NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Pembrolizumab for treating advanced melanoma in people previously untreated with ipilimumab [ID801]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
 Merck Sharp & Dohme 	Allied Health Professionals Federation
(pembrolizumab)	Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Patient/carer groups	British National Formulary
Afiya Trust	Care Quality Commission
Black Health Agency	Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer Black Care	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Cancer Equality	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Cancer 52	Medicines and Healthcare products
Equalities National Council	Regulatory Agency
• HAWC	National Association of Primary Care
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	National Pharmacy Association
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	NHS Alliance
Macmillan Cancer Support	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Maggie's Centres	NHS Confederation
Marie Curie Cancer Care	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Melanoma UK	
Muslim Council of Britain	Possible comparator manufacturer(s)
Muslim Health Network	Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceutical
OcuMel UK	(ipilimumab)
Rarer Cancers Foundation	Hospira UK (dacarbazine,
Skcin - Karen Clifford Skin Cancer	temozolomide)
Charity	Medac UK (dacarbazine, temozolomide)
South Asian Health Foundation	Merck Sharp & Dohme (temozolomide)
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Roche Products (vemurafenib)
Tenovus	Teva UK (temozolomide)
	Zentiva UK (temozolomide)
Professional groups	Dala and account of
Association of Anaesthetists	Relevant research groups
Association of Cancer Physicians	British Society for Dermatological
Association of Surgeons of Great	Surgery
Britain and Ireland	Cochrane Skin Group
British Association of Dermatologists	Health Research Authority
British Association of Skin Cancer	Institute of Cancer Research
Specialist Nurses	MRC Clinical Trials Unit

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of pembrolizumab for treating advanced melanoma in people previously untreated with ipilimumab [ID801]
Issue date: July 2014

Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Association of Surgical Oncology British Dermatological Nursing Group British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Skin Foundation Cancer Research UK Melanoma Focus Primary Care Dermatology Society Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Surgeons Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 Myfanwy Townsend Melanoma Research Fund National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Skin Cancer Research Fund Skin Research Centre Skin Treatment & Research Trust Evidence Review Group Evidence Review Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales
 Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Leicester City CCG NHS Newham CCG Welsh Government 	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Issue date: July 2014 Page 3 of 3

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.