NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Interventional Procedures Programme

Specialist Adviser questionnaire

Before completing this questionnaire, please read Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers. Certain conflicts exclude you from offering advice, however, please return the questionnaire to us incomplete for our records.

Please respond in the boxes provided.

Please complete and return to: Rishma.Malde@nice.org.uk

Procedure Name:	**IP1521 Artificial heart implantation as a bridge to transplantation for end-stage refractory biventricular heart failure**
Name of Specialist Advisor:	Dr Roy S Gardner
Specialist Society:	British Society for Heart Failure

1 Do you have adequate knowledge of this procedure to provide advice?

☒ Yes.

☐ No – please return the form/answer no more questions.

1.1 Does the title used above describe the procedure adequately?

☒ Yes.

☐ No. If no, please enter any other titles below.

Comments:

2 Your involvement in the procedure

2.1 Is this procedure relevant to your specialty?

☒ Yes.

☐ Is there any kind of inter-specialty controversy over the procedure?

☐ No. If no, then answer no more questions, but please give any information you can about who is likely to be doing the procedure.

Comments:

The next 2 questions are about whether you carry out the procedure, or refer patients for it. If you are in a specialty that normally carries out the procedure please answer question 2.2.1. If you are in a specialty that normally selects or refers patients for the procedure, please answer question 2.2.2.

2.2.1 If you are in a specialty that does this procedure, please indicate your experience with it:

☒ I have never done this procedure.

☐ I have done this procedure at least once.

☐ I do this procedure regularly.

Comments:

2.2.2 If your specialty is involved in patient selection or referral to another specialty for this procedure, please indicate your experience with it.

☒ I have never taken part in the selection or referral of a patient for this procedure.

☐ I have taken part in patient selection or referred a patient for this procedure at least once.

☐ I take part in patient selection or refer patients for this procedure regularly.

Comments:

2.3 Please indicate your research experience relating to this procedure (please choose one or more if relevant):

☒ I have done bibliographic research on this procedure.

☐ I have done research on this procedure in laboratory settings (e.g. device-related research).

☐ I have done clinical research on this procedure involving patients or healthy volunteers.

☐ I have had no involvement in research on this procedure.

☐ Other (please comment)

Comments:

3 Status of the procedure

3.1 Which of the following best describes the procedure (choose one):

☐ Established practice and no longer new.

☐ A minor variation on an existing procedure, which is unlikely to alter the procedure's safety and efficacy.

☒ Definitely novel and of uncertain safety and efficacy.

☐ The first in a new class of procedure.

Comments:

3.2 What would be the comparator (standard practice) to this procedure?

Long term left ventricular support; medical therapy; palliative care; cardiac transplantation off short-term support

3.3 Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):

☐ More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

☐ 10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

☒ Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

☐ Cannot give an estimate.

Comments:

4 Safety and efficacy

4.1 What is the potential harm of the procedure?

Please list adverse events and major risks (even if uncommon) and, if possible, estimate their incidence, as follows:

1. Adverse events reported in the literature (if possible please cite literature)

Death, bleeding, infection, thrombosis, pump failure, stroke, inability to go on to cardiac transplantation at a later date

2. Anecdotal adverse events (known from experience)

Poor outcomes

3. Theoretical adverse events

4.2 What are the key efficacy outcomes for this procedure?

Survival at 1-year; conversion to cardiac transplantation

4.3 Are there uncertainties or concerns about the *efficacy* of this procedure? If so, what are they?

Minimal evidence base for safety and efficacy

4.4 What training and facilities are needed to do this procedure safely?

Should be performed in a cardiac transplant centre by an experienced surgeon, with the back-up of a knowledgeable team

4.5 Are there any major trials or registries of this procedure currently in progress? If so, please list.

Not to my knowledge

4.6 Are you aware of any abstracts that have been *recently* presented/ published on this procedure that may not be listed in a standard literature search, for example PUBMED? (This can include your own work). If yes, please list.
Please note that NICE will do a literature search: we are only asking you for any very recent or potentially obscure abstracts and papers. Please do not feel the need to supply a comprehensive reference list (but you may list any that you think are particularly important if you wish).

No

4.7 Is there controversy, or important uncertainty, about any aspect of the way in which this procedure is currently being done or disseminated?

This procedure has not been universally adopted because of the impression of very poor outcomes

5 Audit Criteria

Please suggest a minimum dataset of criteria by which this procedure could be audited.

