

# Antisocial personality disorder: prevention and management

Clinical guideline

Published: 28 January 2009

Last updated: 27 March 2013

[www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg77](https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg77)

## Your responsibility

The recommendations in this guideline represent the view of NICE, arrived at after careful consideration of the evidence available. When exercising their judgement, professionals and practitioners are expected to take this guideline fully into account, alongside the individual needs, preferences and values of their patients or the people using their service. It is not mandatory to apply the recommendations, and the guideline does not override the responsibility to make decisions appropriate to the circumstances of the individual, in consultation with them and their families and carers or guardian.

All problems (adverse events) related to a medicine or medical device used for treatment or in a procedure should be reported to the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency using the [Yellow Card Scheme](#).

Local commissioners and providers of healthcare have a responsibility to enable the guideline to be applied when individual professionals and people using services wish to use it. They should do so in the context of local and national priorities for funding and developing services, and in light of their duties to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, to advance equality of opportunity and to reduce health inequalities. Nothing in this guideline should be interpreted in a way that would be inconsistent with complying with those duties.

Commissioners and providers have a responsibility to promote an environmentally sustainable health and care system and should [assess and reduce the environmental impact of implementing NICE recommendations](#) wherever possible.

# Contents

Overview .....	4
Who is it for? .....	4
Recommendations.....	5
1.1 General principles for working with people with antisocial personality disorder .....	5
1.2 Prevention of antisocial personality disorder – working with children and young people and their families .....	9
1.3 Assessment and risk management of antisocial personality disorder.....	10
1.4 Treatment and management of antisocial personality disorder and related and comorbid disorders .....	14
1.5 Psychopathy and dangerous and severe personality disorder.....	17
1.6 Organisation and planning of services .....	18
Terms used in this guideline.....	22
Recommendations for research .....	24
1 Severity as a potential moderator of effect in group-based cognitive and behavioural interventions .....	24
2 Group-based cognitive and behavioural interventions for populations outside criminal justice settings.....	25
3 Effectiveness of multisystemic therapy versus functional family therapy .....	25
4 Interventions for infants at high risk of developing conduct disorders.....	26
5 Treatment of comorbid anxiety disorders in antisocial personality disorder.....	27
6 Using selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors to increase cooperative behaviour in people with antisocial personality disorder in a prison setting .....	27
7 A therapeutic community approach for antisocial personality disorder in a prison setting .....	28
Context .....	30
Finding more information and committee details.....	32
Update information .....	33

This guideline is the basis of QS88.

## Overview

This guideline covers principles for working with people with antisocial personality disorder, including dealing with crises (crisis resolution). It aims to help people with antisocial personality disorder manage feelings of anger, distress, anxiety and depression, and to reduce offending and antisocial behaviour.

## Who is it for?

- Healthcare professionals
- People with antisocial personality disorder, their families and carers

# Recommendations

People have the right to be involved in discussions and make informed decisions about their care, as described in [NICE's information on making decisions about your care](#).

[Making decisions using NICE guidelines](#) explains how we use words to show the strength (or certainty) of our recommendations, and has information about prescribing medicines (including off-label use), professional guidelines, standards and laws (including on consent and mental capacity), and safeguarding.

Health and care professionals should follow our general guidelines for people delivering care:

- [babies, children and young people's experience of healthcare](#)
- [decision making and mental capacity](#)
- [multimorbidity](#)
- [service user experience in adult mental health](#)
- [shared decision making](#)
- [supporting adult carers](#)
- [transition from children's to adults' services](#).

The following guidance is based on the best available evidence. The [full guideline](#) gives details of the methods and the evidence used to develop the guidance.

## 1.1 General principles for working with people with antisocial personality disorder

People with antisocial personality disorder have tended to be excluded from services, the [Department of Health's Personality disorder: no longer a diagnosis of exclusion](#) aims to

address this. To change the current position, staff need to work actively to engage people with antisocial personality disorder in treatment. Evidence from both clinical trials and scientific studies of antisocial personality disorder shows that positive and reinforcing approaches to the treatment of antisocial personality disorder are more likely to be successful than those that are negative or punitive.

## 1.1.1 Access and assessment

- 1.1.1.1 People with antisocial personality disorder should not be excluded from any health or social care service because of their diagnosis or history of antisocial or offending behaviour.
- 1.1.1.2 Seek to minimise any disruption to therapeutic interventions for people with antisocial personality disorder by:
- ensuring that in the initial planning and delivery of treatment, transfers from institutional to community settings take into account the need to continue treatment
  - avoiding unnecessary transfer of care between institutions whenever possible during an intervention, to prevent disruption to the agreed treatment plan. This should be considered at initial planning of treatment.
- 1.1.1.3 When language or literacy is a barrier to accessing or engaging with services for people with antisocial personality disorder, provide psychological or other interventions in their preferred language.
- 1.1.1.4 When a diagnosis of antisocial personality disorder is made, discuss the implications of it with the person, the family or carers where appropriate, and relevant staff, and:
- emphasise that the diagnosis does not limit access to a range of appropriate treatments for comorbid mental health disorders
  - provide information on and clarify the respective roles of the healthcare, social care and criminal justice services.
- 1.1.1.5 When working with women with antisocial personality disorder take into account

the higher incidences of common comorbid mental health problems and other personality disorders in such women, and:

- adapt interventions in light of this (for example, extend their duration)
- ensure that in inpatient and residential settings the increased vulnerability of these women is taken into account.

