

Lyme disease: diagnosis and management

Appendix D: Evidence tables for the review on initial diagnostic tests for Lyme disease

NICE guideline

Diagnostic evidence review

September 2017

Draft for Consultation

*This evidence review was developed by
the National Guideline Centre*

Disclaimer

The recommendations in this guideline represent the view of NICE, arrived at after careful consideration of the evidence available. When exercising their judgement, professionals are expected to take this guideline fully into account, alongside the individual needs, preferences and values of their patients or service users. The recommendations in this guideline are not mandatory and the guideline does not override the responsibility of healthcare professionals to make decisions appropriate to the circumstances of the individual patient, in consultation with the patient and, where appropriate, their carer or guardian.

Local commissioners and providers have a responsibility to enable the guideline to be applied when individual health professionals and their patients or service users wish to use it. They should do so in the context of local and national priorities for funding and developing services, and in light of their duties to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, to advance equality of opportunity and to reduce health inequalities. Nothing in this guideline should be interpreted in a way that would be inconsistent with compliance with those duties.

NICE guidelines cover health and care in England. Decisions on how they apply in other UK countries are made by ministers in the [Welsh Government](#), [Scottish Government](#), and [Northern Ireland Executive](#). All NICE guidance is subject to regular review and may be updated or withdrawn.

Copyright

© NICE 2017. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

ISBN

Contents

Appendix D: Clinical evidence tables	5
D.1 Initial tests	5
D.1.1 Cohort studies (adults)	5
D.1.2 Case-control studies (adults)	30
D.1.3 Cohort studies (children)	445
D.1.4 Case-control studies (children).....	455
D.2 Confirmatory tests	467
D.3 Combination tests.....	476
References.....	559

Appendix D: Clinical evidence tables

D.1 Initial tests

D.1.1 Cohort studies (adults)

Reference	Bil-Lula 2015 ⁷
Study type	Cohort study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: group at high risk of developing Lyme disease due to infection of <i>Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato</i>
Number of patients	n = 577
Patient characteristics	Age, median (range): 45 years (20-65) Gender (male to female ratio): 491/86 Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Poland
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease (<i>Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato</i> infection)
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM) – serum ELISA (IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgM) – serum

Reference	Bil-Lula 2015 ⁷			
	WB/IB (IgG) - serum			
	Reference standard CDC recommendation: clinical diagnosis (erythema migrans, palsy of facial nerve or arthritis), medical history, assessment of risk exposure, diagnostic tests including the assessment of antibodies to Borrelia spp class IgM and IgG			
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	6	160	166
	Index test –	12	399	411
	Total	18	559	577
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	220	227
	Index test –	11	339	350
	Total	18	559	577
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	4	87	91
	Index test –	14	472	486
	Total	18	559	577
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	11	309	320
	Index test –	7	250	257

Reference	Bil-Lula 2015 ⁷			
	Total	18	559	577
Statistical measures	<p>ELISA (IgM) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.33 Specificity 0.71</p> <p>ELISA (IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.39 Specificity 0.61</p> <p>WB/IB (IgM) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.22 Specificity 0.84</p> <p>WB/IB (IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.61 Specificity 0.45</p>			
Source of funding	Not reported			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Blaauw 1999 ⁸			
Study type	Cohort study			
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: Diagnosed or suspected chronic Lyme with musculoskeletal complaints			
Number of patients	n = 105			
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (range): 48.7 years (6-82) Gender (male to female ratio): 41/62 Family origin: not reported Setting: university hospital Country: Netherlands			
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	10	12	22
	Index test –	0	32	32

Reference	Blaauw 1999 ⁸			
	Total	10	44	54
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.73			
Source of funding	None declared			
Limitations	Risk of bias: index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Brunner 2001 ¹²
Study type	Cohort study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: patients evaluated at Lyme disease centre at Robert Wood Johnson Medical Centre (n=131), CDC prevention collection (n=38)
Number of patients	n = 169
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: Lyme disease clinic and CDC Country: USA Positive Lyme disease: RWJM: active Lyme disease (present or previous Lyme disease plus early or late dissemination, n=64) CDC: active Lyme disease (n=9) Negative Lyme disease: RWJM: previous Lyme disease (i.e. successfully treated, n=28), no Lyme disease (n=39) CDC: previous Lyme disease (n=29)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) - serum ELISA (IgM) – serum

Reference	Brunner 2001 ¹²			
	ELISA (IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgM) – serum WB/IB (IgG) - serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis: active Lyme disease (present or previous EM plus early or late dissemination), previous Lyme disease (successfully treated with antibiotics) Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], unspec Lyme disease (RWJM)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	42	16	58
	Index test –	22	51	73
	Total	64	67	131
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease (RWJM)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	37	9	46
	Index test –	27	58	85
	Total	64	67	131
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], unspec Lyme disease (RWJM)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	37	11	48
	Index test –	27	56	83
	Total	64	67	131
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	Brunner 2001 ¹²			
[WB/IB (IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease (RWJM)	Index test +	28	5	33
	Index test –	36	62	98
	Total	64	67	131
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], unspec Lyme disease (CDC)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	16	23
	Index test –	2	12	14
	Total	9	28	37
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease (CDC)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	12	19
	Index test –	2	16	18
	Total	9	28	37
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], unspec Lyme disease (CDC)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	5	11	16
	Index test –	4	18	22
	Total	9	29	38
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease (CDC)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	8	12	20
	Index test –	1	17	18
	Total	9	29	38
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	Brunner 2001 ¹²			
[ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease (CDC)	Index test +	9	24	33
	Index test –	0	5	5
	Total	9	29	38
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM) – serum (unspec Lyme disease, RWJM) Sensitivity 0.66 Specificity 0.76			
	ELISA (IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease, RWJM) Sensitivity 0.58 Specificity 0.87			
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (unspec Lyme disease, RWJM) Sensitivity 0.58 Specificity 0.84			
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease, RWJM) Sensitivity 0.44 Specificity 0.93			
	ELISA (IgM) – serum (unspec Lyme disease, CDC) Sensitivity 0.78 Specificity 0.43			
	ELISA (IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease, CDC) Sensitivity 0.78 Specificity 0.57			
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (unspec Lyme disease, CDC) Sensitivity 0.56 Specificity 0.62			

Reference	Brunner 2001 ¹²
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease, CDC) Sensitivity 0.89 Specificity 0.59 ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease, CDC) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.17
Source of funding	None declared
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Gyllemark 2017 ³²
Study type	Cohort study
Study methodology	Data source: CSF samples from patients Recruitment: patients investigated by lumbar puncture and blood sampling
Number of patients	n = 165
Patient characteristics	Age, median (range): Definite Lyme neuroborreliosis: 32 years (4-72) Possible Lyme neuroborreliosis with pleocytosis: 8.5 years (3-39) Possible Lyme neuroborreliosis without pleocytosis: 62 years (32-82) Non-Lyme neuroborreliosis: 23 years (1-83) Gender (male to female ratio): 85/80 Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Sweden Definite Lyme Neuroborreliosis (n=49), possible Neuroborreliosis (n=28), non-Neuroborreliosis (n=88)
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests CXCL13 – CSF Reference standard Definite Lyme neuroborreliosis: CSF pleocytosis and Borrelia-specific antibodies in CSF Possible Lyme neuroborreliosis: symptoms strongly suggestive of Neuroborreliosis, short duration of symptoms and CSF pleocytosis

Reference	Gyllemark 2017 ³²			
	but not Borrelia-specific antibodies in CSF Possible Lyme neuroborreliosis: Borrelia-specific antibodies in CSF, but no pleocytosis and symptoms were less suggestive of Neuroborreliosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [CXCL13 (cut-off >142 pg/ml) – CSF]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	53	1	54
	Index test –	10	87	97
	Total	63	88	151
2x2 table [CXCL13 (cut-off >250 pg/ml) – CSF]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	51	0	51
	Index test –	12	88	100
	Total	63	88	151
Statistical measures	CXCL13 (>142 pg/ml) – CSF Sensitivity 0.84 Specificity 0.99 CXCL13 (>250 pg/ml) – CSF Sensitivity 0.81 Specificity 1.00			
Source of funding	Government grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Henningsson 2014³⁹
Study type	Cohort study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: patients evaluated at Lyme disease centre at Robert Wood Johnson Medical Centre (n=131), CDC prevention collection (n=38)
Number of patients	n = 175
Patient characteristics	Age, median (range): Definite Lyme neuroborreliosis: 39 years (3-85) Possible Lyme neuroborreliosis: 30 years (4-49) Gender (male to female ratio): Definite Lyme neuroborreliosis: 33/19 Possible Lyme neuroborreliosis: 3/1 Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Sweden Definite Neuroborreliosis (n=52) Possible Neuroborreliosis (n=4) Healthy blood donors (n=90) Pleocytosis for other reasons (n=29)
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis

Reference	Henningsson 2014 ³⁹			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum/CSF Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – AI (IDEIA)], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	48	1	49
	Index test –	4	28	32
	Total	52	29	81
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – AI (recomBead)], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	52	3	55
	Index test –	0	26	26
	Total	52	29	81
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (recomBead)], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	45	7	52
	Index test –	7	22	29
	Total	52	29	81
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	Henningsson 2014 ³⁹			
[ELISA (IgM/IgG) – AI (VIDAS)], Lyme neuroborreliosis	Index test +	45	2	47
	Index test –	7	27	34
	Total	52	29	81
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (VIDAS)], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	48	8	56
	Index test –	4	21	25
	Total	52	29	81
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – AI (Lyme neuroborreliosis) (IDEIA) Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 0.97			
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – AI (Lyme neuroborreliosis) (recomBead) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.90			
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) (recomBead) Sensitivity 0.87 Specificity 0.76			
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – AI (Lyme neuroborreliosis) (VIDAS) Sensitivity 0.87 Specificity 0.93			
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) (VIDAS) Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 0.72			

Reference	Henningsson 2014 ³⁹
Source of funding	None declared
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Henningsson 2016 ⁴⁰
Study type	Cohort study
Study methodology	Data source: CSF samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 132
Patient characteristics	Age, median (range): Definite Lyme neuroborreliosis: 38 years (3-72) Possible Lyme neuroborreliosis with pleocytosis: 21 years (3-55) Possible Lyme neuroborreliosis with AI: 64 years (50-81) Non-Lyme neuroborreliosis: 39 years (1-83) Gender (male to female ratio): 63/72 Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Sweden Definite Lyme Neuroborreliosis (n=35), possible Neuroborreliosis (n=43), non-Neuroborreliosis (n=83)
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests CXCL13 (Quantikine) – CSF CXCL13 (RecomBead) – CSF Reference standard Definite Lyme neuroborreliosis: according to European guidelines

Reference	Henningsson 2016 ⁴⁰			
	Possible Lyme neuroborreliosis: Clinical diagnosis based on CSF pleocytosis and neurological symptoms strongly suggestive of Neuroborreliosis but normal AI Possible Lyme neuroborreliosis: based on elevated Borrelia-specific AI but no CSF pleocytosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [CXCL13 (Quantikine) – CSF]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	39	0	39
	Index test –	4	83	87
	Total	43	83	126
2x2 table [CXCL13 (RecomBead) – CSF]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	40	0	40
	Index test –	3	83	86
	Total	43	83	126
Statistical measures	CXCL13 (Quantikine) – CSF Sensitivity 0.91 Specificity 1.00 CXCL13 (RecomBead) – CSF Sensitivity 0.93 Specificity 1.00			
Source of funding	Government and industry grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Ljostad 2008 ⁶⁴
Study type	Cohort study
Study methodology	Data source: CSF samples from patients Recruitment: patients included in a treatment trial for Lyme disease
Number of patients	n = 59
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Norway Definite neuroborreliosis (n=37) Probable neuroborreliosis (n=7) Not neuroborreliosis (n=8)
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests CXCL13 - CSF Reference standard Clinical diagnosis based on criteria: New neurological symptoms & objective findings suggestive of Neuroborreliosis; Lymphocytic pleocytosis (>5 leucocytes/mm ³); Intrathecal Borrelia antibody production Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Ljostad 2008 ⁶⁴			
2x2 table [CXCL13 – CSF], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	37	3	40
	Index test –	0	5	5
	Total	37	8	45
Statistical measures	CXCL13 – CSF Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.63			
Source of funding	Academic grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Nordberg 2012⁸⁰			
Study type	Cohort study			
Study methodology	Data source: CSF samples from patients Recruitment: patients admitted to hospital with symptoms of suspected neuroborreliosis			
Number of patients	n = 117			
Patient characteristics	Age, median (range): 65/52 Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: hospital Country: Finland			
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISPOT – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis plus CSF lymphocytic pleocytosis ≥ 5 mononuclear leucocytes per μL and intrathecal production of specific anti-Borrelia IgG antibodies Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISPOT (cut-off 10 spots or		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	3	8	11

Reference	Nordberg 2012 ⁸⁰			
more) – CSF], Lyme neuroborreliosis	Index test –	11	95	106
	Total	14	103	117
2x2 table [ELISPOT (cut-off 5 spots or more) – CSF], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	5	19	24
	Index test –	9	84	93
	Total	14	103	117
Statistical measures	ELISPOT (cut-off 10 spots or more) – CSF Sensitivity 0.21 Specificity 0.92 ELISPOT (cut-off 5 spots or more) – CSF Sensitivity 0.36 Specificity 0.82			
Source of funding	Charity grants, public grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Tjernberg 2011 ¹¹⁰				
Study type	Cohort study				
Study methodology	Data source: CSF samples from patients Recruitment: patients examined for suspected Lyme neuroborreliosis				
Number of patients	n = 261				
Patient characteristics	Age, range: 2-87 years Gender (male to female ratio): 157/104 Family origin: not reported Setting: microbiology department Country: Sweden				
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA C6 – CSF CXCL13 – CSF Reference standard European Federation of Neurological Societies guidelines Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table [ELISA C6 – CSF]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	
	Index test +	117	2	119	

Reference	Tjernberg 2011 ¹¹⁰			
	Index test -	7	90	97
	Total	124	92	216
2x2 table [CXCL13 – CSF]		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	122	2	124
	Index test -	2	90	92
	Total	124	92	216
Statistical measures	ELISA C6 – CSF Sensitivity 0.94 Specificity 0.98 CXCL13 – CSF Sensitivity 0.98 Specificity 0.98			
Source of funding	Government grant			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: serious			

1 **D.1.2 Case-control studies (adults)**

2

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: validation studies for <i>B. burgdorferi</i> antibody assays from 8 laboratories Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 369 cases, 228 healthy controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: 8 laboratories Country: the Netherlands Cases: patients with typical manifestations of Lyme borreliosis (according to ESGBOR guidelines – EM clinical information or positive PCR on skin biopsy, ACA clinical information or positive PCR and matching histopathology, neuroborreliosis defined as meningoradiculitis and/or bilateral facial palsy, CSF pleocytosis and/or positive CSF PCR for <i>Borrelia</i> spp., arthritis defined as monoarthritis of the knee and/or positive PCR for <i>Borrelia</i> spp. In synovial fluid or synovial biopsy Controls : healthy controls from healthcare workers for a check on hepatitis B vaccination and from stem cell donors
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) – serum ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum Immunoblot (IgM) – serum

30

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹				
	Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) – serum				
	Reference standard ESGBOR guidelines: EM clinical information or positive PCR on skin biopsy, ACA clinical information or positive PCR and matching histopathology, neuroborreliosis defined as meningoradiculitis and/or bilateral facial palsy, CSF pleocytosis and/or positive CSF PCR for Borrelia spp., arthritis defined as monoarthritis of the knee and/or positive PCR for Borrelia spp. In synovial fluid or synovial biopsy				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	0	0	0	Diacheck
	Index test -	13	15	28	Serum
	Total	13	15	28	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	11	10	21	Enzygnost
	Index test -	70	97	167	Serum
	Total	81	107	188	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	0	0	0	Euroimmun
	Index test -	5	10	15	Serum
	Total	5	10	15	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	5	6	11	Liaison
	Index test -	52	221	273	Serum
	Total	57	227	284	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM	

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹				
	Index test +	4	1	5	ELISA (IgM)
	Index test -	27	91	118	Medac
	Total	31	92	123	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM
	Index test +	0	0	0	ELISA (IgM)
	Index test -	5	15	20	Mikrogen
	Total	5	15	20	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM
	Index test +	18	29	47	ELISA (IgM)
	Index test -	18	77	95	Serion
	Total	36	106	142	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM
	Index test +	1	0	1	ELISA (IgM)
	Index test -	12	14	26	Virotech
	Total	13	14	27	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM
	Index test +	12	1	13	ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test -	1	14	15	Diacheck
	Total	13	15	28	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM
	Index test +	77	13	90	ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test -	4	94	98	Enzygnost
	Total	81	107	188	Serum
		Reference standard	Reference standard -	Total	EM

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹				
		+			ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	4	2	6	Euroimmun
	Index test -	1	12	13	Serum
	Total	5	14	19	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	52	19	71	Liaison
	Index test -	5	208	213	Serum
	Total	57	227	284	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	25	3	28	Medac
	Index test -	6	89	95	Serum
	Total	31	92	123	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	5	0	5	Mikrogen
	Index test -	0	15	15	Serum
	Total	5	15	20	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	30	30	60	Serion
	Index test -	6	76	82	Serum
	Total	36	106	142	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	13	0	13	Virotech
	Index test -	0	14	14	Serum
	Total	13	14	27	

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹				
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test +	18	3	21	Mikrogen
	Index test -	65	101	166	Serum
	Total	83	104	187	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	66	8	74	Mikrogen
	Index test -	17	96	113	Serum
	Total	83	104	187	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	0	0	0	Diacheck
	Index test -	5	15	20	Serum
	Total	5	15	20	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	5	10	15	Enzygnost
	Index test -	45	97	142	Serum
	Total	50	107	157	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	0	0	0	Euroimmun
	Index test -	1	14	15	Serum
	Total	1	14	15	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	0	6	6	Liaison
	Index test -	54	221	275	Serum

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹				
	Total	54	227	281	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	1	1	2	Medac
	Index test -	24	91	115	Serum
	Total	25	92	117	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	0	0	0	Mikrogen
	Index test -	1	15	16	Serum
	Total	1	15	16	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	10	29	39	Serion
	Index test -	16	77	93	Serum
	Total	26	106	132	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	0	0	0	Virotech
	Index test -	5	14	19	Serum
	Total	5	14	19	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	4	1	5	Diacheck
	Index test -	1	14	15	Serum
	Total	5	15	20	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	49	13	62	

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹				
	Index test -	1	94	95	Enzygnost
	Total	50	107	157	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	1	2	3	Euroimmun
	Index test -	0	12	12	Serum
	Total	1	14	15	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	54	19	73	Liaison
	Index test -	0	208	208	Serum
	Total	54	227	281	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	25	3	28	Medac
	Index test -	0	89	89	Serum
	Total	25	92	117	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	1	0	1	Mikrogen
	Index test -	0	15	15	Serum
	Total	1	15	16	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	24	30	54	Serion
	Index test -	2	76	78	Serum
	Total	26	106	132	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹				
	Index test +	5	0	5	ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test -	0	14	14	Virotech
	Total	5	14	19	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis
	Index test +	5	3	8	Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	62	101	163	Mikrogen
	Total	67	104	171	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis
	Index test +	65	8	73	Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test -	2	96	98	Mikrogen
	Total	67	104	171	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme arthritis
	Index test +	0	0	0	ELISA (IgM)
	Index test -	5	15	20	Diacheck
	Total	5	15	20	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme arthritis
	Index test +	1	10	11	ELISA (IgM)
	Index test -	12	97	109	Enzygnost
	Total	13	107	120	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme arthritis
	Index test +	0	6	6	ELISA (IgM)
	Index test -	7	221	228	Liaison
	Total	7	227	234	Serum
		Reference standard	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme arthritis

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹				
		+			ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	0	29	29	Serion
	Index test -	2	77	79	Serum
	Total	2	106	108	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme arthritis ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	1	0	1	Virotech
	Index test -	4	14	18	Serum
	Total	5	14	19	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme arthritis ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	4	1	5	Diacheck
	Index test -	1	14	15	Serum
	Total	5	15	20	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme arthritis ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	13	13	26	Enzygnost
	Index test -	0	94	94	Serum
	Total	13	107	120	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme arthritis ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	7	19	26	Liaison
	Index test -	0	208	208	Serum
	Total	7	227	234	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme arthritis ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	2	30	32	Serion
	Index test -	0	76	76	Serum
	Total	2	106	108	

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹				
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Lyme arthritis ELISA (IgM/IgG)
Index test +	5	0	5		Virotech
Index test -	0	14	14		Serum
Total	5	14	19		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Lyme arthritis Immunoblot (IgM)
Index test +	0	3	3		Mikrogen
Index test -	8	101	109		Serum
Total	8	104	112		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Lyme arthritis Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
Index test +	8	8	16		Mikrogen
Index test -	0	96	96		Serum
Total	8	104	112		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		ACA ELISA (IgM)
Index test +	0	0	0		Diacheck
Index test -	6	15	21		Serum
Total	6	15	21		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		ACA ELISA (IgM)
Index test +	0	10	10		Enzygnost
Index test -	14	97	111		Serum
Total	14	107	121		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		ACA ELISA (IgM)
Index test +	0	6	6		Liaison
Index test -	9	221	230		Serum

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹				
	Total	9	227	236	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	0	1	1	Medac
	Index test -	2	91	93	Serum
	Total	2	92	94	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	0	29	29	Serion
	Index test -	2	77	79	Serum
	Total	2	106	108	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	0	0	0	Virotech
	Index test -	6	14	20	Serum
	Total	6	14	20	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	6	1	7	Diacheck
	Index test -	0	14	14	Serum
	Total	6	15	21	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	14	13	27	Enzygnost
	Index test -	0	94	94	Serum
	Total	14	107	121	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	8	19	27	

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹				
	Index test -	0	208	208	Liaison
	Total	8	227	235	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	2	3	5	Medac
	Index test -	0	89	89	Serum
	Total	2	92	94	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	2	30	32	Serion
	Index test -	0	76	76	Serum
	Total	2	106	108	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	6	0	6	Virotech
	Index test -	0	14	14	Serum
	Total	6	14	20	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test +	0	3	3	Mikrogen
	Index test -	11	101	112	Serum
	Total	11	104	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	11	8	19	Mikrogen
	Index test -	0	96	96	Serum
	Total	11	104	115	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM (Diacheck, serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.00				

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹
	Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Enzygnost, serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.14 Specificity 0.91
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Euroimmun, serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Liaison, serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.09 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Medac, serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.13 Specificity 0.99
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Mikrogen, serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Serion, serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity 0.73
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Virotech, serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.08 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Diacheck, serum) – EM

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹
	<p>Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 0.93</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Enzygnost, serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.95 Specificity 0.88</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Euroimmun, serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 0.86</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Liaison, serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.91 Specificity 0.92</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Medac, serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.81 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Mikrogen, serum) – EM Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Serion, serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.83 Specificity 0.72</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Virotech, serum) – EM Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p>

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM (Mikrogen, serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.22 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM/IgG (Mikrogen, serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 0.92
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Diacheck, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Enzygnost, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.10 Specificity 0.91
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Euroimmun, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Liaison, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Medac, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.04 Specificity 0.99
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Mikrogen, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 1.00

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Serion, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 0.73
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Virotech, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Diacheck, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 0.93
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Enzygnost, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.98 Specificity 0.88
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Euroimmun, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.86
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Liaison, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.92
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Medac, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Mikrogen, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 1.00

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹
	Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Serion, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 0.72
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Virotech, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM (Mikrogen, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.07 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM/IgG (Mikrogen, serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.97 Specificity 0.92
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Diacheck, serum) – Lyme arthritis Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Enzygnost, serum) – Lyme arthritis Sensitivity 0.08 Specificity 0.91
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Liaison, serum) – Lyme arthritis Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Serion, serum) – Lyme arthritis

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹
	<p>Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.73</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM (Virotech, serum) – Lyme arthritis Sensitivity 0.20 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Diacheck, serum) – Lyme arthritis Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 0.93</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Enzygnost, serum) – Lyme arthritis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.88</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Liaison, serum) – Lyme arthritis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.92</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Serion, serum) – Lyme arthritis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.72</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Virotech, serum) – Lyme arthritis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM (Mikrogen, serum) – Lyme arthritis Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.97</p>

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM/IgG (Mikrogen, serum) – Lyme arthritis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.92
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Diacheck, serum) – ACA Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Enzygnost, serum) – ACA Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.91
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Liaison, serum) – ACA Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Medac, serum) – ACA Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.99
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Serion, serum) – ACA Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.73
	Index test: ELISA IgM (Virotech, serum) – ACA Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Diacheck, serum) – ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.93

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM (Enzygnost, serum) – ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.88</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Liaison, serum) – ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.92</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Medac, serum) – ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Serion, serum) – ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.72</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (Virotech, serum) – ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM (Mikrogen, serum) – ACA Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM/IgG (Mikrogen, serum) – ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.92</p>
Source of funding	Not reported

Reference	Ang 2015¹
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	Borderline results excluded from the analysis as the study authors did not necessarily interpret them as positive evidence of infection

Reference	Asbrink 1985 ²
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients investigated at the Department of Dermatology Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 123 cases, 185 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, range: 17-80 years (control group) Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: Department of Dermatology Country: Sweden Cases: 88 patients with typical, clinically uncomplicated EM, 26 patients with clinical and histopathological findings compatible with a diagnosis of ACA, 9 patients with EM-related extracutaneous manifestations (facial palsy, meningoradiculitis, arthritis, suspected cardiac involvement) Controls: patients living in the same area as cases, visiting the department with blood samples drawn for routine analysis, syphilis patients were excluded
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) - serum ELISA IgG) - serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Asbrink 1985 ²				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	10	9	19	
	Index test -	78	176	254	
	Total	88	185	273	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	16	9	25	
	Index test -	72	176	248	
	Total	88	185	273	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM) Serum Before treatment
	Index test +	7	9	16	
	Index test -	19	176	195	
	Total	26	185	211	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM) Serum After treatment
	Index test +	4	9	13	
Index test -	22	176	198		
Total	26	185	211		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgG) Serum Before treatment	
Index test +	26	9	35		
Index test -	0	176	176		
Total	26	185	211		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgG) Serum After treatment	
Index test +	24	9	33		
Index test -	2	176	178		
Total	26	185	211		
Statistical	Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – EM (before treatment)				

Reference	Asbrink 1985 ²
measures	<p>Sensitivity 0.11 Specificity 0.95</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – EM (before treatment) Sensitivity 0.18 Specificity 0.95</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – ACA (before treatment) Sensitivity 0.27 Specificity 0.95</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – ACA (after treatment) Sensitivity 0.15 Specificity 0.95</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – ACA (before treatment) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.95</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – ACA (after treatment) Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 0.95</p>
Source of funding	Support from the Finsen and the Welander Foundation
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	Positive result: titer >410 (IgG) or >800 (IgM)

Reference	Bacon 2003 ⁴
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: panel of 839 samples Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 280 cases, 559 controls (257 healthy controls used in the analysis)
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: USA Cases: patients with acute Lyme disease (80), early convalescent Lyme disease (106), early neurologic (15), early neurologic convalescent (11), arthritis (33), arthritis convalescent (24), late neurologic (11) Controls: healthy individuals (257), evidence of autoimmune disease or spirochaetal infection other than Lyme disease (302)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (rVIsE1 IgM) – serum ELISA (pepC10 IgM) – serum ELISA (rVIsE1 IgG) – serum ELISA (C6 IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (CDC criteria)

Reference	Bacon 2003 ⁴				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Acute EM ELISA (rVIsE1 IgM) Serum
	Index test +	3	5	8	
	Index test -	32	252	284	
	Total	35	257	292	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Acute EM ELISA (pepC10 IgM) Serum
	Index test +	7	0	7	
	Index test -	28	257	285	
	Total	35	257	292	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Convalescent EM ELISA (rVIsE1 IgM) Serum
	Index test +	24	5	29	
	Index test -	33	252	285	
	Total	57	257	314	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Convalescent EM ELISA (pepC10 IgM) Serum
	Index test +	23	0	23	
	Index test -	34	257	291	
	Total	57	257	314	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Acute EM ELISA (rVIsE1 IgG) Serum	
Index test +	7	2	9		
Index test -	28	255	283		
Total	35	257	292		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Acute EM	

Reference	Bacon 2003 ⁴				
	Index test +	15	0	15	ELISA (C6 IgG) Serum
	Index test -	20	257	277	
	Total	35	257	292	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Convalescent EM ELISA (rVIsE1 IgG) Serum
	Index test +	25	2	27	
	Index test -	32	255	287	
	Total	57	257	314	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Convalescent EM ELISA (C6 IgG) Serum
	Index test +	33	0	33	
	Index test -	24	257	281	
	Total	57	257	314	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Convalescent neurologic ELISA (rVIsE1 IgM) Serum
	Index test +	6	5	11	
	Index test -	5	252	257	
	Total	11	257	268	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Convalescent neurologic ELISA (pepC10 IgM) Serum
	Index test +	4	0	4	
	Index test -	7	257	264	
	Total	11	257	268	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early neurologic ELISA (rVIsE1 IgM) Serum
	Index test +	11	5	16	
	Index test -	4	252	256	
	Total	15	257	272	
		Reference standard	Reference standard -	Total	Early neurologic

Reference	Bacon 2003 ⁴				
		+			ELISA (pepC10 IgM) Serum
	Index test +	8	0	8	
	Index test -	7	257	264	
	Total	15	257	272	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late neurologic ELISA (rVIsE1 IgM) Serum
	Index test +	1	5	6	
	Index test -	10	252	262	
	Total	11	257	268	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late neurologic ELISA (pepC10 IgM) Serum
	Index test +	2	0	2	
	Index test -	9	257	266	
	Total	11	257	268	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Convalescent neurologic ELISA (rVIsE1 IgG) Serum
	Index test +	7	2	9	
	Index test -	4	255	259	
	Total	11	257	268	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Convalescent neurologic ELISA (C6 IgG) Serum
	Index test +	7	0	7	
	Index test -	4	257	261	
	Total	11	257	268	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early neurologic ELISA (rVIsE1 IgG) Serum
	Index test +	15	2	17	
	Index test -	0	255	255	
	Total	15	257	272	

Reference	Bacon 2003 ⁴				
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Early neurologic ELISA (C6 IgG) Serum
Index test +	9	0	9		
Index test -	6	257	263		
Total	15	257	272		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Late neurologic ELISA (rVIsE1 IgG) Serum
Index test +	11	2	13		
Index test -	0	255	255		
Total	11	257	268		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Late neurologic ELISA (C6 IgG) Serum
Index test +	8	0	8		
Index test -	3	257	260		
Total	11	257	268		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Arthritis ELISA (rVIsE1 IgM) Serum
Index test +	13	5	18		
Index test -	20	252	272		
Total	33	257	290		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Arthritis ELISA (pepC10 IgM) Serum
Index test +	3	0	3		
Index test -	30	257	287		
Total	33	257	290		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Convalescent arthritis ELISA (rVIsE1 IgM) Serum
Index test +	10	5	15		
Index test -	14	252	266		

Reference	Bacon 2003 ⁴				
	Total	24	257	281	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Convalescent arthritis ELISA (pepC10 IgM) Serum
	Index test +	2	0	2	
	Index test -	22	257	279	
	Total	24	257	281	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
	Index test +	32	2	34	Arthritis ELISA (rVIsE1 IgG) Serum
	Index test -	1	255	256	
	Total	33	257	290	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
	Index test +	31	0	31	Arthritis ELISA (C6 IgG) Serum
	Index test -	2	257	259	
	Total	33	257	290	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
	Index test +	21	2	23	Convalescent arthritis ELISA (rVIsE1 IgG) Serum
	Index test -	3	255	258	
	Total	24	257	281	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
	Index test +	21	0	21	Convalescent arthritis ELISA (C6 IgG) Serum
	Index test -	3	257	260	
	Total	24	257	281	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM rVIsE1 (serum) – acute EM Sensitivity 0.09 Specificity 0.98				

Reference	Bacon 2003 ⁴
	Index test: ELISA IgM pepC10 (serum) – acute EM Sensitivity 0.20 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgM rVIsE1 (serum) – convalescent EM Sensitivity 0.42 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA IgM pepC10 (serum) – convalescent EM Sensitivity 0.40 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgG rVIsE1 (serum) – acute EM Sensitivity 0.20 Specificity 0.99
	Index test: ELISA IgG C6 (serum) – acute EM Sensitivity 0.43 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgG rVIsE1 (serum) – convalescent EM Sensitivity 0.44 Specificity 0.99
	Index test: ELISA IgG C6 (serum) – convalescent EM Sensitivity 0.58 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA rVIsE1 IgM (serum) - convalescent neurologic Sensitivity 0.55

Reference	Bacon 2003 ⁴
	Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA pepC10 IgM (serum) - convalescent neurologic Sensitivity 0.36 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA rVIsE1 IgM (serum) - early neurologic Sensitivity 0.73 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA pepC10 IgM (serum) - early neurologic Sensitivity 0.53 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA rVIsE1 IgM (serum) - late neurologic Sensitivity 0.09 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA pepC10 IgM (serum) - late neurologic Sensitivity 0.18 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA rVIsE1 IgG (serum) - convalescent neurologic Sensitivity 0.64 Specificity 0.99
	Index test: ELISA C6 IgG (serum) - convalescent neurologic Sensitivity 0.64 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA rVIsE1 IgG (serum) - early neurologic

Reference	Bacon 2003 ⁴
	Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.99
	Index test: ELISA C6 IgG (serum) - early neurologic Sensitivity 0.60 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA rVisE1 IgG (serum) - late neurologic Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.99
	Index test: ELISA C6 IgG (serum) - late neurologic Sensitivity 0.73 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA rVisE1 IgM (serum) – arthritis Sensitivity 0.39 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA pepC10 IgM (serum) – arthritis Sensitivity 0.09 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA rVisE1 IgM (serum) - convalescent arthritis Sensitivity 0.42 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA pepC10 IgM (serum) - convalescent arthritis Sensitivity 0.08 Specificity 1.00

Reference	Bacon 2003 ⁴
	<p>Index test: ELISA rVIsE1 IgG (serum) – arthritis Sensitivity 0.97 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>Index test: ELISA C6 IgG (serum) – arthritis Sensitivity 0.94 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA rVIsE1 IgG (serum) - convalescent arthritis Sensitivity 0.88 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>Index test: ELISA C6 IgG (serum) - convalescent arthritis Sensitivity 0.88 Specificity 1.00</p>
Source of funding	National Center for Research Resources, NIH, CDC
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Branda 2010 ⁹
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients meeting the CDC criteria for Lyme disease, healthy controls and disease controls Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 162 cases, 269 controls (166 healthy controls used in analysis)
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: 2 medical centres Country: USA Cases: patients meeting the CDC criteria for Lyme disease – culture confirmed EM (106), acute neuritis/carditis (27), arthritis/late neuritis (29) Controls: healthy controls (166), other illnesses (103)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Immunoblot (IgM) Immunoblot (IgG) Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (CDC criteria)

Reference	Branda 2010 ⁹				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Acute phase EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	46	8	54	
	Index test -	60	187	247	
	Total	106	195	301	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Convalescent EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	97	8	105	
	Index test -	9	187	196	
	Total	106	195	301	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Acute phase EM Immunoblot (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	32	0	32	
	Index test -	74	195	269	
	Total	106	195	301	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Convalescent EM Immunoblot (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	64	0	64	
	Index test -	42	195	237	
	Total	106	195	301	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Acute phase EM Immunoblot (IgG) Serum	
Index test +	6	1	7		
Index test -	100	194	294		
Total	106	195	301		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Convalescent EM	

Reference	Branda 2010 ⁹				
	Index test +	12	1	13	Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
	Index test -	94	194	288	
	Total	106	195	301	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Acute phase EM Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	36	1	37	
	Index test -	70	194	264	
	Total	106	195	301	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Convalescent EM Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	70	1	71	
	Index test -	36	194	230	
	Total	106	195	301	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	56	8	64	
	Index test -	0	187	187	
	Total	56	195	251	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease Immunoblot (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	28	0	28	
	Index test -	28	195	223	
	Total	56	195	251	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	48	1	49	
	Index test -	8	194	202	
	Total	56	195	251	
		Reference standard	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease

Reference	Branda 2010 ⁹				
		+			Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	55	1	56	
	Index test -	1	194	195	
	Total	56	195	251	
Statistical measures	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – acute phase EM Sensitivity 0.43 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – convalescent EM Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM (serum) – acute phase EM Sensitivity 0.30 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM (serum) – convalescent EM Sensitivity 0.60 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) – acute phase EM Sensitivity 0.06 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) – convalescent EM Sensitivity 0.11 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – acute phase EM Sensitivity 0.34 Specificity 0.99</p>				

Reference	Branda 2010 ⁹
	<p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – convalescent EM Sensitivity 0.66 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.98 Specificity 0.99</p>
Source of funding	Research grant from Viramed Biotech
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Branda 2011 ¹⁰
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: Phase 1 - well-characterised Lyme disease patients and symptomatic controls who had been evaluated by Lyme disease experts Phase 2 – serum samples submitted for Lyme disease testing with review of medical records and healthy controls during routine visits Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 169 cases, 1300 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: general hospital Country: USA Cases: EM (114), acute neuritis or carditis (26), arthritis or late neuritis (29) Controls : symptomatic patients not meeting CDC criteria for Lyme disease (54), healthy controls during routine visits (1246)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (CDC surveillance criteria)

Reference	Branda 2011 ¹⁰				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	64	21	85	
	Index test -	50	1279	1329	
	Total	114	1300	1414	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified early disseminated Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	26	21	47	
	Index test -	0	187	187	
	Total	26	208	234	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified late Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	29	21	50	
	Index test -	0	1279	1279	
	Total	29	1300	1329	
Statistical measures	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.56 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) - unspecified early disseminated Lyme disease Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) - unspecified late Lyme disease Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.98</p>				
Source of	CDC, the English, Bonter, Mitchell Foundation, the Eshe Fund and the Lyme Disease and Arthritis Research Fund at Massachusetts				

Reference	Branda 2011 ¹⁰
funding	General Hospital
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients evaluated at a Lyme borreliosis outpatient clinic meeting European criteria for Lyme disease and blood donors. Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 64 cases, 100 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: Lyme borreliosis outpatient clinic Country: Slovenia Cases: patients meeting European criteria for Lyme disease: EM (20, 15 with positive cultures), neuroborreliosis with CSF pleocytosis, concomitant EM isolation of <i>B. burgdorferi</i> spirochetes from CSF or demonstration of intrathecal synthesis of specific antibodies (15), arthritis with swelling in 1 or more joints and specific antibodies in serum without alternative explanation (15), ACA with characteristic clinical picture, supportive histologic findings and high specific serum IgG antibody levels (14) Controls: 100 healthy blood donors in New Zealand, a non-endemic region
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) ELISA (IgG) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Immunoblot (IgM) Immunoblot (IgG)

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹				
	Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)				
	Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (European Lyme disease criteria)				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard:				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM) European test Serum
	Index test +	8	2	10	
	Index test -	12	98	110	
	Total	20	100	120	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgG) European test Serum
	Index test +	13	2	15	
	Index test -	7	98	105	
	Total	20	100	120	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) European test Serum
	Index test +	15	4	19	
	Index test -	5	96	111	
	Total	20	100	120	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) USA test Serum
	Index test +	14	3	17	
	Index test -	6	97	103	
	Total	20	100	120	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG)	
Index test +	14	0	14		

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹				
	Index test -	6	100	106	USA C6 test
	Total	20	100	120	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test +	7	9	16	European test
	Index test -	13	91	104	Serum
	Total	20	100	120	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test +	2	0	2	USA test
	Index test -	18	100	118	Serum
	Total	20	100	120	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test +	7	0	7	European test
	Index test -	13	100	113	Serum
	Total	20	100	120	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test +	2	0	2	USA test
	Index test -	18	100	118	Serum
	Total	20	100	120	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	11	9	20	European test
	Index test -	9	91	100	Serum
	Total	20	100	120	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹				
	Index test +	4	0	4	Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test -	16	100	116	USA test
	Total	20	100	120	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA
	Index test +	10	4	14	ELISA (IgM)
	Index test -	2	98	100	European test
	Total	12	102	114	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA
	Index test +	14	2	16	ELISA (IgG)
	Index test -	0	98	98	European test
	Total	14	100	114	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA
	Index test +	14	4	18	ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test -	0	96	96	European test
	Total	14	100	114	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA
	Index test +	14	3	17	ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test -	0	97	97	USA test
	Total	14	100	114	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA
	Index test +	14	0	14	ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test -	0	100	100	USA C6 test
	Total	14	100	114	Serum
		Reference standard	Reference standard -	Total	ACA

