

Urinary incontinence (update) and pelvic organ prolapse in women: management Committee meeting

Date: 16 & 17/07/2018

Location: RCOG, London

Minutes: Final

Day 1

Committee members present:	
Fergus Macbeth (Chair)	Present for notes 1 – 6
Karen Ward (Topic Adviser)	Present for notes 1 – 6
Rohna Kearney (Topic Adviser)	Present for notes 1 – 6
Carmel Ramage	Present for notes 1 – 6
Polly Harris	Present for notes 1 – 6
Kate Welford	Present for notes 4 – 6
Lucy Ryan	Present for notes 1 – 5
Suzanne Biers	Present for notes 1 – 6
Doreen McClurg	Present for notes 1 – 6
Julian Spinks	Present for notes 1 – 6
Vikky Morris	Present for notes 1 – 6
Catherine Heffernan	Present for notes 1 – 6
Sarah Love-Jones (Co-opted committee member)	Present for notes 4 – 6
Steven Brown (Co-opted committee member)	Present for note 3
Carol Paton (Co-opted committee member)	Present for notes 4 – 6

In attendance:		
Clifford Middleton	NICE Guideline Commissioning Manager	Present for notes 1 – 6
Gareth Murphy	NICE Business Analyst	Present for notes 1 – 6
Judy McBride	NICE Senior Medical Editor	Present for notes 1 – 6
Catrin Austin	NICE Systematic Reviewer	Present for notes 1 – 6
Vanessa Delgado Nunes	NGA Guideline Lead	Present for notes 1 – 6
Patrice Carter	NGA Senior Systematic Reviewer	Present for notes 1 – 4

4.0.03 DOC Cmte minutes

Jo Varley-Campbell	NGA Systematic Reviewer	Present for notes 1 – 6
Eric Slade	NGA Senior Health Economist	Present for notes 1 – 4
Eleanor Howat	NGA Project Manager	Present for notes 1 – 6

Observers:

Professor Ben Djulbegovic	Professor and Director of Research in the Department of Supportive Medicine, City of Hope
Rob Kunkle	Senior Manager of Guidelines at American Society of Hematology

Apologies:

Jacq Emkes	Committee member
James Stephenson	Co-opted committee member
Katharina Dworzynski	NGA Guideline Lead
Arun Kumar	NGA Systematic Reviewer

1a. Welcome and objectives for the meeting

The Chair welcomed the Committee members and attendees to Day 1 of the 12th meeting on urinary incontinence (update) and pelvic organ prolapse in women: management. The Committee members and attendees introduced themselves.

The Chair informed the Committee that apologies had been received. These are noted above.

The Chair outlined the objectives of the meeting, which included: reviewing clinical and health economic evidence and making recommendations, reviewing and agreeing draft recommendations, rationale and impacts, reviewing and agreeing research recommendations and reviewing NICE edits to recommendations.

1b. Introductions

The Chair introduced Professor Ben Djulbegovic (Professor and Director of Research in the Department of Supportive Medicine) and Rob Kunkle (Senior Manager of Guidelines) to the meeting.

1c. Confirmation of matter under discussion, and declarations of interest

The Chair confirmed that, for the purpose of managing conflicts of interest, the matter under discussion was urinary incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse.

4.0.03 DOC Cmte minutes

The Chair asked everyone to verbally declare any interests that have arisen since the last meeting.

Name	Job title, organisation	Declarations of Interest, date declared	Type of interest	Decision taken
Polly Harris	Committee member	<p>Declared 4.7.2018</p> <p>She has seen a number of representatives of companies who manufacture continence and catheter devices as part of her role as nurse specialist. This is a culmination of a long process involving NHS Shared Business Services and East Lancashire Medicine's Management Board, to update the local formulary for preferred prescribing options.</p>	Direct – non-financial	<p>Action: Declare and participate</p> <p>Agreed by GL on 9.7.2018</p> <p>Rationale: While the interest is specific, the member does not benefit financially from this activity and it is undertaken as part of her regular employment.</p>
Jacq Emkes	Lay member	<p>Declared 27.3.2018</p> <p>Member will be a speaker at a series of Masterclasses. The content will be based on a study conducted and should equip Specialist Nurses to support female patients to overcome the barriers they face when introduced to CISC (clean</p>	Direct – financial (specific)	<p>Action: Partial exclusion – For questions on mesh, the member can answer specific questions about the evidence, but cannot take part in decision-making for recommendations. This action is effective for all future committee meetings</p>

