NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

DIAGNOSTICS ASSESSMENT PROGRAMME

Draft guidance

Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) is producing guidance on using home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome in the NHS in England. The diagnostics advisory committee has considered the evidence and the views of clinical and patient experts.

This document has been prepared for public consultation. It summarises the evidence and views that have been considered, and sets out the recommendations made by the committee. NICE invites comments from registered stakeholders, healthcare professionals and the public. This document should be read along with the evidence (the external assessment report and the external assessment report addendum).

The advisory committee is interested in receiving comments on the following:

- Has all of the relevant evidence been taken into account?
- Are the summaries of clinical and cost effectiveness reasonable interpretations of the evidence?
- Are the recommendations sound, and a suitable basis for guidance to the NHS?

Equality issues

NICE is committed to promoting equality of opportunity, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people with particular protected characteristics and others. Please let us know if you think that the recommendations may need changing to meet these aims. In particular, please tell us if the recommendations:

Draft guidance – Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome $Page\ 1$ of 21

- could have a different effect on people protected by the equality legislation than on the wider population, for example by making it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology
- could have any adverse effect on people with a particular disability or disabilities.

Please provide any relevant information or data you have about such effects and how they could be avoided or reduced.

Note that this document is not NICE's final guidance on home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome. The recommendations in section 1 may change after consultation.

After consultation, the committee will meet again to consider the evidence, this document and comments from the consultation. After considering the comments, the committee will prepare its final recommendations, which will be the basis for NICE's guidance on the use of the technology in the NHS in England.

For further details, see NICE health technology evaluations: the manual.

Key dates:

Closing date for comments: 4 June 2024

Second diagnostics advisory committee meeting: 19 June 2024

Draft guidance – Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome $Page\ 2$ of 21

1 Recommendations

Only devices with appropriate regulatory approval will be included in the final guidance.

People 16 years and over

- 1.1 Use the following home-testing devices as options to diagnose and assess the severity of obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome (OSAHS) in people 16 years and over:
 - AcuPebble SA100
 - Brizzy
 - NightOwl
 - WatchPAT 300
 - WatchPAT ONE.
- 1.2 When considering whether to use these devices in place of home respiratory polygraphy (RP) or home oximetry, take into account:
 - the device outputs that are needed for decisions about care and whether the device can provide these, particularly for people with comorbidities (see section 3.8)
 - whether the person has hair in the area that the device attaches to that would need to be removed, and if this is acceptable for the person (see section 3.11)
 - whether the person has physical features such as skin growths or scars that may affect how well the device attaches (see section 3.11)
 - the internet and smartphone access that would be needed to use the device (see section 3.12)
 - if attaching or using the device would be difficult for the person, and if they have support at home (see <u>section 3.1</u>).

- 1.3 These devices can only be used once they have appropriate regulatory approval including NHS England's Digital Technology Assessment Criteria (DTAC) approval.
- 1.4 More research is needed on using Sunrise to diagnose and assess the severity of OSAHS in people 16 years and over before it can be used in the NHS.

People under 16 years

- 1.5 More research is needed on the following home-testing devices to diagnose and assess the severity of OSAHS in people under 16 years, before they can be used in the NHS:
 - AcuPebble SA100
 - Brizzy
 - NightOwl
 - Sunrise
 - WatchPAT 300
 - WatchPAT ONE.

More research

- 1.6 More research is needed on:
 - how accurately Sunrise diagnoses and assesses the severity of OSAHS in people 16 years and over
 - how accurately AcuPebble SA100, Brizzy, NightOwl, Sunrise,
 WatchPAT 300 and WatchPAT ONE diagnose and assess the severity
 of OSAHS in people under 16 years
 - how accurately the home-testing devices diagnose and assess the severity of OSAHS in people with black or brown skin.
- 1.7 Access to the technologies for the populations and indications in sections 1.4 and 1.5 should be through company, research, or non-core

NHS funding, and clinical and financial risks should be appropriately

managed.

