





Draft for Consultation

Asthma: diagnosis, monitoring and chronic asthma management (update)

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

BTS/NICE/SIGN guideline <number> Economic analysis report June 2024

Draft for Consultation
Developed by NICE



Disclaimer

The recommendations in this guideline represent the view of NICE, arrived at after careful consideration of the evidence available. When exercising their judgement, professionals are expected to take this guideline fully into account, alongside the individual needs, preferences and values of their patients or service users. The recommendations in this guideline are not mandatory and the guideline does not override the responsibility of healthcare professionals to make decisions appropriate to the circumstances of the individual patient, in consultation with the patient and, where appropriate, their careful or guardian.

Local commissioners and providers have a responsibility to enable the guideline to be applied when individual health professionals and their patients or service users wish to use it. They should do so in the context of local and national priorities for funding and developing services, and in light of their duties to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, to advance equality of opportunity and to reduce health inequalities. Nothing in this guideline should be interpreted in a way that would be inconsistent with compliance with those duties.

NICE guidelines cover health and care in England. Decisions on how they apply in other UK countries are made by ministers in the <u>Welsh Government</u>, <u>Scottish Government</u>, and <u>Northern Ireland Executive</u>. All NICE guidance is subject to regular review and may be updated or withdrawn.

Copyright

Contents

Intro	oductio	n Error! Boo	okmark not defined.
Met	hods		7
2.1	Model	overview	7
	2.1.1	Comparators	7
	2.1.2	Population	
2.2	Approa	ach to modelling	
	2.2.1	Model structure	9
	2.2.2	Uncertainty	
2.3	Model	inputs	
	2.3.1	Summary table of model inputs	15
	2.3.2	Accuracy analysis	
	2.3.3	Natural history – asthma	
	2.3.4	Natural history – without asthma	
	2.3.5	Mortality	
	2.3.6	Utilities	
	2.3.7	Resource use and costs	
2.4	Compu	utations	50
2.5	Sensiti	ivity analyses	51
	2.5.1	Prevalence of asthma	52
	2.5.2	FeNO threshold analysis in adults	
	2.5.3	Allergic test in children	53
	2.5.4	Maximum time spent with a false negative diagnosis	53
	2.5.5	Parametric curve for time-to-first exacerbation	53
	2.5.6	Quality of life of people with untreated asthma	53
	2.5.7	Nation setting	
	2.5.8	Healthcare professionals	
	2.5.9	Healthcare staff time needed for a PEFv	
	2.5.10	Volume of FeNO	
	2.5.11	FeNO in monitoring visit	
	2.5.12	Initial treatment	
	2.5.13	Formulation of prednisolone in children	
2.6	Model	validation	
2.7	Estima	ation of cost effectiveness	55
2.8	Interpr	eting results	55
Res	ults		57
3.1	Adults	model	
	3.1.1	Base case probabilistic results	
	3.1.2	Scenario analyses	
		-	

		3.1.3	FeNO specificity threshold analysis	61
	3.2	Childr	en model	62
		3.2.1	Base case probabilistic results	62
		3.2.2	Scenario analyses	66
4	Disc	ussion	1	68
	4.1	Summ	nary of results	68
	4.2	Limita	tions and interpretation	69
	4.3	Gener	ralisability to other populations or settings	71
	4.4	Comp	arisons with published studies	71
	4.5	Concl	usions	

1₁ Introduction

2 Asthma is one of the most common chronic diseases diagnosed in adults and children. 3 Recent data(British Lung Foundation) suggest that around 8 million of people, 12% of the 4 British population, have been diagnosed with asthma in the UK. However, it is widely 5 acknowledged that not all of them genuinely have the condition as asthma tend to be 6 overdiagnoses(Shaw, et al., 2012). The most recent figures(Asthma and Lung UK, 2023) 7 suggest that the annual NHS cost of asthma reached £1.3 billion in 2023. Consequently, the 8 committee recognised asthma diagnosis as a fundamental area to prioritise for economic 9 modelling, as any improvements in diagnostic efficiency would reduce overtreatment 10 generating NHS savings that can be reinvested in the healthcare system. 11 Currently, there is no gold standard test to confirm or refute asthma and current practice in 12 the UK is heterogenous, based on clinical diagnosis of symptoms and, when available, one or more objective tests. "Trial of treatment" is also commonly used for diagnosis, where 13 14 people with symptoms are started on an inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) and diagnosis is made 15 based on the response to the medication. The committee acknowledged that current practice

is heterogenous and potentially ineffective and expressed concerns of overdiagnosis andovertreatment.

Amid similar concerns, in 2017 NICE developed a comprehensive guidance(National Institute
 for Health and Care Excellence, 2017) for diagnosing asthma: NG80. The recommendations
 emphasise the importance of objective diagnostic testing in adults and children but were not

systematically implemented, in part due to the rigidity of the diagnostic algorithms produced.

Therefore, a new analysis was conducted for this update, to assess the most cost-effective diagnostic strategies in children and adults. A flexible statistical model was developed in R Studio and was designed to systematically explore numerous permutations and combinations of nine diagnostic tests. The objective of the analysis was to identify a cost-

26 effective yet easily implementable strategy.

27 The analysis used individual patient data (IPD) from RADicA(NHS Health Research

Authority, 2019), a study involving adults and children with symptoms of asthma in England.

29 This allowed to incorporate correlation between tests when estimating joint sensitivity and

30 specificity of a strategy with multiple tests. Conditional dependency was expected to be

31 particularly important in this context as certain tests measure the same phenomenon, such

32 as inflammation of the airways or lung function, and therefore have a high likelihood of

agreement. Failure of considering diagnostic performance dependency was found to cause
 erroneous results and biased conclusions in previously published studies(Novielli, et al.,
 2013)

35 2013).

21 Methods

2.12 Model overview

- 3 A cost-utility analysis was undertaken where lifetime quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) and
- 4 costs from a current UK NHS (English NHS setting in the base case and Scottish NHS
- 5 setting in a sensitivity analysis) and personal social services perspective were considered.
- 6 The analysis followed the standard assumptions of the NICE reference case for interventions
- 7 with health outcomes in an NHS setting including discounting at 3.5% for costs and health8 effects.

2.1.9 Comparators

- 10 The model was developed to assess various sequences or combinations of diagnostic tests
- 11 for asthma. Each diagnostic approach comprises three or four successive steps, in which
- 12 individuals undergo single tests or combinations of tests based on the outcomes of the
- 13 preceding one. Two separate analyses were developed for children and adults using
- 14 diagnostic data relative to the appropriate population.
- 15 The following tests were assessed in the analysis:
- 16 1. Spirometry
- 17 2. Bronchodilator reversibility test (BDR)
- 18 3. Peak expiratory flow test (PEFv)
- 19 4. Fractional Exhaled Nitric Oxide (FeNO)
- 20 5. Skin prick test only in children
- 21 6. IgE only in children
- 22 7. Blood eosinophils
- 23 8. Bronchial challenge test (BCT) with mannitol
- 9. Bronchial challenge test (BCT) with methacholine
- 25 Numerous strategies were assessed and discussed with the committee and, ultimately, the
- 26 following twenty strategies were included in the base case scenario and in this report (See
- Table 1 and Table 2 for adults and children, respectively). See section 2.3.2.3 for insights
- 28 into the rationale behind the design of these strategies.

29 **Table 1: Diagnostic strategies in adults**

S	1 st step	2 nd step	3 rd step	4 th step
1	Blood Eosinophils	+: Diagnose asthma -: BDR	+: Diagnose asthma -: Methacholine	-
2	FeNO	+: Diagnose asthma -: BDR	+: Diagnose asthma -: Methacholine	-
3	PEFv	+: Diagnose asthma -: BDR,	+: Diagnose asthma -: Methacholine,	-
4	Blood Eosinophils & FeNO	+: Diagnose asthma -: BDR,	+: Diagnose asthma -: Methacholine	-
5	Blood Eosinophils	+: Diagnose asthma -: FeNO	+: Diagnose asthma -: BDR,	+: Diagnose asthma -: Methacholine
6	Blood Eosinophils	+: Diagnose asthma -: BDR & FeNO	+: Diagnose asthma, -: Exclude asthma, ?: PEFv	+: Diagnose asthma -: Methacholine

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

7	Blood Eosinophils	+: Diagnose asthma -: BDR & FeNO,	+: Diagnose asthma, -: Methacholine ?: Diagnose asthma	-
8	PEFv	+: Diagnose asthma, -: BDR & FeNO	+: Diagnose asthma, -: Methacholine ?: Diagnose asthma	-
9	BDR & FeNO	+: Diagnose asthma -: Blood Eosinophils ?: Diagnose asthma	+: Diagnose asthma -: Methacholine	-
10	Blood Eosinophils & PEFv	+: Diagnose asthma -: BDR ?: Diagnose asthma	+: Diagnose asthma -: Methacholine ?: Diagnose asthma	_

- Abbreviations: BDR: bronchodilator reversibility; FeNO: Fractional exhaled nitric oxide; PEFv: Peak expiratory
- flow variability
- Legend: +: positive result at previous step; -: negative result at previous step; ?: indeterminate result at previous step
- 1 2 3 4

5 Table 2: Diagnostic strategies in children

S	1 st step	2 nd step	3 rd step	4 th step
1	FeNO	+: Dismiss -: SPT/IgE	+: Methacholine -: Dismiss	-
2	BDR	+: Dismiss -: SPT/IgE	+: Methacholine -: Dismiss	-
3	PEFv	+: Dismiss -: SPT/IgE	+: Methacholine -: Dismiss	_
4	Blood Eosinophils	+: Dismiss -: SPT/IgE	+: Methacholine -: Dismiss	-
5	FeNO	+: Dismiss -: BDR	+: Dismiss -: SPT/IgE	+: Methacholine -: Dismiss
6	FeNO	+: Dismiss -: SPT	+: Blood eosinophils -: Dismiss	+: Dismiss -: Methacholine
7	FeNO & PEFv	+: Dismiss -: SPT	+: Methacholine -: Dismiss	_
8	FeNO & Blood Eosinophils	+: Dismiss -: SPT	+: Methacholine -: Dismiss	_
9	FeNO & BDR	+: Dismiss -: SPT/IgE	+: Methacholine -: Dismiss	-
10	PEFv	+: Dismiss -: BDR	+: Dismiss -: Methacholine	-

6 Abbreviations: BDR: bronchodilator reversibility; FeNO: Fractional exhaled nitric oxide; PEFv: Peak expiratory 7 flow variability; STP = skin prick test

Legend: +: positive result at previous step; -: negative result at previous step; ?: indeterminate result at previous
 step

10

11

2.1.2 Population

2 The population of the analysis was people with respiratory symptoms consistent with asthma 3 who are not currently receiving any regular treatment. This definition aligns with the inclusion 4 criteria established in RADicA study, which was used to estimate the accuracy of diagnostic 5 tests in adults. It also reflects people in the UK who lack an objective diagnosis of asthma 6 despite having asthma-like symptoms who would be referred for an objective diagnostic test. 7 Two separate analyses were conducted for adults and children each using inputs and 8 assumptions appropriate to the age group.

9 The prevalence of asthma used in the base case analysis was obtained from RADicA study

10 and it is expected to reflect the disease prevalence among those who are seeking primary

11 care due to asthma-like respiratory symptoms. The committee acknowledged that patient's

12 clinical history is particularly important and emphasized its pivotal role in determining the

13 most appropriate diagnostic sequence. In cases where there is strong evidence pointing

14 towards asthma, such as a history of respiratory attacks and hospitalisation episodes, the

- 15 likelihood of the individual having asthma is considerably high. In such instances, a strategy
- 16 that has a higher sensitivity would more likely be cost-effective. Vice versa, when the 17

likelihood of asthma is low, strategies with a better specificity become preferable. For this

- reason, prevalence of asthma was varied in the scenario analyses to explore potential 18 19 changes in the optimal diagnostic algorithm arising from the clinical history of people with
- 20 suspected asthma (see 2.5.1).

Approach to modelling 2.2

2.22 Model structure

23	The model is composed of three different sub-models	(see Figure	1):
20	The model is composed of three different sub-models	(See Figure	·).

24 1. The diagnostic accuracy model is a decision tree used to determine the accuracy 25 of the diagnostic strategies using either observed individual patient data (IPD) test 26 results in adults from RADicA, or pseudo IPD test results in children simulated 27 through a probit model (see 2.3.2.2). The diagnostic outcomes subsequently feed into the asthma and non-asthma management models. 28 29 2. The asthma management model is used to calculate costs and health outcomes of 30 people who have asthma, distinguishing between true positive (TP) and false 31 negative (FN). This model is divided into two Markov models for short-term and long-32 term. 33 **a.** The short-term Markov model used monthly cycles to determine the duration 34 during which individuals with asthma and a false negative diagnosis remain 35 untreated. Once all false negative diagnoses are rectified, people enter the 36 long-term Markov model (see also section 2.2.1.2). 37 b. The long-term Markov model used yearly cycles to calculate cost and health outcomes associated with asthma throughout the cohort's lifetime (see also 38 39 section 2.2.1.3). 40 3. The non-asthma management model is used to calculate costs and health 41 outcomes of people who do not have asthma, distinguishing between true negative 42 (TN) and false positive (FP). The model employs a partition survival approach with a 43 fitted curve to determine the time spent with an erroneous diagnosis of asthma.

Figure 1: Model structure



Abbreviations: TP = true positive; TN = true negative; FP = false positive; FN = false negative; QALY = Qualityadjusted life years

2.2.1.1 Diagnostic accuracy model

- 2 A decision tree was used to estimate the diagnostic accuracy of any defined strategy. Each
- 3 strategy consisted of three or more sequential steps, wherein people undergo either a single
- 4 test or a combination of two tests based on the outcomes of the preceding strategy (see
- 5 Figure 2).
- 6 Although most of the strategies assessed have 3 steps, some with 4 steps were included too,
- 7 to explore whether, although less practical, including more tests could lead to better
- 8 outcomes.



Figure 2: Diagnostic sequence

Abbreviations: P: positive; I: indeterminate, N: negative.

1

2 Initially, people with respiratory symptoms suggestive of asthma are referred to the first line 3 test or combination of tests. There are possible three outcomes after each step: positive, 4 negative and indeterminate, with the last occurring only when two tests given together report 5 conflicting results. In step 2 and 3, a decision is made based on results of the previous step 6 on whether to dismiss the patient with a diagnosis (asthma or non-asthma) or offer further 7 tests. When people receive a different outcome at a following step, the model considers only 8 the latter one. Upon reaching the last step, people are either dismissed or received their final 9 tests that will determine their ultimate diagnosis.

10 Conditional dependencies between tests in adults was naturally incorporated in this analysis 11 as the use of individual level data from a single study allows to estimate "joint sensitivity" and 12 "joint specificity" of any relevant diagnostic sequences (see section 2.3.2). In children this 13 was not possible, as there was no robust IPD available. Therefore, a different approach was 14 adopted where test results were simulated through a multivariate probit model using 15 accuracy data from the clinical review and correlation from RADicA (see section 16 2.3.2.2Diagnostic accuracy in children – Multivariate Probit model).

17 Estimated joint sensitivity and specificity values are used to determine the number of true 18 positive (TN), true negative (TN), false positive (FP), false negative (FN) associated with

19 each strategy, that were subsequently fed into the two management models.

2.2.2.2 Asthma management model

21 Two different Markov models were used for people who have asthma: a short-term model

22 (Figure 3) using monthly cycles and a long-term model (Figure 4) using yearly cycles.

DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

1 Figure 3: Short-term Markov model



5

4

2 3

People with asthma initially enter the short-term model (Figure 3) in either the "treated for
asthma" (true positive) or "untreated" (false negative) states with a proportion depending on
the sensitivity of the corresponding diagnostic strategy. Monthly cycles were preferred to
annual cycles to adequately reflect time-to-first exacerbations in those who are untreated
(see section 2.3.3.1.2).

People who are treated for asthma incur monthly costs related to asthma therapy and annual costs for monitoring and have the quality of life and mortality of people with asthma. People who are untreated do not incur any cost but suffer from a lower quality of life and a slightly higher mortality due to inadequate asthma control. Remission is allowed only in those who were diagnosed with asthma during their childhood (see 2.3.3.1.3) and therefore is only incorporated into the children's model.

17 Both treated and untreated people face a risk of experiencing an asthma exacerbation, with a 18 higher risk applied to those who are untreated. Once a person with untreated asthma experiences an exacerbation (either mild/moderate or severe), the model assumes that they 19 20 will seek additional diagnostic advice and be referred for a bronchial challenge test with 21 methacholine or mannitol (BCT), leading to a conclusive asthma diagnosis and their ultimate transition to the "treated for asthma" state. This was considered appropriate by the 22 23 committee, as people with a history of asthma attacks but uncertain diagnosis typically 24 undergo further diagnostic tests and receive a diagnosis based on the results and their 25 clinical history. If the symptoms persist, a "trial of treatment" might also be considered to 26 assess the patient's response to asthma treatment. An asthma exacerbation was not modelled as a separate Markov state, but rather as a transitory outcome occurring each 27 28 cycle, which is used to estimate costs and loss of quality of life associated with the episode, 29 and to determine the number of false negative people transitioning to the true positive state.

- 1 People in both the untreated and treated states have a monthly probability of dying which
- 2 was estimated from a longitudinal population-based asthma cohort study(Lemmetyinen, et
- 3 al., 2018). Those with untreated asthma have a slightly higher probability of dying from their
- 4 disease. While very rare, a severe exacerbation could lead to death: however, the model 5 does not apply a mortality effect to exacerbations to prevent double counting, given that the
- baseline mortality rates already include deaths attributed to asthma attacks. 6
- 7 After a specific period determined by the committee to be around 2 years in the base case
- 8 (equivalent to 24 cycles), the model assumes that people whose asthma is still untreated will
- 9 seek further medical advice and be referred for a BCT that will ultimately lead to the correct
- diagnosis of asthma. They will then move to the long-term Markov model (Figure 4). 10



11 Figure 4: Long-term Markov model

12 13

Abbreviation: TP: true positive

- 14
- 15 In the long-term Markov model, people start in either the "remission" or "treated for asthma"
- 16 states as is assumed that any remaining false negative asthma diagnosis was corrected in
- 17 the last cycle of the short-term model.
- 18 The long-term model uses annual cycles to simulate the lifetime of people until they either
- reach the end of their life or the age of 100. Similar to the short-term model, transitions to 19
- remission are only allowed for cohorts diagnosed with asthma during childhood. 20

2.2.2.B Non-asthma management model

- 22 People who do not have asthma enter the non-asthma management model either as true
- negative or false positive depending on the specificity of the corresponding diagnostic 23
- 24 strategy. The model employs a partition survival approach with three exclusive states to
- 25 determine the long-term consequences associated with any diagnostic strategy (see Figure 26 5).



1 Figure 5: Negative trace – health states of the partition survival model

Abbreviations: TN: true negative; FP: true positive

4 People who are correctly identified as not having asthma (true negative), enter the model in

5 the "dismissed" state, where they are assumed to receive the appropriate therapy for their

6 underlying condition. Consequently, the model applies no extra cost, adverse impact on

7 quality of life or excess mortality to this state.

8 People who are erroneously diagnosed with asthma, enter the model in the "treated for

9 asthma" state, where they remain for a period determined by a distribution curve that was
10 fitted to data from a Canadian longitudinal study (see section 2.2.1.3). As respiratory

11 symptoms caused by conditions other than asthma are not expected to improve with asthma

12 therapy, people in this state exhibit a lower quality of life than the general population

13 throughout the duration of their misdiagnosis. A survival curve based on the general

14 population mortality was used to determine the number of people that die each year (see

15 limitations in section 4.2).

2.2.2 Uncertainty

2 3

17 The model was built probabilistically to take account of the uncertainty around input 18 parameter point estimates. A probability distribution was defined for each model input 19 parameter. When the model was run, a value for each input was randomly selected 20 simultaneously from its respective probability distribution; mean costs and mean QALYs 21 were calculated using these values. The model was run repeatedly – 10,000 times for the 22 base case and each sensitivity analysis – and results were summarised.

The way in which distributions are defined reflects the nature of the data, so for example event probabilities were given a beta distribution, which is bounded by 0 and 1, reflecting that the probability of an event occurring cannot be less than 0 or greater than 1. All of the variables that were probabilistic in the model and their distributional parameters are detailed in Table 3 and in the relevant input summary tables in section 2.3.1. Probability distributions in the analysis were parameterised using error estimates from data sources.

Table 3: Description of the type and properties of distributions used in the probabilistic sensitivity analysis

Parameter	Type of distribution	Properties of distribution
Proportion of people receiving a test at each step Test sensitivity	Beta	 Bounded between 0 and 1. As the sample size and the number of events were specified alpha and beta values were calculated as follows: Alpha = (number of patients hospitalised)

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Parameter	Type of distribution	Properties of distribution
Proportion of severe exacerbations		 Beta = (number of patients) – (number of patients hospitalised)
Annualised exacerbation rates N. of inhaler actuations per day Utility decrements	Gamma	 Bounded at 0, positively skewed. Derived from mean and its standard error. Alpha and beta values were calculated as follows: Alpha = (mean/SE)² Beta = SE²/Mean
Diagnostic odds ratio Hazard ratios Relative risks Utility multipliers Parameters of survival curves	Lognormal	The natural log of the mean and standard error were calculated as follows: • Mean = ln(mean cost) – SE ² /2 • SE = [ln(upper 95% CI) – ln(lower 95% CI)]/(1.96×2) $\sqrt{\ln \frac{SE^2 + mean^2}{mean^2}}$ This formula includes a correction to ensure the mean generated in the probabilistic analysis will be the same as the reported mean.

- 1 Abbreviations: 95% CI = 95% confidence interval; SE = standard error
- 2 The following variables were left deterministic (that is, they were not varied in the
- 3 probabilistic analysis):
- the cost-effectiveness threshold (which was deemed to be fixed by NICE),
- the cost of staff required to administer each test (assumed to be fixed according to national pay scales)
- the time required for each test, which was informed from the committee and, when
 necessary, varied in the sensitivity analysis
- 9 cost of healthcare services available in UK national sources
- 10 drug prices
- 11 mortality in the general population based on life tables
- 12 utility score in the general population
- 13 prevalence of asthma
- 14

In addition, various deterministic sensitivity analyses were undertaken to test the robustness of model assumptions. In these, one or more inputs were changed and the analysis rerun to

17 evaluate the impact on results and whether conclusions on which intervention should be

18 recommended would change. Details of the sensitivity analyses undertaken can be found in

19 methods section 2.5.

