

Version 2.0

# **Preterm labour and birth**

# **Appendix H: Evidence tables**

NICE Guideline 25 Methods, evidence and recommendations November 2015, updated June 2022

Final

Commissioned by the National Institute for Health and care Excellence



#### Disclaimer

The recommendations in this guideline represent the view of NICE, arrived at after careful consideration of the evidence available. When exercising their judgement, professionals are expected to take this guideline fully into account, alongside the individual needs, preferences and values of their patients or service users. The recommendations in this guideline are not mandatory and the guideline does not override the responsibility of healthcare professionals to make decisions appropriate to the circumstances of the individual patient, in consultation with the patient and/or their carer or guardian.

Local commissioners and/or providers have a responsibility to enable the guideline to be applied when individual health professionals and their patients or service users wish to use it. They should do so in the context of local and national priorities for funding and developing services, and in light of their duties to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, to advance equality of opportunity and to reduce health inequalities. Nothing in this guideline should be interpreted in a way that would be inconsistent with compliance with those duties.

NICE guidelines cover health and care in England. Decisions on how they apply in other UK countries are made by ministers in the <u>Welsh Government</u>, <u>Scottish Government</u>, and <u>Northern Ireland Executive</u>. All NICE guidance is subject to regular review and may be updated or withdrawn.

#### Copyright

@2015 National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health

#### Update information

In June 2022 this document was updated to redact some content that was now out of date as a result of the 2022 evidence review on the use of repeat courses of maternal corticosteroids. See the NICE website for the current recommendations at <a href="https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng25">https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng25</a>.

#### Funding

Registered charity no. 213280

### Contents

Appendix H: Evidence tables	5
H.1 Information and support	5
H.2 Prophylactic vaginal progesterone and prophylactic cervical cerclage	53
H.2.1 Prophylactic progesterone	53
H.2.2 Prophylactic cervical cerclage	53
H.3 Diagnosing preterm prelabour rupture of membranes (P-PROM)	91
H.4 Antenatal prophylactic antibiotics for women with P-PROM	95
H.5 Identifying infection in women with P-PROM	122
H.6 'Rescue' cervical cerclage	152
H.7 Diagnosing preterm labour for women with intact membranes	163
H.8 Maternal corticosteroids	276
H.8.1 Different gestations	276
H.8.2 Repeat courses	302
H.9 Magnesium sulfate for neuroprotection	302
H.10 Tocolysis	344
H.11 Fetal monitoring	387
H.11.1 Monitoring options: cardiotocography and intermittent auscultation	387
H.11.2 CTG interpretation	395
H.11.3 Fetal blood sampling	425
H.12 Mode of birth	425
H.13 Timing of cord clamping for preterm babies	431

## **Appendix H: Evidence tables**

### H.1 Information and support

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Young,E., Tsai,E., O'Riordan,A., A qualitative study of predelivery counselling for extreme prematurity, Paediatrics and Child Health, 17,	Participants were recruited until saturation was achieved (ie, no new themes or ideas were generated by subsequent interviews).	Face-to-face, semistructured interviews conducted at the hospital or the participants' homes. All but one of the interviews was conducted within four	A constant comparative method was used (newly collected data were compared with previously collected data as interviews were completed). During the	Category 1: Content - Theme: Knowledge None of the families had any previous knowledge regarding prematurity. (Family 1 did have two children who were EP births at 24 and 26 weeks' GA, but they	Theoretical approach 1.1 Is a qualitative approach appropriate? Appropriate 1.2 Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear Study design 2.1 How defensible/rigorous is the
432-436, 2012 Ref Id	Characteristics N= 10 families	years of the child's birth (mean 3.2 years). Both parents were interviewed when possible. The	interview, the	responded in reference to their first child.) Before being counselled, most parents had assumed that with extreme preterm labour, there	research design/methodology? Defensible Data collection 3.1 How well was the data
306684	N= 12 babies (2 sets of twins)	majority of the interviews were jointly conducted by	discussed them afterwards. Each	was no chance of survival. [He] told me all the issues…I didn't	collection carried out? Appropriate Validity
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Gestational age at delivery: 24 weeks n=4 (1 set of	one of the two principal investigators and a research assistant.	researcher independently hand-coded each transcript, noting words,	even think that it was an option to even have a [baby at] 26 weeks We were, in all honesty and	<ul><li>4.1 Is the context clearly described? Clear</li><li>4.2 Were the methods reliable?</li></ul>
Canada	twins) 25 weeks n=2	Interviews took 1 h to 2 h and were audiotaped and	phrases or sentences that represented phenomena	bluntness, prepared to have a burial for this child. We didn't know what	Reliable Analysis
Study type	26 weeks n=6 (1 set of twins)	transcribed.	giving similar phenomena the same label. After	to expect, or severe abnormalities, and we talked about itthrough the	5.1 Are the data 'rich'? Yes 5.2 Is the analysis reliable?
Qualitative ethnographic study using semistructured, face-to-face interviews	Maternal age at delivery: 22-37 years High risk pregnancy: 8/10 (80%)		several occasions to	night. (Family 3) All parents wanted information that was clearly stated regarding the likelihood of survival and what to	Reliable 5.3 Are the findings convincing? Convincing 5.4 Are the conclusions adequate? Adequate
Aim of the study To determine how to improve predelivery counselling for delivery	Interviewees Mother and father n=6 Mother only n=4 Education level College n=5		review the data analysis, noting an emergence of common themes.	expect at delivery. All parents desired to be fully informed of the immediate risks for their child. what we needed would to be told that [they] would administer steroids, his best chances are that	Ethics 6.1 Was the study approved by an ethics committee? Yes 6.2 Is the role of the researcher clearly described? Yes

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
room resuscitation from	University n=4			vou last another 48 hours there	
parents of neonates	Unknown n=1			could be complications if he	
born before 27 weeks'	Emergent (<24 h) or			doesn't, um, vis-à-vis, breathing	
gestational age	non-emergent (>24h)			moment by moment until his birth	
9	delivery			happens and then [they'll] let you	
	Emergent n=3			know what you have to	
Study dates	Non-emergent n=7			face. (Family 4)	
	Received predelivery			( , , ,	
June 2005 and May	counselling			One set of parents recounted the	
2007	Mother only n=3			experience of having multiple	
	Both parents n=7			members of the neonatal team	
	Recalled being offered			counsel them about various aspects	
Source of funding	choice regarding			of the NICU including ongoing	
	resuscitation			research projects. They believed	
Clinical Teachers'	Yes n=4			that this manner of counselling	
Association at Queen's	No n=6			lacked compassion and would have	
University Endowment	Inital counsellor			preferred fewer counsellors	
Fund	Paediatric resident n=2			focusing on information of	
	Neonatologist n=3			immediate relevance such as	
	Neonatal team n=1			survival and prognosis.	
	Neonatal nurse n=1			it would almost be a bit more	
	Obstetrician n=3			compassionate to tell people we'll	
				deal with it once the baby comes	
				then, you know, we'll see what	
	Inclusion criteria			problems arise, there could be	
				some, but going into the great detail	
	Parents with a child			before added a lot of stress to the	
	born between 23 to 26			fact that we were early and all of	
	weeks' GA admitted to			those things just kept going through	
	the neonatal intensive			our head. (Family 4)	
	care unit (NICU) at a			Category 1: Content - Theme:	
	tertiary care teaching			Resuscitation wishes	
	hospital in Ontario from			Most families did not recall explicitly	
	1999 to 2006. Potential			being asked about their	
	participants were			resuscitation wishes.	
	identified by chart			We want to focus on just the baby	
	review and selected			and then if that happens, then we'll	
				deal with it at that time. But we	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	using purposive sampling.         Exclusion criteria         Families who had moved to, or lived further than 2 h travelling time from Kingston General Hospital (Kingston, Ontario) Unable to converse in English         Unable to converse in English			<ul> <li>never had that opportunity, other than just between ourselvesthey should bring it up and they should discuss it with the parents and then the parents have that opportunity to say, "no, we don't want to talk about it" (Family 8)</li> <li>In retrospect, three couples (Families 3, 5 and 9) may not have chosen resuscitation, had they known all of the potential complications of prematurity. The parents who lost one twin (Family 9) believed the other twin suffered to such an extent while in the NICU that they would not have proceeded with resuscitation had they known "what was in store."</li> <li>One mother was counselled alone in the middle of the night and believed her awareness was affected by medication. But, to be honest, if somebody would have told me that this is what my life would be like, I don't think that I would have chosen resuscitation. I might have chosen to hold (twin A) for the seven minutes that he cried and let him die. (Family 5)</li> <li>Even parents who had deferred the ultimate decision to the team indicated that parents should have clear opportunities to express their wishes.</li> <li>Category 1: Content - Theme: Additional resources</li> </ul>	

information, in addition to verbal counselling, would have helped them feel informed and supported. The parents who were provided with pictures found that they enhanced their understanding (Family 1). One mother suggested having a video or a virtual tour of the NICU (Family 10) to help prepare for this experience. <b>Category 2: Process - Theme:</b> <b>Timing of counselling during</b> <b>pregnancy</b> Most of the families were seeing high-risk obstetricians during the pregnancy. They wished that they had received counselling about prematurity when the pregnancy was first deemed to be high risk. Three couples believed they were falsely reassured by their physician about the risks of preterm delivery (Families 3, 4 and 9). One mother, who finally conceived via in vitro fertilization after having multiple miscarriages due to an incompetent cervix, recalled: They were just saying don't worry about it though, so I said OK. But I knew when I got pregnant it was pretty iffy all the way. (Family 4) One couple (Family 1) did suggest that early information regarding prematurity would cause needless worry; this couple was one of two who did not need to see a high-risk specialist before delivery. Two	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				commented that while the risks for conditions such as Down syndrome are discussed antenatally, there is no information routinely given about prematurity even though it is common. They suggested that written pamphlets be available at obstetricians' or family physicians' offices. <b>Category 2: Process - Theme:</b> <b>Timing of counselling during</b> <b>maternal hospitalization</b> Seven families waited in hospital more than 24 h, and even couples requiring emergent management waited a few hours before delivery occurred. One mother (Family 5) recalled being admitted twice with spotting at 24 and 25 weeks before going into labour at 26 weeks. She was not counselled until the third admission in the middle of the night. By then she was anemic and on medications that affected her awareness, and fell asleep during the conversation. <b>Category 2: Process - Theme:</b> <b>Ongoing counselling</b> After the initial emergency counselling, parents wanted the opportunity to hear the news again, together, if there was time (ie, if delivery was not imminent). The mother who was admitted for weeks after the initial counselling, due to an incompetent cervix, and her partner did not see the team until after the birth.	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				if they'd have come in even one or two at a time instead of six at a time, and spaced it out and then revisit a day later, just to even pop their head in to say hi, how are you doing. Oh, I'm OKthat would have made the just before the birth thing a whole lot easier (Family 4) Although parents acknowledged that physicians are busy and cannot always cater to parents' schedules, they believed that a follow-up visit after parents have had a chance to digest information and formulate questions would improve the communication process. <b>Category 2: Process - Theme:</b> <b>Impact of counsellors' attitude</b> Parents indicated that counsellors' messages regarding the survival and prognosis of their EP neonate should be performed in a compassionate manner and that hope should be conveyed after the decision to resuscitate had been made. I don't know what the legalities are, but my feeling at the time was that oh, we needed a lot of positive reinforcement at that moment and what we got was the exact opposite. (Family 4) Parents believed that some counsellors were unnecessarily negative. One mother recalls a physician who simply stated that the team would not proceed with resuscitation.	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				He said to me, OK, if the baby is born today, what we are going to do is just wrap it up, we won't do any heroics, we'll just wrap him up you can hold him for a little bit and then he'll probably just go. (Family 1) This mother recalled being devastated by this mental imagery and described how she subsequently avoided this particular physician throughout the child's course in the NICU.	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
empowerment: Mothers expect more than information from the prenatal consultation for preterm labour, Paediatrics and Child Health, 16, 638-642, 2011 <b>Ref Id</b> 307076	N=5 of seven women who were approached. Information drawn from each interview was analyzed before the next participant was recruited, and women were enrolled until no additional themes were identified <b>Characteristics</b> Participants varied in age (ranging from 24 to 36 years) and gestational age (from 26 weeks to 30 2/7 weeks). They were from different social	In-depth interviews, using a semidirective format and lasting 30 min to 60 min, were audio recorded. Women were encouraged to speak freely about their situation and to elaborate on : - main current concerns and stressors - topics the neonatologist should discuss and explain - expectations from the consultation process - roles they believed the neonatologist should play for them	qualitative approach informed by grounded theory. Interviews were transcribed in their entirety and coded using the	several aspects of their health or pregnancy, women tried to adapt quickly from living a healthy pregnancy to preparing for the challenges of prematurity, and found this to be difficult; the roles they had been preparing to play as parents changed. Some women at risk of a	Theoretical approach 1.1 Is a qualitative approach appropriate? Appropriate 1.2 Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear Study design 2.1 How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? Defensible Data collection 3.1 How well was the data collection carried out? Appropriate Validity 4.1 Is the context clearly described? Clear 4.2 Were the methods reliable? Reliable Analysis 5.1 Are the data 'rich'? Yes 5.2 Is the analysis reliable? Reliable

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study type	backgrounds and		Identified themes were	'hell'. All women wished to avoid	5.3 Are the findings convincing?
	professions. The		used to construct a survey	delivering prematurely.	Convincing
Qualitative study	reasons for		addressing women's	c) Isolation:	5.4 Are the conclusions adequate?
	hospitalization and		expectations about the	Women felt isolated from their usual	Adequate
	outcomes were also		prenatal consultation for	support systems: four had been	Ethics
Aim of the study	diverse: two women		preterm labour. This tool	transferred from another hospital	6.1 Was the study approved by an
	had their babies within		was sent for correction to	and their families lived far from the	ethics committee? Yes
To explore mothers'	days of the		the initial participants six	institution used for the present	6.2 Is the role of the researcher
concerns about preterm	consultation, and the		months after their	study. They expected their	clearly described? Yes
labour and their	other three had full-term		interview. Women	hospitalization and bed rest to	
expectations regarding	pregnancies after		confirmed that the main	become prolonged, which was	
the prenatal	hospital discharge.		themes, their concerns	perceived as another difficult	
consultation with a			and their expectations had	challenge to overcome.	
neonatologist.			been identified and	Furthermore, although isolated from	
	Inclusion criteria		represented in the survey.	their loved ones, participants	
				believed that they had lost their	
Study dates	Adult women, with a			intimacy or privacy during their	
	gestational age of			hospitalization experience.	
Jan - Jun 2007	between 26 and 32			d) Powerlessness:	
	weeks, who were			Women expressed a strong feeling	
	admitted to the			of powerlessness and loss of	
Source of funding	obstetrics department			control. They believed that they had	
	for preterm labour, had			to accept all treatments offered to	
Clinical Teachers'	no contact with the			them to obtain the best possible	
Association at Queen's	neonatology team, were			outcome for themselves and for	
University Endowment	able to read and write			their baby:	
Grant	basic French or English,			"There is nothing we can do. We're	
	did not have an active			a little powerless in all this. So we	
	psychiatric disorder and			let ourselves go. We let go and we	
	had no previously			let them do anything to us." (Mother	
	identified fetal			5)	
	malformations.			They were overwhelmed by the	
				number of events experienced in a	
				short period of time; the uncertainty	
	Exclusion criteria			of these events added insecurity	
				and stress:	
	Women with			"Uncertainty, it's like vertigo or a	
	pregnancies of less			precipice. And there is a lot of	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	than 26 weeks' gestation were excluded			uncertainty. We don't know when I will deliver. We don't know how it will go for the baby. We don't know what awaits the baby after. And we can get surprises, good or bad, for months after that. So it's a lot of uncertainty for a long time." (Mother 3) Main concerns: The baby's health and outcome were the main concerns for most women. One was most worried about her own medical condition. Another had been born prematurely herself, and focused on potential attachment difficulties as a parent and on a prolonged separation from her other children. All participants expressed some concerns about organizing their families' lives around a prolonged hospital stay: "Yesterday, I was preparing my children's things, but I didn't know what to prepare. I had to give them extra everything because I didn't know when I would be back. One of my children goes to school, one goes to daycare and the third one stays at home () and he's having his first birthday tomorrow. Now they are staying in two different households. One child is at my mother's house and two children are at my mother-in-law's." (Mother 2)	
				Consultation as a stressor:	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Women were generally informed by the obstetrical team in charge of their medical care that they would meet with a neonatologist. However, one woman had not been told this and found out only when approached about participating in the present study; she asked to partake in the study and was, therefore, included after she met with the team responsible for her care. Similar to other participants, she perceived the consultation as an additional source of stress: "Simply knowing that we'll meet the neonatologist is a stressor in itself. It's something really big () The fact that I am being offered to meet the neonatologist before anything else makes me realize that, in my case, it is highly probable that I will deliver prematurely." (Mother 5) However, all of the participants looked forward to the consultation so that their questions would be answered; they also hoped that the neonatologist could somehow reassure them, although the information they sought was not perceived as reassuring in itself: "I think that the more the neonatologist will tell me, the more stressed I will be. But I don't like () not knowing the answers." (Mother 1)	
				"I am looking forward to meeting them so that they can reassure us.	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Well, maybe not so that they can reassure us, but so that they can tell us the truth." (Mother 2)	
				2) Empowerment strategies – expectations from the consultation a) Reassurance: Being reassured was the most important objective of the prenatal	
				consultation. Women realized that they might receive worrisome information about possible complications related to	
				prematurity. They hoped that the neonatologist would find ways to reassure them: "Being reassured and just knowing	
				what to expect. Because right now, I don't really know what to expect. So it's those two aspects, I think. () And what I can do as a mother to make sure, really make sure, that	
				my baby is healthy and happy. Because that's really what I want." (Mother 4) b) Information and content:	
				All women expected to receive clear, precise details and statistics about short-term and long-term complications of prematurity	
				specific to their medical condition and related to gestational age. Some anticipated themes were respiratory distress, neurological	
				complications, sepsis, feeding difficulties and length of hospitalization. They hoped the neonatologist would describe some	

of the technology in the NICU. They reported having learned about prematurity and its complications from friends working in health care, from the media or form their own physicians. Only two of the participants underwent active follow-up for high-trisk pregnancies before their encolment in the present study. One woman suggested that parents visit the NICU before delivery, and believed that written documentation or pictures could be helpful. c) Parental roles and responsibilities: Women expected the neonatologist to explain what their responsibilites would be and what would be expected of them. They wanted help organizing their professional and family lives so they could be available for their baby. They wanted to know how they would be allowed to touch or hold their babies, and wanted to discuss breastfeeding and feeding strategies. Some wanted to know how they might participate in decision-making processes regarding their baby's treatment plans. One woman expressed concern about excessive care and had prepared questions to ask the noonatologist about ther	Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
legal rights: "I'm not sure the neonatologists					reported having learned about prematurity and its complications from friends working in health care, from the media or from their own physicians. Only two of the participants underwent active follow-up for high-risk pregnancies before their enrolment in the present study. One woman suggested that parents visit the NICU before delivery, and believed that written documentation or pictures could be helpful. c) Parental roles and responsibilities: Women expected the neonatologist to explain what their responsibilities would be and what would be expected of them. They wanted help organizing their professional and family lives so they could be available for their baby. They wanted to know how they would be allowed to touch or hold their babies, and wanted to discuss breastfeeding and feeding strategies. Some wanted to know how they might participate in decision-making processes regarding their baby's treatment plans. One woman expressed concern about excessive care and had prepared questions to ask the neonatologist about her legal rights:	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				that I would and I am worried they might impose their decisions on us." (Mother 3) d) Consistency of information: Women expected all of the different medical teams involved in their care to communicate among one another to hold consistent discourses about their situation. They reported inconsistency between health care providers' messages as an added source of stress. 3) A trusting patient-doctor relationship: Expectations from the neonatologist a) Structure of the consultation: Women who were interviewed believed that the best time to meet the neonatology team was before labour and delivery. They hoped their spouse would be present. They believed that the neonatologists should explain their role first, and then volunteer information about prematurity and its possible complications. One woman suggested that they sit down during the consultation. They all expected the neonatologists to be open to listening to their concerns and to provide time to answer their questions: "Sometimes, I find it goes fast, that we don't have time to ask our	
				questions. () It would only take the doctor an extra minute or two, but it would save us from being	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				<ul> <li>anxious and having unanswered questions." (Mother 3)</li> <li>2) Trust:</li> <li>It was very important that the neonatologist instill a feeling of trust. Women wanted to know that they were in the best place for their baby and themselves to receive optimal care:</li> <li>"We are handing over our lives and our baby's life into the hands of people we've never met before. So, if there's no trust, it's impossible." (Mother 3)</li> <li>3) Support and strategies: Most women expected the neonatologist to offer support and help them develop strategies to cope with their situation:</li> </ul>	
	Derruch eine		Defeile	"It's very important to have a good doctor who can answer your questions and reassure you. () I mean, at least they're there to answer your questions and be supportive." (Mother 4) Some also thought that neonatologists should refer them to other members of the health care team to explore various aspects of the problem. One woman, who had undergone in vitro fertilization and fetal reduction, would have preferred to be referred to her own obstetrician for additional information and support	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outc	omes and Results			Comments
Gupton,A., Heaman,M., Learning needs of hospitalized women at risk for preterm birth, Applied Nursing	A convenience sample of 34 womeen Characteristics	The Preterm Birth Learning Needs Questionnaire (PBLNQ) which included a rating scale and several open	The assistant head nurse explained the purpose of the study to each woman, invited them to participate and gave them a	impo	ordering of mean ortance teaching to en at risk of preter 4)	pics t		Theoretical approach 1.1 Is a qualitative approach appropriate? Appropriate Comments: Quantitative methods also used
Research, 7, 118-124, 1994	The majority of women	ended questions. The questionnaire was	questionnaire. Completed and blank	Rank	Торіс	Mean	SD	1.2 Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear
<b>Ref Id</b> 307215	were white, married and had completed high school education. 4/34 women had a previous preterm birth.	pilot tested with 2 women and content validity of items was reviewed by 2 perinatal nurse experts. The questionnare	questionnaires were collected by the assistant head nurse. A completed questionnaire was considered to provide	1	The consequences of prematurity for the baby	19.38	1.65	Study design 2.1 How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? Defensible
Country/ies where the study was carried out Canada	age was 31.3 weeks (range 26-36 weeks). Reasons for	consisted of 18 topics commonly included in educational programs for women at risk of preterm	consent to participate. <b>Data analysis</b> Topics on the questionnaire were rank	2	Problems of the newborn associated with preterm birth	19.29	1.66	Data collection 3.1 How well was the data collection carried out? Appropriate
<b>Study type</b> Descriptive study	hospitalisation included spontaneous premature rupture of membranes (35%), twin pregnancy with cervical dilation	birth. Instructions for completion stated "The following list contains items which are often taught to those at risk for	ordered from most important to least important. Responses to open-ended questions were examined using	3	How premature babies are cared for at home	19.21	1.82	Comments: Detail is scant Validity 4.1 Is the context clearly described? Clear Comments:
<b>Aim of the study</b> To identify the priority learning needs of	and/or contractions (18%), antepartum haemorrhage (12%), incomptent cervix, polyhydramnios,	preterm birth. In your opinion which ones are important to be taught?". Each item was rated	content analysis. Themes and recurring regularities were determined and data were categorised and	4	How premature babies grow and develop	18.71	3.40	Some definition of participants and setting provided, context bias not discussed 4.2 Were the methods reliable?
hospitalised women at risk of preterm birth	placenta previa and pre-eclampsia. Some subjects had more than one reason for	using a 20 point visual analogue scale ranging from 1 (not very important) to 20 (very important to know). There	coded. Quantitative and qualitative data were compared to identify convergence or divergence of conceptual	5	The signs and symptoms of preterm labour	18.53	2.60	Reliable/Unreliable/Not sure Comments: Analysis 5.1 Are the data 'rich'? Poor 5.2 Is the analysis reliable?
Study dates Not reported	hospitalisation.	were also 4 open ended questions: 1) What is the most important information for	themes.	6	How premature infants are care for in hospital	18.09	2.81	Reliable Comments : Detail regarding data handling is scant 5.3 Are the findings convincing? Convincing
Source of funding Not reported	This was a convenience sample of women receiving care on a 12	a mother who is at risk for pretem birth to know? 2) What concerns do you have about being		7	Treatments for preterm labour	17.91	3.13	5.4 Are the conclusions adequate?

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outc	omes and Results			Comments
	bed antepartum unit in a tertiary care teaching hospital (over 4000 deliveries/year) in	considered at risk for preterm labour and birth? 3) Are there things that mothers at risk ofor		8	Nutrition and prevention of preterm birth	17.35	3.83	ethics committee? Not reported 6.2 Is the role of the researcher clearly described? Not reported
		preterm labour and bither do not need to know or should be taught? 4) What would you tell someone (a friend or		9	How to get rest and relaxation to prevent preterm birth	16.74	4.47	
	Not stated, but given that this is a convenience sample, by implication, choosing not to complete the form would available a	relative) to help them cope with being at risk for preterm birth?		10	What a neonatal intensive care unit looks like	16.29	5.22	
	form would exclude a woman from the study			11	How to change your lifestyle to reduce risk (eg quit smoking)		4.47	
				12	A description of those who are at risk for preterm birth	16.09	4.00	
				13	How to feel for contractions	15.97	5.86	
				14	How to tell when you are having contractions	15.94	6.14	
				15	How to reduce stress	15.91	4.87	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outc	omes and Results			Comments
				16	The consequences of prematurity for the mother	15.88	4.88	
				17	Experiences and feelings of other women who have had a preterm labour/birth	14.68	5.78	
				18	A definition of preterm labour	14.5	5.13	
					oonses to 4 open o tions:	ended	<u> </u>	
				•	<ul> <li>Responses to tl questions raise of "concern for well being"</li> </ul>	d a the	eme	
				inforn risk fo 22/34 know	hat is the most impo nation for a mother or pretem birth to kr (67%) indicated a the possible risks of the the status	who is low? need t or	0	
				baby' prema reality 11/34	(32%) indicated a	l if ecome need f	e a <sup>:</sup> or	
				baby suppo	surance - to be told will be OK" "for the ortive of the mother tance in coping - to	staff t " - and	o be I	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				to prepare oneself psychologically and physically to face the stress, fear, etc" 9/34 (27%) indicated that it was most important for them to know ho a premature birth could be prevented 6/34 (18%) indicated that they wanted ongoing information on the condition of their baby as their pregnancy progressed. 3/34 (9%) indicated that they wanted information on how to care for a premature baby 2) What concerns do you have about being considered at risk for preterm labour and birth? 31/34 (91%) indicated concern regarding the baby's survival chances, possible complications or permanent disabilities associated with prematurity and fetall development, especially lung maturation Additional concerns: future care of the baby, how long the baby might be in hospital, whether it would be possible to breastfeed a premature baby, the uncertainty of the situation - "so many unknowns, so many 'ifs' cause fear" 3) Are there things that mothers at risk of preterm labour and either do not need to know or should be taught? All those responding to this	

Study details Partic	cipants Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			question expressed a desire to be told "everything" - "I like to know exactly what is going on and get all the facts straight, so I can prepare myself both physicallly and psychologically", "The more knowledge that I have the more positive I feel. Not knowing the possibilities is frightening", "if you are prepared for the worst and it doesn't happen, it feels great. If it does, I think that being totally unprepared could cause serious problems - both personally and in your family" 3/34 (9%) indicated the need for honesty - "Up front honesty is the best way to go. This is enough of a surprise; you don't need any more surprises because you weren't told something", "I prefer to know as much as possible and appreciate honesty in my doctros, coupled with human compassion" Several women included advice for those teaching women at risk: "Give information gradually so mother has time to absorb and accept at her own pace", "Don't tell them something they may have done or not done has increased the risk. It adds to the guilt", "The use of alarming-sounding medical terms that when defined aren't life- threatening [is frightening] - not taking down to a mother but make sure she's famiiliar with the phases	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results don't assume someone else has already explained - don't get overly technical - quoting statistics doesn't reassure - you want to know how your baby is doing" 4) What would you tell someone (a friend or relative) to help them cope with being at risk for preterm birth? 6/34 (18%) indicated to tell other women to rest and relax 6/34 (18%) indicated trusing in the health care system - "I would try to remind them how advanced medicine is and the chances for survival are high", "Reassure them that absolute care is taken when handling preterm labour - competent doctors and nurses, modern technology", "Make sure you know what is happening at all times. Listen closely to what you are told and obey the medical staff" 4/34 (12%) indicated the importance of keeping informed - "Informyourself - talk to others who have gone through it", "To seek professional help and infomration and not to listen to those who know little or nothing", "Ask as may questions as they can regarding effects of preterm labour on baby	
				and mother and read articles/books on preterm births" Advice to maintain a positive attitude was also given: "Don't go on a guilt trip", "Keep an optimistic and positive attitude no matter	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				what", "Hope for the best, prepare for the worst", "Positive imagery and relaxation help"	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Griffin,T., Kavanaugh,K., Soto,C.F., White,M., Parental evaluation of a tour of the neonatal intensive care unit during a high-risk pregnancy, JOGNN - Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, and Neonatal Nursing, 26, 59-65, 1997 <b>Ref Id</b> 307382 <b>Country/ies where the</b>	13 expectant parents who had toured a NICU during a high-risk pregnancy Characteristics Mothers' age Mean 32.7 years (Range 20-42 years) Mothers' mean educational level 14.5 years (Range 11- 18 years) Fathers' age Mean 34.3 years (Range 31-39 years) Fathers' mean educational level 13.3 years (Range 12- 16 years) Marital status	All parents described a similar format for the tour. Parents were taken directly into all patient care areas of the NICU and were in close proximity to the infants. The following types of intervention were given to parents: • health information, such as weight and gestational age for several infants who were not identified by name • description of equipment for the infant • roles of staff members • description of the parental role	Procedure Immediately after the tour, parents were informed about the study by the nurse who conducted the tour (typically the charge nurse). Parents who expressed and interest in participating were referred to a member of the research team who contacted the parent to schedule an interview. Immediate scheduling of the interview maximised the amount of information the parent recalled and decreased the possibility that the birth would take place before the first interview. Written consent was obtained before the first interview. A tape- recorded interview was conducted with each parent in the parent's home or in the hospital. A	<ul> <li>17 interviews were conducted.</li> <li>6 parents completed only the first interview.</li> <li>4 parents completed the first and second interviews.</li> <li>3 parents completed only completed the second interview.</li> <li>7/10 first interviews were conducted within 1 week of the tour 3/10 were conducted either 11 or 12 days after the tour</li> <li>The second interview was conducted 2-7 weeks after the baby's birth. 3 parents participated in a combined interview (within a week of birth) because their babies were born before the first interview could be performed</li> <li>3 categories of information were described by the parents a) description of the tour, specifically how the tour was arranged and the type of information that was included in the</li> </ul>	Theoretical approach 1.1 Is a qualitative approach appropriate? Appropriate 1.2 Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear Study design 2.1 How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? Defensible Comment: a convenience sample was used Data collection
Aim of the study	Marital status 10 parents were	in the NICU,	home or in the hospital. A member of the research team reviewed the daily	tour b) benefits of the tour	ethics committee? Yes 6.2 Is the role of the researcher clearly described? Yes

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
To describe parents' reaction to a prenatal tour of the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) during a high- risk pregnancy and identify advice they have for other parents and health care professionals who participate in such a tour <b>Study dates</b> Not stated <b>Source of funding</b>	married 3 parents were single Ethnicity White n=7 Black n=6 Incomes varied from a range of \$5,000-\$9,999 to a range \$75,000- \$100,000 Inclusion criteria Participants were a convenience sample of 13 parents (10 mothers and 3 fathers) who had toured a mid-Western	including the visitation policy Each participant was interviewed after the NICU tour and again after the birth of his or her baby if admitted to NICU. Separate interview guides, which consisted of open-ended questions and specific probes, were used for the first and second interviews. The first guide addressed: a) maternal obstetric history, including the reason for the the prenatal tour	admission log for the NICU to determine if any of the mothers in the study had delivered a baby admitted to NICU. If so, a research contacted the parent to schedule the second interview once the baby was stable Data Audiotapes were transcribed and checked against the original tapes for accuracy. Major codes and subcodes were developed, based on a review of the typed transcripts. Each of the 17 transcripts was coded and	<ul> <li>c) an evaluation of the way the tour was arranged and conducted, and advice from the parents</li> <li>Benefits of the tour</li> <li>Parents described benefits of the tour, including that it decreased their fears inspired hope for their baby's prognosis provided reassurance about care in te NICU prepared them for their baby's NICU hospitalisation</li> <li>All parents described at least one of these benefits, including 5 mothers who said the tour was overwhelming or difficult because of the appearance of newborns.</li> </ul>	
Association of Women's Health, Obstetric and Neonatal Nurses (AWHONN)	NICU during a high-risk pregnancy. Mothers were considered to have high risk pregnancies because of one of the following: preterm labour n=2 diabetes mellitus n=2 hypertensive disorders n=2 congenital malformation of the fetus n=2 pregnancy-induced thrombocytopenia n=1 preterm PROM n=1	<ul> <li>b) description of the tour</li> <li>c) reactions ot the tour</li> <li>d) advice for health care</li> <li>providers and other</li> <li>parents with a high-risk</li> <li>pregnancy</li> <li>The second guide</li> <li>addressed:</li> <li>a) a brief history of the</li> <li>neonate's condition</li> <li>b) the impact of the</li> <li>prenatal tour on the</li> <li>parent's experience in the</li> <li>NICU</li> <li>c) advice for health care</li> </ul>	12/17 were double coded (independent coding by 2 researchers and comparison of transcripts) before entry into software for qualitative data. The research team analysed all coded data through construction of matrices, which were visual displays of the data that allowed for category identification and description. A summary of the results was sent to 3 parents for member check, a process whereby	<ul> <li>'Well, its just hard when you see something like that. They were so young and so precious and fighting for their lives But you are more put at ease by seeing the care that they do receive and the attention that you get. But it's still frightening to see babies that small'</li> <li>Decreased their fears Parents reported that because the tour was informative, it decreased their fears about the NICU and the type of care that their newborn might require.</li> <li>'Because it's so difficult to handle</li> </ul>	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Exclusion criteria Not stated	providers and other parents with a high-risk pregnancy A special interview guide that included questions from the two guides was developed for parents whose babies were born shortly after the tour and before the first interview could be arranged. At the end of the first interview, each participant also completed a sociodemographic form.	results are tested with participants	<ul> <li>when you don't know. I know it's scary at times and I think the more education that you can receive about it, the better prepared you are to handle it should it happen'</li> <li>Parents stated that just knowing that the NICU existed was helpful. 'Just to know that it was there. And I think it put my wife more relaxed and at ease the fact that they had a facility there that was nearby. We didn't have to worry about going to another hospital because they didn't have a special care nursery. Just the fact that it was there, we could see it, we know that it looked like and so if we were faced with that problem we were at least familiar with it.'</li> <li>The tour gave mothers information about the NICU they needed to share with other family members. One mother indicated that she had gained an understanding of the unit and was better prepared to talk to her child about the NICU. Three of four mothers who were not accompanied on the tour by the fathers reported that they had shared information about the NICU with the fathers, which was comforting to them. One of these mothers described her husband's reaction to their infant's admission to the NICU</li> </ul>	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				'My husband was calm because I had already told him what to expect'	
				Inspired hope for newborn's prognosis	
				For several mothers, the tour inspired hope for their newborn's prognosis, especially when the mothers saw very premature infants who were said to be progressing well. One mother said 'The tour gave me hope that he was going to be fine. Seeing babies younger than him thriveand then seeing the babies approximately his age survive thriving and doing well'	
				Another mother said 'It showed me that there is a lot more hope, and I thought about a few years ago or even 10 years ago, babies like this wouldn't have made it'	
				One mother said that after the tour, she was determined to take better care of herself and adhere to her prescription for bed rest to decrease the chance that her infant would be born prematurely.	
				Provided reassurance about care in the NICU	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Parents reported that the tour was comforting and reassuring becaue it gave them an opportunity to observe the type and quality of care that the infants received. One mother said 'I was a lost more comfortable now seeing how they are giving the care and just seeing the environment they are in'	
				Parents felt encouraged when they observed the way that nurses cared for the infants. One mother said 'I saw the love, compassion and empathy that they showed for each of the babies there. So I knew he was going to be treated well'	
				Another mother commented 'Knowing they do care about them and they do realise that they are human and not machines You could feel that they really cared and worried'	
				It was especially helpful for the parents to see so many nurses and physicians in the NICU, hearing specific information about primary nursing also helped some mothers to feel more comfortable. Those mothers explained that it was reassuring to know that their questions could be answered	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				because the primary nurse would know their infant.	
				Prepared parents for their newborn's NICU hospitalisation	
				All parents whose infants subsequently were cared for in the NICU reported that the tour prepared them for the experience. These parents explained that it helped to acquaint them with the NICU before delivery. One father said 'we didn't have to worry and wonder. It (the tour) made us understand how it all worked so that we were familiar with it when we did go there. And we didn't worry about what was going to be done because they explained everything beforehand. So, we pretty much knew exactly what their procedures were and how everything was dealt with instead of finding out as they did it The tour pretty much prepared us for what we were going to see when we went up there.'	
				One mother speculated on how her reaction to her infant's hospitalisation in the NICU would have been had she not toured the NICU while she was pregnant. She said 'I think it would have been a much	
				more negative experience had I not	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				toured and when there and saw the tubes in my baby's throat and the tape and everything. I don't know if I would have been able to take that'	
				For one mother, the tour's importance became evidence after her infant was born 'Well I didn't really think much of it until she was born. I thought, well this is an interesting place and all that, but after she was actually born and brought here I kept thinking to myself, I'm glad I came and saw the place before she was born. It kind of helped ease knowing where she was going to be. It made it a lot easier'	
				Finally a mother who initially was overwhelmed after the tour expressed how it prepared her for her newborn's admission to the NICU. She said 'I knew what to expect once I was there. So, I relaxed, and it wasn't overwhelming after I had him and he went to the (NICU)'	
				Evaluation of arrangement and conducting of the tour	
				Parents evaluated and provided suggestions on the way the tour was arranged and conducted and offered advice to other parents. In	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				general, all parents recommended that parents in similar circumstances should be offered a prenatal tour of the NICU. One father said, 'I think you should go to the hospital and should try to get a tour of it You shouldn't be intimidated by the hospital and all the goings on in a nursery you have to get over the fear and ask the right questions and be familiar with that'	
				Parents advised that more health care providers suggest tours to parents diagnosed with a high-risk pregnancy. Two mothers also recommended that other perinatal health care providers should tour the NICU so that they can be supportive to parents. One mother perceived that her need to tour the NICU was not supported by the staff on the antepartum unit. She said	
				'So, I think some of them should be a little bit more realistic and help the patient prepare for their early delivery much more, rather than saying"Oh, I don't think they should have taken her there" or "it's too much for her" If they can just empathise with the patient and be a little more positive, I think the whole	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				stay there would be a lot better as a result'	
				Parents also evaluated and gave specific advice in a number of areas, including tour arrangements type of information provided on the tour the behaviours and knowledge of the tour conductor	
				Arrangement of the tour Parent's recommendations for timing of the tour varied. However, several recommended that parents tour the NICU soon after their pregnancies are identified as high- risk. One mother recommended that to minimise anxiety,, parents take the tour soon after deciding to do so. Parents who toured with their partners commented that having each other as a support person was helpful. They recommended that the tour be scheduled so that the partner or other support person	
				could accompany the parent. One mother said 'Now that's the part I wish I could have changed. I wished my husband or somebody had been with me. But nobody was with me ar the time.'	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				One couple also recommended that the tour should be scheduled around other appointments to avoid an additional trip to the hospital'	
				Type of information given on the tour	
				Parents reported that it was important to receive detailed information on the following newborns who had a diagnosis or gestational age similar to what was anticipated for their newborn a description of equipment for their newborns roles of staff members a description of the parental role in the NICU, including the visitation policy	
				A mother said ' Just by introducing me to people and explaining the various ages of and their survival and the babies that make it there. That was very comforting'	
				A parent suggested that parents meet with the neonatologist before the tour. It was important for parents to hear about the parental role. One mother said, 'They said if your baby was there, you could come up at any time, if you were the parent you could	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				come in and they do encourage bonding with the baby, you can feed the baby, that type of thing. That did put me at ease.'	
				However, all parents did not perceive that they received adequate information on the parental role. A mother said, 'The parental role during the tour could have been more explicit because I was sure of my role during the tour, what would be expected of me or what I could do as far as caring for my baby.'	
				The need for more specific information became apparent to parents after their infants were cared for in the NICU. These parents indicted that they waned more information on expectations for their role in the NICU, breastfeeding, sibling visitation, and the potential for the newborn to be transferred from the NICU to another unit before discharge. Two parents suggested that handouts would supplement or reinforce information that was given during the tour and assis parents to inform family and friends about the NICU.	
				Parents reported that the tour should be individualised to meet the specific needs of parents. Parents perceived the tour as individualised	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				when they went as a couple or an individual rather than in a group, had an opportunity to ask questions, and saw newborns who had a diagnosis or gestational age similar to that expected for their newborn. Therefore it was critical for the nurse conducting the tour to know the parents' maternal-fetal diagnosis. Several parents made additional suggestions, such as having an opportunity to go on a second tour or changing the order in which the NICU patient care areas are shown; these demonstrate the parents' individual needs	
				Behaviour/knowledge of the tour conductor Most parents reported that the nurses who conducted the tours were knowledgeable and comforting. These nurses were describe as compassionate, concerned, helpful, and considerate of the time parents needed to understand the information and ask questions. One mother said 'She was a warm lady putting her hand on my arm, and just somebody touching me made me feel like (I was) relaxed' One father stated that the nurse who conducted the tour 'knew what was going on and knew the staff,	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				and the staff apparently thought a lot of her'	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
and satisfaction with care during the birth of their very preterm baby: A qualitative study, BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 120, 637-643, 2013 <b>Ref Id</b> 307400 <b>Country/ies where the study was carried out</b> England <b>Study type</b>	Characteristics Ethnicity: n = 39 White European 29 (74(%), Indian 3 (8(%), Pakistani 2 (5(%), Filipino 2 (5%), Other 3 (8%) Marital status: n = 39 Married/living with	The interview schedule consisted of 10 open- ended questions used as a guide to explore parents' experiences and satisfaction with care during the birth The interviewer could ask the interviewee to elaborate on the original response or to follow a line of inquiry introduced by the interviewee. Cues and prompts were also used to discuss the topic further. Sociodemographic information was collected using a questionnaire and medical records were checked for obstetric and neonatal information	women in the perinatal period. Parents were informed that the interviewer was not associated with the hospital so as to	Overall satisfaction with care Question: 'Overall, how satisfied would you say you were with the care that you received during the birth?' Extremely satisfied with care and nothing could be improved = 31/39 (80%) parents Generally satisfied with care but certain things could have been improved (eg provision of information) = 7/39 (18%) Dissatisfied with her care = 1/39 (2%) Factors associated with parents' experiences of care Four main themes emerged as important determinants of positive or negative experiences of care during preterm birth. 1) Staff professionalism 2) Staff empathy 3) Involvement of fathers 4) Birth environment 1) Staff professionalism Positive experiences of care were associated with information and explanation, staff being calm in a crisis, and staff appearing confident	Theoretical approach 1.1 Is a qualitative approach appropriate? Appropriate 1.2 Is the study clear in what it seeks to do? Clear Study design 2.1 How defensible/rigorous is the research design/methodology? Defensible Data collection 3.1 How well was the data collection carried out? Appropriate Validity 4.1 Is the context clearly described? Clear 4.2 Were the methods reliable? Reliable Analysis 5.1 Are the data 'rich'? Yes 5.2 Is the analysis reliable? Reliable 5.3 Are the findings convincing? Convincing 5.4 Are the conclusions adequate? Adequate Ethics 6.1 Was the study approved by an ethics committee? Yes

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Aim of the study To assess the experiences and satisfaction with care of parents during very preterm birth and to identify domains associated with their positive and negative experiences of care.	Income: n = 37 <£10 000 3 (8%), £10 000–19 999 7 (19%), £20 000–29 999 15 (41%), £30 000–39 999 6 (16%), >£40 000 6 (16) Gestation at birth (weeks): n = 32 31–32 11 (35%), 30–31 3 (9%), 29–30 3 (9%),		lasted approximately 45 minutes and were anonlymised and transcribed. Data collection ended when no new information emerged from the interviews and data saturation had been achieved. Qualitative analysis of the transcripts used inductive	experiences of care were associated with staff being perceived as not listening to the woman. <u>Information and explanation</u> 33/39 parents (39 mothers, 4 fathers and 6 mothers in a couple) mentioned this theme. Provision of information was really important and was mentioned by 33 participants (85%). They wanted to	
Study dates June 2011 and November 2011	28–29 3 (9%), 27–28 4 (13%), 26–27 4 (13%), 25–26 1 (3%) 24–25 3 (9%) Type of birth: n = 32 Vaginal 13 (40%), Caesarean 19 (60%), Multiple Birth 11 (34%)		thematic analysis to identify, describe, and analyse themes and patterns within the data.Transcripts were read to gain familiarity with	be told what would happen during the birth (particularly if they were having a caesarean section), what type of anaesthetic would be administered, and what was going to happen to their baby when he or she was born. The anaesthetist was someone who stood out in	
Source of funding National Institute of Health Research Programme Grants for	Parity: n = 32 1 = 24 (75%), 2 = 6 (19%), 3 = 2 (6%)		were sorted into potential themes, and collated. Themes were reviewed in relation to the generated codes and the entire data	participants' minds in terms of providing detailed information and explanations. <i>"so we actually go down into the</i> <i>operating theatre and again the</i>	
Applied Research funding scheme	Inclusion criteria - Baby born before 32 weeks of gestation in the previous 6 months - spoke English well. Single parents and individuals within a couple were eligible to partitipcate Parents of babies who died were included.		set and were were named and defined.	anaesthesiologist was there and talking to [us] as she said 'I will stay with you the whole time'and she talked us through everything that was happening and for both of us that was just outstanding, absolutely" (1 Mother, C/S). It was perceived that someone taking the time to explain what was happening helped them cope with the situation and made the experience less 'traumatic' "it was a traumatic experience. I think, if it hadn't been explained to	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Exclusion criteria Women were not approached if attending clinicians considered that they were too unwell to participate. No further details were provided			us exactly step by step it would have been more traumaticIt was just so much easier, because they did go out of their way and they explained absolutely everything to you" (2 Father, C/S). Participants also wanted information to be explained in a way they could easily understand. "They told you everything that was going on, what was happening. They make sure you understood, make sure he [father] understood what was going on" (7 Mother, C/S). One mother wanted more information than she was given during the birth. She had some medical knowledge, and would have liked to know about what was happening throughout her operation in more detail. "So you feel prodding, and I wasn't told much. I felt I wasn't told much when I was actually in there and hadn't, I didn't know when they'd started to open me up, cut me openSo I didn't know what they were doing, water's, broken my watersNone of that was ever communicated to me." (8 Mother, C/S). Six participants (15%) commented that the different members of staff introduced themselves and told them what they would be doing. This helped them feel less like they were in a room with people they did not know.	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				<i>"I mean they were all very, I remember there being 16 people in the room and they were all introducing themselves and what they did"</i> (6 Mother, C/S). <u>Staff calm in a crisis</u> 11/39 parents (7 mothers, 1 fathers and 3 mothers in a couple) mentioned this theme. Nineteen participants (49%) described feeling frightened of what was going to happen during the birth and for the outcome of their baby. However, the calm attitude of the staff helped them feel more comfortable and at ease. <i>"you're not as frightened. It's daunting going in a room when you've never been in. All your bits are going to be on show. And you're worried about your children. Are they gonna survive? Are they gonna be born stillborn? You knowthey were so relaxed, they made me feel so comfortable" (4 Mother, C/S). <i>"I think it was them staying relaxed. Even though it was a rush, it was a stressful time, you could see that, but they were very good at staying calm. But I suppose that's their job in a way, but they were actually very good at it" (19 Mother, C/S). <u>Confident and in control</u> 8/39 parents (8 mothers, no couples) mentioned this theme. The confidence displayed by staff was</i></i>	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				important to participants as it demonstrated capability and control. One woman described that the surgeon in charge of her operation portrayed total confidence. <i>"And the way he mastered the team, I got the absolute he had an air of confidence and er control of the entire team. He knew what every person was doing. And he was very commanding as well" (5 Mother, V). Having confidence in the staff seemed to make it easier to hand over control to them. One woman described that she did not feel that she needed to be in control. She trusted the staff and was happy for them to take control of the situation. <i>"Absolute confidence in the staff. I didn't feel like I needed to know every step of the way. I was able to just step back, realise that control was not mine. The control was where it should be, with professionals, and they would take good care of them [the babies]" (5 Mother, V). Four mothers (10%) described the doctors as being firm with them, but said this was exactly what they needed. They wanted the staff to take control of the situation and tell them what to do. <i>"it was very very quick, very shouty:</i> <i>'you have to do this, you have to do this now'. It was made very clear to</i></i></i>	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				me if I didn't push he wouldn't survive. Erm, which was absolutely fantastic, which was what needed to be done" (3 Mother, V). Staff not listening to the woman 8/39 parents (6 mothers, 1 father and 1 mother in a couple) mentioned this theme. This area contributed to a negative experience of care for participants. Seven mothers (18%) expressed disappointment that the staff did not always listen to what they had to say. These women described telling staff that they felt they were in labour and close to giving birth, and often the staff did not believe or trust what they were saying, which left women feeling ignored and frustrated. "And then when I started to get pains, I started to tell the midwives, or the nurses that were there. And felt that they didn't actually believe me, because they put me on monitors. And where my waters had gone, the monitors don't pick up the contractions as well. So they were just saying 'no, no, no, the contractions are not realbasically [you] can't be feeling this amount of pain" (19 Mother, C/S). One woman described how she tried to tell the midwife that she was about to have her baby, but was not	
				listened to, and as a result no staff were present at the birth. <i>"The only kind of downside to it,</i>	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				was I kept saying to her, all my family have very quick labours I kept saying to her I need to push I need to push and she said I've only checked you half an hour ago, you're only 3cm and she went I'm just popping out the roomand at that point I just pushed and her head popped out, and no one was in the room apart from me and my partner"(23 Mother, V). <b>2) Staff empathy</b> 21/39 parents (15 mothers, 1 fathers and 5 mothers in a couple) mentioned this theme. Participants' experiences of their care during the birth were also influenced by the interpersonal interactions with care providers, in particular by caring and emotional support, and encouragement and reassurance. <u>Caring and emotional support</u> Twenty-one participants (54%) spoke about the 'warm and friendly' attitude of the staff. In terms of satisfaction with their experience it was important that they were treated in a pleasant manner. Two very different quotes illustrate the importance of the staff treating them as an individual and receiving personalised care. <i>"I just found our experience very good, it was very I suppose</i> <i>personal in a sense. I wasn't, I</i> didn't feel like a piece of meat. I felt	
				<i>like a humanand people were caring"</i> (3 Mother, V).	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				"But the midwives that should have shown me compassion in the beginning didn't. They were just not bothered" (30 Mother, V). Mothers spoke about the importance of a member of staff always being with them, and this generally referred to the presence of a midwife. "one of the nurses just steps out the way, holds your hand, and talks to youSo it's just nice to have someone there, talking to you and holding your hand and sort of walking you through everything instead of everyone buzzing around" (2 Mother, C/S). One mother whose baby was born with many complications and died less than 24 hours after the birth described how the caring and supportive attitude of one midwife made her experience of the birth less traumatic than it could have been. "the midwives were incredible, so during the birth,we had this amazingly lovely kind of West African um midwife who was, oh just love, like lovely, so nice so, supportive and caring and empathetic and everything that you could possibly want and just really supportive and, so the birth process itself actually, in the scheme of things was relatively easy thing then to go to because I felt very	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				supportive and she was so lovely"	
				(32 Mother, V).	
				Encouragement and reassurance	
				23/39 parents (16 mothers, 3	
				fathers and 4 mothers in a couple)	
				mentioned this theme. Twenty-three	
				participants (59%) mentioned	
				wanting encouragement and	
				reassurance from the staff. They	
				understood that staff have to be	
				realistic about the situation and the	
				prognosis for their baby, but found it	
				really helpful and encouraging if the	
				staff were able to reassure them in	
				some way.	
				"Obviously so they can't lie but	
				just kind of being positive I think	
				really really helps um 'cause you	
				know, it's it's quite terrifying not	
				having had an operation before and	
				um you know you don't quite know	
				what to expect and things so just	
				people you know just reassuring	
				you, saying nice things" (14, C/S	
				Mother).	
				"And that's what you want is	
				reassurance, that time, and so	
				yeah, it was very good" (1 Father, C/S)".	
				Encouragement from the staff also	
				influenced their experience with	
				care at birth. One woman who was	
				feeling scared and tired described	
				how a midwife encouraged her to	
				continue.	
				"Yeah we were whisked upstairs	
				and at that point I couldn't feel the	
				hand moving so I really freaked	
				nanu moving so'r really neaked	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				out. One of the midwives was there and she could feel a pulse, calm down, gave me cuddles, really calmed me down and said 'you're ok, you've got to do this, you'll get through it.' Really sort of geed me up and gave me that extra bit of strength really" (3 Mother, V). Another mother described how praise from a midwife contributed positively to her experience. "you know she was constantly praising "you, you're doing really well, just breathe through it", you know and things like that whereas you get some midwives who just aren't the nicest, so um, the fact that she was as nice as she was" (23 Mother, V). <b>3) Involvement of the father</b> 16/39 parents (7 mothers, 5 fathers and 4 mothers in a couple) mentioned this theme. It was important to the mothers that the baby's father was involved in the birth, and the extent to which staff involved them contributed to a positive or negative experience with care. For example, two women (5%) described how the staff tried to delay the caesarean section so the father could get there for the birth. Three women (8%) also discussed that they had planned their partner's involvement in the birth, and therefore appreciated any effort the staff made to make them feel more involved.	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				"He got there really quick. But they involved him, once they brought him [to the operating theatre], they told him everything while he was getting changed, what to expect." (2 Mother, C/S). "I found it reassuring that they were very happy with [husband] to be sort of looking over their shoulders and sticking his nose in and whatever, so there was no "stand over there dad" (12 Mother, C/S). Four women (10%) talked of regret that the baby's father was not able to participate more and was not encouraged to feel more involved in the birth by the staff. "Erm he found it very awkwardWhen they were being born he just sat out there, wasn't really able to participateSo he felt like a spare partwhen we were rushed to the surgical unit there were so many people in the room, he felt he didn't know where to stand. He didn't want to get in the way. He knew he needed to get therelet everyone get on with their job. But he felt in the way" (5 Mother, V). "I don't think anyone even really spoke to [the father], I mean I I'm reflecting on it now, I don't think anyone did, how was he involved, he wasn't involved at all, so yeah how are you feeling, is there anything I can do, yeah" (31 Mother, V).	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				It was also important to fathers that they were encouraged to feel involved in the birth. One of the fathers interviewed described how fathers are not normally made to feel involved in the birth, but that this time he was involved from the start. "Because normally they don't talk to you. To a woman, they say 'right we've got to do this, got to do that' so the lady knows exactly what's happening to her and why. For the bloke 'Stay down the pub and we'll give you a ring when it's all done and you can come up when it's all nice and clean, in a blanket.' But with [name of hospital], it was completely different" (2 Father, C/S). <b>4) Birth environment</b> 17/39 parents (11 mothers, 3 fathers and 3 mothers in a couple) mentioned this theme. Participants discussed features of the delivery suite and operating theatre that contributed to their positive experience at the birth. Five participants (13%) described that the radio was playing during the birth, which made the environment seem less frightening. "you know they didn't make it scary in any way at all, they were all quite happy, I think the radio was playing, which was good, you know things like that. The environment didn't seem scary" (1 Mother, C/S).	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Three women (8%) also commented on the views from the windows of the operating theatre. It helped them feel 'connected' with the outside world and help take their mind off things. <i>"it can take your mind off it a bit rather than just sort of grey walls um so yea so I mean that's very much what we remember actually and often sort of comment on it you know to people" (14 Mother, C/S).</i>	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
	Oakley 1990 Country: UK Participants : 509 women (Intervention group n=255, Control group n=254) Inclusion criteria: 1) History of a low birthweight (< 2500 gm)	Oakley 1990 Intervention group: usual antenatal care plus social support by the research midwife at her hospital. The social support intervention consisted of, at a minimum, 3 home visits - at 14, 20, and 28 weeks' gestation - plus 2 telephone contacts or brief home visits between these times. The midwife was also on-call to the mothers 24 hours/day. Semi-structured interview guides provided the basis for flexible and open-	for a further 44 journals plus monthly BioMed	Postnatal depression Intervention Group : 92/230 Control Group : 10/228 RR = 0.85 (0.69 to 1.05) Less than very satisfied with antenatal care Intervention Group: 51/945 Control Group 45/942 RR = 1.13 (0.76 to 1.67)	NICE Methodology Checklist for systematic reviews The review addresses an appropriate and clearly focused question that is relevant to the guideline review question : Yes The review collects the type of studies you consider relevant to the guideline review question : Yes The literature search is sufficiently rigorous to identify all the relevant studies: Yes Study quality is assessed and reported: Yes An adequate description of the methodology used is included, and the methods used are appropriate
Study type	baby 2) < 24 gestational weeks 3) singleton	ended communication between midwives and	Central email alerts. No language restrictions were		to the question : Yes
	pregnancy 4) fluent in English 5) attending antenatal booking clinics at 4 UK	mothers.98% of those in the intervention group had at least one home visit	applied. Data collection and analysis Trials were evaluated for		Individual studies Oakley 1990 Adequate sequence generation

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	hospitals. The sample	Control group: usual	methodological quality and		Low risk of bias: Randomization
	was socially	antenatal care.	appropriateness for		organized in balanced blocks,
Aim of the study	disadvantaged: 77%	Villar 1992	inclusion, without		stratified by centre. The allocations
	were working class,	Intervention group: aimed	consideration of their		were based on a table of random
To assess the effects of		at increasing social	results.		numbers
programs that offer	partners, and 41% were		Selection of studies		Allocation concealment
additional social	smoking on entry	stress and anxiety in	Two review authors		Low risk of bias: Enrolling midwife
support compared with	Villar 1992	-	independently assessed		telephoned the coordinating centre
routine care, for	Country : 4 centres in	4 home visits by specially	for inclusion all the		to get group assignment Blinding
pregnant women	Argentina, Brazil, Cuba	trained female social	potential studies we		Unclear risk of bias: There was no
believed at high risk for		workers or obstetrical	identified as a result of the		mention of blinding.
	Participants: 2235	nurses. The aims of the	search strategy. Any		Incomplete outcome data
	pregnant women	visits were to strengthen	disagreement was		addressed
or weigh less than 2500		the woman's social	resolved through		Low risk of bias: Medical record
gm, or both, at birth.	1115 Control Group =	network, and to provide	discussion or, if required,		data were collected on all but 2
To determine whether	1120) at risk for giving	direct emotional support	a third person was		cases. The 6-week questionnaire
	birth to a low	and health education. In	consulted.		was completed by 94% of the
was mediated by timing		addition, a special	Data extraction and		sample.One-year follow up was
	between 15-22	support office - for	management		obtained on 71% of the sample
	gestational weeks in	women to visit without	Two review authors		and 7-year follow up on 47% and
type of provider	centres in:	prior appointments or to	extracted the data using		no data from them were used in
(healthcare	Rosario,Argentina;	telephone - was available	an agreed data extraction		this review. The 1-year and 7-year
professional or lay	Pelotas, Brazil; Havana,	at each study hospital for	form. Discrepancies were		questionnaires were only mailed to
woman).	Cuba; and Mexico City.	all women in the	resolved through		those completing the 6-week
inoman).	Inclusion criteria: Risk	intervention group.90% of			questionnaire
	was defined as one or	women in the intervention	a third person was		Selective reporting
Study dates	more of the following: 1)		consulted. Data was		Low risk of bias: Satisfaction with
	previous LBW or	one home visit.	entered into RevMan and		care was only reported for the
Content reviewed as up	preterm infant 2)	Control group: standard	checked for accuracy.		intervention group and thus not
to date at 3 May 2010	previous fetal or infant	antenatal care (not	Where trial information		used in this review. All other
	death 3) age $< 184$ )	described).	was unclear, attempts		outcomes were reported for both
	body weight $< = 50$ kg,		were made to contact the		groups
Source of funding	height $< = 1.5 \text{ m}, 5$ ) low		authors of the original		Other bias
	family income according		reports for clarification		Low risk of bias: No other sources
None	to locally adapted cutoff		Assessment of risk of bias		of bias noted.
	points 6) < 3 years of		Two review authors		Villar 1992
	school 7) smoking or		independently assessed		Sequence generation
	heavy alcohol		risk of bias. Any		Low risk of bias: The Data
			nor or blas. Any		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	consumption 8)		disagreement was		Coordinating Centre produced
	residence apart from		resolved through		computer generated numbers in
	the child's father		consultation with a third assessor.		balanced blocks of 20 and stratified by centre
	Inclusion criteria				Allocation concealment Low risk of bias: A sequence of sealed
	Randomized controlled				opaque envelopes was used by a
	trials (RCTs) comparing				single investigator in each hospital to assign women to groups
	a program of additional				Blinding Low risk of bias: for all
	support during at-risk				outcomes, data collection at 36
	pregnancy by either a				weeks' gestation, postpartum in
	professional (social				hospital and at 40 days was
	worker, midwife or				blinded
	nurse) or a specially				Incomplete outcome data
	trained lay person, or				addressed
	both, in an effort to				Low risk of bias: for all outcomes,
	reduce the likelihood of				in-hospital data collection was
	preterm birth or low				done for 93% of the sample and
	birthweight; random				follow up at 40 days postpartum
	allocation to treatment				was done for 85%. Data from the
	and control				follow up at 36 weeks' gestation
	groups.'Additional				was not usable as some of the
	support' was defined as				sample had delivered by that
	some form of emotional				gestation
	support (e.g.				Selective reporting
	counseling,				Low risk of bias: All outcomes
	reassurance,				were reported.
	sympathetic listening) with or without				Other bias Low risk of bias: No other sources
	additional information or				of bias noted.
	advice, or both,				บามเสราเปลี่ยน.
	occurring during home				
	visits, clinic				
	appointments, and/or by				
	telephone.The				
	additional support could				
	also include tangible				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	assistance (e.g.				
	transportation to clinic				
	appointments,				
	assistance with the care				
	of other children at				
	home). We included				
	studies if the additional				
	support was provided				
	during pregnancy and continued until the birth				
	of the baby, or into the				
	postnatal period.				
	Exclusion criteria				
	Trials were excluded if				
	the intervention was				
	solely an educational				
	intervention or if the				
	intervention was of brief				
	duration (e.g. two to three weeks) and not				
	intended to continue				
	until the birth of the				
	baby. We also excluded				
	trials of smoking				
	cessation programs or				
	mind-body interventions				
	for pregnant women.				

## H.2 Prophylactic vaginal progesterone and prophylactic cervical cerclage

## H.2.1 Prophylactic progesterone

This section was updated and replaced in 2019. Please see the NICE website for the updated guideline.

H.2.2 Prophyla	ctic cervical	cerclage
----------------	---------------	----------

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Alfirevic,Z., Stampalija,T.,	N = 12 trials	Cervical stitch	The Cochrane Pregnancy	1. All perinatal	Risk of bias of included
Roberts,D.,		(cerclage) compared	and Childbirth Group's Trials	losses (including	studies, as assessed by the
Jorgensen,A.L., Cervical	N = 3328 women	with no cervical stitch	Register was searched in	<u>miscarriage,</u>	review authors and
stitch (cerclage) for		or any alternative	October 2011. This trial	stillbirth and	indirectness assessed by
preventing preterm birth in		preventative treatment	register contains trials	<u>neonatal deaths)</u>	NCC-WCH techincal team
singleton pregnancy,	Characteristics	(e.g. progesterone),	identified from:	a. Cerclage vs. no	Additional notes from NCC-
Cochrane Database of			<ul> <li>quarterly searches of the</li> </ul>	cerclage	WCH technical team are
Systematic Reviews, 4,	* additional information which had to	different cerclage	Cochrane Central Register of	Cerclage: 100/1196	marked with *
CD008991-, 2012	be accessed from the full text of the	protocols (history-	Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)	Control: 128/1195	None of the participants or
	trials because it was not reported in	versus ultrasound-	<ul> <li>weekly searches of</li> </ul>	RR 0.78 (95% CI	clinical staff were blinded to
Ref Id	the systematic review	versus physical exam-	MEDLINE	0.61 to 1.00)	the intervention and it was
		indicated cerclage)	<ul> <li>weekly searches of</li> </ul>	$I^2 = 0\%$	unclear in all studies whether
220799	Althuisius, 2001		EMBASE	[Fixed effect; 8 trials:	outcome assessors were
	Inclusion criteria: High risk of		- handsearches of over 30	Ezechi, 2004; Rush,	blinded.
Country/ies where the	preterm labour as diganosed by serial		journals and the proceedings	1984; MRC/RCOG,	Use of terms 'recue cerclage'
study was carried out	transvaginal ultrasonography cervical		of major conferences	1993; To, 2004;	or 'emergency cerclage' are
	length < 25mm before gestational age		<ul> <li>weekly current awareness</li> </ul>	Althuisius, 2001;	those used in the original
Various	27 weeks		alerts for a further 44 journals	Berghella, 2004;	papers.
	Exclusion criteria: Women with		plus monthly BioMed Central	Rust, 2000; Owen,	
Study type	pregnancies complicated by fetal		email alerts	2009]	Althuisius, 2001
	congenital /chromosomal anomalies,		No language restrictions were		<ul> <li>3 women lost to follow up and</li> </ul>
Systematic review of	premature rupture of membranes		applied.	- History-indicated	1 woman excluded due to
randomised controlled	(PROM), membranes bulging into the			cerclage vs. no	bulging membranes
trials	vagina or intrauterine infection in the		Data collection and	cerclage	<ul> <li>Intention-to-treat analysis</li> </ul>
	current pregnancy		<u>analysis</u>	Cerclage: 62/770	<ul> <li>Adequate allocation</li> </ul>
	Sample size: N = 67		Three review authors	Control: 77/769	concealment, unclear method

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Aim of the study	Intervention: Therapeutic cerclage		independently assessed all	RR 0.80 (95% CI	of random sequence
2	with bed rest *suture similar to		potentially eligible studies for	0.58 to 1.10)	generation
To assess whether the	McDonald		inclusion. Disagreements	l <sup>2</sup> = 0%	- 2/6 (12.5%) women in the
use of a cervical stitch in	Comparator: Bed rest only		were resolved through	[Fixed effect; 3 trials:	comparator group received
singleton pregnancies	Other details of care provided: None		discussion. Two review	Ezechi, 2004; Rush,	"rescue" cerclage
considered to be at high	given. *All women received		authors independently	1984; MRC/RCOG,	- Indirectness: none
risk of pregnancy loss	amoxicillin/clavulanic acid 1g		extracted data from included	1993]	
based on woman's history	intravenously every 6 h and		studies using a predesigned	-	Beigi, 2005
and/or ultrasound finding	metronidazole 500mg intravenously		data extraction form. Where	- One-off ultrasound-	- Unclear allocation
of short cervix and/or	every 8 h for 24 h followed by		authors provided individual	indicated cerclage	concealment and method of
physical exam improves	amoxicillin/clavulanic acid 500mg		patient data this was	vs. no cerclage	random sequence generation
subsequent obstetric care	orally every 8 h and metronidzaole		transferred to agreed forms	Cerclage: 2/26	- Unclear whether intention-to-
and fetal outcome	500mg orally every 8 h for 6 days.		by two review authors. In	Control: 3/30	treat analysis
	Women allocated to the intervention		studies that included both	RR 0.77 (95% CI	- *28/52 (54%) women in the
	group also received indomethacin		singleton and twin	0.14 to 4.25)	comparator group underwent
Study dates	suppository (100mg 2 h before and 6 h		pregnancies the review	l <sup>2</sup> = not applicable	received cerclage.
	after the operation). Women in both		authors included only data on	[Fixed effect; 1 trial:	- Indirectness: none
The search was performed	groups were restricted to 48 h bed rest		singletons. Data were	To, 2004]	
in October 2011; review	following randomisation. Management		analysed using Review		Berghella, 2004
content was assessed as	after discharge home in both groups		Manager.	- Serial ultrasound-	- Adequate allocation
up-to-date by the authors	did not include prophylactic tocolysis,			indicated cerclage in	concealment and method of
in February 2012	steroids or home uterine monitoring.		Risk of bias was assessed by	high risk for preterm	random sequence generation
	*Country: The Netherlands		the review authors according	labour vs. no	- Review authors believe
			to the following criteria, which		intention-to-treat analysis
Source of funding	Beigi, 2005		were judged to be at high, low	Cerclage: 24/253	although not clearly stated by
	Inclusion criteria: singleton		or unclear risk of bias:	Control: 37/256	study authors
University of Liverpool, UK	pregnancies with an obstetric history		- random sequence	RR 0.66 (95% CI	- *4/31 (13%) women in the
	of spontaneous midtrimester loss or		generation (selection bias)	0.41 to 1.06)	intervention arm did not
	early preterm delivery (between 15		<ul> <li>allocation concealment</li> </ul>	l <sup>2</sup> = 28%	receive cerclage following
	and 32 weeks) accompanied by		(selection bias)	[Fixed effect; 4 trials:	randomisation - 3 declined and
	painless and progressive dilatation of		- blinding of participants and	Althuisius, 2001;	1 was 4cm dilated and the
	cervix and/or PROM without preceding		personnel (performance bias)	Berghella, 2004;	cerclage could not be placed
	contractions, in the absence of other		- blinding of outcome	Rust, 2000; Owen,	- *2/30 (7%) women in the
	possible causes of midtrimester loss or		assessment (detection bias)	2009]	comparator arm underwent
	early preterm delivery (PTD) were		- incomplete outcome data		rescue cerclage.
1	included		(attrition bias)	- One-off ultrasound-	- *Unclear how many women in
	Exclusion criteria: multiple		- selective reporting (reporting	indicated cerclage in	each group received

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	pregnancies, major fetal defect,		bias)	low/unspecified risk	betamethosone, tocolytics and
	intrauterine fetal death		- other bias (not covered by		antibiotics.
	Sample size: N = 97		above criteria)	no cerclage	- Indirectness: 7% of the study
	Intervention: elective cerclage -			Cerclage: 12/147	population had a twin
	cerclage placement between 12 and		As far as possible, analyses	Control: 11/140	pregnancy (although review
	15 weeks gestation *McDonald suture		were done on an intention-to-	RR 1.01 (95% CI	authors used individual patient
	Comparator: serial transvaginal		treat basis, where women	0.46 to 2.22) I <sup>2</sup> = 23%	data for singletons only). Women with advanced cervical
	sonography (biweekly, beginning at 14 weeks gestation) of the cervix.		were analysed in the group to which they were allocated	[Fixed effect; 3 trials:	dilatation or membrane bulging
	Emergency cerclage performed if		regardless of whether they	Berghella, 2004;	in to the vagina were not
	endocervical canal length shortened to		received the allocated	Rust, 2000; To,	excluded from the study.
	20mm or less. *Cerclage performed		intervention. Heterogeneity	2004]	excluded from the etday.
	between 14 and 24 weeks		was assessed using $T^2$ , $I^2$ ,		Ezechi, 2004
	Other details of care provided:		and Chi <sup>2</sup> statistics.	b. Cerclage versus	- Unclear whether women with
	*Women in the intervention group		Heterogeneity was regarded	progesterone	multiple pregnancy were
	received prophylactic antibiotics but		as substantial if T <sup>2</sup> was	Cerclage: 14/42	included but review authors
	not tocolytics, home uterine monitoring		greater than zero and either I <sup>2</sup>	Control: 11/37	obtained individual patient date
	or prophylactic inpatient bed rest.		was greater than 30% or	RR 1.12 (0.58 to	for singletons only for analysis
	Women in the comparator group did		there was a low P value (less	2.16)	- Method of randomisation and
	not receive prophylactic tocolytics,		than 0.10) in the Chi <sup>2</sup> test for	l <sup>2</sup> = not applicable	allocation concealment not
	routine antibiotics, hospitalisation or		heterogeneity. A random-	[Fixed effect; 1 trial:	reported
	home uterine monitoring. 28/52 (54%)		effects model was used if	Keeler, 2009]	- Unclear whether intention-to-
	women in the comparator group		there was clinical or	a lliatomy indiantad	treat analysis
	underwent emergency cerclage.		statitistical heterogeneity.	c. History-indicated	- Indirectness: none detected
	*Country: Iran		Subgroup analysis	cerclage versus ultrasound-	Keeler, 2009
	Berghella, 2004		The following subgroup	indicated cerclage	- Adgeuate allocation
	Inclusion criteria: Singleton and twin		analyses were done:	History-indicated	concealment and method of
	pregnancies, high risk of preterm		- cervical stitch based on	cerclage: 14/125	random sequence generation
	delivery, *short cervix < 25mm or		previous obstetric history	Ultrasound-indicated	- Intention-to-treat analysis
	significant funnelling (> 25%) between		versus no cerclage	cerclage: 10/122	- The study authors planned to
	14+0 weeks and 23+6 weeks		- cervical stitch based on one-		recruit 160 women but stopped
	gestation (serial ultrasound; low risk		off ultrasound scan versus no	0.63 to 2.96)	the trial after 3 years of
	women identified incidentally were		cerclage	l² = not applicable	recruitment (n = 79) as interim
	also included)		- cervical stitch based serial	[Fixed effect; 1 trial:	analysis showed no difference
	Exclusion criteria: Prophylactic		ultrasound scanning of the	Simcox, 2009]	in outcome between treatment
	cerclage placed on the basis of historic		cervix in high risk for preterm		groups

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	high-risk criteria, last pregnancy         delivered at term, major fetal anomaly,         triplets or higher multiple gestations,         previous inclusion in another trial,         current drug abuse, regular         contractions that led to preterm labour         after identification of abnormal cervix         by ultrasonography         Sample size: N = 61         Intervention: Cerclage with bed rest         *cerclage placement within 3 days of         hospital admission. McDonald suture         at 14 to 24 weeks         Comparator: *Preterm labour         education, advise to begin bed rest,         with bathroom privileges, at home         Other details of care provided:         *Rescue cerclage was allowed if         cervical dilatation of ≥ 1 cm was         detected on digital examination.         Betamethasone was offered at 24         weeks for overt preterm labour or         PROM. Antibiotics and tocolytics were         left to the discretion of the obstetrician         (no further details reported)         *Country: USA         Ezechi 2004         Inclusion criteria: Nomen with         previous preterm delivery         Exclusion criteria: Not stated         Sample size: N = 81			2. Serious neonatal morbidity* a. Cerclage vs. no cerclage Cerclage: $39/407$ Control: $42/411$ RR 0.95 (95% Cl 0.63 to 1.43) $l^2 = 0\%$ [Fixed effect; 4 trials: To, 2004; Berghella, 2004; Rust, 2000; Owen, 2009] - One-off ultrasound- indicated cerclage Vs. no cerclage Cerclage: $2/26$ Control: $3/30$ RR 0.77 (95% Cl 0.14 to 4.25) $l^2$ = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: To, 2004] -Serial ultrasound- indicated cerclage in high risk for preterm labour vs. no cerclage Cerclage: $25/234$ Control: $30/241$ RR 0.84 (95% Cl 0.51 to 1.37) $l^2$ = 0%	<ul> <li>Indirectness: 4/42 (9.5%) women in the intervention group and 5/37 (13.5%) in the comparator arm underwent rescue cerclage.</li> <li>Lazar, 1984 <ul> <li>Unclear allocation concealment and method of random sequence generation</li> <li>Unclear whether intention-to- treat analysis</li> <li>Results are a first analysis following recruitment of first 500 women to decide whether to continue the trial</li> <li>Women in cerclage group were more likely to have had previous abortions. Bias largely from one of the centres of the multicentre trial, analyses excluding data from this centre showed no difference to analyses including that centre's data.</li> <li>*26/238 (11%) women in the comparator group underwent cerclage (unclear whether this was "rescue" cerclage)</li> <li>*Variation in the number of women receiving tocolytics between the treatment groups</li> <li>Indirectness: none</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	Other details of care provided: None			[Fixed effect; 3 trials: Berghella, 2004;	- Adequate allocation concealment and unclear

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	reported			Rust, 2000; Owen,	method of random sequence
	Country: Nigeria			2009]	generation
					- Intention-to-treat analysis
	<u>Keeler, 2009</u>			- One-off ultrasound-	- 2% of women were lost to
	Inclusion criteria: Women with risk				follow up
	factors (previous preterm birth, second			low/unspecified risk	- 586/647 (90.6%) women in
	trimester loss, cervical surgery, uterine				the intervention group received
	anomaly) for spontaneous PTB were			no cerclage	cerclage. 49/645 (7.6%) in the
	screened with serial transvaginal			Cerclage: 12/147	comparator group underwent
	ultrasound beginning at 16 weeks.			Control: 9/140	cerclage.
	Women at "low risk" also screened as			RR 1.40 (95% CI	- *Likely to be variation in the
	part of routine anatomical survey.			0.61 to 3.23)	care protocol between groups
	Women found to have a cervical			$l^2 = 03\%$	and centres
	length ≤ 25 mm offered enrolment			[Fixed effect; 3 trials:	- Indirectness: 2% of the study
	*between 16 and 24 weeks gestation			Berghella, 2004;	population had a twin
	Exclusion criteria: Known fetal			Rust, 2000; To,	pregnancy (although review
	chromosomal or structural anomaly,			2004]	authors use individual patient
	multiple gestation, known allergy to				data for singletons only).
	progesterone, ruptured membranes,			b. Cerclage vs.	
	vaginal bleeding, evidence of an active			progesterone	Owen, 2009
	intra-amniotic infection (diagnosed			Cerclage: 9/42	- Adequate allocation
	clinically or by amniocentesis),			Control: 7/37	concealment and method of
	prolapse of endocervical membranes			RR 1.13 (0.47 to	random sequence generation
	beyond the external cervical os,			2.74)	- Intention-to-treat analysis
	persistent uterine activity accompanied			$l^2 = not applicable$	- 138/149 (92.6%) women in
	by cervical change or an obstetrically			[Fixed effect; 1 trial:	the intervention group received
	indicated delivery			Keeler, 2009]	cerclage. 14/153 (9.2%)
	Sample size: N = 79				women in the comparator
	Intervention: McDonald cerclage at			c. History-indicated	group underwent cerclage - 10
	16 to 24 weeks			cerclage vs.	received emergency cerclage
	Comparator: Weekly intramuscular			ultrasound-	and 4 received off-protocol
	injections of 17OHP-C *until 36 weeks			indicated cerclage	cerclage.
	gestation			History-indicated	- Indirectness: none
	Other details of care provided: *At			cerclage: 7/125	
	gestational ages < 24 weeks rescue			Ultrasound-indicated	Rush, 1984
	cerclages were allowed if membranes			cerclage: 4/122	- Unclear allocation
	prolapsed beyond the level of cerclage				concealment and method of

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	in the intervention group or if membranes prolapsed beoynd the level of the external cervical os in the comparator group *Country: USA Lazar, 1984 Inclusion criteria: Women's eligibility for inclusion was assessed using a scoring system (*at each visit between 10 and 28 weeks gestation); points were given to two kinds of risk factors "permanent" (factors present before the index pregnancy) and "evolving" (factors that appeared or changed during the pregnancy). Women with a score $\geq$ 20 points at the first visit were deemed to be ineligible for the trial, as were women with a score < 9 points at the first or subsequent visits. Women were eligible as soon as a score $\geq$ 9 had been reached and they remained in the trial whether or not the score subsequently rose to $\geq$ 20 Exclusion criteria: Previous late spontaneous abortion of living fetus at 14–28 weeks, cervix torn up to the lateral cul de sac, cervix opening including inner os (1 finger width), enlargement of uterine isthmus $\geq$ 1 cm width demonstrated at hysterogram, twin pregnancies Sample size: N = 506 Intervention: Cerclage *McDonald			RR 1.71 (95% CI 0.51 to 5.69) I <sup>2</sup> = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Simcox, 2009] *as defined by the trialists. It was not clear from Cochrane review how serious neonatal morbidity is defined. See Other information for individual trial definitions of morbidity <b>3. Stillbirth</b> <b>a. Cerclage vs. no cerclage</b> Cerclage: 15/905 Control: 17/898 RR 0.89 (95% CI 0.45 to 1.75) I <sup>2</sup> = 0% [Fixed effect; 5 trials: Rush, 1984; MRC/RCOG, 1993; To, 2004; Althuisius, 2001; Berghella, 2004] - History-indicated cerclage vs no	random sequence generation - Intention-to-treat analysis - 1/98 (1%) woman found to have a dilated cervix at 18 weeks gestation in the comparator group received cerclage. 1/96 (1%) woman in the intervention group refused cerclage. - *Variation in the number of women receiving tocolytics between the two groups - Indirectness: none <b>Rust, 2000</b> - Unclear allocation concealment and method of random sequence generation - Intention-to-treat analysis - *3/31 (9.7%) women in the intervention group and 1/30 (3.3%) women in the comparator group received rescue cerclage. - Indirectness: 11% of the study population had a multiple pregnancy (although the review authors use individual patient data for singletons only). <b>Simcox, 2009</b> - Adequate allocation concealment and method of
	suture Comparator: No cerclage Other details of care provided:			<i>cerclage</i> Cerclage: 12/731 Control: 12/727	random sequence generation - Intention-to-treat analysis - 5/248 (2%) women were

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	*154/268 (57.5%) women in the			RR 1.00 (95% CI	excluded following
	intervention group and 96/238 (40.3%)			0.45 to 2.20)	randomisation
	in the comparator group received			$l^2 = 0\%$	- 3 were subsquently identified
	tocolytics (no details about antibiotic or			[Fixed effect; 2 trials:	as not fitting eligibility criteria
	steroid treatment)			Rush, 1984;	and 2 elected to terminate the
	*Country: France			MRC/RCOG, 1993]	pregnancy after detection of
					fetal anomaly
	MRC/RCOG, 1993			- One-off ultrasound-	- 9 women did not received the
	Inclusion criteria: Women whose			indicated cerclage	randomisation intervention
	obstetricians were uncertain whether			vs. no cerclage	- Significantly more women in
	to recommend cervical cerclage, most			Cerclage: 0/26	the scanning group received
	of whom had a history of early delivery			Control: 2/30	progesterone - 39% vs 25%
	or cervical surgery *latest gestation at			RR 0.23 (95% CI	- Indirectness: *decision to give
	trial entry 29 weeks			0.01 to 4.58)	a cerclage in history-indicated
	Exclusion criteria: not reported			l <sup>2</sup> = not applicable	arm was made before
	Sample size: N = 1292			[Fixed effect; 1 trial:	randomisation. Review authors
	Intervention: Cerclage as soon as			To, 2004]	state that 20% of women in
	possible *74% of obstetricians inserted				comparator arm received
	the suture in bites with no dissection,			- Serial ultrasound-	cerclage
	14% used a sub-epithelial suture with			indicated cerclage in	
	no dissection and 18% used dissection			high risk for preterm	То, 2004
	Comparator: No cerclage			labour vs. no	- Adequate allocation
	Other details of care provided: *a			cerclage	concealment and method of
	request was made to keep ancillary			Cerclage: 0/44	random sequence generation
	treatment with betamimetics and			Control: 0/38	- Intention-to-treat analysis
	bedrest to a minimum for all women,			RR 0.00 (95% CI	- 122/127 (96.1%) women in
	otherwise subsequent care was left to			0.00 to 0.00)	the intervention group received
	the clinician responsible (no further			$ ^2 = 0\%$	cerclage. 2/126 (1.6%) women
	details provided)				in the comparator group
	*Country: UK, France, Hungary,			Althuisius, 2001;	underwent cerclage
	Norway, Italy, Belgium, Zimbabwe,			Berghella, 2004]	- Indirectness: none
	South Africa, Iceland, Ireland, the				
	Netherlands, Canada			- One-off ultrasound-	Other information
	Ouror 2000			indicated cerclage in	Other information
	Owen, 2009			low/unspecified risk	Individual trial definitions of
	Inclusion criteria: Multiparous, single			for preterm labour vs.	Individual trial defintions of serious morbidity
	gestation women with at least 1 prior			no cerclage	serious morbialty

10+0 and 33+6 weeks gestation with a cervical length < 25 mm found on serial transvaginal ultrasonography       Control: 3/103       sepsis and bronchopulmo          RR 0.95 (05% CL)       0.20 to 4.59)       P= 0%       2004 pepside a soutcomes of on interest. Only one trial (To 2004) reported the numble events for each outcome of serial transvaginal ultrasonography       P= 0%       2004 pepside transvaginal ultrasonography       P= 0%       2004) reported the numble events for each outcome of serial transvaginal ultrasonography (pepside transvaginal ultrasonography inter chosen composite outcome of serial complications that would increase the risk of pretrm waternal-fetal complications that would increase the risk of pretrm birth, uterine anomalies       D. History-indicated defined serious morbidity. All other trials to 4010 memoral defined series composite outcome of series water and/or neonatal defined series composite outcome of series and and/or neonatal death         Other dotails of care provided:       Women in the comparator group could recreage for acute cervical indicated cerclage to acute cervical indicated cerclage to acute cervical insufficiency diagnosed on cilical exervical and an additional prevention became an option for study participants and an additional reador study participants and an additional progesterone on preterm birth prevention became an option for study participants and an additional random readomisation stratum was added, recreage 20/1173       Keeler, 2009       Severe morbidity: respirated tabers birth, worden weere projection of preterm birth progesterone on preterm birth star weeks was nuill. No details provided about steroid or antibiotic us	Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
provided about steroid or antibiotic use.		10+0 and 33+6 weeks gestation with a cervical length < 25 mm found on serial transvaginal ultrasonography <b>Exclusion criteria:</b> Fetal anomaly, planned history-indicated cerclage for a clinical diagnosis of cervical insufficiency, clinically significant maternal-fetal complications that would increase the risk of preterm birth, uterine anomalies <b>Sample size:</b> N = 302 <b>Intervention:</b> Cerclage *performed after 16 weeks and within 96 hours of qualifying scan, McDonald suture <b>Comparator:</b> No cerclage <b>Other details of care provided:</b> Women in the comparator group could receive a physical examination indicated cerclage for acute cervical insufficiency diagnosed on clinical examination. *Early in the trial, in response to a published trial of 17- OHP-C, progesterone for preterm birth prevention became an option for study participants and an additional randomisation stratum was added, reflecting the woman's intention to use progesterone. 117 women were randomised within the progesterone stratum - the effect of the woman's plan to use progesterone on preterm			Control: $3/103$ RR 0.95 (95% CI 0.20 to 4.59) $I^2 = 0\%$ [Fixed effect; 2 trials: Berghella, 2004; To, 2004] <b>b. History-indicated</b> <b>cerclage versus</b> <b>ultrasound-</b> <b>indicated cerclage</b> History-indicated cerclage: $1/125$ Ultrasound-indicated cerclage: $2/122$ RR 0.49 (95% CI 0.04 to 5.31) $I^2$ = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Simcox, 2009] <b>4. Neonatal deaths</b> <b>before discharge</b> <b>a. Cerclage vs. no</b> <b>cerclage</b> Cerclage: $20/1173$ Control: $27/1136$ RR 0.73 (95% CI 0.42 to 1.28) $I^2$ = 0%	interest. Only one trial (To, 2004) reported the number of events for each outcome making up their chosen composite outcome of serious morbidity. All other trials defined serious morbidity as the following: <b>Althuisius 2001</b> Admission to neonatal intensive care unit and/or neonatal death <b>Berghella, 2004</b> Composite morbidity: any of respiratory distress syndrome, intraventricular haemorrhage, necrotising enterocolitis or sepsis <b>Keeler, 2009</b> Severe morbidity: respiratory distress syndrome requiring mechanical ventilation > 24 hours, intraventriuclar haemorrhage, neonatal sepsis or necrotising enterocolitis
<b>Country:</b> USA		provided about steroid or antibiotic			Lazar, 1984;	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Rush, 1984			2001; Berghella,	ventilation, respiratory distress,
	Inclusion criteria: 2, 3, or 4 previous			2004]	necrotising enterocolitis,
	pregnancies ended spontaneously				intraventricular haemorrhage,
	before 37 completed weeks or at least			- History-indicated	sepsis, other life-threatening
	1 previous pregnancy ended			cerclage vs. no	morbidity
	spontaneously between 14 and 36			cerclage	Simony 2000
	completed weeks			Cerclage: 13/999 Control: 19/965	Simcox, 2009
	<b>Exclusion criteria:</b> > 35 years of age, smoking > 5 cig/day, cardiac disease,			RR 0.67 (95% CI	Definition of serious morbidity not clearly reported
	hypertension, diabetes, thyroid			0.33 to 1.36)	not clearly reported
	disease, recurring first trimester			$ ^2 = 0\%$	То, 2004
	abortions, multiple gestation in present			[Fixed effect; 3 trials:	Major adverse outcome before
	pregnancy, congenital uterine			Lazar, 1984; Rush,	hospital discharge:
	abnormality, uterine fibromyomata,			1984; MRC/RCOG,	bronchopulmonary dysplasia,
	previous cervical surgery - cone			1993]	intraventricular haemorrhage,
	biopsy, trachelorrhaphy, cervical				retinopathy of prematurity,
	cerclage, cervix < 2.0cm long or			- One-off ultrasound-	positive fetal blood culture
	dilated at entry			indicated cerclage	
	Sample size: N = 194			vs. no cerclage	Bronchopulmonary
	Intervention: Cervical suture - *entry			Cerclage: 2/26	<u>dysplasia</u>
	to the trial between 15 and 21 weeks			Control: 1/30	To, 2004
	gestation, McDonald cerclage			RR 2.31 (95% CI	Cerclage: 4/123 (3%)
	commonly performed day after entry to			0.22 to 24.01)	Control: 4/121 (3%)
	the trial			l <sup>2</sup> = not applicable	The review authors used as
	Comparator: No suture			[Fixed effect; 1 trial:	the denominator the number of
	Other details of care provided:			To, 2004]	women who were randomised
	*12/96 (12.5%) women in the				even though some babies
	intervention group and 8/98 (8.2%) in			- Serial ultrasound-	could not have attained the
	the comparator group received			indicated cerclage in	outcome, e.g. if there was a
	tocolytics. No details provided about			high risk for preterm	stillbirth then a baby could not
	steroid or antibiotic use.			labour vs. no	achieve the outcome of
	*Country: South Africa			<i>cerclage</i> Cerclage: 1/44	'admission to special care baby unit'.
	- Rust, 2000			Cerciage: 1/44 Control: 1/38	baby unit.
	Inclusion criteria: High or low risk			RR 0.87 (95% CI	Definitions of maternal
	women with demonstrable dilatation of			0.13 to 5.89)	<u>pyrexia</u>
	the internal os and either prolapse of			$  ^2 = 0\%$	PYTEXIA
				1 - 070	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	membranes at least 25% of the total cervical length or a distal cervical length < 2.5cm, getstational age between 16 and 24 weeks <b>Exclusion criteria:</b> Membrane prolapse beyond the external os, any fetal lethal congenital or chromosomal anomaly, clinical evidence of abruption placenta, unexplained vaginal bleeding, chorioamnionitis, persistent uterine activity accompanied by cervical change or any other contraindication for cerclage procedure			[Fixed effect; 2 trials: Althuisius, 2001; Berghella, 2004] - One-off ultrasound- indicated cerclage in low/unspecified risk for preterm labour vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 4/104 Control: 6/103 RR 0.63 (95% CI 0.18 to 2.18)   <sup>2</sup> = 0%	To 2004 Fever of 38°C or more on two occasions during antenatal hospital stay MRC/RCOG 1993 Puerperal fever of 38°C or more Rush 1984 Fever 38°C or more on at least one occasion during the puerperium
	Sample size: N = 61 Intervention: McDonald cerclage at 16 to 24 weeks Comparator: No cerclage Other details of care provided: *All women were treated as inpatients with bed rest, received 48–72 hours of			[Fixed effect; 2 trials: Berghella, 2004; To, 2004]	The review authors used individual patient data for the following studies: Althuisius, 2001; Berghella, 2004; MRC/RCOG, 1993; Rush, 1984; Rust, 2000; To, 2004
	enpiric therapy with clindamycin (900mg every 9 h) and indomethacin (100mg by rectum as a loading dose followed by 50mg orally every 6 h) and underwent amniocentesis before randomisation. Women assigned to			indicated cerclage History-indicated cerclage: 1/125 Ultrasound-indicated cerclage: 4/122 RR 0.24 (95% CI	In studies that included both singleton and multiple pregnancies, the review authors used only data on singletons
	the intervention group continued clindamycin and indomethacin for 24 h after the procedure. Women in the comparator group had withdrawal of clindamycin and indomethacin 24 h after randomisation.			0.03 to 2.15) I <sup>2</sup> = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Simcox, 2009]	Single centre trials (all others were multicentre): Beigi, 2005; Ezechi, 2004; Keeler, 2009; Rush, 1984; Rust, 2000 NB: outcome data for all
	<ul> <li>After randomisation.</li> <li>*Country: USA</li> <li><u>Simcox, 2009</u></li> <li>Inclusion criteria: Singleton</li> </ul>			5. Miscarriage a. Cerclage vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 47/1048 Control: 55/1043	NB: outcome data for all perinatal losses and serious neonatal morbidity in the study To, 2004 are the same. Individual patient data for Rust