Why the committee made these recommendations

Home-testing devices for diagnosing OSAHS are designed to be less invasive, more

comfortable to wear and easier to use than home oximetry and home RP systems.

Minimal or no help is needed from healthcare professionals to use and attach the

devices. They may also be more accurate at diagnosing OSAHS because they allow

a more natural night's sleep. OSAHS is currently diagnosed using home oximetry

and home RP systems. These have multiple wires and home RP systems use a

cannula inserted into the nose. They may be uncomfortable to wear, and the person

often needs a hospital appointment to be shown how to use them. Services will still

need some home oximetry or home RP systems because home-testing devices may

not be suitable for some people because of the person's comorbidities or the outputs

the devices deliver.

The economic model uses data on how accurately the home-testing devices detect

OSAHS and assess its severity, compared with home RP and home oximetry. The

model suggests that AcuPebble SA100, Brizzy, NightOwl, WatchPAT 300 and

WatchPAT ONE are cost effective compared with home oximetry and home RP. So,

these devices can be used.

The estimates of diagnostic accuracy for Sunrise are uncertain, so the cost-

effectiveness estimates are also uncertain. This is because the design of the

available studies may have produced results that overestimate diagnostic accuracy.

So, more research is needed.

There is very limited evidence for all the home-testing devices in people under

16 years and the evidence from adults is not generalisable to people under 16. This

is mainly because the underlying causes of OSAHS in people under 16 are different

to adults. So, more research is needed in this group.

Draft guidance – Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome Page 5 of 21

01 2 1

2 The diagnostic tests

Clinical need and practice

Obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnea syndrome

- Obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome (OSAHS) is a syndrome in which the upper airway becomes blocked repeatedly during sleep. It can intermittently reduce airflow (hypopnoea) or stop airflow completely (apnoea). Both apnoeas and hypopnoeas can occur in the same night. Symptoms of sleep apnoea include loud snoring, witnessed breathing pauses, gasping, choking, sleep disruption and unrefreshing sleep. Because of the sleep disturbance, symptoms may also occur during waking hours, including excessive sleepiness. Sleep disruption and excessive sleepiness can reduce quality of life, cognitive function and affect mental health. COPD–OSAHS overlap syndrome occurs in people who have both chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and OSAHS.
- 2.2 In adults OSAHS is caused by various adulthood conditions such as overweight or obesity, hypertension, type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease. In children, the most common cause of OSAHS is adenotonsillar hypertrophy (enlarged tonsils or adenoids) which can partially obstruct the airway during sleep. Obesity and cranio-facial shape can also contribute to causing OSAHS. OSAHS can be associated with neurocognitive impairment, behavioural problems, faltering growth, hypertension, cardiac dysfunction and systemic inflammation in children.

Care pathway and clinical need

2.3 Recommendations on detecting OSAHS and the care pathway can be found in NICE's guideline on obstructive sleep apnoea/hypopnoea syndrome and obesity hypoventilation syndrome in over 16s and the British Thoracic Society's guideline for diagnosing and monitoring

Draft guidance – Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome Page 6 of 21

paediatric sleep-disordered breathing. NICE recommends home respiratory polygraphy (RP) as the initial test for OSAHS in people over 16. If home RP is unavailable, home oximetry can be used but oximetry alone may be inaccurate for differentiating between OSAHS and other causes of hypoxaemia in people with heart failure or chronic lung conditions. Hospital RP or polysomnography (PSG) can also be used if additional monitoring is needed.

- 2.4 Home RP systems include multiple wired components which people need instruction to operate and can be uncomfortable to wear. Oximetry is a widely used alternative but is not considered a sensitive test for OSAHS diagnosis.
- 2.5 Expert clinical advice suggests that hospital-sleep testing capacity has reduced since the COVID-19 pandemic, creating more reliance on home testing as the primary approach to sleep diagnostics. Some devices can be sent directly to the person by the manufacturer or NHS provider, which may improve access to home testing and reduce waiting times. This can potentially reduce time to diagnosis, leading to more timely treatment initiation and symptom improvement. Home-testing devices may also be less invasive than the ones currently used in the NHS, easier to put on and operate, and may also be more comfortable to wear.

