NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Pembrolizumab for untreated PD-L1 positive non-small-cell lung cancer with at least 1% tumour proportion score ID1247

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company Merck Sharp & Dohme (pembrolizumab) Patient/carer groups Black Health Agency British Lung Foundation Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Muslim Council of Britain Roy Castle Lung Cancer Foundation South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care UK Lung Cancer Coalition Professional groups Association of Anaesthetists Association of Respiratory Nurse Specialists Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland British Geriatrics Society British Psychosocial Oncology Society	 General All Wales Therapeutic and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee Possible comparator companies Accord Healthcare (cisplatin, carboplatin, docetaxel, gemcitabine, paclitaxel) Actavis UK (docetaxel, gemcitabine, paclitaxel, vinorelbine, pemetrexed) Celgene (paclitaxel) Dr Reddy's Laboratories (docetaxel,
 British Thoracic Oncology Group British Thoracic Society Cancer Research UK National Lung Cancer Forum for Nurses Primary Care Respiratory Society UK 	 pemetrexed) Hospira UK (cisplatin, carboplatin, docetaxel, gemcitabine, paclitaxel) Lilly UK (gemcitabine, pemetrexed) Medac GmbH (docetaxel, paclitaxel,

Provisional matrix for the single technology appraisal of pembrolizumab for untreated PD-L1 positive non-small-cell lung cancer with at least 1% tumour proportion score ID1247. Issue date: November 2017 © National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2017. All rights reserved.

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) vinorelbine) Royal College of Anaesthetists Pierre Fabre (vinorelbine) Royal College of General Practitioners Sun Pharma (gemcitabine) Royal College of Nursing Sandoz (cisplatin) Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Sanofi (docetaxel) Seacross pharmaceuticals Royal College of Radiologists (docetaxel) Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Relevant research groups Society and College of Radiographers Cochrane Lung Cancer Group **UK Clinical Pharmacy Association** Institute of Cancer Research **UK Health Forum** MRC Clinical Trials Unit **UK Oncology Nursing Society** National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network Others National Institute for Health Research Department of Health NHS England Associated Public Health Groups NHS North East Hampshire and Public Health England Farnham CCG Public Health Wales NHS Swale CCG Welsh Government

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.