1.1.1.6 Staff, in particular key workers, working with people with antisocial personality disorder should establish regular one-to-one meetings to review progress, even when the primary mode of treatment is group based.

## 1.1.2 People with disabilities and acquired cognitive impairments

1.1.2.1 When a person with learning or physical disabilities or acquired cognitive impairments presents with symptoms and behaviour that suggest antisocial personality disorder, staff involved in assessment and diagnosis should consider consulting with a relevant specialist.

1.1.2.2 Staff providing interventions for people with antisocial personality disorder with learning or physical disabilities or acquired cognitive impairments should, where possible, provide the same interventions as for other people with antisocial personality disorder. Staff might need to adjust the method of delivery or duration of the intervention to take account of the disability or impairment.

## 1.1.3 Autonomy and choice

1.1.3.1 Work in partnership with people with antisocial personality disorder to develop their autonomy and promote choice by:

- ensuring that they remain actively involved in finding solutions to their problems, including during crises
- encouraging them to consider the different treatment options and life choices available to them, and the consequences of the choices they make.

## 1.1.4 Developing an optimistic and trusting relationship

- 1.1.4.1 Staff working with people with antisocial personality disorder should recognise that a positive and rewarding approach is more likely to be successful than a punitive approach in engaging and retaining people in treatment. Staff should explore treatment options in an atmosphere of hope and optimism, explaining that recovery is possible and attainable.

## 1.1.5 Engagement and motivation

- 1.1.5.1 When providing interventions for people with antisocial personality disorder, particularly in residential and institutional settings, pay attention to motivating them to attend and engage with treatment. This should happen at initial assessment and be an integral and continuing part of any intervention, as people with antisocial personality disorder are vulnerable to premature withdrawal from treatment and supportive interventions.

## 1.1.6 Involving families and carers

- 1.1.6.1 Ask directly whether the person with antisocial personality disorder wants their family or carers to be involved in their care, and, subject to the person's consent and rights to confidentiality:
- encourage families or carers to be involved
  - ensure that the involvement of families or carers does not lead to a withdrawal of, or lack of access to, services
  - inform families or carers about local support groups for families or carers.
- 1.1.6.2 Consider the needs of families and carers of people with antisocial personality disorder and pay particular attention to the:
- impact of antisocial and offending behaviours on the family
  - consequences of significant drug or alcohol misuse



- needs of and risks to any children in the family and the safeguarding of their interests.

## 1.2 Prevention of antisocial personality disorder – working with children and young people and their families

The evidence for the treatment of antisocial personality disorder in adult life is limited and the outcomes of interventions are modest. The evidence for working with children and young people who are at risk, and their families, points to the potential value of preventative measures. See [terms used in this guideline for definitions of the psychological interventions referred to](#).

### 1.2.1 General principles

1.2.1.1 Child and adolescent mental health service (CAMHS) professionals working with young people should:

- balance the developing autonomy and capacity of the young person with the responsibilities of parents and carers
- be familiar with the legal framework that applies to young people, including the Mental Capacity Act, the Children Acts and the Mental Health Act.

### 1.2.2 Identifying children at risk of developing conduct problems

1.2.2.1 Services should establish robust methods to identify children at risk of developing conduct problems, integrated when possible with the established local assessment system. These should focus on identifying vulnerable parents, where appropriate antenatally, including:

- parents with other mental health problems, or with significant drug or alcohol problems.

- mothers younger than 18 years, particularly those with a history of maltreatment in childhood
- parents with a history of residential care
- parents with significant previous or current contact with the criminal justice system.

1.2.2.2 When identifying vulnerable parents, take care not to intensify any stigma associated with the intervention or increase the child's problems by labelling them as antisocial or problematic.

### **1.2.3 Interventions for children with conduct problems younger than 12 years and their families**

1.2.3.1 Additional interventions targeted specifically at the parents of children with conduct problems (such as interventions for parental, marital or interpersonal problems) should not be provided routinely alongside parent-training programmes, as they are unlikely to have an impact on the child's conduct problems.

### **1.2.4 Transition from child and adolescent services to adult services**

1.2.4.1 Health and social care services should consider referring vulnerable young people with a history of conduct disorder or contact with youth offending schemes, or those who have been receiving interventions for conduct and related disorders, to appropriate adult services for continuing assessment and/or treatment.

## **1.3 Assessment and risk management of antisocial personality disorder**

In primary and secondary care services, antisocial personality disorder is under-

recognised. When it is identified, significant comorbid disorders such as treatable depression or anxiety are often not detected. In secondary and forensic services there are important concerns about assessing risk of violence and risk of harm to self and others.

