

AuNATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Interventional Procedures Programme

Specialist Adviser questionnaire

Before completing this questionnaire, please read Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers. Certain conflicts exclude you from offering advice, however, please return the questionnaire to us incomplete for our records.

Please respond in the boxes provided.

Please complete and return to: tristan.mckenna@nice.org.uk

Procedure Name: IP854/2 Trabecular stent bypass microsurgery for open angle glaucoma

Name of Specialist Advisor: Mr Gupta

Specialist Society: **The Royal College of Ophthalmologists**

1 Do you have adequate knowledge of this procedure to provide advice?

- Yes.
- No – please return the form/answer no more questions.

1.1 Does the title used above describe the procedure adequately?

- Yes.
- No. If no, please enter any other titles below.

Comments:

2 Your involvement in the procedure

2.1 Is this procedure relevant to your specialty?

- Yes.
- Is there any kind of inter-specialty controversy over the procedure?

- No. If no, then answer no more questions, but please give any information you can about who is likely to be doing the procedure.

Comments:

The next 2 questions are about whether you carry out the procedure, or refer patients for it. If you are in a specialty that normally carries out the procedure please answer question 2.2.1. If you are in a specialty that normally selects or refers patients for the procedure, please answer question 2.2.2.

2.2.1 If you are in a specialty that does this procedure, please indicate your experience with it:

- I have never done this procedure.
- I have done this procedure at least once.
- I do this procedure regularly.

Comments:

2.2.2 If your specialty is involved in patient selection or referral to another specialty for this procedure, please indicate your experience with it.

- I have never taken part in the selection or referral of a patient for this procedure.
- I have taken part in patient selection or referred a patient for this procedure at least once.
- I take part in patient selection or refer patients for this procedure regularly.

Comments:

2.3 Please indicate your research experience relating to this procedure (please choose one or more if relevant):

- I have done bibliographic research on this procedure.
- I have done research on this procedure in laboratory settings (e.g. device-related research).
- I have done clinical research on this procedure involving patients or healthy volunteers.
- I have had no involvement in research on this procedure.

Other (please comment)

Comments:

3 Status of the procedure

3.1 Which of the following best describes the procedure (choose one):

- Established practice and no longer new.
- A minor variation on an existing procedure, which is unlikely to alter the procedure's safety and efficacy.
- Definitely novel and of uncertain safety and efficacy.
- The first in a new class of procedure.

Comments:

3.2 What would be the comparator (standard practice) to this procedure?

TRABECULECTOMY WITH MITOMYCIN C

3.3 Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):

- More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
- 10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
- Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
- Cannot give an estimate.

Comments:

4 Safety and efficacy

4.1 What is the potential harm of the procedure?

Please list adverse events and major risks (even if uncommon) and, if possible, estimate their incidence, as follows:

1. Adverse events reported in the literature (if possible please cite literature)

Bleeding
Poor Pressure Control

2. Anecdotal adverse events (known from experience)

Failure
Bleeding
Further treatment

3. Theoretical adverse events

4.2 What are the key efficacy outcomes for this procedure?

Pressure control
Low complication profile

4.3 Are there uncertainties or concerns about the efficacy of this procedure?
If so, what are they?

Not as effective in advanced Glaucoma
Not as effective in Secondary Glaucoma

4.4 What training and facilities are needed to do this procedure safely?

Web Lab training

4.5 Are there any major trials or registries of this procedure currently in progress? If so, please list.

Not aware

4.6 Are you aware of any abstracts that have been recently presented/published on this procedure that may not be listed in a standard literature search, for example PUBMED? (This can include your own work). If yes, please list.

Please note that NICE will do a literature search: we are only asking you for any very recent or potentially obscure abstracts and papers. Please do not feel the need to supply a comprehensive reference list (but you may list any that you think are particularly important if you wish).

4.7 Is there controversy, or important uncertainty, about any aspect of the way in which this procedure is currently being done or disseminated?

No

5 Audit Criteria

Please suggest a minimum dataset of criteria by which this procedure could be audited.

Complications
Pressure control
Long term efficacy 4

5.1 Outcome measures of benefit (including commonly used clinical outcomes, both short and long - term; and quality-of-life measures):

Pressure control
stop Glaucoma progression

5.2 Adverse outcomes (including potential early and late complications):

Failure Bleeding Damage to ocular structures
overflow

6 Trajectory of the procedure

6.1 In your opinion, how quickly do you think use of this procedure will spread?

within a few months

6.2 This procedure, if safe and efficacious, is likely to be carried out in (choose one):

- Most or all district general hospitals.
- A minority of hospitals, but at least 10 in the UK.
- Fewer than 10 specialist centres in the UK.
- Cannot predict at present.

Comments:

6.3 The potential impact of this procedure on the NHS, in terms of numbers of patients eligible for treatment and use of resources, is:

- Major.
- Moderate.
- Minor.

Comments:

7 Other information

7.1 Is there any other information about this procedure that might assist NICE in assessing the possible need to investigate its use?

No

8 Data protection and conflicts of interest

8. Data protection, freedom of information and conflicts of interest

8.1 Data Protection

The information you submit on this form will be retained and used by the NICE and its advisers for the purpose of developing its guidance and may be passed to other approved third parties. Your name and specialist society will be published in NICE publications and on the NICE website. The specialist advice questionnaire will be published in accordance with our guidance development processes and a copy will be sent to the nominating Specialist Society. Please avoid identifying any individual in your comments.

I have read and understood this statement and accept that personal information sent to us will be retained and used for the purposes and in the manner specified above and in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

8.2 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

Nothing in your submission shall restrict any disclosure of information by NICE that is required by law (including in particular, but without limitation, the Freedom of Information Act 2000).