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	pregnancy with at least 1 previous         spontaneous delivery between 16+0         and 34+0 weeks, *gestational age <			RR 0.84 (95% Cl           0.58 to 1.22)           I <sup>2</sup> = 0%           [Fixed effect; 7 trials:           Ezechi, 2004; Rush,           1984; MRC/RCOG,           1993; To, 2004;           Althuisius, 2001;           Berghella, 2004;           Rust, 2000]           - History-indicated           cerclage vs. no           cerclage           Cerclage: 39/770           Control: 45/769           RR 0.86 (95% Cl           0.57 to 1.30)           I <sup>2</sup> = 0%           [Fixed effect; 3 trials:           Ezechi, 2004; Rush,           1984; MRC/RCOG,           1993]           - One-off ultrasound-           indicated cerclage           vs. no cerclage           Cerclage: 0/26           Control: 0/30           RR 0.00 (95% Cl           0.00 to 0.00)           I <sup>2</sup> = not applicable           [Fixed effect; 1 trial:           To 2004]           - Serial ultrasound-	2000 are double the study population reported in the published paper (127 and 61, respectively)
				indicated cerclage in	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	To, 2004Inclusion criteria: Singletonpregnancy, cervical length ≤ 15 mm insingle ultrasound scan, gestationalage 22–24 weeksExclusion criteria: Major fetalabnormalities, painful regular uterinecontractions, history of rupturedmembranes, cervical cerclage in situ,dilated cervix found duringtransvaginal ultrasonographySample size: N = 253Intervention: Shirodkar cerclageComparator: No cerclageOther details of care provided: *Allwomen were given prophylacticcorticosteroids (two doses ofdexmethasone, 12 mg intramuscularly,12 h apart) at 26–28 weeks gestation.No other interventions were routinelyrecommended (tocolytics, antibioticsor bed rest). Women assigned tointervention group received a singledose of intravenous erythromycin(500mg) intraoperatively.Country: UK, Brazil, South Africa,Slovenia, Greece, Chile			high risk for preterm labour vs. no cerclage Cerclage: $6/105$ Control: $9/104$ RR 0.65 (95% CI 0.25 to 1.66) $l^2 = 0\%$ [Fixed effect; 3 trials: Althuisius, 2001; Berghella, 2004; Rust, 2000] - One-off ultrasound- indicated cerclage in low/unspecified risk for preterm labour vs. no cerclage Cerclage: $2/147$ Control: $1/140$ RR 1.72 (95% CI 0.16 to 18.22) $l^2 = 0\%$ [Fixed effect; 3 trials: Berghella, 2004; Rust, 2000; To, 2004]	
	Inclusion criteria Randomised trials comparing cervical stitch in singleton pregnancies considered to be at high risk of pregnancy loss			b. Cerclage versus progesterone Cerclage: 5/42 Control: 3/37 RR 1.47 (0.38 to 5.73) I <sup>2</sup> = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Keeler, 2009]	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Exclusion criteria Cross-over trials and quasi- randomised studies Multiple pregnancy			c. History-indicated cerclage versus ultrasound- indicated cerclage History-indicated cerclage: 16/170 Ultrasound-indicated cerclage: 9/174 RR 1.71 (95% Cl 0.55 to 5.30) $l^2 = 46\%$ [Random effect; 2 trials: Beigi, 2005; Simcox, 2009] 6. Preterm birth before 37 completed weeks a. Cerclage vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 389/1464 Control: 480/1434 RR 0.80 (95% Cl 0.69 to 0.95) $l^2 = 39\%$ [Random effects; 9 trials: Ezechi, 2004; Lazar, 1984; Rush, 1984; MRC/RCOG, 1993; To, 2004; Althuisius, 2001; Berghella, 2004; Rust, 2000; Owen, 2009]	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				<ul> <li><i>History-indicated</i> <i>cerclage vs. no</i> <i>cerclage</i></li> <li>Cerclage: 215/1038</li> <li>Control: 249/1007</li> <li>RR 0.86 (95% CI</li> <li>0.59 to 1.27)</li> <li>I<sup>2</sup> = 62%</li> <li>[Random effects; 3 trials: Ezechi, 2004; Lazar, 1984; Rush, 1984; MRC/RCOG, 1993]</li> <li><i>One-off ultrasound- indicated cerclage</i></li> <li><i>vs. no cerclage</i></li> <li>Cerclage: 9/26</li> <li>Control: 19/30</li> <li>RR 0.55 (95% CI</li> <li>0.30 to 0.99)</li> <li>I<sup>2</sup> = not applicable</li> <li>[Random effects; 1</li> </ul>	
				- Serial ultrasound- indicated cerclage in high risk for preterm labour vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 110/253 Control: 144/257 RR 0.78 (95% Cl 0.60 to 1.02) I <sup>2</sup> = 38% [Random effects; 4 trials: Althuisius,	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				2001; Berghella, 2004; Rust, 2000; Owen, 2009] - One-off ultrasound- indicated cerclage in low/unspecified risk for preterm labour vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 55/147 Control: 68/140	
				RR 0.80 (95% CI 0.55 to 1.16) I <sup>2</sup> = 31% [Random effects; 3 trials: Berghella, 2004; Rust, 2000; To, 2004] <b>b. Cerclage versus</b>	
				progesterone Cerclage: 22/42 Control: 22/37 RR 0.88 (0.60 to 1.30) I <sup>2</sup> = not applicable [Fixed effects; 1 trial: Keeler, 2009]	
				c. History-indicated cerclage versus ultrasound- indicated cerclage History-indicated cerclage: 5/45 Ultrasound-indicated cerclage: 8/52	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				RR 0.72 (95% CI 0.25 to 2.05) $I^2$ = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Beigi, 2005]	
				7. Preterm delivery before 34 completed weeks a. Cerclage versus no cerclage Cerclage: 210/1196 Control: 277/1196	
				RR 0.79 (95% CI 0.68 to 0.93) I <sup>2</sup> = 0% [Random effects; 8 trials: Ezechi, 2004; Rush, 1984;	
				MRC/RCOG, 1993; To, 2004; Althuisius, 2001; Berghella, 2004; Rust, 2000; Owen, 2009] - <i>History-indicated</i>	
				<i>cerclage vs. no</i> <i>cerclage</i> Cerclage: 106/770 Control: 138/769 RR 0.76 (95% Cl 0.40 to 1.46)	
				I <sup>2</sup> = 57% [Random effects; 3 trials: Ezechi, 2004; Rush, 1984; MRC/RCOG, 1993]	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			<ul> <li>One-off ultrasound- indicated cerclage</li> <li>vs. no cerclage</li> <li>Cerclage: 6/26</li> <li>Control: 11/30</li> <li>RR 0.63 (95% CI</li> <li>0.27 to 1.46)</li> <li>I<sup>2</sup> = not applicable</li> <li>[Random effects; 1</li> <li>trial: To, 2004]</li> <li>Serial ultrasound- indicated cerclage in high risk for preterm</li> <li>labour vs. no</li> <li>cerclage</li> <li>Cerclage: 65/253</li> <li>Control: 90/257</li> <li>RR 0.77 (95% CI</li> <li>0.55 to 1.10)</li> <li>I<sup>2</sup> = 23%</li> <li>[Random effects; 4</li> <li>trials: Althuisius, 2001; Berghella, 2004; Rust, 2000;</li> <li>Owen, 2009]</li> </ul>	
			- One-off ultrasound- indicated cerclage in low/unspecified risk for preterm labour vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 33/147 Control: 38/140	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				RR 0.82 (95% Cl 0.55 to 1.22) l <sup>2</sup> = 0% [Random effectst; 3 trials: Berghella, 2004; Rust, 2000; To, 2004]	
				b. History-indicated cerclage versus ultrasound- indicated cerclage History-indicated cerclage: 19/125 Ultrasound-indicated cerclage: 18/122 RR 1.03 (95% CI 0.57 to 1.87) I <sup>2</sup> = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Simcox, 2009]	
				8. Preterm birth before 28 completed weeks a. Cerclage vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 118/1196 Control: 148/1196 RR 0.80 (95% CI 0.64 to 1.00) $I^2 = 0\%$ [Fixed effect; 8 trials: Ezechi, 2004; Rush, 1984; MRC/RCOG, 1993; To, 2004; Althuisius, 2001;	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Berghella, 2004; Rust, 2000; Owen, 2009]	
				- <i>History-indicated</i> <i>cerclage vs no</i> <i>cerclage</i> Cerclage: 60/770 Control: 73/769 RR 0.82 (95% CI 0.59 to 1.13) I <sup>2</sup> = 0% [Fixed effect; 3 trials: Ezechi, 2004; Rush, 1984; MRC/RCOG, 1993]	
				- One-off ultrasound- indicated cerclage vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 3/26 Control: 5/30 RR 0.69 (95% CI 0.18 to 2.62) I <sup>2</sup> = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: To, 2004]	
				- Serial ultrasound- indicated cerclage in high risk for preterm labour vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 36/253 Control: 52/257	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				RR 0.71 (95% Cl 0.48 to 1.04) $l^2 = 0\%$ [Fixed effect; 4 trials: Althuisius, 2001; Berghella, 2004; Rust, 2000; Owen, 2009] - One-off ultrasound- indicated cerclage in low/unspecified risk for preterm labour vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 19/147 Control: 18/140 RR 1.01 (95% Cl 0.55 to 1.83) $l^2 = 0\%$ [Fixed effect; 3 trials: Berghella, 2004; Rust, 2000; To, 2004]	
				b. Cerclage versus progesterone Cerclage: 10/42 Control: 7/37 RR 1.26 (0.53 to 2.97) I <sup>2</sup> = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Keeler, 2009] c. History-indicated cerclage versus ultrasound-	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				indicated cerclage History-indicated cerclage: 14/125 Ultrasound-indicated cerclage: 10/122 RR 1.37 (95% Cl 0.63 to 2.96) I <sup>2</sup> = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Simcox, 2009] 9. Baby discharged home healthy a. History-indicated cerclage vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 85/96 Control: 88/98 RR 0.99 (95% Cl 0.89 to 1.09) I <sup>2</sup> = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Rush, 1984]	
				b. Cerclage versus progesterone Cerclage: 28/42 Control: 21/37 RR 1.17 (0.82 to 1.67) I <sup>2</sup> = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Keeler, 2009] <u>10. Serious</u> respiratory morbidity	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			(respiratory distress syndrome [RDS] or oxygen dependency) a. Cerclage vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 26/418 Control: 24/421 RR 1.11 (95% CI 0.66 to 1.88) I <sup>2</sup> = 0% [Fixed effect; 5 trials: Rush, 1984; To, 2004; Althuisius, 2001; Berghella, 2004; Owen, 2009] - <i>History-indicated</i> cerclage vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 3/96 Control: 1/98 RR 3.06 (95% CI 0.32 to 28.93) I <sup>2</sup> = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Rush, 1984] - Serial ultrasound- indicated cerclage in high risk for preterm	
			<i>labour vs. no cerclage</i> Cerclage: 18/192 Control: 18/190	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				RR 0.98(95% CI 0.53 to 1.81) $ ^2 = 0\%$ [Fixed effect; 3 trials: Althuisius, 2001; Berghella, 2004; Owen, 2009] - One-off ultrasound- indicated cerclage in low/unspecified risk for preterm labour vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 4/104 Control: 3/103 RR 1.63 (95% CI 0.39 to 6.86) $ ^2 = 0\%$ [Fixed effect; 2 trials: Berghella, 2004; To, 2004]	
				b. History-indicated cerclage versus ultrasound- indicated cerclage History-indicated cerclage: 3/125 Ultrasound-indicated cerclage: 2/122 RR 1.46 (95% CI 0.25 to 8.61) I <sup>2</sup> = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Simcox, 2009]	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			11. Necrotising entercolitisa. Cerclagea. Cerclage vs. no cerclageCerclage: $3/195$ Control: $2/177$ 	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				RR 0.00 (95% CI 0.00 to 0.00) I <sup>2</sup> = 0% [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Berghella, 2004]	
				12. Apgar < 7 at 5 minutes a. Serial ultrasound- indicated cerclage in high risk for preterm labour vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 19/148 Control: 29/153 RR 0.68 (95% Cl 0.40 to 1.15) I <sup>2</sup> = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Owen, 2009]	
				13. Caesarean           section           a. Cerclage vs. no           cerclage           Cerclage: 257/1425           Control: 212/1392           RR 1.19 (95% Cl           1.01 to 1.40)           l² = 0%           [Fixed effect; 8 trials:           Lazar, 1984; Rush,           1984; MRC/RCOG,           1993; To, 2004;           Althuisius, 2001;           Berghella, 2004;	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Rust, 2000; Owen, 2009] - History-indicated cerclage vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 143/999 Control: 115/965 RR 1.21 (95% CI 0.96 to 1.52) $ ^2 = 0\%$ [Fixed effect; 3 trials: Lazar, 1984; Rush, 1984; MRC/RCOG, 1993] - One-off ultrasound- indicated cerclage vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 7/26 Control: 6/30 RR 1.35 (95% CI 0.52 to 3.50) $ ^2 =$ not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: To, 2004] - Serial ultrasound- indicated cerclage in high risk for preterm labour vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 70/253 Control: 65/257 RR 1.10 (95% CI 0.82 to 1.46) $ ^2 = 0\%$	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				[Fixed effect; 4 trials: Althuisius, 2001; Berghella, 2004; Rust, 2000; Owen, 2009]	
				- One-off ultrasound- indicated cerclage in low/unspecified risk for preterm labour vs. no cerclage Cerclage: $37/147$ Control: $26/140$ RR 1.31 (95% CI 0.84 to 2.04) I <sup>2</sup> = 0% [Fixed effect; 3 trials: Berghella, 2004; Rust, 2000; To, 2004]	
				14. Maternal side effects (vaginal discharge, bleeding, pyrexia not requiring antibiotics) a. Cerclage vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 83/491 Control: 49/462 RR 2.25 (95% Cl 0.89 to 5.69) l <sup>2</sup> = 66% [Random effects; 3 trials: Lazar, 1984;	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			Rush, 1984; To, 2004]	
			- History-indicated cerclage vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 71/364 Control: 47/336 RR 1.57 (95% CI 0.76 to 3.24) I <sup>2</sup> = 48% [Random effects; 2 trials: Lazar, 1984; Rush, 1984]	
			- One-off ultrasound- indicated cerclage in low/unspecified risk for preterm labour vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 12/127 Control: 2/126 RR 5.95 (95% CI 1.36 to 26.06) I <sup>2</sup> = not applicable [Random effects; 1 trial: To, 2004]	
			b. History-indicated cerclage versus ultrasound- indicated cerclage History-indicated cerclage: 6/122 Ultrasound-indicated cerclage: 11/121	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				RR 0.54 (95% CI 0.21 to 1.42) $I^2$ = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Simcox, 2009]	
				<b>15. Maternal</b> <b>infection requiring</b> <b>intervention</b> <b>a. History-indicated</b> <b>cerclage versus</b> <b>ultrasound-</b> <b>indicated cerclage</b> History-indicated cerclage: 0/125 Ultrasound-indicated cerclage: 1/122 RR 0.33 (95% CI 0.01 to 7.91) I <sup>2</sup> = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Simcox, 2009]	
				16. Composite outcome of perinatal deaths plus serious neonatal morbidity a. Cerclage vs no cerclage Cerclage: $67/407$ Control: $83/410$ RR $0.82$ (95% CI $0.61$ to $1.09$ ) $I^2 = 0\%$ [Fixed effect; 4 trials: To, 2004; Berghella,	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			2004; Rust, 2000; Owen, 2009] - One-off ultrasound- indicated cerclage vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 3/26 Control: 6/30 RR 0.58 (95% CI	
			0.16 to 2.08) I <sup>2</sup> = not applicable [Fixed effect; 1 trial: To, 2004] - Serial ultrasound- indicated cerclage in high risk for preterm labour vs. no	
			<i>cerclage</i> Cerclage: 42/234 Control: 57/240 RR 0.75 (95% CI 0.53 to 1.07) I <sup>2</sup> = 0% [Fixed effect; 3 trials: Berghella, 2004; Rust, 2000; Owen,	
			2009] - One-off ultrasound- indicated cerclage in low/unspecified risk for preterm labour vs. no cerclage Cerclage: 22/147 Control: 20/140	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				RR 1.08 (95% CI 0.61 to 1.89) I <sup>2</sup> = 0% [Fixed effect; 3 trials: Berghella, 2004; Rust, 2000; To, 2004]	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Berghella,V., Rafael,T.J., Szychowski,J.M., Rust,O.A., Owen,J., Cerclage for short cervix on ultrasonography in women with singleton gestations and previous preterm birth: a meta- analysis, Obstetrics and Gynecology, 117, 663- 671, 2011 <b>Ref Id</b> 222462 <b>Country/ies where the study was carried out</b> Various <b>Study type</b> Systematic review of randomised controlled	N = 5 trials N = 504 women Characteristics Details of included studies not reported in the review. All studies included in this review were also included in Alfirevic, Z., et al. Cervical stitch (cerclage) for preventing preterm birth in singleton pregnancy. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 4, CD008991-, 2012, which is reported above in this evidence table. Information is repeated here for ease of reference. [*information taken from full text of trial because it was not reported in systematic review] <u>Althuisius, 2001</u> Inclusion criteria: High risk of preterm labour as diganosed by serial transvaginal ultrasonography cervical length < 25mm before gestational age 27 weeks	Cervical cerclage compared with no cerclage	MEDLINE, PUBMED, EMBASE and the Cochrane Library were searched from 1966 to March 2010. No language restrictions were applied. Data extraction was performed by two independent investigators. Differences were resolved by common review of the data. Primary authors of each included trial provided raw data, including all women randomised, so that patient- level meta-analysis could be performed. Two independent anaylses of the primary data files were performed using Review Manager. The two analyses were compared and any difference resolved by review of individual patient data. All anaylses maintained intention-to-treat group assignment of the original	RR 0.70 (95% Cl 0.58 to 0.83) I <sup>2</sup> = not reported [Fixed effect: 5 trials; Althuisius 2001; Berghella 2004; Owen 2009; Rust 2001; To 2004] <u>Preterm birth &lt;35</u> <u>weeks</u> Cerclage: 105/254 No cerclage: 71/250	All included studies were judged to have an adequate method of randomisation and allocation concealment and no serious risk of bias. NCC-WCH technical team did not identify indirectness in any of the included studies. <b>Other information</b> 404/908 women (44.5%) were excluded from this review: no previous preterm birth = 342, multiple pregnancy = 55, cervical length >25mm = 5, cervical length <25 mm between 24 and 27 weeks gestation = 2 Individual patient data from each of the included studies was used. One study provided data for more women randomised than were
trials	Exclusion criteria: Women with pregnancies complicated by fetal congenital /chromosomal anomalies,		trials. In tests of heterogeneity, P<0.10 was considered significant. Where	<u>weeks</u> Cerclage: 48/250	included in the original publication.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Aim of the study To review randomised trials on cerclage for prevention of preterm birth in asymptomatic singleton gestations with both previous preterm birth and short cervical length on second-trimester transvaginal ultrasonography Study dates The search was performed in March 2010 Source of funding Not reported	premature rupture of membranes (PROM), membranes bulging into the vagina or intrauterine infection in the current pregnancy <b>Sample size:</b> N = 67 <b>Intervention:</b> Therapeutic cerclage with bed rest <b>Comparator:</b> Bed rest only <b>Other details of care provided:</b> None given. *All women received amoxicillin/clavulanic acid 1g intravenously every 6 h and metronidazole 500mg intravenously every 8 h for 24 h followed by amoxicillin/clavulanic acid 500mg orally every 8 h and metronidzaole 500mg orally every 8 h for 6 days. Women allocated to the intervention group also received indomethacin suppository (100mg 2 h before and 6 h after the operation). Women in both groups were restricted to 48 h bed rest following randomisation. Management after discharge home in both groups did not include prophylactic tocolysis, steroids or home uterine monitoring. * <b>Country:</b> The Netherlands <b>Berghella, 2004</b> <b>Inclusion criteria:</b> Singleton and twin		there was no significant heterogeneity, a fixed-effect model was used, otherwise a random-effects model was used. Subgroup analyses The following subgroup analyses were planned: - cervical length <25mm - cervical length 16 - 24.9mm - cervical length ≤15.9mm - cervical length <25mm at <20 weeks gestation - previous preterm birth at <24 weeks gestation	RR 0.66 (95% CI 0.48 to 0.91) I <sup>2</sup> = not reported [Fixed effect: 5 trials; Althuisius 2001; Berghella 2004; Owen 2009; Rust 2001; To 2004] <b>Preterm birth &lt;28</b> weeks Cerclage: 32/250 No cerclage: 51/254 RR 0.64 (95% CI 0.43 to 0.96) I <sup>2</sup> = not reported [Fixed effect: 5 trials; Althuisius 2001; Berghella 2004; Owen 2009; Rust 2001; To 2004] <b>Preterm birth &lt;24</b> weeks Cerclage: 13/250 No cerclage: 28/254 RR 0.48 (95% CI 0.26 to 0.90) I <sup>2</sup> = not reported	The definition of composite neonatal morbidity is unclear. Where the original trialists have defined their measure of neonatal morbidity it is reported below, however it is unclear whether the review authors used the same definitions in their analysis. <b>Althuisius 2001</b> Neontatal morbidity: admission to neonatal intensive care unit and/or neonatal death <b>Berghella, 2004</b> Composite morbidity: any of respiratory distress syndrome, intraventricular haemorrhage, necrotising enterocolitis or sepsis <b>Owen, 2009</b> Definition of serious morbidity not clearly reported <b>Rust, 2000</b> Serious morbidity: mechanical ventilation, respiratory distress, necrotising enterocolitis, intraventricular haemorrhage, sepsis, other life-threatening morbidity
	pregnancies, high risk of preterm delivery, *short cervix < 25mm or significant funnelling (> 25%) between 14+0 weeks and 23+6 weeks gestation (serial ultrasound; low risk women identified incidentally were also included) <b>Exclusion criteria:</b> Prophylactic			[Fixed effect: 5 trials; Althuisius 2001; Berghella 2004; Owen 2009; Rust 2001; To 2004] <u>Perinatal mortality</u> Cerclage: 22/250 No cerclage: 35/254	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	cerclage placed on the basis of historic high-risk criteria, last pregnancy delivered at term, major fetal anomaly, triplets or higher multiple gestations, previous inclusion in another trial, current drug abuse, regular contractions that led to preterm labour after identification of abnormal cervix by ultrasonography <b>Sample size:</b> N = 61 <b>Intervention:</b> Cerclage with bed rest *cerclage placement within 3 days of hospital admission <b>Comparator:</b> *Preterm labour education, advise to begin bed rest, with bathroom privileges, at home <b>Other details of care provided:</b> *Rescue cerclage was allowed if cervical dilatation of ≥ 1 cm was detected on digital examination. Betamethasone was offered at 24 weeks for overt preterm labour or PROM. Antibiotics and tocolytics were left to the discretion of the obstetrician (no further details reported) *Country: USA <u>Owen, 2009</u> <b>Inclusion criteria:</b> Multiparous, single gestation women with at least 1 prior spontaneous preterm birth between 10+0 and 33+6 weeks gestation with a cervical length < 25 mm found on serial transvaginal ultrasonography <b>Exclusion criteria:</b> Fetal anomaly, planned history-indicated cerclage for a clinical diagnosis of cervical insufficiency, clinically significant			RR 0.65 (95% CI 0.40 to 1.07) $I^2$ = not reported [Fixed effect: 5 trials; Althuisius 2001; Berghella 2004; Owen 2009; Rust 2001; To 2004] <u>Composite</u> <u>perinatal mortality</u> <u>and morbidity</u> Cerclage: 39/250 No cerclage: 63/254 RR 0.64 (95% CI 0.45 to 0.91) $I^2$ = 0% [Fixed effect: 5 trials; Althuisius 2001; Berghella 2004; Owen 2009; Rust 2001; To 2004]	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	maternal-fetal complications that				
	would increase the risk of preterm				
	birth, uterine anomalies				
	Sample size: N = 302				
	Intervention: Cerclage *performed				
	within 96 hours of qualifying scan				
	Comparator: No cerclage				
	Other details of care provided:				
	Women in the comparator group could receive a physical examination				
	indicated cerclage for acute cervical				
	insufficiency diagnosed on clinical				
	examination. *Early in the trial, in				
	response to a published trial of 17-				
	OHP-C, progesterone for preterm birth				
	prevention became an option for study				
	participants and an additional				
	randomisation stratum was added,				
	reflecting the woman's intention to use				
	progesterone. 117 women were				
	randomised within the progesterone stratum - the effect of the woman's				
	plan to use progesterone on preterm				
	birth < 35 weeks was null. No details				
	provided about steroid or antibiotic				
	use.				
	Country: USA				
	<u>Rust, 2000</u>				
	Inclusion criteria: High or low risk				
	women with demonstrable dilatation of				
	the internal os and either prolapse of				
	membranes at least 25% of the total				
	cervical length or a distal cervical				
	length < 2.5cm, getstational age between 16 and 24 weeks				
	Exclusion criteria: Membrane				
	prolapse beyond the external os, any				

fetal lethal congenital or chromosomal anomaly, clinical evidence of abruption placenta, unexplained vaginal bleeding, chorioamnionitis, persistent uterine activity accompanied by cervical change or any other contraindication for cerclage procedure         Sample size: N = 61         Intervention: McDonald cerclage         Comparator: No cerclage         Other details of care provided: *All women were treated as inpatients with bed rest, received 48–72 hours of enpitic therapy with clindamycin (900mg every 9 h) and indomethacin (100mg by rectur as a loading dose followed by 50mg orally every 6 h) and underwent amniccentesis before randomisation. Women assigned to the intervention group continued clindamycin and indomethacin for 24 h after tranomisation. "Country: USA To.2004 Inclusion criteria: Singleton pregnancy, cervical length ≤ 15 mm in single ultrasound scan, gestational age 22–24 weeks Exclusion criteria: Singleton pregnancy, cervical length ≤ 15 mm in single ultrasound scan, gestational age 22–24 weeks	Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
membranes, cervical cerclage in situ,		anomaly, clinical evidence of abruption placenta, unexplained vaginal bleeding, chorioamnionitis, persistent uterine activity accompanied by cervical change or any other contraindication for cerclage procedure Sample size: N = 61 Intervention: McDonald cerclage Comparator: No cerclage Other details of care provided: *All women were treated as inpatients with bed rest, received 48–72 hours of enpiric therapy with clindamycin (900mg every 9 h) and indomethacin (100mg by rectum as a loading dose followed by 50mg orally every 6 h) and underwent amniocentesis before randomisation. Women assigned to the intervention group continued clindamycin and indomethacin for 24 h after the procedure. Women in the comparator group had withdrawal of clindamycin and indomethacin 24 h after randomisation. *Country: USA To, 2004 Inclusion criteria: Singleton pregnancy, cervical length ≤ 15 mm in single ultrasound scan, gestational age 22–24 weeks Exclusion criteria: Major fetal abnormalities, painful regular uterine contractions, history of ruptured				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	transvaginal ultrasonography Sample size: N = 253 Intervention: Shirodkar cerclage Comparator: No cerclage Other details of care provided: *All women were given prophylactic corticosteroids (two doses of dexmethasone, 12 mg intramuscularly, 12 h apart) at 26–28 weeks gestation. No other interventions were routinely recommended (tocolytics, antibiotics or bed rest). Women assigned to intervention group received a single dose of intravenous erythromycin (500mg) intraoperatively. Country: UK, Brazil, South Africa, Slovenia, Greece, Chile				
	Inclusion criteria Randomised trials of women with singleton gestations, previous spontaneous preterm birth, and a short cervical length in the second trimester randomised to cerclage or no cerclage				
	Exclusion criteria Cerclage trials evaluating history- indicated cerclage (placed for the sole indication of poor obstetrical history) or cerclage indicated on physical examination (placed for second trimester cervical dilatation detected on physical examination).				

## H.2.2.1 Health economics

Bibliographic details	Interventions and comparisons	Data Sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
Full citationPizzi,L.T.,Seligman,N.S.,Baxter,J.K.,Jutkowitz,E.,Berghella,V., Cost andcost effectiveness ofvaginal progesteronegel in reducing pretermbirth: an economicanalysis of thePREGNANT trial,Pharmacoeconomics,32, 467-478, 2014Ref Id323625Economic study typeCost effectivenessanalysisCountry(ies) wherethe study was doneUSAPerspective & CostYearPerspective: UShealthcare payer	Study dates         Not stated         Intervention         Vaginal Progesterone         (VP)         Comparison(s)         Placebo	Source of effectiveness data Randomised multicenter controlled trial (RCT): PREGNANT. The trial was based in 44 sites in ten countries. Source of cost data Services costed include cervical length screening, VP gel, antenatal hospitalization, cerclage, maternal and neonatal costs. Assessment of costs based on published reimbursement sources and scientific literature. Published sources include Current Procedural Terminology, wholesale prices for progesterone, Medicare reimbursement rates, published literature Luke 1996, St John 2000, Institute of Medicine 2007.	Time horizon and discount rate Time Horizon: NA Discount Rate: NA Method of eliciting health valuations (if applicable) NA Modelling approach A Decision Tree model was used to simulate the outcomes associated with each ofthe different treatments to predict costs and ageof gestation.	Cost per patient per alternative Per mother VP USD 23,079 Placebo USD 36,436 Effectiveness per patient per alternative Incremental benefit for VP as 0.0426 preterm births averted Incremental cost- effectiveness VP dominates Other reporting of results Uncertainty Probabilistic sensitivity analysis	Limitations RCTs are are based on multiple countries so applying US costs models difficult. Costs include the cost of testing for a short cervix and cervical cerclage in some instances. Some of the cost data was based published evidence that studied twins. Other information

Cost Year: 2011 <b>Source of funding</b> Watson Pharmaceuticals (now Actavis)		Other data sources e.g. transition probabilities			
Full citation Cahill,A.G., Odibo,A.O., Caughey,A.B., Stamilio,D.M., Hassan,S.S., Macones,G.A., Romero,R., Universal cervical length screening and treatment with vaginal progesterone to prevent preterm birth: a decision and economic analysis, American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 202, 548- 548, 2010 <b>Ref Id</b> 281888 <b>Economic study type</b> Cost effectiveness analysis	Study dates Published in June 2010. Study dates not stated Intervention Vaginal progesterone Comparison(s) No treatment	Source of effectiveness data Published evidence Source of cost data Published evidence. Underlying assumptions and scope was not stated. Other data sources e.g. transition probabilities	Time horizon and discount rate Time Horizon: NA Discount Rate: NA Method of eliciting health valuations (if applicable) NA Modelling approach Decision Analytic Cost-Utility analysis	Cost per patient per alternative Based on a population of 4 million deliveries: Vaginal progesterone: USD 333.0 mln No treatment: USD 462.4 mln Effectiveness per patient per alternative Preterm births prevented Vaginal progesterone: 95,920 No treatment: 0 Incremental cost- effectiveness Vaginal progesterone dominates Other reporting of results Uncertainty	Limitations Absence of detail regarding cost build up, specific sources of data, perspective and study dates. There was also no list of references. As such claims in this study cannot be verified. Data in the report is based on single values. There are no confidence intervals. Other information

Country(ies) where		Probabilistic sensitivity	
the study was done		analysis. A single value	
USA		was reported. Limited	
		applicability to	
Perspective & Cost Year		outcomeof interest.	
Perspective: Not Stated			
Cost Year: Not Stated			
Source of funding			
The Perinatology			
Research Branch,			
Division of Intramural			
Research, Eunice			
Kennedy Shriver			
National Institute of			
Child Health and			
Human Development,			
NIH/DHHS			

## H.3 Diagnosing preterm prelabour rupture of membranes (P-PROM)

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests		Outcomes and results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Jain,K., Morris,P.G., A clinical study to evaluate the usefulness of the MAST test in diagnosing pre-labour rupture of membranes, Journal of		<u>Test</u> MAST test: detects Insulin-like growth	,	True positive n = 4	- Unclear who performed the test and whether he was blinded to the

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 18, 33-36, 1998 Ref Id 257993 Country/ies where the study was carried out UK Study type Nested case-control study Aim of the study To evaluate the efficiency of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1 as a marker for the detection of pre-labour rupture of membranes Study dates Not specified Source of funding Not specified	Characteristics Not specified Inclusion Criteria - Between 24 and 42 weeks' gestation - With a history suggestive of P-PROM Exclusion Criteria - Women with clinically obvious flooding of liquor	in amniotic fluid <u>Reference test/Gold</u> <u>standard</u> Not clearly specified. Might have used following observation: - Pooling of the liquor in the posterior fornix in speculum examination - Intact amniotic sac at birth	to 42 weeks, n = 34 women had gestational age 24 to 36 weeks and n = 66 women were between 37 to 42 weeks gestation. . A routine admission history was taken, queries made on duration of membrane rupture, associated vaginal bleeding and timing of recent sexual intercourse. Routine examination performed and observation recorded. A sterile speculum was then performed and the following observation and recording were then made: pooling of the liquor in the posterior fornix, the amine test to detect the presence of the bacterial vaginosis, a high vaginal swab (HVS) for culture and sensitivity, the MAST test to detect the presence of IGFBP-1 to confirm or rolling out the history of rupture of membranes. To conduct the MAST test sample were taken from vaginal fluid by a sterile dacron swab when performing the speculum examination. In order to saturate the swab with vaginal fluid or discharge the swap was holding it in situ for 10- 15 seconds. The dipstick is then removed, place on a level surface and the result interpreted after 5 minutes. Women with a negative result were discharged home and those with positive result were managed according to the routine practice with regard to diagnosis of PROM.	PPV(Positive predictive value): 75% NPV(negative predictive value):100% <u>MAST test 24 to 42</u> <u>weeks n = 100</u> True positive n = 25 - n = 20/25 liquor was	Unclear Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
				intact amniotic sac.	Did all patients receive a reference standard? Yes Did patients receive the same reference standard? Unclear Were all patients included in the analysis? No
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Tagore,S., Kwek,K., Comparative analysis of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1 (IGFBP-1), placental alpha-microglobulin-1 (PAMG-1) and nitrazine test to diagnose premature rupture of membranes in pregnancy, Journal of Perinatal Medicine, 38, 609-612, 2010 <b>Ref Id</b>	n = 100 <b>Characteristics</b> - Gestation 17 to 37 weeks - 6/100 women had twin pregnancy - 6/100 women were at < 24 weeks - 41/100 women	Reference test/Gold	Study performed in a tertiary referral centre, n = 100 consecutive women between 17 and 37 weeks who presented to labour ward with sign and symptoms of PROM were recruited. A confirmed diagnosis (gold standard) was based on the presence of three or more of the following conditions: pooling of the clear fluid during speculum examination, oligohydraminous on ultrasound, sign and symptoms of chorioamnionitis and preterm birth within a week of presentation along with convincing history of leaking liquor.	hospitalised from 1 - 30 days for further assessment. n = 69 women received steroids with tocolysis. <u>Live birth</u> n = 105/106. n = 1 intrauterine death due to	Unclear if the clinicians that performed the test were blinded to the results of the other previous tests Unclear if the same clinician performed all tests Indirectness: n =6 women had twin pregnancies
258127 Country/ies where the study was carried out	diagnosed as having PROM on the final review of medical records - 59/100 women did	standard Based on the presence of three or more of the following conditions: - Pooling of the clear	Amniotic fluid index (AFI) < 6 was considered as an oligohydramnios. Chorioamnionitis was diagnosed based on the clinical and biochemical factors (maternal temperature >	placental abruption and P-PROM at 32 weeks <u>NICU admission</u>	<u>Study quality -</u> <u>QUADAS 2 checklist</u> Was a consecutive or random sample of

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Singapore Study type Prospective cohort study Aim of the study To compare insulin-like growth for the big discussion 4 (405 DD 4)	not have PROM on the final review of medical records - Mean age: 28.1 (range 14 - 41 SD 6.1) - 82/100 women were hospitalised ranging from 1 to 30 days for further assessment	examination - Oligohydraminous on ultrasound - Sign and symptoms of chorioamnionitis - Preterm birth within a week of presentation along with convincing	38 ° C, uterine tenderness, maternal tachycardia, fetal tachycardia, maternal leucocytosis, CRP). Speculum examination was performed to assess pooling of the liquor. Rapid test strips performed by placing a swab in the cervical- vaginal secretions for detection of PAMG-1 and IGFBP-1.	n = 27/106 <u>Mean latency from</u> <u>diagnosis of PROM</u> <u>to birth</u> 10.7 days <u>PAMG-1</u> n = 100	patients enrolled? Yes Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions? No Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the
factor binding protein-1 (IGFBP-1) (non-phosphorylated), placental alpha-microglobulin-1 (PAMG-1) and nitrazine test to diagnose premature rupture of membrane to allow gestation-specific management.	<ul> <li>- 69/100 women were received steroids with tocolysis</li> <li>- out of n = 31 women who did not receive steroids and tocolysis, n = 3 women were diagnosed with PROM</li> </ul>	history of leaking liquor Women's medical record was reviewed after birth	Nitrozine test was performed using Amnicato, a sterile swab impregnated with nitrozine and a pH indicator. Residents or on-call consultants performed the tests. <u>Analysis</u> Performed using McNemar $\chi^2$ test.	False positive (FP): n = 0 False negative (FN): n = 3 Sensitivity: 92.7% Specificity: 100% Positive predictive value (PPV): 100% Negative predictive	reference standard? Unclear If a threshold was used, was it pre- specified? Unclear Is the reference standard likely to correctly classify the target condition?
Study dates May 2008 to April 2009	Inclusion Criteria - Women with signs or symptoms of			value (NPV): 95.2% <u>IGFBP-1</u> n = 94 women FP: n = 3	Unclear Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the
Source of funding Funded by KK Hospital, Singapore Research Grant and the AmniSure Kits by Niche Medical Pte Limited	premature rupture of membranes (PROM) - Between 17 and 37 week of gestation			FN: n = 5 Sensitivity: 87.5% Specificity: 94.4% PPV: 92.1% NPV: 91.1%.	results of the index test? Unclear Was there an appropriate interval between index test(s) and reference
	Exclusion Criteria Not specified			<u>Nitrazine test</u> n = 98 was FP: n = 35 FN: n = 6 Sensitivity: 85% Specificity: 39.7% PPV: 49.3% NPV: 79.3%	standard? Unclear Did all patients receive a reference standard? Yes Did patients receive the same reference standard? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Outcomes and results	Comments
				Were all patients included in the analysis? Yes

## H.4 Antenatal prophylactic antibiotics for women with P-PROM

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	
Mercer,B., Antibiotics in the management of PROM and preterm labor, Obstetrics	n = 7 studies (n = 1173 women)	Prophylactic antibiotic	Statistical analysis were performed using Review Manger (RevMan) version 5.0. Mantel-Heanszel chi	Any antibiotic versus placebo/no treatment	
and Gynecology Clinics of North America, 39, 65-76,	Characteristics		square, using a fixed model were performed.	Intraventricular haemorrhage	
2012	n = 7 studies met the inclusion criteria: Data extracted from Kenyon 2010		No more details provided	Number of studies: n = 7	
Ref Id	Amon 1988a			Any antibiotic: n = 74/572 (12.9%)	
222976	Participants: n = 82 women Treatment: n = 43			Placebo: n = 105/590 (17.8%)	
Country/ies where the	Control: n = 39			RR 0.73 (0.56 to	
study was carried out	Inclusions: 20-34 weeks pregnant. Singleton pregnancy only, preterm			0.95)	
Various	prelabour rupture of membranes			Sepsis	
Study type	(PPROM) confirmed by sterile speculum.			Number of studies: n = 5	
Systematic review	Interventions: Treatment group: ampicillin 1g intravenously (IV) every 6			Any antibiotic: n = 53/485 (10.9%)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
<b>Aim of the study</b> Further analysis of the studies included in Kenyon 2010 (restricted to the studies that compared antibistic treatment with	hours for 24 hours. Maintained on oral 500mg ampicillin 6 hourly until delivery. In labour they were recommenced on 1g intravenous ampicillin. <u>Christmas 1992</u> Participants: n = 94 women Treatment: n = 48			Placebo: n = 82/489 (16.8%) RR 0.67 (0.49 to 0.91) <u>Delivery delayed ≥</u> <u>7 days</u> Number of studies:	
antibiotic treatment with placebo or no treatment)	Control: n = 46 Inclusions: singleton pregnancies 20 - 34 weeks with PPROM confirmed by sterile speculum.			n = 6 Any antibiotic: n = 237/515 (46%) Placebo: n =	
Study dates	Exclusions: - penicillin allergy - prior antibiotic therapy - clinical evidence of intra-amniotic			139/537 (25.9%) RR 1.8 (1.52 to 2.13)	
Source of funding	infection - evidence of labour or fetal distress. Interventions: - Treatment: 24 hours IV ampicillin 2g every 6 hours for 4 doses; gentamycin 90mg loading dose 60mg every 8 hours for 3 doses. Then oral amoxicillin + clavulanic acid 500mg 3 x day for 7 days. - Control: IV fluids without antibiotics for			Stillbirth Number of studies: n = not reported Any antibiotic: n = 2/228 (0.8%) Placebo: n = 6/225 (2.6%) RR 0.42 (0.11 to 1.58)	
	24 hours. Fuhr 2006 Participants: n = 105 pregnant treatment n = 47 Control n = 58 Inclusion: women with PROM between 24+0 and 32+6 weeks. Exclusion: criteria not clearly stated nor whether multiple pregnancies included. Interventions: Metzlocillin 2g given 3 x			Clinical amnionitis Number of studies: n = 6 Any antibiotic: n = 55/527 (9.6%) Placebo: n = 70/537 (1.30%) RR 0.81 (0.58 to 1.13)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	day for 7 days or placebo.         All women given corticosteroids and tocolytics IV.         Conducted in 5 centres in Germany - dates not given.         Johnston 1990         Participants n = 85 women.         Inclusions: mothers with singleton gestations between 20-34 weeks with PPROM confirmed by sterile speculum for pooling, ferning and nitrazine paper testing.         Exclusions:         - penicillin allergy         - taking antibiotics at the time of PPROM         - fever > 100.4 degrees Fahrenheit         - signs of chorioamnionitis         - in active labour (defined by 3 or more contractions per 10 minute period for 1 hour or presented with cervical dilatation > 3 cm confirmed at the time of sterile speculum).         Fetal indications for exclusion were the presence of fetal distress, defined as repetitive late deceleration or sustained bradycardia, or congenital abnormality on ultrasound.         Interventions: IV mezlocillin for 48 hours followed by oral ampicillin until delivery or matched (IV + oral) placebo.         No doses noted. After randomisation no tocolytic steroids given.			Results	
	Study drugs discontinued if infection diagnosed. Study carried out in a single centre -				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	University Medical Centre - Jacksonville				
	Florida.				
	All women had infection screen on				
	admission. No digital examination allowed.				
	No comment as to losses to follow up or				
	recruitment period.				
	Lockwood 1993				
	Participants: n = 75 women				
	Treatment: n = 38				
	placebo n = 37				
	Inclusion: women with a single fetus at				
	24-34 completed weeks (accurate				
	gestational age), admitted with PROM.				
	No digital examination unless active				
	labour. Women had infection screening. Exclusions:				
	- abruption				
	- lethal fetal abnormalities				
	- clinical chorioamnionitis				
	- maternal illness				
	- diabetes; pregnancy induced				
	hypertension (PIH)				
	- lupus				
	- severe maternal disease				
	- fetal growth retardation				
	- fetal distress				
	- cervical cerclage - active herpes.				
	Women having received antibiotics for				
	existing infection were also excluded.				
	Interventions: Piperacillin 3g IV 6 hourly				
	72 hours or placebo.				
	Recruitment in 3 centres (USA) from				
	January 1987 to January 1992.				
	3 babies (1 in the experimental group				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	and 2 in controls) were lost to follow up. Free of other bias?: Unclear - no information given.				
	Tocolysis and corticosteroids were prohibited after randomisation. Interventions: Ampicillin 2g 6 hourly and erythromycin 250mg 6 hourly IV for 48 hours, then oral amoxacillin 250mg every 8 hours and erythromycin 333mg				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	<ul> <li>8 hourly for 5 days and a matching placebo regimen.</li> <li>For twin pregnancies adverse outcomes considered present if 1 twin affected.</li> <li>Study carried out in 11 centres - USA.</li> <li>From February 1992 to January 1995.</li> <li>3 women lost to follow up.</li> </ul> Owen 1993 Participants n = 118 randomised 1 lost to follow up. Treatment: n = 59 Controls: n = 58 Inclusions: 24 to 34 weeks gestation. PPROM confirmed by speculum. Exclusions in labour: <ul> <li>clinical evidence of infection suspected fetal compromise</li> <li>membrane rupture over 2 days</li> <li>fetal abnormality</li> <li>antibiotics in last 7 days</li> <li>multiple pregnancy</li> <li>cervical cerclage</li> <li>prompt delivery required.</li> <li>Interventions: IV 1g ampicillin 6 hourly for 24 hours then 500mg ampicillin orally every 6 hours. If allergic to penicillin 500mg <ul> <li>erythromycin used 6 hourly. Treatment continued with delivery or diagnosis of chorioamnionitis.</li> </ul></li></ul>				
	Inclusion criteria The analysis was restricted to: - the studies that compared antibiotic				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	treatment with placebo or no treatment - women requited at 34 week gestation or less - initiated therapy with intravenous treatment				
	Exclusion criteria				
	Not specified				
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	
Jones, D.R., Brocklehurst, P., Marlow, N., Salt, A., Taylor, D.J., Childhood outcomes after prescription of antibiotics to pregnant women with preterm rupture of the membranes: 7-year follow- up of the ORACLE I trial, Lancet, 372, 1310-1318, 2008	Participants: n = 4826 women At entry to ORACLE study: Erythromycin and co-amoxiclav n = 737 Erythromycin n = 754 Co-amoxiclav n = 808 Placebo n = 775 <b>Characteristics</b> <u>Maternal age (years)</u> Erythromycin and co-amoxiclav: 28.8 (24.2 - 32.8)	Co-amoxiclav 375mg QDS, erythromycin 250mg QDS orally for 10 days or until delivery matched placebo (2 x 2 factorial design).	UK follow up at 7 years of age of the 4378 children of the 4148 eligible women who joined the ORACLE trial (The ORACLE trial looked at the antibiotics erythromycin and co- amoxiclav used in PPROM and spontaneous premature labour in the hope of delaying or preventing premature labour) using a parental questionnaire. Women and children were traced with the help of UK Office of National	Any erythromycin versus no erythromycin Stillbirths Any erythromycin: n = 42/2323 (1.8%) No erythromycin: n = 44/2389 (1.8%) RR 0.98 (0.64 to 1.50) Deaths in first year Any erythromycin: n = 107/2323 (4.6%) No erythromycin: n	
254356	Èrythromycin: 28.4 (23.7 - 23.6) Co-amoxiclav: 28.8 (24.4 - 32.6) Placebo: 28.7 (24.2 - 32.7)		Statistics (ONS) and by contact with their family doctor. An information leaflet was sent to the parents and two	= 124/2389 (5.2%) RR 0.88 (0.68 to 1.15)	
Country/ies where the	<u>Gestational age (days)</u> Erythromycin and co-amoxiclav: 226 (209 - 238)		weeks later the study questionnaire was sent. Those involved in tracing data entry were reminded blind to the	<u>Deaths after first</u> <u>year</u> Any erythromycin: n	
	Èrythromycin: 225 (205 - 234)		allocated treatment. Data to assess	= 7/2323 (0.3%)	
Study type	Co-amoxiclav: 225 (208 - 238.5) Placebo: 226 (205 - 238) <u>Multiple birth</u> Erythromycin and co-amoxiclav: 57		health and educational outcomes were double entered and their validity were checked. Data was collected via a patent-completion postal	No erythromycin: n = 4/2389 (0.2%) RR 1.79 (0.52 to 6.12)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Long term follow up of a multicentre trial (161 centres, 135 in the UK) Aim of the study To determine the long-term effects on children of these interventions Study dates 2008 Source of funding Sponsored by University Hospitals of Leicester	(24.4%) Erythromycin: 191 (25.3%) Co-amoxiclav: 46 (5.7%) Placebo: 49 (6.3%) Inclusion criteria Under 37 weeks pregnant with PROM. Multiple pregnancies included. Exclusion criteria n = 661 women (246 due to perinatal death, 376 randomised outside UK and 39 women withdrew).		questionnaire. Functional impairment was assessed using the Mark III Multi-Attribute Health Status classification system. Educational attainment was evaluated for children in England using data from National Curriculum Tests at 7 years of age (Key Stage 1). n = 2 women lost to follow up and 15 women were excluded due to protocol violations. 4809 women analysed. For twin pregnancies adverse outcomes were considered present if one twin affected.	Total deathsAny erythromycin: n= 156/2323 (6.7%)No erythromycin: n= 172/2389 (7.2%)RR 0.93 (0.74 to1.16)Cerebral palsyAny erythromycin: n= 46/1590 (2.9%)no erythromycin: n= 46/1590 (2.9%)no erythromycin: n= 41/1671 (2.5%)RR 1.18 (0.77 to1.81)Developmentalproblems - ADHDfrom SDQ orparental reportAny erythromycin: n= 109/1590 (6.9%)No erythromycin: n= 135/1671 (8.1%)RR 0.84 (0.64 to1.09)Educationalattainment - readingAny erythromycin: n= 363/1671 (22.1%)RR 1.03 (0.99 to1.81)Educationalattainment - writingAny erythromycin: n	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				= 418/1596 (26.2%) No erythromycin: n = 426/1671 (25.9%) RR 1.01 (0.97 to 1.05) Educational attainment - maths Any erythromycin: n = 257/1596 (16.1%) No erythromycin: n = 257/1671 (15.7%) RR 1.01 (0.97 to 1.06) Any co-amoxiclav versus no co- amoxiclav Stillbirths Any co-amoxiclav: n = 45/2336 (1.9%) No co-amoxiclav: n = 41/2376 (1.7%) RR 0.97 (0.73 to 1.71) Deaths in first year Any co-amoxiclav: n = 113/2336 (4.8%) No co-amoxiclav: n = 118/2376 (5.0%) RR 0.97 (0.75 to	
				1.27) <u>Deaths after first</u> <u>year</u> Any co-amoxiclav: n = 5/2336 (0.2%) No co-amoxiclav: n = 6/2376 (0.3%)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				RR 0.85 (0.26 to 2.78) <u>Total deaths</u> Any co-amoxiclav: n = 163/2336 (7.0%) No co-amoxiclav: n = 165/2376 (6.9%) RR 1.01 (0.80 to 1.26) <u>Cerebral palsy</u> Any co-amoxiclav: n = 39/1632 (2.4%) No co-amoxiclav: n = 48/1629 (2.9%) RR 0.81 (0.53 to 1.24)	
				<u>Developmental</u> <u>problems - ADHD</u> <u>from SDQ or</u> <u>parental report</u> Any co-amoxiclav: n = 124/1632 (7.6%) No co-amoxiclav: n = 120/1629 (7.4%) RR 1.03 (0.80 to 1.34) <u>Educational</u> <u>attainment - reading</u> Any co-amoxiclav: n = 354/1623 (21.8%) No co-amoxiclav: n = 369/1615 (22.8%) RR 0.98 (0.94 to 1.02)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Educational attainment - writing Any co-amoxiclav: n = 405/1623 (25.0%) No co-amoxiclav: n = 439/1615 (27.2%) RR 0.98 (0.94 to 1.01) Educational attainment - maths Any co-amoxiclav: n = 250/1623 (15.4%) No co-amoxiclav: n = 439/1615 (16.3%) RR 0.99 (0.95 to 1.03)	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Kenyon,Sara, Boulvain,Michel, Neilson,James P., Antibiotics for preterm rupture of membranes, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, -, 2013 <b>Ref Id</b> 299864 <b>Country/ies where the</b> <b>study was carried out</b> Various	Trials: 22 Women: n = 6872 <b>Characteristics</b> Randomised and quasi-randomised trials: <u>Amon 1988a</u> Participants: n = 82 women Treatment: n = 43 Control: n = 39 Inclusion: 20-34 weeks pregnant. Singleton pregnancy only, preterm pre- labour rupture of membranes (PPROM) confirmed by sterile speculum. Intervention: Treatment group: ampicillin 1g intravenously (IV) every 6 hours for	Antibiotic versus placebo	Searching for studies The Trials Search Co-coordinator was contacted on 30 September 2013, and asked to search the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register. In addition, CENTRAL, MEDLINE, CINAHL and Dissertation Abstracts were searched. The reference list of identified studies was also searched, and any studies assessed for eligibility. No language restrictions were applied. <u>Data collection and analysis</u> Two review authors independently assessed studies for inclusion. They then extracted data into a pre- designed form and resolved discrepancies through discussion or if	Any antibiotic versus placebo Maternal death Number of studies: n = 3 Any antibiotic: n = 0/369 (0%) Placebo: n = 0/394 (0%) RR NC <u>Perinatal death/ death before</u> discharge Number of studies: n = 12 Any antibiotic: n = 276/4315 (6.4%) Placebo: n =	- Trials in which post- randomisation exclusions occurred are included provided there was no evidence that these occurred preferentially in one or other arm of the trials.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	24 hours. Maintained on oral 500mg ampicillin 6 hourly until delivery. In		required the third review authors was consulted. Data were entered into	138/1986 (6.9%) RR 0.93 (0.76 to	
Systematic review	labour they were recommenced on 1g intravenous ampicillin.		RevMan and checked for accuracy. If there was any unclear information, the	1.14)	
	Camli 1997		authors were contacted to provide details.	<u>Neonatal</u> necrotising	
To assess the effect of	Participants: n = 31 Inclusion: women with premature rupture of the membranes between 28-		<u>Quality assessment</u> Risk of bias was assessed	enterocolitis Number of studies: n = 11	
women with preterm rupture of membranes (PROM) on	34 weeks gestation. PPROM confirmed by speculum.		independently by two authors using the The Cochrane Collaboration's tool	Any antibiotic: n = 100/4273 (2.3%)	
outcomes.	Exclusions: - Women going into active labour within 24 hours who needed induction of		for assessing risk of bias. The following criteria were considered: - Sequence generation	Placebo: n = 58/1958 (3%) RR 1.09 (0.65 to	
Study dates	labour. - Multiple pregnancy and fetal malformations.		<ul> <li>Allocation concealment</li> <li>Blinding: due to the intervention, it would not be possible to blind</li> </ul>	1.83)	
Content was assessed as up to date: 26	- Women with serious medical conditions or who needed antibiotic		participants or those providing care; however, the authors report that they	All penicillin (excluding co-	
	treatment for a known infection. - Women who had received antibiotics in the last 10 days or who were allergic		did consider whether outcome assessors were blinded - Incomplete outcome data: low risk	<u>amoxiclav)</u> <u>Maternal death</u> Number of studies:	
Source of funding	to penicillin.		was defined 20% or less missing data, and high risk as more than 20%	n = 1 All penicillin: n =	
University of Geneva,	<u>Christmas 1992</u> Participants n = 94 women Treatment: n = 48		missing data - Selective reporting bias: established by cross checking the outcomes	0/40 (0%) Placebo: n = 0/45 (0%)	
Leicester Royal Infirmary, UK	Control: n = 46 Inclusions: singleton pregnancies 20 -		reported in the methods and results sections of the publication	ŘR ŃC	
UK	34 weeks with PPROM confirmed by sterile speculum. Exclusions:		- Other sources of bias Missing data	Perinatal death/ death before discharge	
	<ul> <li>Penicillin allergy</li> <li>Prior antibiotic therapy.</li> </ul>		Levels of attrition were noted for the studies. Sensitivity analysis was done	Number of studies: n = 4	
	- Clinical evidence of intra-amniotic infection		to explore the effect of including studies with high attrition. All analyses	All penicillin: n = 71/165 (4.2%)	
	- Evidence of labour or fetal distress.		were carried out on an intention-to-	Placebo: n = 10/167	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Intervention: 24 hours IV ampicillin 2g every 6 hours for 4 doses; gentamycin 90mg loading dose 60 mg every 8 hours for 3 doses. Then oral amoxicillin + clavulanic acid 500mg 3 x day for 7 days. Control IV fluids without antibiotics for 24 hours. Cox 1995 Participants: n = 62 Inclusion: women PPROM between 24 and 29 weeks pregnant. Not stated whether multiple pregnancy included. Interventions: Co-amoxiclav 3g 6 hourly for 4 doses then co-amoxiclav 500mg 6 hourly for 5 days or matching placebo. Data extracted from abstract only. Further data requested from the author but not made available. Study took place between May 1991 and April 1994 in Dallas, Texas. Ernest 1994 Drugs and placebo were prepared by research nurses. Participants: n = 148 Treatment: n = 77 Placebo: n = 71 Inclusion: women at 21-37 weeks with premature rupture of the membranes preterm confirmed with positive nitrazine test and 'ferning' of amniotic fluid or by seeing vaginal pool of amniotic fluid from os. No tocolytics or steroids given. Multiple pregnancies included. Exclusions: not clearly stated. Interventions: 4 hourly IV 1 million units		treat basis. Denominators were the number randomised, minus any women whose outcomes were known to be missing. <u>Analysis</u> Statistical analysis was done in RevMan. A random effects model was used. This was because the authors felt that there was sufficient clinical heterogeneity to expect that the underlying treatment effect would differ.	(6%) RR 0.78 (0.31 to 1.97) <u>Neonatal</u> <u>necrotising</u> <u>enterocolitis</u> Number of studies: n = 3 All penicillin: n = 5/124 (4%) Placebo: n = 6/138 (4.3%) RR 0.85 (0.25 to 2.97) <u>Neonatal infection</u> <u>including</u> <u>pneumonia</u> Number of studies n = 5 Other antibiotic: n = 6/258 (2.3%) Placebo: n = 25/263 (9.5%) RR 0.3 (0.13 to 0.68) <u>Beta lactum</u> <u>(including co- amoxiclav)</u> <u>Perinatal death/</u> <u>death before</u> <u>discharge</u> Number of studies: n = 2	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	benzylpenicillin for 12-24 hours - oral 250mg penicillin twice daily before delivery or a matched placebo.         Study conducted from March 2 1989 to May 29 1991, in a single site (North Carolina, USA).         4 women were excluded because of protocol violation in placebo arm (antibiotics given)         Fuhr 2006         Participants: n = 105 pregnant Treatment: n = 47         Control: n = 58         Inclusion: women with PROM between 24+0 and 32+6 weeks.         Exclusion: criteria not clearly stated nor whether multiple pregnancies included.         Interventions: Metzlocillin 2g given 3 x day for 7 days or placebo.         All women given corticosteroids and			All penicillin: n = $80/1236$ (6.5%)           Placebo: n = 46/644           (7.1%)           RR 0.62 (0.15 to           2.55)           Neonatal           necrotising           enterocolitis           Number of studies:           n = 2           All penicillin: n =           29/1236 (2.3%)           Placebo: n = 3/644           (0.47%)           RR 4.72 (1.57 to           14.23)           Neonatal infection           including	
	tocolytics IV. Conducted in 5 centres in Germany - dates not given. Garcia 1995 Participants: n = 60 pregnant women. Inclusion: PPROM under 36 weeks singleton pregnancy. Ruptured membranes confirmed by sterile speculum examination, ferning test and nitrazine test. No steroids or tocolytics given after randomisation. Exclusions: - > 37/40 - Discrepancy of over 2 standard			pneumonia Number of studies: n = 1 Beta lactum: n = 0/31 (0%) Placebo: n = 1/31 (3.2%) RR 0.33 (0.01 to 7.88) <u>Macrolide (including erythromycin)</u> Perinatal death/ death before	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and C Results	Comments
	deviations between scan and estimated due dates         Bleeding         - Contractions         - Fetal distress         - Fetal malformation         - Fetal death         - Chorioamnionitis on admission         - Antibiotics given during previous 10 days         Interventions: Erythromycin 500mg 6 hourly orally until delivery. Matched placebo given until delivery.         Women recruited during 1992 from single centre in Madrid, Spain.         No losses to follow up.         Paper in Spanish. <b>Grable 1996</b> Participants: n = 60 women Inclusions: ≤ 35 weeks with documented PPROM.         Exclusions:         - Non-reassuring stress test         - Presence of chorioamnionitis         - Abruptio placenta         - Pre-eclampsia         - Multiple pregnancy         - penicillin allergy         Intervention: IV ampicillin 2g every 6 hours for 24 hours followed by 500mg oral ampicillin until delivery or discharge. Matched placebos. Study divided into Group B strep (GBS) positive and negative patients. Unclear whether clinician knew of positive culture.			$\begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{c} \displaystyle \frac{\text{discharge}}{\text{Number of studies:}} \\ n = 4 \\ & \text{Macrolide: n =} \\ & 84/1354 (6.2\%) \\ & \text{Placebo: n = 56/784} \\ (7.1\%) \\ & \text{RR 0.83 (0.43 to} \\ 1.6) \\ \hline & \text{Neonatal infection} \\ & \text{including} \\ & \text{pneumonia} \\ & \text{Number of studies:} \\ n = 3 \\ & \text{Macrolide: n =} \\ & 19/163 (11.7\%) \\ & \text{Placebo: n = 25/171} \\ (14.6\%) \\ & \text{RR 0.79 (0.45 to} \\ 1.37) \\ \hline & \text{Neonatal} \\ & \text{necrotising} \\ & \text{enterocolitis} \\ & \text{Number of studies:} \\ n = 3 \\ & \text{Macrolide: n =} \\ & 21/1322 (1.6\%) \\ & \text{Placebo: n = 19/754} \\ (2.5\%) \\ & \text{RR 0.88 (0.45 to} \\ 1.69) \\ \hline \end{array}$	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Johnston 1990         Participants n = 85 women.         Inclusions: women with singleton gestations between 20-34 weeks with PPROM confirmed by sterile speculum for pooling, ferning and nitrazine paper testing.         Exclusions:         Penicillin allergy         Taking antibiotics at the time of PPROM         Had fever > 100.4 degrees Fahrenheit         Had signs of chorioamnionitis         Were in active labour (defined by 3 or more contractions per 10 minute period for 1 hour or presented with cervical dilatation > 3 cm confirmed at the time of sterile speculum).         Fetal indications for exclusion:         Presence of fetal distress, defined as repetitive late deceleration or sustained bradycardia         Congenital abnormality on ultrasound. Interventions: IV mezlocillin for 48 hours followed by oral ampicillin until delivery or matched (IV + oral) placebo.         No doses noted. After randomisation no tocolytic steroids given.         Study drugs discontinued if infection diagnosed.         Study carried out in a single centre - University Medical Centre - Jacksonville Florida.         All women had infection screen on			Maternal death Number of studies: $n = 2$ Antibiotic: $n = 0/329$ $(0\%)$ Placebo: $n = 0/349$ $(0\%)$ Placebo: $n = 0/349$ $(0\%)$ RR NCPerinatal death/ death before discharge Number of studies: $n = 3$ Antibiotic: $n =$ $84/1354$ (6.2%) Placebo: $n = 26/391$ $(6.6\%)$ RR 1.13 (0.68 to $1.88)$ Neonatal infection including pneumonia Number of studies: $n = 12$ Any antibiotic: $n =$ $85/823$ (10.3%) Placebo: $n =$ $141/857$ (16.4%) RR 0.67 (0.52 to $0.85)$ Neonatal infection including	
	admission. No digital examination allowed.			pneumonia Number of studies:	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	No comment as to losses to follow up or recruitment period.           Kenyon 2001           Participants: n = 4826 women           Inclusion: under 37 weeks pregnant with           PROM. Multiple pregnancies included.           Exclusions: n = 661 women (246 due to perinatal death, 376 randomised outside UK and 39 women withdrew).           Interventions: Co-amoxiclav 375mg           QDS, erythromycin 250mg QDS orally for 10 days or until delivery matched placebo (2 x 2 factorial design).           Multicentre trial (161 centres, 135 in the UK). n = 2 women lost to follow up and 15 women were excluded due to protocol violations. 4809 women analysed. For twin pregnancies adverse outcomes were considered present if one twin affected. Consumers involved in drawing up of protocol and information for women.           Kurki 1992           Participants: n = 101 women           Inclusion: Women between 23-36 weeks pregnant with visible leakage of amniotic fluid who did not go into labour within 12 hours of admission. Sterile speculum, digital examination and infection screening was performed on admission. Multiple pregnancies included.			n = 3 Other antibiotic: n = $60/371 (16.2\%)$ Placebo: n = 90/392 	
	(5mu) or matched placebo. Department of Obstetrics and			0/3913 (0%) Placebo: n = 0/1547	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Gynaecology, Helsinki, Finland. No mention of where the study was conducted Results in 76 women not randomised but admitted during the same period are also reported. <u>Lockwood 1993a</u> Participants: n = 75 women Treatment n = 38 Placebo n = 37 Inclusion: women with a single fetus at 24-34 completed weeks (accurate gestational age), admitted with PROM. No digital examination unless active labour. Women had infection screening. Exclusions: - Abruption - Lethal fetal abnormalities - Clinical chorioamnionitis - Maternal illness (diabetes, pregnancy induced hypertension [PIH], lupus) - Other severe maternal disease - Fetal growth retardation - Fetal distress - Cervical cerclage - Active herpes - Women having received antibiotics for existing infection were also excluded. Interventions: Piperacillin 3g IV 6 hourly 72 hours or placebo. Recruitment in 3 centres (USA) from January 1987 to January 1992. 3 babies (1 in the experimental group and 2 in controls) were lost to follow up. Free of other bias?: Unclear. No information given.				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Magwali 1999Participants: n = 171 womenTreatment: n = 84Control: n = 87 in no treatment group.Inclusion: PROM 26-36 weeks gestationdrainage of liquor confirmed by sterilespeculum.Exclusions:- Clinical signs of chorioamnionitis- Multiple pregnancy- Those with any contraindication tocontinuing the pregnancy and thosewho had just completed a course ofantibiotics for another reason.Interventions: Co-amoxiclav for 5 days.No mention of daily frequency or mg ofdrugs.McGregor 1991Participants: n = 65 womenTreatment: n = 28Control: n = 27Excluded: n = 10 (15%)Inclusion: Women between 23-34completed weeks gestation with PROM.Sterile speculum. No corticosteroidsadministered. Singleton pregnancies.Exclusions:- Active labour- Presence of maternal or fetalcomplication to necessitate delivery(fetal distress, prolapsed cord,pregnancy-induced hypertension,abruptio placentae)- Placenta praevia- Cervical cerclage			Positive blood culture Number of studies: $n = 3$ 	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Known infection requiring antibiotic treatment</li> <li>Use of vaginal or oral antibiotics in last 2 weeks</li> <li>Presence of known uterine or fetal abnormality</li> <li>History of vaginal bleeding in last month</li> <li>Serious existing maternal disease</li> <li>History of allergy or intolerance to erythromycin.</li> <li>Interventions: Erythromycin 333mg 3 x daily or placebo 7 days or until active labour started.</li> <li>Study period: July 1986-June 1988</li> <li>University Hospital Denver. No breakdown between stillbirths and neonatal deaths.</li> <li>Mercer 1992</li> <li>Participants: n = 220</li> <li>Treatment: n = 106</li> <li>Control: n = 114</li> <li>Inclusions: women 20-34/6 weeks pregnant with PPROM - sterile speculum and evaluation of cervix.</li> <li>Amniocentesis done for infection screen. Multiple pregnancies included.</li> <li>Exclusions:</li> <li>PPROM &gt; 72 hours duration</li> <li>Cervical dilatation &gt; 4 cm</li> <li>Progressive labour</li> <li>Vaginal bleeding</li> <li>Temperature 99 degrees Fahrenheit or greater</li> <li>Active infection requiring antibiotic therapy</li> </ul>			$\frac{\text{and/or placebo}}{\text{Perinatal}} \\ \frac{\text{death/death before}}{\text{discharge}} \\ \text{Number of studies:} \\ n = 18 \\ \text{Antibiotic: n =} \\ 299/4604 (6.5\%) \\ \text{Placebo: n =} \\ 172/2268 (7.6\%) \\ \text{RR 0.89 (0.74 to} \\ 1.08) \\ \hline \\ \frac{\text{Antibiotics versus}}{\text{no antibiotic (no placebo)}} \\ \text{Perinatal} \\ \text{death/death before} \\ \text{discharge} \\ \text{Number of studies:} \\ n = 6 \\ \text{Antibiotic: n =} \\ 23/289 (8\%) \\ \text{no antibiotics: n =} \\ 34/282 (12.1\%) \\ \text{RR 0.69 (0.41 to} \\ 1.14) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array}$	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Antibiotic therapy within 1 week prior to admission</li> <li>Active hepatic disease</li> <li>Erythromycin allergy</li> <li>Cervical cerclage or medical condition requiring delivery</li> <li>Intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) (&lt; 10 centile)</li> <li>Congenital abnormalities</li> <li>Evidence of fetal distress</li> <li>Unsuccessful tocolysis on admission for preterm labour.</li> <li>Interventions: Oral 333mg erythromycin.</li> <li>8 hourly from randomisation to delivery with matched placebo.</li> <li>Study carried out in a single centre (Memphis, Tennessee, USA). March 1989-August 1990.</li> <li>Women had infection screen before randomisation.</li> <li>3 lost to follow up.</li> </ul>				
	Mercer 1997Participants: 1867 women screened.n = 804 eligible.n = 614 agreed to participate.n = 29 twin gestations.Group B Strep positive: n = 118/614.Inclusion criteria: membrane rupturewithin 36 hours of randomisation;cervical dilatation 3cm or less on usualexamination; < 5 contractions in 6				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Cervical cerclage in place</li> <li>Antibiotics within the last 5 days</li> <li>Corticosteroids within last 7 days</li> <li>Allergy to penicillin or erythromycin</li> <li>Maternal infection or medical disease</li> <li>Ultrasound evidence of placenta praevia</li> <li>Fetal weight &lt; 10th centile for gestational age</li> <li>Malformation</li> <li>Previous successful tocolysis was not an exclusion criterion.</li> <li>Tocolysis and corticosteroids were prohibited after randomisation.</li> <li>Interventions: Ampicillin 2g 6 hourly and erythromycin 250mg 6 hourly IV for 48 hours, then oral amoxacillin 250mg</li> <li>every 8 hours and erythromycin 333mg</li> <li>8 hourly for 5 days and a matching placebo regimen.</li> <li>For twin pregnancies adverse outcomes considered present if 1 twin affected.</li> <li>Study carried out in 11 centres - USA.</li> <li>From February 1992 to January 1995.</li> <li>women lost to follow up.</li> </ul> Morales 1989 Participants Randomised: 41 = GP1, 43 = GP2, 37 = GP3, 44 = GP4. Intervention: antenatal steroids + ampicillin. 4-p groups - GP1 - neither, GP2 steroids only, GP3 antibiotic only, GP4 both. Inclusion: 26-34 weeks pregnant singleton gestation. PROM confirmed by sterile speculum L/S ratio (amniotic fluid Lecithin				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Sphingomyelin) less than 2.0.         Exclusions:         - In labour within 12 hours of randomisation women with uterine tenderness         - Foul smelling lochia or fetal tachycardia on admission         - Women allergic to penicillin         - Congenital abnormality with L/S ratio greater than 2.0 or not obtained. Interventions: 2g IV ampicillin every 6 hours until results of cervical cultures negative. <b>Ovalle Salas 1997</b> Participants: n = 88 women.         Treatment: n = 42         Control: n = 46         Inclusions: women with PPROM 24-34         weeks, PPROM diagnosed with sterile speculum-pooling, ferning and nitrazine tests. No digital examination performed.         Exclusions:         - Significant haemorrhage         - Placental abruption         - Use of antibiotics within 30 days before screening for study         - Fetal anomaly or death         - Multiple gestation         - Documented allergy to clindamycin or gentamicin         - Uterine abnormality         - Presence of intrauterine contraceptive device (IUCD)         - Fetal distress         - Clinical chorioamnionitis         - Maternal medical complications				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	<ul> <li>necessitating delivery or any condition precluding expectant management and intrauterine growth retardation (&lt; 10th centile for gestational age).</li> <li>Interventions: Clindamycin 600mg IV every 6 hours for 48 hours + 4 mg/kg/day gentamycin IV for 48 hours followed by Clindamycin 300mg orally every 6 hours for 5 days + gentamycin 2 mg/kg/day intramuscularly (IM) every 12 hours for 5 days. Matching placebo. Conducted in November 1990-September 1994. 3 sites: 2 Chile, 1 USA.</li> <li>Women had infection screen.</li> <li>1 lost to follow up in placebo arm. Trial stopped after intermediate evaluation showed treatment group had better outcome.</li> </ul>				
	Owen 1993aParticipants: n = 118 randomised 1 lostto follow up.Treatment: n = 59Controls: n = 58Inclusions: 24 to 34 weeks gestation.PPROM confirmed by speculum.Exclusions:- Clinical evidence of infectionsuspected fetal compromise- Membrane rupture over 2 days- Fetal abnormality- Antibiotics in last 7 days- Multiple pregnancy- Cervical cerclage- Prompt delivery requiredInterventions: IV 1g ampicillin 6 hourly				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	for 24 hours then 500mg ampicillin orally every 6 hours. If allergic to penicillin 500mg erythromycin used 6 hourly. Treatment continued with delivery or diagnosis of chorioamnionitis.				
	<b>Svare 1997</b> Participants: n = 67 Treatment: n = 30 Control: n = 37 Inclusion: women randomised. 26+0 - 33+6 rupture of membranes, leakage of amniotic fluid at vaginal speculum examination. Preceding onset of uterine contractions. Singleton pregnancies. Interventions: Ampicillin 2g IV 6 hourly. 24 hours - pivampicillin 500g orally 8 hourly for 7 days plus IV metronidazole 500mg every 8 hours for 24 hours, followed by metronidazole 400mg orally every 8 hours for 7 days or identical placebo. Conducted in October 1991- April 1994. 6 centres around Copenhagen. Data sent from the author and extracted from PhD thesis.				
	Inclusion criteria				
	<ul> <li>Randomised and quasi-randomised trials comparing antibiotics versus placebo, given to women with preterm rupture of membranes.</li> <li>Trials in which post-randomisation occurred were included provided there</li> </ul>				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	was no evidence that it occurred in favour of one or other arm of the trial.				
	Exclusion criteria				
	<ul> <li>Trials where non randomised cohorts were amalgamated with randomised participants if the result of the randomised participants were not reported separately.</li> <li>Trials where outcomes for over 20% of the participants were not reported</li> </ul>				

## H.4.4.1 Health economics

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
Full citation	Study dates	Source of effectiveness	Time horizon and	Cost per patient per	Limitations
	hun a 0005 ta hun a 0000	data	discount rate	alternative	
Colbourn,T., Asseburg,C., Bojke,L.,	June 2005 to June 2006		Time Lleviner, Llifetine e		
Philips,Z., Claxton,K., Ades,A.E.,		Vaccination effectiveness	Time Horizon: Llifetime	Gains over no	Other
Gilbert,R.E., Prenatal screening and treatment strategies to prevent group B	Intervention	based on expert opinion. Effectiveness of antibiotics	Discount Rate (costs): Not	treatment	information
streptococcal and other bacterial	Intervention	based on published	Discount Rate (costs): Not stated	Prelabour ROM > 2	iniomation
infections in early infancy: Cost-	Vaccination + intravenous	literature.	Stated	hours	
effectiveness and expected value of	penicillin, vaccination + oral		Discount Rate (QALYs): 3%		
information analyses, Health Technology	erythromycin, intravenous			intravenous penicillin	
Assessment, 11, 21-108, 2007	penicillin, and oral erythromycin.	Source of cost data		GBP -2.28	
			Method of eliciting health	Vaccination +	
Ref Id		Long-term healthcare costs	valuations (if applicable)	oral erythromycin GBP	
	Comparison(s)	of disability were taken from		-2.73	
59896		published literature Trotter	EQ-5D was used to		
	No treatment	2002	estimate utilities for health	Intravenous penicillin	
		Costs of delivery was taken	children. For children with	GBP –2.17	

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
Economic study type		from Petrou (129 lookup date).	disabilities, published literature, Oostenbrinka	Oral erythromycin GBP –2.52	
Cost-utility analysis		Duration of hospital stay was taken from the BPSU	2002, was used where utilities based on EQ-5D was used.	Effectiveness per	
Country(ies) where the study was done		database.	Life expectancy was	patient per alternative	
UK		The costs per night of stay in each type of hospital ward were derived from the	estimated using ONS data and published literature Katz 2003	Gains over no treatment	
Perspective & Cost Year		PSSRU.	2003	Prelabour ROM > 2 hours	
Perspective: NHS Cost Year: 2005		The costs of testing were based on the cost of staff , materials and laboratory		Vaccination + intravenous penicillin 0.000844	
Source of funding		costs. The costing of this was found in the PSSRU ,BNF,	used to simulate the various complications of group B streptococcal and other	Vaccination + oral erythromycin 0.000843	
HTA programme		published literature, market value of materials.	bacterial infections in early infancy.	Intravenous penicillin 0.000621	
		Drug costs were taken from the BNF.		Oral erythromycin 0.000583	
		The cost of vaccine was based on the mean of four expert opinions.		Incremental cost- effectiveness	
		Other data sources e.g. transition probabilities		Reports ICER for intravenous compared to oral.	
				With vaccination: ICER intravenous penicillin to oral erythromycin GBP 471,000	
				Without vaccination:	

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
				ICER intravenous penicillin to oral erythromycin GBP 9,470	
				Other reporting of results	
				Uncertainty	
				Probabilistic sensitivity analysis	

## H.5 Identifying infection in women with P-PROM

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
of intrauterine infection in preterm prelabor amniorrhexis, American Journal of Obstetrics and	N = 89 <b>Characteristics</b> <u>Gestational age at</u> <u>assessment</u> Range 20 to 36 weeks	rate (tachycardia and FHR variation) - Biophysical profile score - Amniotic fluid	cordocentesis were performed for diagnosis of intrauterine infection in women with preterm prelabour amniorrhexis who were referred for further assessment. Diagnosis of preterm prelabour amniorrhexis was confirmed	Prevalence of intrauterine infection - defined as positive fetal blood culture: 14/89 (15.7%) All values calculated by NCC from data in	Unclear whether consecutive women were included; exclusion criteria not reported Unclear whether results of reference standard were interpreted without knowledge of index test Amniotic fluid culture results not available for 15/89 women; reasons not reported

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	and results		Comments
<b>Ref Id</b> 258724	Inclusion Criteria Preterm prelabour amniorrhexis		absent amniotic fluid and visualisation of Nitrazine- positive fluid in the vagina.	LR+: 0.85 (0 LR-: 1.21 (0.			Timing of nonstress test not reported
Country/ies where the study was carried out	confirmed by ultrasonographic		Amniocentesis and	Nonstress t	est		Applicability of nonstress test
UK	demonstration of decreased or absent amniotic fluid and		cordocentesis were performed with a single uterine transabdominal entry of a 20- gauge needle under		Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	before 28 weeks? (gestational age range of included women 20 to 36 weeks, mean gestational age not reported)
Study type Case-series	visualisation of Nitrazine-positive fluid in the vagina		ultrasonographic guidance. Umbilical venous blood was obtained and tests confirmed all samples contained only	Predictive Test +ve	7	44	Other information
Aim of the study To evaluate fetal biophysical profile score, amniotic fluid index and fetal heart rate pattern in predicting positive fetal blood and amniotic fluid cultures in samples obtained antenatally from pregnancies that were complicated by preterm prelabour amniorrhexis	Exclusion Criteria Not reported		fetal blood. Amniotic fluid was cultured by standard microbiologic techniques and was also inoculated into Mycofast liquid cultures for Ureaplasma urealyticum and Mycoplasma hominis (International Mycoplasma, Toulon, France). The first 1ml of fetal blood and amniotic fluid were not used for microbiologic investigations.	Predictive Test -ve	7	31	Study evaluated biophysical profile and amniotic fluid index as predictors of infection - data for nonstress test only were extracted as this was the test of interest in review protocol Data not extracted for fetal tachycardia and reduced fetal heart rate variation as cut-offs for a positive predictive test were not adequately defined
<b>Study dates</b> June 1992 to February 1994			Computerised fetal heart rate (FHR) analysis was performed after monitoring for 60 min with the Sonicaid system 8000 (Sonicaid, Chichester, UK) and a Hewlett-Packard 8040A FHR				Nonstress test not described Data not extracted for amniotic fluid culture results as there were missing data;
Source of funding Not reported			monitor (Hewlett-Packard, Boeblingen, Germany). Baseline FHR was measured in beats per min and FHR variation measured as the mean minute range of pulse				Prevalence of intrauterine infection - defined by positive

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			intervals around the baseline. FHR baseline and variation were corrected for gestational		amniotic fluid culture: 28/74 (37.8%)
			age.		Use of antibiotics not reported
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Del Valle,G.O., Joffe,G.M.,	N = 68	- Biophysical	On admission women were	Abnormal nonstress test as predictor of	Retrospective case series
Izquierdo,L.A., Smith,J.F.,		profile	examined with a sterile	neonatal infection (neonatal sepsis and	
Gilson,G.J., Curet,L.B., The		- Nonstress	speculum to confirm ruptured	<u>neonatal pneumonia)</u>	Unclear whether consecutive
biophysical profile and the	Characteristics	test	membranes. If initial	Prevalence of neonatal infection: 5/68 (7%)	women were included
nonstress test: poor			evaluations demonstrated fetal	All values calculated by NCC-WCH using	
predictors of chorioamnionitis			and neonatal wellbeing and	data reported in Table 2	Unclear whether results of
and fetal infection in	<u>± SD)</u>		there was no evidence of		reference standard were
prolonged preterm premature	26.2 ± 5.6 years		labour or infection expectant	Sensitivity: 33.33% (2.53 to 64.13)	interpreted without knowledge
rupture of membranes,			management was instituted.	Specificity: 96.61% (91.99 to 100)	of index test
Obstetrics and Gynecology,	Gestational age at		Women were hospitalised and	PPV: 60.00% (17.06 to 100)	
80, 106-110, 1992	PROM (mean ± SD)		placed on bed rest.	NPV: 90.48% (83.23 to 97.72)	Gestational age range for
	31.3 ± 3.2 weeks		Prophylactic antibiotics were	LR+: 9/83 (1.89 to 50.99)	inclusion not reported (mean
Ref Id			not used.	LR-: 0.69 (0.43 to 1.09)	and standard deviation
	Gestational age at				suggest a small percentage
259048	PROM (mean ± SD)		Fetal surveillance consisted of	Abnormal nonstress test as predictor of	may have had a gestational
	32.8 ± 2.9 weeks		daily kick counts, daily	clinical chorioamnionitis	age > 37 weeks)
Country/ies where the			nonstress test (NST) and	Prevalence of clinical chorioamnionitis:	
study was carried out	Latency (mean ±		biophysical profile every 48 to	10/68 (15%)	
	<u>SD)</u>		72 hours after 26 weeks	All values calculated by NCC-WCH using	Other information
USA	10.9 ± 11.1 days		gestation. NST was performed	data reported in Table 2	
Other than the second			for a 20-min period, extended		Only data for nonstress test
Study type			to 40 min if nonreactive.	Sensitivity: 30.00% (1.60 to 58.40)	have been extracted
Casa sarias	Inclusion Criteria		Reactivity was defined as two	Specificity: 89.66% (81.82 to 97.49)	(biophysical profile not a test
Case-series	Duran duran at ma		or more accelerations of the	PPV: 33.33% (2.53 to 64.13)	of interest specified in review
Aim of the study	- Proved premature		fetal heart rate of at least 15	NPV: 88.14% (79.88 to 96.39)	protocol)
Aim of the study	rupture of		beats per minute (bpm) over	LR+: 2.9 (0.86 to 9.75)	
To evaluate the role of fetal	membranes		baseline for at least 15	LR-: 0.78 (0.52 to 1.18)	Authors also report data for
biophysical profile and the	- absence of labour		seconds. Nonreactive tests		reactive/nonreactive
nonstress test in the	- absence of chorioamnionitis or		were evaluated with a		nonstress test
management of prolonged			biophysical profile to assess	Nonstress test	
	fetal distress on		fetal well-being. An abnormal		Authors report in results text
	admission		NST was defined as a		that for predicting neonatal