2.20 Model inputs

2.3.1 Summary table of model inputs

22 Model inputs were based on clinical evidence identified by the committee, supplemented by

additional data sources as required. Model inputs were validated with clinical members of the

- 24 guideline committee. A summary of the model inputs used in the base-case (primary)
- analysis is provided in Table 4 below. More details about sources, calculations and rationale
- 26 for selection can be found in the sections following this summary table.

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

1 Table 4: Overview of parameters and parameter distributions used in the model

Input	Data	Source	Probability distribution
Population	People with suspected asthma		n/a
Starting age	Adults: 30 Children: 12	Committee's opinion	n/a
Prevalence of asthma among those with symptoms	59%	RADicA(NHS Health Research Authority, 2019)	n/a
Perspective	UK NHS & PSS	NICE reference case	n/a
Time horizon	Lifetime		n/a
Discount rate	Costs: 3.5% Outcomes: 3.5%	NICE reference case	n/a
Test accuracy in ac	dults		
Skin prick test	Sensitivity: 0.74 Specificity: 0.52	RADicA(NHS Health Research Authority, 2019)	Specificity: beta DOR: lognormal
BDR	Sensitivity: 0.41 Specificity: 1.00	RADicA(NHS Health Research Authority, 2019)	Specificity: beta DOR: lognormal
PEFv	Sensitivity: 0.15 Specificity: 0.97	RADicA(NHS Health Research Authority, 2019)	Specificity: beta DOR: lognormal
Blood eosinophils	Sensitivity: 0.32 Specificity: 0.98	RADicA(NHS Health Research Authority, 2019)	Specificity: beta DOR: lognormal
FeNO	≥ 50ppb Sensitivity: 0.53 Specificity: 0.87 ≥ 40ppb Sensitivity: 0.59 Specificity: 0.85	RADicA(NHS Health Research Authority, 2019)	Specificity: beta DOR: lognormal
Spirometry	Sensitivity: 0.37 Specificity: 0.96	RADicA(NHS Health Research Authority, 2019)	Specificity: beta DOR: lognormal
Mannitol challenge test	Sensitivity: 0.63 Specificity: 0.93	RADicA(NHS Health Research Authority, 2019)	Specificity: beta DOR: lognormal
Methacholine challenge test	Sensitivity: 0.62 Specificity: 1.00	RADicA(NHS Health Research Authority, 2019)	Specificity: beta DOR: lognormal
Conditional between tests	Observed in RADiCA IPD	RADicA(NHS Health Research Authority, 2019)	n/a
Test accuracy in ch	nildren		
Skin prick test	Sensitivity: 0.83 Specificity: 0.72	Drkulec 2013(Drkulec, et al., 2013)	n/a
Serum total IgE	Sensitivity: 0.97 Specificity: 0.77	Drkulec 2013(Drkulec, Nogalo, Perica, Plavec, Pezer, Turkalj, 2013)	n/a

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Innut	Data	Source	Probability distribution
	Sonoitivity 0.4.4		
BDR	Sensitivity: 0.14 Specificity: 0.93	al., 2017)	n/a
PEFv	Sensitivity: 0.5 Specificity: 0.72	Brouwer 2010(Brouwer, et al., 2010)	n/a
Blood eosinophils	Sensitivity: 0.37 Specificity: 0.91	Livnat 2015(Livnat, et al., 2015)	n/a
FeNO	Sensitivity: 0.32 Specificity: 0.99	Woo 2012(Woo, et al., 2012)	n/a
Spirometry	Sensitivity: 0.32 Specificity: 0.99	Eom 2020(Eom, et al., 2020)	n/a
Methacholine challenge test	Sensitivity: 0.68 Specificity: 0.76	Zaczeniuk 2015(Zaczeniuk, et al., 2015)	n/a
Correlation between tests	Observed in RADiCA IPD	RADicA(NHS Health Research Authority, 2019)	n/a
Natural history			
Annualised exacerbation rates	ICS/LABA: 0.195 ICS + SABA: 0.175	Novel START(Beasley, et al., 2019)	Gamma
Time-to-first exacerbation (exponential)	λ = 0.000855	Estimated using pseudo- IPD from Novel START(Beasley, Holliday, Reddel, Braithwaite, Ebmeier, Hancox, Harrison, Houghton, Oldfield, Papi, Pavord, Williams, Weatherall, Novel, 2019)	Lognormal
Proportion of severe exacerbations	ICS/LABA = 0.24 SABA (untreated) = 0.31	Novel START(Beasley, Holliday, Reddel, Braithwaite, Ebmeier, Hancox, Harrison, Houghton, Oldfield, Papi, Pavord, Williams, Weatherall, Novel, 2019)	Beta
Time-to-remission (lognormal)	Age 0 – 5 Mean = 2.15 SD = 1.67 Age 5 –10 Mean = 2.62 SD = 1.77 Age 10 – 15 Mean = 3.36 SD = 2.01	Estimated using pseudo- IPD from De Marco 2002(De Marco, et al., 2002)	Lognormal
Time-to-FP diagnosis correction (Weibull)	Shape = 1.11 Scale = 0.37	Estimated from Pakhale 2011(Pakhale, et al., 2011)	Multivariate lognormal
Incident rate ratios (IRR) for exacerbation	Infant vs adults = 1.33 Children vs adults = 0.46	Estimated from Bloom 2018(Chloe, et al., 2018)	Lognormal

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Input	Data	Source	Probability distribution
Median time to first exacerbation	Infant $(0 - 4) = 2.83$ years Children $(5 - 17) =$ 8.5 years Adults $(18 - 55) = 3.5$ years	Bloom 2018(Chloe, Francis, Ian, Liam, Paul, Jennifer, 2018)	N/A
Mortality			
General population mortality	Age- and gender specific	ONS Life tables 2018- 2020(Office for National Statistics, 2021)	Fixed
People with asthma	Adults: HR = 1.25 Children HR = 1.77	Lemmetyinen 2018(Lemmetyinen, Karjalainen, But, Renkonen, Pekkanen, Toppila-Salmi, Haukka, 2018) Fleming 2019(Fleming, et al., 2019)	Lognormal
Asthma CFR	0.0002288889	ONS death registration 2022(Office for National Statistics, 2023)	Fixed
Asthma mortality if untreated	RR = 2	Suissa 2000(Suissa, et al., 2000)	Fixed
Health-related qual	ity of life (utilities)		
General population utilities	Age- and gender specific	NICE Decision Support Unit(Alava, et al., 2022)	Fixed
Utility multiplier – people on asthma treatment	0.892	Health Survey for England 2018(NHS Digital, 2019)	Gamma of the difference
Utility multiplier – people with uncontrolled asthma	0.845	Health Survey for England 2018(NHS Digital, 2019)	Gamma of the difference
Utility multiplier – people in remission	0.989	Health Survey for England 2018(NHS Digital, 2019)	Lognormal
Utility value - children	0.96	Kua 2016(Kua, et al., 2016)	Beta
Utility decrements with moderate exacerbations	7 days = 0.0921 14 days = 0.0876 21 days = 0.0867 28 days = 0.0834	Briggs 2021(Briggs, et al., 2021)	Gamma
Utility decrements with severe exacerbations	7 days = 0.163 14 days = 0.132 21 days = 0.125 28 days = 0.115	Briggs 2021(Briggs, Nasser, Hammerby, Buchs, Virchow, 2021)	Gamma
Costs			
GP visit	£38	PSSRU 2022(Jones, et al.)	Fixed
Practice nurse visit	£16.39	PSSRU 2022(Jones, Birch, Dargan, Forder, Roland)	Fixed

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

1	Dete	0	
Input	Data	Source	Probability distribution
Outpatient visit	Adults: £185 Children: £266	National Cost Collection 2021/22(NHS England, 2022)	Fixed
Consultant-led visit	Adults: £194 Children: £301	National Cost Collection 2021/22(NHS England, 2022)	Fixed
GP per hour	£244	PSSRU 2022(Jones,	Fixed
Nurse per hour	£63.38	Birch, Dargan, Forder,	Fixed
Spirometry	£22.93	NHS Supply Chain	Fixed
BDR	£39.16	Catalogues(NHS Supply	Fixed
PEFv	£25.78	Chain Catalogue., 2022)	Fixed
FeNO	£22.21	Committee's expert	Fixed
Skin prick test	£44.58	opinion	Fixed
Total serum IgE	£16.03		Fixed
Blood eosinophils	£7.66		Fixed
Bronchial challenge test with methacholine or mannitol	£179.49		Fixed
Actuations per day – ICS/LABA	Budesonide formoterol = 0.53	Novel START(Beasley, Holliday, Reddel, Braithwaite, Ebmeier, Hancox, Harrison, Houghton, Oldfield, Papi, Pavord, Williams, Weatherall, Novel, 2019)	Gamma
Actuations per day – ICS+SABA	Budesonide = 1.11 Albuterol = 1.01	Novel START(Beasley, Holliday, Reddel, Braithwaite, Ebmeier, Hancox, Harrison, Houghton, Oldfield, Papi, Pavord, Williams, Weatherall, Novel, 2019)	Gamma
ICS cost per inhaler	Budesonide inhaler = £14.25	BNF 2024(Joint Formulary Committee, 2024)	Fixed
SABA cost per inhaler	Albuterol inhaler = £1.50	BNF 2024(Joint Formulary Committee, 2024)	Fixed
ICS/LABA cost per inhaler	Budesonide formoterol inhaler = £28	BNF 2024(Joint Formulary Committee, 2024)	Fixed
Cost of monitoring asthma	Without FeNO = £58.54 With FeNO = £62.53	PSSRU 2022(Jones, Birch, Dargan, Forder, Roland) Committee's expert opinion	Fixed
Cost of a mild/moderate exacerbation	£42	PSSRU 2022(Jones, Birch, Dargan, Forder, Roland) BNF(Joint Formulary Committee, 2024)	Fixed

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Input	Data	Source	Probability distribution
		NHS Supply Chain Catalogues(NHS Supply Chain Catalogue., 2022)	
Severe exacerbation	Proportion requiring SGC = 80% Proportion requiring A&E = 13% Proportion requiring hospitalisation = 7%	Sygma 2(Bateman, et al., 2018)	Dirichlet
Cost of SGC therapy	Adults = £1.88 ^(b) Children = £0.60 ^(c)	BNF 2024(Joint Formulary Committee, 2024)	
Cost of A&E visit	£113	National Cost Collection 2021/22(NHS England, 2022)	Fixed
Cost of asthma hospitalisation	Adults: £1,181 Children: £1,223	National Cost Collection 2021/22(NHS England, 2022)	Fixed

Abbreviations: A&E = accident and emergency; CFR = Case fatality rate; SGC = systemic glucocorticoids 1 2 3 4

a) 8 tablets of prednisolone 5mg a day for 7 days

b) 6 tables of prednisolone 5 mg a day for 3 days

2.3.2 Accuracy analysis

When estimating joint sensitivity and joint specificity of a sequence of tests, it is important to 6 7 incorporate conditional dependencies. For instance, two tests that measure the same 8 phenomenon, like inflammation of the lungs, are more likely to give the same result, and therefore less useful to be administered together. Novielli and colleagues conducted an 9 10 analysis on the accuracy of Wells score and Ddimer in combination and found that failing to account for diagnostic performance dependency led to erroneous results and biased 11

conclusions(Novielli, Cooper, Sutton, 2013). This model incorporates conditional dependency 12

13 using two different approaches in adults and children.

14 In adults, joint sensitivity and joint specificity of all strategies were calculated directly from a relevant individual patient data (IPD) study(NHS Health Research Authority, 2019), which 15 was recently conducted in the UK. This approach allowed to incorporate conditional 16 17 dependencies as information was available on the results of multiple tests for each individual. 18 Nevertheless, this approach might also introduce biases if factors such as a small sample 19 size or improper inclusion/exclusion criteria lead to the inaccurate estimation of the accuracy 20 of one or more tests. Further discussion on this can be found in the limitations section (see 21 4.2).

22 In children, no IPD study including all the relevant tests was identified, so the same approach

could not be adopted. Instead, data from the clinical review were combined with the 23

24 correlation matrix estimated in RADicA to generate pseudo IPD. These simulated IPD were 25 then used to estimate joint sensitivity and specificity.

26 Prevalence of asthma among those with respiratory symptoms, was estimated using RADicA 27 study on adults: 59%. No source was identified for children so the same prevalence was 28 used. However, sensitivity analyses were carried out in both populations adjusting for

29 scenarios of reduced and increased prevalence.

2.3.2.1 Diagnostic accuracy in adults – RADicA IPD

2 Diagnostic accuracy data in adults is sourced from the Rapid Access Diagnostics for Asthma

3 (RADicA), a prospective observational study involving adults and children with symptoms

consistent with asthma(NHS Health Research Authority, 2019). Participants underwent both
 standard and novel lung function tests, as well as blood and skin prick tests, before receiving

standard and novel lung function tests, as well as blood and skin prick tests, before receiving
 their final diagnosis. Confidential academic data from a sample of 118 adults in this study

7 was analysed to estimate the accuracy of several potential diagnostic combination. See

8 Evidence Review K for more details.

9 Table 5 illustrates the accuracy of each test included in RADicA alongside the criteria for

10 positivity used in the study. For FeNO, two different criteria for positivity were tested: \geq 40ppb

11 and \geq 50ppb.

Test	Criteria for positivity	Sensitivity	Specificity
Skin prick test	any positive SPT to 8 common inhaled allergens.	0.74 (0.63 – 0.83)	0.52 (0.38 – 0.66)
BDR	≥ 12% + at least 200ml	0.41 (0.31 – 0.53)	1.00 (0.93 – 1.00)
PEFv	"[(higher-lower)/mean]*100" per day average over AT LEAST 5 days	0.15 (0.08 – 0.27)	0.97 (0.86 – 0.99)
Blood eosinophils	>0.4x10^9 cells/L	0.32 (0.22 – 0.44)	0.98 (0.89 – 0.99)
FeNO	≥ 50ppb	0.53 (0.41 – 0.64)	0.87 (0.75 – 0.94)
FeNO	≥ 40ppb	0.59 (0.47 – 0.69)	0.85 (0.73 – 0.93)
Spirometry	FEV1/FVC < LLN	0.37 (0.27 – 0.49)	0.96 (0.86 - 0.99)
Mannitol challenge test	PD15 ≤ 635mg	0.63 (0.45 – 0.78)	0.93 (0.79 – 0.98)
Methacholine challenge test	PD20 < 200mcg	0.62 (0.49 – 0.74)	1 (0.92 – 1.00)

12 Table 5: Diagnostic accuracy of tests in adults

13 Abbreviations: BDR: bronchodilator reversibility; FeNO: Fractional exhaled nitric oxide; PEFv: Peak expiratory

14 flow variability; SPT: skin prick test.

15 Source: RADicA(NHS Health Research Authority, 2019)

16 As anticipated, many asthma tests exhibit high specificity, especially PEFv, BDR, spirometry,

17 blood eosinophils, and the two bronchial challenge tests. However, sensitivity tends to be

18 modest for most tests, except for the two bronchial challenge tests and skin prick test. These

- values align generally well with the accuracy found in the clinical review with a fewexceptions:
- BDR was found to be very specific in the clinical review but with a value below 0.9. A
 similar sensitivity of 0.41 was estimated in a study which used a slightly different criteria
 for positivity: ≥15% and/or at least 200ml
- 24
 2. Likewise, studies included in the literature review on blood eosinophils generally report a
 high specificity but inferior to 0.9 at different thresholds
- There was some uncertainty on the specificity value of FeNO in the clinical review. A few studies(Kowal, et al., 2009, Schneider, et al., 2022) on adults using a cut-off value of 50 ppb found a higher specificity (between 0.91 and 0.99) than the estimation from RADicA. This prompted to conduct a threshold analysis on the specificity of FeNO (see section 2.5.2).
- 4. Bronchial challenge test with methacholine generally showed a higher sensitivity but
 worse specificity in the clinical review although no study using the same threshold was
- 33 included.
- 34

- 1 This and other limitations associated with using IPD from RADicA to estimate diagnostic
- 2 accuracy are further discussed in the limitations section (see section 4.2).
- 3 As conditional dependency between tests is a crucial aspect of this analysis, correlation
- 4 between tests was explored using the Psych package of R studio. Polychoric correlation
- 5 coefficients were calculated with bootstrapped confidence intervals (see Table 6, Figure 6
- and Figure 7). Polychoric correlations were preferred to other correlation measures, such as
- 7 Pearson's coefficients, as these are most appropriate for tests defined by cut-points on an
- 8 underlying continuous scale, assumed to be normally distributed for each test.
- 9 A value of 1 indicates perfect correlation, which occurs when two tests consistently give the
- same results. A value of 0, instead, indicates perfect independence. Independent tests are
- 11 more likely to be useful when given in a sequence, as independent tests can potentially
- 12 rectify errors made by each other.

13 Table 6: Correlation between tests results – people with asthma

	SPT	BDR	PEFv	Mannit ol	Blood Eosino phils	FeNO 50	FeNO 40	Spiro	Methac holine
SPT	1								
BDR	0.29	1							
PEFv	-0.28	-0.05	1						
Mannit ol	0.68**	0.45	0.17***	1					
Blood Eosino phils	0.15	-0.03	0.76***	0.57***	1				
FeNO 50	0.58***	0.16	0.44	0.62**	0.45	1			
FeNO 40	0.55***	0.25	0.34	0.69*	0.41	0.95***	1		
Spiro	0.06	0.72***	0.32	0.09	-0.01	0.20	0.10	1	
Methac holine	0.17	0.34	-0.14	0.35	-0.33	0.31	0.40*	0.28	1

14 Note: *** ρ < 0.001, ** ρ < 0.01, * ρ < 0.05

15 Abbreviations: BDR: bronchodilator reversibility; FeNO: Fractional exhaled nitric oxide; PEFv: Peak expiratory

16 flow variability; STP = skin prick test

DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

SPT -	1.00	0.29	-0.28	0.68	0.15	0.58	0.55	0.06	0.17	- 1
BDR -	0.29	1.00	-0.05	0.45	-0.03	0.16	0.25	0.72	0.34	- 0
PEFv -	-0.28	-0.05	1.00	0.17	0.76	0.44	0.34	0.32	-0.14	- o
Mannitol –	0.68	0.45	0.17	1.00	0.57	0.62	0.69	0.09	0.35	- (
BloodEosinophils -	0.15	-0.03	0.76	0.57	1.00	0.45	0.41	-0.01	-0.33	
FeNO50 -	0.58	0.16	0.44	0.62	0.45	1.00	0.95	0.20	0.31	
FeNO40 -	0.55	0.25	0.34	0.69	0.41	0.95	1.00	0.10	0.40	
Spiro –	0.06	0.72	0.32	0.09	-0.01	0.20	0.10	1.00	0.28	
Methacholine -	0.17	0.34	-0.14	0.35	-0.33	0.31	0.40	0.28	1.00	
			1		I		I	I		
	SPT	BDR	PEFv	Mannitol E	BloodEosinophi	ls FeNO50	FeNO40	Spiro	Methacholine	

1 Figure 6: Correlation plot – people with asthma

2 3 4

Abbreviations: BDR: bronchodilator reversibility; FeNO: Fractional exhaled nitric oxide; PEFv: Peak expiratory flow variability; STP = skin prick test

0.34 SPT 1.00 -0.14 -0.11 0.51 0.55 -0.34 0.8 -0.14 1.00 0.51 0.62 0.28 0.27 0.50 PEFv 0.6 0.4 0.34 0.51 1.00 0.41 0.07 0.03 0.29 Mannitol 0.2 BloodEosinophils -0.11 0.62 0.41 1.00 0.61 0.55 0.53 0 FeNO50 0.51 0.28 0.07 0.61 1.00 0.98 0.13 -0.2 -0.4 FeNO40 0.55 0.27 0.03 0.55 0.98 1.00 0.08 -0.6 -0.34 0.50 0.29 0.53 0.13 0.08 1.00 Spiro -0.8 _1 SPT PEFv BloodEosinophils FeNO50 FeNO40 Spiro Mannitol

5 Figure 7: Correlation plot – people without asthma

6 SPT PEFv Mannitol BloodEosinophils FeNO50 FeNO40 Spiro 7 Abbreviations: FeNO: Fractional exhaled nitric oxide; PEFv: Peak expiratory flow variability; STP = skin prick test; 8 Note: tests with perfect specificity were removed from the plot

The Polychoric correlation coefficients reported in Table 6 aligned well with committee's 1 2 expectations. As anticipated, BDR and spirometry were found to be significantly correlated, 3 as both measure airway obstruction. Blood eosinophils was found to be moderately 4 correlated with FeNO. This was also expected as these tests generally capture markers of 5 inflammation rather than markers of airflow obstruction. Noticeably, PEFv and blood eosinophils were found to be significantly correlated with a Polychoric coefficient of 0.76. The 6 7 strong correlation between these two poorly sensitive tests could be explained by the fact 8 that both somehow identify those with severe asthma but fail to recognize milder 9 manifestations of the disease. This is also likely to be the reason behind the observed 10 correlations between skin prick test, mannitol and FeNO. 11 Challenge test with methacholine was found to be only weakly correlated only with FeNO 12 (40pp). This was somewhat expected as bronchial challenge tests are typically assumed to be independent of most other available tests. However, it is noteworthy that the lack of 13 correlation between the methacholine and mannitol challenge tests is somewhat unusual. 14 15 Contrary findings were reported by Porbodis and colleagues(Porpodis, et al., 2017), who 16 observed a significant and robust correlation between the two tests (Person's r = $0.93 \rho <$ 17 0.001). In the context of RADicA study, the more likely explanation is the large number of 18 missing values of mannitol, as this test was only optional and available after receiving a 19 mandatory methacholine test. As the large number of missing values with mannitol might 20 introduce biases in diagnostic strategies that includes this test, a pragmatic choice was made 21 to consider methacholine as the standard bronchial challenge test. This is not expected to 22 introduce significant biases as methacholine reflects current practice for bronchial challenge 23 tests. Moreover, when analyses were run using mannitol instead of methacholine, there was 24 no difference in the relative cost-effectiveness of the strategies analysed.