The interventions

2.6 Home-testing devices can be used for diagnosing OSAHS. The devices vary in terms of their indications, contraindications for use, physiological parameters measured, lifespan and if they need an internet connection or a smartphone. Table 1 highlights the device specifications including attachment details, mechanism of detection, and whether they need an internet connection or smartphone. See section 1.3 of the external assessment report for further details on the devices.

Draft guidance – Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome Page 7 of 21

Table 1 Device specifications

Device name and cost	Attachment details	Mechanism of detection	Internet or smartphone needed
AcuPebble SA100 (£40 to £60 per reusable device depending on volume of sleep studies).	Wireless sensor (throat).	Records sound generated from physiological body processes including respiratory and cardiac functions. Adding a third-party oximeter is optional.	Internet: during set up and to finish the study (can be done by a healthcare professional). Smartphone: yes, can be provided when purchased.
Brizzy (£44 per clinical question, reusable device).	Device hub (waist belt). Wired sensors (chin and forehead).	Measures jaw activity signal including mandibular movement (MM). Adding a third-party oximeter is optional.	Internet: no. Smartphone: no.
NightOwl (£90 per single-use device).	Wireless sensor (finger).	Consists of a photoplethysmography sensor and accelerometer which measure peripheral arterial tone (PAT) signal, oxygen saturation, body movement and pulse rate.	Internet: yes. Smartphone: yes.
Sunrise (£75 per single-use device, or £62 for orders over 100 devices).	Wireless sensor (chin).	Measures MM.	Internet: yes. Smartphone: yes.
WatchPAT 300 (£50 per resuable device).	Device hub (wrist strap). Wired sensor (finger and chest).	Measures a proprietary PAT signal, heart rate, oximetry, body movement and position, snoring and chest motion.	Internet: no. Smartphone: no.
WatchPAT ONE (£80 per single-use device).	Device hub (wrist strap). Wired sensor (finger and chest).	Measures a proprietary PAT signal, heart rate, oximetry, body movement and position, snoring and chest motion.	Internet: yes Smartphone: yes

The comparators

- 2.7 The comparators for people 16 years and over are home RP or home oximetry. For people with COPD or suspected COPD–OSAHS overlap syndrome, oximetry alone is not recommended.
- 2.8 For people under 16 years, the comparators are home RP or home pulse oximetry. Carbon dioxide monitoring may be used alongside these devices.

3 Committee discussion

The <u>diagnostics advisory committee</u> considered evidence on home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome (OSAHS) from several sources, including an external assessment (EAG) report and an overview of that report. Full details are in the project documents for this guidance.

Impact of using home-testing devices for people with suspected OSAHS

3.1 The EAG identified 3 papers that reported patient experiences with the home-testing devices: Alsaif (2023) for Sunrise, Devani (2021) for AcuPebble SA100 and Mueller (2022) for WatchPAT 300. The patient experts explained the potential benefits of using home-testing devices for diagnosing and assessing severity of OSAHS. These included ease of use, time and cost savings, and increased access to diagnosis and treatment. The committee noted that many people have undiagnosed OSAHS and that even before the COVID-19 pandemic, the availability of diagnosis and treatment was variable. Use of home-testing devices could increase access to diagnosis and so also treatments. It could also potentially reduce waiting times, by reducing the number and frequency of visits to a sleep clinic. Use of home-testing devices may also have a potential positive impact on children's education by reducing delays for treatment. People usually need to collect the current test kits (oximetry

