NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Medical technologies evaluation programme Equality impact assessment: Guidance development

Equality impact assessment. Surdance development

MT390 PICO negative pressure wound dressings for closed surgical incisions

The impact on equality has been assessed during this evaluation according to the principles of the <u>NICE Equality scheme</u>.

Medical technology consultation document

| 1. | Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been |
|----|---|
| | addressed by the committee, and, if so, how? |

No equality issues were raised during the scoping process. This dressing is used to prevent surgical site complications in people who are at high risk of developing complications after surgery. Certain ethnic groups are more prone to poor wound healing due to increased risk of diabetes or keloid formation. Older people are also more at risk of poor wound healing. Race and age are protected characteristic under the equality act 2010.

| 2. | Have any other potential equality issues been highlighted in the sponsor's submission, or patient organisation questionnaires, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? | |
|----|---|--|
| No | No action required. | |

| 3. | Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee and, if |
|----|---|
| | so, how has the committee addressed these? |

No action required.

| 4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to or difficulties with access for the specific group? |
|---|
| No. |
| |
| 5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability? |
| No. |
| |
| 6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality? |
| Not applicable. |
| |
| 7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the medical technology consultation document, and, if so, where? |
| Not applicable. |
| Approved by Acting Programme Director: Mark Campbell |

Approved by Acting Programme Director: Mark Campbell

Date: 6 December 2018

Medical technology guidance document

| Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| No | | | |
| | | | |
| 2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to access for the specific group? | | | |
| No | | | |
| | | | |
| 3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability? | | | |
| No | | | |
| | | | |
| 4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality? | | | |
| NA | | | |
| | | | |
| 5. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the medical technology guidance document, and, if so, where? | | | |
| Yes. Section 4.3. | | | |

Approved by Acting Programme Director: Mark Campbell

Date: 7 March 2019