non-	6.0-6.9% (6.5)	4841/856	20.5	20.9 (12.7)	1.0	1.0	
fatal CVD	7.0-7.9% (7.5)	5660/1226	24.4	24.6 (14.3)*	1.18	1.18 (1.08, 1.29)	<0.001
	8.0-8.9% (8.5)	3710/894	27.7	27.8 (16.4)*	1.33	1.31 (1.18, 1.45)	<0.001
Fatal CVD	6.0-6.9% (6.5)	4841/323	7.6	7.8 (9.2)	1.0	1.0	
	7.0-7.9% (7.5)	5660/444	8.9	9.1 (10.6)*	1.17	1.11 (0.96, 1.29)	NS
	8.0-8.9% (8.5)	3710/344	11.2	11.2 (13.3)*	1.44	1.27 (1.07, 1.50)	0.005
Total mortality	6.0-6.9% (6.5)	4841/436	10.2	10.3 (11.4)	1.0	1.0	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Eeg-Olofsson et al 2010 – New aspects of HbA1c as a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases in type 2 diabetes: an observational study from the Swedish National Diabetes Register (NDR)							
		7.0-7.9% (7.5)	5660/583	11.7	11.7 (12.7)*	1.14	1.08 (0.95, 1.23)	NS
		8.0-8.9% (8.5)	3710/439	14.3	14.2 (15.6)*	1.38	1.19 (1.03, 1.38)	0.02
	#6-yr failure rates at survival analysis estimated in each group of different HbA1c							
	*significance levels for difference between two mean rates, after logarithmic transformation of the rates, with the group of HbA1c 6-6.9% as reference							
Funding	The Swedish Association of Local Authorities funds the NDR							
Authors' conclusion	This study showed progressively increasing risks of CHD, CVD, and total mortality with higher HbA1c, and no risk increase at low HbA1c levels even with longer duration of diabetes, previous CVD or treatment with insulin or OHAs. Those achieving HbA1c <7% showed benefits of risk reduction							
Comments	Cox regression analyses were used to estimate adjusted HR, 95% CI, for the outcomes CHD, stroke, CVD, total mortality per 1% unit increase in baseline HbA1c or updated mean HbA1c with adjustments for covariates  The updated mean HbA1c treated as a time-dependent variable to evaluate glycaemic exposure during follow-up, allowing the use of a recent value of updated mean HbA1c at each specific time point in the modelling process							

### **Evidence Table 6: (Landman et al 2010, British Journal of General Practice)**

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Landman et al 2010 – The relationship between glycaemic control and mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes in general practice (ZODIAC-11)
Study type & aim	To investigate the relationship between the glycaemic control (indicated by HbA1c) and mortality in patients with T2D Cohort
	The Netherlands
Number and	Total number of patients:
Characteristics of patients	1145 with T2D
	Included:
	Patients with T2D in 32 primary care practices in the Zwolle Outpatient Diabetes project Integrating Available Care (ZODIAC) – GPs were assisted in their care of those with T2D by hospital-based nurses specialising in diabetes, patients consult these nurses annually
	Excluded:
	Those being treated by specialists in internal medicine
	Those considered to have short life expectancy or insufficient cognitive abilities

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Landman et al 2010 – The relationship between glycaemic control and mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes in general practice (ZODIAC-11)							
	Those with insufficient baseline data							
	Baseline characteristics: (means ±SD)							
		Total (n=1145)	Deceased patients (n=335)	Patients that survived (n=810)				
	Age	68.7 (±11.5)	76.5 (±8.7)	65.5 (±11.0)*				
	Female, %	54.3	58.8	57.5				
	Duration of diabetes, yrs	7.7 (±7.5)	9.2 (±8.5)	7.1 (±7.0)*				
	Smoking - Never	50.4	51.9	49.8				
	- Former	30.4	31.6	30.1				
	- Current	18.1	14.9	19.4				
	BMI	28.9 (±4.8)	28.3 (±5.0)	29.2 (±4.7)#				
	SBP	155.1 (±25)	154.0 (±27)	154.3 (±24)				
	HbA1c	7.5 (±1.3)	7.6 (±1.3)	7.5 (±1.2)~				
	Creatinine clearance, ml/min	63.2 (±20.0)	51.5 (±16.7)	68.1 (±19.2)*				
	Total cholesterol/HDL ration	5.3 (±1.60)	5.2 (±1.7)	5.2 (±1.5)				
	LDL cholesterol, mmol/L	3.4 (±1.0)	3.3 (±1.0)	3.4 (±1.0)				
	Statin use, %	11.2	10.2	12.1				
	Insulin use, %	15.4	21.2	12.3*				
	Microalbuminuria, %	41.8	55.3	36.6*				
	Macroalbuminuria, %	5.5	7.9	4.5~				
	Macrovascular complications, %	61.4	77.9	54.5*				
	*p<0.001, *p<0.01, ^p<0.05 335 (31%) died during median follow-up of 5.8yrs, of the 315 known causes of death, n=161 (51%) were due to cardiovascular disease, n=70 (21%) malignancies, n=34 (10%) respiratory disease							
Monitoring information and definitions	Enrolled in the Zwolle Outpatient Dia September 2004. Data collected annual		ating Available Care (	ZODIAC) in 1998, su	rvival status recorded in			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Landman et al 2010 – The relationship between glycaemic control and mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes in general practice (ZODIAC-11)						
	As part of ZODIAC pa	atients in 32 primary care pr	actices consulted diabetes spec	ialist nurses annually			
Intervention							
Comparator							
Length of follow up	Data reported based Followed from 1997/	on annual clinical visits					
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	(Included in the models as possible cofounders; age, sex, smoking, duration of diabetes, creatinine, BMI, SBP, total/HDL cholesterol ratio, macrovascular complications, use of statins, insulin use and albuminuria)  Outcomes:  Standardised mortality ratio (95%CI) for total mortality 1.86 (1.66, 2.06); for cardiovascular mortality 2.21 (1.42, 3.42)  All-cause mortality, mean HbA1c (continuous, per 1% reduction), HR 1.21 (95%CI, 1.07, 1.36)						
	,	(6.5-7% used as a reference	<b>,</b>	_			
	HbA1c	HR (95%CI), all-cause mortality	HR (95%CI), cardiovascular mortality				
	<6.5% (n=228)	1.11 (0.71, 1.74)	0.94 (0.47, 1.91)				
	6.5-7% (n=245)	1	1				
	7-8% (n=318)	1.40 (0.99, 1.97)	1.40 (0.84, 2.31)				
	8-9% (n=208)	1.43 (0.97, 2.10)	1.71 (0.99, 2.96)				
	≥9% (n=144)	2.26 (1.39, 3.67)	3.13 (1.62, 6.05)				
Funding	Not reported						
Authors' conclusion	HbA1c level was associated with mortality and this effect seems largely attributable to those with really poor glycaemic control. The absence of differences in mortality in the groups with lower HbA1c supports the position that there is no basis for continually deceasing target HbA1c						
Comments	• •						
	Cox proportional hazard model was used to assess the association between updated mean HbA1c levels and mortality (all-cause and cardiovascular)						

### **Evidence Table 7: (Molyneaux et al 1998, Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice)**

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Molyneaux et al 1998, Better glycaemic control and risk reduction of diabetic complications in type 2 diabetes: comparison with DCCT
Study type & aim	To evaluate of improvements in glycaemic control in T2D will result in risk reduction in diabetic complications (comparison with T1D study)  Cohort  Australia
Number and Characteristics of patients	Total number of patients:  963 NIDDM patients attending the complications assessment service with no initial retinopathy (subgroup of 399 with normal urine albumin concentration)  Included:  NIDDM patients attending the complications assessment service on >1 occasion (patients referred by primary care physicians for assessment of diabetic complications)  No retinopathy at initial visit  Baseline characteristics;  - Median age 57.5yrs (IQ range 50.0-64.6)  - Median duration of diabetes 3.8yrs (IQ range 0.8-8.8)  - 27% on diet, 63% oral agent, 10% insulin treatment  - Median HbA1c 7.8% (IQ 6.7-9.5)
Monitoring information and definitions	Retinopathy was defined as the development of any diabetic retinopathy on fundal examination (conducted by one physician and verified against an ophthalmologist, agreement was measured and considered excellent)  Subgroup analysed for development of microalbuminuria (defined as urinary albumin concentration >30mg/L)  Relationship with glucose exposure over time and new retinopathy or microalbuminuria was assessed using the mean of serial HbA1c
Intervention	
Comparator	
Length of follow up	Median of 3.5visits (range 2-6) over median follow-up of 28mths (IQ range 16.4-45.1)
Outcomes measures and	Outcomes:

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Molyneaux et al 1998, Better glycaemic control and risk reduction of diabetic complications in type 2 diabetes: comparison with DCCT
effect sizes	Retinopathy Microalbuminuria
	Annual incidence: Annual incidence of retinopathy 5.7% (95%CI; 4.0, 7.2) Annual incidence of microalbuminuria 8.3% (95%CI; 5.7, 10.9)
	Relative risk reductions for retinopathy and microalbuminuria associated with a 10% lower mean HbA1c: - Retinopathy; 24% risk reduction, (95%CI; 16, 23), p<0.0001 - Microalbuminuria; 9%, risk reduction (95%CI; -2, 19)
	Absolute risk (risk per 100 patient years) of developing diabetic retinopathy or microalbuminuria and mean HbA1c results showed a smooth curve with increasing HbA1c and absolute risk for both retinopathy and microalbuminuria
Funding	Not reported
Authors' conclusion	The development of diabetic retinopathy in those with T2D is related to the magnitude of hyperglycaemia
Comments	60% of patients had a follow-up complication assessment within the 4yr study period – comparison with those who did not return (63% vs 55%) revealed NS difference in clinical and complication status (except a higher proportion of oral hypoglycaemic agent treatment)  Risk gradients for retinopathy and microalbuminuria estimated from a Poisson regression model using the natural log of mean serial HbA1c

## **Evidence Table 8: (van Hateren et al 2011, International Journal of Clinical Practice)**

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	van Hateren et al 2011 – Glycaemic control and risk of mortality in elderly type 2 diabetic patients (ZODIAC-20)
Study type & aim	To explore the relationship between HbA1c and (cardiovascular) mortality and the role of diabetes duration, in elderly patients (>75yrs)  Cohort  Netherlands

## Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)

### van Hateren et al 2011 – Glycaemic control and risk of mortality in elderly type 2 diabetic patients (ZODIAC-20)

### Number and Characteristics of patients

### **Total number of patients:**

1145 with T2D, selected those >75yrs, n=374

### Included:

Patients with T2D in 32 primary care practices

### Excluded:

Those being treated by specialists in internal medicine

Those considered to have short life expectancy or insufficient cognitive abilities

Those with insufficient baseline data

Baseline characteristics: (means ±SD)

	Overall, n=374	Diabetes duration			P value
		Tertile 1 (<5yrs), n=111	Tertile 2 (5-11yrs), n=139	Tertile 3 (≥11yrs), n=124	
Age	80 (78-83)	80 (78-83)	80 (78-83)	80 (78-83)	0.887
Male sex	130 (34.8)	34 (30.6)	57 (41.0)	39 (31.5)	0.148
ВМІ	27.8 (4.4)	28.6 (4.4)	28.0 (4.3)	26.9 (4.2)	0.012
Duration of T2D, yrs	8 (4-13)	2 (1-3)	7 (6-9)	16 (13-20)	-
SBP	155.7 (24.7)	153.1 (24.3)	156.8 (24.9)	156.7 (24.9)	0.416
Current smoking	33 (8.8)	4 (3.7)	11 (8.0)	18 (14.8)	0.011
HbA1c, %	7.4 (1.2)	7.3 (1.3)	7.5 (1.1)	7.4 (1.2)	0.292
Albuminuria present	206 (55.1)	53 (47.7)	84 (60.4)	69 (55.6)	0.133
Cholesterol-HDL ratio	4.9 (1.6)	5.2 (1.7)	4.8 (1.6)	4.7 (1.5)	0.099
Serum creatinine, µmol/L	98 (86-115)	98 (82-111)	99 (87-123)	98 (87-111)	0.165
Macrovascular complications present	162 (43.3)	45 (40.5)	62 (44.6)	55 (44.4)	0.780
Treatment					
- Diet	40 (10.7)	19 (17.1)	13 (9.4)	8 (6.5)	0.025
- Oral agents	265 (70.9)	85 (76.6)	102 (73.4)	78 (62.9)	0.050
- Insulin	79 (21.1)	7 (63)	32 (23.0)	40 (32.3)	<0.001

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	van Hateren et al 2011	- Glycaemic	control and risk o	f mortality in e	elderly type 2	diabetic patie	nts (ZODIA	C-20)
	Receiving antihyperten	nsive 231	(61.8) 7	71 (65.1)	82 (59.4	) 7	78 (63.9)	0.610
	Receiving lipid lowering treatment	g 17 (	4.5) 5	5 (4.6)	8 (5.8)	4	4 (3.3)	0.627
Monitoring nformation and definitions	Enrolled in the Zwolle O September 2004. Data of As part of ZODIAC paties	collected annua	ally	J	,			corded in
Intervention		·				·		
Comparator								
Length of follow up	Early 2009, data retrieve	ed from records	s maintained by ho	spital and GPs				
measures and effect sizes	All-cause mortality, card	liovascular dise	ease mortality					
S. 100. G. 200	Overall group: Increase of 1% in HbA1c age and gender were no Stratified according to Updated mean HbA1c a	ot relevantly dif	ferent – relationshi ation:	p with all-cause	e mortality was	NS in both mo	odels	·
	Increase of 1% in HbA16 age and gender were no Stratified according to	ot relevantly dif	ferent – relationshi ation:	p with all-cause	e mortality was	NS in both mo	odels	·
	Increase of 1% in HbA16 age and gender were no Stratified according to	ot relevantly dif diabetes dura as a continuous	ferent – relationshi ation: variable was posit	p with all-cause tively related to ion	all-cause mort	NS in both mo	odels HR (95% C	·
	Increase of 1% in HbA16 age and gender were no Stratified according to	ot relevantly dif diabetes dura as a continuous	ferent – relationshi  ation: variable was posit Diabetes durat Tertile 1 (<5yrs	tively related to ion s), Tertile n=139	all-cause mort	NS in both mo	HR (95% C	·
	Increase of 1% in HbA1c age and gender were no Stratified according to Updated mean HbA1c a	ot relevantly dif diabetes dura as a continuous Model	ferent – relationshi  ation: variable was posit Diabetes durat Tertile 1 (<5yrs n=111	p with all-cause tively related to ion  s), Tertile n=139 2) 1.01 (0	all-cause mort	NS in both more ality and CVD,  Tertile 3 (≥1 n=124	odels HR (95% C 1yrs), 1.20)	·
	Increase of 1% in HbA1c age and gender were no Stratified according to Updated mean HbA1c a	ot relevantly dif diabetes dura as a continuous Model Unadjusted	rerent – relationshi ation: variable was posit Diabetes durat Tertile 1 (<5yrs n=111 1.24 (1.01, 1.5)	tively related to ion s), Tertile n=139 2) 1.01 (05) 1.04 (05)	all-cause mort 2 (5-11yrs), 0.83, 1.24)	Tertile 3 (≥1 n=124 0.90 (0.82, 1)	1.20)	·
	Increase of 1% in HbA1c age and gender were no Stratified according to Updated mean HbA1c a	ot relevantly dif diabetes dura as a continuous Model Unadjusted Adjusted*	rerent – relationshi  ation: variable was posit  Diabetes durat  Tertile 1 (<5yrs n=111  1.24 (1.01, 1.5)  1.27 (1.03, 1.5)	tively related to ion  3), Tertile n=139  2) 1.01 (05) 1.04 (05) 1.04 (05)	all-cause mort 2 (5-11yrs), 0.83, 1.24)	Tertile 3 (≥1 n=124 0.90 (0.82, 1.03 (0.84, 1.03 (0.84, 1.04 (0.84))	1.20) 1.30)	·
	Increase of 1% in HbA1c age and gender were no Stratified according to Updated mean HbA1c a  All-cause mortality	ot relevantly dif	Ation: variable was posit Diabetes durat Tertile 1 (<5yrs n=111 1.24 (1.01, 1.5) 1.27 (1.03, 1.5) 1.51 (1.17, 1.9)	tively related to ion  s), Tertile n=139  2) 1.01 (0  5) 1.04 (0  1) 1.17 (0	all-cause mortality was all-cause mortality was 2 (5-11yrs), 0.83, 1.24) 0.85, 1.26) 0.84, 1.28)	Tertile 3 (≥1 n=124 0.90 (0.82, 1.03 (0.84, 1.05 (0.85, 1.05))	1.20) 1.26) 1.55)	·

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	van Hateren et al 2011 – Glycaemic control and risk of mortality in elderly type 2 diabetic patients (ZODIAC-20)
	cholesterol-HDL ratio, use of insulin
	Population attributable risk %:
	PAR% of HbA1c ≥7% for all-cause mortality 23% (95%Cl, 2, 36%); for CVD mortality 39% (95%Cl 17, 48%)
	For those with a duration of diabetes ≥5yrs results were NS
Funding	Not reported
Authors' conclusion	Poor glycaemic control is related to all-cause and CVD mortality in those >75yrs with T2D of short duration (<5yrs)
Comments	Updated mean of annually measured HbA1c calculated for each individual from baseline to the end of follow-up (in accordance with the technique used in UKPDS 35)
	Cox proportional hazard model used to investigate the relationship between the updated mean HbA1c as a time-dependent covariate, and mortality with and without adjustment for selected confounders

### **Evidence Table 9: (Morisaki et al 1994, American Geriatrics Society)**

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Morisaki et al 1994 – Diabetic control and progression of retinopathy in elderly patients: five-year follow-up study
Study type & aim	Followed elderly diabetic patients for 5yrs and analysed progression of retinopathy as a function of diabetic control Cohort Japan
Number and Characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 114, NIDDM
	Included:
	Outpatient clinic patients, no more than mild retinopathy, >60yrs at the start of follow-up
	Baseline characteristics:
	Incidence (%) or mean ±SD

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Morisaki et al 1994 – Diabetic contro	ol and progression	n of retinopathy in elderly patients: five-year follow-up study
	Sex (male: female), %	26:74	
	Age, yrs	68±6	
	Duration of diabetes	5.7±5.3	
	BMI	24±3	
	Incidence, % of		
	- Hypertension	81	
	- Hyperlipidaemia	55	
	- Smoking	6	
	- Retinopathy	13	
	- Nephropathy	11	
	- CHD	23	
	- Cerebrovascular disease	10	
	- Arteriosclerosis	4	
Monitoring information and definitions		etinopathy (non-pro rade 3, proliferativ	
Intervention			
Comparator			
Length of follow up	5-yr follow-up		
Outcomes	Outcomes:		
measures and effect sizes	Retinopathy		
	Mean (SD) levels of parameters dur	ing follow-up:	
	Means calculated based on all the dat	a during follow-up	
	HbA1c 7.53±1.43		
	SBP 145±13		
	DBP 78±8		
	Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 200±37		

#### Morisaki et al 1994 – Diabetic control and progression of retinopathy in elderly patients: five-year follow-up study

Triglyceride (mg/dL) 134±74 HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) 46.5±13.3

#### **Progression of retinopathy:**

All cases (n=114), 23.6% showed progression of retinopathy from grade 0 or grade 1 to a higher grade Cases without retinopathy initially (n=99), 22.2% showed progression from grade 0 to a higher grade Cases with retinopathy initially (n=15), 33.3% showed progression from grade 1 to grade 2 or 3

#### Comparison of those with and without retinopathy:

	No progression	Progression	P value
Age	68±6	66±5	NS
Duration of diabetes, yrs	10±5	13±5	<0.02
BMI	24±3	24±3	NS
HbA1c	7.1±1.2	8.8±1.1	<0.0001
SBP	144±12	149±14	NS
DBP	78±8	78±8	NS
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	202±40	191±37	NS
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	133±81	145±82	NS
HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	47±13	47±16	NS

#### Multivariate analysis logistic regression of association between parameters and progression of retinopathy:

	t value	P value
Sex	1.559	NS
Age	-1.194	NS
Duration of diabetes	1.632	NS
ВМІ	1.310	NS
Smoking	1.476	NS
HbA1c	3.409	<0.001
SBP	0.454	NS
Total cholesterol	-1.620	NS

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Morisaki et al 1994 – Diabe	etic control a	and progress	sion of retinopathy in elderly patients: five-year follow-up study
	Triglyceride	0.786	NS	
	HDL-cholesterol	1.186	NS	
Funding	Grant for 'studies on abnorm Welfare of Japan	nal metabolis	m in the elde	ly', from the fund for Longevity Science Projects of the Ministry of Health and
Authors' conclusion	Control of diabetes is the mo	ost important	factor associ	ated with the prevention of progression of retinopathy in elderly patients
Comments	Ophthalmologists with no kn beginning and end of the 5-y		current treatm	ent or glycaemic control evaluated photographs of fundi of the right eye at the
	Patients with hypertensions	and hyperlip	idaemia were	treated for those conditions by their own doctors without any set rules
	The significance of difference	e between tv	vo groups wa	s determined by Student's t-test. Multivariate logistic regression analysis

### Evidence Table 10: (Nakagami et al 1997, Diabetes Care)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Nakagami et al 1997 – Glycaemic control and prevention of retinopathy in Japanese NIDDM patients
Study type & aim	To examine the importance of glycaemic control in the development of retinopathy, followed early diagnosed NIDDM patients for 10yrs
	Cohort
	Japan
Number and	Total number of patients:
Characteristics of patients	137, NIDDM
	Included:
	Those with NIDDM admitted to the Diabetes Centre of Tokyo Women's Medical College, first visit between 1 <sup>st</sup> January 1983 and 31 <sup>st</sup> December 1985
	Age at initial diagnosis between 30 and 65yrs
	Duration of diabetes <3yrs
	No retinopathy at first visit
	Baseline characteristics:
	61 male, 76 female

DM patients
System
<0.005)
in the 10 <sup>th</sup> year of follow up.
y in the 10 <sup>th</sup> year of follow-up:

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Nakagami et al 1997 – Gly	/caemic cont	rol and prev	ention of retinopathy in Japanese NIDDM patients
	Age at onset	2.1648	0.1059	
	HbA1c at registration	2.0271	0.1545	
	Mean HbA1c for 10yrs	5.9225	0.0149	
	Mean SBP	0.2569	0.6122	
	Change in BMI	1.8838	0.1699	
Funding	Not reported			
Authors' conclusion	Results support the concep NIDDM patients	ot that an early	/ diagnosis ar	d better control lessen the risk for the development of retinopathy in Japanese
Comments	Grade of retinopathy judge	d from the res	ults of ophtha	Imological examinations

### **Evidence Table 11: (Schulze et al 2004, Diabetologia)**

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Schulze et al 2004 – Joint role of non-HDI events among women with type 2 diabete	•	cated haemoglobin in pr	edicting futu	re coronary heart disease
Study type & aim	To determine whether non-HDL cholesterol factors and the status of glycaemic control Cohort USA	predicts CHD events a	mong diabetic women ind	ependently of	f currently established risk
Number and Characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 921, from 32,826 study participants from the Included: Participants from the study with confirmed T MI, CABG, PTCA or stroke as reported in a biomarker data was available  Baseline characteristics of those with and	2D (from validated sup on any of the biennial o	questionnaires before bloo		
	Age	60.6±5.6	58.0±6.7	<0.001	
	Weight	77.8±21.2	78.3±22.8	0.841	

rence (Ref ID)	events among women with type 2 diabete			
	BMI	29.7±5.9	30.1±6.4	0.951
	Physical activity (METs/wk)	19.3±90.4	32.1±134.7	0.152
	Currently smoking, %	17.2	12.9	0.195
	Aspirin use, %	36.9	33.8	0.502
	Postmenopausal hormone use, %	31.2	26.4	0.272
	Insulin use, %	29.5	17.7	0.002
	Oral hypoglycaemic drugs, %	32.8	18.3	<0.001
	Cholesterol-lowering drugs, %	7.4	3.4	0.034
	Parental history of CHD, %	30.3	21.7	0.033
	History of hypertension, %	72.1	58.0	0.003
	History of angina, %	20.5	9.8	<0.001
	Diabetes prevalent at blood collection, %	86.9	71.3	<0.001
	Alcohol intake (g/day)	2.5±6.1	2.9±7.9	0.439
	Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	6.15±1.10	5.82±1.12	0.001
	LDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	3.79±0.94	3.58±0.97	0.011
	HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.29±0.41	1.34±0.38	0.090
	Non-HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.85±1.11	4.47±1.13	<0.001
	Ratio of total cholesterol:HDL cholesterol	5.22±2.10	4.64±1.48	0.001
	Fasting triglycerides (mmol/L)	2.56±1.62	2.15±1.60	0.009
	ApoB100 (g/L)	1.09±0.24	1.02±0.25	0.001
	Lipoprotein (µmol/L)	0.74±0.90	0.63±0.89	0.142
	HbA1c	7.6±1.9	6.8±1.7	<0.001
oring nation and tions	CHD endpoints consisted of fatal CHD, non- Participants who were diagnosed with CHD, followed through June 2000		`	0 /
ervention	Tollowed tillough Julie 2000			
nparator				

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Schulze et al 2004 – Joint role of non-HDL cholesterol and glycated haemoglobin in predicting future coronary heart disease events among women with type 2 diabetes								
Length of follow up		Nurses' Health Study of 121,700 nurses at study initiation in 1976, average 7.2yrs follow-up Blood samples from 1989/1990							
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Outcomes: CHD (used biomarker quartiles to assess relative risk of CHD, only reporting HbA1c here)  Relative risk (95%CI) of CHD by quartile: (these were also reported for lipids not in this evidence table)								
	HbA1c	Quartiles of	HbA1c			P for trend			
		1 (low)	2	3	4 (high)				
	Median, %	5.21	5.80	6.90	8.97	-			
	Age-adjusted RR	1.00	2.56 (1.24, 5.31)	3.11 (1.53, 6.31)	4.66 (2.36, 9.20)	<0.001			
	Multivariate-adjusted* RR	1.00	2.49 (1.19, 5.23)	3.19 (1.56, 6.53)	4.92 (2.46, 9.85)	<0.001			
	*adjusted for age, physical activity, alcohol intake, parental history of CHD, history of high BP, aspirin use, smoking, postmenopausal hormone use, BMI The multivariate adjusted RR for an increase of 1 unit was 1.24 (95%CI; 1.13, 1.35)  The joint effect of blood lipids and HbA1c by cross-clarifying participants according to lipids and HbA1c, appeared to show an association with an increased CHD risk at any lipid level								
Funding	Research grants from the N	ational Institut	es of Health						
Authors' conclusion	Study suggests that non-HD	L cholesterol	and HbA1c are potent p	redictors of CHD risk	in diabetic women				
Comments	Cox proportional hazard and for each biomarker quartile of			and over each 2-yr fo	llow-up interval to estir	nate the relative risks			

### **Evidence Table 12: (Torffvit and Agardh, 2001, Journal of Diabetes and its Complications)**

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Torffvit and Agardh 2001 – The impact of metabolic and blood pressure control on incidence and progression of nephropathy. A 10-year study of 385 type 2 diabetic patients
Study type & aim	To investigate which medical risk indicators predict or modulate the 10-yr outcomes on development and progression of nephropathy in those with type 2 diabetes

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Torffvit and Agardh 2001 – The impact of metabolic and blood pressure control on incidence and progression of nephropathy. A 10-year study of 385 type 2 diabetic patients
	Cohort
	Sweden
Number and Characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 385
	Included: All T2D patients attending hospital-based outpatient clinic during a 2-yr period Only those not requiring insulin treatment within 2yrs of diagnosis ≥30yrs
	Excluded: Ketonuria at diabetes diagnosis or later admitted for ketoacidosis 18 lost to follow-up
	Baseline characteristics: Median age 54 (30-83)yrs Age at diagnosis 44 (30-83)yrs BMI 27 (16-44)kg/m <sup>2</sup>
Monitoring information and definitions	Development of nephropathy defined according to the highest level of the ration between albumin and creatinine clearance (ACCR) achieved during the study; normoalbuminuria <0.01x10 <sup>-3</sup> , microalbuminuria 0.01-0.1x10 <sup>-3</sup> , macroalbuminuria >0.1x10 <sup>-3</sup> Endpoint renal failure – present if a serum creatinine level >200µmol/L during the study and/or needed dialysis and/or had a renal transplantation  Fractional albumin clearance, ratio between albumin and creatinine clearance, ACCR
Intervention	
Comparator	
Length of follow up	9-yr median follow-up (range 0-13yrs) Examined 2-4 times/yr
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	(This study reported on HbA1c and BP combination effects, not included in this ET)  Outcomes:  Of the 385 participants, 252 had normoalbuminuria at baseline, of these 95 (38%) developed microalbuminuria and 26 (10%)

Torffvit and Agardh 2001 – The impact of metabolic and blood pressure control on incidence and progression of nephropathy. A 10-year study of 385 type 2 diabetic patients

developed macroalbuminuria

Analysis grouped; n=162 with normoalbuminuria and no antihypertensive treatment at baseline; n=223 with antihypertensive treatment and/or micro- macro-albuminuria at baseline (antihypertensive treatment may decrease albuminuria, not possible to evaluate whether the antihypertensive treated patients had albuminuria before starting treatment or not)

#### Incidence of nephropathy:

Patients with normoalbuminuria and no antihypertensive treatment (n=162), mean ±SD

	Persistent normoalbuminuria (n=90)	Micro- and macro- albuminuria (n=72)
Baseline:		
Age, yrs	51±10	50±11
Diabetes duration, yrs	8±6	7±7
HbA1c, %	7.8±1.6	8.2±1.7
SBP	137±16	136±16
DBP	81±7	81±7
S-creatinine, µmol/L	79 (51-109)	81 (56-162)
ACCR (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	0.001 (0.001-0.004)	0.001 (0.001-0.008), p<0.05
Mean level during study:		
HbA1c, %	7.8±1.5	8.5±1.6, p<0.05
SBP	139±13	143±15
DBP	80±6	82±6
Maximal S-creatinine, µmol/L	93 (58-161)	97 (64-307)
Maximal ACCR (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )	0.001 (0-0.01)	0.01 (0.01-6), p<0.001

#### **Progression of nephropathy:**

Patients with antihypertensive treatment and/or micro- and macro-albunimuria at baseline (n=223), mean ±SD

eference (Ref ID)	nephropathy. A 10-year study o			ol on incidence and pro	gression of
		<2 x ACCR (n=112)	≥2 x ACCR (n=111)	<2 x S-CREA (n=196)	≥2 x S-CREA (n=27)
	Male/female	33/79	42/69	65/131	10/17
	Age, yrs	59±10	55±8, p<0.01	57±9	60±9
	Age at diabetes onset, yrs	50±10	46±10, p<0.001	48±10	49±11
	BMI	28±5	28±5	28±5	28±5
	No insulin (n=57)	44	13, p<0.001	55	2, p<0.05
	Insulin from baseline (n=110)	50	60	94	16
	Started on insulin (n=56)	18	38	47	9
	HbA1c, %	7.8±1.3	8.5±1.2, p<0.001	8.1±1.2	8.4±1.7
	SBP	154±15	155±15	153±14	161±17, p<0.05
	DBP	86±7	86±6	86±6	87±6
	Maximum S-creatinine, µmol/L	105 (60-488)	109 (59-979)	102 (59-488)	430 (142-979), p<0.001
	Doubling serum creatinine, no diff	lc erence in HbA1c			
	Mortality: 28% (n=109) died (MI n=42; urael Kaplan-Meier estimates of surviva vs. microalbuminuria (p<0.01); no	erence in HbA1c mia n=7, stroke n=7, sud Il curves for all causes of	f mortality for the three le	vels of albuminuria at base	,
unding	(Mortality: 28% (n=109) died (MI n=42; urael Kaplan-Meier estimates of surviva	erence in HbA1c  mia n=7, stroke n=7, sud  al curves for all causes of  rmo- or microalbuminuria  n, Lisa and Johan Gronbo  isk Foundation, Novo No	f mortality for the three le a vs. overt nephropathy ( ergs Foundation, Malmoe ordisk Pharma, Sweden, S	vels of albuminuria at base p<0.001)) e Diabetes Association, the Skane County Council Fou	eline; normoalbuminu
unding .uthors' onclusion	(Mortality: 28% (n=109) died (MI n=42; urael Kaplan-Meier estimates of surviva vs. microalbuminuria (p<0.01); no Grants from the Almer Foundation Research Council, the Novo Nord	erence in HbA1c  mia n=7, stroke n=7, sud al curves for all causes of rmo- or microalbuminuria a, Lisa and Johan Gronbo isk Foundation, Novo No iabetes Federation, the U	f mortality for the three le a vs. overt nephropathy ( ergs Foundation, Malmoe ordisk Pharma, Sweden, S University of Lund, Ake W	vels of albuminuria at base p<0.001)) e Diabetes Association, the Skane County Council Fou libergs Foundation	eline; normoalbuminu e Swedish Medical undation for Research

### Evidence Table 13: (Hsu et al 2012, Diabetologia)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hsu et al 2012 – HbA1c variability is associated cohort study	Hsu et al 2012 – HbA1c variability is associated with microalbuminuria development in type 2 diabetes: a 7-year prospective cohort study							
Study type & aim	To explore the relationship between HbA1c, Cohort Taiwan								
Number and Characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 821 with T2D  Included: T2D patients enrolled for the Diabetes Mana  Excluded: <3 eligible urine albumin to creatinine ratio ( Microalbuminuria at baseline (ACR ≥3.4mg/	(ACR) tests		System (DMIDS) proj	ect				
Monitoring information and definitions	Incidence of mciroalbuminuria estimated by	the number of obse	rved new microalbun	ninuria cases per 100	00 person-yrs				
Intervention									
Comparator									
Length of follow up	Recruited 2003 to 2005, followed through to	the end of 2010							
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Outcomes:  Progression to microalbuminuria; Progressors more likely to have lower education poorer BP control, poorer glucose control. Those with microalbuminuria had higher Hb.	A1c variability	. ,						
		All (n=821)	Non-progressors	Progressors	P value				

Hsu et al 2012 – HbA1c variability is cohort study	associated with mi	croalbuminuria deve	elopment in type 2	diabetes: a 7-year
		(n=520)	(n=301)	
Male, %	46.1	44.0	49.8	0.108
Education ≤6yrs, %	54.9	51.5	60.7	0.012
Age at diabetes onset, yrs	51.2±8.3	51.2±8.6	51.1±9.1	0.305
Diabetes duration at recruitment, yrs	2.9±2.7	2.7±2.2	3.3±3.3	<0.001
Follow-up, yrs	6.2±0.7	6.2±0.6	6.2±0.7	0.542
Smoking;				0.299
Non-smoker, %	73.1	74.8	70.1	
Ex-smoker, %	7.1	6.9	7.3	
Current smoker, %	19.8	18.3	22.6	
Baseline drug treatment;				
Glucose-lowering drugs, %				
Sulfonylurea	88.7	84.2	96.6	0.305
Biguanide	80.6	76.1	88.3	0.265
Other oral glucose-lowering drugs	18.2	15.9	22.2	0.367
Insulin	2.4	1.7	3.6	0.131
Antihypertensive drugs, %				
ACE inhibitor	32.7	28.4	40.1	0.325
ARB	9.0	7.8	10.9	0.281
CCB	33.8	32.3	36.5	0.737
β blocker	32.1	30.0	35.8	0.386
diuretics	19.0	17.5	21.5	0.619
Baseline biomarkers;				
Hypertension, %	56.1	52.3	63.4	0.002
SBP	129.3±14.3	128.1±11.3	130±18.1	<0.001
DBP	77.2±10.6	77.2±6.3	77.3±13.1	<0.001
HDL-cholesterol	1.51±3.33	1.37±1.86	1.60±3.99	0.333
Triglycerides	2.76±14.3	2.19±7.05	3.12±17.4	0.362
Urine ACR	1.47±2.14	1.15±1.75	2.02±2.60	<0.001
HbA1c characteristics;				
Baseline HbA1c, %	8.2±1.8	8.0±1.7	8.4±2.0	0.002

# Hsu et al 2012 – HbA1c variability is associated with microalbuminuria development in type 2 diabetes: a 7-year prospective cohort study

During follow-up;				
Number of HbA1c measurements	9.0±2.7	9.1±2.8	8.9±2.7	0.543
Mean serial HbA1c	7.9±1.2	7.7±1.1	8.2±1.3	<0.001
SD of serial HbA1c				
Crude SD	1.12±0.53	1.04±0.48	1.23±0.59	<0.001
CV of SD	0.13±0.06	0.13±0.05	0.14±0.06	0.035
Adjusted SD	1.03±0.51	0.97±0.47	1.14±0.54	0.004

#### Baseline characteristics according to quartiles of intrapersonal adjusted SD of serial HbA1c measurements:

Those with higher HbA1c variability – earlier diabetes onset, use more glucose-lowering drugs, poorer glycaemic control at baseline

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	P value
No. of patients	204	206	202	209	
Range of adjusted HbA1c SD	0.09-0.66	0.67-0.95	0.96-1.29	1.30-3.48	
Age at diabetes onset, yrs	53.1±8.7	51.2±8.9	50.7±8.6	49.7±8.7	0.001
Diabetes duration at recruitment, yrs	3.0±2.7	3.0±3.4	2.7±2.1	3.0±2.5	0.555
Follow-up duration, yrs	6.2±0.6	6.2±0.6	6.3±0.7	6.2±0.7	0.357
Baseline glucose-lowering drugs, %					
Sulfonylurea	84.8	81.5	92.0	96.6	0.017
Biguanide	72.0	77.1	86.6	86.6	0.013
Other oral glucose-lowering drugs	12.2	17.9	19.8	22.9	0.434
Insulin	0.9	2.4	2.4	3.8	0.370
Baseline biomarkers					
Hypertension, %	53.9	53.4	57.7	60.3	0.433
SBP	129.5±11.1	129.5±11.5	129.0±14.6	12.9±18.7	0.983
DBP	77.5±6.2	78.7±6.9	77.6±11.6	77.7±15.0	0.623
HDL-cholesterol	1.26±0.34	1.24±0.31	1.24±0.30	1.25±0.40	0.785
Triglycerides	1.66±0.96	1.73±1.41	2.02±1.82	1.85±2.08	0.116
Urine ACR, mg/mmol	1.28±1.72	1.44±1.40	1.54±2.08	1.63±2.16	0.014
Baseline HbA1c, %	7.3±1.2	7.6±1.4	8.3±1.5	9.4±2.2	<0.001
≤7%, %	38.2	35.0	21.3	17.2	<0.001

### Hsu et al 2012 – HbA1c variability is associated with microalbuminuria development in type 2 diabetes: a 7-year prospective cohort study

7-9%, %	56.9	45.2	39.6	25.4	
>9%	4.9	19.8	39.1	57.4	
During follow-up					
Number of HbA1c measurements	8.0±3.1	9.4±2.5	9.3±2.6	9.4±2.5	<0.001
Mean of serially measured HbA1, %	7.3±1.3	7.6±1.4	8.4±1.5	9.4±2.3	<0.001

#### Risk factors in T2D patients contribute to progression to microalbuminuria during 7-yr follow-up:

Both mean and adjusted SD of HbA1c were significantly related to microalbuminuria development in univariate analysis and separate multivariate regressions. Comparison between quartiles of HbA1c

Other covariates; lower education, diabetes duration, high BP, subsequent use of ACE inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers had marginal effects on the development of microalbuminuria after controlling for other covariates

Risk factor	Univariate HR (95%CI)	Multivariate HR (95%CI) – model 1	Multivariate HR (95%CI) – model 2	Multivariate HR (95%CI) – model 3
Sex (female/male)	1.22 (0.98, 1.54)	1.25 (0.94, 1.67)	1.23 (0.92, 1.64)	1.24 (0.93, 1.65)
Education (≤6/>6yrs)	1.33 (1.05, 1.68) p<0.05	1.45 (1.10, 1.90) p<0.05	1.43 (1.08, 1.88) p<0.05	1.41 (1.07, 1.86) p<0.05
Diabetes onset age (per 1yr increment)	1.00 (0.99, 1.01)	0.99 (0.97, 1.00)	0.99 (0.97, 1.00)	0.99 (0.98, 1.00)
Diabetes duration (per 1yr increment)	1.07 (1.03, 1.10) p<0.05	1.03 (0.99, 1.07)	1.03 (1.00, 1.08) p<0.05	1.04 (1.00, 1.07)
ACE inhibitor and/or ARB use (Y/N)	1.27 (1.02, 1.59)	1.26 (1.00, 1.58)	1.26 (1.00, 1.58)	1.26 (1.00, 1.58)
Baseline biomarkers;				
BP (≥130/80 vs <130/80)	1.45 (1.14, 1.83), p<0.05	1.30 (1.03, 1.65), p<0.05	1.25 (0.98, 1.59)	1.25 (0.98, 1.59)
HDL-cholesterol (low vs high)	0.81 (0.65, 1.02)		0.87 (0.68, 1.12)	0.88 (0.68, 1.13)
Triacyglycerols (≥1.69 vs <1.69)	0.93 (0.74, 1.17)	0.89 (0.69, 1.15) 0.92 (0.73, 1.18)	0.95 (0.75, 1.21)	0.95 (0.75, 1.21)
HbA1c during follow-up;				
Mean serial HbA1c (per 1% increment)	1.13 (0.83, 1.56), p<0.05	1.10 (1.00, 1.20), p<0.05		1.04 (0.94, 1.14)
Adjusted SD of HbA1c;	1.13 (0.83, 1.56)			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hsu et al 2012 – HbA1c cohort study	variability is a	ssociated with	microalbumin	uria developm	ent in type 2 di	abetes: a	7-yea	ar prospective
	Quartile2/Quartile 1		p<0.05	5 (1.04, 1.77), 0.05		1.06 (0.74, 1.50) 1.13 (0.80, 1.60)		•	0.72, 1.48) 0.75, 1.57)
	Quartile3/Quartile 1		1.73 (1.26,	2.38),					
	Quartile4/Quartile 1		p<0.01					1.48 ( <0.05	1.03, 2.12)
	P for trend		<0.001			0.001		0.043	
	Incidence (events per 10 SD and in different levels	s of mean HbA1	c, stratified by I	HbA1c, follow-up	time and base	line HbA1c:			1
	Variable	Adjusted SD Q1	Adjusted SD Q2	Adjusted SD Q3	Adjusted SD Q4	P for trend (Q1 to Q4) <sup>#</sup>	HR per 1 HbA1c increme		P for interactions (adjusted Si x mean of HbA1c)~
	HbA1c follow-up time  Overall (up to 7yrs);								
	Cases/person-yrs Incidence HR (95%CI)	66/1125 58.4 1	67/1143 58.6 1.06 (0.74, 1.50)	70/1150 60.8 1.13 (0.80, 1.60)	102/1109 91.9 1.57 (1.13, 2.17)	0.001	1.10 (1.0 1.20)*	00,	0.951
	2 years; Cases/person-yrs Incidence	62/1112 55.7	65/1137 57.2	69/1145 60.2	101/1103 91.5	0.019	,		
	HR (95%CI)	1	1.19 (0.77, 1.84)	1.32 (0.86, 2.03)	1.42 (0.93, 2.17)		1.03 (0.9 1.15)*	92,	0.920
	Baseline HbA1c − ≤8%;								
	Cases/person-yrs Incidence	33/650 50.7 (35.5, 70.4)	33/653 50.5 (35.3, 70.1)	37/656 56.4 (40.3, 76.9)	48/449 106.9 (79.7, 140.6)	0.026			
	HR (95%CI)	1	1.00 (0.60,	1.05 (0.65,	1.41 (0.91,		1.13 (0.9	91,	0.371

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hsu et al 2012 – HbA1c cohort study	variability is	associated with	h microalbumi	nuria developm	ent in type 2	diabetes: a 7-ye	ear prospectiv
			1.65)	1.71)	2.22)		1.39)*	
	>8%;							
	Cases/person-yrs	33/475	34/490	33/494	48/449			
	Incidence	69.4 (48.6, 96.4)	69.3 (44.8, 95.8)	66.8 (46.7, 92.7)	106.9 (79.7, 140.6)	0.047		
	HR (95%CI)	1	1.01 (0.61, 1.67)	1.29 (0.82, 2.03)	1.64 (1.04, 2.59)		1.18 (1.04, 1.34)*	0.365
	*models controlled for sex, age of diabetes onset, education, diabetes duration, smoking status, BP, waist circumference, HDL-cholesterol, triacylglycerols, ACE inhibitor/ARB use and adjusted SD of HbA1c  * models controlled for sex, age of diabetes onset, education, diabetes duration, smoking status, BP, waist circumference, HDL-							
	* models controlled for s cholesterol, triacylglycer * models controlled for s cholesterol, triacylglycer	ols, ACE inhibit ex, age of diab	or/ARB use and etes onset, educ	d mean of HbA1 cation, diabetes	c duration, smoki	ng status, BP,	waist circumfere	ence, HDL-
Funding	National Health Research	National Health Research Institutes						
Authors' conclusion	In addition to mean HbA development of microalb			ven measured a	is early as 2yrs,	is independen	tly associated wi	th the
Comments	Kaplan-Meier analyses a adjusted HbA1c SD and conveys a clinical messa Multivariate Cox proport microalbuminuria develo Only data relevant to me	microalbuminu age that sustain onal hazard mo pment	ria developmen ing glycaemic c odelling was use	t. The predictab control at the ea ed to determine	oility of 2-yr HbA <sup>2</sup> rly stage is crucion the independent	ic SD for deve al for the mana	elopment of micro agement of T2D	oalbuminuria

