

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Sedation in Children and Young People  
Guideline Consultation Comments Table  
17 May – 12 July 2010

Type	Stakeholder	Order No	Document	Page No	Line No	Comments Please insert each new comment in a new row.	Developer's Response Please respond to each comment
SH	Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust	1	APPENDIX	GENERAL ALGORITHM		I am surprised to see midazolam recommended for upper GI endoscopy and wonder if there is any evidence to indicate that this is safe. It has a morbidity and ?mortality attached to the practice in adults, so I'd be very surprised if this could be recommended without some indication of restrictions in a "shared airway" procedure	Thank you for your comment. The RCT midazolam safety data for gastroenterology supports the use of this drug. The observational data in the A&E setting and in the dental setting demonstrate adverse event rates which are extremely low. It was felt that this could be extrapolated to GI procedures. It was acknowledged by the GDG that GI procedures may inherently cause some adverse events, such as vomiting.
SH	Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust	2	FULL	51	22	These fasting guidelines seem excessive and will make elective procedures very difficult. Appreciate you have allowed for 'clinical exceptions' but still somewhat OTT, given evidence on fasting from other countries.	Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on fasting have been amended following consultation.
SH	Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust	3	FULL	54	23	End tidal CO2 monitoring will only be possible with airway related devices. If the child is not Intubated is the guideline recommending transcutaneous CO2 monitors – expensive and not that widely used at the moment?	Thank you for your comment. End tidal CO2 can be monitored via nasal catheter of spontaneously breathing pts.
SH	Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust	4	FULL	GENERAL		Generic paperwork would be very helpful to go along with this guideline and a national standard for recording of all essential items would be reinforcing.	Generic paperwork is outside of the scope of this guideline. The NICE Implementation team may pick this up. Quality Standards are being developed.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

SH	Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust	5	FULL	GENERAL		It would be sensible somewhere (in the title or preamble) to note that this is short term or procedural sedation to distinguish it from the sort of sedation that we'd be claiming to do on the ICU	Thank you, this is stated in the scope.
SH	Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust	6	FULL	GENERAL		My only comment would be that using VISUAL and not only written and verbal methods of communication for children with Learning Difficulties, literacy problems and younger children is appropriate- and maybe developing materials/guidance for general use would be helpful.	Thank you for your comments. We have made 'good practice' recommendations that have been shaped by both a narrative review of the evidence and GDG consensus work. We anticipate that the 'good practice' recommendations will help inform local policy and agree that developing such materials/guidance would be useful implementation tools.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	1	FULL	GENERAL		The AAGBI welcomes this detailed and timely guidance relating to minimal, moderate and deep sedation for painful procedures, painless imaging, endoscopy and dental procedures for children and young people.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	2	FULL	GENERAL		The guidance includes sedation services for infants, children and young people under-19 years of age. Specific comment regarding sedation (or not) for procedures in neonates and ex-premature neonates would be helpful.	Thank you for your comment. Special consideration has been given to neonates and infants in the recommendations on pre-sedation assessment.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	3	FULL	GENERAL		The AAGBI has serious reservations about the description of training requirements for personnel delivering deep sedation with agents with a narrow margin of safety (propofol, sevoflurane or opioids combined with ketamine). The GDG has acknowledged that these agents frequently induce periods of anaesthesia rather than deep sedation and the guidance is not consistent with current UK practice.	Thank you for your comment. The developers believe the recommendations on personnel and training to be accurate. We have added in the glossary the definition of margin of safety and of specialist sedation techniques that use drugs with a narrow margin of safety.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	4	FULL	GENERAL		In the absence of a nationally accredited course, the description of theoretical knowledge, practical experience and competencies for sedation is vague. Advanced life support skills relate to rescue from cardiac arrest, not anaesthesia/sedation skills. For deep sedation, the AAGBI would recommend achieving and maintaining a basic level of competence in anaesthesia practice.	This area was debated extensively by the group and the views you put forward were discussed. The group disagree with your suggestion but would like to thank you for raising it.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	5	FULL	GENERAL		The term 'healthcare professional' is defined in the guideline as including doctor, dentist or nurse; for the purposes of deep sedation in the UK, the AAGBI would recommend that this individual achieve a basic level of competence in anaesthesia practice.	Thank you for your comment. Definition of "Healthcare professional trained in delivering anaesthetic agents" has been added to the glossary and used in the guideline, and used in the appropriate recommendation on personnel and training.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	6	FULL	GENERAL		The AAGBI has concerns regarding 'operator-sedationists'. For deep sedation, the guideline should specify that the person administering sedation should not be the same person who is carrying out the procedure.	Thank you for your comment. This is stated in the full guideline, paragraph 4.1.3 (GDG discussion, Factors to consider in assessment).
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	7	FULL	GENERAL		The guidance relating to starvation for emergency procedures under deep sedation is inconsistent; patients who undergo deep sedation should be starved as for general anaesthesia.	Thank you. In general for fasting for deep sedation should be the same as for general anaesthesia. We note in the guideline where specific fasting is not required.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	8	FULL	GENERAL		The guidance relating to monitoring is inconsistent; the GDG acknowledges that moderate sedation with midazolam for upper GI endoscopy may be associated with airway obstruction. Capnography	Thank you for your comment. The developers believe the recommendations on monitoring to be accurate.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						should be recommended as standard monitoring for moderate sedation for upper GI endoscopy, as for deep sedation.	
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	9	FULL	GENERAL		The AAGBI welcomes the emphasis on psychological preparation for children and their parents, but there should be greater emphasis on non-pharmacological techniques for procedures such as painless imaging, for instance distraction techniques, the use of play specialists, or the technique of 'feed and wrap' for young infants.	Thank you for your comments. We have made 'good practice' recommendations that have been shaped by both a narrative review of the evidence and GDG consensus work. A full evidence review of non-pharmacological interventions was not prioritised when shaping the scope into key clinical questions for effectiveness reviews. We acknowledge that this additional work could potentially augment the guidance and we made a suggestion for a future research recommendation (See section 3.4.3).
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	10	FULL	GENERAL		It would be helpful to list specific contraindications to sedation such as OSA, neuromuscular disorders, morbid obesity.	Thank you. The developers feel that this is too much detail for inclusion in the guideline.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	11	FULL	GENERAL		It would be helpful if the GDG could develop more specific guidance on agents/doses for different procedures, rather than leaving individual Trusts to develop their own protocols.	Thank you. The developers feel that this is too much detail for inclusion in the guideline.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	12	FULL	29	1	It would be helpful to include the full ASA definition of levels of sedation as important narrative relating to safety has been omitted, for instance partial or complete loss of airway reflexes in deep sedation, the requirement to respond to light tactile stimulation (not a sternal rub) in moderate sedation.	Thank you but page 29 of the full guideline represents wording from the Scope document and we are unable to change any of this. The Scope document was fully consulted on and these comments would have been appreciated at the appropriate time.
SH	Association of	13	FULL	36	15	It is not clear if the guideline includes	Thank you for your comment. The

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland			?		recommendations for neonates or ex-premature infants. Specific reference would be helpful.	developers acknowledge that there are differences between infants and older children but this guideline can be applied to children of all ages. There is discussion about infants for painless imaging procedures in section 6.13.1.2, Evidence to recommendations for painless imaging. We have also added special consideration for neonates and infants to the recommendation on pre-sedation assessment.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	14	FULL	41	19	Please clarify consensus method used.	Thank you for your comment. This is clear within the text of the full guideline which reports the use of nominal group technique.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	15	FULL	47	17	Additional specialist advice should be sought for neonates or ex-premature infants.	Thank you, the recommendation has been amended accordingly.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	16	FULL	51	6	For deep sedation, the individual delivering sedation should not be the same as the person undertaking the procedure. It is acknowledged that it is possible to take your 'eye off the ball' once sedation has been completed; this also holds if the same person is administering sedation and doing the procedure, and the GDG acknowledges that there is a narrow margin of safety for deep sedation.	Thank you for your comment. This is stated in the full guideline, paragraph 4.1.3 (GDG discussion, Factors to consider in assessment).
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	17	FULL	52	1	Deep sedation may be associated with loss of protective airway reflexes; guidance for urgent procedures under deep sedation should include specific reference to fasting.	Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on fasting have been amended following consultation.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	18	FULL	52	24	For the anxious child/child with a learning disability referral to a <b>psychologist/play specialist</b> may be <b>more appropriate than a mental health specialist</b> .	Thank you for your comments. This difference may exist in relation to local service delivery models. We have used the term to apply broadly.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	19	FULL	53	15	Training in the use of anaesthetic agents should relate to specific competence in anaesthesia practice.	The GDG acknowledge that all training relating to sedation should have a competency focus for knowledge skills and attitudes.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	20	FULL	54	8	Moderate sedation for upper GI endoscopy should include capnography.	Thank you. The developers believe this recommendation to be accurate.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	21	FULL	55	2	Specific reference should be made to non-pharmacological techniques, particularly for neonates, ex-premature neonates and infants under 5kg.	Thank you for your comment. We have amended one recommendation on pre-sedation assessment and we recommend that for neonates and infants, specialist advice should be sought.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	22	FULL	63	21	Mention should be made of lean body weight when administering sedation to obese children.	Thank you, we agree with your comment, we have amended the wording to include the ideal body weight.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	23	FULL	64	16	The requirement to avoid the situation of 'operator-sedationist' for deep sedation should be explicit.	Thank you for your comment. This is stated in the full guideline, paragraph 4.1.3 (GDG discussion, Factors to consider in assessment).
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	24	FULL	80 81	14	The GDG acknowledges that there is no consistency of training in sedation, and that training may be delivered by a number of providers. For deep sedation using anaesthesia agents the AAGBI has concerns that the responsibility to define appropriate training is to be devolved to individual Trusts.	Thank you, we acknowledge your comment; individual Trusts are mentioned as an example. The developers feel that this is too much detail for inclusion in this broad national guideline.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	25	ALGORITHM S			The use of arrows may have greater visual impact in emphasising the continuum between different levels of sedation.	Thank you for your comment, the whole algorithm has been replaced.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	26	FULL NICE	13		Basic Life Support in hospital includes the use of bag, valve mask ventilation, not simply expired air resuscitation.	Thank you for your comment, the recommendation and glossary have been amended accordingly.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	27	FULL NICE	18		The Resuscitation Council UK offers one-day training courses in Immediate (not Intermediate) Life Support; this does not involve training in the use of laryngeal mask airways.	Thank you for your comment. Guidance does not specify training for use of laryngeal mask.
SH	Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	28	FULL			The guidance will need to be carefully proof read as there are a number of typing errors, for instance P2: line 19 is a statement not a question; P29 line 32L 'choose' not chose; P60 line 41: 'could' not caoul; P61 line 15 'sedation' not sedition.	Thank you for your comment. The guideline has been proofread.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	1	FULL	GENERAL		Given the size and scope of the document, comments may be limited. Some specific aspects, such as pharmacology and therapeutics, have not been considered in detail	Thank you. The developers feel that this is too much detail for inclusion in the guideline.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	2	FULL	GENERAL		The APA commends the importance placed on training and clinical standards and the need to develop standardised assessment tools. However, the GDG should provide more specific guidance on life support training, including considering recommending nationally recognized training courses for example, as provided by the Resuscitation Council and the Advanced Life Support Group. The category of sedationist provided could be linked to the level of life support course advocated	Thank you. We cannot brand a specific course; we can only identify the course content.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	3	FULL	GENERAL		Although the GDG do mention alternatives to sedation (for example, page 28 / lines 26 – 34; 32), the guidance would be improved by more detailed consideration of the role of sedation-sparing 32 / sedation-avoidance	Thank you for your comments. We have made 'good practice' recommendations that have been shaped by both a narrative review of the evidence and GDG consensus work. A full evidence review of non-

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						techniques including ambient environment; distraction techniques and guided imagery; 'feed and sleep' <sup>1</sup> ; play and the potential contributions of professionals such as hospital play specialists	pharmacological interventions was not prioritised when shaping the scope into key clinical questions for effectiveness reviews. Service delivery recommendations on healthcare professional team constituent membership such as 'play therapists' in relation to your point was not a guideline priority.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	4	FULL	GENERAL		It may be useful to describe sedation within a hierarchy and we suggest that for any patient, clinicians should be encouraged to consider alternative techniques <sup>2</sup> before <b>actively choosing</b> to give sedation. If there is no published evidence or expert opinion in support of the alternatives <sup>2</sup> , then this should be clearly stated	Thank you for your comments. Guideline recommendations do address this.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	5	FULL	GENERAL		If supported either by published evidence or expert opinion, the use of analgesia (especially local anaesthetic drugs) as an adjunct to sedation should be stressed more forcibly	Thank you for your comment. We have amended the recommendations on painful procedures and added a footnote on local anaesthetic.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	6	FULL	GENERAL		Except for intravenous propofol, the GDG do not consider the need (or otherwise) to obtain intravenous access. The guideline should include discussion on this potentially contentious point	Thank you for your comment. This has been discussed in section 6.4.4, GDG discussion of the evidence for Ketamine.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	7	FULL	GENERAL		The efficacy of melatonin, which is advocated by some, should be reviewed	Thank you for your comment, but this was not considered a priority by the GDG.
SH	Association of Paediatric	8	FULL	GENERAL		The guidance should include specific	Thank you for your comment. We have

<sup>1</sup> 'Feed and sleep' techniques are used in infants undergoing painless procedures such as magnetic resonance or computerised tomographic imaging. These are scheduled either after a short episode of sleep deprivation or at a normal sleep time and after a feed. The technique also involves sleep cues such as bathing, dimmed lighting during feeding etc.

<sup>2</sup> including ambient environment; distraction techniques and guided imagery; 'feed and sleep'[1]; and play and the potential contributions of professionals such as hospital play specialists

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**



	Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland			RAL		recommendations on infants, especially those born prematurely	added special consideration for neonates and infants to the recommendation on pre-sedation assessment.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	9	FULL	GENERAL		It would be useful for the guidance to include a list of medical / physical conditions associated with sensitivity to sedatives (for example, obstructive sleep apnoea, prematurity, myotonia) and to include a concise list of the side-effects of, and contra-indications to, all the recommended drugs	This has been discussed in general terms in sections 4.1.1, Clinical introduction to pre-sedation assessment, and 4.1.3, GDG discussion, factors to consider in assessment. Other than the general discussion, this is too much detail.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	10	FULL	GENERAL		'Sedation' is frequently mis-spelled as 'sdition', for example, page 61 / line 15; page 338 / line 10	Thank you for your comment. The issue has been addressed accordingly.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	11	FULL	GENERAL		Ketamine is associated with laryngospasm. This does not mentioned	Thank you, this is stated in section "6.4.4, GDG discussion of the evidence for ketamine".
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	12	FULL	27		Wording in this section may need revision/clarification. For example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lines 18 – 30: the GDG could make it clearer when sedation might be more appropriate than anaesthesia and vice versa?</li> <li>• Line 27, anaesthesia '<i>may have resource implications</i>': why qualify this, as anaesthesia <b>does have</b> resource implications and requires quite a different level of organization?</li> <li>• Line 30: the emphasis here is on cost effectiveness when it ought to be on efficacy and safety</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• These are general comments – specific comments are made in reference to specific procedures</li> <li>• Many hospitals already have anaesthesia services in place</li> <li>• We have assumed that the sedation techniques are effective and safe – therefore the cost effectiveness question is reasonable</li> </ul>
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	13	FULL	28	15 – 23	Please indicate which geographical area this data refers to (i.e. England only or England and Wales)?	Thank you for your comment. Page 28 of the full guideline represents wording from the Scope document and we are unable to change any of this. The Scope document

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

							was fully consulted on and these comments would have been appreciated at the appropriate time.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	14	FULL	28	24 – 25	This is not the case in Wales, where the practice continues and has not been banned	Thank you for your comment. Page 28 of the full guideline represents wording from the Scope document and we are unable to change any of this. The Scope document was fully consulted on and these comments would have been appreciated at the appropriate time.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	15	FULL	30	28	The guideline deals with children and young adults up to 19 years. The GDG should consider supplementing the BNFC with the BNF for adults	Thank you but page 30 of the full guideline represents wording from the Scope document and we are unable to change any of this. The Scope document was fully consulted on and these comments would have been appreciated at the appropriate time.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	16	FULL	41		<b>2.5 Consensus</b> Please explain why the nominal group technique was preferred	Thank you for your comments. Within development process, nominal group technique works effectively rather than other iterative methods such as Delphi.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	17	FULL	47	7	Establishing suitability for sedation should additionally include evaluation of the potential efficacy of sedation-sparing / sedation-avoiding techniques <sup>2</sup>	Thank you, we agree with your comment; this is covered in chapter 5.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	18	FULL	47	17	Specialist advice may also be indicated for infants, especially those born pre-term, and if the role of alternative techniques for any patient is unclear or the individual does not have the expertise to use these	Thank you, the recommendation has been amended accordingly.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	19	FULL	47	22	The healthcare professional should additionally have an obligation to choose the most suitable technique (including sedation-avoiding techniques <sup>2</sup> ) or hierarchy	Thank you for your comment, the suitability for sedation has been discussed in a previous recommendation on pre-sedation assessment.

