NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Health Technology Evaluation

Selpercatinib for previously treated RET fusion-positive advanced non-smallcell lung cancer (MA review of TA760) [ID6293]

Final scope

Remit/evaluation objective

To appraise the clinical and cost effectiveness of selpercatinib within its marketing authorisation for treating previously treated RET fusion-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer.

Background

Lung cancer falls into two main histological categories: around 85 – 90% are non-small-cell lung cancers (NSCLC) and the remainder are small-cell lung cancers¹. NSCLC can be further classified into squamous cell carcinoma and non-squamous cell carcinoma. Approximately 70% of NSCLC are of non-squamous histology and can be either large-cell undifferentiated carcinoma or adenocarcinoma². Most lung cancers are diagnosed at an advanced stage when the cancer has spread to lymph nodes and other organs in the chest (locally advanced disease; stage III) or to other parts of the body (metastatic disease; stage IV). In 2018, 39,754 people were diagnosed with NSCLC in England & Wales, and around 61% had stage IIIB or stage IV disease³. Rearranged during transfection (RET) fusion-positive tumours occur in 1-2% of NSCLC and are more commonly found in people who are younger than 60 years, former light smokers or those who have never smoked⁴.

Around a third of people with lung cancer survive for more than 1 year after diagnosis, however this is reduced to a fifth of people diagnosed at stage IV³. At advanced stage (III and IV) NSCLC treatment aims to control the cancer for as long as possible and help with symptoms. Treatment generally includes chemotherapy, targeted drugs, radiotherapy and symptom control treatment. Treatment choices are influenced by the presence of biological markers (such as mutations in epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase [EGFR-TK], anaplastic-lymphoma-kinase [ALK] or PD-L1 status), histology (squamous or non-squamous) and previous treatment experience. There are specific NICE treatment pathways for cancers positive for EGFR-TK, ALK or ROS-1 gene mutations. RET fusion status is included as part of a genetic testing panel for all people with non-squamous NSCLC and people with squamous NSCLC whose cancer are more likely to have a targetable mutation. But this may not include all people with RET fusion-positive NSCLC. People with unconfirmed RET fusion-positive advanced NSCLC would therefore follow the standard NSCLC treatment pathway.

For locally advanced, metastatic or locally recurrent non-small-cell lung cancer of adenocarcinoma histology that has progressed after first-line chemotherapy NICE technology appraisal 347 recommends nintedanib in combination with docetaxel.

For locally advanced or metastatic PD-L1-positive non-small-cell lung cancer in adults who have had at least one chemotherapy (and targeted treatment if they have an epidermal growth factor receptor [EGFR]- or anaplastic lymphoma kinase [ALK]-positive tumour) NICE technology appraisal 428 recommends pembrolizumab.

Final scope for the evaluation of selpercatinib for previously treated RET fusion-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer

Issue Date: February 2024

For locally advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer who have had chemotherapy (and targeted treatment if they have an EGFR- or ALK-positive tumour) NICE technology appraisal guidance 520 recommends atezolizumab.

For locally advanced or metastatic squamous non-small-cell lung cancer who have had chemotherapy who have not previously been treated with a PD-L1 inhibitor NICE technology appraisal 655 recommends nivolumab.

For locally advanced or metastatic PD-L1 positive non-squamous non-small-cell lung cancer who have had chemotherapy who have not previously been treated with a PD-L1 inhibitor NICE technology appraisal 713 recommends nivolumab.

The technology

Selpercatinib (Retsevmo, Eli Lilly) has a marketing authorisation in the UK for treating adults with RET fusion-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer that is previously untreated with a RET inhibitor.

Intervention(s)	Selpercatinib
Population(s)	Adults with RET fusion-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer that has been previously treated but has not been treated with a RET inhibitor
Subgroups	If the evidence allows the following subgroups will be considered: • tumour histology (squamous or non-squamous), and
	level of PD-L1 expression
Comparators	For people with squamous cancer previously treated with platinum doublet chemotherapy:
	atezolizumabdocetaxelnivolumab
	For people with PD-L1 positive squamous cancer previously treated with platinum doublet chemotherapy:
	pembrolizumab
	For people with squamous cancer previously treated with pembrolizumab with carboplatin and paclitaxel:
	docetaxel
	For people with squamous cancer previously treated with pembrolizumab or atezolizumab monotherapy:
	platinum doublet chemotherapy
	For people with non-squamous cancer previously treated with platinum doublet chemotherapy or pemetrexed and carboplatin or cisplatin:
	atezolizumab