### 1.3.1 Assessment

1.3.1.1 When assessing a person with possible antisocial personality disorder, healthcare professionals in secondary and forensic mental health services should conduct a full assessment of:

- antisocial behaviours
- personality functioning, coping strategies, strengths and vulnerabilities
- comorbid mental disorders (including depression and anxiety, drug or alcohol misuse, post-traumatic stress disorder and other personality disorders)
- the need for psychological treatment, social care and support, and occupational rehabilitation or development
- domestic violence and abuse.

1.3.1.2 Staff involved in the assessment of antisocial personality disorder in secondary and specialist services should use structured assessment methods whenever possible to increase the validity of the assessment. For forensic services, the use of measures such as PCL-R or PCL-SV to assess the severity of antisocial personality disorder should be part of the routine assessment process.

1.3.1.3 Staff working in primary and secondary care services (for example, drug and alcohol services) and community services (for example, the probation service) that include a high proportion of people with antisocial personality disorder should be alert to the possibility of antisocial personality disorder in service users. Where antisocial personality disorder is suspected and the person is seeking help, consider offering a referral to an appropriate forensic mental health service depending on the nature of the presenting complaint. For example, for depression and anxiety this may be to general mental health services; for problems directly relating to the personality disorder it may be to a specialist personality disorder or forensic service.

## 1.3.2 Risk assessment and management

Risk assessment is part of the overall approach to assessment and care planning as defined in the framework of the Care Programme Approach, and the following recommendations should be regarded in that context.

### Primary care services

1.3.2.1 Assessing risk of violence is not routine in primary care, but if such assessment is required consider:

- current or previous violence, including severity, circumstances, precipitants and victims
- the presence of comorbid mental disorders and/or substance misuse
- current life stressors, relationships and life events
- additional information from written records or families and carers (subject to the person's consent and right to confidentiality), because the person with antisocial personality disorder might not always be a reliable source of information.

1.3.2.2 Healthcare professionals in primary care should consider contact with and/or referral to secondary or forensic services where there is current violence or threats that suggest significant risk and/or a history of serious violence, including predatory offending or targeting of children or other vulnerable people.

### Secondary care services

1.3.2.3 When assessing the risk of violence in secondary care mental health services, take a detailed history of violence and consider and record:

- current or previous violence, including severity, circumstances, precipitants and victims
- contact with the criminal justice system, including convictions and periods of imprisonment

- the presence of comorbid mental disorder and/or substance misuse
  - current life stressors, relationships and life events
  - additional information from written records or families and carers (subject to the person's consent and right to confidentiality), as the person with antisocial personality disorder might not always be a reliable source of information.
- 1.3.2.4 The initial risk management should be directed at crisis resolution and ameliorating any acute aggravating factors. The history of previous violence should be an important guide in the development of any future violence risk management plan.
- 1.3.2.5 Staff in secondary care mental health services should consider a referral to forensic services where there is:
- current violence or threat that suggests immediate risk or disruption to the operation of the service
  - a history of serious violence, including predatory offending or targeting of children or other vulnerable people.

### **Specialist personality disorder or forensic services**

- 1.3.2.6 When assessing the risk of violence in forensic, specialist personality disorder or tertiary mental health services, take a detailed history of violence, and consider and record:
- current and previous violence, including severity, circumstances, precipitants and victims
  - contact with the criminal justice system, including convictions and periods of imprisonment
  - the presence of comorbid mental disorder and/or substance misuse
  - current life stressors, relationships and life events

- additional information from written records or families and carers (subject to the person's consent and right to confidentiality), as the person with antisocial personality disorder might not always be a reliable source of information.

1.3.2.7 Healthcare professionals in forensic or specialist personality disorder services should consider, as part of a structured clinical assessment, routinely using:

- a standardised measure of the severity of antisocial personality disorder (for example, PCL-R or PCL-SV)
- a formal assessment tool such as HCR-20 to develop a risk management strategy.

### 1.3.3 Risk management

1.3.3.1 Services should develop a comprehensive risk management plan for people with antisocial personality disorder who are considered to be of high risk. The plan should involve other agencies in health and social care services and the criminal justice system. Probation services should take the lead role when the person is on a community sentence or is on licence from prison with mental health and social care services providing support and liaison. Such cases should routinely be referred to the local Multi-Agency Public Protection Panel.

## 1.4 Treatment and management of antisocial personality disorder and related and comorbid disorders

The evidence base for the treatment of antisocial personality disorder is limited. In the development of the recommendations set out below these limitations were addressed by drawing on four related sources of evidence, namely, evidence for: (1) interventions targeted specifically at antisocial personality disorder; (2) the treatment and management of the symptoms and behaviours associated with antisocial personality disorder, such as impulsivity and aggression; (3) the treatment of comorbid disorders such as depression and drug misuse; and (4) the management of offending behaviour. Although the focus of

several interventions is offending behaviour, the interventions have the potential to help people with antisocial personality disorder address a wider range of antisocial behaviours with consequent benefits for themselves and others.

