Appendix 15b: Characteristics Table for The Clinical Question: In the treatment of GAD, what are the risks and benefits associated with the following low-intensity treatments?

Comparisons Included in this Clinical Question

Bibliotherapy (guided) versus CBT

VANBOEIJEN2005

Bibliotherapy (guided) versus TAU

SORBY1991 VANBOEIJEN2005 Bibliotherapy (guided) versus WLC

LUCOCK2008

Bibliotherapy (non-facilitated) versus Information control

WHITE1995

Bibliotherapy (non-facilitated) vs TAU

MAUNDER2009

Bibliotherapy (non-facilitated) vs WLC

BOWMAN1997 KASSINOVE1980 TARRIER1986 WHITE1995

CBT group adult psychoeducation vs Traditional group anxiety management training

KITCHINER2009

CBT group adult psychoeducation vs WLC

KITCHINER2009

Computerised therapy versus WLC

HOUGHTON2008 TITOV2009A

Large group didactic therapy vs WLC

Participants

Traditional group anxiety management training versus WLC

KITCHINER2009

Characteristics of Included Studies

Methods

BOWMAN1997

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Determined the efficacy of self-examination (problem-solving) therapy in treatment of GAD.

Type of Analysis: Completers

Blindness: Open

extraction)

Duration (days): Mean 28

Followup: 3 months (data available for

Setting: Community, participants were self recruited by advertisements in Alabama, US

Notes: RANDOMISATION: No details provided

Info on Screening Process: 75 people screened, 37 excluded (24 failed to meet eligiblity criteria as they had either moderate to severe panic attacks, were in psychotherapy, or did not meet criteria for GAD: an additional 13 people chose not to participate)

WHITE1992A

Age: Mean 43 Range 20-73 Sex: 10 males 28 females

n = 38

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-III-R

Exclusions: A) did not have a diagnosis of GAD; B) were in psychotherapy at time of study; C) were receiving pharmacotherapy and were not stabilised on medication for at least 2 months; D) evidencing psychosis, suicidal risk, or mania; E) having panic disorder with panic attacks that were considered to be moderate to severe

Notes: Completion rates: All required to complete at least 7/28 worksheets. No other comment on completion rates.

Baseline: No significant group differences

Data Used

STAI-trait STAI-S

SCL-90 General Severity index HAMA

Notes: DROP OUTS: Treament = 4/19: WLC = 4/19. Therapist contact: Called by therapist (Bowman) once per week (restricted to 5 mins). No other therapist contact.

Outcomes

Group 1 N= 19

Problem solving therapy (Selfexamination). Mean dose 4 weeks (1 worksheet per day) - Compared what was bothering them with what mattered. If what was bothering them related to what mattered, they brainstormed, listed possible solutions to their problem, and were encouraged to try out solutions. 28 worksheets (1 per day); 45 pages booklet

Interventions

Group 2 N= 19

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 4 received no treatment until 4 weeks had passed, after which participants in this group were assigned to SET.

FUNDING: none declared, Quality assessed: Selection bias = unclear risk. Performance bias = unclear risk. Attrition bias = unclear risk, Detection bias = low risk

Notes

Results from this paper:

Self-examination therapy was effective in comparison to waiting list control.

HOUGHTON2008

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Examined the effectiveness of the Internet version of the mindfulness-based stress reduction for women previously diagnosed with GAD.

Type of Analysis: Completer analysis

n= 231

Age: Mean 43 Range 25-50

Sex: all females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by previous diagnosis (no diagnosis tool mentioned)

Exclusions: a) If they were not female & had not been

Data Used STAI-total

Group 1 N= 50

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 8 weeks received no treatment

Quality assessment completed: selection bias = unclear risk of bias. performance bias = low risk of bias, attrition bias = high risk of bias. detection bias = unclear risk of bias. No information on funding provided:

Blindness: Open

Duration (days): Mean 56

Followup: No follow-up

Setting: Outpatients, US Self selected

Notes: RANDOMISATION: random number or letter and only the primary investigator had

access to the data

Info on Screening Process: 1049 assessed for eligiblility, 268 excluded as not meeting inclusion criteria, a further 550 did not complete pretests & baseline information, 90 excluded as did not return post-tests

diagnosed with generalised anxiety disorder, b) not currently in good health as acknowledged by their primary care physician; c) did not complete the informed consent; d) were not between the ages of 25 and 50; e) did not have access to the Internet or were not able to read and

Notes: It was assumed that the participants were accurately diagnosed with generalised anxiety disorder

Baseline: STAI: Treatment = 37 (7), Control = 47 (9). Completion rates: All completed in each group (a random selection of all completers was chosen for analysis).

Notes: Drop outs: Treatment= 32/116. Control = Group 2 N= 50 58/115 (note high drop outs). Also only 50 from each remaining group selected randomly for analysis. Outcomes taken at pre-test and posttest (8 weeks). No follow-up analysis.

Internet delivered mindfulness stress reduction. Mean dose 8 sessions (unclear of length) - Included (a) focusing on mindfulness of breath, (b) formal sitting meditation, (c) body-scan meditation and (d) yoga. Asked to practice exercises for a minimum of 10 minutes a day, 6 days per week. Therapist competence: No therapist contact

Results from this paper:

Note on attrition:

84 of 116 in treatment group returned post-assessment & 50 of these were randomly selected. 57 of 115 in control group returned post-assessment & 50 of these were randomly selected.

Conclusions: Treatment effective

KASSINOVE1980

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Examined the impact of rational emotive bibliotherapy or audiotherapy on 34 clients with a variety of neurotic disorders

Type of Analysis: Completers

Blindness: No mention Duration (days): Mean 56

Followup: No follow up analysis

Setting: Recruited from a community mental

health centre: US

Notes: RANDOMISATION: no details provided Info on Screening Process: No details provided n = 34

Age: Range 21-56 Sex: 12 males 22 females

write the English language.

Diagnosis:

100% Anxiety disorders by previous diagnosis (no diagnosis tool mentioned)

Exclusions: a) not diagnosed as neurotic; b) had previously been in treatment at the center

Notes: Were currently awaiting treatment for approximately

Baseline: STAI-T: Bibliotherapy = 53.5 (10), Audiotherapy =

56 (14.3), WLC = 52.8 (10.2)

Data Used STAI-T

Notes: Taken at pre- and post testing (after 8 weeks). No follow up analysis carried out, DROP OUTS: none. No details on completion rates.

Group 1 N= 11

> Rational emotive bibliotherapy. Mean dose 16 sessions (1 hour each) - Came to centre twice per week for 8 weeks & were given a reading assignment. Read 1/more chapters from book on rational emotive background at each session & asked to complete 3-5 easy questions after each assignment. No therapist contact.

