

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

## NICE quality standards

# Equality impact assessment

## Parkinson's disease

The impact on equality has been assessed during quality standard development according to the principles of the NICE equality policy.

### 1. TOPIC ENGAGEMENT STAGE

1.1 Have any potential equality issues been identified during this stage of the development process?
--

No equality issues have been identified at this stage.
--

1.2 Have any population groups, treatments or settings been excluded from coverage by the quality standard at this stage in the process. Are these exclusions justified – that is, are the reasons legitimate and the exclusion proportionate?
--

The quality standard will not include people with juvenile-onset Parkinson's disease (before age 21) as this is a rare condition that requires specialist assessment and management.
--

Completed by lead technical analyst \_\_\_Melanie Carr\_\_\_\_\_

Date\_29/3/17\_\_\_\_\_

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead \_\_\_Mark Minchin\_\_\_\_\_

Date\_\_\_30/3/17\_\_\_\_\_

## 1.0.7 DOC EIA

### PRE-CONSULTATION STAGE

2.1 Have any potential equality issues been identified during the development of the quality standard (including those identified during the topic engagement process)? How have they been addressed?

No equality issues have been identified at this stage.

2.2 Have any changes to the scope of the quality standard been made as a result of topic engagement to highlight potential equality issues?

No changes have been made to the scope of the quality standard at this stage.

2.3 Do the draft quality statements make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access services compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

The draft quality statements do not make it more difficult for specific groups to access services.

2.4 Is there potential for the draft quality statements to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

The draft quality statements will not have an adverse impact on people with disabilities.

2.5 Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access to services identified in questions 2.1, 2.2 or 2.3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to advance equality?

None

Completed by lead technical analyst: Melanie Carr \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_12/6/17\_\_\_\_\_

Approved by NICE quality assurance lead \_\_\_\_Nick Baillie\_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_27/7/17\_\_\_\_\_