<sup>2</sup> including ambient environment; distraction techniques and guided imagery; ‘feed and sleep’<sup>2</sup>; and play and the potential contributions of professionals such as hospital play specialists

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						of techniques, before opting for sedation. He / she should then consider sedation-sparring techniques and analgesia (especially local anaesthetics) as part of any sedation plan	The developers do not wish to change the wording as it was felt that the current wording is clear.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	20	FULL	48	10	Healthcare professionals delivering sedation should additionally have knowledge and understanding of sedation-sparring / sedation-avoiding techniques <sup>2</sup> , the role of local anaesthetics and the special considerations in infants	Thank you for your comment, the suitability for sedation has been discussed in a previous recommendation on pre-sedation assessment. The developers do not wish to change the wording as it was felt that the current wording is clear.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	21	FULL	49	1 - 11	Any healthcare professional delivering sedation should successfully complete an accredited course in paediatric life support (for example as provided by the Resuscitation Council or Advanced Life Support Group) and attend a refresher course / update annually (content and standard determined by the local Resuscitation Committee or equivalent). Additionally, sedations must maintain their clinical competence and be up to date, for example, in the current algorithms for resuscitation	Thank you for your comment, NICE guidance does not specify the training providers.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	22	FULL	50	8 AND 9	Establishing suitability for sedation should additionally include evaluation of the potential efficacy of sedation-sparring / sedation-avoiding techniques <sup>3</sup>	Thank you for your comment. We have assessed this and found no evidence.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great	23	FULL	50	20 - 22	Specialist advice may also be indicated for infants, especially those born pre-term, and	Thank you for your comment, the recommendation has been amended

<sup>3</sup> including ambient environment; distraction techniques and guided imagery; 'feed and sleep'<sup>3</sup>; and play and the potential contributions of professionals such as hospital play specialists

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	Britain and Ireland					if the role of alternative techniques for any patient is unclear or the individual does not have the expertise to use these	accordingly.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	24	FULL	50	24 - 26	The healthcare professional should additionally have an obligation to choose the most suitable technique (including sedation-avoiding techniques <sup>2</sup> ) or hierarchy of techniques, before opting for sedation. He / she should then consider sedation-sparing techniques and analgesia (especially local anaesthetics) as part of any sedation plan	Thank you for your comment, the suitability for sedation has been discussed in a previous recommendation on pre-sedation assessment. The developers do not wish to change the wording as it was felt that the current wording is clear.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	25	FULL	52	27 - 33	Healthcare professionals delivering sedation should additionally have knowledge and understanding of sedation-sparing / sedation-avoiding techniques <sup>2</sup> , the role of local anaesthetics and the special considerations in	Thank you for your comment, the suitability for sedation has been discussed in a previous recommendation on pre-sedation assessment. The developers do not wish to change the wording as it was felt that the current wording is clear.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	26	FULL	52	20 - 22	<i>'Offer parents and carers the opportunity to be present during sedation when appropriate. If a parent or carer decides to be present offer them advice about their role during the procedure'</i> . This recommendation reflects current accepted good practice but the conclusions reached after review of the literature (later in the document) on the benefits of parental presence (see also comments under page 99 / 5.1.9 / line 2 below) are negative, which may reflect the limitations of the studies rather than the validity of their findings. The GDG may be trying to reflect the <i>'paradigm shift to family centred care'</i> (page 108) whilst emphasising the paucity of evidence	Thank you for your comments. We have made 'good practice' recommendations that have been shaped by both a narrative review of the evidence and GDG consensus work. We acknowledge the paucity of the 'effectiveness evidence' in the evidence to recommendations sections of the guideline and acknowledge that the role of the GDG is to interpret the evidence and form recommendations that are useful for practice. This approach is supportive of your point.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						in the literature to support this. However, it may not be helpful to challenge what is now accepted good practice, at least in the UK. Some of the references are now quite old (notably references 87 (1993); 110 (1996); 122 and 202 (1998)) and the validity of these studies, does not seem to be questioned.	
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	27	FULL	52	24 AND 25	<p>For elective sedation in a child with severe anxiety or learning disability, is there evidence in support of referral to a mental health specialist? It is disappointing that this is not explored in the subsequent discussion on <i>'Psychological Preparation'</i> (page 74 onwards)</p> <p>The APA questions whether the term <i>'mental health specialist'</i> can be applied in quite the same way to both anxious patients and those with a learning disability. Each deserves / warrants slightly different specialist input (psychotherapy, play therapy) and complex patients may need a multidisciplinary approach. The key here is obtaining the necessary financial investment</p> <p>The guidance should additionally consider the roles of hospital play specialists, particularly in the care of severely anxious patients or those with learning disabilities. This should include their role as an adjunct or alternative to referral to a mental health specialist</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. Guideline recommendations need to be sensitive to equity and diversity principles, this is why the GDG referred to mental health services as this is where expert advice can be sought in relation to learning disabilities.</p> <p>Service delivery recommendations on healthcare professional team constituent membership was not a guideline priority.</p> <p>We have made 'good practice' recommendations that have been shaped by both a narrative review of the evidence and GDG consensus work. A full evidence review of non-pharmacological interventions was not prioritised when shaping the scope into key clinical questions for effectiveness reviews. We acknowledge that this additional work could potentially augment the guidance.</p>
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great	28	FULL	53	7 AND	<p>This point should also include practical experience in and knowledge of how normal</p>	<p>Thank you, we acknowledge your comment, however the developers believe this</p>

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	Britain and Ireland				8	physiological variables change with age	recommendation to be accurate.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	29	FULL	53	15 - 19	'...trained in delivering anaesthetic agents.....is available to administer the following sedatives: sevoflurane, propofol, opioids combined with ketamine'. These are anaesthetic <b>not</b> sedative drugs, although with skill these can be administered in a manner that provides sedation. This point is not one of semantics; the perception that these drugs can be regarded as sedatives is potentially seriously misleading	Thank you we agree with your comment and we have changed the word 'sedatives' to 'drugs'.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	30	FULL	53	21 - 26	Professionals should have documented evidence of competency, experience and training covering all the age-groups for which they will provide a service	Thank you, we acknowledge your comment, however the developers believe this recommendation to be accurate.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	31	FULL	53 54	7 28- 29	It would be useful to clearly define the terms 'coping' and 'distress'	Thank you for your comment. The GDG did not feel that there is a need to define these terms, they are commonly understood.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	32	FULL	54	7 - 29	Mention should be made interpreting physiological variables and behaviour in relation to patients' ages	The guideline is not a textbook for sedation practice. Clinicians involved in paediatric sedation are able to make local decisions in relation to this.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	33	FULL	55	22 – 24	The GDG should consider the role of local anaesthetic techniques (topical agents; infiltration) in conjunction with sedation and advocate their use if supported by evidence / expert opinion	Thank you for your comment. A footnote has been added to the recommendation.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	34	FULL	55		<b>Table</b> As configured, this table states that nitrous oxide is given alone; the supplementary information about oxygen appears over the page. Care should be taken to ensure that	Thank you for your comment. The table is now contained within one page.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						this table does not spread this table over two pages. (In a well-publicised critical incident a few years ago, N <sub>2</sub> O without oxygen was delivered to a patient attending an emergency department)	
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	37	FULL	58	2 - 16	The APA commends the recommendation for research to develop a tool for assessing which patients would be appropriate for behavioural therapy, who would require sedation and who will need anaesthesia	Thank you for your comment. This is implicit in the research recommendation 3.4.1
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	38	FULL	61	18 - 20	The APA commends the Guideline Development Group's (GDG) recommendation to establish a national registry, although practically this may be difficult to achieve	Thank you for your comment. This is a future research recommendation.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	39	FULL	63	21	Drug doses need to take account of co-existing disease, especially obesity and conditions associated with drug sensitivity (such as obstructive sleep apnoea, prematurity, myotonia), in addition to weight. Body mass index and lean body mass are important factors in determining dose	Thank you, we have amended the wording to include the ideal body weight.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	40	FULL	63	35 - 41	For elective sedation in a child with severe anxiety or learning disability, is there evidence in support of referral to a mental health specialist? It is disappointing that this is not explored in the subsequent discussion on ' <i>Psychological Preparation</i> ' (page 74 onwards)  The APA questions whether the term ' <i>mental health specialist</i> ' can be applied in quite the same way to both anxious patients	Thank you for your comments. Guideline recommendations need to be sensitive to equity and diversity principles, this is why the GDG referred to mental health services as this is where expert advice can be sought in relation to learning disabilities.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						<p>and those with a learning disability. Each deserves / warrants slightly different specialist input (psychotherapy, play therapy) and complex patients may need a multidisciplinary approach. The key here is obtaining the necessary financial investment</p> <p>The guidance should additionally consider the roles of hospital play specialists, particularly in the care of severely anxious patients or those with learning disabilities. This should include their role as an adjunct or alternative to referral to a mental health specialist</p>	
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	41	FULL	63	41	The British Society of Disability and Oral Health and the British Institute of Learning Disability have published a guide on restraint (see <a href="http://www.bsdh.org.uk">www.bsdh.org.uk</a> )	Thank you for your comment.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	42	FULL	65	26	Add a requirement to document any need for physical restraint	Thank you for your comment, this was not one of our clinical questions. This is determined usually by local policy and reference to other guidance such as RCN ( <a href="http://www.rcn.org.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0016/312613/003573.pdf">http://www.rcn.org.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0016/312613/003573.pdf</a> ) and <a href="http://www.bsdh.org.uk">www.bsdh.org.uk</a> may be helpful, as briefly discussed in Section 4.1.3, GDG discussion, Factors to consider in assessment.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	43	FULL	67		<p><b>Recommendation 5</b></p> <p>For clarity, consider stating here explicitly that the practice of a sole-sedationist / operator is unacceptable. Consider also emphasizing the team-approach in the care of children and young people</p>	Thank you for your comment. This is stated in the full guideline, paragraph 4.1.3 (GDG discussion, Factors to consider in assessment).

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**



SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	44	FULL	74 – 76		<b>‘Psychological Preparation’</b> Distraction techniques and the ambient environment should be included here in addition. Techniques might include a warm environment, music, visual stimulation (in all), feeding ( <i>‘feed and sleep’</i> ), swaddling and sucrose (in babies). See general comments above	Thank you for your comments. We have made ‘good practice’ recommendations that have been shaped by both a narrative review of the evidence and GDG consensus work. A full evidence review of non-pharmacological interventions was not prioritised when shaping the scope into key clinical questions for effectiveness reviews.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	45	FULL	80	3	<i>‘Offer parents and carers the opportunity to be present during sedation when appropriate. If a parent or carer decides to be present offer them advice about their role during the procedure’.</i> This recommendation reflects current accepted good practice but the conclusions reached after review of the literature (later in the document) on the benefits of parental presence (see also comments under page 99 / 5.1.9 / line 2 below) are negative, which may reflect the limitations of the studies rather than the validity of their findings. The GDG may be trying to reflect the <i>‘paradigm shift to family centred care’</i> (page 108) whilst emphasising the paucity of evidence in the literature to support this. However, it may not be helpful to challenge what is now accepted good practice, at least in the UK. Some of the references are now quite old (notably references 87 (1993); 110 (1996); 122 and 202 (1998)) and the validity of these studies, does not seem to be questioned.	Thank you for your comments. We have made ‘good practice’ recommendations that have been shaped by both a narrative review of the evidence and GDG consensus work. We anticipate that the ‘good practice’ recommendations will help inform local policy and agree that developing such materials/guidance would be useful implementation tools.
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	46	FULL	80	4	For elective sedation in a child with severe anxiety or learning disability, is there evidence in support of referral to a mental health specialist? It is disappointing that	Thank you for your comments. Guideline recommendations need to be sensitive to equity and diversity principles, this is why the GDG referred to mental health services

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						<p>this is not explored in the subsequent discussion on <i>'Psychological Preparation'</i> (page 74 onwards)</p> <p>The APA questions whether the term <i>'mental health specialist'</i> can be applied in quite the same way to both anxious patients and those with a learning disability. Each deserves / warrants slightly different specialist input (psychotherapy, play therapy) and complex patients may need a multidisciplinary approach. The key here is obtaining the necessary financial investment</p> <p>The guidance should additionally consider the roles of hospital play specialists, particularly in the care of severely anxious patients or those with learning disabilities. This should include their role as an adjunct or alternative to referral to a mental health specialist</p>	<p>as this is where expert advice can be sought in relation to learning disabilities. Service delivery recommendations on healthcare professional team constituent membership such as 'play therapists' in relation to your point was not a guideline priority.</p>
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	47	FULL	81 – 83		<p><b>4.4 'Personnel and Training'</b></p> <p>This section should also consider communication skills with children, which are integral to success of sedation techniques</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, we acknowledge the importance of effective communication skills, We have explained the principles of effective communications in section 4.1.3, GDG discussion, particularly in the "Information and consent" sub-paragraph.</p>
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	48	FULL	82 – 83	29 / 2	<p>Specifically, certificated <input type="checkbox"/>ditionists should successfully complete an accredited course and be competent in resuscitation (including vascular access and advanced airway skills) in children and young people of all ages</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, healthcare professionals are required to work within their scope of practice determined by training and competency. The guideline establishes this in the Section 4.4, Personnel and training.</p>
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great	49	FULL	84	1	<p><b>Recommendation 19</b></p> <p><i>'...trained in delivering anaesthetic</i></p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. We have changed the word 'sedatives' to 'drugs'</p>

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	Britain and Ireland					<i>agents.....is available to administer the following sedatives: sevoflurane, propofol, opioids combined with ketamine'. These are anaesthetic <b>not</b> sedative drugs, although with skill these can be administered in a manner that provides sedation. This point is not one of semantics; the perception that these drugs can be regarded as sedatives is potentially seriously misleading</i>	
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	50	FULL	86	28 - 34	<b>'When should the monitoring stop?'</b> Suggest advocating and reinforcing a formal handover to trained recovery staff in an appropriate facility with appropriate monitoring here	All staff involved with delivery of sedation are trained, including recovery staff
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	51	FULL	99	2	<i>'Permitting parental presence during anaesthesia induction varies widely between and within hospitals and countries and is surrounded by controversy'. On the contrary, this practice is <b>not</b> 'surrounded by controversy' in the UK., As reference 110 confirms in its comparison of practice in Great Britain, where parental presence was widely supported, with the United States, where it generally was not.</i>	Thank you for your comments. We have made 'good practice' recommendations that have been shaped by both a narrative review of the evidence and GDG consensus work. We acknowledge the paucity of the 'effectiveness evidence' in the evidence to recommendations sections of the guideline and acknowledge that the role of the GDG is to interpret the evidence and form recommendations that are useful for practice. This approach is supportive of your point
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	52	FULL	106	14 - 16	<i>'Taken in conclusion the results of the above randomised studies point that current evidence shows no apparent benefit of parental presence during anaesthesia induction in relation to decreasing parents' and children's anxiety'. There would seem to be no critical appraisal discussion in relation to the validity of these studies</i>	Thank you for your comments. We have made 'good practice' recommendations that have been shaped by both a narrative review of the evidence and GDG consensus work. We acknowledge the paucity of the 'effectiveness evidence' in the evidence to recommendations sections of the guideline and acknowledge that the role of the GDG is to interpret the evidence and form recommendations that are useful for

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

							practice. This approach is supportive of your point
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	53	FULL	106	26 - 43	This paragraph has omitted the concluding discussion of the systematic review referenced (Piira et al <sup>181</sup> ) <i>'Although parental presence may not have a clear, direct influence on child distress and behavioural outcomes, there are potential advantages for parents. It seems appropriate that clinicians provide parents with the opportunity to be present during their child's painful procedure.'</i>	Thank you for your comments. We have made 'good practice' recommendations that have been shaped by both a narrative review of the evidence and GDG consensus work. We acknowledge the paucity of the 'effectiveness evidence' in the evidence to recommendations sections of the guideline and acknowledge that the role of the GDG is to interpret the evidence and form recommendations that are useful for practice. This approach is supportive of your point
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	54	FULL	108	3 - 5	<i>'For parents there is inconclusive evidence indicating whether parents should be encouraged or discouraged to be present at their child's induction. The offer to be present is therefore to be based on negotiation with the care team'</i> . Is this a recommendation? If so it is retrogressive and if it is a statement about current practice (in the UK, it is inaccurate and contrary to current good practice guidance, which places the emphasis on promoting parental presence (other than in exceptional circumstances) rather than expecting parents to 'negotiate'	Thank you for your comments. We have made 'good practice' recommendations that have been shaped by both a narrative review of the evidence and GDG consensus work. We acknowledge the paucity of the 'effectiveness evidence' in the evidence to recommendations sections of the guideline and acknowledge that the role of the GDG is to interpret the evidence and form recommendations that are useful for practice. This approach is supportive of your point
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	55	FULL	330	4	<i>'Since 2002, anaesthesia has been prohibited in the non-hospital setting'</i> . This is not the case in Wales, where the practice continues	Thank you for your comment. We have now specified "in England".
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	56	FULL	336	11	After consultation with colleagues undertaking dental sedation, the APA is surprised at the need to use up to 70% N <sub>2</sub> O. Colleagues reported that this concentration	Thank you, we agree with your comment. 70% N <sub>2</sub> O is the max concentration that can be used in dentistry.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						is very rarely needed	
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	57	FULL	337	14 - 20	Whilst research into 'value for money' is important, this should not be viewed in isolation. In comparing anaesthesia with sedation, the GDG should additionally include safety (critical incidents) and outcome (failure rates; recovery; adverse effects)	Thank you. We have changed the recommendation as follows: For children and young people under the age of 19 undergoing diagnostic or therapeutic procedures, are procedures carried out under sedation more safe, effective and cost effective than those carried out under general anaesthesia?
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	58	FULL	338	12 - 15	The APA commends the Guideline Development Group's (GDG) recommendation to establish a national registry, although practically this may be difficult to achieve	Thank you for your comment
SH	Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland	59	FULL	ALGO RITHM 48672		<b>Algorithm 48672</b> This advises contacting a consultant anaesthetist for certain categories of patients, if sedation fails. Whilst general anaesthesia may well be the most appropriate secondary plan, immediate direct contact, as described, may prove onerous for anaesthetic services. It may be better to advocate ' <i>arrange(ing) /discuss(ing) anaesthesia support in a timely manner. Involve(ing) senior personnel</i> ' instead	Thank you for your comments, following consultation we have decided not to present the guideline as a separate algorithm which is different to the NICE Quick Reference Guide produced by NICE prior to publication.
SH	British Society of Gastroenterology	1	FULL	GENERAL		We are happy with the document as it relates to gastrointestinal endoscopy in young people	Thank you for your comment.
SH	College of Emergency Medicine	1	FULL	249	25	The correct reference for the 3.9% rate of vomiting with 50% nitrous oxide is ref 21 (Babl Pediatrics 2008)	Thank you, the reference has been changed
SH	College of Emergency Medicine	2	FULL	249	26	The reference for the reporting saturations is <90% in patients using 70% is ref 21	Thank you, teference changed. The correct statistic is actually 0.1% of 548 patients who

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						(Babl Pediatrics 2008). The correct statistic is 0.18% (i.e. 1 patient) of patients using 70% nitrous oxide had a saturation of <90%, not 3.9%.	received 70% nitrous oxide.
SH	College of Emergency Medicine	3	FULL	244	TABL E 62	The Babl reference is 21 not 20 for this information	Thank you, the reference has been changed
SH	College of Emergency Medicine	4	FULL	242	TABL E 61	The Babl reference is 21 not 20	Thank you, the reference has been changed
SH	College of Mental health Pharmacy	1	FULL NICE	15	13	Please include route for propofol	Thank you for your comment. Where a route of delivery is not highlighted in the guideline, administration route is down to the clinical choice.
SH	College of Mental health Pharmacy	2	FULL NICE	15	14	Please include route for Sevoflurane	Thank you for your comment. Where a route of delivery is not highlighted in the guideline, administration route is down to the clinical choice.
SH	College of Mental health Pharmacy	3	FULL NICE	15	18	Please include route for fentanyl	Thank you for your comment. Where a route of delivery is not highlighted in the guideline, administration route is down to the clinical choice.
SH	College of Mental health Pharmacy	4	FULL NICE	16	7	Please include route for midazolam	Where a route of delivery is not highlighted in the guideline, administration route is down to the clinical choice.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	1	FULL NICE	21	20	There was no Consultant or Specialist in Paediatric Dentistry within the Group and no Consultant or Specialist in Special Care Dentistry representing patients over the age of 16 and under the age of 19.	Thank you for your suggestion. The developers are mindful of the need for ensuring that a broad range of experience and knowledge is represented on the group. This has to be balanced with the need to ensure that the GDG is a workable size and as such enables individuals to contribute effectively. When convening the guideline development group the developers have followed the principles outlined in the NICE guidelines manual.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	2	FULL	2	28	As above, although there was a Professor and Consultant in Conscious Sedation and a very experienced General Dental Practitioner in the Guideline group. The guidance uses dental extractions as the common scenario in Dentistry and states that the guidance can be applied to '90% of scenarios'. Our experience in the Paediatric group is that the larger proportion of sedation is to facilitate restorative procedures rather than extractions. The guideline does not cover restorative dentistry which may give different outcomes, also in the age range of 16 and under the age of 19.	Thank you for your comment; we use 'Dental procedures' throughout the guideline to include all dental interventions.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	3	FULL	29	28	The premise is 'if sedation is unsuccessful...' Treatment planning is important as in line 33-34 if there is a need for repeated procedure such as a filling in different quadrants of the mouth then this could not be undertaken with repeated GA. This could lead to more extractions being planned and may be important in treatment planning and care in the transitional years.	Thank you but page 29 of the full guideline represents wording from the Scope document and we are unable to change any of this. The Scope document was fully consulted on and these comments would have been appreciated at the appropriate time.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	4	FULL	30	28	The BNF for adults should be added to include prescribing for patients over 16 years old.	Thank you but page 30 of the full guideline represents wording from the Scope document and we are unable to change any of this. The Scope document was fully consulted on and these comments would have been appreciated at the appropriate time.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	5	FULL	52	24	There will need to be substantial investment in services to allow 'referral to a mental health specialist for children who are severely anxious or those who have a learning disability' The approach is evidence based, appropriate and aspirational as a number of	Thank you for your comments. Guideline recommendations need to be sensitive to equity and diversity principles, this is why the GDG referred to mental health services as this is where expert advice can be sought in relation to learning disabilities.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						complex patients require this approach; however, this is not being achieved at present as there is not enough funding.	
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	6	FULL	61		National Registry for Paediatric Sedation – It would be useful to link this to electronic clinical records and national data set.	Thank you for your comment. This is a future research recommendation.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	7	FULL	63	21	The comment 'dose of all drugs except vapours and gases must be calculated or adjusted according to the body weight'. In Dentistry, the drugs nitrous oxide and midazolam are titrated to the individual patient's response. For obese patients, dose is based on weight and will be influenced by ASA grading; however, careful titration to response should bear in mind lean body mass.	Thank you, we agree with your comment, we have amended the wording to include the ideal body weight.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	8	FULL	63	41	British Society of Disability and Oral Health and British Institute of Learning Disability have published a guideline on Clinical Holding and Physical Intervention for patients with Learning Disability <a href="http://www.bsdh.org.uk">www.bsdh.org.uk</a> This could be referenced here as an appropriate guideline for inclusion.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	9	FULL	65	26	The decision to use 'clinical holding' should be discussed and documented.	Thank you for your comment, this was not one of our clinical questions. This is determined usually by local policy and reference to other guidance such as RCN ( <a href="http://www.rcn.org.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0016/312613/003573.pdf">http://www.rcn.org.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0016/312613/003573.pdf</a> ) and <a href="http://www.bsdh.org.uk">www.bsdh.org.uk</a> may be helpful, as briefly discussed in Section 4.1.3, GDG discussion, Factors to consider in