### Evidence Table 14: (Stratton et al 2000, BMJ)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Stratton et al 2000 – Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study
Study type & aim	To evaluate the relation between exposure to glycaemia over time and the development of microvascular and macrovascular complications  Cohort  UK

#### Stratton et al 2000 - Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes **Bibliographic** (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study reference (Ref ID) **Total number of patients: Number and Characteristics of** 3642 patients Included: From 5102 UKPDS patients, 4585 with HbA1c measured 3mths after diagnosis of diabetes, of these 3642 with complete data for potential cofounders included in the analyses of relative risk Baseline characteristics: Proportional hazards model of observational data (n=3642), mean (SD) 53 (8) Age, yrs 60 Proportion male, % Ethnicity (% while/Asian Indian/Afro-Caribbean/other) 82/10/8/0 BMI 27.7 (5.3) Fasting plasma glucose, mmol/L 7.9 (6.6-10) HbA1c 7.1 (1.8) SBP 135 (19) 3.5 (1.0) LDL-cholesterol, mmol/L 1.06 (0.24) HDL-cholesterol, mmol/L Triglyceride, mmol (median, interquartile range) 1.5 (0.9-2.5) Albuminuria, % (>50ml/L in single morning sample) 13.3 **Monitoring** Aggregate end points: information and Complications related to diabetes (MI, sudden death, angina, stroke, renal failure, lower extremity amoutation or death from definitions PVD, death from hyperglycaemia or hypoglycaemia, heart failure, vitreous haemorrhage, retinal photocoagulation, and cataract extraction) Death related to diabetes (MI, sudden death, stroke, lower extremity amputation or fatal PVD, renal disease, hyperglycaemia or hypoglycaemia All-cause mortality

MI (fatal MI, non-fatal MI, and sudden death)

Stroke (fatal and non-fatal stroke)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Stratton et al 2000 – A (UKPDS 35): prospec			crovascular and	microvascular co	mplications of typ	e 2 diabetes	
	- Lower extremity amputation or death from PVD							
	- Microvascular failure)	complications (re	tinopathy requiring	g phototcoagulation	n, vitreous haemori	hage, and fatal or r	non-fatal renal	
	Single end points:							
			a precipitating MI)					
Intervention								
Comparator								
Length of follow up	Exposure to glycaemia calculated for each ind				an updated mean	of annual measure	ments of HbA1c -	
	HbA1c categories (me range of updated mean Follow-up calculated from complication or loss to time is equivalent to define the categories of the categories (mean categories).	n HbA1c of 4.6-11 rom the end of the follow-up, death	.2% (1 <sup>st</sup> to 99 <sup>th</sup> ce initial period of di from another caus	ntile) etary treatment of e, or to the end of	the UKPDS study t	o the first occurren	ces of that	
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Outcomes:							
	The incidence rates fo each higher category of incidence of complicin regression model to	of updated mean bations in patients	HbA1c s with T2D by cat	egory of mean H	bA1c (rates per 10	000 person years f		
	each higher category of incidence of complic	of updated mean bations in patients	HbA1c s with T2D by cat	egory of mean H	bA1c (rates per 10	000 person years f		
	Incidence of complic in regression model to Aggregate end	ations in patients to white men age	HbA1c s with T2D by cat ed 50-45yrs at dia	egory of mean Hi	DA1c (rates per 10 for 7.5 to <12.5yrs	000 person years t	follow-up adjuste	
	Incidence of complic in regression model of Aggregate end point  Complications	ations in patients to white men age	HbA1c s with T2D by cat ed 50-45yrs at dia	egory of mean Hi	DA1c (rates per 10 for 7.5 to <12.5yrs	000 person years t	follow-up adjuste	
	Incidence of complic in regression model of Aggregate end point  Complications related to diabetes:	ations in patients to white men age	s with T2D by cated 50-45yrs at dia	regory of mean Hi ignosis followed to 7% to <8%	oA1c (rates per 10 for 7.5 to <12.5yrs 8% to <9%	9% to <10%	follow-up adjuste	
	Incidence of complicin regression model of Aggregate end point  Complications related to diabetes:  Events/person yrs	ations in patients to white men age <6%  229/9195	HbA1c  s with T2D by cated 50-45yrs at dia  6% to <7%  391/11432	regory of mean Hi agnosis followed to 7% to <8%	6A1c (rates per 10 for 7.5 to <12.5yrs 8% to <9%	9% to <10%	Follow-up adjuste ≥10%  88/1334	

bliographic ference (Ref ID)	Stratton et al 2000 – Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study								
	Deaths related to diabetes:								
	Events/person yrs	56/10113	101/13143	116/10054	84/6595	47/3137	19/1537		
	Unadjusted rate	5.5	7.7	11.5	12.7	15.0	12.4		
	Adjusted rate	8.9 (6.3, 12.7)	12.0 (8.9, 16.3)	19.9 (14.8, 26.7)	23.5 (17.2, 32.0)	29.5 (20.4, 42.6)	33.0 (19.8, 55.1)		
	All-cause mortality:		·	·	·	·	<u>.</u>		
	Events/person yrs	112/10113	207/13143	188/10054	123/6595	64/3137	26/1537		
	Unadjusted rate	11.1	15.8	18.7	18.7	20.4	16.9		
	Adjusted rate	17.0 (13.1, 22.0)	23.3 (18.5, 29.2)	30.0 (23.8, 37.7)	31.8 (24.7, 40.8)	37.0 (27.3, 40.8)	40.7 (26.5, 64.5)		
	Fatal or non-fatal MI:								
	Events/person yrs	100/9870	163/12590	159/9579	101/6331	60/3016	23/1490		
	Unadjusted rate	10.1	13.0	16.6	16.0	19.9	15.4		
	Adjusted rate	16.0	20.8	29.2	30.0	39.6	38.6		
		(12.1, 21.2)	(16.2, 26.7)	(22.8, 39.4)	(22.8, 39.4)	(28.8, 54.5)	(24.4, 61.0)		
	Fatal or non-fatal stroke:								
	Events/person yrs	32/9916	67/12869	59/9822	32/6424	13/3062	9/1509		
	Unadjusted rate	3.2	5.2	6.0	5.0	4.2	6.0		
	Adjusted rate	4.3 (2.6, 7.0)	6.6 (4.4, 10.1)	8.3 (5.4, 12.7)	7.4 (4.5, 11.9)	6.7 (3.5, 12.7)	12.0 (5.7, 25.3)		
	Amputation or death from PVD:								
	Events/person yrs	3/10018	7/12993	7/9897	9/6492	15/3061	7/1502		
	Unadjusted rate	0.3	0.5	0.7	1.4	4.9	4.7		
	Adjusted rate	1.2 (0.4, 3.2)	1.2 (0.5, 3.1)	35.9 (29.9, 43.1)	4.0 (1.1, 5.8)	10.9 (5.0, 23.7)	12.2 (4.6, 32.4)		
	Fatal or non-fatal microvascular								

### Stratton et al 2000 – Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study

Events/person yrs 38/9814 77/12707 86/9438 91/6185 73/2855 47/1432 Unadjusted rate 3.9 6.1 9.1 14.7 25.6 32.8 Adjusted rate 6.1 9.3 14.2 22.8 40.4 57.8 (4.1, 9.0) (6.7, 12.9) (10.3, 19.5) (16.7, 31.3) (28.9, 56.5) (39.3, 85.1)  Single endpoints Heart failure:  Events/person yrs 17/9967 34/12928 36/9782 20/6432 10/3062 10/1514 Unadjusted rate 1.7 2.6 3.7 3.1 3.3 6.6 Adjusted rate 2.3 3.4 5.0 4.4 5.0 11.9 (1.2, 4.5) (1.9, 5.8) (2.9, 8.6) (2.4, 8.2) (2.3, 10.6) (5.5, 25.8)  Cataract extraction:  Events/person yrs 35/9841 59/12763 49/9692 45/6355 19/3009 19/1495 Unadjusted rate 3.6 4.6 5.1 7.1 6.3 12.7  Adjusted rate 4.1 4.5 4.9 6.9 6.6 14.4 (2.5, 6.5) (3.0, 6.9) (3.1, 7.6) (4.4, 10.8) (3.8, 11.6) (8.1, 25.7)	disease:						
Unadjusted rate 3.9 6.1 9.1 14.7 25.6 32.8  Adjusted rate 6.1 9.3 14.2 22.8 40.4 57.8 (4.1, 9.0) (6.7, 12.9) (10.3, 19.5) (16.7, 31.3) (28.9, 56.5) (39.3, 85.1)  Single endpoints  Heart failure:  Events/person yrs 17/9967 34/12928 36/9782 20/6432 10/3062 10/1514  Unadjusted rate 1.7 2.6 3.7 3.1 3.3 6.6  Adjusted rate 2.3 3.4 5.0 4.4 5.0 11.9 (1.2, 4.5) (1.9, 5.8) (2.9, 8.6) (2.4, 8.2) (2.3, 10.6) (5.5, 25.8)  Cataract extraction:  Events/person yrs 35/9841 59/12763 49/9692 45/6355 19/3009 19/1495  Unadjusted rate 3.6 4.6 5.1 7.1 6.3 12.7  Adjusted rate 4.1 4.5 4.9 6.9 6.6 14.4		38/9814	77/12707	86/9438	91/6185	73/2855	47/1432
Adjusted rate 6.1 (4.1, 9.0) 9.3 (6.7, 12.9) (10.3, 19.5) (16.7, 31.3) 40.4 (28.9, 56.5) (39.3, 85.1)  Single endpoints  Heart failure:  Events/person yrs 17/9967 34/12928 36/9782 20/6432 10/3062 10/1514  Unadjusted rate 1.7 2.6 3.7 3.1 3.3 6.6  Adjusted rate 2.3 (1.2, 4.5) (1.9, 5.8) (2.9, 8.6) (2.4, 8.2) (2.3, 10.6) (5.5, 25.8)  Cataract extraction:  Events/person yrs 35/9841 59/12763 49/9692 45/6355 19/3009 19/1495  Unadjusted rate 3.6 4.6 5.1 7.1 6.3 12.7  Adjusted rate 4.1 4.5 4.9 6.9 6.6	•						
Single endpoints       Heart failure:       Events/person yrs     17/9967     34/12928     36/9782     20/6432     10/3062     10/1514       Unadjusted rate     1.7     2.6     3.7     3.1     3.3     6.6       Adjusted rate     2.3     3.4     5.0     4.4     5.0     11.9       Cataract extraction:       Events/person yrs     35/9841     59/12763     49/9692     45/6355     19/3009     19/1495       Unadjusted rate     3.6     4.6     5.1     7.1     6.3     12.7       Adjusted rate     4.1     4.5     4.9     6.9     6.6     14.4	•						
Single endpoints       Heart failure:     Events/person yrs     17/9967     34/12928     36/9782     20/6432     10/3062     10/1514       Unadjusted rate     1.7     2.6     3.7     3.1     3.3     6.6       Adjusted rate     2.3     3.4     5.0     4.4     5.0     11.9       Cataract extraction:     Events/person yrs     35/9841     59/12763     49/9692     45/6355     19/3009     19/1495       Unadjusted rate     3.6     4.6     5.1     7.1     6.3     12.7       Adjusted rate     4.1     4.5     4.9     6.9     6.6     14.4	Aujusteu rate						
Heart failure:       Events/person yrs     17/9967     34/12928     36/9782     20/6432     10/3062     10/1514       Unadjusted rate     1.7     2.6     3.7     3.1     3.3     6.6       Adjusted rate     2.3     3.4     5.0     4.4     5.0     11.9       (1.2, 4.5)     (1.9, 5.8)     (2.9, 8.6)     (2.4, 8.2)     (2.3, 10.6)     (5.5, 25.8)       Cataract extraction:       Events/person yrs     35/9841     59/12763     49/9692     45/6355     19/3009     19/1495       Unadjusted rate     3.6     4.6     5.1     7.1     6.3     12.7       Adjusted rate     4.1     4.5     4.9     6.9     6.6     14.4		(4.1, 9.0)	(6.7, 12.9)	(10.3, 19.5)	(16.7, 31.3)	(28.9, 56.5)	(39.3, 85.1)
Heart failure:  Events/person yrs							
Events/person yrs         17/9967         34/12928         36/9782         20/6432         10/3062         10/1514           Unadjusted rate         1.7         2.6         3.7         3.1         3.3         6.6           Adjusted rate         2.3         3.4         5.0         4.4         5.0         11.9           (1.2, 4.5)         (1.9, 5.8)         (2.9, 8.6)         (2.4, 8.2)         (2.3, 10.6)         (5.5, 25.8)           Cataract extraction:         Events/person yrs         35/9841         59/12763         49/9692         45/6355         19/3009         19/1495           Unadjusted rate         3.6         4.6         5.1         7.1         6.3         12.7           Adjusted rate         4.1         4.5         4.9         6.9         6.6         14.4	Single endpoints						
Unadjusted rate       1.7       2.6       3.7       3.1       3.3       6.6         Adjusted rate       2.3       3.4       5.0       4.4       5.0       11.9         (1.2, 4.5)       (1.9, 5.8)       (2.9, 8.6)       (2.4, 8.2)       (2.3, 10.6)       (5.5, 25.8)         Cataract extraction:         Events/person yrs       35/9841       59/12763       49/9692       45/6355       19/3009       19/1495         Unadjusted rate       3.6       4.6       5.1       7.1       6.3       12.7         Adjusted rate       4.1       4.5       4.9       6.9       6.6       14.4	Heart failure:						
Adjusted rate 2.3 3.4 5.0 4.4 5.0 (1.9, 5.8) (2.9, 8.6) (2.4, 8.2) (2.3, 10.6) (5.5, 25.8)  Cataract extraction:  Events/person yrs 35/9841 59/12763 49/9692 45/6355 19/3009 19/1495  Unadjusted rate 3.6 4.6 5.1 7.1 6.3 12.7  Adjusted rate 4.1 4.5 4.9 6.9 6.6 14.4	Events/person yrs	17/9967	34/12928	36/9782	20/6432	10/3062	10/1514
Cataract extraction:     (1.2, 4.5)     (1.9, 5.8)     (2.9, 8.6)     (2.4, 8.2)     (2.3, 10.6)     (5.5, 25.8)       Events/person yrs     35/9841     59/12763     49/9692     45/6355     19/3009     19/1495       Unadjusted rate     3.6     4.6     5.1     7.1     6.3     12.7       Adjusted rate     4.1     4.5     4.9     6.9     6.6     14.4	Unadjusted rate	1.7	2.6	3.7	3.1	3.3	6.6
Cataract extraction:       Events/person yrs     35/9841     59/12763     49/9692     45/6355     19/3009     19/1495       Unadjusted rate     3.6     4.6     5.1     7.1     6.3     12.7       Adjusted rate     4.1     4.5     4.9     6.9     6.6     14.4	Adjusted rate	2.3	3.4	5.0	4.4	5.0	11.9
Events/person yrs         35/9841         59/12763         49/9692         45/6355         19/3009         19/1495           Unadjusted rate         3.6         4.6         5.1         7.1         6.3         12.7           Adjusted rate         4.1         4.5         4.9         6.9         6.6         14.4		(1.2, 4.5)	(1.9, 5.8)	(2.9, 8.6)	(2.4, 8.2)	(2.3, 10.6)	(5.5, 25.8)
Unadjusted rate       3.6       4.6       5.1       7.1       6.3       12.7         Adjusted rate       4.1       4.5       4.9       6.9       6.6       14.4	Cataract extraction:		·	·		·	·
Adjusted rate 4.1 4.5 4.9 6.9 6.6 14.4	Events/person yrs	35/9841	59/12763	49/9692	45/6355	19/3009	19/1495
, and the second of the second	Unadjusted rate	3.6	4.6	5.1	7.1	6.3	12.7
(2.5, 6.5) (3.0, 6.9) (3.1, 7.6) (4.4, 10.8) (3.8, 11.6) (8.1, 25.7)	Adjusted rate	4.1	4.5	4.9	6.9	6.6	14.4
		(2.5, 6.5)	(3.0, 6.9)	(3.1, 7.6)	(4.4, 10.8)	(3.8, 11.6)	(8.1, 25.7)

Relation between glycaemic exposure and complications of diabetes as estimated by decrease in risk for 1% reduction in HbA1c, measured at baseline and as updated mean (controlled for age at diagnosis, sex, ethnic group, smoking, albuminuria, SBP, HDL and LDL cholesterol, triglycerides):

	No. of	Baseline HbA1c		Updated mean HbA1c	
6		Decrease in risk, %/(1%) reduction (95%CI)	P value	Decrease in risk, %/(1%) reduction (95%CI)	P value
Aggregate end points					
Any end point related to diabetes	1255	11 (8, 13)	<0.0001	21 (17, 24)	<0.0001
Deaths related to diabetes	346	9 (3, 14)	0.0018	21 (15, 27)	<0.0001

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Stratton et al 2000 – Association of glycae (UKPDS 35): prospective observational st		n macrovascular and	l microvascular co	omplications of type	2 diabetes	
	All-cause mortality	597	6 (2, 10)	0.0081	14 (9, 19)	<0.0001	
	MI	496	5 (0, 9)	0.067	14 (8, 21)	<0.0001	
	Stroke	162	-4 (-14, 6)	0.44	12 (1, 21)	0.035	
	Lower extremity amputation or fatal PVD	41	28 (18, 37)	<0.0001	43 (31, 53)	<0.0001	
	Microvascular disease	323	23 (20, 27)	<0.0001	37 (33, 41)	<0.0001	
	Single end points						
	Heart failure	104	0 (-12, 11)	0.99	16 (3, 26)	0.016	
	Cataract extraction	195	9 (2, 16)	0.013	19 (11, 26)	<0.0001	
	<ul> <li>(data adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, ethnic group, smoking, presence of albuminuria, SBP, HDL and LDL-cholesterol, triglycerides)</li> <li>Any end point related to diabetes; 21% decrease per 1% reduction in HbA1c, p&lt;0.0001</li> <li>Death related to diabetes; 21% decrease per 1% reduction in HbA1c, p&lt;0.0001</li> <li>All-cause mortality; 14% decrease per 1% reduction in HbA1c, p&lt;0.0001</li> <li>Fatal and non-fatal MI; 14% decrease per 1% reduction in HbA1c, p&lt;0.0001</li> <li>Fatal and non-fatal stroke;12% decrease per 1% reduction in HbA1c, p=0.035</li> <li>Microvascular end points; 37% decrease per 1% reduction in HbA1c, p&lt;0.0001</li> <li>Cataract extraction; 19% decrease per 1% reduction in HbA1c, p&lt;0.0001</li> <li>Amputation or death from PVD; 43% decrease per 1% reduction in HbA1c, p&lt;0.001</li> </ul>						
	- Heart failure; 16% decrease per 1% These estimated hazard ratios are associated Mortality related to diabetes and all-cause more	d with the	different categories of			owest category.	
Funding	The major grants were from the UK Medical I National Eye Institute, the National Institute of British Heart Foundation, Novo Nordisk, Baye	of Digestiv	ve, Diabetes and Kidn	ey Disease in the N	National Institute of H	ealth, US, the	
Authors' conclusion	In those with T2D the risk of diabetic complic likely to reduce the risk of complications, with					uction in HbA1c	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Stratton et al 2000 – Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study
Comments	Unadjusted incidence rates calculated by dividing the number of people with a given complication by the person years of follow-up for the given complication within each category of updated mean HbA1c and reported as events per 1000yrs of follow-up
	To assess potential association between updated mean HbA1c and complications proportional hazards regression (Cox) models used Hazard ratio used to estimate relative risk

### **Evidence Table 15: (Hunt et al 2013, Annals of Epidemiology)**

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hunt et al 2013 – Impact of diabetes control on mortality by race in a national cohort of veterans
Study type & aim	To investigate the association between HbA1c, medication compliance/use and mortality stratified according to ethnicity in veterans with type 2 diabetes Cohort USA
Number and Characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 892,223  Included: Veterans with type 2 diabetes Cohort was identified using Veterans Health Administration (VHA) National Patient Care and Pharmacy Benefits Management (PBM) databases.
Monitoring information and definitions	Details not provided
Intervention	
Comparator	
Length of follow up	Mean±SD follow up: 4.4±1.27 years (2002 to December 2006)
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Outcomes: All cause mortality

### Hunt et al 2013 - Impact of diabetes control on mortality by race in a national cohort of veterans

#### **Demographics of study cohort stratified by ethnicity:**

	All (n=892,223)	Non-Hispanic White (n=548,808)	Non-Hispanic Black (n=108,356)	Hispanic (n=123,670)
Age, years (mean±SD)	66.2±11.15	67.9±10.25	62.4±11.96	67.4±11.26
Sex: male (%)	97.65	97.92	97.13	97.59
Marital status: married (%)	64.37	68.93	47.51	60.05
Baseline HbA1c (%) in 2002 (mean±SD)*	7.4±1.34	7.3±1.22	7.8±1.63	7.6±1.41
Baseline medication use in 2002 (%)	77.44	91.73	81.95	28.58
Adherent medication use in 2002 (%)	47.42	58.19	43.57	16.95
Comorbidities				
Cancer (%)	7.58	7.89	8.99	8.02
Cerebrovascular disease (%)	11.47	11.95	13.07	12.95
Congestive heart failure (%)	11.54	12.36	11.53	13.09
Cardiovascular disease (%)	3.51	3.85	2.94	4.02
Peripheral vascular disease (%)	10.97	12.51	10.52	9.80
Substance abuse (%)	3.58	2.59	8.48	4.05
Depression (%)	10.99	11.84	11.00	8.85
Psychoses (%)	4.03	3.63	6.84	4.54
Vital status: deceased (%)	20.83	25.09	18.75	7.20
Follow-up in years (mean±SD)	4.4±1.27	4.3±1.32	4.4±1.24	4.5±1.22

<sup>\*</sup>post-entry mean HbA1c of all observations recorded within a year was used

### Adjusted HR and 95% CI from Cox proportional hazard models for mortality stratified by race:

HbA1c	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black	Hispanic
<7.0%	0.99 (0.97, 1.00)	1.07 (1.02, 1.12)	1.02 (0.95, 1.09)
7.0-8.0%	1.00	1.00	1.00
8.0-9.0%	1.10 (1.08, 1.13)	1.00 (0.94, 1.06)	1.09 (1.00, 1.19)
>9.0%	1.17 (1.14, 1.20)	1.09 (1.03, 1.15)	1.15 (1.06, 1.25)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hunt et al 2013 – Impact of diabetes control on mortality by race in a national cohort of veterans
	*adjusted for age, sex, marital status, location of residence, geographic region, medication status, number of comorbidities
Funding	Grants from VHA Health Services Research and Development program
Authors' conclusion	There is evidence for ethnic differences in the association between glycaemic control and mortality, which varied with medication use/adherence.
Comments	Cohort comprise mainly men (97.65%) who were veterans and the duration of diabetes has not been provided

### **Evidence Table 16: (Salinero-Fort et al 2013, PLoS ONE)**

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Salinero-Fort et al 2013 – Four-year incidence of diabetic retinopathy in a Spanish cohort: the MADIABETES study
Study type & aim	To identify the risk factors associated with incident diabetic retinopathy in people with type 2 diabetes Prospective cohort Spain (multi-centre)
Number and Characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 2405 in final sample (3443 in original cohort, 403 did not have an eye examination, 292 had diabetic retinopathy and 343 were lost to follow up. Drop out = 343/2748 [12.5%])  Included: Adults (>30 years) with type 2 diabetes enrolled in the Madrid Diabetes Study from 2007 and followed up every year from 2008 to 2011.  Excluded: Type 1 diabetes and housebound people
Monitoring information and definitions	Patients were followed up every year
Intervention	
Comparator	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Salinero-Fort et al 2013 – Four-year incidend	ce of diabetic retinopathy i	in a Spanish cohort: the M	ADIABETES study					
Length of follow up	4 years (2008 to 2011)								
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Outcomes: Diabetic retinopathy (mild, moderate or severe macular oedema i.e. retinal thickening and hard  Baseline characteristics of follow up patients	d exudate in posterior pole)	iinopathy, proliferative diabet	ic retinopathy, diabetic					
	accinio citaracci i citara ap pation	All patients (n=2405)	Diabetic retinopathy (n=194)	No diabetic retinopathy (n=2211)					
	Age, years (mean±SD)	67.5±10.6	66.6±11.2	67.6±10.5					
	Sex: female (%)	49.4	51.5	49.2					
	Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup> (mean±SD)	29.3±5.3	29.4±5.4	29.3±5.3					
	Diabetes duration, years (mean+SD)	7.6±7.2	9.4±8.8	7.4±7.0					
	Non-smoker (%)	73.4	74.2	73.4					
	Medication use								
	Oral antidiabetic drugs (%)	75.3	78.8	75.0					
	Insulin (%)	17.1	29.5	16.0					
	Comorbidities								
	Hypertension (%)	70.3	72.7	70.1					
	Stroke (%)	7.1	7.2	7.1					
	Myocardial infarction (%)	7.9	9.8	7.7					
	Blood glucose measures								
	HbA1c, % (mean±SD)	7.0±1.2	7.4±1.4	7.0±1.2					
	Proportion (%) of patients with HbA1c <7%	55.5	45.9	56.3					
	FBG, mg/dL (mean±SD)	142.8±40.3	149.6±55.0	142.2±38.8					
	Systolic blood pressure, mmHg (mean±SD)	133.4±13.3	134.0±12.1	133.3±13.4					
	Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg (mean±SD)	76.8±7.9	76.1±7.7	76.9±8.0					
	Total cholesterol, mg/dL (mean±SD)	192.5±35.6	194.3±39.3	192.3±35.2					
	LDL-C, mg/dL (mean±SD)	115.3±29.7	117.9±32.1	115.1±29.5					
	HDL-C, mg/dL (mean±SD)	49.1±12.7	48.4±12.2	49.1±12.8					
	Triglycerides, mg/dL (mean±SD)	145.4±86.9	142.4±83.1	145.6±87.3					

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Salinero-Fort et al 2013	3 – Four-year incidend	ce of diabetic retinopathy	in a Spanish coho	rt: the MADIABETES study					
	Microalbuminuria (%)		22.3	24.2	22.1					
	Adjusted HR and 95% CI from Cox proportional hazard models for diabetic retinopathy:									
	HbA1c	Adjusted HR (95% C	I)							
	<7%	1.00								
	7 to 8%	1.39 (1.01, 1.92)								
	>8%	1.90 (1.30, 2.77)								
	*adjusted for sex, durati	on of diabetes, microalk	ouminuria, LDL-C, blood pr	essure and use of a	spirin					
Funding	Grant from Spanish Min	istry of Science and Inn	ovation via the Instituto de	Salud Carlos III						
Authors' conclusion	Higher baseline HbA1c,	higher HDL-C, longer of	duration of diabetes and as	pirin use were signif	icant risk factors for diabetic retinopathy					
Comments	Study conducted in Spa Dropout 12.5% Excluded housebound p									

### **Evidence Table 17: (Zhao et al 2013, Diabetes Care)**

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Zhao et al 2013 – HbA1c and lower-extremity amputation risk in low-income patients with diabetes
Study type & aim	To determine the association between HbA1c levels and risk of lower-extremity amputation in people with type 2 diabetes from low income background Prospective cohort Louisiana, USA (multi-centre)
Number and Characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 35368 (19808 African Americans, 15560 Whites)
	Included: Patients (30-94 years) of seven public hospitals first diagnosed with type 2 diabetes were identified using the Louisiana State University Hospital-based Longitudinal Study database (1 <sup>st</sup> January 1999 to 31 <sup>st</sup> December 2009) and taken as the baseline

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Zhao et al 2013 – HbA1c and lower-extremity amputation risk in low-in	come nationts with diabets	ae
reference (IVer ID)	No history of lower-extremity amputation	come patients with diabete	<del>,</del> 5
	Complete data for all risk factor variables		
Monitoring information and definitions	Details not provided		
Intervention			
Comparator			
Length of follow	Mean follow up: 6.83 years		
up	Cohort established via database (1 <sup>st</sup> January 1999 to 31 <sup>st</sup> December 2009)	and patients were followed ι	ıp until 31 <sup>st</sup> May 2012
Outcomes	Outcomes:		
measures and	Lower-extremity amputation		
effect sizes			
	Baseline characteristics of follow up patients:		
		African Americans (n=19808)	Whites (n=15560)
	Age, years (mean±SD)	51.1±10.2	53.7±10.4
	Sex: male, N (%)	7019 (35.4)	6344 (40.8)
	Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup> (mean±SD)	33.8±8.5	34.7±8.7
	Current smoker, N (%)	6437 (32.5)	5825 (37.4)
	Income, USD/family (mean+SD)	8886±10833	11033±12048
	Type of insurance, N (%)		
	Free (low income ≤200% of federal poverty level or uninsured)	15500 (78.3)	11840 (76.1)
	Self-pay (uninsured but income not low enough to qualify for free care)	1151 (5.9)	586 (3.8)
	Medicaid	1197 (6.0)	628 (4.0)
	Medicare	1625 (8.2)	2049 (13.2)
	Commercial	330 (1.6)	457 (2.9)
	Medication use, N (%)		
	Glucose-lowering medication	13093 (66.1)	9487 (61.0)
	Lipid-lowering medication	10903 (55.0)	9037 (58.1)
	Antihypertensive medication	14923 (75.3)	10813 (69.5)

Bibliographic			
reference (Ref ID)	Zhao et al 2013 – HbA1c and lower-extremity amputation ris	k in low-income patients with dia	abetes
	Comorbidities, N (%)		
	Peripheral arterial disease at baseline	554 (2.8)	751 (4.8)
	Peripheral arterial disease during follow up	2518 (12.7)	2685 (17.3)
	Ulcer at baseline	232 (1.2)	230 (1.5)
	Ulcer during follow up	1370 (6.9)	1219 (7.8)
	Foot deformity at baseline	105 (0.5)	52 (0.3)
	Foot deformity during follow up	984 (5.0)	387 (2.5)
	Blood glucose measures		
	HbA1c at baseline, % (mean)	8.0	7.3
	HbA1c during follow up, % (mean)	7.7	7.2
	Systolic blood pressure, mmHg (mean±SD)	133.4±13.3	134.0±12.1
	Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg (mean±SD)	76.8±7.9	76.1±7.7
	LDL-C, mg/dL (mean±SD)	114±40	110±40
	Glomerular filtration rate (mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> ), N (%)		
	≥90	10651 (53.8)	5576 (35.9)
	60-89	6962 (35.2)	7307 (47.0)
	30-59	1481 (9.3)	2415 (15.5)
	15-29	217 (1.1)	178 (1.1)
	<15	112 (0.6)	56 (0.4)

### Adjusted HR and 95% CI from Cox proportional hazard models for lower-extremity amputation for African Americans:

HbA1c	At baselin	е			During follow up			
	Sample size	Cases	Person years	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	Sample size	Cases	Person years	Adjusted HR (95% CI)
<6.0%	5121	27	32733	1.00	4212	22	25216	1.00
6 to 6.9%	4938	51	35317	1.73 (1.07, 2.80)	5094	46	35125	1.51 (0.87, 2.63)
7 to 7.9%	2613	38	20075	1.65 (0.99, 2.77)	3570	62	27580	1.95 (1.13, 3.38)
8 to 8.9%	1713	32	13736	1.96 (1.14, 3.36)	2419	56	19319	2.27 (1.29, 3.98)
9 to 9.9%	1327	45	10347	3.02 (1.81, 5.04)	1748	50	14188	2.96 (1.66, 5.27)
≥10%	4096	143	29693	3.30 (2.10, 5.20)	2765	100	20473	3.14 (1.81, 5.45)

#### Zhao et al 2013 – HbA1c and lower-extremity amputation risk in low-income patients with diabetes

<sup>\*</sup>adjusted for age, sex, type of insurance, income, smoking, peripheral arterial disease, ulcer, and foot deformity at baseline and during follow up; for baseline (in the baseline analyses) and updated mean (in the follow-up analyses) of BMI, LDL-C, systolic blood pressure and glomerular filtration rate; and use of antihypertensive drugs, glucose lowering drugs and cholesterol lowering agents

#### Adjusted HR and 95% CI from Cox proportional hazard models for lower-extremity amputation for Whites:

HbA1c			At baseline		During follow up			
	Sample size	Cases	Person years	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	Sample size	Cases	Person years	Adjusted HR (95% CI)
<6.0%	5536	27	32427	1.00	4483	18	24270	1.00
6 to 6.9%	3770	29	24760	1.16 (0.66, 2.02)	4345	33	28248	1.40 (0.74, 2.65)
7 to 7.9%	2044	42	14432	2.28 (1.35, 3.85)	2824	53	20227	2.70 (1.46, 5.01)
8 to 8.9%	1317	32	9138	2.38 (1.36, 4.18)	1735	45	12190	3.12 (1.67, 5.84)
9 to 9.9%	987	32	6663	2.99 (1.71, 5.22)	1082	42	7674	3.70 (1.93, 7.10)
≥10%	1906	80	12168	3.25 (1.98, 5.33)	1091	51	6978	3.96 (2.08, 7.53)
Each 1% increase	NA	NA	NA	1.15 (1.09, 1.21)	NA	NA	NA	1.13 (1.06, 1.21)

<sup>\*</sup>adjusted for age, sex, type of insurance, income, smoking, peripheral arterial disease, ulcer, and foot deformity at baseline and during follow up; for baseline (in the baseline analyses) and updated mean (in the follow-up analyses) of BMI, LDL-C, systolic blood pressure and glomerular filtration rate; and use of antihypertensive drugs, glucose lowering drugs and cholesterol lowering agents

# Subgroup analyses: Adjusted HR and 95% CI from Cox proportional hazard models for lower-extremity amputation for total population at baseline:

HbA1c	Se	ex		Age (years)		BMI (kg/m²)			
	Male (n=13362)	Female (n=22005)	<50	50-59	60-94	<25	25-29.9	30-39.9	≥40
<6.0%	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
6 to 6.9%	1.48 (0.95, 2.26)	1.63 (0.80, 3.32)	1.80 (0.95, 3.43)	1.13 (0.66, 1.94)	2.02 (0.94, 4.35)	0.89 (0.43, 1.85)	2.89 (1.34, 6.26)	1.79 (0.98, 3.28)	0.99 (0.36, 2.75)
7 to	1.85 (1.20,	2.37 (1.17,	2.41 (1.27,	1.50 (0.86,	3.19 (1.42,	1.51 (0.72,	3.11 (1.36,	2.22 (1.22,	1.92 (0.70,

#### Zhao et al 2013 - HbA1c and lower-extremity amputation risk in low-income patients with diabetes

7.9%	2.85)	4.80)	4.57)	2.63)	7.18)	3.17)	7.10)	4.03)	5.27)
8 to	2.19 (1.40,	2.26 (1.04,	2.34 (1.25,	2.26 (1.22,	3.06 (1.18,	1.49 (0.66,	4.64 (2.02,	2.04 (1.05,	2.22 (0.81,
8.9%	3.42)	4.91)	4.38)	4.18)	7.95)	3.34)	10.70)	3.99)	6.09)
9 to	3.15 (2.04,	3.43 (1.63,	3.01 (1.63,	3.69 (2.10,	2.37 (0.80,	4.65 (2.24,	6.89 (3.12,	2.30 (1.18,	2.37 (0.79,
9.9%	4.85)	7.24)	5.57)	6.47)	7.01)	9.69)	15.20)	4.48)	7.08)
≥10%	2.84 (1.93,	4.96 (2.50,	3.93 (2.26,	2.89 (1.73,	3.19 (1.27,	3.73 (1.99,	5.79 (2.73,	3.38 (1.93,	2.28 (0.88,
	4.17)	9.71)	6.84)	4.82)	8.00)	7.00)	12.30)	5.91)	5.92)

<sup>\*</sup>adjusted for age, sex, race, BMI, LDL-C, systolic blood pressure, glomerular filtration rate, type of insurance, smoking, peripheral arterial disease, ulcer, and foot deformity at baseline and during follow up; and use of antihypertensive drugs, glucose lowering drugs and cholesterol lowering agents other than the variable for stratification

## Subgroup analyses: Adjusted HR and 95% CI from Cox proportional hazard models for lower-extremity amputation for total population at baseline:

HbA1c		glucose nedication		Blood p	oressure		LDL-C (mg/dL)			
	Yes (n=12788)	No (n=22580)	<130/80	130- 139/80- 89	140- 159/90- 99	≥160/100	<70	70-99.9	100- 119.9	≥120
<6.0%	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
6 to 6.9%	1.30 (0.72, 2.33)	1.62 (1.02, 2.59)	1.20 (0.60, 2.40)	1.00 (0.43, 2.36)	2.94 (1.36, 6.37)	1.54 (0.75, 3.16)	1.10 (0.57, 2.15)	1.58 (0.87, 2.86)	1.08 (0.43, 2.69)	7.59 (1.76, 32.80)
7 to 7.9%	2.24 (1.26, 3.98)	1.93 (1.20, 3.12)	2.05 (1.02, 4.12)	2.26 (0.91, 5.60)	4.01 (1.84, 8.76)	1.41 (0.67, 2.98)	1.35 (0.65, 2.80)	1.81 (0.97, 3.36)	2.02 (0.88, 4.65)	9.40 (2.15, 41.10)
8 to 8.9%	1.94 (0.97, 3.88)	2.20 (1.36, 3.58)	2.45 (1.17, 5.14)	1.24 (0.47, 3.28)	3.86 (1.70, 8.73)	2.19 (1.01, 4.75)	1.12 (0.52, 2.40)	2.49 (1.30, 4.76)	1.64 (0.65, 4.12)	10.20 (2.31, 45.40)
9 to 9.9%	2.81 (1.43, 5.51)	3.41 (2.14, 5.45)	1.57 (0.70, 3.54)	3.93 (1.66, 9.32)	6.35 (2.91, 13.90)	4.07 (1.91, 7.16)	3.07 (1.58, 5.97)	2.67 (1.34, 5.31)	4.53 (1.96, 10.50)	9.78 (2.21, 43.20)
≥10%	2.73	3.50	3.15	3.01	5.11	3.63	1.68	3.70	3.28	14.90

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Zhao et al 2	2013 – HbA	1c and lowe	r-extremity	/ amputatio	n risk in low	-income pa	tients with (	diabetes		
		(1.55, 4.82)	(2.28, 5.36)	(1.68, 5.89)	(1.49, 6.09)	(2.40, 10.90)	(1.84, 7.16)	(0.90, 3.12)	(2.13, 6.43)	(1.47, 7.28)	(3.55, 62.60)
	*adjusted fo	or age, sex, ease, ulcer, a	race, BMI, LE and foot defo g agents othe	DL-C, systol rmity at bas	lic blood pres	ssure, glome ring follow u	rular filtratio	n rate, type o	of insurance,	, smoking, p	eripheral
Funding	Grant from I	Louisiana S	tate Universit	y's Improvi	ng Clinical C	utcomes Ne	twork				
Authors' conclusion	There is evidence of a graded association between HbA1c and risk of lower-extremity amputation in African American and white type 2 diabetes people from low income background										
Comments	~>60% were ~2.2% were		commercial	health insu	rance						

# E.4 Review question 4: Should intensive or conventional target values be used to control blood glucose levels in people with type 2 diabetes?

**Evidence table (Hemmingsen et al 2013, Cochrane review)** 

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hemmingsen et al 2013 – Intensive glycaemic control versus targeting conventional glycaemic control for type 2 diabetes mellitus
Study type & aim	Systematic review  To assess the effects of targeting intensive vs conventional glycaemic control in T2D patients
Number and characteristics of patients	Participants; Adults aged 18 years and above with T2D were included. The diagnosis of T2D should have been established at randomisation into the trial using standard criteria (for example, ADA 1997; ADA 1999; ADA 2003; ADA 2008; ADAa 2010; NDDG 1979; WHO 1980; WHO 1985; WHO 1998; WHO 2011). Ideally, the diagnostic criteria should have been described. If necessary, the authors' definition of T2D was used.
Interventions	All included trials should have, prior to patient allocation, predefined in the protocol the different glycaemic targets for intensive and conventional glycaemic control.  Trials using HbA1c equivalents (for example, total glycosylated haemoglobin) to compare predefined intensive versus conventional glycaemic treatment were included as well. Furthermore, if no HbA1c (or equivalent) target levels were predefined, trials targeting metabolic control as measured by fasting blood or plasma glucose or postprandial blood or plasma glucose also fulfilled the criteria for inclusion.  Trials with a prespecified glycaemic target in the intensive group only were also included. However, as outlined, studies with different target levels for fasting or postprandial blood or plasma glucose but with similar HbA1c (or equivalent) target levels between interventions, or no specified target levels, did not fulfil the criterion for inclusion.
Outcomes	Primary outcome measures:  All-cause mortality  Cardiovascular mortality (death from MI, stroke, PVD)  (subgroup analysis for primary outcomes and non-fatal MI;  - Comparing trials with low risk of bias with high risk of bias  - Comparing trials with study duration >2yrs with those of ≤2yrs)  Secondary outcome measures:  Macrovascular complications (non-fatal MI, non-fatal ischaemic stroke, non-fatal haemorrhagic stroke, amputation of lower extremity, cardiac or peripheral revascularisation)  Microvascular complications (manifestation and progression of nephropathy, ESRD, manifestation and progression of

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hemmingsen et al 2013 – Intensive glycaemic control versus targeting conventional glycaemic control for type 2 diabetes mellitus
	retinopathy,retinal photocoagulation)
	Adverse events
	CHF
	Hypoglycaemia; mild (controlled by patients), moderate (daily activities interrupted but self-managed), severe (requiring assistance)
	Health-related QoL
	Cost of treatment
	Macrovascular and microvascular outcomes assessed as a composite outcome and each outcome separately
	(Planned subgroup analyses;
	<ul> <li>anti-diabetic intervention used to achieve glycaemic target (drug classes compared to each other, the use of monotherapy compared to combination therapy)</li> </ul>
	- HbA1c <7.0% compared with ≥7.0%
	- defined target in terms of HbA1c compared with non-HbA1c target
	- cardiovascular disease at baseline
	- peripheral revascularisation and retinal photocoagulation
	- age <65yrs compared with ≥65yrs)
Length of follow up	As specified in the individual RCT'
Location	As specified in the individual RCT'
Comments	

# E.5 Review question 5: Should self-monitoring be used to manage blood glucose levels in people with type 2 diabetes?

Evidence table 1:	(Malanda et at 2012)
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Malanda et al 2012-Updated Cochrane review (REF ID: 19)
Study type & aim	Cochrane systematic review to assess the effect of self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) in patients with type 2 diabetes who are not using insulin.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: A total of 3259 randomised patients were from 12 randomised controlled trials (RCTs): Allen 1990 (N=54), Davidson 2005 (N=89), Fontbonne 1989 (N=208), Guerci 2003 (N=2003), Muchmore 1994 (N=23), Schwedes 2002 (N=250), Barnett 2008 (N=610), DiGEM trial 2007 (N=453), Duran 2010 (N=195), Franciosi 2011 (N=62), Kleefstra 2010 (N=41), O'Kane 2008 (N=195) Inclusion criteria: published and unpublished RCTs of patients with type 2 diabetes assessing the use of SMBG compared to control. Studies assessing the comparison between SMBG and self-monitoring of urine glucose (SMUG) were also included. Exclusion criteria: Studies were excluded from the review because they had a control group with access to SMBG, they had included patients using insulin, they did not explore one of the primary outcome measures, were secondary reports of already included studies or because patients were not randomised  Patient characteristics: All trials had similar groups at baseline for the most important prognostic indicators, except for Kleefstra (2010). In the study, diabetes duration differed between the intervention and control group. With the exception of SMBG study group
	Schwedes (2002), co-interventions were similar or avoided in all studies. We evaluated that the SMBG group in SMBG study group 2002 received a co-intervention by means of a structured counselling program every four weeks during the intervention period while the control group only received non-standardised counselling.
	Subgroup analyses: A priori defined subgroups were:
	• HbA1c level at baseline (subdividing into three groups of low [less than 7.0%], medium [between 7.0% and 11.0%] and high level [11.0% or higher] - based on data)
	• diabetes duration (up to one year past diagnosis vs. duration over one year)
	• duration of intervention (short-term [up to six months follow-up], medium-term [between 6 and 12 months follow-up], long term [12 months follow-up or more])
	• age groups (below 60 years, over 60 years)
	• gender
	• presence of complications (e.g. diabetic complications)
	different comparison interventions
	• type of treatment: oral hypoglycaemic agents, diet, exercise, no treatment
	• weight (normal [body mass index - BMI: women less than 25, men less than 27], overweight [BMI: women 25 to 30, men 27 to 30] obese [BMI more than 30]).

