



the recommended action.

You are also invited to comment on recommendations that NICE proposes to delete from the 2010 guideline.

We have not updated recommendations shaded in grey, and cannot accept comments on them. In some cases, we have made minor wording changes for clarification.

See [Update information](#) for a full explanation of what is being updated.

This version of the guideline contains the draft recommendations, context and recommendations for research. Information about how the guideline was developed is on the [guideline's page](#) on the NICE website. This includes the guideline committee's discussion and the evidence reviews (in the [full guideline](#)), the details of the committee and any declarations of interest.

1

2

1 **Contents**

2

3 Recommendations ..... 4

4 1.1 Providing information for people with chest pain..... 4

5 1.2 People presenting with acute chest pain..... 5

6 1.3 People presenting with stable chest pain..... 14

7 Terms used in this guideline ..... 24

8 Context ..... 26

9 Recommendations for research ..... 28

10 Update information..... 31

11 Recommendations that have been deleted or changed ..... 33

12

## 1 Recommendations

People have the right to be involved in discussions and make informed decisions about their care, as described in [your care](#).

[Making decisions using NICE guidelines](#) explains how we use words to show the strength (or certainty) of our recommendations, and has information about prescribing medicines (including off-label use), professional guidelines, standards and laws (including on consent and mental capacity), and safeguarding.

### 2 **1.1 Providing information for people with chest pain**

3 **1.1.1.1** Discuss any concerns people (and where appropriate their family or  
4 carer/advocate) may have, including anxiety when the cause of the  
5 chest pain is unknown. **[2010]**

6 **1.1.1.2** Offer people a clear explanation of the possible causes of their  
7 symptoms and the uncertainties. **[2010]**

8 **1.1.1.3** Clearly explain the options to people at every stage of investigation.  
9 Make joint decisions with them and take account of their  
10 preferences:

- 11
- 12 • Encourage people to ask questions.
  - 13 • Provide repeated opportunities for discussion.
  - 14 • Explain test results and the need for any further investigations.
- [2010]**

15 **1.1.1.4** Provide information about any proposed investigations using  
16 everyday, jargon-free language. Include:

- 17
- 18 • their purpose, benefits and any limitations of their diagnostic  
accuracy
  - 19 • duration
  - 20 • level of discomfort and invasiveness
  - 21 • risk of adverse events. **[2010]**

- 1 1.1.1.5 Offer information about the risks of diagnostic testing, including any  
2 radiation exposure. **[2010]**
- 3 1.1.1.6 Address any physical or learning difficulties, sight or hearing  
4 problems and difficulties with speaking or reading English, which  
5 may affect people's understanding of the information offered.  
6 **[2010]**
- 7 1.1.1.7 Offer information after diagnosis as recommended in the relevant  
8 disease management guidelines.<sup>1</sup> **[2010]**
- 9 1.1.1.8 Explain if the chest pain is non-cardiac and refer people for further  
10 investigation if appropriate. **[2010]**
- 11 1.1.1.9 Provide individual advice to people about seeking medical help if  
12 they have further chest pain. **[2010]**

## 13 **1.2 People presenting with acute chest pain**

14 This section of the guideline covers the assessment and diagnosis of people  
15 with recent acute chest pain or discomfort, suspected to be caused by an  
16 acute coronary syndrome (ACS). The term ACS covers a range of conditions  
17 including unstable angina, ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction  
18 (STEMI) and non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI).

19 The guideline addresses assessment and diagnosis irrespective of setting,  
20 because people present in different ways. Please note that the NICE guideline  
21 on [unstable angina and NSTEMI](#) (CG94) covers the early management of  
22 these conditions once a firm diagnosis has been made and before discharge  
23 from hospital.

### 24 **1.2.1 Initial assessment and referral to hospital**

---

<sup>1</sup> For example, the NICE guidelines on [unstable angina and NSTEMI](#) (CG94), [generalised anxiety disorder and panic disorder in adults](#) (CG113) and [gastro-oesophageal reflux disease and dyspepsia in adults](#) (CG184).

1 1.2.1.1 Check immediately whether people currently have chest pain. If  
2 they are pain free, check when their last episode of pain was,  
3 particularly if they have had pain in the last 12 hours. **[2010]**

4 1.2.1.2 Determine whether the chest pain may be cardiac and therefore  
5 whether this guideline is relevant, by considering:

- 6 • the history of the chest pain
- 7 • the presence of cardiovascular risk factors
- 8 • history of ischaemic heart disease and any previous treatment
- 9 • previous investigations for chest pain. **[2010]**

10 1.2.1.3 Initially assess people for any of the following symptoms, which  
11 may indicate an ACS:

- 12 • pain in the chest and/or other areas (for example, the arms, back  
13 or jaw) lasting longer than 15 minutes
- 14 • chest pain associated with nausea and vomiting, marked  
15 sweating, breathlessness, or particularly a combination of these
- 16 • chest pain associated with haemodynamic instability
- 17 • new onset chest pain, or abrupt deterioration in previously stable  
18 angina, with recurrent chest pain occurring frequently and with  
19 little or no exertion, and with episodes often lasting longer than  
20 15 minutes. **[2010]**

21 1.2.1.4 Do not use people's response to glyceryl trinitrate (GTN) to make a  
22 diagnosis. **[2010]**

23 1.2.1.5 Do not assess symptoms of an ACS differently in men and women.  
24 Not all people with an ACS present with central chest pain as the  
25 predominant feature. **[2010]**

26 1.2.1.6 Do not assess symptoms of an ACS differently in ethnic groups.  
27 There are no major differences in symptoms of an ACS among  
28 different ethnic groups. **[2010]**

1 1.2.1.7 Refer people to hospital as an emergency if an ACS is suspected  
2 (see recommendation 1.2.1.3) and:

- 3 • they currently have chest pain or
- 4 • they are currently pain free, but had chest pain in the last  
5 12 hours, and a resting 12-lead ECG is abnormal or not  
6 available. **[2010]**

7 1.2.1.8 If an ACS is suspected (see recommendation 1.2.1.3) and there  
8 are no reasons for emergency referral, refer people for urgent  
9 same-day assessment if:

- 10 • they had chest pain in the last 12 hours, but are now pain free  
11 with a normal resting 12-lead ECG or
- 12 • the last episode of pain was 12–72 hours ago. **[2010]**

13 1.2.1.9 Refer people for assessment in hospital if an ACS is suspected  
14 (see recommendation 1.2.1.3) and:

- 15 • the pain has resolved and
- 16 • there are signs of complications such as pulmonary oedema.