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹				
		+			Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test +	5	9	14	European test
	Index test -	9	91	100	Serum
	Total	14	100	114	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA
	Index test +	4	0	4	Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	10	100	110	USA test
	Total	14	100	114	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA
	Index test +	14	0	14	Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test -	0	100	100	European test
	Total	14	100	114	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA
	Index test +	14	0	14	Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test -	0	100	100	USA test
	Total	14	100	114	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA
	Index test +	14	9	23	Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test -	0	91	91	European test
	Total	14	100	114	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA
	Index test +	14	0	14	Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test -	0	100	100	USA test
	Total	14	100	114	Serum

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹				
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	12	2	14	European test
	Index test -	3	98	101	Serum
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG)
	Index test +	13	2	15	European test
	Index test -	2	98	100	Serum
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	15	4	19	European test
	Index test -	0	96	96	Serum
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	13	3	16	USA test
	Index test -	2	97	99	Serum
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	13	0	13	USA C6 test
	Index test -	2	100	102	Serum
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test +	12	9	21	European test
	Index test -	3	91	94	Serum

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹				
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test +	6	0	6	USA test
	Index test -	9	100	109	Serum
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test +	9	0	9	European test
	Index test -	6	100	106	Serum
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test +	6	0	6	USA test
	Index test -	9	100	109	Serum
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	13	9	22	European test
	Index test -	2	91	93	Serum
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	8	0	8	USA test
	Index test -	7	100	107	Serum
	Total	115	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	9	2	11	

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹				
	Index test -	6	98	104	European test
	Total	15	100	115	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis
	Index test +	15	2	17	ELISA (IgG)
	Index test -	0	98	98	European test
	Total	15	100	115	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis
	Index test +	15	4	19	ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test -	0	96	96	European test
	Total	15	100	115	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis
	Index test +	15	0	15	ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test -	0	100	100	USA test
	Total	15	100	115	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis
	Index test +	14	3	17	ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test -	1	97	98	USA C6 test
	Total	15	100	115	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis
	Index test +	10	9	19	Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	5	91	96	European test
	Total	15	100	115	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹				
	Index test +	4	0	4	Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	11	100	111	USA test
	Total	15	100	115	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis
	Index test +	13	0	13	Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test -	2	100	102	European test
	Total	15	100	115	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis
	Index test +	10	0	10	Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test -	5	100	105	USA test
	Total	115	100	115	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis
	Index test +	14	9	23	Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test -	1	91	92	European test
	Total	15	100	115	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis
	Index test +	11	0	11	Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test -	4	100	104	USA test
	Total	15	100	115	Serum
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA European IgM (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.40 Specificity 0.98				
	Index test: ELISA European IgG (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.65				

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹
	Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA European IgM/IgG (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.75 Specificity 0.96
	Index test: ELISA USA IgM/IgG (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.70 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA USA C6 IgM/IgG (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.70 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: Immunoblot European IgM (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.35 Specificity 0.91
	Index test: Immunoblot USA IgM (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.10 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: Immunoblot European IgG (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.35 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: Immunoblot USA IgG (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.10 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: Immunoblot European IgM/IgG (serum) - EM

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹
	<p>Sensitivity 0.55 Specificity 0.91</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot USA IgM/IgG (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.20 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA European IgM (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 0.83 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>Index test: ELISA European IgG (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>Index test: ELISA European IgM/IgG (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>Index test: ELISA USA IgM/IgG (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA USA C6 IgM/IgG (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot European IgM (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 0.36 Specificity 0.91</p>

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹
	Index test: Immunoblot USA IgM (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 0.29 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: Immunoblot European IgG (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: Immunoblot USA IgG (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: Immunoblot European IgM/IgG (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.91
	Index test: Immunoblot USA IgM/IgG (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA European IgM (serum) – neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA European IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.87 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA European IgM/IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.96

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹
	<p>Index test: ELISA USA IgM/IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.87 Specificity 0.97</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA USA C6 IgM/IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.87 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: Immunoblot European IgM (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 0.91</p>
	<p>Index test: Immunoblot USA IgM (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.40 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: Immunoblot European IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.60 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: Immunoblot USA IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.40 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: Immunoblot European IgM/IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.87 Specificity 0.91</p>
	<p>Index test: Immunoblot USA IgM/IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.53 Specificity 1.00</p>

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹
	Index test: ELISA European IgM (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 0.60 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA European IgG (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA European IgM/IgG (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.96
	Index test: ELISA USA IgM/IgG (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 0.93 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA USA C6 IgM/IgG (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: Immunoblot European IgM (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 0.67 Specificity 0.91
	Index test: Immunoblot USA IgM (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 0.27 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: Immunoblot European IgG (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 0.87

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹
	<p>Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot USA IgG (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 0.67 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot European IgM/IgG (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 0.93 Specificity 0.91</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot USA IgM/IgG (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 0.73 Specificity 1.00</p>
Source of funding	Austin L. Vickery Jr award from the Department of Pathology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston
Limitations	<p>Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard</p> <p>Indirectness: none</p>

Reference	Callister 2002 ¹³				
Study type	Case-control				
Study methodology	Data source: patients screened for Lyme disease at a single medical centre. Recruitment: not reported				
Number of patients	n = 34 cases, 34 controls				
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: single medical centre Country: USA Cases: patients with early Lyme disease (22 single EM, 12 multiple EM) Controls : no evidence of tick exposure and with symptoms unrelated to Lyme disease				
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total	Single EM

Reference	Callister 2002 ¹³				
		+			Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	12	3	15	
	Index test -	10	31	41	
	Total	22	34	56	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Multiple EM Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	10	3	13	
	Index test -	2	31	33	
	Total	12	34	46	
Statistical measures	Index test: Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – single EM Sensitivity 0.55 Specificity 0.91 Index test: Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – multiple EM Sensitivity 0.83 Specificity 0.91				
Source of funding	Gundersen Lutheran Medical Foundation				
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, reference standard Indirectness: none				

Reference	Cerar 2006 ¹⁵				
Study type	Case-control				
Study methodology	Data source: patients presenting at the department of infectious diseases and healthy blood donors Recruitment: not reported				
Number of patients	n = 383 cases, 49 controls				
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: Department of infectious diseases Country: Slovenia Cases: Lyme suspected (198) EM (76), neuroborreliosis (28), early Lyme <6 months (60), chronic Lyme >6 months (21) Controls: healthy blood donors (49)				
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) Immunofluorescence assay (IgM) Immunofluorescence assay (IgM) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total	EM

Reference	Cerar 2006 ¹⁵				
		+			IFA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	3	0	3	
	Index test -	73	49	122	
	Total	76	49	125	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM IFA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	25	9	34	
	Index test -	51	40	91	
	Total	76	49	125	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis IFA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	2	0	2	
	Index test -	26	49	75	
	Total	28	49	77	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis IFA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	21	9	30	
	Index test -	7	40	47	
	Total	28	49	77	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified early Lyme disease IFA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	6	0	6	
	Index test -	54	49	103	
	Total	60	49	109	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified chronic Lyme disease IFA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	3	0	3	
	Index test -	18	49	67	
	Total	21	49	70	

Reference	Cerar 2006 ¹⁵				
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified early Lyme disease IFA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	35	9	44	
	Index test -	25	40	65	
	Total	60	49	109	Unspecified chronic Lyme disease IFA (IgG) Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
	Index test +	21	9	30	
	Index test -	0	40	40	
	Total	21	49	70	
Statistical measures	Index test: Immunofluorescence assay IgM (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.04 Specificity 1.00				
	Index test: Immunofluorescence assay IgG (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.33 Specificity 0.82				
	Index test: Immunofluorescence assay IgM (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.07 Specificity 1.00				
	Index test: Immunofluorescence assay IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.75 Specificity 0.82				
	Index test: Immunofluorescence assay IgM (serum) – unspecified early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.10 Specificity 1.00				
	Index test: Immunofluorescence assay IgM (serum) – unspecified chronic Lyme disease				

Reference	Cerar 2006 ¹⁵
	<p>Sensitivity 0.14 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunofluorescence assay IgG (serum) – unspecified early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.58 Specificity 0.82</p> <p>Index test: Immunofluorescence assay IgG (serum) – unspecified chronic Lyme disease Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.82</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	Suspected Lyme disease patients not included in analysis

Reference	Cerar 2010 ¹⁴
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients presenting at the department of infectious diseases Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 105 cases, 90 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, median (range): evident Lyme neuroborreliosis 56 years (18-77), suspected Lyme neuroborreliosis 52 years (28-70), tick-borne encephalitis 54 years (19-78) Gender (male to female ratio): evident Lyme 19:15, suspected Lyme 10:17, tick-borne encephalitis 20:12 Family origin: not reported Setting: Department of infectious diseases Country: Slovenia Cases: working clinical diagnosis of evident Lyme neuroborreliosis - EM within 4 months before neurological symptoms and/or signs including radiculoneuritic pain and/or peripheral facial palsy and pleocytosis (34), working clinical diagnosis of suspected Lyme neuroborreliosis – EM within 4 months before neurological symptoms and/or signs but no pleocytosis (27) Controls: tick-borne encephalitis (32)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) ELISA (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Cerar 2010 ¹⁴				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) CSF
	Index test +	7	0	7	
	Index test -	27	32	59	
	Total	34	32	66	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	16	1	17	
	Index test -	18	31	49	
	Total	34	32	66	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG) CSF
	Index test +	14	1	15	
	Index test -	20	31	51	
	Total	34	32	66	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG) Serum	
Index test +	24	16	40		
Index test -	10	16	26		
Total	34	32	66		
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM (CSF) – neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.21 Specificity 1.00 Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.47 Specificity 0.97				

Reference	Cerar 2010 ¹⁴
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgG (CSF) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.41 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.71 Specificity 0.50</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	Only confirmed neuroborreliosis cases included in the analysis; borderline results were excluded; no patients received antibiotics

Reference	Christova 2003 ¹⁶
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: not reported Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 105 cases, 90 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: Microbiology department, National Centre of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases Country: Bulgaria Cases: patients with EM lesions (105) Controls: healthy blood donors (90)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) ELISA (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard:

Reference	Christova 2003 ¹⁶				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	51	6	57	
	Index test -	54	84	138	
	Total	105	90	195	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	18	3	21	
	Index test -	87	87	174	
	Total	105	90	195	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.49 Specificity 0.93 Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.17 Specificity 0.97				
Source of funding	Not reported				
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none				

Reference	Cinco 2006 ¹⁷				
Study type	Case-control				
Study methodology	Data source: not reported Recruitment: not reported				
Number of patients	n = 76 cases, 59 controls				
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Italy Cases: culture-confirmed EM (54), Lyme arthritis (15), neuroborreliosis (6) Controls: blood donors (26 non-endemic area, 33 endemic region)				
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (C6) Reference standard Culture (EM), clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total	EM

Reference	Cinco 2006 ¹⁷				
		+			ELISA (C6) Serum
	Index test +	34	0	34	
	Index test -	20	24	44	
	Total	54	24	78	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (C6) Serum
	Index test +	6	0	6	
	Index test -	0	24	24	
	Total	6	24	30	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme arthritis ELISA (C6) Serum
	Index test +	16	0	16	
	Index test -	0	24	24	
	Total	16	24	40	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA C6 (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.63 Specificity 1.00 Index test: ELISA C6 (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00 Index test: ELISA C6 (serum) – arthritis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00				
Source of funding	Murst fund				
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none				

Reference	Cinco 2006¹⁷
Comments	Control samples from non-endemic area used in analysis

Reference	Coyle 1993 ¹⁸
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients from an endemic region presenting with clinical or laboratory evidence of <i>B. burgdorferi</i> infection and neurological complaints Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 77 cases, 34 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (range): 34 years (3 – 84) Gender (male to female ratio): Lyme disease 33:44 Family origin: not reported Setting: Department of Neurology Country: USA Cases: clinical/laboratory evidence of <i>B. burgdorferi</i> infection and neurological complaints, only patients who underwent lumbar puncture as part of a work-up for neurologic Lyme disease and in whom sufficient CSF was collected, 24 had received prior antibiotic treatment but had persistent symptoms, 5 were currently receiving antibiotics Controls: other neurological diseases
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Coyle 1993 ¹⁸				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG) CSF
	Index test +	38	1	39	
	Index test -	39	33	72	
	Total	77	34	111	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (CSF) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.49 Specificity 0.97				
Source of funding	NIH grants, New York State grant for Lyme disease research, East End Lyme foundation				
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: serious (adults and children)				

Reference	D'Arco 2017 ¹⁹				
Study type	Case-control study				
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: patients presenting to medical clinics				
Number of patients	n = 171				
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: medical centres, multi-centre Country: USA Cases: early Lyme disease (EM, n=152), Lyme arthritis (n=19) Controls: healthy individuals (n=139)				
Target condition(s)	EM, Lyme arthritis				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA C6 (IgA) - serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total	EM

Reference	D'Arco 2017 ¹⁹				
		+			ELISA C6 (IgA) Serum
	Index test +	43	2	45	
	Index test -	98	133	231	
	Total	141	135	276	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	LA ELISA C6 (IgA) Serum
		Index test +	2	5	
		Index test -	14	147	
		Total	17	135	
Statistical measures	ELISA C6 (IgA) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.30 Specificity 0.99 ELISA C6 (IgA) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.18 Specificity 0.99				
Source of funding	Supported by government funding, authors hold shares in companies providing samples and/or diagnostic tests				
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none				

Reference	Dessau 2010 ²⁰
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: Recruitment: consecutive (cases)
Number of patients	n = 117 cases, 815 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, median (range): cases 50 years (3-87) - 33 children, 26 adults up to 50 years, 57 adults above 50 years Gender (male to female ratio): cases 55:62, controls not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Denmark Cases: neuroborreliosis defined by having a positive test for intrathecal antibody production and a leucocyte count in CSF of $5 \times 10^6/L$ Controls: healthy blood donors (3.6% had ever been treated for Lyme disease)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) ELISA (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis, positive test for intrathecal antibody production, leucocyte count in CSF of $5 \times 10^6/L$ Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: serum and CSF samples taken on the same day

Reference	Dessau 2010 ²⁰				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	64	26	90	
	Index test -	53	789	842	
	Total	117	815	932	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	51	14	65	
	Index test -	66	801	867	
	Total	117	815	932	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.55 Specificity 0.97 Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.44 Specificity 0.98				
Source of funding	Not reported				
Limitations	Risk of bias: index test, reference standard Indirectness: none				

Reference	Dressler 1993 ²¹
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	<p>Data source: retrospective study – frozen samples from a serum bank, prospective study – patients evaluated in a Lyme disease clinic</p> <p>Recruitment: retrospective study – first 25 patients with EM, meningitis, arthritis, encephalopathy or polyneuropathy due to Lyme disease in alphabetical order (cases; control recruitment not described)</p> <p>Prospective study – consecutive</p>
Number of patients	n = 154 cases (100 retrospective study, 54 prospective study), 264 controls (125 retrospective study, 139 prospective study)
Patient characteristics	<p>Age, mean (SD): not reported</p> <p>Gender (male to female ratio): not reported</p> <p>Family origin: not reported</p> <p>Setting: Lyme disease clinic</p> <p>Country: USA</p> <p>Cases: retrospective study – EM (25), meningitis (25), arthritis (25), encephalopathy or polyneuropathy due to Lyme disease (25), those with EM, meningitis and arthritis had not received antibiotic therapy, half of those with encephalopathy or polyneuropathy had previously had antibiotics</p> <p>Prospective study: those meeting clinical criteria for Lyme disease, Lyme arthritis – brief attacks of oligoarticular arthritis in a few large joints not caused by other known types of arthritis in a person from an endemic area with objective evidence of joint inflammation at the time of evaluation (25), neuroborreliosis – meningeal signs, memory impairment or sensory abnormalities accompanied by CSF pleocytosis, increased CSF protein or electromyographic evidence of an axonal polyneuropathy not caused by other known diseases in a person from an endemic area (29)</p> <p>Controls: retrospective study – patients who had participated in a flu vaccination program (25), multiple sclerosis (15), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (10), rheumatoid arthritis (15), systemic lupus erythematosus (10), chronic fatigue syndrome (25), syphilis (25)</p> <p>Prospective study: those not meeting clinical criteria for Lyme disease, fibromyalgia (32), other rheumatic illnesses (62), other neurologic illnesses (45)</p>

Reference	Dressler 1993 ²¹				
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) Immunoblot (IgM) Immunoblot (IgG) ELISA (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (acute) Immunoblot (IgM) Serum Retrospective study
	Index test +	10	1	11	
	Index test -	15	124	139	
	Total	25	125	150	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (convalescent) Immunoblot (IgM) Serum Retrospective study
	Index test +	15	1	16	
	Index test -	10	124	134	
	Total	25	125	150	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (acute) Immunoblot (IgG) Serum Retrospective study
	Index test +	0	0	0	
	Index test -	25	125	150	
	Total	25	125	150	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (convalescent) Immunoblot (IgG)	
Index test +	4	0	4		

Reference	Dressler 1993 ²¹				
	Index test -	21	125	146	Serum
	Total	25	125	150	Retrospective study
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG)
	Index test +	17	5	22	Serum
	Index test -	12	134	146	Prospective study
	Total	29	139	168	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test +	21	7	28	Serum
	Index test -	8	132	140	Prospective study
	Total	29	139	168	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis ELISA (IgG)
	Index test +	22	5	27	Serum
	Index test -	3	134	137	Prospective study
	Total	25	139	164	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test +	24	7	31	Serum
	Index test -	1	132	133	Prospective study
	Total	25	139	164	
Statistical measures	Index test: Immunoblot IgM (serum) – acute EM (retrospective study) Sensitivity 0.40 Specificity 0.99				
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM (serum) – convalescent EM (retrospective study) Sensitivity 0.60 Specificity 0.99				

Reference	Dressler 1993 ²¹
	<p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) – acute EM (retrospective study) Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) – convalescent EM (retrospective study) Sensitivity 0.16 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis (prospective study) Sensitivity 0.59 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis (prospective study) Sensitivity 0.72 Specificity 0.95</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) –arthritis (prospective study) Sensitivity 0.88 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) –arthritis (prospective study) Sensitivity 0.96 Specificity 0.95</p>
Source of funding	National Institutes of Health, Esche fund, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Beckton Dickinson
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	Time point: mean 8 days after onset of symptoms for EM patients

Reference	Fallon 2014 ²²
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: specimens obtained during the conduct of 2 research protocols on individuals with post-treatment Lyme syndrome and controls Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 37 cases, 40 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): cases 46.5 years (10.5), controls 43.9 years (11.7) Gender (male to female ratio): cases 13:24, controls 16:24 Family origin: not reported Setting: 4 laboratories Country: USA Cases: patients with post-treatment Lyme syndrome with historical evidence meeting CDC criteria for Lyme disease Controls: no history of prior diagnosis or treatment for Lyme disease, no history of Lyme-like symptoms or illness, no history of another major neurologic or medical disorder, residence in non-endemic area and no recent exposure to a highly Lyme endemic area
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Immunoblot (IgM) Immunoblot (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (CDC criteria)

Reference	Fallon 2014 ²²				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum Commercial lab
	Index test +	25	3	28	
	Index test -	12	37	49	
	Total	37	40	77	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum Speciality lab A
	Index test +	25	1	26	
	Index test -	12	39	51	
	Total	37	40	77	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum Speciality lab B
	Index test +	25	3	28	
	Index test -	12	37	49	
	Total	37	40	77	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum University reference lab
	Index test +	23	5	28	
	Index test -	14	35	39	
	Total	37	40	77	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS Immunoblot (IgM) Serum Commercial lab	
Index test +	6	0	6		
Index test -	31	40	71		
Total	37	40	77		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS	

Reference	Fallon 2014 ²²				
	Index test +	1	1	2	Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	36	39	75	Serum
	Total	37	40	77	Speciality lab A
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS
	Index test +	16	8	24	Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	21	32	53	Serum
	Total	37	40	77	Speciality lab B
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS
	Index test +	8	5	13	Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	29	35	64	Serum
	Total	37	40	77	University reference lab
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS
	Index test +	16	0	16	Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test -	21	40	61	Serum
	Total	37	40	77	Commercial lab
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS
	Index test +	16	0	16	Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test -	21	40	61	Serum
	Total	37	40	77	Speciality lab A
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS
	Index test +	18	3	21	Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test -	19	37	56	Serum
	Total	37	40	77	Speciality lab B
		Reference standard	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS

Reference	Fallon 2014 ²²				
		+			Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test +	21	1	22	Serum
	Index test -	16	39	55	University reference lab
	Total	37	40	77	
Statistical measures	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – PTLDS (commercial lab) Sensitivity 0.68 Specificity 0.93</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – PTLDS (speciality lab A) Sensitivity 0.68 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – PTLDS (speciality lab B) Sensitivity 0.68 Specificity 0.93</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – PTLDS (university reference lab) Sensitivity 0.62 Specificity 0.88</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM (serum) – PTLDS (commercial lab) Sensitivity 0.16 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM (serum) – PTLDS (speciality lab A) Sensitivity 0.03 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM (serum) – PTLDS (speciality lab B) Sensitivity 0.43 Specificity 0.80</p>				

Reference	Fallon 2014 ²²
	<p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM (serum) – PTLDS (university reference lab) Sensitivity 0.22 Specificity 0.88</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) – PTLDS (commercial lab) Sensitivity 0.43 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) – PTLDS (speciality lab A) Sensitivity 0.43 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) – PTLDS (speciality lab B) Sensitivity 0.49 Specificity 0.93</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) – PTLDS (university reference lab) Sensitivity 0.57 Specificity 0.97</p>
Source of funding	Lyme Research Alliance Inc, the Lyme Disease association Inc, the Lyme and Tick-borne Diseases Research Center
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	Borderline results counted as positive

Reference	Flisiak 1996 ²³
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients of Department of Infectious Diseases and healthy volunteers Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 42 cases, 27 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: Department of Infectious Diseases Country: Poland Cases: diagnosed Lyme disease – EM (18), arthritis (7), neuroborreliosis (17) Controls: healthy volunteers without clinical signs of Lyme disease
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) ELISA (IgG) ELISA (IgM/IgG) ELFA Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (CDC criteria)

Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported					
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM) (flagella) Serum
	Index test +	11	4	15	
	Index test -	7	23	30	
	Total	18	27	45	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM) (recombinant) Serum
	Index test +	7	8	15	
	Index test -	11	19	30	
	Total	18	27	45	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgG) (flagella) Serum
	Index test +	2	0	2	
	Index test -	16	27	43	
	Total	18	27	45	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgG) (recombinant) Serum
	Index test +	6	0	6	
	Index test -	12	27	39	
	Total	18	27	45	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) (flagella) Serum
	Index test +	12	4	16	
	Index test -	6	23	29	
	Total	18	27	45	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) (recombinant) Serum	
Index test +	13	8	21		
Index test -	5	19	24		
Total	18	27	45		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELFA Serum	
Index test +	11	2	13		
Index test -	7	25	32		

Total	18	27	45	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) (flagella) Serum
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
Index test +	12	4	16	
Index test -	5	23	28	
Total	17	27	44	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) (recombinant) Serum
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
Index test +	12	8	20	
Index test -	5	19	24	
Total	17	27	44	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG) (flagella) Serum
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
Index test +	6	0	6	
Index test -	11	27	38	
Total	17	27	44	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG) (recombinant) Serum
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
Index test +	5	0	5	
Index test -	12	27	39	
Total	17	27	44	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG) (flagella) Serum
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
Index test +	15	4	19	
Index test -	2	23	25	
Total	17	27	44	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG) (recombinant) Serum
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
Index test +	14	8	22	
Index test -	3	19	22	
Total	17	27	44	Neuroborreliosis ELFA Serum
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
Index test +	16	2	18	
Index test -	1	25	26	
Total	17	27	44	

	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis
Index test +	5	4	9	ELISA (IgM) (flagella)
Index test -	2	23	25	Serum
Total	7	27	34	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis
Index test +	7	8	15	ELISA (IgM) (recombinant)
Index test -	0	19	19	Serum
Total	7	27	34	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis
Index test +	1	0	1	ELISA (IgG) (flagella)
Index test -	6	27	33	Serum
Total	7	27	34	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis
Index test +	0	0	0	ELISA (IgG) (recombinant)
Index test -	7	27	34	Serum
Total	7	27	34	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis
Index test +	5	4	9	ELISA (IgM/IgG) (flagella)
Index test -	2	23	25	Serum
Total	7	27	34	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis
Index test +	7	8	15	ELISA (IgM/IgG) (recombinant)
Index test -	0	19	19	Serum
Total	7	27	34	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis
Index test +	6	2	8	ELFA
Index test -	1	25	26	Serum
Total	7	27	34	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease

	Index test +	27	4	31	ELISA (IgM) (flagella) Serum
	Index test -	15	23	38	
	Total	42	27	69	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (IgM) (recombinant) Serum
	Index test +	25	8	33	
	Index test -	17	19	36	
	Total	42	27	69	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) (flagella) Serum
	Index test +	10	0	10	
	Index test -	32	27	59	
	Total	42	27	69	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) (recombinant) Serum
	Index test +	12	0	12	
	Index test -	30	27	57	
	Total	42	27	69	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) (flagella) Serum
	Index test +	32	4	36	
	Index test -	10	23	33	
	Total	42	27	69	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) (recombinant) Serum
	Index test +	34	8	42	
	Index test -	8	19	27	
	Total	42	27	69	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELFA Serum
	Index test +	33	2	35	
	Index test -	9	25	34	
	Total	42	27	69	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM (flagella) (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.61 Specificity 0.85				

Index test: ELISA IgM (recombinant) (serum) - EM

Sensitivity 0.39

Specificity 0.70

Index test: ELISA IgG (flagella) (serum) - EM

Sensitivity 0.11

Specificity 1.00

Index test: ELISA IgG (recombinant) (serum) - EM

Sensitivity 0.33

Specificity 1.00

Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (flagella) (serum) - EM

Sensitivity 0.67

Specificity 0.85

Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (recombinant) (serum) - EM

Sensitivity 0.72

Specificity 0.70

Index test: ELFA (serum) - EM

Sensitivity 0.61

Specificity 0.93

Index test: ELISA IgM (flagella) (serum) - neuroborreliosis

Sensitivity 0.71

Specificity 0.85

Index test: ELISA IgM (recombinant) (serum) - neuroborreliosis

Sensitivity 0.71

Specificity 0.70

Index test: ELISA IgG (flagella) (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.35 Specificity 1.00
Index test: ELISA IgG (recombinant) (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.29 Specificity 1.00
Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (flagella) (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.88 Specificity 0.85
Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (recombinant) (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.82 Specificity 0.70
Index test: ELFA (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.94 Specificity 0.93
Index test: ELISA IgM (flagella) (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 0.71 Specificity 0.85
Index test: ELISA IgM (recombinant) (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.70
Index test: ELISA IgG (flagella) (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 0.14 Specificity 1.00
Index test: ELISA IgG (recombinant) (serum) - arthritis

Sensitivity 0.00
Specificity 1.00

Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (flagella) (serum) - arthritis

Sensitivity 0.71
Specificity 0.85

Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (recombinant) (serum) - arthritis

Sensitivity 1.00
Specificity 0.70

Index test: ELFA (serum) - arthritis

Sensitivity 0.86
Specificity 0.93

Index test: ELISA IgM (flagella) (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease

Sensitivity 0.64
Specificity 0.85

Index test: ELISA IgM (recombinant) (serum) - unspecified Lyme disease

Sensitivity 0.60
Specificity 0.70

Index test: ELISA IgG (flagella) (serum) - unspecified Lyme disease

Sensitivity 0.24
Specificity 1.00

Index test: ELISA IgG (recombinant) (serum) - unspecified Lyme disease

Sensitivity 0.29
Specificity 1.00

Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (flagella) (serum) - unspecified Lyme disease

Sensitivity 0.76

	<p>Specificity 0.85</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (recombinant) (serum) - unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.81 Specificity 0.70</p> <p>Index test: ELFA (serum) - unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.79 Specificity 0.93</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	<p>Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard</p> <p>Indirectness:</p>

Reference	Flisiak 1998 ²⁴
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients of the Department of Infectious Diseases and healthy volunteers Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 48 cases, 26 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): cases 23:25, controls 8:18 Family origin: not reported Setting: Department of Infectious Diseases Country: Poland Cases: diagnosed Lyme disease – EM (19), Lyme arthritis (21), early neuroborreliosis (8) Controls: healthy volunteers from the same region
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) ELISA (IgG) ELISA (IgM/IgG) ELFA Immunoblot (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (CDC criteria)

Reference	Flisiak 1998 ²⁴				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: diagnosis at the time of blood collection				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	28	3	31	
	Index test -	20	23	43	
	Total	48	26	74	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	22	0	22	
	Index test -	26	26	52	
	Total	48	26	74	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	37	3	40	
	Index test -	11	23	34	
	Total	48	26	74	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELFA Serum
	Index test +	39	2	41	
	Index test -	9	24	33	
	Total	48	26	74	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease Immunoblot (IgG) Serum	
Index test +	24	0	24		
Index test -	24	26	50		
Total	48	26	74		
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease				

Reference	Flisiak 1998 ²⁴
	<p>Sensitivity 0.58 Specificity 0.88</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.77 Specificity 0.88</p> <p>Index test: ELFA (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.81 Specificity 0.92</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity 1.00</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness:

Reference	Fung 1994 ²⁵
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: serum bank containing samples from patients with Lyme disease Recruitment: alphabetical order
Number of patients	n = 189 cases, 106 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: Lyme disease clinic Country: USA Cases: patients with Lyme disease - EM (75), meningitis/ facial palsy (40), arthritis (49), chronic neuroborreliosis (25) Controls: patients who had recently had influenza vaccinations (15), multiple sclerosis (12), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (9), rheumatoid arthritis (12), systemic lupus erythematosus (9), chronic fatigue syndrome (19), syphilis (30)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) ELISA (IgG) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Immunoblot (IgM) Immunoblot (IgG) Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)

Reference	Fung 1994 ²⁵				
	Reference standard Clinical diagnosis				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (acute disseminated) ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	36	2	38	
	Index test -	23	104	127	
	Total	59	106	165	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (acute localised) ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	4	2	6	
	Index test -	12	104	116	
	Total	16	106	122	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (convalescent disseminated) ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	47	2	49	
	Index test -	12	104	116	
	Total	59	106	165	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (convalescent localised) ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	8	2	10	
	Index test -	8	104	112	
	Total	16	106	122	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (acute disseminated) ELISA (IgG) Serum	
Index test +	20	15	35		
Index test -	39	91	130		
Total	59	106	165		

Reference	Fung 1994 ²⁵				
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (acute localised) ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	5	15	20	
	Index test -	11	91	102	
	Total	16	106	122	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (convalescent disseminated) ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	30	15	45	
	Index test -	29	91	120	
	Total	59	106	165	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (convalescent localised) ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	7	15	22	
	Index test -	9	91	100	
	Total	16	106	122	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (acute disseminated) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	36	16	52	
	Index test -	23	90	113	
	Total	59	106	165	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (acute localised) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	6	16	22	
	Index test -	10	90	100	
	Total	16	106	122	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (convalescent disseminated) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	48	16	64	
	Index test -	11	90	101	

Reference	Fung 1994 ²⁵				
	Total	59	106	165	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (convalescent localised) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	10	16	26	
	Index test -	6	90	96	
	Total	16	106	122	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (acute) Immunoblot (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	44	2	46	
	Index test -	31	104	135	
	Total	75	106	181	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (convalescent) Immunoblot (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	55	2	57	
	Index test -	20	104	124	
	Total	75	106	181	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (acute) Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	35	6	41	
	Index test -	40	100	140	
	Total	75	106	181	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (convalescent) Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	43	6	49	
	Index test -	32	100	132	
	Total	75	106	181	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (acute) Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	49	8	57	

Reference	Fung 1994 ²⁵				
	Index test -	26	98	124	Serum
	Total	75	106	181	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (convalescent) Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	60	8	68	
	Index test -	15	98	113	
	Total	75	106	181	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Chronic neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	5	2	7	
	Index test -	20	104	124	
	Total	25	106	131	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Meningitis/facial palsy ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	29	2	31	
	Index test -	11	104	115	
	Total	40	106	146	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Chronic neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	9	15	24	
	Index test -	16	91	107	
	Total	25	106	131	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Meningitis/facial palsy ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	26	15	41	
	Index test -	14	91	105	
	Total	40	106	146	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Chronic neuroborreliosis

Reference	Fung 1994 ²⁵				
	Index test +	12	16	28	ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test -	13	90	103	
	Total	25	106	131	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Meningitis/ facial palsy ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	35	16	51	
	Index test -	5	90	95	
	Total	40	106	146	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	22	2	24	
	Index test -	27	104	131	
	Total	49	106	155	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	41	15	56	
	Index test -	8	91	99	
	Total	49	106	155	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	43	16	59	
	Index test -	6	90	96	
	Total	49	106	155	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – EM (acute disseminated) Sensitivity 0.61 Specificity 0.98				
	Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – EM (acute localised) Sensitivity 0.25				

Reference	Fung 1994 ²⁵
	Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – EM (convalescent disseminated) Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – EM (convalescent localised) Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – EM (acute disseminated) Sensitivity 0.34 Specificity 0.86
	Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – EM (acute localised) Sensitivity 0.31 Specificity 0.86
	Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – EM (convalescent disseminated) Sensitivity 0.51 Specificity 0.86
	Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – EM (convalescent localised) Sensitivity 0.44 Specificity 0.86
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – EM (acute disseminated) Sensitivity 0.61 Specificity 0.85
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – EM (acute localised)

Reference	Fung 1994 ²⁵
	<p>Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 0.85</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – EM (convalescent disseminated) Sensitivity 0.81 Specificity 0.85</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – EM (convalescent localised) Sensitivity 0.63 Specificity 0.85</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM (serum) – EM (acute) Sensitivity 0.59 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM (serum) – EM (convalescent) Sensitivity 0.73 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) – EM (acute) Sensitivity 0.47 Specificity 0.94</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) – EM (convalescent) Sensitivity 0.57 Specificity 0.94</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – EM (acute) Sensitivity 0.65 Specificity 0.92</p>

Reference	Fung 1994 ²⁵
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – EM (convalescent) Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 0.92
	Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – chronic neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.20 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – meningitis/facial palsy Sensitivity 0.72 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – chronic neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.36 Specificity 0.86
	Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – meningitis/facial palsy Sensitivity 0.65 Specificity 0.86
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – chronic neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.48 Specificity 0.85
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – meningitis/facial palsy Sensitivity 0.88 Specificity 0.85
	Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – arthritis Sensitivity 0.45 Specificity 0.98

Reference	Fung 1994 ²⁵
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – arthritis Sensitivity 0.84 Specificity 0.86</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – arthritis Sensitivity 0.88 Specificity 0.85</p>
Source of funding	National Institutes of Health, the Eshe Fund
Limitations	<p>Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none</p>

Reference	Goettner 2005 ²⁷
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: clinically well-defined cases – EM patients enrolled in a borreliosis treatment study, source of patients with other presentations not reported, blood donors Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 85 cases, 110 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Germany Cases: clinically well-defined cases – EM (15), neuroborreliosis with intrathecal antibody production (50), ACA (10), arthritis (10) Controls: blood donors without actual or anamnestic dermatological, rheumatological or neurological disease or history of a tick bite (60), syphilis patients (10), patients who were positive for rheumatoid factor (10), patients with fever of unknown origin (30)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) Immunoblot (IgM) Immunoblot (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Goettner 2005 ²⁷				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: median time period between appearance of EM and collection of serum was 8 weeks (range 3-24 weeks), other presentations not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgM) line blot Serum
	Index test +	11	1	12	
	Index test -	4	109	113	
	Total	15	110	125	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgM) line blot plus additional antigens Serum
	Index test +	13	2	15	
	Index test -	2	108	110	
	Total	15	110	125	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgM) western blot Serum
	Index test +	6	2	8	
	Index test -	9	108	117	
	Total	15	110	125	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgG) line blot Serum
	Index test +	7	0	7	
	Index test -	8	110	118	
	Total	15	110	125	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgG) line blot plus additional antigens Serum
	Index test +	8	1	9	
	Index test -	7	109	116	
	Total	15	110	125	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM	

Reference	Goettner 2005 ²⁷				
		+			Immunoblot (IgG) western blot Serum
	Index test +	5	1	6	
	Index test -	10	109	119	
	Total	15	110	125	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA Immunoblot (IgG) line blot Serum
	Index test +	10	0	10	
	Index test -	0	110	110	
	Total	10	110	120	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA Immunoblot (IgG) line blot plus additional antigens Serum
	Index test +	10	1	11	
	Index test -	0	109	109	
	Total	10	110	120	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA Immunoblot (IgG) western blot Serum
	Index test +	9	1	10	
	Index test -	1	109	110	
	Total	10	110	120	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgM) line blot Serum
	Index test +	23	1	24	
	Index test -	27	109	136	
	Total	50	110	160	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgM) line blot plus additional antigens Serum
	Index test +	35	2	37	
	Index test -	15	108	123	
	Total	50	110	160	

Reference	Goettner 2005 ²⁷				
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgM) western blot Serum
Index test +	20	2	22		
Index test -	30	108	138		
Total	50	110	160		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgG) line blot Serum
Index test +	43	0	43		
Index test -	7	110	117		
Total	50	110	160		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgG) line blot plus additional antigens Serum
Index test +	44	1	45		
Index test -	6	109	115		
Total	50	110	160		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgG) western blot Serum
Index test +	36	1	37		
Index test -	14	109	123		
Total	50	110	160		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Arthritis Immunoblot (IgG) line blot Serum
Index test +	9	0	9		
Index test -	1	110	111		
Total	10	110	120		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Arthritis Immunoblot (IgG) line blot plus additional antigens Serum
Index test +	10	1	11		
Index test -	0	109	109		

Reference	Goettner 2005 ²⁷				
	Total	10	110	120	Arthritis Immunoblot (IgG) western blot Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
	Index test +	10	1	11	
	Index test -	0	109	109	
	Total	10	110	120	Unspecified Lyme disease Immunoblot (IgG) line blot Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
	Index test +	69	0	69	
	Index test -	16	110	126	
	Total	85	110	195	Unspecified Lyme disease Immunoblot (IgG) line blot plus additional antigens Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
	Index test +	72	1	73	
	Index test -	13	109	122	
	Total	85	110	195	Unspecified Lyme disease Immunoblot (IgG) western blot Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
	Index test +	60	1	61	
	Index test -	25	109	134	
Total	85	110	195		
Statistical measures	Index test: Immunoblot IgM line blot (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.73 Specificity 0.99				
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM line blot plus (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.87 Specificity 0.98				
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM western blot (serum) – EM				

Reference	Goettner 2005 ²⁷
	Sensitivity 0.40 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: Immunoblot IgG line blot (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.47 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: Immunoblot IgG line blot plus (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.53 Specificity 0.99
	Index test: Immunoblot IgG western blot (serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.33 Specificity 0.99
	Index test: Immunoblot IgG line blot (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: Immunoblot IgG line blot plus (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.99
	Index test: Immunoblot IgG western blot (serum) – ACA Sensitivity 0.90 Specificity 0.99
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM line blot (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 0.99

Reference	Goettner 2005 ²⁷
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM line blot plus (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.70 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM western blot (serum) – neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.40 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: Immunoblot IgG line blot (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: Immunoblot IgG line blot plus (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.88 Specificity 0.99
	Index test: Immunoblot IgG western blot (serum) – neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.72 Specificity 0.99
	Index test: Immunoblot IgG line blot (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 0.90 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: Immunoblot IgG line blot plus (serum) – arthritis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.99
	Index test: Immunoblot IgG western blot (serum) –arthritis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.99

Reference	Goettner 2005 ²⁷
	<p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG line blot (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.81 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG line blot plus (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.85 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG western blot (serum) –unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.71 Specificity 0.99</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Gomes-Solecki 2001 ²⁸
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	<p>Data source: bank of sera obtained from patients with well-characterised clinical disease</p> <p>Recruitment: not reported</p>
Number of patients	n = 120 cases, 100 controls
Patient characteristics	<p>Age, mean (SD): not reported</p> <p>Gender (male to female ratio): not reported</p>

Reference	Gomes-Solecki 2001 ²⁸				
	<p>Family origin: not reported</p> <p>Setting: not reported</p> <p>Country: USA</p> <p>Cases: clinically and laboratory well-characterised Lyme disease - early localised infections typified by the presence of well-defined EM in patients from an endemic area (30), early disseminated infections typified by EM and one or more of the following: additional EM lesions, atrioventricular block, neurologic abnormalities or meningitis(60), late Lyme disease occurring more than 3 months after onset with neurologic or arthritic manifestations and positive serology test by CDC criteria (30)</p> <p>Controls: healthy controls from an endemic area</p>				
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease				
Index test(s) and reference standard	<p>Index test(s) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Recombinant rapid assay</p> <p>Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (culture isolation from the skin lesion or photographic documentation required for EM)</p> <p>Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: time after onset of symptoms at time of index test <1 month - >1 year</p>				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) whole cell Serum
	Index test +	85	5	90	
	Index test -	35	95	130	
	Total	120	100	220	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease Recombinant rapid assay
Index test +	87	3	90		

