

Depression in Chronic Physical Health Problems – Forest Plots

Depression in Chronic Physical Health Problems – Forest Plots.....	1
Service Level interventions.....	4
Collaborative care vs. Everything	4
Collaborative care – subgroup analysis by comparison (standard care, enhanced standard care).....	11
Collaborative care – sensitivity analysis: ICC calculations.....	15
Collaborative care – sensitivity analysis: recruited for co-morbid physical health condition	18
Other service level interventions vs. Standard care.....	22
Psychosocial Interventions	23
Physical activity versus standard care.....	23
Peer (self-help) support versus standard care	25
Peer (self-help) support versus group based cognitive and behavioural interventions	26
Guided self-help based on cognitive and behavioural principles versus standard care	27
Individual guided self-help based on McMaster model of family functioning versus standard care.....	28
Health education versus standard care	29
Relaxation training versus standard care.....	29
Social support versus standard care	31
Individual-based cognitive and behavioural interventions versus standard care.....	31
Individual-based cognitive and behavioural interventions versus counselling	32
Group-based cognitive and behavioural interventions versus standard care.....	34
Group-based cognitive and behavioural interventions versus other (peer self-help support and health education).....	37
Counselling versus standard care	38
Group existential therapy versus active control.....	38
Combination Interventions.....	39
Psychosocial interventions plus pharmacological interventions versus psychosocial intervention alone	39
Psychosocial interventions plus pharmacological interventions versus pharmacological interventions alone.....	40
Psychosocial vs. Pharmacological Interventions.....	40
Psychosocial interventions versus pharmacological interventions.....	40
SSRIs vs. placebo.....	41
TCAs vs. Placebo.....	52


FINAL DRAFT

3rd Generation drugs vs. placebo.....56
 Mianserin vs. placebo.....57
 Trazodone vs. Placebo.....59
 Any Antidepressant vs. Placebo – Sensitivity analysis removing non-blinded studies60
 Any Antidepressant vs. Placebo – Sensitivity analysis removing sub-threshold studies.....62
 Any Antidepressant vs. Placebo – Subgroup analysis – HAM-D only.....64
 SSRIs vs. TCAs66
 SSRI vs. Placebo – Sensitivity analysis removing non-blinded and sub-threshold studies.....73
 TCAs vs. Placebo – Sensitivity analysis removing non-blinded and sub-threshold studies.....76

Service Level interventions


Collaborative care vs. Everything

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 20 Mortality




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 30 Depression outcome. 1. Non-Response (<50% improvement) - sensitivity analysis




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 32 Depression outcome 2. Non-remission (scoring above cut off e.g. >7 on HAM-D)




Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 33 Depression outcome 3. Diagnosis (at follow up)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 34 Depression outcome 4.Continuous measures depression rating scale(Change score)




Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 40 Physical health outcome: 1. Sheehan disability score




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 41 Physical health outcome: 2. Pain intensity (Brief pain inventory, author defined scale)



Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 42 Physical health outcome: 3. Interference with daily activities




Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 43 Physical health outcome: 4. Pain interferes with activity




FINAL DRAFT


Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 44 Physical health outcome: 5. Haemoglobin values



Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 45 Physical health outcome 6. Non-adherence to physical health treatment




Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 46 Physical health outcome: 7. BMI




FINAL DRAFT


Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 47 Physical health outcome: 8. Fatigue



Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 49 Physical health outcome/ QoL: 1. General physical wellbeing/ functioning (SF-12 physical etc)




Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 50 Physical health outcome/ QoL: 2. General physical wellbeing/ functioning (Change scores)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 55 Quality of Life 1. General QoL scales (Euroqol, 0-10 rating scale etc.)




Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 56 Quality of Life 2. No. QALWs gained (signs reversed)




FINAL DRAFT


Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 60 Service use/ Process of care: 1. Did not receive a consultation / specified number of mental health visits



Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 61 Service use/ Process of care: 2. Number of psychiatric outpatient visits




Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 62 Service use/ Process of care: 3. Readmission




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 63 Service use/ Process of care: 4. Any visit to A&E




Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 64 Service use/ process of care 5. Not receiving any depression treatment (antidepressants or psychosocial)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 65 Service use/ process of care 6. Not receiving antidepressant medication




Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 66 Service use/ process of care 7. Not receiving psychosocial interventions




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 67 Service use / Process of care (64-66 combined)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 70 Treatment acceptability - leaving the study early for any reason




Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 80 Satisfaction with service - not satisfied with treatment/care




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 01 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis)
 Outcome: 81 Non-adherence to antidepressant medication




Collaborative care – subgroup analysis by comparison (standard care, enhanced standard care)

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 02 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - subgroup by comparison
 Outcome: 20 Mortality




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 02 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - subgroup by comparison
 Outcome: 31 Depression outcome. 1. Non-Response (<50% improvement) - removing outlier




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 02 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - subgroup by comparison
 Outcome: 32 Depression outcome 2. Non-remission (scoring above cut off e.g. >7 on HAM-D) - removed outlier




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 02 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - subgroup by comparison
 Outcome: 34 Depression outcome 4.Continuous measures depression rating scale(Change score)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 02 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - subgroup by comparison
 Outcome: 41 Physical health outcome: 2. Pain intensity (Brief pain inventory, author defined scale)




Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 02 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - subgroup by comparison
 Outcome: 48 Physical health outcome/ QoL: 1. General physical wellbeing/ functioning (SF-12 physical etc)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 02 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - subgroup by comparison
 Outcome: 49 Physical health outcome/ QoL: 2. General physical wellbeing/ functioning (Change scores)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 02 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - subgroup by comparison
 Outcome: 65 Service use/ process of care 6. Not receiving antidepressant medication




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 02 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - subgroup by comparison
 Outcome: 67 Service use / Process of care (64-66 combined)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 02 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - subgroup by comparison
 Outcome: 70 Treatment acceptability - leaving the study early for any reason




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 02 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - subgroup by comparison
 Outcome: 80 Satisfaction with service - not satisfied with treatment/care




Collaborative care – sensitivity analysis: ICC calculations

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 03 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - sensitivity analysis based on ICC
 Outcome: 20 Mortality




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 03 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - sensitivity analysis based on ICC
 Outcome: 30 Depression outcome. 1. Non-Response (<50% improvement) - outlier removed




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 03 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - sensitivity analysis based on ICC
 Outcome: 32 Depression outcome 2. Non-remission (scoring above cut off e.g. >7 on HAM-D) - outlier removed




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 03 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - sensitivity analysis based on ICC
 Outcome: 34 Depression outcome 4.Continuous measures depression rating scale(Change score)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 03 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - sensitivity analysis based on ICC
 Outcome: 49 Physical health outcome/ QoL: 2. General physical wellbeing/ functioning (Change scores)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 03 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - sensitivity analysis based on ICC
 Outcome: 60 Service use/ Process of care: 1. Did not receive a consultation / specified number of mental health visits




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 03 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - sensitivity analysis based on ICC
 Outcome: 65 Service use/ process of care 6. Not receiving antidepressant medication




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 03 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - sensitivity analysis based on ICC
 Outcome: 67 Service use / Process of care (64-66 combined)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 03 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - sensitivity analysis based on ICC
 Outcome: 80 Satisfaction with service - not satisfied with treatment/care




Collaborative care – sensitivity analysis: recruited for co-morbid physical health condition

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 05 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - sensitivity analysis (recruited for chronic condition)
 Outcome: 20 Mortality




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 05 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - sensitivity analysis (recruited for chronic condition)
 Outcome: 30 Depression outcome. 1. Non-Response (<50% improvement) - sensitivity analysis




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 05 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - sensitivity analysis (recruited for chronic condition)
 Outcome: 32 Depression outcome 2. Non-remission (scoring above cut off e.g. >7 on HAM-D)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 05 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - sensitivity analysis (recruited for chronic condition)
 Outcome: 34 Depression outcome 4.Continuous measures depression rating scale(Change score)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 05 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - sensitivity analysis (recruited for chronic condition)
 Outcome: 41 Physical health outcome: 2. Pain intensity (Brief pain inventory, author defined scale)




Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 05 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - sensitivity analysis (recruited for chronic condition)
 Outcome: 49 Physical health outcome/ QoL: 1. General physical wellbeing/ functioning (SF-12 physical etc)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 05 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - sensitivity analysis (recruited for chronic condition)
 Outcome: 50 Physical health outcome/ QoL: 2. General physical wellbeing/ functioning (Change scores)



FINAL DRAFT


Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 05 Collaborative care vs. everything (WCS analysis) - sensitivity analysis (recruited for chronic condition)
 Outcome: 70 Treatment acceptability - leaving the study early for any reason



FINAL DRAFT


Other service level interventions vs. Standard care

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 20 Other service level interventions (multidisciplinary teams, consultation-liaison) vs. standard care (WCS)
 Outcome: 20 Mortality




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 20 Other service level interventions (multidisciplinary teams, consultation-liaison) vs. standard care (WCS)
 Outcome: 33 Depression outcome 3. Diagnosis (at follow up)




Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 20 Other service level interventions (multidisciplinary teams, consultation-liaison) vs. standard care (WCS)
 Outcome: 34 Depression outcome 4. Continuous measures depression rating scale(Change score)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 20 Other service level interventions (multidisciplinary teams, consultation-liaison) vs. standard care (WCS)
 Outcome: 48 Physical health outcome/ QoL: 1. General physical wellbeing/ functioning (SF-12 physical etc)




Review: DCHP - Service
 Comparison: 20 Other service level interventions (multidisciplinary teams, consultation-liaison) vs. standard care (WCS)
 Outcome: 70 Treatment acceptability - leaving the study early for any reason




Psychosocial Interventions

Physical activity versus standard care

Review: DCHP: Physical activity
 Comparison: 01 Physical activity versus standard care
 Outcome: 01 Depression (end of treatment)




Review: DCHP: Physical activity
 Comparison: 01 Physical activity versus standard care
 Outcome: 02 Depression (change score: end of treatment)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP: Physical activity
 Comparison: 01 Physical activity versus standard care
 Outcome: 03 Non remission (below cut off)




Review: DCHP: Physical activity
 Comparison: 01 Physical activity versus standard care
 Outcome: 04 Non remission (6-month follow-up)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP: Physical activity
 Comparison: 01 Physical activity versus standard care
 Outcome: 07 Quality of life (end of treatment)



FINAL DRAFT


Review: DCHP: Physical activity
 Comparison: 01 Physical activity versus standard care
 Outcome: 08 Physical health outcomes (end of treatment)



FINAL DRAFT


Peer (self-help) support versus standard care

Review: DCHP: Peer (self-help) support
 Comparison: 01 Peer (self-help) support versus standard care
 Outcome: 01 Depression (end of treatment)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP: Peer (self-help) support
 Comparison: 01 Peer (self-help) support versus standard care
 Outcome: 03 CES-D (6 month follow-up)




Review: DCHP: Peer (self-help) support
 Comparison: 01 Peer (self-help) support versus standard care
 Outcome: 04 Physical Health Outcomes: HIV-1 RNA viral load




FINAL DRAFT

Peer (self-help) support versus group based cognitive and behavioural interventions

Review: DCHP: Peer (self-help) support
 Comparison: 02 Peer (self-help) support versus group based cognitive and behavioural interventions
 Outcome: 01 Depression (end of treatment)




Review: DCHP: Peer (self-help) support
 Comparison: 02 Peer (self-help) support versus group based cognitive and behavioural interventions
 Outcome: 02 Depression (follow-up)




Guided self-help based on cognitive and behavioural principles versus standard care

Review: DCHP: Guided self-help
 Comparison: 01 Guided self-help based on cognitive behavioural principles versus standard care
 Outcome: 01 Depression (end of treatment)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP: Guided self-help (not separating comparison)
 Comparison: 01 Guided self-help based on cognitive behavioural principles versus standard care
 Outcome: 02 Physical health outcome: visual functioning questionnaire




