NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Interventional Procedures Programme

Procedure Name:	**Retrograde blood flow extracorporeal neuroprotection to reduce the risk of stroke during carotid artery stenting (1317/1)**
Name of Specialist Advisor:	**Douglas Turner**
Specialist Society:	**British Society of Interventional Radiology**
Please complete and return to:	azeem.madari@nice.org.uk OR sally.compton@nice.org.uk

1 Do you have adequate knowledge of this procedure to provide advice?

☒ Yes.

☐ No – please return the form/answer no more questions.

1.1 Does the title used above describe the procedure adequately?

☒ Yes.

☐ No. If no, please enter any other titles below.

Comments:

2 Your involvement in the procedure

2.1 Is this procedure relevant to your specialty?

☒ Yes.

☐ Is there any kind of inter-specialty controversy over the procedure?

☐ No. If no, then answer no more questions, but please give any information you can about who is likely to be doing the procedure.

Comments:

Carotid artery stenting performed largely by interventional radiologists in UK

The next two questions are about whether you carry out the procedure, or refer patients for it. If you are in a specialty that normally carries out the procedure please answer question 2.2.1. If you are in a specialty that normally selects or refers patients for the procedure please answer question 2.2.2.

2.2.1 If you are in a specialty which does this procedure, please indicate your experience with it:

☐ I have never performed this procedure.

☒ I have performed this procedure at least once.

☐ I perform this procedure regularly.

Comments:

I perform 30-40 carotid artery stenting procedures per annum, and have had experience with retrograde flow systems in the past, but do not use one regularly.

2.2.2 If your specialty is involved in patient selection or referral to another specialty for this procedure, please indicate your experience with it.

☐ I have never taken part in the selection or referral of a patient for this procedure.

☒ I have taken part in patient selection or referred a patient for this procedure at least once.

☐ I take part in patient selection or refer patients for this procedure regularly.

Comments:

2.3 Please indicate your research experience relating to this procedure (please choose one or more if relevant):

☐ I have undertaken bibliographic research on this procedure.

☐ I have undertaken research on this procedure in laboratory settings (e.g. device-related research).

☒ I have undertaken clinical research on this procedure involving patients or healthy volunteers.

☐ I have had no involvement in research on this procedure.

☐ Other (please comment)

Comments:
I am a co-investigator in the ACST2 and ECST2 trials, and previously the ICSS trials of carotid stenting. I have had no involvement in trials evaluating the Retrograde flow systems

Status of the procedure

2.4 Which of the following best describes the procedure (choose one):

☐ Established practice and no longer new.

☒ A minor variation on an existing procedure, which is unlikely to alter that procedure's safety and efficacy.

☐ Definitely novel and of uncertain safety and efficacy.

☐ The first in a new class of procedure.

Comments:
Concept and practice of flow-reversal technique for carotid neuroprotection not a new one, and such devices have been available for a number of years. The trans-carotid technique is a variation on this theme that is more recent.

2.5 What would be the comparator (standard practice) to this procedure?

Carotid stenting with distal filter-style protection devices or flow-stasis systems.

2.6 Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are performing this procedure (choose one):

☐ More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

☐ 10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

☒ Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

☐ Cannot give an estimate.

Comments:
Carotid stenting not widely practised in UK compared to US and Europe

3 Safety and efficacy

3.1 What are the adverse effects of the procedure?

Please list adverse events and major risks (even if uncommon) and, if possible, estimate their incidence, as follows:

1. Theoretical adverse events

See below

2. Anecdotal adverse events (known from experience)

See below – I have experience of one patient who tolerated retrograde flow poorly

3. Adverse events reported in the literature (if possible please cite literature)

Intolerance of retrograde flow system (due to cerebral hypoperfusion ie reduced brain blood flow) 2 – 9%

Stroke, myocardial infarction and death risk (inherent in carotid artery revascularisation procedures) reported low incidence with this form of neuroprotection (Empire study/ROADSTER trial)

Access site haematoma (bruising), vessel damage. If the trans-carotid route is used, wound infections/haematoma and potentially cranial nerve palsies (<0.1%) – ROADSTER trial

3.2 What are the key efficacy outcomes for this procedure?

Stroke, myocardial infarction and death rates
Rate of new ischaemic lesions on brain MRI post procedure (surrogate marker)

3.3 Are there uncertainties or concerns about the *efficacy* of this procedure? If so, what are they?

Randomised trial data comparing efficacy of different neuroprotection systems during carotid stenting not available.
Available Retrograde flow neuroprotection systems have not been suitable for all anatomies, owing to access sheath characteristics (size, stiffness, trackability), thus may not be suitable for all patients

3.4 What training and facilities are required to undertake this procedure safely?

Need adequate volume practice to maintain competence in such techniques – carotid revascularisation in UK predominantly by surgical endarterectomy rather than carotid stenting, despite equivalent long-term results of large ICSS and CREST trials.
Training can be delivered via proctorship programmes, virtual reality simulators and laboratory models.