Reference	Gomes-Solecki 2001 ²⁸				
	Index test –	33	97	130	Serum
	Total	120	100	220	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) whole cell – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.71 Specificity 0.95 Index test: recombinant rapid assay (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.72 Specificity 0.97				
Source of funding	National Institutes of Health, CDC, New York State legislative initiative in Lyme disease				
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none				

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰	
Study type	Case-control	
Study methodology	Data source: not reported Recruitment: not reported	
Number of patients	n = 39 cases, 190 controls	
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported	

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰				
	Country: The Netherlands				
	Cases: early Lyme borreliosis presenting with EM and a history of tick bite and/isolation of <i>B. burgdorferi</i> from their skin lesion (26), late Lyme borreliosis presenting with ACA/neuroborreliosis (13)				
	Controls: healthy controls with no history of Lyme borreliosis and tick exposure				
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) ELISA (IgG) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Immunoblot (IgM) Immunoblot (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM) Serum Behring
	Index test +	20	1	21	
	Index test -	6	61	67	
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM) Serum Boehringer
	Index test +	9	0	9	
	Index test -	17	62	79	
	Total	26	62	88	

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰				
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM)	
Index test +	17	3	20	Serum	
Index test -	9	59	68	Dako	
Total	26	62	88		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM)	
Index test +	21	1	22	Serum	
Index test -	5	61	66	Genzyme Virotech	
Total	26	62	88		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM)	
Index test +	17	6	23	Serum	
Index test -	9	56	65	IBL	
Total	26	62	88		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgG)	
Index test +	18	9	27	Serum	
Index test -	8	53	61	Behring	
Total	26	62	88		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgG)	
Index test +	10	7	17	Serum	
Index test -	16	55	71	Boehringer	
Total	26	62	88		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgG)	
Index test +	13	2	15	Serum	
Index test -	13	60	73	Dako	

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰				
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgG)
	Index test +	14	4	18	Serum
	Index test -	12	58	70	Genzyme Virotech
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgG)
	Index test +	12	8	20	Serum
	Index test -	14	54	68	IBL
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	8	3	11	Serum
	Index test -	18	59	77	Milenia
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test +	13	7	20	Serum
	Index test -	13	55	68	Genzyme Virotech
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test +	12	1	13	Serum
	Index test -	14	61	75	MRL
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test +	7	11	18	

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰				
	Index test -	19	51	70	Serum
	Total	26	62	88	Genzyme Virotech
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test +	1	2	3	Serum
	Index test -	25	60	85	MRL
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease (late) ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	8	1	9	Serum
	Index test -	5	61	66	Behring
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease (late) ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	6	0	6	Serum
	Index test -	7	62	69	Boehring
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease (late) ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	9	3	12	Serum
	Index test -	4	59	63	Dako
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease (late) ELISA (IgM)
	Index test +	8	1	9	Serum
	Index test -	5	61	66	Genzyme Virotech
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease (late)

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰				
	Index test +	8	6	14	ELISA (IgM)
	Index test -	5	56	61	Serum
	Total	13	62	75	IBL
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease (late) ELISA (IgG)
	Index test +	12	9	21	Serum
	Index test -	1	53	54	Behring
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease (late) ELISA (IgG)
	Index test +	7	7	14	Serum
	Index test -	6	55	61	Boehring
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease (late) ELISA (IgG)
	Index test +	10	2	12	Serum
	Index test -	3	60	63	Dako
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease (late) ELISA (IgG)
	Index test +	12	4	16	Serum
	Index test -	1	58	59	Genzyme Virotech
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease (late) ELISA (IgG)
	Index test +	9	8	17	Serum
	Index test -	4	54	58	IBL
	Total	13	62	75	

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰				
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease (late) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum Milenia
	Index test +	9	3	12	
	Index test -	4	59	63	
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease (late) Immunoblot (IgM) Serum Genzyme Virotech
	Index test +	8	7	15	
	Index test -	5	55	60	
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease (late) Immunoblot (IgM) Serum MRL
	Index test +	7	1	8	
	Index test -	6	61	67	
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease (late) Immunoblot (IgG) Serum Genzyme Virotech
	Index test +	6	11	17	
	Index test -	7	51	58	
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease (late) Immunoblot (IgG) Serum MRL
	Index test +	6	2	8	
	Index test -	7	60	67	
	Total	13	62	75	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM Behring (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.77 Specificity 0.98				
	Index test: ELISA IgM Boehringer (serum) - EM				

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰
	Sensitivity 0.35 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgM Dako (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.65 Specificity 0.95
	Index test: ELISA IgM Genzyme Virotech (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.81 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA IgM IBL (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.65 Specificity 0.90
	Index test: ELISA IgG Behring (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.69 Specificity 0.85
	Index test: ELISA IgG Boehringer (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 0.89
	Index test: ELISA IgG Dako (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgG Genzyme Virotech (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.54 Specificity 0.94

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰
	Index test: ELISA IgG IBL (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 0.87
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG Milenia (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.31 Specificity 0.95
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM Genzyme Virotech (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity 0.89
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM Genzyme MRL (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: Immunoblot IgG Genzyme Virotech (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.27 Specificity 0.82
	Index test: Immunoblot IgG MRL (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.04 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgM Behring (serum) – unspecified (late) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.62 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA IgM Boehringer (serum) – unspecified (late) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 1.00

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰
	Index test: ELISA IgM Dako (serum) – unspecified (late) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.69 Specificity 0.95
	Index test: ELISA IgM Genzyme Virotech (serum) – unspecified (late) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.62 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA IgM IBL (serum) – unspecified (late) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.62 Specificity 0.90
	Index test: ELISA IgG Behring (serum) – unspecified (late) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 0.85
	Index test: ELISA IgG Boehringer (serum) – unspecified (late) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.54 Specificity 0.89
	Index test: ELISA IgG Dako (serum) – unspecified (late) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.77 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgG Genzyme Virotech (serum) – unspecified (late) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 0.94
	Index test: ELISA IgG IBL (serum) – unspecified (late) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.69

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰
	<p>Specificity 0.87</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG Milenia (serum) – unspecified (late) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.69 Specificity 0.95</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM Genzyme Virotech (serum) - unspecified (late) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.62 Specificity 0.89</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM Genzyme MRL (serum) - unspecified (late) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.54 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG Genzyme Virotech (serum) - unspecified (late) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 0.82</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG MRL (serum) - unspecified (late) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 0.97</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: None
Comments	Healthy subjects used as control group in analysis, borderline results considered positive

Reference	Grodzicki 1988 ³¹
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients who had participated in a prospective study of early Lyme disease Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 30 cases, 64 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: USA Cases: Early Lyme disease – localised to the skin (EM) or to regional lymph nodes (8), clinical evidence of disseminated infection in multiple organ systems (22) Controls: healthy subjects (20), patients hospitalised with a wide range of diagnoses (23), patients with Rocky Mountain spotted fever (12), patients with syphilis (9)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Grodzicki 1988 ³¹				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: acute phase samples taken within 31 days of onset of EM, convalescence samples taken 2-4 weeks later				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified (acute) Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) sonicated Serum
	Index test +	9	0		
	Index test -	21	20		
	Total	30	20		
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified (convalescent) Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) sonicated Serum
	Index test +	18	0		
	Index test -	12	20		
	Total	30	20		
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified (acute) Lyme disease Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	16	0	16	
	Index test -	14	20	34	
	Total	30	20	50	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified (convalescent) Lyme disease Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum	
Index test +	25	0	25		
Index test -	5	20	25		
Total	30	20	50		
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG sonicated (serum) – unspecified acute Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.30 Specificity 1.00				
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG sonicated (serum) – unspecified convalescent Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.60 Specificity 1.00				

Reference	Grodzicki 1988 ³¹
	<p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – unspecified acute Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.53 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – unspecified convalescent Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.83 Specificity 1.00</p>
Source of funding	National Institute of Arthritis, Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases and Division of Research Resources, National Institutes of Health
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	Healthy controls used in analysis

Reference	Hanrahan 1984 ³³
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: cases reported by a physician (case report forms) and control sera from syphilis screening Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 207 cases, 329 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (range): cases 28 years (1-79), controls not reported Gender (male to female ratio): cases 99:108, controls not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: USA Cases: any person reported by a physician to have Lyme disease who had at least one of the following manifestations: EM, aseptic meningitis, facial nerve palsy and/or large joint arthritis Controls: healthy controls from a non-endemic area, Lyme disease clinical histories not known
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) Immunofluorescence assay (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Hanrahan 1984 ³³				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease IFA (IgG) Serum titer 1:128
	Index test +	88	1	89	
	Index test -	72	328	400	
	Total	160	329	489	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease IFA (IgG) Serum titer 1:256
	Index test +	57	0	57	
	Index test -	103	329	432	
	Total	160	329	489	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease IFA (IgG) Serum titer 1:64
	Index test +	112	10	122	
	Index test -	48	319	367	
	Total	160	329	489	
Statistical measures	<p>Index test: Immunofluorescence assay IgG titer 1:128 (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.55 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunofluorescence assay IgG titer 1:256 (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.36 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunofluorescence assay IgG titer 1:64 (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.70 Specificity 0.97</p>				
Source of funding	Not reported				
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard				

Reference	Hanrahan 1984³³
	Indirectness: serious (cases included adults and children)

Reference	Hansen 1988³⁵
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients hospitalised with lymphocytic meningoradiculitis following Lyme disease Recruitment: consecutive
Number of patients	n = 56 cases, 329 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, median (range): cases 51 years (6-74) Gender (male to female ratio): cases 34:22 Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Denmark Cases: patients hospitalised with lymphocytic meningoradiculitis following Lyme disease based on clinical evidence – typical painful sensory radiculitis and lymphocytic pleocytosis in CSF Controls: healthy controls (200), aseptic meningitis (13), Guillain-Barre (14) encephalitis (11), syphilis (69), leptospirosis (22)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) ELISA (IgG)

Reference	Hansen 1988 ³⁵				
	Reference standard Clinical diagnosis				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks ELISA (IgM) flagellum CSF
	Index test +	38	0	38	
	Index test -	5	106	111	
	Total	43	106	149	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks ELISA (IgM) flagellum Serum
	Index test +	22	10	32	
	Index test -	21	305	326	
	Total	43	315	358	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks ELISA (IgM) sonic extract CSF
	Index test +	35	0	35	
	Index test -	8	106	114	
	Total	43	106	149	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks ELISA (IgM) sonic extract Serum
	Index test +	17	10	27	
	Index test -	26	305	331	
	Total	43	315	358	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks ELISA (IgG) flagellum CSF	
Index test +	25	0	25		
Index test -	18	106	124		
Total	43	106	149		

Reference	Hansen 1988 ³⁵				
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks
Index test +	30	9	39		ELISA (IgG) flagellum
Index test -	13	306	319		Serum
Total	43	315	358		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks
Index test +	22	0	22		ELISA (IgG) sonic extract
Index test -	21	106	127		CSF
Total	43	106	149		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks
Index test +	12	13	25		ELISA (IgG) sonic extract
Index test -	31	302	333		Serum
Total	43	315	358		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months
Index test +	11	0	11		ELISA (IgM) flagellum
Index test -	2	106	108		CSF
Total	13	106	119		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months
Index test +	6	10	16		ELISA (IgM) flagellum
Index test -	7	305	312		Serum
Total	13	315	328		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months
Index test +	12	0	12		ELISA (IgM) sonic extract
Index test -	1	106	107		CSF

Reference	Hansen 1988 ³⁵				
	Total	13	106	119	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months
	Index test +	3	10	13	ELISA (IgM) sonic extract
	Index test -	10	305	315	Serum
	Total	13	315	328	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months
	Index test +	12	0	12	ELISA (IgG) flagellum
	Index test -	1	106	107	CSF
	Total	13	106	119	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months
	Index test +	13	9	22	ELISA (IgG) flagellum
	Index test -	0	306	306	Serum
	Total	13	315	328	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months
	Index test +	13	0	13	ELISA (IgG) sonic extract
	Index test -	0	106	106	CSF
	Total	13	106	119	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months
	Index test +	11	13	24	ELISA (IgG) sonic extract
	Index test -	2	302	304	Serum
	Total	13	315	328	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM flagellum (CSF) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.88 Specificity 1.00				

Reference	Hansen 1988 ³⁵
	Index test: ELISA IgM flagellum (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.51 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgM sonic extract (CSF) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.81 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgM sonic extract (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.40 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgG flagellum (CSF) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.58 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgG flagellum (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.70 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgG sonic extract (CSF) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.51 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgG sonic extract (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.28 Specificity 0.96
	Index test: ELISA IgM flagellum (CSF) – neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 0.85

Reference	Hansen 1988 ³⁵
	<p>Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM flagellum (serum) – neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM sonic extract (CSF) – neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM sonic extract (serum) – neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 0.23 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG flagellum (CSF) – neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG flagellum (serum) – neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG sonic extract (CSF) – neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG sonic extract (serum) – neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 0.85 Specificity 0.96</p>
Source of	University of Copenhagen and the Thorvald Madsen foundation

Reference	Hansen 1988³⁵
funding	
Limitations	Risk of bias: index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Hansen 1989³⁴
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients presenting with EM/ACA Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 157 cases, 298 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, median (range): EM 54 years (6-83), ACA 61 years (28-89), controls not reported Gender (male to female ratio): EM 26:81, ACA 16:34, controls not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Sweden, Denmark Cases: patients presenting with typical, clinically uncomplicated EM (107 - solitary n=91, multiple n=16), patients with ACA (50), diagnosis based on clinical evidence, diagnosis of ACA confirmed by histopathology Controls: healthy Danish controls (200), patients with various dermatological disorders without clinical evidence of B. burgdorferi infection
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s)	Index test(s)

Reference	Hansen 1989 ³⁴				
and reference standard	ELISA (IgM) ELISA (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: onset of EM to blood sampling median 3.5 weeks (range 2 days-12 months), median duration of ACA 2.5 years				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (multiple) ELISA (IgM) flagellum Serum
	Index test +	11	10	21	
	Index test -	5	190	195	
	Total	16	200	216	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM) flagellum Serum
	Index test +	48	10	58	
	Index test -	59	190	259	
	Total	107	200	307	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (single) ELISA (IgM) flagellum Serum
	Index test +	36	10	46	
	Index test -	55	190	245	
	Total	91	200	291	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM) sonic Serum
	Index test +	18	11	29	
	Index test -	89	189	278	
	Total	107	200	307	
	Reference standard	Reference standard -	Total	EM (multiple)	

Reference	Hansen 1989 ³⁴				
		+			ELISA (IgG) flagellum Serum
	Index test +	6	8	14	
	Index test -	10	192	202	
	Total	16	200	216	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgG) flagellum Serum
	Index test +	38	8	46	
	Index test -	69	192	261	
	Total	107	200	307	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (single) ELISA (IgG) flagellum Serum
	Index test +	33	8	41	
	Index test -	58	192	250	
	Total	91	200	291	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgG) sonic Serum
	Index test +	12	12	24	
	Index test -	95	188	283	
	Total	107	200	307	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM) flagellum Serum
	Index test +	6	10	16	
	Index test -	44	190	234	
	Total	50	200	250	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM) sonic Serum
	Index test +	11	11	22	
	Index test -	39	189	228	
	Total	50	200	250	

Reference	Hansen 1989 ³⁴				
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgG) flagellum Serum
	Index test +	50	8	58	
	Index test -	0	192	192	
	Total	50	200	250	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgG) sonic Serum
	Index test +	49	12	61	
	Index test -	1	188	189	
	Total	50	200	250	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM flagellum (serum) – EM (multiple) Sensitivity 0.69 Specificity 0.95				
	Index test: ELISA IgM flagellum (serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.45 Specificity 0.95				
	Index test: ELISA IgM flagellum (serum) – EM (single) Sensitivity 0.40 Specificity 0.95				
	Index test: ELISA IgM sonic (serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.17 Specificity 0.94				
	Index test: ELISA IgG flagellum (serum) – EM (multiple) Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 0.96				
	Index test: ELISA IgG flagellum (serum) – EM				

Reference	Hansen 1989 ³⁴
	<p>Sensitivity 0.36 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG flagellum (serum) – EM (single) Sensitivity 0.36 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG sonic (serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.11 Specificity 0.94</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM flagellum (serum) – ACA Sensitivity 0.12 Specificity 0.95</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM sonic (serum) – ACA Sensitivity 0.22 Specificity 0.94</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG flagellum (serum) – ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG sonic (serum) – ACA Sensitivity 0.98 Specificity 0.94</p>
Source of funding	University of Copenhagen, the Mauritzen la Fontaine foundation and the Swedish Medical Research Council
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Hansen 1989³⁴
Comments	Healthy controls used in analysis

Reference	Hansen 1991 ³⁷
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients with active untreated Lyme borreliosis Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 198 cases, 270 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, median (range): EM 45 years (6-71), neuroborreliosis 47 years (5-74), ACA 54 years (17-80), healthy controls 40 years (16-71), disease controls not reported Gender (male to female ratio): EM 9:41, neuroborreliosis 61:39, ACA 15:33, controls not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Sweden, Denmark Cases: EM (50), patients hospitalised with neuroborreliosis (100), dermatologist diagnosed ACA (48) Controls: healthy controls (200), syphilis (40), leptospirosis (15), infectious mononucleosis (15)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) ELISA (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: EM duration median 3 weeks (1-12), other presentations not reported

Reference	Hansen 1991 ³⁷				
	(duration from symptom onset is reported)				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	32	0	32	
	Index test -	18	200	218	
	Total	50	200	250	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	28	0	28	
	Index test -	22	200	222	
	Total	50	200	250	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	5	0	5	
	Index test -	43	200	243	
	Total	48	200	248	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	48	0	48	
	Index test -	0	200	200	
	Total	48	200	248	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) Serum	
Index test +	37	0	37		
Index test -	63	200	263		
Total	100	200	300		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG)	
Index test +	84	0	84		

Reference	Hansen 1991 ³⁷				
	Index test -	16	200	216	Serum
	Total	100	200	300	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM <6 weeks ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	13	0	13	
	Index test -	24	200	224	
	Total	37	200	237	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	32	0	32	
	Index test -	38	200	238	
	Total	70	200	270	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM <6 weeks ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	8	0	8	
	Index test -	29	200	229	
	Total	37	200	237	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	54	0	54	
	Index test -	16	200	216	
	Total	70	200	270	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM 6 weeks – 6 months ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	3	0	3	
	Index test -	10	200	210	
	Total	13	200	213	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months

Reference	Hansen 1991 ³⁷				
	Index test +	5	0	5	ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test -	25	200	225	
	Total	30	200	230	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM 6 weeks – 6 months ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	6	0	6	
	Index test -	7	200	207	
	Total	13	200	213	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	30	0	30	
	Index test -	0	200	200	
	Total	30	200	230	
	Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.64 Specificity 1.00			
Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.56 Specificity 1.00					
Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 0.10 Specificity 1.00					
Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00					
Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) - neuroborreliosis					

Reference	Hansen 1991 ³⁷
	<p>Sensitivity 0.37 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.84 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – EM <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.35 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – EM <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.22 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.77 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – EM 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 0.23 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 0.17 Specificity 1.00</p>

Reference	Hansen 1991 ³⁷
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – EM 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p>
Source of funding	University of Copenhagen, Danish Medical Research Council and Thorvald Madsen's Legat and Dakopatts
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard, flow and timing Indirectness: none
Comments	Healthy controls used in the analysis

Reference	Hansen 1991a ³⁶
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	<p>Data source: patients hospitalised with neuroborreliosis</p> <p>Recruitment: not reported</p>
Number of patients	n = 100 cases, 35 controls
Patient characteristics	<p>Age, mean (SD): not reported</p> <p>Gender (male to female ratio): not reported</p> <p>Family origin: not reported</p> <p>Setting: not reported</p>

Reference	Hansen 1991a ³⁶				
	Country: Denmark				
	Cases: patients hospitalised with neuroborreliosis – second stage lymphocytic meningoradiculitis (91), third stage chronic progressive encephalomyelitis (9)				
	Controls: multiple sclerosis (17), Guillian-Barre syndrome (8), neurosyphilis (4), ACA (6)				
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) ELISA (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks ELISA (IgM) CSF
	Index test +	50	0	50	
	Index test -	20	29	49	
	Total	70	29	99	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	43	0	43	
	Index test -	27	29	56	
	Total	70	29	99	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks ELISA (IgG)
	Index test +	59	2	61	

Reference	Hansen 1991a ³⁶				
	Index test -	11	27	38	CSF
	Total	70	29	99	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	54	1	55	
	Index test -	16	28	44	
	Total	70	29	99	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months ELISA (IgM) CSF
	Index test +	16	0	16	
	Index test -	3	29	32	
	Total	19	29	48	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	11	0	11	
	Index test -	8	29	37	
	Total	19	29	48	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months ELISA (IgG) CSF
	Index test +	19	2	21	
	Index test -	0	27	27	
	Total	19	29	48	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	19	1	20	
	Index test -	0	28	28	
	Total	19	29	48	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis >6 months

Reference	Hansen 1991a ³⁶				
	Index test +	1	0	1	ELISA (IgM) CSF
	Index test -	10	29	39	
	Total	11	29	40	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis >6 months ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	2	0	2	
	Index test -	9	29	38	
	Total	11	29	40	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis > 6 months ELISA (IgG) CSF
	Index test +	11	2	13	
	Index test -	0	27	27	
	Total	11	29	40	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis > 6 months ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	11	1	12	
	Index test -	0	28	28	
	Total	11	29	40	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM (CSF) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.71 Specificity 1.00 Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.61 Specificity 1.00 Index test: ELISA IgG (CSF) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.84 Specificity 0.93				

Reference	Hansen 1991a ³⁶
	Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.77 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgM (CSF) – neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 0.84 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 0.58 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgG (CSF) – neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.93
	Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgM (CSF) – neuroborreliosis >6 months Sensitivity 0.09 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – neuroborreliosis >6 months Sensitivity 0.18 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgG (CSF) – neuroborreliosis >6 months Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.93

Reference	Hansen 1991a ³⁶
	Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis >6 months Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.97
Source of funding	University of Copenhagen, Danish Medical Research Council and Thorvald Madsen's Legat and Dakopatts
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	ACA controls not included in analysis

Reference	Hernandez-Novoa 2003 ⁴¹
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients diagnosed with Lyme disease from 2 hospitals Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 42 cases, 129 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: 2 hospitals Country: Spain Cases: patients diagnosed with Lyme disease from 2 hospitals – localised early stage (EM 24), disseminated early stage (EM 7,

Reference	Hernandez-Novoa 2003 ⁴¹				
	neuroborreliosis 11)				
	Controls: healthy controls (53), patients with other infectious diseases (76)				
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) ELISA (IgG) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (CDC criteria) Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (localised) ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	9	7	16	
	Index test -	15	122	137	
	Total	24	129	153	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (localised) ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	5	55	60	
	Index test -	19	74	93	
	Total	24	129	153	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (localised) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	12	0	12	
	Index test -	12	0	12	
	Total	24	0	24	

Reference	Hernandez-Novoa 2003 ⁴¹				
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified disseminated Lyme disease ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	12	7	19	
	Index test -	6	122	128	
	Total	18	129	147	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified disseminated Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	4	55	59	
	Index test -	14	74	88	
	Total	18	129	147	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified disseminated Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	14	0	14	
	Index test -	4	0	4	
	Total	18	0	18	
Statistical measures	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – EM (localised) Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 0.95</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – EM (localised) Sensitivity 0.21 Specificity 0.57</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – EM (localised) Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity not estimable</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – unspecified disseminated Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.67 Specificity 0.95</p>				

Reference	Hernandez-Novoa 2003 ⁴¹
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – unspecified disseminated Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.22 Specificity 0.57</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – unspecified disseminated Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.78 Specificity not estimable</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Hunfeld 2002 ⁴²
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	<p>Data source: patients diagnosed with Lyme disease by experienced physicians, blood donors, patients with other infectious or autoimmune diseases</p> <p>Recruitment: not reported</p>
Number of patients	n = 226 cases, 1382 controls
Patient characteristics	<p>Age, mean (SD): not reported</p> <p>Gender (male to female ratio): not reported</p> <p>Family origin: not reported</p> <p>Setting: not reported</p>

Reference	Hunfeld 2002 ⁴²				
	<p>Country: Germany</p> <p>Cases: patients diagnosed with Lyme disease - EM (148), neuroborreliosis (35), ACA and Lyme arthritis (43)</p> <p>Controls: randomly chosen healthy blood-donor sera from individuals without a known history of tick bite or any clinical manifestation of Lyme disease in their medical history (1107), patients with other spirochaetal infections, infections other than Lyme disease or autoimmune diseases (275)</p>				
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease				
Index test(s) and reference standard	<p>Index test(s)</p> <p>ELISA (IgM)</p> <p>ELISA (IgG)</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG)</p> <p>Reference standard</p> <p>Clinical diagnosis</p> <p>Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported</p>				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	91	87	178	
	Index test -	57	1020	1077	
	Total	148	1107	1255	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	33	60	93	
	Index test -	115	1047	1162	
	Total	148	1107	1255	
		Reference standard	Reference standard -	Total	EM

Reference	Hunfeld 2002 ⁴²				
		+			ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	100	0	100	
	Index test -	48	0	48	
	Total	148	0	148	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	26	87	113	
	Index test -	9	1020	1029	
	Total	35	1107	1142	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	18	60	78	
	Index test -	17	1047	1064	
	Total	35	1107	1142	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	30	0	30	
	Index test -	5	0	5	
	Total	35	0	35	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified (chronic) Lyme disease ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	4	87	91	
	Index test -	39	1020	1059	
	Total	43	1107	1150	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified (chronic) Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	40	60	100	
	Index test -	3	1047	1050	
	Total	43	1107	1150	

Reference	Hunfeld 2002 ⁴²				
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified (chronic) Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	41	0	41	
	Index test -	2	0	2	
	Total	43	0	43	
Statistical measures	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.61 Specificity 0.92</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.22 Specificity 0.95</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.68 Specificity not estimable</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.74 Specificity 0.92</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.51 Specificity 0.95</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity not estimable</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – unspecified (chronic) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.09</p>				

Reference	Hunfeld 2002 ⁴²
	<p>Specificity 0.92</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) - unspecified (chronic) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.93 Specificity 0.95</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) - unspecified (chronic) Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.95 Specificity not estimable</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	<p>Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard</p> <p>Indirectness: none</p>
Comments	Healthy controls used in the analysis

Reference	Jaulhac 1996 ⁴³
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients with clinically evident Lyme arthritis Recruitment: cases consecutive, controls not reported
Number of patients	n = 12 cases, 29 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (range): cases 44 years (7-71), controls not reported Gender (male to female ratio): cases 10:2, controls not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: France Cases: patients with clinically evident Lyme arthritis (typical case defined as fulfilling the CDC criteria or a case of objective joint swelling in 1 or a few large joints following a recent and well-documented EM), all patients had been bitten by ticks and had a previous history of EM Controls: patients with rheumatoid arthritis (11), osteoarthritis (11), septic arthritis (3), psoriatic arthritis (3), Sjögren's syndrome (1)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) PCR Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (CDC criteria or objective joint swelling in 1 or a few large joints following a recent and well-documented EM) Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Jaulhac 1996 ⁴³				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis PCR Synovial fluid
	Index test +	5	0	5	
	Index test -	7	29	36	
	Total	12	29	41	
Statistical measures	Index test: PCR (synovial fluid) – arthritis Sensitivity 0.42 Specificity 1.00				
Source of funding	National Institute of Health and Medical Research and the Medical School of Strasbourg				
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none				

Reference	Johnson 1996 ⁴⁴
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples contributed by physicians with extensive experience in the clinical diagnosis of Lyme disease, healthy blood donors, patients with other illnesses Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 111 cases, 224 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported

Reference	Johnson 1996 ⁴⁴				
	Setting: not reported Country: USA Cases: EM (58), early neurologic (3), Lyme arthritis (36), late neurologic (14) Controls: healthy blood donors (113), autoimmune disorders, leptospirosis, periodontitis, relapsing fever, syphilis, tularemia and other illnesses (111)				
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	27	5	32	
	Index test -	31	108	139	
	Total	58	113	171	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	16	5	21	
	Index test -	1	108	109	
	Total	17	113	130	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis ELISA (IgM/IgG)
Index test +	32	5	37		

Reference	Johnson 1996 ⁴⁴				
	Index test -	4	108	112	Serum
	Total	36	113	149	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	75	5	80	
	Index test -	36	108	144	
	Total	111	113	224	
Statistical measures	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.47 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.94 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 0.89 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.68 Specificity 0.96</p>				
Source of funding	Not reported				
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, reference standard Indirectness: none				
Comments	Healthy controls used in the analysis				

Reference	Jovicic 2003 ⁴⁵
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients with Lyme disease, blood donors from endemic and non-endemic areas, patients with other conditions Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 94 cases, 120 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Serbia Cases: patients diagnosed with Lyme disease - EM (40), Lyme carditis (4), neuroborreliosis or Lyme arthritis (50) Controls: healthy blood donors with no history of disease from an endemic area (50) and a non-endemic area (30), patients with syphilis, rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus (40)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Immunofluorescence assay (IgM/IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Jovicic 2003 ⁴⁵				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported (EM - 31 samples collected 2-6 weeks, 9 samples collected 2-6 months after tick bite)				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	63	8	71	
	Index test -	31	112	143	
	Total	94	120	214	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	87	5	92	
	Index test -	7	115	122	
	Total	94	120	214	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease Immunofluorescence assay (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	34	13	47	
	Index test -	60	107	167	
	Total	94	120	214	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) - unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.67 Specificity 0.93				
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) - unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.93 Specificity 0.96				
	Index test: Immunofluorescence assay IgM/IgG (serum) - unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.36 Specificity 0.89				

Reference	Jovicic 2003⁴⁵
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Kaiser 1998⁴⁶
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients with neuroborreliosis admitted to the Department of Neurology and patients with neurosyphilis Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 67 cases, 14 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: Department of Neurology, Department of Immunology Country: Germany Cases: patients with neuroborreliosis – acute duration of symptoms ≤6 months (52), chronic duration of symptoms >6 months (15) Controls: patients with neurosyphilis
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM)

Reference	Kaiser 1998 ⁴⁶				
standard	ELISA (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (based on clinical symptoms, lymphocytic pleocytosis in CSF and assessment of specific IgM and/or IgG antibodies in serum) Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA IgM recombinant CSF
	Index test +	28	0	28	
	Index test -	39	14	53	
	Total	67	14	81	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA IgM recombinant Serum
	Index test +	53	0	53	
	Index test -	14	14	28	
	Total	67	14	81	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA IgM sonicated CSF
	Index test +	5	0	5	
	Index test -	62	14	76	
	Total	67	14	81	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA IgM sonicated Serum
	Index test +	29	0	29	
	Index test -	38	14	52	
	Total	67	14	81	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis	

Reference	Kaiser 1998 ⁴⁶				
	Index test +	39	0	39	ELISA IgG recombinant CSF
	Index test -	28	14	42	
	Total	67	14	81	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA IgG recombinant Serum
	Index test +	43	0	43	
	Index test -	24	14	38	
	Total	67	14	81	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA IgG sonicated CSF
	Index test +	21	10	31	
	Index test -	46	4	50	
	Total	67	14	81	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA IgG sonicated Serum
	Index test +	62	10	72	
Index test -	5	4	9		
Total	67	14	81		
Statistical measures	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM recombinant (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.42 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM recombinant (CSF) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.79 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM sonicated (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.07 Specificity 1.00</p>				

Reference	Kaiser 1998 ⁴⁶
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM sonicated (CSF) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.43 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG recombinant (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.58 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG recombinant (CSF) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.64 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG sonicated (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.31 Specificity 0.29</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG sonicated (CSF) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.93 Specificity 0.29</p>
Source of funding	Federal Ministry of Education and Research
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	Leukocytes pro microliter in CSF (median): Acute neuroborreliosis: 246 (7-600) Chronic neuroborreliosis: 60 (10-135)

Reference	Kaiser 1999 ⁴⁷
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients with neuroborreliosis admitted to the Department of Neurology, healthy persons from an endemic area positive in routine serological tests, patients with other diseases Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 96 cases, 120 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: Department of Neurology, Department of Immunology Country: Germany Cases: patients with neuroborreliosis – acute duration of symptoms ≤6 months (81), chronic duration of symptoms >6 months (15) Controls: people living in an endemic area who were found to be positive in routine serological tests (80), patients with neurosyphilis (20), patients with Epstein-Barr virus (20)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) ELISA (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Kaiser 1999 ⁴⁷				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) recombinant Serum
	Index test +	81	6	87	
	Index test -	15	74	89	
	Total	96	80	176	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) whole-cell Serum
	Index test +	51	8	59	
	Index test -	45	72	117	
	Total	96	80	176	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG) recombinant Serum
	Index test +	77	14	91	
	Index test -	19	66	85	
	Total	96	80	176	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG) whole-cell Serum
	Index test +	75	80	155	
	Index test -	21	0	21	
	Total	96	80	176	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 months ELISA (IgM) Serum	
Index test +	49	8	57		
Index test -	32	72	104		
Total	81	80	161		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 months	

Reference	Kaiser 1999 ⁴⁷				
	Index test +	35	26	61	ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test -	46	54	100	
	Total	81	80	161	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis >6 months ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	2	8	10	
	Index test -	13	72	85	
	Total	15	80	95	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis >6 months ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	15	26	41	
	Index test -	0	54	54	
	Total	15	80	95	
	Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM recombinant (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.84 Specificity 0.93			
Index test: ELISA IgM whole-cell (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.53 Specificity 0.90					
Index test: ELISA IgG recombinant (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 0.82					
Index test: ELISA IgG whole-cell (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.78 Specificity 0.00					
Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 months					

Reference	Kaiser 1999 ⁴⁷
	<p>Sensitivity 0.60 Specificity 0.90</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 months Sensitivity 0.43 Specificity 0.68</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – neuroborreliosis >6 months Sensitivity 0.13 Specificity 0.90</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis > 6months Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.68</p>
Source of funding	Federal Ministry of Education and Research
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	Leukocytes pro microliter in CSF (median): acute neuroborreliosis: 172 (7-600), chronic neuroborreliosis: 60 (10-135) Total protein in CSF mg/L (median): acute neuroborreliosis: 1300 (460-3600), chronic neuroborreliosis: 2700 (500-7500)

Reference	Karlsson 1989 ⁴⁹
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients with neuroborreliosis and patients with meningitis/encephalitis Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 68 cases, 44 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, median (range): cases 46 years (6-73), controls 34 years (14-79) Gender (male to female ratio): cases 27:41, controls 21:23 Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Sweden Cases: patients with neuroborreliosis (fulfilling at least one of the following criteria: pleocytosis with a predominance of lymphocytes in the CSF together with neurological signs and symptoms and/or general symptoms compatible with neuroborreliosis, or neurological signs and symptoms following EM within 3 months) Controls: patients with meningitis/encephalitis of non-borrelia etiology (44)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) ELISA (IgG) ELISA (IgM/IgG) Immunoblot (IgM) Immunoblot (IgG) Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)

Reference	Karlsson 1989 ⁴⁹				
	Reference standard Clinical diagnosis				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) CSF
	Index test +	39	1	40	
	Index test -	29	43	72	
	Total	68	44	112	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	23	1	24	
	Index test -	45	43	88	
	Total	68	44	112	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG) CSF
	Index test +	39	2	41	
	Index test -	29	42	71	
	Total	68	44	112	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	26	3	29	
	Index test -	42	41	83	
	Total	68	44	112	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG) CSF
	Index test +	44	0	44	
	Index test -	24	0	24	

Reference	Karlsson 1989 ⁴⁹				
	Total	68	0	68	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	40	4	44	
	Index test -	28	40	68	
	Total	68	44	112	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
	Index test +	46	5	51	
	Index test -	22	39	61	
	Total	68	44	112	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	44	5	49	
	Index test -	24	39	63	
	Total	68	44	112	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
	Index test +	53	8	61	
	Index test -	15	36	51	
	Total	68	44	112	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	20	1	21	
	Index test -	35	43	78	
	Total	55	44	99	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
	Index test +	15	3	18	

Reference	Karlsson 1989 ⁴⁹				
	Index test -	40	41	81	Serum
	Total	55	44	99	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	28	4	32	
	Index test -	27	40	67	
	Total	55	44	99	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Immunoblot (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	37	5	42	
	Index test -	18	39	57	
	Total	55	44	99	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	32	5	37	
	Index test -	23	39	62	
	Total	55	44	99	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	41	8	49	
	Index test -	14	36	50	
	Total	55	44	99	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis >6 weeks ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	3	1	4	
	Index test -	10	43	53	
	Total	13	44	57	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis >6 weeks

Reference	Karlsson 1989 ⁴⁹				
	Index test +	11	3	14	ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test -	2	41	43	
	Total	13	44	57	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis >6 weeks ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	12	4	16	
	Index test -	1	40	41	
	Total	13	44	57	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis >6 weeks Immunoblot (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	9	5	14	
	Index test -	4	39	43	
	Total	13	44	57	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis >6 weeks Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	12	5	17	
	Index test -	1	39	40	
	Total	13	44	57	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis >6 weeks Immunoblot (IgM/G) Serum
	Index test +	12	8	20	
	Index test -	1	36	37	
	Total	13	44	57	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM (CSF) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.57 Specificity 0.98				
	Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.34				

Reference	Karlsson 1989 ⁴⁹
	Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA IgG (CSF) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.57 Specificity 0.95
	Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 0.93
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (CSF) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.65 Specificity not estimable
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.59 Specificity 0.91
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.68 Specificity 0.89
	Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.65 Specificity 0.89
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.78 Specificity 0.82
	Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks

Reference	Karlsson 1989 ⁴⁹
	Sensitivity 0.36 Specificity 0.98
	Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.27 Specificity 0.93
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.51 Specificity 0.91
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.67 Specificity 0.89
	Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.58 Specificity 0.89
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.75 Specificity 0.82
	Index test: ELISA IgM (serum) – neuroborreliosis >6 weeks Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis >6 weeks Sensitivity 0.85 Specificity 0.93

Reference	Karlsson 1989 ⁴⁹
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis >6 weeks Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 0.91</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM (serum) – neuroborreliosis >6 weeks Sensitivity 0.69 Specificity 0.89</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis >6 weeks Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 0.89</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis >6 weeks Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 0.82</p>
Source of funding	Swedish Medical Research Council
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Karlsson 1989a ⁴⁸
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients with Lyme disease and patients with other illnesses Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 77 cases, 73 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Sweden Cases: patients with Lyme disease - EM (30), neuroborreliosis defined as pleocytosis with lymphocytic predominance in CSF together with neurological signs and symptoms and/or general symptoms compatible with neuroborreliosis, or neurological signs and symptoms within 3 months after onset of EM (37), ACA confirmed by histology (10) Controls: patients with meningitis/encephalitis of non-borrelia aetiology (35), multiple sclerosis (8), syphilis (10), Epstein-Barr virus infection (10), rheumatoid factor positive arthritis (10)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Karlsson 1989a ⁴⁸				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported (sera drawn median 3 weeks range a few days – 2 months after onset of EM, median 3 weeks range a few days – 11 months after onset of neurological symptoms and median 2 years range 6 months – 20 years after onset of symptoms for ACA)				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	EM ELISA (IgM) capture Serum
	Index test +	10	2	12	
	Index test –	20	71	91	
	Total	30	73	103	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	EM ELISA (IgM) indirect Serum
	Index test +	8	7	15	
	Index test –	22	66	88	
	Total	30	73	103	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM) capture Serum
	Index test +	0	2	2	
	Index test –	10	71	81	
	Total	10	73	83	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM) indirect Serum
	Index test +	3	7	10	
	Index test –	7	66	73	
	Total	10	73	83	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) capture Serum	
Index test +	20	2	22		
Index test –	17	71	88		
Total	37	73	110		
	Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total	Neuroborreliosis	

Reference	Karlsson 1989a ⁴⁸				
		+			ELISA (IgM) indirect Serum
	Index test +	14	7	21	
	Index test -	23	66	89	
	Total	37	73	110	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (IgM) capture Serum
	Index test +	30	2	32	
	Index test -	47	71	118	
	Total	77	73	150	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (IgM) indirect Serum
	Index test +	24	7	31	
	Index test -	53	66	119	
	Total	77	73	150	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM <6 weeks ELISA (IgM) capture Serum
	Index test +	8	2	10	
	Index test -	20	71	91	
	Total	28	73	101	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM <6 weeks ELISA (IgM) indirect Serum
	Index test +	7	7	14	
	Index test -	21	66	87	
	Total	28	73	101	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks ELISA (IgM) capture Serum
	Index test +	17	2	19	
	Index test -	10	71	81	
	Total	27	73	100	