17 Use clinical judgement to decide whether referral should be as an  
18 emergency or urgent same-day assessment. **[2010]**

19 1.2.1.10 If a recent ACS is suspected in people whose last episode of chest  
20 pain was more than 72 hours ago and who have no complications  
21 such as pulmonary oedema:

- 22 • carry out a detailed clinical assessment (see recommendations  
23 1.2.4.2 and 1.2.4.3)
- 24 • confirm the diagnosis by resting 12-lead ECG and blood troponin  
25 level
- 26 • take into account the length of time since the suspected ACS  
27 when interpreting the troponin level.

1 Use clinical judgement to decide whether referral is necessary and  
2 how urgent this should be. **[2010]**

3 1.2.1.11 Refer people to hospital as an emergency if they have a recent  
4 (confirmed or suspected) ACS and develop further chest pain.  
5 **[2010]**

6 1.2.1.12 When an ACS is suspected, start management immediately in the  
7 order appropriate to the circumstances (see section 1.2.3) and take  
8 a resting 12-lead ECG (see section 1.2.2). Take the ECG as soon  
9 as possible, but do not delay transfer to hospital. **[2010]**

10 1.2.1.13 If an ACS is not suspected, consider other causes of the chest  
11 pain, some of which may be life-threatening (see recommendations  
12 1.2.6.5, 1.2.6.7 and 1.2.6.8). **[2010]**

## 13 **1.2.2 Resting 12-lead ECG**

14 1.2.2.1 Take a resting 12-lead ECG as soon as possible. When people are  
15 referred, send the results to hospital before they arrive if possible.  
16 Recording and sending the ECG should not delay transfer to  
17 hospital. **[2010]**

18 1.2.2.2 Follow local protocols for people with a resting 12-lead ECG  
19 showing regional ST-segment elevation or presumed new left  
20 bundle branch block (LBBB) consistent with an acute STEMI until a  
21 firm diagnosis is made. Continue to monitor (see recommendation  
22 1.2.3.4). **[2010]**

23 1.2.2.3 Follow the NICE guideline on [unstable angina and NSTEMI](#) (CG94)  
24 for people with a resting 12-lead ECG showing regional ST-  
25 segment depression or deep T wave inversion suggestive of a  
26 NSTEMI or unstable angina until a firm diagnosis is made.  
27 Continue to monitor (see recommendation 1.2.3.4). **[2010]**

28 1.2.2.4 Even in the absence of ST-segment changes, have an increased  
29 suspicion of an ACS if there are other changes in the resting 12-



1 lead ECG, specifically Q waves and T wave changes. Consider  
2 following the NICE guideline on [unstable angina and NSTEMI](#)  
3 (CG94) if these conditions are likely. Continue to monitor (see  
4 recommendation 1.2.3.4). **[2010]**

5 1.2.2.5 Do not exclude an ACS when people have a normal resting 12-lead  
6 ECG. **[2010]**

7 1.2.2.6 If a diagnosis of ACS is in doubt, consider:

- 8 • taking serial resting 12-lead ECGs
- 9 • reviewing previous resting 12-lead ECGs
- 10 • recording additional ECG leads.

11 Use clinical judgement to decide how often this should be done.  
12 Note that the results may not be conclusive. **[2010]**

13 1.2.2.7 Obtain a review of resting 12-lead ECGs by a healthcare  
14 professional qualified to interpret them as well as taking into  
15 account automated interpretation. **[2010]**

16 1.2.2.8 If clinical assessment (as described in recommendation 1.2.1.10)  
17 and a resting 12-lead ECG make a diagnosis of ACS less likely,  
18 consider other acute conditions. First consider those that are life-  
19 threatening such as pulmonary embolism, aortic dissection or  
20 pneumonia. Continue to monitor (see recommendation 1.2.3.4).  
21 **[2010]**

### 22 **1.2.3 Immediate management of a suspected acute coronary** 23 **syndrome**

24 Management of ACS should start as soon as it is suspected, but should not  
25 delay transfer to hospital. The recommendations in this section should be  
26 carried out in the order appropriate to the circumstances.

27 1.2.3.1 Offer pain relief as soon as possible. This may be achieved with  
28 GTN (sublingual or buccal), but offer intravenous opioids such as

1 morphine, particularly if an acute myocardial infarction (MI) is  
2 suspected. **[2010]**

3 1.2.3.2 Offer people a single loading dose of 300 mg aspirin as soon as  
4 possible unless there is clear evidence that they are allergic to it.

5 If aspirin is given before arrival at hospital, send a written record  
6 that it has been given with the person.

7 Only offer other antiplatelet agents in hospital. Follow appropriate  
8 guidance (the NICE guideline on [unstable angina and NSTEMI](#) or  
9 local protocols for STEMI). **[2010]**

10 1.2.3.3 Do not routinely administer oxygen, but monitor oxygen saturation  
11 using pulse oximetry as soon as possible, ideally before hospital  
12 admission. Only offer supplemental oxygen to:

- 13 • people with oxygen saturation (SpO<sub>2</sub>) of less than 94% who are  
14 not at risk of hypercapnic respiratory failure, aiming for SpO<sub>2</sub> of  
15 94–98%
- 16 • people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease who are at  
17 risk of hypercapnic respiratory failure, to achieve a target SpO<sub>2</sub>  
18 of 88–92% until blood gas analysis is available. **[2010]**

19 1.2.3.4 Monitor people with acute chest pain, using clinical judgement to  
20 decide how often this should be done, until a firm diagnosis is  
21 made. This should include:

- 22 • exacerbations of pain and/or other symptoms
- 23 • pulse and blood pressure
- 24 • heart rhythm
- 25 • oxygen saturation by pulse oximetry
- 26 • repeated resting 12-lead ECGs and
- 27 • checking pain relief is effective. **[2010]**

1 1.2.3.5 Manage other therapeutic interventions using appropriate guidance  
2 (the NICE guideline on [unstable angina and NSTEMI](#) or local  
3 protocols for STEMI). [2010]

4 **1.2.4 Assessment in hospital for people with a suspected acute**  
5 **coronary syndrome**

6 1.2.4.1 Take a resting 12-lead ECG and a blood sample for high-sensitivity  
7 troponin I or T measurement (see section 1.2.5) on arrival in  
8 hospital. [2010, amended 2016]

9 1.2.4.2 Carry out a physical examination to determine:

- 10
- 11 • haemodynamic status
  - 12 • signs of complications, for example pulmonary oedema,  
13 cardiogenic shock and
  - 14 • signs of non-coronary causes of acute chest pain, such as aortic  
15 dissection. [2010]

16 1.2.4.3 Take a detailed clinical history unless a STEMI is confirmed from  
17 the resting 12-lead ECG (that is, regional ST-segment elevation or  
presumed new LBBB). Record:

- 18
- 19 • the characteristics of the pain
  - 20 • other associated symptoms
  - 21 • any history of cardiovascular disease
  - 22 • any cardiovascular risk factors and
  - 23 • details of previous investigations or treatments for similar  
symptoms of chest pain. [2010]

24 **1.2.5 Use of biochemical markers for diagnosis of an acute**  
25 **coronary syndrome**

26 1.2.5.1 Do not use high-sensitivity troponin tests for people in whom ACS  
27 is not suspected. [new 2016]

28 1.2.5.2 For people at high or moderate risk of MI (as indicated by a  
29 validated tool), perform high-sensitivity troponin tests as

1 recommended in the NICE diagnostics guidance on [myocardial](#)  
2 [infarction](#) (DG15). **[new 2016]**

3 1.2.5.3 For people at low risk of MI (as indicated by a validated tool):

- 4
- perform a second high-sensitivity troponin test as recommended  
5 in the NICE diagnostics guidance on [myocardial infarction](#)  
6 (DG15) if the first troponin test at presentation is positive
  - consider performing a single high-sensitivity troponin test only at  
7 presentation to rule out NSTEMI if the first troponin test is below  
8 the lower limit of detection (negative). **[new 2016]**  
9

10 1.2.5.4 Do not use biochemical markers such as natriuretic peptides and  
11 high-sensitivity C-reactive protein to diagnose an ACS. **[2010]**

12 1.2.5.5 Do not use biochemical markers of myocardial ischaemia (such as  
13 ischaemia-modified albumin) as opposed to markers of necrosis  
14 when assessing people with acute chest pain. **[2010]**

15 1.2.5.6 When interpreting high-sensitivity troponin measurements, take into  
16 account:

- 17
- the clinical presentation
  - the time from onset of symptoms
  - the resting 12-lead ECG findings
  - the pre-test probability of NSTEMI
  - the length of time since the suspected ACS
  - the probability of chronically elevated troponin levels in some  
23 people
  - that 99th percentile thresholds for troponin I and T may differ  
24 between sexes. **[2010, amended 2016]**  
25

26 **1.2.6 Making a diagnosis**

1 1.2.6.1 When diagnosing MI, use the universal definition of myocardial  
2 infarction<sup>2</sup>. This is the detection of rise and/or fall of cardiac  
3 biomarkers values [preferably cardiac troponin (cTn)] with at least  
4 one value above the 99th percentile of the upper reference limit  
5 and at least one of the following:

- 6 • symptoms of ischaemia
  - 7 • new or presumed new significant ST-segment-T wave(ST-T)  
8 changes or new left bundle branch block (LBBB)
  - 9 • development of pathological Q waves in the ECG
  - 10 • imaging evidence of new loss of viable myocardium or new  
11 regional wall motion abnormality<sup>3</sup>.
  - 12 • identification of an intracoronary thrombus by angiography.
- 13 **[2010, amended 2016]**

14 1.2.6.2 When a raised troponin level is detected in people with a suspected  
15 ACS, reassess to exclude other causes for raised troponin (for  
16 example, myocarditis, aortic dissection or pulmonary embolism)  
17 before confirming the diagnosis of ACS. **[2010]**

18 1.2.6.3 When a raised troponin level is detected in people with a suspected  
19 ACS, follow the appropriate guidance (the NICE guideline on  
20 [unstable angina and NSTEMI](#) or local protocols for STEMI) until a  
21 firm diagnosis is made. Continue to monitor (see recommendation  
22 1.2.3.4). **[2010]**

23 1.2.6.4 When a diagnosis of ACS is confirmed, follow the appropriate  
24 guidance (the NICE guideline on [unstable angina and NSTEMI](#) or  
25 local protocols for STEMI). **[2010]**

---

<sup>2</sup> Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS et al. (2012) Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 126: 2020–5. The definition also includes post-mortem diagnosis in the diagnostic classification.

<sup>3</sup> The Guideline Development Group did not review the evidence for the use of imaging evidence of new loss of viable myocardium or new regional wall motion abnormality in the diagnosis of MI, but recognised that it was included as a criterion in the universal definition of MI. The Guideline Development Group recognised that it could be used, but would not be done routinely when there were symptoms of ischaemia and ECG changes.

1 1.2.6.5 Reassess people with chest pain without raised troponin levels and  
2 no acute resting 12-lead ECG changes to determine whether their  
3 chest pain is likely to be cardiac.

4 If myocardial ischaemia is suspected, follow the recommendations  
5 on stable chest pain in this guideline (see section 1.3). Use clinical  
6 judgement to decide on the timing of any further diagnostic  
7 investigations. **[2010, amended 2016]**

8 1.2.6.6 Do not routinely offer non-invasive imaging or exercise ECG in the  
9 initial assessment of acute cardiac chest pain. **[new 2016]**

10 1.2.6.7 Only consider early chest computed tomography (CT) to rule out  
11 other diagnoses such as pulmonary embolism or aortic dissection,  
12 not to diagnose ACS. **[2010]**

13 1.2.6.8 Consider a chest X-ray to help exclude complications of ACS such  
14 as pulmonary oedema, or other diagnoses such as pneumothorax  
15 or pneumonia. **[2010]**

16 1.2.6.9 If an ACS has been excluded at any point in the care pathway, but  
17 people have risk factors for cardiovascular disease, follow the  
18 appropriate guidance, for example the NICE guidelines on  
19 [cardiovascular disease](#) and [hypertension in adults](#). **[2010]**

### 20 **1.3 People presenting with stable chest pain**

21 This section of the guideline addresses the assessment and diagnosis of  
22 intermittent stable chest pain in people with suspected stable angina.

23 Angina is usually caused by coronary artery disease (CAD). Making a  
24 diagnosis of stable angina caused by CAD in people with chest pain is not  
25 always straightforward, and the recommendations aim to guide and support  
26 clinical judgement. Clinical assessment alone may be sufficient to confirm or  
27 exclude a diagnosis of stable angina, but when there is uncertainty, additional  
28 diagnostic testing (functional or anatomical testing) guided by the estimates of  
29 likelihood of coronary artery disease in table 1, is required.

