# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Interventional Procedures Programme

# **Specialist Adviser questionnaire**

Before completing this questionnaire, please read <u>Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers</u>. Certain conflicts exclude you from offering advice, however, please return the questionnaire to us incomplete for our records.

Plea	se respond in the boxes prov	ided.
Pleas	se complete and return to: aza	d.hussain@nice.org.uk
Proc	edure Name:	Percutaneous venoplasty for chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency in Multiple Sclerosis
Nam	e of Specialist Advisor:	Dr Paul Crowe
Specialist Society:		British Society of Interventional Radiology (BSIR)
1	Do you have adequate know	ledge of this procedure to provide advice?
$\boxtimes$	Yes.	
	No – please return the form/a	answer no more questions.
1.1	Does the title used above de	scribe the procedure adequately?
$\boxtimes$	Yes.	
	No. If no, please enter any oth	ner titles below.
Com	ments:	
2	Your involvement in the pro-	cedure
2.1	Is this procedure relevant to	your specialty?
$\boxtimes$	Yes.	

	Is there any kind of inter-specialty controversy over the procedure?	
	No. If no, then answer no more questions, but please give any information you can about who is likely to be doing the procedure.	
Comn	nents:	
The procedure was highly topical a few years ago, largely in the lay press and social media and has not stood up to scientific evaluation as a treatment or disease modifying intervention for multiple sclerosis. Published long term studies including that of the original proponent, Dr. Zamboni, have concluded no long term benefit.		
The next 2 questions are about whether you carry out the procedure, or refer patients for it. If you are in a specialty that normally carries out the procedure please answer question 2.2.1. If you are in a specialty that normally selects or refers patients for the procedure, please answer question 2.2.2.		
2.2.1	If you are in a specialty that does this procedure, please indicate your experience with it:	
	I have never done this procedure.	
$\boxtimes$	I have done this procedure at least once.	
	I do this procedure regularly.	
Comn	nents:	
I have performed a limited number of procedures (four) in specific circumstances and referral situations, with fully informed patient consent, all at least seven years ago. However, I routinely perform venoplasty procedures in various parts of the body as part of my interventional radiology practice for indications other than MS where it is indicated.		
2.2.2	If your specialty is involved in patient selection or referral to another specialty for this procedure, please indicate your experience with it.	
	I have never taken part in the selection or referral of a patient for this procedure.	
	I have taken part in patient selection or referred a patient for this procedure at least once.	
	I take part in patient selection or refer patients for this procedure regularly.	
Comn	nents:	
Assessment for suitability was based on the demonstration of venous narrowing by ultrasound, MRI or angiography. Procedure is no longer being undertaken for MS so is no longer relevant apart from in the follow up of patients who have undergone the procedure (most of whom travelled abroad for the venoplasty).		

2.3	(please choose one or more if relevant):
$\boxtimes$	I have done bibliographic research on this procedure.
	I have done research on this procedure in laboratory settings (e.g. device-related research).
	I have done clinical research on this procedure involving patients or healthy volunteers.
	I have had no involvement in research on this procedure.
	Other (please comment)
Com	ments:
	ve attended several dedicated conferences and sessions at major interventional blogy conferences and have kept abreast of published literature.
3	Status of the procedure
3.1	Which of the following best describes the procedure (choose one):
	Established practice and no longer new.
	A minor variation on an existing procedure, which is unlikely to alter the procedure's safety and efficacy.
	Definitely novel and of uncertain safety and efficacy.
	The first in a new class of procedure.
Com	nments:
venc or ve these	ds qualification as venoplasty is a standard existing procedure for symptomatic ous narrowing in other situations, e.g. venous narrowing in renal dialysis fistualae enous narrowing due to malignant disease. It is a relatively safe procedure in e situations. There is however no proof of efficacy in the treatment of MS and no ent justification for the use of this procedure in the context of MS.
3.2	What would be the comparator (standard practice) to this procedure?
Othe	er established non-interventional medical treatments for multiple sclerosis.
3.3	Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):
	More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
	10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

