NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE Multiple Technology Appraisal (MTA)

Axitinib, everolimus, sorafenib and sunitinib for treated advanced or metastatic renal cell carcinoma [ID897]

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Company(ies)	<u>General</u>
Bayer (sorafenib)	 Allied Health Professionals Federation
 Novartis Pharmaceuticals 	 Association of Renal Industries
(everolimus)	Board of Community Health Councils in
Pfizer (axitinib and sunitinib)	Wales
	British National Formulary
Patient/carer groups	Care Quality Commission
Black Health Agency	 Department of Health, Social Services
British Kidney Patient Association	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Cancer52	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Cancer Black Care	 Medicines and Healthcare Products
Cancer Equality	Regulatory Agency
HAWC	 National Association of Primary Care
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	 National Pharmacy Association
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	NHS Alliance
 James Whale Fund for Kidney Cancer 	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
 Kidney Cancer Support Network 	NHS Confederation
Kidney Cancer UK	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Kidney Research UK	Welsh Kidney Patients Association
Macmillan Cancer Support	
Maggie's Centres	Comparator company(ies)
Marie Curie Cancer Care	None
Muslim Council of Britain	
National Kidney Federation	Relevant research groups
Rarer Cancers Foundation	Cochrane Prostate Diseases and
 South Asian Health Foundation 	Urologic Cancers Group
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Cochrane Renal Group
Tenovus Cancer Care	Institute of Cancer Research
	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
<u>Professional groups</u>	National Cancer Research Institute
Association of Anaesthetists of Great	National Cancer Research Network
Britain and Ireland	National Institute for Health Research
Association of Cancer Physicians	Accordated Bublic Hackth Crouns
Association of Renal Technologists	Associated Public Health Groups
Association of Surgeons of Great	Public Health Moles Public Health Woles
Britain and Ireland	Public Health Wales
BASO - The Association for Cancer	

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Surgery

Matrix for the technology appraisal of axitinib, everolimus, sorafenib and sunitinib for treated advanced or metastatic renal cell carcinoma [ID897]

Issue date: January 2016

Co	onsultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
		appeal)
•	British Association of Urological	
	Nurses	
•	British Association of Urological	
	Surgeons	
•	British Geriatrics Society	
•	British Institute of Radiology	
•	British Psychosocial Oncology Society	
	(BPOS)	
•	British Renal Society	
•	British Society of Urogenital Radiology	
•	Cancer Research UK	
•	Renal Association	
•	Royal College of Anaesthetists	
•	Royal College of General Practitioners	
•	Royal College of Nursing	
•	Royal College of Pathologists	
•	Royal College of Physicians	
•	Royal College of Radiologists	
•	Royal College of Surgeons	
•	Royal Pharmaceutical Society	
•	Royal Society of Medicine	
•	Society and College of Radiographers (SCoR)	
•	Society for DGH Nephrologists	
•	UK Clinical Pharmacy Association	
•	UK Health Forum	
•	UK Oncology Nursing Society	
•	UK Renal Pharmacy Group	
•	Urology Foundation	
Ot	hers	
•	Department of Health	
•	NHS England	
•	NHS Hammersmith and Fulham CCG	
•	NHS North Manchester CCG	
•	Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the technology appraisal of axitinib, everolimus, sorafenib and sunitinib for treated advanced or metastatic renal cell carcinoma [ID897]

Issue date: January 2016 Page 2 of 3

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

٠

Issue date: January 2016 Page 3 of 3

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.