

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment

IPG681 Pressurised intraperitoneal aerosol chemotherapy for peritoneal carcinomatosis

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE Equality scheme.

Briefing

1. Have any potential equality issues been identified during the briefing process (development of the brief or discussion at the committee meeting), and, if so, what are they?

The reported incidence rates of peritoneal cancer vary widely. Peritoneal carcinomatosis originating from a malignant primary tumour elsewhere in the body such as colon cancer, gastric cancer or ovarian cancer is more common than primary peritoneal cancer and affects thousands of patients each year. Tumours from the gastrointestinal tract and the ovaries in women are the most common sources. 30% of patients with gastric cancer and 10% of patients with colon cancer develop peritoneal cancer at some stage in the disease.

Malignant mesothelioma is a rare disease and is diagnosed in one to 3 patients per one million people per year. It is 3 times more common in men and risk of disease increases with age. It is diagnosed in people who are 60 years or older.

Pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP) is another rare sub-type and the incidence is one to two patients per one million people per year, and is somewhat higher in women than in men.

All people with cancer are covered by under disability n of the Equality Act 2010 from the point of diagnosis.

2. What is the preliminary view as to what extent these potential equality issues need addressing by the committee? (If there are exclusions listed in the brief (for example, populations, treatments or settings), are these justified?)

This was not thought to have an impact on the assessment of the procedure. No exclusions were applied.

3. Has any change to the brief (such as additional issues raised during the committee meeting) been agreed to highlight potential equality issues?

No

4. Have any additional stakeholders related to potential equality issues been identified during the committee meeting, and, if so, have changes to the stakeholder list been made?

No

Kevin Harris

Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor

Date: 08/09/2020

Consultation

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the briefing process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?

No specific data relating to [potential issues mentioned earlier] was identified in the literature presented in the overview.

2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview, specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No

| |
|--|
| 3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? |
| No |

| |
|--|
| 4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group? |
| No |

| |
|---|
| 5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability? |
| Not applicable |

| |
|--|
| 6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality? |
| Not applicable |

| |
|---|
| 7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where? |
| No |

Kevin Harris

Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor

Date: 08/09/2020

Final interventional procedures document

1. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No

2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

Not applicable

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

Not applicable

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable

5. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final interventional procedures document, and, if so, where?

No

Joanne Holden

Approved by Associate Director

Date: 21/10/2020