5.1 Outcome measures of benefit (including commonly used clinical outcomes, both short and long - term; and quality-of-life measures). Please suggest the most appropriate method of measurement for each:

At least 20 procedures (ideally more) – 1-year survival; survival free from complication (stroke, infection, etc); conversion to cardiac transplantation; quality of life data; 6 minute-walk tests

5.2 Adverse outcomes (including potential early and late complications). Please state timescales for measurement e.g. bleeding complications up to 1 month post-procedure:

30-day, 3-month and 12-month survival; bleeding, thrombosis, infection, stroke

6 Trajectory of the procedure

6.1 In your opinion, how quickly do you think use of this procedure will spread?

Not quickly at all unless convincing data supporting its efficacy and safety are made available

6.2 This procedure, if safe and efficacious, is likely to be carried out in (choose one):

☐ Most or all district general hospitals.

☐ A minority of hospitals, but at least 10 in the UK.

☒ Fewer than 10 specialist centres in the UK.

☐ Cannot predict at present.

Comments:

6.3 The potential impact of this procedure on the NHS, in terms of numbers of patients eligible for treatment and use of resources, is:

☐ Major.

☐ Moderate.

☒ Minor.

Comments:

7 Other information

7.1 Is there any other information about this procedure that might assist NICE in assessing the possible need to investigate its use?

8 Data protection and conflicts of interest

8. Data protection, freedom of information and conflicts of interest

8.1 Data Protection

The information you submit on this form will be retained and used by the NICE and its advisers for the purpose of developing its guidance and may be passed to other approved third parties. Your name and specialist society will be published in NICE publications and on the NICE website. The specialist advice questionnaire will be published in accordance with our guidance development processes and a copy will be sent to the nominating Specialist Society. Please avoid identifying any individual in your comments.

✓ I have read and understood this statement and accept that personal information sent to us will be retained and used for the purposes and in the manner specified above and in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

8.2 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

Nothing in your submission shall restrict any disclosure of information by NICE that is required by law (including in particular, but without limitation, the Freedom of Information Act 2000).

Please submit a conflicts of interest declaration form listing any potential conflicts of interest including any involvement you may have in disputes or complaints relating to this procedure.

Please use the "Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers" policy as a guide when declaring any conflicts of interest. Specialist Advisers should seek advice if needed from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

Do you or a member of your family[1] have a **personal pecuniary** interest? The main examples are as follows:

[1] 'Family members' refers to a spouse or partner living in the same residence as the member or employee, children for whom the member or employee is legally responsible, and adults for whom the member or employee is legally responsible (for example, an adult whose full power of attorney is held by the individual).

Consultancies or directorships attracting regular or occasional payments in cash or kind	☒	**YES**
	☐	**NO**
Fee-paid work – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry **– this includes income earned in the course of private practice**	☒	**YES**
	☐	**NO**
Shareholdings – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**
Expenses and hospitality – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond those reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**
Investments – any funds that include investments in the healthcare industry	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**
Do you have a **personal non-pecuniary** interest – for example have you made a public statement about the topic or do you hold an office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the topic?	☒	**YES**
	☐	**NO**

Do you have a **non-personal** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Fellowships endowed by the healthcare industry	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**
Support by the healthcare industry or NICE that benefits his/her position or department, eg grants, sponsorship of posts	☒	**YES**
	☐	**NO**

If you have answered YES to any of the above statements, please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.

Comments:
I am a consultant for Abbott, Boston Scientific, Novartis and Vifor, and have given talks at meetings sponsored by Abbott, Boston Scientific, and Novartis.

I am the Treasurer of the British Society for Heart Failure

Abbott sponsor a research fellow in my hospital, and Boston Scientific a research physiologist

Thank you very much for your help.

Dr Tom Clutton-Brock, Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee Chair

Professor Carole Longson, Director, Centre for Health Technology Evaluation.

Jan 2016

Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers

1 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

1.1 Any conflicts of interest set out below should be declared on the questionnaire the Specialist Adviser completes for the procedure.

1.2 Specialist Advisers should seek advice if required from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

2 Personal pecuniary interests

2.1 A personal pecuniary interest involves a current personal payment to a Specialist Adviser, which may either relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as **'specific'** or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as **'non-specific'**. The main examples are as follows.

2.1.1 **Consultancies** – any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for the healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).

2.1.2 **Fee-paid work** – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).

2.1.3 **Shareholdings** – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry that are either held by the individual or for which the individual has legal responsibility (for example, children, or relatives whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual). This does not include shareholdings through unit trusts, pensions funds, or other similar arrangements where the member has no influence on financial management.

2.1.4 **Expenses and hospitality** – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond that reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place.

2.1.5 **Investments** – any funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.

2.2 No personal interest exists in the case of:

2.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)

2.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

3 **Personal family interest**

3.1 This relates to the personal interests of a family member and involves a **current payment** to the family member of the Specialist Adviser. The interest may relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as '**specific**', or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as '**non-specific**'. The main examples include the following.

3.1.1 Any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for a healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or in kind.

