

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

240/2 – Minimally invasive total hip replacement

Consultation Comments table

IPAC date: Thursday 15th July 2010

Com . no.	Consultee name and organisation	Sec. no.	Comments	Response
				Please respond to all comments
1	Consultee 1 Healthcare Organisation Other	1	Bupa agrees	Thank you for your comment.
2	Consultee 1 Healthcare Organisation Other	2.1	Should you mention hip resurfacing as an option in some cases?	Thank you for your comment. Section 2.1.1 of the guidance will be changed and TA 44 Hip disease - metal on metal hip resurfacing will be added to the overview as 'related NICE guidance'.
3	Consultee 1 Healthcare Organisation Other	2.2.1	2.2.1 The last sentence is the truth, but not the whole truth! Perhaps a reminder that you only consider safety and efficacy and do NOT consider effectiveness and cost-effectiveness is necessary here, because computer assisted navigation tools add to the cost of the procedure but have not (yet) proved their worth in medium to long term outcomes that matter to patients. Data about their contribution to quality of care seems only to be about short term technical outcomes. These are valid, but interest orthopaedic surgeons rather than little old ladies, and, by extension, purchasers of healthcare.	Thank you for your comment. Cost-effectiveness is not part of the remit of the IP Programme, and the guidance is not intended to comment on the costs of the procedure or related treatment.

Com . no.	Consultee name and organisation	Sec. no.	Comments	Response Please respond to all comments
4	Consultee 1 Healthcare Organisation Other	2.3.4	2.3.4 Might long term functional result go to the top of the list?	Thank you for your comment. Section 2.3.4 of the guidance will be changed.
5	Consultee 1 Healthcare Organisation Other	2.4	No comment, thank you	Thank you for your comment.
6	Consultee 1 Healthcare Organisation Other	2.5	None thank you.	Thank you for your comment.

"Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by NICE are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that NICE has received, and are not endorsed by NICE, its officers or advisory committees."