Draft guidance – Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome Page 9 of 21

and respiratory polygraphy [RP]) from a hospital, whereas home-testing devices can be sent to people's homes and returned by post. This can save time and costs for people using them and increase access to testing. This may particularly benefit people with reduced mobility, people who live far from the nearest sleep clinic and people who may not be able to take time off work or afford travel costs. Another benefit noted was that the devices are easy to use and do not involve the complexity of attaching bands or monitors. But a concern expressed was that some devices are smaller than the current tests, so may be more difficult to attach. This would particularly be an issue for people with dexterity issues, such as people with arthritis. It was also noted that for people with mobility issues, returning devices by post may also be an issue. Frailer people or people who have cognitive impairment may have difficulty using the devices that need more user-input and may need support to set them up at home. The committee concluded that home-testing devices offer several potential benefits to people with suspected OSAHS. But it also noted that it is important to consider whether the devices are suitable for people who have dexterity issues such as arthritis, and if they have support at home to help with attaching and using the devices.

Clinical effectiveness

Accuracy estimates from hospital-based studies are acceptable to estimate diagnostic accuracy for home testing

3.2 Most of the studies that produced diagnostic accuracy data were done in a hospital setting. Only 2 studies measured test accuracy in the home setting: Devani (2021) for AcuPebble SA100 and Kelly (2022) for Sunrise. The EAG stated that home-based studies are more relevant to the decision problem than studies in which the device is used in a hospital-sleep laboratory. Experts said that it was routine for tests, even those intended for use at home, to be validated in a hospital setting, and they would not expect a large difference in accuracy from different settings.

Draft guidance – Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome Page 10 of 21

The committee noted that assessment at home would also affect the reference standard. But, if this was done alternatively in a hospital testing, it would need to be done on a different night, which makes comparing home-based testing with hospital-based testing difficult. Overall, the committee concluded that the diagnostic accuracy data from studies done in a hospital setting were acceptable to estimate how well the devices would work in a home setting.

The evidence for Sunrise is at high risk of bias so its accuracy is uncertain

3.3 Two test accuracy studies were included in the EAG's report for Sunrise (Pepin 2020 and Kelly 2022). But the EAG judged both studies to be at high risk of bias for the interpretation of the index test because they reported accuracy data using test cut-off points that were not predefined. The committee agreed that this was a significant issue because it meant that the studies would have overestimated diagnostic accuracy for Sunrise, although the size of this was hard to judge. The company stated that the cut offs determined in the Kelly et al. (2022) study were either the same as, or close, to those the company recommends for use, as established by Pepin (2020). But when asked in the committee meeting why it had characterised the difference in cut off as small, and to what extent this may have affected test accuracy estimates, the company was unable to justify this comment. The committee agreed with the EAG that this was a substantial cause for concern, and that accuracy estimates should be generated from a different data set to that used to set test cutoff values. So, it considered that there was considerable uncertainty about the accuracy of the Sunrise device to identify and assess severity of OSAHS.

Draft guidance – Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome Page 11 of 21

Using test accuracy data from previous and similar versions was acceptable for NightOwl and the WatchPAT devices

- 3.4 The company explained that the disposable NightOwl device that will be available in the UK has the same sensors and software as the CE-marked NightOwl mini. The company stated that the only difference between the devices is that the NightOwl mini's battery can be recharged and the NightOwl's battery cannot. It is awaiting declaration of CE-conformity based on the name change. Only 1 study used the disposable version (Lyne 2023) and 2 further studies used the reusable version of the device (Massie 2018; Van Pee 2022). The committee concluded that it was appropriate to use accuracy data from the reusable NightOwl device for the disposable NightOwl device.
- 3.5 There was no accuracy data identified for WatchPAT 300 and WatchPAT ONE. The EAG included data in its report from studies that used the WatchPAT 200U, which is the most recent version of this device. The company stated that the devices use identical algorithms and produce identical signals. This similarity allowed the company to gain US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and CE approval for the new devices, based on technological continuity. The committee concluded that it was appropriate to use diagnostic accuracy data from WatchPAT 200U for WatchPAT 300 and WatchPAT ONE. WatchPAT ONE is a single-use version of WatchPAT 300 and includes the same sensory attachments and software.