3.1.2 Any fee-paid work commissioned by a healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind.

3.1.3 Any shareholdings, or other beneficial interests, in a healthcare industry which are either held by the family member or for which an individual covered by this Code has legal responsibility (for example, children, or adults whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual).

3.1.4 Expenses and hospitality provided by a healthcare industry company (except where they are provided to a general class of people such as attendees at an open conference)

3.1.5 Funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.

3.2 No personal family interest exists in the case of:

3.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)

3.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

4 **Personal non-pecuniary interests**

These might include, but are not limited to:

4.1 a clear opinion, reached as the conclusion of a research project, about the clinical and/or cost effectiveness of an intervention under review

4.2 a public statement in which an individual covered by this Code has expressed a clear opinion about the matter under consideration, which could reasonably be interpreted as prejudicial to an objective interpretation of the evidence

4.3 holding office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the matter under consideration

4.4 other reputational risks in relation to an intervention under review.

5 Non-personal interests

5.1 A non-personal interest involves payment that benefits a department or organisation for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible, but that is not received by the Specialist Advisor personally. This may either relate to the product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as **'specific,'** or to the manufacturer or owner of the product or service, but is unrelated to the matter under consideration, in which case it is regarded as **'non-specific'**. The main examples are as follows.

5.1.1 **Fellowships** – the holding of a fellowship endowed by the healthcare industry.

5.1.2 **Support by the healthcare industry or NICE** – any payment, or other support by the healthcare industry or by NICE that does not convey any pecuniary or material benefit to a member personally but that does benefit his/her position or department. For example:

- a grant from a company for the running of a unit or department for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible
- a grant, fellowship or other payment to sponsor a post or member of staff in the unit for which a Specialist Adviser is responsible. This does not include financial assistance for students
- the commissioning of research or other work by, or advice from, staff who work in a unit for which the specialist advisor is responsible
- one or more contracts with, or grants from, NICE.

5.2 Specialist Advisers are under no obligation to seek out knowledge of work done for, or on behalf of, the healthcare industry within departments for which they are responsible if they would not normally expect to be informed.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Interventional Procedures Programme

Specialist Adviser questionnaire

Before completing this questionnaire, please read Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers. Certain conflicts exclude you from offering advice, however, please return the questionnaire to us incomplete for our records.

Please respond in the boxes provided.

Please complete and return to: Rishma.Malde@nice.org.uk

Procedure Name:	**IP1521 Artificial heart implantation as a bridge to transplantation for end-stage refractory biventricular heart failure**
Name of Specialist Advisor:	Professor Andrew L Clark
Specialist Society:	BSH

1 Do you have adequate knowledge of this procedure to provide advice?

X Yes.

☐ No – please return the form/answer no more questions.

1.1 Does the title used above describe the procedure adequately?

X Yes.

☐ No. If no, please enter any other titles below.

Comments:

2 Your involvement in the procedure

2.1 Is this procedure relevant to your specialty?

X Yes.

X Is there any kind of inter-specialty controversy over the procedure?

☐ No. If no, then answer no more questions, but please give any information you can about who is likely to be doing the procedure.

Comments:

The next 2 questions are about whether you carry out the procedure, or refer patients for it. If you are in a specialty that normally carries out the procedure please answer question 2.2.1. If you are in a specialty that normally selects or refers patients for the procedure, please answer question 2.2.2.

2.2.1 If you are in a specialty that does this procedure, please indicate your experience with it:

X I have never done this procedure.

☐ I have done this procedure at least once.

☐ I do this procedure regularly.

Comments:

As far as I am aware, the procedure has only been carried out in a tiny number of highly selected patients at a tiny number of centres

2.2.2 If your specialty is involved in patient selection or referral to another specialty for this procedure, please indicate your experience with it.

X I have never taken part in the selection or referral of a patient for this procedure.

☐ I have taken part in patient selection or referred a patient for this procedure at least once.

☐ I take part in patient selection or refer patients for this procedure regularly.

Comments:

As above: there is no national programme for this procedure

2.3 Please indicate your research experience relating to this procedure (please choose one or more if relevant):

☐ I have done bibliographic research on this procedure.

☐ I have done research on this procedure in laboratory settings (e.g. device-related research).

☐ I have done clinical research on this procedure involving patients or healthy volunteers.

X I have had no involvement in research on this procedure.

☐ Other (please comment)

Comments:

3 Status of the procedure

3.1 Which of the following best describes the procedure (choose one):

☐ Established practice and no longer new.

☐ A minor variation on an existing procedure, which is unlikely to alter the procedure's safety and efficacy.

X Definitely novel and of uncertain safety and efficacy.

☐ The first in a new class of procedure.

Comments:

3.2 What would be the comparator (standard practice) to this procedure?

Other methods for supporting the circulation as a bridging procedure – that is, ventricular assist devices (LVAD, RVAD, BiVAD), other pumps (e.g. Impella), extracorporeal oxygenation.

