NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Apalutamide for treating localised hormone-relapsed prostate cancer ID1174

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Company Janssen (apalutamide) Patient/carer groups Black Health Agency Bob Champion Cancer Trust Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Muslim Council of Britain Orchid Pelican Cancer Foundation PCaSO - Prostate Cancer Prostate Cancer UK 	 All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services
 Prostate Help Association South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tackle Prostate Cancer Tenovus Cancer Care Professional groups Association of Anaesthetists Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland British Association of Urological Nurses British Association of Urological Surgeons British Geriatrics Society 	Possible comparator companies

Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of apalutamide for treating localised hormonerelapsed prostate cancer ID1174 Issue date: August 2018

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) British Institute of Radiology • Takeda UK (leuprorelin) **British Prostate Group** Wockhardt UK (cyproterone acetate) British Psychosocial Oncology • Zentiva (bicalutamide) Society British Uro-Oncology Group Relevant research groups Cochrane Urology Cancer Research UK Everyman Prostate Cancer Advisory group • Royal College of Anaesthetists Institute of Cancer Research Royal College of General MRC Clinical Trials Unit **Practitioners** National Cancer Research Institute Royal College of Nursing National Cancer Research Network Royal College of Pathologists National Institute for Health Research Royal College of Physicians Ovarian & Prostate Cancer Research Royal College of Radiologists Trust Royal College of Surgeons Pro Cancer Research Fund **Prostate Cancer Research Centre** Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Associated Public Health groups Society and College of Public Health England Radiographers Public Health Wales • UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum **UK Oncology Nursing Society** Urology Foundation Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS North East Hampshire and Farnham CCG NHS Swale CCG Welsh Government

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of apalutamide for treating localised hormonerelapsed prostate cancer ID1174 Issue date: August 2018

Appendix C

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland;; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of apalutamide for treating localised hormone-relapsed prostate cancer ID1174 Issue date: August 2018

¹ Non company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.