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**



							assessment.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	10	FULL	79	26	HCP involved in sedation patients do provide psychological support but there is a definite need at times to include trained Mental Health Specialists for preparation of patients. Funding will be necessary. Recommendation 15 agree	Thank you for your comments. Guideline recommendations need to be sensitive to equity and diversity principles, this is why the GDG referred to mental health services as this is where expert advice can be sought in relation to learning disabilities.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	11	FULL	81	14	Training: The Diploma and MSc courses in Conscious Sedation in the UK and Ireland are subject to validation panels in the Universities with External assessors with expertise in the field. This includes Workplace assessments as well as summative and formative assessment.	Thank you, we acknowledge your comment; individual Trusts are mentioned as an example. The developers feel that this is too much detail for inclusion in this broad national guideline.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	12	FULL	82	19	Agree that HCP need to undergo competency based assessment upon completion of training. The organisation and funding of this will have to be determined and carefully planned.	Your concerns have been forwarded to the NICE implementation and costing teams for this guideline.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	13	FULL	82	29-42	This will cause problems in relation to existing staff. It will also need to be built into training programmes at all levels. 'Grandparenting' arrangements will need to be considered for existing practitioners Agree that HCP should have documented evidence of competency and should maintain CPD in subject.	Your concerns have been forwarded to the NICE implementation team for this guideline.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	14	FULL	83	1	Recommendations 16-20 Agree- Maintain documentary evidence of clinical activity and CPD in sedation and that Trusts and Health Boards will need to ensure this is undertaken and funded.	Thank you for your comment.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	Hospital)						
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	15	FULL	97	4	American Academy of Paediatric Dentistry reference 14 – Why not from the UK Paediatric literature?	Thank you for your comment. Guideline searches apply to the world's literature.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	16	FULL	170	10	Agree : 'the use of midazolam alone in dental procedures in adolescents is common and there is some evidence on the effectiveness and safety of using MDZ alone'- This practice is not the case in all Dental Schools and sedation services.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	17	FULL	250	15	There is no note of the use of topical LA which reduces the discomfort of LA .	Thank you for your comment. The use of topical LA was outside of our remit for the sedation guideline.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	18	FULL	250	27-40	Agree with: 'the GDG considers nitrous oxide to be safe, short acting and highly effective in selected patient groups ' This is also the case for restorative dentistry as well.	Thank you for your comment
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	18	FULL	250	36	Agree with GDG that 'patients must be assessed and that practitioners must be trained to use nitrous oxide safely'. There is a requirement for clear definition of 'training' .	Thank you for your comment. We have specified as far as able – detail would be too great for all drugs in the guideline
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale	19	FULL	251	3	Noted and Agree: 'only specially trained dental sedation teams should use	Thank you for your comment.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)					combinations of sedation drugs to achieve sedation...'	
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	20	FULL	251	10-13	Noted that nitrous oxide and other drugs in this section have some evidence that they are safe and effective; however; they are not commonly used in Dental Schools and sedation services at present and would require dental teams to have additional training.  The generalisability of this model has to be questioned.	Thank you for your comment. The GDG felt that the guidance is realistic. Your comment will be forwarded to the NICE implementation team for this guideline.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	21	FULL	263	9	Noted and agreed 'the GDG agreed that sevoflurane should only be used by specially trained sedation' teams.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	22	FULL	335	6	Noted and agreed ' moderate sedation with intravenous midazolam is considered to be effective for selected children and young people who are cooperative and younger children who can tolerate a nasal mask can be managed with nitrous oxide'	Thank you for your comment.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	23	FULL	335	16	Noted 'GDG agreed potential important economic advantages of avoiding hospital based anaesthetic services. The training of dental sedation teams was regarded as crucial.'  The model is based on extractions but the economics of multiple sedations to facilitate full mouth rehabilitation has not been	Thank you. The GDG felt that this wasn't a common enough scenario to require detailed HE analysis.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						evaluated.	
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	24	FULL	335	37	Noted The GDG 'states we found that sedation is clearly cost saving compared to GA in cases where the operating dentist/or a nurse is able to administer sedation without the addition of a sedationist dentist.'  At the present time, we are unaware that Dental Nurses are allowed to administer the sedation in many of the Dental Schools, Trusts and Health Boards.	Thank you. We have removed this sensitivity analysis from our write-up.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	25	FULL	336	5	Noted and agreed 'GDG reported that very high rates of success (above 95%) are achievable with all techniques if patients are selected carefully.  The dental treatment must also be of an appropriate standard / level to compliment this high success rate.'	Thank you for your comment.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	26	FULL	336	11	Recommendation 33 is noted 'to achieve moderate sedation with nitrous oxide and oxygen (titrated to child's needs and using maximum of 70% Nitrous oxide).  The level of 70% nitrous oxide would rarely be used without specialist training.  If these are not suitable or effective, consider referring to a specialist team for other sedation techniques (e.g. MDZ in combination with N2O).'	Thank you, we agree with your comment. 70% N2O is the max concentration that can be used in dentistry.  The definition of specialist team has been added to the glossary.
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental	27	FULL	336 -338	13-27	The research recommendations were noted and agreed.  Further research must also reflect	Thank you but this area was not prioritised by the GDG for a research recommendation.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)					restorative options including treatment planning and multi-visit options for care.	
SH	Dental Services Division, Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (previous name Dental Services Group, Cardiff University Dental Hospital)	28	FULL	338	10-14	Noted and agreed that 'a National Registry for Paediatric Sedation is established for the purpose of creating a database with sufficient data.' This must be compatible with national data sets and be subject to Clinical Governance issues such as Data Protection.	Thank you for your comment
SH	Department of Health	1	NICE	GENERAL		Our main concern is that the medicines recommended do not appear to be in line with the advice in the British National Formulary for Children (BNFC) 2009. We are currently unable to check whether the content of the 2010/11 edition differs from that for 2009.  In the recent constipation guideline, you have specifically commented on where the advice on dosage differs from the BNFC.  The biggest difference from the BNFC appears to relate to propofol. We are aware that this is widely used by anaesthetists in children but it is not licensed for those under 3 years of age, and it is contra-indicated for sedation of ventilated children in intensive care under 17 years. Doses under 17 are given in the BNFC for anaesthesia, and not for sedation  Ketamine doses in the BNFC are for anaesthesia. Therefore, if the evidence underpinning this guideline supports safe sedation with these agents (which we must assume it does), we feel that the differences from the BNFC	Thank you for your comment. An appendix and footnotes were added to both the NICE and full guideline to clarify the licensing of sedation drugs.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						should be addressed in order to ensure safe prescribing, particularly by non anaesthetists, as the skills and competencies of the sedation team described in the guideline appear to fall short of those of an anaesthetist trained in children's anaesthesia.	
SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	1	FULL	29	9-10	This definition is not consistent with the definition of conscious sedation as used in the field of dentistry and deep sedation is not advocated.	Thank you but page 29 of the full guideline represents wording from the Scope document and we are unable to change any of this. The Scope document was fully consulted on and these comments would have been appreciated at the appropriate time.
SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	2	FULL	49	1	A table is presented with headings of different levels of Sedation, however no definition has been provided of these sedation levels at this stage. The term Deep Sedation is referred to and in the field of dental sedation this term is generally equated with general anaesthesia. This needs to be clearly explained.	Thank you for your comment. Definitions of minimal, moderate, conscious, deep sedation and general anaesthesia are provided in the Glossary of terms
SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	3	FULL	51	22	Fasting: Having read the evidence to support the issue of fasting there appears to be limited support for the need for fasting and yet a blanket recommendation for the 2,4,6, rule has been recommended, with the exception of nitrous oxide sedation. For dental patients fasting children can have detrimental effects. The child already anxious, if starved can become more upset and agitated leading to adverse events.  In dental practice only conscious sedation is practised. All patients must be able to maintain their own airways and vital	Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on fasting have been amended following consultation.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						reflexes, the risk of aspiration is therefore negligible. We would welcome separate guidance for dental patients with regard to fasting.	
SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	4	FULL	52	27	We believe that all team members involved in the provision of sedation should have knowledge and understanding of the topics stated. Both clinician and assistant must have a broad knowledge of the techniques used.  We would welcome the addition that those assisting within the team also have knowledge and understanding	Thank you. This recommendation pertains to the healthcare professional delivering sedation. Support staff may need differing levels of training according to the area that they are providing support.
SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	5	FULL	53	11	We believe that all team members delivering moderate sedation must be ILS trained	Thank you, we cannot recommend branded training.
SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	6	FULL	53	15	We welcome the recommendation that anaesthetic agents should only be delivered by appropriately trained health care professionals. However we would like this statement to clearly state that the individual should be a specialist anaesthetist, to ensure they have an appropriate level of anaesthetic training.	Thank you for your suggestion. We do not name professionals / state the specialist group within recommendations.
SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	7	FULL	53	21	We would like to recommend that all the team involved in the delivery of sedation have documented evidence of competency in their specific tasks within the team	The GDG acknowledge that all training relating to sedation should have a competency focus for knowledge skills and attitudes.
SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	8	FULL	54	8	For moderate sedation we believe that Blood Pressure must also be monitored continuously.	The guideline is not a textbook for sedation practice. Clinicians involved in paediatric sedation are able to make local decisions in relation to this.
SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	9	FULL	55	22	At this stage the document refers to specific areas of care, however this is not clear. The section of Painful Procedures in the mind of the dental profession might also include dental procedures, however this is not	Thank you for your comment. This chapter (Summary of Recommendations) is a list of all the recommendations included in the full guideline. For more explanation on the various clinical settings, please refer to

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						covered in this section.  We would like to recommend that this is summarised at this stage before talking about the individual disciplines.	chapter 6 (paragraph 6.12).
SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	10	FULL	56	25	Reference is made to the use of midazolam for achieving moderate sedation for dental procedures.  Clarification is needed as to the most appropriate route of administration here in this section as different routes can produce varying levels of sedation. For example oral and transmucosal sedation are very unpredictable and can lead to over sedation.	Clinicians involved in paediatric sedation are able to make local decisions in relation to this. This is why the guideline is not prescriptive about the route that midazolam is administered. The principle is that the technique employed is matched to the patient. The unpredictability of non IV administration needs to be taken into account with regard to training and experience of the sedation team along with the possibility of a reduced margin of safety with regard to the intended target level of sedation.
SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	11	FULL	58	37	We note that the GDG suggest a standard teaching method and assessment tool be developed in the field of sedation.  We would like to advise that within the dental profession there are many teaching programmes already established with sound assessment procedures. Also the National Examining Board for Dental Nurses runs a Certificate in Dental Sedation Nursing which is a nationally recognised course.  We believe that the dental courses currently running could be modified to form a template for training in all medical fields.	Thank you for your comment. The research recommendation is aimed to cover all clinical settings.
SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	12	FULL NICE	19	6	We would welcome clarification regarding a "National Registry". How would this work and who would qualify to be on it.	This is a research recommendation.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**



SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	13	FULL	GENERAL		The document appears to support the use of "Deep Sedation". This is not in line with other UK guidance on sedation particularly within the field of dentistry. The term "conscious Sedation" is advocated as the safest form of sedation particularly for children.	Thank you for your comment. The introduction to the dentistry section has been modified. "Conscious sedation" is now used in the recommendation and defined in the glossary. It remains the target state for dentistry.
SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	14	FULL	GENERAL		Dental Sedation for ASA grade 3 or greater should not be carried out in a primary care setting. The higher end of ASA 2 should be treated with caution in primary care.	Thank you for your comment. The guideline is non-setting specific
SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	15	FULL	47		The dentist should seek further specialist advice before delivering sedation if either : 20 there is concern about a potential airway or breathing problem, or 21 the child or young person is assessed as ASA grade 3 or greater.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	16	FULL	49		<i>"Moderate sedation: All members have basic life support skills and at least one team member should have intermediate life support skills in airway management using mask ventilation and use of defibrillator"</i> Comments: All members should have intermediate life support validated annually <i>"Deep sedation: All members have basic life support skills and at least one team member should have advanced life support skills including advanced airway skills and cardiac arrest management"</i> Comments: All members should have advanced life support validated annually	Thank you for your comments, training curricula have been recommended, recertification of skills and competencies are guided by local governance policies.
SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	17	FULL	GENERAL		A comprehensive document but should have more definition in relation to sedation in Dentistry.	Thank you for your comment. Definitions of: Moderate sedation, Conscious sedation, Standard sedation techniques, Specialist sedation techniques have been added to the glossary. They apply to all settings, including dentistry.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	18	FULL	GENERAL	39	Glossary/definitions: we assume that the nurse relates to RGN, and would like this expanded to include dental nurse for dental sedation provision.	Thank you for your comment. All references to nurse relate to registered general nurses. We acknowledge that dental nurses may be involved in sedation care but this is a local service delivery decision.
SH	Faculty of Dental Surgery	19	FULL	82		Training /personnel- the registered Dental Nurse should have also completed a recognised course e.g. the NEBDN post qualification in Conscious Sedation	Thank you for your comment. The suggested training for dental nurses helps them to demonstrate sufficient competency. As the guideline is non-setting specific, similar training pathways exist for other members of the multi-disciplinary team.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	1	FULL	GENERAL		<p>There appears to be a lack of appreciation of the definition of conscious sedation as used in dentistry for which it is of fundamental importance that the level of sedation must be such that the patient remains conscious, and is able to both understand and respond to verbal commands (if a patient is unable to respond to verbal contact when fully conscious, the normal method of communicating with them must be maintained).</p> <p>The American terminology of minimal, moderate and deep sedation is unhelpful to dentists and is inconsistent with other UK guidance. The definition of minimal + moderate sedation appears to be generally compatible with <b>conscious sedation</b> which is the preferred terminology. This terminology makes the distinction between 'sedation' and general anaesthesia clear.</p> <p>The term <i>conscious sedation</i> is well defined, understood and accepted within the dental community. The term <i>Deep Sedation</i> in the field of dental sedation is equated with general anaesthesia, and is</p>	Thank you for your comment. The introduction to the dentistry section has been modified. "Conscious sedation" is now used in the recommendation and defined in the glossary. It remains the target state for dentistry

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						<p>likely to be continued to be viewed as such by the General Dental Council.</p> <p>There are two relevant Cochrane Reviews that have not been included in the development of the guideline:  Matharu L, Ashley PF. Sedation of anxious children undergoing dental treatment. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2006, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD003877. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003877.pub3.  Ashley PF, Williams CECS, Moles DR, Parry J. Sedation versus general anaesthesia for provision of dental treatment in under 18 year olds. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2009, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD006334. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006334.pub2.</p> <p><b>It is not clear why nine trials that were cited within the above Cochrane Reviews have not been included the guideline.</b></p>	<p>These Cochrane reviews were evaluated during the scoping process. All studies within <i>Matharu L, Ashley PF. Sedation of anxious children undergoing dental treatment</i> which met the inclusion criteria for this guideline were reviewed. The Cochrane review <i>Ashley PF, Williams CECS, Moles DR, Parry J. Sedation versus general anaesthesia for provision of dental treatment in under 18 year old</i> found no RCTs comparing general anaesthesia to sedation for the provision of dental care in children.</p>
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	2	FULL	GENERAL		<p>This document seems to endorse the provision of deep sedation without intravenous access – particularly in children in whom venous access is difficult – this seems to be out of keeping with other guidance.</p> <p>As a minimum standard, securing venous access should be strongly recommended prior to the surgical procedure being attempted.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. This has been discussed in section 6.4.4, GDG discussion of the evidence for Ketamine.</p>
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	4	FULL	29	9-10	<p>This definition is not conscious sedation as understood in Dentistry.</p>	<p>Thank you but page 29 of the full guideline represents wording from the Scope document and we are unable to change any of this. The Scope document was fully consulted on and these comments would</p>

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

							have been appreciated at the appropriate time.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	5	FULL	30	6-8	There is little information about what should be undertaken in primary care and in specialist facilities outside of the hospital environment. Non-hospital secondary care is omitted e.g. Community/Salaried Dental Service	Thank you but page 30 of the full guideline represents wording from the Scope document and we are unable to change any of this. The Scope document was fully consulted on and these comments would have been appreciated at the appropriate time.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	6	FULL	50	15	It is not usual for dentists to weigh patients for inhalation sedation. Young people who do not appear to be under or overweight are not routinely weighed prior to IV sedation with midazolam. Your recommendations suggest that all should be weighed.	The term 'weight' here is used in reference to standard approaches in healthcare, where healthcare professionals will measure or estimate weight and height in conjunction with accepted tools such as the Broselow Tape, enabling correct dosage of drugs and choice (sizing) of equipment. Weight in relation to growth assessment is not always a sensitive marker, and therefore is only one aspect of the assessment process. The GDG acknowledge the comment that it is not routine practice to weigh children and young people undergoing dental sedation, which is why we have qualified this in the recommendation by bracketing 'growth assessment', an estimate made by the sedation team which may or may not record the child or young person's weight.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	7	FULL	43	7-8	Choice of technique may also depend on patient factors such as their level of anxiety	Thank you, we agree with your comment. This is stated in the existing text in Section 4.1.3, GDG discussion, Information and Consent.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	8	FULL	43	21	Should this read 'non-pharmacological' rather than 'pharmacological'?	Yes. Thank you for spotting this typo.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	9	FULL	47	22	Anxiety levels and other patient factors such as level of cooperation and understanding should be included.	Thank you, we agree with your comment. This is stated in the existing text in Section 4.1.3, GDG discussion, Information and