3.3 Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):

☐ More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

☐ 10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

X Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

☐ Cannot give an estimate.

Comments:

4 Safety and efficacy

4.1 What is the potential harm of the procedure?

Please list adverse events and major risks (even if uncommon) and, if possible, estimate their incidence, as follows:

1. Adverse events reported in the literature (if possible please cite literature)

Death; stroke, infection; device thrombosis and failure

2. Anecdotal adverse events (known from experience)

3. Theoretical adverse events

4.2 What are the key efficacy outcomes for this procedure?

Survival. Its only possible role at present is to sustain the circulation pending a heart transplant's becoming available.

4.3 Are there uncertainties or concerns about the *efficacy* of this procedure? If so, what are they?

Yes: few have been implanted world wide it is not really possible to form a view as to how successful they might be and what the balance of risks and benefits might be.

4.4 What training and facilities are needed to do this procedure safely?

A (heart) transplant surgeon would, I imagine, be able to learn the technique reasonably straightforwardly.

4.5 Are there any major trials or registries of this procedure currently in progress? If so, please list.

I think the ISHLT maintains a registry

4.6 Are you aware of any abstracts that have been *recently* presented/ published on this procedure that may not be listed in a standard literature search, for example PUBMED? (This can include your own work). If yes, please list.
Please note that NICE will do a literature search: we are only asking you for any very recent or potentially obscure abstracts and papers. Please do not feel the need to supply a comprehensive reference list (but you may list any that you think are particularly important if you wish).

No

4.7 Is there controversy, or important uncertainty, about any aspect of the way in which this procedure is currently being done or disseminated?

No

5 Audit Criteria

Please suggest a minimum dataset of criteria by which this procedure could be audited.

(Very broad question ...)
Patient selection variables are vital – amongst others:

- age, sex
- underlying heart disease
- renal function
- NtproBNP
- any acute precipitant

All patients to be in UK registry
Survival
Proportion of patients receiving device who subsequently receive a trasplant

5.1 Outcome measures of benefit (including commonly used clinical outcomes, both short and long - term; and quality-of-life measures). Please suggest the most appropriate method of measurement for each:

Survival to transplant
Days alive on device
Days alive and out of hospital on device

5.2 Adverse outcomes (including potential early and late complications). Please state timescales for measurement e.g. bleeding complications up to 1 month post-procedure:

Death at any point
Bleeding, stroke at any point whilst device is implanted
Infection at any point whilst device is implanted
Haemolysis at any point whilst device is implanted

6 Trajectory of the procedure

6.1 In your opinion, how quickly do you think use of this procedure will spread?

Very slowly: will be very much a minority activity.

6.2 This procedure, if safe and efficacious, is likely to be carried out in (choose one):

☐ Most or all district general hospitals.

☐ A minority of hospitals, but at least 10 in the UK.

X Fewer than 10 specialist centres in the UK.

☐ Cannot predict at present.

Comments:

6.3 The potential impact of this procedure on the NHS, in terms of numbers of patients eligible for treatment and use of resources, is:

☐ Major.

☐ Moderate.

X Minor.

Comments:
At the moment, there is considerable scepticism amongst cardiologists (and cardiothoracic surgeons) as to the value of the total artificial heart. Very few of us have seen a patient with one, and it is difficult to picture who might be offered one rather than the standard support we might offer at the moment. A trial is needed to demonstrate its usefulness compared with VADs.

What would change things dramatically is if it were to be considered a reasonable *destination* therapy. If that were to be the case, then the demand could become very great, very quickly. At present, because transplantation is effectively rationed, VAD implant is also *de facto* rationed. The same would apply to total artificial heart.

7 Other information

7.1 Is there any other information about this procedure that might assist NICE in assessing the possible need to investigate its use?

8 Data protection and conflicts of interest

8. Data protection, freedom of information and conflicts of interest

8.1 Data Protection

The information you submit on this form will be retained and used by the NICE and its advisers for the purpose of developing its guidance and may be passed to other approved third parties. Your name and specialist society will be published in NICE publications and on the NICE website. The specialist advice questionnaire will be published in accordance with our guidance development processes and a copy will be sent to the nominating Specialist Society. Please avoid identifying any individual in your comments.

X I have read and understood this statement and accept that personal information sent to us will be retained and used for the purposes and in the manner specified above and in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

8.2 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

Nothing in your submission shall restrict any disclosure of information by NICE that is required by law (including in particular, but without limitation, the Freedom of Information Act 2000).

Please submit a conflicts of interest declaration form listing any potential conflicts of interest including any involvement you may have in disputes or complaints relating to this procedure.