4.0.03 DOC Cmte minutes

		<p>intermittent self-catheterisation). They will also be working with Rik Bes – a Motivational Interviewing expert.</p> <p>Member’s travel and accommodation will be covered and she will receive an honorarium.</p> <p>The Masterclasses are organised by Coloplast Ltd who manufacture mesh products for prolapse repair.</p> <p>The Masterclasses are scheduled for the following dates:</p> <p>05/03/18 Ireland 24/04/18 Birmingham 19/06/18 London</p>		<p>Agreed by GL and Chair on 28.3.2018</p> <p>Rationale: the activity is sponsored by Coloplast who manufacture mesh products for prolapse repair. As mesh is a key part of the guideline, the decision was made that the member could be involved in decision-making around mesh.</p>
Suzanne Biers	Committee member	<p>Declared 18.7.2017</p> <p>Teacher on a course on Mens Health Erectile Restoration and Male Continence Surgery Hands-on Training Masterclass in collaboration with the ESSM (European Society for Sexual</p>	Direct – financial (specific)	<p>Partial exclusion: the initial view was that she could participate fully. However, it was subsequently agreed that she would need to be partial excluded from decision-making on mesh interventions given that Boston Scientific makes mesh. This action is effective for all</p>

4.0.03 DOC Cmte minutes

		<p>Medicine) in Cambridge in September 2017. This involves providing male incontinence surgery training on a course for urology surgeons organised by Boston Scientific. Fees received.</p>		<p>future committee meetings.</p> <p>Declare and participate</p> <p>Agreed by GL and NICE GCM under the 2014 policy and revised under the 2018 policy.</p> <p>Rationale: The interest is financial and specific. The organiser Boston Scientific manufactures products used for mesh interventions which are a specific interest.</p>
Suzanne Biers	Committee member	<p>Declared 28.3.2018</p> <p>Teacher on a course on Men's Health Male Continenence Surgery Hands-on Training Masterclass. This involves providing male incontinence discussion of cases and surgery training on a course for urology surgeons organised by Boston Scientific.</p> <p>Member has agreed arrange to have her fee paid directly to the hospital, rather than receiving the fee personally.</p>	Direct – financial (specific)	<p>Partial exclusion – For questions on mesh interventions, the member can answer specific questions about the evidence, but cannot take part in decision-making for recommendations. This action is effective for all future committee meetings.</p> <p>Agreed by GL on 13.6.2018</p> <p>Rationale: the organiser Boston Scientific manufactures products used for mesh interventions which are a specific interest.</p>

4.0.03 DOC Cmte minutes

			<p>The member agreed to try to have the fee paid directly to the hospital rather than receiving it directly, but has also agreed to the above partial exclusion to avoid any potential conflict of interest should this arrangement not be possible.</p>
<p>The Chair and a senior member of the Developer’s team noted that the following members would not participate in a part of the meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suzanne Biers is unable to participate in discussions about mesh interventions and can only answer specific questions asked by the committee. She cannot take part in decision making for recommendations. • Jacq Emkes is unable to participate in discussions about mesh interventions and can only answer specific questions asked by the committee. She cannot take part in decision making for recommendations. 			
<p>1d. Minutes of last meeting</p>			
<p>The Chair asked the Committee if it wanted any changes made to the minutes of the last meeting. The Committee agreed that the minutes were a true and accurate account of the meeting.</p>			
<p>2. Evidence review 11.1</p>			
<p>The Chair introduced Linyun Fou, NGA Senior Systematic Reviewer, who gave a presentation on evidence review: 11.1 What are the most effective management options for vaginal complications (including exposure, extrusion and infection) after mesh surgery?</p> <p>The committee were presented with evidence and then drafted recommendations and the rationale and impact sections of the evidence report.</p>			
<p>3. Health economics and recommendations for 8.4</p>			
<p>The Chair introduced Catrin Austin, NICE Senior Systematic Reviewer, who gave a presentation on evidence review: 11.5 What are the most effective management</p>			

4.0.03 DOC Cmte minutes

options for bowel symptoms after mesh surgery?

The committee were presented with evidence and then drafted recommendations and the rationale and impact sections of the evidence report.

4. Finalising recommendations for management of mesh complications

The committee reviewed and agreed recommendations for the five review questions on management options for complications after mesh surgery:

11.1 What are the most effective management options for vaginal complications (including exposure, extrusion and infection) after mesh surgery?