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	and results		Comments
preterm premature rupture of membranes (PROM)	- time between rupture of membranes and onset of labour of at		nonreactive one with late or repetitive, severe variable decelerations.		Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	infections the sensitivity and specificity for NST are 60% and 90%, respectively. When NCC calculated predictive
Study dates September 1988 - December	least 48 hours		Clinical chorioamnionitis was based on maternal temperature ≥ 38°C and one	Predictive Test +ve	3	2	values using data in Table 2, the positive and negative predictive values were 60%
1990	Exclusion Criteria - Transported to the study institution more		or more of the following: maternal tachycardia, fetal tachycardia, purulent cervical	Predictive Test -ve	6	57	and 90%, respectively Results of last NST before
Not reported PROM - Last biophy	than 48 hours after	irritability, and absence of other sources of infection. Women were treated with	Nonstress	test	delivery were evaluated		
	hours before delivery - Signs of intra- amniotic infection,		soon as chorioamnionitis was diagnosed.		Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	
	labour, or fetal compromise on admission - Births before 26		Neonatal sepsis was diagnosed in infants with suggestive clinical findings and positive blood cultures within	Predictive Test +ve	3	6	
	weeks		the first 24 hours of life. Neonatal pneumonia was based on clinical and	Predictive Test -ve	7	52	
			radiological findings within the first 24 hours of life. Neonates with "suspected" or "rule out" sepsis were excluded from the analysis.			1	
			Data were analysed retrospectively				
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results			Limitations
Farb,H.F., Arnesen,M., Geistler,P., Knox,G.E., C- reactive protein with	N = 31	Serum C- reactive protein	Women admitted to the Abbott-North-western Minneapolis Children's	<u>CRP &gt;2 mg</u> chorioamni	/dl as a predicte onitis	Unclear whether consecutive women were included	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	nd results		Comments
premature rupture of	Characteristics		Perinatal Center from June to		of clinical chorio	amnionitis:	Only 24/31 placentas were
membranes and premature			November 1981 with a	9/31 (29.0%)	)		available for histologic
labor, Obstetrics and	None reported		confirmed diagnosis of PROM.				examination
Gynecology, 62, 49-51, 1983			Amniotic fluid was cultured for		Iculated by NCC	C from data in	
			bacteria following successful	Figure 1			Time that samples used in
Ref Id	Inclusion Criteria		amniocentesis. Women were		5.56% (23.09 to		analysis were taken is unclear
			given betamethasone, with		2.73% (54.12 to		
258087	Confirmed diagnosis		prompt delivery occurring 1)		6 (16.03 to 74.8		Antibiotic use not reported
	of PROM (free flow of		48 hours after first dose of		62.47 to 97.5	3)	
Country/ies where the	amniotic fluid		betamethasone, 2) in the	LR+: 2.04 (0			
study was carried out	observed from the		event tocolytic drugs were	LR-: 0.61 (0.	28 to 1.33)		Other information
	cervix, or nitrazine-		unable to prevent labour and				
USA	positive and ferning		3) when a clinical diagnosis of		dl as a predicte		41 women in preterm labour
Other than the second	present on		chorioamnionitis or fetal	histologic c	<u>horoamnionitis</u>	and 18 women with "a variety	
Study type	examination of		distress was made.		of histologic cho	of high-risk conditions" were	
Casa sorias	vaginal fluid) between			5/24 (21%)		also included in the study. The	
Case-series	20 and 36 weeks'		Clinical chorioamnionitis was				study reports outcomes
Aim of the study	gestation		defined as temperature ≥		Iculated by NCC		separately for the pPROM and
Aim of the study			37.5°C or white blood cell		tologic data for		preterm labour groups, and so
To test the diagnostic validity	Exclusion Criteria		count rise of at least 50%		0% (44.94 to 10		data for pPROM only have
of C-reactive protein (CRP) in	Exclusion Criteria		above the admission white		8.42% (47.52 to	89.32)	been extracted
identifying or predicting the	Intercurrent illnesses		blood cell count with either	PPV: 40% (9			
development of	such as systemic		uterine tenderness or fetal		6 (79.37 to 100)		
chorioamnionitis	lupus erythematosus		tachycardia. Histologic	LR+: 2.53 (1			
Chonodiminoritas	or rheumatoid		chorioamnionitis was defined	LR-: 0.29 (0.	05 to 1.73)		
	arthritis in which		as histopathologic findings of				
Study dates	serum levels of		chorioamnionitis (amnion and	C magative m	notolo allalaa		
	(CRP) may be		chorion), funisitis (wall of the	-	rotein - clinica	reference	
June to November 1981	elevated		umbilical cord vessels) and intervillisitis.	test			
	Cicvaled		Intervinisitis.				1
			Serial blood samples of serum		Reference	Reference	
Source of funding			CRP determinations were		Test +ve	Test -ve	
5			obtained on admission and				
Not reported			every 12 hours until delivery.	Predictive	5	6	1
			CRP levels were not	Test +ve	5	0	
			determined until after delivery	1051 + 40			
			and therefore had no role in				]
	1						

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	nd results		Comments	
			the care of the women. CRP levels were measured using a nephelometric immunochemisttry system			4	16	
			(Beckman Instruments Incorporated, Fullerton, CA, USA). Serum samples were pretreated by a 1:6 dilution and a polymeric buffer reaction	C-reactive protein - histological reference test				
			media and centrifuged to remove interfering turbidity after a 5-min incubation.		Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve		
			Sensitivity of the system is 1.8 mg/dl and the procedure is linear to 20 mg/dl. A CRP of 2 mg/dl or more was considered elevated.	Predictive Test +ve	4	6		
				Predictive Test -ve	1	13		
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results			Limitations	
Fisk,N.M., Fysh,J., Child,A.G., Gatenby,P.A., Jeffery,H., Bradfield,A.H., Is C-reactive protein really	N = 55 (n = 51 singleton pregnancies)	Serum C- reactive protein	Women admitted to King George V Hospital between March 1985 and June 1986 with ruptured membranes at	diffuse chor	edictor of histo ioamnionitis 30/51 (58.8%)	ological acute	Unclear whether consecutive women were included	
useful in preterm premature rupture of the membranes?, British Journal of Obstetrics	Characteristics			Predictive values as reported by study authors in Table 1 *95% confidence			Other information	
and Gynaecology, 94, 1159- 1164, 1987	Not reported		Venepuncture for CRP, white blood cell count, differential and film was performed daily	intervals, LR+ and LR- and data presented in 2x2 tables below calculated by NCC technical team			from the analysis: two women received antibiotics, one woman declined further	
Ref Id	Inclusion Criteria		throughout latency. CRP was measured by rate	<b>CRP cut-off &gt;20 mg/l</b> Sensitivity: 50% (32.11 to 67.89)			venepuncture, one woman was discharged after amniotic	
258332	- 26 to 36 weeks		nephelometry (Beckman Instruments Inc, Fullerton, CA,	Specificity: 81% (64.16 to 97.25) PPV: 79% (60.62 to 97.28)			fluid drainage ceased for 7 days and one woman	
Country/ies where the study was carried out	gestation - Ruptured membranes		USA), using a single point calibration based on purified		5.83 to 70.42)		developed a respiratory tract infection	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	nd results		Comments
Australia	confirmed by		CRP and monospecific	LR+: 2.63 (1.	.01 to 6.80)		Of the 55 women analysed,
	demonstration of		antisera (Quantimetric 2,	LR-: 0.62 (0.4	41 to 0.93)		51 women had a singleton
Study type	pooling of amniotic		Kallestad, Austin, TX, USA). A		,		pregnancy and 4 women had
	fluid in the posterior		value was not assigned to	CRP cut-off	30 mg/l		a multiple pregnancy (8%).
Case-series	fornix		measurements below 6mg/l.	Sensitivity: 4	7% (28.81 to 64	.52)	Predictive values were
	- Indications for		CRP results were not available			)0)	calculated for singleton
Aim of the study	conservative		to the investigators or	PPV: 88% (7	1.29 to 100)		pregnancies only.
	expectant		clinicians involved until after		7.78 to 70.79)		
To ascertain the C-reactive	management		the woman was discharged.	LR+: 4.9 (1.2	4 to 19.33)		11 women had a CRP level
protein (CRP) level above				LR-: 0.59 (0.4	41 to 0.85)		>40mg/l and all had
which the test becomes			Management was at the				histological chorioamnionitis.
highly predictive of infection	Exclusion Criteria		discretion of the attending	CRP cut-off	35 mg/l		None of the women had all
			physician and usually included	Sensitivity: 4	0% (22.47 to 57	(.53)	three clinical signs of
	- Clinical signs of		betamethasone administration		5% (86.13 to 10		chorioamnionitis at the time of
Study dates	infection		at gestations < 34 weeks and	PPV: 92% (7	7.82 to 100)	,	blood collection, although five
	- History of chronic		tocolysis with oral and	NPV: 53% (3	6.76 to 68.51)		women had one of the three
March 1985 to June 1986	inflammatory		parenteral salbutamol, if	LR+: 8.4 (1.1	8 to 59.77)		signs.
	conditions		required, a at gestations < 32	LR-: 0.63 (0.4	46 to 0.86)		-
			weeks.		,		Last taken CRP values were
Source of funding				CRP cut-off	40 mg/l		analysed; in 44 women the
			Clinical chorioamnionitis was	Sensitivity: 3	7% (19.42 to 53	8.91)	last CRP was taken within 24h
Not reported			defined as uterine tenderness,	Specificity: 1	00% (100 to 10	0)	of delivery, and 7 women had
			purulent amniotic fluid and	PPV: 100% (	100 to 100)		their last CRP value taken 24-
			maximum temperature ≥	NPV: 52.5%	(37.02 to 67.98)	)	48h before delivery
			37.5°C (maternal temperature	LR+: NC	· ·	,	,
			recorded every 4 hours).	LR-: 0.63 (0.4	48 to 0.83)		CRP elevation often preceded
			Histological acute diffuse	, ,	,		delivery or clinical infection by
			chorioamnionitis was				several days
			assessed by one of two	C-reactive p	rotein		,
			perinatal pathologists, using a				White blood cell count,
			membrane roll technique to		Reference	Reference	neutrophil count and blood
			examine a thin strip of		Test +ve	Test -ve	film did not correlate with
			membranes from the placental		1621 - 16	1621-46	chorioamnionitis (data not
			edge of the site of rupture.				reported)
				Predictive	15	4	
			the site of membrane rupture	Test +ve			
			was not considered an				
			infective phenomenon	<u> </u>	1	1	비

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	and results		Comments
				Predictive Test -ve	15	17	
				C-reactive p	-		
					Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	
				Predictive Test +ve	14	2	
				Predictive Test -ve	16	19	
				C-reactive p	protein		
					Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	
				Predictive Test +ve	12	1	
				Predictive Test -ve	18	20	
				C-reactive p	protein		

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	nd results		Comments
				Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve		
				Predictive Test +ve	11	0	
				Predictive Test -ve	19	21	
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results			Limitations
Chorioamnionitis in the	N = 251 (n = 237 analysed by NCC-WCH)	- Fetal heart rate - White blood cell count	As part of a prospective randomised study to evaluate the use of corticosteroids in in women with premature rupture	Prevalence o 36/237 (15%	rate > 170 bpm of clinical chorioa ) d confidence int	Unclear whether consecutive women were included	
545, 1982 Ref Id	Characteristics		of membranes (PROM), all women with PROM between 28+0 and 34+6 weeks were	presented in developed cl	NCC-WCH usi Table 1, for wor norioamnionitis a	men who and women	Other information Method of fetal heart rate (FHR) monitoring not reported
258765	Not reported		coded prospectively (women selected from patients at University of California Irvine		women with cho n excluded from		11 women had
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Inclusion Criteria		Medical Center and private maternal transports at Women's Hospital, Memorial	Specificity: 1	.56% (0 to 13.04 00% (100 to 100 100 to 100)		chorioamnionitis at admission - data not extracted. Data reported separately for
USA	Women with premature rupture of		Medical Center of Long Beach). Rupture of	PPV: 100% (100 to 100) NPV: 85.53% (81.03 to 90.03) LR+: 0.94 (0.87 to 1.02)			women who developed chorioamnionitis (n = 36) and
Study type Case-series	membranes between 28+0 and 34+6 weeks		membranes was documented by sterile speculum	LR-: 0 (0 to (	,		women who did not develop chorioamnionitis (201) - these
Aim of the study			examination confirming pooling of fluid, alkaline pH by Nitrazine paper and ferning.	at admissio	<b>i<u>ite blood cell c</u> n of clinical chorioa</b>		data have been extracted into the evidence table
To identify the maternal and fetal/neonatal complications of chorioamnionitis in preterm gestation and to look at ways	Exclusion Criteria Not reported		Women were then evaluated for clinical signs of chorioamnionitis, including maternal and fetal tachycardia, leukocytosis, uterine	36/237 (15% All values an calculated by presented in		ervals ng data men who	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	and results		Comments
of distinguishing women who have infection or are destined to develop infection			tenderness and purulent foul- smelling vaginal discharge. Diagnosis of chorioamnionitis was restricted to women with	on admissio Sensitivity: 5	(women with cho n excluded from 5.56% (0 to 13.04	analysis) t)	
Study dates				PPV: 16.679	95.02% (92.02 to % (0 to 37.75) % (88.21 to 89.55		
May 1997 - July 1980			were absent. No histological confirmation of	LR+: 1.12 (0 LR-: 0.99 (0	.26 to 4.89)	)	
Source of funding			chorioamnionitis was performed.	White blood	l cell count		
Not reported			Antibiotics were not given prior to delivery unless		Reference	Reference	
			chorioamnionitis was diagnosed.		Test +ve	Test -ve	
			All maternal data were coded prospectively. Neonatal data were coded after discharge.	Predictive Test +ve	2	10	
				Predictive Test -ve	34	191	
				Fetal heart	rate - clinical re	ference test	
					Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	
				Predictive Test +ve	2	0	
				Predictive Test -ve	34	201	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Hawrylyshyn,P., Bernstein,P., Milligan,J.E., Soldin,S., Pollard,A., Papsin,F.R.,	N = 54	- C-reactive protein (CRP) - White blood	All women presenting to the Perinatal Unit at Mount Sinai Hospital in Toronto from 1 July	Prevalence of histological amnionitis: 26/52 (50%) CRP > 1.25 mg/dl as predictor of	Unclear if consecutive women were included
Premature rupture of membranes: the role of C-	Characteristics	cell (WBC) count	1981 to 31 March 1982 with confirmed PROM before 34	<u>histological amnionitis</u> Values presented in Table II *95%	Time that samples used in analysis were taken is unclear
reactive protein in the prediction of chorioamnionitis, American		- Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR)	weeks' gestation were eligible for study entry.	confidence intervals, LR+, LR- and 2x2 data calculated by NCC technical team	Other information
Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 147, 240-246, 1983	Inclusion Criteria - Confirmed PROM	- Band count	PROM was confirmed by alkaline pH on nitrazine paper after a history suggestive of	Sensitivity: 88% (76.18 to 100) Specificity: 96% (88.76 to 100) PPV: 96% (87.84 to 100)	2/54 women were excluded from the study because they
Ref Id	by alkaline pH on nitrazine paper - Between 20 and 34		PROM was taken. In uncertain cases PROM was confirmed by speculum examination to		had positive introital swabs and received antibiotics for several days before delivery -
258979	weeks gestation		assess pooling of fluid in the posterior vaginal fornix.	WBC count >12,500/mm <sup>3</sup> as predictor of	therefore 52 women analysed. A further nine women were
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Exclusion Criteria		Management was left to the discretion of attending	histological amnionitis Values presented in Table II *95% confidence intervals, LR+, LR- and 2x2	discharged undelivered after up to 6 weeks' hospitalisation as leakage of amniotic fluid
Canada Study type	Not reported		physicians. Most women were managed expectantly. Corticosteroids were routinely	data calculated by NCC technical team Sensitivity: 80% (65.62 to 95.92)	had ceased. All nine women were subsequently delivered with no evidence of
Case-series			administered (12mg Celestone, two doses, 12h	Specificity: 62% (42.84 to 80.24) PPV: 67% (51.29 to 84.20)	chorioamnionitis.
Aim of the study			apart). During the first 48h, whenever regular uterine activity developed, tocolytic	NPV: 76% (57.97 to 94.41) LR+: 2.10 (1.25 to 3.54) LR-: 0.31 (0.13 to 0.73)	Clinical chorioamnionitis, defined by febrile morbidity (38°C at or within 12 hours of
To delineate better the accuracy and clinical usefulness of ancillary aids			therapy was instituted. Routine prophylactic antiobiotics were not used.	C-reactive protein	delivery) occurred in only seven women. There were nine perinatal deaths directly
aimed at diagnosing chorioamnionitis in PROM			All women were monitored for		related to prematurity or its sequelae.
Study dates			chorioamnionitis on a daily basis according to a standardised protocol,		A control group of 74 women selected at random was used
1 July 1981 to 31 March 1982			including WBC count,		to define the normal range of

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	and results		Comments		
Source of funding			differential or band count, ESR, CRP determination and clinical assessment.		Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	laboratory parameters being studied (upper limit of normal defined as two standard		
Not reported			Amniocentesis was not routinely performed. At delivery, anaerobic and aerobic cultures of endometrial	Predictive Test +ve	23	1	deviations above the mean for normally distributed data and the 95th percentile for other distributions). Upper limit of		
			cavity and amniotic membranes were routinely obtained. Placentas were examined histologically and	Predictive Test -ve	3	25	normal for CRP defined as 1.25mg/dl and WBC as 12.5 x 10 <sup>3</sup>		
			classified as having mild or severe inflammation on basis of leukocytes per microscopic high power field.	White blood cell count		Data for ESR and band count not extracted as these were not tests specified in the review protocol			
			WBC counts were performed on automated Coulter-S		Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve			
			counter. CRP samples were collected and stored independently and results were unavailable to attending	Predictive Test +ve	21	10			
			physicians, therefore results did not influence care management decisions. A rate nephelometric assay was	Predictive Test -ve	5	16			
			performed suing a Beckman Immunochemistry Analyser with CRP reagent kit (Beckman Instruments Inc., Fullterton, CA, USA)						
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results			Limitations		
Ismail,M.A., Zinaman,M.J., Lowensohn,R.I., Moawad,A.H., The significance of C-reactive protein levels in women with	N = 100 Characteristics	- Serum C- reactive protein - Fetal heart rate (FHR)	All women admitted to Chicago Lying-in Hospital between 1 August 1980 and 30 July 1982 with premature rupture of membranes	<u>CRP &gt; 2 mg/dl as predictor of clinical</u> <u>chorioamnionitis</u> Prevalence of clinical chorioamnionitis: 18/100 (18%)			Report suggests consecutive women were included; exclusion criteria not reported		

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
premature rupture of	Maternal age (mean	- Maternal	(presence of gross pooling of	Predictive values as reported by study	Time that samples used in
membranes, American	± standard error of	temperature	amniotic fluid or Nitrazine-	authors in Table III *95% CI, LR+, LR- and	analysis were taken is unclear
Journal of Obstetrics and	the mean [SEM])	- White blood	positive fluid in the vaginal	2x2 table calculated by NCC techincal team	-
Gynecology, 151, 541-544,	24.5 ± 5.2 years	cell (WBC)	vault). Women were managed	using reported sensitivity and specificity,	
1985		count	conservatively and evaluated	and reported prevalence of clinical	Other information
	Gestational age		with the following tests: uterine	chorioamnionitis. Calculated PPV and NPV	
Ref Id	(mean ± SEM)		cervical culture tested for	differ from those reported in the original	18 women developed clinical
	31 (SEM not		group B streptococci,	study	chorioamnionitis; histologic
259068	reported)		Neisseria gonorrhoeae and		chorioamnionitis was
			Chlamydia trachomatis; real-	Sensitivity: 82% (66.12 to 100)	diagnosed in the placentas of
Country/ies where the	Duration of PROM		time sonogram to rule out	Specificity: 55% (44.11 to 65.65)	63 women (16 women had
study was carried out	(mean ± SEM)			PPV: 28.85 % (NCC calculated) (16.53 to	both clinical and histologic
	150 ± 21.7 hours		identify pockets of amniotic	41.16); 36% (reported)	chorioamnionitis)
USA			fluid; amniocentesis (with	NPV: 93.75% (NCC calculated) (86.90 to	
	Mode of delivery		consent) to evaluate fetal lung	100); 91% (reported)	Note there are differences in
Study type	Sponatenous vaginal		maturity and tested for	LR+: 1.85 (1.35 to 2.53)	the PPV and NPV reported by
	delivery: 47%		infection (Gram stain and	LR-: 0.30 (0.11 to 0.87)	authors and the PPV and NPV
Case-series	Outlet forceps		aerobic and anaerobic		calculated by NCC technical
	delivery: 40%		bacterial cultures); blood	CRP >2 mg/dl as predictor of histologic	team for both clinical and
Aim of the study	Caesarean section:		drawn for daily complete blood	chorioamnionitis	histological chorioamnionitis
	13%		cell count with differential	Prevalence of histologic chorioamnionitis:	-
To evaluate the sensitivity			WBC count and CRP	63/100 (63%)	Predictive values are reported
and specificity of C-reactive			determination. Fetal heart rate,		for white blood cell count, data
protein (CRP) in the	Inclusion Criteria		maternal temperature, uterine	Predictive values as reported by study	not extracted as cut-off not
management of women with			tenderness or contractions	authors in Table IV *95% CI, LR+, LR- and	clearly defined
premature rupture of	- Between 26 and 35		were evaluated every 8 hours.	2x2 table calculated by NCC techincal team	
membranes	weeks gestation		Conservative management	using reported sensitivity and specificity,	Maternal temperature ≥ 38°C -
	- Premature rupture		was interrupted if clinical	and reported prevalence of histologic	unclear from study report that
	of membranes		evidence of chorioamnionitis	chorioamnionitis. Calculated PPV and NPV	this was the definition of a
Study dates	(presence of gross		developed. Labour was	differ from those reported in the original	positive preductive test;
	pooling of amniotic		induced if maternal	study	however, this was the cut-off
1 August 1980 to 30 July	fluid or of nitrazine-		temperature was ≥ 38°C, if the		used to induce labour and so
1982	positive fluid in the		uterus became tender and	Sensitivity: 67% (55.03 to 78.31)	have assumed this to be the
	vaginal vault)		irritable, or if foul-smelling	Specificity: 81% (68.46 to 93.70)	definition of a positive
	- No signs or			PPV: 85.71% (NCC calculated) (75.92 to	predictive test
Source of funding	symptoms of		fetal tachycardia developed (>	95.51); 90% (reported)	
	chorioamnionitis		180 bpm)	NPV: 58.82% (NCC caclulated) (45.32 to	Method of fetal heart rate
				72.33); 50% (reported)	monitoring not reported

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Mother's Aid Research Fund, The Chicago Lying-In Hospital	- No labour contractions Exclusion Criteria Not reported		Rate nephelometric assay to determine CRP was performed using Beckman immunochemistry analyser (automated model) with C- reactive protein reagent kit (Beckman Instruments Inc., Fullerton, CA, USA). CRP results were not available for clinical management. All placentas and amniotic membranes were histologically evaluated for evidence of inflammation and/or infection. Criteria to define histologic chorioamnionitis: 1. polymorphonuclear leukocyte infiltration of extraplacental membranes; 2. accumulation of polymorphs in the intervillous space immediately below the chorionic plate; 3. leukocyte infiltration of the chorionic plate; 4. angiitis of umbilical vessels.	LR+: 3.52 (1.77 to 7.02) LR-: 0.41 (0.28 to 0.60) FHR >160/min as predictor of clinical chorioamnionitis Prevalence of clinical chorioamnionitis: 18/100 (18%) Predictive values as reported by study authors in Table III *95% CI, LR+, LR- and 2x2 table calculated by NCC techincal team using reported sensitivity and specificity, and reported prevalence of clinical chorioamnionitis. Sensitivity: 22% (3.02 to 41.43) Specificity: 97% (94.22 to 100) PPV: 67% (28.95 to 100) NPV: 87% (77.91 to 92.30) LR+: 9.11 (1.80 to 45.99) LR-: 0.79 (0.62 to 1.02) FHR >160/min as predictor of histologic chorioamnionitis Prevalence of histologic chorioamnionitis: 63/100 (63%) Predictive values as reported by study authors in Table IV *95% CI, LR+, LR- and 2x2 table calculated by NCC techincal team using reported sensitivity and specificity, and reported prevalence of histologic chorioamnionitis. Calculated PPV and NPV differ from those reported in the original study. Sensitivity: 8% (1.26 to 14.61) Specificity: 97% (92.07 to 100)	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
				PPV: 83% (53.51 to 100) NPV: 38% (28.47 to 48.13) LR+: 2.94 (0.36 to 24.18) LR-: 0.95 (0.86 to 1.04)	
				Maternal temperature ≥ 38°C as predictor of clincial chorioamnionitis Prevalence of clinical chorioamnionitis: 18/100 (18%)	
				Predictive values as reported by study authors in Table IV *95% CI, LR+, LR- 2x2 table calculated by NCC techincal t using reported sensitivity and specificity and reported prevalence of histologic chorioamnionitis. Calculated PPV and N differ from those reported in the original study	eam ⁄, IPV
				Sensitivity: 56% (32.6 to 78.51) Specificity: 98% (94.22 to 100) PPV: 83% (62.25 to 100) NPV: 91% (84.90 to 96.92) LR+: 22.78 (5.45 to 95.17) LR-: 0.46 (0.27 to 0.76)	
				<u>Maternal temperature ≥ 38°C as</u> <u>predictor of histologic chorioamnion</u> Prevalence of histologic chorioamnionit 63/100 (63%)	
				Predictive values as reported by study authors in Table IV *95% CI, LR+, LR- a 2x2 table calculated by NCC techincal t using reported sensitivity and specificity and reported prevalence of histologic chorioamnionitis. Calculated PPV and N	eam ⁄,

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results			Comments
				differ from those reported in the original study Sensitivity: 17% (8.09 to 26.83) Specificity: 97% (92.07 to 100) PPV: 90% (76.03 to 100) NPV: 41% (30.64 to 51.18)			
				LR+: 6.46 (0.87 to 1.03) LR-: 0.85 (0.75 to 0.96)			
				C-reactive protein - clinical reference test			
					Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	
				Predictive Test +ve	15	37	
				Predictive Test -ve	3	45	
				C-reactive protein - histological reference test			
					Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	
				Predictive Test +ve	42	7	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results			Comments
				Predictive Test -ve	21	30	
				Fetal heart r	ate - clinical re		
					Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	
				Predictive Test +ve	4	2	
				Predictive Test -ve	14	80	
				Fetal heart r test	ate - histologic	cal reference	
					Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	
				Predictive Test +ve	5	1	
				Predictive Test -ve	58	36	
						<u> </u>	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results Maternal temperature - clinical reference test			Comments
					Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	
				Predictive Test +ve	10	2	
				Predictive Test -ve	7	80	
				Maternal temperature - histological reference test			
					Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	
				Predictive Test +ve	11	1	
				Predictive Test -ve	52	36	
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	1	I	Limitations
Kurki,T., Teramo,K., Ylikorkala,O., Paavonen,J., C-reactive protein in preterm premature rupture of the	N = 147 Characteristics	Serum C- reactive protein	165 women with preterm PROM, admitted consecutively to University Central Hospital, Helsinki during the study	CRP > 12 mg/l as predictor of clinical chorioamnionitis Prevalence of clinical chorioamnionits: 33/147 (22%)			Time sample analysed was taken unclear Unclear whether index test
membranes.[Erratum appears in Arch Gynecol			period were included in the study. Preterm PROM was		,		and reference test results

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Obstet 1990;247(2):106],	Maternal age (mean		defined as visible leakage of	Predictive values and 2x2 table as reported	were interpreted
Archives of Gynecology and	± SD)			in the Erratum by study authors in Table 3	independently
Obstetrics, 247, 31-37, 1990	Women with			*95% CI, LR+, LR- calculated by NCC	, ,
	chorioamnionitis:		by reliable data from the last	technical team	Unclear whether histology
Ref Id	31.0 ± 6.4 weeks		menstrual period and by first		performed on placenta,
	Women without		trimester ultrasound.	Sensitivity: 94% (85.8 to 100)	umbilical cord or fetal
258742	chorioamnionitis:			Specificity: 50% (40.82 to 59.18)	membranes of women without
	28.5 ± 5.6 weeks		Clinical diagnosis of	PPV: 35% (25.25 to 45.21)	clinical chorioamnionitis
Country/ies where the			chorioamnionitis was based on	NPV: 97% (91.99 to 100)	
study was carried out	Multiple pregnancy		the presence of all the	LR+: 1.88 (1.53 to 2.30)	Significant errors in reporting
	<u>(n/N, %)</u>		following criteria: axillary	LR-: 0.12 (0.03 to 0.47)	of specificity and PPV for CRP
Finland	15/147 (10%)		temperature ≥ 38°C, uterine		cut-off > 12 mg/l and in
			tenderness, fetal or maternal	CRP >40 mg/l as predictor of clinical	reporting of specificity, PPV
Study type	Gestational age at		tachycardia and white blood	<u>chorioamnionitis</u>	and NPV for CRP cut-off > 40
Casa sarias	PROM (mean ± SD)		cell count > $12 \times 10^{9}$ /l.	Prevalence of clinical chorioamnionits:	mg/l in original paper
Case-series	Women with			33/147 (22%)	
Aim of the study	chorioamnionitis:		cases by the presence of		
Aim of the study	26.7 ± 0.8 weeks		histopathological evidence of	Predictive values and 2x2 table as reported	Other information
To assess the value of C-	Women without		infection in the placenta,	in the Erratum by study authors in Table 3	
reactive protein (CRP) in the	chorioamnionitis:		umbilical cord or fetal	*95% CI, LR+, LR- calculated by NCC	147 women analysed; 18/165 women were excluded: 12
diagnosis of chorioamnionitis,	31.8 ± 2.6 weeks		membranes.	technical team	had urinary tract infections, 3
puerperal endometriosis and	Contational aga at		Necestal continuomia was	$S_{0} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	had acute appendicitis, 1 had
neonatal infectious morbidity	<u>Gestational age at</u> delivery (mean ± SD)		Neonatal septicaemia was determined by clinical findings	Sensitivity: 72% (57.53 to 87.92) Specificity: 77% (69.49 to 84.90)	bacterial pneumonia, 1 had
among women with preterm	Women with		and either by a positive blood	PPV: 48% (34.15 to 61.85)	acute pancreatitis and 1 had
PROM	chorioamnionitis:		culture for bacteria or by low	NPV: 91% (84.95 to 96.50)	Crohn's disease
	$28.5 \pm 3.4$ weeks		counts of peripheral blood	LR+: 3.19 (2.14 to 4.74)	
	Women without		platelets and white blood cells.	LR-: 0.35 (0.20 to 0.62)	Statistically significantly higher
Study dates	chorioamnionitis:		Intrauterine pneumonia was		maternal age (P < 0.05), lower
	32.4 ± 3.5 weeks		determined by clinical findings,		gestational age at PROM and
1987-1988			chest X-ray findings and	C-reactive protein	at delivery (P < 0.001) and
	Duration of PROM		positive bacterial aspirate from		longer duration of PROM (P <
	(mean ± SD)		the trachea of the newborn		0.001) in women with
Source of funding	Women with		infant during the first day of		chorioamnionitis compared
	chorioamnionitis:		life.		with women without
Not reported	12.0 ± 18.5 days				chorioamnionitis
	Women without		CRP levels were measured by		
			an immunoturbidimetric		

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	ind results		Comments
	chorioamnionitis: 3.5 ± 12.1 days		method. Values > 12 mg/l were considered positive. CRP was routinely measured every 4 to 12 hours and all women		Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	NCC recalculated specifcity values for both CRP > 12 mg/l and > 40 mg/l agrees with recalculations reported in van
	Inclusion Criteria		had 3 or more measurements. CRP results were made available to clinicians and	Predictive Test +ve	31	57	de Laar 2009 (excluded systematic review)
	weeks gestation		could have influenced the clinical decision making.	Predictive Test -ve	2	57	
	Exclusion Criteria						
	Other sources of fever or leukocytosis			C-reactive p	orotein		
					Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	
				Predictive Test +ve	24	26	
				Predictive Test -ve	9	88	
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results			Limitations
Lewis,D.F., Adair,C.D., Weeks,J.W., Barrilleaux,P.S., Edwards,M.S., Garite,T.J., A	N = 135 Nonstress test n = 69	- Nonstress test	All women with preterm premature rupture of membranes admitted to	neonatal inf	onstress test p ection (sepsis, congenital pne	<u>presumed</u> umonia)	Exclusion criteria not reported Unclear whether index test
randomized clinical trial of daily nonstress testing versus biophysical profile in the	Biophysical profile n = 66	- Biophysical profile	Louisiana State University School of Medicine were eligible for inclusion.	Prevalence of neonatal infection: 14/69 (20.3%)			results interpreted independently of reference test results
management of preterm premature rupture of membranes, American Journal of Obstetrics and	Characteristics		Premature rupture of membranes was diagnosed by history of fluid leakage with confirmation by either sterile	authors in Ta intervals, LR	alues as reported able V *95% con + and LR-, 2x2 f / NCC and spec	fidence table	Definition of neonatal infection included culture-confirmed

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	nd results		Comments
Gynecology, 181, 1495-1499, 1999	<u>Maternal age (mean</u> <u>± SD)</u> 24.2 ± 7.0 years		speculum examination documenting ferning or positive Nitrazine results or	reported sense	by NCC technic sitivity, PPV, nu ess tests and nu	sepsis and clinically suspected sepsis	
Ref Id	Gestational age at		both. Eligible women were randomised to undergo either	correctly pred	dicted neonatal		
258689	admission (mean ± SD)		a daily nonstress test or daily biophysical profiling.		2.9% (16.93 to )	Other information	
Country/ies where the study was carried out	29.7 ± 3.0 weeks		A nonstress test was		0% (NCC calcu	Data for biophysical profile wee not extracted as this was	
USA	Latency period (mean $\pm$ SD) 13.6 $\pm$ 11.3 days		considered reactive if it resulted in 2 accelerations with 15 beats/min above the	wee not extracted as this was not a test of interest specified by the review protocol			
Study type	History of preterm		baseline that lasted for ≥ 15 seconds during a 20-minute	94.42); 87.3% LR+: 2.14 (0. LR-: 0.71 (0.4	96 to 4.78)	Women who had undergone cerclage or digital vaginal examination before tertiary transfer were included in the trial	
Randomised controlled study Aim of the study	<u>delivery (n/N, %)</u> 14/69 (20.3%)		period. The test was considered abnormal if these criteria were not met, a late	Nonstress te	est		
To compare the efficacy of	<u>Delivery for maturity</u> (n/N, %)		deceleration occurred or a				Data for maternal infection not
both a daily nonstress test and a full biophysical profile	<u>(1/1N, %)</u> 23/69 (33.3%)		significant variable deceleration (30 beats for 30 seconds) occurred. Women		Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	Data for maternal infection not reported separately
in pregnancies complicated by preterm premature rupture of membranes, and the ability	Inclusion Criteria		with abnormal results on a nonstress test had a complete	Predictive	6	11	Data from last test before delivery were analysed
of each test to predict infectious morbidity of both	- Preterm premature		biophysical profile as a backup confirmatory test.				Data used for calculation of 2x2 table as follows: 14 cases
mother and neonate	membranes at ≤ 34 weeks gestation		Delivery was prompted by spontaneous labour, clinical	Predictive Test -ve	8	44	of sepsis or presumed sepsis (taken from Table IV and text);
Study dates	- No obvious clinical infection		evidence of intra-amniotic infection, a mature fetal lung				17 women had abnormal stress test (taken from text);
36-month period - dates not reported (before 1999)	- No condition requiring immediate delivery		profile, or abnormal antenatal fetal test results.				sensitivity 42.9% (taken from Table V) and PPV (taken from Table V)
Source of funding	- Stable condition for 24h before transfer to study antenatal ward		All women received antibiotics during the intrapartal period for prophylaxis against group B				
Not reported			Streptococcus.				

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	Exclusion Criteria Not reported		Intra-amniotic infection was diagnosed clinically, by analysis of amniotic fluid obtained from amniocentesis (positive Gram stain or culture) or by maternal temperature ≥ 100.4°F, foul-smelling fluid and uterine tenderness. Neonatal sepsis was diagnosed by positive results on blood or spinal fluid culture, or the presence of congenital pneumonia (diagnosed by		
			neonatal staff, requiring positive radiographic finding plus evidence of sepsis). Presumed sepsis was diagnosed by the attending neonatologist and included clinical signs of infection with negative culture results and an abnormal leukocyte count (leukopenia, ≤ 5000 cells/mm <sup>3</sup> ; neutropenia, ≤ 1500 cells/mm <sup>3</sup> ; or leukocytosis ≥28,000 cells/mm <sup>3</sup> with a left shift). Clinical signs of presumed		
			sepsis included shock, poor perfusion, temperature instability, respiratory distress, hypotonia, lethargy and feeding intolerance Data from the last test before delivery was used in the analysis		

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Perrone,G., Anceschi,M.M., Capri,O., Galoppi,P., Pizzulo,S., Buccheri,M.,	N = 66	Serum C- reactive protein	During the study period 320 women with suspected pPROM between 24 and 37	C-reactive protein >12,000 μ/l as predictior of funisitis Prevalence of funisitis: 24/66 (36%)	Unclear whether consecutive women were included
Pascone,R., Nofroni,I., Brunelli,R., Maternal C- reactive protein at hospital	Characteristics Maternal age (mean,		weeks gestation were admitted to the emergency room of the Dept of Obstetrics and		Prophylactic antibiotics were given to all women
admission is a simple predictor of funisitis in preterm premature rupture of	<u>range)</u> 32 years (24 to 40)		Gynaecology. Women with confirmed pPROM and gestational age between 24	*LR+ and LR- and 2x2 table calculated by NCC	Time that samples used in analysis were taken is unclear
membranes, Gynecologic and Obstetric Investigation, 74, 95-99, 2012	<u>Gestational age at</u> <u>PROM (mean ± SD)</u> 28.6 ± 4.4 weeks		and 33 weeks were enrolled in the study. pPROM was diagnosed by sterile speculum	<b>CRP at admission</b> Sensitivity: 41.7 % (24.5 to 61.2) Specificity: 83.3% (69.4 to 91.7)	Other information
<b>Ref Id</b> 258897	<u>Gestational age at</u> <u>birth (mean ± SD)</u> 30.8 ± 4.1 weeks		examination of the clear fluid of the vaginal fornix and by Actim PROM test.	PPV: 58.8% (36.6 to 78.4) NPV: 71.4% (57.4 to 82.2) LR+: 2.5 (1.10 to 5.71) LR-: 0.70 (0.49 to 1.01)	
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Interval between pPROM and birth		All women were referred to intensive care unit for bed rest, close monitoring of maternal	<b>CRP pre-partum</b> Sensitivity: 75.0% (55.1 to 88.0)	
Italy	<u>(mean ± SD)</u> 16 ± 12 days		heart rate and contractions, fever and fetal biophysical profile. All women received	Specificity: 69.0% (54.0 to 80.9) PPV: 58.1% (40.8 to 73.6) NPV: 82.9% (67.3 to 91.9)	
Study type To be decided	Inclusion Criteria		corticosteroid prophylaxis for fetal lung maturation and antibiotic prophylaxis against	LR+: 2.42 (1.46 to 4.02) LR-: 0.36 (0.18 to 0.75)	
Aim of the study	- Confirmed pPROM between 24 and 33 weeks gestation		chorioamnionitis. Tocolytics were administered to delay delivery in order to complete	CRP >20,000 μ/I as predictior of funisitis Prevalence of funisitis: 24/66 (36%)	
To analyse the value of maternal serum C-reactive protein (CRP) in predicting	- Singleton pregnancy - Non-anomalous		cycles of steroids and antibiotics.	Predictive values and confidence intervals as reported by study authors in Table 2 *LR+ and LR- and 2x2 table calculated by	
funisitis in women with pPROM and to assess the prognostic role of maternal	fetus		From admission until delivery, maternal non-fasting blood samples were collected every	NCC CRP at admission	
CRP in samples obtained at admission, a few hours after rupture of membranes	Exclusion Criteria		3 days for white blood cell count, platelet count, and CRP	Sensitivity: 37.5% (21.2 to 57.3) Specificity: 90.5% (77.9 to 96.2)	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	and results	
Study dates December 2005 to December 2007 Source of funding Not reported	<ul> <li>Time interval</li> <li>between pPROM and admission to hospital</li> <li>12h</li> <li>Twin pregnancy</li> <li>Fetal malformation</li> <li>Fetal growth restriction</li> <li>Clinical evidence of chorioamnionitis</li> <li>Maternal or neonatal follow-up not available</li> </ul>			LR+: 3.94 (1.36 to 11.43) LR-: 0.69 (0.50 to 0.96) CRP pre-partum Sensitivity: 54.2% (35.1 to 72.1) Specificity: 88.1% (75.0 to 94.8) PPV: 72.2% (49.1 to 87.5) NPV: 77.1% (63.5 to 86.7)		
			funisitis was diagnosed by the presence of neutrophils in the umbilical vessel wall and/or in	C-reactive p	Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve
			Wharton's jelly.	Predictive Test +ve	10	
				Predictive Test -ve	14	35
				C-reactive p	protein	
					Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve
				Predictive Test +ve	18	13

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results			Comments
				Predictive Test -ve	6	29	
				C-reactive protein		_	
					Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	
				Predictive Test +ve	9		
				Predictive Test -ve	15	38	-
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results		I	Limitations
Romem,Y., Artal,R., C- reactive protein as a predictor for chorioamnionitis in cases	N = 51	- Serum C- reactive protein	Women with PROM admitted to the Los Angeles County/University of Southern	for clinical of	protein ≥ 2mg/d chorioamnionit	is	Unclear whether consecutive women were included
of premature rupture of the membranes, American	Characteristics	- White blood cell count	California Women's Hospital during the study period were	7/51 (13.7%)	)		NCC calculate a slightly different NPV than is reported
Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 150, 546-550, 1984	<u>Maternal age (mean</u> <u>± standard error of</u> <u>the mean [SEM])</u> 25.2 ± 0.7 years		included. Rupture of membranes was confirmed by positive Nitrazine test, pooling of fluid in the posterior vaginal	Predictive values as reported by study authors in Table V *95% CI, LR+, LR- and 2x2 table calculated by NCC technical team			in the original paper
Ref Id	Gestational age at		fornix and positive ferning. All women were confined to bed-		6% (59.79 to 10	0)	Other information
258734	admission (mean ± SEM)		rest in hospital and monitored daily by white blood cell	Specificity: 8	2% (70.42 to 93 6.93 to 68.78)		CRP levels were considered abnormal when values
Country/ies where the study was carried out	30.4 ± 0.4 weeks		(WBC) count (with differential	NPV: 97% (9 LR+: 4.71 (2	92.07 to 100)		exceeded 1.78 to 1.89 mg/dl
USA	Inclusion Criteria		temperature, pulse and fetal heart rate (at 06:00, 10:00,	LR-: 0.17 (Ò.			Analysed CRP levels on admission were analysed,

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Study type Case-series Aim of the study To evaluate the usefulness of C-reactive protein (CRP)	- Women with premature rupture of membranes at ≤ 34 weeks gestation - Clinical manifestations of infection were ruled out		14:00 and 22:00 hours). Betamethasone was given when fetal lung immaturity was suspected and/or at a gestational age < 32 weeks. On admission serum for CRP determination was obtained	WBC ≥ 12.5 x 10 <sup>3</sup> for predicting clinical <u>chorioamnionitis</u> Prevalence of clinical chorioamnionitis: 13.7% Values as reported by authors in Table V *95% CI, LR+, LR- and 2x2 table calculated by NCC technical team and NPV predicted by NCC block the 2x2 table	timing of WBC analysed unclear
determinations in the diagnostic process of clinical chorioamnionitis in women with premature rupture of the membranes at the time of admission and during follow- up	- Expectant management attempted Exclusion Criteria Not reported		delivery; 6 tested early afternoon as well as at least 2h postprandially). Sera were stored at -20°C and analysed after discharge so that results would not influence management. CRP levels	recalculated by NCC. Note that 2x2 table adds up to 49 rather than 51 Sensitivity: 43% (6.20 to 79.52) Specificity: 82% (70.42 to 93.21) PPV: 27% (0.95 to 53.59) NPV: 90% (NCC calculated) (80.70 to 99.30); 84% (reported)	
Study dates September 1982 to August 1983 Source of funding			were determined by rate nephelometry immunoassay, utilising a Beckman Immunochemistry Analyser and a reagent kit for CRP (Beckman Instruments Inc., Fullerton, CA, USA).	LR+: 2.36 (0.82 to 6.81) LR-: 0.70 (0.36 to 1.35) $\frac{\text{WBC} \ge 16.00 \times 10^3 \text{ for predicting clinical}}{\text{chorioamnionitis}}$ Prevalence of clinical chorioamnionitis: 13.7%	
Sponsored by the Society for Gynecologic Investigation			Criteria used to diagnose clinical chorioamnionitis were as established by Gibbs 1980, Koh 1979 and Garite 1982, and include maternal fever > 38°C in the absence of other causes for such fever. CRP was considered abnormal when values exceeded 1.78 to 1.89 mg/dl	Values as reported by authors in Table V *95% Cl, LR+, LR- and 2x2 table calculated by NCC technical team Sensitivity: 29% (0 to 62.04) Specificity: 95% (89.30 to 100) PPV: 50% (1.00 to 99.00) NPV: 89% (80.55 to 98.18) LR+: 6.29 (1.05 to 37.66) LR-: 0.75 (0.47 to 1.20)	
				C-reactive protein	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	ind results		Comments
					Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	
				Predictive Test +ve	6	8	
				Predictive Test -ve	1	36	
				White blood	l cell count	·	
					Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	
				Predictive Test +ve	3	8	
				Predictive Test -ve	4	36	
				White blood	l cell count		
					Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	
				Predictive Test +ve	2	2	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results			Comments
				Predictive Test -ve	5	42	
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results			Limitations
Smith,E.J., Muller,C.L., Sartorius,J.A., White,D.R., Maslow,A.S., C-reactive protein as a predictor of chorioamnionitis, Journal of the American Osteopathic Association, 112, 660-664, 2012 <b>Ref Id</b> 258739 <b>Country/ies where the</b>	N = 73 Characteristics <u>Maternal age (mean</u> $\pm$ <u>SD</u> ) 28.0 $\pm$ 5.9 years <u>Gestational age at</u> <u>delivery (mean <math>\pm</math></u> <u>SD</u> ) 31.0 $\pm$ 4.0 weeks	Serum C- reactive protein	meeting the inclusion criteria who had received prenatal care at Geisinger Medical Centre (Danville, Pennsylvania, USA) were retrospectively reviewed. Records were reviewed for the following variable: maternal age, race, gestational age, maternal smoking status, Gram stain and culture results, steroid administration,	C-reactive protein >5mg/dL as predictor of histological chorioamnionitis Prevalence of histological chorioamnionitis: 26/73 (36%) Values reported in text of results section * LR+ and LR- and all 95% confidence intervals calculated by NCC using data reported in text of results Sensitivity: 76.9% (60.73 to 93.12) Specificity: 31.9% (18.59 to 45.24) PPV: 38.5% (25.24 to 51.68) NPV: 71.4% (52.11 to 90.75) LR+: 1.13 (0.85 to 1.51)			Retrospective case series Unclear whether consecutive women were included 22% of women had a multiple pregnancy Other information Predictive values reported in results section of original study are for women with
study was carried out USA	Latency (median. interquartile range) 4 (1 to 10) days		latency, white blood cell count closest to delivery date, CRP before delivery, temperature at	LR-: 0.72 (0.3 C-reactive p			histologically confirmed chorioamnionitis Final CRP level recorded
Study type Case-series Aim of the study	<u>Multiple pregnancy</u> ( <u>n/N, %)</u> 16/73 (22%)		onset of labour and days of latency from time of premature rupture of membranes to delivery.		Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	before delivery were analysed
To determine if C-reactive protein (CRP) is an effective early marker of	Inclusion Criteria Women with clinical		The final CRP level recorded before delivery was analysed.	Predictive Test +ve	20	32	
early marker of chorioamnionitis in women with preterm premature rupture of membranes	chorioamnionitis, histological chorioamnionitis or both, and preterm premature rupture of membranes			Predictive Test -ve	6	15	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
<b>Study dates</b> 1 January 2005 - 31 December 2008	Gestational age between 20 and 37 weeks				
Source of funding	Exclusion Criteria				
None reported	No CRP data in medical records				
	Medical records contained data that overturned diagnosis of preterm premature rupture of membranes				
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Yoon,B.H., Jun,J.K., Park,K.H., Syn,H.C., Gomez,R., Romero,R., Serum C-reactive protein, white blood cell count, and amniotic fluid white blood cell count in women with preterm premature rupture of membranes, Obstetrics and Gynecology, 88, 1034-1040,	N = 91 (only 63 women analysed - see limitations) Characteristics <u>Maternal age (mean</u> ± SD)	- Serum C- reactive protein - White blood cell count - Amniotic fluid white blood cell count	National University Hospital with a diagnosis of pPROM and who met the inclusion criteria were enrolled in the study. Amniotic fluid obtained by amniocentesis was cultured for aerobic and anaerobic bacteria, as well as for	C-reactive protein ≥0.7 mg/dl as a predictor of histologic chorioamnionitis Prevalence of histologic chorioamnionitis: 35/63 (56%) Predictive values as reported by study authors in Table 2 *95% confidence intervals, LR+ and LR- calculated by NCC technical team	Only women who had delivered within 72 hours of amniocentesis were included in the analysis (63/91; 69%) Some women (number unknown) with a negative amniotic fluid culture delivered at term
1996 Ref Id	<u>± SD)</u> Negative amniotic fluid culture: 28.4 ± 3.9 years		urealyticum and Mycoplasma hominis). An aliquot was	Sensitivity: 54% (37.78 to 70.79) Specificity: 86% (72.75 to 98.68) PPV: 83% (67.12 to 98.10)	During the study period 83% of women with pPROM and a singleton pregnancy also had
259030 Country/ies where the	Positive amniotic fluid culture: 29.4 ± 5.1 years		haemocytometer chamber to	NPV: 60% (44.82 to 75.18) LR+: 3.8 (1.46 to 9.89) LR-: 0.53 (0.36 to 0.79)	amniocentesis and were therefore eligible for study inclusion; unclear whether consecutive women were
study was carried out	,			White blood cell count ≥ 13,000 cells per mm³ as a predictor of histologic	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes a	nd results		Comments
Korea	<u>Gestational age at</u> admission (median,			Unclear whether results of index test were interpreted			
Study type	range) Negative amniotic		centrifuged at 700 x $g$ for 10 min at 4°C and supernatant	LR+: 2.24 (0.92 to 5.47) LR-: 0.73 (0.53 to 1.01)			without knowledge of reference test results
Case-series	fluid culture: 34.3 weeks (20 to 36.7)		stored at -70°C until C- reactive protein was				
Aim of the study	Positive amniotic fluid culture: 32.7 weeks		determined.				Other information
To compare the diagnostic performance of maternal serum C-reactive protein (CRP) and white blood cell	(23.1 to 36.4) <u>Gestational age at</u> delivery (median,		Acute histologic chorioamnionitis was defined as the presence of acute inflammatory changes in any				One woman with a bloody tap with AF WBC of 101 cells per mm <sup>3</sup> was excluded from the analysis Values for amniotic blood cell count not extracted as this was not a test of interest
(WBC) count with that of amniotic fluid WBC count in the identification of positive amniotic fluid culture, acute	range) Negative amniotic fluid culture: 35.3 weeks (24.3 to 41.4)		chorion-decidua, umbilical cord or chorionic plate). Clinical chorioamnionitis was				
histologic chorioamnionits, clinical chorioamnionitis and neonatal complications in	Positive amniotic fluid culture: 32.7 weeks (23.1 to 36.3)		diagnosed by the criteria according to Gibbs et al., 1982				specified in review protocol
women with preterm PROM	(20.1 10 00.0)				Reference Test +ve	Reference Test -ve	
Study dates	Inclusion Criteria						
April 1993 to April 1995	- Singleton gestation - Transabdominal amniocentesis			Predictive Test +ve	19	4	
Source of funding	performed for microbiological			Predictive Test -ve	16	24	
Grant #HMP-96-M-2-0020 of the '96 Good Health R&D Breiset, Ministry of Health	assessment of the amniotic cavity - Maternal blood						
Project, Ministry of Health and Welfare, Republic of Korea	drawn for determination of WBC and CRP concentration at time			White blood cell count			
	of amniocentesis - Rupture of membranes						

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results			Comments
	diagnosed by examination with sterile speculum confirming pooling of					Reference Test -ve	
	amniotic fluid in the vagina, positive	tic fluid in the a, positive ne paper test and a positive		Predictive Test +ve	14	5	
	result and a positive ferning test result			Predictive Test -ve	21	23	
	Exclusion Criteria						
	Not reported						

## H.6 'Rescue' cervical cerclage

Study details	Participants	Interventions		Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Aoki,S., Ohnuma,E., Kurasawa,K., Okuda,M., Takahashi,T., Hirahara,F., Emergency cerclage versus expectant management for prolapsed fetal membranes: a retrospective, comparative study, Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research, 40, 381-386, 2014 <b>Ref Id</b>	Characteristics Age (years, median, range):Emergency cerclage [33 (27-42)] vs. Bedrest: [35.5(30-	Emergency Cerclage (N=15) McDonald cerclage (N=12) Shirodkar (N=2), both (N=1) Tocolysis 24hr post-op Expectant management (N=20) Bed rest Tocolytic administered.	women who had been treated for prolapsed fetal membranes between January 2000 and December 2012 at the Perinatal Center for Maternity and Neonate, Yokohoma. Prolapsed fetal membranes were diagnosed to be present when an amniotic sac was identified under speculum exam,	delivery Emergency cerclage [N=12 (80.0%)] vs. Bedrest: [N=20 (100.0%)] p=0.07 Extremely premature	Method of allocation unrelated to potential confounding factors: No Attempts made in design or analysis to balance comparison groups for confounding factors: No Comparison groups received same care apart from intervention studied: Unclear Participants blinded to treatment allocation: N/A Individuals administering care

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
325174	(15.7–26.1) Bedrest: 23.4 (21.1–26.4)		performed on women with prolapsed fetal membranes.	Emergency cerclage [N=3	blinded to treatment allocation: N/A
Country/ies where the study was carried out Japan Study type	Inclusion criteria Women who had been treated for prolapsed fetal membranes i) a singleton viable		Expectant management consisted of bedrest. Data presented as medians or frequencies.	$\frac{\text{[N=3]}}{(20.0\%)} \text{ vs.}$ Bedrest: [N=16 (80.0\%)] p=<0.01 <u>Days</u> <u>prolongation of</u> pregnancy	All groups followed up for equal length of time: Unclear How many participants did not complete treatment: None Groups comparable for treatment completion: No statistically significant differences.
Retrospective cohort <b>Aim of the study</b> To compare outcomes after emergency cerclage vs. expectant management for prolapsed fetal membranes in women with cervical incompetency. <b>Study dates</b>	pregnancies between 15 + 0 and 26 + 6 gestational weeks ii) no premature rupture of membranes iii) No clinically discernible chorioamnionitis iv) no obvious fetal malformations v) no heavy bleeding vi) no treatment resistant uterine contractions			(median, (range)) Emergency cerclage:44 (4– 165) Bedrest: 12.5 (2- 93) p=<.01	Groups comparable with respect to availability of outcome data: Yes Appropriate length of follow up: Yes Precise definition of outcome: No Valid and reliable method of outcome measurement: Yes Investigators blinded to intervention: No Investigators blinded to other important confounding and prognostic factors: No Indirectness: No
Jan 2000-December 2012.	Not reported				
Source of funding					
None					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Althuisius,S.M., Dekker,G.A., Hummel,P., van Geijn,H.P., Cervical inc, Cervical incompetence prevention randomized cerclage trial:	N = 23 Characteristics	Emergency cerclage (n = 13 mothers, n = 16 babies)	<b>Recruitment and randomisation</b> Eligible women were admitted to hospital immediately and randomised to either the cerclage group or bed rest group.	Neonatal survival (n/N (%)) Emergency cerclage: 9/16	Appropriate randomisation: Yes Allocation concealment:Yes Groups comparable at baseline: Yes

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
emergency cerclage with bed	Weeks of gestation at	Bed rest	Randomisation was organised in	(56.3)	Groups received same care
rest versus bed rest alone,	randomisation (mean ± SD)	(n = 10 mothers, n = 14)	balanced blocks and assigned by	Bed rest: 4/14	(apart from intervention): not all
American Journal of	Emergency cerclage: 22.2 ±	babies)	telephone.	(28.6)	women received tocolysis or
Obstetrics and Gynecology,	3.3				corticosteroids but numbers were
189, 907-910, 2003	Bed rest: 23.0 ± 2.1		Care protocol	Preterm birth <	balanced across the groups
			All women received	34 weeks (n/N	Blinding of participants: No
Ref Id	Twin gestation (n (%))		amoxicillin/clavulanic acid 1g	(%))	Blinding of staff providing
	Emergency cerclage: 3 (23.1)		intravenously every 6 h and	Emergency	care: No
246614	Bed rest: 4 (40%)		metranidazole 500mg	cerclage: 7/13	Blinding of outcome
			intravenously every 8 h for 1 week.		assessors: Unclear
Country/ies where the	Received tocolysis - oral		Hospitalisation was maintained	Bed rest: 10/10	Missing data/loss to follow-up:
study was carried out	nifedipine (n (%))		and women were restricted to bed	(100)	None
	Emergency cerclage: 11 (84.6)		until 30 weeks gestation. During		Precise definition of outcomes:
The Netherlands	Bed rest: 8 (80)			Interval between	Neonatal morbidity includes
			low molecular weight heparin as	randomisation	neonatal death
Study type	Indication for steroid		thrombosis prophylaxis. At 30	and delivery -	Valid and reliable method of
	administration (n (%))		weeks gestation women were	days (mean ±	outcome assessment: Yes
Randomised controlled trial	Emergency cerclage: 3 (23.1)		allowed to start mobilisation.	SD)	Intention-to-treat analysis
	Bed rest: 3 (30)		Discharge from hospital depended	Emergency	performed: Yes
			on home situation, when	cerclage: 54 ± 47	Indirectness: 3 women in the
Aim of the study			necessary home care was	Bed rest: 20 ± 28	cerclage group and 4 women in
_	Inclusion criteria		arranged.		the bed rest only group had a
To compare pregnancy				Gestational age	multiple pregnancy (30.4% of the
outcome following emergency	Imminent preterm delivery		Cerclage procedure	at delivery -	study population)
cerclage, bed rest, antibiotics	because of cervical		Women allocated to cerclage	weeks (mean ±	
and indomethacin with bed	incompetence with membranes		received an indomethacin 100mg	SD)	
rest only in women diagnosed	at or beyond a dilated external		suppository 2 h before and 6 h	Emergency	Other information
with cervical incompetence	cervical os before 27 weeks of		after the operation to inhibit	cerclage: 29.9 ±	
with prolapsed membranes at	gestation.		possible contractions caused by	8.4	Cerclage removal
or beyond a dilated external			the operation.	Bed rest: 25.9 ±	8/23 removed on fetal and/or
cervical os	(Women with symptoms of		Cerclage was performed under	4.3	maternal indication at a mean of
	cervical incompetence — loss		general anaesthetic with the		24.74 weeks (95% CI, 19.14 to
Other days and a final second	of cervical mucus, sensation of		woman in the lithotomy position	<b>Compound</b>	30.28), and all delivered the
Study dates	downward pressure in the		with steep Trenelenburg tilt.	neontal	same day
hube 1005 hube 2000	abdomen, feeling of a lump in		Prolapsed membranes were gently	morbidity (n (%))	5/23 removed electively at a
July 1995 – July 2000	the vagina — underwent		pushed back into the uterine cavity	- defined as	mean of 36.0 weeks: mean
	transvaginal ultrasonography.		with an inflated Foley catheter	admission to	interval between removal and

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
<b>Source of funding</b> Supported by grant no. 28- 2615 of the Health Research Development Council, The Hague, The Netherlands	In women with a cervical length < 25mm a speculum examination was performed to assess possible dilation of the external cervical os and prolapse of membranes.) Exclusion criteria Premature rupture of membranes Preterm labour (determined by detailed history and clinical observation)		(Charrière 16). A single purse- string suture with a braided polyester thread (metric 8/USP 6) was performed, similar to the technique of McDonald. Cerclages were removed on maternal or fetal indication or electively at 37 weeks gestation.	NICU and/or neonatal death Emergency cerclage: 10/16 (62.5) Bed rest: 14/14 (100)	delivery 15 days (95% CI, 8 to 22)
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Curti,A., Simonazzi,G., Farina,A., Mehmeti,H., Facchinetti,F., Rizzo,N., Exam-indicated cerclage in patients with fetal membranes at or beyond external os: a retrospective evaluation, Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research, 38, 1352-1357, 2012 <b>Ref Id</b> 246677 <b>Country/ies where the</b> <b>study was carried out</b>	N = 52 Characteristics <u>Maternal age - years (mean ±</u> <u>SD)</u> Emergency cerclage: 30 ± 5 Conservative management: 32 ± 5 <u>Gestational age at diagnosis</u> <u>- weeks (median (min - max))</u> Emergency cerclage: 21 (17– 28) Conservative management: 23 (19–26) <u>Consided dilection</u> and	Exam-indicated cerclage (n = 37) Conservative management (n = 15)	<b>Recruitment</b> 52 women with bulging fetal membranes at or beyond the external orifice of the uterus requiring hospital admission at one of two hospitals were included in the study. Women were allocated to receive either cerclage or conservative management. <b>Care protocol and cerclage</b> <b>procedure</b> Cerclage was performed under general or spinal anaesthesia, at least 24 hours after admission. A moist swab on sponge-holding forceps was used to push the membranes back into the uterine	Conservative management: 3/15 (20%) Prolongation of pregnancy - days (median (min - max)) Emergency cerclage: 43 (12– 83) Conservative management: 3	Method of allocation unrelated to potential confounding factors: study states women were allocated to treatment but it is not clear how this allocation was made Attempts made in design or analysis to balance comparison groups for confounding factors: Yes Groups comparable at baseline: Yes Comparison groups received same care apart from intervention studied: 67% of women in cerclage group and 60% of women in conservative management group received
Italy	<u>Cervical dilation - cm</u> (median min - max))		cavity. Cerclage was performed using the Shirodkar technique in all	(1–7)	tocolysis. Bed rest protocol for cerclage group unclear.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study type	Emergency cerclage: 2 (1-4)		cases but one, where the	Gestational age	Participants blinded to
Retrospective cohort study	Conservative management: 4 (2–6)		McDonald technique was used. Mersilene was always used as the	<u>at delivery -</u> weeks (median	treatment allocation: N/A Individuals administering care
			suture material. All women in the	<u>(min - max))</u>	blinded to treatment allocation:
Aim of the study	Bulging beyond external os - %		cerclage group received a 7-day course of prophylactic antibiotics	Emergency cerclage: 29 (22–	N/A All groups followed up for
· ···· ·· ··· ··· ···· ······	Emergency cerclage: 75		(erythromycin or ampicillin i.v.).	40)	equal length of time: Yes
To compare the outcomes of	Conservative management: 53		Tocolytic drugs were administered	Conservative	How many participants did not
operative and conservative treatment of pregnancies			on a case-by-case basis according		complete treatment: None
complicated by amniotic sac	Inclusion criteria		to clinical findings. Conservative management	(22–27)	Groups comparable for treatment completion: Yes
prolapse in the second			consisted of bed rest during	Birth weight -	Groups comparable with
trimester	1. Vital pregnancy between 17		hospitalisation, antibiotics and	grams (median	respect to availability of
	and 27 weeks 2. Bulging fetal membranes,		clinical surveillance in all cases and tocolysis on a case-by-case	<u>(min - max))</u> Emergency	outcome data: Yes Appropriate length of follow
Study dates	defined as hernia-like		basis.	cerclage: 1410	up: Yes
	protrusion of the unopened			(590-3550)	Precise definition of outcome:
January 2001 – April 2009	amniotic sac through the cervical canal at or beyond the			Conservative	Yes Valid and reliable method of
	external orifice of the uterus,			management: 645 (437–3250)	outcome measurement: Yes
Source of funding	diagnosed digitally and by			0.00(101-0200)	Investigators blinded to
None reported	speculum examination			<u>Neonatal</u>	intervention: No
None reported				<u>survival - n*/N</u> (%)	Investigators blinded to other important confounding and
	Exclusion criteria			Emergency	prognostic factors: No
				cerclage: 30/37	
	<ol> <li>Multiple gestations</li> <li>Preterm premature rupture</li> </ol>			(82) Conservative	Other information
	of the membranes			management:	
	3. Cervical dilation > 6cm			8/15 (54)	Authors state that all women
	4. Symptoms of chorioamionitis (temperature > 38°C, uterine			*n calculated by	included in the study were at low
	tenderness, fetal tachycardia)			NCC-WCH from reported %	risk of preterm birth. Cerclage procedure stopped in
	5. Active labour (3 or more				one woman due to amniorrhexis
	regular uterine contractions in			Admission to	and moved to conservative
	10 min associated with cervical			NICU - n*/N (%)	management.
				Emergency	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	changes) 6. Vaginal bleeding			cerclage: 19/37 (51) Conservative management: 15/15 (100) *n calculated by NCC-WCH from reported %	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Daskalakis,G., Papantoniou,N., Mesogitis,S., Antsaklis,A., Management of cervical insufficiency and bulging fetal membranes, Obstetrics and Gynecology, 107, 221-226, 2006 <b>Ref Id</b> 247115 <b>Country/ies where the</b> <b>study was carried out</b> Greece	N = 46 Characteristics <u>Maternal age - years (mean ±</u> <u>SD)</u> Emergency cerclage: 27.1 ± 3.6 Bed rest: 26.4 ± 3.4 <u>Weeks gestation at</u> <u>diagnosis (mean ± SD)</u> Emergency cerclage: 22.4 ± 1.7 Bed rest: 22.6 ± 1.6	Emergency McDonald cerclage (n = 29) Bed rest (n = 17)	<b>Recruitment</b> During the study period all pregnant women who had a second trimester scan anomaly between 18 and 23 weeks at the study hospital were offered the option of preterm labour screening, which involved transvaginal ultrasonographic cervical assessment. Women with a short cervix (< 15 mm) were offered the option to have either a cervical cerclage or weekly transvaginal ultrasonographic scanning with the intention of treatment when further	Neonatal survival (n/N (%) Emergency cerclage: 24/25 (96) Bed rest: 4/7	Method of allocation unrelated to potential confounding factors: Unclear Attempts made in design or analysis to balance comparison groups for confounding factors: Unclear Comparison groups received same care apart from intervention studied: Unclear Participants blinded to treatment allocation: N/A Individuals administering care blinded to treatment allocation: N/A All groups followed up for
Study type	Cervical dilation at diagnosis		cervical changes were observed. Speculum examination was	Prolongation of pregnancy -	equal length of time: Yes How many participants did not
Prospective cohort study	(mean ± SD) Emergency cerclage: 4.1 ± 1.4 Bed rest: 4.0 ± 1.3		performed to assess possible dilation and membrane prolapse. When a woman was found to have	weeks (mean ± SD) Emergency	complete treatment: None Groups comparable for treatment completion: Yes
Aim of the study	Inclusion criteria		cervical dilation with membranes at or beyond a dilated external cerival os at any time of screening before	3.9 Bed rest: 3.1 ±	Groups comparable with respect to availability of outcome data: Yes
To describe the treatment protocol for the management of women at high risk of	1. Live intrauterine singleton pregnancy		26 weeks of gestation she was offered emergency cerclage and entered the study protocol. Those	2.6	Appropriate length of follow up: Yes Precise definition of outcome:

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
preterm delivery and the	2. Gestational age between 18		accepting cerclage formed the	Birth weight -	Yes
experience with emergency	and 26 weeks		emergency cerclage group, those	grams (mean ±	Valid and reliable method of
cerclage in one hospital in	3. Cervical dilation more than		declining cerclage formed the bed	SD)	outcome measurement: Yes
Greece	2cm and membrane prolapse		rest group.	Emergency	Investigators blinded to
	4. Intact membranes			cerclage: 2101	intervention: Unclear
	5. Absence of uterine		Care protocol	(689.9)	Investigators blinded to other
Study dates	contractions		Women in the cerclage group were		important confounding and
	6. Absence of clinical evidence		given cefuroxime and	(486.7)	prognostic factors: Unclear
1999 – 2005	of chorioamnionitis		metronidazole intravenously in the		Indirectness: None
	7. Absence of significant		operating room and continued for	Admission to	
	vaginal bleeding		48 h. Additionally they received	NICU (n/N (%))	
Source of funding			erythromycin 1.5g orally daily for	Emergency	Other information
			10 days following cerclage.	cerclage: 7/25	
None reported	Exclusion criteria		Following cerclage women	(28.0)	All women were asymptomatic at
			received prophylactic tocolysis	Bed rest: 6/7	the time of diagnosis of cervical
	Exclusion criteria before		using 100mg indomethacin twice a		dilation with membrane at or
	preterm delivery screening		day for 2 days and 5mg of ritodrine		beyond a dilated external cervical
	1. Previous spontaneous		orally every 6 hours for 2 weeks.	Preterm delivery	os. Women were observed for 8–
	preterm delivery		Women were restricted to bed rest		24 h to exclude preterm labour
	2. Previous mid-trimester		in the hospital for 7 days and	<u>(%))</u>	as the cause of cervical dilation.
	spontaneous abortion or		discharged home with instruction	Emergency	Uterine activity was assessed
	termination of pregnancy		for strict bed rest until 32 weeks.	cerclage: 9/29	with the woman's perceptions of
	3. Multiple gestation		During the bed rest period women	(31.0)	contractions as well as
	4. Oligohydramnios or		received low molecular weight	Bed rest: 16/17	abdominal palpation.
	hydramnios		heparin for thrombosis prophylaxis.	(94.1)	Membrane rupture did not occur
	5. Placenta praevia		Follow up included antenatal clinic		at the time of cerclage in any of
	6. Fetuses with congenital or		assessment at 2-week intervals.	<u>Caesarean</u>	the women.
	chromosomal abnormalities		After 32 weeks women were	section (n/N (%))	
	7. Known congenital uterine		allowed to mobilise with plenty of	Emergency	Cerclage removal
	malformation 8. Cervical insufficiency or		rest.	cerclage: 7/29*	The suture was removed in 3 women: in two of the three it was
	cervical cerclage		Carolana proacture	(24.1) Bed rest: 2/17	
			Cerclage procedure	, ,	due to premature rupture of the membranes, 3 and 12 days
	Exclusion crieria following		Emergency cerclage placement	(11.8)	following the procedure,
	preterm delivery screening		was performed under general anaesthesia. Women were placed	*Calculated by NCC from	respectively; in the third it was
	1. Premature rupture of				due to strong persistent
	membranes		in lithotomy position with steep	percentage	contractions 2 weeks after
			Trendelenburg tilt. Vaginal walls	reported in paper	CONTRACTIONS 2 WEEKS AILEI

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	<ol> <li>Vaginal bleeding</li> <li>Persistent contractions</li> </ol>		and fornices were prepared with antispeptic solution. A moist swab on a sponge-holding forceps was used to push the membranes back into the uterine cavity. 5-mm polyester cerclage tape (Cervix- Set, Aesculap AG, Tuttlingen, Germany) with a large needle was placed, while the membranes were protected from perforation while being held away with a smaller moist swab. The knot was tied anteriorly and a long tail of tape left to facilitate removal before vaginal delivery. Ultrasound examination at 48-h postoperatively was used to confirm correct placement of cervical stitch. The suture was removed at 37 weeks gestation or whenever labour was established.	b. Cervical dystocia due to	cerclage placement. All three had histologic evidence of placental and chorioamniotic infection. None of the three neonates survived.
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Olatunbosun,O.A., al- Nuaim,L., Turnell,R.W., Emergency cerclage compared with bed rest for advanced cervical dilatation in pregnancy, International Surgery, 80, 170-174, 1995 <b>Ref Id</b> 221859 <b>Country/ies where the study was carried out</b>	N = 37 Characteristics <u>Maternal age - years (mean ±</u> <u>SD)</u> Emergency cerclage: 28.7 ± 4.1 Bed rest: 28.0 ± 4.3 <u>Weeks gestation at</u> <u>diagnosis (mean ± SD)</u> Emergency cerclage: 22.4 ±	Emergency cerclage (n = 22) Bed rest (n = 15)	<b>Recruitment</b> 43 consecutive women with widely dilated cervices at 20 – 27 weeks gestation were prospectively recruited by the first author during his time at three hospitals: Nigeria (1987–1989), Saudi Arabia (1989– 1991) and Canada (1992–1993). Diagnosis of open cervix was made by speculum examination. <b>Care protocol</b> All women were admitted to the labour and delivery suite and monitored for uterine contractions	Neonatal survival (n/N (%)) Emergency cerclage: 17/22 (73.3%) Bed rest: 9/15 (66.7%) Weeks gestation at delivery (mean ± SD) Emergency cerclage: 33 ± 4.4	Method of allocation unrelated to potential confounding factors: Unclear Attempts made in design or analysis to balance comparison groups for confounding factors: Yes Comparison groups received same care apart from intervention studied: All women received initial tocolysis which was continued in some women with uterine irritability. Not all women received corticosteroids or antibiotics

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Nigeria, Saudi Arabia and	2.1		for 4 h after fetal viability had been	Bed rest: 28.8 ±	Participants blinded to
Canada	Bed rest: 23.2 ± 2.2		confirmed by transabdominal	4.4	treatment allocation: N/A
			ultrasonography. If labour had not		Individuals administering care
Study type	Cervical dilatation - cm		begun and there was no evidence		blinded to treatment allocation:
	(mean ± SD)		of intra-amniotic infection, tocolysis		N/A
Prospective cohort study	Emergency cerclage: $6.0 \pm 1.0$		with intravenous ritodrine or	Emergency	All groups followed up for
	Bed rest: 6.0 ± 1.1		indomethacin suppositories was	cerclage: 2.0 ±	equal length of time: Yes
Aim of the study			initiated in all women for an initial	0.8	How many participants did not
Aim of the study	Inclusion criteria		48 h period and reinstituted only if	Bed rest: 1.2 ± 0.7	complete treatment: None
To compare the duration of	Inclusion criteria		uterine irritability developed. Corticosteroids were administered	0.7	Groups comparable for
pregnancy prolongation,	1. Cervical effacement greater		to women with significant	Caesarean	treatment completion: Yes Groups comparable with
maternal hospitalisation and	than 50% and dilatation at least		contractions between 27 and 33		respect to availability of
perinatal outcomes in women	4 cm		weeks gestation but were avoided	Emergency	outcome data: Yes
who had emergency cerclage			when premature rupture of	cerclage: 3/22	Appropriate length of follow
	intact membranes through the		membranes occurred. Women	(13.6)	up: Yes
alone	open cervix		diagnosed with intra-amniotic	Bed rest: 3/15	Precise definition of outcome:
	3. A live singleton intrauterine		infection were treated with	(20.0)	Yes
	pregnancy		appropriate antibiotic therapy and	()	Valid and reliable method of
Study dates	4. Absence of established		labour was induced with oxytocin.	Prolonged	outcome measurement: Yes
	labour		Women with bed rest only were	tocolysis (n/N	Investigators blinded to
1987 – 1993	5. Absence of significant		placed in the Trendelenburg	(%))	intervention: Unclear
	vaginal bleeding		position, transferred to the	Emergency	Investigators blinded to other
	6. Absence of clinical evidence		antepartum ward and remained in	cerclage: 5/22	important confounding and
Source of funding	of infection		hospital until delivery.	(22.7)	prognostic factors: Unclear
Now of women stand			Women in both groups whose	Bed rest: 11/15	Indirectness: None
None reported			membranes ruptured were	(73.3)	
	Exclusion criteria		managed expectantly without		
	1 History of presidents samilarl		tocolysis until spontaneous labour	Premature	Other information
	1. History of previous cervical		occurred or chorioamnionitis was	membrane	
	cerclage 2. Habitual abortion (three last		identified.		43 women met the inclusion
	consecutive pregnancies			Emergency	criteria. Cerclage was
	terminating spontaneously		Cerclage procedure	cerclage: 5/22	successfully placed in 22/23 (96%) women. 5/20 (25%)
	before 20 weeks gestation)		Emergency cerclage was performed within 6 hours of	(22.7) Bed rest: 9/15	women initially in the bed rest
	3. A potential cause for mid-		admission. Prolapsed fetal	(60.0)	group elected to withdraw from
			membranes were reduced either	(00.0)	the bed rest protocol to have
			Inemplates were reduced ellier		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods Outcomes and Results		Comments
	trimester abortion 4. Preterm labour		with an inflated Foley catheter with the tip cut, or by retrograde bladder filling with saline solution. All procedures were performed under general anaesthesia with women placed in steep Trendelenburg position. Postoperatively an indwelling Foley catheter was left in placed for 24-48 h and antibiotic therapy given for a total of 5 days. Tocolysis was continued for 24-48 h or until uterine irritability ceased. Absolute bed rest was required for the initial 48 h. Women were gradually ambulated and discharged on the 5th or 6th day and advised against coitus. Following surgery women were reviewed weekly in an outpatient clinic. Women were hospitalised again if there was preterm labour or rupture of membranes. Sutures were removed at 38 weeks or whenever labour occurred.		operative treatment and were excluded from the analysis.
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Stupin,J.H., David,M., Siedentopf,J.P., Dudenhausen,J.W., Emergency cerclage versus bed rest for amniotic sac	N = 161 Characteristics	Emergency cerclage (n = 89 mothers) Convervative treatment (bed rest, tocolysis, and antibiotics)	<b>Recruitment</b> Data were collected retrospectively from the medical files of 182 women who had been treated for amniotic sac prolapse during the	<u>at delivery</u> (median (range)) Emergency	Method of allocation unrelated to potential confounding factors: No Attempts made in design or analysis to balance
prolapse before 27 gestational weeks. A retrospective, comparative study of 161 women,	Maternal age on admission - years (median (range)) Emergency cerclage: 30 (20– 41)	(n = 72 mothers)	study period at one hospital in Berlin. After applying the inclusion/exclusion criteria 161 women were included in the study.	42) Conservative treatment: 23	comparison groups for confounding factors: Unclear Comparison groups received same care apart from

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
European Journal of	Conservative treatment: 32	but number of babies			intervention studied: Unclear
Obstetrics, Gynecology, and	(18–41)	not reported	Emergency cerclage group	Birth weight <	Participants blinded to
Reproductive Biology, 139,			In 93% of cases cerclage was	500g (n/N (%))	treatment allocation: N/A
32-37, 2008	Weeks gestation on				Individuals administering care
	admission (median (range))		or the following day. Methods used		blinded to treatment allocation:
Ref Id	Emergency cerclage: 22 (18–		were the combination described by	(22)	N/A
	26)		\ \	Conservative	All groups followed up for
223248	Conservative treatment: 23		and complete closure of the uterus	treatment: 40/72	equal length of time: Unclear
	(18–26)		with fibrin adhesive in the cervical	(56)	How many participants did not
Country/ies where the			canal; n = 14), McDonald		complete treatment: None
study was carried out	Multiple pregnancy (n/N (%))			Perinatal	Groups comparable for
	Emergency cerclage: 18/89		the uterus opening used by Saling	mortality* (n/N	treatment completion: Yes
Germany	(20)		(n = 2).	<u>(%))</u>	Groups comparable with
	Conservative treatment: 13/72		86/89 (96.6%) women received	*any intrauterine	respect to availability of
Study type	(18)		tocolysis with intravenous	fetal death or live-	outcome data: 17/182 women
			fenoterol/magnesium sulphate on	born neonates	reviewed for inclusion were
Retrospective cohort study	Manually determined cervical		admission. 3/89 women received	who died within 7-	
	dilatation - cm (median		tocolysis on the operating theatre.	days postpartum	in their medical file
	<u>(range))</u>			Emergency	Appropriate length of follow
Aim of the study	Emergency cerclage: 2.75		48 h in women where the uterus		up: Yes
To compare the outcomes of	(0.5–5.0)		remained free of contractions	Conservative	Precise definition of outcome:
To compare the outcomes of	Conservative treatment: 2.0		before and after the procedure. If	treatment: 13/72	Yes
operative and conservative	(0.5–8.0)		no contractions occurred following	(18)	Valid and reliable method of
treatment of amniotic sac prolapse in the second			suspension of tocolysis, and		outcome measurement: Yes
trimester			clinical and vaginal sonography	Take-home baby	Investigators blinded to
linnester	Inclusion criteria		showed a positive postoperative	rate (n/N (%))	intervention: No
			outcome, the initial protocol of	Emergency	Investigators blinded to other
Study dates	1. A vital pregnancy between		absolute bed rest was relaxed then	cerclage: 64/89	important confounding and
Study dates	17+0 and 26+0 gestational		lifted. 54/89 (60.7%) women with	(72)	prognostic factors: No
December 1989–June 2005	week		signs of infection received	Conservative	Indirectness: Yes - 31/161
	2. An amniotic sac prolapse,		antibiotic therapy peri- and post-	treatment: 18/72	(19%) women had a multiple
	defined as a hernia-like		operatively. Women in a stable	(25)	pregnancy (emergency cerclage:
Source of funding	protrusion of the unopened sac		condition were discharged home.		18/89 (20%); conservative
	through the cervical canal and				treatment: 13/72 (18%)).
None reported	beyond the external orifice of		Convervative treatment		
	the uterus (the extent of the		The decision to carry out		
	internal and external opening		intravenous tocolytic and/or		

Study details	Participants	Interventions		Outcomes and Results	Comments
	of the uterus and remaining cervical length were not taken in to consideration) <b>Exclusion criteria</b> 1. Previous cervical operation in the same pregnancy 2. Symptoms of clinical chorioamnionitis (fever, uterine tenderness, fetal tachycardia, marked leukocytosis, and/or elevated C-reactive protein 3. Signs of preterm labour		antibiotic therapy was taken on a case-by-case basis. 65/72 (90.3%) women received tocolysis on admission, 7/72 (9.7%) women received tocolysis later during hospital stay. 50/72 (69.4%) women received antibiotic therapy. All women were required to observe bed rest, in some cases with an elevated pelvis.		Other information Allocation to emergency cerclage or conservative treatment was dependent on the equivalent preference of the physicans and/or the woman and was not significantly influenced by findings on admission or prognostic criteria, except for the amount of cervical dilatation. Denominator for all outcomes is the woman (NB 19% women had a multiple pregnancy) <u>Rupture of membranes during</u> <u>emergency cerlcage (n/N (%)</u> Total: 8/75 (10.7) McDonald technique: 7/73 (9.6) Saling technique: 1/2 (50)

## H.7 Diagnosing preterm labour for women with intact membranes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
van Baaren,G.J., Vis,J.Y., Wilms,F.F., Oudijk,M.A., Kwee,A., Porath,M.M., Oei,G., Scheepers,H.C., Spaanderman,M.E., Bloemenkamp,K.W., Haak,M.C., Bolte,A.C., Bax,C.J., Cornette,J.M., Duvekot,J.J., Nij Bijvanck,B.W., van,Eyck J., Franssen,M.T., Sollie,K.M., Vandenbussche,F.P., Woiski,M., Grobman,W.A., van der Post,J.A., Bossuyt,P.M., Opmeer,B.C., Mol,B.W., Predictive	Characteristics <u>Mean maternal age, years</u>	Fetal fibronectin test with a cut-off of 0.05 microgram/ml (50 ng/ml) for a positive test	Data were collected from 10 Dutch primary centres. Cervical length measurement by transvaginal ultrasound involved	<u>mm)</u>	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
value of cervical length measurement and fibronectin testing in threatened preterm labor, Obstetrics and Gynecology, 123, 1185-1192, 2014 <b>Ref Id</b> 325288 <b>Country/ies where the study was carried out</b> The Netherlands <b>Study type</b> Prospective cohort study <b>Aim of the study</b> To examine combining cervical length measurement with fetal fibronectin testing in predicting delivery in women with symptoms of preterm labour <b>Study dates</b> December 2009 to August 2012	Mean gestational age, weeks 29Parity, n/N (%) Nulliparous = 343/665 (52%)Previous pre-term birth < 37 weeks, n (%) Yes = 143 (22%)Birth within 7 days after study entrance n (%) 80 (12%)Digital examination (N=510) n (%) Cervical dilation 1 cm: 152 (30%) Cervical dilation 2 cm: 39 (7.6%) Cervical dilation 3 cm: 18 (3.6%)Inclusion Criteria	Cervical length used 25 mm as a cut off at admission as determined by ultrasound. <u>Reference standard</u> Birth within 7 days of admission.	vagina before a vaginal examination or cervical length measurement performed. Primary outcomes were birth within 7 days using a 5% risk threshold. or pre-term birth ≤ 34 weeks' gestation. Definition of pre-term labour Painful and regular uterine contractions > 3/30 minutes alongside one of the following changes (bleeding, back or abdominal pain) Use of tocolysis Tocolytic medication was administered according to local management protocols. Statistical analysis Four logistic regression models were developed: - A model with only cervical length as a predictor - A model with only fibronectin as a predictor - A model with both fibronectin and cervical length as predictors	(negative) = $0.40$ ( $0.18$ to $1.01$ )* Sensitivity = $88.68$ % ( $76.96$ to $95.70$ )* Specificity = $26.67$ % ( $16.08$ to $39.66$ )* <u>Fibronectin test</u> (cervical length 15 - <u>20 mm</u> ) Likelihood ratio (positive) = $1.91$ ( $1.56$ to $2.34$ )* Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.00$ * Sensitivity = $100$ % ( $66.21$ to $100$ )* Specificity = $47.67$ % ( $36.79$ to $58.73$ )* <u>Fibronectin test</u> (cervical length 20- <u>25 mm</u> ) Likelihood ratio (positive) = $1.59$ ( $1.05$ to $2.40$ )* Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.50$ ( $0.19$ to $1.34$ )* Sensitivity = $72.73$ % ( $39.08$ to $93.65$ )*	Were selection criteria clearly described? Yes Was the reference standard likely to classify the target condition correctly? Yes Was the period between performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target condition did not change between the two tests? Yes Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification
Source of funding The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development	<ul> <li>Gestational age between 23 and 34 weeks</li> <li>Painful and regular contractions (≥ 3 every 30 minutes),</li> </ul>		- A A combined model in that the increase of the risk associated with cervical length could differ from women with a positive fibronectin test result and for those with a negative result. Sensitivity and specificity were calculated for diagnosis of	Specificity = $54.13 \%$ ( $44.32 \%$ to $63.71$ )* <u>Fibronectin test</u> (cervical length 25- <u>30 mm</u> ) Likelihood ratio (positive) = $1.93$ ( $1.15$ to $3.21$ )*	using the reference standard? N/A Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result?