$\boxtimes$	Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
	Cannot give an estimate.
Com	ments:
I am MS.	unaware of any specialists in any speciality offering this procedure in the UK for
4	Safety and efficacy
4.1	What is the potential harm of the procedure?
	se list adverse events and major risks (even if uncommon) and, if possible, nate their incidence, as follows:
1. A	dverse events reported in the literature (if possible please cite literature)
	ous thrombosis and occlusion, stent fracture (when stents inserted post plasty).
2. A	necdotal adverse events (known from experience)
As al	pove
3. T	heoretical adverse events
As al	pove
4.2	What are the key efficacy outcomes for this procedure?
	fication of progression of multiple sclerosis symptoms or reversal of MS ciated disability.
4.3	Are there uncertainties or concerns about the <i>efficacy</i> of this procedure? If so, what are they?
	efficacy of the procedure is unproven. Indeed all published long term studies failed to demonstrate any conclusive improvement in MS due to the procedure.
4.4	What training and facilities are needed to do this procedure safely?

Standard Interventional radiology post-graduate training and facilities. Venoplasty per se is part of the interventional radiology syllabus and is used in most major interventional radiology centres for conditions other than MS.

4.5 Are there any major trials or registries of this procedure currently in progress? If so, please list.

Brave Dreams Trial (Italy, Prof. Zamboni, reported in JAMA Neurology) University of British Colombia (Prof. A. Traboulsee)

4.6 Are you aware of any abstracts that have been *recently* presented/ published on this procedure that may not be listed in a standard literature search, for example PUBMED? (This can include your own work). If yes, please list.

Please note that NICE will do a literature search: we are only asking you for any very recent or potentially obscure abstracts and papers. Please do not feel the need to supply a comprehensive reference list (but you may list any that you think are particularly important if you wish).

No

4.7 Is there controversy, or important uncertainty, about any aspect of the way in which this procedure is currently being done or disseminated?

The procedure is not currently being done in the UK. Much of the early enthusiasm for the procedure was patient-driven, fuelled by speculation, hype and social media anecdote that was not backed by hard scientific data and many UK patients travelled abroad for the intervention (notably to Poland, Italy and Bulgaria)

#### 5 Audit Criteria

Please suggest a minimum dataset of criteria by which this procedure could be audited.

5.1 Outcome measures of benefit (including commonly used clinical outcomes, both short and long - term; and quality-of-life measures). Please suggest the most appropriate method of measurement for each:

Standard symptom severity scores for MS and clinical progression.

5.2 Adverse outcomes (including potential early and late complications). Please state timescales for measurement e.g. bleeding complications up to 1 month post-procedure:

Thrombotic or restenosis complications can occur at any time post procedure.

- 6 Trajectory of the procedure
- 6.1 In your opinion, how quickly do you think use of this procedure will spread?

I do not think there is any role for this procedure based on current evidence and outcomes of published long term observational studies.

6.2 (choo	This procedure, if safe and efficacious, is likely to be carried out in ose one):
	Most or all district general hospitals.

	A minority of hospitals, but at least 10 in the UK.	
$\boxtimes$	Fewer than 10 specialist centres in the UK.	
	Cannot predict at present.	
Comr	ments:	
Not currently being performed and no justification for its introduction in the NHS unless as part of a scientific study. The outcomes of overseas studies effectively disproving the whole theory means new research is unlikely to start in the UK at this stage.		
6.3 The potential impact of this procedure on the NHS, in terms of numbers of patients eligible for treatment and use of resources, is:		
	Major.	
	Moderate.	
$\boxtimes$	Minor.	
Comments: I do not envisage procedure being introduced on the NHS due to lack of evidence of long term efficacy and lack of enthusiasm for research among clinicians who have seen studies elsewhere (Canada, USA, Italy) fail to prove benefit.		
7	Other information	
7.1 Is there any other information about this procedure that might assist NICE in assessing the possible need to investigate its use?		