2.3.252 **Diagnostic accuracy in children – Multivariate Probit model**

26 While in adults IPD from a single study including all relevant tests was used, there was no 27 similar study on children. Therefore, a different approach was employed. Sensitivity and 28 specificity of each test were estimated from the studies included in the clinical review that 29 were deemed most appropriate by the committee and representative to the UK in terms of population and criteria for positivity (see Table 7). The only exception was BDR as no study 30 31 was included in children, so data from Murray 2017(Murray, Foden, Lowe, Durrington, 32 Custovic, Simpson, 2017) was used, despite its exclusion due to the reference standard not including an objective test or clinical diagnoses but being based on an established 33 epidemiological 3-question form. Further details on these limitations, including the quality of 34 some the studies, are discussed in the limitations section (see section 4.2). 35 Table 7: Accuracy of diagnostic tests in children

Test	Criteria for positivity	Sensitivity	Specificity	Source and population	Quality
Skin prick test	House dust mite > 3mm	0.83	0.72	Druklec 2013 (Children 1 – 15)	Very low Very low
lgE	Cut-off: >116.6 kIU/L	0.97	0.77	Druklec 2013 (Children 1 – 15)	Very low Very low
BDR	≥ 12% + at least 200ml	0.14	0.93	MAAS Murray 2017 (Children 12 – 16)	-
PEFv	Mean peak expiratory flow variability ≥12.3% over 14 days	0.50	0.72	Brower 2010 (Children 6 – 16)	High Moderate

36

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Test	Criteria for positivity	Sensitivity	Specificity	Source and population	Quality
Blood eosinophils	cut-off: 500/mL	0.37	0.91	Livnat 2015 (Children 6 – 18)	Low Very low
FeNO	≥ 35 ppb	0.32	0.99	Woo 2012 (Children 8 – 16)	High High
Spirometry	Predicted FEV1 (cut-off: 88.4%)	0.68	0.76	Eom 2020 (Children 8 – 16)	Moderate Low
Methacholin e challenge test	PD20 FEV1 ≤0.72 mg	0.9	0.82	Zaczeniuk 2015 (Children 10 – 18)	Very low Very low

1 2 Abbreviations: BDR: bronchodilator reversibility; FeNO: Fractional exhaled nitric oxide; PEFv: Peak expiratory

flow variability: STP = skin prick test

3 As no study on correlation between tests in children was identified, it was assumed that the

4 same correlation observed in adults from RADicA would apply to children. This was

5 considered appropriate by the committee as correlation between two test results typically

6 stems from the tests measuring similar phenomena, such as lung capacity or inflammation.

7 The age of the patients, on the other hand, is not expected to significantly affect conditional

8 dependency.

9 Diagnostic accuracy from Table 7 and correlations between tests from Table 6 were

- 10 combined to generate pseudo test results in children through a multivariate probit model as
- 11 shown in Figure 8.

12 Figure 8: Generating pseudo IPD test results in children



13 14

15 A multivariate probit model is a generalisation of the probit model that allows to estimate several correlated binary outcomes jointly. This is appropriate to model outcomes that are 16 17 expected to affect each other, such as the probability that a range of tests would give a positive or negative result(Chib, et al., 1998). In a normal probit there is only one dependent 18 variable Y and a latent variable Y^* . In contrast, in a multivariate probit model, there are n 19 20 dependent and latent variable that are correlated with each other. Dependent variables 21 assume the value of 1 (positive test result) when the underlying continuous latent variable is 22 positive, and vice versa the value of 0 when the latent variable is negative:

23
24

$$Y_{1} = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ Y_{1}^{*} > 0, \\ 0 & if \ Y_{1}^{*} < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$Y_{2} = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ Y_{2}^{*} > 0, \\ 0 & if \ Y_{2}^{*} < 0 \end{cases}$$

1

2

 $Y_n = \begin{cases} 1 \ if \ Y_n^* > 0, \\ 0 \ if \ Y_n^* < 0 \end{cases}$

3

4 with each latent variable Y^{*} dependent on a parameter β , and a correlation matrix ρ . The 5 parameter β was calculated by transforming sensitivity and specificity values of each test into 6 "probability unites" (probit) and assuming they are normally distributed across the simulated 7 population. Values for the correlation matrix p were taken from Table 6. Tests with a correlation below 0 were considered perfectly independent, as negative values were likely 8 9 caused by the small sample of the study. For tests whose correlations among people without asthma could not be estimated, BDR and methacholine, a pragmatic choice to use the same 10 11 correlation observed among those with asthma was made. 12 Using the multivariate probit described above, 10,000 pseudo IPD test results were 13 generated for children with asthma and children without asthma. These pseudo IPD test

14 results reflect the accuracy observed in the clinical review, and the conditional dependency

15 estimated in RADicA and therefore were used to estimate the overall accuracy of diagnostic

16 strategies in children. The same methodology was also used in the scenario analysis using a

17 different value of sensitivity and specificity of FeNO in adults (see section 2.5.2).

18 This is one of the first applications of a multivariate probit for this purpose and its advantages 19 and potential limitations are provided in the limitations section (see 4.2).

2.3.23 Optimal diagnostic strategies in adults and children

21 Table 8 summarises the diagnostic performance of each test in adults and children.

Adults Children Tests Specificity Sensitivity Sensitivity **Specificity** Skin prick test 0.74 0.52 0.83 0.72 lgE NA 0.97 NA 0.77 BDR 1.00 0.93 0.41 0.14 PEFv 0.15 0.97 0.45 0.92 Blood 0.32 0.98 0.37 0.91 eosinophils FeNO 0.53 0.87 0.32 0.99 Spirometry 0.37 0.96 0.68 0.76 Mannitol 0.63 0.93 0.64 0.95 Methacholine 0.62 1 0.9 0.82 Source RADicA **Clinical review**

22 Table 8: Diagnostic accuracy of tests in adults and children

23 Abbreviations: BDR = bronchodilator reversibility; FeNO = Fractional exhaled nitric oxide; PEFv = Peak expiratory 24 flow variability; STP = skin prick test

25 As the table shows, there is a range of tests that show high specificity but insufficient

26 sensitivity in both adults and children: BDR, PEFv, blood eosinophils, FeNO. Bronchial

27 challenge tests, either with mannitol and methacholine, showed high specificity and good or

28 satisfactory sensitivity so they are considered "all-round" tests. Finally, whereas no test

- 1 demonstrated a good sensitivity in adults (> 0.8), both skin prick test and IgE exhibited good
- 2 sensitivity and satisfactory specificity in children. Therefore, two different approaches were
- 3 used when defined potential cost-effective strategies in adults and children.

For a particular sequence of tests to be cost-effective, each step should be designed to maximize the number of people that could be dismissed with a diagnosis before progressing to the subsequent step. Moreover, the least expensive tests should be given at the beginning of the sequence, targeting a wide population of people with suspected asthma, whereas the more expensive yet highly accurate tests should be reserved for the later stages, where fewer people with an uncertain diagnosis remain. Finally, tests that are either highly specific

- 10 but poorly sensitive or vice versa should be given at the beginning of the sequence, as either
- 11 those with a positive result or those with a negative result would need re-testing.
- In adults, no highly sensitive test was available, so the model found that a "gradual rule-in"
 approach was the most cost-effective (see Figure 9).

14 Figure 9: Gradual rule-in approach: cost-effective strategies in adults



The approach consists in testing people with suspected asthma with an initial unexpensive but highly specific test. Those who test positive are immediately diagnosed with asthma (rule-in), as the high specificity of the test anticipates few false positives. Those who tested negative proceed to the second step where a second, uncorrelated specific test is given to further rule-in people before the final stage. Finally, the more expensive but "all-round" tests are reserved for those who remain undiagnosed even after the last ruling-in step.

- 1 The model found that all the most cost-effective strategies in adults reflect the structure
- 2 defined above and the committee identified ten strategies that were included in the economic
- 3 report (see Table 1).
- 4 In children, alongside several tests with good specificity, there were a few exhibiting good
- 5 sensitivity: skin prick test and IgE. Therefore, the model found that a different approach,
- 6 called "rule-in-rule-out", was the most cost-effective (see Figure 10).
- 7 Figure 10: Rule-in-rule-out approach: cost-effective strategies in children



8 9

10 This approach involves testing all with an unexpensive but highly specific test in the first step,

similarly to the approach in adults. Children who test positive can receive a diagnosis,

12 whereas those who test negative will receive a further highly sensitive test. Using a sensitive

13 test after a specific test, or vice versa, proved to be optimal as it allowed to either rule-out

and rule-in a large proportion of children before the last step, which requires an all-round test(BCT).

16 The model found that all cost-effective strategies in children reflect the approach outlined 17 above and ten were chosen by the committee to be included in this report (see Table 2).

18 When calculating the diagnostic accuracy of a test or a combination of tests, assuming

19 independence between sensitivity and specificity in the probabilistic can lead to the incorrect

20 estimation of uncertainty(Novielli, Cooper, Sutton, 2013). Therefore, the distribution around

- diagnostic odds ratio (DOR) was used to account for the inverse relationship between
- sensitivity and specificity following the methodology described by Genders and
- 23 colleagues(Genders, et al., 2009).

1 First, diagnostic odds ratios were calculated using the following equation:

2
$$DOR = \frac{sens}{1 - sens} \times \frac{spec}{1 - spec}$$

- 3 Assuming a normal distribution of the logarithmically transformed DOR, standard error (SE)
- 4 was calculated using equation 2:

5
$$SE(\ln(DOR)) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{TP} + \frac{1}{FN} + \frac{1}{TN} + \frac{1}{FP}}$$

6 Finally, the resulting log-normal distribution of DOR was used to calculate probabilistic

7 specificity values assuming a beta distribution for sensitivity:

8
$$Spec = \frac{DOR}{\left(DOR + \frac{sens}{1 - sens}\right)}$$

9 This methodology ensures that probabilistic values of sensitivity and specificity are not drawn

10 independently but are inversely correlated at each simulation that is, when one is higher, the

11 other is lower. This is expected to produce a more realistic estimation of uncertainty around

12 diagnostic outcomes.

2.3.3 Natural history – asthma

- The natural history of people with asthma (TP and FN) was simulated through the asthmamanagement model described in section 2.2.1.2.
- 16 People first enter the short-term Markov model in either the TP or FN state in a proportion
- determined by the accuracy of the corresponding diagnostic strategy. They then transit to the
 long-term Markov model once all FN diagnoses are solved.
- 19 In each cycle, people can experience an asthma exacerbation or, if their asthma was
- 20 diagnosed during childhood (before the age of 15), can achieve asthma remission.

2.3.3.1 Asthma exacerbations

22 An asthma exacerbation carries a different implication depending on whether it occurs in 23 people with or without a diagnosis of asthma. In the former, it results in a temporary 24 detriment to quality of life and increased healthcare cost. In the latter, in addition to quality of 25 life and healthcare cost implications, an exacerbation leads to a new diagnosis of asthma, as 26 the committee recognised that an asthma attack occurring in people who were initially 27 dismissed would prompt further diagnostic assessment in secondary care, thereby revealing 28 the presence of asthma. For this reason, asthma exacerbations were modelled with a 29 different approach in people with and without a diagnosis of asthma.

2.3.3.301 Exacerbations in adults with a diagnosis

- 31 When occurring in people under treatment, exacerbations were estimated as annualised
- 32 rates per patient year. These rates were subsequently multiplied by the number of patient
- 33 years in each cycle to estimate the overall number of events occurring during a cycle.
- 34 Annualised rates were derived from the Novel START trial, a 52-week, randomised,
- international trial on three initial treatment options for asthma, which demonstrated the
- 36 highest level of external validity(Beasley, Holliday, Reddel, Braithwaite, Ebmeier, Hancox,
- 37 Harrison, Houghton, Oldfield, Papi, Pavord, Williams, Weatherall, Novel, 2019). In particular,
- 38 the committee acknowledged that unlike other double-blinded trials(Bateman, Reddel,
- 39 O'Byrne, Barnes, Zhong, Keen, Jorup, Lamarca, Siwek-Posluszna, FitzGerald, 2018) where

- 1 patients adherence was rigidly controlled, Novel START's pragmatic open-label design is
- 2 more likely to reflect real-world behaviours and outcomes of asthma management.
- 3 Table 9 shows the rates used in the model, with inhaled corticosteroid and long-acting beta
- 4 agonist (ICS/LABA) assumed to be the initial treatment in the base case scenario and
- 5 inhaled corticosteroid plus short-acting beta agonist (SABA) tested in the sensitivity analysis.

6 **Table 9: Annualised exacerbation rates**

Treatment	Mean	LCI (95%)	UCI (95%)
ICS/LABA	0.195	0.14	0.274
ICS + SABA	0.175	0.131	0.254

7 Exacerbations are not treated as a separate Markov state but are modelled as events

8 occurring each cycle depending on the number of people at risk. The model then calculates

- 9 the overall costs and quality-of-life detriment associated with the number of exacerbations
- 10 the cohort experience during their lifetime, after accounting for discounting and half-cycle
- 11 correction.

2.3.3.122 Exacerbations in adults without a diagnosis

13 As an asthma exacerbation occurring in people without a diagnosis of asthma prompts a new 14 diagnosis, a time-to-event analysis (survival analysis) approach was adopted instead. Given 15 the unavailability of natural history information on people with asthma who are untreated, we 16 turned to data from the Novel START trial(Beasley, Holliday, Reddel, Braithwaite, Ebmeier, 17 Hancox, Harrison, Houghton, Oldfield, Papi, Pavord, Williams, Weatherall, Novel, 2019), 18 specifically focusing on people treated with SABA only. It is important to note that, while this 19 population is undeniably under asthma treatment, SABA, unlike inhaled corticosteroid (ICS). 20 primarily addresses acute asthma symptoms for rapid relief and serve as a rescue 21 medication. As such, SABA's efficacy in preventing future exacerbation is guestionable 22 compared to ICS, which actively reduces airway inflammation over time. Therefore, the 23 population using SABA alone more closely approximates the risk profile of people receiving 24 no treatment at all. The implications of this assumption are further discussed in the limitations 25 section (see 4.2).

26 The Kaplan-Meier curve describing time-to-first exacerbation in people receiving SABA alone 27 was numerically extracted using WebPlotDigitizer(Automeris) and approximate patient-level 28 data was reconstructed used the methodology outlined in Guyot 2012(Guyot, et al., 2012) 29 and Wei 2017(Wei, et al., 2017). Pseudo IPD data were subsequently analysed in R studio, using the "survival" package, with the purpose of estimating a parametric survival curve that 30 31 could be used to extrapolate the risk of exacerbation beyond the last follow-up time of the 32 trial (52 weeks). A range of different distributions were explored with the log-logistic and exponential distribution emerging as the most fitting to the data. The log-logistic distribution 33 34 showed the lowest Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) while the exponential distribution 35 exhibited the lowest Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). Ultimately, the exponential curve 36 was preferred due to its relative simplicity and its more realistic predictions over the long-37 term, although the log-logistic was used in the scenario analysis. The reconstructed Kaplan-38 Meier and the fitted exponential parametric curves are shown in Figure 11.

DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Figure 11: Reconstructed Kaplan-Meier and fitted exponential curve – 2 exacerbation-free survival



3

Cycle-specific transition probabilities of experiencing a first exacerbation were estimated
using the parametric survival curve described above. Once an individual without a diagnosis
experiences an asthma exacerbation, they move to the "treated" state upon undergoing
further diagnostic examinations that revealed asthma. In this new state, the time-to-event
analysis is not necessary, and new exacerbations are estimated using annualised event
rates (see 2.3.3.1.1).

10 The committee acknowledged that people who are untreated might receive an asthma

diagnosis even in the absence of an exacerbation episode, particularly if they return to

12 primary care with persistent respiratory symptoms. The committee agreed that it was

13 generally unlikely for asthma to go undiagnosed for more than two years, so the model

14 assumes a maximum duration of two years spent without a diagnosis in the base case.
15 Alternative maximum durations of one and five years were evaluated in the acception and here.

Alternative maximum durations of one and five years were explored in the scenario analysis(see section 2.5.4).

2.3.3.173 Exacerbations in children

18 The committee were aware that the risk of exacerbation varies by age, as the rate is 19 expected to be the higher in the elderly and the very young. To adjust the rates of 20 exacerbation in a children population, a UK analysis(Chloe, Francis, Ian, Liam, Paul, Jennifer, 2018) based on primary and secondary care healthcare records (Clinical Practice 21 Research Datalink, Hospital Episode Statistics, CPRD-HES) was utilised. The study 22 23 estimated the rate of exacerbation per 10 person-years in different age group. For this analysis, we selected three age groups: under 5s, representing the infant population, 5 to 24 25 17s representing children and 18 to 54s, representing the adult population. Using the adult group as a reference, incident rate ratios were calculated for the infant and children groups 26 27 (see Table 10).

28 Table 10: Exacerbations by age groups

Population	Rate of exacerbations per 10 person-years	Total cohort	Incident rate ratios (IRR) ^(a)
Under 5s – infants	4.27 (4.18 to 4.38)	17,320	1.33
5 to 17s – children	1.48 (1.47 to 1.50)	82,707	0.46

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Population	Rate of exacerbations per 10 person-years	Total cohort	Incident rate ratios (IRR) ^(a)	
18 to 54s – adults	3.22 (3.21 to 3.24)	210,724	1 (reference)	
a) Insident rate ratios (IDD) estaulated as rate in infants (shildren divided by rate in adulta				

(a) Incident rate ratios (IRR) calculated as rate in infants/children divided by rate in adults
 Source: Bloom 2018(Chloe, Francis, Ian, Liam, Paul, Jennifer, 2018)

3 The incident rate ratios calculated in Table 10 were multiplied for the annualised

- 4 exacerbation rates in adults to estimate the number of exacerbations in an infant and 5 children population.
- 6 Time-to-first exacerbation in those who are untreated was also adjusted in the children

7 cohorts using the same source. Median times to first exacerbation were extracted from the

8 study using WebPlotDigitizer(Automeris) and are reported in Table 11.

9 **Table 11: Median time to first exacerbation**

Population	Rate of exacerbations per 10 person-years	Hazard ratios (HR) ^(a)
Under 5s – infants	2.83 years	1.24
5 to 17s – children	8.5 years	0.41
18 to 54s – adults	3.5 years	1 (reference)

10 (a) Incident rate ratios (IRR) calculated as rate in infants/children divided by rate in adults

11 Source: Bloom 2018(Chloe, Francis, Ian, Liam, Paul, Jennifer, 2018)

12 The figures in Table 11 were used to calculate hazard rates and hazard ratios (Table 11)

13 using the following formulae(NCSS Statistical Software, 2024):

14
$$h = \frac{\ln (2)}{MET}$$

15
$$HR_{5-17} = \frac{h_{5-17}}{h_{18-54}}$$

16 where *h* is the hazard rate, *MET* is the median exacerbation time, and HR_{5-17} is the hazard

17 ratio of first exacerbation between children aged 5 to 17 and the adult cohort. These hazard

18 ratios were used to adjust the time-to-first exacerbation in an untreated infant (0 - 4) and

19 children cohorts (5 - 17) by applying them to the hazard rates derived from the parametric

20 curve estimated in the time-to-event analysis described in section 2.3.3.1.2.

2.3.2.2 Remission

The academic literature(De Marco, Locatelli, Cerveri, Bugiani, Marinoni, Giammanco, 2002, Fuchs, et al., 2017) commonly describes two different forms of asthma with distinct features in natural history and prognosis: early-onset asthma and late-onset asthma. The latter generally manifests after puberty and is associated with a more chronic and persistent form of the disease and a very low likelihood of remission. Conversely, early-onset asthma, which occurs early during childhood, is associated with a favourable prognosis and a high likelihood of complete remission before reaching adulthood.

For this reason, the committee agreed to incorporate remission only in the children analysis. A relevant retrospective study (De Marco, Locatelli, Cerveri, Bugiani, Marinoni, Giammanco,

2002) on the natural history of asthma was identified in the literature. The study found that
 remission was strongly influenced by the age at onset, with very young children achieving

- high rates of remissions (around 60%). The study presented multiple Kaplan-Meier curves
- showing the cumulative probability of remission within distinct cohorts characterized by the

1 age of asthma onset. For this analysis, we focused on three age-at-onset groups, 0 - 5, 5 - 52 10 and 10 – 15. Numerical data was extracted from the three Kaplan-Meier curves using 3 WebPlotDigitizer(Automeris) and pseudo IPD was reconstructed using the methodology 4 illustrated in Guyot 2012(Guyot, Ades, Ouwens, Welton, 2012) and Wei 2017(Wei, Royston, 5 2017). As numbers at risk were not reported in the original study, we assumed constant 6 censoring over time. This represents a clear simplification as censoring is often not constant 7 and could be influenced by the outcome of interest. For instance, people may withdraw from 8 the study upon achieving remission without recording the event. In such scenarios, 9 uncertainty in the right tail of the curve might be underestimated, potentially resulting in a sub-optimal specification of the parametric survival curve. However, this was a pragmatic 10 11 decision as the alternative option of assuming no censoring would certainly introduce more 12 biases.

13 Reconstructed Kaplan-Meier curves for 0 - 5, 5 - 10 and 10 - 15 age-at-onset groups are 14 illustrated in Figure 12.

Figure 12: Reconstructed Kaplan-Meier cumulative curves of remission-free survival



17

18 The data was analysed using the "survival" package of R studio and several parametric

19 curves were fitted to the data. The lognormal mathematical distribution was identified as the

20 best fit (lowest AIC and BIC) and used to extrapolate parametric curves over a lifetime for the

21 three age-at-onset groups (Figure 13, Figure 14, Figure 15)

DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

1 Figure 13: Observed and parametric remission-free survival – age 0 to 5



2

3

Figure 14: Observed and parametric remission-free survival – age 5 to 10



4

1 Figure 15: Observed and parametric remission-free survival – age 10 to 15



2

The lognormal parametric curves defined above provided predictions that closely matched real-world expectations, as the committee were aware that around 50% of people whose asthma was diagnosed during childhood would achieve remission within 20 years. As they agreed that it was unlikely that remission could be achieved after 20 years, an assumption

7 was made to preclude remission beyond 20 years from the onset of asthma.

8 Monthly and yearly transition probabilities were calculated based on the lognormal

9 parametric curves and applied in the short-term and long-term Markov model, respectively.

10 Upon achieving remission, people were assumed to discontinue their asthma treatment and

11 return to the general population mortality, although a different quality of life multiplier was

12 applied (see 2.3.6.1). While there are instances where people who have experienced

13 remission may later exhibit remitting-relapsing symptoms of asthma(Fuchs, Bahmer, Rabe,

von Mutius, 2017), no quantitative evidence on this phenomenon was identified.

15 Consequently, the model assumes that remission, once achieved, is everlasting (see section 4.2).

2.3.7 Natural history – without asthma

18 The natural history of people without asthma (TN and FP) was simulated through the non-19 asthma management model described in section 2.2.1.3.

20 People who were found to be without asthma are assumed to be referred for subsequent

21 diagnostic examinations and, if necessary, treatment for their underlying condition. As a

result, no additional costs, quality of life deterioration, or mortality effect is applied. This is appropriate as any treatment cost or quality-of-life effect associated with a condition other

than asthma are out-of-scope for this analysis.