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Malanda et al 2012-Upda	ited Cochrane review (R	EF ID: 19)				
Outcome measures	<b>Primary outcome measures</b> : glycaemic control measured by glycated haemoglobin concentration A1c (HbA1c-level); health-related quality of life, well-being (e.g. by using the SF 36 [Ware 1992] or the well-being questionnaire [Bradley 1994a]); patient satisfaction (e.g. by using the Diabetes Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire [DTSQ, Bradley 1994b]). <b>Secondary outcome measures:</b> fasting plasma glucose level; hypoglycaemic episodes; morbidity; adverse effects and costs						
Intervention	SMBG vs. usual care with 2010, Muchmore 1994, O SMBG vs. SMUG: 1 trial ( SMBG vs. SMUG vs. usua	SMBG vs. usual care without monitoring: 9 trials (Barnett 2008, Davidson 2005, Duran 2010, Franciosi 2011, Guerci 2003, Kleefstra 2010, Muchmore 1994, O'Kane 2008, SMBG study group 2002)  SMBG vs. SMUG: 1 trial (Allen 1990)  SMBG vs. SMUG vs. usual care: 1 trial (Fontbonne 1989)  Less intensive SMBG vs. more intensive SMBG vs. control group: 1 trial (DiGEM trial 2007)					
Comparator	See above						
Length of follow up	Intervention duration rang	ed from 6 months to 12 m	onths.				
Location	Allen 1990 (USA), Davidson 2005 (USA), Fontbonne 1989 (France), Guerci 2003 (France), Muchmore 1994 (USA), Schwedes 2002 (Germany/Austria), Barnett 2008 (Czech Republic, Hungary, Iran, Malaysia, Poland, Slovakia, Turkey), DiGEM trial 2007 (UK), Duran 2010 (Spain), Franciosi 2011 (Italy), Kleefstra 2010 (the Netherlands), O'Kane 2008 (Northern Ireland).						
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Heterogeneity Included studies differed in baseline characteristics and in delivered SMBG education. Due to clinical heterogeneity it was decided to conduct a pooled analysis of all trials. A random-effects subgroup meta-analysis on the basis of diabetes duration and follow-up was carried out.  Primary outcome measure: changes in Hba1c levels: Table one shows the overall summary estimates for changes in Hba1c levels separated for different follow-up times and patient populations.						
	Table 1. Overall summ	Follow-up	Total number of included trials	Total number of participants	Pooled mean difference (95% CI)		
	SMBG vs. control	6 months	9	2324	-0.26 [-0.39, -0.13]		
	SMBG vs. control	12 months	2	493	-0.13 [-0.31, 0.04]		
	SMBG vs. control in newly diagnosed patients	6 months	2	345	-0.53 [-1.06, -0.01]		
	SMBG vs. control in newly diagnosed	12 months	2	345	-0.52 [-0.89, -0.14]		

#### Malanda et al 2012-Updated Cochrane review (REF ID: 19)

patients				
SMBG vs. SMUG	6 months	2	194	-0.17 [-0.96, 0.61]

Glycaemic control as measured by change in HbA1c between baseline and endpoint improved in the SMBG groups (Davidson 2005; DiGEM trial 2007; Kleefstra 2010; Muchmore 1994; O'Kane 2008), however this was not statistically different from the improvement seen in the control groups. In the meta-analysis, the overall effect for short-term follow-up (up to six months of follow-up) showed a statistically significant decrease of 0.3% in HbA1c (95% CI -0.4 to -0.1; 2324 participants, 9 trials) in favour of SMBG compared with the control group. For medium term follow-up (between 6 and 12 months of follow-up) analysis revealed a statistically non-significant decrease in HbA1c of 0.1% (95% CI -0.3 to 0.04; 493 participants, 2 trials) and no statistical heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup> = 0%).

The pooled analysis for short-term follow-up (up to six months of follow-up) in newly diagnosed patients (345 participants, 2 trials) showed notable statistical heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 68\%$ ), indicating a substantial inconsistency in the direction of effect. Therefore, the overall effect estimate for HbA1c for this analysis was not presented. However, the meta-analysis for medium term follow-up (between 6 and 12 months of follow-up) in newly diagnosed patients revealed a statistically significant decrease in HbA1c of 0.5% (95% CI -0.9 to -0.1; 345 participants, 2 trials) accompanied by moderate statistical heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 44\%$ ). The pooled comparison between SMBG and SMUG for short term follow-up (up to six months of follow-up) showed a statistical non-significant decrease in HbA1c of 0.2% (95% CI -1.0 to 0.6; 194 participants, 2 trials, Analysis 5.1) in HbA1c. Statistical heterogeneity was not observed ( $I^2 = 0\%$ ).

**Primary outcome measure: changes in fasting plasma glucose levels**: 3 trials (Allen 1990, Guerci 2003 and Barnett 2008) measured fasting plasma glucose levels. All three studies found that fasting plasma glucose levels decreased as a result of SMBG, however there were no statistically significant differences between SMBG vs. SMUG and SMBG vs. no monitoring.

#### Other outcome measures

Changes in weight or BMI: Not reported

Frequency, severity and timing of hypoglycaemic episodes: 6 trials (Guerci 2003, DiGEM trial 2007, Barnett 2008, O'Kane 2008, Durán (2010) and Franciosi (2011) investigated SMBG related hypoglycaemia. In Guerci (2003) 10.4% SMBG group and 5.2% control group patients reported at least one episode of symptomatic or asymptomatic hypoglycaemia during the study (P = 0.003). This significant difference was caused by a between-group difference in patients reporting asymptomatic hypoglycaemia only (P = 0.001). No patients reported serious episodes of hypoglycaemia. In the DiGEM trial (2007) episodes of hypoglycaemia with mild symptoms were reported by 9.2%, 22% and 28.5% of the patients in the control group, less intensive group and more intensive group, respectively (P < 0.001). Episodes of severe hypoglycaemia were reported in one patient in the control group (DiGEM trial 2007). In the Barnett (2008) study a hypoglycaemic event (symptomatic, asymptomatic or SMBG confirmed) was reported in 8.7% and 7% of the patients in the SMBG group and control group, respectively. All reported events were considered mild (grade 1), moderate (grade 2) or were non-graded. No significant between-group differences were found in reported hypoglycaemia at any time point in the

#### Malanda et al 2012-Updated Cochrane review (REF ID: 19)

O'Kane (2008) trial. In the Durán (2010) trial no severe hypoglycaemic episodes requiring third-party or medical assistance were reported in either group. In the Franciosi 2011 trial no adverse events including hypoglycaemic events occurred. Barnett (2008) and Guerci (2003) reported adverse effects, but did not specify them.

Adverse events: see hypoglycaemic episodes

**Mortality and microvascular/macrovascular complications**: The DiGEM trial (2007) and Guerci (2003) reported mortality (death of patients during the trial). None of the studies reported data on morbidity.

Quality of life (including changes in confidence, anxiety, mood and depression): A total of five trials reported outcomes on either patient satisfaction (DiGEM trial 2007; Kleefstra 2010; O'Kane 2008; SMBG study group 2002), well-being (DiGEM trial 2007; Kleefstra 2010; O'Kane 2008; SMBG study group 2002) and/or health-related quality of life (DiGEM trial 2007; Kleefstra 2010; Muchmore 1994).

**Treatment satisfaction:** None of the trials reporting outcomes on treatment satisfaction (DTSQ) found significant between group changes (DiGEM trial 2007; Kleefstra 2010; O'Kane 2008; SMBG study group 2002).

**Well-being and depression**: SMBG study group 2002 reported a statistically significant decrease of the 22- item Well Being Questionnaire (WBQ-22) sub scale depression in favour of the SMBG group (-0.83 vs. -0.26; range 0 to 18). O'Kane (2008) reported a 6% increase (1.08 points) in the depression subscale of the WBQ-22 (range 0 to 18) in the SMBG group compared to the control group at 12 months (P = 0.01). Both studies did not find statistically significant differences on general well-being or the other three well-being sub-scales (anxiety, energy, positive well-being). The DiGEM trial 2007 found no between group differences in well-being scores (12-item Well Being Questionnaire [WBQ-12]). Kleefstra (2010) found no significant changes between groups in psychological well-being measured with the 5-item Wellbeing Index (WHO-5).

Health related quality of life: Outcomes on health-related quality of life were reported by 4 trials (Muchmore 1994, DiGEM trial 2007 and Kleefstra 2010). Muchmore (1994) found no significant differences between the SMBG group and the control group in the four sub-scales (satisfaction, impact, diabetes related worry, and the social/vocational worry) of the Diabetes Quality-of-Life Inventory. The DiGEM trial 2007 found that health-related quality of life as measured with the Euro Qol 5 dimensions (EQ5D) questionnaire showed a statistically significant difference of -0.1, (95% CI -0.127 to -0.017; range 1 to 3) at the end of the trial when comparing the more intensive monitoring group with the control group. Kleefstra (2010) found no significant changes between groups in health related quality of life (36-item Short Form Health Survey [SF-36]). Separate analyses of the SF-36 sub scales identified a statistical significant between groups difference in the sub scale health change at the end of the study in favour of the control group (a 4.2 points decrease in the SMBG group and a 9.7 points increase in the control group; range 0 to 100).

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Malanda et al 2012-Updated Cochrane review (REF ID: 19)
	Subgroup analyses (predetermined groups): Subgroup analyses for diabetes duration and duration of the intervention for the comparison of SMBG versus control and SMBG versus SMUG were carried out (see primary outcome measure change in Hba1c levels). Data available on age groups, gender, presence of complications, different comparison interventions, type of treatment and weight could not be extracted sufficiently or could not be delivered by the original authors to investigate subgroups. In addition, baseline glycaemic control was not investigated because 10 out of 12 studies (Allen 1990; Barnett 2008; Davidson 2005; DiGEM trial 2007; Fontbonne 1989; Franciosi 2011; Guerci 2003; Kleefstra 2010; O'Kane 2008; SMBG study group 2002) were in the a-priori specified medium range (between 7.0% and 11.0% HbA1c). The remaining two studies (Durán 2010; Muchmore 1994) had a baseline HbA1c in the low and the high category, respectively. For all comparisons, six months follow-up data (published or retrieved by contacting the authors) or 12 months follow-up data were used only:
Authors' conclusion	When diabetes duration is over one year, the overall effect of self-monitoring of blood glucose on glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes who are not using insulin is small up to six months after initiation and subsides after 12 months. Furthermore, there is no evidence that SMBG affects patient satisfaction, general well-being or general health-related quality of life. More research is needed to explore the psychological impact of SMBG and its impact on diabetes specific quality of life and well-being, as well as the impact of SMBG on hypoglycaemia and diabetic complications. The main results suggest that long-term SMBG in new-onset patients is beneficial in lowering HbA1c. However, when diabetes duration is over one year, the overall glycaemic effect of SMBG is small and more likely to be present at short-term. Because subgroup meta-analyses could not fully take the presence of clinical heterogeneity into account, clinical interpretation and translation into practice of these results is difficult and should be done with caution.
Source of funding	Not reported
Comments	Most included trials and specifically earlier trials were exposed to selection and attrition bias. With the inclusion of new studies (Barnett 2008; DiGEM trial 2007; Durán 2010; Franciosi 2011; Kleefstra 2010; O'Kane 2008) performed after the first review (Welschen 2005a) the risk of bias was reduced.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Lu et al 2011 (REF ID: 41)
Study type & aim	To assess whether self-monitoring of urine glucose or blood glucose is effective, convenient and safe for glycaemic control in non-insulin treated type 2 diabetes
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 108 patients were randomised with 36 analysed in the SMUG group, 34 analysed in the SMBG group and 33 analysed in the control group.  Inclusion criteria: patients with type 2 diabetes, aged between 18 and 75 years, managed with diet and/or oral hypoglycaemic agents and with a Hba1c level ≥ 7.0% and post-prandial glucose >11.0mmol/L
	<b>Exclusion criteria:</b> included use of insulin, rapidly progressing diabetic complication, severe concurrent illness that would limit life or require extensive treatment, abnormal renal threshold during screening, women in pregnancy or lactation, alcohol misuse and inability to follow trial procedures.
	Patient characteristics: Five patients were lost to follow-up but this was balanced across the groups. There were no significant

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Lu et al 2011 (REF ID: 41)					
		dian duration of diabetes wa en set for eligibility.	ristics or laboratory measuremer as 3.0 years. Range of the duration of the du			
	Characteristic	SMUG (n=38)	SMBG (n=35)	Control (n=35)		
	Gender (M/F)	26/12	20/15	23/12		
	Age (years)	53.13 ± 9.27	53.03 ± 13.77	57.26 ± 9.71		
	BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.96 ± 3.61	24.67 ± 3.34	25.45 ± 4.67		
	Waist (cm)	94.50 ± 7.96	90.37 ± 7.63	94.99 ± 11.07		
	Duration of diabetes (years), median	1.0 (0.0-6.0)	4.0 (0.5-8.0)	3.0 (1.0-8.0)		
	Hba1c (%)	8.8 ± 1.4	8.5 ± 1.1	8.6 ± 1.7		
	Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)	8.60 ± 1.97	9.33 ± 3.23	9.24 ± 2.65		
	Postprandial glucose (mmol/L)	15.89 ± 3.25	15.51 ± 2.75	14.98 ± 3.84		
	Triglyceride (mmol/L), median	1.78 (1.17-2.56)	1.51 (1.04-2.15)	1.46 (0.95-2.20)		
	Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.57 ± 0.91	$4.54 \pm 0.99$	4.73 ± 0.80		
	Quality of life (scores)	170.50 ± 28.23	174.03 ± 18.62	168.96 ± 28.07		
	Abbreviations: SMUG self-mo	nitoring of urine glucose; S	MBG self-monitoring of blood glu	icose		
	Subgroup analyses: No pre-s	pecified or post-hoc subgro	up analyses reported			
Monitoring information and definitions	Monitoring: After the run-in patients attended scheduled clinic visits every 4 weeks for 6 months.  Definitions: Self-reported hypoglycaemic episodes were categorised as Grade 1: transitory symptoms not affecting normal activity, Grade 2: temporarily incapacitated but able to treat symptoms without help, Grade 3: incapacitated and required assistance to treat symptoms, Grade 4: required medical attention or glucagon injection  Primary outcome measures: change in Hba1c from baseline  Secondary outcome measures: proportion of patients achieving Hba1c targets of <7.0 or ≤6.5%, incidence of hypoglycaemia, changes in BMI, waist circumference, fasting plasma glucose, triglyceride, total cholesterol, compliance to monitoring modality and quality of life					

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Lu et al 2011 (REF ID: 41)						
Intervention	Intervention type: Group B (SMBG) Instructions: Instructed in technique of blood glucose testing and were asked to aim for glucose levels 4.0 to 6.0mmol/L before meals and 6.0 to 8.0mmol/L two hours after meals.  Frequency: required to monitor at same frequency as group A (see below) Feedback: given advice on interpreting and applying the results to lifestyle modification.  Education: All patients had one week run-in period during which structured diabetes self-management education was provided by nurse practitioners, dieticians, diabetes educators and physicians. The education sessions focused on diabetes disease process, lifestyle behaviours, utilisation of medications, as well as prevention and detection of complications.  Diary: All patients received similar diary books in which they had to report changes about diet and exercises. Groups A and B were also required to record the obtained value of urine or blood glucose.  Intervention type: Group A (SMUG) Instructions: Instructed in technique of urine glucose testing and were asked to aim for glucose levels <50 mg/dl Frequency: required to test urine twice every day (fasting, 2h after dinner) with at least two extra tests each week (2h after dinner) Feedback: as group B (see above)  Education: as group B (see above)  Diary: as group B (see above)						
Comparator	Intervention type: Group C (control group) Instructions: asked not to perform any self-monitoring and were provided with standardised usual care Frequency: N/A Feedback: not reported Diary: as group A (see above)						
Length of follow up	Intervention: 6 months Follow-up: 6 months						
Location	Korea						
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Primary outcome measures (Change in blood glucose control): Table 1 shows changes in all blood glucose measures in all 3 treatment groups. At the end-point 46.3% of patients achieved Hba1c <7.0% (58.3% in SMUG group vs. 41.2% in SMBG group vs. 36% in control group, p=0.172) and 32.6% achieved Hba1c ≤6.5% (38.9% in SMUG vs. 35.3% in SMBG vs. 20% control group, p=0.277).  Table 1. Changes in blood glucose measures						
	Blood glucose measure	SMUG	SMBG	Control	P-value <sup>2</sup>		
	Hba1c (%)	-	-	-	-		

Bibliograp	hic	
reference	(Ref ID	)

### Lu et al 2011 (REF ID: 41)

Baseline	8.8 ± 1.4	8.5 ± 1.1	8.5 ± 1.7	0.577
6 months	6.9 ± 1.2	$7.0 \pm 0.9$	7.4 ± 1.1	-
Mean difference (95% CI)*	-1.9 (-2.5 to -1.3)	-1.5 (-1.9 to -1.1)	-1.0 (-1.9 to -0.2)	-
p-value <sup>1</sup>	0.000	0.000	0.016	-
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)	-	-	-	-
Baseline	8.52 ± 1.98	9.36 ± 3.27	9.30 ± 2.75	-
6 months	6.95 ± 1.64	7.22 ± 1.71	7.61 ± 1.72	0.419
Mean difference (95% CI)*	-1.57 (-2.40 to - 0.74)	-2.14 (-3.45 to -0.83)	-1.70 (-3.18 to - 0.22)	0.753
p-value <sup>1</sup>	0.001	0.002	0.027	-

-1.9 (-2.

Other outcome measures (Changes in weight or BMI): Table 2 shows changes in all weight measures in all 3 treatment groups

Table 2. Changes in weight measures

Weight measures	SMUG	SMBG	Control	P-value <sup>2</sup>
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	-	-	•	-
Baseline	24.71 ± 3.47	24.61 ± 3.37	25.90 ± 4.52	-
6 months	24.29 ± 3.47	23.67 ± 2.83	25.83 ± 4.56	0.147
Mean difference (95% CI)*	-0.42 (-0.73 to - 0.10)	-0.94 (-1.44 to -0.44)	-0.07 (-1.23 to - 1.09)	0.122
p-value <sup>1</sup>	0.012	0.001	0.901	-
Waist circumference (cm)	-	-	-	-
Baseline	93.97 ± 7.61	90.52 ± 7.69	95.62 ± 11.27	-
6 months	89.29 ± 7.72	87.85 ± 7.72	93.74 ± 8.56	0.023
Mean difference (95% CI)*	-4.68 (-6.21 to - 3.16)	-2.67 (-5.02 to -0.32)	-1.92 (-4.62 to 0.77)	0.165
p-value <sup>1</sup>	0.000	0.027	0.153	-

<sup>\* 95%</sup> CI if for mean difference between baseline and 6 months

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> p-value for differences within each group by least significant difference, Friedman test or paired-samples T test <sup>2</sup> p-value for differences between groups by simple or repeated measures analysis of variance or Kruskal-Wallis test

<b>Bibliograp</b>	hic
reference (	(Ref ID)

#### Lu et al 2011 (REF ID: 41)

- \* 95% CI if for mean difference between baseline and 6 months
- 1 p-value for differences within each group by least significant difference, Friedman test or paired-samples T test
- 2 p-value for differences between groups by simple or repeated measures analysis of variance or Kruskal-Wallis test

Changes in lipid levels: table 3 shows changes in lipid measures in all 3 treatment groups

Table 3. Changes in lipid levels

Lipid measures	SMUG	SMBG	Control	P-value <sup>2</sup>
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	-	-	-	-
Baseline	4.51 ± 0.88	4.59 ± 0.96	4.64 ± 0.76	-
6 months	4.39 ± 0.79	4.45 ± 0.85	4.63 ± 1.06	0.147
Mean difference (95% CI)*	-0.13 (-0.43 to 0.16)	-0.15 (-0.43 to 0.13)	-0.01 (-0.41 to 0.40)	0.122
p-value <sup>1</sup>	0.365	0.292	0.963	-
Triglyceride (mmol/L)	-	-	-	-
Baseline	1.78 (1.20 to 2.37)	1.54 (1.01 to 2.19)	1.38 (0.93 to 2.47)	0.531
6 months	1.39 (1.06 to 1.95)	1.22 (1.01 to 1.74)	1.15 (0.88 to 2.26)	0.544
p-value <sup>1</sup>	0.062	0.345	0.418	-

-1.9 (-2.

Quality of life: table 4 shows changes in quality of life scores across the 3 treatment groups

Table 4. Changes in quality of life

Quality of life (score)	SMUG	SMBG	Control	P-value <sup>2</sup>
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	-	-	•	•
Baseline	169.86 ± 25.60	174.06 ± 18.86	172.24 ± 28.84	0.750
6 months	185.08 ± 22.12	187.47 ± 22.27	176.28 ± 27.37	0.184
Mean difference (95% CI)*	15.22 (4.92 to 25.53)	13.41 (6.11 to 20.72)	4.04 (-2.49 to 10.57)	0.140
p-value <sup>1</sup>	0.005	0.001	0.214	-

<sup>\* 95%</sup> CI if for mean difference between baseline and 6 months

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> p-value for differences within each group by least significant difference, Friedman test or paired-samples T test <sup>2</sup> p-value for differences between groups by simple or repeated measures analysis of variance or Kruskal-Wallis test

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Lu et al 2011 (REF ID: 41)	
	* 95% CI if for mean difference between baseline and 6 months <sup>1</sup> p-value for differences within each group by least significant difference, Friedman test or paired-samples T test <sup>2</sup> p-value for differences between groups by simple or repeated measures analysis of variance or Kruskal-Wallis test	-1.9 (-2.
	Adverse events: Few patients reported hypoglycaemic events during the trial, with 2 patients in SMUG group, 1 in SMBG group and 2 in the control group (exact X² test, p=0.782). None of the five patients experienced more than grade 3 of hypoglycaemia.  Microvascular and macrovascular complication: not reported  Subgroup analyses: N/A	
Authors' conclusion	Study suggests that SMUG has comparable efficacy on glycaemic control, and facilitates better compliance than SMBG, without influencing the quality of life of risk of hypoglycaemia.	
Source of funding	Part of the Key program of Jiangsu National Science Foundation and was funded by the TANITA corporation, Tokyo Japan.	
Comments	Randomly generated allocation code from computer programme was used. During the trial a total of 6 different classes of oral glucose-lowering drugs were prescribed to patients, with high rates of utilisation for metformin, $\alpha$ -glucosidase inhibitors and sulfonylureas. Each class of drug was evenly distributed between the groups, either at baseline or at the final visit. No statistical difference between groups was found in the proportions of patients receiving pharmacological adjustments during follow-up (p=0.184). However, after adjustments of hypoglycaemic drugs, there was a difference in the use of combination therapy between the groups. At the end of the trial, none of the control group was prescribes a combination of three kinds of drugs, compared with 30.6% in the SMUG group and 14.7% in SMBG group (exact X²-test, p=0.004). 70.6% of the three-drug combination therapy combined metformin, $\alpha$ -glucosidase inhibitors and sulfonylureas.	

Evidence table 3:	(Wing et al 1986)
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Wing et al 1986 (REF ID: 1287)
Study type & aim	Randomised controlled trial evaluating the effect of adding self-monitoring of blood glucose levels to a weight control program for people with type 2 diabetes
Number and characteristics of patients	<b>Total number of patients:</b> 50 patients with non-insulin dependent diabetes and overweight <b>Inclusion criteria:</b> between the ages of 35 and 65 years, 20% or more above ideal weight for height on the basis of metropolitan Life insurance norms, use of oral hypoglycaemic medication or insulin for control of blood glucose and development of diabetes after the age of 30 years. <b>Exclusion criteria:</b> patients with prior experience with home monitoring of blood glucose were excluded from the study
	Patient characteristics: There were no significant differences between treatment groups in baseline characteristics. The average weight was 98.2kg and 63.6% above the ideal weight for height. Pre-treatment fasting blood glucose averaged 210.1 mg/dl and average Hba1c was 10.5%.

administered their morning dose of insulin after blood glucose samples had been taken.  Definitions: Percentage overweight was calculated by comparing the patient's weight to ideal weight for height specified in the metropolitan Life insurance norms. BMI was calculated as kg/m².  Primary outcome measures: No explicit differentiation between primary and secondary outcomes (see below for all outcomes)  Secondary outcome measures: outcomes included physiologic assessments of BG levels, weight, BP, total cholesterol and triglyceride levels, C-peptide levels, compliance with weight control and compliance with SMBG using diaries and self-reported depression (using Beck depression inventory) at weeks 0, 12 and 62  Other outcome measures: N/A	Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Wing et al 1986 (REF ID: 1287			
Characteristic   Weight control   SMBG		Table 1. Baseline character	istics of included patients (N=	=50)	
Males (%)				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Using insulin (%)		Number	25	25	
Monitoring information and definitions:  Monitoring: Physiologic assessments were conducted during the week preceding the start of the program and were repeated at more mation and definitions:  Monitoring: Physiologic assessments were conducted during the week preceding the start of the program and were repeated at mere administered their morning dose of insulin after blood glucose samples had been taken.  Definitions: Percentage overweight was calculated by comparing the patient's weight to ideal weight for height specified in the metropolitan Life insurance norms. BMI was calculated as kg/m².  Primary outcome measures: No explicit differentiation between primary and secondary outcomes (see below for all outcomes)  Secondary outcome measures: No explicit differentiation between primary and secondary outcomes (see below for all outcomes)  Secondary outcome measures: outcomes included physiologic assessments of BG levels, weight, BP, total cholesterol and triglyceride levels, C-peptide levels, compliance with weight control and compliance with SMBG using diaries and self-reported depression (using Beck depression inventory) at weeks 0, 12 and 62  Other outcome measures: N/A  Intervention type: treatment including SMBG and focusing on weight-blood glucose relationship Instructions: taught to self-monitor and encouraged to keep their blood glucose within normal levels (60 to 120mg/dl) by adjusting their caloric intake and expenditure.  Frequency: provided with enough supplies to complete 5 fasting blood glucose measurements and 2 pre- and post-prandial measurements per week. After week 12, the monitoring regime was reduced to 5 fasting measurements per week. Free self-monitoring supplied were provided through week 37 and then patients were encouraged to purchase supplies on their own.  Feedback: changes to insulin or oral medication were not made by patients but were made at weekly treatment meetings on the basis of readings and following the same algorithm as used for the weight control group.  Education: Patient		Males (%)	20	24	
Subgroup analyses: No pre-specified or post-hoc subgroup analyses reported  Monitoring information and definitions  Monitoring: Physiologic assessments were conducted during the week preceding the start of the program and were repeated at weeks 12 and 62. Those taking oral hypoglycaemic medication omitted their evening and morning dose and those taking insulin addefinitions  Definitions: Percentage overweight was calculated by comparing the patient's weight to ideal weight for height specified in the metropolitan Life insurance norms. BMI was calculated as kg/m².  Primary outcome measures: No explicit differentiation between primary and secondary outcomes (see below for all outcomes)  Secondary outcome measures: outcomes included physiologic assessments of BG levels, weight, BP, total cholesterol and triglyceride levels, C-peptide levels, compliance with weight control and compliance with SMBG using diaries and self-reported depression (using Beck depression inventory) at weeks 0, 12 and 62  Other outcome measures: N/A  Intervention type: treatment including SMBG and focusing on weight-blood glucose relationship  Instructions: taught to self-monitor and encouraged to keep their blood glucose within normal levels (60 to 120mg/dl) by adjusting their caloric intake and expenditure.  Frequency: provided with enough supplies to complete 5 fasting blood glucose measurements and 2 pre- and post-prandial measurements per week. After week 12, the monitoring regime was reduced to 5 fasting measurements per week. Free self-monitoring supplied were provided through week 37 and then patients were encouraged to purchase supplies on their own.  Feedback: changes to insulin or oral medication were not made by patients but were made at weekly treatment meetings on the basis of readings and following the same algorithm as used for the weight control group.  Education: Patients in both groups attended weekly meetings for 12 weeks, monthly meetings for the next 6 months, and follow up sessions at 9 and 12 months. Each meeting cons		Using insulin (%)	48	52	
Monitoring information and definitions  Monitoring: Physiologic assessments were conducted during the week preceding the start of the program and were repeated at weeks 12 and 62. Those taking oral hypoglycaemic medication omitted their evening and morning dose and those taking insulin administered their morning dose of insulin after blood glucose samples had been taken.  Definitions: Percentage overweight was calculated by comparing the patient's weight to ideal weight for height specified in the metropolitan Life insurance norms. BMI was calculated as kg/m².  Primary outcome measures: No explicit differentiation between primary and secondary outcomes (see below for all outcomes)  Secondary outcome measures: outcomes included physiologic assessments of BG levels, weight, BP, total cholesterol and triglyceride levels, C-peptide levels, compliance with weight control and compliance with SMBG using diaries and self-reported depression (using Beck depression inventory) at weeks 0, 12 and 62  Other outcome measures: N/A  Intervention type: treatment including SMBG and focusing on weight-blood glucose relationship Instructions: taught to self-monitor and encouraged to keep their blood glucose within normal levels (60 to 120mg/dl) by adjusting their caloric intake and expenditure.  Frequency: provided with enough supplies to complete 5 fasting blood glucose measurements and 2 pre- and post-prandial measurements per week. After week 12, the monitoring regime was reduced to 5 fasting measurements per week. Free self-monitoring supplied were provided through week 37 and then patients were encouraged to purchase supplies on their own.  Feedback: changes to insulin or oral medication were not made by patients but were made at weekly treatment meetings on the basis of readings and following the same algorithm as used for the weight control group.  Education: Patients in both groups attended weekly meetings for 12 weeks, monthly meetings for the next 6 months, and follow up sessions at 9 and 12 months. Each meeting consiste		Age (years)	54.0	53.5	
weeks 12 and 62. Those taking oral hypoglycaemic medication omitted their evening and morning dose and those taking insulin administered their morning dose of insulin after blood glucose samples had been taken.  Definitions: Percentage overweight was calculated by comparing the patient's weight to ideal weight for height specified in the metropolitan Life insurance norms. BMI was calculated as kg/m².  Primary outcome measures: No explicit differentiation between primary and secondary outcomes (see below for all outcomes)  Secondary outcome measures: outcomes included physiologic assessments of BG levels, weight, BP, total cholesterol and triglyceride levels, C-peptide levels, compliance with weight control and compliance with SMBG using diaries and self-reported depression (using Beck depression inventory) at weeks 0, 12 and 62  Other outcome measures: N/A  Intervention type: treatment including SMBG and focusing on weight-blood glucose relationship Instructions: taught to self-monitor and encouraged to keep their blood glucose within normal levels (60 to 120mg/dl) by adjusting their caloric intake and expenditure.  Frequency: provided with enough supplies to complete 5 fasting blood glucose measurements and 2 pre- and post-prandial measurements per week. After week 12, the monitoring regime was reduced to 5 fasting measurements per week. Free self-monitoring supplied were provided through week 37 and then patients were encouraged to purchase supplies on their own.  Feedback: changes to insulin or oral medication were not made by patients but were made at weekly treatment meetings on the basis of readings and following the same algorithm as used for the weight control group.  Education: Patients in both groups attended weekly meetings for 12 weeks, monthly meetings for the next 6 months, and follow up sessions at 9 and 12 months. Each meeting consisted of a weigh in, blood glucose measurement and discussion of behaviour modification for weight control. Patients in the monitoring group were taught to observe rel		Subgroup analyses: No pre-sp	pecified or post-hoc subgroup analy	ses reported	
Instructions: taught to self-monitor and encouraged to keep their blood glucose within normal levels (60 to 120mg/dl) by adjusting their caloric intake and expenditure.  Frequency: provided with enough supplies to complete 5 fasting blood glucose measurements and 2 pre- and post-prandial measurements per week. After week 12, the monitoring regime was reduced to 5 fasting measurements per week. Free self-monitoring supplied were provided through week 37 and then patients were encouraged to purchase supplies on their own.  Feedback: changes to insulin or oral medication were not made by patients but were made at weekly treatment meetings on the basis of readings and following the same algorithm as used for the weight control group.  Education: Patients in both groups attended weekly meetings for 12 weeks, monthly meetings for the next 6 months, and follow up sessions at 9 and 12 months. Each meeting consisted of a weigh in, blood glucose measurement and discussion of behaviour modification for weight control. Patients in the monitoring group were taught to observe relationships between their eating and exercise behaviours, their weight and their blood glucose levels and to make changes in their diet and exercise if blood glucose level were elevated. Both groups were given a standard behavioural weight control program (see below).  Diary: see below	information and definitions	weeks 12 and 62. Those taking administered their morning dose Definitions: Percentage overwemetropolitan Life insurance normary outcome measures: Secondary outcome measure triglyceride levels, C-peptide levels depression (using Beck depression)	oral hypoglycaemic medication ome of insulin after blood glucose same of insulin after blood glucose same of insulin after blood glucose	itted their evening and mo ples had been taken. the patient's weight to idea rimary and secondary out ssessments of BG levels, and compliance with SME	rning dose and those taking insulin al weight for height specified in the comes (see below for all outcomes) weight, BP, total cholesterol and
	Intervention	Instructions: taught to self-more their caloric intake and expendit Frequency: provided with enough measurements per week. After monitoring supplied were provided Feedback: changes to insulin or basis of readings and following: Education: Patients in both grosessions at 9 and 12 months. Emodification for weight control. I exercise behaviours, their weight were elevated. Both groups were	nitor and encouraged to keep their bure.  gh supplies to complete 5 fasting bweek 12, the monitoring regime was led through week 37 and then patier oral medication were not made by the same algorithm as used for the ups attended weekly meetings for a fach meeting consisted of a weigh in Patients in the monitoring group went and their blood glucose levels and	blood glucose within normal lood glucose measurements reduced to 5 fasting meants were encouraged to pure patients but were made a weight control group. It weeks, monthly meeting the plood glucose measurer treaught to observe relation to make changes in their	al levels (60 to 120mg/dl) by adjusting at and 2 pre- and post-prandial asurements per week. Free self-urchase supplies on their own. At weekly treatment meetings on the ags for the next 6 months, and follow upment and discussion of behaviour onships between their eating and rediet and exercise if blood glucose levels
	Comparator		havioural weight control		

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Wing et al 1986 (REF ID: 1287)								
	Instructions: given a daily calorie goal, based on pre-treatment weight, with a minimum recommended intake of 1000 calories per day being prescribed. The behavioural weight control group focused on weight reduction as the goal of therapy. Financial contracts were refunded contingent on weight loss, with \$2 refunded at each treatment meeting for changes in eating and exercise habits. After the fourth week, patients were required to demonstrate an improvement in blood glucose control of 10% from the previous week or maintain their level within the normal range (60 to 120mg/dl) to receive the \$2 refund.  Frequency: N/A  Feedback: (see education below)								
	Education: Specific goals were introduced later to help limit foods high in sugar and to increase the intake of high fibre food Walking was encouraged as a form of exercise, and gradually increasing goals for caloric expenditure were prescribed. Beh modification techniques for reducing the stimuli associated with eating, slowing the act of eating pre-planning for holidays ar social support were presented.								
	<b>Diary:</b> Calorie books and self-monitor to stay within the calorie goal. Daily spatients sent monthly weight reports	self-report diaries were a							
Length of follow	Intervention: 52 weeks								
ир	Follow-up: 62 weeks								
Location	USA								
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Primary outcome measures (Change in blood glucose control): Table 1 shows changes in blood glucose in both treatment groups								
		Table 1. Changes in blood glucose measures							
	Table 1. Changes in blood glud	ose measures							
	Table 1. Changes in blood gluc Blood glucose measure	weight control	SMBG	P-value (0-12 weeks)*	P-value (0-62 weeks)*				
			SMBG (n=22)	P-value (0-12 weeks)* <0.06	P-value (0-62 weeks)*				
	Blood glucose measure	Weight control		` '	,				
	Blood glucose measure Fasting blood glucose (mg/dl)	Weight control (n=22)	(n=22)	` '	,				
	Blood glucose measure Fasting blood glucose (mg/dl) Week 0	Weight control (n=22) 207.5 ± 70.5	(n=22) 209.2 ± 69.7	` '	,				
	Blood glucose measure Fasting blood glucose (mg/dl) Week 0 Week 12	Weight control (n=22) 207.5 ± 70.5 190.7 ± 65.0	(n=22) 209.2 ± 69.7 197.3 ± 50.0	` '	,				
	Blood glucose measure Fasting blood glucose (mg/dl) Week 0 Week 12 Week 62	Weight control (n=22) 207.5 ± 70.5 190.7 ± 65.0 210.2 ± 73.1	$(n=22)$ $209.2 \pm 69.7$ $197.3 \pm 50.0$ $216.2 \pm 58.7$	<0.06 - - -	NS				
	Blood glucose measure Fasting blood glucose (mg/dl) Week 0 Week 12 Week 62 Hba1c level (%)	Weight control (n=22) 207.5 ± 70.5 190.7 ± 65.0 210.2 ± 73.1 (n=21)	$\begin{array}{c} (\text{n=}22) \\ 209.2 \pm 69.7 \\ 197.3 \pm 50.0 \\ 216.2 \pm 58.7 \\ (\text{n=}22) \end{array}$	<0.06 - - -	NS				
	Blood glucose measure Fasting blood glucose (mg/dl) Week 0 Week 12 Week 62 Hba1c level (%) Week 0	Weight control $(n=22)$ $207.5 \pm 70.5$ $190.7 \pm 65.0$ $210.2 \pm 73.1$ $(n=21)$ $10.86 \pm 2.00$	$(n=22)$ $209.2 \pm 69.7$ $197.3 \pm 50.0$ $216.2 \pm 58.7$ $(n=22)$ $10.19 \pm 2.51$	<0.06 - - -	NS				

Wing et al 1986 (REF ID: 1287)

Other outcome measures (Changes in weight or BMI): Table 2 shows changes in all weight measures in both treatment groups

Table 2. Changes in weight measures

Weight measures	Weight control	SMBG	P-value (0-12 weeks)*	P-value (0-62 weeks)*
Weight (kg)	(n=22)	(n=23)	<0.001	<0.001
Week 0	96.35 ± 23.57	99.02 ± 16.13	-	-
Week 12	89.53 ± 21.75	93.19 ± 15.25	-	-
Week 62	88.11 ± 17.79	94.92 ± 16.50	-	-
Percent overweight (%)	(n=22)	(n=23)	<0.001	<0.001
Week 0	61.1 ± 28.1	66.8 ± 32.1	-	-
Week 12	50.0 ± 28.6	56.8 ± 29.9	-	-
Week 62	48.9 ± 26.6	59.9 ± 33.3	-	-

Changes in lipid levels and BP: Changes in serum lipid levels and blood pressure did not differ between the weight control and SMBG groups. Triglyceride levels decreased significantly over the course of the program from 219.5 mg/dl before treatment to 175.3 mg/dl at 62 weeks (p<0.01), but changes in total cholesterol levels (213.2 mg/dl before treatment; 216.4 mg/dl at 62 weeks) and HDL levels (42.8 before treatment and 44.1 mg/dl at 62 weeks) were not significant. Although no changes were observed for diastolic BP (80.9mmHg before treatment vs. 80.8mmHg at 62 weeks), systolic BP improved significantly over the course of the study (140.51 mmHg vs. 132.37 mmHg, p<0.01)

**Medication changes:** Table 3 shows the percentage of patients who had reductions in insulin or oral hypoglycaemic medications over the course of the study. Chi squared analyses indicated that the proportion of patients with decreases in medication was not significantly different for the two treatment groups.

