Appendix 21: GRADE evidence profiles

Service-level interventions	1
Collaborative care versus standard care	1
Psychiatric liaison versus standard care	4
Multidisciplinary secondary mental healthcare versus standard care	5
Psychological and psychosocial interventions	6
Physical activity versus standard care	6
Peer support (self-help) programmes versus standard care	
Peer support (self-help) programmes versus group-based cognitive and behavioural intervention	8
Self-help intervention based on cognitive and behavioural principles versus standard care	
Group-based CBT versus standard care	9
Group-based CBT versus other psychosocial interventions	11
Individual-based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care	11
Individual-based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus counselling	13
Group existential therapy versus standard care	14
Pharmacological interventions	15
SSRIs versus placebo	15
TCAs versus placebo	16
Mianserin versus placebo	17
SSRIs versus TCAs	19

Service-level interventions

Collaborative care versus standard care

Design	Limitations	Quality asse									
Design	Limitations					No. of p	atients		Effect		Importance
		Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Collaborative care	Any standard care	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	
							<u> </u>				
andomised rials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	117/1493 (7.8%)	121/1506 (8%)	RR 0.94 (0.74 to 1.19)	5 fewer per 1000 (from 21 fewer to 15 more)	⊕⊕OO LOW	
outcome. 1.	Non-Response	(<50% improven	nent) - sensitivity	analysis - End o	of treatment						
andomised rials	serious ³	serious ⁴	no serious indirectness ⁵	no serious imprecision	none	1121/1797 (62.4%)	1390/1795 (77.4%)	RR 0.82 (0.76 to 0.89)	139 fewer per 1000 (from 85 fewer to 186 fewer)	⊕⊕OO LOW	
outcome. 1.	Non-Response	! ! (<50% improven	l nent) - sensitivity	analysis - End o	of treatment - rem	oving those wit	h >50% drop o	ut			
		no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	782/1322 (59.2%)	1016/1330 (76.4%)	RR 0.79 (0.73 to 0.85)	160 fewer per 1000 (from 115 fewer to 206 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	
outcome 2.	Non-remission	(scoring above c	ut-off e.g. >7 on	HAM-D) - End of	f treatment						
andomised rials	serious ⁶	serious ⁴	no serious indirectness ⁵	no serious imprecision	none	611/1174 (52%)	763/1174 (65%)	RR 0.84 (0.73 to 0.96)	104 fewer per 1000 (from 26 fewer to 175 fewer)	⊕⊕OO LOW	
r n a	outcome. 1. ndomised ials outcome. 1. ndomised ials outcome 2.	ndomised serious outcome. 1. Non-Response ndomised ials serious limitations no serious limitations outcome 2. Non-remission ndomised serious s	outcome. 1. Non-Response (<50% improven Indomised serious serious serious serious fials outcome. 1. Non-Response (<50% improven Indomised no serious inconsistency serious finconsistency outcome 2. Non-remission (scoring above condomised serious serio	inconsistency indirectness outcome. 1. Non-Response (<50% improvement) - sensitivity ndomised serious ³ serious ⁴ no serious indirectness ⁵ outcome. 1. Non-Response (<50% improvement) - sensitivity ndomised no serious no serious inconsistency indirectness outcome 2. Non-remission (scoring above cut-off e.g. >7 on andomised serious ⁶ serious ⁴ no serious	outcome. 1. Non-Response (<50% improvement) - sensitivity analysis - End of andomised serious serious sials serious serious sials no serious sindirectness imprecision serious indirectness serious sindirectness simprecision serious sials no serious no serious indirectness imprecision serious sials limitations inconsistency indirectness imprecision serious indirectness imprecision serious sials serious serious indirectness imprecision serious serious serious serious no serious no serious indirectness imprecision serious serious serious serious no serious	outcome. 1. Non-Response (<50% improvement) - sensitivity analysis - End of treatment ndomised serious ³ serious ⁴ no serious no serious indirectness ⁵ imprecision outcome. 1. Non-Response (<50% improvement) - sensitivity analysis - End of treatment - rem ndomised no serious no serious no serious inconsistency indirectness imprecision outcome 2. Non-remission (scoring above cut-off e.g. >7 on HAM-D) - End of treatment ndomised serious ⁶ serious ⁴ no serious no serious none	inconsistency indirectness 117/1493 (7.8%) outcome. 1. Non-Response (<50% improvement) - sensitivity analysis - End of treatment ndomised ials serious ³ serious ⁴ no serious indirectness ⁵ no serious imprecision none 1121/1797 (62.4%) outcome. 1. Non-Response (<50% improvement) - sensitivity analysis - End of treatment - removing those with indirectness no serious inconsistency no serious indirectness none 782/1322 (59.2%) outcome 2. Non-remission (scoring above cut-off e.g. >7 on HAM-D) - End of treatment	inconsistency indirectness 117/1493 121/1506 (7.8%) (8%) outcome. 1. Non-Response (<50% improvement) - sensitivity analysis - End of treatment ndomised serious serious no serious no serious indirectness imprecision none 1121/1797 1390/1795 (62.4%) (77.4%) outcome. 1. Non-Response (<50% improvement) - sensitivity analysis - End of treatment - removing those with >50% drop or ndomised no serious no serious no serious indirectness imprecision none 782/1322 (59.2%) (76.4%) outcome 2. Non-remission (scoring above cut-off e.g. >7 on HAM-D) - End of treatment ndomised serious serious serious no serious none (11/1174 763/1174 763/1174 763/1174 763/1174 763/1174 1390/1795 1390/1	inconsistency indirectness indirectness (7.8%) inconsistency indirectness (8%) (0.74 to 1.19) outcome. 1. Non-Response (<50% improvement) - sensitivity analysis - End of treatment ndomised ials serious sindirectness indirectness indirect	indirectness indir	indirectness indirectness indirectness indirectness indirectness indirectness indirectness indomised in serious indirectness inconsistency indirectness indirectness inconsistency indirectness indirectnes