3.5 Are there any major trials or registries of this procedure currently in progress? If so, please list.

None I'm aware of

3.6 Are you aware of any abstracts that have been *recently* presented/ published on this procedure that may not be listed in a standard literature search, e.g. PUBMED? (This can include your own work). If yes, please list.

No

3.7 Is there controversy, or important uncertainty, about any aspect of the way in which this procedure is currently being done or disseminated?

Only that truly comparative data is lacking

5 Audit Criteria

Please suggest a minimum dataset of criteria by which this procedure could be audited.

5.1 Outcome measures of benefit (including commonly used clinical outcomes – both short and long-term; and quality of life measures):

Stroke and death rate, including stroke disability scores
Incidence of new ischaemic lesions on MRI brain

5.2 Adverse outcomes (including potential early and late complications):

As above
Neck access complications for the transcarotid route

6 Trajectory of the procedure

6.1 In your opinion, what is the likely speed of diffusion of this procedure?

I would expect a slow uptake/integration into routine clinical practice

6.2 This procedure, if safe and efficacious, is likely to be carried out in (choose one):

☐ Most or all district general hospitals.

☒ A minority of hospitals, but at least 10 in the UK.

☐ Fewer than 10 specialist centres in the UK.

☐ Cannot predict at present.

Comments:

Dependent on whether UK stroke physicians/neurologists/vascular specialists accept carotid stenting as a viable alternative to surgical carotid endarterectomy. I believe all major stroke units should be able to offer stenting as a viable alternative to carotid endarterectomy.

6.3 The potential impact of this procedure on the NHS, in terms of numbers of patients eligible for treatment and use of resources, is:

☐ Major.

☐ Moderate.

☒ Minor.

Comments:

Stenting and surgery shown to have equivalent outcomes in terms of future stroke prevention – approx. 6000 surgical endarterectomise performed per annum, with only a small proportion of patients currently stented – if more patients were treated with a stent, number undergoing endarterectomy would decrease (as finite pool of patients), thus overall burden on NHS would not increase, and as stenting is a shorter procedure with shorter length of stay compared to endarterectomy, may be benefits in terms of reduced bed hours etc

7 Other information

7.1 Is there any other information about this procedure that might assist NICE in assessing the possible need to investigate its use?

8 Data protection and conflicts of interest

8.1 Data protection statement

The Institute is committed to transparency. As part of this commitment your name and specialist society will be placed in the public domain, in future publications and on our website (www.nice.org.uk) and therefore viewable worldwide. This information may be passed to third parties connected with the work on interventional procedures.

A copy of the completed Specialist Adviser advice will be sent to the Specialist Society who nominated the Specialist Adviser.

Specialist Advisers should be aware that full implementation of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 may oblige us to release Specialist Advice from 2005. The Freedom of Information Act 2000 favours the disclosure of information however requests will be considered on a case by case basis. If information is made available, personal information will be removed in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. In light of this please ensure that you have not named or identified individuals in your comments.

8.2 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

Please state any potential conflicts of interest, or any involvements in disputes or complaints, relevant to this procedure. Please use the "Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers" policy (attached) as a guide when declaring any conflicts of interest. Specialist Advisers should seek advice if required from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

Do you or a member of your family[1] have a **personal pecuniary** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Consultancies or directorships attracting regular or occasional payments in cash or kind	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**
Fee-paid work – any work commissioned by the healthcare	☒	**YES**

[1] 'Family members' refers to a spouse or partner living in the same residence as the member or employee, children for whom the member or employee is legally responsible, and adults for whom the member or employee is legally responsible (for example, an adult whose full power of attorney is held by the individual).

industry **– this includes income earned in the course of private practice**	☐	**NO**
Shareholdings – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**
Expenses and hospitality – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond those reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**
Investments – any funds which include investments in the healthcare industry	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**
Do you have a **personal non-pecuniary** interest – eg have you made a public statement about the topic or do you hold an office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the topic?	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**

Do you have a **non-personal** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Fellowships endowed by the healthcare industry	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**
Support by the healthcare industry or NICE that benefits his/her position or department, eg grants, sponsorship of posts	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**

If you have answered YES to any of the above statements please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.