11.2 What are the most effective management options for sexual dysfunction after mesh surgery?

11.3 What are the most effective management options for pain after mesh surgery?

11.4 What are the most effective management options for urinary complications after mesh surgery?

11.5 What are the most effective management options for bowel symptoms after mesh surgery?

5. Reviewing NICE Editor's comments on recommendations

The committee reviewed and discussed comments from the NICE Senior Medical Editor on the draft recommendations in the short guideline.

6. Any other business

There was no other business.

4.0.03 DOC Cmte minutes

Day 2

Committee members present:		
Fergus Macbeth		Present for notes 1 – 10
Karen Ward		Present for notes 1 – 10
Rohna Kearney		Present for notes 1 – 10
Lucy Ryan		Present for notes 1 – 7
Doreen McClurg		Present for notes 1 – 10
Kate Welford		Present for notes 1 – 10
Polly Harris		Present for notes 1 – 10
Carmel Ramage		Present for notes 1 – 10
Suzanne Biers		Present for notes 1 – 10
Carol Paton		Present for notes 6 – 10
Sarah Love-Jones		Present for notes 1 – 10
Vikky Morris		Present for notes 1 – 10
Catherine Heffernan		Present for notes 3 – 10

In attendance:		
Clifford Middleton	NICE Guideline Commissioning Manager	Present for notes 4 – 10
Gareth Murphy	NICE Business Analyst	Present for notes 2 – 10
Anna Cutler	NIHR Senior Research Manager	Present for notes 2 – 3
Vanessa Delgado Nunes	NGA Guideline Lead	Present for notes 1 – 10
Patrice Carter	NGA Senior Systematic Reviewer	Present for notes 1, 3 - 10
Jo Varley-Campbell	NGA Systematic Reviewer	Present for notes 1 – 10
Eric Slade	NGA Senior Health Economist	Present for notes 1 – 10
Eleanor Howat	NGA Project Manager	Present for notes 1 – 10
Linyun Fou	NGA Senior Systematic Reviewer	Present for notes 1 – 10

Observers:	
Professor Ben Djulbegovic	Professor and Director of Research in the Department of Supportive Medicine, City of Hope

4.0.03 DOC Cmte minutes

Rob Kunkle	Senior Manager of Guidelines at American Society of Hematology
Offiong Ani	NGA Project Manager

Apologies:

Jacq Emkes	Committee member
Julian Spinks	Committee member
James Stephenson	Co-opted committee member
Judy McBride	NICE Senior Medical Editor
Arun Kumar	NGA Systematic Reviewer
Katharina Dworzynski	NGA Guideline Lead

1a. Welcome and objectives for the meeting

The Chair welcomed the Committee members and attendees to Day 2 of the 12th meeting on urinary incontinence (update) and pelvic organ prolapse in women: management. The Committee members and attendees introduced themselves.

The Chair informed the Committee that apologies had been received. These are noted above.

The Chair outlined the objectives of the meeting, which included: reviewing clinical and health economic evidence and making recommendations, drafting rationale and impact sections of the evidence reports and discussing research recommendations.

1b. Confirmation of matter under discussion, and declarations of interest

The Chair confirmed that, for the purpose of managing conflicts of interest, the matter under discussion was urinary incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse.

The Chair asked everyone to verbally declare any interests that have arisen since declarations were made on day one. There were no new interests.

Name	Job title, organisation	Declarations of Interest, date declared	Type of interest	Decision taken
Jacq Emkes	Lay member	Declared 27.3.2018 Member will be a speaker at a series of	Direct – financial (specific)	Action: Partial exclusion – For questions on mesh, the member can answer specific questions about

4.0.03 DOC Cmte minutes

		<p>Masterclasses. The content will be based on a study conducted and should equip Specialist Nurses to support female patients to overcome the barriers they face when introduced to CISC (clean intermittent self-catheterisation). They will also be working with Rik Bes – a Motivational Interviewing expert. Member’s travel and accommodation will be covered and she will receive an honorarium.</p> <p>The Masterclasses are organised by Coloplast Ltd who manufacture mesh products for prolapse repair.</p> <p>The Masterclasses are scheduled for the following dates:</p>		<p>the evidence, but cannot take part in decision-making for recommendations. This action is effective for all future committee meetings</p> <p>Agreed by GL and Chair on 28.3.2018</p> <p>Rationale: the activity is sponsored by Coloplast who manufacture mesh products for prolapse repair. As mesh is a key part of the guideline, the decision was made that the member could be involved in decision-making around mesh.</p>
--	--	--	--	---