	sion outcome 2.		,		•	•	•				
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	serious ⁴	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	538/1096 (49.1%)	689/1095 (62.9%)	RR 0.81 (0.73 to 0.9)	120 fewer per 1000 (from 63 fewer to 170 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
pres	sion outcome 3.	Diagnosis (at	follow up) - End o	of treatment							1
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	serious ⁴	no serious indirectness	serious ^{2,7}	none	76/163 (46.6%)	97/158 (61.4%)	RR 0.77 (0.54 to 1.1)	141 fewer per 1000 (from 282 fewer to 61 more)	⊕⊕OO LOW
pres	sion outcome 4.	Continuous n	neasures depressi	on rating scale (C	Change score) - E	nd of treatment	(Better indicated b	y lower valu	es)		
)	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	1001	968	-	SMD 0.31 lower (0.4 to 0.22 lower)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH
nysica	al health outcom	e: 2. Pain inte	ensity (Brief Pain I	nventory, author	defined scale) -	End of treatmer	nt (Better indicated	by lower val	ues)		
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ⁷	none	725	693	-	SMD 0.15 lower (0.25 to 0.04 lower)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
nysica	al health outcom	e/ QoL: 1. Ge	neral physical wel	I-being/ function	ing (SF-12 physi	cal, etc) - End of	treatment (Better i	indicated by	lower values)		
	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	941	915	-	SMD 0.26 lower (0.35 to 0.17 lower)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
nysica	al health outcom	e/ QoL: 2. Ge	neral physical wel	I-being/ function	ing (Change sco	res) - End of trea	tment (Better indic	cated by lowe	er values)		
	randomised trials	serious ⁶	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	566	584	-	SMD 0.12 lower (0.24 to 0.01 lower)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
uality	of Life 1. Gener	al QoL scales	(Euroqol, 0-10 rat	ing scale etc.) - E	nd of treatment	: (Better indicate	ed by lower values)				
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ⁷	none	484	480	-	SMD 0.14 lower (0.27 to 0.01 lower)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
									1		

