NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Cabazitaxel for the second line treatment of hormone refractory, metastatic prostate cancer

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	 General Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Commissioning Support Appraisals Service Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency National Association for Primary Care NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation NHS Quality Improvement Scotland Public Health NHS Trust
 Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Health Network Orchid PCaSO – Prostate Cancer Network Prostate Cancer Charity Prostate Help Association South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Sue Ryder Care Tenovus Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians 	 Scottish Medicines Consortium Possible comparator manufacturers Hospira (mitoxantrone) Sandoz (mitoxantrone) Teva UK (mitoxantrone) Wockhardt (mitoxantrone) Relevant research groups Cochrane Prostatic Diseases Urologic Cancers Group CORE - Digestive Disorders Foundation Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute

Issue date: November 2010

Page 2 of 6

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) British Association for Services to the National Cancer Research Network Elderly National Institute of Health Research British Association of Urological Ovarian & Prostate Cancer Research Nurses Trust British Association of Urological Policy Research Institute on Ageing Surgeons and Ethnicity Pro-Cancer Research Fund British Geriatrics Society British Prostate Group Prostate Action British Psychosocial Oncology Prostate UK Society Research Institute of the Care of Older British Uro-Oncology Group People Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum **Evidence Review Group** Cancer Research UK Liverpool Reviews & Implementation National Pharmacy Association Group, University of Liverpool Pelican National Institute for Health Research Royal College of General Health Technology Assessment **Practitioners** Programme Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists **Associated Guideline Groups** Royal College of Physicians National Collaborating Centre for Royal Pharmaceutical Society Cancer Royal Society of Medicine United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Associated Public Health Groups Association None United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health Derbyshire County PCT NHS Warwickshire

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Matrix for the technology appraisal of cabazitaxel for the second line treatment of hormone refractory, metastatic prostate cancer

Welsh Assembly Government

Issue date: November 2010

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

Consultees can participate in the consultation on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document, they are invited to prepare a submission dossier and all non-manufacturers/sponsors consultee organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee. All consultees are given the opportunity to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare a submission dossier, and that receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.