Reference	Karlsson 1989a ⁴⁸				
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis <6 weeks ELISA (IgM) indirect Serum
	Index test +	12	7	19	
	Index test -	15	66	81	
	Total	27	73	100	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM 6 weeks – 6 months ELISA (IgM) capture Serum
	Index test +	2	2	4	
	Index test -	0	71	71	
	Total	2	73	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM 6 weeks – 6 months ELISA (IgM) indirect Serum
	Index test +	1	7	8	
	Index test -	1	66	67	
	Total	2	73	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months ELISA (IgM) capture Serum
	Index test +	3	2	5	
	Index test -	7	71	78	
	Total	10	73	83	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months ELISA (IgM) indirect Serum
	Index test +	2	7	9	
	Index test -	8	66	74	
	Total	10	73	83	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM capture (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.33 Specificity 0.97				
	Index test: ELISA IgM indirect (serum) - EM				

Reference	Karlsson 1989a ⁴⁸
	Sensitivity 0.27 Specificity 0.90
	Index test: ELISA IgM capture (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgM indirect (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 0.30 Specificity 0.90
	Index test: ELISA IgM capture (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.54 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgM indirect (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 0.90
	Index test: ELISA IgM capture (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.39 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgM indirect (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.31 Specificity 0.90
	Index test: ELISA IgM capture (serum) – EM <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.29 Specificity 0.97

Reference	Karlsson 1989a ⁴⁸
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM indirect (serum) – EM <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.25 Specificity 0.90</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM capture (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.63 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM indirect (serum) – neuroborreliosis <6 weeks Sensitivity 0.44 Specificity 0.90</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM capture (serum) – EM 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM indirect (serum) – EM 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity 0.90</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM capture (serum) – neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 0.30 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM indirect (serum) – neuroborreliosis 6 weeks – 6 months Sensitivity 0.20 Specificity 0.90</p>
Source of funding	'Förenade Liv' Mutual Group Life Insurance Company and Leila and Bertil Ehrengrens Memory foundation, Swedish Society for Medical Research
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard

Reference	Karlsson 1989a⁴⁸
	Indirectness: none

Reference	Klempner 2001⁵⁰
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 21
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: USA Cases: acute Lyme disease (n=21) Controls: healthy persons (n=10)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test WB/IB (IgG) - serum Reference standard

Reference	Klempner 2001 ⁵⁰				
	Clinical diagnosis based on CDC criteria				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease WB/IB (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	14	0	14	
	Index test -	7	10	17	
	Total	21	10	31	
Statistical measures	WB/IB (IgG) - serum Sensitivity 0.67 Specificity 1.00				
Source of funding	Not reported				
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none				

Reference	Lahey 2015 ⁵²
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 84
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: USA Cases: early Lyme disease (n=79), late Lyme disease (n=5) Controls: healthy controls (n=26)
Target condition(s)	EM, Lyme arthritis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Lahey 2015 ⁵²				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	41	1	42	
	Index test -	38	25	63	
	Total	79	26	105	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	LA ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	5	1	6	
	Index test -	0	25	25	
	Total	5	26	31	
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.52 Specificity 0.96 ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.96				
Source of funding	Supported by government grants, authors supported by industry				
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none				

Reference	Lange 1992 ⁵³
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 36
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Germany Cases: EM Controls: Blood donors (n=100)
Target condition(s)	EM
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests WB/IB (IgM) – serum ELISA (IgM, flagellum) – serum ELISA (IgM, sonicated) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Lange 1992 ⁵³				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM, flagellum) Serum
	Index test +	12	6	18	
	Index test -	24	94	118	
	Total	36	100	136	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM, sonicated) Serum
	Index test +	10	4	14	
	Index test -	26	96	122	
	Total	36	100	136	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM WB/IB (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	29	0	29	
	Index test -	7	100	107	
	Total	36	100	136	
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM, flagellum) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.33 Specificity 0.94				
	ELISA (IgM, sonicated) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.28 Specificity 0.96				
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (EM)				

Reference	Lange 1992 ⁵³
	Sensitivity 0.81 Specificity 1.00
Source of funding	Supported by government grants
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Lawrenz 199 ⁵⁴
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 81
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: multiple laboratories Country: USA Cases: EM (n=41), Acute Neuroborreliosis (n=17), Lyme arthritis (n=23) Controls: none Lyme (n=50)
Target condition(s)	EM, Neuroborreliosis, Lyme arthritis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG, recombinant) – serum ELISA (IgM/IgG, whole cell) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (EM patients were culture confirmed) Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Lawrenz 199 ⁵⁴				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG, recombinant) Serum
	Index test +	26	1	27	
	Index test -	15	49	64	
	Total	41	50	91	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG, recombinant) Serum
	Index test +	17	1	18	
	Index test -	0	49	49	
	Total	17	50	67	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	LA ELISA (IgM/IgG, recombinant) Serum
	Index test +	20	1	21	
	Index test -	3	49	52	
	Total	23	50	73	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG, whole cell) Serum
	Index test +	25	3	28	
	Index test -	16	47	63	
	Total	41	50	91	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG, whole cell) Serum
	Index test +	17	3	20	
	Index test -	0	47	47	
	Total	17	50	67	

Reference	Lawrenz 199 ⁵⁴				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	LA ELISA (IgM/IgG, whole cell) Serum
	Index test +	22	3	25	
	Index test -	1	47	48	
	Total	23	50	73	
Statistical measures	<p>ELISA (IgM/IgGm, recombinant) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.63 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG, recombinant) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG, recombinant) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.87 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgGm, whole cell) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.61 Specificity 0.94</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG, whole cell) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.94</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG, whole cell) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.96 Specificity 0.94</p>				

Reference	Lawrenz 199⁵⁴
Source of funding	Supported by government grants
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Lebech 1992 ⁵⁶
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: urine and CSF samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 10
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: 41.5 years (SD 24) Gender (male to female ratio): 5/5 Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Denmark Cases: Neuroborreliosis (n=10) Controls: Healthy controls (n=25), Urinary tract infections (n=10), Multiple sclerosis (n=5), Central nervous system infections (n=10)
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests PCR – urine PCR - CSF Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Lebech 1992 ⁵⁶				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis PCR Urine
	Index test +	9	0	9	
	Index test -	1	35	36	
	Total	10	35	45	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis PCR CSF
	Index test +	2	0	2	
	Index test -	8	15	23	
	Total	10	15	25	
Statistical measures	PCR – urine Sensitivity 0.90 Specificity 1.00 PCR – CSF Sensitivity 0.20 Specificity 1.00				
Source of funding	Supported by government and industry grants				
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none				

Reference	Lebech 1998 ⁵⁵
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: CSF samples from patients Recruitment: consecutive patients
Number of patients	n = 150
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: 46 years (5-87) Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Denmark Cases: early Neuroborreliosis (n=148), chronic Neuroborreliosis (n=2) Controls: Other neurologic diseases without clinical suspicion of Lyme disease (n=70)
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests PCR - CSF Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Lebech 1998 ⁵⁵				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis PCR CSF
	Index test +	31	1	32	
	Index test -	119	69	188	
	Total	150	70	220	
Statistical measures	PCR – CSF Sensitivity 0.21 Specificity 0.99				
Source of funding	Supported by government and industry grants				
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: serious				

Reference	Lebech 2000 ⁵⁷
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: skin and CSF samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 61
Patient characteristics	Age, median: EM: 51 years (18-91) Lyme neuroborreliosis: 47 years (2-79) Gender (male to female ratio): 39/22 Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Denmark Cases: EM (n=31), Neuroborreliosis (n=30) Controls: Healthy controls (n=7), Other neurological diseases (n=20), High-dose antibiotic treatment for other infectious diseases (n=6)
Target condition(s)	EM, Neuroborreliosis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests PCR – CSF PCR – skin Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Lebech 2000 ⁵⁷				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM PCR Skin
	Index test +	22	0	22	
	Index test -	9	38	47	
	Total	31	38	69	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis PCR CSF
	Index test +	5	0	5	
	Index test -	25	20	45	
	Total	30	20	50	
Statistical measures	PCR – skin Sensitivity 0.71 Specificity 1.00 PCR – CSF Sensitivity 0.17 Specificity 1.00				
Source of funding	None declared				
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: serious (Lyme neuroborreliosis only)				

Reference	Ledue 2008 ⁵⁸
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 60
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: regional clinical laboratory Country: USA Cases: Early localised (EM, n=19), Early disseminated (Multiple EM, arthritis, arthralgia, abdominal pain, generalised lymphadenopathy, CNS involvement, n=41) Controls: Healthy donors (n=600), Other infectious diseases (n=196), LYMErix vaccine (n=11)
Target condition(s)	EM, Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum CLIA (IgM/IgG) - serum Reference standard Culture

Reference	Ledue 2008 ⁵⁸				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	11	17	28	
	Index test -	8	790	798	
	Total	19	807	826	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM CLIA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	13	16	29	
	Index test -	6	791	798	
	Total	19	807	826	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early disseminated Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	33	17	50	
	Index test -	8	790	798	
	Total	41	807	848	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early disseminated Lyme disease CLIA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	31	16	47	
	Index test -	10	791	801	
	Total	41	807	848	
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.58 Specificity 0.98				

Reference	Ledue 2008 ⁵⁸
	<p>CLIA (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.68 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (early disseminated) Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>CLIA (IgM/IgG) – serum (early disseminated) Sensitivity 0.76 Specificity 0.98</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	<p>Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none</p>

Reference	Lencakova 2008 ⁵⁹
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients before treatment Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 74
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: local hospital Country: Slovakia Cases: Skin manifestations (n=54), Lyme neuroborreliosis (n=7), LA (n=13) Controls: Healthy persons (n=40), Rheumatoid factor (n=10), Fever (n=10)
Target condition(s)	EM, Neuroborreliosis, Lyme arthritis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum ELISA (IgM) – serum ELISA (IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgM) – serum WB/IB (IgG) – serum IFA (IgM/IgG) – serum

Reference	Lencakova 2008 ⁵⁹				
	IFA (IgM) – serum IFA (IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	EM ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	34	1	35	
	Index test –	20	59	79	
	Total	54	60	114	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	EM ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	23	1	24	
	Index test –	31	59	90	
	Total	54	60	114	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	48	2	50	
	Index test –	6	58	64	
	Total	54	60	114	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	EM WB/IB (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	33	1	34	
	Index test –	21	59	80	

Reference	Lencakova 2008 ⁵⁹				
	Total	54	60	114	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM WB/IB (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	29	0	29	
	Index test -	25	60	85	
	Total	54	60	114	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM WB/IB (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	50	1	51	
	Index test -	4	59	63	
	Total	54	60	114	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM IFA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	20	1	21	
	Index test -	34	59	93	
	Total	54	60	114	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM IFA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	24	1	25	
	Index test -	30	59	89	
	Total	54	60	114	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM IFA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	36	1	37	
	Index test -	18	59	77	

Reference	Lencakova 2008 ⁵⁹				
	Total	54	60	114	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	3	1	4	
	Index test -	4	59	63	
	Total	7	60	67	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	4	1	5	
	Index test -	3	59	62	
	Total	7	60	67	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	7	2	9	
	Index test -	0	58	58	
	Total	7	60	67	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis WB/IB (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	2	1	3	
	Index test -	5	59	64	
	Total	7	60	67	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis WB/IB (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	4	0	4	
	Index test -	3	60	63	

Reference	Lencakova 2008 ⁵⁹				
	Total	7	60	67	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis WB/IB (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	6	1	7	
	Index test -	1	59	60	
	Total	7	60	67	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis IFA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	1	1	2	
	Index test -	6	59	65	
	Total	7	60	67	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis IFA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	1	1	2	
	Index test -	6	59	65	
	Total	7	60	67	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis IFA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	2	1	3	
	Index test -	5	59	64	
	Total	7	60	67	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	LA ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	0	1	1	
	Index test -	13	59	72	

Reference	Lencakova 2008 ⁵⁹				
	Total	13	60	73	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	LA ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	12	1	13	
	Index test -	1	59	60	
	Total	13	60	73	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	LA ELISA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	12	2	14	
	Index test -	1	59	59	
	Total	13	61	73	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	LA WB/IB (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	0	1	1	
	Index test -	13	59	72	
	Total	13	60	73	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	LA WB/IB (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	13	0	13	
	Index test -	0	60	60	
	Total	13	60	73	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	LA WB/IB (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	13	1	14	
	Index test -	0	59	59	

Reference	Lencakova 2008 ⁵⁹				
	Total	13	60	73	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	LA IFA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	0	1	1	
	Index test -	13	59	72	
	Total	13	60	73	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	LA IFA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	10	1	11	
	Index test -	3	59	62	
	Total	13	60	73	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	LA IFA (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	10	1	11	
	Index test -	3	59	62	
	Total	13	60	73	
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.63 Specificity 0.98				
	ELISA (IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.43 Specificity 0.98				
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.89				

Reference	Lencakova 2008 ⁵⁹
	Specificity 0.97
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.61 Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.54 Specificity 1.00
	WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.93 Specificity 0.98
	IFA (IgM) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.37 Specificity 0.98
	IFA (IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.44 Specificity 0.98
	IFA (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.67 Specificity 0.98
	ELISA (IgM) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.43 Specificity 0.98
	ELISA (IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis)

Reference	Lencakova 2008 ⁵⁹
	Sensitivity 0.57 Specificity 0.98
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.97
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.29 Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.57 Specificity 1.00
	WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity 0.98
	IFA (IgM) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.14 Specificity 0.98
	IFA (IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.14 Specificity 0.98
	IFA (IgM/IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.29 Specificity 0.98

Reference	Lencakova 2008 ⁵⁹
	ELISA (IgM) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.98
	ELISA (IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 0.98
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 0.97
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00
	WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.98
	IFA (IgM) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.98
	IFA (IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.77 Specificity 0.98

Reference	Lencakova 2008 ⁵⁹
	IFA (IgM/IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.77 Specificity 0.98
Source of funding	Supported by government and industry grants
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Leung 1989 ⁶⁰
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 10
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: USA Cases: Lyme disease Controls: Syphilis (n=14), Infectious mononucleosis (n=4), Rheumatoid factor (n=11)
Target condition(s)	EM, Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Leung 1989 ⁶⁰				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG, colorimetric) Serum
	Index test +	7	16	23	
	Index test -	3	13	16	
	Total	10	29	39	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG, sonicated) Serum
	Index test +	9	7	16	
	Index test -	1	22	23	
	Total	10	29	39	
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM/IgG, colorimetric) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.70 Specificity 0.45 ELISA (IgM/IgG, sonicated) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.90 Specificity 0.76				
Source of funding	Not reported				
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none				

Reference	Liebling 1993 ⁶¹
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum, CSF, synovial fluid and urine samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 44
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: university hospital Country: USA Cases: Lyme disease Controls: Other inflammatory, autoimmune or infectious diseases (n=47)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests PCR (serum) PCR (urine) PCR (CSF) PCR (synovial fluid) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Liebling 1993 ⁶¹				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme disease PCR Serum
	Index test +	13	0	13	
	Index test -	9	6	15	
	Total	22	6	28	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme disease PCR CSF
	Index test +	13	1	14	
	Index test -	0	14	14	
	Total	13	15	28	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme disease PCR Urine
	Index test +	3	1	4	
	Index test -	0	12	12	
	Total	3	13	16	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme disease PCR SF
	Index test +	4	0	4	
	Index test -	1	22	23	
	Total	5	22	27	
Statistical measures	PCR – serum Sensitivity 0.59 Specificity 1.00				

Reference	Liebling 1993 ⁶¹
	PCR – CSF Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.93 PCR – urine Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.92 PCR – SF Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 1.00
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Liu 2013 ⁶³
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 159
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: regional clinical laboratory Country: China Cases: EM (n=52), Neuroborreliosis (n=65), ACA (n=28), Lyme arthritis (n=14) Controls (n=292): Healthy blood donors (n=105), Syphilis (n=58), Leptospirosis (n=75), RA (n=54)
Target condition(s)	EM, Neuroborreliosis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM) – serum ELISA (IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgM) – serum WB/IB (IgG) - serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Liu 2013 ⁶³			
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	30	58	88
	Index test –	22	234	256
	Total	52	292	344
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	41	67	108
	Index test –	11	225	236
	Total	52	292	344
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	24	17	41
	Index test –	28	275	303
	Total	52	292	344
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	35	5	40
	Index test –	17	287	304
	Total	52	292	344
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	40	58	98
	Index test –	25	234	259

Reference	Liu 2013 ⁶³			
s	Total	65	292	357
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	55	67	122
	Index test –	10	225	235
	Total	65	292	357
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	32	17	49
	Index test –	33	275	308
	Total	65	292	357
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	45	5	50
	Index test –	20	287	307
	Total	65	292	357
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.58 Specificity 0.80 ELISA (IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.79 Specificity 0.77 WB/IB (IgM) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.46			

Reference	Liu 2013 ⁶³
	<p>Specificity 0.94</p> <p>WB/IB (IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.67 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>ELISA (IgM) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.62 Specificity 0.80</p> <p>ELISA (IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.85 Specificity 0.77</p> <p>WB/IB (IgM) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.49 Specificity 0.94</p> <p>WB/IB (IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.69 Specificity 0.98</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Magnarelli 1988 ⁶⁵
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: not reported Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 102
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: USA Cases: EM plus later manifestations Controls: Syphilis (n=15), yaws (n=8), louse-borne relapsing fever (n=11), tick-borne relapsing fever (n=8), leptospirosis (n=12), Rocky Mountain spotted fever (n=16), RA (=7)
Target condition(s)	EM plus later manifestations
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM) - serum ELISA (IgG) - serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Magnarelli 1988 ⁶⁵			
2x2 table [ELISA IgM – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	86	32	118
	Index test –	16	45	61
	Total	102	77	179
2x2 table [ELISA IgG – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	73	17	90
	Index test –	22	60	82
	Total	95	77	172
Statistical measures	ELISA IgM (serum) Sensitivity 0.84 Specificity 0.58 ELISA IgG serum) Sensitivity 0.77 Specificity 0.78			
Source of funding	Not reported			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Magnarelli 1992 ⁶⁶
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples previously used Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 53
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: tick-infested area Country: USA Cases: EM with antibodies (n=17), EM without antibodies (n=36) Controls: healthy persons (n=40)
Target condition(s)	EM with or without antibodies
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgG, biotin, recombinant) – serum ELISA (IgG, biotin, whole-cell) - serum ELISA (IgG, unabsorbed, recombinant) - serum ELISA (IgG, unabsorbed, whole-cell) - serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Magnarelli 1992 ⁶⁶			
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, biotin, recombinant) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	19	0	19
	Index test –	34	40	74
	Total	53	40	93
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, biotin, whole-cell) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	20	0	20
	Index test –	33	40	73
	Total	53	40	93
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, unabsorbed, recombinant) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	18	0	18
	Index test –	35	40	75
	Total	53	40	93
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, unabsorbed, whole-cell) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	17	0	17
	Index test –	36	40	76
	Total	53	40	93
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgG, biotin, recombinant) - serum Sensitivity 0.36 Specificity 1.00			

Reference	Magnarelli 1992 ⁶⁶
	<p>ELISA (IgG, biotin, whole-cell) - serum Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA (IgG, unabsorbed, recombinant) - serum Sensitivity 0.34 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA (IgG, unabsorbed, whole-cell) - serum Sensitivity 0.32 Specificity 1.00</p>
Source of funding	Supported by CDC grants and grants from the National Institutes of Health and the Mathers Foundation.
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Marangoni 2005 ⁶⁸
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 45
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (range): 42 years (29-65) Gender (male to female ratio): 31:14 Family origin: not reported Setting: endemic area Country: Italy Cases: EM Controls: healthy blood donors (n=234)
Target condition(s)	EM
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM, Enzygnost) – serum ELISA (IgG, Enzygnost) – serum ELISA (IgM/IgG, Enzygnost) – serum ELISA (IgM/IgG, Quick C6) – serum ELISA (IgM, RecomWell) – serum ELISA (IgG, RecomWell) - serum ELISA (IgM/IgG, RecomWell) - serum

Reference	Marangoni 2005 ⁶⁸			
	Reference standard Culture			
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, Enzygnost) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	67	9	76
	Index test –	28	225	253
	Total	95	234	329
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, Enzygnost) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	35	27	62
	Index test –	60	207	267
	Total	95	234	329
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG, Enzygnost) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	74	36	110
	Index test –	21	198	219
	Total	95	234	329
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG, Quick C6) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	59	8	67
	Index test –	36	226	262
	Total	95	234	329

Reference	Marangoni 2005 ⁶⁸			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, RecomWell) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	53	0	53
	Index test –	42	234	276
	Total	95	234	329
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, RecomWell) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	55	7	62
	Index test –	40	227	267
	Total	95	234	329
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG, RecomWell) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	70	7	77
	Index test –	25	227	252
	Total	95	234	329
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM, Enzygnost) - serum Sensitivity 0.71 Specificity 0.96			
	ELISA (IgG, Enzygnost) - serum Sensitivity 0.37 Specificity 0.88			
	ELISA (IgM/IgG, Enzygnost) - serum Sensitivity 0.78 Specificity 0.85			

Reference	Marangoni 2005 ⁶⁸
	<p>ELISA (IgM/IgG, Quick C6) - serum Sensitivity 0.62 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>ELISA (IgM, RecomWell) - serum Sensitivity 0.56 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA (IgG, RecomWell) - serum Sensitivity 0.58 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG, RecomWell) - serum Sensitivity 0.74 Specificity 0.97</p>
Source of funding	Supported by government grants
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Marangoni 2008 ⁶⁷
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 66
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: 45.3 years Gender (male to female ratio): 29:37 Family origin: not reported Setting: endemic area Country: Italy Cases: EM Controls: blood bank in Bologna (n=300)
Target condition(s)	EM
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM) – serum ELISA (IgG) – serum CLIA (IgM) – serum CLIA (IgG) - serum Reference standard Culture-confirmed EM

Reference	Marangoni 2008 ⁶⁷			
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	36	10	46
	Index test –	30	290	320
	Total	66	300	366
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	37	5	42
	Index test –	29	295	324
	Total	66	300	366
2x2 table [CLIA (IgM) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	16	19	35
	Index test –	50	281	331
	Total	66	300	366
2x2 table [CLIA (IgG) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	26	9	35
	Index test –	40	291	331
	Total	66	300	366
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM) - serum Sensitivity 0.55 Specificity 0.97			

Reference	Marangoni 2008 ⁶⁷
	ELISA (IgG) - serum Sensitivity 0.56 Specificity 0.98 CLIA (IgM) - serum Sensitivity 0.24 Specificity 0.94 CLIA (IgG) - serum Sensitivity 0.39 Specificity 0.97
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Mathiesen 1996 ⁶⁹
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 117
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: endemic area Country: Italy Cases: EM (n=47), Neuroborreliosis (n=60), ACA (n=20) Controls: blood donors (n=100) Disease duration (median): EM: 3 weeks (<1 week to 1 year) Lyme neuroborreliosis: 3 weeks (1 week to 1.5 years after onset of neurological symptoms) ACA: 4 years (8 months to 10 years)
Target condition(s)	EM, Neuroborreliosis, ACA
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM) – serum ELISA (IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgM) – serum

Reference	Mathiesen 1996 ⁶⁹			
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum			
	Reference standard Clinical diagnosis, Culture-confirmed EM			
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	19	1	20
	Index test –	28	99	127
	Total	47	100	147
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	14	0	14
	Index test –	33	100	133
	Total	47	100	147
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	17	1	18
	Index test –	30	99	129
	Total	47	100	147
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	12	4	16
	Index test –	35	96	131
	Total	47	100	147

Reference	Mathiesen 1996 ⁶⁹			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	3	1	4
	Index test –	17	99	116
	Total	20	100	120
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	20	0	20
	Index test –	0	100	100
	Total	20	100	120
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	2	1	3
	Index test –	18	99	117
	Total	20	100	120
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	1	4	5
	Index test –	19	96	115
	Total	20	100	120
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], ACA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	33	1	34
	Index test –	17	99	116
	Total	50	100	150

Reference	Mathiesen 1996 ⁶⁹			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], ACA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	21	0	21
	Index test –	29	100	129
	Total	50	100	150
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], ACA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	30	1	31
	Index test –	20	99	119
	Total	50	100	150
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], ACA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	23	4	27
	Index test –	27	96	123
	Total	50	100	150
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.40 Specificity 0.99 ELISA (IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.30 Specificity 1.00 WB/IB (IgM) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.36 Specificity 0.99			

Reference	Mathiesen 1996 ⁶⁹
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.26 Specificity 0.96
	ELISA (IgM) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.15 Specificity 0.99
	ELISA (IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.10 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.05 Specificity 0.96
	ELISA (IgM) – serum (ACA) Sensitivity 0.66 Specificity 0.99
	ELISA (IgG) – serum (ACA) Sensitivity 0.42 Specificity 1.00
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (ACA) Sensitivity 0.60

Reference	Mathiesen 1996 ⁶⁹
	Specificity 0.99 WB/IB (IgG) – serum (ACA) Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 0.96
Source of funding	Kit provided by external source
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Merljak Skocir 2008 ⁷⁰
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: patients diagnosed at outpatient clinic Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 50
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: outpatient clinic, medical university Country: Slovenia Cases: EM Controls: blood donors (n=50)
Target condition(s)	EM
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests WB/IB (IgM) – serum WB/IB (IgG) - serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Merljak Skocir 2008 ⁷⁰			
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	4	0	4
	Index test –	21	26	47
	Total	25	26	51
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	8	7	15
	Index test –	17	19	36
	Total	25	26	51
Statistical measures	WB/IB (IgM) - serum Sensitivity 0.16 Specificity 1.00 WB/IB (IgG) - serum Sensitivity 0.32 Specificity 0.73			
Source of funding	Not reported			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Mitchell 1994 ⁷¹
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 51
Patient characteristics	Age, range: 2-76 years Gender (male to female ratio): 32:19 Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: USA Cases: EM Controls: healthy persons (n=16)
Target condition(s)	EM
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum ELFA – serum IFA (IgM) – serum Reference standard Culture Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Mitchell 1994 ⁷¹			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], multiple EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	0	0	0
	Index test –	32	16	48
	Total	32	16	48
2x2 table [ELFA – serum], multiple EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	18	0	18
	Index test –	14	16	30
	Total	32	16	48
2x2 table [IFA (IgM) – serum], multiple EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	32	0	32
	Index test –	0	16	16
	Total	32	16	48
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], single EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	1	0	1
	Index test –	18	16	34
	Total	19	16	35
2x2 table [ELFA – serum], single EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	5	0	5
	Index test –	14	16	30
	Total	19	16	35

Reference	Mitchell 1994 ⁷¹			
2x2 table [IFA (IgM) – serum], single EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	8	0	8
	Index test –	11	16	27
	Total	19	16	35
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (multiple EM) Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 1.00			
	ELFA – serum (multiple EM) Sensitivity 0.56 Specificity 1.00			
	IFA (IgM) – serum (multiple EM) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00			
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (single EM) Sensitivity 0.05 Specificity 1.00			
	ELFA – serum (single EM) Sensitivity 0.26 Specificity 1.00			
	IFA (IgM) – serum (single EM) Sensitivity 0.42 Specificity 1.00			

Reference	Mitchell 1994⁷¹
Source of funding	Grants by the CDC and Marshfield Medical Foundation
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Molins 2014⁷⁵
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: not reported Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 124
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: USA Cases: Early Lyme disease with EM acute phase (n=40) Early Lyme disease with EM convalescent phase (n=38) Early disseminated Lyme carditis (n=7) Early disseminated Lyme neuroborreliosis (n=10) Late Lyme disease, LA (29) Controls: healthy persons (n=203)

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵			
	Standard CDC algorithm used for ELISA (IgM and IgG) + IB (IgM and IgG) – IgG used only after 1 month			
Target condition(s)	EM, Neuroborreliosis, Lyme carditis, Lyme arthritis, unspecified Lyme disease			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgM) – serum WB/IB (IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum PCR – blood and skin PCR – blood, skin and heart tissue PCR – synovial fluid Culture – blood and skin Culture – blood, skin and heart tissue Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], acute Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	27	14	41
	Index test –	13	189	202
	Total	40	203	243
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], acute Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	14	4	18
	Index test –	26	199	225

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵			
	Total	40	203	243
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], acute Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	8	2	10
	Index test –	32	201	233
	Total	40	203	243
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], acute Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	18	6	24
	Index test –	0	197	197
	Total	18	203	221
2x2 table [PCR – blood and skin], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	24	0	24
	Index test –	15	0	15
	Total	39	0	39
2x2 table [Culture – blood and skin], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	17	0	17
	Index test –	22	0	22
	Total	39	0	39
2x2 table [PCR – blood and skin], Lyme		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	2	0	2
	Index test –	6	0	6

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵			
neuroborreliosis	Total	8	0	8
2x2 table [Culture – blood and skin], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	2	0	2
	Index test –	4	0	4
	Total	6	0	6
2x2 table [PCR – blood, skin and heart], carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	2	0	2
	Index test –	5	0	5
	Total	7	0	7
2x2 table [Culture – blood, skin and heart], carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	0	0	0
	Index test –	4	0	4
	Total	4	0	4
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], conval Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	34	14	48
	Index test –	4	189	193
	Total	38	203	241
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], conval Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	20	4	24
	Index test –	18	199	217

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵			
	Total	38	203	241
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], conval Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	14	2	16
	Index test –	24	201	225
	Total	38	203	241
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], conval Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	27	6	33
	Index test –	22	197	219
	Total	49	203	252
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosi s		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	14	23
	Index test –	1	189	190
	Total	10	203	213
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosi s		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	10	4	14
	Index test –	0	199	199
	Total	10	203	213
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosi		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	3	2	5
	Index test –	7	201	208

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵			
s	Total	10	203	213
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	10	6	16
	Index test –	0	197	197
	Total	10	203	213
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	29	9	38
	Index test –	0	194	194
	Total	29	203	232
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	4	13
	Index test –	20	199	219
	Total	29	203	232
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	29	2	31
	Index test –	0	201	201
	Total	29	203	232
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	29	6	37
	Index test –	0	197	197

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵			
	Total	29	203	232
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], Carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	9	16
	Index test –	0	194	194
	Total	7	203	210
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], Carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	4	4	8
	Index test –	3	199	202
	Total	7	203	210
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], Carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	4	2	6
	Index test –	3	201	204
	Total	7	203	210
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], Carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	6	6	12
	Index test –	1	197	198
	Total	7	203	210
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], unspec		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	106	14	120
	Index test –	18	189	207

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵			
Lyme disease	Total	124	203	327
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	57	4	61
	Index test –	67	199	266
	Total	124	203	327
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	58	2	60
	Index test –	66	201	267
	Total	124	203	327
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	90	6	96
	Index test –	34	197	231
	Total	124	203	327
2x2 table [PCR – synovial fluid], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	0	7
	Index test –	11	0	11
	Total	18	0	18
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (acute Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.68 Specificity 0.93			

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (acute Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.35 Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (acute Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.20 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (acute Lyme disease) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.97
	PCR – blood and skin (EM) Sensitivity 0.62 Specificity N/A
	Culture – blood and skin (EM) Sensitivity 0.44 Specificity N/A
	PCR – blood and skin (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.25 Specificity N/A
	Culture – blood and skin (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.33 Specificity N/A
	PCR – blood, skin and heart (Carditis) Sensitivity 0.29 Specificity N/A

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵
	Culture – blood, skin and heart (Carditis) Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity N/A
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (conval Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.89 Specificity 0.93
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (conval Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.53 Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (conval Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.37 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (conval Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.55 Specificity 0.97
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.90 Specificity 0.93
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.30

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵
	Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.97
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.96
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.31 Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.97
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (Carditis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.96
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (Carditis) Sensitivity 0.57 Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (Carditis)

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵
	<p>Sensitivity 0.57 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (Carditis) Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.85 Specificity 0.93</p> <p>WB/IB (IgM) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>WB/IB (IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.47 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.73 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>PCR – synovial fluid (LA) Sensitivity 0.39 Specificity N/A</p>
Source of funding	Supported by a CDC grant
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Molins 2015 ⁷²
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients before treatment Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 202
Patient characteristics	Age, range: 9-83 years Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: clinic Country: USA Cases: early Lyme disease Controls: healthy endemic (n=64), healthy nonendemic (94)
Target condition(s)	Early Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgM) – serum WB/IB (IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgM/IgG) - serum CLIA (IgM/IgG) - serum Reference standard

Reference	Molins 2015 ⁷²			
	At least 1 EM present in initial clinic visit or clinical diagnosis (majority had positive culture/PCR test)			
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	101	2	103
	Index test –	79	156	235
	Total	180	158	338
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	60	5	65
	Index test –	120	153	273
	Total	180	158	338
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	0	7
	Index test –	173	158	331
	Total	180	158	338
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	15	0	15
	Index test –	165	158	323
	Total	180	158	338
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	Molins 2015 ⁷²			
[CLIA (IgM/IgG) – serum]	Index test +	110	14	124
	Index test –	70	144	214
	Total	180	158	338
Statistical measures	<p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) - serum Sensitivity 0.56 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>WB/IB (IgM) - serum Sensitivity 0.33 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>WB/IB (IgG) - serum Sensitivity 0.04 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum Sensitivity 0.08 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>CLIA (IgM/IgG) - serum Sensitivity 0.61 Specificity 0.91</p>			
Source of funding	Supported by government grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from CDC Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 124
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: USA Cases: Acute and convalescent stage (n=78), Lyme neuroborreliosis (n=10), Lyme carditis (n=7), LA (n=29) Controls: healthy donors (n=203)
Target condition(s)	EM, Neuroborreliosis, Lyme carditis, Lyme arthritis, unspecified Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgM) – serum WB/IB (IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴			
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], acute Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	23	5	28
	Index test –	17	198	215
	Total	40	203	243
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], acute Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	21	12	33
	Index test –	19	191	210
	Total	40	203	243
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], acute Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	5	3	8
	Index test –	35	200	235
	Total	40	203	243
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], acute Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	22	3	8
	Index test –	18	188	235
	Total	40	203	243
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], conval Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	32	5	37
	Index test –	6	198	204
	Total	38	203	241

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴			
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], conval Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	29	12	41
	Index test –	9	191	200
	Total	38	203	241
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], conval Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	11	3	14
	Index test –	27	200	227
	Total	38	203	241
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], conval Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	30	15	45
	Index test –	8	188	196
	Total	38	203	241
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	10	5	15
	Index test –	0	198	198
	Total	10	203	213
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	12	21
	Index test –	1	191	192
	Total	10	203	213

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴			
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	4	3	7
	Index test –	6	200	206
	Total	10	203	213
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	15	24
	Index test –	1	188	189
	Total	10	203	213
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	29	5	34
	Index test –	0	198	198
	Total	29	203	232
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	17	12	29
	Index test –	12	191	203
	Total	29	203	232
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	28	3	31
	Index test –	1	200	201
	Total	29	203	232

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴			
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	28	15	43
	Index test –	1	188	189
	Total	29	203	232
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], Carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	6	5	11
	Index test –	1	198	199
	Total	7	203	210
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], Carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	5	12	17
	Index test –	2	191	193
	Total	7	203	210
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], Carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	5	3	8
	Index test –	2	200	202
	Total	7	203	210
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], Carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	15	22
	Index test –	0	188	188
	Total	7	203	210

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	100	5	105
	Index test –	24	198	222
	Total	124	203	327
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	81	5	86
	Index test –	43	191	234
	Total	124	203	327
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	53	3	56
	Index test –	71	200	271
	Total	124	203	327
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	96	15	111
	Index test –	28	188	216
	Total	124	203	327
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (acute Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.57 Specificity 0.98 WB/IB (IgM) – serum (acute Lyme disease)			

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴
	Sensitivity 0.53 Specificity 0.94
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (acute Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.13 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (acute Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.55 Specificity 0.93
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (conval Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.84 Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (conval Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.76 Specificity 0.94
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (conval Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.29 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (conval Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.79 Specificity 0.93
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.98

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.90 Specificity 0.94
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.40 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.90 Specificity 0.93
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.59 Specificity 0.94
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.97 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.97 Specificity 0.93
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (Carditis) Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity 0.98

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴
	<p>WB/IB (IgM) – serum (Carditis) Sensitivity 0.71 Specificity 0.94</p> <p>WB/IB (IgG) – serum (Carditis) Sensitivity 0.71 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (Carditis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.93</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.81 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>WB/IB (IgM) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.65 Specificity 0.94</p> <p>WB/IB (IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.43 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.77 Specificity 0.93</p>
Source of funding	None

Reference	Molins 2016⁷⁴
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Molins 2017⁷³
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 124
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: USA Cases: acute EM (n=40), convalescent EM (n=38), Lyme neuroborreliosis (n=10), Lyme carditis (n=7), Lyme arthritis (n=29) Controls: healthy persons (n=203)
Target condition(s)	EM, Neuroborreliosis, Lyme arthritis, Lyme carditis
Index test(s) and reference	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum

Reference	Molins 2017 ⁷³			
standard	ELISA (IgM) – serum ELISA (IgG) – serum ELISA C6 – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], acute EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	24	23	47
	Index test –	16	180	196
	Total	40	203	243
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], acute EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	20	4	24
	Index test –	20	199	219
	Total	40	203	242
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], conval EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	30	23	53
	Index test –	8	180	188
	Total	38	203	241
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], conval		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	28	4	32

Reference	Molins 2017 ⁷³			
EM	Index test -	10	199	209
	Total	38	203	241
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	10	23	33
	Index test -	0	180	180
	Total	10	203	213
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	9	4	13
	Index test -	1	199	200
	Total	10	203	213
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], LC		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	5	23	28
	Index test -	2	180	182
	Total	7	203	210
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], LC		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	6	4	10
	Index test -	1	199	200
	Total	7	203	210
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	19	23	42

Reference	Molins 2017 ⁷³			
	Index test -	10	180	190
	Total	29	203	232
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	29	4	33
	Index test -	0	199	199
	Total	29	203	232
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM) – serum (acute EM) Sensitivity 0.60 Specificity 0.89			
	ELISA (IgG) – serum (acute EM) Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity 0.98			
	ELISA (IgM) – serum (convalescent EM) Sensitivity 0.79 Specificity 0.89			
	ELISA (IgG) – serum (convalescent EM) Sensitivity 0.74 Specificity 0.98			
	ELISA (IgM) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.89			
	ELISA (IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.90			

Reference	Molins 2017 ⁷³
	<p>Specificity 0.98</p> <p>ELISA (IgM) – serum (Lyme carditis) Sensitivity 0.71 Specificity 0.89</p> <p>ELISA (IgG) – serum (Lyme carditis) Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>ELISA (IgM) – serum (Lyme arthritis) Sensitivity 0.66 Specificity 0.89</p> <p>ELISA (IgG) – serum (Lyme arthritis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.98</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Moter 1994 ⁷⁶			
Study type	Case-control study			
Study methodology	Data source: skin biopsies Recruitment: patients with skin manifestatiosn reporting to the clinic			
Number of patients	n = 22			
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: university hospital Country: Germany Cases: EM (n=10), ACA (n=12) Controls: normal skin (n=4)			
Target condition(s)	EM, ACA			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests PCR - skin Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	Moter 1994 ⁷⁶			
[PCR - skin], EM		+		
	Index test +	8	0	8
	Index test -	2	4	6
	Total	10	4	14
2x2 table [PCR – skin], ACA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	11	0	11
	Index test -	1	4	5
	Total	12	4	16
Statistical measures	PCR – skin (EM) Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 1.00 PCR – skin (EM) Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 1.00			
Source of funding	Government grant			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Nocton 1994 ⁷⁸
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: synovial fluid Recruitment: patients with Lyme arthritis seen at the clinic
Number of patients	n = 127
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (range): Test positive: 29 (8-67); Test negative: 38 (3-62) Gender (male to female ratio): 59:29 Family origin: not reported Setting: Lyme disease clinic Country: USA Cases: Lyme arthritis Controls: other forms of arthritis (n=69)
Target condition(s)	Lyme arthritis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests PCR – synovial fluid Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (criteria: brief intermittent attacks of oligoarticular arthritis, exposure in an area of endemic disease, elevated antibody response to B.b on ELISA and exclusion of other known forms of arthritis) Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference		Nocton 1994 ⁷⁸		
2x2 table [PCR – synovial fluid]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	75	0	75
	Index test –	13	64	77
	Total	88	64	152
Statistical measures	PCR – synovial fluid Sensitivity 0.85 Specificity 1.00			
Source of funding	Supported by government grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: serious			