Comments:

Honorarium received from Cook Medical to provide peer-to-peer learning session specifically in relation to the Zilver PTX peripheral arterial device. I have no conflict of interest in relation to the subject under consideration.

Thank you very much for your help.

Professor Bruce Campbell, Chairman, Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

Professor Carole Longson, Director, Centre for Health Technology Evaluation.

February 2010

Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers

1 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

1.1 Any conflicts of interest set out below should be declared on the questionnaire the Specialist Adviser completes for the procedure.

1.2 Specialist Advisers should seek advice if required from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

2 Personal pecuniary interests

2.1 A personal pecuniary interest involves a current personal payment to a Specialist Adviser, which may either relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as **'specific'** or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as **'non-specific'**. The main examples are as follows.

2.1.1 **Consultancies** – any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for the healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).

2.1.2 **Fee-paid work** – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).

2.1.3 **Shareholdings** – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry that are either held by the individual or for which the individual has legal responsibility (for example, children, or relatives whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual). This does not include shareholdings through unit trusts, pensions funds, or other similar arrangements where the member has no influence on financial management.

2.1.4 **Expenses and hospitality** – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond that reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place.

2.1.5 **Investments** – any funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.

2.2 No personal interest exists in the case of:

2.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where

the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)

2.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

3 Personal family interest

3.1 This relates to the personal interests of a family member and involves a **current payment** to the family member of the Specialist Adviser. The interest may relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as '**specific**', or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as '**non-specific**'. The main examples include the following.

3.1.1 Any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for a healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or in kind.

3.1.2 Any fee-paid work commissioned by a healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind.

3.1.3 Any shareholdings, or other beneficial interests, in a healthcare industry which are either held by the family member or for which an individual covered by this Code has legal responsibility (for example, children, or adults whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual).

3.1.4 Expenses and hospitality provided by a healthcare industry company (except where they are provided to a general class of people such as attendees at an open conference)

3.1.5 Funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.

3.2 No personal family interest exists in the case of:

3.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)

3.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

4 Personal non-pecuniary interests

These might include, but are not limited to:

4.1 a clear opinion, reached as the conclusion of a research project, about the clinical and/or cost effectiveness of an intervention under review

4.2 a public statement in which an individual covered by this Code has expressed a clear opinion about the matter under consideration, which could reasonably be interpreted as prejudicial to an objective interpretation of the evidence

4.3 holding office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the matter under consideration

4.4 other reputational risks in relation to an intervention under review.

5 Non-personal interests

5.1 A non-personal interest involves payment that benefits a department or organisation for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible, but that is not received by the Specialist Advisor personally. This may either relate to the product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as **'specific,'** or to the manufacturer or owner of the product or service, but is unrelated to the matter under consideration, in which case it is regarded as **'non-specific'**. The main examples are as follows.

5.1.1 **Fellowships** – the holding of a fellowship endowed by the healthcare industry.

5.1.2 **Support by the healthcare industry or NICE** – any payment, or other support by the healthcare industry or by NICE that does not convey any pecuniary or material benefit to a member personally but that does benefit his/her position or department. For example:

- a grant from a company for the running of a unit or department for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible
- a grant, fellowship or other payment to sponsor a post or member of staff in the unit for which a Specialist Adviser is responsible. This does not include financial assistance for students
- the commissioning of research or other work by, or advice from, staff who work in a unit for which the specialist advisor is responsible
- one or more contracts with, or grants from, NICE.

5.2 Specialist Advisers are under no obligation to seek out knowledge of work done for, or on behalf of, the healthcare industry within departments for which they are responsible if they would not normally expect to be informed.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Interventional Procedures Programme

Procedure Name:	**Retrograde blood flow extracorporeal neuroprotection to reduce the risk of stroke during carotid artery stenting (1317/1)**
Name of Specialist Advisor:	**Iain Robertson**
Specialist Society:	**British Society of Interventional Radiology**
Please complete and return to:	azeem.madari@nice.org.uk OR sally.compton@nice.org.uk

1 Do you have adequate knowledge of this procedure to provide advice?

☒ Yes.

☐ No – please return the form/answer no more questions.