Table 3. Changes in medication use

Weight measures	Weight control	SMBG	Overall
Weeks 0 to 12	-	-	-
Oral agents	75	67	71
Insulin	92	100	96

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Wing et al 1986 (REF ID: 1287)						
	Weeks 0 to 62	-	-	-			
	Oral agents	64	73	68			
	Insulin	64	83	74			
	Quality of life: There were significant improvements in mood over the course of the program. Beck scores decreased significantly from pre-treatment (mean 11.8) to 12 weeks (mean 6.6). Although there was a tendency for self-reported depression to increase over the following year (mean 9.5 at 1 year) but remained significantly lower than pre-treatment values (p<0.05). ANOVA indicated that the mood changes were not significantly different for patients in the weight control group compared to those in SMBG group.  Compliance: High levels of compliance was defined as monitoring of calories for more than 75% of the weeks and the recording of over 75% of the chemstrips plus detection of more than 75% of the marked items. Weight losses for pre-treatment to 12 months was 11.2kg vs10.7kg for highly compliant patients in weight control vs. SMBG groups respectively and -4.9kg and -3.0kg for poorly compliant patients. Similarly, 16/18 highly compliant patients had reduction in insulin or oral medication compared with 16/27 poorly compliant patients (p<0.05). There were no differences between patients who were compliant with SMBG or weight control.  Adverse events: not reported  Microvascular and macrovascular complication: not reported  Subgroup analyses: for compliance see above						
Authors' conclusion	Behavioural weight control used in the the addition of self-monitoring improve state.						
Source of funding	Not reported						
Comments	Patients were required to obtain their properties for meeting treatment contingencies. From within blocks to one of the two trepatients	Patients were blocked	according to sex and p	percent overweight and w	vere randomly assigned		

#### Evidence table 4: Lim et al 2011)

= viaciioo tabio ii	
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Lim et al 2011 (REF ID: 80)
Study type & aim	Three arm RCT to examine the effectiveness of a u-healthcare service in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes
Number and characteristics of	<b>Total number of patients:</b> 154 (51 in u-healthcare, 51 in SMBG and 52 in control) were randomised. 49, 47 and 48 in the u-healthcare, SMBG and control groups completed the study
patients	Inclusion criteria: patient ≥60 years diagnosed with type 2 diabetes for at least one year and with Hba1c levels between 6.5 and 10.5% Exclusion criteria: severe diabetes complications (e.g. diabetic foot), liver dysfunction, or renal dysfunction or other medical

### Lim et al 2011 (REF ID: 80)

problems that could affect study results or trial participation

**Patient characteristics:** No significant differences were noted in anthropometry or biochemical parameters including Hba1c and prescriptions of antidiabetics agents among the three groups

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of included patients (N=154)

Characteristic	U-healthcare group (n=51)	SMBG group (n=51)	Control (n=52)	P-value
Age, years	67.2 (4.1)	67.2 (4.4)	68.1 (5.5)	0.542
Sex, male/female	23/27	22/28	19/31	0.706
Diabetes duration, years	14.1 (10.1)	15.4 (8.3)	15.8 (10.7)	0.695
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	24.7 (2.3)	24.9 (3.0)	25.4 (3.3)	0.408
Systolic blood pressure, mmHg	129.8 (18.2)	127.9 (16.1)	129.2 (17.1)	0.856
Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg	73.2 (10.3)	72.7 (10.3)	74.2 (11.1)	0.778
FPG, mg/dl	137.3 (34.4)	137.8 (40.1)	141.6 (43.0)	0.828
Postprandial 2-h glucose, mg/dl	242.5 (64.7)	242.6 (50.1)	246.3 (55.7)	0.982
Hba1c (%)	7.8 (1.0)	7.9 (0.9)	7.9 (0.8)	0.884
Total cholesterol, mg/dl	173.7 (34.7)	175.3 (28.2)	169.1 (30.0)	0.602
Triglyceride, mg/dl	144.44 (53.0)	151.5 (66.2)	164.2 (84.6)	0.685
HDL cholesterol, mg/dl	49.1 (9.9)	48.0 (10.4)	51.9 (16.4)	0.640
LDL cholesterol, mg/dl	110.4 (28.6)	92.9 (22.9)	101.5 (25.3)	0.104
Aspartate aminotransferase, IU/L	20.9 (6.8)	22.3 (9.1)	22.3 (8.5)	0.644
Creatinine, mg/dL	1.06 (0.19)	1.11 (0.34)	1.16 (0.26)	0.211
Medication for glucose control	-	-	-	-
Sulfonylurea, n (%)	29 (58)	24 (56)	28 (48)	0.317
Metformin, n (%)	34 (68)	30 (65.2)	28 (56)	0.216
Thiazolidinedione, n (%)	4 (8)	8 (16)	3 (6)	0.740
DPP-4, n (%)	6 (12)	11 (22)	6 (12)	0.999
Alpha glucosidase inhibitor, n (%)	9 (18)	13 (26)	12 (22.7)	0.475

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Lim et al 2011 (REF ID: 80)								
	Insulin, n (%) 12 (24)	12 (24)	19 (38)	0.123					
	Data are mean (SD) or n (%)	Data are mean (SD) or n (%)							
	Subgroup analyses: No pre-specified or post-hoc subgroup analyses reported								
Monitoring information and definitions	<b>Monitoring:</b> All patients visited the outpatient clin blood sample.	·	iew conducted by their	physician and provided a					
	<b>Definitions:</b> see outcome measure for definitions	,, ,,							
	Primary outcome measures: proportion of patie	9	,, ,,						
	coexisting with capillary BG levels <3.5mmol/L. N								
Intervention	GROUP1: U-healthcare								
	<b>Intervention type:</b> U-healthcare group (have a wired phone connected glucometer plus mobile phone, in which glucometer data were technically transmitted by wired telephone through public switched telephone network (PSTN)								
	<b>Instructions:</b> educated to use PSTN-connected glucometer to measure BG levels and to start short message service (SMS) on their mobile phone to receive messages from the CDSS rule engine server. Buttons were larger and so appropriate for use with elderly								
	adults. Patients were given a lead-in period to ensure they were able to fully apply the system.  Frequency: advised to measure their BG levels at least 8 times a week (≥3 at fasting, ≥3 postprandial and ≥2 bed-times)								
	<b>Feedback:</b> All patients visited the outpatient clinic every 3 months for an interview conducted by their physician and provided a blood sample								
	<b>Education:</b> pertinent diabetes education including a therapeutic lifestyle change program to standardise every patient's education level and practice of diabetes management. A specialist diabetes management team consisting of diabetologists, nurses, dietitians and exercise trainers organised and directed patient education in the U-healthcare group. In this group additional education was provided to help patients with usage and message interpretation.								
	Diary: not reported								
	GROUP 2: SMBG								
	Intervention type: SMBG group								
	Instructions: see frequency below	annum thair DO lavala at larat (	) time as a week (>0 =+ f	antina >0 mantagandial and >0					
	Frequency: the SMBG group were advised to m bed-times)	easure their bg levels at least t	o times a week (≥3 at f	asting, ≥3 postprandial and ≥2					
	Feedback: see U-healthcare group	a a tharanautia lifaatula ahaara	nunamento atameteralia						
	Education: pertinent diabetes education including	g a therapeutic lifestyle change	program to standardis	se every patient's education					

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Lim et al 2011 (REF ID: 80)									
, i	level and practice of o	liabetes mar	agement.							
	Diary: not reported	4F 7								
Comparator	Intervention type: ro Instructions: After ed their current medical of Frequency: N/A Feedback: see U-hea Education: as SMBG Diary: not reported	ducation, pat care althcare grou	ients in the c		o did not receiv	e an intervention	on and wer	e advised to	follow-up ac	ccording to
Length of follow up	Intervention: 6 months Follow-up: 6 months	S								
Location	Korea									
measures and effect sizes	The proportion of pati groups, although there control. The proportio significantly higher that Table 1. Changes i	e was a trender of patients an in the SM	d showing a that achieve BG group (2:	greater proped Hba1c <7 3.4%) or cor	oortion of the u- % without hypo ntrol group (14 <sup>4</sup> ne and 6 mor	healthcare gro oglycaemia wa %, vs. SMBG p	oup achieve s 30.6% in	ed Hba1c <7 the u-health d vs. control	% compared care group, p=0.019)	l with which was
	Outcome	U-he	ealthcare (n	=49)	S	MBG (n=47)		Control (n=48)		
		Baseline	6 months	P-value	Baseline	6 months	P-value	baseline	6 months	P-value
	Weight, kg	64.3 (8.5)	63.5 (8.5)	0.001	66.8 (11.5)	66.4 (11.6)	0.310	63.6 (9.9)	64.2 (9.4)	0.074
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	24.7 (2.4)	24.4 (2.5)	0.009	25.1 (2.9)	25.0 (3.2)	0.303	25.5 (3.5)	25.8 (3.4)	0.005
	FPG, mg/dl	137.3 (32.7)	124.3 (29.7)	0.047	137.6 (40.5)	132.2 (15.6)	0.403	146.8 (48.8)	152.6 (58.0)	0.388
	Postprandial 2-h glucose, mg/dl	250.1(68. 0)	210.1 (49.0)	0.007	239.3 (42.5)	229.80 (65.2)	0.592	259.1 (64.5)	291.1 (77.9)	0.212
	Total cholesterol, mg/dl	174.8 (36.0)	171.8 (34.0)	0.490	177.2 (27.1)	183.4 (28.7)	0.242	169.1 (30.0)	174.1 (30.0)	0.168
	Triglyceride, mg/dl	150.1 (58.2)	138.8 (56.5)	0.278	175.8 (71.7)	149.9 (85.0)	0.275	135.2 (45.5)	130.1 (69.5)	0.911

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Lim et al 2011 (REF	ID: 80)								
	HDL cholesterol, mg/dl	51.6 (11.8)	49.7 (8.1)	0.243	43.8 (9.2)	46.2 (10.2)	0.421	43.8 (10.9)	45.0 (9.4)	0.750
	LDL cholesterol, mg/dl	115.1 (27.8)	95.6 (26.4)	0.038	92.8 (23.7)	100.8 (31.3)	0.302	109.8 (20.5)	93.2 (15.0)	0.099
	Frequency of SMBG, n/week	3.2 (3.5)	10.5 (5.1)	<0.001	3.1 (2.7)	8.2 (4.2)	<0.001	2.7 (4.4)	2.4 (3.3)	0.664
	Data are mean (SD)	or n (%)								
	Other outcome measure	•				_	_		eatment grou	ps
	Changes in lipid leve		Table 2 show	vs changes	in lipid measur	es in all treatm	ent groups	3		
	Quality of life: not re	-								
	Adverse events: Dur in the u-healthcare gr In contrast major and results were obtained Microvascular and n Subgroup analyses:	oup (32.2%) nocturnal hy with numbe nacrovascu	than in the S poglycaemia r of hypoglyc	SMBG group was smalle aemic event	(24.5%) or color or in the u-healt is	ntrol group (21	.8%), but t	his was not	statistically si	gnificant.
Authors' conclusion	The u-healthcare syst	em helped o	liabetic patie	nts achieve	target glycaem	ic control with	less hypog	llycaemia		
Source of funding	Supported by a grant from the SNUBH and						ılth, Welfar	e & Family a	affairs, a rese	arch grant
Comments	Block randomisation (	used to assig	n each patie	nt to one of	three groups					

### Evidence table 5: (Del Prato trial et al 2012 ELEONOR study)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Del Prato et al 2012-ELEONOR study (REF ID: 24)
Study type & aim	To compare telecare and conventional SMBG for titrating the addition of one bolus injection of insulin glulisine in patients with type 2 diabetes uncontrolled on oral hypoglycaemic agents for ≥3 months who were first titrated with basal insulin glargine
Number and characteristics of	<b>Total number of patients:</b> 291 patients were randomised (142 in telecare and 149 in SMBG). The ITT population comprised of 241 patients and of theses 238 completed the study (114 in telecare and 124 in SMBG)
patients	<b>Inclusion criteria:</b> men and women, 35-70 years old with BMI >25kg/m <sup>2</sup> with type 2 diabetes for at least 1 year, treated with OHAs or metformin at maximal doses for at least 3 months and Hba1c 7.5 to 11.0% were eligible.
	<b>Exclusion criteria:</b> history of two or more severe hypoglycaemic episodes within the past 3 months or history of hypoglycaemic unawareness, active diabetic retinopathy, impaired renal or liver function, hypersensitivity to insulin, insulin analogues or metformin,

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2015

#### Del Prato et al 2012-ELEONOR study (REF ID: 24)

mental conditions rendering the subject unable to understand the nature, scope or consequences of the study or any clinically significant major organ system disease, pregnant or lactating women.

Patient characteristics: these were comparable at baseline (see table 1). In the telecare group 76/115 patients received full use of the telecare system, defied as patients transmitting data with telecare and receiving an answer from an investigator. Overall 14 patients in the telecare group and 13 in the conventional SMBG group were withdrawn from the study because of FPG>7mmol/L at the end of titration.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of included patients (N=241)

Characteristic	Telecare (n=115)	Conventional SMBG (n=126)
Sex (n, %)	-	-
Males	60 (52)	66 (52)
Females	55 (48)	60 (48)
Age (years)	57.9 ± 8.7	58.7 ± 7.9
Weight (Kg)	80.5 ± 14.1	82.5 ± 15.2
BMI (kg/m2)	30.0 ± 4.3	30.3 ± 4.7
Number of daily meals	$3.4 \pm 0.8$	$3.5 \pm 0.9$
Calorie intake	1620 ± 259	1590 ± 223
Diabetes duration	10.5 ± 6.7	11.3 ± 6.9
Hba1c (%)	8.83 ± 0.94	8.89 ± 0.95
Combination therapy	101 (87.8)	114 (90.5)
Metformin (n, %)	76 (66)	69 (55)
Monotherapy	14 (12)	12 (10)
Sulfonylureas	38 (33)	32 (25)
Thiazolidinediones	10 (9)	12 (10)
Insulin (n, %)	9 (8)	5 (4)
Data are mean ± SD or n (%)		

Subgroup analyses: No pre-specified or post-hoc subgroup analyses reported

Monitoring information and definitions

Monitoring: there was a 2-4 week run-in period, during which any anti-diabetes medication, with the exception of metformin, was discontinued. Metformin was up-titrated in all patients to 2g/day (1g twice daily) until study completion. The treatment phase began when a patient achieved FPG ≤7mmol/L after 8, 12 or 16 weeks of titration. Patients were withdrawn from the study if FPG remained

Bibliographic	
reference (Ref ID)	Del Prato et al 2012-ELEONOR study (REF ID: 24)
	>7mmol/L after 16 weeks. There were 7 visits, the first at week one, the second at week 4 (start of titration), the third at week 20 (the start of treatment), the fourth at week 24 (for dose adjustment id required in the SMBG group), the fifth at week 32 (8 weeks of treatment), the sixth at week 44 (end of treatment) and the seventh at week 46 (end of follow-up).  Definitions:
	<b>Primary outcome measures:</b> change in Hba1c from baseline to end of treatment phase (visits 5) between the two treatment groups. <b>Secondary outcome measures:</b> safety analyses included the frequency of hypoglycaemia, changes in SMBG 6 or 8-point glycaemic profiles, insulin dose and body weight from baseline
	Other outcome measures:
Intervention	<b>Intervention type:</b> Glucobeep telecare system (transforms glucose levels into tones that are transmitted by phone from the patient's home to a centralised server, from which the results are made available to the investigators computer.) <b>Instructions:</b> see education
	<b>Frequency:</b> In weeks 9-12 and 21-24 of treatment phase, all patients were asked to perform 2 eight point (pre- and post-breakfast, lunch, and supper and at 11pm and 3am) glucose profiles on 2 consecutive weekdays. Eight point glycaemic profiles at visits 2 and 3 were calculated using available data from the patient's glucometer. Patients were required to test glucose whenever they had symptoms related to hypoglycaemia and to record their blood glucose readings.
	<b>Feedback:</b> dose adjustments were discussed at each visit. The investigator can also transmit information (e.g. dose titration) to the centralised server, which is returned to the patient by phone.
	<b>Education:</b> Each patient underwent an education program designed to review either conventional capillary blood glucose reading by standard glucometer or features and mode of use of the Glucobeep telecare system. <b>Diary:</b> BG levels were recorded in diary
Comparator	Intervention type: standard SMBG using standard glucometer
Comparator	Instructions: not reported
	Frequency: as telecare group
	Feedback: dose adjustments discussed at each visit.
	Diary: BG values recorded in a diary
Length of follow	Intervention: 24 weeks
up	Follow-up: 46 weeks
Location	Italy
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Primary outcome measures (Change in blood glucose control): the change in Hba1c from baseline to end of treatment phase was significant for both telecare (adjusted mean ± SE change from baseline, -0.7 ± 0.06%, p<0.0001) and conventional SMBG (adjusted mean ± SE change from baseline, -0.7 ± 0.06%, p<0.0001), with no difference between groups (point estimate 0.07%, 95% CI -0.10 to 0.25, p=0.40). Almost identical results were obtained from the PP population. The proportion of patient's achieving target Hba1c ≤7% was similar in telecare (45.2%) and SMBG (54.8%). Graphs in the full paper also show time course of Hba1c, FPG and insulin at each visit and eight point glycaemic profile at each visit for the IIT population. Although glargine titration was very effective in

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2015

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Del Prato et al 2012-ELEONOR study (REF ID: 24)
	reducing FPG, blood glucose levels increased progressively throughout the day to achieve the highest value at bedtime. Adding one injection of glulisine at the time of the meal with the largest glucose excursion resulted in flattening of the blood glucose profile. Eight point profiles demonstrated similar glycaemic values for both treatment groups, regardless of whether the prandial injection was at breakfast, lunch or supper. Approximately 15% of each group did not inject glulisine.
	Other outcome measures (Changes in weight or BMI): There was no change in body weight from baseline to end-point (telecare $0.4 \pm 3.4 \text{kg}$ , SMBG $0.4 \pm 5.1 \text{kg}$ ) with no difference between treatment groups.
	Changes in lipid levels: not reported
	Quality of life: not reported
	<b>Insulin dose:</b> At the end of the treatment phase, both the telecare and SMBG groups had received similar doses of glulisine (9.5 ± 8.3 vs. 9.5 ± 6.8 U respectively and glargine (28.6 ± 17.8 vs. 27.8 ± 16.0 U respectively)
	<b>Adverse events:</b> The incidence (events per patient year) of total symptomatic hypoglycaemia (telecare 1.89 and SMBG 1.76), severe hypoglycaemia (telecare 0.04 and SMBG 0.02) and severe nocturnal hypoglycaemia (telecare 0.02 and SMBG 0.01) was low and comparable between the two treatment groups.
	Microvascular and macrovascular complication: not reported
	Subgroup analyses: N/A
Authors' conclusion	In conclusion, the telecare system did not provide an advantage in glycaemic control over conventional monitoring in the study population. Patient adding one dose of glulisine at the meal with the highest postprandial plasma glucose excursion to titrated basal glargine achieved comparable improvements in glycaemic control irrespective of traditional or telecare blood glucose monitoring.
Source of funding	Study was supported by Sanofi-Aventis
Comments	N/A

Evidence table 6:	vigersky et al 2012)

Diblicancebie	
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Vigersky et al 2012 (REF ID: 27)
Study type & aim	To evaluate the utility of real time continuous glucose monitoring (RT-CGM) in people with T2DM on a variety of treatment modalities except prandial insulin.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 100 participants Inclusion criteria: see evidence table for Ehrhardt (2011) for details Exclusion criteria: see evidence table for Ehrhardt (2011) for details Patient characteristics: see evidence table for Ehrhardt (2011) for details Subgroup analyses: No pre-specified or post-hoc subgroup analyses reported
Monitoring information and	Monitoring: see evidence table for Ehrhardt (2011) for details  Definitions: see evidence table for Ehrhardt (2011) for details

Bibliographic	
reference (Ref ID)	Vigersky et al 2012 (REF ID: 27)
definitions	Primary outcome measures: The primary outcome of the study was A1C over the course of the study
	Secondary outcome measures:
	Other outcome measures:
Intervention	Instructions: see evidence table for Ehrhardt (2011) for details. After the initial 12 weeks, the RT-CGM group continued with SMBG for the duration of the study, as recommended by their usual provider. All participants continued usual care for their type 2 diabetes and were instructed to contact their primary care provider for all treatment decisions  Frequency: see evidence table for Ehrhardt (2011) for details  Feedback: see evidence table for Ehrhardt (2011) for details  Education: see evidence table for Ehrhardt (2011) for details  Diary: see evidence table for Ehrhardt (2011) for details
Comparator	Intervention type: SMBG Instructions: After the initial 12 weeks, they performed SMBG for the duration of the study, as recommended by their usual provider.  Frequency: see evidence table for Ehrhardt (2011) for details  Feedback: see evidence table for Ehrhardt (2011) for details  Diary: see evidence table for Ehrhardt (2011) for details
Length of follow up	Intervention: 12 weeks (This article reports on the 12-week effectiveness) Follow-up: 52 weeks
Location	USA
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	<b>Primary outcome measures (Change in blood glucose control):</b> The unadjusted mean Hba1C decreased by $1.0 \pm 1.1\%$ , $1.2 \pm 1.7\%$ , $0.8 \pm 1.7\%$ , and $0.8 \pm 1.5\%$ in the RTCGM group vs. $0.5 \pm 0.8\%$ , $0.5 \pm 1.0\%$ , $0.5 \pm 1.1\%$ , and $0.2 \pm 1.3\%$ in the SMBG group at 12, 24, 38, and 52 weeks, respectively. After statistical adjustment for age, sex, baseline therapies, and whether the subject was started on insulin over the study, the rates of change in Hba1c were $1.16 \times (1/\text{time}^2)$ (P , $0.0001$ ) for the RT-CGM group and $0.51 \times (1/\text{time}^2)$ (P = $0.002$ ) for the SMBG group. In other words, the adjusted decline in Hba1c for the RT-CGM versus SMBG group was $0.9 \times 0.4\%$ from baseline to 12 weeks, $1.0 \times 0.5\%$ from baseline to 24 weeks, $1.1 \times 0.5\%$ from baseline to 38 weeks, and $1.1 \times 0.5\%$ from baseline to 52 weeks. Age, taking only oral hypoglycemia medications, insulin, noninsulin injectable medications at baseline (vs. diet and exercise), and starting insulin were significant predictors of an increase in Hba1c over time.
	When adjusted for potential confounders, subjects in the RT-CGM group who wore the sensor for at least 48 days experienced the following decline in Hba1c: 1.0% from baseline to 12 weeks, 1.2% from baseline to 24 weeks, and 1.3% from baseline to 38 and 52 weeks. By comparison, subjects in the RT-CGM group who did not wear the sensor per protocol experienced a decline in Hba1c of 0.7% at 12 weeks, with no further decline for the duration of the study. Age, sex, diabetes therapy at baseline, and starting insulin during the study were not significant in this model. In our analysis of the total number of days of RTCGM and Hba1c over the course

### Vigersky et al 2012 (REF ID: 27)

of the study, we found that for each single day of RT-CGM (a continuous variable), Hba1c declined by 0.02 (P = 0.02).

Other outcome measures (Changes in weight or BMI): Table 1 shows changes in all weight measures (also see table 2)

**Table 1. Changes in weight measures** 

		0-12 weeks		0	-52 weeks	
Weight measures	RT-CGM	SMBG	P-value	RT-CGM	SMBG	P-value
Weight loss (> -3 pounds)	20 (40)	9 (18)	0.03	23 (46)	17 (34)	0.37
No weight change	24 (48)	29 (58)	-	16 (32)	15 (30)	-
Weight gain (> +3 pounds)	6 (12)	12 (24)	-	11 (22)	18 (36)	-
Data are n (%). P values are from x <sup>2</sup> tests.						

Changes in lipid levels: table 2 shows changes in other outcome measures across 52 follow-up period

Table 2. Changes in all outcomes

Outcome	SMBG	RT-CGM	P-value
Weight (pounds)	-	-	-
Baseline*[‡]	197.3 ± 46.4	206.5 ± 35.7	0.27 [0.43]
12 weeks	196.5 ± 43.1	202.6 ± 32.3	-
52 weeks	195.3 ± 41.1	202.4 ± 34.3	-
BMI (kg/m²)	-	-	-
Baseline*[‡]	32.7 ± 7.7	31.9 ± 5.8	0.54 [0.61]
12 weeks	31.8 ± 6.2	31.3 ± 5.4	-
52 weeks	31.7 ± 6.3	31.4 ± 6.0	-
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	-	-	-
Baseline*[‡]	132.5 ± 19.3	130.8 ± 16.2	0.63 [0.14]
12 weeks	129.5 ± 18.0	129.3 ± 16.7	-
52 weeks	135.2 ± 19.1	128.5 6 17.6	-
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	-	-	-
Baseline*[‡]	77.6 ± 9.8	79.0 ± 8.9	0.52 [0.82]

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Vigersky et al 2012 (REF ID: 27)			
	12 weeks	76.2 ± 8.3	77.7 ± 11.3	-
	52 weeks	78.0 ± 10.8	78.4 ± 10.9	-
	PAID questionnaire score			
	Baseline*[‡]	23.9 ± 22.3	25.7 ± 20.8	0.96 [0.09]
	12 weeks	17.1 ± 18.0	19.9 ± 17.1	-
	52 weeks	18.4 ± 20.5	19.6 ± 20.5	-
	Hba1C (%)			
	Baseline*[‡]	8.2 ± 1.1	8.4 ± 1.3	0.24 [0.04]
	12 weeks	7.7 ±1.2	7.4 ± 1.0	-
	24 weeks	7.6 ± 1.3	7.3 ± 1.1	-
	38 weeks	7.7 ±1.3	7.6 ±1.2	-
	52 weeks	7.9 ±1.4	7.7 ± 1.1	-
	Data are means ± SD or n (%). For not carried forward because of nont repeated-measures ANOVA.			
	Quality of life: see table 2 for PAID	questionnaire score		
	<b>Glucose-lowering therapy:</b> Althoug course of the study, fewer subjects in baseline and the last visit ( $P = 0.05$ ).	h subjects in both groups had		
	Adverse events: not reported			
	Microvascular and macrovascular	complication: not reported		
	Subgroup analyses: N/A			
uthors' onclusion	Subjects with type 2 diabetes not on control at 12 weeks and sustained the who used only SMBG			
ource of funding	DexCom Inc.			
omments	N/A			

Evidence table 7: (Yoo et al 2008)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID) Yoo et al 2008 (REF ID: 213)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2015

eference (Ref ID) You	oo et al 2008 (REF ID: 213)			
wit	To determine whether a real time continuous glucose monitoring in the home setting is useful for poorly controlled type 2 diabete with a view to modify a patient's diet and exercise habits and improve self-control, thereby inducing better glycaemic control comwith SMBG.			
aracteristics of tients Hb	otal number of patients: 65 clusion criteria: aged 20-80 yea oa1c between 8% and 10%, a sta wering drugs for at least 4 weeks cclusion criteria: severe diabetic ceatinine ≥2.0mg/dL and other me	able insulin or OHA regime for s complications, corticosteroid u	the prior 2 months and a stable se in previous 3 months, liver dis	dose of anti-hypertensive
	atient characteristics: see table able 1. Baseline characteris		N-65)	
	Characteristic	SMBG (n=28)	RT-CGM (n=29)	P-value
G	Gender (male %)	14 (50)	10(34.5)	0.29
A	\ge	57.5 ± 9.0	54.6 ± 6.8	0.17
В	Body weight (kg)	65.7 ± 12.3	63.3 ± 12.4	0.46
В	BMI (kg/m²)	25.7 ± 3.5	25 ± 3.0	0.43
V	Vaist circumference (cm)	90.7 ± 10.6	89 ± 11.2	0.56
	Ouration of diabetes (years)	13.3 ± 4.9	11.7 ± 5.8	0.28
C	DHA (%)	10 (35.7)	13(44.8)	0.59
	Insulin	5 (17.9)	4 (13.8)	0.73
	1	12 (42.9)	11 (37.9)	0.79
	Insulin + OHA	12 (42.3)	` ,	
S	Smoker	10 (35.7)	9 (31)	0.78
_		· /	` ′	0.78 0.57
F	Smoker	10 (35.7)	9 (31)	
F	Smoker FBG (mmol/l)	10 (35.7) 6.5 ± 1.3	9 (31) 6.3 ± 1.3	0.57
F P	Smoker FBG (mmol/l) Postprandial BG (mmol/l)	10 (35.7) 6.5 ± 1.3 11.5 ± 3.6	9 (31) 6.3 ± 1.3 11.3 ± 2.8	0.57 0.87
F P H	Smoker BG (mmol/l) Postprandial BG (mmol/l) Hba1c (%)	10 (35.7) 6.5 ± 1.3 11.5 ± 3.6 8.7 ± 0.7	9 (31) 6.3 ± 1.3 11.3 ± 2.8 9.1 ± 1.0	0.57 0.87 0.12
F P H	Smoker FBG (mmol/l) Postprandial BG (mmol/l) Hba1c (%) Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	10 (35.7) $6.5 \pm 1.3$ $11.5 \pm 3.6$ $8.7 \pm 0.7$ $4.3 \pm 0.2$	9 (31) 6.3 ± 1.3 11.3 ± 2.8 9.1 ± 1.0 4.2 ± 0.1	0.57 0.87 0.12 0.56

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Yoo et al 2008 (REF ID: 213)
	Means ± SD for continuous variables or percentile for categorical variables.
	Subgroup analyses: No pre-specified or post-hoc subgroup analyses reported
Monitoring information and definitions	Monitoring: see intervention  Definitions: N/A  Primary outcome measures: difference in change in Hba1c levels after 3 months between treatment groups
	Secondary outcome measures: fasting blood glucose (FBG), postprandial 2h blood glucose, lipid profiles, weight, waist circumference and BMI. The secondary end-point was the difference in the change in diet and exercise habits between the RT-CGM and SMBG groups.  Other outcome measures: N/A
Intervention	Intervention type: real time continuous glucose monitoring (RT-CGM) using Guardian RT
	Instructions: underwent RT-CGM once a month for 3 days for 12 weeks and alarm threshold were set for hyperglycaemia (>30mg/dl) and hypoglycaemia (<60 mg/dl). When hyperglycaemic alarms occurred, patients were instructed to increase their movement and take in little amounts of food. If hypoglycaemic alarms sounded, the patients were instructed to perform confirmatory SMBG before corrective action. During the trial neither modifications of OHA or insulin dosage were permitted in either treatment group except for recurrent episodes of hyperglycaemia. All participants were educated to perform moderate intensity aerobic exercise at 50-70% of maximal heart rate (e.g. brisk walking, swimming)
	<b>Frequency:</b> Only during the RT application, patients had to perform at least three capillary BG tests per day for calibration and during the remaining study period, capillary BG tests were at their convenience
	<b>Feedback:</b> based on information downloaded from the RT-CGM, diabetes educator nurses were consulted about the patient's lifestyle.
	Education: Standard diabetes education was also performed in all participants before the start of the study.
	<b>Diary</b> : participants in both groups maintained diaries covering 3 days of meals and 7 days of physical activity at baseline and 3 months later.
Comparator	Intervention type: SMBG Instructions: see frequency below and instructions in RT-CGM
	Frequency: instructed to check BG levels at least 4 times a week, including fasting blood glucose and postprandial 2h blood glucose levels for 3 months continuously. The testing frequency in this group was the median frequency of their usual practice prior to the study.  Feedback: instructed about diet and exercise habits every month based on SMBG values for 1 month  Diary: see RT-CGM group
Length of follow	Intervention: 12 weeks
up	Follow-up: 12 weeks
Location	Korea

Yoo et al 2008 (REF ID: 213)

Outcomes measures and effect sizes **Primary outcome measures (Change in blood glucose control):** the RT-CGM group had a significant reduction in Hba1c levels after 12 weeks ( $9.1 \pm 1.0\%$  to  $8.0 \pm 1.2\%$ , p<0.001). There was also a significant reduction of Hba1c level in the SMBG group ( $8.7 \pm 0.7\%$  to  $8.3 \pm 1.1\%$ , p=0.01). Moreover, there was a significant difference in the amounts of improvement in Hba1c levels existed between the two groups (p=0.004)

**Table 2. Changes in outcomes** 

Outcome	SMBG	(n=28)	RT-CG	M (n=29)	
	Baseline	After 3 months	Baseline	After 3 months	P- value**
Weight (kg)	65.7 ± 12.3	64.3 ± 12.5	63.3 ± 12.4	61.1 ± 12.2*	0.43
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$25.7 \pm 3.5$	25.2 ± 3.8	25 ± 3.0	24.3 ± 3.5†	0.37
Waist circumference (cm)	90.7 ± 10.6	91.5 ± 10.9	89 ± 11.2	86.9 ± 10.4	0.35
FBG (mmol/l)	6.5 ± 1.3	7.2 ± 2.2	6.3 ± 1.3	6.5 ± 1.2	0.48
Postprandial BG (mmol/l)	11.5 ± 3.6	10.9 ± 4.1	11.3 ± 2.8	10.0 ± 2.5*	0.48
Hba1c (%)	$8.7 \pm 0.7$	8.3 ± 1.1*	9.1 ± 1.0	8.0 ± 1.2†	<0.01
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	$4.3 \pm 0.2$	4.1± 0.9	4.2 ± 0.1	$3.9 \pm 0.7$	0.83
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	1.3 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.4	1.2 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.3	0.46
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	$2.4 \pm 0.1$	$2.3 \pm 0.8$	2.4 ± 0.1	$2.3 \pm 0.6$	0.84
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	1.7 ± 1.6	1.9 ± 2.5	1.5 ± 0.9	1.4 ± 0.6	0.87
Total calorie intake (kcal/day)	1871.7 ± 246.3	1757.7 ± 265.2	1858.7 ± 239.4	1690 ± 233.7*	0.49
Fat consumption ratio (%)	20.0 ± 8.1	20.0 ± 10	20.0 ± 1.2	$20.0 \pm 3.4$	0.82
Cholesterol intake (g/day)	259.1 ± 140.8	271.8 ± 116.7	277.6 ± 123.0	277 ± 150.3	0.78
Exercise time per week (min/week)	191.5 ± 98.4	235.0 ± 110.2	188.2 ± 110.2	346.6 ± 252.8†	0.02

<sup>\*</sup> p<0.05 compared with baseline;  $\dagger$  p<0.01 compared with baseline; \*\* p-value between SMBG and RT-CGM compared using repeated measures ANOVA

Other outcome measures (Changes in weight or BMI): see table 2 for changes in all weight measures in both treatment groups Changes in lipid levels: table 2 shows changes in lipid measures in both treatment groups

Quality of life: not reported

**Adverse events:** there were no reports of clinically symptomatic hypoglycaemic events during the study period. Three and five patients in the RT-CGM and SMBG groups dropped out of the study respectively, which was not significantly different (p=0.71).

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Yoo et al 2008 (REF ID: 213)
	Microvascular and macrovascular complication: not reported Subgroup analyses: N/A
Authors' conclusion	RT-CGM is useful in modifying patients diet and exercise habits and could induce better glycaemic control than SMBG for patients with type 2 diabetes.
Source of funding	Korean Health21 R&D project
Comments	Random assignment using random number table and allocation process was concealed.

Evidence table 8:	(Farmer et al 2007 - DiGEM study
Evidence lable o.	I Fallilei et al 2007 – DIGENI Studi

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Farmer et al 2007-DiGEM study (REF ID: 252)
Study type & aim	To determine whether self-monitoring, alone or with instruction in incorporating the results into self-care, is more effective than usual care in improving glycaemic control in non-insulin treated patients with type 2 diabetes
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 453 patients with non-insulin treated type 2 diabetes Inclusion criteria: type 2 diabetes, were aged 25 years or more at diagnosis, were managed with diet or oral hypoglycaemic agents alone, had an HbA1c level ≥6.2% at the assessment visit, and were independent in activities of daily living Exclusion criteria: the use of a blood glucose monitor twice a week or more often over the previous three months, serious disease or limited life expectancy that would make intensive glycaemic control inappropriate, or inability to follow trial procedures.  Patient characteristics: Baseline personal and clinical characteristics were well balanced between the groups (table 1). The median (interquartile) duration of diabetes was 3.0 years (1.8-6.4 years), mean (SD) age was 65.7 (10.2) years, and mean (SD) level of haemoglobin A1c was 7.5% (1.1). Only 57 (12.6%) patients were lost to follow-up, which did not differ between groups (fig 1).  Measurements for high density lipoprotein cholesterol levels were not obtained for 39 patients at baseline

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of included patients (N=)

rabio il Bacomio characterictico di inchadoa pationio (11–)						
Characteristic	Control group (n=152)	Less intensive SMBG (n=150)	More intensive SMBG (n=151)			
Mean (SD) age (years)	66.3 (10.2)	65.2 (10.6)	65.5 (9.9)			
Men	85 (55.9)	88 (58.7)	87 (57.6)			
Cigarette consumption:	-	-	-			
Never smoked	58 (38.2)	54 (36.2)	54 (35.8)			
Former smoker	80 (52.6)	74 (49.7)	77 (51.0)			
Current smoker	14 (9.2)	21 (14.1)	20 (13.2)			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Farmer et al 2007-DiGEM study (REI	F ID: 252)				
	Median (interquartile range) duration (years) of diabetes	3(2-6)	3(2-7)	3(2-6)		
	Treatment	-	-	-		
	Diet only	44 (28.9)	39 (26.0)	41 (27.2)		
	Monotherapy	57 (37.5)	58 (38.7)	58 (38.4)		
	Combined oral therapy	51 (33.6)	53 (35.3)	52 (34.4)		
	Presence of diabetes related complications	32 (21.1)	32 (21.3)	39 (25.8)		
	Use of blood glucose meter:	-	-	-		
	Not using	104 (68.4)	110 (73.3)	102 (67.5)		
	Using once weekly or less	48 (31.6)	40 (26.7)	49 (32.5)		
	Mean (SD) haemoglobin A1c (%)	7.49 (1.09)	7.41 (1.02)	7.53 (1.12)		
	Mean (SD) total cholesterol level (mmol/l)	4.7 (1.1)	4.6 (1.1)	4.7 (1.1)		
	Mean (SD) blood pressure (mm Hg):	-	-	-		
	Systolic	140 (18)	141 (17)	137 (18)		
	Diastolic	80 (10)	80 (10)	78 (10)		
	Mean (SD) body mass index	30.9 (6.1)	31.9 (6.2)	31.0 (5.3)		
	<b>Subgroup analyses:</b> Pre-specified groups as duration of diabetes (above or below median), current management (oral hypoglycaemic drugs or diet only), heath status (above or below EQ-5D score) and presence or absence of diabetes related complications.					
Monitoring information and	<b>Monitoring:</b> intervention was initiated at the first visit after randomisation and continued at the scheduled visits at one, three, six, and nine months.					
definitions	<b>Definitions</b> : Episodes of hypoglycaemia were categorised as grade 2 (mild symptoms requiring minor intervention), grade 3 (moderate symptoms requiring immediate third party intervention), or grade 4 (unconscious). Increases in hypoglycaemic drugs were defined as an increase in the dose or frequency prescribed, progression from use of a single oral agent to combination oral therapy, or addition of insulin to the treatment regimen.					
	Primary outcome measures: The pri	•				
	<b>Secondary outcome measures:</b> bloocholesterol, and body mass index	d pressure, weight, total cho	plesterol level, ratio of total	cholesterol to high density lipoprotein		

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Farmer et al 2007-DiGEM study (REF ID: 252)
	Other outcome measures: N/A
Intervention	LESS INTENSIVE SMBG
	Intervention type: Patients allocated to the less intensive self-monitoring intervention continued to use the goal setting and review techniques introduced at the assessment visit and were given a blood glucose meter.  Instructions: see feedback
	Frequency: asked to record three values daily on two days during the week (one after fasting and the other two before meals or two
	hours after meals) and to aim for glucose levels of 4-6 mmol/l after fasting and before meals and levels of 6-8 mmol/l two hours after meals.
	<b>Feedback:</b> They were advised by the nurse to consider contacting their doctor if readings were consistently high (>15 mmol/l) or low (<4 mmol/l). They were not given information about how to interpret their blood glucose readings. Patients in each arm of the trial received feedback on glycaemic control, which was used to explore success of goals and to set new ones.
	<b>Diary:</b> Separate diaries were used to record identified goals and activity and to record blood glucose results. <b>MORE INTENSIVE SMBG</b>
	<b>Intervention type:</b> Patients allocated to the more intensive intervention continued to use goal setting and review and were also given a blood glucose meter.
	<b>Instructions:</b> They were also given training and support in timing, interpreting, and using the results of their blood glucose test to enhance motivation and to maintain adherence to diet, physical activity, and drug regimens. They were encouraged to experiment with monitoring to explore the effect of specific activities, such as exercise, on their blood glucose level and to reflect on abnormal values in an attempt to identify what might have contributed to them.
	Frequency: not explicit but assumed same as less intensive SMBG
	Feedback: see above
	Diary: A single diary was used to record goals, activities, and blood glucose results
Comparator	Intervention type: standardised usual care, including the use of goal setting and review
	Instructions: asked not to use a blood glucose meter unless their doctor considered it essential for their clinical management
	Frequency: N/A
	<b>Feedback:</b> Patients allocated to the control intervention had a blood test to measure HbA1c level two weeks before their scheduled visit, the result of which was fed back to them as an indication of the impact of their self-care activities on their glycaemic control (also see above)
	Diary: used to record self-care goals and strategies for achieving them
	Interpreting 50 weeks
Length of follow	Intervention: 52 weeks
Length of follow up	Follow-up: 4 years
_	

#### Bibliographic reference (Ref ID) measures and effect sizes

Farmer et al 2007-DiGEM study (REF ID: 252)

Table 2. Changes in BG measures

Blood glucose measure	Control	Less intensive SMBG	More intensive SMBG	P-value for difference between groups*
Hba1c level (%)				
Baseline	7.49 (1.09)	7.41 (1.02)	7.53 (1.12)	0.12
Follow-up	7.49 (1.20)	7.28 (0.88)	7.36 (1.05)	
Change	-0.00 (1.02)	-0.14 (0.82)	-0.17 (0.73)	
*adjusted for baseline values				

#### Other outcome measures

Changes in weight: see table 3 for changes in BMI

Table 3. Changes in weight

Weight measure	Control	Less intensive SMBG	More intensive SMBG	P-value for difference between groups*
Weight (kg):	-	-	-	-
Baseline	86.7 (18.9)	90.4 (18.9)	86.9 (16.4)	0.37
Follow-up	86.4 (19.4)	89.9 (19.0)	86.1 (15.7)	
Change	-0.3 (2.7)	-0.5 (2.6)	-0.8 (3.3)	
BMI	-	-	-	-
Baseline	30.9 (6.1)	31.9 (6.2)	31.0 (5.3)	0.41
Follow-up	30.8 (6.3)	31.8 (6.3)	30.7 (5.0)	
Change	-0.1 (1.0)	-0.2 (0.9)	-0.3 (1.2)	

Adverse events (hypoglycaemia): During the trial one or more grade 2 hypoglycaemic episodes were experienced by 14 patients in the control group, 33 in the less intensive intervention group, and 43 in the more intensive intervention group (χ2=18.3, P<0.001). Only one patient in the control group experienced a grade 3 hypoglycaemic episode

Change in lipid levels and blood pressure: See table 4 for changes in lipid levels and blood pressure

### Farmer et al 2007-DiGEM study (REF ID: 252)

Table 4. Changes in BP and lipids

	SMBG	SMBG	between groups*
-	-	-	-
140 (18)	141 (17)	137 (18)	0.77
136 (18)	137 (17 )	134 (17)	
-4 (14.)	-3 (16 )	-3 (14 )	
-	-	-	-
80 (10)	80 (10)	78 (10 )	0.67
77 (10 )	78 (10 )	76 (10)	
-3 (9 )	-2 (9 )	-2 (8)	
-	-	-	
4.73 (1.02)	4.64 (1.11)	4.67 (1.07)	0.010
4.56 (1.03)	4.42 (0.95)	4.28 (0.84)	
-0.16 (0.84)	-0.22 (0.93)	-0.40 (0.90)	
-	-	-	
4.33 (1.12)	4.40 (1.33)	4.48 (1.35)	0.013
4.18 (1.12)	4.11 (1.17)	4.02 (1.17)	
-0.15 (0.72)	-0.29 (0.86)	-0.46 (0.91	
	136 (18)  -4 (14.)  -  80 (10)  77 (10)  -3 (9)  -  4.73 (1.02)  4.56 (1.03)  -0.16 (0.84)  -  4.33 (1.12)  4.18 (1.12)  -0.15 (0.72)	136 (18)       137 (17)         -4 (14.)       -3 (16)         -       -         80 (10)       80 (10)         77 (10)       78 (10)         -3 (9)       -2 (9)         -       -         4.73 (1.02)       4.64 (1.11)         4.56 (1.03)       4.42 (0.95)         -0.16 (0.84)       -0.22 (0.93)         -       -         4.33 (1.12)       4.40 (1.33)         4.18 (1.12)       4.11 (1.17)         -0.15 (0.72)       -0.29 (0.86)	136 (18)       137 (17)       134 (17)         -4 (14.)       -3 (16)       -3 (14)         -       -       -         80 (10)       80 (10)       78 (10)         77 (10)       78 (10)       76 (10)         -3 (9)       -2 (9)       -2 (8)         -       -       -         4.73 (1.02)       4.64 (1.11)       4.67 (1.07)         4.56 (1.03)       4.42 (0.95)       4.28 (0.84)         -0.16 (0.84)       -0.22 (0.93)       -0.40 (0.90)         -       -       -         4.33 (1.12)       4.40 (1.33)       4.48 (1.35)         4.18 (1.12)       4.11 (1.17)       4.02 (1.17)

# Microvascular or macrovascular complications: not reported Quality of life:

Subgroup analyses (predetermined groups): Table 5 shows changes in Hba1c by pre-specific subgroups.

