NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE **EXCELLENCE**

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment – Guidance development

STA Fruquintinib for previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer

| | he impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to th rinciples of the NICE equality scheme. | |
|-------|---|--|
| Cons | sultation | |
| 1. | Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how? | |
| No is | ssues identified. | |
| | | |
| 2. | Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? | |
| No. | | |
| | | |
| 3. | Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? | |
| No is | ssues identified. | |
| | | |
| 4. | Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice | |

for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Equality impact assessment for the single technology appraisal of Fruquintinib for previously treated

metastatic colorectal cancer Issue date: October 2024

| Not a | applicable. |
|-------|--|
| 5. | Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability? |
| Not a | applicable. |
| 6. | Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality? |
| Not a | applicable. |
| 7. | Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the draft guidance, and, if so, where? |
| Not a | applicable. |