

# Grenz rays therapy for inflammatory skin conditions

Interventional procedures guidance

Published: 28 November 2007

[www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg236](http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg236)

## Your responsibility

This guidance represents the view of NICE, arrived at after careful consideration of the evidence available. When exercising their judgement, healthcare professionals are expected to take this guidance fully into account, and specifically any special arrangements relating to the introduction of new interventional procedures. The guidance does not override the individual responsibility of healthcare professionals to make decisions appropriate to the circumstances of the individual patient, in consultation with the patient and/or guardian or carer.

All problems (adverse events) related to a medicine or medical device used for treatment or in a procedure should be reported to the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency using the [Yellow Card Scheme](#).

Commissioners and/or providers have a responsibility to implement the guidance, in their local context, in light of their duties to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations. Nothing in this guidance should be interpreted in a way that would be inconsistent with compliance with

those duties. Providers should ensure that governance structures are in place to review, authorise and monitor the introduction of new devices and procedures.

Commissioners and providers have a responsibility to promote an environmentally sustainable health and care system and should assess and reduce the environmental impact of implementing NICE recommendations wherever possible.

# 1 Guidance

- 1.1 Current evidence on the efficacy of Grenz rays therapy for inflammatory skin conditions is very limited and is difficult to assess because reported patient groups are heterogeneous and patient numbers are small. With regard to safety, there is some concern about the risk of skin malignancy in the long term. Therefore, clinicians wishing to use Grenz rays therapy should do so only in research involving controlled trials, closely observed case series and/or contribution to a register. Studies should include clear definitions of treatment indications and quality-of-life measures.
- 1.2 Only carefully selected patients whose inflammatory skin conditions are unresponsive to other treatments should be offered Grenz rays therapy under the research conditions referred to in section 1.1.
- 1.3 NICE may review this procedure in the light of further research.

## 2 The procedure

### 2.1 Indications

- 2.1.1 Grenz rays therapy has been used to treat many benign inflammatory skin conditions that have been unresponsive to conventional treatment, including some forms of eczema and psoriasis.
- 2.1.2 Treatment for inflammatory skin conditions depends on the type, severity and location of the inflammation. Topical treatments for eczema include emollients

and non-steroidal immunomodulators. Non-topical treatments used for severe eczema that is unresponsive to other interventions include ultraviolet B (UVB) light therapy, psoralen with ultraviolet A (PUVA) light therapy and oral corticosteroids.

- 2.1.3 Topical treatments for psoriasis include emollients, keratolytics (salicylic acid), steroid creams and dithranol. Non-topical treatments include UVB light therapy, PUVA light therapy, retinoids and immunosuppressants. NICE has produced guidance on a variety of treatments for eczema and psoriasis (see [section 3.1](#)).

## 2.2 Outline of the procedure

- 2.2.1 Grenz rays are a form of electromagnetic radiation, classified as 'ultrasoft' X-ray radiation, that are produced at low kilovoltages. The rays have a very low penetrative power and do not extend deeply into the dermis of the skin. A Grenz rays machine is used to direct radiation towards the affected area at a distance of approximately 10 cm to 20 cm. A cone can be used to restrict exposure to designated areas of skin and to maintain a constant distance. Patients are usually treated as outpatients over several short sessions, each lasting no more than a few minutes. Operators carrying out Grenz rays therapy for inflammatory skin conditions need to refer to 'The ionising radiation (medical exposure) regulations 2000' (see [section 3.2](#)).

## 2.3 Efficacy

- 2.3.1 In a randomised controlled trial (RCT) that compared Grenz rays with superficial X-ray treatment, 52% (13 out of 25) of patients thought there was no difference between the treatments in improving the severity of their eczema 3 weeks after treatment, 44% (11 out of 25) of patients considered superficial X-ray treatment to be better and 4% (1 out of 25) considered Grenz rays to be better ( $p < 0.05$ ). However, this difference was no longer significant at 18 weeks with 30% (6 out of 20) of patients reporting superficial X-ray treatment to be better and 5% (1 out of 20) reporting Grenz rays to be better. One RCT, which compared Grenz rays on one hand with a sham procedure on the other, reported no significant difference

between Grenz rays and sham treatment, with 89% (16 out of 18) of patients by observer assessment and 56% (10 out of 18) of patients by patient assessment showing equal improvement in both hands 18 weeks after treatment.

- 2.3.2 Two RCTs compared Grenz rays treatment on one side of the head with a sham procedure on the other in patients with scalp psoriasis. In the first, complete healing was reported in 88% (14 out of 16) of patients on the side of the scalp that received Grenz rays. No patients were reported to have better outcomes on the sham-treated side of the scalp compared with the Grenz-rays treated side. In the second, which studied patients with symmetrical psoriasis, Grenz rays therapy was reported to be superior to sham treatment in 67% (12 out of 18) of patients, and 33% (6 out of 18) of patients reported no difference ( $p < 0.05$ ).
- 2.3.3 Three Specialist Advisers stated that Grenz rays therapy used to be widely used in the UK, but has been superseded by other forms of treatment. The Specialist Advisers highlighted uncertainties about aspects of the procedure, including optimal doses, number of exposures and dosing intervals.

## 2.4 Safety

- 2.4.1 A cancer registry linkage study reported that, among 14,140 patients treated with Grenz rays therapy and followed up for a mean period of 15 years, there were 39 observed cases of non-melanoma skin cancer, compared with 26.9 cases expected (ratio of observed and expected cases = 1.45, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.03 to 1.98). For more details, see the [overview](#).
- 2.4.2 The Specialist Advisers considered the main safety concern with Grenz rays therapy to be the potential for induction of skin cancer. They noted that other treatments for these conditions are also carcinogenic. Additional potential adverse events identified by the Specialist Advisers included erythema and pigmentation. The possibility of chronic radiation damage to the skin was also mentioned.

## 2.5 Other comments

- 2.5.1 Many of the published studies on Grenz rays therapy did not specify clearly their criteria for patient selection, or were carried out before several contemporary treatments became available (see sections 2.1.2 and 2.1.3).
- 2.5.2 The Specialist Advisers stated that Grenz rays therapy is usually reserved for patients whose inflammatory skin conditions have proved refractory to other treatments.

## 3 Further information

- 3.1 NICE has issued [technology appraisal guidance on the use of tacrolimus and pimecrolimus, topical corticosteroids for atopic dermatitis \(eczema\), and etanercept and efalizumab for the treatment of adults with psoriasis](#). NICE has also produced a [guideline on atopic eczema in under 12s: diagnosis and management](#).
- 3.2 'The ionising radiation (medical exposure) regulations 2000', issued by The Department of Health and enforced by the Commission for Healthcare Audit and Inspection, should be referred to when radiation is used in a medical context. The equivalent regulations in Northern Ireland are 'The ionising radiation (medical exposure) regulations (Northern Ireland) 2000'.

## Sources of evidence

The evidence considered by the Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee is described in the [overview](#).

## Information for patients

NICE has produced [information for the public on this procedure](#). It explains the nature of the procedure and the decision made, and has been written with patient consent in mind.

ISBN: 978-1-4731-6259-4

# Endorsing organisation

This guidance has been endorsed by Healthcare Improvement Scotland.