Table 5. Hba1c levels stratified by pre-specified subgroups

Subgroup	Control (n=152)	Less intensive SMBG	More intensive SMBG	P-value for
		(n=150)	(n=151)	interaction*

ographic ence (Ref ID)	Farmer et al 2007-DiGEM s	tudy (REF ID: 252)			
	Duration of diabetes‡	-	-	-	
	≤36 months:	-	-	-	0.82
	Baseline	7.29 (1.02)	7.35 (1.02)	7.41 (1.03)	
	Follow-up	7.30 (1.24)	7.23 (0.93)	7.25 (1.01)	
	Change	0.01 (1.03)	-0.12 (0.85)	-0.16 (0.73)	
	>36 months:	-	-	-	
	Baseline	7.70 (1.13)	7.48 (1.02)	7.67 (1.20)	
	Follow-up	7.70 (1.11)	7.33 (0.84)	7.49 (1.08)	
	Change	-0.01 (1.01)	-0.15 (0.80)	-0.18 (0.73)	
	Baseline therapy	-	-	-	
	Diet only:	-	-	-	0.90
	Baseline	7.18 (0.98)	6.85 (0.66)	7.18 (1.11)	
	Follow-up	7.21 (1.05)	6.90 (0.70)	7.09 (0.94)	
	Change	0.03 (0.80)	0.04 (0.64)	-0.09 (0.72)	
	Oral drug therapy:	-	-	-	
	Baseline	7.61 (1.11)	7.61 (1.05)	7.66 (1.10)	
	Follow-up	7.61 (1.24)	7.41 (0.91)	7.46 (1.07)	
	Change	-0.01 (1.10)	-0.20 (0.87)	-0.20 (0.73)	
	Health status (EQ-5D)§:	-	-	-	
	Diabetes >36 months:	-	-	-	0.63
	Baseline	7.38 (1.02)	7.30 (0.96)	7.57 (1.21)	
	Follow-up	7.46 (1.16)	7.22 (0.76)	7.43 (1.16)	
	Change	0.07 (0.99)	-0.08 (0.84)	-0.13 (0.77)	
	Diabetes ≤36 months:	-	-	-	
	Baseline	7.54 (1.16)	7.50 (1.09)	7.34 (0.80)	
	Follow-up	7.43 (1.22)	7.37 (1.04)	7.14 (0.78)	
	Change	-0.11 (1.14)	-0.13 (0.80)	-0.20 (0.67)	
	Diabetes related complications	-	-	-	

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Farmer et al 2007-DiGEM	study (REF ID: 252)			
	Absent:	•	-	-	0.86
	Baseline	7.53 (1.11)	7.51 (1.09)	7.71 (1.19)	
	Follow-up	7.48 (1.16)	7.32 (0.92)	7.43 (1.13)	
	Change	-0.05 (1.02)	-0.19 (0.88)	-0.28 (0.74)	
	Present:	•	-	-	-
	Baseline	7.32 (1.02)	7.07 (0.63)	7.00 (0.64)	
	Follow-up	7.52 (1.34)	7.12 (0.73)	7.16 (0.73)	
	Change	0.20 (1.02)	0.05 (0.56)	0.16 (0.56)	
	* after adjustment for base	eline values; ‡ median 36 r	months		
Authors' conclusion	Evidence is not convincing care, in improving glycaem diabetes.				
Source of funding	NHS and NIHR HTA				
Comments	Four year open randomised Oxfordshire and South Yor adjust the randomisation prodiabetes, HbA1c level, and	kshire. Randomised using robabilities between groups	computerised program and sto balance three importan	I incorporated a partial mini t covariates collected at ba	misation procedure to

	(00110110441111101111111111111111111111
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Scherbaum et al 2008 (REF ID: 219)
Study type & aim	RCT to test the effect of the frequency of SMBG without changing the other diabetes management.
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 202 randomised in oral group (100 to low frequency ad 102 to high frequency) Inclusion criteria: Patients with type 2 diabetes treated with one or more OAD (not combined with insulin therapy and stable oral medication for the last three months) aged between 35 and 80 years were enrolled  Exclusion criteria: type 1 diabetes, advanced renal insufficiency (creatinine level at ≥2.5 mg/dl), at least two episodes of hypoglycaemia requiring external support within the previous three months, one or more severe metabolic events (hypoglycemic shock, hyperosmolar coma, inpatient stay due to severe hyperglycaemic events) within the past three months, pregnancy, severe impairment of vision or communication problems due to language.  Patient characteristics: The baseline characteristics in the two groups were similar (Table 1). Mean diabetes duration was 7.8 ± 6.4 years in the low and 8.2 ± 6.5 in the high group. There were 12 drop-outs in the low and 11 drop-outs in the high group

### Scherbaum et al 2008 (REF ID: 219)

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of included patients (N=202)

Characteristic	Low (n=100)*	High (n=102)	P-value
Age - years (mean ± SD)	61.7 ± 11.7	61.0 ± 9.0	0.68
Sex	-	-	-
male	60/100 (60%)	65/102 (64%)	0.66
female	40/100 (40%)	37/102 (36%)	
Duration of diabetes - years	7.8 ± 6.4	8.2 ± 6.5	0.71
HbA1c - %	7.2 ± 1.4	7.2 ± 1.0	0.53
History	-	-	-
coronary heart disease	19/100 (19%)	16/101 (16%)	0.58
myocardial infarction	8/100 (8%)	11/102 (11%)	0.63
stroke	2/100 (2%)	2/102 (2%)	1.00
peripheral arterial disease (PAD)	13/100 (13%)	14/102 (14%)	1.00
other serious disease	34/100 (34%)	28/102 (28%)	0.36
diabetic nephropathy	2/100 (2%)	3/102 (3%)	1.00
diabetic neuropathy	25/100 (25%)	21/102 (21%)	0.50
diabetic retinopathy	2/100 (2%)	4/102 (4%)	0.68
relevant hypoglycemia*	3/100 (3%)	4/102 (4%)	1.00
relevant hyperglycemia*	6/100 (6%)	3/102 (3%)	0.32
Medication	-	-	-
Acarbose	1/99 (1%)	3/102 (3%)	0.62
Glibenclamide	23/99 (23%)	19/102 (19%)	0.42
Glimepiride	25/99 (25%)	29/102 (28%)	0.61
Gliquidon	0/99 (0%)	1/102 (1%)	1.00
Glyburide	0/99 (0%)	1/102 (1%)	1.00
Metformin	70/99 (71%)	76/102 (75%)	0.55
Nateglinide	2/99 (2%)	1/102 (1%)	0.62
Pioglitazone	3/99 (3%)	2/102 (2%)	0.68

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Scherbaum et al 2008 (REF ID: 219)			
	Repaglinide	7/99 (7%)	10/102 (10%)	0.49
	Rosiglitazone	9/99 (9%)	5/102 (5%)	0.24
	*one person with missing data Abbreviations: SMUG self-monitoring of urine gl	lucose; SMBG self-monitori	ing of blood glucose	
	Subgroup analyses: No pre-specified or post-ho	oc subgroup analyses repo	rted	
Monitoring information and definitions	Monitoring: Patients were evaluated at the initial adverse events, quality of life (at baseline and at socioeconomic effects and measurement of HbA' Definitions: Hypoglycaemia was defined as an Shypoglycaemia with the need for assistance by all Compliance with treatment was defined as: maximishing group, 0 to 2 in the low group).  Primary outcome measures: change in HbA1c Secondary outcome measures: number and type satisfaction with interventions, socioeconomic effand socioeconomic background not presented in Other outcome measures: N/A	6 months only), compliance 1c. After 12 months only Hi 6MBG reading of <3.2 mmonther person. mal deviation of one measurelevels between baseline and hects and HbA1c after 3 and	e with intervention, change bA1c was assessed bl/L (60 mg/dl). Severe hy urement from the allocate and six months after enrolry pergly caemic events, que	ge in diabetes treatment, rpoglycaemia was defined as ar ed frequency (e.g. 3 to 5 in the ment uality of life, compliance and
Intervention	Intervention type: low frequency SMBG Instructions: SMBG with one measurement a we hyperglycaemia Frequency: see instructions Feedback: all patients were asked to report back individual targets Education: After randomisation, patients were in instruction, written instruction, patient diary, delivereducated after randomisation. Diary: blood glucose measurements were documents.	to their physician in the ev structed on the strategy of ery of equipment for measu	vent of inappropriate diab SMBG to be applied for a uring blood glucose). Pati	etes control according to the
Comparator	Intervention type: High frequency SMBG Instructions: four measurements a week on Tue measurement before lunch, and also additional m Frequency: see instructions Feedback: Diary:			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Scherbaum et al 2008 (REF ID: 219)					
Length of follow up	Intervention: 6 months Follow-up: 12 months					
Location	Germany					
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Primary outcome measures (Change in blood glucose control): see table 2 for changes in Hba1c at different time-points  Table 2. Changes in Hba1c					
	Hba1c (%)	I	Low frequency	High frequency	P-value <sup>*</sup>	
	Baseline, mean ± SD (valid observations)		7.2 ± 1.4 (100)	7.2 ± 1.0 (102)	0.92	
	3 month, mean ± SD (valid observation	ns)	6.9 ± 1.2 (93)	6.9 ± 0.7 (95)	0.66	
	6 months, mean ± SD (valid observation	ons)	6.961.2 (87)	7.060.8 (91)	0.53	
	12 months, mean ± SD (valid observation	ions)	6.961.0 (82)	7.161.0 (86)	0.10	
	Overlife, of life, and assessed al					
	Quality of life: not reported  Adverse events: The number and type increased in the high group	of SAE and	AE was similar be	tween the groups except for	hypoglycaemia, which wa	
	'		AE was similar be	tween the groups except for  High (n=102)	hypoglycaemia, which wa P-value	
	Adverse events: The number and type increased in the high group					
	Adverse events: The number and type increased in the high group  Adverse events	Low (				
	Adverse events: The number and type increased in the high group  Adverse events  Relevant hypoglycaemia	Low (	(n=100)	High (n=102)	P-value -	
	Adverse events: The number and type increased in the high group  Adverse events  Relevant hypoglycaemia  one event	1/10/ 4/10/	(n=100) - 0 (1%)	High (n=102) - 9/102 (9%)	P-value - 0.02	
	Adverse events: The number and type increased in the high group  Adverse events  Relevant hypoglycaemia  one event  several events	1/10 4/10 1/10	(n=100) - 0 (1%) 0 (4%)	High (n=102) - 9/102 (9%) 9/102 (9%)	P-value - 0.02 0.25	
	Adverse events: The number and type increased in the high group  Adverse events  Relevant hypoglycaemia  one event  several events  Relevant hyperglycaemia	1/10/ 4/10/ 1/10/ 0/10/	(n=100) - 0 (1%) 0 (4%) 0 (1%)	High (n=102) - 9/102 (9%) 9/102 (9%) 1/102 (1%)	P-value - 0.02 0.25 1.00	
	Adverse events: The number and type increased in the high group  Adverse events  Relevant hypoglycaemia  one event  several events  Relevant hyperglycaemia  Deterioration neuropathy	1/100 4/100 1/100 0/100	(n=100) - 0 (1%) 0 (4%) 0 (1%) 0 (0%)	High (n=102)  - 9/102 (9%) 9/102 (9%) 1/102 (1%) 0/102 (0%)	P-value - 0.02 0.25 1.00 1.00	
	Adverse events: The number and type increased in the high group  Adverse events  Relevant hypoglycaemia  one event several events  Relevant hyperglycaemia  Deterioration neuropathy  Deterioration retinopathy	1/10/ 4/10/ 1/10/ 0/10/ 0/10/ 0/10/	(n=100) - 0 (1%) 0 (4%) 0 (1%) 0 (0%) 0 (0%)	High (n=102)  -  9/102 (9%)  9/102 (1%)  1/102 (1%)  0/102 (0%)	P-value - 0.02 0.25 1.00 1.00	
	Adverse events: The number and type increased in the high group  Adverse events  Relevant hypoglycaemia  one event  several events  Relevant hyperglycaemia  Deterioration neuropathy  Deterioration retinopathy  Deterioration nephropathy	1/100 4/100 1/100 0/100 0/100 6/100	(n=100) - 0 (1%) 0 (4%) 0 (1%) 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 0 (0%)	High (n=102)  - 9/102 (9%) 9/102 (9%) 1/102 (1%) 0/102 (0%) 0/102 (0%) 0/102 (0%)	P-value  - 0.02 0.25 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	

hypoglycaemic shock

0/102 (0%)

1.00

0/100 (0%)

#### Scherbaum et al 2008 (REF ID: 219)

hyperosmolar coma	0/100 (0%)	0/102 (0%)	1.00
Other	20/100 (20%)	15/102 (15%)	0.58
inpatient stay	19/100 (19%)	14/102 (14%)	0.57
death	1/100 (1%)	1/102 (1%)	1.00

#### Microvascular and macrovascular complication: not reported

**Compliance:** Compliance in the low group assessed by the doctor was 61% at 3 months and 73% at 6 months. In the high group, compliance to the SMBG regimen assigned was 77% at 3 months and 83% at 6 months. Analysis of each patient's diary revealed compliance in 82–83% (0 to 3 months) and 85–88% (4 to 6 months) in the low group and 87–90% and 84–88% in the high group. A statistical significant difference was observed according to doctor's assessment at 3 months (p<0.03).

Compliance	Low frequency	High frequency	P-value <sup>*</sup>
Investigator	-	-	-
3 months	57/92 (61%)	71/92 (77%)	0.03
6 months	62/85 (73%)	75/91 (83%)	0.14
Patients diary*	-	-	-
3 months	-	-	-
first week in month 1	72/88 (82%)	83/95 (87%)	0.31
first week in month 2	73/88 (83%)	83/95 (87%)	0.41
first week in month 3	72/88 (82%)	85/95 (90%)	0.30
6 months	-	-	-
first week in month 4	73/86 (85%)	77/92 (84%)	0.84
first week in month 5	73/84 (87%)	80/91 (88%)	1.00
first week in month 6	73/83 (88%)	77/92 (84%)	0.82
* t-test			

**Change of medication:** Overall, 5/100 (5%) in the low frequency group and 9/102 (9%) in the high frequency group changed from oral agents to insulin (p=0.41). 4/100 and 6/102 in the low and high groups respectively changed medication between 0-3 months (p=0.75). 1/100 and 3/102 in the low and high groups respectively changed medication between 4 and 6 months (p=0.62)

Subgroup analyses: N/A

Other: healthcare utilisation is also reported in full paper but is not reported in this evidence table

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Scherbaum et al 2008 (REF ID: 219)
Authors' conclusion	This study shows that in patients with T2D treated with OAD one SMBG measurement a week is not associated with any deterioration in metabolic control (HbA1c) or therapeutic safety as compared to four measurements a week. Under study conditions compliance for a low frequency of SMBG was surprisingly high.
Source of funding	ROCHE Diagnostics (Mannheim, Germany) provided blood glucose meters and meter strips for patients in the study.
Comments	Originally, it was planned to establish a second trial arm with insulin treated patients, comparing one measurement a week (low group) with 11 measurements a week (high group). Due to poor recruitment this arm was terminated early. The randomization list was generated and centrally applied with concealment by the Coordination Centre for Clinical Trials with the use of randomly selected block sizes of 4, 6 and 8 patients stratified according to centre

### Evidence table 10: (Knapp et al 2009)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Knapp et al 2009 (REF ID: 189)
Study type & aim	To compare glycemic control in fingertip versus forearm sampling methods of self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG).
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 174 were randomised (85 in FT and 89 to AST group) Inclusion criteria: 18–70 years of age with type 2 diabetes, using insulin, and performing SMBG measurements Exclusion criteria: type 1 diabetes, prior use of AST, pregnancy, and serious comorbid illness (unstable cardiovascular disease or metastatic cancer). Potential subjects were also excluded if, within the past year, they had a hypoglycaemic episode requiring urgent medical attention, resulting in cognitive impairment or a lack of symptoms during a hypoglycaemic episode.  Patient characteristics: Of those randomized, 71 (83%) in the FT group and 64 (72%) in the AST group completed the study. The higher dropout/withdrawal rate in the AST group approached statistical significance (P=0.07).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of included patients (N=174)

Characteristic	FT (n=85)	Forearm AST (n=89)	P-value
Age (years)	53.2 ± 9.5	53.1 ± 10.2	0.959
Body mass index (kg=m2)	35.9 ± 9.6	35.9 ± 9.2	0.975
Waist circumference (inches)	44.4 ± 7.2	45.3 ± 6.8	0.412
Female	52 (61%)	42 (47%)	0.064
Baseline HbA1C (%)	8.8 ± 2.2	8.7 ± 2.1	0.649
Years with diabetes	12.0 ± 9.8	12.7 ± 9.1	0.643
SMBG tests prior to study	-	-	-
<1 per day	8 (9%)	10 (11%)	-

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Knapp et al 2009 (REF ID: 189)				
	1–2 per day	40 (47%)	51 (57%)	0.258	
	≥3 or more per day	37 (44%)	28 (32%)	-	
	Frequency of insulin injections	-	-	-	
	1 per day	12 (14%)	12 (14%)	-	
	2 per day	37 (44%)	34 (38%)	0.633	
	≥3 per day	33 (39%)	37 (42%)		
	Using oral diabetes agent (plus insulin)	52 (63%)	47 (57%)	0.374	
	Data are mean ± SD for continuous variurine glucose; SMBG self-monitoring of		gorical variables. Abbreviatio	ns: SMUG self-monitoring of	
	<b>Subgroup analyses:</b> post hoc analyses stratified by initial level of glycaemic cont		pare the difference in HbA1C	change between the two group	os .
Monitoring information and definitions	Monitoring: there were 9 visits-Subjects randomization/training visit  Definitions: Adherence was measured by number of tests requested for each log sl suspicion of hypoglycemia, only one test Primary outcome measures: Hba1c lev Secondary outcome measures: adversight Other outcome measures: N/A	by counting the number on theet (21 for a full week). was counted. wels	of tests recorded, regardless of When a test was repeated at	of timing or method, as well as	
Intervention	Intervention type: alternative testing site Instructions: asked to use AST as much they were instructed to use FT when exp They were also told that if they had diffict acceptable to substitute a finger test. As consistent with actual clinical use of AST Frequency: minimum of 3 times per day Feedback: At the 1-,3-, and 5-month visi provider who used this information to mo Education: each subject received a 30-mincluding device calibration and settings a Diary: Subjects were given standardized breakfast, before dinner, and 2 h after directions.	n as possible; however, be riencing symptoms of holities obtaining a blood sintended, this resulted in .  (see diary) ts, subjects were asked to diffy the treatment regime in training session from a adjustment.  SMBG log sheets that p	ypoglycemia and to repeat and ample from arm puncture on a mixture of arm and finger to show their SMBG log sheet as part of their routine dials a qualified diabetes nurse eductions are shown to test a minimum	ny AST reading <5.55 mmol/L is any particular occasion, it was testing in the AST group, which ets from the preceding month to betes care ucator in the use of the SMBG on the sum of three times per day: before the sum of three times per day: before the sum of three times per day:	is the device,

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Knapp et a	ıl 2009 (I	REF ID: 189)				
			uld alter the timing est results for episo			ct's meal or insulin dosing sche nia	edule so required. Spac
Comparator	Intervention Instruction Frequency: Feedback: Diary: as A	<b>s:</b> not re as AST as AST (	group group	ng (FT)			
∟ength of follow ıp	_	n: not ex	plicit but assumed	7 months			
ocation	USA	7 IIIOHUI	5				
measures and effect sizes		om base	line to month 7 of (	0.25% or more	. In the FT grou	o improved, unchanged, or wor up 39 (46%) improved, 19 (22%	b) were unchanged, and
	worsened. In frequencies  Table 2. C	betweer	in blood glucos	se measures	ŕ	hanged, and 29 (33%) worsens	
	worsened. I frequencies  Table 2. C  Hba1c	betweer hanges n	groups (P=0.929)		ŕ	Change (month 7-T0)	P-value (change)
	worsened. In frequencies  Table 2. C  Hba1c  All subjects	betweer hanges n	in blood glucos Baseline (T0)	se measures Month 4	Month 7	Change (month 7-T0)	P-value (change)
	worsened. In frequencies  Table 2. C  Hba1c  All subjects  FT	hanges n	in blood glucos Baseline (T0)  8.8 ± 2.2	se measures Month 4	Month 7	Change (month 7-T0)  0.4 ± 1.4	P-value (change)
	worsened. I frequencies  Table 2. C  Hba1c  All subjects  FT  AST	hanges n s 85 89	in blood glucos Baseline (T0)  8.8 ± 2.2  8.7 ± 2.1	8.4 ± 1.9 8.3 ± 1.8	Month 7	Change (month 7-T0)	P-value (change)
	worsened. I frequencies  Table 2. C  Hba1c  All subjects  FT  AST	hanges n s 85 89	in blood glucos Baseline (T0)  8.8 ± 2.2	8.4 ± 1.9 8.3 ± 1.8	Month 7	Change (month 7-T0)  0.4 ± 1.4	P-value (change)
	worsened. Infrequencies  Table 2. C  Hba1c  All subjects  FT  AST  Good initia	hanges n s 85 89 Il control	in blood glucos Baseline (T0) $8.8 \pm 2.2$ $8.7 \pm 2.1$ (baseline HbA1 $\leq 7$	8.4 ± 1.9 8.3 ± 1.8	Month 7  8.4 ± 1.7  8.4 ± 1.8	Change (month 7-T0)  0.4 ± 1.4  0.3 ± 1.2	P-value (change)  0.008  0.045
	worsened. Infrequencies  Table 2. C  Hba1c  All subjects  FT  AST  Good initial  FT  AST	hanges n s 85 89 Il control 18 21	in blood glucos Baseline (T0) $8.8 \pm 2.2$ $8.7 \pm 2.1$ (baseline HbA1 $\leq 7$ $6.4 \pm 0.4$	8.4 ± 1.9 8.3 ± 1.8 7.0%) 6.7 ± 0.5 6.5 ± 0.9	Month 7 $8.4 \pm 1.7$ $8.4 \pm 1.8$ $6.8 \pm 0.5$ $6.7 \pm 0.7$	Change (month 7-T0) $0.4 \pm 1.4$ $0.3 \pm 1.2$ $+0.4 \pm 0.7$	0.008 0.045
	worsened. Infrequencies  Table 2. C  Hba1c  All subjects  FT  AST  Good initial  FT  AST	hanges n s 85 89 Il control 18 21	in blood glucos Baseline (T0) $8.8 \pm 2.2$ $8.7 \pm 2.1$ (baseline HbA1 $\leq 7$ $6.4 \pm 0.4$ $6.3 \pm 0.6$	8.4 ± 1.9 8.3 ± 1.8 7.0%) 6.7 ± 0.5 6.5 ± 0.9	Month 7 $8.4 \pm 1.7$ $8.4 \pm 1.8$ $6.8 \pm 0.5$ $6.7 \pm 0.7$	Change (month 7-T0) $0.4 \pm 1.4$ $0.3 \pm 1.2$ $+0.4 \pm 0.7$	0.008 0.045
	worsened. Infrequencies  Table 2. C  Hba1c  All subjects  FT  AST  Good initial  FT  AST  Intermedia	hanges n s 85 89 Il control 18 21 te initial	in blood glucos Baseline (T0) $8.8 \pm 2.2$ $8.7 \pm 2.1$ (baseline HbA1 $\leq$ 7 $6.4 \pm 0.4$ $6.3 \pm 0.6$ control (baseline H	8.4 ± 1.9 8.3 ± 1.8 7.0%) 6.7 ± 0.5 6.5 ± 0.9 1bA1C 7.0–8.5	Month 7 $8.4 \pm 1.7$ $8.4 \pm 1.8$ $6.8 \pm 0.5$ $6.7 \pm 0.7$ %)	Change (month 7-T0) $0.4 \pm 1.4$ $0.3 \pm 1.2$ $+0.4 \pm 0.7$ $+0.4 \pm 0.6$	0.008 0.045 0.024 0.040
	worsened. Infrequencies  Table 2. C  Hba1c  All subjects  FT  AST  Good initial  FT  AST  Intermedial  FT  AST	hanges n s 85 89 Il control 18 21 te initial 26 26	in blood glucos Baseline (T0) $8.8 \pm 2.2$ $8.7 \pm 2.1$ (baseline HbA1 $\leq$ 7.8 $\pm$ 0.4 $6.3 \pm 0.6$ control (baseline H	8.4 ± 1.9 8.3 ± 1.8 7.0%) 6.7 ± 0.5 6.5 ± 0.9 1bA1C 7.0–8.5 7.6 ± 1.0 7.8 ± 0.8	Month 7 $8.4 \pm 1.7$ $8.4 \pm 1.8$ $6.8 \pm 0.5$ $6.7 \pm 0.7$ %) $7.9 \pm 1.1$	Change (month 7-T0) $0.4 \pm 1.4$ $0.3 \pm 1.2$ $+0.4 \pm 0.7$ $+0.4 \pm 0.6$ $+0.1 \pm 1.0$	0.008 0.045 0.024 0.040
	worsened. Infrequencies  Table 2. C  Hba1c  All subjects  FT  AST  Good initial  FT  AST  Intermedial  FT  AST	hanges n s 85 89 Il control 18 21 te initial 26 26	in blood glucos Baseline (T0) $8.8 \pm 2.2$ $8.7 \pm 2.1$ (baseline HbA1 $\leq 3$ $6.4 \pm 0.4$ $6.3 \pm 0.6$ control (baseline H $7.8 \pm 0.4$ $7.8 \pm 0.4$	8.4 ± 1.9 8.3 ± 1.8 7.0%) 6.7 ± 0.5 6.5 ± 0.9 1bA1C 7.0–8.5 7.6 ± 1.0 7.8 ± 0.8	Month 7 $8.4 \pm 1.7$ $8.4 \pm 1.8$ $6.8 \pm 0.5$ $6.7 \pm 0.7$ %) $7.9 \pm 1.1$	Change (month 7-T0) $0.4 \pm 1.4$ $0.3 \pm 1.2$ $+0.4 \pm 0.7$ $+0.4 \pm 0.6$ $+0.1 \pm 1.0$	0.008 0.045 0.024 0.040

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Knapp et al 2009 (REF ID: 189)
	Other outcome measures (Changes in weight or BMI): not reported Changes in lipid levels: not reported Quality of life: not reported Adverse events: Information on hypoglycemic episodes was collected using a strict definition. Events were only considered to be hypoglycaemic episodes if they consisted of hypoglycemic symptoms followed by an SMBG test that confirmed blood glucose <4.44 mmol/L. As a result, the number of such events was very small and concentrated in a few individuals. The mean number of hypoglycemic episodes per month was 0.183 in the FT group and 0.176 in the AST group with no significant difference between the two (P=0.16, Wilcoxon rank-sum test). Only three subjects in each group reported an average of more than one hypoglycemic episode per month. Because of the small number of recorded hypoglycemic events we did not have adequate power to detect a difference in the number between groups. Four subjects were withdrawn from the study because of a severe hypoglycemic episode requiring urgent medical attention: one in the FT group and three (two including seizures) in the AST group
	<b>Microvascular and macrovascular complication:</b> not reported <b>Adherence:</b> In the AST group subjects were encouraged to use AST as much as possible but were asked to use FT for suspected or possible hypoglycemia or if they had difficulty obtaining blood from the arm. Tests were counted as completed regardless of whether they were performed on the arm or finger. Adherence overall was better in the FT compared to the AST group; mean overall adherence was higher in the FT group than in the AST group: 87% for FT (95% CI 83.2% to 90.4%) and 78% for AST (95% CI 73.5% to 82.9%, P=0.003). <b>Subgroup analyses:</b> see Hba1c levels above for stratified values
Authors' conclusion	Glycemic control improved in both groups over the course of their participation in the study, primarily because of substantial improvements in subjects who began the study in poor control (HbA1C>8.5%). Presumably, this was caused by an increased attention to their diabetes and increased frequency of SMBG testing through their participation in the study. We did not observe a difference in the degree of improvement between the FT and AST groups.
Source of funding	Financial support for the study was provided by Food and Drug Administration and some SMBG meters and test strips were provided by Lifescan.
Comments	Block randomization was used and stratified by six strata of initial HbA1C to ensure similar mean initial HbA1C in both groups. The strata of HbA1C (%) were <7.0%, 7.0–7.5%, 7.5–8.0%, 8.0–8.5%, 8.5–9.5%, and>9.5%. Subjects were compensated with a total of \$170 for participation.

## Evidence table 11: (Bonomo et al 2010)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Bonomo et al 2010 (REF ID: 1307)
Study type & aim	To compare once monthly versus fortnightly SMBG in a way that considered cost and compliance.
Number and	Total number of patients: 273 (monthly group A=96 and fortnightly group B=177)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2015

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID) characteristics of patients	Bonomo et al 2010 (REF ID: 1307) Inclusion criteria: Hba1c level>7%, not of Exclusion criteria: not reported Patient characteristics: Except for group waist circumference, Hba1c or hypoglyca. Table 1. Baseline characteristics of	o A being a little older, then emic treatment	e were no differences in gend	ler, known diabetes duration, BMI,
	Characteristic	Group A (monthly) (n=96)	Group B (fortnightly) (n=177)	P-value
	Gender (M/F)	59/37	108/69	0.940
	Age (years)	62.80 ± 9.17	65.30 ± 9.56	0.017
	Known diabetes duration (years)	10.38 ± 8.50	10.76 ± 7.90	0.712
	BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	29.13 ± 4.55	28.85 ± 4.47	0.624
	Waist circumference (cm)	102.80 ± 10.80	103.35 ± 9.91	0.672
	Hba1c (%)	$8.04 \pm 0.80$	8.06 ± 0.82	0.846
	Treatment (%)	-	-	1.00
	Diet alone	6.25	9.04	-
	Metformin	18.75	18.64	-
	Sulfonylureas or glinides	30.21	27.68	-
	Sulfonylureas or glinides + metformin	43.75	44.63	-
	Subgroup analyses: pre-specified analy	ses by compliance		
Monitoring information and definitions	Monitoring: followed-up by same team a Definitions: compliant patient was define least 70% of the recommended BG meas Primary outcome measures: Hba1c Secondary outcome measures: Other outcome measures:	ed as one who carried out S	MBG as requested and recor	rded the results in a personal diary
Intervention	Intervention type: Monthly (group A) Instructions: patients continued usual SI Frequency: perform one BG profile per m Feedback: patients were encouraged, wh altering type or dose of oral agents withou	nonth with fasting, 2h after nen BG levels were not with	nin target, in increase complia	

reference (Ref ID)	Bonomo et al 2010 (REF ID: 1307	<b>'</b> )				
	<b>Education:</b> Physicians used SMB0 scheduled visits or when patients p <b>Diary:</b> SMBG results recorded in p		necessary to mod	lify drug prescript	ion both durin	g
Comparator	Intervention type: Fortnightly (gro	up B)				
	Instructions: not reported					
	<b>Frequency:</b> perform one BG profile after dinner BG measurements	e every 2 weeks with fasting, 2h after bre	eakfast, before lun	ch, 2h after lunch	, before dinne	er and
	Feedback: as group A					
	Diary: as group A					
Length of follow	Intervention:6 months					
up	Follow-up: 6 months					
Location	Italy					
measures and effect sizes		nt group B patients (p=0.031). In non-cor	mpliant patients HI	oa1c levels decre	ased more in	group
	patients and -0.5 ± 0.88 in compliant than in group B (p=0.026). Multivar correlation of Hba1c with BG before and before dinner (standard coefficient)	nt group B patients (p=0.031). In non-coriate linear regression of Hba1c related to e lunch (standard coefficient =0.246, p=0.03)	mpliant patients Hi pre- and post-pra	oa1c levels decre andial BG values	ased more in showed a sigr	grou nifica
	patients and -0.5 ± 0.88 in compliant than in group B (p=0.026). Multivar correlation of Hba1c with BG before and before dinner (standard coefficient)	nt group B patients (p=0.031). In non-cor iate linear regression of Hba1c related to e lunch (standard coefficient =0.246, p=0	mpliant patients Hi pre- and post-pra	oa1c levels decre andial BG values	ased more in showed a sigr	grou nifica
	patients and -0.5 ± 0.88 in compliant than in group B (p=0.026). Multivar correlation of Hba1c with BG before and before dinner (standard coefficient Table 2. Changes in blood glu	nt group B patients (p=0.031). In non-corriate linear regression of Hba1c related to e lunch (standard coefficient =0.246, p=0 cient=0.146, p=0.03)  ICOSE measures by compliance	mpliant patients H o pre- and post-pra 0.001), after lunch	oa1c levels decre andial BG values (standard coeffic	ased more in showed a sigr ient=0.199, p=	grou <sub>l</sub> nifica
	patients and -0.5 ± 0.88 in compliant than in group B (p=0.026). Multivar correlation of Hba1c with BG before and before dinner (standard coefficient Table 2. Changes in blood gluenger)	nt group B patients (p=0.031). In non-corriate linear regression of Hba1c related to e lunch (standard coefficient =0.246, p=0.000).    Cose measures by compliance   BG measure	mpliant patients Hi o pre- and post-pra 0.001), after lunch Baseline	pa1c levels decre andial BG values (standard coeffic	eased more in showed a sigr ient=0.199, p=	group nifica
	patients and -0.5 ± 0.88 in compliant than in group B (p=0.026). Multivar correlation of Hba1c with BG before and before dinner (standard coefficient Table 2. Changes in blood gluen Group Group A (all)	nt group B patients (p=0.031). In non-corriate linear regression of Hba1c related to e lunch (standard coefficient =0.246, p=0.0146, p=0.03)    Icose measures by compliance   BG measure   Hba1c (%)	mpliant patients HI o pre- and post-pra 0.001), after lunch  Baseline  8.04 ± 0.80	oa1c levels decre andial BG values (standard coeffic  6 months 7.79 ± 1.02	eased more in showed a sign ient=0.199, p= P-value 0.06	group nifica
	patients and -0.5 ± 0.88 in compliant than in group B (p=0.026). Multivar correlation of Hba1c with BG before and before dinner (standard coefficient Table 2. Changes in blood gluen Group Group A (all)	nt group B patients (p=0.031). In non-corriate linear regression of Hba1c related to e lunch (standard coefficient =0.246, p=0.000)  ICOSE measures by compliance  BG measure  Hba1c (%)  Hba1c (%)	mpliant patients HI pre- and post-pre- and	ea1c levels decreandial BG values (standard coeffice)  6 months  7.79 ± 1.02  7.78 ± 1.05	P-value 0.06 0.067	grou nifica
	patients and -0.5 ± 0.88 in compliant than in group B (p=0.026). Multivar correlation of Hba1c with BG before and before dinner (standard coefficient Table 2. Changes in blood gluen Group Group A (all)	nt group B patients (p=0.031). In non-corriate linear regression of Hba1c related to e lunch (standard coefficient =0.246, p=0.0146, p=0.03)  Icose measures by compliance  BG measure  Hba1c (%)  Hba1c (%)  BG before breakfast (mmol/L)	mpliant patients HI o pre- and post-pra 0.001), after lunch Baseline $8.04 \pm 0.80$ $7.97 \pm 0.72$ $7.70 \pm 1.45$	andial BG values (standard coeffice)  6 months  7.79 ± 1.02  7.78 ± 1.05  7.64 ± 1.78	P-value 0.06 0.067 0.697	grou nifica
	patients and -0.5 ± 0.88 in compliant than in group B (p=0.026). Multivar correlation of Hba1c with BG before and before dinner (standard coefficient Table 2. Changes in blood gluen Group Group A (all)	nt group B patients (p=0.031). In non-corriate linear regression of Hba1c related to e lunch (standard coefficient =0.246, p=0.0146, p=0.03)  ICOSE measures by compliance  BG measure  Hba1c (%)  Hba1c (%)  BG before breakfast (mmol/L)  BG After breakfast (mmol/L)	mpliant patients HI o pre- and post-pra 0.001), after lunch Baseline $8.04 \pm 0.80$ $7.97 \pm 0.72$ $7.70 \pm 1.45$	andial BG values (standard coeffice)  6 months  7.79 ± 1.02  7.78 ± 1.05  7.64 ± 1.78	P-value 0.06 0.067 0.697	grou <sub>l</sub> nifica
	patients and -0.5 ± 0.88 in compliant than in group B (p=0.026). Multivar correlation of Hba1c with BG before and before dinner (standard coefficient Table 2. Changes in blood gluen Group Group A (all)	nt group B patients (p=0.031). In non-corriate linear regression of Hba1c related to e lunch (standard coefficient =0.246, p=0.03)  Icose measures by compliance  BG measure  Hba1c (%)  Hba1c (%)  BG before breakfast (mmol/L)  BG Before lunch (mmol/L)	mpliant patients HI o pre- and post-pra 0.001), after lunch Baseline $8.04 \pm 0.80$ $7.97 \pm 0.72$ $7.70 \pm 1.45$ $8.51 \pm 1.68$	pa1c levels decreandial BG values (standard coeffice)  6 months  7.79 $\pm$ 1.02  7.78 $\pm$ 1.05  7.64 $\pm$ 1.78  8.30 $\pm$ 1.80	P-value 0.06 0.067 0.388	grou <sub>l</sub> nifica
	patients and -0.5 ± 0.88 in compliant than in group B (p=0.026). Multivar correlation of Hba1c with BG before and before dinner (standard coefficient Table 2. Changes in blood gluen Group Group A (all)	nt group B patients (p=0.031). In non-corriate linear regression of Hba1c related to e lunch (standard coefficient =0.246, p=0.0146, p=0.03)  ICOSE measures by compliance  BG measure  Hba1c (%)  Hba1c (%)  BG before breakfast (mmol/L)  BG After breakfast (mmol/L)  BG After lunch (mmol/L)	mpliant patients HI o pre- and post-pra 0.001), after lunch Baseline $8.04 \pm 0.80$ $7.97 \pm 0.72$ $7.70 \pm 1.45$ $8.51 \pm 1.68$	pa1c levels decreandial BG values (standard coeffice)  6 months  7.79 $\pm$ 1.02  7.78 $\pm$ 1.05  7.64 $\pm$ 1.78  8.30 $\pm$ 1.80	P-value 0.06 0.067 0.388 - 0.318	grou <sub>l</sub> nifica
	patients and -0.5 ± 0.88 in compliant than in group B (p=0.026). Multivar correlation of Hba1c with BG before and before dinner (standard coefficient Table 2. Changes in blood gluen Group Group A (all)	nt group B patients (p=0.031). In non-corriate linear regression of Hba1c related to e lunch (standard coefficient =0.246, p=0 cient=0.146, p=0.03)  ICOSE MEASURE  BG MEASURE  Hba1c (%)  Hba1c (%)  BG before breakfast (mmol/L)  BG After breakfast (mmol/L)  BG After lunch (mmol/L)  BG Before dinner (mmol/L)	mpliant patients HI pre- and post-pre- and	ba1c levels decreandial BG values (standard coeffice)  6 months  7.79 $\pm$ 1.02  7.78 $\pm$ 1.05  7.64 $\pm$ 1.78  8.30 $\pm$ 1.80  -  8.74 $\pm$ 2.00	P-value 0.06 0.067 0.388 - 0.318	group nificar

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Bonomo et al 2010 (REF ID: 1307)				
	Group B (Compliant, n=78)	Hba1c (%)	8.09 ± 0.84	$7.60 \pm 0.73$	<0.001
		BG before breakfast (mmol/L)	7.63 ± 1.57	7.19 ± 1.52	0.013
		BG After breakfast (mmol/L)	8.79 ± 1.99	8.19 ± 1.63	0.004
		BG Before lunch (mmol/L)	7.49 ± 1.93	6.91 ± 1.43	0.003
		BG After lunch (mmol/L)	9.10 ± 1.91	8.73 ± 1.55	0.122
		BG Before dinner (mmol/L)	7.18 ± 1.82	6.68 ± 1.50	0.037
		BG After dinner (mmol/L)	9.04 ± 1.67	8.50 ± 1.63	0.002
	Group B (Not compliant, n=99)	Hba1c (%)	8.03 ± 0.80	8.08 ± 1.02	0.70
	Other outcome measures (Changes compliant patients in either group A (E Changes in lipid levels: not reported Quality of life: not reported	3MI $29.14 \pm 4.81$ vs. $29.14 \pm 4.91$ ) or			
	compliant patients in either group A (E Changes in lipid levels: not reported Quality of life: not reported Drug changes: this included increase group B patients than in group A patients than in group A patients that in group a patients that in group a patients that in group A patients are group a glinide.	BMI 29.14 ± 4.81 vs. 29.14 ± 4.91) or d es or decreases in drug dose and char ents (54% vs. 47%), however, this differential post-prandial BG were rare with	group B (BMI 28.2 nges in drug type a erence was not sta only 2 [patients in	± 3.59 vs. 28.08  and were more free tistically significal group A and 6 pages	± 3.53) equent in compliar nt. Changes to atients in group B
	compliant patients in either group A (E Changes in lipid levels: not reported Quality of life: not reported Drug changes: this included increase group B patients than in group A patients are group B patients than in group A patients are group B patients than in group A patients are group B p	BMI 29.14 ± 4.81 vs. 29.14 ± 4.91) or different ses or decreases in drug dose and chartes (54% vs. 47%), however, this different post-prandial BG were rare with simol/I were recorded in 3/70 compliant corded in compliant patients.	group B (BMI 28.2 nges in drug type a erence was not sta only 2 [patients in	± 3.59 vs. 28.08  and were more free tistically significal group A and 6 pages	± 3.53) equent in compliar nt. Changes to atients in group B
	compliant patients in either group A (E Changes in lipid levels: not reported Quality of life: not reported Drug changes: this included increase group B patients than in group A patient hypoglycaemic drugs specifically targestarting a glinide.  Adverse events: BG readings <3.3m while no BG value <3.3mmol/l was readings.	BMI 29.14 ± 4.81 vs. 29.14 ± 4.91) or d  es or decreases in drug dose and charents (54% vs. 47%), however, this differentiating post-prandial BG were rare with amol/I were recorded in 3/70 compliant corded in compliant patients.  complication: not reported	group B (BMI 28.2)  nges in drug type a erence was not state only 2 [patients in the group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are g	± 3.59 vs. 28.08  and were more free tistically significal group A and 6 pages	± 3.53) equent in compliar nt. Changes to atients in group B
Authors'	compliant patients in either group A (E Changes in lipid levels: not reported Quality of life: not reported Drug changes: this included increase group B patients than in group A patient hypoglycaemic drugs specifically targestarting a glinide.  Adverse events: BG readings <3.3m while no BG value <3.3mmol/l was reading to the province of the patient	amil 29.14 ± 4.81 vs. 29.14 ± 4.91) or disconding the sor decreases in drug dose and chartents (54% vs. 47%), however, this differential post-prandial BG were rare with smol/I were recorded in 3/70 compliant corded in compliant patients.  complication: not reported one measures for subgroups by compliant patients.	group B (BMI 28.2)  Inges in drug type a erence was not state only 2 [patients in the group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are	± 3.59 vs. 28.08  and were more freatistically significal group A and 6 pa  and 1/78 complian	± 3.53) equent in compliar nt. Changes to tients in group B nt group B patient
	compliant patients in either group A (E Changes in lipid levels: not reported Quality of life: not reported Drug changes: this included increase group B patients than in group A patient hypoglycaemic drugs specifically targestarting a glinide.  Adverse events: BG readings <3.3m while no BG value <3.3mmol/l was red Microvascular and macrovascular of Subgroup analyses: see blood gluco	amil 29.14 ± 4.81 vs. 29.14 ± 4.91) or desor decreases in drug dose and charents (54% vs. 47%), however, this differential post-prandial BG were rare with amol/I were recorded in 3/70 compliant corded in compliant patients.  complication: not reported one measures for subgroups by complications associated with improvements.	group B (BMI 28.2)  Inges in drug type a erence was not state only 2 [patients in the group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are group A patients and the group A patients are	± 3.59 vs. 28.08  and were more freatistically significal group A and 6 pa  and 1/78 complian	± 3.53) equent in compliar nt. Changes to tients in group B nt group B patient

### Evidence table 12: (Pimazoni-Netto et al 2011)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Pimazoni-Netto et al 2011 (REF ID: 932)
Study type & aim	RCT to test the hypothesis that more frequent adjustment of therapy, combined with a multifactorial interdisciplinary approach, could result in more rapid improvement in glycemic control

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Pimazoni-Netto et al 2011 (REF ID: 932)						
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 63 (32 in intensive Inclusion criteria: 35–75 years old and poor gexclusion criteria: not reported Patient characteristics: baseline characteristics.  Table 1. Baseline characteristics of inclusions.	glycemic control (HbA1C ≥ 8.0	)%)				
	Characteristic	Intensive group (n=32)	Control group (n=31)				
	Age (years)	54.5 (1.7)	58.4 (1.7)	_			
	Total patients (n)	32	31				
	% male/% female	28%/72%	29%/71%	_			
	Time since diagnosis (years)	11.4 (1.3)	13.3 (1.2)				
	Weekly mean glycemia (mg/dL) at Week 0	216.5 (5.6)	210.2 (9.3)				
	A1C (%) at Week 0	10.3 (0.3)	10.0 (0.3)				
	Glycemic variability (mg/dL) at Week 0	69.4 (4.1)	66.0 (4.0)				
	Weight (kg) at Week 0	86.4 (3.4)*	76.7 (2.8)				
	BMI (kg/m2)	32.1 (1.3)	30.2 (0.9)				
	Data are mean ± SEM values *one patient was morbidly obese (184.1kg at baseline)						
	Subgroup analyses: No pre-specified or post-hoc subgroup analyses reported						
Monitoring information and definitions	Monitoring: see intervention details  Definitions: N/A  Primary outcome measures: Blood glucose measures  Secondary outcome measures: weight and hypoglycaemia  Other outcome measures: N/A						
Intervention	Intervention type: Intensive SMBG Instructions: All subjects were provided with a trained in the use of meter. At each visit, all parclinical symptoms of hypoglycemia. Frequency: asked to perform a six- or seven-Week 12. Feedback: Patients in the intensive therapy grants.	tients in both groups were sys	stematically asked whether or not consecutive days on eight occasion	they had experienced ons: Weeks 0-6 and			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)		Pimazoni-Netto et al 2011 (REF ID: 932)							
	at 12 weeks. During these visits the patients received evaluation of glycemic control, adjustment of pharmacotherapy, and a total of 10 h of education and training by a multidisciplinary diabetes care team (physicians, nurses, nutritionists, psychologist, and physical therapists) over the seven visits from Week 0 through Week 6. Adjustment of pharmacotherapy was done on a weekly basis by one physician for Weeks 0–6 for subjects in the intensive treatment group  Education: see feedback  Diary: not reported								
Comparator	Intervention type: Control (SMBG without intensive education and feedback) Instructions: as intensive SMBG above Frequency: asked to perform a six- or seven-point glucose profile for three consecutive days on three occasions: Weeks 0, 6, and 12. Feedback: follow-up visits at Weeks 6 and 12 and received only 2 h of education regarding diabetes, nutrition, and exercise at Week 0. Adjustment of therapy was done at Week 0 and Week 6. Download of meter glucose data was performed only at Weeks 0, 6, and 12 in this group, but these data were not made available to the clinician treating the control group patient until Week 12. Education: see feedback Diary: not reported								
Length of follow up		Intervention: 12 weeks Follow-up: 12 weeks							
Location	Brazil								
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Primary outcome m follow-up period.  Table 1. Changes	· ·	in blood glucos	<b>e control):</b> see	e table one for	changes in b	lood glucose n	neasure acr	oss
		1	ntensive group			Control group	p	P-valu intensi con	ve vs.
	Outcome measures	Week 0	Week0-6	Week 0-12	Week 0	Week0-6	Week 0-12	6 week	12 weeks
	WMG (mg/dL) *	216.45 ± 5.57	-76.65 ± 8.92†	-60.96 ± 10.55†	210.20 ± 9.27	-20.46 ± 8.08**	-34.11 ± 10.25**	1x10- 5***	0.038
	Glycemic variability as SD (mg/dL)	69.37 ± 4.12	-16.30 ± 3.12†	-15.75 ± 3.00†	65.95 ± 4.04	-5.04 ± 3.12NS -	-7.20 ± 3.52*	0.010*	0.036*
	A1C (%)	10.29 ± 0.25	-1.82 ± 0.16†	-2.26 ± 0.23†	10.01 ± 0.25	-0.66 ± 0.22***	-1.29 ± 0.24***	1x10- 5***	0.003

