

APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Scope for the development of the clinical guideline	4
Appendix 2: Declarations of interests by Guideline Development Group members	10
Appendix 3: Special advisors to the Guideline Development Group.....	16
Appendix 4: Stakeholders who responded to early requests for evidence .	17
Appendix 5: Stakeholders and experts who submitted comments in response to the consultation draft of the guideline.....	18
Appendix 6: Researchers contacted to request information about unpublished or soon-to-be published studies	19
Appendix 7: Review protocols and questions	22
Appendix 8: Search strategies for the identification of clinical studies.....	47
Appendix 9: Search strategies for the identification of health economics evidence.....	60
Appendix 10: Research recommendations	70

Appendices 11 to 35 can be found in separate files on the NCCMH and NICE websites:

Appendix 11: Case identification – study characteristics and risk of bias tables

Appendix 12: Interventions for mania – study characteristics

Appendix 13: Interventions for mania – forest plots

Appendix 14: Nutritional and physical interventions for mania – GRADE profiles

Appendix 15: Interventions for acute depression – network meta-analysis plots and WinBugs code

Appendix 16: Interventions for acute depression – study characteristics

Appendix 17: Interventions for acute depression – risk of bias table

Appendix 18: Nutritional and physical interventions for acute depression – GRADE profiles

Appendix 19: Interventions for long-term management – study characteristics

- Appendix 20: Interventions for long-term management - risk of bias table**
- Appendix 21: Interventions for long-term management - forest plots**
- Appendix 22: Interventions for long-term management - GRADE profiles**
- Appendix 23: Psychological and psychosocial interventions - study characteristics**
- Appendix 24: Psychological and psychosocial interventions - risk of bias table**
- Appendix 25: Psychological and psychosocial interventions - forest plots**
- Appendix 26: Psychological and psychosocial interventions - GRADE profiles**
- Appendix 27: Interventions for children and young people - study characteristics**
- Appendix 28: Interventions for children and young people - risk of bias table**
- Appendix 29: Interventions for children and young people - forest plots**
- Appendix 30: Interventions for children and young people - GRADE profiles**
- Appendix 31: Health economics - methodology checklists for economic evaluations**
- Appendix 32: Health economics - evidence tables**
- Appendix 33: Health economics - economic evidence profiles**
- Appendix 34: Excluded studies**
- Appendix 35: Data extraction table**
- Appendix 36: Pharmacological interventions for mania - sensitivity analysis**

Abbreviations

BME	black and minority ethnic
BNF	British National Formulary
CBT	cognitive behavioural therapy
CDSR	Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews
CENTRAL	Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials
CINAHL	Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature
DARE	Cochrane Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects
DSM	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders of the American Psychiatric Association
EED	Economic Evaluation Database
Embase	Excerpta Medica Database
GDG	Guideline Development Group
HMIC	Health Management Information Consortium
HE	health economics
HTA	Health Technology Assessment
ICD	International Classification of Diseases
MEDLINE	Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online
NHS	National Health Service
NICE	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
QoL	quality of life
RCT	randomised controlled trial
NCCMH	National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health
PreMEDLINE	In process bibliographic citations for MEDLINE
PsycINFO	Psychological Information Database
RQ	review question
SR	systematic review

APPENDIX 1: SCOPE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CLINICAL GUIDELINE

1 GUIDELINE TITLE

Bipolar disorder: the assessment and management of bipolar disorder in adults, children and young people in primary and secondary care

1.1 Short title

Bipolar disorder (update)

2 THE REMIT

This is an update of *Bipolar Disorder* (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence [NICE] clinical guideline 38). This update is being undertaken as part of the guideline review cycle.

3 CLINICAL NEED FOR THE GUIDELINE

3.1 Epidemiology

- a) Bipolar disorder is an episodic, potentially life-long, disabling disorder. Diagnostic features include periods of mania and depression, or hypomania and depression. Bipolar disorder is characterised by periods of abnormally elevated mood or irritability, which may alternate with periods of depressed mood. These episodes are distressing and often interfere with occupational or educational functioning, social activities and relationships.
- b) The lifetime prevalence of bipolar I disorder (depression and mania) is estimated at 1% of the adult population, with a range between 0.4% and 1.6%. Bipolar II disorder (depression and hypomania) affects approximately 0.75% of the adult population with a range between 0.4 and 1.1%. Bipolar II disorder is more common in women, bipolar I disorder appears to be evenly distributed between men and women. The median age of onset is 19 years for both men and women, although the disorder may first appear through to the mid-forties. The peak age at which symptoms first appear is 15–19 years, followed closely by 20–24 years. However, there is often a substantial delay between the onset of the disorder and first contact with treatment services.
- c) Bipolar disorder in children and young people can be difficult to diagnose because of the nature of its presentation and complex comorbidities, for example, with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. As a consequence, epidemiological data are very limited. A nationally representative US survey from 2010 found the combined prevalence of bipolar I and II disorder in 13- to 18-year-olds to be 2.9%. Onset of bipolar disorder after the age of 60 years is

more likely to be associated with identifiable general medical conditions, including stroke or other central nervous system disorders.

- d) The aetiology of the disorder is uncertain but genetic and biological factors are important. The impact of environmental factors is also uncertain but there is growing evidence that environmental and lifestyle features can have an impact on severity and course of illness.

3.2 Current practice

- a) Bipolar disorder is often comorbid with a range of other mental disorders (for example, substance misuse, personality disorders and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder) and this has significant implications for both the course of the disorder and its treatment.
- b) People with bipolar disorder are currently treated in a range of National Health Service (NHS) settings, including primary-care services, general mental health services and specialist secondary-care mental health services. While most people with bipolar disorder are treated or maintained in the community, during severe depressive and manic episodes hospital admission is sometimes needed.
- c) There have been recent proposals to extend the diagnostic group of bipolar disorder.
- d) Recognition of hypomania, in particular, remains poor in parts of the NHS.
- e) Since the publication of NICE clinical guideline 38, some important steps in the treatment pathway and the treatment approaches most likely to lead to benefit have been published.
- f) Bipolar disorder is associated with very high levels of need for mental health and physical health services, personal social and occupational impairment and a high risk of suicide.

4 THE GUIDELINE

The guideline development process is described in detail on the NICE website (see section 6, 'Further information').

This scope defines what the guideline will (and will not) examine, and what the guideline developers will consider.

The areas that will be addressed by the guideline are described in the following sections.

4.1 Population

4.1.1 Groups that will be covered

- a) Children, young people and adults, including older adults, with bipolar I, bipolar II, mixed affective and rapid cycling bipolar disorder.

4.1.2 Groups that will not be covered

- a) The guideline will not make recommendations about other mental health conditions (such as substance misuse and alcohol-use disorders) that commonly coexist with bipolar disorder; it will nevertheless, refer to other guidelines where relevant, and highlight any necessary modifications to the treatment of either bipolar disorder or the coexisting condition where the coexisting condition is already the subject of an existing NICE guideline
- b) Non-bipolar affective conditions will not be covered because these are covered in other guidelines.

4.2 Healthcare setting

- a) The guideline will cover the care and shared care provided in primary and secondary health care services, and that provided by healthcare professionals and others working in healthcare settings.
- b) The guideline will also be relevant to the work of, but will not provide specific recommendations to, non-NHS services, including social services, voluntary and educational sectors. The guideline will consider the interface between healthcare services and these services.

4.3 Clinical management

4.3.1 Key clinical issues that will be covered

- a) Instruments and procedures for the recognition and assessment of bipolar disorder.
- b) Psychological and psychosocial interventions for the acute and
- c) long-term management of mania, hypomania or bipolar depression (including psychotherapies, exercise, self-help and supported self-help, psychoeducation, self-management, early warning signs, family therapy, peer support, befriending and support groups).

- d) Information and communication technologies for monitoring and managing mania, hypomania or bipolar depression (for example, online monitoring or text messaging).
- e) Service-level interventions specifically for bipolar disorder (for example, mood clinics, lithium clinics and collaborative care) that are not covered in 'Psychosis and schizophrenia in adults' or in 'Psychosis and schizophrenia in children and young people' NICE clinical guidelines in development (publication dates to be confirmed).
- f) Nutritional supplements (for example, fish oil, folic acid, zinc, coenzyme Q10) for mania, hypomania or bipolar depression.
- g) Pharmacological interventions for the treatment of depression, mania and hypomania in bipolar disorder.
- h) Pharmacological interventions for the long-term management of bipolar disorder.
- i) Combined pharmacological, psychological and psychosocial interventions.
- j) Physical treatments (including transcranial magnetic stimulation and vagus nerve stimulation) as these apply to bipolar disorder.
- k) Modifications needed to manage bipolar disorder in people of different ages (for example, children and young people, older adults), gender, race (for example, African-Caribbean or South Asian groups).
- l) Monitoring of side effects and physical health.
- m) Pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions for managing weight gain and promoting health for people with bipolar disorder.

4.3.2 Clinical issues that will not be covered

- a) Service-level interventions for people with psychosis or schizophrenia that also apply to people with bipolar disorder (except those noted in section 4.3.1) because these will be addressed in the NICE clinical guidelines 'Psychosis and schizophrenia in adults' and 'Psychosis and schizophrenia in children and young people' which are currently in development.
- b) Pharmacological interventions for the management of side effects of treatment for bipolar disorder, except weight gain.

4.4 Main outcomes

- a) Symptoms, frequency, and time to event for:
 - mania
 - hypomania
 - depression
 - mixed episodes
- b) Side effects of interventions
- c) Physical health
- d) Quality of life
- e) Functional disability (including work, educational, family, and social domains)
- f) Carer outcomes
- g) Service use
- h) Dropout (including all-cause and dropout because of side effects)

4.5 Economic aspects

Developers will take into account both clinical and cost effectiveness when making recommendations involving a choice between alternative interventions. A review of the economic evidence will be conducted and analyses will be carried out as appropriate. The preferred unit of effectiveness is the quality-adjusted life year (QALY), and the costs considered will usually be only from an NHS and personal social services (PSS) perspective. Further detail on the methods can be found in 'The guidelines manual' (see 'Further information').

4.6 Status

4.6.1 Scope

This is the final scope.

4.6.2 Timing

The development of the guideline recommendations will begin in October 2012.

5 RELATED NICE GUIDANCE

5.1 *Published guidance*

5.1.1 NICE guidance to be updated

- This guideline will update and replace the following NICE guidance: [Bipolar disorder](#). NICE clinical guideline 38 (2006).

5.1.2 Other related NICE guidance

- [Schizophrenia](#). NICE clinical guideline 82 (2009).
- [Psychosis with coexisting substance misuse](#). NICE clinical guideline 120 (2011).
- [Service user experience in adult mental health](#). NICE clinical guideline 136 (2011).

5.2 *Guidance under development*

NICE is currently developing the following related guidance (details available from the NICE website):

- Psychosis and schizophrenia in children and young people. NICE clinical guideline. Publication expected January 2013.
- Psychosis and schizophrenia in adults. NICE clinical guideline. Publication expected March 2014.
- [Aripiprazole for the treatment and prevention of acute manic and mixed episodes in bipolar disorder in children and adolescents](#). NICE technology appraisal guidance. Publication expected August 2013.

6 FURTHER INFORMATION

Information on the guideline development process is provided in the following documents, available from the NICE website:

- [‘How NICE clinical guidelines are developed: an overview for stakeholders the public and the NHS’](#)
- [‘The guidelines manual’](#).

Information on the progress of the guideline will also be available from the [NICE website](#).

APPENDIX 2: DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS BY GUIDELINE DEVELOPMENT GROUP MEMBERS

With a range of practical experience relevant to bipolar disorder in the GDG, members were appointed because of their understanding and expertise in healthcare for people with bipolar disorder and support for their families/carers, including: scientific issues; health research; the delivery and receipt of healthcare, along with the work of the healthcare industry; and the role of professional organisations and organisations for people with bipolar disorder and their families/carers.

To minimise and manage any potential conflicts of interest, and to avoid any public concern that commercial or other financial interests have affected the work of the GDG and influenced guidance, members of the GDG must declare as a matter of public record any interests held by themselves or their families which fall under specified categories (see below). These categories include any relationships they have with the healthcare industries, professional organisations and organisations for people with bipolar disorder and their families/carers.

Individuals invited to join the GDG were asked to declare their interests before being appointed. To allow the management of any potential conflicts of interest that might arise during the development of the guideline, GDG members were also asked to declare their interests at each GDG meeting throughout the guideline development process. The interests of all the members of the GDG are listed below, including interests declared prior to appointment and during the guideline development process.

