

NICE PDG on CVD Prevention in Populations

Cardiovascular disease prevention in populations and effects on health inequalities

Introduction

The primary prevention of cardiovascular disease (CVD) is crucially dependent on the effective reduction of the major risk factors, particularly through tobacco control and a healthier diet.

However, the excess CVD burden of morbidity and mortality in deprived groups raises major challenges. Social gradients in the major cardiovascular risk factors may explain approximately three quarters of this excess burden; smoking alone could explain over half the excess. ^(Law 1998, Woodward 2003)

Assessing the potential effect of risk factor reductions on subsequent socio-economic inequalities is thus crucial. There is increasing empirical evidence to suggest that CVD prevention using whole population approaches generally reduces social inequalities, whereas screening and treating high risk individuals tends to increase the inequalities gap.

The whole-population approach for preventing CVD

Some two decades ago, Geoffrey Rose suggested that a small reduction in risk in a large number of people may prevent many more cases than treating a small number at higher risk. ^{"Rose 1992"} Policy interventions can indeed reduce risk factors across entire populations. National fiscal changes and legislation can be both effective and relatively cheap, whether banning trans-fatty acids (Denmark), halving dietary salt (Finland), or promoting smoke-free public spaces (UK, Ireland, and Italy). ^{Stender 2006; Karppanen 2006}

Empirical evidence to support the Rose hypothesis has progressively emerged from British, US and European studies. ^{Emberson 2004; Wiklund 1980; Kabir 2007; Graham 2007, Young 2009} Small reductions in population cholesterol concentrations, blood pressure, or smoking then translate into substantial reductions in cardiovascular events and deaths. ^{Unal 2005; Emberson 2004}

Evidence that whole population CVD prevention generally reduces social inequalities

1. Arithmetic *Deprived groups experience a greater CVD burden. They are thus likely to gain extra benefit if a risk factor is uniformly reduced across the entire population.* This simple arithmetic was spelt out by Finn Diederichsen, (2007) and is detailed in the Appendix.

More recent support came from Kivimaki et al, who calculated the 15 year risk of CHD death in British men aged 55. They then quantified the benefits of decreasing risk factors uniformly across the population: a 10mmHg reduction in blood pressure, 2mmol/l reduction in total cholesterol and a 1 mmol/l decrease in glucose. These interventions would reduce the mortality gap between rich and poor by approximately 70% ^{Kivimaki 2008}

2. Smoking Smoking rates and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke is higher in deprived groups in England and in Scotland ^{Edwards 2006}. Following the Scottish smoke free legislation in 2006, there was a uniform drop in hospital admissions for heart attack and "acute coronary syndrome" involving a 14% reduction in smokers and a 21% fall in never smokers. ^{Pell 2008}

CVD EP 12 Will CVD prevention widen health inequalities?

Men and women in lower social groups are more responsive to uniform increases in cigarette price than affluent groups. A large meta analysis therefore concluded: "population-level tobacco control interventions have the potential to benefit more disadvantaged groups and thereby reduce health inequalities" ^{Thomas 2008; Townsend 1994} Main et al likewise concluded that "increase in tobacco price may have the potential to reduce smoking related health inequalities" ^{Main 2008}

3. Diet interventions Social gradients are apparent in diet, as in smoking. Thus residents in Northern Britain consume twice as much saturated fat as those living in the South. ^{SatFat 2009}

Supporting empiric evidence comes from a recent population wide intervention. The US introduced folic acid fortification of cereals in 1996. Social gradients in blood folate levels were subsequently reduced by 67% ^{Dowd 2008}

Dissenting views One US article suggested that population wide interventions may increase disparities. However, this was based on a theoretical approach which misunderstood the Rose approach. Furthermore, it provided no empirical evidence in support. ^{Frohlich 2008}

The high risk approach for preventing CVD

In the UK, the high risk approach for preventing cardiovascular disease is typified by the Department of Health programme *Putting Prevention First*. ^{DH 2008} All adults aged over 40 are to be invited to be screened for CVD risk. Individuals found to exceed a 20% risk of a cardiovascular event in the next ten years are then to be treated with a combination of lifestyle advice plus tablets to reduce blood cholesterol and blood pressure, as appropriate. ^{DH 2008}

However, critiques suggest that this cardiovascular risk screening strategy may have low effectiveness, substantial residual risk, small population impact and high cost; plus medicalisation of previously healthy individuals. ^{Ebrahim, 2007; Sheridan 2008; Jackson & Capewell, 2008}

More seriously, this high risk approach will also certainly increase inequalities.

