### NICE PUBLIC HEALTH GUIDANCE

### **Preventing and Reducing Domestic Violence**

# 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Programme Development Group

## Thursday 24<sup>th</sup> January 2013

### **NICE Offices**

### **Draft** Minutes

Attendees:	PDG Members Gene Feder (Chair), Diana Barran, Adrian Boyle, Lori Busch, Linda Davies, Chris Green, Marianne Hester, Louise Howard, Gillian Mezey, Maureen Noble, Amanda Robinson, David Sloan, Nicky Stanley  NICE Team
	Antony Morgan, Chris Carmona, Charlotte Haynes (via TC), Andrew Hoy, Kim Jeong, Una Canning, Victoria Axe, Pavanraj Jessel
	SCIE Team Jen Francis
	Review Team Lorraine Greaves via TC (BCCEWH), Nancy Poole via TC, (BCCEWH), Natalie Hemsing via TC (BCCEWH), Jacque Mallender (Matrix), Meena Venkatachalam (Matrix)
	Experts Gary Fitzgerald (Action on Elder Abuse)
	Observers Leo Paz (GP and Domestic Violence Researcher)
	Independent Co-optee for Health Economics Sarah Byford (Kings College)
Apologies:	PDG Members Zlakha Ahmed, Bushara Bostan, Rahila Ameen, Rita Hirani, Davina James-Hanman, Jane Lewis, Pamela Richardson, Karen Williams

Author	Victoria Axe
File Ref	Draft minutes of PDG 6 meeting 24 <sup>th</sup> January 2013
Version	Draft 1
Audience	PDG members, NICE team, the public (via web publication

Item		Action
1. Welcome and objectives for the meeting	The Chair welcomed the PDG to the sixth meeting on preventing and reducing domestic violence. Due to adverse weather conditions many members of the PDG were delayed. Therefore the meeting was not quorate until Amanda Robinson, Gillian Mezey and Lori Busch arrived at 10am.	
	The Chair informed the PDG that apologies had been received from Zlakha Ahmed, Bushara Bostan, Rahila Ameen, Rita Hirani, Davina James-Hanman, Jane Lewis, Pamela Richardson and Karen Williams.	
	The Chair introduced the observer, Leo Paz, and the member of the NICE costing team, Pavanraj Jessal to the group.	
	The Chair informed the group of the objectives of the day. These included receiving a presentation from British Columbia Centre for Women's Excellence (BCCEWH) on the draft review relating to domestic violence prevention; continuing revising recommendations; drafting recommendations in relation to the review; receiving expert testimony from the organisation Action on Elder Abuse; receiving an update from Matrix and the Health Economics sub group on the economic appraisal work and receiving an update on the review of existing guidance on Domestic Violence.	
2. Declarations of Interests	The Chair asked the PDG to declare their conflicts of interest and to continue to keep these updated throughout the guidance development.  Marianne Hester declared that she is involved in a new European Daphne III funded research project looking at evaluation measures for perpetrator programmes across Europe and developing a toolkit for European evaluation. The partners include Germany, Spain, Denmark and Austria.	
	Nicky Stanley declared that she is also involved in a Daphne project, Safeguarding Teenage Intimate Relationships (STIR), which is headed by Christine Barker from Bristol. The project involves looking at new technology, e.g. mobile phones and social media and their effects on abuse in young people's intimate relationships. The countries involved are the UK, Italy, Cyprus, Bulgaria and Norway.	
	There were no further conflicts of interest.	

3. Notes of the last meeting	The minutes of the last meeting were reviewed, the actions were discussed and the minutes were agreed to be an accurate record.  Antony Morgan (AM) asked again for volunteers to work with the NICE team on the broader narrative (considerations section of the guidance).  BCCEWH confirmed that they received grey literature from the PDG.	
	Action: AM to email the updated conceptual framework to the PDG	AM
	The Chair requested if there could be a summary of the grey literature from the previous evidence reviews. The Chair requested the old evidence reviews in order to refer to them when making recommendations which include grey literature and systematic reviews.	
	Action: Chris Carmona (CC) and AM to discuss with BCCEWH how best to achieve this before the April meeting.	CC/AM
	Action: BCCEWH to attend the April Meeting	ВССЕЖН
	Action: The NICE Team to amend the minutes so they report the totality of evidence i.e. systematic reviews, grey literature, expert testimony, input of the PDG and work from BCCEWH which will help to develop the recommendations.	NICE Team
4. Presentation of Evidence - Prevention	BCCEWH gave a presentation entitled Effectiveness Review of Interventions for Preventing Domestic Violence.	
	Diana Barran arrived at 11am.	
5. Discussion	As BCCEWH presented the PDG asked questions in regards to their review.	
	Action: The PDG members to let the NICE Team know if they think there are any missing studies from these reviews.	PDG
	Action: BCCEWH to send the list of current studies to the NICE team to see if they can acquire any missing studies.	BCCEWH
	Action: The PDG to think about expert witnesses who could help with the gaps in the evidence.	PDG
	BCCEWH left the meeting at 11.30am and the PDG carried on their discussion.	