Group 2 N= 12

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 8 weeks -Were told they were recommended for individual psychotherapy but since a therapist was unavailable they would have to wait. They were told to contact centre if an emergency were to arise.

Group 3 N= 11

Rational emotive audiotherapy. Mean dose 16 sessions (1 hour each) - Came to centre twice per week for 8 weeks. No therapist contact. Asked to listen to a tape developed by rational emotive experts with an aim to encourage rational thinking & develop a more appropriate philosophy of life. No assignment given.

Quality assessed: Unclear risk of bias for selection, performance, attrition & detection. Funding: none declared.

Results from this paper:

Both treatments were effective, however, bibliotherapy had a larger effect in comparison with audiotherapy.

KITCHINER2009

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Examined the relative effectiveness of a 6 week CBT education evening class, an anxiety management group & WLC group in the treatment of anxiety.

Type of Analysis: Intention to treat (LOCF)

Blindness: No mention Duration (days): Mean 42

Followup: 1, 3 & 6 month follow up (1 month follow up reported for all groups, 3 & 6 not

n= 73

Age: Mean 40 Range 16-65 Sex: 38 males 35 females

Diagnosis:

100% Anxiety disorders by DSM-IV

Exclusions: (a) previous course of CBT (individual or group) or anxiety management; (b) changed psychotropic medication within the preceding 4 weeks; (c) evidence of psychosis, substance dependency, or other primary DSM-IV

Data Used

Fear Questionnaire General health questionnaire-anxiety **Beck Depression Inventory**

Group 1 N= 24

Group Anxiety management training. Mean dose 6 (2 hours each) - Facilitated by 2 occupational therapists with 15-20 vears experience of AM in groups. Designed to be more interactive with a broadly psychoeducational approach. A CBT model was used, with a strong emphasis on activity scheduling & relaxation techniques.

Quality assessed: selection bias = unclear risk. performance bias = unclear risk, attrition bias = unclear risk, detection bias = unclear risk. FUNDING: none declared.

reported for WLC)

Setting: Secondary care. University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff, Psychoeducation held in School of nursing. The AM took place in the local psychiatric hospital.

Notes: RANDOMISATION: randomly allocated to one of the three groups by computer generated randomization codes concealed in opaque brown envelopes.

Info on Screening Process: 99 screened, 23 were excluded. Of those excluded 14 had a primary diagnosis of depression, one was alcohol dependent, two had a learning disability, one had a personality disorder, one obsessive compulsive disorder and four no psychiatric disorder.

axis I disorder; (d) severe physical illness; (e) severe personality disorder; and (f) cognitive impairment.

Notes: Excluded obsessive compulsive disorder & PTSD. Mainly chronic anxiety disorder sufferers who had been referred to secondary care following a trial of treatment in primary care. 19% were referred from primary care and 81% from secondary care.

Baseline: Psychoeducation group (stress control) had fewer females (32% vs 63%) & anxiety management group had fewer with a previous medical history (60% vs 29%). Sickness in days stress control = 87 (121.6), anxiety management = 59 (109.2), WLC = 34 (92.2)

Notes: Psychoeducation: 9/25 dropped out. 12% | Group 2 N= 25 attended all sessions, 24% attended 5 sessions. AM: 8/24 dropped out.13% attended all. 13% attended 5 sessions.

CBT group adult psychoeducation. Mean dose 6 (2 hours each) - Delivered by 2 experienced mental health nurses with extensive experience of treating outpatients with CBT under supervision (one had received training from J. White and delivered several groups of SC before the study commenced).

Group 3 N= 24

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 6 -Received no intervention until 1 month after the active interventions finished when they were offered their choice of a psychoeducation or anxiety management

Results from this paper:

Meta analysis revealed that CBT and anxiety management interventions resulted in small to moderate, yet statistically insignificant effects on depression and anxiety scores when compared with waiting list.

Conclusions: Unlike similar interventions in primary care settings (e.g. WHITE1992), this study is not as effective. This could be due to the chronic condition that characterises this study's participants.

LUCOCK2008

Study Type: Quasi-randomised

Study Description: A time-cohort clinical trial in for therapy.

Blindness: No mention

Followup: No follow-up analysis

Setting: Multi-professional adult psychological therapy service in which patients were offered a self-help intervention; Wakefield Metropolitan

Small effect for guided bibliotherapy in comparsion to WLC.

which patients were offered a brief, low cost, low intensity self-help intervention while waiting

Type of Analysis: ITT (LOCF)

Duration (days): Mean 56

Notes: RANDOMISATION: Time-cohort (ABAB) design.

Info on Screening Process: 1278 patients assessed, 1102 excluded as not meeting inclusion criteria & further 80 did not give informed consent. Reasons for exclusion: offered group work/individual therapy during study, failing to attend appointments, return of questionnaires etc.

n= 96

Age: Mean 40 Range 20-65 Sex: 34 males 62 females

Diagnosis:

100% Anxiety disorders by previous diagnosis (no diagnosis tool mentioned)

Exclusions: a) Did not have one of the following disorders as a main presenting problem; panic disorder, generalised anxiety disorder, agoraphobia, social phobia, health anxiety, and specific phobias: b) Patients with a main problem of post-traumatic stress disorder or obsessive compulsive disorder were excluded because the self-help pack was not deemed suitable; c) Patients with psychosis and substance misuse as the main presenting problem were also excluded; d) Patients with other concurrent mental health problems such as depression were included as long as one of the appropriate anxiety problems was a major presenting problem for which the patient wanted help.

Notes: No attempt was made to exclude patients on the basis of severity or comorbidity, 52 had GAD, 43 had panic & 50 had depression as a main concurrent problem.

Baseline: all comparable. No details on completion rates.

Data Used

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (depression)

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (anxiety)

CORE-OM (Clinical Outcomes in Routine Evaluation)

Remission (cut-off of 10 on CORE-OM scale) Notes: *1st degree in psychology, attended a

university module of guided self-help for anxiety 8 depression that included assessment of client work skills. Also, supervised by consultant clinical psychologist with a CBT qualification

Group 1 N= 48

Bibliotherapy-guided & CBT based. Mean dose 8 (no mention of length of each session) - Self-help pack received within 2wks: 40minute initial session with psychology assistant (*see left). Recognising and dealing with anxious thinking, physical effects of anxiety, effects on mood and behaviour, dealing with setbacks. 21 drop outs

Group 2 N= 48

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 8 - 15 dropped out

Quality assessed: selection bias = high risk of bias, performance bias = unclear risk, attrition bias = low risk, detection bias = unclear risk FUNDING: none mentioned.

