

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

## NICE guidelines

### Equality impact assessment

## Sexually transmitted infections: condom distribution schemes

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE equality policy.

### 1.0 Scope: before consultation

1.1 Have any potential equality issues been identified during the development of the draft scope, before consultation, and, if so, what are they?

Potential equality issues identified in the scope include that the understanding of STI prevention and condom use may vary according to characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010: age, disability, sexual orientation, race, religion and belief, looked after children and socio-economic status. The effectiveness of interventions may also vary with these characteristics.

**Age:** The draft scope covers all age groups but does focus on those at highest risk of an STI. Epidemiological data of STIs generally indicates that those at greatest risk are younger adults.

**Disability:** Some people with disabilities may have difficulty in accessing condoms.

**Sex and sexual orientation:** Condom distribution schemes often target specific populations, such as men who have sex with men. **Religion or belief:** The use of condoms may not be acceptable for some religions or beliefs and as such some populations may have reduced access to condoms.

**Race:** The use of condoms may not be culturally acceptable for people from some ethnicities and as such some populations may have reduced access to condoms. Likewise, some ethnicities may have a higher risk of STIs.

**Socioeconomic status:** People from deprived areas may be at greater risk of an STI and may find the cost of condoms disproportionality high.

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

Other: There is the potential for equality issues to be important with respect to particular groups, such as refugees and asylum seekers, migrants, looked-after children and homeless people. Fluency in English is likely to be a factor in accessing some condom distribution schemes and will need to be considered.

Scope exclusions: Prisons are excluded from the draft scope as condom distribution schemes in this setting will be covered by the NICE clinical guideline on the physical health of people in prisons which is due to publish in November 2016.

Equality issues will be considered for all of these factors, including making sure that due consideration is given to equality issues when searching the evidence base.

1.2 What is the preliminary view on the extent to which these potential equality issues need addressing by the Committee? For example, if population groups, treatments or settings are excluded from the scope, are these exclusions justified – that is, are the reasons legitimate and the exclusion proportionate?

The committee will need to consider the above issues particularly in terms of the evidence base and thinking about settings for the delivery of interventions to reflect some protected characteristics.

Completed by Developer: Claire McLeod

Date: 26/05/2015

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead: Kay Nolan

Date: 26/05/2015

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

### 2.0 Scope: after consultation (To be completed by the developer and submitted with the final scope)

2.1 Have any potential equality issues been identified during consultation, and, if so, what are they?

Stakeholders raised the same issues as those identified by the NICE project team during scope drafting (see 1.0 above), and which were carefully considered during the construction of the key questions.

No additional or new equality issues were been raised by stakeholders.

Responses to stakeholder comments by the NICE project team included clarifications of the purpose and focus of the scope, and directed stakeholders to existing NICE guidance or further information about NICE methods and processes where appropriate.

The NICE project team will continue to be mindful that the evidence and committee work reflect any existing or potential health inequalities during the next stages of guideline development.

2.2 Have any changes to the scope been made as a result of consultation to highlight potential equality issues?

No, none needed.

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

2.3 Is the primary focus of the guideline a population with a specific disability-related communication need?

No.

If so, is an alternative version of the 'Information for the Public' document recommended?

If so, which alternative version is recommended?

The alternative versions available are:

- large font or audio versions for a population with sight loss;
- British Sign Language videos for a population who are deaf from birth;
- 'Easy read' versions for people with learning disabilities or cognitive impairment.

Updated by Developer

Linda Shepherd

Date

24<sup>th</sup> July 2015

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead:

Simon Ellis

Date

27<sup>th</sup> July 2015

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

### 3.0 Guideline development: before consultation (to be completed by the developer before draft guideline consultation)

3.1 Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?

3.2 Have any **other** potential equality issues (in addition to those identified during the scoping process) been identified, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed them?

3.3 Were the Committee's considerations of equality issues described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?

3.4 Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

3.5 Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

3.6 Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions 3.1, 3.2 or 3.3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to advance equality?

Completed by Developer \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

### 4.0 Final guideline (to be completed by the Developer before GE consideration of final guideline)

4.1 Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed them?

4.2 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

4.3 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

4.4 If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to advance equality?

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

4.5 Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final guideline document, and, if so, where?

Updated by Developer \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

**5.0 After Guidance Executive amendments – if applicable (To be completed by appropriate NICE staff member after Guidance Executive)**

5.1 Outline amendments agreed by Guidance Executive below, if applicable:

--

Approved by Developer \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_