## 1.4.1 General principles

- 1.4.1.1 People with antisocial personality disorder should be offered treatment for any comorbid disorders in line with recommendations in the relevant NICE guideline, where available (see the [NICE topic page on mental health, behavioural and neurodevelopmental conditions](#)). This should happen regardless of whether the person is receiving treatment for antisocial personality disorder.
- 1.4.1.2 When providing psychological or pharmacological interventions for antisocial personality disorder, offending behaviour or comorbid disorders to people with antisocial personality disorder, be aware of the potential for and possible impact of:
- poor concordance
  - high attrition
  - misuse of prescribed medication
  - drug interactions (including with alcohol and illicit drugs).
- 1.4.1.3 When providing psychological interventions for comorbid disorders to people with antisocial personality disorder, consider lengthening their duration or increasing their intensity.

## 1.4.2 The role of psychological interventions

- 1.4.2.1 For people with antisocial personality disorder, including those with substance misuse problems, in community and mental health services, consider offering group-based cognitive and behavioural interventions, in order to address problems such as impulsivity, interpersonal difficulties and antisocial behaviour.
- 1.4.2.2 For people with antisocial personality disorder with a history of offending

behaviour who are in community and institutional care, consider offering group-based cognitive and behavioural interventions (for example, programmes such as 'reasoning and rehabilitation') focused on reducing offending and other antisocial behaviour.

1.4.2.3 For young offenders aged 17 years or younger with a history of offending behaviour who are in institutional care, offer group-based cognitive and behavioural interventions aimed at young offenders and that are focused on reducing offending and other antisocial behaviour.

1.4.2.4 When providing cognitive and behavioural interventions:

- assess the level of risk and adjust the duration and intensity of the programme accordingly (participants at all levels of risk may benefit from these interventions)
- provide support and encouragement to help participants to attend and complete programmes, including people who are legally mandated to do so.

### 1.4.3 The role of pharmacological interventions

1.4.3.1 Pharmacological interventions should not be routinely used for the treatment of antisocial personality disorder or associated behaviours of aggression, anger and impulsivity.

1.4.3.2 Pharmacological interventions for comorbid mental disorders, in particular depression and anxiety, should be in line with recommendations in the relevant NICE guideline (see the [NICE topic page on mental health, behavioural and neurodevelopmental conditions](#)). When starting and reviewing medication for comorbid mental disorders, pay particular attention to issues of adherence and the risks of misuse or overdose.

### 1.4.4 Drug and alcohol misuse

Drug and alcohol misuse occurs commonly alongside antisocial personality disorder, and is likely to aggravate risk of harm to self and others and behavioural disturbances in people with antisocial personality disorder.



- 1.4.4.1 For people with antisocial personality disorder who misuse drugs, in particular opioids or stimulants, offer psychological interventions (in particular, contingency management programmes) in line with recommendations in the relevant NICE guideline (see the [NICE topic page on mental health, behavioural and neurodevelopmental conditions](#)).
- 1.4.4.2 For people with antisocial personality disorder who misuse or are dependent on alcohol, offer psychological and pharmacological interventions in line with existing national guidance for the treatment and management of alcohol disorders.
- 1.4.4.3 For people with antisocial personality disorder who are in institutional care and who misuse or are dependent on drugs or alcohol, consider referral to a specialist therapeutic community focused on the treatment of drug and alcohol problems.

## 1.5 Psychopathy and dangerous and severe personality disorder

People with psychopathy and people who meet criteria for dangerous and severe personality disorder (DSPD) represent a small proportion of people with antisocial personality disorder. However, they present a very high risk of harm to others and consume a significant proportion of the services for people with antisocial personality disorder. In the absence of any high-quality evidence for the treatment of DSPD, the Guideline Development Group drew on the evidence for the treatment of antisocial personality disorder to arrive at their recommendations. Interventions will often need to be adapted for DSPD (for example, a significant extension of the duration of the intervention). People with DSPD can be seen as having a lifelong disability that requires continued input and support over many years.

### 1.5.1 Adapting interventions for people who meet criteria for psychopathy or DSPD

- 1.5.1.1 For people in community and institutional settings who meet criteria for psychopathy or DSPD, consider cognitive and behavioural interventions (for example, programmes such as 'reasoning and rehabilitation') focused on reducing

offending and other antisocial behaviour. These interventions should be adapted for this group by extending the nature (for example, concurrent individual and group sessions) and duration of the intervention, and by providing booster sessions, continued follow-up and close monitoring.

- 1.5.1.2 For people who meet criteria for psychopathy or DSPD, offer treatment for any comorbid disorders in line with existing NICE guidance (see the [NICE topic page on mental health, behavioural and neurodevelopmental conditions](#)). This should happen regardless of whether the person is receiving treatment for psychopathy or DSPD because effective treatment of comorbid disorders may reduce the risk associated with psychopathy or DSPD.

## 1.5.2 Intensive staff support

- 1.5.2.1 Staff providing interventions for people who meet criteria for psychopathy or DSPD should receive high levels of support and close supervision, due to increased risk of harm. This may be provided by staff outside the unit.