Please use the "Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers" policy as a guide when declaring any conflicts of interest. Specialist Advisers should seek advice if needed from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

Do you or a member of your family[1] have a **personal pecuniary** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Consultancies or directorships attracting regular or occasional payments in cash or kind	☐	**YES**
	X	**NO**
Fee-paid work – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry **– this includes income earned in the course of private practice**	☐	**YES**
	X	**NO**
Shareholdings – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry	☐	**YES**
	X	**NO**
Expenses and hospitality – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond those reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences	☐	**YES**
	X	**NO**
Investments – any funds that include investments in the healthcare industry	☐	**YES**
	X	**NO**
Do you have a **personal non-pecuniary** interest – for example have you made a public statement about the topic or do you hold an office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the topic?	**X**	**YES**
	☐	**NO**

Do you have a **non-personal** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Fellowships endowed by the healthcare industry	☐	**YES**
	X	**NO**

[1] 'Family members' refers to a spouse or partner living in the same residence as the member or employee, children for whom the member or employee is legally responsible, and adults for whom the member or employee is legally responsible (for example, an adult whose full power of attorney is held by the individual).

Support by the healthcare industry or NICE that benefits his/her position or department, eg grants, sponsorship of posts

☐ **YES**

X NO

If you have answered YES to any of the above statements, please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.
I'm the immediate past chair of the British Society for Heart Failure.
Comments:

Thank you very much for your help.

Dr Tom Clutton-Brock, Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee Chair

Professor Carole Longson, Director, Centre for Health Technology Evaluation.

Jan 2016

Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers

1 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

1.1 Any conflicts of interest set out below should be declared on the questionnaire the Specialist Adviser completes for the procedure.

1.2 Specialist Advisers should seek advice if required from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

2 Personal pecuniary interests

2.1 A personal pecuniary interest involves a current personal payment to a Specialist Adviser, which may either relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as **'specific'** or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as **'non-specific'**. The main examples are as follows.

2.1.1 **Consultancies** – any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for the healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).

2.1.2 **Fee-paid work** – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).

2.1.3 **Shareholdings** – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry that are either held by the individual or for which the individual has legal responsibility (for example, children, or relatives whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual). This does not include shareholdings through unit trusts, pensions funds, or other similar arrangements where the member has no influence on financial management.

2.1.4 **Expenses and hospitality** – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond that reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place.

2.1.5 **Investments** – any funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.

2.2 No personal interest exists in the case of:

2.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)

2.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

3 **Personal family interest**

3.1 This relates to the personal interests of a family member and involves a **current payment** to the family member of the Specialist Adviser. The interest may relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as '**specific**', or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as '**non-specific**'. The main examples include the following.

3.1.1 Any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for a healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or in kind.

3.1.2 Any fee-paid work commissioned by a healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind.

3.1.3 Any shareholdings, or other beneficial interests, in a healthcare industry which are either held by the family member or for which an individual covered by this Code has legal responsibility (for example, children, or adults whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual).

3.1.4 Expenses and hospitality provided by a healthcare industry company (except where they are provided to a general class of people such as attendees at an open conference)

3.1.5 Funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.

3.2 No personal family interest exists in the case of:

3.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)

3.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

4 **Personal non-pecuniary interests**

These might include, but are not limited to:

4.1 a clear opinion, reached as the conclusion of a research project, about the clinical and/or cost effectiveness of an intervention under review

4.2 a public statement in which an individual covered by this Code has expressed a clear opinion about the matter under consideration, which could reasonably be interpreted as prejudicial to an objective interpretation of the evidence

4.3 holding office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the matter under consideration

4.4 other reputational risks in relation to an intervention under review.

5 Non-personal interests

5.1 A non-personal interest involves payment that benefits a department or organisation for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible, but that is not received by the Specialist Advisor personally. This may either relate to the product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as **'specific,'** or to the manufacturer or owner of the product or service, but is unrelated to the matter under consideration, in which case it is regarded as **'non-specific'**. The main examples are as follows.

5.1.1 **Fellowships** – the holding of a fellowship endowed by the healthcare industry.

5.1.2 **Support by the healthcare industry or NICE** – any payment, or other support by the healthcare industry or by NICE that does not convey any pecuniary or material benefit to a member personally but that does benefit his/her position or department. For example:

- a grant from a company for the running of a unit or department for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible
- a grant, fellowship or other payment to sponsor a post or member of staff in the unit for which a Specialist Adviser is responsible. This does not include financial assistance for students
- the commissioning of research or other work by, or advice from, staff who work in a unit for which the specialist advisor is responsible
- one or more contracts with, or grants from, NICE.

5.2 Specialist Advisers are under no obligation to seek out knowledge of work done for, or on behalf of, the healthcare industry within departments for which they are responsible if they would not normally expect to be informed.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Interventional Procedures Programme

Specialist Adviser questionnaire

Before completing this questionnaire, please read Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers. Certain conflicts exclude you from offering advice, however, please return the questionnaire to us incomplete for our records.

