

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

HIGHLY SPECIALISED TECHNOLOGY EVALUATION PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment – Guidance development

HST Migalastat for treating Fabry disease

[ID 868]

The impact on equality has been assessed during this evaluation according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

Consultation

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?

No potential equality issues were identified during the scoping process.

2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or independent academic report, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?

No other potential equality issues have been raised.

3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?

No other potential equality issues were identified.

4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No.

5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No.

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable.

7. Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the evaluation consultation document, and, if so, where?

No equality issues were considered.

Approved by Associate Director (name): Sheela Upadhyaya

Date: 23/01/2017

Final evaluation determination

(when an ECD issued)

1. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?

No potential equality issues were raised during the consultation.

2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

There have been no changes to the recommendations that affect any specific group.

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

There have been no changes to the recommendations that affect people with disabilities.

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable.

5. Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final evaluation determination, and, if so, where?

No equality issues were considered.

Approved by Centre or Programme Director (name): Meindert Boysen

Date: 23/01/2017