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

							Consent.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	10	FULL	48	5,6	The term <i>delivering sedation</i> is not helpful and is too specific. In dentistry the sedation team usually consists of a trained operator/sedationist assisted by a trained health care worker-usually a sedation trained dental nurse. The dental nurse however, <b>does not administer</b> sedation. Therefore we do not have a team who are "all" trained in the "delivery" of sedation. Perhaps the sentence could be phrased with greater clarity to prevent ambiguity and confusion.	Thank you for your comment. Definitions of Administration of sedation, Delivery of sedation and Sedation team have been included in the glossary for clarity.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	11	FULL	48	1	For GA in dentistry, patient preference is not an indication and neither is patient preference an indication for sedation. Why is this included? Anxiety level should be included. Operator opinion informed by patient assessment should be included	Thank you for your comment. The GDG felt that patient preference should be taken into account. Psychological and developmental status (which include anxiety) are indeed included in the pre-sedation assessment recommendations.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	12	FULL	48	7	Monitoring and resuscitation are together. They should be separate and add equipment and drugs used for sedation complications prior to resuscitation are readily available.	Thank you for your comment. The developers do not wish to change the wording as it was felt the current wording is clear.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	13	FULL	48	21 - 22	Few complications are encountered in dentistry. Therefore, to obtain practical experience would require simulation which we believe should be acceptable.	Thank you for your comment. The training recommendations apply to all settings.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	14	FULL	49	1	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Definition minimal + moderate should be conscious sedation according to Dental Definition.</li> <li>2. The resuscitation skills required for minimal and moderate sedation should be the same.</li> <li>3. Not in line with current dental guidance, but supports 2006 Resuscitation Council report (with respect to the</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Definitions of minimal, moderate and conscious sedation have been added to the glossary.</li> <li>2. The recommendations on training and monitoring apply to all clinical settings;</li> <li>3. Thank you for your observation. The GDG supports recommendation made by the Resuscitation Council report 2006 for managing emergencies in dental practice</li> </ol>

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						<p>requirement for practices to have a defibrillator)</p> <p>4. Concern about this and other recommendations of up to 50% N<sub>2</sub>O. If titrated there is no need to limit beyond what IS machines can deliver.</p>	<p>4. The recommendations on monitoring apply to all cases of moderate sedation. In one recommendation about personnel and training we specify that for sedation with nitrous oxide alone (up to 50% in oxygen) the sedation team should have the same skills as for minimal sedation.</p>
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	15	FULL	49	L5-12	<p>For minimal and moderate sedation, there should be a recommendation about how monitoring is carried out.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The GDG did not feel it was necessary to specify monitoring for minimal sedation. This is local clinical choice.</p> <p>There is a recommendation about monitoring for moderate sedation.</p>
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	16	FULL	50	20	<p>As there are no recognised sedation specialists, we suggest replacing "specialist" with "further" (i.e. seek advice from a more experienced colleague)</p>	<p>Thank you, we disagree with your suggestion because further is too vague. We have defined "specialist in sedation" in the glossary.</p>
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	17	FULL	50	24	<p>This list needs to include relevant patient factors such as anxiety levels and level of cooperation and understanding should be included (to cover patients with additional needs).</p>	<p>Thank you, we agree with your comment. This is stated in the existing text in Section 4.1.3, GDG discussion, Information and Consent.</p> <p>Psychological and developmental status (which include anxiety) are also included in the pre-sedation assessment recommendations.</p>
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	18	FULL	51	22	<p>Fasting - the evidence to support the need for fasting appears to be limited and yet a blanket recommendation for the 2,4,6, rule has been recommended (with the exception of nitrous oxide sedation).</p> <p>This recommendation is contrary to current dental guidance (SDAC: reference 206, SDCEP: reference 198). Fasting is not needed for minimal / moderate sedation.</p> <p>For dental patients fasting in children can</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on fasting have been amended following consultation.</p>

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						<p>have detrimental effects. The child and young adult patient, if starved can become more upset and agitated leading to adverse events. This is also very traumatic for the patient with learning disabilities and behavioural problems.</p> <p>Fasting is not required for minimal and moderate conscious sedation and there is no evidence to support otherwise.</p> <p>In dental practice only conscious sedation is practised. All patients must be able to maintain their own airways and vital reflexes, the risk of aspiration is therefore negligible.</p> <p>We would welcome separate guidance for dental patients or for conscious sedation with regard to fasting. Refer to McKenna et al. <i>Prim Dent Care</i>. 2010 Jan;17(1):5-11).</p>	
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	19	FULL	52	27	<p>We believe that all team members involved in the provision of sedation should have knowledge and understanding of the topics stated. Both clinician and assistant must have a broad knowledge of the techniques used.</p> <p>We would welcome the addition that those assisting within the team also have knowledge and understanding</p>	Thank you. This recommendation pertains to the healthcare professional delivering sedation. Support staff may need differing levels of training according to the area that they are providing support.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	20	FULL	54	8-12	<p>Monitoring does not required heart rate and SaO<sub>2</sub> for N<sub>2</sub>O and oxygen inhalation sedation.</p>	Thank you for your comment. We agree with your comment but the recommendation applies to moderate sedation in general. In a previous recommendation on personnel and training, sedation with nitrous oxide alone (up to 50% in oxygen) is compared to minimal sedation.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	21	FULL	54	7-16	Blood pressure measurement is not mentioned as part of assessment - this is standard in dentistry	The guideline is not a textbook for sedation practice. Clinicians involved in paediatric sedation are able to make local decisions in relation to this.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	22	FULL	55	1	We believe that a minimum of baseline, post sedation, pre-discharge and significant deviations from baseline recording is sufficient. Continuous recording of monitoring is not necessary.	Thank you for your comment. The GDG felt that continuous monitoring is necessary and should be documented in the healthcare record.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	23	FULL	55	8	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. We believe that return to a level of consciousness suitable for care by a non-healthcare qualified escort is acceptable.</li> <li>2. Current recommendations for sedation in dental practice are safe, and do not require prolonged stays as would be needed if these recommendations are implemented.</li> </ol>	Thank you, we agree with your comment. The recommendation has been amended.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	24	FULL	55	13 AND FOOTNOTE	States that vital signs that usually include blood pressure should return to normal, but it is not recommended to measure blood pressure earlier in the guideline.	Thank you but we disagree with your comment. The guideline is non setting specific, and assessment will invariably differ across a range of settings where different target levels of sedation are aimed for by the sedation team. Blood pressure can only be used as a marker for discharge criteria if it has been recorded as part of the initial assessment, this is routine practice in hospital settings and especially where moderate to deep sedation is the target level. The nature of the non-setting specific recommendations reflect the diverse populations that the guideline addresses, and it is not necessary for example within dental settings when mild sedation is the target level for a blood pressure to be routinely recorded.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory	25	FULL	55	14-	1. We believe that return to level of	Thank you, we agree with your comment.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**



	Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD				15	<p>responsiveness and orientation suitable for care by a non-healthcare qualified escort is acceptable.</p> <p>2. Current recommendations for sedation in dental practice are safe, and do not require prolonged stays as would be needed if these recommendations are implemented.</p>	The recommendation on discharge criteria has been amended.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	26	FULL	55-56	24 -1	<p>1. All techniques are grouped together for conscious sedation. We are concerned about the inclusion of oral midazolam within minimal sedation. It is not clear why a lower level of training is recommended for oral midazolam compared to IV midazolam – this is contrary to dental guidance.</p> <p>2. Oral and Transmucosal sedation should be listed as techniques that can be used to produce ‘moderate sedation’</p>	Thank you. We agree with your comment and the recommendations on dentistry and painful procedures have been amended accordingly.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	28	FULL	56	27 - 28	We do not think it is equitable to mention one technique that is only available in one centre in the UK.	Thank you for your comment. The term “Alternative techniques” has been introduced and defined for dentistry.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	29	FULL	58	23- 30	We think it is inappropriate for the guideline to be so prescriptive on fasting when this is included a research recommendation. Fasting increases the likelihood of fainting in adolescents.	Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on fasting have been amended following consultation.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	30	FULL	59	2-10	Training for conscious sedation in dentistry for standard techniques is well established and so we do not think this needs to be the subject of a research recommendation.	Thank you for your comment. The research recommendation is aimed to cover all clinical setting.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	31	FULL	61	15- 20	We have concerns about how this would be accomplished especially in primary or non hospital secondary care.	Thank you for your comment. This is a future research recommendation.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	32	FULL	62	15- 16	Conscious sedation correct here - should be in the rest of the guideline.	Thank you for your comment. “Conscious sedation” has been added to the recommendations in the dental setting and defined in the glossary.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	33	FULL	64	22-23	The recommendation that only one of 2 professionals can be involved in the procedure is contrary to current dental guidance. Both members of the dental team involved in the procedure can be trained in sedation. This would have a significant impact on the provision of sedation for dentistry.	Thank you for your comments. The GDG felt that this was important when delivering moderate or deep sedation.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	34	FULL	65	1-26	Need to acknowledge that consent varies in different parts of the UK	Thank you for your comment. This has been acknowledged in the guideline.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	35	FULL	68	7- 14	The paucity of evidence of the benefits of fasting, the results of Keidon 2004 (page 71), and the clinical experience that fainting is more likely in fasted patients brings into question the justification for the fasting recommendations.	Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on fasting have been amended following consultation
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	36	FULL	73	10-12	Patients with learning difficulties may require increased care (including overnight) pre-treatment if there is a requirement to fast.	Thank you. We think an overnight stay is unlikely to be necessary except in rare circumstances. We do not think it necessary to raise this issue in the guideline.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	37	FULL	87	2	Oxygen saturation monitoring is not required for N <sub>2</sub> O and oxygen inhalation sedation	Thank you, the recommendations has been amended accordingly
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	38	FULL	88	1 (REC 24)	We believe that a minimum of baseline, post sedation, pre-discharge and significant deviations from baseline recording is sufficient. Continuous recording of monitoring is not necessary.	Thank you for your comment. The GDG felt that continuous monitoring is necessary and should be documented in the healthcare record.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	39	FULL	88	1 (REC 24)	We believe that a minimum of baseline, post sedation, pre-discharge and significant deviations from baseline recording is sufficient.  Continuous recording of monitoring is not necessary for minimal to moderate sedation.	Thank you for your comment. The GDG felt that continuous monitoring is necessary and should be documented in the healthcare record.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	40	FULL	88	2 (REC 25)	We agree with the recommendation except for return to baseline level of consciousness.	Thank you for your comment, the recommendation has been amended accordingly
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	41	FULL	90	10 (REC 26)	We agree with the recommendation except for return to baseline level of consciousness.	Thank you for your comment, the recommendation has been amended accordingly
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	42	FULL	161	16 - 17	Does not make sense with the stem line 17	Thank you for your comment. It has been amended accordingly.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	43	FULL	27-280		<p>We have concerns about the requirement for the ability to intubate when using propofol.</p> <p>We believe that for older children (&gt;10 years), conscious sedation for dentistry with propofol can be provided safely and reliably by a trained non-anaesthetist. This is common practice in several clinical settings,.</p> <p>The implication of the proposed recommendations is that only trained anaesthetists can provide sedation using propofol.</p> <p>Implementing this recommendation would have a significant impact on the provision of patient care and access to dental services.</p> <p>Much of the evidence quoted appears to refer to deep sedation and not conscious sedation as used in dentistry.</p> <p>We suggest that the evidence and this recommendation are reconsidered and make reference to the use of propofol in <i>conscious sedation</i>.</p>	Thank you this has been addressed in the guidance. The guidance advocates further training for more complex or alternative techniques.
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory	44	FULL	336	11	It is not equitable to mention a technique	Thank you, we agree with your comment.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD					which is only available in 1 centre in the UK.	The recommendation has been amended,
SH	Intercollegiate Advisory Committee for Sedation in Dentistry IACSD	45	NICE	GENERAL		We are concerned about the implication within the final paragraph of the introduction which appears to imply that GA is better and safer and the only reasons for not using it are economic.	Thank you for your comment. We do not believe that the summary asserts this at all. It simply recognises that general anaesthesia is the definitive choice when sedation fails.
SH	LEAGRAVE DENTAL SEDATION CLINIC	1	FULL	56	26-28	<p>I have been providing paediatric Conscious Sedation for a while now (since 1999) and earlier this week my personal audit reached the 8000 mark as operator - sedationist. (Lately about 1000 children a year). Over the past 8 years I have used a range of techniques and drugs, but I have found that the safest and most reliable Alternative Sedation Technique involves the Intravenous titrated technique in children often using ketamine + midazolam or ketamine +propofol.</p> <p>At Leagrove Dental Sedation Clinic there are separate sedationists who sedate children. They treat about 2500 children a year using ketamine and midazolam and one sedationist is using propofol as well.</p> <p>I have assessed many practices in the South-East of England-about 10 practices providing Alternative sedation techniques and most of them are using ketamine and or propofol for paediatric conscious sedation.</p> <p>For a SAAD presentation at the annual symposium in 2008 I contacted about 40 practices involved with NHS sedation in the South-East and those that are providing paediatric sedation are using similar techniques - and I worked out that about 50 000 children were being sedated using</p>	Thank you for your comment. The term "Alternative techniques" has been introduced and defined for dentistry.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						<p>these techniques annually.</p> <p>I have not heard of any recent (last 5 years) adverse reactions or emergencies regarding these techniques in children.</p> <p>I feel that it is not the drug which should be classified as dangerous or put in a higher category of vigilance as we are all meeting the definition of conscious sedation.</p> <p>My fear is that patient access to dental services will be adversely affected as patients will either be unsuccessfully sedated with midazolam only (creating dental phobics) and many will be referred for GA and put the patient through additional trauma when these techniques have proved to be safe, efficient and they have a high success and low side effect rate- when used by sedationists with the appropriate training and experience.</p> <p>From a financial perspective this technique is far less expensive to carry out in the primary care setting than GA in hospital. I hope that you will seriously consider these factors in placing these drugs in the category of 'DEEP Sedation' drugs and all the additional requirements needed as there is no evidence from the stats that this is needed.</p>	
SH	LEAGRAVE DENTAL SEDATION CLINIC	2	FULL	59	23-24	<p>Clinical monitoring and being in constant contact with the patient is crucial. I have done trials with BIS monitors in practice. This demonstrated that the level of sedation was between 80 and 90. This proved too</p>	Thank you for your comment.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						expensive to do this for every patient but gave us a correlation between level of sedation between the BIS monitor and clinical evaluation of consciousness levels.	
SH	LEAGRAVE DENTAL SEDATION CLINIC	3	FULL	59	34-36	Subanaesthetic doses of Ketamine (0,25-0,5mg/kg) have proven to be potent analgesics and are respiratory stimulants rather than depressant at these doses. The combination of ketamine with either midazolam or propofol will help protect respiratory function in conscious sedation and are beneficial in children with asthma.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	LEAGRAVE DENTAL SEDATION CLINIC	4	FULL	59	38	Subanaesthetic doses of ketamine has an incredibly safe safety profile and is used in our clinic for oral, IV, IM and nasal use. This reduces the 'paradoxical effect' sometimes seen with midazolam.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	LEAGRAVE DENTAL SEDATION CLINIC	5	FULL	60	9-11	0,5mg/kg propofol is added to 0,25mg/kg ketamine and has proved to have ketamine-sparing effect and also has reduced PONV considerably in our audit of nearly 2000 children having IV sedation for dental treatment. The most dominant factor determining PONV is pre-op anxiety.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	LEAGRAVE DENTAL SEDATION CLINIC	6	FULL	60	13-17	See comments in previous paragraphs	
SH	LEAGRAVE DENTAL SEDATION CLINIC	7	FULL	60	19-21	We provide 5000 sedations a year for NHS dental contract most for paediatric sedation and are paid £55 per sedation. How much lower could GA be provided for the same service-I think not. It is time that a national tariff be agreed to help promote safe sedation.	Thank you. We have passed your comment on to the NICE implementation team.
SH	LEAGRAVE DENTAL SEDATION CLINIC	8	FULL	61	2-4	Ketamine is safer as it has a wider therapeutic range and safety profile – I have never had a case of desaturations when using ketamine on its own for CS in	Thank you for your comment.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						paediatric CS. It has been used in combination with propofol in >2000 cases as operator- sedationist in kids without any desaturations.	
SH	LEAGRAVE DENTAL SEDATION CLINIC	9	FULL	82	12-16	When trained and experienced in using anaesthetic agents for conscious sedation – titration of small increments will allow a wider therapeutic range without desaturations. The technique is important and not the drugs. We have not experienced apnoea and only a few cases with desaturations in the tens of thousands of cases managed at the clinic. We do not use opioids in children < 50kg.	Thank you, we acknowledge your comment, however the developers feel the statement to be accurate. The part regarding personnel and training applies to all clinical settings.
SH	LEAGRAVE DENTAL SEDATION CLINIC	10	FULL	169	34-36	When midazolam is combined with ketamine the mixture may result in deep sedation if excessive amounts (boluses) of each drug is given –this can happen with any of the drugs. If the target is conscious sedation, small increments need to be titrated and you will be able to manage the child with conscious sedation long before the child becomes unresponsive	Thank you. We agree.
SH	LEAGRAVE DENTAL SEDATION CLINIC	11	FULL	251	11-12	IV Ketamine is used 100x much more widely in dental clinics than sevoflurane. I am aware of only one clinic using this effective inhalational technique.	Thank you for your comment. The paragraph in questions regards the discussion of the evidence for nitrous oxide. The recommendation on dental procedures has been amended and it does no longer mentions sevoflurane.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	1.	FULL	GENERAL		The American terminology of minimal, moderate and deep is unhelpful to dentists. It is also inconsistent with other UK guidance.  Dentists will keep using the term <i>conscious sedation</i> as this is well defined, accepted and understood. It has clarity of meaning. The term Deep Sedation in the field of	Thank you for your comment. “Conscious sedation” is now used in the recommendation in the dentistry setting. “Conscious sedation” is also defined in the glossary.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						dental sedation is equated with general anaesthesia and is viewed as such by the General Dental Council. We advocate the use of the term “conscious Sedation” as this is the safest form of sedation for non-anaesthetists.	
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	2.	FULL	GENERAL		This document seems to endorse the provision of deep sedation without intravenous access – particularly in children in whom venous access is difficult – this seems to be out of keeping with other guidance. As a minimum, securing venous access should be strongly recommended prior to the surgical procedure being attempted.	Thank you for your comment. This has been discussed in section 6.4.4, GDG discussion of the evidence for Ketamine.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	3.	FULL	GENERAL		There is little information about what should be undertaken in primary care and in specialist facilities.	Thank you for your comment. The guideline is non-setting specific
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	4.	FULL	GENERAL		The remit for this guidance is too wide with no differentiation between babies and young people. It would be beneficial to provide recommendations specific to under 2s, pre-cooperative, under 12 and adolescent.	Thank you for your comment. The developers acknowledge that there are differences between infants and older children but this guideline can be applied to children of all ages. There is discussion about infants for painless imaging procedures in section 6.12.1.3, Evidence to recommendations for painless imaging. We have also added special consideration for neonates and infants to the recommendation on pre-sedation assessment.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	5.	FULL	27-280		Re intubation and propofol. It would be helpful to have the following breakdown of clinical scenarios regarding the need for this training: 1. age defined criteria	Thank you for your comment, but intubation is not part of the sedation care. We have specified the training needed for different level of sedation.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**