1 1.3.1.1 Diagnose stable angina based on one of the following:

- 2
- clinical assessment alone or
  - clinical assessment plus diagnostic testing (that is, anatomical testing for obstructive CAD and/or functional testing for myocardial ischaemia). **[2010]**
- 3  
4  
5

6 **1.3.2 Clinical assessment**

7 1.3.2.1 Take a detailed clinical history documenting:

- 8
- the age and sex of the person
  - the characteristics of the pain, including its location, radiation, severity, duration and frequency, and factors that provoke and relieve the pain
  - any associated symptoms, such as breathlessness
  - any history of angina, MI, coronary revascularisation, or other cardiovascular disease and
  - any cardiovascular risk factors. **[2010]**
- 9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

16 1.3.2.2 Carry out a physical examination to:

- 17
- identify risk factors for cardiovascular disease
  - identify signs of other cardiovascular disease
  - identify non-coronary causes of angina (for example, severe aortic stenosis, cardiomyopathy) and
  - exclude other causes of chest pain. **[2010]**
- 18  
19  
20  
21

22 **1.3.3 Making a diagnosis based on clinical assessment**

23 1.3.3.1 Anginal pain is:

- 24
- constricting discomfort in the front of the chest, or in the neck, shoulders, jaw, or arms
  - precipitated by physical exertion
  - relieved by rest or GTN within about 5 minutes.
- 25  
26  
27

1 Use clinical assessment and the typicality of anginal pain features  
 2 listed below to estimate the likelihood of CAD (see Table 1):

- 3 • Three of the features above are defined as typical angina.
- 4 • Two of the three features above are defined as atypical angina.
- 5 • One or none of the features above are defined as non-anginal  
 6 chest pain. **[2010]**

7 **Table 1: Percentage of people estimated to have coronary artery**  
 8 **disease according to typicality of symptoms, age, sex and risk factors**

	Non-anginal chest pain						Atypical angina				Typical angina			
	Men		Women		Men		Women		Men		Women			
	Lo	Hi	Lo	Hi	Lo	Hi	Lo	Hi	Lo	Hi	Lo	Hi		
Age (years)														
35	3	35	1	19	8	59	2	39	30	88	10	78		
45	9	47	2	22	21	70	5	43	51	92	20	79		
55	23	59	4	25	45	79	10	47	80	95	38	82		
65	49	69	9	29	71	86	20	51	93	97	56	84		

For men older than 70 with atypical or typical symptoms, assume an estimate > 90%.  
 For women older than 70, assume an estimate of 61–90% EXCEPT women at high risk AND with typical symptoms where a risk of > 90% should be assumed.

Values are per cent of people at each mid-decade age with significant coronary artery disease (CAD).<sup>4</sup>

Hi = High risk = diabetes, smoking and hyperlipidaemia (total cholesterol > 6.47 mmol/litre).

Lo = Low risk = none of these three.

The shaded area represents people with symptoms of non-anginal chest pain, who would not be investigated for stable angina routinely.

Note:

These results are likely to overestimate CAD in primary care populations.

If there are resting ECG ST-T changes or Q waves, the likelihood of CAD is higher in each cell of the table.

9  
 10 1.3.3.2 Do not define typical and atypical features of anginal chest pain  
 11 and non-anginal chest pain differently in men and women. **[2010]**

<sup>4</sup> Adapted from Pryor DB, Shaw L, McCants CB et al. (1993) Value of the history and physical in identifying patients at increased risk for coronary artery disease. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 118(2):81–90.



1 1.3.3.3 Do not define typical and atypical features of anginal chest pain  
2 and non-anginal chest pain differently in ethnic groups. **[2010]**

3 1.3.3.4 Take the following factors, which make a diagnosis of stable angina  
4 more likely, into account when estimating people's likelihood of  
5 angina:

- 6 • increasing age
- 7 • whether the person is male
- 8 • cardiovascular risk factors including:
  - 9 – a history of smoking
  - 10 – diabetes
  - 11 – hypertension
  - 12 – dyslipidaemia
  - 13 – family history of premature CAD
  - 14 – other cardiovascular disease
- 15 • history of established CAD, for example previous MI, coronary  
16 revascularisation. **[2010]**

17 1.3.3.5 If people have features of typical angina based on clinical  
18 assessment and their estimated likelihood of CAD is greater than  
19 90% (see Table 1), further diagnostic investigation is unnecessary.  
20 Manage as angina. **[2010]**

21 1.3.3.6 Unless clinical suspicion is raised based on other aspects of the  
22 history and risk factors, exclude a diagnosis of stable angina if the  
23 pain is non-anginal (see recommendation 1.3.3.1). Other features  
24 which make a diagnosis of stable angina unlikely are when the  
25 chest pain is:

- 26 • continuous or very prolonged and/or
- 27 • unrelated to activity and/or
- 28 • brought on by breathing in and/or
- 29 • associated with symptoms such as dizziness, palpitations,  
30 tingling or difficulty swallowing.

1 Consider causes of chest pain other than angina (such as  
2 gastrointestinal or musculoskeletal pain). **[2010]**

3 1.3.3.7 If the estimated likelihood of CAD is less than 10% (see Table 1),  
4 first consider causes of chest pain other than angina caused by  
5 CAD. **[2010]**

6 1.3.3.8 Consider investigating other causes of angina, such as  
7 hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, in people with typical angina-like  
8 chest pain and a low likelihood of CAD (estimated at less than  
9 10%). **[2010]**

10 1.3.3.9 Arrange blood tests to identify conditions which exacerbate angina,  
11 such as anaemia, for all people being investigated for stable  
12 angina. **[2010]**

13 1.3.3.10 Only consider chest X-ray if other diagnoses, such as a lung  
14 tumour, are suspected. **[2010]**

15 1.3.3.11 If a diagnosis of stable angina has been excluded at any point in  
16 the care pathway, but people have risk factors for cardiovascular  
17 disease, follow the appropriate guidance, for example 'Lipid  
18 modification' (NICE clinical guideline 67), 'Hypertension' (NICE  
19 clinical guideline 34). **[2010]**

20 1.3.3.12 For people in whom stable angina cannot be diagnosed or  
21 excluded on the basis of the clinical assessment alone, take a  
22 resting 12-lead ECG as soon as possible after presentation. **[2010]**

23 1.3.3.13 Do not rule out a diagnosis of stable angina on the basis of a  
24 normal resting 12-lead ECG. **[2010]**

25 1.3.3.14 A number of changes on a resting 12-lead ECG are consistent with  
26 CAD and may indicate ischaemia or previous infarction. These  
27 include:

- 28 • pathological Q waves in particular

- 1
- LBBB
- 2
- ST-segment and T wave abnormalities (for example, flattening
- 3
- or inversion).