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>vaginal bleeding or abdominal or back pain</li> <li>Intact membrance</li> <li>Exclusion Criteria</li> <li>Received tocolysis within the previous 7 days</li> <li>Premature rupture of membranes</li> <li>Cervical dilation &gt; 3cm</li> <li>Suspected intrauterine infection</li> <li>Lethal congenital abnormalities</li> <li>Non reassuring fetal status</li> <li>Placental abruption</li> <li>Hypertensive disorder</li> <li>A medically indicated pre-term birth</li> </ul>		spontaneous pre-term birth within 7 days for different cervical lengths. Cervical length were analysed as a continuous variable. A test was considered positive when the predicted risk was equal to or > 5%. Positive and negative predictive values were calculated for prediction model. Data analysis were performed using R 2.10.0 and SPSS 20.0.	Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.34$ ( $0.06$ to $2.0$ )* Sensitivity = $80.0$ % ( $28.81$ to $96.70$ )* Specificity = $58.44$ % ( $46.64$ to $69.77$ )* <u>Fibronectin test</u> (cervical length >= $30$ ) mm) Likelihood ratio (positive) = $4.22$ ( $3.38$ to $5.26$ )* Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.00^*$ Sensitivity = $100$ % ( $19.29$ to $100$ )* Specificity = $76.28$ % ( $70.55$ to $81.39$ )* <u>Fibronectin test (all</u> cervical lengths) Likelihood ratio (positive) = $2.22$ ( $1.95$ to $2.52$ )* Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.21$ ( $0.12$ to $0.37$ )* Specificity = $87.50$ % ( $78.21$ to $93.83$ )* Specificity = $60.51$ % ( $56.42$ to $64.50$ )* *Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.	N/A Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					results of the index test? N/A
					Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes
					Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A
					Were withdrawals from the study explained? Yes
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Azlin,M.I., Bang,H.K., An,L.J., Mohamad,S.N., Mansor,N.A., Yee,B.S., Zulkifli,N.H., Tamil,A.M., Role of phIGFBP-1 and ultrasound cervical length in predicting pre-term labour, Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 30, 456-459, 2010	N = 51. Characteristics	Index test An pIGFBP-1 test with an unspecified threshold value for a positive	Details pIGFBP-1 testing was performed before vaginal examination. A one step dipstick test kit was used for the detection of IGFBP-1 in cervical	plGFBP-1 test to diagnose birth within 7 days Likelihood ratio (positive) = 12.27 (2.83 to 22.16)*	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participant's representative of the patients who
Ref Id	The following demographic	result.	secretions. A cervical secretion	Likelihood ratio	will receive the test
258526	data were collected: age, gravidity, parity, miscarriage, POA, income. These are	<u>Index test</u> Cervical length <	specimen was obtained using a Dacron swab which was placed in extraction solution into which	(negative) = 0.21 (0.01 to 0.76)* Sensitivity = 80.0%	in practice? Yes Were selection
Country/ies where the study was carried out	presented by pIGFBP-1 testing status (+ve or -ve),	25mm (positive) measured using	a dipstick was placed. One (positive) blue line on the	(32.9 to 98.9)*	criteria clearly described? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Malaysia Study type Prospective cohort study Aim of the study To evaluate and compare the efficacy of pIGFBP-1 and cervical length measured by ultrasound, alone or in combination, in predicting pre-term labour. Study dates Not reported. Source of funding	cervical length <25mm, testing status (+ve or -ve) and both testing statuses (+ve or -ve). Demographic data were similar except for: <b>Gravidity <math>\pm</math> SD</b> Cervical length < 25mm (Positive) = 1.94 $\pm$ 0.90 Cervical length > 25mm (Negative) = 2.88 $\pm$ 1.70 <b>Miscarriage <math>\pm</math> SD</b> Cervical length < 25mm (Positive) = 0.12 $\pm$ 0.33 Cervical length > 25mm (Negative) = 0.91 $\pm$ 1.42	transvaginal ultrasound (TV US). <u>Reference</u> <u>standard</u> Delivery < 1 week.	dipstick confirmed that pIGFBP- 1 concentration in the sample exceeded the threshold value for the test. A second blue line confirmed the test was performed correctly. If no lines appeared then the test was judged not to have performed properly. Clinicians were not blinded to results as this was a routine clinical test. Cervical length measurement was performed using TV US after the bladder was emptied. A standardised technique was used to identify the anatomical position of the internal cervical os, cervical canal and external cervical os. The cervical length	Specificity = 93.5% (88.4 to 95.5)* Cervical length <25 mm to diagnose birth within 7 days Likelihood ratio (positive) = 2.83 (0.93 to 3.78)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.28 (0.01 to 1.03)* Sensitivity = 80.0% (31.3 to 98.9)* Specificity = 71.7% (66.4 to 73.8)* plGFBP-1 test and cervical length <25mm to diagnose	
Financially supported by a UKMMC Fundamental Research Grant.	<ul> <li>Inclusion Criteria</li> <li>Singleton pregnancies</li> <li>Women with signs of pre-term labour</li> <li>Gestational age between 24 and 36 weeks</li> <li>Exclusion Criteria</li> <li>Pre-term premature rupture of membranes</li> </ul>		result was recorded as positive if < 25mm and negative if ≥ 25mm. Health care providers were blinded to the results of this test. Subsequent management of the woman was performed according to standard protocols of the hospital. <u>Definition of pre-term labour</u> Not reported. <u>Use of tocolysis</u> Tocolytic medication was administered at the discretion of	birth within 7 days Likelihood ratio (positive) = 36.8 (4.83 to 508.35)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.20 (0.02 to 0.71)* Sensitivity = 80.0% (34.4 to 98.2)* Specificity = 97.8% (92.9 to 99.8)* *Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team	sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? The whole sample Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Placenta previa or abruptio placenta</li> <li>Multiple pregnancies</li> <li>Cervical dilatation ≥ 3cm on vaginal examination</li> <li>Cervical cerclage suture or cervical incompetence</li> </ul>		the attending healthcare professionals who were blinded to ultrasound test results, but not IGFBP-1 test results. 12/51 (23.53%) of women received tocolysis and two of these women had positive results for both tests. 34/51 (66.67%) women were admitted for further management, 16/51 (31.37%) women were discharged and 1 woman (1.96%) was admitted twice for signs and symptoms of pre-term labour. <b>Statistical analysis</b> A sample size of 51 was required to achieve 80% power and 95% confidence intervals, given an estimated 6% prevalence of pre-term labour. Demographic characteristics of the pregnancy, intervention during admission and timing of birth were analysed using the kappa estimate, ROC curve, central tendency, Student's t- tests and chi-squared tests. Statistical significance was taken at p < 0.05.		independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Bagga,R., Takhtani,M., Suri,V., Adhikari,K., Arora,S., Bhardwaj,S., Cervical length and cervicovaginal HCG for prediction of pre-term birth in women with signs and symptoms of pre-term	N = 100.	Index test Cervical length < 25 mm as determined by	Details Gestational age was determined using the last menstrual period and confirmed by ultrasound	<u>Cervical length ≤</u> 25mm to diagnose birth within 48 hours	QUADAS <u>checklist</u> Was the spectrum of participants

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
labour, Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 30, 451-455, 2010	Characteristics Mean age, years ± SD	transvaginal ultrasound at admission	results from either the first or second trimester.	Likelihood ratio (positive) = 5.94 (2.75 to 12.60)*	representative of the patients who will receive the test
Ref Id	25.49 ± 3.82 (range 20 to 36)		Speculum examination was performed to determine HCG	Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.42	in practice? Yes
242576	<u>Mean gestational age at</u> admission, weeks ± SD in	<u>standard</u> Birth within 48	followed by digital cervical examination to assess dilation	(0.25 to 0.66)* Sensitivity = 62.5%	Were selection criteria clearly
Country/ies where the study was carried out	<u>days</u> 32+2 weeks ±17.80 days	hours or 7 days of presentation	and effacement then transvaginal ultrasound.	(44.6 to 76.6)* (15/24)	described? Yes
India				Specificity = 89.5%	Was the reference
Study type	<u>Parity, n/N (%)</u> Nulliparous = 55/100 (55%) Multiparous = 45/100 (45%)		Primary outcomes were birth within 48 hours, 7 days, 7 to 14 days, after 14 days and under	(83.8 to 93.9)* (68/76)	standard likely to classify the target condition correctly?
Prospective cohort study	Previous pre-term birth,		37 weeks.	<u>Cervical length ≤</u> 25mm to diagnose	Yes
Aim of the study	<u>n/N (%)</u> 12/100 (12%)		Definition of pre-term labour Threatened pre-term labour was	<b>birth within 7 days</b> Likelihood ratio	Was the period between
To assess the role of cervicovaginal human chorionic gonadotoprin and cervical length measurement by transvaginal ultrasound to predict pre-term birth in women with signs and symptoms of pre-term labour.	The number of women who received tocolytic medication was not reported		defined as regular uterine contractions of 4 in 20 minutes or 8 in 60 minutes confirmed by palpation or tocodynamometry with no evidence of cervical	(positive) = 19.50 (5.14 to 117.76)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.41 (0.36 to 0.57)*	performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure
Study dates	Inclusion Criteria		change. Actual pre-term labour was defined as regular uterine contractions as for threatened	Sensitivity = 60.0% (48.3 to 64.7)* (21/35)	that the target condition did not change between
Not reported.	<ul> <li>Singleton pregnancies</li> <li>Gestational age &gt; 26 and &lt; 37 weeks</li> </ul>		pre-term labour plus cervical dilation ≥ 1cm or effacement ≥ 80%.	Specificity = 96.9% (91.6 to 99.5)* (63/65)	the two tests? Yes Did the whole sample or a
Source of funding	<ul> <li>Presentation with signs and</li> </ul>		<u>Use of tocolysis</u> Not reported.	*Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical	random selection of the sample
Not reported.	signs and symptoms of threatened pre-term labour or actual pre- term labour		Statistical analysis No relevant statistical analyses were carried out in relation to	team.	receive verification using the reference standard? Yes
			the protocol for this review. Sensitivity, specificity, likelihood		Did participants receive the same

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	Exclusion Criteria  Women with cervical dilation > 3cm Antepartum haemorrhage Rupture membranes Congenitally malformed fetus Uncertain gestational age		ratios and associated 95% confidence intervals were therefore calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.		reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear Were the reference

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A
					Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes
					Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A
					Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Bartnicki,J., Casal,D., Kreaden,U.S., Saling,E., Vetter,K., Fetal fibronectin in vaginal specimens predicts preterm delivery and very-low-birth-weight infants, American Journal of Obstetrics and	N = 112.	of > 50ng/ml for	Details Eligible women were drawn from all 3254 births at the study hospital during 1991.	Fetal fibronectin to diagnose birth within 7 days Likelihood ratio (positive)	QUADAS <u>checklist</u> Was the spectrum of participants
Gynecology, 174, 971-974, 1996 <b>Ref Id</b>	Characteristics <u>Mean gestational age at</u> <u>admission, weeks ± SD</u>	a positive test result. <u>Reference</u> <u>standard</u>	A fetal fibronectin test was performed before all other tests at admission. A swab was taken from the posterior fornix of the	= 3.44 (2.57 to 4.60)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.00 (0.00 to 1.15)* Sensitivity = 100.0% (19.2 to 100.0)* (2/2)	representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
258252 Country/ies where the study was carried out Germany Study type Prospective cohort study Aim of the study To evaluate the association of vaginal fetal fibronectin expression to risk of pre-term birth and birth of very-low-birth-weight infants. Study dates 1991. Source of funding Not reported.	<ul> <li>Pre-term birth (&lt; 37 weeks' gestation) = 29.5 ± 3.2.</li> <li>Term birth = 30.8 ± 2.9.</li> <li>No other characteristics were reported.</li> <li>No data were provided for the number of women with previous pre-term births, the number who received tocolytic medication or parity.</li> <li>Inclusion Criteria <ul> <li>Gestational age between 22 and 35 weeks</li> <li>Intact amniotic membranes</li> <li>Minimal cervical dilation (≤ 2cm)</li> <li>Symptoms of preterm labour</li> </ul> </li> <li>Exclusion Criteria</li> <li>Not reported.</li> </ul>		vagina. A positive test result was defined as > 0.05µg/ml. A digital cervical examination was then performed. Uterine contractility was assessed using tocodynamometry or abdominal palpation. Definition of pre-term labour Symptoms of pre-term labour were defined as uterine contractions, change in vaginal discharge and abdominal discomfort. Use of tocolysis Tocolytic medication was administered at the discretion of the attending physician without knowledge of the fetal fibronectin test result. Statistical analysis No relevant statistical analyses were carried out in relation to the protocol for this review. Likelihood ratios, sensitivity, specificity and associated 95% confidence intervals were therefore calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.	Specificity = 70.9% (61.5 to 79.5)* (78/110) *Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.	Were selection criteria clearly described? Yes Was the reference standard likely to classify the target condition correctly? Yes Was the period between performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target condition did not change between the two tests? Yes Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? Yes Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes
					Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes
					Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes
					Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear
					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Unclear - of relevant baseline characteristics only mean gestational age at admission is reported.
					Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A
					Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Benattar,C., Taieb,J., Fernandez,H., Lindendaum,A., Frydman,R., Ville,Y., Rapid fetal fibronectin swab-test in preterm labor patients treated by betamimetics, European Journal of	N = 114	Index test Fetal fibronectin test with a cut-off of > 50ng/ml for	Details Out of 140 women presenting with symptoms of pre-term labour, 114 were included in the study. N = 110	Total N = 124 Positive fetal fibronectin n = 19	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants
Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology, 72, 131-135, 1997	Characteristics Mean gestational age at	a positive test result.	singleton and 14 twin pregnancies, n = 19 women with raised temperature (38°C) and intact membranes.	Birth within 7 days n = 9 Sensitivity = 89% (55	representative of the patients who will receive the test
Ref Id	sampling	<u>Reference test</u>	Index test	to 100)	in practice? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
270950	Positive fibronectin test = 31.9 (SD 2.5)	Birth within 7 days.	Specimen was obtained from exocervix and posterior vaginal	Specificity = 90% (55 to 100)	Were selection criteria clearly
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Negative fibronectin test = 31.3 (3.2)	uuyo.	fornix by using a Dacron swab. The result was processed using	No adequate data	described? Yes
France	Mean Bishop score		ELISA rapid assay. The test was done at bedside and a result		Was the reference standard likely to
Study type	Positive fibronectin test = 4.0 (SD 2.1)		produced within 5 minutes. No cut-off was reported to	and negative likelihood ratios.	classify the target condition correctly?
Prospective cohort study	Negative fibronectin test = 4.9 (SD 2.1)		determine a positive test result.	Incidence of pre-	Yes
Aim of the study	Mean duration of tocolysis		Definition of pre-term labour Symptoms suggested of pre-	term birth Positive fibronectin	Was the period between
To examine the value of a rapid fetal fibronectin swab test used as a bedside test in the prognosis of pre-term labour.	(days) Positive fibronectin test = 6 (SD 9.4) Negative fibronectin test = 6.6 (SD 12.2)		term labour included regular contractions (> 5 per hour) associated with cervical changes since the last examination.	test = $47\%$ Negative fibronectin test = $15\%$ P > 0.001	performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure
Study dates			Use of tocolysis		that the target condition did not
Not reported.	Inclusion Criteria		All women received intravenous betamimetics on admission and treated with the same protocol		change between the two tests? N/A
Source of funding	• 24 to 36 weeks' gestation		of oral tocolytics after successful arrest of pre-term labour with		Did the whole sample or a
Not reported.	Symptoms of preterm labour		parenteral drugs. <u>Statistical analysis</u> Continuous variables were analysed with Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variables were		random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? Yes
	Exclusion Criteria		analysed using Fisher's exact test using Statview SE Software.		Did participants receive the same reference standard
	<ul> <li>Cervix ≥ 3cm dilated</li> <li>Confirmed rupture</li> </ul>				regardless of the index test result? Yes
	of membranes				

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Vaginal bleeding</li> </ul>				Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes
					Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes
					Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? N/A
					Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Yes
					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes
					Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A
					Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
					Other information
					No cut-off to determine a positive test result was reported.
					Unclear if the vaginal examination was performed before or after the fibronectin test.

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Unclear history of previous premature birth.
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Botsis,D., Makrakis,E., Papagianni,V., Kouskouni,E., Grigoriou,O., Dendrinos,S., Creatsas,G., The value of cervical length and plasma proMMP-9 levels for the prediction of preterm delivery in pregnant women presenting with threatened preterm labor, European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology, 128, 108-112, 2006 <b>Ref Id</b> 271145 <b>Country/ies where the study was carried out</b> Greece <b>Study type</b> Prospective cohort study	N = 62 Characteristics <u>Median maternal age,</u> <u>years (range)</u> 28 (21 to 36) <u>Median gestational age at</u> <u>presentation, weeks</u> (range) 32 (24 to 36) <u>Nulliparity, %</u> Birth within 7 days = 45.4% No birth within 7 days = 35.3%	Index test Cervical length < 15mm as determined by transvaginal sonography at admission. Reference standard Birth within 7 days of presentation.	DetailsRecruitment was consecutive.A cut-off of 15mm was chosen for cervical length based on the results of previous published studies.Definition of pre-term labour Suspected pre-term labour was defined as the presence of ≥ 2 uterine contractions in a 10 minute period, confirmed by tocography. True pre-term labour was defined as regular uterine contractions at a minimum frequency of 2 every 10 minutes plus progressive cervical changes in effacement, dilation or both.	Cervical length < 15mm to diagnose birth within 7 days of presentation Likelihood ratio (positive) = 10.43 (3.73 to 20.60)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.20 (0.04 to 0.55)* Sensitivity = 81.8% (52.7 to 96.5)* (9/11) Specificity = 92.2% (85.9 to 95.3)* (47/51) *Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes Were selection criteria clearly described? Yes Was the reference standard likely to classify the target condition correctly? Yes Was the period
<b>Aim of the study</b> To determine the effectiveness of plasma proMMP- 9 levels and cervical length as determined by transvaginal sonography in predicting birth within 7 days of presentation.	History of pre-term birth, % Birth within 7 days = 18.1% No birth within 7 days = 7.8% Use of tocolytics, % Birth within 7 days = 45.4% No birth within 7 days = 31.3%		<u>Use of tocoloysis</u> Administration of tocolytic medication was the decision of the attending obstetrician who was blinded to the results of the transvaginal ultrasound. <u>Statistical analysis</u> Sensitivity and specificity were calculated however these values		between performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target condition did not change between the two tests? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Study dates June 2000 to February 2001. Source of funding Not reported.	Inclusion Criteria         • Gestational age between 24 and 36 weeks         • Singleton pregnancies with a detectable fetal heart beat         • Absence of any complication up until presentation         • Absence of any pathological condition e.g. cardiovascular disease, connective tissue disease, gingival disease         • Presence of ≥ 2 uterine contractions in a 10 minute period, confirmed by tocography         • Absence of cervical dilation         • No evidence of rupture of membranes         • Absence of carvical dilation		are not quoted in this review as they were incorrect due to rounding errors. Sensitivity, specificity, likelihood ratios and associated 95% confidence intervals were therefore calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.		Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? YesDid participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? YesWas the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) YesWas the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? YesWas the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	the same sonographer Exclusion Criteria Not reported.				Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Yes / No / Unclear / N/A Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Brik,M., Hernandez,A.I., Pedraz,C.C., Perales,A., Phosphorylated insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1 and cervical measurement in women with threatening preterm birth, Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 89, 268-274, 2010	N = 276 Characteristics	Index test A pIGFBP-1 test with a minimal detectable concentration of 10µg and a	Details pIGFBP-1 testing was performed before TV US. Lastly a digital cervical examination was performed to estimate Bishop scores. Uterine	plGFBP-1 test to diagnose birth within 48 hours N = 276 Likelihood ratio (positive) = 2.10	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participant's representative of the patients who
Ref Id	Symptoms of threatened	threshold	contractions were considered	(1.52 to 2.91)	will receive the test
258409 Country/ies where the study was carried out	labour Abdominal pain 59%, contractions 7%, leaking of fluid 3%, lumbar pain 3%,	concentration of 30µg for a positive result.	significant if there were > 3 contractions in 30 minutes as determined by cardiotocography. Urine	Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.41 (0.19 to 0.87) Sensitivity = 73.7%	in practice? Yes Were selection criteria clearly
Spain	other 3%. Mean maternal age, years	Reference standard Birth within 48	analysis was performed to exclude a UTI.	Specificity = 64.9%	described? Yes Was the reference
Study type	<u><b>± SD (range)</b></u> 29.4 ± 5.9 (15-46)	hours or within 7	A rapid strip test (Actim Partis	diagnose birth	standard likely to
Prospective cohort study		days.	test) for the detection of pIGFBP-1 in cervical secretions	<u>within 7 days</u> N = 276	classify the target condition correctly?
Aim of the study	<u>Parity, n/N (%)</u> Nulliparous = 161/276 (58.3%)		was used. A cervical fluid specimen from the external os was obtained using a Dacron	Likelihood ratio (positive) = 2.16 (1.60 to 2.92)	Yes Was the period
To determine the use of cervical pIGFBP-1 in predicting pre-term birth and to assess its association with cervical length measured by transvaginal ultrasound.	Multiparous = 115/276 (41.6%) <u>Previous pre-term birth,</u> <u>n/N (%)</u> 26/276 (9.4%)		swab. The swab was placed in extraction solution and shaken. A dipstick was placed in the solution. A concentration of > 30µg was required for a positive result apparent as two blue lines. A negative result	Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.41$ ( $0.21$ to $0.78$ ) Sensitivity = $73.1\%$ Specificity = $66.2\%$	between performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target
Study dates	Mean gestational age at		appeared as a single blue line.		condition did not
June 2004 to July 2008.	<u>examination, weeks ± SD</u> ( <u>range)</u> 29.9 ± 2.8 (23-34)		<u>Definition of active labour</u> Cervix 100% effaced with > 3cm dilation.		change between the two tests? Yes Did the whole
Source of funding			Use of tocolysis		sample or a random selection of the sample

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Supported by a grant from the Agencia Valenciana de Salud.	<ul> <li>Inclusion Criteria         <ul> <li>Singleton pregnancies</li> <li>Intact membranes</li> <li>Threatened pre- term labour (symptoms of abdominal pain)</li> <li>Gestational age between 24 and 34 weeks</li> </ul> </li> <li>Exclusion Criteria         <ul> <li>Premature rupture of membranes (nitrazine test or pIGFBP-1 at bedside)</li> <li>Moderate to intense vaginal bleeding</li> <li>Placental abruption</li> <li>Active labour</li> <li>Cervical cerclage</li> <li>Fetal anomalies</li> <li>Fetal distress leading to induction</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		Tocolytic medication was administered to women with established pre-term labour in accordance with local clinical protocols and steroids were administered as appropriate. <u>Statistical analysis</u> SPSS was used for analysis. Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative likelihood ratios were calculated according to the Centre for Evidence Based Medicine.		receive verification using the reference standard? The whole sample Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear Were the reference standard results

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	of labour or cord prolapse				interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Burwick,R.M., Zork,N.M., Lee,G.T., Ross,M.G., Kjos,S.L., Cervilenz assessment of cervical length compared to fetal fibronectin in the prediction of preterm delivery in women with threatened preterm labor, Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 24, 127-131, 2011 <b>Ref Id</b> 258105 <b>Country/ies where the study was carried out</b> USA <b>Study type</b> Prospective cohort study <b>Aim of the study</b> To determine whether cervical length (CL) measured by the Cervilenz measuring device is an effective screening tool for the prediction of pre- term	N = 52. Characteristics <u>Mean maternal age, years</u> <u>± SD</u> 27.9 ± 7.27 <u>Nulliparous</u> 38.5% <u>Previous pre-term birth</u> 28.9% <u>Mean gestational age at</u> <u>admission, weeks ± SD</u> 30.4 ± 2.85 Inclusion Criteria • Between 24 and 34	Index test Fetal fibronectin test with a cut-off of > 50ng/mL for a positive test result. Reference standard Birth within 7 days.	<b>Details</b> Women with suspected pre-term labour were included in the study. Women were enrolled as a part of randomised control trial evaluating management algorithms for threatened preterm labour. At the entry women underwent two step evaluations. First, specimens were collected during the speculum examination from posterior fornix and then cervical length was measured with the Cervilenz device [as the Cervilenz device is not our interest for this review, details and result from this will not be reported here]. <u>Definition of pre-term labour</u> Not reported. <u>Use of tocoloysis</u> Not reported.	Total N = 49	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes Were selection criteria clearly described? No Was the reference standard likely to classify the target condition correctly? Yes Was the period between performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be
delivery (PTD) compared to fetal fibronectin (fFN).	<ul> <li>Between 24 and 34 weeks' gestation</li> <li>Intact membranes</li> <li>Singleton</li> </ul>		Statistical analysis Receiver operator characteristic (ROC) analysis was utilised to compare significant area under		reasonably sure that the target condition did not change between the two tests? N/A

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Study dates Not reported.	<ul> <li>Cervix &lt; 3 cm dilated</li> <li>Presence of uterine contractions</li> </ul>		curve. All analyses performed with Stata v 10.0.		Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification
Source of funding Not reported.	Exclusion Criteria				using the reference standard? Yes
	<ul> <li>Vaginal bleeding</li> <li>Presence of cervical cerclage</li> <li>Recent intercourse</li> </ul>				Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes
					Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes
					Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? No
					Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					to permit its replication? N/A
					Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear
					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A
					Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Unclear
					Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A
					Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Other information No more details about the fibronectin test were reported in the paper. Unclear what test was used and how was analysed (what cut-off was used). Unclear if the test was done before or after the vaginal examination. Unclear if the clinicians were blinded to the result of the test.
Full citation Danti,L., Prefumo,F., Lojacono,A., Corini,S., Testori,A., Frusca,T., The combination of short cervical length and phIGFBP-1 in the prediction of preterm delivery in symptomatic women, Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 24, 1262- 1266, 2011	Sample size N = 102 Characteristics Cervical length ≤ 30mm N = 60	Tests <u>Index test</u> Cervical length ≤ 30mm (positive) measured using transvaginal ultrasound (TV US).	Methods <u>Details</u> Cervical length measurement was performed using TV US after the bladder was emptied. Women were placed in the dorsal lithotomy position. An ultrasound probe was inserted into the vagina, with gel applied	Results <u>Cervical length</u> <u>≤30mm to diagnose</u> <u>birth within 7 days</u> N= 102 TP: 4 FP: 56 FN: 0 TN: 42* Likelihood ratio (positive) = 1.58 (0.64 to 1.77)*†	Limitations QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participant's representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Ref Id	Cervical length > 30mm N = 42	Index test An pIGFBP-1	beteen the probe and probe cover only and not on the	Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.23	Were selection
258567		test with an threshold value	external probe cover surface.	(0.00 to 1.53)*†	criteria clearly described? Yes
Country/ies where the study was carried out	<u>Median maternal age (IQR)</u> Cervical length ≤ 30mm = 31 (28 to 34)	of > $10\mu g$ for a positive result.	The probe was placed in the anterior fornix and the cervical length was measured. The	Sensitivity = 90.0% (47.8 to 99.5)*† Specificity = 42.9%	Was the reference
Italy	Cervical length $> 30$ mm $= 34$ (30 to 37)		managing clinician was not blinded to results. Women were	(40.3 to 43.4)*†	standard likely to classify the target
Study type	Nulliparious (%)	standard Birth within 7	admitted to hospital if cervical length $\leq$ 30mm (n=60) and	<u>In women with</u> cervical length	condition correctly? Yes
Prospective cohort study	Cervical length $\leq$ 30mm = 38 (63%)		offered a pIGFBP-1 test.	<u>≤30mm, pIGFBP-1</u> test to diagnose	Was the period
Aim of the study	Cervical length > 30mm = 26 (62%)		pIGFBP-1 testing was performed before vaginal	birth within 7 days N= 60 TP: 2 FP: 17	between performance of the
To evaluate the combined use of cervical length and phosphorylated IGFBP-1 measurement to	Median gestational age		examination. A rapid strip test (Actim Partis test) for the	FN: 2 TN:39* Likelihood ratio	reference standard and the index test
predict pre-term delivery in symptomatic women.	(wks) at assessment (IQR) Cervical length $\leq$ 30mm = 30.0 (28.7 to 31.4)		detection of pIGFBP-1 in cervical secretions was used. Following sterile speculum	(positive) = 1.65 (0.57 to 4.74) Likelihood ratio	short enough to be reasonably sure that the target
Study dates	Cervical length > 30mm = 28.9 (26.6 to 30.9)		insertion, a cervical secretion specimen from the external os was obtained using a Dacron	(negative) = 0.72 (0.27 to 1.94) Sensitivity = 50% (7	condition did not change between the two tests? Yes
December 2004 to December 2006.	<u>Corticosteroid use (%)</u> Cervical length ≤ 30mm = 28 (47%)		swab. The swab was placed in extraction solution, shaken and withdrawn. The bottom of a	to 93) Specificity = 70% (56 to 81)	
Source of funding	Cervical length > 30mm = 4 (10%)		reagent strip was placed in the solution. After 20 seconds the	In women with	random selection of the sample
Not reported.	Use of tocolysis (%) Cervical length ≤ 30mm = 22 (37%) Cervical length > 30mm = 5		strip was removed and placed horizontally. A positive result (pIGFBP-1 concentration > 10μg) appeared as 2 blue lines on the strip and a negative	cervical length 20- 30mm, pIGFBP-1 test to diagnose birth within 7 days N=41	receive verification using the reference standard? The whole sample
	(12%)		result was a single blue line. The managing clinician was blinded to results as was the study data collector.	Likelihood ratio (positive) = 61.5 (3.5 to 1083)*†	Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Inclusion Criteria</li> <li>Singleton pregnancies</li> <li>Gestational age between 24+0 and 32+6 weeks</li> <li>Women presenting with complaint of uterine contractions and in whom at least 4 contractions in 20 mins were measured with a cardiotocograph</li> </ul>		Definition of pre-term labour Not reported.           Use of tocolysis Tocolytic medication was administered at the discretion of the attending healthcare professionals who were blinded to pIGFBP-1 test results, but not ultrasound test results.           Decisions to use corticosteroids or tocolytics were recorded in the clinical notes. 22/60 (37%) of women with cervical length ≤ 30mm and 5/42 (12%) women with cervical length > 30mm received tocolysis.	cervical length <20mm and pIGFBP-1 test to diagnose birth within 7 days N= 19 Likelihood ratio (positive) = 0.89	standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its
	<ul> <li>Exclusion Criteria</li> <li>Ruptured membranes</li> <li>Known uterine abnormalities</li> <li>Fetal abnormalities</li> <li>Vaginal bleeding</li> <li>Cervical dilatation ≥ 3cm</li> <li>Cervical cerclage</li> <li>Other pregnancy complications (placenta previa, abruptio placentae, fetal growth</li> </ul>		Statistical analysis For inter-group comparisons the Mann Whitney test, chi-squared test, and Fisher's exact test were used. A sample size of 58 was required to estimate sensitivity and specificity with a 95% confidence interval no larger than 20%, but it was planned that 97 participants would enrol.	(0.16 to 4.97) Likelihood ratio (negative) = 1.07 (0.44 to 2.59) Sensitivity = 33% (1 to 91) Specificity = 63% (35 to 85) *Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team. †0.5 has been added to each cell in the 2x2 contingency table.	replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A Other information

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	restriction and pre- eclampsia)				None.
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Demirci,O., Unal,A., Demirci,E., Sozen,H., Akdemir,Y., Boybek,E., Ertekin,A., Sonographic measurement of cervical length and risk of preterm delivery, Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research, 37, 809-814, 2011 <b>Ref Id</b> 271042 <b>Country/ies where the study was carried out</b> Turkey	N = 209 Characteristics <u>Mean maternal age, years</u> $\pm$ <u>SD</u> < 15mm = 25.9 ± 4.6 ≥ 15mm = 25.8 ± 5.4 <u>Mean gravidity ± SD</u> < 15mm = 2.1 ± 1.8 ≥ 15mm = 1.9 ± 1.2	Index test Cervical length < 15mm as determined by transvaginal sonography. Reference <u>standard</u> Birth within 7 days of presentation.	Details Sonographic measurement of cervical length was carried out at admission. Three measurements were taken and the shortest value in the absence of uterine contractions was used in analyses. A cervical length cut-off off 15mm was used based on the results of previous studies.	Cervical length < 15mm to diagnose birth within 7 days of presentation Likelihood ratio (positive) = 13.64 (7.15 to 20.89)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.22 (0.08 to 0.47)* Sensitivity = 78.9% (57.0 to 92.5)* (15/19) Specificity = 94.2%	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes Were selection criteria clearly described? Yes Was the reference
Study type	Mean parity ± SD		The primary outcome was birth within 7 days of presentation to	(92.0 to 95.6)* (179/190)	standard likely to classify the target
Prospective cohort study <b>Aim of the study</b> To examine the potential role of soongraphic measurement of cervical length in predicting birth within 7 days of presentation in women with threatened pre-term labour.	<pre>&lt; 15mm = 0.5 ± 1.1 ≥ 15mm = 0.6 ± 0.8  Mean gestational age, weeks ± SD &lt; 15mm = 31.0 ± 2.8 ≥ 15mm = 31.2 ± 2.4  Tocolytic treatment, n/N (%)</pre>		the labour ward. <u>Definition of pre-term labour</u> Threatened pre-term labour was defined as painful and regular contractions (≥ 2 contractions at intervals of 10 minutes for at least one hour). Active labour was defined by the presence of cervical dilation ≥ 3cm.	*Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.	condition correctly? Yes Was the period between performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure
<b>Study dates</b> July 2007 to February 2008.	< 15mm = 21/26 (81%) ≥ 15mm = 96/183 (52%)		<u>Use of tocolysis</u> Administration of tocolytic medication was determined by		that the target condition did not change between the two tests? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Source of funding Not reported.	Previous preterm delivery, n/N (%) < 15mm = 3/26 (12%) ≥ 15mm = 11/183 (6%)		the attending obstetricians, who were blinded to the results of cervical length measurement. Some women received tocolytic medication (see characteristics).		Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample
	<ul> <li>Inclusion Criteria</li> <li>Singleton pregnancies</li> <li>Painful and regular contractions (≥ 2 contractions at intervals of 10 minutes for at least one hour)</li> <li>Gestational age of 24 to 34 weeks</li> </ul>		Statistical analysis No relevant statistical analyses were carried out in relation to the protocol for this review. Likelihood ratios, sensitivities and specificities were calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.		receive verification using the reference standard? Yes Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference
	<ul> <li>Exclusion Criteria</li> <li>Women with ruptured membranes</li> <li>Prior or subsequent cervical cerclage</li> <li>Pre-eclampsia</li> <li>Polyhydramnios</li> <li>Oligohydramnios</li> <li>Placenta previa</li> </ul>				standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? No - a reference was provided without a description. Was the execution of the reference

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Pathological fetal heart rate pattern</li> <li>Fetal anomalies</li> </ul>			results	standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available
					when the test is used in practice? Yes Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Diaz,J., Chedraui,P., Hidalgo,L., Medina,M., The clinical utility of fetal fibronectin in the prediction of pre-term birth in a low socio-economic setting hospital in Ecuador, Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 22, 89-93, 2009 <b>Ref Id</b> 258565 <b>Country/ies where the study was carried out</b>	N = 180 Characteristics <u>Mean gestational age at</u> <u>admission, weeks ± SD</u> Fetal fibronectin positive = 33.1 ± 2.25 Fetal fibronectin positive =	Index test Fetal fibronectin test with a cut-off of > 50ng/ml for a positive test result. Reference standard Birth within 7 days.	Details Study conducted at high risk pregnancy unit of a teaching hospital. Women with suspected preterm labour were included in the study. At the entry, specimens were collected during the speculum examination from posterior fornix by fetal fibronectin quick check dipstick test. A cut-off of >	Total N = 180 Positive fetal fibronectin n = 52 Birth within 7 days n = 22 Likelihood ratio (positive) = 3.44 (2.36 to 5.01)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.32	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes Were selection criteria clearly
Ecuador	33.4 ± 2.1 P = 0.83		50ng/ml was used to determine a positive test result. Women	(0.16 to 0.64)* Sensitivity = 75%	described? Yes
Study type	<u>Nulliparous</u> Fetal fibronectin positive =		with a positive result were admitted to the antenatal high risk unit and eventually	(52.9 to 89.4) Specificity = 78.2% (70.7 to 84.2)	Was the reference standard likely to classify the target
Prospective cohort study Aim of the study	22 (42.3%) Fetal fibronectin positive = 62 (48.4%)		discharged with the treatment. Those with a negative test result were observed for 24 hours and	,	condition correctly? Yes
To examine the clinical utility of fFN in predicting pre-term birth in a low socio-economic, non-profit hospital setting.	P = 0.45 <u>Previous pre-term birth</u> Fetal fibronectin positive = 12 (23.1%) Fetal fibronectin positive = 18 (14.1%)		then discharged. Women with the positive and negative result were followed two weeks later. Women with positive test result who become symptomatic again were retested with fetal fibronectin and readmitted if		Was the period between performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure
Study dates	P = 0.14		positive.		that the target
January 2006 to January 2007.			<u>Definition of pre-term labour</u> Not reported.		condition did not change between the two tests? N/A

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Source of funding Not specified. Support and technical assistance of Adeza Biomedical was acknowledged.	<ul> <li>Inclusion Criteria         <ul> <li>Nulliparous</li> <li>Between 24 and 36 weeks + 6 days gestation</li> <li>Intact membranes</li> <li>Singleton</li> <li>Presence of uterine contractions and cervical changes</li> </ul> </li> <li>Exclusion Criteria         <ul> <li>Confirmed rupture of membranes</li> <li>Acute fetal distress</li> <li>Abnormal vaginal bleeding</li> <li>History of cervical cerclage</li> <li>Fetal congenital abnormality</li> <li>Multiple gestation</li> <li>Having coitus or digitally examination within 24 hours</li> <li>Cervix dilated &gt; 3 cm</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		Use of tocoloysis Symptomatic treatment included intravenous antibiotics, tocolysis and corticosteroids. Statistical analysis Analysis performed using the EPI-INFO 2000 statistical program. Categorical and continuous data were analysed with the X <sup>2</sup> and non-paired Student's t tests. Fisher extract test was used when the sample size was small.		Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? YesDid participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? YesWas the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) YesWas the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? YesWas the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear
					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A
					Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes
					Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A
					Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Eroglu,D., Yanik,F., Oktem,M., Zeyneloglu,H.B., Kuscu,E., Prediction of preterm delivery among women with threatened preterm labor, Gynecologic and Obstetric Investigation, 64, 109-116, 2007 <b>Ref Id</b> 258436	N = 51 Characteristics <u>Mean age (yrs) ± SD</u> 27.6 ± 3.5	Index test A fFN test with an unknown threshold value for a positive result.	Details Women with documented contraction frequency > 10/hr were admitted and external tocodynamometry and fetal heart monitoring were performed. A low vaginal culture		QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participant's representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes
Country/ies where the study was carried out	<u>Mean parity ± SD</u>	Index test An pIGFBP-1 test with a	was taken, then samples for fFN and pIGFBP-1 tests, then ultrasound was performed and	Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.21	in practice? Yes Were selection
Turkey	0.4 ± 0.6 Spontaneous abortion (≥2)	threshold value of >10µg for a positive result.	lastly a digital cervical examination was performed.	(0.01 to 0.82)* Sensitivity = 83.3% (38.9 to 99.1)*	criteria clearly described? Yes
Study type	2/51 (3.9%)	Index test	A test kit (Adeza Fetal Fibronectin QuickCheck) for the	Specificity = 80.0% (74.1 to 82.1)*	Was the reference standard likely to
Prospective cohort study	History of spontaneous pre-term delivery	Cervical length ≤ 30mm (Positive)	detection of fFN in vaginal fluid was used. Following sterile	pIGFBP-1 test to	classify the target condition correctly?
Aim of the study	2/51 (3.9%)	measured using transvaginal	speculum insertion, a vaginal fluid specimen from the	diagnose birth within 7 days	Yes
To estimate the predictive values of fFN, pIFBP-1 in cervicovaginal secretions and cervical length measurement using ultrasound for birth < 35 weeks' gestation in women with uterine contractions.	<u>Mean BMI (kg/m2) ± SD</u> 22.6 ± 2.9	ultrasound (TV US). <b>Reference</b>	posterior fornix was obtained using a Dacron swab. A rapid fFN assay was used to analyse the sample for presence of fFN.	N = 51 TP: 5 FP: 7 FN: 1 TN: 38 Likelihood ratio (positive) = 5.38	Was the period between performance of the reference standard
	Inclusion Criteria	<u>standard</u> Delivery within 7 days.	The primary physician was blinded to results until delivery.	(1.83 to 7.37)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.20	and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure
Study dates	<ul> <li>Singleton pregnancies</li> </ul>		A one step dipstick test (Actim Partus test) for the detection of	(0.01 to 0.77)* Sensitivity = 83.3%	that the target condition did not
February 2004 to February 2006.	Regular uterine contractions (> 10/hr)		pIGFBP-1 in cervical secretions was used. Following sterile speculum insertion, a cervical secretion specimen from the	(39.2 to 99.1)* Specificity = 84.4% (78.6 to 86.5)*	change between the two tests? Yes Did the whole
Source of funding	Gestational age of 24 to 35 weeks		external os was obtained using a Dacron swab. The specimen	Cervical length <20mm to diagnose	sample or a random selection
Not reported.			was analysed using the dipstick	<u>birth within 7 days</u>	of the sample

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Exclusion Criteria</li> <li>Confirmed ruptured membranes (2 of 3 present of vaginal pooling, positive nitrazine paper, positive ferning)</li> <li>Uterine abnormalities</li> <li>Congenital fetal abnormalities</li> <li>Vaginal bleeding</li> <li>Sexual intercourse in previous 24hrs</li> <li>Multiple pregnancy</li> <li>Placenta previa</li> <li>Abruptio placentae</li> <li>Intrauterine growth restriction</li> <li>Pre-eclampsia</li> </ul>		test. The primary physician was blinded to results until delivery. Cervical length measurement was performed using TV US in accordance with a described technique and after the bladder was emptied. An ultrasound probe was placed on the cervix and a proper sagittal image was obtained with the internal os, the cervical canal and the external os being identified. After the image was obtained, the probe was withdrawn slightly to avoid an articifical increase of cervical length as a result of pressure of the transducer against the cervix. A total of three measurements were taken for each woman and the shortest best image was used. The primary physician was blinded to results until delivery. Women were admitted to hospital according to the frequency of contractions or the findings of digital examination of the cervix. On admission women were recommended bed rest and hydrated with 500ml Ringer solution. <u>Definition of pre-term labour</u> Not reported.	(90.3 to 98.8)* Data are also presented for diagnosing birth within 7 days using fFN or pIGFBP-1 in women with a cervical length < 20mm and < 25mm. These results are not presented due to the small sample sizes (N = 6 and N = 9 respectively). *Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical	receive verification using the reference standard? The whole sample Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear Were the reference standard results

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			Use of tocolysis Tocolytic therapy (first line treatment with calcium channel blockers) was started if there was a progressive cervical change documented by the same examiner or if persistent contractions ar least 2 hours after hydration were present. Maternal corticosteroids were given. No tocolytics or maternal steroids were used after 34 weeks gestation. <b>Statistical analysis</b> The Student t test, X <sup>2</sup> test, and Fisher exact test were used to determine whether a statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) had occurred between groups.		interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Giles,W., Bisits,A., Knox,M., Madsen,G., Smith,R., The effect of fetal fibronectin testing on admissions to a tertiary maternal-fetal medicine unit and cost savings, American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 182, 439-442, 2000	N = 151 Characteristics	Index test A positive fetal fibronectin test result defined as > 50ng/ml.	<b>Details</b> Women included in the study were also under consideration for inclusion in a randomised controlled trial of nitric oxide tocolysis. The inclusion criteria	Fetal fibronectin positive = 43/45 (95.6%) Fetal fibronectin negative = 49/106 (46.2%)	QUADAS <u>checklist</u> Was the spectrum of participants representative of the patients who
Ref Id	No characteristics were	<b>Reference</b>	for this trial were painful uterine		will receive the test
271080	reported.	standard Birth within 7 days of	contractions, a positive fetal fibronectin result and cervical dilation < 5cm.	Fetal fibronectin to diagnose birth within 7 days of	in practice? Yes Were selection
Country/ies where the study was carried out		admission.		admission	criteria clearly
Australia	Inclusion Criteria		At initial assessment a sterile vaginal speculum was inserted and a swab for fetal fibronectin	Likelihood ratio (positive) = 2.73 (1.75 to 4.23)*	described? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Study type Prospective cohort study Aim of the study To evaluate whether the introduction of routine fetal fibronecting testing affected costs, transfer rates and direct admissions to a tertiary referral centre. Study dates June 1996 to January 1998. Source of funding Supported by the the Australian Commonwealth Government Targeted Institutional Links Grant and the Government Employees Medical Research Fund.	<ul> <li>Women in threatened pre-term labour</li> <li>Intact membranes</li> <li>Exclusion Criteria         <ul> <li>Multiple pregnancies</li> <li>Women with vaginal bleeding</li> <li>History of sexual intercourse or vaginal examination in the preceding 24 hours</li> <li>Cervical dilation &lt; 5cm</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		was obtained using a Dacron swab from the test kit (Adeza Biomedical )before digital cervical examination. Fetal fibronectin values > 50ng/ml were considered positive. <u>Definition of pre-term labour</u> Not reported. <u>Use of tocolysis</u> Administration of tocolytic management was standard practice at the main study centre however not all women were transferred to this centre and not all women at the centre received tocolysis. Blinding of clinicians to fFN results is not reported. <u>Statistical analysis</u> No relevant statistical analyses were performed in relation to the protocol for this review. Likelihood ratios, sensitivity, specificity and associated 95% confidence intervals were therefore calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.	Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.41 (0.20 to 0.87)* Sensitivity = 68.7% (46.0 to 91.5)* (11/16) Specificity = 74.8% (67.5 to 82.1)* (101/135) *Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.	Was the reference standard likely to classify the target condition correctly? Yes Was the period between performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target condition did not change between the two tests? Yes Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? Yes Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes
					Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes
					Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes
					Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear
					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A
					Were the same clinical data available when the test results were

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Unclear - no characteristics except use of tocolysis were reported. Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Gomez,R., Romero,R., Medina,L., Nien,J.K., Chaiworapongsa,T., Carstens,M., Gonzalez,R., Espinoza,J., Iams,J.D., Edwin,S., Rojas,I., Cervicovaginal fibronectin improves the prediction of preterm delivery based on sonographic cervical length in patients with preterm uterine contractions and intact membranes.[Erratum appears in Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2005 Jul;193(1):308-9], American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 192, 350- 359, 2005 <b>Ref Id</b>	N = 215 Characteristics <u>Mean maternal age, years</u> <u>± SD</u> 24.7 ± 8.2 <u>Parity, n/N (%)</u> Nulliparous = 97/215 (45%)	Index test Cervical length < 15mm or < 30mm or positive fetal fibronectin test result (> 50ng/ml). Reference standard Birth within 48 hours or 7 days of presentation.	Details On admission digital cervical examination was performed to determine dilation and effacement. Endovaginal sonography was performed shortly after admission using a transvaginal probe. Three images were obtained and the shortest value was used in analayses.	Cervical length < 15mm to diagnose birth within 48 hours Likelihood ratio (positive) = 6.74 (3.47 to 10.55)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.39 (0.18 to 0.67)* Sensitivity = 64.7% (40.5 to 83.9)* (11/17)	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes Were selection criteria clearly described? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
258100	Previous pre-term delivery, n/N (%)		For fetal fibronectin fluid was collected from the posterior	Specificity = 90.4% (88.3 to 92.1)*	Was the reference standard likely to
Country/ies where the study was carried out	28/215 (13%)		fornix of the vagina before digital and sonographic examinations.		classify the target condition correctly?
Chile	<u>Mean gestational age at</u> admission, weeks ± SD		Fetal fibronectin > 50ng/ml was considered to represent a	<u>Cervical length &lt;</u> 15mm to diagnose	Yes
Study type	31.7 ± 2.8		positive test result.	birth within 7 days Likelihood ratio	Was the period between
Prospective cohort study	The number of women who received tocolytic medication		Primary outcomes were birth within 48 hours, 7 days, 14	(positive) = 8.73 (4.58 to 15.66)*	performance of the reference standard
Aim of the study	was not reported.		days, ≤ 32 weeks' gestation and ≤ 35 weeks' gestation.	Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.42	and the index test short enough to be
To determine whether the combined use od fetal fibronectin and transvaginal sonography of cervical length improves prediction of spontaenous pre-term birth in women presenting with uterine contractions and intact membranes.	<ul> <li>Inclusion Criteria</li> <li>Increased pre-term uterine contractility (3 contractions in</li> </ul>		Definition of pre-term birth Threatened pre-term labour was defined as 3 uterine contractions in 30 minutes. Actual labour was not formally defined however women with cervical dilation >	(0.26 to 0.62)* Sensitivity = 60.7% (43.6 to 75.1)* (17/28)	reasonably sure that the target condition did not change between the two tests? Yes Did the whole
Study dates	<ul><li>30 minutes)</li><li>Intact membranes</li></ul>		3cm were excluded from the study.	<u>Cervical length &lt;</u> 30mm to diagnose	sample or a random selection of the sample
July 1998 to October 2002.	<ul> <li>Singleton pregnancy</li> <li>Gestational age between 22 and 35</li> </ul>		Use of tocolysis Tocolytic medication was administered to women with persistent uterine contractility for	birth within 48 hours Likelihood ratio (positive) = 1.88	receive verification using the reference standard? Yes
Source of funding	weeks		at least 2 hours after	(1.29 to 2.12)* Likelihood ratio	Did participants receive the same
Not reported.	• Cervical dilation ≤ 3cm determined by digital examination		intravenous hydration. <u>Statistical analysis</u> Likelihood ratios were calculated however no confidence intervals were provided. Sensitivity and specificity were not calculated by study authors therefore these	(negative) = 0.22 (0.04to 0.72)*	receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard
	Exclusion Criteria Not reported.		values, their associated 95% confidence intervals and	(105/198)	independent of the index test? (that is,

calculated by the NCC-WCH       birth within 7 days Likelihood ratio (positive) = 2.01       reference standard) Yes         (153) C2.25)*       Was the execution of the index test         (252/28)       (252/28)       Was the execution of the index test         (252/28)       Specificity = 55.6%       Was the execution of the reference in sufficient detail to poermit its         (104/187)       Estandard described in sufficient detail to poermit its       Was the execution of the reference in sufficient detail to poermit its         (104/187)       Specificity = 55.6%       Was the execution of the reference in sufficient detail in the index test? NA         Were the reference insults of the index test? NA       Specificity = 78.8%         Fetal fibronectin > Song/mitio       Were the same cinincial d	Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
diagnose birth available when the				for likelihood ratios were calculated by the NCC-WCH	30mm to diagnosebirth within 7 daysLikelihood ratio(positive) = 2.01 $(1.53 to 2.25)^*$ Likelihood ratio(negative) = 0.19 $(0.05 to 0.53)^*$ Sensitivity = 89.3% $(71.8 to 97.2)^*$ $(25/28)$ Specificity = 55.6% $(53.0 to 56.8)^*$ $(104/187)$ Fetal fibronectin >Song/ml todiagnose birthwithin 48 hoursLikelihood ratio(positive) = 2.77 $(1.48 to 4.13)^*$ Likelihood ratio(negative) = 0.52 $(0.25 to 0.86)^*$ Sensitivity = 58.8% $(34.4 to 80.0)^*$ $(10/17)$ Specificity = 78.8% $(76.7 to 80.6)^*$ $(156/198)$ Fetal fibronectin >	not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A Were the same

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
				Likelihood ratio (positive) = $3.54$ ( $2.19$ to $5.03$ )* Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.44$ ( $0.24$ to $0.69$ )* Sensitivity = $64.3\%$ ( $45.8$ to $79.8$ )* ( $18/28$ ) Specificity = $81.8\%$ ( $79.1$ to $84.1$ )* ( $153/187$ ) Cervical length < <u>15mm plus positive</u> <u>fetal fibronectin to</u> <u>diagnose birth</u> <u>within 48 hours</u> Likelihood ratio (positive) = $9.06$ ( $3.32$ to $22.07$ )* Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.62$ ( $0.40$ to $0.84$ )* Sensitivity = $41.2\%$ ( $20.9$ to $61.6$ )* ( $7/17$ ) Specificity = $95.5\%$ ( $93.7$ to $97.2$ )* ( $189/198$ ) Cervical length < <u>15mm plus positive</u> <u>fetal fibronectin to</u> <u>diagnose birth</u> <u>within 7 days</u>	interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
				Likelihood ratio (positive) = $20.04$ (6.60 to $69.99$ )* Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.58$ ( $0.48$ to $0.75$ )* Sensitivity = $42.9\%$ ( $28.4$ to $52.2$ )* ( $12/28$ ) Specificity = $97.7\%$ ( $95.7$ to $99.3$ )* ( $183/187$ )	
				Cervical length < <u>30mm plus positive</u> <u>fetal fibronectin to</u> <u>diagnose birth</u> <u>within 48 hours</u> Likelihood ratio (positive) = 4.16 (2.14 to 6.46)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.48 (0.23 to 0.78)* Sensitivity = 58.8% (34.7 to 79.8)* (10/17) Specificity = 85.9% (83.8 to 87.7)* (170/198)	
				Cervical length < 30mm plus positive fetal fibronectin to diagnose birth within 7 days	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
				Likelihood ratio (positive) = $5.41$ ( $3.09$ to $8.54$ )* Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.44$ ( $0.26$ to $0.66$ )* Sensitivity = $60.7\%$ ( $42.9$ to $76.2$ )* ( $17/28$ ) Specificity = $88.8\%$ ( $86.1$ to $91.1$ )* ( $166/187$ ) *Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.	
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Gramellini,D., Fieni,S., Kaihura,C., Modena,A.B., Cervical length as a predictor of preterm delivery: gestational age-related percentiles vs fixed cut-offs, Acta Bio-Medica de I Ateneo Parmense, 78, 220-	N = 108 Characteristics	Index test Cervical length < 15mm or < 25mm as	Details All women hospitalised during the study period with suspected pre-term labour were given	Cervical length < 15mm to diagnose birth within 7 days of presentation Likelihood ratio	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants
224, 2007 Ref ld	Median maternal age,	determined by transvaginal sonography at	transvaginal sonography to determine cervical length. Three consecutive measurements	(positive) = 5.86 (1.46 to 24.29)*	representative of the patients who will receive the test
270307	years (range) 32 (17 to 41)	admission. <b>Reference</b>	were obtained and an average taken for use in analysis.	Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.77 (0.61 to 0.96)*	in practice? Yes Were selection
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Median gestational age at admission, weeks (range)	standard Birth within 7	Cut-offs of 15mm and 25mm were chosen for cervical length	Sensitivity = $26.3\%$ (11.2 to $39.7$ )* (5/19)	criteria clearly described? Yes
Italy	29 (20 to 33)	days of presentation.	based on the results of two previous systematic reviews.	Specificity = 95.5% (92.3 to 98.4)*	Was the reference
Study type	<u>Nulliparous, n/N (%)</u> 41/108 (37.9%)		Definition of pre-term labour	(85/89)	standard likely to
Prospective cohort study	Ethnic origin, n/N (%)		Suspected pre-term labour was defined as $\geq$ 4 painful uterine	<u>Cervical length &lt;</u> 25mm to diagnose	condition correctly? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Aim of the study To assess whether pre-term birth is better predicted by cervical length assessed by sonography using fixed cut-offs or gestational age-specific percentiles. Study dates January 2002 to May 2004. Source of funding Not reported.	Caucasian = 100/108 (92.5%) African = 5/108 (4.6%) Other = 3/108 (2.7%) <u>Use of tocolysis, n/N (%)</u> 70/108 (64.8%) The number of women with previous pre-term birth was not reported. Inclusion Criteria • Suspected pre-term labour (≥ 4 painful uterine contractions every 20 minutes) Exclusion Criteria • Twin pregnancies • Pregnancies in which gestational age could not be determined using sonography before 22 weeks' gestation • Premature rupture of membranes		contractions every 20 minutes. Actual pre-term labour was defined as cervical dilation ≥ 3cm. <u>Use of tocolysis</u> Administration of tocolytic medication was based on the results of digital cervical examination. Medical staff were blinded to the results of transvaginal sonography. Women who did not receive tocolysis were put to bed rest. <u>Statistical analysis</u> Sensitivity and specificity were calculated for cervical length < 15mm to diagnose birth within 7 days. Confidence intervals for sensitivity and specificity and likelihood ratios were not provided therefore were calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.	birth within 7 days of presentation Likelihood ratio (positive) = 3.22 (1.77 to 5.00)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.42 (0.20 to 0.73)* Sensitivity = 66.6% (45.7 to 83.3)* (14/21) Specificity = 79.3% (74.2 to 83.3)* (69/87) *Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.	Was the period between performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target condition did not change between the two tests? Yes Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? Yes Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Cervical dilation ≥ 3cm at digital examination</li> <li>Active vaginal bleeding</li> <li>Placenta previa</li> <li>Cervical cerclage</li> <li>Maternal or fetal indications of pre- term birth</li> </ul>				Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? No - history of

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					previous pre-term birth was not reported.
					Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A
					Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Holst,R.M., Jacobsson,B., Hagberg,H., Wennerholm,U.B., Cervical length in women in preterm labor with intact membranes: relationship to intra-amniotic inflammation/microbial invasion, cervical inflammation and preterm delivery, Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 28, 768- 774, 2006 <b>Ref Id</b> 270320 <b>Country/ies where the study was carried out</b> Sweden	N = 55 <b>Characteristics</b> Data reported are based on all 87 women enrolled in the study. The final population used in analyses was only 55 women. <u>Median maternal age,</u> <u>years (range)</u> 29 (19 to 43)	determined by transvaginal sonography. <u>Reference</u> <u>standard</u> Birth within 7 days of	Details Gestational age was determined by routine ultrasound during the second trimester (16 to 19 weeks' gestation). Three women had gestational age determined using menstrual history. Cervical length measurement by transvaginal ultrasound involved taking three measurements with the shortest value recorded. Primary outcomes were birth within 7 days or pre-term birth ≤ 34 weeks' gestation.	Sensitivity = 72% (56	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes Were selection criteria clearly described? Yes Was the reference standard likely to classify the target
Study type	Nulliparous, n/N (%)			team.	condition correctly?
Prospective cohort study	53/87 (61%)		Definition of pre-term labour Painful and regular uterine contractions every 10 minutes		Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Aim of the study To investigate the relationship between cervical length determined by transvaginal sonography and spontaneous pre-term birth within 7 days of sampling or ≤ 34 weeks' gestation.	Previous pre-term birth, n/N (%) 17/87 (20%) Median gestational age at admission, weeks (range) 30+6 (23+1 to 33+5) The number of women who		for at least 30 minutes alongside one of the following cervical changes (assessed by digital cervical examination) and/or cervical length ≤ 30mm measured by transvaginal ultrasound:		Was the period between performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target condition did not
<b>Study dates</b> 1996 to 2001.	received tocolytic medication and the number of multiple births was not reported.		<ul> <li>≤ 2cm length + ≥ 1cm dilation</li> <li>≤ 2cm length + cervical softening</li> <li>≥ 1cm dilation + cervical softening</li> </ul>		condition did not change between the two tests? Yes Did the whole sample or a random selection
Source of funding Supported by the Swedish Medical Research Council, The Göteborg Medical Society, The Frimurare Barnhus Foundation and by Swedish government grants.	<ul> <li>Inclusion Criteria</li> <li>Women who presented at a gestational age of 22 and 33+6 weeks</li> <li>Intact membranes</li> <li>Intact membranes</li> <li>Pre-term rupture of membranes</li> <li>Known uterine malformations</li> <li>Fetal malformations</li> <li>Significant vaginal bleeding</li> </ul>		Use of tocolysis Tocolytic medication was administered according to local management protocols. Sensitivity and specificity were calculated for diagnosis of spontaneous pre-term birth within 7 days for different cervical lengths. Positive and negative likelihood ratios were not provided therefore were calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team. ROC curves were used to define the best cut-off for cervical length (15mm) in relation to birth within 7 days.		of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? Yes Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes

Imminent birth     Cervical cerclage     Fetal distress     Was the execution     of the index test     described in     sufficient detail to     permit its     replication? Yes     Was the execution     of the reference     standard described     in sufficient detail     to permit its     replication? Yes     Were the index test     results interpreted     without knowledge     of the reference     standard? Unclear     Were the same     clinical data     available when the     tersults were
interpreted as would be available when the test is

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A Were withdrawals from the study
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	explained? Yes
lams,J.D., Casal,D., McGregor,J.A., Goodwin,T.M., Kreaden,U.S., Lowensohn,R., Lockitch,G., Fetal fibronectin improves the accuracy of diagnosis of	-		<u>Details</u> Study was conducted in five tertiary care university hospitals.	Total N = 194 Positive fetal fibronectin n = 45	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum
preterm labor, American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 173, 141-145, 1995	Characteristics	of > 50ng/ml for a positive test result.	Women with confirmed rupture of membranes were excluded from the study. The diagnosis of	<u>Birth within 7 days</u> n = 14	of participants representative of the patients who
Ref Id	Race White = 48%	Reference	ruptured membranes was made by presence of two of three	Likelihood ratio (positive) = 5.17	will receive the test in practice? Yes
258249	Black = 16% Hispanic = 31%	standard Birth within 7	standard test; finding of $pH \ge 7$ , fern pattern of dried fluid, and	(3.66 to 7.30)* Likelihood	Were selection
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Asian = 5%	days.	vaginal pooling of amniotic fluid.	ratio (negative)	criteria clearly described? Yes
Canada	Parity		Two vaginal specimens were collected for the fetal fibronectin	= 0.09 (0.01 to 0.58)* Sensitivity = 93% (66	
Study type	Multiparous 71%		the second form posterior fornix	t0 99.8)* Specificity = 82%	Was the reference standard likely to
Prospective cohort study	<u>Mean age</u>		of vagina, using Dacron swab. The probe was analysed by an	(75.5 to 87.3)*	classify the target condition correctly?
Aim of the study	25 (SD 6 years)		enzyme-linked immunosorbent that uses the murine monoclonal	*Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical	Yes
To assess the utility of cervicovaginal expression of fetal fibronectin in the diagnosis of pre-term labour.	Inclusion Criteria		antibody FDC-6. Result reported as either positive (≥ 50ng/mL) or negative (< 50ng/mL). Adherence to the clinical	team.	Was the period between performance of the reference standard

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Study dates         Not reported.         Source of funding         Not reported.	<ul> <li>Between 24 and 3-weeks gestation</li> <li>Symptoms of preterm labour</li> </ul> Exclusion Criteria <ul> <li>Confirmed rupture of membranes</li> <li>Cervical dilation ≥ 3cm</li> <li>Presence of cervical cerclage</li> <li>placenta previa</li> <li>Uterine abnormalities</li> </ul>	4	pathway was at the discretion of the practitioner. <u>Definition of pre-term labour</u> Suspected pre-term labour was defined as the presence uterine activity, abdominal discomfort, change in vaginal discharge, bleeding, cramping and suspected amniorrhexis. <u>Use of tocoloysis</u> Rate of tocolysis rate was 55.6 % in fetal fibronectin positive group and 40.8% in fetal fibronectin negative group. <u>Statistical analysis</u> Multivariate statistical analyses with stepwise logistic regression were performed.		<ul> <li>and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target condition did not change between the two tests? N/A</li> <li>Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? Yes</li> <li>Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes</li> <li>Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes</li> <li>Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to</li> </ul>

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					permit its replication? Yes
					Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes
					Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear
					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A
					Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes
					Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					intermediate test results reported? N/A
					Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Kwek,K., Khi,C., Ting,H.S., Yeo,G.S., Evaluation of a bedside test for phosphorylated insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1 in preterm labour, Annals of the Academy of Medicine, Singapore, 33, 780-783, 2004 <b>Ref Id</b> 258208 <b>Country/ies where the study was carried out</b> Singapore <b>Study type</b> Prospective cohort study <b>Aim of the study</b>	N = 42 (5 women were lost to follow up for the specified outcomes out of the full cohort of 47). Characteristics pIGFBP-1 positive group n=18 pIGFBP-1 negative group n=29 <u>Median maternal age</u> (years) (range) pIGFBP-1 positive group = 25.5 (17-39) pIGFBP-1 negative group = 29.0 (20-40)	Index test A pIGFBP-1 test with an unknown theshold value for a positive result. Reference standard Birth within 2 days or within 7 days.	Index test A bedside test kit (Actim) for the detection of pIGFBP-1 in cervical secretions was used. A cervical secretion specimen was obtained by applying a Dacron swab gently to the cervix. The swab was placed in extraction solution, mixed and removed. The test strip was placed in the solution. After 3 minutes, a negative result appeared as a single blue line and a positive result was apparent as two blue lines. The cut off values for the test are not reported. A single operator conducted all the tests.	plGFBP-1 test to diagnose birth within 2 days N = 42 TP: 4 FP: 14 FN: 2 TN: 22 Likelihood ratio (positive) = 1.71 (0.56 to 2.73)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.54 (0.09 to 1.37)* Sensitivity = 66.7% (25.5 to 93.8)* Specificity = 61.1% (54.2 to 65.6)* plGFBP-1 test to diagnose birth within 7 days	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participant's representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes Were selection criteria clearly described? Yes Was the reference standard likely to classify the target condition correctly? Yes
	Median gestation at admission (weeks) (range) pIGFBP-1 positive group = 31.5 (23-33) pIGFBP-1 negative group = 31.0 (24-33)		Definition of pre-term labour Complaints of regular intermittent painful contractions occuring at least 1 per 10 mins with regular uterine activity on cardiotocographic monitoring in women with 23 to 33 weeks' amenorrhoea.	within 7 days N = 42 TP: 10 FP: 8 FN: 2 TN: 22 Likelihood ratio (positive) = 3.12 (1.476 to 4.56)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.23 (0.04 to 0.71)*	Was the period between performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Study dates Not reported.	NulliparouspIGFBP-1 positive group =9/18 (50%)pIGFBP-1 negative group =18/29 (62.1%)		Use of tocolysis All women received tocolysis and antenatal steroids according to existing protocols and the decision to treat was mae prior	*Calculated by NCC-	condition did not change between the two tests? Yes Did the whole sample or a
Source of funding Not reported.	<ul> <li>Inclusion Criteria</li> <li>Suspected pre-term labour requiring tocolysis</li> <li>Between 23 and 33 weeks amenorrhoea</li> </ul>		to pIGFBP-1 testing. 46 women received tocolysis with IV salbutamol. Statistical analysis Continuous variables were compared using the Mann Whitney U test and prorportions using the X <sup>2</sup> test. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.	WCH technical team.	random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? The whole sample Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes
	<ul> <li>Exclusion Criteria</li> <li>Ruptured membranes</li> <li>Antepartum haemorrhage</li> <li>Multiple pregnancies</li> <li>Cervical dilatation ≥ 3cm</li> <li>Cervical cerclage</li> <li>Any contraindication to tocolysis</li> </ul>				Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear
					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
LaShay,N., Gilson,G., Joffe,G., Qualls,C., Curet,L., Will cervicovaginal interleukin-6 combined with fetal fibronectin testing improve the prediction of preterm delivery?, Journal of Maternal-Fetal Medicine, 9, 336-341, 2000	N = 135 Characteristics		Details Study was conducted in two tertiary medical centres in New Mexico. A sterile speculum examination was performed for all women who met the inclusion	Total N = 48 Total positive fetal fibronectin n = not reported Birth within $\leq$ 48	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of the patients who
Ref Id	Mean gestational age at		criteria. Specimen was obtained	hours n = 4	will receive the test
258676	admission, weeks ± SD 30.5 ± 3.0	Reference standard Birth within 7	using Dacron swab. Two vaginal swabs were placed in the endo- cervix/posterior fornix of vagina	Sensitivity = $75\%$ Specificity = $88\%$ OR = $17.86$ ( $95\%$ CI	in practice? Yes Were selection
Country/ies where the study was carried out	No significant differences observed between study	days of presentation.	for 15 seconds to achieve saturation,. The probe was	1.97 to 166) p = 0.009	criteria clearly described? Yes
United States of America	sites in maternal age, parity,		analysed by an enzyme-linked		
Study type	prior preterm birth and mode of birth. No further details		immunosorbent assay (fetal fibronectin enzyme	Birth within 7 days n = 5	Was the reference standard likely to
Prospective cohort study	were reported.		immunoassay, Adeza Sunnyvale, CA). Result reported		classify the target condition correctly?
Aim of the study	Inclusion Criteria		as either positive (≥ 50ng/mL) or negative (< 50ng/mL). <u>Definition of pre-term labour</u>	reported OR = 11.9 (95% CI 2.36 to 58.82) p = 0.004	Yes Was the period between

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
To investigate if determination of cervicovaginal interleukin-6 (IL-6) levels would enhance the positive predictive value of fetal fibronectin (fFN) for pre-term birth. Study dates Not reported. Source of funding Not reported.	<ul> <li>Between 24 and 34 weeks</li> <li>Intact membranes</li> <li>Exclusion Criteria</li> <li>Confirmed rupture of membranes</li> <li>Multiple gestations</li> <li>Vaginal bleeding of unknown cause</li> <li>A major fetal anomaly</li> <li>Cervical dilation ≥ 3cm</li> <li>Presence of cervical cerclage</li> <li>Hypertention</li> <li>Placenta previa</li> <li>Polyhydraminous</li> <li>Cervical manipulation within the previous 24 hours (intercourse, vaginal examination, vaginal ultrasonic scan)</li> </ul>		Suspected pre-term labour was defined as the presence uterine contraction (at least 4 per 20 min interval or 8 times per hour) dilatation of cervix at least 1 cm with 50% effacement on initial examination and cervical changes of effacement and dilatation 2 hours later. <u>Use of tocoloysis</u> Used at the discretion of the practitioner. <u>Statistical analysis</u> Receiver operator characteristic (ROC) analysis was utilised to compare the significant area under the curve.	No adequate data were reported to calculate other diagnostic accuracy features.	performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target condition did not change between the two tests? N/A Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? Yes Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes
					Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes
					Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear
					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A
					Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes
					Were uninterpretable,

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A
					Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
					Other information Unclear if clinicians were blinded to the result of the test.
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Lembet,A., Eroglu,D., Ergin,T., Kuscu,E., Zeyneloglu,H., Batioglu,S., Haberal,A., New rapid bed-side test to predict preterm delivery: phosphorylated insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1 in cervical secretions, Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 81, 706-712, 2002 <b>Ref Id</b> 258386 <b>Country/ies where the study was carried out</b>	N = 36 <b>Characteristics</b> pIGFBP-1 positive group n=18 pIGFBP-1 negative group n=18 <b>Mean maternal age (years)</b>	Index test A pIGFBP-1 test with a threshold value of 30-50 µgrams/I for a positive result. Reference standard Birth within 48 hours or within 7 days.	Details Women with documented contraction frequency >10/hr were admitted and external tocodynamometry and fetal heart monitoring were performed. A low vaginal culture was taken, then a sample for pIGFBP-1 testing was obtained, followed by a digital cervical examination.	plGFBP-1 test to diagnose birth within 48 hours N = 36 TP: 14 FP: 4 FN: 1 TN: 17 Likelihood ratio (positive) = 4.90 (2.12 to 6.85)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.08 (0.004 to 0.42)* Sensitivity = 93.3%	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participant's representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes Were selection criteria clearly described? Yes,
Turkey	<u><b>± SD</b></u> pIGFBP-1 positive group =		A one step dipstick test (Actim Partus test) for the detection of	(72.3 to 99.6)* Specificity = 81.0%	although it is unclear if any or
Study type	26.9 ± 6.8 pIGFBP-1 negative group = 29.9 ± 4.1		pIGFBP-1 in cervical secretions was used. Following sterile speculum insertion, a cervical secretion specimen from the	(65.9 to 85.5)*	how many women did not have intact membranes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Prospective cohort study Aim of the study To assess whether detection of pIGFBP-1 using a bedside test could be used to predict pre-term birth in patients with regular uterine contractions. Study dates July 2000 to July 2001. Source of funding Not reported.	Mean gestation at admission (weeks) $\pm$ SD pIGFBP-1 positive group = $32.4 \pm 3.5$ pIGFBP-1 negative group = $29.8 \pm 2.5$ Mean gravidity $\pm$ SD pIGFBP-1 positive group = $2.0 \pm 1.5$ pIGFBP-1 negative group = $2.4 \pm 1.7$ BMI >26kg/m² pIGFBP-1 positive group = $14/18 (77.8\%)$ pIGFBP-1 negative group = $15/18 (83.3\%)$ Previous preterm birth pIGFBP-1 positive group = $5/18 (25\%)$ pIGFBP-1 negative group = $2/18 (9.1\%)$		external os was obtained using a Dacron swab. The swab was placed in extraction solution, mixed and removed. The bottom of the dipstick was placed in the solution, then removed after 20s. The dipstick was placed horizontally. A negative result appeared as a single blue line and a positive result was apparent as two blue lines. If there were no visible lines then then test was judged not to have worked properly. The test was performed by a member of staff not directly related to the patient's care. The primary physician was blinded to results until delivery. On admission women were recommended bed rest and hydrated with 500ml Ringer solution. <u>Definition of pre-term labour</u> Not reported.	FN: 1 TN: 17 Likelihood ratio (positive) = $6.25$ (2.43 to 9.71)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.07$ (0.004 to 0.37)* Sensitivity = $93.8\%$	Was the reference standard likely to classify the target condition correctly? Yes Was the period between performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target condition did not change between the two tests? Yes Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? The whole sample
	Inclusion Criteria <ul> <li>Symptoms         <ul> <li>Symptoms</li> <li>suggestive of pre- term labour</li> <li>(regular uterine</li> <li>contractions &gt;</li> <li>10/hour)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		Use of tocolysis Tocolytic therapy (first line treatment with magnesium sulphate) was started if there was a progressive cervical change documented by the same examiner and contractions persisted. Maternal corticosteroids were given as		Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Gestational ages between 20 and 36 weeks</li> <li>Intact membranes are not specified in the inclusion criteria.</li> <li>Exclusion Criteria         <ul> <li>Multiple gestations</li> <li>Uterine anomalies</li> <li>Congenital fetal abnormalities</li> <li>Vaginal bleeding</li> <li>Sexual intercourse in previous 24hrs</li> <li>Intrauterine growth retardation</li> <li>Pre-eclampsia</li> </ul> </li> <li>Rupture of membranes is not specified in the exclusion criteria.</li> </ul>		necessary over 24 weeks gestation. <u>Statistical analysis</u> The Student's t test, X <sup>2</sup> test, and Fisher exact test were used to determine whether a statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) had occurred between groups.		index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Lukes,A.S., Thorp,J.M.,Jr., Eucker,B., Pahel- Short,L., Predictors of positivity for fetal fibronectin in patients with symptoms of preterm labor,	N = 763	Index test Fetal fibronectin test with a cut-off	<u>Details</u> The study conducted in 11 hospitals across the United	Total N = 763	QUADAS checklist

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 176, 639-641, 1997	Characteristics	of > 50ng/ml for a positive test result.	States. A specimen was obtained using speculum examination by using a Dacron	Positive fetal fibronectin n = 150 (19.6%)	Was the spectrum of participants representative of
Ref Id	Mean maternal age	Reference	swab. Speculum examination performed before the digital	Birth within 7 days,	the patients who will receive the test
258447	24.2 years	standard Birth within 7	examination. The result was processed using ELISA rapid	$\frac{n = 22}{\text{Likelihood ratio}}$	in practice? Yes
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Race 40% white	days of presentation.	assay. A cut-off of > 50ng/mL was used to determine a	(positive) = 4.89 (3.89 to 6.18)*	Were selection criteria clearly
United States of America	Gravidity		positive test result.	Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.17	described? Yes
Study type	29% primigravid		Definition of pre-term labour Symptoms suggested of pre-	$(0.06 \text{ to } 0.47)^*$ Sensitivity = 82.3%	Was the reference standard likely to
Prospective cohort study	History of previous premature infants		term labour were including regular contractions, low	(79.3 to 97)* Specificity = 82.31%	classify the target condition correctly?
Aim of the study	15%		abdominal cramping, low back pain, vaginal bleeding, or	(79.3 to 85)	Yes
To examine diagnostic accuracy of fetal fibronectin immunoassays at identifying patients at risk for pre- term birth.	Sexual activity within 24 hours of sample collection n = 66/763 (9%)		increased vaginal discharge.	*Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.	Was the period between performance of the
	Cervical examination		10/11 participating hospitals used tocolytic therapy.	Result from logistic	reference standard and the index test
Study dates	within 24 hours of sample collection n = 107/763 (14%)		Statistical analysis	regression analysis indicates that five variables (uterine	short enough to be reasonably sure that the target
Not reported.	<u>Vaginal bleeding</u> n = 118/759 (16%)		A logistic regression model was used to detect the simultaneous effects of multiple variables on predicting positive fetal	contraction, cervical dilatation, intercourse, cervical examination,	condition did not change between the two tests? N/A
Source of funding	Uterine contractions		fibronectin immunoassay. Independent variables included	vaginal bleeding) were found to be significantly	Did the whole
Not reported.	n = 192/750 (26% with three or more in 1 hour)		were women age, race, gravidity, history of previous premature infants, sexual	predictive of positive fetal fibronectin.	sample or a random selection of the sample
	Cervical dilatation n = 94/763 (12% with dilatation between 1 and 3 cm)		activity within 24 hours of sample collection, cervical examination within 24 hours of sample collection, vaginal		receive verification using the reference standard? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	Estimated gestational age at sampling Mean = 30 weeks 2 days Inclusion Criteria • Between 24 and 34 weeks 6 days' gestation • Intact membranes • Symptoms of pre-term labour • Cervical dilation < 3cm Exclusion Criteria Not reported.		bleeding, uterine contractions, cervical dilatation (< 1cm or between 1 and 3cm), estimated gestational age. Uterine contractions were measured by external tocodynometry. Two variables, tocolysis and investigational site, were tested for the potential confounders.		Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A
					Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes
					Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A
					Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Malak,T.M., Sizmur,F., Bell,S.C., Taylor,D.J., Fetal fibronectin in cervicovaginal secretions as a predictor of preterm birth, British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 103, 648-653, 1996	N = 141 Characteristics	of > 50ng/ml for a positive test	assess cervical dilation and rupture of membranes. During	Birth within 7 days n = 10 Likelihood ratio (positive) = 8.16 (4.2 to 15.9)*	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of
Ref Id		result.	this examination a swab was taken from the ectocervix and		the patients who

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
258253 Country/ies where the study was carried out United Kingdom Study type Prospective cohort study Aim of the study To investigate the reliability of fetal fibronectin detection as a predictor of pre-term birth (< 37 weeks' gestation) in women with symptoms suggestive of pre-term labour.	Mean maternal age, years         ± SE         Positive fetal fibronectin =         23.7 ± 1.5         Negative fetal fibronectin         = 24.9 ± 0.6         Primiparity, %         Positive fetal fibronectin =         42.8%         Negative fetal fibronectin =         37.9%         Mean gestational age at sampling, days ± SE         Positive fetal fibronectin =         218.4 + 3.7	Reference standard Birth within 7 days of admission.	posterior fornix to determine fetal fibronectin.         Women were followed up until birth. Clinicians were blinded to the results of the fetal fibronectin test.         Definition of pre-term labour Definition included the following symptoms: painful uterine contractions or abdominal cramps and pelvic pressure with back ache.         Use of tocolysis The use of tocolytic medication	Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.22 (0.06 to 0.77)* Sensitivity = 80% (44.4 to 96.9)* (8/10) Specificity = 90.2% (82.7 to 95.2)* (92/102) *Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team	will receive the test in practice? Yes Were selection criteria clearly described? Yes Was the reference standard likely to classify the target condition correctly? Yes Was the period between performance of the reference standard
Study dates Not reported. Source of funding Supported by Wellbeing. Fetal fibronectin tests were supplied as a gift by Mast Diagnostic.	<ul> <li>218.4 ± 3.7 Negative fetal fibronectin = 210.1 ± 2.1</li> <li>Previous pre-term births were not reported nor was the number of women who received tocolytic medication.</li> <li>Inclusion Criteria <ul> <li>Singleton pregnancies</li> <li>Gestational age between 24 and 37 weeks</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		The use of tocolytic medication was determined by the attending physician. Sensitivity and specificity were calculated by the study authors however no confidence intervals were provided. Likelihood ratios, sensitivity, specificity and their associated 95% confidence intervals were therefore calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.		reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target condition did not change between the two tests? Yes Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? Yes Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Symptoms of pre- term labour</li> <li>No history of ruptured membranes</li> <li>Exclusion Criteria</li> <li>Placenta previa</li> <li>The presence of any blood on speculum examination</li> <li>Sexual intercourse in the preceding 24 hours</li> </ul>				index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test? (that is, the reference? (the index test? (the ind

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					results of the index test? N/A
					Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes
					Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A
					Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
McKenna,D.S., Chung,K., lams,J.D., Effect of digital cervical examination on the expression of fetal fibronectin, Journal of Reproductive Medicine, 44, 796-800, 1999	N = 50	Index test A fetal fibronectin test with a cut-off of >	Protocol fFN samples were routinely collected from all women who present to the study centre	<u>Birth ≤ 7</u> <u>days (fFN before</u> <u>cervical</u> <u>examination)</u>	QUADAS <u>checklist</u> Was the spectrum of participants
Ref Id	Characteristics History of previous	50ng/ml for a positive test result.	with signs or symptoms of pre- term labour. Samples were obtained using speculum	Likelihood ratio (positive) = 3.83 (1.32 to 3.83)*	representative of the patients who will receive the test
270472	<u>spontaneous pre-term</u> <u>birth</u>	Reference test	examination and a swab of the posterior fornix of the vagina	Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.00	in practice? Yes
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Frequency = 30% (15/50)	Birth ≤ 7 days.	and external cervical os.	(0.00 to 0.85)*	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
United States of America	<u>Mean gestational age,</u> weeks ± SD		Following the initial fFN test a digital cervical examination was	Sensitivity = 100.0% (41.1 to 100.0)* (4/4)	Were selection criteria clearly
Study type	Sampling = $29.3 \pm 2.0$ At birth = $36.3 \pm 0.8$		performed. Consent was then obtained to perform a repeat	Specificity = 73.9% (68.8 to 73.9)*	described? Yes
Prospective cohort study	Ethnicity		fFN test. The repeat test was performed within 1 to 3 hours of	(34/46)	Was the reference standard likely to
Aim of the study	Caucasian = 62% African American = 38%		the initial fFN test.	<u>Birth ≤ 7 days</u> (fFN after cervical	classify the target condition correctly?
To determine the effect of a single digital cervical examination on the results of fetal fibronectin (fFN) expression in women symptomatic of pre-term labour.	Parity, the proportion of women having multiple births and the number of women who received tocolytic medication were not		If women remained hospitalised, did not give birth and did not have an additional cervical examination a third fFN test was performed approximately 24 hours after the initial test.	<b>examination)</b> Likelihood ratio (positive) = 2.16	Yes Was the period between performance of the reference standard
Study dates	reported.		Assays for fFN were performed using ELISA. A cut-off of >	(0.02 to 1.27)* Sensitivity = 75.0% (22.7 to 98.7)* (3/4)	and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure
February to December 1997.	Inclusion Criteria		50ng/ml was used to determine a positive test result.	Specificity = $65.2\%$ (60.7 to $67.3$ )* (30/46)	that the target condition did not change between
Source of funding	Women aged     between 18 and 45		Characteristics were obtained using patient charts and	Change in fFN	the two tests? N/A
Supported by Adeza Biomedical.	<ul> <li>years</li> <li>Gestational ages between 22 and 34 weeks</li> <li>Symptoms of pre- term labour (undefined)</li> </ul>		telephone interviews. Definition of pre-term labour Not reported. <u>Use of tocolysis</u> Not reported.	result followingcervicalexaminationNegative → positive= $5/34$ Positive → negative= $2/16$	Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? Yes
	Exclusion Criteria		Statistical analysis Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value were calculated for birth $\leq$ 7 days for fFN test results before and after cervical examination.	*Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.	Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Women who had digital cervical examination, endovaginal ultrasound or coitus within the previous 24 hours</li> <li>Confirmed rupture of membranes</li> <li>Known untreated cervical infection</li> <li>Cervical dilation ≥ 3cm</li> <li>Presence of cervical cerclage</li> <li>Uterine abnormalities</li> <li>Placenta previa or abruptio placentae</li> </ul>				Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Palacio,M., Sanin-Blair,J., Sanchez,M., Crispi,F., Gomez,O., Carreras,E., Coll,O., Cararach,V., Gratacos,E., The use of a variable cut-off value of cervical length in women admitted for preterm labor before and after 32 weeks, Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 29, 421-426, 2007 <b>Ref Id</b> 271139	N = 333 Characteristics <u>Mean maternal age, years</u> <u>± SD</u> 29.4 ± 5.8 <u>Parity, n/N (%)</u>	Index test Cervical length < 15mm or < 25mm as determined by transvaginal ultrasound between 24 and 48 hours after admission.	Details Gestational age was calculated based on the date of the last menstrual period or by ultrasound during early pregnancy. Ultrasound examination was performed 24 to 48 hours after admission. At least three images were taken and the shortest	Cervical length < 15mm to diagnose birth within 7 days in the whole cohort Likelihood ratio (positive) = 8.10 (2.83 to 20.65)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.74 (0.54 to 0.91)* Sensitivity = 28.6% (12.9 to 47.1)* (6/21)	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes Were selection criteria clearly described? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Nulliparous = 146/333 (43.8%)	<u>Reference</u> standard	value was recorded and used in analysis.	Specificity = 96.5% (95.4 to 97.7)*	Was the reference
Spain	Previous pre-term birth,	Birth within 7 days of	Clinicians were blinded to the	(301/312)	standard likely to classify the target
Study type	<u>n/N (%)</u> Yes = 45/333 (13.5%)	admission.	results of the transvaginal ultrasound therefore these	<u>Cervical length &lt;</u> 25mm to diagnose	condition correctly?
Prospective cohort study	Mean gestational age at		results were not used in the clinical management of each	birth within 7 days	Was the period
Aim of the study	admission, weeks ± SD 31.9 ± 2.6		woman.	Likelihood ratio (positive) = 3.43	between performance of the
To evaluate the use of different cut-offs for cervical length depending on gestational age as measured at admission to identify women with symptomatic contractions who are at low risk of pre-term birth.	Mean Bishop score ± SD 2.9 ± 1.3		Primary outcomes were birth within 7 days of admission and birth at < 34 weeks' gestation.	(2.17 to 4.44)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.36 (0.16 to 0.66)*	reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure
	All women received tocolytic medication.		Definition of pre-term labour Pre-term labour was defined as at least 2 regular, painful	Sensitivity = 71.4% (48.6 to 87.6)* (15/21)	that the target condition did not change between
Study dates			contractions within 10 minutes that persisted after one hour of	Specificity = 79.2% (77.6 to 80.3)*	the two tests? Yes
January 2001 to December 2003.	Inclusion Criteria		rest. Use of tocolysis	(247/312) Cervical length <	Did the whole sample or a random selection
Source of funding	<ul><li>Singleton pregnancies</li><li>Intact membranes</li></ul>		Tocolytic medication was administered to all women.	15mm to diagnose birth within 7 days in women admitted	of the sample receive verification using the reference
Supported by grants from Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias of the Spanish government.	<ul> <li>Women that presented with pre- term labour (at least 2 regular, painful contractions within 10 minutes that persisted after one hour of rest)</li> <li>Gestational age between 24 and &lt; 36 weeks</li> </ul>		Sensitivity, specificity and likelihood ratios were calculated for different cut-offs of cervical length. No confidence intervals were provided therefore these were calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team. Not all relevant data were presented for cervical length < 20mm therefore it was not possible to calculate confidence	before 32 weeks' gestation Likelihood ratio (positive) = 3.23 (0.00 to 41.52)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.93 (0.49 to 1.04)* Sensitivity = 10.0% (0.00 to 51.8)* (0/4)#	standard? Yes Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Exclusion Criteria</li> <li>Bishop score ≥ 6</li> <li>Rupture of membranes on admission</li> <li>Women who gave birth within 24 hours following admission</li> <li>Women who gave birth due to iatrogenic intervention for reasons other than active labour e.g. maternal disease, placental abruption or pathological fetal heart pattern</li> <li>Women with subsequent rupture of membranes whose labour was induced were not excluded.</li> </ul>		intervals for this cut-off and as a result these results were discarded.	Specificity = $96.9\%$ (96.5 to $98.8$ )* (109/112) Cervical length < 25mm to diagnose birth within 7 days in women admitted before 32 weeks' gestation Likelihood ratio (positive) = $5.25$ (1.39 to $7.34$ )* Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.29$ ( $0.02$ to $0.92$ )* Sensitivity = $75.0\%$ ( $22.5$ to $98.7$ )* ( $3/4$ ) Specificity = $85.7\%$ ( $83.8$ to $86.6$ )* ( $96/112$ ) Cervical length < 15mm to diagnose birth within 7 days in women admitted at or later than 32 weeks' gestation Likelihood ratio (positive) = $8.82$ ( $2.93$ to $23.96$ )* Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.67$ ( $0.46$ to $0.89$ )* Sensitivity = $35.3\%$ ( $16.4$ to $55.2$ )* ( $6/17$ )	index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A Were the same clinical data available when the

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
				Specificity = $96.0\%$ (94.4 to 97.7)* (192/200) Cervical length < 25mm to diagnose birth within 7 days in women admitted at or later than 32 weeks' gestation Likelihood ratio (positive) = $2.88$ (1.69 to $3.85$ )* Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.39$ (0.15 to $0.75$ )* Sensitivity = $70.6\%$ (45.2 to $88.4$ )* (12/17) Specificity = $75.5\%$ (73.3 to $77.0$ )* (151/200) *Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team. #0.5 was added to each cell in the 2x2 table to allow sensitivity to be calculated.	test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
fetal fibronectin for prediction of preterm delivery with intact membranes, Obstetrics and Gynecology, 101, 123-128, 2003 <b>Ref Id</b> 258593	N = 185 fFN positive n = 89 fFN negative n = 96 Characteristics <u>Maternal Age (y)</u> fFN positive = $25.4 \pm 5.7$ fFN negative = $25.3 \pm 5.2$ Determinents	50ng/ml or more in cervicovaginal secretions <u>Reference</u>	<b>Details</b> Fetal fibronectin testing was performed before vaginal examination. A specimen was obtained using a high vaginal Dacron swab and tested using an immunoassay (Adeza Biomedical) <b>Definition of preterm labour</b> Preterm labour defined	Fetal fibronectin test to diagnose birth within 7 days Likelihood ratio (positive) = 2.86* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.35* Sensitivity = 73.8% Specificity = 74.2% * Calculated by NCC-	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participant's representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes Were selection criteria clearly
Country/ies where the study was carried out Japan	<u>Primiparous</u> fFN positive = 46.6% fFN negative = 47.1% <b>Previous preterm delivery</b>	<u>standard</u> Birth within 7 days	(according to the Canadian Preterm Labour Investigatos Group) as presence of regular uterine contractions (6/60mins)	WCH technical team	described? Yes Was the reference standard likely to classify the target
Study type	fFN positive = 9.3% fFN negative = 9.0%		or any uterine activity associated with a cervix effaced		condition correctly? Yes
Prospective cohort study Aim of the study	Education <12y fFN positive = 1.1% fFN negative = 1.1%		by at least 50% or dilated by 2cms or more <b>Use of tocolysis</b>		Was the period between performance of the
To evaluate the value of the preterm labour index (not reported here) and fetal fibronectin to predict preterm birth in women in preterm labour with intact membranes	Smoker fFN positive = 2.2% fFN negative = 3.1% Gestational age at hospitalisation (wk) fFN positive = 29.6 ± 8.9		Women in preterm labour were treated with an initial dose of ritodrine hydrochloride IV infusion (33 micrograms/minute). When the maximum dose was exceeded		reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target condition did not
Study dates	fFN negative = 28.9 ± 8.2		(100micrograms/minute), magnesium sulphate was added at 4g/30 minutes, then		change between the two tests? Yes
1997 - 2001 Source of funding	Inclusion Criteria Pregnant women brought to hospital because of preterm		continued at 1 to 2 g/hr <u>Statistical analysis</u> A sample size of 180 patients was required to demonstrate a		Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample
Not stated	labour Exclusion Criteria		significant association between fetal fibronectin and outcome with a positive predicitive value of 50% and a negative predicive value of 80%		receive verification using the reference standard? The whole sample

