NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Pexidartinib for treating symptomatic tenosynovial giant cell tumour ID1682

Provisional stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
Oonsuitees	appeal)
Company	General
Daiichi Sankyo (pexidartinib)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Ballotti Garittyo (poxidarania)	All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology
Patient/carer groups	Centre
Arthritis and Musculoskeletal Alliance	Board of Community Health Councils in
Muslim Council of Britain	Wales
Sarcoma UK	British National Formulary
 South Asian Health Foundation 	Care Quality Commission
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Department of Health, Social Services
ο μ	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Professional groups	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
British Institute of Musculoskeletal	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Medicine	Regulatory Agency
 British Orthopaedic Association 	National Association of Primary Care
British Pain Society	National Pharmacy Association
 British Society of Rheumatology 	NHS Alliance
Royal College of General Practitioners	NHS Confederation
Royal College of Nursing	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Royal College of Pathologists	Welsh Health Specialised Services
Royal College of Physicians	Committee
Royal College of Radiologists	
Royal College of Surgeons	Possible comparator companies
Royal Pharmaceutical Society	None
Royal Society of Medicine	
UK Clinical Pharmacy Association	Relevant research groups
,	Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group
<u>Others</u>	Genomics England
Department of Health and Social Care	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
NHS Airedale, Wharfedale and	National Institute for Health Research
Craven CCG	Orthopaedic Research UK
NHS England	Pain Relief Foundation
NHS South Cheshire CCG	The Society for Research in
Welsh Government	Rehabilitation
	Wellcome Trust
	Associated Public Health Groups
	Public Health England
	Public Health Wales
	- I apilo i louiui vvalos

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary).

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

-

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.