

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Health Technology Appraisal

Nivolumab with ipilimumab for untreated unresectable metastatic oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma ID1629

Provisional Stakeholder List

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Company</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals Ltd (Nivolumab, ipilimumab) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Black Health Agency • Cancer 52 • Cancer Black Care • Cancer Equality • GIST Cancer UK • GUTS UK • Helen Rollason Cancer Charity • Independent Cancer Patients Voice • Macmillan Cancer Support • Maggie's Centres • Marie Curie • Ochre • Oesophageal Patients Association • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance • Tenovus Cancer Care <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of Cancer Physicians • Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland • Association of Upper Gastrointestinal Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland • British Association of Surgical Oncology • British Geriatrics Society • British Institute of Radiology • British Psychosocial Oncology Society • British Society of Gastroenterology • Cancer Research UK • Primary Care Society for Gastroenterology • Royal College of Anaesthetists • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre • Allied Health Professionals Federation • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Alliance • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium • Scottish Society of Gastroenterology • Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee <p><u>Possible comparator companies</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accord Healthcare (capecitabine, cisplatin, epirubicin, fluorouracil, oxaliplatin) • Consilient Health (fluorouracil) • Dr Reddy's Laboratories (capecitabine) • Fresenius Kabi (oxaliplatin) • Glenmark Pharmaceuticals Europe (capecitabine) • Hospira UK (cisplatin, fluorouracil, oxaliplatin) • Medac GmbH (epirubicin, fluorouracil, oxaliplatin) • Merck Sharp & Dohme (UK) (pembrolizumab) • Morningside Healthcare (capecitabine) • Mylan (capecitabine, epirubicin) • Pfizer (cisplatin, epirubicin, fluorouracil, oxaliplatin)

Provisional stakeholder list for the technology appraisal of nivolumab with ipilimumab for untreated unresectable metastatic oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma ID1629

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Royal College of Physicians • Royal College of Radiologists • Royal College of Surgeons • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine • Society and College of Radiographers • UK Clinical Pharmacy Association • UK Oncology Nursing Society <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health and Social Care • NHS Doncaster CCG • NHS England • NHS Surrey Heath CCG • Welsh Government 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Roche (capecitabine) • Sandoz (cisplatin, oxaliplatin) • Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Europe (oxaliplatin) • Teva (cisplatin) • Zentiva (capecitabine) <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cochrane Upper Gastrointestinal and Pancreatic Diseases Group • Genomics England • Institute of Cancer Research • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Cancer Research Institute • National Cancer Research Network • National Institute for Health Research • Oracle Cancer Trust <p><u>Associated Public Health groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Health England • Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary).

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.