

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No.139; Continuous positive airway pressure for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnoea/hypopnoea syndrome

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Manufacturers/sponsors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Apex Medical (CPAP devices) • Breas Medical AB (CPAP devices) • Carefusion (CPAP devices) • DeVilbiss Healthcare (CPAP devices) • Fisher & Paykel Healthcare (CPAP devices) • Philips Respironics (CPAP devices) • Covidien (CPAP devices) • ResMed (CPAP devices) • Weinmann Medical Technology (CPAP devices) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Afiya Trust • Black Health Agency • British Lung Foundation • British Snoring and Sleep Apnoea Association • Counsel and Care • Equalities National Council • Muslim Council of Britain • Muslim Health Network • Sleep Apnoea Trust • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association for Respiratory Technology & Physiology • Association of Respiratory Nurse Specialists • British Association for Services to the Elderly • British Geriatrics Society • British Sleep Society • British Society of Dental Sleep Medicine • British Thoracic Society • Primary Care Respiratory Society UK • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of British Healthcare Industries • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • Care Quality Commission • Commissioning Support Appraisals Service • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • EUCOMED • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • NHS Alliance • NHS Commercial Medicines Unit • NHS Confederation • Public Health Wales NHS Trust • Scottish Medicines Consortium <p><u>Comparator manufacturer(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cochrane Airways Group • Loughborough University Sleep Research Centre • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Institute for Health Research • Oxford Sleep Unit • Research Institute for the Care of Older People • Sleep Research Group, Section of Psychological Medicine, University of Glasgow <p><u>Assessment Group</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

NICE Technology Appraisal No.139; Continuous positive airway pressure for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnoea/hypopnoea syndrome

Issue date: **November 2011**

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine • United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health • NHS Bradford and Airedale • NHS Hertfordshire • Welsh Government 	<p><u>Associated Guideline groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tbc <p><u>Associated Public Health groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tbc

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO for definitions of consultees and commentators

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*).

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Assessment Group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute).

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.