Reference		Nocton 1996 ⁷⁷
Study type	Case-control study	
Study methodology	Data source: CSF samples Recruitment: patients with neurological symptoms seen at the clinic	
Number of patients	n = 60	
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (range): Test positive: 41 (10-76); Test negative: 40 (8-81) Gender (male to female ratio): 34:26 Family origin: not reported Setting: Lyme disease clinic	

Reference	Nocton 1996 ⁷⁷			
	Country: USA Cases: Neuroborreliosis Controls: Sero-negative with no history of Lyme disease (n=22); evaluated for possible herpes simplex virus encephalitis (n=20)			
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests PCR - CSF Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [PCR – CSF]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	17	0	17
	Index test –	43	42	85
	Total	60	42	102
Statistical measures	PCR - CSF Sensitivity 0.28 Specificity 1.00			
Source of funding	Supported by government grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Nohlmans 1994 ⁷⁹
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 44
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Netherlands Cases: Early LB (EM, n=13), Late LB (arthralgia, arthritis, ACA, n=21) Controls: healthy controls (n=84)
Target condition(s)	EM, unspecified Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum ELISA (IgG) - serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Nohlmans 1994 ⁷⁹			
2x2 table [ELISA Dako (IgG) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	8	1	9
	Index test –	5	83	88
	Total	13	84	97
2x2 table [ELISA Diagast (IgG) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	6	0	6
	Index test –	7	84	91
	Total	13	84	97
2x2 table [ELISA Diamedix (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	0	7
	Index test –	6	84	90
	Total	13	84	97
2x2 table [ELISA Dako (IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	18	1	19
	Index test –	3	83	86
	Total	21	84	105
2x2 table [ELISA Diagast (IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	18	0	18
	Index test –	3	84	87
	Total	21	84	105

Reference	Nohlmans 1994 ⁷⁹			
2x2 table [ELISA Diamedix (IgM/IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	18	0	18
	Index test –	3	84	87
	Total	21	84	105
2x2 table [ELISA Whittaker (IgM/IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	15	0	15
	Index test –	6	84	90
	Total	21	84	105
2x2 table [ELISA Whittaker (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	4	0	4
	Index test –	9	84	93
	Total	13	84	97
Statistical measures	<p>ELISA Dako (IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.62 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>ELISA Diagast (IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA Diamedix (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.54 Specificity 1.00</p>			

Reference	Nohlmans 1994 ⁷⁹
	<p>ELISA Dako (IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>ELISA Diagast (IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA Diamedix (IgM/IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA Whittaker (IgM/IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.71 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA Whittaker (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.31 Specificity 1.00</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Oksi 1995 ⁸¹
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients before treatment Recruitment: endemic area, patients seen at hospital
Number of patients	n = 41
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (range): 37.6 years (4-76) Gender (male to female ratio): 19:22 Family origin: not reported Setting: university hospital Country: Finland Cases: late Lyme disease Controls: healthy controls (n=37)
Target condition(s)	Late Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG; flagella) – serum ELISA (IgM/IgG; recombinant) – serum ELISA (IgM/IgG; sonicated) – serum Reference standard Diagnosis based on clinical symptoms and positive culture and/or PCR Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Oksi 1995 ⁸¹			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG; flagella) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	17	5	22
	Index test –	24	32	56
	Total	41	37	78
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG; recombinant) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	6	2	8
	Index test –	35	35	70
	Total	41	37	78
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG; sonicated) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	32	4	36
	Index test –	9	33	42
	Total	41	37	78
Statistical measures	<p>ELISA (IgM/IgG; flagella) - serum Sensitivity 0.41 Specificity 0.86</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG; recombinant) - serum Sensitivity 0.15 Specificity 0.95</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG; sonicated) - serum Sensitivity 0.78 Specificity 0.89</p>			

Reference	Oksi 1995⁸¹
Source of funding	Supported by academic and private research grants
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Padula 1994⁸²
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients after beginning of treatment Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 74
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: USA Cases: EM Controls: Healthy individuals (n=70); Severe periodontitis (n=6)
Target condition(s)	EM
Index test(s)	Index tests

Reference	Padula 1994 ⁸²			
and reference standard	ELISA (IgM) – serum WB/IB (IgM) – serum Reference standard Culture Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM; recombinant) – serum], <6 weeks		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	29	0	29
	Index test –	10	76	86
	Total	39	76	115
2x2 table 2x2 table [ELISA (IgM; recombinant) – serum], 6 weeks to 6 months		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	10	0	10
	Index test –	5	76	81
	Total	15	76	91
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM; whole-cell) – serum], <6 weeks		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	25	0	25
	Index test –	14	76	90
	Total	39	76	115
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM; whole-cell) – serum], 6		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	12	0	12
	Index test –	3	76	79

Reference	Padula 1994 ⁸²			
weeks to 6 months	Total	15	76	91
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], <6 weeks		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	28	2	30
	Index test –	11	74	85
	Total	39	76	115
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], 6 weeks to 6 months		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	13	2	15
	Index test –	2	75	76
	Total	15	77	91
Statistical measures	<p>ELISA (IgM; recombinant) – serum (<6 weeks) Sensitivity 0.74 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA (IgM; recombinant) – serum (6 weeks to 6 months) Sensitivity 0.67 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA (IgM; whole-cell) – serum (<6 weeks) Sensitivity 0.64 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA (IgM; whole-cell) – serum (6 weeks to 6 months) Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 1.00</p>			

Reference	Padula 1994 ⁸²
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (<6 weeks) Sensitivity 0.72 Specificity 0.97 WB/IB (IgM) – serum (6 weeks to 6 months) Sensitivity 0.87 Specificity 0.97
Source of funding	Supported by government and private grants
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Panelius 2001 ⁸³
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: not reported Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 28
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Finland Cases: Neuroborreliosis (n=14), Lyme arthritis (n=4) Controls: Syphilis (n=10), healthy donors (n=13)
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis, Lyme arthritis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM) – serum ELISA (IgG) - serum WB/IB (IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (based on CDC guidelines) Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Panelius 2001 ⁸³			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosi s		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	11	0	11
	Index test –	8	0	8
	Total	19	0	19
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosi s		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	14	0	14
	Index test –	5	0	5
	Total	19	0	19
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosi s		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	10	0	10
	Index test –	4	0	4
	Total	14	0	14
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	0	7
	Index test –	12	0	12
	Total	19	0	19
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	15	0	15
	Index test –	4	0	4
	Total	19	0	19

Reference	Panelius 2001 ⁸³			
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], LA	Index test +	12	0	12
	Index test -	2	0	2
	Total	14	0	14
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.58 Specificity N/A			
	ELISA (IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.74 Specificity N/A			
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.71 Specificity N/A			
	ELISA (IgM) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.37 Specificity N/A			
	ELISA (IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.79 Specificity N/A			
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity N/A			

Reference	Panelius 2001 ⁸³
Source of funding	Supported by government grants
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Panelius 2008 ⁸⁴
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients before treatment Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 102
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Finland Cases: EM (n=25), Neuroborreliosis (n=67), ACA (n=10) Controls: blood donors (n=20), CSF samples from healthy individuals (n=20)
Target condition(s)	EM, Neuroborreliosis, ACA
Index test(s) and reference	Index tests ELISA (IgG) – serum

Reference	Panelius 2008 ⁸⁴			
standard	ELISA (IgG) - CSF Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], acute Lyme disease (EM)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	15	0	15
	Index test –	10	20	30
	Total	25	20	45
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], convalescent Lyme disease (EM)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	16	0	16
	Index test –	9	20	29
	Total	25	20	42
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – CSF], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	19	0	19
	Index test –	33	20	53
	Total	52	20	72
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], ACA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	8	0	8
	Index test –	2	20	22
	Total	10	20	30

Reference	Panelius 2008 ⁸⁴			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	56	0	56
	Index test –	11	20	31
	Total	67	20	87
Statistical measures	<p>ELISA (IgG) – serum (EM, acute) Sensitivity 0.60 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA (IgG) – serum (EM, convalescent) Sensitivity 0.64 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA (IgG) – CSF (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.37 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA (IgG) – serum (ACA) Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA (IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.84 Specificity 1.00</p>			
Source of funding	Supported by government and industry grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Peltomaa 2004 ⁸⁵
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients before treatment Recruitment: patients treated by study author at clinic
Number of patients	n = 47
Patient characteristics	Age, median: 35 years (4-74) Gender (male to female ratio): 25/22 Family origin: not reported Setting: clinic Country: USA Cases: facial palsy Controls: healthy persons (n=86)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease associated facial palsy
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests WB/IB (IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis based on CDC criteria Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Peltomaa 2004 ⁸⁵			
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	47	4	51
	Index test –	0	82	82
	Total	47	86	133
Statistical measures	WB/IB (IgG) - serum Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.95			
Source of funding	Supported by industry grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: serious			

Reference	Phillips 1998 ⁸⁶			
Study type	Case-control study			
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: patients selected from private practice in hyper-endemic and non-endemic areas			
Number of patients	n = 47			
Patient characteristics	Age, median: 35 years (4-74) Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: multi-centre Country: USA Cases: Lyme disease had failed or relapsed after extended oral and intravenous antibiotic therapy Controls: chronic illness other than Lyme disease (n=23)			
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests Culture - blood Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	Phillips 1998 ⁸⁶			
[Culture – blood]		+		
	Index test +	43	0	43
	Index test –	4	23	27
	Total	47	23	70
Statistical measures	Culture - blood Sensitivity 0.91 Specificity 1.00			
Source of funding	Not reported			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Pomelova 2015 ⁸⁷			
Study type	Case-control study			
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients taken during summer 2010 Recruitment: not reported			
Number of patients	n = 146			
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Russia Cases: EM Controls: blood donors (n=197)			
Target condition(s)	EM			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	Pomelova 2015 ⁸⁷			
[ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum]		+		
	Index test +	40	96	136
	Index test –	87	101	188
	Total	127	197	224
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM/IgG) - serum Sensitivity 0.31 Specificity 0.51			
Source of funding	Supported by government grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Porwancher 2011 ⁸⁸
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 242
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: USA Cases: Culture-proven early acute Lyme (n=79), early convalescent-phase (n=78), culture-proven EM (n=4), Stage-II and III Lyme (n=47), sera from patients receiving treatment (PTLDS, n=34) Controls: Healthy blood donors from New Mexico (n=300), healthy blood donors from New England (n=300), patients undergoing routine screening (n=99)
Target condition(s)	EM, Lyme arthritis, post-treatment Lyme disease syndrome
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests WB/IB (IgM) – serum WB/IB (IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgM/IgG) - serum Reference standard Culture only (n=83), clinical diagnosis

Reference	Porwancher 2011 ⁸⁸			
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], EM (acute)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	29	0	29
	Index test –	50	0	50
	Total	79	0	79
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], EM (acute)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	6	0	6
	Index test –	73	0	73
	Total	79	0	79
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM (acute)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	31	22	53
	Index test –	48	428	476
	Total	79	450	529
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], EM (convalescent)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	60	0	60
	Index test –	22	0	22
	Total	82	0	82
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	17	0	17

Reference	Porwancher 2011 ⁸⁸			
(convalescent)	Index test -	65	0	65
	Total	82	0	82
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM (convalescent)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	63	22	85
	Index test -	19	428	447
	Total	82	450	532
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	19	0	19
	Index test -	10	0	10
	Total	29	0	29
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	28	0	28
	Index test -	54	0	54
	Total	82	0	82
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	29	21	50
	Index test -	0	429	429
	Total	29	450	479
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], PTLDS		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	13	0	13

Reference	Porwancher 2011 ⁸⁸			
	Index test -	21	0	21
	Total	34	0	34
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum], PTLDS		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	17	0	17
	Index test -	17	0	17
	Total	34	0	34
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], PTLDS		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	23	21	44
	Index test -	11	429	440
	Total	34	450	484
Statistical measures	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (EM, acute) Sensitivity 0.37 Specificity N/A			
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (EM, acute) Sensitivity 0.08 Specificity N/A			
	WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM, acute) Sensitivity 0.39 Specificity N/A			
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (EM, convalescent) Sensitivity 0.73 Specificity N/A			

Reference	Porwancher 2011 ⁸⁸
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (EM, convalescent) Sensitivity 0.21 Specificity N/A
	WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM, convalescent) Sensitivity 0.77 Specificity 0.95
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.66 Specificity N/A
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.34 Specificity N/A
	WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.95
	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (PTLDS) Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity N/A
	WB/IB (IgG) – serum (PTLDS) Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity N/A
	WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (PTLDS) Sensitivity 0.68

Reference	Porwancher 2011⁸⁸
	Specificity 0.95
Source of funding	Supported by government and academia grants
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Priem 1997⁸⁹
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: CSF samples Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 22
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: 44 years (7-82) Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Germany Cases: Neuroborreliosis Controls: rheumatic diseases (n=37), CNS diseases (n=21)
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis

Reference	Priem 1997 ⁸⁹			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests PCR - CSF Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [PCR – CSF]		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	15	0	15
	Index test -	4	33	37
	Total	19	33	52
Statistical measures	PCR - CSF Sensitivity 0.79 Specificity 1.00			
Source of funding	Supported by government grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Rauer 1995 ⁹⁰
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 210
Patient characteristics	Age, range: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: university clinic Country: Germany Cases: EM (n=118), Neuroborreliosis (n=33), Lyme arthritis (n=17), ACA (n=42) Controls: no current symptoms or history of Lyme (n=82)
Target condition(s)	EM, ACA, Neuroborreliosis, Lyme arthritis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum ELISA (IgM) – serum ELISA (IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Rauer 1995 ⁹⁰			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	24	3	27
	Index test –	94	79	173
	Total	118	82	200
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	3	10
	Index test –	111	79	190
	Total	118	82	200
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	16	0	16
	Index test –	102	82	184
	Total	118	82	200
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], ACA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	36	3	39
	Index test –	6	79	85
	Total	42	82	144
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], ACA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	0	3	3
	Index test –	42	79	121
	Total	42	82	124

Reference	Rauer 1995 ⁹⁰			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], ACA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	14	0	14
	Index test –	28	82	110
	Total	42	82	124
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosi s		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	14	3	17
	Index test –	19	79	98
	Total	33	82	115
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosi s		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	0	3	3
	Index test –	33	79	112
	Total	33	82	115
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosi s		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	16	3	19
	Index test –	1	79	80
	Total	17	82	99
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	16	3	19
	Index test –	1	79	80
	Total	17	82	99

Reference	Rauer 1995 ⁹⁰			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	0	3	3
	Index test –	17	79	96
	Total	17	82	99
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	14	0	14
	Index test –	3	82	85
	Total	17	82	99
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.06 Specificity 0.96			
	ELISA (IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.14 Specificity 1.00			
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.20 Specificity 0.96			
	ELISA (IgM) – serum (ACA) Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.96			
	ELISA (IgG) – serum (ACA) Sensitivity 0.33			

Reference	Rauer 1995 ⁹⁰
	Specificity 1.00 ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (ACA) Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity 0.96 ELISA (IgM) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.96 ELISA (IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.33 Specificity 1.00 ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.42 Specificity 0.96 ELISA (IgM) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.96 ELISA (IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.82 Specificity 1.00 ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.94 Specificity 0.96

Reference	Rauer 1995 ⁹⁰
Source of funding	Supported by government grants
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Rauer 1998 ⁹¹
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 104
Patient characteristics	Age, range: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: university clinic Country: Germany Cases: EM Controls: healthy controls (n=154)
Target condition(s)	EM
Index test(s) and reference	Index tests ELISA (IgM, recombinant) – serum

Reference	Rauer 1998 ⁹¹			
standard	ELISA (IgM, whole cell) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, recombinant) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	48	8	56
	Index test –	56	146	202
	Total	104	154	258
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, whole cell) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	47	8	55
	Index test –	57	146	203
	Total	104	154	258
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM, recombinant) - serum Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 0.95 ELISA (IgM, whole cell) - serum Sensitivity 0.45 Specificity 0.95			
Source of funding	Supported by government grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard			

Reference	Rauer 1998⁹¹
	Indirectness: none

Reference	Roux 2007⁹²
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: all patients presenting to clinic within 18 months
Number of patients	n = 11
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: 62 years (SD 15) Gender (male to female ratio): 2/9 Family origin: not reported Setting: tertiary clinic Country: France Cases: Lyme meningoradiculitis Controls: Consecutive patients referred for suspected Lyme meningoradiculitis (n=16)
Target condition(s)	Lyme meningoradiculitis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum ELISA (IgM) – serum ELISA (IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgG) - serum

Reference	Roux 2007 ⁹²			
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – CSF ELISA (IgM) – CSF ELISA (IgG) – CSF WB/IB (IgG) - CSF Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – CSF]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	10	4	14
	Index test –	1	12	13
	Total	11	16	27
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – CSF]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	1	10
	Index test –	2	15	17
	Total	11	16	27
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – CSF]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	10	4	14
	Index test –	1	12	13
	Total	11	16	27
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	Roux 2007 ⁹²			
[ELISA (IgM) – serum]	Index test +	7	1	8
	Index test –	4	15	19
	Total	11	16	27
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	6	13
	Index test –	4	10	14
	Total	11	16	27
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	10	6	16
	Index test –	1	10	11
	Total	11	16	27
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	6	13
	Index test –	4	10	14
	Total	11	16	27
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgG) - CSF Sensitivity 0.91 Specificity 0.75			
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) - CSF Sensitivity 0.91 Specificity 0.75			

Reference	Roux 2007 ⁹²
	<p>WB/IB (IgG) - CSF Sensitivity 0.82 Specificity 0.94</p> <p>ELISA (IgM) – serum Sensitivity 0.64 Specificity 0.94</p> <p>ELISA (IgG) - serum Sensitivity 0.64 Specificity 0.63</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum Sensitivity 0.91 Specificity 0.63</p> <p>WB/IB (IgG) - serum Sensitivity 0.64 Specificity 0.63</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Russell 1984 ⁹³
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 45
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: clinic Country: USA Cases: Lyme disease Controls: healthy persons (n=100)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum IFA (IgM/IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgM/IgG) - serum CLIA (IgM/IgG) - serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Russell 1984 ⁹³			
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	17	4	21
	Index test –	17	96	113
	Total	34	100	134
2x2 table [IFA (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	17	0	17
	Index test –	17	100	117
	Total	34	100	134
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	26	4	30
	Index test –	0	96	96
	Total	26	100	126
2x2 table [IFA (IgM/IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	24	0	24
	Index test –	2	100	102
	Total	26	100	126
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) –		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	38	4	42

Reference	Russell 1984 ⁹³			
serum], LA	Index test -	0	96	96
	Total	38	100	138
2x2 table [IFA (IgM/IgG) - serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	38	0	38
	Index test -	0	100	100
	Total	38	100	138
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) - serum], carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	6	4	10
	Index test -	0	96	96
	Total	6	100	106
2x2 table [IFA (IgM/IgG) - serum], carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	6	0	6
	Index test -	0	100	100
	Total	6	100	106
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM/IgG) - serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity 0.96 IFA (IgM/IgG) - serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity 1.00 ELISA (IgM/IgG) - serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis)			

Reference	Russell 1984 ⁹³
	<p>Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>IFA (IgM/IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>IFA (IgM/IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (LC) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>IFA (IgM/IgG) – serum (LC) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	<p>Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none</p>

Reference	Ruzic-Sabljić 2002 ⁹⁴
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 117
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: university clinic Country: Slovenia Cases: EM Controls: healthy persons (n=96)
Target condition(s)	EM
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests WB/IB (IgM) – serum WB/IB (IgG) – serum IFA (IgM) - serum IFA (IgG) - serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Ruzic-Sabljić 2002 ⁹⁴			
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	33	23	56
	Index test –	33	28	61
	Total	66	51	117
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	20	16	36
	Index test –	46	35	81
	Total	66	51	117
2x2 table [IFA (IgM) – serum],		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	0	2	2
	Index test –	66	49	115
	Total	66	51	117
2x2 table [IFA (IgG) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	1	2	3
	Index test –	65	49	114
	Total	66	51	117
Statistical measures	WB/IB (IgM) - serum Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity 0.55 WB/IB (IgG) - serum Sensitivity 0.30			

Reference	Ruzic-Sabljić 2002 ⁹⁴
	<p>Specificity 0.69</p> <p>IFA (IgM) - serum Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>IFA (IgG) – serum Sensitivity 0.02 Specificity 0.96</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Sapi 2013 ⁹⁵
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	<p>Data source: blood samples</p> <p>Recruitment: not reported</p>
Number of patients	n = 72
Patient characteristics	<p>Age, mean (range): 42 years (3-80)</p> <p>Gender (male to female ratio): 26/46</p> <p>Family origin: not reported</p>

Reference	Sapi 2013 ⁹⁵			
	Setting: not reported			
	Country: USA			
	Cases: Lyme disease (n=72)			
	Controls: healthy persons (n=48)			
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test Culture Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [Culture], @ 6 days		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	34	0	34
	Index test -	38	48	86
	Total	72	48	120
2x2 table [Culture], @ 8 weeks		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	60	0	60
	Index test -	12	48	60
	Total	72	48	120

Reference	Sapi 2013 ⁹⁵			
2x2 table [Culture], @ 16 weeks		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	68	0	68
	Index test -	4	48	52
	Total	72	48	120
Statistical measures	Culture @ 6 days Sensitivity 0.47 Specificity 1.00 Culture @ 8 weeks Sensitivity 0.83 Specificity 1.00 Culture @ 16 weeks Sensitivity 0.94 Specificity 1.00			
Source of funding	Supported by industry grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: serious			

Reference	Schnarr 2001 ⁹⁶			
Study type	Case-control study			
Study methodology	Data source: synovial fluid samples from patients Recruitment: not reported			
Number of patients	n = 16			
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: clinic Country: Germany Cases: Lyme arthritis Controls: rheumatoid arthritis (n=31)			
Target condition(s)	Lyme arthritis			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests PCR – synovial fluid Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [PCR – SF]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	11	0	11

Reference	Schnarr 2001 ⁹⁶			
	Index test –	5	31	36
	Total	16	31	47
Statistical measures	PCR – synovial fluid Sensitivity 0.69 Specificity 1.00			
Source of funding	Supported by academic grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Schulte-Spechtel 2004 ⁹⁷ (Schulte-Spechtel 2003 ⁹⁸)
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 36
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: clinic Country: Germany Cases: Neuroborreliosis Controls: blood donors (n=49), syphilis (n=10), rheumatoid factor (n=8)
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests WB/IB (IgG, recombinant) – serum WB/IB (IgG, whole cell) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Schulte-Spechtel 2004 ⁹⁷ (Schulte-Spechtel 2003 ⁹⁸)			
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, recombinant) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	31	0	31
	Index test –	5	67	72
	Total	36	67	103
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, whole cell) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	23	2	25
	Index test –	13	65	78
	Total	36	67	103
Statistical measures	WB/IB (IgG, recombinant) - serum Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity 1.00 WB/IB (IgG, whole cell) - serum Sensitivity 0.64 Specificity 0.97			
Source of funding	Not reported			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Schwartz 1992 ⁹⁹			
Study type	Case-control study			
Study methodology	Data source: skin biopsy samples from patients Recruitment: recruitment at clinics			
Number of patients	n = 35			
Patient characteristics	Age, range: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: clinic Country: USA Cases: EM (untreated) Controls: people undergoing plastic surgery (n=10)			
Target condition(s)	EM			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests PCR - skin Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	Schwartz 1992 ⁹⁹			
[PCR – skin]		+		
	Index test +	20	1	21
	Index test -	15	9	24
	Total	35	10	45
Statistical measures	PCR - skin Sensitivity 0.57 Specificity 0.90			
Source of funding	Supported by government grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Senel 2010 ¹⁰⁰
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: CSF samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 37 (28 with definite neuroborreliosis, 9 with systemic borreliosis)
Patient characteristics	Age, median: 58 years (32-70) Gender (male to female ratio): 19/9 Family origin: not reported Setting: university clinic Country: Germany Cases: definite Neuroborreliosis (n=28) Controls: CNS bacterial infections (n=16), Viral CNS diseases (n=18), Guillain-Barre syndrome (n=11), Bell's palsy (n=19), Other cranial nerve palsies (n=5), Cephalgia (n=20)
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests CXCL13 – CSF Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Senel 2010 ¹⁰⁰			
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
2x2 table [CXCL13 – CSF]	Index test +	27	2	29
	Index test -	1	67	68
	Total	28	69	97
Statistical measures	CXCL13 - CSF Sensitivity 0.96 Specificity 0.97			
Source of funding	Not reported			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Sillanpaa 2007 ¹⁰¹
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 70
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: clinic Country: USA, Finland Cases: EM (n=42), Neuroborreliosis (n=14), Lyme arthritis (n=14) Controls: Syphilis (n=10), Rheumatoid factor (n=8), Anti-streptolysin antibodies (n=13), EBV (n=11), Anti-nuclear antibodies (n=12), Salmonella (n=5), Yersinia enterocolitica (n=4), Healthy blood donors (n=20)
Target condition(s)	EM, Neuroborreliosis, Lyme arthritis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Sillanpaa 2007 ¹⁰¹			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], EM (acute)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	25	0	25
	Index test –	17	83	100
	Total	42	83	125
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], EM (convalescent)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	0	9
	Index test –	13	83	96
	Total	22	83	105
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	14	0	14
	Index test –	0	83	83
	Total	14	83	97
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	13	0	13
	Index test –	1	83	84
	Total	14	83	97
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgG) – serum (EM, acute) Sensitivity 0.60 Specificity 1.00 ELISA (IgG) – serum (EM, convalescent) Sensitivity 0.41			

Reference	Sillanpaa 2007 ¹⁰¹
	Specificity 1.00 ELISA (IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00 ELISA (IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.93 Specificity 1.00
Source of funding	Supported by government, academic and industry grants
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Sivak 1996 ¹⁰²			
Study type	Case-control study			
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported			
Number of patients	n = 44			
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: clinic Country: USA Cases: EM Controls: asymptomatic healthy controls (n=272)			
Target condition(s)	EM			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests WB/IB (IgM) – serum Reference standard Positive culture Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	Sivak 1996 ¹⁰²			
[WB/IB (IgM) – serum], acute EM		+		
	Index test +	11	8	19
	Index test –	33	264	297
	Total	44	272	316
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], convalescent EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	31	8	39
	Index test –	13	264	277
	Total	44	272	316
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM) – serum], EM over 7 days		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	36	8	44
	Index test –	8	264	272
	Total	44	272	316
Statistical measures	WB/IB (IgM) – serum (acute EM) Sensitivity 0.25 Specificity 0.97 WB/IB (IgM) – serum (convalescent EM) Sensitivity 0.70 Specificity 0.97 WB/IB (IgM) – serum (EM over 7 days) Sensitivity 0.82 Specificity 0.97			
Source of	Supported by government grants			

Reference	Sivak 1996¹⁰²
funding	
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Smismans 2006¹⁰⁴
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients before treatment Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 45
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: clinic Country: USA Cases: Early localised cutaneous (n=23); Early disseminated (n=22): arthritis (n=2), cranial neuritis (n=9), radiculoneuropathy (n=3), EM with dissemination (n=7), polyneuropathy (n=1) Controls: Epstein-Barr virus (n=10), Acute cytomegalovirus (n=10), Syphilis (n=10), Rheumatoid factor positivity (n=10)
Target condition(s)	Early Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG, purified) – serum

Reference	Smismans 2006 ¹⁰⁴			
	ELISA (IgM, purified) – serum ELISA (IgG, purified) – serum ELISA (IgM/IgG, synthetic C6) – serum ELISA (IgM, synthetic C6) – serum ELISA (IgG, synthetic C6) – serum ELISA (IgM/IgG, whole cell) – serum ELISA (IgM, whole cell) – serum ELISA (IgG, whole cell) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, purified) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	14	9	25
	Index test –	9	31	40
	Total	23	40	63
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, purified) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	5	0	5
	Index test –	7	40	47
	Total	13	40	53
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG, Index test +		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	18	9	27

Reference	Smismans 2006 ¹⁰⁴			
purified) – serum], EM	Index test –	5	31	36
	Total	23	40	63
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, purified) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	9	18
	Index test –	4	31	35
	Total	13	40	53
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, purified) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	13	0	13
	Index test –	9	40	49
	Total	22	40	62
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG, purified) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	20	9	29
	Index test –	2	31	33
	Total	22	40	62
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, synthetic C6) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	21	3	24
	Index test –	2	37	39
	Total	23	40	63
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, synthetic C6) –		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	11	3	14

Reference	Smismans 2006 ¹⁰⁴			
serum], EM	Index test –	1	37	38
	Total	22	40	62
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG, synthetic C6) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	21	3	24
	Index test –	2	37	39
	Total	23	40	63
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, synthetic C6) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	11	3	14
	Index test –	2	37	39
	Total	13	40	53
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, synthetic C6) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	20	3	23
	Index test –	2	37	39
	Total	22	40	62
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG, synthetic C6) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	20	3	23
	Index test –	2	37	39
	Total	22	40	62
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, whole cell) –		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	21	19	40

Reference	Smismans 2006 ¹⁰⁴			
serum], EM	Index test -	2	21	23
	Total	23	40	63
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, whole cell) - serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	10	3	13
	Index test -	2	37	39
	Total	12	40	52
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG, whole cell) - serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	23	20	43
	Index test -	0	20	20
	Total	23	40	63
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, whole cell) - serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	11	19	30
	Index test -	2	21	23
	Total	13	40	53
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, whole cell) - serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	20	3	23
	Index test -	2	37	39
	Total	22	40	62
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG,		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	22	20	42

Reference	Smismans 2006 ¹⁰⁴			
whole cell) – serum], unspec Lyme disease	Index test –	0	20	20
	Total	22	40	62
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM, purified) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.61 Specificity 0.78			
	ELISA (IgG, purified) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.42 Specificity 1.00			
	ELISA (IgM/IgG, purified) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.78 Specificity 0.78			
	ELISA (IgM, purified) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.69 Specificity 0.78			
	ELISA (IgG, purified) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.59 Specificity 1.00			
	ELISA (IgM/IgG, purified) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.91 Specificity 0.78			
	ELISA (IgM, synthetic C6) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.91 Specificity 0.93			

Reference	Smismans 2006 ¹⁰⁴
	ELISA (IgG, synthetic C6) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 0.93
	ELISA (IgM/IgG, synthetic C6) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.91 Specificity 0.93
	ELISA (IgM, synthetic C6) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.85 Specificity 0.93
	ELISA (IgG, synthetic C6) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.91 Specificity 0.93
	ELISA (IgM/IgG, synthetic C6) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.91 Specificity 0.93
	ELISA (IgM, whole cell) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.91 Specificity 0.53
	ELISA (IgG, whole cell) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.83 Specificity 0.93
	ELISA (IgM/IgG, whole cell) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.50

Reference	Smismans 2006 ¹⁰⁴
	<p>ELISA (IgM, whole cell) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.85 Specificity 0.53</p> <p>ELISA (IgG, whole cell) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.91 Specificity 0.93</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG, whole cell) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.50</p>
Source of funding	None reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Stanek 1999 ¹⁰⁵
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients before treatment Recruitment: consecutive patients with tick bite
Number of patients	n = 99
Patient characteristics	Age, median: Female: (n=55): 49 years (10-80) Male (n=44): 51 (18-77)

Reference	Stanek 1999 ¹⁰⁵			
	Gender (male to female ratio): 44/55 Family origin: not reported Setting: university clinic Country: Austria Cases: EM Controls: blood donors (n=100)			
Target condition(s)	Early Lyme disease			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM) – serum ELISA (IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	5	1	6
	Index test –	94	99	193
	Total	99	100	199
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	24	5	29

Reference		Stanek 1999 ¹⁰⁵		
	Index test –	75	95	170
	Total	99	100	199
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM) - serum Sensitivity 0.05 Specificity 0.99 ELISA (IgG) - serum Sensitivity 0.24 Specificity 0.95			
Source of funding	Supported by government grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference		Steere 2008 ¹⁰⁶
Study type	Case-control study	
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: by 2 primary care physicians	
Number of patients	n = 134	
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported	

Reference	Steere 2008 ¹⁰⁶			
	Setting: primary care Country: USA Cases: EM (n=76), Acute neurologic or cardiac involvement (n=13), Arthritis or chronic neurologic involvement (n=31), Post-Lyme disease symptoms (n=14) Controls: healthy persons (n=136)			
Target condition(s)	EM (single or multiple, acute or convalescent), acute/chronic disseminated Lyme disease			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum Reference standard EM: CDC criteria and culture-positive Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], acute disseminated Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	13	5	18
	Index test –	0	131	131
	Total	13	136	149
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], acute multiple EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	15	5	20
	Index test –	25	131	156
	Total	40	136	176
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	Steere 2008 ¹⁰⁶			
[ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], acute single EM		+		
	Index test +	7	5	13
	Index test –	29	131	160
	Total	36	136	172
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], chronic disseminated Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	31	5	36
	Index test –	0	131	131
	Total	31	136	167
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], convalescent multiple EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	25	5	30
	Index test –	15	131	146
	Total	40	136	176
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], convalescent single EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	17	5	22
	Index test –	19	131	150
	Total	36	136	172
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (acute disseminated) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.96 ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (acute multiple EM) Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 0.96			

Reference	Steere 2008 ¹⁰⁶
	<p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (acute single EM) Sensitivity 0.19 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (chronic disseminated) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (convalescent multiple EM) Sensitivity 0.63 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (convalescent single EM) Sensitivity 0.47 Specificity 0.96</p>
Source of funding	Supported by government and charity grants
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Stiernstedt 1986 ¹⁰⁸ (Stiernstedt 1985 ¹⁰⁷)
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of	n = 26

Reference	Stiernstedt 1986 ¹⁰⁸ (Stiernstedt 1985 ¹⁰⁷)			
patients				
Patient characteristics	<p>Age, median: 38 years (18-66)</p> <p>Gender (male to female ratio): 10/16</p> <p>Family origin: not reported</p> <p>Setting: clinics, multicentre</p> <p>Country: Sweden</p> <p>Cases: EM</p> <p>Controls: healthy persons (63 for IFA, 120 for ELISA)</p>			
Target condition(s)	EM			
Index test(s) and reference standard	<p>Index tests</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum</p> <p>ELISA (IgM) – serum</p> <p>ELISA (IgG) – serum</p> <p>IFA - serum</p> <p>Reference standard</p> <p>Clinical diagnosis</p> <p>Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported</p>			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	11	18
	Index test –	18	109	127

Reference	Stiernstedt 1986 ¹⁰⁸ (Stiernstedt 1985 ¹⁰⁷)			
	Total	25	120	145
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	2	0	2
	Index test –	23	0	23
	Total	25	0	25
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	5	0	5
	Index test –	20	0	20
	Total	25	0	25
2x2 table [IFA – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	3	3	6
	Index test –	22	60	82
	Total	25	63	88
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM/IgG) - serum Sensitivity 0.28 Specificity 0.91 ELISA (IgM) - serum Sensitivity 0.08 Specificity N/A ELISA (IgG) - serum Sensitivity 0.20			

Reference	Stiernstedt 1986 ¹⁰⁸ (Stiernstedt 1985 ¹⁰⁷)
	Specificity N/A IFA – serum Sensitivity 0.12 Specificity 0.95
Source of funding	None reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Stricker 2001 ¹⁰⁹
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 83
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: Acute Lyme disease: Male: 36 (13-52) Female: 43 (37-50) Chronic Lyme disease: Male: 45 (14-71) Female: 43 (15-76) Gender (male to female ratio): 33/50

Reference	Stricker 2001 ¹⁰⁹			
	Family origin: not reported Setting: referral practice Country: USA Cases: acute Lyme disease (n=10), chronic Lyme disease (n=73) Controls: people with AIDS (n=22)			
Target condition(s)	Acute/chronic Lyme disease			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests CD57 Reference standard Clinical diagnosis based on CDC criteria Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table (CD57), acute Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	0	4	4
	Index test -	10	18	28
	Total	10	22	32
2x2 table [CD57], chronic Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	31	4	35
	Index test -	0	18	18
	Total	31	22	53

Reference	Stricker 2001 ¹⁰⁹
Statistical measures	<p>CD57 (acute Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.82</p> <p>CD57 (chronic Lyme disease) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.82</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	<p>Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: none</p>

Reference	Tjernberg 2007 ¹¹¹
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	<p>Data source: serum samples from patients</p> <p>Recruitment: not reported</p>
Number of patients	n = 273
Patient characteristics	<p>Age, median: 54.5 years (4-85)</p> <p>Gender (male to female ratio): 79/121</p> <p>Family origin: not reported</p> <p>Setting: clinic</p>

Reference	Tjernberg 2007 ¹¹				
	Country: Sweden				
	Cases: EM (n=158), Neuroborreliosis (n=26), Acrodermatitis (n=9), Lyme arthritis (n=3), Possible LB (n=31) Controls: blood donors (n=200)				
Target condition(s)	EM, Lyme arthritis, Neuroborreliosis, ACA				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA C6 (IgM/IgG) – serum ELISA Virotech (IgM) – serum CLIA (IgM/IgG) - serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table [ELISA C6 (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	
	Index test +	58	184	242	
	Index test –	100	16	116	
	Total	158	200	358	
2x2 table [ELISA C6 (IgM/IgG) – serum], ACA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	
	Index test +	8	184	192	
	Index test –	1	16	17	
	Total	9	200	209	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	

Reference	Tjernberg 2007 ¹¹			
[ELISA C6 (IgM/IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis	Index test +	23	184	207
	Index test –	3	16	19
	Total	26	200	226
2x2 table [ELISA C6 (IgM/IgG) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	2	184	186
	Index test –	1	16	17
	Total	3	200	203
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	73	152	225
	Index test –	85	48	133
	Total	158	200	358
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], ACA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	152	161
	Index test –	0	48	48
	Total	9	200	209
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	25	152	177
	Index test –	1	48	49
	Total	26	200	226
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	Tjernberg 2007 ¹¹			
[ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], LA	Index test +	2	152	154
	Index test –	1	48	49
	Total	3	200	203
2x2 table [CLIA (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	66	162	228
	Index test –	92	38	130
	Total	158	200	358
2x2 table [CLIA (IgM/IgG) – serum], ACA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	6	162	168
	Index test –	3	38	41
	Total	9	200	209
2x2 table [CLIA (IgM/IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	22	162	184
	Index test –	4	38	42
	Total	26	200	226
2x2 table [CLIA (IgM/IgG) – serum], LA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	2	162	164
	Index test –	1	38	39
	Total	3	200	203
Statistical measures	ELISA C6 (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM)			

Reference	Tjernberg 2007 ¹¹
	Sensitivity 0.37 Specificity 0.08
	ELISA C6 (IgM/IgG) – serum (ACA) Sensitivity 0.89 Specificity 0.08
	ELISA C6 (IgM/IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.88 Specificity 0.08
	ELISA C6 (IgM/IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.67 Specificity 0.08
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 0.24
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (ACA) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.24
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.96 Specificity 0.24
	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.67 Specificity 0.24

Reference	Tjernberg 2007 ¹¹
	<p>CLIA (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.42 Specificity 0.19</p> <p>CLIA (IgM/IgG) – serum (ACA) Sensitivity 0.67 Specificity 0.19</p> <p>CLIA (IgM/IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.85 Specificity 0.19</p> <p>CLIA (IgM/IgG) – serum (LA) Sensitivity 0.67 Specificity 0.19</p>
Source of funding	Supported by government grants
Limitations	<p>Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard</p> <p>Indirectness: none</p>

Reference	Tjernberg 2009 ¹¹²			
Study type	Case-control study			
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported			
Number of patients	n = 148			
Patient characteristics	Age, median: 58 years (7-84) Gender (male to female ratio): 58/90 Family origin: not reported Setting: clinic Country: Sweden Cases: EM Controls: blood donors (n=200)			
Target condition(s)	EM			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	Tjernberg 2009 ¹¹²			
[ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], cut-off 0.0689		+		
	Index test +	97	5	102
	Index test –	51	166	217
	Total	148	171	319
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], cut-off 0.15		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	76	0	76
	Index test –	72	171	243
	Total	148	171	319
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (cut-off 0.0689) Sensitivity 0.66 Specificity 0.97 ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (cut-off 0.15) Sensitivity 0.51 Specificity 1.00			
Source of funding	Supported by government grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Trevejo 2001 ¹¹³
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients taken a median of 4 days after illness onset (acute phase) or 36 days after illness onset (convalescent phase) Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 74
Patient characteristics	Age, median: 41 years (3-83) Gender (male to female ratio): 41/33 Family origin: not reported Setting: primary care Country: USA Cases: EM (66 acute phase, 55 convalescent phase) Controls: healthy controls (n=38)
Target condition(s)	EM
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Trevejo 2001 ¹¹³			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM (acute phase)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	28	1	29
	Index test –	38	36	74
	Total	66	37	103
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM (acute phase)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	25	1	26
	Index test –	41	37	78
	Total	66	38	104
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM (convalescent phase)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	43	1	44
	Index test –	12	36	48
	Total	55	37	92
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM (convalescent phase)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	17	1	18
	Index test –	39	37	76
	Total	56	38	94
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM, acute phase) Sensitivity 0.42 Specificity 0.97 WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM, acute phase) Sensitivity 0.38			