Please submit a conflicts of interest declaration form listing any potential conflicts of interest including any involvement you may have in disputes or complaints relating to this procedure.

Please use the "Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers" policy as a guide when declaring any conflicts of interest. Specialist Advisers should seek advice if needed from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

Do you or a member of your family¹ have a **personal pecuniary** interest? The main examples are as follows:

- | | |
|--|--|
| Consultancies or directorships attracting regular or occasional payments in cash or kind | <input type="checkbox"/> YES |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO |
| Fee-paid work – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry – this includes income earned in the course of private practice | <input type="checkbox"/> YES |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO |
| Shareholdings – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry | <input type="checkbox"/> YES |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO |

¹ 'Family members' refers to a spouse or partner living in the same residence as the member or employee, children for whom the member or employee is legally responsible, and adults for whom the member or employee is legally responsible (for example, an adult whose full power of attorney is held by the individual).

Expenses and hospitality – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond those reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences YES NO

Investments – any funds that include investments in the healthcare industry YES NO

Do you have a **personal non-pecuniary** interest – for example have you made a public statement about the topic or do you hold an office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the topic? YES NO

Do you have a **non-personal** interest? The main examples are as follows:
Fellowships endowed by the healthcare industry YES NO

Support by the healthcare industry or NICE that benefits his/her position or department, eg grants, sponsorship of posts YES NO

If you have answered YES to any of the above statements, please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.

Comments:

Thank you very much for your help.

Dr Tom Clutton-Brock, Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee Chair **Professor Carole Longson, Director, Centre for Health Technology Evaluation.**

Jan 2016

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Interventional Procedures Programme

Specialist Adviser questionnaire

Before completing this questionnaire, please read [Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers](#). Certain conflicts exclude you from offering advice, however, please return the questionnaire to us incomplete for our records.

Please respond in the boxes provided.

Please complete and return to: tristan.mckenna@nice.org.uk

Procedure Name: IP854/2 Trabecular stent bypass microsurgery for open angle glaucoma

Name of Specialist Advisor: Mr Barton

Specialist Society: **The Royal College of Ophthalmologists**

1 Do you have adequate knowledge of this procedure to provide advice?

Yes.

No – please return the form/answer no more questions.

1.1 Does the title used above describe the procedure adequately?

Yes.

No. If no, please enter any other titles below.

Comments:

2 Your involvement in the procedure

2.1 Is this procedure relevant to your specialty?

Yes.

Is there any kind of inter-specialty controversy over the procedure?

- No. If no, then answer no more questions, but please give any information you can about who is likely to be doing the procedure. **THIS MAKES NO SENSE. Please sort out your form!**

Comments:

The next 2 questions are about whether you carry out the procedure, or refer patients for it. If you are in a specialty that normally carries out the procedure please answer question 2.2.1. If you are in a specialty that normally selects or refers patients for the procedure, please answer question 2.2.2.

2.2.1 If you are in a specialty that does this procedure, please indicate your experience with it:

- I have never done this procedure.
- I have done this procedure at least once.
- I do this procedure regularly.

Comments:

2.2.2 If your specialty is involved in patient selection or referral to another specialty for this procedure, please indicate your experience with it.

- I have never taken part in the selection or referral of a patient for this procedure.
- I have taken part in patient selection or referred a patient for this procedure at least once.
- I take part in patient selection or refer patients for this procedure regularly.

Comments:

2.3 Please indicate your research experience relating to this procedure (please choose one or more if relevant):

- I have done bibliographic research on this procedure.
- I have done research on this procedure in laboratory settings (e.g. device-related research).
- I have done clinical research on this procedure involving patients or healthy volunteers.

- I have had no involvement in research on this procedure.
- Other (please comment)

Comments:

3 Status of the procedure

3.1 Which of the following best describes the procedure (choose one):

- Established practice and no longer new.
- A minor variation on an existing procedure, which is unlikely to alter the procedure's safety and efficacy.
- Definitely novel and of uncertain safety and efficacy.
- The first in a new class of procedure.

Comments:

3.2 What would be the comparator (standard practice) to this procedure?

Medical therapy, laser trabeculoplasty and some other newer types of procedure eg. Ab interno trabeculotomy

3.3 Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):

- More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
- 10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
- Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
- Cannot give an estimate.

Comments:

4 Safety and efficacy

4.1 What is the potential harm of the procedure?

Please list adverse events and major risks (even if uncommon) and, if possible, estimate their incidence, as follows:

1. Adverse events reported in the literature (if possible please cite literature)

Very little downsides other than waste of time and money if it doesn't work.
Opportunity cost or distraction. I.e. procedure of modest efficacy may delay use of more effective procedure and therefore delay in control of disease

2. Anecdotal adverse events (known from experience)

Ditto

3. Theoretical adverse events

Ditto

4.2 What are the key efficacy outcomes for this procedure?

Intraocular pressure, reduction in burden of glaucoma medication usage and therefore potentially quality of life and cost effectiveness.

4.3 Are there uncertainties or concerns about the *efficacy* of this procedure? If so, what are they?

Modest efficacy

4.4 What training and facilities are needed to do this procedure safely?

Wetlab facilities useful for initial learning curve

4.5 Are there any major trials or registries of this procedure currently in progress? If so, please list.

Available on pubmed

4.6 Are you aware of any abstracts that have been *recently* presented/ published on this procedure that may not be listed in a standard literature search, for example PUBMED? (This can include your own work). If yes, please list.