## 1.6 Organisation and planning of services

There has been a considerable expansion of services for people with antisocial personality disorder in recent years involving a wider range of agencies in the health and social care sector, the non-statutory sector and the criminal justice system. If the full benefit of these additional services is to be realised, effective care pathways and specialist networks need to be developed.

### 1.6.1 Multi-agency care

- 1.6.1.1 Provision of services for people with antisocial personality disorder often involves significant inter-agency working. Therefore, services should ensure that there are clear pathways for people with antisocial personality disorder so that the most effective multi-agency care is provided. These pathways should:

- specify the various interventions that are available at each point

- enable effective communication among clinicians and organisations at all points and provide the means to resolve differences and disagreements.

Clearly agreed local criteria should also be established to facilitate the transfer of people with antisocial personality disorder between services. As far as is possible, shared objective criteria should be developed relating to comprehensive assessment of need and risk.

1.6.1.2 Services should consider establishing antisocial personality disorder networks, where possible linked to other personality disorder networks. (They may be organised at the level of primary care trusts, local authorities, strategic health authorities or government offices.) These networks should be multi-agency, should actively involve people with antisocial personality disorder and should:

- take a significant role in training staff, including those in primary care, general, secondary and forensic mental health services, and in the criminal justice system
- have resources to provide specialist support and supervision for staff
- take a central role in the development of standards for and the coordination of clinical pathways
- monitor the effective operation of clinical pathways.

## 1.6.2 Inpatient services

1.6.2.1 Healthcare professionals should normally only consider admitting people with antisocial personality disorder to inpatient services for crisis management or for the treatment of comorbid disorders. Admission should be brief, where possible set out in a previously agreed crisis plan and have a defined purpose and end point.

1.6.2.2 Admission to inpatient services solely for the treatment of antisocial personality disorder or its associated risks is likely to be a lengthy process and should:

- be under the care of forensic/specialist personality disorder services

- not usually be under a hospital order under a section of the Mental Health Act (in the rare instance that this is done, seek advice from a forensic/specialist personality service).

### 1.6.3 Staff training, supervision, support

Working in services for people with antisocial personality disorder presents a considerable challenge for staff. Effective training and support is crucial so that staff can adhere to the specified treatment programme and manage any emotional pressures arising from their work.

#### Staff competencies

- 1.6.3.1 All staff working with people with antisocial personality disorder should be familiar with the Department of Health's Ten essential shared capabilities: a framework for the whole of the mental health workforce, and have a knowledge and awareness of antisocial personality disorder that facilitates effective working with service users, families or carers, and colleagues.
- 1.6.3.2 All staff working with people with antisocial personality disorder should have skills appropriate to the nature and level of contact with service users. These skills include:
- for all frontline staff, knowledge about antisocial personality disorder and understanding behaviours in context, including awareness of the potential for therapeutic boundary violations (for example, inappropriate relations with service users)
  - for staff with regular and sustained contact with people with antisocial personality disorder, the ability to respond effectively to the needs of service users
  - for staff with direct therapeutic or management roles, competence in the specific treatment interventions and management strategies used in the service.
- 1.6.3.3 Services should ensure that all staff providing psychosocial or pharmacological

interventions for the treatment or prevention of antisocial personality disorder are competent and properly qualified and supervised, and that they adhere closely to the structure and duration of the interventions as set out in the relevant treatment manuals. This should be achieved through:

- use of competence frameworks based on relevant treatment manuals
- routine use of sessional outcome measures
- routine direct monitoring and evaluation of staff adherence, for example through the use of video and audio tapes and external audit and scrutiny where appropriate.

### **Supervision and support**

1.6.3.4 Services should ensure that staff supervision is built into the routine working of the service, is properly resourced within local systems and is monitored. Supervision, which may be provided by staff external to the service, should:

- make use of direct observation (for example, recordings of sessions) and routine outcome measures
- support adherence to the specific intervention
- promote general therapeutic consistency and reliability
- counter negative attitudes among staff.

1.6.3.5 Forensic services should ensure that systems for all staff working with people with antisocial personality disorder are in place that provide:

- comprehensive induction programmes in which the purpose of the service is made clear
- a supportive and open environment that encourages reflective practice and honesty about individual difficulties such as the potential for therapeutic boundary violations (such as inappropriate relations with service users)
- continuing staff support to review and explore the ethical and clinical challenges involved in working in high-intensity environments, thereby building staff capacity and resilience.

## Terms used in this guideline

### Anger control

Usually offered to children who are aggressive at school, anger control includes a number of cognitive and behavioural techniques similar to cognitive problem-solving skills training (see below). It also includes training of other skills such as relaxation and social skills.

### Brief strategic family therapy

An intervention that is systemic in focus and is influenced by other approaches. The main elements include engaging and supporting the family, identifying maladaptive family interactions and seeking to promote new and more adaptive family interactions.

