NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Nivolumab with ipilimumab for adjuvant treatment of completely resected stage III or IV melanoma ID1610

Provisional stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
CompanyBristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals (nivolumab)	General All Wales Therapeutic and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation
Patient/carer groups Black Health Agency British Skin Foundation Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer 52 Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Melanoma Focus Melanoma UK Muslim Council of Britain OcuMelUK Skcin - Karen Clifford Skin Cancer Charity South Asian Health Foundation	 Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Public Health Wales NHS Trust Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services
Specialised Healthcare AllianceTenovus Cancer CareProfessional groups	Committee Possible comparator companies Novartis Pharmaceuticals (dabrafenib and
 Association of Cancer Physicians British Association of Dermatologists British Association of Skin Cancer Specialist Nurses British Association of Surgical Oncology British Dermatological Nursing Group British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Skin Foundation Cancer Research UK Melanoma Focus Primary Care Dermatology Society Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners 	trametinib) Relevant research groups British Society for Dermatological Surgery Cochrane Skin Group Genomics England Institute of Cancer Research Melanoma Fund MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Skin Cancer Research Fund Skin Treatment & Research Trust
Royal College of Nursing	Associated Public Health Groups

Provisional stakeholder list for the technology appraisal of nivolumab with ipilimumab for adjuvant treatment of completely resected stage III or IV melanoma ID1610 Issue date: September 2019 © National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2019. All rights reserved. Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society 	 Public Health England Public Health Wales
Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS Bradford District CCG NHS Brent CCG NHS England Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.