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Pre-term PROM</li> <li>Multiple pregnancy</li> <li>Early delivery due to fetal asphyxia</li> <li>Pre-eclampsia</li> <li>Placenta previa</li> <li>Abruptio placentae</li> <li>Meternal medical complications (such as diabetes mellitus, hyperthyroidism and asthma)</li> </ul>		Demographic characteristics were analysed using Student's t- tests and chi-squared tests. Statistical significance was taken at p < 0.05		Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Schmitz,T., Maillard,F., Bessard-Bacquaert,S., Kayem,G., Fulla,Y., Cabrol,D., Goffinet,F., Selective use of fetal fibronectin detection after cervical length measurement to predict spontaneous preterm delivery in women with preterm labor, American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 194, 138-143, 2006	N = 359 Characteristics <u>Mean maternal age, years</u> <u>± SD</u>	Index test Fetal fibronectin test with a cut-off of > 50ng/ml for a positive test result. Reference	<b>Details</b> Gestational age was determined by the date of the last menstrual period and confirmed by sonography performed during the first trimester. If gestational age by menstrual history was unreliable or discordant by > 5	<b>birth within 7 days</b> Likelihood ratio (positive) = 2.25 (1.83 to 2.77)	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes
Ref Id	31.1 ± 5.1	<u>standard</u> Birth within 7	days, sonography results alone were used.	(0.07 to 0.61) Sensitivity = 87% (66	
258534 Country/ies where the study was carried out	<b>Ethnic origin, n (%)</b> France = 235 (65.8%) North Africa = 34 (9.5%)	days of admission.	Fetal fibronectin was performed first at admission by swabbing	to 97)	criteria clearly described? Yes
France	Central and West Africa = 22 (6.2%)		the posterior fornix of the vagina. Also at admission, after		Was the reference standard likely to
Study type	French Caribbean = $22$ (6.2%)		fetal fibronectin testing, transvaginal sonography was	50 ng/mL to diagnose birth	classify the target condition correctly?
Prospective cohort study	Other = 44 (11.7%)		used to determine cervical length.	<u>within 7 days</u> Likelihood ratio	Yes
Aim of the study	<u>Parity, n (%)</u> Nulliparous = 191 (53.2%)		Clinicians were blinded to the	(2.69 to 5.17)	Was the period between
To determine whether selective use of fetal fibronectin detection after ultrasound measurement of cervical length predicts pre-term delivery in symptomatic patients better than either indicator alone.	<u>Previous pre-term birth, n</u> (%) ≤ 28 weeks' gestation = 23 (6.5%) > 28 weeks' gestation = 37 (10.5%)		results of both the fetal fibronectin test and cervical length measurement to prevent this knowledge affecting subsequent patient management. The secondary outcome was	(negative) = 0.22 (0.09 to 0.54) Sensitivity = 83%* (61 to 95) Specificity = 79%** (74 to 83)	performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target condition did not
Study dates	Mean gestational age at inclusion, weeks ± SD 29 ± 3.7		birth within 7 days of admission.	*P = 0.1 vs cervical length	change between the two tests? Yes Did the whole
January 1997 to May 2000.	The number of women who received tocolytic medication was not reported.		Pre-term labour was defined as at least 4 regular uterine contractions of 30 seconds in duration in 30 minutes		sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification
Source of funding			confirmed by tocodynamometry		

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Not reported.	Inclusion Criteria         • Women         hospitalised for pre-         term labour         between 18 and 34         weeks' gestation         Exclusion Criteria         • Cervical dilation ≥         3cm         • Confirmed rupture         of membranes         • Women who had         cervical         manipulation or         sexual intercourse         in the preceding 24         hours         • Cervical cerclage         Uterine         abnormalities         • Vaginal bleeding         Placenta previa         Abruptio placentae         Intrauterine growth         restriction         • Pre-eclampsia		and cervical dilation of 0 to 3cm (nulliparous women) or 1 to 3cm (primiparous/multiparous women) and 50% cervical effacement. <u>Use of tocolysis</u> Tocolytic medication was administered at the discretion of the attending physician. <u>Statistical analysis</u> Sensitivity, specificity, likelihood ratios and associated 95% confidence intervals were calculated.		using the reference standard? Yes Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Was the index test replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	Medically indicated pre-term birth before 35 weeks' gestation				reference standard? Unclear Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Schmitz,T., Kayem,G., Maillard,F., Lebret,M.T., Cabrol,D., Goffinet,F., Selective use of sonographic cervical length measurement for predicting imminent preterm delivery in women with preterm	N = 395	Index test Digital cervical examination with Bishop score	<b>Detail</b> Gestational age of eligible women was determined using the date of the last menstrual	Bishop score ≥ 4 to diagnose birth within 48 hours	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
labor and intact membranes, Ultrasound in	Characteristics	assigned	period. If mentrual data were	Likelihood ratio	representative of
Obstetrics and Gynecology, 31, 421-426, 2008		followed by	unreliable or discordant by more	(positive) = 1.66	the patients who
Ref Id	Mean maternal age, years <u>± SD</u> 30.9 ± 5.1	ultrasound assessment of the cervix.	than 5 days gestational age was determined by ultrasound.	(1.20 to 1.76)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.14	will receive the test in practice? Yes
222058			Women had a digital cervical	(0.01 to 0.72)*	Were selection
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Parity, n/N (%) Nulliparous = 211/395 (53.4%)	<u>Reference</u> <u>standard</u> Birth ≤ 48 hours	examination followed by recording of uterine contractions then cervical length	Sensitivity = 94% (71 to 100) Specificity = 43% (38	criteria clearly described? Yes
France	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	or $\leq$ 7 days.	measurement using ultrasound.	to 48)	Was the reference
Study type	Previous pre-term birth, n (%) ≤ 28 weeks' gestation = 24		All examinations were made at admission. Ultrasound took place no more than 30 minutes	<u>Bishop score ≥ 4 to</u> diagnose birth	standard likely to classify the target condition correctly?
Prospective cohort study	(6.1%) > 28 weeks' gestation = 37		after digital examination. A	within 7 days	Yes
Aim of the study	(9.4%)		Bishop score was assigned after digital cervical examination.	(positive) = 1.76	Was the period
To evaluate the diagnostic performance of sonographic cervical length measurement in women selected based on the results of digital cervical examination.	Ethnic origin, n (%) France = 262 (67.2%) North Africa = 20 (5.1%) Central and West Africa = 35 (9.0%) French Caribbean = 19		At least two measurements of cervical length were made using ultrasound with the shortest measurement being used in analysis.	(0.004 to 0.40)* Sensitivity = 97% (84 to 100) Specificity = 45% (39	
Study dates	(4.9%) Other = 54 (13.9%)		Hospitalisation was based on digital cervical examination or	to 50)	condition did not change between
January 1997 to May 2000.	The number of women who received tocolytic medication was not reported.		uterine contractions. Primary outcomes were birth within either 48 hours or 7 days	Bishop score ≥ 8 to diagnose birth within 48 hours Likelihood ratio	the two tests? Yes Did the whole sample or a
Source of funding			based on the duration of efficient tocolysis and fetal lung	(positive) = 12.13 (4.29 to 29.42)*	random selection of the sample
Not reported.	Inclusion Criteria		maturation following administration of corticosteroids.	Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.67 (0.44 to 0.87)*	receive verification using the reference standard? Yes
	Hospitalised for pre- term labour		Definition of pre-term labour Regular uterine contractions of 30 seconds in duration at a rate	Sensitivity = 35% (14 to 62)	Did participants receive the same

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Gestational age between 24 and 34+6 weeks</li> <li>Exclusion Criteria         <ul> <li>Multiple pregnancies</li> <li>Premature rupture of membranes</li> <li>Cervical dilation &gt; 3cm</li> <li>Cervical cerclage</li> <li>Uterine abnormalities</li> <li>Placenta previa</li> <li>Placental abruption</li> <li>Intrauterine growth restriction</li> <li>Pre-eclampsia</li> <li>A medically indicated pre-term birth</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		of four contractions per 30 minutes, confirmed by external uterine tocodynamometry and cervical changes. <u>Use of tocolysis</u> Administered at the discretion of the attending physician. If administered tocolysis was maintained until contractions stopped and ceased 24 to 48 hours after contractions ended. <u>Statistical analysis</u> Members of the obstetric team were blinded to the results of ultrasound cervical length measurement but not the Bishop score. Optimal cut-offs for the Bishop score and cervical length were determined by ROC curves using STATA. Bishop score cut-offs were chosen to maximise both sensitivity and specificity. Cervical length cut-offs were chosen to maximise sensitivity regardless of specificity, considering the consequences of missed diagnosis. Likelihood ratios and 95% confidence intervals were	Specificity = 97% (94 to 98) <b>Bishop score <math>\geq</math> 8 to</b> <b>diagnose birth</b> <b>within 7 days</b> Likelihood ratio (positive) = 17.83 (6.87 to 47.57)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.67 (0.55 to 0.81)* Sensitivity = 34% (19 to 53) Specificity = 98% (96 to 99) <b>Cervical length <math>\leq</math></b> <b>20mm to diagnose</b> <b>birth within 48</b> <b>hours in women</b> <b>with a Bishop score</b> <b>of 4 to 7</b> n = 213 Likelihood ratio (positive) = 1.66 (0.75 to 2.43)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.63 (0.21 to 1.15)* Sensitivity = 64% (57 to 71) <b>Cervical length <math>\leq</math></b> <b>25mm to diagnose</b>	regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test? (that is, the index test? (that is, the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes for cervical ultrasound, no for Bishop score (reference provided but not described). Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
			calculated for each test separately then for ultrasound length on the selected population (based on cut-offs for the Bishop score).	birth within 48 hours in women with a Bishop score of 4 to 7 n = 213 Likelihood ratio (positive) = 1.48 (0.81 to 1.80)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.44 (0.08 to 1.23)* Sensitivity = 80% (44 to 97) Specificity = 46% (39 to 53) Cervical length ≤ 30mm to diagnose birth within 48 hours in women with a Bishop score of 4 to 7 n = 213 Likelihood ratio (positive) = 1.25 (0.75 to 1.39)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.36 (0.02 to 1.67)* Sensitivity = 90% (55 to 100) Specificity = 28% (22 to 34) Cervical length ≤ 20mm to diagnose birth within 7 days	clinical data

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
				in women with a Bishop score of 4 to 7 n = 213 Likelihood ratio (positive) = 1.57 (0.90 to 2.24)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.69 (0.37 to 1.06)* Sensitivity = 55% (31 to 77) Specificity = 65% (58 to 71) Cervical length $\leq$ 25mm to diagnose	
				25mm to diagnose birth within 7 days in women with a Bishop score of 4 to 7 n = 213 Likelihood ratio (positive) = 1.64 (1.16 to 1.87)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.31 (0.08 to 0.82)* Sensitivity = 85% (62 to 97) Specificity = 48% (41 to 55)	
				Cervical length ≤ 30mm to diagnose birth within 7 days in women with a	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
				Bishop score of 4 to 7 n = 213 Likelihood ratio (positive) = $1.34$ (1.02 to $1.41$ )* Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.17$ (0.01 to $0.94$ )* Sensitivity = $95\%$ (75 to 100) Specificity = $29\%$ (22 to 36) Cervical length ≤ <u>30mm to diagnose</u> <u>birth within 48</u> <u>hours in the entire</u> <u>cohort</u> Likelihood ratio (positive) = $1.48$ (1.22 to $1.80$ ) Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.29$ (0.08 to $1.07$ ) Sensitivity = $88\%$ (64 to 98) Specificity = $40\%$ (35 to 46)	
				Cervical length ≤ 30mm to diagnose birth within 7 days in the entire cohort Likelihood ratio (positive) = 1.63 (1.43 to 1.84)	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
				Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.15 (0.04 to 0.57) Sensitivity = 94% (79 to 99) Specificity = 42% (37 to 47)	
				Selective test to diagnose birth within 48 hours in a clinically selected population n = 213 Likelihood ratio (positive) = 2.08 (1.74 to 2.63) Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.20 (0.06 to 0.75) Sensitivity = 88% (64 to 99) Specificity = 58% (54 to 64)	
				Selective test to diagnose birth within 7 days in a clinically selected population n = 213 Likelihood ratio (positive) = 2.35 (2.01 to 2.74) Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.10 (0.03 to 0.40)	

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
				Sensitivity = 94% (79 to 99) Specificity = 60% (55 to 65)	
				*Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.	
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Schreyer,P., Caspi,E., Bar,NatanN, Tal,E., Weinraub,Z., The predictive value of fetal breathing movement and Bishop score in the diagnosis of 'true' preterm labor, American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 161, 886-889, 1989	N = 70 Characteristics		<b>Protocol</b> All women admitted to the study centre during the study period who met inclusion and exclusion criteria were eligible.	Bishop score of 0 to 3 versus 4 to 6 to diagnose birth $\leq$ 48 hours Likelihood ratio	Was the spectrum of participants representative of
Ref Id	<u>Mean age, years ± SD</u> Fetal breathing present =	head scored according to Bishop score.	Gestational age was based on the date of the last menstrual	(positive) = 2.63 (1.27 to 4.09) Likelihood ratio	the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes
271098	26.2 ± 2.4 Fetal breathing absent =	Reference/gold	period. This was confirmed by $\geq$ 1 ultrasonography examination	(negative) = 0.42 (0.14 to 0.87)	Were selection
Country/ies where the study was carried out	24.7 ± 3.1	<u>standard</u> Birth ≤ 48 hours	in the first two trimesters.	Sensitivity = $69.2\%$ (41.4 to $89.0$ ) (9/13)	criteria clearly described? Yes
Israel	Parity Primiparous = 27/70	or $\leq$ 7 days.	Women underwent vaginal examination according to the	Specificity = $73.7\%$ (67.3 to 78.2) (42/57)	
Study type	Multiparous = 43/70		Bishop score.	Bishop score of 0	standard likely to classify the target
Prospective cohort study	Mean weeks' gestation at admission ± SD		<u>Definition of pre-term labour</u> Not reported, although women	to 3 versus 4 to 6 to diagnose birth ≤ 7	condition correctly? Yes
Aim of the study	Fetal breathing present = 33.4 ± 1.6		with painful uterine contractions were included in the study.	days Likelihood ratio	Was the period
To assess the validity of fetal breathing movement and Bishop score in apparent signs of pre-term labour in women between 32 and 36 weeks' gestation with intact membranes.	Fetal breathing absent = 33.8 ± 1.2 The proportion of women with previous pre-term births and the number of women		<u>Use of tocolysis</u> Women received no medication except pre-natal vitamins and iron however women with cervical scores that did not	$\begin{array}{l} \text{[positive]} = 2.85 \\ (1.43 \text{ to } 4.64) \\ \text{Likelihood ratio} \\ (negative) = 0.41 \\ (0.16 \text{ to } 0.81) \end{array}$	between performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Study dates January 1986 to January 1987. Source of funding Not reported.	<ul> <li>who received tocolytic medication were not reported.</li> <li>Inclusion Criteria <ul> <li>Complaints of painful uterine contractions</li> <li>Gestational age between 32 and 36 weeks</li> <li>Uncomplicated pregnancies</li> </ul> </li> <li>Exclusion Criteria <ul> <li>Multiple pregnancies</li> <li>Women with preterm rupture of membranes</li> <li>Bleeding in the third trimester</li> <li>Women with hyperpyrexia</li> <li>Women who did not exhibit ≥ 1 uterine contraction every 10 minutes</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		increase significantly were discharged after 48 hours and did not receive tocolytic treatment until labour occurred. Statistical analysis No specific statistical analyses were performed. Frequencies of women who gave birth within ≤ 48 hours or ≤ 7 days were reported according to their Bishop score.	Sensitivity = 68.8% (44.6 to 86.9) (11/16) Specificity = 75.9% (68.8 to 81.3) (41/54)	that the target condition did not change between the two tests? N/A Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? Yes Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard)? Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? No

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Women with unborn babies with a non- reactive heart rate (&lt; 2 accelerations of 15 beats/minute in ≥ 15 seconds)</li> </ul>			results	Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available
					when the test is used in practice? Yes
					Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
assessment, fetal fibronectin and fetal breathing in the diagnosis of preterm labour, Clinical and Experimental Obstetrics and Gynecology, 23, 5-9, 1996 <b>Ref Id</b> 209118	N = 25 <b>Characteristics</b> Mean maternal age = 25 years (range 16 to 40) Primiparous = 12/25 (48%) Mean gestational age at presentation = 31 ± 4 weeks	Index test Bishop's score >2 Index test Fetal fibronectin test with no threshold specified for a positive result Reference	Details A Bishop's score was recorded that was based on vaginal examination performed in all women by one investigator. Attending staff were aware of the Bishop's score It was not specified if the fetal fibronectin test was performed before vaginal examination. A	Bishop's score >2 to diagnose birth within 7 days TP:3 FP:6 FN:0 TN:16* Likelihood ratio (positive) = 3.10 (95% CI 0.86 to 3.83)** Likelihood ratio	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes Were selection criteria clearly
Country/ies where the study was carried out	(range 25 ± 4 to 34 ± 4 weeks)	<u>standard</u> Birth within 7	specimen was obtained using a swab applied to the posterior	(negative) = 0.17 (95% CI 0.000 to	described? Yes Was the reference
Scotland, UK	Mean number of contractions/hour at	days	vaginal fornix and tested using a testing kit (Adeza Biomedical).		standard likely to classify the target
Study type	presentation = 13		Attending staff were not made aware of the test result.	Specificity = 73% Fetal fibronectin	condition correctly? Yes
Prospective cohort study	Inclusion Criteria		Definition of preterm labour Preterm labour was not defined	test to diagnose birth within 7 days	Was the period between
Aim of the study			Use of tocolysis	TP:3 FP:3 FN:0	performance of the
To evaluate the value of the cervical scoring (using Bishop's scores), fetal breathing monitoring and fetal fibronectin testing in the diagnosis of false and	Women attending the delivery unit with a singleton pregnancy at 25-35 wks gestation (ultrasound determined at 18 wks) with regular uterine activity of >5 contractions per hour		7/25 (28%) women received ritodrine, 8/25 (32%) women received antibiotic therapy and 19/25 (76%) received corticosteroids. Treatment was according to the established practice of	TN:19* Likelihood ratio (positive) = $5.75$ (95% CI 1.34 to 7.67)** Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.15$	reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target condition did not change between
Study dates			administration when considered appropriate	(95% CI 0.000 to 0.89)**	the two tests? Yes
A six month period in 1994	Exclusion Criteria		Statistical analysis Not stated	Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 86%	Did the whole sample or a

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Source of funding Not stated	<ul> <li>Ruptured membranes</li> <li>Vaginal bleeding</li> <li>Clinical chorioamnionitis</li> <li>Maternal diabetes mellitus</li> <li>History suggestive of cervical incompetence or cervical dilatation &gt;4cm</li> </ul>			Bishop's score >2 and fetal fibronectin test to diagnose birth within 7 days TP:3 FP:1 FN:0 TN:21* Likelihood ratio (positive) = 13.42 (95% CI 2.16 to 23.0)** Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.13 (95% CI 0.000 to 0.78)** Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 95% * Calculated by NCC- WCH technical team. ** Calculated by NCC-WCH technical team. 0.5 added to each cell to allow calculation (as FN=0)	reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					results of the index test? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Skoll,A., St,Louis P., Amiri,N., Delisle,M.F., Lalji,S., The evaluation of the fetal fibronectin test for prediction of preterm delivery in symptomatic patients, Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada: JOGC, 28, 206-213, 2006	N = 149 Characteristics	Index test Fetal fibronectin test with a cut-off of > 50ng/ml for a positive test result.	<b>Details</b> The study was conducted in two different hospitals in Montreal and Vancouver. Women who met the inclusion criteria were included in the study. From 184	Total N = 149 Positive fetal fibronectin n = 32 (21.4%) Birth within 7 days	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of the patients who
Ref Id 258579	<u>Singleton pregnancy:</u> n = 147/160 (91.9%)	Reference test Birth within 7	women eligible and included in the study 24 had no fetal fibronectin result available (for	<u>n = 20</u> Likelihood ratio (positive) = 5.36	will receive the test in practice? Yes
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Reason for admission (n = 130) Contracting: n = 92	days of presentation.	various reasons such as label detached, insufficient sample, sample leaked). From n = 160	(3.32  to  8.63) Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.23	Were selection criteria clearly described? Yes
Canada	Bleeding: n = 10 Abdominal/back pain: n = 23		women with available results, n = 11 women were lost to follow	(0.08 to 0.64)	Was the reference
Study type	Cramps: n =29 Discharge: n = 8		up, leaving 149 women for final analysis.	to 94) Specificity = $87\%$ (77	standard likely to classify the target
Prospective cohort study	Pressure; n = 5 Pregnancy induced		Specimens were obtained using	to 90)	condition correctly? Yes
Aim of the study The evaluation of the fetal fibronectin test for prediction of pre-term delivery in symptomatic women.	hypertension: n = 1 Inclusion Criteria		speculum examination and a swab of cervico-vaginal secretions from posterior fornix by the house officer physician. If the physician exclude the diagnosis of preterm labour on		Was the period between performance of the reference standard and the index test
Study dates	• Between 24 and 34 completed weeks		clinical assessment and vaginal examination and discharge the		short enough to be reasonably sure
Two-year period (dates not specified). Source of funding	gestation <ul> <li>Intact membranes</li> <li>No indication of preterm birth including chorioamnionitis, severe maternal</li> </ul>		women home, then the swab was discard and women were excluded from final analysis. Specimens were stored in the laboratory at - 4°C, analysis performed using the rapid fetal		that the target condition did not change between the two tests? N/A Did the whole

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Not reported.	hypertention and fetal death • No moderate or severe vaginal bleedings • Membrane rupture		Biomedical Corporation). A cut- off of > 50ng/mL was used to determine a positive test result. <u>Definition of pre-term labour</u> Not reported. <u>Use of tocolysis</u> Not reported. <u>Statistical analysis</u> Categorical values were calculated using descriptive analysis. To show a significant association (set at p < 0.05) between fFN levels and pre- term delivery, with a negative predictive value of 95% and a positive predictive value of 50%, they required at least 186 women.		random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? Yes Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear
					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A
					Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes
					Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
	N = 122	Index test	<u>Details</u>		

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Sotiriadis,A., Kavvadias,A., Papatheodorou,S., Paraskevaidis,E., Makrydimas,G., The value of serial cervical length measurements for the prediction of threatened preterm labour, European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology, 148, 17-20, 2010 <b>Ref Id</b> 271146 <b>Country/ies where the study was carried out</b> Greece <b>Study type</b> Prospective cohort study	Characteristics No characteristics of the women included in the study were reported. No data regarding the number of women with previous pre-term birth were provided. No women with multiple pregnancies or ruptured membranes were included in the study.	Cervical length < 15mm or < 25mm at admission and at 24 hours after admission as determined by transvaginal ultrasound. <u>Reference standard</u> Birth within 7 days of admission.	Cervical length was measured at admission and 24 hours later using transvaginal sonography by one of three investigators. The shortest measurement was recorded. Investigators were not blinded to the results of the first measurement of cervical length. If women presented twice during their pregnancy they were included in the study only at their first admission. Four women were excluded because of elective birth before 35 weeks' gestation due to complications.	(positive) = 20.0 (5.77 to 31.16)* Likelihood ratio	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes Were selection criteria clearly described? Yes Was the reference standard likely to classify the target condition correctly? Yes
Aim of the study To explore whether a second measurement of cervical length 24 hours after admission provides better predictive ability to diagnose pre-term birth in women with symptoms of pre-term labour. Study dates Not reported. Source of funding Not reported.	All women received tocolytic medication.		Primary outcomes were birth within 7 days, birth before 35 weeks or before 32 weeks' gestation. <u>Definition of pre-term labour</u> Symptoms of pre-term labour were defined as painful and regular contractions (≥ 1 every 10 minutes for at least one hour). Active labour was defined as cervical dilation ≥ 3cm. <u>Use of tocolysis</u> All women were given tocolytic medication until contractions ceased or side effects were reported.	admission todiagnose birthwithin 7 daysLikelihood ratio(positive) = $3.64$ (1.46 to $16.61$ )*Likelihood ratio(negative) = $0.22$ (0.01 to $0.84$ )*Sensitivity = $83.3\%$ (43.7 to $97.0$ ) (5/6)	Was the period between performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target condition did not change between the two tests? Yes Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	for at least one hour) Exclusion Criteria • Women in active labour (cervical dilation ≥ 3cm) • Women with evidence of pre- term rupture of membranes		Statistical analysis Change in cervical length was expressed as per cent change (100 x the difference between the two measurements ÷ the first measurement). Sensitivities and specificities were calculated alongside 95% confidence intervals. Likelihood ratios were not reported and were calculated by the NCC- WCH technical team.	Likelihood ratio (positive) = $6.86$ (1.54 to $16.61$ )* Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.54$ (0.16 to $0.94$ )* Sensitivity = $50.0\%$ (18.8 to $81.2$ ) (3/6) Specificity = $92.7\%$ (85.7 to $96.4$ ) (89/96) Cervical length < 15mm plus change > 20% after 24 hours to diagnose birth within 7 days Likelihood ratio (positive) = $48.00$ ( $4.96$ to $1171.37$ )* Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.51$ ( $0.34$ to $0.87$ )* Sensitivity = $50.0\%$ (18.8 to $81.2$ ) (3/6) Specificity = $99.0\%$ (94.3 to $99.8$ ) (95/96) Cervical length > 15mm plus change > 20% after 24 hours to diagnose birth within 7 days Likelihood ratio (positive) = $3.57$ ( $0.00$ to $16.17$ )*#	using the reference standard? Yes Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Was the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
				Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.81$ ( $0.10$ to $1.08$ )*# Sensitivity = $25.0\%$ ( $0.0$ to $90.3$ )* ( $0/1$ )# Specificity = $93.0\%$ ( $92.5$ to $94.4$ )* ( $86/92$ )# Cervical length < 25mm plus change > 20% after 24 hours to diagnose birth within 7 days Likelihood ratio (positive) = $24.00$ ( $3.61$ to $173.72$ )* Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.51$ ( $0.24$ to $0.88$ )* Sensitivity = $50.0\%$ ( $18.8$ to $81.2$ ) ( $3/6$ ) Specificity = $97.2\%$	reference standard? No - investigators were not blinded to the results of the first cervical length measurements. Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Unclear - no characteristics were reported. Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or
				added to each cell in	intermediate test results reported? N/A Were withdrawals from the study explained? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
				these values were re-calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.	
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Swamy,G.K., Simhan,H.N., Gammill,H.S., Heine,R.P., Clinical utility of fetal fibronectin for predicting preterm birth, Journal of Reproductive Medicine, 50, 851-856, 2005	N = 404 Characteristics	Index test Fetal fibronectin test. Reference	<b>Details</b> A clinical protocol developed for use of the rapid fetal fibronectin test for women presenting with symptoms of pre-term labour.	N = 404 Positive fetal fibronectin n = 46 (11%)	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of
Ref Id	68% of the study population	standard Birth within 7	Women who met the inclusion criteria were divided into 2	<u>Birth within 7 days</u> Sensitivity = 67%	the patients who will receive the test
258121	were Caucasian (the rest were African American	days of presentation.	groups based on the fetal fibronectin result. The study	Specificity = 92%	in practice? Yes
Country/ies where the study was carried out United States of America	except for 1 Hispanic). 40% were nulliparous, 55% married, 93% had their first		investigators were blinded to the fetal fibronectin result abstracted from women hospital notes and	Time until birth and gestational age at delivery were lower	Were selection criteria clearly described? Yes
Study type	antenatal visit at < 12 weeks, 20% used tobacco and 43% had some college education.		electronic database. Specimens were collected	in women with a positive test, while the frequency of	Was the reference standard likely to
Prospective cohort study	Mean gestational age		during the speculum examination before the digital	therapeutic interventions was	classify the target condition correctly?
Aim of the study	Fetal fibronectin positive = 34 weeks		examination. The fetal fibronectin test was carried out	higher (p < 0.01).	Yes
To determine if fetal fibronectin can be used in a clinical setting to predict pre-term birth and guide clinical management.	Fetal fibronectin negative = 38 weeks p < 0.05		using fibronectin specemen collection kit (adeza biochemical corporation) contains a swab and a buffer filled collection		Was the period between performance of the reference standard
Study dates	Symptomatic treatment Fetal fibronectin positive = 44%		tube. The swab was used to collect a sample from crevico-vaginal secretions from posterior		and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure
December 1999 to December 2000.	Fetal fibronectin negative = 37% OR = 1.4 (0.7 to 2.6) p = 0.33		fornix. All samples were immediately transport to the hospital laboratory and processed using ELISA rapid		that the target condition did not change between the two tests? N/A

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Source of funding No funding, however the first author of the paper was partly funded by Azeda Biochemical Corporation (manufacture of the fetal fibronectin collection kit) to attend the 23rd annual Meeting of the Society of Maternal- Fetal Medicine. She was also served once as a guest speaker for Adeza and received a \$300 honorarium.	Between 24 and 34     weeks gestation		assay (Adeza) with results available within 30 to 60 minutes. A cut-off of ≥ 50ng/ml was used to determine a positive test result. <u>Definition of pre-term labour</u> Not reported. <u>Use of tocoloysis</u> Intravenous magnesium, intravenous terbutaline, continuous oral nifedipine or oral indocin. Symptomatic treatment included subcutaneous or oral turbutaline and narcotics give at the time of the presentation. Fetal fibronectin positive = 38%, fetal fibronectin negative = 9%, OR = 6.5 (3.2 to 13.2), p < 0.001. <u>Statistical analysis</u> Statistical analysis performed using Stata 7.0 for Windows. Univariate associations between the categorical variables were analysed using Fisher's exact test and logistic regression. Continuous variables were analysed with Mann-Whitney U test.		Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? Yes Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					to permit its replication? Yes
					Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Yes
					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A
					Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes
					Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A
					Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Tanir,H.M., Sener,T., Yildiz,Z., Cervicovaginal fetal fibronectin (FFN) for prediction of preterm delivery in symptomatic cases: a prospective study, Clinical and Experimental Obstetrics and Gynecology, 35, 61-64, 2008	N = 65 Characteristics	Index test Fetal fibronectin test with a cut-off of > 50ng/ml for a positive test result.	Details Women with suspected preterm labour were included in the study. At entry, a sterile speculum examination was performed for all women who	Total N = 65 Positive fetal fibronectin n = 36 Birth within 7 days n = 10	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of the patients who
Ref Id 222185	<u>Mean maternal age, years</u> <u>± SD</u> Fetal fibronectin positive =	Reference test Birth within 7	met the inclusion criteria. Specimen was obtained by specimen collection kit (Quick	Likelihood ratio (positive) = 4.3 (2.1 to 9.8	will receive the test in practice? Yes
Country/ies where the study was carried out	$28.5 \pm 3.5$ Fetal fibronectin negative = $28.3 \pm 2.3$	days.	check fFN, AdezaBiochemical Cooperation) using a Dacron swab. All samples were sent to	Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.3 (0.2 to 0.5)	Were selection criteria clearly described? Yes
Turkey	P = NS		the hospital laboratory and fFN test processed by monoclonal	Sensitivity = 68.6% Specificity = 84.4%	Was the reference
Study type Prospective cohort study	<u>Mean gestational age at</u> <u>admission, weeks ± SD</u> Fetal fibronectin positive =		The results were available within		standard likely to classify the target
Aim of the study	Fetal libronectin positive =         31.1 ± 2.5         Fetal fibronectin negative =		30 minutes. A digital examination was performed after the test was carried out.	p < 0.001 No adequate data	condition correctly? Yes
To assess the clinical value of cervicovaginal fetal fibronectin (FFN) in the prediction of pre-term delivery in women with	30.6 ± 2.3 P = NS Parity		Managing obstetricians were blinded to the result of fibronectin test.	reported to calculate confidence intervals for all diagnostic accuracy	Was the period between performance of the reference standard
signs and symptoms of pre-term labour.	Fetal fibronectin positive = $0.69 \pm 0.7$ Fetal fibronectin negative = $0.69 \pm 0.2$		Results were reported as either positive (≥ 50ng/mL) or negative (< 50ng/mL).	features.	and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target
Study dates	P = NS		Definition of pre-term labour		condition did not
January 2004 to July 2006.	Inclusion Criteria		Suspected pre-term labour was defined as the presence uterine contractions (at least 4 per 20 minute interval or 8 times per hour) dilatation of cervix at least 1cm with 50% effacement on		change between the two tests? N/A Did the whole sample or a random selection

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Source of funding Not reported.	<ul> <li>Between 24 and 37 weeks' gestation</li> <li>Intact membranes</li> <li>Cervix &lt; 3 m dilated</li> <li>Exclusion Criteria         <ul> <li>Cervial manipulation within the previous 24 hours (intercourse, vaginal examination, vaginal ultrasonic scan)</li> <li>Presence of cervical cerclage</li> <li>Pre-eclampsia</li> <li>Hyperthyroidism</li> <li>Asthma</li> <li>Diabetes</li> <li>Massive vaginal bleedings</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		initial examination and cervical changes of effacement and dilatation 2 hours later. <u>Use of tocoloysis</u> Used at the discretion of the practitioner. Fetal fibronectin negative = 34, fetal fibronectin negative = 29, p = NS <u>Statistical analysis</u> All analyses performed using SPSS 10.0 statistical package.		of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? Yes Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear
					Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A
					Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes
					Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A
					Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Tanir,H.M., Sener,T., Yildiz,Z., Cervical phosphorylated insulin-like growth factor binding	N = 68	Index test	<b><u>Details</u></b> A rapid bedside test kit (Actim	pIGFBP-1 test positive to	QUADAS checklist

symptomatic cases with intact membranes, Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research, 35, 66- 72, 2009Characteristicswith an unknown theshold value for a positive resultpIGFBP-1 in cervical secretions was used.Within 7 days was used.of part repriet Following sterile speculum insertion, and a check for signs of infection, a cervical secretion (2.53 to 5.25)*Within 7 days the picture (2.53 to 5.25)*of part the picture (2.53 to 5.25)*Country/ies where the study was carried out TurkeyMean maternal age (years) ± 50 pIGFBP-1 positive group = 28.4 ± 4.6 pIGFBP-1 negative group = 28.4 ± 5.3Mean maternal age (years) ± 50 pIGFBP-1 positive group = 28.4 ± 5.3Mean gestation at admission in symptomatic women with intact members for predicting impending pre-term birth.Mean gestation at admission in symptomatic women with intact members for predicting impending pre-term birth.Mean gestation at admission in symptomatic women with intact members for predicting impending pre-termMean gestation at admission (weeks) ± SD pIGFBP-1 positive group = 20.6 ± 2.3Mean gestation at admission (weeks) ± SD pIGFBP-1 positive group = 20.6 ± 2.3Mean gestation at admission (weeks) ± SD pIGFBP-1 positive group = 20.6 ± 2.3Mean gestation at admission (weeks) ± SD pIGFBP-1 positive group = 20.6 ± 2.3Mean gestation at admission in symptomatic women with intact members for predicting impending pre-termMean gestation at admission (weeks) ± SD pIGFBP-1 positive group = 20.6 ± 2.3Mean gestation at admission (weeks) ± SD pIGFBP-1 positive group = 20.6 ± 2.3The managing obstetrician was blinded to the results of the test. pos	Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
January 2004 to June 2006.       Mean gravidity ± SD       A diagnosis of pre-term labour       Did th         source of funding       pIGFBP-1 positive group =       xamp and when 1) there were       painful contractions, that were       prainful contractions, that were         source of funding       pIGFBP-1 negative group =       pIGFBP-1 negative group =       palpable, lasted longer than 30s       of the         Nat repeated       2.2 ± 1.       and occurred at least 4 times in       receive	symptomatic cases with intact membranes, Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research, 35, 66- 72, 2009 Ref Id 258096 Country/ies where the study was carried out Turkey Study type Prospective cohort study Aim of the study To assess the efficacy of a pIGFBP-1 test at first admission in symptomatic women with intact members for predicting impending pre-term birth. Study dates January 2004 to June 2006. Source of funding	pIGFBP-1 positive group n=52 pIGFBP-1 negative group n=43 <u>Mean maternal age (years)</u> $\pm$ <u>SD</u> pIGFBP-1 positive group = 28.4 $\pm$ 4.6 pIGFBP-1 negative group = 28.4 $\pm$ 5.3 <u>Mean gestation at</u> <u>admission (weeks) <math>\pm</math> <u>SD</u> pIGFBP-1 positive group = 30.6 <math>\pm</math> 3.5 pIGFBP-1 negative group = 29.6 <math>\pm</math> 2.3 <u>Mean BMI (kg/m2) <math>\pm</math> SD</u> pIGFBP-1 positive group = 25.1 <math>\pm</math> 3.5 pIGFBP-1 negative group = 26.9 <math>\pm</math> 4.4 <u>Mean gravidity <math>\pm</math> SD</u> pIGFBP-1 positive group = 21.1 <math>\pm</math> 1.3 pIGFBP-1 negative group =</u>	with an unknown theshold value for a positive result <b>Reference</b> <u>standard</u> Birth within 7	pIGFBP-1 in cervical secretions was used. Following sterile speculum insertion, and a check for signs of infection, a cervical secretion specimen was obtained using a Dacron swab. The swab was placed in extraction solution, mixed and removed. The bottom of the dipstick was placed in the solution, then removed after 20 to 40 seconds. The dipstick was placed horizontally. A negative result appeared as a single blue line and a positive result was apparent as two blue lines. The cut off values for the test are not reported. In two cases there were no visible lines and these patients were assigned as test positive. The managing obstetrician was blinded to the results of the test. <u>Definition of pre-term labour</u> A diagnosis of pre-term labour was made when 1) there were palpable, lasted longer than 30s and occurred at least 4 times in	within 7 days           N = 68 TP: 14 FP: 11           FN: 1 TN: 42           Likelihood ratio           (positive) = 4.50           (2.53 to 5.25)*           Likelihood ratio           (negative) = 0.08           (0.004 to 0.42*           Sensitivity = 93.3%           (69.6 to 99.6)*           Specificity = 79.2%           (72.5 to 81.0)*           *Calculated by the           NCC-WCH	the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes Were selection criteria clearly described? Yes Was the reference standard likely to classify the target condition correctly?

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	Inclusion Criteria•Symptoms suggestive of pre- term labour (regular uterine contractions at 10/hour, low back pain, minimal vaginal bleeding, increased vaginal discharge)•Gestational ages between 24 and 37 weeks•Gestational ages between 24 and 37 weeks•Scm cervical dilation•Intact membranesExclusion Criteria•Cervical cerclage bleeding•Tocolysis at admission•Cervical manipulation (vaginal douche, intercourse or digitalexmination		consistency, length and/or dilation of the cervix. <u>Use of tocolysis</u> Decisions regarding tocolytic and steroid use were made by the managing physicians. Symptomatic treatment included IV ritodrine hydrochloride or magnesium sulphate. Betamethasone was given twice daily to enhance fetal lung maturation where indicated. pIGFBP-1 positive group = 23/52, pIGFBP-1 negative group = 40/43. <u>Statistical analysis</u> SPSS was used for data analysis. It was caculated that 66 participants would be needed to yield a significant association between pIGFBP-1 and birth < 34 weeks or dirth within 7 days of admission. This assumed a pre-term birth rate of 7% in the low risk group (testing negative) and 30% in the high risk group (testing positive).		Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? YesWas the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) YesWas the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? YesWere the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the reference standard? UnclearWere the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	within the previous 24h) Pre-eclampsia Multiple pregnancy diabetes mellitus Hyperthyroidism Asthma				Other information An unknown number of women had a multiple pregnancy although this was an exclusion criterion. Women could be recruited up to gestation of 37 weeks. It is not known how many were over 36 weeks' gestation, therefore an unknown number of births within 7 days were at term rather than being pre-term.
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Tekesin,I., Marek,S., Hellmeyer,L., Reitz,D., Schmidt,S., Assessment of rapid fetal fibronectin in predicting preterm delivery, Obstetrics and Gynecology, 105, 280-284, 2005	N = 170 Characteristics	Index test Fetal fibronectin test with a cut-off of > 50ng/ml for a positive test	<b>Details</b> Women with preterm labor that meet the inclusion criteria were included. Samples were obtained using speculum	Time of birth within Z days Sensitivity = 81.8% (48.2 to 97.7) Specificity = 76.7%	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participant's representative of
Ref Id		Reference test	examination and a swab of the cervix by placing a dry Dacron swab against the area for 10	(69.4 to 83.1)	the patients who will receive the test in practice? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
235257	Women with fibronectin	Birth within 7	seconds. The probe was		
Country/ies where the study was carried out	positive and negative result were similar with respect to:	days.	analysed using the rapid fetal fibronectin TLi System qualitative method, with result		Were selection criteria clearly described? Yes
Germany	Mean maternal age		reported as either positive (≥		Was the reference
Study type	<ul><li>Mean parity</li><li>Nulliparity</li></ul>		50ng/mL) or negative (< 50ng/mL)). Digital examination performed after the fibronectin		standard likely to classify the target condition correctly?
Prospective cohort study	<ul><li>Multiparity</li><li>Mean gravidity</li></ul>		test, to estimate cervical dilatation and effacement.		Yes
Aim of the study To estimate the effectiveness of cervical fetal fibronectin assayed by the rapid fetal fibronectin assay in predicting preterm delivery in patients with signs or symptoms of pre-term labour.	Gestational age at enrolment Women with a positive fibronectin test had significantly fewer previous		Gestational age of eligible women was determined using the date of the last menstrual period. If menstrual data were unreliable or discordant by more than 10 days gestational age was determined by ultrasound.		Was the reference standard likely to classify the target condition correctly? Yes Was the period between
Study dates	pre-term births, a lower gestational age at birth and shorter admission to birth		Managing obstetricians were blinded to fetal fibronectin		performance of the reference standard and the index test
November 2001 to January 2004.	interval. <u>Gestational age</u> Fetal fibronectin negative (n		results. Outcome data were collected after birth.		short enough to be reasonably sure that the target
Source of funding	= 124) = 38.6 ± 2.5 weeks Fetal fibronectin positive		Definition of preterm labour Preterm labour was defined as		condition did not change between
Not reported.	results (n = 46) = 35.71 ± 3 weeks P < 0.001		the presence of uterine contractions happening at the frequency of 4 in 20 minutes or 8 at 1 hour or any uterine		the two tests? N/A Did the whole sample or a
	Admission-to-delivery interval Fetal fibronectin negative (n = $124$ )= $36.1 \pm 29.9$ weeks Fetal fibronectin positive results (n = $46$ ) = $63.4 \pm 29.2$ weeks		activity associated with the changes of cervical effacement up to 50% or more and dilatation of at least 2cm. <u>Use of tocolysis</u>		random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>P &lt; 0.001</li> <li>Inclusion Criteria</li> <li>Between 24 and 34 weeks + 6 days of gestation</li> <li>Singleton pregnancies</li> <li>Intact membranes</li> <li>Women with the symptoms of pre-term labour</li> </ul>		Based on the hospital policy all pre-term labour between 24 weeks to 34 weeks' gestation were either given magnesium sulfate or $\beta$ -mimetics as a tocolytic agent. <u>Statistical analysis</u> Continuous variables were analysed with Mann-Whitney U test and nominal data were analysed with the X <sup>2</sup> test. Data were analysed carried out using SPSS 11.0 for Windows.		Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes
	<ul> <li>Exclusion Criteria</li> <li>Confirmed rupture of membranes</li> <li>Multiple gestations</li> <li>Placenta previa</li> <li>Vaginal bleeding of unknown cause</li> <li>Intrauterine growth restriction of fetus</li> <li>Pre-eclampsia</li> <li>Known untreated cervical infection</li> <li>Suspected fetal asphyxia</li> <li>A major fetal anomaly</li> <li>Cervical dilation ≥ 3cm</li> </ul>				Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Presence of cervical cerclage</li> <li>Uterine abnormalities</li> <li>Cervical manipulation within the previous 24 hours (intercourse, vaginal examination, vaginal ultrasonic scan)</li> </ul>				Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes
					Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A
					Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Ting,H.S., Chin,P.S., Yeo,G.S., Kwek,K., Comparison of bedside test kits for prediction of preterm delivery: phosphorylated insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1 (pIGFBP-1) test and fetal	N=94 Characteristics	Index test A pIGFBP-1 test with a threshold value of	Index test Test: A rapid strip test (Actim Partus test) for the detection of pIGFBP-1 in cervical secretions was used	pIGFBP-1 test positive to diagnose birth within 48 hours N= 94	QUADAS <u>checklist</u> Was the spectrum of participant's
fibronectin test, Annals of the Academy of Medicine, Singapore, 36, 399-402, 2007	The following demographic data were collected:	<u>&gt;10micrograms</u> <u>for a positive</u> result	Procedure: Following sterile speculum insertion, a cervical secretion specimen was	Sensitivity = 100% Specificity = 74% Positive LR = 3.85*	representative of the patients who will receive the test
Ref Id	maternal age, gestational age at admission, gravidity,	Index test A fFN test with	obtained using a Dacron swab. The swab was placed in	Negative LR = NC* pIGFBP-1 test	in practice? Yes Were selection
235346	parity and mean cervical	an unknown theshold value	extraction solution, shaken and removed. The test strip was	positive to diagnose birth within 7 days	criteria clearly described? Yes
Country/ies where the study was carried out	by for pIGFBP-1 testing status (+ve or -ve), and fFN testing status (+ve or -	for a positive result	placed in the solution. After waiting 5 minutes, a negative result appeared as a single blue	N= 94 Sensitivity = 69% Specificity = 78%	Was the reference standard likely to classify the target

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Singapore Study type Prospective cohort study Aim of the study To compare the effectiveness of pIGFBP-1 and fFN bedside test kits in predicting pre-term delivery Study dates January 2003 to January 2005 Source of funding Funded through a Singhealth Research Grant	similar within testing groups except for mean cervical dilation in both testing groups	Reference standard Delivery within 48 hours Delivery within 7 days	line and a positive result was apparent as two blue lines. The cut off values for the test are not reported. The managing obstetrician was blinded to the results of the test. <u>Index test</u> Test: A test kit (Actim Partus test) for the detection of fFN in cervico-vaginal secretions was used Procedure: Following sterile speculum insertion, a cervical secretion specimen was obtained using a Dacron swab. The swab was placed in extraction solution, shaken and removed. The test strip was placed in the solution. After waiting 5 minutes, a negative result appeared as a single blue line and a positive result was apparent as two blue lines. The cut off values for the test are not reported. The managing obstetrician was blinded to the results of the test. Clinical care was offered to women in accordance with hospital guidelines for the management of pre-term labour. <u>Definition of pre-term labour</u> Not reported <u>Use of tocolysis</u> Management of preterm labour consisted of admission the delivery suite and tocolysis (oral nifedipine as first line treatment). Corticosteroid therapy	Positive LR = 3.13* Negative LR = 0.40* <u>fFN test positive to</u> <u>diagnose birth within</u> <u>48 hours</u> N= 94 Sensitivity = 60% Specificity = 72% Positive LR = 2.14* Negative LR = 0.56* <u>fFN test positive to</u> <u>diagnose birth within</u> <u>7 days</u> N= 94 Sensitivity = 56% Specificity = 76% Positive LR = 2.33* Negative LR = 0.73* *Calculated by NCC- WCH team	condition correctly? Yes Was the period between performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target condition did not change between the two tests? Yes Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? The whole sample Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Preeclampsia</li> <li>Suspected fetal asphyxia</li> <li>Major fetal anomaly</li> </ul>		(dexamethasone) was administered for fetal pulmonary maturation. <u>Statistical analysis</u> SPSS was used for data analysis. Levene's test for equality of variances and t test for equality of means were carried out.		described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Tsoi,E., Fuchs,I.B., Rane,S., Geerts,L., Nicolaides,K.H., Sonographic measurement of cervical length in threatened preterm labor in singleton pregnancies with intact membranes, Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 25, 353- 356, 2005	N = 510 Characteristics <u>Median maternal age,</u>	≤ 15mm as determined by transvaginal sonography at	<b>Details</b> This was a multicentre study involving 7 hospitals. Women who presented to the labour ward and met inclusion criteria were included in the study.	Cervical length ≤ 5mm to diagnose birth within 48 hours of presentation Likelihood ratio (positive) = 19.05	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of the patients who will receive the test
Ref Id 222229	<u>years (range)</u> 26 (16 to 41)	admission. <u>Reference</u>	Definition of pre-term labour Pre-term labour was not defined other than painful and regular	(7.93 to 41.84)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.59	in practice? Yes Were selection
Country/ies where the study was carried out	<u>Parity, n (%)</u> Nulliparous = 232 (45.5%) Multiparous = 278 (54.5%)	<u>standard</u> Birth within 48 hours or 7	uterine contractions. Active labour was defined as cervical dilation ≥ 3cm.	(0.39 to 0.78)* Sensitivity = 42.9% (24.2 to 61.2)* (9/21)	criteria clearly described? Yes
Germany, South Africa and the United Kingdom		days of presentation.	Use of tocolysis	Specificity = 97.8% (96.9 to 98.5)*	Was the reference standard likely to
Study type	weeks (range) 30.2 (24 to 33.9)		Administration of tocolytic	(478/489)	classify the target
Prospective cohort study	30.2 (24 to 33.9) <u>Use of tocolysis, n (%)</u>		medication was determined by the attending obstetrician	<u>Cervical length ≤</u> 5mm to diagnose	condition correctly? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
Aim of the study To examine the relationship between cervical length and birth within 48 hours or 7 days of presentation and before 35 weeks' gestation in women with threatened pre-term labour. Study dates Not reported. Source of funding Funded by the Fetal Medicine Foundation.	Yes = 265 (52.0%) Ethnic origin, n (%) Caucasian = 396 (77.6%) African = 83 (16.3%) Asian = 31 (6.1%) The number of women with previous pre-term delivery was not reported. Inclusion Criteria • Singleton pregnancies • Painful and regular contractions • Gestational age of 24 to 33+6 weeks Exclusion Criteria • Women with ruptured membranes • Active labour (cervical dilation ≥ 3cm)		without consideration of ultrasound findings. Statistical analysis No relevant statistical analyses were carried out in relation to the protocol for this review. Sensitivity, specificity, likelihood ratios and associated confidence intervals were therefore calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team.	birth within 7 days of presentation Likelihood ratio (positive) = 43.44 (14.65 to 149.45)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.63 (0.57 to 0.75)* Sensitivity = 37.2% (26.7 to 43.4)* (16/43) Specificity = 99.1% (98.2 to 99.7)* (463/467) Cervical length ≤ 10mm to diagnose birth within 48 hours of presentation Likelihood ratio (positive) = 12.77 (8.10 to 16.14)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.20 (0.07 to 0.44)* Sensitivity = 81.0% (59.0 to 93.6)* (17/21) Specificity = 93.7% (92.7 to 94.2)* (458/489) Cervical length ≤ 10mm to diagnose birth within 7 days	Was the period between performance of the reference standard and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target condition did not change between the two tests? Yes Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification using the reference standard? Yes Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result? Yes Was the reference standard independent of the index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
				Likelihood ratio (positive) = 15.21 (9.30 to 23.68)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.36 (0.24 to 0.51)* Sensitivity = 65.1% (51.5 to 76.5)* (28/43) Specificity = 95.7% (94.5 to 96.8)* (447/467) Cervical length $\leq$ 15mm to diagnose birth within 48 hours of presentation Likelihood ratio (positive) = 6.43 (4.91 to 6.62)* Likelihood ratio (negative) = 0.03 (0.00 to 0.25)* Sensitivity = 97.7% (78.8 to 100.0)* (21/21) Specificity = 84.8% (83.9 to 84.9)* (415/489) Cervical length $\leq$ 15mm to diagnose birth within 7 days of presentation	Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? No Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Unclear Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is
					used in practice? No - history of

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
				Likelihood ratio (positive) = $8.61$ (7.04 to $8.96$ )* Likelihood ratio (negative) = $0.03$ (0.001 to $0.15$ )* Sensitivity = $97.7\%$ ( $86.9$ to $99.9$ )* ( $42/43$ ) Specificity = $88.7\%$ ( $87.7$ to $88.9$ )* ( $414/467$ ) *Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical team. #0.5 was added to each cell in the $2x2$ table due to the presence of a zero value in one cell.	previous pre-term labour was not reported. Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? N/A Were withdrawals from the study explained? N/A
Full citation	Sample size	Tests	Methods	Results	Limitations
Tsoi,E., Akmal,S., Geerts,L., Jeffery,B., Nicolaides,K.H., Sonographic measurement of cervical length and fetal fibronectin testing in threatened preterm labor, Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 27, 368-372, 2006	N = 195 Characteristics	Index test Fetal fibronectin as determined by speculum examination at presentation	Details The study was carried out at four hospitals (two in the UK , two in South Africa). Gestational age was calculated	Fetal fibronectin to diagnose birth $\leq$ 7 days Likelihood ratio (positive) = 2.49 (1.81 to 2.66)*	QUADAS checklist Was the spectrum of participants representative of the patients who
Ref Id	Median maternal age,	followed by	based on menstrual history and	Likelihood ratio	will receive the test
243476	<u>years (range)</u> 27 (16 to 41)	transvaginal ultrasound.	ultrasound in early pregnancy. A fetal fibronectin test was	(negative) = 0.09 (0.004 to 0.45)* Sensitivity = 94.7%	in practice? Yes Were selection
Country/ies where the study was carried out	<u>Parity, n (%)</u>	<u>Reference</u> standard	performed at presentation via speculum examination;	(73.0 to 99.7)* (18/19)	criteria clearly described? Yes

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
United Kingdom and South Africa	Nulliparous = 74 (37.9%) Parous = 121 (62.1%)	Birth ≤ 7 days of presentation.	specimens were collected from the posterior fornix or endo-	Specificity = 61.9% (59.6 to 62.5)*	Was the reference
Study type	Previous pre-term delivery,		cervix. No cut-off for a positive test is provided.	(109/176)	standard likely to classify the target
Prospective cohort study	<u>n/N (%)</u> Yes = 24/195 (12.3%)		Digital examination was then	*Calculated by the NCC-WCH technical	condition correctly?
Aim of the study	Ethnic origin, n (%)		performed and women with cervical dilation > 3cm excluded.	team.	Was the period
To determine whether the combination of testing positive for a short cervix and fetal fibronectin provides a better prediction of birth within 7 days	Caucasian = 111 (56.9%) Afro-Caribbean = 63 (32.3%) Asian = 21 (10.8%)		Transvaginal sonography was then carried out.		between performance of the reference standard
than each test alone in women with threatened pre- term labour.	<u>Number of women</u> administered tocolytic medication, n (%)		The primary outcome was birth within 7 days of presentation. Definition of pre-term labour		and the index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target
Study dates	Yes = $42 (21.5\%)$		Women with cervical dilation > 3cm were excluded as they were deemed to be in active		condition did not change between the two tests? Yes
February 2002 to June 2003.	Inclusion Criteria		labour. Women included in the study were in suspected pre- term labour defined by painful		Did the whole sample or a
Source of funding	<ul> <li>Singleton pregnancies</li> </ul>		and regular uterine contractions.		random selection of the sample
The Fetal Medicine Foundation (registered charity).	<ul> <li>Gestational age of 24 to 36 weeks</li> <li>Presenting with painful and regular uterine contractions</li> </ul>		<u>Use of tocolysis</u> Tocolytic medication was administered at the discretion of the attending obstetrician who was blinded to both ultrasound and fetal fibronectin test results.		receive verification using the reference standard? Yes Did participants receive the same reference standard regardless of the
	Exclusion Criteria		Statistical analysis ROC curves were used to compare the performance of the two index tests. No statistical analyses relevant for this review		Was the reference standard independent of the

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Women in active labour (cervical dilation ≥ 3 cm)</li> <li>Women with ruptured membranes</li> </ul>		(likelihood ratios, sensitivity and specificity) were undertaken.		index test? (that is, the index test did not form part of the reference standard) Yes Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes / No / Unclear / N/A Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication? Yes Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Yes / No / Unclear / N/A Were the reference standard? Yes / No / Unclear / N/A Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test? N/A

Bibliographic details	Participants	Tests	Methods	Outcomes and results	Comments
					Were the same clinical data available when the test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice? Yes
					Were uninterpretable, indeterminate or intermediate test results reported? Yes / No / Unclear / N/A
					Were withdrawals from the study explained? Yes / No / Unclear / N/A
					Other information

## H.8 Maternal corticosteroids

## H.8.1 Different gestations

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Roberts,Devender,	N = 21 trials	A corticosteroid	The Cochrane Pregnancy	1. Maternal deaths	Risk of bias of included studies,
Dalziel,Stuart R.,	N = 3885 women	capable of crossing	and Childbirth Group's Trials	Corticosteroids: 1/188	as assessed by the review
Antenatal corticosteroids	N = 4269 babies	the placenta	Register was searched in	Control: 1/177	authors and indirectness
for accelerating fetal lung		(betamethasone,	October 2005. The trial	RR 0.98 (95% CI 0.06 to	assessed by NCC-WCH
maturation for women at		dexmethsone,	register contains trials	15.50)	technical team
risk of preterm birth,	Characteristics	hydrocortisone)	identified from: - quartlerly	12 = 0%	Additional notes from NCC-WCH
Cochrane Database of		compared with	searches of the Cochrane	[Fixed effect; 3 trials: Amorim	technical team are marked with †
Systematic Reviews, -,	*additional information which	placebo or with no	Central Register of	1999; Dexiprom 1999,	
2013	had to be accessed from the full	treatment.	Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)	Schutte 1980]	Amorim 1999
	text of the trials because it was		- weekly searches of		- Adequate method of
Ref Id	not reported in the systematic		MEDLINE - handsearches of	_	randomisation and allocation
0.17.157	review		30 journals and the	All women	concealment
247457			proceedings of major	Corticosteroids: 91/1234	- 1% of women in the placebo
	Amorim 1999		conferences - weekly current	Control: 100/1251	group withdrew from the study
Country/ies where the	Inclusion criteria: women with		awareness of alerts for a	RR 0.91 (95% CI 0.70 to	following randomisation
study was carried out	severe pre-eclampsia, singleton		further 44 journals plus	1.18)	- No intention-to-treat analysis
) (ani anna	pregnancy with a live fetus and		monthly BioMed Central	12 = 0%	- Unclear whether any women
Various	gestational age between 26 and		email alterts No language	[Fixed effect; 12 trials:	received tocolysis (not described
Study type	34 weeks.		restrictions were applied	Amorim 1999; Carlan 1991;	as component of care protocol)
Study type	Exclusion criteria: indication for			Dexiprom 1999; Fekih 2002;	- Unclear how many women
Systematic review of	immediate delivery, diabetes,		Data collection and	Garite 1992; Kari 1994; Lewis	received the full dose (2
randomised controlled	premature rupture of		analysis	1996; Liggins 1972; Morales	injections)
trials	membranes (PROM), maternal		Two review authors	1989; Qublan 2001; Schutte	Indirectness: All women had pre-
	disease, congenital		assessed trials for eligibility	1980; Silver 1996]	eclampsia. Unclear how many
	malformations, perinatal		and methodological quality	Manage with DDOM at first	women received more than a
Aim of the study	haemolytic disease, Group B strep infection		without consideration of	Women with PROM at first	single course of corticosteroids
America	Sample size: N = 220 women		results. Two review authors	dose	Block 1977
To assess the effects of	Intervention: 12mg		extracted data and checked	Corticosteroids: 52/460 Control: 52/459	
antenatal corticosteroids	betamethasone intramuscularly		for discrepancies, and contacted trialists for further	RR 1.00 (95% CI 0.70 to	- Adequate method of randomisation and allocation
on fetal and neonatal	(IM), repeated after 24h and		information. Disagreements	1.43)	concealment
morbidity and mortality,	weekly thereafter if delivery had		were resolved through	12 = 0%	- 10% of women delivered
	weekiy therealter if derivery flad			12 - 0 /0	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
on maternal morbidity and	not occurred		discussion. Allocation	IFixed effect: 6 trials: Carlan	elsewhere and were lost to
mortality and on the child	Comparator: Identical placebo		concealment was assessed	1991; Dexiprom 1999; Lewis	follow up; losses were balanced
in later life	Other details of care: delivery		using criteria described in	1996; Liggins 1972; Morales	across groups. One woman in
	was at 34 weeks or in the		Cochrane Handbook (2005)	1989; Qublan 2001]	experimental and three women
	presence of maternal or fetal		as adequate, unclear,		in control group excluded from
Study dates	compromise in both groups.		inadequate, or not used.	First dose < 26 weeks	analysis as they failed to
	Gestational age at intervention:		Outcomes were analysed on	gestation	complete the protocol
The search was	*[at admission] mean ± SD:		an intention-to-treat basis.	Corticosteroids: 6/22	- No intention-to-treat anaylsis
performed in October	experiemental = 29.3 weeks ±		Statistical analysis was	Control: 3/24	- Unclear how many women
2005; review content was	2.9; control = 29.6 weeks ± 2.7		performed using Review	RR 2.18 (95% CI 0.62 to	received alcohol to delay labour
assessed as up-to-date	Gestational age at delivery:		Manager 4.1.	7.69)	- 70% of women received the
by the authors in May	*mean ± SD: experimental =			12 = NC	maximum of 2 doses
2006. An updated search	$31.8 \text{ weeks } \pm 2.0; \text{ control} = 32.0$		Subgroup analysis	[Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins	Indirectness: Unclear whether
was performed in April	weeks ± 2.0		The following subgroup	1972]	women with a multiple
	Term deliveries: *not reported		analyses were done: -		pregnancy included.
added to the studies	Interval between drug		0 0		
awaiting assessment	administration and delivery:		28 weeks, < 30 weeks, < 32	30 weeks gestation	Cararach 1991
section	*not clearly reported		weeks, < 34 weeks, < 36	Corticosteroids: 17/129	- Abstract only; no further data
			weeks, at least 34 weeks, at	Control: 14/113	supplied by study authors
	Block 1977		least 36 weeks) - entry to	RR 1.06 (95% CI 0.55 to	- Unclear allocation concealment
Source of funding	Inclusion criteria: women with		delivery interval (< 24 hours,	2.06)	and method of randomisation
Tripity College Dublin	preterm labour and PROM.		< 48 hours, 1–7 days, > 7	12 = NC	- No losses to follow up
Trinity College Dublin, Ireland; University of	Gestational age range not		days) - prelabour rupture of	[Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins	- Intention-to-treat analysis
Liverpool, UK; Liverpool	reported		membranes (at trial entry, >	1972]	Indirectness: All women had
Women's NHS	Exclusion criteria: not stated		24 hours before delivery, >	First data history 20 and 4	PROM. Details of intervention
Foundation Trust, UK;	Sample size: N = 167 women		48 hours before delivery -	First dose between 30 and <	not reported.
University of Auckland,	Intervention: 12 mg betamethasone IM repeated		pregnancy-induced hypertension syndromes -	33 weeks gestation Corticosteroids: 2/150	Carlan 1991
New Zealand	after 24h if delivery had not		type of glucocorticoid	Control: 10/144	- Unclear allocation concealment
	occurred (max 2 doses)		(betamethasone,	RR 0.19 (95% CI 0.04 to	and method of randomisation
	Comparator: 1ml normal saline		dexamethasone.	0.86)	- 2/24 (8%) infants with
	IM repeated after 24h if delivery		hydrocortisone) Post hoc	12 = NC	documented pulmonary maturity
	had not occurred (max 2 doses)		subgroup analysis was	[Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins	and 5/24 (17%) women with
	Other details of care: if there		performed for gestational	1972]	subsequent sealed membranes
	was evidence of progressive		age at entry to trial (< 26		were not analysed
	cervical dilatation an alcohol		weeks, between 26 and 29+6	First dose between 33 and <	- No intention-to-treat analysis
	infusion was given to delay		weeks, between 30 and 32+6		Indirectness: All women had
	deliver for 48h (numbers not		weeks, between 33 and 34+6	Corticosteroids: 3/158	PROM. Unclear how many

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	reported). In women with		weeks, between 35 and 36+6	Control: 7/175	women received more than a
	PROM delivery was induced if		weeks, > 37 weeks)	RR 0.47 (95% CI 0.12 to	single course of corticosteroid
	serial white blood cell counts or			1.80)	Callaborative 1001
	temperatures became elevated regardless of time elapsed			I2 = NC [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins	Collaborative 1981 - Inadequate method of
	since drug administration			[1972]	allocation concealment and
	Gestational age at intervention:			1012]	unclear method of randomisation
	*not reported			First dose 35 and < 37 weeks	- 37% of children were lost to
	Gestational age at delivery: *not			gestation	follow up at age 3 (balanced
	reported			Corticosteroids: 0/81	across groups)
	Term deliveries: *not reported			Control: 3/100	- No intention-to-treat anaylsis
	Interval between drug			RR 0.18 (95% CI 0.01 to	- † Significant difference in
	administration and delivery: not clearly reported			3.36) I2 = NC	gestational age distribution between the groups when split
	clearly reported			[Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins	into age groups (< 30 wks, 30
	Cararach 1991			1972]	and 31 wks, 32 and 33 wks, $\geq$ 34
	Inclusion criteria: women with				wks) which the authors state was
	PROM and gestational age			First dose > 37 weeks	adjusted for in the analysis
	between 28 and 30 weeks			gestation	- † 54% of women in
	Exclusion criteria: not stated			Corticosteroids: 0/16	corticosteroid group and 51% of
	Sample size: N = 18 women Intervention: type and dose of			Control: 0/24 RR 0.00 (95% CI 0.00 to	women in placebo group received a tocolytic
	corticosteroid not reported			0.00)	- † Nearly 70% of women
	Comparator: expectant			12 = NC	received the full course of
	management			[Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins	corticosteroids (4 injections),
	Other details of care: none			1972]	79% received three or more,
	reported				almost 90% received at least 2
	Interval between drug				doses
	administration and			3. Puerperal sepsis	Indirectness: 8% of women had
	delivery: data not available (full text of paper not accessed by			All women Corticosteroids: 57/496	a multiple pregnancy
	NCC-WCH techincal team)			Control: 44/507	Dexiprom 1999
				RR 1.35 (95% CI 0.93 to	- Adequate allocation
	Carlan 1991			1.95)	concealment and method of
	Inclusion criteria: women with			12 = 36%	randomisation
	ruptured membranes and			[Fixed effect; 8 trials: Amorim	- 3% of women were excluded
	gestational age between 24 and			1999; Dexiprom 1999; Garite	from analysis
	34 weeks			1992; Lewis 1996; Qublan	- No intention-to-treat analysis

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Exclusion criteria: not stated			2001; Schutte 1980; Silver	- † 20% of women in
	Sample size: N = 24 women			1996; Taeusch 1979]	corticosteroid group and 16% of
	Intervention: 12mg				women in placebo group
	betamethasone IM repeated			Women with PROM at first	received a tocolytic
	after 24h and weekly thereafter			dose	- † 77% of women received the
	until delivery at 34 weeks			Corticosteroids: 16/242	full course of corticosteroids (2
	Comparator: expectant			Control: 14/235	injections)
	managment			RR 1.11 (95% CI 0.55 to	Indirectness: All women had
	Other details of care: none			2.25)	PROM. 2% of women had a
	reported			12 = 41%	multiple pregnancy
	Gestational age at intervention:			[Fixed effect; 4 trials:	
	*[at rupture of memranes] mean			Dexiprom 1999; Lewis 1996;	Doran 1980
	(SD not reported): experimental			Qublan 2001; Schutte 1980]	- Unclear allocation concealment
	= 31 weeks; control = 30 weeks				and method of randomisation
	Gestational age at delivery: *not			4. Fever in women after trial	- Gestational age was
	reported			entry requiring antibiotics	significantly lower in the control
	Term deliveries: *not reported			Corticosteroids: 37/234	group at both entry to the study
	Interval between drug			Control: 37/247	(1.2 weeks) and at delivery (1.8
	administration and delivery: *[rupture of membranes to			RR 1.11 (95% CI 0.74 to 1.67)	weeks) - No losses to follow up
	delivery] mean (SD not			12 = 61%	- Intention-to-treat analysis
	reported): experimental = 191.7			[Fixed effect; 4 trials: Amorim	$- \pm 12\%$ of women in
	hours; control = 312.7 hours			1999; Nelson 1985; Schutte	corticosteroid group and 35% of
				1980; Taeusch 1979]	women in placebo group
	Collaborative 1981				received a tocolytic
	Inclusion criteria: women at			Women with PROM at first	- † 67% of women received the
	high risk of preterm delivery			dose	full course of corticosteroids (4
	and gestational age between 26			Corticosteroids: 11/110	injections)
	and 37 weeks			Control: 14/108	Indirectness: 5% of women had
	Exclusion criteria: > 5 cm			RR 0.77 (95%CI 0.37 to 1.62)	
	cervical dilatation, anticipated			12 = 0%	
	delivery < 24 or > 7 days,			[Fixed effect; 1 trial: Amorim	Fekih 2002
	intrauterine infection, previous			1999]	- Unclear allocation concealment
	glucocorticoid treatment, history			-	and method of randomisation
	of peptic ulcer disease, active			5. Intrapartum fever in women	- Numbers lost to follow up not
	tuberculosis, viral keratitis,			requiring antibiotics	reported
	severe fetal Rh sensitisation,			Corticosteroids: 3/160	- No intention-to-treat analysis
	infant unlikely to be available			Control: 5/159	Indirectness: Included women

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Participants         for follow up         Sample size: N = 696 women,         N = 757 babies         Intervention: 5mg         dexamethasone IM, 4 doses         12h apart         Comparator: Placebo         Other details of care: in some         cases labour was arrested for         at least 48h with tocolytic         agents (53.9% in the         corticosteroid group and 50.7%         in the placebo group received         at least one tocolytic drug);         tocolysis was halted if labour         continued to progress to a         cervical dilatation of 5cm or if         complications arose         Gestational age at intervention:         *[at trial entry] mean ± SD:         experimental = 31.1 weeks ±         0.12         Gestational age at birth: *not         reported         Term deliveries: *not reported         Interval between drug         administration and delivery:         mean (SEM): experimental =         252 (29) hours; control = 239         (29) hours. No significant         difference between groups         Dexiprom 1999         Inclusion criteria: women with         PROM and gestational age			Outcomes and ResultsRR 0.60 (95% Cl 0.15 to2.49)I2 = 36%[Fixed effect; 2 trials: Amorim1999; Schutte 1980]6. Postnatal fever in womenCorticosteroids: 50/663Control:54/660RR 0.92 (95% Cl 0.64 to1.33)I2 = 0%[Fixed effect; 5 trials: Amorim1999; Collaborative 1981;Dexiprom 1999; Fekih 2002;Schutte 1980]7. Side-effects of therapy inwomenCorticosteroids: 0/50Control: 0/51RR 0.00 (95% Cl 0.0 to 0.0)I2 = NC[Fixed effect; 1 trial: Schutte1980]8. Fetal and neonatal deathsAll womenCorticosteroids: 261/1813Control: 341/1814RR 0.77 (95% Cl 0.67 to0.89)I2 = 38%[Fixed effect; 13 trials:Amorim 1999; Block 1977;Collaborative 1981; Dexiprom1999; Doran 1980; Gamsu	with multiple pregnancy but percentage cannot be calculated by NCC-WCH techincal team from data reported in Cochrane review Gamsu 1989 - Unclear allocation concealment and method of randomisation - No losses to follow up - Intention-to-treat analysis Indirectness: 6% of women had a multiple pregnancy Garite 1992 - Adequate allocation concealment and method of randomisation - 7% delivered elsewhere and were lost to follow up - No intention-to-treat analysis Indirectness: 8% of women had a multiple pregnancy. Unclear how many women received more than a single course of corticosteroid Kari 1994 - Unclear allocation concealment and method of randomisation - 11% of infants were lost to follow up †at 2 years - Intention-to-treat analysis Indirectness: 20% of women had a multiple pregnancy.
	estimated fetal weight between			1989; Garite 1992; Kari 1994;	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	1000g and 2000g if gestational age unknown Exclusion criteria: cervical dilatation > 4cm, evidence of infection, evidence of antepartum haemorrhage, < 19 years old Sample size: N = 204 women, N = 208 babies Intervention: 12mg dexamethsone IM, 2 doses 24h apart Comparator: Placebo Other details of care: All women received ampicillin and			Liggins 1972; Parsons 1988; Qublan 2001; Schutte 1980; Taeusch 1979] Women with PROM Corticosteroid: 55/368 Control: 88/365 RR 0.62 (95% CI 0.46 to 0.82) I2 = 34% [Fixed effect; 4 trials: Dexiprom 1999; Liggins 1972; Parsons 1988; Qublan 2001] First dose < 26 weeks	
	metronidazole. Hexaprenaline was used if the woman was in labour on admission or went into labour within 24h of admission (20% of women in corticosteroid group and 16% of women in placebo group, no P value reported). 77% of women received both injections of			gestation Corticosteroids: 15/23 Control: 17/26 RR 1.00 (95% CI 0.66 to 1.50) I2 = NC [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins 1972]	<ul> <li>- 18% of infants were lost to follow up in the study at 4 to 6 years of age; 44% of adults were lost to follow up in the study at 30 years of age</li> <li>- Intention-to-treat analysis Indirectness: 6% of women had a multiple pregnancy</li> </ul>
	corticosteroid Gestational age at intervention: *[at trial entry] mean ± SD: experiental = 31.02 weeks ± 2.27; control = 30.57 weeks ± 2.14 Gestational age at delivery: *not reported Term deliveries: *not reported Interval between drug administration and delivery:			First dose between 26 and < 30 weeks gestation Corticosteroids: 50/140 Control: 54/121 RR 0.89 (95% CI 0.59 to 1.08) I2 = NC [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins 1972] First dose between 30 and <	Morales 1989 - Unclear method of randomisation and allocation concealment - No losses to follow up - No intention-to-treat analysis Indirectness: Unclear how many women received more than a single course of corticosteroid Nelson 1985
	Deliveries > 24h: experimental group = 74 women (73%);			33 weeks gestation Corticosteroids: 19/165 Control: 30/154	- Adequate allocation concealment and method of randomisation

Study details Parti	icipants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
(82%) Dora Inclu PRO Jabou prete gesta 34 w Exclu pre-e stero on m Sam N = 1 Interv betar 3mg phos apart Com Othe isoxs supre of the 12% group place tocol inject inject one i cours Gest	an 1980 usion criteria: women with DM, spontaneous preterm ur or planned elective erm delivery, and ational age between 24 and veeks usion criteria: women with eclampsia, or in whom bids were contraindicated nedical grounds uple size: N = 137 women, 144 babies vention: 3mg methasone acetate and betamethsone sodium sphate IM, 4 doses 12h t uparator: Placebo er details of care: Alcohol or suprine were used to ess labour, at the discretion e individual obstetrician: of women in corticosteroid p and 35% of women in ebo group received a lytic. 67% received 4 stions, 10% received 3 stions, 8% received 2 stions and 15% received injection (four injection			RR 0.59 (95% CI 0.35 to 1.01) I2 = NC [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins 1972] First dose between 33 and < 35 weeks gestation Corticosteroids: 18/168 Control: 18/185 RR 1.10 (95% CI 0.59 to 2.05) I2 = NC [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins 1972] First dose 35 and <37 weeks gestation Corticosteroids: 3/87 Control: 3/107 RR 1.23 (95% CI 0.25 to 5.94) I2 = NC [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins 1972] First dose > 37 weeks gestation Corticosteroids: 3/18 Control: 0/24 RR 9.21 (95% CI 0.51 to 167.82) I2 = NC [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins 1972]	<ul> <li>No losses to follow up</li> <li>Intention-to-treat analysis</li> <li>Study contained 3 arms. Group</li> <li>1: betamethasone plus tocolysis with delivery instituted between 24 and 48h after initial PROM and 24h after corticosteroids; group 2: tocolysis with delivery insituted between 24 and 48h after initial PROM and 24 after corticosteroids; group 3: expectant management. Group 3 was excluded from anaylsis in the review</li> <li>Indirectness: All women had PROM</li> <li>Parson 1988</li> <li>Unclear method of randomisation and allocation concealment</li> <li>No losses to follow up</li> <li>Intention-to-treat analysis</li> <li>Indirectness: Unclear how many women received more than a single course of corticosteroid</li> <li>Qublan 2001</li> <li>Adequate method of randomisation, unclear allocation concealment</li> <li>No losses to follow up</li> <li>Intention-to-treat analysis</li> <li>Indirectness: Unclear how many women received more than a single course of corticosteroid</li> <li>Qublan 2001</li> <li>Adequate method of randomisation, unclear allocation concealment</li> <li>No losses to follow up</li> <li>Intention-to-treat analysis</li> <li>Indirectness: Unclear how many women received more than a single course of corticosteroid</li> </ul>

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	29.1 weeks ± 2.9			9. Chronic lung disease	Schutte 1980
	Gestational age at delivery:			All women	- Unclear method of
	*mean ± SD: experimental =			Corticosteroids: 48/413	randomisation and allocation
	33.6 weeks $\pm 4.6$ ; control =			Control: 59/405	concealment
	31.8 weeks ± 4.6			RR 0.86 (95% CI 0.61 to	- 12% of infants were lost to
	Term deliveries: *not reported			1.22)	follow up in the study at 10 to 12
	Interval between drug			12 = 65%	years of age (twice as many in
	administration and delivery:			[Fixed effect; 6 trials: Amorim	the control arm than in the
	*not reported			1999; Garite 1992; Kari 1994;	
				Morales 1989; Silver 1996;	were lost to follow up in the
	Fekih 2002			Taeusch 1979]	study at 20 years of age (losses
	Inclusion criteria: women in				to follow up balanced across
	preterm labour and gestational			Women with PROM at first	groups)
	age between 26 and 34 weeks			dose	- No intention-to-treat analysis
	Exclusion criteria: gestational			Corticosteroids: 23/87	Indirectness: 16% of women had
	diabetes, > 4cm cervical			Control: 41/78	a multiple pregnancy
	dilatation, fetal abnormalities, contraindication to			RR 0.50 (95% CI 0.33 to	Silver 1996
	corticosteroids, delivery			0.76) 12 = NC	- Adequate allocation
	elsewhere or after 34 weeks			[Fixed effect; 1 trial: Morales	concealment and method of
	(post-randomisation exclusions)			1989]	randomisation
	Sample size: N = 118 women,			1909]	- 40% of the 124 initially
	N = 131 babies			10. Cerebroventricular	recruited women remained
	Intervention: 12mg			haemorrhage	undelivered at 29 weeks and
	betamethasone IM, 2 doses			All women	were excluded from analysis
	24h apart			Corticosteroids: 88/1445	- No intention-to-treat analysis
	Comparator: Expectant			Control: 155/1427	Indirectness: 14% of women had
	management			RR 0.54 (95% CI 0.43 to	a multiple pregnancy. Unclear
	Other details of care: not			0.69)	how many women received more
	reported			12 = 32%	than a single course of
	Gestational age at intervention:			[Fixed effect; 13 trials:	corticosteroid. All neonates
	data not available (full text of			Amorim 1999; Dexiprom	included in analysis recevied
	paper not accessed by NCC-			1999; Doran 1980; Fekih	prophylactic surfactant at birth
	WCH technical team)			2002; Gamsu 1989; Garite	
	Gestational age at delivery:			1992; Kari 1994; Lewis 1996;	Taeusch 1979
	data not available (full text of			Liggins 1972; Morales 1989;	- Unclear method of
	paper not accessed by NCC-			Qublan 2001; Silver 1996;	randomisation and allocation
	WCH technical team)			Taeusch 1979]	concealment

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Term deliveries: *not reported Interval between drug administration and delivery: data not available (full text of paper not accessed by NCC- WCH technical team)Gamsu 1989 Inclusion criteria: women with spontaneous or planned preterm delivery and gestational age < 34 weeks Exclusion criteria: contraindication to corticosteroids, contraindication to postponing delivery, diabetes, suspected intrauterine infectionSample size: N = 251 women, N = 268 babies Intervention: 4mg betamethsone IM, 6 doses 8h apart Comparator: Placebo Other details of care: All women with spontaneous labour received IV salbutamol Gestational age at intervention: *not reported Term deliveries: *not reported Interval between drug administration and delivery: < 24h = 61 women; 24 to 47h = 23 women; 48 to 95h = 23 women; 96 to 143h = 13			Women with PROM at first dose Corticosteroids: 19/454 Control: 38/441 RR 0.47 (95% CI 0.28 to 0.79) I2 = 0% [Fixed effect; 5 trials: Dexiprom 1999; Lewis 1996; Liggins 1972; Morales 1989; Qublan 2001]First dose < 26 weeks gestation Corticosteroids: 3/15 Control: 2/15 RR 1.20 (95% CI 0.24 to 6.06) I2 = NC [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins 1972]First dose between 26 and < 30 weeks gestation Corticosteroids: 9/121 Control: 18/108 RR 0.45 (95% CI 0.21 to 0.95) I2 = NC [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins 1972]First dose between 30 and < 33 weeks gestation Corticosteroids: 1/155 Control: 4/140 RR 0.23 (95% CI 0.03 to	<ul> <li>Maternal outcomes were not available for 3% of women</li> <li>No intention-to-treat analysis Indirectness: 10% of women had a multiple pregnancy</li> <li>Teramo 1980</li> <li>Unclear method of randomisation and allocation concealment</li> <li>No losses to follow up</li> <li>Intention-to-treat analysis Indirectness: 8% of women had a multiple pregnancy</li> <li>Other information Data from trials involving the use of methyl-prednisolone (Block 1977; Schmidt 1984) were discarded as it has not been shown to induce lung maturation in animal models and is known to have altered placental transfer</li> <li>Some included trials had a protocol of weekly repeat doses if the mother remained undelivered. None of the trials reported outcomes separately for those exposed to repeat doses.</li> <li>Additional data were supplied by the study authors for the following trials: Amorim 1999, Dexiprom 1999, Liggins 1972 (individual patient data), Nelson 1985</li> </ul>

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	women; 144 to 168h = 5			2.00)	Long-term follow-up of childhood
	women; > 168h = 126 women			12 = NC	outcomes
				[Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins	Amorim 1999: additional data
	Garite 1992			1972]	supplied by author, no details
	Inclusion criteria: women likely				reported in study report
	to deliver between 24h and 7			First dose between 33 and <	Collaborative 1981: Follow up
	days with spontaneous preterm			35 weeks gestation	performed before 1984; losses to
	labour or planned preterm			Corticosteroids: 3/161	follow up by 18 months of age =
	delivery			Control: 3/178	45.2%
	Exclusion criteria: PROM,			RR 1.11 (95% CI 0.23 to	Kari 1994: Follow up performed
	clinical or laboratory evidence			5.40) 12 = NC	1991 to 1994; losses to follow up = 54%
	of infection, contraindication to				
	or previously given			[Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins	Liggins 1972: Follow up
	corticosteroids, diabetes Sample size: N = 76 women (N			1972]	performed before 1981; losses to follow up by 4 years of age =
	= 82 babies)			First dose 35 and < 37 weeks	
	Intervention: 6mg			gestation	Schutte 1980: Follow up
	betamethasone acetate and			Corticosteroids: 0/85	performed between 1984 and
	6mg betamethasone phosphate			Control: 0/106	1987; losses to follow up at 10-
	IM, 2 doses 24h apart and			RR 0.00 (95% CI 0.0 to 0.0)	12 years of age = $27\%$
	weekly thereafter if still < 28			2 = NC	
	weeks and thought likely to			[Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins	Measurement of developmental
	deliver within the next week			1972]	childhood outcomes
	Comparator: Placebo			-	Neurodevelopmental delay
	Other details of care: women			First dose > 37 weeks	Kari 1994: "Severe disability"
	undelivered after 28 weeks and			gestation	defined as tetraplegic cerebral
	1 week past their last dose of			Corticosteroids: 0/18	palsy and/or a score < 70 on
	study mediction were allowed			Control: 0/24	Bayley Scales for 2-year
	glucocorticoids at the discretion			RR 0.00 (95% CI 0.0 to 0.0)	children.
	of their physician			12 = NC	
	Gestational age at intervention:			[Fixed effect; 1 trial: Liggins	Developmental delay
	*[at admission] mean ± SD:			1972]	Collaborative 1981:
	experimental = $25.5$ weeks ±				Psychomotor Developmental
	1.2; control = $25.8$ weeks $\pm 1.3$				Index of the Bayley Scales at 18
	Gestational age at delivery: *not			11. Need for mechanical	months of age ( $50 \le $ Index $\le 67$ ).
	reported			ventilation/CPAP	Amorim 1999: not reported
	Term deliveries: *not reported			All women	
	Interval between drug			Corticosteroids: 62/286	

congenital abnormalities, proven lung maturity, insulin- treated diabetes, previously treated with corticosteroidsI2 = NArepeated a class or required special educationSample size: N = 157 women (N = 189 babies) Intervention: 6mg dexamethasone sodium phosphate IM, 4 doses 12h apartI2 = NArepeated a class or required special educationOther details of care: Rescue treatment with exogenous human surfactant was given to neonates born 24 to 33 weeks who at 2 to 24h of age required mechanical ventiation with ≥ 40% oxygen for respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) Gestational age at intervention: f at trial entryl mean ± SD:I2 = NArepeated a class or required special educationI2 = NA (N = 189 babies) Intervention: Comparator: PlaceboDefinitions of cerebroventricular haemorrhage Control: 56/654 0.85)Definitions of cerebroventricular haemorrhage Dexiprom 1999 - intraventricular haemorrhage 0.85)Desiprom 1999; Collaborative 1981; distress syndrome (RDS) Gestational age at intervention: f at trial entryl mean ± SD:Definitions of cerebroventricular haemorrhage Control: 11/123Desiprom 1999; Gansu 1989; doseControl: 50/654 Deran 1980 - cause of death was intraventricular haemorrhage - 2/130; 4/132	Study details Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	administration and del 1 days = 17 neonates; 26 neonates Kari 1994 Inclusion criteria: wom preterm labour or threa preterm labour or threa preterm labour due to eclampsia and gestatic 24 to 31.9 weeks Exclusion criteria: rupt membranes, chorioam congenital abnormalitic proven lung maturity, i treated diabetes, previ- treated with corticoster Sample size: N = 157 (N = 189 babies) Intervention: 6mg dexamethasone sodiu phosphate IM, 4 doses apart Comparator: Placebo Other details of care: F treatment with exogen human surfactant was neonates born 24 to 3 who at 2 to 24h of age mechanical ventilation 40% oxygen for respira- distress syndrome (RE Gestational age at inter- "[at trial entry] mean ± experimental = 28.9 we 2.1; control = 28.9 we	livery: 0 to ; 2 to 7 ≥ 8 days = hen with eatened pre- ional age ture of nnionitis, ies, insulin- riously eroids women um s 12h Rescue nous s given to 33 weeks e required n with > ratory DS) ervention: = SD: veeks ± eks ± 2.3		Control: $92/283$ RR 0.69 (95% CI 0.53 to 0.90) $I2 = 17\%$ [Fixed effect; 4 trials: Amorim 1999; Block 1977; Dexiprom 1999; Garite 1992]           Women with PROM Corticosteroids: $15/105$ Control: $16/101$ RR 0.90 (95% CI 0.47 to 1.73) $I2 = NA$ [Fixed effect; 1 trials: Dexiprom 1999]           12. Sepsis in the first 48h of life All women Corticosteroids: $32/665$ Control: $56/654$ RR 0.56 (95% CI 0.38 to 0.85) $I2 = 0\%$ [Fixed effect; 5 trials: Amorim 1999; Collaborative 1981; Dexiprom 1999; Gamsu 1989; Parsons 1988]           Women with PROM at first dose Corticosteroids: $11/128$ Control: $11/123$ RR 0.96 (95% CI 0.44 to 2.12)	Intellectual impairment Collaborative 1981: Mental Developmental Index of the Bayley Scales at 18 months of age (50 ≤ Index ≤ 67) Liggins 1972: ≤ 70 on Stanford- Binet Intelligence Scale Schutte 1980: < 70 on Weschler Intelligence Scale for Children- Revised full-scale IQ Behavioural/learning difficulties Scutte 1980: children who had to repeated a class or required special education Definitions of cerebroventricular haemorrhage in original papers (experimental n/N; control n/N) Amorim 1999 - intraventricular haemorrhage Dexiprom 1999 - intraventricular haemorrhage Doran 1980 - cause of death was intraventricular haemorrhage - 1/80; 4/60 (unclear whether there were any other cases of IVH which did not result in death) Feikh - could not access original paper Gamsu 1989 - cause of death was intraventricular

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	31.5 weeks ± 3.6; control = 32.4 weeks ± 3.9 Term deliveries: *not reported Interval between drug administration and delivery: *not reported Lewis 1996 Inclusion criteria: women with singleton pregnancies with PROM, and gestational age between 24 and 34 weeks Exclusion criteria: evidence of infection, vaginal examination, cerclage, allergic to penicillin, contraindication to expectant management, lung maturity confirmed by L/S ratio if 32 weeks or more Sample size: N = 79 Intervention: 12g betamethasone IM, 2 doses 24h apart and repeated weekly if the women had not delivered Comparator: Expectant management Other details of care: all women received 3g ampicillin- sulbactam every 6h for 7 days Gestational age at intervention: [at rupture of membranes] mean ± SD: experimental = 29.3 weeks ± 3.0; control = 29.7 weeks ± 3.1 Gestational age at delivery: *not reported Term deliveries: *not reported Interval between drug			Dexiprom 1999; Parsons 1988]13. Cerebral palsy in childhood Corticosteroids: 20/490 Control: 28/414 RR 0.60 (95% CI 0.34 to 1.03) I2 = 0% [Fixed effect; 5 trials: Amorim 1999; Collaborative 1981; Kari 1994; Liggin 1972; Schutte 1980]14. Visual impairment in childhood Corticosteroids: 9/100 Control: 11/66 RR 0.55 (95% CI 0.24 to 1.23) I2 = 0% [Fixed effect; 2 trials: Kari 1994; Schutte 1980]15. Hearing impairment in childhood Corticosteroids: 1/100 Control: 1/66 RR 0.64 (95% CI 0.04 to 9.87) I2 = 0% [Fixed effect; 2 trials: Kari 1994; Schutte 1980]16. Neurodevelopmental delay in childhood Corticosteroids: 3/50	intraventricular haemorrhage - 10/33; 19/40: cases of grade 3 or 4 IVH - 1/33; 9/40 Kari 1994 - intraventricular haemorrhage Lewis 1996 - grade 3 or 4 intraventricular haemorrhage - 0/38; 3/39 Liggins 1972 - not defined in original paper - possibly additional data supplied to review authors Morales 1989 - intraventricular haemorrhage - 13/87; 20/78: cases of grade 3 or 4 IVH - 3/87; 12/78 Qublan 2001 - intraventricular haemorrhage Silver 1996 - total cases of intraventricular haemorrhage - 12/28; 10/30: cases of grade 3 or 4 IVH - 2/28; 6/30 Taeusch 1979 - intracranial haemorrhage

administration and delivery: Latency period (mean ± SD); experimental group = 353.2 hours ± 230; control group = 378.4 hours ± 385     Control: 3/32 RR 0.64 (95% CI 0.14 to 2.98)       Liggins 1972 Inclusion criteria: women with threatened or planned preterm delivery and gestational age between 24 and 36 weeks     17. Development delay in childhood       Exclusion criteria: miniment delivery, contraindication to corticosteroids:     17. Development delay in childhood       (N = 1218 babies) Intervention: 6mg betamethasone phosphate and fom betamethasone acetate IM, 2 doses 24h apart. After the first 717 women had enrolled the treatment intervention was doubled to 2 doses of 12mg betamethasone acetate IM 24h apart Comparator: 6mg cortisone acetate (1/70th the corticosteroid precision acetate (1/70th the corticosteroid sc care: ethanol or     19. Behavioural difficulties in childhood	Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
salbutamol IV were used to delay delivery by 48h to 72h.Control: 7/36 RR 0.86 (95% CI 0.35 to 2.09)Women with spontaneous PROM on admission recieved antiobtics and period of attempted suppression of12 = NA [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Schutte 1980]		administration and delivery: Latency period (mean ± SD): experimental group = 353.2 hours ± 230; control group = 378.4 hours ± 385 Liggins 1972 Inclusion criteria: women with threatened or planned preterm delivery and gestational age between 24 and 36 weeks Exclusion criteria: imminent delivery, contraindication to corticosteroids Sample size: N = 1142 women (N = 1218 babies) Intervention: 6mg betamethasone phosphate and 6mg betamethasone acetate IM, 2 doses 24h apart. After the first 717 women had enrolled the treatment intervention was doubled to 2 doses of 12mg betamethasone phosphate and 12mg betamethasone acetate IM 24h apart Comparator: 6mg cortisone acetate (1/70th the corticosteroid potency of betamethasone) Other details of care: ethanol or salbutamol IV were used to delay delivery by 48h to 72h. Women with spontaneous PROM on admission recieved antiobtics and period of			RR 0.64 (95% CI 0.14 to 2.98) [2 = 0% [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Kari 1994] 17. Development delay in childhood Corticosteroids: 11/266 Control: 19/252 RR 0.49 (95% CI 0.24 to 1.00) [2 = 0% [Fixed effect; 2 trials: Amorim 1999; Collaborative 1981] 18. Intellectual impairment in childhood Corticosteroids: 16/409 Control: 17/369 RR 0.86 (95% CI 0.44 to 1.69) [2 = 0% [Fixed effect; 3 trials: Collaborative 1981; Liggins 1972; Schutte 1980] 19. Behavioural difficulties in childhood Corticosteroids: 9/54 Control: 7/36 RR 0.86 (95% CI 0.35 to 2.09) [2 = NA [Fixed effect; 1 trial: Schutte	