Categories of interest to be written in third person

Paid employment

Personal pecuniary interest: financial payments or other benefits from either the manufacturer or the owner of the product or service under consideration in this guideline, or the industry or sector from which the product or service comes. This includes holding a directorship or other paid position; carrying out consultancy or fee paid work; having shareholdings or other beneficial interests; receiving expenses and hospitality over and above what would be reasonably expected to attend meetings and conferences.

Personal family interest: financial payments or other benefits from the healthcare industry that were received by a member of your family.

Non-personal pecuniary interest: financial payments or other benefits received by the GDG member's organisation or department, but where the GDG member has not personally received payment, including fellowships and other support provided by the healthcare industry. This includes a grant or fellowship or other payment to sponsor a post, or contribute to the running costs of the department; commissioning of research or other work; contracts with, or grants from, NICE.

Personal non-pecuniary interest: these include, but are not limited to, clear opinions or public statements you have made about individuals with bipolar disorder, holding office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in bipolar disorder, other reputational risks relevant to bipolar disorder.

GDG - declarations of interest	
Richard Morriss	
Employment	Professor of Psychiatry and Community Health, University of Nottingham Honorary Consultant Psychiatrist, Nottingham Healthcare Trust
Personal pecuniary interest	Received £1000 from Lundbeck to speak on clinical pathways for bipolar I disorder: implications for commissioning and service delivery at the Changing Faces meeting in London, 31 January 2012. This talk does not review any medication but instead talks about the need for clinical pathways, tariffs and the 2006 NICE bipolar disorder guideline Mental health theme lead and Director of Research for Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care Nottinghamshire Derbyshire and Lincolnshire until 31st December 2013
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Non-personal non-pecuniary interest	Mental health theme lead and Director of Research for the newly funded Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care for the East Midlands from 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2018. The total award is £10 million with £18.4 million matched funding. The Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care is committed to a programme of research that includes sever projects on depression but not any on bipolar disorder at this present time
Action taken	None
Richard Byng	
Employment	General Practitioner, Plymouth Clinical Senior Lecturer, Peninsula Medical School, University of Exeter & Plymouth
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Non-personal non-pecuniary interest	Deputy Director for the existing Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care for the Peninsula which has regained renewed funding from 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2018. The total award for new funding is £10 million. The Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care is committed to a programme of research that includes person-centred care and depression but no focus

Declarations of interests by Guideline Development Group members

	on bipolar disorder at this present time
Action taken	None
Andrea Cipriani	
Employment	Senior Clinical Researcher, Department of Psychiatry, University of Oxford Honorary Consultant Psychiatrist, Oxford Health NHS Foundation Trust
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Action taken	None
Anthony James	
Employment	Consultant Child and Adolescent Psychiatrist, Highfield Unit; Honorary Senior Lecturer, University of Oxford
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Action taken	None
Carnice John	
Employment	Representing Service User and Carer views
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Action taken	None
Steven Jones	
Employment	Professor of Clinical Psychology and Director, Spectrum Centre for Mental Health Research, Lancaster University
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Action taken	None
Tim McDougall	
Employment	Nurse Consultant/Clinical Director, Cheshire and Wirral Partnership NHS Foundation Trust
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Action taken	None
Thomas Meyer	
Employment	Senior Lecturer in Clinical Psychology, Institute of Neuroscience, Newcastle University
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	Principal investigator of the only publicly funded German randomised controlled trial (RCT) so far evaluating the efficacy of cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) for bipolar disorders [funding: Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

Declarations of interests by Guideline Development Group members

	(DFG ME 1681/6-1 to 6.3)]. The publication is in press: Meyer TD, Hautzinger M. (2012). Cognitive behavior therapy and supportive therapy for bipolar disorder. Relapse rates for treatment period and 2 year follow-up? <i>Psychological Medicine</i>
	Co-investigator in a new study: 'A feasibility study of a randomised controlled trial of a family focused treatment (FFT - a UK version) in the management of early onset bipolar disorder'
Action taken	None
Carol Paton	
Employment	Chief Pharmacist, Oxleas NHS Foundation Trust
Personal pecuniary interest	Attended a one-off advisory board for Sunovion to discuss data related to the use of lurasidone for schizophrenia. Did not participate in any discussions relating to the place of lurasidone in treatment with respect to the NICE bipolar guideline
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Action taken	None
Matthias Schwannauer	
Employment	Professor of Clinical Psychology, University of Edinburgh
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Action taken	None
Donna Swinden	
Employment	Modern Matron, Acute Adult Inpatients, Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	Completed an MSc dissertation in 2009 entitled 'Engaging bipolar patients with cognitive behavioural therapy' and has presented work at several conferences
Action taken	None
Robert Westhead	
Employment	Representing service user and carer views
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Action taken	Trustee for Bipolar UK
Action Taken	None
Faye Wilson	
Employment	Approved Mental Health Social Worker / Chair, British Association Of Social Workers Mental Health Group
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	Chair of Bases Mental Health Forum who are involved in campaigning on the closure of inpatient beds and

Declarations of interests by Guideline Development Group members

	problems on access to care
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Action taken	None
National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health (NCCMH)	
Tim Kendall	
Employment	Director, NCCMH Medical Director, Sheffield Health and Social Care Trust Consultant Adult Psychiatrist
Personal pecuniary interest	Grant holder for £1.44 million per year (approximately) from NICE for guidelines work. Work with NICE International Undertake some research into mental health, and the mental health workforce for Department of Health, Royal College of Psychiatrists and the academy of medical royal colleges
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	Co-applicant for a £1.7-2 million National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment (HTA) grant for a multicentre, randomised controlled trial of a diabetes intervention to induce weight loss (DESMOND) for people who are overweight and have a severe mental illness, including people with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder.
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Action taken	None
Ruth Braidwood	
Employment	Research Assistant, NCCMH
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Action Taken	None
Katherine Leggett	
Employment	Senior Project Manager
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Action Taken	None
Elena Marcus	
Employment	Research Assistant, NCCMH
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Action Taken	None
Ifigeneia Mavranouzouli	
Employment	Senior Health Economist, NCCMH
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Action Taken	None

Declarations of interests by Guideline Development Group members

Evan Mayo-Wilson	
Employment	Senior Systematic Reviewer, NCCMH
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Action Taken	None
Sarah Stockton	
Employment	Senior Information Scientist, NCCMH
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Action Taken	None
Clare Taylor	
Employment	Senior Editor, NCCMH
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None
Action Taken	None
Craig Whittington	
Employment	Associate Director (Clinical Effectiveness), NCCMH
Personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal family interest	None
Non-personal pecuniary interest	None
Personal non-pecuniary interest	None

APPENDIX 3: SPECIAL ADVISORS TO THE GUIDELINE DEVELOPMENT GROUP

John Geddes	Head of Department Professor of Epidemiological Psychiatry University of Oxford
	Associate Medical Director (Research & Development), Honorary Consultant Psychiatrist, Oxford Health NHS Foundation Trust
Kate Hughes	Occupational Therapist / Advanced Vocational Specialist
Peter Pratt	Chief Pharmacist, Sheffield Health and Social Care NHS Foundation Trust

APPENDIX 4: STAKEHOLDERS WHO RESPONDED TO EARLY REQUESTS FOR EVIDENCE

AstraZeneca UK Ltd
Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals Ltd
Cheshire & Wirral Partnership NHS Trust
GlaxoSmithKline
Lancashire Care NHS Foundation Trust
Lundbeck UK
Mindfulness Centre of Excellence

APPENDIX 5: STAKEHOLDERS AND EXPERTS WHO SUBMITTED COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO THE CONSULTATION DRAFT OF THE GUIDELINE

Stakeholders

British Association for Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapies
Bipolar UK
British Association for Psychopharmacology
Cheshire & Wirral Partnership NHS Trust
College of Mental Health Pharmacy
College of Occupational Therapists
Department of Health
Global Organization for EPA and DHA Omega-3s (GOED)
Greater Manchester West Mental Health NHS Foundation Trust
International Society for Psychological and Social Approaches to Psychosis (UK branch)
Lancashire Care NHS Foundation Trust
Lonsdale Medical Centre
Lundbeck UK Otsuka Pharmaceuticals UK
Mersey Care NHS Trust
NHS Choices (Digital Assessment Service)
NHS England
Rethink Mental Illness
Royal College of Nursing
Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health
Royal College of Psychiatrists
South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust's CAMHS department.

Experts

Warren Mansell
Nicol Ferrier
Sheri Johnson

APPENDIX 6: RESEARCHERS CONTACTED TO REQUEST INFORMATION ABOUT UNPUBLISHED OR SOON-TO-BE PUBLISHED STUDIES

Abbott Laboratories	Ellen B Dennehy
Mohammad Alsuwaidan	Desitin
Lori L Altshuler	Selma Doğan
Jay Amsterdam	Robert T Dunn
Amit Anand	David L Dunner
AstraZeneca	Marielle Eerdeken
Won-Myong Bahk	Eisai
Jillian R Ball	Fatma Eker
Caryl Barnes	Élan
Mark S Bauer	Eli Lilly
Beacon Pharmaceuticals	Rif S El-Mallakh
Ashkan Heshmatzade Behzadi	Jane L Elmslie
Robert H Belmaker	Anne E Evins
Joris Berwaerts	Robert L Findling
Zubin Bhagwagar	Forest Laboratories
Bial	Sophia Frangou
Alberto Bochetta	Ellen Frank
Mohammad RF Bordbar	Mark A Frye
Charles Bowden	Jens Gaab
Brian P Brennan	Igor I Galynker
Bristol-Myers Squibb	Keming Gao
Eileen Brown	Gedeon Richter
Ashley Bush	Alan J Gelenberg
Joseph Calabrese	Barbara Geller
Cameo Healthcare	Fateneh Ghadirian
James Chamberlain	Nassir S Ghaemi
Chanelle Medical	Claire Gindre
Kadiamada NR Chengappa	Glaxo Smith-Kline
John F Clarkin	Ira D Glick
Philippe Conus	Global Pharmaceuticals
Rafael Thomaz da Costa	Joseph F Goldberg
Mark Crowther	Bernardo Carramão Gomes
Colleen Cummings	David J Goodrich
Deborah Dauphinais	Barbara L Gracious
Lori Davis	Waldemar Greil
Karina de Barros Pellengrinelli	Fred Grossman
Melissa P Delbello	Magali Haas
Kirk D Denicoff	Erwin Hartong

Paria Hebrani
Jonathan Himmelhoch
Intas Pharmaceuticals
Janssen
Johnson & Johnson
Steven Jones
Mario F Juruena
Vivian Kafantaris
Paul E Keck
David E Kemp
Lars V Kessing
Terrence Ketter
Amy Kilbourne
Nikolaus Kleindienst
Robert A Kowatch
Frank A Kozel
Jayashri Kulkarni
David J Kupfer
Stuart F Kushner
Guillermo Lahera
Dominic H Lam
Sue D Lauder
Bernard Lerer
Rasmus W Licht
Daniel Z Lieberman
Fiona Lobban
Ru-Band Lu
Dave A Luckenbaugh
Lundbeck
Wayne Macfadden
Ronald Marcus
John Matthews
Susan Mcelroy
Susan L McIntyre
Elrige Mellerup
Merck & Co
Mercury Pharma
Thomas Meyer
David J Miklowitz
Ivan Miller
Ricardo Alberto Moreno
David J Muzina
Mylan Pharmaceuticals
Charles B Nemeroff
Andrew A Nierenberg
NIMH
Norgine
Novartis Pharmaceuticals
Maria A Oquendo
Otsuka
Randall Owen
Atul C Pande
Sagar V Parikh
Gordon Parker
Sanjeev Pathak
Mani Pavuluri
Deborah A Perlick
Pfizer
Piramal Healthcare
Per Plenge
Robert M Post
Samir K Praharaj
Judith Proudfoot
Arnim Quante
Jorge Quiroz
Luiz A Rohde
Rosemont
Asia J Ruchlewska
Gary S Sachs
Martha Sajatovic
Samarth
Sanofi-Aventis
Aybala Saricicek
Ayal Schaffer
Joy M Schmitz
Jan Scott
Emmanuel Severus
Shire
Justine Shults
Trevor Silverstone
Gregory E Simon
Joyce Small
Daniel Smith
Jair C Soares
David Solomon
Thomas Stamm
Sumitomo
Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd
Suresh Sundram
Sunovion Pharmaceuticals
Trisha Suppes
Alan Swann

Holly Swartz
Taj Pharmaceuticals
Tania Perich
Teva Pharmaceuticals
Micheal E Thase
Martha Thompson
Nicholas Todd
Mauricio Tohen
Torrent Pharmaceuticals
UCB Pharma
Marc LM van der Loos
Trijntje YG van der Voort
Sheri Van Dijk
Eduard Vieta
Karen D Wagner
Watson Pharma Inc
Richard H Weisler
Roger D Weiss
J Mark G Williams
Wockhardt
Christian Wolf
Joseph C Wu
Fu De Yang
Lakshmi N Yatham
Sujung J Yoon
Allan Young
L Trevor Young
Robert C Young
Carlos A Zarate
Ari Zaretsky
Christian Zeni
Zhang-Jin Zhang

APPENDIX 7: REVIEW PROTOCOLS AND QUESTIONS

Reviews relating to the experience of carers and the physical health of people with serious mental illness were undertaken in conjunction with a NICE guideline being developed at the same time, *Psychosis and Schizophrenia in Adults* (2014), which includes the full methods and results of those reviews, including the review protocols.