1.1 Does the title used above describe the procedure adequately?

☒ Yes.

☐ No. If no, please enter any other titles below.

Comments:

2 Your involvement in the procedure

2.1 Is this procedure relevant to your specialty?

☒ Yes.

☐ Is there any kind of inter-specialty controversy over the procedure?

☐ No. If no, then answer no more questions, but please give any information you can about who is likely to be doing the procedure.

Comments:

The next two questions are about whether you carry out the procedure, or refer patients for it. If you are in a specialty that normally carries out the procedure please answer question 2.2.1. If you are in a specialty that normally selects or refers patients for the procedure please answer question 2.2.2.

2.2.1 If you are in a specialty which does this procedure, please indicate your experience with it:

☒ I have never performed this procedure.

☐ I have performed this procedure at least once.

☐ I perform this procedure regularly.

Comments:

This is a rare procedure. Carotid stenting is performed in a selct number of cantres and there are alternative froms of neuro-protection such as a filter wire.

2.2.2 If your specialty is involved in patient selection or referral to another specialty for this procedure, please indicate your experience with it.

☒ I have never taken part in the selection or referral of a patient for this procedure.

☐ I have taken part in patient selection or referred a patient for this procedure at least once.

☐ I take part in patient selection or refer patients for this procedure regularly.

Comments:

2.3 Please indicate your research experience relating to this procedure (please choose one or more if relevant):

☐ I have undertaken bibliographic research on this procedure.

☐ I have undertaken research on this procedure in laboratory settings (e.g. device-related research).

☐ I have undertaken clinical research on this procedure involving patients or healthy volunteers.

☒ I have had no involvement in research on this procedure.

☐ Other (please comment)

Comments:

3 Status of the procedure

3.1 Which of the following best describes the procedure (choose one):

☐ Established practice and no longer new.

☐ A minor variation on an existing procedure, which is unlikely to alter that procedure's safety and efficacy.

☒ Definitely novel and of uncertain safety and efficacy.

☐ The first in a new class of procedure.

Comments:

I have indicated the closest status but there is initial data re safety and efficacy available for this procedure from selected centres.

3.2 What would be the comparator (standard practice) to this procedure?

Carotid lesions can be treated with a conventional surgical approach- this is still commonly used. If a endovascular approach- carotid stent is detrmined they are other methods of neuroportection eg filter wires.

3.3 Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are performing this procedure (choose one):

☐ More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

☐ 10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

☒ Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

☐ Cannot give an estimate.

Comments:

4 Safety and efficacy

4.1 What are the adverse effects of the procedure?

Please list adverse events and major risks (even if uncommon) and, if possible, estimate their incidence, as follows:

1. Theoretical adverse events

Stroke, haematoma , cranial nerve damage, vascular damage – dissection/ false aneurysm at the site of puncture

2. Anecdotal adverse events (known from experience)

Rare procedure – none known

3. Adverse events reported in the literature (if possible please cite literature)

4.2 What are the key efficacy outcomes for this procedure?

For neuroprotection: Stroke: clinical and subclinical eg detected by diffusion MR.

For carotid stenting: Technical success <30% residual stenosis, death, myocardial infarction

4.3 Are there uncertainties or concerns about the *efficacy* of this procedure? If so, what are they?

Initial results are encouraging in terms of stroke rate but not widely deployed yet.

4.4 What training and facilities are required to undertake this procedure safely?

I have no direct knowledge but transcarotid procedures are not commonly performed and the technique requires surgical and andovascular skills. It is different than conventional endovascular stenting.

4.5 Are there any major trials or registries of this procedure currently in progress? If so, please list.

Don't know

4.6 Are you aware of any abstracts that have been *recently* presented/ published on this procedure that may not be listed in a standard literature search, e.g. PUBMED? (This can include your own work). If yes, please list.

No

4.7 Is there controversy, or important uncertainty, about any aspect of the way in which this procedure is currently being done or disseminated?

Not that I know

5 Audit Criteria

Please suggest a minimum dataset of criteria by which this procedure could be audited.

5.1 Outcome measures of benefit (including commonly used clinical outcomes – both short and long-term; and quality of life measures):

Prevention of stroke

5.2 Adverse outcomes (including potential early and late complications):

Stroke / death / MI/ cranial nerve injury/ haematome

6 Trajectory of the procedure

6.1 In your opinion, what is the likely speed of diffusion of this procedure?

Conventional carotid stenting has had limited adoption outside specialist cnetres and centres continue to undertake carotid surgery. This technique may offer a reduced stroke rate cf conventional endovascular stenting but I suspect adoption will remain slow.