Please note that NICE will do a literature search: we are only asking you for any very recent or potentially obscure abstracts and papers. Please do not feel the need to supply a comprehensive reference list (but you may list any that you think are particularly important if you wish).

No

4.7 Is there controversy, or important uncertainty, about any aspect of the way in which this procedure is currently being done or disseminated?

Main concern is potential cost versus modest efficacy

5 Audit Criteria

Please suggest a minimum dataset of criteria by which this procedure could be audited.

Visual outcome

Surgical time and cost of surgery including stent

Intraocular pressure control – ie baseline pressure versus pressure eg 2 years after surgery

Reduction in glaucoma medication burden – cost and quality of life

5.1 Outcome measures of benefit (including commonly used clinical outcomes, both short and long - term; and quality-of-life measures):

Intraocular pressure control

Reduction in glaucoma medication usage after eg 2 years

Quality of life measures should be related to the benefits from less dependence on long-term medication, rather than better long term glaucoma control

5.2 Adverse outcomes (including potential early and late complications):

Stent malposition, dislocation, failure of IOP control,

6 Trajectory of the procedure

This is one of many new developments in glaucoma surgery. Glaukos, the first company to bring a stent to market has developed a market capitalisation of over \$950m in one year with a P:E ratio of >400. There is therefore a huge commercial drive to develop this area.

6.1 In your opinion, how quickly do you think use of this procedure will spread?

There are a number of similar devices under development and a huge commercial drive to increase market penetrance. Over the next 2 years, the use of these devices will become widespread.

6.2 This procedure, if safe and efficacious, is likely to be carried out in (choose one):

- Most or all district general hospitals.
- A minority of hospitals, but at least 10 in the UK.
- Fewer than 10 specialist centres in the UK.
- Cannot predict at present.

Comments:

6.3 The potential impact of this procedure on the NHS, in terms of numbers of patients eligible for treatment and use of resources, is:

- Major.
- Moderate.
- Minor.

Comments:

7 Other information

7.1 Is there any other information about this procedure that might assist NICE in assessing the possible need to investigate its use?

8 Data protection and conflicts of interest

8. Data protection, freedom of information and conflicts of interest

8.1 Data Protection

The information you submit on this form will be retained and used by the NICE and its advisers for the purpose of developing its guidance and may be passed to other approved third parties. Your name and specialist society will be published in NICE publications and on the NICE website. The specialist advice questionnaire will be published in accordance with our guidance development processes and a copy will be sent to the nominating Specialist Society. Please avoid identifying any individual in your comments.

- I have read and understood this statement and accept that personal information sent to us will be retained and used for the purposes and in the manner specified above and in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

8.2 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

Nothing in your submission shall restrict any disclosure of information by NICE that is required by law (including in particular, but without limitation, the Freedom of Information Act 2000).

Please submit a conflicts of interest declaration form listing any potential conflicts of interest including any involvement you may have in disputes or complaints relating to this procedure.

Please use the “Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers” policy as a guide when declaring any conflicts of interest. Specialist Advisers should seek advice if needed from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

Do you or a member of your family¹ have a **personal pecuniary** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Consultancies or directorships attracting regular or occasional payments in cash or kind **YES**
 NO

Fee-paid work – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry – **this includes income earned in the course of private practice** **YES**
 NO

Shareholdings – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry **YES**
 NO

NB: I have stock options in one company that has since been taken over. This company does not manufacture stents but does manufacture competitive devices to stents.

Expenses and hospitality – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond those reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences **YES**
 NO

Investments – any funds that include investments in the healthcare industry **YES**
 NO

Do you have a **personal non-pecuniary** interest – for example have you made a public statement about the topic or do you hold an office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the topic? **YES**
 NO

YES: I am chair of a charity that supports patients with glaucoma

Do you have a **non-personal** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Fellowships endowed by the healthcare industry **YES**
 NO

Support by the healthcare industry or NICE that benefits his/her position or department, eg grants, sponsorship of posts **YES**
 NO

¹ ‘Family members’ refers to a spouse or partner living in the same residence as the member or employee, children for whom the member or employee is legally responsible, and adults for whom the member or employee is legally responsible (for example, an adult whose full power of attorney is held by the individual).

If you have answered YES to any of the above statements, please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.

Please see attached file

Comments:

Thank you very much for your help.

**Dr Tom Clutton-Brock, Interventional
Procedures Advisory Committee Chair**

**Professor Carole Longson, Director,
Centre for Health Technology
Evaluation.**

Jan 2016

Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers

1 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

- 1.1 Any conflicts of interest set out below should be declared on the questionnaire the Specialist Adviser completes for the procedure.
- 1.2 Specialist Advisers should seek advice if required from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

2 Personal pecuniary interests

- 2.1 A personal pecuniary interest involves a current personal payment to a Specialist Adviser, which may either relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as '**specific**' or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as '**non-specific**'. The main examples are as follows.
 - 2.1.1 **Consultancies** – any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for the healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
 - 2.1.2 **Fee-paid work** – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
 - 2.1.3 **Shareholdings** – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry that are either held by the individual or for which the individual has legal responsibility (for example, children, or relatives whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual). This does not include shareholdings through unit trusts, pensions funds, or other similar arrangements where the member has no influence on financial management.
 - 2.1.4 **Expenses and hospitality** – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond that reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
 - 2.1.5 **Investments** – any funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.
- 2.2 No personal interest exists in the case of:
 - 2.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)
 - 2.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