1. Case identification and assessment

Topic	Interventions
Review question(s)	<p>RQ1.1: For adults at risk of or suspected as having bipolar disorder, what identification instruments when compared with a gold standard diagnosis (based on DSM¹ or ICD² criteria) have adequate clinical utility (that is, clinically useful with good sensitivity and specificity) and reliability?</p> <p>RQ1.2: For children (less than 13 years) and young people (13 to 18 years) at risk of or suspected of having bipolar disorder, what identification instruments when compared with a gold standard diagnosis (based on DSM or ICD criteria) have adequate clinical utility (that is, clinically useful with good sensitivity and specificity) and reliability?</p> <p>RQ1.3: For people with possible bipolar disorder, what are the key components of, and the most effective structure for, diagnostic assessment?</p> <p>What amendments, if any, need to be made for (i) particular cultural or minority ethnic groups, (ii) gender, (iii) children and young people, (iv) older adults?</p>
Objectives	<p>For RQ1.1 and RQ1.2: To identify brief screening instruments to assess need for further assessment of people with suspected bipolar disorder and to assess their diagnostic accuracy.</p> <p>For RQ1.3: To identify the key components of a comprehensive assessment.</p>
Criteria for considering studies for the review	
• Intervention	For case identification (RQ1.1 and RQ1.2): Brief screening questionnaires (< 15 items) identified by the GDG.
• Comparator	Gold standard: DSM or ICD diagnosis of bipolar disorder.
• Types of participants	Children and young people (aged 18 years and younger) and adults with suspected bipolar disorder.
• Outcomes	Sensitivity (percentage of true cases identified). Specificity (percentage of non-cases excluded).

¹ Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders.

² International Classification of Diseases.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Study design 	Studies had to include participants with and without bipolar disorder completing a case-identification instrument and a diagnostic interview.
Search strategy	<p>Databases searched: Excerpta Medica Database (Embase), MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, Psychological Information Database (PsycINFO).</p> <p>Date limits: Database inception to 20 January 2014.</p>
Study design filter/limit used	None; no language restriction
Question specific search strategy	Yes
Amendments to search strategy/study design filter	None
Searching other resources	
The review strategy	To conduct pooled test accuracy meta-analyses on the sensitivity and specificity of case identification instruments where possible.
<i>Note.</i>	

2. Pharmacological and medical interventions for acute episodes

2.1. Pharmacological and nutritional interventions for mania, hypomania, and mixed episodes for adults with bipolar disorder

Topic	Interventions
Review question(s)	RQ2.1: For adults with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of pharmacological and nutritional interventions for mania, hypomania and mixed episodes? What amendments, if any, need to be made for (i) particular cultural or minority ethnic groups, (ii) gender, (iii) adults (18 to 64) and older adults (65+)?
Objectives	To estimate the efficacy of interventions to treat mania, hypomania and mixed episodes.
Criteria for considering studies for the review	
• Intervention	All licensed oral medications (and their combinations). Nutritional interventions will be analysed separately.
• Comparator	Placebo. Other interventions.
• Types of participants	Adults (18+) with bipolar disorder who are experiencing an acute episode. Special consideration will be given to the groups above.
• Outcomes	1) Response (50% reduction in symptoms). 2) Discontinuation (due to side effects, other).
• Time	The main analysis will include outcomes at the end of the acute treatment phase.
• Study design	Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and cluster RCTs with a parallel group design in which providers and participants were blind to treatment. Quasi-RCTs, such as trials in which allocation is determined by alternation or date of birth, and single-blind studies will be excluded.
• Dosage	Fixed or flexible doses within the therapeutic range (British National Formulary [BNF] recommended).
• Study setting	Primary, secondary, tertiary, health and social care.
Search strategy	Databases searched: RCT: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), Embase, Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online (MEDLINE), in process bibliographic citations for MEDLINE (PreMEDLINE), PsycINFO. Systematic review (SR): Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR), CINAHL, Cochrane Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE), Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. Date limits: RCT: 2005 to 20 January 2014; SR: 2005 to 11 November 2012.
Study design filter/limit used	RCT; SR. Language restrictions: none.
Question specific search strategy	No

Amendments to search strategy/study design filter	None
Searching other resources	The NCCMH review team will write to all stakeholders, authors of all included studies, and manufacturers of all licensed drugs to request unpublished studies.
The review strategy	<p>The GDG will search for systematic reviews that compare all eligible trials using an appropriate statistical method.</p> <p>If reviews are found, the GDG will assess their quality, completeness and applicability to the NHS. If the GDG identify a systematic review appropriate to the review question, they will search for RCTs conducted or published since the review was conducted, and will assess if any additional trials could affect the conclusions of the previous review. If new trials could change the conclusions, the GDG will update the review and conduct a new analysis. If new trials could not change the conclusions of an existing review, the GDG will use the existing review to inform their recommendations.</p> <p>If no reviews are found, the GDG plans to compare all eligible interventions using pairwise meta-analyses and, if appropriate, conduct a network meta-analysis comparing response and discontinuation at the end of the acute treatment. The GDG will conduct pairwise analyses using random effects models of interventions that are not connected to the main network, including studies with no connected intervention or control group and studies of specific subpopulations (for example, people with comorbid substance abuse). For each study, the following will be extracted: year of study; country; total number of study participants in each included group; inclusion and exclusion criteria; age (mean); gender (percentage female); race (percentage black and minority ethnic [BME]); diagnosis (percentage bipolar I disorder); risk of bias. For each intervention or comparison group of interest, dose, frequency and duration will also be extracted.</p>
<i>Note.</i>	

2.2. Pharmacological and nutritional interventions for episodes of acute bipolar depression in adults

Topic	Interventions
Review question(s)	RQ2.2: For adults with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of pharmacological and nutritional interventions for acute episodes of acute bipolar depression? What amendments, if any, need to be made for (i) particular cultural or minority ethnic groups, (ii) gender, (iii) adults (18 to 64) and older adults (65+)?
Objectives	To estimate the efficacy of interventions to treat acute episodes of bipolar depression.
Criteria for considering studies for the review	
• Intervention	All licensed oral medications (and their combinations). Nutritional interventions will be analysed separately.
• Comparator	Placebo Other interventions
• Types of participants	Adults (18+) with bipolar disorder who are experiencing an acute episodes of bipolar depression. Special consideration will be given to the groups above.
• Outcomes	1) Response (50% reduction in symptoms). 2) Discontinuation (due to side effects, other).
• Time	The main analysis will include outcomes at the end of the acute treatment phase.
• Study design	Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and cluster RCTs with a parallel group design in which providers and participants were blind to treatment. Quasi-RCTs, such as trials in which allocation is determined by alternation or date of birth, and single-blind studies, will be excluded.
• Dosage	Fixed or flexible doses within the therapeutic range (BNF recommended).
• Minimum sample size	To be included in a network meta-analysis, drugs must have been evaluated in at least 20 participants.
• Study setting	Primary, secondary, tertiary, health and social care.
Search strategy	Databases searched: RCT: CENTRAL, CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. SR: CDSR, CINAHL, DARE, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. Date limits: RCT: 2005 to 20 January 2014; SR: 2005 to 11 November 2012.
Study design filter/limit used	RCT; SR. Language restrictions: none.
Question specific search strategy	No
Amendments to search strategy/study design filter	None

<p>Searching other resources</p>	<p>The NCCMH review team will write to all stakeholders, authors of all included studies, and manufacturers of all licensed drugs to request unpublished studies.</p>
<p>The review strategy</p>	<p>The GDG will search for systematic reviews that compare all eligible trials using an appropriate statistical method.</p> <p>If reviews are found, the GDG will assess their quality, completeness and applicability to the NHS. If they identify a systematic review appropriate to the review question, they will search for RCTs conducted or published since the review was conducted, and the GDG will assess if any additional trials could affect the conclusions of the previous review. If new trials could change the conclusions, the GDG will update the review and conduct a new analysis. If new trials could not change the conclusions of an existing review, the GDG will use the existing review to inform their recommendations.</p> <p>If no reviews are found, the GDG plans to compare all eligible interventions using pairwise meta-analyses and, if appropriate, conduct a network meta-analysis comparing response, symptoms of depression and discontinuation at the end of the acute treatment. The GDG will conduct pairwise analyses using random effects models of interventions that are not connected to the main network, including studies with no connected intervention or control group and studies of specific subpopulations (for example, people with comorbid substance abuse). For each study, the following will be extracted: year of study; country; total number of study participants in each included group; inclusion and exclusion criteria; age (mean); gender (percentage female); race (percentage BME); diagnosis (percentage bipolar I disorder); and risk of bias. For each intervention or comparison group of interest, dose, frequency and duration will also be extracted.</p>
<p><i>Note.</i></p>	

2.3. Non-pharmacological interventions for adults with bipolar disorder

Topic	Interventions
Review question(s)	<p>RQ2.3: For adults with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of acupuncture, bright light therapy, transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), and vagus nerve stimulation for mania, hypomania, and mixed episodes?</p> <p>RQ2.4: For adults with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of acupuncture, bright light therapy, transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), and vagus nerve stimulation for depressive episodes?</p> <p>What amendments, if any, need to be made for (i) particular cultural or minority ethnic groups, (ii) gender, (iii) adults (18 to 64) and older adults (65+)?</p>
Objectives	To estimate the efficacy of physical interventions for adults with bipolar disorder.
Criteria for considering studies for the review	
• Intervention	Non-pharmacological medical interventions
• Comparator	A credible no-intervention control (for example, sham intervention).
• Types of participants	Adults (18+) with bipolar disorder who are experiencing an acute episode. Special consideration will be given to the groups above.
• Outcomes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Change in symptoms (of mania or depression) 2) Response (50% reduction or greater) 3) Discontinuation
• Time	The main analysis will include outcomes at the end of the acute treatment phase.
• Study design	Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and cluster RCTs with a parallel group design. Quasi-RCTs, such as trials in which allocation is determined by alternation or date of birth, will be excluded.
• Study setting	Primary, secondary, tertiary, health and social care.
• Comparator	A credible no-intervention control (for example, sham intervention).
• Types of participants	Adults (18+) with bipolar disorder who are experiencing an acute episode. Special consideration will be given to the groups above.
• Outcomes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4) Change in symptoms (of mania or depression). 5) Response (50% reduction or greater). 6) Discontinuation.
• Time	The main analysis will include outcomes at the end of the acute treatment phase.
• Study design	Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and cluster RCTs with a parallel group design. We will exclude quasi-RCTs, such as trials in which allocation is determined by alternation or date of birth.

