

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

CDF Reconsideration Process

NICE Technology Appraisal No.219; Everolimus for the second-line treatment of advanced and/or metastatic renal cell carcinoma

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Company/sponsor</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Novartis Pharmaceuticals (everolimus-afinitor) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Black Health Agency • British Kidney Patient Association • Cancer Black Care • Cancer Equality • Cancer 52 • HAWC • Helen Rollason Cancer Charity • Independent Cancer Patients Voice • James Whale Fund for Kidney Cancer • Kidney Cancer Support Network • Kidney Cancer UK • Kidney Research UK • Macmillan Cancer Support • Maggie's Centres • Marie Curie Cancer Care • Muslim Council of Britain • National Kidney Federation • Rarer Cancers Foundation • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance • Tenovus Cancer Care <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland • Association of Cancer Physicians • Association of Renal Technologists • Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland • British Association of Urological Nurses 	<p><u>General commentators</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allied Health Professionals Federation • Association of Renal Industries • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Alliance • NHS Commercial Medicines Unit • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium • Welsh Kidney Patients Association <p><u>Comparators</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pfizer (axitinib) <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cochrane Prostate Diseases and Urologic Cancers Group • Cochrane Renal Group • Institute of Cancer Research • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Cancer Research Institute • National Cancer Research Network • National Institute for Health Research <p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Health England • Public Health Wales

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Association of Urological Surgeons • British Geriatrics Society • British Institute of Radiology • British Psychosocial Oncology Society • British Renal Society • British Society of Urogenital Radiology • British Uro-Oncology Group • Cancer Research UK • Renal Association • Royal College of Anaesthetists • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal College of Radiologists • Royal College of Surgeons • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine • Society and College of Radiographers • Society for DGH Nephrologists • UK Clinical Pharmacy Association • UK Health Forum • UK Oncology Nursing Society • UK Renal Pharmacy Group • Urology Foundation <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health • NHS Barnsley CCG • NHS England • NHS Windsor, Ascot and Maidenhead CCG • Welsh Government 	
---	--

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

MTA Definitions:Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary).

All non-company organisations can nominate clinical or patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.