6.2 This procedure, if safe and efficacious, is likely to be carried out in (choose one):

☐ Most or all district general hospitals.

☒ A minority of hospitals, but at least 10 in the UK.

☐ Fewer than 10 specialist centres in the UK.

☐ Cannot predict at present.

Comments:

6.3 The potential impact of this procedure on the NHS, in terms of numbers of patients eligible for treatment and use of resources, is:

☐ Major.

☒ Moderate.

☐ Minor.

Comments:

7 Other information

7.1 Is there any other information about this procedure that might assist NICE in assessing the possible need to investigate its use?

8 Data protection and conflicts of interest

8.1 Data protection statement

The Institute is committed to transparency. As part of this commitment your name and specialist society will be placed in the public domain, in future publications and on our website (www.nice.org.uk) and therefore viewable worldwide. This information may be passed to third parties connected with the work on interventional procedures.

A copy of the completed Specialist Adviser advice will be sent to the Specialist Society who nominated the Specialist Adviser.

Specialist Advisers should be aware that full implementation of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 may oblige us to release Specialist Advice from 2005. The Freedom of Information Act 2000 favours the disclosure of information however requests will be considered on a case by case basis. If information is made available, personal information will be removed in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. In light of this please ensure that you have not named or identified individuals in your comments.

8.2 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

Please state any potential conflicts of interest, or any involvements in disputes or complaints, relevant to this procedure. Please use the "Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers" policy (attached) as a guide when declaring any conflicts of interest. Specialist Advisers should seek advice if required from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

Do you or a member of your family[1] have a **personal pecuniary** interest? The main examples are as follows:

[1] 'Family members' refers to a spouse or partner living in the same residence as the member or employee, children for whom the member or employee is legally responsible, and adults for whom the member or employee is legally responsible (for example, an adult whose full power of attorney is held by the individual).

Consultancies or directorships attracting regular or occasional payments in cash or kind	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**
Fee-paid work – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry **– this includes income earned in the course of private practice**	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**
Shareholdings – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**
Expenses and hospitality – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond those reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**
Investments – any funds which include investments in the healthcare industry	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**
Do you have a **personal non-pecuniary** interest – eg have you made a public statement about the topic or do you hold an office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the topic?	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**

Do you have a **non-personal** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Fellowships endowed by the healthcare industry	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**
Support by the healthcare industry or NICE that benefits his/her position or department, eg grants, sponsorship of posts	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**

If you have answered YES to any of the above statements please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.

Comments:

Thank you very much for your help.

Professor Bruce Campbell, Chairman, Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

Professor Carole Longson, Director, Centre for Health Technology Evaluation.

February 2010

Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers

1 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

1.1 Any conflicts of interest set out below should be declared on the questionnaire the Specialist Adviser completes for the procedure.

1.2 Specialist Advisers should seek advice if required from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

2 Personal pecuniary interests

2.1 A personal pecuniary interest involves a current personal payment to a Specialist Adviser, which may either relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as **'specific'** or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as **'non-specific'**. The main examples are as follows.

2.1.1 **Consultancies** – any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for the healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).

2.1.2 **Fee-paid work** – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).

2.1.3 **Shareholdings** – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry that are either held by the individual or for which the individual has legal responsibility (for example, children, or relatives whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual). This does not include shareholdings through unit trusts, pensions funds, or other similar arrangements where the member has no influence on financial management.

2.1.4 **Expenses and hospitality** – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond that reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place.

2.1.5 **Investments** – any funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.

2.2 No personal interest exists in the case of:

2.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where

the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)

2.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

3 Personal family interest

3.1 This relates to the personal interests of a family member and involves a **current payment** to the family member of the Specialist Adviser. The interest may relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as '**specific**', or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as '**non-specific**'. The main examples include the following.

3.1.1 Any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for a healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or in kind.

3.1.2 Any fee-paid work commissioned by a healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind.

3.1.3 Any shareholdings, or other beneficial interests, in a healthcare industry which are either held by the family member or for which an individual covered by this Code has legal responsibility (for example, children, or adults whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual).

