NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment

IPG578 Minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion surgery for chronic sacroiliac pain

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE Equality scheme.

Scoping

1. Have any potential equality issues been identified during the scoping process (development of the scope or discussion at the Committee meeting), and, if so, what are they?

Evidence suggests that not all low back pain is due to lumbar spine pathology. The sacroiliac joint (SI) is thought to be a cause of lower back pain in 15 to 25% of patients with lower back pain. It appears to be under diagnosed.

SI joint pain is not specific to any age group. Women are more likely to suffer from SI joint pain than men due to the hormonal effects of pregnancy on the joints (hypermobility) as well as due to the childbirth mechanism.

Some people with a SI joint pain are likely to be covered under disability legislation in the Equality Act 2010 if their condition has lasted more than 12 months and has a substantial adverse effect on day-to-day activities. Some people may not accept plasma coated inserts which may be used in the procedure due to their religious beliefs.

2. What is the preliminary view as to what extent these potential equality issues need addressing by the Committee? (If there are exclusions listed in the scope (for example, populations, treatments or settings), are these justified?)

This was not thought to have an impact on the assessment of the procedure. No exclusions were applied.

3.	Has any change to the scope (such as additional issues raised during the Committee meeting) been agreed to highlight potential equality issues?				
No					
4.	Have any additional stakeholders related to potential equality issues been identified during the committee meeting, and, if so, have changes to the stakeholder list been made?'				
No					
	oved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor				
Date:	01/03/2017				
Cons	sultation				
1.	Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?				
No					
2.	Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview, specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?				
No					
3.	Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?				
No					

4.	Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?
No	
5.	Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
Not a	oplicable
6.	Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?
Not a	oplicable
7.	Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?
No	
A 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	and by Dungungung Divertor and Clinical Advisor

Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor

Date: 01/03/2017

Final interventional procedures document

1. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?

No			

2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

Not applicable

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

Not applicable

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable

5. Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final interventional procedures document, and, if so, where?

No

Approved by Programme Director

Date: 8 March 2017