4.0.03 DOC Cmte minutes

		05/03/18 Ireland 24/04/18 Birmingham 19/06/18 London		
Suzanne Biers	Committee member	Declared 18.7.2017 Teacher on a course on Mens Health Erectile Restoration and Male Continence Surgery Hands-on Training Masterclass in collaboration with the ESSM (European Society for Sexual Medicine) in Cambridge in September 2017. This involves providing male incontinence surgery training on a course for urology surgeons organised by Boston Scientific. Fees received.	Direct – financial (specific)	Partial exclusion: the initial view was that she could participate fully. However, it was subsequently agreed that she would need to be partial excluded from decision-making on mesh interventions given that Boston Scientific makes mesh. This action is effective for all future committee meetings. Declare and participate Agreed by GL and NICE GCM under the 2014 policy and revised under the 2018 policy. Rationale: The interest is financial and specific. The organiser Boston Scientific manufactures products used for mesh interventions which are a specific interest.
Suzanne Biers	Committee member	Declared 28.3.2018 Teacher on a course on Men's Health	Direct – financial (specific)	Partial exclusion – For questions on mesh interventions, the member can

4.0.03 DOC Cmte minutes

		<p>Male Continenence Surgery Hands-on Training Masterclass. This involves providing male incontinence discussion of cases and surgery training on a course for urology surgeons organised by Boston Scientific.</p> <p>Member has agreed arrange to have her fee paid directly to the hospital, rather than receiving the fee personally.</p>	<p>answer specific questions about the evidence, but cannot take part in decision-making for recommendations. This action is effective for all future committee meetings.</p> <p>Agreed by GL on 13.6.2018</p> <p>Rationale: the organiser Boston Scientific manufactures products used for mesh interventions which are a specific interest. The member agreed to try to have the fee paid directly to the hospital rather than receiving it directly, but has also agreed to the above partial exclusion to avoid any potential conflict of interest should this arrangement not be possible.</p>
--	--	---	---

The Chair and a senior member of the Developer’s team noted that the following members would not participate in a part of the meeting:

- Jacq Emkes is unable to participate in discussions about mesh interventions and can only answer specific questions asked by the committee. She cannot take part in decision making for recommendations.

2. Evidence review 8.6

4.0.03 DOC Cmte minutes

The Chair introduced Jo Varley-Campbell, NGA Systematic Reviewer, who presented evidence review: 8.6 What is the effectiveness of surgical options for pelvic organ prolapse, compared to pessaries?

The committee were presented with evidence and then drafted recommendations and the rationale and impact sections of the evidence report.

3. Research recommendations

The Chair introduced Anna Cutler, NIHR Senior Research Manager, who gave a presentation on NICE research recommendations.

The committee then reviewed the draft research recommendations and agreed some priority areas for future research.

4. Health economic model 8.5

The Chair introduced Eric Slade, NGA Health Economist, who gave a presentation on the health economic model for review question: 8.5 What is the role of surgery to prevent postoperative urinary incontinence in women having surgery for pelvic organ prolapse, including the sequence of interventions?

5. Finalising recommendations for 8.5

The committee discussed and agreed recommendations for review question 8.5 What is the role of surgery to prevent postoperative urinary incontinence in women having surgery for pelvic organ prolapse, including the sequence of interventions?

6. Finalising recommendations for 5.1

The committee discussed and agreed recommendations and the rationale and impact section for review question: 5.1 What is the most effective surgical management of stress urinary incontinence, including mesh and non-mesh procedures?

7. Finalising recommendations for 9.1

The committee discussed and agreed recommendations for review question 9.1 What is the most effective surgical management for women with both stress urinary incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse, including the sequence of interventions?

8. Reviewing committee discussion sections

The committee reviewed and agreed the committee discussion sections for the following review questions:

3.1 What are the risks to cognitive function for women taking anticholinergic drugs

4.0.03 DOC Cmte minutes

for overactive bladder?

6.1 What is the most effective composition of a multidisciplinary team for the assessment and management of simple and complex cases including mesh complications?

9. Discussing external publications

The committee discussed with the NGA technical team which areas of the guideline may be suitable for publication.

10. Any other business

The committee were reminded of the consultation dates for the guideline and the date of the post-consultation committee meeting.

Date of next meeting: 4 December 2018

Location of next meeting: RCOG, London