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Pimazoni-Netto et al	2011 (REF ID: 93	32)						
	Weight (kg)	86.42 ± 3.41	-0.10 ± 0.40NS	0.12 ± 0.60NS	6.65 ± 2.76	0.05 ± 0.28NS	0.73 ± 0.35*	0.76	0.39
	Data are mean – 1 SEM for baseline values and changes between baseline and Week 12 in weekly mean glycemia (WMG), glycemic variability as SD, glycated hemoglobin (A1C), weight, and percentage of subjects in good glycemic control. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, *****P < 1x10- 6. NS, not significant (P > 0.05).								
	Other outcome measures (Changes in weight or BMI): see table one for changes in weight across the follow-up period Changes in lipid levels: not reported Quality of life: not reported								
	Adverse events: The frequency of hypoglycemic events (% of glucose values ≤ 60 mg/dL) was slightly increased in the intensive treatment group (4.11 ±0.96%) compared with the control group (2.24 ±0.64%), but this difference was not statistically significant (P > 0.05). There were no reports of severe hypoglycaemia in either group.  Microvascular and macrovascular complication: not reported  Subgroup analyses: N/A								
Authors' conclusion	This short-term pilot s improvement of glycer						ns program re	sulted in dra	matic
Source of funding	Roche Diagnostics of	Brazil provided A	ccu-Chek Perform	na glucose met	ers, monitoring	g supplies, an	d the Accu-Ch	nek 360 soft	ware
Comments	N/A								

### Evidence table 13: (Polonsky et al 2011 STEP study)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Polonsky et al 2011-STEP study (REF ID: 81)
Study type & aim	Cluster RCT To assess the effectiveness of structured blood glucose testing in poorly controlled, noninsulin-treated type 2 diabetes.
Number and characteristics of patients	<b>Total number of patients:</b> 34 primary care practices that were then randomized with stratification to ACG (n = 13) or STG (n = 21). 499 patients were eligible and enrolled in the study. Of these, 7 patients (ACG, n = 1; STG, n = 6) withdrew consent, and 9 patients (ACG, n = 2; STG, n = 7) were lost to follow-up. The remaining 483 patients (ACG, n = 227; STG, n = 256) were included in the ITT cohort. An additional 84 patients (ACG, n = 26; STG, n = 58) were excluded from the PP analyses because of protocol nonadherence. Thus, the PP cohort included 161 (71%) ACG patients and 130 (51%) STG patients <b>Inclusion criteria:</b> duration of type 2 diabetes >1 year; aged ≥25 years; HbA1C level 7.5–12.0%; currently treated by diet, exercise, oral diabetes medication, and/or injectable incretin mimetic; able to read and write English without assistance; and had not participated in any other research protocol within the last 30 days <b>Exclusion criteria:</b> type 1 diabetes; managed with insulin at the start of the study; C-peptide level ≤0.50ng/mL; used systemic oral or inhaled steroids more than 14 days within the last 3 months; treated with chemotherapy or radiation therapy; pregnant or

#### Polonsky et al 2011-STEP study (REF ID: 81)

breastfeeding; or had severe depression or other severe psychological conditions

Patient characteristics: table one and two show baseline characteristics of practice sites and patients included in this cluster RCT. During the study, 15 patients discontinued, 24 withdrew consent, and 69 were lost to follow-up, all primarily because of time or other life demands. Dropouts were slightly younger (P < 0.02), more likely to be African American (P < 0.02), had a higher A1C (P < 0.01), and had fewer comorbid conditions at baseline (P < 0.02). Characteristics of the dropouts were not significantly different between the two study groups. Patient demographic and disease-related characteristics at baseline between the two study groups differed only by age and ethnicity. These differences were controlled in all subsequent analyses

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of included practice sites (N=34)

Practice sites	All sites	ACG	STG	P-value
n	34	13	21	-
Physician age: mean (SD) age (years)	44.8 (7.7)	43.3 (6.4)	45.7 (8.4)	0.3867
Gender: male	27 (79.4)	11 (84.6)	16 (76.2)	0.5549
Years in practice: mean (SD) (years)	13.1 (7.9)	11.3 (7.2)	14.1 (8.3)	0.3441
Type of practice	-	-	-	0.4289
Primary care	27 (79.5)	10 (76.9)	17 (81.0)	-
Multispecialty care	6 (17.6)	2 (15.4)	4 (19.0)	-
Primary care/multispecialty care	1 (2.9)	1 (7.7)	0 (0.0)	-
Number of type 2 diabetic patients: mean (SD)	1,084 (1,483)	1,250 (2,023)	978 (1,065)	0.6276
Primary location of practice	-	-	-	0.3024
Rural setting	10 (29.4)	2 (15.4)	8 (38.1)	-
Suburban	17 (50.0)	9 (69.2)	8 (38.1)	-
Urban	6 (17.6)	2 (15.4)	4 (19.0)	-
Urban and suburban	1 (3.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (4.8)	-

#### Table 2. Baseline characteristics of included patients

Patients	All sites	ACG	STG	P-value
N	483	227	256	-

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Polonsky et al 2011-STEP study (REF ID: 8	31)						
	Patient age: mean (SD) age (years)	55.8 (10.7)	57.0 (11.2)	54.8 (10.1)	0.0197			
	Gender: male	257 (53.2)	122 (53.7)	135 (52.7)	0.8243			
	Ethnicity	-	-	-	0.0004			
	African American	150 (31.1)	72 (31.7)	78 (30.5)	-			
	Caucasian	305 (63.1)	152 (67.0)	153 (59.8)	-			
	Other	28 (5.8)	3 (1.3)	25 (9.8)	-			
	Highest level of education	-	-	-	0.1002			
	No college	253 (52.7)	114 (50.9)	139 (54.3)	-			
	Some college	98 (20.4)	40 (17.9)	58 (22.7)	-			
	College graduate	129 (26.9)	70 (31.3)	59 (23.0)	-			
	A1C: mean (SD) A1C (%)	8.9 (1.2)	8.9 (1.2)	8.9 (1.2)	0.8751			
	BMI: mean (SD) BMI (kg/m2)	35.1 (7.3)	35.1 (6.7)	35.0 (7.8)	0.8851			
	Diabetes duration: mean (SD) (years)	7.6 (6.1)	7.7 (6.1)	7.5 (6.1)	0.6547			
	Values are n (%) unless stated otherwise							
	Subgroup analyses: methods specify that STG patients that adhered and did not adhere would be analysed separately							
Monitoring information and definitions	Monitoring: patient visits occurring at initial screening and baseline followed by visits at months 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12.  Definitions: GWB was measured using theWHO-5 Well-Being Index assessment tool, a widely used, five-item questionnaire with total score range of from 0–100 (higher scores indicating more positive well-being).  Recommended pharmacologic modification (defined as the initiation of a new medication, increase or decrease in the dose of an existing medication, or termination of an existing medication) and recommended lifestyle modification (defined as any change in diexercise, or other self-care behaviour).  Adherence in the ACG was defined as those who completed the study (with ≥4 visits) and did not use structured SMBG records the were similar to the Accu-Chek 360° View blood glucose analysis system intervention tool. Adherence in the STG was defined as those who completed at least 80% of all blood glucose values on the intervention tool, brought their completed tool to the clinic visit and reported that their physicians looked at the tool and discussed the results (via the Post-Visit Questionnaire) at ≥4 of the 5 clinic visits  Primary outcome measures: change in HbA1C from screening to 12 months  Secondary outcome measures: general well-being, treatment changes, frequency of SMBG, seven point blood glucose profiles							
Intervention	Other outcome measures: N/A Intervention type: Structured testing group ( Instructions: Patients in both arms received		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Polonsky et al 2011-STEP study (REI	F ID: 81)					
	Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN), and they analysis system (Roche Diagnostics), a preprandial/ 2-h postprandial at each m 12), to document meal sizes and energuse of the Accu-Chek system, including problems through changes in physical a Frequency: at least quarterly  Feedback: STG physicians/staff received described various pharmacologic/lifesty identified. Physicians were free to select Education: see instructions above	were instructed validated tool the eal, bedtime) or y levels, and to eat instructions for activity, portion seed training on in the treatment stratt from these options	nat enabled pate a 3 consecutive comment on the how to identify sizes, and/or meterpreting the sategies that coutions based on	ients to record/ days prior to e eir SMBG expe problematic gli eal composition structured data ald be used in re	/plot a 7- point Sach scheduled striences. STG paycemic patterns and were providesponse to the sach	SMBG profile (f study visit (mon articipants rece and how best ded with an alg specific SMBG	asting, inths 1, 3, 6, 9, and eived training in the to address such orithm that
Comparator	Diary: not reported but results recorded as per instructions above  Intervention type: Active control group (ACG)-enhanced usual care						
Comparator	Instructions: ACG subjects did not recephysicians' recommendations but received Frequency: not reported Feedback: ACG physicians and staff rediary: not reported	eive the Accu-C ved no additiona	hek system. A0 al SMBG promp			use their mete	r following their
Length of follow up	Intervention: 12 months Follow-up: 12 months						
Location	USA						
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Primary outcome measures (Change analysis revealed that both groups show greater mean (SE) reductions in A1C thanalysis revealed an even greater meawith ACG subjects: -1.3% (0.11) vs0.4 and postprandial glucose levels at all machines in the significant drop from month 1 to month < 0.005), lunch (25 mg/dL to 17 mg/dL, glucose excursions indicated significant mg/dL (0.9) at month 1 to 34.3 mg/dL (1.5). Table 3. Changes in Hba1c across	wed significant rean ACG subject (SE) A1C reduces (0.11); $\Delta = -0.0003$ (P = 0.0003) m (I.0) at month 12	eductions in A1 is over the 12 n action among th 0.5%; P < 0.003 time from montal to postprandia supper (34 to 20 ean (SE) reduction.	C levels; hower months: -1.2% ( lose STG subjects 3. STG subjects h 1 to month 12 al glucose excu 6 mg/dL, P <0.0	ever, STG subje (0.09) vs0.9% ects who adhere s showed signifi 2 (in all cases, F ursions at all me 05). Measureme	cts evidenced: $(0.10)$ ; $\Delta = -0.3$ ; od to the intervence cantly lower as $P < 0.001$ ). The sals: breakfast ents of mean a	significantly %; P = 0.04. PP ention compared verage preprandial ere was a (44 to 35 mg/dL, P mplitude of
	Treatment group	, , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Month 1	Month 3			

reference (Ref ID)	Polonsky et al 2011-STEP study (REF	F ID: 81)						
	ITT analysis	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	ACG	8.9% (0.08)	8.7% (0.1)	8.2% (0.1)	7.9% (0.1)	8.0% (0.1)	8.0% (0.1)	
	STG (all)	8.9% (0.07)	8.5% (0.09)	7.9% (0.09)	7.6% (0.09)	7.6% (0.09)	7.7% (0.09)	
	PP analysis	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	ACG	8.9% (0.1)	8.7% (0.1)	8.3% (0.1)	8.0% (0.1)	8.1% (0.1)	8.0% (0.1)	
	STG (adherent patients)	8.8% (0.1)	8.5% (0.11)	7.9% (0.11)	7.6% (0.11)	7.5% (0.11)	7.6% (0.11)	
	STG (nonadherent patients)	8.9% (0.14)	8.5% (0.15)	7.9% (0.15)	7.7% (0.15)	7.7% (0.16)	8.0% (0.15)	
	All data presented as mean ± SE							
	Adverse events: There were no intervention-related adverse events. Over the 12 months, no severe hypoglycaemic events were reported. The incidence of hypoglycemia (<70 mg/dL), based on downloaded meter data, was 1.9% in the ACG and 1.8% in the STG (P = NS).  Changes in treatment: significantly more STG patients received a treatment change recommendation at the month 1 visit compared with ACG patients, regardless of the patient's baseline A1C level: 179 (75.5%) vs. 61 (28.0%); P < 0.0001. almost twice as many STG patients were started on intermediate or long-acting insulin than ACG patients between the month 1 and month 12 visits: 42 vs. 23; P = 0.046. ITT analyses excluding patients who began insulin during the study period also indicated significant decreases in A1C for both the ACG and the STG, with STG patients still demonstrating significantly greater reductions in A1C by month 12 than ACG patients: -1.3% (0.10) vs1.0% (0.10); $\Delta$ = -0.3%; P = 0.03  Microvascular and macrovascular complication: not reported							
	STG patients were started on intermed visits: 42 vs. 23; P = 0.046. ITT analyst decreases in A1C for both the ACG and month 12 than ACG patients: -1.3% (0.000).  Microvascular and macrovascular controls.	ediate or long- es excluding pa I the STG, with 10) vs1.0% (0 emplication: no	A1C level: 179 acting insulin tients who bega STG patients si $(0.10)$ ; $\Delta = -0.3\%$ ; at reported	(75.5%) vs. 61 than ACG pation an insulin during till demonstration P = 0.03	(28.0%); P < 0.  ents between to g the study perion g significantly (	0001. almost to he month 1 and also indicate greater reduction	wice as many ad month 12 ed significant	
	STG patients were started on intermed visits: 42 vs. 23; P = 0.046. ITT analysed decreases in A1C for both the ACG and month 12 than ACG patients: -1.3% (0.00) Microvascular and macrovascular consubgroup analyses: see subgroup analyses.	ediate or long- es excluding pa I the STG, with 10) vs1.0% (0 implication: no alysis by adhere	A1C level: 179 acting insulin to tients who begans STG patients so $0.10$ ; $\Delta = -0.3\%$ ; at reported ence as part of $0.10$ .	(75.5%) vs. 61 than ACG pational insulin during till demonstration P = 0.03	(28.0%); P < 0.  ents between to g the study perion ng significantly of od glucose leve	0001. almost to he month 1 an od also indicate greater reductio	wice as many ad month 12 ed significant ons in A1C by	
	STG patients were started on intermed visits: 42 vs. 23; P = 0.046. ITT analyst decreases in A1C for both the ACG and month 12 than ACG patients: -1.3% (0.000).  Microvascular and macrovascular controls.	ediate or long- es excluding pa I the STG, with 10) vs1.0% (0 implication: no alysis by adhere gnificantly impro	A1C level: 179  acting insulin to tients who began STG patients so (1.10); Δ= -0.3%; at reported tence as part of express glycaemic.	(75.5%) vs. 61 than ACG patie an insulin during till demonstratir P = 0.03 changes in bloc control and faci	(28.0%); P < 0.  ents between to g the study perion ng significantly of od glucose leve	0001. almost to he month 1 an od also indicate greater reductio	wice as many ad month 12 ed significant ons in A1C by	
Authors' conclusion Source of funding	STG patients were started on intermed visits: 42 vs. 23; P = 0.046. ITT analysed decreases in A1C for both the ACG and month 12 than ACG patients: -1.3% (0.00) Microvascular and macrovascular consubgroup analyses: see subgroup analyses: see subgroup analyses: see subgroup analyses in noninsulin-treated type 2 diagram.	ediate or long- es excluding pa I the STG, with 10) vs1.0% (0 emplication: no alysis by adhere gnificantly impro- abetes without o	A1C level: 179  acting insulin to tients who began STG patients so (1.10); Δ= -0.3%; at reported tence as part of express glycaemic.	(75.5%) vs. 61 than ACG patie an insulin during till demonstratir P = 0.03 changes in bloc control and faci	(28.0%); P < 0.  ents between to g the study perion ng significantly of od glucose leve	0001. almost to he month 1 an od also indicate greater reductio	wice as many ad month 12 ed significant ons in A1C by	

Evidence table 14: (Kwon et al 2004
-------------------------------------

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Kwon et al 2004 (REF ID: 1227)
Study type & aim	RCT investigating the effectiveness of an Internet-based blood glucose monitoring system (IBGMS) on controlling the changes in HbA1c levels
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 110 randomised (55 in each arm), 51 in intervention group and 50 in control group completed the 12 week study Inclusion criteria: Men and women diagnosed with type 2 diabetes for ≥1 year. All enrolled participants in this study had Internet access in their homes for this specialized web based diabetes management system and were ≥30 years of age.  Exclusion criteria: significant diseases that were likely to affect the outcome and compliance of this study. Such diseases or conditions included heart failure, hepatic dysfunction, renal insufficiency with a creatinine level >1.5 mg/dl, and use of insulin pumps. Patients who had any history of participating in other programs that provided any information or education for diabetes management from specific websites other than ours were also excluded  Patient characteristics: The dropout rates were very similar between the intervention and control group. There were no significant differences between the two groups with respect to age, BMI, diabetes duration, and glucose control methods or in terms of laboratory data, including baseline HbA1c, fasting plasma glucose, total cholesterol, triglyceride, HDL, blood urea nitrogen, and creatinine. The average frequency of blood glucose monitoring during study period in intervention group was 71.5 ± 36.2 and 38.1 ± 24.8 in control group.
	Table 1 Baseline characteristics of study participants (N=110)

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study participants (N=110)

Characteristic	Control group (n=55)	Intervention group (n=55)	P-value
n	55	55	-
Age (years)	54.7 ± 9.4	53.5 ± 8.8	0.507
Sex (M/F)	32/18	35/16	0.623
BMI (kg/m2)	23.9 ± 3.1	24.4 ± 3.4	0.493
Diabetes duration (years)	$6.6 \pm 5.7$	7.0 ± 6.3	0.751
Diagnosis of hypertension (n)	13	17	0.420
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	128.5 ± 17.0	124.7 ± 15.8	0.999
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	77.0 ± 9.7	77.5 ± 8.7	0.254
HbA1c (%)	7.19 ± 1.17	7.59 ± 1.43	0.133
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl)	136.4 ± 32.3	136.0 ± 35.0	0.826
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	180.9 ± 28.9	188.8 ± 30.10	0.231
Triglyceride (mg/dl)	136.8 ± 94.0	154.7 ± 98.1	0.358
HDL (mg/dl)	47.9 ± 13.2	47.7 ± 11.0	0.925

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Kwon et al 2004 (REF ID: 1227)								
	Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl)	16.2 ± 5.2	15.2 ± 3.8	0.393					
	Creatinine (mg/dl)	$0.9 \pm 0.3$	$0.9 \pm 0.2$	0.498					
	Data are means ± SD (unless specified)	Data are means ± SD (unless specified)							
	Subgroup analyses: some pre-specified analyses by baseline Hba1c level								
Monitoring	Monitoring: see intervention details								
information and definitions	Definitions: N/A								
deminions	Primary outcome measures: HbA1c was treated a	as the major outcome vari	iable						
	Secondary outcome measures: N/A								
Intervention		monitoring system (IRG	:MS)						
	Other outcome measures: N/A  Intervention type: internet based blood glucose monitoring system (IBGMS)  Instructions: Patients in the intervention group were taught how to use the Internet-based system and used the IBGMS for 12 weeks without outpatient management visits. Patients sent information about their self-monitored blood glucose levels before and after eatin (fasting and postprandial) and drug information including the types and dosages of insulin and oral anti-diabetic medication used for diabetes control. when necessary, changes in their blood pressure or weight and any questions or detailed information the patient may have (for example, diet, exercise, hypoglycaemic event, or other factors that can cause changes in the glucose level) were also recorded  Frequency: Before starting this program, we recommended our patients in both groups to check their blood glucose3 days a week (one to three times a day) including postprandial level according to the level of glycemic control. During the study period, patients in the intervention group recorded their glucose level one to three times per day for an average of 20 days a month,  Feedback: For this program, our staff consisted of three endocrinology specialists (one professor and two fellows), three nurses, two dietitians, and four programmers. For the intervention group, two endocrinology fellows checked in with the system daily. They analysed all uploaded blood glucose data or questions regarding medication and hypoglycemic episodes and sent recommendations to the patients in the intervention group according to the diabetes management guidelines. No automated algorithm was used, If there was any need to change the patient's medication or dosage, the two endocrinology fellows referred the case to the professor. Three nurses mainly commented upon lifestyle modification, including exercise, and the two dietitians supplied individually modified medical nutrition therapy. All of the responses from the nurses and dietitians were also monitored by medical staff								
Comparator	Intervention type: control (clinic visits) Instructions: Patients in the control group were un visited the diabetes center monthly and received the Participants in the control group met the professor to	eir usual outpatient treatm	nent from their physicians during	the study period.					

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2015

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Kwon et al 2004 (REF ID: 1227)							
	diabetic patients, when the patients in the control group visited our center, they were provided recommendations about medication medication dosage, lifestyle modification, and so on from the endocrinology specialist (professor, not fellows). When the doctor che to consult for special education or if the patient wished, the dietitian or nurse came to aid with a more individualized and detailed information for lifestyle modification  Frequency: patients in the control group visited the diabetes center two or three times in 12 weeks  Feedback: see instructions  Diary: not reported							
ength of follow	Intervention: 12 weeks Follow-up: 12 weeks							
Location	Korea							
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Table 2. Hba1c levels in both treat	,						
	Patients	n	Hba	1c	P-value			
	Total	-	-		0.001			
	Control	50	7.62 ±	0.13	-			
	Intervention	51	6.94 ±	0.13	-			
	Intervention HbA1c <7% at baseline	51	6.94 ±	0.13	- 0.046			
		26	6.94 ± 6.99 ±		- 0.046 -			
	HbA1c <7% at baseline	-		0.18	- 0.046 - -			
	HbA1c <7% at baseline Control	26	6.99 ±	0.18	- 0.046 - - 0.001			
	HbA1c <7% at baseline  Control  Intervention	26	6.99 ±	0.18	-			
	HbA1c <7% at baseline  Control  Intervention  HbA1c ≥7% at baseline	26 18	6.99 ± 6.38 ±	0.18 0.22 0.19	-			
	HbA1c <7% at baseline  Control  Intervention  HbA1c ≥7% at baseline  Control	26 18 24 33	6.99 ± 6.38 ± 8.12 ± 7.38 ±	0.18 0.22 0.19 0.16	- - 0.001 - -			
	HbA1c <7% at baseline  Control  Intervention  HbA1c ≥7% at baseline  Control  Intervention	26 18 24 33 justed according to HbA1c at	6.99 ± 6.38 ± 8.12 ± 7.38 ±	0.18 0.22 0.19 0.16	- - 0.001 - -			
	HbA1c <7% at baseline  Control  Intervention  HbA1c ≥7% at baseline  Control  Intervention  Data are means ± SE. Means were ad	26 18 24 33 justed according to HbA1c at	6.99 ± 6.38 ± 8.12 ± 7.38 ± baseline. *P for	0.18 0.22 0.19 0.16 control vs. inte	- - 0.001 - -			
	HbA1c <7% at baseline  Control  Intervention  HbA1c ≥7% at baseline  Control  Intervention  Data are means ± SE. Means were ad  Table 3. Changes in outcomes at	26 18 24 33 justed according to HbA1c at	6.99 ± 6.38 ± 8.12 ± 7.38 ± baseline. *P for	0.18 0.22 0.19 0.16 control vs. inte	- 0.001 - - ervention			
	HbA1c <7% at baseline  Control Intervention  HbA1c ≥7% at baseline  Control Intervention  Data are means ± SE. Means were ad  Table 3. Changes in outcomes at	26 18 24 33 justed according to HbA1c at  12 week follow-up Δ from baseline in co	6.99 ± 6.38 ± 8.12 ± 7.38 ± baseline. *P for	0.18 0.22 0.19 0.16 control vs. inte	- 0.001 ervention			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Kwon et al 2004 (REF ID: 1227)						
	Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	+7.30	3.33				
	Triglyceride (mg/dl)	+13.5	19.5				
	HDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	+2.70*	+2.91				
	LDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	+1.88	1.93				
	*significant difference from baseline (p<0.	.05)					
	Changes in lipid levels: see table 3 Quality of life: not reported Adverse events: not reported Microvascular and macrovascular complication: not reported Subgroup analyses: see blood glucose levels						
Authors' conclusion	This new IBGMS resulted in a significant remethod for improving diabetes control	eduction of HbA1c during the study per	riod. We propose that this IBGMS be used as a				
Source of funding	Supported by the 2001 Korea Health Promotion Research Program and the Korea Health 21 R&D Project, Ministry of Health and Welfare of Republic of Korea Grant						
Source of funding		otion Research Program and the Kore	ea Health 21 R&D Project, Ministry of Health and				

#### Evidence table 15: (Cho et al 2009)

Evidence table 10.	(One et al 2003)
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Cho et al 2009 (REF ID: 195)
Study type & aim	RCT to investigate the effectiveness of the diabetes phone for blood glucose control compared with the effectiveness of the internet based glucose monitoring system (IBGMS)
Number and characteristics of patients	<b>Total number of patients:</b> 75 patients were enrolled (69 completed 12 weeks, Internet n=37, phone group n=38) <b>Inclusion criteria:</b> patents with type 2 diabetes who were able to access the internet and to communicate through a mobile phone using the SMS
	<b>Exclusion criteria:</b> significant disease conditions such as symptomatic heart failure, aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels more than twice the normal level, renal disease and creatinine level>1.5mg/dL. Patients were also excluded if they participated in other programmes that provided information or education about diabetes management from any internet websites or by any mobile phone other than the one being examined in the current study
	Patient characteristics: dropout rates were similar between groups, with 3 participants in each group not completing the study. The

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Cho et al 2009 (REF ID: 195)						
, ,	groups did not significantly differ in age, Bl	MI, diabetes duration, blood	pressure, Hba1c and lipid	profiles.			
	Table 1. Baseline characteristics of	included practice sites (	(N=69)				
	Practice sites	Internet group (n=34)	Phone group (n=35)	P-value			
	Age, years (SD)	45.2 (11.3)	51.1 (13.2)	0.11			
	Male/female	26/8	28/7	0.31			
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> (SD)	23.6 (3.0)	25.3 (4.7)	0.06			
	Diabetes duration, years (SD)	5.3 (4.8)	8.2 (7.8)	0.43			
	Systolic blood pressure, mmHg (SD)	126.4 (12.8)	131.1 (13.4)	0.17			
	Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg (SD)	79.5 (10.4)	79.3 (10.9)	0.69			
	Hba1c, % (SD)	7.6 (1.9)	8.3 (2.3)	0.30			
	Fasting plasma glucose, mg/dL (SD)	167.5 (73.7)	146.6 (49.7)	0.14			
	2h post meal glucose	269.2 (99.1)	246.1 (65.0)	0.26			
	Total cholesterol, mg/dL (SD)	175.0 (38.3)	187.5 (28.6)	0.13			
	Triglycerides, mg/dL (SD)	4.4 (1.0)	4.7 (0.7)	0.11			
	HDL cholesterol, mg/dL (SD)	50.8 (14.7)	47.3 (14.4)	0.37			
	LDL cholesterol, mg/dL (SD)	97.0 (26.9)	109.0 (29.1)	0.055			
	Subgroup analyses: none pre-specified						
Monitoring information and	Monitoring: measurements taken at initial Definitions: N/A	l and final interview					
definitions	Primary outcome measures: satisfaction with medical service and adherence with doctor's recommendations. To assess the degree of satisfaction and adherence, these responses were classified into 5 and 4 levels respectively. For satisfaction (level 1= >90% satisfaction, level 2= >80% satisfaction, level 3= >50% satisfaction, level 4= 30% satisfaction, level 5= >20% satisfaction) and for adherence (level 1=patients obeyed >90% of recommendations, level2=70-90%, level 3=50-70% and level 4=<50%)  Secondary outcome measures:						
	Other outcome measures: N/A						
Intervention	Intervention type: Mobile phone (mobile glucose data to a web server without manufacturations: see education, feedback and	ual input) d frequency	. , ,				
	<b>Frequency:</b> Individual patients were taugh of glycaemic control. All patients were reco						

Cho et al 2009 (REF ID: 195)
measure SMBG three times a day while patients being treated with oral agents who had not reached their target Hba1c levels, twice daily was recommended.
Feedback: All patients received recommendations once every other week
<b>Education:</b> An education team consisting of an endocrinologist, nurse educator and a dietitian provided a diabetes education programme for all participants. Patients were also taught how to perform SMBG and were given information about diet, physical exercise and managing hypoglycaemia.
Diary: not reported
Intervention type: IBGMS (given blood glucose meters)
Instructions: data from patients in the phone group were automatically transmitted to a web server
Frequency: see details for mobile phone
<b>Feedback:</b> one doctor reviewed all the information about SMBG data on individual web-based charts at least one every other week and sent recommendations to the internet group through the internet web chart
<b>Education:</b> Participants in the internet group were taught about accessing and using the specialised, web based diabetes patients management system and how to communicate with a management team through their individualised web-based charts on the internet website, at least once every other week. Also see details for mobile phone <b>Diary:</b> not reported
Intervention: 3 months
Follow-up: 3 months
Korea
Primary outcome measures (Change in blood glucose control): The basal Hba1c values were 7.6% (SD 1.9) in the internet group and 8.3% (SD 2.3) in the phone group (p>0.05). Hba1c levels decreased significantly in both groups after three months intervention (p=0.001) and the mean Hba1c decrement between the two groups was not significantly different (p=0.27). 2h post prandial glucose levels also decreased significantly in both groups after 3 months (p=0.001) but fasting plasma glucose levels did not change (p=0.07). The changes in mean fasting plasma glucose levels (internet vs. phone 167.5 to 148.8 mg/dL vs. 146.6 to 143.4 mg/dL, p=0.33) and 2h postprandial glucose levels (internet vs. phone: 269.2 to 223.5mg/dL vs. 246.1 to 214.6 mg/dL, p=0.48) during the study period were not significantly different between the two groups.  Other outcome measures (Changes in weight or BMI): not reported  Changes in lipid levels: not reported  Quality of life: Satisfaction and adherence changes are shown below in table 2

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Cho et al 2009 (REF ID: 195)								
	Table 2. Changes in satisfaction and adherence								
	Outcome	Group	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	P-value	
	Satisfaction	Internet group	9 (29)	16 (52)	5 (16)	1 (3)	0 (0)	0.94	
		Phone group	10 (35)	13 (45)	5 (17)	0 (0)	1 (3)	-	
	Adherence	Internet group	8 (26)	16 (52)	6 (19)	1 (3)	-	0.999	
		Phone group	7 (24)	17 (59)	5 (17)	0 (0)	-	-	
	Adverse events:	not reported							
	Microvascular an	d macrovascular comp	olication: not re	ported					
	Subgroup analys	es: N/A							
Authors' conclusion		Results suggest that the telecommunication system using the diabetes phone with a glucometer can be effective for glucose control and good for patient satisfaction and adherence.							
Source of funding	Supported by the Energy	ministry of Information ar	nd Communicati	on, the Seoul I	R&D programn	ne and the m	inistry of com	merce, Industry	y and
Comments	N/A								

## Evidence table 16: (Quinn et al 2011)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Quinn et al 2011 (REF ID: 451)
Study type & aim	Cluster RCT aiming to test whether adding mobile application coaching and patient/provider web portals to community primary care compared with standard diabetes management would reduce Hba1c levels in patients with type 2 diabetes
Number and characteristics of patients	<b>Total number of patients:</b> 26 randomised primary care practices with a total of 163 patients (group 1: control—usual care [UC, n=56]; group 2: coach-only [CO, n=23]; group 3: coach PCP portal [CPP, n=22]; and group 4: coach PCP portal with decision support [CPDS, n=62]. <b>Inclusion criteria:</b> type 2 diabetes diagnosed by a physician at least 6 months prior to enrolment, Hba1c ≥7.5% within the most recent 3 months and aged between 18 and 64 years
	<b>Exclusion criteria:</b> Medicare or Medicaid beneficiaries, uninsured, using an insulin pump, pregnant, active substance abuse, psychosis or schizophrenia under active care, uncorrected serve hearing or visual impairment and lack of access to internet or email address
	Patient characteristics: The 163 study patients had a mean baseline Hba1c of 9.4% (range 7.5–15.5) (Table 1). Mean age was 52.8 years, 50.3% were female, 39.3%were African-American, and 31.3% were college-educated. The mean duration of diabetes was 8.2 years. Most participants (76.1%) were obese (BMI ≥30 kg/m²). Participants had a mean PHQ-9 of 5.2 (minimal to mild depression

#### Quinn et al 2011 (REF ID: 451)

scores). Most participants had hypertension (63.2%) and hypercholesterolemia (58.3%). CPDS patients had higher baseline glycated haemoglobin than UC (9.9 vs. 9.2%, P = 0.04). No other baseline patient variables differed significantly among the four study groups.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of included patients (N=163)

Table 1. Daseille Characteristics of		oup 1: UC (n = 56)	Gr	oup 2: CO (n = 23)	Gı	roup 3: CPP (n = 22)	Group 4: CPDS (n = 62)		
Characteristic	n	% or mean ± SD	n	% or mean ± SD	n	% or mean ± SD	n	% or mean ± SD	
Glycated hemoglobin (%)	56	9.2 ± 1.7	23	9.3 ± 1.8	22	9.0 ± 1.8	62	9.9 ± 2.1	
7.5–8.9	35	62.5	13	56.5	13	59.1	28	45.2	
≥9	21	37.5	10	43.5	9	40.9	34	54.8	
Age (years)	56	53.2 ± 8.4	23	52.8 ± 8.0	22	53.7 ± 8.2	62	52 ± 8.0	
Sex	•	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	
Male	28	50	12	52.2	10	45.5	31	50	
Female	28	50	11	47.8	12	54.5	31	50	
Duration of diabetes diagnosis (years)	56	$9.0 \pm 7.0$	23	7.7 ± 5.6	22	6.8 ± 4.9	62	8.2 ± 5.3	
ВМІ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	56	34.3 ± 6.3	23	36.9 ± 7.5	22	35.5 ± 10.3	62	35.8 ± 7.1	
Underweight (16.5–18.4 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	1	1.8	0	0	1	4.5	0	0	
Normal (18.5–24.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	0	0	0	0	2	9.1	1	1.6	
Pre-obese (25–29.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	11	19.6	4	17.4	7	31.8	12	19.4	
Obese class 1 (30–34.9 kg/m²)	22	39.3	6	26.1	1	4.5	18	29	
Obese class 2 (35–39.9kg/m²)	10	17.9	5	21.7	3	13.6	17	27.4	
Obese class 3 (≥40 kg/m2)	12	21.4	8	34.8	8	36.4	14	22.6	
Comorbidities									
Hypertension	29	51.8	18	78.3	13	59.1	43	69.4	
Hypercholesterolemia	34	60.7	11	47.8	14	63.6	36	58.1	
Coronary artery disease	55	8.9	2	8.7	0	0	5	8.1	
Microvascular complications, any	8	14.3	1	4.3	2	9.1	6	9.7	

Subgroup analyses: Two secondary analyses of glycated hemoglobin were performed as follows: one analysis stratified by baseline

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Quinn et al 2011 (REF ID: 451)
<u> </u>	glycated hemoglobin (≥9.0 vs. <9.0); the other (prespecified analysis) adjusted for baseline glycated haemoglobin as a covariate.
Monitoring information and definitions	Monitoring: Measures are recorded at baseline and 12 months, or every 3 months through the one-year intervention  Definitions: N/A  Primary outcome measures: mean change in Hba1c comparing group 1 and group 4  Secondary outcome measures: Hba1c comparing all groups, blood pressure, BMI, lipid levels, changes in diabetes symptoms and diabetes distress.  Serious adverse events (SAEs) are life-threatening or fatal, require or prolong a hospitalisation or result in a major disability. Both adverse events (AEs) and SAEs are grouped as expected or unexpected. Diabetes stages of change (DStoC) is an 18-item stage of change interview measure created for this study. Interview questions focus on decision making of the individual in five key areas:  SMBG, managing carbohydrates, portion control, medication adherence and smoking. Participants were asked about selfmanagement behaviour either less than 6 months (action phase scored as 0) or more than 6 months (maintenance phase scored as 1). If participants scored 0 they were asked about their readiness to change a particular behaviour and confidence in change based on a scale of one to ten with 1 being "not at all ready" and 10 being "extremely ready"  The depression module of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) was used to assess depression. Total scores range from 0 to 27 with scores of 1-4 representing minimal symptoms; 5-9 for mild depression; 10-14 for moderate (minor) depression; 15-19 for moderately severe and ≥20 for severe depression.
	Other outcome measures: N/A
Intervention	Intervention type: Diabetes coaching system, using mobile phones and patient/physician portals to allow patient-specific treatment and communication. In this group physicians do not have web access to patient data.  Instructions: patients receive coaching software on the mobile phone. Patients enter BG data, carbohydrates consumed, diabetes medications taken and other comments relating to self-care.  Frequency: all patients in the intervention groups are given system-driven guidance on when to test their BG based on their disease status, medication regimen, and time of poorest control.  Feedback: real time messaging is sent back to the patient's mobile providing feedback on the entered data. The feedback is driven by the patient data, the trend of any recently entered data and the physician's medication instructions for each patient. Patients may provide primary care practice with printed copies of electronic logbooks and other information because group 2 physicians do not have access to the individual patient portal system. Patient action plans summarising the patient entered data and identifying possible self-management actions for improving their diabetes control are electronically sent to the patients every 2.5 months. Each patient is instructed that action plans also serve as a pre-visit summary for the patient's next office visit to their primary care providers.  Education: All physicians receive the most recent ADA guidelines. Active treatment physicians (groups 2, 3 and 4) are informed that their patients receive the mobile phone and web-based Diabetes Manager system. The physicians receive different quantities of analysed data and clinical support depending on which treatment group they are assigned to. After randomisation, patients in the intervention groups are risk stratified based on comorbidities, complexity of the medication regimen and diabetes status. This risk stratification is used to direct the level of diabetes educator interaction with patients. Those with the highest risk level are contac

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Quinn et al 2011 (REF ID: 451)									
	a diabetes educator via the web-based mevery 2-3 months.  Diary: see above	essagir	ng centre at most	, four	times a month.	Othe	patients receive	comn	nunication updates	
	GROUP 3-coach PCP portal (patients a	nd prir	nary care provi	ders w	vith access)					
	As group 2 above except primary care pro	As group 2 above except primary care providers are provided access to a web portal where they may choose to review their patient's electronic logbooks-this is 'raw' patient data that have not been analysed								
	GROUP 4-Coach PCP portal with decis are analysed based on treatment algor		pport (patients a	and pr	imary care pr	ovide	rs with access t	o pati	ents data which	
	electronic logbook. In addition, physicians metabolic control (lipids, BP and weight), of care (aspirin use, screening for complic	As group 2 except primary care providers are provided access to a secure web portal where they can choose to see their patient's electronic logbook. In addition, physicians are provided with data analysis reports, which is a summary of the patients glycaemic and metabolic control (lipids, BP and weight), adherence to medication, self-management skills and compliance with other key measures of care (aspirin use, screening for complications. The study physician is reminded that all data analysis is based on patient-entered, unvalidated data. The physician has the option to use this information and remain responsible for treatment decisions.								
Comparator	Intervention type: Group 1 control-usu	al care	(UC)							
	Instructions: see education Frequency: not reported									
	Feedback: not reported									
	<b>Education:</b> Physicians assigned to the condownloading blood glucose meter reading <b>Diary:</b> not reported					sual, ir	ncluding checking	g SMB	G log books and	
Length of follow up	Intervention: 1 year Follow-up: 1 year									
Location	USA									
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	<b>Primary outcome measures (Change in blood glucose control):</b> see table 2 for changes in Hba1c levels across the study in the 4 groups. In a stratified analysis, a greater decline was found with CPDS than UC for the stratum with baseline Hba1c <9.0% (difference in decrease 0.7%, 95% CI 0.2–1.1, P = 0.003) and the stratum with baseline Hba1c at least 9.0% (difference in decrease 1.3%, 0.2–2.4, P = 0.01). The test of interaction was not significant (P = 0.49) for baseline Hba1c stratum and treatment group over time. We obtained the same conclusion whether or not we analysed the baseline to 12-month changes with intermediate Hba1c measures									
	Table 2. Changes in outcomes acro			<u> </u>	21 CO		roup 21 CDD	C	oup 4: CDDS	
		G	roup 1: UC (n = 56)		oup 2: CO (n = 23)	G	roup 3: CPP (n = 22)	Gro	oup 4: CPDS (n = 62)	
	Outcome	n	% or mean ±	n	% or mean	n	% or mean ±	n	% or mean	

ibliographic ference (Ref ID)	Quinn et al 2011 (REF ID: 451)								
			SD*		± SD*		SD*		± SD*
	Hba1c(%)†								
	Baseline	56	9.2 ± 1.7	23	9.3 ± 1.8	22	9.0 ± 1.8	62	9.9 ± 2.1
	3 Months	30	8.2 ± 1.2	13	7.6 ± 1.2	9	$7.5 \pm 0.6$	41	7.8 ± 1.3
	6 Months	27	8.6 ± 2.0	15	7.6 ± 1.1	11	$7.6 \pm 0.7$	30	7.5 ± 1.2
	9 Months	43	8.5 ± 1.4	16	$7.6 \pm 0.9$	15	7.9 ± 1.4	48	7.9 ± 2.0
	12 Months	51	8.5 ± 1.8	21	7.7 ± 1.0	21	7.9 ± 1.4	56	7.9 ± 1.7
	Change from baseline to 12 months (mean)‡		-0.7		-1.6		-1.2		-1.9
	Change from baseline to 12 months (95% CI)‡		-1.1 to -0.3		-2.3 to -1.0		-1.8 to -0.5		-2.3 to -1.5
	Secondary outcomes, laboratory values								
	Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)								
	Baseline	56	130 ± 22	23	130 ± 18	22	133 ± 14	62	130 ± 14
	12 Months	45	133 ± 20	21	134 ± 25	20	134 ± 16	51	128 ± 19
	Change from baseline to 12 months (mean)‡		+2		+4		2		22
	Change from baseline to 12 months (95% CI)‡		-3 to +7		-4 to +11		-6 to +10		-6 to +3
	Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)								
	Baseline	56	78 ± 12	23	79 ± 11	22	79 ± 9	62	79 ± 9
	12 Months	45	79 ± 13	21	82 ± 11	20	78 ± 9	51	78 ± 10
	Change from baseline to 12 months (mean)‡		+1		+2		-2		-1
	Change from baseline to 12 months (95% CI)‡		-2 to 4		-2 to 7		-6 to 3		-4 to 2
	LDL (mg/dL)								
	Baseline	51	102 ± 36	23	103 ± 29	22	103 ± 33	55	106 ± 33
	12 Months	42	91 ± 34	19	94 ± 32	15	94 ± 47	45	102 ± 32
	Change from baseline to 12 months		-6		-8		-14		-5

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2015

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Quinn et al 2011 (REF ID: 451)								
	(mean)‡								
	Change baseline to 12 months (95% CI)‡		-15 to 3		-21 to 5		-29 to 0		-13 to 4
	HDL (mg/dL)								
	Baseline	56	44 ± 11	23	44 ± 11	22	43 ± 11	59	43 ± 11
	12 Months	44	45 ± 12	16	42 ± 9	15	44 ± 11	48	45 ± 10
	Change from baseline to 12 months (mean)‡		+1		0		0		+2
	Change from baseline to 12 months (95% CI)‡		-1 to 3		-4 to 3		-3 to 4		0 to 3
	Triglycerides (mg/dL)								
	Baseline	56	185 ± 167	23	172 ± 100	22	164 ± 105	59	187 ± 145
	12 Months	44	169 ± 124	16	113 ± 42	15	151 ± 74	48	139 ± 91
	Change baseline to 12 months (mean)‡		-23		-53		-12		-31
	Change baseline to 12 months (95% CI)‡		-58 to 12		-110 to 4		-71 to 47		-65 to 3
	Total cholesterol (mg/dL)								
	Baseline	56	182 ± 51	23	181 ± 35	22	177 ± 42	59	184 ± 41
	12 Months	44	168 ± 40	16	151 ± 34	15	168 ± 52	48	174 ± 42
	Change baseline to 12 months (mean)‡		-11		-24		-14		-9
	Change baseline to 12 months (95% CI)‡		-22 to 1		-43 to 25		-35 to 5		-21 to 2

n = 163. \*Unless otherwise indicated. †Primary outcome, glycated hemoglobin change over 12 months; group 4 (P=0.001) and group 2 (P = 0.003) have significantly larger changes than group 1. No other outcomes are significant. ‡Mean change and CI values are from the mixed-effects model

Other outcome measures (Changes in weight or BMI): not reported

Changes in lipid levels: see table 2

Quality of life: see table 3 below for changes in diabetes distress, diabetes symptoms and depression

Quinn et al 2011 (REF ID: 451)

Table 3. Changes in diabetes distress, diabetes symptom and depression

	Group 1: UC (n = 56)		Gr	oup 2: CO (n = 23)	G	roup 3: CPP (n = 22)	Gro	oup 4: CPDS (n = 62)
Outcome	n	% or mean ± SD*	n	% or mean ± SD*	n	% or mean ± SD*	n	% or mean ± SD*
Diabetes Distress Scale								
Baseline	56	$2.4 \pm 0.9$	22	$2.7 \pm 0.9$	21	$2.8 \pm 0.7$	58	$2.6 \pm 0.9$
12 Months	46	$2.3 \pm 0.9$	20	$2.6 \pm 0.9$	21	$2.4 \pm 0.8$	61	$2.3 \pm 0.8$
Change from baseline to 12 months (mean)‡		-0.1		-0.1		-0.3		-0.3
Change from baseline to 12 months (95% CI)‡		-0.4 to 0.1		-0.4 to 0.3		-0.7 to 0.0		-0.5 to 0.0
Diabetes symptom inventory								
Baseline	56	15.6 ± 5.6	22	16.4 ± 5.7	22	18.1 ± 6.4	62	17 ± 5.6
12 Months	46	14.6 ± 4.8	21	15.5 ± 4.5	21	16.2 ± 5.8	62	16.7 ± 5.2
Change from baseline to 12 months (mean)‡		22.3		22.8		24.3		21
Change from baseline to 12 months (95% CI)‡		-5.5 to 0.9		-7.7 to 2.0		-9.0 to 0.4		-3.8 to 1.8
Depression (PHQ-9)								
Baseline	56	4.7 ± 5.6	23	5.2 ± 4.8	22	5.5 ± 4.7	62	5.5 ± 5.4
12 Months	44	3.6 ± 4.1	21	4.6 ± 5.0	21	$3.9 \pm 5.3$	62	4.8 ± 4.8
Change from baseline to 12 months (mean)‡		-1.1		-0.6		-1.2		-0.7
Change from baseline to 12 months (95% CI)‡		-3.2 to +3.0		-2.7 to +1.4		-3.3 to +0.8		-1.9 to +0.5

**Adverse events:** Hypoglycemic events, hospitalizations, and emergency-room visits were infrequent in all groups. One patient in group 4 (CPDS) was hospitalized twice for reasons not reported to the study. The DSMB determined that there were no direct study related adverse events found. No patients died during the 12 months of this study

Microvascular and macrovascular complication: not reported

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Quinn et al 2011 (REF ID: 451)
	Subgroup analyses: see blood glucose outcome for stratified analysis based on Hba1c levels at baseline
Authors' conclusion	The combination of behavioural mobile coaching with blood glucose data, lifestyle behaviours, and patient self-management data individually analysed and presented with evidence-based guidelines to providers substantially reduced glycated hemoglobin levels over 1 year
Source of funding	Funded through contributions by WellDoc, CareFirst Blue Cross/Blue Shield of Maryland, LifeScan, and Sprint. Additional funding was provided by the Maryland Industrial Partnerships program through the University of Maryland,
Comments	Randomised at the physician practice level in order to prevent potential contamination of the study intervention. The study biostatistician conducted the randomisation by a pseudo-random number generator in the software package R.

