

Chair's presentation

Strimvelis for the treatment of adenosine deaminase deficiency-severe combined immunodeficiency

2nd Evaluation Committee meeting

Highly Specialised Technologies committee, 23 November 2017

Lead team: Jeremy Manuel, Sarah Davis, Vincent Kirkbride

Company: GlaxoSmithKline

Chair: Peter Jackson

Evidence review group: York Technology Assessment Group

NICE team: Thomas Strong, Ian Watson, Sheela Upadhyaya

Strimvelis

GlaxoSmithKline

Marketing authorisation	Indicated for treating severe combined immunodeficiency due to adenosine deaminase deficiency (ADA-SCID), for whom no suitable human leukocyte antigen (HLA)- matched related stem cell donor is available
Mechanism of action	Gene therapy containing autologous CD34 ⁺ cells transduced <i>ex vivo</i> with a replication-deficient retroviral vector containing the correct form of the human ADA gene in the DNA sequence
Administration & dose	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Must be administered in a specialist transplant centre at HSR-TIGET, Milan, Italy• 5 million purified CD34⁺ cells/kg required per patient; recommended that patients have pre-treatment with busulfan• Single intravenous infusion
List price	Manufacture of Strimvelis = €594,000

ECD preliminary recommendation

Strimvelis was recommended as an option for treating ADA–SCID when no HLA-matched related stem cell donor is available

- Committee noted ADA–SCID is a devastating condition that begins in infancy, which impacts all aspects of life for patients, families and carers
- Strimvelis is effective in treating ADA–SCID, but the comparative evidence for hematopoietic stem cell transplant was very limited
- Key drivers within the model were uncertain, and many additional benefits were not captured and had to be considered qualitatively
- With additional QALY weighting, the plausible ICER estimates are lower than the level normally considered acceptable for HST
- Strimvelis is likely to provide important benefits for people with ADA–SCID, at a cost that provides value for money in HST

ECD consultation responses

- Consultee comments from:
 - GSK
 - Primary Immunodeficiency UK
 - NHS England
- Clinical and patient experts:
 - None
- Commentator comments from:
 - Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
- Web comments from:
 - None
- No comment response from Department of Health and Genetic Alliance UK

ECD consultation comments

Primary immunodeficiency UK

- Welcomes the positive recommendation
- Would welcome details on implementation in England and Wales, and funding for travel and accommodation
- Due to risk of oncogenic events, long-term follow up is essential
 - NICE guidance should give details of what requirements need to be met and who is responsible
- Welcomes that evidence from patients and benefits in addition to direct health benefits have been taken into account in committee's decision-making

⦿ ***Are there any long-term follow up requirements to take into account?***

ECD consultation comments

NHS England

- Confirm a travel and accommodation policy, building on experience of proton beam therapy, will be developed
- Previously been suggested that additional procedures or extended hospitalisation in Italy should be funded through the 'S2' route, where people would incur additional charges equal to Italian statutory patients
 - NHSE do not have access to the 'S2' route, as held by Department of Health
 - NHSE expect to contract directly with the hospital in Milan and pay charges equal to Italian statutory patients, but this has not yet been agreed
 - This would assure NHSE that patients receive the same quality service as they would in England.
- Confirms that arrangements can be implemented within the standard timelines

© ***Are there any implementation issues to take into account?***

ECD consultation comments

Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee

- WHSSC has contacted NHS England to suggest a collaboration to develop a common referral pathway, protocol and commissioning policy
 - May also include agreeing suitable gate-keeping arrangements
 - Collaboration agreed in principle and further discussion planned for early in 2018
 - Anticipate funding of travel and accommodation for people in Wales having Strimvelis and their families will be assessed using similar model to that already in use for Proton Beam Therapy
- WHSSC will be writing to the Welsh Government Minister requesting an extension to standard implementation timelines in Wales (60 days)
 - Due to scale of service planning required for this treatment
 - Expecting to complete from April 2018 (the standard implementation timelines in England)

© ***Are there any implementation issues to take into account?***

ECD consultation comments

GSK (I)

- Welcomes the positive recommendation
- Provided comment on several of the committee's preferred assumptions

Committee rationale at ECM1

GSK comment

PEG-ADA duration

- Preferred assumption that PEG-ADA duration equal across treatments – people would remain on PEG-ADA if their condition was unstable, and durations observed in the Strimvelis trial were longer than the model

- GSK accepts there is uncertainty, but still believes there is justification for shorter PEG-ADA duration for Strimvelis – as there is no search for a donor

Rescue HSCT

- Considered it most likely that people would receive rescue therapy from a matched unrelated donor.
- Evidence on rescue rates was very limited and it was plausible rescue rates across treatments would be equal

- GSK accepts that matched unrelated donor may be more common, but notes that if matched sibling donor available this would be the 1st choice
- If rates of rescue transplant were equal this would also increase the QALY weighting that could apply for Strimvelis

ECD consultation comments

GSK (II)

Committee rationale at ECM1	GSK comment
Long-term utilities	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Considered implausible that utilities were equal to the general population after year 8 – restoration to a lower utility should be used • Specific utilities uncertain, but preferred ERG’s approach (disutilities for congenital hearing loss) because it was based on available evidence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GSK notes the 20% long-term utility reduction in its sensitivity analysis is intended only to test extreme values • Agrees there is some long-term morbidity, but ERG overestimates the decrement • Patient experts stated that long-term impairment does not prevent people from living a near-normal life
Discount rate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Committee considered both the 1.5% and 3.5% discount rates, as it was highly uncertain whether Strimvelis would lead to ‘normal or near-normal health’ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GSK notes 1.5% discount rate is commonly used where benefit accrues long after intervention, e.g. public health programmes • NICE previously accepted a 1.5% discount for HST1 and TA235

© ***Any changes in committee’s preferred assumptions from ECM1?***

Key issues for consideration

- Any changes in committee's preferred assumptions from ECM1?
- Are there any implementation issues to take into account?
- Are there any long-term follow up requirements to take into account?
- Has the committee heard anything in consultation to change its preliminary recommendation?