Quality o	f Life 1. Gener	al QoL scales (Euroqol, 0-10 rat	ing scale etc.) - C	hange score (Be	tter indicated by lo	wer values)					
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ^{2,7}	none	146	189	-	SMD 0.08 lower (0.29 lower to 0.14 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
ervice u	se/ Process of	care: 1. Did no	t receive a consu	Itation / specifie	d number of me	ntal health visits -	End of treatmer	nt	1			
		no serious limitations	serious ⁴	serious ⁵	no serious imprecision	none	239/428 (55.8%)	257/405 (63.5%)	RR 0.83 (0.67 to 1.02)	108 fewer per 1000 (from 209 fewer to 13 more)	⊕⊕OO LOW	
ervice u	se / Process of	care (64-66 co	ombined) - End of	treatment		1						
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	serious ⁴	no serious indirectness ⁵	no serious imprecision	none	250/921 (27.1%)	485/886 (54.7%)	RR 0.5 (0.37 to 0.69)	274 fewer per 1000 (from 170 fewer to 345 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
reatmer	nt acceptability	y - leaving the	study early for an	y reason - End of	ftreatment	+					-	
	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	369/1875 (19.7%)	383/1867 (20.5%)	RR 0.96 (0.85 to 1.08)	8 fewer per 1000 (from 31 fewer to 16 more)	⊕⊕OO LOW	
atisfacti	on with service	e - not satisfie	d with treatment,	care - End of tre	atment	1		l.	'		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	serious ⁸	no serious imprecision	none	159/403 (39.5%)	223/442 (50.5%)	RR 0.78 (0.67 to 0.91)	111 fewer per 1000 (from 45 fewer to 166 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	

Psychiatric liaison versus standard care

			Quality assessr	nent				Su	ımmary of find	lings		
			Quanty assess.				No. of patie	ents		Effect		Importance
No. of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Psychiatric consultation-liaison	standard care	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	
Mortality			<u> </u>						Į.			
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ¹	none	22/331 (6.6%)	19/338 (5.6%)	RR 1.18 (0.65 to 2.14)	10 more per 1000 (from 20 fewer to 64 more)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
Depressio	n outcome 3.	Diagnosis (at fo	ollow-up)	l .	1						l	
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ¹	none	245/331 (74%)	245/338 (72.5%)	RR 1.02 (0.93 to 1.12)	14 more per 1000 (from 51 fewer to 87 more)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
Physical h	ealth outcome	e/ QoL: 1. Gene	ral physical well-b	eing/ functionin	g (SF-12 phys	sical etc) (Better i	ndicated by lower va	lues)	1			
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ¹	none	213	237	-	SMD 0.06 lower (0.25 lower to 0.12 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
Treatmen	t acceptability	- leaving the s	tudy early for any	reason					•			
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ¹	none	57/331 (17.2%)	40/338 (11.8%)	RR 1.46 (1 to 2.12)	54 more per 1000 (from 0 more to 133 more)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	

¹ Sparse data

Multidisciplinary secondary mental healthcare teams versus standard care

			Quality assessm	ent				Summar	y of findings				
							No. of patients			Effect		Importance	
No. of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Multidisciplinary secondary mental healthcare teams	Standard care	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality		
Mortality			<u>'</u>										
		no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	serious	serious ^{1,2}	none	4/33 (12.1%)	3/36 (8.3%)	RR 1.45 (0.35 to 6.02)	38 more per 1000 (from 54 fewer to 418 more)	⊕⊕OO LOW		
Depressio	Depression outcome 1. Diagnosis (at follow-up)												
		no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	serious ³	serious ¹	none	14/33 (42.4%)	25/36 (69.4%)	RR 0.61 (0.39 to 0.96)	271 fewer per 1000 (from 28 fewer to 424 fewer)	⊕⊕OO LOW		
Depressio	n outcome 2.	Continuous me	easures depressio	n rating scale	(Change sco	ore) (Better indica	ted by lower values)	1		l			
		no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	serious ³	serious ¹	none	33	36	-	SMD 1.03 lower (1.53 to 0.52 lower)	⊕⊕OO LOW		
Treatmen	t acceptability	- leaving the s	tudy early for any										
		no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	serious ³	serious ^{1,2}	none	4/33 (12.1%)	4/36 (11.1%)	RR 1.09 (0.3 to 4.01)	10 more per 1000 (from 78 fewer to 334 more)	⊕⊕OO LOW		