Results from this paper:

MAUNDER2009

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: A pilot study to investigate the effectiveness of self-help materials for the treatment of anxiety & depression in an adult male prison population.

Type of Analysis: Completers

Blindness: Single blind Duration (days): Mean 28

Followup: 4 weeks (not extractable)

Setting: Recruited from Primary care in male

n= 38

Age: Mean 35 Sex: all males

Diagnosis:

100% Anxiety disorders by cut off score of 8 on HADS- anxiety subscale

Exclusions: a) more serious mental health problem for example psychosis or organic brain disorder, current active self-harm; b) unable to read the booklets (i.e., non-English speakers, illiterate, or learning disability)

Data Used

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (anxiety)

Remission (<8 on HADS anxiety subscale)

Data Not Used

Patient Satisfaction - no data Brief symptom inventory - no data

Group 1 N= 20

Bibliotherapy-unquided. Mean dose 4 weeks (no sessions) - Participants were instructed to read the booklet, do the exercises, complete the time diary (to record when they used the booklet) and think about their personal reactions to the booklet. Also experienced TAU (see below)

Quality assessed: selection = low risk of bias, performance = low risk of bias, attrition = unclear risk of bias. detection = low risk of bias. FUNDING: Supported by small research grant from Northumberland Care Trust: author developed self-help material

prison: UK

Notes: RANDOMISATION: Blocked & using computer generated number sequences

Info on Screening Process: 85 invited to participate, 25 refused & 10 were discharged or transferred. A further person withdrew at the

assessment stage

Notes: 82.6% completed the 2nd assessment & 71.7% completed the 3rd assessment. No details of treatment completion for either group.

Baseline: No significant differences in baseline sociodemographic characteristics or anxiety scores between the anxiety treatment and anxiety control group. However, the treatment group had a longer length of sentence than the control group. Notes: Taken at baseline, 4 & 8 weeks. DROP OUTS: Treatment = 7/20, Control = 2/18. Response is based on HADS-anxiety subscale. Group 2 N= 18

TAU. Mean dose 4 weeks - Received an opaque envelope with a blank paper inside & information that they would be recalled in 4 weeks to complete the measures again & receive the booklet. Experienced TAU including medication, support, counselling, or other psychological therapies.

Results from this paper:

Treatment effective

SORBY1991

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Examines the effectiveness of the use of an anxiety management booklet in addition to treatment as usual from GP

Type of Analysis: Completer Blindness: No mention Duration (days): Mean 56

Followup: No follow up analysis

Setting: Outpatient: UK

Notes: RANDOMISATION: randomly allocated to groups by GP using a random card pack.

Info on Screening Process: 64 screened, 4 exculded as failed to meet inclusion criteria

n= 60

Age: Range 18-

Sex: 11 males 49 females

Diagnosis:

Anxiety disorders by DSM-III

Exclusions: Patients who had an additional diagnosis of obsessive-compulsive disorder, psychotic disorder, melancholia, or alcohol or substance misuse were excluded.

Notes: A defined diagnosis of panic disorder (20-30%) or any of its subtypes including phobic avoidance or GAD. Note in TAU % of GAD & depression doubles in treatment group.

Baseline: More patients in treatment group (33.3%) had PD without phobic avoidance than in the control group (5.3%), they also had less limited symptom attacks in the treatment group (3.3%) than control (10.5%), & were less likely to have GAD (10.5% vs. 21.1%), and major depression (3.3% vs. 10.5%). However, no significant differences found.

Data Used

Symptoms rating test-anxiety subscale Symptoms rating test-depression subscale HADS (anxiety)

Notes: DROP OUTS: TAU = 4/31, Treatment = 0/33, Completion rates: 19/31 in TAU & 30/33 in treatment. Taken at initial consultation, 2, 4 and 8 weeks (post-treatment). No follow up analysis carried out. HADS anxiety subscale

Group 1 N= 31

Treatment as usual. Mean dose 8 weeks

Group 2 N= 33

Bibliotherapy- guided, AMT + TAU. Mean dose 8 weeks (no mention of number of sessions or time) - Up to 10 minutes used to explain the contents. Booklet describes how anxiety operates in terms of the three factor theory of physical, mental & avoidance components. Advice is given on how readers can intervene at different stages in the anxiety cycle.

Quality assessed: Selection bias = high risk of bias, Performance bias = unclear risk of bias, attrition bias = unclear risk of bias, detection bias = unclear risk of bias. FUNDING: No details provided.

Results from this paper:

The booklet added onto the TAU's effectiveness, however, the bias casts doubt on the findings.

TARRIER1986

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: To examine the effects of 1 session of applied relaxation (demonstration, biblio/audiotherapy or all) in patients with GAD & panic attacks.

Type of Analysis: unclear Blindness: No mention Duration (days): Mean 23 Followup: No follow-up analysis

Setting: Secondary care, participants referred by psychiatrists or GPs in UK

Notes: RANDOMISATION: No details provided Info on Screening Process: No details provided

n= 50

Age: Mean 41

Sex: 20 males 30 females

Diagnosis:

100% Anxiety disorders by previous diagnosis (no diagnosis tool mentioned)

Exclusions: (a) not experiencing panic attacks; (b) not experiencing high levels of general anxiety and tension, or complaining of an inability to relax most of the time; (c) no physical symptoms of anxiety being present; d) if their principal complaint was of situational anxiety or they were at risk of suicide

Notes: They experience panic attacks that were not situationally determined, high levels of general anxiety and tension, physical symptoms of anxiety being present and a major source of complaint. Many on drug treatment, but felt not helpful anymore

Baseline: Groups were comparable on all prognostic & demographic factors. Compliance of 100% would be achieved if the exercise routine was practiced once a day. 24% of participants had a compliance of 76% or more. Mean compliance of 68%. No significant differences

Data Use

Symptoms rating test-anxiety subscale Symptoms rating test-depression subscale Compliance

Notes: 60% were provided further treatment (nonremission): differences among the treated groups was not significant. Overall 4 people dropped out, unclear how many from each group.

Group 1 N= 10

Waiting-list control

Group 2 N= 40

Applied relaxation (self-help). Mean dose 1 session (does not state length of session) - Taught by means of participant demonstration, written instructions, taped instructions, or a combination of all. Components include a) self-monitoring; b) correct breathing; c) muscle relaxation & d) positive mental imagery. Average number of days 23.

Quality assessed: Selection bias = unclear, Performance bias = unclear, Attrition bias = Unclear, Detection bias = unclear risk. FUNDING: no mention. No comment on therapist involvement or competence.

between groups with regard to compliance.

Results from this paper:

Treatment group participants are instructed to full practice once a day = 100% compliance. Type of instruction did not matter.