Study details Parti	icipants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
inject electi Gesta *[at tr experience contr Gesta *mea 249 of days Term 33%: contr Interv admii 24h = days 21 da = 66 Mora Inclus single PRO betwo Exclu befor tende lochia to pe abno more ratio, gesta obste week	ned preterm delivery, first tion given 3 days before tive induction tational age at intervention: trial entry] mean $\pm$ SD: erimental = 221 days $\pm$ 21; rol = 225 days $\pm$ 20 tational age at delivery: an $\pm$ SD: experimental = days $\pm$ 31; control = 244 s $\pm$ 29 n deliveries: *[ $\geq$ 37 weeks] : experimental = 33/93; rol = 23/75 val between drug inistration and delivery: < = 50 women; $\geq$ 24h, < 7 s = 87 women; $\geq$ 7 days, < ays = 10 women; $\geq$ 21 days women ales 1989 asion criteria: women with eton pregnancies with DM and gestational age veen 26 and 34 weeks usion criteria: PROM < 12h re onset of labour, uterine erness, foul smelling a, fetal tachycardia, allergy encillin, congenital ormalities, L/S ratio 2 or e, unable to obtain an L/S , Dubowitz assigned ational age different from etric assessment by 3 ks (postrandomisation usion)			*Additional data extracted from original papers and analysed by NCC-WCH technical team *20. Intraventricular haemorrhage grades 3 or 4 RR 0.22 (95% CI 0.10 to 0.49) I2 = 0% [Fixed effect; Garite 1992; Lewis 1996; Morales 1989; Silver 1996]	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Sample size: N = 165 women Intervention: 12mg betamethasone IM, 2 doses 24h apart repeated weekly if the woman remained undelivered Comparator: Expectant management Other details of care: four arm trial: group 1 expectant management, group 2 betamethasone, group 3 expectant management plus 2g ampicillin IV every 6h until cervical cultures were negative, group 4 betamethsone and ampicillin. [for the review groups 2 and 4 formed the experimental group; groups 1 and 3 formed the control group] Gestational age at intervention: *not clearly reported Getstational age at delivery: *not reported Term deliveries: *not reported Interval between drug administration and delivery: *not reported				
	Nelson 1985 Inclusion criteria: women with PROM and gestational age between 28 and 34 weeks Exclusion criteria: fetal distress, active labour, cervical dilatation > 3 cm, sensitivity to tocolytics, PROM > 24h, existing infection Sample size: N = 44 women				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Intervention: 6mg or 12mg betamethasone IM, 2 doses 12h apart and delivery 24 to 48h after PROM, 24h after corticosteroid Comparator: Delivery 24 to 48h after PROM Other details of care: ritodrine or terbutaline was used to delay labour a minimum of 24h provided there was no evidence of sepsis. 43% of women received tocolysis Gestational age at intervention: *[at rupture of membranes] mean ± SD: experimental = 31.8 weeks ± 3.0; control = 32.0 weeks ± 3.2 Gestational age at delivery: *not reported Term deliveries: *not reported Interval between drug administration and delivery: 24h in all women				
	Parsons 1988 Inclusion criteria: women with PROM and < 4cm of cervical dilatation Exclusion criteria: infection, fetal distress, fetal anomalies, contraindication to tocolysis Sample size: N = 45 women Intervention: 12mg betamethasone IM, 2 doses 12h apart and repeated weekly until 32 weeks Comparator: expectant				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	management Other details of care: none stated in Cochrane review Gestational age at intervention: *data not available (full text of paper not accessed by NCC- WCH technical team) Gestational age at delivery: *data not available (full text of paper not accessed by NCC- WCH technical team) Term deliveries: *data not available (full text of paper not accessed by NCC-WCH technical team) Interval between drug administration and delivery: data not available (full text of paper not accessed by NCC-WCH technical team)				
	Qublan 2001 Inclusion criteria: women with singleton pregnancies and PROM, and gestational age between 27 and 34 weeks Exclusion criteria: lethal congenital anomaly, fetal death, infection, expected delivery within 12h Sample size: N = 137 women Intervention: 6mg betamethasone IM, 4 doses 12h apart and repeated if woman had not delivered after 1 week Comparator: expectant management				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Other details of care: infection and non-reactive non-stress test were reasons to stop treatment, start antibiotics and induce labour or perform Caesarean section Gestational age at intervention: *not reported Gestational age at birth: *not reported Term deliveries: *not reported Interval between drug administration and delivery: not				
	reported Schutte 1980 Inclusion criteria: women with preterm labour in whom it was possible to delay delivery for at least 12h and gestational age between 26 and 32 weeks Exclusion criteria: insulin- treated diabetes, hyperthyroidism, infection, severe hypertension, cardiac				
	disease, marked fetal growth retardation or fetal distress Sample size: N = 101 women (N = 123 babies) Intervention: 8mg betamethasone phosphate and 6mg betamethasone acetate IM, 2 doses 24h apart Comparator: placebo Other details of care: all women received ociprenaline infusion and bed-rest until 32 weeks Gestational age at intervention:				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study details	<ul> <li>*not reported Gestational age at birth: *not reported Term deliveries: *not reported Interval between drug administration and delivery: &lt;12h = 22 women; 12h to 7 days = 47 women; 8 days to 21 days = 14 women; &gt;21 days = 11 women</li> <li>Silver 1996 Inclusion criteria: women at risk of delivery between 24 and 29 weeks</li> <li>Exlcusion criteria: infection, maternal or fetal indications for urgent delivery</li> <li>Sample size: N = 75 women, N = 96 babies</li> <li>Intervention: 5mg dexamethasone IM, 4 doses</li> <li>12h apart, repeated weekly if the woman remained undelivered Comparator: placebo</li> </ul>	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Other details of care: all infants born < 30 weeks received prophylactic surfactant at birth. Tocolytic therapy (magnesium sulphate first-line, followed by terbutaline) used in 80% of women				
	Gestational age at intervention: *[on admission] mean ± SD: experimental = 25.1 weeks ± 1.4; control = 25.6 weeks ± 1.3 Gestational age at birth: *mean				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	± SD: experimental = 26.9 weeks ± 1.5; control = 26.6 weeks ± 1.3 Interval between drug administration and delivery: *not reported				
	<ul> <li>Taeusch 1979</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: women with preterm labour, PROM or with cervical dilatation &lt; 5 cm at ≤ 33 weeks and women with an L/S ratio &lt; 2 if &gt; 33 weeks or who had a previous infant with RDS</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: indication for immediate delivery, obstetrician objection, preeclampsia, previously received corticosteroids</li> <li>Sample size: N = 122 women, N = 127 babies</li> <li>Intervention: 4mg</li> <li>dexamethasone phosphate IM, 6 doses 8h apart</li> <li>Comparator: placebo</li> <li>Other details of care: none stated</li> <li>Gestational age at intervention: *not reported</li> <li>Gestational age at birth: *not reported for full study population</li> </ul>				
	Term deliveries: *[≥ 36 weeks] 27%: experimental = 16/57; control = 18/71 Interval betwen drug				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	administration and delivery: *not reported				
	Teramo 1980 Inclusion criteria: women with preterm labour and cervical dilatation < 4 cm without progression of labour upon initial observation of up to 12h Exclusion criteria: preeclampsia, diabetes Sample size: N = 74 women, N = 80 babies Intervention: 12mg betamethasone IM, 2 doses 24h apart Comparator: placebo Other details of care: all women received either nylidrine or ritodrine to suppress uterine activity Gestational age at intervention: *not reported Gestational age at birth: *not reported Term deliveries: *not reported Interval between drug administration and delivery: < 1 day = 17 women; 1 to 7 days = 39 women; > 7 days = 18 women				
	Inclusion criteria				
	Randomised trials comparing antenatal corticosteroids (betamethasone,				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	dexamethasone, or hydrocortisone) with placebo, or with no treatment given to women prior to anticipated preterm delivery (planned or spontaneous), regardless of other comorbidity.				
	Exclusion criteria				
	Quasi-randomised trials				
	Trials which tested the effect of corticosteroids along with other co-interventions				
	Trials with greater than 20% loss to follow up				
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Porto,A.M., Coutinho,I.C., Correia,J.B., Amorim,M.M.,		12mg betamethasone (6mg acetate and	Recruitment and randomisation Physicians in the obstetrics	1. Fetal and neonatal deaths - n/N (%) Corticosteroids: 1/144 (0.7)	Appropriate randomisation: Yes Allocation concealement: Yes
Effectiveness of antenatal corticosteroids in		7.8mg disodium phosphate)	department identified potentially eligible women.	Control: 3/131 (2.3)	Groups comparable at baseline: Yes
	<u>Maternal age (years) - mean ±</u> <u>SD</u>	intramuscularly; 2 doses 24h apart	Having given consent to participate women were	2. Need for mechanical ventilation - n/N (%)	Groups received same care (apart from intervention):Yes
infants: randomised	Corticosteroids: 23.2 ± 6.1	(n = 163)	randomised by the	Corticosteroids: 2/144 (1.2)	Blinding of participants: Yes
clinical trial, BMJ (Clinical research ed.), Vol.342,	Control: 22.9 ± 5.5	Placebo (0.9% saline solution)	investigators. A statistician not involved in the study	Control: 1/131 (0.8)	Blinding of staff providing care: Yes
pp.d1696, 2011., -, 2011	Gestational age at admission	(n = 157)	prepared a table of random	3. Neonatal sepsis - n/N (%)	Blinding of outcome
	(weeks) - mean ± SD		numbers in a single block	Corticosteroids: 6/144 (4)	assessors: Yes
Ref Id	Corticosteroids: $35.0 \pm 0.7$ Control: $35.0 \pm 0.7$		(random allocation software, version 1.0). The hospital	Control: 9/130 (7)	Missing data/loss to follow up: 15% of the randomised
254025	500 ± 0.7		pharmacy (Clinics Hospital University of San Paulo)		population were excluded (see other information)

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Country/ies where the	Gestational age at delivery		prepared 320 sealed		Precise definition of
study was carried out	(weeks) - mean ± SD		cardboard boxes, containing		outcomes: Yes
_	Corticosteroids: 35.6 ± 1.17		betamethasone or placebo,		Valid and reliable method of
Brazil	Control: 35.5 ± 1.08		identical in appearance,		outcome assessment: Yes
			volume and colour and		Indirectness: none identified
Study type	Term deliveries (≥ 37 weeks) -		numbered in accordance		
	<u>n/N (%)</u>		with the table of random		
Randomised controlled	Corticosteroids: 16/143 (11%)		numbers. The investigators,		Other information
trial	Control: 11/130 (8%)		physicians who cared for the		
			women, statistician and		The study was powered to detect
	PROM - n/N (%)		women themselves were		a 50% reduction in respiratory
Aim of the study	Corticosteroids: 54/143 (38)		unaware of the contents of		disorders with the use of
	Control: 54/130 (42)		the boxes.		corticosteroids.
To determine the					
effectiveness of antenatal	Received tocolysis		Care protocol		Women who delivered before
treatment with	(nifedipine) - n/N (%)		The study investigators were		she received a second dose of
corticosteroids at 34-36	Corticosteroids: 88/143 (62)		not involved in the prepartum		medication were analysed on an
weeks of pregnancy in	Control: 79/130 (61)		or postpartum management		intention-to-treat basis.
reducing the incidence of			of women or in neonatal		
neonatal respiratory			management. Women in		43/320 (13%) women were
disorders	Inclusion criteria		premature labour recevied		excluded after randomisation as
			tocolysis (nifedipine) in		they were discharged from
	34 to 36+6 weeks gestation and		accordance with routine		hospital while still pregnant and
Study dates	at risk of imminent premature		hospital practice, in an		went on to deliver elsewhere
	delivery, either spontaneous or		attempt to allow the full		(experimental = 19/163 (12%),
April 2008 - June 2010	planned		course of medication to be		control = 24/157 (15%)). Two
			administered.		further post-randomisation
					exclusions were in the placebo
Source of funding	Exclusion criteria				group - one due to detection of
					twin pregnancy after
Instituto de Medicina	Multiple pregnancy				randomisation and one was
Integral Prof Fernando	Major congenital malformations				found to have reached term.
Figueira-IMP, a private,	Haemorrhagic syndromes with				There was one stillbirth in each
not-for-profit healthcare	active bleeding				group. Authors therefore used
organisation based in	Clinical evidence of				following denominators: 143
Recife, Pernambuco,	chorioamnionitis				babies in experimental group
Brazil where the study	Previous use of corticosteroids				and 130 babies in control group.
was carried out					NCC-WCH technical team have

Study details Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Need for immediate delivery for maternal or fetal reasons				included stillbirth in fetal and neonatal outcome and so denominators used by NCC for all outcomes are 144 and 131, respectively. 212/275 (77%) women followed up received the full course of medication (experimental = 111/144 (77%), control = 101/131 (77%). Interval between administration of the last dose and delivery was a median of 2 days in both groups (interquartile range 1 to 4). Outcomes for women with PROM not reported separately. No local or systemic side effects occured and there were no unexpected effects or adverse reactions to corticosteroid treatment.

## H.8.1.1 Health economics

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison		Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
Full citation	Study dates	Source of effectiveness data		Cost per patient per alternative	Limitations

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
Mugford,M., Piercy,J., Chalmers,I., Cost implications of different approaches to the prevention of respiratory distress syndrome, Archives of Disease in Childhood, 66, 757- 764, 1991 <b>Ref Id</b> 324912 <b>Economic study type</b> Cost effectiveness analysis <b>Country(ies) where the study</b> was done UK <b>Perspective &amp; Cost Year</b> Perspective: Hospital Cost Year: 1989 <b>Source of funding</b> Department of Health	January 1989 to June 1989 Intervention Antenatal corticosteroids Comparison(s) No treatment	Effectiveness of corticosteriods derived from an analysis of 12 trials of prenatal corticosteroids incorporated in the overview reported by Crowley 1990 Source of cost data Costs were based on a costing study of resource use in John Radcliffe Maternity Hospital, Oxford in 1989. Costs of corticosteriods were obtained from discussion with pharmacists. Costs include Staff (Nursing, Medical, Physiotherapy), Depreciation and running costs of equipment, including ultrasound, Pathology (Biochemistry, Haematology, Microbiology), Radiology (including staff and equipment), Disposable supplies, Oxygen, Pharmacy (including blood products and total parenteral nutrition), Overheads (including all ancillary and support services) Other data sources e.g. transition probabilities	Discount Rate: NA Method of eliciting health valuations (if applicable) Data was collected about babies' survival from John Radcliffe Maternity Hospital, Oxford from January 1989 to June 1989 Modelling approach A Decision Tree model was used to simulate the outcomes of preterm birth of <31	Per baby (<31 weeks) With antenatal corticosteroids: 6,542 No treatment: GBP 6,120 Per baby (<35 weeks) With antenatal corticosteroids: 3,450 No treatment: GBP 3,844 <b>Effectiveness per</b> <b>patient per alternative</b> <31 weeks gestation Survived without respiratory distress syndrome With antenatal corticosteroids: 25.83% No treatment: 16.67% Survived With antenatal corticosteroids: 73.33% No treatment: 62.5% <35 weeks gestation Survived without respiratory distress syndrome With antenatal corticosteroids: 73.33% No treatment: 62.5% <35 weeks gestation Survived without respiratory distress syndrome With antenatal corticosteroids: 69.57% No treatment: 57.14%	Specific resource use and Unit costs not provided. Total costs have no confidence intervals; only mean cost provided. There was no sensitivity analysis.

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
				Survived With antenatal corticosteroids: 87.86% No treatment: 84.29%	
				Incremental cost- effectiveness <31 weeks survived without respirator distress syndrome: antenatal corticosteroids dominates Survived: antenatal corticosteroids dominates <35 weeks survived without respirator distress syndrome:	
				antenatal corticosteroids dominates Survived: antenatal corticosteroids dominates Other reporting of results	

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
			Uncertainty	
			None	

## H.8.2 Repeat courses

This section was updated and replaced in 2022. Please see the NICE website for the updated guideline.

## H.9 Magnesium sulfate for neuroprotection

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Doyle,L.W., Anderson,P.J., Haslam,R., Lee,K.J., Crowther,C., Australasian Collaborative Trial of Magnesium Sulphate (ACTOMgSO, School-age outcomes of very preterm infants after antenatal treatment with magnesium sulfate vs placebo, JAMA, 312, 1105-1113, 2014 <b>Ref Id</b> 323873	N = 1062 women randomized (1262 fetuses alive at entry) Characteristics <u>Gestational age at birth</u> (mean [SD]) Magnesium sulphate: 27.3 (2.2) Placebo: 27.4 (2) <u>Multiple pregnancy (n (%))</u> Magnesium sulphate: 124 (28) Placebo: 128 (30)	Magnesium sulphate (n = 535 women; n = 633 babies; n = 629 live babies) Placebo (n = 527 women; n = 629 babies; n = 626 live babies)	Australia and New Zealand comparing MgSo4 vs placebo given to pregnant women (n=535 magnesium; n=527 placebo) for who imminent birth was planned or expected < 30 weeks gestation. Children who survived from the 14/16 centres who participated in the school age f/u (n=443 MgSO4;	MgSo4 vs Placebo Cerebral palsy (Analysis with multiple imputation, adjusted for study centre and clustering) 23/295 (8%) vs. 21/314 (7%) p=0.27 OR= 1.26 (0.84-1.91) Severity of cerebral palsy (no imputation, no adjustment for study center or clustering):	Appropriate randomisation: Yes Allocation concealment: Yes Groups comparable at baseline: Yes. There are no statistically significant differences in perinatal, 2-yr and demographic characteristics of children available for f/u. Groups received same care (apart from intervention): Yes Blinding of participants: Yes Blinding of staff providing care: Yes Blinding of outcome assessors: Yes

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study details Country/ies where the study was carried out Australia and New Zealand Study type Randomised controlled trial Aim of the study To determine the association between exposure to antenatal magnesium sulphate and neurological, cognitive, academic and behavioural outcomes at school age. Study dates February 1996 to September 2000 (recruitment) 2005-2011 (outcomes measurement) Source of funding National Health and Medical Research Council Australia and Victorian Government's Operational Infrastructure	Participants         Inclusion criteria         Singleton, twin, triplet or         quadruplet pregnancy         Less than 30 weeks         gestation (judged by         menstrual history and early         ultrasound)         Birth planned or expected         within 24 hours         Exclusion criteria         Second stage of labour         Received magnesium         sulphate in current         pregnancy         Contraindications to         magnesium sulphate         (respiratory rate <	Interventions	Methods         participating in the f/u. No conclusions were altered in the complete case analysis (eTable 4 in Supplement 2 of article).         335 children were f/u in placebo arm.	None: 272/292 (92%) vs. 293/314 (93%) Mild: 16/295 (5%) vs. 14/314 (4%) Moderate: 5/295 (2%) vs. 5/314 (1%) Severe: 2/295 (1%) vs. 2/314 (1%) p-value=0.60 Gross motor function classification system (no imputation, no adjustment for study center or clustering): Level 0: 264/304(87%) vs. 277/314 (88%) Level 1: 28/304 (9%) vs. 26/314 (8%) Level 2: 7/304 (2%) vs. 7/314 (2%) Level 3: 1/304 (<1%) vs. 2/314 (1%)	Missing data/loss to follow- up: From 2 yr f/u 3 died before school age f/u and 190 were from centers that did not participate in school age f/u leaving 867 (443 MgSo4 and 424 placebo). Precise definition of outcomes: Yes Valid and reliable method of outcome assessment: Yes Intention-to-treat analysis performed: No Indirectness: 28% of the MgS04 arm and 30% of placebo arm had multiple pregnancies
Support Program.				Level 5: 1/304 (<1%) vs. 1/314 (<1%) p=0.60	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Movement Assessment Battery for Children Centile	
				Analysis with multiple imputation, adjusted for study centre and clustering-	
				*Median (IQR)	
				29 (6-60) vs. 32 (6-65)	
				Mean difference (95% CI): -2.8 (-9.1 to 3.5); p=0.38	
				No imputation, no adjustment for study center or clustering)-	
				Normal: 187/297 (63%) vs. 191/301 (63%)	
				Suspect: 36/297 (12%) vs. 35/301 (63%)	
				Abnormal: 74/297 (25%) vs. 75/301 (25%)	
				p=0.93	
				Definite motor dysfunction (<5th centile or cerebral palsy)	
				80/297 (27%) vs. 80/300 (27%)	
				OR=1.16 (0.88-1.52); p=0.28	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				**N Mean (SD); Mean Difference (95% Cl)**	
				General cognitive function	
				Full scale IQ: 93.8 (15.8) vs. 94.9 (15.0); -1.4 (-4.2 to 1.4) Verbal comprehension index: 94.2 (15.1) vs. 94.9 (13.6); -0.9 (-3.6 to 1.9)	
				Perceptual reasoning index: 96.1 (15.4) vs. 7.6 (15.2); -2.1 (-4.8 to 0.7) Working memory index: 95.1 (14.9) vs. 96.4 (14.7); -1.2 (-4.0 to 1.6) Processing speed index:94.9 (15.1) vs. 94.5 (14.1); 0.2 (-2.4 to 2.8)	
				Academic skills Reading: 99.4 (17.0) vs. 98.9 (16.9) ; 1.0 (−2.4 to 4.4)	
				Spelling: 98.3 (15.7) vs. 97.1 (15.2); 1.2 (-2.0 to 4.4)	
				Arithmetic: 89.8 (16.6) vs. 89.5 (16.1) ; 0.5 (−2.6 to 3.7)	
				Attention Selective-Sky Search: 9.8 (3.3) vs. 9.8 (3.4) ; -0.3 (-0.9 to 0.4)	
				Sustained-Score 8.8 (3.6) vs. 8.5 (3.8); 0.1 (-0.7 to 0.9)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Divided-Sky Search Dual Task: 79.1 (16.9) vs.77.6 (17.4); 0.3 (−3.1 to 3.7)	
				Shifting-Creature Counting: 9.1 (3.8) vs.8.7 (3.8); 0.2 (-0.6 to 1.0)	
				Executive function Rey complex figure copy score: 17.4 (7.1) vs. 18.1 (7.4); -1.1 (-2.4 to 0.3)	
				Rey complex figure recall score: 8.4 (5.4) vs. 8.8 (5.6); -0.6 (-1.8 to 0.6)	
				BRIEF parent T scores Global executive composite: 53.1 (12.5) vs. 52.6 (12.1); 0.8 (-1.6 to 3.2)	
				Metacognition index: 53.4 (12.9) vs. 52.8 (12.5); 1.2 (-1.2 to 3.6)	
				Behavioural regulation index: 51.7 (12.5) vs. 51.7 (11.6); −0.0 (−2.4 to 2.4) BRIEF teacher T scores Global executive	
				composite: 54.0(12.4) vs. 53.1 (10.9); 1.5 (-0.7 to 3.8) Metacognition index: 54.5 (12.6) vs. 54.0 (11.1); 1.4 (-0.8 to 3.7)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Behavioural regulation index: 52.0 (11.9) vs. 51.5 (10.7); 1.3 (−0.9 to 3.5)	
				Behaviour CADS parent T scores ADHD index: 57.3 (11.5) vs. 56.3 (10.7); 1.3 (-0.7 to 3.3) DSM-IV inattentive: 56.1 (11.6) vs. 55.4 (10.7) DSM_IV hyperactive-impulsive: 56.1 (12.3) vs. 55.9 (12.0); 0.3 (-2.0 to 2.6) DSM-IV: 56.6 (11.7) vs. 56.0(11.2); 0.9 (-1.2 to 3.0) CADS teacher T scores ADHD index: 54.3 (11.3) vs. 53.8 (10.5); 1.4 (-0.8 to 3.5) DSM-IV inattentive: 50.0 (8.6) vs. 49.4 (8.4); 1.0 (-0.6 to 2.7) DSM-IV hyperactive-impulsive: 51.9 (10.4) vs. 51.2 (9.4); 1.5 (-0.3 to 3.3)	
				DSM-IV: 52.8 (10.2) vs. 52.0 (9.1); 1.6 (-0.2 to 3.5)	
				SDQ total difficulties Parent scores: 11 (6 to 17) vs. 10 (6 to 15); 0.9 (-0.3 to 2.1) Teacher scores: 8 (4 to 14) vs. 8 (4 to 13); 0.5 (-0.9 to 1.8)	
				Growth Mean (SD scores) Ht: -0.25 (1.24) vs0.09 (1.20) ; -0.11 (-0.35 to 0.14)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Wt: $-0.18$ (1.43) vs. 0.05 (1.33); -0.22 ( $-0.48$ to 0.04) BMI: $-0.04$ (1.43) vs. 0.15 (1.37); $-0.22$ ( $-0.46$ to 0.03) Head circumference: $-1.07$ (1.13) vs. $-0.86$ (1.26) ; $-0.18$ ( $-0.39$ to 0.03) Functional outcomes Median (25th-75th Centile) Health utility index: 1 (1 to 1) vs. 1 (1 to 1) ; $-0.00$ ( $-0.03$ to 0.02) Child Health questionnaire summary scores Median (25th- 75th Centile) Physical: 361 (326 to 379) vs. 355 (324 to 375) ; $-2.2$ ( $-12.8$ to 8.4) Psychosocial: 501 (412 to 542) vs. 89 (423 to 544); $-8.1$ ( $-24.7$ to 8.4 Other neurosensory outcomes No./Total No. (%) Blindness: 1/269 (0.4) vs.0/285 Deafness: 6/280 (2) vs. 7/304 (2); 0.93 (0.31 to 2.81)	
				Neurosensory disability None: 174/257 (68) vs. 171/254 (67) Mild: 50/257 (19) vs. 56/254 (22)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Moderate: 24/257 (9) vs. 20/254 (8)	
				Severe: 9/257 (4) vs. 7/254 (3)	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Crowther,C.A., Hiller,J.E.,		Magnesium	Recruitment and randomisation	Death of the baby (n/total (%))	Appropriate
Doyle,L.W., Haslam,R.R., Australasian Collaborative		sulphate (n = 535 women;	This study was conducted in 16	<u>a. Total</u>	randomisation: Yes Allocation concealment:
Trial of Magnesium Sulphate (ACTOMg SO, Effect of magnesium sulfate	Characteristics		tertiary hospitals (13 Australia; 3 New Zealand). Randomisation was stratified by centre and by order of	Magnesium sulphate: 87/629 (13.8)	Yes Groups comparable at baseline: Generally yes,
given for neuroprotection before preterm birth: a	<u>Gestational age at trial</u> entry/weeks (median	Placebo (n = 527 women;		Placebo: 107/626 (17.1)	although the authors report that there was an imbalance
randomized controlled trial, JAMA, 290, 2669-2676,		n = 629 babies; n = 626 live babies)	was generated by computer in varying block sizes and managed	RR 0.83 (95% CI 0.64 to 1.09); p = 0.19	patient status, and either
2003	Magnesium sulphate: $27^{+3}$ ( $25^{+5}$ to $28^{+5}$ )	[Note: There were		[Note: the RR was similar in	antepartum haemorrhage or preterm prelabour rupture of
Ref Id		7 babies who were part of	placed on masked treatment packs which were sent to each centre	{0.60 to 1.12}) and in multiple	membranes. They report that these things were only
222551		gestations and	consent, they were enrolled by	pregnancies (RR 0.80 {0.46 to 1.39})]	associated with mortality (not with cerebral palsy) and
Country/ies where the study was carried out	(16.4)	had already died prior to	taking the next treatment pack (they both looked identical) from	b. Stillbirth following	therefore they performed an adjusted analysis.
Australia and New Zealand	Placebo: 89 (16.9)	randomisation (they are not	the drug supplies at the centre. When it was opened, this was	randomisation	Groups received same care (apart from
Study type	<u>Reason for preterm birth</u> (n (%))	included in the analysis)]		Magnesium sulphate: 9/629 (1.4)	intervention): Yes Blinding of participants:
Randomised controlled trial	a. Preterm labour Magnesium sulphate: 335		whether the infusion was ever started.	Placebo: 11/626 (1.8) RR 0.81 (95% Cl 0.34 to 1.95)	Yes Blinding of staff providing care: Yes
Aim of the study	(62.6) Placebo: 330 (62.6)		Care protocol	c. Death after birth before	Blinding of outcome assessors: Yes
To evaluate the effectiveness of magnesium sulphate in preventing	b. Pre-eclampsia/eclampsia Magnesium sulphate: 86 (16.1)		- Magnesium sulphate Women were given a loading infusion of 8 ml (4g) of magnesium suphate for 20 minutes, followed	<u>discharge*</u> Magnesium sulphate: 76/629 (12.1)	<b>Missing data/loss to</b> <b>follow-up:</b> 9 babies from the magnesium sulphate arm and 5 from the placebo
paediatric mortality and	Placebo: 75 (14.2)		by a maintenance infusion of 2	- ≤ 28 days: 61	arm did not have the two

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
cerebral palsy when given to women at risk of preterm	c. Chorioamnionitis			- > 28 days: 15 Placebo: 92/626 (14.7)	year follow-up; up to 10% babies had missing
birth before 30 weeks	Magnesium sulphate: 73		Of the 535 women assigned to	- ≤ 28 days: 75	data for other outcomes at
gestation.	(13.6) Placebo: 72 (13.7)		magnesium sulphate, 13 women did not receive the intervention at	- > 28 days: 17	2-year follow-up in addition to those who died (e.g. 8.4%)
			all. Of the 522 women in whom the	d. Death after discharge, up to a	of babies who survived do
Study dates	d. Antepartum haemorrhage		loading dose was started, 484	corrected age of 2 years	not have data for
February 1996 to	Magnesium sulphate: 70		completed it. 451 started the	Magnasium sulphatas 2/020	developmental delay)
September 2000	(13.1) Placebo: 81 (15.4)		maintenance dose and 70 completed the maintenance dose.	Magnesium sulphate: 2/629 (0.3)	Precise definition of outcomes: Yes
(recruitment)				Placebo: 4/626 (0.6)	Valid and reliable method
	e. Severe intrauterine		received was 13 ml (IQR 9 - 28).		of outcome assessment:
Source of funding	growth restriction (IUGR)		Disasta	* The authors appear to have	Yes
Source of fullding	Magnesium sulphate: 50 (9.3)		- Placebo Women were given a loading	excluded the stillbirths from the denominators; therefore, their	Intention-to-treat analysis performed: Yes
5 year grant from the	Placebo: 43 (8.2)		infusion of 8 ml of isotonic 0.9%	calculated percentages are	
National Health and Medical			sodium chloride solution, followed	12.3% and 15.0%	Indirectness: 16% of the
Research Council Australia, the Channel 7 Research			by a maintenance infusion of 2		magnesium sulphate arm
	membranes (PROM) Magnesium sulphate: 43		ml/hour until birth (if birth occurred within 24 hours) or up to 24 hours.	Cerebral palsy at 2 years	and 17% of the placebo arm had multiple pregnancy
Australia Inc, and the Queen	(8.0)		Of the 527 women assigned	among those babies who	
Victoria Hospital Research	Placebo: 54 (10.2)		to placebo, 18 women did not	were alive and available for	
Foundation, Adelaide. It was also supported by the	g. Fetal distress		receive it at all. Of the 509 women in whom the loading dose was	follow-up† (n/total (%))	Other information
	Magnesium sulphate: 20			a. Any cerebral palsy	Time from randomisation
and Gynaecology at the	(3.7)		started the maintenance dose and	<u></u>	to birth/hours (median
University of Adelaide.	Placebo: 13 (2.5)			Magnesium sulphate: 36/533	<u>(IQR))</u>
	h. Other		dose. The median volume of placebo received was 13 ml (IQR	(6.8) Placebo: 42/514 (8.2)	Magnesium sulphate: 3.7
	Magnesium sulphate: 29		10 - 29)	Fiacebo: 42/314 (8.2)	(1.4 to 13.8)
	(5.4)		,	<u>b. Mild cerebral palsy</u>	Placebo: 3.1 (1.3 to 12.9)
	Placebo: 30 (5.7)		Magnesium sulphate was not		Contational are at
	Previous obstetric history		given for tocolysis. 4 (0.7%) women from the magnesium	Magnesium sulphate: 21/533 (3.9)	<u>Gestational age at</u> birth/weeks (median (IQR))
	(n (%))			Placebo: 21/513 (4.1)	
			women from the placebo group		Magnesium sulphate: 27 <sup>+5</sup>
	a. Very preterm birth (< 32			RR 0.96 (95% CI 0.53 to 1.74)	(26 to 29) Placebo: 27 <sup>+3</sup> (25 <sup>+6</sup> to 29)
	weeks)		reasons after enrollment. Women's		Flacebo. 27 ° (25° lo 29)

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Magnesium sulphate: 71		pulse rate, blood pressure and	c. Moderate cerebral palsy	
	(27.7)		respiratory rate were monitored		
	Placebo: 75 (26.0)		throughout the infusion and any	Magnesium sulphate: 12/533	
			adverse effects were noted. The	(2.3)	
	b. Preterm birth at 32-36		loading or maintenance infusions	Placebo: 15/513 (2.9)	
	weeks		were stopped if respiratory rate		
	Magnesium sulphate: 57		decreased more than 4/minute or	RR 0.77 (95% CI 0.36 to 1.62)	
	(22.3)		the diastolic BP dropped more		
	Placebo: 58 (20.1)		than 15 mmHg below baseline.	d. Severe cerebral palsy	
			Infusion could be restarted if either		
	c. Perinatal death at or after 20 weeks		of these returned to baseline	Magnesium sulphate: 3/533	
	Magnesium sulphate: 47		levels. Attending clinicians were told not to measure magnesium	(0.6) Placebo: 6/513 (1.2)	
	(18.4)		levels, in order to maintain	Flacebo. 0/515 (1.2)	
	Placebo: 58 (20.1)		blinding.	RR 0.48 (95% CI 0.12 to 1.92)	
			Sintang.		
	Maternal age/years (mean		Follow-up	† Note: Despite definitively	
	<u>± SD)</u>		<u> </u>	reporting below the table that	
			All babies who survived had a	they are using the number of	
	Magnesium sulphate: 28.4		cranial ultrasound performed	babies alive at randomisation as	
	± 5.8		within the first 7 days of life (to	the denominator, in fact the	
	Placebo: 28.7 ± 5.8		detect intraventricular	reported % match the use of a	
			haemorrhage) and then had a later	denominator of those	
	Nulliparous (n (%))		ultrasound at at least 4 weeks of	randomised minus those who	
	Magnacium aulphoto: 270		age and as close to discharge as	died and those who were lost to	
	Magnesium sulphate: 279 (52.1)		possible to identify periventricular leukomalacia. Women and their	follow-up. 1 further baby seems to have missing data on severity,	
	Placebo: 239 (45.4)		babies were followed up until the	judging by the reported	
			child was 2 years (corrected for	denominator in table 5 of the	
	Blood pressure/mmHg		prematurity). Surviving babies	paper	
	(median (IQR))		were assessed by a development	· ·	
			paediatrician and psychologist at 2		
	a. Systolic		years of age (both were blinded).	Gross motor dysfunction	
	Magnesium sulphate: 114			among those alive and	
	(110 - 124)		Statistical analysis	available for follow-up (n/total	
	Placebo: 115 (110 - 120)			<u>(%))</u>	
	h Diastalia		Sample size calculation was based		
	b. Diastolic		on detecting a 50% reduction in	<u>a. Minimal</u>	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Magnesium sulphate: 70		risk of cerebral palsy in survivors		
	(60 - 75)		at 2 years (from 10% to 5%) with	Magnesium sulphate: 84/529	
	Placebo: 70 (60 - 75)		80% probability and an alpha of	(15.9)	
			0.05. A sample size of 848 babies	Placebo: 73/513 (14.2)	
	54% of the magnesium		was needed but this was adjusted		
	sulphate group and 55% of		upwards to 1250 to account for	RR 1.12 (95% CI 0.82 to 1.51)	
	the placebo group gave		predicted mortality of 20% and the		
	birth by caesarean section.		effect of multiple births.	<u>b. Substantial</u>	
			Data were reviewed twice by an	Magnesium sulphate: 18/529	
	Inclusion criteria		independent data monitoring	(3.4)	
			committee.	Placebo: 34/513 (6.6)	
	Singleton, twin, triplet or				
	quadruplet pregnancy		Analysis was done intention-to- treat. Variance estimation was	RR 0.51 (95% CI 0.29 to 0.91)	
	Less than 30 weeks		used to account for clustering of		
	gestation (judged by		babies within mothers.	<b>Bayley Scales of Infant</b>	
	menstrual history and early			Development (mean ± SD)	
	ultrasound)		Outcomes reported		
	Disthe interpreted an even estad			a. Psychomotor Development	
	Birth planned or expected within 24 hours		- <b>Death:</b> All deaths were reviewed	Index	
	within 24 hours		by an independent (blinded) committee to determine main	Magnesium sulphate: 88.9 ±	
			cause of death	18.0 (n = 482)	
	Exclusion criteria			Placebo: $90.2 \pm 19.0 (n = 461)$	
			- Cerebral palsy: Criteria included		
	Second stage of labour		abnormalities of tone and loss of	b. Mental Development Index	
			motor function; assessed at a		
	Received magnesium		corrected age of 2 years	Magnesium sulphate: 89.0 ±	
	sulphate in current			18.7 (n = 483)	
	pregnancy		<ul> <li>Composite of death and cerebral palsy</li> </ul>	Placebo: 90.4 ± 18.6 (n = 466)	
	Contraindications to				
	magnesium sulphate		- Gross motor function:	Delayed development (n/total	
	(respiratory rate <		Assessed at corrected age of 2	(%))	
	16/minute, absent patellar		years. Children were classed as		
	reflexes, urine output < 100		walking normally, walking with	<u>a. Mild</u>	
	ml in previous 4 hours,		minimal limitations such as toe		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	renal failure, or		walking or asymmetrical gait, or	Magnesium sulphate: 97/494	
	hypocalcemia)		not walking independently. The	(19.6)	
			latter group were classed as having substantial gross motor	Placebo: 103/478 (21.5)	
			dysfunction.	RR 0.91 (95% CI 0.71 to 1.18)	
			- Bayley Scales of Infant	<u>b. Moderate</u>	
			Development: Psychomotor		
			Developmental Index (PDI) and	Magnesium sulphate: 47/494	
				(9.5)	
			were used. Those unable to complete the scales due to severe	Placebo: 34/478 (7.1)	
				RR 1.34 (95% CI 0.85 to 2.12)	
			a score of 49 (a score which		
			indicates severe disability).	c. Severe	
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
			- Developmental delay: defined	Magnesium sulphate: 32/494	
			as mild (Mental Development	(6.5)	
			Index - 2 SDs to less than - 1 SD),	Placebo: 33/478 (6.9)	
			moderate (Mental Development		
			Index - 3 SDs to - 2 SDs) or	RR 0.94 (95% CI 0.57 to 1.55)	
			severe (Mental Development Index < 3 SDs) based on MDI scores		
			< 3 SDS) based on MDI scores	Neurosensory disability	
			- Neurosensory disability	(composite outcome) among	
			(composite): Assessed at a	those alive and available for	
			corrected age of 2 years. Severe	follow-up (n/total (%))	
			neurosensory disability comprised		
			any of severe cerebral palsy	a. Mild	
			(permanently non-ambulant),	Magnesium sulphate: 104/504	
				(20.6)	
			Development Index < 3 SDs) and	Placebo: 109/483 (22.6)	
			blindness. Moderate disability comprised any of moderate	RR 0.91 (95% CI 0.72 to 1.16)	
			cerebral palsy (non-ambulant at 2		
			years but likely to walk), moderate	b. Moderate	
			development delay (Mental	Magnesium sulphate: 54/504	
			Development Index - 3 SDs to - 2	(10.7)	

Study details Pa	articipants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			<ul> <li>was either mild cerebral palsy (walking at 2 years) or mild developmental delay (Mental Development Index - 2 SDs to less than - 1 SD).</li> <li>Vision and hearing: Children were considered blind if their vision in both eyes was worse than 6/60. They were considered deaf if they required hearing aids.</li> <li>Intraventricular haemorrhage: Assessed using a cranial ultrasound scan during first 7 days of life</li> <li>Periventricular leukomalacia: Assessed using a cranial ultrasound scan after 4 weeks of age</li> <li>Maternal adverse effects: Respiratory rate, blood pressure drop and PPH (&gt; 600 ml and &gt; 1000 ml) are reported, as well as clinical and self-assessed more minor effects</li> </ul>	Magnesium sulphate: 35/504 (6.9) Placebo: 34/483 (7.0)	

Magnesium sulphate: 123/620 (19.8) Placebo: 149/621 (24.0)         INote: Despite reporting that they are using those alive at randomisation as the sample, their calculated percentages make it clear that they excluded those lost to follow-up from the denominator]         b. Death or substantial motor dysfunction (n/total (%))         Magnesium sulphate: 105/616 (17.0)         Placebo: 141/620 (22.7)         RR 0.75 (95% CI 0.59 to 0.96)         Intraventricular haemorrhage (n/total (%))         a. Any intraventricular haemorrhage         Magnesium sulphate: 165/596 (27.7)         Placebo: 148/586 (25.3)         RR 1.10 (95% CI 0.90 to 1.33)         b. Grade III or IV intraventricular haemorrhage	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				(8.2) Placebo: 50/586 (8.5) RR 0.96 (95% Cl 0.65 to 1.43)	
				Periventricular leukomalacia (n/total (%))	
				Magnesium sulphate: 22/596 (3.7) Placebo: 21/586 (3.6) RR 1.03 (95% CI 0.57 to 1.87)	
				<u>Maternal outcomes</u> (n/total (%))	
				a. <u>Respiratory rate &lt; 16/minute</u> Magnesium sulphate: 34/535	
				(6.4) Placebo: 28/527 (5.3) RR 1.20 (95% Cl 0.74 to 1.94)	
				<u>b. Diastolic blood pressure</u> decrease of more than 15 mmHg	
				Magnesium sulphate: 77/535 (14.4) Placebo: 52/527 (9.9)	
				RR 1.46 (95% CI 1.05 to 2.03) <u>c. Postpartum haemorrhage &gt;</u>	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				<u>600 ml</u>	
				Magnesium sulphate: 86/535 (16.1) Placebo: 99/527 (18.8)	
				RR 0.86 (95% CI 0.66 to 1.11)	
				<u>d. Major postpartum</u> <u>haemorrhage (&gt; 1000 ml)</u>	
				Magnesium sulphate: 26/535 (4.9) Placebo: 25/527 (4.7)	
				RR 1.02 (95% CI 0.60 to 1.75)	
				Clinical and self-assessed adverse effects (n/total (%))	
				<u>a. Death</u>	
				Magnesium sulphate: 0/535 (0) Placebo: 0/527 (0)	
				b. Cardiac or respiratory arrest	
				Magnesium sulphate: 0/535 (0) Placebo: 0/527 (0)	
				<u>c. Infusion stopped due to</u> adverse effects	
				Magnesium sulphate: 78/535 (14.6) Placebo: 28/527 (5.3)	
				RR 2.74 (95% CI 1.81 to 4.15)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				d. Any adverse effects	
				Magnesium sulphate: 476/535 (89.0) Placebo: 199/527 (37.8)	
				RR 2.36 (95% CI 2.10 to 2.64)	
				<u>e. Warmth over body</u>	
				Magnesium sulphate: 393/535 (73.5) Placebo: 88/527 (16.7)	
				RR 4.40 (95% CI 3.61 to 5.36)	
				f. Any discomfort with infusion	
				Magnesium sulphate: 355/535 (66.4) Placebo: 39/527 (7.4)	
				RR 8.97 (95% CI 6.59 to 12.2)	
				<u>g. Mouth dryness</u>	
				Magnesium sulphate: 212/535 (39.6) Placebo: 99/527 (18.8)	
				RR 2.11 (95% CI 1.72 to 2.59)	
				<u>h. Nausea</u>	
				Magnesium sulphate: 137/535 (25.6) Placebo: 55/527 (10.4)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				RR 2.45 (95% CI 1.84 to 3.28) <u>i. Sleepiness</u> Magnesium sulphate: 119/535 (22.2) Placebo: 47/527 (8.9) RR 2.49 (95% CI 1.82 to 3.42) <u>j. Sweating</u> Magnesium sulphate: 104/535 (19.4) Placebo: 29/527 (5.5)	
				RR 3.53 (95% CI 2.38 to 5.24) <u>k. Dizziness</u> Magnesium sulphate: 83/535 (15.5) Placebo: 37/527 (7.0) RR 2.21 (95% CI 1.53 to 3.19) <u>I. Blurred vision</u>	
				Magnesium sulphate: 38/535 (7.1) Placebo: 16/527 (3.0) RR 2.34 (95% CI 1.32 to 4.14) <u>m. Tachycardia (pulse rate of &gt;</u> <u>160 bpm or increase of</u> <u>20/minute from baseline)</u>	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Magnesium sulphate: 56/535 (10.5) Placebo: 36/527 (6.8) RR 1.53 (95% CI 1.03 to 2.29) <u>n. Respiratory depression (decrease of more than 4/minute from baseline)</u> Magnesium sulphate: 54/535 (10.1) Placebo: 51/527 (9.7) RR 1.04 (95% CI 0.73 to 1.50)	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Marret,S., Marpeau,L., Zupan-Simunek,V.,	N = 573 women randomised	Magnesium sulphate	Recruitment and randomisation	<u>Death of baby (n/total (%))</u>	Appropriate randomisation: Yes
Eurin,D., Leveque,C., Hellot,M.F., Benichou,J.,	(Note: 573 women were	(n = 286 women;	18 tertiary hospitals with neonatal intensive care units (NICUs)	<u>a. In utero</u>	Allocation concealment: Yes
PREMAG trial group., Magnesium sulphate given	initially randomised, but 2 of the participating centres			Magnesium sulphate: 2/352	Groups comparable at baseline: Yes
before very-preterm birth to	enrolled 0 women and 3		stratified by study centre,	(0.6) Placebo: 3/336 (0.9)	Groups received same
protect infant brain: the randomised controlled	enrolled less than 5 women. According to a predefined		singleton/multiple pregnancy, and gestational age (< 27, 27-29, 30-	b. Postnatal (before discharge)	care (apart from intervention): Yes
PREMAG trial*, BJOG: An	rule, the women were not		32 weeks). The randomisation		Blinding of participants:
International Journal of Obstetrics and	retained, leaving 564 women in the analysis)	[Note: At the time		Magnesium sulphate: 31/352 (8.8)	Yes Blinding of staff providing
Gynaecology, 114, 310-318,		of randomisation,	Once allocated, the next pack from		care: No
2007	Characteristics	7 women with twin pregnancies had	the participating centres was used. Treatment packs were prepared by	<u>c. Total</u>	Blinding of outcome assessors: Assessment of
Ref Id		one baby that had	the coordinating centre and sent		cranial ultrasounds was
222947	<u>Gestational age at</u> entry/weeks (median	not survived]	through to the participating centres. The time of assignment	Magnesium sulphate: 33/352 (9.4)	done by a blinded neonatologist or radiologist
	(range))		by phone was considered to be the		Missing data/loss to
			point of randomisation, regardless		follow-up: No

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Country/ies where the	Magnesium sulphate: 30		of whether the infusion was later	Adjusted OR 0.79 (95% CI 0.44	Precise definition of
study was carried out	(24 - 32 <sup>+6</sup> )		started.	to 1.44)	outcomes: Yes, apart from
study was carried out	Placebo: 30 (23 <sup>+4</sup> - 32 <sup>+6</sup> )		Started.		the fact that no definition of
France			The women were blinded to what	[Note: 12 in the magnesium	hypotension is provided
	Singleton pregnancy (n		treatment they received (it is	sulphate arm and 15 in the	Valid and reliable method
Study type	<u>(%))</u>		reported that the packs looked	placebo arm are reported as	of outcome assessment:
			similar); however, the	being "neurological"]	Yes
Randomised controlled trial	Magnesium sulphate: 222		anaesthetists and obstetricians		Intention-to-treat analysis
	(77.6)		providing care were not. The		performed: Yes
	Placebo: 220 (79.1)		authors report that this was a) so	Severe white matter injury	
Aim of the study			that they could take immediate	(n/total (%))	Recruitment was stopped
	Maternal age/years (mean		action against the adverse effects		before the study reached its
To evaluate if magnesium	<u>± SD)</u>		of magnesium sulphate and b)	Magnesium sulphate: 34/341	sample size due to lack of
sulphate given to women at			because the treatment is	(10.0)	motivation of some
risk of preterm birth would	Magnesium sulphate: 29.3		associated with flushes and so	Placebo: 38/324 (11.7)	investigators and therefore a
provide neuroprotection to	± 5.3		blinding would not be feasible.		dramatically decreased rate
preterm babies and would	Placebo: 29.5 ± 5.1			Adjusted OR 0.78 (95% CI 0.47	of enrolment.
prevent neonatal mortality and severe white-matter			Care protocol	to 1.31)	
injury	Reasons for preterm birth				Indirectness: 64 (22.4%)
n iju y	<u>(n (%))</u>		- Magnesium sulphate	[Note: 23 babies died too early	women from the magnesium
	a Dratarra labaur		Women received a single 40 ml		sulphate group and 58
Study dates	a. Preterm labour		infusion of 0.1 g/ml magnesium sulphate solution over 30 minutes	of cranial ultrasound]	(20.9%) women from the
olddy dales	Magnesium sulphate: 236		(therefore corresponding to 4		placebo group had a
July 1997 to July 2003	(84.0) Placebo: 242 (88.3)		grams or 16 mmol of magnesium	Intracranial haemorrhage	multiple pregnancy
	Flaceb0. 242 (00.3)		sulphate). Of the 286 women	(n/total (%))	
	b. Prelabour preterm		assigned to this arm, 266 started		Other information
Source of funding	rupture of membranes		the loading dose and 259	a. Intraparenchymal	
C C	(PPROM)		completed it. 20 women did not	haemorrhage	Interval from infusion to
Funded by a 3-year grant	Magnesiúm sulphate: 187		receive the allocated intervention.	<u>Indemontage</u>	birth/minutes (median
from the French Department	(53.9)			Magnesium sulphate: 8/341	(range))
of Health and a grant from	Placebo: 156 (46.6)		- Placebo	(2.4)	
Rouen University Hospital			Women received a single 40 ml	Placebo: 11/324 (3.4)	Magnesium sulphate: 98 (5
	c. Chorioamnionitis*		infusion of isotonic 0.9% saline		to 1505 [25 hours 5
	Magnesium sulphate: 27		over 30 minutes. Of the 278	RR 0.42 (95% CI 0.14 to 1.21)	minutes])
	(9.5)		women assigned to this arm, 257		Placebo: 90 (8 to 3690 [61
	Placebo: 34 (12.6)		started the loading dose and 249	b. Nonparenchymal	hours 30 minutes])
			completed it. 21 women did not	haemorrhage	[p = 0.21]

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	d. Antepartum haemorrhage		receive the allocated intervention.		
	(APH)			Magnesium sulphate: 63/341	Gestational age at
	Magnesium sulphate: 54		Apart from the intervention,	(18.5)	birth/weeks (median
	(19.0)		women were cared for according	Placebo: 71/324 (21.9)	(range))
	Placebo: 54 (20.0)		to standard clinical practice. Pulse		
			rate, blood pressure, respiratory	RR 0.75 (95% CI 0.50 to 1.11)	Magnesium sulphate: 30 <sup>+1</sup>
	e. Other**		rate, tendon reflexes and any		(24 <sup>+1</sup> to 32 <sup>+6</sup> )
	Magnesium sulphate: 33		maternal adverse effects were		Placebo: 30 <sup>+1</sup> (23 <sup>+4</sup> to 32 <sup>+6</sup> )
	(9.8)		recorded throughout the infusion. It		[p = 0.87]
	Placebo: 43 (13.3)		was stopped at the attending	<u>(n/total (%))</u>	
			anaesthetist's discretion. Fetal	D ()	
	The star and as a line of the		heart rate was monitored	<u>a. Death</u>	
	Treatment received (n		throughout labour. No women		
	<u>(%))</u>		received magnesium sulphate for clinical reasons after enrolment.	Magnesium sulphate: 0/286 (0)	
	a. Tocolysis		cinical reasons alter enforment.	Placebo: 1/278 (0.4)	
	Magnesium sulphate: 190		Follow-up	[Note: the woman had placenta	
	(67.6)		<u>Follow-up</u>	accreta and died following a	
	Placebo: 192 (70.8)		Women and babies were followed	major postpartum haemorrhage]	
			up until discharge. Cranial		
	b. Antibiotics		ultrasounds were planned within	<u>b. Cardiac arrest</u>	
	Magnesium sulphate: 219		the first week after birth, between	<u></u>	
	(77.1)		dasy 15 and 21, and after 6	Magnesium sulphate: 0/286 (0)	
	Placebo: 207 (75.3)		weeks. An additional scan was	Placebo: 0/278 (0)	
			done before discharge from NICU		
	c. Corticosteroids		for the most preterm babies.	c. Prolonged mechanical	
	Magnesium sulphate: 270			ventilation	
	(95.1)		[Note: further follow-up is reported		
	Placebo: 261 (94.6)		in another included study: Marret	Magnesium sulphate: 0/286 (0)	
			et al. (2008)]	Placebo: 0/278 (0)	
	*Defined as the presence of				
	at least 2 of: pyrexia > 38		Statistical analysis	d. Severe postpartum	
	degrees, fetal tachycardia,			haemorrhage	
	meconium stained amniotic		The sample size was targeted at		
	fluid, bacteria in amniotic			Magnesium sulphate: 2/286	
	fluid, C-reactive protein		50% reduction of the risk of severe		
	level > 40 mg/l, or			Placebo: 1/278 (0.4)	
	neutrophil count > 20 g/l		with 80% power at the two-sided		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	within the last 48 hours		0.05 level. Given that twins and	e. Nausea and vomiting	
	**Uterine malformation,		triplets were expected, 906 women		
	polyhydramnios, cervical		had to be recruited.	Magnesium sulphate: 9/286	
	incompetency,			(3.1)	
	alloimmunisation,			Placebo: 2/278 (0.7)	
	abdominal trauma,		however a steering committee		
	diabetes, pyelonephritis, or		oversaw the trial and were	f. Tendon reflex abolition	
	cholestasis		informed of major complications.		
			They were consulted when	Magnesium sulphate: 2/286	
			another trial suggesting increased	(0.7)	
	116 (40.6%) of women in		mortality was published; however,	Placebo: 1/278 (0.4)	
	the magnesium sulphate		they authorised the trial to		
	group and 96 (34.7%) of		continue.	<u>g. Hypotension</u>	
	women in the placebo				
	group had a caesarean		Analysis was done intention-to-	Magnesium sulphate: 3/286	
	section ( $p = 0.15$ )		treat. Analysis accounted for	(1.0)	
			correlation of outcomes among	Placebo: 0/278 (0)	
	Inclusion criteria		twins or triplets through a	h Curariantian	
	inclusion criteria		generalised estimating equation approach within logistic	<u>h. Curarisation</u>	
	Singleton, twin, or triplet		regression. Comparisons of	Magnesium sulphate: 1/286	
	pregnancy		primary outcomes and the	(0.3)	
	prognancy		secondary ultrasound findings	Placebo: 0/278 (0)	
	Under 33 weeks gestational		were adjusted for gestational age,		
	age (based on early		singleton/multiple, and birthweight.	<u>i. Headache</u>	
	ultrasound and menstrual		No further significant change was		
	history)		obtained with further adjustment	Magnesium sulphate: 4/286	
	5,		for Apgar score (found to be	(1.4)	
	Birth expected or planned		predictive of primary outcomes),	Placebo: 1/278 (0.4)	
	within 24 hours		and the prolonged prelabour		
			rupture of membranes and	<u>j. Flushes</u>	
	Having not received		infection (that occurred more often	[	
	betamimetics,		in magnesium sulphate group).	Magnesium sulphate: 23/286	
	aminoglycosides or steroids		Odds ratios and 95% CI were	(8.0)	
	for at least 1 hour		reported, with p < 0.05 considered	Placebo: 0/278 (0)	
			significant.		
	Signed written informed				
	consent		Outcomes reported		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			- Perinatal/neonatal death: Up to		
	Exclusion criteria		discharge		
	Baby with severe		- Severe white matter injury		
	malformations or		(WMI): Judged on cranial		
	chromosomal abnormalities		ultrasound scan by a blinded		
			senior neonatologist or radiologist.		
	Hypotension		Severe WMI was considered		
			present when at least one of the		
	Cardiac rhythm		three following parenchymal		
	abnormalities		abnormalities was detected: cystic		
	Hydroelectrolyte		periventricular leucomalacia, periventricular parenchymal		
	abnormalities		haemorrhagic involvement (a large		
	abriormantes		unilateral parenchymal		
	Renal insufficiency		hyperdensity), or a large single		
			unilateral porencephalic cyst		
	Ingestion of calcium		caused by ischaemic-		
	channel blockers, digitalins		haemorrhagic infarction.		
	or indomethacin during		5		
	previous 24 hours		- Intracranial haemorrhage:		
			incidences of intraparenchymal		
	Persistent signs of		and nonparenchymal		
	cardiovascular toxicity or		haemorrhages are reported, as		
	tachycardia for over an hour		judged on cranial ultrasound scans		
	after cessation of tocolytics		Motowall advance		
	Myasthenia		- Maternal adverse effects:		
	wyasulella		incidence of major effects (death, cardiac arrest and prolonged		
	Indication for emergency		mechanical ventilation) as well as		
	caesarean section		more moderate/minor adverse		
			effects are reported.		
	Pregnancy associated				
	vascular disease (i.e. pre-				
	eclampsia, growth				
	restriction, haemolysis,				
	elevated liver-function test				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	results, low-platelet syndrome, retroplacental				
	haematoma)				
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Marret,S., Marpeau,L.,	N = 616	Magnesium	For further details of the original	All outcomes below are	Appropriate
Benichou, J., Benefit of		sulphate	trial methodology (including	assessed at 2 years of age.	randomisation: Yes (as
magnesium sulfate given	[Note: The original trial	(n = 352 initially	treatment protocols), please see	Odds ratios were adjusted for	reported in Marret et al.,
before very preterm birth to	randomised 573 women,	randomised)	evidence table for the original trial,	clustering within mother,	2007)
protect infant brain,	carrying 688 live babies at	,	Marret et al. (2007).	gestational age (< 27, 27-29,	Allocation concealment:
Pediatrics, 121, 225-226,	the point of randomisation.	Placebo		and 29-32 weeks),	Yes (as reported in Marret
2008		(n = 336 initially	Follow-up procedures	singleton/multiple, and birth	et al., 2007)
	the 616 survivors, 472	randomised))		weight.	Groups comparable at
Ref Id	(76.6%) were followed-up	,,	Paediatricians (blinded to	5	baseline: The groups in the
	with a clinical examination,		treatment allocation) assessed	Paediatric mortality (n/total	original trial were
236127	134 were assessed via a		,	(%))	comparable (as reported in
	telephone interview and 10		examination was not possible, they		Marret et al., 2007);
Country/ies where the	were lost to follow-up]			Magnesium sulphate: 34/352	however, specific
study was carried out			interview with the parents (134	(9.7)	characteristics of those who
			children were assessed in this	Placebo: 38/336 (11.3)	were followed-up are not
France	Characteristics		manner). The authors report that		reported
			this approach has been shown to	Adjusted OR 0.74 (95% CI 0.42	Groups received same
Study type	See evidence table for		be reliable in 2 year olds.	to 1.32)	care (apart from
	Marret et al. (2007) for			[p = 0.31]	intervention): Yes (as
Follow-up to a randomised	details of the characteristics		Statistical analysis		reported in Marret et al.,
controlled trial (Marret et al.,	of the original study			Gross motor dysfunction	2007)
2007)	population. Specific		Statistical analysis was done on an	among surviving babies	Blinding of participants:
	characteristics of those		intention-to-treat basis.	available for follow-up (n/total	Yes (as reported in Marret
	followed-up are not		Comparisons between groups	(%))	et al., 2007)
Aim of the study	reported.		accounted for correlation between		Blinding of staff providing
			outcomes for twins and triplets	Magnesium sulphate: 55/313	care: No (as reported in
Not stated in this paper, but the			born to the same mother via a	(17.6)	Marret et al., 2007)
aim of the original trial was stated	Inclusion criteria		generalised estimating equation	Placebo: 64/293 (21.8)	Blinding of outcome
as to evaluate if magnesium			approach within logistic		assessors: Yes -
sulphate given to women at risk of	See evidence table for		regression, and were further	Adjusted OR 0.65 (95% CI 0.41	paediatricians were blinded
preterm birth would provide	Marret et al. (2007)		adjusted for gestational age,	to 1.02)	Missing data/loss to
neuroprotection and prevent			singleton/multiple pregnancy and	[p = 0.06]	follow-up: Yes - of the 616
			birth weight.		survivors, 10 babies (1.6%

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
neonatal mortality and white matter injury Study dates Recruitment for the original trial was between 1997 and 2004. Follow-up was at 2 years. Source of funding Funded by a 3-year grant from the French Department of Health and a grant from Rouen University Hospital	Exclusion criteria See evidence table for Marret et al. (2007)		Outcomes reported         - Paediatric mortality: This includes both the deaths up to discharge reported in the original trial paper, and those occuring up to 2 years of age.         - Gross motor dysfunction: Paediatricians evaluated motor functions by using a questionnaire with development items extracted from the Amiel-Tison and Denver scales         - Cerebral palsy: Paediatricians assessed this outcome using the European Cerebral Palsy Network definition         - Cognitive dysfunction: Paediatricians evaluated cognitive functions by using a questionnaire with development items extracted from the Amiel-Tison and Denver scales         - Cognitive dysfunction: Paediatricians evaluated cognitive functions by using a questionnaire with development items extracted from the Amiel-Tison and Denver scales         - Composite outcomes: Combinations of the above outcomes are also reported	Cerebral palsy among surviving babies avaiable for follow-up (n/total (%))Magnesium sulphate: 22/313 (7.0)Placebo: 30/293 (10.2)Adjusted OR 0.63 (95% Cl 0.35 to 1.15)[p = 0.13]Cognitive dysfunction among surviving babies available for follow-up (n/total (%))Magnesium sulphate: 57/313 (18.2)Placebo: 62/293 (21.2)Adjusted OR 0.82 (95% Cl 0.52 to 1.28)[p = 0.38]Composite outcomes (denominators are those randomised - those lost to follow-up) (n/total (%))a. Combined death or gross motor dysfunctionMagnesium sulphate: 89/347 (25.6)Placebo: 102/331 (30.8)	of survivors; 1.5% of those originally randomised) were lost to follow-up before 2 years (5 from each arm). Precise definition of outcomes: Yes Valid and reliable method of outcome assessment: Generally yes, although 134 (21.8%) had to be assessed by telephone. Intention-to-treat analysis performed: Yes Recruitment was stopped before the study reached its sample size due to lack of motivation of some investigators and therefore a dramatically decreased rate of enrolment (as reported in Marret et al., 2007). Indirectness: In the original trial, 64 (22.4%) women from the magnesium sulphate group and 58 (20.9%) women from the placebo group had a multiple pregnancy. The specific characteristics of those who were followed-up are not reported. Other information

					Comments
				to 0.93) [p = 0.02] <u>b. Combined death or cerebral</u> <u>palsy</u> Magnesium sulphate: 56/347 (16.1) Placebo: 67/331 (20.2) Adjusted OR 0.65 (95% CI 0.42 to 1.03) [p = 0.07] <u>c. Combined death and motor or</u> <u>cognitive dysfunction</u> Magnesium sulphate: 121/347 (34.9) Placebo: 134/331 (40.5) Adjusted OR 0.68 (95% CI 0.47 to 0.99) [p = 0.04] <u>d. Combined death and cerebral</u> <u>palsy or cognitive dysfunction</u> Magnesium sulphate: 102/347 (29.4) Placebo: 116/331 (35.0) Adjusted OR 0.68 (95% CI 0.47	This is a follow-up of Marret et al. (2007). It is reported as a brief letter only.
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	to 1.00) [p = 0.05] Results	Limitations

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Mittendorf,R., Dambrosia,J.,	N = 149 women	Magnesium	Recruitment and randomisation	Death (n/total (%))	Appropriate
Pryde, P.G., Lee, K.S.,	randomised	sulphate			randomisation: Yes
Gianopoulos, J.G.,		(n = 29  mothers; n)	Eligible women in preterm labour	Magnesium sulphate: 2/30 (6.7)	Allocation concealment:
Besinger, R.E., Tomich, P.G.,	(However, 92 women were	= 30 babies)	were first divided according to	Saline: 1/29 (3.4)	Unclear - no particular
Association between the	taking part in the tocolytic		whether they were suitable for the		details are given; however, it
use of antenatal magnesium		Saline control	"tocolytic" half of the trial (which	[Note: it is unclear at what point	seems likely given that the
		(n = 28 mothers; n	will not be reported here) or the	these deaths occurred]	allocation is described as
adverse health outcomes in	question and will not be	= 29 babies)	"preventive" half of the trial. They		being "doubly masked"
infants, American Journal of	reported here] therefore the		were then randomised (using a	Cerebral palsy (n/total (%))	Groups comparable at
Obstetrics and Gynecology,	actual sample size of		computer program) in stratified		baseline: Yes
186, 1111-1118, 2002	interest is N = 47 (denoted		blocks of 6 on the basis of race	Magnesium sulphate: 3/30 (10)	Groups received same
	the "preventive" half of the		(black vs. other) and gestational	Saline: 0/29 (0)	care (apart from
Ref Id	study)		age (≤ 28 or > 28 weeks). Several		intervention): No reason to
000001			months after the start of the trial,	[Note: these cases of cerebral	suspect not, although very
222991	Characteristics		women were also stratified on the	palsy were a case of mild	few details given about care
Country/ice where the	Characteristics		basis of twin vs. singleton.	hemiplegia and spastic	protocol
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Multiple programov		Care musto col	quadriplegia in babies born to 2	Blinding of participants:
study was carried out	Multiple pregnancy (n/total (%))		Care protocol	women who had received 4g	Yes
USA			- Magnesium sulphate	magnesium sulphate, and a case of moderate hemiplegia in	Blinding of staff providing care: Yes
004	Magnesium sulphate: 1/29		The drug was given as a 4 gram	a baby born to a woman who	Blinding of outcome
Study type	(3)		intravenous bolus (with no further	never received it]	assessors: Those
	Saline: 1/28 (4)		infusions)		assessing cerebral palsy
Randomised controlled trial	[p > 0.99]			Intraventricular haemorrhage	were reported as being
	[[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []		- Saline solution	(IVH) (n/total (%))	blinded. For the remaining
	Maternal race: black		No details are given; however, the		outcomes it is unclear - the
Aim of the study	(n/total (%))		authors state that this half of the	Magnesium sulphate: 5/30	authors only report the trial
			trial was "doubly masked" and	(16.7)	as being "doubly masked"
To evaluate whether	Magnesium sulphate: 23/28			Saline: 5/29 (17.2)	and therefore it unclear
antenatal magnesium	(82)		similar protocol to the above		whether the outcome
sulphate prevents adverse	Saline: 23/28 (82)		•	[Note: all five cases of IVH in the	assessors were blind to
outcomes (neonatal	[p > 0.99]		Follow-up	magnesium sulphate arm were	group allocation
intraventricular				grade I; in the saline arm, 3 were	
haemorrhage,	Gestational age < 28		In the neonatal period, babies had	grade I and 2 were grade III]	follow-up: No
periventricular	weeks (n/total (%))		a minimum of 3 cranial ultrasound		Precise definition of
haemorrhage,			scans in the first, second and	Periventricular leukomalacia	outcomes: Criteria for
periventricular leucomalacia,	magnoolann oaipnato. 0/20		fourth weeks of life. Follow-up	(PVL) (n/total (%))	judging cerebral palsy are
death and cerebral palsy)	(21)		neurodevelopment examinations		not described

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study dates October 1995 to January 1997 Source of funding Funding was provided by the United Cerebral Palsy Research and Educational Foundation	Saline: 5/28 (18) [p = 0.74] Inclusion criteria Women in preterm labour (with or without premature rupture of membranes) Gestational age > 24 but < 34 completed weeks Reassuring fetal assessment Absence of clinical features suggestive of infection or pre-eclampsia Specific additional inclusion criteria for "preventive" half of trial: Active labour with dilation > 4 cm Exclusion criteria Triplet or higher order gestations		were conducted in follow-up clinic visits at 4, 8, 12 and 18 months. <b>Statistical analysis</b> The sample size calculation was based on anticipated reductions in neonatal intraventricular haemorrhage from 18.9% to 4.4% after the use of magnesium sulphate. With an alpha of 0.05 and power of 80%, a total of 140 babies were needed; for an alpha of 0.05 and power of 90%, 188 babies were needed; for an alpha of 0.01 and power of 80%, 208 babies were needed; and for an alpha of 0.01 and power of 90%, 266 babies were needed. Statistical analyses were performed using chi-squared test, Student's t-test, Mann-Whitney test, and Fisher's exact test. All tests were two-sided and significance was defined as an alpha of 0.05. <u>Outcomes reported</u> - Death - Cerebral palsy: Diagnosis was made after the last examination at the 18-month visit. It was made or verified by a developmental paediatrician blinded to allocation.	Magnesium sulphate: 1/30 (3.3) Saline: 0/29 (0) [Note: 1 baby in each arm had more than one of the above outcomes (1 baby with both PVL and cerebral palsy in the magnesium sulphate arm; 1 baby with IVH who then died in the saline arm). In total, 10/30 babies from the magnesium sulphate arm and 5/29 babies from the saline arm had at least one of the above.]	Valid and reliable method of outcome assessment: Yes Intention-to-treat analysis performed: Yes (although the details of who did not receive their allocation intervention are not reported) Indirectness: 1 woman in each arm had a multiple pregnancy (3.5% of the study population)

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			<ul> <li>Intraventricular haemorrhage: Ultrasound diagnoses (including grading) were made by consensus of two paediatric radiologists</li> <li>Periventricular leukomalacia (PVL): Ultrasound presumptive diagnosis was confirmed by MRI</li> </ul>		
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Thom,E., Varner,M.W., Spong,C.Y., Mercer,B.M., Iams,J.D., Wapner,R.J., Sorokin,Y., Alexander,J.M., Harper,M., Thorp,J.M.,Jr., Ramin,S.M., Malone,F.D., Carpenter,M., Miodovnik,M., Moawad,A., O'Sullivan,M.J., Peaceman,A.M., Hankins,G.D., Langer,O., Caritis,S.N., Roberts,J.M., Eunice Kennedy Shriver NICHD Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network., A randomized, controlled trial of magnesium sulfate for the prevention of cerebral palsy, New England Journal of Medicine, 359, 895-905, 2008 <b>Ref Id</b>	N = 2241 women randomised Characteristics <u>Weeks of gestation at</u> <u>randomisation (mean ±</u> <u>SD)</u> Magnesium sulphate: 28.3 ± 2.5 Placebo: 28.2 ± 2.4 <u>Twin gestation (n (%))</u> Magnesium sulphate: 92	n = 1188 babies) <b>Placebo</b>	<b>Recruitment and randomisation</b> This was a multicentre trial, conducted at 20 sites. Duration of gestation, as per the inclusion criteria, was determined using an algorithm that used the date of last menstrual period (where available) and the results of the earliest ultrasound exam. Women meeting the inclusion criteria were randomised using a computer- generated random sequence, with stratification acccording to: study centre, twin pregnancy, and weeks of gestation (< 28 or $\geq$ 28). <b>Care protocol</b> The use of tocolytics was prohibited. Once randomised, women received one of the following in a double-blind manner: - Magnesium sulphate It was given IV in the form of a 6	(11.3) Placebo: 128/1095 (11.7) RR 0.97 (95% CI 0.77 to 1.23); p = 0.80 <u>b. Pregnancies without major</u>	baseline: Yes Groups received same care (apart from intervention): Yes Blinding of participants: Yes Blinding of staff providing care: Yes Blinding of outcome
	Qualifying eligibility		gram loading dose infused for 20- 30 minutes, followed by a	placebo group, one of the babies was stillborn or died in the first	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Country/ies where the	aritaria for trial (n (%))		maintananaa infusion of 2 grama	year, whereas the other survived	follow up: 0 (0.8%) of
	<u>criteria for trial (n (%))</u>		maintenance infusion of 2 grams per hour (median total dose	but then later received a	women from the MgSO <sub>4</sub> arm
study was carried out	a. Premature rupture of		received was 31.5 grams [IQR	diagnosis of moderate/severe	and 4 (0.3%) of women from
USA	membranes		29.0 to 44.6]). 996/1096 women	cerebral palsy. Therefore, the	the placebo arm were lost to
USA	Magnesium sulphate: 947		received it for $\geq$ 3 hours, 82/1096	sum of the individual	follow-up before birth; a
Study type	(86.4%)		received it for < 3 hours, and	components of the composite is	further 46 babies (3.9%)
Olddy lype	- Time since rupture		18/1096 gave birth without	higher than the incidence of the	from the MgSO <sub>4</sub> arm and 49
Randomised controlled trial	(median [IQR])/hours: 25.2		receiving magnesium sulphate.	composite (as the denominator	(3.9%) of babies from the
	[10.7 - 61.1]		receiving magnesium supriate.	for both is pregnancy)	placebo arm were lost to
	Placebo: 995 (86.9%)		- Placebo	for boards pregnancy)	follow-up after initial
Aim of the study	- Time since rupture		Identical looking placebo, given as		discharge and before follow-
	(median [IQR])/hours: 24.4		per the magnesium sulphate	Moderate or severe cerebral	up. There also appear to be
To evaluate whether giving	[10.8 - 62.9]		protocol described above.	palsy (n/total (%))	missing data for the Bayley
magnesium sulphate to	[10.0 - 02.9]		$1024/1145$ women received it for $\geq$		Scales of Infant
women at high risk for early	b. Advanced preterm		3 hours, 101/1145 received it for <		Development Scores.
preterm birth would reduce	labour		3 hours, and 120/1145 gave birth		Precise definition of
the risk of cerebral palsy in	Magnesium sulphate: 116		without receiving placebo.	Magnesium sulphate: 20/1041	outcomes: Yes, apart from
their babies	(10.6%)		without receiving placebo.	(1.9)	definitions of the Bayley
	- Cervical dilation (mean ±		If birth had not occured after 12	Placebo: 38/1095 (3.5)	Scales of Infant
	SD)/cm: $4.8 \pm 1.2$		hours and was no longer		Development for which no
Study dates	Placebo: 114 (10.0%)		considered imminent (e.g. if	RR 0.55 (95% CI 0.32 to 0.95); p	
2	- Cervical dilation (mean $\pm$		woman was not having regular	= 0.03	(information from other
December 1997 to May	SD)/cm: $4.6 \pm 1.0$		contractions), the infusion was		sources seems to suggest
2004			stopped and then restarted when	b. Pregnancies without maior	that a score of 85
	c. Indicated preterm		birth was imminent again. If at	congenital anomalies	represents the threshold of
	delivery		least 6 hours had passed since the		a 'normal' score, with lower
Source of funding	Magnesium sulphate: 33		loading dose, another loading	Magnesium sulphate: 18/997	scores indicating worse
	(3.0%)		dose was given.	(1.8)	disability)
Supported by grants from	Placebo: 36 (3.1%)		5	Placebo: 34/1063 (3.2)	Valid and reliable method
the NICHD and the National			Retreatment was not given if		of outcome assessment:
Institute of Neurological	Receipt of antenatal		- preeclampsia or eclampsia	RR 0.56 (95% CI 0.32 to 0.99); p	Yes
Disorders and Stroke	corticosteroids (n (%))		developed, in which case open-	= 0.04	Intention-to-treat analysis
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		label magnesium sulphate was		performed: Yes
	Magnesium sulphate: 1062		given as prophylaxis		
	(96.9)		- it was thought that a delay in birth	Perinatal or neonatal death as	Indirectness:
	Placebo: 1116 (97.5)		to give retreatment would be	a proportion of pregnancies	- 9.1% of the randomised
	``´´		detrimental to woman or baby	(n/total (%))	women were carrying twins
	Maternal age/years (mean		- gestational age had reached 34		, ,

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	<u>± SD)</u>		weeks	a. All pregnancies	- 7.4% of women had no
	<u> </u>		639 (28.5%) of women were not		prenatal care
	Magnesium sulphate: 26.1		eligible for retreatment. Of those	Magnesium sulphate: 99/1041	
	± 6.3			(9.5)	
	Placebo: 25.9 ± 6.2		receiving the study drug at birth.	Placebo: 93/1095 (8.5)	Other information
			The most common reasons for this		
	Maternal prepregancy BMI		not occuring were staff error and	RR 1.12 (95% CI 0.85 to 1.47); p	Gestational age at
	(mean ± SD)		urgent caesarean section.	= 0.41	<u>birth/weeks (mean ± SD)</u>
	Magnesium sulphate: 26.0		103 women in the magnesium	b. Pregnancies without major	Magnesium sulphate: 29.8 ±
	± 6.7		sulphate arm had modification of	congenital anomalies	3.1
	Placebo: 26.4 ± 6.9		the study regimen: 7 initiated		Placebo: 29.7 ± 3.1
	[Note: there was missing		treatment for pre-eclampsia, 1	Magnesium sulphate: 83/997	[p = 0.32]
	data for 111 women in the		initiated treatment for arrhythmia,	(8.3)	
	magnesium sulphate group		19 initiated magnesium sulphate	Placebo: 86/1063 (8.1)	
	and 128 women in the		tocolysis and 76 requested		Further information on
	placebo group]		discontinuation. This occurred in	RR 1.03 (95% CI 0.77 to 1.37); p	Gross Motor Function
	Descention of means when		31 women in the placebo arm: 5	= 0.85	Classification System
	Proportion of women who		initiated treatment for pre-		Scores range from 0 to 5,
	were nulliparous (n (%))		eclampsia, 13 initiated magnesium sulphate tocolysis and 13	Perinatal, neonatal or	with higher scores indicating
	Magnesium sulphate: 391		requested discontinuation.	paediatric deaths as a	greater impairment. A child
	(35.7)			proportion of babies (n/total)	with a score of 2 or above
	Placebo: 414 (36.2)		Follow-up	proportion of bables (n/total)	cannot walk independently.
	1 120000. 4 14 (30.2)		<u>I Onow-up</u>	a. Stillbirths	Mild cerebral palsy was
	Previous preterm delivery		Certified research nurses collected		defined as a grade of level
	(n (%))		information on demographic	Magnesium sulphate: 5/1179	1, moderate as a grade of
			characteristics and medical/social	Placebo: 8/1252	level 2 or 3 and severe as a
	Magnesium sulphate: 292		history, as well as collecting data		grade of level 4 or 5.
	(26.6)		on neonatal and maternal	b. Deaths before discharge	
	Placebo: 310 (27.1)		outcomes at birth and at		
			scheduled follow-up visits. Follow-	Magnesium sulphate: 80/1179	
	No prenatal care (n (%))		up was done when the babies	Placebo: 71/1252	
			reached 6, 12 and 24 months of		
	Magnesium sulphate: 78		age (corrected for prematurity).	<u>c. Deaths between discharge</u>	
	(7.1)			and 1 year follow-up	
	Placebo: 88 (7.7)		At follow-up at 1 year, babies who	examination	
			had a normal neurological		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Smoking during		examination, could walk 10 steps	Magnesium sulphate: 18/1179	
	pregnancy (n (%))		independently, and had bilateral	Placebo: 17/1252	
			pincer grasp were declared free of		
	Magnesium sulphate: 299		cerebral palsy were considered	[Note: 95 women were lost to	
	(27.3)		normal and free of cerebral palsy.	follow-up, but the number of	
	Placebo: 319 (27.9)		They did not require any further	babies this corresponds to is not	
	1 100000. 010 (21.0)		neurological examination.	reported]	
	Alcohol use during		nourological oxamination.		
	pregnancy (n (%))		If the children were not available	<u>d. Total deaths</u>	
			during the 24-28 months window		
	Magnesium sulphate: 93		for a 2-year follow-up, efforts were	Magnesium sulphate: 103/1179	
	(8.5)		made to rearragned appointments.	Placebo: 96/1252	
	Placebo: 96 (8.4)		There were 28 children who were		
			not evaluated at 2 years, after		
	Illicit substance use		having not been declared free of	Any cerebral palsy at 2 years,	
	during pregnancy (n (%))		cerebral palsy at 1 year. For these	among those who	
			babies, two blinded paediatric	survived and were available	
	Magnesium sulphate: 108		neurologists made a judgement	for follow-up (n/total (%))	
	(9.9)		about whether the child had		
	Placebo: 104 (9.1)		moderate or severe cerebral palsy	Magnesium sulphate: 40/942	
			on the basis of their 1 year	(4.2)	
	The groups were also		examination.	Placebo: 73/1002 (7.3)	
	similar in the distribution of		oxumnuton.	[p = 0.004]	
	race/ethnic group,		Statistical analysis		
	proportion of women who		<u>otatistical analysis</u>	(Note: The denominator for this	
	were married, and		The power calculation was based	outcome is number of	
	educational level, 417		on the primary outcome occuring	pregnancies. It is the number of	
	(38.4%) of the magnesium		in 14% of the placebo group	pregnancies included in the	
	sulphate group and 448		(death rate of 6% and	analysis of the primary outcome	
	(39.3%) of the placebo		moderate/severe cerebral palsy	minus the number of	
	group were born by		rate of 8%). A sample size of 2000	pregnancies that were	
	caesarean section (p =		was calculated to detect a 30%	accompanied by a stillbirth or	
	0.68).		reduction in the outcome, with a	neonatal death. The numbers of	
	0.00).		type-I error of 5% and power of at	children were 41 and 74	
			least 80%. A sample size of 2200	respectively)	
	Inclusion criteria		was aimed for, to account for loss		
			to follow-up.	Secres on the Powley Secles	
				Scores on the Bayley Scales	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Carrying singletons or twins		Four interim analyses were	of Infant Development (n/total	
	at 24-31 weeks of gestation		performed and an independent	<u>(%))</u> †	
			data and safety monitoring		
	Either:		committee monitored the trial and	a. Psychomotor Development	
	- High risk for spontaneous		reviewed interim results.	Index	
	birth because of rupture of				
	membranes occuring at 22-		Data were analysed intention-to-	- Score < 70	
	31 weeks of gestation or		treat. For the primary outcome	Magnesium sulphate: 134/876	
	advanced preterm labour		(including its components) and	(15.3)	
	with dilation of of 4-8 cm		maternal outcomes, the unit of	Placebo: 144/919 (15.7)	
	and intact membranes		analysis was the pregnancy;		
	- Indicated preterm delivery		therefore, a pregnancy was	RR 0.98 (95% CI 0.79 to 1.21); p	
	was anticipated within 2-24		'credited' with an event if it	= 0.83	
	hours (e.g. due to fetal growth restriction)		occurred in either twin. Continuous outcomes were compared using	- Score < 85	
	growin restriction)		Wilcoxon rank-sum test, and	Magnesium sulphate: 299/876	
			categorical variables with the chi-	(34.1)	
				Placebo: 315/919 (34.3)	
	Exclusion criteria		the Mantel-Haenszel test for trend.		
	Exclusion citteria		For the other outcomes, the unit of	RR 1 00 (95% CI 0 88 to 1 13) <sup>.</sup> p	
	Indicated preterm delivery		analysis was the baby, with	= 0.95	
	anticipated within 2 hours		generalised estimating equations		
				<u>b. Mental Development Index</u>	
	Cervical dilation of more		babies within pregnancies. For the		
	than 8 cm		primary outcome, a two tailed p-	- Score < 70	
	-		value of < 0.043 was considered to	Magnesium sulphate: 165/876	
	Rupture of membranes			(18.8)	
	before 22 weeks		the rest, the value was 0.05.	Placebo: 171/919 (18.6)	
	Unwillingness of		Prespecified subgroup analyses	RR 1.01 (95% CI 0.83 to 1.23); p	
	obstetircian to intervene for		were done according to gestational	= 0.90	
	the benefit of the baby		age, singleton/twin, and previous		
			exposure to magnesium sulphate.	- Score < 85	
	Major fetal anomalies or		An analysis was also done	Magnesium sulphate: 406/876	
	feath		excluding babies with major	(46.3)	
			congenital anomalies (as classified	Placebo: 427/919 (46.5)	
	Maternal hypertension or		by a blinded geneticist on the		
	pre-eclampsia		basis of medical records).	RR 1.00 (95% CI 0.90 to 1.10); p	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study details	Participants         Maternal contraindication to magnesium sulphate (e.g. severe pulmonary disorders)         Receipt of intravenous magnesium sulphate within the previous 12 hours	Interventions	Outcomes reported         - Stillbirth or death by 1 year of age or moderate or severe cerebral palsy at 2 years: This composite outcome was the primary outcome of the study. The authors report that only moderate or severe cerebral palsy were included in the primary outcome, because this severity at or beyond 2 years of age is linked to lifelong motor dysfunction, whereas mild cerebral palsy can resolve.         - Moderate/severe cerebral palsy: The diagnosis of cerebral palsy was made by a certified paediatrician or paediatric neurologist if two or more of the following features were present: a) delay of at least 30% in gross motor development milestones; b) abnormality in muscle tone (e.g. scissoring), 4+ or absent deeptendon reflexes, or movement abnormality (e.g. posturing or gait assymetry); c) persistence of protective reflexes or absence of protective reflexes. When cerebral palsy was diagnosed, the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) was used to	<ul> <li>= 0.96</li> <li>† It is unclear why the denominators for this outcome are lower</li> <li>Findings on cranial ultrasound (n/total (%))</li> <li>a. Any intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH)</li> <li>Magnesium sulphate: 218/1112 (19.6)</li> <li>Placebo: 252/1184 (21.3)</li> <li>RR 0.91 (95% CI 0.78 to 1.08)</li> <li>b. Grade III or IV intraventricular haemorrhage</li> <li>Magnesium sulphate: 23/1112 (2.1)</li> <li>Placebo: 38/1184 (3.2)</li> <li>RR 0.64 (95% CI 0.38 to 1.06)</li> <li>c. Periventricular leukomalacia</li> <li>Magnesium sulphate: 21/1112 (1.9)</li> <li>Placebo: 27/1184 (2.3)</li> </ul>	Comments
				RR 0.83 (95% CI 0.47 to 1.45) Maternal death (n/total (%))	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			ability to grasp and release a 1- inch block with both hands was considered to have moderate/severe cerebral palsy. (see further information section for more details on this scale) - Death	Magnesium sulphate: 0/1096 (0) Placebo: 0/1145 (0) <u>Maternal adverse effects in</u> <u>women who received study</u> medication (n/total (%))	
				<u>a. Any adverse effect</u> Magnesium sulphate: 833/1078 (77.3) Placebo: 140/1125 (12.4)	
			Infant Development (II): Psychomotor Development Index and Mental Development Index are reported, as assessed at the 2- year examination by a trained psychologist or psychometrist	<u>b. Flushing</u> Magnesium sulphate: 703/1078 (65.2) Placebo: 74/1125 (6.6) [p < 0.001]	
			performed on all babies and	<u>c. Sweating</u> Magnesium sulphate: 307/1078 (28.5) Placebo: 28/1125 (2.5) [p < 0.001]	
			interpreted centrally by 3 independent paediatric radiologists - Maternal death - Maternal adverse events: incidence of any adverse event,	<u>d. Pain or burning at IV site</u> Magnesium sulphate: 259/1078 (24.0) Placebo: 29/1125 (2.6) [p < 0.001]	
			flushing, sweating, pain or burning	<u>e. Nausea or vomiting</u>	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			respiratory depression are reported in the women who received the study medication	Magnesium sulphate: 166/1078 (15.4)Placebo: 19/1125 (1.7) [p < 0.001]	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				RR 0.95 (95% CI 0.74 to 1.22)	
				- ≥ 28 weeks Magnesium sulphate: 29/599 (4.8) Placebo: 23/599 (3.8)	
				RR 1.26 (95% CI 0.74 to 2.15)	
				b. By magnesium sulphate treatment before randomisation	
				- Yes Magnesium sulphate: 27/192 (14.1) Placebo: 26/210 (12.4)	
				RR 1.14 (95% CI 0.69 to 1.88)	
				- No Magnesium sulphate: 91/849 (10.7) Placebo: 102/885 (11.5)	
				RR 0.93 (95% CI 0.71 to 1.21)	
				<u>c. Singleton or twin pregnancy</u>	
				- Singleton Magnesium sulphate: 97/950 (10.2) Placebo: 103/985 (10.5)	
				RR 0.98 (95% CI 0.75 to 1.27)	
				- Twin Magnesium sulphate: 21/91 (23.1)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Placebo: 25/110 (22.7)	
				RR 1.02 (95% CI 0.61 to 1.69)	
				<u>Moderate or severe cerebral</u> palsy (n/total (%))	
				a. By weeks of gestation at randomisation	
				- < 28 weeks Magnesium sulphate: 12/442 (2.7) Placebo: 30/496 (6.0)	
				RR 0.45 (95% CI 0.23 to 0.87)	
				- ≥ 28 weeks Magnesium sulphate: 8/599 (1.3) Placebo: 8/599 (1.3)	
				RR 1.00 (95% CI 0.38 to 2.65)	
				<u>b. By magnesium sulphate</u> treatment before randomisation	
				- Yes Magnesium sulphate: 6/192 (3.1) Placebo: 11/210 (5.2)	
				RR 0.60 (95% CI 0.23 to 1.58)	
				- No Magnesium sulphate: 14/849 (1.6)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Placebo: 27/885 (3.1)	
				RR 0.54 (95% CI 0.29 to 1.02)	
				<u>c. Singleton or twin pregnancy</u>	
				- Singleton Magnesium sulphate: 14/950 (1.5) Placebo: 28/985 (2.8)	
				RR 0.52 (95% CI 0.27 to 0.98)	
				- Twin Magnesium sulphate: 6/91 (6.6) Placebo: 10/110 (9.1)	
				RR 0.73 (95% CI 0.27 to 1.92)	
				<u>Fetal or infant death (n/total (%))</u>	
				a. By weeks of gestation at randomisation	
				- < 28 weeks Magnesium sulphate: 78/442 (17.6) Placebo: 78/496 (15.7)	
				RR 1.12 (95% CI 0.84 to 1.49)	
				- ≥ 28 weeks Magnesium sulphate: 21/599 (3.5) Placebo: 15/599 (2.5)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				RR 1.40 (95% CI 0.73 to 2.69)	
				b. By magnesium sulphate treatment before randomisation	
				- Yes Magnesium sulphate: 21/192 (10.9) Placebo: 15/210 (7.1)	
				RR 1.53 (95% CI 0.81 to 2.88)	
				- No Magnesium sulphate: 78/849 (9.2) Placebo: 78/885 (8.8)	
				RR 1.04 (95% CI 0.77 to 1.41)	
				c. Singleton or twin pregnancy	
				- Singleton Magnesium sulphate: 83/950 (8.7) Placebo: 75/985 (7.6)	
				RR 1.15 (95% CI 0.85 to 1.55)	
				- Twin Magnesium sulphate: 16/91 (17.6) Placebo: 18/110 (16.4)	
				RR 1.07 (95% CI 0.58 to 1.98)	