4 Note that the results may not be conclusive.

5 Consider any resting 12-lead ECG changes together with people's

6 clinical history and risk factors. **[2010]**

7 **1.3.3.15** For people with confirmed CAD (for example, previous MI,

8 revascularisation, previous angiography) in whom stable angina

9 cannot be diagnosed or excluded based on clinical assessment

10 alone, see recommendation 1.3.4.8 about functional testing. **[2010]**

11 **1.3.3.16** In people without confirmed CAD, in whom stable angina cannot be

12 diagnosed or excluded based on clinical assessment alone,

13 estimate the likelihood of CAD (see Table 1). Take the clinical

14 assessment and the resting 12-lead ECG into account when

15 making the estimate. Arrange further diagnostic testing as follows:

- If the estimated likelihood of CAD is 61–90%, offer invasive coronary angiography as the first-line diagnostic investigation if appropriate (see recommendations 1.3.4.4 and 1.3.4.5).
- If the estimated likelihood of CAD is 30–60%, offer functional imaging as the first-line diagnostic investigation (see recommendation 1.3.4.6).
- If the estimated likelihood of CAD is 10–29%, offer CT calcium scoring as the first-line diagnostic investigation (see recommendation 1.3.4.7). **[2010]**

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 **1.3.3.17** Consider aspirin only if the person's chest pain is likely to be stable

26 angina, until a diagnosis is made. Do not offer additional aspirin if

27 there is clear evidence that people are already taking aspirin

28 regularly or are allergic to it. **[2010]**

29 **1.3.3.18** Follow local protocols for stable angina while waiting for the results

30 of investigations if symptoms are typical of stable angina. **[2010]**

1 **1.3.4 Diagnostic testing for people in whom stable angina cannot be**  
2 **diagnosed or excluded by clinical assessment alone**

3 This guideline addresses only the diagnostic value of tests for stable angina.  
4 The prognostic value of these tests was not considered.

5 The Guideline Development Group carefully considered the risk of radiation  
6 exposure from diagnostic tests. It discussed that the risk needs to be  
7 considered in the context of radiation exposure from everyday life, the  
8 substantial intrinsic risk that a person will develop cancer during their lifetime  
9 and the potential risk of failing to make an important diagnosis if a particular  
10 test is not performed. The commonly accepted estimate of the additional  
11 lifetime risk of dying from cancer with 10 millisieverts of radiation is 1 in 2000.  
12 The Guideline Development Group emphasised that the recommendations in  
13 this guideline are to make a diagnosis of chest pain, not to screen for CAD.  
14 Most people diagnosed with non-anginal chest pain after clinical assessment  
15 need no further diagnostic testing. However in a very small number of people,  
16 there are remaining concerns that the pain could be ischaemic, in which case  
17 the risk of undiagnosed angina outweighs the risk of any potential radiation  
18 exposure.

19 1.3.4.1 Include the typicality of anginal pain features and the estimate of  
20 CAD likelihood (see recommendation 1.3.3.16) in all requests for  
21 diagnostic investigations and in the person's notes. **[2010]**

22 1.3.4.2 Use clinical judgement and take into account people's preferences  
23 and comorbidities when considering diagnostic testing. **[2010]**

24 1.3.4.3 Take into account people's risk from radiation exposure when  
25 considering which diagnostic test to use. **[2010]**

26 1.3.4.4 For people with chest pain in whom stable angina cannot be  
27 diagnosed or excluded by clinical assessment alone and who have  
28 an estimated likelihood of CAD of 61–90% (see recommendation  
29 1.3.3.16), offer invasive coronary angiography after clinical  
30 assessment and a resting 12-lead ECG if:

- 1                   • coronary revascularisation is being considered and  
2                   • invasive coronary angiography is clinically appropriate and  
3                   acceptable to the person. **[2010]**

4   1.3.4.5   For people with chest pain in whom stable angina cannot be  
5                   diagnosed or excluded by clinical assessment alone and who have  
6                   an estimated likelihood of CAD of 61–90% (see recommendation  
7                   1.3.3.16), offer non-invasive functional imaging after clinical  
8                   assessment and a resting 12-lead ECG if:

- 9                   • coronary revascularisation is not being considered or  
10                  • invasive coronary angiography is not clinically appropriate or  
11                  acceptable to the person. **[2010]**

12   1.3.4.6   For people with chest pain in whom stable angina cannot be  
13                  diagnosed or excluded by clinical assessment alone and who have  
14                  an estimated likelihood of CAD of 30–60% (see recommendation  
15                  1.3.3.16), offer non-invasive functional imaging for myocardial  
16                  ischaemia. See section 1.3.6 for further guidance on non-invasive  
17                  functional testing. **[2010]**

18   1.3.4.7   For people with chest pain in whom stable angina cannot be  
19                  diagnosed or excluded by clinical assessment alone and who have  
20                  an estimated likelihood of CAD of 10–29% (see recommendation  
21                  1.3.3.16) offer CT calcium scoring. If the calcium score is:

- 22                  • zero, consider other causes of chest pain  
23                  • 1–400, offer 64-slice (or above) CT coronary angiography  
24                  • greater than 400, offer invasive coronary angiography. If this is  
25                  not clinically appropriate or acceptable to the person and  
26                  revascularisation is not being considered, offer non-invasive  
27                  functional imaging. See section 1.3.6 for further guidance on  
28                  non-invasive functional testing. **[2010]**

29   1.3.4.8   For people with confirmed CAD (for example, previous MI,  
30                  revascularisation, previous angiography), offer non-invasive

1 functional testing when there is uncertainty about whether chest  
2 pain is caused by myocardial ischaemia. See section 1.3.6 for  
3 further guidance on non-invasive functional testing. An exercise  
4 ECG may be used instead of functional imaging. **[2010]**

### 5 **1.3.5 Additional diagnostic investigations**

6 1.3.5.1 Offer non-invasive functional imaging (see section 1.3.6) for  
7 myocardial ischaemia if invasive coronary angiography or 64-slice  
8 (or above) CT coronary angiography has shown CAD of uncertain  
9 functional significance. **[2010]**

10 1.3.5.2 Offer invasive coronary angiography as a second-line investigation  
11 when the results of non-invasive functional imaging are  
12 inconclusive. **[2010]**

### 13 **1.3.6 Use of non-invasive functional testing for myocardial** 14 **ischaemia**

15 1.3.6.1 When offering non-invasive functional imaging for myocardial  
16 ischaemia use:

- 17 • myocardial perfusion scintigraphy with single photon emission  
18 computed tomography (MPS with SPECT) or
- 19 • stress echocardiography or
- 20 • first-pass contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance (MR)  
21 perfusion or
- 22 • MR imaging for stress-induced wall motion abnormalities.