Sparse data

² Compatible with benefit and no benefit

³ Participants not specifically recruited for a comorbid physical health problem

Psychological and psychosocial interventions

Physical activity versus standard care

			Quality asses	sment					Summary	of findings		
			Z,				No. of	patients		Effect		Importance
No. of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Physical activity	Standard care	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	
Depressio	n (end of treat	ment) (Better i	ndicated by lower	values)		1		1				<u> </u>
3	randomised trials	no serious limitations	serious ¹	serious ²	no serious imprecision	none	220	141	-	SMD 0.58 lower (1.2 lower to 0.05 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	
Depressio	n (Change sco	re) (Better indic	ated by lower valu	ies)								
3	randomised trials	no serious limitations	serious ¹	serious ²	no serious imprecision	none	83	81	-	SMD 0.29 lower (0.6 lower to 0.03 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	
Non remi	ssion (below c	ut-off)		1								
2	randomised trials	no serious limitations	serious ¹	serious ²	no serious imprecision	none	17/67 (25.4%)	29/72 (40.3%)	RR 0.64 (0.31 to 1.3)	145 fewer per 1000 (from 278 fewer to 121 more)	⊕⊕OO LOW	
Non remi	ssion (6-month	follow-up)						1				
2	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	serious ²	no serious imprecision	none	11/63 (17.5%)	27/62 (43.5%)	RR 0.4 (0.23 to 0.69)	261 fewer per 1000 (from 135 fewer to 335 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
Quality of	life (end of tr	eatment) (Bette	er indicated by low	er values)								
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	serious ¹	serious ²	no serious imprecision	none	220	141	-	SMD 0.62 lower (1.28 lower to 0.03 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	
			,			1		1				1

Physical h	ealth outcome	es (end of treatn	nent) - Resting HR	(beats/min) (Bett	er indicated by I	ower values)						
	randomised trials			no serious indirectness	serious ³	none	16	10	-	SMD 0.58 lower (1.39 lower to 0.23 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	

Peer support (self-help) programmes versus standard care

			Quality asses	sment				S	ummary	of findings		
							No. of pa	tients		Effect		Importance
No. of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Peer support (self-help)	Standard care	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	
CES-D (en	d of treatment	(Better indicate	ed by lower values									
3		no serious limitations	serious ¹	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	89	102	-	SMD 0.32 lower (0.62 to 0.03 lower)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
CES-D (6 r	nonth follow-u	p) (Better indica	ted by lower value	s)								
3		no serious limitations	serious ¹	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	94	108	-	SMD 0.04 lower (0.32 lower to 0.24 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
Physical H	ealth Outcome	s: HIV-1 RNA vii	ral load - End of tre	atment (Better in	idicated by lower	values)			ļ			
1		no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	63	60	-	SMD 0.26 higher (0.09 lower to 0.62 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
Physical H	ealth Outcome	s: HIV-1 RNA vi	ral load - 3-month f		•	er values)			,			
1	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ^{2,3}	none	62	56	-	SMD 0.17 higher (0.2 lower to 0.53 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	

I squared > 50%

² Population just below cut-off for depression (for some studies)

³ Sparse data

Peer support (self-help) programmes versus group-based cognitive and behavioural intervention