Prof Paolo Zamboni who originally put forward the theory of cerebrospinal venous insufficiency as an underlying cause of MS and performed one of the first venoplasty treatments on his own wife (who had MS) has concluded at the end of his Brave Dreams trial: "Venous Angioplasty has proven to be a safe but largely ineffective technique; the treatment cannot be recommended in patients with MS,"

# 8 Data protection and conflicts of interest

# 8. Data protection, freedom of information and conflicts of interest

#### 8.1 Data Protection

The information you submit on this form will be retained and used by the NICE and its advisers for the purpose of developing its guidance and may be passed to other approved third parties. Your name and specialist society will be published in NICE publications and on the NICE website. The specialist advice questionnaire will be

published in accordance with our guidance development processes and a copy will be sent to the nominating Specialist Society. Please avoid identifying any individual in your comments.

I have read and understood this statement and accept that personal information sent to us will be retained and used for the purposes and in the manner specified above and in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

# 8.2 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

Nothing in your submission shall restrict any disclosure of information by NICE that is required by law (including in particular, but without limitation, the Freedom of Information Act 2000).

Please submit a conflicts of interest declaration form listing any potential conflicts of interest including any involvement you may have in disputes or complaints relating to this procedure.

Please use the "Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers" policy as a guide when declaring any conflicts of interest. Specialist Advisers should seek advice if needed from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

Do you or a member of your family<sup>1</sup> have a **personal pecuniary** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Consultancies or directorships attracting regular or occasional		YES
payments in cash or kind		NO
Fee-paid work – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry –	$\boxtimes$	YES
this includes income earned in the course of private practice		NO
<b>Shareholdings</b> – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares		YES
of the healthcare industry		NO
<b>Expenses and hospitality</b> – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond those reasonably required for accommodation,		YES
meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences		NO
Investments – any funds that include investments in the healthcare		YES
industry	$\boxtimes$	NO

7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 'Family members' refers to a spouse or partner living in the same residence as the member or employee, children for whom the member or employee is legally responsible, and adults for whom the member or employee is legally responsible (for example, an adult whose full power of attorney is held by the individual).

nade a public statement about the topic or do you hold an office in a		YES
professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the topic?		NO
Do you have a <b>non-personal</b> interest? The main examples are as follows:		
Fellowships endowed by the healthcare industry		YES
		NO
Support by the healthcare industry or NICE that benefits his/her position or department, eg grants, sponsorship of posts		YES
		NO

If you have answered YES to any of the above statements, please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.

#### Comments:

- I undertake private practice in interventional radiology that directly reflects my NHS scope of practice.
- I am a shareholder in Spire Healthcare Group plc. (less than 0.1% of issued shares)

Thank you very much for your help.

Dr Tom Clutton-Brock, Interventional **Procedures Advisory Committee Chair Centre for Health Technology** 

**Professor Carole Longson, Director,** Evaluation.

Jan 2016

#### **Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers**

- 1 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee
- 1.1 Any conflicts of interest set out below should be declared on the questionnaire the Specialist Adviser completes for the procedure.
- 1.2 Specialist Advisers should seek advice if required from the Associate Director

   Interventional Procedures.

# 2 Personal pecuniary interests

- 2.1 A personal pecuniary interest involves a current personal payment to a Specialist Adviser, which may either relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as 'specific' or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as 'non-specific'. The main examples are as follows.
- 2.1.1 **Consultancies** any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for the healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
- 2.1.2 **Fee-paid work** any work commissioned by the healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
- 2.1.3 Shareholdings any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry that are either held by the individual or for which the individual has legal responsibility (for example, children, or relatives whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual). This does not include shareholdings through unit trusts, pensions funds, or other similar arrangements where the member has no influence on financial management.
- 2.1.4 Expenses and hospitality any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond that reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place.
- 2.1.5 **Investments** any funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.
- 2.2 No personal interest exists in the case of:
- 2.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)
- 2.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