Home-testing devices may reduce healthcare resource use, but the extent is uncertain

3.6 There is some data suggesting that home-testing devices could reduce healthcare resource use, for example staff time. The EAG raised concerns about whether the estimates of the time needed to use the devices included all relevant activities, such as cleaning, device preparation and training. It also said that estimating the device's effect on the time to

Draft guidance – Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome Page 12 of 21

diagnosis is not as simple as extrapolating from technical capabilities of the devices and the regulatory evidence. This is because there will be variation in how new devices are used when they are adopted in NHS practice and how much reliance clinical services are prepared to place on automated diagnosis. The clinical experts noted that although some devices provide automated analysis, clinicians are likely to still want to check the raw data, particularly if the person being assessed has comorbidities (see section 3.8). So, the extent to which any time benefits are seen in practice Is uncertain. The clinical experts also commented that the time reported in some studies to review home RP outputs (up to 2 hours) was far longer than their experience. The clinical experts noted that people currently wait 6 weeks or more for a sleep study and that the waiting lists are still growing. They stated that the staff time needed to review the outputs was still likely to be a limiting factor. But, if hometesting devices reduced reporting and staff time this could be a big benefit to help with waiting times, highlighting that currently services are under considerable pressure. The committee concluded that the devices could plausibly offer some efficiencies for time taken to review outputs compared with current practice. But based on the current evidence the extent of this is uncertain.

Evidence in children and young people under 16 years is limited

3.7 The evidence on the test accuracy for the home-testing devices in children and young people under 16 years consists of 1 published study for Sunrise, and 1 ongoing study for AcuPebble SA100 with preliminary accuracy results available. There are further ongoing studies. The clinical experts explained that the main difference between adults and children is their underlying pathophysiology. They also stated that it is not appropriate to use data on device accuracy in adults for children and young people. The clinical experts also highlighted potential issues with using kit for children that is of appropriate size for adults, and the possible choking risk of smaller pieces of equipment. The experts highlighted that

Draft guidance – Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome Page 13 of 21

there is uncertainty, particularly for younger children, about how well children may tolerate the home-testing devices. But, if the devices are smaller and less intrusive than current tests, they could be more tolerable, especially for children with sensory or neurodevelopmental conditions. So, there is considerable potential for using the home-testing devices in this group. Ongoing or completed studies were mostly done in hospital, rather than at home. A clinical expert commented that it was feasible to do home-based studies for children and young people. The committee recalled its earlier conclusion that hospital-based studies were appropriate to estimate home-based device accuracy (see section 3.2). The committee concluded that the data from adults was not generalisable to children and young people under 16 years and that further evidence on accuracy is needed in this group.

The home-testing devices may provide different outputs to oximetry and respiratory polygraphy

3.8 Clinical experts noted that in current practice for adults, home RP is the preferred test if OSAHS is suspected, but if access to this test is limited, home oximetry can be considered. Oximetry alone may be inaccurate for differentiating between OSAHS and other causes of hypoxaemia in people with heart failure or chronic lung conditions. Both tests are used in practice. The home-testing devices may differ in the outputs they produce, compared with oximetry and RP. The clinical experts commented that this could mean that some of the outputs produced by current tests may not be available if the home-testing devices are used. This could be an issue particularly if they are used for people with comorbidities such as COPD, for which experts review oximetry data to look at oxygenation patterns during sleep. But the experts also noted that some of the home-testing devices measure similar features or have the option to use a third-party oximeter alongside the device. It was suggested that this may indicate that the companies see the value of oximetry alongside the outputs

Draft guidance – Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome Page 14 of 21

produced by home-testing devices. So, it is important to consider the outputs that are needed when choosing which test to use and whether the device will provide these. Some home-testing devices may also have contraindications which could mean they cannot be used for everyone who is currently tested with home oximetry or home RP.