3 **Personal family interest**

- 3.1 This relates to the personal interests of a family member and involves a **current payment** to the family member of the Specialist Adviser. The interest may relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as '**specific**', or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as '**non-specific**'. The main examples include the following.
- 3.1.1 Any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for a healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or in kind.
- 3.1.2 Any fee-paid work commissioned by a healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind.
- 3.1.3 Any shareholdings, or other beneficial interests, in a healthcare industry which are either held by the family member or for which an individual covered by this Code has legal responsibility (for example, children, or adults whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual).
- 3.1.4 Expenses and hospitality provided by a healthcare industry company (except where they are provided to a general class of people such as attendees at an open conference)
- 3.1.5 Funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.
- 3.2 No personal family interest exists in the case of:
- 3.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)
- 3.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

4 **Personal non-pecuniary interests**

These might include, but are not limited to:

- 4.1 a clear opinion, reached as the conclusion of a research project, about the clinical and/or cost effectiveness of an intervention under review
- 4.2 a public statement in which an individual covered by this Code has expressed a clear opinion about the matter under consideration, which could reasonably be interpreted as prejudicial to an objective interpretation of the evidence
- 4.3 holding office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the matter under consideration
- 4.4 other reputational risks in relation to an intervention under review.

5 **Non-personal interests**

- 5.1 A non-personal interest involves payment that benefits a department or organisation for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible, but that is not received by the Specialist Advisor personally. This may either relate to the product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as '**specific**,' or to the manufacturer or owner of the product or service, but is unrelated to the matter under consideration, in which case it is regarded as '**non-specific**'. The main examples are as follows.

- 5.1.1 **Fellowships** – the holding of a fellowship endowed by the healthcare industry.
- 5.1.2 **Support by the healthcare industry or NICE** – any payment, or other support by the healthcare industry or by NICE that does not convey any pecuniary or material benefit to a member personally but that does benefit his/her position or department. For example:
- a grant from a company for the running of a unit or department for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible
 - a grant, fellowship or other payment to sponsor a post or member of staff in the unit for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible. This does not include financial assistance for students
 - the commissioning of research or other work by, or advice from, staff who work in a unit for which the specialist advisor is responsible
 - one or more contracts with, or grants from, NICE.
- 5.2 Specialist Advisers are under no obligation to seek out knowledge of work done for, or on behalf of, the healthcare industry within departments for which they are responsible if they would not normally expect to be informed.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Interventional Procedures Programme

Specialist Adviser questionnaire

Before completing this questionnaire, please read [Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers](#). Certain conflicts exclude you from offering advice, however, please return the questionnaire to us incomplete for our records.

Please respond in the boxes provided.

Please complete and return to: tristan.mckenna@nice.org.uk

Procedure Name: IP854/2 Trabecular stent bypass microsurgery for open angle glaucoma

Name of Specialist Advisor: Mr Masood

Specialist Society: **The Royal College of Ophthalmologists**

1 Do you have adequate knowledge of this procedure to provide advice?

Yes.

No – please return the form/answer no more questions.

1.1 Does the title used above describe the procedure adequately?

Yes.

No. If no, please enter any other titles below.

Comments:

2 Your involvement in the procedure

2.1 Is this procedure relevant to your specialty?

Yes.

Is there any kind of inter-specialty controversy over the procedure?

- No. If no, then answer no more questions, but please give any information you can about who is likely to be doing the procedure.

Comments:

The next 2 questions are about whether you carry out the procedure, or refer patients for it. If you are in a specialty that normally carries out the procedure please answer question 2.2.1. If you are in a specialty that normally selects or refers patients for the procedure, please answer question 2.2.2.

2.2.1 If you are in a specialty that does this procedure, please indicate your experience with it:

- I have never done this procedure.
- I have done this procedure at least once.
- I do this procedure regularly.

Comments:

I have probably one of the largest experience in the UK of this device having implanted almost 400 devices. I have been performing the procedure since 2011.

2.2.2 If your specialty is involved in patient selection or referral to another specialty for this procedure, please indicate your experience with it.

- I have never taken part in the selection or referral of a patient for this procedure.
- I have taken part in patient selection or referred a patient for this procedure at least once.
- I take part in patient selection or refer patients for this procedure regularly.

Comments:

2.3 Please indicate your research experience relating to this procedure (please choose one or more if relevant):

- I have done bibliographic research on this procedure.
- I have done research on this procedure in laboratory settings (e.g. device-related research).
- I have done clinical research on this procedure involving patients or healthy volunteers.

- I have had no involvement in research on this procedure.
- Other (please comment)

Comments:

3 Status of the procedure

3.1 Which of the following best describes the procedure (choose one):

- Established practice and no longer new.
- A minor variation on an existing procedure, which is unlikely to alter the procedure's safety and efficacy.
- Definitely novel and of uncertain safety and efficacy.
- The first in a new class of procedure.

Comments:

A number of hospitals across the UK offer this surgery but many do not.

3.2 What would be the comparator (standard practice) to this procedure?

There is no commercially available standard/ comparator.

3.3 Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):

- More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
- 10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
- Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
- Cannot give an estimate.

Comments:

The actual proportion may be just over 10%

4 Safety and efficacy

4.1 What is the potential harm of the procedure?

Please list adverse events and major risks (even if uncommon) and, if possible, estimate their incidence, as follows:

1. Adverse events reported in the literature (if possible please cite literature)

The usual complications of eye surgery, damage to intraocular structures likely due to inexperience and poor technique.

2. Anecdotal adverse events (known from experience)

Apart from the need for further glaucoma surgery in 5% of patients I have not had any adverse events personally. I have had one patient referred with a lost stent in the eye. This was easily identified on an ultrasound scan and due to its location it was decided that there was no harm in leaving it put.