Please respond in the boxes provided.

Please complete and return to: Rishma.Malde@nice.org.uk

Procedure Name:	**IP1521 Artificial heart implantation as a bridge to transplantation for end-stage refractory biventricular heart failure**
Name of Specialist Advisor:	**Steven Tsui**
Specialist Society:	**Society of Cardiothoracic Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland**

1 Do you have adequate knowledge of this procedure to provide advice?

☒ Yes.

☐ No – please return the form/answer no more questions.

1.1 Does the title used above describe the procedure adequately?

☒ Yes.

☐ No. If no, please enter any other titles below.

Comments:

2 Your involvement in the procedure

2.1 Is this procedure relevant to your specialty?

☒ Yes.

☐ Is there any kind of inter-specialty controversy over the procedure?

☐ No. If no, then answer no more questions, but please give any information you can about who is likely to be doing the procedure.

Comments:

The next 2 questions are about whether you carry out the procedure, or refer patients for it. If you are in a specialty that normally carries out the procedure please answer question 2.2.1. If you are in a specialty that normally selects or refers patients for the procedure, please answer question 2.2.2.

2.2.1 If you are in a specialty that does this procedure, please indicate your experience with it:

☐ I have never done this procedure.

☒ I have done this procedure at least once.

☐ I do this procedure regularly.

Comments:

2.2.2 If your specialty is involved in patient selection or referral to another specialty for this procedure, please indicate your experience with it.

☐ I have never taken part in the selection or referral of a patient for this procedure.

☒ I have taken part in patient selection or referred a patient for this procedure at least once.

☐ I take part in patient selection or refer patients for this procedure regularly.

Comments:

2.3 Please indicate your research experience relating to this procedure (please choose one or more if relevant):

☒ I have done bibliographic research on this procedure.

☐ I have done research on this procedure in laboratory settings (e.g. device-related research).

☐ I have done clinical research on this procedure involving patients or healthy volunteers.

☐ I have had no involvement in research on this procedure.

☐ Other (please comment)

Comments:

3 Status of the procedure

3.1 Which of the following best describes the procedure (choose one):

☒ Established practice and no longer new.

☐ A minor variation on an existing procedure, which is unlikely to alter the procedure's safety and efficacy.

☐ Definitely novel and of uncertain safety and efficacy.

☐ The first in a new class of procedure.

Comments:

The Syncardia Total Artificial Heart is essentially the same device as the Jarvik-7 which was first used clinically in 1982. To date, over 1,000 patients have been treated with this device worldwide.

3.2 What would be the comparator (standard practice) to this procedure?

Bi-ventricular assist devices (BIVAD)

3.3 Please estimate the proportion of doctors in your specialty who are doing this procedure (choose one):

☐ More than 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

☐ 10% to 50% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

☒ Fewer than 10% of specialists engaged in this area of work.

☐ Cannot give an estimate.

Comments:

In the UK, only 3 surgeons out of nearly 300 cardiothoracic surgeons have performed this procedure.

4 Safety and efficacy

4.1 What is the potential harm of the procedure?

Please list adverse events and major risks (even if uncommon) and, if possible, estimate their incidence, as follows:

1. Adverse events reported in the literature (if possible please cite literature)

These are common to all mechanical circulatory support devices used for bridging to transplantation including bleeding, infection, stroke, thromboembolic complications, device malfunction. These are well documented in every publication on the TAH.

2. Anecdotal adverse events (known from experience)

3. Theoretical adverse events

4.2 What are the key efficacy outcomes for this procedure?

Survival and successful bridge to transplant at 6 and 12 months. Post-transplant survival.

The largest dataset available is probably the INTERMACS registry. The following graph is from the INTERMACS 2016 Quarter 4 report showing outcome of 396 patients treated with the TAH. At 1 year, 53.2% have been transplanted and only 13.6% are alive supported with the TAH, giving a 1-yr survival of 66.8%.



Number of Patients at Risk

Month			
0	12	24	36
396	48	10	3

4.3 Are there uncertainties or concerns about the *efficacy* of this procedure? If so, what are they?

There are no uncertainty as such. The main concerns are noisy portable driver which limits the quality of life treated patients and the technical difficulties when the time comes for device explant and heart transplantation.

4.4 What training and facilities are needed to do this procedure safely?

Training involves live animal implantation followed by clinical implants proctored by a surgeon experienced in TAH implantation.

4.5 Are there any major trials or registries of this procedure currently in progress? If so, please list.

Syncardia hold a registry for the TAH themselves. The INTERMACS registry is probably the largest independent registry, compulsory for all centres in USA. The

latter means that data is largely complete and avoids pitfalls of reporting bias by centres with better outcomes.