Review: DCHP: Individual guided self-help
 Comparison: 02 Individual guided self-help based on McMaster model of family functioning principles versus standard care
 Outcome: 01 Non remission (below cut off)



FINAL DRAFT


Individual guided self-help based on McMaster model of family functioning versus standard care

Review: DCHP: Individual guided self-help
 Comparison: 02 Individual guided self-help based on McMaster model of family functioning principles versus standard care
 Outcome: 02 Non response (<50% reduction from baseline)




Health education versus standard care

Review: DCHP: Health Education
 Comparison: 01 Health education versus standard care
 Outcome: 01 BDI 21 item: self-report (end of treatment)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP: Health Education
 Comparison: 01 Health education versus standard care
 Outcome: 02 BDI 21 item: self-report (follow-up)




Review: DCHP: Health Education
 Comparison: 02 Health education plus other psychosocial component versus standard care
 Outcome: 01 Depression (end of treatment)




FINAL DRAFT

Relaxation training versus standard care

Review: DCHP - Relaxation training
 Comparison: 01 Relaxation versus standard care
 Outcome: 01 Depression (Change scores)




Review: DCHP - Relaxation training
 Comparison: 01 Relaxation versus standard care
 Outcome: 03 Health related quality of life (end of treatment)



FINAL DRAFT


Social support versus standard care

Review: DCHP: Social Support
 Comparison: 01 Social Support versus standard care
 Outcome: 01 CES-D: self-report (end of treatment)




Individual-based cognitive and behavioural interventions versus standard care

Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 01 Individually based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care
 Outcome: 01 Depression (end of treatment)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 01 Individually based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care
 Outcome: 13 Non-remission (below cut-off)




Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 01 Individually based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care
 Outcome: 03 Depression (end of treatment) - sensitivity analysis - participants recruited for depression




FINAL DRAFT


Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 01 Individually based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care
 Outcome: 04 Depression (follow-up)



Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 01 Individually based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care
 Outcome: 11 QoL - QLQ-C33 (end of treatment)




Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 01 Individually based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care
 Outcome: 12 Physical health outcome




Individual-based cognitive and behavioural interventions versus counselling

Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 02 Individually based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus counselling
 Outcome: 01 Depression (end of treatment)




Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 02 Individually based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus counselling
 Outcome: 02 Depression (change score: end of treatment)



FINAL DRAFT


Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 02 Individually based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus counselling
 Outcome: 05 Depression (follow-up)



FINAL DRAFT


Group-based cognitive and behavioural interventions versus standard care

Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 03 Group based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care
 Outcome: 01 Depression (end of treatment)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 03 Group based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care
 Outcome: 06 Non remission (below cut off)




Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 03 Group based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care
 Outcome: 07 Non response (<50% reduction from baseline)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 03 Group based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care
 Outcome: 05 Depression (follow-up)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 03 Group based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care
 Outcome: 02 Depression (end of treatment) - sensitivity analysis (removed outlier)




Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 03 Group based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care
 Outcome: 04 Depression (end of treatment) - sensitivity analysis - participants recruited for depression




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 03 Group based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care
 Outcome: 03 Depression (end of treatment) - sub-group analysis by aim of intervention




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 03 Group based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus standard care
 Outcome: 15 QoL - SF-30: self-report (end of treatment)




Group-based cognitive and behavioural interventions versus other (peer self-help support and health education)

Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 06 Group based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus other (peer self-help support and health education)
 Outcome: 01 Depression (end of treatment)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP: Cognitive and Behavioural Interventions
 Comparison: 06 Group based cognitive and behavioural intervention versus other (peer self-help support and health education)
 Outcome: 02 Depression at follow-up (6-months of less)



Counselling versus standard care


Review: DCHP: Counselling
 Comparison: 01 Counselling versus standard care
 Outcome: 01 BDI: self report (end of treatment)




