NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Atezolizumab for adjuvant treatment of resected non-small-cell lung cancer (CDF review of TA823) [ID6324]

Final Stakeholder List

Provisional Consultees	Provisional Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company • Roche (atezolizumab) Patient/carer groups • Asthma and Lung UK • Black Health Agency for Equality • Cancer Black Care • Cancer Equality • Helen Rollason Cancer Charity • Independent Cancer Patients Voice • Macmillan Cancer Support • Maggie's Centres • Marie Curie • Roy Castle Lung Cancer Foundation • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance • Tenovus Cancer Care • UK Lung Cancer Coalition Healthcare professional groups • Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland • Association of Cancer Physicians • Association of Respiratory Nurse Specialists • Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland • British Geriatrics Society • British Institute of Radiology • British Psychosocial Oncology Society • British Society of Interventional Radiology • British Thoracic Oncology Group • British Thoracic Society	General • All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre • Allied Health Professionals Federation • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health - Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium • Welsh Government • Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee Comparator companies • AstraZeneca (osimertinib, subject to NICE appraisal) • Merck Sharp and Dohme (pembrolizumab, subject to NICE appraisal) • Roche (alectinib, subject to NICE appraisal) Relevant research groups • Cochrane Lung Cancer Group • Cochrane UK • Genomics England • Institute of Cancer Research

Provisional Consultees	Provisional Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
• British Transplantation Society • Cancer Research UK • Lung Cancer Nursing UK • National Heart and Lung Institute • NHS Blood and Transplant • Primary Care Respiratory Society UK • Royal College of Anaesthetists • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal College of Radiologists • Royal College of Surgeons of England • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine • Society and College of Radiographers • UK Clinical Pharmacy Association • UK Oncology Nursing Society <u>Others</u> • Department of Health and Social Care • NHS England	• MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Institute for Health and Care Research <u>Associated Public Health groups</u> • Public Health Wales • UK Health Security Agency

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

Definitions:

Consultee or commentator stakeholders are provisional until a signed Confidentiality Agreement & Undertaking form is submitted to NICE at the evaluation stage. Participating stakeholders will be listed on the project information page for the evaluation.

<u>Consultees</u>

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the evaluation; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Draft Guidance (FDG).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement[1], respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Draft Guidance (FDG).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the evaluation process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FDG for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary).

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

[1] Non company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

