

National Institute for Health & Clinical Excellence

Anaphylaxis Short Clinical Guideline

Guideline Development Group (GDG) meeting one

9th & 10th December

Conwy, Mid City Place, High Holborn, London, WC1V 6NA

GROUP MEMBERSHIP & ACTION LIST

In Attendance	
GDG Members	
Clare Taylor	Nigel Harper
Trevor Brown	Sue Clarke
Lyn Williams	Mat Doyle
Mandy East	Nicola Mundy
David Glaser	Louise Sinnott
NICE Staff	
Peter Howdle (PH)	Michael Heath (MH)
Sarah Chalmers (SC)	Victoria Kelly (VK)
Jenny Kendrick (JK)	Prashanth Kandaswamy (PK)
Rachel Ryle (RR)	Heather Stegenga (HS)
Apologies:	
Pamela Ewan	Sarah Palombella
Prashant Kumar	

REPORT OF DISCUSSIONS AT THE MEETING

9th December

Introductions & GDG Working

PH asked the group to introduce themselves and discuss their areas of specialty. BS gave a presentation on the key points of GDG methods.

NICE Introductions

NICE staff introduced their areas of work. The presentations covered the following topics; NICE – Overview/SCG & Producing Clinical Guidelines, Timelines, Expenses, Declarations of Interest, Role of the Guidelines Commissioning Manager, Literature Searching , Patient and public Involvement Programme and Health Economics

Summary of the Scope

BS presented the scope of the guideline to the GDG.

Agree Definitions

The group discussed the various terms used in the literature and agreed the definitions for use in this guideline

Review Protocol

HS presented the group the review protocol which outlines the various clinical questions to be researched during the guideline development.

Care Pathway

The group provided the team with the usual pathway of care in anaphylaxis treatment and referral.

Summary of Day

PH discussed the key points from the day and outlined the agenda for Day 2.

10th December

An introduction to GRADE

BS gave the group a presentation on GRADE and its use in guidance development

Evidence presented and Evidence Summary – Q1

HS presented the evidence to the GDG for the first clinical question on the use of the Mast Cell Tryptase test in diagnosis of anaphylaxis

Discussion of evidence – Q1

The GDG reviewed the evidence and produced a set of recommendations

Health Economics

PK gave the group a presentation on the potential areas of economic modelling in this guidance

Next Steps

VK discussed the dates of the next meeting – 3rd February in Manchester