						2. to differentiate on the different sedation target states	
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	6.	FULL	29	9-10	This definition is not conscious sedation as used in Dentistry and adopted by other medical specialties.	Thank you but page 29 of the full guideline represents wording from the Scope document and we are unable to change any of this. The Scope document was fully consulted on and these comments would have been appreciated at the appropriate time.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	7.	FULL	30	6-8	Specialist or secondary care facilities outside of a hospital environment is omitted e.g. Salaried Dental Service	Thank you but page 30 of the full guideline represents wording from the Scope document and we are unable to change any of this. The Scope document was fully consulted on and these comments would have been appreciated at the appropriate time.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	8.	FULL	30	15	It is not usual for dentists to weigh patients for inhalation sedation. Young people who do not appear to be under/over weight are not routinely weighed prior to IV sedation with midazolam. Your recommendations suggest that all should be weighed.	Thank you but page 30 of the full guideline represents wording from the Scope document and we are unable to change any of this. The Scope document was fully consulted on and these comments would have been appreciated at the appropriate time.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	9.	FULL	37 317	TAB L E	This table could imply that the agents used in "minimal sedation" are less potent than those listed under moderates and deep sedation. Intranasal and oral midazolam is listed under minimal sedation, these techniques cannot be titrated against patient response and are therefore capable of causing moderate sedation.	Thank you for your comment. The recommendation on painful procedures has been amended accordingly.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	10.	FULL	37 ?	87	Oxygen saturation monitoring is not required for N <sub>2</sub> O inhalation sedation with oxygen	The recommendations on monitoring apply to all cases of moderate sedation. Sedation with nitrous oxide is regarded as minimal sedation.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and	11.	FULL	47	22	Anxiety levels and other patient factors such as level of cooperation and understanding	Thank you, we agree with your comment. This is stated in the existing text in Section

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	Dental Sedation Teachers Group					should be included.	4.1.3, GDG discussion, Information and Consent.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	12.	FULL	48	7	It is not helpful to have monitoring and resuscitation equipment on the same bullet point-their roles are different.	Thank you for your comment. The developers do not wish to change the wording as it was felt the current wording is clear.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	13.	FULL	48	5,6	The term <i>delivering sedation</i> is not helpful and is too specific. In dentistry the sedation team usually consists of a trained operator/sedationist assisted by a trained health care worker-usually a sedation trained dental nurse. The dental nurse however, <b>does not administer</b> sedation. Therefore we do not have a team who are “all” trained in the “delivery” of sedation. Perhaps the sentence could be phrased with greater clarity to prevent ambiguity and confusion.	Thank you for your comment. Definitions of Administration of sedation, Delivery of sedation and Sedation team have been included in the glossary for clarity.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	14.	FULL	49	14-15	For minimal and moderate sedation, there should be a recommendation about how monitoring is carried out. It is still possible to oversedate patients, especially with non-titratable techniques such as oral and transmucosal administration. Guidance should be given for monitoring.	Thank you for your comment. The GDG did not feel it was necessary to specify monitoring for minimal sedation. This is local clinical choice. There is a recommendation about monitoring for moderate sedation.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	1.	FULL	49	1	The resuscitation skills required for minimal and moderate sedation should be the same.  This guidance is not in line with current dental guidance (but supports 2006 Resuscitation Council report with respect to the requirement for practices to have a defibrillator).  The reference to max 50% N <sub>2</sub> O is confusing. If a drug is titrated there is no	Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on monitoring apply to all cases of moderate sedation. In one recommendation about personnel and training we specify that for sedation with nitrous oxide alone (up to 50% in oxygen) the sedation team should have the same skills as for minimal sedation.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						need to limit beyond what IS machines can deliver, these machines have an excellent safety record.	
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	2.	FULL	50	24	This list needs to include relevant patient factors such as anxiety levels and level of cooperation and understanding (to cover patients with additional needs).	Thank you, we agree with your comment. This is stated in the existing text in Section 4.1.3, GDG discussion, Information and Consent.  Psychological and developmental status (which include anxiety) are also included in the pre-sedation assessment recommendations.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	3.	FULL	51	22	Fasting: The evidence for fasting prior to dental procedures under conscious sedation does not support the blanket recommendation for the 2,4,6, rule (with the exception of nitrous oxide sedation).  This recommendation is contrary to current dental guidance (SDAC: reference 206, SDCEP: reference 198). Fasting is not needed for minimal / moderate sedation. For dental patients fasting children can have detrimental effects. The child and young adult patient, if starved can become more upset and agitated leading to adverse events. This is also very traumatic for the patient with learning disabilities and behavioural problems. We feel that for conscious sedation (minimal and moderate sedation) fasting is not required; there is no evidence to support otherwise.  In dental practice only conscious sedation is practised. All patients must be able to maintain their own airways and vital reflexes, the risk of aspiration is therefore negligible. We would welcome separate	Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on fasting have been amended following consultation.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						guidance for dental patients or for conscious sedation with regard to fasting. Refer to McKenna et al. <u>Prim Dent Care.</u> 2010 Jan;17(1):5-11).	
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	4.	FULL	52	27	We believe that all team members involved in the provision of sedation should have knowledge and understanding of the topics stated. Both clinician and assistant must have a broad knowledge of the techniques used.  We would welcome the addition that those assisting within the team also have knowledge and understanding	Thank you. This recommendation pertains to the healthcare professional delivering sedation. Support staff may need differing levels of training according to the area that they are providing support.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	5.	FULL	54	7-16	Blood pressure measurement is not mentioned as part of assessment - this is standard practice in dentistry	The guideline is not a textbook for sedation practice. Clinicians involved in paediatric sedation are able to make local decisions in relation to this.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	6.	FULL	54	7-16	We believe that a minimum of baseline, post sedation, pre-discharge and significant deviations from baseline recording is sufficient. Continuous recording of monitoring is not necessary.	Thank you for your comment. The GDG felt that continuous monitoring is necessary and should be documented in the healthcare record.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	7.	FULL	54	8-12	Monitoring does not required heart rate and SaO <sub>2</sub> for N <sub>2</sub> O and oxygen inhalation sedation.	Thank you for your comment. We agree with your comment but the recommendation applies to moderate sedation in general. In a previous recommendation on personnel and training, sedation with nitrous oxide alone (up to 50% in oxygen) is compared to minimal sedation.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	8.	FULL	55	13	States that vital signs that usually include blood pressure should return to normal, but it is not recommended to measure blood pressure earlier in the guideline.	Thank you but we disagree with your comment. The guideline is non setting specific, and assessment will invariably differ across a range of settings where different target levels of sedation are aimed for by the sedation team. Blood pressure

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

							can only be used as a marker for discharge criteria if it has been recorded as part of the initial assessment, this is routine practice in hospital settings and especially where moderate to deep sedation is the target level. The nature of the non-setting specific recommendations reflect the diverse populations that the guideline addresses, and it is not necessary for example within dental settings when mild sedation is the target level for a blood pressure to be routinely recorded.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	15.	FULL	55-56	24 -1	All techniques are grouped together for conscious sedation. We are concerned about the inclusion of oral midazolam within minimal sedation. It is not clear why a lower level of training is recommended for oral midazolam compared to IV midazolam – this is contrary to dental guidance. Oral and Transmucosal sedation should be listed as techniques that can be used to produce ‘moderate sedation.’ This relates to point 9 above.	Thank you. We agree with your comment and the recommendations on dentistry and painful procedures have been amended accordingly.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	9.	FULL	56	26-28	Why do you not add propofol to the list of alternative sedation techniques to consider? Propofol has been used satisfactorily in anxious older children/young people for dental treatment. Older children can use patient- controlled propofol sedation. Experienced sedationist who use propofol for sedation are also in greater supply than those who use sevoflurane for sedation!	Thank you for your comment. The term “Alternative techniques” has been introduced and defined for dentistry.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	10.	FULL	56	20	Why add the word ” painful” –dentists routinely use local anaesthesia so that dentistry is not painful. Conversely, if a patient is anxious and the procedure is not painful (eg as in impression taking), sedation may still be required.	Thank you, we agree with your comment. The word “painful” has been removed from the recommendation.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	11.	FULL	58	23-30	There is little evidence to support fasting prior to conscious sedation. We think it is inappropriate for the guideline to be so prescriptive on fasting when it is included a research recommendation. Fasting increases the likelihood of fainting in adolescents.	Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on fasting have been amended following consultation.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	12.	FULL	59	2-10	Training for conscious sedation in dentistry for standard techniques is well established and so we do not think this needs to be the subject of a research recommendation.	Thank you for your comment. The research recommendation is aimed to cover all clinical setting.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	13.	FULL	62	15-16	The use of the term <i>Conscious sedation</i> is correct here – shouldn't this be in the rest of the guideline?	Thank you for your comment. "Conscious sedation" has been added to the recommendations in the dental setting and defined in the glossary.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	14.	FULL	64	22-23	In dentistry both members of the dental team involved in the care of the sedated patient can be trained in sedation. However, only one operator (who is often the sedationist) will administer the sedation. Reassurance may be required that a dentist (operator sedationist) working with a qualified dental nurse (who does not give the sedation and will assist ) is a suitable team according to NICE guidance. DSTG have concerns that this matter is lacking clarity.	Thank you for your comment. The difference between delivering and administering sedation has been explained in the glossary.
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers Group	15.	FULL	68	7- 14	The paucity of evidence of the benefits of fasting, the results of Keidon 2004 (page 71), and the clinical experience that fainting is more likely in fasted patients brings into question the justification for the fasting recommendations for patients who are having minimal-moderate sedation (excluding endoscopy).	Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on fasting have been amended following consultation
SH	Liverpool University Dental Hospital and Dental Sedation Teachers	16.	FULL	88	1 (REC 24)	We believe that a minimum of baseline, post sedation, pre-discharge and significant deviations from baseline recording is	Thank you for your comment. The GDG felt that continuous monitoring is necessary and should be documented in the healthcare

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	Group					sufficient. Continuous recording of monitoring is not necessary.	record.
SH	Neonatal & Paediatric Pharmacists Group (NPPG)	1	NICE	12	1.4.4	We would question that it is essential for all health care professional delivering sedation for for procedures should be trained to give sevoflurane, propofol and opioids with ketamine or whether a person trained to deliver these is required to be available when all procedural sedation is to be given	Thank you, we agree with your comment; this is clear in the training recommendations.
SH	Neonatal & Paediatric Pharmacists Group (NPPG)	2	NICE	15	1.7.1.1	For minimal sedation we would suggest that buccal midazolam is now potentially a better option than intranasal. Buccal midazolam is formulated as a special medicine in a good formulation. Intranasal is usually still delivered using the injection which is very toxic to the mucosa and whilst effective it makes most children cry on administration. We would also question the use of midazolam for a painful procedure when it is a sedative with no analgesic properties.	Thank you for your comment. Route of administration is a local clinician choice. Recommendations are based on both direct and indirect evidence application.  The recommendation has been amended accordingly.
SH	Neonatal & Paediatric Pharmacists Group (NPPG)	3	NICE	15	1.8.2	Painless imaging often only requires some sort of sedative and thus chloral and midazolam have been the main stay of practice until this point. Therefore we are confused that chloral is recommended only for those less than 15kg and the only alternative above this is propofol or sevoflurane.	Thank you for your comment. The recommendation on painless procedures has been changed accordingly
SH	Neonatal & Paediatric Pharmacists Group (NPPG)	4	NICE	GENERAL		One of the biggest problems with sedation in children is the issue of what to do if the first medication fails. Some robust guidance on this would be welcomed in terms of alternatives, time frames and the issue of increasing dosing.	Thank you for your comment. There is discussion about this in the full guideline, section 6.12.1.3 (Evidence to recommendations for painless imaging), third paragraph: "The GDG recognised that chloral hydrate may not always be effective and that intravenous midazolam is a drug commonly used to either increase the depth of

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

							sedation or prolong sedation.”
SH	Newcastle School of Dental Science sedation Department and Northern Deanery for Postgraduate Education	1	FULL	29	9-10	This definition is not consistent with the definition of conscious sedation as used in the field of dentistry and deep sedation is not advocated.	Thank you but page 29 of the full guideline represents wording from the Scope document and we are unable to change any of this. The Scope document was fully consulted on and these comments would have been appreciated at the appropriate time.
SH	Newcastle School of Dental Science sedation Department and Northern Deanery for Postgraduate Education	2	FULL	49	1	A table is presented with headings of different levels of Sedation, however no definition has been provided of these sedation levels at this stage. The term Deep Sedation is referred to and in the field of dental sedation this term is generally equated with general anaesthesia. This needs to be clearly explained.	Thank you for your comment. Definitions of minimal, moderate, conscious, deep sedation and general anaesthesia are provided in the Glossary of terms
SH	Newcastle School of Dental Science sedation Department and Northern Deanery for Postgraduate Education	3	FULL	51	22	<p>Fasting: Having read the evidence to support the issue of fasting there appears to be limited support for the need for fasting and yet a blanket recommendation for the 2,4,6, rule has been recommended, with the exception of nitrous oxide sedation. For dental patients fasting children can have detrimental effects. The child already anxious, if starved can become more upset and agitated leading to adverse events.</p> <p>In dental practice only conscious sedation is practised. All patients must be able to maintain their own airways and vital reflexes, the risk of aspiration is therefore negligible. We would welcome separate guidance for dental patients with regard to fasting.</p>	Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on fasting have been amended following consultation.
SH	Newcastle School of Dental Science sedation Department and Northern	4	FULL	52	27	We believe that all team members involved in the provision of sedation should have knowledge and understanding of the topics	Thank you. This recommendation pertains to the healthcare professional delivering sedation. Support staff may need differing

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**



	Deanery for Postgraduate Education					<p>stated. Both clinician and assistant must have a broad knowledge of the techniques used.</p> <p>We would welcome the addition that those assisting within the team also have knowledge and understanding</p>	levels of training according to the area that they are providing support.
SH	Newcastle School of Dental Science sedation Department and Northern Deanery for Postgraduate Education	5	FULL	53	11	We believe that all team members delivering moderate sedation must be ILS trained	Thank you, we cannot recommend branded training.
SH	Newcastle School of Dental Science sedation Department and Northern Deanery for Postgraduate Education	6	FULL	53	15	We welcome the recommendation that anaesthetic agents should only be delivered by appropriately trained health care professionals. However we would like this statement to clearly state that the individual should be a specialist anaesthetist, to ensure they have an appropriate level of anaesthetic training.	Thank you for your suggestion. We do not name professionals / state the specialist group within recommendations.
SH	Newcastle School of Dental Science sedation Department and Northern Deanery for Postgraduate Education	7	FULL	53	21	We would like to recommend that all the team involved in the delivery of sedation have documented evidence of competency in their specific tasks within the team	The GDG acknowledge that all training relating to sedation should have a competency focus for knowledge skills and attitudes.
SH	Newcastle School of Dental Science sedation Department and Northern Deanery for Postgraduate Education	8	FULL	54	8	For moderate sedation we believe that Blood Pressure must also be monitored continuously.	The guideline is not a textbook for sedation practice. Clinicians involved in paediatric sedation are able to make local decisions in relation to this.
SH	Newcastle School of Dental Science sedation Department and Northern Deanery for Postgraduate Education	9	FULL	55	22	<p>At this stage the document refers to specific areas of care, however this is not clear. The section of Painful Procedures in the mind of the dental profession might also include dental procedures, however this is not covered in this section.</p> <p>We would like to recommend that this is</p>	Thank you for your comment. This chapter (Summary of Recommendations) is a list of all the recommendations included in the full guideline. For more explanation on the various clinical settings, please refer to chapter 6 (paragraph 6.12).

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						summarised at this stage before talking about the individual disciplines.	
SH	Newcastle School of Dental Science sedation Department and Northern Deanery for Postgraduate Education	10	FULL	56	25	Reference is made to the use of midazolam for achieving moderate sedation for dental procedures.  Clarification is needed as to the most appropriate route of administration here in this section as different routes can produce varying levels of sedation. For example oral and transmucosal sedation are very unpredictable and can lead to over sedation.	Clinicians involved in paediatric sedation are able to make local decisions in relation to this
SH	Newcastle School of Dental Science sedation Department and Northern Deanery for Postgraduate Education	11	FULL	58	37	We note that the GDG suggest a standard teaching method and assessment tool be developed in the field of sedation.  We would like to advise that within the dental profession there are many teaching programmes already established with sound assessment procedures. Also the National Examining Board for Dental Nurses runs a Certificate in Dental Sedation Nursing which is a nationally recognised course.  We believe that the dental courses currently running could be modified to form a template for training in all medical fields.	Thank you for your comment. The research recommendation is aimed to cover all clinical setting.
SH	Newcastle School of Dental Science sedation Department and Northern Deanery for Postgraduate Education	12	FULL. NICE	19	6	We would welcome clarification regarding a “National Registry”. How would this work and who would qualify to be on it.	This is a research recommendation.
SH	Newcastle School of Dental Science sedation Department and Northern Deanery for Postgraduate	13	FULL	GENE RAL		The document appears to support the use of “Deep Sedation”. This is not in line with other UK guidance on sedation particularly within the field of dentistry. The term	Thank you for your comment. “Conscious sedation” is now used in the recommendation in the dentistry setting. “Conscious sedation” is also defined in the

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	Education					“conscious Sedation” is advocated as the safest form of sedation particularly for children.	glossary.
SH	Oridion Medical 1987 Ltd	1	FULL	51	12	<p>Unclear why additional studies are suggested given the large number of randomized studies published concerning the utility of capnography during procedural sedation.</p> <p>The study performed by Lighdale et al published in Pediatrics 2006, aptly demonstrates the utility of capnography in pediatric sedated patients: Microstream capnography improves patient monitoring during moderate sedation: a randomized, controlled trial.</p> <p>Other randomized studies have demonstrated the value in sedated adults:</p> <p>Capnographic monitoring of respiratory activity improves safety of sedation for endoscopic cholangiopancreatography and ultrasonography – Qadeer, Vargo et al, Gastroenterology 2009</p> <p>Does end-tidal carbon dioxide monitoring detect respiratory events prior to current sedation monitoring practices?</p> <p>Burton JH, Harrah JD, Germann CA, Dillon DC. Acad Emerg Med. 2006</p> <p>Dietch, K., Miner, J., Chudnofsky, C.,</p>	Thank you for your comment. We agree and have deleted the research recommendation.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						Dominici, P., & Latta, D. (In press.). Does end tidal CO2 monitoring during emergency department procedural sedation and analgesia with propofol decrease the incidence of hypoxic events? A randomized, controlled trial. <i>Annals of Emergency Medicine</i> 2009,	
SH	Oridion Medical 1987 Ltd	2	FULL	GENERAL		Suggest clarifying the recommended monitoring during imaging procedures such as MRI in which the patient cannot be visually observed for assessment of ventilation. In this case, capnography may be recommended even if deep sedation is not intentionally targeted due to the inability to observe the patient during the procedure.	Thank you for your comment. The developers feel the recommendations on monitoring to be accurate.
SH	Royal College of Anaesthetists	1	FULL	GENERAL		Our PLG are particularly concerned that emphasis from the outset appears to be on cost effectiveness. This gives the impression that the object of the guideline is to save money rather than meeting the needs and best interests of the patient. This may affect professional decision making and should be re-considered. Paragraph one's reference to 'cost' is a particular is poorly placed.  The Guideline needs to further consider intravenous access, beyond the reference to propofol alone.	Thank you but we disagree with your comment. As stated for example in the introduction to chapter 4, 'each stage of the journey has been considered by the GDG for the purpose of maximising the success and safety of sedation'.  Intravenous access has been discussed in section 6.4.4, GDG discussion of the evidence for Ketamine.
SH	Royal College of	2	FULL	14	1.6.2.	The consideration to refer to an anaesthetist	Thank you for your comment. The