3. Theoretical adverse events

4.2 What are the key efficacy outcomes for this procedure?

Intra-ocular pressure control

4.3 Are there uncertainties or concerns about the efficacy of this procedure? If so, what are they?

There are some uncertainties over how efficacious this procedure is in terms of degree of pressure lowering however my personal experience in complex cases is that this is a real advance in the management of these. The key to its efficacy is correct placement of the stent, however this requires significant experience.

4.4 What training and facilities are needed to do this procedure safely?

We have set up a Wetlab Training Course in Birmingham (The Istent Masterclass) This has run successfully over the last 2 occasions with surgeons from across the UK attending. My view is that one should attend such a course and then observe surgery before attempting it in routine cases. Ophthalmic microscopes that tilt are needed to perform this surgery.

4.5 Are there any major trials or registries of this procedure currently in progress? If so, please list.

The current trials I am aware of and participating in are the Hydrus III and Hydrus V trials which are international multicentre RCTs comparing the istent to a newer stent called the Hydrus.

4.6 Are you aware of any abstracts that have been recently presented/ published on this procedure that may not be listed in a standard literature search, for example PUBMED? (This can include your own work). If yes, please list.

Please note that NICE will do a literature search: we are only asking you for any very recent or potentially obscure abstracts and papers. Please do not feel the need to supply a comprehensive reference list (but you may list any that you think are particularly important if you wish).

See attached poster presented by our group at the International Society of Glaucoma Surgery 2016

4.7 Is there controversy, or important uncertainty, about any aspect of the way in which this procedure is currently being done or disseminated?

Currently the availability is piecemeal and the efficacy is doubted by some surgeons. In my view patients who may benefit are not getting the surgery.

5 Audit Criteria

Please suggest a minimum dataset of criteria by which this procedure could be audited.

5.1 Outcome measures of benefit (including commonly used clinical outcomes, both short and long - term; and quality-of-life measures):

Intra-ocular Pressure control, Reduction in number of eye drops and thus improved ocular surface and less ocular side-effects (Quality of Life)

5.2 Adverse outcomes (including potential early and late complications):

Early complications essentially include failure of the procedure requiring further surgery and late complications include the same.

6 Trajectory of the procedure

6.1 In your opinion, how quickly do you think use of this procedure will spread?

I think once we get more data on complex cases in the published domain (Our group is close to submitting 3 year data on complex cases) the procedure will gain more traction. In addition high volume surgeons are training the next generation of glaucoma surgeons who will undoubtedly employ these procedures more. We are looking at least another 2-5 years.

6.2 This procedure, if safe and efficacious, is likely to be carried out in (choose one):

- Most or all district general hospitals.
- A minority of hospitals, but at least 10 in the UK.
- Fewer than 10 specialist centres in the UK.
- Cannot predict at present.

Comments:

As success in this procedure is heavily dependent on accurate stent placement, those surgeons performing larger numbers are more likely to get successful results.

The benefit of the procedure is not realised unless the placement is accurate. The other major issue is the cost of the stent. However potentially all glaucoma surgeons could offer this to their patients.

6.3 The potential impact of this procedure on the NHS, in terms of numbers of patients eligible for treatment and use of resources, is:

- Major.
- Moderate.
- Minor.

Comments:

Patient eligible for this procedure include anyone undergoing cataract surgery with glaucoma on medication. In practice patients on 2-3 glaucoma medications with suboptimal IOP control are probably most likely to benefit in terms of medication reduction and IOP control. It would not be cost effective to offer it to all glaucoma patients.

7 Other information

7.1 Is there any other information about this procedure that might assist NICE in assessing the possible need to investigate its use?

My personal view is that Trabecular Microbypass surgery whether using the Istent or any other stent is a real paradigm shift in glaucoma treatment and we have certainly benefited large numbers of patients including those with complex glaucoma in our unit.

8 Data protection and conflicts of interest

8. Data protection, freedom of information and conflicts of interest

8.1 Data Protection

The information you submit on this form will be retained and used by the NICE and its advisers for the purpose of developing its guidance and may be passed to other approved third parties. Your name and specialist society will be published in NICE publications and on the NICE website. The specialist advice questionnaire will be published in accordance with our guidance development processes and a copy will be sent to the nominating Specialist Society. Please avoid identifying any individual in your comments.

X I have read and understood this statement and accept that personal information sent to us will be retained and used for the purposes and in the manner specified above and in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

8.2 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

Nothing in your submission shall restrict any disclosure of information by NICE that is required by law (including in particular, but without limitation, the Freedom of Information Act 2000).

Please submit a conflicts of interest declaration form listing any potential conflicts of interest including any involvement you may have in disputes or complaints relating to this procedure.

Please use the “Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers” policy as a guide when declaring any conflicts of interest. Specialist Advisers should seek advice if needed from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

Do you or a member of your family¹ have a **personal pecuniary** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Consultancies or directorships attracting regular or occasional payments in cash or kind **YES**
 NO

Fee-paid work – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry – **this includes income earned in the course of private practice** **YES**
 NO

Shareholdings – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry **YES**
 NO

Expenses and hospitality – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond those reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences **YES**
 NO

Investments – any funds that include investments in the healthcare industry **YES**
 NO

Do you have a **personal non-pecuniary** interest – for example have you made a public statement about the topic or do you hold an office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the topic? **YES**
 NO

Do you have a **non-personal** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Fellowships endowed by the healthcare industry **YES**
 NO

Support by the healthcare industry or NICE that benefits his/her position or department, eg grants, sponsorship of posts **YES**
 NO

If you have answered YES to any of the above statements, please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.