Reference	Trevejo 2001 ¹¹³
	<p>Specificity 0.97</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM, convalescent phase) Sensitivity 0.78 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM, convalescent phase) Sensitivity 0.30 Specificity 0.97</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	<p>Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard</p> <p>Indirectness: none</p>

Reference	Tumani 1995 ¹¹⁴
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 24
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: university clinic Country: Germany Cases: acute neuroborreliosis Controls: disease controls (n=45), healthy controls (n=28)
Target condition(s)	Acute neuroborreliosis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests Bb-IgM-AI (antibody index: CSF, serum) Bb-IgG-AI Reference standard No reference standard Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not applicable

Reference	Tumani 1995 ¹¹⁴
Statistical measures	<p>Bb-IgM-AI Sensitivity 0.79 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>Bb-IgG-AI Sensitivity 0.63 Specificity 0.89</p> <p>All CSF values Sensitivity 0.70 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>3 out of 4 CSF values Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 0.98</p>
Source of funding	None reported
Limitations	<p>Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard</p> <p>Indirectness: none</p>

Reference	van Burgel 2011 ¹¹⁵
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: laboratory information system
Number of patients	n = 95
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: Lyme neuroborreliosis: 39 years (SD 24) LB: 51 years (SD 17) Gender (male to female ratio): 53/42 Family origin: not reported Setting: urban medical centres and hospitals Country: Netherlands Cases: Lyme neuroborreliosis (n=59), Lyme borreliosis (n=36) Controls: Infectious meningitis/encephalitis (n=69), Neurological controls (n=74)
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – AI Reference standard Lyme neuroborreliosis: 4 of the following 5 criteria: detection of B. burgdorferi antibodies in serum, CSF pleocytosis, absence of other evident cause of meningitis, evidence of intrathecal production of specific B. burgdorferi antibodies, objective neurological complaints with favourable outcome after treatment

Reference	van Burgel 2011 ¹¹⁵			
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) – AI]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	56	5	61
	Index test –	3	138	141
	Total	59	143	202
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM/IgG) - AI Sensitivity 0.95 Specificity 0.97			
Source of funding	None declared			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: serious			

Reference	van der Heijden 1999¹¹⁶			
Study type	Case-control study			
Study methodology	Data source: synovial fluid samples from patients Recruitment: not reported			
Number of patients	n = 4			
Patient characteristics	Age, median: 28 years (17-38) Gender (male to female ratio): 3/1 Family origin: not reported Setting: university clinic Country: Netherlands Cases: Lyme arthritis Controls: other arthritis forms (n=9)			
Target condition(s)	Lyme arthritis			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests PCR – synovial fluid Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	van der Heijden 1999 ¹¹⁶			
[PCR – synovial fluid]		+		
	Index test +	3	0	3
	Index test –	1	9	10
	Total	4	9	13
Statistical measures	PCR – synovial fluid Sensitivity 0.75 Specificity 1.00			
Source of funding	Not reported			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Vasiliu 1998 ¹¹⁷
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: synovial fluid samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 20
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: 39.2 years (SD 13.2) Gender (male to female ratio): 10/10 Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported

Reference	Vasiliu 1998 ¹¹⁷			
	Country: Germany Cases: Lyme arthritis Controls: rheumatic disease (n=10)			
Target condition(s)	Lyme arthritis			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests PCR – synovial fluid Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [PCR – synovial fluid]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	13	0	13
	Index test –	7	10	17
	Total	20	10	30
Statistical measures	PCR – synovial fluid Sensitivity 0.65 Specificity 1.00			
Source of funding	Not reported			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	von Baehr 2012¹¹⁸
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: venous blood samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 94
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Germany Cases: EM (n=28), Acute mono-arthritis (n=14), Bannwarth's syndrome (n=6), Migrating arthromyalgias (n=34), Facial palsy (n=5), Acute Lyme neuroborreliosis (n=7) Controls: Blood donors (n=120), Autoimmune diseases (n=40), Seropositive clinically healthy outdoor workers (n=48)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests Lymphocyte transformation test – venous blood Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	von Baehr 2012 ¹¹⁸			
2x2 table [LTT – venous blood]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	84	2	86
	Index test –	10	158	168
	Total	94	160	254
Statistical measures	LTT – venous blood Sensitivity 0.89 Specificity 0.99			
Source of funding	None declared			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	von Stedingk 1995 ¹¹⁹			
Study type	Case-control study			
Study methodology	Data source: skin samples from patients Recruitment: not reported			
Number of patients	n = 62			
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: department of dermatology Country: Sweden Cases: EM (n=26), ACA (n=36) Controls: Skin removed during plastic surgery (n=67), Volunteers among medical staff (n=5), Non-borreliosis disorders (n=4)			
Target condition(s)	EM, ACA			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests PCR - skin Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	von Stedingk 1995 ¹¹⁹			
[PCR - skin], EM		+		
	Index test +	18	0	18
	Index test -	8	76	8
	Total	26	76	102
2x2 table [PCR - skin], ACA		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	22	0	22
	Index test -	14	76	90
	Total	36	76	112
Statistical measures	PCR – skin (EM) Sensitivity 0.69 Specificity 1.00 PCR – skin (ACA) Sensitivity 0.61 Specificity 1.00			
Source of funding	Supported by industry grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Widhe 2004 ¹²¹
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 56
Patient characteristics	Age, median: Lyme neuroborreliosis: 51 years (22-80) EM: 54 years (30-78) ACA: 65 years (32-73) Gender (male to female ratio): 31/25 Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Sweden Cases: Lyme neuroborreliosis (n=39), EM (n=12), ACA (n=5) Controls: healthy blood donors (n=23)
Target condition(s)	EM, ACA, Neuroborreliosis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM) – serum ELISA (IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Widhe 2004 ¹²¹			
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	4	0	4
	Index test –	1	23	24
	Total	5	23	28
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	1	0	1
	Index test –	4	23	27
	Total	5	23	28
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], ACA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	3	0	3
	Index test –	2	23	25
	Total	5	23	28
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], ACA		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	5	0	5
	Index test –	0	23	23
	Total	5	23	28
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM) – serum], Lyme		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	11	0	11

Reference	Widhe 2004 ¹²¹			
neuroborreliosis	Index test –	17	23	40
	Total	28	23	51
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	23	0	23
	Index test –	6	23	29
	Total	29	23	52
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 1.00			
	ELISA (IgM) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.20 Specificity 1.00			
	ELISA (IgM) – serum (ACA) Sensitivity 0.60 Specificity 1.00			
	ELISA (IgM) – serum (ACA) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00			
	ELISA (IgM) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.39 Specificity 1.00			
	ELISA (IgM) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.79			

Reference	Widhe 2004¹²¹
	Specificity 1.00
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Wilske 1993¹²²
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 134
Patient characteristics	Age, range: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Germany Cases: EM (n=31), Lyme neuroborreliosis (n=60), Late Lyme disease (LA (n=24), ACA (n=19)) Controls: Blood donors (n=100), Antibodies against T. pallidum (n=20), Antibodies against Epstein-Barr virus (n=12), Rheumatoid factor (n=10)

Reference	Wilske 1993 ¹²²			
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease, EM, Neuroborreliosis			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM, flagellin) – serum ELISA (IgG, flagellin) – serum ELISA (IgM, OGP-ELISA) – serum ELISA (IgG, OGP-ELISA) – serum WB/IB (IgM, OspC-blot) – serum WB/IB (IgG, OspC-blot) – serum WB/IB (IgM, p41/i-blot) – serum WB/IB (IgG, p41/i-blot) – serum WB/IB (IgM, p100-blot) – serum WB/IB (IgG, p100-blot) – serum IFA (IgM) – serum IFA (IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, flagellin) – serum], all Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	48	6	54
	Index test –	86	136	222
	Total	134	142	276
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, flagellin) – serum], all		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	95	9	104
	Index test –	39	133	172

Reference	Wilske 1993 ¹²²			
Lyme disease	Total	134	142	276
2x2 table [IFA (IgM) – serum], all Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	31	4	35
	Index test –	103	138	241
	Total			
2x2 table [IFA (IgG) – serum], all Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	102	4	106
	Index test –	32	138	170
	Total	134	142	276
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, OGP) – serum], all Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	62	4	66
	Index test –	72	138	210
	Total	134	142	276
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, OGP) – serum], all Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	80	4	84
	Index test –	54	138	192
	Total	134	142	276
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM, OspC-blot) – serum], all		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	58	4	62
	Index test –	76	138	214

Reference	Wilske 1993 ¹²²			
Lyme disease	Total	134	142	276
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, OspC-blot) – serum], all Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	19	1	20
	Index test –	115	141	256
	Total	134	142	276
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM, p100) – serum], all Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	17	1	18
	Index test –	117	141	258
	Total	134	142	276
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, p100) – serum], all Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	68	9	77
	Index test –	66	133	199
	Total	134	142	276
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM, p41/i) – serum], all Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	20	1	21
	Index test –	114	141	255
	Total	134	142	276
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, p41/i) – serum], all		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	43	6	49
	Index test –	91	136	227

Reference	Wilske 1993 ¹²²			
Lyme disease	Total	134	142	276
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, flagellin) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	12	6	18
	Index test –	19	136	155
	Total	31	142	173
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, flagellin) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	12	9	21
	Index test –	19	133	152
	Total	31	142	173
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, flagellin) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	30	6	36
	Index test –	30	136	166
	Total	60	142	202
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, flagellin) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	45	9	54
	Index test –	15	133	148
	Total	60	142	202
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, flagellin) – serum], all		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	38	9	47
	Index test –	5	133	138

Reference	Wilske 1993 ¹²²			
Lyme disease	Total	43	142	185
2x2 table [IFA (IgM) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	10	4	14
	Index test –	21	138	159
	Total	31	142	173
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, flagellin) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	14	4	18
	Index test –	17	138	155
	Total	31	142	173
2x2 table [IFA (IgM) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	18	4	22
	Index test –	42	138	180
	Total	60	142	202
2x2 table [IFA (IgG) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	45	4	49
	Index test –	15	138	153
	Total	60	142	202
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, flagellin) – serum], late		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	6	6	12
	Index test –	37	136	173

Reference	Wilske 1993 ¹²²			
Lyme disease	Total	43	142	185
2x2 table [IFA (IgM) – serum], late Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	2	4	6
	Index test –	41	138	179
	Total	43	142	185
2x2 table [IFA (IgG) – serum], late Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	43	4	47
	Index test –	0	138	138
	Total	43	142	185
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, OGB) – serum], late Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	10	4	14
	Index test –	33	138	171
	Total	43	142	185
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM, OspC) – serum], late Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	17	4	21
	Index test –	26	138	164
	Total	43	142	185
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, OspC) – serum], late		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	1	8
	Index test –	36	141	177

Reference	Wilske 1993 ¹²²			
Lyme disease	Total	43	142	185
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM, p100) – serum], late Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	8	1	9
	Index test –	35	141	176
	Total	43	142	185
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, p100) – serum], late Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	43	9	52
	Index test –	0	133	133
	Total	43	142	185
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM, p41/i) – serum], late Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	5	1	6
	Index test –	38	141	179
	Total	43	142	185
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, p41/i) – serum], late Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	26	6	32
	Index test –	17	136	153
	Total	43	142	185
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, OGP) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	14	4	18
	Index test –	17	138	155

Reference	Wilske 1993 ¹²²			
	Total	31	142	173
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, OGP) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	11	4	15
	Index test –	20	138	158
	Total	31	142	173
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM, OGP) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	37	4	41
	Index test –	23	138	161
	Total	60	142	202
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, OGP) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	27	4	31
	Index test –	33	138	171
	Total	60	142	202
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, OGP) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	43	4	47
	Index test –	0	138	138
	Total	43	142	185
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM, OspC) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	14	4	18
	Index test –	17	138	155

Reference	Wilske 1993 ¹²²			
	Total	31	142	173
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, OspC) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	3	1	4
	Index test –	28	141	169
	Total	31	142	173
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM, OspC) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	26	4	30
	Index test –	34	138	172
	Total	60	142	202
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, OspC) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	1	10
	Index test –	51	141	192
	Total	60	142	202
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM, p100) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	3	1	4
	Index test –	28	141	169
	Total	31	142	173
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, p100) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	9	16
	Index test –	24	133	157

Reference	Wilske 1993 ¹²²			
	Total	31	142	173
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM, p100) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	1	8
	Index test –	53	141	194
	Total	60	142	202
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, p100) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	26	9	35
	Index test –	34	133	167
	Total	60	142	202
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM, p41/i) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	3	1	4
	Index test –	28	141	169
	Total	31	142	173
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, p41/i) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	2	6	8
	Index test –	29	136	165
	Total	31	142	173
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM, p41/i) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	12	1	13
	Index test –	48	141	189

Reference	Wilske 1993 ¹²²				
	Total	60	142	202	
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, p41/i) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	
	Index test +	15	6	21	
	Index test –	45	136	181	
	Total	60	142	202	
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM, flagellin) – serum (all Lyme) Sensitivity 0.36 Specificity 0.96				
	ELISA (IgG, flagellin) – serum (all Lyme) Sensitivity 0.71 Specificity 0.94				
	IFA (IgM) – serum (all Lyme) Sensitivity 0.23 Specificity 0.97				
	IFA (IgG) – serum (all Lyme) Sensitivity 0.76 Specificity 0.97				
	ELISA (IgM, OGP) – serum (all Lyme) Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 0.97				
	ELISA (IgG, OGP) – serum (all Lyme) Sensitivity 0.60 Specificity 0.97				

Reference	Wilske 1993 ¹²²
	WB/IB (IgM, OspC-blot) – serum (all Lyme) Sensitivity 0.43 Specificity 0.97
	WB/IB (IgG, OspC-blot) – serum (all Lyme) Sensitivity 0.14 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgM, p100-blot) – serum (all Lyme) Sensitivity 0.13 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgG, p100-blot) – serum (all Lyme) Sensitivity 0.51 Specificity 0.94
	WB/IB (IgM, p41/i-blot) – serum (all Lyme) Sensitivity 0.15 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgG, p41/i-blot) – serum (all Lyme) Sensitivity 0.32 Specificity 0.96
	ELISA (IgM, flagellin) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.39 Specificity 0.96
	ELISA (IgG, flagellin) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.39

Reference	Wilske 1993 ¹²²
	Specificity 0.94
	ELISA (IgM, flagellin) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity 0.96
	ELISA (IgG, flagellin) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.75 Specificity 0.94
	ELISA (IgG, flagellin) – serum (unspecified Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.88 Specificity 0.94
	IFA (IgM) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.32 Specificity 0.97
	IFA (IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.45 Specificity 0.97
	IFA (IgM) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.30 Specificity 0.97
	IFA (IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.75 Specificity 0.97
	ELISA (IgM, flagellin) – serum (late Lyme)

Reference	Wilske 1993 ¹²²
	Sensitivity 0.14 Specificity 0.96
	IFA (IgM) – serum (late Lyme) Sensitivity 0.05 Specificity 0.97
	IFA (IgG) – serum (late Lyme) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.97
	ELISA (IgM, OGP) – serum (late Lyme) Sensitivity 0.23 Specificity 0.97
	WB/IB (IgM, OspC) – serum (late Lyme) Sensitivity 0.40 Specificity 0.97
	WB/IB (IgG, OspC) – serum (late Lyme) Sensitivity 0.16 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgM, p100) – serum (late Lyme) Sensitivity 0.19 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgG, p100) – serum (late Lyme) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.94

Reference	Wilske 1993 ¹²²
	WB/IB (IgM,p41/i) – serum (late Lyme) Sensitivity 0.12 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgG, p41/i) – serum (late Lyme) Sensitivity 0.60 Specificity 0.96
	ELISA (IgM, OGP) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.45 Specificity 0.97
	ELISA (IgG, OGP) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.35 Specificity 0.97
	ELISA (IgM, OGP) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.62 Specificity 0.97
	ELISA (IgG, OGP) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.45 Specificity 0.97
	ELISA (IgG, OGP) – serum (unspecified Lyme disease) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.97
	WB/IB (IgM, OspC) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.45 Specificity 0.97

Reference	Wilske 1993 ¹²²
	WB/IB (IgG, OspC) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.10 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgM, OspC) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.43 Specificity 0.97
	WB/IB (IgG, OspC) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.15 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgM, p100) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.10 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgG, p100) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.23 Specificity 0.94
	WB/IB (IgM, p100) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.12 Specificity 0.99
	WB/IB (IgG, p100) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.43 Specificity 0.94
	WB/IB (IgM, p41/i) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.10

Reference	Wilske 1993 ¹²²
	<p>Specificity 0.99</p> <p>WB/IB (IgM, p41/i) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.06 Specificity 0.96</p> <p>WB/IB (IgM, p41/i) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.20 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>WB/IB (IgM, p41/i) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.25 Specificity 0.96</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	<p>Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard</p> <p>Indirectness: none</p>

Reference	Wilske 1999 ¹²³
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 147
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Germany Cases: EM (n=66), Lyme neuroborreliosis (n=42), Acrodermatitis (n=29), Lyme arthritis (n=10) Controls: Blood donors (n=118), Syphilis (n=11), Rheumatoid factor (n=10)
Target condition(s)	Early Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgM) – serum WB/IB (IgG) – serum WB/IB (IgM/IgG) - serum CLIA (IgM/IgG) - serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Wilske 1999 ¹²³			
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, recombinant (new)) – serum], late Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	38	3	41
	Index test –	1	136	137
	Total	39	139	178
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, recombinant (old)) – serum], late Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	29	3	32
	Index test –	10	136	146
	Total			
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, whole cell) – serum], late Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	39	3	42
	Index test –	0	136	136
	Total	39	139	178
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, recombinant (new)) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	3	10
	Index test –	59	136	185
	Total	66	139	205
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, recombinant		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	19	3	22

Reference	Wilske 1999 ¹²³			
(new) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis	Index test –	23	136	159
	Total	42	139	181
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, recombinant (old)) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	3	3	6
	Index test –	63	136	199
	Total	66	139	205
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, recombinant (old)) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	12	3	15
	Index test –	30	136	166
	Total	42	139	181
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, whole cell) – serum], EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	22	3	25
	Index test –	44	136	180
	Total	66	139	205
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgG, whole cell) – serum], Lyme neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	24	3	27
	Index test –	18	136	154
	Total	42	139	181
Statistical measures	WB/IB (IgG, recombinant (new)) – serum (late Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.97			

Reference	Wilske 1999 ¹²³
	Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgG, recombinant (old)) – serum (late Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.74 Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgG, whole cell) – serum (late Lyme disease) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgG, recombinant (new)) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.11 Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgG, recombinant (new)) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.45 Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgG, recombinant (old)) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.05 Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgG, recombinant (old)) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.29 Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgG, whole cell) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.33 Specificity 0.98
	WB/IB (IgG, whole cell) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis)

Reference	Wilske 1999¹²³
	Sensitivity 0.57 Specificity 0.98
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

1 **D.1.3 Cohort studies (children)**

2 © NICE 2017. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.
44

Reference	Avery 2005 ³
Study type	Cohort study
Study methodology	Data source: CSF samples from children Recruitment: children presenting to the children's hospital
Number of patients	n = 108
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): Lyme meningitis: 9.0 years (2.7-13.0) Aseptic meningitis: 9.6 years (3.1-17.8) Gender (male to female ratio): 75/33 Family origin: not reported Setting: children's hospital Country: USA Meningitis and suspected Lyme disease (defined as both Lyme serology and Lyme CSF-PCR ordered by physician)
Target condition(s)	Lyme meningitis
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests PCR – CSF Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (EM) plus positive serology

Reference	Avery 2005 ³				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme meningitis PCR Serum
	Index test +	1	1	2	
	Index test -	19	87	106	
	Total	20	88	108	
Statistical measures	PCR – CSF Sensitivity 0.05 Specificity 0.99				
Source of funding	None declared				
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none				

Reference	Barstad 2017 ⁵
Study type	Cohort study
Study methodology	<p>Data source: CSF samples from children</p> <p>Recruitment: children aged 3 months to 18 years admitted to five different paediatric departments in the region with symptoms suggestive of Neuroborreliosis; eligible for inclusion if Neuroborreliosis or other possible causes of aseptic meningitis were suspected based on symptoms identified by attending physician and if a lumbar puncture and a Bb Ab in the CSF were ordered for clinical reasons</p>
Number of patients	n = 210
Patient characteristics	<p>Age, median (IQR): Confirmed Lyme neuroborreliosis (n=59): 6.5 (5, 8.5); probable Lyme neuroborreliosis (n=18): 5.5 (4, 9); possible Lyme neuroborreliosis (n=7): 6.5 (5.5, 13.5); non-Lyme aseptic meningitis (n=12): 7.5 (3.4, 10.3); possible peripheral Lyme neuroborreliosis (n=7): 11 (7, 13); non-meningitis (n=91): 10 (7.5, 14.5); negative controls (n=16): 12 (8.8, 14.5)</p> <p>Gender (male to female ratio): 93/117</p> <p>Family origin: not reported</p> <p>Setting: paediatric departments, multi-centre</p> <p>Country: Norway</p> <p>Children who had antibiotics prior to admission were excluded</p>
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis
Index test(s) and reference standard	<p>Index tests CXCL13 - CSF</p> <p>Reference standard Clinical diagnosis according to European guidelines</p>

Reference	Barstad 2017 ⁵			
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [CXCL13 – CSF], cut-off 18 pg/ml		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	57	3	60
	Index test –	2	116	118
	Total	59	119	178
2x2 table [CXCL13 – CSF], cut-off 81 pg/ml		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	55	2	57
	Index test –	4	117	121
	Total	59	119	178
2x2 table [CXCL13 – CSF], cut-off 213 pg/ml		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	54	0	54
	Index test –	5	119	124
	Total	59	119	178
Statistical measures	CXCL13 – CSF (18 pg/ml) Sensitivity 0.97 Specificity 0.97 CXCL13 – CSF (81 pg/ml) Sensitivity 0.93 Specificity 0.98 CXCL13 – CSF (213 pg/ml)			

Reference	Barstad 2017 ⁵
	Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 1.00
Source of funding	Supported by government and academic funding
Limitations	Risk of bias: reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Bennet 2008 ⁶				
Study type	Cohort study				
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from children Recruitment: all children who had a Borrelia antibody analysis performed in both CSF and serum from May 2000 to April 2001 at the hospital				
Number of patients	n = 267				
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: children's hospital Country: Sweden				
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM) – serum ELISA (IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical assessment: Based on history, presenting symptoms, clinical examinations, CSF and serum analyses, response to antibiotic treatment Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis

Reference	Bennet 2008 ⁶				
		+			ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	52	34	86	
	Index test -	18	142	160	
	Total	70	176	246	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	33	3	36	
	Index test -	37	173	210	
	Total	70	176	246	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	5	34	39	
	Index test -	1	142	143	
	Total	6	176	182	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	0	3	3	
	Index test -	6	173	179	
	Total	6	176	182	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Facial palsy ELISA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	7	34	41	
	Index test -	8	142	150	
	Total	15	176	191	
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard -	Total	Facial palsy

Reference	Bennet 2008 ⁶				
		+			ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	0	3	3	
	Index test -	15	173	188	
	Total	15	176	191	
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgM) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.83 Specificity 0.81				
	ELISA (IgG) – serum (EM) Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.98				
	ELISA (IgM) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.74 Specificity 0.81				
	ELISA (IgG) – serum (Lyme neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.47 Specificity 0.98				
	ELISA (IgM) – serum (FP) Sensitivity 0.47 Specificity 0.81				
	ELISA (IgG) – serum (FP) Sensitivity 0.00 Specificity 0.98				
Source of funding	Not reported				

Reference	Bennet 2008⁶
Limitations	Risk of bias: index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Lipsett 2016⁶²
Study type	Cohort study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from children Recruitment: children undergoing serological testing for Lyme disease
Number of patients	n = 944
Patient characteristics	Age, median (IQR): 10.9 years (6.4-15.2) Gender (male to female ratio): 421/524 Family origin: not reported Setting: hospital-based laboratory Country: USA Children and adolescents undergoing serologic evaluation for Lyme disease
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA C6 - serum ELISA (WCS – serum)

Reference	Lipsett 2016 ⁶²				
	Reference standard Clinician-diagnosed EM or a positive 2-tiered serologic result in the presence of a Lyme disease-associated clinical syndrome				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA C6 Serum
	Index test +	91	48	139	
	Index test -	23	782	805	
	Total	114	830	944	
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA WCS Serum
	Index test +	100	160	260	
	Index test -	14	670	674	
	Total	114	830	944	
Statistical measures	ELISA C6 – serum Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 0.94 ELISA WCS – serum Sensitivity 0.88 Specificity 0.81				
Source of funding	Academic grants				
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none				

1 **D.1.4 Case-control studies (children)**

2 © NICE 2017. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.
43

Reference	Gerber 1995 ²⁶
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: children diagnosed with solitary EM at 5 paediatric offices and controls from an earlier investigation of streptococcal pharyngitis Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 82 cases, 50 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, median (range): cases 6 years (1-18), controls 9 years (3-17) Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: 5 paediatric offices Country: USA Cases: children diagnosed with a solitary EM Controls: children from whom serum specimens had been collected as part of an earlier investigation of streptococcal pharyngitis, no history of Lyme disease and Lyme disease not endemic in the area
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) Immunoblot (IgM) Reference standard

Reference	Gerber 1995 ²⁶				
	Clinical diagnosis				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: samples collected median 2 days (range 0-30) after EM was first detected				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM) rOspC Serum
	Index test +	38	1	39	
	Index test -	44	49	93	
	Total	82	50	132	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM) whole-cell Serum
	Index test +	23	0	23	
	Index test -	59	50	109	
	Total	82	50	132	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	24	0	24	
	Index test -	58	50	108	
	Total	82	50	132	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM rOspC (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 0.98				
	Index test: ELISA IgM whole-cell (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.28 Specificity 1.00				
	Index test: Immunoblot IgM (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.29				

Reference	Gerber 1995²⁶
	Specificity 1.00
Source of funding	Public Health Service, Apollo Kingsley award from Connecticut Innovations Inc., Department of Economics Development, State of Connecticut and departmental funds
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Heikkilä 2002³⁸
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: not reported Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 52 cases, 40 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: Finland Cases: children with well-characterised Lyme arthritis from Germany Controls: children with other inflammatory joint diseases (20), healthy blood donors (20)
Target	Lyme disease

Reference	Heikkilä 2002 ³⁸				
condition(s)					
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis ELISA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	40	2	42	
	Index test -	12	38	50	
	Total	52	40	92	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgG (serum) – arthritis Sensitivity 0.77 Specificity 0.95				
Source of funding	Foundation for Paediatric Research, Finland and the National Technology Agency (Tekes), Finland				
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard, flow and timing Indirectness: none				
Comments	Adult data not analysable as control numbers are not reported				

Reference	Krbková 2016 ⁵¹
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: children admitted to the Department of Children's Infectious Diseases in a Lyme endemic area Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 116 cases, 66 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: Department of Clinical Biochemistry and Department of Clinical Microbiology, University Hospital Country: Czech Republic Cases: children with proven neuroborreliosis, manifested by aseptic meningitis, facial/abducens palsy or both (86) and children with suspicion of neuroborreliosis (30) Controls: children with neuroinfections other than neuroborreliosis – tick-borne encephalitis (3), enteroviral meningitis (3), other viral meningitis (10), isolated facial palsy of non-borrelial aetiology (22), myelitis (1), or children with negative CSF finding in which neuroinfection had been excluded
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM) ELISA (IgG) Immunoblot (IgM) Immunoblot (IgG)

Reference	Krbková 2016 ⁵¹				
	Reference standard				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard:				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) recombinant CSF
	Index test +	22	0	22	
	Index test -	64	66	130	
	Total	86	66	152	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) recombinant Serum
	Index test +	43	4	47	
	Index test -	43	62	105	
	Total	86	66	152	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) whole-cell CSF
	Index test +	37	0	37	
	Index test -	49	66	115	
	Total	86	66	152	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM) whole-cell Serum
	Index test +	47	11	58	
	Index test -	39	55	94	
	Total	86	66	152	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG) recombinant CSF	
Index test +	69	2	71		
Index test -	17	64	81		
Total	86	66	152		

Reference	Krbková 2016 ⁵¹				
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG) recombinant Serum
Index test +	75	12	87		
Index test -	11	54	65		
Total	86	66	152		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG) whole-cell CSF
Index test +	55	0	55		
Index test -	31	66	97		
Total	86	66	152		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgG) whole-cell Serum
Index test +	63	13	76		
Index test -	23	53	76		
Total	86	66	152		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgM) CSF
Index test +	11	0	11		
Index test -	75	66	141		
Total	86	66	152		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgM) Serum
Index test +	31	2	33		
Index test -	55	64	119		
Total	86	66	152		
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total		Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgG) CSF
Index test +	31	2	33		
Index test -	55	64	119		

Reference	Krbková 2016 ⁵¹				
	Total	86	66	152	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	47	6	53	
	Index test -	39	60	99	
	Total	86	66	152	
Statistical measures	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM recombinant (CSF) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.26 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM recombinant (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity 0.94</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM whole-cell (CSF) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.43 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM whole-cell (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.55 Specificity 0.83</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG recombinant (CSF) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG recombinant (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.87 Specificity 0.82</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG whole-cell (CSF) - neuroborreliosis</p>				

Reference	Krbková 2016⁵¹
	<p>Sensitivity 0.64 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG whole-cell (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.73 Specificity 0.80</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM (CSF) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.13 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.36 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (CSF) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.36 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.55 Specificity 0.91</p>
Source of funding	Study was not sponsored
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	Suspicion of neuroborreliosis not included in analysis because there is no clear reference standard
Reference	Skogman 2008¹⁰³
Study type	Case-control study

Reference	Skogman 2008 ¹⁰³				
Study methodology	Data source: CSF samples from children before treatment Recruitment: not reported				
Number of patients	n = 24				
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: clinic Country: Sweden Cases: Children with Neuroborreliosis Controls: Children with other neurological diseases (n=20), Adults with no proven infection (n=16)				
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgG) – CSF Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (based on clinical features and lab findings) Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis

Reference	Skogman 2008 ¹⁰³				
	Index test +	32	0	32	ELISA (IgG) CSF
	Index test -	8	36	44	
	Total	40	36	76	
Statistical measures	ELISA (IgG) - CSF Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 1.00				
Source of funding	Not reported				
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none				

Reference	Wutte 2011 ¹²⁴
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from children Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 75 (33 children, 42 adults)
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): 19 years (SD 3) Gender (male to female ratio): 17/5 Family origin: not reported Setting: clinic Country: Sweden

Reference	Wutte 2011 ¹²⁴				
	Cases: People with neuroborreliosis (15 children, 7 adults)				
	Controls: Healthy blood donors (n=300)				
Target condition(s)	Neuroborreliosis				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests CXCL13 - serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (German Neurological Society guidelines) Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Lyme neuroborreliosis CXCL13 Serum
	Index test +	16	39	55	
	Index test -	6	261	267	
	Total	22	300	322	
Statistical measures	CXCL13 - serum Sensitivity 0.73 Specificity 0.87				
Source of funding	Not reported				
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: serious				

D.2 Confirmatory tests

Reference	Blaauw 1999 ⁸			
Study type	Cohort study			
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: Diagnosed or suspected chronic Lyme with musculoskeletal complaints			
Number of patients	n = 105			
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (range): 48.7 years (6-82) Gender (male to female ratio): 41/62 Family origin: not reported Setting: university hospital Country: Netherlands			
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests IB (IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	Blaauw 1999 ⁸			
[IB (IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		+		
	Index test +	10	7	17
	Index test –	0	5	5
	Total	10	12	22
Statistical measures	IB (IgG) – serum (unspec Lyme disease) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.42			
Source of funding	None declared			
Limitations	Risk of bias: index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Christova 2003 ¹⁶
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: not reported
	Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 105 cases, 90 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported
	Gender (male to female ratio): not reported
	Family origin: not reported
	Setting: Microbiology department, National Centre of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases
	Country: Bulgaria

Reference	Christova 2003 ¹⁶				
	Cases: patients with EM lesions (105)				
	Controls: healthy blood donors (90)				
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) Immunofluorescence assay (IgM) Immunofluorescence assay (IgG) Immunoblot (IgM) Immunoblot (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM IFA (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	24	0	24	
	Index test -	27	90	117	
	Total	51	90	141	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM IFA (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	15	0	15	
	Index test -	3	90	93	
	Total	18	90	108	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgM)
Index test +	36	0	36		

Reference	Christova 2003 ¹⁶				
	Index test -	15	90	105	Serum
	Total	51	90	141	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test +	12	0	12	
	Index test -	6	90	96	
	Total	18	90	108	
Statistical measures	<p>Index test: IFA IgM (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.47 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: IFA IgG (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.83 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgM (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.71 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: Immunoblot IgG (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.67 Specificity 1.00</p>				
Source of funding	Not reported				
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none				
Comments	-				

Reference	Coyle 1993 ¹⁸				
-----------	--------------------------	--	--	--	--

Reference	Coyle 1993 ¹⁸
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients from an endemic region presenting with clinical or laboratory evidence of <i>B. burgdorferi</i> infection and neurological complaints Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 77 cases, 34 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (range): 34 years (3 – 84) Gender (male to female ratio): Lyme disease 33:44 Family origin: not reported Setting: Department of Neurology Country: USA Cases: clinical/laboratory evidence of <i>B. burgdorferi</i> infection and neurological complaints, only patients who underwent lumbar puncture as part of a work-up for neurologic Lyme disease and in whom sufficient CSF was collected, 24 had received prior antibiotic treatment but had persistent symptoms, 5 were currently receiving antibiotics Controls: other neurological diseases
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) Immunoblot (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis

Reference	Coyle 1993 ¹⁸				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis Immunoblot (IgG) CSF
	Index test +	12	0	12	
	Index test -	10	11	21	
	Total	22	11	33	
Statistical measures	Index test: Immunoblot IgG (CSF) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.55 Specificity 1.00				
Source of funding	NIH grants, New York State grant for Lyme disease research, East End Lyme foundation				
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: serious (adults and children)				
Comments	-				

Reference	Magnarelli 1992 ⁶⁶
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples previously used Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 53
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported

Reference	Magnarelli 1992 ⁶⁶			
	Family origin: not reported Setting: tick-infested area Country: USA Cases: EM with antibodies (n=17), EM without antibodies (n=36) Controls: healthy persons (n=40)			
Target condition(s)	EM with or without antibodies			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgG, recombinant) – serum ELISA (IgG, whole-cell) - serum Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, recombinant) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	16	0	16
	Index test –	1	40	41
	Total	17	40	57
2x2 table [ELISA (IgG, whole-cell) – serum]		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	17	0	16
	Index test –	0	40	41
	Total	17	40	57

Reference	Magnarelli 1992 ⁶⁶
Statistical measures	<p>ELISA (IgG, recombinant) – serum Sensitivity 0.94 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA (IgG, whole-cell) – serum Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p>
Source of funding	Supported by CDC grants and grants from the National Institutes of Health and the Mathers Foundation.
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Trevejo 2001 ¹¹³
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	<p>Data source: serum samples from patients taken a median of 4 days after illness onset (acute phase) or 36 days after illness onset (convalescent phase)</p> <p>Recruitment: not reported</p>
Number of patients	n = 74
Patient characteristics	<p>Age, median: 41 years (3-83)</p> <p>Gender (male to female ratio): 41/33</p> <p>Family origin: not reported</p> <p>Setting: primary care</p>

Reference	Trevejo 2001 ¹¹³				
	Country: USA				
	Cases: EM (66 acute phase, 55 convalescent phase) Controls: healthy controls (n=38)				
Target condition(s)	EM				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests WB/IB (IgM/IgG) - serum				
	Reference standard Clinical diagnosis				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM (acute phase)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	
	Index test +	21	1	22	
	Index test –	7	37	44	
	Total	28	38	66	
2x2 table [WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM (convalescent phase)		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	
	Index test +	16	1	17	
	Index test –	27	37	64	
	Total	43	38	81	
Statistical measures	WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM, acute phase) Sensitivity 0.75				

Reference	Trevejo 2001 ¹¹³
	Specificity 0.97 WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum (EM, convalescent phase) Sensitivity 0.37 Specificity 0.97
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: none

D.3 Combination tests

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: validation studies for B. burgdorferi antibody assays from 8 laboratories Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 369 cases, 228 healthy controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: 8 laboratories Country: the Netherlands

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹				
	<p>Cases: patients with typical manifestations of Lyme borreliosis (according to ESGBOR guidelines – EM clinical information or positive PCR on skin biopsy, ACA clinical information or positive PCR and matching histopathology, neuroborreliosis defined as meningoradiculitis and/or bilateral facial palsy, CSF pleocytosis and/or positive CSF PCR for Borrelia spp., arthritis defined as monoarthritis of the knee and/or positive PCR for Borrelia spp. In synovial fluid or synovial biopsy</p> <p>Controls : healthy controls from healthcare workers for a check on hepatitis B vaccination and from stem cell donors</p>				
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease				
Index test(s) and reference standard	<p>Index test(s) ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) – serum ELISA (C6) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) – serum</p> <p>Reference standard ESGBOR guidelines: EM clinical information or positive PCR on skin biopsy, ACA clinical information or positive PCR and matching histopathology, neuroborreliosis defined as meningoradiculitis and/or bilateral facial palsy, CSF pleocytosis and/or positive CSF PCR for Borrelia spp., arthritis defined as monoarthritis of the knee and/or positive PCR for Borrelia spp. In synovial fluid or synovial biopsy</p> <p>Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported</p>				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM
	Index test +	40	0	40	ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test -	18	90	108	Serum
	Total	58	90	148	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM
	Index test +	42	0	42	ELISA (C6) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test -	24	104	128	Serum
	Total	66	104	170	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis
	Index test +	29	0	29	ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)

Reference	Ang 2015 ¹				
	Index test –	1	90	91	Serum
	Total	30	90	120	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (C6) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	47	0	47	
	Index test –	4	104	108	
	Total	51	104	155	
Statistical measures	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.69 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA C6 + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.64 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.97 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA C6 + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – Neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.92 Specificity 1.00</p>				
Source of funding	Not reported				
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none				
Comments	Borderline results excluded from the analysis as the study authors did not necessarily interpret them as positive evidence of infection				

Reference	Bacon 2003 ⁴
-----------	-------------------------

Reference	Bacon 2003 ⁴
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: panel of 839 samples Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 280 cases, 559 controls (257 healthy controls used in the analysis)
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: not reported Country: USA Cases: patients with acute Lyme disease (80), early convalescent Lyme disease (106), early neurologic (15), early neurologic convalescent (11), arthritis (33), arthritis convalescent (24), late neurologic (11) Controls: healthy individuals (257), evidence of autoimmune disease or spirochaetal infection other than Lyme disease (302)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) – serum 10 Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (CDC criteria) Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported

Reference	Bacon 2003 ⁴				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Acute disseminated EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	19	0	19	
	Index test -	19	257	276	
	Total	38	257	295	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Acute single EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	11	0	11	
	Index test -	31	257	288	
	Total	42	257	299	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early convalescent disseminated EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	33	0	33	
	Index test -	13	257	270	
	Total	46	257	303	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early convalescent single EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	38	0	38	
	Index test -	22	257	279	
	Total	60	257	317	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early neurologic convalescent ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	9	0	9	
	Index test -	2	257	259	
	Total	11	257	268	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early neurologic ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum	
Index test +	11	0	11		
Index test -	0	257	257		

Reference	Bacon 2003 ⁴				
	Total	11	257	268	Late neurologic ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
	Index test +	13	1	14	
	Index test -	2	99	101	
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis convalescent ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	23	0	23	
	Index test -	1	257	258	
	Total	24	257	281	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	32	0	32	
	Index test -	1	257	258	
	Total	33	257	290	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	189	0	189	
Index test -	91	257	348		
Total	280	257	537		
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) - acute disseminated EM Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity 1.00 Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) - acute single EM Sensitivity 0.26 Specificity 1.00 Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) - early convalescent disseminated EM				

Reference	Bacon 2003 ⁴
	<p>Sensitivity 0.72 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) - early convalescent single EM Sensitivity 0.63 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) - early neurologic convalescent Sensitivity 0.82 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) - early neurologic Sensitivity 0.87 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) - late neurologic Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) - arthritis convalescent Sensitivity 0.96 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 0.97 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) - unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.68 Specificity 1.00</p>

Reference	Bacon 2003 ⁴
Source of funding	National Center for Research Resources, NIH, CDC
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	Separate IgM and IgG immunoblots used