23 Take account of locally available technology and expertise, the  
24 person and their preferences, and any contraindications when  
25 deciding on the imaging method. [This recommendation updates  
26 and replaces recommendation 1.1 of 'Myocardial perfusion  
27 scintigraphy for the diagnosis and management of angina and  
28 myocardial infarction' (NICE technology appraisal guidance 73)].  
29 **[2010]**

1 1.3.6.2 Use adenosine, dipyridamole or dobutamine as stress agents for  
2 MPS with SPECT and adenosine or dipyridamole for first-pass  
3 contrast-enhanced MR perfusion. **[2010]**

4 1.3.6.3 Use exercise or dobutamine for stress echocardiography or MR  
5 imaging for stress-induced wall motion abnormalities. **[2010]**

6 1.3.6.4 Do not use MR coronary angiography for diagnosing stable angina.  
7 **[2010]**

8 1.3.6.5 Do not use exercise ECG to diagnose or exclude stable angina for  
9 people without known CAD. **[2010]**

### 10 **1.3.7 Making a diagnosis following investigations**

11 1.3.7.1 Confirm a diagnosis of stable angina and follow local guidelines for  
12 angina when:

- 13 • significant CAD (see box 1) is found during invasive or 64-slice
- 14 (or above) CT coronary angiography and/or
- 15 • reversible myocardial ischaemia is found during non-invasive
- 16 functional imaging. **[2010]**

#### **Box 1 Definition of significant coronary artery disease**

Significant coronary artery disease (CAD) found during CT coronary angiography is  $\geq 70\%$  diameter stenosis of at least one major epicardial artery segment or  $\geq 50\%$  diameter stenosis in the left main coronary artery:

##### Factors intensifying ischaemia

Such factors allow less severe lesions (for example  $\geq 50\%$ ) to produce angina:

- Reduced oxygen delivery: anaemia, coronary spasm
- Increased oxygen demand: tachycardia, left ventricular hypertrophy
- Large mass of ischaemic myocardium: proximally located lesions

- Longer lesion length.

Factors reducing ischaemia which may render severe lesions ( $\geq 70\%$ ) asymptomatic

- Well-developed collateral supply
- Small mass of ischaemic myocardium: distally located lesions, old infarction in the territory of coronary supply. **[2016]**

1

2 1.3.7.2 Investigate other causes of chest pain when:

- significant CAD (see box 1) is not found during invasive coronary angiography or 64-slice (or above) CT coronary angiography and/or
- reversible myocardial ischaemia is not found during non-invasive functional imaging or
- the calcium score is zero. **[2010]**

9 1.3.7.3 Consider investigating other causes of angina, such as  
10 hypertrophic cardiomyopathy or syndrome X, in people with typical  
11 angina-like chest pain if investigation excludes flow-limiting disease  
12 in the epicardial coronary arteries. **[2010]**

### 13 ***Terms used in this guideline***

#### 14 **Chest pain**

15 The term 'chest pain' is used throughout the guideline to mean chest pain or  
16 discomfort.

### 17 **Putting this guideline into practice**

18 NICE has produced [tools and resources](#) to help you put this guideline into  
19 practice.

20 Putting recommendations into practice can take time. How long may vary from  
21 guideline to guideline, and depends on how much change in practice or



1 services is needed. Implementing change is most effective when aligned with  
2 local priorities.

3 Changes recommended for clinical practice that can be done quickly – like  
4 changes in prescribing practice – should be shared quickly. This is because  
5 healthcare professionals should use guidelines to guide their work – as is  
6 required by professional regulating bodies such as the General Medical and  
7 Nursing and Midwifery Councils.

8 Changes should be implemented as soon as possible, unless there is a good  
9 reason for not doing so (for example, if it would be better value for money if a  
10 package of recommendations were all implemented at once).

11 Different organisations may need different approaches to implementation,  
12 depending on their size and function. Sometimes individual practitioners may  
13 be able to respond to recommendations to improve their practice more quickly  
14 than large organisations.

15 Here are some pointers to help organisations put NICE guidelines into  
16 practice:

17 **1. Raise awareness** through routine communication channels, such as email  
18 or newsletters, regular meetings, internal staff briefings and other  
19 communications with all relevant partner organisations. Identify things staff  
20 can include in their own practice straight away.

21 **2. Identify a lead** with an interest in the topic to champion the guideline and  
22 motivate others to support its use and make service changes, and to find out  
23 any significant issues locally.

24 **3. Carry out a baseline assessment** against the recommendations to find  
25 out whether there are gaps in current service provision.

26 **4. Think about what data you need to measure improvement** and plan  
27 how you will collect it. You may want to work with other health and social care  
28 organisations and specialist groups to compare current practice with the

1 recommendations. This may also help identify local issues that will slow or  
2 prevent implementation.

3 **5. Develop an action plan**, with the steps needed to put the guideline into  
4 practice, and make sure it is ready as soon as possible. Big, complex changes  
5 may take longer to implement, but some may be quick and easy to do. An  
6 action plan will help in both cases.

7 **6. For very big changes** include milestones and a business case, which will  
8 set out additional costs, savings and possible areas for disinvestment. A small  
9 project group could develop the action plan. The group might include the  
10 guideline champion, a senior organisational sponsor, staff involved in the  
11 associated services, finance and information professionals.

12 **7. Implement the action plan** with oversight from the lead and the project  
13 group. Big projects may also need project management support.

14 **8. Review and monitor** how well the guideline is being implemented through  
15 the project group. Share progress with those involved in making  
16 improvements, as well as relevant boards and local partners.

17 NICE provides a comprehensive programme of support and resources to  
18 maximise uptake and use of evidence and guidance. See our [into practice](#)  
19 pages for more information.

20 Also see Leng G, Moore V, Abraham S, editors (2014) Achieving high quality  
21 care – practical experience from NICE. Chichester: Wiley.

## 22 **Context**

23 Conditions causing chest pain or discomfort, such as an acute coronary  
24 syndrome or angina, have a potentially poor prognosis, emphasising the  
25 importance of prompt and accurate diagnosis. Treatments are available to  
26 improve symptoms and prolong life, hence the need for this guideline.

27 This guideline covers the assessment and diagnosis of people with recent  
28 onset chest pain or discomfort of suspected cardiac origin. In deciding

1 whether chest pain may be cardiac and therefore whether this guideline is  
2 relevant, a number of factors should be taken into account. These include the  
3 person's history of chest pain, their cardiovascular risk factors, history of  
4 ischaemic heart disease and any previous treatment, and previous  
5 investigations for chest pain.

6 For pain that is suspected to be cardiac, there are two separate diagnostic  
7 pathways presented in the guideline. The first is for people with acute chest  
8 pain and a suspected acute coronary syndrome, and the second is for people  
9 with intermittent stable chest pain in whom stable angina is suspected. The  
10 guideline includes how to determine whether myocardial ischaemia is the  
11 cause of the chest pain and how to manage the chest pain while people are  
12 being assessed and investigated.

13 As far as possible, the recommendations in this guideline have been listed in  
14 the order in which they will be carried out and follow the diagnostic pathways.  
15 But, as there are many permutations at each decision point, it has been  
16 necessary to include frequent cross-referencing to avoid repeating  
17 recommendations several times.

18 This guideline does not cover the diagnosis and management of chest pain  
19 that is unrelated to the heart (for example, traumatic chest wall injury, herpes  
20 zoster infection) when myocardial ischaemia has been excluded. The  
21 guideline also recognises that in people with a prior diagnosis of coronary  
22 artery disease, chest pain or discomfort is not necessarily cardiac.