3.1.4 Expenses and hospitality provided by a healthcare industry company (except where they are provided to a general class of people such as attendees at an open conference)

3.1.5 Funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.

3.2 No personal family interest exists in the case of:

3.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)

3.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

4 Personal non-pecuniary interests

These might include, but are not limited to:

4.1 a clear opinion, reached as the conclusion of a research project, about the clinical and/or cost effectiveness of an intervention under review

4.2 a public statement in which an individual covered by this Code has expressed a clear opinion about the matter under consideration, which could reasonably be interpreted as prejudicial to an objective interpretation of the evidence

4.3 holding office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the matter under consideration

4.4 other reputational risks in relation to an intervention under review.

5 Non-personal interests

5.1 A non-personal interest involves payment that benefits a department or organisation for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible, but that is not received by the Specialist Advisor personally. This may either relate to the product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as **'specific,'** or to the manufacturer or owner of the product or service, but is unrelated to the matter under consideration, in which case it is regarded as **'non-specific'**. The main examples are as follows.

5.1.1 **Fellowships** – the holding of a fellowship endowed by the healthcare industry.

5.1.2 **Support by the healthcare industry or NICE** – any payment, or other support by the healthcare industry or by NICE that does not convey any pecuniary or material benefit to a member personally but that does benefit his/her position or department. For example:

- a grant from a company for the running of a unit or department for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible
- a grant, fellowship or other payment to sponsor a post or member of staff in the unit for which a Specialist Adviser is responsible. This does not include financial assistance for students
- the commissioning of research or other work by, or advice from, staff who work in a unit for which the specialist advisor is responsible
- one or more contracts with, or grants from, NICE.

5.2 Specialist Advisers are under no obligation to seek out knowledge of work done for, or on behalf of, the healthcare industry within departments for which they are responsible if they would not normally expect to be informed.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Interventional Procedures Programme

Procedure Name:	**Retrograde blood flow extracorporeal neuroprotection to reduce the risk of stroke during carotid artery stenting (1317/1)**
Name of Specialist Advisor:	**Steve D'Souza**
Specialist Society:	**British Society of Interventional Radiology**
Please complete and return to:	azeem.madari@nice.org.uk OR sally.compton@nice.org.uk

1 Do you have adequate knowledge of this procedure to provide advice?

x Yes.

☐ No – please return the form/answer no more questions.

1.1 Does the title used above describe the procedure adequately?

☐ Yes.

x No. If no, please enter any other titles below.

Comments:

It depends who title is intended for. Stroke is a non-specific term. The device is to reduce the risk of a (neuro)embolic event during the procedure.

2 Your involvement in the procedure

2.1 Is this procedure relevant to your specialty?

x Yes.

yes Is there any kind of inter-specialty controversy over the procedure?

☐ No. If no, then answer no more questions, but please give any information you can about who is likely to be doing the procedure.

Comments:

There is a question over the safety and efficacy of the procedure because of the trial evidence of higher neuroembolic events with CAS as compared to CEA. These can be "strokes", TIAs or subclinical events detected on DWI MRI.

The next two questions are about whether you carry out the procedure, or refer patients for it. If you are in a specialty that normally carries out the procedure please answer question 2.2.1. If you are in a specialty that normally selects or refers patients for the procedure please answer question 2.2.2.

2.2.1 If you are in a specialty which does this procedure, please indicate your experience with it:

☐ I have never performed this procedure.

☐ I have performed this procedure at least once.

X I perform this procedure regularly.

Comments:

2.2.2 If your specialty is involved in patient selection or referral to another specialty for this procedure, please indicate your experience with it.

☐ I have never taken part in the selection or referral of a patient for this procedure.

☐ I have taken part in patient selection or referred a patient for this procedure at least once.

X I take part in patient selection or refer patients for this procedure regularly.

Comments:

Currently this procedure is performed only in those cases where there is a high surgical risk or previous surgery or radiotherapy to the neck making CEA difficult.

2.3 Please indicate your research experience relating to this procedure (please choose one or more if relevant):

☐ I have undertaken bibliographic research on this procedure.

☐ I have undertaken research on this procedure in laboratory settings (e.g. device-related research).

☐ I have undertaken clinical research on this procedure involving patients or healthy volunteers.

☐ I have had no involvement in research on this procedure.

X Other (please comment)

Comments:

We have submitted data to the various national trials related to this procedure

3 Status of the procedure

3.1 Which of the following best describes the procedure (choose one):

X Established practice and no longer new.

☐ A minor variation on an existing procedure, which is unlikely to alter that procedure's safety and efficacy.