TITOV2009A

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Examined the effectiveness of clinician-assisted internet-based treatment (worry programme) for GAD

Type of Analysis: Intention to treat

Blindness: No mention Duration (days): Mean 63

Followup: No follow-up analysis

Setting: Community, participants were selfrecruited by online application in Australia

Notes: RANDOMISATION: via a true randomisation process

Info on Screening Process: 67 individuals were

screened for the programme

& 6 were excluded for not meeting inclusion

criteria

n = 48

Age: Mean 44 Range 18-Sex: 14 males 34 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by

DSM-IV

Exclusions: (i) not a resident of Australia; (ii) younger than 18 years of age; (iii) had no access to a computer, the Internet, and use of a printer; (iv) currently participating in CBT; (v) using illicit drugs or consuming more than three standard drinks per day; (vi) currently experiencing a psychotic mental illness or severe symptoms of depression (defined as a total score >23 or responding >2 to Question 9 (suicidal ideation) on the Patient Health Questionnaire Item (PHQ-9); and (vii) being on an inconsistent dosage of medicine in last month or not willing to keep dosage constant

Notes: Completion: A total of 75% (18/24) of treatment groups completed 6/6 lessons within 9 weeks. 1 failed to start programme.

Baseline: No between group differences on demographic characteristics, pre-treatment measures or pre-treatment expectations

Data Used PHQ-9

> Response = >50% reduction of pre-treatment GAD-7

GAD-7

Penn State Worry Questionnaire Remission: GAD-7 score < 10

Data Not Used

Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS)

Notes: Taken at pre and post (9 weeks). No follow-up analysis. DROP OUTS: CCBT: 1/25 did not start programme, 6/24 started but dropped out, WLC: 2/23 did not complete pre-treatment questionnaires, 2/21 did not complete posttreatment questionnaires

Group 1 N= 25

CCBT. Mean dose 6 sessions - Consisted of following for each lesson: a homework assignment; an online discussion forum; and instant messaging to allow secure email-type messages with a clinician. Clinician (clinical psychologist) spent 22 minutes per session with client.

Group 2 N= 23

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 9 weeks

Quality assessed: Selection bias = low risk of bias. performance bias = low risk. attrition bias= unclear risk detection bias = unclear risk. FUNDING: Australian Rotary Health Research Fund

Results from this paper: Treatment effective

VANBOEIJEN2005

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: To compare the effectiveness and feasibility of guided self-help, the anxiety disorder guidelines of the Netherlands College of GPs & CBT.

Type of Analysis: Intention to treat (LOCF)

Blindness: No mention Duration (days): Mean 84

Followup: Follow up at 3 & 9 months (both extractable)

Setting: Secondary care, participants recruited from 46 GP practices in Netherlands

Notes: RANDOMISATION:Computerised randomisation, assignments were put in sequentially numbered, sealed, opaque envelope by an independent statistician

Info on Screening Process: 287 screened, 145 excluded: 63 refused to participate, 70 did not meet the inclusion criteria, 5 did not receive treatment (either misdiagnosed or refused), 11 did not fill out pretest scores

n= 142

Age: Mean 38 Range 18-Sex: 53 males 89 females

Diagnosis:

100% Anxiety disorders by DSM-IV

Exclusions: a) the presence of an organic mental disorder; mental retardation or a psychotic disorder; b) treatment of anxiety disorders in the recent past; c) use of antidepressants or the use of more than 30 mg oxazepam equivalents daily.

Notes: 142 primary care patients with PD (N=32), PD + agoraphobia (66), GAD (44), PD + GAD (40)

Baseline: Comparable on all possible confounding & prognostic factors. Mean duration of disorder: 6-10 years Data Used

STAI-trait STAI-S

Penn State Worry Questionnaire

Beck Depression Inventory

Notes: Taken at pretest, 12 weeks (post-test), 3 months & 9 months follow-up. DROP OUTS: manual = 6/53 (11%), CBT = 9/63 (14%), TAU = 2/26 (8%), Completors: Manual = 47/53, CBT = 54/63, TAU = 24/26

Group 1 N= 26

Treatment as usual. Mean dose 12 weeks - Carried out by a GP & based on CBT principles. GPs received same training as before. GP was free to choose no. of sessions & intervention & was allowed to refer the patient for relaxation or psychiatric treatment & to prescribe antidepressants or benzos

Group 2 N= 53

Bibliotherapy-guided & CBT based, Mean dose 5 20 minute sessions) - Carried out by a GP (received 2 educational meetings on diagnosis & treatment of anxiety & supervision every 2 months). Participants put techniques into practice for 3 hours per week. GP reinforced achievements & motivated them.

Group 3 N= 63

CBT. Mean dose 12 45 minute sessions -Carried out by a CBT therapist (extensive experience in treatment of anxiety, also supervised by one of the authors weekly). Also practiced for 3 hours a week. Received a handbook, behavioural experiments & in vivo exposure exercises Quality assessed: selection bias = low risk of bias. performance bias = unclear. attrition = low. detection = unclear. FUNDING: Netherlands organisation.

Results from this paper: TAU condition is unstructured compared with groups 1 and 2. Three treatment groups differ in intensity and complexity.

Conclusions: Three treatments didn't differ significantly

WHITE1992A

Study Type: Quasi-randomised

Study Description: Examined the efficacy of either cognitive, behavioural, CBT, or placebo versions of 'stress control' large group didactic therapy

Type of Analysis: Completers

Blindness: No mention Duration (days): Mean 42

Followup: 6 months (data available for extraction)

Setting: Secondary care, participants referred by GPs in Scotland.

Notes: Randomisation: Patients were referred in batches to whichever therapy course was scheduled next.

Info on Screening Process: 167 screened, 58 excluded due to not meeting criteria

n= 109

Age: Mean 38 Range 18-65 Sex: 30 males 79 females

Diagnosis:

100% Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) by DSM-III-R

Exclusions: Not given a primary diagnosis of GAD, not in 18-65 age range, previous contact with a clinical psychology department, concurrent therapy from clinical psychology or psychiatry or previous experience of CBT, taking psychotropic medication at irregular dosages throughout therapy, anxiety severity level over 5, no written consent from patient or GP

Notes: DROP OUTS: Placebo = 0/10, BT = 5/31 (15%), WLC = 0/11, CBT = 4/26 (16%), CT = 4/31 (12%). 110/119 participants completed the course (i.e. attended 5 or more sessions/6).

Baseline: No significant differences found

Data Used

STAI-T

Modified somatic perception questionnaire

Fear survey Schedule -III

Dvsfunctional Attitude Scale

Beck Depression Inventory

Notes: Taken at baseline, 6 weeks and 6 months' follow-up. Therapist involvement: 2 therapists offered 12 hours of therapy between 24 patients (half an hour of therapy each).