### Cognitive problem-solving skills training

An intervention that aims to reduce children's conduct problems by teaching them different responses to interpersonal situations. Using cognitive and behavioural techniques with the child, the training has a focus on thought processes. The training includes:

- teaching a step-by-step approach to solving interpersonal problems
- structured tasks such as games and stories to aid the development of skills
- combining a variety of approaches including modelling and practice, role-playing and reinforcement.

### Functional family therapy

A family-based intervention that is behavioural in focus. The main elements include engagement and motivation of the family in treatment, problem-solving and behaviour change through parent-training and communication-training, and seeking to generalise change from specific behaviours to positively influence interactions both within the family and with community agencies such as schools.

### Multidimensional treatment foster care

Using strategies from family therapy and behaviour therapy to intervene directly in

systems and processes related to antisocial behaviour (for example, parental discipline, family affective relations, peer associations and school performances) for children or young people in foster care and other out-of-home placements. This includes group meetings and other support for the foster parents and family therapy with the child's biological parents.

## **Multisystemic therapy**

Using strategies from family therapy and behaviour therapy to intervene directly in systems and processes related to antisocial behaviour (for example, parental discipline, family affective relations, peer associations and school performances) for children or young people.

## **Parent-training programmes**

An intervention that aims to teach the principles of child behaviour management, to increase parental competence and confidence in raising children and to improve the parent/carer-child relationship by using good communication and positive attention to aid the child's development. Examples of well-developed programmes are the Triple P (Sanders et al. 2000) and Webster-Stratton (Webster-Stratton et al. 1988).

## **Self-talk**

The internal conversation a person has with themselves in response to a situation. Using or changing self-talk is a part of anger control training (see above).

## **Social problem skills training**

A specialist form of cognitive problem-solving training that aims to:

- modify and expand the child's interpersonal appraisal processes through developing a more sophisticated understanding of beliefs and desires in others
- improve the child's capacity to regulate his or her own emotional responses.

## Recommendations for research

The guideline development group has made the following recommendations for research, based on its review of evidence, to improve NICE guidance and patient care in the future. The relatively large number of recommendations made reflects the paucity of research in this area.

### 1 Severity as a potential moderator of effect in group-based cognitive and behavioural interventions

Does the pre-treatment level of the severity of disorder/problem have an impact on the outcome of group-based cognitive and behavioural interventions for offending behaviour? A meta-analysis of individual participant data should be conducted to determine whether the level of severity assessed at the beginning of the intervention moderates the effect of the intervention. The study (for which there are large data sets that include over 10,000 participants) could inform the design of a large-scale RCT (including potential modifications of cognitive and behavioural interventions) to test the impact of severity on the outcome of cognitive and behavioural interventions.

#### Why this is important

Research has established the efficacy of cognitive and behavioural interventions in reducing reoffending. However, the effects of these interventions in a range of offending populations are modest. The impact of severity on the outcome of these interventions has not been systematically investigated, and post hoc analyses and meta-regression of risk as a moderating factor have been inconclusive. Expert opinion suggests that severe or high-risk individuals may not benefit from cognitive and behavioural interventions, but if they were to be of benefit then the cost savings could be considerable.



## **2 Group-based cognitive and behavioural interventions for populations outside criminal justice settings**

Are group-based cognitive and behavioural interventions effective in reducing the behaviours associated with antisocial personality disorder (such as impulsivity, rule-breaking, deceitfulness, irritability, aggressiveness and disregard for the safety of self or others)? This should be tested in an RCT that examines medium-term outcomes (including cost effectiveness) over a period of at least 18 months. It should pay particular attention to the modification and development of the interventions to ensure the focus is not just on offending behaviour, but on all aspects of the challenging behaviours associated with antisocial personality disorder.

### **Why this is important**

Not all people with antisocial personality disorder are offenders but they exhibit a wide range of antisocial behaviours. However, the evidence for the treatment of these behaviours outside the criminal justice system is extremely limited. Following publication of the Department of Health's policy guidance, 'Personality disorder: no longer a diagnosis of exclusion' (2003), it is likely that there will be an increased requirement in the NHS to offer treatments for antisocial personality disorder.

## **3 Effectiveness of multisystemic therapy versus functional family therapy**

Is multisystemic therapy or functional family therapy more clinically and cost effective in the treatment of adolescents with conduct disorders? A large-scale RCT comparing the clinical and cost effectiveness of multisystemic therapy and functional family therapy for adolescents with conduct disorders should be conducted. It should examine the medium-term outcomes (for example, offending behaviour, mental state, educational and vocational outcomes and family functioning) over a period of at least 18 months. The study should also be designed to explore the moderators and mediators of treatment effect, which could help to determine the factors associated with benefits or harms of either multisystemic therapy or functional family therapy.