23 The guideline will assume that prescribers will use a drug's summary of  
24 product characteristics to inform decisions made with individual patients.

### 25 ***More information***

To find out what NICE has said on topics related to this guideline, see our web  
page on [cardiovascular conditions](#).

26

## 1 **Recommendations for research**

2 In 2010, the guideline committee made the following recommendations for  
3 research. The committee's full set of research recommendations is detailed in  
4 the [full guideline](#).

### 5 ***1 Cost-effectiveness of multislice CT coronary angiography*** 6 ***for ruling out obstructive CAD in people with troponin-*** 7 ***negative acute coronary syndromes***

8 Is multislice CT coronary angiography a cost-effective first-line test for ruling  
9 out obstructive CAD in people with suspected troponin-negative acute  
10 coronary syndromes? [2010]

#### 11 **Why this is important**

12 Current European Society of Cardiology guidelines state that in troponin-  
13 negative ACS, with no ST-segment change on the ECG, 'a stress test is  
14 recommended... in patients with significant ischaemia during the stress test,  
15 coronary angiography and subsequent revascularisation should be  
16 considered'. Yet stress testing has relatively low sensitivity and specificity for  
17 diagnosing CAD in this group of people. Therefore a significant proportion of  
18 at-risk people are missed while others with normal coronary arteries are  
19 subjected to an unnecessary invasive coronary angiogram. Multislice CT  
20 coronary angiography is highly sensitive and provides a potentially useful  
21 means for early rule-out of CAD in troponin-negative acute coronary disease.  
22 We need to know whether it is cost effective compared with exercise ECG as  
23 a first test in the diagnostic work up of this group.

### 24 ***2 Refining the use of telephone advice in people with chest*** 25 ***pain***

26 In what circumstances should telephone advice be given to people calling with  
27 chest pain? Is the appropriateness influenced by age, sex or symptoms?  
28 [2010]

1 **Why this is important**

2 The telephone is a common method of first contact with healthcare services,  
3 and produces a near uniform emergency response to chest pain symptoms.  
4 Such a response has considerable economic, social and human costs.  
5 Research should be conducted to clarify if an emergency response in all  
6 circumstances is appropriate, or if there are identifiable factors such as age,  
7 sex, or associated symptoms that would allow a modified response and a  
8 more appropriate use of resources.

9 ***3 Establishing a national registry for people who are***  
10 ***undergoing initial assessment for stable angina***

11 Can a national registry of people presenting with suspected angina be  
12 established to allow cohort analysis of treatments, investigations and  
13 outcomes in this group? Such a registry would provide a vital resource for a  
14 range of important research projects, including:

- 15 • development and validation of a new score for assessing the pre-test  
16 probability of disease, addressing outstanding uncertainties in the  
17 estimation of the pre-test probability of CAD based on simple measures  
18 made at initial assessment (history, examination, routine bloods, resting 12-  
19 lead ECG)
- 20 • assessment of the extent to which new circulating biomarkers add  
21 additional information to measures made at initial assessment
- 22 • provision of a framework for trial recruitment without significant work-up  
23 bias allowing evaluation of the diagnostic and prognostic test performance  
24 of CT-based, MR, echocardiography, and radionuclide technologies. **[2010]**

25 **Why this is important**

26 A national prospective registry of consecutive people with suspected stable  
27 angina before initial diagnostic testing does not currently exist in the UK or in  
28 any other country. Establishing such a registry would offer the following  
29 methodological strengths; statistical size, representative patients without  
30 work-up bias, contemporary data. This would overcome key problems in much  
31 of the existing evidence base.

1 Accurate assessment of pre-test likelihood of coronary disease is needed to  
2 inform the cost-effective choice of investigative technologies such as CT  
3 coronary calcium scoring for people with chest pain that may be caused by  
4 myocardial ischaemia. The data on which pre-test likelihood is based date  
5 from 1979 in a US population and may not be applicable to contemporary UK  
6 populations. There remain continuing uncertainties about the initial  
7 assessment of people with suspected stable angina. For example, the  
8 possible contributions of simple clinical measures such as body mass index,  
9 routine blood markers (for example, haemoglobin) or novel circulating  
10 biomarkers to estimates of the pre-test likelihood of CAD are not known and  
11 require further assessment in the whole population and in predefined  
12 subgroups including ethnic minorities.

13 ***4 Cost-effectiveness of multislice CT coronary angiography***  
14 ***compared with functional testing in the diagnosis of angina***

15 What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of multislice CT coronary  
16 angiography compared with functional testing in the diagnosis of angina in a  
17 population of people with stable chest pain who have a moderate (30–60%)  
18 pre-test likelihood of CAD? [2010]

19 **Why this is important**

20 Multislice CT coronary angiography has developed rapidly in recent years.  
21 Published reviews have shown it to be highly effective in the diagnosis of  
22 anatomically significant CAD, and costing data indicate that tests can be run  
23 at a relatively low cost. However, questions remain about the ability of  
24 multislice CT coronary angiography to accurately identify stenoses of  
25 functional significance (that is, those that are sufficient to cause angina) in  
26 people with stable chest pain. This is especially true for people with a  
27 moderate pre-test likelihood of significant CAD.

28 Cost-effectiveness modelling to date has used the diagnosis of CAD as a  
29 short-term outcome, and as such inexpensive anatomical tests like multislice  
30 CT coronary angiography fare better than functional testing strategies such as  
31 MPS with SPECT, stress perfusion MR imaging and stress echocardiography.

1 Because the diagnosis of angina is the true outcome of interest, health  
2 economic modelling is needed to evaluate diagnostic technologies on their  
3 ability to diagnose stable angina.

#### 4 ***5 Information about presenting and explaining tests***

5 All people presenting with chest pain will need to decide whether to accept the  
6 diagnostic and care pathways offered. How should information about the  
7 diagnostic pathway and the likely outcomes, risks and benefits, with and  
8 without treatment, be most effectively presented to particular groups of  
9 people, defined by age, ethnicity and sex? [2010]

#### 10 **Why this is important**

11 Methods of communication (both the content and delivery) will be guided by  
12 current evidence-based best practice. Controlled trials should be conducted  
13 based on well-constructed randomised controlled clinical trials comparing the  
14 effects of different methods of communication on the understanding of the  
15 person with chest pain. Such studies might consider a number of delivery  
16 mechanisms, including advice and discussion with a clinician or a specialist  
17 nurse as well as specific information leaflets or visual data.

18 Any trials should also investigate the feasibility of introducing a suggested  
19 guideline protocol to be used with all people presenting with chest pain when  
20 faced with options concerning their clinical pathway.