Group 1 N= 26

CBT version of 'stress control'. Mean dose 6 - involved an amalgam of the techniques from behaviour & cognitive versions of stress control large group didatic therapies (equivalent to Kitchiner's CBT group)

Group 2 N= 31

Behaviour therapy version of 'stress control'. Mean dose 6 - Involved progressive muscular relaxation, functional analysis, targeting and graded exposure, behavioural relaxation training and behavioural treatment of panic.

Group 3 N= 10

Behaviour therapy version of 'stress control'. Mean dose 6 - (subconscious retraining) patients were told they were listening to apparent 'subliminal antianxiety messages' on tapes, but in fact there was none present. Involved 6x2hour evening sessions. No details provided on therapist competence.

Group 4 N= 11

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 6 - Joined second CT course after 6 weeks

Group 5 N= 31

Cognitive therapy version of 'stress control'. Mean dose 6 - involved the identification and monitoring of automatic thoughts, rational re-appraisal of these and of dysfunctional attitudes. No relaxation or behavioural techniques involved.

Results from this paper:

Main components: 1) review; 2) education (talk given on topic each session); 3) therapy; 4) workshop-for practice; 5) Homework assignments - reviews to consolidate; and participants are given booklets for each treatment

NB: psychologist role as educator, organise self-help service, instead of being the therapist

Conclusions: All treatments are effective, but not statistically significantly different from each other

WHITE1995

Study Type: RCT

Study Description: Tested the efficacy of a selfhelp anxiety management package amongst individuals meeting DSM-III-R criteria for anxiety disorders

Type of Analysis: Completer

Blindness: No mention Duration (days): Mean 90

Followup: no follow-up data extractable

Setting: Secondary care, participants referred by GPs in UK

.,

Notes: RANDOMISATION: No details provided

Info on Screening Process: 97 screened, 35 excluded: 8 refused to enter project, 9 did not

n= 62

Age: Mean 38 Range 18-65 Sex: 26 males 36 females

Diagnosis:

100% Anxiety disorders by DSM-III-R

Exclusions: a) not meeting diagnostic criteria for any DSM-III-R anxiety disorder as the prinicpal diagnosis; b) a GSI score less than 63 on the SCL90-R; c) a score of less than 11 on the HADS (anxiety); d) not aged between 18-65; e) previous contact with a clinical psychologist; f) concurrent treatment from clinical psychology or psychiatry, or previous experience of CBT; g) recent change in psychotropic medication; h) evidence of psychotic, alcohol or drug problems or no written informed consent from patients Notes: 44% had GAD as a primary diagnosis

Data Used

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (depression)

SCL-90R- Positive symptoms toal scores ADIS-R (clinical severity)

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (anxiety)

Remission (<8 on HADS anxiety subscale) SCL-90 General Severity index

Group 1 N= 20

Waiting-list control. Mean dose 3 months - Had no contact with the therapist during the 3 months wait.

Group 2 N= 20

Information control. Mean dose 3 months (no details on sessions) - Were offered specific verbal advice on ways of coping, with specific problems elicited during assessment. No written or taped material was offered

Quality assessed: Selection bias = unclear, performance bias = unclear, attrition bias = unclear, detection bias = unclear: 100% completion

FUNDING: Lanarkshire

assessed: Selection bias=

high risk, performance bias:

unclear risk, attrition bias =

unclear risk, detection bias

unclear risk of bias.

health board. Quality

reply, & 18 did not reach diagnostic or severity criteria.

Baseline: Groups were comparable on all baseline stats.

Completion rates: Advice only (info control) = 18/20, WLC = 3/17, Stresspac = 100%

Notes: Outcomes extracted at baseline & posttherapy (after 3 months). No follow up extractable as placed in high intensity therapy after 3 months. Drop outs: IC = 2/20, WLC = 3/20, B = 0/21

Bibliotherapy-unguided. Mean dose Number of sessions unclear - "Stresspac" Consisted of a booklet & a tape. Treatment is based on CBT principles including exposure, desensitisation, advice & relaxation. 30 minutes given to describe pack & how to use it. No advice on dealing with specific problems was

offered.

Results from this paper:

Treatment aim: see if low intensity treatment is beneficial while patients wait for high intensity treatment.

Conclusions: Stresspac effective and serve as good pretreatment for a high intensity treatment

Characteristics of Excluded Studies

Reference ID Reason for Exclusion

AGNIHOTRI2007 Biofeedback training-not low intensity
BENSON1978 Outcomes were not relevant or comparable

CALEAR2009 Participants were under 18

CANTER1975 No control group

CAVANAGH2006 Open trial

CRAGAN1984 Non-clinical sample

CRITSCHRISTOPH1996 High intensity intervention & non-RCT

CUNNINGHAM2007 Participants were under 18

DEBERRY1989 Non-clinical sample **DEFFENBACHER1979** Non-clinical sample

DENBOER2007 High intensity psychological intervention & no/few with GAD

DONKER2009 Study protocol, subclinical population

DONNAN1990 No data available

DRAPER2008A N<3

FANGET1999 Social phobia only & French

FINCH2000 Study design, outcomes not comparable & subclinical

FLANDERS1987 Non-clinical population
FLETCHER2005 Mixed anxiety & depression
GOLDMAN2007 Non-clinical population

HELBIG2007 In German

HOLDSWORTH1996 No SD or p values reported. Contacted author, but retired.

HUTCHINGS1980 Non-clinical population

JANNOUN1982A Diagnostic criteria & high intensity

KUPSHIK1999 Data not extractable

LANGE2004 In German
LEARMONTH2008 Non-RCT

LEBOEUF1980 No control group

LEE2007A High intensity intervention

LEHRER1983 Non-clinical sample
LESTE1984 Non-clinical sample
LEWIS1978 Non-clinical population

MARKS2003 Open trial

MARKS2004A Insufficient amount of clients who had GAD MARTINSEN1989 Outcomes not comparable and not extractable.