• Study setting	Primary, secondary, tertiary, health and social care.
Search strategy	<p>Databases searched: RCT: CENTRAL, CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. SR: CDSR, CINAHL, DARE, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO.</p> <p>Date limits: RCT: 2005 to 20 January 2014; SR: 2005 to 11 November 2012.</p>
Study design filter/limit used	RCT; SR. Language restrictions: none.
Question specific search strategy	No
Amendments to search strategy/study design filter	None
Searching other resources	The NCCMH review team will write to all stakeholders and authors of all included studies to request unpublished studies.
The review strategy	The GDG will conduct pairwise analyses for all comparisons and outcomes using random effects models. For each study, the GDG will also extract: year of study; country; total number of study participants in each included group; inclusion and exclusion criteria; age (mean); gender (percentage female); race (percentage BME); diagnosis (percentage bipolar I); risk of bias. For each intervention or comparison group of interest, dose, frequency and duration will also be extracted.
<i>Note.</i>	

3. Long-term management of bipolar disorder

3.1. Service-level intervention for bipolar disorder

Topic	Interventions
Review question(s)	RQ3.1: For adults with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of service-level interventions that are designed specifically for people bipolar disorder? What amendments, if any, need to be made for (i) particular cultural or minority ethnic groups, (ii) gender, and (iii) adults (18 to 64) and older adults (65+)?
Objectives	To estimate the efficacy of services in treating bipolar disorder.
Criteria for considering studies for the review	
• Intervention	Lithium clinics Mood clinics Collaborative care
• Comparator	Treatment-as-usual Other services
• Types of participants	Adults (18+) with suspected bipolar disorder. Special consideration will be given to the groups above.
• Outcomes	1) Relapse (all, mania/mixed, depression) 2) Hospitalisation (rate, duration) 3) Quality of life 4) Mortality
• Time	At least 1 year after initiating treatment.
• Study design	Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and cluster RCTs with a parallel group design. We will exclude quasi-RCTs, such as trials in which allocation is determined by alternation or date of birth.
Search strategy	Databases searched: RCT: CENTRAL, CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. SR: CDSR, CINAHL, DARE, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO Date limits: RCT: 2005 to 20 January 2014; SR: 2005 to 11 November 2012.
Study design filter/limit used	RCT; SR. Language restrictions: none.
Question specific search strategy	No
Amendments to search strategy/study design filter	None
Searching other resources	The NCCMH review team will write to all stakeholders and authors of all included studies to request unpublished studies.
The review strategy	We will conduct pairwise analyses for all comparisons and outcomes using random effects models. For each study, the GDG will also extract: year of study; country; total number of study participants in each included group; inclusion and exclusion criteria; age (mean); gender

Review protocols and questions

	(percentage female); race (percentage BME); diagnosis (percentage bipolar I); number of previous episodes; risk of bias.
<i>Note.</i>	

3.2. Communication technologies for monitoring the symptoms of bipolar disorder

Topic	Interventions
Review question(s)	RQ3.3: What are the relative benefits and harms of information and communication technologies (for example, text messaging) for monitoring symptoms? What amendments, if any, need to be made for (i) particular cultural or minority ethnic groups, (ii) gender, and (iii) adults (18 to 64) and older adults (65+)?
Objectives	To estimate the efficacy of communication technologies for monitoring symptoms.
Criteria for considering studies for the review	
• Intervention	Internet and computer programmes, automated telephone systems, and text messaging.
• Comparator	Waitlist, no-intervention and other interventions.
• Types of participants	People with bipolar disorder. Special consideration will be given to the groups above.
• Outcomes	1) Relapse (all, mania/mixed, depression). 2) Hospitalisation (rate, duration). 3) Mortality (all-cause, suicide attempts, suicides completed).
• Time	Outcomes will be grouped by time point.
• Study design	Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and cluster RCTs with a parallel group design. We will exclude quasi-RCTs, such as trials in which allocation is determined by alternation or date of birth.
• Study setting	Primary, secondary, tertiary, health and social care.
Search strategy	Databases searched: RCT: CENTRAL, CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. SR: CDSR, CINAHL, DARE, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. Date limits: RCT: 2005 to 20 January 2014. SR: 2005 to 11 November 2012.
Study design filter/limit used	RCT; SR. Language restrictions: none.
Question specific search strategy	No
Amendments to search strategy/study design filter	None
Searching other resources	The NCCMH review team will write to all stakeholders and authors of all included studies to request unpublished studies.
The review strategy	The GDG will conduct pairwise analyses for all comparisons and outcomes using random effects models. For each study, the GDG will also extract: year of study; country; total number of study participants in each included group; inclusion and exclusion criteria; age (mean); gender (percentage female); race (percentage BME); diagnosis

	(percentage bipolar I); number of previous episodes; risk of bias.
<i>Note.</i>	

3.3. Pharmacological and nutritional interventions for long-term management of adults with bipolar disorder

Topic	Interventions
Review question(s)	<p>RQ3.4: For adults with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of starting a new pharmacological or nutritional intervention outside of an acute episode?</p> <p>RQ3.5: For adults with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of continuing an acute treatment for 1 year or more?</p> <p>What amendments, if any, need to be made for (i) particular cultural or minority ethnic groups, (ii) gender, and (iii) adults (18 to 64) and older adults (65+)?</p>
Objectives	To estimate the efficacy of interventions for the long-term management of bipolar disorder.
Criteria for considering studies for the review	
• Intervention	All licensed oral medications (and their combinations) delivered for 1 year or more.
• Comparator	Pill placebo Other pharmacological interventions
• Types of participants	Adults (18+) with bipolar disorder. Special consideration will be given to the groups above.
• Outcomes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Relapse (all, mania/mixed, depression) (for the purposes of the guideline, relapse was defined as a new episode meeting criteria for MDD or mania) 2) Discontinuation (due to side effects, other) 3) Hospitalisation (rate) 4) Quality of life 5) Mortality (all-cause, suicides completed) 6) Weight
• Time	Included studies must have included controlled measures of outcomes at 12 months or later.
• Study design	Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and cluster RCTs with a parallel group design. We will exclude quasi-RCTs, such as trials in which allocation is determined by alternation or date of birth.
• Include unpublished data?	Unpublished research may be included.
• Restriction by date?	No limit.
• Dosage	Fixed or flexible doses within the therapeutic range (BNF recommended).
• Minimum sample size	Ten participants per group.
• Study setting	Primary, secondary, tertiary, health and social care.

Search strategy	<p>Databases searched: RCT: CENTRAL, CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. SR: CDSR, CINAHL, DARE, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO.</p> <p>Date limits: RCT: 2005 to 20 January 2014. SR: 2005 to 11 November 2012.</p>
Study design filter/limit used	<p>RCT; SR. Language restrictions: none.</p>
Question specific search strategy	<p>No</p>
Amendments to search strategy/study design filter	<p>None</p>
Searching other resources	<p>The NCCMH review team will write to all stakeholders, authors of all included studies, and manufacturers of all licensed drugs to request unpublished studies.</p>
The review strategy	<p>The GDG will search for systematic reviews that compare all eligible trials using an appropriate statistical method.</p> <p>If reviews are found, the GDG will assess their quality, completeness, and applicability to the NHS. If the GDG identify a systematic review appropriate to the review question, we will search for RCTs conducted or published since the review was conducted, and the GDG will assess if any additional trials could affect the conclusions of the previous review. If new trials could change the conclusions, the GDG will update the review and conduct a new analysis. If new trials could not change the conclusions of an existing review, the GDG will use the existing review to inform their recommendations.</p> <p>If no reviews are found, we plan to compare all eligible interventions using pairwise meta-analyses and, if appropriate, conduct a network meta-analysis comparing relapse and discontinuation. The GDG will conduct pairwise analyses using random effects models of interventions that are not connected to the main network, including studies with no connected intervention or control group and studies of specific subpopulations (for example, people with comorbid substance abuse). For each study, we will also extract: year of study; country; total number of study participants in each included group; inclusion and exclusion criteria; age (mean); gender (percentage female); race (percentage BME); diagnosis (percentage bipolar I); number of previous episodes; risk of bias. For each intervention or comparison group of interest, dose, frequency and duration will also be extracted.</p>
<p><i>Note.</i></p>	

4. Psychological and psychosocial interventions for adults with bipolar disorder

Topic	Interventions
Review question(s)	<p><i>Mania</i></p> <p>RQ4.1: For adults with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of psychological and psychosocial interventions for mania, hypomania, and mixed episodes?</p> <p>RQ4.2: For adults with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of combined psychological and pharmacological interventions for mania, hypomania, and mixed episodes?</p> <p><i>Depression</i></p> <p>RQ4.3: For adults with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of psychological and psychosocial interventions for depression?</p> <p>RQ4.4: For adults with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of combined psychological and pharmacological interventions for depression?</p> <p><i>Long-term management</i></p> <p>RQ4.5: For adults with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of psychological and psychosocial interventions for long-term management?</p> <p>RQ4.6: For adults with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of combined psychological and pharmacological interventions for long-term management?</p> <p>What amendments, if any, need to be made for (i) particular cultural or minority ethnic groups, (ii) gender?</p>
Sub-question(s)	<p>Does the effectiveness of treatment vary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. For RQ6.4 to RQ6.11: For people taking a mood stabiliser (for example, lithium or valproate) and people not taking a mood stabiliser; 2. For RQ6.12 to RQ6.15: For people whose most-recent episode was depressive and people whose most-recent episode was manic; 3. For people with bipolar I and bipolar II; 4. For adults (18 to 64) and older adults (65+).
Objectives	To estimate the efficacy of interventions to treat depression.
Criteria for considering studies for the review	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intervention 	RQ4.1 to RQ4.6: All psychological and psychosocial interventions (for example, cognitive behavioural therapy), all combined psychological with (licensed) pharmacological interventions.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comparator 	Wait-list, placebo, and other interventions.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Types of participants 	Adults (18+) with bipolar disorder. Special consideration will be given to the groups above.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outcomes 	<p>FOR PEOPLE IN AN ACUTE EPISODE</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Change in symptoms of depression 2) Change in symptoms of mania 3) Response (50% reduction or greater) 4) Discontinuation 5) Quality of life 6) Psychosocial functioning. <p>FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE EUTHYMIC AT BASELINE</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Relapse 2) Discontinuation 3) Hospitalisation 4) Quality of life 5) Psychosocial functioning.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Time 	<p>The main analysis will include outcomes at the end of treatment. For interventions the GDG considers recommending based on post-treatment results, additional analyses will be conducted for further follow-up data.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Study design 	<p>Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and cluster RCTs with a parallel group design. We will exclude quasi-RCTs, such as trials in which allocation is determined by alternation or date of birth.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Study setting 	<p>Primary, secondary, tertiary, health and social care.</p>
<p>Search strategy</p>	<p>Databases searched: RCT: CENTRAL, CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. SR: CDSR, CINAHL, DARE, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO.</p> <p>Date limits: RCT: 2005 to 20 January 2014; SR: 2005 to 11 November 2012.</p>
<p>Study design filter/limit used</p>	<p>RCT; SR. Language restrictions: none.</p>
<p>Question specific search strategy</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Amendments to search strategy/study design filter</p>	<p>None</p>
<p>Searching other resources</p>	<p>The NCCMH review team will write to stakeholders and authors of all included studies to request unpublished studies.</p>
<p>The review strategy</p>	<p>The GDG will conduct pairwise analyses for all comparisons and outcomes using random effects models. For each study, the GDG will also extract: year of study; country; total number of study participants in each included group; inclusion and exclusion criteria; age (mean); gender (percentage female); race (percentage BME); diagnosis (percentage bipolar I); number of previous episodes; risk of bias. For each intervention or comparison group of interest, dose, frequency and duration will also be extracted.</p>
<p><i>Note.</i></p>	

5. Interventions for children and young people with bipolar disorder

5.1. Pharmacological and nutritional interventions for mania, hypomania and mixed episodes of bipolar disorder in children and young people

Topic	Interventions
Review question(s)	RQ5.1: For children and young people with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of pharmacological and nutritional interventions for mania, hypomania and mixed episodes? What amendments, if any, need to be made for (i) particular cultural or minority ethnic groups, (ii) gender, (iii) for children (younger than 13 years) and young people (13 to 18 years).
Objectives	To estimate the efficacy of interventions to treat manic, hypomanic and mixed episodes.
Criteria for considering studies for the review	
• Intervention	All licensed oral medications (and their combinations). Nutritional interventions (for example, herbal supplements, fatty acid supplementation).
• Comparator	Waitlist, no intervention, placebo and other interventions.
• Types of participants	Children (younger than 13 years) and young people (13 to 18 years) with bipolar disorder. Special consideration will be given to the groups above.
• Outcomes	1) Change in symptoms of mania. 2) Response (50% reduction or greater). 3) Discontinuation (because of side effects, other).
• Time	The main analysis will include outcomes at the end of the acute treatment phase.
• Study design	Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and cluster RCTs with a parallel group design in which providers and participants were blind to treatment. Quasi-RCTs, such as trials in which allocation is determined by alternation or date of birth, and single-blind studies, will be excluded.
• Dosage	Fixed or flexible doses within the therapeutic range (BNF recommended).
• Study setting	Primary, secondary, tertiary health and social care.
Search strategy	Databases searched: RCT: CENTRAL, CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. SR: CDSR, CINAHL, DARE, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. Date limits: RCT: 2005 to 20 January 2014. SR: 2005 to 11 November 2012.
Study design filter/limit used	RCT: all languages. SR: English language limit.
Question specific search strategy	No
Amendments to search strategy/study design	None

filter	
Searching other resources	The NCCMH review team will write to all stakeholders and authors of all included studies to request unpublished studies.
The review strategy	The GDG will conduct pairwise analyses for all comparisons and outcomes using random effects models. For each study, the following will be extracted: year of study; country; total number of study participants in each included group; inclusion and exclusion criteria; age (mean); gender (percentage female); race (percentage black and minority ethnic [BME]); diagnosis (percentage bipolar I); risk of bias. For each intervention or comparison group of interest, dose, frequency and duration will also be extracted.
<i>Note.</i>	

5.2. Pharmacological and nutritional interventions for episodes of bipolar depression in children and young people

Topic	Interventions
Review question(s)	RQ5.2: For children and young people with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of pharmacological and nutritional interventions for episodes of bipolar depression? What amendments, if any, need to be made for (i) particular cultural or minority ethnic groups, (ii) gender, (iii) for children (younger than 13 years) and young people (13 to 18 years).
Objectives	To estimate the efficacy of interventions to treat episodes of bipolar depression.
Criteria for considering studies for the review	
• Intervention	All licensed oral medications (and their combinations). Nutritional interventions (for example, herbal supplements, fatty acid supplementation).
• Comparator	Waitlist, no intervention, placebo and other interventions.
• Types of participants	Children (younger than 13 years) and young people (13 to 18 years) with bipolar disorder. Special consideration will be given to the groups above.
• Outcomes	1) Change in symptoms of depression. 2) Response (50% reduction or greater). 3) Discontinuation (due to side effects, other).
• Time	The main analysis will include outcomes at the end of the acute treatment phase.
• Study design	RCTs and cluster RCTs with a parallel group design in which providers and participants were blind to treatment. Quasi-RCTs, such as trials in which allocation is determined by alternation or date of birth, and single-blind studies, will be excluded.
• Dosage	Fixed or flexible doses within the therapeutic range (BNF recommended).
• Study setting	Primary, secondary, tertiary health and social care.
Search strategy	Databases searched: RCT: CENTRAL, CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. SR: CDSR, CINAHL, DARE, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. Date limits: RCT: 2005 to 20 January 2014. SR: 2005 to 11 November 2012.
Study design filter/limit used	RCT: all languages. SR: English language limit.
Question specific search strategy	No
Amendments to search strategy/study design filter	None
Searching other resources	The NCCMH review team will write to all stakeholders and authors of all included studies to request unpublished studies.