4.6 Are you aware of any abstracts that have been *recently* presented/ published on this procedure that may not be listed in a standard literature search, for example PUBMED? (This can include your own work). If yes, please list.
Please note that NICE will do a literature search: we are only asking you for any very recent or potentially obscure abstracts and papers. Please do not feel the need to supply a comprehensive reference list (but you may list any that you think are particularly important if you wish).

No

4.7 Is there controversy, or important uncertainty, about any aspect of the way in which this procedure is currently being done or disseminated?

As mentioned above, for such a low-volume and highly specialised procedure, there is a tendency for reporting bias by very experienced centres with very good results.

NHS Blood and Transplant (NHSBT) holds the actual data on all patients treated with the TAH in the UK since 2001.

5 Audit Criteria

Please suggest a minimum dataset of criteria by which this procedure could be audited.

- Pre-implant status including haemodynamics, requirement for inotropes, balloon pump and other MCSD
- Pre-implant INTERMACS class
- ICU length of stay
- Hospital length of stay
- Survival to hospital discharge
- Survival and successful bridge to transplant at 6 and 12 months.
- Post-transplant survival at 30-days and 1-yr.
- Actuarial freedom from hospital re-admission at 6 months and 1 year.

5.1 Outcome measures of benefit (including commonly used clinical outcomes, both short and long - term; and quality-of-life measures). Please suggest the most appropriate method of measurement for each:

- **NYHA class**
- **MLHF**
- **6 minute walking distance**

5.2 Adverse outcomes (including potential early and late complications). Please state timescales for measurement e.g. bleeding complications up to 1 month post-procedure:

- Bleeding, infection, stroke, device failure at 1-, 6-, and 12-months

6 Trajectory of the procedure

6.1 In your opinion, how quickly do you think use of this procedure will spread?

I think that it is very unlikely that this procedure will increase in number in the UK

6.2 This procedure, if safe and efficacious, is likely to be carried out in (choose one):

☐ Most or all district general hospitals.

☐ A minority of hospitals, but at least 10 in the UK.

☒ Fewer than 10 specialist centres in the UK.

☐ Cannot predict at present.

Comments:

Likely to be limited to 3 centres or less

6.3 The potential impact of this procedure on the NHS, in terms of numbers of patients eligible for treatment and use of resources, is:

☐ Major.

☐ Moderate.

☒ Minor.

Comments:
From my knowledge, this procedure has only been done approximately 10 times in the whole of the UK over the last 10 years.

7 Other information

7.1 Is there any other information about this procedure that might assist NICE in assessing the possible need to investigate its use?

8 Data protection and conflicts of interest

8. Data protection, freedom of information and conflicts of interest

8.1 Data Protection

The information you submit on this form will be retained and used by the NICE and its advisers for the purpose of developing its guidance and may be passed to other approved third parties. Your name and specialist society will be published in NICE publications and on the NICE website. The specialist advice questionnaire will be published in accordance with our guidance development processes and a copy will be sent to the nominating Specialist Society. Please avoid identifying any individual in your comments.

☐ √ I have read and understood this statement and accept that personal information sent to us will be retained and used for the purposes and in the manner specified above and in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

8.2 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

Nothing in your submission shall restrict any disclosure of information by NICE that is required by law (including in particular, but without limitation, the Freedom of Information Act 2000).

Please submit a conflicts of interest declaration form listing any potential conflicts of interest including any involvement you may have in disputes or complaints relating to this procedure.

Please use the "Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers" policy as a guide when declaring any conflicts of interest. Specialist Advisers should seek advice if needed from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

Do you or a member of your family[1] have a **personal pecuniary** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Consultancies or directorships attracting regular or occasional payments in cash or kind	☒	**YES**
	☐	**NO**
Fee-paid work – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry **– this includes income earned in the course of private practice**	☒	**YES**
	☐	**NO**
Shareholdings – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**
Expenses and hospitality – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond those reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences	☒	**YES**
	☐	**NO**
Investments – any funds that include investments in the healthcare	☐	**YES**

[1] 'Family members' refers to a spouse or partner living in the same residence as the member or employee, children for whom the member or employee is legally responsible, and adults for whom the member or employee is legally responsible (for example, an adult whose full power of attorney is held by the individual).

industry	☒	**NO**
Do you have a **personal non-pecuniary** interest – for example have you made a public statement about the topic or do you hold an office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the topic?	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**

Do you have a **non-personal** interest? The main examples are as follows:

Fellowships endowed by the healthcare industry	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**
Support by the healthcare industry or NICE that benefits his/her position or department, eg grants, sponsorship of posts	☐	**YES**
	☒	**NO**

If you have answered YES to any of the above statements, please describe the nature of the conflict(s) below.