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	Anaesthetists		<b>NICE</b>			<p>comes across as a last resort when all else has failed. This option should be present in the mind of another healthcare professional from the outset and not under discharge criteria.</p> <p>The shorter version discusses the 'child and young person' throughout. Will there be a different version of recommendations for infants, or are neonates and sickly babies considered as routinely cared for by paediatric anaesthetists? Particular consideration should focus on the premature baby.</p> <p>Training and clinical standards and the need to develop standardised assessment tools are key.</p>	<p>recommendation about referral to anaesthesia specialist has been moved under personnel and training section.</p> <p>The NICE version reflects the recommendations from the full guideline version.</p> <p>The need to develop standardised assessment tools is outside of the remit of this guideline.</p> <p>There is discussion about infants for painless imaging procedures in section 6.13.1.2, Evidence to recommendations for painless imaging.</p> <p>We have also added special consideration for neonates and infants to the recommendation on pre-sedation assessment.</p>
SH	Royal College of Anaesthetists	3	<b>FULL NICE</b>	17 AND 18	4.1	The 'suggestion' should be strengthened to increase the likelihood that a reliable assessment tool is developed for country wide use as soon as possible. Recognition by the Resuscitation Council, Advanced Life Support Group or similar should be considered	Thank you for your comment. We will discuss with the NICE implementation team.
SH	Royal College of Anaesthetists	4	<b>FULL NICE</b>	17 AND 18	4.2	Admirable, providing the training as nationally acknowledged as being fit for purpose.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	Royal College of Nursing	1	<b>GENE RAL</b>	<b>GENE RAL</b>		The Royal College of Nursing welcomes this guideline. It is very comprehensive, easy to follow and timely. RCN members were closely involved during the scoping of this guideline and have monitored its development with interest. We are very pleased to see such a comprehensive draft out for consultation.	Thank you for your comment

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

SH	Royal College of Nursing	2	GENERAL	GENERAL		This guideline when adopted will greatly inform the standards of clinical practice involving procedural sedation that RCN members provide and enhance the quality and consistency of care of children and young people in health care settings.	Thank you for your comment
SH	Royal College of Nursing	3	GENERAL	GENERAL		<p>Of necessity, this guideline is very medically orientated and the rigor in which the therapeutic agents have been scrutinised is to be commended. However there are still the more holistic aspects to be considered, for which admittedly there is less evidence but inclusion of advice would greatly enhance the child's experience.</p> <p>Good practice would justify the inclusion of psychological preparation. The use of local anaesthetic prior to the insertion of a canula for induction. In addition parents should be offered the choice of staying with their child certainly during induction and for the recovery.</p> <p>In the event of psychological trauma post procedure recourse to the appropriate services should also be advised.</p>	Thank you for your comment. We recognise the importance of psychological preparation of the child undergoing sedation, and recommendations were formed through formal GDG consensus process. The guideline is not intended to be a definitive textbook for sedation care, and there are some areas highlighted in the comment that are outside of the original scope for the guideline.
SH	Royal College of Nursing	4	FULL	GENERAL		Trained professional is used throughout this document. We consider that this is a loose term, in relation to assessment and prescribing sedatives this is more likely to be doctor or anaesthetist, and in term of administration and monitoring is likely to be a nurse. The nurse should ideally be a children's nurse but this may be difficult where minor procedures are undertaken in minor trauma clinics and accident and	Thank you. NICE guidelines do not name professionals. NICE guidelines make recommendations on treatment and processes of care rather than specifying roles of different health care professionals.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						emergency departments. However a children's nurse ought to be in attendance.	
SH	Royal College of Nursing	5	FULL	GENERAL		Also appropriate training is referred to throughout the document and in terms of basic and intermediate life support is achievable, but in terms of a certificate of competency in administration and monitoring children for sedation, is probably ideal but without availability of training days is probably not going to happen in the short term.	Thank you for your comment. This is a provider issue, outside the scope of this guideline.
SH	Royal College of Nursing	6	FULL	GENERAL		<p>Some district general hospitals (DGH) are privileged to have a general anaesthetics list for their MRI patients who are over 15kg and over 2 years old. They use chloral hydrate as recommended for under 15kg patients with a good success rate.</p> <p>Many DGHs will be using chloral hydrate and do not have anaesthetic support for the older children and continuing to try and use chloral hydrate for older children without success. The section on Chloral hydrate (only briefly mentioned) should be bigger and bolder to make people aware that for children over 15kg they will have to review the alternatives and promote effective sedation with anaesthetic support.</p>	Thank you for your comment. Your point relates to service delivering modelling of our guidance and focused on implementation, which is outside the scope of our development brief.
SH	Royal College of Nursing	7	FULL	GENERAL		<p>The document seems repetitive in some parts and the length makes it difficult to follow at times. Perhaps summary and introduction could be shortened as repeated exactly in main sections.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Coverage of main issues</li> </ul>	Thank you for your comment. The NICE version is considered to be a summary of the full guideline

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						Overall key issues are covered.	
SH	Royal College of Nursing	8	FULL	38	17	<p>Surely adverse event ought to include where the sedation was ineffective or inadequate and the child, young person and/or their family were distressed, for example</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Situations where the sedation was successful but the child was clearly in pain</li> <li>• Situations where the child inadvertently slipped into deep sedation</li> <li>• Where there were serious drug reactions to the agents used</li> </ul>	<p>The outcome measures for efficacy of sedation included the following behavioural ratings:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. pain as assessed by the patient or parent or other observer using validated pain scales e.g. Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Pain Scale (CHEOPS), FACE.</li> <li>2. procedural distress and/or anxiety as assessed by the patient or parent or other observer using validated scales e.g. Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), Observation Scale of Behavioral Distress (OSBD).</li> <li>3. patient or parent satisfaction including preference.</li> </ol> <p>Serious drug reactions to agents used were reported in the safety tables produced on the basis of the literature review.</p> <p>Inadvertent anaesthesia is not an outcome which was reported in the studies reviewed for this guideline.</p>
SH	Royal College of Nursing	9	FULL	49	5	<p>Healthcare professionals delivering sedation should be registered with a professional body in the UK and be accountable.</p> <p>Rationale for this modification is the variable standards of EU locum practitioners.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. Healthcare professionals are registered and licensed to practice in the UK. The glossary has been amended accordingly.</p>
SH	Royal College of Nursing	10	FULL	49	14	<p>Deep sedation is not the aim of procedural sedation and ought to be done where monitoring is routine and the interpretation and clinicians are experts such as an ITU or</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, we disagree with this because deep sedation can be delivered safely by adequately trained healthcare practitioners</p>

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**



						a theatre environment.  Deep sedation ought only to be done under anaesthetist led teams.	
SH	Royal College of Nursing	11	FULL	47	14	The child's ability to consent – we are in full agreement with the comments on P65 lines 5-13 but think the consent of the child ought to be included at this earlier point	Thank you for your comment. We do not agree because the healthcare professional should assess the child and the situation first before offering any choice about sedation.
SH	Royal College of Nursing	12	FULL	52	33	On going care and what to advise parents to watch out for following discharge to home	Thank you for your comment. We believe advice will be shaped at local level. The guideline provides clear discharge criteria following the sedation experience.
SH	Royal College of Nursing	13	FULL	62	1	Section 4 of patient journey does not seem to address the totality of the patient experience:  4.1 pre-sedation assessment, communication, patient information and consent 4.2 fasting 4.3 psychological preparation 4.4 personnel and training 4.5 clinical environment and monitoring 4.6 discharge criteria  There is no mention of the actual process of administration and monitoring during sedation (safe administration), which is buried within other sections such as clinical environment and monitoring - this is a key nursing role and needs including.  The ordering of sections is unusual - psychological preparation - for some children psychological preparation for imaging may be effective without the need for sedation and feeding small babies may	Thank you for your comment. The guideline is not a protocol and focuses on problems not process  Personnel and training details have been given. Further details of training will depend upon the type of procedure and sedation technique. This is too detailed for this guideline. National courses and accreditation needs to be developed; this might be discussed with the NICE implementation team.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						<p>result in them being asleep and some imaging to take place without sedation – perhaps this should be before fasting</p> <p>– Personnel and training perhaps should be at the end and include an indication of personnel usually involved at each stage of the patient journey.</p> <p>Also training and personnel involved lack specific details.</p>	
SH	Royal College of Nursing	14	FULL	65	31	<p>Recommendation 1</p> <p>The professional responsible for doing the procedural sedation should be the one who makes the assessment as they are ultimately responsible.</p>	We think this is inferred
SH	Royal College of Nursing	15	FULL	66		Recommendation 2-4 agreed	Thank you for your comment
SH	Royal College of Nursing	16	FULL	67		<p>Recommendation 5</p> <p>See earlier comment that healthcare professionals delivering sedation should be registered with a professional body in the UK and be accountable.</p>	Thank you for your comment. Healthcare professionals are registered and licensed to practice in the UK. The glossary has been amended accordingly.
SH	Royal College of Nursing	17	FULL	67		<p>Recommendation 6&amp;7 could be merged and ought to come before the considerations of which techniques to use as that might influence choice and decisions or lack of consent render subsequent considerations irrelevant.</p>	Thank you for your suggestion, the developers believe these recommendations to be accurate
SH	Royal College of Nursing	18	FULL	73		<p>Recommendations 8- 11 agreed</p> <p>Some clarity on whether blood glucose ought to be harvested following fasting periods longer than 4 hours would be welcome.</p>	Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on fasting have been amended following consultation
SH	Royal College of Nursing	19	FULL	80		<p>Recommendation 12-15 agreed</p> <p>Consider inserting in 13 the use of check back to ensure that the child has</p>	Thank you for your comments. The recommendation has been changed accordingly.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						understood the information.	
SH	Royal College of Nursing	20	FULL	81	17	Agree the need for in service training and assessment to cover vicarious liability of the healthcare providers but also feel the healthcare professionals delivering sedation or having a responsible pivotal role in the management of the child during the process and in recovery should be registered with a professional body in the UK and be accountable	Thank you for your comment. Healthcare professional is defined in the glossary as 'trained and registered'. Vicarious liability is outside of the remit of this guideline.
SH	Royal College of Nursing	21	FULL	81		Detail as to training and assessment all supported and Recommendations 16-21 all agreed with the above caveat – re accountability of healthcare professionals	Thank you for your comment.
SH	Royal College of Nursing	22	FULL	81	39	There are many references in the draft guidelines to practitioners trained in use of anaesthetic agents. Whilst fully appreciating that it is not within the remit of NICE / guideline developers to oversee the educational/training for practitioners it would be helpful to have a recommendation based on evidence, best practice and risks of useful training to undergo. What might be a useful question to have answered here is an indication of the likely training different practitioners, for example, nurse sedationists might undergo. This would be particularly helpful for areas that do not always have access to general anaesthetics lists but require sedation for older children.	Thank you but we are not able to provide a definitive list of training for each different healthcare professional.
SH	Royal College of Nursing	23	FULL	86	28-34	Comment earlier about vicarious liability and accountability of healthcare professionals is particularly relevant at this point	Thank you for your comment. Healthcare professional is defined in the glossary as 'trained and registered'. Vicarious liability is outside of the remit of this guideline.
SH	Royal College of Nursing	24	FULL	87		Recommendations 22 could be merged with 24 as the keeping of contemporaneous records is a professional requirement for the registered nurse.	Thank you for your comment. The developers believe these recommendations to be accurate. The recommendations state "continuous", not "contemporaneous".

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

SH	Royal College of Nursing	25	FULL	87		Recommendation 23 Children requiring this level of sedation and this level of supervision and monitoring should be managed in specialist areas	Thank you for your comment, training and equipment needed are specified Sections 4.4, Personnel and training and 4.5, Clinical environment and monitoring.
SH	Royal College of Nursing	26	FULL	88		Recommendation 24 and 25 agreed and above applies	Thank you for your comment
SH	Royal College of Nursing	27	FULL	88 -89	SECTION 4.6.3	Discussion with regards to discharge. Standardisation and consistency required. Safe discharge to a known location with demonstrably competent adult carer/s who have consented and who are aware of the child's continuing need for supervision. Also those who have printed documentation and points of contact to help, support or advise in the event of any incident or concerns they may have. This is critical. Perhaps standard post sedation safe discharge advice letters could be prepared according to a best practice protocol given the lack of evidence as to what constitutes a safe discharge.	Thank you but section 4.6.3 is reflective of the GDG debate at the time.  Best practice protocols – these are issues that will need to be addressed by the NICE implementation team and local providers.
SH	Royal College of Nursing	28	FULL	90		Recommendation 26 Would add to the discharge criteria “checklist”  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Can take fluids and has voided urine.</li> </ul> Nausea vomiting and pain are not only adequately managed but there is a clear plan of care to inform the carers on what to do if they return. It is a concern that they may be therapeutically managed only to return when the medication wears off.	Thank you for your comment. The GDG felt this was not necessary
SH	Royal College of Nursing	29	FULL	90		Recommendation 27 Agreed	Thank you for your comment
SH	Royal College of Nursing	30	FULL	317		Recommendation 28	Thank you for your comment

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						The analysis and critique of the literature is to be commended.	
SH	Royal College of Nursing	31	FULL	323		Recommendation 29 and 30 Agreed	Thank you for your comment
SH	Royal College of Nursing	32	FULL	329		Recommendation 31 and 32 Agreed	Thank you for your comment.
SH	Royal College of Nursing	33	FULL	336		Recommendation 33 Agreed	Thank you for your comment
SH	Royal College of Nursing	34	FULL	GENERAL		Overall, the document appears consistent. It is very comprehensive. However, it has practical limitations as stated in the general earlier above. The information could be streamlined.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	Royal College of Nursing	35	NICE	GENERAL		Our comments above also apply.  We also consider that information could be streamlined for example pages 6, 7 and 8 are repeated in entirety in the main section. Introduction and key findings need to be a short summary, perhaps bulleted.	Thank you for your comment. The short version guideline is based upon a standard NICE template and text
SH	Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health	1	FULL	GENERAL		We note that the recommendations for agents to use for sedation for MRI will have significant implications for the way services are currently delivered. For example, while paediatricians may currently manage sedation or MRI, the use of sevoflurane and propofol will mean that anaesthetists will need to manage the sedation instead. This will have resource implications. However, the guideline does provide robust arguments as to why these agents are recommended in terms of efficacy and safety.	Thank you for your comment. Your concern has been forwarded to the NICE implementation team.
SH	Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health	2	FULL	49 87	21 3	We question whether it practical, when sedating for MRI, to monitor blood pressure every five minutes, which may disturb the	Thank you for your comment, we have added a footnote to the recommendation to address this.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						patient into a more awake state and prompt them to move, disturbing the quality of the imaging.	
SH	Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health	3	FULL	52	7	<p>Although we note there is not much hard evidence in favour, we think the phrase "play specialist" should appear in the section on psychological preparation. We think that NICE should use soft sources of information such as the prevalence and uptake of play specialists, and the unanimous support they have from nurses, doctors and HCAs in emergency departments about their ability to reduce the need for any sedation / deep sedation (instead using light sedation with distraction) / general anaesthesia – depending on each case.</p> <p>While these skills should be had by all professionals involved (cross reference 4.3.4. p79), we think this group of trained professionals who do this best should be mentioned.</p> <p>Procedural sedation is an intervention that carries risk. We think that a guideline on the subject should cover avoidance of sedation if simple things like distraction and preparation can obviate its needs. Anecdotally we have worked in departments where doses are excessive, simply because the child is too distressed at baseline.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. We have made 'good practice' recommendations that have been shaped by both a narrative review of the evidence and GDG consensus work. A full evidence review of non-pharmacological interventions was not prioritised when shaping the scope into key clinical questions for effectiveness reviews. Service delivery recommendations on healthcare professional team constituent membership such as 'play therapists' in relation to your point was not a guideline priority.</p> <p>Service delivery recommendations on healthcare professional team constituent membership was not a guideline priority.</p> <p>Thank you for your comments, The guideline scope focussed on the use of sedation in children and young people, and therefore this is outside the scope of the guideline.</p>
SH	Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health	4	FULL	52 80	24 4	We think that it is impractical to consider referral to a mental health specialist for every child or young person with a learning disability who requires sedation for an elective procedure. This would add considerably to the waiting time for the	Thank you for your comments. Guideline recommendations need to be sensitive to equity and diversity principles, this is why the GDG referred to mental health services as this is where expert advice can be sought in relation to learning disabilities.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						<p>procedure, as mental health services have long waiting times to be seen. It is not clear what the purpose of this referral would be.</p> <p>We think it would be better to ensure that someone who knows the child or young person well and knows how to communicate with them and reassure them should be present – usually the parent, but sometimes a familiar carer.</p>	
SH	Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health	5	FULL	79	26	The phrase "trained psychosocial professionals" is unclear; in UK practice, this can be more specific.	Thank you for your comment. This has been clarified in the glossary.
SH	Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health	6	NICE	GENERAL		The majority of this guideline is extremely helpful with sound evidence based recommendations being made.	Thank you for your comment
SH	Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health	7	NICE	GENERAL		We would like clarification on whether neonates, especially premature infants and infants under 4 weeks of age, are covered in this guideline.	This is clarified and where care is targeted at premature infants and small infants the guideline encourages 'specialist advice' to be sought. This would be naturally from a neonatologist or paediatrician.
SH	Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health	8	NICE	GENERAL		<p>We note that propofol, sevoflurane (and ketamine) are general anaesthetic agents; should they be considered within a sedation guideline?</p> <p>Note comments of GDG in full guideline (section 6.8.4, p263, lines 8-9; section 6.9.4, p279, lines 37-39) in which it was agreed that both sevoflurane and propofol should be reserved for use by specially trained sedation teams / teams who had adequate training to manage anaesthesia.</p>	Thank you. We have reviewed the use of these drugs with the intention to cause sedation.
SH	Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health	9	NICE	GENERAL		The College thinks there needs to be clear definitions of "mild, moderate and deep" sedation, and some examples of clinical	Thank you, the text has been amended accordingly

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						situations in which each type might be used. While these are given in full guideline, we think they should be included in the NICE guideline.	
SH	Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health	10	NICE	3		Introduction: We note that ineffective communication due to age/development is also a factor.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health	12	NICE	6 9		We think that ideal body weight should be estimated.	Thank you for your comment. Mention to the lean body weight has been added to the discussion in the full version of the guideline. The short version is based upon a standard NICE template and text
SH	Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health	13	NICE	7		Personnel and training: We think that this should be amended to "Healthcare professionals delivering sedation should have knowledge and understanding of and competency in:"	Thank you for your suggestion, the text has been amended accordingly
SH	Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health	14	NICE	8 13		The guideline suggests that for deep sedation one should "continuously monitor, interpret and respond to all of the following". The list which follows includes end tidal CO2 (capnography) and blood pressure (monitored every 5 minutes). We agree that any sedation that renders a child unconscious must be considered as being potentially more than merely moderate sedation. Careful monitoring is vital. However, if one was to try and measure blood pressure every 5 minutes one would undoubtedly disturb the child so much that the child would not be successfully sedated and would be roused. End tidal CO2 monitors are not readily available and similarly would be a difficult parameter to measure without disturbing the child. We agree with all of the other measures which need to be monitored.	Thank you for your comment, we agree that this could be determined by local clinician decision making. As stated in the full guideline document, this recommendation is based on consensus method, not on literature review.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**