MCENTEE1999 Data not extractable

MEAD2005 Mixed anxiety & depression

MEROM2008 Low intensity intervention as an adjunct to a high intensity intervention

MILNE1988 n<10 in each group

MORRISON1983 Non-RCT, subclinical population, no description of therapies

NAKAHARA2007 n<10 in each group NUEVO2004 Non-RCT, in Spanish

O'NEIL1999 n<10 in each group. Also, subclinical sample

PALLESCHI1998 Open trial

PHONGSAVAN2008 Low intensity intervention as an adjunct to a high intensity intervention

PROUDFOOT2003A Data included in PROUDFOOT2004 paper

PROUDFOOT2004 Mixed anxiety & depression

PURVES2009 Open trial

RADLEY1997 Within subject changes. Also only 9 participants

REEVES2005 Study design-no control, non-randomised

RICHARDS2003 Mixed anxiety & depression

RIVA2009 Study protocol only

ROBB2000 Study design, subclinical population

SALEMINK2009 Subclinical population & not a low intensity intervention

SARKAR1999 Less than 10 participants in each arm Not a low intensity intervention

SCHNEIDER2005A No GAD clients

STEPTOE1989 Non-clinical population
TAKAISHI2000 No relevant outcomes

TELLO2002 Non-randomised, Spanish, protocol

THOMAS1978 Non-clinical population

TOWNSEND1975 Biofeedback- not low intensity

TYRER1988 Data not extractable

TYRER1993 Outcomes are irrelevant & not comparable

WHITE2000 Uncontrolled trial
WOOD2005 Pilot study, no diagnosis

References of Included Studies

BOWMAN1997 (Published Data Only)

*Bowman, D., Scogin, F., Floyd, M., et al. (1997) Efficacy of self-examination therapy in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 44, 267-273.

Floyd, M., McKendree-Smith, N., Bailey, E., et al. (2002) Two-year follow-up of self-examination therapy for generalized anxiety disorder. Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 16, 369-375.

HOUGHTON2008 (Published Data Only)

Houghton, V. (2008) A quantitative study of the effectiveness of mindfulness-based stress reduction treatment, using an internet-delivered self-help program, for women with generalized anxiety disorder. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 69 (5-B), 3311.

KASSINOVE1980 (Published Data Only)

Kassinove, H., Miller, N., & Kalin, M. (1980) Effects of pretreatment with rational emotive bibliotherapy and rational emotive audiotherapy on clients waiting at community mental health center. Psychological Reports, 46, 851-857.

KITCHINER2009 (Published Data Only)

Kitchiner, N., Edwards, D., & Wood, S. (2009) A randomized controlled trial comparing an adult education class using cognitive behavioural therapy ('stress control'), anxiety management group treatment and a waiting list for anxiety disorders. Journal of Mental Health, 18, 307-315.

LUCOCK2008 (Published Data Only)

Lucock, M., Padgett, K., Noble, R., et al. (2008) Controlled clinical trial of a self-help for anxiety intervention for patients waiting for psychological therapy. Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy, 36, 541-551.

MAUNDER2009 (Published Data Only)

Maunder, L., Cameron, L., Moss, M., et al. (2009) Effectiveness of self-help materials for anxiety adapted for use in prison- a pilot study. Journal of Mental Health, 18, 262-271.

SORBY1991 (Published Data Only)

Sorby, N. G., Reavley, W. & Huber, J. W. (1991) Self help programme for anxiety in general practice: Controlled trial of an anxiety management booklet. British Journal of General Practice, 41, 417-420.

TARRIER1986 (Published Data Only)

Tarrier, N. & Main, C. J. (1986) Applied relaxation training for generalised anxiety and panic attacks: The efficacy of a learnt coping strategy on subjective reports. British Journal of Psychiatry, 149, 330-336.

TITOV2009A (Published Data Only)

Titov, N., Andrews, G., Robinson, E., et al. (2009) Clinician-assisted internet-based treatment is effective for generalized anxiety disorder: Randomized controlled trial. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry, 43, 905-912.

VANBOEIJEN2005 (Published Data Only)

Van Boeijen, C. A., Oppen, P. V., Van Balkan, A., et al. (2005) Treatment of anxiety disorders in primary care practice. British Journal of General Practice, 55, 763-769.

WHITE1992A (Published Data Only)

White, J., Keenan, M., & Brooks, N. (1992). Stress control: A controlled comparative investigation of large group therapy for generalized anxiety disorder. Behavioural Psychotherapy, 20, 97-113. White, J. (1998) "Stress control" large group therapy for generalized anxiety disorder: Two year follow-up. Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy, 26, 237-245

WHITE1995 (Published Data Only)

White, J. (1998) "Stresspac": Three-year follow-up of a controlled trial of a self-help package for the anxiety disorders. Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy, 26, 133-141.

White, J. (1995) Stresspac: A controlled trial of a self-help package for the anxiety disorders. Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy, 23, 89-107.

References of Excluded Studies

AGNIHOTRI2007 (Published Data Only)

Agnihotri, H., Paul, M.& Sandhu, J. S. (2007) Biofeedback approach in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder. Iran Journal of Psychiatry, 2, 90-95.

BENSON1978 (Published Data Only)

Benson, H., Frankel, F. H., Apfel, R., et al. (1978) Treatment of anxiety: A comparison of the usefulness of self-hypnosis and a meditational relaxation technique. An overview. Psychotherapy & Psychosomatics, 30, 229-242.

CALEAR2009 (Published Data Only)

Calear, A. L., Christensen, H. & Mackinnon, A. (2009) The YouthMood Project: A cluster randomized controlled trial of an online cognitive behavioural program with adolescents. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 77, 1021-1032.

CANTER1975 (Published Data Only)

Canter, A., Kondo, C. Y. & Knott, J. R. (1975) A comparison of EMG feedback and progressive muscle relaxation training in anxiety neurosis. British Journal of Psychiatry, 127, 470-477.

CAVANAGH2006 (Published Data Only)

Cavanagh, K., Shapiro, D. A., Van, Den Berg, S. et al. (2006) The effectiveness of computerized cognitive behavioural therapy in routine care. British Journal of Clinical Psychology, 45, 499-514.

CRAGAN1984 (Published Data Only)

Cragan, M.K. & Deffenbacher, J.L. (1984) Anxiety management training and relaxation as self-control in the treatment of generalized anxiety in medical outpatients. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 31, 123-131.

CRITSCHRISTOPH1996 (Published Data Only)

Crits-Christoph, P., Connolly, M. B., Azarian, K., et al. (1996) An open trial of brief supportive-expressive psychotherapy in the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder. Psychotherapy, 33, 418-430.

CUNNINGHAM2007 (Published Data Only)

Cunningham, M. & Wuthrich, V. (2007) Review of The Cool Teens CD-ROM: An Anxiety Management Program for Young People. Journal of Family Studies, 13, 125-129.

DEBERRY1989 (Published Data Only)

DeBerry, S., Davis, S. & Reinhard, K.E. (1989) A comparison of meditation-relaxation and cognitive/behavioural techniques for reducing anxiety and depression in a geriatric hospital. Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 22, 231-247.

DEFFENBACHER1979 (Published Data Only)

Deffenbacher, J.L., Mathis, H. & Michaels, A.C. (1979) Two self-control procedures in the reduction of targeted and nontargeted anxieties. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 26, 120-127.