## H.9.1.1 Health economics

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
Full citation Cahill,A.G., Odibo,A.O., Stout,M.J., Grobman,W.A., Macones,G.A., Caughey,A.B., Magnesium sulfate therapy for the prevention of cerebral palsy in preterm infants: a decision-analytic and economic analysis, American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 205, 542-547, 2011 Ref Id 282017	Study dates Not stated Intervention Magnesium Sulphate Comparison(s)	Source of effectiveness data Published evidence Source of cost data Not stated	Time horizon and discount rate Time Horizon: Not Stated Discount Rate (costs): Not Stated Discount Rate (QALYS): Not Stated	Cost per patient per alternative All women population: MgSO4: USD 1,739.00 No MgSO4: USD 1,917.20 PPROM only: MgSO4: USD 1,462.60	Limitations This study is difficult to generalise to a UK setting as it provides little information on the assumptions used for neither cost nor outcomes. Also, as there was no information on the cost years or study period, cost numbers cannot be updated to the current year. There are no references to the published data on which the study is based.
Economic study type Cost-utility analysis Country(ies) where the study was done USA	No treatment	Other data sources e.g. transition probabilities Not stated	Method of eliciting health valuations (if applicable) Not stated	No MgSO4: USD 1,607.50 <28 weeks: MgSO4: USD 920.60 No MgSO4: USD 1,019.00	
Perspective & Cost Year Perspective: Societal Cost Year: Not Stated Source of funding Not stated			<b>Modelling</b> <b>approach</b> Decision Analytic Cost-Utility analysis	Effectiveness per patient per alternative All women population: MgSO4: 56.6836 QALYs No MgSO4: 56.6784 QALYs PPROM only: MgSO4: 56.7022 QALYs No MgSO4: 56.6972 QALYs	

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
				<28 weeks: MgSO4: 56.7411 QALYs No MgSO4: 56.7355 QALYs	
				Incremental cost- effectiveness	
				All women population: MgSO4: dominates PPROM only: MgSO4: dominates <28 weeks: MgSO4: dominates	
				Other reporting of results	
				Uncertainty	
				Probabilistic sensitivity analysis	

## H.10 Tocolysis

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Houtzager BA,Hogendoorn	Total n = 102	Nifedipine or		Caesarean section	No clear
SM,Papatsonis DN,Samsom	1000111 - 102	ritodrine for the	Data collected from a multicentre	Nifedipine 12/48 (25%)	inclusion/exclusion
JF,van Geijn HP,Bleker		management of	study in two universities and one	Ritodrine 11/54 (20%)	criteria hence high
OP,van Wassenaer AG, Long-		preterm labour	primary hospital during the study		risk of selection bia
term follow up of children	Characteristics	protonniabour	period in the Netherlands. This study	Respiratory distress	
exposed in utero to nifedipine			is follow up of a previously conducted	syndrome (RDS)	
or ritodrine for the	Maternal age mean (SD)		clinical trials (Papatsonis 1997 and	Nifedipine 5/48 (10%)	
management of preterm			2000). In the original trial, 185 women	Ritodrine 16/54 (30%)	
labour, BJOG : an international	Nifedipine group 29.3 (4.9)		were randomised to either nifedipine		
journal of obstetrics and	Ritodrine group 30.7 (4.9)		(n = 95) or ritodrine $(n = 90)$ .	Sepsis/meningitis (RDS)	
gynaecology, -, 2006			Indomethacine was used equally in	Nifedipine 8/48 (17%)	
	Gestational age mean (SD)(days)		both groups as a second line tocolytic	Ritodrine 15/54 (28%)	
Ref Id			agent. Of the 185 liveborn children,		
	Nifedipine group 239.3 (31)		171 survived (92%), and of these 102	<u>Periventricular</u>	
259877	Ritodrine group 226.6 (27.2)		(61%) were followed up at age 9-12	lecucomalacia (PVL)	
1			years. Age-specific questionnaires	Nifedipine 1/48 (2%)	
Country/ies where the study	Nulliparity mean (SD)		were administered to the parent and	Ritodrine 1/54 (2%)	
was carried out	Nifedipine group 24 (50)		teacher. Additional data were obtained		
	Ritodrine group 29 (54)		from medical records.	No intracranial	
The Netherlands			Questionnaires were used to assess	haemorrhage	
	Ruptured membranes mean (SD)		the child's behavioural-emotional	Nifedipine 40/48 (83%)	
Study type	Nifedipine group 12 (25)		problems, quality of life (QoL), motor	Ritodrine 42/54 (78%)	
	Ritodrine group 16 (54)		functioning, parenting distress and the		
Randomised control trial			child's education.	Minor intracranial	
			Of the 171 eligible families, 102 (61%)	haemorrhage	
			agreed to participate and completed	Nifedipine 8/48 (17%)	
Aim of the study			the questionnaires. Response was	Ritodrine 10/54 (18%)	
I			equal in the ritodrine group (n = 54 of		
To compare the long-term	Inclusion criteria		83 surviving children, 65%) compared	Major intracranial	
psychosocial and motor effects on children exposed in utero to			with the nifedipine group (n= 48 of 88	haemorrhage	
nifedipine or ritodrine for the	Not specified		surviving children, 55%).	Nifedipine 0/48 (0%)	
management of preterm labour.				Ritodrine 2/54 (4%)	
management of preterm about.	Freelowing with size		Definition of outcomes		
1	Exclusion criteria		Respiratory distress syndrome (RDS)	Mean gestational age at	
I			was defined as tachypnoea, chest wall	birth	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Not specified		retractions and oxygen requirement in	Nifedipine 34 (4)	
			the presence of a chest X-ray.	Ritodrine 32 (3)	
Study dates			Necrotising entrocolitis was diagnosed		
			by pneumatosis on abdominal	Mean umbilical cord pH	
1992 to 1995			radiography or finding during the	Nifedipine 7.3 (0.1)	
			surgery.	Ritodrine 7.2 (0.1)	
			The child long term outcomes were		
Source of funding			assessed using the Dutch version of	Long-term psychosocial	
N. ( 10 1			the Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL)	functioning (follow up at	
Not specified			was completed by parents. The child's	<u>age of 9 -12 yr)</u>	
			teacher completed the teacher Report		
			Form (TRF). High score on the CBCL	Mean behavioural-	
			and TRF represent more problematic	emotional functioning (using	
			behaviour. Total score were for	child behaviour checklist	
			internalising problems such as anxiety,	[CBCL])	
			depression, or social behaviour, non	higher score represent more	
			compliance, or hyper activity.	psychosocial problem	
			The child quality of life (QoL) was	Nifedining 50 (11.0)	
			assessed using the Dutch TNO AZL	Nifedipine 50 (11.9)	
			Children's Quality of Life	Ritodrine 52 (11.6) p = 0.39	
			Questionnaire (TACQOL). The questionnaire provides score based on	p – 0.39	
			the seven domains: physical	_ Mean behavioural-	
			functioning, motor functioning,	emotional functioning	
			autonomy, cognitive emotions. High	(using teacher report	
			score represent a more favourable	form [TRF])	
			QoL.	higher score represent more	
				psychosocial problem	
			Analysis	Nifedipine 49 (10)	
l			Intention to treat analysis was	Ritodrine 50 (9.9)	
			performed. Student t test and chi	p = 0.55	
l			square test were used for continuous		
			and categorical data respectively.	Quality of life (QoL)	
1			Multivariable regression analysis were		
1			performed, correcting for mother	Mean children's quality of	
			characteristics, perinatal outcome and	life (using quality of life	
			background variables (mother's age at	questionnaire [TRF])	
1			admission, maternal education,		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			ethnicity, parity, ruptured membranes and mother's smoking behaviour). Birth weight and gestational age were added to the model in the second step. Psychosocial functioning was compared with the available normative data of the general population of children of same age.	higher score represent a more favourable QoLPhysical Nifedipine 25 (5.3) Ritodrine 26 (4.5) $p = 0.26$ Motor Nifedipine 30 (3.1) Ritodrine 30 (2.5) $p = 0.30$ Autonomy Nifedipine 31 (1.2) Ritodrine 31 (1.6) $p = 0.88$ Cognitive Nifedipine 28 (4) Ritodrine 28 (3.8) $p = 0.95$ Positive emotion Nifedipine 13 (2.7) Ritodrine 14 (2.4) $p = 0.80$ Negative emotion Nifedipine 12 (2.7) Ritodrine 13 (2.3) $p = 0.05$ Mean motor quality	
				Movement ABC (higher score represent more motot problem)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Nifedipine 5 (6.9) Ritodrine 9.3 (17.2) p = 0.16 Other psychosocial variables Psychcosocial care for chid Nifedipine n = 25/46 (55.6%) Ritodrine n = 22/45 (41.5%) p = 0.17 Repeat class Nifedipine n = 13/46 (27.7%) Ritodrine n = 14/45 (26.4%) p = 0.89 Special education Nifedipine n = 3/46 (6.5%) Ritodrine n = 4/45 (7.5%) p = 0.84	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Jaju,P.B., Dhabadi,V.B., Nifedipine versus ritodrine for suppression of preterm labor and analysis of side effects, Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of India, 61, 534- 537, 2011 <b>Ref Id</b>	Total n = 120 Nifedipine n = 60 Ritodrine n = 60 <b>Characteristics</b> <u>Mean gestational age</u> (weeks) Nifedipine 33 Ritodrine 33	Ritodrine versus Nifedipine (N)	Hospital and research centre who met the inclusion criteria, were randomised to receive nifedipine or ritodrine tocolytic drugs. Preterm labour was defined as regular uterine contractions	Ritodrine 16/60 (26.6%) p = 0.033	Unclear who analysed the data Unclear blinding Unclear allocation concealment Unclear intention to treat analysis Data loss not reported

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
259925	0 parity		administered as first line	Prolongation of	
	Nifedipine n = 45/60 (75%)		treatment. Nifedipine was	pregnancy up to 48 hours	
Country/ies where the study	Ritodrine n = 48/60 (80%)		administered as an initial oral loading	Nifedipine 54/60 (90%)	
was carried out			dose of 30 mg. If uterine contractions	Ritodrine 41/60 (83.3%)	
	<u>parity</u> ≥ 1		continued after 90 minutes another 20	p = 0.006	
India	Nifedipine n = 15/60 (25%)		mg nifedipine was given orally. If the	Side effects	
	Ritodrine n = 12/60 (20%)		labour was suppressed then a	Nifedipine 18/60 (30%)	
Study type	Booked		maintenance dose of 20 mg nifedipine	Ritodrine 48/60 (80%)	
	Nifedipine n = 40/60 (66.6%)		was given orally every 8 hourly till 37	p < 0.001	
Randomised control trial	Ritodrine n = 35/60 (58.3%)		weeks. Intravenous ritodrine (100 mg	Success	
	Not booked		added to 500 ml ringers lactate). The	Nifedipine 54/60 (90%)	
	Nifedipine n = 20/60 (33.3%)		infusion started at the rate of 50 µg	Ritodrine 41/60 (68.3%)	
Aim of the study	Ritodrine n = 25/60 (41.7%)		every 15 minutes until the uterine	p = 0.003	
			contraction ceased, up to maximum	Failure	
To compare the tocolytic				Nifedipine 6/60 (10%)	
efficacy of Nifedipine and			unacceptable side effects like	Ritodrine 19/60 (31.6%)	
Ritodrine, their adverse effects	Inclusion criteria		palpitations, chest pain and	p = 0.002	
and neonatal outcome			tachycardia>120 developed. All	Mean gestational age at	
	<ul> <li>Intact membranes</li> </ul>		women in the study were given	<u>birth</u>	
			betamethasone and prophylactic	Nifedipine 35 weeks and 3	
Study dates	Singleton gestations		antibiotixcs. Metronidazole was given	days	
Ostabor 2006 to Sontombor	Vertex presentation		to those with sign of bacterial	Ritodrine 34 weeks	
October 2006 to September 2008	Cervical dilatation from		vaginosis. Treatment failure	p = not reported	
2008	1 to 3 cm		was defined if uterine relaxation was	Perinatal death	
	<ul> <li>28 to 36 weeks</li> </ul>		not achieved after administration of the		
Source of funding	gestation		maximum dose or development of	Ritodrine 9/60 (15%)	
Source of fullaling			side-effects that caused	p = not reported	
Not specified			discontinuation of the therapy	Respiratory distress	
Not specified	Exclusion criteria		Analysis	<u>syndrome</u>	
			Epi Info software and Chi square test	Nifedipine 8/60 (13.3%)	
	Estatus II. II.		were used	Ritodrine 10/60 (16.6%)	
	Fetal malformation			p = not reported	
	Chorioamnionitis			NICU admission	
	<ul> <li>Intrauterine growth</li> </ul>			Nifedipine 33/60 (55%)	
	restriction (<5			Ritodrine 39/60 (65%)	
	percentile)			p = not reported	
	Antepartum				
1	haemorrhage				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Hypertension</li> <li>Bronchial asthma</li> <li>Diabetes mellitus</li> <li>cardiovascular disease</li> <li>Severe anaemia</li> <li>Hydramnios</li> </ul>				
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Effect of antenatal tocolysis on neonatal outcomes, Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal	Total women randomised n = 301 Total women analysed n = 276 Indomethacin n = 87 (plus 16 twins n = 103 babies) Magnesium sulfate n = 85 (plus 10 twins n = 95 babies) Nifedipine n = 104 (plus 15 twins n = 119 babies) <b>Characteristics</b> Not specified <b>Inclusion criteria</b> Intact membranes Singleton or twins gestations Vertex presentation with decrease in station Cervical dilatation from	Indomethacin (I) Magnesium sulfate (M) Nifedipine (N)	Women presenting to University of Mississippi Medical centre between 20 - 32 weeks gestation, in acute preterm labour with cervical dilatation 1-6 cm, were randomised to receive one of three first-line tocolytic drugs. Consecutive women meeting study criteria were randomised into the study population. Women were randomly assigned to three groups; indomethacin, magnesium sulphate or nifedipine. Each tocolytic was administered as first line treatment. Indomethacin was given a 100 mg rectal suppository, which could be repeated one time, two hours after the initial dose if contractions continued. This was followed by 50 mg oral indomethacin 6 hourly until contraction had been extinguished for 12 hours. Indomethacin was used only for 48 hours as a total treatment cycle. Pepcid 20 mg were given to each case orally to minimise gastrointestinal	Neonatal death Indomethacin n = 7/103 (7%) Magnesium sulphate n = 5/95 (5%) Nifedipine n = 4/109 (3%) p = 0.50 Respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) Indomethacin n = 42/103 (41%) Magnesium sulphate n = 39/95 (41%) Nifedipine n = 34/109 (28%) p = 0.08 Sepsis Indomethacin n = 13/103 (13%) Magnesium sulphate n = 10/95 (10%) Nifedipine n = 10/109 (8%) p = 0.59	No intention to treat analysis
effects in pregnancies treated with indomethacin (I),	Cervical dilatation from     1 to 6 cm		irritation. Women randomised to magnesium sulphate were given 6 mg intravenously over a 20 min period and	<u>Intraventricular</u> <u>haemorraghe (IVH)</u> Indomethacin n = 14/103	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
magnesium sulfate (M) or nifedipine (N) Study dates 2004 to 2008 Source of funding Not specified	<ul> <li>Sufficient cervical effacement</li> <li>Exclusion criteria <ul> <li>Fetal malformation</li> <li>Chorioamnionitis</li> <li>Intrauterine growth restriction (&lt;5 percentile)</li> <li>Non reassuring fetal heart rate tracing</li> <li>Those who refused randomisation</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		then maintained at 4 to 6 gr per hour until contraction had been stopped for 1 - 2 hours and then it was discontinued. Women who were randomised to nifedipine were given loading dose of 30 mg orally, followed by 20 - 30 mg every 4 - 6 hours until contractions stopped. During the treatment antenatal steroids were begun and no antibiotics were used and women were observed for signs and symptoms of chorioamnionitis and placenta abruption. Fetal Heart rate and uterine contraction were monitored until the uterine activity was abolished for 12 hours. After observation for 2 -3 days in hospital, women were discharged if preterm labour did not reappear. Definition of outcomes Respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) was defined based on oxygen requirement > 24 hours plus typical findings a chest X-ray. Necrotising entrocolitis was diagnosed by pneumatises on abdominal radiography or and clinical findings. Intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH) was diagnosed by head sonography and periventricular leukomalacia (PVL) by head ultrasound as well as computed tomography and MRI when necessary <u>Analysis</u>	(14%) Magnesium sulphate n = 11/95 (11%) Nifedipine n = 10/109 (8%) p = 0.66 Periventricular leukomalacia (PVL) Indomethacin n = 2/103 (2%) Magnesium sulphate n = 0/95 (0%) Nifedipine n = 0/109 (0%) p = 0.12 Necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) Indomethacin n = 5/103 (5%) Magnesium sulphate n = 5/95 (5%) Nifedipine n = 4/109 (3%) p = 0.50 <u>Gestational age at birth</u> Indomethacin 31.8 ± 4.2 Magnesium sulphate 31.2 ± 3.9 Nifedipine 31.8 ± 4.5 <u>Cord pH</u> Indomethacin 7.28 ± 0.07 Magnesium sulphate 7.24 ± 0.46 Nifedipine 7.30 ± 0.06 <u>NICU days</u>	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			A sample size calculation performed and 300 babies was required to have 80% power of detecting increase in composite neonatal outcomes (RDS, sepsis, IVH, PVL, NEC) between three groups. Chi square test was used for categorical data. ANOVA was used for continuous data with normal distribution and Krustall – Wallis one way ANOVA on ranks was used if continuous data were not normally distributed	Indomethacin 31.2 ± 32.4 Magnesium sulphate 38.6 ± 46.4 Nifedipine 34.8 ± 39.4	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	
Salim,R., Garmi,G., Nachum,Z., Zafran,N., Baram,S., Shalev,E., Nifedipine compared with atosiban for treating preterm labor: a randomized controlled trial, Obstetrics and Gynecology, 120, 1323-1331, 2012 <b>Ref Id</b> 260598 <b>Country/ies where the study</b> <b>was carried out</b> Israel	Total n = 145 Nifedipine n = 75 Atosiban n = 70 <b>Characteristics</b> <u>Mean maternal age</u> (weeks) Nifedipine 27 (19 - 48) Atosiban 28 (15.2 - 44.8) p = 0.88 <u>Mean gestational age at</u> <u>randomisation</u> (weeks) Nifedipine 31.8 (25.0 - 33.8) Atosiban 31.1 (24.1 - 33.8) p = 0.24 <u>Mean gestational age at</u> <u>randomisation (weaks)</u>	Atosiban versus Nifedipine (N)	20 mg, 20 -30 minutes apart as	$\frac{\text{from enrolment}}{\text{Nifedipine n} = 67 (89.3\%)}$ Atosiban n = 55 (78.6%) P*= 0.02 $\frac{\text{Mean gestational age at}}{\text{birth (weeks)}}$ Nifedipine 36.4 ± 2.8 Atosiban 35.2 ± 3.0 P*= 0.01	
Study type	<u>randomisation</u> (weeks) Nifedipine 31.8 (25.0 - 33.8)		needed. Maintenance was started after 6 hours with 20 to 40 mg four	Delay birth > 48 hours Nifedipine n = 69/75 (92%)	
Randomised control trial	Atosiban 31.1 (24.1 - 33.8) p = 0.24 <u>≤ 28 weeks</u> <u>at randomisation</u> (singleton)		times a day for a total of 48 hours. Tocolytic drugs were discontinued if dilatation of cervix progress to ≥5 cm or membranes ruptured. Labour was	Atosiban n = 60/75 (85.7%) P*= 0.27 <u>Subgroup analysis for</u> singleton babies	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Aim of the study To compare the tocolytic efficacy and tolerability of nifedipine with that of atosiban among pregnant women with preterm labor. Study dates January 2008 to December 2011	(weeks) Nifedipine n = 5 (6.7%) Atosiban n = 6 (8.6%) p = not reported $\geq$ 28 weeks at randomisation (singleton) (weeks) Nifedipine n = 5 (6.7%) Atosiban n = 4 (5.7%) p = not reported <u>Progesterone treatment</u> Nifedipine n = 17 (22.7%) Atosiban n = 16 (22.9%) <u>One more previous preterm birth</u> Nifedipine n = 42 (46.0%)		of the study drugs was performed and alternative rescue treatment was initiated (two 100-mg per rectum tablets, 1 hour apart, followed by oral tablets of 25 mg four times a day for	Nifedipine n = $0/52$ (0%) Atosiban n = $0/49(0\%)$ Sepsis Nifedipine n = $69/52$ (1.9%) Atosiban n = $60/49(2.0\%)$ P* >0.99 Respiratory distress syndrome Nifedipine n = $29/52$ (3.8%) Atosiban n = $60/49(10.2\%)$ P* = $0.26$ Inrtaventricular	
Source of funding Not specified	Nifedipine n = 12 (16.0%) Atosiban n = 15 (21.4%) <u>No maternal disease</u> Nifedipine n = 53 (70.7%) Atosiban n = 44 (62.9%)		the rest of 48 hours). prophylactics antibiotics for group B strep and corticosteroids were administered according to standard clinical indications. Analysis	haemorrhage (IVH) Nifedipine n =2/52 (3.8%) Atosiban n = 2/49(4.1%) P* >0.99 *adjusted for twins, previous	
	<ul> <li>Inclusion criteria</li> <li>Intact membranes</li> <li>Singleton and twins gestations</li> <li>presence of 4 or more contractions each lasting 30 seconds or more within 30 minutes</li> <li>Cervical effacement of 50% with dilatation from 0 to 4 cm (nulliparous) and 1-4</li> </ul>		An intention to treat analysis performed. Sample size calculation performed. Seventy (n=70) women per group was sufficient to show a difference of 25% in the tocolytic efficacy and tolerability of Atosiban as compared with nifedipine. Wilcoxon rank-sum test was usd for continuous data and $\chi^2$ or fisher exact tests where appropriate were used for categorical data.	preterm babies, progesterone treatment, closed cervix and additional tocolytics	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	<ul> <li>24 to 33 weeks + 6 days gestation</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Fetal malformation</li> <li>Vaginal bleeding resulting from placenta previa or placenta abruption</li> <li>Rupture of membranes</li> <li>Fever above 38°C</li> <li>Severe preeclampsia</li> <li>Intrauterine growth restriction</li> <li>Systolic blood pressure &lt; 90 mm Hg</li> <li>None reassuring fetal status</li> <li>Maternal cardiovascular or liver diseases</li> <li>Multiple gestation rather than twins</li> <li>Fetal death</li> </ul>				
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Klauser,C.K., Briery,C.M., Martin,R.W., Langston,L., Magann,E.F., Morrison,J.C., A comparison of three tocolytics for preterm labor: a randomized clinical trial, Journal of Maternal-Fetal and	Total women randomised $n = 301$ Total women analysed $n = 276$ Indomethacin $n = 87$ (plus 16 twins $n = 103$ babies) Magnesium sulfate $n = 85$ (plus 10 twins $n = 95$ babies)	Indomethacin (I) Magnesium sulfate (M) Nifedipine (N)		Gestational age at birth Indomethacin $31.8 \pm 4.2$ Magnesium sulphate $31.2 \pm 3.9$ Nifedipine $31.8 \pm 4.5$ p = 0.55	No intention to treat analysis

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Neonatal Medicine, 27, 801-	Nifedipine n = 104 (plus 15 twins		criteria were randomised into the study	Days gained	
806, 2014	n = 119 babies)		population. Women were randomly assigned to three groups;	Indomethacin 22.7 ± 21.1 Magnesium sulphate 22.5 ±	
Ref Id			indomethacin, magnesium sulphate or	43.8	
323536	Characteristics		nifedipine. Each tocolytic was administered as first line treatment.	Nifedipine 21.7 $\pm$ 21.7 p = 0.35	
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Not specified		Indomethacin was given a 100 mg rectal suppository, which could be repeated one time, two hours after the initial dose if contractions continued.	<u>Birth &gt; 48 hours</u> Indomethacin n = 66/87 (77%) Magnesium sulphate n =	
USA	Inclusion criteria		This was followed by 50 mg oral	60/85 (70%) Nifedipine n = 80/104 (77%)	
Study type	Intact membranes		had been extinguished for 12 hours.	p = 0.57	
Randomised control trial	Singleton or twins     gestations		Indomethacin was used only for 48 hours as a total treatment cycle. Pepcid 20 mg were given to each case		
Aim of the study	Vertex presentation with decrease in station		orally to minimise gastrointestinal irritation.	Magnesium sulphate n = 46/85 (54.1%)	
To examine adverse neonatal	<ul> <li>Cervical dilatation from 1 to 6 cm</li> </ul>		Women randomised to magnesium sulphate were given 6 mg	Nifedipine n = 61/104 (58.6%)	
effects in pregnancies treated with indomethacin (I), magnesium sulfate (M) or	Sufficient cervical     effacement		intravenously over a 20 min period and then maintained at 4 to 6 gr per hour until contraction had been stopped for	p = 0.65	
nifedipine (N)	<b>-</b> . <b>.</b>		1 - 2 hours and then it was discontinued.		
Study dates	Exclusion criteria		Women who were randomised to nifedipine were given loading dose of		
2004 to 2008	<ul> <li>Fetal malformation</li> <li>Chorioamnionitis</li> <li>Intrauterine growth</li> </ul>		30 mg orally, followed by 20 - 30 mg every 4 - 6 hours until contractions stopped.		
Source of funding	restriction (<5 percentile)		During the treatment antenatal steroids were begun and no antibiotics		
Not specified	<ul> <li>Non reassuring fetal heart rate tracing</li> </ul>		were used and women were observed for signs and symptoms of chorioamnionitis and placenta		
	Those who refused     randomisation		abruption. Fetal Heart rate and uterine contraction were monitored until the uterine activity was abolished for 12		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			hours. After observation for 2 -3 days in hospital, women were discharged if preterm labour did not reappear.         Definition of outcomes         Respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) was defined based on oxygen requirement > 24 hours plus typical findings a chest X-ray. Necrotising entrocolitis was diagnosed by pneumatises on abdominal radiography or and clinical findings. Intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH) was diagnosed by head sonography and periventricular leukomalacia (PVL) by head ultrasound as well as computed tomography and MRI when necessary         Analysis         A sample size calculation performed and 275 babies was required to have 80% power of detecting a significant difference in delivery at > 48 hours and/or > 7days post treatment. Chi square test was used for categorical data. ANOVA was used for continuous data with normal distribution and Krustall – Wallis one way ANOVA on ranks was used if continuous data were not normally distributed		
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Kashanian,M., Zamen,Z., Sheikhansari,N., Comparison between nitroglycerin dermal patch and nifedipine for treatment of preterm labor: a	NG group: n = 60 Nifedipine group: n = 60	Nitro-glycerine (NG) dermal vs nifedipine	Study carried out in a teaching hospital in Tehran (Iran). Women who were admitted to the hospital for preterm labour were included in the study. In order to obtain a power of	Birth was postponed for 2h NG group: n = 59/60 (98.3%)	Unclear power calculation No blinding of investigator and his colleagues

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
randomized clinical trial,	Characteristics		90% (with significance level of 5%) n =	Nifedipine: n = 48/60 (80%)	No intention to treat
Journal of Perinatology, 34,			120 women were recruited (unclear	P=0.001	analysis performed
683-687, 2014	Pervious abortion		how and for what outcome the		
			calculation performed). Written	Birth was postponed	
Ref Id	Nifedipine: n = 14/60 (32.2%)		consent obtained from all participants.	for 48h	
	NG group: $n = 8/60(13.3\%)$		Eligible women were randomly	NG group: n = 52/60	
323616	P = 0.157		assigned to two groups.	(86.7%)	
			Randomisation performed using	Nifedipine: $n = 41/60$	
Country/ies where the study	previous preterm birth		sealed sequentially distributed	(68.3%)	
was carried out	Nifedipine: n = 4/60 (6.7%)		envelopes to which letter A, B, C and	P=0.016)	
	NG group: n = 3/60 (5%)		D had been allocated (the letter AC to	,	
Iran	P=0.82		NG group and the letter B and D to the	Birth was postponed for 7	
			nifidipine group). The women chose	days	
Study type	Maternal age (mean ± SD/yr)		one of the envelops, which was		
			opened by the investigator's	NG group: n = 47/60	
Randomised control trial	Nifedipine: 26.33 ± 6.37		colleague.	(78.3%)	
	NG group: 24.31 ± 4.26		Treatment	Nifedipine: n = 37/60	
	P = 0.155		All eligible women were infused	(61.7%)	
Aim of the study			with 500cc normal saline during 30	P = 0.046	
	Women's BMI (mean ± SD)		min and had intramuscular		
To compare the effect of	Nifedipine: 27.01 ± 3.12		betamethasone (12 mg every 24 hours	Gestational age at the	
nifedipine and nitro-glycerine	NG group: 26.13 ± 5.34		up to 2 doses) then women were	time of birth (mean ±	
(NG) dermal patch for taking	P = 0.03		randomised to the groups. No blinding	SD/weeks)	
control of preterm labour			performed because of the obvious		
	Gestational age at study's		different shape of the drugs. In the NG	NG group: 35.6 ± 1.9	
	entry (mean ± SD/weeks)			Nifedipine: 34.3 ± 2.05	
			was applied and a second 10 mg	P = 0.155	
Study dates	Nifedipine: 31.4 ± 2.3		patch was used if the contractions		
kurs 0040 to Manak 0044	NG group: 31.5 ± 1.9		continued. In case of arrest of the	Duration of stay at	
June 2010 to March 2011	P = 0.83		contractions within 1 hour, the second	neonatal intensive care	
			patch was not used. In	<u>unit (NICU)(mean ±</u>	
Source of funding			the nifedipine group (n = 64) women	<u>SD/days)</u>	
Source of funding	Inclusion criteria		were given a 10 mg nifedipine every	NG group: 21.41 ± 22.18	
Not aposified				Nifedipine: 8.43 ± 15.15	
Not specified	Gestational age 26 - 34		In cases whose contractions had	P = 0.03	
	weeks		subsided, 20 mg , every 6 hour up to		
l l			24 hours given and then 20 mg every	NICU admission	
	Singleton pregnancy		8 hours for the second 24 hours and		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	<ul> <li>At least 4 contractions during 60 minutes plus cervical dilatation &gt; 1 cm and cervical effacement of ≥ 50%</li> <li>Exclusion criteria</li> <li>Ruptured membranes</li> <li>Maternal and fetal indication for termination of pregnancy</li> <li>Intrauterine fetal death</li> <li>Cervical dilatation &gt; 5 cm</li> <li>Known hypersensitivity to NG</li> <li>vaginal bleeding</li> <li>Tocolytic therapy during pervious 24 hours</li> <li>Smoking</li> <li>Any systematic disorder or any drug use except ordinary supplementations (Iron, folic acid)</li> <li>Fetal anomalies</li> <li>Known uterine anomalies</li> <li>polyhydramnious</li> <li>Intrauterine growth restriction</li> </ul>		finally 10 mg every 8 hours for the next 24 hours were prescribed. If the contractions contined or blood pressure < 90/50 mm Hg, the administration of the nifedipine discontinued. <u>Data analysis</u> Data were analysed using SPSS 18 software. The student t test, $\chi$ 2-test and Mann-Whitney test were used for analysis.	NG group: n = $30/60 (50\%)$ Nifedipine: $21/60 (35\%)$ P = $0.09$ Caesarean section NG group: n = $30/60 (50\%)$ Nifedipine: $17/60 (29\%)$ P = $0.03$ Treatment discontinued (because of hypertension) NG group: n = $2/60 (3.33\%)$ Nifedipine: $0/60 (0\%)$ P = not reported Headache NG group: n = $4/60 (6.66\%)$ Nifedipine: n = $3/60 (5\%)$ P = not reported Hypotension (BP < $100/70$ mm Hg) NG group: n = $14/60$ ( $23.3\%$ ) Nifedipine: n = $9/60 (15\%)$ P = not reported Maternal tachycardia NG group: n = $0/60 (0\%)$ Nifedipine: n = $0/60 (0\%)$ Nifedipine: n = $3/60 (5\%)$ P = not reported Dermal irritation NG group: n = $0/60 (0\%)$ Nifedipine: n = $3/60 (5\%)$ P = not reported	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Any sign and symptoms     of Chorioamnionitis				
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Nikbakht,R., Taheri,Moghadam M., Ghane'ee,H., Nifedipine compared to magnesium sulfate for treating preterm labor: A randomized clinical trial, Iranian Journal of Reproductive Medicine, 12, 145-150, 2014 <b>Ref Id</b> 323768 <b>Country/ies where the study</b> was carried out Iran <b>Study type</b> Randomised control trial <b>Aim of the study</b> To compare the efficacy and safety of magnesium sulfate and nifedipine in the management of preterm labour.	Total n = 100 Nifedipine n = 50 Magnesium sulphate n = 50 Characteristics <u>Maternal age &lt; 18 years</u> Nifedipine n = 4/50 (8%) Magnesium sulphate n = 2/50 (4) p = 0.51 <u>Maternal age 18 - 40 years</u> Nifedipine n = 43/50 (86%) Magnesium sulphate n = 46/50 (92%) p = 0.50 <u>Maternal age &gt; 40 years</u> Nifedipine n = 3/50 (6%) Magnesium sulphate n = 2/50 (4%) p = 0.54 <u>Primiparous</u> Nifedipine n = 27/50 (54%) Magnesium sulphate n = 24/50 (48%) p = 0.50 <u>Multiparous</u> Nifedipine n = 27/50 (54%) Magnesium sulphate n = 24/50 (48%) p = 0.50	Nifedipine versus magnesium sulphate	the study carried out in two university hospital in Ahvaz (Iran). Consent obtained from the participant before enrolling in the study. Women who met the inclusion criteria were randomly assigned to two groups. in the first step , all women were hydrated by 500 ml of Ringer solutions and bed rest. Dextra methasone were given to women with < 34 weeks gestation. The women were randomly selected to receive oral nifedipine or intravenous magnesium sulphate. Women in nifedipine were initially given 10 mg capsule which was repeated every 20 min up to maximal dose of 30 mg during the first hour of treatment and then nifedipine maintenance dose of 10 mg given every six hours. Women in the magnesium sulphate group received 10 gr (IV) and 5g (IM) of magnesium sulphate every 4 hours. Treatment was considered as a success if women were delivered after 48 hours and after 7 days. For those who contractions did not subside other tocolytic such as isoxsuprine or indomethacin was given (treatment failure)	Delaying delivery > 48 days	Randomisation not described unclear blinding Unclear allocation concealment Loss of data not discussed Unclear who performed the analysis

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study dates	Gestational age < 34 weeks Nifedipine n = 31/50 (62%)				
Year 2002	Magnesium sulphate n = 29/50 (58%)				
Source of funding Research Deputy of Ahvaz Jundishapour University of Medical Science	p = 0.50 $Gestational age > 34 weeks$ Nifedipine n = 19/50 (38%) Magnesium sulphate n = 21/50 (42%) p = 0.50 $Prior preterm birth$ Nifedipine n = 2/50 (4%) Magnesium sulphate n = 1/50 (2%) p = 0.54 $twin gestation$ Nifedipine n = 2/50 (4%) Magnesium sulphate n = 1/50 (2%) p = 0.54 Inclusion criteria  Intact membranes Singleton or twins gestations Intact membranes Singleton or twins gestation Showing sign of preterm labour: -Cervical dilatation from 0 to 4 cm -50% cervical effacement -Presence of ≥4 uterine contractions over 30 min				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	<ul> <li>lasting at least 30 seconds each</li> <li>Exclusion criteria</li> <li>Clinical intrauterine infection</li> <li>Chorioamnionitis</li> <li>Cervical dilatation of &gt; 5 cm</li> <li>Non reassuring fetal heart rate tracing</li> <li>Lethal fetal abnormality</li> <li>Maternal cardiac or liver disease</li> <li>Sever preeclampsia</li> <li>Antepartum haemorrhage</li> </ul>				
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	
Nankali,A., Jamshidi,P.K., Rezaei,M., The Effects of Glyceryl Trinitrate Patch on the Treatment of Preterm Labor: A Single-blind Randomized Clinical Trial, Journal of Reproduction and Infertility, 15, 71-77, 2014 <b>Ref Id</b> 323891	Total n = 84 <b>Characteristics</b> <u>Mean age</u> (years) GTN 29 $\pm$ 0.84 Placebo 26 $\pm$ 0.77 p = 0.23 <u>Mean gestational age</u> at admission (weeks) GTN 31.5 $\pm$ 0.4	Glglyceryl trinitrate (GTN) versus placebo	The study conducted in the maternity unit of hospital in kermanshah (Iran) on 84 singleton pregnant women with gestational age of 27-35 weeks who were admitted to hospital for preterm labour. Preterm labour was clinically diagnosed and the women were randomly divided into two groups who were treated with GTN or placebo for 48 hours. <u>Treatment</u> At first, all women were infused with	Birth within the first 24           hours           GTN n n = 5 (12.50%)           Placebo n = 8 (20%)           p = 0.58           Birth within the 24 to 48           hours           GTN n n = 6 (15%)           Placebo n = 7 (17.5%)           p = 0.58	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Country/ies where the study	Placebo 31.3 ±0.4		normal saline followed by intravenous	Birth within after 48	
was carried out	p = 0.66		ampicillin and intramuscular	hours	
1			betamethasone. After randomisation	$\overline{\text{GTN n}}$ n = 29 (72.5%)	
Iran	Cervical dilatation at admission (cm)		and gaining consent, each women received either a 10 mg of GTN patch	Placebo n = 25 (62.5%) p = 0.58	
Study type	$GTN 1.8 \pm 0.14$		or placebo which was applied on their	Birth during	
	Placebo 1.7 ± 0.13		skin (top of the navel)	hospitalisation	
Randomised control trial	p = 0.52		Analysis	GTN n n = 13 (32.5%)	
			Data were analyzed with chi square	Placebo n = 18 (45%)	
			test, paired and unpaired t tests by	p = 0.25	
Aim of the study			SPSS software and p<0.05 was considered significant	Successful tocolysis, Delivered during the	
To investigate the effect of	Inclusion criteria		considered significant	hospitalisation (hr)	
glyceryl trinitrate (GTN) patch	Inclusion criteria			GTN 31± 4.4	
on the treatment and				Placebo 18.3 ± 2.2	
complications of PTL	Singleton gestations			p=0.01	
	<ul> <li>Regular uterine contraction ≥ 4 within 20</li> </ul>			<u>Headache</u>	
Study dates	min or Bishop score $\geq 3$			GTN n n = 14 (35%)	
olddy dales	27 to 35 weeks			Placebo n = $4 (10\%)$ p=0.007	
October 2011 to August 2012	gestation			β=0.007	
	3			Maternal palpitation	
				GTN n n = 6 (15%)	
Source of funding	Exclusion criteria			Placebo n = 4 (10%)	
Not specified				p=0.49	
	Fetal malformation				
	Chorioamnionitis				
	Antepartum				
	haemorrhage				
	Treatment with other				
	tocolytic agent 24 hours				
	before birth				
	Previous caesarean     section				
	• Cervical dilatation ≥ 5				
	cm				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	<ul> <li>Preterm premature rupture of membranes</li> <li>Multiple pregnancy</li> <li>Cardiovascular disease</li> <li>Placenta previa</li> <li>Susceptibility to glycerin compounds</li> </ul>				
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	
Haas,D.M., Caldwell,D.M., Kirkpatrick,P., McIntosh,J.J., Welton,N.J., Tocolytic therapy for preterm delivery: systematic review and network meta-analysis, BMJ, 345, e6226-, 2012 <b>Ref Id</b> 259796 <b>Country/ies where the study</b> <b>was carried out</b> USA <b>Study type</b>	n = 159 full text articles were retrieved n = 95 met the study inclusion n = 8 were articles were non English, (four in Chinese, one in French, and one each in German, Portuguese, and Spanish). Mean number of participants in the trials: Mean = 111.9 (SD 108.8, range 20-708) Published from 1966 to 2011 <b>Characteristics</b> Details of the characteristics of	Tocolytic therapy: - beta mimetics (ritodrine, terbutaline, nylidrin, salbutamol, fenoterol, hexoprenaline, isoxsuprine) - calcium channel blockers (nifedipine, nicardipine) - magnesium sulfate - nitrates (nitroglycerine, nitric oxide)	Systematically search performed on the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (February 2012), Medline (1950-present), Medline In- Process/Daily Update (17 February 2012), Embase (1988-2012), and CINAHL (1982-2012) for published randomized controlled trials of tocolytic therapy. Search was limited to articles reporting trials in humans, and excluded duplicate trial entries. Search results were cross referenced with the Cochrane reviews of tocolytic medications, hand searching was conducted for additional titles. Data extraction was carried out by two reviewers. Discrepancy between the reviewers was resolved by consensus.	Delivery delayed by 48 hours n = 64 trials ( $n = 55$ meta- analysis, $n = 54$ pairwise meta-analysis) n = 16 treatments n = 8 drug classes Respiratory distress syndrome n = 60 trials n = 19 treatments n = 7 drug classes Maternal side effects (all cause) n = 68 trials n = 18 treatment n = 7 drug classes	
Systematic review and network meta-analysis	'	- oxytocin receptor blockers (atosiban,	Non English languages abstract were reviewed for inclusion. Quality assessment:	Neonatal mortality, result	
Aim of the study	n = 25 trials contained a placebo arm n = 60 (63%) included beta mimetics n = 29 (26%) included	barusiban) - prostaglandin inhibitors (indomethacin, celecoxib,	Using the Cochrane's assessment tool, risk of bias was assessed based on seven specific factors: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants	<u>from pairwise meta</u> <u>analysis</u> Beta mimetics v placebo	
	magnesium sulfate	sulindac,	and personnel, blinding of outcome		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
To determine the most	n = 30 (31%) included calcium	ketorolac,	assessment, incomplete outcome	NMA RR 0.62 (95% CI 0.14	
effective tocolytic agent at	channel blockers	rofecoxib)	data, selective reporting, and other	to 2.48)	
delaying birth.	n = 30 (31%) included	- others (alcohol,	sources of bias. Overall quality of each	Direct pairwise analysis RR	
	prostaglandin inhibitors	human chorionic	study was considered to be high if at	0.39 (95% CI 0.10 to 1.42)	
	n = 13 (19%) included oxytocin	gonadotropin,	least four domains had a low risk	0.39 (93% 010.10 to 1.42)	
Study dates	receptor blockers (atosiban or	combination	score, with at least one of the domains	Prostaglandin inhibitors v	
Study dates	barusiban)	tocolytic drugs)	needing to be sequence generation or	placebo	
17 February 2012	n = 4 (4%) included nitratesn	Vesus placebo:	allocation concealment.	NMA 0.62 RR (95% CI 0.04	
17 Tebruary 2012	n = 5 (5%) included other drugs	- placebo (placebo	Statistical analysis	to 4.63)	
	No trials compared atosiban with	or usual or		Direct pairwise analysis RR	
	magnesium sulfate	standard care	the network meta-analysis was:	1.08 (95% CI 0.14 to 10.03)	
Source of funding		without a tocolytic	- delivery successfully delayed for 48	1.08 (95 % C1 0.14 to 10.03)	
couldo of fullaling		drug)	hours	Calcium channel blocker v	
Not specified	Inclusion criteria	ulug)	The secondary outcomes were:	placebo	
not opcomed			- neonatal mortality	NMA RR 0.39 (95% CI 0.09	
	- The trial that reported a		- neonatal respiratory distress	to 1.49)	
	comparison between different		syndrome	10 1.49)	
	medications or between a		- all cause of maternal side effects	Others v placebo	
	medication and a placebo or		For binary outcomes:	NMA RR 2.79 (95% CI 0.28	
	usual care for delaying preterm			to 31.75)	
	delivery.		on all arms were excluded.	(0 0 1.7 0)	
	uonvory.		- Analyses were done within a	Magnesium sulphate v	
			Bayesian framework using WinBUGS	placebo	
	Exclusion criteria		1.4.3.	NMA RR 0.97 (95% CI 0.29	
			- A random effects network meta-	to 3.29)	
	- Not randomized controlled trials		analysis was carried out to	Direct pairwise analysis RR	
	- Did not study women at risk of		concurrently compare the 18	1.08 (95% CI 0.15 to 6.82)	
	preterm delivery (defined by trial)		treatments and eight (8) tocolytic		
	- Did not study at least one		classes for each outcome.	Oxytocin receptor blocker v	
	tocolytic drug		Where head to head data were	placebo	
	- Used combination drug		available pairwise "direct" meta-	NMA RR 4.74 (95% CI 1.12	
	therapies for tocolysis,		analyses was also carried out using a	to 34.19)	
	- Did not report maternal or		random effects model.	,	
	neonatal outcomes in relation to		- Heterogeneity was assessed using	Prostaglandin inhibitors v	
	preterm delivery		the posterior median between trial	beta mimetics	
	- Published abstracts that did not		variance, $t^2$ . However, for ease of	NMA RR 0.98 (95% CI 0.05	
	contain enough information for		interpretation they report the $\chi^2$ test	to 10.01)	
	complete data to be extracted		for heterogeneity and $l^2$ statistic for the		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	- Personal communications cited in Cochrane reviews		<ul> <li>pairwise meta-analyses (calculated using Stata).</li> <li>P=0.10 were used fo the assessment of heterogeneity.</li> <li>In the case of two or fewer trials a fixed effect meta-analysis was carried out.</li> <li>The pairwise meta-analyses were done using the drug classes and not individual treatments as the subject of interest.</li> <li>Posterior median odds ratios and 95% credible intervals were calculated.</li> <li>A meta-regression analyzed the impact of planned duration of treatment (acute or short term tocolysis versus prolonged therapy) on the results.</li> <li>For the network meta-analysis a class effect model was implemented where each treatment effect in the same class is assumed to come from a family of treatment effects with a class specific mean effect and between treatment variability within class (assumed equal across all classes).</li> <li>Goodness of fit was measured by the posterior mean of the residual deviance. In a well fitting model the residual deviance should be close to the number of data points.</li> <li>Because of the way in which the residual deviance is calculated, zero cells on the baseline (control) arm can cause computational difficulties. For the purposes of model selection those</li> </ul>	Direct pairwise analysis RR 1.05 (95% CI 0.18 to 6.22) <u>Calcium channel blocker s</u> <u>v beta mimetics</u> NMA RR 0. 63 (95% CI 0.13 to 3.16) Direct pairwise analysis RR 0.56 (95% CI 0.13 to 2.00) <u>Others s v beta mimetics</u> NMA RR 4.50 (95% CI 0.47 to 51.29) Direct pairwise analysis RR 3.63 (95% CI 1.15 to 14.11) <u>Magnesium sulphate v</u> <u>beta mimetics</u> NMA RR 1.00(95% CI 0.32 to 8.30) Direct pairwise analysis RR 1.16 (95% CI 0.18 to 6.44) <u>Oxytocin receptor</u> <u>blockers v beta mimetics</u> NMA RR 1.58 (95% CI 0.21 to 5.11) Direct pairwise analysis RR 0.62 (95% CI 0.17 to 1.92) <u>Calcium channel blockers v</u> <u>prostaglandin inhibitors</u> NMA RR 0.64 (95% CI 0.06 to 11.82) Direct pairwise analysis RR 0.05 (95% CI 0.00 to 1.02)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			trials were removed but included in the final model on which the results are based. <u>Consistency between the direct and</u> <u>indirect evidence:</u> Inconsistency in each of the three networks was assessed by comparing a model assuming consistency with that of an inconsistency model using the deviance information criterion. A difference of 3 or more points is considered meaningful. Convergence was assessed using two chains and was achieved by 25 000 simulations for delivery delayed by 48 hours, 30 000 for neonatal mortality and respiratory distress syndrome, and 35 000 for maternal side effects (based on the Brooks-Gelman-Rubin diagnostic tool in WinBUGS). A further 50,000 updates were run after convergence for delivery delayed by 48 hours, 60,000 for neonatal mortality and respiratory distress syndrome, and 70,000 for maternal side effects.	Others v prostaglandininhibitorsNMA RR 4.78 (95% CI 0.24to 159.10)Magnesium sulphate v prostaglandin inhibitorsNMA RR 1.61 (95% CI 0.21to 24.95)Direct pairwise analysis RR3.16 (95% CI 0.35 to 43.64)Oxytocin receptor blockers v prostaglandin inhibitorsNMA RR 1.03 (95% CI 0.10to 19.60)Others v calcium channel blockersNMA RR 7.16 (95% CI 0.68to 93.55)Magnesium sulphate v calcium channel blockersNMA RR 2.50 (95% CI 0.58to 11.77)Direct pairwise analysis RR 0.40 (95% CI 0.01 to 5.26)Oxytocin receptor blockersNMA RR 1.61 (95% CI 0.38to 7.05)Direct pairwise analysis RR 1.16 (95% CI 0.29 to 4.79)Magnesium sulphate v others	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				NMA RR 0.35 (95% CI 0.03 to 3.88)	
				Oxytocin receptor blockers v others NMA RR 0.23 (95% CI 0.02 to 2.31)	
				<u>Magnesium sulphate v</u> oxytocin receptor blockers NMA RR 1.56 (95% CI 0.33 to 7.92)	
				<u>48 hours delay in birth.</u> result from pairwise meta analysis	
				Beta mimetics v placebo NMA RR 2.52 (95% CI 1.34 to 4.89) Direct pairwise analysis RR 3.37 (95% CI 0.96 to 16.05)	
				Prostaglandin inhibitors v placebo NMA 2.49 RR (95% CI 2.17 to 13.63) Direct pairwise analysis RR 14.57 (95% CI 4.30 to 60.85)	
				Calcium channel blocker v placebo NMA RR 2.78 (95% Cl 1.26 to 8.61)	
				<u>Others v placebo</u>	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				NMA RR 2.02 (95% CI 0.50 to 4.40) <u>Magnesium sulphate v</u> <u>placebo</u> NMA RR 2.82 (95% CI 1.59 to 3.29) Direct pairwise analysis RR 2.69 (95% CI 0.37 to 19.73) <u>Oxytocin receptor blocker v</u> <u>placebo</u> NMA RR 2.06 (95% CI 1.12 to 3.99)	
				Direct pairwise analysis RR 1.51 (95% CI 1.06 to 2.15) <u>Nitrates v placebo</u> NMA RR 1.35 (95% CI 0.39 to 4.40) Direct pairwise analysis RR 1.13 (95% CI 0.54 to 2.38) <u>Prostaglandin inhibitors v</u>	
				beta mimetics NMA RR 2.15 (95% CI 0.88 to 5.11) Direct pairwise analysis RR 3.04 (95% CI 0.77 to 12.73) Calcium channel blocker s v beta mimetics NMA RR 1.10(95% CI 0.54 to 2.35)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Direct pairwise analysis RR 1.12 (95% CI 0.70 to 1.76)	
				Others s v beta mimetics NMA RR 0.80 (95% CI 0.21 to 3.04) Direct pairwise analysis RR 3.63 (95% CI 1.15 to 14.11)	
				Magnesium sulphate v beta mimetics NMA RR 1.12(95% CI 0.64 to 2.01) Direct pairwise analysis RR 1.09 (95% CI 0.51 to 2.16)	
				<u>Nitrates v beta mimetics</u> NMA RR 0.53 (95% CI 0.15 to 1.96)	
				Calcium channel blockers v prostaglandin inhibitors NMA RR 0.51 (95% CI 0.20 to 1.45) Direct pairwise analysis RR 79.82 (95% CI 5.50 to 35.12)	
				Others v prostaglandin inhibitors NMA RR 0.37 (95% CI 0.09 to 1.75)	
				Magnesium sulphate v prostaglandin inhibitors NMA RR 0.52 (95% CI 0.24 to 1.18)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Oxytocin receptor blockers v prostaglandin inhibitors NMA RR 0.38 (95% CI 0.15 to 1.00) <u>Nitrates v prostaglandin</u> inhibitors	
				NMA RR 0.25 (95% CI 0.06 to 1.14)           Others v calcium channel           blockers           NMA RR 0.73 (95% CI 0.17 to 3.02)	
				Magnesium sulphate v calcium channel blockers NMA RR 1.02 (95% CI 0.50 to 2.02) Direct pairwise analysis RR 0.88 (95% CI 0.46 to 1.80) Oxytocin receptor blockers	
				v calcium channel blockers NMA RR 0.74 (95% CI 0.34 to 1.62) <u>Nitrates v calcium channel</u> <u>blockers</u> NMA RR 0.48 (95% CI 0.13	
				to 3.02) Direct pairwise analysis RR 0.77 (95% CI 0.13 to 4.08) <u>Magnesium sulphate v</u> <u>others</u>	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				NMA RR 1.41 (95% CI 0.38 to 5.03) Direct pairwise analysis RR 1.46 (95% CI 0.42 to 5.38)	
				Oxytocin receptor blockers v others NMA RR 1.03 (95% CI 0.26 to 4.41)	
				Nitrates v others NMA RR 0.66 (95% CI 0.11 to 4.07)	
				Oxytocin receptor blockers v nitrates NMA RR 1.55 (95% CI 0.42 to 5.61)	
				Magnesium sulphate v nitrates NMA RR 2.12 (95% CI 0.58 to 7.56)	
				Magnesium sulphate v Oxytocin receptor blockers NMA RR 1.37 (95% CI 0.72 to 2.62)	
				<u>Neonatal respiratory</u> <u>distress syndrome, result</u> <u>from pairwise meta</u> <u>analysis</u>	
				Beta mimetics v placebo NMA RR 0.85 (95% CI 0.50 to 1.45)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Direct pairwise analysis RR 0.62 (95% CI 0.28 to 1.02) <u>Prostaglandin inhibitors v</u> <u>placebo</u> NMA 0.87 RR (95% CI 0.40 to 1.75) Direct pairwise analysis RR 0.99 (95% CI 0.16 to 5.68) <u>Calcium channel blocker v</u> <u>placebo</u>	
				placebo NMA RR 0.71 (95% CI 0.37 to 1.43) Others v placebo NMA RR 1.54 (95% CI 0.55 to 4.71) Oxytocin receptor blocker v placebo NMA RR 0.89 (95% CI 0.55	
				to 1.37) Direct pairwise analysis RR 1.36 (95% CI 0.92 to 2.04) <u>Magnesium sulphate v</u> <u>placebo</u> NMA RR 0.99 (95% CI 0.58 to 1.71) Direct pairwise analysis RR 1.04 (95% CI 0.52 to 2.07)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Prostaglandin inhibitors v beta mimetics NMA RR 1.03 (95% CI 0.44 to 2.22) Direct pairwise analysis RR 0.79 (95% CI 0.32 to 1.87) <u>Calcium channel blocker s v</u> beta mimetics NMA RR 0.85 (95% CI 0.62 to 5.72)	
				Direct pairwise analysis RR 2.84 (95% CI 1.06 to 8.49) Others s v beta mimetics NMA RR 1.80 (95% CI 0.21 to 3.04) Direct pairwise analysis RR 3.63 (95% CI 1.15 to 14.11)	
				Oxytocin receptor blocker v beta mimetics NMA RR 1.04 (95% CI 0.60 to 1.84) Direct pairwise analysis RR 0.90 (95% CI 0.34 to 3.14) Magnesium sulphate v beta	
				<u>mimetics</u> NMA RR 1.16(95% CI 0.62 to 2.26) Direct pairwise analysis RR 1.78 (95% CI 0.55 to 6.18)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Calcium channel blockers v prostaglandin inhibitors NMA RR 0.82 (95% CI 0.36 to 2.11)	
				<u>Others v prostaglandin</u> <u>inhibitors</u> NMA RR 1.77 (95% CI 0.58 to 5.48)	
				Oxytocin receptor blockers v prostaglandin inhibitors NMA RR 1.02 (95% CI 0.47 to 2.28)	
				Magnesium sulphate v prostaglandin inhibitors NMA RR 1.13 (95% CI 0.62 to 2.25) Direct pairwise analysis RR 1.01 (95% CI 0.40 to 2.72)	
				Others v calcium channel blockers NMA RR 2.14 (95% CI 0.69 to 6.83)	
				Oxytocin receptor blockers v calcium channel blockers NMA RR 1.24 (95% CI 0.62 to 2.39) Direct pairwise analysis RR 0.84 (95% CI 0.41 to 1.70)	
				<u>Magnesium sulphate v</u> calcium channel blockers	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				NMA RR 1.39 (95% CI 0.67 to 2.78) Direct pairwise analysis RR 1.18 (95% CI 0.66 to 2.15)	
				Oxytocin receptor blockers v others NMA RR 0.58 (95% CI 0.19 to 1.64)	
				<u>Magnesium sulphate v</u> others NMA RR 0.65 (95% CI 0.24 to 1.71) Direct pairwise analysis RR 0.99 (95% CI 0.35 to 2.79)	
				Magnesium sulphate v Oxytocin receptor blockers NMA RR 1.11 (95% CI 0.62 to 2.13)	
				<u>Maternal side effects,</u> result from pairwise meta analysis	
				Beta mimetics v placebo NMA RR 22.68 (95% CI 7.51 to 73.67) Direct pairwise analysis RR 12.26 (95% CI 3.66 to 61.03)	
				Prostaglandin inhibitors v <u>placebo</u> NMA 1.63 RR (95% CI 0.40 to 6.85)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Direct pairwise analysis RR 2.31 (95% CI 0.62 to 9.60)	
				Calcium channel blocker v placebo NMA RR 3.80 (95% CI 1.02 to 16.92)	
				Direct pairwise analysis RR 2.91 x 10 <sup>8</sup> (95% CI 389.2 to 1.40 x 10 <sup>26</sup> )	
				Others v placebo NMA RR 3.19 (95% CI 0.41 to 20.84) Direct pairwise analysis RR 2.27 (95% CI 1.18 to 4.43)	
				$\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{Magnesium sulphate v}}\\ \underline{\text{placebo}}\\ \overline{\text{NMA RR 8.15 (95\% Cl 2.47}}\\ to 27.70)\\ \overline{\text{Direct pairwise analysis}}\\ \overline{\text{RR 8.20 (95\% Cl 1.30 x}}\\ 10^6 \text{ to 1.73 x 10}^{17}) \end{array}$	
				Oxytocin receptor blocker v placebo NMA RR 1.99 (95% CI 0.61 to 6.94) Direct pairwise analysis RR 2.08 (95% CI 1.24 to 3.55)	
				Prostaglandin inhibitors v beta mimetics	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				NMA RR 0.07 (95% CI 0.02 to 0.27) Direct pairwise analysis RR 0.05 (95% CI 0.01 to 0.19)	
				Calcium channel blocker s v beta mimetics NMA RR 0.17 (95% CI 0.06 to 0.59) Direct pairwise analysis RR 0.14 (95% CI 0.05 to	
				0.36) <u>Nitrates s v beta mimetics</u> NMA RR 0.14 (95% CI 0.02 to 1.03)	
				Magnesium sulphate v beta mimetics NMA RR 0.36 (95% CI 0.13 to 1.01) Direct pairwise analysis RR 0.45 (95% CI 0.11 to 1.71)	
				Oxytocin receptor blockers v beta mimetics NMA RR 0.09 (95% CI 0.03 to 0.26) Direct pairwise analysis RR 0.05 (95% CI 0.03 to 0.14)	
				Calcium channel blockers v prostaglandin inhibitors NMA RR 2.32 (95% CI 0.56 to 12.57)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Direct pairwise analysis RR 2.25 (95% CI 0.90 to 5.95)	
				<u>Nitrates v prostaglandin</u> <u>inhibitors</u> NMA RR 1.90 (95% CI 0.20 to 18.16)	
				Magnesium sulphate v prostaglandin inhibitors NMA RR 4.97 (95% CI 1.32 to 20.44) Direct pairwise analysis RR 3.02 (95% CI 0.44 to 27.95)	
				Oxytocin receptor blockers v prostaglandin inhibitors NMA RR 1.22 (95% CI 0.27 to 5.93)	
				Nitrates v calcium channel blockers NMA RR 0.82 (95% CI 0.09 to 6.50) Direct pairwise analysis RR 2.08 (95% CI 0.59 to 8.19)	
				Magnesium sulphate v calcium channel blockers NMA RR 0.52 (95% CI 0.13 to 1.87) Direct pairwise analysis RR 0.91 (95% CI 0.45 to 1.84)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Magnesium sulphate v others NMA RR 2.61 (95% CI 0.37 to 21.15) Direct pairwise analysis RR 8.12 (95% CI 0.92 to 243.20) Oxytocin receptor blockers v others NMA RR 0.63 (95% CI 0.08 to 5.85) Oxytocin receptor blockers v magnesium sulphate NMA RR 0.25 (95% CI 0.07 to 0.84)	

## H.10.1.1 Health economics

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
Full citation	Study dates	Source of effectiveness data		Cost per patient per alternative	Limitations
Stamilio,D.M., Hassan,S.S.,	Published in June 2010. Study dates not stated.	Published evidence		Based on a population	Absence of detail regarding cost build up, specific sources of data, perspective
cervical length screening and treatment with vaginal progesterone to prevent preterm birth: a decision and economic		Source of cost data	Discount Rate: NA		and study dates. There was also no list of references. As such claims in this study

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
analysis, American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 202, 548- 548, 2010 <b>Ref Id</b> 281888 <b>Economic study type</b> Cost effectiveness analysis <b>Country(ies) where the study was</b> <b>done</b> USA <b>Perspective &amp; Cost Year</b> Perspective: not stated Cost year: not stated	Intervention Vaginal progesterone (VP) Comparison(s) No treatment	Published evidence. Underlying assumptions and scope was not stated. Other data sources e.g. transition probabilities	Method of eliciting health valuations (if applicable) NA Modelling approach Decision Analytic Cost- Utility analysis	No treatment: USD 462.4 mln Effectiveness per patient per alternative Preterm births prevented Vaginal progesterone: 95,920 No treatment: 0 Incremental cost- effectiveness Vaginal progesterone dominates Other reporting of results	cannot be verified. Data in the report is based on single values. There are no confidence intervals.
Source of funding The Perinatology Research Branch, Division of Intramural Research, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, NIH/DHHS				Uncertainty Probabilistic sensitivity analysis. A single value was reported. Limited applicability to outcome of interest.	
<b>Full citation</b> Fleming,A., Bonebrake,R., Istwan,N., Rhea,D., Coleman,S., Stanziano,G.,	<b>Study dates</b> June 1992 to June 2000	Source of effectiveness data Computerised database: Matria Healthcare, Marietta, Ga.	Time horizon and discount rate Time Horizon: NA	Cost per patient per alternative	Limitations

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
Pregnancy and economic outcomes in patients treated for recurrent preterm labor, Journal of Perinatology, 24, 223- 227, 2004 <b>Ref Id</b> 222641 <b>Economic study type</b> Cost effectiveness analysis <b>Country(ies) where the study was</b> <b>done</b> USA <b>Perspective &amp; Cost Year</b> Perspective: Third Party Payer Cost Year: Not Stated <b>Source of funding</b> Not stated	Intervention Continuous subcutaneous terbutaline infusion (SQT) and oral nifedipine (NIF) Comparison(s) Continuous subcutaneous terbutaline infusion (SQT) and oral nifedipine (NIF)	Source of cost data Costs data obtained from Agency for healthcare Research and Quality, nationwide Inpatient sample for 1999. Intervention: charges for antepartum hospitalization, outpatient services, nursery days. Costs include accommodation and ancillary charges. Indirect costs are excluded. Other data sources e.g. transition probabilities	Discount Rate: NA Method of eliciting health valuations (if applicable) Computerised database Matria Healthcare, Marietta, Ga. Modelling approach Decision Analytic Cost- Effectiveness analysis	NIF: USD 37,040 SQT: USD 26,546 Effectiveness per patient per alternative Mean gestation age at delivery NIF: 35.7 weeks SQT: 36.6 weeks Incremental cost- effectiveness SQT dominates Other reporting of results Uncertainty Standard deviation given for many data points. No sensitivity analysis performed	The generalization of this study is limited as it is retrospective.
Full citation Valdes,E., Salinas,H., Toledo,V., Lattes,K., Cuellar,E., Perucca,E., Diaz,R., Montecinos,F., Reyes,A., Nifedipine versus fenoterol in the management of preterm labor: a	<b>Study dates</b> May 2007 and November 2008	Source of effectiveness data Randomised controlled trial (RCT) Source of cost data	<b>Time horizon and discount rate</b> Time Horizon: NA Discount Rate: NA	Cost per patient per alternative Cost savings: Nifedipine: USD 588 Fenoterol: USD 951	Limitations 1) The trial is not blinded; 2) Only cost saved are reported. This will make it difficult to generalise the

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
randomized, multicenter clinical study, Gynecologic and Obstetric Investigation, 74, 109-115, 2012 <b>Ref Id</b> 260856 <b>Economic study type</b> Cost effectiveness analysis	Intervention Nifedipine (oral) and Fenoterol (intravenous) Comparison(s) Nifedipine (oral) and Fenoterol (intravenous)	Data generated by investigators based on the information supplied by the Division de Operaciones of the Hospital Clinico of the Universidad de Chile Other data sources e.g. transition probabilities	Method of eliciting health valuations (if applicable) NA Modelling approach Decision Analytic Cost- Effectiveness analysis	Effectiveness per patient per alternative Efficacy of tocolytic as first-line agent Nifedipine: 54/58 = 0.9310 Fenoterol: 61/64 = 0.9531	cost outside the specific setting of Chile.
Country(ies) where the study was done Chile				Incremental cost- effectiveness Fenoterol dominates	
Perspective & Cost Year Perspective: Hospital Cost Year: Not Stated				Other reporting of results	
<b>Source of funding</b> Fondo Nacional de Investigacion en Salud, IIIrd Project				<b>Uncertainty</b> No sensitivity analysis was performed	
<b>Full citation</b> Lam,F., Istwan,N.B., Jacques,D., Coleman,S.K., Stanziano,G.J., Managing perinatal outcomes: the clinical benefit and cost-effectiveness of pharmacologic treatment of recurrent	Study dates April 1995 to January 1999 Intervention	Source of effectiveness data Computerised database: Matria Healthcare, Marietta, Ga. Source of cost data	Time horizon and discount rate Time Horizon: NA Discount Rate: NA	Cost per patient per alternative OT: USD 21,935 SQT: USD 16,649	Limitations Limited generalization of this study as it is retrospective. Costs are estimated but the underlying assumptions are not clearly stated. Author

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
preterm labor, Managed Care, 12, 39- 46, 2003 <b>Ref Id</b> 222867 <b>Economic study type</b> Cost effectiveness analysis	Continuous subcutaneous terbutaline infusion (SQT)and oral terbutaline (OT) <b>Comparison(s)</b> Continuous subcutaneous	Authors estimated cost data. Assumptions for estimate not provided. Intervention: charges for antepartum hospitalization, outpatient services, nursery days. Costs include accommodation and ancillary charges. Indirect costs, physician charges,	Method of eliciting health valuations (if applicable) Computerised database Matria Healthcare, Marietta, Ga. Modelling approach	Effectiveness per patient per alternative Mean gestational age at birth OT: 35.7 weeks SQT: 36.5 weeks Incremental cost- effectiveness	makes mention of difference in their costs estimates and published data on costs.
Country(ies) where the study was done	terbutaline infusion (SQT)and oral terbutaline (OT)	increased first year and life time medical costs are excluded.	Decision Analytic Cost- Effectiveness analysis	SQT dominates	
USA		Other data sources e.g. transition probabilities		Other reporting of results	
Perspective & Cost Year					
Perspective: Third Party Payer Cost Year: Not Stated				Uncertainty No sensitivity analysis	
Source of funding				performed	
Not stated					
Full citation	Study dates	Source of effectiveness data	Time horizon and discount rate	Cost per patient per alternative	Limitations
Siassakos,D., O'Brien,K., Draycott,T., Healthcare evaluation of the use of atosiban and fibronectin for the management of pre-term labour,	Not stated	Systematic review of published literature.	Time Horizon: NA Discount Rate: NA	fFN-atosiban GBR 52,083 nifedipine GBR	Costs are based on 1 hospital. Not clear if this cost data is generalizable across the UK. Also, costs do not
Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 29, 507-511, 2009	fFN test followed by atosiban	<b>Source of cost data</b> Local data from Southmead Hospital, Bristol, UK and is based		2727,756 fFN-nifedipine GBR 42,923	include costs similar between the different tocolytics so costs have been estimated.

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
Ref Id		on outpatient costs for test, inpatient costs for those diagnosed			
203798 Economic study type	Comparison(s) fFN test followed by	with preterm labour, administration of steroids and tocolytics	Published literature	Effectiveness per patient per alternative	
Cost-minimisation analysis	nifedipine and nifedipine alone	Other data courses a g	Published interature	Atosiban and nifedipine considered to have the	
Cost-minimisation analysis	nileupine alone	Other data sources e.g. transition probabilities	Modelling approach	same effectiveness.	
Country(ies) where the study was done			A Decision Tree model was used to simulate the outcomes	Incremental cost- effectiveness	
UK			associated with each of	NA	
Perspective & Cost Year			interventions, there was first a fFN test	Other reporting of	
Perspective: Hospital Cost Year: Not Stated			performed.	results	
Source of funding				Uncertainty	
Not stated				No sensitivity analysis was performed.	
Full citation	Study dates	Source of effectiveness data	Time horizon and discount rate	Cost per patient per alternative	Limitations
versus betamimetics in the treatment of	1996 to 2008	Systematic literature review of 6 randomised controlled trials	Time Horizon: NA	Cost savings	There was no detailed analysis of resource
preterm labour in Germany: an economic evaluation, BMC pregnancy and childbirth, 9, 23-, 2009	Intervention	(RCTs)	Discount Rate: NA	Payer's perspective: EUR 423 atosiban versus fenoterol	utilization and micro-costing
Ref Id	fenoterol, and	Source of cost data	Method of eliciting health valuations (if	Perspective: Hospital : EUR 259 atosiban	
265596	continuous fenoterol	Cost of drugs was calculated based on trial protocols and German hospital drug purchase	applicable)	versus continuous fenoterol (18 hours)	

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
Economic study type Cost-minimisation analysis Country(ies) where the study was done Germany Perspective & Cost Year Perspective: Third Party Payer and Hospital Cost Year: 2008 Source of funding Not stated	Comparison Comparison(s) Atosiban, bolus fenoterol, and continuous fenoterol	costs. G-DRG Grouper was used to obtain cost per case. Other data sources e.g. transition probabilities	Method Systematic literature review of 6 randomised controlled trials (RCTs) Modelling approach Decision Analytic Cost- Minimisation analysis	Perspective: Hospital : EUR 105 atosiban versus continuous fenoterol (48 hours) Perspective: Hospital : EUR 244 atosiban versus bolus fenoterol (18 hours) Perspective: Hospital : EUR 55 atosiban versus bolus fenoterol (48 hours) Effectiveness per patient per alternative Efficacy of treatments found to be identical based on literature review. Incremental cost- effectiveness As no single efficacy value given for each	
				treatment, there can be no incremental cost effectiveness. Based on cost-minimisation analysis, atosiban is least costly.	

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
				Other reporting of results	
				<b>Uncertainty</b> Probabilistic sensitivity analysis	
Full citation Wex,J., bou-Setta,A.M., Clerici,G., Di Renzo,G.C., Atosiban versus betamimetics in the treatment of preterm labour in Italy: clinical and economic importance of side-effects, European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology, 157, 128-135, 2011 <b>Ref Id</b> 223345 Economic study type Cost-minimisation analysis Country(ies) where the study was done Italy	Study dates 1994 to 2007 Intervention Atosiban and ritodrine Comparison(s) Atosiban and ritodrine	Source of effectiveness data Systematic literature review of 9 randomised controlled trials (RCTs) Source of cost data Costs were built up from adverse events and patient activity. DRG tariffs were obtained with DRG Grouper v.19 using national schedule. From the National health Service payer's perspective, all costs associated with treatment of preterm labour were encompassed by the flat DRG rates per patient diagnosed. For the payer, only extended length of stay and occurrence of chest pain or dyspnoea had costs consequences resulting from DRG recoding.	Time horizon and discount rate Time Horizon: NA Discount Rate: NA Method of eliciting health valuations (if applicable) Systematic literature review of 9 randomised controlled trials (RCTs) Modelling approach Decision Analytic Cost- Minimisation analysis	Cost per patient per alternative Cost savings (based on all RCTs) Payer's perspective: EUR 646 atosiban versus fenoterol Perspective: Hospital : EUR 261 atosiban versus ritodrine (18 hours) Perspective: Hospital : EUR 152 atosiban versus ritodrine (48 hours) <b>Effectiveness per</b> <b>patient per alternative</b> Efficacy of treatments found to be identical based on literature review.	Limitations Probabilistic sensitivity analysis Other information There was no detailed analysis of resource utilization and micro-costing
Perspective & Cost Year					

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
Perspective: National Health Service and hospital		Other data sources e.g. transition probabilities		Incremental cost- effectiveness	
Cost year:2010				As no single efficacy value given for each treatment, there can be	
Source of funding				no incremental cost	
Not stated				effectiveness. Based on cost-minimisation analysis, atosiban is least costly.	
				Other reporting of results	
				Uncertainty	
Full citation	Study dates	Source of effectiveness data	Time horizon and discount rate	Cost per patient per alternative	Limitations
Pizzi,L.T., Seligman,N.S., Baxter,J.K., Jutkowitz,E., Berghella,V., Cost and cost effectiveness of vaginal	Not stated	Randomised multicenter controlled trial (RCT): PREGNANT. The trial was based in 44 sites in ten	Time Horizon: NA	Per mother VP USD 23,079	RCTs are are based on multiple countries so applying US costs models
progesterone gel in reducing preterm birth: an economic analysis of the	Intervention	countries.	Discount Rate: NA	Placebo USD 36,436	difficult. Costs include the cost of testing for a short
PREGNANT trial, Pharmacoeconomics, 32, 467-478, 2014	Vaginal Progesterone (VP)	Source of cost data	Method of eliciting	Effectiveness per	cervix and cervical cerclage in some instances. Some of
Ref Id		Services costed include cervical	health valuations (if applicable)	patient per alternative	the cost data was based published evidence that
323625	<b>Comparison(s)</b> Placebo	length screening, VP gel, antenatal hospitalization, cerclage, maternal	NA	Incremental benefit for VP as 0.0426 preterm	studied twins.
Economic study type		and neonatal costs. Assessment of costs based on published reimbursement sources and	Modelling approach	births averted	
Cost effectiveness analysis		scientific literature.			

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison		Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
Country(ies) where the study was done USA		reimbursement rates, published literature Luke 1996, St John 2000,	the outcomes associated with each of the different treatments		
Perspective & Cost Year					
Perspective: US healthcare payer		Other data sources e.g. transition probabilities		Uncertainty	
Cost Year: 2011				Probabilistic sensitivity	
Source of funding				analysis	
Watson Pharmaceuticals (now Actavis)					

## H.11 Fetal monitoring

## H.11.1 Monitoring options: cardiotocography and intermittent auscultation

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
	246)	Intrapartum electronic fetal monitoring (EFM) versus periodic	(EFM) and fetal blood gas sampling were compared with periodic auscultation (PA)	as intermittent auscultation, IA) n = 124	Detection bias: unclear how outcomes are ascertained, diagnosed or verified

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
electronic fetal monitoring in	Electronic EHP	auscultation (PA)	fetal weights of 700-1750g. The study was	Total perinatal/infant death	
	monitoring N = $122$	(also known as	carried out in three centres (Seattle,		
	Auscultation N = $124$	intermittent	Tacoma and Vancouver). All three	FEM 17/122 (12 00/)	
695, 1987		auscultation, IA)	hospitals were referral centres providing	<u>EFM: 17/122 (13.9%)</u> PA: 18/224 (14.5%)	
			tertiary care for their catchment area.	$\frac{17.10}{224}$	
Ref Id	Characteristics		From 499 women who fulfilled the	All deaths assumed in infants with high	
			inclusion criteria, 123 not enrolled for the	All deaths occurred in infants with birth weight < 1500g. The reason for death in	
	Included all women		following reasons: women were missed by personnel ( $n = 53$ ), women refused ( $n = 53$ )	28/35 was due to cardiopulmonary failure	
	enrolled (not restricted to		51), fetal abnormalities were detected	associated with hyaline membrane	
-	infants < 1750g): Maternal age, mean (SD)		upon admission ( $n = 11$ ), physician	disease. Of the seven remaining	
Study was carried out	iviatemai age, mean (SD)		refused (n = 6) and unknown (n = 2).	deaths, three were due to congenital	
USA	EFM: 25.7 (5.7)		Randomisation was done in each centre	abnormalities (two in EFM group and one	
	Periodic auscultation		with two sets of numbered, sealed	in the PA group), two were due to	
	(PA) (also known as		envelopes. Different coloured envelopes	pneumonia which developed after	
	intermittent auscultation,		were used for babies of 30 weeks	discharge home at one week and one month (both were in the PA	
Randomised control trial	IA): 25.6 (5.2)		gestation or more and those of less than	group), one occured two days after birth	
	Married		30 weeks gestation.	(in EFM group) in a baby born at 26	
	EFM: 71%		External monitoring was performed by	weeks gestation following premature	
_	PA: 69%		continuous Doppler ultrasound and	rupture of membranes and one still birth	
	No antenatal care		tocodynamometer when membranes were	occurred (in PA group) in baby born at 30	
	EFM: 0%		intact. Aminotomy was not performed until	weeks gestation following premature	
	PA: 2%		cervical dilation was 7cm unless clinically	rupture of membranes four days before	
	<u>Birth weight (g), mean</u> (SD)		indicated or poor CTG recording or FHR	labour.	
	EFM: 1633 (696)		abnormality was seen.		
	PA: 1589 (623)		At the onset of the non-reassuring or	Perinatal/infant death unaffected	
age.	171. 1000 (020)		ominous FHR patterns, left lateral decubitus positioning, oxygen, and	by fetal monitoring	
			intravenous infusion were started. For		
			non-reassuring or ominous patterns, fetal	EFM: 14/122 (11.8%)	
Study dates			scalp sampling was performed when it was	PA: 14/224 (11.5%)	
	Inclusion criteria		feasible (cervix dilated > 4cm). A	Number of women exposed to	
November 1981 to February			simultaneous venous sample was obtained	tocolytic agents	
1985 (Seattle)	<ul> <li>Singleton</li> </ul>		from antecubital vein in the mother. A	EFM: n = 65	
March 1982 to March 1983	pregnancy		fetal scalp pH > $7.25$ considered	PA: n = 77	
(Tacoma)	Cephalic		reassuring, 7.20 to 7.25 as pre-acidotic < 7.20 was considered acidotic if this value	Premature rupture of membranes	
April 1983 to February 1985	presentation		was 0.15 pH units less than that of the	EFM: n = 68	
(Vancouver)	<ul> <li>26 - 32 weeks</li> </ul>		mother.	PA: n = 58	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Source of funding Supported in part by grants from National Centre for Health Services Research and Health Care Technology and the National Centre for Health service Research and Health Care Technology	<ul> <li>Estimated fetal weight of 700 - 1750g</li> <li>Exclusion criteria         <ul> <li>Noncephalic presentation</li> <li>Inability to give informed consent</li> <li>Delivery too rapid</li> <li>Too young for institutional review board</li> <li>Non-English speaking</li> <li>Planned caesarean section before labour</li> <li>Placenta previa</li> <li>Known congenital abnormalities</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		<ul> <li>was performed for at least 30 sec every 15 min in the first stage of labour and every 5 min in second stage of labour. The protocol indicated that the study would be terminated if either electronic FHR monitoring or PA was seen to been associated with a significant improvement in survival rate.</li> <li>Women were cared for on one to one basis by a trained study nurse. Tocolytics were used based on the existing institutional policies and only given to those with intact membranes.</li> <li><u>Statistical analysis</u> Intention to treat analysis was performed. Logistic regression was used for analysis of the major endpoint (mortality and caesarean section).</li> </ul>	p = 0.16 Caesarean rate EFM: n = 19/122 (16%) PA: n = 18/124 (15%) p = 0.25 Umbilical cord arterial pH < 7.20 EFM: 6/122 PA: 9/124 Umbilical cord arterial pH ≥ 7.20 EFM: 74/122 PA: 72/124 Umbilical cord arterial not preformed EFM: 20/122 PA: 19/124 Umbilical cord venous pH < 7.20 EFM: 2/122 PA: 2/124 Umbilical cord venous pH ≥ 7.20 EFM: 78/122 PA: 74/124 Umbilical cord venous not preformed EFM: 20/122 PA: 24/124 Umbilical cord venous not preformed EFM: 20/122 PA: 24/124 Intracranial haemorrhage (501-700g) EFM: (grade II/V) n = 3/124 PA: (grade III/V) n = 0/124 Intracranial haemorrhage (701-900g) EFM: (grade III/V) n = 3/122 PA: (grade III/V) n = 3/122 EFM: (grade III/V) n = 3/122 EFM: (grade III/V) n = 4/124 PA: (grade III/V) n = 4/124 PA: (grade III/V) n = 4/124 PA: (grade III/V) n = 4/124	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Intracranial haemorrhage (900-1100g)	
				EFM: (grade I/II) n = 2/122	
				EFM: (grade III/V) n = $9/124$	
				PA: (grade I/II) n = 7/122	
				PA: (grade III/V) $n = 6/124$	
				Subtotal Intracranial haemorrhage	
				( <u>501-1100g</u> )	
				EFM: (grade I/II) n = 7/122	
				EFM: $(grade III/V) n = 16/124$	
				PA: (grade I/II) n = 11/122	
				PA: (grade III/V) n = 10/124	<b>、</b>
				Intracranial haemorrhage (1101-1300g	)
				EFM: (grade I/II) n = 6/122	
				EFM: (grade III/V) $n = 2/124$	
				PA: (grade I/II) n = 7/122	
				PA: (grade III/V) n = 3/124	、 、
				Intracranial haemorrhage (1301-1500g	)
				EFM: (grade I/II) n = 3/122	
				EFM: (grade III/V) n = 2/124	
				PA: (grade I/II) n = 5/122	
				PA: (grade III/V) n = 3/124	
				Intracranial haemorrhage (1501-1750g	)
				EFM: (grade I/II) n = 3/122	
				EFM: (grade III/V) n = 0/124	
				PA: (grade I/II) n = 4/122	
				PA: (grade III/V) n = 0/124	
				Subtotal Intracranial haemorrhage	
				<u>(1101-1750g)</u>	
				EFM: (grade I/II) n = 12/122	
				EFM: (grade III/V) n = 4/124	
				PA: (grade I/II) n = 16/122	
				PA: (grade III/V) n = 6/124	
				Total Intracranial haemorrhage (501-	
				<u>1750g)</u>	
				EFM: (grade I/II) n = 19/122	
				EFM: (grade III/V) n = 20/124	
				PA: (grade I/II) n = 27/122	
				PA: (grade III/V) n = 16/124	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Severe respiratory syndrome	
				Severe respiratory syndrome (501-	
				<u>700g)</u> EFM: n = 4/122	
				PA: $n = 2/124$	
				Severe respiratory syndrome (701-	
				900g)	
				EFM: n =9/122	
				PA: n = 10/124	
l				Severe respiratory syndrome (900-	
				<u>1100g)</u>	
				EFM: n = 8/122 PA: n = 6/124	
				Subtotal Severe respiratory syndrome	
				(501-1100g)	-
				EFM: $n = 21/122$	
				PA: n = 18/124	
				Severe respiratory syndrome (1101-	
				<u>1300g)</u>	
				EFM: n = 3/122	
				PA: n = 7/124	
				Severe respiratory syndrome (1301- 1500g)	
				EFM: $n = 7/122$	
				PA: n = 8/124	
				Severe respiratory syndrome (1501-	
l				<u>1750g)</u>	
				EFM: n = 2/122	
				PA: n = 2/124	
				Subtotal Severe respiratory syndrome	
				( <u>1101-1750g</u> ) EFM: n = 12/122	
				PA: n = 12/122	
				Total Severe respiratory syndrome	
				(501-1750g)	
				EFM: $n = 33/122$	
				PA: n = 35/124	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Seizure	
				<u>Seizure (501 - 700 g)</u>	
				EFM: n = 2/122	
				PA: n = 0/124	
				<u>Seizure (701 - 900 g)</u>	
				EFM: n = 1/122	
				PA: n = 3/124	
				<u>Seizure (900 - 1100 g)</u>	
				EFM: n = 3/122	
				PA: n = 3/124	
				Subtotal seizure (501 - 1100 g)	
				EFM: n = 6/122	
				PA: n = 6/124	
				<u>Seizure (1101 - 1300 g)</u>	
				EFM: n = 1/122	
				PA: n = 0/124	
				<u>Seizure (1301 - 1500 g)</u>	
				EFM: n = 0/122	
				PA: n = 1/124	
				<u>Seizure (1501 - 1750 g)</u>	
				EFM: n = 0/122	
				PA: n = 0/124	
				<u>Subtotal seizure (1101 - 1750 g)</u>	
				EFM: n = 1/122	
				PA: n = 1/124	
				<u>Total seizure (501 - 1750 g)</u>	
				EFM: n = 7/122	
				PA: n = 7/124	
				Spontanous vaginal birth	
				EFM: 88/122 (72%)	
				PA: 97/124 (78%)	
				p = 0.27	
				Primary indication for caesarean	
				<u>section</u>	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Failure to progressEFM: 3.3%PA: 2.4%Neonatal distressEFM: 8.2%PA: 5.6%HemorragheEFM: 0%PA: 2.4%Non-cephalic presentationEFM: 4.1%PA: 4.8%failure to progressEFM: 3.3PA: 2.4	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Shy,K.K., Olshan,A.F., Hickok,D.E., Luthy,D.A., Electronic fetal monitoring during premature labor and the occurrence of perinatal mortality in very low birthweight infants, Birth, 15, 14-18, 1988 <b>Ref Id</b> 305386 <b>Country/ies where the</b> <b>study was carried out</b> USA <b>Study type</b>	Total n = 304 EFM n = 213 Auscultation n = 91	Intrapartum electronic fetal monitoring (EFM) versus periodic auscultation (PA) (also known as intermittent auscultation, IA).	In a multihospital study in King County, Washington, the effect of EFM compared with periodic auscultation (PA) (also known as intermittent auscultation, IA) in singleton infants with birth weights of 700-1500g. Obstetrics records were reviewed for all 304 such pregnancies delivered during 1977-1979 at the 14 area hospitals that provide obstetric care. The fetal heart monitoring technique used in each labour was determined by reviewing the labour and delivery record of women. Most pregnancies managed with EFM had auscultation at least for a short period before the electronic monitoring commenced. The technique of EFM was performed and classified by either external or internal. EFM patterns were interpreted based on the Kubli et al.	<b>Perinatal mortality</b> EFM: 31% Periodic auscultation (also known as intermittent auscultation, IA): 54%	No standard protocol for periodic fetal auscultation used in the 14 participating hospitals. Womens' characteristics not reported.