### Evidence table 17: (Tildesley et al 2010)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Tildesley et al 2010 (REF ID: 50)
Study type & aim	RCT to assess the effect of an Internet-based glucose monitoring system (IBGMS) on Hba1C levels in patients with type 2 diabetes treated with insulin
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 50 Inclusion criteria: type 2 diabetic patients being treated with insulin alone or in combination with oral antihyperglycemic medications. Inclusion criteria were a recent Hba1C >7.0%, internet access, and prior training in SMBG.  Exclusion criteria: not reported  Patient characteristics: baseline characteristics were similar across the two treatment groups

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of included participants (N=50)

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of included participants (N=50)						
Characteristic	Usual care*	Intervention*	P-value			
n	23	24	-			
Age (years)	62 ± 7.2	57 ± 10	0.081			
Male/female	15/8	14/10	-			
Duration of diabetes (years)	18.8 ± 6.4	18.8 ± 9.2	0.983			
BMI (kg/m2)	33.1 ± 6.0	33.7 ± 6.4	0.732			
A1C (%)	8.5 ± 1.2	8.8 ± 1.3	0.425			
Blood pressure (mmHg)	132.6 ± 14.4/71.3 ± 9.2	129.5 ± 20.1/74.2 ± 15.5	0.545/0.439			
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	4.3 ± 1.3	4.2 ± 1.0	0.789			
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	$1.0 \pm 0.3$	1.2 ± 0.4	0.171			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Tildesley et al 2010 (REF ID: 50)				
	LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	2.2 ± 1.0	2.2 ± 0.8	0.947	
	Triglyceride (mmol/l)	2.2 ± 0.9	1.7 ± 0.7	0.058	
	Total-to-HDL cholesterol ratio	4.4 ± 1.3	$3.7 \pm 1.0$	0.065	
	Creatinine (mol/l)	98.2 ± 30.1	85.4 ± 39.0	0.225	
	Daily insulin dosage (IU)	57.9 ± 45.0	60.4 ± 36.0	0.829	
	Data are means Data are means ± SD. *To not follow protocol and were excluded. *To follow protocol and were excluded				
	Subgroup analyses: none pre-specified				
Monitoring information and definitions	Monitoring: Hba1c and laboratory tests we Definitions: N/A Primary outcome measures: Hba1c Secondary outcome measures: none Other outcome measures: N/A	re collected at 0, 3 and	6 months		
Intervention	Intervention type: Internet based blood glu Instructions: All patients were provided wit laboratory blood test and visit their endocrin view a summary of readings, and send a me Frequency: all patients provided with enoug Feedback: The endocrinologist's recomment compliments Education: not reported Diary: asked to upload their SMBG reading	h a meter and test strip ologist every 3 months essage to their endocri gh strips to test at least ndations included chan	os for testing at least three a. The system allowed the nologist who then viewed at times daily ges in insulin dosage, sug	patient to input medications, set alarms, the readings and sent feedback.	
Comparator	Diary: asked to upload their SMBG readings every 2 weeks to a secure web site  Intervention type: conventional treatment Instructions: not reported Frequency: see IBGMS Feedback: not reported but see endocrinologist every 3 months Education: not reported Diary: asked to keep a diary of SMBG for every visit to their endocrinologist				
Length of follow up	Intervention: 6 months Follow-up: 6 months		J		

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Tildesley et al 2010 (REF ID: 50)
Location	Canada
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Primary outcome measures (Change in blood glucose control): A comparison of between-group changes was not significant for any of the measurements except A1C. Over the 3- and 6-month period, A1C levels in the IBGMS group dropped from 8.8 ± 1.3 to 8.2 ± 0.91% (P=0.05) and further dropped to 7.6 ± 0.74% (P= 0.001), respectively. The control group, on the other hand, dropped from 8.5 ± 1.2 to 8.3 ± 1.1% (P = 0.42) after 3 months but rose to 8.4 ± 1.4% (P=0.51) after 6 months. Furthermore, the difference between the two groups at 6 months post-intervention was statistically significant even after adjusting for baseline A1C levels (P =0.05).  Other outcome measures (Changes in weight or BMI): not reported  Changes in lipid levels: not reported  Quality of life: not reported  Adverse events: not reported  Microvascular and macrovascular complication: not reported  Subgroup analyses: N/A
Authors' conclusion	The use of IBGMS significantly improved A1C levels in patients with type 2 diabetes treated with insulin
Source of funding	Abbott Diabetes Care donated glucose meters and test strips used in the study
Comments	Patients were randomly assigned to either of the groups using a computer random number generator

#### Evidence table 18: (Bosi et al 2013)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Bosi et al 2013 – PRISMA RCT (REF ID: )					
Study type & aim	RCT to investigate the effects of intensive self monitoring of blood glucose (4-point glycaemic profile, 3 times per week) in type 2 patients not treated with insulin compared to active controls with 4-point glycaemic profiles taken at baseline, 6 and 12 months					
Number and characteristics of patients	<b>Total number of patients:</b> 50 patients randomised (25 in each arm) with 38 completing the study (intervention n=20, control n=18) <b>Inclusion criteria:</b> able to perform SMBG and self-injection of medication, understand the goals, methods and procedures of treatment					
	Exclusion criteria: Hba1c levels <7%, mental illness or severe cardiovascular disease or uncontrolled hypertension					
	Patient characteristics: There was no significant difference in any of the demographic or clinical characteristics between the two groups					
	Table 1. Baseline characteristics of included participants (N=50)					
	Characteristic	Intervention (n=25)	Control (n=25)	P-value		

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Bosi et al 2013 – PRISMA RCT (REF I	D: )			
	Age, years (SD)	59.2 ± 7.2	62.0 ± 5.7	0.133	
	Male/female	9/16	9/16	1.000	
	BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> (SD)	24.6 ± 2.6	24.4 ± 2.6	0.838	
	Diabetes duration, months (SD)	158.1 ± 99.3	162.4 ± 83.5	0.871	
	Hba1c, % (SD)	8.8 ± 1.1	$8.3 \pm 0.9$	0.068	
	FBG, mg/dl (SD)	178.0 ± 54.2	171.8 ± 54.9	0.689	
	PP2h, mg/dl (SD)	309.6 ± 95.9	278.3 ± 63.1	0.192	
	Treatment method*	-	-	1.00	
	None	1 (4.0)	0 (0)		
	ОНА	15 (60)	14 (56)		
	Insulin	7 (28)	8 (32)		
	OHA + insulin	2 (8)	3 (12)		
	*Fishers exact test  ** sum of total compliance score/20, ra  Data are mean ± SD or n (%)  Subgroup analyses: none pre-specifie				
Monitoring information and definitions	Monitoring: data on demographic and Definitions: N/A Primary outcome measures: outcome Secondary outcome measures: N/A Other outcome measures: N/A	·			
Intervention					

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)		– PRISMA RCT (R									
	booklet and daily log for 30 minutes by a researcher. It contained information about the nature of disease, risk factors, diet, exercise drug therapy, hypoglycaemia and sick day management and how to record a daily log. The 12 week intervention consisted of continuing education and reinforcement of diet, exercise and medication adjustment recommendations as well as frequent SMBG <b>Diary:</b> asked to keep daily diet and exercise logs										
Comparator	· ·	reported reported	•	months)							
Length of follow	Intervention: 12 weeks										
u <b>p</b>	Follow-up: 12 we	eeks			·						
Location	Italy										
-	Italy Primary outcon			ose control): see	Difference from baseline	Difference between	Baseline vs. study end				
Location Outcomes measures and	Primary outcon  Table 2. Outco  Outcome	omes at baseline Group	and end-point  Baseline	Study end	Difference from baseline	Difference between groups	Baseline vs. study end				
Location Outcomes measures and	Italy Primary outcon Table 2. Outco	ne measures (Cha	and end-point		Difference from baseline	Difference between	Baseline vs. study end				
Location Outcomes measures and	Primary outcon  Table 2. Outco  Outcome	omes at baseline Group Intervention	Baseline  8.9 ± 1.2	Study end 7.7 ± 1.0	Difference from baseline	Difference between groups	Baseline vs. study end				
Location Outcomes measures and	Table 2. Outco Outcome  Hba1c (%)	omes at baseline Group Intervention Control	8.9 ± 1.2 8.4 ± 1.0	7.7 ± 1.0 9.0 ± 1.2	Difference from baseline  -1.2 ± 1.5  0.6 ± 0.9	Difference between groups 0.000	Baseline vs. study end  0.002  0.005				
Location Outcomes measures and	Table 2. Outco Outcome  Hba1c (%)	omes at baseline Group Intervention Control Intervention	8.9 ± 1.2 8.4 ± 1.0 176.6 ± 56.0	7.7 ± 1.0 9.0 ± 1.2 160.9 ± 56.8	Difference from baseline  -1.2 ± 1.5  0.6 ± 0.9  -15.7 ± 52.0	Difference between groups 0.000	Baseline vs. study end  0.002  0.005  0.193				
Location  Outcomes  measures and	Table 2. Outco Outcome  Hba1c (%)  FBG (mg/dl)	omes at baseline Group  Intervention Control Intervention Control Control	8.9 ± 1.2 8.4 ± 1.0 176.6 ± 56.0 180.2 ± 62.4	Study end  7.7 $\pm$ 1.0  9.0 $\pm$ 1.2  160.9 $\pm$ 56.8  173.3 $\pm$ 53.4	Difference from baseline  -1.2 $\pm$ 1.5  0.6 $\pm$ 0.9  -15.7 $\pm$ 52.0  -6.9 $\pm$ 68.5	Difference between groups 0.000 - 0.245	0.002 0.005 0.193 0.675				
Location Outcomes measures and	Table 2. Outco Outcome  Hba1c (%)  FBG (mg/dl)	Intervention Control Intervention Control Intervention Control Intervention Intervention	8.9 ± 1.2 8.4 ± 1.0 176.6 ± 56.0 180.2 ± 62.4 302.8 ± 94.0	Study end  7.7 $\pm$ 1.0  9.0 $\pm$ 1.2  160.9 $\pm$ 56.8  173.3 $\pm$ 53.4  260.2 $\pm$ 76.6	Difference from baseline  -1.2 $\pm$ 1.5  0.6 $\pm$ 0.9  -15.7 $\pm$ 52.0  -6.9 $\pm$ 68.5  -42.6 $\pm$ 114.8	Difference between groups 0.000 - 0.245	0.002 0.005 0.193 0.675 0.114				
Location Outcomes measures and	Table 2. Outco Outcome  Hba1c (%)  FBG (mg/dl)  PP2H	Intervention Control Intervention Control	8.9 ± 1.2 8.4 ± 1.0 176.6 ± 56.0 180.2 ± 62.4 302.8 ± 94.0 278.0 ± 71.7	Study end  7.7 $\pm$ 1.0  9.0 $\pm$ 1.2  160.9 $\pm$ 56.8  173.3 $\pm$ 53.4  260.2 $\pm$ 76.6  297.6 $\pm$ 89.1	Difference from baseline  -1.2 $\pm$ 1.5  0.6 $\pm$ 0.9  -15.7 $\pm$ 52.0  -6.9 $\pm$ 68.5  -42.6 $\pm$ 114.8  19.6 $\pm$ 75.3	Difference between groups 0.000 - 0.245 - 0.071	0.002 0.005 0.193 0.675 0.114 0.315				

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Bosi et al 2013 – PRISMA RCT (REF ID: )
	Quality of life: not reported
	Adverse events: not reported
	Microvascular and macrovascular complication: not reported
	Subgroup analyses: N/A
Authors' conclusion	The findings indicated that a telephone intervention would improve Hba1c but would not affect BMI
Source of funding	Not reported
Comments	Randomised using the toss of a coin

## E.6 Review question 6: Should aspirin and/ or clopidogrel be used for primary prevention of cardiovascular disease in people with type 2 diabetes? (Evidence tables for antiplatelet therapy, update 2015)

Evidence table 19: (Ogawa et al 20
------------------------------------

Evidence table 19:	(Ogawa et al 2008)				
Bibliographic (Baf ID)	Owner of all 2000 The James and Driver and Addisonal Landing State (as District Condition (JDAD) (six) (DEF ID 201)				
reference (Ref ID)	Ogawa et al 2008-The Japanese Primary Prevention of Atherosclerosis with Aspirin for Diabetes (JPAD) trial (REF ID: 81)				
Study type & aim	Multicentre, prospective, randomised, open label, blinded, end-point trial examining the efficacy of low-dose aspirin for the primary prevention of atherosclerotic events in patients with type 2 diabetes.				
Number and characteristics of patients	s of group and 1277 in the non-aspirin group). A total of 193 patients were lost to follow-up (97 in aspirin group and 96 in non-aspirin group) and data for these patients were censored at the last day of follow up.  Inclusion criteria: diagnosis of type 2 diabetes, age between 30 and 85 years and ability to provide informed consent. Patients were allowed to use any concurrent treatment. Patients in the non-aspirin group were also allowed to use antiplatelet/thrombotic therapy, including aspirin, if needed and vice versa.  Exclusion criteria: electrocardiographic changes consisting of ischemic ST-segment depression, ST-segment elevation or patholog Q waves, a history of coronary heart disease confirmed by coronary angiography, a history of cerebrovascular disease consisting of cerebral infarction, cerebral haemorrhage, sub-arachnoid haemorrhage and transient ischemic attack, a history of arteriosclerotic disease necessitating medical treatment, atrial fibrillation, pregnancy, use of antiplatelet or antithrombotic therapy (defined as aspirin ticlopidine, cilostazol, dipyridamole, trapidil, warfarin and argatroban), a history of severe gastric or duodenal ulcer, severe liver dysfunction, severe renal dysfunction and allergy to aspirin.				
	Patient characteristics: In the aspirin group 1139 received aspirin through completion of the trial and 123 patients stopped taking aspirin. In the non-aspirin group 9 received aspirin (n=6) or other antiplatelet therapy (n=3). Baseline characteristics were similar between the two groups (see table 1 below). Overall, mean age was 65 (SD 10) years, 55% were men and diabetes was well controlled in both groups.  Table 1. Baseline characteristics of included patients (N=2539)				
	Characteristic	Aspirin group (n=1262)	Non-aspirin group (n=1277)		
	Age, mean(SD), years	65 (10)	64 (10)		
	Male	706 (56)	681 (53)		
	Current smoker	289 (23)	248 (19)		
	Past smoker	545 (43)	482 (38)		

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ogawa et al 2008-The Japanese Primary Prever	ntion of Atherosclerosis with Aspirin	for Diabetes (JPAD) trial (REF ID: 81)
	Body mass index, mean (SD)	24 (4)	24 (4)
	Hypertension	742 (59)	731 (57)
	Dyslipidemia	680 (54)	665 (52)
	Systolic blood pressure, mean (SD), mmHg	136 (15)	134 (15)
	Diastolic blood pressure, mean (SD), mmHg	77 (9)	76 (9)
	Duration of diabetes, median (IQR), years	7.3 (2.8 to 12.3)	6.7 (3.0 to 12.5)
	Diabetic microvascular complication	-	-
	Diabetic retinopathy	187 (15)	178 (14)
	Diabetic nephropathy	169 (13)	153 (12)
	Proteinuria, ≥15mg/dL	222 (18)	224 (18)
	Diabetic neuropathy	163 (13)	137 (11)
	Dermal ulcer	6 (0.5)	6 (0.5)
	Treatment for diabetes	-	-
	Sulphonylureas	737 (58)	710 (56)
	α-glucosidase inhibitors	422 (33)	414 (32)
	Biguanides	168 (13)	186 (15)
	Insulin	166 (13)	160 (13)
	Thiazolidines	63 (5)	65 (5)
	Treatment for hypertension and dyslipidemia	-	-
	Calcium channel blocker	436 (35)	440 (34)
	Angiotensin-II receptor antagonists	269 (21)	266 (21)
	Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors	178 (14)	195 (15)
	Beta blockers	75 (6)	87 (7)
	Alpha blockers	53 (4)	38 (3)
	statins	322 (26)	328 (26)
	Family history	-	-
	Type 2 diabetes	526 (42)	513 (40)
	Ischemic heart disease	147 (12)	143 (11)
	stroke	275 (22)	251 (20)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ogawa et al 2008-The Japanese Primary Preve	ntion of Atherosclerosis with Aspir	in for Diabetes (JPAD) trial (REF ID: 81)	
	Patient medical history	-	-	
	Peptic ulcer	83 (7)	96 (8)	
	Clinical laboratory measurements, mean (SD)	-	-	
	Haemoglobin A1c level, %	7.1 (1.4)	7.0 (1.2)	
	Fasting plasma glucose level, mg/dL	148 (50)	146 (48)	
	Total cholesterol level, mg/dL	202 (34)	200 (34)	
	Fasting triglyceride level, mg/dL	135 (88)	134 (89)	
	HDL cholesterol level, mg/dL	55 (15)	55 (15)	
	Blood urea nitrogen level, mg/dL	16 (5)	16 (5)	
	Serum creatinine level, mg/dL	0.8 (0.3)	0.8 (0.2)	
	Red blood cells, x 10 <sup>5</sup> /mL	45.2 (4.7)	45.0 (4.8)	
	White blood cells, x 10 <sup>3</sup> /mL	6.2 (1.6)	6.1 (1.7)	
	Haemoglobin level, g/dL	14.0 (1.5)	14.0 (1.5)	
	Abbreviations: IQR interquartile range; HDL high-density lipoprotein			
	BMI calculated as weight in kg divided by height in meters squared.			
	<b>Subgroup analyses:</b> conducted for predetermined subgroups: sex (men and women); age (younger than 65 years and 65 years and older); hypertensive status (hypertensive, normotensive); smoking status (current or past smoker, non-smoker); and lipid status (hyperlipidemia, normolipidemia).			
Monitoring information and	<b>Monitoring:</b> Patients were followed up at each howeeks for patients to be seen in a clinic setting an			
definitions	<b>Primary outcome measures:</b> Any atherosclerotic event, which was a composite of sudden death; death from coronary, cerebrovascular and aortic causes; nonfatal acute myocardial infarction; unstable angina; newly developed exertional angina; nonfatal ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke; transient ischemic attack; or nonfatal aortic and peripheral vascular disease (arteriosclerosis obliterans, aortic dissection, mesenteric arterial thrombosis)			
	<b>Secondary outcome measures:</b> these were each primary end point and combinations of primary end points and death from any cause. Adverse events analysed included gastrointestinal (GI) events and any hemorrhagic events other than hemorrhagic stroke. <b>Other outcome measures:</b> None			
Intervention	Drug: aspirin  Dose and timing: 81mg or 100mg once daily  Route: not reported			
Comparator	Drug: not reported			

Bibliographi reference (R	
	Dose: not reported
	Route: not reported
Length of fo up	Patient enrolment started in December 2002 and was completed in May 2005; patients were followed up until April 2008. Median follow-up period was 4.37 years (95% CI 4.35 to 4.39)
Location	Japan
Outcomes measures ar effect sizes	Primary outcome measures: Atherosclerotic events  A total of 154 atherosclerotic events occurred but the incidence of the primary endpoint of any atherosclerotic event (see definitions) did not significantly differ in the aspirin group compared with the non-aspirin group (see table 2).

**Table 2. Atherosclerotic events** 

Event	Aspirii	Aspirin group Non-		irin group		
	No (%)	No. per 1000 person- years	No (%)	No. per 1000 person- years	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value
Primary endpoint: all atherosclerotic events	68 (5.4)	13.6	86 (6.7)	17.0	0.80 (0.58 to 1.10)	0.16
Coronary and cerebrovascular mortality	1 (0.08)	0.2	10 (0.8)	2.0	0.10 (0.01 to 0.79)	0.0037
CHD events (fatal and nonfatal)	28 (2.2)	5.6	35 (2.7)	6.9	0.81 (0.49 to 1.33)	0.40
Fatal MI	0	0	5 (0.4)	1.0	-	-
Nonfatal MI	12 (1.0)	2.4	9 (0.7)	1.8	1.34 (0.57 to 3.19)	0.50
Unstable angina	4 (0.3)	0.8	10 (0.8)	2.0	0.40 (0.13 to 1.29)	0.13
Stable angina	12 (1.0)	2.4	11 (0.9)	2.2	1.10 (0.49 to 2.50)	0.82
Cerebrovascular disease (fatal and nonfatal)	28 (2.2)	5.6	32 (2.5)	6.3	0.84 (0.53 to 1.32)	0.44
Fatal stroke	1 (0.08)	0.2	5 (0.4)	1.0	0.20 (0.024 to 1.74)	0.15
Nonfatal stroke	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ischemic	22 (1.7)	4.4	24 (1.9)	4.6	0.93 (0.52 to 1.66)	0.80
Hemorrhagic	5 (0.4)	1.0	3 (0.2)	0.6	1.68 (0.40 to 7.04)	0.48
Transient ischemic attack	5 (0.4)	1.0	8 (0.6)	1.6	0.63 (0.21 to 1.93)	0.42
Peripheral arterial disease	7 (0.6)	1.4	11 (0.9)	2.2	0.64 (0.25 to 1.65)	
Abbreviations: CHD coronary artery disease; CI of	Abbreviations: CHD coronary artery disease; CI confidence interval; MI myocardial infarction					

### Ogawa et al 2008-The Japanese Primary Prevention of Atherosclerosis with Aspirin for Diabetes (JPAD) trial (REF ID: 81)

Other outcome measures: Other secondary endpoints (coronary, cerebrovascular and peripheral vascular disease):

Rates are shown in table 2 but there were no significant differences between the aspirin and non-aspirin group for any of these endpoints.

## Death from other causes (other than cardiovascular events):

For the aspirin group and the non-aspirin group respectively there were: 15 and 19 deaths due to malignancy, 2 and 5 due to infection, 3 and 0 due to suicide, 2 and 0 due to traffic crashes, 1 and 1 due to liver cirrhosis, 8 and 3 due to unknown causes. Therefore, 23 patients in the aspirin group and 25 patients in the non-aspirin group died from causes other than cardiovascular events. A total of 34 patients in the aspirin group and 38 patients in the non-aspirin group died from any cause (HR 0.90, 95% CI 0.57 to 1.14, log-rank test p=0.67)

#### Adverse events:

There was no significant difference in the composite of hemorrhagic stroke and severe GI bleeding, which occurred in 10 patients in the aspirin group and in 7 patients in the non-aspirin group.

Table 3. Adverse events

Adverse event	Aspirin group, No.	Non-aspirin group, No.
Bleeding, gastrointestinal <sup>a</sup>	-	-
Hemorrhagic gastric ulcer	5	3
Bleeding from esophageal varices	1	0
Bleeding from colon diverticula	2	0
Gastrointestinal bleeding due to cancer	2	0
Haemorrhoid bleeding	1	0
GI bleeding (causes unknown)	1	1
Bleeding, other	-	-
Retinal bleeding	8	4
Bleeding after tooth extraction	1	0
Subcutaneous haemorrhage	3	0
hematuria	2	1
Nose bleeding	6	1
Chronic subdural hematoma	2	0
Non bleeding GI event	-	-

## Ogawa et al 2008-The Japanese Primary Prevention of Atherosclerosis with Aspirin for Diabetes (JPAD) trial (REF ID: 81)

•	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Nonhemorrhagic gastritis	3	0		
Nonhemorrhagic gastric ulcer	17	3		
Nonhemorrhagic duodenal ulcer	1	1		
Only GI symptom	26	0		
Other	-	-		
Anemia	4	0		
asthma	1	0		
a in the aspirin group 4 cases of severe GI bleeding required transfusion				

## Subgroup analyses (predetermined groups):

In the 1363 patients aged 65 years or older, the incidence of atherosclerotic events was significantly lower in the aspirin group compared with the non-aspirin group (HR 0.68, 95% CI 0.46 to 0.99, p=0.047). In the 1176 patients younger than 65 years, there was no significant difference between the aspirin and non-aspirin group. A formal test of interaction showed with age did not show a significant result (p=0.27). There were no significant differences between the aspirin and non-aspirin group in other subgroup analyses as shown in table 4.

Table 4. subgroup analyses

Subgroup	Aspirin (event no/total no)	Non-aspirin (event no/total no)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Age, years	-	-	-
≥65	45/719	59/644	0.68 (0.46 to 0.99)
<65	23/543	27/633	1.00 (0.57 to 1.70)
Sex	-	-	-
Male	40/706	51/681	0.74 (0.49 to 1.12)
female	28/556	35/596	0.88 (0.53 to 1.44)
Hypertensive status	-	-	-
Hypertensive	49/742	55/731	0.88 (0.60 to 1.30)
Normotensive	19/520	31/546	0.64 (0.36 to 1.13)
Lipid status	-	-	-
Dyslipidemia	38/680	43/665	0.88 (0.57 to 1.37)
Normolipidemia	30/582	43/612	0.71 (0.45 to 1.14)
Smoking	-	-	-

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Ogawa et al 2008-The Japanese	Primary Prevention of Atheros	clerosis with Aspirin for Diabe	etes (JPAD) trial (REF ID: 81)		
	Current or past	36/565	42/494	0.73 (0.47 to 1.14)		
	Non-smoker	32/697	44/783	0.83 (0.53 to 1.31)		
	Change in lipid levels and bloo	d pressure: not reported				
		Microvascular or macrovascular complications: see atherosclerotic events above				
	_	Mortality: see atherosclerotic events above  Health related quality of life: not reported				
Authors' conclusion	Low dose aspirin as primary prevention did not reduce the risk of cardiovascular events. These findings should be interpreted in context with the low incidence of atherosclerotic disease in japan and the current management practice for cardiovascular risk factors.					
Source of funding	Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan					
Comments	Randomisation was performed as envelopes with random assignme adjudicated by an independent co-calculations estimated that 2450 patherosclerotic disease by aspirin to treat principle, including all pati of the last visit. Cumulative incide between groups were assessed waspirin use and chi-squared or Fislimits the use of placebo in physical 1000 Japanese diabetic patients. previous epidemiologic studies in	nts and distributed them by mail to immittee on validation of data and patients would need to be enrolled. Efficacy comparisons were performents in the group to which they we need of primary and secondary envith log-rank test. Cox proportional sher exact test was used to evaluation initiated studies because it is a This is one third of the event rate.	the physicians in charge at the events that were unaware of ground to detect a 30% relative risk reduced on the basis of time to first ere randomised with patients lost adpoints were estimated by Kapla hazards model was used to est adverse events. The Japanes an unapproved medicine. The over	study sites. All outcomes were oup assignments. Sample size fluction for an occurrence of event, according to the intention to follow-up censored at the day an-Meier method and differences imate hazard ratios (HRs) of se Pharmaceutical Affairs Law verall events rates were low: 17 in		

Evidence able 20	: ETDRS trial
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	ETDRS trial (Ref ID:)-unpublished subgroup analyses of type 2 diabetics without a history of CV disease have been used in the evidence review for this question
Study type & aim	The ETDRS was designed to evaluate the effects of photocoagulation and aspirin on ocular events. This multicenter, RCT, also provided an opportunity to study the effects of aspirin on cardiovascular complications. The study design provided for the collection
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of patients: 3711 patients (1152 patients with type 2 diabetes)  Inclusion criteria: clinical diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and one of the following categories of diabetic retinopathy: mild nonproliferative with macular edema, or moderate to severe nonproliferative or early proliferative (less severe than the high-risk proliferative stage, as defined by the Diabetic Retinopathy Study) with or without macular edema. Visual acuity was required to be better than 20/40 in each eye (or 20/400 if acuity was reduced as a result of diabetic macular edema)

ETDRS trial (Ref ID:)-unpublished subgroup analyses of type 2 diabetics without a history of CV disease have been used in the evidence review for this question

**Exclusion criteria:** systolic blood pressure over 210 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure over 110 mm Hg despite the use of antihypertensive medication; history of gastrointestinal hemorrhage or diagnosis of active gastrointestinal ulcer in the past 2 years; inability or unwillingness to stop taking anticoagulants or antiplatelet drugs; allergy to aspirin; pregnancy or lactation; or poor prognosis for 5 years of follow-up because of a prior major cardiovascular event, cancer, or another chronic disease.

**Patient characteristics:** baseline characteristics from the whole study population and from patients with type 2 diabetes alone are shown in tables 1 and 2 respectively. The patients assigned to aspirin were comparable to those assigned to placebo for patients with type 2 diabetes

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of all included patients (N=3711)

Characteristic	Aspirin (N=1856)	Placebo (N=1855)
Age at entry, y	-	-
<30	324 (17.5)	302 (16.3)
30-49	572 (30.8)	596 (32.1)
≥ 50	960 (51.7)	957 (51.6)
Sex (M)	1031 (55.5)	1065 (57.4)
Diabetes		
Type I	559 (30.1)	571 (30.8)
Type II	587 (31.6)	565 (30.5)
Mixed	710 (38.3)	719 (38.9)
Duration of diabetes, y	-	-
<10	306 (16.5)	304 (16.4)
10-19	1084 (58.4)	1035 (55.8)
≥20	466 (25.1)	516 (27.8)
Daily use of insulin	1552 83.6)	1561 (84.2)
Use of oral hypoglycaemic agents	276 (14.9)	257(13.9)
≥120% of desirable weight	793 (42.7)	748 (40.3)
≥6 cigarettes/day	819 (44.1)	822 (44.3)
Serum cholesterol ≥6.20mmol/L*	470 (35.2)	495 (36.0)
Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol ≥4.15 mmol/L*	336 (26.4)	328 (25.0)

# ETDRS trial (Ref ID:)-unpublished subgroup analyses of type 2 diabetics without a history of CV disease have been used in the evidence review for this question

Serum creatinine ≥133 µ /L*	114 (6.5)	139 (7.9)
Hemoglobin Alc ≥10%*	538 (41.0)	584 (42.9)
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	-	-
≥130	1228 (66.2)	1220(65.8)
≥160	385 (20.7)	364 (19.6)
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg	-	-
≥85	735 (39.6)	716 (38.6)
≥90	552 (29.7)	509 (27.5)
History of definite or suspected	111 (6.0)	99 (5.3)
Myocardial infarction		
Stroke	27 (1.5)	38 (2.0)
Transient ischemic attack	26 (1.4)	29 (1.6)
Coronary artery disease	141 (7.6)	145 (7.8)
Congestive heart failure	48 (2.6)	57 (3.1)
Intermittent claudication	167 (9.0)	180 (9.7)
Amputation	55 (3.0)	52 (2.8)
Elevated blood pressure or prescription for diuretic agents or antihypertensive drugs <sup>†</sup>	840 (45.3)	806 (43.4)
Cardiovascular disease history‡	912 49.1)	900 (48.5)
Proliferative retinopathy in one or both eyes	477 (25.7)	486 (26.2)
Clinically significant macular edema in one or both eyes	1137 (61.3)	1126 (60.7)

<sup>\*</sup>These laboratory values were obtained only for some patients; denominators range from 1274 to 1769.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Considered present If the patient had systolic blood pressure of 160 mm Hg or greater or diastolic blood pressure of 95 mm Hg or greater or If the patient reported the use of diuretic or antihypertensive agents at the time of entry.

<sup>‡</sup> Cardiovascular disease history was considered present if the patient reported a history of any of the following conditions: coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, myocardial Infarction, or intermittent claudication. Patients reporting any of the following drug use at the time of entry were

# ETDRS trial (Ref ID:)-unpublished subgroup analyses of type 2 diabetics without a history of CV disease have been used in the evidence review for this question

also considered to have cardiovascular disease history: long-term antianginal agents, propranolol or other ß-blockers, nitroglycerin or other vasodilators, digitalis, antiarrhythmic agents, or diuretic and other antihypertensive agents. Patients who had systolic blood pressure of 160 mm Hg or greater or diastolic blood pressure of 95 mm Hg or greater were also considered to have cardiovascular disease history.

Table 2. Baseline characteristics of patients with type 2 diabetes only

Characteristic	Aspirin (N=587)	Placebo (N=565)
Age at entry, y	-	-
<30	-	-
30-49	55 (9.4)	40 (7.1)
≥ 50	532 (90.6)	525 (92.9)
Sex (M)	303 (51.6)	275 (48.7)
Duration of diabetes, y	-	-
<10	210 (35.8)	201 (35.6)
10-19	322 (54.9)	299 (52.9)
≥20	55 (9.4)	65 (11.5)
Daily use of insulin	305 (52.0)	294 (52.0)
Use of oral hypoglycaemic agents	250 (42.6)	232 (41.1)
≥120% of desirable weight	462 (78.7)	437 (77.3)
≥6 cigarettes/day	231 (39.4)	202 (35.8)
Serum cholesterol ≥6.20mmol/L	178 (41.4)	189 (46.1)
Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol ≥4.15 mmol/L	121 (29.8)	125 (32.3)
Serum creatinine ≥133 µ /L	49 (8.8)	52 (9.7)
Hemoglobin Alc ≥10%	141 (33.3)	136 (33.3)
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg		
≥130	491 (83.6)	478 (84.6)
≥160	204 (34.8)	179 (31.7)
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg		

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	ETDRS trial (Ref ID:)-unpublished subgrouthe evidence review for this question	p analyses of type 2 diab	etics without a history of CV	disease have been used in
· · · · · · · ·	≥85	298 (50.8)	281 (49.7)	
	≥90	235 (40.0)	210 (37.2)	
	History of definite or suspected  Myocardial infarction	46 (7.8)	40 (7.1)	
	Stroke	16 (2.7)	16 (2.8)	
	Transient ischemic attack	11 (1.9)	13 (2.3)	
	Coronary artery disease	67 (11.4)	67 (11.9)	
	Congestive heart failure	25 (4.3)	32 (5.7)	
	Intermittent claudication	63 (10.7)	56 (9.9)	
	Amputation	21 (3.6)	19 (3.4)	
	Elevated blood pressure or prescription for diuretic agents or antihypertensive drugs	397 (67.6)	367 (65.0)	
	Cardiovascular disease history	421 (71.7)	393 (69.6)	
	Proliferative retinopathy in one or both eyes	94 (16.0)	93(16.5)	
	Clinically significant macular edema in one or both eyes	467 (79.6)	441 (78.1)	
	Subgroup analyses: analyses were conducted	ed by type of diabetes and	by gender but unclear if these	analyses were pre-specified
Monitoring Information and Definitions	Monitoring: All patients were to be followed under adherence. During the first 3.5 years of to on pill count and patient interviews, and batter following entry into the study.  Primary outcome measures: all-cause mortal secondary outcome measures: Other outcome measures:	he study, a physical examing of biochemical and hemanality	nation, medical history, assessi atologic determinations were pe	ment of drug adherence bas erformed at annual intervals
	cardiovascular events (fatal and nonfatal myo (dialysis, transplantation, and/or death due to <b>Other outcome measures:</b> N/A	cardial infarction and stroke		
ntervention	<b>Drug:</b> aspirin <b>Dose and timing:</b> two 325-mg tablets once p complete inhibition of platelet aggregation by endothelial tissue. Patients were expected to side effects. During the course of the trial, low	blocking cyclooxygenase by have good adherence to a	ut not enough to completely infregimen of two 325-mg tablets	nibit prostacyclin production once per day and to have for

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	ETDRS trial (Ref ID:)-unpublis the evidence review for this of	uestion			·		
		ose of aspirin as low as 80 mg every other day might be sufficient to inhibit platelet aggregation. After review of all available formation, including ETDRS data on side effects, the ETDRS investigators decided to continue with the dose of 650 mg/d) oute: oral					
Comparator		ose: unclear but assumed as aspirin group oute: unclear but assumed as aspirin group					
Length of follow up	At least 5 years						
Location	USA						
Outcomes measures and	NB: outcomes in this evidence	•	* *			P C	
effect sizes	Primary outcome measures-  Table 3. Outcomes for pati			complications: see ta	ble 3 for details of con	nplications	
effect sizes		ents with type 2			e rates and estimates		
effect sizes		ents with type 2	2 diabetes				
effect sizes	Table 3. Outcomes for pati	ents with type 2  Deaths a  Aspirin	2 diabetes and events Placebo	5-year life table	e rates and estimates	of relative risk	
effect sizes	Table 3. Outcomes for pati	ents with type 2 Deaths a Aspirin (N=587)	2 diabetes and events Placebo (N=565)	5-year life table Aspirin (N=587)	e rates and estimates Placebo (N=565)	of relative risk Relative risk (99% CI)	
effect sizes	Table 3. Outcomes for pati Outcome  Death—all causes	ents with type 2 Deaths a Aspirin (N=587) 161 (27.4)	2 diabetes and events Placebo (N=565) 162 (28.7)	5-year life table Aspirin (N=587)  108(19.2)	e rates and estimates Placebo (N=565) 115(21.6)	s of relative risk Relative risk (99% CI) 0.92 (0.69 to 1.23)	
effect sizes	Table 3. Outcomes for pati  Outcome  Death—all causes All cardiovascular Sudden coronary	ents with type 2  Deaths a  Aspirin (N=587)  161 (27.4)  117 (19.9)	2 diabetes and events Placebo (N=565) 162 (28.7) 124 (21.9)	5-year life table Aspirin (N=587)  108(19.2)	e rates and estimates Placebo (N=565) 115(21.6)	s of relative risk Relative risk (99% CI) 0.92 (0.69 to 1.23)	
effect sizes	Table 3. Outcomes for pati  Outcome  Death—all causes All cardiovascular  Sudden coronary death	ents with type 2 Deaths a Aspirin (N=587) 161 (27.4) 117 (19.9) 23 (3.9)	2 diabetes and events Placebo (N=565) 162 (28.7) 124 (21.9) 35 (6.2)	5-year life table Aspirin (N=587)  108(19.2)	e rates and estimates Placebo (N=565) 115(21.6)	s of relative risk Relative risk (99% CI) 0.92 (0.69 to 1.23)	

Infarction

Other

Cause unknown

noncardiovascular

Fatal or nonfatal myocardial

Fatal or nonfatal stroke

27 (4.8)

2 (0.4)

118 (20.9)

34 (6.0)

72 (13.4)

42 (8.1)

90 (17.3)

29 (6.0)

0.83 (0.59 to 1.17)

1.37 (0.77 to 2.43)

36 (6.1)

2 (0.3)

107 (18.2)

49 (8.3)

# ETDRS trial (Ref ID:)-unpublished subgroup analyses of type 2 diabetics without a history of CV disease have been used in the evidence review for this question

•						
Amputation	29 (4.9)	37 (6.5)	-	-	-	
Hypertension	507 (86.4)	483 (85.5)	-	-	-	
Any of the following	67 (11.4)	52 (9.2)	-	-	-	
Renal transplantation	34 (5.8	28 (5.0)	-	-	-	
Renal dialysis	1 (0.2)	2 (0.4)	-	-	-	
Candidate for dialysis	27 (4.6)	25 (4.4)	-	-	-	
Death from kidney failure	13 (2.2)	8(1.4)	-	-	-	
Cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or stroke	166 (28.3)	163 (28.8)	123 (22.4)	128 (24.4)	0.95 (0.71 to 1.26)	
All deaths, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or stroke	207 (35.3)	198 (35.0)	146 (26.0)	154 (28.7)	0.97 (0.75 to 1.26)	
*All relative rick actimates are based on the entire follow up. Clindicates confidence interval						

<sup>\*</sup>All relative risk estimates are based on the entire follow-up. CI indicates confidence interval.

Adverse events: These results do not relate to patients with type 2 diabetes specifically. At scheduled visits, local laboratory tests were performed when there was an indication of possible toxicity or suspicion of toxicity. The percentage of patients who had one or more laboratory tests scheduled for this reason at any time during follow-up was 10.9% for patients assigned to aspirin and 9.6% for patients assigned to placebo. About 53% of these tests yielded abnormalities. No significant treatment differences were observed for any of these abnormalities. Only a few patients (2%) in both groups had some indication of bleeding; that is, hemoglobin less than 100 g/L or haematocrit less than 0.30, hematuria, or blood in the stool.

Change in lipid levels and blood pressure: see table 3 for hypertension, lipid levels are not reported

Mortality: see table 3

Health related quality of life: not reported

Adherence: At the end of one year, 8.2% of patients assigned to aspirin and 7.4% of patients assigned to placebo were not taking their study medication.20 The numbers of patients not taking study medication, which increased by about 5% per year for the first 5 years, were approximately equal in the two groups. Estimates of adherence to assigned medication based on urine salicylate levels and thromboxane measurements, when available, yielded similar findings. The ETDRS protocol specified discontinuation of therapy with the assigned study medication if any of the following occurred: prescription for a platelet-affecting drug or anticoagulant therapy, pregnancy, hospitalization, or the unmasking of study medication.21 The most common reason for stopping administration of the

<sup>†</sup>With 0 indicating "no" and 1 indicating "yes," relative risk estimates were adjusted for the following: age greater than 30 years, age greater than 50 years, male, non-white, type I diabetes mellitus, type II diabetes mellitus, and clinical center.

<sup>‡</sup>With 0 indicating "no" and 1 indicating "yes," relative risk estimates were adjusted for the following: age greater than 30 years, age greater than 50 years, non-white, type I diabetes mellitus, type II diabetes mellitus, and clinical center.

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	ETDRS trial (Ref ID:)-unpublished subgroup analyses of type 2 diabetics without a history of CV disease have been used in the evidence review for this question
	assigned study medication was patient use of known platelet affecting drugs.
Authors' conclusion	The effects of aspirin on any of the cardiovascular events considered in the ETDRS were not substantially different from the effects observed in other studies that included mainly nondiabetic persons. Furthermore, there was no evidence of harmful effects of aspirin. Aspirin has been recommended previously for persons at risk for cardiovascular disease. The ETDRS results support application of this recommendation to those persons with diabetes at increased risk of cardiovascular disease.
Source of funding	The National Eye Institute.
Comments	The randomization was designed to provide balance in the number of patients assigned to aspirin or placebo within each clinical center. Both the placebo and aspirin (Bayer) were supplied to the ETDRS Drug Distribution Center by Glenbrook Laboratories. Neither the patient nor the clinical center personnel were informed of the patient's drug assignment except in the rare event of a medical emergency. The Mortality and Morbidity Classification Committee (internists and cardiologists who were not ETDRS clinical center investigators) 19 coded the deaths and hospitalizations for cardiovascular events. Coding was performed without knowledge of treatment assignment, according to pre-established criteria. Electrocardiogram Reading Center staff without knowledge of treatment assignment or clinical findings used the Minnesota Code25 to read the baseline and 5-year follow-up electrocardiograms (ECGs) (standard supine 12-lead ECGs). The relative risk of specified events for patients assigned to aspirin compared with patients assigned to placebo was estimated (along with the 99% confidence interval [CI]) using Cox regression with covariates. A relative risk less than 1.0 indicates a reduced risk of the outcome measure for patients assigned to aspirin compared with patients assigned to placebo; a relative risk greater than 1.0 indicates an increased risk for patients assigned to aspirin compared with patients assigned to placebo. A confidence interval including 1.0 indicates that the observed data are consistent with no aspirin effect.