#### 3 Personal family interest

- 3.1 This relates to the personal interests of a family member and involves a **current payment** to the family member of the Specialist Adviser. The interest may relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as '**specific**', or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as '**non-specific**'. The main examples include the following.
- 3.1.1 Any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for a healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or in kind.
- 3.1.2 Any fee-paid work commissioned by a healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind.
- 3.1.3 Any shareholdings, or other beneficial interests, in a healthcare industry which are either held by the family member or for which an individual covered by this Code has legal responsibility (for example, children, or adults whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual).
- 3.1.4 Expenses and hospitality provided by a healthcare industry company (except where they are provided to a general class of people such as attendees at an open conference)
- 3.1.5 Funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.
- 3.2 No personal family interest exists in the case of:
- 3.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)
- 3.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

# 4 Personal non-pecuniary interests

These might include, but are not limited to:

- 4.1 a clear opinion, reached as the conclusion of a research project, about the clinical and/or cost effectiveness of an intervention under review
- 4.2 a public statement in which an individual covered by this Code has expressed a clear opinion about the matter under consideration, which could reasonably be interpreted as prejudicial to an objective interpretation of the evidence
- 4.3 holding office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the matter under consideration
- 4.4 other reputational risks in relation to an intervention under review.

#### 5 Non-personal interests

A non-personal interest involves payment that benefits a department or organisation for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible, but that is not received by the Specialist Advisor personally. This may either relate to the product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as 'specific,' or to the manufacturer or owner of the product or service, but is unrelated to the matter under consideration, in which case it is regarded as 'non-specific'. The main examples are as follows.

- 5.1.1 **Fellowships** the holding of a fellowship endowed by the healthcare industry.
- 5.1.2 **Support by the healthcare industry or NICE** any payment, or other support by the healthcare industry or by NICE that does not convey any pecuniary or material benefit to a member personally but that does benefit his/her position or department. For example:
- a grant from a company for the running of a unit or department for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible
- a grant, fellowship or other payment to sponsor a post or member of staff in the unit for which a Specialist Adviser is responsible. This does not include financial assistance for students
- the commissioning of research or other work by, or advice from, staff who work in a unit for which the specialist advisor is responsible
- one or more contracts with, or grants from, NICE.
- 5.2 Specialist Advisers are under no obligation to seek out knowledge of work done for, or on behalf of, the healthcare industry within departments for which they are responsible if they would not normally expect to be informed.

# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Interventional Procedures Programme

# **Specialist Adviser questionnaire**

Before completing this questionnaire, please read **Conflicts of Interest for Specialist** Advisers. Certain conflicts exclude you from offering advice, however, please return the questionnaire to us incomplete for our records.

Plea	se respond in the boxes pro	vided.
Plea	se complete and return to: aza	ad.hussain@nice.org.uk
Prod	edure Name:	Percutaneous venoplasty for chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency in Multiple Sclerosis
Nam	e of Specialist Advisor:	Siobhan Leary
Spec	cialist Society:	Association of British Neurologists (ABN)
1	Do you have adequate know	wledge of this procedure to provide advice?
x	Yes. I have knowledge of th	e procedure, but I do not perform the procedure
	No – please return the form	/answer no more questions.
1.1	Does the title used above do	escribe the procedure adequately?
X	Yes.	
	No. If no, please enter any of	her titles below.
Con	nments:	
2	Your involvement in the pro	ocedure
2.1	Is this procedure relevant to	o your specialty?
x	Yes.	