People who were erroneously diagnosed with asthma are treated and monitored for this
 condition. However, it is assumed that their symptoms would not improve, as the committee
 were aware that asthma treatments may not necessarily alleviate symptoms associated with

28 other respiratory conditions. Moreover, it assumed that people treated for asthma would not

- 29 receive the appropriate treatment for their underlying condition until their diagnosis is
- 30 corrected. Therefore, the model applies a diminishing quality-of-life multiplier in this state,

assumed to be equivalent to those with asthma, given the presence of asthma-like symptomsin this population.

3 People undergoing treatment for asthma, despite not having the disease, can have their 4 diagnosis corrected over time, transitioning to the true negative state. The proportion of 5 people on treatment at each year was estimated by applying a parametric survival equation 6 that was fitted on data a Canadian longitudinal(Aaron, et al., 2008, Pakhale, Sumner, Coyle, 7 Vandemheen, Aaron, 2011) study. In this study, Canadian patients who reported a physician 8 diagnosis of asthma were randomly selected and underwent a series of lung function tests to determine if their diagnosis was correct. Further details of the study design, subject 9 10 recruitment, and methods are described elsewhere(Aaron, Vandemheen, Boulet, McIvor, FitzGerald, Hernandez, Lemiere, Sharma, Field, Alvarez, Dales, Doucette, Fergusson, 11 12 2008). 13 The underlying numerical data of the study were extracted from a figure published in an economic evaluation(Pakhale, Sumner, Coyle, Vandemheen, Aaron, 2011) using

14 15 WebPlotDigitizer(Automeris). As information on number of people at risk was not available, a pseudo IPD could not be reconstructed so, instead, a parametric curve was directly fitted to 16 17 the extracted numerical data using "fitdistrplus" package in R studio. A Weibull curve with a shape of 1.11 and a scale of 0.37 was identified as the best fit as it had the lowest Cramer-18 von Mises and Anderson-Darling statistics and the second lowest Kolmogorov-Smirnov 19 20 statistic (compared to a gamma and a lognormal distribution). Akaike's and Bayesian information criteria were comparable between the Weibull and lognormal distributions so the 21 first was preferred due to the better goodness-of-fit- statistics. The distribution parameters 22 23 were made probabilistic assuming that their logarithmically transformed values would be normally distributed. Observed and extrapolated curves representing the proportion of people 24 being on treatment despite not having asthma at each year is presented in Figure 16. 25



26 Figure 16: Cumulative probability of being on treatment despite not having asthma

27

Figure 16 shows that although more than 50% of people are expected to discontinue asthma treatment within a decade of diagnosis, a significant proportion of people remain on treatment for an extended prior of time. This aligned well with the committee's experience, as they were aware that many of those currently undergoing asthma treatment may not truly have the condition.
- 1 The estimated parametric curve and a survival curve based on either English or Scottish
- 2 national life tables(Office for National Statistics, 2021) were combined to determine the
- 3 proportion of people in each of the three mutually exclusive state at any year. This allowed to
- 4 calculate lifetime costs and quality of life implications of misdiagnosis asthma on those
- 5 without the disease.

2.3.5 Mortality

2.3.5.7 General population mortality

- 8 General population mortality was estimated using Office of National Statistics (ONS) National
- 9 Life Tables (2018 2020)(Office for National Statistics, 2021). England- and Scotland-
- 10 specific lifetables were used for the two different settings (see 2.5.7). A pragmatic choice was
- 11 made to avoid using more recent publications to exclude excess mortality associated with the
- 12 2020 Coronavirus pandemic.
- 13 Survival curves were generated based on Life Tables' mortality rate, cycle-specific gender
- 14 split and age of the cohort. These were used to calculate the risk of dying for people who do
- 15 not have asthma or for those achieving remission. In the short-term Markov model, annual
- 16 rates were first transformed into monthly rates to reflect the cycle length.

2.3.5.2 Mortality in people with asthma

- People with asthma are at a higher risk of mortality compared to the general population. This is not only because a severe asthma exacerbation can, in rare circumstances, lead to death but largely due to the association between asthma and various other health risks including conditions such as depression, COPD, coronary, heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, and heart failure(Iribarren, et al., 2012). If survival in people with asthma is not adequately captured, there is a risk that the model could overestimate or underestimate the lifeexpectancy and, consequently, healthcare utilisation among people with asthma.
- 25 This analysis used two studies to estimate survival with asthma. The first is a Finnish 26 population-based matched cohort study with a 15-year follow-up on 1,640 asthma patients 27 older than 30 years old(Lemmetyinen, Karjalainen, But, Renkonen, Pekkanen, Toppila-Salmi, 28 Haukka, 2018). People from the study were matched with one or two controls without asthma 29 controlling for age, gender and area of residence. The study found a statistically significant increased all-cause mortality associated with asthma (adjusted HR 1.25; 95% CI 1.05 – 1.49, 30 31 p = 0.01). This hazard ratio was applied in the model to estimate mortality among adults with 32 asthma (older than 18).
- To estimate mortality in children, a second study was identified that linked Scotland-wide individual-level data from different health databases and included 45,900 children with asthma(Fleming, Fitton, Steiner, McLay, Clark, King, Mackay, Pell, 2019). After adjusting for sociodemographic and maternity factors, the study found asthma to be a statistically significant factor increasing all-cause mortality (HR 1.77; 95% CI 1.30 – 2.40). This hazard ratio was used in the model to estimate mortality among children with asthma (younger than 18).

40 Table 12: Mortality hazard ratios

Population	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	Source
Adults (>18)	1.25 (1.05 to 1.49)	Lemmetyinen 2018(Lemmetyinen, Karjalainen, But, Renkonen, Pekkanen, Toppila-Salmi, Haukka, 2018)

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Population	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	Source
Children (<18)	1.77 (1.30 to 2.40)	Fleming 2019(Fleming, Fitton, Steiner, McLay, Clark, King, Mackay, Pell, 2019)

2.3.5.8 Excess mortality with untreated asthma

2 People whose asthma is untreated due to a misdiagnosis are at a higher risk of mortality due 3 to an increased risk of asthma attacks and hospitalisations. As mentioned in 2.3.3.1.2, there 4 is no natural history study looking at mortality in untreated people with asthma, so excess 5 mortality was extrapolated from a proxy population. Suissa and colleagues(Suissa, Ernst, 6 Benayoun, Baltzan, Cai, 2000) followed 30,569 individuals for a period of 15 years and 7 matched cases of people who died of asthma with control cases. They found that the rate of 8 asthma-related mortality in individuals using a minimum of six canisters of inhaled 9 corticosteroids (ICS) annually was only 50% of the rate observed among non-users. Using 10 these findings, excess mortality in people with untreated asthma was calculated using the following formulae: 11 $CFR = \frac{N.of asthma deaths}{N.of people with asthma}$ 12 13 $CFR_{untreated} = \frac{CFR}{RR}$ 14 15 Excess mortality = $CFR_{untreated} - CFR$ 16 17 18 In the first equation, caser fatality rate (CFR), defined as the proportion of people with 19 asthma dying each year because of the disease, was calculated by dividing the number of 20 asthma-related deaths in 2022 (ONS 2022(Office for National Statistics, 2023)) by the number of people who are currently receiving treatment for asthma in the UK(National 21 Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2023). 22

In the second equation, case fatality in people with untreated asthma was estimated bydividing case fatality by the risk ratio reported in Suissa 2000 (0.5).

Finally, in the third equation, excess mortality was estimated by taking the difference between the case fatality rate in people who are untreated and the case fatality rate in those who are treated. Hence, excess mortality offers an estimation of the additional mortality expected in people who are untreated and was applied in the short-term Markov model to those who have a false negative diagnosis. Other asthma-related case fatality rates were not used to avoid double counting, as asthma-related deaths in people who are treated are expected to be already factored into the increased asthma mortality reported in the literature.

2.326 Utilities

2.3.63 Quality of life with asthma

34 Age- and sex-specific quality of life scores ('utilities') were used in the model. Utilities in the

- 35 general population were derived using an Adjusted Limited Dependent Variable Mixture
- 36 Model (ALDVMM) based on Health Survey for England data as reported in a publication by
- 37 the NICE Decision Support Unit(Alava, Pudney, Wailoo, 2022) (see Figure 17). In people

DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

1 younger than 20, we assumed an utility score of 1 (equal to "perfect health") as no relevant





3 Figure 17: General population utility scores

4

5

6 The impact on quality of life associated with asthma was estimated through a bespoke 7 analysis of the Health Survey for England (HSE). The HSE is a survey conducted on a 8 random sample of residents in England and covers a range of aspects including socioeconomic factors, demographics, and health indicators. The 2018 survey(NHS Digital, 2019) 9 10 focused on respiratory conditions and included valuable information such as a history of asthma attacks, diagnosis, and asthma control. Participants were asked whether they have a 11 12 doctor-diagnosed asthma and whether they had any symptoms of asthma in the last 12 months. For this analysis we focused on three categories of people: 13

14 15 • People on asthma medication with or without symptoms in the last 12 months reflecting the average population receiving treatment for asthma in England

- People who have had symptoms of asthma in the last 12 months despite being on asthma medication, representing the population whose asthma is inadequately controlled by current treatment
- People with no symptoms of asthma and who are not on asthma medication reflecting
 those who have achieved remission

To estimate the average quality of life in the three groups, we looked at the responses to the EQ-5D-5L questionnaire. NICE does not currently endorse the use of EQ-5D-5L for directly calculating utility values but, instead, recommends using EQ-5D-3L value in the reference case(National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2019). Therefore, 5L values were firstly mapped into 3L values using the "crosswalk" function developed by Van Hout and colleagues(van Hout, et al., 2012).

- People with asthma were matched to other participants of the survey after controlling for age 1
- 2 and gender. Subsequentially, utility multipliers were calculated using the following equation:

3
$$Multiplier = Avg\left\{\frac{U_{asthma}}{U_{general}}\right\}$$

where U_{asthma} is the average utility of people with asthma within a specific matching group, 4

defined by gender and age, and $U_{general}$ is the average utility of all participants within the 5

6 same group. The overall utility multiplier was estimated by taking a weighted average across

7 all the matching groups. The analysis was done using Stata v13(StataCorp, 2023).

8 Three multipliers were estimated for the three groups outlined above (see Table 13).

9 Table 13: Utility multipliers

Population	Utility multiplier ^(a)	Source
People on asthma medication	0.880 (0.064)	
People on medication and uncontrolled asthma	0.819 (0.011)	Health Survey for England
People without medication with controlled asthma	0.989 (0.052)	2010

10 (a) Average utility of people with asthma divided by the average utility of the general population adjusted for 11 gender and age group. Average value with standard deviations in parentheses

12 A multiplier provides an estimate of how the quality of life of people with a particular condition 13 is reduced compared to the general population. Table 13 shows that people with asthma 14 have, on average, 12% less utility than general population and, if the asthma is uncontrolled, 15 18% less. People with controlled asthma and are not in medication have a quality of life close

16 to the general population.

17 These values were multiplied by age- and gender- specific general population utility values to 18 estimate utility associated with various health states. The first multiplier for people with 19 asthma was applied to people undergoing asthma treatment, regardless of whether they 20 have asthma or not (TP and FP). This is appropriate for two reasons: firstly, this value was 21 estimated using a real-world survey of people on asthma medication in England, where a 22 significant proportion are anticipated to lack the actual medical condition(Shaw, Green, 23 Berry, Mellor, Hargadon, Shelley, McKenna, Thomas, Pavord, 2012). Therefore, it reflects 24 the average utility of people with and without asthma being treated for this condition. 25 Secondly, people who do not have asthma but are erroneously being treated for it, might 26 have persistent asthma-like respiratory symptoms, which justifies the use of the same 27 multiplier.

28 The second multiplier, related to people with uncontrolled asthma, was applied to people with 29 asthma who are presently untreated (FN). This is because symptoms of asthma are 30 expected to be inadequately controlled and potentially exacerbated in the absence of treatment. The committee acknowledged that many of those whose asthma remain 31 32 undiagnosed following objective diagnostic tests may have a mild or intermittent form of the 33 disease. In such cases, even if left untreated, these forms of asthma might exert a limited 34 impact on people's quality of life. Therefore, a scenario analysis was included in which 35 people with untreated asthma were assumed to share the same quality of life of those 36 receiving treatment.

37 The third multiplier, calculated based on HSE participants reporting no asthma symptoms

38 despite not receiving any treatment, was applied to people who ceased their medication after

39 achieving remission.

2.3.6.2 Quality of life after an exacerbation

- 2 Exacerbations are serious complications of asthma that are characterised by a progressive
- 3 worsening of bronchial obstruction, leading to shortness of breath, coughing, wheezing
- 4 and/or chest tightness(Reddel, et al., 2009). An exacerbation is considered severe if the
- 5 symptoms are particularly worrying and require systematic corticosteroids or a
- 6 hospitalisation. To estimate the impact of a mild/moderate and severe exacerbation to quality
- 7 of life, we used a post-hoc analysis of a multi-national trial investigating exacerbations
- 8 among 485 patients(Briggs, Nasser, Hammerby, Buchs, Virchow, 2021).
- 9 The impact on participant's quality of life was measured using EQ-5D-3 by mapping Asthma
- 10 Quality of Life Questionnaire into EQ-5D-3L dimensions. The average duration of an
- exacerbation was ascertained using patient electronic diaries reporting lung function. The 11
- 12 authors found that lung function started to decrease 14 days before an exacerbation,
- 13 followed by a gradual return to baseline over 14 days. Differences in utility between people
- 14 experiencing exacerbations and those with a normal lung function were collected at 7, 14, 21
- 15 and 28 days from the beginning of the episode (see Table 14).

16 Table 14: Impact of moderate and severe exacerbations on utility

Days since event	Moderate exacerbation	Severe exacerbation
7 days	- 0.0921 (0.0059)	- 0.163 (0.0118)
14 days	- 0.0876 (0.0055)	- 0.132 (0.0096)
21 days	- 0.0867 (0.0054)	- 0.125 (0.0095)
28 days	- 0.0834 (0.0053)	- 0.115 (0.0090)

17 Note: Standard errors in parentheses

18 Overall utility detriments caused by a moderate or severe exacerbation were calculated by

taking an average of the values reported at each follow-up in Table 14. The reduction in 19

20 quality-adjusted-life-years (QALYs) associated with a moderate severe exacerbation was

- 21 then estimated assuming that an event would last, on average, 28 days as shown in Briggs
- 22 2021(Briggs, Nasser, Hammerby, Buchs, Virchow, 2021) (see Table 15Error! Reference
- 23 source not found.).

24 Table 15: Utility detriment and QALYs loss after an exacerbation in adults

Event	Utility detriment	Duration	QALYs loss ^(a)
Moderate exacerbation	0.087	28 days	0.007
Severe exacerbation	0.134	28 days	0.010

25 26 (a) Calculated as utility detriment multiplied by duration divided by 365

Source: Briggs 2021 (Briggs, Nasser, Hammerby, Buchs, Virchow, 2021)

27 The model used the QALYs loss values reported in Table 15 to estimate the overall impact on quality of life attributable to moderate and severe exacerbations occurring in each cycle. 28

29 The proportions of exacerbations that are moderate or severe was derived from the Novel 30 START trial(Beasley, Holliday, Reddel, Braithwaite, Ebmeier, Hancox, Harrison, Houghton, Oldfield, Papi, Pavord, Williams, Weatherall, Novel, 2019) and are reported in Table 16. For 31 32 people under treatment, we used the proportion among those on ICS/LABA, which is the

33 standard treatment in the base case scenario. For people who are untreated, the proportion

observed in people on SABA alone was applied, as this was considered a proxy population 34

35 for the untreated cohort.

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Table 16: Exacerbations categorisation 1

Population	% of mild/moderate exacerbation	% of severe exacerbation
People treated for asthma ^(a)	76%	24%
People untreated ^(b)	69%	31%

2 3 (a) Using the proportion of the ICS/LABA arm

(b) Using the proportion of the SABA alone arm

2.3.643 Quality of life in children with asthma

5 While the Health Survey for England (HSE) included participants younger than 16, EQ-5D-5L

6 questionnaire is exclusively validated for use in adult populations and was not recorded in

children. Consequently, a systematic review of health states utilities in children with asthma 7

was used instead(Kua, Davis, 2016). The review identified a guality-of-life study in children in 8

Netherlands(Willems, et al., 2007), using the children version of the EQ-5D questionnaire 9 10 and UK preferences, that was used in another UK economic evaluation(Horspool, et al.,

11 2013). Although the results were elicited from a non-UK population, the study meets NICE

12 reference case and therefore was used in this analysis.

13 Table 17: Quality of life in children

-			
Population	Health utility values ^(a)	Measurement	Source
Children with no exacerbation	0.96 (0.07)	EQ-5D child version (filled out by parent for age<12). UK adult TTO valuation set	Willems 2007(Willems, Joore, Hendriks, Wouters, Severens, 2007)

14 (a) Mean value with standard deviation in parentheses

15

16 There was no study looking at the impact of asthma exacerbation specifically on children so

17 the same values estimated for adults were used(Briggs, Nasser, Hammerby, Buchs,

18 Virchow, 2021). Nevertheless, the committee recognised that an exacerbation is generally

19 shorter in children, so the lower estimate of 20 days was used instead of the 28 assumed in

20 adults (see Table 18).

21 Table 18: Utility detriment and QALYs loss after an exacerbation in children

Event	Utility detriment	Duration	QALYs loss ^(a)
Moderate exacerbation	0.087	20 days	0.005
Severe exacerbation	0.134	20 days	0.007

22 23 (a) Calculated as utility detriment multiplied by duration divided by 365

Source: Briggs 2021 (Briggs, Nasser, Hammerby, Buchs, Virchow, 2021)

2.3247 Resource use and costs

2.3.25 Healthcare professional costs

26 The cost per patient facing hour and per visit of practice nurses and general practitioners

27 (GP) were collected from the 2022 publication of the Personal Social Services Research Unit

28 (PSSRU)(Jones, Birch, Dargan, Forder, Roland). The costs are shown in Table 19 and

29 include qualification costs but exclude individual and productivity costs. The cost of a

specialist visit was estimated from the National Cost Collection for the NHS as a weighted 30

31 average between all the codes within the "respiratory medicine" category.

32

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

1 **Table 19: Costs of healthcare professionals**

Health care professional (HCP)	Cost per hour per patient contact	Cost per visit	Source		
GP	£244	£38	PSSRU 2022(Jones, Birch, Dargan, Forder, Roland)		
Practice nurse	£63.38	£16.39	PSSRU 2022(Jones, Birch, Dargan, Forder, Roland)		
Outpatient visit	NA	Adults: £185 Children: £266	National Cost Collection 2021/2022(NHS England, 2022)		
Consultant led visit	NA	Adults: £194 Children: £301	National Cost Collection 2021/2022(NHS England, 2022)		

2 Note: all costs include qualification costs excluding individual and productivity costs

3 Information on the time and health care professional required from each test were provided

4 by the committee and used to calculate the cost of all tests included in the analysis (see

5 section 2.3.7.2).

2.3.7 2 Diagnostic tests

7 Most tests were costed using a bottom-up approach, drawing on information provided by the 8 committee, national healthcare sources and, when necessary, personal communication with

9 the manufacturers. The costs of bronchial challenge tests with methacholine or mannitol and

- 10 blood tests were sourced from the National Cost Collection for the NHS(NHS England,
- 11 2022).

16

12 Table 20 illustrates the consumables and staff time factored into the estimation of the cost of

13 a spirometry. The cost of consumables that are expected to be used over a long period of

time were annuitized using information provided in the technical manual of the device and adiscounting factor of 3.5%.

Table 20: Cost of spirom	Table 20: Cost of spirometry test					
Resource	Quantity	Unit costs	Total cost	Source		
MicroLab with integral printer and spirometry PC software	1/2100 ^(a)	£1,174.13 per spirometer	£0.62	NHS Supply Chain Catalogue(NHS Supply Chain Catalogue., 2022)		
Calibration syringe 3 litre	1/2100 ^(a)	£231.69 per syringe	£0.12	NHS Supply Chain Catalogue(NHS Supply Chain Catalogue., 2022)		
Bacterial filter plus mouthpiece	1	£1.06 per filter and mouthpiece	£1.06	NHS Supply Chain Catalogue(NHS Supply Chain Catalogue., 2022)		

© NICE 2024. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Resource	Quantity	Unit costs	Total cost	Source
Time of practice nurse	20 minutes	£63.38 per hour	£21.13	PSSRU 2022(Jones, Birch, Dargan, Forder, Roland)
Total cost		£22	2.93	

1 2 3 Note: all prices are VAT exclusive

(a) Assuming that the equipment would last for 7 years and used on average 2100 times (MicroDirect, 2019) during that period. Annuitisation was undertaken assuming a rate of 3.5%

- 4 Table 21 illustrates the methodology used to calculate the cost of a bronchodilator
- 5 reversibility test. The cost of two spirometries were fed into the calculation, as people
- 6 undergoing a BDR would receive a spirometry before and after taking salbutamol. The
- 7 salbutamol inhaler was assumed to be reusable after the test, as it is provided through a
- 8 spacer.Table 20

9 Table 21: Cost of bronchodilator reversibility test

Resource	Quantity	Unit cost	Total cost	Source
Spirometry	2	£1.8 per spirometry	£3.6	NHS Supply Chain Catalogue(NHS Supply Chain Catalogue., 2022)
Salbutamol reusable inhaler	400 mcg	£0.0001 per mg	£0.04	BNF(Joint Formulary Committee, 2024) and PCA(NHS Business Services Authority, 2021)
Spacer device for use with MDI without mask	1	£3.83 per spacer	£3.83	NHS Supply Chain Catalogue(NHS Supply Chain Catalogue., 2022)
Time of practice nurse	30 minutes	£63.38 per hour	£31.69	PSSRU 2022(Jones, Birch, Dargan, Forder, Roland)
Total cost	£39.16			

10 Note: all prices are VAT exclusive

- 11 Table 22 shows the approach used to cost a PEFv test. There was some uncertainty around
- 12 the staff time required as, contrarily to the other tests, PEFv is performed by patients
- themselves, with healthcare professionals only need for the explanation and results 13
- 14 interpretation. Therefore, two different values were explored, with the base case scenario
- 15 assuming 20 minutes and a further scenario using 10 minutes.

16 Table 22: Cost of Peak Flow Measurement variability test (PEFv)

Resource	Quantity	Unit cost	Total cost	Source
Adult mini-wright peak flowmeter	1	£4.65 per flowmeter	£4.65	NHS Supply Chain Catalogue(NHS

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Resource	Quantity	Unit cost	Total cost	Source
				Supply Chain Catalogue., 2022)
Low range mini-wright paediatric	1	£4.75 per flowmeter	£4.75	NHS Supply Chain Catalogue(NHS Supply Chain Catalogue., 2022)
Time of practice nurse	10 – 20 minutes ^(a)	£63.38 per hour	£10.57 - £21.13	PSSRU 2022(Jones, Birch, Dargan, Forder, Roland)
Total cost – adults	£15.22 - £25.78			
Total cost – children	£15.32 - £25.88			

1 Note: all prices are VAT exclusive

ż (a) 20 minutes assumed in the base case scenario

3 To estimate the cost of a FeNO test, information was obtained from the manufacturer of

4 NIOX VERO, one of the most commonly used FeNO device in the UK. Expected lifetime,

device and consumable prices were used to calculate the annuitized mean per-test cost 5

6 across three scenarios, characterized by a different annual volume (Table 23).