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Retrospective cohort Aim of the study To examine the relationship between intrapartum electronic fetal monitoring (EFM) and perinatal mortality in premature pregnancies Study dates 1977 to 1979 Source of funding Not specified	Exclusion criteria      No intrapartum fetal monitoring     Neonatal malformation incompatible with life     Multiple gestations		classification. No standard protocol for periodic fetal auscultation was used in the 14 participating hospitals. Perinatal mortality (stillbirth and neonatal death) was determined from the mother's and infant's notes. All neonatal deaths in the study occurred in hospital between birth and 28 days. No attempt was made to verify that a neonatal death had not happened in the home. <u>Statistical analysis</u> Mantel-Haenszel procedure was used to adjust the relative risk for the confounding effect of socioeconomic and pregnancy complication factors. Logistic regression used to evaluate the joint effects of confounding factors on the relationship between electronic fetal monitoring and perinatal mortality. The variable included in the full logistic regression model were birth weight, place of birth, rupture of membranes, full course of betamethasone, infant sex, and gestational age.	EFM: 22% Periodic auscultation: 27% Adjusted* RR 0.82 (95%CI 0.39 to 1.7) <u>No premature rupture of membranes</u> EFM: 50% Periodic auscultation: 57% Adjusted* RR 0.88 (95%CI 0.59 to 1.3) <u>Premature rupture of membranes</u> EFM: 43% Periodic auscultation: 44% Adjusted* RR 0.88 (95%CI 0.50 to 1.9) <u>Non-cephalic presentation</u> EFM: 44% Periodic auscultation: 67% Adjusted* RR 0.66 (95%CI 0.37 to 1.2) <u>Cephalic presentation</u> EFM: 51% Periodic auscultation: 46% Adjusted* RR 1.10 (95%CI 0.70 to 1.7) <u>Birth in community hospital</u> EFM: 60% Periodic auscultation: 63% Adjusted* RR 0.95 (95%CI 0.60 to 1.5) <u>Birth in teritary centre</u> EFM: 37% Periodic auscultation: 43% Adjusted* RR 0.86 (95%CI 0.48 to 1.5) <u>All pregnancies</u> EFM: 49% Periodic auscultation: 54% Adjusted* RR 0.91 (95%CI 0.65 to 1.3)	

## H.11.2 CTG interpretation

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full sitetian	Comple size	luto menutio no	Detelle	Deputte	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	
Althaus, J.E., Petersen, S.M.,	Total n = 246	Intraparum	All births between 23 and 34 weeks		
Fox,H.E., Holcroft,C.J.,	Vaginal birth n = 136 (cases	fetal heart rate	gestation at a single university hospital	Agreement among 3 reviewers:	
Graham, E.M., Can electronic	n = 64, control $n = 72$ )	monitor		Kappa correlation: 0.52	
fetal monitoring identify	Caesarean Birth $n = 110$		150 babies with cerebral white matter	fair/moderate	
preterm neonates with	(cases n = 61, control n =		injury characterized by ventricular		
cerebral white matter injury?,	49)		dilatation due to white matter atrophy or	Analysis of electronic FHR	
Obstetrics and Gynecology,	,		periventricular leukomalacia were	trace	
105, 458-465, 2005			included. Control group consisted of n =		
	Characteristics		150 babies with no cerebral white matter	- Baseline (bpm) mean (SD)*	
Ref Id			injury who were matched to the next baby	Cases 144 (11.3)	
	Characteristics of women		born of the same gestational age +/- 7	Control 145.5 (15)	
59631	with vaginal birth		days.	( - )	
			Pregnancy dating was by best clinical	Number of baseline > 160 bpm*	
Country/ies where the	Gestational age		estimate using last menstrual period	Cases n = 25/125	
study was carried out	Cases (n = 64):		confirmed by ultrasonography.	Control n = 26/121	
-	27 ± 2.6				
USA	Control (n = 72):		Electronic fetal heart rate (FHR) monitoring	Time baseline > 160 bpm (min)*	
	27.2 ± 3.0		Electronic FHR traces were obtained for	Cases 37.0 (23)	
Study type	p = ns		125 (83%) of the cases and 121 (81%) of	Control 33.0 (22.7)	
			the controls. The last hour of electronic		
Case control	Birth weight		fetal monitoring before birth for those	Number of baseline < 110 bpm*	
	Cases (n = 64):		delivered by cesarean was reviewed. For	Cases n = 6/125	
	970 ± 259		cases and controls delivering vaginally, the	Control n = 5/121	
Aim of the study	Control (n = 72):		last hour of interpretable fetal heart rate		
	1064 ± 451		trace before birth was reviewed.	<u>Time baseline &lt; 110 bpm (min)*</u>	
To examine if electronic	p = ns			Cases 17.1 (21.3)	
monitoring can identify			Assessment	Control 33.5 (2.1)	
preterm fetuses diagnosed	Multiple gestation		The traces were interpreted by 3		
with brain injury during the	Cases (n = 64):		independent maternal-fetal medicine	<u>Baseline variability &lt; 5 bpm*</u>	
neonatal period.	n = 8		specialists blinded to neonatal outcome.	Cases n = 24/125	
	Control (n = 72):		The traces were evaluated based on the	Control n = 30/121	
	n = 7		National Institute of Child Health and		
	p = ns		Human Development guidelines.	Accelerations*	
Study dates			Each reviewer recorded:	Cases 36.9 (23)	
			- Baseline fetal heart rate	Control 33.5 (22.7)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
May 1994 to September 2001	Preeclamsia		- Time with fetal heart rate more than 160		
	Cases (n = 64):		beats per minute (bpm) (tachycardia) or	Reactive accelerations *	
	n = 11		less than 110 bpm (bradycardia),	Cases 25/125	
Source of funding	Control (n = 72):		- Number of accelerations	Control 25/121	
	n = 2		- Reactivity		
Not specified	p = 0.007		- Total number of decelerations,	Decelerations*	
			- Number of late, variable, or early	Cases 4.1 (4.2)	
	Histologic chorioamnionitis		decelerations.	Control 4.5 (4.45)	
	Cases (n = 64):			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	n = 40		FHR classification	Late decelerations*	
	Control (n = 72):		Short-term variability was classified	Cases 0.55 (1.57)	
	n = 49		according to the National Institutes of	Control 0.56 (1.06)	
	p = ns		Health guidelines, with:		
			- Grade 1 indicating undetectable	Variable decelerations*	
	Clinical chorioamnionitis		variability	Cases 3.36 (3.84)	
	Cases (n = 64):		- Grade 2 minimal variability with	Control 3.71 (3.73)	
	n = 15		amplitude range less than or equal to 5		
	Control (n = 72):		bpm	Early decelerations*	
	n = 19		- Grade 3 moderate variability with	Cases 0.19 (0.61)	
	p = ns		amplitude range from 6 to 25 bpm	Control 0.31 (0.91)	
	P 110		- Grade 4 marked variability with		
	Premature rupture of		amplitude range more than 25 bpm	Bradycardia episodes*	
	membranes		Severe variable decelerations: A decrease		
	Cases (n = $64$ ):		< 70 bpm or lasting > 60 seconds	Control n = $9/123$	
	n = 27		The number of bradycardic episodes		
	Control (n = $72$ ):		lasting > 2 minutes was recorded, as well	<u>Bradicardia nadir (bpm)*</u>	
	n = 41		as the nadir and length of the most severe	Cases 87.3 (4.1)	
	p = 0.09		bradycardic episode.	Control 83.3 (23.4)	
	p 0.00		brauycardic episode.	Control 65.5 (25.4)	
	Characteristics of women		Tocolysis	Bradicardia length (min)*	
	with caesarean birth		About half of the women in the cases and	Cases 5.88 (4.1)	
			control group received tocolytics therapy.	Control 5.02 (2.20)	
	Gestational age			* calculated by NCC-WCH	
	Cases (n = 61): $26.5 \pm 6.2$		Definition of outcomes	technical team	
	Cases ( $n = 01$ ): 20.3 $\pm$ 0.2 Control ( $n = 49$ ): 26.7 $\pm$ 6.3		The diagnosis of cerebral white matter		
	p = ns		injury was made by neonatal head	Woman with vaginal high	
			ultrasonogram. All neonates born between	Women with vaginal birth	
	Birth weight		23 and 32 weeks had at least 3 head	-	
			25 and 52 weeks had at least 3 head		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Cases (n = 61): 989 ± 327		ultrasonograms: the first at 24–72 hours	Neonatal death	
	Control (n = 49): 1070 ± 316		after birth, the second at 10–14 days of	Cases (n = 64):	
	p = ns		life, and the third at 6 weeks to specifically	n = 3	
			look for periventricular leukomalacia.	Control (n = 72):	
	Multiple gestation		Infants born between 32 and 34 weeks	n = 15	
	Cases (n = 61): n = 19		underwent head ultrasonography only if it	p = 0.006	
	Control (n = 49): n = 3		was felt warranted by the attending		
	p = 0.001		neonatologist.	Umbilical cord artery pH	
				Cases (n = 64):	
	Preeclamsia		Preeclampsia: defined as proteinuria,	7.29 ± 0.09	
	Cases (n = 61): n = 10		oedema, and the presence of new-onset	Control (n = 72):	
	Control (n = 49): n = 18		hypertension.	7.29 ± 0.10	
	p = 0.02		Intraventricular haemorrhage defined:	p = 1.0	
			Grade 1: indicating hemorrhage limited to		
	Histologic chorioamnionitis		the germinal matrix	Umbilical cord artery baes	
	Cases (n = 61): n = 20		Grade 2: intraventricular hemorrhage	excess (mmol/L)	
	Control (n = 49): n = 17		Grade 3: hemorrhage with ventricular	Cases (n = 64):	
	p = ns		dilatation	-2.71 ± 4.20	
			Grade 4: ventricular dilatation with	Control (n = 72):	
	Clinical chorioamnionitis		parenchymal extension of hemorrhage.	-2.74 ± 3.27	
	Cases (n = 61): n = 9			p = ns	
	Control (n = 49): n = 5		Chorioamnionitis: presence of maternal		
			fever, with the presence of at least one	Umbilical cord artery ph < 7.0	
	p = ns		other finding of fetal tachycardia, uterine	<u>baes excess &lt; -12.0 mmol/L</u>	
			tenderness, or purulent vaginal discharge.	Cases (n = 64):	
	Premature rupture of		i nete e gie en en e an e un giree e a	n = 1	
	membranes		when any polymorphonuclear leukocytes	Control (n = 72):	
	Cases (n = 61): n = 17		were seen in either the chorion or amnion,	n = 1	
	Control (n = 49): n = 17		or in significant amounts in the	p = ns	
	p = ns		subchorionic space.		
				Intraventricular hemorrhage	
			Analysis	Cases (n = 64):	
	Inclusion criteria		Continuous data were analysed using	n = 40	
			the t test, and categorical data with $\chi 2$ or	Control (n = $72$ ):	
	• 23 and 34 weeks		Fisher exact test using Stata 7.0 (Stata	n = 18	
	gestation			p = 0.001	
	gootation		SPSS 12.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL)		
			software. Linear regression with		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	<ul> <li>With cerebral white matter injury</li> <li>Exclusion criteria</li> <li>Babies with chromosomal abnormalities and congenital anomalies</li> </ul>		decelerations per hour and umbilical arterial pH and base excess. Kappa correlation for interobserver reliability was calculated to measure the agreement among the 3 reviewers. For this study, a kappa value less than 0.2 indicated poor agreement; 0.2–0.6, fair/moderate agreement; and more than 0.6, substantial agreement. To show a 100% increase to late decelerations per	Neonatal seizures Cases (n = 64): n = 2 Control (n = 72): n = 3 p = ns Women with caesarean section Neonatal death Cases (n = 64): n = 3 Control (n = 72): n = 3 p = ns Umbilical cord artery pH Cases (n = 64): 7.22 ± 0.19 Control (n = 72): 7.23 ± 0.11 p = ns Umbilical cord artery baes excess (nmol/L) Cases (n = 64): -4.20 ± 4.01 Control (n = 72): -4.15 ± 4.80 p = ns Umbilical cord artery ph < 7.0 baes excess < -12.0 mmol/L Cases (n = 64): n = 2 Control (n = 72): n = 2 p = ns Intraventricular hemorrhage Cases (n = 64): n = 28 Control (n = 72): n = 8 p = 0.001	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				<u>Neonatal seizures</u> Cases (n = 64): n = 1 Control (n = 72): n = 1 p = ns	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Bowes,C., Fetal heart rate monitoring in premature infants weighing 1,500 grams or less, American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology,	n = 61 Characteristics <u>Gestational age</u>	Electronic fetal heart rate monitor	Medical and fetal monitoring records of all births weighted 1500 grams or less was reviewed. $N = 61$ babies who had at least 30 minutes of fetal heart rate trace before birth, were included in the study.	Severe variable late decelerations (ominous periodic changes) Umbilical cord pH < 7.20 sensitivity 60.0% (Cl 26.3 to	High risk of selection bias. No clear inclusion and exclusion criteria. Unclear how data was analysed.
137, 791-796, 1980 Ref Id	25 – 35 (mean 27 ± 2.6) <u>Birth weight</u>		<u>FHR monitoring</u> Electronic fetal heart rate traces from last 30 minutes before birth evaluated	87.7) Specificity 100% (CI 86.6 to 100)	unclear fetal heart rate definition.
299950	660 – 1500g 1,039 ± 249.7		<u>Assessment</u> The tracess were interpreted by one of the	Positive likelihood ratio 0.0 Negative likelihood ratio 0.40(Cl 0.19 to 0.85)	
Country/ies where the study was carried out	<u>Caesarean section</u> n = $23/61$ (38%) Control (n = 72):		study's author without knowledge of neonatal outcomes. The traces and baseline fetal heart variability evaluated as	<u>Central nervous system</u> haemorrhage	
USA Studu turna			described by Paul et al., 1975 and Kubli et al., 1969.	Sensitivity 16.7% (CI 2.76 to 63.9)	
Study type Case series	<ul> <li>Inclusion criteria</li> <li>Birth weight &lt; 150g</li> <li>Available at least 30</li> </ul>		<u>FHR classification</u> Fetal heart rate accelerations, early decelerations and mild and moderate	Specificity 12.7% (CI 5.30 to 24.5) Positive likelihood ratio 0.19 (CI 0.03 to 1.15)	
<b>Aim of the study</b> To examine the association between abnormal fetal heart	minutes of interpretable FHR trace before birth		variable decelerations were regarded as 'benign periodic changes' whereas severe variable and late decelerations were classified as 'ominous periodic changes'	Negative likelihood ratio 6.55 (CI 3.00 to 14.27) <u>Respiratory distress syndrome</u> Sensitivity 12.0% (CI 2.69 to	
pattern and poor neonatal outcomes	Exclusion criteria		<u>Tocolysis</u> The use of tocolytics not reported.	31.2) Specificity 86.1% (CI 70.4 to 100)	
Study dates	Not specified		Definition of outcomes	Positive likelihood ratio 0.86 (0.23 to 3.29)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
January 1975 to December 1978 <b>Source of funding</b> Not specified			Central nervous system (CNS) haemorrhage was diagnosed in babies who exhibited: - seizures - fullness of anterior fontanelle,	Negative likelihood ratio 1.02 (Cl 0.84 to 1.24) <u>Neonatal death</u> Sensitivity 0.0 Specificity 84.3% (Cl 71.4 to 93) Positive likelihood ratio 0.0 Negative likelihood ratio 1.19 (Cl 1.05 to 1.34)	
			- decrease in the haematocrit	<u>Baseline variability &lt; 5bpm</u>	
			- blood in the cerebral spinal fluid	<u>Umbilical cord pH &lt; 7.20</u> Sensitivity 50.0% (CI 18.9 to 81.1)	
			Respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) was diagnosed if the all following were present: - arterial Po2 was < 50mm Hg in room	Specificity 92.3% (CI 74.9 to 98.3) Positive likelihood ratio 6.50 (CI 1.50 to 28.23) Negative likelihood ratio 0.54 (CI 0.29 to 1.02)	
			<ul> <li>air,</li> <li>increased ambient oxygen</li> </ul>	<u>Central nervous system</u> <u>haemorrhage</u> Sensitivity 10.0% (CI 1.66 to 44.5)	
			<ul> <li>continuous positive airway pressure or ventilation required &gt; 24 hours to support respiration</li> </ul>	Specificity 82.3% (CI 69.1 to 91.5) Positive likelihood ratio 6.57 (CI 0.08 to 3.99)	
			- chest x-ray evidence, no evidence of other disease caused RDS	Negative likelihood ratio 1.09 (CI 0.86 to 1.39)	
			<u>Analysis</u> not specified	Respiratory distress syndrome Sensitivity 12.0% (CI 2.69 to 31.25) Specificity 85.3% (CI 86.9 to 94.9)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Positive likelihood ratio 0.82 (Cl 0.21 to 3.10) Negative likelihood ratio 1.03 (Cl 0.84 to 1.26) <u>Neonatal death</u> Sensitivity 0.0 Specificity 81.8% (Cl 69.1 to 90.9) Positive likelihood ratio 0.0 Negative likelihood ratio 1.22 (Cl 1.08 to 1.38)	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	
Braithwaite,N.D.J., Milligan,J.E., Shennan,A.T., Fetal heart rate monitoring and neonatal mortality in the very preterm infant, American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 154, 250-254, 1986 <b>Ref Id</b> 270540 <b>Country/ies where the study was carried out</b> Canada <b>Study type</b> Retrospective cohort	n = 383 Characteristics • 26 to 30 weeks' gestational age Inclusion criteria • 26 to 30 weeks gestation Exclusion criteria • Congenital anomalies	Intraparum fetal heart rate monitor	All babies born <23 weeks gestation in the perinatal unit of a single university hospital during the study period were identified. In that population n = 39 babies died. Fetal heart rate patterns of n = 26 infants who died were matched for gestational age with those of infants who did not die or demonstrate developmental abnormalities after a 1-year follow-up were analyzed. <u>FHR monitoring</u> Electronic fetal heart rate traces were obtained by a combination of direct and indirect electronic signals. The FHR patterns were analysed during the last 30 minutes of first stage of labour for vaginal birth or the last 30 minutes of tracing before the caesarean section for those who had caesarean section. <u>Assessment</u> The tracings were interpreted by 2 independent observer blinded to each	Abnormal trace in dead infants group n = 23/26 Normal trace in dead infants group $n = 3^*/26$ Abnormal trace in control infants group n = 16/31 Normal trace in control infants group n = 15/31 Abnormal vs normal trace Sensitivity 86.5% (69.8 to 77.7)** Specificity 48.39 % (30.17 to 66.9)** Positive likelihood ratio 1.71 (1.19 to 2.48)**	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Aim of the study To determine the usefulness of intrapartum fetal heart rate monitoring and its effect on neonatal outcome. Study dates January 1979 to December 1982 Source of funding Not specified	<ul> <li>&lt;26 or &gt;30 weeks gestation</li> </ul>		evaluated based on the Fischer et al (1976) and Hammacher (1974) to define whether a trace was normal or abnormal. The abnormal traces were further subdivided to base line >160 bpm, absent accelerations, decreased variability, or decelerative activity. <u>FHR classification</u> Benign variable deceleration was classified according to the Krebs et al (1979) classification. Variability was defined according to criteria of Fischer et al (1976) and Hammacher (1974) <u>Tocolysis</u> Use of tocolysis not specified. <u>Definition of outcomes</u> No outcomes definition reported.	died after caesarean birth for placenta previa complicated by	
			<u>Analysis</u> Continuous data were analysed using the t test, and categorical data with $\chi^2$ analysis. In order to compare quantitative analysis with the widely used qualitative analysis, a second observer evaluated each tracing. Agreement between the observers was noted in 90% of cases		
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Martin,Jr, Siassi,B., Hon,E.H., Fetal heart rate patterns and neonatal death in low birthweight infants, Obstetrics		Intraparum fetal heart rate monitor	The fetal heart rate (FHR) recording of 73 babies with the birth weight of < 2000 g, born during study period were studied retrospectively.	<u>Neonatal outcomes for babies</u> born < 35 weeks gestation	Unclear how and by whom the data was assessed

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
and Gynecology, 44, 503- 510, 1974	Not specified		<u>FHR monitoring</u> The traces were reviewed and classified according to Kubli et al. (1969) were	Respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) n = 17/73	
<b>Ref Id</b> 196711	Inclusion criteria		employed and categorised based on the severity; early deceleration (head	Neonatal death due to RDS n = 11/73	
Country/ies where the study was carried out	<ul> <li>infants weighing 500-1250g</li> </ul>		compression), mild and moderate variable deceleration (cord compression), mild and moderate deceleration (uteroplacental insufficiency), severe variable deceleration, and severe late deceleration.	Neonatal death due to other reason* n = 5/73	
USA	Exclusion criteria				
Study type	Not specified		Assessment The maternal and neonatal charts were reviewed independantly	$\frac{\text{Tachycardia} > 180 \text{ bpm}}{\text{n} = 4/73 (3/4 \text{ died of RDS})}$	
Retrospective cohort			<u>FHR classification</u> The recordings were also classified	FHR pattern in neonatal died due to RDS Severe late variable dedeleration	
Aim of the study			according to the baseline FHR: < 120, 120 - 160, 161 – 180 and > 180bpm. The	n = 10/11 Mild/moderate variable	
To examine associations between fetal heart rate (FHR) patterns and perinatal outcome.			<ul> <li>magnitudes of the fluctuations were: 0-5, 6</li> <li>25 and &gt; 25 bpm.</li> <li>Grade 1 indicating undetectable variability</li> </ul>	decelerations n = 1/11 p < 0.05	
			<u>Tocolysis</u> Tocolytics therapy not reported	* congenital abnormalities n =2, necrotizing enterocolitis, purulent meningitis, hydrops	
<b>Study dates</b> 1978 and 1979			<u>Definition of outcomes</u> Neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) was determined base on recorded physical findings and clinical course,	fetalis	
Source of funding			together with supporting data from x-ray and clinical laboratory.		
Not specified			<u>Analysis</u> Not specified		
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Kariniemi,V., Jarvenpaa,A.L.,	n = 125	Intrapartum	Data collected from a university hospital in	Mode of birth	
Teramo,K., Fetal heart rate	11 - 120		Helsinki, the obstetrics' records of women		
patterns and perinatal		monitor	and fetal heart rate (FHR) trace of babies		Other information
outcome of very-low-	Characteristics	mornitor	weighted 500g to 1250g were reviewed	Spontaneous vaginal birth	
birthweight infants, British			and included in the study. the study	Monitored (n = $79$ ):	- n = 21 twins
Journal of Obstetrics and	Gestational age		population compromised a group of 79	n = 29	included
Gynaecology, 91, 18-22,	Monitored (n = 79):		babies for whom FHR trace were available	Not monitored (n = 46):	- No clear
1984	29 ± 2		compared with a group of n = 46 babies	n = 38	inclusion/exclusion
	Not monitored (n = 46):		without FHR tracing.		criteria
Ref Id	28 ± 3		5		- All CTG traces were
			FHR Monitoring	Operative vaginal birth	included as most
196720	Birth weight		CTG Monitoring were mainly carried out by		babies were delivered
	Monitored (n = 79):		ultrasound (HP cardiotocograph) and in	n = 3	by caesarean before
Country/ies where the	1013 ± 177		only few instance by direct or abdominal	Not monitored $(n = 46)$ :	labour began
study was carried out	Not monitored (n = 46):		cardiotocography	n = 3	- no clear definition of
	821 ± 210		0 1 9		FHR patterns
Finland	Intrapartum complications		Assessment	Caesarean section	
			A total of 782 hours of recording was	Monitored (n = 79):	
Study type	premature rupture of		interpreted visually by on of the study's	n = 47 (59%)	
	membranes (PROM): n = 32		author from ante and intrapartum CTG	Not monitored (n = 46):	
Case control	Premature contractions		without knowledge of the outcomes	n = 5 (11%)	
	without PROM: n = 28				
	Preeclampsia: n = 16		Tocolysis		
Aim of the study	Twins: n = 21		Tocolytics agent were often used therefore	Mortality	
<b>-</b>	Cervical insufficiency with		it was difficult to differentiate labour	-	
To examine associations	cerclage: n = 10		contraction and premature uterine	Total death	
between fetal heart rate	Placental abruption: n = 8		activities	Monitored (n = 79):	
(FHR) patterns and perinatal	Placenta praevia: n = 5			n = 33 (42%)	
outcome	Major anomalies: n =8		FHR classification	Not monitored (n = 46):	
	Unexplained intrauterine		Reactive FHR: $\geq 2$ accelerations > 1 bpm	n = 39 (85%)	
Study datas	death: n =6		in 30minutes of recording		
Study dates	Intrauterine death with		Non-reactive FHR: < 2 accelerations >	Still-born	
1978 - 1979	umbilical complication: n = 1		15bpm in 30minutes of recording	Monitored (n = 79):	
1910 - 1919			Deceleration: a deceleration were	n = 5	
			recorded when late or variable	Not monitored (n = 46):	
Source of funding	Inclusion criteria		deceleration was observed. Pure late	n = 22	
			deceleration was rare		
			Silent pattern: Total RHR variability was <		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Not specified however they acknowledged that one of the study's author was supported by the Foundation for Pediatric Research	Birth-weight 50g to 1250g  Exclusion criteria Not specified		5bpm for > 5 minutes Combined distress patterns: When a deceleration and a silent pattern were observed together in the same 30 minutes recording <u>Definition of outcomes</u> Respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) was defined in the presence of tachypnoea, retraction and granting, hypoxaemia in room air and air bronchogram and reticulogranular pattern in X-ray when symptoms appears 6 hours after birth and lasted 24 hours <u>Analysis</u> Significance of relative risks was assessed by the X <sup>2</sup> test with Yates' correction	$\frac{\text{Neonatal death}}{\text{Monitored } (n = 79):}$ $n = 26$ Not monitored $(n = 46):$ $n = 17$ $\frac{\text{Postnatal death}}{\text{Monitored } (n = 79):}$ $n = 2$ Not monitored $(n = 46):$ $n = 0$ $\frac{\text{Main causes of death}}{\text{Intracranial haemorrhage and}}$ $\frac{\text{Intracranial haemorrhage and}}{\text{respiratory distress}}$ Monitored $(n = 31):$ $n = 9$ Not monitored $(n = 39):$ $n = 3$ $\frac{\text{Intracranial haemorrhage}}{\text{Monitored } (n = 39):}$ $n = 2$ Not monitored $(n = 39):$ $n = 2$ Not monitored $(n = 39):$ $n = 0$ $\frac{\text{Respiratory distress}}{\text{Monitored } (n = 39):}$ $n = 1$ $\frac{\text{Immaturity}}{\text{Monitored } (n = 31):}$ $n = 5$ Not monitored $(n = 39):$ $n = 9$	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Infection Monitored (n = 31): n = 2 Not monitored (n = 39): n = 3	
				<u>Anomalies</u> Monitored (n = 31): n = 4 Not monitored (n = 39): n = 5	
				<u>Rhesus isoimmunization</u> Monitored (n = 31): n = 1 Not monitored (n = 39): n = 0	
				Fetofetal transfusionMonitored (n = 31):n = 1Not monitored (n = 39):n = 1	
				<u>Placental complication</u> Monitored (n = 31): n = 1 Not monitored (n = 39): n = 6	
				<u>Not defined</u> Monitored (n = 31): n = 2 Not monitored (n = 39): n = 11	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Neonatal death in presence of abnormal RHR patterns Decelerations* Sensitivity 53.8% (33.4 to 73.4) Specificity 16.67% (7.50 to 30.2) Positive likelihood ratio 0.65 (0.44 to 0.94) Negative likelihood ratio 0.77 (1.30 to 5.60) Silent pattern* Sensitivity 42.3% (23.4 to 63.0)	
				Specificity 29.2% (16.9 to 44.0) Positive likelihood ratio 0.60 (0.37 to 0.97) Negative likelihood ratio 0.77 (1.14 to 3.43) 	
				(1.49 to 3.49) <u>Non-reactive pattern*</u> Sensitivity 50.0% (29.9 to 70.0) Specificity 14.6% (6.10 to 27.7) Positive likelihood ratio 0.59 (0.39 to 0.87) Negative likelihood ratio 0.77 (1.56 to 7.52) <u>Abnormal pattern*</u>	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Sensitivity 80.7% (60.6 to 93.3) Specificity 8.33% (2.37 to 20.2) Positive likelihood ratio 0.88 (0.72 to 1.08) Negative likelihood ratio 2.31 (0.68 to 7.86)	
				Respiratory distress syndrome in presence of abnormal RHR patterns	
				Decelerations* Sensitivity 59.3% (40.6 to 76.2) Specificity 18.9% (8.0 to 35.1) Positive likelihood ratio 0.73 (0.53 to 1.01) Negative likelihood ratio 2.15 (0.98 to 4.72)	
				Silent pattern* Sensitivity 50.0% (31.9 to 68.1) Specificity 27.0% (13.8 to 44.1) Positive likelihood ratio 0.69 (0.46 to 1.02) Negative likelihood ratio 1.85 (0.98 to 3.48)	
				Combined distress pattern* Sensitivity 37.5% (21.1 to 56.3) Specificity 40.5% (24.7 to 57.9) Positive likelihood ratio 0.63 (0.37 to 1.06) Negative likelihood ratio 2.54 (0.96 to 2.48)	
				Non-reactive pattern* Sensitivity 68.7% (49.9 to 83.8) Specificity 24.3% (11.8 to 41.2)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Positive likelihood ratio 0.91 (0.68 to 1.22) Negative likelihood ratio 1.28 (0.60 to 2.76)	
				Abnormal pattern* Sensitivity 81.2% (63.5 to 92.7) Specificity 8.11% (1.80 to 21.9) Positive likelihood ratio 0.88 (0.73 to 1.07) Negative likelihood ratio 2.31 (0.63 to 8.51)	
				* Calculated by NCC-WCH technical team	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	
Nisenblat,V., Alon,E., Barak,S., Gonen,R., Bader,D., Ohel,G., Fetal heart rate patterns and neurodevelopmental outcome	n = 111 Characteristics	Intraparum fetal heart rate monitor	Babies born during the study period at the Bnai-Zion Hospital in Haifa who met the inclusion criteria were included in the study. Fetal heart rate traces were recorded electronically by Hewlett Packard	<u>Normal neurodevelopmental</u> <u>function at 2 years of age</u> n = 97/111 (87.4%)	
in very low birth weight infants, Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica, 85, 792-796, 2006	<u>Gestational age, weeks</u> ( <u>mean ± SD</u> ) Normal Function (n = 97): 30.2 ± 2.3 Mild/moderate impairment (n		or Corometrics monitors during the last hour prior to delivery. A perinatologist, blinded to the neonatal outcome, evaluated the tracings and divided them into three groups – reassuring, non-	<u>Variable degrees of</u> <u>neurodevelopmental impairment</u> n = 14/111 (12.6%)	
Ref Id	= 6): 29.3 ± 3.1 Severe impairment (n =		reassuring, and pathological.	Abnormal neurodevelopmental	
169851	8): 29.1 ± 2.5 p = 0.36		at age 2 years.	outcome Reassuring (normal) FHR (n =	
Country/ies where the study was carried out	<u>Birth weight</u> Normal Function (n =		FHR monitoring Fetal heart rate tracings were obtained during the last hour prior to delivery	35) 14.3% Pathological (n =20)	
Israel	97): 1,224.8 ± 223.9 Mild/moderate impairment (n = 6): 1,173.3 ± 323.9		Assessment	(15.0%) p = 0.77	

Study type				
	Severe impairment (n =	The traces were reviewed by a single	Pathological fetal heart rate	
	8): 1,121.3 ± 181.4	perinatologist who was blinded to the	patterns as a predictor of	
Prospective cohort	p = 0.42	neonatal outcome but was aware whether	neurodevelopmental outcome	
		the tracing were recorded in the active	Sensitivity 27%	
	<u>Parity</u>	labour or not. The traces were classified as	Specificity 74%	
Aim of the study	Normal Function (n = 97): $1.2 \pm 1.7$	normal, pathological or non-reassuring.	Positive likelihood ratio 1.03* Negative likelihood ratio of 0.98*	
To evaluate the validity of	Mild/moderate impairment (n	FHR classification	5	
fetal heart rate monitoring	= 6): 1.8 ± 2.1	Normal FHR trace was defined as:	*Calculated by NCC-WCH technical	
during the last hour prior to	Severe impairment (n = 8):	- Baseline fetal heart rate 110 -160bpm	team	
birth, as a predictor of long	1.1 ± 1.3	- Variability 6 – 25bpm		
term neurodevelopmental	p = 0.65	- presence of the two accelerations in any		
outcome of very low birth		20 minute window (peak of 15bpm, from		
weight infants.	Caesarean section	baseline and above, and duration of at		
	Normal Function n = 70/97	least 15 seconds)		
	(72.2%)	Before 32 weeks gestation or in active		
Study dates	Mild/moderate impairment n	labour, mild variable decelerations and		
	= 4/6 (66.7%)	absence of acceleration were considered a		
1993 to 2000	Severe impairment n = 5/8 (71.4%)	normal tracing		
	$\dot{p} = 0.99$	Pathological tracing were defined as:		
Source of funding		- Baseline fetal heart rate >160bpm or <		
		110bpm		
Not specified	Inclusion criteria	- Absence of FHR variability (amplitude		
		range undetectable)		
	Singolton	- Either recurrent late accelerations		
	Singelton	(deceleration is associated with the uterine		
	pregnancy	contraction, with nadir of the deceleration		
	<ul> <li>Birth weight ≤</li> </ul>	occurring after peak of the contraction) or		
	1500g	recurrent severe variable decelerations		
	Continous fetal	(decrease in FHR below 70 beats/minute		
	heart rate monitor	lasting longer than 60 seconds or other		
	one hour before	decelerations with slow return to baseline,		
	birth	associated with the uterine contractions,		
	<ul> <li>Follow up dat were</li> </ul>	the onset, depth, and duration vary with		
	available to the age	successive uterine contractions)		
	of 2 years			

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Exclusion criteria Not specified		Non-reassuring tracing was intermediate between normal and pathological, defined as: - Various combination of abnormal fetal heart rate baseline - Reduced FHR variability (detectable, but < 5bpm) - Absence of accelerations (at ≥ 32 weeks gestation and not in active labour,) and occasional variable or late decelerations <u>Tocolysis</u> Tocolytics therapy not reported <u>Definition of outcomes</u> For each baby a 'Health Status Questionnaire' was obtained at 2 years of age, at either a clinic follow-up visits or by telephone interview by parents. All children with any neurodevelopmental abnormality underwent formal assessment at the child developmental centre. Normal function included children with no functional disabilities or developmental delay. Cases with the very mild delay at age of 2 years (fine motor or mild expressive dysfunction or mild gait instability) were also classified as normal. Severe impairment included children with cerebral palsy, blindness or deafness. Mild moderate impairment included all cases that did not meet the criteria for either normal or severe impairment (squint, speech delay with hearing loss, growth retardation after bowel resection).		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			Continuous data were analysed using the t test, and categorical data with $\chi^2$ or Fisher exact test. SPSS 11.5 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) software was used for the statistical analysis.		
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	
Aina-Mumuney,A.J., Althaus,J.E., Henderson,J.L., Blakemore,M.C., Johnson,E.A., Graham,E.M., Intrapartum electronic fetal monitoring and the identification of systemic fetal inflammation, Journal of Reproductive Mediaine, 52	Preterm: n = 75 cases n = 75 controls <b>Characteristics</b> <u>Birth weight</u> Cases (n = 75):	Intrapartum fetal heart rate monitor	All births preterm and near term birth at a single university hospital during the study period were identified. Each case was required to have both histologically confirmed chorioamnionitis and funisitis. All birth at $\leq$ 34 weeks gestation had the pathological examination of placenta. The pathology data base was used to determine all the case with histologically	Kappa correlation for interobserver reliability (agreement between two trace reviewers): 0.49 fair/moderate agreement Neonatal outcomes in preterm population	
Reproductive Medicine, 52, 762-768, 2007	1627 ± 553		confirmed chorioamnionitis during the	cases: n = 75 with systemic fetal	
<b>Ref Id</b> 117721	Control (n = 75): 1609 ± 600 p = 0.71		study period. Each birth with histologically confirmed fetal inflammation (case) was matched with the subsequent birth within the 7 days of the same gestational age by	inflammation control: n = 75 with no systemic fetal inflammation	
Country/ies where the study was carried out	<u>Multiple gestation</u> Cases (n = 75): n = 3		the same mode of birth without the placental or umbilical cord inflammation (control).	<u>Neonatal death</u> Cases (n = 75) n = 1	
USA	Control (n = 75): n = 22 p = 0.01		Pregnancy dating was by best clinical estimate using last menstrual period confirmed by ultrasonography.	Control (n = 75): n = 2 p = 0.56	
Study type					
Case control study	<u>Preeclamsia</u> Cases (n = 75): n = 2 Control (n = 75):		<u>FHR monitoring</u> Electronic fetal heart rate tracings were stored electronically. The last 2 hours of electronic fetal monitoring before birth was	$\frac{\text{Intraventricular haemorrhage}}{\text{Cases (n = 75):}}$ n = 13 Control (n = 75):	
Aim of the study	n = 23 p < 0.001		reviewed.	Control (n = 75): n = 14 p = 0.83	
To determine if intrapartum electronic fetal heart rate monitoring (EFM) can identify the fetal in utero systemic inflammatory	<u>Clinical chorioamnionitis</u> Cases (n = 75): n = 22		<u>Assessment</u> The tracings were interpreted by 3 maternal - fetal medicine specialists blinded to placental pathology result.	p = 0.83 <u>Periventricular leukomalacia</u> Cases (n = 75): n = 3	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
response or neonatal sepsis, risk	Control (n = 75):			Control (n = 75):	
factors for the development of brain	n = 4		FHR classification	n = 1	
injury	p = 0.0001		The traces evaluated based on the National Institute of Child Health and	p = 0.31	
Study dates	Premature rupture of membranes		Human Development guidelines.	<u>Sepsis</u> Cases (n = 75):	
	Cases (n = 75):		Tocolysis	n = 2	
June 1999 to July 2003	n = 22 Control (n = 75):		The use of tocolysis not specified	Control (n = 75): n = 7	
	n = 17		Definition of outcomes	p = 0.17	
Source of funding	p =0.35		Chorioamnionitis: presence of maternal		
			fever with the presence of at least one	Preterm birth	
Not specified			other finding of fetal tachycardia, uterine	Cases (n = 75):	
	Inclusion criteria		tenderness, or purulent vaginal discharge.	n = 18	
			Women diagnosed with chorioamnionitis	Control (n = $75$ ):	
	<ul> <li>All birth with histologically</li> </ul>		were immediately started intravenous ampicillin and gentamycin if not allergic.	n = 18 n = 1.0	
	confirmed chorioamnionities and funisitis • preterm 23 - 36 weeks and term ≥37 (results were analysed separately)		<u>Analysis</u> Continuous data were analysed using the t test, and categorical data were compared using a McNemar's test, with p < 0.05 considered significant. The ability of FHR monitoring to predict sepsis were assessed by constructing several unconditional linear regression models, which included gestational age and mode of birth. For each model receiver operative characteristic (ROC) curves were produced.	7.30 $\pm$ 0.08 Control (n = 75): 7.25 $\pm$ 0.11 n = 0.01 <u>Umbilical cord artery pH</u> Cases (n = 75): -2.6 $\pm$ 3.1 Control (n = 75): -3.7 $\pm$ 3.6	
	Exclusion criteria		Kappa correlation for interobserver reliability was calculated to measure the	p = 0.13	
	<ul> <li>Congenital malformations</li> <li>Chromosomal abnormalities</li> </ul>		agreement among the 3 reviewers. For this study, a kappa value > 0.75 indicated excellent reproducibility; 0.4–0.75, fair/moderate agreement; and less than 0.4, poor agreement.	Estimated OR of systemic fetal inflammation, comapring electronic FHR parametere in term and pre-term	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Preterm birth n = 150 Cases: n = 75 with systemic fetal inflammation Control: n = 75 with no systemic fetal inflammation	
				$\frac{Term \text{ birth}}{n = 126}$ Cases: n = 63 with systemic fetal inflammation Control: n = 63 with no systemic fetal inflammation	
				<u>Baseline FHR (bpm)</u> Term cases 153 ± 16 Pre-term cases 139 ± 13 p < 0.001	
				<u>Tachycardia</u> OR (CI) Pre-term birth 1.38 (0.30 to 6.42) OR (CI) Term cases 8.93 (2.43 to 32.84)	
				p < 0.05 <u>Decreased short term variability</u> OR (CI) Pre-term birth 0.71 (0.34 to 1.50) OR (CI) Term cases 2.12 (0.55 to 8.21) p = ns	
				Reactivity OR (CI) Pre-term birth 0.96 (0.49 to 1.87) OR (CI) Term cases 0.41 (0.19 to 0.88)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				p < 0.05	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Douvas,S.G., Meeks,G.R., Graves,G., Intrapartum fetal heart rate monitoring as a	n = 89	Intrapartum fetal heart rate monitor	From 1318 women delivered during the study period at a single university hospital n = 1,025 babies were monitored	Asphyxia, hyaline membrane disease and FHR among low birth-weight (≤ 1800g)	Inclusion/exclusion and women characteristics not reported hence high risk
predictor of fetal distress and immediate neonatal condition	Characteristics		electronically during the intrapartum period. $N = 89$ low birth babies included in	Abnormal fetal heart rate	of selection bias
in low-birth weight (<1,800 grams) infants, American Journal of Obstetrics and	Not specified		the study. All babies weighted < 1800	tracings n = 27 (30%) Normal fetal heart rate tracings	
Gynecology, 148, 300-302, 1984	Inclusion criteria		FHR monitoring	n = 62 (72%)	
Ref Id	Not specified		Electronic fetal heart rate traces were obtained during the intrapartum period	Birth asphyxia Abnormal fetal heart rate	
299967	Exclusion criteria		Assessment Three independent obstetricians blinded to	tracings n = 24/27 (89%) Normal fetal heart rate tracings	
Country/ies where the study was carried out	Not specified		neonatal outcome interpreted the traces	n = 9/62 (14%) p < 0.001	
USA			FHR classification Fetal heart rate considered as abnormal in	Sensitivity 72.7% (54.4% to 86.7%)	
Study type			the following incidents: - late decelerations defined as persistent decelerations following 50% of	Specificity 94.6% (85.1% to 98.9%) Likelihood ratio positive 13.5	
Case series			the contractions over a 30 minutes period - severe variable decelerations defined	(4.43 to 41.6)* Likelihood ratio negative 0.29	
Aim of the study			as decelerations < 70 bpm for > 60 seconds - absent or minimal beat to beat	(0.16 to 0.50)* Hyaline membrane disease	
To examine predictive value of fetal heart rate monitoring for identifying those low-birth weight babies who are at high			variability, defined as < 5 bpm over a 30 minute period - Prolonged bradycardia defined as FHR < 100 bpm persistently over a period	Abnormal fetal heart rate tracings n = 20/27 (74%) Normal fetal heart rate tracings	
risk for asphyxia and hyaline membrane disease.			of > 3 minutes	n = 10 (16%) p < 0.001 Sensitivity 66.7% (47.2% to 82.7%)*	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Study dates			<u>Tocolysis</u> About half of the women in the cases and control group received tocolytics therapy	Specificity 88.1% (77.0% to 95.0%)* Likelihood ratio positive 5.62	
January to April 1981			<u>Definition of outcomes</u> The measure of asphyxia was based on the one of the following:	(2.68 to 11.78)* Likelihood ratio negative 0.38 (0.23 to 0.63)*	
Source of funding			- Apgar score < 3 at 1 minute or < 6 at 5	* Calculated by NCC-WCH	
Not specified			minutes - immediate resuscitation requiring positive pressure oxygen for > 1 minute - pH < 7.25 on arrival in the neonatal intensive care unit	technical team	
			The criterion for hyaline membrane disease was: - > 0.50 forced inspiratory oxygen needed for more that 24 hours - clinical and radiological features compatible with hyaline membrane disease.		
			The diagnosis of transient tachypnea of the new born was made of a respiratory rate of > 60 breaths per minute which resolved without oxygen therapy		
			<u>Analysis</u> The data was compared using $\chi$ 2 statistic for 2 x 2 tables		
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	
Rayburn,W.F., Johnson,M.Z., Hoffman,K.L., Donn,S.M., Nelson,R.M.,Jr., Intrapartum fetal heart rate patterns and neonatal intraventricular hemorrhage, American	n = 72 Characteristics	Intrapartum fetal heart rate monitor	All births between 26 and 34 weeks gestation at two university hospitals during the study period were identified. All included babies were delivered after premature labour and weighed less than or equal to 2000g. Preterm birth defined as	FHR patterns and preterm babies with IVH and with no IVH IVH n = 38 No IVH n = 38	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Journal of Perinatology, 4,	Gestational age at birth		uterine contractions occurring at lease	_	
98-101, 1987	IVH (n = 38):		every 10 minutes and lasting at least 30	Normal Pattern	
	28.5 ± 1.8		seconds. Labour was managed	IVH n = 17/38 (45%)	
Ref Id	No IVH (n = 38):		expectantly in cases with ruptured	No IVH n = 18/38 (47%)	
	29.2 ± 2.0		membranes. Cases with intraventricular	n = ns	
195957	p = ns		haemorrhage (IVH) were identified and a	Sensitivity 55.2 (38.3 to 71.3)	
			matched group with no IVH was selected	Specificity 47.3 (31 to 61.1)	
Country/ies where the	Birth weight		during the same period. Each infant in the	Positive likelihood ratio 1.05	
study was carried out	IVH (n = 38):		control group was matched to each study	(0.69 to 1.59)	
	1320 ± 78		infant if the there wasno evidence of IVH,	Negative likelihood ratio 0.94	
USA	No IVH (n = 38):		had similar gestational age and birth	(0.58 to 1.54)	
	1392 ± 92		weight, and had FHR monitor at the first		
Study type	p = ns		stage of labour for the same duration.	-	
				<u>Suspicious</u>	
Case control	Antepartum complication		FHR monitoring	IVH n = 7/38 (18%)	
	IVH (n = 38):		Electronic fetal heart rate tracings were	No IVH n = 8/38 (21%)	
	n = 7		evaluated if the tracing was obtained for	p = ns	
Aim of the study	No IVH (n = 38):		the minimum of the 20 minutes at the first	Sensitivity 29.1 (12.6 to 51)	
	n = 6		stage of labour	Specificity 69.2 (48.2 to 85.6)	
To examine the	p = ns			Positive likelihood ratio 0.95 (0.41 to	
interpretations of intrapartum			Assessment	2.22)	
FHR patterns of low birth	Cephalic presentation		Two obstetricians independently blinded to	Negative likelihood ratio 1.02 (0.71 to	
babies in predicting neonatal	IVH (n = 38):		neonatal outcome interpreted the tracings.	1.47)	
IVH.	n = 28		If discrepancy found another independent	-	
	No IVH (n = 38):		interpretation was sought. The traces		
	n = 31		evaluated according to Strauss et	Ominous	
<b>-</b> · · · ·	p = ns		al (1985) into three groups of reassuring,	IVH n = 14/38 (37%)	
Study dates			suspicious and ominous	No IVH n = 12/38 (32%)	
1070 1 1001	Vaginal birth			p = ns	
1979 to 1984	IVH (n = 38):		FHR classification	Sensitivity 45.1 (27.3 to 63.9)	
	n = 21		- Reassuring trace defined as normal	Specificity 60 (40.6 to 77.3)	
a <i>cc</i>	No IVH (n = 38):		pattern with or without occasional mild or	Positive likelihood ratio 1.13 (0.63 to	
Source of funding	n = 18		moderate variable decelerations	2.03)	
Not on a difficul	p = ns		- Suspicious: intermittent late	Negative likelihood ratio 0.91 (0.59 to 1.41)	
Not specified			deceleration, decreased variability, or	1.41)	
	<u>Nulliparous</u>		tachycardia present		
	IVH (n = 38):		- Ominous pattern: consistent with		
	n = 17		repetitive severe variable or late		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	No IVH (n = 38): n = 20 p = ns Inclusion criteria • Singleton • Birth weight 600 - 2000g • 26- 34 gestational weeks • Documented labour for at least 20 minutes shortly before birth Exclusion criteria Not specified		decelerations or repetitive prolonged decelerations (>2 minute) Suspicious or ominous pattern that were continuous and repetitive for > 30 minute were considered indicative of fetal distress <u>Tocolysis</u> Not specified <u>Definition of outcomes</u> The diagnosis IVH was made by neonatal ultrasound examinations within 24 hours and on the 7 <sup>th</sup> day of life. Radiology staff without knowledge of any FHR abnormalities interpreted the ultrasound. Intraventricular haemorrhage defined: Grade 1: subpendymal only Grade 2: intraventricular with normal ventricular size Grade 3: haemorrhage with ventricular dilatation Grade 4: ventricular dilatation with parenchymal extension of haemorrhage <u>Analysis</u> Continuous were compared using		
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Matsuda,Y., Maeda,T., Kouno,S., The critical period of non-reassuring fetal heart rate patterns in preterm gestation, European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology, 106, 36-39, 2003	n = 772 <b>Characteristics</b> Not specified	Intraparum fetal heart rate monitor	A review was conducted during the study period from medical records of all births between 23 and 36 weeks gestation at a single hospital. Pregnancy dating was by best clinical estimate using last menstrual period confirmed by ultrasonography. Caesarean birth was performed based on standard indication and the reason for birth	Mean umbilical cord pH and reassuring FHR patterns Reassuring FHR patterns (n = 591) Mean 7.29± 0.06 Number of babies with	<ul> <li>Women characteristics and exclusion criteria not reported</li> <li>Unclear how and by whom the data was assessed</li> </ul>

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Ref Id	Inclusion criteria		was onset of active labour, non-reassuring	umbilical cord PH < 7.1	
197099	Singleton birth		fetal status, maternal indication, etc.	(acidosis) and different FHR patterns	
Country/ies where the study was carried out	• 26 - 36 weeks gestation		<u>FHR monitoring</u> Eetal heart rate was monitored at least 2 hours before birth.	<u>Reassuring FHR patterns</u> n = 17/591 Late deceleration with loss of	
Japan	Exclusion criteria		<u>Assessment</u> Not reported	variabilit n = 7/29	
Study type	Not specified		FHR classification	$\frac{Prolond decelerations}{n = 11/48}$	
Prospective cohort			Fetal heart rate patterns were defined as non-reassuring if one of the following	Severe variable decelerations	
Aim of the study			conditions were detected: persistent late decelerations, recurrent variable decelerations, prolong deceleration or loss	n = 0/29 Late decelerations	
To investigate the correlations between non- reassuring FHR patterns and			of variability. These were defined according to ACOG Technical Bulletin 1995.	n = 0/29	
umbilical arterial pH			<u>Tocolysis</u> Tocolytic therapy not reported.	<u>Mean Cord pH in non</u> <u>reassuring FHR</u>	
Study dates			Definition of outcomes	Late deceleration with loss of variability (n = 29)	
1992 to 1999			Umbilical cord was double clamped at birth and arterial cord blood taken for blood gas	Mean 7.15 ± 0.11 Prolond decelerations (n = 48)	
Source of funding			The relationship between the time from the	Mean 7.17 ± 0.16 Severe variable decelerations	
Not specified			appearance of non-reassuring FHR patterns and birth and pH at birth was also investigated following four periods: 15.30.60,and 90 minutes.	( <u>29)</u> 7.29 ± 0.06 <u>Late decelerations (75)</u> 7.29 ± 0.06	
			<u>Analγsis</u> Data were analysed using χ2 or Fisher exact test and Mann-Whitney test.	<u>Fetal acidosis</u> Neonatal death group n = 5/13 Survival groups n = 30/759 P = 0.0001	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Prediction of fetal acidosis (pH < 7.1) in late and prolonged	
				deceleration	
				Late deceleration with loss of variability	
				< <u>30 min</u>	
				Sensitivity: 28.6%	
				Specificity: 86.4% Likelihood ratio positive: 2.10	
				Likelihood ratio negative: 0.82	
				<u>&lt; 60 min</u>	
				Sensitivity: 85.7%	
				Specificity: 68.2%	
				Likelihood ratio positive: 2.69 Likelihood ratio negative: 0.20	
				Likelihood fallo negalive. 0.20	
				<u>&lt; 90 min</u>	
				Sensitivity: 100%	
				Specificity: 45.5%	
				Likelihood ratio positive: 1.83	
				Likelihood ratio negative: 0.0	
				- Prolonged decelerations	
1				<u>&lt; 15 min</u> Sensitivity: 36.4%	
				Specificity: 75.7%	
				Likelihood ratio positive: 1.49	
				Likelihood ratio negative: 0.84	
				<u>-</u> <u>&lt; 30 min</u>	
				Sensitivity: 81.8%	
				Specificity: 56.8%	
				Likelihood ratio positive: 1.9	
				Likelihood ratio negative: 0.32	
				<u>&lt; 60 min</u>	
				Sensitivity: 90.9%	
	eting Contro for Monorla				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
				Specificity: 37.8% Likelihood ratio positive: 1.46 Likelihood ratio negative: 0.24	
				<u>&lt; 90 min</u> Sensitivity: 100% Specificity: 16.2% Likelihood ratio positive: 1.19 Likelihood ratio negative: 0.0	
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	
Holmes,P., Oppenheimer,L.W., Gravelle,A., Walker,M., Blayney,M., The effect of variable heart rate	n = 82 Characteristics	Intraparum fetal heart rate monitor	Data collected over a 20-month period from babies born at the Ottawa Hospital General Campus. Data related to labour and birth and FHR traces, were obtained from the hospital's computerised labour	<u>Median Variable decelerations 4</u> <u>hours prior to birth</u> Cases: 22 (range 5 - 71)	
decelerations on intraventricular hemorrhage	<u>Gestational age</u> Cases (n = 41):		database. Feta heart rate traces were assessed for the presence of variable	Acute morbidity outcome	
	30.6 ± 5.2 Control (n = 41): 27.4 ± 6.5 p =ns		decelerations within 4 hours prior to birth. Three variable decelerations in one hour of tracing used as a threshold at which neonatal complication might anticipate.	<u>Arterial cord pH &lt;7.1</u> Cases n = 0/38 Control n = 2/41 p = ns	
Ref Id	<u>Birth weight</u> Cases (n = 41):		Cases had at least three variable decelerations in the hour prior to delivery and were matched 1:1 with controls for	Resuscitation (cardiac massage and drug therapy)	
169302	$1557 \pm 465$ Control (n = 41):		gestation, sex and birth weight.	Cases n = $1/41$ Control n = $2/41$	
Country/ies where the study was carried out	1548b± 448 p = ns		<u>FHR monitoring</u> Feta heart rate traces within 4 hours prior to birth were assessed	p = ns	
Canada	Received tocolytic Cases (n = 41):		Assessment	Chronic morbid outcome	
Study type	n = 17 `´´		A single study's author that was blinded to	<u>Neonatal death (within 28 days)</u> Cases n = 2/41	
Retrospective case-control	Control (n = 41): n = 17 p = ns		neonatal outcome interpreted the tracings. The traces evaluated based on the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development guidelines	Control n = $0/41$ p = $0.15$ Intraventricular haemorrhage	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Aim of the study To examine the hypothesis that repetitive variable heart rate decelerations in labor are associated with an increased incidence of neonatal complications in premature infants. Study dates 20 month period (date not specified) Source of funding Not specified	Caesarean section Cases (n = 41): n = 6 Control (n = 41): n = 11 p = 0.007Nulliparous Cases (n = 41): n = 22 Control (n = 41): n = 22 p = nsDuration of rupture of membranes (h) Cases (n = 41): 27.6 ± 42.3 Control (n = 41): 69.1 ± 65.2 p = nsInclusion criteria• Singleton babies • Weighing between 750 and 2500g • 25-35 weeks' gestationExclusion criteria • Babies delivered by caesarean section prior to labor • Congenital anomalies		FHR classification         Variable deceleration defined as an abrupt decrease in FHR of at least 15 bpm lasting for between 15 seconds and 2 minutes according to the National Institutes of Chid Health and Human Development (NICHD) research-planning workshop 1997         Tocolysis         About half of the women in the cases and control group received tocolytics therapy         Definition of outcomes         Chorionic morbid outcomes were defined as intraventricular haemorrhage at least grade III, periventricular leukomalacia, necrotizing entrocolitis or death within 28 days         Analysis         Data were analysed using McNemar test for categorical data and paired t test for continuous outcomes	p = 0.04	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	An uninterpretable FHR trace for technical reason (loss of contact/signal,traces < 30 min in duration)				
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Burrus,D.R., O'Shea,T.M.,Jr., Veille,J.C., Mueller- Heubach,E., The predictive value of intrapartum fetal heart rate abnormalities in the extremely premature infant, American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 171, 1128-1132, 1994 <b>Ref Id</b> 195054 <b>Country/ies where the study was carried out</b> USA <b>Study type</b> Case control <b>Aim of the study</b> To evaluate the validity of intrapartum fetal heart rate tracings in predicting short-	Characteristics	Intraparum fetal heart rate monitor	All births between 23 and 26 weeks gestation at a single hospital during the study period were reviewed those with good tracing and available follow up were identified. <u>FHR monitoring</u> Electronic fetal heart rate tracings were obtained form last hour before birth were assessed <u>Assessment</u> The tracings were interpreted by two independent maternal–fetal medicine specialists blinded to neonatal outcome and to each other's interpretations <u>FHR classification</u> The traces evaluated in 10 minutes windows for the following categories: Normal and abnormal FHR defined based on Kubli et al 1969 as: - Normal baseline (FHR 120 – 160) - Bradycardia (FHR 100 – 120 bpm)	Normal versus abnormal FHR pattern Neonatal death FHR abnormality (n = 19) n = 53% No fetal abnormality (n = 22) n = 14% p < 0.007 Intraventricular haemorrhage FHR abnormality (n = 19) n = 33% No fetal abnormality (n = 22) n = 12% > 42 days on assisted ventilation FHR abnormality (n = 7) n = 14% No fetal abnormality (n = 7) n = 14% No fetal abnormality (n = 16) n = 13% > 90 days of hospitalisation FHR abnormality (n = 7) n = 11% No fetal abnormality (n = 16) n = 26%	Women's characteristic not reported Unclear inclusion/exclusion criteria hence high risk of selection bias

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
infants delivered between 24 and 26 weeks.			- Severe bradycardia (FHR < 100 bpm)	<u>Cerebral palsy at 1 yr</u> FHR abnormality (n = 7)	
Study dates			Variability	n = 14% No fetal abnormality (n = 16) n = 6%	
1989 to 1991			- Normal variability (amplitude range > 5 bpm)	<u>Cord pH &lt;7.0</u> FHR abnormality (n = 19)	
Source of funding			- Moderately reduced variability (2 – 5 bpm)	n = 0% No fetal abnormality (n = 22) n = 0%	
Not specified			- Severely reduced variability (< 2 bpm)		
			A salutatory or hyper-variable pattern was diagnosed if amplitude range exceeded 25 beats/min		
			Decelerations		
			- Mild variable deceleration (last <30 sec irrespective of level, if the nadir was >80 bpm irrespective of duration, or if their nadir was 70 -80 bpm if lasting <60).		
			- Moderate variable deceleration (lasted 30 to 60 sec with the nadir was < 60 bpm, or lasted > 60 sec but with a nadir between 70 -80).		
			- Severe variable deceleration (lasted > 60 sec with a nadir.		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
			They were defined as occasional (2 or fewer in a 10 min window) or frequent (3 or more)		
			Tocolysis Use of tocolytics not reported.		
			<u>Definition of outcomes</u> Not specified		
			<u>Analysis</u> Continuous data were analysed using $\chi^2$ or exact p value for contingency tables. and base excess. Kappa correlation for inter-observer reliability was calculated to measure the agreement among the 2 reviewers.		

## H.11.3 Fetal blood sampling

There was no evidence that met the protocol

## H.12 Mode of birth

Study details	Participants	Interventions		Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Milan,Stephen J., Livio,Stefania, Caesarean section versus vaginal delivery for preterm birth in	Characteristics	immediate caesarean delivery	The Trials Search Co-ordinator was contacted on 5 August 2013, and asked to search the	3 trials, 89	The authors assessed risk of bias for each of the individual studies: - Method of randomisation: 1

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Database of Systematic	Penn et al., 1996		were searched. The reference list of identified	RR 0.29 (95% CI	was at low risk of
Reviews, -, 2013	Sample size: n=15		studies was also searched, and any studies	0.07 to 1.14)	bias, 3 had unclear
	Characteristics:		assessed for eligibility. No language		risk of bias
Ref Id	Mean maternal age, years (range)*		restrictions were applied.	<u>Hypoxic</u>	- Allocation
	CS: 27.6 (24 to 34)		Data collection and analysis	<u>ischaemic</u>	concealment: 2
291612	VB: 28.4 (19 to 37)			encephalopathy	were at low risk of
	Gestation at delivery, weeks (range)		Two review authors independently assessed	1 trial, 12 women	bias, 2 had an
Country/ies where the	CS: 29.4 (26 to 31)		studies for inclusion. They then extracted data	RR 4.00 (95% CI	unclear risk of bias
study was carried out	VB: 28.6 (26 to 32)		into a pre-designed form and resolved	0.20 to 82.01)	- Blinding: all four
Verieue	Mean birthweight, grams (range)		discrepancies through discussion. Data were	Intracranial	were at high risk of
Various	CS: 1387.0 (1000 to 1925)		entered into RevMan and checked for	pathology	bias
Study type	VB: 1243.3 (770 to 2160)		accuracy. If there was any unclear information,	4 trials, 110	- Incomplete
Study type	Inclusion criteria:		the authors were contacted to provide details.		outcome data: 4
Systematic review	- Singleton fetus with breech		Quality assessment	RR 0.92 (95% CI	were at unclear risk
Cystematic review	presentation - 26 to 32 completed weeks of		Risk of bias was assessed independently by two authors using the The Cochrane	0.27 to 3.14)	of bias - Selective
	destation		Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias.	Respiratory	reporting: 4 were at
Aim of the study	- Spontaneous preterm labor		The following criteria were considered:	distress	unclear risk of bias
· ···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- Without a clear indication for VB or		- Sequence generation	syndrome	- Other bias: 4 were
To assess the effectiveness	CS		- Allocation concealment	3 trials, 103	at unclear risk of
of immediate caesarean	Exclusion criteria:		- Blinding: due to the intervention, it would not	women	bias
section versus vaginal birth	- Known intrauterine death or		be possible to blind participants or those	RR 0.55 95% CI	Sido
for women in preterm labour.	congenital fetal malformation		provided care; however, the authors report that		
	- If an elective CS was already		they did consider whether outcome assessors	Need for	
	planned		were blinded	mechanical	
Study dates	- Clear indication for CS or VB at the		-Incomplete outcome data: low risk was	ventilation	
	time when entry was considered		defined as no missing data; missing outcomes	1 trial, 12 women	
Assessed as up to date: 28	during labor		data balanced across the group and high risk	RR 1.87 (95% CI	
August 2013			as number of missing data imbalanced across	0.71 to 4.88)	
	<u>Viegas et al., 1985</u>		groups.		
Course of funding	Sample size n = 73 Randomised		- Selective reporting bias: established by cross		
Source of funding	n = 23* (live preterm breeches on		checking the outcomes reported in the	up in childhood	
Not specified	admission satisfying the selection		methods and results sections of the publication		
	criteria) Descriptive study group n =		- Other sources of bias Missing data Levels of	RR 0.65 95% CI	
	50 (the remaining preterm breeches)		attrition were noted for the studies.	0.19 to 2.22)	
	Characteristics:		All analyses were carried out on an intention-		
	Birthweight, g, mean±SD		to-treat basis. Denominators were the number		

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	CS (n = 32): 1944 ± 412 VB (n = 41): 1840 ± 474 *individual patient data supplied by the author. The data for randomised women re-analysed on an intention to treat analysis basis. Inclusion criteria: - Breech presentation - 28 to 35 weeks gestation in established labor Exclusion criteria: - Conditions which were contraindications for CS or VB (hemorrhage, placenta praevia, cord prolapse, fetal distress or disproportion) - Maternal diseases eg diabetes mellitus, cardiac disease - Severe congenital malformation if diagnosed - Severe pre-eclampsia or intrauterine growth retardation Follow-up All cases assessed earlier or later than a month after the 12 month follow-up appointment data were excluded Wallace et al., 1984 Study sample n=38 VB n=20 (includes 5 women randomised to CS who delivered vaginally prior to surgery) CS n=18 Characteristics: Mean gestational age ± SD (range) weeks		randomised, minus any women whose outcomes were known to be missing. <u>Analysis</u> Statistical analysis was done in RevMan. A fixed effects model was used. It was assumed that studies were estimating the same underlying treatment effect. If substantial heterogeneity was detected, random effect meta-analysis was used.	Maternal outcomesPostpartum haemorrhage4 trials, 105 womenRR 3.69 95% (CI 0.16 to 83.27)Other maternal infection 3 trials, 103 womenRR 2.63 95% (CI 1.02 to 6.78)Wound infection 3 trials, 103 womenRR 1.16 (95% CI 0.18 to 7.70)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	VB: $30.4 \pm 1.8$ (26 to 33) CS: $29.6 \pm 1.6$ (27 to 32) p < 0.05 Birth weight, g VB: $1572\pm 419$ (880 to 2630) CS: $1714\pm 641$ (800 to 3110) p<0.05 Inclusion criteria: - Vertex persentation - 26 to 33 weeks estimate of gestational age - Labor (>4cm) - Indications for delivery including failed or contraindicated tocolysis, maternal indications and fetal indications Participants were entered on the basis of best estimate of gestational age Exclusion criteria: - Multiple gestation - Known congenital anomaly - Malpresentation including breech - Clinically documented amnionitis - Advanced labor (>7cm) - Cord prolapse - Vaginal hemorrhage - Previous CS Zlatnik et al., 1993 Sample size n = 38 Characteristics: Mean $\pm$ SD Maternal age VB: $24.4\pm 5.3$ CS: $21.9\pm 4.5$ Weeks gestation VB: $31.3\pm 2.0$				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	CS: 32.3±2.4 Birthweight, gm VB: 1791±501 CS: 1873±561 Nulliparous, % VB: 45 CS: 44 Inclusion criteria: - Singleton breech presentations - 28 to 36 weeks gestation - In labor in which tocolytics were not employed or had failed Exclusion criteria: - Immediate labor - Contraindications to additional labor or CS - If a patient manifested fetal distress on admission in labor, CS was performed and she was not eligible for randomisation				
	Inclusion criteria Randomised and quasi-randomised trials comparing a policy of planned immediate caesarean delivery versus vaginal delivery for preterm birth.				
	Exclusion criteria Not specified				

## H.12.1.1 Health economics

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
Full citation Cazan-London,G., Mozurkewich,E.L., Xu,X., Ransom,S.B., Willingness or unwillingness to performcesarean section for impending preterm delivery at 24 weeks' gestation: a cost- effectiveness analysis, American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 193, 1187- 1192, 2005 Ref Id 220991 Economic study typeCost effectiveness analysis	Study dates Not stated. Intervention Unplanned cesarean section Comparison(s) Vaginal birth	Source of effectiveness data Published evidence Source of cost data Costs estimates based onpublished data and the Morbidity and Mortality Weekly report. Initial Hospitalization wasdefined as inpatient care before the first	Time horizon and discount rate Time Horizon: Lifetime Discount rate: Not stated Method of eliciting health valuations (if applicable) Published evidence Modelling approach A Decision Tree model was used to simulate the outcomes associated with each of the delivery options.	Cost per patient per alternative Cost per birth Caesarean: USD 399,761Vaginal birth: USD 218,162 Effectiveness per patientper alternative Survivors per 100 births Caesarean: 56 Vaginal birth: 32	Limitations Does not explicitly exclude women with multiple gestations. Other information
Country(ies) where thestudy was done USA Perspective & Cost YearPerspective: Societal Cost Year: 2004		discharge.These costs include hospital costs and physician fees calculated using corresponding institutional cost- charge ratio.		Incremental cost- effectiveness Author calculates Cost per additional survivor : USD 766,241	
Source of funding Not stated		Long term morbidity costs were based on lifetime costs associated with		NCC-WCH calculates Cost per additional survivor : USD 756,662.50	

Bibliographic details	Intervention and Comparison	Data sources	Time horizon & Method	Results	Reviewer comment
		MR,CP, hearing loss, and vision impairment. Other data sources e.g.transition probabilities		Other reporting of results Uncertainty One-way sensitivity analysis was performed based on probability of survival vs cost. Parameters of this analysisdo not appear to be based on any probabilities.	

## H.13 Timing of cord clamping for preterm babies

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
March,M., De,VecianaM, Parson,A., The efficacy of umbilical cord milking on the reduction of red blood cell transfusion rates in infants born between 24 and 28 6/7 weeks gestation - A randomized controlled trial,	Control n = 17 Characteristics	cord was milked toward the baby immediately	tertiary centre to be delivered between 24 and 28 weeks	transfusion need for packed red blood	Published conference abstract with very limited data reported

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
American Journal of Obstetrics and		before the cord	age included but were not limited	Intervention $n = 17/21$	
Gynecology, 204, S204-, 2011	demographics (no further data provided)	clamping	to: preterm labour not responding to tocolytic medications,	(80%) Control n = 16/17 (94%)	
Ref Id		<u>Control</u> The cord was not	incompetent cervix with cervical dilation and no contractions,	There were no differences in:	
225209	Inclusion criteria	milked. Clamped immediately after	clinical chorioamnionitis requiring delivery for maternal/fetal benefit,	- neonatal death - recitation procedure used	
Country/ies where the study was		birth	severe pre-eclampsia, severe	- Apgar score	
carried out	- Delivery anticipated between 24 and 28+6 weeks gestation		growth restriction with a non- reassuring fetal heart rate tracing.	- cord pH - initial blood pressure	
USA	- Sufficient time from admission to anticipated delivery to obtain		From 60 women eligible for participations, n = 55 consented	- hyperbilirubinemia - haematocrit	
Study type	consent from the women		and $n = 14$ delivered beyond the 28+6 weeks. The first	- other neonatal	
Randomised control trial			arm received active milking of the umbilical cord towards the	complications No further details are provided	
Aim of the study	Exclusion criteria		neonate's umbilicus prior to cord clamping at birth while the second		
To examine if actively milking the umbilical cord before clamping the cord reduces the need for red cell transfusion in the neonatal period	<ul> <li>Multiple gestation</li> <li>Antenatally diagnosed major congenital anomaly</li> <li>Rh sensitised pregnancy</li> <li>Hydrops fetalis</li> </ul>		arm did not include this intervention and had the cord immediately clamped (control). Neonatologists were blinded to the study group allocations.		
Study dates	- Known previous positive maternal titers - Suspicion of placental				
Not reported	abruption at birth - Maternal age < 18				
Source of funding					
Not specified					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Rabe,H., az-Rossello,J.L., Duley,L., Dowswell,T., Effect of timing of umbilical cord clamping	N = 738 (from 15 trials)	Earlier and later cord clamping	<u>Electronic searches</u> The Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Trials Register	<u>More placental</u> transfusion (delayed clamping) versus less	Using the NICE methodology checklist for

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
and other strategies to influence			was searched (updated 26 June	placental transfusion	systematic reviews,
placental transfusion at preterm			2012) by contacting the Trials	(early clamping)	there are no major
birth on maternal and infant	Characteristics		Search Coordinator. CENTRAL,	Infant death (up to	limitations to this
outcomes. [Update of Cochrane			MEDLINE, EMBASE were	discharge/variable)	systematic review.
Database Syst Rev.	Aladagandy 2006		searched, and hand searching of	n = 13 studies	The authors
2004;(4):CD003248; PMID:	Participants: n = 46 mother-		journals and conference	Later cord clamping: n	assessed risk of bias
	infant pairs at 24 weeks to 32		proceedings was done. No	= 10/319	for each of the
Systematic Reviews, 8,	weeks gestation.		language restrictions were	Earlier cord clamping: n =	individual studies:
CD003248-, 2012	Exclusions: known major		applied.	17/349	
	malformation, haemolytic			RR 0.63 (95% CI 0.31 to	- Method of
Ref Id	disease, intrauterine		Selection of studies	1.28)	randomisation: 3
	transfusion.		Two review authors independently	,	were at low risk of
209071			assessed all potential studies for	Severe intraventricular	bias, 12 had unclear
	Time of cord clamping:		inclusion. Any disagreement was	haemorrhage	risk of bias
Country/ies where the study was			resolved through consultation	n = 6 studies	- Allocation
carried out	Late: 30-90 sec after birth, with		with the third review author.	Later cord clamping: n =	concealment: 2 were
	infant held as low as the cord			5/154	at low risk of bias, 12
Various	allowed.		Data extraction and management	Earlier cord clamping: n =	had an unclear risk
	If caesarean section, mother		A form was designed to extract	7/151	of bias, 1 was at high
Study type	received 5 IU syntocinon		data, and two authors extracted	RR 0.68 (95% CI 0.23 to	risk of bias
	intravenously at delivery of		them. They were analysed in	1.96)	- Blinding: 1 was at
Systematic review of RCTs	presenting part.		RevMan. Where information was		low risk of bias, 8
			unclear, the reviewers attempted	<u>Apgar score at 5 minute &lt;</u>	had an unclear risk
	Baezinger 2007		to contact the original authors.	8	of bias, 6 were at
Aim of the study	Participants: 39 mother-infant			n = 3 studies	high risk of bias
	pairs at 24 weeks to 32 weeks		Assessment of risk of bias	Later cord clamping: n =	- Incomplete
To assess the short- and long-term	gestation.		Two review authors independently	13/72	outcome data: 8
effects of early rather than delayed	Exclusions: known major		assessed risk of bias using	Earlier cord clamping: n =	were at low risk of
clamping of the umbilical cord for	malformation, haemolytic		criteria from the Cochrane	18/89	bias, 4 had an
preterm births (< 37 completed	disease, intrauterine		Handbook for Systematic	RR 0.86 (95% CI 0.45 to	unclear risk of bias
weeks gestation).	transfusion.		Reviews of Interventions: -	1.62)	and 3 were at high
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Sequence generation - Allocation		risk of bias
	Time of cord clamping:		concealment - Blinding -	Temperature on admission	
	Early: immediately after birth (<		Incomplete outcome data -	(degrees Celsius)	reporting: 2 were at
Study dates	20 sec).		Selective reporting bias - Other	n = 3 studies	low risk of bias, 10
oludy dales	Late: between 60-90s, with		sources of bias - Overall risk of	Later cord clamping: n = 71	
Assessed as up-to-date on	infant held as low as possible		bias.	Earlier cord clamping: n =	of bias, 3 were at
November 2011	for vaginal births, and 15 cm			72	high risk of bias

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	below the placenta at			Mean difference 0.14 (95%	
	caesarean section.		Measures of effect	CI -0.03 to 0.31)	
Source of funding	All mothers received syntocinon		Dichotomous outcomes were		
Source of furnaling	intravenously.		presented as a risk ratio with 95%	Ventilated for respiratory	
Not specified	initiavenously.		confidence intervals. For	distress syndrome	
tot specified	Hofmeyr 1988		continuous data, mean difference		
	Participants: n = 38 mother-		and standardised mean difference		
	infant pairs, judged to be $< 35$		were used, depending on whether		
	weeks gestation and in		trials had measured outcomes on	Earlier cord clamping: n =	
	advanced labour.		the same or different scales.	49/146	
	Exclusions: multiple			RR 0.97 (95% CI 0.71 to	
	pregnancies.		Dealing with missing data	1.31)	
	pregnancies.		The authors investigated the	1.51)	
	Time of cord elemning:		effect of including trials with high	Transfused for anaemia	
	Time of cord clamping: Control: immediately after birth.		levels of attrition using sensitivity	n = 7 studies	
	Intervention 1: delayed for 60		analysis. Outcomes were		
	sec.		assessed on an intention-to-treat	Later cord clamping: n = 44/186	
	Intervention 2: delayed for 60 sec and ergometrine given at		basis, with the denominator being set as the number randomised	75/206	
				RR 0.61 (95% CI 0.46 to	
	delivery.		minus any participants whose		
	Hofmour 1002		outcomes were known to be	0.81)	
	Hofmeyr 1993		missing.	Number of the setucions	
	Participants: n = 86 mother-		A	Number of transfusions	
	infant pairs. Exclusion: cord around the		Analysis	n = 5 studies	
			Heterogeneity was regarded substantial if T <sup>2</sup> > 0 and/or 1 <sup>2</sup> >	Later cord clamping: n =	
	neck.			104	
			30% or p < 0.1.	Earlier cord clamping: n =	
	Time of cord clamping:		Fixed-effect meta-analysis was	106 Maar 1.00 (05% CL 1.07	
	Control: shortly after delivery,		used where trials were comparing		
	according to usual practice.		the same intervention and the	to -0.64)	
	Intervention: 60-120 sec after		populations and methods were	l lum a dailim dain a sa i a	
	birth, with the infant held at the		judged to be similar enough.	Hyperbilirubinemia	
	level of the uterus for vaginal		Random effects meta-analyses	(treated)	
	births and the infant held just		were used where heterogeneity	n = 3 studies	
	above the level of the uterus for		was present or suspected.	Later cord clamping: n =	
	caesarean section (on the			51/82	
	mothers' thighs).			Earlier cord clamping: n =	
				51/98	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Hosono 2008			RR 1.21 (95% CI 0.94 to	
	Participants: n = 40 mother-			1.55)	
	infant pairs as 24-28 weeks			,	
	gestation, and admitted at least			More placental	
	6h before enrolment.			transfusion (delayed	
	Exclusions: multiple			clamping) versus less	
	pregnancies, major congenital			placental transfusion	
	anomalies or chromosomal			(early clamping) by	
	anomalies, hydrops fetalis.			strategy for more	
				placental transfusion	
	Time of cord clamping:			Infant death (up to	
	Control group: immediately.			discharge/variable)-	
	Intervention group: infant			Delayed clamping	
	placed below or at the level of			n = 12 studies	
	the placenta and about 20 cm			Later cord clamping: n	
	of the umbilical cord milked			= 8/299	
	vigorously towards umbilicus 2-			Earlier cord clamping: n =	
	3 times (estimated speed 20			14/329	
	cm/sec).			RR 0.62 (95% CI 0.28 to	
				1.36)	
	Kinmond 1993				
	Participants: 36 mother-infant			Infant death (up to	
	pairs at > 27 to < 33 weeks			discharge/variable) - Cord	
	gestation, vaginal delivery.			milking	
	Exclusions: haemolytic disease,			n = 1 study	
	major congenital			Later cord clamping: n =	
	malformations.			2/20	
				Earlier cord clamping: n =	
	Time of cord clamping:			3/20	
	Intervention: positioning 20 cm			RR 0.67 (95% CI 0.12 to	
	below the introitus and cord			3.57)	
	clamped at 30 sec (mean time				
	to cord clamping 10 sec,			More placental	
	clamping within 20 sec for			transfusion (delayed	
	18/19 and at 25 sec for 1).			clamping) versus less	
	Control group: management at			placental transfusion	
	the attendant's discretion. An			(early clamping) by risk	
	observer recorded distance				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	baby held relative to introitus, time, and time of cord clamping. <b>Kugelman 2007</b> Participants: n = 65 mother- infant pairs, at > 24 weeks and < 35 weeks gestation. Multiple pregnancies included. <u>Time of cord clamping:</u> Control: immediately < 10 sec. Intervention group: Time of cord clamping was not reported. Positioning of infant 20-30 cm below level of introitus (vaginal delivery) or below level of the incision at caesarean section. <u>McDonnell 1997</u> Participants: n = 46 infants at 26 to 33 weeks, vaginal or caesarean section, single or multiple pregnancies. Exclusions: severe fetal distress, intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) with abnormal umbilical Doppler waveforms, fetal hydrops, fetal malformations, Rhesus incompatibility. <u>Time of cord clamping:</u> Control group: immediately. Intervention group: at 30s, infant positioned between legs			of bias for concealment of allocation         Infant death (up to discharge/variable)- Risk of bias unclear or high n = 11 studies         Later cord clamping: n = 8/267         Earlier cord clamping: n = 11/296         RR 0.74 (95% CI 0.32 to 1.73)         Infant death (up to discharge/variable)- Low risk of bias n = 2 studies         Later cord clamping: n = 2/52         Earlier cord clamping: n = 6/53         RR 0.40 (95% CI 0.1 to 1.59)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	of the mother, syntocinon at birth of the infant.				
	Mercer 2003 Participants: 32 mother-infant				
	pairs < 32 weeks, vaginal or				
	caesarean section delivery.				
	Exclusion: obstetrician's refusal				
	to participate, major congenital				
	anomalies, multiple gestations,				
	intend to withhold care, severe maternal illnesses, placenta				
	abruption or praevia.				
	Time of cord clamping:				
	Control: between 5-10 sec after				
	delivery. Intervention group: at 30-45				
	sec, infant held 10 to 15 inches				
	below the level of the placenta				
	in vaginal deliveries or below				
	the incision at caesarean				
	section.				
	Mercer 2006				
	Participants: n = 72 mother-				
	infant pairs < 33 weeks, vaginal				
	or caesarean section delivery. Exclusions: obstetrician's				
	refusal to participate, major				
	congenital anomalies, multiple				
	gestations, intend to with hold				
	care, severe maternal illnesses,				
	placenta abruption or praevia.				
	Time of cord clamping:				
	Control group: between 5-10				
	sec after birth.				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Intervention group: at 30-45 sec. Infant held 10 to 15 inches below the level of the placenta in vaginal births or below the incision at caesarean section.				
	<u>Nelle 1998</u> Participants: 19 infants < 1500 g born by caesarean section.				
	Time of cord clamping: Control group: immediately after birth. Intervention: after 30 sec and positioning of the infant 30 cm below placenta.				
	<u>Oh 2002</u> Participants: 33 infants 24-28 weeks.				
	<u>Time of cord clamping:</u> Control group: < 5 s <u>.</u> Intervention group: 30-45 s.				
	Rabe 2000 Participants: 40 infants < 33 weeks. Exclusions: multiple pregnancies, Rhesus incompatibility, fetal hydrops, congenital malformation, Apgar < 3 at 0 minutes.				
	<u>Time of cord clamping:</u> Control group: at 20 sec. Intervention group: at 45 s and positioning of the infant below				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	the level of placenta, if possible, oxytocin at delivery of the first shoulder.				
	Strauss 2008 Participants: 158 infants < 36 weeks gestation. Of whom 105 30-36 weeks. Exclusion: congenital abnormality.				
	Time of cord clamping: Control group: cord clamping immediately within 2-5 sec (not exceeding 15 sec). Intervention group: at 60 s, vaginal delivery: infant positioned 10 to 12 inch below introitus of the mother. Caesarean section: infant positioned beside the supine mother's thigh and cord clamped.				
	Ultee 2008 Participants: 41 mother-infant pairs 34-36 weeks gestation, vaginal delivery only. Exclusion: congenital abnormality, maternal diabetes, expected serious perinatal pathology, and twins. Reasons for exclusion included post randomisation criteria: Apgar scores < 5 at 1 min, <7 at 5 min.				
	Time of cord clamping:				

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
	Control group: within 30 s (mean 13.4 sec SD 5.6 sec). Infant placed on mother's abdomen. Intervention group: after 180 sec. Infant placed on mother's abdomen.				
	Inclusion criteria				
	Randomised controlled trials (including cluster-randomised trials).				
	Exclusion criteria				
	Quasi-randomised trials				
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	Limitations
Ranjit,T., Nesargi,S., Rao,P.N., Sahoo,J.P., Ashok,C., Chandrakala,B.S., Bhat,S., Effect	Total n=100	Early cord clamping (ECC) Delayed cord	The trial was conducted in a tertiary care hospital in South India. 100 women were	<u>Neonatal death</u> ECC group: 5/50 (10%) DCC group: 0/44 (0%)	No intention to treat analysis Unclear if women
of early versus delayed cord	Characteristics	clamping (DCC)	randomised to two groups (ECC=	RR 0.10 (0.006 to 1.81)	received uterotonic
clamping on hematological status of preterm infants at 6 wk of age,	Birth weight		50 DCC=50). Six babies were excluded from DCC group	Hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy	
Indian Journal of Pediatrics, 82,	ECC group: 1907±597		because they did nor received the	ECC group: 2/50 (4%)	
29-34, 2015	DCC group: 1864±568		information due to the need for	DCC group: 1/44 (2%)	
Ref Id	Gestational age ECC group: 34±2.0		resuscitation. The obstetricians who were involved at birth were	RR 0.56 (0.05 to 6.03) Intraventricular	
	DCC group: 34±1.6		aware of the groups allocation. In	haemorrhage	
346386	Caesarean section		the ECC group cord was clamped		
	ECC group: 25 (50%) DCC group: 20 (45%)		immediately after birth and in the DCC group cord was camped >2	DCC group: 0/44 (0%) RR 0.37 (0.02 to 9.04)	
	DOC group. 20 (45%)		min after birth. In case of vaginal	KK 0.37 (0.02 10 9.04)	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Country/ies where the study was carried out India Study type Randomised control trial Aim of the study To examine the effect of early cord clamping compared with delay cord clamping on hematocrit and serum ferritin at 6 weeks of life in preterm infants	Maternal age         ECC group: 25±4.0         DCC group: 26±4.0         Parity         ECC group: 1.6±1.0         DCC group: 1.8±1.0         Inclusion criteria         • Between 30+0 and 36+6 weeks gestation         Exclusion criteria		birth baby were placed on mother's abdomen and in case of caesarean section on mother's thigh. In babies with need of resuscitation immediate cord clamping performed irrespective of the group allocation. <u>Analysis</u> Data were analysed using SPSS version 16. T-test were used for comparing continuous variables between the two groups. Categorical variables were compared using Chi-square test	Respiratory distress syndrome ECC group: 8/50 (16%) DCC group: 5/44 (11%) RR 0.58 (0.19 to 1.79) Significant jaundice ECC group: 37/50 (74%) DCC group: 37/44 (84%) RR 1.07 (0.76 to 1.51) Hct at day 1 ECC group: mean 50.8±5.2 DCC group: 58.5±5.1 Mean difference: 7.2 (5 to 9.5)	
<b>Study dates</b> May to November 2010	<ul> <li>Mother with Rhesus negative blood group</li> <li>monochorionic twins</li> </ul>				
Source of funding Not specified					
Full citation	Sample size	Interventions	Details	Results	
Elimian,A., Goodman,J., Escobedo,M., Nightingale,L., Knudtson,E., Williams,M., Immediate compared with delayed cord clamping in the preterm neonate: a randomized controlled trial, Obstetrics and Gynecology, 124, 1075-1079, 2014	Total n = 200 Delayed cord clamping: n = 99 Immediate cord clamping: n = 101 <b>Characteristics</b>	Delayed cord clamping: 30 - 35 seconds after birth Immediate cord clamping: within 5 seconds after birth	Study conducted at Oklahoma University Medical Centre among preterm neonates born between 24 weeks and 34 weeks 0 days gestation.	No significant difference observed between the two groups in: - neonatal mortality - blood transfusion rate - rate of various adverse neonatal outcomes (respiratory distress syndrome [RDS],	

Study details	Participants	Interventions	Methods	Outcomes and Results	Comments
Ref Id	Baseline characteristics were			intraventricular	
	similar in the two groups:			haemorrhage [IVH],	
346394	Mean gestational week at birth			periventricular	
	Delayed cord clamping: 30.8 ±			leukomalacia [PVL],	
Country/ies where the study was				necrotizing enterocolitis	
carried out	Immediate cord clamping: 30.7			[NEC])	
	± 2.8 p = 0.64			L 1/	
USA	No further details provided			Mean initial haemoglobin	
				Delayed cord clamping:	
Study type				17.4 ± 2.5 g/dl	
	Inclusion criteria			Immediate cord	
Randomised control trial				clamping: 16.3 ± 2.3 g/dl	
	- Singleton pregnancies			p = 0.001	
	- Signed consent to participate				
Aim of the study	in the trial			Mean haematocrit	
				Delayed cord	
To examine the short term effects				clamping: 51.3 ± 7.3	
of delayed umbilical cord clamping	Exclusion criteria			Immediate cord	
in preterm babies born between				clamping: 47.4 ± 7.3	
24 and 34 weeks gestation	Major fetal anomaly or known			p = 0.001	
	fetal chromosomal				
	abnormalities			Anaemia of prematurity	
Study dates	- Multiple gestation			Delayed cord clamping: n :	=
Lanuary 2000 to May 2011	- Mothers with pre-existing and			36/99 (36.4%)	
January 2008 to May 2011	gestational diabetes			Immediate cord	
	- Refusal to participate in the			clamping: n = 48/101	
Source of funding	trial			(47.5%)	
Source of funding				p = 0.11	
Not specified					