## Why this is important

Multisystemic therapy and functional family therapy are two interventions with a relatively strong evidence base in the treatment of adolescents with conduct disorders, but there have been no studies directly comparing their clinical and cost effectiveness. Their use in health and social care services in the UK is increasing. Both interventions target the same population, but although they share some common elements (that is, work with the family), multisystemic therapy is focused on both the family and the wider resources of the school, community and criminal justice systems, and through intensive individual case work seeks to change the pattern of antisocial behaviour. In contrast, functional family therapy focuses more on the immediate family environment and uses the resources of the family to change the pattern of antisocial behaviour. The study should be designed to facilitate the identification of sub-groups within the conduct disorder population who may benefit from either multisystemic therapy or functional family therapy.

## 4 Interventions for infants at high risk of developing conduct disorders

Do specially designed parent-training programmes focused on sensitivity enhancement (a set of techniques designed to improve secure attachment behaviour between parents and children) reduce the risk of behavioural disorders, including conduct problems and delinquency, in infants at high risk of developing these problems? An RCT comparing parent-training programmes focused on sensitivity enhancement with usual care should be undertaken. It should examine the long-term outcomes over a period of at least 5 years, but with consideration given to the possibility of a further 10-year follow-up. The study should also be designed to explore the moderators and mediators of treatment effect that could help determine the factors associated with benefits or harms of the intervention.

## Why this is important

There is limited evidence from non-UK studies that interventions focused on developing better parent–child attachment can have benefits for infants at risk of developing conduct disorder. Determining the criteria and then identifying children at high risk (usually via parental risk factors) is difficult and challenging. Even when these factors are agreed, engaging parents in treatment can be difficult. It is important that a range of effective interventions is developed to increase the treatment choice and opportunities for high-risk groups. Several interventions, such as Nurse–Family Practitioners, are being developed

and trialled in the UK. It is important for this group of children to have an alternative, effective intervention.

## **5 Treatment of comorbid anxiety disorders in antisocial personality disorder**

Does the effective treatment of anxiety disorders in antisocial personality disorder improve the long-term outcome for antisocial personality disorder? An RCT of people with antisocial personality disorder and comorbid anxiety disorders that compares a sequenced treatment programme for the anxiety disorder with usual care should be conducted. It should examine, over a period of at least 18 months, the medium-term outcomes for key symptoms and behaviours associated with antisocial personality disorder (including offending behaviour, deceitfulness, irritability and aggressiveness, and disregard for the safety of self or others), as well as drug and alcohol misuse, and anxiety. The study should also be designed to explore the moderators and mediators of treatment effect which could help determine the role of anxiety in the course of antisocial personality disorder.

### **Why this is important**

Comorbidity with Axis I disorders is common in antisocial personality disorder, and chronic anxiety has been identified as a particular disorder that may exacerbate the problems associated with antisocial personality disorder. There are effective treatments (psychological and pharmacological) for anxiety disorders but they are often not offered to people with antisocial personality disorder. Current treatment guidelines set out clear pathways for the stepped or sequenced care of people with anxiety disorders. An RCT to test the benefit of this approach in the treatment of anxiety would potentially lead to a significant reduction in illness burden but a reduction in antisocial behaviour would have wider societal benefits. The study should provide important information on the challenges of delivering these interventions for a population that has typically both rejected and been refused treatment.

## **6 Using selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors to increase cooperative behaviour in people with antisocial personality disorder in a prison setting**

Although there is evidence that selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), such as

paroxetine, increase cooperative behaviour in normal people and do so independently of the level of sub-syndromal depression, this has yet to be tested in other settings. Given that people with antisocial personality disorder are likely to have difficulties cooperating with one another (because of a host of personality traits that include persistent rule-breaking for personal advantage, suspiciousness, grandiosity, etc.). An RCT should be conducted to find out whether these reported changes of behaviour with an SSRI in normal people generalises to clinical populations in different settings.

## Why this is important

There is little evidence in the literature on the pharmacotherapy of antisocial personality disorder to justify the use of any particular medication. However, multiple drugs in various combinations are used in this group either to control aberrant behaviour or in the hope that something might work. Current interventions lack a clear rationale. This recommendation has the potential to advance the field in that (a) it is linked to a clear hypothesis (that cooperative behaviour is linked to a dysregulation of the serotonin receptors – for which there is substantial evidence) and (b) that it is feasible to obtain an answer to this question, given that there are a large number of individuals detained in prison settings who would meet ASPD criteria. Constructing an experimental task that requires cooperative activity would not be difficult in such a setting, since all of those who might be willing to participate are already detained. The successful execution of this research would be important in that it (a) would establish the feasibility of conducting such a trial in a prison setting with this group, and (b) provide a clear and sensible outcome measure of antisocial behaviour that might be generalised to other settings.

## 7 A therapeutic community approach for antisocial personality disorder in a prison setting

Is a therapeutic community approach in a prison setting more clinically and cost effective in the treatment and management of antisocial personality disorder than routine prison care? There should be a large-scale RCT comparing the clinical and cost effectiveness of the therapeutic community approach for adults with antisocial personality disorder with routine care. It should examine the medium-term outcomes (for example, offending behaviour, mental state and vocational outcomes) over a period of at least 18 months following release from prison. The study should also be designed to explore the moderators and mediators of treatment effect, which could help to determine the factors associated with benefits or harms of the therapeutic community approach.

