NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE MultipleTechnology Appraisal (MTA)

Axitinib (review of TA333), everolimus (review of TA219), sorafenib and sunitinib (partial review of TA178) and nivolumab for treating advanced renal cell carcinoma after systemic treatment [ID897]

Draft Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Company(ies)	General
Bayer plc	Allied Health Professionals Federation
 Novartis Pharmaceuticals (UK) Ltd 	 Association of Renal Industries
Pfizer	Board of Community Health Councils in
Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceuticals	Wales
Ltd (BMS)	British National Formulary
	Care Quality Commission
Patient/carer groups	Department of Health, Social Services
Black Health Agency	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
British Kidney Patient Association	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Cancer52	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Cancer Black Care	Regulatory Agency
Cancer Equality	National Association of Primary Care
• HAWC	National Pharmacy Association
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	NHS Alliance
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
James Whale Fund for Kidney Cancer	NHS Confederation
Kidney Cancer Support Network	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Kidney Cancer UK	Welsh Kidney Patients Association
Kidney Research UK Magazillar Canada Canada III	Possible comparator company
Macmillan Cancer Support	Possible comparator company
Maggie's Centres Madia Contact Contact	Novartis (pazopanib)
Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Causeil of Britain	Relevant research groups
Muslim Council of Britain National Kidney Fadaration	Cochrane Prostate Diseases and
National Kidney Federation Parar Canager Foundation	Urologic Cancers Group
Rarer Cancers Foundation South Asian Health Foundation	Cochrane Renal Group
South Asian Health Foundation Specialized Healthcare Alliance	Institute of Cancer Research
Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tangura	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
Tenovus	National Cancer Research Institute
Professional groups	National Cancer Research Network
Association of Anaesthetists of Great	National Institute for Health Research
Britain and Ireland	
Association of Cancer Physicians	Associated Public Health Groups
Association of Renal Technologists	Public Health England
Association of Surgeons of Great	Public Health Wales

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Draft Matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of Axitinib (review of TA333), everolimus (review of TA219), sorafenib and sunitinib (partial review of TA178) and nivolumab for treating advanced renal cell carcinoma after systemic treatment

Issue date: October 2015 Page 1 of 4

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
Deitain and Indian I	appeal)
Britain and Ireland	
BASO - The Association for Cancer	
Surgery	
British Association of Urological	
Nurses	
British Association of Urological	
Surgeons	
British Geriatrics Society	
British Institute of Radiology	
British Psychosocial Oncology Society	
(BPOS)	
British Renal Society	
British Society of Urogenital Radiology	
Cancer Research UK	
Renal Association	
Royal College of Anaesthetists	
 Royal College of General Practitioners 	
Royal College of Nursing	
Royal College of Pathologists	
Royal College of Physicians	
Royal College of Radiologists	
Royal College of Surgeons	
Royal Pharmaceutical Society	
Royal Society of Medicine	
 Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers 	
(SCoR)	
 Society for DGH Nephrologists 	
 UK Clinical Pharmacy Association 	
UK Health Forum	
UK Oncology Nursing Society UK Bond Pharmany Croup	
UK Renal Pharmacy Group Uralagy Foundation	
Urology Foundation	
<u>Others</u>	
Department of Health	
NHS England	
NHS Hammersmith and Fulham CCG	
NHS North Manchester CCG	
Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Draft Matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of Axitinib (review of TA333), everolimus (review of TA219), sorafenib and sunitinib (partial review of TA178) and nivolumab for treating advanced renal cell carcinoma after systemic treatment

Issue date: October 2015 Page 2 of 4

Appendix B

from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: October 2015 Page 3 of 4

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Draft Matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of Axitinib (review of TA333), everolimus (review of TA219), sorafenib and sunitinib (partial review of TA178) and nivolumab for treating advanced renal cell carcinoma after systemic treatment

Issue date: October 2015 Page 4 of 4

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.