Evidence that the high risk approach generally increases social inequalities

1. Any healthcare activity focussing on individuals generally favours affluent and educated people, thus increasing social inequalities. This was memorably described by Julian Tudor Hart as the "Inverse Care Law"- the people with most ill-health get the least interventions. ^{Tudor Hart 1971} Disadvantage can occur at every stage in the process, from the person's health beliefs and health behaviour, through to presentation, screening, risk assessment, ^{Payne 2009} negotiation, participation, programme persistence, and treatment adherence. ^{Browning 2008; Thomas 2005; Cubbin 2006}

Mildred Blaxter's recent review concluded The evidence certainly suggests that interventions to change behaviour do not necessarily reduce inequalities in health, and may sometimes exacerbate them. ^{Blaxter 2007}

2. Inequalities have also been reported in screening, and detection of cancer as well as CVD. Women who attend the National Health Service Breast Screening Programme come from less deprived areas. ^{Garvican 1998; Banks 2002}

One recent UK study suggested that social and ethnic disparities in the detection and management of hypertension have persisted despite major investment in quality

CVD EP 12 Will CVD prevention widen health inequalities?

improvement initiatives, including pay for performance. ^{Ashworth 2008} Furthermore, Tunstall-Pedoe recently emphasised the need to consider deprivation when scoring cardiovascular risk in individuals, lest social gradients are actually exacerbated. ^{Tunstall-Pedoe 2006}

3. Examples of the inverse care law in primary prevention **prescribing** have also been reported. Substantial socioeconomic gradients exist in **statin** use, both in the UK and in the Danish health care system which aims, like the NHS, to ensure a high degree of equity in medical care. ^{Thomsen 2005}

Likewise **anti-hypertensive medications**. A recent study suggested that social and ethnic disparities in the detection and management of hypertension have persisted in the United Kingdom despite major investment in quality improvement initiatives. ^{Ashworth 2008}

4. Long term adherence (compliance) with primary prevention medications barely reaches 50%, and is often worse in more deprived groups ^{Vrigens 2008; Morisky 2008; Johnell 2005} Furthermore, inequalities in adherence with primary prevention medication have been reported for both statins and anti-hypertensive medications. ^{Chaudhry 2008; Bouchard 2007}

Smoking Cessation For smoking cessation services, greater use and higher quit rates by more affluent people is a real concern. ^{Low 2007} Affluent smokers tend to receive more help, and are more likely to quit (Browning 2008; Bauld Tobacco Control 2007). Increasing quit rates in more affluent smokers were also recently reported in the Danish trial of primary prevention in general practice, Inter99 ^{Jakobsen 2009} Similar inequalities have also been reported in smoking interventions targeting workplaces. ^{Ogilvie 2008}

Dietary advice In the USA, which favours individual approaches over public health policies, Kanjilal has recently reported bigger falls in CVD risk factors in more affluent groups ^{Kanjilal 2006}

Supporting evidence comes from a recent systematic review of nutrition interventions in individuals and groups. In schools, fruit and veg consumption typically increased more in affluent families; interventions were correspondingly less effective in disadvantaged areas. In a US primary care setting, interventions to reduce fat intake were less successful in blacks than in whites ^{Oldroyd 2008} In Germany, the Cardiovascular Prevention Study compared three strategies involving advice from professionals and media. After seven years, hypercholesterolaemia improved only in upper social groups, thereby increasing the gap. ^{Helmert 2004}

Dissenting opinions The concept of identifying and targeting disadvantaged individuals living in deprived areas has recently gained popularity. ^{NICE 2007} Evidence to confirm or refute the effectiveness of such interventions on reducing social inequalities is awaited with great interest.

Conclusions

CVD prevention strategies for screening and treating high risk individuals represent a relatively ineffective approach that typically widens social inequalities. These high risk approaches may distract from cheaper and more effective policy interventions for entire populations which will usually narrow the inequalities gap.

Simon Capewell, Professor of clinical epidemiology, University of Liverpool,
Liverpool L69 3GB capewell@liverpool.ac.uk