FINAL DRAFT

Group existential therapy versus active control

Review: DCHP: Group Existential Therapy
 Comparison: 01 Group existential therapy
 Outcome: 01 Depression (end of treatment)




Review: DCHP: Group Existential Therapy
 Comparison: 01 Group existential therapy
 Outcome: 03 Depression (Change score at end of treatment)



FINAL DRAFT


Review: DCHP: Group Existential Therapy
 Comparison: 01 Group existential therapy
 Outcome: 05 Non-remission (still meeting diagnosis of depression) - end of treatment



Combination Interventions


Psychosocial interventions plus pharmacological interventions versus psychosocial intervention alone

Review: DCHP: Psych + Pharm
 Comparison: 01 SSRIs + psychosocial vs psychosocial
 Outcome: 01 Depression (HamD)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP: Psych + Pharm
 Comparison: 01 SSRIs + psychosocial vs psychosocial
 Outcome: 02 Depression (BDI)




Review: DCHP: Psych + Pharm
 Comparison: 02 TCAs + psychosocial vs psychosocial
 Outcome: 01 Depression (HamD)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP: Psych + Pharm
 Comparison: 02 TCAs + psychosocial vs psychosocial
 Outcome: 02 Depression (BDI)




Psychosocial interventions plus pharmacological interventions versus pharmacological interventions alone

Review: DCHP: Psych + Pharm
 Comparison: 03 SSRI + Psychosocial vs SSRI
 Outcome: 01 Depression (HamD)



FINAL DRAFT


Review: DCHP: Psych + Pharm
 Comparison: 03 SSRI + Psychosocial vs SSRI
 Outcome: 02 Depression (BDI)



Psychosocial vs. Pharmacological Interventions


Psychosocial interventions versus pharmacological interventions

Review: DCHP: Psych + Pharm
 Comparison: 04 Psychosocial vs SSRIs
 Outcome: 01 Depression (HamD)



FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP: Psych + Pharm
Comparison: 04 Psychosocial vs SSRIs
Outcome: 02 Depression (BDI)




Pharmacological interventions

SSRIs vs. placebo


FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 01 Leaving the Study early: Any reason




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 02 Leaving the Study early: Lack of efficacy




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 03 Leaving the Study early: Due to adverse events




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 10 Mortality




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 30 Depression: 1. Not achieving success/ remission (reaching a specified cut off) - patient rated




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 31 Depression: 1. Not achieving success/ remission (reaching a specified cut off) - observer rated




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 32 Depression: 2. Non-response (not achieving 50% reduction from baseline) - patient rated e.g. BDI




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 33 Depression: 2. Non-response (not achieving 50% reduction from baseline) - observer rated e.g. HAMD, MADRS




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 34 Depression: 3. Patient-rated Continuous measures




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 35 Depression: 4. Observer-rated Continuous measures




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 36 Depression: 5. Recurrence/ Relapse of depression




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 40 Mental State: Continuous measures 1. Hamilton Anxiety Scale




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 41 Mental State: Continuous measures 2. global symptom measures e.g CGI, SCL-90, POMS




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 50 QoL: 1. continuous measures e.g. SQOLI, FACT-G




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 51 Physical outcome / QoL - General physical functioning/ wellbeing (SF-36 physical component)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 52 Physical health outcome. 1. Fatigue




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 53 Physical health outcome: 2. Asthma Control Questionnaire




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 54 Physical health outcome: 3. Physical activity - PFSI, ADLI, functional independence




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 55 Physical health outcome: 4. Exercise tolerance test 6MWD (signs reversed)



FINAL DRAFT


Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 56 Physical health outcome: 5. GHbA1c (%)



Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 57 Physical health outcome: 6. Serum Glucose (mmol/l)




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 58 Physical health outcome: 7. Serum C-peptide (nmol/l)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 59 Physical health outcome: 8. BMI




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 60 Physical health outcome: 9. Medication compliance




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 61 Physical health outcome: 10. Cognitive deficits (PDQ, MMSE)




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 70 AE: 1. Reported at least 1 adverse event




FINAL DRAFT


Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 71 AE: 2. Death or cardiac rehospitalisation



Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 72 AE: 3. Continuous measures PRD-III




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 02 SSRIs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 73 Treatment tolerance: 1. Observer-rated continuous measures




FINAL DRAFT
TCAs vs. Placebo

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 03 TCAs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 01 Leaving the study early: Any reason




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 03 TCAs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 02 Leaving due to adverse events




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 03 TCAs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 05 Mortality




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 03 TCAs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 10 Depression: 1. Non-response (<50% improvement) - observer rated




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 03 TCAs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 11 Depression: 2. Not achieving success/ remission (reaching a specified cut off) Patient-rated




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 03 TCAs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 12 Depression: 3. Patient-rated Continuous measures (End of treatment)




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 03 TCAs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 20 Physical outcome / QoL - General physical functioning/ wellbeing (SF-36 etc)




FINAL DRAFT


Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 03 TCAs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 25 Physical health outcome: 1. Symptom anxiety PRAS



Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 03 TCAs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 26 Physical health outcome: 2. Somatic symptoms




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 03 TCAs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 27 Physical health outcome: 3. symptoms associated with breathing




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 03 TCAs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 28 Physical health outcome: 4. Physical activity (HVID, ADL)




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 03 TCAs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 29 Physical health outcome: 5. Cognitive function (MMSE)




3rd Generation drugs vs. placebo

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 04 3rd GENERATION ADs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 01 Leaving the study early: any reason




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 04 3rd GENERATION ADs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 21 Depression: 1. Not achieving success/ remission (reaching a specified cut off) - observer rated




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 04 3rd GENERATION ADs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 22 Depression: 2. Not response (<50% reduction) - observer rated




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 04 3rd GENERATION ADs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 23 Depression 3: Continuous measures patient rated, e.g, BDI, HADS GDS




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 04 3rd GENERATION ADs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 24 Depression: 4. Observer-rated Continuous measures




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 04 3rd GENERATION ADs vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 30 Physical outcome / QoL - General physical functioning/ wellbeing (SF-36 etc)




FINAL DRAFT

Mianserin vs. placebo

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 05 MIANSERIN vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 01 Leaving the Study early: Any reason




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 05 MIANSERIN vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 02 Leaving the study early due to lack of efficacy




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 05 MIANSERIN vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 03 Leaving the Study early: Due to adverse events




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 05 MIANSERIN vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 04 Depression: 2. Non-response (not achieving 50% reduction from baseline) - observer rated e.g. HAMD, MADRS




FINAL DRAFT


Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 05 MIANSERIN vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 05 Depression: 3. Patient-rated Continuous measures



Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 05 MIANSERIN vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 06 Depression: 4. Observer-rated Continuous measures




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 05 MIANSERIN vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 07 Mental State: Continuous measures 2. global symptom measures e.g CGI, SCL-90, POMS




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 05 MIANSERIN vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 08 AE: 1. Reported at least 1 adverse event




Trazodone vs. Placebo

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 06 TRAZODONE vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 01 Depression: 1. continuous measures - patient rated




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 06 TRAZODONE vs. PLACEBO
 Outcome: 02 Physical health outcome: 1. Physical activities (ADL)




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 07 Psychostimulants vs Placebo
 Outcome: 01 Mean depression




Any Antidepressant vs. Placebo – Sensitivity analysis removing non-blinded studies

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 11 Any antidepressant vs. placebo (sensitivity analyses)
 Outcome: 04 Leaving the Study early: Due to adverse events




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 11 Any antidepressant vs. placebo (sensitivity analyses)
 Outcome: 31 Depression: 1. Not achieving success/ remission (reaching a specified cut off) - observer rated



FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 11 Any antidepressant vs. placebo (sensitivity analyses)
 Outcome: 33 Depression: 2. Non-response (not achieving 50% reduction from baseline) - observer rated e.g. HAMD, MADRS




FINAL DRAFT

Any Antidepressant vs. Placebo - Sensitivity analysis removing sub-threshold studies


FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 11 Any antidepressant vs. placebo (sensitivity analyses)
 Outcome: 34 Depression: 3. Patient-rated Continuous measures



FINAL DRAFT


Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 11 Any antidepressant vs. placebo (sensitivity analyses)
 Outcome: 38 Depression: 4. Observed-expected Continuous measures



FINAL DRAFT


Any Antidepressant vs. Placebo - Subgroup analysis - HAM-D only

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 11 Any antidepressant vs. placebo (sensitivity analyses)
 Outcome: 36 Depression: 4. Observer-rated Continuous measures (HAM-D only)




FINAL DRAFT SSRIs vs. TCAs

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 11 Any antidepressant vs. placebo (sensitivity analyses)
 Outcome: 37 Depression: 4. Observer-rated Continuous measures (HAM-D only - removing non double-blind and sub-threshold)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 20 Head-to-head SSRI vs. TCA
 Outcome: 20 Leaving the study early - any reason




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 20 Head-to-head SSRI vs. TCA
 Outcome: 21 Leaving study early due to adverse events




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 20 Head-to-head SSRI vs. TCA
 Outcome: 22 Leaving study early due to adverse cardiac events




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 20 Head-to-head SSRI vs. TCA
 Outcome: 23 Leaving the study early: Due to lack of efficacy




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 20 Head-to-head SSRI vs. TCA
 Outcome: 30 Depression: 1. Remission (below cut-off)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 20 Head-to-head SSRI vs. TCA
 Outcome: 31 Depression: 2. Non-response (<50% reduction)




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 20 Head-to-head SSRI vs. TCA
 Outcome: 32 Depression: 3. Continuous measures




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 20 Head-to-head SSRI vs. TCA
 Outcome: 40 Global state: 1. CGI




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 20 Head-to-head SSRI vs. TCA
 Outcome: 41 Mental State: 1. Continuous measures - Ham-A




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 20 Head-to-head SSRI vs. TCA
 Outcome: 50 QoL: 1. continuous measures




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 20 Head-to-head SSRI vs. TCA
 Outcome: 55 Physical health outcome: 1. MMSE - PD




Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 20 Head-to-head SSRI vs. TCA
 Outcome: 60 Adverse events - reporting at least 1 adverse event




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 20 Head-to-head SSRI vs. TCA
 Outcome: 61 Adverse events - severe adverse event



SSRI vs. Placebo – Sensitivity analysis removing non-blinded and sub-threshold studies

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 32 SSRIs vs Placebo (Sensitivity analyses: Blinded/Non-blinded)
 Outcome: 01 Depression: 1. Not achieving success/ remission (reaching a specified cut off) - observer rated




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class


Comparison: 32 SSRIs vs Placebo (Sensitivity analyses: Blinded/Non-blinded)

Outcome: 02 Depression: 2. Non-response (not achieving 50% reduction from baseline) - observer rated e.g. HAMD, MADRS




FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 32 SSRIs vs Placebo (Sensitivity analyses: Blinded/Non-blinded)
 Outcome: 03 Depression: 3. Patient-rated Continuous measures



FINAL DRAFT


Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 32 SSRIs vs Placebo (Sensitivity analyses: Blinded/Non-blinded)
 Outcome: 04 Depression: 4. Observer-rated Continuous measures



FINAL DRAFT


TCAs vs. Placebo - Sensitivity analysis removing non-blinded and sub-threshold studies

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 33 TCAs vs Placebo (Sensitivity analyses:Blinded/Non-Blinded)
 Outcome: 02 Depression: 2. Non-response (not achieving 50% reduction from baseline) - observer rated e.g. HAMD, MADRS



FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 33 TCAs vs Placebo (Sensitivity analyses:Blinded/Non-Blinded)
 Outcome: 03 Depression: 3. Patient-rated Continuous measures



FINAL DRAFT

Review: DCHP - Pharm - by drug class
 Comparison: 33 TCAs vs Placebo (Sensitivity analyses:Blinded/Non-Blinded)
 Outcome: 04 Depression: 4. Observer-rated Continuous measures

