NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment

IPG536 Sacral nerve stimulation for idiopathic chronic non-obstructive urinary retention

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE Equality scheme.

Scoping

1. Have any potential equality issues been identified during the scoping process (development of the scope or discussion at the Committee meeting), and, if so, what are they?

Non-obstructive urinary retention is likely to be more common in women because it is associated with childbirth and with Fowlers syndrome.

People with non-obstructive urinary retention may be covered by the Equality Act 2010 if the condition has a substantial and adverse effect on their ability to carry out normal day-to-day activities, and has or is likely to last over 12 months.

People with a dexterity or cognitive impairment may be unable to operate the controls in the stimulation device.

2. What is the preliminary view as to what extent these potential equality issues need addressing by the Committee? (If there are exclusions listed in the scope (for example, populations, treatments or settings), are these justified?)

This was not thought to have an impact on the assessment of the procedure. No exclusions were applied.

3. Has any change to the scope (such as additional issues raised during

	the Committee meeting) been agreed to highlight potential equality issues?
No	
Cons	sultation
1.	Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?
The wom	dentified literature reflected that the condition is more common in en.
2.	Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview, specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?
No	
3.	Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?
No	
4.	Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?
No	
5.	Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an
J.	is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have all

	adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?	
Not applicable		
6.	Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?	
Not applicable		
7.	Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?	
No		
Final	interventional procedures document	
	interventional procedures document	
1.	Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?	
No		
2.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?	
Not applicable		

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

Not applicable

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable

5. Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final interventional procedures document, and, if so, where?

No

Approved by Programme Director

Date: 24 November 2015