The review strategy	The GDG will conduct pairwise analyses for all comparisons and outcomes using random effects models. For each study, the following will be extracted: year of study; country; total number of study participants in each included group; inclusion and exclusion criteria; age (mean); gender (percentage female); race (percentage BME); diagnosis (percentage bipolar I); risk of bias. For each intervention or comparison group of interest, dose, frequency and duration will also be extracted.
<i>Note.</i>	

5.3. Pharmacological and nutritional interventions for long-term management of bipolar disorder in children and young people

Topic	Interventions
Review question(s)	RQ5.3: For children and young people with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of pharmacological and nutritional interventions for long-term management? What amendments, if any, need to be made for (i) particular cultural or minority ethnic groups, (ii) gender, (iii) for children (younger than 13 years) and young people (13 to 18 years).
Objectives	To estimate the efficacy of interventions for the long-term management of bipolar disorder.
Criteria for considering studies for the review	
• Intervention	All licensed oral medications (and their combinations) or nutritional intervention delivered for 1 year or more.
• Comparator	Pill placebo. Other pharmacological or nutritional interventions.
• Types of participants	Children (younger than 13 years) and young people (13 to 18 years) with bipolar disorder. Special consideration will be given to the groups above.
• Outcomes	1) Relapse (all, mania/mixed, depression). 2) Discontinuation (due to side effects, other) 3) Hospitalisation (rate). 4) Quality of life 5) Mortality (all-cause, suicides completed) 6) Weight.
• Time	At least 1 year after initiating treatment.
• Study design	RCTs and cluster RCTs with a parallel group design. Quasi-RCTs, such as trials in which allocation is determined by alternation or date of birth, will be excluded.
• Study setting	Primary, secondary, tertiary health and social care
Search strategy	Databases searched: RCT: CENTRAL, CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. SR: CDSR, CINAHL, DARE, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. Date limits: RCT: 2005 to 20 January 2014. SR: 2005 to 11 November 2012.
Study design filter/limit used	RCT: all languages. SR: English language limit.
Question specific search strategy	No
Amendments to search strategy/study design filter	None
Searching other resources	The NCCMH review team will write to all stakeholders and authors of all included studies to request unpublished studies.

The review strategy	The GDG will conduct pairwise analyses for all comparisons and outcomes using random effects models. For each study, the following will be extracted: year of study; country; total number of study participants in each included group; inclusion and exclusion criteria; age (mean); gender (percentage female); race (percentage BME); diagnosis (percentage bipolar I); number of previous episodes; risk of bias. For each intervention or comparison group of interest, dose, frequency and duration will also be extracted.
<i>Note.</i>	

5.4. Psychological interventions for bipolar disorder in children and young people

Topic	Interventions
Review question(s)	<p>RQ5.4: For children and young people with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of psychological and psychosocial interventions for episodes of bipolar depression?</p> <p>RQ5.5: For children and young people with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of psychological and psychosocial interventions for long-term management?</p> <p>What amendments, if any, need to be made for (i) particular cultural or minority ethnic groups, (ii) gender, (iii) for children (younger than 13 years) and young people (13 to 18 years)?</p>
Objectives	To estimate the efficacy of psychological interventions to manage bipolar disorder in children and young people.
Criteria for considering studies for the review	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Intervention 	All psychological and psychosocial interventions (for example, cognitive behavioural therapy) with or without pharmacological interventions.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comparator 	Waitlist, no intervention and other interventions.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Types of participants 	Children (younger than 13 years) and young people (13 to 18 years) with bipolar disorder. Special consideration will be given to the groups above.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outcomes 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Change in symptoms of depression. Response (50% reduction or greater). Relapse (all, mania/mixed, depression). Discontinuation (due to side effects, other).
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Time 	For treatments, the main analysis will include outcomes at the end of the intervention. For long-term management, the main analysis will include outcomes after at least 1 year.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Study design 	RCTs and cluster RCTs with a parallel group design. Quasi-RCTs, such as trials in which allocation is determined by alternation or date of birth, will be excluded.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Study setting 	Primary, secondary, tertiary health and social care.
Search strategy	<p>Databases searched: RCT: CENTRAL, CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. SR: CDSR, CINAHL, DARE, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO.</p> <p>Date limits: RCT: 2005 to 20 January 2014. SR: 2005 to 11 November 2012.</p>
Study design filter/limit used	RCT: all languages. SR: English language limit.
Question specific search strategy	No
Amendments to search strategy/study design	None

filter	
Searching other resources	The NCCMH review team will write to all stakeholders and authors of all included studies to request unpublished studies.
The review strategy	The GDG will conduct pairwise analyses for all comparisons and outcomes using random effects models. For each study, the following will be extracted: year of study; country; total number of study participants in each included group; inclusion and exclusion criteria; age (mean); gender (percentage female); race (percentage BME); diagnosis (percentage bipolar I); number of previous episodes; risk of bias. For each intervention or comparison group of interest, dose, frequency and duration will also be extracted.
<i>Note.</i>	

5.5. Service-level intervention for bipolar disorder

Topic	Interventions
Review question(s)	RQ5.6: For children and young people with bipolar disorder, what are the relative benefits and harms of service-level interventions that are designed specifically for people bipolar disorder? What amendments, if any, need to be made for (i) particular cultural or minority ethnic groups, (ii) gender, (iii) for children (younger than 13 years) and young people (13 to 18 years).
Objectives	To estimate the efficacy of services in treating bipolar disorder.
Criteria for considering studies for the review	
• Intervention	1) Lithium clinics. 2) Mood clinics. 3) Collaborative care.
• Comparator	Treatment-as-usual. Other services.
• Types of participants	Children and young people (aged 18 years and younger) with suspected bipolar disorder. Special consideration will be given to the groups above.
• Outcomes	1) Relapse (all, mania/mixed, depression). 2) Hospitalisation (rate, duration). 3) Quality of life. 4) Mortality.
• Time	At least 1 year after initiating treatment.
• Study design	Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and cluster RCTs with a parallel group design. We will exclude quasi-RCTs, such as trials in which allocation is determined by alternation or date of birth.
Search strategy	Databases searched: RCT: CENTRAL, CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. SR: CDSR, CINAHL, DARE, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO. Date limits: RCT: 2005 to 20 January 2014; SR: 2005 to 11 November 2012.
Study design filter/limit used	RCT; SR. Language restrictions: none.
Question specific search strategy	No
Amendments to search strategy/study design filter	None
Searching other resources	The NCCMH review team will write to all stakeholders and authors of all included studies to request unpublished studies.
The review strategy	We will conduct pairwise analyses for all comparisons and outcomes using random effects models. For each study, the GDG will also extract: year of study; country; total number of study participants in each included group; inclusion and exclusion criteria; age (mean); gender (percentage female); race (percentage BME); diagnosis (percentage

Review protocols and questions

	bipolar I); number of previous episodes; risk of bias.
<i>Note.</i>	

APPENDIX 8: SEARCH STRATEGIES FOR THE IDENTIFICATION OF CLINICAL STUDIES

Scoping searches

A broad preliminary search of the literature was undertaken in August 2011 to obtain an overview of the issues likely to be covered by the scope, and to help define key areas. Searches were restricted to clinical guidelines, Health Technology Assessment (HTA) reports, key systematic reviews and RCTs.

- BMJ Clinical Evidence
- Canadian Medical Association Infobase (Canadian guidelines)
- Clinical Policy and Practice Program of the New South Wales Department of Health (Australia)
- Clinical Practice Guidelines (Australian Guidelines)
- Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)
- Cochrane Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE)
- Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR)
- Excerpta Medica Database (Embase)
- Guidelines International Network
- Health Evidence Bulletin Wales
- Health Management Information Consortium (HMIC)
- HTA database (technology assessments)
- Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online (MEDLINE/MEDLINE In-Process)
- National Health and Medical Research Council
- National Library for Health Guidelines Finder
- New Zealand Guidelines Group
- NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination
- Organizing Medical Networked Information Medical Search
- Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network
- Turning Research Into Practice
- United States Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
- Websites of NICE - including NHS Evidence - and the National Institute for Health Research HTA Programme for guidelines and HTAs in development.

Further information about this process can be found in The Guidelines Manual (NICE, 2012).

Systematic search

Each search was constructed using the groups of terms set out in Text Box 1. The full set of search terms is documented in sections 1 to 3.23. The selection of search terms was kept broad to maximise retrieval of evidence in a wide range of areas of interest to the GDG.

Text Box 1: Summary of systematic search strategies: search strategy construction

Summary of systematic search strategies for clinical evidence					
Section 1, focused searches					
Review area/s	Search type	Search construction	Study design searched	Databases searched	Date range searched
Case identification and assessment	Focused search	[(Population terms version two) AND (((general identification instrument/ diagnostic assessment terms) AND (sensitivity/specificity terms)) OR (named instruments))]	All study types	General medical databases: Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO	Database inception to 20 January 2014

Section 2, generic searches					
Review area/s	Search type	Search construction	Study design searched	Databases searched	Date range searched
Pharmacological and medical interventions for acute episodes	Generic search	General medical databases: [(population terms version 1) AND (RCT/SR terms)] Topic specific databases: [(population terms)]	Qualitative systematic reviews, randomised controlled studies	General medical databases: CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO Topic specific databases: CDSR, CENTRAL, DARE, HMIC, HTA	SR: 2005 to 11 November 2012; RCT: 2005 to 20 January 2014
Pharmacological and medical interventions for long-term management	Generic search	General medical databases: [(population terms version 1) AND (RCT/SR terms)] Topic specific databases: [(population terms)]	Qualitative systematic reviews, randomised controlled studies	General medical databases: CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO Topic specific databases: CDSR, CENTRAL, DARE, HMIC, HTA	SR: 2005 to 11 November 2012; RCT: 2005 to 20 January 2014
Psychosocial interventions for adults	Generic search	General medical databases: [(population terms version 1) AND (RCT/SR terms)] Topic specific databases: [(population terms)]	Qualitative systematic reviews, randomised controlled studies	General medical databases: CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO	SR: 2005 to 11 November 2012; RCT: 2005 to 20 January 2014

				Topic specific databases: CDSR, CENTRAL, DARE, HMIC, HTA	
Management of physical health	Generic search	General medical databases: [(population terms version 1) AND (RCT/SR terms)] Topic specific databases: [(population terms)]	Qualitative systematic reviews, randomised controlled studies	General medical databases: CINAHL, Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO Topic specific databases: CDSR, CENTRAL, DARE, HMIC, HTA	SR: 2005 to 11 November 2012; RCT: 2005 to 20 January 2014
<i>Note.</i> Evidence resulting from generic searches mapped to all review areas.					

