

NICE PUBLIC HEALTH GUIDANCE

Preventing and Reducing Domestic Violence

3rd Meeting of the Programme Development Group

Tuesday 11th September 2012

Social Care Institute for Excellence (SCIE), Fifth Floor, 2 – 4 Cockspur Street, London, SW1Y 5BH

Final Minutes

Attendees:	<p><i>PDG Members</i> Louise Howard (Chair), Zlakha Ahmed, Diana Barran, Bushara Bostan, Adrian Boyle, Lori Busch, Linda Davies, Gene Feder, Chris Green, Davina James-Hanman, Marianne Hester, Jane Lewis, Gillian Mezey, Maureen Noble, Pamela Richardson, Amanda Robinson, David Sloan,</p> <p><i>NICE Team</i> Antony Morgan, Una Canning, Chris Carmona, Andrew Hoy, Victoria Axe, Charlotte Haynes (via TC)</p> <p><i>Review Team</i> Lorraine Greaves, via TC (BCCEWH), Nancy Poole, via TC (BCCEWH), Natalie Hemsling, via TC (BCCEWH)</p>
Apologies:	<p><i>PDG Members</i> Rahila Ameen, Jackie Fernandez, Nicky Stanley, Karen Williams</p> <p><i>SCIE Team</i> Jen Francis</p> <p><i>NICE Team</i> Kim Jeong</p>
Author	Victoria Axe
File Ref	Final minutes of PDG 3 meeting 11 th September 2012
Version	Final
Audience	PDG members, NICE team, the public (via web publication)

Item		Action
<p>1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting</p>	<p>The Chair welcomed the PDG to the third meeting on preventing and reducing domestic violence and informed the PDG that as he would be providing expert testimony throughout the day, Louise Howard would take on the role of the Chair.</p> <p>Louise Howard began to Chair the meeting and discussed what the objectives of the day would be.</p> <p>The Chair informed the PDG that apologies had been received from Rahila Ameen, Jackie Fernandez, Nicky Stanley and Karen Williams.</p>	
<p>2. Declarations of Interests</p>	<p>The Chair asked the PDG to declare their conflicts of interest and to continue to keep these updated throughout the guidance development.</p> <p>Amanda Robinson declared that she was a part of the TASCAN finish group for the Welsh Government's Domestic Violence legislation, which has been submitted to the minister for recommendation for screening across Wales. This legislation highlights Gene Feder's trial of the IRIS model.</p> <p>Davina James-Hanman declared that she is chairing domestic violence homicide reviews with declarations on health but nothing around screening.</p> <p>Lori Busch arrived at 10am.</p>	
<p>3. Notes of the last meeting</p>	<p>The minutes of the last meeting were reviewed, the actions were discussed and the minutes were agreed to be an accurate record.</p> <p>Gene Feder (GF) informed the group that Diana Barran had volunteered to join the economic subgroup. GF gave an update on the purpose of the subgroup and informed the PDG on what the subgroup had recently been discussing. The PDG asked if they would be able to feed in to the group and asked for the dates of future meetings.</p> <p>Action: The NICE team to share all future notes of the economic subgroup meetings with the PDG.</p> <p>Action: The NICE team to email the dates of the economic subgroup meetings to the PDG.</p> <p>Zlakha Ahmed and Linda Davies arrived at 10.10am.</p> <p>Diana Barran and Gillian Mezey arrived at 10.15am.</p>	<p>NICE Team</p> <p>NICE Team</p>

<p>4. Overview of meetings and process – where are we heading + running issues</p>	<p>Antony Morgan (AM) reminded the group where we currently are in the programme development process.</p> <p>Marianne Hester arrived at 11.15am.</p>	
<p>5. Update on the effectiveness work – review 2: Identification</p>	<p>The BCCEWH gave a presentation on the Effectiveness Review of Interventions on Identification of Domestic Violence via TC.</p>	
<p>6. Systematic review evidence on identification - expert witness</p>	<p>GF gave a presentation entitled Health care identification of people experiencing domestic violence: what does the evidence say?</p>	
<p>7. Discussion</p>	<p>The PDG were invited to ask GF and BCCEWH questions in regards to their presentations.</p> <p>The BCCEWH left the meeting.</p>	
<p>8. Drafting recommendations from review 2: identification</p>	<p>Chris Carmona (CC) gave a presentation on how NICE PDGs form recommendations.</p>	
<p>9. Discussion</p>	<p>The PDG discussed their thoughts in regards to recommendations around identification.</p> <p>Action: PDG to send any literature they have on identification in sexual health clinics to the NICE Team</p> <p>The PDG were reminded of the remit of the scope.</p> <p>Action: NICE Team to draft some recommendations post the PDG’s discussion and email them to the PDG for comment.</p>	<p>PDG</p> <p>NICE Team</p>
<p>10. Developing a conceptual framework for the guidance</p>	<p>GF returned to the role of the Chair and asked those members of the PDG who had arrived late whether they had any further declarations of interest.</p> <p>Marianne Hester reconfirmed her previous declarations in regards to past research.</p> <p>The Chair introduced Charlotte Haynes (CH) to the group via TC. CH gave a presentation on developing a conceptual framework for the guidance.</p>	

<p>11. Discussion</p>	<p>The PDG discussed the conceptual framework and suggested improvements and alterations.</p> <p>Action: NICE Team to add the third sector and forced marriage to the Conceptual Framework.</p> <p>Action: NICE Team to circulate the slides from the meeting</p> <p>CH left the meeting.</p>	<p>NICE Team</p> <p>NICE Team</p>
<p>12. Prioritising experts</p>	<p>AM asked the PDG what gaps there were in the evidence and which experts/groups they would like to hear from in future meetings.</p> <p>It was agreed that potential experts should fit within the following criteria. They should either</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) look across a whole field b) speak from an experience that hasn't been captured in the evidence or c) directly address an area where there is a gap. <p>Action: NICE Team to circulate the list of potential experts to the PDG so they can specify which ones they think are most important using the criteria that was identified.</p> <p>Action: NICE Team to add an item to the next agenda for the PDG to spend time discussing questions they would like to ask to potential experts.</p> <p>Action: NICE Team to look into the DH "task force" recommendations on the health aspects of violence against women and children</p>	<p>NICE Team and PDG</p> <p>NICE Team</p> <p>NICE Team</p>
<p>13. Next steps</p>	<p>AM informed the group that the next meeting will take place on 25th October. NICE will email out the draft recommendations from PDG 2 and PDG 3 before this meeting.</p>	
<p>14. Any other business</p>	<p>Another item of business was raised in regards to the order of the meeting. It was suggested that the BCCEWH review should be discussed during the afternoon sessions of each meeting and that the review could look more into the context. It was also suggested that the next agenda should start with the draft recommendations from the previous meetings.</p> <p>The issue was raised in regards to the context of healthcare provision in a number of settings. It was noted that there is a different context in the US e.g. . there may be further things that prevent women from</p>	

	<p>disclosing when they are asked about abuse.</p> <p>AM informed the group that there was potential for NICE to tender for a review of systematic review evidence. This was thought not to be necessary but a summary of review level literature should be presented prior to any additional literature identified by the BCCEWH team. NICE team to have further discussions with the review team to see how best to achieve this.</p> <p>Davina James-Hanman agreed to present an overview of interventions at the next meeting</p>	
15. Close	The meeting closed at 4.10pm.	