						We would ask what evidence is available that end tidal CO2 or blood pressure monitoring is needed to safely monitor a child being sedated for an MRI.	
SH	Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health	15	NICE	10		1.2.3: We think that anaesthesia may be safer and should be considered.	Thank you for your comment, the GDG do not agree.
SH	Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health	16	NICE	11		1.2.4: We note that nausea and vomiting may be side effects, even if not life threatening  See: Anaesthesiology. 1996 Nov;85(5):1055-62.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health	17	NICE	11		1.3.4: We note that play therapists have a role in preparing all children for procedures, sedated or otherwise. [related to comment on full guideline, p52 line 7].  See: Clin Radiol. 1997 Dec;52(12):945-7.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health	18	NICE	15		Section 1.8 – Painless imaging: This section very reasonably recommends chloral for children under 15 kgs but then goes on to suggest propofol or sevoflurane, whereas the use of intravenous midazolam is not considered. Unless good evidence is available that this is a dangerous way of sedating children we feel its exclusion does not help DGH paediatricians who are asked to sedate children for painless procedures.  We feel that the usability of this guideline is therefore compromised. General paediatricians will eagerly search this publication for usable advice. Perhaps these issues need to be addressed prior to publication of this guideline.	Thank you for your comment. The recommendation on painless procedures has been changed accordingly

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	1	FULL	GENERAL		There are two relevant Cochrane Reviews that have not been included in the development of the guideline: Matharu L, Ashley PF. Sedation of anxious children undergoing dental treatment. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2006, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD003877. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003877.pub3. Ashley PF, Williams CECS, Moles DR, Parry J. Sedation versus general anaesthesia for provision of dental treatment in under 18 year olds. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2009, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD006334. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006334.pub2. It is not clear why nine trials that were cited within the above Cochrane Reviews have not been included the guideline.	These Cochrane reviews were evaluated during the scoping process. All studies within <i>Matharu L, Ashley PF. Sedation of anxious children undergoing dental treatment</i> which met the inclusion criteria for this guideline were reviewed. The Cochrane review <i>Ashley PF, Williams CECS, Moles DR, Parry J. Sedation versus general anaesthesia for provision of dental treatment in under 18 year old</i> found no RCTs comparing general anaesthesia to sedation for the provision of dental care in children.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	2	FULL	GENERAL		There appears to be a lack of appreciation of the definition of conscious sedation as used in dentistry (see for example reference 198) for which it is of fundamental importance that the level of sedation must be such that the patient remains conscious, and is able to both understand and respond to verbal commands (if a patient is unable to respond to verbal contact when fully conscious, the normal method of communicating with them must be maintained).	Thank you for your comment. "Conscious sedation" is now used in the recommendation in the dentistry setting. "Conscious sedation" is also defined in the glossary.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	4	FULL	29	9-10	This definition is not conscious sedation as understood in Dentistry.	Thank you but page 29 of the full guideline represents wording from the Scope document and we are unable to change any of this. The Scope document was fully consulted on and these comments would have been appreciated at the appropriate time.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical	5	FULL	30	6-8	Non-hospital secondary care is omitted e.g.	Thank you but page 30 of the full guideline

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)					Community Dental Service	represents wording from the Scope document and we are unable to change any of this. The Scope document was fully consulted on and these comments would have been appreciated at the appropriate time.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	6	FULL	43	7-8	Choice of technique may also depend on patient factors such as their level of anxiety	Thank you, we agree with your comment. This is stated in the existing text in Section 4.1.3, GDG discussion, Information and Consent.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	7	FULL	43	21	Should this read 'non-pharmacological' rather than 'pharmacological'?	Yes. Thank you for spotting this typo.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	8	FULL	48	1	For GA in dentistry, patient preference is not an indication. Therefore why is patient preference included as an indication for sedation? Anxiety level should be included. Operator opinion informed by patient assessment should be included	Thank you for your comment. The GDG felt that patient preference should be taken into account. Psychological and developmental status (which include anxiety) are indeed included in the pre-sedation assessment recommendations.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	9	FULL	48	7	Monitoring and resuscitation together. Should separate and have equipment and drugs used for sedation complications prior to resuscitation available.	Thank you for your comment. The developers do not wish to change the wording as it was felt the current wording is clear.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	10	FULL	48	21 - 22	Few complications are encountered in dentistry. Therefore, to obtain practical experience would require simulation which we believe should be acceptable.	Thank you for your comment. The training recommendations apply to all settings
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	11	FULL	49	1	5. Definition minimal + moderate should be conscious sedation according to Dental Definition. 6. The resuscitation skills required for minimal and moderate sedation should be the same. 7. Not in line with current dental guidance, but supports 2006 Resuscitation Council report (with respect to the requirement for practices to have a	1. Definitions of minimal, moderate and conscious sedation have been added to the glossary. 2. The recommendations on training and monitoring apply to all clinical settings; 3. Thank you for your observation. The GDG supports recommendation made by the Resuscitation Council report 2006 for managing emergencies in dental practice 4. The recommendations on monitoring

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						defibrillator) 8. Concern about this and other recommendations of up to 50% N <sub>2</sub> O. If titrated there is no need to limit beyond what IS machines can deliver.	apply to all cases of moderate sedation. In one recommendation about personnel and training we specify that for sedation with nitrous oxide alone (up to 50% in oxygen) the sedation team should have the same skills as for minimal sedation.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	12	FULL	49	L5-12	For minimal and moderate sedation, there should be a recommendation about how monitoring is carried out.	Thank you for your comment. The GDG did not feel it was necessary to specify monitoring for minimal sedation. This is local clinical choice. There is a recommendation about monitoring for moderate sedation.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	13	FULL	50	20	As there are no recognised sedation specialists, we suggest replacing “specialist” with “further” (i.e. to seek advice from a more experienced colleague)	Thank you, we disagree with your suggestion because further is too vague. We have defined “specialist in sedation” in the glossary.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	14	FULL	51	1	Anxiety level and sedationist opinion should be included.	Thank you, we agree with your comment. This is stated in the existing text in Section 4.1.3, GDG discussion, Information and Consent.  Psychological and developmental status (which include anxiety) are also included in the pre-sedation assessment recommendations.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	15	FULL	51	13	Suggest ‘appropriate alternatives’	Thank you for your comment, the wording has been amended to provide further clarity.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	16	FULL	59	11-18	Blood pressure measurement is not mentioned as part of assessment - this is standard in dentistry	Thank you for your comment. Pre-sedation assessment recommends assessing the physical status. The GDG felt that blood pressure measurement is standard in dentistry for adults, but not for children.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	17	FULL	51	22	1. Fasting protocol contrary to current dental guidance (SDAC: reference 206, SDCEP: reference 198). 2. Fasting is not needed for minimal / moderate sedation	Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on fasting have been amended following consultation.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						3. It appears that these recommendations have been made with no evidence to support them (e.g. refer to McKenna et al. <u>Prim Dent Care</u> . 2010 Jan;17(1):5-11).	
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	18	FULL	52	24-25	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Not clear why learning difficulties are included within this group.</li> <li>2. There should be liaison nurses for this group.</li> </ol>	<p>Thank you for your comments. We have made 'good practice' recommendations that have been shaped by both a narrative review of the evidence and GDG consensus work.</p> <p>Guideline recommendations need to be sensitive to equity and diversity principles, this is why the GDG referred to mental health services as this is where expert advice can be sought in relation to learning disabilities.</p> <p>Service delivery recommendations on healthcare professional team constituent membership was not a guideline priority.</p>
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	19	FULL	53	11	As comments 9 and 11 above	We would need more detail to answer this comment.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	20	FULL	54	8-12	Monitoring does not required heart rate and SaO <sub>2</sub> for N <sub>2</sub> O and oxygen inhalation sedation.	Thank you for your comment. We agree with your comment but the recommendation applies to moderate sedation in general. In a previous recommendation on personnel and training, sedation with nitrous oxide alone (up to 50% in oxygen) is compared to minimal sedation.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	21	FULL	54	7-16	Blood pressure measurement is not mentioned as part of assessment - this is standard in dentistry	The guideline is not a textbook for sedation practice. Clinicians involved in paediatric sedation are able to make local decisions in relation to this
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	22	FULL	55	1	We believe that a minimum of baseline, post sedation, pre-discharge and significant deviations from baseline recording is sufficient. Continuous recording of	Thank you for your comment. The GDG felt that continuous monitoring is necessary and should be documented in the healthcare record.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						monitoring is not necessary.	
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	23	FULL	55	8	<p>3. We believe that return to a level of consciousness suitable for care by a non-healthcare qualified escort is acceptable.</p> <p>4. Current recommendations for sedation in dental practice are safe, and do not require prolonged stays as would be needed if these recommendations are implemented.</p>	Thank you, we agree with your comment. The recommendation has been amended.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	24	FULL	55	14-15	<p>3. We believe that return to level of responsiveness and orientation suitable for care by a non-healthcare qualified escort is acceptable.</p> <p>4. Current recommendations for sedation in dental practice are safe, and do not require prolonged stays as would be needed if these recommendations are implemented.</p>	Thank you, we agree with your comment. The recommendation on discharge criteria has been amended.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	25	FULL	55	13 & FOO TNO TE	States that vital signs that usually include blood pressure should return to normal, but it is not recommended to measure blood pressure earlier in the guideline.	Thank you but we disagree with your comment. The guideline is non setting specific, and assessment will invariably differ across a range of settings where different target levels of sedation are aimed for by the sedation team. Blood pressure can only be used as a marker for discharge criteria if it has been recorded as part of the initial assessment, this is routine practice in hospital settings and especially where moderate to deep sedation is the target level. The nature of the non-setting specific recommendations reflect the diverse populations that the guideline addresses, and it is not necessary for example within dental settings when mild sedation is the target level for a blood pressure to be routinely recorded.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical	26	FULL	55-56	24 -1	3. All techniques are grouped together for	Thank you. We agree with your comment

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)					conscious sedation. We are concerned about the inclusion of oral midazolam within minimal sedation. It is not clear why a lower level of training is recommended for oral midazolam compared to IV midazolam – this is contrary to dental guidance. 4. Oral and Transmucosal sedation should be listed as techniques that can be used to produce ‘moderate sedation’	and the recommendations on dentistry and painful procedures have been amended accordingly.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	27	FULL	56	27 - 28	We do not think it is equitable to mention one technique that is only available in one centre in the UK.	Thank you for your comment. The term “Alternative techniques” has been introduced and defined for dentistry.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	28	FULL	58	23-30	We think it is inappropriate for the guideline to be so prescriptive on fasting when this is included a research recommendation. Fasting increases the likelihood of fainting in adolescents.	Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on fasting have been amended following consultation.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	29	FULL	59	2-10	Training for conscious sedation in dentistry for standard techniques is well established and so we do not think this needs to be the subject of a research recommendation.	Thank you for your comment. The research recommendation is aimed to cover all clinical setting.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	30	FULL	61	15-20	We have concerns about how this would be accomplished especially in primary or non hospital secondary care.	Thank you for your comment. This is a future research recommendation.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	31	FULL	62	15-16	Conscious sedation correct here - should be in the rest of the guideline.	Thank you for your comment. “Conscious sedation” has been added to the recommendations in the dental setting and defined in the glossary.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	32	FULL	64	22-23	The recommendation that only one of 2 professionals can be involved in the procedure is contrary to current dental guidance. Both members of the dental team involved in the procedure can be trained in sedation. This would have a significant impact on the provision of sedation for dentistry.	Thank you for your comment. The difference between delivering and administering sedation has been explained in the glossary.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical	33	FULL	65	1-26	Need to acknowledge that consent varies in	Thank you for your comment. This has been

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)					different parts of the UK.	acknowledged in the guideline.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	34	FULL	68	7- 14	The paucity of evidence of the benefits of fasting, the results of Keidon 2004 (page 71), and the clinical experience that fainting is more likely in fasted patients brings into question the justification for the fasting recommendations.	Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on fasting have been amended following consultation
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	35	FULL	73	10-12	Patients with learning difficulties may require increased care (including overnight) pretreatment if there is a requirement to fast.	Thank you. We think an overnight stay is unlikely to be necessary except in rare circumstances. We do not think it necessary to raise this issue in the guideline.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	36	FULL	84	1 (REC 18)	As comments 9 and 11 above	We would need more details to be able to answer this comment.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	37	FULL	87	2	Oxygen saturation monitoring is not required for N <sub>2</sub> O and oxygen inhalation sedation	Thank you, the recommendations has been amended accordingly
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	38	FULL	88	1 (REC 24)	We believe that a minimum of baseline, post sedation, pre-discharge and significant deviations from baseline recording is sufficient. Continuous recording of monitoring is not necessary.	Thank you for your comment. The GDG felt that continuous monitoring is necessary and should be documented in the healthcare record.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	39	FULL	88	2 (REC 25)	Agree with recommendation except for return to baseline level of consciousness.	Thank you for your comment, the recommendation has been amended accordingly
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	40	FULL	90	10 (REC 26)	Agree with recommendation except for return to baseline level of consciousness.	Thank you for your comment, the recommendation has been amended accordingly
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	41	FULL	161	16 - 17	Does not make sense with the stem line 17	Thank you for your comment. It has been amended accordingly.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	42	FULL	27-280		We have concerns about the requirement for the ability to intubate when using propofol. We believe that for older children (>=10 years), conscious sedation for dentistry with propofol can be provided	Thank you for your comment. The terms "Conscious sedation" and "Alternative techniques" have been added to the recommendation in the dentistry setting and defined in the glossary.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**



						safely and reliably by a trained non-anaesthetist. In several clinical settings, this is common practice. The implication of the proposed recommendations is that only trained anaesthetists can provide sedation using propofol. Implementing this recommendation would have a significant impact on the provision of patient care. Much of the evidence quoted appears to refer to deep sedation and not conscious sedation as used in dentistry. We suggest that the evidence and this recommendation are reconsidered.	The guidance advocates further training for more complex or alternative techniques.
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	43	FULL	336	11	Not equitable to mention one technique only available in 1 centre in UK.	Thank you, we agree with your comment. The recommendation has been amended,
SH	Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP)	44	NICE	GENERAL		We are concerned about the tone within the introduction (final paragraph) which appears to imply that GA is better and safer and the only reasons for not using it are economic.	Thank you for your comment. We do not believe that the summary asserts this at all. It simply recognises that general anaesthesia is the definitive choice when sedation fails
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	1	FULL	GENERAL		There are two relevant Cochrane Reviews that have not been included in the development of the guideline: Matharu L, Ashley PF. Sedation of anxious children undergoing dental treatment. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2006, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD003877. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003877.pub3. Ashley PF, Williams CECS, Moles DR, Parry J. Sedation versus general anaesthesia for provision of dental treatment in under 18 year olds. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2009, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD006334. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006334.pub2. It is not clear why nine trials that were cited within the above Cochrane Reviews have	These Cochrane reviews were evaluated during the scoping process. All studies within <i>Matharu L, Ashley PF. Sedation of anxious children undergoing dental treatment</i> which met the inclusion criteria for this guideline were reviewed. The Cochrane review <i>Ashley PF, Williams CECS, Moles DR, Parry J. Sedation versus general anaesthesia for provision of dental treatment in under 18 year old</i> found no RCTs comparing general anaesthesia to sedation for the provision of dental care in children.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						not been included the guideline.	
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	2	FULL	GENERAL		The use of multiple agent sedation techniques in paediatric conscious sedation is practiced widely in dentistry. There is a large experience of using ketamine based techniques in a number of referral practices where it is used in combination with other agents to produce conscious sedation according to the dental definition. Intravenous propofol is also widely used both within and out with hospitals to produce the same level of sedation. The implication that these agents can only be used to produce deeper levels of sedation is thus not supported by clinical experience.	Thank you for your comment. The recommendation in the dentistry setting has been amended to state the target level of sedation. The concept of alternative techniques has also been introduced. "Conscious sedation" is the target level of sedation.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	3	FULL	GENERAL		There appears to be a lack of appreciation of the definition of conscious sedation as used in dentistry for which it is of fundamental importance that the level of sedation must be such that the patient remains conscious, and is able to both understand and respond to verbal commands (if a patient is unable to respond to verbal contact when fully conscious, the normal method of communicating with them must be maintained).	Thank you for your comment. "Conscious sedation" is now used in the recommendation in the dentistry setting. "Conscious sedation" is also defined in the glossary.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	4	FULL	28	5	The remit is too wide with no differentiation between babies and adults. Suggest dividing to provide recommendations specific to under 2s, pre-cooperative, under 12 and adolescent.	Thank you but the 'remit' is now a retrospective document as the work has taken place. The remit is issued by the DH and we are unable to change such remits.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	5	FULL	29	9-10	This definition is not conscious sedation as understood in Dentistry.	Thank you but page 29 of the full guideline represents wording from the Scope document and we are unable to change any of this. The Scope document was fully consulted on and these comments would have been appreciated at the appropriate time.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	6	FULL	30	6-8	Non-hospital secondary care is omitted e.g. Community Dental Service	Thank you but page 30 of the full guideline represents wording from the Scope document and we are unable to change any of this. The Scope document was fully consulted on and these comments would have been appreciated at the appropriate time.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	7	FULL	43	7-8	Choice of technique may also depend on patient factors such as their level of anxiety	Thank you, we agree with your comment. This is stated in the existing text in Section 4.1.3, GDG discussion, Information and Consent.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	8	FULL	43	21	Should this read 'non-pharmacological' rather than 'pharmacological'?	Yes. Thank you for spotting this typo.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	9	FULL	48	1	For GA in dentistry, patient preference is not an indication. Therefore why is patient preference included as an indication for sedation? Anxiety level should be included. Operator opinion informed by patient assessment should be included	Thank you for your comment. The GDG felt that patient preference should be taken into account. Psychological and developmental status (which include anxiety) are indeed included in the pre-sedation assessment recommendations
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	10	FULL	48	7	Monitoring and resuscitation together. Should separate and have equipment and drugs used for sedation complications prior to resuscitation available.	Thank you for your comment. The developers do not wish to change the wording as it was felt the current wording is clear.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	11	FULL	48	21 - 22	Few complications are encountered in dentistry. Therefore, to obtain practical experience would require simulation which we believe should be acceptable.	Thank you for your comment. The training recommendations apply to all settings
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	12	FULL	49	1	9. Definition minimal + moderate should be conscious sedation according to Dental Definition. 10. The resuscitation skills required for minimal and moderate sedation should be the same. 11. Not in line with current dental guidance, but supports 2006 Resuscitation	1. Definitions of minimal, moderate and conscious sedation have been added to the glossary. 2. The recommendations on training and monitoring apply to all clinical settings; 3. Thank you for your observation. The GDG supports recommendation made by the Resuscitation Council report 2006 for

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						<p>Council report (with respect to the requirement for practices to have a defibrillator)</p> <p>12. Concern about this and other recommendations of up to 50% N<sub>2</sub>O. If titrated there is no need to limit beyond what IS machines can deliver.</p>	<p>managing emergencies in dental practice</p> <p>4. The recommendations on monitoring apply to all cases of moderate sedation. In one recommendation about personnel and training we specify that for sedation with nitrous oxide alone (up to 50% in oxygen) the sedation team should have the same skills as for minimal sedation.</p>
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	13	FULL	49	L5-12	For minimal and moderate sedation, there should be a recommendation about how monitoring is carried out.	<p>Thank you for your comment. The GDG did not feel it was necessary to specify monitoring for minimal sedation. This is local clinical choice.</p> <p>There is a recommendation about monitoring for moderate sedation.</p>
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	14	FULL	50	20	As there are no recognised sedation specialists, we suggest replacing "specialist" with "further" (i.e. seek advice from a more experienced colleague)	Thank you, we disagree with your suggestion because further is too vague. We have defined "specialist in sedation" in the glossary.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	15	FULL	51	1	Anxiety level and sedationist opinion should be included.	<p>Thank you, we agree with your comment. This is stated in the existing text in Section 4.1.3, GDG discussion, Information and Consent.</p> <p>Psychological and developmental status (which include anxiety) are also included in the pre-sedation assessment recommendations.</p>
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	16	FULL	51	13	Suggest 'appropriate alternatives'	Thank you for your comment, the wording has been amended to provide further clarity
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	17	FULL	59	11-18	Blood pressure measurement is not mentioned as part of assessment - this is standard in dentistry	Thank you for your comment. Pre-sedation assessment recommends assessing the physical status. The GDG felt that blood pressure measurement is standard in dentistry for adults, but not for children.
SH	Society for the Advancement of	18	FULL	51	22	4. Fasting protocol contrary to current dental guidance (SDAC: reference 206,	Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on fasting have been