X	is there any kind of inter-specialty controversy over the procedure?
	It is performed in patients under the care of neurologists, but it is carried out by vascular radiologists or surgeons.
	No. If no, then answer no more questions, but please give any information you can about who is likely to be doing the procedure.
Comi	ments:
patie pleas	next 2 questions are about whether you carry out the procedure, or referents for it. If you are in a specialty that normally carries out the procedure see answer question 2.2.1. If you are in a specialty that normally selects or see patients for the procedure, please answer question 2.2.2.
2.2.1	If you are in a specialty that does this procedure, please indicate your experience with it:
X	I have never done this procedure.
	I have done this procedure at least once.
	I do this procedure regularly.
Comi	ments:
I am ı	not in a speciality which carries out this procedure.
2.2.2	If your specialty is involved in patient selection or referral to another specialty for this procedure, please indicate your experience with it.
x	I have never taken part in the selection or referral of a patient for this procedure.
	I have taken part in patient selection or referred a patient for this procedure at least once.
	I take part in patient selection or refer patients for this procedure regularly.
Comi	ments:
No ne	eurologists in the NHS refer for this procedure.
2.3	Please indicate your research experience relating to this procedure (please choose one or more if relevant):
	I have done bibliographic research on this procedure.

	I have done research on this procedure in laboratory settings (e.g. device-related research).
	I have done clinical research on this procedure involving patients or healthy volunteers.
x	I have had no involvement in research on this procedure.
	Other (please comment)
Com	nments:
3	Status of the procedure
3.1	Which of the following best describes the procedure (choose one):
	Established practice and no longer new.
	A minor variation on an existing procedure, which is unlikely to alter the procedure's safety and efficacy.
x	Definitely novel and of uncertain safety and efficacy.
	The first in a new class of procedure.
Com	ments:
The	procedure is not carried out in the NHS.
3.2	What would be the comparator (standard practice) to this procedure?
	Best medical management of multiple sclerosis including disease modifying therapies and symptomatic treatments
3.3	Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):
	More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
	10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
x	Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
	Cannot give an estimate.
Com	iments:
No n	eurologists in the NHS are engaged in this work.

#### 4 Safety and efficacy

# 4.1 What is the potential harm of the procedure?

Please list adverse events and major risks (even if uncommon) and, if possible, estimate their incidence, as follows:

1. Adverse events reported in the literature (if possible please cite literature)

Femoral puncture site complications including bleeding

Azygos / internal jugular vein complications including thrombosis, rupture and dissection

Stroke

Hydrocephalus

Cardiac arrhythmia

The British Columbia CCSVI registry (Sadovnick et al. Can J Neurol Sci 2017;44:246-54) reported procedure-related complications in 11.5% of cases and complications within the 1<sup>st</sup> month of the procedure in 17.3% of cases.

The MS Study Group – Italian Society of Neurology (Ghezzi et al. Neurol Sci 2013;34:1633-7) reported serious adverse events in 3.2% of cases.

- 2. Anecdotal adverse events (known from experience)
- 3. Theoretical adverse events

### 4.2 What are the key efficacy outcomes for this procedure?

There are no guidelines on efficacy outcomes for this procedure. Efficacy outcomes conventionally used in treatment trials in multiple sclerosis include relapse rates, measures of disability progression including Expanded Disability Status Score (EDSS), and MRI measures including new and enhancing lesions.

# 4.3 Are there uncertainties or concerns about the *efficacy* of this procedure? If so, what are they?

There is no uncertainty that this procedure is ineffective. Since this procedure was first proposed by Zamboni et al. (J Vasc Surg 2009;50:1348-58), there have been no studies which have proven its efficacy, with a Cochrane review in 2012 finding no studies of sufficient methodological quality. Zamboni et al. (JAMA Neurology 2018;75:35-43) have recently published results of a randomised controlled trial which showed the procedure to be ineffective, and concluded that the treatment cannot be recommended in patients with multiple sclerosis. The Pan-Canadian Interventional Clinical Trial for CCSVI in Multiple

Sclerosis has also preliminarily reported results of a randomised controlled trial which showed the procedure to be ineffective.

4.4 What training and facilities are needed to do this procedure safely?

Vascular interventional radiology training and facilities

4.5 Are there any major trials or registries of this procedure currently in progress? If so, please list.

Peer-reviewed publication of the Pan-Canadian Interventional Clinical Trial for CCSVI in Multiple Sclerosis is awaited.

4.6 Are you aware of any abstracts that have been *recently* presented/ published on this procedure that may not be listed in a standard literature search, for example PUBMED? (This can include your own work). If yes, please list.