Comments:
Consultant for HeartWare, Corwave and 3R Ltd.
Meeting expenses provided by HeartWare and 3R Ltd
Clinical private practice in cardiac surgery

Thank you very much for your help.

Dr Tom Clutton-Brock, Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee Chair

Professor Carole Longson, Director, Centre for Health Technology Evaluation.

Jan 2016

Conflicts of Interest for Specialist Advisers

1 Declarations of interest by Specialist Advisers advising the NICE Interventional Procedures Advisory Committee

1.1 Any conflicts of interest set out below should be declared on the questionnaire the Specialist Adviser completes for the procedure.

1.2 Specialist Advisers should seek advice if required from the Associate Director – Interventional Procedures.

2 Personal pecuniary interests

2.1 A personal pecuniary interest involves a current personal payment to a Specialist Adviser, which may either relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as **'specific'** or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as **'non-specific'**. The main examples are as follows.

2.1.1 **Consultancies** – any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for the healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).

2.1.2 **Fee-paid work** – any work commissioned by the healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place).

2.1.3 **Shareholdings** – any shareholding, or other beneficial interest, in shares of the healthcare industry that are either held by the individual or for which the individual has legal responsibility (for example, children, or relatives whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual). This does not include shareholdings through unit trusts, pensions funds, or other similar arrangements where the member has no influence on financial management.

2.1.4 **Expenses and hospitality** – any expenses provided by a healthcare industry company beyond that reasonably required for accommodation, meals and travel to attend meetings and conferences (this includes both those which have been undertaken in the 12 months preceding the point at which the declaration is made and which are planned but have not taken place.

2.1.5 **Investments** – any funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.

2.2 No personal interest exists in the case of:

2.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)

2.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

3 **Personal family interest**

3.1 This relates to the personal interests of a family member and involves a **current payment** to the family member of the Specialist Adviser. The interest may relate to the manufacturer or owner of a product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as '**specific**', or to the industry or sector from which the product or service comes, in which case it is regarded as '**non-specific**'. The main examples include the following.

3.1.1 Any consultancy, directorship, position in or work for a healthcare industry that attracts regular or occasional payments in cash or in kind.

3.1.2 Any fee-paid work commissioned by a healthcare industry for which the member is paid in cash or in kind.

3.1.3 Any shareholdings, or other beneficial interests, in a healthcare industry which are either held by the family member or for which an individual covered by this Code has legal responsibility (for example, children, or adults whose full Power of Attorney is held by the individual).

3.1.4 Expenses and hospitality provided by a healthcare industry company (except where they are provided to a general class of people such as attendees at an open conference)

3.1.5 Funds which include investments in the healthcare industry that are held in a portfolio over which individuals have the ability to instruct the fund manager as to the composition of the fund.

3.2 No personal family interest exists in the case of:

3.2.1 assets over which individuals have no financial control (for example, wide portfolio unit trusts and occupational pension funds) and where the fund manager has full discretion as to its composition (for example, the Universities Superannuation Scheme)

3.2.2 accrued pension rights from earlier employment in the healthcare industry.

4 **Personal non-pecuniary interests**

These might include, but are not limited to:

4.1 a clear opinion, reached as the conclusion of a research project, about the clinical and/or cost effectiveness of an intervention under review

4.2 a public statement in which an individual covered by this Code has expressed a clear opinion about the matter under consideration, which could reasonably be interpreted as prejudicial to an objective interpretation of the evidence

4.3 holding office in a professional organisation or advocacy group with a direct interest in the matter under consideration

4.4 other reputational risks in relation to an intervention under review.

5 Non-personal interests

5.1 A non-personal interest involves payment that benefits a department or organisation for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible, but that is not received by the Specialist Advisor personally. This may either relate to the product or service being evaluated, in which case it is regarded as **'specific,'** or to the manufacturer or owner of the product or service, but is unrelated to the matter under consideration, in which case it is regarded as **'non-specific'**. The main examples are as follows.

5.1.1 **Fellowships** – the holding of a fellowship endowed by the healthcare industry.

5.1.2 **Support by the healthcare industry or NICE** – any payment, or other support by the healthcare industry or by NICE that does not convey any pecuniary or material benefit to a member personally but that does benefit his/her position or department. For example:

- a grant from a company for the running of a unit or department for which a Specialist Advisor is responsible
- a grant, fellowship or other payment to sponsor a post or member of staff in the unit for which a Specialist Adviser is responsible. This does not include financial assistance for students
- the commissioning of research or other work by, or advice from, staff who work in a unit for which the specialist advisor is responsible
- one or more contracts with, or grants from, NICE.

5.2 Specialist Advisers are under no obligation to seek out knowledge of work done for, or on behalf of, the healthcare industry within departments for which they are responsible if they would not normally expect to be informed.