- docetaxel
- · docetaxel and nintedanib

For people with PD-L1 positive non-squamous cancer previously treated with platinum doublet chemotherapy or pemetrexed and carboplatin or cisplatin:

- nivolumab
- pembrolizumab

For people with non-squamous cancer previously treated with pembrolizumab with pemetrexed and platinum chemotherapy or atezolizumab with bevacizumab, carboplatin and paclitaxel:

- docetaxel
- docetaxel and nintedanib

For people with non-squamous cancer previously treated with pembrolizumab or atezolizumab monotherapy:

- docetaxel
- docetaxel and nintedanib
- pemetrexed and carboplatin
- pemetrexed and cisplatin
- platinum doublet chemotherapy

Outcomes

The outcome measures to be considered include:

- overall survival
- progression free survival
- response rate
- time to treatment discontinuation
- adverse effects of treatment
- health-related quality of life

Economic analysis

The reference case stipulates that the cost effectiveness of treatments should be expressed in terms of incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year.

The reference case stipulates that the time horizon for estimating clinical and cost effectiveness should be sufficiently long to reflect any differences in costs or outcomes between the technologies being compared.

Costs will be considered from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective.

The availability of any commercial arrangements for the intervention, comparator and subsequent treatment technologies will be taken into account.

The use of selpercatinib in NSCLC is conditional on the presence of RET gene fusion. The economic modelling should include the costs associated with diagnostic testing for

Final scope for the evaluation of selpercatinib for previously treated RET fusion-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer
Issue Date: February 2024 Page

Other considerations	RET in people with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer who would not otherwise have been tested. A sensitivity analysis should be provided without the cost of the diagnostic test. See section 4.8 of the guidance development manual (available here: https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg36/chapter/introduction-to-health-technology-evaluation). Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation Where the wording of the therapeutic indication does not include specific treatment combinations, guidance will be issued only in the context of the evidence that has underpinned the marketing authorisation granted by the regulator.
Related NICE recommendations	Related technology appraisals: Nintedanib for previously treated locally advanced,
	metastatic, or locally recurrent non-small-cell lung cancer (2015) NICE technology appraisal guidance 347.
	Pembrolizumab for treating PD-L1-positive non-small-cell lung cancer after chemotherapy (2017) NICE technology appraisal guidance 428.
	Atezolizumab for treating locally advanced or metastatic non- small-cell lung cancer after chemotherapy (2018) NICE technology appraisal guidance 520.
	Nivolumab for advanced squamous non-small-cell lung cancer after chemotherapy (2020) NICE technology appraisal guidance 655.
	Nivolumab for advanced non-squamous non-small-cell lung cancer after chemotherapy (2021) NICE technology appraisal guidance 713.
	Selpercatinib for untreated RET fusion-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (2023) NICE technology appraisal 911.
	Related technology appraisals in development:
	<u>Treatments for non-small-cell lung cancer.</u> NICE technology appraisal guidance [ID6234] Publication expected September 2024
	Related NICE guidelines:
	Lung cancer: diagnosis and management (2023) NICE guideline NG122.
	Related quality standards:
	Lung cancer in adults (2012, updated 2019) NICE quality standard 17
Related National Policy	NHS England (2023) Prescribed specialised services manual (version 6) Chapter 105. Specialist cancer services (adults)

Final scope for the evaluation of selpercatinib for previously treated RET fusion-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer Issue Date: February 2024 Page

NHS England (2021) Proton beam therapy for lung cancer (Adults). Clinical commissioning policy. Reference no. 201201P
NHS England (2019) The NHS long term plan
NHS England (2013) <u>Stereotactic ablative body radiotherapy</u> <u>for non-small-cell lung cancer (Adult)</u> . Clinical commissioning policy. Reference no. NHSCB/B01/P/a

References

- ¹<u>Lung cancer incidence by morphology</u>. Cancer Research UK. Accessed January 2024
- ² Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, Garshell J, Miller D, Altekruse SF, et al. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2012, National Cancer Institute. 2015 [Available from: https://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975 2012/
- ³ National Lung Cancer Audit: Annual report 2021 (for the audit period 2018) (2021). Royal College of Physicians. Accessed January 2024
- ⁴ Falchook, G et al. 2016. <u>Effect of the RET Inhibitor Vandetanib in a Patient With RET Fusion–Positive Metastatic Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer</u>. Journal of Clinical Oncology 34:15