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)					SDCEP: reference 198). 5. Fasting is not needed for minimal / moderate sedation 6. It appears that these recommendations have been made with no evidence to support them (e.g. refer to McKenna et al. <u>Prim Dent Care</u> . 2010 Jan;17(1):5-11).	amended following consultation.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	19	FULL	52	24-25	3. Not clear why learning difficulties are included within this group. 4. There should be liaison nurses for this group.	Thank you for your comments. We have made 'good practice' recommendations that have been shaped by both a narrative review of the evidence and GDG consensus work. Guideline recommendations need to be sensitive to equity and diversity principles, this is why the GDG referred to mental health services as this is where expert advice can be sought in relation to learning disabilities. Service delivery recommendations on healthcare professional team constituent membership was not a guideline priority.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	20	FULL	53	11	As comments 9 and 11 above	We would need more detail to answer this comment.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	21	FULL	54	8-12	Monitoring does not required heart rate and SaO <sub>2</sub> for N <sub>2</sub> O and oxygen inhalation sedation.	Thank you for your comment. We agree with your comment but the recommendation applies to moderate sedation in general. In a previous recommendation on personnel and training, sedation with nitrous oxide alone (up to 50% in oxygen) is compared to minimal sedation.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	22	FULL	54	7-16	Blood pressure measurement is not mentioned as part of assessment - this is standard is in dentistry	The guideline is not a textbook for sedation practice. Clinicians involved in paediatric sedation are able to make local decisions in relation to this

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	23	FULL	55	1	We believe that a minimum of baseline, post sedation, pre-discharge and significant deviations from baseline recording is sufficient. Continuous recording of monitoring is not necessary.	Thank you for your comment. The GDG felt that continuous monitoring is necessary and should be documented in the healthcare record.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	24	FULL	55	8	5. We believe that return to a level of consciousness suitable for care by a non-healthcare qualified escort is acceptable. 6. Current recommendations for sedation in dental practice are safe, and do not require prolonged stays as would be needed if these recommendations are implemented.	Thank you, we agree with your comment. The recommendation has been amended.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	25	FULL	55	14-15	5. We believe that return to level of responsiveness and orientation suitable for care by a non-healthcare qualified escort is acceptable. 6. Current recommendations for sedation in dental practice are safe, and do not require prolonged stays as would be needed if these recommendations are implemented.	Thank you, we agree with your comment. The recommendation on discharge criteria has been amended.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	26	FULL	55	13 & FOOTNOTE	States that vital signs that usually include blood pressure should return to normal, but it is not recommended to measure blood pressure earlier in the guideline.	Thank you but we disagree with your comment. The guideline is non setting specific, and assessment will invariably differ across a range of settings where different target levels of sedation are aimed for by the sedation team. Blood pressure can only be used as a marker for discharge criteria if it has been recorded as part of the initial assessment, this is routine practice in hospital settings and especially where moderate to deep sedation is the target level. The nature of the non-setting specific recommendations reflect the diverse populations that the guideline addresses, and it is not necessary for example within

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

							dental settings when mild sedation is the target level for a blood pressure to be routinely recorded.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	27	FULL	55-56	24 -1	5. All techniques are grouped together for conscious sedation. We are concerned about the inclusion of oral midazolam within minimal sedation. It is not clear why a lower level of training is recommended for oral midazolam compared to IV midazolam – this is contrary to dental guidance. 6. Oral and Transmucosal sedation should be listed as techniques that can be used to produce ‘moderate sedation’	Thank you. We agree with your comment and the recommendations on dentistry and painful procedures have been amended accordingly.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	28	FULL	56	27 - 28	We do not think it is equitable to mention one technique that is only available in one centre in the UK.	Thank you for your comment. The term “Alternative techniques” has been introduced and defined for dentistry.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	29	FULL	58	23- 30	We think it is inappropriate for the guideline to be so prescriptive on fasting when it this is included a research recommendation. Fasting increases the likelihood of fainting in adolescents.	Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on fasting have been amended following consultation.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	30	FULL	59	2-10	Training for conscious sedation in dentistry for standard techniques is well established and so we do not think this needs to be the subject of a research recommendation.	Thank you for your comment. The research recommendation is aimed to cover all clinical setting.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	31	FULL	61	15- 20	We have concerns about how this would be accomplished especially in primary or non hospital secondary care.	Thank you for your comment. This is a future research recommendation.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	32	FULL	62	15- 16	Conscious sedation correct here - should be in the rest of the guideline.	Thank you for your comment. “Conscious sedation” has been added to the recommendations in the dental setting and defined in the glossary.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry	33	FULL	64	22- 23	The recommendation that only one of 2 professionals can be involved in the procedure is contrary to current dental	Thank you for your comment. The difference between delivering and administering sedation has been explained

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	(SAAD)					guidance. Both members of the dental team involved in the procedure can be trained in sedation. This would have a significant impact on the provision of sedation for dentistry.	in the glossary.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	34	FULL	65	1-26	Need to acknowledge that consent varies in different parts of the UK	Thank you for your comment. This has been acknowledged in the guideline.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	35	FULL	68	7- 14	The paucity of evidence of the benefits of fasting, the results of Keidon 2004 (page 71), and the clinical experience that fainting is more likely in fasted patients brings into question the justification for the fasting recommendations.	Thank you for your comment. The recommendations on fasting have been amended following consultation
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	36	FULL	73	10-12	Patients with learning difficulties may require increased care (including overnight) pretreatment if there is a requirement to fast.	Thank you. We think an overnight stay is unlikely to be necessary except in rare circumstances. We do not think it necessary to raise this issue in the guideline.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	37	FULL	84	1 (REC 18)	As comments 9 and 11 above	We would need more details to be able to answer this comment.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	38	FULL	87	2	Oxygen saturation monitoring is not required for N <sub>2</sub> O and oxygen inhalation sedation	Thank you, the recommendations has been amended accordingly
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	39	FULL	88	1 (REC 24)	We believe that a minimum of baseline, post sedation, pre-discharge and significant deviations from baseline recording is sufficient. Continuous recording of monitoring is not necessary.	Thank you for your comment. The GDG felt that continuous monitoring is necessary and should be documented in the healthcare record.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	40	FULL	88	2 (REC 25)	Agree with recommendation except for return to baseline level of consciousness.	Thank you for your comment, the recommendation has been amended accordingly
SH	Society for the	41	FULL	90	10	Agree with recommendation except for	Thank you for your comment, the

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**



	Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)				(REC 26)	return to baseline level of consciousness.	recommendation has been amended accordingly
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	42	FULL	161	16 - 17	Does not make sense with the stem line 17	Thank you for your comment. It has been amended accordingly.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	43	FULL	27-280		We have concerns about the requirement for the ability to intubate when using propofol. We believe that for older children (>=10 years), conscious sedation for dentistry with propofol can be provided safely and reliably by a trained non-anaesthetist. In several clinical settings, this is common practice. The implication of the proposed recommendations is that only trained anaesthetists can provide sedation using propofol. Implementing this recommendation would have a significant impact on the provision of patient care. Much of the evidence quoted appears to refer to deep sedation and not conscious sedation as used in dentistry. We suggest that the evidence and this recommendation are reconsidered.	Thank you for your comment. The terms "Conscious sedation" and "Alternative techniques" have been added to the recommendation in the dentistry setting and defined in the glossary. The guidance advocates further training for more complex or alternative techniques.
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	44	FULL	336	11	Not equitable to mention one technique only available in 1 centre in UK.	Thank you, we agree with your comment. The recommendation has been amended,
SH	Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD)	45	NICE	GENERAL		We are concerned about the tone within the introduction (final paragraph) which appears to imply that GA is better and safer and the only reasons for not using it are economic.	Thank you for your comment. We do not believe that the summary asserts this at all. It simply recognises that general anaesthesia is the definitive choice when sedation fails
SH	STEPS	1	FULL	79	1 -28	Psychological preparation: This is very important as cooperation in any future procedures will depend on the right kind of preparation and support. A negative	Thank you for your comments. We have made 'good practice' recommendations that have been shaped by both a narrative review of the evidence and GDG consensus

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						experience could cause problems with other healthcare interventions. Ideally this should be done a little time before the procedure rather than at the procedure by appropriately trained staff. If appropriate written or audio-visual information should be available.	work. We anticipate that the 'good practice' recommendations will help inform local policy and agree that developing such materials/guidance would be useful implementation tools.
SH	STEPS	2	FULL	83	7-21	All personnel are adequately trained to perform the procedures and to deal with the consequences of that technique should there be any adverse or unexpected reactions. These skills should be regularly appraised.	Thank you. This is stated in the last recommendation for this section (personnel and training).
SH	STEPS	3	FULL	89	17-21	<i>However this should also take into account the capabilities of the person caring for the child following discharge, the presence of other medical problems and the distance the family has to travel to obtain medical assistance It is more important to individualize the times of discharge rather insist on a minimum length of stays.</i> This needs to be systematically assessed and recorded.	Thank you for your comment, the developers believe the level of detail is adequate for the purpose of this guideline.
SH	STEPS	4	FULL	106 108	14-24 3-10	I feel these statements might be used as a blanket policy to exclude parents from induction of sedation or anaesthesia. The studies used as evidence did not seem to take into account the degree of preparation and support the parents had received before the inductions or the age of the child. Small babies and toddlers cannot be adequately prepared and therefore take comfort from a familiar adult helping to reduce separation anxiety. The attending adult must feel comfortable in their role in supporting the child and be fully prepared into how they should act and what they should do.	Thank you for your comments. We have made 'good practice' recommendations that have been shaped by both a narrative review of the evidence and GDG consensus work. We acknowledge the paucity of the 'effectiveness evidence' in the evidence to recommendations sections of the guideline and acknowledge that the role of the GDG is to interpret the evidence and form recommendations that are useful for practice. This approach is supportive of your point

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

SH	Sunderland Royal Hospital	1	NICE	GENERAL	<p>The majority of this guideline is extremely helpful with sound evidence based recommendations being made. However I would criticise the guideline mainly from the perspective of a Paediatrician sedating children for diagnostic procedures such as MRI's or CT's.</p> <p>The use of chloral hydrate is an extremely effective agent in babies and young children. When children are older and do not sedate easily with chloral an alternative must be used. I understand from the scope of the guideline that only published evidence can be used to provide recommendations. However I feel not to mention midazolam as a sedating agent is a significant omission. Perhaps the use of midazolam could be included emphasizing that published evidence for its use is not available. I could certainly provide details of its safe use in over 1000 children from 1998 to date using a referenced guideline.</p>	Thank you for your comment, the recommendations on painless imaging have been amended accordingly
SH	Sunderland Royal Hospital	2	NICE	8 AND 13	<p>it suggests that for deep sedation that one should "continuously monitor, interpret and respond to all of the following". The list which follows includes end tidal CO2 (capnography) and blood pressure (monitored every 5 minutes). I agree that any sedation which renders a child unconscious must be considered as being potentially more than merely moderate sedation. Careful monitoring is vital. However if one was to try and measure blood pressure every 5 minutes one would undoubtedly disturb the child so much that the child would not be successfully sedated</p>	Thank you for your comment, we agree that this could be determined by local clinician decision making.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						<p>and would be roused. End tidal CO<sub>2</sub> monitors are not readily available and similarly would be a difficult parameter to measure without disturbing the child. I am very happy with all of the other measures which need to be monitored.</p> <p>I think this links to the issue regarding midazolam in the following way. I presume that the lack of evidence / published material for using midazolam has prevented its use. However I would ask what evidence is available that end tidal CO<sub>2</sub> or blood pressure monitoring is needed to safely monitor a child being sedated for an MRI.</p>	<p>The recommendation is based on consensus and not evidence based.</p>
SH	Sunderland Royal Hospital	3	NICE	15		<p>I feel that the usability of this guideline is compromised. Painless imaging (Section 1.8 on Page 15) very reasonably recommends chloral for children under 15 Kgs but then goes on to suggest propofol or sevoflurane, whereas the use of intravenous midazolam is not considered. Unless good evidence is available that this is a dangerous way of sedating children I feel its exclusion does not help DGH paediatricians who are asked to sedate children for painless procedures.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. The recommendation on painless procedures has been changed accordingly</p>
SH	The College of Emergency Medicine	1	FULL	49	21	<p>In the Emergency Department we try to avoid monitoring blood pressure every 5 minutes when using ketamine – one measurement before the procedure and then none required. The cuff inflation provides an unnecessary stimulus at time when we want to minimise stimulation</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, we have added a footnote to the recommendation to address this.</p>
SH	The College of	2	FULL	54	25	<p>In the Emergency Department we try to</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment, we agree that</p>

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	Emergency Medicine					avoid monitoring blood pressure every 5 minutes when using ketamine – one measurement before the procedure and then none required. The cuff inflation provides an unnecessary stimulus at time when we want to minimise stimulation	this could be determined by local clinician decision making.
SH	The College of Emergency Medicine	3	FULL	87	3	In the Emergency Department we try to avoid monitoring blood pressure every 5 minutes when using ketamine – one measurement before the procedure and then none required. The cuff inflation provides an unnecessary stimulus at time when we want to minimise stimulation	Thank you for your comment, we agree that this could be determined by local clinician decision making
SH	The Nursing and Midwifery Council	1	FULL	50	8	We are in agreement that you must take part in appropriate learning and practice activities that maintain and develop your competence and performance.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	The Nursing and Midwifery Council	2	FULL	50	6	We would recommend the inclusion of making certain of the identity of the child to whom the sedation is to be administered in the pre-sedation assessment , conforming with local procedures	Thank you for your comment. This is outside the scope of this guideline.
SH	The Nursing and Midwifery Council	3	FULL	51	10	We are in agreement that any advice you give must be evidence based.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	The Nursing and Midwifery Council	4	FULL	51	15	We are in agreement that you must ensure that consent has been gained and documented before beginning any sedation process. Practitioners should act according to the “Fraser competencies.” <a href="http://www.surreycc.gov.uk/sccwebsite/sccwpages.nsf/LookupWebPagesByTITLE_RT/F/The+Fraser+Guidelines?opendocument">http://www.surreycc.gov.uk/sccwebsite/sccwpages.nsf/LookupWebPagesByTITLE_RT/F/The+Fraser+Guidelines?opendocument</a>  We would refer nurses and midwives to our guidance <i>Record keeping: Guidance for nurses and midwives</i> (NMC, 2009). Good record keeping is essential to the provision of safe and effective care and is never an	Thank you for your comment.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						optional extra.	
SH	The Nursing and Midwifery Council	5	FULL	52	20	<p>We would also recommend the parent is prepared psychologically for the process.</p> <p>The importance of communication is highlighted and we would endorse that communication skills are essential when caring for children, young people and their carers. Communication skills are highlighted in the essential skills clusters for pre-registration nursing education (NMC circular 07/2007) and in the <i>Standards of proficiency for specialist community public health nurses</i> (NMC, 2004). <i>Advice for nurses working with children and young people</i> (NMC, 2008) states that "communication in all forms is vital ...and also reduces fear and anxiety for the children/young people and their parents."</p>	Thank you for your comments. We have made 'good practice' recommendations that have been shaped by both a narrative review of the evidence and GDG consensus work. We are supportive of your comment and recognise the importance of effective communication in all aspects of clinical practice.
SH	The Nursing and Midwifery Council	6	FULL	52	28	<p>We recommend healthcare professionals delivering sedation know the therapeutic uses, normal dose, side effects, precautions and contra-indications. Practitioners should ensure that they are working within their area of competence and comply with the standards within the <i>The code: Standards of conduct, performance and ethics for nurses and midwives</i> (NMC, 2008)</p> <p>It is essential that nurses and midwives are adequately trained in assessment. In addition to the skills listed, it is important that nurses and midwives understand the necessity to determine the frequency of observation assessments and the importance of recognising whether a child's condition is deteriorating or improving.</p>	Thank you, we acknowledge your comment, however the developers believe this recommendation to be accurate.
SH	The Nursing and	7	FULL	53	21	We regulate individual registrants and	Thank you for your comment.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

	Midwifery Council					ensure that standards are maintained through revalidation processes. Registrants need to ensure that they maintain their competencies in order to be fit for purpose.	
SH	The Nursing and Midwifery Council	8	FULL	55	9	We recommend a standard is included in this section about the reporting of adverse reactions, events or near misses including <a href="http://www.yellowcard.gov.uk">www.yellowcard.gov.uk</a> and NPSA.	Thank you for your comment. We will discuss this with the NICE implementation team.
SH	The Nursing and Midwifery Council	9	FULL	GENERAL		We regulate nurses and midwives, but we do not regulate dental nurses.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	The Nursing and Midwifery Council	10	FULL	GENERAL		We would endorse a national registry for paediatric sedation, as this would ensure a level of consistency in practice.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	The Nursing and Midwifery Council	11	FULL	GENERAL		Thank you for giving us the opportunity to respond to this guideline.	Thank you for your comment.
SH	The Royal College of Surgeons of England	1	FULL	85	15	In cases where multiple sedative agents are administered concurrently and for deeper than conscious sedation, procedures should take place in secondary care units where general anaesthetic and resuscitation facilities are immediately available.	Thank you for your comment, the developers believe this section to be accurate. A definition of Specialist sedation team has been added to the glossary.
SH	The Royal College of Surgeons of England	2	FULL	62	18	In assessing pain, particular attention must be given to those children who can not express pain because of their level of speech or understanding, communication difficulties or their illness or disability.	Thank you for your comments. We agree with the points you make, this was not prioritised a key clinical question for the guideline. Communication needs are considered and recommendations reflect this.
SH	The Royal College of Surgeons of England	3	FULL	81	39	The training of healthcare professionals delivering sedation on children should include safeguarding at Level 3.	We would need more detail to be able to answer this.
SH	The Royal College of Surgeons of England	4	FULL	GENERAL	N/A	Every hospital should have a multidisciplinary sedation committee whose role is to establish local needs for sedation and how these should be met. Local practice should be audited regularly and care pathways defined. This should involve consultation and agreement with local	Thank you for your comment. We recognize this is a local governance arrangement which the GDG are fully supportive of.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**

						departments of anaesthesia.	
SH	University Hospital of Leicester	1	FULL	89	32, 33	Clarify that increasing the dose or avoid repeating the dose if the child is not sedated	Thank you, but the developers did not understand your point
SH	University Hospital of Leicester	2	FULL	110	9-12	Chloral has an effect on cardiovascular function and hence it is contra indicated in child with cardiac ds as per BNF (also triclofos) and	Thank you for your comment. An appendix and footnotes were added to both the NICE and full guideline to clarify the licensing of sedation drugs.
SH	Welsh Assembly Government	1	FULL NICE	4	12	The following text should be added – In Wales, healthcare professionals should follow advice on consent from the Welsh Assembly Government (available from <a href="http://www.wales.nhs.uk/consent">www.wales.nhs.uk/consent</a> ).	Thank you for your suggestion, the text was added to the NICE version.

**PLEASE NOTE: Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by the Institute are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that the Institute has received, and are not endorsed by the Institute, its officers or advisory committees.**