¹ ‘Family members’ refers to a spouse or partner living in the same residence as the member or employee, children for whom the member or employee is legally responsible, and adults for whom the member or employee is legally responsible (for example, an adult whose full power of attorney is held by the individual).

Comments:

I am a paid Consultant to Glaukos (The company producing the IStent)
Thank you very much for your help.

**Dr Tom Clutton-Brock, Interventional
Procedures Advisory Committee Chair**

**Professor Carole Longson, Director,
Centre for Health Technology
Evaluation.**

Jan 2016

Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers

1 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

- 1.1 Any conflicts of interest set out below should be declared on the questionnaire the Specialist Adviser completes for the procedure.
- 1.2 Specialist Advisers should seek advice if required from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

2 Personal pecuniary interests

- 2.1 A personal pecuniary interest involves a current personal payment to a Specialist Adviser, which may either relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as '**specific**' or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as '**non-specific**'. The main examples are as follows.
 - 2.1.1 **Consultancies** – any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for the healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
 - 2.1.2 **Fee-paid work** – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
 - 2.1.3 **Shareholdings** – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry that are either held by the individual or for which the individual has legal responsibility (for example, children, or relatives whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual). This does not include shareholdings through unit trusts, pensions funds, or other similar arrangements where the member has no influence on financial management.
 - 2.1.4 **Expenses and hospitality** – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond that reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
 - 2.1.5 **Investments** – any funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.
- 2.2 No personal interest exists in the case of:
 - 2.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)
 - 2.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

3 **Personal family interest**

- 3.1 This relates to the personal interests of a family member and involves a **current payment** to the family member of the Specialist Adviser. The interest may relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as **'specific'**, or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as **'non-specific'**. The main examples include the following.
- 3.1.1 Any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for a healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or in kind.
- 3.1.2 Any fee-paid work commissioned by a healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind.
- 3.1.3 Any shareholdings, or other beneficial interests, in a healthcare industry which are either held by the family member or for which an individual covered by this Code has legal responsibility (for example, children, or adults whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual).
- 3.1.4 Expenses and hospitality provided by a healthcare industry company (except where they are provided to a general class of people such as attendees at an open conference)
- 3.1.5 Funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.
- 3.2 No personal family interest exists in the case of:
- 3.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)
- 3.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

4 **Personal non-pecuniary interests**

These might include, but are not limited to:

- 4.1 a clear opinion, reached as the conclusion of a research project, about the clinical and/or cost effectiveness of an intervention under review
- 4.2 a public statement in which an individual covered by this Code has expressed a clear opinion about the matter under consideration, which could reasonably be interpreted as prejudicial to an objective interpretation of the evidence
- 4.3 holding office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the matter under consideration
- 4.4 other reputational risks in relation to an intervention under review.

5 **Non-personal interests**

- 5.1 A non-personal interest involves payment that benefits a department or organisation for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible, but that is not received by the Specialist Advisor personally. This may either relate to the product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as **'specific,'** or to the manufacturer or owner of the product or service, but is unrelated to the matter under consideration, in which case it is regarded as **'non-specific'**. The main examples are as follows.

5.1.1 **Fellowships** – the holding of a fellowship endowed by the healthcare industry.

5.1.2 **Support by the healthcare industry or NICE** – any payment, or other support by the healthcare industry or by NICE that does not convey any pecuniary or material benefit to a member personally but that does benefit his/her position or department. For example:

- a grant from a company for the running of a unit or department for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible
- a grant, fellowship or other payment to sponsor a post or member of staff in the unit for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible. This does not include financial assistance for students
- the commissioning of research or other work by, or advice from, staff who work in a unit for which the specialist advisor is responsible
- one or more contracts with, or grants from, NICE.

5.2 Specialist Advisers are under no obligation to seek out knowledge of work done for, or on behalf of, the healthcare industry within departments for which they are responsible if they would not normally expect to be informed.

AuNATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Interventional Procedures Programme

Specialist Adviser questionnaire

Before completing this questionnaire, please read [Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers](#). Certain conflicts exclude you from offering advice, however, please return the questionnaire to us incomplete for our records.

Please respond in the boxes provided.

Please complete and return to: tristan.mckenna@nice.org.uk

Procedure Name: IP854/2 Trabecular stent bypass microsurgery for open angle glaucoma

Name of Specialist Advisor: Mr Au

Specialist Society: **The Royal College of Ophthalmologists**

1 Do you have adequate knowledge of this procedure to provide advice?

Yes.

No – please return the form/answer no more questions.

1.1 Does the title used above describe the procedure adequately?

Yes.

No. If no, please enter any other titles below.

Comments:

2 Your involvement in the procedure

2.1 Is this procedure relevant to your specialty?

Yes.

Is there any kind of inter-specialty controversy over the procedure?

- No. If no, then answer no more questions, but please give any information you can about who is likely to be doing the procedure.

Comments:

The next 2 questions are about whether you carry out the procedure, or refer patients for it. If you are in a specialty that normally carries out the procedure please answer question 2.2.1. If you are in a specialty that normally selects or refers patients for the procedure, please answer question 2.2.2.

2.2.1 If you are in a specialty that does this procedure, please indicate your experience with it:

- I have never done this procedure.
- I have done this procedure at least once.
- I do this procedure regularly.

Comments:

I was the first to perform this procedure in the UK (Jan 2010) and has been performing this regularly since (35-40 per year). We have published our initial result, 3 year results and currently preparing our 5 years result

2.2.2 If your specialty is involved in patient selection or referral to another specialty for this procedure, please indicate your experience with it.