## **Why this is important**

There is evidence from RCTs that the therapeutic community approach is of value with drug and alcohol misusers in a prison setting at reducing the incidence of offending behaviour on release. However, there are no equivalent studies of a programme in the prison system on antisocial personality disorder populations that do not have significant drug or alcohol problems. Data that do exist are from non-UK settings. Answering this question is of importance because outcomes for adults with antisocial personality disorder are poor and there are already considerable resources devoted to a therapeutic community approach in the UK prison system (for example, HMP Grendon Underwood). The study could inform policy and resources decisions about the management of antisocial personality disorder in the criminal justice system.

## Context

This guideline makes recommendations for the treatment, management and prevention of antisocial personality disorder in primary, secondary and forensic healthcare. This guideline is concerned with the treatment of people with antisocial personality disorder across a wide range of services including those provided within mental health (including substance misuse) services, social care and the criminal justice system.

People with antisocial personality disorder exhibit traits of impulsivity, high negative emotionality, low conscientiousness and associated behaviours including irresponsible and exploitative behaviour, recklessness and deceitfulness. This is manifest in unstable interpersonal relationships, disregard for the consequences of one's behaviour, a failure to learn from experience, egocentricity and a disregard for the feelings of others. The condition is associated with a wide range of interpersonal and social disturbance.

People with antisocial personality disorder have often grown up in fractured families in which parental conflict is typical and parenting is harsh and inconsistent. As a result of parental inadequacies and/or the child's difficult behaviour, the child's care is often interrupted and transferred to agencies outside the family. This in turn often leads to truancy, having delinquent associates and substance misuse, which frequently result in increased rates of unemployment, poor and unstable housing situations, and inconsistency in relationships in adulthood. Many people with antisocial personality disorder have a criminal conviction and are imprisoned or die prematurely as a result of reckless behaviour.

Criminal behaviour is central to the definition of antisocial personality disorder, although it is often the culmination of previous and long-standing difficulties, such as socioeconomic, educational and family problems. Antisocial personality disorder therefore amounts to more than criminal behaviour alone, otherwise everyone convicted of a criminal offence would meet the criteria for antisocial personality disorder and a diagnosis of antisocial personality disorder would be rare in people with no criminal history. This is not the case. The prevalence of antisocial personality disorder among prisoners is slightly less than 50%. It is estimated in epidemiological studies in the community that only 47% of people who meet the criteria for antisocial personality disorder have significant arrest records. A history of aggression, unemployment and promiscuity were more common than serious crimes among people with antisocial personality disorder. The prevalence of antisocial personality disorder in the general population is 3% in men and 1% in women.

Under current diagnostic systems, antisocial personality disorder is not formally diagnosed before the age of 18 but the features of the disorder can manifest earlier as conduct disorder. People with conduct disorder typically show antisocial, aggressive or defiant behaviour, which is persistent and repetitive, including aggression to people or animals, destruction of property, deceitfulness, theft and serious rule-breaking. A history of conduct disorder before the age of 15 is a requirement for a diagnosis of antisocial personality disorder in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth edition (DSM-IV).

The course of antisocial personality disorder is variable and although recovery is attainable over time, some people may continue to experience social and interpersonal difficulties. Antisocial personality disorder is often comorbid with depression, anxiety, and alcohol and drug misuse.

Families or carers are important in prevention and treatment of antisocial personality disorder. This guideline uses the term 'families or carers' to apply to all family members and other people, such as friends and advocates, who have regular close contact with the person with antisocial personality disorder.

This guideline draws on the best available evidence. However, there are significant limitations to the evidence base, notably a relatively small number of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of interventions with few outcomes in common. Some of the limitations are addressed in the recommendations for research.

At the time of publication (January 2009), no drug has UK marketing authorisation for the treatment of antisocial personality disorder. The guideline assumes that prescribers will use a drug's summary of product characteristics to inform their decisions for each person.

NICE has also developed a guideline on borderline personality disorder: recognition and management.

## Finding more information and committee details

To find NICE guidance on related topics, including guidance in development, see the [NICE topic page on personality disorders](#).

For full details of the evidence and the guideline committee's discussions, see the [full guideline and appendices](#). You can also find information about [how the guideline was developed](#), including [details of the committee](#).

NICE has produced [tools and resources to help you put this guideline into practice](#). For general help and advice on putting NICE guidelines into practice, see [resources to help you put guidance into practice](#).



# Update information

**March 2013:** Recommendations on recognising and managing antisocial behaviour and conduct disorders in children and young people have been deleted and replaced by the [NICE guideline on antisocial behaviour and conduct disorders in children and young people](#).

## Minor changes since publication

**July 2024:** We have simplified the guideline by removing recommendations on general principles of care that are covered in other NICE guidelines (for example, the [NICE guideline on service user experience in adult mental health](#)).

This is a presentational change only, and no changes to practice are intended.

**August 2018:** We have added links to the NICE topic pages so readers can easily find related guidance.

ISBN: 978-1-4731-4568-9