1 Population search terms – all databases

1.1 STEM – General medical databases

Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO – OVID SP

Version 1

- 1 exp bipolar disorder/ or mania/
- 2 1 use emez
- 3 exp bipolar disorder/
- 4 3 use mesz, prem
- 5 exp bipolar disorder/ or exp mania/
- 6 5 use psych
- 7 ((bi?polar adj5 (disorder\$ or depress\$)) or ((cyclothymi\$ or rapid or ultradian) adj5 cycl\$) or hypomani\$ or mania\$ or manic\$ or mixed episode\$ or rcbd).ti,ab.
- 8 or/2,4,6-7

Version 2

- 1 exp bipolar disorder/ or mania/ or mood disorder/
- 2 1 use emez
- 3 exp bipolar disorder/ or mood disorders/
- 4 3 use mesz, prem
- 5 affective disorders/ or exp bipolar disorder/ or exp mania/
- 6 5 use psych
- 7 (bi?polar or ((cyclothymi\$ or rapid or ultradian) adj5 cycl\$) or hypomani\$ or mania\$ or manic\$ or mixed episode\$ or rcbd).ti,ab,tm.
- 8 ((affective\$ or mood) adj (disorder\$ or disturbance\$ or dysfunction\$ or illness\$ or swing\$)).ti,ab,tm.
- 9 or/2,4,6-8

1.2 STEM – topic specific databases

HTA, CDSR, DARE, CENTRAL – Wiley

#1 mesh descriptor bipolar disorder explode all trees

((((bipolar or “bi polar”) near/5 (disorder* or depress*))) or

#2 ((cyclothymi* or rapid or ultradian) near/5 cycl*) or
hypomani* or mania* or manic* or “mixed episode*” or
rcbd):ti,ab,kw

#3 (#1 or #2)

1.3 STEM – topic specific databases

CINAHL – Ebsco

s3	s1 or s2
s2	ti ((((bipolar or "bi polar") n5 (disorder* or depress*)) or ((cyclothymi* or rapid or ultradian) n5 cycl*) or hypomani* or mania* or manic* or "mixed episode*" or rcbd)) or ab ((((bipolar or "bi polar") n5 (disorder* or depress*)) or ((cyclothymi* or rapid or ultradian) n5 cycl*) or hypomani* or mania* or manic* or "mixed episode*" or rcbd))
s1	(mh "bipolar disorder")

1.4 STEM – topic specific databases

HMIC – HDAS

1 hmic bipolar disorder/

2 hmic (((bipolar or "bi polar") and (disorder* or depress*)) or ((cyclothymi* or rapid or ultradian) and cycl*) or hypomani* or mania* or manic* or "mixed episode*" or rcbd).ti,ab

3 hmic 1 or 2

2. Question specific search strategies – all databases

2.1 Case identification and assessment

Review questions:

RQ: For adults at risk of or suspected as having bipolar disorder, what identification instruments when compared with a gold standard diagnosis (based on DSM or ICD criteria) have adequate clinical utility (that is, clinically useful with good sensitivity and specificity) and reliability?

RQ: For children (less than 13 years) and young people (13 to 18 years) at risk of or suspected of having bipolar disorder, what identification instruments when compared with a gold standard diagnosis (based on DSM or ICD criteria) have adequate clinical utility (that is, clinically useful with good sensitivity and specificity) and reliability?

RQ: For people with possible bipolar disorder, what are the key components of, and the most effective structure for, diagnostic assessment?

What amendments, if any, need to be made for (i) particular cultural or minority ethnic groups, (ii) people of different genders, (iii) children and young people, (iv) older adults?

2.11 General medical databases

Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO – OVID SP

- 1 checklist/ or clinical assessment tool/ or clinical assessment/ or clinical evaluation/ or exp computer assisted diagnosis/ or exp diagnostic test/ or functional assessment/ or geriatric assessment/ or measurement/ or needs assessment/ or nursing assessment/ or outcome assessment/ or patient assessment/ or predictive value/ or exp psychologic test/ or psychometry/ or rating scale/ or risk assessment/ or scoring system/ or screening test/ or self evaluation/ or semi structured interview/ or "speech and language assessment"/ or structured interview/ or structured questionnaire/ or summated rating scale/
- 2 1 use emez
- 3 checklist/ or exp diagnosis, computer-assisted/ or diagnostic tests, routine/ or diagnostic, self evaluation/ or geriatric assessment/ or interview, psychological/ or mass screening/ or needs assessment/ or exp nursing assessment/ or "outcome and process assessment (health care)"/ or "outcome assessment (health care)"/ or exp personality assessment/ or "predictive value of tests"/ or exp psychiatric status rating scales/ or exp psychological tests/ or exp questionnaires/ or risk assessment/
- 4 3 use mesz, prem
- 5 attitude measurement/ or exp attitude measures/ or comprehension tests/ or computer assisted diagnosis/ or geriatric assessment/ or group testing/ or individual testing/ or exp inventories/ or measurement/ or needs assessment/ or exp perceptual measures/ or performance tests/ or exp personality measures/ or exp preference measures/ or pretesting/ or professional examinations/ or exp psychiatric evaluation/ or exp psychodiagnostic interview/ or exp psychological assessment/ or psychometrics/ or exp questionnaires/ or exp rating scales/ or exp reading measures/ or exp retention measures/ or risk assessment/ or exp screening tests/ or exp selection tests/ or self evaluation/ or sensorimotor measures/ or sociometric tests/ or "speech and hearing measures"/ or standardized tests/ or subtests/ or symptom checklists/ or exp testing/ or testing methods/ or exp test scores/ or verbal tests/
- 6 5 use psych
- 7 (index or instrument\$ or interview\$ or inventor\$ or item\$ or measure\$1 or questionnaire\$ or rate\$ or rating or scale\$ or score\$ or screen\$ or (self adj (assess\$ or report\$)) or subscale\$ or survey\$ or test\$ or tool\$).tw.
- 8 or/2,4,6-7
- 9 di.fs. or exp diagnosis/ or exp mass screening/ or screening test/
- 10 9 use emez

- 11 di.fs. or exp diagnosis/ or mass screening/ or nursing diagnosis/
12 11 use mesz, prem
13 exp diagnosis/ or exp health screening/ or screening/ or exp screening
tests/
14 13 use psych
15 (assess\$ or detect\$ or diagnos\$ or evaluat\$ or identif\$ or psychodiagnos\$
or recogni\$ or screen\$).tw.
16 or/10,12,14-15
17 8 and 16
18 (casefind\$ or ((case or tool\$) adj (find\$ or identif\$))).tw.
19 or/17-18
20 "area under the curve"/ or predictive validity/ or receiver operating
characteristic/ or reliability/ or "sensitivity and specificity"/ or test retest
reliability/ or validity/
21 20 use emez
22 "area under curve"/ or "predictive value of tests"/ or "reproducibility of
results"/ or roc curve/ or "sensitivity and specificity"/ or validation
studies/
23 22 use mesz, prem
24 test reliability/ or test validity/
25 24 use psych
26 (accurac\$ or accurat\$ or area under curve or auc value\$ or (likelihood adj3
ratio\$) or (diagnostic adj2 odds ratio\$) or ((pretest or pre test or posttest or
post test) adj2 probabilit\$) or (predict\$ adj3 value\$) or receiver operating
characteristic or (roc adj2 curv\$) or reliabil\$ or sensitiv\$ or specificit\$ or
valid\$).tw.
27 or/21,23,25-26
28 19 and 27
29 ((altman adj (selfrat\$ or self rat\$) adj mania adj2 scale\$) or arsm).tw.
30 (bipolar spectrum diagnostic scale\$ or bsds).tw.
31 ((bipolarity or bi polarity) adj index).tw.
32 ((child mania rating scale) or cmrs).ti,ab,tm.
33 (clinician-administered rating scale for mania or carsm or cars m).tw.
34 ((conner\$ abbreviated adj3 parent\$ adj3 question\$) or CAPQ).ti,ab,tm.
35 (hypomania checklist or hcl 32 or hcl32 or hcl32r1).tw.
36 (hypomanic personality adj (questionnaire\$ or scale\$)).tw.
37 ((screening assessment adj2 (depression adj2 polarity)) or sadp or sad
p).tw.
38 ((parent adj4 young mania rating scale) or pymrs or p ymrs).tw.
39 (composite international diagnostic interview or cidi or cidi1 or cidi2 or
cidi3 or cidisc).tw.
40 (general behavio?r inventory or gbi15 or gbi 15 or pgbi or p gbi).tw.
41 life chart.tw.

- 42 ((m3 or m 3) adj (checklist\$ or screen\$)).tw.
- 43 (((miniinternational or mini international) adj neuropsychiatric interview) or mini or miniplus).tw.
- 44 (mood swings questionnaire or msq).tw.
- 45 (mood disorder questionnaire or mdq).tw.
- 46 (provisional diagnostic instrument or pdi4 or pdi 4).tw.
- 47 or/29-46
- 48 or/28,47

3 Study design filters - all databases

3.1 Quantitative systematic review study design filters

3.11 Quantitative systematic review study design filter, general medical databases

Embase, MEDLINE, MEDLINE In-Process, PsycINFO – OVID SP

- 1 meta analysis/ or systematic review/
- 2 1 use emez
- 3 meta analysis.sh,pt. or "meta-analysis as topic"/ or "review literature as topic"/
- 4 3 use mesz, prem
- 5 (literature review or meta analysis).sh,id,md. or systematic review.id,md.
- 6 5 use psyh
- 7 (exp bibliographic database/ or (((electronic or computer\$ or online) adj database\$) or bids or cochrane or embase or index medicus or isi citation or medline or psyclit or psychlit or scisearch or science citation or (web adj2 science)).ti,ab.) and (review\$.ti,ab,sh,pt. or systematic\$.ti,ab.)
- 8 7 use emez
- 9 (exp databases, bibliographic/ or (((electronic or computer\$ or online) adj database\$) or bids or cochrane or embase or index medicus or isi citation or medline or psyclit or psychlit or scisearch or science citation or (web adj2 science)).ti,ab.) and (review\$.ti,ab,sh,pt. or systematic\$.ti,ab.)
- 10 9 use mesz, prem
- 11 (computer searching.sh,id. or (((electronic or computer\$ or online) adj database\$) or bids or cochrane or embase or index medicus or isi citation or medline or psyclit or psychlit or scisearch or science citation or (web adj2 science)).ti,ab.) and (review\$.ti,ab,pt. or systematic\$.ti,ab.)
- 12 11 use psyh

- 13 ((analy\$ or assessment\$ or evidence\$ or methodol\$ or quantitativ\$ or systematic\$) adj2 (overview\$ or review\$)).tw. or ((analy\$ or assessment\$ or evidence\$ or methodol\$ or quantitativ\$ or systematic\$).ti. and review\$.ti,pt.) or (systematic\$ adj2 search\$).ti,ab.
- 14 (metaanal\$ or meta anal\$).ti,ab.
- 15 (research adj (review\$ or integration)).ti,ab.
- 16 reference list\$.ab.
- 17 bibliograph\$.ab.
- 18 published studies.ab.
- 19 relevant journals.ab.
- 20 selection criteria.ab.
- 21 (data adj (extraction or synthesis)).ab.
- 22 (handsearch\$ or ((hand or manual) adj search\$)).ti,ab.
- 23 (mantel haenszel or peto or dersimonian or der simonian).ti,ab.
- 24 (fixed effect\$ or random effect\$).ti,ab.
- 25 ((pool\$ or combined or combining) adj2 (data or trials or studies or results)).ti,ab.
- 26 or/2,4,6,8,10,12-25

3.12 Qualitative systematic review study design filter, topic specific databases CINAHL – EBSCO HOST

- s33 s1 or s2 or s3 or s4 or s5 or s6 or s7 or s8 or s9 or s10 or s11 or s12 or s13 or s14 or s15 or s16 or s22 or s23 or s26 or s27 or s28 or s29 or s30 or s31 or s32
- s32 ti (analy* n5 review* or assessment* n5 review* or evidence* n5 review* or methodol* n5 review* or quantativ* n5 review* or systematic* n5 review*) or ab (analy* n5 review* or assessment* n5 review* or evidence* n5 review* or methodol* n5 review* or quantativ* n5 review* or systematic* n5 review*)
- s31 ti (analy* n5 overview* or assessment* n5 overview* or evidence* n5 overview* or methodol* n5 overview* or quantativ* n5 overview* or systematic* n5 overview*) or ab (analy* n5 overview* or assessment* n5 overview* or evidence* n5 overview* or methodol* n5 overview* or quantativ* n5 overview* or systematic* n5 overview*)
- s30 ti (pool* n2 results or combined n2 results or combining n2 results) or ab (pool* n2 results or combined n2 results or combining n2 results)
- s29 ti (pool* n2 studies or combined n2 studies or combining n2 studies) or ab (pool* n2 studies or combined n2 studies or combining n2 studies)