7 Table 23: Annuitized cost of a FeNO device

Characteristics	Low volume centre	Assumed average across NHS centres	High volume centre	Source
Device lifetime (years)	5	5	5	Circassia
Use of FeNO	100% diagnosis	NA	30% diagnosis, 70% monitoring	Committee's expert opinion
No. of tests per year	100	300	450	Committee's expert opinion
Cost of device	£1,250	£1,250	£1,250	Circassia
Cost of test kits: 300	NA	£1,645	£1,645	Circassia
Cost of test kits: 100	£890	NA	NA	Circassia
Annuitisation factor for specific device lifetime ^(a)	4.67	4.67	4.67	Calculation
Annuitized mean per-test cost	£11.57	£6.37	£6.08	Calculation

8 9 Note: All prices are VAT-exclusive

(a) Calculated assuming a discounting factor of 3.5%

10 The test was cheapest in the scenario with a high volume and more expensive with a lower

11 volume. In the base case scenario, a central case was assumed, reflecting the expected

12 average across NHS centres (Table 24). This figure was also used in previous analyses in

13 the UK(Harnan, et al., 2017).

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

1 Table 24: Cost of FeNO test

Resource	Quantity	Unit cost	Total cost	Source
FeNO test	1 test	£6.37 ^(a) (£6.08 to £11.57)	£6.37 (£6.08 to £11.57)	Circassia
Time of practice nurse	15 minutes	£63.38 per hour	£15.84	PSSRU 2022(Jones, Birch, Dargan, Forder, Roland)
Total cost	£22.21 (£21.92 to £27.41)			

2 (a) The central case of £6.37 was used in the base case scenario

3 The cost of a skin prick test was calculated using information from a previous cost analysis

4 conducted for NICE Food Allergy guideline CG116 (see Table 25).

5 Table 25: Skin prick test cost

Resource	Value	Source
Cost of vials (a)	£20	Cannon 2019(Cannon, et al., 2019) inflated to 2022
No. of drops per vial (b)	80	NICE Food Allergy CG116(National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2011)
Lancet (200) (c)	£13.78	MedicalWorld(Medical World)
Controls x2 (d)	£15.63	NICE Food Allergy CG116(National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2011)
Nurse time minutes (e)	40	NICE Food Allergy CG116(National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2011)
Nurse cost per hour (f)	£63.38	PSSRU 2022(Jones, Birch, Dargan, Forder, Roland)
No of allergies tested for (g)	8	NICE Food Allergy CG116(National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2011)
Total ^(a)	£45	

lotal

6 Note: all prices are VAT exclusive 7 (a) Calculated as following: {[(a/b) +

7 (a) Calculated as following: $\{[(a/b) + (c/200)]^*g\}+(d/b)+(f/60^*e)$

8 The cost of an IgE allergy test was provided by the committee whereas the cost of collecting

9 the cost, phlebotomy, was estimated using the NHS Reference cost. As per common

10 practice when collecting blood from children, the cost of a local anaesthetic was included as

11 well (see Table 26)

12 Table 26: Cost of total serum IgE blood test

Resource	Cost	Source – code
Allergy test	£10.92	Lothian NHS trust / Committee source.
Phlebotomy	£4.70	NHS reference costs 2021/2022 DAPS08(NHS England, 2022)

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Resource	Cost	Source – code
Emla 5% cream	£0.41	BNF 2024(Joint Formulary Committee, 2024)
Total	£16.03	

1 The cost of a blood eosinophils test was sourced from the National Cost Collection 2021 –

- 2 2022 and calculated as the sum of haematology and phlebotomy (see Table 27). When
- 3 administered to children, the cost of the local anaesthetic was added to the base cost.

4 Table 27: Cost of blood eosinophils test

Resource	Cost	Source – code
Haematology	£2.96	NHS reference costs 2021/2022 DAPS05(NHS England, 2022)
Phlebotomy	£4.70	NHS reference costs 2021/2022 DAPS08(NHS England, 2022)
Emla 5% cream	£0.41	BNF 2024(Joint Formulary Committee, 2024)
Total in adults	£7.66	
Total in children	£8.07	

5 The cost of bronchial challenge test was sourced from the National Cost Collection 2021 –

6 2022(NHS England, 2022), comprising aggregated costs across all NHS providers in

7 England (Table 28). The code "DZ36Z" does not distinguish between a challenge test with

8 methacholine or mannitol, so its cost was applied to both tests. Any difference is expected to

9 be negligible as the bulk of the cost is caused by the time required from a respiratory

10 specialist doctor.

11 Table 28: Cost of bronchial challenge test and blood eosinophils

Test	Cost	Source – code
Bronchial challenge test with methacholine	£179.49	National Cost Collection 2021- 22 – DZ36Z(NHS England, 2022)
Bronchial challenge test with mannitol	£179.49	National Cost Collection 2021- 22 – DZ36Z(NHS England, 2022)

12

13 Table 29 provides a summary of the cost of all the tests included in this analysis. Bronchial

14 challenge test is, by far, the most expensive test available as it is conducted in a secondary

care setting. The cheapest test appears to be blood eosinophils, followed by spirometry and
 FeNO.

17 Table 29: Summary of the costs of all tests

Test	Cost of consumables	Staff time required	Total cost
Spirometry	£1.8	20 minutes	£22.93
BDR	£7.47	30 minutes	£39.16
PEFv	£4.65	20 minutes ^(a)	£25.78
FeNO	£6.37	15 minutes	£22.21
Skin prick test	£2.75	40 minutes	£45
Total IgE blood test	NA	NA	£16.03
Blood eosinophils	NA	NA	Adults: £7.66

© NICE 2024. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Test	Cost of consumables	Staff time required	Total cost
			Children: £8.07
Bronchial challenge test with methacholine or mannitol	NA	NA	£179.49

1 (a) 10 minutes tested in the scenario analysis

2.3.7.23 Special combinations

- 3 The committee acknowledged that there could be an economic advantage of giving specific
- 4 tests in combination during the same attendance. Specifically, receiving tests in combination
- 5 could require less time compared to conducting them on separate occasions, thus reducing
- 6 overall costs. The committee listed a range of combinations that were expected to bring an
- 7 economic advantage (see Table 30).

Combination	Consumables	Staff time required	Total cost
Spirometry & FeNO	£7.88	25 minutes	£34.29
BDR & FeNO	£13.55	35 minutes	£50.52
Spirometry & BDR	£7.47 ^(a)	30 minutes	£39.16
Skin prick test & FeNO	£8.41	40 minutes	£50.66
Skin prick test & BDR	£9.80	40 minutes	£62.62
Skin prick test & Spirometry	£4.13	40 minutes	£46.38

8 **Table 30: Special combinations included in the model**

9 (a) Equal to the cost of a single BDR

- 10 Combining BDR and spirometry costs the same as a "standalone" BDR since an initial
- spirometry is a prerequisite for the test. For the remaining combinations, the advantage
- 12 consists in a reduced time for administration. With the exceptions listed, all other
- 13 combinations in the model are costed as a straightforward sum of the individual test costs.

2.3.7.4 Asthma treatment and monitoring

- 15 The cost of treating asthma was estimated using the resource use reported in the Novel
- 16 START(Beasley, Holliday, Reddel, Braithwaite, Ebmeier, Hancox, Harrison, Houghton,
- 17 Oldfield, Papi, Pavord, Williams, Weatherall, Novel, 2019) with the price of each drug
- 18 sourced from the British National Formulary (BNF(Joint Formulary Committee, 2024)) (see
- 19 Table 31). Adults are assumed to be initiated to an ICS/LABA as-needed therapy, whereas
- 20 children follow an ICS until they reach adulthood, as per recommendation.

21 **Table 31: Cost of asthma treatment**

Treatment	Drug	Actuations per day	Cost per year
ICS/LABA	Budesonide formoterol	ICS/LABA: 0.53	£45.14
ICS + SABA (a)	Budesonide + albuterol	ICS: 1.11 SABA: 1.01	£60.50

- 22 (a) Only applied in children in the base case scenario.
- 23 The cost of annual monitoring was estimated drawing on committee's expert opinion as
- shown in Table 32. In 80% of the cases, monitoring was assumed to require an annual
- 25 practice nurse visit, which increases to 2 visits in 15% of the cases. In a minority of patients,
- estimated by the committee to be around 5%, monitoring was assumed to require a specialist

- 1 visit. Although in the base case scenario the cost of FeNO was not factored in, a scenario
- 2 analysis where each visit includes FeNO was included.

3 Table 32: Cost of monitoring asthma

Proportion of people	Resource per year	Cost
80%	1 practice nurse visit	£16.37
15%	2 practice nurse visits	£32.74
5%	1 outpatient visit	£185.07
0 or 100%	FeNO (high volume)	£6.08
Total cost per year (excluding FeNO) ^(a)) £58.54	
Total cost per year (including FeNO)	£65.53	

4 (a) Base case scenario

2.3.7.5 Cost of exacerbations

- 6 A different cost was applied to a mild/moderate and a severe exacerbation drawing on
- 7 information provided by the committee and derived from SYGMA 2(Bateman, Reddel,
- 8 O'Byrne, Barnes, Zhong, Keen, Jorup, Lamarca, Siwek-Posluszna, FitzGerald, 2018), which
- 9 included detailed information on the resource use associated with a severe exacerbation.
- 10 The committee recognised that a mild or moderate exacerbation would result in a GP visit
- 11 where the patient would be treated with salbutamol via a spacer (see Table 33).

12 Table 33: Cost of mild/moderate exacerbation

Resource	Cost	Source
GP visit	£38	PSSRU 2022(Jones, Birch, Dargan, Forder, Roland)
Salbutamol MDI plus spacer	£4	BNF(Joint Formulary Committee, 2024) and NHS Supply Chain Catalogue(NHS Supply Chain Catalogue., 2022)
Total	£42	

- 13 Abbreviations: MDI = metered dose inhaler
- 14 A severe exacerbation is a more serious event that could lead, in a few cases, to
- 15 hospitalisation. The resource use associated with a severe exacerbation was derived from
- 16 SYGMA 2, a double-blind randomised international trial comparing ICS/LABA to ICS + SABA
- 17 (see Table 34).

18 **Table 34: Cost of a severe exacerbation**

Proportion	Resource use	Cost	Source
80%	Systemic glucocorticoid	Adults = £1.88 ^(a) Children = £0.60 ^(b)	Proportion: Sygma 2(Bateman, Reddel, O'Byrne, Barnes, Zhong, Keen, Jorup, Lamarca, Siwek- Posluszna, FitzGerald, 2018) Cost: BNF(Joint Formulary Committee, 2024)
13%	Accident & emergency	£113 ^(c)	Proportion: Sygma 2(Bateman, Reddel,

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Proportion	Resource use	Cost	Source
			O'Byrne, Barnes, Zhong, Keen, Jorup, Lamarca, Siwek- Posluszna, FitzGerald, 2018) Cost: National Cost Collection 2021/22(NHS England, 2022)
7%	Hospitalisation	Adults: £1,181 ^(d) Children: £ 1223 ^(d)	Proportion: Sygma 2(Bateman, Reddel, O'Byrne, Barnes, Zhong, Keen, Jorup, Lamarca, Siwek- Posluszna, FitzGerald, 2018) Cost: National Cost Collection 2021/22(NHS England, 2022)
Average cost		£102	

1 (a) 8 tablets of prednisolone 5mg a day for 7 days

2 3 (b) 6 tables of prednisolone 5 mg a day for 3 days

(c) Weighted average of emergency non-admitted episodes

4 (d) Weighted average of codes of asthma with and without interventi8on

5 In addition to the costs illustrated in Table 34, a severe exacerbation was assumed to require

6 an initial GP visit and a further GP or nurse visit for follow-up (a 50% ratio was assumed).

7 The committee also acknowledged that people who were hospitalised would need to return

8 to the hospital for a follow-up control visit, so the cost of an additional consultant-led follow

9 up appointment was added.

2.4 Computations

11 The model was constructed in R studio (Build 402) and Shiny and was evaluated by cohort

12 simulation. Time dependency was built in by cross referencing the cohorts age as a 13

respective risk factor for mortality. Baseline utility and time-to-first exacerbation were also

14 time dependent and was conditional on the number of years after entry to the model.

15 People enter the model in cycle 0 in one of the following four states: true positive, true 16 negative, false positive or false negative states. Only in analyses on children, people in the 17 true positive or false negative states can move to the remission state using transition probabilities derived by the survival curves shown in Figure 13, Figure 14 and Figure 15. In 18 19 addition, people in the false negative state can move to the true positive state after a correct 20 diagnosis using transition probabilities derived from the survival curve in Figure 11. People 21 with a false positive diagnosis can move to the true negative using the survival curve shown 22 in Figure 16. Finally, all people can move to the dead state with transition probabilities 23 calculated using ONS life tables and hazard ratios and excess mortality estimated in a population with asthma. 24

25 All rates were converted into transition probabilities for the respective cycle length: 1 month 26 in the short-term Markov model 1 year in the long-term model. The above conversions were

27 done using the following formulae:

Selected rate
$$(r) = \frac{-\ln(1-P)}{t}$$
 Where
P=probability of event over time *t*

© NICE 2024. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

	<i>t</i> =time over which probability occurs (1 month or 1 year)
	Where
Transition Probability $(P) = 1 - e^{-rt}$	<i>r</i> =selected rate
	<i>t</i> =cvcle length (1 month or 1 vear)

1 Life years for the cohort were computed each cycle. To calculate QALYs for each cycle, Q(t),

2 the time spent in the alive state of the model was weighted by a utility value that is

dependent on the time spent in the model and the proportion of people in each state. A half-

4 cycle correction was applied. QALYs were then discounted to reflect time preference

(discount rate 3.5%). QALYs during the first cycle were not discounted. The total discounted
 QALYs were the sum of the discounted QALYs per cycle. The total discounted QALYs were

7 the sum of the discounted QALYs per cycle.

8 Costs per cycle, C(t), were calculated in the same way as QALYs. Costs of diagnostic tests

9 were applied in the first cycles and not discounted. Costs occurring later were discounted to

- 10 reflect time preference (discount rate 3.5%) in the same way as QALYs using the following
- 11 formula:
- 12 Discounting formula:

Discounted total =
$$\frac{\text{Total}}{(1+r)^n}$$

Where: *r*=discount rate per annum *n*=time (years)

13 The total cost and QALYs accrued by the cohort was divided by the number of patients in the

14 population to calculate a cost per patient and cost per QALY.

2.5 Sensitivity analyses

16 Various scenario analyses were conducted to test the robustness of the results of the model.

17 Table 35Error! Reference source not found. describes the different scenario analyses

18 where the light blue colour indicates the scenarios adopted in the base case scenario.

19 **Table 35: Scenario analyses**

Feature	Scenario	Description	
	Prevalence from RADicA	Use the prevalence of people in RADicA study	
Prevalence of asthma	High prevalence of asthma	Set the prevalence of asthma to 80%	
ustimu	Low prevalence of asthma	Set the prevalence of asthma to 40%	
Specificity of FeNO	RADicA	Use the specificity of FeNO (>50 ppb) observed in RADicA	
in adults	Threshold analysis	Test different values of specificity for FeNO ranging from 0.9 to 1	
Alleray tests in	Skin prick test	Assume that the available allergy test in children is skin prick test	
children	IgE blood test	Assume that the available allergy test in children is IgE blood test	
Maximum time spent with a false negative diagnosis	1 year	Assume that all false negative diagnoses are resolved within 1 year	
	2 years	Assume that all false negative diagnoses are resolved within 2 years	
	5 years	Assume that all false negative diagnoses are resolved within 5 years	

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Feature	Scenario	Description
Time-to-first	Exponential	Use an exponential parametric curve to estimate time to first exacerbation
exacerbation parametric curve	Log-logistic	Use a log-logistic parametric curve to estimate time to first exacerbation
Quality of life of	Uncontrolled asthma	Assume that people with untreated asthma share the quality of life of those with uncontrolled asthma
untreated asthma	General population with asthma	Assume that people with untreated asthma share the quality of life the general population with asthma
Notion potting	England	Run the analysis using England's unit costs and life expectancy
Nation setting	Scotland	Run the analysis using Scotland's unit costs and life expectancy
Healthcare professional	Practice nurse	Assume that all tests, excluding those conducted in secondary tests, are administered by a practice nurse
conducting diagnostic tests	GP	Assume that all tests, excluding those conducted in secondary tests, are administered by a GP
Time required for a	20 minutes	Assume that 20 minutes are necessary for explaining and interpreting PEFv results
PEFv	10 minutes	Assume that 10 minutes are necessary for explaining and interpreting PEFv results
	Low activity	Use the cost of FeNO estimated for centres with low activity
Volume of FeNO activity in the centre	Medium activity	Use the cost of FeNO estimated for centres with medium activity
	High activity	Use the cost of FeNO estimated for centres with high activity
FeNO in monitoring	FeNO not included	Assume that FeNO is not administered in asthma monitoring visits
visits	FeNO included	Assume that FeNO is administered in asthma monitoring visits
Initial tractment	ICS/LABA	Assume that the initial treatment for asthma is the combination inhaler ICS/SABA PRN
initial treatment	ICS + SABA	Assume that the initial treatment for asthma is maintenance ICS and SABA PRN
Formulation of	Normal tablets	Assume that children receive normal tablets of prednisolone during exacerbations
children	Soluble tablets	Assume that children receive soluble tablets of prednisolone during exacerbations

2.5.1 Prevalence of asthma

2 In the base case scenario, the prevalence of asthma among those reporting respiratory

3 symptoms suggestive of asthma was estimated from RADicA.

4 The committee acknowledged that an important factor considered by clinicians when

5 reaching a diagnosis or considering additional testing is clinical history. People who had a

6 history of asthma attacks and, therefore, are very likely to have asthma, may be easier to

7 diagnose and require less tests whereas those with unspecific symptoms and no clear

- 1 clinical history might need additional testing to reach a diagnosis. Therefore, two separate
- 2 scenario analyses were explored where prevalence was set at 80% and 40% for a cohort
- 3 with, respectively, high and low probability of asthma.

2.5.2 FeNO threshold analysis in adults

- 5 In the base case scenario, specificity of FeNO in adults was estimated using RADicA alone.
- 6 As there is uncertainty in the published literature regarding the performance of FeNO at high
- 7 cut-off values (40 50 ppv), a threshold analysis was conducted where values between 0.9
- 8 and 1 were systematically tested.

2.5.3 Allergic test in children

- 10 In the base case scenario, skin prick test was assumed to be the standard allergy test
- 11 available for children with suspected asthma. The committee acknowledged that the
- 12 availability of skin prick tests is limited nationwide, primarily due to a lack of training and
- 13 disparities in healthcare access. A potential cost-effective alternative, is the IgE test, an
- 14 allergen-specific immunoglobulin E test that measures the level of antibodies the immune
- 15 system makes to protect the bodies from allergens. A further advantage of IgE is that, when
- 16 conducted alongside blood eosinophils (strategy 3 in children), it does not require a further
- 17 blood sample as the same sample can be used for both tests. Skin prick test and IgE were
- 18 assumed to be perfectly correlated, so they could not be included in the same scenario.
- 19 Therefore, a separate scenario with IgE instead of skin prick test was explored in the 20 sensitivity analysis, which also incorporates potential savings arising from conducting
- sensitivity analysis, which also incorporates potential savings arising from conducting
 multiple blood test using a single sample.

2.524 Maximum time spent with a false negative diagnosis

- 23 In the base case scenario it was assumed that a person could not spend more than two
- 24 years with a false negative diagnosis and untreated asthma. Two scenarios were tested in
- the sensitivity analysis: one with a shorter maximum time of one year, and a second with a
- 26 longer maximum time duration of five years.

2.55 Parametric curve for time-to-first exacerbation

- 28 The survival analysis based on the pseudo individual-patient data on time-to-first
- 29 exacerbation revealed that two parametric curves provided the best fit for the data: an
- 30 exponential parametric curve exhibiting the lowest BIC and a log-logistic curve exhibiting the
- 31 lowest AIC. The exponential curve was ultimately chosen in the base case scenario as its
- 32 long-term predictions were considered more realistic. The consequences of using a log-
- 33 logistic curve for long-term extrapolation were explored in the scenario analysis.

2.5.6 Quality of life of people with untreated asthma

- In the base case scenario, it was assumed that people with untreated asthma shares the quality of life of those whose asthma is uncontrolled. However, the committee acknowledged
- 37 that, sometimes, people may remain undiagnosed because their asthma is mild or
- intermitted. In such cases, it is unrealistic to assume that their quality of life would be the
- 39 same of those with uncontrolled symptoms of asthma. Therefore, a scenario analysis was
- 40 included that assumes that people with untreated asthma would share the quality of life of
- 40 the general population with asthma, which includes both people with controlled and
- 42 uncontrolled asthma.

2.5.7 Nation setting

- 2 The base case scenario was conducted from an England's perspective and uses England-
- 3 specific healthcare unit costs and life expectancy. As the guideline is a joint project between
- 4 NICE, BTS (British Thoracic Society) and SIGN (Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network),
- 5 the committee agreed it was appropriate to include a scenario more reflective of Scotland's
- 6 particular settings. In this scenario, Scotland-specific life tables(Office for National Statistics,
- 7 2021) were used to estimate life expectancy and the unit costs of healthcare staff were
- 8 adjusted to reflect NHS Scotland pay scales(British Medical Association, 2024).

2.5. B Healthcare professionals

- 10 In the base case scenario it is assumed that a practice nurse would explain, administer, and
- 11 interpret all the diagnostic tests with the exception of bronchial challenge tests (with mannitol
- 12 or methacholine), which are always assumed to be conducted in secondary care. A scenario
- 13 analysis was conducted where tests are provided by GPs instead.

2.5.9 Healthcare staff time needed for a PEFv

- 15 While patients collect PEFv measurements independently, healthcare professionals, such as
- 16 nurses or GPs, are essential for explaining the test, performing calculations, and interpreting
- 17 the results. The committee estimated this involvement to take approximately 20 minutes.
- 18 However, it is possible that this time could be lower if the healthcare professionals already
- 19 have experience in interpreting the results. Hence, a sensitivity analysis accounting for a
- 20 reduced time of 10 minutes was also included.