Reference	Branda 2010 ⁹
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients meeting the CDC criteria for Lyme disease, healthy controls and disease controls Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 162 cases, 269 controls (166 healthy controls used in analysis)
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: 2 medical centres Country: USA Cases: patients meeting the CDC criteria for Lyme disease – culture confirmed EM (106), acute neuritis/carditis (27), arthritis/late neuritis (29) Controls: healthy controls (166), other illnesses (103)
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease

Reference	Branda 2010 ⁹				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) ELISA (IgM/IgG) + VlsE band ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG with VlsE band) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (CDC criteria) Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Acute neuritis/carditis ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	17	0	17	
	Index test -	10	166	176	
	Total	27	166	193	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Acute neuritis/carditis ELISA (IgM/IgG) + VlsE band Serum
	Index test +	26	0	26	
	Index test -	1	166	167	
	Total	27	166	193	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Acute neuritis/carditis ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG with VlsE band) Serum
	Index test +	26	0	26	
	Index test -	1	166	167	
	Total	27	166	193	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis/late neuritis ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum	
Index test +	29	0	29		
Index test -	0	166	166		
Total	29	166	195		

Reference	Branda 2010 ⁹				
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis/late neuritis ELISA (IgM/IgG) + VlsE band Serum
	Index test +	28	0	28	
	Index test -	1	166	167	
	Total	29	166	195	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis/late neuritis ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG with VlsE band) Serum
	Index test +	29	0	29	
	Index test -	0	166	166	
	Total	29	166	195	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – acute neuritis/carditis Sensitivity 0.63 Specificity 1.00				
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + VlsE band (serum) – acute neuritis/carditis Sensitivity 0.96 Specificity 1.00				
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG with VlsE band – acute neuritis/carditis Sensitivity 0.96 Specificity 1.00				
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – arthritis/late neuritis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00				
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + VlsE band (serum) – arthritis/late neuritis Sensitivity 0.97 Specificity 1.00				
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG with VlsE band – arthritis/late neuritis				

Reference	Branda 2010 ⁹
	Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00
Source of funding	Research grant from Viramed Biotech
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	Acute and convalescent phase EM not included in the analysis - more samples than patients

Reference	Branda 2011 ¹⁰
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: Phase 1 - well-characterised Lyme disease patients and symptomatic controls who had been evaluated by Lyme disease experts Phase 2 – serum samples submitted for Lyme disease testing with review of medical records and healthy controls during routine visits Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 169 cases, 1300 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: general hospital Country: USA

Reference	Branda 2011 ¹⁰				
	Cases: EM (114), acute neuritis or carditis (26), arthritis or late neuritis (29)				
	Controls : symptomatic patients not meeting CDC criteria for Lyme disease (54), healthy controls during routine visits (1246)				
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) ELISA (WCS) + ELISA (C6) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (CDC surveillance criteria) Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	48	7	55	
	Index test -	66	1293	1359	
	Total	114	1300	1414	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (WCS) + ELISA (C6) Serum
	Index test +	60	7	67	
	Index test -	54	1293	1347	
	Total	114	1300	1414	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Acute neuritis/carditis ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	19	7	26	
	Index test -	7	1293	1300	
	Total	26	1300	1326	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Acute neuritis/carditis	

Reference	Branda 2011 ¹⁰				
	Index test +	26	7	33	ELISA (WCS) + ELISA (C6) Serum
	Index test -	0	1293	1293	
	Total	26	1300	1326	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis/late neuritis ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	29	7	36	
	Index test -	0	1293	1293	
	Total	29	1300	1329	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis/late neuritis ELISA (WCS) + ELISA (C6) Serum
	Index test +	29	7	36	
	Index test -	0	1293	1293	
	Total	29	1300	1329	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	96	7	103	
	Index test -	73	1293	1366	
	Total	169	1300	1469	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (WCS) + ELISA (C6) Serum
Index test +	115	7	122		
Index test -	54	1293	1347		
Total	169	1300	1469		
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – EM Sensitivity 0.42 Specificity 0.99				
	Index test: ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.53				

Reference	Branda 2011 ¹⁰
	<p>Specificity 0.99</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – acute neuritis/carditis Sensitivity 0.73 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>Index test: ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 (serum) - acute neuritis/carditis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – arthritis/late neuritis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>Index test: ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 (serum) - arthritis/late neuritis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.57 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>Index test: ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 (serum) - unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.68 Specificity 0.99</p>
Source of funding	CDC, the English, Bonter, Mitchell Foundation, the Eshe Fund and the Lyme Disease and Arthritis Research Fund at Massachusetts General Hospital
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: patients evaluated at a Lyme borreliosis outpatient clinic meeting European criteria for Lyme disease and blood donors. Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 64 cases, 100 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: Lyme borreliosis outpatient clinic Country: Slovenia Cases: patients meeting European criteria for Lyme disease: EM (20, 15 with positive cultures), neuroborreliosis with CSF pleocytosis, concomitant EM isolation of <i>B. burgdorferi</i> spirochetes from CSF or demonstration of intrathecal synthesis of specific antibodies (15), arthritis with swelling in 1 or more joints and specific antibodies in serum without alternative explanation (15), ACA with characteristic clinical picture, supportive histologic findings and high specific serum IgG antibody levels (14) Controls: 100 healthy blood donors in New Zealand, a non-endemic region
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) ELISA C6 + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) ELISA WCS + ELISA C6

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹				
	Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (European Lyme disease criteria)				
	Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) European tests Serum
	Index test +	11	1	12	
	Index test -	9	99	108	
	Total	20	100	120	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) USA tests Serum
	Index test +	4	0	4	
	Index test -	16	100	116	
	Total	20	100	120	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA C6 (IgT) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) USA tests Serum
	Index test +	4	0	4	
	Index test -	16	100	116	
	Total	20	100	120	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 USA tests Serum
	Index test +	13	0	13	
	Index test -	7	100	107	
	Total	20	100	120	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) European tests Serum	
Index test +	13	1	14		
Index test -	2	99	101		
Total	15	100	115		

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹				
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	6	0	6	USA tests
	Index test -	9	100	109	Serum
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA C6 (IgT) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	6	0	6	USA tests
	Index test -	9	100	109	Serum
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis ELISA WCS + ELISA C6
	Index test +	13	0	13	USA tests
	Index test -	2	100	102	Serum
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	14	1	15	European tests
	Index test -	1	99	100	Serum
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	9	0	9	USA tests
	Index test -	6	100	106	Serum
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis ELISA C6 (IgT) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	10	0	10	USA tests
	Index test -	5	100	105	Serum

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹				
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis ELISA WCS + ELISA C6
	Index test +	14	0	14	USA tests
	Index test -	1	100	101	Serum
	Total	15	100	115	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	14	1	15	European tests
	Index test -	0	99	99	Serum
	Total	14	100	114	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	14	0	14	USA tests
	Index test -	0	100	100	Serum
	Total	14	100	114	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA C6 (IgT) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	14	0	14	USA tests
	Index test -	0	100	100	Serum
	Total	14	100	114	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	ACA ELISA WCS + ELISA C6
	Index test +	14	0	14	USA tests
	Index test -	0	100	100	Serum
	Total	14	100	114	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	52	1	53	

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹				
	Index test -	12	99	111	European tests
	Total	64	100	164	Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	33	0	33	USA tests
	Index test -	31	100	131	Serum
	Total	64	100	164	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA C6 + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)
	Index test +	34	0	34	USA tests
	Index test -	30	100	130	Serum
	Total	64	100	164	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease ELISA WCS + ELISA C6
	Index test +	54	0	54	USA tests
	Index test -	10	100	110	Serum
	Total	64	100	164	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG European tests (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.55 Specificity 0.99				
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG USA tests (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.20 Specificity 1.00				
	Index test: ELISA C6 (IgT) + Immunoblot IgM/IgG USA tests (serum) - EM Sensitivity 0.20 Specificity 1.00				
	Index test: ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 USA tests (serum) - EM				

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹
	<p>Sensitivity 0.65 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG European tests (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.87 Specificity 0.99</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG USA tests (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.40 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA C6 (IgT) + Immunoblot IgM/IgG USA tests (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.40 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 USA tests (serum) - neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 0.87 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG European tests (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 0.93 Specificity 0.99</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG USA tests (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 0.60 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA C6 (IgT) + Immunoblot IgM/IgG USA tests (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 0.67 Specificity 1.00</p>

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹
	<p>Index test: ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 USA tests (serum) - arthritis Sensitivity 0.93 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG European tests (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.99</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG USA tests (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA C6 (IgT) + Immunoblot IgM/IgG USA tests (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 USA tests (serum) - ACA Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG European tests (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.81 Specificity 0.99</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG USA tests (serum) - unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.52 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA C6 (IgT) + Immunoblot IgM/IgG USA tests (serum) - unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.53 Specificity 1.00</p>

Reference	Branda 2013 ¹¹
	Index test: ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 USA tests (serum) - unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.84 Specificity 1.00
Source of funding	Austin L. Vickery Jr award from the Department of Pathology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	-

Reference	Fallon 2014
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: specimens obtained during the conduct of 2 research protocols on individuals with post-treatment Lyme syndrome and controls Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 37 cases, 40 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): cases 46.5 years (10.5), controls 43.9 years (11.7) Gender (male to female ratio): cases 13:24, controls 16:24 Family origin: not reported Setting: 4 laboratories Country: USA

Reference	Fallon 2014				
	Cases: patients with post-treatment Lyme syndrome with historical evidence meeting CDC criteria for Lyme disease				
	Controls: no history of prior diagnosis or treatment for Lyme disease, no history of Lyme-like symptoms or illness, no history of another major neurologic or medical disorder, residence in non-endemic area and no recent exposure to a highly Lyme endemic area				
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA + Immunoblot (IgG) ELISA C6 + Immunoblot (IgG) ELISA + ELISA C6 Reference standard Clinical diagnosis (CDC criteria) Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS ELISA + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum Commercial lab
	Index test +	15	0	15	
	Index test -	22	40	62	
	Total	37	40	77	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS ELISA + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum Speciality lab A
	Index test +	14	0	14	
	Index test -	23	40	63	
	Total	37	40	77	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS ELISA + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum Speciality lab B
Index test +	16	1	17		
Index test -	21	39	60		

Reference	Fallon 2014				
	Total	37	40	77	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS ELISA + Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test +	18	0	18	Serum
	Index test -	19	40	59	University reference lab
	Total	37	40	77	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS ELISA C6 + Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test +	15	0	15	Serum
	Index test -	22	40	62	Speciality lab A
	Total	37	40	77	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS ELISA C6 + Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test +	17	0	17	Serum
	Index test -	20	40	60	Speciality lab B
	Total	37	40	77	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS ELISA + ELISA C6
	Index test +	22	0	22	Serum
	Index test -	15	40	55	Speciality lab A
	Total	37	40	77	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	PTLDS ELISA + ELISA C6
	Index test +	18	0	18	Serum
	Index test -	19	40	59	Speciality lab B
	Total	37	40	77	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA + Immunoblot IgG (serum) – PTLDS (commercial lab) Sensitivity 0.41 Specificity 1.00				

Reference	Fallon 2014
	<p>Index test: ELISA + Immunoblot IgG (serum) – PTLDS (speciality lab A) Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>Index test: ELISA + Immunoblot IgG (serum) – PTLDS (speciality lab B) Sensitivity 0.43 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: ELISA + Immunoblot IgG (serum) – PTLDS (university reference lab) Sensitivity 0.49 Specificity 1.00</p>
Source of funding	Lyme Research Alliance Inc, the Lyme Disease association Inc, the Lyme and Tick-borne Diseases Research Center
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	Borderline results counted as positive

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	Data source: not reported Recruitment: not reported
Number of patients	n = 39 cases, 190 controls
Patient characteristics	Age, mean (SD): not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰				
	<p>Family origin: not reported</p> <p>Setting: not reported</p> <p>Country: The Netherlands</p> <p>Cases: early Lyme borreliosis presenting with EM and a history of tick bite and/isolation of <i>B. burgdorferi</i> from their skin lesion (26), late Lyme borreliosis presenting with ACA/neuroborreliosis (13)</p> <p>Controls: healthy controls with no history of Lyme borreliosis and tick exposure</p>				
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease				
Index test(s) and reference standard	<p>Index test(s)</p> <p>ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)</p> <p>ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG)</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM)</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG)</p> <p>Reference standard</p> <p>Clinical diagnosis</p> <p>Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported</p>				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease
	Index test +	12	0	12	ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	14	62	76	Serum
	Total	26	62	88	Behring EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰				
	Index test +	12	0	12	ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	14	62	76	Serum
	Total	26	62	88	Behring EIA + MRL IB
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease
	Index test +	8	0	8	ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	18	62	80	Serum
	Total	26	62	88	Boehringer EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease
	Index test +	9	0	9	ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	17	62	79	Serum
	Total	26	62	88	Boehringer EIA + MRL IB
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease
	Index test +	9	0	9	ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	17	62	79	Serum
	Total	26	62	88	Dako EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease
	Index test +	11	0	11	ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	15	62	77	Serum
	Total	26	62	88	Dako EIA + MRL IB
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease
	Index test +	13	0	13	ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	13	62	75	Serum
	Total	26	62	88	Genzyme Virotech EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
		Reference standard	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰				
		+			ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	12	0	12	Genzyme Virotech EIA + MRL IB
	Index test -	14	62		
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	9	2	11	IBL EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
	Index test -	17	60	77	
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM) Serum
	Index test +	12	0	12	IBL EIA + MRL IB
	Index test -	14	62	76	
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	6	4	10	Behring EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
	Index test -	20	58	78	
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	1	2	3	Behring EIA + MRL IB
	Index test -	25	60	85	
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	4	4	8	Boehringer EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
	Index test -	22	58	80	
	Total	26	62	88	

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰			
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
Index test +	1	2	3	Boehringer EIA + MRL IB
Index test -	25	60	85	
Total	26	62	88	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
Index test +	5	2	7	Dako EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
Index test -	21	60	81	
Total	26	62	88	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
Index test +	1	2	3	Dako EIA + MRL IB
Index test -	25	60	85	
Total	26	62	88	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
Index test +	5	3	8	Genzyme Virotech EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
Index test -	21	59	80	
Total	26	62	88	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
Index test +	1	2	3	Genzyme Virotech EIA + MRL IB
Index test -	25	60	85	
Total	26	62	88	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
Index test +	4	4	8	IBL EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
Index test -	22	58	80	

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰				
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test +	1	2	3	Serum
	Index test -	25	60	85	IBL EIA + MRL IB
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test +	3	3	6	Serum
	Index test -	23	59	82	Milenia EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test +	1	2	3	Serum
	Index test -	25	60	85	Milenia EIA + MRL IB
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test +	3	3	6	Serum
	Index test -	23	59	82	Milenia EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Early Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test +	1	2	3	Serum
	Index test -	25	60	85	Milenia EIA + MRL IB
	Total	26	62	88	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test +	5	0	5	

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰				
	Index test -	8	62	70	Serum
	Total	13	62	75	Behring EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test +	6	0	6	Serum
	Index test -	7	62	69	Behring EIA + MRL IB
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test +	4	0	4	Serum
	Index test -	9	62	71	Boehringer EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test +	6	0	6	Serum
	Index test -	7	62	69	Boehringer EIA + MRL IB
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test +	5	0	5	Serum
	Index test -	8	62	70	Dako EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test +	6	0	6	Serum
	Index test -	7	62	69	Dako EIA + MRL IB
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰				
	Index test +	5	0	5	ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	8	62	70	Serum
	Total	13	62	75	Genzyme Virotech EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease
	Index test +	6	0	6	ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	7	62	69	Serum
	Total	13	62	75	Genzyme Virotech EIA + MRL IB
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease
	Index test +	4	2	6	ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	9	60	69	Serum
	Total	13	62	75	IBL EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease
	Index test +	5	0	5	ELISA (IgM) + Immunoblot (IgM)
	Index test -	8	62	70	Serum
	Total	13	62	75	IBL EIA + MRL IB
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease
	Index test +	6	4	10	ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test -	7	58	65	Serum
	Total	13	62	75	Behring EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease
	Index test +	5	2	7	ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG)
	Index test -	8	60	68	Serum
	Total	13	62	75	Behring EIA + MRL IB
		Reference standard	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰				
		+			ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	6	4	10	
	Index test -	7	58	65	Boehringer EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	5	2	7	
	Index test -	8	60	68	Boehringer EIA + MRL IB
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	5	2	7	
	Index test -	8	60	68	Dako EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	5	2		
	Index test -	8	60		Dako EIA + MRL IB
	Total	13	62		
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	5	3	8	
	Index test -	8	59	67	Genzyme Virotech EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
	Total	13	62	75	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
	Index test +	5	2	7	
	Index test -	8	60	68	Genzyme Virotech EIA + MRL IB
	Total	13	62	75	

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰			
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
Index test +	4	4	8	IBL EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
Index test -	9	58	67	
Total	13	62	75	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease ELISA (IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
Index test +	4	2	6	IBL EIA + MRL IB
Index test -	9	60	69	
Total	13	62	75	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM) Serum
Index test +	2	3	5	Milenia EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
Index test -	11	59	70	
Total	13	62	75	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM) Serum
Index test +	1	2	3	Milenia EIA + MRL IB
Index test -	12	60	72	
Total	13	62	75	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
Index test +	6	3	9	Milenia EIA + Genzyme Virotech IB
Index test -	7	59	66	
Total	13	62	75	
	Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Late Lyme disease ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Serum
Index test +	6	2	8	Milenia EIA + MRL IB
Index test -	7	60	67	

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰			
	Total	13	62	75
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM Behring + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 1.00			
	Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM Behring + MRL (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 1.00			
	Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM Boehringer + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.31 Specificity 1.00			
	Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM Boehringer + MRL (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.35 Specificity 1.00			
	Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM Dako + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.35 Specificity 1.00			
	Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM Dako + MRL (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.42 Specificity 1.00			
	Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM Genzyme Virotech + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity 1.00			
	Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM Genzyme Virotech + MRL (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.46			

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰
	Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM IBL + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.35 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM IBL + MRL (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 1.00
	Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgM Behring + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.23 Specificity 0.94
	Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgG Behring + MRL (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.04 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG Boehringer + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.15 Specificity 0.94
	Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG Boehringer + MRL (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.04 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG Dako + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.19 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG Dako + MRL (serum) – early Lyme disease

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰
	<p>Sensitivity 0.04 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG Genzyme Virotech + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.19 Specificity 0.95</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG Genzyme Virotech + MRL (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.04 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG IBL + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.15 Specificity 0.94</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG IBL + MRL (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.04 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM Milenia + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.12 Specificity 0.95</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM Milenia + MRL (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.04 Specificity 0.97</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG Milenia + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.12 Specificity 0.95</p>

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG Milenia + MRL (serum) – early Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.04 Specificity 0.97</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM Behring + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM Behring + MRL (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM Boehringer + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.31 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM Boehringer + MRL (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM Dako + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM Dako + MRL (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM Genzyme Virotech + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 1.00</p>

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM Genzyme Virotech + MRL (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM IBL + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.31 Specificity 0.97</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgM + Immunoblot IgM IBL + MRL (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG Behring + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 0.94</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG Behring + MRL (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 0.97</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG Boehringer + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 0.94</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG Boehringer + MRL (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 0.97</p>
	<p>Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG Dako + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.38</p>

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰
	Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG Dako + MRL (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG Genzyme Virotech + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 0.95
	Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG Genzyme Virotech + MRL (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG IBL + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.31 Specificity 0.94
	Index test: ELISA IgG + Immunoblot IgG IBL + MRL (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.31 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM Milenia + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.15 Specificity 0.95
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM Milenia + MRL (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.08 Specificity 0.97
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG Milenia + Genzyme Virotech (serum) – late Lyme disease

Reference	Goossens 1999 ²⁹ /Goossens 2000 ³⁰
	<p>Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 0.95</p> <p>Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG Milenia + MRL (serum) – late Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.46 Specificity 0.97</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	<p>Risk of bias: selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none</p>
Comments	Healthy subjects used as control group in analysis, borderline results considered positive

Reference	Johnson 1996 ⁴⁴
Study type	Case-control
Study methodology	<p>Data source: serum samples contributed by physicians with extensive experience in the clinical diagnosis of Lyme disease, healthy blood donors, patients with other illnesses</p> <p>Recruitment: not reported</p>
Number of patients	n = 111 cases, 224 controls
Patient characteristics	<p>Age, mean (SD): not reported</p> <p>Gender (male to female ratio): not reported</p> <p>Family origin: not reported</p> <p>Setting: not reported</p>

Reference	Johnson 1996 ⁴⁴				
	Country: USA				
	Cases: EM (58), early neurologic (3), Lyme arthritis (36), late neurologic (14)				
	Controls: healthy blood donors (113), autoimmune disorders, leptospirosis, periodontitis, relapsing fever, syphilis, tularemia and other illnesses (111)				
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index test(s) ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (disseminated) FLA-ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	8	0	8	
	Index test -	0	113	113	
	Total	8	113	121	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	EM (localised) FLA-ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	29	0	29	
	Index test -	21	113	134	
	Total	50	113	163	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Neuroborreliosis (early) FLA-ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	3	0	3	
	Index test -	0	113	113	

Reference	Johnson 1996 ⁴⁴				
	Total	3	113	116	Neuroborreliosis (late) FLA-ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) Serum
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	
	Index test +	14	0	14	
	Index test -	0	113	113	
	Total	14	113	127	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Arthritis FLA-ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	36	0	36	
	Index test -	0	113	113	
	Total	36	113	149	
		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total	Unspecified Lyme disease FLA-ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) Serum
	Index test +	90	0	90	
	Index test -	21	113	134	
	Total	111	113	224	
Statistical measures	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + IB IgM/IgG (serum) – EM (disseminated) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00				
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + IB IgM/IgG (serum) – EM (localised) Sensitivity 0.58 Specificity 1.00				
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + IB IgM/IgG (serum) - neuroborreliosis (early) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00				
	Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + IB IgM/IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis (late) Sensitivity 1.00				

Reference	Johnson 1996 ⁴⁴
	Specificity 1.00 Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + IB IgM/IgG (serum) – arthritis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00 Index test: ELISA IgM/IgG + IB IgM/IgG (serum) – unspecified Lyme disease Sensitivity 0.81 Specificity 1.00
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: selection, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	Healthy controls used in the analysis

Reference	Lipsett 2016 ⁶²
Study type	Cohort study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from children Recruitment: children undergoing serological testing for Lyme disease
Number of patients	n = 944
Patient characteristics	Age, median (IQR): 10.9 years (6.4-15.2) Gender (male to female ratio): 421/524 Family origin: not reported

Reference	Lipsett 2016 ⁶²			
	Setting: hospital-based laboratory Country: USA Children and adolescents undergoing serologic evaluation for Lyme disease			
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA C6 + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 – serum ELISA WCS + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum Reference standard Clinician-diagnosed EM or a positive 2-tiered serologic result in the presence of a Lyme disease-associated clinical syndrome Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA C6 + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	89	12	101
	Index test –	25	818	843
	Total	114	830	944
2x2 table [ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	91	29	120
	Index test –	23	801	824
	Total	114	830	944

Reference	Lipsett 2016 ⁶²			
2x2 table [ELISA WCS + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], unspec Lyme disease		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	93	10	103
	Index test –	21	820	841
	Total	114	830	944
Statistical measures	ELISA C6 + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum Sensitivity 0.78 Specificity 0.99			
	ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 – serum Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 0.97			
	ELISA WCS + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum Sensitivity 0.82 Specificity 0.99			
Source of funding	Academic grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none			

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: not reported
	Recruitment: not reported
Number of	n = 124

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵
patients	
Patient characteristics	<p>Age, mean (SD): not reported</p> <p>Gender (male to female ratio): not reported</p> <p>Family origin: not reported</p> <p>Setting: not reported</p> <p>Country: USA</p> <p>Cases:</p> <p>Early Lyme disease with EM acute phase (n=40)</p> <p>Early Lyme disease with EM convalescent phase (n=38)</p> <p>Early disseminated Lyme carditis (n=7)</p> <p>Early disseminated Lyme neuroborreliosis (n=10)</p> <p>Late Lyme disease, LA (29)</p> <p>Controls: healthy persons (n=203)</p> <p>Standard CDC algorithm used for ELISA (IgM and IgG) + IB (IgM and IgG) – IgG used only after 1 month</p>
Target condition(s)	EM, Neuroborreliosis, Lyme carditis, Lyme arthritis, unspecified Lyme disease
Index test(s) and reference standard	<p>Index tests</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) – serum</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM) – serum</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) – serum</p> <p>WB/IB (IgG) – serum</p> <p>WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum</p> <p>PCR – blood and skin</p> <p>PCR – blood, skin and heart tissue</p>

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵			
	PCR – synovial fluid Culture – blood and skin Culture – blood, skin and heart tissue Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], acute EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	16	2	18
	Index test –	24	201	225
	Total	40	203	243
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], convalescent EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	23	2	25
	Index test –	15	201	216
	Total	38	203	241
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM) – serum], acute EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	12	1	13
	Index test –	28	202	230
	Total	40	203	243
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM) –		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	20	1	21

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵			
serum], convalescent EM	Index test –	18	202	220
	Total	38	203	241
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgG) – serum], acute EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	8	2	10
	Index test –	32	201	233
	Total	40	203	243
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgG) – serum], convalescent EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	13	2	15
	Index test –	25	201	226
	Total	38	203	241
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	2	11
	Index test –	1	203	204
	Total	10	205	215
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM) – serum], neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	1	10
	Index test –	1	202	203
	Total	10	203	213
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgG) –		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	3	2	5

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵			
serum], neuroborreliosis	Index test –	7	201	208
	Total	10	203	213
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], arthritis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	29	2	31
	Index test –	0	203	203
	Total	29	205	234
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM) – serum], arthritis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	1	10
	Index test –	20	202	222
	Total	29	203	232
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgG) – serum], arthritis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	29	4	33
	Index test –	0	201	201
	Total	29	205	234
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	6	2	8
	Index test –	1	203	204
	Total	7	205	212
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM) –		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	4	1	5

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵			
serum], carditis	Index test –	3	202	205
	Total	7	203	210
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgG) – serum], carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	4	2	6
	Index test –	3	201	204
	Total	7	203	210
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], unspecified		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	83	2	85
	Index test –	41	201	242
	Total	124	203	327
Statistical measures	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (acute EM) Sensitivity 0.40 Specificity 0.99			
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (convalescent EM) Sensitivity 0.61 Specificity 0.99			
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM – serum (acute EM) Sensitivity 0.30 Specificity 1.00			
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM – serum (convalescent EM) Sensitivity 0.53 Specificity 1.00			

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵
	<p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG – serum (acute EM) Sensitivity 0.20 Specificity 0.99</p>
	<p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG – serum (convalescent EM) Sensitivity 0.34 Specificity 0.99</p>
	<p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.90 Specificity 0.99</p>
	<p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM – serum (neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.90 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG – serum (neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.30 Specificity 0.99</p>
	<p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (arthritis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.99</p>
	<p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM – serum (arthritis) Sensitivity 0.31 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG – serum (arthritis) Sensitivity 1.00</p>

Reference	Molins 2014 ⁷⁵
	<p>Specificity 0.99</p> <p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (carditis) Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM – serum (carditis) Sensitivity 0.57 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG – serum (carditis) Sensitivity 0.57 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (unspecified Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.67 Specificity 0.99</p>
Source of funding	Supported by a CDC grant
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from CDC Recruitment: not reported
Number of	n = 124

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴			
patients				
Patient characteristics	<p>Age, mean (SD): not reported</p> <p>Gender (male to female ratio): not reported</p> <p>Family origin: not reported</p> <p>Setting: not reported</p> <p>Country: USA</p> <p>Cases: Acute and convalescent stage (n=78), Lyme neuroborreliosis (n=10), Lyme carditis (n=7), LA (n=29)</p> <p>Controls: healthy donors (n=203)</p>			
Target condition(s)	EM, Neuroborreliosis, Lyme carditis, Lyme arthritis, unspecified Lyme disease			
Index test(s) and reference standard	<p>Index tests</p> <p>ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)</p> <p>ELISA (C6) + Immunoblot IgM/IgG)</p> <p>ELISA (WCS) + ELISA (C6)</p> <p>ELISA (WCS) + Immunoblot (VIsE)</p> <p>Reference standard</p> <p>Clinical diagnosis</p> <p>Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported</p>			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) –		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	19	4	23

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴			
serum], acute EM	Index test -	21	199	220
	Total	40	203	243
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], convalescent EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	24	4	28
	Index test -	14	199	213
	Total	38	203	241
2x2 table [ELISA (C6) + IB, Marblot (IgM/IgG) – serum], acute EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	16	2	18
	Index test -	24	201	225
	Total	40	203	243
2x2 table [ELISA (C6) + IB, ViraStripe (IgM/IgG) – serum], acute EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	17	1	18
	Index test -	23	202	225
	Total	40	203	243
2x2 table [ELISA (C6) + IB, Marblot (IgM/IgG) – serum], convalescent EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	24	2	26
	Index test -	14	201	215
	Total	38	203	241
2x2 table [ELISA (C6) + IB, ViraStripe		Reference standard +	Reference standard -	Total
	Index test +	24	1	25

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴			
(IgM/IgG) – serum], convalescent EM	Index test –	14	202	216
	Total	38	203	241
2x2 table [ELISA (WCS) + ELISA (C6) – serum], acute EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	20	1	21
	Index test –	20	202	222
	Total	40	203	243
2x2 table [ELISA (WCS) + ELISA (C6) – serum], convalescent EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	30	1	31
	Index test –	8	202	210
	Total	38	203	241
2x2 table [ELISA (WCS) + IB (VIsE) – serum], acute EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	19	1	20
	Index test –	21	202	223
	Total	40	203	243
2x2 table [ELISA (WCS) + IB (VIsE) – serum], convalescent EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	28	1	29
	Index test –	10	202	212
	Total	38	203	241
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) –		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	8	4	12

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴			
serum], neuroborreliosis	Index test –	2	199	201
	Total	10	203	213
2x2 table [ELISA (C6) + IB Marblot (IgM/IgG) – serum], neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	2	11
	Index test –	1	201	202
	Total	10	203	213
2x2 table [ELISA (C6) + IB ViraStripe (IgM/IgG) – serum], neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	1	10
	Index test –	1	202	203
	Total	10	203	213
2x2 table [ELISA (WCS) + ELISA (C6) – serum], neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	1	10
	Index test –	1	202	203
	Total	10	203	213
2x2 table [ELISA (WCS) + IB (VisE) – serum], neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	1	10
	Index test –	1	202	203
	Total	10	203	213
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) –		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	28	4	32

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴			
serum], arthritis	Index test –	1	199	200
	Total	29	203	232
2x2 table [ELISA (C6) + IB Marblot (IgM/IgG) – serum], arthritis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	29	2	31
	Index test –	0	201	201
	Total	29	203	232
2x2 table [ELISA (C6) + IB ViraStripe (IgM/IgG) – serum], arthritis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	28	1	29
	Index test –	1	202	203
	Total	29	203	232
2x2 table [ELISA (WCS) + ELISA (C6) – serum], arthritis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	29	1	29
	Index test –	0	202	202
	Total	29	203	232
2x2 table [ELISA (WCS) + IB (VisE) – serum], arthritis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	29	1	30
	Index test –	0	202	202
	Total	29	203	232
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) –		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	4	11

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴			
serum], carditis	Index test –	0	199	199
	Total	7	203	210
2x2 table [ELISA (C6) + IB Marblot (IgM/IgG) – serum], carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	6	2	8
	Index test –	1	201	202
	Total	7	203	210
2x2 table [ELISA (C6) + IB ViraStripe (IgM/IgG) – serum], carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	6	1	7
	Index test –	1	202	203
	Total	7	203	210
2x2 table [ELISA (WCS) + ELISA (C6) – serum], carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	6	1	7
	Index test –	1	202	203
	Total	7	203	210
2x2 table [ELISA (WCS) + IB (VisE) – serum], carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	6	1	7
	Index test –	1	202	203
	Total	7	203	210
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) –		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	86	4	90

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴			
serum], unspecified	Index test –	38	199	237
	Total	124	203	327
2x2 table [ELISA (C6) + IB Marblot (IgM/IgG) – serum], unspecified		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	84	2	86
	Index test –	40	201	241
	Total	124	203	327
2x2 table [ELISA (C6) + IB ViraStripe (IgM/IgG) – serum], unspecified		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	84	1	85
	Index test –	40	202	242
	Total	124	203	327
2x2 table [ELISA (WCS) + ELISA (C6) – serum], unspecified		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	94	1	95
	Index test –	30	202	232
	Total	124	203	327
2x2 table [ELISA (WCS) + IB (VisE) – serum], unspecified		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	91	1	92
	Index test –	33	202	235
	Total	124	203	327
Statistical measures	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (acute EM) Sensitivity 0.47 Specificity 0.98			

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (convalescent EM) Sensitivity 0.63 Specificity 0.98
	ELISA C6 + Immunoblot IgM/IgG Marblot – serum (acute EM) Sensitivity 0.40 Specificity 0.99
	ELISA C6 + Immunoblot IgM/IgG ViraStripe – serum (acute EM) Sensitivity 0.42 Specificity 1.00
	ELISA C6 + Immunoblot IgM/IgG Marblot – serum (convalescent EM) Sensitivity 0.63 Specificity 0.99
	ELISA C6 + Immunoblot IgM/IgG ViraStripe – serum (convalescent EM) Sensitivity 0.63 Specificity 1.00
	ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 – serum (acute EM) Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity 1.00
	ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 – serum (convalescent EM) Sensitivity 0.79 Specificity 1.00
	ELISA WCS + Immunoblot VlsE – serum (acute EM) Sensitivity 0.47

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴
	Specificity 1.00
	ELISA WCS + Immunoblot VlsE – serum (convalescent EM) Sensitivity 0.74 Specificity 1.00
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.80 Specificity 0.98
	ELISA C6 + Immunoblot IgM/IgG Marblot – serum (neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.90 Specificity 0.99
	ELISA C6 + Immunoblot IgM/IgG ViraStripe – serum (neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.90 Specificity 1.00
	ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 – serum (neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.90 Specificity 1.00
	ELISA WCS + Immunoblot VlsE – serum (neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.90 Specificity 1.00
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (arthritis) Sensitivity 0.97 Specificity 0.98
	ELISA C6 + Immunoblot IgM/IgG Marblot – serum (arthritis)

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴
	<p>Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>ELISA C6 + Immunoblot IgM/IgG ViraStripe – serum (arthritis) Sensitivity 0.97 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 – serum (arthritis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA WCS + Immunoblot VlsE – serum (arthritis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (carditis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>ELISA C6 + Immunoblot IgM/IgG Marblot – serum (carditis) Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>ELISA C6 + Immunoblot IgM/IgG ViraStripe – serum (carditis) Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 – serum (carditis) Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity 1.00</p>

Reference	Molins 2016 ⁷⁴
	<p>ELISA WCS + Immunoblot VIsE – serum (carditis) Sensitivity 0.86 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (unspecified Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.69 Specificity 0.98</p> <p>ELISA C6 + Immunoblot IgM/IgG Marblot – serum (unspecified Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.68 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>ELISA C6 + Immunoblot IgM/IgG ViraStripe – serum (unspecified Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.68 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA WCS + ELISA C6 – serum (unspecified Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.76 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA WCS + Immunoblot VIsE – serum (unspecified Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.73 Specificity 1.00</p>
Source of funding	None
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Peltomaa 2004 ⁸⁵
-----------	-----------------------------

Reference	Peltomaa 2004 ⁸⁵			
Study type	Case-control study			
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients before treatment Recruitment: patients treated by study author at clinic			
Number of patients	n = 47			
Patient characteristics	Age, median: 35 years (4-74) Gender (male to female ratio): 25/22 Family origin: not reported Setting: clinic Country: USA Cases: facial palsy Controls: healthy persons (n=86)			
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease associated facial palsy			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot IgM/IgG Reference standard Clinical diagnosis based on CDC criteria Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	Peltomaa 2004 ⁸⁵			
[ELISA (IgM/IgG) + WB/IB (IgM/IgG) – serum]		+		
	Index test +	47	2	49
	Index test –	0	86	86
	Total	47	88	135
Statistical measures	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG (serum) – neuroborreliosis Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.98			
Source of funding	Supported by industry grants			
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: serious			

Reference	Steere 2008 ¹⁰⁶
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients Recruitment: by 2 primary care physicians
Number of patients	n = 134
Patient characteristics	Age, mean: not reported Gender (male to female ratio): not reported Family origin: not reported Setting: primary care Country: USA

Reference	Steere 2008 ¹⁰⁶				
	Cases: EM (n=76), Acute neurologic or cardiac involvement (n=13), Arthritis or chronic neurologic involvement (n=31), Post-Lyme disease symptoms (n=14) Controls: healthy persons (n=136)				
Target condition(s)	EM (single or multiple, acute or convalescent), acute/chronic disseminated Lyme disease				
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM) ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Reference standard EM: CDC criteria and culture-positive Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported				
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], acute disseminated EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	
	Index test +	17	2	19	
	Index test –	23	136	159	
	Total	40	138	178	
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], acute EM without dissemination		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total	
	Index test +	6	2	8	
	Index test –	30	136	166	
	Total	36	138	174	
2x2 table		Reference standard	Reference standard –	Total	

Reference	Steere 2008 ¹⁰⁶			
[ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], convalescent EM without dissemination		+		
	Index test +	19	2	21
	Index test –	17	136	153
	Total	36	138	174
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], convalescent disseminated EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	30	2	32
	Index test –	10	136	146
	Total	40	138	178
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM) – serum], acute disseminated EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	15	1	16
	Index test –	25	136	161
	Total	40	137	177
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM) – serum], acute EM without dissemination		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	4	1	5
	Index test –	32	136	168
	Total	36	137	173
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM) – serum],		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	14	1	15
	Index test –	22	136	158

Reference	Steere 2008 ¹⁰⁶			
convalescent EM without dissemination	Total	36	137	173
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM) – serum], convalescent disseminated EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	28	1	29
	Index test –	12	136	148
	Total	40	137	177
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgG) – serum], acute disseminated EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	6	1	7
	Index test –	34	136	170
	Total	40	137	177
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgG) – serum], acute EM without dissemination		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	2	1	3
	Index test –	34	136	170
	Total	36	137	173
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgG) – serum], convalescent EM without dissemination		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	6	1	7
	Index test –	30	136	166
	Total	36	137	173
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	Steere 2008 ¹⁰⁶			
[ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgG) – serum], convalescent disseminated EM	Index test +	8	1	9
	Index test –	32	136	168
	Total	40	137	177
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], acute neuritis/carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	13	2	15
	Index test –	0	136	136
	Total	13	138	151
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM) – serum], acute neuritis/carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	11	1	12
	Index test –	2	136	138
	Total	13	137	150
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgG) – serum], acute neuritis/carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	11	1	12
	Index test –	2	136	138
	Total	13	137	150
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], arthritis/late neuritis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	31	2	33
	Index test –	0	136	136
	Total	31	138	169
2x2 table		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total

Reference	Steere 2008 ¹⁰⁶			
[ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM) – serum], arthritis/late neuritis		+		
	Index test +	7	1	8
	Index test –	24	136	160
	Total	31	137	168
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgG) – serum], arthritis/late neuritis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	31	1	32
	Index test –	0	136	136
	Total	31	137	168
Statistical measures	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (acute disseminated EM) Sensitivity 0.42 Specificity 0.99			
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (acute EM without dissemination) Sensitivity 0.17 Specificity 0.99			
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (convalescent EM without dissemination) Sensitivity 0.53 Specificity 0.99			
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (convalescent disseminated EM) Sensitivity 0.75 Specificity 0.99			
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM – serum (acute disseminated EM) Sensitivity 0.38 Specificity 0.99			

Reference	Steere 2008 ¹⁰⁶
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM – serum (acute EM without dissemination) Sensitivity 0.11 Specificity 0.99
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM – serum (convalescent EM without dissemination) Sensitivity 0.39 Specificity 0.99
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM – serum (convalescent disseminated EM) Sensitivity 0.70 Specificity 0.99
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG – serum (acute disseminated EM) Sensitivity 0.15 Specificity 0.99
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG – serum (acute EM without dissemination) Sensitivity 0.06 Specificity 0.99
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG – serum (convalescent EM without dissemination) Sensitivity 0.17 Specificity 0.99
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG – serum (convalescent disseminated EM) Sensitivity 0.20 Specificity 0.99
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (acute neuritis/carditis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.99