			Quality asses	sment				Summary	of findin	gs		
							N	lo. of patients		Effect		Importance
No. of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Peer (self- help) and behavioural support intervention		Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	
Depression	on (end of trea	tment) (Better	indicated by low	er values)								
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	serious ¹		no serious imprecision	none	35	54	-	SMD 0.23 lower (0.66 lower to 0.2 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
Depression (6 month follow-up) (Better indicated by lower values)												
2	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ^{2,3}	none	38	54	-	SMD 0.34 lower (0.76 lower to 0.08 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	

¹ I squared > 50% ² Sparse data ³ Compatible with benefit and no benefit

¹ I squared > 50% ² Compatible with benefit and no benefit

³ Sparse data

Self-help intervention based on cognitive and behavioural principles versus standard care

			Quality ass	essment				Sumr	nary of fi	ndings		
			~.,,				No. of patien	nts		Effect		Importance
No. of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Self-help intervention based on CBT	Standard care	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	
Depressio	n outcome (Be	tter indicate	ed by lower values	5)								
3	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	47	56	-	SMD 0.4 lower (0.79 lower to 0 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
Physical h	ealth outcome	- Visual Fur	ctioning Question	naire (Better ind	icated by lower v	values)						
1	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	12	20	-	MD 7.45 lower (18.58 lower to 3.68 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	

Only looked at sub-group of depression (in one study) original sample not stratified for depression Sparse data

Group-based CBT versus standard care

			Quality asses	sment					Summary	of findings			
							No of patients Effect Group- Standard Relative					Importance	
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Group- based CBT	Standard care	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality		
Depressio	Depression (end of treatment) (Better indicated by lower values)												
8		no serious limitations			no serious imprecision	reporting bias ¹	296	265	-	SMD 0.30 lower (0.47 to 0.13 lower)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE		
								-					

	randomised	no serious	no serious	no serious	serious ²	none				SMD 0.17 lower (0.42	⊕⊕⊕О
	trials	limitations	inconsistency	indirectness			149	113	-	,	MODERATE
n re	mission (below o	cut-off)									
	randomised	no serious	no serious	no serious	serious ³	none		21/27		459 fewer per 1000 (from	
	trials	limitations	inconsistency	indirectness			8/25 (32%)	(77.8%)	RR 0.41 (0.22 to 0.75)	194 fewer to 607 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
								77.8%		459 fewer per 1000 (from 195 fewer to 607 fewer)	
on re	sponse (<50% re	duction from b	paseline)			·					
	randomised	no serious	no serious	no serious	serious ³	none		19/27		345 fewer per 1000 (from	
	trials	limitations	inconsistency	indirectness			9/25 (36%)	(70.4%)	RR 0.51 (0.29 to 0.91)	63 fewer to 500 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
								70.4%	-	345 fewer per 1000 (from 63 fewer to 500 fewer)	
loL - S	F-30 (end of trea	ntment) - Physi	ical (Better indicato	ed by lower value	es)		<u> </u>		•		<u> </u>
		1 .	T .	1	serious ³	none				SMD 0.28 lower (0.86	⊕⊕⊕О
<u> </u>	randomised	no serious	no serious	no serious	serious	none	20	28		31VID 0.28 10WEI (0.80	$\Phi\Phi\Phi$

Possible publication bias

² Compatible with benefit and no benefit

³ Sparse data

Group-based CBT versus other psychosocial interventions

			Quality assessn	nent				Sum	mary of f	indings		
			4,				No	o. of patients		Effect		Importance
No. of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Group- based CBT	Other psychosocial interventions	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	·
Depressio	n (end of treat	ment) (Better ir	ndicated by lower	values)			•		•			•
5		no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ¹	none	257	209	-	SMD 0.09 higher (0.09 lower to 0.28 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
Depressio	n (follow-up -	less than 6-mon	ths) (Better indica	ted by lower valu	ies)	<u>'</u>				l		
4		no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ¹	none	188	132	-	SMD 0.15 higher (0.08 lower to 0.37 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	