2.5.10 Volume of FeNO

- As reported in Table 23, three different estimations of the per-test cost of FeNO were
- 23 calculated reflecting three centres with different volumes of FeNO tests delivered. In the base
- 24 case scenario, the central estimation was used, as it is expected to reflect the average
- 25 across NHS centres. In the scenario analyses, both the low and higher cases were explored.

2.521 FeNO in monitoring visit

- 27 The base case scenario assumes that an average asthma review visit does not involve a
- 28 FeNO measurement. As this might change in the future following the new recommendations
- 29 drafted for FeNO for monitoring, a scenario where every annual review requires FeNO
- 30 testing was included in the sensitivity analysis.

2.5.12 Initial treatment in adults

- 32 In the base case scenario, the initial treatment for adults who are diagnosed with asthma is
- 33 assumed to be ICS/LABA, reflecting the new recommendations on initial treatment. A
- 34 scenario where people are initiated to the previously recommended treatment, ICS + SABA,
- 35 was added where costs and exacerbation rates were adjusted accordingly.

2.5d3 Formulation of prednisolone in children

- 37 Children might have troubles swallowing standard tablets of prednisolone during
- 38 exacerbations. In practice these are often crushed and dissolved in the water when
- 39 dispensed to children. Nevertheless, a soluble formulation is available but considerably more
- 40 expensive (around 60 times more). A sensitivity analysis was conducted where children
- 41 receive soluble tablets instead, to explore whether the higher cost would have any significant
- 42 impact on the model results.

2.6 Model validation

- 2 The model was developed in consultation with the committee. Model structure, inputs and
- 3 results were presented to and discussed with the committee for clinical validation and
- 4 interpretation.
- 5 The model was systematically checked by the health economist undertaking the analysis;
- this included inputting null and extreme values and checking that results were plausible given 6
- inputs. The model was peer reviewed by the NICE Guidelines Technical Support Unit (TSU) 7
- 8 at the university of Bristol. The review included assessing the structure, presentation and
- settings, investigating data sources and model input calculations, evaluating the functionality, 9
- testing the correctness of the Markov traces and checking the sensitivity analyses. 10

2.7 Estimation of cost effectiveness

- 12 The widely used cost-effectiveness metric is the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER).
- This is calculated by dividing the difference in costs associated with 2 alternatives by the 13
- 14 difference in QALYs. The decision rule then applied is that if the ICER falls below a given
- cost per QALY threshold the result is considered to be cost effective. If both costs are lower 15
- 16 and QALYs are higher the option is said to dominate and an ICER is not calculated.

$$VCER = \frac{Costs(B) - Costs(A)}{QALYs(B) - QALYs(A)}$$

Cost effective if:

ICER < Threshold

Where: Costs(A) = total costs for option A; QALYs(A) = total QALYs for option A

17 It is also possible, for a particular cost-effectiveness threshold, to re-express cost-

18 effectiveness results in term of net health benefit (NHB). This is calculated as a difference

- 19 between total QALY and total cost divided by the threshold cost per QALY values (£20,000).
- 20 The decision rule then applied is that the comparator with the highest NHB is the cost-
- 21 effective option at the specified threshold. That is the option that provides the highest number
- 22 of QALYs at an acceptable cost.
- 23

Net Health Benefit
$$(X) = (QALYs(X)) - Costs(X) / \lambda$$

Where: $\lambda = threshold (£20,000 per QALY gained)$
Cost effective if:
• Highest net benefit

24 Both methods of determining cost effectiveness will identify exactly the same optimal

- 25 strategy. For ease of computation NHB is used in this analysis to identify the optimal
- 26 strategy.

2.8 Interpreting results

- 28 NICE sets out the principles that committees should consider when judging whether an 29 intervention offers good value for money. (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 30 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence) In general, an intervention was 31 considered to be cost effective if either of the following criteria applied (given that the estimate was considered plausible): 32
- 33 The intervention dominated other relevant strategies (that is, it was both less costly in 34 terms of resource use and more clinically effective compared with all the other relevant 35 alternative strategies), or
- 36 • The intervention costs less than £20,000 per quality-adjusted life-year (QALY) gained 37 compared with the next best strategy.

- 1 As several diagnostic strategies were compared, NHBs were used to rank the strategies on
- 2 the basis of their relative cost effectiveness. The highest NHB identifies the optimal strategy
- 3 at a willingness to pay of £20,000 per QALY gained.

Results 3

- 2 The analysis was conducted separately for adults and children with different strategies
- 3 explored in each population. In the following section, probabilistic and deterministic results in 4
- adults and children are presented.

3.15 Adults model

3.1.đ Base case probabilistic results

- Table 36 shows the sensitivity and specificity values associated with each strategy in adults. 7
- 8 The last column shows the proportion of the cohort reaching the last stage of the algorithm
- 9 where a bronchial challenge test with methacholine is required.

Table 36: Accuracy of diagnostic strategies^(a) in adults 10

Strategy	Sensitivity	Specificity	Proportion of people requiring BCT
1	0.88 (0.78 - 0.94)	0.98 (0.88 - 1)	62.61%
2	0.84 (0.74 - 0.91)	0.87 (0.74 - 0.94)	53.39%
3	0.78 (0.66 - 0.87)	0.97 (0.86 - 1)	69.79%
4	0.91 (0.82 - 0.96)	0.86 (0.73 - 0.94)	49.57%
5	0.91 (0.82 - 0.96)	0.86 (0.73 - 0.94)	49.57%
6	0.68 (0.55 - 0.78)	0.98 (0.89 - 1)	17.43%
7	0.91 (0.82 - 0.96)	0.86 (0.73 - 0.94)	49.57%
8	0.85 (0.73 - 0.92)	0.83 (0.68 - 0.92)	52.08%
9	0.91 (0.82 - 0.96)	0.86 (0.73 - 0.94)	49.14%
10	0.86 (0.75 - 0.93)	0.94 (0.81 - 0.98)	62.37%

¹¹ (a) See Diagnostic strategies in adultsTable 1 for a detailed description of the strategies

12 Strategy 1, 3 and 6 showed the best specificity. This is because all three strategies included 13 the best specific tests available, BDR, PEFv and blood eosinoiphils, as well as a BCT with methacholine for people who could not be ruled in. Strategy 4, 5, 7 and 9 showed the best 14 15 sensitivity as they included FeNO, which tends to increase the sensitivity of a strategy while reducing its specificity. Although most strategies require testing a significant number of 16 17 people through a bronchial challenge, strategies with more than 3 tests, despite introducing complexity, ultimately reduce the proportion of people reaching the last step. 18

19 Table 37 shows the probabilistic results in the base case scenario for adults.

20 Table 37: Probabilistic cost-effectiveness results in adults – base case

Stra tegy	Cost per patient	QALY per patient Net Health Benefits		Rank	% cost- effective at 20k
1	1409 (1317 - 1501)	19.03 (16.98 - 21.08)	18.955 (18.78 - 19.13)	1	88.02%
2	1432 (1338 - 1526)	18.98 (16.93 - 21.03)	18.91 (18.732 - 19.088)	5	0%
3	1451 (1359 - 1543)	19.02 (16.97 - 21.07)	18.946 (18.772 - 19.12)	3	3.33%
4	1429 (1332 - 1526)	18.98 (16.93 - 21.03)	18.909 (18.726 - 19.092)	6	0%
5	1425 (1327 - 1523)	18.98 (16.93 - 21.03)	18.909 (18.727 - 19.091)	6	0.01%
6	1355 (1264 - 1446)	19.02 (16.97 - 21.07)	18.948 (18.774 - 19.122)	2	7.99%
7	1425 (1327 - 1523)	18.98 (16.93 - 21.03)	18.91 (18.727 - 19.093)	5	0%

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Stra tegy	Cost per patient	QALY per patient	Net Health Benefits	Rank	% cost- effective at 20k
8	1462 (1366 - 1558)	18.97 (16.92 - 21.02)	18.896 (18.715 - 19.077)	7	0%
9	1430 (1333 - 1527)	18.98 (16.93 - 21.03)	18.91 (18.727 - 19.093)	5	0.01%
10	1444 (1351 - 1537)	19.01 (16.96 - 21.06)	18.939 (18.763 - 19.115)	4	0.64%

Strategy 1, including blood eosinophils, BDR and methacholine was the most cost-effective strategy in 88% of the simulations and the one associated with the highest health outcome. Strategy 6 was the cheapest strategy, due to its lowest use of methacholine, and the most cost-effective strategy in 8% of the simulations. Strategy 3, which was similar to strategy 1 except having PEFv instead of blood eosinophils, was the third most cost-effective strategy and ranked first in 3% of the simulations. All the remaining strategies were unlikely to be cost-effective.

8 Figure 18, Figure 19, and Figure **20** show the dynamic transition of people between different 9 states in the three model when strategy 1 is adopted. Exacerbations do not represent a

10 separate state but capture the number of exacerbations occurring during each cycle.

11

12 Figure 18: Adults with asthma – short-term



13
 14 Note: exacerbations do not represent a distinct Markov state but the number of exacerbation events occurring
 15 during each cycle

DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma



1 Figure 19: Adults with asthma – long-term

 2 year
 3 Note: exacerbations do not represent a distinct Markov state but the number of exacerbation events occurring during each cycle

5 Figure 20: Adults without asthma



⁶

Table 38 illustrated a breakdown of costs in each strategy. As anticipated, strategy 6 is
associated with the lowest cost in terms of initial tests, but it has poor sensitivity and requires
more tests downstream to correct the high number of false positives. Cost of asthma
monitoring and treatment tend to be higher in strategies with lower specificity as these are
associated with a higher number of false positives receiving treatment and monitoring
despite not having the disease. Finally, the cost associated with exacerbations is similar
across the different strategies, as those with untreated asthma and higher risk of

- 1 exacerbation are assumed to be correctly diagnosed and receive the appropriate treatment
- 2 once they experience the first exacerbation.

S.	Diagnostic sequence	Other diagnostic ^(a)	Asthma treatment	Asthma monitoring	Exacerbation	Total
1	151 (135 - 167)	15 (6 - 24)	657 (644 - 670)	377 (370 - 384)	208 (119 - 297)	1409 (1317 - 1501)
2	143 (127 - 159)	19 (9 - 29)	674 (653 - 695)	387 (375 - 399)	209 (120 - 298)	1432 (1338 - 1526)
3	186 (170 - 202)	27 (14 - 40)	654 (641 - 667)	375 (368 - 382)	209 (121 - 297)	1451 (1359 - 1543)
4	142 (125 - 159)	11 (3 - 19)	679 (653 - 705)	390 (375 - 405)	208 (119 - 297)	1429 (1332 - 1526)
5	137 (120 - 154)	11 (3 - 19)	679 (653 - 705)	390 (375 - 405)	208 (119 - 297)	1425 (1327 - 1523)
6	85 (72 - 98)	39 (25 - 53)	649 (637 - 661)	372 (365 - 379)	211 (123 - 299)	1355 (1264 - 1446)
7	137 (120 - 154)	11 (3 - 19)	679 (653 - 705)	390 (375 - 405)	208 (119 - 297)	1425 (1327 - 1523)
8	165 (147 - 183)	18 (7 - 29)	680 (655 - 705)	390 (376 - 404)	209 (120 - 298)	1462 (1366 - 1558)
9	143 (127 - 159)	11 (3 - 19)	679 (653 - 705)	390 (375 - 405)	208 (119 - 297)	1430 (1333 - 1527)
10	176 (158 - 194)	17 (6 - 28)	663 (647 - 679)	380 (371 - 389)	209 (120 - 298)	1444 (1351 - 1537)

3 Table 38: Breakdown of costs – Adults probabilistic base case

4 (a) Costs of further diagnostic exams to correct wrong diagnoses

3.1.2 Scenario analyses

6 Table 39 illustrates the probabilistic results of the scenario analyses. The number of the 7 strategies ranked first, second, or third are reported with their probability of being the most 8 cost-effective in parentheses. The ranks found in the base case is unchanged in most scenarios with a few exceptions. When there is a high probability of asthma (prevalence = 9 80%), strategy 6, which has a poor sensitivity, become less cost-effective. The same occurs 10 when the maximum time spent with a false positive diagnosis is increased to 5 years, as this 11 12 makes a false positive diagnosis more harmful. By contrast, when the model assumes that untreated asthma does not cause any additional harm, cheaper strategies with poor 13 14 sensitivity, like strategy 6, becomes the more likely to be cost-effective (65%).

15 **Table 39: Probabilistic scenario analyses – Adults**

Scenario	1 st ranked	2 nd ranked	3 rd ranked
Base case	Strategy 1 (88%)	Strategy 6 (8%)	Strategy 3 (3%)
High prevalence of asthma (80%)	Strategy 1 (96%)	Strategies 3 & 10 (2%)	Strategy 6 (1%)
Low prevalence of asthma (40%)	Strategy 1 (59%)	Strategy 6 (36%)	Strategy 3 (5%)
Maximum 1 year with a false negative diagnosis	Strategy 1 (72%)	Strategy 6 (24%)	Strategy 3 (4%)
Maximum 5 years with a false negative diagnosis	Strategy 1 (95%)	Strategy 3 (2%)	Strategy 6 (2%)

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Scenario	1 st ranked	2 nd ranked	3 rd ranked
Log-logistic instead of exponential for time-to-first exacerbation	Strategy 1 (92%)	Strategy 6 (5%)	Strategy 3 (3%)
No QoL reduction in people with untreated asthma	Strategy 6 (65%)	Strategy 1 (31%)	Strategy 3 (4%)
Scotland settings	Strategy 1 (88%)	Strategy 6 (8%)	Strategy 3 (3%)
GP conduct diagnostic tests	Strategy 1 (92%)	Strategy 6 (7%)	Strategy 3 (1%)
10 minutes required for PEFv	Strategy 1 (87%)	Strategy 6 (8%)	Strategy 3 (4%)
Low FeNO activity	Strategy 1 (88%)	Strategy 6 (7%)	Strategy 3 (3%)
High FeNO activity	Strategy 1 (88%)	Strategy 6 (8%)	Strategy 3 (3%)
FeNO included in all monitoring visits	Strategy 1 (88%)	Strategy 6 (8%)	Strategy 3 (3%)
ICS + SABA as treatment in adults	Strategy 1 (88%)	Strategy 6 (8%)	Strategy 3 (3%)

3.1.8 FeNO specificity threshold analysis

2 In the base case scenario in adults, sensitivity and specificity of all tests were estimated using the IPD from RADicA study. Diagnostic accuracy in RADicA generally aligned well with 3 the committee's expectations (see 2.3.2.1). However, the committee noted that there was 4 5 heterogeneity in the specificity of FeNO reported in the literature, with some 6 studies(Schneider, Brunn, Hapfelmeier, Schultz, Kellerer, Jorres, 2022, Schneider, et al., 7 2015) reporting a higher specificity at cut-offs close to 50ppb. Therefore, a sensitivity 8 analysis was conducted where the specificity of FeNO was allowed to vary to determine the 9 threshold value that would make a strategy with FeNO as initial test cost-effective. Although 10 specificity and sensitivity are inversely correlated, it is noteworthy that sensitivity was held 11 constant in the threshold analysis. Therefore, caution is advised when interpreting the 12 results.

For this analysis, only two strategies were considered: strategy 1, that was the most costeffective in the base case scenario, and strategy 2, which was similar but used FeNO instead
of blood eosinophils in the first step (see Table 40).

16 **Table 40: Diagnostic strategies included in FeNO threshold analysis**

	S	1 st step	2 nd step	3 rd step	4 th step
	1	Blood Eosinophils	+: Diagnose asthma -: BDR	+: Diagnose asthma -: Methacholine	-
	2	FeNO	+: Diagnose asthma -: BDR	+: Diagnose asthma -: Methacholine	-

17 Abbreviations: BDR: bronchodilator reversibility; FeNO: Fractional exhaled nitric oxide

18 Figure 21 shows the results of the threshold analysis, with the vertical axis indicating the

19 likelihood that a particular strategy is cost-effective and the horizontal axis reporting the level

20 of specificity of FeNO. Not surprisingly, the probability of FeNO strategy being the most cost-

21 effective increases in tandem with the increase of FeNO specificity. When FeNO specificity

reaches 0.98, which is equal to the specificity estimated for blood eosinophil, FeNO strategy

- 23 becomes more likely to be cost-effective.
- 24

DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

1



2 Figure 21: FeNO specificity threshold analysis

3

4

This implies that FeNO is a cost-effective test at the beginning of a diagnostic algorithm only

5 if its specificity at the recommended cut-off is comparable or superior to that of other highly 6 specific tests such as PEFv or blood eosinophils. Otherwise, if its specificity is lower, there is

specific tests such as PEFv or blood eosinophils. Otherwise, if its specificity is lower, there is
 a risk of over diagnosing asthma in the initial step of the sequence, leading to potential

a fisk of over diagnosing astrima in the initial step of the sequence, leading to potential

8 resource wastage for the NHS.

3.2 Children model

3.2.d Base case probabilistic results

11 Table 41Table 36 shows the sensitivity and specificity values associated with each strategy

12 in children. The last columns shows the proportion of the cohort reaching the last stage of the

13 algorithm where a bronchial challenge test with methacholine is administered.

	, ,	0	
Strategy	Sensitivity	Specificity	Proportion of people requiring BCT
1	0.78 (0.77 - 0.79)	0.92 (0.92 - 0.93)	39.58%
2	0.77 (0.76 - 0.77)	0.87 (0.87 - 0.88)	32.31%
3	0.88 (0.87 - 0.88)	0.67 (0.66 - 0.68)	31.03%
4	0.83 (0.82 - 0.84)	0.84 (0.84 - 0.85)	37.82%
5	0.79 (0.78 - 0.8)	0.87 (0.86 - 0.87)	34.36%
6	0.8 (0.79 - 0.81)	0.91 (0.9 - 0.91)	26.89%
7	0.88 (0.87 - 0.89)	0.67 (0.66 - 0.68)	34.36%
8	0.84 (0.83 - 0.85)	0.84 (0.83 - 0.85)	63.38%
9	0.79 (0.78 - 0.8)	0.87 (0.86 - 0.87)	30.09%
10	0.95 (0.95 - 0.96)	0.56 (0.55 - 0.57)	34.37%

14 Table 41: Accuracy of diagnostic strategies^(a) in children

15 (a) See Diagnostic strategies in adults Table 2 for a detailed description of the strategies

- 1 Strategies 1, 3 and 5 and 6 reached the best specificity whereas strategies 8 had the best
- 2 sensitivity. Overall, compared to adults, all diagnostic strategies in children require fewer
- 3 bronchial challenge tests as a rule-in-rule-out approach is more effective in reducing the
- 4 number of people reaching the last step of the diagnostic pathway.
- 5 Table 42 illustrates the probabilistic results in the base case scenario for children.

6 Table 42: Probabilistic cost-effectiveness results in children – base case

Stra tegy	Cost per patient	QALY per patient	Net Health Benefits	Rank	% cost- effective at 20k
1	1076 (958 - 1194)	23.14 (21.09 - 25.19)	23.085 (22.913 - 23.257)	1	46.38%
2	1125 (1007 - 1243)	23.13 (21.08 - 25.18)	23.069 (22.895 - 23.243)	5	0%
3	1114 (995 - 1233)	23.1 (21.05 - 25.15)	23.043 (22.86 - 23.226)	6	0%
4	1074 (956 - 1192)	23.13 (21.08 - 25.18)	23.075 (22.899 - 23.251)	3	2.66%
5	1111 (993 - 1229)	23.13 (21.08 - 25.18)	23.072 (22.898 - 23.246)	4	0.15%
6	1067 (949 - 1185)	23.14 (21.09 - 25.19)	23.084 (22.911 - 23.257)	1	46.88%
7	1125 (1006 - 1244)	23.1 (21.05 - 25.15)	23.042 (22.858 - 23.226)	7	0%
8	1081 (963 - 1199)	23.13 (21.08 - 25.18)	23.076 (22.9 - 23.252)	2	3.75%
9	1107 (989 - 1225)	23.13 (21.08 - 25.18)	23.072 (22.898 - 23.246)	4	0.18%
10	1178 (1057 - 1299)	23.09 (21.03 - 25.15)	23.027 (22.837 - 23.217)	8	0%

7 Strategies 1 and 6 were the most cost-effective strategies in more than 90% of the

- 8 simulations. Both were similar, involving an initial FeNO followed by a skin prick test or IgE
- 9 for those who tested negative. However, strategy 6 included an additional step with blood
- 10 eosinophils before referring children to bronchial challenge test with methacholine, to reduce
- 11 the proportion of children needing secondary care (see Table 43). The committee
- 12 acknowledged that strategy 6 could be particularly useful when children undergo IgE testing
- 13 in step 2. This is because the blood collected in step 2 could be used to conduct IgE and
- 14 blood eosinophils simultaneously, without the need of further blood sampling.