21 Only by clearly explaining and then discussing the proposed diagnostic and  
22 care pathways can the healthcare professional be reasonably certain that  
23 informed consent has been obtained and that a patient's moral, ethical and  
24 spiritual beliefs, expectations, and any misconceptions about their condition,  
25 have been taken into account. Consideration should be given to any  
26 communication problems the person may have.

#### 27 **Update information**

28 This guideline is an update of NICE guideline CG95 (published March 2010)  
29 and will replace it.

1 New recommendations have been added for the diagnosis of chest pain of  
2 recent onset.

3 These are marked as:

- 4 • **[new 2016]** if the evidence has been reviewed and the recommendation  
5 has been added or updated
- 6 • **[2016]** if the evidence has been reviewed but no change has been made to  
7 the recommended action.

8 NICE proposes to delete some recommendation from the 2010 guideline,  
9 because either the evidence has been reviewed and the recommendations  
10 have been updated, or NICE has updated other relevant guidance and has  
11 replaced the original recommendations. [Recommendations that have been  
12 deleted or changed](#) sets out these recommendations and includes details of  
13 replacement recommendations. Where there is no replacement  
14 recommendation, an explanation for the proposed deletion is given.

15 Where recommendations are shaded in grey and end **[2010]**, the evidence  
16 has not been reviewed since the original guideline. Yellow shading in these  
17 recommendations indicates wording changes that have been made for the  
18 purposes of clarification only.

19 Where recommendations are shaded in grey and end **[2010, amended 2016]**,  
20 the evidence has not been reviewed but changes have been made to the  
21 recommendation wording that change the meaning (for example, because of  
22 equalities duties or a change in the availability of medicines, or incorporated  
23 guidance has been updated). These changes are marked with yellow shading,  
24 and explanations of the reasons for the changes are given in  
25 'Recommendations that have been deleted or changed' for information.

26 See also the [original NICE guideline and supporting documents](#).



1 ***Recommendations that have been deleted or changed***2 **Recommendations to be deleted**

Recommendation in 2010 guideline	Comment
Take a blood sample for troponin I or T measurement on initial assessment in hospital. These are the preferred biochemical markers to diagnose acute MI.(1.2.5.1)	Replaced by: For people at high or moderate risk of MI (as indicated by a validated tool), perform high-sensitivity troponin tests as recommended in the NICE diagnostics guidance on myocardial infarction (DG15).. (1.2.5.2)
Take a second blood sample for troponin I or T measurement 10–12 hours after the onset of symptoms.(1.2.5.2)	Replaced by: For people at high or moderate risk of MI (as indicated by a validated tool), perform high-sensitivity troponin tests as recommended in the NICE diagnostics guidance on myocardial infarction (DG15).. (1.2.5.2)  For people at low risk of MI (as indicated by a validated tool): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• perform a second high-sensitivity troponin test as recommended in the NICE diagnostics guidance on myocardial infarction (DG15) if the first troponin test at presentation is positive</li> <li>• consider performing a single high-sensitivity troponin test only at presentation to rule out NSTEMI if the first troponin test is below the lower limit of detection (negative). (1.2.5.3)</li> </ul>
Novel cardiac biomarkers in people with acute chest pain (research recommendation 4.2)	Research question has been addressed by this 2016 update of CG95

3

## 1 Amended recommendation wording (change to meaning)

Recommendation in 2010 guideline	Recommendation in current guideline	Reason for change
Take a resting 12-lead ECG and a blood sample for troponin I or T measurement (see section 1.2.5) on arrival in hospital. (1.2.4.1)	Take a resting 12-lead ECG and a blood sample for high-sensitivity troponin I or T measurement (see section 1.2.5) on arrival in hospital. (1.2.4.1)	Updated to clarify the use of high sensitivity troponin testing.
Take into account the clinical presentation, the time from onset of symptoms and the resting 12-lead ECG findings when interpreting high sensitivity troponin measurements. (1.2.5.5)	When interpreting high-sensitivity troponin measurements, take into account: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the clinical presentation</li> <li>• the time from onset of symptoms</li> <li>• the resting 12-lead ECG findings</li> <li>• the pre-test probability of NSTEMI</li> <li>• the length of time since the suspected ACS</li> <li>• the probability of chronically elevated troponin levels in some people</li> <li>• that 99<sup>th</sup> percentile thresholds for troponin I and T may differ between the sexes. (1.2.5.6)</li> </ul>	Updated to clarify the use of high sensitivity troponin testing.
When diagnosing MI, use the universal definition of myocardial infarction [2]. This is the detection of rise and/or fall of cardiac biomarkers (preferably troponin) with at least one value above the 99th percentile of the upper reference limit, together with evidence of myocardial ischaemia with at least one of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Symptoms of ischaemia</li> <li>• New or presumed new significant ST-segment-T wave(ST-T) changes or new left bundle branch block (LBBB)</li> </ul>	When diagnosing MI, use the universal definition of myocardial infarction. This is the detection of rise and/or fall of cardiac biomarkers values [preferably cardiac troponin (cTn)] with at least one value above the 99th percentile of the upper reference limit with at least one of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• symptoms of ischaemia</li> <li>• new or presumed new significant ST-segment-T wave(ST-T) changes or new left bundle branch block (LBBB)</li> <li>• development of</li> </ul>	Updated reference to universal definition of MI and removal of the reference to autopsy as a diagnostic criteria in this context.

DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Development of pathological Q waves in the ECG</li> <li>• Imaging evidence of new loss of viable myocardium or new regional wall motion abnormality .</li> <li>• Identification of an intracoronary thrombus by angiography or autopsy (1.2.6.1)</li> </ul>	<p>pathological Q waves in the ECG</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• imaging evidence of new loss of viable myocardium or new regional wall motion abnormality</li> <li>• identification of an intracoronary thrombus by angiography (1.2.6.1)</li> </ul>	
<p>Reassess people with chest pain without raised troponin levels (determined from appropriately timed samples) and no acute resting 12-lead ECG changes to determine whether their chest pain is likely to be cardiac.</p> <p>If myocardial ischaemia is suspected, follow the recommendations on stable chest pain in this guideline (see section 1.3). Use clinical judgement to decide on the timing of any further diagnostic investigations. (1.2.6.5)</p>	<p>Reassess people with chest pain without raised troponin levels and no acute resting 12-lead ECG changes to determine whether their chest pain is likely to be cardiac.</p> <p>If myocardial ischaemia is suspected, follow the recommendations on stable chest pain in this guideline (see section 1.3). Use clinical judgement to decide on the timing of any further diagnostic investigations. (1.2.6.5)</p>	<p>. To align with new recommendation 1.2.5.3 which suggests that a single test may be used for rule out.</p>

1

2

ISBN