Date and Time: 28<sup>th</sup> January 2011, 10 am to 4 pm

Minutes: Confirmed

Guideline Development Group Meeting 6 Acute Upper GI Bleeding Guideline

Place: Boardroom, National Clinical Guideline Centre, 180 Great

Portland Street, London W1W 5QZ

#### Present

## **GDG**

Mimi McCord	MM
Kenneth Halligan	KH
3. Mark Vaughan	MV
4. David Patch	DP
5. Joseph Varghese	JV
6. Simon McPherson	SM
7. Dan Greer	DG
8. Markus Hauser	MH
9. Ricky Forbes-Young	RF
10. Mark Donnelly	MD

# NICE / NCGC

11. Bernard Higgins	BH
12. Katharina Dworzynski	KD
13. Philippe Laramee	PL
14. Vicki Pollit	VP
15. Amy Kelsey	AK
16. Lina Gulhane (pm only)	LG
17. Silvia Rabar	SR
18. Sarah Dunsdon	SD

# **Apologies**

Kelvin Palmer	KP
2. Carlos Gomez	CG

#### **Notes**

#### 1. Introduction

The Chair (BH) welcomed attendees to the Acute Upper GI Bleeding (UGIB) guideline development group (GDG) meeting 6 and asked all GDG members to declare any relevant conflicts of interest. All members declare that they had no personal specific, personal non-specific, non-personal specific or non-personal non-specific interests to declare since their previous declaration (upon acceptance of their GDG role).

Then the minutes, and LETR of the last meeting of this group were agreed as a true and accurate account of the meeting.

Subsequently, the Chair briefed the group on the objectives of the GDG meeting 6.

# 2. Reviewing of clinical and health economic evidence to address the following key clinical questions:

2.1 In patients with UGIB after first endoscopic treatment, is a routine second-look endoscopy more clinically/cost effective than a routine clinical follow up?

Clinical and Economic evidence was presented for the GDG's consideration. The evidence was discussed and a draft recommendation was developed.

2.2 <u>In patients who rebleed after the first endoscopic therapy is repeat endoscopy more clinical/cost effective compared to surgery or embolisation/angiography to stop bleeding?</u>

Clinical and Economic evidence was presented for the GDG's consideration. The evidence was discussed and a draft recommendation was developed.

2.3 <u>In patients where endoscopic therapy fails is angiography/embolisation more clinical/cost effective than surgery to stop bleeding?</u>

Clinical and Economic evidence was presented for the GDG's consideration. The evidence was discussed and a draft recommendation was developed.

# 3. Update on HE Analysis on "Timing of Endoscopy"

PL presented progress on the development of the in-house health-economic (HE) model that assesses the cost-effectiveness of the "timing of endoscopy". The GDG discussed the inputs into the model and agreed next steps.

### 4. Protocol Review

KD presented and obtained agreement from the GDG on the Review Protocols for clinical questions related to:

- PPI continuation for peptic ulcers
- Patient/carer Information

# Date, time and venue of the next meeting

The next GDG meeting will take place on the 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2011 from 10 am to 4 pm, at the National Clinical Guideline Centre, 180 Great Portland Street, London W1W 5QZ