NICE Collaborating Centre for Social Care

Child abuse and neglect Guideline Committee Meeting 5

Thursday 9th July 2015 SCIE Offices, Meeting Room 1, Kinnaird House, 1 Pall Mall East, London SW1Y 5BP

Minutes

Guideline Development Group Members			
Name	Role		
Annie Soffe (AS)	Service user/carer		
Corinne May-Chahal (CM-C)	GC Chair		
Danya Glaser (DGI)	Vice Chair (Consultant child and adolescent psychiatrist)		
Jade Blake (JBI)	Service user/carer		
June Leat (JL)	Service user/carer		
Linda Jenkins (LJ)	Named nurse for safeguarding children		
Mark Anslow (MA)	Service manager		
Maureen Giles (MG)	Education consultant		
Stephen Briggs (SB)	Researcher		
Vimal Tiwari (VT)	General Practitioner		

The NCCSC is a collaboration led by SCIE







Document date: 20.08.15





Other invitees		
Name	Role	Organisation
Beth Anderson (BA)	Senior Lead	NCCSC (SCIE)
Beth Shaw (BS)	Senior Technical Adviser	NICE
Hannah Roscoe (HR)	Lead Systematic Reviewer	NCCSC (SCIE)
Jennifer Wells (JW)	Project Co-ordinator	NICE
Jonathan Senker (JS)	Guideline Committee Chair for Service model for people with learning disabilities and behaviour that challenges (observer)	
Marija Trachtenberg (MTr)	Economist	NCCSC (PSSRU)
Palida Teelucknavan (PT)	Project Manager	NCCSC (SCIE)
Ted Barker (TB)	Research Assistant	NCCSC (SCIE)

Apologies	
Name	Organisation
David Gough (DG)	NCCSC
Debbie Eaton (DE)	Service manager
Gillian Finch (GF)	Service user/carer
Irene Kwan (IK)	NCCSC
Joanne Davies (JDa)	Care worker
Kate McKay (KM)	Senior medical officer
Leila Stroud (LS)	Head of safeguarding
Matthew Turner (MTu)	Local safeguarding children board coordinator
Naila Dracup (ND)	NCCSC
Stephen Briggs (SB)	Researcher

No	Agenda Item	NICE website	Action/Owner
1.	Welcome, introductions and declarations of interest	The following interests were declared and the Chair agreed that these were not conflicts: CM-C has joined recently joined the Responsible Gambling Trust Treatment Panel. BA declared that SCIE and the NSPCC are working on a project (LiPP project) undertaking a Serious Case Review analysis which also includes some of the previous SCR analyses in the reviewing work. CM-C informed the committee that the slot on Delivery and Implementation will be moved to the next meeting.	
2.	Minutes and matters arising	 The committee reviewed the minutes from the last meeting and the following corrections were noted: Formatting error on pg. 5. Typo on pg. 6. Pg. 8 – amend to "To consider parental risk factors to include the triad" and delete 3rd bullet. Typo on pg. 12. The committee reviewed the action points and the NCC provided an update to each action. The minutes were accepted as a true record of the meeting. 	Action 1: PT to correct errors from minutes of the last meeting.
3.	Revisit the evidence for Review Question 9: What is the impact of interventions aiming to provide early help to children and young people identified as at risk of child abuse and neglect?	HR explained that whilst reviewing the evidence for another review question, an additional relevant RCT study was found with regards to Parent-Child Interaction Therapy (Thomas and Zimmer-Gembeck, 2012). Details of this was presented to the committee along with additional tables and amended evidence statements. Evidence statements 10 and 11 were amended. The committee did not make any recommendations in light of this additional piece of evidence.	

		The committee reviewed the recommendations that were drafted at the last meeting. The committee edited the wording to some of the drafted recommendations and upon reflection of the evidence some new recommendations were drafted. These were edited live on screen.	
4.	Economic update	MTr provided an update on modelling for the question on Early help and informed the committee on the next steps regarding modelling work.	
5.	Evidence for review Questions 10-13	There was no evidence found for Review Questions 10-13. The following options for addressing the gaps in this evidence were suggested as follows: • Expert witness testimony • Call for evidenceConsensus The committee agreed to invite expert witnesses to address the gaps in evidence. NCC will contact individuals and draft a brief.	
6.	Review of the evidence: What aspects of professional practice and ways of working help and hinder the effective provision of early help? (Q14)	The committee reviewed the evidence for Review Question 14 and suggested some changes to the evidence statements to better reflect the evidence.	Action 2: NCC to make changes to evidence statements.
7.	Draft recommendations (group work)	The committee separated into 2 groups to draft recommendations based on the evidence statements. The drafted recommendations were then shared with the rest of the group in the plenary session.	
8.	Recommendations plenary	The facilitators from each group fed back the drafted recommendations and suggested edits to each recommendation. Changes were captured live on screen.	
9.	Gaps in the evidence for Early Help	This was discussed earlier. The committee will discuss further gaps relating to other review questions at the next Guideline Committee meeting.	Action 3: PT to add to agenda for next GC meeting.

10.	AOB	No other business.	