- s28 ti (pool* n2 trials or combined n2 trials or combining n2 trials) or ab (pool* n2 trials or combined n2 trials or combining n2 trials)
- s27 ti (pool* n2 data or combined n2 data or combining n2 data) or ab (pool* n2 data or combined n2 data or combining n2 data)
- s26 s24 and s25
- s25 ti review* or pt review*
- s24 ti analy* or assessment* or evidence* or methodol* or quantativ* or systematic*
- s23 ti "systematic* n5 search*" or ab "systematic* n5 search"
- s22 (s17 or s18 or s19) and (s20 or s21)
- s21 ti systematic* or ab systematic*
- s20 tx review* or mw review* or pt review*
- s19 (mh "cochrane library")
- s18 ti (bids or cochrane or index medicus or "isi citation" or psyclit or psychlit or scisearch or "science citation" or web n2 science) or ab (bids or cochrane or index medicus or "isi citation" or psyclit or psychlit or scisearch or "science citation" or web n2 science)
- s17 ti ("electronic database*" or "bibliographic database*" or "computeri?ed database*" or "online database*") or ab ("electronic database*" or "bibliographic database*" or "computeri?ed database*" or "online database*")
- s16 (mh "literature review")
- s15 pt systematic* or pt meta*
- s14 ti ("fixed effect*" or "random effect*") or ab ("fixed effect*" or "random effect*")
- s13 ti ("mantel haenszel" or peto or dersimonian or "der simonian") or ab ("mantel haenszel" or peto or dersimonian or "der simonian")
- s12 ti (handsearch* or "hand search*" or "manual search*") or ab (handsearch* or "hand search*" or "manual search*")
- s11 ab "data extraction" or "data synthesis"
- s10 ab "selection criteria"
- s9 ab "relevant journals"
- s8 ab "published studies"
- s7 ab bibliograph*
- s6 ab "reference list"
- s5 ti ("research review*" or "research integration") or ab ("research review"

or "research integration")

- s4 ti (metaanal* or "meta anal*") or ab (metaanal* or "meta anal*")
- s3 (mh "meta analysis")
- s2 (mh "systematic review")
- s1 (mh "literature searching+")

3.2 Randomised controlled trial filters

3.21 Randomized controlled trial study design filter, general medical databases Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO - OVID SP

- 1 exp "clinical trial (topic)"/ or exp clinical trial/ or crossover procedure/ or double blind procedure/ or placebo/ or randomization/ or random sample/ or single blind procedure/
- 2 1 use emez
- 3 exp clinical trial/ or exp "clinical trials as topic" / or cross-over studies/ or double-blind method/ or placebos/ or random allocation/ or single-blind method/
- 4 3 use mesz, prem
- 5 (clinical trials or placebo or random sampling).sh,id.
- 6 5 use psych
- 7 (clinical adj2 trial\$).ti,ab.
- 8 (crossover or cross over).ti,ab.
- 9 (((single\$ or doubl\$ or trebl\$ or tripl\$) adj2 blind\$) or mask\$ or dummy or doubleblind\$ or singleblind\$ or trebleblind\$ or tripleblind\$).ti,ab.
- 10 (placebo\$ or random\$).ti,ab.
- 11 treatment outcome\$.md. use psych
- 12 animals/ not human\$.mp. use emez
- 13 animal\$/ not human\$/ use mesz, prem
- 14 (animal not human).po. use psych
- 15 (or/2,4,6-11) not (or/12-14)

3.23 Randomized controlled trial study design filter, topic specific databases CINAHL- EBSCO Host

s10 s9 not s8

- s9 s1 or s2 or s3 or s4 or s5 or s6 or s7
- s8 (mh "animals") not (mh "human")
- s7 (pt "clinical trial") or (pt "randomized controlled trial")
- s6 ti (placebo* or random*) or ab (placebo* or random*)
- s5 ti (single blind* or double blind* or treble blind* or mask* or dummy* or singleblind* or doubleblind* or trebleblind*) or ab (single blind* or double blind* or treble blind* or mask* or dummy* or singleblind* or doubleblind* or trebleblind*)
- s4 ti (crossover or cross over) or ab (crossover or cross over)
- s3 ti clinical n2 trial* or ab clinical n2 trial*
- s2 (mh "crossover design") or (mh "placebos") or (mh "random assignment") or (mh "random sample")
- s1 (mh "clinical trials+")

APPENDIX 9: SEARCH STRATEGIES FOR THE IDENTIFICATION OF HEALTH ECONOMICS EVIDENCE

Scoping searches

A broad preliminary search of the literature was undertaken in August 2011 to obtain an overview of the issues likely to be covered by the scope, and to help define key areas. Searches were limited to full and partial economic evaluations, and quality of life studies.

- NHS Economic Evaluation Database (NHS EED) (Cochrane Library)
- Embase
- HTA database (technology assessments)
- MEDLINE/MEDLINE In-Process

Further information about this process can be found in The Guidelines Manual (NICE, 2012).

Systematic search

Each search was constructed using the groups of terms set out in Text Box 2. The full set of search terms is documented in sections 1 to 3.1. The selection of search terms was kept broad to maximise retrieval of evidence in a wide range of areas of interest to the GDG.

Text Box 2: Summary of systematic search strategies: Search strategy construction

Summary of systematic search strategies for clinical evidence					
Section 1, focused searches					
Review area/s	Search type	Search construction	Study design searched	Databases searched	Date range searched
Case identification and assessment	Focused search	General medical databases: [(Population terms version two) AND (((general identification instrument/ diagnostic assessment terms) AND (sensitivity/specificity terms)) OR (named instruments)) AND (health economics [HE]/quality of life [QoL] terms)] Topic specific databases: [(population terms)]	Full and partial economic evaluations, quality of life studies	General medical databases: Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO Topic specific databases: HTA, NHS EED	1998 to 20 January 2014

Section 2, generic searches					
Review area/s	Search type	Search construction	Study design searched	Databases searched	Date range searched
Pharmacological and medical interventions for acute episodes	Generic search	General medical databases: [(population terms version 1) AND (HE/QoL terms)] Topic specific databases: [(population terms)]	Full and partial economic evaluations, quality of life studies	General medical databases: Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO Topic specific databases: HTA, NHS EED	2005 to 20 January 2014
Pharmacological and medical interventions for long-term management	Generic search	General medical databases: [(population terms version 1) AND (HE/QoL terms)] Topic specific databases: [(population terms)]	Full and partial economic evaluations, quality of life studies	General medical databases: Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO Topic specific databases: HTA, NHS EED	2005 to 20 January 2014
Psychosocial interventions for adults	Generic search	General medical databases: [(population terms version 1) AND (HE/QoL terms)] Topic specific databases: [(population terms)]	Full and partial economic evaluations, quality of life studies	General medical databases: Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO Topic specific databases: HTA, NHS EED	2005 to 20 January 2014

Management of physical health	Generic search	General medical databases: [(population terms version 1) AND (HE/QoL terms)] Topic specific databases: [(population terms)]	Full and partial economic evaluations, quality of life studies	General medical databases: Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO Topic specific databases: HTA, NHS EED	2005 to 20 January 2014
<i>Note.</i> Evidence resulting from generic searches mapped to all review areas.					

1 Population search terms – all databases

1.1 STEM – general medical databases

Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO – OVID SP

Version 1

- 1 exp bipolar disorder/ or mania/
- 2 1 use emez
- 3 exp bipolar disorder/
- 4 3 use mesz, prem
- 5 exp bipolar disorder/ or exp mania/
- 6 5 use psych
- 7 ((bi?polar adj5 (disorder\$ or depress\$)) or ((cyclothymi\$ or rapid or ultradian) adj5 cycl\$) or hypomani\$ or mania\$ or manic\$ or mixed episode\$ or rcbd).ti,ab.
- 8 or/2,4,6-7

Version 2

- 1 exp bipolar disorder/ or mania/ or mood disorder/
- 2 1 use emez
- 3 exp bipolar disorder/ or mood disorders/
- 4 3 use mesz, prem
- 5 affective disorders/ or exp bipolar disorder/ or exp mania/
- 6 5 use psych
- 7 (bi?polar or ((cyclothymi\$ or rapid or ultradian) adj5 cycl\$) or hypomani\$ or mania\$ or manic\$ or mixed episode\$ or rcbd).ti,ab,tm.
- 8 ((affective\$ or mood) adj (disorder\$ or disturbance\$ or dysfunction\$ or illness\$ or swing\$)).ti,ab,tm.
- 9 or/2,4,6-8

1.2 STEM – topic specific databases

HTA, CDSR, DARE, CENTRAL – Wiley

Version 1

#1 mesh descriptor **bipolar disorder** explode all trees

(((bipolar or “bi polar”) near/5 (disorder* or depress*)) or
#2 ((cyclothymi* or rapid or ultradian) near/5 cycl*) or
hypomani* or mania* or manic* or “mixed episode*” or
rcbd):ti,ab,kw

#3 (#1 or #2)

Version 2

#1 mesh descriptor **bipolar disorder** explode all trees

#2 mesh descriptor **mood disorders** this tree only

((bipolar or "bi polar") near/5 (disorder* or depress*)) or

#3 ((cyclothymi* or rapid or ultradian) near/5 cycl*) or

hypomani* or mania* or manic* or "mixed episode*" or
rcbd):ti,ab,kw

#4 ((affective* or mood) near/1 (disorder* or disturbance* or
illness* or swing*)).ti,ab.

#5 (#1 or #2 or #3 or #4)

2. Question specific search strategies - all databases

2.1 Case identification and assessment

Review questions:

RQ: For adults at risk of or suspected as having bipolar disorder, what identification instruments when compared with a gold standard diagnosis (based on DSM or ICD criteria) have adequate clinical utility (that is, clinically useful with good sensitivity and specificity) and reliability?

RQ: For children (less than 13 years) and young people (13 to 18 years) at risk of or suspected of having bipolar disorder, what identification instruments when compared with a gold standard diagnosis (based on DSM or ICD criteria) have adequate clinical utility (that is, clinically useful with good sensitivity and specificity) and reliability?

RQ: For people with possible bipolar disorder, what are the key components of, and the most effective structure for, diagnostic assessment?

What amendments, if any, need to be made for (i) particular cultural or minority ethnic groups, (ii) people of different genders, (iii) children and young people, (iv) older adults?

2.11 General medical databases

Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO – OVID SP

1 checklist/ or clinical assessment tool/ or clinical assessment/ or clinical evaluation/ or exp computer assisted diagnosis/ or exp diagnostic test/ or functional assessment/ or geriatric assessment/ or measurement/ or needs assessment/ or nursing assessment/ or outcome assessment/ or patient assessment/ or predictive value/ or exp psychological test/ or psychometry/ or rating scale/ or risk assessment/ or scoring system/ or screening test/ or self evaluation/ or semi structured interview/ or "speech and language assessment"/ or structured interview/ or structured questionnaire/ or summated rating scale/

- 2 1 use emez
- 3 checklist/ or exp diagnosis, computer-assisted/ or diagnostic tests, routine/
or diagnostic, self evaluation/ or geriatric assessment/ or interview,
psychological/ or mass screening/ or needs assessment/ or exp nursing
assessment/ or "outcome and process assessment (health care)"/ or "outcome
assessment (health care)"/ or exp personality assessment/ or "predictive
value of tests"/ or exp psychiatric status rating scales/ or exp psychological
tests/ or exp questionnaires/ or risk assessment/
- 4 3 use mesz, prem
- 5 attitude measurement/ or exp attitude measures/ or comprehension tests/ or
computer assisted diagnosis/ or geriatric assessment/ or group testing/ or
individual testing/ or exp inventories/ or measurement/ or needs
assessment/ or exp perceptual measures/ or performance tests/ or exp
personality measures/ or exp preference measures/ or pretesting/ or
professional examinations/ or exp psychiatric evaluation/ or exp
psychodiagnostic interview/ or exp psychological assessment/ or
psychometrics/ or exp questionnaires/ or exp rating scales/ or exp reading
measures/ or exp retention measures/ or risk assessment/ or exp screening
tests/ or exp selection tests/ or self evaluation/ or sensorimotor measures/ or
sociometric tests/ or "speech and hearing measures"/ or standardized tests/
or subtests/ or symptom checklists/ or exp testing/ or testing methods/ or
exp test scores/ or verbal tests/
- 6 5 use psych
- 7 (index or instrument\$ or interview\$ or inventor\$ or item\$ or measure\$1 or
questionnaire\$ or rate\$ or rating or scale\$ or score\$ or screen\$ or (self adj
(assess\$ or report\$)) or subscale\$ or survey\$ or test\$ or tool\$).tw.
- 8 or/2,4,6-7
- 9 di.fs. or exp diagnosis/ or exp mass screening/ or screening test/
- 10 9 use emez
- 11 di.fs. or exp diagnosis/ or mass screening/ or nursing diagnosis/
- 12 11 use mesz, prem
- 13 exp diagnosis/ or exp health screening/ or screening/ or exp screening tests/
- 14 13 use psych
- 15 (assess\$ or detect\$ or diagnos\$ or evaluat\$ or identif\$ or psychodiagnos\$ or
recogni\$ or screen\$).tw.
- 16 or/10,12,14-15
- 17 8 and 16
- 18 (casefind\$ or ((case or tool\$) adj (find\$ or identif\$))).tw.
- 19 or/17-18
- 20 "area under the curve"/ or predictive validity/ or receiver operating
characteristic/ or reliability/ or "sensitivity and specificity"/ or test retest
reliability/ or validity/
- 21 20 use emez
- 22 "area under curve"/ or "predictive value of tests"/ or "reproducibility of
results"/ or roc curve/ or "sensitivity and specificity"/ or validation studies/
- 23 22 use mesz, prem