- I have never taken part in the selection or referral of a patient for this procedure.
- I have taken part in patient selection or referred a patient for this procedure at least once.
- I take part in patient selection or refer patients for this procedure regularly.

Comments:

2.3 Please indicate your research experience relating to this procedure (please choose one or more if relevant):

- I have done bibliographic research on this procedure.
- I have done research on this procedure in laboratory settings (e.g. device-related research).
- I have done clinical research on this procedure involving patients or healthy volunteers.

- I have had no involvement in research on this procedure.
- Other (please comment)

Comments:

I've reviewed the literature for this procedure as part of review papers I wrote. I'm also PI for clinical trial involving the comparison of two different types of trabecular stents

3 Status of the procedure

3.1 Which of the following best describes the procedure (choose one):

- Established practice and no longer new.
- A minor variation on an existing procedure, which is unlikely to alter the procedure's safety and efficacy.
- Definitely novel and of uncertain safety and efficacy.
- The first in a new class of procedure.

Comments:

UK practice has been established since 2010 while European data goes back a little further. The safety has been well proven, while the efficacy especially for longer term (5-10 years) has been limited.

3.2 What would be the comparator (standard practice) to this procedure?

There isn't a standard practice to compare to. Traditional glaucoma surgery (trabeculectomy) is a far more invasive procedure. It's efficacy is well proven and superior to trabecular stent, but loses out on safety profile.

3.3 Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):

- More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
- 10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
- Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.
- Cannot give an estimate.

Comments:

4 Safety and efficacy

4.1 What is the potential harm of the procedure?

Please list adverse events and major risks (even if uncommon) and, if possible, estimate their incidence, as follows:

1. Adverse events reported in the literature (if possible please cite literature)

No serious adverse events reported. Stent obstruction has been reported which leads to failure but no ocular damage. Transient hyphema occurs in less than 5% of patient and spontaneously resolved without issues.

2. Anecdotal adverse events (known from experience)

Stent malposition and stent dislocation due to poor surgical technique. The device is small and has been known to “disappear” into the anterior chamber during surgery. The stent can be identified using ultrasound and there’s been no report on migrated stent causing any intraocular damage

3. Theoretical adverse events

Stent is made of titanium and is currently safe with existing MRI scanners. However if MRI scanner’s strength increases in future, then the safety may theoretically need to be reassessed

4.2 What are the key efficacy outcomes for this procedure?

Stent is most often used in combination of cataract surgery. This combo surgery has been shown to significantly lower IOP and reduces the number of glaucoma medication. Few studies have demonstrated the IOP lowering effect of these stents when performed as a solo procedure too

4.3 Are there uncertainties or concerns about the efficacy of this procedure? If so, what are they?

As the stent is often inserted at the same time as cataract surgery, there are concerns and arguments that the resulting IOP lowering is in fact due to the cataract surgery and not the stent. However well conducted study from Craven et al and Fea et al both demonstrated phaco stent patients achieve a lower IOP and less medication than phaco alone.

4.4 What training and facilities are needed to do this procedure safely?

Surgeons need to be familiar with gonio-assisted surgery which is not a skill familiar to most adult glaucoma surgeons. Once learnt the critical skill becomes the correct insertion of the stent into schlemm’s canal. The stent can be misplaced into the wrong structure at the angle by inexperienced surgeon, admittedly without causing any harm to the patients

4.5 Are there any major trials or registries of this procedure currently in progress? If so, please list.

I am not aware any major trials on going with this particular device.

4.6 Are you aware of any abstracts that have been *recently* presented/ published on this procedure that may not be listed in a standard literature search, for example PUBMED? (This can include your own work). If yes, please list.

Please note that NICE will do a literature search: we are only asking you for any very recent or potentially obscure abstracts and papers. Please do not feel the need to supply a comprehensive reference list (but you may list any that you think are particularly important if you wish).

We have our “Manchester istent study: 5 year result and cost analysis” accepted for poster presentation at the upcoming AAO meeting 2016.

4.7 Is there controversy, or important uncertainty, about any aspect of the way in which this procedure is currently being done or disseminated?

Not that I'm aware of

5 Audit Criteria

Please suggest a minimum dataset of criteria by which this procedure could be audited.

5.1 Outcome measures of benefit (including commonly used clinical outcomes, both short and long - term; and quality-of-life measures):

IOP, medication, complete success of IOP less than or equal to 21 without medication (complete) or regardless of medication (qualified).

5.2 Adverse outcomes (including potential early and late complications):

stent obstruction, hyphema

6 Trajectory of the procedure

6.1 In your opinion, how quickly do you think use of this procedure will spread?

The concept is gaining popularity. However the current reimbursement rate does not cover the cost of phaco stent surgery hence the take up of this procedure is hindered

6.2 This procedure, if safe and efficacious, is likely to be carried out in (choose one):

- Most or all district general hospitals.
- A minority of hospitals, but at least 10 in the UK.
- Fewer than 10 specialist centres in the UK.

Cannot predict at present.

Comments:

6.3 The potential impact of this procedure on the NHS, in terms of numbers of patients eligible for treatment and use of resources, is:

Major.

Moderate.

Minor.

Comments:

7 Other information

7.1 Is there any other information about this procedure that might assist NICE in assessing the possible need to investigate its use?

8 Data protection and conflicts of interest

8. Data protection, freedom of information and conflicts of interest

8.1 Data Protection

The information you submit on this form will be retained and used by the NICE and its advisers for the purpose of developing its guidance and may be passed to other approved third parties. Your name and specialist society will be published in NICE publications and on the NICE website. The specialist advice questionnaire will be published in accordance with our guidance development processes and a copy will be sent to the nominating Specialist Society. Please avoid identifying any individual in your comments.