DENBOER2007 (Published Data Only)

Stant A. D., Ten Vergert, D. M., Wiersma, D. (2008) Cost-effectiveness of cognitive self-therapy in patients with depression and anxiety disorders. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica 117, 57-66.

DenBoer, P. C., Wiersma, D. & Vaarwerk, I. T., et al. (2007). Cognitive self therapy for chronic depression and anxiety: A multi-centre randomized controlled trial. Psychological Medicine, 37, 329-339.

DONKER2009 (Published Data Only)

Donker, T., van Sraten, A., Riper, H., et al. (2009) Implementation of internet-based preventive interventions for depression and anxiety: Role of support? The design of a randomized controlled trial. Trials., 10, 1-8.

DONNAN1990 (Published Data Only)

Donnan, P., Hutchinson, A., Paxton, R., et al. (1990) Self-help materials for anxiety: A randomized controlled trial in general practice. British Journal of General Practice., 40, 498-501.

DRAPER2008A (Published Data Only)

Draper, M., Rees, C. S. & Nathan, P. R. (2008) Internet-based self-management of generalised anxiety disorder: A preliminary study. Behaviour Change, 25, 229-244.

FANGET1999 (Published Data Only)

Fanget, F. (1999) Treatment of social phobias: Efficacy of cognitive and behavioural group therapy. L'Encephale: Revue de psychiatrie clinique biologique et therapeutique, 25, 158-168.

FINCH2000 (Published Data Only)

Finch, A., Lambert, M. & Brown, G. (2000) Attacking anxiety: A naturalistic study of a multimedia self-help program. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 56, 11-21.

FLANDERS1987 (Published Data Only)

Flanders, F. & McNamara, J. R. (1987) Relaxation training and home practice in the treatment of anxiety. Psychological Reports, 61, 819-822.

FLETCHER2005 (Published Data Only)

Fletcher, J., Lovell, K., Bower, P., et al. (2005) Process and outcome of an non-guided self-help manual for anxiety and depression in primary care: A pilot study. Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy, 33, 319-331.

GOLDMAN2007 (Published Data Only)

Goldman, N., Dugas, M., Sexton, K., et al. (2007) The impact of written exposure on worry: A preliminary investigation. Behavior Modification, 31, 512-538.

HELBIG2007 (Published Data Only)

Helbig, S. & Hoyer, J. (2007) A minimal intervention for waiting list patients in outpatient behavior therapy. Verhaltenstherapie, 17, 109-115.

HOLDSWORTH1996 (Published Data Only)

Holdsworth, N., Paxton, R., Seidel, S., et al. (1996) Parallel evaluations of new guidelines of new guidance materials for anxiety and depression in primary care. Journal of Mental Health, 5, 195-207.

HUTCHINGS1980 (Published Data Only)

Hutchings, D. F., Denney, D. R., Basgall, J., et al. (1980) Anxiety management and applied relaxation in reducing general anxiety. Behavioural Research and Therapy, 18, 181-190.

JANNOUN1982A (Published Data Only)

Jannuon, L., Oppenheimer, C. & Gelder, M. (1982) A self-help treatment program for anxiety state patients. Behaviour Therapy, 13, 103-111

KUPSHIK1999 (Published Data Only)

Kupshik, G. A. & Fisher, C. R. (1999) Assisted bibliotherapy: effective, efficient treatment for moderate anxiety problems. British Journal of General Practice, 49, 47-48.

LANGE2004 (Published Data Only)

Lange, A., Van de Ven, J. P., Schrieken, B. (2004). 'Interapy' burn-out. Verhaltenstherapie, 14, 190-199.

LEARMONTH2008 (Published Data Only)

Learmonth, D., Trosh, J., Rai, S., et al. (2008) The role of computer-aided psychotherapy within an NHS CBT specialist service. Counselling and Psychotherapy Research, 8, 117-123.

LEBOEUF1980 (Published Data Only)

LeBoeuf, A. (1980) A comparison of frontalis EMG feedback training and progressive relaxation in the treatment of chronic anxiety. British Journal of Psychiatry, 137, 279-284.

LEE2007A (Published Data Only)

Lee, S. H., Ahn, S. C., Lee, Y. J., et al. (2007) Effectiveness of a meditation-based stress management program as an adjunct to pharmacotherapy in patients with anxiety disorder. Journal of Psychosomatic Research., 62, 189-195.

LEHRER1983 (Published Data Only)

Lehrer, P.M., Woolfolk, R.L., Rooney, A.J., et al. (1983) Progressive relaxation and meditation. A study of psychophysiological and therapeutic differences between two techniques. Behaviour Research Therapy, 21, 651-662.

LESTE1984 (Published Data Only)

Leste, A. & Rust, J. (1984) Effects of dance on anxiety. Perception and Motor Skills, 58, 767-772.

LEWIS1978 (Published Data Only)

Lewis, C. E., Biglan, A. & Steinbock, E. (1978) Self-administered relaxation training and money deposits in the treatment of recurrent anxiety. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 46, 1274-1283.

MARKS2003 (Published Data Only)

Marks, I. M., Mataix-Cols, P., Kenwright, et al. (2003) Pragmatic evaluation of computer aided self help for anxiety and depression. British Journal of Psychiatry, 183, 57-65.

MARKS2004A (Published Data Only)

Marks, I. M., Kenwright, M., McDonough, M., et al. (2004) Saving clinicians' time by delegating routine aspects of therapy to a computer: A randomized controlled trial in phobia/panic disorder. Psychological Medicine, 34, 9-18.

MARTINSEN1989 (Published Data Only)

Martinsen, E. W., Hoffart, A. & Solberg, Y. (1989) Aerobic and non-aerobic forms of exercise in the treatment of anxiety disorders. Stress Medicine, 5, 115-120.

MCENTEE1999 (Published Data Only)

McEntee, D. & Halgin, R. P. (1999) Cognitive group therapy and aerobic exercise in the treatment of anxiety. Journal of College Student Psychotherapy, 13, 37-55.

MEAD2005 (Published Data Only)

Mead, N., MacDonald, W., Bower, P., et al. (2005) The clinical effectiveness of guided self-help versus waiting-list control in the management of anxiety and depression: A randomized controlled trial. Psychological Medicine, 35, 1633-1643.

MEROM2008 (Published Data Only)

Merom, D., Phongsavan, P., Wagner, R., et al. (2008) Promoting walking as an adjunct intervention to group CBT for anxiety disorders. A pilot group randomized trial. Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 22, 959-968.

MILNE1988 (Published Data Only)

Milne, D. & Covitz, F. (1988) A comparative evaluation of anxiety management materials in general practice. Health Education Journal, 47, 67-69.