Please note that NICE will do a literature search: we are only asking you for any very recent or potentially obscure abstracts and papers. Please do not feel the need to supply a comprehensive reference list (but you may list any that you think are particularly important if you wish).

The preliminary results of the Pan-Canadian Interventional Clinical Trial for CCSVI in Multiple Sclerosis were presented at the Society for Interventional Radiology annual scientific meeting in Washington D.C. in March 2017.

4.7 Is there controversy, or important uncertainty, about any aspect of the way in which this procedure is currently being done or disseminated?

This procedure is not being carried out in the NHS.

#### 5 Audit Criteria

Please suggest a minimum dataset of criteria by which this procedure could be audited.

5.1 Outcome measures of benefit (including commonly used clinical outcomes, both short and long - term; and quality-of-life measures). Please suggest the most appropriate method of measurement for each:

Annualised relapse rates Confirmed disability progression on EDSS New and enhancing lesions on MRI

5.2 Adverse outcomes (including potential early and late complications). Please state timescales for measurement e.g. bleeding complications up to 1 month post-procedure:

Femoral puncture site complications Vein complications

# Medical complications

6.1	In your opinion,	how quickly	do you think	use of this	procedure v	will
spread	<b>ነ</b> ?					

The procedure is not being used in the NHS and, as it has been proven to be ineffective, it will not be used.

6.2 This procedure, if safe and efficacious, is likely to be carried out in (choose one):					
	Most or all district general hospitals.				
	A minority of hospitals, but at least 10 in the UK.				
x	Fewer than 10 specialist centres in the UK.				
	Cannot predict at present.				
Comments:					
It will not be carried out in any centres in the NHS					
6.3 The potential impact of this procedure on the NHS, in terms of numbers of patients eligible for treatment and use of resources, is:					
	Major.				
	Moderate.				
x	Minor.				
	There will be no direct impact as this procedure will not be carried out in the NHS. It is possible that a very small number of patients may still choose to have this procedure in other countries and will seek NHS treatment for complications.				
Comments:					
7	Other information				
7.1 NICE	Is there any other information about this procedure that might assist in assessing the possible need to investigate its use?				

# 8 Data protection and conflicts of interest

#### 8. Data protection, freedom of information and conflicts of interest

#### 8.1 Data Protection

The information you submit on this form will be retained and used by the NICE and its advisers for the purpose of developing its guidance and may be passed to other approved third parties. Your name and specialist society will be published in NICE publications and on the NICE website. The specialist advice questionnaire will be published in accordance with our guidance development processes and a copy will be sent to the nominating Specialist Society. Please avoid identifying any individual in your comments.

X I have read and understood this statement and accept that personal information sent to us will be retained and used for the purposes and in the manner specified above and in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

# 8.2 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

Nothing in your submission shall restrict any disclosure of information by NICE that is required by law (including in particular, but without limitation, the Freedom of Information Act 2000).

Please submit a conflicts of interest declaration form listing any potential conflicts of interest including any involvement you may have in disputes or complaints relating to this procedure.

Please use the "Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers" policy as a guide when declaring any conflicts of interest. Specialist Advisers should seek advice if needed from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

Do you or a member of your family<sup>1</sup> have a **personal pecuniary** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Consultancies or directorships attracting regular or occasional payments in cash or kind		YES
		NO
Fee-paid work – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry – this includes income earned in the course of private practice		YES
		NO
Shareholdings – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares		YES
of the healthcare industry	X	NO

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 'Family members' refers to a spouse or partner living in the same residence as the member or employee, children for whom the member or employee is legally responsible, and adults for whom the member or employee is legally responsible (for example, an adult whose full power of attorney is held by the individual).