☐ Definitely novel and of uncertain safety and efficacy.

☐ The first in a new class of procedure.

Comments:

It is an established procedure but used in specific situations. Main issue relates to higher neuroembolic events than CEA. This is why this device could be of benefit. There is trial data suggesting neuroprotection reduces risk of neuroembolic events during CAS

3.2 What would be the comparator (standard practice) to this procedure?

Carotid endarterectomy or best medical treatment.

3.3 Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are performing this procedure (choose one):

☐ More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

☐ 10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

X Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

☐ Cannot give an estimate.

Comments:

4 Safety and efficacy

4.1 What are the adverse effects of the procedure?

Please list adverse events and major risks (even if uncommon) and, if possible, estimate their incidence, as follows:

1. Theoretical adverse events

Potential risk to brain of reversing flow in internal carotid artery for duration of procedure.
Potential risk of re-establishing antegrade flow (reperfusion injury)

2. Anecdotal adverse events (known from experience)

NA

3. Adverse events reported in the literature (if possible please cite literature)

NA

4.2 What are the key efficacy outcomes for this procedure?

Reduced incidence of clinical and subclinical neuroembolic events

4.3 Are there uncertainties or concerns about the *efficacy* of this procedure? If so, what are they?

Potential risk to brain of reversing flow in internal carotid artery for duration of procedure.
Potential risk of re-establishing antegrade flow (reperfusion injury)

4.4 What training and facilities are required to undertake this procedure safely?

Would need lab training and then proctoring in procedure at own institution

4.5 Are there any major trials or registries of this procedure currently in progress? If so, please list.

ACST2

4.6 Are you aware of any abstracts that have been *recently* presented/ published on this procedure that may not be listed in a standard literature search, e.g. PUBMED? (This can include your own work). If yes, please list.

no

4.7 Is there controversy, or important uncertainty, about any aspect of the way in which this procedure is currently being done or disseminated?

unaware

5 Audit Criteria

Please suggest a minimum dataset of criteria by which this procedure could be audited.

5.1 Outcome measures of benefit (including commonly used clinical outcomes – both short and long-term; and quality of life measures):

Clinical and subclinical neurological events
DWI scanning pre and post procedure
Comparison with CEA and BMT

5.2 Adverse outcomes (including potential early and late complications):

Clinical and subclinical neurological events
DWI scanning pre and post procedure
Comparison with CEA and BMT

6 Trajectory of the procedure

6.1 In your opinion, what is the likely speed of diffusion of this procedure?

Slow as only few sites performing procedure in country. Need formal trial compared against CEA and BMT

6.2 This procedure, if safe and efficacious, is likely to be carried out in (choose one):

☐ Most or all district general hospitals.

☐ A minority of hospitals, but at least 10 in the UK.

X Fewer than 10 specialist centres in the UK.

☐ Cannot predict at present.

Comments:

6.3 The potential impact of this procedure on the NHS, in terms of numbers of patients eligible for treatment and use of resources, is:

☐ Major.

X Moderate.

☐ Minor.

Comments:

If reduces risk of CAS will allow more cases to be done but other factors limit suitability of patient for CAS including anatomy and extent of calcification

7 Other information

7.1 Is there any other information about this procedure that might assist NICE in assessing the possible need to investigate its use?

Trial data on CAS

8 Data protection and conflicts of interest

8.1 Data protection statement

The Institute is committed to transparency. As part of this commitment your name and specialist society will be placed in the public domain, in future publications and on our website (www.nice.org.uk) and therefore viewable worldwide. This information may be passed to third parties connected with the work on interventional procedures.

A copy of the completed Specialist Adviser advice will be sent to the Specialist Society who nominated the Specialist Adviser.

Specialist Advisers should be aware that full implementation of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 may oblige us to release Specialist Advice from 2005. The Freedom of Information Act 2000 favours the disclosure of information however requests will be considered on a case by case basis. If information is made available, personal information will be removed in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. In light of this please ensure that you have not named or identified individuals in your comments.