MORRISON1983 (Published Data Only)

Morrison, J. K., Becker, R. E. & Heeder, R. (1983) Reduction of anxiety: Comparative effectiveness of imagery psychotherapy vs self-help seminars. Psychological Reports, 53, 417-418.

NAKAHARA2007 (Published Data Only)

Nakahara, T., Nakahara, K., Uehara, M., et al. (2007) Effect of juggling therapy on anxiety disorders in female patients. Biopsychosocial Medicine, 1, 1-10.

NUEVO2004 (Published Data Only)

Nuevo, R. (2004) Effectiveness of a psycho-educative program to reduce excessive worry in older adults. 32nd Congress of the British Association for Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapies, Manchester, 76.

O'NEIL1999 (Published Data Only)

O'Neill, L.M., Barnier, A.J., McConkey, K. (1999) Treating anxiety with self-hypnosis and relaxation. Contemporary Hypnosis, 16, 68-80.

PALLESCHI1998 (Published Data Only)

Palleschi, L., De Gennaro, E., Sottosant, G. (1998) The role of exercise training in aged subjects with anxiety-depression syndrome. Archives of Gerontology, Supplement 6, 381-384.

PHONGSAVAN2008 (Published Data Only)

Phongsavan, P., Merom, D., Wagner, R., et al. (2008) Process evaluation in an intervention designed to promote physical activity among adults with anxiety disorders: Evidence of acceptability and adherence. Health Promotion Journal of Australia: Official Journal of Australia and Association of Health Promotion Professionals, 19, 137-143.

PROUDFOOT2003A (Published Data Only)

Proudfoot, J., Goldberg, D., Mann, B., et al. (2003) Computerized, interactive, multimedia cognitive-behavioural program for anxiety and depression in general practice. Psychological Medicine, 33, 217-227.

PROUDFOOT2004 (Published Data Only)

McCrone, P., Knapp, M., Proudfoot, J., et al. (2004) Cost-effectiveness of computerised cognitive-behavioural therapy for anxiety and depression in primary care: randomised controlled trial. British Journal of Psychiatry, 185, 55-62.

Proudfoot, J., Ryden, C., Everitt, B., et al. (2004) Clinical efficacy of computerised cognitive-behavioural therapy for anxiety and depression in primary care: Randomised controlled trial. British Journal of Psychiatry, 185, 46-54

PURVES2009 (Published Data Only)

Purves, D., Bennett, M. & Wellman, N. (2009) An open trial in the NHS of Blues Begone: A new home based computerized CBT program. Behavioural and cognitive Psychotherapy, 37, 541-551.

RADLEY1997 (Published Data Only)

Radley, M., Redston, C., Bates, F., et al. (1997) Effectiveness of group anxiety management with elderly clients of a community psychogeriatric team. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry.12, 79-84.

REEVES2005 (Published Data Only)

Reeves, T. & Stace, J. M. (2005) Improving patient access and choice: Assisted Bibliotherapy for mild to moderate stress/anxiety in primary care. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing, 12, 341-346.

RICHARDS2003 (Published Data Only)

Richards, A., Barkham, M., Cahill, J., et al. (2003) PHASE: A randomised, controlled trial of supervised self-help cognitive behavioural therapy in primary care. British Journal of General Practice, 53, 764-770.

RIVA2009 (Published Data Only)

Riva, G., Gorini, A. & Gaggioli, A. (2009) The Intrepid project - biosensor-enhanced virtual therapy for the treatment of generalized anxiety disorders. Studies in Health Technology & Informatics, 142, 271-276.

ROBB2000 (Published Data Only)

Robb, S. L. (2000) Music assisted progressive muscle relaxation, progressive muscle relaxation, music listening, and silence: A comparison of relaxation techniques. Journal of Music Therapy, 37, 2-21.

SALEMINK2009 (Published Data Only)

Salemink, E., van den Hout, M. & Kindt, M. (2009) Effects of positive interpretive bias modification in highly anxious individuals. Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 23, 676-683.

SALLIS1983 (Published Data Only)

Sallis, J. F., Lichstein, K. L., Clarkson, A. D., et al. (1983) Anxiety & depression management for the elderly. Journal of Behavioral Geriatrics, 1, 3-12.

SARKAR1999 (Published Data Only)

Sarkar, P., Rathee, S. P. & Neera, N. (1999) Comparative efficacy of pharmacotherapy and bio-feed back among cases of generalised anxiety disorder. Journal of Projective Psychology & Mental Health, 6, 69-77.

SCHNEIDER2005A (Published Data Only)

Schneider, A., Mataix-Cols, D., Marks, I., et al. (2005) Internet-guided self-help with or without exposure therapy for phobic and panic disorders. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 74, 154.

STEPTOE1989 (Published Data Only)

Steptoe, A., Edwards, S., Moses, J., et al. (1989) The effects of exercise training on mood and perceived coping ability in anxious adults from the general population. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 33, 537-547.

TAKAISHI2000 (Published Data Only)

Takaishi, N. (2000) A comparative study of autogenic training and progressive relaxation as methods for teaching clients to relax. Sleep and Hypnosis, 5, 275-279.

TELLO2002 (Published Data Only)

Tello, B., Tellez, A., Ruiz, S., et al. (2002) Group techniques and relaxation in the treatment of several subtypes of anxiety: a non-randomized controlled trial. Atencion Primaria, 19, 67-71.

THOMAS1978 (Published Data Only)

Thomas, D. & Abbas, K. A. (1978) Comparison of transcendental meditation and progressive relaxation in reducing anxiety. British Medical Journal, 2, 1749.

TOWNSEND1975 (Published Data Only)

Townsend, R., House, J. & Addario, D. (1975) A comparison of biofeedback-mediated relaxation and group therapy in the treatment of chronic anxiety. American Journal of Psychiatry, 132, 598-601.

TYRER1988 (Published Data Only)

Tyrer, P., Murphy, S., Kingdon, D., et al. (1988) The Nottingham study of neurotic disorder: comparison of drug and psychological treatments. The Lancet, 30, 235-240.

TYRER1993 (Published Data Only)

Tyrer, P., Seivewright, N., Ferguson, S, et al. (1993) The Nottingham study of neurotic disorder: Relationship between personality status and symptoms. Psychological Medicine, 20, 423-431.

WHITE2000 (Published Data Only)

White, J., Jones, R. & McGarry, E. (2000) Cognitive behavioural computer therapy for the anxiety disorders: A pilot study. Journal of Mental Health, 9, 505-516.

WOOD2005 (Published Data Only)

Wood, S. D., Kitchiner, N. J. & Bisson, J. I. (2005) Experience of implementing an adult educational approach to treating anxiety disorders. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing, 12, 95 - 99.

© NCCMH. All rights reserved.