Diagnostic accuracy in people with brown or black skin

- 3.9 The committee considered how well the devices work for people with brown or black skin. It noted the publication of a recent department of health and social care independent review on equity in medical devices, which focused on pulse oximeter performance for people with darker skin tones (although not when used to detect OSAHS). The committee noted there would be a large advantage to the home-testing devices if they improved detection of OSAHS for people with brown and black skin compared with currently used tests. But it recalled that there was no subgroup data in the identified studies based on skin colour or ethnicity. The independent review also considered more broadly devices that send light waves of various frequencies through a person's skin to make measurements of underlying physiology. It highlighted that such technologies could have varied diagnostic accuracy by skin colour but that it is unknown if this occurs to such an extent that they disadvantage or harm the health of people with brown or black skin. The home-testing devices that were assessed differ in what they measure to detect OSAHS but NightOwl and WatchPAT devices use light-based technology. AcuPebble SA100 and Brizzy also have the option to use a third-party pulse oximeter alongside the device, which as noted may provide useful additional outputs (see section 3.8).
- 3.10 The clinical experts explained that when using measures of blood oxygen levels to diagnose OSAHS, they look at relative changes in blood oxygen levels from the person's baseline. So, they considered that any effect on oxygen level assessment related to how the devices work with brown or

Draft guidance – Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome Page 15 of 21

black skin is unlikely to have an impact on accurately diagnosing OSAHS. The clinical experts also highlighted that a diagnosis of OSAHS was not only based on device outputs, but also considered other factors such as the person's symptoms and the impact of sleepiness on their lives. Overall, the committee was satisfied that the home-testing devices that use light-based technologies for assessment are appropriate to use for people with brown or black skin. But, it agreed it would be beneficial to have data showing how accurate the devices are for people with brown or black skin, to understand if any of the devices should be recommended over others.

Place of attachment and access to the internet or a smartphone

- 3.11 The home-testing devices differ in where the sensors are attached on the body, to each other and to oximetry and RP systems. Some sensors are attached to the finger (NightOwl, WatchPAT 300 and WatchPAT ONE), while others attach to the neck (AcuPebble SA100), chin (Sunrise) or chin and forehead (Brizzy). Some people have physical features such as skin growths or scars which may affect how well the devices attach to the skin. If there is hair in the area that the device attaches to, it needs to be removed for the device to attach properly. The committee noted that the positioning of some devices may make them unsuitable for people who have a beard that they do not want to shave for a sleep study, including if it has been grown for religious or cultural reasons. So, an appropriate device should be chosen that attaches to a suitable place for the person.
- 3.12 The devices vary in whether they need a smartphone or internet connection to set up, carry out and transmit data from the sleep study. Some companies explained that their devices do not need internet connection or a smartphone to do the actual sleep study, even if this is needed for some functions such as setting it up or downloading the data. Some companies can provide a fully set-up compatible smartphone if needed. The committee noted that some people have limited access to a

Draft guidance – Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome Page 16 of 21

smartphone or internet connection, including having limited internet data. This, as well as how the device attaches, should be considered when deciding if a home-testing device is appropriate to use in place of home oximetry or home RP.

Cost effectiveness

Some home-testing devices are cost effective for diagnosing OSAHS in people 16 years and over

- 3.13 When comparing the home-testing devices with home oximetry, the committee noted that they were consistently cost effective in the analyses. In its base case, the EAG used accuracy data for home RP from Xu et al. (2017). But the committee preferred to use the pooled estimates from the NICE guideline on OSAHS, which included Xu et al., because this had been discussed at length during development of the guideline and was considered suitable for decision making. The EAG confirmed that it had looked for more recent suitable studies but had not identified any. Compared with home RP, home-testing devices were cost effective, when modelled using this accuracy data. The committee recalled its concerns with the accuracy data that was available for the Sunrise device (see section 3.3), so it did not consider cost-effectiveness estimates generated with this data to be suitable for decision-making. But it concluded that AcuPebble SA100, Brizzy, NightOwl, WatchPAT 300 and WatchPAT ONE were cost-effective alternatives to home oximetry and home RP. The committee further noted that the EAG had advised against comparing the cost-effectiveness estimates of the different devices with each other. based on available data.
- 3.14 The committee noted that there may be some cases in which it may be more appropriate to use home oximetry or home RP rather than the home-testing devices. For example, home-testing devices may be unsuitable if there are concerns about:

Draft guidance – Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome Page 17 of 21

- how well the device may attach because of facial hair or physical features (see <u>section 3.11</u>)
- internet or smartphone availability (see <u>section 3.12</u>)
- the devices not providing all the required outputs (see <u>section 3.8</u>).