8.2 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

Please state any potential conflicts of interest, or any involvements in disputes or complaints, relevant to this procedure. Please use the "Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers" policy (attached) as a guide when declaring any conflicts of interest. Specialist Advisers should seek advice if required from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

Do you or a member of your family[1] have a **personal pecuniary** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Consultancies or directorships attracting regular or occasional payments in cash or kind	☐	**YES**
	x	**NO**
Fee-paid work – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry **– this includes income earned in the course of private practice**	☐	**YES**
	x	**NO**
Shareholdings – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry	☐	**YES**
	x	**NO**
Expenses and hospitality – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond those reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences	☐	**YES**
	x	**NO**
Investments – any funds which include investments in the healthcare industry	☐	**YES**
	x	**NO**
Do you have a **personal non-pecuniary** interest – eg have you made a public statement about the topic or do you hold an office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the topic?	☐	**YES**
	x	**NO**

Do you have a **non-personal** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Fellowships endowed by the healthcare industry	☐	**YES**
	x	**NO**
Support by the healthcare industry or NICE that benefits his/her position or department, eg grants, sponsorship of posts	☐	**YES**
	x	**NO**

If you have answered YES to any of the above statements please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.

Comments:

[1] 'Family members' refers to a spouse or partner living in the same residence as the member or employee, children for whom the member or employee is legally responsible, and adults for whom the member or employee is legally responsible (for example, an adult whose full power of attorney is held by the individual).

Thank you very much for your help.

Professor Bruce Campbell, Chairman, Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

Professor Carole Longson, Director, Centre for Health Technology Evaluation.

February 2010

Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers

1 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

1.1 Any conflicts of interest set out below should be declared on the questionnaire the Specialist Adviser completes for the procedure.

1.2 Specialist Advisers should seek advice if required from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

2 Personal pecuniary interests

2.1 A personal pecuniary interest involves a current personal payment to a Specialist Adviser, which may either relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as **'specific'** or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as **'non-specific'**. The main examples are as follows.

2.1.1 **Consultancies** – any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for the healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).

2.1.2 **Fee-paid work** – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).

2.1.3 **Shareholdings** – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry that are either held by the individual or for which the individual has legal responsibility (for example, children, or relatives whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual). This does not include shareholdings through unit trusts, pensions funds, or other similar arrangements where the member has no influence on financial management.

2.1.4 **Expenses and hospitality** – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond that reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place.

2.1.5 **Investments** – any funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.

2.2 No personal interest exists in the case of:

2.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where

the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)

2.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

3 Personal family interest

3.1 This relates to the personal interests of a family member and involves a **current payment** to the family member of the Specialist Adviser. The interest may relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as '**specific**', or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as '**non-specific**'. The main examples include the following.

3.1.1 Any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for a healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or in kind.

3.1.2 Any fee-paid work commissioned by a healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind.

3.1.3 Any shareholdings, or other beneficial interests, in a healthcare industry which are either held by the family member or for which an individual covered by this Code has legal responsibility (for example, children, or adults whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual).

3.1.4 Expenses and hospitality provided by a healthcare industry company (except where they are provided to a general class of people such as attendees at an open conference)

3.1.5 Funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.

3.2 No personal family interest exists in the case of:

3.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)

3.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

4 Personal non-pecuniary interests

These might include, but are not limited to:

4.1 a clear opinion, reached as the conclusion of a research project, about the clinical and/or cost effectiveness of an intervention under review

4.2 a public statement in which an individual covered by this Code has expressed a clear opinion about the matter under consideration, which could reasonably be interpreted as prejudicial to an objective interpretation of the evidence

4.3 holding office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the matter under consideration

4.4 other reputational risks in relation to an intervention under review.

5 Non-personal interests

5.1 A non-personal interest involves payment that benefits a department or organisation for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible, but that is not received by the Specialist Advisor personally. This may either relate to the product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as **'specific,'** or to the manufacturer or owner of the product or service, but is unrelated to the matter under consideration, in which case it is regarded as **'non-specific'**. The main examples are as follows.

5.1.1 **Fellowships** – the holding of a fellowship endowed by the healthcare industry.

5.1.2 **Support by the healthcare industry or NICE** – any payment, or other support by the healthcare industry or by NICE that does not convey any pecuniary or material benefit to a member personally but that does benefit his/her position or department. For example:

- a grant from a company for the running of a unit or department for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible
- a grant, fellowship or other payment to sponsor a post or member of staff in the unit for which a Specialist Adviser is responsible. This does not include financial assistance for students
- the commissioning of research or other work by, or advice from, staff who work in a unit for which the specialist advisor is responsible
- one or more contracts with, or grants from, NICE.

5.2 Specialist Advisers are under no obligation to seek out knowledge of work done for, or on behalf of, the healthcare industry within departments for which they are responsible if they would not normally expect to be informed.