	Action: BCCEWH to look at the Daphne Website.	BCCEWH
	The Chair asked the PDG to consider the prevention evidence and to consider whether there was sufficient evidence to make recommendations in this area. It was agreed that based on the evidence presented in the review it was not possible at the moment	BCCLWII
6. Health Economics - Update	The Matrix team arrived at 11.30am.  Jacque Mallender and Meena Venkatachalam gave a presentation on their proposed way forward in regards to the economic analysis of domestic violence prevention and reduction.	
7. Discussion	As Matrix presented the PDG asked questions in regards to their review.  Matrix put forward their proposals for creating 4 models (2 for incidence reduction; 2 for harm reduction) and the PDG had a discussion about the most relevant studies to use.  Following discussion with the PDG and cooptee Sarah Byford, it was agreed that Matrix should move forward with the IDVA study by Howarth for incidence reduction – supplemented by any pooled / meta analysis / systematic review data (to be identified by Gene Feder (GF) in the next 24 hours). It was also agreed that the most relevant intervention for harm reduction would be a CBT intervention. GF highlighted the Kubany (2003 & 2004) study and volunteered to re-visit two studies with Matrix to review whether it fit their criteria for use in the modelling. If so, Matrix would use them in the harm reduction model.	
	Action: The Chair to suggest a study for Matrix to use in the sensitivity analysis in the incidence reduction modelling.  Action: Matrix to use Howarth (2009) and revisit Kubany (2003) and Kubany (2004) for use in the models.  Antony reminded the group that it was imperative to allow Matrix to start their work - otherwise they would run out of time and resources  Gary Fitzgerald arrived at 12pm.  Adrian Boyle arrived at 1pm.  The Matrix team left at 1.15pm.	Chair

8. Expert Testimony: Elder Abuse	Gary Fitzgerald (GF) gave a presentation in regard to Elder Abuse. GF had no conflicts of interest to declare.	
	The Chair thanked GF for his presentation and the PDG were invited to ask him a few brief questions.	
	Sarah Byford and Gary Fitzgerald left at 1.30pm.	
9. NICE Costing Team	Pavanraj Jessal (PJ) gave a presentation on the role of the costing department at NICE.	
	PJ asked the PDG to consider the following questions when thinking about the costing tools:	
	<ul> <li>Which recommendations would lead to a significant change in current practice and lead to a significant increase in costs?</li> <li>How would services change as a result of implementing the guidance?</li> <li>Are there any non-recurring costs such as equipment / staff training that may be needed?</li> <li>What are key messages need to come across in the costing work?</li> </ul>	
	It was noted that the PDG could help support the costing tool development by identifying the target audience; providing expert advice prior to and during the development of the support tool; and commenting on the draft tools.	
	Action: A number of PDG members to volunteer to help with the development of the costing tools.	PDG
	The Chair volunteered Davina James-Hanman and Diana Barran to assist with this.	
10. Revising draft recommendations	CC gave a presentation on developing recommendations and asked the PDG to consider the following:	
	Whose health will benefit? Who should take action? What action should be taken?	
	The PDG split into three groups and drafted recommendations.	
	Diana Barran left the meeting at 3pm.	
11. Drafting recommendations plenary feedback	The PDG fed back their draft recommendations in plenary.	

	Marianne Hester left the meeting at 3.30pm.	
	Action: The NICE Team to redraft the recommendations and email them to the PDG before the next meeting.	NICE Team
12. Update on Existing Guidance review	Andrew Hoy (AH) gave an update on the review of existing UK domestic violence guidance.	
	Action: The NICE team to re-circulate the table of guidelines so that the PDG can refer to the detail of relevant guidelines when they are discussing the partnership	NICE Team
	There was further discussion around a care pathway.	
	Amanda Robinson and Lori Busch left the meeting at 4pm.	
13. Next Steps and running issues	AM discussed the next steps.	
Turning 100000	AM informed the group that the next meeting would be on 5 <sup>th</sup> March and would be held at the new NICE London Offices at 10 Spring Gardens.	
	AM also informed the group that Fieldwork would be taking place and the tender for this would go out in February.	
14. Any other business	There was no other business.	
15. Close	The meeting closed at 4.05pm.	