¹ Compatible with benefit and no benefit

Individual-based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care

			Quality asses	sment				Summ	ary of findin	gs		
							No. of patients			Effect		Importance
No. of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	cognitive and	Standard care	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	
Depression	on (end of trea	atment) (Bette	r indicated by lov	ver values)								
4	randomised	no serious	serious ¹	no serious	no serious	none	177	161	-	SMD 0.55 lower	⊕⊕⊕O	

	trials	limitations		indirectness	imprecision					(0.97 to 0.13 lower)	MODERATE	
lon-rem	ission (below	cut-off)										
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	5/33 (15.2%)	8/33 (24.2%)	RR 0.63 (0.23 to 1.71)	90 fewer per 1000 (from 187 fewer to 172 more)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
								24.2%	1.71	90 fewer per 1000 (from 186 fewer to 172 more)		
epression	on (16-month	follow-up) (B	etter indicated by	lower values)								
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	122	111	-	SMD 0.07 lower (0.33 lower to 0.18 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
oL (end	of treatment	(Better indic	ated by lower val	ues)		1	ļ.			l	1	
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	21	16	-	SMD 0.00 higher (0.65 lower to 0.65 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
hysical l	health outcom	ne - CD4 cell co	ount (Better indic	ated by lower v	alues)	,		-		!		
	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	21	16	-	SMD 0.09 lower (0.74 lower to 0.56 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	

¹ I squared = 56.4% ² Sparse data

Individual-based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus counselling

			Quality assess	ment				Summary	of findin	gs		
			Quality assess	ment			No. of patients			Effect		Importance
No. of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Individual-based cognitive and behavioural intervention	Counselling	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	importance
Depression	on (end of trea	tment) (Better	indicated by lowe	er values)								
3	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ¹	none	209	206	-	SMD 0.14 lower (0.46 lower to 0.18 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
Depression	on (end of trea	atment) - chang	ge score (Better in	dicated by lowe	r values)							
1	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	20	20	-	SMD 0.30 higher (0.32 lower to 0.92 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
Physical I	nealth - CD4 C	ell Count (Bette	er indicated by lov	ver values)								
1	randomised trials	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	13	13	-	SMD 0.34 lower (0.44 lower to 1.11 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	

Group existential therapy versus standard care

Standard care	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute SMD 0.03 higher (0.43 lower to 0.49 higher)	Quality ⊕⊕OO LOW	Importance
care	(95% CI)	SMD 0.03 higher (0.43	⊕⊕00	
33	-	,		
33	-	,		
!				
			,	
15	-	SMD 0.42 lower (1.14 lower to 0.31 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	
12/20 (60%)	RR 0.64 (0.37 to 1.11)	216 fewer per 1000 (from 378 fewer to 66 more)	⊕⊕OO LOW	
60%		216 fewer per 1000 (from 378 fewer to 66 more)		
	(60%)	%) (60%) RR 0.64 (0.37 to 1.11)	12/20 (60%) RR 0.64 (0.37 to 1.11) 216 fewer per 1000 (from 378 fewer to 66 more) 216 fewer per 1000 (from 378 fewer to 66	12/20 (60%) RR 0.64 (0.37 to 1.11) 216 fewer per 1000 (from 378 fewer to 66 more) ⊕⊕OO LOW 216 fewer per 1000 (from 378 fewer to 66

Pharmacological interventions

SSRI versus placebo

			Duality accomm	· · · ·				9	Summary o	of findings	
		,	Quality assessme	ent.			No. of pa	tients		Effect	
No. of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considera- tions	SSRIs	Placebo	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality
Leaving th	e study early:	Any reason									
25	randomised trial	serious¹	no serious inconsistency		no serious imprecision	none	341/1608 (21.2%)	292/1529 (19.1%)	RR 1.1 (0.96 to 1.27)	19 more per 1000 (from 8 fewer to 50 more)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
Leaving th	e study early:	Lack of efficacy	7								
4		no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious²	none	4/178 (2.2%)	11/180 (4.7%)	RR 0.43 (0.16 to 1.16)	25 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
Leaving th	e study early:	Due to adverse	events								
11	randomised trial	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency		no serious imprecision	none	55/841 (6.5%)	27/820 (3.3%)	RR 1.89 (1.23 to 2.89)	27 more per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
Depressio	n: 1. Not achie	ving success/ re	mission (reachin	ng a specified	cut-off) - obse	erver rated					
14	randomised trial	serious¹	no serious inconsistency		no serious imprecision	none	355/633 (56.1%)	394/564 (69.9%)	RR 0.81 (0.74 to 0.88)	140 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE

Depression	n: 2. Non-resp	onse (not achiev	ing 50% reducti	on from basel	line) - patient	rated e.g. HAD	S, BDI				
	trial	no serious limitations		indirectness	serious ²	none	65/139 (46.8%)	85/140 (66.7%)	RR 0.73 (0.44 to 1.22)	180 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕OO LOW
_			ring 50% reducti		· ·	1	MID, MADKS)	DD 0.00	ı ı	
	randomised trial	serious ¹	serious ³		no serious imprecision	none	328/677 (48.4%)	402/656 (61.3%)	RR 0.83 (0.71 to 0.97)	109 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕OO LOW
Depression	n: 3. Patient-ra	ted continuous	measures (Bette	r indicated by	less)						
13	randomised trial	serious	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	468	455	-	SMD -0.17 (-0.3 to -0.04)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
Depression	n: 4. Observer	rated continuou	ıs measures (Bet	ter indicated	by less)						
25	randomised trial	serious	serious	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	1086	1030	-	SMD -0.33 (-0.47 to -0.19)	⊕⊕OO LOW
QoL: 1. con	ntinuous meas	ures e.g. SQOL	I, FACT-G (Bette	er indicated b	y less)						
7	randomised trial	serious	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	263	261	-	SMD -0.27 (-0.44 to -0.1)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
Physical or	utcome / QoL	- General physic	cal functioning/	well-being (S	F-36 physical	component) (B	Setter indicate	d by less)			
5	randomised trial	serious	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	168	170	-	SMD 0.02 (-0.19 to 0.23)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE

 $^{^1}$ Some studies did not clearly report whether double blinded 2 CIs compatible with benefit and no benefit 3 I-squared >50%

TCAs versus placebo

		0	uality accommo					S	Summary o	f findings	
	Quality assessment									Effect	Ouality
No. of	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other	TCAs	Placebo	Relative	Absolute	Quality

studies						considera-			(95% CI)		
						tions			(
Leaving the	study early: A	Any reason									
6			no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious¹	none	40/150 (26.7%)	31/152 (20.4%)	RR 1.33 (0.88 to 2.01)	108 more per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
Leaving du	e to adverse ev	vents									
5			no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	23/118 (19.5%)	12/121 (9.9%)	RR 2.00 (1.06 to 3.78)	111 more per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕ HIGH
Depression	: 1. Non-respo	nse (<50% impro	ovement) - obsei	rver rated							
5			no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	45/112 (38.9%)	84/112 (76.5%)	RR 0.53 (0.41 to 0.68)	374 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕ HIGH
Depression	: 2. Non-remis	sion - patient rat	ted	•	•	•					
2		no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious ^{1,2}	none	11/35 (31.4%)	17/40 (47.5%)	RR 0.71 (0.4 to 1.29)	137 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕OO LOW
Depression	: 3. Continuou	s measures (Cha	nge score) - pati	ient rated							
2			no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	25	27	ı	SMD -0.58 (- 1.14 to -0.02)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
Depression	: 3. Continuou	s measures (Cha	nge score) - obs	erver rated							
8			no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	151	173	-	SMD -0.69 (- 0.92 to -0.47)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH

¹ CIs compatible with benefit and no benefit ² Two small studies

Mianserin versus placebo

Qualit	y assessment	Summary o	of findings

							No of pa	tients		Effect	
No of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considera-tions	Mianserin	Placebo	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality
Leaving the	e study early: A	Any reason - At	end of treatmen	t - Cancer							
2		no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	13/64 (20.3%)	30/64 (48.1%)	RR 0.43 (0.25 to 0.75)	274 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕ HIGH
Leaving the	e study early d	ue to lack of eff	icacy - At end of	treatment - C	ancer						
2	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency		no serious imprecision	none	2/64 (3.1%)	13/64 (23.1%)	RR 0.18 (0.05 to 0.65)	189 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕ HIGH
Leaving the	e study early: l	Due to adverse e	events - At end o	f treatment - C	Cancer						
1		no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ¹	none	2/28 (7.1%)	4/27 (14.8%)	RR 0.48 (0.1 to 2.42)	76 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
Depression	: 2. Non-respo	nse (not achievi	ng 50% reduction	n from baseli	ne) - observer	rated e.g. HAI	MD, MADRS -	At end of	treatmen	t - Cancer	
2	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious²	none	17/64 (26.6%)	36/64 (57.2%)	RR 0.47 (0.3 to 0.74)	303 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
Depression	: 3. Patient-rat	ed continuous r	neasures (Better	indicated by l	ess)				•		
1		no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious³	none	36	37	-	SMD -0.5 (-0.96 to -0.03)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
Depression	: 4. Observer-	rated continuou	s measures - Me	an Change - C	ancer (Better i	ndicated by le	ess)				
3	trial	no serious limitations	serious ⁴		no serious imprecision	none	75	75	-	SMD -0.52 (- 0.85 to -0.2)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE

¹ Clear heterogeneity by visual inspection ² 2 small studies

³ 1 small study ⁴ No explanation was provided

SSRIs versus TCAs

Own liter and a second								Summary of findings					
Quality assessment							No. of patients		Effect				
No. of studies	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considera- tions	Head-to- head SSRI	TCA	Relat- ive (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality		
Leaving the study early - any reason													
10	randomised trial	no serious limitations		no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	none	68/355 (19.2%)	84/344 (24.4%)	RR 0.77 (0.58 to 1.01)	83 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕ HIGH		
Leaving study early due to adverse events													
8	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ¹	none	30/228 (13.2%)	34/213 (16%)	RR 0.81 (0.52 to 1.27)	55 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕O MODERATE		
Leaving study early due to adverse cardiac events													
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious²	none	1/41 (2.4%)	7/40 (17.5%)	RR 0.14 (0.02 to 1.08)	150 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE		
Leaving the	Leaving the study early: Due to lack of efficacy - At end of treatment												
1	randomised trial	no serious limitations		no serious indirectness	serious²	none	2/13 (15.4%)	2/11 (18.2%)	RR 0.85 (0.14 to 5.06)	27 fewer per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE		
Depression: 1. Remission (below cut-off)													
5	randomised trial	no serious limitations	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious¹	none	43/87 (48.6%)	34/83 (46%)	RR 1.22 (0.88 to 1.67)	73 more per 1,000	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE		
Depression: 2. Non-response (<50% reduction)													
8	randomised	no serious	no serious	no serious	serious ¹	none	150/311	155/314	RR 0.97	49 fewer per	$\oplus \oplus \oplus O$		

	trial	limitations	inconsistency	indirectness			(48.2%)	(49.4%)	(0.83 to	1,000	MODERATE
Donroccion	Continuou	is massures oh	correct rated cas	os (Rottor ind	isated by loss)				1.14)		
Depression: 3. Continuous measures - observer-rated scales (Better indicated by less)											
9	randomised trial	no serious limitations			no serious imprecision	none	241	230	-	SMD 0.04 (-0.14 to 0.22)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE
Physical health outcome: 1. MMSE - PD - At end of treatment (Better indicated by less)											
2					serious	none	26	24	_	MD -0.11 (-1.34	⊕⊕⊕О
	trial	limitations	inconsistency	indirectness			,			to 1.12)	MODERATE

¹ CIs compatible with benefit and no benefit ² Just one study