# E.7 Review question 7: What pharmacological treatment should be used to manage erectile dysfunction in men with type 2 diabetes?

Evidence table 21: (Hatzchristou et al 200
--

Evidence table 21:	(Hatzchristou et al 2008)						
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hatzichristou et al 2008 (Ref ID: 66)						
Study type & aim	Randomised controlled, double blind, multi-centre trial (conducted in 23 out-patient centres). Study included 4 week run-in phase and 12 week treatment phase. Aim was to examine if once daily tadalafil 2.5 mg and 5mg was efficacious for men with diabetes and erectile dysfunction (ED).						
Number and	Total number of patients:						
characteristics of patients	298 patients were randomly assigned to post the 12 week treatment phase.  Inclusion criteria:	placebo or treatment gro	ups. 44 patients (14.8%) disco	ontinued treatment before comple			
	Men aged ≥ 18 years with at least 3 month history of Type 1 (T1) or Type 2 (T2) diabetes and erectile dysfunction (ED). All patients had to be in a heterosexual monogamous relationship and not to have used other ED treatment during run in and treatment phase o for 96 hours after final study visit. ED was defined as persistent history of inability to achieve or maintain an erection for satisfactory sexual performance. T1D eligibility defined as disease onset prior to age 30 years. T2D defined as all other patients meeting criteria of symptoms of diabetes						
	Exclusion criteria:						
	Exclusion criteria included patients with H pressure. Additional exclusion criteria included condition or stroke and history of radical pachieve an erection.	luded current treatment	with nitrates, a recent history o	f serious unstable cardio vascular			
	Patient characteristics:						
	Patient demographics and ED were balar 42.6% of patients had severe ED. 88.9%		and control groups at baseline.	Mean age of patients = $57 \pm 9$ ye			
	Patients in Tadalafil (5mg) group had pool history of retinopathy (12.2%), neuropathy and 3.0%, respectively).						
	Table 1. Baseline clinical patient ch	naracteristics*					
		Placebo (n=100)	Tadalafil (2.5mg) (n=100)	Tadalafil (5mg) (n=98)			
	Type 1: Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus	9:91	9:91	15:83			

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hatzichristou et al 2008 (Ref ID: 66)			
	Diabetes duration (years)	11.1 (0.4- 36.4)	9.9 (0.3- 49.4)	12.3 (0.4- 34.5)
	Diabetes therapy:			
	Insulin only	20 (20.0%)	24 (24.0%)	33 (33.7%)
	Oral glucose-lowering agents only	59 (59.0%)	56 (56.0%)	47 (48.0%)
	Insulin and oral agents	15 (15.0%)	12 (12.0%)	16 (16.3%)
	None	6 (6.0%)	8 (8.0%)	2 (2.0%)
	HBA1c (%)	7.7% (4.7-11.6%)	7.5% (4.9-12.6%)	7.9% (4.8-13.0%)
	Glycaemic control:			
	Good (HBA1c< 7.0%)	35 (35.0%)	49 (49.0%)	32 (32.7%)
	Fair (HBA1C ≥ 7.0 - 9.5%)	54 (54.0%)	38 (38.0%)	52 (53.1%)
	Poor (HBA1c >9.5%)	11 (11.0%)	13 (13.0%)	14 (14.3%)
	Serum fructosamine (µmol/mol)	309.1 (196.0 – 533.0)	309.7 (192.0 -630.0)	322.7 (211.0-497.0)
	Urinary albumin-creatinine (mg/kg)	151.4	76.8	115.2
	History of diabetic complications:			
	Retinopathy	9 (9%)	8 (8%)	12 (12%)
	Autonomic neuropathy	6 (6%)	2 (2%)	4 (4%)
	Nephropathy	2 (2%)	3 (3%)	8 (8%)
	Coronary artery disease	10 (10%)	8 (8%)	7 (7%)
	Cerebral Ischemia	1 (1%)	3 (3%)	2 (2%)
	Peripheral vascular disease	9 (9%)	10 (10%)	(6%)
	*Mean ±SD, mean (range) or n (%)			
	Table 2 Mayamont of nationts th	arough the study		
	Table 2. Movement of patients the		adalafil (2.5mg)	Tadalafil (5mg)
			n=100)	(n=98)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hatzichristou et al 2008 (Ref ID: 66)						
	Discontinued	19	11	14			
	Perceived lack of efficacy	7	1	5			
	Adverse events	4	4	5			
	Loss to follow up	4	2	3			
	Patient decision	3	3	2			
	Entry criteria failure	1	1	1			
	Completed	81	89	84			
Monitoring	Primary outcome measures:						
nformation and definitions	1) International Index of Erectile Func	tion Erectile Function (IIEF) E	rectile function (EF) domain				
	sexual desire (SD); intercourse satisfaction (IS); and overall satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and the state of the sexual desire (SD); intercourse satisfaction (IS); and overall satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and the sexual state of the sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measure and sexual satisfaction (OS). It was used in prior 4 week period to measu						
	identify a domain score: (No ED ≥ 26;	mild ED 17-25; moderate ED	11-16; severe ED 1-10).	,			
	How often were you able to get an experience of the second of the s	erection during sexual activity?	?				
	When you had erection with sexual	stimulation how were your ere	ections hard enough for penet	ration?			
	When you attempted sexual interco	urse, how often were you able	e to penetrate your partner?				
	During sexual intercourse, how ofter		•				
	During sexual intercourse, how diffice	cult was it to maintain your ere	ection to completion of interco	ourse?			
	<ul> <li>How many times have you attempted</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>When you attempted sexual intercourse, how often was it satisfactory for you?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>How much have you enjoyed sexual intercourse?</li> <li>When you had sexual intercourse, how often did you ejaculate?</li> <li>When you had sexual intercourse, how often did you have the feeling of orgasm or climax?</li> </ul>						
	How often have you felt sexual desi						
	How would you rate your level of se						
	<ul> <li>How satisfied have you been with you</li> </ul>						
	How satisfied have you been with your service.	•					
	<ul> <li>How do you rate your confidence th</li> </ul>	at you could get and keep an	erection?				

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hatzichristou et al 2008 (Ref ID: 66)
	2) Sexual Encounter Profile (SEP)
	Addressing patient experiences attempting intercourse. Questions 2 and 3 used only
	Q1-Were you able to achieve at least some erection (some enlargement of penis?)"
	Q2- "Were you able to insert your penis into your partners vagina?"
	Q3- "Did your erection last long enough for you to have sexual intercourse?"
	Q4- "Were you satisfied with the hardness of your erection"?
	Q5- "Were you satisfied overall with this sexual experience?"
	SEP diary was completed after each sexual attempt
	Secondary outcome measures:
	3) Global Assessment Questions (GAQ):
	Q1- "Has the treatment you have had improved your erections"?- if 'yes', then
	Q2- "Has the treatment improved your ability to engage in sexual activity"? Patient satisfaction was based on IIEF IS and OS domain scores
	Efficacy also considered percentage of men with no ED (IIEF EF) after 12 weeks of treatment.
	Other outcome measures:
	4) Glycaemic control:
	This included HBA1c m and serum fructosamine concentration. HBA1c glycaemic control was classed as good ( $<7.0\%$ ); fair $\geq 7.0$ to $\leq 9.5\%$ ); or poor ( $>9.5\%$ ) and was stratified to patient EF scores at endpoint.
	5) Urinary ACR
	6) Treatment emergent adverse events (TEAEs):
	These were defined as any adverse event that first occurred or worsened after patient was randomly assigned to treatment.
Intervention	Drug: Tadalafil
	Dose and timing: 2.5mg or 5mg taken once a day (at approximately same time)  Route: Oral
Comparator	
Comparator	Drug: placebo  Dose and timing: taken once a day (at approximately same time)
	Route: Oral
Length of follow up	12 weeks
Location	23 outpatient sites across North America
Outcomes	Primary outcome measures: Erectile Function
measures and	For all 3 primary end-points, Tadalafil (2.5 mg and 9 mg) resulted in significant improvements in EF compared to placebo.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2015

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID) effect sizes

Hatzichristou et al 2008 (Ref ID: 66)

Table 3. Mean SEP scores for Q2 and Q3 per patient % 'yes' score

	Tadalafil 2.5mg		Tadalafil 5mg		Placebo	
	Baseline Change		Baseline	Change	Baseline	Change
SEP Q2	41.8%	62.3%*	32.2%.	61.1%*	37.7%	43.0%
Vaginal penetration						
SEP Q3	20.1%	46.0%*	16.1%	41.1%*	20.1%	28.2%
Successful intercourse						

<sup>\*</sup>change scores at 12 weeks significant at p≤ 0.005

Table 4. Mean change in IIEF domain scores by ED score at baseline

Mean IIEF EF domain scores for ED							
	Tadalat	il 2.5mg	Tadalafil 5mg		Placebo		
	Baseline	Change	Baseline	Change	Baseline	Change	
All patients	13.5	18.3*	12.7	17.2*	13.4	14.7	
Mild score (22-30)	21.9	24.7	21.1	24.4	21.3	22.1	
Moderate score (17-21)	13.5	18.7	12.9	17.8	13.4	16.1	
Severe score (1-10)	7.6	13.6	7.0	7.8	7.1	12.2	

<sup>\*</sup> p≤ 0.005 compared with placebo

Table 5. Mean change in IIEF domain scores by Hba1c score at baseline

Mean IIEF EF domain scores for glycaemic control (HBA1c)							
	Tada	lafil 2.5mg	Tada	Tadalafil 5mg		Placebo	
	Baseline	Change	Baseline	Change	Baseline	Change	
All patients	13.5	18.3*	12.7	17.2*	13.4	14.7	
Good (<7.0 %)	13.7	17.5	12.7	19.3	14.6	16.0	
Fair (≥ 7.0 to ≤ 9.5%)	13.7	17.5	12.7	19.3	13.2	14.6	
Poor (> 9.5%)	11.0	11.5	11.4	12.8	14.1	18.8	
* p≤ 0.005 compared with placebo							

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hatzichristou et al 2008 (Ref ID: 66)
Total and a (Ital 12)	Other outcome measures: Change in blood glucose (including HBA1c)
	No meaningful change in HBA1c or serum fructosamine concentrations were observed among treatment groups at end-point.  Changes in weight or BMI:
	This outcome was not reported in this paper
	Frequency, severity and timing of hypoglycaemic episodes:
	This outcome was not reported in this paper
	Adverse events:
	Most TEAEs were mild or moderate
	Discontinuations to adverse events (placebo= 4.0%, tadalafil 2.5mg= 4.0%, tadalafil 5mg=3.1%)
	Three patients treated with tadalafil experienced serious adverse events (diabetic ketoacidosis, bleeding diverticulum, colelithiasis) but investigator did not consider these to be related to study drug.
	Microvascular or macrovascular complications:
	No meaningful change in urinary ACR was observed among treatment groups at end-point.
	Few patients had overt nephropathy at baseline, defined as an ACR > 300 mg/g (macroalbuminuria) but significant decreases in mean ACR over the 12-week treatment period were observed in the subset of patients receiving tadalafil 2.5 mg and 5 mg with baseline ACR values in the upper tertile (> 32 mg/g) had. Mean change in ACR from baseline –9.87 mg/g (tadalafil 2.5 mg, = -9.87 mg/kg, p<0.034; tadalafil 5 mg = -37.62 mg/g, p<0.004, Placebo = +133.00 mg/g). The changes correspond to reductions from baseline values of –4% for the tadalafil 2.5-mg group and –14% for the tadalafil 5-mg group, and an increase of 36% for the placebo group.
	Quality of life (including changes in confidence, anxiety, mood and depression):
	This outcome was not reported in this paper
Authors' conclusion	Once daily tadalafil 2.5 and 5mg was efficacious and well tolerated. It may be an alternative option to on demand treatment for some men.
Source of funding	Support provided by Lily (manufacturer)
Comments	N/A

# Evidence table 22: (Kamenov 2010)

	(1.33.110.110.110.110.110.110.110.110.110
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Kamenov 2010 (Ref ID: 20)
Study type & aim	Randomised controlled, head to head trial comparing the effect of the first intake of tadalafil and vardenafil in patients with diabetes, diabetic neuropathy (DN) and diabetic erectile dysfunction (DED).
Number and characteristics of	<b>Total number of participants:</b> 49 diabetic patients with DN and DED (26 in each arm) were randomly assigned to receive two pills containing one of the two

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2015

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Kamenov 2010 (Ref ID: 20)							
reference (Ref ID) patients	diabetes this was defined as different characteristics:  Criteria for DN was defined as presult of other neurological dise. Criteria for DED was defined as had to have provided a positive enough for a satisfactory sexual have ED accompanied by psychexclusion criteria:  Patients were excluded if they wantiandrogens; Had a history of serious peripheral vascular characteristicn with ventricular rate ≥ 1 blood pressure <90) and/or uncommol/L; A history of radical prospresence of other neurological of Patient characteristics:  There were no significant different diabetes was approx. 10 years.	rears with type 1 ferences between the cores between the cores of sympase; modified new patients wishing answer to the qual intercourse?" Prological discoming were currently us myocardial infaringes with considious with considious myocardial infaringes with controlled hypertestatectomy, trauring disease or neuron ences between the control of diabetic myocardial infaringes.	en corresponding ptomatic DN (ting europathy disabiling to improve erectuestion "Do you ratients had to be fort.  Sing nitrates for is pretion, stroke, life derably decrease position to hyperticension >170 mm matic or other stropathy; Primary othe patients in eactes was not satisfactoric disability.	values of blood gling, burning, pa ity score (NDS) a ctile function with have any difficult e in a heterosexu schaemic heart d chaemic heart d	a score on the IIEF domain of >5 and <26. Patients ties in achieving and/or maintaining erection sufficient all stable relationship for at least 6 months and had to isease, PDE5 inhibitors, androgens or nythmia, or unstable angina pectoris in last 6 months; sations of the peripheral arteries; Atrial flutter/autonomic neuropathy, use of hypertensives, systolic er enzymes; Fasting plasma glucose (FPG) >12 of spinal cord, anatomic anomalies of the penis.; and/or absence of permanent sexual partner.  up. Most patients (80%) had T2 diabetes. Duration of 8.5%, FPG 7.2 mmol/L). Most patients were aged ≥			
	, , ,	30 years (2 patients were aged 25 and 26 respectively). Duration of ED for all participants was 4.6±3.9 years.						
	Table 1. Baseline demogra			In managed				
	Feature	Tadalafil	Vardenafil	In general				
	N Assa (vanasa)	24	25	49				
	Age (years)	50.8 ± 9.2	50.7 ± 9.7	50 ± 10.5				
	Height (cm)	51 (46-60)	53 (49-56)	53 (46-58)				
	Weight (kg)	93.2 ± 11.8	90.2 ± 24.3	91.7 ± 19.9				

BMI (kg/m2)

Waist circumference (cm)

Hip circumference (cm)

 $28.8 \pm 7.1$ 

103.0 ± 18.7

103.8 ± 12.4

 $29.2 \pm 5.8$ 

104.2 ± 15.6

105.4 ± 11.4

 $29.5 \pm 3.1$ 

 $105.5 \pm 8.9$ 

107.1 ± 7.1

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Kamenov 2010 (Ref ID: 20)			
, ,	W/H ratio	0.98 ± 0.04	0.99 ± 0.07	0.98 ± 0.06
	DM2	18 (75.0)	21 (84.0)	39 (79.6)
	Duration of DM (years)	9.2 ± 5.5	9.9 ±7.5	9.5 ± 6.6
	FPG (mmol/L)	7.1 ± 1.6	7.2 ± 1.7	7.2 ± 1.6
	HBA1c (%)	8.6 ± 1.9	8.5 ± 2.1	8.5 ± 2.0
	Hypertension Of them treated Duration of hypertension	16 (66.7) 15 (62.5) 10.9 ± 8.6	19 (76.0) 17 (76.0) 8.9 ± 9.1	35 (71.4) 32 (91.4) 9.8 ± 8.8
	Systolic BP (mmHg)	134.2 ± 15.0	135.6 ± 14.9	134.9 ± 14.8
	Diastolic BP (mmHg)	84.2 ±10.3	83.8 ± 8.5	84.0 ± 9.3
	Dyslipidemia Of them treated Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	16 (66.7) 9 (56.3) 5.3 ± 1.1	15 (16.0) 7 (46.7) 5.7 ± 1.5	31 (63.3) 16 (51.6) 5.5 +1.4
	HDL (mmol/L)	1.3 ± 0.5 1.1 (1.0-1.4)	1.5 ± 0.4 1.4 (1.1-1.7)	1.4 ± 0.4 1.3 (1.0-1.6)
	LDL (mmol/L)	3.0 ± 0.7 3.0 (2.3-3.6)	3.2 ± 1.2 3.1 (2.3-3.6)	3.1 ± 1.0 3.1 (2.3-3.6)
	Triglycerides (mmol/L)	2.3 ± 1.3 2.1 (1.5-2.3)	2.3 ± 1.7 1.9 (1.2-2.4)	2.3 ± 1.6 1.9 (1.3-2.4)
	Smokers (present and ex)	11 (45.8)	9 (39.1)	20 (40.8)
	Duration of smoking	18.2 ± 7.8	15.8 ± 6.6	17.0 ± 7.2
	Number cigarettes per day	20.7 ± 14.5 20 (15-20)	28.0 ± 11.4 25 (20-40)	24.2 ± 13.3 20 (20-30)
	Data presented as mean ± st (%) BP, blood pressure; FPG, fas		•	percentile) or N
Monitoring information and definitions	Verification of DN: Patients meeting inclusion crite 1: Vibration perception; 2:Tem DN diagnosed if patients score	perature percepti	on; 3: Pressure	perception; 4: Ac

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Kamenov 2	010 (Ref ID: 20)						
	Verification		ed if score was ≥2	26 points. IIEF wa	s adapted for th	ne short treatme	ent period.	
		ent answers were					- · <b>,</b> · · · · ·	
	1: Never or	almost never = n	o successful atter	npt out of two				
		•	empts) = one succ	•				
	5: almost all SEP	ways or always =	two successful a	ttempts out of two				
	Q2: "Were y	ou able to insert	your penis into yo	our partners' vagir	na?"			
	Q3- "Was yo	our erection long	enough to allow s	exual intercourse	?"			
	"Do you thin	k that the treatm	ent improved you	erection?"				
Intervention	Drug: Tadalafil (Cialis, Lily)  Dose and timing: Two tablets of 20 mg taken between 1 and 6 hours before intended sexual activity. Time interval between taking each pill had to be at least 1 day.  Route: Oral							
Comparator	Drug: Vardenafil (Levitra, Bayer-Schering)  Dose and timing: Two tablets of 20 mg taken between 1 and 6 hours before intended sexual activity. Time interval between taking each pill had to be at least 3 days.  Route: Oral							
Length of follow up	Follow up w		ablet had been ta	ken (after at least	1 day for tarde	nafil group and	after at least 3 da	ys for vardenafil
Location	Bulgaria							
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Erectile Function: Differences between ED before and after treatment use were found to be highly significant in both treatment groups. No patients reported deterioration of the condition.							
	Table 2. Fe	eatures of erec	tile dysfunctio	n before and a	ter the use o	f tadalafil and	d vardenafil	
	ED Indicator	Questions	Tadalafil		Vardenafil		All men	
			Before	After	Before	After	Before	After

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Kamenov 2	2010 (Ref ID: 20)						
	Duration of ED		3 (2-6) 4.3 ± 2.8		3 (1-9) 5.0 ± 4.3		3 (1-8) 4.6 ± 3.9	
	IIEF	1	2 (1-4) 2.5 ± 1.5	5 (2-5)*** 3.7 ± 1.7	1 (1-3) 2.2 ± 1.5	5 (3-5)*** 3.6 ± 1.7	2 (1-4) 2.3 ± 1.5	5 (3-5)*** 3.7 ± 1.7
		2	2 (1-4) 2.3 ± 1.3	5 (1-5)*** 3.6 ± 1.8	2 (1-3) 2.2 ± 1.5	5 (1-5)*** 3.6 ± 1.8	2 (1-3) 2.3 ±1.4	5 (1-5)*** 3.6 ± 1.8
		3	2 (1-4) 2.4 ± 1.6	5 (2-5)*** 3.6 ± 1.7	2 (1-4) 2.3 ± 1.4	5 (3-5)*** 3.6 ± 1.7	2 (1-4) 2.4 ± 1.5	5 (3-5)*** 3.6 ± 1.7
		4	1 (1-4) 2.0 ± 1.3	3 (1-5)** 2.8 ± 1.8	1 (1-3) 1.9 ± 1.2	3 (1-5)** 3.1 ± 1.9	1 (1-3) 2.0 ± 1.2	3 (1-5)*** 3.0 ± 1.8
		5	2 (1-3) 2 .0 ± 1.2	3 (1-4)** 2.8 ± 1.5	1 (1-2) 1.6 ± 1.0	3 (1-4)*** 2.8 ± 1.6	1 (1-3) 1.8 ± 1.1	3 (1-4)*** 2.8 ± 1.5
		15	1 (1-3) 1.8 ± 1.1	3 (2-5)*** 3.0 ± 1.5	1 (1-3) 1.8 ± 1.0	3 (1-4)*** 3.1 ± 1.6	1 (1-3) 1.8 ± 1.1	3 (1-4)*** 3.0 ± 1.5
		In total	12 (6-20) 13.0 ± 7.2	21*** (11-28) 19.5 ± 9.1	9 (6-18) 12.2 ± 6.5	21*** (10- 28) 19.8 ± 9.1	10 (6-19) 12.6 ± 6.8	21***(10-28) 19.6 ± 9.0
		Change in all men (points)		6 (1-10) 6.5 ± 6.3		7 (0-12) 7.6 ± 7.4		6 (1-11) 7.0 ± 6.8
		Change in patients who responded to treatment		8 (6-13) 10.0 ± 5.3		11 (8-17) 11.8 ± 6.0		9 (7-16) 10.9 ± 5.7
		Non responders to treatment		5 (20.8)		8 (32.0)		13 (26.5)
	SEP2	Gave positive answer	14 (58.3)	19 (79.2)*	13 (52.0)	19 (76.0)*	27 (55.1)	38 (77.6)***
		Patients who showed improvement as percentage		5 (20.8)		6 (24.0)		11 (22.4)

	from the whole group						
	Patients who showed improvement as percentage of those who gave negative answer before treatment		5 (50.0)		6 (50.0)		11 (50.0)
SEP3	Gave positive answer	4 (16.7)	15 (62.5)***	3 (12.0)	15 (60.0)***	7 (14.3)	30 (61.2)***
	Patients who showed improvement as percentage from the whole group		11 (45.8)		12 (48.0)		23 (46.9)
	Patients who showed improvement as percentage of those who gave negative answer before treatment		11 (55.0)		12 (54.5)		23 (54.8)
GAQ	Patients who showed improvement		15 (62.5)		16 (64.0)		31 (63.3)
Change in	ovement reported in weight or BMI: eline BMI and weigh	·	·	·	, ,		

## Kamenov 2010 (Ref ID: 20)

#### Adverse events:

Thirteen side effects were reported by 11 patients

Table 3. Side effects during treatment

Туре	Tadalafil	Vardenafil	All men
Headache	2 (8.3)	2 (8.0)	4
Flush	1 (4.2)	2 (8.0)	3
Nasal congestion		1 (8.0)	1
Myalgia	2 (8.4)		2
Dyspepsia	2 (8.4)	1 (4.0)	3
Visual disturbances	_	_	_
Total	7 (29.2)	6 (24.0)	13

Three patients discontinued the trial; 2 in tadalafil group and 1 in vardenafil group (but this was for reasons unrelated to treatment)

## Change in glycaemic control including HBA1c and microvascular or macrovascular complications:

Elevated risk of developing diabetic foot (NDS  $\geq$ 6) was seen in 31. (63.3%) of patients, but there were no significant differences between the treatment groups.

## Quality of life (including changes in confidence, anxiety, mood and depression):

This outcome was not reported in this paper

## Other reported outcomes:

These factors in table 4 were identified as factors that correlate with the treatment efficacy.

Table 4. Correlations of treatment efficacy of the two PDE5 inhibitors (demonstrated by IIEF,SEP2 and SEP3) and different risk factors

IIEF after   IIEF   SEP2 after   SEP3 after   SEP3   Continue of the second of the s
--

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Kamenov 2010 (Ref ID: 20)									
	Age	-0.170	-0.119	-0.220	-0.075	-0.156	-0.121	0.025		
	Duration of DM	0.007	0.280	0.116	0.277	-0.091	0.049	0.113		
	HBA1c	-0.059	0.020	-0.135	-0.079	-0.090	-0.089	0.024		
	FPG	0.231	-0.019	0.029	-0.171	0.070	0.073	0.007		
	NDS	-0.597***	-0.433**	-0.515***	-0.448*	-0.623***	-0.597***	- 0.500**		
	Hypertension	-0.230	-0.214	-0.232	-0.146	-0.318	-0.324*	-0.107		
	Dyslipidemia	-0.095	-0.124	-0.127	-0.026	-0.118	-0.078	0.002		
	Smoking	0.151	0.028	0.071	-0.151	0.181	0.165	-0.024		
	Duration of smoking	0.182	0.005	0.094	-0.186	0.186	0.162	-0.037		
	Number of cigarettes	0.104	-0.078	0.022	-0.213	0.162	0.147	-0.019		
	Duration of ED	-0.221	-0.095	-0.271	-0.316	-0.295*	-0.248	-0.155		
	IIEF after treatment		0.712***	0.726***	0.738***	0.820***	0.836***	0.672**		
	IIEF treatment efficacy			0.710***	0.897***	0.653***	0.717***	0.842**		
	SEP2 after treatment				0.917***	0.676***	0.655***	0.706**		
	SEP2 treatment efficacy					-0.502*	0.502*	0.917**		
	SEP3 after treatment						-	-0.099		
	SEP3 treatment efficacy							0.720**		
	*P<0.05, **P<0.01,***P<0.001  ED, Erectile dysfunction; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; NDS, neuropathy disability score; SEP, sexual encounter profile question  Correlations between risk factors, IIEF, SEP2, SEP3 and GAQ after using 2 PDE-5 inhibitors (after treatment) and treatment efficacy									
Authors conclusion	This study found that tadalafil an well tolerated with no serious ad		e equally effec	ctive with the firs	st intake in patie	ents with diabete	es and DN and I	ooth are		
Source of funding	Not reported									
Comments	N/A									

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2015

Evidence table 23:	(De Young et al 2012)				
Bibliographic					
reference (Ref ID)	De Young et al 2012 (Ref ID:7)				
Study type & aim	Double-blind, randomised, placebo controlled tria	al			
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of participants and characteristics:  24 men with type 2 diabetes enrolled and were assigned to either 50mg sildenafil (n=12) or placebo (n=12). Mean age 59 years (sildenafil, 59.4 years; placebo, 59.8 years) range 49-75 years. All patients were high risk with diabetic ED recruited from a tertiary referral clinic.  Inclusion and exclusion criteria:  Not reported				
	Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patie	nts		1	
		Placebo	Sildenafil		
	Mean age (y)	59.8	59.4		
	Body mass index (kg/m2)	28.4	30.4		
	Duration of diabetes (y)	10.9	11.4		
	Duration of ED (y)	7.25	6.4		
	Patients who smoke cigarettes n (%)	5 (42%)	5 (42%)		
	Patients who drink alcohol n (%)	6 (50%)	10 (84%)		
	Patients with hypertension (%)	3 (25%)	3 (25%)		
	Patients with hyperlipidaemia n (%)	5 (42%)	6 (50%)		
	Baseline IIEF-5 scores (questions 3 and 4)	2.7	3.3		
Monitoring information and definitions	Outcome measures:  Erectile function was assessed using an abridge point.	d version of	the IIEF-5 ite	m scale. Patients were monitored at baseline and end-	
Intervention	Drug: Sildenafil Dose and timing: 50mg daily Route: Oral				
Comparator	Drug: Matched placebo (designed to simulate loc Dose and timing: 50mg daily Route: Oral	ok and feel o	f treatment d	rug)	
Length of follow	10 weeks				
up					

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	De Young et al 2012 (Ref ID:7)
Location	Canada
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Erectile function:  The sum of scores for questions 3 and 4 of the IIEF scale was examined: Patients taking sildenafil reported significant differences between baseline and end point scores (p<0.001). Scores were not significantly different between treatment groups at baseline (sildenafil, 9.1; SD, 5.6. SEM, 1.6; placebo, 6.2; SD, 4.4; SEM1.3)  Frequency severity and timing of hypoglycaemic episodes:  This outcome was not considered in the study  Adverse events:  No adverse events were recorded  Microvascular or macrovascular complications:  This outcome was not considered in the study although endothelial function assessed at baseline found that brachial arterial FMD was similar between treatment and placebo groups. At endpoint patients in the sildenafil group reported significant improvements in FMD compared to placebo, reporting a 2-fold increase in brachial artery diameter (p<0.01)  Quality of life (including changes in confidence, anxiety, mood and depression):  This outcome was not considered in the study
Authors' conclusions	Improved erectile rigidity and enhanced vascular circulation were noted after 10 weeks of daily sildenafil use.
Source of funding	Pfizer
Comments	N/A

# Evidence table 24: (Vardi & Nini 2009)

	,
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Vardi & Nini 2009 (Ref ID:287)
Study type & aim	Cochrane systematic review to assess the effect of PDE-5 inhibitors on management of erectile dysfunction (ED) in men with diabetes
Selection criteria	Randomised controlled trials comparing treatment with PDE-5 inhibitors to control in patients with diabetes and erectile dysfunction, trials with more than 2 treatment groups, cross-over designs (but no wash out period) and trials with intervention and follow up of any duration.  Excluded studies included trials that were not truly randomised or where treatment allocation was not concealed.
Patient demographics	People with diabetes known to have ED and treated in a prospective design. At least one treatment option had to include PDE-5 inhibitor therapy
Search methods	Cochrane library

Bibliographic	
reference (Ref ID)	Vardi & Nini 2009 (Ref ID:287)
	MEDLINE until October 2005
	EMBASE until October 2005
	Also searched on-going trial databases
Outcomes	Eight studies were included
measures and	Erectile Function:
effect sizes	Seven studies reported Erectile dysfunction domain of International Index of Erectile Function. Weighted mean difference (WMD) at endpoint was 6.6 (95% confidence interval (CI,) 5.2 to 7.9)
	The IIEF questions 3 and 4 were taken from 5 studies. WMD for Q3 was 0.9 95% CI was 0.8 to 1.1 and for Q4 was 1.1 95% CI was 1.0 to 1.2
	All studies considered the number of participants answering yes to the Global Efficacy Question (GEQ). Relative risk (RR) was 3.75 95% CI 3.12 to 4.51.Heterogeneity I <sup>2</sup> was 77.4%
	Change in glycaemic control including HbA1c and change in weight or BMI
	Patients' baseline HBA1c was used to assess heterogeneity of treatment effect. Glycaemic control was considered in 2 studies. One study considered high and low control (HBA1c threshold 8.3%) and found change in IIEF EF domain to be similar in treatment and control groups.
	The second study applied low medium and high HBA1c thresholds (below 7%, 7% to 9.5% and above 9.5%) and found the response to PDE-5 therapy and glycated haemoglobin was non-significant.
	Frequency severity and timing of hypoglycaemic episodes:
	This outcome was not considered in the review
	Adverse events:
	The most frequent adverse event was headache 141 of 1012 patients reporting incidence in the intervention arm compared to 28 0f 755 in control arm (risk ratio 3.66; 95% CI 2.51 to 5.35)
	The second most frequent event was flushing reported by 103 of 970 patients in treatment arm (RR 13.1 95% CI 6.01 to 29.03) compared to control.
	Microvascular or macrovascular complications:
	One paper reported treatment related CV events in the intervention arm. Two incidences of chest pain, 2 cases of congestive heart failure and 4 cases of hypertension.
	Quality of life (including changes in confidence, anxiety, mood and depression):
	Quality of life was addressed by 2 papers. A validated life satisfaction checklist found that Sildenafil was shown to improve scores for sexual life but there were no significant differences in other domains (life as a whole, partnership relations, family life, contact with friends, leisure situation, vocational situation and financial situation).
Authors' conclusion	PDE-5 inhibitors are a valid treatment option for men with diabetes and ED.

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Vardi & Nini 2009 (Ref ID:287)
Source of funding	Not reported
Comments	A fixed effects model was chosen to pool data (due to low number of included studies)
Abbreviations	Abbreviations: ED, Erectile dysfunction; IIEF, International Index of Erectile Function questionnaire; EF, Erectile function domain of IIEF; SEP, Sexual Encounter Profile (diary questions regarding sexual encounter); GEQ Global Efficacy Question; QoL Quality of Life; IS, Intercourse satisfaction domain; Orgasmic function domain; Sexual desire domain; Overall satisfaction domain.

## Evidence table 25: (Goldstein et al 2012)

Evidence table 25:	(Goldstein et al 2012)
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Goldstein et al 2012 (Ref ID: XXX)
Study type & aim	Double-blind (investigator, participants), multi-centre, randomised, placebo-controlled trial to assess the effects of avanafil (100mg or 200mg) on erectile dysfunction in men with diabetes (89.5% with type 2 diabetes)
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of participants:  390 men with diabetes (349 with type 2 diabetes) Inclusion criteria:  Men aged ≥18 years with documented type 1 or 2 diabetes, ≥6 month history of mild to severe erectile dysfunction who were in a monogamous, heterosexual relationship for ≥3 months and agreed to make ≥4 attempts at intercourse per month. Following a 4 week run-in period, men were eligible for inclusion if they experienced ≥50% failure rate in maintaining an erection of sufficient duration to facilitate successful intercourse, had an IIEF-EF score of 5 to 25 and made ≥4 attempts at sexual intercourse.  Exclusion criteria:  Uncontrolled diabetes (HbA1c >9%); ED resulting from spinal cord injury or radical prostatectomy; BG levels >270mg/dL; untreated hypogonadism; prostate specific antigen level >4ng/mL; clinically significant cardiac, hepatic or renal disease; orthostatic hypotension; uncontrolled hypertension or hypotension; allergy or hypersensitivity to a PDE-5 inhibitor; history of consistent treatment failure or dose limiting adverse events during therapy with other PDE-5 inhibitors; previous or current antiandrogen therapy; use of organic nitrates or any drugs known to inhibit the activity of cytochrome P450 3A4 in previous 28 days of study drug commencement or during the study  Patient characteristics:  Mean duration of diabetes: 11.3±9.4 years  Mean duration of ED: 72.3±55.7 months  Mean age 58±9.1 years  Mean weight 99.4±18.9kg; mean BMI 31.5±5.6kg/m²  Ethnicity, n (%): White 314 (80.5%); Black 67 (17.2%); Asian 6 (1.5%); Multiple 2 (0.5%); Unknown 1 (0.3%)  Severity of ED, n (%): Mild 85 (21.8%); Moderate 122 (31.3%); Severe 183 (46.9%)  Type of diabetes, n (%): Type 1 41 (10.5%); Type 2 349 (89.5%)

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Goldstein et al 2012 (Ref ID: XXX)					
, ,	History of hypertension, $n$ (%): 260 (67%) History of coronary artery disease, $n$ (%): Proportion with dyslipidaemia at baseline, Proportion on antihypertensive medication Proportion on $\alpha$ -blockers, $n$ (%): 24 (6.2%)	54 (13.9% , <i>n</i> (%): 224 n, <i>n</i> (%): 23	, 4 (57.4%)			
Monitoring information and definitions	Outcome measures: Erectile dysfunction was assessed using to Other domains on IIEF Adverse events, ECG, laboratory values,			, SEP-2	2 and SEP-3.	
Intervention	Drug: Avanafil  Dose and timing: 100mg or 200mg, taken about 30 minutes before sexual activity; 2 doses in 24 hour period permitted provided that doses were separated by at least 12 hours; no restrictions on food or alcohol and concurrent α-blocker use Route: Oral					
Comparator	Drug: Placebo  Dose and timing: as per drug regimen  Route: as per drug regimen					
Length of follow up	12 weeks					
Location	USA					
Outcomes measures and effect sizes	Erectile Function  Table 1. LS Mean change scores (± SD) from baseline in IIEF-EF domain at 12 weeks (extracted from clinicaltrials.gov NCT00809471)					
		Placebo			afil 100mg	Avanafil 200mg
	Number of participants	125		125		125
	Follow-up at 12 weeks	1.8±0.64		4.5±0	0.64 5.4±0.66	
	Table 2. Proportion of individuals indicating successful intercourse (SEP-3) at 12 weeks					
			Placebo		Avanafil 100mg	Avanafil 200mg
	Number of participants		127		126	126
	Follow-up at 12 weeks, % 20.5 34.4 40					40

## Goldstein et al 2012 (Ref ID: XXX)

Table 3. Proportion of individuals indicating successful vaginal penetration (SEP-2) at 12 weeks

	Placebo	Avanafil 100mg	Avanafil 200mg
Number of participants	127	126	126
Follow-up at 12 weeks, %	42	54	63.5

## Frequency severity and timing of hypoglycaemic episodes:

This outcome was not considered in the study

### Adverse events:

Table 3. Adverse events at 12 weeks

	Placebo	Avanafil 100mg	Avanafil 200mg
Number of participants	130	127	131
Any treatment-emergent AE, n	31	45	42
Any drug-related treatment-emergent AE, n	5	9	20
Discontinued study medication because of treatment-emergent AE, <i>n</i>	0	1	2
Discontinued study medication because of drug- related treatment-emergent AE, <i>n</i>	0	0	1
Serious adverse event, n	1	3	4
Drug-related SAE, n	0	0	0
Death, n	0	0	0
Headache, n	2	5	15
Nasopharyngitis, n	6	4	4
Flushing, n	0	2	5
Sinus congestion, n	1	1	4
Back pain, n	3	2	1
Sinusitis, n	0	4	1
Dyspepsia, n	0	0	4
Influenza, n	0	3	0

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Goldstein et al 2012 (Ref ID: XXX)
	Microvascular or macrovascular complications: This outcome was not considered in the study Quality of life (including changes in confidence, anxiety, mood and depression): This outcome was not considered in the study
Authors' conclusion	Avanafil was safe and effective for treating ED in men with diabetes and was effective as early as 15 minutes and more than 6 hours after dosing. AEs seen with avanafil were similar to those of other PDE-5 inhibitors.
Source of funding	VIVUS Inc
Comments	Stratified randomisation based on severity of ED using centralised, computer-generated system

# Evidence table 26: (Hackett et al 2013)

Evidence table 20.	(Hackett et al 2013)
Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hackett et al 2013 (Ref ID: XXX), BLAST study
Study type & aim	Double-blind, multi-centre, randomised, placebo-controlled trial to assess the effects of intramuscular testosterone undecanoate on erectile dysfunction in men with type 2 diabetes
Number and characteristics of patients	Total number of participants:  199 men with type 2 diabetes and diagnosed hypogonadism; 84.5% had erectile dysfunction  Inclusion criteria:  Men aged ≥18 years with type 2 diabetes recruited from routine diabetes assessments from 8 general practices with initial findings of either total testosterone (TT) between 8.1 and 12nmol/L or free testosterone (FT) from 0.181 to 0.25nmol/L (mild group) or TT of ≤ 8.0nmol/L or FT of ≤0.18nmol/L (severe group). Mean of two morning fasting blood samples (8 to 11am) taken at baseline and 2 weeks later confirmed the diagnosis of hypogonadism according to European Association of Urology/International Society for Sexual Medicine guidelines. Men were symptomatic on baseline Ageing Male Symptom. Presence of relevant clinical symptoms were ascertained by physicians based on baseline assessment and IIEF, AMS and HADS.  Exclusion criteria:  History of testosterone replacement; history of prostate, breast or hepatic cancer; abnormal digital rectal examination; severe symptoms of prostate hypertrophy; elevated prostate-specific antigen (PSA>4μg/L) or haematocrit >50%; anticoagulation therapy Patient characteristics (n=190):
	Mean age 61.6±9.9 (33-83) years  Mean weight 100.9±19 (68-186) kg; mean BMI 32.7±5.8 (23-64) kg/m²  Mean systolic blood pressure: 138.6±14.5 (104-192) mmHg  Mean diastolic blood pressure: 78.4±9.2 (54-100) mmHg

Bibliographic							
reference (Ref ID)	Hackett et al 2013 (Ref ID: XXX), BLAST study						
	Mean total testosterone: 9.1±3.5 (0-21.4) nmol/L		- 00()				
	Proportion with mild testosterone deficiency (8-12nmol/L): 115 (57.8%) Proportion with severe testosterone deficiency (<8nmol/L): 84 (42.2%) Mean free testosterone: 184.4±60.4 (0.2-340) nmol/L Mean PSA: 1.4±1.3 (0.1-10.6) µg/L						
	Mean IIEF score: 12.44±8.1 (0-29)						
	Severity of ED, n (%): None [scores 26-30] 31 (1			-moderate [score	es 11-16] 38 (19%);		
	Moderate severe [scores <10] 35 (18.6%); Sever	-	21 (10.5%)				
	Proportion on PDE-5 inhibitor medication, $n$ (%):	35 (18.6%)					
Monitoring information and	Outcome measures:						
definitions	Erectile dysfunction was assessed using the IIEF Quality of life assessed on Global Efficacy Quest						
	Symptom scores assessed by Aging Male Symptom						
	Depression and anxiety assessed by Hospital An		ssion Scale				
Intervention	Drug: testosterone undecanoate						
	Dose and timing: 1000mg administered over 5 minutes into the upper outer buttock at weeks 0, 6 and 18						
	Route: Intramuscular						
Comparator	Drug: Placebo						
	Dose and timing: as per drug regimen						
l an ath of follows	Route: as per drug regimen		- h   4 4 4 4		-1\		
Length of follow up	30 weeks, with 52 week open label extension where everyone received testosterone therapy (not extracted)						
Location	UK						
Outcomes	Erectile Function						
measures and effect sizes							
enect sizes	Table 1. Outcomes at baseline, 18 and 30 weeks		T_	T	T		
		N	Testosterone	N	Placebo		
	IIEF: Erectile function	T	1	1			
	Baseline	97	13.24±9.80	102	11.64±10.05		
	18 weeks	96	13.21±10.77	100	11.18±10.51		
	30 weeks	91	13.99±10.89	95	10.52±10.43		

rence (Ref ID)	Hackett et al 2013 (Ref ID: XXX), BLAST study						
	IIEF: Intercourse satisfaction						
	Baseline	97	5.44±4.77	102	5.30±4.91		
	18 weeks	96	5.94±5.15	100	4.82±5.10		
	30 weeks	91	5.95±5.12	95	4.72±5.11		
	IIEF: Sexual desire						
	Baseline	97	5.19±2.03	102	5.29±2.22		
	18 weeks	96	5.56±2.31	100	4.88±2.28		
	30 weeks	91	5.69±2.34	95	4.96±2.44		
	IIEF: Overall satisfaction						
	Baseline	97	5.12±2.56	102	5.66±3.00		
	18 weeks	96	5.52±2.79	100	5.16±3.05		
	30 weeks	91	5.35±2.81	95	5.28±3.06		
	IIEF: Orgasm						
	Baseline	97	5.68±3.83	102	4.80±4.02		
	18 weeks	96	5.97±3.97	100	4.42±4.18		
	30 weeks	91	5.68±4.04	95	3.99±3.92		
	GEQ: 30 weeks						
	Score 1	91	13 (14.3%)	95	1 (1.1%)		
	Score 2	91	29 (31.9%)	95	16 (16.8%)		
	Score 3	91	21 (23.1%)	95	25 (26.3%)		
	Score 4	91	28 (30.8%)	95	53 (55.8%)		
	*Mean±SD						

Bibliographic reference (Ref ID)	Hackett et al 2013 (Ref ID:	XXX), BLAST study			
	Number of participants	97	102		
	Withdrawals	4	5		
	Reasons reported by study  Bowel malignancy not related to treatment  Death due to septicaemia following elective knee replacement surgery  Prostate cancer without rise in PSA, diagnosed by digital rectal examination after reported worsening of urinary symptoms on clinical examination  Injection-related pain  Microvascular or macrovascular complications:				
	This outcome was not considered in the study  Quality of life (including changes in confidence, anxiety, mood and depression):  The study reported that testosterone showed a non-significant reduction of 1.05 in the depression domain of the HADS questionnai when compared to a reduction of 0.41 in the placebo group over the 30 weeks.  Non-significant reduction in the anxiety domain of HADS questionnaire was also observed in testosterone group vs. placebo (actual)				
	figures not reported).				
Authors' conclusion	Testosterone therapy significantly improved all domains of the IIEF and patient related quality of life at 30 weeks and after 52 week open label extension. In men with type 2 diabetes, trials fo therapy may need to be given for much longer than 3 to 6 months suggested in current guidelines.				
Source of funding	Bayer				
Comments	GEQ data was only provided scores were given.	d as proportion of people reporting various scores fr	om 1 to 4 – no explanation of interpretation of these		