15 Table 43: Most cost-effective strategies in children

S	1 st step	2 nd step	3 rd step	4 th step
1	FeNO	+: Diagnose asthma -: SPT/lgE	+: Methacholine -: Exclude asthma	-
3	FeNO	+: Diagnose asthma -: SPT/IgE	+: Blood eosinophils -: Exclude asthma	+: Diagnose asthma -: Methacholine

- 16 All first three cost-effective strategies include FeNO in the first step. This is because FeNO
- 17 was found to be highly specific in children and is relatively cheap. The third most cost-
- 18 effective strategy starts with blood eosinophils, another highly specific and affordable test.
- 19 BDR was also found to be highly specific, but it is more expensive and so it became the third
- 20 most cost-effective initial test after FeNO and blood eosinophils. PEFv is another cheap
- alternative but was found to be particularly inaccurate in children, so it is the least cost-
- 22 effective test to be offered at the beginning of a sequence.
- Figure 22, Figure 23 and Note: exacerbations do not represent a distinct Markov state but the number of exacerbation events occurring during each cycle
- 25
- 26
- 27

DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma





Note: exacerbations do not represent a distinct Markov state but the number of exacerbation events occurring during each cycle

14 Figure 23: Children with asthma – long-term

DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma



- Note: exacerbations do not represent a distinct Markov state but the number of exacerbation events occurring
 during each cycle
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7

8 Figure 24: Children without asthma





Table 44 presents a breakdown of lifetime costs across the different strategies. The overall
 cost of the tests included in the diagnostic sequence tend to be lower in strategy with a lower
 need of methacholine. Compared to adults, lifetime asthma management, monitoring and

- 1 exacerbation costs are lower as many who are diagnosed with asthma during childhood
- 2 achieve remission during their lifetime (see section 2.3.3.2 and Figure 23).

able	e 44. Dreakuov	wh of costs –	Children proc	Dadilistic dase	ecase	
S.	Diagnostic sequence	Other diagnostic ^(a)	Asthma treatment	Asthma monitoring	Exacerbation	Total
1	131 (130 - 132)	33 (30 - 36)	488 (435 - 541)	291 (258 - 324)	133 (76 - 190)	1076 (958 - 1194)
2	164 (163 - 165)	35 (32 - 38)	497 (444 - 550)	296 (263 - 329)	133 (76 - 190)	1125 (1007 - 1243)
3	107 (106 - 108)	18 (16 - 20)	539 (484 - 594)	318 (284 - 352)	131 (74 - 188)	1114 (995 - 1233)
4	110 (109 - 111)	25 (23 - 27)	506 (452 - 560)	300 (266 - 334)	132 (75 - 189)	1074 (956 - 1192)
5	151 (150 - 152)	31 (28 - 34)	500 (447 - 553)	297 (264 - 330)	133 (76 - 190)	1111 (993 - 1229)
6	119 (118 - 120)	30 (27 - 33)	493 (440 - 546)	293 (260 - 326)	133 (76 - 190)	1067 (949 - 1185)
7	118 (117 - 119)	18 (16 - 20)	540 (485 - 595)	319 (285 - 353)	131 (74 - 188)	1125 (1006 - 1244)
8	117 (116 - 118)	24 (22 - 26)	507 (453 - 561)	301 (267 - 335)	132 (75 - 189)	1081 (963 - 1199)
9	147 (146 - 148)	31 (28 - 34)	500 (447 - 553)	297 (264 - 330)	133 (76 - 190)	1107 (989 - 1225)
10	147 (146 - 148)	7 (6 - 8)	563 (506 - 620)	331 (296 - 366)	130 (73 - 187)	1178 (1057 - 1299)

3 Table 44: Breakdown of costs – Children probabilistic base case

4 (a) Costs of further diagnostic exams to correct wrong diagnoses

5

3.2.2 Scenario analyses

Table 45Table 44 shows the probabilistic results of the scenario analysis in children. In most
scenarios, the rank remains unchanged with a few exceptions. When prevalence was
increased, strategies with higher sensitivity but lower specificity, such as strategy 8, becomes
more cost effective. In all the other scenarios considered, strategy 1 or 3 consistently
retained their position as the most cost-effective options. Strategy 3 became considerably
more cost-effective when IgE was used instead of skin prick test, partly due to the cost
advantage of using a single blood sampling for both IgE and blood eosinophils.

14 Table 45: Probabilistic scenario analyses – Children

Scenario	1 st ranked	2 nd ranked	3 rd ranked
Base case	Strategies 1 & 6 (93%)	Strategy 8 (4%)	Strategy 4 (3%)
High prevalence of asthma (80%)	Strategy 8 (41%)	Strategies 4 & 6 (43%)	Strategy 1 (4%)
Low prevalence of asthma (40%)	Strategy 1 (68%)	Strategy 6 (31%)	Strategies 2 and 4 (10%)
IgE instead of skin prick test	Strategy 6 (62%)	Strategy 1 (37%)	Strategy 4 (1%)
Maximum 1 year with a false negative diagnosis	Strategy 1 (60%)	Strategy 6 (38%)	Strategies 4 & 8 (1%)
Maximum 5 years with a false negative diagnosis	Strategy 6 (44%)	Strategy 1 (17%)	Strategy 8 (24%)

© NICE 2024. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

Cost-utility analysis: Most cost-effective sequence or combination of tests to diagnose asthma

Scenario	1 st ranked	2 nd ranked	3 rd ranked
Log-logistic instead of exponential for time-to-first exacerbation	Strategies 1 & 6 (93%)	Strategy 8 (4%)	Strategy 4 (3%)
No QoL reduction in people with untreated asthma	Strategy 1 (75%)	Strategy 6 (25%)	Strategy 2 (0%)
Scotland settings	Strategies 1 & 6 (93%)	Strategy 8 (4%)	Strategy 4 (3%)
GP conduct diagnostic tests	Strategies 1 & 6 (86%)	Strategy 4 (8%)	Strategy8 (5%)
10 minutes required for PEFv	Strategies 1 & 6 (93%)	Strategy 8 (4%)	Strategy 4 (3%)
Low FeNO activity	Strategies 1 & 6 (93%)	Strategy 8 (4%)	Strategy 4 (3%)
High FeNO activity	Strategies 1 & 6 (93%)	Strategy 8 (4%)	Strategy 4 (3%)
FeNO included in all monitoring visits	Strategies 1 & 6 (93%)	Strategy 8 (4%)	Strategy 4 (3%)
ICS + SABA as treatment in adults	Strategies 1 & 6 (93%)	Strategy 8 (4%)	Strategy 4 (3%)
Soluble prednisolone for asthma exacerbation in children	Strategies 1 & 6 (93%)	Strategy 8 (4%)	Strategy 4 (3%)

4 Discussion

4.12 Summary of results

- 3 This health economic model was developed to identify the most cost-effective combinations
- 4 or sequences of tests to diagnose asthma in adults and children with respiratory symptoms.
- 5 Table 46 illustrates the most cost-effective diagnostic strategy identified in adults and
- 6 children.

7 Table 46: Most cost-effective strategies in children and adults

Population	Approach	1 st step	2 nd step	3 rd step	4 th step
Adults	Gradual rule- in	Blood Eosinophils	+: Diagnose asthma -: BDR	+: Diagnose asthma -: Methacholine	_
Children	Rule-in-rule- out	FeNO	+: Diagnose asthma -: SPT/IgE	+: Methacholine -: Exclude asthma	_
		FeNO	+: Diagnose asthma -: SPT/IgE	+: Blood eosinophils -: Exclude asthma	+: Diagnose asthma -: Methacholine

8 Abbreviations: BDR: bronchodilator reversibility; FeNO: Fractional exhaled nitric oxide; SPT: skin prick test

9 In adults, the model found that a gradual rule-in approach was cost-effective. The most cost-

10 effective sequence of tests consisted in an initial blood eosinophils test, followed by a re-test

11 with BDR if the initial results were negative and a final re-test with methacholine for those

12 negative on both previous tests.

In children, the model found that a rule-in-rule-out approach was cost-effective. Two similar
 strategies emerged as the most cost-effective:

15	1.	A three-step strategy involving an initial FeNO, followed by a skin prick test or IgE if
16		FeNO was negative and a final bronchial challenge with methacholine if positive in
17		the second step

 A long four-step variation including an additional blood eosinophil test to rule in asthma before the final methacholine test

The four-step strategy was found to be particularly useful and cost-effective when IgE is used in the second step, as both IgE and blood eosinophil can be conducted using the same blood sample.

- 23 The results were robust in both populations. All the potentially cost-effective strategies in
- 24 adults reflect a gradual rule-in approach, involving two initial specific tests and a final all-

round test. Likewise, all the potentially cost-effective strategies in children reflect the rule-inrule-out approach, consisting in an initial specific test, a further sensitive test and a final allround test.

- zi iodila test.
- 28 In almost all scenario analyses, the ranks of the strategies remained unchanged in both
- children and adults, suggesting that there is a high likelihood that the strategies identified
- 30 were the most cost-effective. FeNO was a cost-effective initial test in adults only when its
- 31 specificity reaches the value of that of a blood eosinophils test.

4.2 Limitations and interpretation

2 This analysis had some limitations.

Firstly, diagnostic accuracy of tests in adults was estimated using RADicA study. Despite the alignment of inclusion and exclusion criteria with the clinical review protocol, the sample size was relatively small, with only 118 participants. This could potentially undermine the internal and external validity of the study, although the committee confirmed that the accuracy measured in RADicA met their expectations.

8 There was some uncertainty regarding the true accuracy of FeNO in the clinical review. A 9 few studies(Kowal, Bodzenta-Lukaszyk, Zukowski, 2009, Schneider, Brunn, Hapfelmeier, 10 Schultz, Kellerer, Jorres, 2022) using the same cut-off value of 50 ppb found a higher specificity (between 0.91 and 0.99) than the estimation derived from RADicA. Furthermore, in 11 12 the clinical review, the specificity of blood eosinophils was generally estimated to be lower 13 compared to RADicA, with only one study reporting(Nekoee, et al., 2020) a value above 0.9 14 in adults. Therefore, a threshold analysis on the specificity of FeNO was conducted, which 15 found that FeNO becomes a cost-effective initial test when its specificity approaches that of 16 blood eosinophils.

17 Not all the participants in RADicA had a recorded measurement in all tests. Specifically, there were several instances of missing values for PEFv, possibly attributable to poor patient 18 19 compliance. Similar gaps were observed in the context of methacholine and mannitol 20 challenge tests. When calculating the accuracy of a specific strategy, people who reached a 21 particular step but had a missing value for that test, were dropped from the analysis. This 22 may introduce bias if the likelihood of having a missing value is correlated with having or not 23 having asthma because, for instance, people may comply less with PEFv if they do not have 24 asthma symptoms. Therefore, strategies including PEFv, particularly at the first step, should 25 be interpreted with caution. Missing values for methacholine are less problematic as the test 26 was only included at the last step, which is not reached by the entire cohort. Though, this 27 could still introduce biases, particularly if the probability of having a missing value on 28 methacholine is associated with having or non-having asthma. Mannitol test has a significant 29 amount of missing values (50%) as the test was not mandatory and offered to participants 30 only after methacholine, at their discretion. Therefore, bronchial challenge test with mannitol was excluded in any base case analysis scenario. This should not represent a major 31 32 limitation of the analysis as the committee agreed that methacholine is more frequently used 33 in current clinical practice. Moreover, when mannitol was tested instead of methacholine, no 34 difference was wound in the relative cost-effectiveness of the strategies.

35 The accuracy of diagnostic tests in children was derived from the clinical review. The quality 36 across the studies included was variable with some exhibiting a very low quality. In particular, 37 the study(Drkulec, Nogalo, Perica, Plavec, Pezer, Turkalj, 2013) used to inform the 38 diagnostic accuracy of IgE and skin prick test was considered of very low quality as the 39 methods of participant selection and information on ICS use prior to study entry were not 40 provided. Likewise, the study(Zaczeniuk, Woicka-Kolejwa, Stelmach, Podlecka, Jerzynska, 41 Stelmach, 2015) used to derive the accuracy of a bronchial challenge test with methacholine 42 in children was deemed of very low quality due to unclear participant selection, interpretation 43 of the index test and reference standard and the flow and timing of patient through the 44 studies. However, no higher-quality studies were available and the committee agreed that 45 these limitations are not expected to significantly undermine the estimation of the diagnostic 46 accuracy.

This analysis used a multivariate probit model to estimate the accuracy of diagnostic tests in children. Probit regression is ideal to model dichotomous outcome, such as the binary results of a test: positive (1) or negative (0). Moreover, the use of multivariate regression allowed to estimate the results of the tests simultaneously, ensuring that the observed correlations in RADicA are maintained. Potential limitations of this methodology might be caused by the

1 underlying data used to simulate test results. In particular, the correlation matrix had non-2 statistically significant negative values, which were likely caused by the sample size of the 3 study. To mitigate potential biases, negative values were treated as statistical errors for 4 perfectly independent tests (correlation equal 0). Similarly, correlation between tests could 5 not be estimated for BDR and methacholine challenge tests in people without asthma, as 6 both exhibited perfect specificity. Therefore, correlation values in people without the disease 7 for these two tests was derived from people with the disease. This is not expected to 8 introduce significant biases as the rate agreement between tests results should be similar in 9 those with the disease and those without. 10 As anticipated, there is no study on the natural history of people with untreated asthma, so a

As anticipated, there is no study on the natural history of people with untreated asthma, so a proxy population was used to estimate exacerbation rates and excess mortality: people treated with PRN SABA only. This population exhibited the worst outcomes in the existing literature, as SABA is an effective reliever therapy but not particularly effective in preventing future exacerbations and hospitalisations. However, it is possible that the model is still underestimating negative health outcomes in people with untreated asthma, potentially leading to an underestimation of the cost-effective of strategies with higher sensitivity.

17 The model allows remission from asthma in the analyses conducted in children. This was 18 agreed by the committee, as in their clinical experience, around 50% of those who are diagnosed with asthma during their childhood, grow out of it. Although there are instances in 19 20 the real world of people exhibiting remitting-relapsing symptoms of asthma, no quantitative 21 evidence was identified. Therefore, the model assumes that upon achieving remission, 22 people are free of asthma symptoms for the entire duration of their life. Although this could 23 potentially lead to an underestimation of lifetime costs, it is not anticipated to introduce 24 significant biases as time of diagnosis is not expected to influence the likelihood of remission 25 or relapse.

26 Time dependency was built into the model for exacerbations, mortality and quality of life. 27 However, due to the lack of evidence, the same could not be done for time spent with a false 28 negative diagnosis or time spent waiting for a diagnosis while still in the diagnostic pathway. 29 The first could be problematic if time spent with untreated asthma is correlated with future 30 adverse outcomes occurring after the treatment is started, such as a more difficult to control 31 asthma or higher mortality. If this is the case, it is possible that the model is underestimating 32 the cost-effectiveness of strategies with a higher sensitivity. The latter is considered less 33 concerning, particularly for strategies with only three tests, as waiting time between tests is 34 not expected to be particularly long. However, this may not always apply, particularly for tests 35 such as FeNO, skin prick test or bronchial challenge that are unevenly distributed across the 36 country. In such cases, it is possible that the model is overestimating the cost-effectiveness 37 of strategies with a large use of FeNO, skin prick test or bronchial challenge test with 38 methacholine.

39 Finally, the model does not distinguish between atopic and non-atopic asthma. Atopic or 40 allergic asthma is more predominantly seen in young people, whereas late onset of the 41 disease is generally associated with non-atopic asthma(Gerday, et al., 2022). Diagnostic 42 tests measuring responsiveness to aeroallergens (skin prick test and IgE) or inflammation 43 (FeNO) are highly accurate in people with atopic asthma but less in those with non-atopic 44 asthma. In children, the model found that the most cost-effective algorithm includes FeNO, 45 skin prick test or IgE and blood eosinophils, all expected to perform poorly in children with 46 non-atopic asthma. Hence, although the algorithm is optimal for the majority of children with 47 atopic asthma, it may fail to detect children with non-atopic asthma. BDR, albeit not as cheap 48 as FeNO, measures lung airway's function, so it can identify children with atopic or non-49 atopic asthma alike. A strategy beginning with BDR instead of FeNO was found to be the fifth 50 most cost-effective algorithm in children. In adults, the most cost-effective strategy includes 51 BDR for those who tested negative for blood eosinophils. Therefore, the committee agreed

- 1 that adults with non-atopic asthma who were misdiagnosed by the blood test would be
- 2 detected once they undergo BDR testing.
- 3 Finally, although time dependency was built into the model for exacerbations, mortality and
- 4 quality of life, it could not be incorporated for time spent with a wrong diagnosis and time
- 5 spent in the diagnostic algorithm. The first could be problematic if time spent with untreated
- 6 asthma is correlated with future adverse outcomes once the treatment is started, such as a
- 7 higher mortality or increased risk of exacerbations.

4.3 Generalisability to other populations or settings

9 This analysis is based on people with symptoms suggestive of asthma who have not been 10 initiated to an ICS treatment yet. In reality, many of those who undertake an objective test for 11 asthma are already on an ICS treatment, which could impact the test results. Consequently, 12 the results of this analysis might not be applicable to those who are already on a treatment. 13 Likewise, smoking is a known factor affecting the results of tests, such as FeNO, and so 14 cautioun is advised when applying this strategy to people who smoke.

15 The current practice for diagnosing asthma in the UK often involves a "trial of treatment" 16 where people with asthma-like symptoms receive an ICS inhaler and the diagnosis is made 17 based on people's response to the treatment. This was considered highly inefficient by the 18 committee, as the natural "regression to the mean" of asthma-like symptoms implies that 19 most people would improve over time for reasons unrelated to the treatment. Therefore, a 20 trial of treatment strategy would likely result in a large number of false positive diagnoses. A 21 Canadian study from 2008 found that around 30% of people with a diagnosis of asthma did 22 not have the disease(Aaron, Vandemheen, Boulet, McIvor, FitzGerald, Hernandez, Lemiere, 23 Sharma, Field, Alvarez, Dales, Doucette, Fergusson, 2008). Likewise, Shaw and 24 colleagues(Shaw, Green, Berry, Mellor, Hargadon, Shelley, McKenna, Thomas, Pavord, 25 2012) found that one third of people with a diagnosis of asthma in the UK had normal 26 spirometry and provocation tests. This model found that strategies with low specificity are 27 unlikely to be cost-effective, so a "trial of treatment" strategy with a specificity around 60-70% 28 is very unlikely to be cost-effective.

This analysis is conducted from an English and Scottish perspective so it may not be
generalisable to other jurisdictions, particularly if costs of diagnostic tests and asthma
management differ across countries. Furthermore, the prevalence of asthma assumed in the
model was estimated using an UK study and may not reflect the prevalence in other

33 countries, particularly if there are variations in the criteria for referrals to diagnostic tests.

4.44 Comparisons with published studies

35 There are two relevant economic studies that look at the most cost-effective sequences or 36 combinations of tests to diagnose asthma. Harnan and colleagues conducted a systematic 37 review and developed an economic model to assess the cost-effectiveness of FeNO either 38 alone or together with other tests(Harnan, et al., 2015). The analysis found that FeNO plus 39 BDR was cost-effective compared to other tests alone, or to FeNO plus spirometry. This 40 aligns well with the results of the adult analysis, which found FeNO and BDR potentially cost-41 effective tests when included in a "gradual rule-in" strategy. Blood eosinophil was not 42 included in Harnan, so further comparisons are impossible. In children, FeNO was a cost-43 effective first test only if followed by a highly sensitive test, like skin prick test or IgE. 44 However, like with blood eosinophils, these two tests were not included in Harnan's. 45 NICE developed a health economic model in 2017 to assess the most cost-effective

- 46 sequence of tests to diagnose asthma in adults with respiratory symptoms (National Institute
- 47 for Health and Care Excellence, 2017). The model found that a strategy involving spirometry,
- 48 BDR, FeNO, PEFv and methacholine test was cost-effective. This in part aligns with the

- 1 findings of this model as the structure identified in the 2017 model resembles a "gradual rule-
- 2 in" approach. Whereas the 2017 model used committee's opinion to incorporate correlations
- 3 between tests, the current model is based on real individual patient data, so correlations are
- 4 naturally captured. This allowed to estimate a simpler strategy that is not only expected to be
- 5 cost-effective, but also more easily implementable, considering that the previous algorithm
- 6 attracted criticism due to its rigidity and high complexity.

4.5 Conclusions

- 8 This economic evaluation demonstrated that a "gradual rule-in" approach was the most cost-
- 9 effective strategy to diagnose asthma in adults. The most cost-effective sequence involved
- 10 testing all with blood eosinophils, then testing with BDR those who were negative to blood
- eosinophils and finally reserving methacholine challenge test for those who tested negative
- 12 to both.
- 13 The analysis found that a "rule-in-rule-out" approach was the most cost-effective in children.
- 14 The most cost-effective sequence involved testing all with FeNO, followed by testing with
- 15 skin prick test or IgE those who tested negative to FeNO and finally reserving methacholine
- 16 challenge test for those who were negative to FeNO but positive to skin prick test or IgE.
- 17

18
1 References

- Aaron SD, Vandemheen KL, Boulet L-P, et al. (2008) Overdiagnosis of asthma in obese and
 nonobese adults *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 179 (11): 1121-1131.
- Alava MH, Pudney S, Wailoo A. Estimating EQ-5D by age and sex for the UK: NICE DSU
 report. 2022. Available from: https://www.sheffield.ac.uk/nice-dsu/methods development/estimating-eq-5d Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
- Asthma and Lung UK. Investing in breath: Measuring the economic cost of asthma and
 COPD in the UK and identifying ways to reduce it through better diagnosis and care.
 2023. Available from: https://www.asthmaandlung.org.uk/investing-breath-measuring-
- 10 economic-cost-asthma-copd-uk-identifying-ways-reduce-it-through-
- better#:~:text=NHS%20costs%20account%20for%20approximately,2023%20is%20%
 C2%A31.5%20billion.&text=As%20shown%20in%20Figure%205,costs%20at%20%C
 2%A31.2%20billion
- Automeris. WebPlotDigitizer. Available from: https://automeris.io/WebPlotDigitizer.html Last
 accessed: 26/02/2024.
- Bateman ED, Reddel HK, O'Byrne PM, et al. (2018) As-Needed Budesonide-Formoterol
 versus Maintenance Budesonide in Mild Asthma New England Journal of Medicine
 378 (20): 1877-1887.
- 19 Beasley R, Holliday M, Reddel HK, et al. (2019) Controlled Trial of Budesonide-Formoterol 20 as Needed for Mild Asthma *New England Journal of Medicine* 380 (21): 2020-2030.
- Briggs A, Nasser S, Hammerby E, et al. (2021) The impact of moderate and severe asthma
 exacerbations on quality of life: a post hoc analysis of randomised controlled trial data
 Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes 5 (1): 6.
- British Lung Foundation. Asthma statistics. Available from: https://statistics.blf.org.uk/asthma
 Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
- 26 British Medical Association. Salaried GP pay ranges. 2024. Available from:
- 27 https://www.bma.org.uk/pay-and-contracts/pay/gp-pay/salaried-gp-pay-ranges
- Brouwer AF, Visser CA, Duiverman EJ, et al. (2010) Is home spirometry useful in diagnosing
 asthma in children with nonspecific respiratory symptoms? *Pediatric Pulmonology* 45
 (4): 326-332.
- 31 Cannon J, Cullinan P (2019) Skin prick testing *Occupational Medicine* 69 (4): 298-299.
- Chib S, Greenberg E (1998) Analysis of Multivariate Probit Models *Biometrika* 85 (2): 347-361.
- Chloe IB, Francis N, Ian JD, et al. (2018) Exacerbation risk and characterisation of the UK's asthma population from infants to old age *Thorax* 73 (4): 313.
- De Marco R, Locatelli F, Cerveri I, et al. (2002) Incidence and remission of asthma: a
 retrospective study on the natural history of asthma in Italy *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 110 (2): 228-235.
- 39 Drkulec V, Nogalo B, Perica M, et al. (2013) Sensitization profile in differential diagnosis:
- 40 allergic asthma vs. chronic (nonspecific) cough syndrome *Medical Science Monitor*41 19: 409-415.

