## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

## HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

**Equality impact assessment – Guidance development** 

Ruxolitinib for treating acute graft versus host disease refractory to corticosteroids in people aged 12 and over [ID6377]

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

## Consultation

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?

During the scoping process, consultees highlighted the following equality issues:

- Higher risk of GvHD in people from certain ethnic minority backgrounds
- Current treatment can require regular travel to specialist centres

The committee noted these concerns but concluded that they could not be addressed by its recommendations.

2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

Submissions from the company, patient and professional organisations and experts identified potential equality issues for consideration. The further issues identified included:

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Issue date: November 2024

Equality impact assessment for the single technology appraisal of ruxolitinib for treating acute graft versus host disease refractory to corticosteroids in people aged 12 and over [ID6377]

- Ruxolitinib was available during the COVID-19 pandemic through an NHS England rapid commissioning policy. This policy was withdrawn in 2022, but some people are still able to access ruxolitinib through individual funding requests or local approval from some hospital trusts.
- Ruxolitinib is commissioned in Scotland and Wales.

The committee acknowledged the concerns raised but concluded that they were not relevant equality considerations that could be addressed in its decision making in a technology appraisal.

3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No issues identified.

4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No issues identified.

5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No issues identified.

Issue date: November 2024

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

No issues identified.

7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the draft guidance, and, if so, where?

Section 3.17 of the draft guidance.

Approved by Associate Director (name): lan Watson

Date: 21/11/2024

3 of 3