- 24 test reliability/ or test validity/
25 24 use psych
26 (accurac\$ or accurat\$ or area under curve or auc value\$ or (likelihood adj3 ratio\$) or (diagnostic adj2 odds ratio\$) or ((pretest or pre test or posttest or post test) adj2 probabilit\$) or (predict\$ adj3 value\$) or receiver operating characteristic or (roc adj2 curv\$) or reliabil\$ or sensitiv\$ or specificit\$ or valid\$).tw.
27 or/21,23,25-26
28 19 and 27
29 ((altman adj (selfrat\$ or self rat\$) adj mania adj2 scale\$) or arsm).tw.
30 (bipolar spectrum diagnostic scale\$ or bsds).tw.
31 ((bipolarity or bi polarity) adj index).tw.
32 ((child mania rating scale) or cmrs).ti,ab,tm.
33 (clinician-administered rating scale for mania or carsm or cars m).tw.
34 ((conner\$ abbreviated adj3 parent\$ adj3 question\$) or CAPQ).ti,ab,tm.
35 (hypomania checklist or hcl 32 or hcl32 or hcl32r1).tw.
36 (hypomanic personality adj (questionnaire\$ or scale\$)).tw.
37 ((screening assessment adj2 (depression adj2 polarity)) or sadp or sad p).tw.
38 ((parent adj4 young mania rating scale) or pymrs or p ymrs).tw.
39 (composite international diagnostic interview or cidi or cidi1 or cidi2 or cidi3 or cidisc).tw.
40 (general behavior inventory or gbi15 or gbi 15 or pgbi or p gbi).tw.
41 life chart.tw.
42 ((m3 or m 3) adj (checklist\$ or screen\$)).tw.
43 (((miniinternational or mini international) adj neuropsychiatric interview) or mini or miniplus).tw.
44 (mood swings questionnaire or msq).tw.
45 (mood disorder questionnaire or mdq).tw.
46 (provisional diagnostic instrument or pdi4 or pdi 4).tw.
47 or/29-46
48 or/28,47

3 Study design filters - all databases

3.1 Health economic and quality of life study design filter

Embase, MEDLINE, PreMEDLINE, PsycINFO - OVID SP

- 1 budget/ or exp economic evaluation/ or exp fee/ or funding/ or exp health care cost/ or health economics/ or exp pharmacoeconomics/ or resource allocation/
2 1 use emez
exp budgets/ or exp "costs and cost analysis"/ or economics/ or exp economics, hospital/ or exp economics, medical/ or economics, nursing/ or economics,
3 pharmaceutical/ or exp "fees and charges"/ or exp resource allocation/ or value of life/

- 4 3 use mesz, prem
exp "costs and cost analysis"/ or "cost containment"/ or economics/ or finance/
5 or funding/ or health care economics/ or pharmacoeconomics/ or exp
professional fees/ or resource allocation/
6 5 use psych
(cost\$ or economic\$ or pharmacoeconomic\$ or pharmaco economic\$).ti. or (cost\$ adj2
7 (effective\$ or utilit\$ or benefit\$ or minimi\$)).ab. or economic model\$.tw. or (budget\$ or
fee or fees or financ\$ or price or prices or pricing or resource\$ allocat\$ or (value adj2
(monetary or money))).ti,ab.
decision theory/ or decision tree/ or monte carlo method/ or *nonbiological
8 model/ or (statistical model/ and exp economic aspect/) or stochastic model/ or
*theoretical model/
9 8 use emez
10 exp decision theory/ or markov chains/ or exp models, economic/ or *models,
organizational/ or *models, theoretical/ or monte carlo method/
11 10 use mesz, prem
12 exp decision theory/ or exp stochastic modeling/
13 12 use psych
14 ((decision adj (analy\$ or model\$ or tree\$)) or economic model\$ or markov or
monte carlo).ti,ab.
15 quality adjusted life year/ or "quality of life index"/ or short form 12/ or short
form 20/ or short form 36/ or short form 8/ or sickness impact profile/
16 15 use emez
17 quality-adjusted life years/ or sickness impact profile/
18 17 use mesz, prem
19 "*quality of life"/
20 19 use psych
21 (((disability or quality) adj adjusted) or (adjusted adj2 life)).ti,ab.
22 (disutili\$ or (utilit\$ adj1 (health or score\$ or value\$ or weigh\$))).ti,ab.
23 (health year equivalent or hye or hyes).ti,ab.
24 (daly or qal or qald or qale or qaly or qtime\$ or qwbs\$).ti,ab.
25 discrete choice.ti,ab.
26 (euroqol\$ or euro qol\$ or eq5d\$ or eq 5d\$).ti,ab.
27 (hui or hui1 or hui2 or hui3).ti,ab.
28 ((quality adj2 (wellbeing or well being)) or quality adjusted life or qwb or (value adj2
(money or monetary))).ti,ab.
29 (qol or hql\$ or hqol\$ or h qol\$ or hrqol or hr qol or hr ql or hrql).ti,ab.
30 rosser.ti,ab.
31 sickness impact profile.ti,ab.
32 (standard gamble or time trade\$ or tto or willingness to pay).ti,ab.
33 (sf36 or sf 36 or short form 36 or shortform 36 or sf thirtysix or sf thirty six or

shortform thirtysix or shortform thirty six or short form thirtysix or short form thirty six).ti,ab.

34 (sf6 or sf 6 or short form 6 or shortform 6 or sf six or sfsix or shortform six or short form six).ti,ab.

35 (sf12 or sf 12 or short form 12 or shortform 12 or sf twelve or sftwelve or shortform twelve or short form twelve).ti,ab.

36 (sf16 or sf 16 or short form 16 or shortform 16 or sf sixteen or sfsixteen or shortform sixteen or short form sixteen).ti,ab

37 (sf20 or sf 20 or short form 20 or shortform 20 or sf twenty or sftwenty or shortform twenty or short form twenty).ti,ab.

38 or/ 2,4,6-7,9,11,13-14,16,18,20-37

APPENDIX 10: RESEARCH RECOMMENDATIONS

The GDG has made the following recommendations for research, based on its review of evidence, to improve NICE guidance and patient care in the future.

1. Psychological interventions for young people with bipolar depression

What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of structured psychological interventions for young people with bipolar depression?

Why this is important

There has been very little research regarding the clinical effectiveness of structured individual and group psychological interventions for children and young people with bipolar disorder. Research on unipolar depression in children and young people supports the effectiveness of cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), interpersonal therapy (IPT) and short-term family therapy. However, there have been no published trials investigating clinical and functional outcomes for young people with bipolar depression. Given the increasing emphasis on early interventions in related conditions such as psychosis and unipolar depression, it is important to know the form of psychological therapy that can benefit young people with bipolar disorder.

A high-quality, non-inferiority randomised controlled trial (RCT) should recruit young people during an acute episode of bipolar depression who are treated with structured psychological interventions (CBT compared with IPT). Interventions should be offered over 6–9 months, with a 9-month follow-up period. Key outcomes should include clinical recovery, symptom change, personal recovery or functional outcomes at the end of treatment and at 9-month follow-up, and cost effectiveness.

2. Maintenance treatment

In the maintenance treatment of bipolar disorder, what is the relative effect on quality of life of lithium, an antipsychotic (haloperidol, olanzapine, quetiapine or risperidone), or a combination of lithium and an antipsychotic?

Why this is important

Lithium and antipsychotic medication are known to reduce the risk of relapse when used long term in people with bipolar disorder. Relapses do still occur and the response is usually to add another mood-stabilising drug. However, lithium and antipsychotics are associated with a number of side effects, some of which can adversely affect physical health. The relative effects of lithium, an antipsychotic or a combination of these drugs, regarding efficacy, tolerability, cost effectiveness and quality of life are unknown. Such information is important to people with bipolar disorder to help them make an informed choice about the treatment options available to them, and to the NHS to inform the best use of resources.

The suggested programme of research should involve a pragmatic -arm RCT comparing lithium monotherapy with antipsychotic monotherapy (haloperidol, olanzapine, quetiapine or risperidone) and a combination of lithium and an antipsychotic. The study should last at least 1 year with the primary outcome being quality of life. Symptom control, relapse, function and economic outcomes should also be measured.

3. Antidepressants combined with antimanic medication in bipolar depression

What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of fluoxetine combined with olanzapine versus an alternative selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) combined with olanzapine in the treatment of moderate to severe bipolar depression?

Why this is important

Bipolar depression occurs three times more frequently than mania and is associated with suicide and impaired function and quality of life. The Guideline Development Group found that the combination of fluoxetine and olanzapine was the most clinically- and cost-effective treatment for bipolar depression. Antidepressants (imipramine, paroxetine and moclobemide) alone were ineffective compared with placebo. Olanzapine alone was an effective treatment for bipolar depression, but not as effective as olanzapine and fluoxetine in combination. However, for many people some antidepressants are ineffective or cannot be tolerated. For these people the NICE clinical guideline on depression in adults³ recommends changing to another antidepressant from the same or a different class.

A two-arm non-inferiority RCT of the combination of fluoxetine and olanzapine within BNF therapeutic levels compared with an alternative SSRI and olanzapine for moderate or severe bipolar depression with a 12-week follow-up period should be carried out. The primary clinical outcome should be depression response. Secondary outcomes should be depression remission, function, anxiety symptoms, emergent mania or hypomania symptoms, other adverse outcomes, quality of life and cost effectiveness.

4. A specialised collaborative care service for people with bipolar disorder

What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of a specialised collaborative care service for people admitted to hospital with bipolar disorder compared with usual treatment delivered by generic care services?

Why this is important

There is moderate-quality evidence of the effectiveness of a specialised collaborative care service compared with usual treatment in reducing hospitalisation in two studies (from Denmark and the US). There is no overall evidence of an effect on

³ NICE. Depression in Adults: The Treatment and Management of Depression in Adults. NICE clinical guideline 90. London: NICE; 2009. Available from: www.nice.org.uk/CG90

relapse or other outcomes. An economic analysis from one study showed that better clinical outcomes were achieved at two-thirds of the overall cost of usual treatment. If similar results were obtained in England, then better care for a substantially reduced cost might be achieved.

A two-arm multicentre RCT of a specialist collaborative care service for people admitted to hospital with bipolar disorder compared with usual treatment, with follow-up of at least 2 years, is needed. Community alternatives to hospitalisation should be included. The specialist intervention should be based on collaborative care principles, including group or other psychoeducation to promote self-management, care coordination and algorithm-derived psychotropic medication (in line with this guideline) under the direction of a psychiatrist. Feasibility and acceptability development work should involve collaborating with people with bipolar disorder and professionals to demonstrate sustainable service delivery and recruitment, and identify and address possible barriers to such a service. Clinical outcomes should include time to next bipolar episode, mania and depression symptoms, function, recovery and quality of life. Economic outcomes should consider health, social care and personal costs.

5. Cognitive behavioural therapy for the long-term management of bipolar disorder

What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of face-to-face cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) compared with internet-facilitated CBT in the long-term management of bipolar disorder?

Why this is important

The Guideline Development Group found that individual structured psychological interventions are clinically effective. Studies support the efficacy of individual CBT, but evidence for long-term benefits of internet-based interventions is less conclusive. Internet-facilitated CBT has the potential to deliver the key components of face-to-face CBT in a more cost-effective and accessible format. If this proves to be the case then increased access to cost-effective psychological care could be rapidly achieved. The proposed research programme would have 2 phases: (1) software development of internet-facilitated CBT including alpha, beta and feasibility and acceptability testing to confirm that the intervention is safe, acceptable and used by potential patients; (2) a two-arm non-inferiority RCT comparing internet-facilitated CBT with individual face-to-face CBT designed for bipolar disorder. Participants should be aged 16 years and over and be in a state of euthymia when recruited. The primary outcomes should be personal recovery and quality of life at 12-month follow-up. Secondary outcomes should be time to relapse, social and occupational functioning, and cost effectiveness.