1 2 3	Eom S-Y, Lee JK, Lee Y-J, et al. (2020) Combining spirometry and fractional exhaled nitric oxide improves diagnostic accuracy for childhood asthma <i>The clinical respiratory journal</i> 14 (1): 21-28.
4 5 6	Fleming M, Fitton CA, Steiner MFC, et al. (2019) Educational and health outcomes of children treated for asthma: Scotland-wide record linkage study of 683716 children <i>European Respiratory Journal</i> 54 (3): 1802309.
7 8	Fuchs O, Bahmer T, Rabe KF, et al. (2017) Asthma transition from childhood into adulthood <i>The Lancet Respiratory Medicine</i> 5 (3): 224-234.
9 10	Genders T, Hunink M (2009) Modeling the joint distribution of sensitivity and specificity <i>31st</i> Annual Meeting of the Society for Medical Decision Making, Rotterdam, Netherlands.
11 12 13	Gerday S, Schleich F, Henket M, et al. (2022) Revisiting differences between atopic and non- atopic asthmatics: When age is shaping airway inflammatory profile <i>World Allergy</i> <i>Organ J</i> 15 (6): 100655.
14 15 16	Guyot P, Ades AE, Ouwens MJNM, et al. (2012) Enhanced secondary analysis of survival data: reconstructing the data from published Kaplan-Meier survival curves <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> 12 (1): 9.
17 18 19	Harnan SE, Essat M, Gomersall T, et al. (2017) Exhaled nitric oxide in the diagnosis of asthma in adults: a systematic review <i>Clinical and experimental allergy : journal of the British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology</i> 47 (3): 410-429.
20 21 22 23	 Harnan SE, Tappenden P, Essat M, et al. (2015) Measurement of exhaled nitric oxide concentration in asthma: a systematic review and economic evaluation of NIOX MINO, NIOX VERO and NObreath <i>Health Technology Assessment (Winchester, England)</i> 19 (82): 1-330.
24 25 26	Horspool MJ, Julious SA, Boote J, et al. (2013) Preventing and lessening exacerbations of asthma in school-age children associated with a new term (PLEASANT): study protocol for a cluster randomised control trial <i>Trials</i> 14: 297.
27 28 29	Iribarren C, Tolstykh IV, Miller MK, et al. (2012) Adult asthma and risk of coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, and heart failure: a prospective study of 2 matched cohorts <i>American Journal of Epidemiology</i> 176 (11): 1014-1024.
30 31	Joint Formulary Committee. British National Formulary 2024. Available from: https://bnf.nice.org.uk/ Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
32 33	Jones K, Birch S, Dargan A, et al. Unit Costs of Health and Social Care 2022. Available from: https://www.pssru.ac.uk/unitcostsreport/ Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
34 35	Kowal K, Bodzenta-Lukaszyk A, Zukowski S (2009) Exhaled nitric oxide in evaluation of young adults with chronic cough <i>Journal of Asthma</i> 46 (7): 692-698.
36 37 38 39	Kua WS, Davis S. Systematic review of health state utilities in children with asthma. Health Economics & Decision Science (HEDS) Discussion Paper Series . School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield. 2016. Available from: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/102188/1/16.12.pdf
40 41	Lemmetyinen RE, Karjalainen JV, But A, et al. (2018) Higher mortality of adults with asthma: A 15-year follow-up of a population-based cohort <i>Allergy</i> 73 (7): 1479-1488.
42 43 44	Livnat G, Yoseph RB, Nir V, et al. (2015) Evaluation of high-sensitivity serum CRP levels compared to markers of airway inflammation and allergy as predictors of methacholine bronchial hyper-responsiveness in children <i>Lung</i> 193 (1): 39-45.

1	Medical World. Lancets Allergy With 0.9mm Tip Sterile x 200. Available from:
2	https://www.medical-world.co.uk/p/blood-testing/lancets/lancets-allergy-with-09mm-
3	tip-sterile-x-200/17106 Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
4	MicroDirect. MicroLab: Operating Manual. 2019. Available from: https://mdspiro.com/wp-
5	content/uploads/2020/04/ML3500-MicroLab-Operators-Manual.pdf
6	Murray C, Foden P, Lowe L, et al. (2017) Diagnosis of asthma in symptomatic children based
7	on measures of lung function: an analysis of data from a population-based birth
8	cohort study <i>The Lancet Child & adolescent health</i> 1 (2): 114-123.
9 10 11	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2017) Asthma: diagnosis and monitoring of asthma in adults, children and young people <i>National Institute for Health and Care Excellence</i> 11: 11.
12	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Developing NICE guidelines: the manual
13	London. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2014. Available from:
14	http://www.nice.org.uk/article/PMG20/chapter/1%20Introduction%20and%20overview
15 16	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Food allergy in under 19s: assessment and diagnosis CG116. 2011. Available from: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg116
17 18 19 20	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Position statement on use of the EQ-5D-5L value set for England (updated October 2019). 2019. Available from: https://www.nice.org.uk/about/what-we-do/our-programmes/nice-guidance/technology-appraisal-guidance/eq-5d-5l
21	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. What is the prevalence of asthma?
22	(Clinical Knowledge Summary). 2023. Available from:
23	https://cks.nice.org.uk/topics/asthma/background-information/prevalence/ Last
24	accessed: 26/02/2024.
25	National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Social value judgements: principles for
26	the development of NICE guidance. London. National Institute for Health and Clinical
27	Excellence, 2008. Available from: https://www.nice.org.uk/media/default/about/what-
28	we-do/research-and-development/social-value-judgements-principles-for-the-
29	development-of-nice-guidance.pdf
30	NCSS Statistical Software. Survival Parameter Conversion Tool. 2024. Available from:
31	https://www.ncss.com/wp-
32	content/themes/ncss/pdf/Procedures/PASS/Survival_Parameter_Conversion_Tool.pd
33	f
34 35	Nekoee H, Graulich E, Schleich F, et al. (2020) Are type-2 biomarkers of any help in asthma diagnosis? <i>ERJ Open Res</i> 6 (2).
36	NHS Business Services Authority. Prescription cost analysis, England - 2020/21. 2021.
37	Available from: https://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/statistical-collections/prescription-cost-
38	analysis-england/prescription-cost-analysis-england-202021 Last accessed:
39	NHS Digital. Health Survey for England 2018 [NS]. 2019. Available from:
40	https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/health-survey-for-
41	england/2018
42	NHS England. 2021/22 National Cost Collection data. 2022. Available from:
43	https://www.england.nhs.uk/costing-in-the-nhs/national-cost-collection/ Last
44	accessed: 26/02/2024.

1 2 3	NHS Health Research Authority. To determine the optimum series of investigations to diagnose asthma. 2019. Available from: https://www.hra.nhs.uk/planning-and-improving-research/application-summaries/research-summaries/radica/
4 5	NHS Supply Chain Catalogue. NHS Supply Chain, 2022. Available from: http://www.supplychain.nhs.uk/
6 7 8	Novielli N, Cooper NJ, Sutton AJ (2013) Evaluating the Cost-Effectiveness of Diagnostic Tests in Combination: Is It Important to Allow for Performance Dependency? <i>Value in</i> <i>Health</i> 16 (4): 536-541.
9 10 11 12 13	Office for National Statistics. Death registration summary statistics, England and Wales: 2022. 2023. Available from: https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/de aths/articles/deathregistrationsummarystatisticsenglandandwales/2022 Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
14 15 16 17	Office for National Statistics. National Life Tables - life expectancy in the UK: 2018 to 2020. 2021. Available from: https://www.ons.gov.uk/releases/nationallifetableslifeexpectancyintheuk2018to2020 Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
18 19	Pakhale S, Sumner A, Coyle D, et al. (2011) (Correcting) misdiagnoses of asthma: a cost effectiveness analysis <i>BMC Pulmonary Medicine</i> 11 (1): 27.
20 21 22	Porpodis K, Domvri K, Kontakiotis T, et al. (2017) Comparison of diagnostic validity of mannitol and methacholine challenges and relationship to clinical status and airway inflammation in steroid-naïve asthmatic patients <i>Journal of Asthma</i> 54 (5): 520-529.
23 24 25 26	Reddel HK, Taylor DR, Bateman ED, et al. (2009) An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: asthma control and exacerbations: standardizing endpoints for clinical asthma trials and clinical practice <i>American</i> <i>Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine</i> 180 (1): 59-99.
27 28 29	Schneider A, Brunn B, Hapfelmeier A, et al. (2022) Diagnostic accuracy of FeNO in asthma and predictive value for inhaled corticosteroid responsiveness: A prospective, multicentre study <i>EClinicalMedicine</i> 50: 101533.
30 31 32	Schneider A, Wagenpfeil G, Jorres RA, et al. (2015) Influence of the practice setting on diagnostic prediction rules using FENO measurement in combination with clinical signs and symptoms of asthma <i>BMJ Open</i> 5 (11): e009676.
33 34 35	Shaw D, Green R, Berry M, et al. (2012) A cross-sectional study of patterns of airway dysfunction, symptoms and morbidity in primary care asthma <i>Primary Care Respiratory Journal: Journal of the General Practice Airways Group</i> 21 (3): 283-287.
36 37	StataCorp. Stata 13: Statistical software. 2023. Available from: https://www.stata.com/ Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
38 39	Suissa S, Ernst P, Benayoun S, et al. (2000) Low-Dose Inhaled Corticosteroids and the Prevention of Death from Asthma <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> 343 (5): 332-336.
40 41	van Hout B, Janssen MF, Feng YS, et al. (2012) Interim scoring for the EQ-5D-5L: mapping the EQ-5D-5L to EQ-5D-3L value sets <i>Value in Health</i> 15 (5): 708-715.
42 43	Wei Y, Royston P (2017) Reconstructing Time-to-event Data from Published Kaplan–Meier Curves <i>The Stata Journal</i> 17 (4): 786-802.

1 2 3	Willems DC, Joore MA, Hendriks JJ, et al. (2007) Cost-effectiveness of a nurse-led telemonitoring intervention based on peak expiratory flow measurements in asthmatics: results of a randomised controlled trial <i>Cost Eff Resour Alloc</i> 5: 10.
4 5	Woo SI, Lee JH, Kim H, et al. (2012) Utility of fractional exhaled nitric oxide (F(E)NO) measurements in diagnosing asthma <i>Respiratory Medicine</i> 106 (8): 1103-1109.
6 7 8 9	Zaczeniuk M, Woicka-Kolejwa K, Stelmach W, et al. (2015) Methacholine challenge testing is superior to the exercise challenge for detecting asthma in children <i>Annals of allergy,</i> <i>asthma & immunology : official publication of the American College of Allergy,</i> <i>Asthma, & Immunology</i> 115 (6): 481-484.
10	
11	
12	

© NICE 2024. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

- Aaron SD, Vandemheen KL, Boulet L-P, et al. (2008) Overdiagnosis of asthma in obese and nonobese adults *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 179 (11): 1121-1131.
- Alava MH, Pudney S, Wailoo A. Estimating EQ-5D by age and sex for the UK: NICE DSU report. 2022. Available from: https://www.sheffield.ac.uk/nice-dsu/methods-development/estimating-eq-5d Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
- Asthma and Lung UK. Investing in breath: Measuring the economic cost of asthma and COPD in the UK and identifying ways to reduce it through better diagnosis and care. 2023. Available from: https://www.asthmaandlung.org.uk/investing-breath-measuringeconomic-cost-asthma-copd-uk-identifying-ways-reduce-it-throughbetter#:~:text=NHS%20costs%20account%20for%20approximately,2023%20is%20% C2%A31.5%20billion.&text=As%20shown%20in%20Figure%205,costs%20at%20%C 2%A31.2%20billion
- Automeris. WebPlotDigitizer. Available from: https://automeris.io/WebPlotDigitizer.html Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
- Bateman ED, Reddel HK, O'Byrne PM, et al. (2018) As-Needed Budesonide-Formoterol versus Maintenance Budesonide in Mild Asthma *New England Journal of Medicine* 378 (20): 1877-1887.
- Beasley R, Holliday M, Reddel HK, et al. (2019) Controlled Trial of Budesonide-Formoterol as Needed for Mild Asthma *New England Journal of Medicine* 380 (21): 2020-2030.
- Briggs A, Nasser S, Hammerby E, et al. (2021) The impact of moderate and severe asthma exacerbations on quality of life: a post hoc analysis of randomised controlled trial data *Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes* 5 (1): 6.
- British Lung Foundation. Asthma statistics. Available from: https://statistics.blf.org.uk/asthma Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
- British Medical Association. Salaried GP pay ranges. 2024. Available from: https://www.bma.org.uk/pay-and-contracts/pay/gp-pay/salaried-gp-pay-ranges
- Brouwer AF, Visser CA, Duiverman EJ, et al. (2010) Is home spirometry useful in diagnosing asthma in children with nonspecific respiratory symptoms? *Pediatric Pulmonology* 45 (4): 326-332.
- Cannon J, Cullinan P (2019) Skin prick testing Occupational Medicine 69 (4): 298-299.
- Chib S, Greenberg E (1998) Analysis of Multivariate Probit Models *Biometrika* 85 (2): 347-361.
- Chloe IB, Francis N, Ian JD, et al. (2018) Exacerbation risk and characterisation of the UK's asthma population from infants to old age *Thorax* 73 (4): 313.
- De Marco R, Locatelli F, Cerveri I, et al. (2002) Incidence and remission of asthma: a retrospective study on the natural history of asthma in Italy *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 110 (2): 228-235.
- Drkulec V, Nogalo B, Perica M, et al. (2013) Sensitization profile in differential diagnosis: allergic asthma vs. chronic (nonspecific) cough syndrome *Medical Science Monitor* 19: 409-415.

- Eom S-Y, Lee JK, Lee Y-J, et al. (2020) Combining spirometry and fractional exhaled nitric oxide improves diagnostic accuracy for childhood asthma *The clinical respiratory journal* 14 (1): 21-28.
- Fleming M, Fitton CA, Steiner MFC, et al. (2019) Educational and health outcomes of children treated for asthma: Scotland-wide record linkage study of 683716 children *European Respiratory Journal* 54 (3): 1802309.
- Fuchs O, Bahmer T, Rabe KF, et al. (2017) Asthma transition from childhood into adulthood *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine* 5 (3): 224-234.
- Genders T, Hunink M (2009) Modeling the joint distribution of sensitivity and specificity 31st Annual Meeting of the Society for Medical Decision Making, Rotterdam, Netherlands.
- Gerday S, Schleich F, Henket M, et al. (2022) Revisiting differences between atopic and nonatopic asthmatics: When age is shaping airway inflammatory profile *World Allergy Organ J* 15 (6): 100655.
- Guyot P, Ades AE, Ouwens MJNM, et al. (2012) Enhanced secondary analysis of survival data: reconstructing the data from published Kaplan-Meier survival curves *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 12 (1): 9.
- Harnan SE, Essat M, Gomersall T, et al. (2017) Exhaled nitric oxide in the diagnosis of asthma in adults: a systematic review *Clinical and experimental allergy : journal of the British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 47 (3): 410-429.
- Harnan SE, Tappenden P, Essat M, et al. (2015) Measurement of exhaled nitric oxide concentration in asthma: a systematic review and economic evaluation of NIOX MINO, NIOX VERO and NObreath *Health Technology Assessment (Winchester, England)* 19 (82): 1-330.
- Horspool MJ, Julious SA, Boote J, et al. (2013) Preventing and lessening exacerbations of asthma in school-age children associated with a new term (PLEASANT): study protocol for a cluster randomised control trial *Trials* 14: 297.
- Iribarren C, Tolstykh IV, Miller MK, et al. (2012) Adult asthma and risk of coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, and heart failure: a prospective study of 2 matched cohorts *American Journal of Epidemiology* 176 (11): 1014-1024.
- Joint Formulary Committee. British National Formulary 2024. Available from: https://bnf.nice.org.uk/ Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
- Jones K, Birch S, Dargan A, et al. Unit Costs of Health and Social Care 2022. Available from: https://www.pssru.ac.uk/unitcostsreport/ Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
- Kowal K, Bodzenta-Lukaszyk A, Zukowski S (2009) Exhaled nitric oxide in evaluation of young adults with chronic cough *Journal of Asthma* 46 (7): 692-698.
- Kua WS, Davis S. Systematic review of health state utilities in children with asthma. Health Economics & Decision Science (HEDS) Discussion Paper Series . School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield. 2016. Available from: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/102188/1/16.12.pdf
- Lemmetyinen RE, Karjalainen JV, But A, et al. (2018) Higher mortality of adults with asthma: A 15-year follow-up of a population-based cohort *Allergy* 73 (7): 1479-1488.
- Livnat G, Yoseph RB, Nir V, et al. (2015) Evaluation of high-sensitivity serum CRP levels compared to markers of airway inflammation and allergy as predictors of methacholine bronchial hyper-responsiveness in children *Lung* 193 (1): 39-45.

- Medical World. Lancets Allergy With 0.9mm Tip Sterile x 200. Available from: https://www.medical-world.co.uk/p/blood-testing/lancets/lancets-allergy-with-09mmtip-sterile-x-200/17106 Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
- MicroDirect. MicroLab: Operating Manual. 2019. Available from: https://mdspiro.com/wpcontent/uploads/2020/04/ML3500-MicroLab-Operators-Manual.pdf
- Murray C, Foden P, Lowe L, et al. (2017) Diagnosis of asthma in symptomatic children based on measures of lung function: an analysis of data from a population-based birth cohort study *The Lancet Child & adolescent health* 1 (2): 114-123.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2017) Asthma: diagnosis and monitoring of asthma in adults, children and young people *National Institute for Health and Care Excellence* 11: 11.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Developing NICE guidelines: the manual. . London. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2014. Available from: http://www.nice.org.uk/article/PMG20/chapter/1%20Introduction%20and%20overview
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Food allergy in under 19s: assessment and diagnosis CG116. 2011. Available from: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg116
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Position statement on use of the EQ-5D-5L value set for England (updated October 2019). 2019. Available from: https://www.nice.org.uk/about/what-we-do/our-programmes/niceguidance/technology-appraisal-guidance/eq-5d-5l
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. What is the prevalence of asthma? (Clinical Knowledge Summary). 2023. Available from: https://cks.nice.org.uk/topics/asthma/background-information/prevalence/ Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Social value judgements: principles for the development of NICE guidance. London. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, 2008. Available from: https://www.nice.org.uk/media/default/about/whatwe-do/research-and-development/social-value-judgements-principles-for-thedevelopment-of-nice-guidance.pdf
- NCSS Statistical Software. Survival Parameter Conversion Tool. 2024. Available from: https://www.ncss.com/wpcontent/themes/ncss/pdf/Procedures/PASS/Survival_Parameter_Conversion_Tool.pd f
- Nekoee H, Graulich E, Schleich F, et al. (2020) Are type-2 biomarkers of any help in asthma diagnosis? *ERJ Open Res* 6 (2).
- NHS Business Services Authority. Prescription cost analysis, England 2020/21. 2021. Available from: https://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/statistical-collections/prescription-costanalysis-england/prescription-cost-analysis-england-202021 Last accessed:
- NHS Digital. Health Survey for England 2018 [NS]. 2019. Available from: https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/health-survey-forengland/2018
- NHS England. 2021/22 National Cost Collection data. 2022. Available from: https://www.england.nhs.uk/costing-in-the-nhs/national-cost-collection/ Last accessed: 26/02/2024.

- NHS Health Research Authority. To determine the optimum series of investigations to diagnose asthma. 2019. Available from: https://www.hra.nhs.uk/planning-and-improving-research/application-summaries/research-summaries/radica/
- NHS Supply Chain Catalogue. NHS Supply Chain, 2022. Available from: http://www.supplychain.nhs.uk/
- Novielli N, Cooper NJ, Sutton AJ (2013) Evaluating the Cost-Effectiveness of Diagnostic Tests in Combination: Is It Important to Allow for Performance Dependency? *Value in Health* 16 (4): 536-541.
- Office for National Statistics. Death registration summary statistics, England and Wales: 2022. 2023. Available from: https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/de aths/articles/deathregistrationsummarystatisticsenglandandwales/2022 Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
- Office for National Statistics. National Life Tables life expectancy in the UK: 2018 to 2020. 2021. Available from: https://www.ons.gov.uk/releases/nationallifetableslifeexpectancyintheuk2018to2020 Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
- Pakhale S, Sumner A, Coyle D, et al. (2011) (Correcting) misdiagnoses of asthma: a cost effectiveness analysis *BMC Pulmonary Medicine* 11 (1): 27.
- Porpodis K, Domvri K, Kontakiotis T, et al. (2017) Comparison of diagnostic validity of mannitol and methacholine challenges and relationship to clinical status and airway inflammation in steroid-naïve asthmatic patients *Journal of Asthma* 54 (5): 520-529.
- Reddel HK, Taylor DR, Bateman ED, et al. (2009) An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: asthma control and exacerbations: standardizing endpoints for clinical asthma trials and clinical practice *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 180 (1): 59-99.
- Schneider A, Brunn B, Hapfelmeier A, et al. (2022) Diagnostic accuracy of FeNO in asthma and predictive value for inhaled corticosteroid responsiveness: A prospective, multicentre study *EClinicalMedicine* 50: 101533.
- Schneider A, Wagenpfeil G, Jorres RA, et al. (2015) Influence of the practice setting on diagnostic prediction rules using FENO measurement in combination with clinical signs and symptoms of asthma *BMJ Open* 5 (11): e009676.
- Shaw D, Green R, Berry M, et al. (2012) A cross-sectional study of patterns of airway dysfunction, symptoms and morbidity in primary care asthma *Primary Care Respiratory Journal: Journal of the General Practice Airways Group* 21 (3): 283-287.
- StataCorp. Stata 13: Statistical software. 2023. Available from: https://www.stata.com/ Last accessed: 26/02/2024.
- Suissa S, Ernst P, Benayoun S, et al. (2000) Low-Dose Inhaled Corticosteroids and the Prevention of Death from Asthma *New England Journal of Medicine* 343 (5): 332-336.
- van Hout B, Janssen MF, Feng YS, et al. (2012) Interim scoring for the EQ-5D-5L: mapping the EQ-5D-5L to EQ-5D-3L value sets *Value in Health* 15 (5): 708-715.
- Wei Y, Royston P (2017) Reconstructing Time-to-event Data from Published Kaplan–Meier Curves *The Stata Journal* 17 (4): 786-802.

- Willems DC, Joore MA, Hendriks JJ, et al. (2007) Cost-effectiveness of a nurse-led telemonitoring intervention based on peak expiratory flow measurements in asthmatics: results of a randomised controlled trial *Cost Eff Resour Alloc* 5: 10.
- Woo SI, Lee JH, Kim H, et al. (2012) Utility of fractional exhaled nitric oxide (F(E)NO) measurements in diagnosing asthma *Respiratory Medicine* 106 (8): 1103-1109.
- Zaczeniuk M, Woicka-Kolejwa K, Stelmach W, et al. (2015) Methacholine challenge testing is superior to the exercise challenge for detecting asthma in children *Annals of allergy, asthma & immunology : official publication of the American College of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology* 115 (6): 481-484.