<b>Expenses and hospitality</b> – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond those reasonably required for accommodation,							
meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences							
Investments – any funds that include investments in the healthcare							
industry	X	NO					
Do you have a <b>personal non-pecuniary</b> interest – for example have you made a public statement about the topic or do you hold an office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the							
topic?							
Do you have a <b>non-personal</b> interest? The main examples are as follows	s:						
Fellowships endowed by the healthcare industry							
	X	NO					
Support by the healthcare industry or NICE that benefits his/her position or department, eg grants, sponsorship of posts							
	X	NO					
If you have answered YES to any of the above statements, please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.							
Comments:							
Thank you very much for your help.							
Dr Tom Clutton-Brock, Interventional Professor Carole Longson, Procedures Advisory Committee Chair Centre for Health Technolog Evaluation.		or,					

Jan 2016

#### **Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers**

- 1 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee
- 1.1 Any conflicts of interest set out below should be declared on the questionnaire the Specialist Adviser completes for the procedure.
- 1.2 Specialist Advisers should seek advice if required from the Associate Director Interventional Procedures.

### 2 Personal pecuniary interests

- 2.1 A personal pecuniary interest involves a current personal payment to a Specialist Adviser, which may either relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as 'specific' or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as 'non-specific'. The main examples are as follows.
- 2.1.1 **Consultancies** any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for the healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
- 2.1.2 **Fee-paid work** any work commissioned by the healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
- 2.1.3 **Shareholdings** any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry that are either held by the individual or for which the individual has legal responsibility (for example, children, or relatives whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual). This does not include shareholdings through unit trusts, pensions funds, or other similar arrangements where the member has no influence on financial management.
- 2.1.4 **Expenses and hospitality** any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond that reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place.
- 2.1.5 **Investments** any funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.
- 2.2 No personal interest exists in the case of:
- 2.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)
- 2.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

# 3 Personal family interest

- 3.1 This relates to the personal interests of a family member and involves a **current payment** to the family member of the Specialist Adviser. The interest may relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as '**specific**', or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as '**non-specific**'. The main examples include the following.
- 3.1.1 Any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for a healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or in kind.
- 3.1.2 Any fee-paid work commissioned by a healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind.
- 3.1.3 Any shareholdings, or other beneficial interests, in a healthcare industry which are either held by the family member or for which an individual covered by this Code has legal responsibility (for example, children, or adults whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual).
- 3.1.4 Expenses and hospitality provided by a healthcare industry company (except where they are provided to a general class of people such as attendees at an open conference)
- 3.1.5 Funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.
- 3.2 No personal family interest exists in the case of:
- 3.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)
- 3.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

# 4 Personal non-pecuniary interests

These might include, but are not limited to:

- 4.1 a clear opinion, reached as the conclusion of a research project, about the clinical and/or cost effectiveness of an intervention under review
- 4.2 a public statement in which an individual covered by this Code has expressed a clear opinion about the matter under consideration, which could reasonably be interpreted as prejudicial to an objective interpretation of the evidence
- 4.3 holding office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the matter under consideration
- 4.4 other reputational risks in relation to an intervention under review.

#### 5 Non-personal interests

5.1 A non-personal interest involves payment that benefits a department or organisation for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible, but that is not received by the Specialist Advisor personally. This may either relate to the product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as 'specific,' or to the manufacturer or owner of the product or service, but is unrelated to the matter under consideration, in which case it is regarded as 'non-specific'. The main examples are as follows.

- 5.1.1 **Fellowships** the holding of a fellowship endowed by the healthcare industry.
- 5.1.2 **Support by the healthcare industry or NICE** any payment, or other support by the healthcare industry or by NICE that does not convey any pecuniary or material benefit to a member personally but that does benefit his/her position or department. For example:
- a grant from a company for the running of a unit or department for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible
- a grant, fellowship or other payment to sponsor a post or member of staff in the unit for which a Specialist Adviser is responsible. This does not include financial assistance for students
- the commissioning of research or other work by, or advice from, staff who work in a unit for which the specialist advisor is responsible
- one or more contracts with, or grants from, NICE.
- 5.2 Specialist Advisers are under no obligation to seek out knowledge of work done for, or on behalf of, the healthcare industry within departments for which they are responsible if they would not normally expect to be informed.