

# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

## INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

### Equality impact assessment

#### IPG464 Endoscopic ablation for a pilonidal sinus

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE Equality scheme.

#### Briefing

1. Have any potential equality issues been identified during the briefing process (development of the brief or discussion at the committee meeting), and, if so, what are they?

The incidence of pilonidal sinus is approximately 0.7%, around 26 per 100,000/year. It is 4 times more common in men than in women. Women who develop a pilonidal sinus tend to be younger. Pilonidal sinuses occur usually from the age of puberty to about 40 years of age, but rarely in older people and children. Hirsutism, excess weight, a deep buttock cleft and a family history may increase the risk of developing the condition. Persistent irritation, inflammation and poor hygiene will aggravate the condition.

About half of patients present as emergencies with a pilonidal abscess. People with pilonidal sinus are limited by pain and the management of their condition. If the condition is severe and has a significant and long-standing effect on their ability to carry out activities of daily living, they may be considered to have a disability.

2. What is the preliminary view as to what extent these potential equality issues need addressing by the committee? (If there are exclusions listed in the brief (for example, populations, treatments or settings), are these justified?)

This was not thought to have an impact on the assessment of the procedure. No exclusions were applied.

3. Has any change to the brief (such as additional issues raised during the committee meeting) been agreed to highlight potential equality issues?
No

4. Have any additional stakeholders related to potential equality issues been identified during the committee meeting, and, if so, have changes to the stakeholder list been made?
No

**Kevin Harris**

**Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor**

**Date:** 26/03/2019

**Consultation**

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the briefing process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?
No specific data relating to potential issues mentioned earlier was identified in the literature presented in the overview.

2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview, specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?
No

3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

No

4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No

5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

Not applicable

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?

Not applicable

7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?

No

**Kevin Harris**

**Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor**

**Date:** 26/03/2019

Equality impact assessment IP: IPG646

© NICE 2019. All rights reserved. Subject to [Notice of rights](#)

## Final interventional procedures document

1. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No

2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

Not applicable

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

Not applicable

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable

5. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final interventional procedures document, and, if so, where?
No

**Mark Campbell**

**Approved by Acting Programme Director**

**Date:** 5 March 2019