References

- Ashworth, M, Millett, C. Quality Improvement in UK Primary Care: The Role of Financial Incentives. *Journal of Ambulatory Care Management*: 2008; 31; 220-225.
- Banks E, V Beral, R Cameron, A Hogg, N Langley, I Barnes, D Bull, G Reeves, R English, S Taylor, J Elliman and CL Harris. Comparison of various characteristics of women who do and do not attend for breast cancer screening. *British Breast Cancer Res*. 4; R1.
- Blaxter, M Evidence For The Effect On Inequalities In Health Of Interventions Designed To Change Behaviour. Department of Social Medicine, University of Bristol. NICE BC 6-5.
<http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/EvidencefortheeffectonInequalitiesdesignedtochangebehavior.pdf>
- MH Bouchard, A Dragomir, L Blais, A Bérard, D Pilon, S Perreault. Impact of adherence to statins on coronary artery disease in primary prevention. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2007 June; 63(6): 698–708.
- Browning, KK Amy K. Ferketich, Pamela J. Salsberry, and Mary Ellen Wewers, Socioeconomic Disparity in Provider-Delivered Assistance to Quit Smoking. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research* 2008 10(1):55-61; doi:10.1080/14622200701704905
- Chaudhry HJ. and BMcDermott. Recognizing and improving patient nonadherence to statin therapy. *Current Ather. Rep* 2008; 10; 19-24.
- Cubbin C, Sundquist K, Ahlen H, Johansson SE, Winkleby MA, Sundquist J. Neighborhood deprivation and cardiovascular disease risk factors: protective and harmful effects. *Scand J Public Health* 2006;34:228-37
- Department of Health Putting Prevention First. London, 2008.
- Gender Inequalities in Health: A Swedish Perspective by Pirooska Ostlin, Maria Danielsson, Finn Diderichsen, and Annika Harenstam. 2007
- Dowd JB. Aiello AE. Did national folic acid fortification reduce socioeconomic and racial disparities in folate status in the US? *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2008 37(5):1059-1066; doi:10.1093/ije/dyn066
- Ebrahim S (2005) What Is the Best Strategy for Reducing Deaths from Heart Disease? *PLoS Med* 2(4): e98. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0020098
- Richard Edwards, Christian P Hasselholdt, Kim Hargreaves, Claire Probert, Richard Holford, Judy Hart, Martie Van Tongeren Adrian FR Watson . Levels of second hand smoke in pubs and bars by deprivation and food-serving status: a cross-sectional study from North West England *BMC Public Health* 2006; 6; 42 doi:10.1186/1471-2458-6-42
- Emberson J, Whincup P, Morris R, Walker M, Ebrahim S. Evaluating the impact of population and high-risk strategies for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Eur Heart J* 2004;25:484-91.
- Katherine L. Frohlich, PhD, and Louise Potvin, The Inequality Paradox: The Population Approach and Vulnerable Populations. *Am J Public Health*. 2008;98:216–221. doi:10.2105/
- Garvican L, Littlejohns P. Comparison of prognostic and socio-economic factors in screen-detected and symptomatic cases of breast cancer. *Public Health* 1998;112:15-20.
- Helmert U, Shea S And Maschewsky-Schneider U. Social class and cardiovascular disease risk factor changes in West Germany 1984–1991. *European Journal of Public Health* 1995 5(2):103-108; doi:10.1093/eurpub/5.2.103

CVD EP 12 Will CVD prevention widen health inequalities?

Jackson R, Capewell S. BMJ Head to Head. Will screening individuals at high risk of cardiovascular events deliver large benefits? *BMJ* 2008;337:a1395, doi: 10.1136/bmj.a1395

Jakobsen M, PhD University of Copenhagen 2009

Kristina Johnell, Lennart Råstam, Thor Lithman, Jan Sundquist1 Juan Merlo
Low adherence with antihypertensives in actual practice: the association with social participation – a multilevel analysis *BMC Public Health* 2005, 5:17doi:10.1186/1471-2458-5-17

Sanjat Kanjilal, MPH; Edward W. Gregg, PhD; Yiling J. Cheng, MD, PhD; Ping Zhang, PhD; David E. Nelson, MD, MPH; George Mensah, MD; Gloria L. A. Beckles, MD, MSc
Socioeconomic Status and Trends in Disparities in 4 Major Risk Factors for Cardiovascular Disease Among US Adults, 1971-2002. *Arch Intern Med.* 2006;166:2348-2355.

Karppanen H, Mervaala E. Sodium intake and hypertension. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2006;49:59-75.

Mika Kivimäki, Martin J. Shipley, Jane E. Ferrie, Archana Singh-Manoux, G. David Batty, Tarani Chandola, Michael G. Marmot, George Davey Smith. Estimating the impact of 'best-practice' interventions on reducing socioeconomic inequalities in coronary heart disease mortality in a working population: the Whitehall study. *Lancet* 2008; 372; 1648-54.

Law MR ,Morris JK Why is mortality higher in poorer areas and in more northern areas of England and Wales? *JECH* 1998; Vol 52, 344-352.

Allan Low, Louise Unsworth, Anne Low Iain Miller. Avoiding the danger that stop smoking services may exacerbate health inequalities: building equity into performance assessment. *BMC Public Health* 2007;7; 198.