I have read and understood this statement and accept that personal information sent to us will be retained and used for the purposes and in the manner specified above and in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

8.2 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

Nothing in your submission shall restrict any disclosure of information by NICE that is required by law (including in particular, but without limitation, the Freedom of Information Act 2000).

Please submit a conflicts of interest declaration form listing any potential conflicts of interest including any involvement you may have in disputes or complaints relating to this procedure.

Please use the “Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers” policy as a guide when declaring any conflicts of interest. Specialist Advisers should seek advice if needed from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

Do you or a member of your family¹ have a **personal pecuniary** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Consultancies or directorships attracting regular or occasional payments in cash or kind YES

NO

Fee-paid work – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry – **this includes income earned in the course of private practice** YES

NO

Shareholdings – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry YES

NO

Expenses and hospitality – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond those reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences YES

NO

Investments – any funds that include investments in the healthcare industry YES

NO

Do you have a **personal non-pecuniary** interest – for example have you made a public statement about the topic or do you hold an office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the topic? YES

NO

Do you have a **non-personal** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Fellowships endowed by the healthcare industry YES

NO

Support by the healthcare industry or NICE that benefits his/her position or department, eg grants, sponsorship of posts YES

NO

If you have answered YES to any of the above statements, please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.

Comments:

I have received fees for lectures, travel reimbursement, sat on advisory board and received support for research from Glaukos who procdued the istent, Ivantis who

¹ ‘Family members’ refers to a spouse or partner living in the same residence as the member or employee, children for whom the member or employee is legally responsible, and adults for whom the member or employee is legally responsible (for example, an adult whose full power of attorney is held by the individual).

produces a competing product called Hydrus, as well as other new glaucoma surgical competitors like Allergan, Alcon, Eyetechnicare .

Thank you very much for your help.

**Dr Tom Clutton-Brock, Interventional
Procedures Advisory Committee Chair**

**Professor Carole Longson, Director,
Centre for Health Technology
Evaluation.**

Jan 2016

Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers

1 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

- 1.1 Any conflicts of interest set out below should be declared on the questionnaire the Specialist Adviser completes for the procedure.
- 1.2 Specialist Advisers should seek advice if required from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

2 Personal pecuniary interests

- 2.1 A personal pecuniary interest involves a current personal payment to a Specialist Adviser, which may either relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as '**specific**' or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as '**non-specific**'. The main examples are as follows.
 - 2.1.1 **Consultancies** – any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for the healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
 - 2.1.2 **Fee-paid work** – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
 - 2.1.3 **Shareholdings** – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry that are either held by the individual or for which the individual has legal responsibility (for example, children, or relatives whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual). This does not include shareholdings through unit trusts, pensions funds, or other similar arrangements where the member has no influence on financial management.
 - 2.1.4 **Expenses and hospitality** – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond that reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).
 - 2.1.5 **Investments** – any funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.
- 2.2 No personal interest exists in the case of:
 - 2.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)
 - 2.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

3 **Personal family interest**

- 3.1 This relates to the personal interests of a family member and involves a **current payment** to the family member of the Specialist Adviser. The interest may relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as **'specific'**, or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as **'non-specific'**. The main examples include the following.
- 3.1.1 Any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for a healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or in kind.
- 3.1.2 Any fee-paid work commissioned by a healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind.
- 3.1.3 Any shareholdings, or other beneficial interests, in a healthcare industry which are either held by the family member or for which an individual covered by this Code has legal responsibility (for example, children, or adults whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual).
- 3.1.4 Expenses and hospitality provided by a healthcare industry company (except where they are provided to a general class of people such as attendees at an open conference)
- 3.1.5 Funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.
- 3.2 No personal family interest exists in the case of:
- 3.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)
- 3.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

4 **Personal non-pecuniary interests**

These might include, but are not limited to:

- 4.1 a clear opinion, reached as the conclusion of a research project, about the clinical and/or cost effectiveness of an intervention under review
- 4.2 a public statement in which an individual covered by this Code has expressed a clear opinion about the matter under consideration, which could reasonably be interpreted as prejudicial to an objective interpretation of the evidence
- 4.3 holding office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the matter under consideration
- 4.4 other reputational risks in relation to an intervention under review.

5 **Non-personal interests**

- 5.1 A non-personal interest involves payment that benefits a department or organisation for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible, but that is not received by the Specialist Advisor personally. This may either relate to the product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as **'specific,'** or to the manufacturer or owner of the product or service, but is unrelated to the matter under consideration, in which case it is regarded as **'non-specific'**. The main examples are as follows.

- 5.1.1 **Fellowships** – the holding of a fellowship endowed by the healthcare industry.
- 5.1.2 **Support by the healthcare industry or NICE** – any payment, or other support by the healthcare industry or by NICE that does not convey any pecuniary or material benefit to a member personally but that does benefit his/her position or department. For example:
- a grant from a company for the running of a unit or department for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible
 - a grant, fellowship or other payment to sponsor a post or member of staff in the unit for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible. This does not include financial assistance for students
 - the commissioning of research or other work by, or advice from, staff who work in a unit for which the specialist advisor is responsible
 - one or more contracts with, or grants from, NICE.
- 5.2 Specialist Advisers are under no obligation to seek out knowledge of work done for, or on behalf of, the healthcare industry within departments for which they are responsible if they would not normally expect to be informed.