

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Centre for Clinical Practice – Surveillance Programme

Clinical guideline

CG136: Service User Experience in Adult Mental Health

Publication date

December 2011

Surveillance report for GE

May 2014

Key findings

			Potential impact on guidance	
			Yes	No
Evidence from Evidence Update				✓
Feedback from Chair of the Evidence Update Advisory Group				✓
Anti-discrimination and equalities considerations				✓
No update	Rapid update	Standard update	Transfer to static list	Change review cycle
✓				

Surveillance recommendation

GE is asked to consider the following proposal:

- That CG136: Service user experience in adult mental health should not be considered for an update at this time.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Centre for Clinical Practice – Surveillance Programme

Surveillance review of Service user experience in adult mental health: improving the experience of care for people using adult NHS mental health services

Background information

Guideline issue date: 2011

2 year review: 2014

NCC: National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health

Current two year surveillance review

1. The Evidence Update on CG136 Service user experience in adult mental health (expected publication date: May 2014) was used as the primary source of evidence for this surveillance review (search dates: 1 April 2011 to 5 November 2013). Clinical feedback on the surveillance decision for the guideline was obtained from the Chairs of the Evidence Update Advisory Group.
2. The Evidence Update indicates that there is currently insufficient new evidence to invalidate the guideline recommendations. A summary of the evidence is provided in the Evidence Update.
3. The Chairs of the Evidence Update Advisory Group (and members of the original Guideline Development Group) felt that CG136 Service user experience in adult mental health is up to date and there is no evidence that would change the guideline recommendations.

On-going Research

4. Not applicable.

Anti-discrimination and equalities considerations

5. None identified.

Implications for other NICE programmes

6. None identified.

Conclusion

7. Through the surveillance review of CG136, no new evidence which may potentially change the direction of guideline recommendations was identified.

Surveillance recommendation

8. GE is asked to consider the proposal to not update the guideline at this time. GE are asked to note that as a 2 year surveillance review this 'no to update' proposal will not be consulted on.

Mark Baker – Centre Director
Sarah Willett – Associate Director
Diana O'Rourke – Technical Analyst

Centre for Clinical Practice
May 2014

References

1. Edge D. (2011) 'It's leaflet, leaflet, leaflet then, "see you later": black Caribbean women's perceptions of perinatal mental health care. *British Journal of General Practice* 61:256-262.
2. Lemmey SJ, Glover N, and Chaplin R. (2013) Comparison of the quality of care in psychiatric intensive care units and acute psychiatric wards. *Journal of Psychiatric Intensive Care* 9:12-18.
3. Henry SG, Fuhrel-Forbis A, Rogers MA et al. (2012) Association between nonverbal communication during clinical interactions and outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Patient Education & Counseling* 86:297-315.
4. Laugharne R, Priebe S, McCabe R et al. (2012) Trust, choice and power in mental health care: experiences of patients with psychosis. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry* 58:496-504.
5. Gault I, Gallagher A, and Chambers M. (2013) Perspectives on medicine adherence in service users and carers with experience of legally sanctioned detention and medication: A qualitative study. *Patient Preference and Adherence* 7:January.
6. McHugh RK, Whitton SW, Peckham AD et al. (2013) Patient preference for psychological vs pharmacologic treatment of psychiatric disorders: a meta-analytic review. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 74:595-602.
7. Evans J, Rose D, Flach C et al. (2012) VOICE: developing a new measure of service users' perceptions of inpatient care, using a participatory methodology. *Journal of Mental Health* 21:57-71.
8. Boyer L, Lancon C, Baumstarck K et al. (2013) Evaluating the impact of a quality of life assessment with feedback to clinicians in patients with schizophrenia: Randomised controlled trial. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 202:447-453.
9. Thota AB, Sipe TA, Byard GJ et al. (2012) Collaborative care to improve the management of depressive disorders: a community guide systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 42:525-538.
10. Killaspy H, Marston L, Omar RZ et al. (2013) Service quality and clinical outcomes: an example from mental health rehabilitation services in England. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 202:28-34.