Reference	Steere 2008 ¹⁰⁶
	<p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM – serum (acute neuritis/carditis) Sensitivity 0.85 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG – serum (acute neuritis/carditis) Sensitivity 0.85 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (arthritis/late neuritis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM – serum (arthritis/late neuritis) Sensitivity 0.23 Specificity 0.99</p> <p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG – serum (arthritis/late neuritis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 0.99</p>
Source of funding	Supported by government and charity grants
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Trevejo 2001 ¹¹³
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	Data source: serum samples from patients taken a median of 4 days after illness onset (acute phase) or 36 days after illness onset (convalescent phase)

Reference	Trevejo 2001 ¹¹³			
	Recruitment: not reported			
Number of patients	n = 74			
Patient characteristics	<p>Age, median: 41 years (3-83)</p> <p>Gender (male to female ratio): 41/33</p> <p>Family origin: not reported</p> <p>Setting: primary care</p> <p>Country: USA</p> <p>Cases: EM (66 acute phase, 55 convalescent phase)</p> <p>Controls: healthy controls (n=38)</p>			
Target condition(s)	EM			
Index test(s) and reference standard	<p>Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG)</p> <p>Reference standard Clinical diagnosis</p> <p>Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported</p>			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) –		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	27	0	27
	Index test –	39	37	76

Reference	Trevejo 2001 ¹¹³			
serum], EM (acute phase) simplified approach	Total	66	37	103
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM (acute phase) CDC approach		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	21	0	21
	Index test –	45	37	82
	Total	66	37	103
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM (convalescent phase) CDC approach		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	16	0	16
	Index test –	39	37	76
	Total	55	37	92
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], EM (convalescent phase) simplified approach		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	39	0	39
	Index test –	16	37	53
	Total	55	37	92
Statistical measures	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (EM, acute phase) simplified approach Sensitivity 0.41 Specificity 1.00			
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (EM, acute phase) CDC approach Sensitivity 0.32			

Reference	Trevejo 2001 ¹¹³
	<p>Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (EM, convalescent phase) CDC approach Sensitivity 0.29 Specificity 1.00</p> <p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (EM, convalescent phase) simplified approach Sensitivity 0.71 Specificity 1.00</p>
Source of funding	Not reported
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, reference standard Indirectness: none

Reference	Weiner 2015 ¹²⁰
Study type	Case-control study
Study methodology	<p>Data source: Lyme serum repository composed of serum obtained from well-characterised Lyme disease patients, control serum from healthy individuals and patients with other diseases</p> <p>Recruitment: not reported</p>
Number of patients	n = 70 cases, 80 controls
Patient characteristics	<p>Age, mean (SD): not reported</p> <p>Gender (male to female ratio): not reported</p> <p>Family origin: not reported</p>

Reference	Weiner 2015 ¹²⁰			
	Setting: Division of Vector Borne Diseases, Bacterial Diseases Branch, CDC Country: USA Cases: Lyme with EM - acute and convalescent Lyme (46), neuroborreliosis (10), Lyme carditis (6), Lyme arthritis (8) Controls: healthy patients (32), other diseases (48)			
Target condition(s)	Lyme disease			
Index test(s) and reference standard	Index tests ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM/IgG) ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgM) ELISA (IgM/IgG) + Immunoblot (IgG) Reference standard Clinical diagnosis Time between measurement of index test and reference standard: not reported			
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], acute EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	0	7
	Index test –	16	32	48
	Total	23	32	55
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/IgG) – serum], acute		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	18	0	18
	Index test –	5	32	37

Reference	Weiner 2015 ¹²⁰			
EM	Total	23	32	55
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM) – serum], acute EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	0	7
	Index test –	16	0	16
	Total	23	0	23
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM) – serum], acute EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	16	0	16
	Index test –	7	32	39
	Total	23	32	55
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgG) – serum], acute EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	1	0	1
	Index test –	22	32	54
	Total	23	32	55
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgG) – serum], acute EM		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	7	0	7
	Index test –	16	32	48
	Total	23	32	55
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/G) – serum],		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	0	9
	Index test –	1	32	33

Reference	Weiner 2015 ¹²⁰			
neuroborreliosis	Total	10	32	42
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM) – serum], neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	9	0	9
	Index test –	1	32	33
	Total	10	32	42
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgG) – serum], neuroborreliosis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	4	0	4
	Index test –	6	32	38
	Total	10	32	42
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/G) – serum], arthritis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	8	0	8
	Index test –	0	32	32
	Total	8	32	40
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM) – serum], arthritis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	1	0	1
	Index test –	7	32	39
	Total	8	32	40
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgG) – serum], arthritis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	8	0	8
	Index test –	0	32	32

Reference	Weiner 2015 ¹²⁰			
	Total	8	32	40
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/G) – serum], carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	5	0	5
	Index test –	1	32	33
	Total	6	32	38
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM) – serum], carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	4	0	4
	Index test –	2	32	34
	Total	6	32	38
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgG) – serum], carditis		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	3	0	3
	Index test –	3	32	35
	Total	6	32	38
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM/G) – serum], unspecified		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	47	0	47
	Index test –	23	32	55
	Total	70	32	102
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgM) – serum], unspecified		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	37	0	37
	Index test –	33	32	65

Reference	Weiner 2015 ¹²⁰			
	Total	70	32	102
2x2 table [ELISA (IgM/IgG) + IB (IgG) – serum], unspecified		Reference standard +	Reference standard –	Total
	Index test +	23	0	23
	Index test –	47	32	79
	Total	70	32	102
Statistical measures	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (EM, acute phase) Sensitivity 0.30 Specificity 1.00			
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (EM, convalescent phase) Sensitivity 0.78 Specificity 1.00			
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM – serum (EM, acute phase) Sensitivity 0.30 Specificity not estimable			
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM – serum (EM, convalescent phase) Sensitivity 0.70 Specificity 1.00			
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG – serum (EM, acute phase) Sensitivity 0.04 Specificity 1.00			
	ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG – serum (EM, convalescent phase) Sensitivity 0.30 Specificity 1.00			

Reference	Weiner 2015 ¹²⁰
	<p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.90 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM – serum (neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.90 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG – serum (neuroborreliosis) Sensitivity 0.40 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (arthritis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM – serum (arthritis) Sensitivity 0.13 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG – serum (arthritis) Sensitivity 1.00 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (carditis) Sensitivity 0.83 Specificity 1.00</p>
	<p>ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM – serum (carditis) Sensitivity 0.67</p>

Reference	Weiner 2015 ¹²⁰
	Specificity 1.00 ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG – serum (carditis) Sensitivity 0.50 Specificity 1.00 ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM/IgG – serum (unspecified Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.67 Specificity 1.00 ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgM – serum (unspecified Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.53 Specificity 1.00 ELISA IgM/IgG + Immunoblot IgG – serum (unspecified Lyme disease) Sensitivity 0.33 Specificity 1.00
Source of funding	American Society for Microbiology/CDC Program in Infectious Disease and Public Health Microbiology and the Association for Public Health Laboratories
Limitations	Risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard Indirectness: none
Comments	Standard CDC algorithm used for IB (IgG only after 30 days)

References

1. Ang CW, Brandenburg AH, van Burgel ND, Bijlmer HA, Herremans T, Stelma F et al. A Dutch nationwide evaluation of serological assays for detection of *Borrelia* antibodies in clinically well-defined patients. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease*. 2015; 83(3):222-228
2. Asbrink E, Hovmark A, Hederstedt B. Serologic studies of erythema chronicum migrans Afzelius and acrodermatitis chronica atrophicans with indirect immunofluorescence and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays. *Acta Dermato-Venereologica*. 1985; 65(6):509-514
3. Avery RA, Frank G, Eppes SC. Diagnostic utility of *Borrelia burgdorferi* cerebrospinal fluid polymerase chain reaction in children with Lyme meningitis. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*. 2005; 24(8):705-708
4. Bacon RM, Biggerstaff BJ, Schriefer ME, Gilmore RD, Jr., Philipp MT, Steere AC et al. Serodiagnosis of Lyme disease by kinetic enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using recombinant VlsE1 or peptide antigens of *Borrelia burgdorferi* compared with 2-tiered testing using whole-cell lysates. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2003; 187(8):1187-1199
5. Barstad B, Tveitnes D, Noraas S, Ask IS, Saeed M, Bosse F et al. Cerebrospinal fluid B-lymphocyte chemoattractant CXCL 13 in the diagnosis of acute Lyme neuroborreliosis in children. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*. 2017; Epublication
6. Bennet R, Lindgren V, Zwegberg Wirgart B. *Borrelia* antibodies in children evaluated for Lyme neuroborreliosis. *Infection*. 2008; 36(5):463-466
7. Bil-Lula I, Matuszek P, Pfeiffer T, Wozniak M. Lyme Borreliosis - the utility of improved real-time PCR assay in the detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* infections. *Advances in Clinical & Experimental Medicine*. 2015; 24(4):663-670
8. Blaauw AA, van Loon AM, Schellekens JF, Bijlsma JW. Clinical evaluation of guidelines and two-test approach for Lyme disease. *Rheumatology*. 1999; 38(11):1121-1126
9. Branda JA, Agüero-Rosenfeld ME, Ferraro MJ, Johnson BJ, Wormser GP, Steere AC. 2-tiered antibody testing for early and late Lyme disease using only an immunoglobulin G blot with the addition of a VlsE band as the second-tier test. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2010; 50(1):20-26
10. Branda JA, Linskey K, Kim YA, Steere AC, Ferraro MJ. Two-tiered antibody testing for Lyme disease with use of 2 enzyme immunoassays, a whole-cell sonicate enzyme immunoassay followed by a VlsE C6 peptide enzyme immunoassay. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2011; 53(6):541-547
11. Branda JA, Strle F, Strle K, Sikand N, Ferraro MJ, Steere AC. Performance of United States serologic assays in the diagnosis of Lyme borreliosis acquired in Europe. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2013; 57(3):333-340
12. Brunner M, Sigal LH. Use of serum immune complexes in a new test that accurately confirms early Lyme disease and active infection with *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2001; 39(9):3213-3221
13. Callister SM, Jobe DA, Agger WA, Schell RF, Kowalski TJ, Lovrich SD et al. Ability of the borreliacidal antibody test to confirm Lyme disease in clinical practice. *Clinical and Diagnostic Laboratory Immunology*. 2002; 9(4):908-912

- 1 14. Cerar T, Ogrinc K, Strle F, Ruzic-Sabljić E. Humoral immune responses in patients
2 with Lyme neuroborreliosis. *Clinical & Vaccine Immunology: CVI*. 2010; 17(4):645-650
- 3 15. Cerar T, Ruzic-Sabljić E, Cimperman J, Strle F. Comparison of immunofluorescence
4 assay (IFA) and LIAISON in patients with different clinical manifestations of Lyme
5 borreliosis. *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift*. 2006; 118(21-22):686-690
- 6 16. Christova I. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, immunofluorescent assay, and
7 recombinant immunoblotting in the serodiagnosis of early Lyme borreliosis.
8 *International Journal of Immunopathology and Pharmacology*. 2003; 16(3):261-268
- 9 17. Cinco M, Murgia R. Evaluation of the C6 enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay for
10 the serodiagnosis of Lyme borreliosis in north-eastern Italy. *New Microbiologica*.
11 2006; 29(2):139-141
- 12 18. Coyle PK, Deng Z, Schutzer SE, Belman AL, Benach J, Krupp LB et al. Detection of
13 *Borrelia burgdorferi* antigens in cerebrospinal fluid. *Neurology*. 1993; 43(6):1093-1097
- 14 19. D'Arco C, Dattwyler RJ, Arnaboldi PM. *Borrelia burgdorferi*-specific IgA in Lyme
15 disease. *EBioMedicine*. 2017; 19:91-97
- 16 20. Dessau RB, Ejlertsen T, Hilden J. Simultaneous use of serum IgG and IgM for risk
17 scoring of suspected early Lyme borreliosis: graphical and bivariate analyses.
18 *APMIS*. 2010; 118(4):313-323
- 19 21. Dressler F, Whalen JA, Reinhardt BN, Steere AC. Western blotting in the
20 serodiagnosis of Lyme disease. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 1993; 167(2):392-400
- 21 22. Fallon BA, Pavlicova M, Coffino SW, Brenner C. A comparison of Lyme disease
22 serologic test results from 4 laboratories in patients with persistent symptoms after
23 antibiotic treatment. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2014; 59(12):1705-1710
- 24 23. Flisiak R, Kalinowska A, Bobrowska E, Prokopowicz D. Enzyme immunoassay in the
25 diagnosis of Lyme borreliosis. *Roczniki Akademii Medycznej w Białymstoku (1995)*.
26 1996; 41(1):83-89
- 27 24. Flisiak R, Wierzbicka I, Prokopowicz D. Western blot banding pattern in early Lyme
28 borreliosis among patients from an endemic region of north-eastern Poland. *Roczniki
29 Akademii Medycznej w Białymstoku (1995)*. 1998; 43:210-220
- 30 25. Fung BP, McHugh GL, Leong JM, Steere AC. Humoral immune response to outer
31 surface protein C of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in Lyme disease: role of the immunoglobulin
32 M response in the serodiagnosis of early infection. *Infection and Immunity*. 1994;
33 62(8):3213-3221
- 34 26. Gerber MA, Shapiro ED, Bell GL, Sampieri A, Padula SJ. Recombinant outer surface
35 protein C ELISA for the diagnosis of early Lyme disease. *Journal of Infectious
36 Diseases*. 1995; 171(3):724-727
- 37 27. Goettner G, Schulte-Spechtel U, Hillermann R, Liegl G, Wilske B, Fingerle V.
38 Improvement of Lyme borreliosis serodiagnosis by a newly developed recombinant
39 immunoglobulin G (IgG) and IgM line immunoblot assay and addition of VlsE and
40 DbpA homologues. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2005; 43(8):3602-3609
- 41 28. Gomes-Solecki MJ, Wormser GP, Persing DH, Berger BW, Glass JD, Yang X et al. A
42 first-tier rapid assay for the serodiagnosis of *Borrelia burgdorferi* infection. *Archives of
43 Internal Medicine*. 2001; 161(16):2015-2020

- 1 29. Goossens HA, van den Bogaard AE, Nohlmans MK. Evaluation of fifteen
2 commercially available serological tests for diagnosis of Lyme borreliosis. *European*
3 *Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*. 1999; 18(8):551-560
- 4 30. Goossens HA, van den Bogaard AE, Nohlmans MK. Reduced specificity of combined
5 IgM and IgG enzyme immunoassay testing for lyme borreliosis. *European Journal of*
6 *Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*. 2000; 19(5):400-402
- 7 31. Grodzicki RL, Steere AC. Comparison of immunoblotting and indirect enzyme-linked
8 immunosorbent assay using different antigen preparations for diagnosing early Lyme
9 disease. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 1988; 157(4):790-797
- 10 32. Gyllemark P, Forsberg P, Ernerudh J, Henningsson AJ. Intrathecal Th17- and B cell-
11 associated cytokine and chemokine responses in relation to clinical outcome in Lyme
12 neuroborreliosis: a large retrospective study. *Journal of Neuroinflammation*. 2017;
13 14(1):27
- 14 33. Hanrahan JP, Benach JL, Coleman JL, Bosler EM, Grabau JC, Morse DL.
15 Epidemiologic features of Lyme disease in New York. *Yale Journal of Biology and*
16 *Medicine*. 1984; 57(4):643-650
- 17 34. Hansen K, Asbrink E. Serodiagnosis of erythema migrans and acrodermatitis
18 chronica atrophicans by the *Borrelia burgdorferi* flagellum enzyme-linked
19 immunosorbent assay. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 1989; 27(3):545-551
- 20 35. Hansen K, Hindersson P, Pedersen NS. Measurement of antibodies to the *Borrelia*
21 *burgdorferi* flagellum improves serodiagnosis in Lyme disease. *Journal of Clinical*
22 *Microbiology*. 1988; 26(2):338-346
- 23 36. Hansen K, Lebech AM. Lyme neuroborreliosis: a new sensitive diagnostic assay for
24 intrathecal synthesis of *Borrelia burgdorferi*-specific immunoglobulin G, A, and M.
25 *Annals of Neurology*. 1991; 30(2):197-205
- 26 37. Hansen K, Pii K, Lebech AM. Improved immunoglobulin M serodiagnosis in Lyme
27 borreliosis by using a mu-capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay with
28 biotinylated *Borrelia burgdorferi* flagella. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 1991;
29 29(1):166-173
- 30 38. Heikkila T, Seppala I, Saxen H, Panelius J, Peltomaa M, Huppertz HI et al. Cloning of
31 the gene encoding the decorin-binding protein B (DbpB) in *Borrelia burgdorferi sensu*
32 *lato* and characterisation of the antibody responses to DbpB in Lyme borreliosis.
33 *Journal of Medical Microbiology*. 2002; 51(8):641-648
- 34 39. Henningsson AJ, Christiansson M, Tjernberg I, Lofgren S, Matussek A. Laboratory
35 diagnosis of Lyme neuroborreliosis: a comparison of three CSF anti-*Borrelia* antibody
36 assays. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*. 2014;
37 33(5):797-803
- 38 40. Henningsson AJ, Gyllemark P, Lager M, Skogman BH, Tjernberg I. Evaluation of two
39 assays for CXCL13 analysis in cerebrospinal fluid for laboratory diagnosis of Lyme
40 neuroborreliosis. *APMIS*. 2016; 124(11):985-990
- 41 41. Hernandez-Novoa B, Orduna A, Bratos MA, Eiros JM, Fernandez JM, Gutierrez MP
42 et al. Utility of a commercial immunoblot kit (BAG-*Borrelia* blot) in the diagnosis of the
43 preliminary stages of Lyme disease. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease*.
44 2003; 47(1):321-329
- 45 42. Hunfeld KP, Ernst M, Zachary P, Jaulhac B, Sonneborn HH, Brade V. Development
46 and laboratory evaluation of a new recombinant ELISA for the serodiagnosis of Lyme
47 disease. *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift*. 2002; 114(13-14):580-585

- 1 43. Jaulhac B, Chary-Valckenaere I, Sabilia J, Javier RM, Piemont Y, Kuntz JL et al.
2 Detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* by DNA amplification in synovial tissue samples from
3 patients with Lyme arthritis. *Arthritis and Rheumatism*. 1996; 39(5):736-745
- 4 44. Johnson BJ, Robbins KE, Bailey RE, Cao BL, Sviat SL, Craven RB et al.
5 Serodiagnosis of Lyme disease: accuracy of a two-step approach using a flagella-
6 based ELISA and immunoblotting. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 1996; 174(2):346-
7 353
- 8 45. Jovicic V, Grego EM, Lako BL, Ristic BM, Lepsanovic ZA, Stajkovic NT. Improved
9 serodiagnosis of early Lyme borreliosis: immunoblot with local *Borrelia afzelii* strain.
10 *APMIS*. 2003; 111(11):1053-1059
- 11 46. Kaiser R, Rauer S. Analysis of the intrathecal immune response in neuroborreliosis to
12 a sonicate antigen and three recombinant antigens of *Borrelia burgdorferi sensu*
13 *stricto*. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*. 1998;
14 17(3):159-166
- 15 47. Kaiser R, Rauer S. Serodiagnosis of neuroborreliosis: comparison of reliability of
16 three confirmatory assays. *Infection*. 1999; 27(3):177-182
- 17 48. Karlsson M, Granstrom M. An IgM-antibody capture enzyme immunoassay for
18 serodiagnosis of Lyme borreliosis. *Serodiagnosis and Immunotherapy in Infectious*
19 *Disease*. 1989; 3(6):413-421
- 20 49. Karlsson M, Mollegard I, Stiernstedt G, Wretling B. Comparison of Western blot and
21 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for diagnosis of Lyme borreliosis. *European*
22 *Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*. 1989; 8(10):871-877
- 23 50. Klempner MS, Schmid CH, Hu L, Steere AC, Johnson G, McCloud B et al.
24 Intralaboratory reliability of serologic and urine testing for Lyme disease. *American*
25 *Journal of Medicine*. 2001; 110(3):217-219
- 26 51. Krbkova L, Bednarova J, Cermakova Z. Improvement of diagnostic approach to Lyme
27 neuroborreliosis in children by using recombinant antigens in detection of intrathecally
28 produced IgM/IgG. *Epidemiologie, Mikrobiologie, Immunologie*. 2016; 65(2):112-117
- 29 52. Lahey LJ, Panas MW, Mao R, Delanoy M, Flanagan JJ, Binder SR et al.
30 Development of a multiantigen panel for improved detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi*
31 infection in early Lyme disease. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2015; 53(12):3834-
32 3841
- 33 53. Lange R, Bocklage H, Schneider T, Kolmel HW, Heesemann J, Karch H. Ovalbumin
34 blocking improves sensitivity and specificity of immunoglobulin M immunoblotting for
35 serodiagnosis of patients with erythema migrans. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*.
36 1992; 30(1):229-232
- 37 54. Lawrenz MB, Hardham JM, Owens RT, Nowakowski J, Steere AC, Wormser GP et
38 al. Human antibody responses to VlsE antigenic variation protein of *Borrelia*
39 *burgdorferi*. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 1999; 37(12):3997-4004
- 40 55. Lebech AM. Diagnostic detection and direct genotyping of *Borrelia burgdorferi* by
41 polymerase chain reaction in cerebrospinal fluid in Lyme neuroborreliosis. *Molecular*
42 *Diagnosis*. 1998; 3(3):131-141
- 43 56. Lebech AM, Hansen K. Detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* DNA in urine samples and
44 cerebrospinal fluid samples from patients with early and late Lyme neuroborreliosis
45 by polymerase chain reaction. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 1992; 30(7):1646-
46 1653

- 1 57. Lebeck AM, Hansen K, Brandrup F, Clemmensen O, Halkier-Sorensen L. Diagnostic
2 value of PCR for detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* DNA in clinical specimens from
3 patients with erythema migrans and Lyme neuroborreliosis. *Molecular Diagnosis*.
4 2000; 5(2):139-150
- 5 58. Ledue TB, Collins MF, Young J, Schriefer ME. Evaluation of the recombinant VlsE-
6 based liaison chemiluminescence immunoassay for detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi*
7 and diagnosis of Lyme disease. *Clinical & Vaccine Immunology: CVI*. 2008;
8 15(12):1796-1804
- 9 59. Lencakova D, Fingerle V, Stefancikova A, Schulte-Spechtel U, Petko B, Schreter I et
10 al. Evaluation of recombinant line immunoblot for detection of Lyme disease in
11 Slovakia: Comparison with two other immunoassays. *Vector-Borne and Zoonotic*
12 *Diseases*. 2008; 8(3):381-390
- 13 60. Leung SS, Scott JR. A fluorescence ELISA for Lyme disease: a comparison with
14 other diagnostic techniques. *Journal of Clinical Immunoassay*. 1989; 12(3):154-157
- 15 61. Liebling MR, Nishio MJ, Rodriguez A, Sigal LH, Jin T, Louie JS. The polymerase
16 chain reaction for the detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in human body fluids. *Arthritis*
17 *and Rheumatism*. 1993; 36(5):665-675
- 18 62. Lipsett SC, Branda JA, McAdam AJ, Vernacchio L, Gordon CD, Gordon CR et al.
19 Evaluation of the C6 Lyme enzyme immunoassay for the diagnosis of Lyme disease
20 in children and adolescents. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2016; 63(7):922-928
- 21 63. Liu ZY, Hao Q, Hou XX, Jiang Y, Geng Z, Wu YM et al. A study of the technique of
22 western blot for diagnosis of lyme disease caused by *Borrelia afzelii* in China.
23 *Biomedical and Environmental Sciences*. 2013; 26(3):190-200
- 24 64. Ljostad U, Mygland A. CSF B-lymphocyte chemoattractant (CXCL13) in the early
25 diagnosis of acute Lyme neuroborreliosis. *Journal of Neurology*. 2008; 255(5):732-
26 737
- 27 65. Magnarelli LA, Anderson JF. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays for the detection
28 of class-specific immunoglobulins to *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *American Journal of*
29 *Epidemiology*. 1988; 127(4):818-825
- 30 66. Magnarelli LA, Fikrig E, Berland R, Anderson JF, Flavell RA. Comparison of whole-
31 cell antibodies and an antigenic flagellar epitope of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in serologic
32 tests for diagnosis of Lyme borreliosis. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 1992;
33 30(12):3158-3162
- 34 67. Marangoni A, Moroni A, Accardo S, Cevenini R. *Borrelia burgdorferi* VlsE antigen for
35 the serological diagnosis of Lyme borreliosis. *European Journal of Clinical*
36 *Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*. 2008; 27(5):349-354
- 37 68. Marangoni A, Sparacino M, Cavrini F, Storni E, Mondardini V, Sambri V et al.
38 Comparative evaluation of three different ELISA methods for the diagnosis of early
39 culture-confirmed Lyme disease in Italy. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*. 2005;
40 54(4):361-367
- 41 69. Mathiesen MJ, Hansen K, Axelsen N, Halkier-Sorensen L, Theisen M. Analysis of the
42 human antibody response to outer surface protein C (OspC) of *Borrelia burgdorferi*
43 *sensu stricto*, *B. garinii*, and *B. afzelii*. *Medical Microbiology and Immunology*. 1996;
44 185(3):121-129
- 45 70. Merljak Skocir L, Ruzic-Sabljić E, Maraspin-Carman V, Lotric-Furlan S, Logar M, Strle
46 F. Comparison of different *Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato* strains for detection of

- 1 immune response in patients with erythema migrans. *International Journal of Medical*
2 *Microbiology*. 2008; 298(5-6):493-504
- 3 71. Mitchell PD, Reed KD, Aspeslet TL, Vandermause MF, Melski JW. Comparison of
4 four immunoserologic assays for detection of antibodies to *Borrelia burgdorferi* in
5 patients with culture-positive erythema migrans. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*.
6 1994; 32(8):1958-1962
- 7 72. Molins CR, Ashton LV, Wormser GP, Hess AM, Delorey MJ, Mahapatra S et al.
8 Development of a metabolic biosignature for detection of early Lyme disease. *Clinical*
9 *Infectious Diseases*. 2015; 60(12):1767-1775
- 10 73. Molins CR, Delorey MJ, Replogle A, Sexton C, Schriefer ME. Evaluation of
11 bioMérieux's dissociated VIDAS Lyme IgM II (LYM) and IgG II (LYG) as a first-tier
12 diagnostic assay for Lyme disease. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2017;
13 55(6):1698-1706
- 14 74. Molins CR, Delorey MJ, Sexton C, Schriefer ME. Lyme borreliosis serology:
15 performance with several commonly used laboratory diagnostic tests and a large
16 resource panel of well-characterized patient samples. *Journal of Clinical*
17 *Microbiology*. 2016; 54(11):2726-2734
- 18 75. Molins CR, Sexton C, Young JW, Ashton LV, Pappert R, Beard CB et al. Collection
19 and characterization of samples for establishment of a serum repository for Lyme
20 disease diagnostic test development and evaluation. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*.
21 2014; 52(10):3755-3762
- 22 76. Moter SE, Hofmann H, Wallich R, Simon MM, Kramer MD. Detection of *Borrelia*
23 *burgdorferi* sensu lato in lesional skin of patients with erythema migrans and
24 acrodermatitis chronica atrophicans by ospA-specific PCR. *Journal of Clinical*
25 *Microbiology*. 1994; 32(12):2980-2988
- 26 77. Nocton JJ, Bloom BJ, Rutledge BJ, Persing DH, Logigian EL, Schmid CH et al.
27 Detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* DNA by polymerase chain reaction in cerebrospinal
28 fluid in Lyme neuroborreliosis. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 1996; 174(3):623-627
- 29 78. Nocton JJ, Dressler F, Rutledge BJ, Rys PN, Persing DH, Steere AC. Detection of
30 *Borrelia burgdorferi* DNA by polymerase chain reaction in synovial fluid from patients
31 with Lyme arthritis. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1994; 330(4):229-234
- 32 79. Nohlmans MK, Blaauw AA, van den Bogaard AE, van Boven CP. Evaluation of nine
33 serological tests for diagnosis of Lyme borreliosis. *European Journal of Clinical*
34 *Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*. 1994; 13(5):394-400
- 35 80. Nordberg M, Forsberg P, Nyman D, Skogman BH, Nyberg C, Ernerudh J et al. Can
36 ELISPOT be applied to a clinical setting as a diagnostic utility for neuroborreliosis?
37 *Cells*. 2012; 1(2):153-167
- 38 81. Oksi J, Uksila J, Marjamaki M, Nikoskelainen J, Viljanen MK. Antibodies against
39 whole sonicated *Borrelia burgdorferi* spirochetes, 41-kilodalton flagellin, and P39
40 protein in patients with PCR- or culture- proven late Lyme borreliosis. *Journal of*
41 *Clinical Microbiology*. 1995; 33(9):2260-2264
- 42 82. Padula SJ, Dias F, Sampieri A, Craven RB, Ryan RW. Use of recombinant OspC
43 from *Borrelia burgdorferi* for serodiagnosis of early Lyme disease. *Journal of Clinical*
44 *Microbiology*. 1994; 32(7):1733-1738
- 45 83. Panelius J, Lahdenne P, Saxen H, Heikkila T, Seppala I. Recombinant flagellin A
46 proteins from *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto, *B. afzelii*, and *B. garinii* in

- 1 serodiagnosis of Lyme borreliosis. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2001;
2 39(11):4013-4019
- 3 84. Panelius J, Meri T, Seppala I, Eholuoto M, Alitalo A, Meri S. Outer surface protein E
4 antibody response and its effect on complement factor H binding to OspE in Lyme
5 borreliosis. *Microbes and Infection*. 2008; 10(2):135-142
- 6 85. Peltomaa M, McHugh G, Steere AC. The VlsE (IR6) peptide ELISA in the
7 serodiagnosis of Lyme facial paralysis. *Otology & Neurotology*. 2004; 25(5):838-841
- 8 86. Phillips SE, Mattman LH, Hulinska D, Moayad H. A proposal for the reliable culture of
9 *Borrelia burgdorferi* from patients with chronic Lyme disease, even from those
10 previously aggressively treated. *Infection*. 1998; 26(6):364-367
- 11 87. Pomelova VG, Korenberg EI, Kuznetsova TI, Bychenkova TA, Bekman NI, Osin NS.
12 C6 Peptide-Based Multiplex Phosphorescence Analysis (PHOSPHAN) for Serologic
13 Confirmation of Lyme Borreliosis. *PloS One*. 2015; 10(7):e0130048
- 14 88. Porwancher RB, Hagerty CG, Fan J, Landsberg L, Johnson BJ, Kopnitsky M et al.
15 Multiplex immunoassay for Lyme disease using VlsE1-IgG and pepC10-IgM
16 antibodies: improving test performance through bioinformatics. *Clinical & Vaccine
17 Immunology: CVI*. 2011; 18(5):851-859
- 18 89. Priem S, Rittig MG, Kamradt T, Burmester GR, Krause A. An optimized PCR leads to
19 rapid and highly sensitive detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in patients with Lyme
20 borreliosis. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 1997; 35(3):685-690
- 21 90. Rauer S, Kayser M, Neubert U, Rasiah C, Vogt A. Establishment of enzyme-linked
22 immunosorbent assay using purified recombinant 83-kilodalton antigen of *Borrelia
23 burgdorferi sensu stricto* and *Borrelia afzelii* for serodiagnosis of Lyme disease.
24 *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 1995; 33(10):2596-2600
- 25 91. Rauer S, Spohn N, Rasiah C, Neubert U, Vogt A. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent
26 assay using recombinant OspC and the internal 14-kDa flagellin fragment for
27 serodiagnosis of early Lyme disease. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 1998;
28 36(4):857-861
- 29 92. Roux F, Boyer E, Jaulhac B, Dernis E, Closs-Prophette F, Puechal X. Lyme
30 meningoradiculitis: prospective evaluation of biological diagnosis methods. *European
31 Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*. 2007; 26(10):685-693
- 32 93. Russell H, Sampson JS, Schmid GP, Wilkinson HW, Plikaytis B. Enzyme-linked
33 immunosorbent assay and indirect immunofluorescence assay for Lyme disease.
34 *Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 1984; 149(3):465-470
- 35 94. Ruzic-Sabljić E, Maraspin V, Cimperman J, Lotric-Furlan S, Strle F. Evaluation of
36 immunofluorescence test (IFT) and immuno (western) blot (WB) test in patients with
37 erythema migrans. *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift*. 2002; 114(13-14):586-590
- 38 95. Sapi E, Pabbati N, Datar A, Davies EM, Rattelle A, Kuo BA. Improved culture
39 conditions for the growth and detection of *Borrelia* from human serum. *International
40 Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2013; 10(4):362-376
- 41 96. Schnarr S, Putschky N, Jendro MC, Zeidler H, Hammer M, Kuipers JG et al.
42 *Chlamydia* and *Borrelia* DNA in synovial fluid of patients with early undifferentiated
43 oligoarthritis: Results of a prospective study. *Arthritis and Rheumatism*. 2001;
44 44(11):2679-2685
- 45 97. Schulte-Spechtel U, Lehnert G, Liegl G, Fingerle V, Heimerl C, Johnson B et al.
46 Significant improvement of the recombinant *Borrelia* IgG immunoblot for

- 1 serodiagnosis of early neuroborreliosis. *International Journal of Medical Microbiology*.
2 2004; 293 (Suppl.37):158-160
- 3 98. Schulte-Spechtel U, Lehnert G, Liegl G, Fingerle V, Heimerl C, Johnson BJB et al.
4 Significant improvement of the recombinant *Borrelia*-specific immunoglobulin G
5 immunoblot test by addition of VlsE and a DbpA homologue derived from *Borrelia*
6 *garinii* for diagnosis of early neuroborreliosis. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2003;
7 41(3):1299-1303
- 8 99. Schwartz I, Wormser GP, Schwartz JJ, Cooper D, Weissensee P, Gazumyan A et al.
9 Diagnosis of early Lyme disease by polymerase chain reaction amplification and
10 culture of skin biopsies from erythema migrans lesions. *Journal of Clinical*
11 *Microbiology*. 1992; 30(12):3082-3088
- 12 100. Senel M, Rupprecht TA, Tumani H, Pfister HW, Ludolph AC, Brettschneider J. The
13 chemokine CXCL13 in acute neuroborreliosis. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery*
14 *and Psychiatry*. 2010; 81(8):929-933
- 15 101. Sillanpaa H, Lahdenne P, Sarvas H, Arnez M, Steere A, Peltomaa M et al. Immune
16 responses to borrelial VlsE IR6 peptide variants. *International Journal of Medical*
17 *Microbiology*. 2007; 297(1):45-52
- 18 102. Sivak SL, Aguero-Rosenfeld ME, Nowakowski J, Nadelman RB, Wormser GP.
19 Accuracy of IgM immunoblotting to confirm the clinical diagnosis of early lyme
20 disease. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1996; 156(18):2105-2109
- 21 103. Skogman BH, Croner S, Forsberg P, Ernerudh J, Lahdenne P, Sillanpaa H et al.
22 Improved laboratory diagnostics of Lyme neuroborreliosis in children by detection of
23 antibodies to new antigens in cerebrospinal fluid. *Pediatric Infectious Disease*
24 *Journal*. 2008; 27(7):605-612
- 25 104. Smismans A, Goossens VJ, Nulens E, Bruggeman CA. Comparison of five different
26 immunoassays for the detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* IgM and IgG antibodies.
27 *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*. 2006; 12(7):648-655
- 28 105. Stanek G, Breier F, Menzinger G, Schaar B, Hafner M, Partsch H. Erythema migrans
29 and serodiagnosis by enzyme immunoassay and immunoblot with three borrelia
30 species. *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift*. 1999; 111(22-23):951-956
- 31 106. Steere AC, McHugh G, Damle N, Sikand VK. Prospective study of serologic tests for
32 lyme disease. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2008; 47(2):188-195
- 33 107. Stiernstedt G. Tick-borne *Borrelia* infection in Sweden. *Scandinavian Journal of*
34 *Infectious Diseases*. 1985; Supplementum. 45:1-70
- 35 108. Stiernstedt G, Eriksson G, Enfors W, Jorbeck H, Svenungsson B, Skoldenberg B et
36 al. Erythema chronicum migrans in Sweden: clinical manifestations and antibodies to
37 *Ixodes ricinus* spirochete measured by indirect immunofluorescence and enzyme-
38 linked immunosorbent assay. *Scandinavian Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 1986;
39 18(3):217-224
- 40 109. Stricker RB, Winger EE. Decreased CD57 lymphocyte subset in patients with chronic
41 Lyme disease. *Immunology Letters*. 2001; 76(1):43-48
- 42 110. Tjernberg I, Henningsson AJ, Eliasson I, Forsberg P, Ernerudh J. Diagnostic
43 performance of cerebrospinal fluid chemokine CXCL13 and antibodies to the C6-
44 peptide in Lyme neuroborreliosis. *Journal of Infection*. 2011; 62(2):149-158

- 1 111. Tjernberg I, Kruger G, Eliasson I. C6 peptide ELISA test in the serodiagnosis of Lyme
2 borreliosis in Sweden. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious*
3 *Diseases*. 2007; 26(1):37-42
- 4 112. Tjernberg I, Sillanpaa H, Seppala I, Eliasson I, Forsberg P, Lahdenne P. Antibody
5 responses to borrelia IR(6) peptide variants and the C6 peptide in Swedish patients
6 with erythema migrans. *International Journal of Medical Microbiology*. 2009;
7 299(6):439-446
- 8 113. Trevejo RT, Krause PJ, Schriefer ME, Dennis DT. Evaluation of a two-test
9 serodiagnostic method for community assessment of Lyme disease in an endemic
10 area. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2001; 65(5):563-566
- 11 114. Tumani H, Nolker G, Reiber H. Relevance of cerebrospinal fluid variables for early
12 diagnosis of neuroborreliosis. *Neurology*. 1995; 45(9):1663-1670
- 13 115. van Burgel ND, Brandenburg A, Gerritsen HJ, Kroes AC, van Dam AP. High
14 sensitivity and specificity of the C6-peptide ELISA on cerebrospinal fluid in Lyme
15 neuroborreliosis patients. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*. 2011; 17(10):1495-1500
- 16 116. van der Heijden IM, Wilbrink B, Rijpkema SG, Schouls LM, Heymans PH, van
17 Embden JD et al. Detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto by reverse line blot in
18 the joints of Dutch patients with Lyme arthritis. *Arthritis and Rheumatism*. 1999;
19 42(7):1473-1480
- 20 117. Vasiliu V, Herzer P, Rossler D, Lehnert G, Wilske B. Heterogeneity of *Borrelia*
21 *burgdorferi* sensu lato demonstrated by an ospA-type-specific PCR in synovial fluid
22 from patients with Lyme arthritis. *Medical Microbiology and Immunology*. 1998;
23 187(2):97-102
- 24 118. von Baehr V, Doebis C, Volk HD, von Baehr R. The lymphocyte transformation test
25 for *Borrelia* detects active lyme borreliosis and verifies effective antibiotic treatment.
26 *Open Neurology Journal*. 2012; 6(SPEC.ISS.1):104-112
- 27 119. Von Stedingk LV, Olsson I, Hanson HS, Asbrink E, Hovmark A. Polymerase chain
28 reaction for detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* DNA in skin lesions of early and late
29 Lyme borreliosis. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*.
30 1995; 14(1):1-5
- 31 120. Weiner ZP, Crew RM, Brandt KS, Ullmann AJ, Schriefer ME, Molins CR et al.
32 Evaluation of selected *Borrelia burgdorferi* lp54 plasmid-encoded gene products
33 expressed during mammalian infection as antigens to improve serodiagnostic testing
34 for early Lyme disease. *Clinical & Vaccine Immunology: CVI*. 2015; 22(11):1176-1186
- 35 121. Widhe M, Jarefors S, Ekerfelt C, Vrethem M, Bergstrom S, Forsberg P et al. *Borrelia*-
36 specific interferon-gamma and interleukin-4 secretion in cerebrospinal fluid and blood
37 during lyme borreliosis in humans: association with clinical outcome. *Journal of*
38 *Infectious Diseases*. 2004; 189(10):1881-1891
- 39 122. Wilske B, Fingerle V, Herzer P, Hofmann A, Lehnert G, Peters H et al. Recombinant
40 immunoblot in the serodiagnosis of Lyme borreliosis. Comparison with indirect
41 immunofluorescence and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *Medical Microbiology*
42 *and Immunology*. 1993; 182(5):255-270
- 43 123. Wilske B, Habermann C, Fingerle V, Hillenbrand B, Jauris-Heipke S, Lehnert G et al.
44 An improved recombinant IgG immunoblot for serodiagnosis of Lyme borreliosis.
45 *Medical Microbiology and Immunology*. 1999; 188(3):139-144

- 1 124. Wutte N, Berghold A, Loffler S, Zenz W, Daghofer E, Krainberger I et al. CXCL13
2 chemokine in pediatric and adult neuroborreliosis. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica*.
3 2011; 124(5):321-328

4