Caroline Main, Sian Thomas, David Ogilvie, Lisa Stirk, Mark Petticrew, Margaret Whitehead, Amanda Sowden. Population tobacco control interventions and their effects on social inequalities in smoking: placing an equity lens on existing systematic reviews. *BMC Public Health* 2008; 8; 178

Johan P Mackenbach, Vivian Bos, Otto Andersen, Mario Cardano, Giuseppe Costa, Seeromanie Harding, Alison Reid, Örjan Hemström, Tapani Valkonen and Anton E Kunst. Widening socioeconomic inequalities in mortality in six Western European countries. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2003;32:830-837

Morisky D, A Ang, M Krousel-Wood, H J. Ward, Predictive Validity of a Medication Adherence Measure in an Outpatient Setting. *Clin Hypertension* 2008; 10; 348-354

Murray CJ, Lauer JA, Hutubessy RC, Niessen L, Tomijima N, Rodgers A, et al. Effectiveness and costs of interventions to lower systolic blood pressure and cholesterol: a global and regional analysis on reduction of cardiovascular-disease risk. *Lancet* 2003;361:717-25.

NICE PH15 2008. Identifying and supporting people most at risk of dying prematurely.
<http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/PH15>

David Ogilvie, Debra Fayer, Mark Petticrew, Amanda Sowden, Sian Thomas, Margaret Whitehead, Gill Worthy. The harvest plot: A method for synthesising evidence about the differential effects of interventions. *BMC Med Res Methodology* 2008; 8; 8

J Oldroyd, C Burns, P Lucas, A Haikerwal and E Waters. The effectiveness of nutrition interventions on dietary outcomes by relative social disadvantage: a systematic review. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2008;62:573-579; doi:10.1136/jech.2007.066357

RA Payne, SRJ Maxwell Deprivation-based risk scores: the re-emergence of postcode prescribing in the UK? *Journal of Cardiovascular Medicine* 2009, 10:157-160 ...

CVD EP 12 Will CVD prevention widen health inequalities?

Pell JP, Haw S, Cobbe S, Newby DE, Pell AC, Fischbacher C, McConnachie A, Pringle S, Murdoch D, Dunn F, Oldroyd K, Macintyre P, O'Rourke B, Borland W. Smoke-free legislation and hospitalizations for acute coronary syndrome. *N Engl J Med.* 2008; 359: 482–491

Rose G. *The strategy of preventive medicine.* Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1992.

Stender S, Dyerberg J, Bysted A, Leth T, Astrup A. A trans world journey. *Atheroscler Suppl* 2006;7:47-52.

SatFat. Average Brit consumes over 1,000 pints of saturated fat;
<http://www.unilever.co.uk/ourcompany/newsandmedia/pressreleases/2009/1000-pints-satfat.asp>

Sheridan SL, Crespo E. Does the routine use of global coronary heart disease risk scores translate into clinical benefits or harms? A systematic review of the literature. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2008;8:60.

S Thomas, D Fayter, K Misso², D Ogilvie, M Petticrew, A Sowden, M Whitehead and G Worthy
Population tobacco control interventions and their effects on social inequalities in smoking: systematic review *Tobacco Control* 2008;17; 230-7;

Thomas AJ, Eberly LE, Davey SG, Neaton JD, Stamler J. Race/ethnicity, income, major risk factors, and cardiovascular disease mortality. *Am J Public Health* 2005;95:1417-23.

Reimar W Thomsen, Søren P Johnsen, Anne V Olesen, Jens T Mortensen, Henrik Bøggild, Jørn Olsen, and Henrik T Sørensen . Socioeconomic gradient in use of statins among Danish patients: population-based cross-sectional study

RW Thomsen Br J Clin Pharm. 2005; 60;534-542

J Townsend, P Roderick, J Cooper Cigarette smoking by socioeconomic group, sex, and age: effects of price, income, and health publicity. *BMJ* 1994; 309; 923-7

Tudor Hart. The inverse care law. *Lancet* 1971; 1; 405-12

Tunstall-Pedoe H, Woodward M. By neglecting deprivation, cardiovascular risk scoring will exacerbate social gradients in disease. *Heart* 2006;92:307-10.

Unal B, Critchley JA, Capewell S. Small changes in United Kingdom cardiovascular risk factors could halve coronary heart disease mortality. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2005;58:733-40.

Vrijens B, Vincze G, Kristanto P, Urquhart J, Burnier M. Adherence to prescribed antihypertensive drug treatments: longitudinal study of electronically compiled dosing histories. *BMJ* 2008;336:1114-7.

Wiklund O, Wilhelmsen L, Elmfeldt D, Wedel H, Valek J, Gustafson A. Alpha-lipoprotein cholesterol concentration in relation to subsequent myocardial infarction in hypercholesterolemic men. *Atherosclerosis* 1980;37:47-53.

Mark Woodward, Jane Oliphant, Gordon Lowe, Hugh Tunstall-Pedoe. Contribution of contemporaneous risk factors to social inequality in coronary heart disease and all causes

Young F, Critchley J, Capewell S. EuroPrevent Conference, Stockholm May 2009.