So, the committee noted that even if services are adopting a hometesting device, they will need to retain availability of at least some existing testing equipment (home oximetry or RP) for such cases.

3.15 The committee recalled that data on test accuracy for the home-testing devices in people under 16 years is currently limited, and it was not appropriate to use data from adults for this group (see section 3.7). So, it was not possible to assess cost effectiveness of the home-testing devices in people under 16 years. Further data on how accurately all the home-testing devices diagnose and assess severity of OSAHS for people under 16 years is needed (see sections 1.5 to 1.7). The committee also considered that it would be beneficial to have data on how often the devices fail to provide useable results from a sleep study in this group, and the reasons for this.

Sustainability considerations

3.16 Some of the devices are reusable (AcuPebble SA100, Brizzy and WatchPAT 300) and others are single use only (NightOwl, Sunrise and WatchPAT ONE). Any reduction in the need to travel to healthcare centres to collect and return equipment may have benefits in terms of reducing carbon dioxide emissions. The committee discussed that disposable devices would have an environmental cost. But because reusable devices need to be returned, this may cause delays to the devices being available again if they are not returned promptly or are lost. The committee noted that sustainability is an important and growing issue for NHS services, and that the descriptions of the devices and the

Draft guidance – Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome Page 18 of 21

implications described in this guidance could help in considerations about which devices to adopt.

4 Implementation

NICE intends to develop tools, in association with relevant stakeholders, to help organisations put this guidance into practice.

In addition NICE will support this guidance through a range of activities to promote the recommendations for further research. The research proposed will be considered by the NICE Medical Technologies Evaluation Programme research facilitation team for developing specific research study protocols as appropriate. NICE will also incorporate the research recommendations in section 1 into its guidance research recommendations database and highlight these recommendations to public research bodies.

5 Review

NICE will regularly monitor its published technology guidance to check for any new evidence or information that could affect the recommendations. Guidance will not have a fixed review date.

Brian Shine

Chair, diagnostics advisory committee

May 2024

6 Diagnostics advisory committee members and NICE project team

Committee members

This topic was considered by the <u>diagnostics advisory committee</u>, which is a standing advisory committee of NICE.

Draft guidance – Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome Page 19 of 21

Committee members are asked to declare any interests in the test to be evaluated. If

it is considered there is a conflict of interest, the member is excluded from

participating further in that evaluation.

The minutes of each committee meeting, which include the names of the members

who attended and their declarations of interests, are posted on the NICE website.

Additional specialist committee members took part in the discussions for this topic:

Specialist committee members

Heather Elphick

Consultant in paediatric respiratory and sleep medicine, Sheffield Children's NHS

Foundation Trust

Dipansu Ghosh

Consultant respiratory physician, St. James's University Hospital, Leeds

Graham Hill

Specialist lay committee member

Himender Makker

Consultant physician in respiratory and sleep medicine, University College London

Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

James Oliver

Highly specialist respiratory physiologist, The Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS

Foundation Trust

Sophie West

Respiratory consultant, The Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

lain Wheatly

Nurse consultant, Frimley Health NHS Foundation Trust

Draft guidance – Home-testing devices for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome Page 20

of 21

Jack Woolcomb

Chief sleep and non-invasive ventilation physiologist, Royal Brompton Hospital

NICE project team

Each diagnostics evaluation is assigned to a team consisting of a technical analyst (who acts as the topic lead), a technical adviser and a project manager.

Lirije Hyseni, Jessica Wilcock, Ziqi Zhou

Topic leads

Thomas Walker

Technical adviser

Donna Barnes and Elizabeth Islam

Project managers

ISBN: